

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3132,  
CHILDREN'S SAFETY ACT OF 2005

---

SEPTEMBER 13, 2005.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

---

Mr. GINGREY, from the Committee on Rules,  
submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H. Res. 436]

The Committee on Rules, having had under consideration House Resolution 436, by a nonrecord vote, report the same to the House with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS OF THE RESOLUTION

The resolution provides for the consideration of H.R. 3132, the Children's Safety Act of 2005, under a modified open rule. The rule provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary.

The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule provides that the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary now printed in the bill shall be considered as an original bill for the purpose of amendment. The rule provides that the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule and that it shall be read by title.

The rule makes in order only those amendments to the bill that are pre-printed in the Congressional Record or are pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate. The rule provides that each amendment printed in the Congressional Record may be offered only by the Member who caused it to be printed or a designee, and that each amendment shall be considered as read.

Finally, the rule provides one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

## EXPLANATION OF WAIVERS

The waiver of points of order is necessary because the committee report of Committee on the Judiciary (H. Rept. 109–218) did not contain a cost estimate when it was filed on September 9, 2005, as required by clause 3(d) of rule XIII (requiring a cost estimate to accompany committee reports). It is anticipated that the Committee on the Judiciary will file a supplemental report containing a cost estimate from the Congressional Budget Office on Tuesday, September 13, 2005.

