the car. You had an out-of-State car, it was moving slowly, in a drug-dealing neighborhood, in the wee hours of the morning, four men put duffle bags in the trunk without speaking to the driver. The four men took off running when approached by the police.

Well, unfortunately, that did not happen. After the slick criminal trial lawyers and liberal Judge Baer got through with the case, the court ruled that the officers did not have a reasonable suspicion that the woman was involved in criminal activity. Judge Baer, in his infinite wisdom, suppressed all of the evidence, and now it appears that yet another drug dealer will go free.

Why? Because Judge Baer decided that it was normal for people to run from the police in this drug-ridden neighborhood. According to this Clinton appointee, quote, had the men not run when the cops began to stare at them, it would have been unusual, end of quote. Well, maybe our men in blue should start arresting everyone who does not run, then.

The bottom line, I believe, is this: It is high time judges stopped looking for ways to protect criminals, and it is high time our leaders started looking for ways to protect families. We can and we must restore safety to our streets and sanity to our justice system.

We are fighting hard here in the 104th Congress to protect the American dream, but an essential part of that dream is restoring freedom from fear in our streets in America. We must have safe streets and secure schools, and I believe we can achieve this on a bipartisan fashion here in the 104th Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. BILIRAKIS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BILIRAKIS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from New York [Mrs. MALONEY] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mrs. MALONEY addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BURTON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. KAPTUR] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Ms. KAPTUR addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

TRUTH IN ADVERTISING FOR STATE-RUN LOTTERIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore Mr. (FOX of Pennsylvania). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas, Mr. PETE GEREN, is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today I introduce legislation requiring State lotteries to inform consumers of the statistical probability of winning the lottery prize as a part of all radio and television advertising.

As a general principle, the Federal Government has been quite aggressive in requiring truth in advertising from those who use our Nation's airwaves. However, it has neglected to act in one of the Nation's fastest growing industries, that is, State-run lotteries.

In 1994, nationwide, consumers spent $34.4 billion on lottery tickets. In Texas alone we spent almost $3 billion. These billions were spent in spite of the fact that the chance of winning the lottery grand prize is less likely than getting struck by lightning.

Mr. Speaker, there were 1.178 billion lottery tickets bought for the Lotto Texas grand prize last year. There were 74 grand prize winners. Statistically, the chance of winning the Lotto Texas grand prize is 1 in 15,890,700.

Mr. Speaker, if a medical product offered one person in 15,890,700 a chance of growing hair on a bald head, the FDA would not approve it and the FTC would not allow it to be advertised on TV as a cure for baldness. Unfortunately. If a stock broker, price indexer, advertised an investment where 1 person gets rich and 15 million get nothing in TV ads showing only the person who got rich, the SEC would shut him down.

The duty of a State to its people is higher than I would ask of private citizens or a business. If a State is going to be in the numbers business and use the Nation's airwaves to market the chance of living the life style of the rich and famous, it has the duty to tell those people their chances of winning that life style.

For most of our Nation's history lotteries and other numbers games were illegal. They were illegal because they were deceptive and tricked people out of their hard-earned money. Times have changed, and today lotteries are an accepted fact of life. We have a duty to ensure our Nation's airwaves; we have a duty to ensure that the people get the facts.

In Texas, every ad that brings the smiling face of our State's newest millionaire in the living room should conclude with the tag line, ''The chances of winning are 1 in 15,890,700.''

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California [Mr. HUNTER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. HUNTER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

FARRAKHAN'S MIDDLE EAST TRIP CHALLENGES NATIONAL SECURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. BARR] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BARR of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, recently a gentleman named Louis Farrakhan toured over a dozen African and Middle Eastern countries, including Libya, Nigeria, Iraq, and Iran. During Mr. Farrakhan's trips abroad, and specifically during his trip to Libya, Libyan strong man and known terrorist Mu'ammar Qadhafi pledged $1 billion to Mr. Farrakhan's Nation of Islam to be used here in this country to, I believe, improperly influence the American political system.

By the pledges and statements made by strong man Qadhafi, the following laws may have been broken, and further may have been broken by Mr. Farrakhan himself: the Foreign Agents Registration Act; the Libyan sanctions regulations; restrictions on campaign contributions and expenditures by foreign nationals; passport travel restrictions; and the Logan Act.

Mr. Speaker, this is a direct challenge to the national security and national interest of the United States of America and her people. Mr. Qadhafi has himself stated that this is one way to infiltrate America. Moslems from abroad, through these various political organizations, are calling to unite with those in this country in subversion of the U.S. Government and its governmental systems.

Mr. Speaker, further, Iranian Prime Minister Rafsanjani has stated regarding Mr. Farrakhan's visit to his country, also on the list of terrorist nations, ''Let our enemies call this export of revolution. We do not fear this.''

Congress must hold hearings and the appropriate law enforcement agencies in the executive branch must investigate these matters. We cannot turn a blind eye to blatant disregard of our Nation's laws and national interests.

Questions must be answered as to the legality of Mr. Farrakhan's actions, and this administration's apparent unwillingness to pursue Mr. Farrakhan for an explanation of his actions must not be allowed to lie.

Did this administration send a letter to Farrakhan stating it was illegal to travel to certain of these nations? What steps has this administration taken, if any, to investigate possible violations of U.S. law? Why did this administration allow Mr. Farrakhan to reenter the United States, knowing of his activities and statements abroad, without even checking his passport for a Libyan stamp?

Mr. Speaker, I have called for hearings, as have others. I have written to Secretary of State Warren Christopher and Attorney General Janet Reno calling for an immediate and in-depth review of these matters.

Mr. Speaker, I include the following correspondence for the RECORD: