

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND FOR SUNDRY INDEPENDENT AGENCIES, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, CORPORATIONS, AND OFFICES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2002, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

---

NOVEMBER 6, 2001.—Ordered to be printed

---

Mr. WALSH, from the committee of conference,  
submitted the following

## CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2620]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2620) “making appropriations for the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and for sundry independent agencies, boards, commissions, corporations, and offices for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes”, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

*That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and for sundry independent agencies, boards, commissions, corporations, and offices for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, namely:*

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For the payment of compensation benefits to or on behalf of veterans and a pilot program for disability examinations as authorized by law (38 U.S.C. 107, chapters 11, 13, 18, 51, 53, 55, and 61); pension benefits to or on behalf of veterans as authorized by law (38 U.S.C. chapters 15, 51, 53, 55, and 61; 92 Stat. 2508); and burial benefits, emergency and other officers' retirement pay, adjusted-service credits and certificates, payment of premiums due on commercial life insurance policies guaranteed under the provisions of article IV of the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940 (50 U.S.C. App. 540 et seq.) and for other benefits as authorized by law (38 U.S.C. 107, 1312, 1977, and 2106, chapters 23, 51, 53, 55, and 61; 50 U.S.C. App. 540–548; 43 Stat. 122, 123; 45 Stat. 735; 76 Stat. 1198), \$24,944,288,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That not to exceed \$17,940,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be reimbursed to "General operating expenses" and "Medical care" for necessary expenses in implementing those provisions authorized in the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, and in the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992 (38 U.S.C. chapters 51, 53, and 55), the funding source for which is specifically provided as the "Compensation and pensions" appropriation: Provided further, That such sums as may be earned on an actual qualifying patient basis, shall be reimbursed to "Medical facilities revolving fund" to augment the funding of individual medical facilities for nursing home care provided to pensioners as authorized.*

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

*For the payment of readjustment and rehabilitation benefits to or on behalf of veterans as authorized by law (38 U.S.C. chapters 21, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 39, 51, 53, 55, and 61), \$2,135,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That expenses for rehabilitation program services and assistance which the Secretary is authorized to provide under section 3104(a) of title 38, United States Code, other than under subsection (a)(1), (2), (5) and (11) of that section, shall be charged to this account.*

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

*For military and naval insurance, national service life insurance, servicemen's indemnities, service-disabled veterans insurance, and veterans mortgage life insurance as authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 19; 70 Stat. 887; 72 Stat. 487, \$26,200,000, to remain available until expended.*

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For the cost of direct and guaranteed loans, such sums as may be necessary to carry out the program, as authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, as amended: Provided, That such costs, including the*

*cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That during fiscal year 2002, within the resources available, not to exceed \$300,000 in gross obligations for direct loans are authorized for specially adapted housing loans.*

*In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$164,497,000, which may be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "General operating expenses".*

EDUCATION LOAN FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For the cost of direct loans, \$1,000, as authorized by 38 U.S.C. 3698, as amended: Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$3,400.*

*In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program, \$64,000, which may be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "General operating expenses".*

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For the cost of direct loans, \$72,000, as authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 31, as amended: Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That funds made available under this heading are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$3,301,000.*

*In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program, \$274,000, which may be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "General operating expenses".*

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan program authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, subchapter V, as amended, \$544,000, which may be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "General operating expenses".*

GUARANTEED TRANSITIONAL HOUSING LOANS FOR HOMELESS  
VETERANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

*For the administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed transitional housing loan program authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, subchapter VI, not to exceed \$750,000 of the amounts appropriated by this Act for "General operating expenses" and "Medical care" may be expended.*

## VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

## MEDICAL CARE

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses for the maintenance and operation of hospitals, nursing homes, and domiciliary facilities; for furnishing, as authorized by law, inpatient and outpatient care and treatment to beneficiaries of the Department of Veterans Affairs, including care and treatment in facilities not under the jurisdiction of the department; and furnishing recreational facilities, supplies, and equipment; funeral, burial, and other expenses incidental thereto for beneficiaries receiving care in the department; administrative expenses in support of planning, design, project management, real property acquisition and disposition, construction and renovation of any facility under the jurisdiction or for the use of the department; oversight, engineering and architectural activities not charged to project cost; repairing, altering, improving or providing facilities in the several hospitals and homes under the jurisdiction of the department, not otherwise provided for, either by contract or by the hire of temporary employees and purchase of materials; uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; aid to State homes as authorized by 38 U.S.C. 1741; administrative and legal expenses of the department for collecting and recovering amounts owed the department as authorized under 38 U.S.C. chapter 17, and the Federal Medical Care Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 2651 et seq., \$21,331,164,000, plus reimbursements: Provided, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$675,000,000 is for the equipment and land and structures object classifications only, which amount shall not become available for obligation until August 1, 2002, and shall remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided further, That of the funds made available under this heading, not to exceed \$900,000,000 shall be available until September 30, 2003: Provided further, That of the funds made available under this heading for non-recurring maintenance and repair (NRM) activities, \$15,000,000 shall be available without fiscal year limitation to support the NRM activities necessary to implement Capital Asset Realignment for Enhanced Services (CARES) activities: Provided further, That from amounts appropriated under this heading, additional amounts, as designated by the Secretary no later than September 30, 2002, may be used for CARES activities without fiscal year limitation: Provided further, That the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall conduct by contract a program of recovery audits for the fee basis and other medical services contracts with respect to payments for hospital care; and, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302(b), amounts collected, by setoff or otherwise, as the result of such audits shall be available, without fiscal year limitation, for the purposes for which funds are appropriated under this heading and the purposes of paying a contractor a percent of the amount collected as a result of an audit carried out by the contractor: Provided further, That all amounts so collected under the preceding proviso with respect to a designated health care region (as that term is defined in 38 U.S.C. 1729A(d)(2)) shall be allocated, net of payments to the contractor, to that region.*

*In addition, in conformance with Public Law 105-33 establishing the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Care Collections Fund, such sums as may be deposited to such Fund pursuant to 38 U.S.C. 1729A may be transferred to this account, to remain available until expended for the purposes of this account.*

*MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH*

*For necessary expenses in carrying out programs of medical and prosthetic research and development as authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 73, to remain available until September 30, 2003, \$371,000,000, plus reimbursements.*

*MEDICAL ADMINISTRATION AND MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING EXPENSES*

*For necessary expenses in the administration of the medical, hospital, nursing home, domiciliary, construction, supply, and research activities, as authorized by law; administrative expenses in support of capital policy activities, \$66,731,000, plus reimbursements: Provided, That technical and consulting services offered by the Facilities Management Field Service, including project management and real property administration (including leases, site acquisition and disposal activities directly supporting projects), shall be provided to Department of Veterans Affairs components only on a reimbursable basis, and such amounts will remain available until September 30, 2002.*

*DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION  
GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES*

*For necessary operating expenses of the Department of Veterans Affairs, not otherwise provided for, including administrative expenses in support of Department-wide capital planning, management and policy activities, uniforms or allowances therefor; not to exceed \$25,000 for official reception and representation expenses; hire of passenger motor vehicles; and reimbursement of the General Services Administration for security guard services, and the Department of Defense for the cost of overseas employee mail, \$1,195,728,000: Provided, That expenses for services and assistance authorized under 38 U.S.C. 3104(a)(1), (2), (5), and (11) that the Secretary determines are necessary to enable entitled veterans: (1) to the maximum extent feasible, to become employable and to obtain and maintain suitable employment; or (2) to achieve maximum independence in daily living, shall be charged to this account: Provided further, That of the funds made available under this heading, not to exceed \$60,000,000 shall be available for obligation until September 30, 2003: Provided further, That from the funds made available under this heading, the Veterans Benefits Administration may purchase up to four passenger motor vehicles for use in operations of that Administration in Manila, Philippines: Provided further, That travel expenses for this account shall not exceed \$15,665,000.*

*NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION*

*For necessary expenses of the National Cemetery Administration for operations and maintenance, not otherwise provided for, in-*

*cluding uniforms or allowances therefor; cemeterial expenses as authorized by law; purchase of one passenger motor vehicle for use in cemeterial operations; and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$121,169,000.*

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$52,308,000.*

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

*For constructing, altering, extending and improving any of the facilities under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department of Veterans Affairs, or for any of the purposes set forth in sections 316, 2404, 2406, 8102, 8103, 8106, 8108, 8109, 8110, and 8122 of title 38, United States Code, including planning, architectural and engineering services, maintenance or guarantee period services costs associated with equipment guarantees provided under the project, services of claims analysts, offsite utility and storm drainage system construction costs, and site acquisition, where the estimated cost of a project is \$4,000,000 or more or where funds for a project were made available in a previous major project appropriation, \$183,180,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$60,000,000 shall be for Capital Asset Realignment for Enhanced Services (CARES) activities; and of which not to exceed \$20,000,000 shall be for costs associated with land acquisitions for national cemeteries in the vicinity of Sacramento, California; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and Detroit, Michigan: Provided, That of the amount made available under this heading for CARES activities, up to \$40,000,000 shall be for construction of a blind and spinal cord injury center at the Hines Veterans Affairs Medical Center pursuant to the Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN) 12 CARES study, and construction of such center is hereby deemed authorized pursuant to title 38, United States Code: Provided further, That the amounts designated in the previous proviso shall be available for obligation only after the Secretary of Veterans Affairs has initiated all actions necessary to implement fully Option B of the July 19, 2001 VISN 12 Service Delivery Options after consulting with interested and affected parties, and has initiated Phase II of the CARES process: Provided further, That except for advance planning activities, including needs assessments which may or may not lead to capital investments, and other capital asset management related activities, such as portfolio development and management activities, and investment strategy studies funded through the advance planning fund and the planning and design activities funded through the design fund and CARES funds, including needs assessments which may or may not lead to capital investments, none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be used for any project which has not been approved by the Congress in the budgetary process: Provided further, That funds provided in this appropriation for fiscal year 2002, for each approved project (except those for CARES activities and the three land acquisitions referenced above) shall be obligated: (1) by the awarding of a construction documents contract by September 30, 2002; and (2) by the awarding of a construction*

*contract by September 30, 2003: Provided further, That the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall promptly report in writing to the Committees on Appropriations any approved major construction project in which obligations are not incurred within the time limitations established above: Provided further, That no funds from any other account except the "Parking revolving fund", may be obligated for constructing, altering, extending, or improving a project which was approved in the budget process and funded in this account until one year after substantial completion and beneficial occupancy by the Department of Veterans Affairs of the project or any part thereof with respect to that part only.*

#### CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

*For constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department of Veterans Affairs, including planning and assessments of needs which may lead to capital investments, architectural and engineering services, maintenance or guarantee period services costs associated with equipment guarantees provided under the project, services of claims analysts, offsite utility and storm drainage system construction costs, and site acquisition, or for any of the purposes set forth in sections 316, 2404, 2406, 8102, 8103, 8106, 8108, 8109, 8110, 8122, and 8162 of title 38, United States Code, where the estimated cost of a project is less than \$4,000,000, \$210,900,000, to remain available until expended, along with unobligated balances of previous "Construction, minor projects" appropriations which are hereby made available for any project where the estimated cost is less than \$4,000,000, of which \$25,000,000 shall be for Capital Asset Realignment for Enhanced Services (CARES) activities: Provided, That from amounts appropriated under this heading, additional amounts may be used for CARES activities upon notification of and approval by the Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That funds in this account shall be available for: (1) repairs to any of the nonmedical facilities under the jurisdiction or for the use of the department which are necessary because of loss or damage caused by any natural disaster or catastrophe; and (2) temporary measures necessary to prevent or to minimize further loss by such causes.*

#### PARKING REVOLVING FUND

*For the parking revolving fund as authorized by 38 U.S.C. 8109, income from fees collected and \$4,000,000 from the General Fund, both to remain available until expended, which shall be available for all authorized expenses except operations and maintenance costs, which will be funded from "Medical care".*

#### GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

*For grants to assist States to acquire or construct State nursing home and domiciliary facilities and to remodel, modify or alter existing hospital, nursing home and domiciliary facilities in State homes, for furnishing care to veterans as authorized by 38 U.S.C. 8131-8137, \$100,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

## GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

*For grants to aid States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans cemeteries as authorized by 38 U.S.C. 2408, \$25,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*SEC. 101. Any appropriation for fiscal year 2002 for "Compensation and pensions", "Readjustment benefits", and "Veterans insurance and indemnities" may be transferred to any other of the mentioned appropriations.*

*SEC. 102. Appropriations available to the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2002 for salaries and expenses shall be available for services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.*

*SEC. 103. No appropriations in this Act for the Department of Veterans Affairs (except the appropriations for "Construction, major projects", "Construction, minor projects", and the "Parking revolving fund") shall be available for the purchase of any site for or toward the construction of any new hospital or home.*

*SEC. 104. No appropriations in this Act for the Department of Veterans Affairs shall be available for hospitalization or examination of any persons (except beneficiaries entitled under the laws bestowing such benefits to veterans, and persons receiving such treatment under 5 U.S.C. 7901-7904 or 42 U.S.C. 5141-5204), unless reimbursement of cost is made to the "Medical care" account at such rates as may be fixed by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.*

*SEC. 105. Appropriations available to the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2002 for "Compensation and pensions", "Readjustment benefits", and "Veterans insurance and indemnities" shall be available for payment of prior year accrued obligations required to be recorded by law against the corresponding prior year accounts within the last quarter of fiscal year 2001.*

*SEC. 106. Appropriations accounts available to the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2002 shall be available to pay prior year obligations of corresponding prior year appropriations accounts resulting from title X of the Competitive Equality Banking Act, Public Law 100-86, except that if such obligations are from trust fund accounts they shall be payable from "Compensation and pensions".*

*SEC. 107. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, during fiscal year 2002, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall, from the National Service Life Insurance Fund (38 U.S.C. 1920), the Veterans' Special Life Insurance Fund (38 U.S.C. 1923), and the United States Government Life Insurance Fund (38 U.S.C. 1955), reimburse the "General operating expenses" account for the cost of administration of the insurance programs financed through those accounts: Provided, That reimbursement shall be made only from the surplus earnings accumulated in an insurance program in fiscal year 2002, that are available for dividends in that program after claims have been paid and actuarially determined reserves have been set aside: Provided further, That if the cost of administration of an insurance program exceeds the amount of surplus earnings accumulated in that program, reimbursement shall be made only to*

*the extent of such surplus earnings: Provided further, That the Secretary shall determine the cost of administration for fiscal year 2002, which is properly allocable to the provision of each insurance program and to the provision of any total disability income insurance included in such insurance program.*

*SEC. 108. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Department of Veterans Affairs shall continue the Franchise Fund pilot program authorized to be established by section 403 of Public Law 103-356 until October 1, 2002: Provided, That the Franchise Fund, established by Title I of Public Law 104-204 to finance the operations of the Franchise Fund pilot program, shall continue until October 1, 2002.*

*SEC. 109. Amounts deducted from enhanced-use lease proceeds to reimburse an account for expenses incurred by that account during a prior fiscal year for providing enhanced-use lease services, may be obligated during the fiscal year in which the proceeds are received.*

*SEC. 110. Funds available in any Department of Veterans Affairs appropriation for fiscal year 2002 or funds for salaries and other administrative expenses shall also be available to reimburse the Office of Resolution Management and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication for all services provided at rates which will recover actual costs but not exceed \$28,555,000 for the Office of Resolution Management and \$2,383,000 for the Office of Employment and Discrimination Complaint Adjudication: Provided, That payments may be made in advance for services to be furnished based on estimated costs: Provided further, That amounts received shall be credited to "General operating expenses" for use by the office that provided the service.*

*SEC. 111. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall treat the North Dakota Veterans Cemetery, Mandan, North Dakota, as a veterans cemetery owned by the State of North Dakota for purposes of making grants to States in expanding or improving veterans cemeteries under section 2408 of title 38, United States Code. This section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to grants under section 2408 of title 38, United States Code, that occur on or after that date.*

## **TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

### **PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING**

#### **HOUSING CERTIFICATE FUND**

*(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)*

*For activities and assistance to prevent the involuntary displacement of low-income families, the elderly and the disabled because of the loss of affordable housing stock, expiration of subsidy contracts (other than contracts for which amounts are provided under another heading in this Act) or expiration of use restrictions, or other changes in housing assistance arrangements, and for other purposes, \$16,280,975,000, of which \$640,000,000 shall be from unobligated balances from amounts recaptured from fiscal year 2000 and prior years pursuant to a reduction in the amounts provided for Annual Contributions Contract Reserve Accounts, and amounts that*

*are recaptured in this account to remain available until expended: Provided, That not later than October 1, 2001, the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall reduce from 60 days to 30 days the amount of reserve funds made available to public housing authorities: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$16,071,975,000, of which \$11,231,975,000 and the aforementioned recaptures shall be available on October 1, 2001 and \$4,200,000,000 shall be available on October 1, 2002, shall be for assistance under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended ("the Act" herein) (42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq.): Provided further, That the foregoing amounts shall be for use in connection with expiring or terminating section 8 subsidy contracts, for amendments to section 8 subsidy contracts, for enhanced vouchers (including amendments and renewals) under any provision of law authorizing such assistance under section 8(t) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 1437f(t)), contract administrators, and contracts entered into pursuant to section 441 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act: Provided further, That amounts available under the second proviso under this heading shall be available for section 8 rental assistance under the Act: (1) for the relocation and replacement of housing units that are demolished or disposed of pursuant to the Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-134; Stat. 1321-269); (2) for the conversion of section 23 projects to assistance under section 8; (3) for funds to carry out the family unification program; (4) for the relocation of witnesses in connection with efforts to combat crime in public and assisted housing pursuant to a request from a law enforcement or prosecution agency; (5) for tenant protection assistance, including replacement and relocation assistance; and (6) for the 1-year renewal of section 8 contracts for units in projects that are subject to approved plans of action under the Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987 or the Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, no less than \$13,400,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$143,979,000 shall be made available for incremental vouchers under section 8 of the Act, of which \$103,979,000 shall be made available on a fair share basis to those public housing agencies that have no less than a 97 percent occupancy rate; and of which \$40,000,000 shall be made available to nonelderly disabled families affected by the designation of a public housing development under section 7 of the Act, the establishment of preferences in accordance with section 651 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 13611), or the restriction of occupancy to elderly families in accordance with section 658 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 13618), and to the extent the Secretary determines that such amount is not needed to fund applications for such affected families, to other nonelderly disabled families: Provided further, That up to \$195,601,000 from amounts made available under this heading may be made available for contract administrators: Provided further, That amounts available under this heading may be made available for administrative fees and other expenses to cover the cost of administering rental assistance programs under section 8 of the Act: Provided further, That*

*the fee otherwise authorized under section 8(q) of the Act shall be determined in accordance with section 8(q), as in effect immediately before the enactment of the Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998: Provided further, That \$1,200,000,000 is rescinded from unobligated balances remaining from funds appropriated to the Department of Housing and Urban Development under this heading or the heading "Annual contributions for assisted housing" or any other heading for fiscal year 2001 and prior years: Provided further, That any such balances governed by reallocation provisions under the statute authorizing the program for which the funds were originally appropriated shall not be available for this rescission: Provided further, That the Secretary shall have until September 30, 2002, to meet the rescission in the proviso preceding the immediately preceding proviso: Provided further, That any obligated balances of contract authority that have been terminated shall be canceled.*

**PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

*For the Public Housing Capital Fund Program to carry out capital and management activities for public housing agencies, as authorized under section 9 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437g), \$2,843,400,000, to remain available until September 30, 2005: Provided, That, hereafter, notwithstanding any other provision of law or any failure of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to issue regulations to carry out section 9(j) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437g(j)), such section is deemed to have taken effect on October 1, 1998, and, except as otherwise provided in this heading, shall apply to all assistance made available under this same heading on or after such date: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, in addition to amounts otherwise allocated under this heading, \$550,000,000 shall be allocated for such capital and management activities only among public housing agencies that have obligated all assistance for the agency for fiscal years 1998 and 1999 made available under this same heading in accordance with the requirements under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 9(j) of such Act: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, during fiscal year 2002, the Secretary may not delegate to any Department official other than the Deputy Secretary any authority under paragraph (2) of such section 9(j) regarding the extension of the time periods under such section for obligation of amounts made available for fiscal year 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, or 2002: Provided further, That notwithstanding the first proviso and paragraphs (3) and (5)(B) of such section 9(j), if at any time before the effectiveness of final regulations issued by the Secretary under section 6(j) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437d(j)) providing for assessment of public housing agencies and designation of high-performing agencies, any amounts made available under the public housing Capital Fund for fiscal year 1999, 2000, 2001, or 2002 remain unobligated in violation of paragraph (1) of such section 9(j) or unexpended in violation of paragraph (5)(A) of such section 9(j), the Secretary shall recapture any such amounts and reallocate such amounts among public hous-*

ing agencies that, at the time of such reallocation, are not in violation of any requirement under paragraph (1) or (5)(A) of such section: Provided further, That for purposes of this heading, the term "obligate" means, with respect to amounts, that the amounts are subject to a binding agreement that will result in outlays immediately or in the future: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, up to \$51,000,000 shall be for carrying out activities under section 9(h) of such Act, of which up to \$10,000,000 shall be for the provision of remediation services to public housing agencies identified as "troubled" under the Section 8 Management Assessment Program: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, up to \$500,000 shall be for lease adjustments to section 23 projects, and no less than \$52,700,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided further, That no funds may be used under this heading for the purposes specified in section 9(k) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, up to \$75,000,000 shall be available for the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to make grants to public housing agencies for emergency capital needs resulting from emergencies and natural disasters in fiscal year 2002: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$15,000,000 shall be for a Neighborhood Networks initiative for activities authorized in section 9(d)(1)(E) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available in the previous proviso shall be awarded to public housing agencies on a competitive basis as provided in section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989.

PUBLIC HOUSING OPERATING FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For payments to public housing agencies for the operation and management of public housing, as authorized by section 9(e) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437g(e)), \$3,494,868,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$5,000,000 shall be provided to the Office of Inspector General: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$10,000,000 shall be for programs, as determined appropriate by the Attorney General, which assist in the investigation, prosecution, and prevention of violent crimes and drug offenses in public and federally-assisted low-income housing, including Indian housing: Provided further, That funds made available in the previous proviso shall be administered by the Department of Justice through a reimbursable agreement with the Department of Housing and Urban Development: Provided further, That no funds may be used under this heading for the purposes specified in section 9(k) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended: Provided further, That of the unobligated balances remaining from funds appropriated in fiscal year 2001 and prior years under the heading "Drug elimination grants for low-income housing" for activities related to the Operation Safe Home Program, \$11,000,000 is hereby rescinded.

REVITALIZATION OF SEVERELY DISTRESSED PUBLIC HOUSING (HOPE  
VI)

*For grants to public housing agencies for demolition, site revitalization, replacement housing, and tenant-based assistance grants to projects as authorized by section 24 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, \$573,735,000 to remain available until September 30, 2003, of which the Secretary may use up to \$6,250,000 for technical assistance and contract expertise, to be provided directly or indirectly by grants, contracts or cooperative agreements, including training and cost of necessary travel for participants in such training, by or to officials and employees of the department and of public housing agencies and to residents: Provided, That none of such funds shall be used directly or indirectly by granting competitive advantage in awards to settle litigation or pay judgments, unless expressly permitted herein: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$5,000,000 shall be for a Neighborhood Networks initiative for activities authorized in section 24(d)(1)(G) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available in the previous proviso shall be awarded to public housing agencies on a competitive basis as provided in section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989.*

NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For the Native American Housing Block Grants program, as authorized under title I of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA) (25 U.S.C. 4111 et seq.), \$648,570,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$2,200,000 shall be contracted through the Secretary as technical assistance and capacity building to be used by the National American Indian Housing Council in support of the implementation of NAHASDA; of which \$5,000,000 shall be to support the inspection of Indian housing units, contract expertise, training, and technical assistance in the training, oversight, and management of Indian housing and tenant-based assistance, including up to \$300,000 for related travel; and of which no less than \$3,000,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided, That of the amount provided under this heading, \$5,987,000 shall be made available for the cost of guaranteed notes and other obligations, as authorized by title VI of NAHASDA: Provided further, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such notes and other obligations, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize the total principal amount of any notes and other obligations, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$52,726,000: Provided further, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may provide technical and financial assistance to Indian tribes and their tribally-designated housing entities in accordance with the provisions of NAHASDA for emergency housing, housing assistance, and other assistance to address the problem*

*of mold: Provided further, That for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, up to \$150,000 from amounts in the first proviso, which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Salaries and expenses", to be used only for the administrative costs of these guarantees.*

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by section 184 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z-13a), \$5,987,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$234,283,000.*

*In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, up to \$200,000 from amounts in the first paragraph, which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Salaries and expenses", to be used only for the administrative costs of these guarantees.*

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by section 184A of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 (12 U.S.C. 1715z-13b), \$1,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such costs, including the costs of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$40,000,000.*

*In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, up to \$35,000 from amounts in the first paragraph, which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Salaries and expenses", to be used only for the administrative costs of these guarantees.*

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS

*For carrying out the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS program, as authorized by the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12901 et seq.), \$277,432,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That the Secretary shall renew all expiring contracts for permanent supportive housing that were funded under section 854(c)(3) of such Act that meet all program requirements before awarding funds for new contracts and activities authorized under this section: Provided further, That the Secretary may use up to \$2,000,000 of the funds under this heading for training, oversight, and technical assistance activities.*

## RURAL HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

*For the Office of Rural Housing and Economic Development in the Department of Housing and Urban Development, \$25,000,000 to remain available until expended, which amount shall be awarded by June 1, 2002, to Indian tribes, State housing finance agencies, State community and/or economic development agencies, local rural nonprofits and community development corporations to support innovative housing and economic development activities in rural areas: Provided, That all grants shall be awarded on a competitive basis as specified in section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989.*

## EMPOWERMENT ZONES/ENTERPRISE COMMUNITIES

*For grants in connection with a second round of empowerment zones and enterprise communities, \$45,000,000, to remain available until expended, for "Urban Empowerment Zones", as authorized in section 1391(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 1391(g)), including \$3,000,000 for each empowerment zone for use in conjunction with economic development activities consistent with the strategic plan of each empowerment zone.*

## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For assistance to units of State and local government, and to other entities, for economic and community development activities, and for other purposes, \$5,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided, That of the amount provided, \$4,341,000,000 is for carrying out the community development block grant program under title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended (the "Act" herein) (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.): Provided further, That \$70,000,000 shall be for grants to Indian tribes notwithstanding section 106(a)(1) of such Act; \$3,300,000 shall be available as a grant to the Housing Assistance Council; \$2,600,000 shall be available as a grant to the National American Indian Housing Council; \$5,000,000 shall be available as a grant to the National Housing Development Corporation, for operating expenses not to exceed \$2,000,000 and for a program of affordable housing acquisition and rehabilitation; \$5,000,000 shall be available as a grant to the National Council of La Raza for the HOPE Fund, of which \$500,000 is for technical assistance and fund management, and \$4,500,000 is for investments in the HOPE Fund and financing to affiliated organizations; and \$42,500,000 shall be for grants pursuant to section 107 of the Act of which \$4,000,000 shall be made available to support Alaska Native serving institutions and Native Hawaiian serving institutions as defined under the Higher Education Act, as amended, and of which \$3,000,000 shall be made available to tribal colleges and universities to build, expand, renovate and equip their facilities: Provided further, That \$9,600,000 shall be made available to the Department of Hawaiian Homelands to provide assistance as authorized under title VIII of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (22 U.S.C. 4221 et seq.) (with no more than 5 percent of such funds being available for administrative costs): Provided*

*further, That no less than \$13,800,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided further, That \$22,000,000 shall be for grants pursuant to the Self Help Housing Opportunity Program: Provided further, That not to exceed 20 percent of any grant made with funds appropriated under this heading (other than a grant made available in this paragraph to the Housing Assistance Council or the National American Indian Housing Council, or a grant using funds under section 107(b)(3) of the Act) shall be expended for "Planning and Management Development" and "Administration", as defined in regulations promulgated by the Department.*

*Of the amount made available under this heading, \$29,000,000 shall be made available for capacity building, of which \$25,000,000 shall be made available for Capacity Building for Community Development and Affordable Housing for LISC and the Enterprise Foundation for activities as authorized by section 4 of the HUD Demonstration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 9816 note), as in effect immediately before June 12, 1997, with not less than \$5,000,000 of the funding to be used in rural areas, including tribal areas, and of which \$4,000,000 shall be for capacity building activities administered by Habitat for Humanity International.*

*Of the amount made available under this heading, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development may use up to \$55,000,000 for supportive services for public housing residents, as authorized by section 34 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, and for residents of housing assisted under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA) and for grants for service coordinators and congregate services for the elderly and disabled residents of public and assisted housing and housing assisted under NAHASDA.*

*Of the amount made available under this heading, \$42,000,000 shall be available for neighborhood initiatives that are utilized to improve the conditions of distressed and blighted areas and neighborhoods, to stimulate investment, economic diversification, and community revitalization in areas with population outmigration or a stagnating or declining economic base, or to determine whether housing benefits can be integrated more effectively with welfare reform initiatives: Provided, That these grants shall be provided in accord with the terms and conditions specified in the statement of managers accompanying this conference report.*

*Of the amount made available under this heading, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$65,000,000 shall be available for YouthBuild program activities authorized by subtitle D of title IV of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, and such activities shall be an eligible activity with respect to any funds made available under this heading: Provided, That local YouthBuild programs that demonstrate an ability to leverage private and nonprofit funding shall be given a priority for YouthBuild funding: Provided further, That no more than ten percent of any grant award may be used for administrative costs: Provided further, That not less than \$10,000,000 shall be available for grants to establish Youthbuild programs in underserved and rural areas: Provided further, That of the amount provided under this paragraph, \$2,000,000 shall be set aside and made available for a*

grant to YouthBuild USA for capacity building for community development and affordable housing activities as specified in section 4 of the HUD Demonstration Act of 1993, as amended.

Of the amount made available under this heading, \$294,200,000 shall be available for grants for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI) to finance a variety of targeted economic investments in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the statement of managers accompanying this conference report.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN GUARANTEES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the cost of guaranteed loans, \$14,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003, as authorized by section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended: Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$608,696,000, notwithstanding any aggregate limitation on outstanding obligations guaranteed in section 108(k) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That in addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, \$1,000,000, which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Salaries and expenses".

BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT

For Economic Development Grants, as authorized by section 108(q) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, for Brownfields redevelopment projects, \$25,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall make these grants available on a competitive basis as specified in section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989.

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the HOME investment partnerships program, as authorized under title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, \$1,846,040,000 to remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$50,000,000 shall be available for the Downpayment Assistance Initiative, subject to the enactment of subsequent legislation authorizing such initiative: Provided further, That should legislation authorizing such initiative not be enacted by June 30, 2002, amounts designated in the previous proviso shall become available for any such purpose authorized under title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, up to \$20,000,000 shall be available for housing counseling under section 106 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968; and no less than \$17,000,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital

*Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems.*

HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For the emergency shelter grants program as authorized under subtitle B of title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as amended; the supportive housing program as authorized under subtitle C of title IV of such Act; the section 8 moderate rehabilitation single room occupancy program as authorized under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, to assist homeless individuals pursuant to section 441 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act; and the shelter plus care program as authorized under subtitle F of title IV of such Act, \$1,122,525,000, to remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided, That not less than 30 percent of funds made available, excluding amounts provided for renewals under the shelter plus care program, shall be used for permanent housing: Provided further, That all funds awarded for services shall be matched by 25 percent in funding by each grantee: Provided further, That the Secretary shall renew on an annual basis expiring contracts or amendments to contracts funded under the shelter plus care program if the program is determined to be needed under the applicable continuum of care and meets appropriate program requirements and financial standards, as determined by the Secretary: Provided further, That all awards of assistance under this heading shall be required to coordinate and integrate homeless programs with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which homeless populations may be eligible, including Medicaid, State Children's Health Insurance Program, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, Food Stamps, and services funding through the Mental Health and Substance Abuse Block Grant, Workforce Investment Act, and the Welfare-to-Work grant program: Provided further, That \$2,000,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for the national homeless data analysis project: Provided further, That \$6,600,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for technical assistance: Provided further, That no less than \$5,600,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That \$500,000 shall be made available to the Interagency Council on the Homeless for administrative needs.*

HOUSING PROGRAMS

HOUSING FOR SPECIAL POPULATIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For assistance for the purchase, construction, acquisition, or development of additional public and subsidized housing units for low income families not otherwise provided for, \$1,024,151,000, to remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided, That \$783,286,000 shall be for capital advances, including amendments to capital advance contracts, for housing for the elderly, as authorized by section 202 of the Housing Act of 1959, as amended, and for project rental assistance for the elderly under section 202(c)(2)*

*of such Act, including amendments to contracts for such assistance and renewal of expiring contracts for such assistance for up to a 1-year term, and for supportive services associated with the housing, of which amount \$50,000,000 shall be for service coordinators and the continuation of existing congregate service grants for residents of assisted housing projects, and of which amount \$50,000,000 shall be for grants under section 202b of the Housing Act of 1959 (12 U.S.C. 1701q-2) for conversion of eligible projects under such section to assisted living or related use: Provided further, That of the amount under this heading, \$240,865,000 shall be for capital advances, including amendments to capital advance contracts, for supportive housing for persons with disabilities, as authorized by section 811 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, for project rental assistance for supportive housing for persons with disabilities under section 811(d)(2) of such Act, including amendments to contracts for such assistance and renewal of expiring contracts for such assistance for up to a 1-year term, and for supportive services associated with the housing for persons with disabilities as authorized by section 811(b)(1) of such Act, and for tenant-based rental assistance contracts entered into pursuant to section 811 of such Act: Provided further, That no less than \$1,200,000, to be divided evenly between the appropriations for the section 202 and section 811 programs, shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided further, That, in addition to amounts made available for renewal of tenant-based rental assistance contracts pursuant to the second proviso of this paragraph, the Secretary may designate up to 25 percent of the amounts earmarked under this paragraph for section 811 of such Act for tenant-based assistance, as authorized under that section, including such authority as may be waived under the next proviso, which assistance is five years in duration: Provided further, That the Secretary may waive any provision of such section 202 and such section 811 (including the provisions governing the terms and conditions of project rental assistance and tenant-based assistance) that the Secretary determines is not necessary to achieve the objectives of these programs, or that otherwise impedes the ability to develop, operate, or administer projects assisted under these programs, and may make provision for alternative conditions or terms where appropriate.*

*FLEXIBLE SUBSIDY FUND*

*(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)*

*From the Rental Housing Assistance Fund, all uncommitted balances of excess rental charges as of September 30, 2001, and any collections made during fiscal year 2002, shall be transferred to the Flexible Subsidy Fund, as authorized by section 236(g) of the National Housing Act, as amended.*

*MANUFACTURED HOUSING FEES TRUST FUND*

*For necessary expenses as authorized by the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5401 et seq.), \$13,566,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Manufactured Housing Fees Trust Fund: Provided, That not to exceed the total amount appro-*

*priated under this heading shall be available from the general fund of the Treasury to the extent necessary to incur obligations and make expenditures pending the receipt of collections to the Fund pursuant to section 620 of such Act: Provided further, That the amount made available under this heading from the general fund shall be reduced as such collections are received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than \$0 and fees pursuant to such section 620 shall be modified as necessary to ensure such a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation.*

*FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION  
MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)*

*During fiscal year 2002, commitments to guarantee loans to carry out the purposes of section 203(b) of the National Housing Act, as amended, shall not exceed a loan principal of \$160,000,000,000.*

*During fiscal year 2002, obligations to make direct loans to carry out the purposes of section 204(g) of the National Housing Act, as amended, shall not exceed \$250,000,000: Provided, That the foregoing amount shall be for loans to nonprofit and governmental entities in connection with sales of single family real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly insured under the Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund.*

*For administrative expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed and direct loan program, \$336,700,000, of which not to exceed \$332,678,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for "Salaries and expenses"; and not to exceed \$4,022,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for "Office of Inspector General". In addition, for administrative contract expenses, \$160,000,000, of which no less than \$118,400,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided, That to the extent guaranteed loan commitments exceed \$65,500,000,000 on or before April 1, 2002, an additional \$1,400 for administrative contract expenses shall be available for each \$1,000,000 in additional guaranteed loan commitments (including a pro rata amount for any amount below \$1,000,000), but in no case shall funds made available by this proviso exceed \$16,000,000.*

*GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)*

*For the cost of guaranteed loans, as authorized by sections 238 and 519 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-3 and 1735c), including the cost of loan guarantee modifications, as that term is defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended, \$15,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, of up to \$21,000,000,000: Provided further, That any amounts made available in any prior appropriations Act for the cost (as such term is defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974) of guaranteed loans that are obligations of the funds established under*

section 238 or 519 of the National Housing Act that have not been obligated or that are deobligated shall be available to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development in connection with the making of such guarantees and shall remain available until expended, notwithstanding the expiration of any period of availability otherwise applicable to such amounts.

Gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized by sections 204(g), 207(l), 238, and 519(a) of the National Housing Act, shall not exceed \$50,000,000, of which not to exceed \$30,000,000 shall be for bridge financing in connection with the sale of multifamily real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly insured under such Act; and of which not to exceed \$20,000,000 shall be for loans to nonprofit and governmental entities in connection with the sale of single-family real properties owned by the Secretary and formerly insured under such Act.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed and direct loan programs, \$216,100,000, of which \$197,779,000, shall be transferred to the appropriation for "Salaries and expenses"; and of which \$18,321,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for "Office of Inspector General". In addition, for administrative contract expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed and direct loan programs, \$144,000,000, of which no less than \$41,000,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided, That to the extent guaranteed loan commitments exceed \$8,426,000,000 on or before April 1, 2002, an additional \$1,980 for administrative contract expenses shall be available for each \$1,000,000 in additional guaranteed loan commitments over \$8,426,000,000 (including a pro rata amount for any increment below \$1,000,000), but in no case shall funds made available by this proviso exceed \$14,400,000.

#### GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION (GNMA)

##### GUARANTEES OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

###### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

New commitments to issue guarantees to carry out the purposes of section 306 of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1721(g)), shall not exceed \$200,000,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003.

For administrative expenses necessary to carry out the guaranteed mortgage-backed securities program, \$9,383,000, to be derived from the GNMA guarantees of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed loan receipt account, of which not to exceed \$9,383,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation for "Salaries and expenses".

#### POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

##### RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

For contracts, grants, and necessary expenses of programs of research and studies relating to housing and urban problems, not otherwise provided for, as authorized by title V of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1701z-1 et

*seq.), including carrying out the functions of the Secretary under section 1(a)(1)(i) of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1968, \$50,250,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That \$1,500,000 shall be for necessary expenses of the Millennial Housing Commission, as authorized by section 206 of Public Law 106-74, with the final report due no later than May 30, 2002 and a termination date of August 30, 2002, notwithstanding section 206 (f) and (g) of Public Law 106-74: Provided further, That \$1,000,000 shall be for necessary expenses of the commission established under section 525 of the Preserving Affordable Housing for Senior Citizens and Families in the 21st Century Act, with the final report due no later than June 30, 2002 and a termination date of September 30, 2002, notwithstanding section 525 (f) and (g) of Public Law 106-74: Provided further, That of the total amount provided under this heading, \$8,750,000 shall be for the Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing (PATH) Initiative.*

#### FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

##### FAIR HOUSING ACTIVITIES

*For contracts, grants, and other assistance, not otherwise provided for, as authorized by title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, and section 561 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, as amended, \$45,899,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003, of which \$20,250,000 shall be to carry out activities pursuant to such section 561: Provided, That no funds made available under this heading shall be used to lobby the executive or legislative branches of the Federal Government in connection with a specific contract, grant or loan.*

#### OFFICE OF LEAD HAZARD CONTROL

##### LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION

*For the Lead Hazard Reduction Program, as authorized by section 1011 of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, \$109,758,000 to remain available until September 30, 2003, of which \$10,000,000 shall be for the Healthy Homes Initiative, pursuant to sections 501 and 502 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970 that shall include research, studies, testing, and demonstration efforts, including education and outreach concerning lead-based paint poisoning and other housing-related diseases and hazards: Provided, That of the amounts provided under this heading, \$3,500,000 shall be for a one-time grant to the National Center for Lead-Safe Housing.*

#### MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For necessary administrative and non-administrative expenses of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, not otherwise provided for, including not to exceed \$25,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$1,097,292,000, of which*

*\$530,457,000 shall be provided from the various funds of the Federal Housing Administration, \$9,383,000 shall be provided from funds of the Government National Mortgage Association, \$1,000,000 shall be provided from the "Community development loan guarantees program" account, \$150,000 shall be provided by transfer from the "Native American housing block grants" account, \$200,000 shall be provided by transfer from the "Indian housing loan guarantee fund program" account and \$35,000 shall be transferred from the "Native Hawaiian housing loan guarantee fund" account: Provided, That no less than \$85,000,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems: Provided further, That the Secretary shall fill 7 out of 10 vacancies at the GS-14 and GS-15 levels until the total number of GS-14 and GS-15 positions in the Department has been reduced from the number of GS-14 and GS-15 positions on the date of enactment of Public Law 106-377 by two and one-half percent: Provided further, That the Secretary shall submit a staffing plan for the Department by January 15, 2002.*

*OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL*

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$93,898,000, of which \$22,343,000 shall be provided from the various funds of the Federal Housing Administration and \$5,000,000 shall be provided from the appropriation for the "Public housing operating fund": Provided, That the Inspector General shall have independent authority over all personnel issues within the Office of Inspector General.*

*CONSOLIDATED FEE FUND*

*(RESCISSION)*

*Of the balances remaining available from fees and charges under section 7(j) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Act, \$6,700,000 is rescinded.*

*OFFICE OF FEDERAL HOUSING ENTERPRISE OVERSIGHT*

*SALARIES AND EXPENSES*

*(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)*

*For carrying out the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, including not to exceed \$500 for official reception and representation expenses, \$27,000,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Federal Housing Enterprises Oversight Fund: Provided, That not to exceed such amount shall be available from the general fund of the Treasury to the extent necessary to incur obligations and make expenditures pending the receipt of collections to the Fund: Provided further, That the general fund amount shall be reduced as collections are received during the fiscal year so as to result in a final appropriation from the general fund estimated at not more than \$0: Provided further, That this Office shall submit a staffing plan to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no later than January 30, 2002.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*SEC. 201. Fifty percent of the amounts of budget authority, or in lieu thereof 50 percent of the cash amounts associated with such budget authority, that are recaptured from projects described in section 1012(a) of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Amendments Act of 1988 (42 U.S.C. 1437 note) shall be rescinded, or in the case of cash, shall be remitted to the Treasury, and such amounts of budget authority or cash recaptured and not rescinded or remitted to the Treasury shall be used by State housing finance agencies or local governments or local housing agencies with projects approved by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development for which settlement occurred after January 1, 1992, in accordance with such section. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, the Secretary may award up to 15 percent of the budget authority or cash recaptured and not rescinded or remitted to the Treasury to provide project owners with incentives to refinance their project at a lower interest rate.*

*SEC. 202. None of the amounts made available under this Act may be used during fiscal year 2002 to investigate or prosecute under the Fair Housing Act any otherwise lawful activity engaged in by one or more persons, including the filing or maintaining of a non-frivolous legal action, that is engaged in solely for the purpose of achieving or preventing action by a Government official or entity, or a court of competent jurisdiction.*

*SEC. 203. (a) Notwithstanding section 854(c)(1)(A) of the AIDS Housing Opportunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)(1)(A)), from any amounts made available under this title for fiscal year 2002 that are allocated under such section, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall allocate and make a grant, in the amount determined under subsection (b), for any State that—*

*(1) received an allocation in a prior fiscal year under clause (ii) of such section; and*

*(2) is not otherwise eligible for an allocation for fiscal year 2002 under such clause (ii) because the areas in the State outside of the metropolitan statistical areas that qualify under clause (i) in fiscal year 2002 do not have the number of cases of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) required under such clause.*

*(b) The amount of the allocation and grant for any State described in subsection (a) shall be an amount based on the cumulative number of AIDS cases in the areas of that State that are outside of metropolitan statistical areas that qualify under clause (i) of such section 854(c)(1)(A) in fiscal year 2002, in proportion to AIDS cases among cities and States that qualify under clauses (i) and (ii) of such section and States deemed eligible under subsection (a).*

*SEC. 204. (a) Section 225(a) of the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2000, Public Law 106-74 (113 Stat. 1076), is amended by inserting “and fiscal year 2002” after “fiscal year 2001”.*

*(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall allocate to Wake County, North Carolina, the amounts that otherwise would be allocated for fiscal year 2002 under section 854(c) of the AIDS Housing Oppor-*

tunity Act (42 U.S.C. 12903(c)) to the City of Raleigh, North Carolina, on behalf of the Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, North Carolina Metropolitan Statistical Area. Any amounts allocated to Wake County shall be used to carry out eligible activities under section 855 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 12904) within such metropolitan statistical area.

SEC. 205. Section 106(c)(9) of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701x(c)(9)) is repealed.

