
FEDERAL BORROWING AND DEBT

16. FEDERAL BORROWING AND DEBT

Debt is the largest legally binding obligation of the Federal Government. At the end of 2004, the Government owed \$4,296 billion of principal to the people who had loaned it the money to pay for past deficits. During that year, the Government paid the public around \$168 billion of interest on this debt.

Table 16-1. TRENDS IN FEDERAL DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC

(Dollar amounts in billions)

Fiscal Year	Debt held by the public:		Debt held by the public as a percent of:		Interest on the debt held by the public as a percent of: ³	
	Current Dollars	FY 2000 dollars ¹	GDP	Credit market debt ²	Total outlays	GDP
1946	241.9	1,821.2	108.6	N/A	7.4	1.8
1950	219.0	1,339.6	80.2	53.3	11.4	1.8
1955	226.6	1,217.1	57.4	43.2	7.6	1.3
1960	236.8	1,127.8	45.7	33.8	8.5	1.5
1965	260.8	1,161.6	38.0	26.9	8.1	1.4
1970	283.2	1,047.7	28.0	20.8	7.9	1.5
1975	394.7	1,074.6	25.3	18.4	7.5	1.6
1980	711.9	1,340.7	26.1	18.5	10.6	2.3
1985	1,507.3	2,164.7	36.4	22.3	16.2	3.7
1986	1,740.6	2,443.0	39.4	22.6	16.1	3.6
1987	1,889.8	2,584.8	40.7	22.3	16.0	3.5
1988	2,051.6	2,720.6	41.0	22.2	16.2	3.4
1989	2,190.7	2,796.4	40.6	22.0	16.5	3.5
1990	2,411.6	2,968.1	42.0	22.6	16.2	3.5
1991	2,689.0	3,189.8	45.3	24.1	16.2	3.6
1992	2,999.7	3,471.1	48.1	25.7	15.5	3.4
1993	3,248.4	3,675.5	49.4	26.6	14.9	3.2
1994	3,433.1	3,802.7	49.3	26.8	14.4	3.0
1995	3,604.4	3,910.2	49.2	26.7	15.8	3.3
1996	3,734.1	3,974.5	48.5	26.3	15.8	3.2
1997	3,772.3	3,946.4	46.1	25.3	15.7	3.1
1998	3,721.1	3,846.1	43.1	23.5	15.1	2.9
1999	3,632.4	3,705.7	39.8	21.4	13.8	2.6
2000	3,409.8	3,409.8	35.1	19.1	13.0	2.4
2001	3,319.6	3,243.1	33.0	17.6	11.6	2.1
2002	3,540.4	3,395.8	34.1	17.6	8.9	1.7
2003	3,913.4	3,687.1	36.1	18.0	7.5	1.5
2004	4,295.5	3,968.2	37.2	18.3	7.3	1.5
2005 estimate	4,721.2	4,274.5	38.6	N/A	7.4	1.5
2006 estimate	5,120.8	4,547.4	39.7	N/A	8.6	1.7
2007 estimate	5,454.0	4,745.5	40.1	N/A	9.7	1.9
2008 estimate	5,726.7	4,880.0	39.9	N/A	10.4	2.0
2009 estimate	5,981.8	4,992.7	39.6	N/A	10.8	2.1
2010 estimate	6,211.5	5,078.1	39.1	N/A	11.0	2.1

N/A=Not available.

¹Debt in current dollars deflated by the GDP chain-type price index with fiscal year 2000 equal to 100.

²Total credit market debt owed by domestic nonfinancial sectors, modified in some years to be consistent with budget concepts for the measurement of Federal debt. Financial sectors are omitted to avoid double counting, since financial intermediaries borrow in the credit market primarily in order to finance lending in the credit market. Source: Federal Reserve Board flow of funds accounts. Projections are not available.

³Interest on debt held by the public is estimated as the interest on Treasury debt securities less the "interest received by trust funds" (subfunction 901 less subfunctions 902 and 903). The estimate of interest on debt held by the public does not include the comparatively small amount of interest paid on agency debt or the offsets for interest on Treasury debt received by other Government accounts (revolving funds and special funds).

The budget shifted from surplus to deficit in 2002, and the deficit then grew sharply in 2003 and edged up a little more in 2004. The shift from a surplus to a large deficit in these years was primarily because of several shocks to the economy—which included the bursting of the stock market bubble, the terrorist attack of September 11th, and the recession—together with the additional spending in response to terrorism and several measures of tax relief that were designed to stimulate the economy in the near-term and increase long-term growth. As a result, the deficit is estimated to rise slightly more in 2005 before declining. Debt held by the public as a percentage of GDP has risen since 2001 and is estimated to peak at 40.1 percent in 2007 before starting to decline gradually.

Trends in Debt Since World War II

Table 16–1 depicts trends in Federal debt held by the public from World War II to the present and estimates from the present through 2010. (It is supplemented for earlier years by tables 7.1–7.3 in *Historical Tables*, which is published as a separate volume of the budget.) As this table shows, Federal debt peaked at 108.6 percent of GDP in 1946, just after the end of the war. From then until the 1970s, Federal debt grew gradually, but, due to inflation, it declined in real terms. Because of an expanding economy as well as inflation, Federal debt as a percentage of GDP decreased almost every year. With households borrowing large amounts to buy homes and consumer durables, and with businesses borrowing large amounts to buy plant and equipment, Federal debt also decreased almost every year as a percentage of the total credit market debt outstanding. The cumulative effect was impressive. From 1950 to 1975, debt held by the public declined from 80.2 percent of GDP to 25.3 percent, and from 53.3 percent of credit market debt to 18.4 percent. Despite rising interest rates, interest outlays became a smaller share of the budget and were roughly stable as a percentage of GDP.

During the 1970s, large budget deficits emerged as spending surged, but at a slower pace than earlier decades, and as the economy was disrupted by oil shocks and inflation. The nominal amount of Federal debt more than doubled, and Federal debt relative to GDP and credit market debt stopped declining after the middle of the decade. The growth of Federal debt accelerated at the beginning of the 1980s, due in large part to a deep recession, and the ratio of Federal debt to GDP grew very sharply. The ratio of Federal debt to credit market debt also rose, though to a much lesser extent. Interest outlays on debt held by the public, calculated as a percentage of either total Federal outlays or GDP, increased as well.

The growth of Federal debt held by the public was decelerating by the mid-1990s, however, and the debt declined markedly relative to both GDP and total credit market debt. It fell steadily from 49.4 percent of GDP in 1993 to 33.0 percent in 2001; and it fell more un-

evenly from 26.8 percent of total credit market debt in 1994 to 17.6 percent in 2001 and 2002. Interest on this debt, relative to total outlays and GDP, declined as well. Interest as a share of outlays peaked at 16.5 percent in 1989 and then fell to 11.6 percent by 2001; interest as a percentage of GDP fell in a similar proportion.

The downward trend in debt relative to GDP ceased as economic conditions changed and the terrorist attacks occurred. The decline in the stock market, the recession, and the initially slow recovery all reduced tax receipts; tax relief had the same effect; and spending increased for war and homeland security. As a result of the ensuing deficits, table 16–1 shows a rise in debt held by the public throughout the projection period. The increase in debt, however, is estimated to slow down. Debt continues to rise by small amounts as a percentage of GDP in 2004 and 2005 and then is essentially stable, declining a little in the later years of the decade.

Debt Held by the Public, Gross Federal Debt, and Liabilities Other Than Debt

The Federal Government issues debt securities for two principal purposes. First, it borrows from the public to finance the Federal deficit.¹ Second, it issues debt to Government accounts, primarily trust funds, that accumulate surpluses. By law, trust fund surpluses must generally be invested in Federal securities. The gross Federal debt is defined to consist of both the debt held by the public and the debt held by Government accounts. Nearly all the Federal debt has been issued by the Treasury and is sometimes called “public debt,” but a small portion has been issued by other Government agencies and is called “agency debt.”²

Borrowing from the public, whether by the Treasury or by some other Federal agency, has a significant impact on the economy. Borrowing from the public is normally a good approximation of the Federal demand on credit markets. Regardless of whether the proceeds are used productively for tangible or intangible investment, the Federal demand on credit markets has to be financed out of the saving of households and businesses, the State and local sector, or the rest of the world. Federal borrowing thereby competes with the borrowing

¹Debt held by the public was measured until 1988 as the par value (or face value) of the security, which is the principal amount due at maturity. (The only exception was savings bonds.) However, most Treasury securities are sold at a discount from par, and some are sold at a premium. Treasury debt held by the public is now measured as the sales price plus the amortized discount (or less the amortized premium). At the time of sale, the book value equals the sales price. Subsequently, it equals the sales price plus the amount of the discount that has been amortized up to that time. In equivalent terms, the book value of the debt equals par less the unamortized discount. (For a security sold at a premium, the definition is symmetrical.) When the measurement was changed, the data in *Historical Tables* were revised as far back as feasible, which was 1956. Agency debt, except for zero-coupon certificates, is recorded at par. For further analysis of these concepts, see Special Analysis E, “Borrowing and Debt,” in *Special Analyses, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 1990*, pages E-5 to E-8, although some of the practices it describes have been revised. In 1997 Treasury began to sell inflation-indexed notes and bonds. The book value of these securities includes a periodic adjustment for inflation.

²The term “agency debt” is defined more narrowly in the budget than customarily in the securities market, where it includes not only the debt of the Federal agencies listed in table 16–3 but also the debt of the Government-sponsored enterprises listed in table 7–9 at the end of chapter 7 and certain Government-guaranteed securities.

Table 16–2. Federal Government Financing and Debt
(In billions of dollars)

	Actual 2004	Estimate					
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Financing:							
Unified budget deficit (–)	–412.1	–426.6	–390.1	–312.1	–250.8	–232.9	–207.3
Financing other than the change in debt held by the public:							
Net purchases (–) of non-Federal securities by the National Railroad Retirement Investment Trust	–2.5	–0.9	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5
Changes in: ¹							
Treasury operating cash balance	–1.4	1.3
Compensating balances ²	22.2
Checks outstanding, etc. ³	6.5
Seigniorage on coins	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Less: Net financing disbursements:							
Direct loan financing accounts	–4.9	–9.1	–12.8	–20.0	–20.7	–20.1	–20.7
Guaranteed loan financing accounts	9.4	8.9	1.8	–2.3	–2.1	–3.2	–2.9
Total, financing other than the change in debt held by the public	30.0	0.9	–9.5	–21.1	–21.8	–22.2	–22.5
Total, requirement to borrow from the public	–382.1	–425.7	–399.6	–333.2	–272.6	–255.1	–229.8
Change in debt held by the public	382.1	425.7	399.6	333.2	272.6	255.1	229.8
Changes in Debt Subject to Limitation:							
Change in debt held by the public	382.1	425.7	399.6	333.2	272.6	255.1	229.8
Change in debt held by Government accounts	212.6	251.0	276.6	309.2	325.9	339.8	364.0
Change in other factors	1.1	–13.4	0.2	0.5	0.7	2.8	2.4
Total, change in debt subject to statutory limitation	595.8	663.3	676.4	643.0	599.2	597.7	596.1
Debt Subject to Statutory Limitation, End of Year:							
Debt issued by Treasury	7,327.8	8,005.1	8,681.5	9,324.5	9,923.7	10,519.4	11,113.8
Less: Treasury debt not subject to limitation (–) ⁴	–0.5	–14.5	–14.5	–14.5	–14.5	–12.4	–10.8
Agency debt subject to limitation	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Adjustment for discount and premium ⁵	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Total, debt subject to statutory limitation ⁶	7,333.4	7,996.6	8,673.0	9,316.0	9,915.3	10,512.9	11,109.1
Debt Outstanding, End of Year:							
Gross Federal debt: ⁷							
Debt issued by Treasury	7,327.8	8,005.1	8,681.5	9,324.5	9,923.7	10,519.4	11,113.8
Debt issued by other agencies	26.8	26.3	26.1	25.6	24.9	24.2	23.5
Total, gross Federal debt	7,354.7	8,031.4	8,707.6	9,350.1	9,948.6	10,543.5	11,137.3
Held by:							
Debt held by Government accounts	3,059.1	3,310.2	3,586.8	3,896.1	4,222.0	4,561.8	4,925.8
Debt held by the public ⁸	4,295.5	4,721.2	5,120.8	5,454.0	5,726.7	5,981.8	6,211.5

¹ A decrease in the Treasury operating cash balance or compensating balances (which are assets) is a means of financing a deficit and therefore has a positive sign. An increase in checks outstanding (which is a liability) is also a means of financing a deficit and therefore also has a positive sign.

² Compensating balances were non-interest bearing Treasury bank deposits that Treasury mainly used to compensate banks for collecting tax and non-tax receipts under financial agency agreements. Most of the balances at the end of 2003 were required to be invested in nonmarketable Depository Compensation Securities issued by the Treasury; the rest of the balances, and the entire amount in previous years, was invested in the way that the banks decided. The use of compensating balances was discontinued in 2004, and the amounts were drawn down to zero.

³ Besides checks outstanding, includes accrued interest payable on Treasury debt, uninvested deposit fund balances, allocations of special drawing rights, and other liability accounts; and, as an offset, cash and monetary assets (other than the Treasury operating cash balance and compensating balances), other asset accounts, and profit on sale of gold.

⁴ Consists primarily of Federal Financing Bank debt after 2004.

⁵ Consists mainly of unamortized discount (less premium) on public issues of Treasury notes and bonds (other than zero-coupon bonds) and unrealized discount on Government account series securities.

⁶ The statutory debt limit is \$8,184 billion.

⁷ Treasury securities held by the public and zero-coupon bonds held by Government accounts are almost all measured at sales price plus amortized discount or less amortized premium. Agency debt securities are almost all measured at face value. Treasury securities in the Government account series are otherwise measured at face value less unrealized discount (if any).

⁸ At the end of 2004, the Federal Reserve Banks held \$700.3 billion of Federal securities and the rest of the public held \$3,595.2 billion. Debt held by the Federal Reserve Banks is not estimated for future years.

of other credit market sectors for financial resources in the credit market. Borrowing from the public thus affects the size and composition of assets held by the private sector and the perceived wealth of the public. It also increases the amount of future taxes required

to pay interest to the public on Federal debt. Borrowing from the public is therefore an important concern of Federal fiscal policy.³

³ The Federal subsector of the national income and product accounts provides a measure of “net government saving” (based on current expenditures and current receipts) that can

Issuing debt securities to Government accounts performs an essential function in accounting for the operation of these funds. The balances of debt represent the cumulative surpluses of these funds due to the excess of their tax receipts, interest receipts, and other collections compared to their spending. The interest on the debt that is credited to these funds accounts for the fact that some earmarked taxes and user fees will be spent at a later time than when the funds receive the monies. The debt securities are a liability of the general fund to the fund that holds the securities and are a mechanism for crediting interest to that fund on its recorded balances. These accounting balances generally provide the fund with authority to draw upon the U.S. Treasury in later years to make future payments on its behalf to the public. Public policy may run surpluses and accumulate debt in trust funds and other Government accounts in anticipation of future spending.

However, issuing debt to Government accounts does not have any of the economic effects of borrowing from the public. It is an internal transaction of the Government, made between two accounts that are both within the Government itself. It is not a current transaction of the Government with the public; it is not financed by private saving and does not compete with the private sector for available funds in the credit market; it does not provide the account with resources other than a legal claim on the U.S. Treasury, which itself obtains real resources by taxation and borrowing; and its current interest does not have to be financed by taxes or other means.

