

Special Emphasis Panel in Research, Evaluation and Dissemination; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Research, Evaluation and Dissemination.

Date and Time: March 19, 1995; 4:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.; March 20, 1995; 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

Place: Room 310, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Nora Sabelli, Program Director, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 855, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone (703) 306-1651.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate proposals submitted to the Applications of Advanced Technologies Program.

Reason for Closing: Because the proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with proposals, the meetings are closed to the public. These matters are within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 27, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-5161 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Research, Evaluation and Dissemination; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Research, Evaluation and Dissemination.

Date and Time: March 20, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; March 21, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; March 22, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Place: Rooms 880 and 1150, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Nora Sabelli, Program Director, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 855, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone (703) 306-1651.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate proposals and provide advice and recommendations as

part of the selection process for proposals submitted to the Networking Infrastructure for Education Program.

Reason for Closing: Because the proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with proposals, the meetings are closed to the public. These matters are within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(c), Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 27, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-5163 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-410]

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-69, issued to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (the licensee), for operation of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (NMP-2), located in Oswego County, New York.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared to address potential environmental issues related to the licensee's application to amend the NMP-2 operating license dated July 22, 1993, as supplemented January 9, 1995. The proposed amendment would increase the licensed core thermal power from 3323 MWt to 3467 MWt, which represents an approximate increase of 4.3% over the current licensed power level. This request is in accordance with the generic boiling water reactor (BWR) power uprate program established by the General Electric Company (GE) and approved by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff in a letter from W. Russell, NRC, to P. Marriotte, GE, dated September 30, 1991. Implementation of the proposed power uprate at NMP-2 will result in an increase of steam flow to approximately 105% of the current operating limit, but will require no changes to the basic fuel design. Core reload design and fuel parameters will be modified as power uprate is implemented to support the current 18-month reload cycle. The

higher power level will be achieved by expanding the power/flow map and by increasing, slightly, reactor vessel dome pressure. The maximum recirculation flow limit will not be increased over the preuprate value. Implementation of this proposed power uprate will require minor modifications, such as, resetting of the low set safety relief setpoints, as well as the calibration of plant instrumentation to reflect the uprated power. Plant operating, emergency, and other procedure changes will be made where necessary to support uprated operation.

The proposed action involves NRC issuance of a license amendment to uprate the authorized power level by changing the operating license, including Appendix A of the license (Technical Specifications). No change is needed to Appendix B of the license (Environmental Protection Plan—Nonradiological).

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would authorize the licensee to increase the potential electrical output of NMP2 by approximately 45 megawatts and thus would provide additional electrical power to service domestic and commercial areas of the licensee's grid.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The "Final Environmental Statement (FES) related to operation of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2" was issued May 1985 (NUREG-1085). By letter of July 22, 1993, the licensee submitted the proposed amendment to implement power uprate for NMP2, which is the subject of this environmental assessment. Section 11.3 of the NMP2 power uprate licensing topical report (GE report NEDC-31994P, Revision 1) which was submitted as Enclosure 3 to NMPC's July 22, 1993, submittal, provided an environmental assessment of the proposed power uprate. Some environmental effects will remain the same, while power uprate may nominally increase others. Actual effects are at worst proportional to the approximately 5% increase in turbine steam flow. Increased core flow has no discernable effect on the environmental assessment.

The licensee provided information regarding the nonradiological and radiological environmental effects of the proposed action in the July 22, 1993, application and in its supplemental information dated January 9, 1995. The NRC staff has reviewed the potential nonradiological and radiological effects of the proposed action on the environment as described below.