AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

106TH CONGRESS, 2d Session | HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES | REPORT 106–907

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2001, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

SEPTEMBER 27, 2000.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. PACKARD, from the Committee of Conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 4733]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4733) "making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes", having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

66–952

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, $160,038,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That in conducting the Southwest Valley Flood Damage Reduction Study, Albuquerque, New Mexico, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall include an evaluation of flood damage reduction measures that would otherwise be excluded from the feasibility analysis based on policies regarding the frequency of flooding, the drainage areas, and the amount of runoff: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use $750,000 of the funds appropriated herein to continue preconstruction engineering and design for the Murrieta Creek, California flood protection and environmental restoration project in accordance with Alternative 6, based on the Murrieta Creek feasibility report and environmental impact statement dated June 2000 at a total cost of $90,866,000, with an estimated Federal cost of $59,063,900 and an estimated non-Federal cost of $31,803,100.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), $1,695,699,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary for the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, as authorized by Public Law 104–303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99–662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 12, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota; and London Locks and Dam, and Kanawha River, West Virginia, projects; and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

San Gabriel Basin Groundwater Restoration, California, $25,000,000;

San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, $5,000,000;

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, $10,000,000;

Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, $4,000,000;

Clover Fork, Middlesboro, City of Cumberland, Town of Martin, Pike County (including Levisa Fork and Tug Fork Tributaries), Bell County, Martin County, and Harlan County, Kentucky, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big

Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, Kentucky, $20,000,000: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with planning, engineering, design and construction of the Town of Martin, Kentucky, element, in accordance with Plan A as set forth in the preliminary draft Detailed Project Report, Appendix T of the General Plan of the Huntington District Commander;

Jackson County, Mississippi, $2,000,000;

Bosque and Leon Rivers, Texas, $4,000,000; and

Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County Tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, and McDowell County, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Virginia, $4,100,000:

Provided further, That using $900,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake the Bowie County Levee project, which is defined as Alternative B Local Sponsor Option, in the Corps of Engineers document entitled Bowie County Local Flood Protection, Red River, Texas, Project Design Memorandum No. 1, Bowie County Levee, dated April 1997: Provided further, That no part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be expended or obligated to begin Phase II of the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed hereafter to use available Construction, General funds in addition to funding provided in Public Law 104–206 to complete design and construction of the Red River Regional Visitors Center in the vicinity of Shreveport, Louisiana at an estimated cost of $6,000,000: Provided further, That section 101(b)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, is amended by striking "total cost of $8,600,000" and inserting in lieu thereof, "total cost of $15,000,000": Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use $3,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein for additional emergency bank stabilization measures at Galena, Alaska under the same terms and conditions as previous emergency bank stabilization work undertaken at Galena, Alaska pursuant to Section 116 of Public Law 99–190: Provided further, That with $4,200,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to continue construction of the Brunswick County Beaches, North Carolina-Ocean Isle Beach portion in accordance with the General Reevaluation Report approved by the Chief of Engineers on May 15, 1998: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use not to exceed $300,000 of funds appropriated herein to reimburse the City of Renton, Washington, at full Federal expense, for mitigation expenses incurred for the flood control project constructed pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 701s at Cedar River, City of Renton, Washington, as a result of over-dredging by the Army Corps of Engineers: Provided further, That $2,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein shall be available for stabilization and renovation of Lock and Dam 10, Kentucky River, Kentucky, subject to enactment of authorization by law: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief

of Engineers, is directed to use $3,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein to initiate construction of a navigation project at Kaumalapau Harbor, Hawaii: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use $2,000,000 of the funds provided herein for Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction Program to design and construct seepage control features at Waterbury Dam, Winooski River, Vermont: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to design and construct barge lanes at the Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, project, immediately adjacent to either side of the Houston Ship Channel, from Bolivar Roads to Morgan Point, to a depth of 12 feet with prior years' Construction, General carry-over funds: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use Construction, General funding as directed in Public Law 105–62 and Public Law 105–245 to initiate construction of an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne River, except that the funds shall not become available unless the Secretary of the Army determines that an emergency (as defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)) exists with respect to the emergency need for the outlet and reports to Congress that the construction is technically sound, economically justified, and environmentally acceptable, and in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.): Provided further, That the economic justification for the emergency outlet shall be prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines for economic evaluation as required by regulations and procedures of the Army Corps of Engineers for all flood control projects, and that the economic justification be fully described, including the analysis of the benefits and costs, in the project plan documents: Provided further, That the plans for the emergency outlet shall be reviewed and, to be effective, shall contain assurances provided by the Secretary of State, after consultation with the International Joint Commission, that the project will not violate the requirements or intent of the Treaty Between the United States and Great Britain Relating to Boundary Waters Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington, January 11, 1909 (36 Stat. 2448; TS 548) (commonly known as the "Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909"): Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army shall submit the final plans and other documents for the emergency outlet to Congress: Provided further, That no funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used by the Secretary of the Army to carry out the portion of the feasibility study of the Devils Lake Basin, North Dakota, authorized under the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102–377), that addresses the needs of the area for stabilized lake levels through inlet controls, or to otherwise study any facility or carry out any activity that would permit the transfer of water from the Missouri River Basin into Devils Lake.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, and rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control

projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a and 702g–1), $347,731,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to complete his analysis and determination of Federal maintenance of the Greenville Inner Harbor, Mississippi navigation project in accordance with Section 509 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removal of obstructions to navigation, $1,901,959,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99–662, may be derived from that Fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that account for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, from the funds provided herein for the operation and maintenance of New York Harbor, New York, is directed to prepare the necessary documentation and initiate removal of submerged obstructions and debris in the area previously marked by the Ambrose Light Tower in the interest of safe navigation: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use $500,000 of funds appropriated herein to remove and reinstall the docks and causeway, in kind, at Astoria East Boat Basin, Oregon: Provided further, That $500,000 of the funds appropriated herein for the Ohio River Open Channel, Illinois, Kentucky, Indiana, Ohio, West Virginia, and Pennsylvania, project, are provided for the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to dredge a channel from the mouth of Wheeling Creek to Tunnel Green Park in Wheeling, West Virginia.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, $125,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to: (1) by March 1, 2001, supplement the report, Cost Analysis For the 1999 Proposal to Issue and Modify Nationwide Permits, to reflect the Nationwide Permits actually issued on March 9, 2000, including changes in the acreage limits, preconstruction notification requirements and general conditions between the rule proposed on July 21, 1999, and the rule promulgated and published in the Federal Register; (2) after consideration of the cost analysis for the 1999 proposal to issue and modify nationwide permits and the supplement prepared pursuant to this Act and by

September 30, 2001, prepare, submit to Congress and publish in the Federal Register a Permit Processing Management Plan by which the Corps of Engineers will handle the additional work associated with all projected increases in the number of individual permit applications and preconstruction notifications related to the new and replacement permits and general conditions. The Permit Processing Management Plan shall include specific objective goals and criteria by which the Corps of Engineers' progress towards reducing any permit backlog can be measured; (3) beginning on December 31, 2001, and on a biannual basis thereafter, report to Congress and publish in the Federal Register, an analysis of the performance of its program as measured against the criteria set out in the Permit Processing Management Plan; (4) implement a 1-year pilot program to publish quarterly on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer's Regulatory Program website all Regulatory Analysis and Management Systems (RAMS) data for the South Pacific Division and North Atlantic Division beginning within 30 days of the enactment of this Act; and (5) publish in Division Office websites all findings, rulings, and decisions rendered under the administrative appeals process for the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program as established in Public Law 106–60: Provided further, That, through the period ending on September 30, 2003, the Corps of Engineers shall allow any appellant to keep a verbatim record of the proceedings of the appeals conference under the aforementioned administrative appeals process: Provided further, That within 30 days of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall require all U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Divisions and Districts to record the date on which a Section 404 individual permit application or nationwide permit notification is filed with the Corps of Engineers: Provided further, That the Corps of Engineers, when reporting permit processing times, shall track both the date a permit application is first received and the date the application is considered complete, as well as the reason that the application is not considered complete upon first submission.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites throughout the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, $140,000,000, to remain available until expended.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers; activities of the Coastal Engineering Research Board, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Water Resources Support Center, and headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center, $152,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be available to support an office of con-

gressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

REVOLVING FUND

Amounts in the Revolving Fund are available for the costs of relocating the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers headquarters to office space in the General Accounting Office headquarters building in Washington, D.C.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $5,000); and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. (a) The Secretary of the Army shall enter into an agreement with the City of Grand Prairie, Texas, wherein the City agrees to assume all of the responsibilities of the Trinity River Authority of Texas under Contract No. DACW63–76–C–0166, other than financial responsibilities, except as provided for in subsection (c) of this section. The Trinity River Authority shall be relieved of all of its financial responsibilities under the Contract as of the date the Secretary of the Army enters into the agreement with the City.

(b) In consideration of the agreement referred to in subsection (a), the City shall pay the Federal Government a total of $4,290,000 in two installments, one in the amount of $2,150,000, which shall be due and payable no later than December 1, 2000, and one in the amount of $2,140,000, which shall be due and payable no later than December 1, 2003.

(c) The agreement executed pursuant to subsection (a) shall include a provision requiring the City to assume all costs associated with operation and maintenance of the recreation facilities included in the Contract referred to in that subsection.

SEC. 102. Agreements proposed for execution by the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works or the United States Army Corps of Engineers after the date of the enactment of this Act pursuant to section 4 of the Rivers and Harbor Act of 1915, Public Law 64–291; section 11 of the River and Harbor Act of 1925, Public Law 68–585; the Civil Functions Appropriations Act, 1936, Public Law 75–208; section 215 of the Flood Control Act of 1968, as amended, Public Law 90–483; sections 104, 203, and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended (Public Law 99–662); section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended, Public Law 102–580; section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303, and any other specific project authority, shall be limited to credits and reimbursements per project not to exceed $10,000,000 in each fiscal year, and total credits and reimbursements for all applicable projects not to exceed $50,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SEC. 103. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that such revision provides for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in States that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

SEC. 104. ST. GEORGES BRIDGE, DELAWARE. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to carry out any activity relating to closure or removal of the St. Georges Bridge across the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal, Delaware, including a hearing or any other activity relating to preparation of an environmental impact statement concerning the closure or removal.

SEC. 105. Within available funds under title I, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall provide up to $7,000,000 to replace and upgrade the dam in Kake, Alaska which collapsed July 2000, to provide drinking water and hydroelectricity.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, $38,724,000, to remain available until expended, of which $19,566,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account: Provided, That of the amounts deposited into that account, $5,000,000 shall be considered the Federal contribution authorized by paragraph 402(b)(2) of the Central Utah Project Completion Act and $14,158,000 shall be available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission to carry out activities authorized under that Act.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, $1,216,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian tribes, and others, $678,450,000, to remain available until expended, of which $1,916,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and $39,467,000 shall be available for

transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund; of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund; of which $16,000,000 shall be for on-reservation water development, feasibility studies, and related administrative costs under Public Law 106–163; of which not more than 25 percent of the amount provided for drought emergency assistance may be used for financial assistance for the preparation of cooperative drought contingency plans under Title II of Public Law 102–250; and of which not more than $500,000 is for high priority projects which shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps, as authorized by 16 U.S.C. 1706: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l–6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further, That section 301 of Public Law 102–250, Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, as amended, is amended further by inserting "2000, and 2001" in lieu of "and 2000": Provided further, That the amount authorized for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial water features by section 10 of Public Law 89–108, as amended by section 8 of Public Law 99–294, section 1701(b) of Public Law 102–575, Public Law 105–245, and Public Law 106–60 is increased by $2,000,000 (October 1998 prices): Provided further, That the amount authorized for Minidoka Project North Side Pumping Division, Idaho, by section 5 of Public Law 81–864, is increased by $2,805,000: Provided further, That the Reclamation Safety of Dams Act of 1978 (43 U.S.C. 509) is amended as follows: (1) by inserting in Section 4(c) after "1984," and before "costs" the following: "and the additional $95,000,000 further authorized to be appropriated by amendments to that Act in 2000,"; (2) by inserting in Section 5 after "levels)," and before "plus" the following: "and, effective October 1, 2000, not to exceed an additional $95,000,000 (October 1, 2000, price levels),"; and (3) by striking "sixty days (which" and all that follows through "day certain)" and inserting in lieu thereof "30 calendar days".

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, $8,944,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a–422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed $27,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, $425,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, $38,382,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102–575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102–575.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, $50,224,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed four passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

SEC. 201. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to purchase or lease water in the Middle Rio Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said purchase or lease is in compliance with the purchase requirements of section 202 of Public Law 106–60.

SEC. 202. Funds under this title for Drought Emergency Assistance shall be made available primarily for leasing of water for specified drought related purposes from willing lessors, in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation. Such leases may be entered into with an option to purchase: Provided, That such purchase is approved by the State in which the purchase takes place and the purchase does not cause economic harm within the State in which the purchase is made.

SEC. 203. Beginning in fiscal year 2001 and thereafter, the Secretary of the Interior shall assess and collect annually from Central Valley Project (CVP) water and power contractors the sum of $540,000 (June 2000 price levels) and remit, without further appro-

priation, the amount collected annually to the Trinity Public Utilities District (TPUD). This assessment shall be payable 70 percent by CVP Preference Power Customers and 30 percent by CVP Water Contractors. The CVP Water Contractor share of this assessment shall be collected by the Secretary through established Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) Operation and Maintenance ratesetting practices. The CVP Power Contractor share of this assessment shall be assessed by Reclamation to the Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (Western), and collected by Western through established power ratesetting practices.

SEC. 204. (a) IN GENERAL.—For fiscal year 2001 and each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary of the Interior shall continue funding, from power revenues, the activities of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program as authorized by section 1807 of the Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4672), at not more than $7,850,000 (October 2000 price level), adjusted in subsequent years to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.

(b) VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS.—Nothing in this section precludes the use of voluntary financial contributions (except power revenues) to the Adaptive Management Program that may be authorized by law.

(c) ACTIVITIES TO BE FUNDED.—The activities to be funded as provided under subsection (a) include activities required to meet the requirements of section 1802(a) and subsections (a) and (b) of section 1805 of the Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4672), including the requirements of the Biological Opinion on the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam and activities required by the Programmatic Agreement on Cultural and Historic Properties, to the extent that the requirements and activities are consistent with the Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4672).

(d) ADDITIONAL FUNDING.—To the extent that funding under subsection (a) is insufficient to pay the costs of the monitoring and research and other activities of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, the Secretary of the Interior may use funding from other sources, including funds appropriated for that purpose. All such appropriated funds shall be nonreimbursable and nonreturnable.

SEC. 205. The Secretary of the Interior is authorized and directed to use not to exceed $1,000,000 of the funds appropriated under title II to refund amounts received by the United States as payments for charges assessed by the Secretary prior to January 1, 1994 for failure to file certain certification or reporting forms prior to the receipt of irrigation water, pursuant to sections 206 and 224(c) of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 1226, 1272; 43 U.S.C. 390ff, 390ww(c)), including the amount of associated interest assessed by the Secretary and paid to the United States pursuant to section 224(i) of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (101 Stat. 1330–268; 43 U.S.C. 390ww(i)).

SEC. 206. CANYON FERRY RESERVOIR, MONTANA. (a) APPRAISALS.—Section 1004(c)(2)(B) of title X of division C of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999 (112 Stat. 2681–713; 113 Stat. 1501A–307) is amended—

(1) in clause (i), by striking "be based on" and inserting "use";

(2) in clause (vi), by striking "Notwithstanding any other provision of law," and inserting "To the extent consistent with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisition,"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(vii) APPLICABILITY.—This subparagraph shall apply to the extent that its application is practicable and consistent with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisition.".

(b) TIMING.—Section 1004(f)(2) of title X of division C of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999 (112 Stat. 2681–714; 113 Stat. 1501A–308) is amended by inserting after "Act," the following: "in accordance with all applicable law,".

(c) INTEREST.—Section 1008(b) of title X of division C of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999 (112 Stat. 2681–717; 113 Stat. 1501A–310) is amended by striking paragraph (4).

SEC. 207. Beginning in fiscal year 2000 and thereafter, any amounts provided for the Newlands Water Rights Fund for purchasing and retiring water rights in the Newlands Reclamation Project shall be non-reimbursable.

SEC. 208. USE OF COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT FACILITIES FOR NONPROJECT WATER. The Secretary of the Interior may enter into contracts with the city of Loveland, Colorado, or its Water and Power Department or any other agency, public utility, or enterprise of the city, providing for the use of facilities of the Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado, under the Act of February 21, 1911 (43 U.S.C. 523), for—

(1) the impounding, storage, and carriage of nonproject water originating on the eastern slope of the Rocky Mountains for domestic, municipal, industrial, and other beneficial purposes; and

(2) the exchange of water originating on the eastern slope of the Rocky Mountains for the purposes specified in paragraph (1), using facilities associated with the Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado.

SEC. 209. AMENDMENT TO IRRIGATION PROJECT CONTRACT EXTENSION ACT OF 1998. (a) Section 2(a) of the Irrigation Project Contract Extension Act of 1998, Public Law 105–293, is amended by striking the date "December 31, 2000", and inserting in lieu thereof the date "December 31, 2003"; and

(b) Subsection 2(b) of the Irrigation Project Contract Extension Act of 1998, Public Law 105–293, is amended by—

(1) striking the phrase "not to go beyond December 31, 2001", and inserting in lieu thereof the phrase "not to go beyond December 31, 2003"; and

(2) striking the phrase "terminates prior to December 31, 2000", and inserting in lieu thereof "terminates prior to December 31, 2003".

SEC. 210. Section 202 of Division B, Title I, Chapter 2 of Public Law 106–246 is amended by adding at the end the following: "This section shall be effective through September 30, 2001.".

SEC. 211. Section 106 of the San Luis Rey Indian Water Rights Settlement Act (Public Law 100–675; 102 Stat. 4000 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) REQUIREMENT TO RESERVE AND FURNISH WATER.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation, shall permanently reserve and furnish annually the following:

"(1) WATER.—The first 16,000 acre-feet of any water conserved by the works authorized by title II, to the Indian Water Authority and the local entities in accordance with the settlement agreement.

"(2) CAPACITY AND ENERGY.—Capacity and energy from the Parker-Davis Project at the rates established for project use power sufficient to convey water conserved pursuant to paragraph (1) from Lake Havasu through the Colorado River Aqueduct to Lake Matthews and to the places of use on the Bands reservations or in the local entities service area in accordance with the settlement agreement.

Water conserved pursuant to paragraph (1) may be used on the Bands' reservations or in the local entities' service areas, leased for use outside the Bands' reservations or the local entities' service areas, or exchanged for water from other sources for use by the Bands, the Indian Water Authority, or the local entities, in accordance with the settlement agreement.".

SEC. 212. (a) DEFINITIONS.—For the purpose of this section, the term—

(1) "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior;

(2) "Sly Park Unit" means the Sly Park Dam and Reservoir, Camp Creek Diversion Dam and Tunnel, and conduits and canals as authorized under the American River Act of October 14, 1949 (63 Stat. 853), including those used to convey, treat, and store water delivered from Sly Park, as well as all recreation facilities thereto; and

(3) "District" means the El Dorado Irrigation District.

(b) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, as soon as practicable after date of the enactment of this Act and in accordance with all applicable law, transfer all right, title, and interest in and to the Sly Park Unit to the District.

(c) SALE PRICE.—The Secretary is authorized to receive from the District $2,000,000 to relieve payment obligations and extinguish the debt under contract number 14–06–200–949IR3, and $9,500,000 to relieve payment obligations and extinguish all debts associated with contracts numbered 14–06–200–7734, as amended by contracts numbered 14–06–200–4282A and 14–06–200–8536A. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the District shall continue to make payments required by section 3407(c) of Public Law 102–575 through year 2029.

(d) CREDIT REVENUE TO PROJECT REPAYMENT.—Upon payment authorized under subsection (b), the amount paid shall be credited toward repayment of capital costs of the Central Valley Project in an amount equal to the associated undiscounted obligation.

(e) FUTURE BENEFITS.—Upon payment, the Sly Park Unit shall no longer be a Federal reclamation project or a unit of the Central Valley Project, and the District shall not be entitled to receive any further reclamation benefits.

(f) LIABILITY.—Except as otherwise provided by law, effective on the date of conveyance of the Sly Park Unit under this Act, the United States shall not be liable for damages of any kind arising out of any act, omission, or occurrence based on its prior ownership or operation of the conveyed property.

(g) COSTS.—All costs, including interest charges, associated with the Project that have been included as a reimbursable cost of the Central Valley Project are declared to be nonreimbursable and nonreturnable.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for energy supply, and uranium supply and enrichment activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 17 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, $660,574,000 to remain available until expended: Provided, That, in addition, royalties received to compensate the Department of Energy for its participation in the First-Of-A-Kind-Engineering program shall be credited to this account to be available until September 30, 2002, for the purposes of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology activities.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction or expansion, $277,812,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to maintain, decontaminate, decommission, and otherwise remediate uranium processing facilities, $393,367,000, of which $345,038,000 shall be derived from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund, all of which shall remain available until expended: Provided, That $72,000,000 of amounts derived from the Fund for such expenses shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

SCIENCE

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed 58 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, $3,186,352,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, $191,074,000, to remain available until expended and to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That not to exceed $2,500,000 may be provided to the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97–425, as amended: Provided further, That $6,000,000 shall be provided to affected units of local governments, as defined in Public Law 97–425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided further, That the distribution of the funds as determined by the units of local government shall be approved by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds for the State of Nevada shall be made available solely to the Nevada Division of Emergency Management by direct payment and units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within 90 days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, the Nevada Division of Emergency Management and the Governor of the State of Nevada and each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities authorized by Public Law 97–425 and this Act. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-State efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act: Provided further, That all proceeds and recoveries by the Secretary in carrying out activities authorized by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 in Public Law 97–425, as amended, including but not limited to, any proceeds from the sale of assets, shall be available without further appropriation and shall remain available until expended.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official re-

ception and representation expenses (not to exceed $35,000), $226,107,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total $151,000,000 in fiscal year 2001 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95–238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 2001 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2001 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than $75,107,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $31,500,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 12 for replacement only), $5,015,186,000, to remain available until expended: Provided: That, $130,000,000 shall be immediately available for Project 96–D–111, the National Ignition Facility at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory: Provided further, That $69,100,000 shall be available only upon a certification by the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to the Congress after March 31, 2001, that (a) includes a recommendation on an appropriate path forward for the project; (b) certifies all established project and scientific milestones have been met on schedule and on cost; (c) certifies the first and second quarter project reviews in fiscal year 2001 determined the project to be on schedule and cost; (d) includes a study of requirements for and alternatives to a 192 beam ignition facility for maintaining the safety and reliability of the current nuclear weapons stockpile; (e) certifies an integrated cost-schedule earned-value project control system has been fully implemented; and (f) includes a five-year budget plan for the stockpile stewardship program.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, $874,196,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That not to exceed $7,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses for national security and nonproliferation (including transparency) activities in fiscal year 2001.

NAVAL REACTORS

For Department of Energy expenses necessary for naval reactors activities to carry out the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition (by purchase, condemnation, construction, or otherwise) of real property, plant, and capital equipment, facilities, and facility expansion, $690,163,000, to remain available until expended.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration, including official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $5,000), $10,000,000, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of 30 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, $4,974,476,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, $1,082,714,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

For Department of Energy expenses for privatization projects necessary for atomic energy defense environmental management activities authorized by the Department of Energy Organization Act

(42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), $65,000,000, to remain available until expended.

Other Defense Activities

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, $585,755,000, to remain available until expended, of which $17,000,000 shall be for the Department of Energy Employees Compensation Initiative upon enactment of authorization legislation into law.

Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, $200,000,000, to remain available until expended.

Power Marketing Administrations

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93–454, are approved for the Nez Perce Tribe Resident Fish Substitution Program, the Cour D'Alene Tribe Trout Production facility, and for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500.

During fiscal year 2001, no new direct loan obligations may be made. Section 511 of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1997 (Public Law 104–206), is amended by striking the last sentence and inserting, "This authority shall expire January 1, 2003.".

Operation and Maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services, pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, $3,900,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, amounts collected by the Southeastern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures as follows: for fiscal year 2001, up to $34,463,000; for fiscal year 2002, up to $26,463,000; for fiscal year 2003, up to $20,000,000; and for fiscal year 2004, up to $15,000,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, $28,100,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed $4,200,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended: Provided, That amounts collected by the Southwestern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures as follows: for fiscal year 2001, up to $288,000; for fiscal year 2002, up to $288,000; for fiscal year 2003, up to $288,000; and for fiscal year 2004, up to $288,000.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed $1,500, $165,830,000, to remain available until expended, of which $154,616,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, $5,950,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992: Provided further, That amounts collected by the Western Area Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 and the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures as follows: for fiscal year 2001, up to $65,224,000; for fiscal year 2002, up to $33,500,000; for fiscal year 2003, up to $30,000,000; and for fiscal year 2004, up to $20,000,000.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, $2,670,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed $3,000), $175,200,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed $175,200,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2001 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2001 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2001 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than $0.

RESCISSIONS

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds appropriated in Public Law 104–46 for interim storage of nuclear waste, $75,000,000 are transferred to this heading and are hereby rescinded.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds appropriated in Public Law 106–60 and prior Energy and Water Development Acts for the Tank Waste Remediation System at Richland, Washington, $97,000,000 of unexpended balances of prior appropriations are rescinded.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 302. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy,

under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to augment the $24,500,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h) unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 305. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Balances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 306. Of the funds in this Act provided to government-owned, contractor-operated laboratories, not to exceed 6 percent shall be available to be used for Laboratory Directed Research and Development.

SEC. 307. (a) Of the funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy, not more than $185,000,000 shall be available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses, of which $10,000,000 is available for use by the Chief Financial Officer of the Department of Energy for emergency travel expenses.

(b) Funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy may be used to reimburse a Department of Energy management and operating contractor for travel costs of its employees under the contract only to the extent that the contractor applies to its employees the same rates and amounts as those that apply to Federal employees under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, or rates and amounts established by the Secretary of Energy. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to the reimbursement requirements of this section as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) The limitation in subsection (a) shall not apply to reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses within the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program.

SEC. 308. No funds are provided in this Act or any other Act for the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration to enter into any agreement to perform energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally, including services provided

on a reimbursable basis, unless the Administrator certifies that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

SEC. 309. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of enactment of this Act, or is generated after such date. For the purposes of this section, the material categories of transuranic waste at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site include: (1) ash residues; (2) salt residues; (3) wet residues; (4) direct repackage residues; and (5) scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site".

SEC. 310. The Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration may authorize the plant manager of a covered nuclear weapons production plant to engage in research, development, and demonstration activities with respect to the engineering and manufacturing capabilities at such plant in order to maintain and enhance such capabilities at such plant: Provided, That of the amount allocated to a covered nuclear weapons production plant each fiscal year from amounts available to the Department of Energy for such fiscal year for national security programs, not more than an amount equal to 2 percent of such amount may be used for these activities: Provided further, That for purposes of this section, the term "covered nuclear weapons production plant" means the following:

(1) The Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Missouri.

(2) The Y–12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

(3) The Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas.

(4) The Savannah River Plant, South Carolina.

SEC. 311. Notwithstanding any other law, and without fiscal year limitation, each Federal Power Marketing Administration is authorized to engage in activities and solicit, undertake and review studies and proposals relating to the formation and operation of a regional transmission organization.

SEC. 312. Not more than $10,000,000 of funds previously appropriated for interim waste storage activities for Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal in Public Law 104–46, the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1996, may be made available to the Department of Energy upon written certification by the Secretary of Energy to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that the Site Recommendation Report cannot be completed on time without additional funding.

SEC. 313. TERM OF OFFICE OF PERSON FIRST APPOINTED AS UNDER SECRETARY FOR NUCLEAR SECURITY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY. (a) LENGTH OF TERM.—The term of office as Under Secretary for Nuclear Security of the Department of Energy of the first person appointed to that position shall be three years.

(b) EXCLUSIVE REASONS FOR REMOVAL.—The exclusive reasons for removal from office as Under Secretary for Nuclear Security of the person described in subsection (a) shall be inefficiency, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office.

(c) POSITION DESCRIBED.—The position of Under Secretary for Nuclear Security of the Department of Energy referred to in this section is the position established by subsection (c) of section 202 of the

Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7132), as added by section 3202 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (title XXXII of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 954).

SEC. 314. SCOPE OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF ENERGY TO MODIFY ORGANIZATION OF NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION. (a) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—Subtitle A of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (title XXXII of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 957; 50 U.S.C. 2401 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"SEC. 3219. SCOPE OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF ENERGY TO MODIFY ORGANIZATION OF ADMINISTRATION.

"Notwithstanding the authority granted by section 643 of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7253) or any other provision of law, the Secretary of Energy may not establish, abolish, alter, consolidate, or discontinue any organizational unit or component, or transfer any function, of the Administration, except as authorized by subsection (b) or (c) of section 3291.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 643 of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7253) is amended—

(1) by striking "The Secretary" and inserting "(a) Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) The authority of the Secretary to establish, abolish, alter, consolidate, or discontinue any organizational unit or component of the National Nuclear Security Administration is governed by the provisions of section 3219 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (title XXXII of Public Law 106–65).".

SEC. 315. PROHIBITION ON PAY OF PERSONNEL ENGAGED IN CONCURRENT SERVICE OR DUTIES INSIDE AND OUTSIDE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION. Subtitle C of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (title XXXII of Public Law 106–65; 50 U.S.C. 2441 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"SEC. 3245. PROHIBITION ON PAY OF PERSONNEL ENGAGED IN CONCURRENT SERVICE OR DUTIES INSIDE AND OUTSIDE ADMINISTRATION.

"(a) Except as otherwise expressly provided by statute, no funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Energy may be obligated or utilized to pay the basic pay of an officer or employee of the Department of Energy who—

"(1) serves concurrently in a position in the Administration and a position outside the Administration; or

"(2) performs concurrently the duties of a position in the Administration and the duties of a position outside the Administration."

"(b) The provision of this section shall take effect 60 days after the date of enactment of this section.".

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended,

for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, $66,400,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100–456, section 1441, $18,500,000, to remain available until expended.

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses to establish the Delta Regional Authority and to carry out its activities, $20,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DENALI COMMISSION

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, $30,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed $15,000), $481,900,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, $21,600,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at $447,958,000 in fiscal year 2001 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That $3,200,000 of the funds herein appropriated for regulatory reviews and assistance to other Federal agencies and States shall be excluded from license fee revenues, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 2214: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2001 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2001 appropriation estimated at not more than $33,942,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, $5,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided,

That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at $5,390,000 in fiscal year 2001 shall be retained and be available until expended, for necessary salaries and expenses in this account notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2001 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2001 appropriation estimated at not more than $110,000.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100–203, section 5051, $2,900,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TITLE V

FISCAL YEAR 2001 EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

CERRO GRANDE FIRE ACTIVITIES

For necessary expenses to remediate damaged Department of Energy facilities and for other expenses associated with the Cerro Grande fire, $203,460,000, to remain available until expended, of which $2,000,000 shall be made available to the United States Army Corps of Engineers to undertake immediate measures to provide erosion control and sediment protection to sewage lines, trails, and bridges in Pueblo and Los Alamos Canyons downstream of Diamond Drive in New Mexico: Provided, That the entire amount shall be available only to the extent an official budget request for $203,460,000, that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted by the President to the Congress: Provided further, That the entire amount is designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For necessary expenses to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, $11,000,000, to remain available until expended, which shall be available only to the extent an official budget request for $11,000,000, that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted by the President to the Congress: Provided, That the entire amount is designated by the Congress as an emergency require-

ment pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

TITLE VI

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 602. (a) PURCHASE OF AMERICAN-MADE EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABELING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 603. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the "SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan" described in the report entitled "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal Reclamation law.

SEC. 604. None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be used to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of implementation, or in preparation for implementation, of the Kyoto Protocol which was adopted on December 11, 1997, in

Kyoto, Japan at the Third Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, which has not been submitted to the Senate for advice and consent to ratification pursuant to article II, section 2, clause 2, of the United States Constitution, and which has not entered into force pursuant to article 25 of the Protocol.

SEC. 605. (a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to pay any basic pay of an individual who simultaneously holds or carries out the responsibilities of—

(1) a position within the National Nuclear Security Administration; and

(2) a position within the Department of Energy not within the Administration.

(b) EXCEPTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATOR FOR NUCLEAR SECURITY AND DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR FOR NAVAL REACTORS.—The limitation in subsection (a) shall not apply to the following cases:

(1) The Under Secretary of Energy for Nuclear Security serving as the Administrator for Nuclear Security, as provided in section 3212(a)(2) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2402(a)(2)).

(2) The director of the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program provided for under the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Executive Order serving as the Deputy Administrator for Naval Reactors, as provided in section 3216(a)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2406(a)(1)).

SEC. 606. FUNDING OF THE COASTAL WETLANDS PLANNING, PROTECTION AND RESTORATION ACT. Section 4(a) of the Act of August 9, 1950 (16 U.S.C. 777c(a)), is amended in the second sentence by striking "2000" and inserting "2009".

SEC. 607. REDESIGNATION OF INTERSTATE SANITATION COMMISSION AND DISTRICT. (a) INTERSTATE SANITATION COMMISSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The district known as the "Interstate Sanitation Commission", established by article III of the Tri-State Compact described in the Resolution entitled, "A Joint Resolution granting the consent of Congress to the States of New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut to enter into a compact for the creation of the Interstate Sanitation District and the establishment of the Interstate Sanitation Commission", approved August 27, 1935 (49 Stat. 933), is redesignated as the "Interstate Environmental Commission".

(2) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, regulation, map, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Interstate Sanitation Commission shall be deemed to be a reference to the Interstate Environmental Commission.

(b) INTERSTATE SANITATION DISTRICT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The district known as the "Interstate Sanitation District", established by article II of the Tri-State Compact described in the Resolution entitled, "A Joint Resolution granting the consent of Congress to the States of New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut to enter into a compact for the creation of the Interstate Sanitation District and the establishment of the Interstate Sanitation Commission", approved August 27, 1935 (49 Stat. 932), is redesignated as the "Interstate Environmental District".

(2) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, regulation, map, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Interstate Sanitation District shall be deemed to be a reference to the Interstate Environmental District.

TITLE VII

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

GIFTS TO THE UNITED STATES FOR REDUCTION OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

For deposit of an additional amount for fiscal year 2001 into the account established under section 3113(d) of title 31, United States Code, to reduce the public debt, $5,000,000,000.

TITLE VIII

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Section 6101 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 2214) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(3), by striking "September 30, 1999" and inserting "September 20, 2005"; and

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or certificate holder" after "licensee"; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

"(2) AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF CHARGES.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The aggregate amount of the annual charges collected from all licensees and certificate holders in a fiscal year shall equal an amount that approximates the percentages of the budget authority of the Commission for the fiscal year stated in subparagraph (B), less—

"(i) amounts collected under subsection (b) during the fiscal year; and

"(ii) amounts appropriated to the Commission from the Nuclear Waste Fund for the fiscal year.

"(B) PERCENTAGES.—The percentages referred to in subparagraph (A) are—

"(i) 98 percent for fiscal year 2001;

"(ii) 96 percent for fiscal year 2002;

"(iii) 94 percent for fiscal year 2003;

"(iv) 92 percent for fiscal year 2004; and

"(v) 90 percent for fiscal year 2005.".

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2001".

And the Senate agree to the same.

RON PACKARD,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
TOM LATHAM,

ROGER F. WICKER,
C.W. BILL YOUNG,
PETER J. VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MICHAEL P. FORBES,
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
LARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
DANIEL INOUYE,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4733) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 106–693 and Senate Report 106–395 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the statement of the managers, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the statement of the managers is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference are discussed below.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates $160,038,000 for General Investigations instead of $153,327,000 as proposed by the House and $139,219,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Within available funds, $50,000 is provided for erosion control studies in the Harding Lake watershed in Alaska. The conference agreement deletes the bill language proposed by the Senate for this project.

The conference agreement does not include funds proposed by the House in this account for the Hamilton Airfield Wetlands Restoration project in California and the Ohio River Greenway project in Indiana. Funding for these projects is included in the Construction, General account. The conference agreement does not include funds in this account for the White River, Muncie, Indiana, project. Funding for this project has been included within the amount provided for the Section 1135 program.

The conference agreement includes $150,000 for the Corps of Engineers to undertake studies of potential navigational improvements, shoreline protection, and breakwater protection at the ports of Rota and Tinian in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

The conferees have provided $200,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate and complete a comprehensive water management reconnaissance study for ecosystem restoration and related purposes in the St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair watersheds in Michigan pursuant to section 426 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999.

Within the amount provided for Research and Development, $200,000 is provided for a topographic/bathymetric mapping project for Coastal Louisiana in cooperation with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration at the interagency Federal laboratory in Lafayette, Louisiana. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate for this work. The conferees also urge the Corps of Engineers to use available Research and Development funds for a review of innovative dredging technologies for potential implementation in the Peoria Lakes, Illinois, area.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and the Senate which provides that in conducting the Southwest Valley Flood Damage Reduction, Albuquerque, New Mexico, study, the Corps of Engineers shall include an evaluation of flood damage reduction measures that would otherwise be excluded from the feasibility analysis based on policies regarding the frequency of flooding, the drainage area, and the amount of runoff.

The conferees have agreed to include language in the bill which directs the Corps of Engineers to use $750,000 to continue preconstruction engineering and design of the Murrieta Creek, California, flood control project in accordance with Alternative 6, as identified in the Murrieta Creek Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement dated June 2000.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program, the Detroit River, Michigan, project, and the Niobrara River and Missouri River, South Dakota, project. Funds for these

projects have been included in the overall amount provided for General Investigations.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the selection of a permanent disposal site for environmentally sound dredged material from navigation projects in the State of Rhode Island. Funds for this work have been provided within the amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General.

Within the amount provided for Flood Plain Management Services, the conference agreement includes $250,000 for the Corps of Engineers to undertake a study of drainage problems in the Winchester, Kentucky, area. In addition, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to complete a report on flood control problems on Negro Creek at Sprague, Washington.

Within the amount provided for Planning Assistance to States, the conference agreement includes $100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to update the daily flow model for the Delaware River Basin.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $1,695,699,000 for Construction, General instead of $1,378,430,000 as proposed by the House and $1,361,449,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount recommended by the conferees for the Corps of Engineers construction program represents a significant increase over the budget request and the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2000. However, the conferees note that the budget request grossly underfunds many ongoing construction projects, and its enactment would result in increased project costs, major delays in the completion of projects and loss of project benefits. The conferees also note that the Corps of Engineers, through the use of unobligated balances, expects its fiscal year 2000 construction expenditures to be approximately $1,600,000,000.

The conferees note that the Lake Worth Inlet, Florida, sand transfer plant project is behind schedule and expect the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the project as expeditiously as possible.

Within the amount provided for the West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control Project, $1,000,000 is provided for the following projects within the State of Pennsylvania: Bloody Run/Everett Borough ($25,000); Shoups Run/Carbon Township ($150,500); Six Mile Run/Coaldale ($125,000); Black Log Creek/Boroughs of Orbisonia and Rockhill Furnace ($127,000); Newton Hamilton Borough ($465,500); and Coal Bank Run/Coalmont Borough ($107,000).

The conference agreement includes $150,000 for the Southeastern Pennsylvania project for the Corps of Engineers to prepare a decision document to determine the Federal interest in and the scope of the problems in the Logan and Feltonville sections of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to use $500,000 to initiate the Hillsboro Inlet, Florida, project in accordance with the Jacksonville District's General Reevaluation Report for the project dated May 2000.

The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers to undertake water related infrastructure projects in

northeastern Pennsylvania as authorized by section 502(f)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999.

The conference agreement includes $500,000 for the Corps of Engineers to undertake water related infrastructure projects in Avis Borough and Renovo Borough, Clinton County, Pennsylvania.

The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for sanitary sewer and water and wastewater infrastructure projects in Towanencin Township, Pennsylvania, as authorized by section 502(f)(8) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999; $200,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of St. Louis, Missouri, as authorized by section 502(f)(32) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999; and $300,000 for water related infrastructure projects in Lake and Porter Counties, Indiana, as authorized by section 502(f)(12) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999. In addition, the conference agreement includes $2,500,000 to carry out environmental infrastructure projects in northeastern Minnesota as authorized by section 569 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999.

The conference agreement includes $25,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers to design, construct, and operate water quality projects in the San Gabriel Basin of California; and $4,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers, in coordination with other Federal agencies and the Brazos River Authority, to participate in investigations and projects in the Bosque and Leon Watersheds in Texas to assess the impact of the perchlorate associated with the former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant at McGregor, Texas.

The conference agreement includes $300,000 for the Corps of Engineers to continue the environmental restoration pilot project at Dog River, Alabama.

The conference agreement includes $1,500,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the City of Lebanon, New Hampshire, as authorized by section 502(f)(37) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999; $1,500,000 for environmental infrastructure projects in Ohio authorized in section 594 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999; and $3,000,000 for environmental infrastructure projects in central New Mexico authorized in section 593 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999.

The conference agreement includes a total of $37,100,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project. In addition to the amounts included in the budget request, the conference agreement includes: $4,000,000 for the Clover Fork, Kentucky, element of the project; $4,800,000 for the Middlesboro, Kentucky, element of the project; $1,000,000 for the City of Cumberland, Kentucky, element of the project; $700,000 for the Town of Martin, Kentucky, element of the project; $4,200,000 for the Pike County, Kentucky, element of the project, including $1,400,000 for additional studies along the tributaries of the Tug Fork and the initiation of a Detailed Project Report for the Levisa Fork; $3,500,000 for the Martin County, Kentucky, element of the project; $1,200,000 for additional studies along the tributaries of the Cumberland River in Bell County, Kentucky; $800,000 to continue the detailed project report for the Buchanan County, Virginia, element of the project; $700,000 to continue the detailed

project report for the Dickenson County, Virginia, element of the project; $1,500,000 for the Upper Mingo County, West Virginia, element of the project; $1,600,000 for the Kermit, Lower Mingo County (Kermit), West Virginia, element of the project; $400,000 for the Wayne County, West Virginia, element of the project; and $600,000 for the McDowell County, West Virginia, element of the project.

The conference agreement includes $7,000,000 for the Dam Safety and Seepage Stability Correction Program. Of the amount provided, $1,000,000 is for repairs to the Mississinewa Lake, Indiana, project, and up to $2,000,000 is for the Waterbury Dam, Vermont, project.

The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for the Rural Nevada project authorized by section 595 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999. Of the amount provided, $1,500,000 is for the Lawton-Verdi, Nevada, sewer inceptor project; $1,000,000 is for the Mesquite, Nevada, project; and $1,500,000 for the Silver Springs, Nevada, sanitary sewer project.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to undertake the projects listed in the House and Senate reports and the projects described below for the various continuing authorities programs. The recommended funding levels for those programs are as follows: Section 206—$19,000,000; Section 204—$4,000,000; Section 14—$9,000,000; Section 205—$35,000,000; Section 111—$300,000; Section 107—$11,000,000; Section 1135—$21,000,000; Section 103—$2,500,000; and Section 208—$600,000. The conferees are aware that there are funding requirements for ongoing continuing authorities projects that may not be accommodated within the funds provided for each program. It is not the conferees' intent that ongoing projects be terminated. If additional funds are needed during the year to keep ongoing work in any program on schedule, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to reprogram funds into the program within available funds.

Of the amount provided for the Section 14 program, $580,000 is to initiate and complete the planning and design analysis phase, execute a project cooperation agreement, and initiate and complete construction for the Rouge River, Southfield, Michigan, project.

Of the amount provided for the Section 111 program, $300,000 is to prepare a shoreline stabilization study and plans and specifications, and award a construction contract for the Virginia Key, Florida, project.

Of the amount provided for the Section 205 program, $100,000 is to undertake the Columbus, New Mexico, project; $200,000 is to undertake the Battle Mountain, Nevada, project; and $500,000 is to undertake the Hay Creek, Roseau County, Minnesota, project. The conference agreement deletes the bill language proposed by the Senate for the Hay Creek project. In addition, for the McKeel Brook, Dover and Rockaway Townships, New Jersey, project, the funds provided are to be used to complete plans and specifications and initiate construction of the Morris County plan.

Of the amount provided for the Section 1135 program, $100,000 is to initiate the upland environmental restoration study for the Virginia Key, Florida, project; $300,000 is to prepare an environmental restoration report and prepare a project cooperation agreement for the White River, Muncie, Indiana, project; $250,000

is to initiate and complete a preliminary restoration plan and a feasibility report for the Sand Creek, Newton, Kansas, project; and $200,000 is to initiate the ecosystem restoration report for the Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont, project. In addition, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the most cost effective solution to the water quality degradation and related environmental and public impacts associated with the western jetty at the mouth of the Genessee River at Rochester, New York.

Of the amount provided for the Section 107 program, $810,000 is for construction of the Pemiscot Harbor, Missouri, project; $3,000,000 is for construction of the Ouzinkie Harbor, Alaska, project; and $500,000 is to initiate construction of the South Basin Inner Harbor, Buffalo, New York, project.

The amount provided for the Section 206 program does not include funds for the Upper Truckee River project. Funds for this project are included in the Bureau of Reclamation's Wetlands Development Program.

The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for the Aquatic Plant Control program. Within the amount provided, $400,000 is for aquatic weed control in Lake Champlain, Vermont, $250,000 is for aquatic plant control within the State of South Carolina, and $100,000 is for the control and tracking of aquatic plants in the Potomac River in Virginia and Maryland.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amount specified: San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, $5,000,000; San Gabriel Basin Groundwater Restoration, California, $25,000,000; Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, $10,000,000; Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, $4,000,000; Clover Fork, Middlesboro, City of Cumberland, Town of Martin, Pike County (including Levisa Fork and Tug Fork tributaries), Bell County, Martin County, and Harlan County, Kentucky, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, $20,000,000; Jackson County, Mississippi, $2,000,000; Bosque and Leon Rivers, Texas, $4,000,000; Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County Tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, and McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, $4,100,000.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the Town of Martin element of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in accordance with a Plan A as set forth in the preliminary draft Detailed Project Report, Appendix T of the General Plan of the Huntington District Commander.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to use $900,000 to undertake the Bowie County Levee project in Texas, which is defined as Alternative B Local Sponsor Option in the Corps of Engineers document entitled Bowie County Local Flood Protection, Red River, Texas, project Design Memorandum No. 1, Bowie County Levee, dated April 1997.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that none of the funds appropriated in the Act may be used to begin Phase II of the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to use available Construction, General, funds to complete design and construction of the Red River Regional Visitors Center in the vicinity of Shreveport, Louisiana, at an estimated cost of $6,000,000.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which increases the authorization for the Norco Bluffs, California, project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to use $3,000,000 of the funds appropriated in the Act for additional emergency bank stabilization measures at Galena, Alaska, under the same terms and conditions as previously undertaken emergency bank stabilization work.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to use $4,200,000 appropriated in the Act to continue construction of the Ocean Isle Beach segment of the Brunswick County Beaches, North Carolina, project in accordance with the General Reevaluation Report approved by the Chief of Engineers on May 15, 1998.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to use $300,000 of the funds appropriated in the Act to reimburse the City of Renton, Washington, for mitigation expenses incurred for the flood control project constructed on the Cedar River at Renton as a result of over-dredging by the Corps of Engineers.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate subjecting the expenditure of previously appropriated funds for the Devils Lake, North Dakota, project to a number of conditions.

The conference agreement includes language which provides that $2,000,000 shall be available for stabilization and renovation of Lock and Dam 10 on the Kentucky River, subject to the enactment of authorization for the project.

The conference agreement includes language which directs the Corps of Engineers to use $3,000,000 to initiate construction of a navigation project at Kaumalapau Harbor, Hawaii. The project will consist of a 350-foot long breakwater and a channel depth of 19 feet.

The conference agreement includes language which directs the Corps of Engineers to design and construct seepage control features at Waterbury Dam, Winooski River, Vermont. The Dam Safety and Seepage Correction Program includes up to $2,000,000 to initiate this work. The proposed corrective actions will restore the structural integrity of the dam and reduce the chances of potential failure.

The conference agreement includes language which directs the Corps of Engineers to design and construct barge lanes at the Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, project.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates $347,731,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of $323,350,000 as proposed by the House and $334,450,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $900,000 for the Southeast Arkansas feasibility study. The House had proposed to fund this study in the General Investigations account.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Secretary of the Army to complete the analysis and determination regarding Federal maintenance of the Greenville Inner Harbor, Mississippi, navigation project in accordance with section 509 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

The conference agreement includes $375,000 for construction of the Yazoo Basin Tributaries project and $47,000,000 for continuing construction of Mississippi River levees. The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate regarding these projects.

The conference agreement includes $7,242,000 for operation and maintenance of Arkabutla Lake; $5,280,000 for operation and maintenance of Grenada Lake; $7,680,000 for operation and maintenance of Sardis Lake; and $4,376,000 for operation and maintenance of Enid Lake. The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate regarding these projects.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates $1,901,959,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General, instead of $1,854,000,000 as proposed by the House and $1,862,471,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $6,755,000 for the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers project in Georgia, Alabama, and Florida. The additional funds above the budget request shall be used to implement environmental restoration requirements as specified under the certification issued by the State of Florida under section 401 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and dated October 1999, including $1,200,000 for increased environmental dredging and $500,000 for related environmental studies required by the state water quality certification. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate regarding this project.

The conferees have provided $5,071,000 for the Red Rock Dam and Lake, Iowa, project. The funds provided above the budget request are for repair and replacement of various features of the project including repair of the scouring of the South-East Des Moines levee.

The conference agreement includes $10,400,000 for operation and maintenance of the Pascagoula Harbor, Mississippi, project.

The conference agreement includes $1,500,000 over the budget request for the Corps of Engineers to address impacts of recent

fires, undertake habitat restoration activities, and address other essential requirements at Cochiti Lake in New Mexico.

The conference agreement includes an additional $3,000,000 for the Jemez Dam, New Mexico, project for the Corps of Engineers to address the impacts of increased water releases required to help sustain the endangered silvery minnow.

The conferees have provided an additional $600,000 for the Waco Lake, Texas, project for the Corps of Engineers to address the higher lake levels associated with the raising of the dam.

The conferees have provided $12,570,000 for the Grays Harbor, Washington, project, including $650,000 for repair of the south jetty, $1,000,000 to complete the rehabilitation of the north jetty at Ocean Shores, and $1,100,000 for the north jetty operations and maintenance study.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to prepare the necessary documents and initiate removal of submerged obstructions in the area previously marked by the Ambrose Light Tower in New York Harbor.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing $500,000 for maintenance and repair of the Sakonnet Harbor breakwater in Little Compton, Rhode Island. Funds for this project are included in the amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing $50,000 for a study of crossings across the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal. The amount provided for operation and maintenance of the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal project includes $50,000 for the Corps of Engineers to conduct a study to determine the adequacy and timing for maintaining good and sufficient crossings across the canal.

Although the conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate regarding the marketing of dredged material from the Delaware River Deepening project, the conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to establish such a program.

The conference agreement includes language which directs the Corps of Engineers to use $500,000 to dredge a channel from the mouth of Wheeling Creek to Tunnel Green Park in Wheeling, West Virginia.

The conference agreement includes language which provides that $500,000 of the funds provided for the Columbia and Lower Willamette River below Vancouver, Washington, and Portland, Oregon, project shall be used to remove and reinstall the docks and causeway, in kind, at the Astoria East Boat Basin in Oregon.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to extend the sheet pile wall on the west end of the entrance to the Dillingham, Alaska, small boat harbor, and to replace the existing wooden bulkhead at the city dock under the provisions of Public Law 99–190.

The conferees are aware of costs associated with maintaining and operating the complex computer system used to execute and program activities for the entire Operation and Maintenance program. The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to specifically budget for this computer system in future years and, within avail-

able fiscal year 2001 funds, pay for this effort under Operation and Maintenance, General.

The conferees are aware of a plan to improve the effectiveness of public information exhibits located within visitor centers at Corps of Engineers projects. The initial plan will be developed by a multidiscipline team and is scheduled to be completed this year. The conferees expect the plan to be developed within available Operation and Maintenance, General, funds and expect implementation of any plans to be justified in future budget requests.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to extend the existing Bethel Bank Stabilization project in Alaska an additional 1200 linear feet upstream, and to remove sediments from Brown's Slough that hamper safe navigation.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates $125,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program as proposed by the House instead of $120,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and the Senate which will improve the analysis and increase the information available to the public and the Congress regarding the costs of the nationwide permit program and permit processing times.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates $140,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees concur with the language in the Senate report regarding the Parks Township Shallow Land Disposal Area in Armstrong County, Pennsylvania.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates $152,000,000 for General Expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of $149,500,000 as proposed by the House.

REVOLVING FUND

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and the Senate which provides that amounts in the Revolving Fund are available for the costs of relocating the Corps of Engineers headquarters to the General Accounting Office building.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

Section 101. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which provides for the transfer of responsibility of local sponsorship of recreation development at Joe Pool Lake,

Texas, from the Trinity River Authority to the City of Grand Prairie, Texas.

Section 102. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which places a limit on credits and reimbursements allowable per project and annually.

Section 103. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which prohibits the use of funds to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual if the revision provides for increases in springtime water releases during spring heavy rainfall or snow melt.

Section 104. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that none of the funds provided in this Act may used for activities related to the closure or removal of the St. Georges Bridge across the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal in Delaware.

Section 105. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that the Secretary of the Army shall provide up to $7,000,000 to replace and upgrade the dam in Kake, Alaska.

Provisions not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House extending the authorization for spending Coastal Wetlands Restoration Trust Fund receipts. This matter has been addressed in Title VI. The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate regarding the use of continuing contracts for Corps of Engineers projects. The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Pascagoula Harbor, Mississippi, project and the Gulfport Harbor, Mississippi, project. Funds for those projects are included in the amounts appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General, and Construction, General, respectively.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate regarding the Kihei Area Erosion project in Hawaii. It is the intent of the conferees that the Kihei Area Erosion study shall include an analysis of the extent and causes of the shoreline erosion. Further, a regional economic development (RED) analysis shall be included. The results of the RED analysis shall be displayed in all study documents along with the traditional benefit-cost analysis including recommendations of the Chief of Engineers.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate regarding the Waikiki Erosion Control project in Hawaii. It is the intent of the conferees that the Waikiki Erosion Control study shall include an analysis of environmental resources that have been, or may be, threatened by erosion of the shoreline. Further, a regional economic development (RED) analysis shall be included. The results of the RED analysis shall be displayed in all study documents along with the traditional benefit-cost analysis including recommendations of the Chief of Engineers.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Army to conduct a study to determine the need for providing additional crossing capacity across the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal. The conference agreement includes $50,000 under Operation and Maintenance, General for the Corps of Engineers to conduct a study to determine

the adequacy and timing for maintaining good and sufficient crossings across the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate expressing the sense of the Senate concerning dredging of the main channel of the Delaware River and language proposed by the Senate regarding the Historic Area Remediation Site.

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
ALABAMA				
ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL	200	---	200	---
BALDWIN COUNTY WATERSHEDS, AL	200	---	200	---
BAYOU LA BATRE, AL	100	---	100	---
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	521	---	521	---
BREWTON AND EAST BREWTON, AL	50	---	50	---
CAHABA RIVER WATERSHED, AL	50	---	50	---
COOSA RIVER, AL	---	---	---	150
DOG RIVER, AL	250	---	250	---
LUBBUB CREEK, AL	50	---	50	---
LUXAPALILA CREEK, LAMAR COUNTY, AL	---	---	100	---
VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON COUNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHED)	250	---	250	---
ALASKA				
AKUTAN HARBOR, AK	108	---	108	---
AKUTAN HARBOR, AK	---	150	---	150
ANCHOR POINT HARBOR, AK	---	---	50	---
ANIAK, AK	50	---	50	---
BARROW COASTAL STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, AK	150	---	150	---
CHANDALAR RIVER WATERSHED, VENETIE INDIAN, AK	50	---	50	---
CHENA RIVER WATERSHED, AK	50	---	50	---
CRAIG HARBOR, AK	---	---	100	---
DELONG MOUNTAIN HARBOR, AK	422	---	700	---
DOUGLAS HARBOR EXPANSION, AK	109	---	109	---
DOUGLAS HARBOR EXPANSION, AK	---	50	---	50
FALSE PASS HARBOR, AK	---	250	---	250
FIRE ISLAND, AK	---	---	100	---
GASTINEAU CHANNEL MODIFICATION, AK	50	---	50	---
HAINES HARBOR, AK	---	---	200	---
KENAI RIVER WATERSHED, AK	50	---	50	---
KETCHIKAN HARBOR, AK	---	---	200	---
KOTZEBUE SMALL BOAT HARBOR, AK	---	---	150	---
LITTLE DIOMEDE HARBOR, AK	---	---	75	---
MATANUSKA RIVER WATERSHED, AK	100	---	100	---
MEKORYUK HARBOR, AK	---	---	100	---
NAKNEK RIVER WATERSHED, AK	50	---	50	---
NAPASKIAK HARBOR, AK	69	---	69	---
PERRYVILLE HARBOR, AK	120	---	120	---
PORT LIONS HARBOR, AK	107	---	107	---
QUINHAGAK HARBOR, AK	100	---	100	---
SAINT GEORGE HARBOR IMPROVEMENT, AK	---	---	200	---
SHIP CREEK WATERSHED, AK	53	---	53	---
SITKA HARBOR, AK	---	---	100	---
SKAGWAY HARBOR MODIFICATION, AK	100	---	100	---
UNALAKLEET HARBOR, AK	74	---	74	---
UNALASKA HARBOR, AK	209	---	209	---
UNALASKA HARBOR, AK	---	58	---	58
VALDEZ HARBOR EXPANSION, AK	43	---	43	---

VALDEZ HARBOR EXPANSION, AK	---	150	---	150
WHITTIER BREAKWATER, AK	---	---	169	---
AMERICAN SAMOA				
TUTUILA HARBOR, AS	275	---	275	---
ARIZONA				
COLONIAS ALONG THE U.S./MEXICO BORDER, AZ & TX	---	---	---	260
GILA RIVER, NORTHEAST PHOENIX DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	212	---	212	---
LITTLE COLORADO RIVER, AZ	100	---	100	---
PIMA COUNTY, AZ	75	---	175	---
RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ	290	---	290	---
RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ	---	250	---	375
RIO SALADO ESTE, AZ	175	---	175	---
RIO SALADO OESTE, AZ	175	---	400	---
SANTA CRUZ RIVER (GRANT RD. TO LOWELL RD.), AZ	---	---	300	---
SANTA CRUZ RIVER (PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS), AZ	100	---	335	---
TRES RIOS, AZ	---	250	---	500
TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	---	432	---	800
VA SHLY-AY AKIMEL SALT RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT, AZ	---	---	150	---
ARKANSAS				
ARKANSAS RIVER LEVEES, AR	---	---	---	400
ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION STUDY, AR & OK	753	---	753	---
MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	247	---	247	---
NORTH LITTLE ROCK, DARK HOLLOW, AR	---	200	---	500
RED RIVER NAVIGATION STUDY, SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS, AR	200	---	200	---
WHITE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, AR & MO	500	---	500	---
WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION, AR	---	---	300	---
WHITE RIVER MINIMUM FLOW STUDY, AR	---	---	850	---
CALIFORNIA				
ALISO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA	50	---	500	---
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA	---	3,285	---	3,285
ARROYO PASAJERO, CA	---	500	---	500
BOLINAS LAGOON ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	---	300	---	300
CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CA	175	---	175	---
COAST OF CALIFORNIA STORM AND TIDAL WAVE STUDY, CA	---	---	500	---
HUNTINGTON BEACH, BLUFFTOP PARK, CA	---	---	211	---
LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA, CA	200	---	200	---
LLAGAS CREEK, CA	---	240	---	700
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA	225	---	225	---
LOS ANGELES HARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, CA	---	375	---	750
LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA	---	325	---	325
MALIBU CREEK, CA	---	---	400	---
MARE ISLAND, CA	---	---	---	500

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA	---	---	500	---
MATILIJA DAM, CA	150	---	150	---
MIDDLE CREEK, CA	---	160	---	160
MOJAVE RIVER FORKS DAM, CA	200	---	200	---
MORRO BAY ESTUARY, CA	250	---	900	---
MUGU LAGOON, CA	250	---	250	---
MURRIETA CREEK, CA	---	300	---	750
N CA STREAMS, DRY CREEK, MIDDLETOWN, CA	150	---	150	---
N CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRAMENTO RVR RIPARIAN REVEGETATI	237	---	237	---
N CA STREAMS, MIDDLE CREEK, CA	90	---	90	---
N CA STREAMS, SUISUN MARSH, CA	65	---	65	---
NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	300	---	300	---
NAPA VALLEY WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	50	---	50	---
NCS, LOWER CACHE CREEK, YOLO COUNTY, WOODLAND AND VIC,	300	---	500	---
NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA	---	350	---	350
NEWPORT BAY (LA-3 SITE DESIGNATION STUDY), CA	---	---	800	---
NEWPORT BAY/SAN DIEGO CREEK WATERSHED, CA	381	---	381	---
ORANGE COUNTY COAST BEACH EROSION, CA	---	---	475	---
ORANGE COUNTY, SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	100	---	100	---
PAJARO RIVER AT WATSONVILLE, CA	---	600	---	1,200
PAJARO RIVER BASIN STUDY, CA	50	---	50	---
PENINSULA BEACH (CITY OF LONG BEACH), CA	---	---	250	---
PINE FLAT DAM, FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION,	---	300	---	300
PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	150	---	150	---
POSO CREEK, CA	150	---	500	---
RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CA	---	200	---	200
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	250	---	250	---
RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	200	---	200	---
SACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CA	300	---	300	---
SACRAMENTO AND SAN JOAQUIN COMPREHENSIVE BASIN STUDY,	1,500	---	3,000	---
SAN ANTONIO CREEK, CA	125	---	125	---
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CA	100	---	100	---
SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHORELINE, CA	---	---	325	---
SAN DIEGO HARBOR, NATIONAL CITY, CA	125	---	125	---
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CA	250	---	700	---
SAN GABRIEL RIVER TO NEWPORT BAY, CA	---	---	150	---
SAN JACINTO RIVER, CA	225	---	225	---
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, STOCKTON METRO AREA, FARMINGTON D	---	150	---	150
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, STOCKTON METRO AREA, FARMINGTON D	100	---	100	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, CONSUMNES & MOKELUMNE RIVERS,	150	---	150	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, CORRAL HOLLOW CREEK, CA	65	---	65	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA	65	---	250	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, C	180	---	180	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, TUOLUMNE RIVER, CA	150	---	300	---
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, CA	213	---	213	---
SAN JUAN CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	50	---	200	---
SAN JUAN CREEK, SOUTH ORANGE COUNTY, CA	50	---	50	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA	170	---	170	---
SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CA	200	---	200	---
SANTA ROSA CREEK WATERSHED, CA	300	---	300	---

SANTA YNEZ, CA	---	---	100	---
SOLANA BEACH, CA	---	---	350	---
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA	---	200	---	200
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA SPECIAL AREA MANAGEMENT PLANS, CA.	---	---	1,882	---
STRONG AND CHICKEN RANCH SLOUGHS, CA	150	---	300	---
SUTTER BASIN, CA	150	---	150	---
TAHOE BASIN, CA & NV	150	---	150	---
TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, CA	205	---	500	---
TULE RIVER, CA	---	400	---	400
UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	---	500	---	500
UPPER PENITENCIA CREEK, CA	300	---	300	---
UPPER SANTA ANA RIVER WATERSHED, CA	100	---	100	---
VENTURA HARBOR SAND BYPASS, CA	400	---	400	---
WHITE RIVER AND DEER CREEK, CA	150	---	150	---
WESTMINISTER, CA	---	---	100	---
WHITEWATER RIVER BASIN, CA	---	---	---	500
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	---	400	---	400
COLORADO				
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	250	---	250	---
FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, CO	---	---	100	---
ZUNI AND SUN VALLEY REACHES, SOUTH PLATTE RIVER, CO	---	---	100	---
COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS				
NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, CNMI	100	---	150	---
CONNECTICUT				
COASTAL CONNECTICUT ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT	80	---	80	---
DELAWARE				
C&D CANAL, BALTIMORE HBR CONN CHANNELS, DE & MD (DEEPE	---	100	---	100
DELAWARE COAST FROM BETHANY BEACH TO SOUTH BETHANY, DE	---	---	---	33
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET/LEWES BEACH, D	---	---	---	124
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, BROADKILL BEACH, DE	---	---	---	304
FLORIDA				
BISCAYNE BAY, FL	543	---	543	---
HILLSBOROUGH RIVER, FL	114	---	114	---
LAKE WORTH INLET, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL	114	---	114	---
MILE POINT, FL	114	---	114	---
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	160	---	160	---
WITHLACOOCHEE RIVER, FL	114	---	114	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
GEORGIA				
ALLATOONA LAKE, ETOWAH RIVER, GA	90	---	90	---
ALLATOONA LAKE, LITTLE RIVER, GA	40	---	40	---
ARABIA MOUNTAIN, GA	---	---	100	---
AUGUSTA, GA	500	---	500	---
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	---	50	---	---
INDIAN, SUGAR, ENTRENCHMENT AND FEDERAL PRISON CREEKS,	50	---	50	---
LONG ISLAND, MARSH AND JOHNS CREEKS, GA	50	---	50	---
METRO ATLANTA WATERSHED, GA	499	---	499	---
SAVANNAH HARBOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, GA	450	---	450	---
SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA	---	100	---	100
SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA & SC	400	---	400	---
UTOY, SANDY AND PROCTOR CREEKS, GA	100	---	100	---
HAWAII				
ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI	140	---	140	---
BARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OAHU, HI	---	173	---	173
HAWAII WATER MANAGENMENT, HI	---	---	200	---
HONOLULU HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, OAHU, HI	200	---	200	---
KAHUKU, HI	---	---	---	200
KAHULUI HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, MAUI, HI	150	---	150	---
KAWAIHAE DEEP DRAFT HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HAWAII, HI	40	---	40	---
KIHEI AREA EROSION, HI	---	---	100	---
WAIKIKI EROSION CONTROL, HI	---	---	100	---
IDAHO				
BOISE RIVER, BOISE, ID	165	---	165	---
GOOSE CREEK, OAKLEY, ID	---	---	100	---
KOOTENAI RIVER AT BONNERS FERRY, ID	60	---	60	---
LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, ID	165	---	165	---
PAYETTE AND SNAKE RIVER, ID	---	---	100	---
ILLINOIS				
ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL	---	200	---	200
DES PLAINES RIVER, IL	---	400	---	400
DES PLAINES RIVER, IL (PHASE II)	250	---	750	---
ILLINOIS BEACH STATE PARK, IL	---	---	---	325
ILLINOIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL	500	---	500	---
KANKAKEE RIVER BASIN, IL & IN	300	---	600	---
PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL	400	---	400	---
ROCK RIVER, IL & WI	700	---	700	---
UPPER MISS & ILLINOIS NAV IMPROVEMENTS, IL, IA, MN, MO	2,105	---	2,105	---
UPPER MISS & ILLINOIS NAV IMPROVEMENTS, IL, IA, MN, MO	---	4,707	---	4,707
UPPER MISS RVR SYS FLOW FREQUENCY STUDY, IL, IA, MN, M	888	---	888	---
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	---	300	---	300
WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	---	310	---	310

INDIANA				
INDIANA HARBOR ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, IN	---	---	500	---
JOHN T MYERS LOCKS AND DAM, IN & KY	---	2,210	---	2,210
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER (CADDY MARSH DITCH), IN	---	---	---	250
IOWA				
DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA	400	---	600	---
INDIAN CREEK, COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA	80	---	80	---
KANSAS				
TOPEKA, KS	200	---	200	---
TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	---	353	---	353
UPPER TURKEY RUN CREEK, KS	---	---	100	---
WALNUT AND WHITEWATER RIVER WATERSHEDS, KS	200	---	200	---
KENTUCKY				
BANKLICK CREEK, KY	100	---	100	---
GREENUP LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & OH	---	1,300	---	1,300
LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY	260	---	260	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY	100	---	100	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY	250	---	250	---
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY	161	---	161	---
OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL, IN, PA, WV	4,141	---	4,141	---
OHIO RIVER SHORELINE, PADUCAH, KY	---	---	---	400
LOUISIANA				
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA.	200	---	400	---
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER, BAYOUS CHENE, BOENF & BLACK, LA	---	---	250	---
CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	339	---	339	---
CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA	100	---	300	---
HURRICANE PROTECTION, LA	---	---	100	---
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS, LA	686	---	686	---
JEFFERSON PARISH, LA	---	215	---	500
LAFAYETTE PARISH, LA	---	200	---	200
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	1,750	---	1,750	---
ORLEANS PARISH, LA	---	164	---	300
ST BERNARD PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA	100	---	500	---
ST. CHARLES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL. LA	---	---	100	---
PLAQUEMINES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA	---	---	100	---
WEST SHORE, LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	346	---	346	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
MARYLAND				
ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, M	500	---	500	---
ANACOSTIA RIVER, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC	455	---	455	---
BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, GWYNNS FALLS, MD	68	---	68	---
CUMBERLAND, MD	---	700	---	700
EASTERN SHORE, MD	400	---	400	---
LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, MATTAWOMAN, MD	100	---	100	---
LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, ST MARY'S, MD	250	---	250	---
PATUXENT RIVER, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD	---	100	---	100
SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD	---	100	---	100
MASSACHUSETTS				
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	310	---	310	---
BOSTON HARBOR, MA (45-FOOT CHANNEL)	150	---	150	---
COASTAL MASSACHUSETTS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MA	100	---	100	---
MUDDY RIVER, BROOKLINE AND BOSTON, MA	---	---	---	500
SOMERSET AND SEARSBURG DAMS, DEERFIELD RIVER, MA & VT.	100	---	100	---
MICHIGAN				
BELL ISLE SHORELINE, DETROIT, MI	---	---	100	---
DETROIT RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, MI	---	---	250	---
DETROIT RIVER MASTER PLAN, MI	---	---	100	---
DETROIT RIVER SEAWALLS, MI	---	---	100	---
JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN PROGRAM, MI	---	---	100	---
MUSKEGON LAKE, MI	---	---	100	---
GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION SYSTEM, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA, & WI	---	---	500	---
SAULT STE MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI	---	1,000	---	1,000
ST CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	---	---	200	---
MINNESOTA				
LOWER ST ANTHONY FALLS RAPIDS RESTORATION, MN	---	---	400	---
UPPER MISS RIVER WATERSHED MGMT, LAKE ITASCA TO L/D 2,	250	---	250	---
MISSISSIPPI				
PEARL RIVER WATERSHED, MS	---	---	50	---
MISSOURI				
CHESTERFIELD, MO	---	250	---	250
HANNIBAL, MO	---	---	100	---
KANSAS CITY, MO & KS	312	---	312	---
MISSOURI & MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT PROJE	500	---	500	---
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO	220	---	220	---
RIVER DES PERES, MO	---	330	---	330

ST LOUIS HARBOR, MO & IL	---	265	---	265
ST. LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	---	445	---	445
SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO	---	170	---	170
MONTANA				
LOWER YELLOWSTONE RIVER DIVERSION DAM, MT	---	---	---	100
YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT	500	---	500	---
NEBRASKA				
ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	---	275	---	275
LOWER PLATTE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	217	---	217	---
SAND CREEK WATERSHED, WAHOO, NE	---	220	---	220
NEVADA				
LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV	100	---	500	---
TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	---	500	---	500
WALKER RIVER BASIN, NV	100	---	100	---
NEW HAMPSHIRE				
MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN	---	---	500	---
NEW JERSEY				
BARNEGAT BAY, NJ	---	50	---	50
BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	---	---	---	450
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ	---	---	---	391
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, OAKWOOD BEACH, NJ & DE	---	---	---	222
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, REEDS BEACH TO PIERCES POINT	---	---	---	135
DELWARE BAY COASTLINE, VILLAS AND VICINITY, NJ & DE	---	---	---	155
DELAWARE RIVER BASIN, NJ	---	---	100	---
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NJ	---	---	---	150
LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS TO CAPE MAY POINT, NJ	---	---	---	350
LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ	---	---	100	---
LOWER SADDLE RIVER, NJ	---	---	---	100
MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	---	---	---	150
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION, NJ	218	---	218	---
PASSAIC RIVER, HARRISON, NJ	---	---	---	300
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARDO, NJ	550	---	550	---
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ	291	---	291	---
SHREWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES IN MONMOUTH COUNTY, N	120	---	120	---
SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	450	---	450	---
STONY BROOK, NJ	120	---	120	---
UPPER PASSAIC RIVER AND TRIBS, LONG HILL, MORRIS COUNT	300	---	300	---
UPPER ROCKAWAY RIVER, MORRIS COUNTY, NJ	300	---	300	---
WOODBRIDGE AND RAHWAY, NJ	200	---	200	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
NEW MEXICO				
ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM.....	50	---	50	---
RIO GRANDE BASIN, NM, CO & TX.....	500	---	600	---
SANTA CRUZ DAM SEDIMENT STUDY, NM.....	---	---	100	---
SW VALLEY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION STUDY, ALBUQUERQUE, N	330	---	330	---
NEW YORK				
ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK MONITORING PROGRAM, NY.....	---	---	1,000	---
ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY.	---	347	---	347
AUSABLE RIVER BASIN, ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTIES, NY...	200	---	200	---
BOQUET RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ESSEX COUNTY, NY.....	200	---	200	---
BRONX RIVER BASIN, NY.....	250	---	450	---
BUFFALO RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, NY.....	---	---	100	---
CLINTON COUNTY, NY.....	150	---	150	---
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY.....	520	---	520	---
FREEPORT CREEK, VILLAGE OF FREEPORT, NY.....	---	---	100	---
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ.....	800	---	800	---
HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY.....	---	50	---	50
HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY.....	50	---	50	---
HUDSON RIVER, HUDSON, NY.....	120	---	120	---
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, ARVERNE, NY.	50	---	50	---
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY.....	296	---	296	---
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY.....	---	---	200	---
LINDENHURST, NY.....	100	---	100	---
MONTAUK POINT, NY.....	---	---	287	---
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ.....	---	2,528	---	2,528
NEW YORK HARBOR ANCHORAGE AREAS, NY.....	259	---	259	---
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, BAYVILLE, NY.....	300	---	300	---
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY.....	250	---	250	---
SAW MILL RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NY.....	50	---	100	---
SAW MILL RIVER AT ELMSFORD/GREENBURGH, NY.....	---	---	---	750
SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY.....	90	---	90	---
SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY.....	400	---	400	---
SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN WATER MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD.	---	100	---	100
UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NY.....	776	---	776	---
UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, NY.....	---	---	200	---
NORTH CAROLINA				
BOGUE BANKS, NC.....	---	---	250	---
CURRITUCK SOUND, NC.....	100	---	100	---
DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC.....	50	---	300	---
DARE COUNTY BEACHES, HATTERAS AND ORACOKE ISLAND, NC..	---	---	500	---
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC.....	600	---	600	---
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC.....	---	250	---	250
NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC.....	100	---	100	---
SURF CITY, NC.....	---	---	100	---

NORTH DAKOTA				
DEVILS LAKE, ND	50	---	---	4,000
GRAFTON, PARK RIVER, ND	---	900	---	900
RED RIVER OF THE NORTH, ND & MN	---	---	200	---
OHIO				
ASHTABULA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH	---	384	---	384
BUTLER COUNTY, OH	100	---	100	---
COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN AREA, OH	600	---	600	---
HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, MONDAY CREEK, OH.	306	---	306	---
HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, SUNDAY CREEK, OH.	200	---	200	---
MAHONING RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH & PA	---	---	500	---
MUSKINGUM BASIN SYSTEM STUDY, OH	100	---	100	---
OHIO RIVER FLOW COMMODITY STUDY, OH	---	---	200	---
RICHLAND COUNTY, OHIO	100	---	100	---
SANDUSKY RIVER, TIFFIN, OH	---	---	100	---
STEUBENVILLE, OH	---	---	175	---
WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OH, IN & MI	---	---	100	---
OKLAHOMA				
CIMARRON RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, OK, KS, NM & CO	200	---	200	---
SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCE STUDY, OK	200	---	700	---
WARR ACRES, OK	200	---	200	---
OREGON				
COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA	---	923	---	---
TILLAMOOK BAY AND ESTUARY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR	274	---	274	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR	210	---	210	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR	114	---	114	---
WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR	200	---	200	---
PENNSYLVANIA				
BEAVER CREEK, CLARION, PA	---	---	100	---
BLOOMSBURG, PA	441	---	441	---
LOWER WEST BR, SUS RIVER, ENV RESTORATION, BUFFALO CRE	250	---	250	---
NEW CASTLE, PA	---	---	100	---
TURTLE CREEK BASIN, UPPER TURTLE CREEK ENV RESTORATION	66	---	66	---
PUERTO RICO				
RIO GUANAJIBO, PR	---	441	---	441

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
RHODE ISLAND				
QUONSET DAVISVILLE PORT, RI	---	---	100	---
RHODE ISLAND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, RI	191	---	191	---
RHODE ISLAND SOUTH COAST, HABITAT REST & STRM DMG REDU	54	---	54	---
SOUTH CAROLINA				
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	581	---	581	---
BROAD RIVER BASIN, SC	---	---	200	---
CHARLESTON ESTUARY, SC	150	---	150	---
PAWLEYS ISLAND, SC	219	---	219	---
WACCAMAW RIVER, SC	---	---	100	---
YADKIN - PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC	---	50	---	50
SOUTH DAKOTA				
JAMES RIVER, SD	---	---	500	---
NIOBRARA AND MISSOURI RIVERS, SD	---	---	100	---
TENNESSEE				
DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN	200	---	200	---
DUCK RIVER WATERSHED, TN	50	---	50	---
FRENCH BROAD WATERSHED, TN	500	---	500	---
NORTH CHICKAMAUGA CREEK, TN	50	---	50	---
TEXAS				
BOIS D'ARC CREEK, BONHAM, TX	200	---	200	---
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX	230	---	230	---
CITY OF BROWNSVILLE (RESACAS), TX	---	---	100	---
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, LAQUINTA CHANNEL, TX	456	---	456	---
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	1,008	---	1,008	---
DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER, TX	---	100	---	---
FREEPORT AND VINCITY, HURRICANE/FLOOD PROTECTION, TX	---	---	100	---
GIWW MODIFICATIONS, TX	195	---	195	---
GIWW, BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX	500	---	500	---
GIWW, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX	728	---	728	---
GIWW, MATAGORDA BAY, TX	---	100	---	200
GIWW, PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX	653	---	653	---
GREENS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	---	434	---	434
GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVER BASINS, TX	200	---	200	---
HUNTING BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	---	100	---	500
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, TX	600	---	1,500	---
MIDDLE BRAZOS RIVER, TX	300	---	300	---
NORTH BOSQUE RIVER, TX	---	50	---	50
NORTH PADRE ISLAND, CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	---	164	---	1,000
NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX	280	---	280	---
PECAN BAYOU, BROWNWOOD, TX	---	100	---	100

RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX	---	100	---	700
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	544	---	544	---
SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX	114	---	114	---
SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX	---	574	---	574
SULPHUR RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, TX	50	---	50	---
UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	500	---	1,100	---
UTAH				
PROVO AND VICINITY, UT	100	---	100	---
VIRGINIA				
AIWW, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA	342	---	342	---
AIWW, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA	---	200	---	200
CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, VA	---	---	170	---
ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, ENVIR RESTORATION, HAMPTON ROAD	247	---	247	---
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	---	277	---	277
JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (SECTION 216)	200	---	200	---
LAKE MERRIWEATHER, GOSHEN DAM AND SPILLWAY, VA	---	---	---	150
LOWER RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER BASIN, VA	300	---	300	---
NEW RIVER BASIN, VA, NC, & WV	---	---	200	---
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND, VA	1,188	---	1,188	---
POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA	165	---	165	---
POWELL RIVER, STRAIGHT, REEDS AND JONES CREEK, VA	---	200	---	200
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY WATERSHED, VA	205	---	205	---
RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, EMBREY DAM, VA	257	---	---	600
WASHINGTON				
BELLINGHAM BAY, WA	60	---	60	---
CENTRALIA, WA	---	250	---	1,750
CHEHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA	150	---	150	---
DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	---	222	---	222
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	---	600	---	1,500
LAKE WALLULA NAVIGATION CHANNEL, COLUMBIA RIVER, WA	---	---	100	---
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	350	---	790	---
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, WA & OR	---	---	100	---
OCEAN SHORES, WA	100	---	100	---
PUGET SOUND CONFINED DISPOSAL SITES, WA	250	---	250	---
PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA	65	---	65	---
SKAGIT RIVER, WA	270	---	270	---
SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	100	---	100	---
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER BASIN, WA	---	225	---	225
TRI-CITIES AREA RIVERSHORE ENHANCEMENT, WA	250	---	---	---

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET REQUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	CONFERENCE PLANNING
WEST VIRGINIA				
ERICSON/WOOD COUNTY PUBLIC PORT, WV	---	---	---	500
ISLAND CREEK AT LOGAN, WV	---	200	---	200
LOWER MUD RIVER, WV	---	650	---	---
MERCER COUNTY, WV	107	---	107	---
WEIRTON PORT, WV	---	---	---	750
WISCONSIN				
BARABOO RIVER, WI	---	---	100	---
FOX RIVER, WI	---	---	250	---
SAXON HARBOR, WI	---	---	50	---
WYOMING				
JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY	---	100	---	300
MISCELLANEOUS				
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION	2,300	---	2,200	---
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES	700	---	100	---
FLOOD DAMAGE DATA	400	---	400	---
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES	9,000	---	8,200	---
GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM	---	---	600	---
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES	500	---	500	---
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES	500	---	500	---
NATIONAL SHORELINE	300	---	---	---
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS	8,900	---	8,000	---
PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES	6,500	---	6,700	---
PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE)	400	---	400	---
REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT	300	---	300	---
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	26,000	---	25,000	---
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS	100	---	100	---
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)	800	---	700	---
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	800	---	700	---
TRI-SERVICE CADD/GIS TECHNOLOGY CENTER	650	---	650	---
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE AND CARRYOVER BALANCES	-23,250	---	-47,693	---
TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	101,519	36,181	104,496	55,542

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF JACKSO	2,000	2,000
DOG RIVER, AL	---	300
ELBA, AL	---	1,500
GENEVA, AL	---	500
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	499	499
WALTER F GEORGE POWERHOUSE AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REH	3,000	3,000
WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)	2,500	2,500
ALASKA		
CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK	1,312	1,312
GALENA, AK	---	3,000
KAKE HARBOR, AK	5,508	5,508
NOME HARBOR, AK	---	1,000
ST PAUL HARBOR, AK	5,616	5,616
ARIZONA		
RIO SALADO, PHOENIX AND TEMPE REACHES, AZ	2,000	2,000
ARKANSAS		
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	3,300	3,300
MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, AR	20,000	40,000
OZARK POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB)	1,230	---
RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR	---	4,000
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA	10,000	10,000
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS	5,000	4,000
BERRYESSA CREEK, CA	---	1,000
CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA	100	100
GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	3,500	7,000
HAMILTON AIRFIELDS WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA	---	2,000
HARBOR/SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING, CA	---	2,000
IMPERIAL BEACH, CA	---	800
KAWEAH RIVER, CA	500	3,000
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	9,821	9,821
LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	1,485	1,485
MARYSVILLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	760	760
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	500	500
MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	2,000	2,000
NAPA RIVER, CA	4,000	4,000
NORCO BLUFFS, CA	---	3,225
PORT OF OAKLAND, CA	---	4,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	3,300	5,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA	---	250
SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORATION, CA	---	25,000
SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA	4,000	4,000
SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	18,000	23,000
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	5,000	5,000
STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, CA	---	4,000
SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER, CA (DAM SAFETY)	1,000	1,000
SURFSIDE-SUNSET AND NEWPORT BEACH, CA	---	5,000
UPPER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	1,665	1,665
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA	1,775	1,775
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HELOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, DE	---	3,000
DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	254	254
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY, FL	---	6,000
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	847	847
CEDAR HAMMOCK, WARES CREEK, FL	200	200
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	92,423	80,423
DADE COUNTY, FL	3,058	8,000
DUVAL COUNTY, FL	3,800	3,800
EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	20,525	20,525
HILLSBORO AND OKEECHOBEE AQUIFER, FL	4,562	---
HILLSBORO INLET, FL	---	500
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	---	1,000
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R	4,500	4,500
KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL	20,000	20,000
MANATEE COUNTY, FL	200	200
MANATEE HARBOR, FL	10,828	10,828
MARTIN COUNTY, FL	2,419	2,419
MIAMI HARBOR CHANNEL, FL	6,591	6,591
PALM VALLEY BRIDGE, FL	4,000	7,500
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	706	706
PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	1,321	1,321
ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL	---	4,000
ST. LUCIE INLET, FL	---	4,000
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	---	300
GEORGIA		
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	---	250
BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB)	2,455	2,455
LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA & SC	1,500	1,500
MAYO'S BAR LOCK & DAM, GA	---	400
OATES CREEK, RICHMOND COUNTY, GA (DEF CORR)	332	332
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	2,666	2,666
THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	5,000	5,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
HAWAII		
IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR).....	239	239
KAUMALAPAU HARBOR, HI.....	---	3,000
KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI.....	3,437	3,437
MAALAEA HARBOR, MAUI, HI.....	325	325
IDAHO		
MILO CREEK, ID.....	---	1,000
ILLINOIS		
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	2,100	2,100
CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL.	400	400
CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL.....	19,192	19,192
EAST ST LOUIS, IL.....	900	900
EAST ST LOUIS INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL.....	---	150
LOCK AND DAM 24, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	5,750	5,750
LOVES PARK, IL.....	4,010	4,010
MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL.....	2,800	7,800
MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO.....	1,400	1,400
OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY.....	38,142	56,000
UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MN, MO	18,000	21,000
INDIANA		
CALUMET REGION, IN.....	---	300
FORT WAYNE METROPOLITAN AREA, IN.....	1,088	1,088
INDIANA HARBOR, IN (CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY).....	3,291	3,291
INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN.....	---	1,000
INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN.....	---	10,000
INDIANAPOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH), IN.....	934	934
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN.....	5,343	8,843
OHIO RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, IN.....	1,500	1,500
PATOKA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB).....	5,200	5,200
IOWA		
LOCK AND DAM 11, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)..	3,210	---
LOCK AND DAM 12, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)..	5,260	5,260
MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K	12,000	12,000
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO.....	4,400	4,650
PERRY CREEK, IA.....	7,178	7,178
KANSAS		
ARKANSAS CITY, KS.....	5,100	5,100

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	1,000	1,000
DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY)	3,832	3,832
KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY	14,900	30,000
KENTUCKY RIVER LOCK AND DAM #10, KY	---	2,000
MCALPINE LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & IN	14,000	18,000
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, BEARGRASS CREEK, KY	---	500
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY	4,000	4,000
SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY	---	4,000
LOUISIANA		
COMITE RIVER, LA	10,000	10,000
INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA	14,349	16,349
GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA	---	500
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	18,040	21,040
LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	3,100	10,000
LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	1,414	2,414
MISSISSIPPI RIVER GULF OUTLET, LA	---	500
MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, GULF TO BATON ROUGE, L	719	719
NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	1,800	1,800
SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA	47,260	69,000
WEST BANK VICINITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LA	8,065	8,065
MARYLAND		
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC	3,951	3,951
ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD	2,500	2,500
ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD	185	185
BALTIMORE HARBOR ANCHORAGES AND CHANNELS, MD & VA	5,000	3,000
CHESAPEAKE BAY ENV RESTORATION AND PROTECTION, MD, VA.	608	1,058
CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA	---	3,000
POPLAR ISLAND, MD	19,190	19,190
MASSACHUSETTS		
CAPE COD CANAL RAILROAD BRIDGE, MA (MAJOR REHAB)	8,600	8,600
TOWN BROOK, QUINCY AND BRAINTREE, MA	100	100
MINNESOTA		
CROOKSTON, MN	---	1,000
LOCK AND DAM 3, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN (MAJOR REHAB)	5,000	5,000
MARSHALL, MN	1,312	1,312
NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA, MN	---	2,500
PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY)	3,873	3,873

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MISSISSIPPI		
DESOTO COUNTY, MS	---	3,000
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	---	200
JACKSON COUNTY WATER SUPPLY, MS	---	2,000
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	6,663	6,663
WOLF AND JORDAN RIVERS, MS	1,337	1,337
PEARL RIVER VICINITY OF WALKIAH BLUFF, MS AND LA	---	1,000
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO	---	200
BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	10,500	14,500
CAPE GIRARDEAU, JACKSON, MO	2,350	2,350
MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO	3,000	3,000
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	6,500	6,500
ST LOUIS, MO	---	200
STE GENEVIEVE, MO	6,000	6,000
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR (DAM SAFETY)	5,920	5,920
NEBRASKA		
MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD	300	1,800
WOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE	1,600	3,000
NEVADA		
RURAL NEVADA, NV	---	4,000
TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	20,000	21,600
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
LEBANON, NH	---	1,500
NEW JERSEY		
BRIGANTINE INLET/GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET (ABSECON ISL)	---	5,000
CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ	100	100
DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ, PA & DE	29,756	29,756
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ	5,100	5,100
NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHANNELS, PORT JERSEY CHANN	5,649	10,000
PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N	1,700	1,700
PASSAIC RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ	---	3,000
RAMAPO RIVER AT MAHWAH, NJ	---	750
RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ	2,717	2,717
RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ	4,000	4,000
SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	6,383	6,383
TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	---	4,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NEW MEXICO		
ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM	900	900
ALAMOGORDO, NM	3,000	3,000
CENTRAL NEW MEXICO, NM	---	3,000
LAS CRUCES, NM	2,841	2,841
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE	600	600
RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE,.	600	600
NEW YORK		
ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY.	5,000	4,000
ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT,	500	500
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY,	1,000	1,000
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	500	1,500
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY	3,000	3,000
KILL VAN KULL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL, NY & NJ	53,000	53,000
NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY	---	3,000
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY	---	5,000
NORTH CAROLINA		
AIWW, REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NC	1,000	1,000
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC	---	4,200
CAROLINA BEACH AND VICINITY, NC	2,000	2,000
WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET, NC	---	330
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	40,600	40,600
NORTH DAKOTA		
BUFORD-TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, N	4,700	6,000
DEVILS LAKE EMERGENCY OUTLET, ND	24,000	---
GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB)	5,300	5,300
GRAND FORKS, ND - EAST GRAND FORKS, MN	13,044	13,044
HOMME LAKE, ND (DAM SAFETY)	8,000	8,000
SHEYENNE RIVER, ND	2,600	2,600
OHIO		
BEACH CITY LAKE, MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH (DAM SAFETY	897	897
HOLES CREEK, OH	---	1,000
LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, OH	---	1,000
METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH	3,024	3,024
MILL CREEK, OH	500	500
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH	---	1,500
WEST COLUMBUS, OH	6,000	11,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
OKLAHOMA		
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	2,400	2,400
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	4,500	4,500
OREGON		
BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB)	6,110	6,110
COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA	---	4,500
COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA	5,000	5,000
ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	500	500
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN BANK PROTECTION, OR & WA	200	200
WILLAMETTE RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR	8,200	8,200
PENNSYLVANIA		
CLINTON COUNTY, PA	---	500
JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB)	7,000	7,000
LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	35,000	60,000
NANTY GLO, PA	---	700
NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA, PA	---	4,000
PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT)	580	580
SAW MILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA	4,300	4,300
SCHUYLKILL RIVER PARK, PA	---	1,000
SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRON IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	---	20,000
SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, PA	---	150
TOWAMENCIN TOWNSHIP, PA	---	1,000
WILLIAMSPORT, PA	---	446
WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)	23,092	23,092
PUERTO RICO		
ARECIBO RIVER, PR	4,102	5,402
PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	9,590	9,590
RIO DE LA PLATA, PR	3,493	3,493
RIO GRANDE DE LOIZA, PR	743	750
RIO NIGUA AT SALINAS, PR	198	---
RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	11,000	13,800
SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	6,940	6,940
RHODE ISLAND		
FOX POINT HURRICANE BARRIER, RI	---	1,950
SOUTH CAROLINA		
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING)	16,227	16,227
LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SC	---	4,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD	1,500	1,500
CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD	4,000	4,000
PIERRE, SD	4,000	6,000
TENNESSEE		
BLACK FOX, MURFREE AND OAKLANDS SPRINGS WETLANDS, TN	---	1,000
HAMILTON COUNTY, TN	---	1,500
TEXAS		
BOSQUE AND LEON RIVERS, TX	---	4,000
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	5,500	6,000
CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	6,104	6,104
CLEAR CREEK, TX	1,525	1,525
DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TX	---	2,000
EL PASO, TX	5,200	5,200
GIWW, ARANSAS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, TX	1,176	1,176
HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX	53,492	53,492
JOHNSON CREEK, TX	---	3,000
NECHES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES SALTWATER BARRIER, TX	9,000	9,000
RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX	---	1,300
RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, TX	---	900
SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX	900	900
SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	11,820	11,820
UTAH		
UPPER JORDAN RIVER, UT	800	800
VIRGINIA		
AIWW, BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA	8,492	8,492
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION, FRONT ROYAL, VA	---	7,000
JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (MAJOR REHAB)	4,000	4,000
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA	600	600
ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	1,000	1,000
SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VA	---	3,000
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	---	20,000
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (REIMBURSEMENT)	---	1,100
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	91,000	81,000
LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR	1,000	1,000
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	710	710
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA (DAM SAFETY)	2,000	2,000
THE DALLES POWERHOUSE (UNITS 1-14), WA & OR (MAJOR REH	7,000	7,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY)	6,300	10,000
CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA, WV	---	1,500
GREENBRIAR RIVER BASIN, WV	---	1,000
LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V	12,100	37,100
LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV (MAJOR REHAB)	1,800	1,800
LOWER MUD RIVER, WV	---	1,000
MARMET LOCK, KANAWHA RIVER, WV	6,500	10,200
ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH	2,700	2,700
SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA, WV	---	3,000
TYGART LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY)	4,293	4,293
WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WV & PA	---	3,000
WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV	300	300
WISCONSIN		
LAFARGE LAKE, KICKAPOO RIVER, WI	---	2,000
MISCELLANEOUS		
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206)	10,000	19,000
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM	3,000	4,000
BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204)	4,000	4,000
DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION PROGRAM	3,000	7,000
DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM	5,000	5,000
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14)	9,000	9,000
EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION	19,200	19,200
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205)	25,000	35,000
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE	45	45
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE	185	185
NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 111)	300	300
NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107)	7,000	11,000
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME	14,000	21,000
RECREATION MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	27,000	---
RIVERINE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD HAZARD MITIGA	20,000	---
SHORELINE PROTECTION PROJECTS (SECTION 103)	2,500	2,500
SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECT (SECTION 208)	200	600
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE AND CARRYOVER BALANCES	-165,253	-198,753
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL	1,346,000	1,695,699

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
SURVEYS:		
GENERAL STUDIES:		
ALEXANDRIA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	750	750
DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA	1,100	1,100
SPRING BAYOU, LA	100	100
COLDWATER RIVER BASIN ABOVE ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	350	350
COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	100	100
MEMPHIS METRO AREA, TN & MS	657	657
BAYOU METO BASIN, AR	6,500	6,500
SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR	---	900
MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	2,000	2,000
REELFOOT LAKE, TN & KY	318	368
WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN	216	216
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA	435	435
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	12,526	13,476
CONSTRUCTION		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	35,690	35,690
FRANCIS BLAND FLOODWAY DITCH (EIGHT MILE CREEK), AR	2,110	2,110
GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR	22,800	20,300
HELENA AND VICINITY, AR	2,450	2,450
L'ANGUILLE RIVER BASIN, AR	750	750
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	40,621	47,000
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	3,195	4,195
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	10,000	10,000
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	26,000	26,000
LOUISIANA STATE PENITENTIARY LEVEE, LA	5,500	5,500
MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS	100	100
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	5,000	5,000
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	2,330	2,330
YAZOO BASIN:	(11,195)	(34,200)
BACKWATER PUMP, MS	500	1,000
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	3,500	4,500
DEMONSTRATION EROSION CONTROL, MS	---	15,000
MAIN STEM, MS	25	25
REFORMULATION UNIT, MS	300	300
TRIBUTARIES, MS	84	375
UPPER YAZOO PROJECT, MS	6,786	13,000
ST JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO	700	5,000
NONCONNAH CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL FEATURE, TN & MS	2,000	2,000
WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN	500	500
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	170,941	203,125

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MAINTENANCE		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	58,954	56,500
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR.....	421	421
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR.....	442	442
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR.....	407	407
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR.....	10	10
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	6,160	8,200
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO.....	6,775	7,775
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA.....	2,384	2,384
WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR.....	1,070	1,070
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL.....	45	45
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY.....	25	25
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA.....	1,499	1,499
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.....	9,482	9,482
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA.....	210	210
BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA.....	56	56
BONNET CARRE, LA.....	1,340	1,340
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA.....	389	389
LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA.....	5,739	5,739
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA.....	916	916
OLD RIVER, LA.....	4,720	4,720
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA.....	3,048	3,048
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS.....	626	626
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS.....	193	193
VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS.....	480	480
YAZOO BASIN:	(24,185)	(34,096)
ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS.....	6,242	7,242
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS.....	137	4,500
ENID LAKE, MS.....	3,376	4,376
GREENWOOD, MS.....	1,007	1,007
GRENADA LAKE, MS.....	4,232	5,280
MAIN STEM, MS.....	1,254	1,254
SARDIS LAKE, MS.....	5,180	7,680
TRIBUTARIES, MS.....	1,162	1,162
WILL M WHITTINGTON AUXILIARY CHANNEL, MS.....	358	358
YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS.....	431	431
YAZOO CITY, MS.....	806	806
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO.....	202	202
WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.....	7,000	7,000
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN.....	113	113
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN.....	1,085	1,085
MAPPING.....	1,129	1,129
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE.....	139,105	149,602
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	-13,572	-18,472
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.....	309,000	347,731

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL	1,100	1,100
ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL	5,355	5,355
BAYOU LA BATRE, AL	1,999	1,999
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	19,204	20,704
DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL	60	60
DOG AND FOWL RIVERS, AL	66	66
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	4,734	4,734
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	50	50
MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LA	4,999	4,999
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	18,665	22,665
MOBILE AREA DIGITAL MAPPING, AL	---	150
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	350	350
ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL	4,962	4,962
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL	120	120
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	23,547	24,547
WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	7,373	7,373
ALASKA		
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	1,777	1,777
CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	1,364	1,364
DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	423	423
HOMER HARBOR, AK	191	191
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	35	35
NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	186	186
NOME HARBOR, AK	386	386
PETERSBURG HARBOR, AK	394	394
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	512	512
WRANGELL NARROWS, AK	2,438	3,838
ARIZONA		
ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,166	1,166
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	69	69
PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	1,186	1,186
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	74	74
WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	168	168
ARKANSAS		
BEAVER LAKE, AR	4,520	4,520
BLAKELY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	5,758	5,758
BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,200	1,200
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	4,565	4,565
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	5,937	5,937
DEGRAY LAKE, AR	4,218	4,218
DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,058	1,058
DIERKS LAKE, AR	988	988

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GILLHAM LAKE, AR	929	929
GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR	5,933	5,933
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	304	304
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	294	294
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR.	19,988	19,988
MILLWOOD LAKE, AR	1,602	1,602
NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR	3,604	3,604
NIMROD LAKE, AR	1,416	1,416
NORFORK LAKE, AR	3,626	3,626
OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	419	419
OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	6,402	6,402
OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR	4,072	4,072
WHITE RIVER, AR	2,258	2,258
YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	125	125
CALIFORNIA		
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	1,854	1,854
BODEGA BAY, CA	---	200
BUCHANAN DAM, H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA	1,580	1,580
CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA	3,000	3,000
COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	3,403	3,403
CRESCENT CITY HARBOR, CA	---	500
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA	4,437	4,687
FARMINGTON DAM, CA	313	313
HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA	1,616	1,616
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	4,710	4,710
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	843	843
ISABELLA LAKE, CA	793	793
JACK D. MALTESTER CHANNEL (SAN LEANDRO MARINA), CA	---	1,500
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA	170	170
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBORS, CA	3,910	3,910
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	3,956	3,956
MARINA DEL REY, CA	5,335	5,335
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	288	288
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	251	251
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	170	1,170
MOSS LANDING HARBOR, CA	---	700
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	1,778	1,778
NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	1,135	1,135
NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA	40	40
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	8,118	8,118
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	1,535	2,035
PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	2,248	2,248
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	1,256	1,256
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	---	400
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	5,774	5,774
SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	2,037	2,037
SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA.	1,113	1,113
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	163	163

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA.....	2,382	2,382
SAN FRANCISCO BAY LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY, CA...	---	200
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA.....	2,000	2,000
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA.....	2,573	2,573
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA.....	2,028	2,028
SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA.....	3,086	3,086
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA.....	1,615	1,615
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA.....	1,153	1,153
SUCCESS LAKE, CA.....	1,898	1,898
SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA.....	3,117	3,117
TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA.....	1,659	1,659
VENTURA HARBOR, CA.....	2,240	3,440
YUBA RIVER, CA.....	74	74
COLORADO		
BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO.....	425	425
CHATFIELD LAKE, CO.....	1,568	1,568
CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO.....	707	707
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO.....	67	67
JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO.....	1,543	1,543
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO.....	209	209
TRINIDAD LAKE, CO.....	619	619
CONNECTICUT		
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT.....	309	309
COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT.....	399	399
HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT.....	269	269
HOP BROOK LAKE, CT.....	819	819
MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT.....	335	335
NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT.....	344	344
STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT.....	311	311
THOMASTON DAM, CT.....	581	581
WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT.....	506	506
DELAWARE		
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D	19,707	14,757
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY, D	433	433
WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE.....	3,217	3,217
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC.....	910	910
POTOMAC RIVER BELOW WASHINGTON, DC.....	235	235
WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC.....	38	38

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
FLORIDA		
AIWW, NORFOLK, VA TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC &.	1,660	1,660
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	7,625	7,625
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	10,558	10,558
ESCAMBIA AND CONECUH RIVERS, FL	1,000	1,000
FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL	2,705	2,705
FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL	1,051	1,051
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	100	100
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R,.	147	147
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	4,035	4,035
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	7,755	7,755
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA.	5,855	5,855
MANATEE HARBOR, FL	3,080	3,080
MIAMI HARBOR, FL	1,323	1,323
MIAMI RIVER, FL	---	4,000
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	5,811	5,811
PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL	4,577	4,577
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL	50	50
PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL	---	2,000
PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL	46	46
PORT ST. JOE HARBOR, FL	---	500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL	600	600
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	3,340	4,500
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL	50	50
ST PETERSBURG HARBOR, FL	3,280	6,580
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	6,308	6,308
WITHLACOOCHIE RIVER, FL	35	35
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	4,520	6,000
APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL &	5,055	6,755
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	2,460	2,460
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	5,271	5,271
BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	7,275	7,275
CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	7,489	7,489
HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC	11,875	11,875
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA	100	100
J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	10,585	10,585
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	6,190	6,190
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	13,869	14,369
SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA	650	650
WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	3,977	4,977
HAWAII		
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	153	153
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	165	165
KAHULUI HARBOR, HI	1,296	1,296

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI	706	706
IDAHO		
ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	2,291	2,291
DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID	2,689	2,689
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID	73	73
LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	1,206	1,206
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID	332	332
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	4,758	4,758
CARLYLE LAKE, IL	5,112	5,112
CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	2,762	2,762
CHICAGO RIVER, IL	362	362
FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL	195	195
ILLINOIS AND MISSISSIPPI CANAL, IL	562	562
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVR PORTION), IL & IN	22,808	23,808
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVS PORTION), IL & IN	1,598	1,598
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	473	473
KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL	2,081	2,081
LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	837	837
LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL	5,209	5,209
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVR PORTION)	39,842	43,842
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION)	14,499	16,999
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL	43	43
REND LAKE, IL	3,904	3,904
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL	97	97
WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL	1,473	1,473
INDIANA		
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN	782	782
BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN	1,937	2,437
CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN	732	732
CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN	864	864
INDIANA HARBOR, IN	429	429
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN	101	101
J EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN	824	824
MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN	806	1,206
MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN	1,182	1,182
MONROE LAKE, IN	799	799
PATOKA LAKE, IN	731	731
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN	42	42
SALAMONIE LAKE, IN	749	749
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	62	62

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
IOWA		
CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	2,952	2,952
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA	738	738
MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA	146	146
MISSOURI RIVER - RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO	5,250	5,950
MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO RULO, IA & NE	2,111	2,111
RATHBUN LAKE, IA	2,058	2,058
RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA	3,827	5,071
SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	4,074	4,074
KANSAS		
CLINTON LAKE, KS	1,621	1,621
COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	1,197	1,197
EL DORADO LAKE, KS	487	487
ELK CITY LAKE, KS	728	728
FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	1,429	1,429
HILLSDALE LAKE, KS	908	908
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS	36	36
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	1,186	1,531
KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS	1,541	1,541
MARION LAKE, KS	1,354	1,354
MELVERN LAKE, KS	1,872	1,872
MILFORD LAKE, KS	1,906	1,906
PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	1,074	1,074
PERRY LAKE, KS	1,966	1,966
POMONA LAKE, KS	1,830	1,830
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	193	193
TORONTO LAKE, KS	673	673
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	2,546	2,546
WILSON LAKE, KS	2,017	2,017
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	10,330	10,330
BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,544	2,544
BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,497	1,497
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,685	1,685
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,542	1,542
CAVE RUN LAKE, KY	868	868
DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,429	1,429
ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	361	361
FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	1,890	1,890
GRAYSON LAKE, KY	1,366	1,366
GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	1,079	1,079
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,917	2,917
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	123	123
KENTUCKY RIVER, KY	1,149	1,149
KENTUCKY RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS 5-14, KY	---	750