SEC. 206. Section 251 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-16) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking “issue regulations” and all that follows and inserting the following: “require that the mortgagee make available to the mortgagor, at the time of loan application, a written explanation of the features of an adjustable rate mortgage consistent with the disclosure requirements applicable to variable rate mortgages secured by a principal dwelling under the Truth in Lending Act.”; and

(2) by adding the following new subsection at the end:

“(d)(1) The Secretary may insure under this subsection a mortgage that meets the requirements of subsection (a), except that the effective rate of interest—

“(A) shall be fixed for a period of not less than the first 3 years of the mortgage term;

“(B) shall be adjusted by the mortgagee initially upon the expiration of such period and annually thereafter; and

“(C) in the case of the initial interest rate adjustment, is subject to the 1 percent limitation only if the interest rate remained fixed for five or fewer years.

“(2) The disclosure required under subsection (b) shall be required for a mortgage insured under this subsection.”.

SEC. 207. (a) Section 203(c) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1709(c)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and (k)” and inserting “or (k)”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by inserting after “subsection (v)” the following: “and each mortgage that is insured under subsection (k) or section 234(c),”; and

(B) by striking “and executed on or after October 1, 1994,”.

(b) The amendments made by subsection (a) shall—

(1) apply only to mortgages that are executed on or after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(2) be implemented in advance of any necessary conforming changes to regulations.

SEC. 208. (a) During fiscal year 2002, in the provision of rental assistance under section 8(o) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o)) in connection with a program to demonstrate the economy and effectiveness of providing such assistance for use in assisted living facilities that is carried out in the counties of the State of Michigan specified in subsection (b) of this section, notwithstanding paragraphs (3) and (18)(B)(iii) of such section 8(o), a family residing in an assisted living facility in any such county, on behalf of which a public housing agency provides assistance pursuant to section 8(o)(18) of such Act, may be required, at the time

the family initially receives such assistance, to pay rent in an amount exceeding 40 percent of the monthly adjusted income of the family by such a percentage or amount as the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines to be appropriate.

(b) The counties specified in this subsection are Oakland County, Macomb County, Wayne County, and Washtenaw County, in the State of Michigan.

SEC. 209. Section 533 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1735f-11) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 533. REVIEW OF MORTGAGEE PERFORMANCE AND AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE.—

“(a) PERIODIC REVIEW OF MORTGAGEE PERFORMANCE.—To reduce losses in connection with single family mortgage insurance programs under this Act, at least once a year the Secretary shall review the rate of early defaults and claims for insured single family mortgages originated or underwritten by each mortgagee.

“(b) COMPARISON WITH OTHER MORTGAGEES.—For each mortgage, the Secretary shall compare the rate of early defaults and claims for insured single family mortgage loans originated or underwritten by the mortgagee in an area with the rate of early defaults and claims for other mortgagees originating or underwriting insured single family mortgage loans in the area. For purposes of this section, the term ‘area’ means each geographic area in which the mortgagee is authorized by the Secretary to originate insured single family mortgages.

“(c) TERMINATION OF MORTGAGEE ORIGINATION APPROVAL.—(1) Notwithstanding section 202(c) of this Act, the Secretary may terminate the approval of a mortgagee to originate or underwrite single family mortgages if the Secretary determines that the mortgage loans originated or underwritten by the mortgagee present an unacceptable risk to the insurance funds. The determination shall be based on the comparison required under subsection (b) and shall be made in accordance with regulations of the Secretary. The Secretary may rely on existing regulations published before this section takes effect.

“(2) The Secretary shall give a mortgagee at least 60 days prior written notice of any termination under this subsection. The termination shall take effect at the end of the notice period, unless the Secretary withdraws the termination notice or extends the notice period. If requested in writing by the mortgagee within 30 days of the date of the notice, the mortgagee shall be entitled to an informal conference with the official authorized to issue termination notices on behalf of the Secretary (or a designee of that official). At the informal conference, the mortgagee may present for consideration specific factors that it believes were beyond its control and that caused the excessive default and claim rate.”.

SEC. 210. Except as explicitly provided in law, any grant or assistance made pursuant to title II of this Act shall be made on a competitive basis in accordance with section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989.

SEC. 211. Public housing agencies in the States of Alaska, Iowa, and Mississippi shall not be required to comply with section 2(b) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, during fiscal year 2002.

*SEC. 212. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in fiscal year 2002, in managing and disposing of any multifamily property that is owned or held by the Secretary and is occupied primarily by elderly or disabled families, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall maintain any rental assistance payments under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 that are attached to any dwelling units in the property. To the extent the Secretary determines that such a multifamily property owned or held by the Secretary is not feasible for continued rental assistance payments under such section 8, the Secretary may, in consultation with the tenants of that property, contract for project-based rental assistance payments with an owner or owners of other existing housing properties or provide other rental assistance.*

*SEC. 213. (a) SECTION 207 LIMITS.—Section 207(c)(3) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1713(c)(3)) is amended—*

*(1) by striking “\$30,420”, “\$33,696”, “\$40,248”, “\$49,608”, and “\$56,160” and inserting “\$38,025”, “\$42,120”, “\$50,310”, “\$62,010”, and “\$70,200”, respectively;*

*(2) by striking “\$9,000” and inserting “\$11,250”; and*

*(3) by striking “\$35,100”, “\$39,312”, “\$48,204”, “\$60,372”, and “\$68,262” and inserting “\$43,875”, “\$49,140”, “\$60,255”, “\$75,465”, and “\$85,328”, respectively.*

*(b) SECTION 213 LIMITS.—Section 213(b)(2) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715e(b)(2)) is amended—*

*(1) by striking “\$30,420”, “\$33,696”, “\$40,248”, “\$49,608”, and “\$56,160” and inserting “\$38,025”, “\$42,120”, “\$50,310”, “\$62,010”, and “\$70,200”, respectively; and*

*(2) by striking “\$35,100”, “\$39,312”, “\$48,204”, “\$60,372”, and “\$68,262” and inserting “\$43,875”, “\$49,140”, “\$60,255”, “\$75,465”, and “\$85,328”, respectively.*

*(c) SECTION 220 LIMITS.—Section 220(d)(3)(B)(iii) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715k(d)(3)(B)(iii)) is amended—*

*(1) by striking “\$30,420”, “\$33,696”, “\$40,248”, “\$49,608”, and “\$56,160” and inserting “\$38,025”, “\$42,120”, “\$50,310”, “\$62,010”, and “\$70,200”, respectively; and*

*(2) by striking “\$35,100”, “\$39,312”, “\$48,204”, “\$60,372”, and “\$68,262” and inserting “\$43,875”, “\$49,140”, “\$60,255”, “\$75,465”, and “\$85,328”, respectively.*

*(d) SECTION 221(d)(3) LIMITS.—Section 221(d)(3)(ii) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715l(d)(3)(ii)) is amended—*

*(1) by striking “\$33,638”, “\$38,785”, “\$46,775”, “\$59,872”, and “\$66,700” and inserting “\$42,048”, “\$48,481”, “\$58,469”, “\$74,840”, and “\$83,375”, respectively; and*

*(2) by striking “\$35,400”, “\$40,579”, “\$49,344”, “\$63,834”, and “\$70,070” and inserting “\$44,250”, “\$50,724”, “\$61,680”, “\$79,793”, and “\$87,588”, respectively.*

*(e) SECTION 221(d)(4) LIMITS.—Section 221(d)(4)(ii) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715l(d)(4)(ii)) is amended—*

*(1) by striking “\$30,274”, “\$34,363”, “\$41,536”, “\$52,135”, and “\$59,077” and inserting “\$37,843”, “\$42,954”, “\$51,920”, “\$65,169”, and “\$73,846”, respectively; and*

*(2) by striking “\$32,701”, “\$37,487”, “\$45,583”, “\$58,968”, and “\$64,730” and inserting “\$40,876”, “\$46,859”, “\$56,979”, “\$73,710”, and “\$80,913”, respectively.*

(f) *SECTION 231 LIMITS.*—Section 231(c)(2) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715v(c)(2)) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$28,782”, “\$32,176”, “\$38,423”, “\$46,238”, and “\$54,360” and inserting “\$35,978”, “\$40,220”, “\$48,029”, “\$57,798”, “\$67,950”, respectively; and

(2) by striking “\$32,701”, “\$37,487”, “\$45,583”, “\$58,968”, and “\$64,730” and inserting “\$40,876”, “\$46,859”, “\$56,979”, “\$73,710”, and “\$80,913”, respectively.

(g) *SECTION 234 LIMITS.*—Section 234(e)(3) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715y(e)(3)) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$30,420”, “\$33,696”, “\$40,248”, “\$49,608”, and “\$56,160” and inserting “\$38,025”, “\$42,120”, “\$50,310”, “\$62,010”, and “\$70,200”, respectively; and

(2) by striking “\$35,100”, “\$39,312”, “\$48,204”, “\$60,372”, and “\$68,262” and inserting “\$43,875”, “\$49,140”, “\$60,255”, “\$75,465”, and “\$85,328”, respectively.

*SEC. 214.* Of the amounts appropriated in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106–554), for the operation of an historical archive at the University of South Carolina, Department of Archives, South Carolina, such funds shall be available to the University of South Carolina to fund an endowment for the operation of an historical archive at the University of South Carolina, without fiscal year limitation.

*SEC. 215.* Section 247 of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–12) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d), by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following:

“(1) *NATIVE HAWAIIAN.*—The term ‘native Hawaiian’ means any descendant of not less than one-half part of the blood of the races inhabiting the Hawaiian Islands before January 1, 1778, or, in the case of an individual who is awarded an interest in a lease of Hawaiian home lands through transfer or succession, such lower percentage as may be established for such transfer or succession under section 208 or 209 of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920 (42 Stat. 111), or under the corresponding provision of the Constitution of the State of Hawaii adopted under section 4 of the Act entitled ‘An Act to provide for the admission of the State of Hawaii into the Union’, approved March 18, 1959 (73 Stat. 5).

“(2) *HAWAIIAN HOME LANDS.*—The term ‘Hawaiian home lands’ means all lands given the status of Hawaiian home lands under section 204 of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920 (42 Stat. 110), or under the corresponding provision of the Constitution of the State of Hawaii adopted under section 4 of the Act entitled ‘An Act to provide for the admission of the State of Hawaii into the Union’, approved March 18, 1959 (73 Stat. 5).”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) *CERTIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR EXISTING LESSEES.*—Possession of a lease of Hawaiian home lands issued under section 207(a) of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920 (42 Stat. 110), shall be sufficient to certify eligibility to receive a mortgage under this section.”.

*SEC. 216.* Notwithstanding the requirement regarding commitment of funds in the first sentence of section 288(b) of the HOME

*Investment Partnerships Act (42 U.S.C. 12838(b)), the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development (in this section referred to as the "Secretary") shall approve the release of funds under that section to the Arkansas Development Finance Authority (in this section referred to as the "ADFA") for projects, if—*

*(1) funds were committed to those projects on or before June 12, 2001;*

*(2) those projects had not been completed as of June 12, 2001;*

*(3) the ADFA has fully carried out its responsibilities as described in section 288(a); and*

*(4) the Secretary has approved the certification that meets the requirements of section 288(c) with respect to those projects.*

*SEC. 217. Notwithstanding any other provision of law with respect to this or any other fiscal year, the Housing Authority of Baltimore City may use the remaining balance of the grant award of \$20,000,000 made to such authority for development efforts at Hollander Ridge in Baltimore, Maryland with funds appropriated for fiscal year 1996 under the heading "Public Housing Demolition, Site Revitalization, and Replacement Housing Grants" for the rehabilitation of the Claremont Homes project and for the provision of affordable housing in areas within the City of Baltimore either (1) designated by the partial consent decree in *Thompson v. HUD* as nonimpacted census tracts or (2) designated by said authority as either strong neighborhoods experiencing private investment or dynamic growth areas where public and/or private commercial or residential investment is occurring.*

### TITLE III—INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

#### AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, of the American Battle Monuments Commission, including the acquisition of land or interest in land in foreign countries; purchases and repair of uniforms for caretakers of national cemeteries and monuments outside of the United States and its territories and possessions; rent of office and garage space in foreign countries; purchase (one for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles; and insurance of official motor vehicles in foreign countries, when required by law of such countries, \$30,466,000, to remain available until expended.*

*In addition, for the partial cost of construction of a new interpretive and visitor center at the American Cemetery in Normandy, France, \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Commission shall ensure that the placement, scope and character of this new center protect the solemnity of the site and the sensitivity of interested parties including families of servicemen interred at the cemetery, the host country and Allied forces who participated in the invasion and ensuing battle: Provided further, That not more than \$1,000,000 shall be for non-construction related costs including initial consultations with interested parties and the conceptual study and design of the new center.*

## CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses in carrying out activities pursuant to section 112(r)(6) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, including hire of passenger vehicles, uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902, and for services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376, \$7,850,000, \$5,350,000 of which to remain available until September 30, 2002 and \$2,500,000 of which to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board shall have not more than three career Senior Executive Service positions: Provided further, That, hereafter, there shall be an Inspector General at the Board who shall have the duties, responsibilities, and authorities specified in the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended: Provided further, That an individual appointed to the position of Inspector General of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) shall, by virtue of such appointment, also hold the position of Inspector General of the Board: Provided further, That the Inspector General of the Board shall utilize personnel of the Office of Inspector General of FEMA in performing the duties of the Inspector General of the Board, and shall not appoint any individuals to positions within the Board.*

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

## FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

*To carry out the Community Development Banking and Financial Institutions Act of 1994, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for ES-3, \$80,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003, of which \$5,000,000 shall be for technical assistance and training programs designed to benefit Native American, Native Hawaiian, and Alaskan Native communities, and up to \$9,500,000 may be used for administrative expenses, including administration of the New Markets Tax Credit, up to \$6,000,000 may be used for the cost of direct loans, and up to \$1,000,000 may be used for administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan program: Provided, That the cost of direct loans, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$51,800,000.*

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, including hire of passenger motor vehicles, services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable under 5 U.S.C. 5376, purchase of nominal awards to recognize non-Federal officials' contributions to Commission activities, and not to exceed \$500 for official reception and representation expenses, \$55,200,000.*

## CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

## NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS

## OPERATING EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses for the Corporation for National and Community Service (the "Corporation") in carrying out programs, activities, and initiatives under the National and Community Service Act of 1990 (the "Act") (42 U.S.C. 12501 et seq.), \$401,980,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That not more than \$31,000,000 shall be available for administrative expenses authorized under section 501(a)(4) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 12671(a)(4)) with not less than \$2,000,000 targeted for the acquisition of a cost accounting system for the Corporation's financial management system, an integrated grants management system that provides comprehensive financial management information for all Corporation grants and cooperative agreements, and the establishment, operation, and maintenance of a central archives serving as the repository for all grant, cooperative agreement, and related documents, without regard to the provisions of section 501(a)(4)(B) of the Act: Provided further, That not more than \$2,500 shall be for official reception and representation expenses: Provided further, That of amounts previously transferred to the National Service Trust, \$5,000,000 shall be available for national service scholarships for high school students performing community service: Provided further, That not more than \$240,492,000 of the amount provided under this heading shall be available for grants under the National Service Trust program authorized under subtitle C of title I of the Act (42 U.S.C. 12571 et seq.) (relating to activities including the AmeriCorps program), of which not more than \$47,000,000 may be used to administer, reimburse, or support any national service program authorized under section 121(d)(2) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 12581(d)(2)); not more than \$25,000,000 shall be made available to activities dedicated to developing computer and information technology skills for students and teachers in low-income communities: Provided further, That not more than \$10,000,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available for the Points of Light Foundation for activities authorized under title III of the Act (42 U.S.C. 12661 et seq.), of which not more than \$2,500,000 may be used to establish or support an endowment fund, the corpus of which shall remain intact and the interest income from which shall be used to support activities described in title III of the Act, provided that the Foundation may invest the corpus and income in federally insured bank savings accounts or comparable*

*interest bearing accounts, certificates of deposit, money market funds, mutual funds, obligations of the United States, and other market instruments and securities but not in real estate investments: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other law \$2,500,000 of the funds made available by the Corporation to the Foundation under Public Law 106-377 may be used in the manner described in the preceding proviso: Provided further, That no funds shall be available for national service programs run by Federal agencies authorized under section 121(b) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 12571(b)): Provided further, That to the maximum extent feasible, funds appropriated under subtitle C of title I of the Act shall be provided in a manner that is consistent with the recommendations of peer review panels in order to ensure that priority is given to programs that demonstrate quality, innovation, replicability, and sustainability: Provided further, That not more than \$25,000,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be available for the Civilian Community Corps authorized under subtitle E of title I of the Act (42 U.S.C. 12611 et seq.): Provided further, That not more than \$43,000,000 shall be available for school-based and community-based service-learning programs authorized under subtitle B of title I of the Act (42 U.S.C. 12521 et seq.): Provided further, That not more than \$28,488,000 shall be available for quality and innovation activities authorized under subtitle H of title I of the Act (42 U.S.C. 12853 et seq.): Provided further, That not more than \$5,000,000 shall be available for audits and other evaluations authorized under section 179 of the Act (42 U.S.C. 12639): Provided further, That to the maximum extent practicable, the Corporation shall increase significantly the level of matching funds and in-kind contributions provided by the private sector, and shall reduce the total Federal costs per participant in all programs: Provided further, That not more than \$7,500,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available to America's Promise—The Alliance for Youth, Inc., only to support efforts to mobilize individuals, groups, and organizations to build and strengthen the character and competence of the Nation's youth: Provided further, That not more than \$5,000,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available to the Communities In Schools, Inc., to support dropout prevention activities: Provided further, That not more than \$2,500,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available to the YMCA of the USA to support school-based programs designed to strengthen collaborations and linkages between public schools and communities: Provided further, That not more than \$1,000,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available to Teach For America: Provided further, That not more than \$1,500,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available to Parents As Teachers National Center, Inc., to support literacy activities: Provided further, That not more than \$1,500,000 of the funds made available under this heading shall be made available to the Youth Life Foundation to meet the needs of children living in insecure environments.*

## OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$5,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003.*

## U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses for the operation of the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims as authorized by 38 U.S.C. 7251-7298, \$13,221,000, of which \$895,000 shall be available for the purpose of providing financial assistance as described, and in accordance with the process and reporting procedures set forth, under this heading in Public Law 102-229.*

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

## CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses, as authorized by law, for maintenance, operation, and improvement of Arlington National Cemetery and Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery, including the purchase of two passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, and not to exceed \$1,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$22,537,000, to remain available until expended.*

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

*For necessary expenses for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences in carrying out activities set forth in section 311(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, \$70,228,000.*

## AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

## TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

*For necessary expenses for the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) in carrying out activities set forth in sections 104(i), 111(c)(4), and 111(c)(14) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended; section 118(f) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), as amended; and section 3019 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, \$78,235,000, to be derived from the Hazardous Substance Superfund Trust Fund pursuant to section 517(a) of SARA (26 U.S.C. 9507): Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, in lieu of performing a health assessment under section 104(i)(6) of CERCLA, the Administrator of ATSDR may conduct other appropriate health studies, evaluations, or activities, including, without limitation, biomedical testing, clinical evaluations, medical monitoring, and referral to accredited health care providers: Provided*

*further, That in performing any such health assessment or health study, evaluation, or activity, the Administrator of ATSDR shall not be bound by the deadlines in section 104(i)(6)(A) of CERCLA: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for ATSDR to issue in excess of 40 toxicological profiles pursuant to section 104(i) of CERCLA during fiscal year 2002, and existing profiles may be updated as necessary.*

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

*For science and technology, including research and development activities, which shall include research and development activities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended; necessary expenses for personnel and related costs and travel expenses, including uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; procurement of laboratory equipment and supplies; other operating expenses in support of research and development; construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed \$75,000 per project, \$698,089,000, which shall remain available until September 30, 2003.*

##### ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

*For environmental programs and management, including necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for personnel and related costs and travel expenses, including uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; hire of passenger motor vehicles; hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft; purchase of reprints; library memberships in societies or associations which issue publications to members only or at a price to members lower than to subscribers who are not members; construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed \$75,000 per project; and not to exceed \$6,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$2,054,511,000, which shall remain available until September 30, 2003.*

##### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, and for construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed \$75,000 per project, \$34,019,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003.*

##### BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

*For construction, repair, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities of, or for use by, the*

*Environmental Protection Agency, \$25,318,000, to remain available until expended.*

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses to carry out the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including sections 111(c)(3), (c)(5), (c)(6), and (e)(4) (42 U.S.C. 9611), and for construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed \$75,000 per project; \$1,270,000,000 (of which \$100,000,000 shall not become available until September 1, 2002), to remain available until expended, consisting of \$635,000,000, as authorized by section 517(a) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), as amended by Public Law 101-508, and \$635,000,000 as a payment from general revenues to the Hazardous Substance Superfund for purposes as authorized by section 517(b) of SARA, as amended: Provided, That funds appropriated under this heading may be allocated to other Federal agencies in accordance with section 111(a) of CERCLA: Provided further, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$11,867,000 shall be transferred to the "Office of Inspector General" appropriation to remain available until September 30, 2003, and \$36,891,000 shall be transferred to the "Science and technology" appropriation to remain available until September 30, 2003.*

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

*For necessary expenses to carry out leaking underground storage tank cleanup activities authorized by section 205 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, and for construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed \$75,000 per project, \$73,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

*For expenses necessary to carry out the Environmental Protection Agency's responsibilities under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, \$15,000,000, to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability trust fund, to remain available until expended.*

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

*For environmental programs and infrastructure assistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving funds and performance partnership grants, \$3,733,276,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$1,350,000,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the "Act"); \$850,000,000 shall be for capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended, except that, notwithstanding section 1452(n) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended, none of the funds made available under this heading in this Act, or in previous appropriations Acts, shall be reserved by the Administrator for health effects studies on drinking water contaminants;*

*\$75,000,000 shall be for architectural, engineering, planning, design, construction and related activities in connection with the construction of high priority water and wastewater facilities in the area of the United States-Mexico Border, after consultation with the appropriate border commission; \$40,000,000 shall be for grants to the State of Alaska to address drinking water and wastewater infrastructure needs of rural and Alaska Native Villages; \$343,900,000, in addition to \$124,725 previously appropriated under this heading in Public Law 106-377 and \$498,900 previously appropriated under this heading in Public Law 106-554, shall be for making grants for the construction of wastewater and water treatment facilities and groundwater protection infrastructure in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the statement of the managers accompanying this Act; and \$1,074,376,000 shall be for grants, including associated program support costs, to States, federally recognized tribes, interstate agencies, tribal consortia, and air pollution control agencies for multi-media or single media pollution prevention, control and abatement and related activities, including activities pursuant to the provisions set forth under this heading in Public Law 104-134, and for making grants under section 103 of the Clean Air Act for particulate matter monitoring and data collection activities of which and subject to terms and conditions specified by the Administrator, \$25,000,000 shall be for Environmental Information Exchange Network grants, including associated program support costs: Provided, That for fiscal year 2002, State authority under section 302(a) of Public Law 104-182 shall remain in effect: Provided further, That notwithstanding section 603(d)(7) of the Act, the limitation on the amounts in a State water pollution control revolving fund that may be used by a State to administer the fund shall not apply to amounts included as principal in loans made by such fund in fiscal year 2002 and prior years where such amounts represent costs of administering the fund to the extent that such amounts are or were deemed reasonable by the Administrator, accounted for separately from other assets in the fund, and used for eligible purposes of the fund, including administration: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2002, and notwithstanding section 518(f) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, the Administrator is authorized to use the amounts appropriated for any fiscal year under section 319 of that Act to make grants to Indian tribes pursuant to section 319(h) and 518(e) of that Act: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2002, notwithstanding the limitation on amounts in section 518(c) of the Act, up to a total of 1½ percent of the funds appropriated for State Revolving Funds under title VI of that Act may be reserved by the Administrator for grants under section 518(c) of such Act: Provided further, That no funds provided by this legislation to address the water, wastewater and other critical infrastructure needs of the colonias in the United States along the United States-Mexico border shall be made available to a county or municipal government unless that government has established an enforceable local ordinance, or other zoning rule, which prevents in that jurisdiction the development or construction of any additional colonia areas, or the development within an existing colonia the construction of any new home, business, or other structure which lacks water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*For fiscal year 2002, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 6303(1) and 6305(1), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in carrying out the Agency's function to implement directly Federal environmental programs required or authorized by law in the absence of an acceptable tribal program, may award cooperative agreements to federally-recognized Indian Tribes or Intertribal consortia, if authorized by their member Tribes, to assist the Administrator in implementing Federal environmental programs for Indian Tribes required or authorized by law, except that no such cooperative agreements may be awarded from funds designated for State financial assistance agreements.*

*Section 136a-1 of title 7, U.S.C. is amended—*

*(1) in subsection (i)(5)(C)(i) by striking "\$14,000,000" and inserting "\$17,000,000"; and, by striking "each" and inserting "2002" after "fiscal year";*

*(2) in subsection (i)(5)(H) by striking "2001" and inserting "2002";*

*(3) in subsection (i)(6) by striking "2001" and inserting "2002"; and*

*(4) in subsection (k)(3)(A) by striking "2001" and inserting "2002"; and, by striking "1/7" and inserting "1/10".*

## EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

## OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, in carrying out the purposes of the National Science and Technology Policy, Organization, and Priorities Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 6601 and 6671), hire of passenger motor vehicles, and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, not to exceed \$2,500 for official reception and representation expenses, and rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia, \$5,267,000.*

## COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

*For necessary expenses to continue functions assigned to the Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970, and Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1977, and not to exceed \$750 for official reception and representation expenses, \$2,974,000: Provided, That notwithstanding section 202 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1970, the Council shall consist of one member, appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, serving as chairman and exercising all powers, functions, and duties of the Council.*

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

## OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$33,660,000, to be derived from the Bank Insurance*

*Fund, the Savings Association Insurance Fund, and the FSLIC Resolution Fund.*

*FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY*

*DISASTER RELIEF*

*(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)*

*For necessary expenses in carrying out the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), \$664,000,000, and, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 5203, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$2,900,000 may be transferred to "Emergency management planning and assistance" for the consolidated emergency management performance grant program; \$25,000,000 shall be transferred to the Flood Map Modernization Fund; \$25,000,000 shall be transferred to "Emergency management planning and assistance", for pre-disaster mitigation activities; and \$21,577,000 may be used by the Office of Inspector General for audits and investigations.*

*In addition, for the purposes under this heading, \$1,500,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such amount is designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended: Provided further, That such amount shall be available only to the extent that an official budget request, that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted by the President to the Congress.*

*DISASTER ASSISTANCE DIRECT LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT*

*For the cost of direct loans, \$405,000 as authorized by section 319 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act: Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$25,000,000.*

*In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan program, \$543,000.*

*SALARIES AND EXPENSES*

*For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, including hire and purchase of motor vehicles as authorized by 31 U.S.C. 1343; uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; expenses of attendance of cooperating officials and individuals at meetings concerned with the work of emergency preparedness; transportation in connection with the continuity of Government programs to the same extent and in the same manner as permitted the Secretary of a Military Department under 10 U.S.C. 2632; and not to exceed \$2,500 for official reception and representation expenses, \$233,801,000.*

## OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$10,303,000: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Inspector General of the Federal Emergency Management Agency shall also serve as the Inspector General of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.*

## EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND ASSISTANCE

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, to carry out activities under the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.), the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), the Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7701 et seq.), the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act of 1974, as amended (15 U.S.C. 2201 et seq.), the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq.), sections 107 and 303 of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended (50 U.S.C. 404–405), and Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, \$254,623,000: Provided, That for purposes of pre-disaster mitigation pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 5131(b) and (c) and 42 U.S.C. 5196(e) and (i), \$25,000,000 of the funds made available for project grants under this heading by transfer from “Disaster relief”, shall be available until expended.*

*For an additional amount for “Emergency management planning and assistance”, \$150,000,000 for programs as authorized by section 33 of the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act of 1974, as amended (15 U.S.C. 2201 et seq.): Provided, That up to 5 percent of this amount shall be transferred to “Salaries and expenses” for program administration.*

## RADIOLOGICAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FUND

*The aggregate charges assessed during fiscal year 2002, as authorized by Public Law 106–377, shall not be less than 100 percent of the amounts anticipated by FEMA necessary for its radiological emergency preparedness program for the next fiscal year. The methodology for assessment and collection of fees shall be fair and equitable; and shall reflect costs of providing such services, including administrative costs of collecting such fees. Fees received pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the Fund as offsetting collections and will become available for authorized purposes on October 1, 2002, and remain available until expended.*

## EMERGENCY FOOD AND SHELTER PROGRAM

*To carry out an emergency food and shelter program pursuant to title III of Public Law 100–77, as amended, \$140,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That total administrative costs shall not exceed 3½ percent of the total appropriation.*

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE FUND  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For activities under the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (“the Act”), the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, as amended, not to exceed \$28,798,000 for salaries and expenses associated with flood mitigation and flood insurance operations, and not to exceed \$76,381,000 for flood mitigation, including up to \$20,000,000 for expenses under section 1366 of the Act, which amount shall be available for transfer to the National Flood Mitigation Fund until September 30, 2003. In fiscal year 2002, no funds in excess of: (1) \$55,000,000 for operating expenses; (2) \$536,750,000 for agents’ commissions and taxes; and (3) \$30,000,000 for interest on Treasury borrowings shall be available from the National Flood Insurance Fund without prior notice to the Committees on Appropriations.*

*In addition, up to \$7,000,000 in fees collected but unexpended during fiscal years 2000 through 2001 shall be transferred to the Flood Map Modernization Fund and available for expenditure in fiscal year 2002.*

*Section 1309(a)(2) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 4016(a)(2)), as amended, is further amended by striking “2001” and inserting “2002”.*

*Section 1319 of the Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4026), is amended by striking “September 30, 2001” and inserting “December 31, 2002”.*

*Section 1336(a) of the Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4056), is amended by striking “September 30, 2001” and inserting “December 31, 2002”.*

*Section 1376(c) of the Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4127(c)), is amended by striking “December 31, 2001” and inserting “December 31, 2002”.*

NATIONAL FLOOD MITIGATION FUND

*Notwithstanding sections 1366(b)(3)(B)–(C) and 1366(f) of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, \$20,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003, for activities designed to reduce the risk of flood damage to structures pursuant to such Act, of which \$20,000,000 shall be derived from the National Flood Insurance Fund. Of the amount provided, \$2,500,000 is to be used for the purchase of flood-prone properties in the city of Austin, Minnesota, and any cost-share is waived.*

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

FEDERAL CONSUMER INFORMATION CENTER FUND

*For necessary expenses of the Federal Consumer Information Center, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$7,276,000, to be deposited into the Federal Consumer Information Center Fund: Provided, That the appropriations, revenues, and collections deposited into the Fund shall be available for necessary expenses of Federal Consumer Information Center activities in the aggregate amount of \$12,000,000. Appropriations, revenues, and collections accruing to this Fund during fiscal year 2002 in excess of \$12,000,000 shall remain in the Fund and shall not be available for expenditure except as authorized in appropriations Acts.*

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
HUMAN SPACE FLIGHT  
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of human space flight research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support and services; maintenance; construction of facilities including repair, rehabilitation, revitalization and modification of facilities, construction of new facilities and additions to existing facilities, facility planning and design, environmental compliance and restoration, and acquisition or condemnation of real property, as authorized by law; space flight, spacecraft control and communications activities including operations, production, and services; program management; personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed \$20,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$6,912,400,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003, of which amounts as determined by the Administrator for salaries and benefits; training, travel and awards; facility and related costs; information technology services; science, engineering, fabricating and testing services; and other administrative services may be transferred to “Science, aeronautics and technology” in accordance with section 312(b) of the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958, as amended by Public Law 106–377.*

SCIENCE, AERONAUTICS AND TECHNOLOGY  
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the conduct and support of science, aeronautics and technology research and development activities, including research, development, operations, support and services; maintenance; construction of facilities including repair, rehabilitation, revitalization, and modification of facilities, construction of new facilities and additions to existing facilities, facility planning and design, environmental compliance and restoration, and acquisition or condemnation of real property, as authorized by law; space flight, spacecraft control and communications activities including operations, production, and services; program management; personnel and related costs, including uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; travel expenses; purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed \$20,000 for official reception and representation expenses; and purchase, lease, charter, maintenance and operation of mission and administrative aircraft, \$7,857,100,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003, of which amounts as determined by the Administrator for salaries and benefits; training, travel and awards; facility and related costs; information technology services; science, engineering, fabricating and testing services; and other administrative services may be transferred to “Human space flight” in accordance with section 312(b) of the National Aeronautics and Space Act of*

1958, as amended by Public Law 106-377, except that no funds may be transferred to the program budget element for the Space Station.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$23,700,000.*

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*Notwithstanding the limitation on the availability of funds appropriated for "Human space flight", or "Science, aeronautics and technology" by this appropriations Act, when any activity has been initiated by the incurrence of obligations for construction of facilities as authorized by law, such amount available for such activity shall remain available until expended. This provision does not apply to the amounts appropriated for institutional minor revitalization and construction of facilities, and institutional facility planning and design.*

*Notwithstanding the limitation on the availability of funds appropriated for "Human space flight", or "Science, aeronautics and technology" by this appropriations Act, the amounts appropriated for construction of facilities shall remain available until September 30, 2004.*

*Notwithstanding the limitation on the availability of funds appropriated for "Office of Inspector General", amounts made available by this Act for personnel and related costs and travel expenses of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration shall remain available until September 30, 2002 and may be used to enter into contracts for training, investigations, costs associated with personnel relocation, and for other services, to be provided during the next fiscal year. Funds for announced prizes otherwise authorized shall remain available, without fiscal year limitation, until the prize is claimed or the offer is withdrawn.*

*No funds in this or any other Appropriations Act may be used to finalize an agreement prior to December 1, 2002 between NASA and a nongovernment organization to conduct research utilization and commercialization management activities of the International Space Station.*

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

CENTRAL LIQUIDITY FACILITY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*During fiscal year 2002, gross obligations of the Central Liquidity Facility for the principal amount of new direct loans to member credit unions, as authorized by 12 U.S.C. 1795 et seq., shall not exceed \$1,500,000,000: Provided, That administrative expenses of the Central Liquidity Facility shall not exceed \$309,000: Provided further, That \$1,000,000 shall be transferred to the Community Development Revolving Loan Fund, of which \$650,000, together with amounts of principal and interest on loans repaid, shall be available until expended for loans to community development credit unions, and \$350,000 shall be available until expended for technical assistance to low-income and community development credit unions.*

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION  
RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

*For necessary expenses in carrying out the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1861–1875), and the Act to establish a National Medal of Science (42 U.S.C. 1880–1881); services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; authorized travel; maintenance and operation of aircraft and purchase of flight services for research support; acquisition of aircraft; \$3,598,340,000, of which not to exceed \$300,000,000 shall remain available until expended for Polar research and operations support, and for reimbursement to other Federal agencies for operational and science support and logistical and other related activities for the United States Antarctic program; the balance to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That receipts for scientific support services and materials furnished by the National Research Centers and other National Science Foundation supported research facilities may be credited to this appropriation: Provided further, That to the extent that the amount appropriated is less than the total amount authorized to be appropriated for included program activities, all amounts, including floors and ceilings, specified in the authorizing Act for those program activities or their subactivities shall be reduced proportionally: Provided further, That \$75,000,000 of the funds available under this heading shall be made available for a comprehensive research initiative on plant genomes for economically significant crops.*

MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION

*For necessary expenses for the acquisition, construction, commissioning, and upgrading of major research equipment, facilities, and other such capital assets pursuant to the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, including authorized travel, \$138,800,000 to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Director shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations by February 28, 2002 on the full life-cycle costs of projects funded through this account since fiscal year 1995.*

EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

*For necessary expenses in carrying out science and engineering education and human resources programs and activities pursuant to the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1861–1875), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, authorized travel, and rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia, \$875,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided, That to the extent that the amount of this appropriation is less than the total amount authorized to be appropriated for included program activities, all amounts, including floors and ceilings, specified in the authorizing Act for those program activities or their subactivities shall be reduced proportionally.*

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For salaries and expenses necessary in carrying out the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 U.S.C.*

1861–1875); services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; hire of passenger motor vehicles; not to exceed \$9,000 for official reception and representation expenses; uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; rental of conference rooms in the District of Columbia; reimbursement of the General Services Administration for security guard services; \$170,040,000: Provided, That contracts may be entered into under “Salaries and expenses” in fiscal year 2002 for maintenance and operation of facilities, and for other services, to be provided during the next fiscal year.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General as authorized by the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$6,760,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003.

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

PAYMENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

For payment to the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation for use in neighborhood reinvestment activities, as authorized by the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation Act (42 U.S.C. 8101–8107), \$105,000,000, of which \$10,000,000 shall be for a homeownership program that is used in conjunction with section 8 assistance under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended.

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Selective Service System, including expenses of attendance at meetings and of training for uniformed personnel assigned to the Selective Service System, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 4101–4118 for civilian employees; and not to exceed \$750 for official reception and representation expenses; \$25,003,000: Provided, That during the current fiscal year, the President may exempt this appropriation from the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 1341, whenever the President deems such action to be necessary in the interest of national defense: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be expended for or in connection with the induction of any person into the Armed Forces of the United States.

TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 401. Where appropriations in titles I, II, and III of this Act are expendable for travel expenses and no specific limitation has been placed thereon, the expenditures for such travel expenses may not exceed the amounts set forth therefor in the budget estimates submitted for the appropriations: Provided, That this provision does not apply to accounts that do not contain an object classification for travel: Provided further, That this section shall not apply to travel performed by uncompensated officials of local boards and appeal boards of the Selective Service System; to travel performed directly in connection with care and treatment of medical beneficiaries of the Department of Veterans Affairs; to travel performed in connection with major disasters or emergencies declared or determined by the

*President under the provisions of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act; to travel performed by the Offices of Inspector General in connection with audits and investigations; or to payments to interagency motor pools where separately set forth in the budget schedules: Provided further, That if appropriations in titles I, II, and III exceed the amounts set forth in budget estimates initially submitted for such appropriations, the expenditures for travel may correspondingly exceed the amounts therefor set forth in the estimates only to the extent such an increase is approved by the Committees on Appropriations.*

*SEC. 402. Appropriations and funds available for the administrative expenses of the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Selective Service System shall be available in the current fiscal year for purchase of uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901-5902; hire of passenger motor vehicles; and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.*

*SEC. 403. Funds of the Department of Housing and Urban Development subject to the Government Corporation Control Act or section 402 of the Housing Act of 1950 shall be available, without regard to the limitations on administrative expenses, for legal services on a contract or fee basis, and for utilizing and making payment for services and facilities of the Federal National Mortgage Association, Government National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Financing Bank, Federal Reserve banks or any member thereof, Federal Home Loan banks, and any insured bank within the meaning of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1811-1831).*

*SEC. 404. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.*

*SEC. 405. No funds appropriated by this Act may be expended—*

*(1) pursuant to a certification of an officer or employee of the United States unless—*

*(A) such certification is accompanied by, or is part of, a voucher or abstract which describes the payee or payees and the items or services for which such expenditure is being made; or*

*(B) the expenditure of funds pursuant to such certification, and without such a voucher or abstract, is specifically authorized by law; and*

*(2) unless such expenditure is subject to audit by the General Accounting Office or is specifically exempt by law from such audit.*

*SEC. 406. None of the funds provided in this Act to any department or agency may be expended for the transportation of any officer or employee of such department or agency between the domicile and the place of employment of the officer or employee, with the exception of an officer or employee authorized such transportation under 31 U.S.C. 1344 or 5 U.S.C. 7905.*

*SEC. 407. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used for payment, through grants or contracts, to recipients that do not share in the cost of conducting research resulting from proposals not specifically solicited by the Government: Provided, That the extent of cost sharing by the recipient shall reflect the mutuality of interest of the grantee or contractor and the Government in the research.*

*SEC. 408. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used, directly or through grants, to pay or to provide reimbursement for payment of the salary of a consultant (whether retained by the Federal Government or a grantee) at more than the daily equivalent of the rate paid for level IV of the Executive Schedule, unless specifically authorized by law.*

*SEC. 409. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used to pay the expenses of, or otherwise compensate, non-Federal parties intervening in regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings. Nothing herein affects the authority of the Consumer Product Safety Commission pursuant to section 7 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2056 et seq.).*

*SEC. 410. Except as otherwise provided under existing law, or under an existing Executive Order issued pursuant to an existing law, the obligation or expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for contracts for any consulting service shall be limited to contracts which are: (1) a matter of public record and available for public inspection; and (2) thereafter included in a publicly available list of all contracts entered into within 24 months prior to the date on which the list is made available to the public and of all contracts on which performance has not been completed by such date. The list required by the preceding sentence shall be updated quarterly and shall include a narrative description of the work to be performed under each such contract.*

*SEC. 411. Except as otherwise provided by law, no part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be obligated or expended by any executive agency, as referred to in the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.), for a contract for services unless such executive agency: (1) has awarded and entered into such contract in full compliance with such Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder; and (2) requires any report prepared pursuant to such contract, including plans, evaluations, studies, analyses and manuals, and any report prepared by the agency which is substantially derived from or substantially includes any report prepared pursuant to such contract, to contain information concerning: (A) the contract pursuant to which the report was prepared; and (B) the contractor who prepared the report pursuant to such contract.*

*SEC. 412. Except as otherwise provided in section 406, none of the funds provided in this Act to any department or agency shall be obligated or expended to provide a personal cook, chauffeur, or other personal servants to any officer or employee of such department or agency.*

*SEC. 413. None of the funds provided in this Act to any department or agency shall be obligated or expended to procure passenger automobiles as defined in 15 U.S.C. 2001 with an EPA estimated miles per gallon average of less than 22 miles per gallon.*

*SEC. 414. None of the funds appropriated in title I of this Act shall be used to enter into any new lease of real property if the estimated annual rental is more than \$300,000 unless the Secretary submits a report which the Committees on Appropriations of the Congress approve within 30 days following the date on which the report is received.*

*SEC. 415. (a) It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.*

*(b) In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.*

*SEC. 416. None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to implement any cap on reimbursements to grantees for indirect costs, except as published in Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21.*

*SEC. 417. Such sums as may be necessary for fiscal year 2002 pay raises for programs funded by this Act shall be absorbed within the levels appropriated in this Act.*

*SEC. 418. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for any program, project, or activity, when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that the program, project, or activity is not in compliance with any Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.*

*SEC. 419. Corporations and agencies of the Department of Housing and Urban Development which are subject to the Government Corporation Control Act, as amended, are hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to each such corporation or agency and in accord with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of such Act as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the budget for 2002 for such corporation or agency except as hereinafter provided: Provided, That collections of these corporations and agencies may be used for new loan or mortgage purchase commitments only to the extent expressly provided for in this Act (unless such loans are in support of other forms of assistance provided for in this or prior appropriations Acts), except that this proviso shall not apply to the mortgage insurance or guaranty operations of these corporations, or where loans or mortgage purchases are necessary to protect the financial interest of the United States Government.*

*SEC. 420. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the term "qualified student loan" with respect to national service education awards shall mean any loan determined by an institution of higher education to be necessary to cover a student's cost of attendance at such institution and made directly to a student by a state agency, in addition to other meanings under section 148(b)(7) of the National and Community Service Act.*

*SEC. 421. Unless otherwise provided for in this Act or through reprogramming of funds, no part of any appropriation for the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall be available for any activity in excess of amounts set forth in the budget estimates submitted to Congress.*

*SEC. 422. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act shall be used to promulgate a final regulation to implement changes in the payment of pesticide tolerance processing fees as proposed at 64 Fed. Reg. 31040, or any similar proposals. The Environmental Protection Agency may proceed with the development of such a rule.*

*SEC. 423. The Environmental Protection Agency may not use any of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act to implement the Registration Fee system codified at 40 Code of Federal Regulations Subpart U (sections 152.400 et seq.) if its authority to collect maintenance fees pursuant to FIFRA section 4(i)(5) is extended for at least 1 year beyond September 30, 2001.*

*SEC. 424. Except in the case of entities that are funded solely with Federal funds or any natural persons that are funded under this Act, none of the funds in this Act shall be used for the planning or execution of any program to pay the expenses of, or otherwise compensate, non-Federal parties to lobby or litigate in respect to adjudicatory proceedings funded in this Act. A chief executive officer of any entity receiving funds under this Act shall certify that none of these funds have been used to engage in the lobbying of the Federal Government or in litigation against the United States unless authorized under existing law.*

*SEC. 425. No part of any funds appropriated in this Act shall be used by an agency of the executive branch, other than for normal and recognized executive-legislative relationships, for publicity or propaganda purposes, and for the preparation, distribution or use of any kit, pamphlet, booklet, publication, radio, television or film presentation designed to support or defeat legislation pending before the Congress, except in presentation to the Congress itself.*

*SEC. 426. None of the funds provided in title II for technical assistance, training, or management improvements may be obligated or expended unless HUD provides to the Committees on Appropriations a description of each proposed activity and a detailed budget estimate of the costs associated with each activity as part of the Budget Justifications. For fiscal year 2002, HUD shall transmit this information to the Committees by January 8, 2002 for 30 days of review.*

*SEC. 427. All Departments and agencies funded under this Act are encouraged, within the limits of the existing statutory authorities and funding, to expand their use of "E-Commerce" technologies and procedures in the conduct of their business practices and public service activities.*

*SEC. 428. Section 104(n)(4) of the Cerro Grande Fire Assistance Act (Public Law 106-246) is amended by striking "beginning not later than the expiration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act." and inserting "within 120 days after the Director issues the report required by subsection (n) in 2002 and 2003."*

*SEC. 429. None of the funds provided by this Act may be used for the purpose of implementing any administrative proposal that would require military retirees to make an "irrevocable choice" for any specified period of time between Department of Veterans Affairs or military health care under the new TRICARE for Life plan authorized in the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public 106-398).*

*SEC. 430. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to delay the national primary drinking water regulation for Arsenic published on January 22, 2001, in the Federal Register (66 Fed. Reg. pages 6976 through 7066, amending parts 141 through 142 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations).*

*SEC. 431. Subtitle B of title VI of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5197–5197g) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

**“SEC. 629. MINORITY EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.**

*“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall establish a minority emergency preparedness demonstration program to research and promote the capacity of minority communities to provide data, information, and awareness education by providing grants to or executing contracts or cooperative agreements with eligible nonprofit organizations to establish and conduct such programs.*

*“(b) ACTIVITIES SUPPORTED.—An eligible nonprofit organization may use a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement awarded under this section—*

*“(1) to conduct research into the status of emergency preparedness and disaster response awareness in African American and Hispanic households located in urban, suburban, and rural communities, particularly in those States and regions most impacted by natural and manmade disasters and emergencies; and*

*“(2) to develop and promote awareness of emergency preparedness education programs within minority communities, including development and preparation of culturally competent educational and awareness materials that can be used to disseminate information to minority organizations and institutions.*

*“(c) ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATIONS.—A nonprofit organization is eligible to be awarded a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement under this section with respect to a program if the organization is a nonprofit organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3)) and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code, whose primary mission is to provide services to communities predominately populated by minority citizens, and that can demonstrate a partnership with a minority-owned business enterprise or minority business located in a HUBZone (as defined in section 3(p) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p))) with respect to the program.*

*“(d) USE OF FUNDS.—A recipient of a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement awarded under this section may only use the proceeds of the grant, contract, or agreement to—*

*“(1) acquire expert professional services necessary to conduct research in communities predominately populated by minority citizens, with a primary emphasis on African American and Hispanic communities;*

*“(2) develop and prepare informational materials to promote awareness among minority communities about emergency preparedness and how to protect their households and communities in advance of disasters;*

*“(3) establish consortia with minority national organizations, minority institutions of higher education, and faith-based institutions to disseminate information about emergency preparedness to minority communities; and*

*“(4) implement a joint project with a minority serving institution, including a part B institution (as defined in section 322(2) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C.*

1061(2))), an institution described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of section 326 of that Act (20 U.S.C. 1063b(e)(1)(A), (B), or (C)), and a Hispanic-serving institution (as defined in section 502(a)(5) of that Act (20 U.S.C. 1101a(a)(5))).

*“(e) APPLICATION AND REVIEW PROCEDURE.—To be eligible to receive a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement under this section, an organization must submit an application to the Director at such time, in such manner, and accompanied by such information as the Director may reasonably require. The Director shall establish a procedure by which to accept such applications.*

*“(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$1,500,000 for fiscal year 2002 and such funds as may be necessary for fiscal years 2003 through 2007. Such sums shall remain available until expended.”.*

*SEC. 432. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to implement or enforce the requirement under section 12(c) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1437j(c)) relating to community service, except with respect to any resident of a public housing project funded with any amounts provided under section 24 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, or any predecessor program for the revitalization of severely distressed public housing (HOPE VI).*

*SEC. 433. Section 1301 of title XIII of Division B of H.R. 5666, as enacted by section 1(a)(4) of Public Law 106-554, is amended by striking “facilities” and inserting in lieu thereof “facilities, including the design and construction of such facilities,”.*

*SEC. 434. The amounts subject to the fifth proviso under the heading, “Emergency Response Fund”, in Public Law 107-38, which are available for transfer to the Department of Housing and Urban Development 15 days after the Director of the Office of Management and Budget has submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a proposed allocation and plan for use of the funds for the Department, may be used for purposes of ‘Community Development Block Grants’, as authorized by title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended: Provided, That such funds may be awarded to the State of New York for assistance for properties and businesses damaged by, and for economic revitalization related to, the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on New York City, for the affected area of New York City, and for reimbursement to the State and City of New York for expenditures incurred from the regular Community Development Block Grant formula allocation used to achieve these same purposes: Provided further, That the State of New York is authorized to provide such assistance to the City of New York: Provided further, That in administering these funds and funds under section 108 of such Act used for economic revitalization activities in New York City, the Secretary may waive, or specify alternative requirements for, any provision of any statute or regulation that the Secretary administers in connection with the obligation by the Secretary or the use by the recipient of these funds or guarantees (except for requirements related to fair housing, nondiscrimination, labor standards, and the environment), upon a finding that such waiver is required to facilitate the use of such funds or guarantees, and would not be inconsistent with the overall purpose of the statute or regulation: Provided further, That such funds shall not adversely affect the amount of any formula as-*

*sistance received by the State of New York, New York City, or any categorical application for other Federal assistance: Provided further, That the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register any waiver of any statute or regulation that the Secretary administers pursuant to title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, no later than 5 days before the effective date of such waiver: Provided further, That the Secretary shall notify the Committees on Appropriations on the proposed allocation of any funds and any related waivers pursuant to this section no later than 5 days before such allocation.*

*This Act may be cited as the “Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2002”.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

JAMES T. WALSH,  
TOM DELAY,  
DAVID L. HOBSON,  
JOE KNOLLENBERG,  
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,  
ANNE M. NORTHUP,  
JOHN E. SUNUNU,  
VIRGIL GOODE, Jr.,  
ROBERT B. ADERHOLT,  
BILL YOUNG,  
ALAN B. MOLLOHAN,  
MARCY KAPTUR,  
CARRIE P. MEEK,  
DAVID PRICE,  
ROBERT E. CRAMER, Jr.,  
CHAKA FATTAH,  
DAVID OBEY,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

BARBARA A. MIKULSKI,  
PATRICK J. LEAHY,  
TOM HARKIN,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
HERB KOHL,  
TIM JOHNSON,  
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,  
DANIEL K. INOUE,  
CHRISTOPHER S. BOND,  
CONRAD BURNS,  
RICHARD C. SHELBY,  
LARRY E. CRAIG,  
(except for general provision  
on arsenic),  
PETE V. DOMENICI,  
(except for general provision  
on arsenic),  
MIKE DEWINE,  
TED STEVENS,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*



## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2620) making appropriations for the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and for sundry independent agencies, boards, commissions, corporations, and offices for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 107-159 and Senate Report 107-43 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate or the conference and Senate report language which is not changed by the conference is approved by the committee of the conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Unless specifically addressed in this statement of the managers or in the House or Senate reports accompanying H.R. 2620, the conferees agree to retain the reprogramming thresholds for each department or agency at the level established by the fiscal year 1999 reports.

### RELATIONSHIP WITH BUDGET OFFICES

Through the years, the Appropriations Committees have channeled most of their inquiries and requests for information and assistance through the budget offices of the various departments, agencies, and commissions. The Committees have often pointed out the natural affinity and relationship between these organizations and the Appropriations Committees which makes such a relationship workable. The conferees reiterate their position that while the Committees reserve the right to call upon all offices in the departments, agencies, and commissions, the primary conjunction between the Committees and these entities must normally be through the budget offices. The Committees appreciate all the assistance received from each of the departments, agencies, and commissions during this past year. The workload generated by the budget process is large and growing, and therefore, a positive, responsive relationship between the Committees and the budget offices is absolutely essential to the appropriations process.

## TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

Of the amounts approved in the appropriations accounts in this title, the Department must limit transfers of funds between objectives to not more than \$500,000, except as specifically noted, without prior approval of the Committees. No changes may be made to any account or objective, except as approved by the Committees, if it is construed to be policy or change in policy. Any activity or program cited in the statement of the managers shall be construed as the position of the conferees and should not be subject to reductions or reprogramming without prior approval of the Committees. It is the intent of the conferees that all carryover funds in the various appropriations accounts are subject to the normal reprogramming requirements outlined above. The Department is expected to comply with all normal rules and regulations in carrying out these directives. Finally, the Department should continue to notify the Committees regarding reorganizations of offices, programs, or activities prior to the planned implementation of such reorganizations.

## VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

## COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$24,944,288,000 for compensation and pensions as proposed by both the House and the Senate, of which not more than \$17,940,000 is to be transferred to general operating expenses and medical care.

## READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

Appropriates \$2,135,000,000 for readjustment benefits as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Deletes bill language proposed by the Senate allowing funds to be payable for any court order, award or settlement.

## VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

Appropriates \$26,200,000 for veterans insurance and indemnities as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

## VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates such sums as may be necessary for costs associated with direct and guaranteed loans from the veterans housing benefit program fund program account as proposed by both the House and the Senate, plus \$164,497,000 to be transferred to and merged with general operating expenses.

## EDUCATION LOAN FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,000 for the costs of direct loans from the education loan fund program account as proposed by both the House

and the Senate, plus \$64,000 to be transferred to and merged with general operating expenses.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$72,000 for the costs of direct loans from the vocational rehabilitation loans program account as proposed by both the House and the Senate, plus \$274,000 to be transferred to and merged with general operating expenses.

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$544,000 for administrative expenses of the Native American housing loan program account to be transferred to and merged with general operating expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

GUARANTEED TRANSITIONAL HOUSING LOANS FOR HOMELESS  
VETERANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Provides up to \$750,000 of the funds available in medical care and general operating expenses to carry out the guaranteed transitional housing loans for homeless veterans program as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

MEDICAL CARE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$21,331,164,000 for medical care instead of \$21,282,587,000 as proposed by the House and \$21,379,742,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Retains bill language proposed by the Senate delaying the availability of \$675,000,000 for equipment and land and structures until August 1, 2002 remaining available until September 30, 2003. The House proposed delaying \$900,000,000 for the same purposes.

Retains bill language making \$900,000,000 available until September 30, 2003 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$500,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Deletes bill language limiting \$3,000,000,000 for maintenance and operations expenses as proposed by the House. The conferees strongly support the redirection of medical resources from the maintenance and operations of unneeded buildings to support direct patient care and encourage the efforts to reduce those expenditures as the Capital Asset Realignment for Enhanced Services (CARES) process moves forward.

Provides \$15,000,000 from medical funds for CARES projects instead of \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not identify any funds in this account for CARES.

Retains language proposed by the Senate transferring collected receipts in the medical care collections fund to the medical care account. The House provided transfer authority in a separate medical care collections fund appropriating paragraph.

For a number of years GAO and the Congress have been encouraging the VA and Department of Defense (DOD) to work together to find ways to share resources and provide better health care for our Nation's military, military retirees, and veterans. The conferees direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in cooperation with the Secretary of Defense, to submit to the Committees on Appropriations a credible plan by September 1, 2002 for no less than three demonstration sites where the VA and DOD will fully integrate operations, pharmacy services, billing and records, and treatment. Further, the conferees direct the VA to include in the plan VA-DOD sharing options that complement CARES principles. The conferees direct both Secretaries to consider the opportunity presented at the Tripler Army Medical Center for this demonstration program.

The conferees are dismayed by GAO reports outlining the dismal state of VHA's record on third party collections. The conferees direct the Secretary to undertake a demonstration project for a minimum of two years utilizing not less than \$3,000,000 to obtain a private sector contractor to install and operate a total patient financial services system. In addition to the guidelines set forth in House Report 107-159, the demonstration should be developed in a manner that recognizes that this problem exists in all VISNs and any solution for a single VISN must be useable and exportable in an efficient manner to all VISNs. The conferees believe an essential element of this demonstration is the effective use of private sector business services in concert with VA employees.

The conferees are troubled by the abundance of conflicting information and lack of uniformity across VA's health system in regard to atypical anti-psychotic medications. Providing care for the seriously mentally ill is one of VA's top priorities and requires a special level of commitment, as this population is especially vulnerable and difficult to treat. Atypical anti-psychotic medication prescribing practices must not be used as performance indicators when evaluating a physician's work; nor should price, market share, and corporate interest factor into choosing the best drug to treat mental illness. To this end, the conferees direct the Secretary to communicate clearly to each doctor, facility director and pharmacy manager that atypical anti-psychotic pharmaceutical prescribing practices are not to be used as a measure of job performance and reiterate the Department's policy that physicians are to use their best clinical judgment when choosing atypical anti-psychotic medications. However, the conferees are aware that there is a wide price disparity between the currently available atypical anti-psychotic drugs and the Department should feel free to also communicate relative cost data for all atypical anti-psychotic drugs to its physicians.

The conferees direct the VA to keep an open policy with regard to formulating new schizophrenia and serious mental illness treatment protocols as new treatments become available, but those protocols should be based on scientific and clinical studies showing improvements in treatment efficacy or a decrease in side-effects, with cost savings as a subordinate goal to appropriate treatment options.

The conferees are aware of a proposal to establish a Center for Healthcare Information at the Office of Medical Information Security Service at the Martinsburg VAMC to improve the security of VA's computerized medical records. The conferees direct the VA to report to the Committees by March 1, 2002 on the feasibility of establishing this Center.

The conferees direct the VA to report to the Committees on Appropriations by August 2, 2002 on the VA's application of viscosupplementation as an alternative means of treating degenerative knee diseases in veterans. The report should include the potential costs and benefits of the procedure as a part of VA's health care delivery and VA's recommendations for future use of the procedure.

The conferees are aware of local concerns regarding the elements of the April 2001 report titled "Plan for the Development of a 25-Year General Use Plan for Department of Veterans Affairs West Los Angeles Healthcare Center." The conferees strongly urge the VA to work with the local community when formulating a plan to best use the campus for improving veterans' access to VA-provided services.

#### MEDICAL CARE COLLECTIONS FUND

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Deletes the medical care collections fund paragraph as proposed by the House and instead provides transfer authority in medical care as proposed by the Senate.

#### MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

Appropriates \$371,000,000 for medical and prosthetic research as proposed by the House instead of \$390,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees understand that the VA has developed an agreement for intellectual property sharing with university research institutions. Some universities have expressed concerns about a university's right to inventions that are developed from supported research. Further, there are concerns whether the VA's agreements are consistent with the Bayh-Dole Act and similar agreements utilized by other Federal agencies. Accordingly, the conferees direct the VA to report to the Committees on Appropriations by February 1, 2002 regarding these concerns. In responding to the Committees, the VA should consult with universities and university associations, including the American Association of Medical Colleges, the Association of University Technology Managers, and the Council on Government Relations.

The conferees direct the continued partnership with the National Technology Transfer Center at the current level of effort.

#### MEDICAL ADMINISTRATION AND MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriates \$66,731,000 for medical administration and miscellaneous operating expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$67,628,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree to retain language proposed by the Senate providing a limitation on the

availability of funds from Management Field Service reimbursements of September 30, 2002.

The conferees agree that there is concern about the guidance and leadership provided by headquarters to guarantee quality healthcare and sound fiscal management across the system. The VA is directed to submit with the fiscal year 2002 operating plan the signed performance agreements of all 22 VISN directors, action plans for each VISN on how that VISN will improve collection rates, and financial reports from the three VISNs which received supplemental loans and funding for the second consecutive year summarizing how those VISNs have become financially sound.

#### DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

##### GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriates \$1,195,728,000 for general operating expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$1,194,831,000 as proposed by the Senate. Retains language proposed by the House allowing funds to be used for the administrative expenses of department-wide capital planning, management and policy activities.

The conferees agree to fund the Veterans Benefits Administration at not less than \$955,352,000. The conferees are optimistic about the recommendations put forward by the Department of Veterans Affairs Compensations and Pensions Task Force and commend the Secretary for announcing his intentions to implement most of the recommendations. The conferees look forward to the fiscal year 2003 budget hearings in hopes that implementation of the short-term recommendations will yield improvements in claims processing times by spring 2003.

##### NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

Appropriates \$121,169,000 for the national cemetery administration as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

##### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$52,308,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of \$48,308,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have agreed to provide the higher funding level due to the nation-wide benefit payment review planned in response to the recent benefits fraud investigation in Atlanta, Georgia.

##### CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Appropriates \$183,180,000 for construction, major projects as proposed by the House instead of \$155,180,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree to the projects included in the budget estimate plus \$125,000 for planning a national cemetery in the Albuquerque, New Mexico area to be offset from the working reserve. The conferees have provided up to \$125,000 to start initial cemetery planning activities in Albuquerque, but direct that further funding for cemetery construction activities must be considered in the greater context of funding the country's national veterans cem-

etary needs as presented in the Department's needs assessment report due December, 2001.

The conferees agree that the electrical fire at the Miami VAMC presents a unique situation compromising VA's ability to provide patient care in an environment safe for patients and employees and agree to provide \$28,300,000 for the emergency repair project even though VISN 8 has not undergone a CARES review.

The conferees remain strongly supportive of CARES. This nation-wide review is critical to ensuring VA's capital assets can support current and long-term health care needs and are rehabilitated and aligned for optimal efficiency and access. The conferees agree to provide \$60,000,000 from construction, major projects, for CARES initiatives, of which \$10,000,000 is for Phase III studies. If less than \$10,000,000 is required for Phase III, the balance may be used for construction.

The conferees are strongly encouraged by the recommendations from Phase I of CARES, which if implemented, could re-invest at least \$270,000,000 over the next 20 years from capital costs to improving direct access and care for veterans in the region. In support of the Phase I recommendations, the conferees have identified \$40,000,000 of the \$60,000,000 provided in construction, major projects to move forward with the blind and spinal cord injury center at the Hines VAMC conditional upon the Secretary certifying that a full and open consultation process was conducted regarding the VISN 12 recommendations, implementing Option B of the CARES VISN 12 Service Delivery Options with a developed implementation plan including milestones, and initiating Phase II of CARES.

As a part of the CARES process in VISN 12, VA recently completed a formal comment process where VA solicited input from a large number of affected and interested parties. The conferees direct the Secretary to certify to the Congress that he has carried out a full and open consultation process with all affected stakeholders and after submission of such certification, finalize decisions regarding CARES in VISN 12 not later than January 15, 2002.

The conferees strongly urge that the Secretary consider the needs for improvements and safety upgrades to the West Virginia National Cemetery in Grafton, West Virginia in the formulation of the Department's fiscal year 2003 budget requirements. The conferees are aware that initial planning documents have been prepared for this initiative and encourage the completion of design and architectural plans within available funds pending this review.

#### FACILITY REHABILITATION FUND

Deletes \$300,000,000 for establishment of the facility rehabilitation fund as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include this account.

#### CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

Appropriates \$210,900,000 for construction, minor projects instead of \$178,900,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Retains language proposed by the House limiting additional CARES funds upon notification of and approval by the Committees on Appropriations.

## PARKING REVOLVING FUND

Appropriates \$4,000,000 for the parking revolving fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

## GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

Appropriates \$100,000,000 for grants for construction of state extended care facilities as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

## GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

Appropriates \$25,000,000 for grants for construction of state veterans cemeteries as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Retains eight administrative provisions proposed by both the House and the Senate, seven of which were included in the fiscal year 2001 bill.

Deletes language proposed by the House eliminating the health services improvement fund.

Retains language proposed by the House allowing VA to deduct associated administrative expenses from enhanced use proceeds and use those receipts in the same fiscal year the receipts are received.

Retains language proposed by the House allowing the Department to reimburse from fiscal year 2002 salary and expenses accounts for services rendered to the Office of Resolution Management up to \$28,555,000 and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication up to \$2,383,000. The Senate proposed a similar provision with technical differences.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate directing the VA to conduct a cost and benefit study on viscosupplementation as a treatment option for knee replacements. The conferees have agreed to instead include report language in the medical care account directing the VA to complete such a study.

Retains language proposed by the Senate recognizing the North Dakota Veterans Cemetery as a state cemetery eligible under the Grants for State Veterans Cemeteries Program.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate establishing a 60-day wait period for any action related to VISN 12 realignment. The conferees have agreed to instead include report language in the construction, major projects account.

## TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

The conferees restate the reprogramming requirements with respect to amounts approved for each appropriations account within this title. The Department must limit the reprogramming of funds between the programs, projects, and activities within each account to not more than \$500,000 without prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations. Unless otherwise identified in this statement of managers or committee reports, the most detailed al-

location of funds presented in the budget justifications shall be considered to be approved, with any deviation from such approved allocation subject to the normal reprogramming requirements outlined above. Further, it is the intent of the conferees that all carry-over funds in the various accounts, including recaptures and deobligations, are subject to the normal reprogramming requirements outlined above. Further, no changes may be made to any program, project, or activity if it is construed to be policy or a change in policy, without prior approval of the Committees. Finally, the conferees expect to be notified regarding reorganizations of offices, programs or activities prior to the planned implementation of such reorganizations, as well as be notified, on a monthly basis, of all ongoing litigation, including any negotiations or discussions, planned or ongoing, regarding a consent decree between the Department and any other entity.

#### PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

##### HOUSING CERTIFICATE FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$15,640,975,000 instead of \$15,694,242,000 as proposed by the House and \$15,658,769,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement assumes an additional \$640,000,000 in prior year carryover is available to meet section 8 renewal requirements based upon a reduction in reserve funds available to public housing authorities (PHAs) as proposed by the House and the Senate. Language is included to implement the change in reserve funds as proposed by the House. The conferees understand that HUD has the authority to provide PHAs with the necessary funds to administer their section 8 contracts, nevertheless the conferees direct HUD to ensure that PHAs have the funds to administer all section 8 contracts in a normal manner, including vouchers that turn over during the year. In cases where PHAs require additional funds for approved uses and amounts, HUD shall provide to these PHAs the necessary section 8 funds. The conferees also direct HUD to make quarterly reports to the Committees on Appropriations on the status and availability of all section 8 reserves maintained by PHAs.

The conference agreement includes the following:

*Contract Renewals.*—\$15,725,153,000, of which \$640,000,000 is derived from prior year carryover, for expiring section 8 housing assistance contracts, section 8 amendments, enhanced vouchers, and contracts entered into pursuant to section 441 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. Funds for the renewal of section 811 tenant-based assistance is provided under the housing for special populations account as proposed by the House.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the Senate report requiring renewal costs for section 8 project-based programs to be discretely identified in the fiscal year 2003 budget justifications.

*Incremental Vouchers.*—\$143,979,000 to provide “incremental” section 8 housing assistance vouchers to increase the number of low-income individuals and families receiving assistance. Of this

amount, \$103,979,000 is provided for 18,000 vouchers to be distributed on a fair share basis to PHAs having a voucher utilization rate of at least 97 percent, instead of \$157,334,000 as proposed by the House and \$98,623,000 as proposed by the Senate. HUD is expected to distribute these vouchers within 90 days of enactment of this Act, and to report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate on compliance with this requirement no later than February 15, 2002. The remaining \$40,000,000 is provided for 7,900 new vouchers for distribution to non-elderly, disabled residents who are affected by the designation of public and assisted housing as “elderly-only” developments, instead of \$39,912,000 as proposed by the House. Bill language is included, as proposed by the House and the Senate, to earmark funds for this purpose in recognition of the fact that people with disabilities are often unable to find affordable housing absent section 8 tenant-based assistance.

The conferees reiterate the House reporting requirement related to identification and remediation of PHAs designated as troubled under the Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP).

*Contract Administrators.*—\$195,601,000 for section 8 contract administrators as proposed by the House. Modified language is included, similar to language proposed by the House, to designate funds for this purpose. The Senate bill did not include a similar provision.

*Tenant Protection.*—\$202,842,000 for tenant protection vouchers to replace lost project-based section 8 assistance. Funding for new vouchers under the HOPE VI program is provided within the revitalization of severely distressed public housing (HOPE VI) account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes language transferring no less than \$13,400,000 to the Working Capital Fund for development and maintenance of information technology systems as proposed by the Senate, instead of no less than \$11,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Rescinds \$1,200,000,000 from unobligated balances available from the recapture of excess section 8 funds, instead of \$886,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$615,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Language is included requiring that the rescission be applied against available funds appropriated in fiscal year 2001 and prior years for any account under title II as proposed by the House, instead of requiring that the rescission be applied against available funds appropriated in fiscal year 2002 and prior years in this account as proposed by the Senate.

Includes language proposed by the House to prohibit the rescission of funds governed by statutory reallocation provisions. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate requiring that the renewal of expiring section 8 contracts subject to the Emergency Low Income Housing Preservation Act of 1987 (ELIHPA) and the Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990 (LIHPRHA) are to be capped at current rents. This means that the rents for these projects shall be renewed on a one-year basis consistent with the plans of action that were approved as part of the efforts to preserve these projects as

low-income housing under ELIHPA and LIHPRHA. Nevertheless, the conferees remain concerned that many of these projects were over-subsidized through these preservation efforts. The conferees believe HUD needs to review all these preservation projects and look at restructuring the mortgages and contract requirements where appropriate. The conferees direct HUD to report to the Committees on Appropriations on this review and the status of these projects no later than June 15, 2002.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate requiring that additional unobligated balances from this account be rescinded and reallocated to other accounts in title II and title III of this Act. The House bill did not include a similar provision.

PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$2,843,400,000 for the public housing capital fund instead of \$2,943,400,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$2,555,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Includes modified language designating \$550,000,000 to be allocated only to those PHAs which utilized their funds in compliance with statutory timeliness requirements pursuant to the Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 (QHWRA), similar to language proposed by the House, to enable those PHAs to address their backlog of maintenance needs in addition to their annual maintenance requirements. The Senate did not include similar language.

Includes modified language making funds available for four years instead of two years as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes language restating the applicability of the QHWRA timeliness requirements to fiscal year 1999 funds as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

Includes modified language allowing the Secretary or Deputy Secretary to waive QHWRA timeliness requirements similar to language proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

Includes modified language requiring the recapture of funds from PHAs not in compliance with QHWRA timeliness requirements similar to language proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

Includes language to define obligations as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

The conferees reiterate the House direction requiring quarterly reports on PHA utilization of capital funds, delineated by PHA and fiscal year, with the first report due no later than February 1, 2002.

Includes \$51,000,000 for technical assistance as proposed by the House, instead of \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, \$10,000,000 is for remediation services to troubled PHAs as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

Transfers no less than \$52,700,000 from this account to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of in-

formation technology systems, instead of no less than \$43,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes new language designating \$15,000,000 for the Neighborhood Networks Initiative. These funds are to be competitively awarded to PHAs for the establishment and initial operation of computer centers in and around public housing to enhance resident self-sufficiency, employability, and economic self-reliance. These amounts, combined with \$5,000,000 provided for under the revitalization of severely distressed public housing (HOPE VI) account, as well as \$5,000,000 in current on-going projects, will provide a total of \$25,000,000 for the Neighborhood Networks Initiative in fiscal year 2002. The conferees support efforts to close the digital divide, and believe that the needs of public housing residents must be an important part of any initiative to achieve that goal and can help ameliorate drug and crime problems in public housing through new opportunities for education growth and employment opportunities. The conferees expect HUD to work with other Federal agencies to develop a comprehensive approach to address the digital divide, and encourages HUD to submit a proposal as part of the fiscal year 2003 budget to address comprehensively the needs of public and federally-assisted housing residents.

The conferees remain concerned over the long-term capital needs and viability of public housing projects. The conferees believe that reforms included in the public housing capital fund account will result in a more effective and targeted use of these capital funds and help preserve the investment that has been made in public housing over the years. In addition, the conferees continue to support funding for the HOPE VI program as a complementary program targeted to the revitalization of distressed public housing. The conferees direct HUD to provide by June 15, 2002, a report on the lessons learned from HOPE VI, including best practices and the impact of HOPE VI on surrounding communities as well as the extent to which HOPE VI projects have leveraged private investments and revitalized economic redevelopment in these communities. In addition, the conferees request that HUD provide an analysis of the extent to which the HOPE VI program can be a model for the replacement of the older and distressed section 8 housing stock.

#### PUBLIC HOUSING OPERATING FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$3,494,868,000 for the public housing operating fund as proposed by the House instead of \$3,384,868,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have provided an 8.1 percent increase over the fiscal year 2001 level for this account to reflect the merger of funds previously provided for drug elimination activities through the public housing drug elimination program (PHDEP) into this account. The conferees note that PHAs are authorized to use their operating and capital funds for anti-crime and anti-drug activities. It is the conferees understanding that two-thirds of all PHAs fund these activities from within their operating and capital funds, while the remaining one-third of PHAs receive supplemental funding through

PHDEP in addition to their regular operating and capital fund allocations. In lieu of continuing to provide a supplementary funding source for selected PHAs, the conferees have instead increased funding for operating subsidies to be distributed to all PHAs. To the extent that additional assistance is required to combat issues and activities related to crime and drugs, the conferees have included modified language designating \$10,000,000 to be allocated by the United States Attorney General through existing Department of Justice programs, such as the Weed and Seed program, to address those areas in public, Indian, and federally-assisted housing where additional resources are necessary to augment State and local efforts to effectively fight crime and drugs as proposed by the House. The Senate bill did not include similar language.

The conference agreement assumes the termination of the Operation Safe Home program as recommended by the Senate. Of the amount provided, \$5,000,000 is available to the Office of Inspector General to support the closeout of this program and to transition personnel previously participating in Operation Safe Home to other investigative activities. The House bill proposed \$10,000,000 for the Office of Inspector General exclusively for Operation Safe Home, while the Senate did not propose any funding for this activity. In addition, \$6,500,000 from prior year funds appropriated under PHDEP for Operation Safe Home operational costs remain available for operational costs necessary to complete on-going activities. Includes new language rescinding \$11,000,000 from prior year funds made available for Operation Safe Home which are in excess of amounts necessary to complete on-going activities.

The conferees do not concur with the language in the Senate report related to the June 7, 2000, settlement agreement with the Puerto Rico Public Housing Authority (PRPHA). However, the conferees expect HUD to ensure that PRPHA is treated in a manner consistent with similar PHAs as HUD develops a final rule implementing a new operating fund formula for all PHAs based upon the results of the public housing operating cost study mandated in Public Law 106-74.

The conferees expect HUD to provide the Chicago Housing Authority (CHA) with maximum regulatory flexibility as provided for in the Moving to Work Demonstration agreement dated February 6, 2000, as amended, as proposed in the Senate report. The conferees direct HUD to determine CHA's funding allocation in the same manner as all other PHAs.

The conferees have included direction under the public housing capital fund account in lieu of the direction included in the Senate report under this account related to the long-term capital needs for public housing.

#### DRUG ELIMINATION GRANTS FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSING

The conferees do not provide funding for this account. The conferees have instead merged funding for these activities into the public housing operating fund account, and increased operating funds to accommodate this merger. All activities permissible under the public housing drug elimination program (PHDEP) are authorized activities under the operating and capital fund accounts. In addition, the conferees are aware that some PHAs currently have

unspent PHDEP funds available. The conferees intend that PHAs be allowed to continue to spend their PHDEP funds as PHAs transition their anti-crime and anti-drug programs into their annual operating budgets, and encourage PHAs to continue to support such programs.

The conferees understand that PHDEP was created in 1989, to provide supplemental funding to address the gaps in services and programs available to combat serious crime and drug problems which existed in some areas of public housing, particularly severely distressed public housing. At the time PHDEP was created, Federal assistance to States and localities to address crime and drug problems in local communities, including public housing, was limited. The conferees note that since that time, however, Federal funding to States and localities for police, crime, and drug prevention programs has grown dramatically, particularly through the Department of Justice. Over the last six years, over \$9,000,000,000 in new Federal assistance has been provided through the Department of Justice, including funds to deploy over 110,000 new police officers into local communities and funds to establish 1,000 new Boys and Girls Clubs exclusively in public housing.

The conferees further note that over the last six years, funds have been provided to demolish over 100,000 units of the most severely distressed public housing through the HOPE VI program and the capital fund program, resulting in the revitalization of entire neighborhoods previously adversely impacted by the presence of severely deteriorated housing.

To the extent that additional assistance is required, the conferees have also included \$10,000,000 under the public housing operating fund account to be allocated by the United States Attorney General through existing Department of Justice programs, such as the Weed and Seed program, to address those areas in public, Indian, and federally-assisted housing where additional resources are necessary to augment State and local efforts to combat crime and drugs.

#### REVITALIZATION OF SEVERELY DISTRESSED PUBLIC HOUSING (HOPE VI)

Appropriates \$573,735,000 for the revitalization of severely distressed public housing program (HOPE VI) as proposed by the House and the Senate. Includes language designating \$6,250,000 for technical assistance and contract expertise instead of \$5,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes new language designating \$5,000,000 for the Neighborhood Networks Initiative. These funds are to be competitively awarded to PHAs for the establishment and initial operation of computer centers in conjunction with fiscal year 2002 HOPE VI applicants to enhance resident self-sufficiency, employability, and economic self-reliance. These funds are not intended to limit the Secretary's ability to award additional funds for these activities as part of the regular HOPE VI process. These amounts, combined with \$15,000,000 provided under the public housing capital fund, as well as \$5,000,000 in current on-going projects, will provide a total of \$25,000,000 for the Neighborhood Networks Initiative in fiscal year 2002.

The conferees are aware of the valuable efforts made by the Housing Research Foundation to collect and disseminate objective information on the HOPE VI program. The conferees encourage HUD to continue this initiative.

NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$648,570,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. Transfers no less than \$3,000,000 to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems as proposed by the Senate instead of no less than \$2,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Includes language to establish a total loan volume of not to exceed \$52,726,000 for title VI loans as proposed by the House instead of \$54,600,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes modified language, similar to language proposed by the Senate, to allow the Secretary to provide assistance to Indian tribes and tribally-designated housing entities to address the problem of black mold consistent with the terms of NAHASDA. The Secretary is directed to work with FEMA, the Indian Health Service, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and other appropriate Federal agencies in developing a plan to maximize Federal resources to address emergency housing and related problems associated with black mold. The House did not include similar language.

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$5,987,000 for guaranteed loans for Native American housing on trust lands as proposed by the House and the Senate.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,000,000 for guaranteed loans for Native Hawaiian housing as proposed by the Senate. Includes language establishing a total loan volume of not to exceed \$40,000,000 and provides \$35,000 for administrative costs as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose funding for this program.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS

Appropriates \$277,432,000 for housing opportunities for persons with AIDS (HOPWA) as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes modified language similar to language proposed by the Senate requiring HUD to renew all expiring HOPWA contracts for permanent supportive housing funded under the non-formula component of the HOPWA program so long as the projects meet all other program requirements. The House did not include a similar provision.

## RURAL HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Appropriates \$25,000,000 for rural housing and economic development as proposed by the Senate. Includes language requiring funds to be awarded competitively by June 1, 2002 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose funding for this program.

## EMPOWERMENT ZONES/ENTERPRISE COMMUNITIES

Appropriates \$45,000,000 for grants to the second round of empowerment zones instead of \$75,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Includes language designating \$3,000,000 for each empowerment zone to be used in conjunction with economic development activities detailed in the strategic plans of each empowerment zone instead of \$5,000,000 for each zone as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose funding for this program. The conferees believe that this program should be funded as a mandatory program as originally contemplated.

The conferees direct the HUD Inspector General to review the use of empowerment zone funds and report the findings to the Committees on Appropriations no later than April 1, 2002.

## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$5,000,000,000 for various activities funded in this account, instead of \$4,811,993,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,012,993,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree to the following:

—\$4,341,000,000 for formula grants under the Community Development Block Grant program (CDBG), instead of \$4,339,300,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate;

—\$70,000,000 for grants to Indian tribes instead of \$69,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$71,000,000 as proposed by the Senate;

—\$42,500,000 for section 107 grants, instead of \$34,434,000 as proposed by the House and \$45,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the amount provided for section 107 grants, the conference agreement provides the following earmarks:

\$7,000,000 for insular areas;

\$10,500,000 for historically black colleges and universities;

\$3,000,000 for community development work study;

\$7,500,000 for Hispanic serving institutions;

\$7,500,000 for the Community Outreach Partnerships program;

\$3,000,000 for tribal colleges and universities; and

\$4,000,000 for Alaska Native serving institutions and Native Hawaiian serving institutions;

—\$3,300,000 for the Housing Assistance Council as proposed by the House instead of \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate;

—\$2,600,000 for the National American Indian Housing Council as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,794,000 as proposed by the House;

—\$5,000,000 for the National Housing Development Corporation for continuation of its program of acquisition, rehabilitation,

and preservation of at-risk affordable housing, including \$2,000,000 for operating expenses as proposed by the House. The Senate did not propose funding for this program;

—\$5,000,000 for the National Council of La Raza HOPE Fund, of which \$500,000 is for technical assistance and fund management and \$4,500,000 is for investments and financing as proposed by the House. The Senate did not propose funding for this program;

—\$9,600,000 for the Department of Hawaiian Homelands for assistance as authorized by title VIII of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996, with not more than five percent for administrative costs, as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose funding for this program;

—\$22,000,000 for grants to eligible grantees under section 11 of the Self-Help Housing Opportunity Program, instead of \$21,956,000 as proposed by the House and \$20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate;

—\$29,000,000 for the Capacity Building for Community Development and Affordable Housing program, authorized by section 4 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Demonstration Act, as in effect before June 12, 1997, instead of \$29,387,000 as proposed by the House and \$28,450,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the amount provided, at least \$5,000,000 shall be for capacity building activities in rural areas as proposed by the Senate instead of \$4,989,000 as proposed by the House. Additionally, \$4,000,000 is for Habitat for Humanity International, instead of \$4,442,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,450,000 as proposed by the Senate;

—\$55,000,000 for supportive services, congregate services and service coordinators for residents of public and Indian housing as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$54,879,000 as proposed by the House;

—\$65,000,000 for Youthbuild instead of \$69,868,000 as proposed by the House and \$70,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. This amount includes \$2,000,000 for capacity building activities as proposed by the House and the Senate, and \$10,000,000 for underserved and rural areas as proposed by the Senate;

—\$42,000,000 for the Neighborhood Initiatives program instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. Does not include language proposed by the House related to unobligated prior year balances. The Senate did not include a similar provision. Targeted grants shall be provided as follows:

\$500,000 for the County of Tulare, California, for development of the Dinuba regional vocational training facility;

\$250,000 for the City of Oceanside, California for the Crown Heights neighborhood revitalization project;

\$1,000,000 for the Colorado Mountain Housing Coalition;

\$700,000 for the City of Miami, Florida, Model Homeownership Zone Pilot Project;

\$200,000 for McHenry County, Illinois, for economic development along the Fox River;

\$3,000,000 for the Louisville Community Development Bank for continuation of the Louisville Neighborhood Initiative;

\$750,000 for the City of Brewer, Maine for the redevelopment of its waterfront;

\$750,000 for the City of St. Paul, Minnesota, for the Phalen Village Superblock project;

\$2,500,000 for the Grand Avenue Redevelopment Project in Kansas City, Missouri;

\$1,000,000 for Urban Strategies for the construction of affordable, mixed-income housing for disabled individuals in the Central West End area of the City of Saint Louis, Missouri;

\$750,000 for the City of St. Louis, Missouri, for development of the Forest Park Master Plan;

\$1,000,000 for Beyond Housing, a St. Louis Missouri non-profit to preserve homes in the Castle Point, Pagedale and NE University City areas;

\$250,000 for the City of Wildwood, New Jersey, for revitalization of the Pacific Avenue Business District;

\$1,000,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for the Neighborhood Initiative Program;

\$5,000,000 to Home Headquarters in Syracuse, New York for a Home Equity Assurance Pilot Program and other Neighborhood Initiative projects;

\$200,000 to the City of Canandaigua, New York, for Lagoon Park development;

\$200,000 to the City of Albany, New York, for the Corning Park Revitalization Project;

\$300,000 to the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania to support the Neighborhood Transformation Initiative, which will demolish many abandoned homes as well as revitalize the areas;

\$200,000 to Universal Community Homes, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania to continue the conversion of more than 500 parcels of land into for-sale units to low- and moderate-income families;

\$250,000 for the City of Anderson, South Carolina for the Murray/Franklin Street neighborhood revitalization project;

\$10,000,000 for the State of South Dakota to maintain the physical integrity of the Homestake Mine in preparation for the potential development of a major research facility on that site;

\$400,000 for the City of Watertown, South Dakota, for a community revitalization project;

\$300,000 for Campbell County, South Dakota, for economic development activities;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Bellingham, Washington, for the Holly Street landfill redevelopment project;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, for the Menominee River Valley redevelopment project;

\$500,000 for the City of Madison, Wisconsin to develop affordable low income housing;

\$6,000,000 to the Vandalia Heritage Foundation, Inc. for community and neighborhood revitalization and economic diversification initiatives;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Beckley, West Virginia, to revitalize a blighted area;

\$2,000,000 for the Boys and Girls Clubs of America for the operating and start-up costs of clubs located in or near, and primarily serving residents of, public and Indian housing.

—\$294,200,000 for economic development initiatives. Targeted grants shall be made as follows:

\$490,000 to the Kenai Peninsula Borough in Alaska for construction of low-income housing for senior citizens;

\$990,000 for Catholic Community Services for its Adult Day Care facility in Juneau, Alaska to provide day care for the elderly persons;

\$1,250,000 for the United Way community services facility in Anchorage, Alaska to complete construction of a social service facility to serve low-income people;

\$1,500,000 for Alaska Pacific University for the restoration of a historic property in Anchorage, Alaska;

\$1,500,000 for the Municipality of Anchorage, Alaska for the expansion of the Alaska Zoo;

\$2,250,000 for Fairbanks, Alaska to provide winter recreation opportunities for military and civilian persons at the Fairbanks North Star Borough Birch Hill recreation area;

\$45,000 to the Hillsboro-Lawrence County, Alabama Boys and Girls Club;

\$50,000 to Guntersville, Alabama to extend sewer lines to the Marshall-Jackson Mental Health Center;

\$50,000 to the City of Decatur, Alabama for improvements to Delano Park;

\$50,000 to the City of Hollywood, Alabama for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

\$50,000 to the Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville, Alabama for the continuation of a music education program;

\$50,000 to Walker County, Alabama for assembly costs of the Walker County Center of Technology;

\$80,000 to Leesburg, Alabama for sewer and water infrastructure expansion to the city boat dock;

\$85,000 to The Whole Backstage Marshall County Theater Group in Marshall County, Alabama for renovation of facilities;

\$100,000 to the City of Selma, Alabama for the acquisition of the Lovelady Building on historic Water Avenue in Selma, Alabama;

\$100,000 to the Northwest Alabama Council of Local Governments for the development of a master plan for the Florence-Lauderdale County Port Authority;

\$100,000 to the Tuskegee Area Health Education Center in Alabama for a rural HIV/AIDS program;

\$115,000 to the Birmingham Building Trade Towers, Inc. for renovation of the Birmingham Building Trades Tower in Alabama;

\$115,000 to the University of Montevallo, Alabama for repair of historic structures;

\$125,000 to Brilliant, Alabama for access road improvement and water line extension to industrial park;

\$125,000 to Winfield, Alabama for site work preparation of land for industrial use;

\$150,000 to Family Connection, Inc. in Alabaster, Alabama to construct a facility to house a new diversionary program for first time juvenile offenders in Shelby County, Alabama;

\$150,000 for the City of Mobile, Alabama for the building renovation for the Mobile Opera/Symphony Collaboration;

\$190,000 to Albertville, Alabama for a civic center;

\$200,000 to Jasper, Alabama for recreational park construction;

\$200,000 to the Clark County Commission, Alabama for establishment of the Forestry Museum;

\$400,000 to the Shoals Economic Development Authority in Florence, Alabama for the construction of a joint economic development facility to be used by SEDA and the Shoals Chamber of Commerce;

\$240,000 for the Patient One Medical Transport System of Alabama for wheelchair accessible vehicles, drivers, and program expenses;

\$250,000 to Oakwood College of Alabama for the establishment of a Wellness Center;

\$250,000 for Eufala, Alabama for downtown revitalization;

\$300,000 to BizTech located in Huntsville, Alabama for the construction of a business development facility;

\$300,000 to the City of Mobile, Alabama for improvements to a recreational pier and facilities at McNally Park;

\$300,000 to the Covington County Commission in Alabama for the construction of the second phase of the Covington County Farm Center;

\$350,000 to the Housing Authority of the City of Andalusia to expand their existing preschool programs and facility to accommodate more low-income, high risk children in Andalusia, Alabama;

\$400,000 to the Alabama Historical Commission for the renovation of the Historic Green County Courthouse in Green County, Alabama;

\$500,000 to the American Village for the construction of Federal Hall and the Liberty Square Expansion in Montevallo, Alabama;

\$500,000 to the City of Hamilton, Alabama for the construction of a call center facility;

\$500,000 to the City of Winfield, Alabama for the construction of a call center facility;

\$500,000 to the Cleveland Avenue YMCA so that they may expand their existing programs to serve more young people in Montgomery, Alabama;

\$500,000 to the Lakeshore Foundation in Birmingham, Alabama to expand their existing facilities to serve a larger population of Alabamians with physical disabilities;

\$500,000 to the National Children's Advocacy Center in Huntsville, Alabama for the establishment of a research and training facility;

\$500,000 to the USS Alabama Battleship Commission for a restoration initiative;

\$1,000,000 to Spring Hill College in Mobile, Alabama for construction of the Regional Library Resource Center;

\$300,000 for Studio for the Arts of Pocahontas, Arkansas, for a new facility;

\$1,000,000 to the City of DeQueen, Arkansas for the development of a cultural awareness center;

\$50,000 to the Tohono O'odham Tribe in Arizona for development of a veterans memorial monument and park;

\$300,000 Boys and Girls Club of the East Valley, Temple Arizona for its Guadalupe Branch;

\$740,000 to Arizona State University for the establishment of the Center for Basic Research and Applied Research within the Barry M. Goldwater Center for Science and Engineering;

\$1,000,000 to the City of Tucson, Arizona for the Fox Tucson Theatre and Archive Project to restore and renovate a historic theater;

\$30,000 to the City of Temecula, California for the Job Skills and Commuter Census;

\$30,000 to the Cuban Resource Center in Los Angeles, California for community center improvements;

\$50,000 to Easter Seals Tri-Counties in California for the Easter Seals Child Development Center;

\$50,000 to Environment Now in Santa Monica, California for continued development of the Ballona Creek Trail and Bikeway;

\$50,000 to the City of Anaheim, California for the Senior Citizen Wing Expansion of the Brookhurst Community Center;

\$50,000 to the City of La Puente, California for an addition to the La Puente Youth Learning Center;

\$50,000 to the City of Placerville, California for the rehabilitation and development of the Gold Bug Park, the Meagher House;

\$50,000 to the City of Rancho Cucamonga, California for construction of a senior center;

\$50,000 to the County of San Bernardino, California for the youth baseball/softball field complex at Spring Valley Lake in Victorville;

\$50,000 to the County of San Bernardino, California for the Barstow Wading Pool;

\$50,000 to the Mothers of East LA Santa Isabel in Los Angeles, California for improvements to a community garden;

\$50,000 to the West Haven Community Center in Garden Grove, California for construction costs;

\$75,000 to the Angelus Plaza Senior Housing Complex in Los Angeles, California for the acquisition of multi-language translation equipment;

\$75,000 to the City of Long Beach, California for construction of the Admiral Kidd Park Community Center;

\$90,000 to the City of Temecula, California for the Vail Ranch Middle School Basketball Lighting Project;

\$100,000 to the Ed Roberts Campus in Berkeley, California for planning and development of their disability campus;

\$100,000 to Marin City, California for Marin City Cultural and Community Center facility needs;

\$100,000 to the American Film Institute for the establishment of a Screen Education Center for public school teacher training;

\$100,000 to the City of Los Angeles, California for construction needs of the Boyle Heights Youth Technology and Recreation Center;

\$100,000 to the City of Los Angeles, California for the Red Car Trolley study;

\$75,000 to the Fort Ord Re-use Authority in Marina, California for economic development re-use activities at the former Fort Ord;

\$100,000 to the Heritage Camp Foundation in California for its Feria de California program;

\$100,000 to the Housing Trust of Santa Clara County, California for affordable housing efforts in Silicon Valley;

\$100,000 to the Leimert Park Merchants Association in Los Angeles, California for continued revitalization efforts in the Leimert Park Village;

\$125,000 to the City of Los Angeles, California for construction of the Ernest E. Debs Nature Center;

\$150,000 to the City of Modesto, California for infrastructure needs in distressed neighborhoods;

\$150,000 to the City of Vallejo, California for development of a fire suppression system of Mare Island;

\$150,000 to the Davis Street Community Center in Central Alameda, California for facilities needs;

\$175,000 to the Fine Arts Museum of San Francisco, California for construction needs of the M.H. de Young Memorial Museum;

\$190,000 to the City of Simi Valley, California for the expansion of the Simi Valley Senior Citizens Center;

\$190,000 to the City of Westminster, California for construction of a multi-cultural Community Center;

\$198,000 to the City of Riverside, California and the California Department of Parks and Recreation for the Citrus Park project;

\$200,000 to the City of Eureka, California for Fisherman Dock Area Harbor capital improvement needs;

\$200,000 to the City of Highland, California for the city history museum;

\$200,000 to the City of Inglewood, California for design and construction needs related to a new seniors center;

\$200,000 to the City of Needles, California for blight abatement;

\$200,000 to the City of Twentynine Palms, California for the Twentynine Palms Visitor Center;

\$200,000 to the County of San Bernardino, California for construction of the Hall of Paleontology at the San Bernardino County Museum;

\$200,000 to the County of San Bernardino, California for the Big Bear Zoo relocation and expansion;

\$200,000 to the Town of Apple Valley, California for Phase One of Civic Center Park;

\$200,000 to the Town of Yucca Valley, California for the Southside Community Park;

\$240,000 to the City of Diamond Bar, California for construction of a senior center;

\$240,000 to the Kern County Superintendent of Schools Office for the Mobility Opportunities via Education project as a component of the Southeast Bakersfield, California Redevelopment Project;

\$250,000 for Covenant House California, for purchase and renovation of a new facility for the East Bay Street Outreach and Community Service Center;

\$250,000 for the Center Theatre Group, of Los Angeles, California, for the Culver City Theater project;

\$250,000 for the Martin Luther King, Jr. Freedom Center of Oakland, California, for facility construction;

\$250,000 to Pacific Union College in Angwin, California for the Napa Valley Community Resource Center;

\$290,000 to the City of Citrus Heights, California for the Sunrise MarketPlace Revitalization Project;

\$290,000 to the City of Stockton, California for the historic restoration of the Fox Theatre;

\$290,000 to the Fund for the Preservation of the California State Mining and Mineral Museum;

\$300,000 for Community Medical Centers of Fresno, California, for renovations to the Fresno Community Regional Medical Center;

\$300,000 to the City and County of San Francisco, California for its Masterlease Hotel program for the homeless;

\$300,000 to the City of East Palo Alto, California for the redevelopment of the Ravenswood Industrial Area;

\$300,000 to the City of Salinas, California for construction of a municipal pool;

\$275,000 to the City of Santa Monica, California for gateway needs at the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area;

\$300,000 to the Sacramento California Housing and Redevelopment Agency for the Sacramento Asian Sports Foundation, to construct a community center;

\$490,000 to El Centro Regional Medical Center in Imperial County, California for construction of a heliport;

\$490,000 to HomeAid to assist efforts to build and renovate homeless shelters;

\$490,000 to the City of Bakersfield, California for the Baker Street Corridor project;

\$490,000 to the City of Monrovia, California for the Old Town Monrovia Revitalization Project;

\$490,000 to the City of Redding, California for the Stillwater Industrial Park;

\$490,000 to the Sweetwater Authority in California for the Sweetwater and Loveland Reservoirs Recreation Project;

\$500,000 to the San Dieguito Transportation Cooperative of California to centralize school bus transportation operations and increase service capacity;

\$740,000 to the City of Lancaster, California to complete the Lancaster National Soccer Center;

\$750,000 for the City of East Palo Alto, California to redevelop the Ravenswood industrial area;

\$750,000 for the West Angeles Community Development Corporation of Los Angeles, California, for development of the West Angeles Plaza;

\$190,000 to the City of Oceanside, California for revitalization of the Crown Heights Neighborhood;

\$800,000 for the Town of Mountain Village, Colorado for an affordable housing initiative;

\$1,500,000 for the City of Denver, Colorado for revitalization;

\$50,000 to the City of Hartford, Connecticut for redevelopment of the North Star Plaza area in the North End community of Hartford;

\$75,000 to the University of Hartford, in Hartford, Connecticut for the Hartt School Performing Arts Center;

\$100,000 to the Town of Derby, Connecticut for restoration of the Sterling Opera House;

\$300,000 for Connecticut Hospice, Inc., of Branford, Connecticut, for construction of a new facility;

\$800,000 for the Southside Institutions Neighborhood Alliance of Hartford, Connecticut, for neighborhood revitalization in Hartford;

\$390,000 to Norwich Community Development Corporation in Norwich, Connecticut for rehabilitation of the historic Capehart Mill;

\$375,000 to the Domestic Violence Services of Greater New Haven, Connecticut for a domestic violence transitional housing project;

\$490,000 to the Warner Theater in Torrington, Connecticut for facility renovations;

\$50,000 for the Delaware Valley Historical Aircraft Association, Delaware County to complete their building project which will house historic military aircraft presently on outdoor display in Willow Grove, Pennsylvania;

\$50,000 to Delaware Valley Community Health, Inc. for facilities needs at the Maria de los Santos Health Center in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania;

\$300,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of Delaware for facility construction and renovation;

\$750,000 for the YMCA of Delaware for renovations to the Central Branch YMCA;

\$25,000 to the Orlando Community Redevelopment Agency in Orlando, Florida for redevelopment of Otey Place;

\$50,000 to the Tampa Bay Performing Arts Center in Tampa, Florida for expansion purposes;

\$50,000 to the Tampa Bay, Florida Port Authority for the channelside economic development project;

\$100,000 to the Alachua County Board of Commissioners in Alachua County, Florida for land conservation efforts related to the Emerald Necklace initiative;

\$100,000 to the City of Gainesville, Florida for the Depot Avenue economic development project;

\$200,000 to St. Petersburg Beach, Florida for the Don Vista Community Center;

\$200,000 to the Alachua County Board of Commissioners in Alachua County, Florida for a program to stabilize and revitalize distressed neighborhoods, including the City of Archer;

\$240,000 to the Brevard Community College in Florida for renovations and infrastructure improvements to the Cocoa Village Playhouse;

\$240,000 to the City of Daytona Beach, Florida for the Daytona Beach Boardwalk Revitalization;

\$240,000 to the City of Maitland, Florida for a senior citizens center;

\$240,000 to the Florida Association of Counties for continuation of a national pilot project for assisting rural communities to develop and sustain professional economic development initiatives;

\$450,000 to Bethune Cookman College in Daytona Beach, Florida for costs related to a community services and student union building;

\$340,000 to the City of South Miami, Florida for urban infrastructure upgrades and street enhancements;

\$350,000 for Covenant House, Florida, Inc., for transitional housing;

\$490,000 to Sebring Airport Authority of Florida for development of a light industrial commercial business park;

\$490,000 to the City of Clearwater, Florida for the "Beach by Design Initiative";

\$490,000 to the City of Deerfield Beach, Florida for the construction of the Mitigation Operation Center;

\$500,000 to Pinellas County, Florida for the Gulf Boulevard project;

\$500,000 to Pinellas Park, Florida for community hurricane evacuation infrastructure improvements;

\$500,000 to the City of Safety Harbor, Florida to repair and replace brick streets and underground utilities;

\$500,000 to the Miami-Dade County Housing Finance Authority of Florida for the provision of housing within the Liberty City/Model City neighborhoods for public housing residents of those neighborhoods displaced by changes in public housing;

\$740,000 to Edison Community College in Fort Myers, Florida for the renovation of the Barbara B. Mann Performing Arts Hall;

\$1,000,000 to Miami-Dade County, Florida for the provision of housing within the Liberty City/Model City neighborhoods for public housing residents of those neighborhoods displaced by changes in public housing;

\$2,000,000 to St. Petersburg, Florida for the Sunken Gardens improvement project;

\$100,000 to Clarkston Community Center, Inc. in DeKalb County, Georgia for renovations;

\$100,000 to DeKalb County, Georgia for development of a multipurpose civic and community center;

\$100,000 to Spelman College in Atlanta, Georgia for historic preservation of Packard Hall;

\$150,000 to the Historic Savannah Foundation of Georgia to revitalize housing in the historic Savannah neighborhoods;

\$200,000 to College Partners, Inc in Atlanta, Georgia for community development and revitalization initiative;

\$240,000 to the ARCH Educational Network in Georgia for construction of an education center;

\$240,000 to the City of Macon, Georgia for redevelopment of a Brownfields site;

\$300,000 for Covenant House Georgia, to purchase and renovate a new community service center in Atlanta, Georgia;

\$350,000 for Rockdale County, Georgia, for construction of Georgia's Veterans Park;

\$400,000 for the Tubman African American Museum in Macon, Georgia for construction of the Tubman African American Museum;

\$490,000 to Gwinnett County, Georgia for the Liberty Heights Neighborhood Revitalization Project;

\$490,000 to the Warner Robins Century of Flight Museum in Georgia for facilities expansion;

\$500,000 to the Liberty County, Georgia Development Authority for the Coastal MegaPark for continued planning and engineering studies and infrastructure development;

\$750,000 for development of the Dr. Martin Luther King, Sr., Community Service Center in Atlanta, Georgia;

\$200,000 for the County of Maui, Hawaii for restoration of the Iao Theater in Wailuku Town;

\$300,000 for the County of Kauai, Hawaii, for the Heritage Trails project;

\$500,000 for the YMCA of Honolulu, Hawaii, for reconstruction and expansion of the Kalihi YMCA facility;

\$500,000 for the YMCA of Kauai, Hawaii, for construction of a multipurpose community center;

\$750,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of Hawaii to establish three new Boys and Girls Clubs of Hawaii in the Hawaiian homestead areas of Papakolea, Nanakuli and Paukukalo;

\$800,000 for the Filipino Community Center, Inc. of Honolulu, Hawaii to develop a new community center;

\$490,000 to the City of Des Moines, Iowa for the redevelopment of the Des Moines Advance Technology Agribusiness Park;

\$500,000 for City of Waterloo, Iowa, for brownfields redevelopment;

\$500,000 for the City of Cedar Rapids, Iowa, for brownfields revitalization;

\$500,000 for the City of Council Bluffs, Iowa, for the Katelman neighborhood redevelopment project;

\$500,000 for the City of Davenport, Iowa, for the East Davenport Development Corporation mixed-income housing development;

\$500,000 for the City of Des Moines, Iowa, for brownfields redevelopment;

\$500,000 for the Iowa Department of Economic Development for the Main Street Program;

\$500,000 to Homeward, Inc. in North Central Iowa to assist local employers with housing programs and help low- to moderate-income families purchase or remodel existing homes;

\$1,000,000 for Dubuque, Iowa for the development of an American River Museum;

\$290,000 to the City of Jerome, Idaho for the renovation of facilities for a mixed-use community education, health, and technology center;

\$500,000 for the Lewis and Clark State College for the Idaho Virtual Incubator;

\$500,000 for the University of Idaho for a technology incubator at Post Falls, Idaho;

\$1,000,000 for the Clearwater Economic Development Association for the implementation of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial plan;

\$1,000,000 for the University of Idaho for a performance and education facility;

\$50,000 to Family Focus in Evansville, Illinois for facilities needs;

\$75,000 to Columbia College in Chicago, Illinois for an integrated student services and activities center;

\$90,000 to the Taylorville Community School District in Taylorville, Illinois for construction of a Fine Arts Educational Center;

\$100,000 to Knox College in Illinois for renovations of Alumni Hall for the Abraham Lincoln Studies Center;

\$100,000 to the City of Calumet Park, Illinois for recreation center facility needs;

\$100,000 to the City of Chicago, Illinois for the Lake Calumet Area Land Acquisition Redevelopment project;

\$100,000 to the City of Elgin, Illinois for expansion of the Elgin Child Daycare Center;

\$100,000 to the Haymarket Center in Chicago, Illinois for the purchase and renovation of a facility;

\$100,000 to the Illinois Quad Cities Mississippi Riverfront Redevelopment partnership for redevelopment efforts;

\$100,000 to the Westie Holistic in Chicago, Illinois for expansion of the Youth and Services Division;

\$100,000 to the United Services of Chicago, Inc. in Illinois for a job training project in the Chicago metropolitan area;

\$140,000 to the Morrisonville Emergency Services Facility in Morrison, Illinois for construction of facilities;

\$150,000 for American Lung Association of Illinois for technology upgrades for the Tobacco Quitline and veterans outreach programs;

\$150,000 for Asian Human Services of Chicago, Illinois, to expand its community empowerment programs;

\$150,000 for Catholic Urban Programs of East St. Louis, Illinois to expand its emergency housing facility;

\$150,000 for the Shelby County Community Services Agency, of Shelbyville, Illinois, for construction of a child care center;

\$150,000 for the World War II Illinois Veterans Memorial of Springfield, Illinois, for construction;

\$150,000 to Southern Illinois University in Carbondale, Illinois for infrastructure needs related to the development of a University Research Park;

\$175,000 for the Quincy, Illinois, Housing Authority to expand its community center facilities;

\$200,000 to the City of Berwyn, Illinois for expansion and renovations of public safety and fire facilities;

\$225,000 for the Peace/Education Coalition of Chicago, Illinois for expansion of a community youth center and related programs;

\$240,000 to Cornerstone Services, Inc. in Will County, Illinois for the reconstruction of a warehouse into a developmental training center for adults with disabilities;

\$240,000 to Joliet Junior College of Illinois for the Bridging Community, Economic and Workforce Development Through Local Partnerships Project;

\$300,000 for Casa Central of Chicago, Illinois, for expansion of a community technology center facility and services;

\$300,000 to Sugar Grove, Illinois for drinking water infrastructure improvements;

\$350,000 for Career Transitions Center of Chicago, Illinois, for property acquisition and rehabilitation to develop a social services outreach facility;

\$470,000 to Will County, Illinois for renovation, expansion and facility improvement for the County Courthouse;

\$490,000 to the City of Des Plaines, Illinois for conversion of an existing building into a multi-use community resource center;

\$500,000 for Christopher House of Chicago, Illinois, for construction of a family resource center;

\$500,000 for the City of Moline, Illinois, for riverfront redevelopment efforts in Moline, East Moline, and Rock Island;

\$500,000 to Eureka College in Eureka, Illinois for construction of a new science and technology center;

\$1,300,000 to Rush-Presbyterian St. Luke's Medical Center in Chicago, Illinois for the Center on Research and Aging;

\$50,000 to the City of Indianapolis, Indiana for revitalization efforts focused on the historic Massachusetts Avenue Corridor;

\$50,000 to the War Memorials Commission in Indianapolis, Indiana for continued restoration of the Indiana World War Memorial Plaza;

\$100,000 to the City of South Bend, Indiana for demolition and revitalization in the Studebaker Auto/Oliver Plow Works industrial corridor;

\$140,000 for Tri-State University located in Angola, Indiana for the development of the Tri-State University Center for Educational Excellence;

\$190,000 to the University of Saint Francis in Fort Wayne, Indiana for construction and outfitting of the proposed Professional Development Center;

\$290,000 to Ball State University of Muncie, Indiana for facilities expansion and renovation of the Midwest Entrepreneurial Education Center;

\$300,000 for the City of Jeffersonville, Indiana, for redevelopment of the Quartermaster Depot;

\$490,000 to the James Whitcomb Riley Hospital for Children in Indiana to expand and enhance services at the autism clinic;

\$500,000 for the Historic Preservation Association of Jasper County, Indiana for the restoration of Drexel Hall;

\$500,000 to the City of Merrillville, Indiana for drinking water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

\$650,000 to the City of Hobart, Indiana for sewage treatment facility needs;

\$740,000 to Purdue University in Indiana for the Ultra-Performance Nanotechnology Center in West Lafayette, Indiana;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Carmel for its Indiana parks development;

\$240,000 to the City of Manhattan, Kansas for the apron expansion at the Manhattan Regional Airport;

\$490,000 to the City of Hutchinson, Kansas to properly seal all abandoned brine well sites;

\$750,000 to Power Community Development Corporation for development of a grocery supermarket in Wichita, Kansas;

\$1,000,000 to the City of Hutchinson, Kansas for revitalization;

\$70,000 to Allen County, Kentucky for upgrades to the Emergency 911 System;

\$190,000 to Simpson County, Kentucky for repairs and renovation of the Emergency Operations Center;

\$200,000 to the Southern Star Development Corporation for construction of a multipurpose community facility;

\$228,000 to the First Gethsemane Center in Louisville, Kentucky for renovation of facilities;

\$250,000 to the Western Kentucky Growers Association for capital improvements and equipment;

\$275,000 to Brooklawn Youth Services for construction of a multipurpose activities building and gymnasium;

\$347,000 to the Canaan Community Development Corporation for the Canaan Christian Academy child development center;

\$400,000 to the Shiloh Community Renewal Center in Kentucky for facilities reconstruction and rehabilitation;

\$475,000 to the City of Lynch, Kentucky for construction and restoration of facilities associated with the Kentucky Coal Mine Museum;

\$500,000 to the New Zion Community Foundation Development for construction of a community-based consumer center;

\$525,000 to the London-Laurel County Tourist Commission for design and land acquisition for a Civil War historical/interpretive theme park in Laurel County, Kentucky;

\$4,500,000 for the University of Louisville for the expansion of its main library;

\$50,000 to the Acadia Economic Development Corporation for establishment of a business incubator in Crowley, Louisiana;

\$90,000 to the City of New Iberia, Louisiana for downtown revitalization;

\$100,000 to Iberia Parish, Louisiana for the New Iberia conference center;

\$100,000 to the Town of Golden Meadow, Louisiana for recreational and job training uses;

\$100,000 to the Town of Grand Isle, Louisiana for the Grand Isle Civic/Conference Center;

\$150,000 to St. John the Baptist Parish, Louisiana for the planning, design and construction of a civic center/farmers market;

\$200,000 for Booker T. Community Outreach, Inc., of Monroe, Louisiana, for an elderly living center;

\$200,000 for Kingsley House, Inc., of New Orleans, Louisiana, for facility and service expansion;

\$200,000 to the New Orleans Regional Planning Commission for bike paths and recreational infrastructure improvements in the St. Charles, St. Bernard, and Plaquemines Parishes of Louisiana;

\$250,000 for Dillard University of New Orleans, Louisiana, the International Center for Economic Freedom project;

\$250,000 for the City of Donaldsonville, Louisiana, for riverfront development;

\$250,000 to the City of Mandeville, Louisiana for the Mandeville Trailhead Project;

\$250,000 to the Port of South Louisiana for expansion of the Globalplex Intermodal Terminal Facility;

\$275,000 for the Mirabeau Family Learning Center, Inc., of New Orleans, Louisiana, for expansion of facilities and services;

\$290,000 to DeSoto Parish, Louisiana for transportation infrastructure improvements associated with the West DeSoto Industrial Park and Riverfront Park;

\$300,000 for the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, for developing supporting infrastructure for its Convention Center and Downtown Redevelopment project;

\$400,000 for the City of Vidalia, Louisiana for construction of the Gateway Center at the Vidalia riverfront;

\$490,000 to the City of Port Allen, Louisiana for economic development and downtown revitalization;

\$500,000 for the Audubon Nature Institute, Inc., of New Orleans, Louisiana, for development of the Living Science Museum;

\$1,000,000 for the Louisiana Department of Culture, Recreation, and Tourism for development activities related to the Louisiana Purchase Bicentennial Celebration;

\$50,000 to the Cambridge, Massachusetts Redevelopment Authority for implementation of a public space redevelopment initiative;

\$100,000 to Salem State College in Salem, Massachusetts for construction of an arts center;

\$100,000 to the Caritas Good Samaritan Medical Center in Brockton, Massachusetts for construction of a cancer center;

\$100,000 to the City of Lawrence, Massachusetts for parking facility needs in the Lower Gateway area of Lawrence;

\$100,000 to the City of Worcester, Massachusetts for the Gardner-Kirby-Hammond Street neighborhood revitalization project;

\$100,000 to the Computer Access for Empowerment Program in North Worcester County, Massachusetts for a program to bring computer access to needy areas;

\$150,000 for Fall River, Massachusetts, for the Iwo Jima project;

\$150,000 for the Charlestown, Massachusetts, Boys and Girls Club for facility renovations;

\$175,000 to North Adams, Massachusetts for facilities needs related to the Windsor Mills Incubator Project;

\$250,000 to the Mystic Valley Development Commission for a regional technology development project known as TeleCom City;

\$325,000 to Nueva Esperanza in Holyoke, Massachusetts for the Main Street Mercado project and the New Hope Fish Farm project;

\$275,000 to the Baystate Medical Center, Inc. in Springfield, Massachusetts for the Pioneer Valley Life Sciences Initiative;

\$300,000 to the YMCA of Greater Springfield, Massachusetts for rehabilitation of Camp Norwood;

\$350,000 for Fitchburg State College, of Fitchburg Massachusetts, for the development of a new technology center;

\$400,000 for the City of Lawrence, Massachusetts, for economic development activities;

\$70,000 for St. Ambrose Housing Aid Center of Baltimore, Maryland, for development of a new youth center by the Stadium School Youth Dreamers;

\$100,000 to the Fayette Street Outreach Center in Baltimore, Maryland for development of a building into offices and a community center;

\$150,000 for the Rural Development Center, University of Maryland Eastern Shore, for economic development efforts of Delmarva Low Impact Tourism Experiences;

\$240,000 to the Bethesda Academy of Performing Arts in Maryland for continued construction of the "Imagination Stage Center for the Arts";

\$240,000 to the Town of Garrett Park, Maryland for renovation of the town center, Penn Place;

\$290,000 for the Enterprise Foundation for stabilization and redevelopment efforts in the Forrest Park and Lauraville neighborhoods of Baltimore, Maryland;

\$300,000 for the Living Classrooms Foundation of Baltimore, Maryland, for expansion of the Workforce Development Center;

\$300,000 for the Ruth Enlow Library System of Garrett County, Maryland, for construction of the new Grantsville Branch library;

\$300,000 to the Spring Dell Center in La Plata, Maryland for construction of a new facility;

\$375,000 to the Bowie Regional Arts Vision Association in Bowie, Maryland for construction of a new concert hall;

\$400,000 for the Women's Industrial Exchange of Baltimore, Maryland, for redevelopment of Charles Street property;

\$500,000 for the Kennedy Kreiger Institute of Baltimore, Maryland, for development of a new community behavioral health center;

\$500,000 for the Montgomery County Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Maryland, for streetscaping and revitalization efforts in Wheaton;

\$500,000 for the Montgomery County Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Maryland, for the Stewartown Homes digital divide initiative;

\$500,000 for the National Federation of the Blind for the development of the National Research and Training Institute for the Blind in Baltimore, Maryland;

\$500,000 for the New Shiloh Community Development Corporation of Baltimore, Maryland, for construction of a multi-purpose center;

\$500,000 for Way Station, Inc., of Frederick, Maryland, for development of the Way Station Community Mental Health and National Education Center;

\$750,000 for the Fells Point Creative Alliance of Baltimore, Maryland, for development of the Patterson Center for the Arts;

\$50,000 to the City of Westbrook, Maine for downtown revitalization efforts including the construction of a parking garage;

\$50,000 to the International Northeast Biotechnology Corridor in Fairfield, Maine for economic development efforts directed at biotechnology companies;

\$100,000 to the Franco-American Heritage Center at St. Mary's in Lewiston, Maine for the redevelopment of the St. Mary's Church into a learning center, museum and performing arts space;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Lewiston, Maine for the funding of a community and economic development center;

\$1,000,000 for the Wiscasset Regional Development Corporation for the Maine Yankee Power Plane Reuse Initiative;

\$140,000 to the Livingston Arts Council for renovations of the Downtown Howell Opera House in Howell, Michigan;

\$140,000 to the Village of Holly, Michigan for the Railroad Depot Renovation Project;

\$150,000 to the Detroit Medical Center in Detroit, Michigan for site readiness efforts related to the Sinai Redevelopment Project;

\$250,000 to the Chippewa-Luce-Mackinac Community Action Human Resources Authority in Michigan for a downtown community revitalization project;

\$250,000 to the Henry Ford Museum and Greenfield Village in Dearborn, Michigan for the "America's Transportation Stories" project;

\$750,000 for Wayne County, Michigan, for the Wayne County Nutritional Seniors Kitchen;

\$350,000 to NorthStar Varsity Park Redevelopment in Detroit, Michigan for a targeted housing production program;

\$600,000 to the City of Mt. Clemens, Michigan for development and operations of a community recreation center;

\$750,000 for Focus: HOPE of Detroit, Michigan, for facility renovation;

\$750,000 to the National Center for Manufacturing Sciences in Ann Arbor, Michigan for infrastructure costs related to the development and deployment of advanced technologies to the manufacturing base;

\$100,000 to Bemidji State University in Minnesota for construction of the American Indian Cultural Resource Center;

\$100,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of Detroit Lakes, Minnesota for facility needs;

\$240,000 to the National Audubon Society for the Audubon Ark Project in Dubuque, Iowa;

\$300,000 to the Audubon Center of the North Woods in Minnesota for a capital project to increase accessibility;

\$340,000 to Fairview Southdale Hospital in Edina, Minnesota for the Fairview Health Services' "Healthy Mothers and Babies Technology Demonstration" initiative;

\$600,000 for the Mesabi Academy and Martin Hughes School of Buhl, Minnesota, for facility renovation and program expansion;

\$600,000 to the Reuben Lindh Family Services in Minneapolis, Minnesota for facilities rehabilitation;

\$175,000 for the American Indian Opportunities Industrial Center in Minneapolis, Minnesota for rehabilitation of facilities;

\$50,000 for Applied Urban Research Institute of Kansas City Missouri for a study to develop a city-wide plan to assist troubled youth;

\$75,000 to the Kansas City, Missouri for redevelopment of the former U.S. Courthouse;

\$240,000 to Logan College of Chiropractic's in Chesterfield, Missouri for the continued development and construction of a Learning Resource Center;

\$250,000 for the City of St. Joseph, Missouri for downtown redevelopment project;

\$250,000 for the Cuba, Missouri Tourism Center for the historic district improvement project;

\$250,000 for the Sparta, Missouri Community Development Organization for the development of an industrial park;

\$250,000 for the Andrew County Museum and Historical Society in Missouri for expansion of their museum;

\$250,000 for Squaw Creek National Wildlife Refuge in Missouri for construction of an Education Auditorium, boardwalk and outdoor classroom;

\$250,000 for the Missouri Forest Heritage Center in Shannon Co., Missouri for the construction of a forest resource management center;

\$300,000 for the Central Missouri Lake of the Ozarks Convention and Visitor Bureau community center;

\$300,000 for the City of Fayette, Missouri Downtown revitalization project;

\$300,000 for the Perry County, Missouri Industrial Development Authority to renovate building to serve as a Center for Industry and Education;

\$340,000 to the Central Missouri Food Bank in Columbia, Missouri for construction of facilities;

\$450,000 for the Rolla, Missouri Chamber of Commerce for downtown revitalization project;

\$500,000 for Downtown West Plains Inc., for City square renovation and downtown revitalization project of West Plains Missouri;

\$500,000 for North Central Regional Water Commission in Unionville, Missouri for planning and design of water supply reservoir project;

\$500,000 to the University of Missouri-Rolla for research of affordable housing composite materials;

\$500,000 for Operation Breakthrough in Kansas City, Missouri for facility expansion and redevelopment;

\$500,000 for University of Missouri at St. Louis, Missouri for a mobile vision screening program;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Kansas City Missouri for the City Market renovation project;

\$1,000,000 for the Community Development Corporation of Kansas City, Missouri, for continued revitalization of the northwest corner of 63rd and Prospect Avenue;

\$1,000,000 for the University of Missouri-Kansas City for continued development of its collaborative Life Sciences Initiative;

\$1,250,000 to the City of St. Louis, Missouri for construction of a multi-purpose community center;

\$1,990,000 to Springfield, Missouri for land acquisition within the Jordan Valley redevelopment area;

\$250,000 for Missouri Western State College in St. Joseph, Missouri for planning and renovation of the Agenstein Science and Math Building;

\$50,000 to the City of Jackson, Mississippi for the linking of cultural and entertainment districts through the extension of Oakley Street;

\$150,000 to Mississippi State University in consultation with the Mississippi Mainstreet Association to promote small town revitalization by utilizing the resources of the Small Town Center;

\$200,000 to Community Connections in Mississippi for a pilot low income housing project in Southern Mississippi;

\$200,000 to Leake County, Mississippi for site preparation and infrastructure improvements for an industrial park;

\$200,000 to the City of Carthage, Mississippi to renovate the historic elementary school auditorium;

\$200,000 to the Oktibbeha County Economic Development Authority in Mississippi for the establishment of an industrial park;

\$250,000 to Jackson State University in Jackson, Mississippi for renovations to the Center for the Study of the 20th Century African American;

\$300,000 for the Chickasaw Trails Industrial Authority in Mississippi for preliminary planning and engineering for an industrial park;

\$300,000 for the Stoneville Research and Education Complex in Stoneville, Mississippi for renovation and expansion;

\$450,000 for Jackson State University in Jackson, Mississippi, for the renovation of the Margaret J. Walker Alexander Research Center;

\$500,000 for Harrisburg Arts and Social Services Center in Tupelo, Mississippi for renovation of facilities and program needs;

\$500,000 for Mississippi State University for a state capacity development initiative;

\$500,000 for the City of Madison, Mississippi for main street reconstruction;

\$1,000,000 for Jackson County, Mississippi for the construction of a county community center;

\$1,000,000 for Mississippi State University for the Mississippi Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems and Engineering Extension Facility;

\$2,000,000 for the University of Southern Mississippi for its National Center for Excellence in Economic Development, Education, Research and Community Service;

\$240,000 to the University of Montana Missoula for the research and economic development enterprise;

\$1,000,000 for Great Falls, Montana for the Missouri Riverfront Park Enhancement project;

\$1,000,000 for MSU-Billings for the development of the Billings Technology Training and Technology program as a business incubator;

\$1,000,000 for TechRanch of Bozeman, Montana, for development of a technology incubator for the Gallatin area and Eastern Montana;

\$20,000 to the County of Richmond, North Carolina for the demolition of the Imperial Foods Plant;

\$50,000 to Cumberland County, North Carolina for development of the Fayetteville-Cumberland County Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial Park;

\$50,000 to the North Carolina Cultural Center in Robeson County, North Carolina for construction of the center;

\$50,000 to the North Carolina Department of Agriculture for the development of a Centralized Agricultural Cold/Freezer Storage Facility and Processing Center in rural Eastern North Carolina at the Global TransPark;

\$100,000 to the North Carolina Community Land Trust Initiative for capacity building and operational support;

\$100,000 to the North Carolina Fair Housing Center for a consumer education campaign to combat predatory lending;

\$100,000 to the Wilson Family Resource Center in Wilson, North Carolina for rehabilitation of facilities;

\$150,000 to the Discovery Place Museum in Charlotte, North Carolina for renovations needs;

\$150,000 to the North Carolina Institute of Disaster Studies for activities related to the mitigation of natural and technological disasters;

\$220,000 to the Town of Troy, North Carolina for the Rent-to-Own Housing Pilot project;

\$240,000 to the Albemarle Downtown Development Corporation for green space development;

\$250,000 to OPC Mental Health in Carrboro, North Carolina for renovation of a thrift shop;

\$250,000 to Passage Home in Raleigh, North Carolina for neighborhood restoration in the WE CAN Weed and Seed target area of Southeast Raleigh;

\$250,000 to the Burch Avenue Center in Durham, North Carolina for the construction of a multi-purpose community center;

\$300,000 for Western Carolina University of Cullowhee, North Carolina, for Millennial Campus project;

\$300,000 to Alleghany County, North Carolina for construction of a community center as part of the Alleghany Wellness Center;

\$340,000 to Central Piedmont Community College in Charlotte, North Carolina for construction a workforce development training center;

\$400,000 to Self-Help Ventures Fund in Durham, North Carolina for their revolving loan fund;

\$490,000 to the Mayland Community College in Spruce Pine, North Carolina for the Avery Satellite Campus project;

\$700,000 to Wake Forest University and Winston-Salem State University in North Carolina for construction of a research facility for the Idealliance program;

\$1,000,000 for Henderson, North Carolina for the construction of the Embassy Cultural Center;

\$100,000 to the City of Rugby, North Dakota for implementation of the Rural Economic Area Partnerships strategic plan;

\$400,000 for Lewis and Clark Community Works of North Dakota, for a rural housing development fund;

\$900,000 for Sitting Bull College in Fort Yates, North Dakota for construction of a new science facility;

\$1,000,000 for the North Central Planning Council, North Dakota, to relocate agricultural structures;

\$1,000,000 for the Rural Economic Area Partnerships (REAP) Zones to build on and leverage economic development opportunities in North Dakota;

\$240,000 to the University of Nebraska at Omaha for the Peter Kiewit Institute and the College of Information Science

and Technology to conduct research in the area of computer security;

\$240,000 to Walthill, Nebraska for the Walthill Public Schools for construction and equipping of two science laboratory classrooms and facilities;

\$300,000 for the Northeast Family Center of Lincoln, Nebraska, for facility renovations;

\$490,000 to Doane College in Crete, Nebraska for the rehabilitation of the historic Whitcomb Conservator;

\$500,000 for the Girls and Boys Town USA in Omaha, Nebraska to address the needs of at-risk boys and girls;

\$1,000,000 for the Community Alliance in Omaha, Nebraska for its 'Building Homes, Rebuilding Lives' program;

\$40,000 for "My Friend's Place" in the City of Dover, New Hampshire for emergency shelter needs;

\$140,000 to the Monadnock Ice Center Association for construction and operation of a year-round ice arena downtown Keene, New Hampshire;

\$180,000 for the Laconia Public Library in New Hampshire for facility improvements;

\$190,000 for the Mt. Washington Valley Economic Council's "Technology Village Incubator";

\$240,000 to the University of New Hampshire in Manchester, New Hampshire for the relocation of the Engineering Technology Laboratory;

\$340,000 to Lebanon College of Lebanon, New Hampshire to implement a medical and dental training program;

\$350,000 for the New Hampshire Community Technical College for the Emerging Technology Center at Pease;

\$500,000 for Concord, New Hampshire to cleanup brownfields;

\$500,000 for Keene, New Hampshire to cleanup brownfields;

\$500,000 for Milford, New Hampshire for downtown revitalization;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Nashua, New Hampshire to create housing opportunities;

\$50,000 to Hopewell Township, New Jersey for renovations to the Historic Hunt House;

\$50,000 to South Brunswick, New Jersey for design and construction of a new library;

\$50,000 to the Alice Paul Centennial Foundation for continuation of the Paulside Rehabilitation Project in Mount Laurel, New Jersey;

\$90,000 to Fanwood Township, New Jersey for downtown revitalization;

\$100,000 for Morristown Neighborhood House for the infrastructure improvements to the Manahan Village Resident Center Childcare facility in Morristown, New Jersey;

\$100,000 for the Adults and Children Together Against Violence program for the development of violence prevention programs;

\$100,000 to Brookdale Community College in New Jersey for facilities needs related to the New Jersey Coastal Communiiversity;

\$100,000 to Passaic County Community College in Patterson, New Jersey for programming and equipment needs;

\$100,000 to Englewood Hospital and Medical Center in Englewood, New Jersey for Breast Care facilities expansion;

\$100,000 to Holy Name Hospital in Teaneck, New Jersey for dialysis center expansion;

\$140,000 to Burlington County, New Jersey for Fairview Street curb replacement;

\$140,000 to Burlington County, New Jersey for Ark Road sidewalk improvements;

\$200,000 to the Essex County, New Jersey Office of Emergency Management for emergency service needs;

\$200,000 to the Morris County, New Jersey Office of Emergency Management for emergency service needs;

\$200,000 to the Somerset County, New Jersey Office of Emergency Management for emergency service needs;

\$200,000 to the Sussex County, New Jersey Office of Emergency Management for emergency service needs;

\$200,000 to the Urban League of Hudson County, New Jersey for construction related to a workforce development center;

\$240,000 to Mercer County, New Jersey for the KidsBridge Children's Cultural Center;

\$240,000 to the City of North Wildwood, New Jersey for improvements to the beach, boardwalk, and entertainment district of the City;

\$250,000 for the New Jersey Community Development Corporation, of Paterson, New Jersey, for redevelopment of abandoned property;

\$250,000 for the Township of Hamilton, New Jersey, for renovations of a senior center;

\$250,000 to the University Heights Science Park in Newark, New Jersey for historic preservation;

\$290,000 to Mercer County, New Jersey for senior centers in East Windsor and Washington Townships;

\$300,000 for the Borough of Paulsboro, New Jersey, for brownfields redevelopment;

\$490,000 for Valley Hospital's Cancer Care Center in Paramus, New Jersey;

\$300,000 for the Rio Grande Community Development Corporation, of Albuquerque, New Mexico, for construction of the South Valley Economic Development Center;

\$450,000 for Curry County, New Mexico for infrastructure improvements to the Curry County Fairgrounds;

\$490,000 to the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce of Albuquerque, New Mexico for the construction of a Job Opportunity Center in Barelax, New Mexico;

\$650,000 for the City of Espanola, New Mexico, to build a veterans memorial;

\$1,000,000 for Albuquerque Health Care for the Homeless to complete renovation of a health care facility for the homeless in Albuquerque, New Mexico;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Las Cruces, New Mexico for the Model Extension Program for Increasing Homeownership conducted by New Mexico State University;

\$1,000,000 for the Santa Fe Rape Crisis Center in New Mexico to construct a new facility to house the center, including outreach planning offices;

\$1,000,000 for the Southern New Mexico Fair and Rodeo in Dona Ana County for infrastructure improvements and to build a multi-purpose event center;

\$500,000 for the Community Pantry of Gallup/McKinley County, New Mexico, for facility construction;

\$50,000 for the Reno Veterans Memorial Project, of Reno, Nevada, for construction of a memorial;

\$50,000 to the City of Henderson, Nevada for the expansion of a downtown arts district and heritage preservation;

\$100,000 to the Nevada Science Technology Center in Las Vegas, Nevada, for development assistance;

\$150,000 for Boulder City, Nevada, for renovation, modernization, and expansion of public recreation facilities;

\$250,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of Carson City, Nevada to establish a new community center;

\$250,000 for the Intertribal Council of Nevada to establish a housing division;

\$290,000 to the City of Reno, Nevada for urban development activities in the city's commercial center;

\$700,000 for development of a job training facility for workers in the hospitality industry in Las Vegas, Nevada;

\$750,000 for the Reno, Nevada, housing authority for the Friendship Lane housing revitalization project;

\$750,000 for the Smart Start Child Care Center and Expertise School of Las Vegas, Nevada, for construction of a child care facility;

\$1,000,000 for Sparks, Nevada for the revitalization of the West End community;

\$20,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for equipment and renovations to the Syracuse Boys and Girls Club;

\$25,000 to the City of Gloversville, New York to establish a memorial to World War II veterans;

\$25,000 to the Clinton County, New York Office of Emergency Services for communications infrastructure improvements that service the Lyon Mountain and Ausable Forks areas of the county;

\$40,000 to Onondaga County, New York for the installation of a water line for the Sentinel Heights Fire Department;

\$50,000 to Safe Haven, Inc., in Oswego, New York for the continued construction of a museum/interpretive center chronicling the Fort Ontario Emergency Refugee;

\$50,000 to the Collins Public Library Board of Trustees for the new Town of Collins, New York Public Library;

\$50,000 to the County of Onondaga, New York for an interpretive center at Baltimore Woods;

\$50,000 to the Hamburg Natural History Society, Inc., for the Penn Dixie Paleontological and Outdoor Education Center in Hamburg, New York;

\$50,000 to the Irish Classical Theatre Company in Buffalo, New York for marketing and expansion of program;

\$50,000 to the Roundabout Theater Company in New York City, New York for facility needs;

\$50,000 to the YMCA of Greater New York for construction of a gym and teen center in Queens, New York;

\$250,000 to the Long Island Aquarium in Bay Shore, New York for facilities needs;

\$70,000 to the Legacies and Landmarks Consortium of Greater Rochester, New York for activities to promote regional tourism;

\$75,000 to the Harbor Child Care Corporation in New Hyde Park, New York for improvements to the existing facility;

\$75,000 to the Jamaica Center for Arts and Learning in New York for renovation of the First Dutch Reformed Church;

\$75,000 to the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation for remediation and restoration of the College Point Sports Complex in Queens, New York;

\$80,000 to the Amherst Museum in Amherst, New York for construction of a boat launch facility;

\$80,000 to the Variety Boys and Girls Club of Queens, New York for the Teen Education for Every Nationality Program;

\$90,000 to Wyoming County, New York to replace a public safety communications tower and related hardware and computer systems;

\$100,000 to Lewis County General Hospital in Lowville, New York for infrastructure repairs and improvements;

\$100,000 to the City of Auburn, New York for a housing market study;

\$100,000 to the City of Buffalo, New York for the provision of shelter and other services to refugees by VIVE La Casa;

\$100,000 to the City of Ogdensburg, New York for reconstruction of Fort LaPresentation;

\$100,000 to the Metropolitan Development Association in Syracuse, New York for the Genesee Street Armory study;

\$100,000 to the Nassau University Medical Center in East Meadow, Long Island, New York for the renovation and repair of its Hempstead Community Health Center;

\$100,000 to the New York City Planning Commission to study the effects of rezoning Staten Island on the growth of development;

\$100,000 to the Schenectady Family Health Services, in Schenectady, New York for facilities expansion;

\$100,000 to the State University of New York at Potsdam for the creation and operation of a Northern New York Travel and Tourism Research Center to be located at the Merwin Rural Services Institute;

\$100,000 to the Staten Island Freedom Memorial Fund for construction of a memorial in the Staten Island community of St. George, New York;

\$100,000 to the Village of Green Island, New York for public access and infrastructure needs;

\$115,000 to the Staten Island Catholic Youth Organization Community Center of New York for expansion of facilities to include a new gymnasium;

\$125,000 to the National Lighthouse Center and Museum in St. George, New York for developing and installing exhibits;

\$50,000 to the Village of Tuckahoe, New York for streetscape improvements;

\$500,000 to Take the Field in New York City, New York for a program to rebuild the public school athletic facilities;

\$150,000 to the Abyssinian Development Corporation for rehabilitation needs of the Renaissance Ballroom and Theater Complex in Harlem, New York;

\$150,000 to the Hillside Children's Center in Rochester, New York for the modernization and upgrade of the facility's Monroe Avenue Campus;

\$150,000 to the Long Island Housing Partnership, Long Island for neighborhood revitalization;

\$150,000 to the Mount Morris Park Community Improvement Association in New York for development of the Parkside Inn, a community economic development initiative;

\$150,000 to the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation in New York, New York for the completion of an irrigation system during the third phase of the Joyce Kilmer Park restoration project;

\$150,000 to the Strong Museum in Rochester, New York for expansion and upgrade of museum facilities;

\$150,000 to the Village of Freeport, New York for the downtown revitalization project;

\$125,000 to the WXXI Public Broadcasting Council in Rochester, New York for building renovations necessary to meet health, safety, and occupational requirements, as well as to meet FCC mandated digital broadcasting standards;

\$150,000 to the City of Auburn for renovations and infrastructure improvements to the Merry Go Round Playhouse in Auburn, New York;

\$190,000 to the Cortland County Business Development Corporation for equipment and infrastructure improvements for Wetstone Technologies;

\$190,000 to the Orange County Mental Health Association in Orange County, New York for the "Home-To-Stay" project;

\$200,000 to Onondaga County, New York for infrastructure improvements to the Village of Tully's Water System;

\$200,000 to the Battle of Plattsburgh Association of Plattsburgh, New York to rehabilitate a building to create an interpretive center;

\$100,000 to the City of Buffalo, New York for the repair and rehabilitation by the Buffalo Philharmonic Orchestra of the Birge Mansion;

\$100,000 to the City of Buffalo, New York for the purchase of audiophones for displays and exhibits at the Buffalo and Erie County Historical Society;

\$200,000 to the City of Cortland, New York for the Cortland Sports Complex;

\$200,000 to the City of Hornell, New York, for restoration of the historic depot;

\$200,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for building renovations to the Onondaga Historical Association;

\$200,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for renovations and infrastructure improvements to the Huntington Family Center;

\$100,000 to the City of White Plains, New York for streetscape improvements to Mamaroneck Avenue;

\$200,000 to the State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry for water infrastructure improvements on a portion of Onondaga Creek;

\$150,000 to Fred Daris Underground Theater, Inc. in the South Bronx, New York for the restoration of a theater and the installation of a theater company;

\$225,000 to the Gowanus Canal Community Development Corporation in Brooklyn, New York for development of a comprehensive community development plan;

\$240,000 to Putnam County, New York for a new senior citizens center;

\$250,000 to Covenant House New York for renovation of their crisis center;

\$250,000 to Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center in the South Bronx, New York for after school and teen programs, improvement of computer lab and family literacy programs, and to increase usage of the center by the local community;

\$250,000 to Onondaga Community College for equipment, training and infrastructure improvements to the Lean Manufacturing Lab;

\$250,000 to Phipps House and We Stay/Nos Quedamos Inc. for the construction of day rooms and gardens at La Casa de Felicidad in the South Bronx, New York;

\$250,000 to the Brooklyn Public Library in New York for construction and renovation of educational and cultural facilities;

\$250,000 to the Central New York Regional Planning and Development Board for the development of the Finger Lakes Open Space and Agricultural Land Conservation Project;

\$250,000 to the City of Hudson, New York for the construction of utility service, boat launch and bulk-head along the Hudson River waterfront area;

\$250,000 to the Cornell Agriculture and Food Technology Park—Geneva Station in Ontario County, New York to continue infrastructure development, design and facilities construction;

\$250,000 to the Lesbian and Gay Community Services Center, New York City for infrastructure upgrades;

\$250,000 to the State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry for the Syracuse Southwest Community Environmental Center;

\$250,000 to the Staten Island, New York YMCA for facilities expansion to create a South Shore Center Youth/Teen Annex;

\$250,000 for infrastructure improvements to the Tioughnioga Riverfront Development Project in Cortland County, New York;

\$290,000 to Kaleida Health for the planning and design of facilities for Children's Hospital in Buffalo, New York;

\$300,000 to Onondaga County, New York for redevelopment of the Three Rivers Area in the Town of Clay;

\$200,000 to the Village of Saugerties, New York for streetscape improvements in the historical district;

\$250,000 to Carnegie Hall in New York for continuation of Carnegie Hall's Third Stage Project;

\$250,000 to Jazz at Lincoln Center in New York City for facility construction;

\$200,000 to the University Colleges of Technology at the State University of New York for continued development of a Telecommunications Center for Education;

\$200,000 for research and infrastructure improvements for the Center of Excellence in Nanoelectronics at Albany, New York;

\$500,000 to the Children's Center in Brooklyn, New York for the construction of a facility to house educational and therapeutic programs for disabled children.