Furthermore, the debt held by Government accounts does not represent the estimated amount of the account's obligations or responsibilities to make future payments to the public. For example, if the account records the transactions of a social insurance program, the debt that it holds does not represent the actuarial present value of estimated future benefits (or future benefits less taxes) for the current participants in the program; nor does it represent the actuarial present value of estimated future benefits (or future benefits less taxes) for the current participants plus the estimated future participants over some stated time period. The future transactions of Federal social insurance and employee retirement programs, which own 92 percent of the debt held by Government accounts, are important in their own right and need to be analyzed separately. This can be done through information published in the actuarial and financial reports for these programs.⁴

This Budget uses a variety of information sources to analyze the condition of Social Security and Medicare, the Government's two largest social insurance programs. Chapter 13 of the present volume, "Steward-

ship," projects Social Security and Medicare outlays to 2080 relative to GDP. It also discusses in some detail the actuarial projections prepared for the Social Security and Medicare trustees reports to evaluate the long-run actuarial deficiency or shortfall in these programs. A chapter in the main volume of the budget, "The Nation's Fiscal Outlook," uses the same data in less detail to explain the long-run fiscal problems of Social Security and Medicare revealed by these projections. The actuarial shortfalls are very different in concept and much larger in size than the amount of Treasury debt that these programs hold.

For all these reasons, debt held by the public is a better concept than gross Federal debt for analyzing the effect of the budget on the economy.

Debt securities do not encompass all the liabilities of the Federal Government. For example, accounts payable occur in the normal course of buying goods and services; Social Security benefits are due and payable as of the end of the month but, according to statute, are paid during the next month; loan guarantee liabilities are incurred when the Government guarantees the payment of interest and principal on private loans; and liabilities for future pension and retiree health payments are incurred as part of the current compensation for the services performed by Federal civilian and military employees in producing Government outputs. Like debt securities sold in the credit market, these liabilities have their own distinctive effects on the economy. Federal liabilities are analyzed within the broader conceptual framework of Federal resources and responsibilities in chapter 13 of this volume, "Stewardship." The different types of liabilities are reported annually in the financial statements of Federal agencies and in the *Financial Report of the United States Government*, prepared by the Treasury Department.

Government Surpluses or Deficits and the Change in Debt

Table 16-2 summarizes Federal borrowing and debt from 2004 through 2010. In 2004 the Government borrowed \$382 billion, so the debt held by the public increased to \$4,296 billion. The debt held by Government accounts increased \$213 billion, and gross Federal debt increased by \$595 billion to \$7,355 billion.

Debt held by the public. The Federal Government primarily finances deficits by borrowing from the public, and it primarily uses surpluses to repay debt held by the public. Table 16-2 shows the relationship between the Federal deficit or surplus and the change in debt held by the public. The borrowing or debt repayment depends on the Federal Government's expenditure programs and tax laws, on the economic conditions that influence tax receipts and outlays, and on debt management policy. The sensitivity of the budget to economic conditions is analyzed in chapter 12 of this volume, "Economic Assumptions."

The total or unified budget surplus consists of two parts: the on-budget surplus or deficit; and the surplus of the off-budget Federal entities, which have been ex-

be used to analyze the effect of Federal fiscal policy on national saving within the framework of an integrated set of measures of aggregate U.S. economic activity. The Federal subsector and its differences from the budget are discussed in chapter 14 of this volume, "National Income and Product Accounts."

⁴Extensive actuarial analyses of the Social Security and Medicare programs are published in the annual reports of the boards of trustees of these funds. Annual actuarial reports are also prepared for major Federal employee retirement funds. The actuarial estimates for these and other programs are summarized in the *Financial Report of the United States Government*, prepared annually by the Treasury Department.

cluded from the budget by law. Under present law, the off-budget Federal entities are the Social Security trust funds (Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance) and the Postal Service fund.⁵ The off-budget totals are virtually the same as Social Security, which had a large surplus in 2004 and is estimated to have large and growing surpluses throughout the projection period. The on-budget and off-budget surpluses or deficits are added together to determine the Government's financing needs.

The Government's need to borrow, or its ability to repay debt held by the public, has always depended on several other factors besides the unified budget surplus or deficit, such as the change in the Treasury operating cash balance. As shown in table 16-2, these other factors, which in this table are called "financing other than the change in debt held by the public," can either increase or decrease the Government's need to borrow. (An increase in its need to borrow is represented by a negative sign, like the deficit.) Some of these individual factors themselves may be either positive or negative, and some of them vary considerably in size from year to year. In 2004 the deficit was \$412 billion and the "financing other than the change in debt held by the public" was \$30 billion. As a result, the Government borrowed \$382 billion from the public.

Over the long-run, it is a good approximation to say that "the deficit is financed by borrowing from the public" or "the surplus is used to repay debt held by the public." Over the last 20 years, the cumulative deficit was \$2,812 billion and the increase in debt held by the public was \$2,989 billion. The other factors added a total of \$177 billion of borrowing, an average of \$9 billion per year.

In individual years it is also often a good approximation to say that the deficit and borrowing (or the surplus and debt repayment) are about the same. The variation, however, can be wide, ranging over the last 20 years from additional borrowing (or lower repayment) of \$63 billion in 2002 to reduced borrowing of \$30 billion in 2004. In 2004, as shown in table 16-2, \$22 billion of the \$30 billion difference was attributable to a one-time change in compensating balances. In 2003, on the other hand, the difference was only \$5 billion, whereas in 2002 several factors were large and in combination accounted for \$63 billion of the \$221 billion increase in debt held by the public. Four specific factors have recently been especially important.

Change in Treasury operating cash balance.—The operating cash balance decreased \$26 billion during 2003, partly because it was higher than planned at the end of the previous year. During 2004, however, the initial cash balance was at about the level that had been planned. The operating cash balance then ended at essentially the same amount—only \$1 billion more. It is estimated to again be essentially the same at the end of 2005. Changes in the operating cash balance, while occasionally large, are inherently limited. De-

creases in cash—a means of financing the Government—are limited by the amount of past accumulations, which themselves required financing when they were built up. Increases are limited because it is more efficient to repay debt.

Change in compensating balances.—Treasury used compensating balances for many years to compensate banks for collecting tax and non-tax receipts and providing other services under financial agency agreements. Under these agreements, Treasury deposited a non-interest bearing compensating balance with a bank. The imputed earnings from the compensating balance, calculated at the 91-day Treasury bill rate, were the source of the bank's compensation for performing the required services. Treasury determined the size of the deposit by balancing the value of the services provided with the imputed earnings of the compensating balance. Banks could use the compensating balances on deposit to make loans or buy investments, and all compensating balances were fully collateralized.

The traditional compensating balances presented difficulties for cash and debt management in recent years. First, any decrease in the interest rate that was applied to compensating balances required Treasury to increase the size of compensating balances on deposit to pay for the services it needed. For example, because interest rates decreased so much during 2002, Treasury had to increase its compensating balances by \$14 billion in that year. Second, when the debt outstanding reached the statutory debt limit, Treasury had to draw down the compensating balances and then make up for this action afterwards by increasing the balances to unusually high levels. These actions were inefficient and disruptive, and they created financial uncertainty for Treasury and the banks.

In large part because of these difficulties, the 2004 budget proposed legislation that would allow Treasury to replace compensating balances by a permanent indefinite appropriation to pay banks directly for their services as depositories and financial agents. This also would simplify Treasury's cash and debt management, would ensure that payments to financial institutions for services were made in a more predictable manner, and could result in budget savings.

As an interim step, before the legislation could be enacted, Treasury began to replace its traditional compensating balances with depository compensation securities (DCS) in July 2003. The banks held DCS instead of other acceptable investments, and the Treasury balances were secured by the DCS. The cost of the services provided to Treasury was part of the interest on the debt under either system. Under the traditional system, Treasury paid interest to the general public on the marketable securities sold to acquire the compensating balances; under the interim system, Treasury paid interest to banks on the DCS. By the end of December 2003, the traditional compensating balances had been replaced by DCS.

