

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2005

—————
JULY 15, 2004.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed
—————

Mr. KNOLLENBERG, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H.R. 4837]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005.

CONTENTS

	Page
Purpose of the Bill	2
Conformance With Authorization Bill	2
Summary of Committee Recommendation	2
General Statement	7
Budgetary Cap on Housing Privatization	7
Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization	8
Military Construction:	
Army	9
Navy and Marine Corps	10
Air Force	11
Defense-wide	12
Army National Guard	13
Air National Guard	14
Army Reserve	14
Naval Reserve	15
Air Force Reserve	15
NATO Security Investment Program	16
Family Housing Overview	16
Family Housing:	
Army	18
Navy and Marine Corps	20
Air Force	20
Defense-wide	21
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	22
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	22
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide	23

Base Realignment and Closure Account	23
General Provisions	24
Changes in Application of Existing Law	26
Definition of Program, Project and Activity	26
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law	27
Transfer of Funds	29
Constitutional Authority	29
Comparisons With Budget Resolution	29
Five-Year Projection of Outlays	29
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments	30
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives	30
State List	30

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction Appropriations bill provides funds for planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of facilities and family housing located on reserve and active duty military installations around the world. Additionally, the bill provides funds for the U.S. share of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. The bill also provides funds to execute projects identified by the base realignment and closure authorities. Finally, the bill provides funds for the Department of Defense Chemical Demilitarization Construction program.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

On May 20, 2004, the House passed the National Defense Authorization Act for 2005 (H.R. 4200) by a vote of 391 to 34. At this time, conference action on the legislation has not concluded; therefore, projects in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,003,000,000 in new budget authority for the Military Construction Appropriations bill. This recommendation is \$449,625,000 above the President's request and \$162,139,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level. The following table compares amounts recommended in the bill to the President's request and amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2004:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Army.....	1,448,239	1,771,285	1,862,854	+414,615	+91,569
Rescissions.....	-183,615	---	---	+183,615	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106).....	162,100	---	---	-162,100	---
Total.....	1,426,724	1,771,285	1,862,854	+436,130	+91,569
Military construction, Navy.....	1,238,458	1,060,455	1,081,042	-157,416	+20,587
Rescissions.....	-45,622	---	---	+45,622	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106).....	45,530	---	---	-45,530	---
Total.....	1,238,366	1,060,455	1,081,042	-157,324	+20,587
Military construction, Air Force.....	1,067,751	663,964	797,865	-269,886	+133,901
Rescission.....	-23,000	---	---	+23,000	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106).....	292,550	---	---	-292,550	---
Total.....	1,337,301	663,964	797,865	-539,436	+133,901
Military construction, Defense-wide.....	653,656	709,337	718,837	+65,181	+9,500
Rescission.....	-72,309	---	---	+72,309	---
Total.....	581,347	709,337	718,837	+137,490	+9,500
Total, Active components.....	4,583,738	4,205,041	4,460,598	-123,140	+255,557
Military construction, Army National Guard.....	311,592	295,657	394,100	+82,508	+98,443
Military construction, Air National Guard.....	222,908	127,368	180,533	-42,375	+53,165
Military construction, Army Reserve.....	88,451	87,070	116,521	+28,070	+29,451

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Naval Reserve.....	45,498	25,285	30,955	-14,543	+5,670
Military construction, Air Force Reserve.....	62,032	84,556	111,725	+49,693	+27,169
Total, Reserve components.....	730,481	619,936	833,834	+103,353	+213,898
Total, Military construction.....	5,314,219	4,824,977	5,294,432	-19,787	+469,455
Appropriations.....	(5,138,585)	(4,824,977)	(5,294,432)	(+155,847)	(+469,455)
Rescissions.....	(-324,546)	---	---	(+324,546)	---
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.....	169,300	165,800	165,800	-3,500	---
Rescission.....	-8,000	---	---	+8,000	---
Total.....	161,300	165,800	165,800	+4,500	---
Family housing construction, Army.....	383,591	636,099	636,099	+252,508	---
Rescission.....	-94,151	---	---	+94,151	---
Total.....	289,440	636,099	636,099	+346,659	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army.....	1,033,026	928,907	926,507	-106,519	-2,400
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106).....	11,420	---	---	-11,420	---
Total.....	1,044,446	928,907	926,507	-117,939	-2,400

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps.....	184,193	139,107	139,107	-45,086	---
Rescission.....	-40,508	---	---	+40,508	---
Total.....	143,685	139,107	139,107	-4,578	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps.....	835,078	704,504	696,304	-138,774	-8,200
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106).....	6,280	---	---	-6,280	---
Total.....	841,358	704,504	696,304	-145,054	-8,200
Family housing construction, Air Force.....	657,065	846,959	846,959	+189,894	---
Rescission.....	-19,347	---	---	+19,347	---
Total.....	637,718	846,959	846,959	+209,241	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force....	816,074	863,896	854,666	+38,592	-9,230
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106).....	6,981	---	---	-6,981	---
Total.....	823,055	863,896	854,666	+31,611	-9,230
Family housing construction, Defense-wide.....	350	49	49	-301	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-wide	49,440	49,575	49,575	+135	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement					
Fund.....	300	2,500	2,500	+2,200	---
Rescission.....	-9,692	---	---	+9,692	---
Total.....	-9,392	2,500	2,500	+11,892	---
=====					
Total, Family housing.....	3,820,100	4,171,596	4,151,766	+331,666	-19,830
=====					
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense.....	119,815	81,886	81,886	-37,929	---
Base realignment and closure account.....	370,427	246,116	246,116	-124,311	---
General provision (sec. 118).....	55,000	63,000	63,000	+8,000	---
=====					
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority.....	9,840,861	9,553,375	10,003,000	+162,139	+449,625
Appropriations.....	(9,812,244)	(9,553,375)	(10,003,000)	(+190,756)	(+449,625)
Emergency appropriations.....	(524,861)	---	---	(-524,861)	---
Rescissions.....	(-496,244)	---	---	(+496,244)	---
=====					

GENERAL STATEMENT

Several witnesses from the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense emphasized to this Committee that we remain a nation at war. Current operations relating to the Global War on Terrorism, including, but not limited to Iraq and Afghanistan, combined with the other security commitments of the United States and homeland defense, place a great burden on the armed services. The Committee believes the ultimate purpose of this bill is to support our service men and women and their families.

The challenges to military infrastructure at this time are numerous. The Secretary of Defense set the goal of achieving a 67-year recapitalization rate across all facilities by 2008, but even at this rate, the Department will not bring facilities up to an average readiness rating of C-2 until the end of fiscal year 2010.

It is therefore with disappointment that the Committee notes the continuing inadequacy of military construction budget requests. As a percentage of the overall defense budget, military construction and family housing expenditures have declined since the mid-1990s. The Committee understands that much of the growth in the defense budget in recent years has been due to operations and maintenance costs related to the Global War on Terrorism. At the same time, the Committee believes that the relatively small amounts needed to build and maintain good infrastructure and family housing yield tremendous benefits in terms of readiness, quality of life, and recruitment and retention rates of our service men and women. Yet the Committee notes that the military construction budget requests for the active and reserve components represent a cut of \$378,697,000 and \$110,545,000, respectively, from the fiscal year 2004 enacted level. Underfunding military construction with the expectation that Congress will fill in the gaps is an unwise and unsustainable budget strategy. The Committee is encouraged by indications that more substantial increases in military construction budget requests are forthcoming beginning in fiscal year 2006, and will continue to scrutinize those requests to ensure that those budgets are sufficiently matched to the Department's goals.

BUDGETARY CAP ON HOUSING PRIVATIZATION

The Committee fully supports the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI). Where it has been undertaken, privatized family housing for service members and their loved ones has dramatically improved the quality of life, resulting in residencies that are commensurate with the sacrifices that our service men and women are called on to make. By helping to ensure that inadequate housing is eliminated by the end of the decade, MHPI has a direct and positive impact on recruitment and retention rates, which are crucial to maintaining the excellence of the All Volunteer Force.

While the Committee believes that the services should continue to rely on local housing markets as much as possible, privatized military housing represents the next best option in many cases. Before the end of this calendar year, the statutory cap limiting total Federal government contributions to \$850,000,000 for privatized family housing will be reached. Without legislative relief, MHPI

will come to a halt, endangering numerous planned housing projects. Unfortunately, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has indicated that it will score any such legislation differently than in the past, rendering passage more difficult.

The Committee believes that CBO's approach is unmerited and greatly exaggerates the financial risk to the Federal government. While the Committee acknowledges the need for fiscal discipline, especially in this time of budget deficits, MHPI saves the Federal government money by leveraging private capital. The average ratio of private to Federal dollars is 11:1. Without MHPI, much of the family housing that would otherwise be built by leveraging private capital would necessarily be funded through traditional construction accounts. The inability to leverage private capital would entail a great loss of efficiency and likely delay the elimination of inadequate family housing well into the next decade of budget cycles.

The Secretary of Defense has established a department-wide goal of eliminating inadequate family housing by the end of fiscal year 2007. Without MHPI, achieving this goal is nearly impossible. The Committee therefore strongly supports current efforts to raise or eliminate the budgetary cap on MHPI and address scoring methodology changes proposed by CBO.

SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee continues to be concerned about the inadequacy of sustainment, restoration, and modernization (SRM) funds budgeted by the services. Although SRM funds are not appropriated in this bill, the level of SRM funds requested, enacted, and expended has a direct impact on the quality of all Department of Defense facilities. While the services submitted budgets that ostensibly fund 95 percent of the required SRM level, the Committee is not confident this level will hold through budget execution, primarily due to the migration of funds to support underfunded base operations support accounts. Nor does the Committee have confidence that all installations will be treated equitably in the allocation of SRM funds.

All services use C-ratings to measure facility readiness, with C-1 being the best and C-4 the worst. Although these ratings are subject to slightly differing interpretations by each service, it is fair to say that C-3 and C-4 ratings negatively impact a facility's ability to effectively support the mission. The Committee notes the percentages of C-3 and C-4 rated facilities as follows: 65 percent for the Army, 64 percent for the Navy, 50 percent for the Marine Corps, and 59 percent for the Air Force. Facilities in this shape have a direct impact on men and women in uniform, undermining readiness and endangering safety. The Committee therefore strongly urges the Administration to fully fund both SRM and base operating support for all services and ensure these levels are maintained through budget execution.

The Department is directed to continue describing on form 1390 the backlog of SRM requirements at installations with future construction projects. For troop housing requests, form 1391 should describe any SRM conducted in the past two years. Likewise, future requirements for unaccompanied housing at the corresponding installation should be included. Additionally, the forms should include English equivalent measurements for projects presented in

metric measurement. Rules for funding repairs of facilities under the Operation and Maintenance account are described below:

- Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement. Such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.
- Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair.
- Additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects. Such projects may be done concurrently with repair projects as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.
- The appropriate service secretary shall notify the appropriate committees 21 days prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,426,724,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	1,771,285,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,862,854,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	436,130,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	91,569,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,862,854,000 for Military Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$436,130,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$91,569,000 above the budget request.

Alabama—Fort Rucker: Aircraft Maintenance Hangar.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$750,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

California—Sierra Army Depot: Amedee Army Airfield Runway Extension.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$561,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Georgia—Fort Benning: Receptee Barracks Expansion.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,250,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Georgia—Fort Gordon: Law Enforcement Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$310,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Kentucky—Bluegrass Depot: Consolidated Shipping Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$365,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

North Carolina—Raleigh: Joint Forces Headquarters Armed Forces Reserve Center.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the North Carolina Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center to the Army National Guard and requests the National Guard Bureau to provide a status report on the planning and design for this facility no later than February 1, 2005. The committee also encourages the Department to consider including this project in the next Future Year Defense Program.

Pennsylvania—Tobyhanna: Child Development Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Com-

mittee directs that not less than \$278,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Virginia—Fort A.P. Hill: Combined Arms Collective Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$486,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Virginia—Fort Belvoir: Access Roads.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$500,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Army Aviation Restructuring and Revitalization.—The Army announced on February 23, 2004 its intention to restructure and revitalize the Army Aviation program. In addition to the purchase of 800 new aircraft and the modernization of 1,400 helicopters, the plan envisions the transformation of the Reserve component of Army Aviation. As a result of the cancellation of the Comanche helicopter program, the Administration submitted a budget amendment requesting the reallocation of \$30,000,000 from the Comanche program to the Military Construction, Army National Guard account in fiscal year 2005. The Committee understands that these funds are intended to provide a head start on the Reserve component of Army Aviation restructuring. The Committee, however, believes that additional information is required to assess the impact of Army Aviation restructuring on Army facilities. The Committee therefore directs the Army to submit by September 10, 2004 a report on future plans for Army Aviation Support Facilities and all other projects required or affected by restructuring (e.g., runways). The report should include, for as many fiscal years as information is available, the installations at which new or modernized aircraft are to be delivered, the type of aircraft and date of delivery, and the projected cost of associated military construction.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,238,366,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	1,060,455,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,081,042,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(157,324,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	20,587,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,081,042,000 for Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$157,324,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$20,587,000 above the budget request.

California—Miramar MCAS: East Gate Physical Security.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$268,000 be made available for the design of this project.

California—China Lake NAWC: Advanced Sensor Integration Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$250,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

California—Naval Postgraduate School: Spanagel Hall.—The Committee is aware the Navy is in the process of conducting a space requirement for Spanagel Hall. The Navy is also in the proc-

ess of making a determination of whether replacement or renovation of the existing facility is the right acquisition approach. The Committee encourages the Navy to move this project forward in an expeditious manner.

Florida—Jacksonville NAS: Construct Aircraft Parking Apron (III).—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Florida—Jacksonville NAS: Consolidated Operational Support Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Pennsylvania—Norfolk NSY Philadelphia DET: Improve Inside Machine Shops.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,032,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Energy Conservation.—In addition to energy conservation programs for military construction projects funded through the Energy Conservation Improvement program, the Department of Defense has the authority to develop geothermal resources on military lands and keep the proceeds from the sale of electricity generated from those resources for use by the Department, including military construction projects. The Committee understands the Department of the Navy’s Geothermal Program Office is responsible for managing and developing these resources for the military. The Committee encourages the Navy to expand opportunities to use this authority for military construction projects, such as the use of triple junction amorphous silicon photovoltaic roofing. The Committee requests the Navy to provide a report to the Committee on actions taken to incorporate section 2867 of title 10 U.S.C. into the military construction program no later than January 14, 2005.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,337,301,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	663,964,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	797,865,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(539,436,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	133,901,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$797,865,000 for Military Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$539,436,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$133,901,000 above the budget request.

Florida—Cape Canaveral: Security Forces Operational Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$880,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Florida—MacDill AFB: CENTCOM Consolidation.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$8,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Idaho—Mountain Home AFB: Logistics Readiness Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,340,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Kansas—McConnell AFB: Consolidated Mobility Processing Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,332,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Nebraska—Offutt AFB: Repair Runway.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$497,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Nevada—Nellis AFB: Fire and Crash Rescue Station.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$837,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

North Dakota—Minot AFB: Dock 1 Multi-purpose Hangar Project.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$890,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Washington—Fairchild AFB: Mission Support Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$670,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$581,347,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	709,337,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	718,837,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	137,490,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	9,500,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$718,837,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$137,490,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$9,500,000 above the budget request. Within this appropriation is \$50,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Improvement Program, the same as the fiscal year 2004 level.

Use of Steel in Military Construction.—The Committee understands the Department of Defense uses cold-formed steel for the framing of both residential and non-residential construction projects in tropical and coastal regions due to steel's enhanced resistance to hurricanes, mold, rot and insect problems that frequently occur in these areas. Steel framing, which is produced domestically, may also hold other advantages in terms of fire resistance and life cycle costs. The Committee encourages the Department to explore opportunities for expanding the use of steel to other regions for military construction projects.

Use of Sustainable Design Standards by the Department of Defense.—The Committee encourages the Department to utilize sustainable building design and construction methods of maximize the efficient use of renewable, recycled, and environmentally sound materials. However, concerns have been expressed that certain rating systems adopted by the Department to assess the standards of sustainable design and construction of facilities may unfairly discriminate against domestic producers of wood construction products. Therefore, the Committee requests the Secretary of Defense submit a report to the Committee by June 1, 2005 that describes: (1) the standards used by each military department to assess the use of

sustainable design and construction methods, including credits provided for products made from renewable and recycled materials; (2) the extent to which such standards comply with the requirements of section 6002 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, section 6962 of title 42 U.S.C., Executive Order 13101, Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119, and other applicable requirements of law and regulation; and (3) the extent to which the standards adopted by each military department unfairly discriminate against the use of products and materials manufactured in the United States. The Committee expects the Secretary to take appropriate action to address any noncompliance with applicable requirements of law or regulation and any unfair discrimination against any U.S. manufactured materials identified during the course of this review.

Water-Saving Technology.—The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense has installed water-free urinals in some new facilities. These devices may reduce a facility's cost to taxpayers by reducing water and sewer bills, as well as maintenance and repair costs. These devices also can yield environmental and hygienic benefits. The Committee encourages the Department to utilize this and other water-saving technology when building or improving facilities.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$311,592,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	295,657,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	394,100,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	82,508,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	98,443,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$394,100,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$82,508,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$98,443,000 above the budget request.

Florida—Daytona Beach: Joint Armed Forces Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$789,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Indiana—Gary: Joint Armed Forces Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$844,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Mississippi—Camp Shelby: Wastewater Treatment Facility.—Of the amount provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,700,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Nebraska—Lincoln: Joint Forces Headquarters.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$614,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

North Carolina—Raleigh: Joint Forces Headquarters Armed Forces Reserve Center.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the North Carolina Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center to the Army National Guard and requests the National Guard Bu-

reau to provide a status report on the planning and design for this facility no later than February 1, 2005. The Committee also encourages the Department to consider including this project in the next Future Year Defense Program.