\$200,000 to Rensselaer County, New York for safety and guide rail improvements to county highways;

\$340,000 to the Natural History Museum of the Adirondacks in Tupper Lake, New York, for building construction;

\$350,000 to Onondaga County, New York for waterline improvements in the Town of Skaneateles;

\$400,000 to Polytechnic University, Brooklyn for the National Center for E-Commerce;

\$400,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for renovations to the Sibley Building;

\$450,000 to the Apollo Theater Foundation in Harlem, New York for theater restoration;

\$450,000 to Union College, of Albany, New York for the Union-Schenectady Neighborhood Initiative;

\$490,000 to Madison County, New York for economic development and infrastructure improvements for industrial park sites;

\$490,000 to the City of Rome, New York for site development and infrastructure improvements related to the South Rome Industrial Park;

\$490,000 to the North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System in New York for an emergency room preparedness program;

\$500,000 to the City of Buffalo, New York for the construction of additional facilities at the Burchfield-Penney Art Center;

\$500,000 to the State University of New York at Albany for continued development of a manufacturing/workforce training center;

\$700,000 to the City of Auburn, New York for Phase I of the Owasco Riverfront Park Project;

\$990,000 to St. Bonaventure University of St. Bonaventure, New York for renovations of Delaroché Hall;

\$750,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for the design, development and construction of an International Tourism Center at the Carousel Center;

\$990,000 to the Cancer Institute of Long Island at Stony Brook University, New York to develop and implement a clinical database of breast and prostate cancer patients;

\$25,000 to the Music Conservatory of Westchester, New York for construction and capital improvements on their new facility;

\$125,000 to the City of Yonkers, New York for renovation of the waterfront area around Riverfront Park;

\$100,000 to the Village of Larchmont, New York for streetscape improvements;

\$100,000 to the Endicott Performing Arts Center in Endicott, New York for restoration of the Lyric Theater;

\$50,000 to the Latino Cultural School of Arts in Lorain, Ohio for facilities needs;

\$100,000 to the Akron, Ohio Zoological Park for development of the Environmental Education Center;

\$135,000 to the Ohio Department of Development for continued development of the Black Swamp rural arts initiative in Ottawa, Lucas, Wood, and Fulton counties;

\$15,000 to the Fulton County, Ohio Commission for rehabilitation of a Civil War memorial;

\$200,000 to the National Interfaith Hospitality Network for expanding local network support services;

\$240,000 to Columbus State Community College in Columbus, Ohio for construction of a new child development center;

\$250,000 to the Rural Health Collaborative of Southern Ohio for a Community Health and Wellness Center Initiative;

\$300,000 to the Dayton-Montgomery County Port Authority in Ohio for urban job creation;

\$300,000 to the Mandel School of Applied Social Sciences' Center for Community Development at Case Western Reserve University for the Louis Stokes Fellow Program in Community Organization and Development;

\$390,000 to Brown County General Hospital for construction and equipment as part of the Community Health and Wellness Center Initiative;

\$390,000 to the University of Cincinnati Medical Center in Cincinnati, Ohio for renovation and expansion of the Medical Sciences Building;

\$400,000 to Clark County, Ohio for infrastructure upgrades for economic development;

\$400,000 to Urbana University in Urbana, Ohio for the renovation of Bailey and Barclay Halls;

\$422,000 to the Richland County, Ohio Emergency Management Agency to purchase electromechanical outdoor warning sirens;

\$490,000 to Heidelberg College in Tiffin, Ohio for construction of facilities for the school's Water Quality Laboratory;

\$490,000 to Lake Metroparks in Concord Township, Ohio for the Environmental Education Center at Camp Klein;

\$500,000 for the City of Cleveland, Ohio for the construction of the Cleveland Intercultural Center;

\$500,000 to John Carroll University in Cleveland, Ohio for the needs related to the Dolan Center for Science and Technology;

\$750,000 to the Ohio State University for the Neighborhood Revitalization Initiative to improve housing opportunities, public safety/crime reduction, and "Gateway Center" Facilities;

\$900,000 for Franklin County, Ohio for purchase of park land;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Dayton, Ohio for the revitalization of historic main Street;

\$1,000,000 for Wellsville, Ohio for improvements to a riverside transportation center;

\$1,000,000 to Mount Union College in Alliance, Ohio for a new science facility;

\$1,500,000 to the City of Toledo, Ohio for improvements to the near downtown historic commercial district, and to leverage the potential of not-for-profit community and economic development organizations;

\$140,000 to the City of El Reno, Oklahoma for development of a trolley system;

\$300,000 to the City of Oklahoma City for the Oklahoma Land Run Memorial;

\$490,000 to the City of Bennington, Oklahoma for construction of a multipurpose building;

\$1,490,000 to the City of Midwest City, Oklahoma for Phase II of the City's tornado recovery;

\$50,000 to the City of Newberg, Oregon for transition of the Newberg Central School into a community center;

\$50,000 to the City of Portland, Oregon for the North Macadam Greenway initiative;

\$100,000 to the Rural Oregon Continuum of Care (ROCC) consortium for scattered site transitional housing needs;

\$120,000 to the City of The Dalles, Oregon for the Mid-Columbia Veterans Memorial Project;

\$150,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of Albany, Oregon for construction of an addition to existing facilities;

\$300,000 for Dalles, Oregon, for development of the Dalles Fiber Optic Loop;

\$550,000 for the Oregon Food Bank for its food distribution efforts;

\$1,000,000 for Eastern Oregon University for construction of a science center;

\$200,000 for Irvington Covenant CDC in Portland, Oregon to develop affordable housing;

\$20,000 to the Dormont Historical Society in Dormont, Pennsylvania for organizational support;

\$20,000 to the McKeepsport Little Theater in McKeepsport, Pennsylvania for facility renovation;

\$30,000 to the Senior Adult Activities Center of Montgomery, Pennsylvania for facilities renovation;

\$40,000 to Juniata County, Pennsylvania for outdoor recreational facilities;

\$45,000 to the Reading Berks Human Relations Council in Pennsylvania for purposes related to its mission;

\$50,000 to the Armstrong County Commission, Pennsylvania for the horse park at Crooked Creek Lake;

\$70,000 to the Briar Bush Nature Center in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania for restoration of the visitors center, refurbishment of the bird observatory, and education program expansion;

\$90,000 to Bucks County, Pennsylvania for design and engineering costs for a beautification effort along Route 13;

\$90,000 to Bucks County, Pennsylvania for the redevelopment and revitalization of the downtown business district of Bristol Borough, Pennsylvania;

\$100,000 for the Philadelphia Zoo, Pennsylvania to expand construction of Children's Zoo;

\$100,000 Punxsutawney Community Center in Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania for infrastructure improvements and renovation of facilities;

\$100,000 to Bucks County, Pennsylvania for infrastructure and area site improvements at the Stainless Inc. property brownfield site in Perkasio Borough;

\$100,000 to Discovery Square, Erie, Pennsylvania for the construction of an educational and cultural complex;

\$100,000 to the Borough of Frackville, Pennsylvania for Central Business District improvements;

\$100,000 to the Borough of Millerstown, Perry County, Pennsylvania for improvements to the Borough Municipal Building, which will allow the Borough to implement several community programs including substance abuse deterrent programs and clinics, Scouting programs as well as senior informational programs and facilities;

\$100,000 to the Borough of New Hope, Pennsylvania for the James A. Michener Museum to build the infrastructure for a satellite facility in New Hope;

\$100,000 to the Borough of Shenandoah, Pennsylvania for Central Business District economic development activities;

\$100,000 to the OLYMPIA ship of Independence Seaport Museum to provide ship repairs which will contribute to the economic development of the Penn's Landing waterfront area in Philadelphia;

\$100,000 to the Urban Redevelopment Authority of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania for the Bloomfield-Garfield housing revitalization effort;

\$150,000 to Rostraver Township, Pennsylvania for infrastructure improvements related to an economic development initiative;

\$150,000 to the City of Washington, Pennsylvania for construction and operations needs of a recreation and community economic development center;

\$150,000 to the State College Baseball Club, Inc. for the development and operation of a new sports complex for youth baseball and softball in Centre County, Pennsylvania;

\$160,000 to the Borough of Wayensboro, Pennsylvania for infrastructure improvements for an industrial area along Ninth street;

\$200,000 to the Allegheny Housing Authority of Pennsylvania to construct the Groveton Village Computer/Support Services Center;

\$200,000 to the Hiram G. Andrews Center in Johnstown, Pennsylvania for an employment program for students with disabilities targeted at emerging technical markets;

\$200,000 to the Scottdale Community Pool Association in Scottdale, Pennsylvania for the facility needs associated with the continued operations of the former YMCA pool;

\$200,000 to the Urban Redevelopment Authority of Pittsburgh in conjunction with Northside Properties in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania to acquire the 332 unit, scattered site affordable housing development with project-based Section 8 rental subsidy;

\$200,000 to the People's Emergency Center Community Development Corporation in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for implementation of a Neighborhood Transformation and Revitalization Plan in West Philadelphia;

\$200,000 to the Johnstown-Cambria County Airport in Cambria County, Pennsylvania for customer service area renovation needs;

\$240,000 to the Beaver County, Pennsylvania Corporation for Economic Development for the Riverfront Development Project, Bridgewater Crossing;

\$240,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of Erie, Pennsylvania for a facility expansion project;

\$240,000 to the County of Lancaster, Pennsylvania for the Sunnyside Neighborhood Development Project;

\$250,000 to the City of Chester, Pennsylvania for revitalization of its waterfront;

\$250,000 to the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania for the construction of a garage and retail facility at the new hotel/convention center;

\$250,000 to the City of Williamsport of Lycoming County, Pennsylvania for infrastructure development for industrial expansion;

\$250,000 to the Good Shepherd School in Braddock, Pennsylvania for facility renovation;

\$200,000 to the Town of Johnstown, Pennsylvania for the Kernville neighborhood recreation project;

\$250,000 to the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for assistance to Daggett Street homeowners;

\$300,000 for the expansion of facilities of the Re Place at Good Shepard Home, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania which will provide employment opportunities for persons with mental and physical challenges in sales, business administration, mechanical repair, janitorial skills and computer refurbishing;

\$300,000 to the Ogontz Avenue Revitalization Corporation, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to assist with substantial rehabilitation of 40–50 severely deteriorated vacant properties that will be developed as a part of the West Oak Lane community development rebuilding initiative;

\$350,000 for the Urban Development authority of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania for the Harbor Gardens Greenhouse project;

\$350,000 to the American Cities Foundation in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for support of the Community Leadership Institute;

\$350,000 to CitiVest in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania for housing and economic development efforts in northeast Pennsylvania;

\$400,000 to the City of Reading, Pennsylvania for the development of the Morgantown Road Industrial Park on what is currently a brownfields site;

\$400,000 to the Please Touch Museum in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for facilities needs;

\$490,000 to the City of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania for the CORRIDORone Regional Rail program of the Modern Transit Partnership in downtown Harrisburg, Pennsylvania;

\$490,000 to the University Technology Park, Inc. in Chester, Pennsylvania for construction of the Institute for Economic Development;

\$500,000 to the Winnie Palmer Nature Reserve in Pennsylvania for development of the reserve;

\$700,000 to the American Cities Foundation in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for support of the Home Ownership Institute;

\$900,000 to the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania for the development of an entertainment/retail complex which is intended to enhance the economic development provide hundreds of new jobs;

\$1,400,000 to the County of Cambria, Pennsylvania for the design and construction of the Northern Cambria Recreation Facility;

\$250,000 to UPMC Lee Hospital in Johnstown, Pennsylvania for the Convalescent Garden project;

\$25,000 to West Bay Community Action in Warwick, Rhode Island for programs supporting the elderly, the homeless, and children;

\$25,000 to the Rhode Island Emergency Management Agency for needs of the First Responders Program;

\$50,000 for the City of Providence, Rhode Island, for inner city recreational facilities;

\$50,000 for the Rhode Island Jewish War Veterans for a veterans memorial;

\$100,000 for the Coastal Institute at the University of Rhode Island for development of a sustainable management plan for Narragansett Bay;

\$100,000 for the Institute for the Study and Practice of Nonviolence in Providence, Rhode Island for construction of a community center;

\$100,000 for the South Providence Development Corporation in Providence, Rhode Island for the development of a recycling facility;

\$100,000 to the Woonsocket Fire Department in Woonsocket, Rhode Island for equipment and technology upgrades associated with fire safety and communications;

\$150,000 for Pell-Chafee Performance Center in Providence, Rhode Island to complete construction;

\$200,000 for Cornerstone Adult Services in Warwick, Rhode Island for the construction of an Alzheimer's day center;

\$200,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of Pawtucket, Rhode Island, for development of a new facility;

\$200,000 for the Newport Art Museum in Newport, Rhode Island for historical renovation;

\$275,000 to the town of Smithfield, Rhode Island for continued development and modernization of Deerfield Park, including the expansion of the Smithfield Senior Center;

\$350,000 for the Herreshoff Marine Museum in Bristol, Rhode Island to restore and expand a maritime heritage museum;

\$450,000 for the City of Providence, Rhode Island for the development of a Botanical Center at Roger Williams Park and Zoo;

\$450,000 for the Providence Performing Arts Center for building modernization in Providence, Rhode Island;

\$500,000 for Town of Johnston, Rhode Island for rehabilitation of a senior center;

\$1,000,000 for Traveler's Aid of Rhode Island for relocation and expansion in Providence, Rhode Island;

\$150,000 to the City of Marion, South Carolina for renovations of the Joyner Auditorium, and adjoining space, into a cultural arts center;

\$190,000 to the City of Spartanburg, South Carolina for the Motor Racing Museum of the South;

\$200,000 to South Carolina State University in Orangeburg, South Carolina for planning, engineering, and construction of a multidisciplinary research and conference center;

\$490,000 to the City of Myrtle Beach, South Carolina for a Pavilion Area Master Plan;

\$500,000 for Spoleto Festival, USA, of Charleston, South Carolina, for rehabilitation of the historic Middleton-Pinckney House;

\$500,000 for the City of Charleston, South Carolina's Homeownership Initiative to create affordable housing opportunities;

\$750,000 for infrastructure improvements to the School of the Building Arts in Charleston, South Carolina;

\$825,000 to Marlboro County, South Carolina for costs associated with the construction and equipping of the Marion Wright Edelman Library in Bennettsville, South Carolina;

\$1,000,000 for the Sea Island Comprehensive Health Care Corporation, Inc., of Johns Island, South Carolina, for affordable housing and economic development purposes;

\$150,000 for the City of Tea, South Dakota, to develop a community library;

\$250,000 for the Lake Area Improvement Corporation of Madison, South Dakota, for development of the Madison Technical Center;

\$300,000 for Black Hills Community Development Corporation of Lead, South Dakota, for economic development efforts related to the closure of the Homestake Gold Mine;

\$300,000 for South Dakota School of Mines and Technology of Rapid City, South Dakota, for renovations and rehabilitation related to the development of the Rapid City Children's Science Center;

\$300,000 for the Flandreau Development Corporation of Flandreau, South Dakota, for infrastructure related to the Flandreau industrial park development;

\$300,000 for the Union Gospel Mission in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, for renovations to the historic Farley Lostcher building;

\$400,000 for the City of Brookings, South Dakota, for renovations and rehabilitation to the historic Brookings Middle School;

\$800,000 for the Sioux Falls, South Dakota, Development Foundation for development of a facility that will support technology-based businesses;

\$550,000 for the City of Watertown, South Dakota, for development related to the Hanten Industrial Park;

\$1,750,000 for planning, design, and construction of the Wakpa Sica Reconciliation Place in South Dakota;

\$150,000 for Children's Village in Pine Ridge, South Dakota, for a new facility;

\$150,000 for Wagner, South Dakota, for economic development activities;

\$200,000 for the Aberdeen Business Improvement District of South Dakota for a downtown development revolving loan fund;

\$200,000 for Turning Point/Volunteers of America in Sioux Falls, South Dakota for construction of a youth services facility;

\$50,000 to the Melrose Community Technology Center in the Orange Mound neighborhood of Memphis, Tennessee for reconstruction of the historic Melrose School for use as a new community technology center;

\$100,000 to the Memphis Zoo in Memphis, Tennessee for the Northwest Passage Campaign;

\$500,000 to Hamilton County, Tennessee for the Broadband Economic Development Initiative;

\$740,000 to the Historic Tennessee Theatre Foundation, Inc. for construction and renovation of facilities;

\$950,000 for the City of Chattanooga, Tennessee for the revitalization of the Alton Park neighborhood;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Memphis, Tennessee for the Soulsville Revitalization project;

\$25,000 to the Acres Home Community Development Corporation in Houston, Texas for an athletic complex;

\$50,000 to the Houston Community College in Houston, Texas for development of the 5th Ward Community Technology Center;

\$75,000 to the City of Abilene, Texas for renovation of the historic Wooten Hotel;

\$75,000 to the City of Houston, Texas's Department of Health and Human Services for the Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Program;

\$100,000 to Texas A&M-Kingsville for construction of the Kingsville Center for Young Children;

\$100,000 to the City of Austin, Texas for the expansion of the SMART Housing Project;

\$100,000 to the Heights Association in Houston, Texas for community beautification initiatives;

\$150,000 to the T.R. Hoover Community Development Corporation in Dallas, Texas for completion of the T.R. Hoover Multipurpose Center and purchase of equipment;

\$175,000 to the City of San Angelo Development Corporation in Texas for the establishment of a regional industrial park;

\$175,000 to the Windsor Elderly and Housing Center in Abilene, Texas for elevator replacement;

\$200,000 to Willacacy County Boys and Girls Club in Willacacy County, Texas for a sports complex;

\$200,000 for a design, engineering and economic feasibility study for the Trinity River Visions project in Fort Worth, Texas;

\$300,000 to the Fort Worth Transportation Authority for the development of a public market in Fort Worth, Texas;

\$350,000 to the City of Waco, Texas for the housing assistance program;

\$500,000 for the City of Wichita Falls, Texas for the restoration of the old Holt Hotel property;

\$500,000 to the Victory Art Center in Fort Worth, Texas for the adaptive use and historic renovation of the old Our Lady of Victory building;

\$740,000 to the Globe of the Great Southwest in Midland, Texas for facilities expansion;

\$740,000 to the Old Red Courthouse Museum in Dallas, Texas for the restoration of facilities to house the Museum of Dallas History and preservation and enhancement of artifacts in the collection;

\$1,000,000 for the City of Fort Worth, Texas for the redevelopment of a residential and commercial center along Hemp-hill Street;

\$1,000,000 for the Greater El Paso, Texas Chamber of Commerce for a local economic development initiative for the creation of jobs and housing;

\$1,000,000 to Alvin Community College, Texas for the Pearland College Center;

\$1,000,000 to the University of Incarnate Word in San Antonio, Texas for the renovation and expansion of the Science and Engineering Center;

\$490,000 for West Valley City, Utah for the construction of the West Valley City Multi-Cultural Community Center;

\$490,000 to the American West Heritage Foundation in Utah for the planning and design of a cultural and interpretive center;

\$800,000 for the City of West Jordan, Utah for the development of a senior citizens center;

\$1,000,000 for Sevier County, Utah for a multi-events center;

\$50,000 to the Town of Boydton, Virginia for economic development activities;

\$70,000 to the Fairfax County Economic Development Authority for the creation and promotion of a video detailing the historical significance of Annandale, Virginia;

\$90,000 to the County of Fairfax, Virginia for the Annandale Community Cultural Arts Center;

\$100,000 to the An Achievable Dream program in Newport News, Virginia for expansion of education programs;

\$100,000 to the Towns of Clarksville and Chase City, Virginia for economic development at their joint industrial park;

\$140,000 to the County of Northampton, Virginia for a Workforce Training and Business Development Center on the Eastern Shore of Virginia;

\$150,000 for the Nelson Center in Lovington, Virginia for renovation and expansion of facilities;

\$150,000 to Winchester County, Virginia for the historic restoration of the Winchester County Courthouse;

\$175,000 to the Arlington Housing Corporation in Arlington, Virginia to improve and expand community centers at low income multifamily properties, and support ongoing affordable housing programs;

\$200,000 to Virginia Highlands Small Business Incubator, Inc. for the development of a regional small business incubator in Southwest Virginia;

\$240,000 to the City of Chesapeake, Virginia for the redevelopment of Campostella Square;

\$240,000 to the Virginia Air and Space Center in Hampton, Virginia for expansion of facilities including the Aviation Gallery and the World's Fair Welcome Center;

\$250,000 to Edgehill Recovery Retreat Center, in Winchester, Virginia for facilities needs;

\$290,000 to the Virginia Holocaust Museum in Richmond, Virginia for facility renovations;

\$400,000 to the Natural Gas Vehicle Association in Arlington, Virginia for continued expansion of the Airport-Alternative Fuel Vehicle Demonstration Project at Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport;

\$490,000 to Eastern Mennonite University of Harrisonburg, Virginia for the University Commons project;

\$500,000 to the Glen Burnie Foundation to establish the Museum of the Shenandoah Valley at Glen Burnie in Winchester, Virginia;

\$600,000 to the Arlandria Health Center for Women and Children in Alexandria, Virginia for facilities needs;

\$600,000 for the City of Staunton, Virginia for a local, cultural revitalization initiative;

\$700,000 to the City of Danville and Pittsylvania County, Virginia for the infrastructure improvements for the City/County Cyber Park;

\$1,000,000 for the Christopher Newport University in Newport News, Virginia for the development of the Christopher Newport University Fine Arts Center;

\$1,000,000 to the St. Coletta School in Alexandria, Virginia for facilities needs;

\$50,000 to the Essex Junction Lions Club for design and construction of a veterans memorial in Essex Junction, Vermont;

\$100,000 to the Burlington, Vermont Community Land Trust for the start up of the Vermont Employee Ownership Center;

\$100,000 to the Vermont Housing Conservation Board for the building renovation and construction of a battered women's shelter in St. Albans, Vermont;

\$150,000 for the Haskell Free Library for repairs to this historic building located in Derby Line, Vermont;

\$200,000 to the Vermont Foodbank for food shelf activities;

\$300,000 for the Brattleboro Arts Initiative of Brattleboro, Vermont, for the rehabilitation of the historic Latchis Theatre and Community Arts Center;

\$350,000 for the George D. Aiken Resource Conservation and Development Council of Randolph, Vermont for the purchase of equipment;

\$500,000 for the Kaw Valley Center in Vermont, Kansas for infrastructure and community outreach;

\$500,000 for the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board for development of affordable housing at Macauley Square;

\$750,000 to the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board for the development of affordable housing in Vermont;

\$750,000 to the Vermont Institute of Natural Science of Woodstock, Vermont to support construction of a public education and wildlife rehabilitation facility in Quechee, Vermont;

\$2,000,000 for the Lake Champlain Science Center in Burlington, Vermont for facility construction and rehabilitation;

\$50,000 to the City of Poulsbo, Washington for improvements to the public library;

\$50,000 to the Nooksack Indian Tribe in Washington for expansion of the Youth Leaders Center facility;

\$80,000 to the YWCA in Bremerton, Washington for facilities expansion;

\$90,000 to the City of Duvall, Washington for the renovation and conversion of a city-owned building into a youth center;

\$90,000 to the City of Maple Valley, Washington for the construction of a youth center;

\$90,000 to the Greenwater Mutual Water Association of Washington state for construction of a water system to provide

fire and domestic flow to the designated rural business center of Greenwater;

\$100,000 to the City of Seattle, Washington for renovations to the Seattle Center Opera House;

\$200,000 to Pierce County Washington for the establishment of the Gig Harbor Peninsula Historical Society and the creation of a museum and cultural center;

\$240,000 to the City of Black Diamond, Washington for engineering and construction of a replacement water main and improvements to the existing pump station serving the Black Diamond region;

\$250,000 to the University of Washington-Tacoma for development of the Institute of Technology;

\$250,000 to the Valley Boys and Girls Club in Clarkston, Washington for facilities construction;

\$300,000 for the City of Renton, Washington, for the Port Quendall brownfields redevelopment project;

\$500,000 to Whitworth College in Spokane, Washington for construction of the Regional Learning and Resource Center;

\$750,000 to Bates Technical College for upgrade of transmission equipment for KBTC-TV, a PBS affiliate in Tacoma, Washington;

\$1,000,000 for the Port of Ridgefield of Ridgefield, Washington for brownfields redevelopment;

\$1,000,000 for the West Central Community Center of Spokane, Washington, for site acquisition and preparation related to the expansion of childcare facilities;

\$50,000 for the Eau Claire Area Industrial Development Corporation, Wisconsin, for the Chippewa Valley Technology Network;

\$200,000 to the City of Madison, Wisconsin for the Affordable Housing Subdivision project;

\$50,000 to the Medical College of Wisconsin for planning related to a Biomedical Research and Technology Incubator;

\$50,000 to the Urban Open Space Foundation in Madison, Wisconsin for downtown revitalization efforts;

\$80,000 to the Ashland County Sheriff's Department in Ashland, Wisconsin for an Ice Angel Windsled;

\$100,000 for Fairness in Rural Lending in Wisconsin for the Community Lender Partnership Initiative;

\$120,000 to the City of Rhinelander, Wisconsin for construction of a rail spur;

\$275,000 for the African American World Cultural Center in Wisconsin for construction;

\$175,000 for the Centro de la Comunidad Unida in Wisconsin for construction of an alternative school for at risk students;

\$200,000 for Adams County, Wisconsin for the construction of an industrial park;

\$200,000 to the City of Beloit, Wisconsin for urban renewal activities;

\$200,000 to the Wausau Kayak/Canoe Corporation in Wausau, Wisconsin for course upgrade;

\$240,000 to St. Norbert College in DePere, Wisconsin for a regional library learning center;

\$300,000 for the City of Appleton, Wisconsin for the reconstruction of College Avenue;

\$300,000 for the City of Sheboygan, Wisconsin to demolish an old manufacturing building;

\$300,000 to Alverno College in Milwaukee, Wisconsin for the modernization of their liberal arts facility for Digital Diagnostic Portfolio Technology;

\$500,000 to Impact 7 for a business development project in Centuria, Wisconsin;

\$1,100,000 to the Northwest Regional Planning Commission in Spooner, Wisconsin for a revolving loan fund to assist storm impacted areas in northwestern Wisconsin;

\$125,000 to the Greenbrier Valley Economic Development Corporation in Lewisburg, West Virginia for a cooperative economic development effort with 4-County Economic Development Authority located in Oakhill, West Virginia;

\$290,000 to Mason County, West Virginia/ Point Pleasant Riverfront Park Committee for a city revitalization project;

\$350,000 for Bethany College in West Virginia to complete work on a health and wellness center;

\$375,000 to Regions 1 and 4 Planning and Development Councils in West Virginia for rebuilding efforts necessitated by flooding;

\$700,000 for the McDowell County Commission to complete the repair and restoration of the Kimball War Memorial in Kimball, West Virginia;

\$900,000 to Concord College in Athens, West Virginia for continued infrastructure development of an information technology training program;

\$1,200,000 to the Mid-Atlantic Aerospace Complex, Inc. for operational needs and to support economic development projects, including facilities construction;

\$2,000,000 for the Webster County Development Authority for construction of a high technology office building and small business incubator in Webster County, West Virginia;

\$2,000,000 for the Wheeling Park Commission in West Virginia to aid in the construction of the National Training Center for Public Facility Managers;

\$2,425,000 to the Institute for Software Research, Inc. for operational and programmatic support and facilities needs;

\$3,000,000 for Shepherd College in Sheperdstown, West Virginia, to complete the renovation of the Scarborough Library;

\$3,600,000 to the West Virginia High Technology Consortium Foundation, Inc. for operations, land acquisition, and development of a high technology business park;

\$1,800,000 for the City of Hinton, West Virginia, for the construction of a high technology office building and small business incubator;

\$1,500,000 for the Appalachian Bible College of Beckley, West Virginia, to complete its student center/library;

\$540,000 to the Teton County Housing Authority of Wyoming for equity contributions in the production of affordable housing units in Teton County, Wyoming;

\$2,000,000 for the Girl Scouts of the USA for youth development initiatives in public housing.

Includes language transferring no less than \$13,800,000 to the Working Capital Fund for development and maintenance of information technology systems, instead of \$15,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes language proposed by the Senate making funds available for three years instead of two years as proposed by the House. The conferees remain concerned by the delay in the obligation and expenditure of funds provided for the CDBG formula program. HUD is directed to review the matter and to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations no later than April 1, 2002 which identifies the average length of time used by HUD to obligate CDBG funds to entitlement communities and States; the rate at which entitlement communities and States expend these funds, including an identification of those entities not in compliance with statutory timeliness requirements; and recommendations to accelerate the obligation and expenditure of these funds.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the House report requiring HUD to inform State and local jurisdictions that people with disabilities must participate in developing the Consolidated Plan and to evaluate plans for such inclusion.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the House report requiring HUD to conduct a detailed evaluation of HUD's administrative oversight of CDBG targeting requirements and to report the evaluation's findings to the Committees on Appropriations no later than February 1, 2002.

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN GUARANTEES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$15,000,000 for costs associated with section 108 loan guarantees as proposed by the House and the Senate. Includes language making funds available for obligation for two years as proposed by the House, instead of one year as proposed by the Senate.

#### BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT

Appropriates \$25,000,000 for brownfields redevelopment as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,846,040,000 for the HOME program instead of \$1,996,040,000 as proposed by the House, and \$1,796,040,000 as proposed by the Senate. Includes language making funds available for obligation for three years as proposed by the Senate, instead of two years as proposed by the House.

Includes language designating \$50,000,000 for the Downpayment Assistance Initiative subject to the enactment of authoriza-

tion legislation, instead of \$200,000,000 as proposed by the House. Language is included allowing these funds to be used for any purpose authorized under the HOME program should such authorization legislation not be enacted by June 30, 2002. The Senate bill did not include funds for this initiative.

The conferees believe that housing counseling is a critical component of effective homeownership programs, including the HOME Downpayment Assistance Initiative. Not only is housing counseling important in assisting families and individuals to understand homeownership issues, it also helps ensure that first-time homebuyers are protected against predatory lending practices. The conferees expect HUD to ensure that housing counseling is available to all homebuyers participating in programs offered under the Downpayment Assistance Initiative.

#### HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,122,525,000 for homeless assistance grants, instead of \$1,027,745,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,022,745,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have increased funding for this account above the amounts proposed by the House and the Senate to provide for full funding of Shelter Plus Care renewals within this account, instead of providing this funding in a separate account as proposed by the Senate. The House bill did not include funding for these costs. While funding for these renewals has been provided in this account consistent with the manner in which funding was provided prior to fiscal year 2001, new bill language is included requiring the annual renewal of all expiring Shelter Plus Care contracts if the program is determined to meet appropriate program requirements and is needed under the applicable continuum of care.

Includes modified language requiring not less than 30 percent of the funds provided under this account, exclusive of amounts for Shelter Plus Care renewals, be used for permanent housing as proposed by the Senate, instead of 35 percent as proposed by the House. Includes language requiring that all funds awarded for services shall be matched by 25 percent in funds from each grantee as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funds under this account be made available for three years, instead of two years as proposed by the House. However, HUD is directed to review the obligation rates for funds provided under this account and provide a report to the Committees on steps being taken to accelerate the grant award and obligation process no later than April 1, 2002.

Includes language providing \$2,000,000 for the national homeless data analysis project and \$6,600,000 for technical assistance. Language is also included transferring \$5,600,000 to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems, instead of \$14,200,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees agree that HUD should use the continuum of care process to give preference to communities that use funds for

permanent housing to end homelessness for chronically homeless, disabled people and encourage communities to obtain funds for supportive services from non-HUD sources, such as the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Labor, and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The conferees reiterate language included in the Senate report regarding the need for data and analysis on the extent of homelessness and the effectiveness of McKinney-Vento Act programs. Specifically, the conferees direct HUD to continue to work with local communities on a client reporting system, analyze the data within two years, and report to the Committees within 90 days of enactment of this Act on its progress.

In addition, the conferees are also providing \$2,000,000 to continue the Department's national homeless data analysis project to document the demographics of homelessness, identify patterns in utilization of assistance, and document the effectiveness of the systems. The conferees believe that it is critical to develop an unduplicated count of the homeless population and direct HUD to contract with experienced academic institutions to analyze the data and provide annual reports to the Committees on Appropriations.

The conferees expect that HUD field staff will oversee the implementation of homeless programs funded under this title. This oversight should include annual site visits and desk and field audits of a representative sample of programs in each jurisdiction. Using this information, HUD should analyze Annual Performance Reports and forward an annual plan for addressing problem areas.

The conferees reiterate and endorse language in the House report regarding the Secretary's joint task force with the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) to identify and target each agency's roles and responsibilities in addressing the needs of the homeless. Recognizing the fact that up to one-third of the homeless population are veterans, the conferees believe that increased coordination is necessary between the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and HUD to ensure each agency is fulfilling its appropriate mission. Therefore, the conferees urge the Secretary to include the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in its task force discussions. The conferees request that the Department keep the Committees apprised of these efforts and provide a report, no later than February 15, 2002, on its findings and recommendations for changes in HUD programs.

Further, the conferees reiterate the language in the Senate report concerning the Interagency Council on the Homeless (ICH), including placing the Council under the Domestic Policy Office; rotating the Chairmanship among the Secretaries of HUD, HHS, Labor, and VA; requiring the members to meet at least semi-annually; and instructing the Council to quantify the number of their mainstream program participants who become homeless, preventing homelessness, and describing how they assist the homeless.

The conferees continue to have questions about out-year cost data on contract renewals for the permanent housing programs for the homeless. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Department to include in its fiscal year 2003 budget justifications five-year projections, delineated on an annual basis, of the costs of renewing the

permanent housing component of the Supportive Housing Program and separately, the Shelter Plus Care program.

The conferees reiterate language in the Senate report directing HUD to ensure that State and local jurisdictions that receive homeless assistance funding pass on at least 50 percent of all administrative funds to the nonprofits administering the homeless assistance programs.

#### SHELTER PLUS CARE RENEWALS

The conferees have included full funding for Shelter Plus Care renewals under the homeless assistance grants account instead of providing funds under this separate account as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include funding for this account.

#### HOUSING PROGRAMS

##### HOUSING FOR SPECIAL POPULATIONS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,024,151,000 for housing for special populations as proposed by the House instead of \$1,001,009,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes \$783,286,000 for section 202 housing for the elderly as proposed by the House and the Senate. Of this amount, \$50,000,000 is for service coordinators and congregate services as proposed by the Senate instead of \$49,890,000 as proposed by the House; \$50,000,000 is for conversion of eligible section 202 projects to assisted living as proposed by the Senate instead of \$49,890,000 as proposed by the House; and up to \$3,000,000 is for the renewal of expiring project rental assistance for up to a one-year term, the same amount proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees direct HUD to issue a new NOFA to provide for up to three grants for the conversion of unused or underutilized commercial properties into assisted living facilities for the elderly from funds provided for section 202 conversions.

Includes \$240,865,000 for section 811 housing for the disabled as proposed by the House instead of \$217,723,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, \$23,142,000 is for the renewal of section 811 tenant-based rental assistance as proposed by the House. Bill language is included clarifying the authorization of funds under this account for this purpose as proposed by the House. The Senate did not propose similar language and assumed funds for this purpose would be provided under the housing certificate fund account. In addition, up to \$1,300,000 is provided for the renewal of project rental assistance for up to a one-year term as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees reiterate direction included in the House report requiring HUD to review and modify procedures to simplify the section 811 application and review process.

Includes modified language transferring no less than \$1,200,000 to the Working Capital Fund for development and maintenance of information technology systems, instead of \$1,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Does not include bill language specifying amounts for project rental assistance renewals as proposed by the Senate. The House did not designate specific amounts for renewals in bill language.

FLEXIBLE SUBSIDY FUND

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Includes language regarding the transfer of excess rental charges to this fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

MANUFACTURED HOUSING FEES TRUST FUND

Appropriates \$13,566,000 for authorized activities from fees collected in the fund as proposed by the House instead of \$17,254,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees expect HUD to place a priority on monitoring safety inspections of homes and the issuance of inspection labels when determining the funding requirements for this program during fiscal year 2002. The conferees also reiterate the direction included in the Senate report requiring the use of all program fees to be fully identified in the fiscal year 2003 budget justifications.

Includes language proposed by the House clarifying that fee collections shall fully offset the expenditures from the fund. The Senate did not propose similar language.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$336,700,000 for administrative expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$330,888,000 as proposed by the House. Transfers \$332,678,000 of this amount to the salaries and expenses account as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$326,866,000 as proposed by the House.

Appropriates \$160,000,000 for administrative contract expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$145,000,000 as proposed by the House. Includes language allowing up to \$16,000,000 in additional administrative contract expenses to be made available in certain circumstances as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose similar language.

Transfers no less than \$118,400,000 from administrative contract expenses under this account to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems, instead of \$96,500,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed to transfer \$160,000,000 from this account and the general and special risk program account but did not designate the amounts to be transferred from each account.

GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$15,000,000 for subsidy costs to support certain multifamily and special purpose loan guarantee programs. The conferees agree that funding for subsidy costs is to be allocated as follows:

- \$6,919,000 for the section 221(d)(3) program;
  - \$5,250,000 for the section 241(a) supplemental loans for apartments program;
  - \$377,000 for the section 242 operating loss loans for apartments program;
  - \$377,000 for the section 232 operating loss loans program;
- and
- \$2,077,000 for the section 2 property improvements program.

The conferees remind HUD that funds provided are to be used only for the programs specified above. The conferees direct HUD to improve management and oversight of all programs within the general and special risk insurance fund to ensure these programs operate in a financially sound manner. HUD is reminded that any deviations from the amounts specified above for each of these programs is subject to reprogramming requirements.

The conferees are aware that concerns have been raised about the calculation of credit subsidy for multifamily programs. The conferees understand that pursuant to the Federal Credit Reform Act, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is responsible for developing the risk model used to estimate the subsidy costs of all Federal credit programs, including FHA programs. Therefore, in lieu of the language included in the Senate report addressing this matter, the conferees expect HUD to work with the industry to review the technical assumptions provided by HUD to OMB for inclusion in the risk model.

The conferees also expect HUD to upgrade its information technology systems for the mutual mortgage insurance program account and the general and special risk program account. HUD needs to be able to mark each account to market at the end of each business day, including the volume of loan business and the extent of financial risk and exposure under each FHA mortgage insurance program, including the cost of all defaults and foreclosures. The conferees remain disappointed that HUD has not made the collection of this information a priority since, as of January 2001, HUD was responsible for over \$500 billion in insured mortgages. As demand for FHA single-family and multifamily mortgage insurance grows, it is imperative that HUD understand the magnitude of its financial exposure and the extent of risk for loss.

Appropriates \$216,100,000 for administrative expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$211,455,000 as proposed by the House. Transfers \$197,779,000 of this amount to the salaries and expenses account as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$193,124,000 as proposed by the House.

Appropriates \$144,000,000 for administrative contract expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$139,000,000 as proposed by the House. Includes language allowing up to \$14,400,000 in additional administrative contract expenses to be made available in certain circumstances as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose similar language.

Transfers no less than \$41,000,000 from administrative contract expenses under this account to the Working Capital Fund for the development and maintenance of information technology systems, instead of \$33,500,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed to transfer \$160,000,000 from this account and the mu-

tual mortgage insurance fund program account but did not designate the amounts to be transferred from each account.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the Senate report requiring HUD to immediately amend its Asset Control Area discount and appraisal structure so that local governments and non-profit purchasers can rehabilitate and resell these properties at rates affordable to low-income residents. The conferees also reiterate the guidance in the Senate report regarding timely demolition of dilapidated homes and the payment of demolition costs.

