Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 7, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–3060 Filed 2–9–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Notice of Pending Submittal to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and soliciation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review or continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection:

10 CFR Part 73—Physical Protection of Plants and Materials

2. Current OMB approval number: 3150–0002.

3. How often the collection is required: On occasion. Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur.

4. Who is required or asked to report: Persons who possess, use, import, export, transport, or deliver to a carrier for transport, special nuclear material.

5. The number of annual responses: 68,643.

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 410,602 hours.

7. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 73 prescribes requirements for establishment and maintenance of a physical protection system with capabilities for protection of special nuclear material at fixed sites and in transit and of plants in which special nuclear material is used. The revision reflects an increase in burden because of requirements for the physical fitness, day firing, and vehicle bomb rulemakings that were previously approved by OMB. There was also an adjustment to the burden because of the elimination of the requirement to have licensees submit quarterly log reports.

Submit by April 12, 1996, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW, (lower level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access this document via modem within 30 days of the signature date of this notice on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advance Copy Document Library), NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703–321–3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1–800–303–9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703–487–4608.

Comments and questions may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–6 F33, Washington, DC, 20555–0001, or by telephone at (301) 415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of February, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 96–2986 Filed 2–9–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment. The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to the OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision/Extension

2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 52, ''Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants.''

3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.

4. How often the collection is required: On occasion and every 10 to 20 years for applications for renewal.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Designers of commercial nuclear power plants, electric power utilities, and any person eligible under the Atomic Energy Act to apply for a construction permit for a nuclear power plant.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: Two applications for design certification will be under review during the next three years.

7. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: Approximately 65,333 hours per year for both applications in addition to the burden associated with 10 CFR Parts 20, 50, 73 and 100 (approved by OMB under Clearance Nos. 3150–0014, 3150–0011, 3150–0002, and 3150–0093, respectively).

8. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Public Law 104–13 applies: Not applicable.

9. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 52 establishes requirements for the granting of early site permits, certifications of standard nuclear power plant designs, and licenses which combine in a single license a construction permit and an operating license with conditions (combined licenses). Part 52 also establishes requirements for renewal of these permits, certifications, and licenses; amendments to them; exemptions from certifications; and variances from early site permits.

NRC uses the information collected to assess the adequacy and suitability of an applicant's site, plant design, construction, training and experience, and plans and procedures for the protection of the public health and safety. The NRC review of such information and the findings derived

from that information form the basis of NRC decisions and actions concerning the issuance, modification, or revocation of site permits, design certifications, and combined licenses for nuclear power plants.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by March 13, 1996: Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0151), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 96–2985 Filed 2–9–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Materials License SNM–2506 Northern States Power Company

[Docket 72–10]

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 2 to Materials License No. SNM–2506 held by Northern States Power Company (NSPC) for the receipt and storage of spent fuel at the Prairie Island independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI), located in Goodhue County, Minnesota. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

The amendment request dated October 2, 1995, consists of changes to page 6–1 of Appendix A to the license to correct an inconsistency between the Prairie Island ISFSI Technical Specifications and the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Technical Specifications. The amendment eliminates the requirements that the ISFSI Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report be submitted as part of the Nuclear Generating Plant Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report. The requirement was intended as a convenience since both reports initially had the same due date. Subsequently, the due date for the plant report was extended by a license amendment for the plant technical specifications. However, the ISFSI technical specifications still require that both reports be submitted by the original earlier date. By separating the due dates for the two reports, the additional time now allowed in the plant technical specifications for the submittal of the plant report can be utilized. These changes do not affect fuel receipt, handling, and storage safety.

The amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. In accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(2), a determination has been made that the amendment does not present a genuine issue as to whether the health and safety of the public will be significantly affected. Therefore, the publication of a notice of proposed action and an opportunity for hearing or a notice of hearing is not warranted. Notice is hereby given of the right of interested persons to request a hearing on whether the action should be rescinded or modified.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of the amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(c)(11), an environmental assessment need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the amendment.

Documents related to this action are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555, and at the Local Public Document Room at the Minneapolis Public Library, Technology & Science Department, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, MN 55401.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 1st day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William D. Travers,

Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 96–2983 Filed 2–9–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket Nos. 50–250 and 50–251]

Florida Power and Light Company Turkey Point Unit Nos. 3 and 4; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to the technical specifications (TS) for Facility Operating License No. DPR–31 and DPR–41, issued to Florida Power and Light Company (FPL or the licensee) for operation of Turkey Point Unit Nos. 3 and 4 located in Dade County, Florida.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would modify the Index of the TS to remove reference to the TS Bases pages.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated November 22, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action deletes reference to the TS Bases pages and is in accordance with 10 CFR 50.36(a), which indicates that the Bases shall not become a part of the TS.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the modification to the Index of the TS is administrative in nature.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.