Congress authorized a permanent indefinite appropriation to pay for the services in October 2003 in the

⁵ For further explanation of the off-budget Federal entities, see chapter 23, "Off-Budget Federal Entities and Non-Budgetary Activities."

Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act (P.L. 108-100). A permanent indefinite appropriation was then included in the Consolidated Appropriation Act of 2004 (P.L. 108-199). Treasury replaced the DCS by direct payments in March 2004. The total compensating balances at the end of 2003 under both systems were \$22 billion, so table 16-2 shows that they were drawn down to zero during 2004.

Net purchases of non-Federal securities by the National Railroad Retirement Investment Trust.—This trust fund was established by the Railroad Retirement and Survivors' Improvement Act of 2001. Most of the assets in the Railroad Retirement Board trust funds were transferred to the new trust fund in 2003, which invests its assets primarily in private stocks and bonds. The Act ordered special treatment of the purchase or sale of non-Federal assets by this trust fund, treating such purchases as a means of financing rather than an outlay. Therefore, the increased need to borrow from the public to finance the purchase of non-Federal assets is part of the "financing other than the change in debt held by the public" rather than included as an increase in the deficit. This increased borrowing and publicly held debt by \$20 billion in 2003. Net purchases were relatively small in 2004 and are estimated to remain relatively small in future years.⁶

Net financing disbursements of the direct loan and guaranteed loan financing accounts.—The financing accounts were created by the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990. Under this Act, budget outlays for direct loans and loan guarantees consist of the estimated subsidy cost of the loans or guarantees at the time when the direct loans or guaranteed loans are disbursed. The cash flows to and from the public resulting from these loans and guarantees—the disbursement and repayment of loans, the default payments on loan guarantees, the collections of interest and fees, and so forth—are not costs to the Government except for those costs already included in budget outlays. Therefore, they are non-budgetary in nature and are recorded as transactions of the non-budgetary financing account for each credit program.⁷

The financing accounts also include several types of intra-governmental transactions. In particular, they receive payment from the credit program accounts for the costs of new direct loans and loan guarantees; they also receive payment for any upward reestimate of the costs of direct loans and loan guarantees outstanding. These collections are offset against the gross disbursements of the financing accounts in determining the accounts' total net cash flows. The total net cash flows of the financing accounts, consisting of transactions with both the public and the budgetary accounts, are called "net financing disbursements." They are defined in the same way as the "outlays" of a budgetary account

⁶The budget treatment of this fund is further discussed in chapter 26, "The Budget System and Concepts."

⁷The Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (sec. 505(b)) requires that the financing accounts be non-budgetary. As explained in chapter 23, "Off-Budget Federal Entities and Non-Budgetary Activities," they are non-budgetary in concept because they do not measure cost. For additional discussion of credit reform, see chapter 26 of this volume, "The Budget System and Concepts," and the other references cited in chapter 23.

and therefore affect the requirement for borrowing from the public in the same way as the deficit.

The result is that the intragovernmental transactions of the financing accounts do not affect Federal borrowing from the public. Although the deficit changes because of the budget's outlay or receipt, the net financing disbursement changes in an equal amount with the opposite sign, so the effects cancel out. On the other hand, financing account disbursements to the public increase the requirement for borrowing from the public in the same way as an increase in budget outlays that are disbursed to the public in cash. Likewise, financing account receipts from the public can be used to finance the payment of the Government's obligations, and therefore they reduce the requirement for Federal borrowing from the public in the same way as an increase in budget receipts.

The impact of the financing accounts became large in the mid-1990s. In 2003 they required \$7 billion of financing, which increased borrowing by this amount. In 2004, on the other hand, a large upward reestimate was made in the cost of outstanding Federal Housing Administration (FHA) housing mortgages. The credit program account in the budget made a large outlay to the guaranteed loan financing account, which in turn had an equal offsetting collection and therefore a large negative net financing disbursement. The result is shown as a positive amount in table 16-2, canceling out the effect of a higher budget deficit on the Government's borrowing requirement. Large upward reestimates of guarantees are also estimated for 2005, after which the pattern is expected to be more normal. The financing accounts are estimated to require additional financing of \$11 billion in 2006 and from \$17 billion to \$18 billion in each of the following four years. A major part of this financing is normally due to the direct student loan program. Since direct loans require cash disbursements equal to the full amount of the loans when the loans are made, Federal borrowing requirements are initially increased. Later, when the loans are repaid, Federal borrowing requirements will decrease.

Debt held by Government accounts.—The amount of Federal debt issued to Government accounts depends largely on the surpluses of the trust funds, both on-budget and off-budget, which owned 94 percent of the total Federal debt held by Government accounts at the end of 2004. In 2004, for example, the total trust fund surplus was \$193 billion, and Government accounts invested \$213 billion in Federal securities. A major reason for the larger investment is that some special funds and revolving funds, as well as the trust funds, invest in Federal debt. Another factor is that the trust funds may change the amount of their cash assets not currently invested. The debt held in major accounts and the annual investments are shown in table 16-4.

Agency Debt

Several Federal agencies, shown in table 16-3, sell debt securities to the public and at times in the past

have sold securities to other Government accounts. During 2004, agencies repaid \$0.4 billion of debt held by the public. Agency debt is less than one percent of Federal debt held by the public. Agencies are estimated to repay small amounts of debt in 2005 and 2006.

The reasons for issuing agency debt differ considerably from one agency to another. The predominant agency borrower is the Tennessee Valley Authority, which had borrowed \$26 billion from the public as of the end of 2004, or 97 percent of the total debt of all agencies. In some earlier periods, other agencies accounted for a much higher proportion of agency debt than they do now. TVA sells debt primarily to finance capital expenditures.

The Federal Housing Administration, on the other hand, has for many years issued both checks and debentures as means of paying claims to the public that arise from defaults on FHA-insured mortgages. Issuing debentures to pay the Government's bills is equivalent to selling securities to the public and then paying the bills by disbursing the cash borrowed, so the transaction is recorded as being simultaneously an outlay and a borrowing. The debentures are therefore classified as agency debt. The borrowing by FHA and a few other agencies that have engaged in similar transactions is thus inherent in the way that their programs operate.⁸

Some types of lease-purchase contracts are equivalent to direct Federal construction financed by Federal borrowing. A number of years ago, the Federal Government guaranteed the debt used to finance the construction of buildings for the National Archives and the Architect of the Capitol, and subsequently exercised full control over the design, construction, and operation of

the buildings. The construction expenditures and interest were therefore classified as Federal outlays, and the borrowing was classified as Federal agency borrowing from the public.

The proper budgetary treatment of lease-purchases was further examined in connection with the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990. Several changes were made. Among other decisions, it was determined that outlays for a lease-purchase without substantial private risk will be recorded in an amount equal to the asset cost over the period during which the contractor constructs, manufactures, or purchases the asset; if the asset already exists, the outlays will be recorded when the contract is signed. Agency borrowing will be recorded each year to the extent of these outlays. The agency debt will subsequently be redeemed over the lease payment period by a portion of the annual lease payments according to an amortization schedule. This rule was effective starting in 1991.⁹ The new budgetary treatment was reviewed in connection with the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. Some clarifications were made, but no substantive changes.

The Tennessee Valley Authority has traditionally financed its capital construction by selling bonds and notes to the public. Starting in 2000, it has also employed two types of alternative financing methods. The first type of alternative financing method was lease/leasebacks. TVA signed contracts to lease some recently constructed power generators to private investors and simultaneously lease them back. It received a lump sum for leasing out its assets, and then leased them back at fixed annual payments for a set number of years. TVA retains substantially all of the economic benefits and risks related to ownership of the assets, and the

⁸For an explanation of the monetary credits issued by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), see chapter 26 of this volume, "The Budget System and Concepts." The budgetary treatment of some of these securities and other securities inherent in the way programs operate is further explained in Special Analysis E of the 1989 Budget, pp. E-25 to E-26; and Special Analysis E of the 1988 Budget, pp. E-27 to E-28.