The conferees reiterate the recommendation in the Senate report encouraging HUD to bundle and sell defaulted loans through auction in non-Asset Control Areas.

GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION (GNMA)

GUARANTEES OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES LOAN GUARANTEE  
PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$9,383,000 for administrative expenses to be transferred to the salaries and expenses account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

Appropriates \$50,250,000 for research and technology instead of \$46,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$53,404,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes \$1,500,000 for the Millennial Housing Commission as proposed by the House. New language is included to extend the reporting and termination dates for this commission. The Senate proposed \$1,500,000 and similar extension language under the salaries and expenses account.

Includes \$1,000,000 for the Commission on Affordable Housing and Health Facility Needs for Seniors in the 21st Century, and includes new language to extend the reporting and termination dates for this commission. The House and the Senate did not address this matter.

Includes \$8,750,000 for the Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing Initiative, instead of \$7,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees assume \$23,000,000 will be allocated to the Housing Survey in fiscal year 2002, the same level proposed by the House and Senate.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the Senate report denying demonstration authority without prior congressional approval.

Language proposed by the Senate designating \$3,000,000 for program evaluation activities is not included.

## FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

## FAIR HOUSING ACTIVITIES

Appropriates \$45,899,000 for the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP) and the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP) as proposed by the House and the Senate. Of this amount, \$20,250,000 is for FHIP, instead of \$19,449,000 as proposed by the House and \$24,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

While overall funding for this account is provided at the fiscal year 2001 level, funding is no longer required for the Housing Discrimination Survey which received \$7,500,000 in fiscal year 2001. Rather than reduce the account to reflect this change, the conferees have instead agreed to allocate the \$7,500,000 equally between FHAP and FHIP to augment their activities. The conferees expect the additional funds allocated to FHAP to be used to reduce the backlog in case processing.

In lieu of the direction included in the House report, the conferees direct HUD to expedite utilization of funds provided under this account and to report quarterly on the obligation and expenditure of funds provided, by program and activity, with the first report due no later than February 15, 2002.

## OFFICE OF LEAD HAZARD CONTROL

## LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION

Appropriates \$109,758,000 for lead hazard reduction, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Of the amount provided, \$3,500,000 is for a one-time grant to the National Center for Lead-Safe Housing to develop a database coordination project to integrate Federal, State and local lead activities, instead of \$1,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose a similar provision.

The conferees agree to allocate funds as follows:

—\$6,500,000 for Operation LEAP, a new initiative to provide competitive awards to non-profit organizations and the private sector for activities which leverage private-sector resources for local lead hazard control programs. The conferees direct HUD to provide an implementation plan for this new initiative to the Committees on Appropriations prior to the expenditure of these funds;

—\$80,000,000 for grants to State and local governments, and Native American tribes, for lead-based paint abatement in private low-income housing;

—\$9,758,000 for technical assistance and support to State and local agencies and private property owners; and

—\$10,000,000 for the Healthy Homes Initiative for competitive grants for research, standards development, and education and outreach activities to address lead-based paint poisoning and other housing-related diseases and hazards.

The conferees reiterate the House report language regarding consideration of a proposal by the Alliance to End Childhood Lead Poisoning to create a Community Environmental Health Resource Center (CEHRC) to provide technical support, training, and education and outreach to community-based organizations to evaluate and control housing-related and community-wide health hazards.

While the conferees have not included an earmark for the new organization, the conferees encourage HUD to evaluate a proposal from the Alliance to create the CEHRC and provide a grant if warranted.

The conferees encourage HUD to work through the Healthy Homes Initiative with other appropriate Federal agencies to conduct research and public education on health hazards associated with mold, excess moisture, and dust.

The conferees also reiterate the direction included in the Senate report requiring HUD to develop a policy to link Federal education, outreach, and remediation efforts with State, local, non-profit, and private funding.

Language proposed by the Senate earmarking \$750,000 for CLEARCorps is not included. The House did not propose a similar provision.

Does not include language proposed by the House making technical changes to the Healthy Homes Initiative. The Senate did not propose similar changes.

#### MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,097,292,000 for salaries and expenses instead of \$1,076,800,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,087,257,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Of the total amount provided, \$530,457,000 is transferred from various FHA administrative funds as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$520,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Includes language transferring \$35,000 from the Native Hawaiian housing loan guarantee fund account as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language providing not to exceed \$25,000 for representation expenses, instead of \$7,000 as proposed by the House and Senate.

The conferees agree that funds under this account are to be allocated among object classes at the levels specified in the budget justifications. HUD is reminded that any deviations are subject to reprogramming requirements.

The conferees reiterate the concerns expressed in the House report regarding HUD's approach to utilizing staff resources and the continued excessive cost per HUD employee as compared to other Federal agencies. Therefore, modified bill language is included, similar to language proposed by the House, requiring the Secretary to submit a staffing plan to the Committees on Appropriations no later than January 15, 2002. The conferees expect this staffing plan to be formulated based on the Resource Estimation and Allocation Process to match staffing requirements with programmatic responsibilities. The plan should identify staffing levels for each program delineated by headquarters and field offices. The conferees also expect this plan to include strategies to reduce the average salary cost per employee while reallocating staffing to address core mission requirements.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the House report regarding the annual budget justifications submission.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the Senate report prohibiting HUD from employing more than 77 schedule C and 20 non-career senior executive service employees.

The conferees note that the inability of HUD to provide useful data on program expenditures and performance has been a deficiency perennially cited by the Inspector General and General Accounting Office (GAO). The conferees remain committed to improving HUD's capacity to disseminate useful information about the performance of HUD programs to improve the ability of HUD and the Congress to assess the effectiveness of programs and more accurately determine resource requirements. Therefore, the conferees expect that HUD's information technology (IT) strategy will prioritize those investments needed to remedy the deficiencies identified by the Inspector General and GAO. Language has been included in various accounts in title II transferring no less than \$351,150,000 to the Working Capital Fund (WCF) for the development and maintenance of information technology systems, an increase of \$16,850,000 above the fiscal year 2001 level. HUD is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations a fiscal year 2002 spending plan for the WCF no later than January 15, 2002, consistent with the format of the multi-year IT plan submitted to the Committees on August 22, 2001.

The conferees understand that most of the WCF increase requested for fiscal year 2002 is for the planning and development activities related to the re-competition of the HUD Integrated Information Processing Service (HIIPS) contract. To this point little information has been provided to the Committees about HUD's plans for re-competition of HIIPS and the costs associated with implementation of the HIIPS re-competition. Therefore, HUD is directed to provide a comprehensive report on the strategy, status, and out-year funding requirements for HIIPS prior to the expenditure of any of the increase provided for fiscal year 2002.

The conferees also reiterate the direction included in the House report requiring HUD to submit a multi-year IT plan as part of its fiscal year 2003 budget submission. The conferees request that the Inspector General review this plan and provide its views to the Committees on the ability of this plan to improve oversight and management of HUD programs.

While the conferees do not adopt the language in the Senate report related to the Office of Multifamily Housing Assistance Restructuring (OMHAR), the conferees are seriously concerned with the manner in which OMHAR is currently being managed. The conferees are deeply disturbed to learn that OMHAR, an office which has enjoyed a unique amount of autonomy in the management of its staffing and the allocation of its funds, has violated the Anti-Deficiency Act in two out of the three years of its existence. As troubling to the conferees is the fact that the Committees on Appropriations were not notified of these violations sooner. The conferees fully intend to investigate the circumstances that led to these violations, and will take action at the appropriate time. In the interim, the Department is directed to revoke OMHAR's funds

allotment privileges and provide vigorous financial and management oversight of OMHAR.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$93,898,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of \$88,898,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, \$5,000,000 is provided by transfer from the public housing operating fund account, instead of \$10,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Of the amount provided, \$5,000,000 is exclusively for anti-predatory lending and anti-flipping activities. These funds are to augment, not supplant, funds already being devoted to such activities. The conferees expect that staff previously engaged in Operation Safe Home activities will be redirected to support these efforts. The OIG is directed to submit a staffing plan to the Committees on Appropriations no later than January 15, 2002.

CONSOLIDATED FEE FUND

(RESCISSION)

Includes a rescission of \$6,700,000 from the Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF FEDERAL HOUSING ENTERPRISE OVERSIGHT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$27,000,000 for the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight (OFHEO) to be derived from collections available in the Federal Housing Enterprises Oversight Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$23,000,000 as proposed by the House. Of the amount provided, \$4,000,000 is for a one-time increase to address information technology requirements.

Includes language requiring OFHEO to submit a staffing plan to the Committees on Appropriations by January 30, 2002. The conferees expect this staffing plan to prioritize OFHEO's activities relative to implementation of the new risk-based capital regulation. The conferees are aware that a one-year transition period has been provided for implementation of this rule. Should additional resources be required to implement this rule, the conferees will evaluate such requirements when developing the fiscal year 2003 budget.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Includes modified language related to the allocation of HOPWA funds for the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and Raleigh-Durham, North Carolina metropolitan areas, similar to language proposed by the House and the Senate.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate extending section 236 excess income eligibility. The House did not include a similar provision.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate amending section 223(d) of the National Housing Act to authorize insurance

for the purchase of existing hospital facilities. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language repealing the authorization sunset provisions for certain housing counseling assistance activities as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language changing the premium structure for section 203(k) and section 234 single family loans as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed the same changes with minor technical language differences related to implementation.

Includes language authorizing the Secretary to waive the 40 percent rent ceiling under section 8 for an assisted living demonstration project in Michigan as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate expanding HUD's authority to establish and determine the appropriate use of certain mortgage insurance programs for hospital facilities. The House did not include a similar provision.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate expanding HUD's authority to establish and determine the appropriate use of certain mortgage insurance programs for nursing home facilities. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language authorizing HUD's Credit Watch program as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision. This provision will clarify existing law to ensure that HUD has the authority to continue to implement the Credit Watch program. This program allows HUD to identify FHA lenders that originate a large number of loans that default quickly, which can be a key indicator of underwriting problems or fraud, and take corrective actions. By eliminating unqualified or unscrupulous lenders, the conferees hope HUD can reduce the number of foreclosed properties. The conferees also believe that further action may be necessary to protect homebuyers and communities, and expects HUD to consider additional steps that could be taken and report back to the appropriate committees with its recommendations.

Includes language requiring all title II programs to comply with the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes modified language exempting Alaska, Mississippi, and Iowa from the statutory requirement of having a resident on the board of a PHA, similar to language proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision. The conferees are concerned that barriers continue to exist in some States which preclude full implementation of the statutory requirement that public housing residents be full participants on PHA boards. While language is again included providing exemptions to this requirement, the conferees believe that the States should take the appropriate actions necessary to remove barriers, rather than continuing to seek exemptions from the statute. The conferees direct HUD to review the status of implementation of this requirement, identify the factors precluding full implementation and actions being taken by the appropriate State or local entities to remove these barriers, and report its findings to the Committees on Appropriations no later than May 30, 2002.

Includes modified language requiring the Secretary to maintain section 8 rental assistance for any HUD-owned or HUD-held property occupied by an elderly or disabled resident, similar to language proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language proposed by the Senate amending the National Housing Act to increase the statutory loan limits on certain FHA multifamily and single-family programs. The House did not include a similar provision.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate related to the construction of a tribal student housing project. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language modifying the authorized purposes and availability of funds provided to the University of South Carolina in Public Law 106–554 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language amending section 247 of the National Housing Act to change the definitions and eligibility for single-family mortgage insurance on Hawaiian homelands as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language waiving the environmental review procedures for certain HOME projects in Arkansas provided certain conditions are met as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes language proposed by the Senate providing flexible use of existing HOPE VI funds awarded for the Hollander Ridge project. The House did not include a similar provision.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate to change the Fair Housing Act's definition of discrimination based on sex from one based on gender to one based upon victimization from domestic violence. The House did not include a similar provision. The conferees direct HUD to work with PHAs to develop plans to protect victims of domestic violence from being discriminated against in receiving or maintaining public housing because of their victimization.

### TITLE III—INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

#### AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$35,466,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$28,466,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the appropriated level, \$2,000,000 has been provided to complete the backlogged maintenance work identified prior to fiscal year 1998. The conferees commend ABMC for its diligence in identifying, prioritizing, and completing this necessary maintenance, and expect the Commission to report to the Committees on Appropriations, prior to May 1st of each fiscal year, on the current state of maintenance requirements throughout the cemetery system.

The conferees have also provided an additional \$5,000,000 above the budget request for the study, planning, and initial construction costs related to a new visitors center at the Normandy American Cemetery and Memorial near St. Laurent-sur-Mer,

France. The conferees are cognizant of the unique circumstances at the Normandy Cemetery, which is both the solemn resting place for 9,387 servicemen and women and a tourist destination for in excess of 1,000,000 annual visitors. Current visitor facilities are entirely inadequate to properly serve those individuals in need of privacy and counseling, as well as those who wish to better understand the historical perspective of the battles that occurred nearby. The conferees intend that in the development of appropriate plans regarding the placement, scope, and character of such a new visitor center, the Commission consult with a variety of entities, including the National Park Service, which may have particular expertise with facilities of this nature.

#### CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$7,850,000 for salaries and expenses instead of \$8,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,621,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the amount appropriated, \$2,500,000 is available until September 30, 2003 and \$5,350,000 is available until September 20, 2002. Bill language has been included again this fiscal year which limits the number of career Senior Executive Service positions to three.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

##### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

##### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Appropriates \$80,000,000 for the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund as proposed by the House instead of \$100,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes \$5,000,000 for technical assistance designed to benefit Native American communities as proposed by the Senate instead of \$500,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees agree that Native Hawaiian and Alaskan Native communities are eligible entities for this program.

Provides \$9,500,000 for administrative expenses instead of \$8,948,000 as proposed by the House and \$9,850,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Provides for a limitation on the amount of direct loans of \$51,800,000 as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$15,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conferees agree with the direction of the Senate calling for inclusion of a report on rural lending practices as part of the fiscal year 2003 budget submission.

#### CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$55,200,000 for the Consumer Product Safety Commission, salaries and expenses, instead of \$54,200,000 as proposed by the House and \$56,200,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The amount provided represents a \$1,000,000 increase above the budget request to maintain the current level of staffing and operational expenses.

The conferees are aware of public concerns about the potential health and safety risks related to the use of chromated copper arsenate (CCA) to treat wood playground equipment. To this end, the conferees direct CPSC to report to the Committees on Appropriations by February 15, 2002, on the steps being taken to identify whether there are significant health and safety risks to children playing on and around CCA-treated wood playground equipment. Such report shall also include the actions CPSC is taking to keep state and local governments, as well as consumers, informed about their findings on the health effects associated with CCA-treated wood playground equipment.

#### CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

##### NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriates \$401,980,000 for national and community service program operating expenses instead of \$415,480,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not provide any new funds for fiscal year 2002 operations, but did not eliminate the agency.

Limits funds as proposed by the Senate to not more than: \$31,000,000 for administrative expenses of which \$2,000,000 is to be for a cost accounting system; \$2,500 for official reception and representation expenses; \$5,000,000 from the National Service Trust for national service scholarships for high school students performing community service; \$240,492,000 for AmeriCorp grants, of which not to exceed \$47,000,000 may be for national direct programs and \$25,000,000 for E-Corps; \$43,000,000 for school-based and community-based service learning programs; \$28,488,000 for quality and innovation activities under subtitle H of title I; and \$5,000,000 for audits and other evaluations.

The conferees have agreed to the Senate proposal of \$25,000,000 for the National Civilian Community Corps, an increase of \$4,000,000 over fiscal year 2001. Additional funds are provided to expand the number of AmeriCorps members serving at the five campuses currently in operation.

The conferees deleted without prejudice funding for the Veterans Mission for Youth Program as proposed by the Senate and agreed to not fund the Silver Scholarship program. The conferees believe the authorizing committees of jurisdiction should evaluate and legislate these programs in the overall consideration of the Corporation's reauthorization.

The conferees direct the Corporation to provide quarterly status reports to the Committees, beginning in January 2002, on the implementation of the new cost accounting system and on the expenditure of awards under the Trust Fund. The Corporation should also provide a copy of the Trust Fund award report to the IG. The conferees agree to the Senate proposal to provide not more than \$10,000,000 for the Points of Light Foundation of which \$2,500,000 may be used for establishment of an endowment; authorizes the Points of Light Foundation to use up to \$2,500,000 of previously appropriated funds for this endowment; \$7,500,000 for America's

Promise; \$5,000,000 for Communities In Schools; \$2,500,000 for the YMCA; \$1,000,000 for Teach For America; and \$1,500,000 for Parents As Teachers. In addition, the conferees provide \$1,500,000 for the Youth Life Foundation (YLF) for the same purposes contained in the fiscal year 2001 Statement of Managers (House Report 106–988). The conferees also expect YLF to continue its effort in coordinating and collaborating its activities with America’s Promise.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$5,000,000 for Office of Inspector General as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$13,221,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$22,537,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$18,437,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agreed to include funds over the request to complete construction of the proposed columbarium.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

Appropriates \$70,228,000 for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences as proposed by the House and the Senate. Of the appropriated amount, \$45,824,000 is for research and \$24,404,000 is for worker training activities.

AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

Appropriates \$78,235,000 for toxic substances and environmental public health as proposed by the House and the Senate. Bill language has again this year been included which permits the Administrator of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) to conduct other appropriate health studies and evaluations or activities in lieu of health assessments pursuant to section 104(i)(6) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA). The language further stipulates that in the conduct of such other health assessments, evaluations or activities, the ATSDR shall not be bound by the deadlines imposed in section 104(i)(6)(A) of CERCLA. Funds provided for fiscal year 2002 cannot be used by the ATSDR to conduct in excess of 40 toxicological profiles.

The conferees once again encourage ATSDR to provide adequate funds for minority health professions and for the ongoing health effects study on the consumption of Great Lakes fish.

Finally, the conferees have again agreed to cap administrative costs charged by the CDC at 7.5 percent of the amount appropriated herein for the ATSDR.

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Appropriates \$698,089,000 for science and technology instead of \$680,410,000 as proposed by the House and \$665,672,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have agreed to the following increases above the budget request:

1. \$2,500,000 for EPSCoR;
2. \$4,000,000 for the Water Environment Research Foundation;
3. \$5,000,000 for the American Water Works Association Research Foundation;
4. \$2,000,000 for the National Decentralized Water Resource Capacity Development Project, in coordination with EPA, for continued training and research and development program;
5. \$750,000 for the Integrated Public/Private Energy and Environmental Consortium (IPEC) to develop cost-effective environmental technology, improved business practices, and technology transfer for the domestic petroleum industry;
6. \$750,000 for the Geothermal Heat Pump Consortium (GHP);
7. \$500,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research;
8. \$1,000,000 for the Center for the Study of Metals in the Environment;
9. \$750,000 for the University of South Alabama, Center for Estuarine Research;
10. \$500,000 to the University of California, Riverside for continued research of advanced vehicle design, advanced transportation systems, vehicle emissions, and atmospheric pollution at the CE-CERT facility;
11. \$750,000 for the San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District for research and design (cost evaluation and environmental studies) of a mitigation project addressing the city's contaminated high groundwater table and dangers presented by liquefaction;
12. \$750,000 to the City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department's Enhanced Reliability System of Improvements for water distribution and storage in San Bernardino, California;
13. \$1,000,000 to improve the transmission, distribution, and storage of potable water in the City of Needles, California;
14. \$750,000 for planning, design, and development of a groundwater storage system in the City of San Bernardino, California;
15. \$750,000 to the City of Glendale, California working in conjunction with the Utah State University in Logan, Utah, the University of Colorado in Boulder, and UCLA for a research study and pilot treatment plant focused on the removal of chromium 6 from water;

16. \$750,000 to the Central California Air Quality Coalition for a California Regional Sacramento and San Francisco Bay Air Quality study for ozone;

17. \$1,300,000 for the National Jewish Medical and Research Center for research on the relationship between indoor and outdoor pollution and the development of respiratory diseases;

18. \$1,500,000 for the Connecticut River Airshed-Watershed Consortium;

19. \$1,250,000 to the University of Miami in Florida for the Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science;

20. \$500,000 for the creation of a Center for Environmental Science, a joint project of the University of Chicago and Argonne National Laboratory;

21. \$1,000,000 for environmental education and research at the Turtle Cove Research Station, Louisiana;

22. \$1,000,000 for the Center for Urban Environmental Research and Education at the University of Maryland Baltimore County;

23. \$250,000 to the University of New England for the National Center for Marine Mammal Rehabilitation and Research in Biddeford, Maine;

24. \$1,250,000 for the Great Lakes Hydrological Center of Excellence partnership by Western Michigan University and the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan;

25. \$500,000 for the Missouri River Institute for research and outreach;

26. \$3,900,000 for the Mine Waste Technology Program at the National Environmental Waste Technology, Testing, and Evaluation Center;

27. \$500,000 to the University of North Carolina at Greensboro for the Bioterrorism Water Quality Protection Program with the aim of developing highly automated and inexpensive testing protocols;

28. \$1,500,000 to the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill for the Schools of Public Health and Medicine to advance the "one atmosphere" approach to determining the health effects of air pollution;

29. \$1,200,000 for the Center for Air Toxic Metals at the Energy and Environmental Research Center;

30. \$500,000 to the University of Nebraska-Lincoln's Water Sciences Laboratory at the Water Center for field and laboratory equipment;

31. \$500,000 to the University of New Hampshire for groundwater contamination research conducted at the Bedrock Bioremediation Center;

32. \$750,000 for the Cancer Institute of New Jersey for research of the influence of environmental factors in cancer causation;

33. \$1,000,000 for the National Environmental Respiratory Center at the Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute;

34. \$100,000 for a study of air quality and noise pollution of the neighborhoods surrounding LaGuardia Airport;

35. \$500,000 to Rockland County, New York for an assessment of environmental hazards in Rockland county and the east side of Manhattan;

36. \$1,000,000 for continuation of the South Bronx Air Pollution Study being conducted by New York University;

37. \$1,500,000 to Syracuse University, New York to develop alternative approaches to assessing the impact of pollutants on environmental systems;

38. \$500,000 to the Syracuse Research Corporation in Syracuse, New York for the development of a Probability Risk Assessment Center;

39. \$500,000 to the Rivers and Estuaries Center on the Hudson in New York for research on river and estuarine environments;

40. \$1,257,000 to the Environmental Technology Commercialization Center in Cleveland, Ohio for the National Environmental Technology Incubator and technology commercialization activities;

41. \$1,000,000 to Saint Vincent College in Pennsylvania for an environmental education and teacher preparation initiative;

42. \$750,000 for a collaborative effort between the University of Tennessee, Western Carolina University and Emory University for the Air Quality Improvements for the Great Smoky Mountains National Park Initiative;

43. \$1,500,000 for the Mickey Leland National Urban Air Toxics Research Center;

44. \$1,000,000 for the Gulf Coast Hazardous Substance Research Center;

45. \$350,000 to the Texas Institute for Applied Environmental Research at Tarleton State University;

46. \$3,500,000 to the University of Houston, Texas for the Texas Learning Computation Center's Environmental Initiative;

47. \$1,500,000 to the National Environmental Policy Institute for implementation of a pilot program to address air quality and pollution in a region through the use of telework;

48. \$100,000 for the University of Vermont's Proctor Maple Research Center to continue mercury deposition monitoring effects;

49. \$250,000 for acid rain research at the University of Vermont;

50. \$1,300,000 for the Canaan Valley Institute to continue to develop a regional sustainability support center and coordinated information system in the Mid-Atlantic Highlands;

51. \$970,000 for the Canaan Valley Institute in close coordination with the Regional Vulnerability and Assessment (ReVA) initiative to develop research and educational tools using integrative technologies to predict future environmental risk and support informed, proactive decision-making to be undertaken in conjunction with the Highlands action program; and

52. \$500,000 for the National Energy Technology Laboratory for continued activities of a comprehensive clean water initiative in cooperation with EPA Region III.

The conferees have provided an additional \$68,200 for civil enforcement and capacity building activities, bringing the fiscal year 2002 funding level for those programs to no less than the fiscal year 2001 level.

The conferees have agreed to reduce funding for hazardous waste research \$1,494,100 below the budget request level.

The conferees have agreed to provide \$4,000,000 from within available funds throughout the Science and Technology account, for the research, development, and validation of non-animal, alternative chemical screening and prioritization methods, such as rapid, non-animal screens and Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSAR), for potential inclusion in EPA's current and future relevant chemical evaluation programs. Activities funded in this regard should be designed in consultation with the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxic Substances.

The conferees continue to support the partnership between the EPA and the National Technology Transfer Center and expect the Agency to continue the cooperative agreement at the fiscal year 2001 level.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

Appropriates \$2,054,511,000 for environmental programs and management instead of \$2,004,599,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,061,996,200 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have agreed to the following increases to the budget request:

1. \$16,000,000 for rural water technical assistance activities and ground water protection with distribution as follows: \$9,000,000 for the NRWA; \$3,500,000 for RCAP; \$750,000 for GWPC; \$1,750,000 for Small Flows Clearinghouse; and \$1,000,000 for the NETC;

2. \$1,000,000 for implementation of the National Biosolids Partnership Program;

3. \$2,000,000 for the source water protection program;

4. \$5,000,000 to accelerate the development of new and update current IRIS values;

5. \$1,750,000 for Chesapeake Bay small watershed grants, to be expended as specified in Senate Report 107-43. This increase, along with EPA's redirection of \$698,700 in fiscal year 2001 EPM funds to the Chesapeake Bay Program for fiscal year 2002 will result in a total of \$21,267,400 available in fiscal year 2002 for the Chesapeake Bay Program. This amount is \$539,300 above the fiscal year 2001 level;

6. \$537,600 for the Great Lakes National Program Office for a total program level of \$15,500,000;

7. \$5,500,000 for the National Estuary Program for a total program level of \$22,553,200. The conferees recommend that a minimum of 65 percent of the funds provided for the National Estuary Program be reserved for programs in the estuaries of national significance for which the Administrator has convened a management conference by the date of enactment of this appropriation Act pursuant to section 320 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, for the development and implementation of a comprehensive conservation and management plan;

8. \$1,545,200 for the Lake Champlain Basin Program for a total program level of \$2,500,000;

9. \$2,022,600 for the Long Island Sound Program Office for a total program level of \$2,500,000;

10. \$2,500,000 for the National Alternative Fuels Training Consortium;
11. \$200,000 for the Northeast Waste Management Officials Association to continue solid waste, hazardous waste, cleanup and pollution prevention programs;
12. \$500,000 for the Kenai River Center for continued research on watershed issues;
13. \$1,000,000 for the Columbia Basin Groundwater Management Area;
14. \$1,000,000 for the Frank M. Tejada Center for Excellence in Environmental Operations;
15. \$4,700,000 for America's Clean Water Foundation for implementation of on-farm environmental assessments for livestock operations;
16. \$850,000 for the Southcoast Harbor education and monitoring project;
17. \$2,500,000 for the Southwest Center for Environmental Research and Policy;
18. \$250,000 for the Northwest Straits Commission;
19. \$4,000,000 for the Small Public Water System Technology Centers at Western Kentucky University, the University of New Hampshire, the University of Alaska-Sitka; Pennsylvania State University, the University of Missouri-Columbia, Montana State University, the University of Illinois, and Mississippi State University, with each Center to receive \$500,000;
20. \$1,000,000 to the Gas Technology Institute for the Agricultural Mixed Waste Thermo-Depolymerization BioRefinery Project;
21. \$700,000 for the Alabama Department of Environmental Management for the water and wastewater training program;
22. \$500,000 to the Pima County Wastewater Management Department for a regional water quality research project in Arizona;
23. \$300,000 to Riverside County, California for continued work on the Special Area Management Plan portion of the Riverside County Integrated Plan;
24. \$500,000 to the San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Authority for the development, planning and design of watershed restoration projects;
25. \$750,000 to Ventura County, California for the completion and implementation of the Calleguas Creek Watershed Management Plan;
26. \$250,000 to establish a Santa Ana River Watershed Research and Training Program at the Water Resources Institute of California State University, San Bernardino;
27. \$500,000 to the Sacramento County, California Regional Sanitation District to continue the Sacramento River Toxic Pollutant Control Program and the Sacramento River Watershed Program;
28. \$500,000 to the National Park Service/Golden Gate National Parks Association for the Crissy Field tidal marsh wetlands monitoring and restoration project;
29. \$500,000 for MTBE remedial activities in Santa Monica, California;
30. \$500,000 for cross-media and water quality monitoring in the Sweetwater River watershed, California;

31. \$500,000 for Gateway Cities, California, diesel emissions reduction program;
32. \$250,000 for the Central California ozone study;
33. \$250,000 to Miami-Dade County, Florida for lead screening, testing, outreach education and abatement in the Liberty City neighborhood;
34. \$200,000 to Miami-Dade County, Florida to expand the existing environmental education program;
35. \$500,000 to the Southwest Water Management for fishery and habitat restoration in Lake Panasoffkee, Florida;
36. \$850,000 for the University of West Florida to determine if a connection exists between elevated levels of illness in Northwest Florida and the levels of toxic pollutants in the area;
37. \$1,500,000 to Columbus Water Works in Georgia for an Advanced Biosolids Flow-Through Thermophilic Treatment Process demonstration project;
38. \$100,000 for the American Farmland Trust to continue support for the design for the environment for farms program in Hawaii and the American Pacific;
39. \$400,000 for the County of Hawaii and the Hawaii Island Economic Development Board to establish and implement a community development model for renewable resource management by upgrading solid waste transfer stations into community recycling centers;
40. \$500,000 for the Economic Development Alliance of Hawaii to promote biotechnology to reduce pesticide use in tropical and subtropical agricultural production;
41. \$250,000 for the County of Maui for the control of nuisance seaweed accumulations on the beaches of Kihei, Maui, Hawaii;
42. \$1,000,000 to the Water Systems Council to assist in the effective delivery of water to rural citizens nationwide;
43. \$750,000 for the painting and coating assistance initiative through the University of Northern Iowa;
44. \$750,000 for the Center for Agricultural and Rural Development at Iowa State University for the Resource and Agricultural Policy Systems program;
45. \$500,000 for the Small Business Pollution Prevention Center at the University of Northern Iowa;
46. \$1,000,000 for Boise State University for developing multi-purpose sensors to detect and analyze environmental contaminants;
47. \$900,000 for the Environmental Biotechnology Institute at the University of Idaho to develop selenium control technologies;
48. \$2,000,000 for the Coeur d'Alene Basin Commission, established by the State of Idaho to carry out pilot program for environmental response, natural resource restoration and related activities;
49. \$500,000 to the Lake County, Illinois Stormwater Management Commission for an assessment of natural resources in the Upper Des Plaines River watershed;
50. \$500,000 to Raccoon Lake, Centralia, Illinois for implementation of a water supply plan including engineering and design costs;
51. \$500,000 to Purdue University in Indiana for the Contaminant Remediation Optimization Program (CROP);

52. \$200,000 to the City of Shreveport, Louisiana to provide technical support for the Mayor's Clean Air Citizens Advisory Committee;

53. \$100,000 for a regional water and sewer consolidation study in St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana;

54. \$4,000,000 for the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Restoration Program;

55. \$200,000 for a study of air quality in the Shreve-Bossier area of Louisiana;

56. \$500,000 to the University of Maryland for the Regional Earth Sciences Center and mapping of wetlands in the Chesapeake Bay watershed;

57. \$750,000 for the Maryland Bureau of Mines for an acid mine drainage remediation project;

58. \$1,000,000 for projects demonstrating the benefits of Low Impact Development along the Anacostia Watershed in Montgomery and Prince Georges Counties, Maryland;

59. \$500,000 for the Michigan Biotechnology Institute for development and demonstration of environmental cleanup technologies;

60. \$500,000 to the Cranbrook Education Community to implement a storm water management plan within the Upper Rouge River watershed;

61. \$1,000,000 for the Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute's Missouri watershed initiative project;

62. \$500,000 for the City of Lake St. Louis, Missouri for a Water Quality study of Perouque Creek Watershed;

63. \$300,000 to Mecklenburg County, North Carolina for the continuation and expansion of the Charlotte Surface Water Improvement and Management program;

64. \$850,000 for continued activities of the North Carolina Central University research initiative;

65. \$400,000 to Wake County, North Carolina for planning, environmental analysis and design of a watershed management plan;

66. \$250,000 to the Crop Life Foundation for a North Carolina Environmental Stewardship Project;

67. \$750,000 to the Town of Rosman, North Carolina for the development of engineering plans for addressing the Town's wastewater infrastructure needs;

68. \$250,000 to Rowan University in Glassboro, New Jersey for the Environmental Community Revitalization and Research Initiative as a demonstration program;

69. \$200,000 to the Borough of Rutherford, New Jersey for an engineering study of the area's sanitary sewer collection system;

70. \$13,600 for the water quality monitoring program along the New Jersey-New York shoreline for a total of \$300,000;

71. \$1,500,000 to continue the sediment decontamination technology demonstration in the New York-New Jersey Harbor;

72. \$100,000 for Fallon, Nevada, for arsenic removal technologies;

73. \$750,000 to Alfred University of Alfred, New York for the Center for Environmental and Energy Research (CEER);

74. \$250,000 to the Town of Babylon, New York for a feasibility study on expanding the Southwest Sewer District;

75. \$500,000 for the development of an Environmental Leadership Institute at Niagara University, New York;

76. \$250,000 to the Rochester Institute of Technology (RIT) to create a National Materials Recovery and Recycling Center of Excellence;

77. \$1,500,000 for continued work on the water quality management plans for the Central New York watersheds in Onondaga and Cayuga counties;

78. \$500,000 to Cornell University in New York for a demonstration project in Skaneateles, Otisco and Oneida Lake Watersheds to study the effectiveness of biological controls in addressing the environmental and ecological problems caused by milfoil, waterchestnuts and other aquatic weeds;

79. \$150,000 to the State University of New York's Environmental School of Forestry for the Otisco Lake Watershed Evaluation Project;

80. \$1,400,000 for the Ohio River Watershed Pollutant Reduction Program;

81. \$500,000 for the Integrated Petroleum Environmental Consortium;

82. \$100,000 to the City of Altus, Oklahoma to conduct environmental engineering studies for the expansion of water treatment facilities;

83. \$130,000 to the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania for lead screening, testing, outreach, education and abatement;

84. \$500,000 for the Brazos-Navasota watershed management project;

85. \$250,000 for the Envision Utah Project;

86. \$250,000 for the Vermont Department of Agriculture to work with conservation districts to reduce non-point source pollution run-off to the Poultney-Mettowee watershed;

87. \$500,000 to King County, Washington for the Direct Carbonate Fuel Cell Demonstration Project;

88. \$500,000 to Franklin, Grant, and Adams Counties to support the Groundwater Management Area in Washington State;

89. \$50,000 to the Lake Washington Technical College—Redmond campus for the next phase of the environmental assessment of a DoD site;

90. \$1,750,000 to the Green Bay Metropolitan Sewerage District in Wisconsin for a biosolids treatment demonstration project;

91. \$600,000 for a two year study of sewer system improvements for Superior, Wisconsin;

92. \$1,230,000 for on-going activities at the Canaan Valley Institute, including activities relating to community sustainability;

93. \$300,000 for the continued implementation of the Potomac River Visions Initiative through the Friends of the Potomac;

94. \$200,000 to the Polymer Alliance Zone's MARCEE Initiative with oversight being provided by the Office of Solid Waste.

The conferees have also included an increase of \$8,664,000 for enforcement activities conducted by the EPA through the Environmental Programs and Management account. Agency-wide, the conferees have restored \$15,001,100 for enforcement programs and activities conducted through the Science and Technology, Hazardous Substance Superfund, and Environmental Programs and Manage-

ment accounts, bringing the Agency funding total for enforcement to slightly more than the fiscal year 2001 level. The conferees expect the Agency to restore federal enforcement positions in accordance with the fiscal year 2001 Operating Plan. The conferees recognize that restoring these enforcement positions may result in the on-board personnel level at EPA to exceed 17,500 FTEs.

The conferees have agreed to the following reductions from the budget request:

1. \$1,322,900 from Administrative Services;
2. \$2,097,800 from Direct Public Information and Assistance;
3. \$2,298,700 from Public Access programs;
4. \$2,581,200 from Regional Management activities;
5. \$2,896,400 from Reinvention programs;
6. \$3,234,800 from Project XL; and
7. \$11,260,200 as a general reduction.

The conferees direct the Agency to provide no less than the fiscal year 2001 funding level for continuing operation of the Environmental Education programs.

The conferees have, within available funds, provided \$2,000,000 for the eight Environmental Finance Centers. This represents an increase of \$751,000 over the budget request for this excellent program. Also within available funds, the Agency is directed to provide \$3,000,000 above the budget request level for implementation of the High Production Volume Chemical Challenge Program; \$200,000 for setting standards and to increase awareness of the benefits of ambient temperature glass technology; and \$500,000 for the Association of Metropolitan Sewerage Agencies to provide information to the wastewater treatment industry regarding security measures, and to facilitate communication and coordination between the wastewater treatment industry and relevant governmental agencies in order to increase security at wastewater facilities throughout the nation.

Again this year, the Agency is directed to provide no less than the budget request levels for Pesticide Registration and Re-registration programs. Further, up to \$9,000,000 requested to support 87 FTEs in the re-registration program may be used to support tolerance reassessment activities. Bill language has again been included in title IV, General Provisions, prohibiting funds for use to promulgate a final regulation to implement changes in the payment of pesticide tolerance processing fees as proposed at 64 Federal Register 31040, or any similar proposal. Finally, the conferees direct the Agency to use \$1,500,000 from within available funds (other than those funds budgeted and provided specifically for registration, re-registration, and tolerance assessment activities) to further demonstrate the current, as well as the proposed expanded role of the Agency, regarding the expedited review and registration of reduced risk pesticides. The Agency is urged to provide for the Committees on Appropriations a detailed report on the results of this demonstration and any specific plans the Agency may have to expand the program.

The conferees have provided, also from within available funds, \$2,000,000 for the Administrator to develop and carry out a lamp recycling outreach program. In order to increase awareness of proper disposal methods among commercial and industrial users of en-

ergy efficient mercury-containing lamps, including fluorescent and high discharge lamps, this program should be used to promote lamp recycling, in compliance with the provisions of Federal and State Universal Waste Rules. The program is to be developed jointly with State environmental agencies, and with lamp manufacturers and lamp recyclers, either as individual companies, or collectively through their trade associations.

The conferees have provided the full budget request for the Endocrine Disrupter Screening Program and direct that no reductions be proposed in the operating plan submission for this important program. In addition, the conferees are encouraged that the Agency is establishing the Endocrine Disruptor Methods Validation Subcommittee (EDMVS) of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy (NACEPT). The EDMVS will provide a means by which interested parties can participate to express their concerns and work to ensure a scientifically sound validation process for the animal and non-animal based screens and tests in the developing program. The conferees urge EPA to develop validation processes that incorporate the advice of the EDMVS, and the Agency is requested to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the status of the EDMVS by March 15, 2002.

The conferees are aware of the extraordinary success the military services have achieved in recent years by utilizing pulse technology in vehicles and equipment. This technology has contributed to significant cost savings in battery management programs and has enhanced the ability of the military services to increase the effectiveness of their environmental responsibilities through the extension of the service life of its batteries. In light of this success of the military, the conferees expect EPA to actively investigate the environmental and monetary benefits that could be realized by encouraging government-wide use of pulse technology in the maintenance of the federal vehicle fleet and other applicable equipment.

In August 2000, EPA published an assessment of the state of the streams of the Mid-Atlantic Highlands area. Because of the importance of the Mid-Atlantic Highlands and the success of the aforementioned assessment, the conferees direct the Agency to prepare a follow-up report on the state of the Mid-Atlantic Highlands as a whole by April 15, 2002. Further, consistent with the House Report accompanying H.R. 2620, the Administrator is expected to enter into an interagency agreement with other federal agencies and cooperative agreements with states, local governments and non-governmental organizations to carry out the goals of the Mid-Atlantic Highlands program.

The conferees note that EPA's August 1, 2001, draft report on "The National Costs of the Total Maximum Daily Load Program" does not provide any information on the cost of regulatory changes to the TMDL program on small businesses, notwithstanding specific language in the statement of managers accompanying the fiscal year 2001 appropriations Act directing EPA to conduct that analysis. The conferees intend EPA to estimate the cost to small businesses from implementation of that rule, whether those costs are imposed directly by EPA or indirectly by State programs implementing EPA regulations.

The conferees continue to support efforts being undertaken by state energy, environmental, utility and transportation agencies to integrate their programs, policies, and regulations. The conferees encourage the relevant federal agencies to actively support and participate in this effort.

The conferees are aware that controversy has surrounded adoption of EPA's mixture and derived-from rules. In its adoption of a final rule in May 2001, EPA expressed its intent to continue to pursue actions to provide exemptions for certain low-risk wastes as identified through public comments and scientific documentation. The conferees expect the Agency to expedite the review of any requests for exemptions that may result in the management of certain residues and mixtures as non-hazardous waste, and to finalize those exemptions only where science supports such a determination.

The conferees agree that unspent funds made available in prior year appropriation Acts for certain activities or projects in Cortland County, New York may be used to fund additional projects specifically in that county.

The conferees are aware of public concerns about the potential health and safety risks related to the use of chromated copper arsenate (CCA) to treat wood playground equipment. To this end, the conferees direct EPA to report to the Committees on Appropriations by February 15, 2002, on the steps being taken to identify whether there are significant health and safety risks to children playing on and around CCA-treated wood playground equipment. Such report shall also include the actions EPA is taking to keep state and local governments, as well as the public, informed about their findings on the health effects associated with CCA-treated wood playground equipment.

The conferees are aware of significant and increasing water quality and water quantity problems along the Fox River watershed in Kane, McHenry, Lake, Kendall, DeKalb, and LaSalle Counties, Illinois. The conferees urge that available funds to EPA be used to initiate the development of aggregated watershed data, a watershed-wide Geographic Information System (GIS), overall watershed water quality assessment and modeling, and a framework for facilitating a comprehensive watershed management plan. Any grants made by EPA for this project should be provided to the Illinois EPA.

#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$34,019,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the House and the Senate. In addition to amounts appropriated directly to the OIG, \$11,867,000 is also available by transfer from funds appropriated for Hazardous Substance Superfund.

#### BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Appropriates \$25,318,000 for buildings and facilities as proposed by the House.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,270,000,000 for hazardous substance superfund as proposed by the House instead of \$1,274,645,560 as proposed by the Senate. Bill language provides that \$635,000,000 of the appropriated amount is to be derived from the Superfund Trust Fund, while the remaining \$635,000,000 is to be derived from General Revenues of the Treasury. Additional language provides for the transfer of \$11,867,000 to the Office of Inspector General, and for the transfer of \$36,891,000 to the Science and Technology account as proposed by the House instead of \$36,890,500 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have agreed to the following fiscal year 2002 funding levels:

1. \$910,070,000 for Superfund response and cleanup activities.
2. \$139,346,000 for enforcement activities.
3. \$133,000,000 for management and support.
4. \$11,867,000 for transfer to the Office of Inspector General.
5. \$36,891,000 for research and development activities, to be transferred to the Science and Technology account.
6. \$38,826,000 for reimbursable interagency activities, including \$28,150,000 for the Department of Justice and \$10,676,000 for OSHA, FEMA, NOAA, the United States Coast Guard, and the Department of the Interior.

The conferees have agreed to provide the budget request level of \$97,651,600 for the Brownfields program, which includes funding from various programs within the Hazardous Substance Superfund account (totaling \$94,977,400) and the Environmental Programs and Management account. The conferees further agree that the fiscal year 2001 funding levels for the SITE program and for the hazardous substance research centers be maintained for fiscal year 2002.

Once again this year, the conferees support the national pilot worker training program which recruits and trains young persons who live near hazardous waste sites or in communities at risk of exposure to contaminated properties for work in the environmental field. The conferees direct EPA to continue funding this effort in cooperation and collaboration with the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

The conferees agree that \$100,000,000 of the appropriated amount shall not become available until September 1, 2002.

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

Appropriates \$73,000,000 for the leaking underground storage tank program instead of \$79,200,000 as proposed by the House and \$71,947,400 as proposed by the Senate.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

Appropriates \$15,000,000 for oil spill response as proposed by the House instead of \$14,986,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Appropriates \$3,733,276,000 for state and tribal assistance grants instead of \$3,436,899,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,603,015,900 as proposed by the Senate. Bill language specifically provides \$1,350,000,000 for Clean Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) capitalization grants; \$850,000,000 for Safe Drinking Water SRF capitalization grants; \$75,000,000 for the United States-Mexico Border program; \$40,000,000 for grants to address drinking water and wastewater infrastructure needs in rural and Alaska Native communities; \$1,074,376,000 for categorical grants to the states and tribes; \$343,900,000 for cost-shared grants for construction of water and wastewater treatment facilities and infrastructure and for groundwater protection infrastructure; and \$25,000,000 for a new Environmental Information Exchange Network grant program.

The conferees have included bill language which, for fiscal year 2002, authorizes the Administrator of the EPA to use funds appropriated pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA) to make grants to Indian tribes pursuant to section 319(h) and 518(e) of FWPCA. In addition, bill language has been adopted which, (1) will permit the states to include as principal amounts considered to be the cost of administering SRF loans to eligible borrowers, with certain limitations; (2) permits the Administrator to reserve up to 1½ percent of the funds appropriated for the SRF under title VI of the FWPCA for grants under section 518(c) of that Act; (3) for fiscal year 2002, authorizes the states to transfer funds between the Clean Water and Safe Drinking Water SRF programs; and (4) stipulates that no funds provided in the Act to address water infrastructure needs of colonias within the United States along the United States-Mexico border shall be made available to a county or municipal government unless that governmental entity has established an enforceable ordinance or rule which prevents the development or construction of any additional colonia areas, or the development within an existing colonia of any new home, business, or other structure which lacks water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure.

As in previous years, the conferees have included bill language which stipulates that none of the funds provided in this or any previous years' Act for the Safe Drinking Water SRF may be reserved by the Administrator for health effects studies on drinking water contaminants. The conferees have instead provided significant resources for such studies within EPA's Science and Technology account.

The conferees have included bill language which will allow the Agency to use undesignated funds appropriated in prior years for specific water and wastewater grants approved for fiscal year 2002, but have not included a provision authorizing the expenditure of funds for a new State Enforcement Grant program. Although the conferees are generally supportive of state grant programs, it is believed that additional time is needed for the Agency to review and refine this proposal for inclusion in a future budget submission. The conferees note that this action to disapprove inclusion of this new program has been taken without prejudice.

Of the funds provided for the United States-Mexico Border program, \$7,000,000 is for the El Paso desalination and water supply project, and \$2,000,000 is for the Brownsville, Texas water supply project.

Of the amount provided through categorical grants for air resource assistance grants under sections 103 and 105 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, \$10,000,000, an increase of \$5,000,000 above the budget request, is for section 103 grants to the states to develop regional haze programs under title I, part C of the Clean Air Act. It is the intention of the conferees that these funds be used to aid states in the development of emissions inventories, quantification of natural visibility conditions, monitoring and other data necessary to define reasonable progress and develop control strategies, and to support the states' participation in regional efforts to coordinate their strategies, where necessary, and at the election of the individual states. The conferees direct the Agency to disburse the funds for the regional haze program to the States' regional planning organizations within 30 days of receipt of completed grant applications.

In addition, the conferees have provided \$8,000,000 above the budget request for section 105 air resource assistance grants, \$22,593,600 above the budget submission for section 106 water pollution grants and \$8,000,000 above the budget submission for the new Beach Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health Act (BEACH) grant program. The conferees have agreed to provide the budget request level for section 319 non-point source pollution grants.

The conferees agree that the \$343,900,000, together with unallocated funds made available in prior appropriations Acts for communities or other governmental entities for construction of water and wastewater treatment facilities and infrastructure and for groundwater protection infrastructure, shall be accompanied by a cost-share requirement whereby 45 percent of a project's cost is to be the responsibility of the community or entity consistent with long-standing guidelines of the Agency. These guidelines also offer flexibility in the application of the cost-share requirement for those few circumstances when meeting the 45 percent requirement is not financially possible. The Agency is commended for its past efforts in working with communities and other entities to resolve problems in this regard, and it is expected that this high level of effort and flexibility will continue throughout fiscal year 2002. In addition, the conferees agree that unspent water and wastewater infrastructure funds totaling approximately \$164,000 provided in a prior appropriation Act for Franklin County, Pennsylvania may be spent for other such water and wastewater infrastructure projects in that county.

The distribution of funds under this program is as follows:

1. \$1,800,000 of the Ketchikan Gateway Borough, Alaska for sewer and water improvements;
2. \$1,000,000 for Pelican, Alaska water and sewer improvements;
3. \$1,800,000 for Petersburg, Alaska for water and sewer upgrades;
4. \$3,000,000 for the Girdwood, Alaska water extension;

5. \$3,000,000 for addressing above ground leaking fuel tanks in Alaska;
6. \$1,500,000 for Wasilla, Alaska water and sewer improvements;
7. \$900,000 to the City of Sitka, Alaska for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements for the Sawmill Cove Industrial Park;
8. \$500,000 to Tuscaloosa County, Alabama for countywide water and sewer facilities;
9. \$1,000,000 for the Southeast Alabama Regional Water Authority for a water facility project;
10. \$600,000 for Grant, Alabama for wastewater collection and treatment facilities;
11. \$1,000,000 for the City of Jackson, Alabama for water system improvements;
12. \$450,000 to Blount County, Alabama for a wastewater treatment and collection systems;
13. \$1,900,000 to Rainsville, Alabama for a wastewater treatment facility upgrade and expansion;
14. \$500,000 to Arab, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
15. \$300,000 to Guin, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
16. \$250,000 to Franklin County, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
17. \$300,000 to Sumiton, Alabama for water system infrastructure improvements;
18. \$350,000 to Sardis City, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
19. \$900,000 to Shelby County, Alabama for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
20. \$2,500,000 to the Alabama Regional Water Authority for the Southwest Alabama Rural/Municipal Water System;
21. \$1,000,000 to the Town of Citronelle, Alabama South Alabama Utilities for water infrastructure improvements in Mobile County;
22. \$500,000 to the City of Jackson, Alabama for construction of a water treatment facility;
23. \$250,000 to the Town of Fulton, Alabama for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
24. \$500,000 to the Mobile County Water, Sewer and Fire Protection Authority for construction of new facilities and upgrades to existing facilities;
25. \$750,000 to the City of Brewton, Alabama for drainage infrastructure improvements;
26. \$1,000,000 to the City of Huntsville, Alabama for water system improvements;
27. \$1,000,000 to Hartselle Utilities for wastewater infrastructure in the City of Hartselle, Alabama;
28. \$1,000,000 to the City of Tuscumbia, Alabama for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
29. \$500,000 to the Limestone County Water and Sewer Authority for drinking water infrastructure improvements;

30. \$500,000 to the West Morgan-East Lawrence Water Authority for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
31. \$115,000 to the City of Luverne, Alabama for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
32. \$485,000 to the Clay County, Alabama Water Authority for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
33. \$2,000,000 for Union County, Arkansas for a community drinking water system;
34. \$250,000 to the City of Menifee, Arkansas for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
35. \$1,000,000 for the State of Arizona Water Infrastructure Finance Authority for making a loan to the City of Safford, Arizona to address the city's wastewater needs, which will be repaid by the city to the Arizona Clean Water Revolving Fund under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended;
36. \$500,000 for the Santa Rosa, California, drinking water infrastructure needs;
37. \$500,000 for the Los Banos, California, wastewater and drinking water infrastructure project;
38. \$500,000 for Compton, California, sewer infrastructure needs;
39. \$1,175,000 for Sacramento, California, combined sewer system improvements;
40. \$850,000 for the Placer County, California, wastewater treatment project;
41. \$500,000 for Lake County, California, for the Clear Lake Basin 2000 project;
42. \$2,800,000 for the Olivenhain, California drinking water project;
43. \$500,000 for Oxnard, California, area drinking water infrastructure needs;
44. \$400,000 to the City of Colton, California for storm drain improvements;
45. \$900,000 to the Mission Springs Water District in California to protect groundwater in the City of Desert Hot Springs;
46. \$250,000 to the City of Modesto, California for replacement of the 9th Street storm drain;
47. \$900,000 to the City of Laguna Beach, Orange County, California for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
48. \$100,000 to the Calaveras County Water District, California for water infrastructure improvements at the West Point Water System;
49. \$150,000 to the Tuolumne Utilities District of Tuolumne County, California for water supply infrastructure improvements and a canal optimization study;
50. \$1,800,000 to the Cities of Arcadia and Sierra Madre, California for seismic infrastructure upgrades to the drinking-water delivery system;
51. \$485,000 to the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California for the Desalination Research and Innovation Partnership project;
52. \$485,000 to the City of Redding, California for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements for the Stillwater Industrial Park;

53. \$900,000 to the City of Bellflower, California for a water infrastructure project;
54. \$500,000 for the continuation of water infrastructure improvements in Twentynine Palms, California;
55. \$250,000 for the Warren Valley Basin Recharge/Reuse project in Yucca Valley, California;
56. \$500,000 for the Lower Owens River Project in Inyo County, California;
57. \$500,000 for the completion of water infrastructure improvements in the Yucaipa Valley Water District in Yucaipa, California;
58. \$250,000 for the development of a water master plan to serve the water infrastructure needs of the City of Hesperia, California;
59. \$500,000 for planning and design of a sewage treatment and water reclamation facility in Apple Valley, California;
60. \$500,000 for environmental engineering and preliminary design of a regional water recycling facility in Victorville, California;
61. \$485,000 to the City of Compton, California for the Willowbrook Water Main Infrastructure project;
62. \$675,000 to the City of Brea, California for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
63. \$250,000 to the City of Pico Rivera, California for repairs and upgrades of the sewage system;
64. \$540,000 to the City of Lathrop, California to address contamination of the Sharp Depot well;
65. \$250,000 to Mariposa County, California for infrastructure improvements to the Yosemite West wastewater treatment and disposal facility;
66. \$900,000 to the City of Huntington Beach, California for the Huntington Beach Environmental Infrastructure Project;
67. \$675,000 to the City of South Gate, California for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
68. \$350,000 to the City of Garden Grove, California for construction of the Yockey/Newland Storm Drain;
69. \$485,000 to the City of Santa Rosa, California for the Santa Rosa Geysers Reclaimed Water project;
70. \$250,000 to the County of Ventura, California for wastewater infrastructure needs in El Rio;
71. \$1,485,000 for the Towns of Naturita and Nucia, Colorado for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
72. \$1,000,000 for the City of Montrose, Colorado for the Montrose Wastewater Inflow and Infiltration project;
73. \$2,400,000 to the City of New Britain, Connecticut for water and sewer infrastructure needs;
74. \$485,000 to the Central Naugatuck Valley Council of Governments for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements in the towns of Waterbury, Wolcott, and Middlebury, Connecticut;
75. \$1,800,000 to the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority to mitigate combined sewer overflows into the Anacostia and Potomac Rivers;
76. \$2,000,000 for the Town of Millsboro, Delaware, for wastewater infrastructure needs;

77. \$2,000,000 for Eastern Orange and Seminole Counties, Florida, for wastewater treatment upgrades;
78. \$900,000 to the City of Clearwater, Florida for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
79. \$485,000 to St Johns County, Florida for septic tank replacement in the West Augustine community;
80. \$250,000 to the City of Jacksonville, Florida for extension of public water hookups;
81. \$485,000 to Hillsborough County, Florida for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
82. \$4,000,000 to Miami-Dade County, Florida for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
83. \$675,000 to the City of West Palm Beach, Florida for completion of the IPR/Renaissance project, a wetlands-based indirect potable water and wastewater reuse program;
84. \$250,000 for the Central Florida Artificial Enhancement Program/Lake Marden Recharge Project;
85. \$800,000 to the City of Opa-locka, Florida for drinking water, wastewater and sewer infrastructure improvements;
86. \$500,000 to the City of North Miami, Florida for drinking water, wastewater and sewer infrastructure improvements;
87. \$500,000 to the City of North Miami Beach, Florida for drinking water, wastewater and sewer infrastructure improvements in the Highland Village neighborhood;
88. \$500,000 to the City of South Miami, Florida for drinking water, wastewater and sewer infrastructure improvements;
89. \$900,000 to Sarasota County, Florida for the Phillippi Creek Septic Tank replacement project;
90. \$900,000 to the City of Boca Raton, Florida for upgrades to the water treatment plant;
91. \$485,000 to fund the Central Florida Aquifer Recharge Enhancement Program—Surface Water Recharge Projects;
92. \$9,650,000 to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection for the Tampa Bay, Florida regional reservoir infrastructure project;
93. \$2,000,000 for the City of Roswell, Georgia, Big Creek Watershed drinking water and sewer infrastructure needs;
94. \$900,000 to Paulding County, Georgia for the Richland Creek Reservoir Project;
95. \$500,000 to the Guam Waterworks Authority for upgrades to the ground water chlorination system;
96. \$1,000,000 for the County of Hawaii to upgrade its drinking water system;
97. \$1,985,000 for the City of Des Moines, Iowa for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements;
98. \$2,400,000 to the City of Mason City, Iowa for upgrades to its water treatment facilities;
99. \$750,000 for the City of Bancroft, Idaho, for water system upgrades;
100. \$750,000 for the City of Burley, Idaho, to continue work on a wastewater treatment system project;
101. \$250,000 to the Bayview Water and Sewer District in Idaho for the Cape Horn Area Clean Water Compliance Project;

102. \$250,000 to the City of Filner, Idaho for construction of a municipal water system;
103. \$500,000 for Rock Falls, Illinois, wastewater treatment improvements;
104. \$500,000 for Illinois' Clark-Edgar Rural Water District drinking water project;
105. \$500,000 for the Monmouth, Illinois, storm sewer project;
106. \$985,000 for Galena, Illinois, wastewater treatment improvements;
107. \$500,000 for the City of Paris, Illinois, for drinking water infrastructure needs;
108. \$500,000 for the City of Macomb, Illinois, for drinking water infrastructure needs;
109. \$1,000,000 for the City of Lawrenceville, Illinois for a wastewater treatment facility;
110. \$485,000 to the Village of Orland Park, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
111. \$485,000 to the City of Moline, Illinois for the City's Water Improvement Project;
112. \$1,800,000 to the City of Aurora, Illinois for a combined sewer overflow project;
113. \$250,000 to the City of Sandwich, Illinois for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements;
114. \$900,000 to the Village of Carol Stream, Illinois for expansion of the Carol Stream Reclamation Center;
115. \$485,000 to the City of Chrisman, Illinois for construction of a new sewage treatment plant;
116. \$900,000 to the Village of Metamora, Illinois for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
117. \$250,000 to the Village of Justice, Illinois for a water infrastructure improvement project at the Wesley Fields water system;
118. \$485,000 to the Village of Johnsburg, Illinois for construction of a wastewater conveyance and treatment system;
119. \$900,000 for the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana for a model sewer improvement and stormwater retention project;
120. \$630,000 to the Town of Westfield, Indiana for a sewer system improvement project;
121. \$300,000 to the City of Carmel, Indiana for infrastructure improvements and an ultraviolet disinfection system;
122. \$485,000 to Merrillville Conservancy District in Merrillville, Indiana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
123. \$1,000,000 for the City of Hays, Kansas for the South Russell County Water Project;
124. \$485,000 to the City of Ottawa, Kansas for the engineering and design of a new wastewater treatment facility;
125. \$500,000 to the City of Wichita, Kansas for wastewater infrastructure rehabilitation;
126. \$1,000,000 for Daviess County, Kentucky, for drainage improvements;
127. \$485,000 to Bluegrass PRIDE of Kentucky for cleanup of Bluegrass Rivers and Streams;
128. \$300,000 to the City of Lawrenceburg, Kentucky for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

129. \$200,000 to the City of Irvine, Kentucky for the Irvine Sewer Rehabilitation in Estill County;

130. \$600,000 to the City of Hodgenville, Kentucky for modernization of the sewer system;

131. \$400,000 to the City of Mount Washington, Kentucky for extension of water and wastewater infrastructure for an industrial park;

132. \$250,000 to the City of Owenton, Kentucky for extension of sanitary wastewater collection systems;

133. \$3,600,000 to the City of Somerset, Kentucky for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

134. \$1,400,000 to the City of London, Kentucky for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

135. \$485,000 to Ohio County, Kentucky for the Regional Wastewater project;

136. \$2,000,000 for the Orleans Parish, Louisiana, sanitary sewer inflow infiltration project;

137. \$500,000 for East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana, water and sewer infrastructure needs;

138. \$485,000 to the City of Denham Springs, Louisiana for wastewater infrastructure upgrades at the Livingston Parish sewer districts Nos. 1 and 2;

139. \$900,000 to St. Charles Parish, Louisiana to address non-compliance issues regarding Luling Oxidation Pond;

140. \$200,000 to St. John the Baptist Parish, Louisiana for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

141. \$900,000 to St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

142. \$300,000 to the City of New Iberia, Louisiana for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

143. \$100,000 to St. James Parish, Louisiana for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

144. \$200,000 to the Bayou Lafourche Freshwater District for drinking water improvements and saltwater intrusion prevention;

145. \$100,000 to the City of Thibodaux, Louisiana for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

146. \$2,000,000 for the Bristol County, Massachusetts, combined sewer overflow projects;

147. \$350,000 to the City of Lowell, Massachusetts for combined sewer overflow infrastructure support;

148. \$485,000 to the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for mitigation of combined sewer overflows along the Connecticut River;

149. \$4,800,000 for biological nutrient removal upgrades at the City of Salisbury, Maryland, wastewater treatment plant;

150. \$500,000 for biological nutrient removal upgrades at the Conococheague wastewater treatment plant, Washington County, Maryland;

151. \$485,000 to the Hartford County, Maryland Division of Water and Sewer for a water and wastewater extension for the Oaklyn Manor and Manorville Road communities;

152. \$900,000 to the City of Cambridge, Maryland for a Biological Nutrient Removal upgrade project and a combined sewer overflow project;

153. \$2,000,000 for Vinalhaven, Maine for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
154. \$500,000 for the City of Calais, Maine to develop a safe drinking water system;
155. \$3,000,000 for the City of Negaunee, Michigan, for wastewater treatment upgrades;
156. \$1,000,000 for the Genesee County, Michigan, wastewater treatment project;
157. \$900,000 to the City of Bad Axe, Michigan for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
158. \$1,800,000 for continuation of the Rouge River National Wet Weather Demonstration Project;
159. \$900,000 to the City of Grand Rapids, Michigan for combined sewer overflow infrastructure improvements for the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System;
160. \$675,000 to the Village of Almont, Michigan for mitigation of combined sewer overflows and sanitary sewer overflows into the north branch of the Clinton River;
161. \$485,000 to the Detroit, Michigan Water and Sewerage Department for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
162. \$2,175,000 to Oakland County, Michigan for infrastructure improvements within the George W. Kuhn Drainage District;
163. \$1,500,000 to the City of Farmington, Michigan to reline a wastewater pipeline;
164. \$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure needs of Minnesota's Mille Lacs regional wastewater treatment plant;
165. \$2,000,000 for West Bottoms, Missouri, stormwater improvements;
166. \$250,000 for wastewater treatment planning for South Two-Mile Prairie, Missouri;
167. \$1,500,000 for the City of Lebanon, Missouri, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
168. \$400,000 for Bates County Commission, Missouri, to coordinate and implement efforts to assist local municipalities address their drinking water needs;
169. \$1,500,000 for Camden County Missouri Public Waste Water facility for sewer and water improvements;
170. \$1,500,000 for the City of Cape Girardeau, Missouri for waste water and sewer improvements;
171. \$2,000,000 for the City of St Louis, Missouri Metropolitan Sewer District for ongoing improvements;
172. \$2,000,000 for the City of Kansas City, Missouri for Phase II stormwater sewer system in the Central Industrial District;
173. \$2,000,000 for the Table Rock Lake Wastewater Initiative in Missouri as a National Community Decentralized Demonstration Project;
174. \$585,000 to the Clarence Cannon Wholesale Water Commission of Northeast Missouri for water infrastructure improvements;
175. \$4,000,000 for Jefferson County, Mississippi for a water and sewer improvements project;
176. \$3,000,000 for the City of Ocean Springs, Mississippi for wastewater improvements;

177. \$900,000 to the City of Columbus, Mississippi for wastewater treatment infrastructure improvements;
178. \$485,000 to the City of Jackson, Mississippi for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
179. \$585,000 to the City of Picayune, Mississippi for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
180. \$900,000 to the City of Tupelo, Mississippi for wastewater improvements;
181. \$1,500,000 for Lewis and Clark County, Montana for a wastewater development project;
182. \$200,000 for Deer Lodge, Montana, sewer infrastructure needs;
183. \$500,000 for the Galen Campus sewer upgrade project in Anaconda, Montana;
184. \$2,000,000 for the City of Florence, Montana, for wastewater treatment improvements;
185. \$1,485,000 for Henderson, North Carolina for the second phase rehabilitation and expansion of the water treatment facilities of the Kerr Lake Regional Water System;
186. \$485,000 to the Town of Mooresville, North Carolina Water Treatment Plant for infrastructure improvements;
187. \$675,000 to the County of Union, North Carolina for water infrastructure improvements;
188. \$1,000,000 to the Town of Pittsboro in Chatham County, North Carolina for a water reuse pumping station;
189. \$1,300,000 to Cherokee County, North Carolina for the interconnection of the water distribution systems of the Towns of Andrews and Murphy;
190. \$500,000 to the Town of Burnsville, North Carolina for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
191. \$1,000,000 for the Grand Forks, North Dakota, water treatment plant;
192. \$2,000,000 for the Williston, North Dakota, drinking water infrastructure project;
193. \$1,000,000 for Lincoln, Nebraska for wastewater management;
194. \$1,250,000 to the City of Omaha, Nebraska to upgrade sewer and sanitary water infrastructure;
195. \$1,500,000 for the City of Berlin, New Hampshire for water infrastructure improvements;
196. \$500,000 for Salem, New Hampshire to remediate the contamination of private wells;
197. \$1,000,000 for Jaffrey, New Hampshire, for a wastewater treatment facility;
198. \$900,000 to the City of Nashua, New Hampshire for a combined sewer overflow program;
199. \$3,500,000 to the City of Manchester, New Hampshire for a combined sewer overflow project;
200. \$1,000,000 for Vernon Township, New Jersey, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
201. \$1,000,000 for Camden, New Jersey, sewer infrastructure needs;
202. \$400,000 to Fanwood Township, New Jersey for sewage system sanitary improvements;

203. \$2,500,000 to the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission for continued work on wastewater treatment program;
204. \$2,000,000 to the Musconetcong Sewerage Authority in New Jersey to assist the plant in accommodating sewage from Hopatcong and Jefferson Township;
205. \$485,000 for wastewater infrastructure improvements for Strawbridge Lake in Moorestown, New Jersey;
206. \$1,200,000 for the Dona Ana Mutual Domestic Water Consumers Association of New Mexico to upgrade water systems;
207. \$750,000 for the City of Gallup, New Mexico, to upgrade its wastewater treatment plant;
208. \$3,800,000 for the North and South Valley of the City of Albuquerque and the County of Bernalillo, New Mexico for a regional and wastewater project;
209. \$1,350,000 to the City of Bayard, Village of Santa Clara & Ft. Bayard State Hospital in New Mexico for the regional effluent re-use plan;
210. \$1,350,000 to the Village of Ruidoso, New Mexico for the water infrastructure expansion plan;
211. \$900,000 to the City of Belen, New Mexico for the wastewater facilities improvements program;
212. \$300,000 to Santa Fe County, New Mexico to assist in the development of their Small Community Water Systems;
213. \$300,000 to the Town of Bernalillo, New Mexico for a wastewater system improvement project;
214. \$200,000 to the City of Moriarity, New Mexico for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
215. \$100,000 to the Acequia Madre De Carnuel of New Mexico for the creation of a community water system in the Community of Carnuel, Tijeras, New Mexico;
216. \$4,500,000 for the City of Fallon, Nevada for drinking water facility construction;
217. \$485,000 to the City of Fallon, Nevada for construction of an arsenic treatment facility;
218. \$300,000 to the City of Henderson, Nevada for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
219. \$1,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure needs in the New York City watershed;
220. \$485,000 to the Village of Whitney Point, New York for the Whitney Point Wastewater Collection and Treatment System Project;
221. \$900,000 to Rockland County, New York for extension of water and wastewater infrastructure of the Western Ramapo Sewer District;
222. \$35,000 to the Narrowsburg Water and Sewer District to replace two sand filter beds servicing the Town of Tusten, Sullivan County, New York;
223. \$675,000 to the Town of East Fishkill, New York for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
224. \$675,000 to the Town of New Windsor, New York for upgrades to the existing sewage treatment plant;
225. \$900,000 to the Town and Village of Harrison, New York for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

226. \$300,000 to the Village of Larchmont, New York for storm water regulation compliance as a member of the Long Island Sound Watershed Intermunicipal Council;

227. \$250,000 to the Village of Hewlett Harbor, New York for drainage improvements;

228. \$100,000 to the Village of Antwerp, New York to develop a municipal water system;

229. \$200,000 to the Village of Sloan, New York for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

230. \$1,350,000 to the City of Buffalo, New York Department of Public Works for replacement of water lines;

231. \$1,800,000 to the Town of Clarence, New York for wastewater treatment infrastructure improvements in the area of Clarence Hollow;

232. \$485,000 to Saratoga County, New York for additional sewer lines for the Town of Halfmoon, New York;

233. \$10,000,000 for continued clean water improvements for Onondaga Lake, New York;

234. \$1,500,000 to the Town of Owasco, New York for sewer wastewater improvements;

235. \$2,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure needs in the New York City watershed;

236. \$4,000,000 for water quality infrastructure improvements for Long Island Sound, New York;

237. \$1,500,000 to the Cayuga County, New York Water and Sewer Authority for sewage and wastewater treatment facility improvements;

238. \$500,000 for the Village of Akron, New York for expansion of the wastewater treatment plant;

239. \$500,000 for Byesville, Ohio for the Byesville Water Treatment Plan;

240. \$1,000,000 for the City of Akron, Ohio for its combined sewer overflow long-term plan;

241. \$485,000 to the City of Akron, Ohio for the mitigation of combined sewer overflows through Cuyahoga Valley National Park;

242. \$500,000 for the City of Port Clinton, Ohio for its wastewater treatment plan;

243. \$480,000 to the City of Delphos, Ohio for construction of a regional reservoir;

244. \$743,000 to the City of Lancaster, Ohio for a sewer infrastructure extension project;

245. \$1,800,000 to Clark County, Ohio for water infrastructure upgrades;

246. \$200,000 to the City of Urbana, Ohio for water infrastructure upgrades;

247. \$1,300,000 to the City of Toledo, Ohio for ongoing efforts to upgrade its wastewater treatment infrastructure;

248. \$700,000 to Fulton County, Ohio for the extension of public water and sewer lines to the Village of Tedrow from Wauseon, Ohio;

249. \$750,000 to the Village of Luckey, Ohio for wastewater and combined sewer overflow infrastructure improvements;

250. \$750,000 to Ottawa County, Ohio for sanitary sewer infrastructure improvements for the Village of Clay Center;

251. \$500,000 to the City of Bowling Green, Ohio for sewer treatment plant infrastructure improvements;
252. \$900,000 to the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for the Doan Brook Watershed Area in Ohio for continued development of a storm water abatement system in the Doan Brook Watershed Area of Ohio;
253. \$720,000 to the City of Martins Ferry, Ohio to provide a water pump to extend the water system;
254. \$765,000 to Harrison County, Ohio for a water tank and lines in the county industrial park;
255. \$387,625 to the Village of Laurelville, Ohio for improvements at the wastewater treatment facility;
256. \$485,000 to Trumbull County, Ohio for wastewater infrastructure improvements to the Belmont Avenue Sanitary Sewer System;
257. \$2,000,000 for the City of Lawton, Oklahoma for the rehabilitation of its wastewater infrastructure;
258. \$900,000 to the City of Normon, Oklahoma for expansion of wastewater treatment facilities;
259. \$1,000,000 for the Lower John Day Region in Oregon for a water and wastewater treatment facilities;
260. \$1,250,000 for the City of Portland, Oregon wet weather demonstration project;
261. \$485,000 to Clackamas County, Oregon for surface water infrastructure improvements;
262. \$385,000 to the City of Medford, Oregon for construction of water and wastewater treatment facilities and groundwater protection infrastructure project program;
263. \$1,000,000 for the Coudersport Borough, Eulalia Township and Sweden Township in Potter County, Pennsylvania for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
264. \$2,900,000 for the Three Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration program in the greater Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania area;
265. \$1,000,000 for the Upper Milford Township Sewer Project in Lehigh County, Pennsylvania;
266. \$485,000 to Robinson Township, Pennsylvania for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
267. \$900,000 to the City of Corry, Pennsylvania for mitigation of combined sewer overflows;
268. \$485,000 to the Borough of Big Beaver, Pennsylvania for construction of a pump station and sewer lines;
269. \$900,000 to the Wyoming Valley Sanitary Authority to address combined sewer overflow problems along the Susquehanna River in Pennsylvania;
270. \$250,000 to the Authority of the Borough of Charleroi, Pennsylvania for water infrastructure improvements;
271. \$900,000 to the City of Titusville, Pennsylvania to mitigate combined sewer overflows;
272. \$485,000 to the York City Sewer Authority of Pennsylvania for a wastewater construction project and demonstration;
273. \$485,000 to Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania for construction and repair of a centralized sewer system serving Jefferson Township;

274. \$150,000 to Pocono Jackson Point Water Authority for extension and upgrade of the authority's drinking water system serving Monroe County, Pennsylvania;
275. \$100,000 to Pike County, Pennsylvania for the engineering and design of a centralized sewer system in the Borough of Matamoras;
276. \$500,000 to the Municipality of Guanica, Puerto Rico for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
277. \$3,250,000 for the Narragansett Bay Commission, Rhode Island, for the combined sewer overflow project;
278. \$500,000 for the Town of Warren, Rhode Island, for sewer infrastructure needs;
279. \$485,000 to the Town of Cumberland, Rhode Island for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
280. \$2,000,000 for West Georgetown, South Carolina, regional wastewater treatment system;
281. \$1,000,000 for the Laurens, South Carolina, water and sewer commission;
282. \$900,000 to the Laurens County, South Carolina Water and Sewer Commission for relocation of water lines as part of the SC Route 72 corridor multilane widening project;
283. \$1,000,000 for a Gravity Wastewater Collection System in the Snowden and 6-Mile Communities in Charleston County, South Carolina;
284. \$485,000 to Berkeley County, South Carolina for a water extension project to Cross Community Schools;
285. \$900,000 to the City of Florence, South Carolina for the Pee Dee River surface water facility;
286. \$2,000,000 to the Greenville Water System of South Carolina for infrastructure needs related to high levels of uranium in the water supply;
287. \$900,000 for North Sioux City, South Dakota, water and sewer infrastructure needs;
288. \$2,000,000 for Aberdeen, South Dakota, drinking water facility improvements;
289. \$1,200,000 for Hill City, South Dakota, water and sewer infrastructure needs;
290. \$535,000 to North Valley and Summer City Utility Districts for to extend water service to Bledsoe County, Tennessee;
291. \$200,000 to Sequachie County, Tennessee for the City of Dunlap's continuing rural waterline infrastructure development;
292. \$900,000 to the Watauga River Authority in Carter County, Tennessee for a water infrastructure project;
293. \$250,000 to the Tamina Water Supply and Sewer Service Corporation in Montgomery County, Texas for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements in the community of Tamina;
294. \$675,000 to Bosque County, Texas for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
295. \$485,000 to the City of Beaumont, Texas for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
296. \$700,000 for the Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District, Utah for a groundwater extraction treatment remedial project;

297. \$1,000,000 for Sandy, Utah for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
298. \$1,000,000 for the Ogden, Utah for final phase of sewer improvements at the former Defense Depot Ogden;
299. \$200,000 to the City of Ogden, Utah for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
300. \$400,000 for Tooele City, Utah for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
301. \$720,000 to Logan City, Utah for the wetlands development project;
302. \$250,000 to Sandy City, Utah for infrastructure needs related to usable water lines and storm drainage;
303. \$500,000 for the City of Norfolk, Virginia, to update wastewater pumping stations;
304. \$700,000 for the Caroline County Dawn Sewer project in Bowling Green, Virginia;
305. \$675,000 to Smyth County, Virginia for wastewater infrastructure improvements in the Allison's Gap community;
306. \$1,800,000 to Prince William County, Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
307. \$1,840,000 to the Town of South Boston, Virginia for the Sanitary Sewer Overflow Abatement project;
308. \$200,000 to Franklin County, Virginia for preliminary engineering for a water project;
309. \$1,743,000 to Virginia's Heartland Partnership for expansion of the wastewater treatment plant to the Virginia's Heartland Regional Industrial Park located in Keysville, Virginia;
310. \$200,000 to Fluvanna County, Virginia for wastewater, drinking water and water distribution system infrastructure improvements;
311. \$1,350,000 to Richmond, Virginia for continued development of combined sewer overflow improvements;
312. \$1,350,000 to Lynchburg, Virginia for continued development of combined sewer overflow improvements;
313. \$900,000 to the City of Alexandria, Virginia for the sanitary and stormwater sewer reconstruction and extension project to mitigate overflows polluting Four Mile Run Creek;
314. \$485,000 to the County of Northampton, Virginia for wastewater treatment systems improvement and development;
315. \$485,000 to the City of Norfolk, Virginia Utility Department for upgrades to the water distribution system in the Haynes Tract area;
316. \$500,000 to the Government of the Virgin Islands for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
317. \$2,500,000 for the Pownal, Vermont, wastewater treatment project;
318. \$1,000,000 for East St Johnsbury, Vermont, wastewater treatment project;
319. \$2,000,000 for the City of Bremerton, Washington, combined sewer overflow project;
320. \$1,500,000 for the Wahkiakum County Public Utility District, Washington, drinking water facility project;
321. \$1,800,000 to the City of Bremerton, Washington for the combined sewer overflow treatment plant;

322. \$485,000 to Dallesport Industrial Park in Klickitat County, Washington for construction of a wastewater treatment facility;
323. \$250,000 to the City of Everett, Washington for pre-design and facilities planning of combined sewer overflow treatment sites;
324. \$2,000,000 for the Milwaukee, Wisconsin Sewerage District for continued renovations and repairs to the sewer system;
325. \$1,000,000 for the City of Racine, Wisconsin, drinking water treatment project;
326. \$1,900,000 to the Village of Marathon City, Wisconsin for debt repayment on water and wastewater infrastructure;
327. \$1,000,000 for the City of Brokaw, Wisconsin for the extension and expansion of the sewer and water system;
328. \$675,000 to the Inwood Watershed Committee and the Eastern Panhandle Soil Conservation District of West Virginia for the Inwood Storm Water/Water Quality Management Project;
329. \$1,000,000 to the Ohio County PSD, West Virginia for water and sewer infrastructure needs in the West Liberty, West Virginia area;
330. \$2,500,000 to the City of Wheeling, West Virginia for water and sewer infrastructure needs;
331. \$5,000,000 to the Hancock County Commission, West Virginia for water and sewer infrastructure needs;
332. \$350,000 for the City of New Martinsville, West Virginia for water and sewer infrastructure needs;
333. \$182,000 for the National Corrections and Law Enforcement Training and Technology Center, Inc. (NCLETTTC) for water and sewer infrastructure needs;
334. \$317,000 for the Barbour County Development Authority in West Virginia for water and sewer infrastructure needs;
335. \$1,041,000 for the Mid-Atlantic Aerospace Complex (MAAC) for water and sewer infrastructure needs;
336. \$250,000 for the Jefferson County Sewer Authority, Missouri for ongoing sewer infrastructure modernization;
337. \$235,000 for Dekalb, Illinois for drinking water infrastructure improvements.

The conferees expect the Agency to develop a broad working group to review and address the spectrum of wastewater issues as outlined in the House Report accompanying H.R. 2620, request that the Committees on Appropriations be kept apprised of all activities of the working group, and further request that the working group, with the assistance of the Agency, prepare and submit to the Committees on Appropriations by July 15, 2002 a report addressing all matters as outlined in the House Report as well as those additional issues determined appropriate by the working group.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The conferees have included an administrative provision proposed by the House and the Senate which permits the Administrator, in carrying out environmental programs required or authorized by law in the absence of an acceptable tribal program, to award cooperative agreements to federally authorized intertribal groups to assist the Administrator in implementing federal environmental programs for tribes. Funds designated for State finan-

cial assistance agreements may not be used for such cooperative agreements.

The conferees have also included an administrative provision proposed by the House and modified by the conferees which authorizes for fiscal year 2002 EPA's Pesticide Maintenance Program, including the collection of up to \$17,000,000 for operation of the registration, re-registration, and tolerance assessment programs.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

Appropriates \$5,267,000 as proposed by both the House and Senate.

The conferees agree that the Office of Science and Technology Policy should make the clarification of the International Traffic in Arms Regulation a high priority for resolution. The conferees expect the President's Science Advisor to address and resolve the matter by February 1, 2002.

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Appropriates \$2,974,000 for the Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees have again this year included language proposed by the House and the Senate which authorizes the Council to operate with one member, that member acting as chairman of the Council.

Language proposed by the Senate prohibiting CEQ and OEQ from using funds other than those appropriated under this heading has not been included. In lieu of this statutory prohibition, the conferees direct that the CEQ provide, on a quarterly basis beginning January 1, 2002, a brief report outlining the specific use of non-CEQ federal employees. Such report should include, at a minimum, the number of non-CEQ employees utilized for specific programs or projects by the CEQ, the home office of each such employee, the program or project for which the non-CEQ employee is being utilized by CEQ, and the duration each such employee is expected to be involved with such program or project.

Finally, language has been included which provides a representation allowance of up to \$750 for the Chairman of the CEQ.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$33,660,000 for the Office of Inspector General, the same amount as included in both the House and Senate bill. Funds for this account are derived from the Bank Insurance Fund, the Savings and Loan Insurance Fund, and the FSLIC Resolution Fund and are therefore not reflected in either the budget authority or budget outlay totals.

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

## DISASTER RELIEF

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$664,000,000 for disaster relief, instead of \$1,369,399,000 as proposed by the House and \$359,399,000 as proposed by the Senate. In addition, appropriates \$1,500,000,000 in contingent emergency funding for disaster relief instead of \$1,300,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,000,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Includes language proposed by both the House and Senate providing for the transfer of \$2,900,000 to the emergency management planning and assistance account for the consolidated emergency management performance grants program. The conferees have included two new provisions, neither of which was included in either bill, to allow for the transfer of amounts from the disaster relief account to other program accounts. First, \$25,000,000 is available for transfer to the emergency management planning and assistance account for pre-disaster mitigation activities. Second, \$25,000,000 is available for transfer to the flood map modernization fund and available for expenditure in fiscal year 2002.

The conferees are aware that on March 1, 2001 FEMA issued its "Clarification on SHMPH 'Immediate Occupancy' Requirement for using SHMPH Funding to Seismically Upgrade Existing Buildings." This Clarification defined parameters for the determination of when the "immediate occupancy" requirement in the Seismic Hazard Mitigation Program for Hospitals (the SHMPH Program) would be met by a subgrantee. The conferees urge FEMA to recognize that prior to the announcement of the clarification, many subgrantees in the SHMPH program worked diligently to move forward with their designs and construction in the belief that their plans met the undefined immediate occupancy requirement in the SHMPH program. The conferees urge FEMA to work closely with these subgrantees to ensure no disruption in their design or building schedule as a result of this program announcement.

## DISASTER ASSISTANCE DIRECT LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conferees agree to provide a limitation of \$25,000,000 on direct loans, a cost of \$405,000 for direct loans, and a limitation on administrative expenses of \$543,000 for the disaster assistance direct loan program account. The foregoing are the same as provided by both the House and the Senate.

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$233,801,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$227,900,000 as proposed by the House. The amount provided does not include the reduction to Preparedness, Training and Exercises as proposed by the House. The amount provided includes \$11,000,000 for FEMA's role in consequence management associated with the 2002 Olympics and Paralympics as requested in the budget submission. The conferees have not included any funding for an Office of National Preparedness at FEMA. The conferees will entertain such funding in the fu-

ture when it has had an opportunity to evaluate a comprehensive plan outlining FEMA's role in dealing with terrorism and its consequences.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$10,303,000 for the Office of Inspector General, the same amount as included in both the House and the Senate bills.

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND ASSISTANCE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$404,623,000 for emergency management planning and assistance as proposed by the House instead of \$429,623,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount provided includes \$150,000,000 to carry out the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act of 1974, as amended by Public Law 106-398. The conferees have included bill language which provides that up to five percent of the funds may be transferred to Salaries and Expenses for administrative expenses associated with the program. The conferees are pleased that FEMA was able to implement expeditiously the provision of this program and meet the deadline of September 30, 2001 for completion of the first round of grants. The conferees believe that this success was due in no small part to the structure of the program and the decision to limit the program to only six categories of grants rather than the fourteen categories approved in the authorization legislation. The conferees believe that FEMA should consider making grants in the area of emergency medical services, but expansion into other categories should be considered only after substantial progress has been made in addressing the needs associated with fire prevention, firefighting equipment, personal protective equipment, training, vehicles, and wellness and fitness programs.

The conferees also expect states and localities to maintain their current level of funding support for local fire departments and companies and that any Federal grant funds are to be used solely to enhance local firefighting capacity, equipment needs, vehicles, and fire prevention programs as well as any other eligible uses.

FEMA is encouraged to undertake an on-going evaluation of the application process for the fire grant program to ensure the widest participation in the program. The conferees are particularly concerned that smaller entities with limited resources may not be able to participate fully and FEMA should consider their circumstances as it evaluates the effectiveness of the program.

The conferees urge FEMA to continue efforts to simplify and streamline the fire grant application process and direct FEMA to establish an independent advisory committee comprised of professional and volunteer firefighters to provide policy and technical guidance on implementation and administration of the fire grant program.

In addition, the conferees have agreed to provide \$25,000,000 by transfer from the disaster relief account for pre-disaster mitigation activities.

The conferees are aware of the heightened importance of bringing technology applications to the local, state, and Federal levels of the emergency management community for the purpose of reducing the impact of both natural disasters and terrorist attacks. Therefore, the conferees continue to support the partnership between the National Technology Transfer Center (NTTC) and FEMA and direct continuation of the cooperative agreement at the current level of effort. Additionally, NTTC shall submit a report no later than July 1, 2002 that outlines the progress made on the commercialization endeavors and the cooperation between NTTC and FEMA.

The conferees direct FEMA to maintain the current level of support for the Administrative and Resource Planning Directorate efforts to archive key agency documents by digitization to optical disks.

The conferees believe that many of the nation's universities are vulnerable to disaster and urges FEMA to continue its Disaster Resistant University program and expand the scope to include safeguarding university assets from acts of terrorism.

The conferees direct FEMA to ensure the full and complete integration of the American Red Cross into all emergency preparedness planning, training and response activities. Further, during times of disaster, FEMA and agencies signatory to the Federal Response Plan are to support fully the work of the American Red Cross. Support shall include, but not be limited to the following, means of transportation; appropriate security clearances; access to disaster sites and threat information briefings; and planning for continuity of operations of the American Red Cross National Headquarters.

The conferees are concerned that accurate and timely information is not available to the general public and all relevant government officials during and following an act of terrorism. In an effort to improve communication, the conferees urge the Director of FEMA to work with the Nation's governors and the Mayor of the District of Columbia (DC) to designate a lead intergovernmental and public affairs official in each state and DC to serve as the central coordinator for information coming from Federal and local governments and the central source of information for the public regarding terrorism-related incidents.

#### RADIOLOGICAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FUND

Provides for the receipt and expenditure of fees collected as authorized by Public Law 106-377. Both the House and the Senate included this provision in their respective bills.

#### EMERGENCY FOOD AND SHELTER PROGRAM

Appropriates \$140,000,000 for the emergency food and shelter program as proposed by the House instead of \$139,692,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### FLOOD MAP MODERNIZATION FUND

Appropriates no new funding under this heading for flood map modernization. The conferees have included authority within the

disaster relief account to transfer \$25,000,000 to this account for flood map modernization activities.

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conferees agree to include bill language which authorizes the National Flood Insurance Program through December 31, 2002. Both the House and Senate had addressed this issue, but there were technical differences between the respective bills. In addition, the conferees agree to provide for salaries and expenses of up to \$28,798,000, \$76,381,000 for flood mitigation activities, a limitation of \$55,000,000 for operating expenses, \$536,750,000 for agents' commissions and taxes, and \$30,000,000 for interest on Treasury borrowings. Finally, the conferees agree that up to \$20,000,000 may be transferred for expenses under section 1366 of the National Flood Insurance Act.

NATIONAL FLOOD MITIGATION FUND

The conferees agree to provide for the transfer of up to \$20,000,000 from the National Flood Insurance Fund to the National Flood Mitigation Fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conferees further agree that \$2,500,000 of the funds provided in this program shall be used to buy-out flood prone properties in Austin, Minnesota.

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

FEDERAL CONSUMER INFORMATION CENTER FUND

Appropriates \$7,276,000 as proposed by both the House and Senate.