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	1,357	1,357
LICKING RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY	21	21
MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	714	714
MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	100	100
NOLIN LAKE, KY	2,285	2,285
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH	31,813	31,813
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN & OH	6,007	6,007
PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,016	1,016
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	1,827	1,827
TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,048	1,048
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	5,892	5,892
YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1,211	1,211
LOUISIANA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	14,026	14,026
BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA	570	570
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA	509	509
BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA	726	726
BAYOU PIERRE, LA	25	25
BAYOU SEGNETTE WATERWAY, LA	735	735
BAYOU TECHE AND VERMILION RIVER, LA	48	48
BAYOU TECHE, LA	132	132
CADDO LAKE, LA	127	127
CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	12,117	12,117
FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	5,354	5,354
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA	19,478	21,478
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	3,175	3,175
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	268	268
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	8,907	11,907
LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	559	559
MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	108	108
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	1,933	1,933
MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	2,773	2,773
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,	63,359	63,359
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA	11,286	11,286
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA	80	80
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA	2,000	2,000
WALLACE LAKE, LA	233	233
WATERWAY FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO B DULAC, LA	45	45
MAINE		
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	1,060	1,060
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME	17	17
UNION RIVER, ME	---	900
WELLS HARBOR, ME	1,455	2,205

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD	455	455
BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	710	710
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	16,354	16,354
CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	141	141
HONGA RIVER AND TAR BAY, MD	55	55
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD	327	327
JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,616	1,616
OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	1,810	1,810
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	450	450
RHODES POINT TO TYLERTON, MD	70	70
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	140	140
ST JEROME CREEK, MD	175	175
TOLCHESTER CHANNEL, MD	5,801	6,801
TWITCH COVE AND BIG THOROFARE RIVER, MD	75	75
UPPER THOROFARE, MD	220	220
WICOMICO RIVER, MD	740	740
MASSACHUSETTS		
BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	368	368
BIRCH HILL DAM, MA	439	439
BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	361	361
CAPE COD CANAL, MA	8,787	8,787
CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	213	213
CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	147	147
EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	267	267
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	462	462
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	125	125
KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	390	390
LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	461	461
NEW BEDFORD AND FAIRHAVEN HARBOR, MA	310	310
NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER,	480	480
PLYMOUTH HARBOR, MA	500	500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	3,113	3,113
SALEM HARBOR, MA	200	200
TULLY LAKE, MA	436	436
WEST HILL DAM, MA	647	647
WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	342	342
MICHIGAN		
ALPENA HARBOR, MI	203	203
ARCADIA HARBOR, MI	85	85
BLACK RIVER, PORT HURON, MI	306	306
CEDAR RIVER HARBOR, MI	---	1,000
CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	458	458
CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI	118	118
DETROIT RIVER, MI	2,342	2,342

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI	130	130
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	1,264	1,264
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	905	905
INLAND ROUTE, MI	33	33
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	205	305
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	256	256
LELAND HARBOR, MI	168	168
LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	663	663
MANISTEE HARBOR, MI	272	272
MANISTIQUE HARBOR, MI	239	239
MENOMINEE HARBOR, MI & WI	174	174
MONROE HARBOR, MI	695	695
NEW BUFFALO HARBOR, MI	---	150
ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI	603	603
PENTWATER HARBOR, MI	450	450
PORTAGE LAKE HARBOR, MI	1,974	1,974
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	275	275
ROUGE RIVER, MI	417	417
SAGINAW RIVER, MI	1,453	1,453
SEBEWAING RIVER (ICE JAM REMOVAL), MI	10	10
SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MI	481	481
ST CLAIR RIVER, MI	996	996
ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI	1,194	1,194
ST MARYS RIVER, MI	20,502	23,502
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	3,197	3,197
WHITE LAKE HARBOR, MI	290	290
MINNESOTA		
BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	178	178
DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	5,310	5,310
DULUTH ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY STUDY, MN	---	320
GRAND MARAIS HARBOR, MN	186	186
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	154	154
LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	453	453
MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	196	196
MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION)	42,765	42,765
ORWELL LAKE, MN	315	315
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN	25	25
RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	101	101
RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	2,805	2,805
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	64	64
TWO HARBORS, MN	208	208
MISSISSIPPI		
BILOXI HARBOR, MS	801	801
CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	122	122
EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS	150	150
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	2,500	2,500

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	360	360
MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	133	133
OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS	955	955
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	3,406	10,400
PEARL RIVER, MS & LA	250	250
ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	645	645
YAZOO RIVER, MS	115	115
MISSOURI		
CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	184	295
CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO	5,196	5,196
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO	2,015	2,015
HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO	7,688	7,688
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	473	473
LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO	854	854
LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO	931	931
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	13,384	13,384
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	259	354
POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO	2,065	2,065
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO	26	26
SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO	1,160	1,160
SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO	401	401
STOCKTON LAKE, MO	3,486	3,486
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO	6,485	6,485
UNION LAKE, MO	10	10
MONTANA		
FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT	3,620	3,620
LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT	2,273	2,273
NEBRASKA		
GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	6,151	6,241
HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE	2,198	2,198
MISSOURI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE, IA, KS, MO,	709	709
MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING (NWK	125	125
MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING (NWO	125	125
PAPILLION CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE	721	721
SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	796	796
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NE	327	327
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	34	34
MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA	522	522
PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	193	193

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
BLACKWATER DAM, NH	389	389
EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH	412	412
FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH	478	478
HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH	984	984
OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH	554	554
PORTSMOUTH HARBOR & PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH & ME	---	250
SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH	469	469
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	1,400	1,400
COLD SPRING INLET, NJ	580	580
DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ	19	19
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE	16,355	17,855
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ	3,180	3,180
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ	2,005	2,005
NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ	120	120
PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD WARNING SYSTEMS, NJ	425	425
RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ	140	140
RARITAN RIVER, NJ	120	120
SALEM RIVER, NJ	278	278
SHREWSBURY RIVER, MAIN CHANNEL, NJ	175	175
NEW MEXICO		
ABIQUIU DAM, NM	1,315	1,315
COCHITI LAKE, NM	1,766	3,266
CONCHAS LAKE, NM	1,037	1,537
GALISTEO DAM, NM	305	305
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM	50	50
JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM	445	3,445
SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM	846	1,026
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM	73	73
TWO RIVERS DAM, NM	313	313
UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL, NM	---	1,250
NEW YORK		
ALMOND LAKE, NY	468	468
ARKPORT DAM, NY	257	257
BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY	2,966	2,966
BUFFALO HARBOR, NY	176	176
DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY	310	310
EAST RIVER, NY	750	750
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY	2,250	2,250
EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY	473	473
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	340	340
FIRE ISLAND INLET, NY	1,000	1,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY	490	490
GREAT SOUTH BAY, NY	1,540	1,540
HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY	1,265	1,265
HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT)	2,485	2,485
HUDSON RIVER, NY (O&C)	1,340	1,340
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY	460	460
JAMAICA BAY, NY	1,410	1,410
JONES INLET, NY	200	200
LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NY	2,190	2,190
MORICHES INLET, NY	980	980
MT MORRIS LAKE, NY	1,958	1,958
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	6,720	6,720
NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ	5,030	5,030
NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS),	740	740
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	12,319	12,319
OSWEGO HARBOR, NY	353	353
PORTCHESTER HARBOR, NY	200	200
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	3,038	3,038
ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY	725	725
SAG HARBOR, NY	1,600	1,600
SHINNECOCK INLET, NY	2,000	2,000
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	739	739
STURGEON POINT HARBOR, NY	15	15
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	564	564
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	517	517
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	5,831	5,831
B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,500	1,500
BEAUFORT HARBOR, NC	350	350
BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC	627	627
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	897	897
CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC	1,430	1,430
FALLS LAKE, NC	1,276	1,276
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	22	22
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC	455	455
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	4,995	4,995
MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	45	45
MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	4,737	4,737
NEW RIVER INLET, NC	825	825
NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	610	610
PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC	139	139
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	64	64
ROANOKE RIVER, NC	100	100
W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	1,742	1,742
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	8,405	8,405

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND	241	241
GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND	8,513	8,563
HOMME LAKE, ND	153	153
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND	1,230	1,230
PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	401	401
SOURIS RIVER, ND	292	292
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	790	790
ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	750	750
BERLIN LAKE, OH	3,270	3,270
CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,309	1,309
CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH	1,175	1,175
CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	3,915	5,915
CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH	735	735
DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	745	745
DELAWARE LAKE, OH	777	777
DILLON LAKE, OH	709	709
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	1,785	1,785
HURON HARBOR, OH	790	790
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	240	240
LORAIN HARBOR, OH	2,152	2,152
MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	25	25
MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	1,033	1,033
MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	1,329	1,329
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	7,993	7,993
NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	544	544
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	661	661
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	85	85
ROCKY RIVER HARBOR, OH	---	590
ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	30	30
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	870	870
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	174	174
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	4,550	4,550
TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	350	350
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	565	565
WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH	821	821
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK	417	417
BIRCH LAKE, OK	480	480
BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	1,471	1,971
CANDY LAKE, OK	18	168
CANTON LAKE, OK	2,656	2,656
COPAN LAKE, OK	823	823
EUFAULA LAKE, OK	7,240	7,240

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	5,954	5,954
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	838	838
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	209	209
HEYBURN LAKE, OK	557	557
HUGO LAKE, OK	1,639	1,639
HULAH LAKE, OK	447	447
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	72	72
KAW LAKE, OK	1,756	1,756
KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	6,435	6,435
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OK.	4,588	4,588
OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	2,353	2,353
OPTIMA LAKE, OK	63	63
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	32	32
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	1,160	1,160
ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK	4,001	4,001
SARDIS LAKE, OK	944	944
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	386	386
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	947	947
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	3,178	3,178
WAURIKA LAKE, OK	1,441	1,441
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	3,297	3,297
WISTER LAKE, OK	729	1,429
OREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR	748	748
BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	332	332
BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	6,250	6,250
CHETCO RIVER, OR	435	435
COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA	16,274	18,874
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	7,403	7,403
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O	357	357
COOS BAY, OR	4,144	4,144
COQUILLE RIVER, OR	316	316
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	919	919
COUGAR LAKE, OR	705	705
DEPOE BAY, OR	3	363
DETROIT LAKE, OR	672	672
DORENA LAKE, OR	580	580
FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	619	619
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	1,277	1,277
GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	1,050	1,050
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	408	408
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	220	220
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	4,507	4,507
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	1,990	1,990
LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	2,919	2,919
MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	4,989	4,989
PORT ORFORD, OR	702	702
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	200	200

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ROGUE RIVER, OR	641	641
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR	67	67
SIUSLAW RIVER, OR	822	822
SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR	176	176
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	134	134
TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR	148	148
UMPQUA RIVER, OR	1,421	1,421
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	1,234	1,234
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	285	285
WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR	646	646
YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	7,895	7,895
PENNSYLVANIA		
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	6,905	6,905
ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	608	608
AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	216	216
BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	832	832
BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,121	2,121
CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	1,259	1,259
COWANESQUE LAKE, PA	1,785	2,035
CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	1,491	1,491
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	659	659
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	903	903
ERIE HARBOR, PA	125	125
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	713	713
FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	663	663
GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	321	321
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	95	95
JOHNSTOWN, PA	13	13
KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,472	1,472
LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	1,778	1,778
MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	1,392	1,392
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	14,293	14,293
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, PA, OH & WV	22,407	22,407
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, PA, OH & WV	218	218
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	88	88
PROMPTON LAKE, PA	437	437
PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	13	13
RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	3,533	4,783
SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	740	740
SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	2,644	2,644
STILLWATER LAKE, PA	334	334
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	70	70
TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	2,382	3,352
TIONESTA LAKE, PA	1,788	1,788
UNION CITY LAKE, PA	258	258
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA	817	817
YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	517	517
YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	2,011	2,011

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
RHODE ISLAND		
PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	584	1,584
SAKONNET HARBOR, RI	---	500
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	3,629	5,629
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	7,145	7,145
COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	3,235	3,235
FOLLY RIVER, SC	266	266
GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	5,234	5,234
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC	26	26
MURRELLS INLET, SC	---	1,000
PORT ROYAL HARBOR, SC	21	21
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	60	60
SHIPYARD RIVER, SC	477	477
TOWN CREEK, SC	398	398
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD	6,422	6,502
COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	496	496
COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD	172	172
FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	8,852	8,942
LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN	580	580
MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT	586	586
OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND	11,192	11,282
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD	306	306
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	6,070	6,070
CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN	5,307	5,307
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN	1,900	1,900
CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	4,916	4,916
DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	4,191	4,191
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	5	5
J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	3,278	3,278
OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	6,326	6,326
TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	14,484	14,484
WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	348	348
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX	738	738
ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI	1,340	1,340
BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX	314	314
BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,453	1,453
BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX	1,810	1,810

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
BELTON LAKE, TX	3,103	3,103
BENBROOK LAKE, TX	1,975	1,975
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	4,802	4,802
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	2,029	2,029
CANYON LAKE, TX	2,689	2,689
CHANNEL TO PORT MANSFIELD, TX	2,627	2,627
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	5,036	5,036
DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX	5,517	5,517
DOUBLE BAYOU, TX	805	805
ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	10	10
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	2,801	2,801
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	4,802	4,802
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	87	87
GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	752	752
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,573	1,573
GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	2,433	2,433
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	21,765	21,765
HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX	1,203	1,203
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	8,137	8,137
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	393	393
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	1,144	1,144
JOE POOL LAKE, TX	759	759
LAKE KEMP, TX	201	201
LAVON LAKE, TX	2,439	2,439
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	2,959	2,959
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	4,315	4,315
MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX	2,953	2,953
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	1,524	1,524
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	1,785	1,785
O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,005	1,005
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	941	941
PROCTOR LAKE, TX	1,709	1,709
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	75	75
RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	1,002	1,002
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	10,013	10,013
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	4,191	4,191
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	249	249
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	2,773	2,773
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	1,744	1,744
TEXAS CITY SHIP CHANNEL, TX	371	371
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	2,007	2,007
TRINITY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	29	29
TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESMENT	---	1,500
WACO LAKE, TX	2,301	2,901
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	1,208	1,208
WHITNEY LAKE, TX	4,680	4,680
WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,643	2,643

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
UTAH		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	55	55
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	305	305
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT	607	607
BURLINGTON HARBOR, VT	---	1,000
NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY	46	46
NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	561	561
NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	583	583
TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT	629	629
UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	464	464
VIRGINIA		
APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA	593	593
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC, VA	1,750	1,750
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC, VA	1,325	1,325
CHANNEL TO NEWPORT NEWS, VA	120	120
CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	877	877
GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	1,465	1,465
HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM	995	995
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	77	77
JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	4,294	4,294
JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC	8,041	8,041
JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	1,525	1,525
LITTLE WICOMICO RIVER, VA	605	605
NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V	225	225
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	6,105	6,105
NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	406	406
OCCOQUAN RIVER,VA	---	1,000
PAGAN RIVER, VA	145	145
PHILPOTT LAKE, VA	3,060	3,060
POTOMAC RIVER AT MT VERNON, VA	410	410
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA	617	617
RUDEE INLET, VA	646	646
STARLINGS CREEK, VA	551	551
THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA	204	204
WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA	1,185	1,185
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	2,113	2,113
COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR	3	3
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA	6	6
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,212	1,212
GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA	9,820	12,570
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	1,849	1,849

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA	6,094	6,094
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	146	146
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	6,797	6,797
LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,537	1,537
LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA	4,291	4,291
LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,821	2,821
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	925	925
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	312	312
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	2,440	2,440
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	316	316
PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA	967	967
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	37	1,037
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	415	415
SEATTLE HARBOR, EAST WATERWAY CHANNEL DEEPENING, WA	100	450
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	714	714
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	205	205
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	56	56
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	78	78
THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR	3,432	3,432
WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	---	650
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	1,137	1,137
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	1,689	4,800
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,723	1,723
EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	1,714	1,714
ELKINS, WV	16	16
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	91	91
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV	7,782	7,782
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH	15,934	15,934
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH	2,786	2,786
R D BAILEY LAKE, WV	1,934	1,934
STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	1,216	1,216
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	1,526	1,526
SUTTON LAKE, WV	1,903	1,903
TYGART LAKE, WV	3,568	3,568
WHEELING CREEK, WV	---	500
WISCONSIN		
ASHLAND HARBOR, WI	170	170
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	735	735
FOX RIVER, WI	3,252	3,252
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	1,640	1,640
KENOSHA HARBOR, WI	925	925
KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI	490	490
LA FARGE LAKE, WI	53	53
MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI	738	738
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	819	819

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI	290	290
STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI	1,534	1,534
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI	28	28
TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	537	537
WYOMING		
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	1,163	1,163
MISCELLANEOUS		
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM	3,000	2,750
CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION)	3,000	1,500
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE	13,500	8,000
DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM	1,166	1,000
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)	8,000	7,000
DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM	2,100	1,500
EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES	600	500
GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS	---	500
HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION	975	575
MANAGEMENT TOOLS FOR O&M	1,100	500
MONITORING OF COASTAL NAVIGATION PROJECTS	2,000	1,700
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	40	40
NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM	25	25
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP)	6,000	4,000
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM	1,650	415
PROTECTING, CLEARING AND STRAIGHTENING CHANNELS(SEC 3)	50	50
RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM (RMSP)	1,950	1,500
REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT SEDIMENT DEMO PROGRAM	1,500	1,500
RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION	675	675
REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS	500	500
WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM	1,500	700
WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS	4,600	4,000
WETLANDS FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	1,000	---
ZEBRA MUSSEL CONTROL	700	700
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-16,867	-43,867
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,854,000	1,901,959

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates $39,940,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the House and the Senate.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of the conference agreement are discussed below.

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates $678,450,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of $635,777,000 as proposed by the House and $655,192,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $39,467,000 for the Central Arizona Project as proposed by the House.

The additional funds provided by the House under the California Investigations Program for studies of ways to increase the reliability of water supplies in southern Orange County, California, have been included under the Southern California Investigations Program.

The conference agreement includes an additional $1,000,000 for the Columbia and Snake Rivers Salmon Recovery project. The additional funds may be used for water acquisition and other actions that may be required by Endangered Species Act biological opinions concerning the operation and maintenance of Bureau of Reclamation projects.

The conference agreement includes an increase of $4,758,000 over the budget request for the Middle Rio Grande project in New Mexico for the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake research, monitoring, and modeling of evapotranspiration, implement a program for the transplant of silvery minnow larvae and young-of-year, and carry out habitat conservation and restoration activities along the middle Rio Grande River valley as specified in the Senate report. Additional funding is also provided for Bureau of Reclamation participation in the recent settlement regarding the recovery of the Rio Grande silvery minnow.

The conference agreement includes $2,960,000 for the Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse Program. Of the funds provided, $500,000 is provided for the Bureau of Reclamation to participate with the City of Espanola, New Mexico, in a feasibility study to investigate opportunities to reclaim and reuse municipal wastewater and naturally impaired surface and groundwater, and $300,000 is provided to continue the Phoenix Metropolitan Water Reclamation and Reuse (Aqua Fria) project in Arizona. In addition, up to $1,000,000 is provided for the Bureau of Reclamation to sup-

port the WateReuse Foundation's research program as described in the House report.

The conferees have provided $5,000,000 for the Drought Emergency Assistance Program to address the severe drought conditions that currently exist in New Mexico and other western states. The conferees direct the attention of the Bureau of Reclamation to the need for the acquisition of water for the San Carlos Reservoir on the Gila River in Arizona.

The conference agreement includes $8,500,000 for the Native American Affairs Program of the Bureau of Reclamation, of which $200,000 is for the Bureau to undertake studies, in consultation and cooperation with the Jicarilla Apache Tribe, of the most feasible method of developing a safe and adequate municipal, rural and industrial water supply for the residents of the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation in New Mexico.

Of the amount provided for the Wetlands Development Program, $1,500,000 is provided for design and construction of the restoration of the Upper Truckee River in the vicinity of the airport at South Lake Tahoe, California, including channel realignment, and meadow and floodplain restoration.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House which provides that none of the funds appropriated in the Act may be used by the Bureau of Reclamation for closure of the Auburn Dam, California, diversion tunnel or restoration of the American River channel through the Auburn Dam construction site.

The conferees have included language in the bill proposed by the Senate which provides that $16,000,000 shall be available for the Rocky Boys Indian Water Rights Settlement project in Montana; provides that not more than $500,000 shall be available for projects carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps; increases the amount authorized for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial water features of the Garrison Diversion project in North Dakota by $2,000,000; and amends the Reclamation Safety of Dams Act of 1978.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing $2,300,000 for the Albuquerque Metropolitan Area Water Reclamation and Reuse project. Funding for this project is included in the total amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates $9,369,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates $38,382,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates $50,224,000 for Policy and Administration as proposed by the Senate instead of $47,000,000 as proposed by the House.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Section 201. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which provides that none of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act may be used to purchase or lease water in the Middle Rio Grande or Carlsbad projects in New Mexico unless the purchase or lease is in compliance with the requirements of section 202 of Public Law 106–60.

Section 202. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that funds for Drought Emergency Assistance are to be used primarily for leasing of water for specified drought related purposes from willing lessors in compliance with State laws. The language also provides that leases may be entered into with an option to purchase provided the purchase is approved in the State in which the purchase takes place and does not cause economic harm in the State in which the purchase is made.

Section 203. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which provides authority to the Secretary of the Interior to make an annual assessment upon Central Valley Project water and power contractors for the purpose of making an annual payment to the Trinity Public Utilities District. The language has been amended to clarify that the payments to the Trinity Public Utilities District will be made without the need for appropriations.

Section 204. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the activities of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program. The language in the Senate bill has been amended to increase the funding limit for the program to not more than $7,850,000, adjusted for inflation, and to not preclude voluntary contributions to the Adaptive Management Program.

Section 205. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which authorizes and directs the Secretary of the Interior to use not to exceed $1,000,000 to refund amounts received by the United States as payments for charges assessed by the Secretary prior to January 1, 1994, for failure to file certain certification or reporting forms prior to the receipt of project water pursuant to sections 206 and 224(c) of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982.

Section 206. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which amends the Canyon Ferry Reservoir, Montana, Act.

Section 207. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that beginning in fiscal year 2000 and thereafter, any amounts provided for the Newlands

Water Rights Fund for purchasing and retiring water rights in the Newlands Reclamation Project shall be non-reimbursable.

Section 208. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which permits the use of Colorado-Big Thompson Project facilities for nonproject water.

Section 209. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which amends the Irrigation Project Contract Extension Act of 1998.

Section 210. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate which extends through fiscal year 2001 the prohibition on the use of funds to further reallocate Central Arizona Project water until the enactment of legislation authorizing and directing the Secretary of the Interior to make allocations and enter into contracts for the delivery of Central Arizona Project water.

Section 211. The conference agreement includes language which amends the San Luis Rey Indian Water Rights Settlement Act, Public Law 100–675.

