investor-owned utility that owns generation, transmission, and distribution facilities in the vicinity of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, also engages in activities similar to those of power marketers. In this application, PECO proposes to purchase energy for export in the wholesale power marketplace rather than transmit electric energy from PECO's own system.

PECO asserts that the energy it proposes to transmit to Canada would be surplus to the requirements of the selling utility or generator. PECO would arrange for the exported energy to be wheeled from the selling entities, over existing domestic transmission facilities, and delivered to the foreign purchaser over one or more of the following international transmission lines for which Presidential permits (PP) have been previously issued: Basin Electric's 230-kilovolt (kV) line at Tioga, North Dakota (PP–64); Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) 2—500–kV lines at Blaine, Washington (PP–10); BPA's 200—230–kV lines at Nelway, British Columbia (PP–36, PP–46); Citizens Utilities' 120–kV line at Derby Line, Vermont (PP–66); Detroit Edison's (Detroit) 2—345–kV lines at St. Clair, Michigan (PP–38, PP–58); Detroit's 230-kV line at Maryville, Michigan (PP–21); Detroit's 230–kV line at Detroit, Michigan (PP–21); Joint Owners of the Highgate Project's 345–kV line (operated at 120-kV) at Franklin, Vermont (PP–82); Maine Electric Power Company's 345–kV line at Houlton, Maine (P–43); Maine Public Service's 138-kV line at Aroostook County, Maine (PP–29); Minnesota Power's 115–kV line at International Falls, Minnesota (PP–78); Minnesota Power's 230-kV line at Roseau County, Minnesota (PP–61); New York Power Authority's (NYPA) 2—230–kV lines at Massena, New York (PP–25); NYPA's 230–kV line at Devil's Hole, New York (PP–30); NYPA's 765-kV line at Fort Covington, New York (PP–56); NYPA's 2—345–kV lines at Niagara Falls, New York (PP–74); Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation's 230–kV line at Devil's Hole, New York (PP–31); Northern States Power's (NSP) 230-kV line at Red River, North Dakota (PP–45); NSP's 500-kV line at Roseau County, North Dakota (PP–63); and Vermont Electric Transmission Company's ±450-kV DC line at Norton, Vermont (PP–76).

In a related matter, in Order No. EA–98–C, issued September 5, 1996 (Docket EA–98–C), PECO was authorized to export electricity to British Columbia Hydro & Power Authority, and other future Canadian members of the Western Systems Power Pool (WSPP), under the terms and conditions of WSPP's pooling agreement and service schedules approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

PROCEDURAL MATTERS: Any persons desiring to be heard or to protest this application should file a petition to intervene or protest at the address provided above in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Fifteen copies of such petitions and protests should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above. Additional copies are to be filed directly with: Marjorie R. Philips, Esq., PECO Energy Company—Power Team, 2004 Renaissance Boulevard, King of Prussia, PA 19406 (facsimile 610–292–6644).

A final decision will be made on this applications after the environmental impacts of the proposed action have been evaluated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), and a determination is made by the DOE that the proposed action will not adversely impact the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 27, 1996.

Anthony J. Como,

Director, Office of Coal & Electricity, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 96–25339 Filed 10–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96–803–000]

Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 27, 1996.

Take notice that on September 20, 1996, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Alabama-Tennessee), Post Office Box 918, Florence, Alabama 35631, filed in Docket No. CP96–803–000, a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) of the Commission's Regulations under Natural Gas Act, for authorization to construct and operate facilities in Morgan County, Alabama for the delivery of natural gas to an end-user, Trico Steel Company, under Alabama-Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP85–359–000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Alabama-Tennessee states that the facilities would consist of (1) approximately 100 feet of 6-inch pipeline, and (2) meter and regulator facilities consisting of meter tubes, valves, regulators, relief valves, electronics, and other related equipment. Alabama-Tennessee also states that it estimates that the facilities would cost approximately $185,800. Further, Alabama-Tennessee states that the estimated daily and annual volumes of natural gas delivered would be 10,000 MMBtu and 3 TBtu, respectively, and would be transported under Alabama-Tennessee's IT and FT rate schedules.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–25286 Filed 10–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96–388–000]

The Brooklyn Union Gas Company v. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Complaint

September 27, 1996.

Take notice that on September 24, 1996, pursuant to Section 5(a) of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. § 717d, and Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.206, The Brooklyn Union Gas Company (Brooklyn Union) submitted for filing a complaint against Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco).

Brooklyn Union argues that Transco has, without color of authority and in derogation of Brooklyn Union's rights and entitlements under Transco's FERC Gas Tariff and applicable Commission orders, refused to transport and deliver quantities of natural gas to lawfully nominated secondary delivery points designated by Brooklyn Union.