⁹The rule addressed all lease-purchases and capital leases from the public, not just those without substantial private risk. For all such contracts, the rule requires that budget authority be recorded up front for the present value of the lease payments. See OMB Circular No. A-11, Appendix B. Also see the section on outlays in chapter 26, "The Budget System and Concepts."

Table 16-3. AGENCY DEBT

(In millions of dollars)

	Borrowing or repayment (-) of debt			Debt end of 2006 estimate
	2004 actual	2005 estimate	2006 estimate	
Borrowing from the public:				
Housing and Urban Development:				
Federal Housing Administration	-79	*	200
Small Business Administration:				
Participation certificates: Section 505 development company	-*	7
Architect of the Capitol	-3	-3	-4	156
Farm Credit System Financial Assistance Corporation	-325
Federal Communications Commission	-56	*
National Archives	-8	-8	-10	225
Tennessee Valley Authority:				
Bonds and Notes	-1,623	-88	-10	23,155
Lease/leaseback obligations	-60	-35	-33	1,110
Prepayment obligations	1,424	-105	-106	1,260
Total, borrowing from the public	-405	-563	-163	26,113

* \$500 thousand or less.

lease/leasebacks are reported as liabilities on TVA's balance sheet under generally accepted accounting principles.

The Office of Management and Budget determined that the TVA lease/leasebacks are a means of financing the acquisition of assets owned and used by the Government. The arrangement is at least as governmental as a "lease-purchase without substantial private risk." The budget therefore records the upfront cash proceeds from the lease as borrowing from the public, not offsetting collections. Agency debt in the form of a lease obligation is recorded as a type of borrowing. The same budget treatment was applied to the lease/leaseback of qualified technological equipment in 2003. The total amount of the lease obligations beginning in 2000 is shown in table 16-3 separately from TVA bonds and notes to distinguish between the types of borrowing. The obligations for lease/leasebacks were \$1.2 billion at the end of 2004 and are estimated to decline steadily in the following years as they are amortized.

The second type of alternative financing method is prepayments for power that TVA sells to its power distributors. Under the Discounted Energy Units program, which began in 2003, distributors may prepay a portion of the price of the power they plan to purchase in the future. In return, they obtain a discount on a specific quantity of the future power they buy from TVA. The quantity varies, depending on TVA's estimated cost of borrowing. Most of the prepayments have been relatively small. However, TVA also entered into a contract with Memphis Light, Gas, and Water (MLGW), under which that distributor prepaid \$1.5 billion in 2004 for a large portion of its power needs over the next 15 years in return for a discount on that power. MLGW, in turn, financed its prepayment by selling tax-exempt bonds.

The Office of Management and Budget determined that these prepayments are also a means of financing the acquisition of assets owned and used by the Federal Government, or, in effect, are used to refinance debt previously incurred to finance such assets. They are equivalent in concept to other forms of borrowing from the public, although at different terms and conditions. The prepayment obligations are recorded as liabilities, called "unearned revenue," on TVA's balance sheet under generally accepted accounting principles. The budget therefore records the upfront cash proceeds from the prepayment as borrowing from the public, not offsetting collections. Agency debt in the form of a prepayment obligation is recorded as a type of borrowing. The total amount of prepayment obligations is shown in table 16-3 separately from bonds and notes and lease/leaseback obligations to distinguish among the types of borrowing. The prepayment obligations increased from zero to \$47 million during 2003 and to \$1,471 billion at the end of 2004 because of the contract with Memphis Light, Gas, and Water. The obligations are estimated to decline steadily in the following years as TVA provides electric power under the contracts.

The amount of agency securities sold to the public has been reduced by borrowing from the Federal Financing Bank (FFB). The FFB is an entity within the Treasury Department, one of whose purposes is to substitute Treasury borrowing for agency borrowing from the public. It has the authority to purchase agency debt and finance these purchases by borrowing from the Treasury. Agency borrowing from the FFB is not included in gross Federal debt. It would be double counting to add together (a) the agency borrowing from the FFB and (b) the Treasury borrowing from the public that was needed to provide the FFB with the funds to lend to the agencies.

Debt Held by Government Accounts

Trust funds, and some special funds and public enterprise revolving funds, accumulate cash in excess of current needs in order to meet future obligations. These cash surpluses are generally invested in Treasury debt.

Investment by trust funds and other Government accounts has risen greatly for many years. It was \$213 billion in 2004, as shown in table 16-4, and is estimated to rise to \$277 billion in 2006. The holdings of Federal securities by Government accounts are estimated to grow to \$3,587 billion by the end of 2006, or 41 percent of the gross Federal debt. The percentage is estimated to rise gradually in the following years, as the trust funds and several major revolving funds and special funds continue to accumulate surpluses.

The large investment by Government accounts is concentrated among a few trust funds. The two Social Security trust funds—Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance—have a large combined surplus and invest \$486 billion during 2004-06, which is 66 percent of the total estimated investment by Government accounts. The two Medicare trust funds—Hospital Insurance and Supplementary Medical Insurance—account for another 7 percent of the total estimated investment.

Apart from these four social insurance funds, the largest investment is by the funds for Federal employee retirement. The principal trust fund for Federal civilian employees is the civil service retirement and disability trust fund, which accounts for 13 percent of the total investment by Government accounts during 2004-06. The military retirement trust fund and the special fund for uniformed services retiree health care account for another 12 percent. Altogether, the investment by Social Security, Medicare, and these three Federal employee retirement funds is almost as much as the total investment by Government accounts during this period. At the end of 2006, they are estimated to own 91 percent of the total debt held by Government accounts. Many of the other Government accounts also increase their holdings of Federal securities during this period.

Technical note on measurement.—The Treasury securities held by Government accounts consist almost entirely of the Government account series. Most were issued at par value (face value), and the securities issued at a discount or premium were traditionally re-

corded at par in the OMB and Treasury reports on Federal debt. However, there are two kinds of exceptions. First, in 1991, Treasury began to issue zero-coupon bonds to a very few Government accounts. Because the purchase price is a small fraction of par value and the amounts are large, the holdings are recorded in table 16–4 at par value less unamortized discount. The only two Government accounts that held zero-coupon bonds during the period of this table are the Nuclear Waste Disposal fund in the Department of Energy and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC). The total unamortized discount on zero-coupon bonds was \$15.1 billion at the end of 2004.

Second, in September 1993 Treasury began to subtract the unrealized discount on other Government account series securities in calculating “net federal securities held as investments of government accounts.” Unlike the discount recorded for zero-coupon bonds and debt held by the public, the unrealized discount is the discount at the time of issue and is not amortized over the term of the security. In table 16–4 it is shown as a separate item at the end of the table and not distributed by account. The amount was \$1.4 billion at the end of 2004.

Limitations on Federal Debt

Definition of debt subject to limit.—Statutory limitations have usually been placed on Federal debt. Until World War I, the Congress ordinarily authorized a specific amount of debt for each separate issue. Beginning with the Second Liberty Bond Act of 1917, however, the nature of the limitation was modified in several steps until it developed into a ceiling on the total amount of most Federal debt outstanding. This last type of limitation has been in effect since 1941. The limit currently applies to most debt issued by the Treasury since September 1917, whether held by the public or by Government accounts; and other debt issued by Federal agencies that, according to explicit statute, is guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States Government.

