Antidumping Duty Determination, Pure Magnesium and Alloy Magnesium from the Russian Federation, March 22, 1995, at 2. Because the skilled labor rate was reported in Brazilian currency, we adjusted the rate to reflect inflation through the POR using the WPI published by the IMF.

• For factory overhead, we used expense ratios based on elements of constructed-value data reported in the antidumping duty administrative review of silicon metal from Brazil, covering the period July 1, 1994 through June 31, 1995. In order to calculate expense ratios for selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses and profit, we calculated simple averages of the SG&A and profit ratios taken from the 1994 financial statements in the above-named review.

• For packing materials, we used information provided in the *UN Trade Statistics* from Brazil from January through December 1994. We included surrogate freight costs for the delivery of packing materials to the plant reported for use in the final determination of sales at LTFV for magnesium from Russia. We valued packing labor using the same labor rates as used in direct labor above.

Currency Conversion

We made currency conversions in accordance with section 773A(a) of the Act. Currency conversions were made at rates certified by the Federal Reserve Bank and Dow Jones Business Information Services.

Preliminary Results

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Interlink Metals and Chemicals, Inc	8/1/94–7/31/95	0.00
Cometals, Inc	8/1/94–7/31/95	89.92
Russia-wide rate	8/1/94–7/31/95	83.96

Parties to this proceeding may request disclosure within five days of publication of this notice and any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish a notice of the final results of the administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at the hearing, within 120 days from the issuance of these preliminary results.

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and NV may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping dumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the determination and for future deposits of estimated duties.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of titanium sponge from the Russian Federation entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of these administrative reviews, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for AVISMA, Interlink, and Cometals will be the rates established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original LTFV investigation or a previous review and have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) for Russian manufacturers or exporters not covered in the LTFV investigation or in this or prior administrative reviews, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the Russia-wide rate; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-Russian exporters of subject merchandise from Russia who were not covered in the LTFV investigation or in this or prior administrative reviews will be the rate applicable to the Russian supplier of that exporter. These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26(b) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: July 22, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–19212 Filed 7–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

[C–791–001]

Ferrochrome From South Africa; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On May 1, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on ferrochrome from South Africa for the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994 (61 FR 19259). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (the Act). For information on the net subsidy for each reviewed company, and for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the

Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 29, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dana Mermelstein or Melanie Brown, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to section 355.22(a) of the Department's *Interim Regulations,* this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Interim regulations; request for comments, 60 FR 25130, 25139 (May 11, 1995). Accordingly, this review covers Chrome Resources (Pty) Ltd., Consolidated Metallurgical Industries Limited, Feralloys Limited, and Samancor, Limited. This review also covers seven programs.

Because this countervailing duty order was revoked effective January 1, 1995, pursuant to section 753 of the Act, (60 FR 40568 (August 9, 1995)), the Department conducted this administrative review to determine the appropriate assessment rate for entries made during the last review period prior to revocation (January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994). We published the preliminary results of this review on May 1, 1996 (61 FR 19259). We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments from any of the parties.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are ferrochrome from South Africa, which is currently classifiable under items 7202.41.00, 7202.49.10, and 7202.49.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Programs

Based upon the responses to our questionnaire, we determine the following:

I. Program Conferring Subsidies

Regional Industrial Development Incentives

In the preliminary results, we found that Regional Industrial Development Incentives conferred countervailable benefits on the subject merchandise. Because no interested parties submitted written comments, we have not changed our finding from the preliminary results.

II. Programs Found to be Not Used

In the preliminary results, we found that the producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise did not apply for or receive benefits under the following programs:

A. Export Incentive Program
B. General Export Incentive Scheme
C. Industrial Development Corporation Loans
D. Preferential Rail Rates
E. Beneficiation Allowance/Electricity Rebate
F. Government Loan Guarantees

Because no interested parties submitted written comments, we have not changed our findings from the preliminary results.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 355.22(c)(4)(ii) of the Department's *Interim Regulations,* we calculated an individual subsidy rate for each producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. For the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, we determine the net subsidies to be as follows:

Net subsidies—producer/exporter	Net subsidy rate (percent)
Chrome Resources (Pty) Ltd.	00.20
Consolidated Metallurgical Industries Limited	00.00
Feralloys Limited	00.00
Samancor, Limited	00.001

As provided for in the Act, any rate less than 0.5 percent *ad valorem* in an administrative review is *de minimis.* Accordingly, the Department intends to instruct Customs to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, shipments of the subject merchandise from Chrome Resources (Pty) Ltd., Consolidated Metallurgical Industries Limited, Feralloys Limited, and Samancor, Limited, exported on or after January 1, 1994, and on or before December 31, 1994.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See section 355.22(a) of the *Interim Regulations.* Pursuant to 19 CFR § 355.22(g), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate previously ordered. Accordingly, we will instruct Customs to liquidate at the cash deposit rate in effect at the time of entry all entries of subject merchandise from non-reviewed companies.

This countervailing duty order was subject to section 753 of the Act. See Countervailing Duty Order; Opportunity to Request a Section 753 Injury Investigation, 60 FR 27693 (May 26, 1995). Because no domestic interested party exercised their right under section 753 (a) of the Act to request an injury investigation, the International Trade Commission made a negative injury determination with respect to this order, pursuant to section 753(b)(4) of the Act. As a result, the Department revoked this countervailing duty order, effective January 1, 1995, pursuant to section 753(b)(3)(B) of the Act. *Revocation of Countervailing Duty Orders,* 60 FR 40568 (August 9, 1995). Accordingly, the Department will not issue further instructions with respect to cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: July 22, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–19213 Filed 7–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[Docket No. 950207043–6128–02]

RIN 0625-ZA03

Market Development Cooperator Program

AGENCY: International Trade Administration (ITA), Commerce.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: In notice document 96–15013 beginning on page 30033 in the issue of