

INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR SUPPRESSION OF
FINANCING TERRORISM

MESSAGE

FROM

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

TRANSMITTING

INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF THE FI-
NANCING OF TERRORISM, ADOPTED BY THE UNITED NATIONS
GENERAL ASSEMBLY ON DECEMBER 9, 1999, AND SIGNED ON
BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ON JANUARY 10,
2000



OCTOBER 12, 2000.—Convention was read the first time, and together
with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Foreign
Relations and ordered to be printed for the use of the Senate.

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

THE WHITE HOUSE, *October 12, 2000.*

To the Senate of the United States:

With a view to receiving the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification, I transmit herewith the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on December 9, 1999, and signed on behalf of the United States of America on January 10, 2000. The report of the Department of State with respect to the Convention is also transmitted for the information of the Senate.

In recent years, the United States has increasingly focused world attention on the importance of combating terrorist financing as a means of choking off the resources that fuel international terrorism. While international terrorists do not generally seek financial gain as an end, they actively solicit and raise money and other resources to attract and retain adherents and to support their presence and activities both in the United States and abroad. The present Convention is aimed at cutting off the sustenance that these groups need to operate. This Convention provides, for the first time, an obligation that States Parties criminalize such conduct and establishes an international legal framework for cooperation among States Parties directed toward prevention of such financing and ensuring the prosecution and punishment of offenders, wherever found.

Article 2 of the Convention states that any person commits an offense within the meaning of the Convention "if that person by any means, directly or indirectly, unlawfully and willfully, provides or collects funds with the intention that they should be used or in the knowledge that they are to be used, in full or in part, in order to carry out" either of two categories of terrorist acts defined in the Convention. The first category includes any act that constitutes an offense within the scope of and as defined in one of the counterterrorism treaties listed in the Annex to the Convention. The second category encompasses any other act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to a civilian, or to any other person not taking an active part in hostilities in a situation of armed conflict, when the purpose of the act, by its nature or context, is to intimidate a population, or to compel a government or an international organization to do or to abstain from doing any act.

The Convention imposes binding legal obligations upon States Parties either to submit for prosecution or to extradite any person within their jurisdiction who commits an offense as defined in Article 2 of the Convention, attempts to commit such an act, participates as an accomplice, organizes or directs others to commit such

an offense, or in any other way contributes to the commission of an offense by a group of persons acting with a common purpose. A State Party is subject to these obligations without regard to the place where the alleged act covered by Article 2 took place.

States Parties to the Convention will also be obligated to provide one another legal assistance in investigations or criminal or extradition proceedings brought in respect of the offenses set forth in Article 2.

Legislation necessary to implement the Convention will be submitted to the Congress separately.

This Convention is a critical new weapon in the campaign against the scourge of international terrorism. I hope that all countries will become Parties to this Convention at the earliest possible time. I recommend, therefore, that the Senate give early and favorable consideration to this Convention, subject to the understanding, declaration and reservation that are described in the accompanying report of the Department of State.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

LETTER OF SUBMITTAL

DEPARTMENT OF STATE,
Washington, October 3, 2000.

The PRESIDENT,
The White House.

THE PRESIDENT: I have the honor to submit to you, with a view to its transmission to the Senate for advice and consent to ratification, subject to the understandings, declaration and reservation set forth below, the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on December 9, 1999, and signed on behalf of the United States of America on January 10, 2000 (the "Convention").

Pursuant to a French-led Group of Eight ("G-8") initiative, with strong support and input from the United States, the United Nations General Assembly decided in Resolution 53/108 of a 8 December 1998 that the Ad Hoc Committee established by General Assembly Resolution 51/210 of 17 December 1996 should elaborate an international convention for the suppression of terrorist financing to supplement the existing counterterrorism conventions. Basing its work on a draft text prepared by France, the United States, and other G-8 members, the Ad Hoc Committee successfully negotiated the text during two drafting sessions in New York in March and September-October 1999, and recommended it to the Sixth (Legal) Committee for consideration. On November 18, 1999, the Sixth Committee, by consensus, recommended the draft Convention to the General Assembly for adoption. The Convention was adopted by the General Assembly, by consensus, on December 9, 1999.

The Convention fills an important gap in international law by expanding the legal framework for international cooperation in the investigation, prosecution, and extradition of persons who engage in the financing of terrorism. By filling this gap, the Convention advances a critical counterterrorism priority of the United States which was articulated in your September 21, 1998, address to the United Nations General Assembly when you called on all states to enhance their efforts to combat terrorist financing.

The Convention provides for States Parties to exercise criminal jurisdiction over the unlawful and willful provision or collection of funds with the intention that they be used or in the knowledge that they are to be used in order to carry out certain terrorist acts as defined in the Convention. In creating such a legal regime, the Convention follows the precedents set by numerous terrorism conventions to which the United States is already a party, including the 1971 Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Civil Aviation, the 1973 Convention on the Prevention

and Punishment of Crimes Against Internationally Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents, the 1979 International Convention Against the Taking of Hostages, and the 1988 Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Maritime Navigation, with Related Protocol. Like these earlier Conventions, this new Convention requires States Parties to criminalize under their domestic laws certain types of criminal offenses, and also requires parties to extradite or submit for prosecution persons accused of committing or aiding in the commission of such offenses.

Article 1 and 2 together serve to define the offenses covered by the Convention, with Article 1 incorporating several definitions of phrases used in Article 2. Article 1 includes a definition of “funds,” drawn from the definition of “property” in the 1988 Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, to which the United States is a party. Specifically, the definition of “funds” encompasses within its very broad scope “assets of every kind, whether tangible or intangible, movable or immovable, however acquired, and legal documents or instruments in any form, including electronic or digital, evidencing title to, or interest in, such assets. * * *” The definition was understood by all delegations to include property, and a list of illustrative examples incorporated at the end of the Article 1.1 definition further conveys its breadth.