Pennsylvania—Hermitage: Readiness Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$485,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Tennessee—Nashville: Readiness Center Addition/Alteration Phase II.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,999,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Tennessee—Smyrna: Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$935,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Virginia—Winchester: Armory.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$530,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Washington—Gray Field: Aviation Readiness Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,014,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$222,908,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	127,368,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	180,533,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(42,375,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	53,165,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$180,533,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$42,375,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$53,165,000 above the budget request.

Alabama—Montgomery Regional Airport: Composite Operations Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$772,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Colorado—Greeley Airport: Space Warning System Squadron Support Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$509,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Illinois—Springfield: Base Entrance 183rd Fighter Wing.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Iowa—Fort Dodge: Vehicle Maintenance and Communications Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$375,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Missouri—Rosencrans Airport: Fire and Crash Rescue Station.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$650,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

New York—Westhampton: Pararescue Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$990,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

New York—Stewart IAP: Replace Fire and Crash Rescue Station.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$501,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$88,451,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	87,070,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	116,521,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	28,070,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	29,451,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$116,521,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$28,070,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$29,451,000 above the budget request.

California—Garden Grove: Army Reserve Center/OMS/Unheated Storage.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$843,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVAL RESERVE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$45,498,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	25,285,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	30,955,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(14,543,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	5,670,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30,955,000 for Military Construction, Naval Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$14,543,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$5,670,000 above the budget request.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$62,032,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	84,556,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	111,725,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	49,693,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	27,169,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$111,725,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$49,693,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$27,169,000 above the budget request.

Ohio—Youngstown ARS: Joint Services Lodging Facility Phase I.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$954,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT
PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$161,300,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	165,800,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	165,800,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	4,500,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$165,800,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (NSIP). This is an increase of \$4,500,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same level as the budget request.

NSIP consists of annual contributions by NATO member countries. The program finances the costs of construction needed to support the roles of the major NATO commands. The investments cover facilities such as airfields, fuel pipelines and storage, harbors, communications and information systems, radar and navigational aids, and military headquarters.

Occasionally, the U.S. has been forced to delay temporarily the authorization of projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to notify the Committee 30 days prior to taking such action.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$3,820,100,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	4,171,596,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	4,151,766,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	331,666,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	(19,830,000)

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$4,151,766,000 for the family housing construction and operation and maintenance accounts for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$331,666,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$19,830,000 below the budget request. The decrease below the request is within the operation and maintenance accounts and is explained under general and flag officer quarters and under the individual account sections. The operation and maintenance accounts provide funds to pay for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance, and miscellaneous expenses.

Historically, housing for military personnel and their families has been a low priority for the Department of Defense. Consequently, the inventory is old and in many cases substandard. In January 2001, the Department estimated that out of 300,000 total housing units worldwide, approximately 180,000 (or 60 percent) were inadequate. The Committee is pleased to note that progress has been made to reduce this percentage. At the beginning of fiscal year 2004, the number of inadequate units had been reduced to 120,000 (or 47 percent) of 256,000 total units. With the budget effort supported in this bill and assuming the continuation of the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI), the number of inadequate units will be reduced to 61,000 by the end of fiscal year 2005. The Committee fully approves the Secretary of Defense's goal to eliminate inadequate housing by the end of fiscal year 2007,

with the exception of four Air Force installations in fiscal year 2008 and Air Force overseas housing in 2009.

Achieving that goal depends on two key factors. The first is the continuation of the MHPI. The Committee has noted its concern about the future of this program in the beginning of this report. The second is the commitment of the services and the Administration to request an increased level of funding for fiscal years 2006 and 2007. The Committee strongly supports continuation of MHPI and expects to see future budget requests follow through on the commitment to eliminate inadequate housing.

General and Flag Officer Quarters.—The Committee is concerned by the often large expenditures on renovations and repairs for general and flag officer quarters (GFOQ), especially within the Navy and Marine Corps. A May 2004 General Accounting Office (GAO) report indicated that, of the 13 cases in which actual costs of major repair projects exceeding \$100,000 went over budget, all were Navy and Marine Corps projects. The GAO report additionally found that 45 percent of cost increases for Marine Corps major renovation projects that exceeded budgets by 10 percent were “customer driven,” or demanded by officers residing in those quarters. According to the GAO report, housing officials indicated that, “controlling costs due to customer requests is directly related to a housing officer’s ability to say no to requests that could be perceived as excessive and draw scrutiny upon the service.”

The Committee believes that, in order to arrest this problem, the Navy and Marine Corps should ensure customer-driven cost increases for GFOQ repair and renovation projects are reviewed at the regional level before final approval. The Committee notes that the overwhelming majority—93 percent—of GFOQ repair and renovation projects reviewed by GAO across all services were completed within budget, and commends the services on their general performance.

In order to further improve such performance, the Committee supports the recommendations of the House Armed Services Committee for increasing congressional oversight of GFOQ maintenance, including lowering the reporting threshold to \$20,000. In addition, the Committee reduced the family housing operations and maintenance account for each service by the following amounts: \$2,400,000 for the Army, \$8,200,000 for the Navy and Marine Corps, and \$230,000 for the Air Force. These amounts represent the President’s request for GFOQ repairs and maintenance.

Family Housing Operations and Maintenance.—The Committee is very concerned by the migration of funds among accounts and sub-accounts within the services’ family housing operations and maintenance (O&M) accounts. In response to a Committee request, the GAO reported in May 2004 that reprogramming of O&M funds is frequent and substantial across all services, reaching levels of 20, 40 and even 60 percent relative to the original appropriation for individual accounts and sub-accounts. While the sum of these reprogrammings did not reach the 10 percent threshold required for congressional notification, the shifting of funds is significant enough that the Committee believes further information is necessary. The Committee therefore directs each service to report to the Committee on reprogramming of funds within family housing operations and maintenance, including the net amount and per-

centage of funds transferred into or out of each account and sub-account during fiscal year 2004. This information should be provided no later than the President's budget submission for fiscal year 2006, and may be included with budget justification materials.

Inadequate Housing.—The fiscal year 2004 conference report noted the lack of a uniform definition of “inadequate” housing covering all the services. The report also noted that the lack of a uniform definition creates inequities between services, between installations, and even among homes on the same installation. The report accordingly directed the Department of Defense to establish a uniform procedure for identifying inadequate housing by October 1, 2003. The Committee notes that as of the date of this report, no standard has been instituted. While the Committee fully supports the goal of eliminating inadequate housing by fiscal year 2007, no military families should be left behind in the accomplishment. This situation remains unacceptable and the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report on the progress of its efforts to form a standard definition of inadequate housing no later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act.

Foreign Currency Savings.—The Committee directs that savings from foreign currency re-estimates be used to maintain existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on how these savings are allocated by December 1, 2005. Likewise, only 10 percent of funds made available to the construction and operation and maintenance sub-accounts may be transferred between the sub-accounts. Such transfers must be reported to the Committee within 30 days of such action.

Leasing Reporting Requirement.—As in prior years, the Secretary of Defense is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter that exceed \$15,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: (1) perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; (2) report the details of new or renewal lease that exceeds \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation) 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and (3) base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

Reprogramming Criteria.—The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) apply to new housing construction projects and improvement projects over \$2,000,000 as well.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$289,440,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	636,099,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	636,099,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	346,659,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$636,099,000 for Family Housing Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$346,659,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted

level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$394,900,000 to construct new family housing units, \$211,990,000 to improve existing units, and \$29,209,000 for planning and design. This funding level will provide the budget request of \$136,590,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of 12,076 inadequate family housing units.

The Committee is very supportive of the Army’s efforts to secure the return of land at the former Fort Ord in order to expand its RCI project at the Ord Military Community. The Committee is pleased to note that the Army recently resolved outstanding issues—save one—that will allow the Army to gain control over the Fort Ord parcel known as the “Stilwell Kidney” on which the Army can build military housing, as well as enter into public-private partnership relations for developing other civilian housing for government workers.

The Committee acknowledges that the terms of the Army’s agreement include 114 acre feet of surplus Army water that shall be conveyed to the City of Seaside, California, of which 39 acre feet shall be used for development of workforce housing. The Army is expected to build approximately 300 units of housing for military families on the Kidney on land that will be gifted back to the U.S. Government. The Army’s LLC partner, Clark Pinnacle, is required to provide water for and to build (or contract out to build) 120 units of workforce housing on the Kidney that will be made available on a preferential basis to government and public employees. Further, the Army with its LLC partner, will provide water for and build (or contract out to build) a yet-to-be-determined number of market rate houses on the Kidney—the final outstanding issue. This market rate housing will be only in numbers as needed to provide the financial wherewithal to underwrite the RCI units on the Kidney but no more than 150 units. Prior to finalizing the contract for this Kidney deal, the Committee directs Army to submit to the Committee a final figure and justification on the number of market rate units Army will build. The Committee expects that water furnished to the market rate housing development shall be only for the purpose of supporting housing and only in the acre feet necessary to support those specified units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,044,446,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	928,907,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	926,507,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(117,939,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	(2,400,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$926,507,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$117,939,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$2,400,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken from the Maintenance and Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$143,685,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	139,107,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	139,107,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(4,578,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$139,107,000 for Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$4,578,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$27,002,000 to construct new family housing units and \$112,105,000 to improve existing units. This funding level will provide the budget request of \$102,105,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of 8,202 inadequate family housing units.