The third part of table 16–2 compares total Treasury debt with the amount of Federal debt that is subject to the limit. Nearly all Treasury debt is subject to the debt limit. Most of the Treasury debt not subject to the general statutory limit was issued by the Federal

Financing Bank (FFB). The FFB, which is within the Treasury Department, is authorized to have outstanding up to \$15 billion of publicly issued debt. It issued \$14 billion of securities to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability fund on November 15, 2004, in exchange for an equal amount of regular Treasury securities, as explained below in the section on changes in the debt limit. The FFB securities have the same interest rates and maturities as the regular Treasury securities for which they were exchanged. The first maturity date is June 30, 2009, nearly five year after issuance; the final maturity date is June 30, 2019. The securities are expected to remain outstanding until they mature, and this assumption is reflected in tables 16–2 and 16–5. The other Treasury debt not subject to the general limit consists almost entirely of silver certificates and other currencies no longer being issued. It was \$513 million at the end of 2004 and gradually declines over time.

The sole type of agency debt currently subject to the general limit is the debentures issued by the Federal Housing Administration, which were \$200 million at the end of 2004.¹⁰ Some of the other agency debt, however, is subject to its own statutory limit. For example, the Tennessee Valley Authority is limited to \$30 billion of bonds and notes outstanding.

The comparison between Treasury debt and debt subject to limit also includes an adjustment for measurement differences in the treatment of discounts and premiums. As explained elsewhere in this chapter, debt securities may be sold at a discount or premium, and the measurement of debt may take this into account rather than recording the face value of the securities. However, the measurement differs between gross Federal debt (and its components) and the statutory definition of debt subject to limit. An adjustment is needed to derive debt subject to limit (as defined by law) from Treasury debt, and this adjustment is defined in footnote 7 to table 16–2 (and footnote 4 of table 16–5). The amount is relatively small: \$5.8 billion at the end of 2004 compared to the total unamortized discount (less premium) of \$51.2 billion on all Treasury securities.

¹⁰For purposes of the debt limit, the FHA debt was calculated to be \$184 million.

Table 16-4. DEBT HELD BY GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTS¹
(In millions of dollars)

Description	Investment or Disinvestment (-)			Holdings end of 2006 estimate
	2004 actual	2005 estimate	2006 estimate	
Investment in Treasury debt:				
Energy:				
Nuclear waste disposal fund ¹	1,894	957	17,043
Uranium enrichment decontamination fund	247	453	270	4,380
Health and Human Services:				
Federal hospital insurance trust fund	13,068	9,809	17,583	291,767
Federal supplementary medical insurance trust fund	-7,410	1,164	14,868	33,471
Vaccine Injury compensation fund	118	148	166	2,329
Housing and Urban Development:				
Federal Housing Administration mutual mortgage fund	-499	4,400	2,603	30,324
Other HUD	321	345	308	8,219
Interior:Abandoned Mine Reclamation fund	118	3	2,048
Labor:				
Unemployment trust fund	-2,949	827	46,066
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ¹	264	514	299	13,293
State:Foreign Service retirement and disability trust fund	538	582	96	13,506
Transportation:				
Highway trust fund	-3,366	2,980	450	13,642
Airport and airway trust fund	-626	-35	-629	9,228
Homeland Security:				
Oil spill liability trust fund	-126	-132	-187	510
Aquatic resources trust fund	34	-144	1,306
Treasury:Exchange stabilization fund	-184	394	10,713
Veterans Affairs:				
National service life insurance trust fund	-298	-395	-476	10,078
Other trust funds	37	16	12	2,033
Federal funds	-24	-25	-33	415
Other Defense-Civil:				
Uniformed Services Retiree Health Care Fund	17,418	22,209	23,626	81,699
Military retirement trust fund	4,918	10,319	8,370	195,969
Harbor maintenance trust fund	295	-404	1,833
Environmental Protection Agency:				
Hazardous substance trust fund	-281	143	150	2,520
Leaking underground storage tank trust fund	195	203	2,436
International Assistance Programs:				
Overseas Private Investment Corporation	137	166	3,961
Office of Personnel Management:				
Civil Service retirement and disability trust fund	30,151	31,941	31,290	695,091
Employees life insurance fund	1,329	1,280	1,319	30,706
Employees health benefits fund	1,737	1,316	1,344	13,434
Social Security Administration:				
Federal old-age and survivors insurance trust fund ²	139,172	151,016	164,948	1,768,563
Federal disability insurance trust fund ²	12,007	9,982	8,979	201,760
Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation:				
Farm Credit System Insurance fund	205	-92	1,924
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation:				
Bank Insurance fund	1,035	1,276	37	33,402
FSLIC Resolution fund	50	297	3,310
Savings Association Insurance fund	430	625	340	12,817
National Credit Union Administration:Share insurance fund	352	441	409	6,909
Postal Service fund ²	-1,368	-1	1,282
Railroad Retirement Board trust funds ¹	-555	-212	490	2,042
Other Federal funds ³	4,584	454	11	13,874
Other trust funds ³	-384	-1,790	2	4,381
Unrealized discount, ³	-28	*	-1,477
Total, investment in Treasury debt¹	212,559	251,032	276,645	3,586,806

Table 16-4. DEBT HELD BY GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTS¹—Continued
(In millions of dollars)

Description	Investment or Disinvestment (-)			Holdings end of 2006 estimate
	2004 actual	2005 estimate	2006 estimate	
Total, investment in Federal debt¹	212,559	251,032	276,645	3,586,806
MEMORANDUM				
Investment by Federal funds (on-budget)	26,350	32,417	27,870	244,331
Investment by Federal funds (off-budget)	-1,368	-*	1,282
Investment by trust funds (on-budget)	36,426	57,617	74,848	1,372,348
Investment by trust funds (off-budget)	151,178	160,998	173,927	1,970,323
Unrealized discount ¹	-28	*	-1,477

* \$500 thousand or less.

¹ Debt held by Government accounts is measured at face value except for the Treasury zero-coupon bonds held by the Nuclear Waste Disposal fund and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC), which are recorded at market or redemption price; and the unrealized discount on Government account series, which is not distributed by account. Changes are not estimated in the unrealized discount. If recorded at face value, the debt held by the Nuclear Waste Disposal fund would be \$14.4 billion higher than recorded in this table at the end of 2004; the debt held by PBGC would be \$0.7 billion higher.

² Off-budget Federal entity.

³ Retroactive adjustments were made as of the end of 2003. The debt held by the Telecommunications Development Fund is not recorded as Federal debt (\$32 million); the debt held by the Railroad Retirement Board trust funds is increased by \$2 million; and the absolute value of the unrealized discount is decreased by \$193 million.

Changes in the debt limit.—The statutory debt limit has been changed many times. Since 1960, Congress has passed 71 separate acts to raise the limit, extend the duration of a temporary increase, or revise the definition. For a long period up to 1990, the debt limit was also changed frequently. During the 1990s, however, the debt limit was increased three times by amounts large enough to last for two years or more. All three of these increases were enacted as part of a deficit reduction package or a plan to balance the budget and were intended to last a relatively long time: the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, and the Balanced Budget Act of 1997.¹¹

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 increased the debt limit to \$5,950 billion, which lasted until 2002. The debt reached the limit in April 2002, the Treasury Department took a variety of administrative actions to keep within the limit, and on June 28 the President signed a bill to raise the limit to \$6,400 billion. This process was repeated within less than one year. The debt reached the limit in February 2003, the Treasury Department again responded with various administrative actions, and on May 27, 2003, the President signed a bill that raised the limit to \$7,384 billion.

This limit did not last much longer than the previous limit. By August 2004, the Secretary of Treasury wrote Congress that the debt subject to limit might reach the ceiling in September or October 2004. It did reach the limit on October 14 and stayed there until the limit was increased.