Paragraph 1 of Article 2 states that any person commits an offense within the meaning of the Convention “if that person by any means, directly or indirectly, unlawfully and willfully, provides or collects funds with the intention that they should be used or in the knowledge that they are to be used, in full or in part, in order to carry out” either of two categories of terrorist acts. The first category includes any act which constitutes an offense within the scope of and as defined in one of the treaties listed in the annex to the Convention. The second category is any other act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to a civilian, or to any other person not taking an active part in hostilities in a situation of armed conflict, when the purpose of such act, by its nature or context, is to intimidate a population, or to compel a government or an international organization to do or to abstain from doing any act.

With respect to the first category, the Convention annex lists nine counterterrorism conventions, ranging from the 1970 Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft to the 1997 International Convention for the Suppression of Terrorist Bombings (“Terrorist Bombings Convention”). The United States is a party to the first eight of the listed conventions and has signed and transmitted to the Senate for its advice and consent to ratification the Terrorist Bombings Convention (Treaty Document 106–6). Paragraph 2 of Article 2 further provides that upon depositing its instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession to the Convention, a state which is not a party to one of the conventions listed in the annex may declare that in the application of the Terrorist Financing Convention to that State Party, the convention at issue shall be deemed not to be included in the annex. Article 2.2(a) further provides that this declaration ceases to have effect as soon as that state becomes a party to the relevant convention,

which fact must be notified to the depository. The United States should make such a declaration with respect to the Terrorist Bombings Convention if it is not a party to that Convention at the time of the deposit of its instrument of ratification with respect to the Terrorist Financing Convention. I therefore recommend that, in the event the United States is not a party to the Terrorist Bombings Convention at the time the United States deposits its instrument of ratification of the present Convention, that the following declaration to Article 2.2 be included in the United States instrument of ratification of the Convention:

Pursuant to Article 2.2(a) of the Convention, the United States of America declares that, in the application of this Convention to the United States, the International Convention for the Suppression of Terrorist Bombings shall be deemed not to be included in the annex referred to in paragraph 1, subparagraph (a).

In the event the United States is a party to the Terrorist Bombings Convention at the time it deposits its instrument of ratification to the Convention, such a declaration would not be deposited.

The second category of terrorist acts under Article 2.1(b) incorporates language specifically suggested by the United States. The intent, which was broadly shared by other delegations, was to define the terrorist activity meant to be addressed by the Convention in a way that excluded the legitimate actions of the military forces of states by focusing on the intentional targeting of civilians as such. In order to ensure that the Convention encompassed the financing of attacks on off-duty military personnel, as in the cases of the 1996 Al Khobar Towers bombings in Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, and the 1983 Beirut barracks bombings, the provision was expanded to also apply to attacks on "any other person not taking an active part in the hostilities in a situation of armed conflict." The qualifier requiring that the purpose of the act be to "intimidate a population, or to compel a Government" was intended and understood to eliminate mere "ordinary crime" from the scope of the Convention.

Given the importance of protecting the flexibility of the United States to conduct legitimate activities against all lawful targets and consistent with the view taken by the United States in prior counterterrorism conventions as to their nonapplicability to the activities of state military forces in the exercise of their official duties, I recommend an Understanding to make it clear that nothing in the present Convention precludes States Parties from conducting legitimate activities against all lawful targets in accordance with the law of armed conflict. Further, because suspected offenders may seek to claim the benefit of the "armed conflict" exception in Article 2.1(b) to avoid extradition or prosecution under the Convention, it would be useful for the United States to articulate an Understanding regarding the scope of this exception. In this respect, an appropriate source of authority would be the widely accepted provision in Paragraph 2 of Article 1 of Protocol II Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August, 1949, concluded at Geneva on June 10, 1977, which President Reagan transmitted to the Senate on January 29, 1987, for advice and consent to ratification

(Treaty Doc. 100–2). Specifically, protocol II states that “armed conflict” does not include “internal disturbances and tensions, such as riots, isolated and sporadic acts of violence and other acts of a similar nature.” I therefore recommend that the following understanding be included in the United States instrument of ratification of the Convention:

The United States of America understands that nothing in the present Convention precludes States Parties from conducting legitimate activities against all lawful targets in accordance with the law of armed conflict. The United States further understands that the term “armed conflict” in Article 2.1(b) does not include internal disturbances and tensions, such as riots, isolated and sporadic acts of violence and other acts of a similar nature.

Paragraph 3 of Article 2 provides that for an act to constitute an offense under the Convention, it shall not be necessary that the funds were actually used to carry out one of the two categories of offenses referred to in paragraph 1(a) or (b). Paragraph 4 of Article 2 provides a person also commits an offense if that person attempts to commit an offense as set forth in paragraph 1. Paragraph 5 provides further that any person commits an offense if that person participates as an accomplice in an offense under paragraphs 1 or 4, organizes or directs others to commit such an offense, or in any other way intentionally contributes to the commission of one or more such offenses by a group of persons acting with a common purpose. These ancillary offenses in paragraph 3 are more comprehensive than those included in the earlier counterterrorism conventions to which the United States is a party, and it is anticipated that they will strengthen the ability of the international community to investigate, prosecute and extradite those who conspire or otherwise contribute to the commission of offenses defined in the Convention.

Article 3 makes most of the Convention’s provisions inapplicable to acts of terrorist financing that lack an international aspect. In generally limiting its scope of application to those cases involving elements from more than one state, the Convention follows the precedent set by the prior counterterrorism conventions to which the United States is a party such as the 1971 Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Civil Aviation and the 1979 Convention Against the Taking of Hostages.

Article 4 requires States Parties to make the offenses enumerated in Article 2 criminal offenses punishable under their domestic laws by appropriate penalties that take into account their grave nature.

