Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, let me tell you how Republicans write Medicare legislation.

When wealthy doctors are dissatisfied with how the Medicare bill will affect them—they negotiate a back door deal with Republicans and suddenly—they get a deal worth millions and do not have to share the burden of the $270 billion cut with seniors.

When HMO's want to make more money—they make a back door deal with Republicans and suddenly Medicare legislation includes provisions that will force thousands of seniors into managed care plans.

Yet, when seniors wanted to come out in the open to discuss their concerns about Medicare—they got no back door deals, they got arrested.

Mr. Speaker, this is no way to write policies. Medicare reform should help people get better—not worse.

□ 1015

CONGRESS MUST TAKE ACTION TO PRESERVE MEDICARE

(Mr. METCALF asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. METCALF. Mr. Speaker, Medicare will be bankrupt by 2002. Faced with this crisis, of course, we will take action to protect and preserve Medicare. But keep in mind the far more serious problem. Unless we balance the budget, the United States will go bankrupt.

Look at the facts. We owe $5 trillion in debt. Interest will soon pass defense as the largest expenditure. It does not count hundreds of billions of dollars borrowed from Social Security. It does not count a couple of trillion more in liability from pensions and retirements.

The overspending of previous Congresses has been destroying the American dream for our children. If we really care for the future of our children, we will balance the budget now.

GET TOUGH ON MEDICARE FRAUD

(Mr. DOGGETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, for those who would rip off the American taxpayer through Medicare fraud, the Republicans have an answer. The answer, through their pay more, get less plan, is an unusual solution: Let us get soft on fraud, unilaterally disarm law enforcement, and legalize conduct illegal today.

Mr. Speaker, Medicare fraud results not from old folks pretending to be sick, but from health care providers pretending to treat them. In this plan, instead of helping law enforcement, the Republicans actually change the law to make it more difficult to prove fraud. They not only cut Medicare by $270 billion, they proceed to cut the moneys that are dedicated to law enforcement. But for those who rely on kickbacks from unnecessary care, they say, well, we will change the law to make it easier to take a kickback.

Today, in the Washington Times, under an article entitled ''Republican Medicare bill seems to favor fraud,'' they point out that this change alone will cost the American taxpayers $1.1 billion in this Republican profraud, antisenior Medicare bill.

THE ST. LUCIE RIVER INITIATIVE

(Mr. FOLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, today it is very prophetic that I rise to discuss the St. Lucie River Initiative that is occurring in one of our counties in Florida. We are being inundated by water due to many recent rainfalls. I would like Members, particularly those in the Florida delegation, to welcome the members of the St. Lucie River Initiative.

Mr. Speaker, we have one of the most beautiful, pristine waterways in Florida. The Army Corps of Engineers started in 1915, and completed in 1963, a series of canals that have changed the water flow patterns in our State. We have to save the Everglades and Florida Bay, but we must save the St. Lucie River and Indian River Lagoon for future generations.

There is a solution. It involves acquiring land, storing fresh valuable water on that land, and preventing the water from running to the tide and polluting these estuaries and the St. Lucie River. So I ask Members from Florida again to welcome the St. Lucie River Initiative group into their office. Listen to the facts they present, because I think we have a solution before us that can save our valuable resource, the Florida waterways.

DISCREPANCY IN MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCING

(Mr. FLAKE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, this chamber is accustomed to numbers. We are told the numbers do not lie. Statistics are nonpartisan. Percentages are unbiased. Mr. Speaker, I rise this morning to bring you numbers that are biased—100 to 1. That is the discrepancy in mandatory minimum sentencing for crack cocaine to powder cocaine offenses. One hundred to one is an immense disparity. Worse, 100 to 1 is an unjustified disparity. And still worse, Mr. Speaker, 100 to 1 is a disparity that disproportionately targets the urban African-American community. This 100-to-1 discrepancy is discriminatory on its face.

The U.S. Sentencing Commission, along with Federal judges and civil rights groups, has recommended an elimination of the 100-to-1 disparity, but today this Chamber may choose to reject that recommendation. Why? Is powder cocaine one-hundredth less deadly than crack? Does powder cocaine cause one-hundredth the violence that crack does? Or perhaps, have the misperceptions surrounding the communities in which one finds these drugs, affected the fairness of our laws?

Drug trafficking is an abhorrent crime, Mr. Speaker, and should be dealt with harshly. But the numbers do not lie. One hundred to one is discriminatory. If we choose to mete out justice as a nation, Mr. Speaker, we must first ensure our laws are just.

VOTE AGAINST CROATIAN-AMERICAN ENTERPRISE FUND APPROPRIATIONS

(Mr. WOLF asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, the conference on foreign operations appropriations is scheduled for next week. One of the areas of discussion between the two bills is a $12 million appropriation for the Croatian-American Enterprise Fund.

Mr. Speaker, let me read you some excerpts from a recent human rights report by monitors from the European Union who investigated human rights atrocities in the Krajina region of Croatia, which was recently liberated from Serb occupation:

> After Operation Storm * * * the area was largely devastated. Killings and harassments of civilians have been observed. Looting of virtually all houses took place and houses were burnt to the ground long after fighting had stopped.
>
> On August 11, an ECMM team from Knin found the body of an old man, shot in the head and in the right side * * * as late as September 11, ECMM Knin found two elderly women recently shot through their head * * * Reports of killing are numerous * * * at some point newly killed Serbs were found at a rate of six per day. The most common murder method is shots in the back of the head or slit throat.

These reports came in weeks after the fighting has stopped. Many Serbs fled the Krajina but those that remained were for the most part elderly.

On September 30, the Washington Post reported:

> That evening [August 25] human rights officials returned to Grubrori and found the bodies of two elderly men. One was on the floor of his bedroom in his pajamas with a bullet in the back of the head * * * the other was discovered in a field with his throat slashed. The next day, monitors found the body of a 90-year-old women who had been burned alive in her house.

Mr. Speaker, these kinds of atrocities could not have occurred without some kind of tacit approval from some elements of the government of Zagreb. I am not saying the orders came from Zagreb, but the Croatian Government should have known these kinds of things