Treasury took a number of administrative steps to meet the Government's obligation to pay its bills and invest its trust funds while keeping debt under the statutory limit. On October 14, 2004, the Secretary of

Treasury declared that he would not be able to fully invest the Government Securities Investment Fund (G-fund) as of that day. This fund is one component of the Thrift Savings Fund, a defined contribution pension plan for Federal employees. The Secretary has statutory authority to suspend investment of the G-fund in Treasury securities as needed to prevent the debt from exceeding the debt limit. When he does this, he is required to make the fund whole after the debt limit has been raised by restoring the forgone interest and investing the fund fully. Starting on October 14, Treasury determined each day the amount of investments that would allow the fund to be invested as fully as possible without exceeding the debt limit. That amount was invested, and no more. The balances not invested varied throughout the period. In addition to this step, Treasury discontinued the acceptance of subscriptions to the state and local government series of securities.

As the need for financing grew, Treasury took further steps. On November 15, the Federal Financing Bank (FFB) issued \$14 billion of FFB securities to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability fund in exchange for an equal amount of regular Treasury securities, which FFB then exchanged with Treasury at market value in return for the extinguishment of an equal market value of FFB debt owed to Treasury. The FFB securities are not subject to the debt limit, as explained above, whereas the regular Treasury securities are subject to the limit. The Secretary also declared a debt issuance suspension period from November 17 to December 2. This allowed him to redeem a limited amount of securities held by the Civil Service Retirement and Disability fund and stop investing its receipts. Treasury disinvested part of the Exchange Stabilization fund for one day. Treasury also delayed the announcement of auctions of marketable securities.

¹¹ The Acts and the statutory limits since 1940 are listed in *Historical Tables, Budget of the United States Government*, table 7.3.

All the steps taken during October and November had also been taken on previous occasions when the debt had reached the statutory limit, including 2002 or 2003. When the debt limit was reached in those

years, Treasury also reduced its compensating balances held in banks to pay for services under financial agency agreements. However, compensating balances were discontinued in 2004, as explained in a previous section.

Table 16-5. FEDERAL FUNDS FINANCING AND CHANGE IN DEBT SUBJECT TO STATUTORY LIMIT

(In billions of dollars)

Description	Actual 2004	Estimate					
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Federal funds deficit (-)	-604.8	-653.0	-657.0	-583.5	-537.4	-530.7	-526.4
Means of financing other than borrowing:							
Change in: ¹							
Treasury operating cash balances	-1.4	1.3
Compensating balances ²	22.2
Checks outstanding, etc ³	9.1	6.9	18.9	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5
Seignorage on coins	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Less: Net financing disbursements:							
Direct loan financing accounts	-4.9	-9.1	-12.8	-20.0	-20.7	-20.1	-20.7
Guaranteed loan financing accounts	9.4	8.9	1.8	-2.3	-2.1	-3.2	-2.9
Total, means of financing other than borrowing	35.1	8.7	8.6	-21.1	-21.8	-22.2	-22.5
Decrease or increase (-) in Federal debt held by Federal funds	-25.0	-32.4	-27.9	-37.9	-39.3	-42.0	-44.9
Increase or decrease (-) in Federal debt not subject to limit	-0.3	13.4	-0.2	-0.5	-0.7	-2.8	-2.4
Total, requirement for Federal funds borrowing subject to debt limit	595.0	663.3	676.4	643.0	599.2	597.7	596.1
Change in discount and premium ⁴	0.7
Change in unrealized discount ⁵*
Increase in debt subject to limit	595.7	663.3	676.4	643.0	599.2	597.7	596.1
ADDENDUM							
Debt subject to statutory limit ⁶	7,333.4	7,996.6	8,673.0	9,316.0	9,915.3	10,512.9	11,109.1

* \$50 million or less

¹ A decrease in the Treasury operating cash balance or compensating balances (which are assets) is a means of financing the deficit and therefore has a positive sign. An increase in checks outstanding (which is a liability) is also a means of financing the deficit and therefore also has a positive sign.

² Compensating balances were non-interest bearing Treasury bank deposits that Treasury mainly used to compensate banks for collecting tax and non-tax receipts under financial agency agreements. Most of the balances at the end of 2003 were required to be invested in nonmarketable Depository Compensation Securities issued by the Treasury; the rest of the balances, and the entire amount in previous years, was invested in the way that the banks decided. The use of compensating balances was discontinued in 2004 and the amounts were drawn down to zero.

³ Besides checks outstanding, includes accrued interest payable on Treasury debt, miscellaneous liability accounts, allocations of special drawing rights; and, as an offset, cash and monetary assets (other than the Treasury operating cash balance and compensating balances), miscellaneous asset accounts, and profit on the sale of gold.

⁴ Consists of unamortized discount (less premium) on public issues of Treasury notes and bonds (other than zero-coupon bonds) and unrealized discount on Government account series securities. The unrealized discount is for Government account series securities.

⁶ The statutory debt limit is \$8,184 billion.

These Treasury actions were used for a little more than one month. Congress passed a bill raising the debt limit to \$8,184 billion on November 18, and the President signed the bill on November 19. Treasury promptly invested the G-fund and Civil Service Retirement and Disability fund fully and restored the forgone interest as prescribed by law. The securities whose auctions were postponed were issued on time, except for one issue of 4-week bills that was delayed a few days, and subscriptions to the state and local government series were accepted again.

Methods of changing the debt limit.—The statutory limit is usually changed by normal legislative procedures. Under the rules adopted by the House of Representatives, it can also be changed as a consequence

of the annual Congressional budget resolution, which is not itself a law. The budget resolution includes a provision specifying the appropriate level of the debt subject to limit at the end of each fiscal year. The rule provides that, when the budget resolution is adopted by both Houses of the Congress, the vote in the House of Representatives is deemed to have been a vote in favor of a joint resolution setting the statutory limit at the level specified in the budget resolution. The joint resolution is transmitted to the Senate for further action, where it may be amended to change the debt limit provision or in any other way. If it passes both Houses of the Congress, it is sent to the President for his signature.

The House of Representatives first adopted this rule for 1980, although it was not included in the rules for several years before 2003.

Federal funds financing and the change in debt subject to limit.—The change in debt held by the public, as shown in table 16–2, is determined primarily by the total Government deficit or surplus. The debt subject to limit, however, includes not only debt held by the public but also debt held by Government accounts. The change in debt subject to limit is therefore determined both by the factors that determine the total Government deficit or surplus and by the factors that determine the change in debt held by Government accounts. The effect of debt held by Government accounts on the total debt subject to limit is brought out sharply in the second part of table 16–2. The change in debt held by Government accounts is a large proportion of the change in total debt subject to limit each year and accounts for half of the estimated total increase from 2005 through 2010.

The budget is composed of two groups of funds, Federal funds and trust funds. The Federal funds, in the main, are derived from tax receipts and borrowing and are used for the general purposes of the Government. The trust funds, on the other hand, are financed by taxes or other receipts earmarked by law for specified purposes, such as paying Social Security benefits or making grants to state governments for highway construction.¹²

A Federal funds deficit must generally be financed by borrowing, which can be done either by selling securities to the public or by issuing securities to Government accounts that are not within the Federal funds group. Federal funds borrowing consists almost entirely of Treasury securities that are subject to the statutory debt limit. Very little debt subject to statutory limit has been issued for reasons except to finance the Federal funds deficit. The change in debt subject to limit is therefore determined primarily by the Federal funds deficit, which is equal to the difference between the total Government surplus and the trust fund surplus. Trust fund surpluses are almost entirely invested in securities subject to the debt limit, and trust funds hold most of the debt held by Government accounts.

Table 16–5 derives the change in debt subject to limit. In 2004 the Federal funds deficit was \$605 billion, and other factors reduced the requirement to borrow subject to limit by \$9 billion. The largest of these factors was ending the use of compensating balances, which allowed borrowing to be reduced by \$22 billion. The net financing disbursements of the guaranteed loan financing accounts reduced the financing requirements by \$9 billion, as explained in an earlier section. As an offset, special funds and revolving funds, which are part of the Federal funds group, invested \$25 billion in Treasury securities. The largest single investment was \$17 billion for the uniformed services retiree health care fund. As a net result of all these factors, debt

subject to limit increased by \$596 billion, while debt held by the public increased by \$382 billion.

