Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey M. Boylan, (215) 566–2094, at the EPA Region III office or via e-mail at boylan.jeffrey@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: June 30, 1997.

Thomas Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 97–19096 Filed 7–18–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[FL 72–1–9720b: FRL–5858–3]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan, Florida: Approval of Revisions to the Florida SIP

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On September 25, 1996, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) submitted revisions to the Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP) to: revise the gasoline tanker truck leak testing procedures by adopting by reference federal test methods; change the requirements to submit test results to the FDEP rather than the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services; and update the gasoline tanker truck leak test form. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State of Florida's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments on this proposed action must be received by August 20, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Mr. Gregory Crawford at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399–2400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gregory Crawford, Regulatory Planning Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, Region 4, Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. The telephone number is 404/562–9042.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 25, 1997.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97–19094 Filed 7–18–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 20

[CC Docket No. 94–102, DA 97–1502]

Compatibility of Wireless Services With Enhanced 911

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In the wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) rulemaking proceeding, the Commission seeks additional comment on the *ex parte* presentations filed by Wireless E911 Coalition, GTE Wireless and Ad Hoc Alliance for Public Access to 911 (Alliance) regarding certain technical issues pertaining to the provision of 911 emergency calling services. In light of *ex parte* presentations by the wireless carriers and equipment manufacturers, the staff of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau prepared a set of questions to help our understanding and evaluation of technical issues related to the E911 rules. In response to our inquiry, GTE Wireless filed its response on July 7, 1997, the Wireless E911 Coalition filed its response on July 10 and Alliance filed its response on July 11. Additional comment on these responses is sought to assist the Commission in determining whether to revise Section 20.18(b) of the Commission's Rules. The effect of revising Section 20.18(b) would be to bring the timely implementation of basic 911 services to wireless customers.

DATES: Comments must be filed by July 28, 1997 and no reply comments will be accepted.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Won Kim, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418–1310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. In wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) rulemaking proceeding, GTE Wireless filed *ex parte* presentation on July 7, the Wireless E911 Coalition filed its *ex parte* presentation on July 10, and Alliance filed its *ex parte* presentation on July 11, urging the Commission to revise Section 20.18(b) of the Commission's Rules. The full text of the GTE Wireless July 7 *ex parte* presentation, the Wireless E911 Coalition July 10 *ex parte* presentation, and Alliance July 11 *ex parte* presentation are available for inspection

and duplication during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 239, Washington, D.C. 20554. Copies may also be obtained from International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS), 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, (202) 857–3800.

2. Pursuant to § 1.415(d) of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.415(d), the Commission hereby seeks additional comment in the wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) rulemaking proceeding[1] regarding the *ex parte* presentations filed by Wireless E911 Coalition, GTE Wireless and Ad Hoc Alliance for Public Access to 911 (Alliance) regarding certain technical issues pertaining to the provision of 911 emergency calling services pursuant to the rules adopted in the *Report and Order.*

3. In the *Report and Order*, the Commission established rules requiring wireless carriers to implement basic 911 and E911 services. Some of the petitions seeking reconsideration, and *ex parte* presentations regarding the *Report and Order*, raise issues touching on the technical feasibility of the schedule and other aspects of the *Report and Order.* In light of *ex parte* discussions with the Wireless E911 Coalition and several other wireless service and equipment manufacturers, the staff of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau prepared a set of questions to help our understanding and evaluation of these technical issues.

4. In response to our inquiry, GTE Wireless filed its response on July 7, the Wireless E911 Coalition filed its response on July 10, and Alliance filed its response on July 11. Additional comment on these responses is sought to assist the Commission in determining whether to revise § 20.18(b) of the Commission's Rules, requiring covered carriers to transmit 911 calls which transmit a Code Identification without validation of the call, and process all 911 calls (regardless of whether a Code Identification is included as part of the call transmission) where requested by the administrator of the designated Public Safety Answering Point.[2]

5. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in §§ 1.1415(d) and 1.419 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.415(d), 1.419, interested parties may file comments to these *ex parte* presentations filed by GTE Wireless, the Wireless E911 Coalition, and Alliance no later than July 28, 1997. No reply comments or other pleadings will be accepted. All relevant and timely comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. To file formally in this proceeding, participants must file an original and five copies of all comments. If participants want each Commissioner to receive a personal copy of their comments, an original and nine copies must be filed. All comments should be filed with the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554, referencing CC Docket No. 94–102.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 20

Communications common carriers.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–19135 Filed 7–18–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

[1] *See* Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, CC Docket No. 94–102, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 59 FR 54878 (1994); Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, CC Docket No. 94–102, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 61 FR 40348, 40374 (1996) (*Report and Order*).

[2] Section 20.18(b) of the Commission's Rules, 61 FR 40352 (1996).

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

49 CFR Parts 23 and 26

[Docket OST–97–2550; Notice 97–5]

RIN 2105–AB92

Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprise in Department of Transportation Programs

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Department is extending the comment period on its supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM) to revise its rules governing the disadvantaged business enterprise (DBE) program. The SNPRM proposed numerous changes to the DBE program to respond to changes in the legal standards applicable to such programs and to improve the program's administration. The extension is in response to requests from a number of interested parties for additional time to review the proposed rule and formulate comments.

DATES: Comments should be received by September 29, 1997. Late-filed comments will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESS: Interested persons should send comments to Docket Clerk, Docket No. OST–97–2550, Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street, SW., Room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590. We request that, in order to minimize burdens on the docket clerk's staff, commenters send three copies of their comments to the docket. Commenters wishing to have their submissions acknowledged should include a stamped, self-addressed postcard with their comments. The docket clerk will date stamp the postcard and return it to the commenter. Comments will be available for inspection at the above address from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert C. Ashby, Deputy Assistant General Counsel for Regulation and Enforcement, Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street, S.W., Room 10424, Washington, DC 20590. (202) 366–9306 (voice); (202) 755–7687 (TDD).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In May 30, 1997, the Department issued a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM) to amend the Department's disadvantaged business enterprise (DBE) program (62 FR 29548). The SNPRM proposed ''narrow tailoring'' changes to the program to respond to the Supreme court's decision in *Adarand* v. *Peña,* proposed a variety of improvements to the certification and other administrative provisions of the Department's rules intended to reduce burdens on participants, and proposed revisions and updates to requirements for DBE participation in airport concessions. The original comment closing date for the SNPRM was July 29, 1997.

This SNPRM is one of great interest to many affected parties, including disadvantaged business enterprises, other contractors, airports, state highway agencies, and transit authorities. It is also a lengthy and complex document. Because of the SNPRM's importance, and its length and complexity, several parties have requested additional time to formulate comments on it. These parties include the American Public Transit Association (a trade association for transit authorities); the Airports Council International-North America and the American Association of Airport Executives (airport trade associations); the Airport Minority Advisory Council (a trade association for DBEs and others interested in airport contracting); airports in Reno and Las Vegas, Nevada, St. Louis, Missouri, and Roanoke, Virginia; the Maine and Wisconsin Departments of Transportation; Senator Susan Collins of Maine; and the City of Philadelphia.