Section 212. The conference agreement includes language providing for the conveyance of the Sly Park Unit in California to the El Dorado Irrigation District.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement does not include a provision proposed by the Senate related to recreation development within the State of Montana.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
WATER AND RELATED				
ARIZONA				
AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT....	---	6,762	---	6,762
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT.....	33,667	---	39,467	---
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE I.....	1,068	10,315	1,068	10,315
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM.....	3,722	380	3,722	380
HOPI/WESTERN NAVAJO WATER DEVELOPMENT PLAN.....	---	---	1,000	---
NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	300	---	300	---
SOUTH CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	690	---	890	---
SOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT.....	5,189	---	5,189	---
TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION.....	550	---	550	---
TUCSON AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY.....	300	---	300	---
YUMA AREA PROJECTS.....	1,738	17,450	1,738	17,450
CALIFORNIA				
CACHUMA PROJECT.....	666	401	666	401
CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	1,293	---	1,293	---
CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT RECYCLING PROJ.....	500	---	824	---
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT:				
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT.	4,740	10,708	10,240	10,708
DELTA DIVISION.....	14,636	4,706	14,636	4,706
EAST SIDE DIVISION.....	585	3,595	585	3,595
FRIANT DIVISION.....	4,170	2,531	4,170	2,531
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS.....	11,824	1,009	11,824	1,009
REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, EXTRAORDINARY MAINT.....	---	8,013	---	8,013
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION.....	6,171	1,612	8,691	1,612
SAN FELIPE DIVISION.....	897	---	897	---
SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION.....	2,608	---	2,608	---
SHASTA DIVISION.....	3,474	7,356	3,474	7,356
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION.....	7,116	4,791	7,116	4,791
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS.....	897	6,490	897	6,490
WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT.....	6,385	5,447	7,385	5,447
YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION.....	1,800	---	1,800	---
LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT.....	2,000	---	2,000	---
LOS ANGELES AREA WATER RECLAMATION/REUSE PROJ.....	740	---	740	---
MISSION BASIN BRACKISH GROUNDWATER DESALTING DEMO.....	---	---	503	---
NORTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJ.....	2,000	---	5,000	---
ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER. RECLAMATION PROJ.....	2,000	---	2,000	---
ORLAND PROJECT.....	---	617	---	617
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT.....	1,000	---	5,000	---
SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM.....	7,500	---	7,500	---
SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT.....	2,000	---	2,000	---
SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROG.....	3,500	---	3,500	---
SOLANO PROJECT.....	1,084	1,088	1,084	1,088
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.....	624	---	1,124	---
VENTURA RIVER PROJECT, CASITAS DAM.....	---	5,500	---	5,500

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
COLORADO				
ANIMAS-LAPLATA PROJECT, SECTIONS 5 & 8	2,000	---	2,000	---
COLLBRAN PROJECT	132	967	132	967
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	355	7,381	355	7,381
COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	188	---	188	---
FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT	102	16	102	16
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	285	4,653	285	4,653
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP	412	507	412	507
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT	469	1,291	469	1,291
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	69	---	69	---
LOWER GUNNISON BASIN UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	---	332	---	332
MANCOS PROJECT	42	22	42	22
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	---	2,058	---	2,058
PINE RIVER PROJECT	90	58	90	58
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CLOSED BASIN/CONEJOS DIV	410	2,812	410	2,812
UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT	287	23	287	23
IDAHO				
BOISE AREA PROJECTS	1,746	5,683	1,746	5,683
COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	4,622	---	5,622	---
DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY, BOISE PROJECT	250	---	500	---
IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	248	---	248	---
MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS	3,466	1,841	3,766	1,841
MINIDOKA NORTHSIDE DRAINWATER MANAGEMENT PROJECT	288	---	288	---
KANSAS				
KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	400	---	400	---
WICHITA PROJECT	---	226	---	226
MONTANA				
CANYON FERRY RESRVOIR	---	---	325	---
FORT PECK RURAL COUNTY WATER SYSTEM	---	---	1,500	---
FORT PECK, DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTREM	---	---	435	---
HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT	---	283	---	283
MILK RIVER PROJECT	325	512	325	512
MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	251	---	251	---
ROCKY BOYS INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT	16,000	---	16,000	---
NEBRASKA				
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	35	53	35	53
NORTH LOOP DIVISION, MIRDAN CANAL	---	---	1,750	---
NEBRASKA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	17	---	17	---

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
NEVADA				
LAKE MEAD/LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	800	---	1,500	---
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	6,864	1,577	6,864	1,577
NEWLANDS PROJECT WATER RIGHTS FUND	---	---	2,700	---
SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER RECYCLING	---	---	500	---
WALKER RIVER BASIN PROJECT	---	---	300	---
NEW MEXICO				
ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA WATER & RECLAMATION REUSE	---	---	2,300	---
CARLSBAD PROJECT	2,345	607	2,345	857
EASTERN NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLY	---	---	250	---
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	2,604	8,480	7,362	8,480
NAVAJO-GALLUP WATER SUPPLY PROJECT	---	---	450	---
PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT	---	176	---	176
RIO GRANDE PROJECT	947	2,287	947	2,287
SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	183	---	183	---
SO. NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS	238	---	238	---
TUCUMARI PROJECT	18	5	18	5
UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	164	---	164	---
VELARDE COMMUNITY DITCH PROJECT	3,880	---	3,880	---
NORTH DAKOTA				
DAKOTA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	387	---	387	---
DAKOTA TRIBES INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	187	---	187	---
GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP	17,416	3,875	21,416	3,875
OKLAHOMA				
ARBUCKLE PROJECT	---	168	---	168
MCGEE CREEK PROJECT	---	535	---	535
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	---	232	---	232
NORMAN PROJECT	---	163	---	163
OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	234	---	234	---
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	---	262	---	262
WASHTIA BASIN PROJECT	---	638	---	638
OREGON				
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	384	307	384	307
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	500	---	1,000	---
DESCHUTES PROJECT	294	137	294	137
EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS	205	249	205	249
GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY	50	---	50	---
KLAMATH PROJECT	10,837	348	10,837	348
OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	601	---	601	---
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	260	623	260	623
TUALATIN PROJECT	197	123	197	123

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
TUALATIN VALLEY WATER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY STUDY	100	---	100	---
UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT, PHASE III STUDY	100	---	100	---
UMATILLA PROJECT	571	1,723	571	1,723
SOUTH DAKOTA				
LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	---	---	---	1,000
MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT	6,000	40	8,000	40
MNI WICONI PROJECT	23,570	6,165	27,570	6,165
RAPID VALLEY PROJECT	---	30	---	30
TEXAS				
BALMORHEA PROJECT	31	---	31	---
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT	---	131	---	131
HASKELL STREET RECLAIMED WATER PROJECT	---	---	500	---
NUECES RIVER PROJECT	---	393	---	393
PALMETTO BEND PROJECT	---	546	---	546
SAN ANGELO PROJECT	---	262	---	262
TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	346	---	596	---
UTAH				
HYRUM PROJECT	62	11	62	11
MOON LAKE PROJECT	15	5	15	5
NAVAJO SANDSTONE AQUIFER RECHARGE STUDY	250	---	250	---
NEWTON PROJECT	39	14	39	14
NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	230	---	230	---
OGDEN RIVER PROJECT	76	29	76	29
PROVO RIVER PROJECT	401	340	401	340
SCOFIELD PROJECT	91	24	91	24
SOUTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	235	---	235	---
STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	88	7	88	7
WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,267	141	1,267	141
WEBER RIVER PROJECT	296	32	296	32
WASHINGTON				
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	3,600	7,524	3,600	7,524
WASHINGTON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	264	---	264	---
YAKIMA PROJECT	523	7,483	523	7,483
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	11,056	---	11,056	---
WYOMING				
KENDRICK PROJECT	4	5,597	4	5,597
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	19	1,295	19	1,295
SHOSHONE PROJECT	42	905	42	905
WYOMING INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	70	---	70	---

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
VARIOUS				
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE II.....	11,085	---	11,085	---
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 5.....	3,813	1,455	3,813	1,455
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 8, RFW.....	7,135	---	7,135	---
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT.....	150	---	150	---
DAM SAFETY PROGRAM:				
DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM.....	---	1,700	---	1,700
INITIATE SOD CORRECTIVE ACTION.....	---	51,600	---	51,600
SAFETY EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS.....	---	17,500	---	17,500
SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION STUDIES.....	---	1,000	---	1,000
DEPARTMENTAL IRRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM.....	3,000	---	2,000	---
DROUGHT EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.....	500	---	5,000	---
EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES PROGRAM	3,169	---	3,000	---
EMERGENCY PLANNING AND DISASTER RESPONSE PROG.....	---	309	---	309
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENT. PROG.....	12,179	---	12,179	---
ENVIRONMENTAL AND INTERAGENCY COORDINATION.....	1,824	---	1,000	---
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.....	2,155	---	1,500	---
EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES.....	30	4,740	30	4,240
FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM.....	---	1,400	---	1,000
GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES.....	1,842	---	1,700	---
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	6,484	---	5,884	---
LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM.....	13,729	---	11,729	---
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS.....	---	506	---	506
NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION.....	1,300	---	1,300	---
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM.....	8,500	---	8,500	---
NEGOTIATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING.....	1,254	---	1,000	---
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	169	865	169	865
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM - OTHER PROJ.....	3,232	25,667	3,232	25,667
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES.....	1,023	473	1,023	473
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM.....	464	---	464	---
RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION.....	4,914	---	4,696	---
RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT ACT - TITLE XXVIII.	3,743	---	3,743	---
RECREATION, FISH AND WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMIN.....	2,766	---	2,000	---
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY:				
ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	1,225	---	1,225	---
APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	3,249	---	3,249	---
DESALINATION RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.....	300	---	1,300	---
HYDROELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE PROT/EHNANCE.....	660	---	660	---
TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM.....	283	---	283	---
WATERSHED/RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	933	---	933	---
SITE SECURITY.....	---	1,043	---	1,043
SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION.....	263	---	263	---
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES.....	1,840	---	1,000	---
TITLE XVI WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM.....	1,460	---	2,960	---
UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES- TECH SUPPORT.....	50	---	50	---
WATER MANAGEMENT AND CONSERVATION PROGRAM.....	7,605	---	7,100	---
WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT.....	3,750	---	3,250	---

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE FACILITIES OM&R
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS...	-31,120	---	-47,720	---
TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES.....	353,822	289,236	388,864	289,586
LOAN PROGRAM				
CALIFORNIA				
CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT.....	1,300	---	1,300	---
SALINAS VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION.....	800	---	800	---
SAN SEVAINE CREEK WATER PROJECT.....	6,844	---	6,844	---
VARIOUS				
LOAN ADMINISTRATION.....	425	---	425	---
TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM.....	9,369	---	9,369	---

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

The conferees strongly support the progress being made by the Office of Engineering and Construction Management in bringing standardization, discipline, oversight, and increased professionalism to the Department's project management efforts. The project engineering and design (PED) process developed by the Department represents significant progress toward correcting serious management deficiencies that have historically plagued the Department's construction projects. The conferees believe that implementation of the PED process for all construction and environmental projects throughout the Department will provide the assurance necessary to eliminate the current requirement for an external independent review of all projects prior to releasing funds for construction. The conferees expect the continuation of the external independent review process as discussed in both the House and Senate reports.

PASSENGER MOTOR VEHICLES

The conferees have provided statutory limitations on the number of passenger motor vehicles that can be purchased by the Department of Energy in fiscal year 2001. These limitations are included each year, but the Department has been interpreting this limitation to mean that sport utility vehicles are not considered passenger motor vehicles and do not count against the appropriation ceiling. The conferees consider this to be disingenuous at best and a violation of the appropriations language at worst.

The conferees expect the Department to adhere strictly to the limits set for the purchase of motor vehicles. It is the intention of the conferees in prescribing these limitations that sport utility vehicles are to be considered passenger motor vehicles and, therefore, subject to the limitation. Further, the Department is to provide a full and complete accounting of the current motor vehicle inventory at each location. The Department should work with the Committees on Appropriations to ensure that the report provides the necessary information.

CONTRACTOR TRAVEL

The conference agreement includes a statutory provision limiting reimbursement of Department of Energy management and operating contractors for travel expenses to not more than $185,000,000. This limitation consists of $175,000,000 for contractor travel and a reserve fund of $10,000,000 to be administered by the Department's Chief Financial Officer and released for emergency travel requirements.

The Department had requested $200,000,000 for contractor travel. The reduction in fiscal year 2001 is not to be prorated, but should be applied to those organizations that appear to have the most questionable travel practices. This is not meant to restrict trips between laboratories to coordinate on program issues.

INDEPENDENT CENTERS

The Department is to identify all independent centers at each DOE laboratory and facility in the fiscal year 2002 budget submission. These centers are to be funded directly in program accounts, rather than overhead, with the exception of those centers which clearly benefit more than one program at a laboratory or facility. The Department is directed to provide a list of any centers that are funded through overhead accounts with the fiscal year 2002 budget submission.

REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2001 unless specifically identified by the House, Senate, or conference agreement. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance, in writing, and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement includes an allowance of six percent for the laboratory directed research and development (LDRD) program and two percent for nuclear weapons production plants. Travel costs for LDRD are exempt from the contractor travel ceiling. The conferees direct the Department's Chief Financial Officer to develop and execute a financial accounting report of LDRD expenditures by laboratory and weapons production plant. This report, due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by December 31, 2000, and each year thereafter, should provide costs by personnel salaries, equipment, and travel. The Department should work with the Committees on the specific information to be included in the report.

SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY BUDGET AMENDMENT

The conferees have chosen to reflect the amounts requested for safeguards and security funding in the manner proposed in the budget amendment submitted to Congress by the Department. Adjustments have been made in each account to reflect the consolidation of safeguards and security costs into a few major accounts and the transfer of these costs from overhead accounts to specific program line items. However, the conferees do not concur with the amendment to the extent its purpose is to reorganize all safeguards and security functions at the Department under the control and direction of the Office of Security and Emergency Operations, or any other entity not part of line management. The conferees agree that the direct responsibility for safeguards and security must be united and integrated with the responsibility of line operations.

ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House report language on augmenting Federal staff, overhead costs reviews and reprogramming guidelines.

GENERAL REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 15, 2001, on the actual application of any general reductions of funding or use of prior year balances contained in the conference agreement. In general, such reductions should not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity. However, the conferees are aware there may be instances where proportional reductions would adversely impact critical programs and other allocations may be necessary. The report should also include the distribution of the safeguards and security funding adjustments.

ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement provides $660,574,000 for Energy Supply instead of $616,482,000 as proposed by the House and $691,520,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes the House proposal to make funds available until expended rather than the Senate proposal to limit availability to two years. The conference agreement does not include the Senate bill language transferring funds from the United States Enrichment Corporation or earmarking funds for a variety of projects to demonstrate alternative energy technologies.

RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

The conference agreement provides $422,085,000 instead of $390,519,000 as proposed by the House and $444,117,000 as proposed by the Senate for renewable energy resources.

Biomass/biofuels.—The conference agreement includes $112,900,000 for biomass/biofuels. The conferees have provided $26,740,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the budget request. The conference agreement includes $40,000,000 for power systems and $46,160,000 for the transportation program. The conference agreement does not include prescriptive language specifying funding allocations as contained in the House and Senate reports.

The conferees encourage the Department to continue the integrated approach to bioenergy activities and recommend the use of up to $18,000,000 within available funds for the bioenergy initiative. Funding for this initiative may be derived from both the power and transportation programs.

In the power program, the conference agreement provides $2,000,000 for the Iowa switch grass project which is a multi-year project; $4,000,000 for the McNeill biomass plant in Burlington, Vermont; $395,000 for the final Federal contribution to the Vermont agriculture methane project; $500,000 for the bioreactor landfill project to be administered by the Environmental Education and Research Foundation and Michigan State University;

$1,000,000 for methane energy and agriculture development (MEAD) in Tillamook Bay, Oregon; and $1,000,000 for the Mount Wachusett College biomass conversion project in Massachusetts.

The Department is to accelerate the large-scale biomass demonstration at the Winona, Mississippi, site.

The conference agreement provides $4,000,000 in power systems to support a project to demonstrate a commercial facility employing the thermo-depolymerization technology at a site adjacent to the Nevada Test Site. The project shall proceed on a cost-shared basis where Federal funding shall be matched in at least an equal amount with non-Federal funding.

In the transportation program, the conference agreement provides $1,000,000 for continuation of biomass research at the Energy and Environmental Research Center on the integration of biomass with fossil fuels for advanced power systems transportation fuels; $600,000 for the University of Louisville to work on the design of bioreactors for production of fuels and chemicals for ethanol production; and $2,000,000 for the design and construction of a demonstration facility for regional biomass ethanol manufacturing in southeast Alaska.

The conference agreement also includes $2,000,000 for the Michigan Biotechnology Institute to be derived equally from power and transportation systems.

Funding allocated by the Department for the regional biomass program and feedstock production should be derived equally from the power and transportation programs.

Geothermal.—The conference agreement includes $27,000,000 for geothermal activities. The conference agreement does not include language specifying funding allocations as contained in the Senate report. The conferees have provided $2,000,000 to complete the Lake County Basin 2000 Geothermal project in Lake County, California.

Hydrogen.—The conference agreement includes $29,970,000 for hydrogen activities, including $350,000 for the Montana Trade Port Authority in Billings, Montana; $250,000 for the gasification of Iowa switch grass; and $800,000 for the ITM Syngas project.

The conferees have also provided $2,000,000 for the multi-year demonstration of an underground mining locomotive and an earth loader powered by hydrogen at existing facilities within the State of Nevada. The demonstration is subject to a private sector industry cost-share of not less than an equal amount, and a portion of these funds may also be used to acquire a prototype hydrogen fueling appliance to provide on-site hydrogen in the demonstration.

Hydropower.—The conference agreement includes $5,000,000 for hydropower. The conferees are aware that the Department is funding research that is supposed to be applicable to the needs of the large dams in the northwest United States. The Department is concerned that the Federal power marketing administrations are not involved in developing this research program. The Department is directed to provide a report coordinated with the power marketing administrations that indicates how this hydropower research is applicable to the current and future needs of the power marketing administrations and the schedule by which this research will provide useable products.

Solar Energy.—The conference agreement includes $110,632,000 for solar energy programs. The conference agreement does not include language specifying funding allocations as contained in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement provides $13,800,000 for concentrating solar power, including $1,000,000 to initiate planning of a one MW dish engine field validation power project at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas.

The conference agreement includes $78,622,000 for photovoltaic energy systems, including up to $3,000,000 for the million solar roofs initiative. The conferees have provided $1,500,000 for the Southeast and Southwest photovoltaic experiment stations.

The conference agreement includes $3,950,000 for solar building technology research.

Wind.—The conference agreement includes $40,283,000 for wind programs. The conference agreement does not include prescriptive language specifying allocations as included in the Senate report. The conferees have provided $1,000,000 for the Kotzebue wind project. Of the funding for wind energy systems, not less than $5,000,000 shall be made available for new and ongoing small wind programs, including not less than $2,000,000 for the small wind turbine development project. From within available funds, $100,000 has been provided for a wind turbine and for educational purposes at the Turtle Mountain Community College in North Dakota.

Electric energy systems and storage.—The conference agreement includes $52,000,000 for electric energy systems and storage. The conferees urge the Department to support the university, industry-based partnership at the University of California-Irvine Advanced Power and Energy Program to conduct energy and information related technology demonstrations to accelerate the development and deployment of cost-efficient technologies benefiting all energy consumers affected by a deregulated energy industry.

The conference agreement includes $6,000,000 to accelerate the development and application of high temperature superconductor technologies through joint efforts among DOE laboratories, universities, and industry to be lead by Los Alamos and Oak Ridge National Laboratories.

The conference agreement includes $500,000 for completion of the distributed power demonstration project begun last year at the Nevada Test Site.

Renewable Support and Implementation.—The conference agreement includes $21,600,000 for renewable support and implementation programs.

The Federal Energy Management Program should report to the Committees on Appropriations by December 31, 2001, on the accomplishments of the Departmental energy management program with the fiscal year 2001 appropriations including the number of energy efficiency projects funded, the number of energy savings performance contracts supported, and the total estimated savings.

From within available funds, the conference agreement provides $1,000,000 for the Office of Arctic Energy as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $5,000,000 for the international renewable energy program. Of this amount, $1,000,000 is to be provided to International Utility Efficiency Partnerships, Inc. (IUEP). The IUEP shall competitively award all projects, continuing its leadership role in reducing carbon dioxide emissions using voluntary market-based mechanisms.

The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for the renewable energy production incentive program.

The conference agreement includes $6,600,000 for renewable Indian energy resources projects as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for renewable program support, of which $1,000,000 is for an Indoor Air Quality and Energy Conservation Research Planning grant to study and develop technologies to improve air quality within homes and buildings.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes $18,700,000 for program direction. The conferees have provided additional funding to support implementation of the management reforms identified in the recent National Academy of Public Administration review.

NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conference agreement provides $259,925,000 for nuclear energy activities instead of $231,815,000 as proposed by the House and $262,084,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Advanced radioisotope power systems.—The conference agreement includes $32,200,000, an increase over the budget request of $30,864,000. The additional funds are to maintain the infrastructure to support future national security needs and NASA missions.

Isotope support.—The conference agreement includes a total program level of $27,215,000 for the isotope program. This amount is reduced by offsetting collections of $8,000,000 to be received in fiscal year 2001, resulting in a net appropriation of $19,215,000. The conferees understand that the total estimated cost of Project 99–E–201, the isotope production facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory, has increased significantly due to factors outside the control of the Office of Nuclear Energy and have included $2,500,000 to partially cover these additional costs.

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The conference agreement includes $12,000,000, the same as the budget request.

Research and development.—The conference agreement provides $47,500,000 for nuclear energy research and development activities.

The conference agreement includes $5,000,000, the same as the budget request, for nuclear energy plant optimization. The conferees direct the Department to ensure that projects are funded jointly with non-Federal partners and that total non-Federal contributions are equal to or in excess of total Department contributions to projects funded in this program.

The conferees have provided $35,000,000 for the nuclear energy research initiative.

The conference agreement includes $7,500,000 for nuclear energy technologies. The Senate had included these activities in the nuclear energy research initiative program. Funding of $4,500,000

is provided to develop a road map for the commercial deployment of a next generation power reactor; $1,000,000 for the preparation of a detailed assessment that analyzes and describes the changes needed to existing advanced light water reactor (ALWR) designs; $1,000,000 for planning and implementation of initiatives in support of an advanced gas reactor; and $1,000,000 to undertake a study to determine the feasibility of deployment of small modular reactors.

Infrastructure.—The conference agreement includes the budget request of $39,150,000 for ANL-West Operations, $9,000,000 for test reactor landlord activities, and $44,010,000 for the Fast Flux Test Facility.

Nuclear facilities management.—The conference agreement adopts the budget structure proposed by the House and provides $34,850,000 for nuclear facilities management activities, the same as the budget request.

The conference agreement provides the full amount of the budget request to complete draining and processing EBR-II primary sodium. The conferees direct the Department to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations immediately if any issues arise that would delay the Department's scheduled date to complete these activities.

Uranium programs.—The conference agreement transfers the budget request of $53,400,000 for uranium programs to a new appropriation account, Uranium Facilities Maintenance and Remediation.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes $22,000,000 for program direction. This reduction reflects the transfer of 25 employees in the field and up to 5 employees at Headquarters who managed the uranium programs to the Office of Environmental Management.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes $35,998,000 for non-defense environment, safety and health activities. The conferees direct that the reduction from the budget request be directed to eliminate lower-priority activities currently funded in this program. The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 to be transferred to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Department to budget for this activity in fiscal year 2002.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The conference agreement includes $8,600,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement also includes $47,100,000, the same amount as the budget request, for research performed by the Office of Science related to renewable energy technologies, and $2,352,000 proposed as an offset from nuclear energy royalties to be received in fiscal year 2001. A reduction of $16,582,000 reflects the transfer

of safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides $277,812,000 for Non-Defense Environmental Management instead of $281,001,000 as proposed by the House and $309,141,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding of $5,000,000 is provided to expedite environmental cleanup at the Brookhaven National Laboratory. No funding has been provided for the Atlas site in Moab, Utah, which has not been authorized. The recommendation transfers $1,900,000 from the post-2006 program to the site/project completion program to maintain the schedule for completing cleanup of three Oakland geographic sites.

URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION

The conference agreement provides $393,367,000 for uranium activities instead of $301,400,000 as proposed by the House and $297,778,000 as proposed by the Senate, and adopts the budget structure proposed by the House.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement includes $345,038,000 for the uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning fund. This includes $273,038,000 for cleanup activities and $72,000,000 for uranium and thorium reimbursements. The conferees recognize there are eligible uranium and thorium licensee claims under Title X of the Energy Policy Act that have been approved for reimbursement, but not yet paid in full. Additional funding of $42,000,000 over the budget request of $30,000,000 has been provided for these payments.

URANIUM PROGRAMS

The conference agreement provides $62,400,000 for uranium activities, an increase of $9,000,000 over the budget request of $53,400,000. Additional funding of $9,000,000, as proposed by the Senate, has been provided for activities associated with the depleted uranium hexafluoride (DUF6) management and conversion project.

DOMESTIC URANIUM INDUSTRY

The conferees are very concerned about the front end of the U.S. nuclear fuel cycle. The conferees direct the Secretary to work with the President and other Federal agencies to ensure that current laws with respect to the privatization of USEC and with respect to the implementation of the Russian HEU agreement and their impact on United States domestic capabilities are carried out. In addition, the Secretary is instructed to take timely measures to ensure that conversion capability is not lost in the United States. The conferees expect that any such measures will not interfere with the implementation of the Russian HEU agreement and the important national security goals it is accomplishing.

The conferees direct the Secretary to undertake an evaluation and make specific recommendations on the various options to sustain a domestic uranium enrichment industry in the short and long-term to be delivered to Congress no later than December 31, 2000. The Secretary's evaluation shall include recommendations for dealing with the Portsmouth facility and its role in maintaining a secure and sufficient domestic supply of enriched uranium. Further, this investigation should consider the technological viability and commercial feasibility of all proposed enrichment technologies including various centrifuge options, AVLIS and SILEX technologies, or other emerging technology. The evaluation should also consider the role of the Federal government in developing and supporting the implementation and regulation of these new technologies in order to secure a reliable and competitive source of domestic nuclear fuel.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENT

A reduction of $14,071,000 reflects the transfer of safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request.

SCIENCE

The conference agreement provides $3,186,352,000 instead of $2,830,915,000 as proposed by the House and $2,870,112,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate language earmarking funds for various purposes and limiting funding for the small business innovation research program.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides $726,130,000 for high energy physics and reflects the adjustments recommended in the Science budget amendment submitted by the Department. Funding of $230,931,000 has been provided for facility operations at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory.

Nuclear physics.—The conference agreement provides $369,890,000 for nuclear physics, the same as the original budget request.

Biological and environmental research.—The conference agreement includes $500,260,000 for biological and environmental research. The conferees have included $20,135,000 for the low-dose effects program, an increase of $8,453,000 over the budget request. The conference agreement provides $9,000,000 for molecular nuclear medicine.

The conferees have provided the budget request of $2,500,000 for the Laboratory for Comparative and Functional Genomics at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

The conference agreement includes $2,000,000 for the Discovery Science Center in Orange County, California; $1,500,000 for the Children's Hospital emergency power plant in San Diego; $1,000,000 for the Center for Science and Education at the University of San Diego; $500,000 for the bone marrow transplant program at Children's Hospital Medical Center Foundation in Oakland, California; $1,000,000 for the North Shore Long Island Jewish Health System in New York; $1,700,000 for the Museum of

Science and Industry in Chicago; $2,000,000 for the Livingston Digital Millenium Center to be located at Tulane University; and $1,000,000 for the Center for Nuclear Magnetic Resonance at the University of Alabama—Birmingham.

The conference agreement includes $3,000,000 for the Nanotechnology Engineering Center at the University of Notre Dame in South Bend, Indiana; $2,000,000 for the School of Public Health at the University of South Carolina for modernization upgrades; $2,000,000 for the National Center for Musculoskeletal Research at the Hospital for Special Surgery in New York; and $1,300,000 for the Western States Visibility Assessment Program at New Mexico Tech to trace emissions resulting from energy consumption.

The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for high temperature super conducting research and development at Boston College; $2,500,000 for the positron emission tomography facility at West Virginia University; $1,000,000 for the advanced medical imaging center at Hampton University; $500,000 for the Natural Energy Laboratory in Hawaii; $800,000 for the Child Health Institute of New Brunswick, New Jersey; and $900,000 for the linear accelerator for University Medical Center of Southern Nevada.

The conference agreement also includes $200,000 for the study of biological effects of low level radioactive activity at University of Nevada-Las Vegas; $1,000,000 for the Medical University of South Carolina Oncology Center; $11,000,000 for development of technologies using advanced functional brain imaging methodologies, including magnetoencephalography, for conduct of basic research in mental illness and neurological disorders, and for construction; $2,000,000 for a science and technology facility at New Mexico Highlands University; $2,000,000 for the University of Missouri-Columbia to expand the federal investment in the university's nuclear medicine and cancer research capital program; and $2,000,000 for the Inland Northwest Natural Resources Research Center at Gonzaga University.

Basic energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes $1,013,370,000 for basic energy sciences. The conferees have included $8,000,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR).

Spallation Neutron Source.—The recommendation includes $278,600,000, including $259,500,000 for construction and $19,100,000 for related research and development, the same as the amended budget request, for the Spallation Neutron Source.

Advanced scientific computing research.—The conference agreement includes $170,000,000 for advanced scientific computing research.

Energy research analyses.—The conference agreement includes $1,000,000 for energy research analyses, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Multiprogram energy labs—facility support.—The conference agreement includes $33,930,000 for multi-program energy labs-facility support.