The conferees are very supportive of the Federal Consumer Information Center (FCIC) and their efforts to provide the public with important information on government services and publications. The conferees are concerned that a change to the organization, administrative location, or the current function or mission mandate of FCIC could potentially compromise the outstanding services that FCIC currently provides. Therefore, the conferees direct that any such change be clearly outlined in a proposal submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for 30 days of review. Such a proposal shall include the justification for such action, a description of all planned organizational realignments, the anticipated staffing or personnel changes, an assessment of the effect on the current operations of FCIC, and estimates of the proposed changes on future funding needs.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Of the amounts approved by the conferees in this agreement, NASA must limit reprogramming of funds between programs and activities to not more than \$500,000 without prior notification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate. Any activity or program cited in this report shall be construed as the position of the conferees and should not be subject to reductions or reprogramming without prior approval. NASA shall provide out-

year implications of all reprogrammings and operating plan changes should the Committees request the information.

HUMAN SPACE FLIGHT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conferees agree to provide \$6,912,400,000 for human space flight instead of \$6,868,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$7,047,400,000 as proposed by the House. The House had also proposed an additional \$275,000,000 for development of a crew return vehicle for the international space station ISS. The funding provided includes a reduction of \$50,000,000 associated with the cancellation of the Electric Auxiliary Power Unit upgrade which has experienced technical difficulties, an increase of \$20,000,000 for high priority safety upgrades for a total of \$207,000,000, an increase of \$25,000,000 for the repair/replacement of doors on the Vehicle Assembly Building at the Kennedy Space Center, a reduction of \$20,000,000 from the Human Exploration and Development of Space program, and a general reduction of \$75,000,000 from the ISS program. The conferees have not provided any additional funding for the Crew Return Vehicle, for which the House had proposed \$275,000,000. The funding level also reflects the transfer of \$283,600,000 for ISS research from the human space flight account to the science, aeronautics and technology account.

The conferees are in agreement with the ISS Management and Cost Evaluation report that in order to establish a credible ISS program that achieves maximum research potential, it is necessary to keep enhancements viable. For this reason, the conferees direct that NASA should provide no less than \$40,000,000 for the X-38 vehicle.

The conferees direct that not less than \$207,000,000 be made available for Space Shuttle Safety Upgrades, unless NASA outlines in a fiscal year 2002 Operating Plan adjustment, agreed to by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, reallocations from this level necessary to preserve balance in NASA's stated priority goals for the Shuttle Program, as follows: (1) fly safely; (2) meet the flight manifest; (3) improve supportability; and (4) improve the system. The conferees agree that further clarification on NASA's shuttle upgrade program is required, including how the program relates to future shuttle alternatives and infrastructure needs. NASA is directed to submit a report addressing these issues by March 15, 2002.

The conferees are in agreement that the ISS shall be funded at no more than \$1,963,600,000 in fiscal year 2002, including civil service compensation.

When the House and the Senate drafted their respective bills, the Administration had recently proposed dramatic changes to the ISS program in light of a purported shortfall of over \$4,000,000,000. The redesigned station was dubbed "U.S. Core Complete" and included elimination of the Crew Return Vehicle, the Habitation Module, the Propulsion Module, a 37 percent reduction in ISS science, and undefined "management efficiencies" and better cost estimating. It was the position of the House at that time that such changes could not be endorsed given the limited amount

of information available to the Congress. It was this lack of information which led the House to conclude that termination of the Crew Return Vehicle was premature, that NASA should be encouraged to pursue an international barter arrangement for development and construction of a habitation module, and that a significant add-back to the ISS science program was warranted. In the hope of getting more information, the House initiated an investigation into the ISS program with the goal of answering basic questions with regard to the real cost of the program, the underlying cause of cost increases, lapses in oversight and the causes thereof, and the extent to which previously identified problems or concerns were not addressed.

The initial stages of the House investigation have been completed with the conclusion being that the concept of "U.S. Core Complete" is ill-defined, that the science program needs to be more rigorously evaluated, that all options for enhancing crew time for research need to be fully explored, and that international agreements need to be evaluated and compliance with such agreements needs to be clarified. It is also the initial conclusion of the House investigation that NASA's lack of an integrated financial management system impedes its ability to determine the status of contract execution and provide program managers with necessary financial information.

The conferees are in agreement that first and foremost the Director of the Office of Management and Budget and the Administrator of NASA shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate which defines in specific detail the U.S. Core Complete configuration of the ISS and provides a ten-year total funding profile for that configuration; clearly defines the content and scope of the research science program; and provides costs and schedule to develop the Crew Return Vehicle. The conferees are aware of ongoing negotiations between NASA and the Italian Space Agency concerning a stretch version of the Multi-Purpose Logistics Module as a substitute for the habitation module. The conferees see the utility of using a proven platform and encourage NASA to move with all deliberate speed, subject to an appropriate and cost-effective barter arrangement.

The conferees are in agreement that the Director of OMB shall certify and report such certification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate, that any proposal to enhance the ISS design above the content planned for U.S. Core Complete, is (1) necessary and of the highest priority to enhance the goal of world class research in space aboard the International Space Station; (2) within acceptable risk levels, having no major unresolved technical issues and a high confidence in independently validated cost and schedule estimates; and (3) affordable within the multi-year funding available to the ISS program as defined above or, if exceeds such amounts, the additional resources are not achieved through any funding reduction to programs contained in Space Science, Earth Science, and Aeronautics.

The conferees are aware of a study being conducted by the National Research Council per the direction of the House Committee on Science and the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation to address the station research program. If possible,

the conferees would like the National Research Council to expand that study to compare and evaluate the research programs of the ISS which can be accomplished with a crew of three and a crew of six; and, an assessment of the probable cost-benefit ratios of those programs, compared with earthbound research which could be funded in lieu of research conducted on the ISS.

The conferees agree with the direction contained in the Senate report for NASA to empanel a task force to study all options, together with their costs, for enhancing crew research time on the U.S. Core Complete ISS.

The conferees are concerned that NASA lacks an integrated financial management system and therefore can not adequately manage its programs. NASA is directed to place the highest priority on correcting this fundamental management deficiency, a deficiency which should have been corrected many years ago.

Finally, the conferees direct the Secretary of State, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, and the Administrator of NASA to submit a joint explanation of how the United States is fulfilling its written commitments to its ISS international partners. This report is due no later than July 15, 2002.

With regard to the decision by the conferees to reduce the ISS budget by \$75,000,000 in fiscal year 2002, the conferees note that the Post-Assembly Operations Cost Estimates (November 1999) and a report on ISS Operations Architecture (August 2000) both called for significant reductions in personnel associated with the program. Yet NASA and the ISS program management refuse to implement the provisions of these two reports for no apparent reason other than the desire to maintain a standing army of personnel. The conferees have reached the conclusion that the only way management will actually manage the program, and thereby get its costs under control, is through being forced to live with less. The conferees are reluctant to take this approach, but find that the transient management cannot be trusted to make the tough decisions on their own and must be forced to make decisions which are in the long-term interest of the program. NASA is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate a report, concurrent with submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget, which describes its plans for managing and operating the ISS over the life of the station, to include specific manpower and financial needs for operation and support.

#### SCIENCE, AERONAUTICS, AND TECHNOLOGY

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

##### *Space Science*

The conferees have agreed to provide \$2,848,937,000 for space science programs, an increase of \$62,575,000 to the budget request.

The conferees agree with the House that by merging the budgets for aeronautics and space into a single "aerospace technology" program element several years ago, NASA has made it virtually impossible to account for the current investment in aeronautics. For this reason, the conferees direct NASA to reestablish a consolidated aeronautics line in the fiscal year 2003 budget submission that comprehensively covers all research base, focused, and ad-

vanced technology programs, and related test facilities and civil service costs. NASA should also provide a clear budget crosscut identifying all aeronautics programmatic activities in the current budget structure in its initial fiscal year 2002 operating plan.

The conferees recognize the need for maintaining core capabilities at NASA centers with responsibility for space science missions and operations. As a result, the conferees will support permitting the Europa Orbiter (EO) mission to be sole sourced intramurally, provided that the NASA Administrator certifies to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate in the fiscal year 2002 operating plan that such action is essential to maintain said core capabilities. The conferees expect that in making any such determination, the Administrator will guarantee that there is a specific and demonstrable plan to ensure that sufficient core and focused program outer planetary Advanced Technology Development (ATD) funds will be available to extramural entities in industry and academia through full and open competition, with the five-year profile for this competition specified in the fiscal year 2003 budget submission. NASA should proceed with the selection of Europa science instruments as planned and shall cap the total EO program costs (ATD and execution of all phases A/E) at \$1,000,000,000. No reduction for EO instrument support to the selected science teams should be made in fiscal year 2002.

The conferees have not accepted the Senate proposal to reduce NASA's space operations budget by \$25,000,000 by transferring Telecommunication and Mission Operations Directorate (TMOD) functions at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory to the Consolidated Space Operations Contract (CSOC). The conferees note that NASA has transferred some non-critical positions to the CSOC contract and direct NASA to continue this effort by transferring no less than five percent of the non-critical positions to CSOC and work toward increasing this percentage in future years if warranted. In addition, the conferees transfer TMOD to the Office of Space Science and direct that any savings resulting from the transfer of TMOD positions be reinvested in science missions.

The conferees agree to the following changes to the budget request:

1. An increase of \$1,675,000 for the Center for Space Sciences at Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas.
2. An increase of \$3,000,000 for space solar power.
3. An increase of \$1,900,000 for the Mid-American Geospatial Information Center based at the University of Texas at Austin, Center for Space Research.
4. The conferees direct \$22,000,000 be used to continue the construction of the Propulsion Research Laboratory at the Marshall Space Flight Center, of which \$13,000,000 is derived from the Office of Space Science in-space propulsion augmentation and \$9,000,000 is derived from the Office of Aerospace Technology in-space propulsion program. The funds remaining in the Office of Space Science in-space propulsion program are to be used for advanced technology development for planetary exploration and shall be competed on the same basis as other advanced technology development programs.

5. An increase of \$3,000,000 for the Sun-Earth Connections program for Solar Probe. NASA should consolidate management for this mission with its existing SEC/Living With a Star program in lieu of the proposed termination.

6. An increase of \$10,000,000 for the Sun-Earth Connections program for Living With a Star (LWS) program for a total of \$50,200,000 in fiscal year 2002. The conferees believe that understanding solar variability and its effect on earth and mankind is of paramount importance as we strive to understand our galaxy. Increasing our knowledge of the effects of solar variability and disturbances on terrestrial climate change and being able to provide advanced warning of energetic particle events that affect the safety of humans and space flight are also of particular importance. The proposed funding restoration will allow LWS to proceed on the original NASA plan of Sun-Earth connected System Science whereby both the Solar Dynamics Observatory and the Geospace Missions Network will proceed in a coordinated manner to attain the program objectives. All LWS and SEC program funds in 2002 should be used exclusively for relevant ATD, science support and spacecraft development activities. Any capital projects to support the program, apart from the standard *de minimis* facility renovations under \$500,000 should be requested in subsequent years through the standard construction of facilities program element. This LWS funding augmentation is in addition to the \$8,900,000 provided for future solar terrestrial probes as requested in the budget.

7. An increase of \$3,000,000 for the Center on Life in Extreme Environments at Montana State University.

8. An increase of \$1,000,000 for the development of advanced materials for batteries and fuel cells, to be conducted by Virginia Commonwealth University.

9. An increase of \$30,000,000 for the Pluto Kuiper Belt (PKB) mission. The conferees direct NASA to proceed with its plan for source selection, but recognize the launch dates may be altered due to delays in the source selection process. Funds provided should be used to initiate appropriate spacecraft and science instrument development as well as launch vehicle procurement. The conferees direct NASA to consolidate PKB development funds within the Outer Planets line beginning in fiscal year 2003.

The conferees have provided the budget request of \$92,100,000 for advanced technology development related to the Next Generation Space Telescope (NGST) and expect NASA to vigorously pursue the development of the NGST and submit an out-year budget plan, concurrent with the submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget, for soliciting development and management proposals with the goal of a launch in 2007. If technical and budgetary constraints preclude the launch of NGST by 2007, the conferees wish to underscore their strong desire that there should be no gap between the end of the operations for the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and the onset of operations for NGST. As part of the out-year budget plan, NASA should outline its transition plan to guarantee uninterrupted continuity between HST and NGST.

The conferees agree to provide the full budget request for the Mars program. NASA is directed to prepare a detailed plan, to be

submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate concurrently with the submission of the President's fiscal year 2003 budget request, on future Mars missions beyond the proposed 2007 mission. The plan should have a detailed definition on the program's content, five-year budget forecast, and schedule, and shall include a five-year profile to make significant advanced technology funding available to extramural partners.

*Biological and Physical Research*

The conferees have agreed to provide \$714,370,000 for biological and physical research programs, an increase of \$353,450,000 to the budget request.

The conferees have agreed to transfer a total of \$283,600,000 from the Human Space Flight account into this program for research activities associated with the International Space Station. The conferees have not included a transfer from Human Space Flight of civil service and other costs associated with these activities and directs NASA to make such a transfer as part of the operating plan to the extent such a transfer is needed.

The conferees agree to the following changes to the budget request:

1. An increase of \$338,600,000 for space station research consisting of a transfer of \$283,600,000 from Human Space Flight, and an increase of \$55,000,000 for the Fluids and Combustion Facility and other priority space station research and equipment.

2. An increase of \$2,750,000 for the Space Radiation program at Loma Linda University Hospital.

3. An increase of \$1,750,000 for Earth University to research Chagas disease.

4. An increase of \$1,450,000 for the development of machine/bio-interface devices to provide advanced diagnosis and countermeasures at the University of Louisville.

5. An increase of \$400,000 for the Center for Research and Training in gravitational biology at North Carolina State University.

6. An increase of \$1,000,000 for the New Jersey NASA Specialized Center of Research and Training. The conferees commend the work of this organization and its application not only to long-duration space missions but its impact on the agricultural and environmental business sectors. The conferees encourage NASA to continue funding these vital efforts and recommends the agency create a technology development and demonstration center in New Jersey focusing on life support issues in closed environments.

7. An increase of \$1,000,000 for high definition telemedicine technology development at Florida Atlantic University.

8. An increase of \$1,000,000 for Southern Methodist University's life sciences program.

9. An increase of \$2,000,000 for multi-user scientific equipment for the Life Sciences Center at the University of Missouri-Columbia.

10. An increase of \$1,500,000 to fund research at the University of Missouri's Center for Gender Physiology in the area of gender-related issues in space flight crews.

11. An increase of \$2,000,000 to fund research at the University of Missouri-Columbia in physical, biological, and biomedical areas which address NASA strategic objectives.

#### *Earth Science*

The conferees have agreed to provide \$1,573,413,000 for earth science programs, an increase of \$58,435,000 to the budget request.

The conferees agree to the following changes to the budget request:

1. An increase of \$1,200,000 for the Advanced Tropical Remote Sensing Center of the National Center for Tropical Remote Sensing Applications and resources at the Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science.

2. An increase of \$428,000 for continuation of emerging research that applies remote sensing technologies to forest management practices at the State University of New York, College of Environmental Sciences and Forestry.

3. An increase of \$1,425,000 for NASA's Regional Application Center for the Northeast.

4. An increase of \$812,000 for operations of the applications center for remote sensing at Fulton-Montgomery Community College, Johnston, New York.

5. An increase of \$14,350,000 for the Institute of Software Research for development and construction of research facilities.

6. An increase of \$750,000 for on-going activities at the Goddard Institute for Systems, Software, and Technology Research, including UAV and remote sensing technology research.

7. An increase of \$750,000 for the Clustering and Advanced Visual Environments initiative.

8. An increase of \$4,750,000 for data storage back-up and recovery services at the Goddard Space Flight Center.

9. An increase of \$1,000,000 for the Triana Science Team to continue its work in preparation for future launch. The conferees recognize that the Triana mission, as reviewed and endorsed by the National Academy of Sciences, is complete and ready for launch. However, due to Shuttle manifest conflicts, Triana has been placed in storage until launch accommodations can be established. The conferees understand that NASA is exploring all launch possibilities for the Triana spacecraft, including potential options involving foreign launch vehicles. The conferees recognize the important scientific contributions to be made by Triana and, if NASA were to identify a suitable launch opportunity for Triana, the conferees would be receptive to NASA's reprogramming resources within available fiscal year 2002 Earth Science funding toward the costs of necessary spacecraft modification and launch integration efforts to accomplish such a launch.

10. An increase of \$750,000 for next generation sensing equipment, to be operated by Ben Gurion University for use in correlating measurements taken by aircraft and satellites in support of programs under the auspices of the Goddard Space Flight Center.

11. An increase of \$3,000,000 from the NASA Earth Science Enterprise to be transferred to the Air Force Research Laboratory (PE 602204F Aerospace Sensors) to develop dual-use lightweight

space radar technology. The conferees expect the Air Force to work closely with NASA to identify mutually beneficial technologies.

12. An increase of \$1,425,000 for the United States portion of a joint U.S./Italian satellite development program to remotely observe forest fires.

13. An increase of \$23,500,000 for the Synergy program to develop additional end uses for EOS data.

14. An increase of \$6,000,000 for the EOSDIS Core System to expand its data processing and distribution capacity.

15. An increase of \$2,000,000 for weather and ocean research at the University of Alaska and the University of Massachusetts.

16. An increase of \$3,500,000 for the University of Montana for an International Earth Observing System Natural Resource Training and Data Center.

17. An increase of \$500,000 for the Morehead State University Space Science Center for the reconstruction of the ADAS satellite tracking system.

18. An increase of \$2,000,000 for the University of Mississippi Geoinformatics Center.

19. An increase of \$1,500,000 for George Mason University Center for Earth Observing and Space Research.

20. An increase of \$3,000,000 for the University of South Mississippi for research into remotely sensed data for coastal management.

21. An increase of \$1,000,000 for the Mid-America Geospatial Information Center at the University of Texas.

22. An increase of \$1,500,000 for Idaho State University for the Temporal Landscape Change Research program.

23. An increase of \$500,000 for Utah State University to develop an Inter-mountain Region Digital Image Archive and Processing Center for Landscape Analysis, Planning and Monitoring.

24. A general reduction of \$17,205,000.

The conferees expect NASA to continue to pursue options for commercial data purchase approaches on all Earth Science Enterprise program Announcements of Opportunity.

#### *Aero-Space Technology*

The conferees have agreed to provide \$2,489,570,000 for aerospace programs, an increase of \$113,830,000 to the budget request.

The conferees agree to the following changes to the budget request:

1. An increase of \$10,000,000 for the Ultra Efficient Engine Technology for a total budget of \$50,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

2. An increase of \$2,850,000 for the Earth Alert project at the Goddard Space Flight Center.

3. An increase of \$2,375,000 for the NASA-Illinois Technology Commercialization Center at DuPage County Research Park.

4. An increase of \$190,000 for the Rural Technology Transfer and Commercialization Center of Durant, Oklahoma.

5. An increase of \$1,900,000 for the University of New Orleans Composites Research Center for Excellence at Michoud, Louisiana.

6. An increase of \$522,000 for the fractional ownership test program.

7. An increase of \$1,425,000 for the Glennan Microsystem Initiative.

8. An increase of \$2,850,000 for the Polymer Energy Rechargeable System.

9. An increase of \$475,000 for continued development of nickel metal hydride battery technology.

10. An increase of \$1,900,000 for Wayne State University for its emerging technology and aerospace programs.

11. An increase of \$950,000 for the University of Alabama, Huntsville, Aviation Safety Laboratory.

12. An increase of \$950,000 to be used for continued development of an electric/diesel hybrid engine at Bowling Green University.

13. The following programs are to be funded within the Aviation System Capacity program: \$4,200,000 for the HITS multilateration sensor and surveillance server for Airport Surface Detection and Management System, \$1,200,000 for the development of the Dynamic Runway Occupancy Measurement System, \$1,400,000 for development of a Runway Taxi Route Detection and Conformance Monitoring System, and \$5,000,000 for Project SOC-RATES.

14. An increase of \$2,850,000 to expand the Space Alliance Technology Outreach Program, including NASA business incubators, in Florida and New York.

15. An increase of \$950,000 for the Advanced Interactive Discovery Environment engineering research program at Syracuse University.

16. An increase of \$7,600,000 for the National Center of Excellence in Photonics and Microsystems in New York.

17. An increase of \$2,375,000 for the Virtual Collaboration Center at the North Carolina GigaPop.

18. An increase of \$1,900,000 for the Garrett Morgan Commercialization Initiative in Ohio.

19. An increase of \$750,000 for research at Marshall Space Flight Center in the area of interstellar propulsion.

20. An increase of \$1,693,000 for the Dryden Flight Research Center Intelligent Flight Control System research project.

21. An increase of \$950,000 for development of advanced composite materials for a super lightweight prototype structure and a generic carrier for the space shuttle orbiter.

22. An increase of \$8,125,000 for hydrogen research being conducted by the Florida State University System.

23. An increase of \$4,750,000 for space biotechnology research and commercial applications to be conducted at the University of Florida.

24. An increase of \$2,000,000 from the NASA Space Launch Initiative be transferred to the Air Force Research Laboratory (PE 602204F Aerospace Sensors) to install a baseline Silent Sentry System at Kennedy Space Center and for AFRL to conduct an evaluation of the ability for Silent Sentry to replace current range safety infrastructure.

25. An increase of \$2,000,000 for the National Technology Transfer Center.

26. An increase of \$500,000 for aerospace projects being accomplished by the Montana Aerospace Development Corporation.

27. An increase of \$7,500,000 for subsonic transport technology research.

28. An increase of \$7,500,000 for the advanced aircraft program, equally divided between flight research and propulsion and power research.

29. An increase of \$12,500,000 for NASA's rotocraft program, including funding for the NASA-Army university centers component.

30. An increase of \$2,500,000 for the Hubble Telescope Project, Composite Technology Institute at Bridgeport, West Virginia.

31. An increase of \$15,000,000 for aviation safety. The conferees agree that NASA should evaluate the use of retinal scanning displays in the Synthetic Visual Project, which seeks to improve general aviation safety through incorporation of new technologies.

32. An increase of \$2,000,000 for a study of NASA's aeronautical test and evaluation facilities.

33. An increase of \$2,000,000 for advanced research in optoelectronics at Montana State University.

34. An increase of \$2,500,000 for the Delaware Aerospace Education Foundation in Kent County, Delaware.

35. An increase of \$1,500,000 for Tulane University Institute for Macromolecular Engineering and Sciences, New Orleans, Louisiana.

36. An increase of \$6,500,000 for the Stennis Space Center E-complex propulsion test facilities, of which \$1,500,000 is for completion of the Test Operations Building.

37. An increase of \$3,500,000 for an addition to the main administration building at the Stennis Space Center. NASA is directed to work with the Department of Defense to ensure that the Department contributes to the construction of facilities unique to its requirements.

38. An increase of \$1,700,000 for the Independent Verification and Validation Facility in Fairmont, West Virginia.

39. An increase of \$2,000,000 for non-destructive evaluation research at Iowa State University.

40. An increase of \$1,000,000 for polymer research at Tulane University in New Orleans, Louisiana.

41. An increase of \$2,000,000 for photonics research at the University of Maryland, Baltimore County.

42. An increase of \$3,000,000 for nanotechnology programs at Purdue University.

43. An increase of \$3,000,000 for the purchase of two upgraded jet engines which require limited configuration changes to the DP-2 vectored thrust testbed aircraft. The remaining funds shall be expended as appropriate for airflow analysis research, flight control research, and flight testing. NASA is directed to provide a long-range research and development plan for the DP-2 vectored thrust program to the Congress by April 15, 2002.

44. An increase of \$1,500,000 for a visitor's center at Langley Flight Research Center.

45. The conferees agree that NASA needs to increase its investment in facilities at the Wallops Island Flight facility and

therefore direct NASA to spend an additional \$10,000,000 from within existing funds for infrastructure improvement and technology upgrades to ensure the Wallops facility remains a viable asset for NASA's use and report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate no later than March 1, 2002 on a strategic plan for Wallops future including NASA missions and other business opportunities.

46. A decrease of \$6,200,000 from the Aviation System Capacity program. The goal of the Aviation System Capacity (ASC) program is to enable safe increases in the capacity of US and international airspace and airports. The conferees believe that Aviation System Technology Advanced Research (AvSTAR) will help develop new operational concepts and better understand the benefits of new technologies for reducing aviation system congestion and delays while improving safety. The conferees support the request for Virtual Airspace Modeling as a precursor to AvSTAR.

47. A decrease of \$10,000,000 from the Space Launch Initiative.

48. A decrease of \$10,000,000 from the in-space propulsion program.

#### *Academic Programs*

Within the Academic programs portion of this account, the conferees recommend a total funding level of \$230,810,000, a net increase of \$77,110,000 to the budget request. The conferees agree that Lincoln and Cheney Universities in Pennsylvania should be full participants in NASA's Minority University Research and Education Program. The Conferees recommend the following adjustments to the budget request:

1. An increase of \$475,000 for the Richland School District One Aeronautics Education Laboratory, located in Columbia, South Carolina.

2. An increase of \$475,000 for the NASA Educator Resource Center at South East Missouri State University.

3. An increase of \$950,000 for the Carl Sagan Discovery Science Center at the Children's Hospital at Montefiore Medical Center to implement the educational programming for this science learning project.

4. An increase of \$2,375,000 for the JASON Foundation.

5. An increase of \$3,500,000 for continuation of programs at the American Museum of Natural History.

6. An increase of \$950,000 for the Sci-Port Discovery Center at Shreveport, Louisiana.

7. An increase of \$1,900,000 for the NASA Glenn "Gateway to the Future: Ohio Pilot" project.

8. An increase of \$475,000 for the Challenger Learning Center of Kansas.

9. An increase of \$475,000 for Challenger Learning Centers in Illinois.

10. An increase of \$475,000 for the Challenger Learning Center at Wheeling Jesuit University.

11. An increase of \$1,900,000 for the Alan B. Shepard Discovery Center in New Hampshire.

12. An increase of \$3,000,000 to the U.S. Space and Rocket Center for an Educational Training Center.
13. An increase of \$570,000 for academic and infrastructure needs at St. Thomas University in Miami, Florida.
14. An increase of \$950,000 for the Ohio View Consortium.
15. An increase of \$1,900,000 for the Von Braun Scholarship program.
16. An increase of \$3,000,000 for the Alabama Math, Science, and Technology initiative.
17. An increase of \$2,925,000 for the Sci-Quest Hands-on Science Center.
18. An increase of \$1,650,000 for the Alabama Supercomputer Educational Outreach program.
19. An increase of \$1,900,000 to the Educational Advancement Alliance to support the Alliance's math, science, and technology enrichment program.
20. An increase of \$5,000,000 for the National Space Grant College and Fellowship program.
21. An increase of \$475,000 for the Science, Engineering, Math and Aerospace Academy programs at Central Arizona College.
22. An increase of \$340,000 to enhance K-12 science education through a program of the Middle Tennessee State University.
23. An increase of \$5,400,000 for the EPSCoR program.
24. An increase of \$5,000,000 for a planetarium at the Clay Center of Arts and Sciences in Charleston, West Virginia.
25. An increase of \$2,000,000 for the Northern Great Plains Space Science and Technology Center at the University of North Dakota.
26. An increase of \$1,500,000 for flight communications technology at the University of Connecticut.
27. An increase \$1,500,000 for the Science Discovery Outreach Center at the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill, North Carolina.
28. An increase of \$1,000,000 for the Chabot Observatory and Science Center in Oakland, California.
29. An increase of \$750,000 for the Des Moines Science Center in Des Moines, Iowa.
30. An increase of \$4,000,000 for infrastructure needs at Mauna Kea Astronomy Education Center at the University of Hawaii, Hilo.
31. An increase of \$1,000,000 for the NASA/Bishop Museum partnership in Honolulu, Hawaii.
32. An increase of \$1,500,000 for the Wisconsin Initiative for Math, Science, and Technology education at the University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.
33. An increase of \$250,000 for St. Mary's County Public School Technology Center, St. Mary's County, Maryland.
34. An increase of \$3,000,000 for construction of a life sciences facility at Brown University.
35. An increase of \$2,000,000 for instrumentation and laboratory development at Rowan University in New Jersey.
36. An increase of \$5,000,000 for infrastructure improvements at the School of Science and Mathematics at the College of Charleston in South Carolina.

37. An increase of \$1,500,000 for Muhlenberg College in Lehigh County, Pennsylvania to develop a national model for using NASA data and technologies in the k-12 and higher education classroom.

38. An increase of \$750,000 for the Texas Engineering Experiment Center at Texas A&M University to support the Space Engineering Institute.

39. An increase of \$3,000,000 for the Challenger Learning Center in Kenai, Alaska for the final phase of dormitory construction.

40. An increase of \$500,000 for the Southeast Missouri State University NASA Educator Resource Center.

41. An increase of \$1,000,000 for a Challenger Learning Center in Ferguson/Florissant, Missouri.

42. An increase of \$800,000 for the Science, Engineering, Math and Aerospace Academy programs in Dade County, Florida.

#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conferees agree to appropriate \$23,700,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The conferees have included three administrative provisions which have been carried in prior-year appropriations acts and were included by both the House and the Senate. A fourth provision, prohibiting establishment of a non-governmental organization for the International Space Station as proposed by the House, has been included in the conference agreement. The conferees look forward to receiving a comprehensive proposal for managing the ISS science program at which time it will re-evaluate the foregoing prohibition.

#### NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

##### CENTRAL LIQUIDITY FACILITY

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conferees have allowed the cap on the Central Liquidity Facility (CLF) lending activities from borrowed funds to remain at the fiscal year 2001 level of \$1,500,000,000. As part of the Committees' oversight function, the conferees direct that NCUA provide quarterly reports for fiscal year 2002 to the Committees on Appropriations detailing CLF lending activities.

The conferees have provided \$1,000,000 to the Community Development Revolving Loan Fund (CDRLF) as proposed by both the House and Senate. The conferees have agreed to set aside \$300,000 specifically for technical assistance grants for fiscal year 2002 as proposed by the Senate.

For the first time, \$350,000 was provided in fiscal year 2001 specifically for technical assistance grants. Prior to fiscal year 2001, technical assistance grants were funded solely from interest collected from the revolving loan program. The conferees recognize that the technical assistance grant program is oversubscribed and have agreed to augment the available funds with appropriations

again in fiscal year 2002. Additionally, the conferees support the revolving loan program and recognize that demand for loans to assist low-income credit unions remains strong. In order to provide the maximum benefit to both programs from available funds, the conferees have supported both programs by making available the majority of funds for the revolving loan program recognizing that interest accrued on these loans will increase the funds available for technical assistance for low-income credit unions in the future.

While the conferees are supportive of the CDRLF, the conferees find that the budget submission for the CDRLF lacks the appropriate information for the Committees to base future funding decisions. For fiscal year 2003, and thereafter, the conferees direct that the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) provide detailed budget justifications for the loan program and technical assistance grant program. The budget justification should include a description of the program including the allowable purposes of loans and grants, the expected number and average amount of loans and grants to be awarded during the fiscal year, an estimate for the balance of the CDRLF, and estimates of future funding needs.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION  
RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

Appropriates \$3,598,340,000 for research and related activities instead of \$3,642,340,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,514,481,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have included bill language which provides up to \$300,000,000 for polar research and operations support and \$75,000,000 for a comprehensive research initiative on plant genomes for economically significant crops.

The conference agreement provides specific funding levels for each of NSF's research activities as follows:

1. \$508,980,000 for Biological Sciences. Of this amount, \$75,000,000 has been provided for plant genome research on economically significant crops, including an initiative which invests in high-throughput sequencing (such as full-length cDNA sequencing) of economically important crops.

2. \$515,800,000 for Computer and Information Science and Engineering. Up to \$10,000,000 of the appropriated level may be used for operational support of the two terascale facilities.

3. \$467,510,000 for Engineering.

4. \$610,650,000 for Geosciences.

5. \$922,190,000 for Mathematical and Physical Sciences. Of the appropriated amount, \$4,000,000 is provided for the Telescope Systems Instrumentation Program (TSIP) and \$5,000,000 has been provided for astronomical sciences to augment individual investigator support. The conferees expect NSF to continue its program of upgrading, on a priority basis, its astronomical facilities and equipment, including the Greenbank Observatory and Robert C. Byrd Telescope in West Virginia, and the Very Large Array radio telescope in New Mexico. The conferees have also placed a high priority on mathematics research within the amounts provided for this activity.

6. \$168,900,000 for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences.
7. \$229,730,000 for U.S. Polar Research Programs.
8. \$68,070,000 for U.S. Antarctic Logistical Support Activities.
9. \$106,510,000 for Integrative Activities, including \$4,000,000 for the Science and Technology Policy Institute, \$26,610,000 for the Science and Technology Centers, and \$75,900,000 for Major Research Instrumentation (MRI). NSF is expected to continue its ongoing MRI program with developing institutions.

The conference agreement increases the budget request level for all directorates, and provides specific increases of \$25,000,000 for information technology research, \$25,000,000 for nanotechnology, and \$12,500,000 for increased energy and fuel costs in the polar and ocean sciences as well as national facilities in physics and materials. The conference agreement also directs NSF to undertake a study to determine its appropriate role in support of regional innovation activities.

The conferees have not included funds from within the NSF appropriation for maintaining the integrity of the Homestake Mine site in Lead, South Dakota and instead have provided funding from within the Community Development Fund under title II of this Act. While the conferees acknowledge the role NSF and the National Science Board will play in determining whether the mine is a suitable facility for proposed research, as well as whether such proposed research should be a priority for the NSF, it is not appropriate for NSF to maintain the mine until such determinations are made.

In presenting the Budget Estimates and Justification Materials for fiscal year 2003 and beyond, the conferees direct the Foundation to provide five-year plans for all multi-disciplinary programs which specify, among other details, the funding level and justification for each program or project.

#### MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION

Appropriates \$138,800,000 for major research equipment and facilities construction instead of \$135,300,000 as proposed by the House and \$108,832,000 as proposed by the Senate. Included within the appropriated amount is \$16,900,000 for the Large Hadron Collider; \$24,400,000 for the Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation; \$35,000,000 for continued development, production, and instrumentation of the High-Performance Instrumented Airborne Platform for Environmental Research (HIAPER); \$35,000,000 for Terascale Computing Systems; \$15,000,000 for start-up costs of the IceCube Neutrino Detection project; and \$12,500,000 for initial construction of the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) radio telescope.

The conferees note that the amount provided for Terascale Computing Systems represents the initial segment of a three-year program expected to cost no less than the budget request of \$55,000,000. While the conferees remain committed to this program as outlined by the Foundation, it was determined that funding the program on an annual basis made it possible to provide adequate resources to other priority projects.

The conferees are aware that the NSF Inspector General has found that funds associated with the construction of large scale re-

search facilities have also come from other NSF appropriation accounts. This obscures the full cost of these projects. The conferees agree that the renamed major research equipment and facilities construction (MREFC) account is to provide resources for the acquisition, construction and commissioning of large scale research facilities. Planning, design, operations, and maintenance costs are contained within the research and related activities account. The conferees also remain concerned about the implementation of NSF's Large Facility Projects Management & Oversight Plan, dated September 2001.

The conferees have directed NSF to provide a report regarding the full life-cycle cost of each of the projects or facilities funded through this account since its inception. The conferees have taken the unusual step of including this statutory requirement due to its continuing concerns for the expenditure of resources for major research equipment projects and current senior management's ability to adequately address this issue.

The report should identify, for each project and by fiscal year appropriation account used, the costs of planning, design, and development; acquisition, construction, and commissioning; and operations, management, and maintenance. This report, which should also demonstrate significant implementation of the large facility management and oversight plan, is to be provided to the Committees on Appropriations no later than February 28, 2002.

The conferees further direct the Foundation to provide, in its annual budget submission to the Congress, a detailed priority-based description, multi-year budget, and milestone plan for all projects funded or proposed to be funded through the MREFC account, including those projects currently in the formal planning and development phase prior to National Science Board approval.

The conferees have changed the name of the account to Major Research Equipment and Facilities Construction to better reflect the mission to be accomplished with appropriations made available through this account.

#### EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Appropriates \$875,000,000 for education and human resources instead of \$885,720,000 as proposed by the House and \$872,407,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree to the following funding levels within this account:

1. \$80,000,000 for EPSCoR. In addition to funds provided through the EHR account for EPSCoR, the conferees expect the NSF to provide an additional \$30,000,000 from within the Research and Related Activities account for research to be conducted at EPSCoR institutions, bringing the total NSF EPSCoR effort to \$110,000,000.

2. \$28,000,000 for the Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation program.

3. \$17,000,000 for the HBCU Undergraduate Program.

4. \$160,000,000 for the Math and Science Partnership program. The conferees have agreed to provide significant funding for this new program despite limited details provided through the budget submission. The Foundation is strongly urged to provide

regular, detailed information to the Committees on Appropriations regarding the planning and execution of this new initiative.

5. \$5,000,000 for Noyce Scholarships consistent with the provisions of H.R. 1858 as reported to the House of Representatives.

6. \$11,000,000 for the Office of Innovation Partnerships.

7. \$5,000,000 for a new undergraduate workforce initiative, which is to include a new, merit-based, competitive grants program for colleges and universities for increasing the number of undergraduate degree recipients in science and engineering, consistent with the provisions of S. 1549.

8. \$105,500,000, an increase of \$10,000,000 above the budget request, has been provided to increase graduate level stipends for the research and teaching fellowship programs and the trainee program administered by the Foundation through its Graduate Education subactivity. The conferees support increasing the graduate stipend level to \$21,500 during fiscal year 2002 if funding permits.

9. \$2,600,000 above the budget request for the Human Resource Development subactivity has been provided to establish an initiative that will stimulate the competitive research capacity of Historically Black Colleges and Universities which offer doctoral degrees in science and engineering.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$170,040,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$6,760,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

##### PAYMENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

The conferees agree to provide \$105,000,000 for the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation as proposed by the House instead of \$100,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Language is included in the bill which designates \$10,000,000 to support the Corporation's section 8 homeownership program, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conferees remain concerned about the shortage of available, affordable rental housing across the Nation. The Corporation has been successfully producing mixed-income affordable rental housing through the use of "mutual housing", acquisition and preservation of existing units, and a focus on asset management. Accordingly, the conferees agree to provide \$5,000,000 above the budget request to the Corporation to support additional mixed-income affordable rental developments. The conferees direct the Corporation to include details on how many additional affordable, rental housing units have been created through this set-aside in its fiscal year 2003 budget justifications. The Corporation should also include information on the number of families served that have incomes below 30 percent of the area median income. There is a substantial shortage of available, affordable housing for these extremely low-income families throughout the Nation, and the con-

ferrees urge the Corporation to continue its efforts to meet the housing needs of these families. The conferees also direct the Corporation to increase its efforts in smaller metropolitan areas and rural areas where very serious housing problems exist.

#### SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$25,003,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conferees agree to limit reception and representation expenses to \$750 instead of \$500 as proposed by the House and \$1,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Retains twenty general provisions proposed by both the House and the Senate and which were included in the fiscal year 2001 Act.

Modifies language proposed by the Senate prohibiting HUD from spending funds for any activity in excess of amounts described in the budget justification unless otherwise provided for in this Act or through a reprogramming of funds.

Retains language proposed by the House prohibiting EPA from using funds to implement the Registration Fee system codified in 40 CFR subpart U if the authority to collect fees authorized in FIFRA is extended for one year beyond September 30, 2001.

Retains language proposed by the House amending the Cerro Grande Fire Assistance Act to read “within 120 days after the Director issues the report required by subsection (n) in 2002 and 2003.”

Retains language proposed by the House prohibiting VA from using funds to implement the proposed requirement that military retirees must choose either VA’s or TRICARE’s health care system.

The conferees have included modified language related to a national primary drinking water standard for arsenic as published in the Federal Register on January 22, 2001, instead of language proposed by the House and the Senate. The language adopted by the conferees prohibits a delay in setting a new regulation other than that prescribed in the final rule of January 22, 2001, which includes an arsenic standard of 10 parts per billion (ppb).

In adopting this legislative provision, the conferees acknowledge that an arsenic standard of 10 ppb will likely pose significant financial costs on many small communities, and many of these communities may find it impossible, because of the financial burden, to be in compliance by 2006 as the rule requires. The conferees are concerned that, because of their complexity, the current waiver and exemption provisions found in sections 1415 and 1416 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended, may not provide sufficient flexibility for the small communities to receive additional time to reach compliance. As a result, the conferees are very concerned that numerous small community water systems may not be in compliance by 2006, and that some very small communities may abandon their municipal systems in favor of untreated and unregulated private wells which could create significant other health risks for these communities. The conferees agree that the Congress and

the Administration must act swiftly to provide both the time and the means for many small communities to meet the new 10 ppb standard.

To this end, the conferees direct the Administrator of EPA to begin immediately to review the Agency's affordability criteria and how small system variance and exemption programs should be implemented for arsenic. In addition, the Administrator should recommend procedures to grant an extension of time in meeting the compliance requirement for small communities when a community can show to the satisfaction of the Administrator that being in compliance by 2006 poses an undue economic hardship on that community. In developing these procedures, the Administrator should consider those actions which can be taken administratively by the Agency and those which will require the enactment of legislation. The conferees do not intend to create loopholes in the Safe Drinking Water Act for compliance to a national arsenic standard. Rather, the conferees wish to emphasize that they expect the Agency to adopt without delay all appropriate available administrative actions permitted under existing law to facilitate reasonable extensions of time for compliance of these communities.

The Agency is directed to report to the Congress by March 1, 2002 on its review of the affordability criteria and the administrative actions undertaken or planned to be undertaken by the Agency, as well as potential funding mechanisms for small community compliance and other legislative actions, which, if taken by the Congress, would best achieve appropriate extensions of time for small communities while also guaranteeing maximum compliance.

Retains language proposed by the House establishing the Minority Emergency Preparedness Demonstration Program at FEMA.

Deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting the VA from implementing the "Plan for the Development of a 25-Year General Use Plan for Department of Veterans Affairs West Los Angeles Health Care Center." The conferees have instead included report language in medical care urging the development of a reasonable development plan which is suitable for the community and improves access to VA services.

Modifies language proposed by the House prohibiting funds to be used to implement or enforce the community service requirement of the United States Housing Act of 1937 except for residents of projects funded under HOPE VI.

Deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting funding of any person or entity convicted of the Buy American Act.

Retains language proposed by the Senate requiring HUD to submit a report by January 8, 2002, detailing obligations and expenditures of title II funds for technical assistance, training or management improvement activities.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate amending section 70113(f) of title 49.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding playground equipment. The conferees have instead included report language under EPA and CPSC directing those agencies to submit reports regarding chromated copper arsenate-treated wood playground equipment.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$115,000,000 from NSF funds for EPSCoR, which includes \$25,000,000 in co-funding.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate expressing the Sense of the Senate that the Committee on Environment and Public Works needs to address the State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund.

Inserts language clarifying the use of funds available to NASA from timber sales.

New language is included to facilitate the use of funds provided through HUD’s Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program to aid in the recovery of New York City from the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. The conferees are aware funds appropriated to the President in Public Law 107–38 have been set aside to be provided to the State of New York for assistance to New York City for properties and businesses affected by the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 and to assist in the City’s overall economic recovery. Given the extraordinary level of damage to New York City caused by the terrorist attacks and the unique circumstances affecting the economic recovery of the area, the conferees have included language authorizing the one-time waiver of requirements as the Secretary deems appropriate to facilitate this recovery.

Prior to the release of funds, the conferees expect the State of New York to submit and to secure approval from the Secretary of a plan that would allocate these funds to the highest priority economic development needs to address the emergency situation pursuant to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. Language is also included requiring certain notification requirements on the use of these funds and relevant waivers being granted. The conferees request that HUD provide quarterly reports to the Committees on Appropriations on the obligation and expenditure of these funds.

The conferees do not expect these funds to be used to compensate or otherwise reimburse insurance companies for losses related to the terrorist attacks. The conferees understand that issues related to insurance costs and the terrorist attacks are currently under review by the relevant House and Senate authorization committees.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2002 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2001 amount, the 2002 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2002 follow:

[In thousands of dollars]	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001 .....	\$108,346,441
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002 .....	110,671,650
House bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	112,742,553
Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	113,351,308
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2002 .....	112,742,537

Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001 .....	+4,396,096
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002 .....	+2,070,887
House bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	- 16
Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	- 608,771

JAMES T. WALSH,  
TOM DELAY,  
DAVID L. HOBSON,  
JOE KNOLLENBERG,  
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,  
ANNE M. NORTHUP,  
JOHN E. SUNUNU,  
VIRGIL GOODE, Jr.,  
ROBERT B. ADERHOLT,  
BILL YOUNG,  
ALAN B. MOLLOHAN,  
MARCY KAPTUR,  
CARRIE P. MEEK,  
DAVID PRICE,  
ROBERT E. CRAMER, Jr.,  
CHAKA FATTAH,  
DAVID OBEY,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

BARBARA A. MIKULSKI,  
PATRICK J. LEAHY,  
TOM HARKIN,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
HERB KOHL,  
TIM JOHNSON,  
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,  
DANIEL K. INOUE,  
CHRISTOPHER S. BOND,  
CONRAD BURNS,  
RICHARD C. SHELBY,  
LARRY CRAIG,  
(except for general provision  
on arsenic),  
PETE V. DOMENICI,  
(except for general provision  
on arsenic),  
MIKE DEWINE,  
TED STEVENS,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