The Committee is aware that the Navy has entered into negotiations with a contractor team for the privatization of family housing and potential divestiture of properties no longer viable for continued Navy use within Navy Region Northwest, including housing at Submarine Base, Bangor; Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Keyport; Naval Magazine, Indian Island; Naval Station, Bremerton; Olalla; Kingston; Bainbridge Island; Fort Lawton; Magnolia (Quarters A); Brier; Naval Radio Station, Jim Creek; and Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island. The Committee is also aware that the Navy has agreed to consult with the State Historic Preservation Officer and other interested parties, including the City of Seattle to consider the interests and concerns of surrounding neighborhoods and local jurisdictions. The Committee supports the Navy's commitment to work with all parties to achieve a mutually beneficial outcome in maintaining Discovery Park as a regional resource.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$841,358,000
Fiscal year 2005 estimate	704,504,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	696,304,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(145,054,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	(8,200,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$696,304,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$145,054,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$8,200,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken from the Maintenance of Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$637,718,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	846,959,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	846,959,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	209,241,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$846,959,000 for Family Housing Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$209,241,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$570,340,000 to construct new family housing units, \$238,353,000 to improve existing units, and \$38,266,000 for planning and design. The funding level will provide the budget request of \$82,863,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of 14,268 inadequate family housing units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$823,055,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	863,896,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	854,666,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	31,611,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	(9,230,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$854,666,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$31,611,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$9,230,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken as follows: \$230,000 from the Maintenance of Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters, \$2,500,000 from the Furnishings account, and \$6,500,000 from the Management account. The Air Force requested an increase in the Management account despite the fact that the total inventory of housing units is expected to decrease by 14,268 units in fiscal year 2005, suggesting a 23 percent increase in the per unit management cost. The Committee finds this increase unjustified and the reduction in the Management account represents one-half of the difference between the requested amount and the amount that would be necessary without this per unit cost increase. The Committee also finds that the per unit cost increase in the Furnishings account is also unjustified, and the request also has been accordingly reduced.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$350,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	49,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	49,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(301,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$49,000 for Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$301,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The recommended amount is solely for the improvement of existing units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$49,440,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	49,575,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	49,575,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	135,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$49,575,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$135,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$ (9,392,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	2,500,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,500,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	11,892,000
Fiscal year 2005 estimate	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,500,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund (FHIF) for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$11,892,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2004 (PL 108-132) appropriated \$300,000 to the Fund while rescinding \$9,692,000 for a project that was no longer required. The Department is directed to continue providing quarterly status reports on each privatization project.

The FHIF is authorized by section 2883, title 10, United States Code, and provides the Department of Defense with authority to finance joint ventures with the private sector to revitalize and to manage the Department's housing inventory. The statute authorizes the Department to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for military personnel.

The FHIF is used to build or renovate family housing by mixing or matching various legal authorities, and by utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The Fund is administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations and contains appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. The fund is financed by revenue from the sale of acquired properties and prior year unobligated balances. The total program estimate for fiscal year 2005 is \$11,542,373.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund that provides assistance to homeowners. The fund was established to ameliorate adverse impacts on the economies of local communities caused by base realignment and closure (BRAC). A service member may access the fund if the value of the home decreases due to BRAC. The account receives funds from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fis-

cal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$119,815,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	81,886,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	81,886,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(37,929,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$81,886,000 for Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$37,929,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The following chart displays the fiscal year 2005 increments included in the bill:

State/Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Colorado: Pueblo Depot Activity	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Ph-VI)	\$44,792,000	\$44,792,000
Kentucky: Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Ph-V)	37,094,000	37,094,000
Total	81,886,000	81,886,000

The Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide account provides funds for the design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructures required to support the Chemical Demilitarization program.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$370,427,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	246,116,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	246,116,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(124,311,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$246,116,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure Account (BRAC) for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$124,311,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. In addition to the amount appropriated, the Department projects revenues of \$115,000,000 from the sale of land for a total program level of \$361,116,000.

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988 (Public Law 100-526) and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510) authorized four base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds between 1988 and 1995 to reduce excess military bases and infrastructure. Ninety-seven major domestic installations were closed and several facilities were realigned. The four BRAC rounds netted savings of approximately \$15,500,000,000 through fiscal year 2001. The Department estimates the costs avoided from fiscal year 2002 and beyond are approximately \$6,000,000,000 per year.

The Congress has appropriated a net total of \$22,706,132,000 for the BRAC program from fiscal years 1990 through 2004. Within

this amount, the Department has allocated \$8,405,146,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by service component, by functions, and by base. Recognizing the complexities of providing for environmental restoration of properties, the Committee has provided flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor program execution to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of environmental clean-up responsibilities.

California—Fort Ord.—A controlled burn at Fort Ord last year burned out of control and scorched nearly three times as much acreage as planned. The Committee encourages the Army to use this unexpected opportunity to conduct additional remediation activities as appropriate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Administration proposed eliminating several general provisions enacted in P.L. 108–132, including sections 111, 113, 119, 122, 123, 126, 127, and 128. The Committee retains each provision with the exception of 128, which established the Commission on the Review of Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States.

The Administration proposed one new general provision allowing funds in the Ford Island Improvement Account to be appropriated and expended for the purposes specified in 10 U.S.C. 2814(i)(1) or until transferred pursuant to the provisions 10 U.S.C. 2814(i)(3). The Committee did not include this provision.

The Committee includes a new general provision to require the Department to provide a written response to inquiries made by the subcommittee within 21 days.

General Provisions included in the bill are as follows:

Section 101 limits DOD from spending funds appropriated in this Act for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed \$25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

Section 102 permits the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 permits funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

Section 104 prohibits construction of new bases in the United States without a specific appropriation.

Section 105 limits the use of funds for the purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100% of value.

Section 106 prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

Section 107 limits the use of minor construction funds to be transferred or relocated from one installation to another.

Section 108 prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 limits appropriations from being used to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 prohibits the use of funds to initiate a new installation overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 establishes a preference for American architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, and the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 establishes a preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where construction costs exceed \$100,000.

Section 114 limits obligations to no more than 20 percent during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 permits DOD to make available funds appropriated in prior years for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 provides that funds for military construction projects are available until the end of the fourth fiscal year following the fiscal year in which funds are appropriated, subject to certain conditions.

Section 118 allows the transfer of expired funds to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account. This provision has been included in every Military Construction Appropriations Act since 1992. Once transferred these funds become available for obligation until expended. Scorekeeping rule 6 requires that extending expired balances be scored as new appropriations in the year that they become available.

Section 119 requires the Secretary of Defense to report annually on actions taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the NATO, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of defense costs.

Section 120 authorizes the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 122 limits the obligation of funds for Partnership for Peace Programs.

Section 123 requires the Secretary of Defense to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing.

Section 124 provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Section 125 requires that appropriations from this Act be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses and limits the repair on these quarters to \$20,000 per year without notification.

Section 126 limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriation Act.

Section 127 prohibits funds appropriated for the NATO Security Investment Program from being obligated or expended for the purpose of missile defense studies.

Section 128 requires the Secretary of Defense or any other official of the Department of Defense to respond in writing to a question or inquiry submitted by the chairman or another member of the subcommittee within 21 days.

Section 129 increases the limitation in 10 U.S.C. 2883(g)(1) on the amount of budget authority for the privatization of military family housing to \$1,350,000,000. The section also provides that this section shall not be subject to scoring for purposes of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

Section 130 designates the fitness center at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida, the Sam Johnson Fitness Center in honor of the Honorable Sam Johnson.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORT REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the rules of the House of Representatives.

CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities that require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

Language is included that enables various appropriations to remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availability.

Language is included under Military Construction, Defense-wide, which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

Language is included raising the statutory cap on budget authority for privatizing military family housing by \$500,000,000.

Language is included designating a fitness center at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida.

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-508), the following information provides the definitions of the terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in the Military Construction Appropriations Act. The term "program, project, and activity" shall include the most specific level of budget items, identified in the Military Con-

struction Appropriations Act, 2003, accompanying House and Senate reports, and the conference report of the joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference.

In carrying out any sequestrations, the Department of Defense (DOD) and related agencies shall carry forth the sequestration order in a manner that would not adversely affect or alter Congressional policies and priorities established for the DOD and related agencies, and no program, project, and activity should be eliminated or reduced to a level of funding that would adversely affect DOD's ability to effectively continue any program, project, and activity.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[dollars in thousands]

Agency/program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Military Construction, Army	2004	1,448,239	1,426,724	1,862,854
Military Construction, Navy	2004	1,238,458	1,238,366	1,081,042
Military Construction, Air Force	2004	1,067,751	1,337,301	797,865
Military Construction, Defense-wide	2004	701,871	581,347	718,837
Military Construction, Army National Guard	2004	311,592	311,592	394,100
Military Construction, Air National Guard	2004	222,908	222,908	180,533
Military Construction, Army Reserve	2004	88,451	88,451	116,521
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	2004	45,498	45,498	30,955
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	2004	62,032	62,032	111,725
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	2004	169,300	161,300	165,800
Family Housing Construction, Army	2004	383,591	289,440	636,099
Family Housing Construction Operation and Maintenance, Army	2004	1,033,026	1,044,446	926,507
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	2004	184,193	143,685	139,107
Family Housing Construction Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	2004	835,078	841,358	696,304
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	2004	657,065	637,718	846,959
Family Housing Construction Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2004	816,074	823,055	854,666
Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide	2004	350	350	49
Family Housing Construction Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide	2004	49,440	49,440	49,575
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2004	300	-9,392	2,500
Base Realignment and Closure	2004	370,427	370,427	246,116
Chemical Demilitarization Construction	2004	0	119,815	81,886

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 114, 117, 119, 120, and 123 of the General Provisions, and language included under “Military Construction, Defense-wide” provide certain transfer authority.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law * * *

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee’s section of 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) allocation		This bill ¹	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary	10,003	10,015	11,208	10,149
Mandatory	0	0	0	0

¹The amount provided in this bill is \$1,205 million above the 302(b) suballocation due to a Congressional Budget Office (CBO) interpretation of the scoring of section 129. This section does not actually appropriate funds; appropriated amounts in this bill are within the 302(b) suballocation. The Committee is working with concerned parties to address CBO’s scoring interpretation.

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

[In millions of dollars]

Budget authority, fiscal year 2005	\$11,208
--	----------

Outlays:	
2005	2,592
2006	4,259
2007	3,226
2008	1,525
2009 and beyond	4,008

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

	[In millions of dollars]	
New budget authority		0
Fiscal year 2001 outlays resulting therefrom		0

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

ALABAMA		
ARMY		
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT		
POWERTRAIN COMPONENT REBUILDING FACILITY.....	23,690	23,690
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HUNTSVILLE		
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY CENTER, VON BRAUN COMPLEX (PHASE II).....	19,560	19,560
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CENTREVILLE		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER.....	5,537	5,537
CLANTON		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER.....	3,649	3,649
HALEYVILLE		
JOINT ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER.....	---	13,849
ONEONTA		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER.....	4,527	4,527
ALASKA		
ARMY		
FORT RICHARDSON		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	7,600	7,600
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE.....	13,600	13,600
SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE.....	3,100	3,100
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - LORRAINE ROAD.....	39,815	39,815
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL - SANTIAGO ROAD.....	30,912	30,912
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	21,732	21,732
AIR FORCE		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE		
C-17 SUPPORT UTILITIES.....	6,400	6,400
ELMENDORF C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	7,700	7,700
FITNESS CENTER.....	11,957	11,957
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE VI).....	9,900	9,900
ARIZONA		
NAVY		
YUMA		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	18,740	18,740
STATION ORDNANCE AREA.....	7,930	7,930
AIR FORCE		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE		
AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION-HAZARDOUS CARGO PAD.....	4,243	4,243
COMBAT SEARCH AND RESCUE C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS EC-130 SQUAD OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	5,786	5,786
	---	7,000
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE		
ATFP LITCHFIELD ROAD UNDERPASS AND ENTRY POINT REPLACEMENT.....	---	7,900
DORMITORY (120 ROOM).....	10,000	10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP NAVAJO		
QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE.....	3,000	3,000
ARKANSAS		
AIR FORCE		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE		
C-130J ADDITION/ALTERATION SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	5,031	5,031
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	3,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP ROBINSON		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	33,020	33,020
FORT CHAFFEE		
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT.....	13,798	13,798

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
CALIFORNIA		
ARMY		
FORT IRWIN		
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION COMMAND FIELD OPERATIONS		
BUILDING.....	2,600	2,600
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY.....	21,000	21,000
LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II).....	14,500	14,500
NAVY		
BARSTOW		
BLASTING FACILITY.....	---	4,930
CAMP PENDLETON		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	19,975	19,975
CLOSE COMBAT PISTOL COURSE.....	6,940	6,940
CONSOL OPERATIONS CENTER.....	4,910	4,910
TERTIARY SEWAGE TREATMENT (PHASE II).....	25,690	25,690
WEIGHT HANDLING SHOP.....	6,630	6,630
CORONA		
WARFARE ASSESSMENT LABORATORY ADDITION.....	---	9,850
EL CENTRO		
APRON AND HANGAR RECAPITALIZATION (PHASE I).....	33,331	33,331
NORTH ISLAND		
BASE MAIN GATE AND ENTRANCE STREET.....	---	10,180
TWENTYNINE PALMS		
OPERATIONAL TRAINING CENTER.....	---	15,700
AIR FORCE		
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE		
GLOBAL HAWK ADDITION TO AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT FACILITY.....	1,866	1,866
GLOBAL HAWK UPGRADE DOCK 2.....	8,320	8,320
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE		
ADDITION/RENOVATE JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER COMPLEX (PHASE II).....	9,965	9,965
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE		
C-17 ADDITION ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY.....	2,400	2,400
C-17 UTILITIES/ROAD.....	12,844	12,844
SECURITY FORCES ARMORY/COMBAT ARMS CAMPUS.....	---	3,650
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CORONA		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES MILITARY OPERATIONS IN URBAN TERRAIN TRAINING COMPLEX.....	13,600	13,600
NORTH ISLAND		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING.....	1,000	1,000
PRESIDIO		
DENTAL CLINIC.....	---	6,700
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	15,100	15,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP PARKS		
READINESS CENTER (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY).....	11,318	11,318
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE		
C-17 ALTER HANGAR TOWER.....	2,089	2,089
C-17 MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE II).....	7,400	7,400
COLORADO		
ARMY		
FORT CARSON		
ARRIVAL DEPARTURE AREA GROUP COMPLEX (PHASE IA)...	---	12,400
BARRACKS COMPLEX - HOSPITAL AREA.....	14,108	14,108
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE.....	33,000	33,000
AIR FORCE		
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE		
CHAPEL CENTER.....	6,147	6,147
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	6,100	6,100

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

DEFENSE-WIDE		
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE		
ADDITION/ALTERATION AEROMEDICAL CLINIC.....	2,100	2,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
DENVER		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	34,000	21,000
FORT CARSON		
AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE.....	3,205	3,205
ARMY RESERVE		
AURORA		
ADDITION/ALTERATION MILITARY EQUIPMENT PARKING....	1,758	1,758
CONNECTICUT		
NAVY		
NEW LONDON		
GATES 3 AND 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	4,420
MK-10 SUB ESCAPE TRAINER.....	17,100	17,100
REPLACE PIER 6.....	28,782	28,782
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
SOUTHINGTON		
READINESS CENTER.....	---	4,087
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
NAVY		
ANACOSTIA		
ATOMIC CLOCK VAULT.....	3,239	3,239
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE		
HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING UPGRADE TO DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS CENTER.....	6,000	6,000
FLORIDA		
NAVY		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE ROAD CONSTRUCTION.....	2,060	2,060
MAYPORT		
AIRFIELD CONTROL TOWER.....	6,200	6,200
AIR FORCE		
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE		
1 AF HEADQUARTERS/AFFOR CENTER (PHASE I).....	---	10,200
F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION.....	1,548	1,548
F-22 SQUAD OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT/ HANGAR.....	17,414	17,414
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HURLBURT FIELD		
SOF OPERATIONS TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	2,500
JACKSONVILLE		
HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION.....	28,438	28,438
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BLANDING		
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE I).....	---	12,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
JACKSONVILLE		
F-15 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY.....	---	4,000
NAVY RESERVE		
JACKSONVILLE		
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER.....	9,300	9,300
GEORGIA		
ARMY		
FORT BENNING		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - KELLEY HILL/MAIN POST.....	49,565	49,565
HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON.....	3,850	3,850
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER.....	18,362	18,362
REVITALIZE RANGER BARRACKS 6012.....	---	1,850