The debt subject to limit is estimated to increase to \$7,997 billion by the end of 2005, which begins to approach the present statutory debt limit of \$8,184 billion. This is caused by a rise in the Federal funds deficit, supplemented by the other factors shown in table 16–5. Some of these factors are large, especially the investment by Federal special and revolving funds and in particular the special fund for uniformed services retiree health care. As a result, while debt held by the public increases by \$1,916 billion from the end of 2004 through 2010, debt subject to limit increases by \$3,776 billion.

Debt Held by Foreign Residents

During most of American history, the Federal debt was held almost entirely by individuals and institutions within the United States. In the late 1960s, as shown in table 16–6, foreign holdings were just over \$10.0 billion, less than 5 percent of the total Federal debt held by the public.

Foreign holdings began to grow significantly starting in 1970. This increase has been almost entirely due to decisions by foreign central banks, corporations, and individuals, rather than the direct marketing of these securities to foreign residents. At the end of 2004 foreign holdings of Treasury debt were \$1,886 billion, which was 44 percent of the total debt held by the public.¹³ Foreign central banks owned 64 percent of the Federal debt held by foreign residents; private investors owned nearly all the rest. The percentage held by foreign central banks is up from 56 percent at the end of 2003, because they bought much greater quantities of Treasury securities than did private investors. All the Federal debt held by foreign residents is denominated in dollars.

Although the amount of Federal debt held by foreign residents has grown greatly over this period, the proportion that foreign residents own, after increasing abruptly in the very early 1970s, remained about 15–20 percent until the mid-1990s. During 1995–97, however, foreign holdings increased on average by around \$200 billion each year, considerably more than total Federal borrowing from the public.¹⁴ As a result, the Federal debt held by individuals and institutions within the United States decreased in absolute amount during those years, despite further Federal borrowing, and the percentage of Federal debt held by foreign residents grew from 19 percent at the end of 1994 to 32 percent at the end of 1997. In the next few years the change in foreign debt holdings was much smaller. However, the Federal debt held by foreign residents increased by \$255 billion in 2003 and by \$430 billion in 2004.

¹³The debt calculated by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce, is different, though similar in size, because of a different method of valuing the securities.

¹⁴Table 16–6 does not show the increase in foreign holdings in 1995 because of a benchmark revision. As explained in footnote 3 to that table, a benchmark revision reduced the estimated holdings as of December 1994 (by \$47.9 billion). Because estimates of foreign holdings were not revised retroactively, the increase in 1995 was more than the difference between the beginning and end of year amounts as now calculated. Before the benchmark revision, the increase was estimated to be \$192.6 billion.

¹²For further discussion of the trust funds and Federal funds groups, see chapter 22, "Trust Funds and Federal Funds."

The percentage of Federal debt held by foreign residents increased from 34 percent to 44 percent during these two years. In 2004, the increase in foreign holdings was more than the total Federal borrowing from the public.

Table 16-6. FOREIGN HOLDINGS OF FEDERAL DEBT

(Dollar amounts in billions)

Fiscal Year	Debt held by the public			Borrowing from the public	
	Total	Foreign ¹	Percentage foreign	Total ²	Foreign ¹
1965	260.8	12.3	4.7	3.9	0.3
1966	263.7	11.6	4.4	2.9	-0.7
1967	266.6	11.4	4.3	2.9	-0.2
1968	289.5	10.7	3.7	22.9	-0.7
1969	278.1	10.3	3.7	-11.4	-0.4
1970	283.2	14.0	5.0	5.1	3.8
1971	303.0	31.8	10.5	19.8	17.8
1972	322.4	49.2	15.2	19.3	17.3
1973	340.9	59.4	17.4	18.5	10.3
1974	343.7	56.8	16.5	2.8	-2.6
1975	394.7	66.0	16.7	51.0	9.2
1976	477.4	69.8	14.6	82.7	3.8
TQ	495.5	74.6	15.1	18.1	4.9
1977	549.1	95.5	17.4	53.6	20.9
1978	607.1	121.0	19.9	58.0	25.4
1979 ³	640.3	120.3	18.8	33.2	N/A
1980	711.9	121.7	17.1	71.6	1.4
1981	789.4	130.7	16.6	77.5	9.0
1982	924.6	140.6	15.2	135.2	9.9
1983	1,137.3	160.1	14.1	212.7	19.5
1984	1,307.0	175.5	13.4	169.7	15.4
1985 ³	1,507.3	222.9	14.8	200.3	N/A
1986	1,740.6	265.5	15.3	233.4	42.7
1987	1,889.8	279.5	14.8	149.1	14.0
1988	2,051.6	345.9	16.9	161.9	66.4
1989	2,190.7	394.9	18.0	139.1	49.0
1990 ³	2,411.6	440.3	18.3	220.8	N/A
1991	2,689.0	477.3	17.7	277.4	37.0
1992	2,999.7	535.2	17.8	310.7	57.9
1993	3,248.4	591.3	18.2	248.7	56.1
1994	3,433.1	655.8	19.1	184.7	64.5
1995 ³	3,604.4	800.4	22.2	171.3	N/A
1996	3,734.1	978.1	26.2	129.7	177.7
1997	3,772.3	1,218.2	32.3	38.3	240.0
1998	3,721.1	1,216.9	32.7	-51.2	-1.2
1999 ³	3,632.4	1,281.4	35.3	-88.7	N/A
2000 ³	3,409.8	1,057.9	31.0	-222.6	N/A
2001	3,319.6	1,005.5	30.3	-90.2	-52.3
2002 ³	3,540.4	1,200.8	33.9	220.8	N/A
2003	3,913.4	1,455.8	37.2	373.0	255.0
2004	4,295.5	1,885.9	43.9	382.1	430.2

N/A=Not available.

¹ Estimated by Treasury Department. These estimates exclude agency debt, the holdings of which are believed to be small. The data on foreign holdings are recorded by methods that are not fully comparable with the data on debt held by the public. Projections of foreign holdings are not available.

² Borrowing from the public is defined as equal to the change in debt held by the public from the beginning of the year to the end, except to the extent that the amount of debt is changed by reclassification.

³ Benchmark revisions reduced the estimated foreign holdings of the Federal debt as of December 1978; increased the estimated foreign holdings as of December 1984 and December 1989; reduced the estimated holdings as of December 1994 and March 2000; and increased the estimated holdings as of June 2002. A conceptual revision increased the estimated foreign holdings as of 1999. The change in debt that is recorded as held by foreign residents in these fiscal years reflects the benchmark or conceptual revisions as well as the net purchases of Federal securities. Borrowing is therefore not shown in these years.

Foreign holdings of Federal debt are around 15 percent of the foreign-owned assets in the United States, depending on the method of measuring total assets. The foreign purchases of Federal debt securities do not

measure the full impact of the capital inflow from abroad on the market for Federal debt securities. The capital inflow supplies additional funds to the credit market generally, and thus affects the market for Federal debt. For example, the capital inflow includes deposits in U.S. financial intermediaries that themselves buy Federal debt.

Federal, Federally Guaranteed, and Other Federally Assisted Borrowing

The effect of the Government on borrowing in the credit market arises not only from its own borrowing to finance Federal operations but also from its assistance to certain borrowing by the public. The Government guarantees borrowing by private and other non-

Federal lenders, which is another term for guaranteed lending. In addition to its guarantees, it has established private corporations called "Government-sponsored enterprises," or GSEs, to provide financial intermediation for specified public purposes; it exempts the interest on most State and local government debt from income tax; it permits mortgage interest to be deducted in calculating taxable income; and it insures the deposits of banks and thrift institutions, which themselves make loans.

Federal credit programs and other forms of assistance are discussed in chapter 7 of this volume, "Credit and Insurance." Detailed data are presented in tables at the end of that chapter.