Article 5 provides that States Parties, in accordance with their domestic legal principles, shall take the necessary measures to enable a legal entity located in their territory or organized under their laws to be held liable when a person responsible for the management or control of that legal entity has, in that capacity, committed an offense set forth in Article 2. Such liability may be criminal, civil, or administrative and is without prejudice to the criminal liability of individuals having committed the offenses. This provision is particularly important in the context of terrorist financing

where banks and other financial institutions may be intentionally misused by their senior officers to facilitate acts of terrorist financing.

Article 6 requires States Parties to adopt such measures as may be necessary to ensure that criminal acts within the scope of the Convention are not justifiable by considerations of a political, philosophical, ideological, racial, ethnic, religious or other similar nature.

Under Article 7, each State Party must establish its jurisdiction over the offenses set forth in Article 2 when the offense is committed: (1) in its territory; (2) on board a vessel flying its flag or an aircraft registered under its laws at the time the offense is committed; or (3) by a national of that State. Each State Party has discretion to establish jurisdiction over offenses set forth in Article 2 where the offense was directed towards or resulted in the carrying out of one of the two categories of terrorist acts referred to in Article 2.1(a) or (b): in the territory of that State; against national of that State; against a State or government facility of that State abroad, including diplomatic or consular premises of that State; or committed in an attempt to compel that State to do or abstain from doing any act. Each State Party also has the discretion to establish jurisdiction over offenses set forth in Article 2 where the offense is committed either by a stateless person who has his or her habitual residence in the territory of that State or on board an aircraft which is operated by the Government of that State. Upon becoming a party to the Convention, a State must notify the United Nations Secretary-General of the jurisdiction it has established under its domestic law in accordance with paragraph 2. Moreover, any changes to this jurisdiction must be immediately notified to the Secretary-General.

Thus, under the terms of Article 7, States Parties may enact a broad array of jurisdictional bases over the offenses enumerated in Article 2. Of significant interest and value to the United States, which has many government facilities outside of its territory, is the Convention's recognition of jurisdiction over the financing of terrorist attacks against a State or government facility of that State abroad, including an embassy or consular premises of that State. This would give the United States universally recognized jurisdiction based on this Convention, for example, to prosecute in U.S. courts the financiers of attacks on all U.S. Government facilities abroad, including diplomatic and consular premises such as those attacked in 1998 in Kenya and Tanzania, as well as U.S. military installations such as those attacked in the 1996 Al-Khobar Towers bombing in Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. Also of significant interest and value to the United States is the provision in Article 7 providing that States Parties may criminalize conduct where the offense being financed is committed in an attempt to compel that State to do or abstain from doing any act. This provides jurisdiction for offenses under this Convention where terrorists seek to coerce State action, even where a national or facility of that State is not the target of the attack.

In addition to the bases for jurisdiction set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 7, paragraph 4 of Article 7 requires jurisdiction to be established by a State Party over the offenses set forth in Ar-

ticle 2 where the alleged offender is present in its territory and is not extradited to any of the State Parties that have established their jurisdiction in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2. In the event that more than one State Party claims jurisdiction over offenses set forth in Article 2, the Convention provides that they must strive to coordinate their actions appropriately. The Convention also provides that without prejudice to the norms of general international law, it does not exclude the exercise of any criminal jurisdiction established by a State Party in accordance with its domestic law.

Article 8 provides that each State Party shall take appropriate measures, in accordance with its domestic legal principles, to identify, detect and freeze, or seize any funds used or allocated for the purpose of committing the offenses set forth in Article 2, as well as the proceeds derived from such offenses, for purposes of possible forfeiture. Further, each State Party concerned may consider concluding agreements on the sharing with other States Parties, on a regular or case-by-case basis, of the funds derived from the forfeitures referred to in this Article. The Article also provides that its provisions are to be implemented without prejudice to the rights of third parties acting in good faith.

Article 9 includes certain provisions relating to offenders or alleged offenders detained for the purpose of extradition or prosecution. This article, like the Convention as a whole as well as other similar counterterrorism conventions, is not intended to create individual rights of action.

In a provision of crucial importance for the Convention, Paragraph 1 of Article 10 declares that a State Party which does not extradite an alleged offender found in its territory shall “without exception whatsoever and whether or not the offense was committed in its territory” submit the case to its competent authorities for the purpose of prosecution, through proceedings in accordance with the laws of that State. Those authorities are obligated to take their decision in the same manner as in the case of any other offense of a grave nature under the law of that State.

In an innovation over the prior counterterrorism conventions to which the United States is a party, this Convention includes a provision in paragraph 2 of Article 10 (first proposed by the United States in the Terrorist Bombings Convention) to the effect that the obligation in paragraph 1 to extradite or submit for prosecution can be discharged by the temporary transfer of nationals for trial by those States Parties that could not otherwise extradite their nationals, provided both the Requesting and Requested States agree. This provision on temporary transfer of nationals for trial is a useful recognition of this practice by the international community in a binding multilateral legal instrument.

Paragraph 1 of Article 11 amends existing extradition treaties to include the offenses defined in Article 2 as extraditable offenses and paragraph 3 provides that they shall be extraditable offenses between States Parties which do not make extradite conditional on an extradition treaty.

Article 12 establishes general mutual legal assistance obligations between States Parties in connection with investigations or criminal or extradition proceedings brought in respect of the offenses in

Article 2. In an innovation over prior counterterrorism conventions, the Convention in paragraph 2 provides that States Parties may not refuse a request for mutual legal assistance on the ground of bank secrecy.

Article 13 in a related innovation over prior counterterrorism conventions provides that none of the offenses set forth in Article 2 shall be regarded, for the purposes of extradition or mutual legal assistance, as a fiscal offense and, accordingly, States Parties may not refuse a request for such assistance on the sole ground that it concerns a fiscal offense.

