determined that the probability of the legal claim ending in a loss against the Federal entity is probable and the loss is estimable. The entity would recognize an expense and liability for the full amount of the expected loss. The expense and liability would be adjusted as necessary based on any changes in the estimated loss.

Entry #1:

Debit Expense
Credit Liability—Legal claims

Once the claim is either settled or a court judgment is assessed against the Federal entity and the Judgment Fund is determined to be the appropriate source for payment of the claim, the liability should be removed and an other financing source recognized. If the Judgment Fund is responsible for only a portion of the claim or settlement, the imputed financing source amount would only reflect that amount paid by the Judgment Fund on behalf of the Federal entity.

Entry #2:

Debit Liability—Legal claims
Credit Imputed Financing Source—Expenses Paid by Other Entities [8]

Treasury Judgment Fund entries:

The claim is either settled or a court judgment is assessed and the Judgment Fund is determined to be the appropriate source for payment.

Entry #3:

Debit Expenses Paid for Other Entities [8]
Credit Cash or Fund Balance with Treasury

[FR Doc. 97–6134 Filed 3–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110–01–P

[8] According to SFFAS No. 4, the imputed financing source and expenses paid for other entities amounts would be eliminated at the consolidation level.

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Summary: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and purpose of information collection: Investigation of Claim for Possible Days of Employment or State Benefits Received; OMB 3220–0049. Under Section 1(k) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA), unemployment and sickness benefits are not payable for any day with respect to which remuneration is payable or accrues to the claimant. Also Section 4(a–1) of the RUIA provides that unemployment or sickness benefits are not payable for any day the claimant receives the same benefits under any law other than the RUIA. Under Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) regulations, 20 CFR 322.4(a), a claimant's certification or statement on an RRB provided claim form that he or she did not work on any day claimed and did not receive inform such as vacation pay or pay for time lost shall constitute sufficient evidence unless there is conflicting evidence. Further, under 20 CFR 322.4(b), when there is question raised as to whether or not remuneration is payable or has accrued to a claimant with respect to a claimed day or days, investigation shall be made with a view to obtaining information sufficient for a finding. The RRB utilizes the following four forms, to obtain information from railroad employers, nonrailroad employers and claimants, that are needed to determne whether a claimed days or days of unemployment or sickness were improperly or fraudulently claimed: Form ID–5I, Letter to Non-Railroad Employers on Employment and Earnings of a Claimant; Form ID–5R(SUP), Report of Employees Paid RUIA Benefits for Every Day in Month Reported as Month of Creditable Service; Form ID–49R, Letter to Railroad Employee for Payroll Information; and Form UI–48, Claimant's Statement Regarding Benefit Claim for Days of Employment. Completion is voluntary. One response is requested of each respondent.

All of the forms are being revised to include language required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The RRB also proposes the addition of an item to Form ID–51 to request the employee's occupation. No other changes are proposed.

The RRB burden estimates for forms associated with the collection follow:

Form No.	Annual responses	Time (min)	Burden (hours)
ID–5I	4,500	15	1,125
ID–5R (SUP)	900	10	150
ID–49R	250	15	63
UI–48	250	12	50
Total	5,900		1,388

Additional Information or Comments: to request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751–3363. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611–2092. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Chuck Mierzwa,

Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–6139 Filed 3–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 22548; 811–3667]

PaineWebber/Kidder, Peabody Tax Exempt Money Fund, Inc.

March 6, 1997.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'').

ACTION: Notice of Application for Deregistration under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the ''Act'').

APPLICANT: PaineWebber/Kidder, Peabody Tax Exempt Money Fund, Inc.

RELEVANT ACT SECTION: Order requested under section 8(f) of the Act.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicant requests an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company.

FILING DATE: The application was filed on October 23, 1996.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary and serving applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on March 31, 1997, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons may request notification of a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Applicant, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen L. Knisely, Staff Attorney, at (202) 942–0517 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee from the SEC's Public Reference Branch.

Applicant's Representations

1. Applicant is an open-end, diversified management investment company organized as a Maryland corporation. On February 14, 1983, applicant filed a Notification of Registration on Form N–8A pursuant to section 8(a) of the Act and a registration statement on Form N–1A under the Act and the Securities Act of 1933. The registration statement became effective on June 30, 1983, and the initial public offering commenced thereafter.

2. On July 20, 1995, applicant's board of directors approved an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Dissolution (the ''Plan'') whereby applicant would exchange its assets for shares of common stock in PaineWebber RMA Tax-Free Fund, Inc. (''PW Fund''), a registered investment company. Pursuant to rule 17a–8 under the Act,[1] applicant's board of directors determined that the proposed reorganization was in the best interest of applicant and that the interests of the existing shareholders would not be diluted as a result of the proposed reorganization.

3. In approving the Plan, the directors were advised of certain benefits which were likely to result from the reorganization. The directors were advised that the investment advisory and administration fee schedule applicable to PW Fund would be equal or lower than that currently in effect for applicant. Further, the directors were advised that, because PW Fund has greater net assets than applicant, combining the two funds would reduce the expenses borne by the shareholders of applicant as a percentage of net assets. The boards also were advised that following the reorganization, the expense ratio for the PW Fund was likely to decrease because the investment advisory and administration fee paid by that fund decreases as the size of the fund increases.

4. On September 13, 1995, applicant filed a registration statement on Form N–14 with the SEC, which included a prospectus for the shares of the PW Fund to be issued in the reorganization and related proxy materials. The registration statement was declared effective on October 6, 1995. Applicant's shareholders approved the Plan on November 10, 1995.

5. As of November 20, 1995 (the ''Closing Date''), there were 395,167,695.07 shares outstanding of applicant's stock, having an aggregate net asset value of $395,038,835.11 and a per share net asset value of $1.00. Pursuant to the Plan, on the Closing Date, applicant transferred all of its assets in exchange for shares of common stock of PW Fund and the assumption of applicant's liabilities. The number of shares of PW Fund issued to applicant were determined by dividing the net asset value of a share of applicant by the net asset value of a share of PW Fund, in each case as of the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. on the Closing Date. Following this exchange, applicant distributed the shares of PW Fund to its shareholders on a *pro rata* basis.

6. Expenses incurred in connection with the reorganization include legal expenses, printing and mailing expenses, administrative expenses, and registration fees. These expenses totalled approximately $275,000 and were borne by applicant and PW Fund in proportion to their respective net assets.

7. Applicant has no securityholders, liabilities or assets. Applicant is not a party to any litigation or administrative proceeding. Applicant is not now engaged, nor does it propose to engage, in any business activities other than those necessary for the winding up of its affairs.

8. Applicant intends to promptly file Articles of Dissolution with the Maryland State Department of Assessments and Taxation.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–6196 Filed 3–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[1] Rule 17a–8 provides an exemption from section 17(a) of the Act for certain reorganizations among registered investment companies that may be affiliated persons, or affiliated persons of an affiliated person, solely by reason of having a common investment adviser, common directors, and/or common officers.