Fusion energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes $255,000,000, as proposed by the House, for fusion energy sciences.

Safeguards and security.—Consistent with the Department's amended budget request for safeguards and security, the conference agreement includes $49,818,000 for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the Office of Science. This is offset by a reduction of $38,244,000 that is to be allocated among the various programs which budgeted for safeguards and security costs in their overhead accounts.

Program Direction.—The conference agreement includes $139,245,000 for program direction. Funding of $4,500,000 has been provided for science education.

Funding adjustments.—A reduction of $38,244,000 reflects the allocation of safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request. A general reduction of $34,047,000 has been applied to this account.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides $191,074,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal instead of $213,000,000 as proposed by the House and $59,175,000 as proposed by the Senate. Combined with the appropriation of $200,000,000 to the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal account, a total of $391,074,000 will be available for program activities in fiscal year 2001. The funding level reflects a reduction of $39,500,000 from the budget request and the transfer of $6,926,000 in safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request.

In addition, the conferees recommend that $10,000,000 of funds previously appropriated for interim waste storage activities in Public Law 104–46 may be made available upon written certification by the Secretary of Energy to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that the site recommendation report cannot be completed on time without additional funding.

Site recommendation report.—The conferees reiterate the expectation by Congress that the Department submit its site recommendation report in July 2001 according to the current schedule. While the conference agreement does not provide the full funding requested by the Department, the conferees expect the Department to promptly submit a reprogramming request if it becomes apparent that limited funding will delay the site recommendation report beyond July 2001.

The conferees further expect that, if the site is approved, the Department will continue to analyze further design improvements and enhancements between that time and the submittal of a license application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

State oversight funding.—The conference agreement includes $2,500,000 for the State of Nevada. This funding will be provided to the Department of Energy which will reimburse the State for actual expenditures on appropriate scientific oversight responsibilities conducted pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. These funds are to be provided to the Nevada Division of Emergency Management for program management and execution and may not be used for payment of salaries and expenses for State employees.

Local oversight funding.—The conference agreement includes $6,000,000 for affected units of local government. The conferees ex-

pect the Department to provide the full amount of funding allocated to the State and local counties for oversight activities. Any proposed reduction to the amounts identified by Congress for State and local oversight will require prior approval of a reprogramming request by the Committees on Appropriations.

Limitation on the use of funds to promote or advertise public tours.—The conferees direct that none of the funds be used to promote or advertise any public tour of the Yucca Mountain facility, other than public notice that is required by statute or regulation.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides $226,107,000 for Departmental Administration instead of $153,527,000 as proposed by the House and $210,128,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding adjustments include a transfer of $25,000,000 from Other Defense Activities; the use of $8,000,000 of prior year balances; and a reduction of $18,000 for safeguards and security costs. Revenues of $151,000,000 are estimated to be received in fiscal year 2001, resulting in a net appropriation of $75,107,000.

The conference agreement provides $5,000,000 for the Office of the Secretary as proposed by the House. All funds for the newly established National Nuclear Security Administration have been provided in the defense portion of this bill.

The conference agreement provides $32,148,000 for the Chief Financial Officer, an increase of $1,400,000 over the budget request of $30,748,000. These additional funds are to support the DOE project management career development program.

Working capital fund.—The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the House prohibiting funding Federal employee salaries and expenses in the working capital fund. However, any proposal by the Department to transfer salaries and expenses to the working capital fund will require prior approval by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Cost of work for others.—The conference agreement includes a one-time increase of $40,000,000 in the cost of work for others program to accommodate safeguards and security requirements. It is anticipated that this amount will be offset by an estimated $40,000,000 in revenues derived from non-Department of Energy customers for the purpose of funding safeguards and security activities throughout the Department. In fiscal year 2002 and beyond, the conferees expect the Department to submit a safeguards and security budget that includes amounts obtained previously from other agencies or customers.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides $31,500,000 for the Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of $28,988,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the House requiring a study of the economic basis of recent gasoline price levels.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

The conferees support the Administrator's efforts to establish and fill critical positions within the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA). The conferees agree that the Administrator's authority should not be impacted by any action that would otherwise limit or preclude hiring which may occur as a result of a change of administrations, and that the Administrator should to the maximum extent possible under applicable statutes proceed with effecting appointments.

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides $5,015,186,000 for Weapons Activities instead of $4,579,684,000 as proposed by the House and $4,883,289,000 as proposed by the Senate. Statutory language proposed by the House limiting the funds availability to two years has not been included by the conferees.

Reprogramming.—The conference agreement provides limited reprogramming authority of $5,000,000 or 5 percent, whichever is less, within the Weapons Activities account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved in advance by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage this account.

Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of $5,000,000 or 5 percent to an individual program account during the fiscal year require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

The Department is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations by January 15, 2001, that reflects the allocation of the safeguards and security reduction, the use of prior year balances and the application of general reductions, and any proposed accounting adjustments.

Directed stockpile work.—In stockpile research and development, additional funding of $19,000,000 has been provided for life extension development activities and to support additional sub-critical experiments. Additional funding of $10,000,000 has been provided to support activities required to maintain the delivery date for a certified pit. No additional funds are provided for cooperative research on hard and deeply buried targets.

Funding for stockpile maintenance has been increased by $22,000,000 as follows: $13,000,000 for life extension operations and development and engineering activities; $5,000,000 for the Kansas City Plant; and $4,000,000 for the Y–12 Plant.

Funding for stockpile evaluation has been increased by $23,000,000 as follows: $6,000,000 for the elimination of the testing backlog and joint test equipment procurements; $8,000,000 for the Pantex Plant; $6,000,000 for the Y–12 Plant; and $3,000,000 for the Savannah River Plant.

Campaigns.—The conference agreement provides $41,400,000 for pit certification, the same as the budget request. Additional funding of $10,000,000 has been provided for dynamic materials properties to support the maintenance of core scientific capabilities, Liner Demonstration Experiments, and other various multi-campaign supporting physics demonstrations for the Atlas pulsed power facility at the Los Alamos National Laboratory and the Nevada Test Site.

An additional $15,000,000 has been provided to support research, development and pre-conceptual design studies for an advanced hydrodynamic test facility using protons.

Additional funding of $17,000,000 has been provided for enhanced surveillance activities as follows: $3,000,000 for the Kansas City Plant; $7,000,000 for the Pantex Plant; $4,000,000 for the Y–12 Plant; $1,000,000 for the Savannah River Plant; and $2,000,000 to support accelerated deployment of test and diagnostic equipment.

Funding for pit manufacturing readiness is increased by $17,000,000. An increase of $2,000,000 is provided to initiate conceptual design work on a pit manufacturing facility. Additional funding of $15,000,000 is provided to support the pit production program which is now behind schedule and over cost. The conferees strongly support the Senate language regarding the Department's lack of attention to this critical program and the requirement for a progress report by December 1, 2000, and each quarter thereafter.

An additional $5,000,000 has been provided to the Y–12 Plant for secondary readiness.

Inertial Fusion.—The conference agreement includes $449,600,000 for the inertial fusion program in the budget structure proposed by the House.

Additional funding of $25,000,000 as proposed by the House has been provided to further development of high average power lasers. The conference agreement includes the budget request of $9,750,000 for the Naval Research Laboratory and the budget request of $32,150,000 for the University of Rochester. The conference agreement reflects the transfer of $40,000,000 from National Ignition Facility (NIF) operations funding to the NIF construction project.

The conference agreement provides $2,500,000 from within available funds to transfer the Petawatt Laser from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory to the University of Nevada—Reno, as proposed by the Senate.

National Ignition Facility.—The conference agreement provides $199,100,000 for continued construction of the National Ignition Facility (NIF). The conferees have included a directed reduction of $25,000,000 in the Weapons Activities account which is to be applied to programs under the direction of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

The conferees have included statutory language providing that only $130,000,000 shall be made available for NIF at the beginning of fiscal year 2001 and the remaining $69,100,000 shall be available only upon a certification after March 31, 2001, by the Admin-

istrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration that several requirements have been met. These requirements include:

A. A recommendation on an appropriate path forward for the project based on a detailed review of alternative construction options that would (1) focus on first achieving operation of a 48 or 96 beam laser; (2) allow for the full demonstration of a such a system in support of the stockpile stewardship program before proceeding with construction and operation of a larger laser complex; and (3) include a program and funding plan for the possible future upgrade to a full NIF configuration. The recommendation should include identification of available "off-ramps" and decision points where the project could be scaled to a smaller system.

B. Certification that project and scientific milestones as established in the revised construction project data sheet for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2000 and the first two quarters of fiscal year 2001 have been met on schedule and on cost.

C. Certification that the first and second quarter project reviews in fiscal year 2001 determined the project to be on schedule and cost and have provided further validation to the proposed path forward.

D. Completion of a study that includes conclusions as to whether the full-scale NIF is required in order to maintain the safety and reliability of the current nuclear weapons stockpile, and whether alternatives to the NIF could achieve the objective of maintaining the safety and reliability of the current nuclear weapons stockpile.

E. Certification that the NIF project has implemented an integrated cost-schedule earned-value project control system by March 1, 2001.

F. A five-year budget plan for the stockpile stewardship program that fully describes how the NNSA intends to pay for NIF over the out years and what the potential for other impacts on the stockpile stewardship program will be.

The conferees remain concerned about the Department's proposed budget increase and schedule delay for the NIF at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). The conferees believe that previously the Department of Energy, and most recently the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), may have failed to examine adequately options for NIF that have fewer than the full 192 beams. For example, a preferred course for NIF may be to complete 48 or 96 beams as soon as possible (although block procurement of infrastructure and glass may be considered), bring the reduced NIF into operation, perform the necessary scientific and technical tests to evaluate whether a full NIF will work and its impact on stockpile stewardship, and then develop a path forward for NIF that balances its scientific importance within the overall needs of the stockpile stewardship program. To move on this path in fiscal year 2001, the conferees recommend that $199,100,000 be appropriated for NIF as follows: $74,100,000 as originally proposed for Project 96–D–111, $40,000,000 from NIF operations funding within the budget request for LLNL, $25,000,000 to be identified within the budget request at LLNL, plus an additional $60,000,000 in new appropriations.

Furthermore, the conferees direct the Administration to prepare a budget request for fiscal year 2002 that fully reflects a balanced set of programs and investments within the stockpile stewardship program, and that the overall budget profile over the next eight years will accommodate a $3.4 billion NIF along with the other critical aspects of the program.

Defense computing and modeling.—The conference agreement provides $786,175,000 for defense computing modeling and the Accelerated Strategic Computing Initiative in the budget structure proposed by the House. The recommendation is $10,000,000 less than the budget request, and the reduction should be taken against lower priority activities.

Tritium.—A total of $167,000,000 is provided for continued research and development on a new source of tritium. Funding of $15,000,000 has been provided for design only activities in Project 98–D–126, Accelerator Production of Tritium.

Readiness in technical base and facilities.—The conference agreement includes several funding adjustments transferring funds from this program to individual campaigns.

For operations of facilities, $137,300,000 has been transferred to the inertial fusion program. An additional $36,000,000 has been provided to the production plants for replacement of critical infrastructure and equipment as follows: $12,000,000 for the Kansas City Plant; $12,000,000 for the Pantex Plant; $10,000,000 for the Y–12 Plant; and $2,000,000 for the Savannah River Plant.

Additional funding of $10,000,000 has been provided for the operation of pulsed power facilities; $20,000,000 for microsystems and microelectronics activities at the Sandia National Laboratory; $7,000,000 for a replacement CMR facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory; and $3,100,000 to fund the transition period for the new contractor at the Pantex Plant in Texas.

For program readiness, the conference agreement transfers $7,400,000 to the inertial fusion program and adds $6,100,000 for the TA–18 relocation.

For nuclear weapons incident response, a new program established in readiness technical base and facilities, the conference agreement provides $56,289,000. Funding of $44,205,000 for the nuclear emergency search team and $12,084,000 for the accident response group was transferred from the emergency management program in the Other Defense Activities account.

Special projects are supported at the budget request of $48,297,000. Additional funds have not been provided for AMTEX. From within available funds, $1,000,000 has been provided to support a program in partnership with university systems to meet the needs of the NNSA.

For materials recycling, the conference agreement provides an additional $8,000,000 to maintain restart schedules for hydrogen fluoride and wet chemistry operations at the Y–12 Plant.

For containers, the conference agreement provides an additional $4,000,000 to support the effort to repackage pits which is currently behind schedule at the Pantex Plant due to operational problems.

Funding for advanced simulation and computing has been transferred to the defense computing and modeling campaign.

The conference agreement does not provide additional funding to process uranium-233 as proposed by the Senate, but the conferees expect the Department to act expeditiously to process this material in a manner that would retain and make available isotopes for beneficial use. The Department should provide to the House and Senate Committees a report on the status of this project by March 1, 2001.

Construction projects.—The conference agreement provides $35,500,000 for preliminary project engineering and design. Funding of $20,000,000 is provided for design and supporting infrastructure upgrades for the Microsystems and Engineering Sciences Applications facility at Sandia National Laboratory; $5,000,000 for proof of concept and completion of facility operational capability for the Atlas pulsed power machine at the Nevada Test Site; and $1,000,000 for initiation of design activities for the relocation of the TA–18 nuclear materials handling facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

Safeguards and security.—Consistent with the Department's amended budget request for safeguards and security, the conference agreement includes $377,596,000 for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the Office of Defense Programs. This is offset by a reduction of $310,796,000 to be allocated among the various programs which budgeted for safeguards and security costs in their overhead accounts.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides $224,071,000 for program direction as proposed by the Senate.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of $13,647,000 in prior year balances and a reduction of $310,796,000 that reflects the allocation of safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request. In addition, the conference agreement includes a general reduction of $35,700,000 of which $25,000,000 is to be taken against programs at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

The conference agreement provides $874,196,000 for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation instead of $861,477,000 as proposed by the House and $908,967,000 as proposed by the Senate. Statutory language proposed by the House limiting the funds availability to two years has not been included by the conferees. Statutory language proposed by the Senate to earmark funding for the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology has not been included. The conferees have provided a total of $53,000,000 for the long-term Russian initiative within this account.

Limitation on Russian and Newly Independent States' (NIS) program funds.—The conferees are concerned about the amount of funding for Russian and NIS programs which remains in the United States for Department of Energy contractors and laboratories rather than going to the facilities in Russia and the NIS. The conferees direct that not more than the following percentages of funding may be spent in the United States in fiscal year 2001 for these programs: Materials Protection, Control and Accounting, 43%; International Proliferation Prevention Program, 40%; Nuclear

Cities Initiative, 49%; Russian Plutonium Disposition, 38%; and International Nuclear Safety, 78%.

The conferees expect the Department to continue to increase the level of funding which is provided to Russia versus the funding which remains in the United States for Department of Energy contractors and laboratories in each subsequent year. The Department is to provide a report to the Committees by January 31, 2001, and each subsequent year on the amount of funding provided to Russia and NIS in each program area. The Department should work with the Committees on the specific information to be included in the report.

Nonproliferation and verification research and development.—The conference agreement provides $252,990,000 for nonproliferation and verification research and development. Funding of $17,000,000 has been provided for the nonproliferation and international security center (NISC) at Los Alamos National Laboratory, and $1,000,000 for the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology PASSCAL Instrument Center.

Concerns have been raised repeatedly that there should be more opportunity for open competition in certain areas of the nonproliferation and verification research and development program. A recent report by an outside group established by the Department to review the Office of Nonproliferation Research and Engineering included a similar recommendation. The report stated that, "There should be greater opportunity for the wider U.S. scientific and technical community to contribute to the success of the NN–20 portfolio. This can be done through open competition administered by DOE Headquarters and through partnerships chosen and managed by the DOE national laboratories." * * * "Areas that come to mind as candidates for open competition include seismic verification technologies for very low yield underground nuclear tests and chemical and biological agent detection and identification technologies. Other possible areas might be specialized electronic chip development and certain radio-frequency technologies."

The conferees expect the Department to act in good faith on the recommendations provided by the external review group, and direct the Department to initiate a free and open competitive process for 25 percent of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2001 for ground-based systems treaty monitoring. The competitive process should be open to all Federal and non-Federal entities.

The conferees direct the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations on the status of implementing the external review panel's recommendations and the results of the directed open competition by March 30, 2001.

Arms control.—The conference agreement provides $152,014,000 for arms control activities including $24,500,000 for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention and $27,500,000 for the Nuclear Cities Initiative. In addition to the $10,000,000 added to the Nuclear Cities Initiative, the conferees have provided another $19,000,000 for the long-term Russian initiative in the arms control program to be distributed as follows: $15,000,000 for spent fuel dry storage; $500,000 for the plutonium registry at Mayak; $2,500,000

for geologic repository cooperation research and planning; and $1,000,000 for research reactor spent fuel acceptance.

International materials protection, control and accounting (MPC&A).—The conference agreement includes $173,856,000 for the MPC&A program including $24,000,000 for the long-term Russian initiative. The conferees have provided $5,000,000 for plutonium storage at Mayak and $19,000,000 for expanded MPC&A activities at Russian naval sites.

HEU transparency implementation.—The conference agreement provides $15,190,000, the same as the budget request.

International nuclear safety.—The conference agreement provides $20,000,000, the same as the budget request, for the international nuclear safety program. This funding is to be used only for activities in support of completing the upgrades to Soviet-designed nuclear reactors. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides $1,000,000 for a cooperative effort between the United States and Russia to address intergranular stress corrosion cracking and restore the structural integrity of Russian nuclear plants until decommissioning.

Fissile materials disposition.—The conference agreement provides $249,449,000 for fissile materials disposition. Funding of $139,517,000, as proposed by the House, has been provided for the U.S. surplus materials disposition program. The conference agreement provides $26,000,000 for Project 99–D–143, the MOX fuel fabrication facility.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides $51,468,000 for the program direction account as proposed by the House. The conferees are aware that the Department does not have enough qualified Federal employees available to manage the nonproliferation and national security programs, particularly the Russian programs. The conferees will favorably consider a reprogramming of funds from program areas to the program direction account as Federal employees are hired to replace the contractor employees who currently oversee these programs.

Funding adjustment.—The conference agreement includes a reduction of $40,245,000 that reflects the transfer of safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides $690,163,000 for Naval Reactors instead of $694,600,000 as proposed by the Senate and $677,600,000 as proposed by the House. Additional funding of $17,000,000 is provided to optimize the program to shutdown prototype reactors and complete all major inactivation work by fiscal year 2002.

Funding adjustment.—The conference agreement includes a reduction of $4,437,000 that reflects the transfer of safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

The conference agreement provides $10,000,000 for this new account as proposed by the Senate. These funds are provided to the

Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration for the costs associated with hiring new employees and establishing the office.

OTHER DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides $4,974,476,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of $4,522,707,000 as proposed by the House and $4,635,763,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of $1,082,714,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and $65,000,000 in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account for a total of $6,122,190,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the House pertaining to the use of funds for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant or language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for programs to be managed by the Carlsbad office of the Department of Energy.

The conference agreement limits the number of motor vehicles that can be purchased in fiscal year 2001 to not more than 30 for replacement only. The conferees have included an additional reporting requirement on the entire Department and have specified that sport utility vehicles are to be counted within this ceiling.

National monument designation.—The conferees agree that no funds spent by the Department for the coordination, integration, or implementation of a management plan related to the Hanford Reach National Monument shall result in the reduction or delay of cleanup at the Hanford site.

Site/Project Completion.—The conference agreement provides an additional $11,000,000 for F and H-area stabilization activities at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina as proposed by the House, and $19,000,000 to address funding shortfalls at the Hanford site in Richland, Washington, as proposed by the Senate. Funding of $12,308,000 has been transferred to other accounts as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement supports the budget request of $2,500,000 for the cooperative agreement with WERC and provides $25,000 for an independent evaluation of the mixed-waste landfill at Sandia National Laboratories in New Mexico.

For construction, the conference agreement provides $17,300,000 for Project 01–D–414, preliminary project engineering and design (PE&D). Project 01–D–415, 235–F packaging and stabilization, at the Savannah River Site has been funded at $4,000,000. Funding of $500,000 requested for Project 01–D–402, INTEC cathodic protection system expansion project, at Idaho Falls has been transferred to the new PE&D project. Funding of $27,932,000 for the Highly Enriched Blend Down Facility has been transferred to the fissile materials disposition program.

Post 2006 Completion.—The conference agreement includes an additional $10,000,000 to maintain schedules required by revised compliance agreements with the State of Washington as proposed by the Senate, and $6,000,000 to support transuranic and low-level

waste activities at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina as proposed by the House. Funding of $10,000,000 for the Four Mile Branch project and $18,000,000 for the Consolidated Incinerator Facility at the Savannah River Site has not been provided as proposed by the House. Funding of $18,692,000 has been transferred to the Science and Technology program.

The conference agreement provides $400,000 to begin design activities for a subsurface geosciences laboratory at Idaho.

From within available funds for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, $1,000,000 has been provided for a transparency demonstration project.

A total of $3,000,000 has been provided to support a program with the United States-Mexico Border Health Commission to demonstrate technologies to reduce hazardous waste streams and to support the Materials Corridor Partnership Initiative.

Funding of $1,300,000 for Project 01–D–403, immobilized high level waste interim storage facility, at Richland, Washington, has been transferred to the PE&D project in site/project completion account.

Office of River Protection.—The conference agreement provides $757,839,000 for the Office of River Protection at the Hanford site in Washington. The conference agreement provides $377,000,000 for Project 01–D–416, Tank Waste Remediation System, at Richland, Washington, to vitrify the high-level waste in underground tanks. Funding to vitrify waste at the Hanford site was requested in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account in fiscal year 2001. However, due to the failure of the contractor to provide a viable cost estimate under the concept of a "privatized" contract, the contract will now be structured as a cost plus incentive fee contract and will be funded in the regular appropriation account.

Science and technology development.—The conference agreement provides $256,898,000 for the science and technology development program. Funding of $21,000,000 has been transferred to this account for the Idaho validation and verification program. This transfer is not intended to reduce the environmental management base program in Idaho. The Department is directed to provide $10,000,000 for the next round of new and innovative research grants in the environmental management science program in fiscal year 2001, and $10,000,000 for technology deployment activities.

The conference agreement provides $4,000,000 for the international agreement with AEA Technology; $4,500,000 for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory; $4,350,000 for the university robotics research program; an additional $1,000,000 for the D&D focus area; and up to $4,000,000 to continue evaluation, development and demonstration of the Advanced Vitrification System upon successful completion of supplemental testing. The conferees have provided $2,000,000 to the National Energy Technology Laboratory to be used for the continuation of the Mid-Atlantic Recycling Center for End-of-Life Electronics initiative (MARCEE) in cooperation with the Polymer Alliance Zone.

The conference agreement includes $4,000,000 for the long-term stewardship program to be administered at Headquarters and $4,000,000 for the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental

Laboratory. No funds are provided for the low dose radiation effects program, as the entire Senate recommended amount is provided within the Office of Science.

Safeguards and security.—Consistent with the Department's amended budget request for safeguards and security, the conference agreement includes $203,748,000 for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the Office of Defense Programs. This is offset by a reduction of $193,217,000 to be allocated among the various programs which budgeted for safeguards and security costs in their overhead accounts.

Program direction.—The conferees have provided $363,988,000 for the program direction account. This funding level reflects the transfer of the uranium programs from the office of nuclear energy to the office of environmental management. Funding of $4,100,000 has been provided to allow for the transfer of up to 5 employees from Headquarters and 25 employees at Oak Ridge who manage the uranium programs.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of $34,317,000 of prior year balances and $50,000,000 in pension refunds, the same as the budget request. The conference agreement includes a reduction of $193,217,000 that reflects the allocation of safeguards and security costs in accordance with the Department's amended budget request. A general reduction of $10,700,000 has also been included.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

The conference agreement appropriates $1,082,714,000 the same as the amended budget request. The conferees expect the Department to request adequate funds to keep each of these projects on a schedule for closure by 2006 or earlier.

Any savings resulting from safeguards and security costs are to be retained and used for cleanup activities at the closure sites.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement provides $65,000,000 for the defense environmental management privatization program instead of $259,000,000 as proposed by the House and $324,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides no funds for the Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) project at Hanford. Funding for this project, which had previously been considered as a privatization contract, has been transferred to the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management appropriation account.

The conference agreement also includes a rescission of $97,000,000 of funds previously appropriated for the TWRS project in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization appropriation account.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates $585,755,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of $592,235,000 as proposed by the House and $579,463,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

SECURITY AND EMERGENCY OPERATIONS

For nuclear safeguards and security, the conference agreement provides $116,409,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees have provided $3,000,000 for the critical infrastructure protection program, an increase of $600,000 over fiscal year 2000. The conference agreement also provides $2,000,000 to procure safety locks to meet Federal specifications.

The conference agreement provides $33,000,000 for security investigations, the same as the budget request.

The conference agreement includes $33,711,000 for emergency management. Funding of $3,600,000 was transferred to the program direction account to reflect the conversion of contractor employees to Federal employees at a substantial cost savings. Funding of $44,205,000 for the nuclear emergency search team and $12,084,000 for the accident response group was transferred to the Weapons Activities account.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides $92,967,000 for the program direction account as proposed by the House. This reflects the transfer of $3,600,000 from the emergency management program.

INTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes $38,059,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's intelligence program.

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes $45,200,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's counterintelligence program.

ADVANCED ACCELERATOR APPLICATIONS

The conference agreement provides $34,000,000 to establish a new program for advanced accelerator applications, including $3,000,000 for research and development of technologies for economic and environmentally sound refinement of spent nuclear fuel at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas.

The Department is directed to prepare a program plan for managing and executing this program using the extensive expertise of the Office of Science and the Office of Defense Programs in accelerator research, design, and applications, and the expertise of the Office of Nuclear Energy in transmutation of nuclear waste. This program plan should be submitted to the Committees by March 1, 2001.

The conferees make no recommendation as to how the Department should manage the advanced accelerator application program.

INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides $14,937,000, the same as the budget request for the office of independent oversight and performance assurance.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides $125,567,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities. The conferees have provided $3,000,000 to establish a program at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas for Department-wide management of electronic records; $1,750,000 for the University of Louisville and the University of Kentucky to undertake epidemiological studies of workers; $880,000 to provide medical screening for workers employed at the Amchitka nuclear weapons test site; and $500,000 for the State of Nevada to address deficiencies in the Cancer Registry, Vital Statistics, and Birth Defects Registry activities.

The conference agreement includes $17,000,000 for the Department's administrative costs associated with the proposed Energy Employees Compensation Initiative. These funds are not available until the program is authorized by law.

WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides $24,500,000 for the worker and community transition program, including $2,100,000 for infrastructure improvements at the former Pinellas plant. The conferees expect that communities denied funds in fiscal year 2000 will be granted priority status in fiscal year 2001.

The conference agreement provides that no funds may be used to augment the $24,500,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides $25,000,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of $51,000,000 as proposed by the House and no funding as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides $3,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

A reduction of $595,000 and the elimination of the $20,000,000 offset to user organizations for security investigations reflects the allocation of the safeguards and security amended budget request.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides $200,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of $292,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conferees have included the statutory language extending Bonneville's voluntary separation incentive program until January 1, 2003.

During fiscal year 2001, Bonneville plans to pay the Treasury $620,000,000 of which $163,000,000 is to repay principal on the Federal investment in these facilities.

SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $3,900,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southeastern Power Administration.

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes $28,100,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southwestern Power Administration.

WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides $165,830,000, instead of $164,916,000 as proposed by the Senate and $160,930,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement increases the amount of purchase power and wheeling to $65,224,000 and increases offsetting collections by the same amount. Funding of $5,950,000 is provided for the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account.

FALCON AND AMISTAD FUND

The conference agreement includes $2,670,000, the same as the budget request, for the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $175,200,000, the same as the budget request for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

RESCISSIONS

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement includes language rescinding $75,000,000 from funds previously appropriated for interim waste storage activities for Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal in Public Law 104–46, the fiscal year 1996 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement includes language rescinding $97,000,000 from the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account. Funds were appropriated in this account in prior years for the Hanford Tank Waste Remediation System Project. This project is no longer being considered for a privatization contract. It has been transferred to the Defense Environmental Res-

toration and Waste Management appropriation account and will be funded there in future appropriation acts.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Sec. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants a waiver to allow for such a deviation. Section 301 does not preclude extension of a contract awarded using competitive procedures.

Sec. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

Sec. 303. The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to augment the $24,500,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

Sec. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress.

Sec. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill.

Sec. 306. The conference agreement includes language providing that not to exceed 6 percent of funds shall be available for Laboratory Directed Research and Development.

Sec. 307. The conference agreement includes language limiting to $185,000,000 the funds available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses. Of the $185,000,000, $175,000,000 is available for contractor travel and $10,000,000 is to be held in reserve by the Department's Chief Financial Officer for emergency travel requirements. The language also requires the Department of Energy to reimburse contractors for travel consistent with regulations applicable to Federal employees and specifies that the travel ceiling does not apply to travel funded from Laboratory Directed Research and Development funds.

Sec. 308. The conference agreement includes language prohibiting the Bonneville Power Administration from performing energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory.

Sec. 309. The conference agreement includes language limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision includes ash residues; salt residues; wet residues; direct repackage residues; and scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site".

Sec. 310. The conference agreement includes language allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to authorize certain nuclear weapons production plants to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities.

Sec. 311. The conference agreement includes language allowing each Federal power marketing administration to engage in activities relating to the formation and operation of a regional transmission organization.

Sec. 312. The conference agreement includes language that would permit the Secretary of Energy to use $10,000,000 of funds previously appropriated for interim waste storage activities for Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal upon receipt of written certification that the site recommendation report cannot be completed on time without additional funding.

Sec. 313. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate that would provide a three year term of office for the first person appointed to the position of the Under Secretary of Nuclear Security of the Department of Energy.

Sec. 314. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate limiting the authority of the Secretary of Energy to modify the organization of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Sec. 315. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the pay of personnel engaged in concurrent service or duties inside and outside the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Report on impacts of limits on on-site storage.—The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the Senate, but the conferees direct that not later than 90 days after enactment of the fiscal year 2001 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to Congress a report containing a description of all alternatives that are available to the Northern States Power Company and the Federal government to allow the company to continue to operate the Prairie Island nuclear generating plant until the end of the term of the license issued to the company by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, in view of a law of the State of Minnesota that limits the quantity of spent nuclear fuel that may be stored at the plant, assuming that the existing Federal and State laws remain unchanged.

Report on electricity prices.—The conferees note that California is currently experiencing an energy crisis. Wholesale electricity prices have soared, resulting in electrical bills that have increased

by as much as 300 percent in the San Diego area. Conferees understand that the staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is currently investigating the crisis. The Commission is directed to submit to Congress a report on the results of the investigation no later than December 1, 2000. The report shall include identification of the causes of the San Diego price increases, a determination whether California wholesale electricity markets are competitive, a recommendation whether a regional price cap should be set in the Western States, a determination whether manipulation of prices has occurred at the wholesale level, and a determination of remedies, including legislation or regulations, that are necessary to correct the problem and prevent similar incidents in California and elsewhere in the United States.

Provisions not adopted by the conferees.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House and Senate prohibiting the use of funds for contracts modified in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate allowing the Secretary of Energy to enter into multiyear contracts without obligating the estimated costs.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate requiring the Department of Energy's laboratories to provide an annual funding plan to the Department.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting the payment of Federal salaries in the working capital fund.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the expenditure of funds to establish or maintain independent centers at Department of Energy laboratories or facilities. The conference agreement includes report language requiring the Department to identify these centers in the budget request.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House requiring a report on activities of the executive branch to address high gasoline prices and develop an overall national energy strategy.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the expenditure of funds to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate limiting the inclusion of costs of protecting fish and wildlife within the rates charged by the Bonneville Power Administration.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate limiting the cost of construction of the National Ignition Facility.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate requiring an evaluation of innovative technologies for demilitarization of weapons components and treatment of hazardous waste.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate requiring a report on national energy policy.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate noting concern with the House provision on limiting funds for worker and community transition.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate requiring a report on the impact of State-imposed limits on spent nuclear fuel storage. This requirement has been included in report language.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate limiting the use of funds to promote or advertise public tours at Yucca Mountain. This requirement has been included in report language.

CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title III are contained in the following table.

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES		
Renewable energy technologies		
Biomass/biofuels energy systems		
Power systems	47,830	40,000
Transportation	54,110	46,160
Subtotal, Biomass/biofuels energy systems	101,940	86,160
Biomass/biofuels energy research	26,740	26,740
Subtotal, Biomass	128,680	112,900
Geothermal technology development	26,970	27,000
Hydrogen research	22,940	27,000
Hydrogen energy research	2,970	2,970
Subtotal, Hydrogen	25,910	29,970
Hydropower	5,000	5,000
Solar energy		
Concentrating solar power	14,940	13,800
Photovoltaic energy systems	81,450	75,775
Photovoltaic energy research	2,847	2,847
Subtotal, Photovoltaic	84,297	78,622
Solar building technology research	4,470	3,950
Solar photoconversion energy research	14,260	14,260
Subtotal, Solar energy	117,967	110,632
Wind energy systems	50,140	40,000
Wind energy research	283	283
Subtotal, Wind	50,423	40,283
Total, Renewable energy technologies	354,950	325,785

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Electric energy systems and storage		
High temperature superconducting R&D	31,900	37,000
Energy storage systems	5,000	6,000
Transmission reliability	10,960	9,000
Total, Electric energy systems and storage	47,860	52,000
Renewable support and implementation		
Departmental energy management	4,988	2,000
International renewable energy program	11,460	5,000
Renewable energy production incentive program	4,000	4,000
Renewable Indian energy resources	5,000	6,600
Renewable program support	6,500	4,000
Total, Renewable support and implementation	31,948	21,600
National renewable energy laboratory	1,900	4,000
Program direction	18,159	18,700
TOTAL, RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES	454,817	422,085

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Advanced radioisotope power system	30,864	32,200
Isotopes		
Isotope support and production	16,218	24,715
Construction		
99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL)	500	2,500
Subtotal, Isotope support and production	16,718	27,215
Offsetting collections	---	-8,000
Total, Isotopes	16,718	19,215
University reactor fuel assistance and support	12,000	12,000
Research and development		
Nuclear energy plant optimization	5,000	5,000
Nuclear energy research initiative	34,903	35,000
Nuclear energy technologies	---	7,500
Total, Research and development	39,903	47,500
Infrastructure		
ANL-West operations	---	39,150
Fast flux test facility (FFTF)	38,524	44,010
Test reactor area landlord	7,415	7,575
Construction		
99-E-200 Test reactor area electrical utility upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	879	925
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	458	500
Subtotal, Construction	1,337	1,425
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	8,752	9,000
Total, Infrastructure	47,276	92,160

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Nuclear facilities management	66,126	---
Nuclear facilities management		
EBR-II shutdown	---	8,800
Disposition of spent fuel and legacy materials	---	16,200
Disposition technology activities	---	9,850
Total, Nuclear facilities management	---	34,850
Uranium programs	47,779	---
Program direction	27,620	22,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	288,286	259,925
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Environment, safety and health	19,906	16,000
Program direction	19,998	19,998
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	39,904	35,998
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
Technical information management program	1,802	1,600
Program direction	7,335	7,000
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	9,137	8,600
Subtotal, Energy supply	792,144	726,608
Renewable energy research program	-47,100	-47,100
Transfer from Geothermal and USEC	-12,000	---
Offset from nuclear energy royalties	-2,352	-2,352
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-16,582
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY	730,692	660,574

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Site closure	81,248	81,636
Site/project completion	63,798	61,621
Post 2006 completion	137,766	137,744
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-3,189
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	282,812	277,812
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND		
Decontamination and decommissioning	264,588	---
Uranium/thorium reimbursement	30,000	---
TOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING	294,588	---
URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION		
Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund		
Decontamination and decommissioning	---	273,038
Uranium/thorium reimbursement	---	72,000
Total, Uranium enrichment D&D fund	---	345,038
Other Uranium Activities		
Maintenance of facilities and inventories	---	29,193
Pre-existing liabilities	---	11,330
Depleted UF6 conversion project	---	21,877
Total, Other uranium activities	---	62,400
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-14,071
TOTAL, URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION	---	393,367

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
SCIENCE		
High energy physics		
Research and technology	236,000	234,720
Facility operations	440,872	459,010
Construction		
00-G-307 SLAC office building	5,200	5,200
99-G-306 Wilson hall safety improvements, Fermilab	4,200	4,200
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab	23,000	23,000
Subtotal, Construction	32,400	32,400
Subtotal, Facility operations	473,272	491,410
Total, High energy physics	709,272	726,130
Nuclear physics	365,069	369,890
Biological and environmental research	435,954	497,760
Construction		
01-E-300 Laboratory for Comparative and Functional Genomics, ORNL	2,500	2,500
Total, Biological and environmental research	438,454	500,260

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Basic energy sciences		
Materials sciences	448,964	456,111
Chemical sciences	219,090	223,229
Engineering and geosciences	40,304	40,816
Energy biosciences	33,662	33,714
Construction		
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	261,900	259,500
Total, Basic energy sciences	1,003,920	1,013,370
Advanced scientific computing research	179,817	170,000
Energy research analyses	988	1,000
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support		
Infrastructure support	1,023	1,160
Oak Ridge landlord	7,475	10,711
Construction		
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	22,059	22,059
Total, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. support	30,557	33,930
Fusion energy sciences program	243,907	255,000
Safeguards and security	49,818	49,818
Program direction		
Field offices	82,929	83,307
Headquarters	51,408	51,438
Science education	6,500	4,500
Total, Program direction	140,837	139,245
Subtotal, Science	3,162,639	3,258,643
General reduction	---	-34,047
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-38,244
TOTAL, SCIENCE	3,162,639	3,186,352

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Repository program	255,034	135,200
Program direction	63,540	62,800
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-6,926
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	318,574	191,074
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations		
Salaries and expenses		
Office of the Secretary	6,648	5,000
Board of contract appeals	878	878
Chief financial officer	30,748	32,148
Contract reform	2,500	2,500
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs	5,146	5,000
Economic impact and diversity	5,126	5,126
General counsel	22,724	22,724
International affairs	9,400	8,500
Management and administration	78,882	77,800
Policy office	6,688	6,600
Public affairs	4,150	3,900
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	172,890	170,176
Program support		
Minority economic impact	1,498	1,500
Policy analysis and system studies	406	422
Environmental policy studies	1,600	1,000
Corporate management information program	12,000	12,000
Subtotal, Program support	15,504	14,922
Total, Administrative operations	188,394	185,098
Cost of work for others	34,027	74,027
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	222,421	259,125
Use of prior year balances and other adjustments	-8,000	-8,000
Transfer from other defense activities	---	-25,000
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-18
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	214,421	226,107
Miscellaneous revenues	-128,762	-151,000
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	85,659	75,107

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General	33,000	31,500
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Stewardship operation and maintenance		
Directed stockpile work		
Stockpile research and development	243,300	272,300
Stockpile maintenance	257,994	279,994
Stockpile evaluation	151,710	174,710
Dismantlement/disposal	29,260	29,260
Production support	149,939	149,939
Field engineering, training and manuals	4,400	4,400
Reduction for safeguards and security	-17,427	---
Subtotal, Directed stockpile work	819,176	910,603
Campaigns		
Primary certification	41,400	41,400
Dynamic materials properties	64,408	74,408
Advanced radiography	43,000	58,000
Construction		
97-D-102 Dual-axis radiographic hydrotest facility (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	35,232	35,232
Subtotal, Advanced radiography	78,232	93,232
Secondary certification and nuclear systems margins	52,964	52,964
Enhanced surety	40,600	40,600
Weapons system engineering certification	16,300	16,300
Certification in hostile environments	15,400	15,400
Enhanced surveillance	89,651	106,651
Advanced design and production technologies	75,735	75,735
Inertial confinement fusion	120,800	250,500
Construction		
96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL	73,469	199,100
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion	194,269	449,600

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Defense computing and modeling	249,100	716,175
Construction		
01-D-101 Distributed information systems laboratory, SNL, Livermore, CA	2,300	2,300
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	4,900	5,000
00-D-105 Strategic computing complex, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	56,000	56,000
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	6,700	6,700
Subtotal, Construction	69,900	70,000
Subtotal, Defense computing and modeling	319,000	786,175
Pit manufacturing readiness	108,038	125,038
Secondary readiness	15,000	20,000
Materials readiness	40,511	40,511
Tritium readiness	77,000	77,000
Construction		
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR	75,000	75,000
98-D-126 Accelerator production of Tritium, various locations	---	15,000
Subtotal, Construction	75,000	90,000
Subtotal, Tritium readiness	152,000	167,000
Reduction for safeguards and security	-52,204	---
Subtotal, Campaigns	1,251,304	2,105,014

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Readiness in technical base and facilities		
Operations of facilities	1,313,432	1,252,232
Program readiness	75,800	74,500
Nuclear weapons incident response	---	56,289
Special projects	48,297	48,297
Material recycle and recovery	22,018	30,018
Containers	7,876	11,876
Storage	9,075	9,075
Advanced simulation and computing	477,075	---
Reduction for safeguards and security	-220,867	---
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and fac	1,732,706	1,482,287
Construction		
01-D-103 Preliminary project engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	14,500	35,500
01-D-124 HEU storage facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	17,749	17,800
01-D-126 Weapons Evaluation Test Laboratory Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	3,000	3,000
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA	4,975	5,000
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA	2,786	2,800
99-D-106 Model validation & system certification center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	5,200	5,200
99-D-108 Renovate existing roadways, Nevada Test Site, NV	1,874	2,000
99-D-125 Replace boilers and controls, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	13,000	13,000
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	23,566	23,765
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX	4,998	4,998
98-D-123 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River, SC	30,767	30,767
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	2,864	2,918

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project (LANL)	13,337	13,337
Subtotal, Construction	138,616	160,085
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and fac.	1,871,322	1,642,372
Total, Stewardship operation and maintenance	3,941,802	4,657,989
Transportation safeguards division		
Operations and equipment	79,357	79,357
Program direction	36,316	36,316
Total, Transportation safeguards division	115,673	115,673
Safeguards and security	356,840	356,840
Construction		
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	18,043	18,043
88-D-123 Security enhancements, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	2,713	2,713
Subtotal, Construction	20,756	20,756
Total, Safeguards and security	377,596	377,596
Program direction	204,154	224,071
Subtotal, Weapons activities	4,639,225	5,375,329
Use of prior year balances	---	-13,647
General reduction	---	-35,700
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-310,796
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	4,639,225	5,015,186

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D	216,550	235,990
Construction		
00-D-192 Nonproliferation and international security center (NISC), LANL	7,000	17,000
Total, Nonproliferation and verification, R&D	223,550	252,990
Arms control	119,915	152,014
International materials protection, control, and accounting	146,081	173,856
Long-term nonproliferation program for Russia	100,000	---
HEU transparency implementation	15,166	15,190
International nuclear safety	18,902	20,000
Fissile materials disposition		
U.S. surplus materials disposition	117,912	139,517
Russian surplus materials disposition	34,803	40,000
Program direction - MD	9,878	---
Construction		
01-D-407 Highly enriched uranium (HEU) blend down, Savannah River, SC	---	20,932
01-D-142 Immobilization and associated processing facility, various locations	3,000	3,000
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, various locations	20,000	20,000
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility various locations	15,000	26,000
Subtotal, Construction	38,000	69,932
Total, Fissile materials disposition	200,593	249,449
Program direction	41,383	51,468
Use of prior year balances	---	-526
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-40,245
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION	865,590	874,196

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
NAVAL REACTORS		
Naval reactors development	623,063	644,500
Construction		
GPN-101 General plant projects, various locations.	11,400	11,400
01-D-200 Major office replacement building, Schenectady, NY	1,300	1,300
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	16,000	16,000
Subtotal, Construction	28,700	28,700
Total, Naval reactors development	651,763	673,200
Program direction	21,320	21,400
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-4,437
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS	673,083	690,163
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR		
Office of the Administrator	---	10,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	6,177,898	6,589,545

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Site/project completion		
Operation and maintenance	856,812	919,167
Construction		
01-D-402 Intec cathodic protection system expansion project, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID	481	---
01-D-407 Highly enriched uranium (HEU) blend down, Savannah River, SC	27,932	---
01-D-414 Preliminary project, engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	---	17,300
01-D-415 235-F packaging and stabilization project, Savannah River, SC	---	4,000
99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	7,714	7,714
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation laboratory (INEL), ID	4,277	4,300
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA	1,690	1,690
97-D-470 Regulatory monitoring and bioassay laboratory, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	3,949	3,949
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	12,512	12,512
92-D-140 F&H canyon exhaust upgrades, Savannah River, SC	8,879	8,879
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	2,000	2,000
Subtotal, Construction	69,434	62,344
Total, Site/project completion	926,246	981,511

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Post 2006 completion		
Operation and maintenance	2,453,735	2,251,514
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	420,000	420,000
Construction		
93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	27,212	27,212
Office of River Protection		
Operation and maintenance	---	309,619
Construction		
01-D-403 Immobilized high level waste interim storage facility, Richland, WA	1,300	---
01-D-416 Tank waste remediation system, Richland, WA	---	377,000
99-D-403 Infrastructure support, Richland, WA	7,812	7,812
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	46,023	46,023
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	17,385	17,385
Subtotal, Construction	72,520	448,220
Subtotal, Office of River Protection	72,520	757,839
Total, Post 2006 completion	2,973,467	3,456,565

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
Science and technology	195,032	256,898
Safeguards and security	203,748	203,748
Program direction	347,881	363,988
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	4,646,374	5,262,710
Use of prior year balances	-34,317	-34,317
Pension refund	-50,000	-50,000
General reduction	---	-10,700
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-193,217
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	4,562,057	4,974,476
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		
Site closure	1,027,942	1,027,942
Safeguards and security	54,772	54,772
TOTAL, DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS	1,082,714	1,082,714
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION		
Privatization initiatives, various locations	539,976	90,092
Use of prior year balances	-25,092	-25,092
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT. PRIVATIZATION	514,884	65,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	6,159,655	6,122,190

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs		
Security and emergency operations		
Nuclear safeguards	123,566	116,409
Security investigations	38,597	33,000
Emergency management	91,773	33,711
Program direction	89,367	92,967
Subtotal, Security and emergency operations	343,303	276,087
Intelligence	35,010	36,059
Construction		
01-D-800 Sensitive compartmented information facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	1,975	2,000
Subtotal, Intelligence	36,985	38,059
Counterintelligence	44,328	45,200
Advanced accelerator applications	---	34,000
Independent oversight and performance assurance		
Program direction	14,937	14,937
Environment, safety and health (Defense)	85,963	102,963
Program direction - EH	22,604	22,604
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	108,567	125,567
Worker and community transition	21,497	21,500
Program direction - WT	3,000	3,000
Subtotal, Worker and community transition	24,497	24,500
National Security programs administrative support	---	25,000
Office of hearings and appeals	3,000	3,000
Subtotal, Other defense activities	575,617	586,350
Reduction for safeguards and security	---	-595
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	575,617	585,755

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	112,000	200,000
ENERGY EMPLOYEES COMPENSATION INITIATIVE		
Energy employees beryllium compensation fund	12,800	---
Energy employees pilot project	2,000	---
Paducah employees exposure compensation fund	2,200	---
TOTAL, ENERGY EMPLOYEES COMPENSATION INITIATIVE	17,000	---
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	13,042,170	13,497,490
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Purchase power and wheeling	34,463	34,463
Program direction	5,000	5,000
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	39,463	39,463
Offsetting collections	-34,463	-34,463
Use of prior year balances	-1,100	-1,100
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	3,900	3,900
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Operating expenses	3,795	3,795
Purchase power and wheeling	288	288
Program direction	18,388	18,388
Construction	6,817	6,817
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	29,288	29,288
Offsetting collections	-288	-288
Use of prior year balances	-900	-900
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	28,100	28,100

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance		
Construction and rehabilitation	23,115	23,115
System operation and maintenance	36,104	36,104
Purchase power and wheeling	35,500	65,224
Program direction	106,644	106,644
Utah mitigation and conservation	5,036	5,950
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	206,399	237,037
Offsetting collections	-35,500	-65,224
Use of prior year balances	-5,983	-5,983
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	164,916	165,830
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance	2,670	2,670
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	199,586	200,500
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission	175,200	175,200
FERC revenues	-175,200	-175,200
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	---	---
Defense nuclear waste disposal (rescission)	-85,000	-75,000
Defense environmental privatization (rescission)	---	-97,000
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	18,064,720	18,341,776

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $66,400,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission as proposed by the Senate instead of $63,000,000 as proposed by the House.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement includes $18,500,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board as proposed by the Senate instead of $17,000,000 as proposed by the House.

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

The conference agreement includes $20,000,000 for the Delta Regional Authority as proposed by the Senate.

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes $30,000,000 for the Denali Commission as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes $481,900,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate, to be offset by revenues of $447,958,000, for a net appropriation of $33,942,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference to reduce the revenues collected in fiscal year 2001 by 2 percent.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes $5,500,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate, to be offset by revenues of $5,390,000, for a net appropriation of $110,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference to reduce the revenues collected in fiscal year 2001 by 2 percent.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement provides $2,900,000 instead of $2,700,000 as proposed by House and $3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate establishing a Presidential Energy Commission.

TITLE V

FISCAL YEAR 2001 EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

CERRO GRANDE FIRE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement includes an emergency appropriation of $203,460,000 as proposed by the Senate for Cerro Grande Fire Activities at the Los Alamos National Laboratory in New Mexico.

The recommendation includes $46,860,000 for repair and risk mitigation associated with physical damage and destruction; $25,400,000 for restoring services; $18,000,000 for emergency response; and $15,000,000 for resuming laboratory operations.

In addition, funding is provided for the following construction projects: $6,100,000 for Project 97–D–102, Dual-Axis Radiographic Hydrotest Facility (DAHRT); $25,000,000 for Project 01–D–701, Site-wide Fire Alarm System Replacement; $20,000,000 for Project 01–D–702, Emergency Operations Center Replacement and Relocation; $29,100,000 for Project 01–D–703, TA–54 Waste Management Mitigation; $10,000,000 for Project 01–D–704, Office Building Replacement Program for Vulnerable Facilities; and $8,000,000 for Project 01–D–705, Multi-channel Communications System. The Department is directed to include construction project data sheets for these projects in the fiscal year 2002 budget request.

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes an emergency appropriation of $11,000,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission.

TITLE VI

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 601. The conference agreement includes language directing that none of the funds in this Act or any prior appropriations Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

Sec. 602. The conference agreement includes language regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America.

Sec. 603. The conference agreement includes language providing that no funds may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until certain conditions are met. The language also provides that the costs of the Kesterson Reservoir

Cleanup Program and the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified as reimbursable or non-reimbursable by the Secretary of the Interior and that any future obligation of funds for drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries pursuant to Reclamation law.

Sec. 604. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate limiting the use of funds to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of implementing the Kyoto Protocol. The conferees do not concur with the report language proposed by the House.

Sec. 605. The conference agreement includes language prohibiting the use of funds to pay an individual who simultaneously holds positions within the National Nuclear Security Administration and the Department of Energy.

Sec. 606. The conference agreement includes language extending the Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act.

Sec. 607. The conference agreement includes language redesignating the Interstate Sanitation Commission as the Interstate Environmental Commission.

Provisions not adopted.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House amending the Energy Policy and Conservation Act.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House limiting the use of funds to pay salaries of employees of the Department of Energy who refused to take polygraph examinations.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate repealing sections of Public Law 106–246.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate requiring the Tennessee Valley Authority to complete an environmental impact statement before proceeding with the sale of mineral rights.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate requiring a report to Congress on electricity prices. This requirement has been included in report language.

TITLE VII

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

GIFTS TO THE UNITED STATES FOR REDUCTION OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

The conference agreement includes language providing funds to reduce the public debt.

TITLE VIII

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes language extending the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) authority to assess license and annual fees through fiscal year 2005. This extension is necessary to provide the resources needed to fund the activities of the

Commission. The conferees have also provided authority to reduce the fee recovery requirement from 100 percent to 98 percent in fiscal year 2001, and further decrease the fee incrementally until the fee recovery requirement is reduced to 90 percent in 2005. This will address fairness and equity concerns relating to charging NRC licensees for agency expenses which do not provide a direct benefit to them.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2001 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2000 amount, the 2001 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2001 follow:

(In thousands of dollars)

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2000	$21,647,047
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001	23,146,559
House bill, fiscal year 2001	22,204,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2001	23,131,901
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2001	24,066,880
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2000	+2,419,833
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001	+920,321
House bill, fiscal year 2001	+1,862,880
Senate bill, fiscal year 2001	+934,979

RON PACKARD,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
TOM LATHAM,
ROGER F. WICKER,
C.W. BILL YOUNG,
PETER VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MICHAEL P. FORBES
Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
DANIEL INOUYE
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

○