The changes I believe and others espouse is that foreign or outside pressure will not be that which is the catalyst for change in China. It will be what is inside that comes from the people, that comes from the heart, which comes from their own ingenuity, which comes from their own spirit for freedom. And if we are able to match our can-do attitude, American ingenuity, with Chinese desire, we can create a catalyst that will change even the coldest heart. It is these things that America needs to stand for.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, that is why it is so important to recognize that we should not considering withdrawing the one good thing which is encouraging that reform there. It is the Chinese people who are going to in fact lift themselves up and improve their standard of living so that they are able to buy more U.S. goods and services, and if we decide that we are going to pull up the drawbridge and erect some kind of barrier, letting the rest of the world into that market but cutting the United States of America out, we would be, for lack of a better term, cutting off our nose to spite our face.

I believe that if we look at a tiny spot of 24 million people, the Island of Taiwan, known as the Republic of China, where Chiang Kai-Shek in the latter part of the 1940s, 1949 fled trying to get away from the Communism that had taken over in China. This is a wonderful, wonderful spot, and these are people who have desperately sought and have now been able to successfully obtain freedom, and they unfortunately are being targeted often by Beijing, and it is wrong.

I am a strong supporter of the Taiwan Relations Act we passed. And I voted for the Taiwan Security Act here, but it is important to note that the candidate who, according to news reports, was the least desirable candidate on the part of Beijing was elected President of Taiwan. His name is Chen Shui-bian and he had an interview with the Los Angeles Times the morning after his election, and in that interview he said that one of the most important things that needed to take place was for the People's Republic of China to become a member of the World Trade Organization.

Taiwan is, as I say, a small island with 24 million people, juxtaposed to the nearly 1.3 billion people in the People's Republic of China, but they stand for the things that we as Americans embrace, and something that I like to point to is the fact that they are playing a role just as the United States is in extending freedom throughout China, because there are 46,000 businesses on the mainland that are owned by Taiwanese nationals.

They, too, are working to pursue that, to encourage the people of China, to improve their standard of living, so they will be able to again be the beneficiaries of the U.S. manufactured goods and services which we finally achieve as they lower those tariffs and live with the rules based trading system in China by opening up their markets for us.

I think that Ronald Reagan, and I was honored to have been elected to the Congress the same day he was elected President of the United States back in 1980, and he said, if we give people a taste of freedom, they will thirst for more, and that is why when I said earlier that the genie is out of the bottle, the people of China are getting a taste of freedom, and the technological changes which have taken place here in the United States and throughout the world have eliminated so many of these barriers that existed in the past.

Thank heavens that genie is out of the bottle and so they have gotten that taste of freedom, and it is obvious that the people of China are thirsting for more. And so it would be a great disservice if we as the greatest Nation on the face of the Earth, the symbol of freedom for the world were to say you go it on your own and we are not going to stand up for the principles that make this country so great.

I thank my friend for his very thoughtful contribution. I know that he is here, and we in about 3½ hours are going to be meeting in the Committee on Rules on the Department of Defense authorization bill, and we have got lots of work ahead of us. As I said at the outset, this is the most important vote that we will cast at least in this session of Congress.

I hope very much that the American people will understand how key this is to our global leadership and the need for us to maintain our economic prosperity and will urge my colleagues to vote in support of it.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 4205.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

HIGH COSTS OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS FOR SENIORS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 1999, the gentleman from Maine (Mr. ALLEN) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Speaker, many of my Democratic colleagues tonight are headed to Michigan to be with our colleague, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. STUPAK) and his family in a moment of great trial for them. The Stupaks have suffered the tragedy most feared by all parents. They have lost one of their sons, and our thoughts and our prayers are with them tonight.

Mr. Speaker, I am here tonight to talk about the problem that many of our seniors are facing with the high costs of prescription drugs. This is a problem that is becoming more and more apparent to a majority of Americans.

Seniors in my home district in Maine and across the country are finding it increasingly difficult to pay for the drugs that their doctors tell them they have to take. And over the last 2 years, as I have listened to people in my district, as I have conducted studies in my district that show that seniors pay on average twice as much for their medications as the best customers, the pharmaceutical companies, that is, the big hospitals, the HMOs and the Federal Government itself through Medicaid or the VA, as those studies have rolled out first in Maine and then around the country, we have had more and more correspondence, more and more phone calls from people who say they simply cannot do it any more.

They cannot take their medication because they cannot afford their medication. I have had letters from women who tell me I do not want my husband to know, but I am not taking my prescription medication, because he is sicker than I am, and we both cannot afford to take the medicines that our doctors say we must.

I have had letters from people who describe how much they are paying, in many cases hundreds of dollars a month, when their only income is a Social Security check for $650 a month. The math does not work. They cannot make it. And I regret to say that the response in this Congress has not been fast enough. It has not been quick enough to deal with this particular problem.

Part of the answer lies in the tremendous power of the pharmaceutical industry, this industry which has done so much good in this country, developed new medicines that prolong lives, that enhance the quality of life for so many people in this country, if, and only if, they can afford to take the medication that the industry has developed.

Here in Washington, this is the industry that spends the most in campaign contributions, that spends the most in lobbying, and anyone who watches television knows this is an industry that spares no expense when it comes to advertising its products on TV or trying to influence public opinion through TV. When we watch those ads, $1.9 billion last year in direct-to-consumer advertising, all of that costs gets wrapped into the costs of the pills that our seniors and that others need to maintain their quality of life and simply to stay out of the hospital.

We need to take some action, and there are two ways to go at this problem fundamentally, two sensible ways to go at this problem. One is to update Medicare and to provide a prescription drug benefit under Medicare. When Medicare was created in 1965, over 50 percent of our seniors had absolutely