Article 14 provides that none of the offenses set forth in Article 2 shall be regarded, for the purposes of extradition or mutual legal assistance, as a political offense or as an offense connected with a political offense, or as an offense inspired by political motives. Accordingly, a request for extradition or mutual legal assistance may not be refused solely on such grounds. This Article provides a useful narrowing of the political offense exception in such cases. In many modern United States bilateral extradition treaties there are already provisions which bar application of the political offense exception to extradition with respect to offenses covered under multilateral conventions to which "prosecute or extradite" obligations apply. The 1998 Terrorist Bombings Convention was the first U.N. counterterrorism instrument to similarly limit the political offense exception. This provision builds on this trend by making the restriction on the invocation of the political offense exception for requests based on offenses under Article 2 a matter of general application rather than dependent on the terms of individual bilateral law enforcement treaties between the States Parties.

Article 15 provides that nothing in the Convention shall be interpreted as imposing an obligation to extradite or to afford mutual legal assistance if the requested State Party has substantial grounds for believing that the request for extradition for offenses set forth in Article 2 or for mutual legal assistance with respect to such offenses has been made for the purpose of prosecuting or punishing a person on account of that person's race, religion, nationality, ethnic origin or political opinion or that compliance with the request would cause prejudice to that person's position for any of these reasons. This Article is similar to provisions already included in a number of U.N. counterterrorism treaties.

Article 16 provides and establishes various conditions for the temporary transfer to one State Party, for purposes of assistance under the Convention, of a person in custody in another State Party, provided that the person in question consents and the competent authorities of both States Parties agree. This provision was also included at the suggestion of the United States in the Terrorist Bombings Convention and is similar to provisions found in virtually all of the bilateral mutual legal assistance treaties to which the United States is a party.

Article 17 discusses the rights of persons taken into custody or regarding whom any other measures are taken or proceedings are carried out pursuant to this Convention.

Article 18 states that States Parties shall cooperate in the prevention of offenses set forth in Article 2 by taking all practicable measures to prevent and counter preparations in their respective

territories for the commission of those offenses within or outside their territories. The Article provides that States parties shall consider, *inter alia* a series of financial including efforts by financial institutions to identify unusual or suspicious transactions and to report transactions suspected of stemming from criminal activity.

Article 19 contains a requirement to notify the United Nations Secretary-General of the final outcome of criminal proceedings relating to alleged offenders under the Convention. Article 20 states that States Parties shall carry out their obligations under the Convention in a manner consistent with the principles of sovereign equality and territorial integrity of states and that of non intervention in the domestic affairs of other states. Article 21 provides that nothing in the Convention shall affect other rights, obligations and responsibilities of states and individuals under international law.

Article 22 provides that nothing in the Convention entitles a State Party to undertake in the territory of another State Party the exercise of jurisdiction and performance of functions which are exclusively reserved for the authorities of that other State Party by its domestic law.

Article 23 relates to the first category of offenses described in Article 2.1(a). It establishes a mechanism for expanding the scope of the Convention by adding new conventions to the Annex. The Annex may be amended by the addition of relevant treaties that: are open to participation by all States; have entered into force; and have been ratified, accepted, approved or acceded to by at least 22 States Parties to the Convention. Any State Party may propose such an amendment, and each amendment, shall be deemed adopted unless one third of the States Parties object to it in writing not later than 180 days after its circulation. Adopted amendments to the Annex shall enter into force 30 days after the deposit of the twenty-second instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval of such amendment for all those States Parties that have deposited such an instrument. Thereafter, the amendment shall enter into force for any other State Party on the thirtieth day after the deposit of its own instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval. This mechanism ensures both that the scope of the Convention can evolve to encompass the financing of additional terrorist activity, as may be agreed by the international community, and that the scope of the present Convention is not expanded with respect to a particular State Party without that State party's explicit agreement.

Under this provision, the United States expects to deposit an instrument of acceptance of such an amendment if the treaty that is the subject of the amendment has entered into force for the United States with the advice and consent of the Senate. Otherwise, any amendment that the United States proposes to accept would be submitted to the Senate for its advice and consent.

Article 24.1 provides that disputes between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention that cannot be settled through negotiation within a reasonable time shall be submitted at the request of one of them to ad hoc arbitration, or, failing agreement on the organization of such arbitration, to the International Court of Justice. Article 24.2 provides that a State may make a declaration excluding this dispute-resolution obligation at the time of signature, ratification, acceptance, ap-

proval or accession. In October 1985, the United States withdrew its declaration under Article 36 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice accepting the compulsory jurisdiction of the Court. Consistent with that discussion, I recommend that the following reservation to Article 24.1 be included in the United States instrument of ratification:

Pursuant to Article 24.2 of the Convention, the United States of America declares that it does not consider itself bound by Article 24.1, but reserves the right specifically to agree in a particular case to follow the arbitration procedure set forth in the Convention or any other procedure for arbitration.

This reservation would allow the United States to agree to an adjudication by a chamber of the Court in a particular case, if that were deemed desirable.

As detailed in Article 26, the Convention will enter into force on the thirtieth day following the date of deposit of the twenty-second instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession. Pursuant to Article 27, a State Party to the Convention may denounce it by written notice to the United Nations Secretary-General. Denunciation will take effect one year from the date of receipt of the notification by the United States Secretary-General.

Recommended legislation necessary to implement the Convention is being prepared for separate submission to the Congress.

The Department of Justice joins in recommending that this Convention be transmitted to the Senate at an early date for its advice and consent to ratification, subject to the understanding, the declaration relating to Article 2, and the reservation to Article 24.1, previously described.

Respectfully submitted,

STROBE TALBOTT.

**INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION
FOR THE SUPPRESSION
OF THE FINANCING
OF TERRORISM**



UNITED NATIONS
1999

International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism

Preamble

The States Parties to this Convention,

Bearing in mind the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations concerning the maintenance of international peace and security and the promotion of good-neighbourliness and friendly relations and cooperation among States,

Deeply concerned about the worldwide escalation of acts of terrorism in all its forms and manifestations,

Recalling the Declaration on the Occasion of the Fiftieth Anniversary of the United Nations, contained in General Assembly resolution 50/6 of 24 October 1995,

Recalling also all the relevant General Assembly resolutions on the matter, including resolution 49/60 of 9 December 1994 and its annex on the Declaration on Measures to Eliminate International Terrorism, in which the States Members of the United Nations solemnly reaffirmed their unequivocal condemnation of all acts, methods and practices of terrorism as criminal and unjustifiable, wherever and by whomever committed, including those which jeopardize the friendly relations among States and peoples and threaten the territorial integrity and security of States,

Noting that the Declaration on Measures to Eliminate International Terrorism also encouraged States to review urgently the scope of the existing international legal provisions on the prevention, repression and elimination of terrorism in all its forms and manifestations, with the aim of ensuring that there is a comprehensive legal framework covering all aspects of the matter,

Recalling General Assembly resolution 51/210 of 17 December 1996, paragraph 3, subparagraph (f), in which the Assembly called upon all States to take steps to prevent and counteract, through appropriate domestic measures, the financing of terrorists and terrorist organizations, whether such financing is direct or indirect through organizations which also have or claim to have charitable, social or cultural goals or which are also engaged in unlawful activities such as illicit arms trafficking, drug dealing and racketeering, including the exploitation of persons for purposes of funding terrorist activities, and in particular to consider, where appropriate, adopting regulatory measures to prevent and counteract movements of funds suspected to be intended for terrorist purposes without impeding in any way the freedom of legitimate capital movements and to intensify the exchange of information concerning international movements of such funds,

Recalling also General Assembly resolution 52/165 of 15 December 1997, in which the Assembly called upon States to consider, in particular, the implementation

of the measures set out in paragraphs 3 (a) to (f) of its resolution 51/210 of 17 December 1996,

Recalling further General Assembly resolution 53/108 of 8 December 1998, in which the Assembly decided that the Ad Hoc Committee established by General Assembly resolution 51/210 of 17 December 1996 should elaborate a draft international convention for the suppression of terrorist financing to supplement related existing international instruments,

Considering that the financing of terrorism is a matter of grave concern to the international community as a whole,

Noting that the number and seriousness of acts of international terrorism depend on the financing that terrorists may obtain,

Noting also that existing multilateral legal instruments do not expressly address such financing,

Being convinced of the urgent need to enhance international cooperation among States in devising and adopting effective measures for the prevention of the financing of terrorism, as well as for its suppression through the prosecution and punishment of its perpetrators,

Have agreed as follows:

Article 1

For the purposes of this Convention:

1. "Funds" means assets of every kind, whether tangible or intangible, movable or immovable, however acquired, and legal documents or instruments in any form, including electronic or digital, evidencing title to, or interest in, such assets, including, but not limited to, bank credits, travellers cheques, bank cheques, money orders, shares, securities, bonds, drafts, letters of credit.
2. "A State or governmental facility" means any permanent or temporary facility or conveyance that is used or occupied by representatives of a State, members of Government, the legislature or the judiciary or by officials or employees of a State or any other public authority or entity or by employees or officials of an intergovernmental organization in connection with their official duties.
3. "Proceeds" means any funds derived from or obtained, directly or indirectly, through the commission of an offence set forth in article 2.

Article 2

1. Any person commits an offence within the meaning of this Convention if that person by any means, directly or indirectly, unlawfully and wilfully, provides or collects funds with the intention that they should be used or in the knowledge that they are to be used, in full or in part, in order to carry out:

(a) An act which constitutes an offence within the scope of and as defined in one of the treaties listed in the annex; or

(b) Any other act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to a civilian, or to any other person not taking an active part in the hostilities in a situation of armed conflict, when the purpose of such act, by its nature or context, is to intimidate a population, or to compel a government or an international organization to do or to abstain from doing any act.

2. (a) On depositing its instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession, a State Party which is not a party to a treaty listed in the annex may declare that, in the application of this Convention to the State Party, the treaty shall be deemed not to be included in the annex referred to in paragraph 1, subparagraph (a). The declaration shall cease to have effect as soon as the treaty enters into force for the State Party, which shall notify the depositary of this fact;

(b) When a State Party ceases to be a party to a treaty listed in the annex, it may make a declaration as provided for in this article, with respect to that treaty.

3. For an act to constitute an offence set forth in paragraph 1, it shall not be necessary that the funds were actually used to carry out an offence referred to in paragraph 1, subparagraphs (a) or (b).

4. Any person also commits an offence if that person attempts to commit an offence as set forth in paragraph 1 of this article.

5. Any person also commits an offence if that person:

(a) Participates as an accomplice in an offence as set forth in paragraph 1 or 4 of this article;

(b) Organizes or directs others to commit an offence as set forth in paragraph 1 or 4 of this article;

(c) Contributes to the commission of one or more offences as set forth in paragraphs 1 or 4 of this article by a group of persons acting with a common purpose. Such contribution shall be intentional and shall either:

(i) Be made with the aim of furthering the criminal activity or criminal purpose of the group, where such activity or purpose involves the commission of an offence as set forth in paragraph 1 of this article; or

- (ii) Be made in the knowledge of the intention of the group to commit an offence as set forth in paragraph 1 of this article.

Article 3

This Convention shall not apply where the offence is committed within a single State, the alleged offender is a national of that State and is present in the territory of that State and no other State has a basis under article 7, paragraph 1, or article 7, paragraph 2, to exercise jurisdiction, except that the provisions of articles 12 to 18 shall, as appropriate, apply in those cases.

Article 4

Each State Party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary:

- (a) To establish as criminal offences under its domestic law the offences set forth in article 2;
- (b) To make those offences punishable by appropriate penalties which take into account the grave nature of the offences.

Article 5

1. Each State Party, in accordance with its domestic legal principles, shall take the necessary measures to enable a legal entity located in its territory or organized under its laws to be held liable when a person responsible for the management or control of that legal entity has, in that capacity, committed an offence set forth in article 2. Such liability may be criminal, civil or administrative.
2. Such liability is incurred without prejudice to the criminal liability of individuals having committed the offences.
3. Each State Party shall ensure, in particular, that legal entities liable in accordance with paragraph 1 above are subject to effective, proportionate and dissuasive criminal, civil or administrative sanctions. Such sanctions may include monetary sanctions.

Article 6

Each State Party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary, including, where appropriate, domestic legislation, to ensure that criminal acts within the scope of this Convention are under no circumstances justifiable by considerations of a political, philosophical, ideological, racial, ethnic, religious or other similar nature.

Article 7

1. Each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction over the offences set forth in article 2 when:

(a) The offence is committed in the territory of that State;

(b) The offence is committed on board a vessel flying the flag of that State or an aircraft registered under the laws of that State at the time the offence is committed;

(c) The offence is committed by a national of that State.

2. A State Party may also establish its jurisdiction over any such offence when:

(a) The offence was directed towards or resulted in the carrying out of an offence referred to in article 2, paragraph 1, subparagraph (a) or (b), in the territory of or against a national of that State;

(b) The offence was directed towards or resulted in the carrying out of an offence referred to in article 2, paragraph 1, subparagraph (a) or (b), against a State or government facility of that State abroad, including diplomatic or consular premises of that State;

(c) The offence was directed towards or resulted in an offence referred to in article 2, paragraph 1, subparagraph (a) or (b), committed in an attempt to compel that State to do or abstain from doing any act;

(d) The offence is committed by a stateless person who has his or her habitual residence in the territory of that State;

(e) The offence is committed on board an aircraft which is operated by the Government of that State.

3. Upon ratifying, accepting, approving or acceding to this Convention, each State Party shall notify the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the jurisdiction it has established in accordance with paragraph 2. Should any change take place, the State Party concerned shall immediately notify the Secretary-General.

4. Each State Party shall likewise take such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction over the offences set forth in article 2 in cases where the alleged offender is present in its territory and it does not extradite that person to any of the States Parties that have established their jurisdiction in accordance with paragraphs 1 or 2.

5. When more than one State Party claims jurisdiction over the offences set forth in article 2, the relevant States Parties shall strive to coordinate their actions appropriately, in particular concerning the conditions for prosecution and the modalities for mutual legal assistance.

6. Without prejudice to the norms of general international law, this Convention does not exclude the exercise of any criminal jurisdiction established by a State Party in accordance with its domestic law.

Article 8

1. Each State Party shall take appropriate measures, in accordance with its domestic legal principles, for the identification, detection and freezing or seizure of any funds used or allocated for the purpose of committing the offences set forth in article 2 as well as the proceeds derived from such offences, for purposes of possible forfeiture.
2. Each State Party shall take appropriate measures, in accordance with its domestic legal principles, for the forfeiture of funds used or allocated for the purpose of committing the offences set forth in article 2 and the proceeds derived from such offences.
3. Each State Party concerned may give consideration to concluding agreements on the sharing with other States Parties, on a regular or case-by-case basis, of the funds derived from the forfeitures referred to in this article.
4. Each State Party shall consider establishing mechanisms whereby the funds derived from the forfeitures referred to in this article are utilized to compensate the victims of offences referred to in article 2, paragraph 1, subparagraph (a) or (b), or their families.
5. The provisions of this article shall be implemented without prejudice to the rights of third parties acting in good faith.

Article 9

1. Upon receiving information that a person who has committed or who is alleged to have committed an offence set forth in article 2 may be present in its territory, the State Party concerned shall take such measures as may be necessary under its domestic law to investigate the facts contained in the information.
2. Upon being satisfied that the circumstances so warrant, the State Party in whose territory the offender or alleged offender is present shall take the appropriate measures under its domestic law so as to ensure that person's presence for the purpose of prosecution or extradition.
3. Any person regarding whom the measures referred to in paragraph 2 are being taken shall be entitled to:
 - (a) Communicate without delay with the nearest appropriate representative of the State of which that person is a national or which is otherwise entitled to protect that person's rights or, if that person is a stateless person, the State in the territory of which that person habitually resides;

(b) Be visited by a representative of that State;

(c) Be informed of that person's rights under subparagraphs (a) and (b).

4. The rights referred to in paragraph 3 shall be exercised in conformity with the laws and regulations of the State in the territory of which the offender or alleged offender is present, subject to the provision that the said laws and regulations must enable full effect to be given to the purposes for which the rights accorded under paragraph 3 are intended.

5. The provisions of paragraphs 3 and 4 shall be without prejudice to the right of any State Party having a claim to jurisdiction in accordance with article 7, paragraph 1, subparagraph (b), or paragraph 2, subparagraph (b), to invite the International Committee of the Red Cross to communicate with and visit the alleged offender.

6. When a State Party, pursuant to the present article, has taken a person into custody, it shall immediately notify, directly or through the Secretary-General of the United Nations, the States Parties which have established jurisdiction in accordance with article 7, paragraph 1 or 2, and, if it considers it advisable, any other interested States Parties, of the fact that such person is in custody and of the circumstances which warrant that person's detention. The State which makes the investigation contemplated in paragraph 1 shall promptly inform the said States Parties of its findings and shall indicate whether it intends to exercise jurisdiction.

Article 10

1. The State Party in the territory of which the alleged offender is present shall, in cases to which article 7 applies, if it does not extradite that person, be obliged, without exception whatsoever and whether or not the offence was committed in its territory, to submit the case without undue delay to its competent authorities for the purpose of prosecution, through proceedings in accordance with the laws of that State. Those authorities shall take their decision in the same manner as in the case of any other offence of a grave nature under the law of that State.

2. Whenever a State Party is permitted under its domestic law to extradite or otherwise surrender one of its nationals only upon the condition that the person will be returned to that State to serve the sentence imposed as a result of the trial or proceeding for which the extradition or surrender of the person was sought, and this State and the State seeking the extradition of the person agree with this option and other terms they may deem appropriate, such a conditional extradition or surrender shall be sufficient to discharge the obligation set forth in paragraph 1.

Article 11

1. The offences set forth in article 2 shall be deemed to be included as extraditable offences in any extradition treaty existing between any of the States Parties before the entry into force of this Convention. States Parties undertake to include such offences

as extraditable offences in every extradition treaty to be subsequently concluded between them.

2. When a State Party which makes extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty receives a request for extradition from another State Party with which it has no extradition treaty, the requested State Party may, at its option, consider this Convention as a legal basis for extradition in respect of the offences set forth in article 2. Extradition shall be subject to the other conditions provided by the law of the requested State.

3. States Parties which do not make extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty shall recognize the offences set forth in article 2 as extraditable offences between themselves, subject to the conditions provided by the law of the requested State.

4. If necessary, the offences set forth in article 2 shall be treated, for the purposes of extradition between States Parties, as if they had been committed not only in the place in which they occurred but also in the territory of the States that have established jurisdiction in accordance with article 7, paragraphs 1 and 2.

5. The provisions of all extradition treaties and arrangements between States Parties with regard to offences set forth in article 2 shall be deemed to be modified as between States Parties to the extent that they are incompatible with this Convention.

Article 12

1. States Parties shall afford one another the greatest measure of assistance in connection with criminal investigations or criminal or extradition proceedings in respect of the offences set forth in article 2, including assistance in obtaining evidence in their possession necessary for the proceedings.

2. States Parties may not refuse a request for mutual legal assistance on the ground of bank secrecy.

3. The requesting Party shall not transmit nor use information or evidence furnished by the requested Party for investigations, prosecutions or proceedings other than those stated in the request without the prior consent of the requested Party.

4. Each State Party may give consideration to establishing mechanisms to share with other States Parties information or evidence needed to establish criminal, civil or administrative liability pursuant to article 5.

5. States Parties shall carry out their obligations under paragraphs 1 and 2 in conformity with any treaties or other arrangements on mutual legal assistance or information exchange that may exist between them. In the absence of such treaties or arrangements, States Parties shall afford one another assistance in accordance with their domestic law.

Article 13

None of the offences set forth in article 2 shall be regarded, for the purposes of extradition or mutual legal assistance, as a fiscal offence. Accordingly, States Parties may not refuse a request for extradition or for mutual legal assistance on the sole ground that it concerns a fiscal offence.

Article 14

None of the offences set forth in article 2 shall be regarded for the purposes of extradition or mutual legal assistance as a political offence or as an offence connected with a political offence or as an offence inspired by political motives. Accordingly, a request for extradition or for mutual legal assistance based on such an offence may not be refused on the sole ground that it concerns a political offence or an offence connected with a political offence or an offence inspired by political motives.

Article 15

Nothing in this Convention shall be interpreted as imposing an obligation to extradite or to afford mutual legal assistance, if the requested State Party has substantial grounds for believing that the request for extradition for offences set forth in article 2 or for mutual legal assistance with respect to such offences has been made for the purpose of prosecuting or punishing a person on account of that person's race, religion, nationality, ethnic origin or political opinion or that compliance with the request would cause prejudice to that person's position for any of these reasons.

Article 16

1. A person who is being detained or is serving a sentence in the territory of one State Party whose presence in another State Party is requested for purposes of identification, testimony or otherwise providing assistance in obtaining evidence for the investigation or prosecution of offences set forth in article 2 may be transferred if the following conditions are met:

(a) The person freely gives his or her informed consent;

(b) The competent authorities of both States agree, subject to such conditions as those States may deem appropriate.

2. For the purposes of the present article:

(a) The State to which the person is transferred shall have the authority and obligation to keep the person transferred in custody, unless otherwise requested or authorized by the State from which the person was transferred;

(b) The State to which the person is transferred shall without delay implement its obligation to return the person to the custody of the State from which the person was transferred as agreed beforehand, or as otherwise agreed, by the competent authorities of both States;

(c) The State to which the person is transferred shall not require the State from which the person was transferred to initiate extradition proceedings for the return of the person;

(d) The person transferred shall receive credit for service of the sentence being served in the State from which he or she was transferred for time spent in the custody of the State to which he or she was transferred.

3. Unless the State Party from which a person is to be transferred in accordance with the present article so agrees, that person, whatever his or her nationality, shall not be prosecuted or detained or subjected to any other restriction of his or her personal liberty in the territory of the State to which that person is transferred in respect of acts or convictions anterior to his or her departure from the territory of the State from which such person was transferred.

Article 17

Any person who is taken into custody or regarding whom any other measures are taken or proceedings are carried out pursuant to this Convention shall be guaranteed fair treatment, including enjoyment of all rights and guarantees in conformity with the law of the State in the territory of which that person is present and applicable provisions of international law, including international human rights law.