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

FORT GILLEM		
RECRUITING BRIGADE OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	5,800	5,800
FORT MCPHERSON		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	4,900	4,900
FORT STEWART		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGER (SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES).....	21,100	21,100
BARRACKS COMPLEX-5TH & 16TH ST (PHASE II).....	32,950	32,950
CHAPEL.....	9,500	9,500
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY.....	24,695	24,695
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX.....	10,200	10,200
NAVY		
KINGS BAY		
ENCLAVE FENCING AND PARKING.....	16,000	16,000
AIR FORCE		
MOODY AIR FORCE BASE		
CONSOLIDATED BASE SUPPORT CENTER.....	---	9,600
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE		
AIRCRAFT RAMP.....	15,000	15,000
FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	---	6,570
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT BENNING		
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CLINIC.....	7,100	7,100
FORT STEWART		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX.....	17,600	17,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
SAVANNAH		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	16,554	16,554
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
DOBBINS		
UPGRADE MAINTENANCE BAYS.....	---	10,000
HAWAII		
ARMY		
HELEMANO		
DRUM ROAD UPGRADE (PHASE I).....	27,000	27,000
TANK TRAILS - HELEMANO.....	7,300	7,300
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE		
HOT CARGO PAD EXPANSION.....	11,200	11,200
POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA (PTA)		
WEST PTA MODIFICATIONS.....	30,000	30,000
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL - CAPRON AVE (PHASE III).....	48,000	48,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - QUAD E (PHASE II).....	36,000	36,000
BATTLE AREA LIVE FIRE COMPLEX.....	32,000	32,000
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	32,542	32,542
FIRE STATION.....	4,800	4,800
QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE.....	4,950	4,950
TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY.....	3,500	3,500
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY (PHASE I).....	49,000	49,000
WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE		
DEPLOYMENT FACILITY.....	24,000	24,000
NAVY		
PEARL HARBOR		
DRYDOCK 4 SHOREPOWER IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	5,100
AIR FORCE		
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE		
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE/SUPPLY AREAS.....	9,000	9,000
C-17 CLEAR WATER RINSE.....	4,300	4,300
C-17 MAINTENANCE SHOP FACILITY.....	8,200	8,200
C-17 MUNITIONS STORAGE.....	1,950	1,950
C-17 SUPPORT UTILITIES (PHASE II).....	2,450	2,450
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PEARL HARBOR		
MULTI-PRODUCT INTERFACE TANK.....	3,500	3,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
ILLINOIS		
NAVY		
GREAT LAKES		
BATTLE STATION TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE II).....	58,200	58,200
RTC BARRACKS.....	35,920	35,920
RTC BARRACKS.....	38,851	38,851
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GALESBURG		
READINESS CENTER (ADRS) (PHASE II).....	---	4,400
SPRINGFIELD		
ARMY SCHOOL SYSTEM (MULTIFUNCTIONAL).....	13,596	13,596
INDIANA		
NAVY		
CRANE		
COTS PRODUCT ASSURANCE & TEST MANAGEMENT FACILITY.....	---	10,580
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
REMINGTON		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER.....	1,458	1,458
IOWA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP DODGE		
COMPANY GRADE BOQ.....	---	3,485
KANSAS		
ARMY		
FORT LEAVENWORTH		
LEWIS & CLARK INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (PHASE II)...	44,000	44,000
FORT RILEY		
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL.....	41,000	41,000
COMMUNICATIONS CENTER.....	3,050	3,050
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
TOPEKA		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER.....	3,086	3,086
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
FORBES FIELD		
REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX.....	---	9,800
ARMY RESERVE		
HAYS		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE		
SHOP.....	7,451	7,451
NEW CENTURY		
AR CENTER/OMS/AMSA/UNH STORAGE.....	---	8,723
KENTUCKY		
ARMY		
FORT CAMPBELL		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - 42ND ST/INDIANA AVE (PHASE I)...	30,000	30,000
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY.....	33,000	33,000
SHOOT HOUSE.....	1,600	1,600
FORT KNOX		
BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX 1 (PHASE I).....	50,000	50,000
SHOOT HOUSE.....	---	1,850
LOUISIANA		
ARMY		
FORT POLK		
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT UPGRADE.....	7,500	7,500
FIXED WING AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON.....	25,000	25,000
HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON.....	14,503	14,503
PALLET PROCESSING FACILITY.....	8,800	8,800
PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY.....	11,700	11,700
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	3,450	3,450

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

NAVY		
NEW ORLEANS		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	3,450
INDOOR SMALL ARMS RANGE.....	---	2,580
AIR FORCE		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE		
DORMITORY (168 ROOM).....	13,800	13,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BEAUREGARD		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	15,738	15,738
MARYLAND		
ARMY		
FORT DETRICK		
REMOTE TRUCK INSPECTION STATION.....	---	4,000
NAVY		
INDIAN HEAD		
AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY.....	13,900	13,900
JOINT AIRCREW ESCAPE COMPONENT CENTER.....	---	9,100
AIR FORCE		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE		
ASA - ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES.....	5,000	5,000
ASA - FIGHTER AIRCRAFT ALERT COMPLEX.....	11,000	11,000
ASA - MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOO.....	1,100	1,100
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT MEADE		
CRITICAL COMM PATH.....	3,450	3,450
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY DEEP WELLS.....	8,140	8,140
RECONFIGURED CHILLED WATER (PHASE II).....	3,417	3,417
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT MEADE		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/WAREHOUSE (PHASE II).....	14,642	14,642
MASSACHUSETTS		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE		
ELIMINATE AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTIONS.....	4,000	4,000
REPLACE CONTROL TOWER.....	---	7,000
MICHIGAN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GRANDLEDGE		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	27,600	18,200
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SELFRIDGE		
VISITORS CENTER AND ID COMPLEX.....	---	4,000
W.K. KELLOGG		
FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	---	5,100
MINNESOTA		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
ASA - CREW QUARTERS.....	3,000	3,000
ASA - ARM, DEARM APRON AND TAXIWAY.....	4,000	4,000
ASA - RELOCATE BASE ENTRANCE ROAD.....	3,500	3,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
ADD/ALTER JOINT USE PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	---	4,400
MISSISSIPPI		
AIR FORCE		
COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE		
FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	---	7,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP SHELBY		
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY (SMALL).....	---	5,300
MISSOURI		
ARMY		
FORT LEONARD WOOD		
MINE DETECTION DOG KENNEL.....	---	3,700
RANGE.....	2,750	2,750
WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION RESPONDER TRAINING FACILITY.....	15,000	15,000
AIR FORCE		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	7,600
MONTANA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HAVRE AIR FORCE STATION		
READINESS CENTER, ADDITION/ALTERATION (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY).....	2,398	2,398
HELENA		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	7,600	---
NEBRASKA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HASTINGS		
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE (REMOTE TARGET SYSTEMS)	1,487	1,487
NEVADA		
NAVY		
FALLON		
HIGH EXPLOSIVES MAGAZINE.....	---	4,980
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
PEASE INTERNATIONAL TRADEPORT		
UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II).....	---	4,900
NEW JERSEY		
ARMY		
PICATINNY ARSENAL		
PYROTECHNICS FACILITY.....	---	9,900
NAVY		
EARLE		
GENERAL PURPOSE/BERTHING PIER (PHASE II).....	49,200	49,200
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
ASA - REPLACE ALERT COMPLEX.....	10,400	10,400
REPLACE ALERT 2 SHELTERS.....	---	2,300
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT DIX		
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE (PHASE I).....	---	9,502
NEW MEXICO		
ARMY		
WHITE SANDS		
ELECTROMAGNETIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.....	33,000	33,000
AIR FORCE		
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE		
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY.....	---	9,200
NEW YORK		
ARMY		
BUFFALO		
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION.....	6,200	6,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

FORT DRUM		
AIRFIELD ARRIVAL/DEPARTURE FACILITY.....	4,950	4,950
BARRACKS COMPLEX - WHEELER SACK ARMY AIRFIELD (PHASE II).....	48,000	48,000
DEFENSIVE LIVE FIRE RANGE.....	---	3,000
UPGRADE EDUCATIONAL TRANSITIONAL FACILITIES.....	---	5,700
FORT HAMILTON		
MILITARY POLICE STATION.....	7,600	7,600
HANCOCK FIELD		
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION.....	---	6,000
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY		
LIBRARY AND LEARNING CENTER (PHASE I).....	34,500	34,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
AUBURN		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY (ADRS)).....	2,472	2,472
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER (ADRS).....	4,406	4,406
FORT DRUM		
READINESS CENTER (ADRS).....	6,489	6,489
KINGSTON		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ADRS).....	3,827	3,827
UTICA		
READINESS CENTER ADDITION (ADRS).....	5,704	5,704
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
HANCOCK FIELD		
REPLACE MOBILITY PROCESSING CENTER.....	---	2,300
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
NIAGARA		
FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	---	7,800
NORTH CAROLINA		
ARMY		
FORT BRAGG		
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER.....	2,500	2,500
BARRACKS COMPLEX - ARMISTEAD ST (PHASE II).....	---	10,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL BLACKJACK ST (PHASE I)...	49,000	49,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - BASTOGNE DR (PHASE II).....	48,000	48,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - DONOVAN STREET (PHASE V).....	15,500	15,500
SHOOT HOUSE.....	2,037	2,037
SHOOT HOUSE.....	1,650	1,650
NAVY		
CAMP LEJEUNE		
ARMORY CAMP GEIGER.....	4,010	4,010
COMBAT TRAINING POOL.....	2,410	2,410
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL OPERATIONS FACILITY....	---	4,610
NEW RIVER		
ADD TO SIMULATOR BUILDING.....	2,270	2,270
AIRCRAFT MAINT TRAINING FACILITY.....	12,090	12,090
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	20,780	20,780
NAVAL OUTLYING LANDING FIELD WASHINGTON COUNTY		
OUTLYING LANDING FIELD FACILITIES (PHASE II).....	33,900	---
OUTLYING LANDING FIELD LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE I)...	61,750	---
AIR FORCE		
POPE AIR FORCE BASE		
COMBAT CONTROLLER SCHOOL EXPANSION.....	12,950	12,950
INDOOR FIRING RANGE FOR COMBAT CONTROL SCHOOL.....	2,200	2,200
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	22,700	22,700
FORT BRAGG		
KENNEDY HALL RENOVATION.....	11,988	11,988
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	4,600	4,600
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX.....	12,000	12,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	4,500	4,500
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES ISOLATION UNIT TRAINING FACILITY.....	8,300	8,300
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES RESISTANCE TRAINING FACILITY.....	1,500	1,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BURLINGTON		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER.....	1,360	1,360
FORT BRAGG		
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE III).....	6,319	6,319
WINDSOR		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY).....	2,409	2,409
NAVY RESERVE		
ASHEVILLE		
RESERVE CENTER.....	3,492	3,492
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE		
RESERVE SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS.....	2,300	2,300
OHIO		
AIR FORCE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE		
REPLACE STEAM LINES/TUNNELS, AREA B (PHASE IA)....	---	9,200
DEFENSE-WIDE		
COLUMBUS		
REPLACE PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY.....	5,500	5,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
COLUMBUS		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE I).....	---	9,980
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY).....	2,225	2,225
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT		
REPLACE LOGISTICS COMPLEX.....	---	6,900
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE		
C-5 AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (PHASE I).....	4,300	4,300
C-5 MULTI-PURPOSE HANGAR.....	16,821	16,821
OKLAHOMA		
ARMY		
FORT SILL		
CIDC FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	---	3,400
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE III).....	13,100	13,100
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	14,400	14,400
DEFENSE-WIDE		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE		
ADDITION/ALTERATION HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	5,400	5,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP GRUBER TRAINING CENTER		
MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE.....	---	3,201
OREGON		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
EUGENE		
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II).....	12,635	12,635
SALEM		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	4,917
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
PORTLAND		
ADDITION/ALTERATION BUILDING 315 FOR PJ SQUADRON OPERATIONS.....	1,640	1,640
CONSOLIDATED TRAINING (PHASE II).....	3,800	3,800
MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND PAVEMENTS.....	12,400	12,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
PENNSYLVANIA		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND		
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	22,300	22,300
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT INDIANTOWN GAP		
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE.....	---	20,387
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT INDIANTOWN GAP		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE		
SHOP.....	13,156	13,156
NAVY RESERVE		
WILLOW GROVE		
FITNESS CENTER.....	7,700	7,700
SOUTH CAROLINA		
NAVY		
BEAUFORT		
AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE FACILITY.....	---	5,480
AIR FORCE		
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE		
BASE LIBRARY.....	---	3,700
SEWER OUTFALL LINE TO WATEREE RIVER.....	3,300	3,300
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PARRIS ISLAND		
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT.....	25,000	25,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HOBIDGE		
READINESS CENTER.....	2,944	2,944
TENNESSEE		
AIR FORCE		
ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE		
ADD/ALTER WINGO INN VISITING QUARTERS.....	---	2,500
UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM (PHASE V).....	22,000	22,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
C-5 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUEL.....	15,500	15,500
C-5 CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR.....	26,000	26,000
TEXAS		
ARMY		
CAMP BULLIS		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	---	5,300
FORT BLISS		
MISSILE DEFENSE INSTRUCTION FACILITY.....	16,500	16,500
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP - AAMDC.....	---	2,900
FORT HOOD		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	49,888	49,888
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY (PHASE II).....	---	7,100
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE.....	28,200	28,200
AIR FORCE		
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE		
REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	3,300
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE		
SECURITY FORCES TRAINING EXPANSION.....	2,596	2,596
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE		
T-1 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	6,900
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE		
F-22 TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	21,284	21,284
STUDENT DORMITORY (300 ROOM).....	29,000	29,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

DEFENSE-WIDE		
KINGSVILLE		
REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE TANK.....	3,900	3,900
ARMY RESERVE		
CORPUS CHRISTI STORAGE COMPLEX		
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE (PHASE I).....	---	9,038
NAVY RESERVE		
FORT WORTH		
COMBINED RESERVE TRAINING ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING.	---	5,520
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
FORT WORTH		
AIRCRAFT PARTS STORE.....	---	1,850
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE		
ADDITION/ALTERATION C-5 AIRCRAFT GENERATION		
FACILITY.....	1,200	1,200
C-5 TRAINING LOAD ASSEMBLY FACILITY.....	1,850	1,850
C-5 TRAINING SCHOOLHOUSE COMPLEX.....	20,000	20,000
UTAH		
AIR FORCE		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE		
729TH ACS OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	---	4,900
FITNESS CENTER.....	13,113	13,113
ARMY RESERVE		
OGDEN		
ADDITION/ALTERATION ARMY RESERVE CENTER.....	7,932	7,932
VIRGINIA		
ARMY		
FORT A. P. HILL		
SHOOT HOUSE.....	3,975	3,975
FORT LEE		
FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER (PHASE III)....	---	4,250
FORT MYER		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - SHERIDAN AVE (PHASE I).....	49,526	49,526
NAVY		
CAMP ELMORE MARINE CORPS DETACHMENT		
COMMAND OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	13,500	13,500
LITTLE CREEK		
GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS.....	2,850	2,850
POLICE AND SECURITY OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	6,700
NORFOLK		
GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS.....	4,330	4,330
PIER 11 REPLACEMENT (PHASE II).....	40,000	40,000
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
POST 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS.....	2,770	2,770
QUANTICO		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	15,090	15,090
GREEN SIDE HANGAR COMPLEX.....	21,180	21,180
HQ AND SERVICE BN/TBS.....	---	4,470
HERITAGE CENTER ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.....	950	---
THE BASIC SCHOOL ARMORY.....	4,580	4,580
YORKTOWN		
ORDNANCE HANDLING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	9,870	9,870
DEFENSE-WIDE		
DAM NECK		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE.	1,400	1,400
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONAL TRAINER		
SUPPORT FACILITY ADDITION.....	4,300	4,300
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT RICHMOND		
CONFERENCE CENTER.....	3,600	3,600
SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS.....	6,500	6,500
FORT A. P. HILL		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT		
BUILDING.....	1,500	1,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

FORT BELVOIR		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE I).....	43,000	43,000
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE		
ADDITION/ALTERATION HOSPITAL.....	50,800	50,800
LITTLE CREEK		
BOAT SUPPORT FACILITY.....	10,500	10,500
SEAL TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	9,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMBAT SKILLS COMPOUND..	12,700	12,700
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY		
MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	1,000	1,000
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK.....	3,589	3,589
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT PICKETT		
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE.....	5,170	5,170
MILITARY OPERATIONS ON URBAN TERRAIN ASSAULT		
COURSE.....	1,409	1,409
NAVY RESERVE		
NORFOLK		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	3,290	3,290
WASHINGTON		
ARMY		
FORT LEWIS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - 41ST DIVISION DR/B ST		
(PHASE II).....	48,000	48,000
NAVY		
BANGOR		
LIMITED AREA PRODUCTION AND STAGING COMPLEX.....	35,770	35,770
NSMCCD DET BREMERTON CONSOLIDATION (PHASE I).....	---	6,850
BREMERTON		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - SHIPBOARD ASHORE....	34,125	34,125
PUGET SOUND		
AIRCRAFT CARRIER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX.....	20,305	20,305
WHIDBEY ISLAND		
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STOREHOUSE.....	---	1,990
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP MURRAY ARMY NATIONAL GUARD STATION		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER.....	1,400	1,400
ARMY RESERVE		
VANCOUVER		
LAND ACQUISITION.....	2,500	2,500
WEST VIRGINIA		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
EASTERN WEST VIRGINIA REGIONAL AIRPORT (MARTINSBURG)		
C-5 MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS.....	36,000	36,000
WISCONSIN		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
TRUAX FIELD		
ASA - MUNITIONS MAINT AND STORAGE COMPLEX.....	5,900	5,900
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT MCCOY		
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE.....	2,712	2,712
SQUAD DEFENSE RANGE.....	1,248	1,248
WYOMING		
AIR FORCE		
F.E. WARREN AIR FORCE BASE		
UPGRADE STORM WATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM (PHASE I).....	---	5,500
BAHAMAS		
NAVY		
ANDROS ISLAND		
BACHELOR QUARTERS.....	20,750	20,750

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

DIEGO GARCIA		
NAVY		
DIEGO GARCIA		
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT CENTER.....	17,500	17,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
DIEGO GARCIA		
DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT.....	3,800	3,800
GERMANY		
ARMY		
GRAFENWOEHR		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	28,500	28,500
BARRACKS COMPLEX - BRIGADE.....	34,000	34,000
BRIGADE SUPPORT COMPLEX.....	14,700	14,700
AIR FORCE		
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE		
SMALL DIAMETER BOMB FACILITIES.....	1,200	1,200
US AIR FORCE THEATER AEROSPACE OPERATIONS SUPPORT CENTER.....	24,204	24,204
DEFENSE-WIDE		
GRAFENWOEHR		
ADDITION/ALTERATION DISPENSARY/DENTAL CLINIC.....	13,000	13,000
NEW ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE SCHOOL.....	36,247	36,247
VILSECK		
VILSECK HIGH SCHOOL RENOVATION/ADDITION.....	9,011	9,011
GREENLAND		
AIR FORCE		
THULE AIR BASE		
DORM (72 ROOM).....	19,800	19,800
GUAM		
AIR FORCE		
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE		
WAR RESERVE STORAGE FACILITY.....	19,593	19,593
DEFENSE-WIDE		
AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
GUAM HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT.....	26,964	26,964
ITALY		
ARMY		
LIVORNO		
WAREHOUSE OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	26,000	26,000
NAVY		
SIGONELLA		
ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS.....	7,430	7,430
BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT (PHASE II).....	15,120	15,120
AIR FORCE		
AVIANO AIR BASE		
ADDITION/ALTERATION WEAPONS LOAD/MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY.....	2,300	2,300
AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION - EXPAND NORTH RAMP (PHASE I)	1,626	1,626
FLIGHT SIMULATOR.....	2,834	2,834
JAPAN		
AIR FORCE		
MISAWA AIR BASE		
EXPAND STRATEGIC AIRLIFT RAMP.....	6,700	6,700
DEFENSE-WIDE		
MISAWA AIR BASE		
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	19,900	19,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

KOREA		
ARMY		
CAMP HUMPHREYS		
SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.....	12,000	12,000
AIR FORCE		
KUNSAN AIR BASE		
DORMITORY (144 ROOM).....	18,550	18,550
DORMITORY (144 ROOM).....	18,550	18,550
OSAN AIR BASE		
DORMITORY (156 ROOM).....	18,600	18,600
MARIANA ISLANDS		
NAVY		
GUAM		
KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS.....	12,500	12,500
WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE.....	20,700	20,700
DEFENSE-WIDE		
GUAM		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING.....	2,200	2,200
PORTUGAL		
AIR FORCE		
LAJES FIELD		
ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II).....	5,689	5,689
DEFENSE-WIDE		
LAJES FIELD		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	19,113	19,113
PUERTO RICO		
ARMY RESERVE		
AGUADILLA		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER.....	21,523	21,523
SPAIN		
NAVY		
ROTA		
COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION.....	32,700	32,700
AIR FORCE		
ROTA		
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II).....	14,153	14,153
UNITED KINGDOM		
AIR FORCE		
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH		
4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER.....	5,500	5,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION MILDENHALL		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY.....	10,200	10,200
NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO)		
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM.....	165,800	165,800
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED		
AIR FORCE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION		
CLASSIFIED.....	28,090	28,090
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY.....	704	704
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BUILDING ADDITION.....	2,600	2,600
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES INFORMATION OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION.....	4,800	4,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
HOST NATION SUPPORT.....	21,000	21,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	130,335	140,554
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	20,000	20,000
NAVY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	87,067	93,284
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	12,000	12,000
PRESIDENTIAL HELICOPTER PROGRAMS SUPPORT FACILITY.	80,000	80,000
WHITE SIDE COMPLEX.....	18,560	18,560
AIR FORCE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	140,786	165,367
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	13,000	13,000
PREDATOR B BEDDOWN.....	26,121	26,121
DEFENSE-WIDE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION.....	10,000	10,000
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	60,000	50,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	2,900	2,900
PLANNING AND DESIGN		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	10,566	11,866
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	22,216	22,216
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	29,400	29,400
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	62,182	63,482
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	3,002	3,002
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	2,710	2,710
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY.....	2,769	2,769
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.....	1,497	1,497
UNDISTRIBUTED.....	3,000	3,000
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION.....	746	746
THE JOINT STAFF.....	7,214	7,214
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	20,938	20,938
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	30,845	74,982
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	4,472	7,172
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	13,568	20,433
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	5,500	5,500
ARMY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	11,225	13,413
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	2,923	2,923
NAVY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	1,503	1,653

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

AIR FORCE RESERVE		
VARIOUS WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	5,493	8,612
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	5,263	5,263
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED.....	785,681	877,157

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY		
ALASKA		
FORT RICHARDSON (92 UNITS).....	42,000	42,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT (100 UNITS).....	41,000	41,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT (60 UNITS).....	37,000	37,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT (86 UNITS).....	46,000	46,000
ARIZONA		
FORT HUACHUCA (205 UNITS).....	41,000	41,000
YUMA (55 UNITS).....	14,900	14,900
KANSAS		
FORT RILEY (126 UNITS).....	33,000	33,000
NEW MEXICO		
WHITE SANDS (156 UNITS).....	31,000	31,000
OKLAHOMA		
FORT SILL (247 UNITS).....	47,000	47,000
VIRGINIA		
FORT LEE (218 UNITS).....	46,000	46,000
FORT MONROE (68 UNITS).....	16,000	16,000
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	211,990	211,990
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	29,209	29,209
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	636,099	636,099

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	132,356	132,356
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	36,174	36,174
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	74,895	74,895
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	1,333	1,333
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	37,411	37,411
LEASING.....	218,033	218,033
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	402,060	399,660
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM.....	1	1
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	26,644	26,644
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	928,907	926,507

TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY.....	1,565,006	1,562,606

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		
NORTH CAROLINA		
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (198 UNITS)...	27,002	27,002
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	112,105	112,105
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	139,107	139,107

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	137,226	137,226
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	20,756	20,756
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	81,859	81,859
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	654	654
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	57,691	57,691
LEASING.....	136,883	136,883
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	252,383	244,183

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM.....	61	61
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	16,991	16,991
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	704,504	696,304
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS....	843,611	835,411
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE		
ARIZONA		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE (250 UNITS).....	48,500	48,500
CALIFORNIA		
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE (218 UNITS).....	41,202	41,202
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE (120 UNITS).....	30,906	30,906
FLORIDA		
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE.....	1,250	1,250
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE (61 UNITS).....	21,723	21,723
IDAHO		
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE (147 UNITS).....	39,333	39,333
MISSISSIPPI		
COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE.....	711	711
MISSOURI		
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE (160 UNITS).....	37,087	37,087
MONTANA		
MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE (115 UNITS).....	29,910	29,910
NORTH CAROLINA		
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE (167 UNITS).....	32,693	32,693
NORTH DAKOTA		
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE (90 UNITS).....	26,169	26,169
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE (142 UNITS).....	37,087	37,087
SOUTH CAROLINA		
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE.....	1,976	1,976
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE (75 UNITS).....	21,482	21,482
TEXAS		
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS).....	28,664	28,664
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS).....	20,604	20,604
GERMANY		
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE (144 UNITS).....	57,691	57,691
ITALY		
AVIANO AIR BASE.....	2,542	2,542
KOREA		
OSAN AIR BASE (117 UNITS).....	46,834	46,834
UNITED KINGDOM		
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH (154 UNITS).....	43,976	43,976
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	238,353	238,353
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	38,266	38,266
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	846,959	846,959
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	125,459	125,459
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	70,680	64,180
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	26,070	26,070
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	44,459	41,959
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	2,396	2,396
LEASING.....	119,908	119,908
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	435,782	435,552
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM.....	38	38

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	39,104	39,104
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	863,896	854,666
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE.....	1,710,855	1,701,625
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY)..	49	49
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY (NSA))..	471	471
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA).....	116	116
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA).....	13	13
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA).....	53	53
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA).....	381	381
LEASING (NSA).....	11,257	11,257
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA).....	1,939	1,939
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY)...	3,925	3,925
LEASING (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY).....	30,199	30,199
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA))..	419	419
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA).....	36	36
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA).....	76	76
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA).....	293	293
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA).....	397	397
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	49,575	49,575
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	49,624	49,624
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE		
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE..	81,886	81,886
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND		
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.	2,500	2,500
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT.....	246,116	246,116
GENERAL PROVISION		
GENERAL PROVISION (SEC. 118).....	63,000	63,000
GRAND TOTAL.....	9,553,375	10,003,000