falling debris from the overhead fireworks display.

Discussion of Proposed Regulation

To promote the safety of both the spectators and the participants of this event, the proposed regulation would establish a permanent safety zone which would become effective each year during the event. Entry into this safety zone and the area surrounding the event would be prohibited. This safety zone would be enforced by representatives of the Captain of the Port, Portland, Oregon. The Captain of the Port may be assisted by other federal agencies.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposal is not a significant action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. The safety zone established by the proposed regulation would encompass only one mile of the Columbia River adjacent to Vancouver, Washington. Entry into the safety zone would be restricted each year for only three hours on the day of the event. These restrictions would have little effect on maritime commerce in the area.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposal will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. ''Small entities'' may include (1) small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields and (2) governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. Because it expects the impact of this proposal to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If however, you think that your business or organization qualifies as a small entity and that this proposal will have a significant economic impact on your business or organization, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and in what way and to what degree this proposal will economically affect it.

Collection of Information

This proposal contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this action in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this proposal does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposed regulation and concluded that, under paragraph 2.B.2 of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B (as revised by 59 FR 38654; July 29, 1994), this proposed regulation is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. Appropriate environmental analysis of the Fort Vancouver Fourth of July Fireworks Display will be conducted in conjunction with the marine event permitting process each year. Any environmental documentation required under the National Environmental Policy Act will be completed prior to the issuance of a marine event permit for this event.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

Proposed Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Part 165 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new section 165.1308 is added to read as follows:

§ 165.1308 Columbia River, Vancouver, WA

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Columbia River at Vancouver, Washington, bounded by a line commencing at the northern base of the Interstate 5 highway bridge at latitude 45°37′17″, longitude 122°40′22″; thence south along the Interstate 5 highway bridge to latitude 45°37′03″N, longitude 122°40′32″W; thence to latitude 45°36′28″N, longitude 122°38′35″W; thence to Ryan's Point at latitude 45°36′42″N, longitude 122°38′35″W; thence along the Washington shoreline to the point of origin.

[Datum: NAD 83].

(b) *Effective dates:* This section is effective annually on July fourth from 9 p.m. to 11 p.m. unless otherwise specified by Federal Register notice.

(c) *Regulation.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Portland, Oregon.

Dated: January 23, 1996.

C.E. Bills,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port.

[FR Doc. 96–1809 Filed 2–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 102–13–7212b; FRL–5398–7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP), which concern the control of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) emissions from boilers, steam generators, and process heaters.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of NO_x in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as noncontroversial revision amendments and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct

final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to these rules. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by March 11, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation reports of the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 ''L'' Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, 8411 Jackson Road, Sacramento, CA 95826.

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San Diego, CA 92123–1096.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite 200, Fresno, CA 93721.

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, Rule Development Section, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA 93003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Duane F. James, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1191, email: james.duane@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District's Rule 411, ''Boiler NO_x,'' the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District's Rule 69.2, ''Industrial and Commercial Boilers, Process Heaters and Steam Generators,'' the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District's Rule 4352, ''Solid Fuel Fired Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters,'' and the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District's Rule 74.15, ''Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters.'' These rules were submitted to EPA on September 28, 1994 (Rule 4352), October 19, 1994 (Rule 69.2), January 24, 1995 (Rule 74.15), and June 16, 1995 (Rule 411), by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: November 21, 1995.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–2825 Filed 2–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–W

---

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 79–4–7252b; FRL–5398–9]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District; and Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from gasoline storage and transfer and bakery ovens.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by March 11, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 ''L'' Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Court, Monterey, CA 93940.

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, B–23, Goleta, CA 93117.

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, CA 92123.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Section [A–5–3], Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) Rule 1002, Transfer of Gasoline into Vehicle Fuel Tanks; San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDCAPCD) Rule 67.24, Bakery Ovens; and Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 316, Storage and Transfer of Gasoline, submitted to EPA on December 22, 1994, June 16, 1995, and March 29, 1994, respectively, by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: December 10, 1995.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–2823 Filed 2–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–W