Article 18

1. States Parties shall cooperate in the prevention of the offences set forth in article 2 by taking all practicable measures, *inter alia*, by adapting their domestic legislation, if necessary, to prevent and counter preparations in their respective territories for the commission of those offences within or outside their territories, including:

(a) Measures to prohibit in their territories illegal activities of persons and organizations that knowingly encourage, instigate, organize or engage in the commission of offences set forth in article 2;

(b) Measures requiring financial institutions and other professions involved in financial transactions to utilize the most efficient measures available for the identification of their usual or occasional customers, as well as customers in whose interest accounts are opened, and to pay special attention to unusual or suspicious transactions and report transactions suspected of stemming from a criminal activity. For this purpose, States Parties shall consider:

(i) Adopting regulations prohibiting the opening of accounts the holders or beneficiaries of which are unidentified or unidentifiable, and measures to ensure that such institutions verify the identity of the real owners of such transactions;

(ii) With respect to the identification of legal entities, requiring financial institutions, when necessary, to take measures to verify the legal existence and the structure of the customer by obtaining, either from a public register or from the customer or both, proof of incorporation, including information concerning the customer's name, legal form, address, directors and provisions regulating the power to bind the entity;

(iii) Adopting regulations imposing on financial institutions the obligation to report promptly to the competent authorities all complex, unusual large transactions and unusual patterns of transactions, which have no apparent economic or obviously lawful purpose, without fear of assuming criminal or civil liability for breach of any restriction on disclosure of information if they report their suspicions in good faith;

(iv) Requiring financial institutions to maintain, for at least five years, all necessary records on transactions, both domestic or international.

2. States Parties shall further cooperate in the prevention of offences set forth in article 2 by considering:

(a) Measures for the supervision, including, for example, the licensing, of all money-transmission agencies;

(b) Feasible measures to detect or monitor the physical cross-border transportation of cash and bearer negotiable instruments, subject to strict safeguards to ensure proper use of information and without impeding in any way the freedom of capital movements.

3. States Parties shall further cooperate in the prevention of the offences set forth in article 2 by exchanging accurate and verified information in accordance with their domestic law and coordinating administrative and other measures taken, as appropriate, to prevent the commission of offences set forth in article 2, in particular by:

(a) Establishing and maintaining channels of communication between their competent agencies and services to facilitate the secure and rapid exchange of information concerning all aspects of offences set forth in article 2;

(b) Cooperating with one another in conducting inquiries, with respect to the offences set forth in article 2, concerning:

(i) The identity, whereabouts and activities of persons in respect of whom reasonable suspicion exists that they are involved in such offences;

(ii) The movement of funds relating to the commission of such offences.

4. States Parties may exchange information through the International Criminal Police Organization (Interpol).

Article 19

The State Party where the alleged offender is prosecuted shall, in accordance with its domestic law or applicable procedures, communicate the final outcome of the proceedings to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, who shall transmit the information to the other States Parties.

Article 20

The States Parties shall carry out their obligations under this Convention in a manner consistent with the principles of sovereign equality and territorial integrity of States and that of non-intervention in the domestic affairs of other States.

Article 21

Nothing in this Convention shall affect other rights, obligations and responsibilities of States and individuals under international law, in particular the purposes of the Charter of the United Nations, international humanitarian law and other relevant conventions.

Article 22

Nothing in this Convention entitles a State Party to undertake in the territory of another State Party the exercise of jurisdiction or performance of functions which are exclusively reserved for the authorities of that other State Party by its domestic law.

Article 23

1. The annex may be amended by the addition of relevant treaties that:
 - (a) Are open to the participation of all States;
 - (b) Have entered into force;
 - (c) Have been ratified, accepted, approved or acceded to by at least twenty-two States Parties to the present Convention.
2. After the entry into force of this Convention, any State Party may propose such an amendment. Any proposal for an amendment shall be communicated to the depositary in written form. The depositary shall notify proposals that meet the

requirements of paragraph 1 to all States Parties and seek their views on whether the proposed amendment should be adopted.

3. The proposed amendment shall be deemed adopted unless one third of the States Parties object to it by a written notification not later than 180 days after its circulation.

4. The adopted amendment to the annex shall enter into force 30 days after the deposit of the twenty-second instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval of such amendment for all those States Parties having deposited such an instrument. For each State Party ratifying, accepting or approving the amendment after the deposit of the twenty-second instrument, the amendment shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after deposit by such State Party of its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval.

Article 24

1. Any dispute between two or more States Parties concerning the interpretation or application of this Convention which cannot be settled through negotiation within a reasonable time shall, at the request of one of them, be submitted to arbitration. If, within six months from the date of the request for arbitration, the parties are unable to agree on the organization of the arbitration, any one of those parties may refer the dispute to the International Court of Justice, by application, in conformity with the Statute of the Court.

2. Each State may at the time of signature, ratification, acceptance or approval of this Convention or accession thereto declare that it does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1. The other States Parties shall not be bound by paragraph 1 with respect to any State Party which has made such a reservation.

3. Any State which has made a reservation in accordance with paragraph 2 may at any time withdraw that reservation by notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Article 25

1. This Convention shall be open for signature by all States from 10 January 2000 to 31 December 2001 at United Nations Headquarters in New York.

2. This Convention is subject to ratification, acceptance or approval. The instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

3. This Convention shall be open to accession by any State. The instruments of accession shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Article 26

1. This Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day following the date of the deposit of the twenty-second instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.
2. For each State ratifying, accepting, approving or acceding to the Convention after the deposit of the twenty-second instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession, the Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after deposit by such State of its instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.

Article 27

1. Any State Party may denounce this Convention by written notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations.
2. Denunciation shall take effect one year following the date on which notification is received by the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Article 28

The original of this Convention, of which the Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations who shall send certified copies thereof to all States.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned, being duly authorized thereto by their respective Governments, have signed this Convention, opened for signature at United Nations Headquarters in New York on 10 January 2000.

Annex

1. Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft, done at The Hague on 16 December 1970.
2. Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Civil Aviation, done at Montreal on 23 September 1971.
3. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Internationally Protected Persons, including Diplomatic Agents, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 14 December 1973.
4. International Convention against the Taking of Hostages, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 17 December 1979.
5. Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material, adopted at Vienna on 3 March 1980.
6. Protocol for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts of Violence at Airports Serving International Civil Aviation, supplementary to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Civil Aviation, done at Montreal on 24 February 1988.
7. Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime Navigation, done at Rome on 10 March 1988.
8. Protocol for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf, done at Rome on 10 March 1988.
9. International Convention for the Suppression of Terrorist Bombings, adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 15 December 1997.

