think it is very wrong to suggest that somehow raising the minimum wage is not going to help the average American wage earner who lives on it.

The bottom line is that we have seen over and over again, and most importantly in my home State of New Jersey, where the minimum wage was raised a few years ago to the level that we are now or somewhat close to the level that we are now proposing in Congress, and the result was that minimum wage workers actually had their wages increased, were able to go out and buy more goods, and more services had to be provided to them. Jobs in the State of New Jersey actually increased so that there were more economic opportunities, more work opportunities for jobs created in our State because of the increase in the minimum wage.

So this notion that somehow raising the minimum wage is going to decrease jobs and put people on the street and not help those who are now dependent on the minimum wage, I think is just a false issue. Clearly, the statistics show that that is a false issue.

I think this is important today because on the other side of the Capitol, in the Senate, they will be taking up the minimum wage. I am hopeful that crippling amendments that are being proposed again by various Republicans, that would create huge loopholes in the increase in the minimum wage for certain workers, that these crippling amendments do not pass, because over the last 6 months and over the last year the American people have basically been petitioning Congress and stating over and over again they they want an increase in the minimum wage.

That is the only reason that this is being brought to the floor of the Senate today, not because of the Republican leadership, who consistently opposed it here in the House and in the Senate, but because the American people have spoken out and said they want an increase. They want a livable wage for people who are working at a minimum wage level.

It would be a shame if crippling amendments, mostly coming from big business, were to pass. That would exempt a lot of workers in various categories from this minimum wage increase. I hope that that does not happen.

Madam Speaker, I have been outraged from the very beginning at the constant effort by the Republican leadership here in the House to deny millions of working Americans the opportunity to earn a livable wage. We have had a debate in the House, and now the same debate is happening in the Senate, with two constant themes.

First is that Republicans will do everything they can to fight for big business special interests and try to water down a minimum wage increase. It is the Democrats who continue to fight for the hard-working Americans who need an increase in the minimum wage to provide for their families.

Madam Speaker, the Republican leadership has used many different schemes and ploys to fight an increase in the minimum wage. First was the majority leader in the House who proposed doing away with the minimum wage altogether. Then in March of this year Republicans in the House used a parliamentary procedure to stop a vote calling for a modest increase in the minimum wage.

But gradually, Americans all over the country began to put pressure on the Republican leadership here in the House to at least have a vote on the issue, to let the vote occur. The Republican leadership, however, continued to persist as long as they could in preventing a vote. But finally the so-called moderate wing of the Republican party, many of whom were from my home State or from the Northeast, broke with their leadership and expressed support for the Democratic proposal on the minimum wage.

So we finally did have a vote, but if you listen to some of the dialog on the other side, if you listen to some of the ideology—as I said, some of it was expressed by my colleague from Nebraska today—you hear this constant theme that somehow this is not good for the average American.

According to the majority whip in the House, no one is actually raising a family on the $4.25 an hour that is currently the minimum wage law. The majority whip used the addition of food stamps and the earned income tax credit to show that a single parent with two children could earn much more than the $8,800 a year that is provided for in the minimum wage.

But the bottom line is that even with food stamps, even with the earned income tax credit, which many in the House Republican leadership oppose, it is very, very difficult if not impossible for someone today to live and raise a family on the minimum wage. That is why we need to have a vote on this issue, and that is why we need to have it passed in the Senate today, sent back to the House, and signed into law by the President, who supports the increase.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. GREENE of Utah). Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the House stands in recess until 2 p.m.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 51 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until 2 p.m.

□ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore [Mr. SHAW] at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Rev. James David Ford, D.D., offered the following prayer:

We are grateful, O God, that our prayers can express the essential emotions and ideas of the human spirit, that we are free to call upon You in all the moments of life—for better or worse, for richer or poorer, in sickness and in health. And so we call upon You this day from the secret places of our own hearts asking that You would bless us when we need blessing and forgive us when we need forgiving. Above all else, we pray for Your presence in our lives day by day and for Your spirit that nurtures us with the good graces of life. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. JONES] come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. JONES led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

> I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

APPOINTMENT OF EMPLOYEE TO REVIEW PANEL OF THE OFFICE OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, and pursuant to the provisions of rule LI, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment to the review panel of the Office of Fair Employment Practices the following employee of the House of Representatives to fill the existing vacancy thereon:

Mr. Alan F. Coffey, Jr., General Counsel and Staff Director of the Committee on the Judiciary.

There was no objection.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE C.W. BILL YOUNG, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable C.W. BILL YOUNG, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, July 8, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker of the House, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House, that the office of Congressman BILL YOUNG has been served with a subpoena issued by the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and precedence of the House.