the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,'' as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;

Whereas, an application from the Greater Detroit Foreign Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 70, for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the chemical products (vitamins, industrial plastics, and plastic auto parts) manufacturing facilities of BASF Corporation in the Wyandotte, Michigan, area, was filed by the Board on October 12, 1993, and notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (FTZ Docket 52–93, 58 FR 55040, 10–25–93); and,

Whereas, the Board has found that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 70S) at the plant sites of BASF Corporation in the Wyandotte, Michigan, area, at the locations described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of August 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–19821 Filed 8–9–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[Docket 39–95]

Foreign-Trade Zone 15, Kansas City, Missouri; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Greater Kansas City Foreign Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 15, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Kansas City, Missouri area, within the Kansas City, Missouri, Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on July 26, 1995.

FTZ 15 was approved on March 23, 1973 (Board Order 93, 38 FR 8622, 4/4/73) and expanded on October 25, 1974 (Board Order 102, 39 FR 39487, 11/7/74). The zone project includes 3 general-purpose sites in the Kansas City, Missouri, port of entry area: *Site 1* (250,000 sq. ft.)—Midland International Corp. warehouse, 1650 North Topping, Kansas City; *Site 2* (2,815,000 sq. ft.)—surface/underground warehouse complex, 8300 NE., Underground Drive, Kansas City; and, *Site 3* (101,000 sq. ft.)—Kansas City International Airport, 12600 NW., Prairie View Road, Kansas City. An application is currently pending with the Board for an additional site in the Sugar Creek/Independence, Missouri area (Docket No. 15–95).

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand Site 3 to include the entire Kansas City International Airport facility (10,000 acres). The property is owned by the Kansas City Aviation Department and includes 3 air cargo facilities and jet fuel storage/distribution facilities.

No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations (as revised, 56 FR 50790–50808, 10–8–91), a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is [60 days from date of publication]. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to [75 days from date of publication]).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce District Office, 601 East 12th Street, Room 635, Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: August 2, 1995.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–19822 Filed 8–9–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration

[A–588–038]

Bicycle Speedometers From Japan; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: We are amending the final results of the administrative review on bicycle speedometers from Japan published on June 5, 1995 (60 FR 29552), to reflect the correction of a ministerial error made in the margin calculations in those final results. We are publishing this amendment to the final results in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c).

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 10, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arthur N. DuBois or Thomas F. Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–6312/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers the shipments of Cateye, a manufacturer/exporter of bicycle speedometers during the period November 1, 1992, through October 31, 1993.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of bicycle speedometers. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS) item numbers 9029.20.20, 9029.40.80, and 9029.90.40. HTS item

numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. Our written description remains dispositive.

Amendment of Final Results

On June 7, 1995, Cateye Co. Ltd., alleged that the Department made a clerical error in the calculation of foreign market value (FMV) by failing to deduct from the FMV extra packing expenses for split cartons for those home market sales that incurred these expenses. We agree that the extra packing expenses should have been deducted from those sales and have recalculated the weighted-average margin accordingly.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we have determined that the following margin exists for the period November 1, 1992 through October 31, 1993:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Cateye Co., Ltd.	1.31

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and foreign market value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these amended final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after that publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, and will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be 1.31 percent; (2) for exporters not covered in this review, but covered in previous reviews or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 26.44 percent, which is the ''new shipper'' rate established in the first administrative review. In accordance with the Court of International Trade's (CIT's) decisions in *Floral Trade Council* v. *United States,* 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993), and *Federal Mogul Corporation and the Torrington Company* v. *the United States,* 822 F Supp. 782 (CIT 1993), we are basing the ''all others'' rate on the ''new shipper'' rate established in the first final results of administrative review published by the Department (47 FR 28978, July 2, 1982) because this proceeding is governed by an antidumping finding, and we are unable to ascertain the ''all others'' rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 26, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–19819 Filed 8–9–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–583–009]

Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, From Taiwan; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration/International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 25, 1995, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed our results for the following redeterminations on remand of the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on color television receivers, except for video monitors, from Taiwan: Tatung Company, et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 90–12–00649 (third review); International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, et al., v. United States, Consol. Court No. 92–03–00137 (sixth review); and, Zenith Electronics Corp. et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 93–07–00404 (eighth review).

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 10, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John Kugelman or Michael J. Heaney, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0649 or 482–4475, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 14, 1994, December 16, 1994, and January 6, 1995, the CIT issued orders directing the Department to recalculate the value-added tax (VAT) according to the methodology employed in Federal Mogul v. United States, 834 F. Supp. 1391 (CIT October 7, 1993) (Federal Mogul) for various companies for the periods April 1, 1986 through March 31, 1987 (third review), April 1, 1989 through March 31, 1990 (sixth review), and April 1, 1991 through March 31, 1992 (eighth review). Also, on December 16, 1994, the CIT directed the Department in the eighth review to establish a methodology for the adjustment to United States price for uncollected import duties forgiven upon export.

Pursuant to the instructions of the CIT, the Department calculated the VAT consistent with the methodology employed in Federal Mogul, for various companies for the third, sixth, and eighth reviews. The Department established a methodology for calculating and made an adjustment in the eighth review for uncollected import duties on exported merchandise. On April 25, 1995, the Court affirmed our application of the VAT methodology, and adjustments for uncollected import duties.

Amended Final Results of Review

The results of our calculations are presented below: