that the volumes to be delivered would be with in the certificated entitlements of Lafarge. The estimated cost to modify the proposed facilities is approximately $40,000. Lafarge will reimburse Panhandle 100% of the total cost of the proposed project.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–32895 Filed 12–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

Viking Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

[Docket No. RP97–195–000]

December 20, 1996.

Take notice that on December 18, 1996, Viking Gas Transmission Company (Viking) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets proposed to be effective January 15, 1997:

Substitute Fourth Revised Sheet No. 1
Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 117
Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 118
Substitute Fourth Revised Sheet No. 141
Substitute Original Sheet No. 142
Substitute Original Sheet No. 143
Substitute Original Sheet No. 144
Substitute Original Sheet No. 145
Substitute Original Sheet No. 146

Viking states that the purpose of this filing is to facilitate customer service on Viking's system by updating Viking's Customer Nomination Form (Sheet Nos. 117–118) and incorporating the Electronic Bulletin Board Access Service Agreement (Sheet Nos. 1, 141–146) in Viking's tariff. Viking originally filed the above-referenced tariff sheets as part of its Order No. 587 compliance filing on December 2, 1996. In the Letter Order issued on December 13, 1996 in Docket No. RP97–156–000, the Office of Pipeline Regulation rejected the above-referenced tariff sheets without prejudice as beyond the scope of Order No. 587 and found that ''[t]hese tariff changes are more appropriately dealt with in a Section 4 filing.'' December 13, 1996 Letter Order, p. 1.

Accordingly, Viking is now filing these sheets under Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. § 717c (1994).

Viking states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all of its jurisdictional customers and to affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–32896 Filed 12–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. EG97–8–000, et al.]

P.H. Don Pedro, S.A., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

December 19, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. In the Matter of P.H. Don Pedro, S.A.

[Docket No. EG97–8–000]

On December 11, 1996, P.H. Don Pedro, S.A., a corporation (sociedad WP) organized under the laws of Costa Rica (''Applicant''), with its principal place of business at Santo Domingo de Heredia del Hotel Bouganville 200 Mts. al Este de la Iglesia Católica (Primera Entrada Portón con Ruedas de Artilleria) Heredia, Costa Rica, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

Applicant intends to own and operate an approximately 14 megawatt (net), hydroelectric power production facility located in the District of Sarapiquí, Canton Alajuela, Province of Alajuela, Costa Rica.

Comment date: January 3, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. Virginia Electric Power Company Richmond Power Enterprise, Richmond Power Enterprise L.P. and Enron Power Marketing, Inc., and Richmond Power Enterprise, L.P.

[Docket Nos. EC97–9–000, EL95–26–000 and QF90–104–002]

Take notice that on December 6, 1996, Virginia Electric Power Company (Virginia Power), Richmond Power Enterprise, L.P. (RPE), Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (EPMI) (collectively Applicants) filed joint applications for approval of disposition of Jurisdictional facilities and for approval of the transfer of wholesale power agreement. Specifically, the Applicants request approval for two related transactions: (1) the sale of 250 megawatt combined cycle cogeneration facility (the transfer to EPMI of RPE's interest in a Power Purchase and Operating Agreement between RPE and Virginia Power, under which RPE currently sells and Virginia Power purchases the entire electric capacity and energy output of the Facility. Additionally, RPE requests permission to withdraw the waiver application filed in Docket No. QF90–104–000 in regards to the Facility.

Comment date: January 10, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, and Pennsylvania Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97–716–000]

Take notice that on December 9, 1996, GPU Service, Inc. (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (GPU Energy), filed an executed Service Agreement between GPU and The Power Company of America, LP (TPC), dated November 12, 1996. This Service Agreement specifies that TPC has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of GPU Energy's Operating Capacity and/or Energy Sales Tariff (Sales Tariff) designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The Sales Tariff was accepted by the Commission by letter order issued on February 10, 1995 in Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Co. and Pennsylvania Electric Co., Docket No.