model. The first MOBILE6 workshop last March presented an overview of the more important model revisions being planned. Since then, considerable analyses have been performed, and the model revisions proposed in March have been modified to some extent in response comments received since then. At this workshop, results will be presented in a number of topical areas that were discussed only in terms of proposals at the first workshop.

The tentative agenda for this workshop is discussed below. Other aspects of the modeling of highway vehicle emissions that are not specifically included within the following discussion may also be briefly addressed in this workshop; however, the agenda discussed below is intended to illustrate the major areas of discussion for the workshop.

The workshop being announced by today's notice will span two days. In an effort to facilitate travel plans on the part of attendees, a preliminary agenda for the two days is presented below. Note that, as was done for the March 1997 workshop, the first day (October 1) is largely devoted to ''technical'' issues involved in updating and revising the model, including revisions to emission factors and calculation methodologies, while the second day (October 2) is focused more on ''user changes,'' meaning those revisions planned that will affect the input data requirements and file structure and output changes. The update on the progress of EPA's development of a nonroad emission inventory model will also be presented on the second day. Many attendees will likely want to be present for both sessions, however, some may find that they can limit their attendance to one or the other days based on their specific interests and needs.

Topics to be discussed on October 1. The first day of the workshop is planned to include discussion of the stakeholder review process; start-related emissions, separation of start from running (driving) exhaust emissions, and revisions to the basic emission rate equations; the use of facility-specific driving cycles and means for weighting such emission factors by vehicle miles traveled (VMT) to develop area-wide emission factor estimates; the impacts of air conditioning use on exhaust emissions; the effects of fuel sulfur content on emissions, and of fuel oxygenate content on carbon monoxide (CO) emissions; the modeling of heavy-duty vehicle emissions; and revisions and improvements to evaporative emissions estimates (including real-time diurnals, resting losses, liquid leaks, and hot soak emissions). Each presentation will be followed by a short discussion/question and answer period, and there should be some time left at the end of the day for more general open discussion of the material that has been presented.

These are the main areas in which presentations are planned for the first day of the workshop. Results of test programs and data analyses will be presented where available, and in all subject areas plans for additional work and proposed revisions to the model's treatment of each area will be discussed.

Topics to be discussed on October 2. On the second day, EPA will present its proposal for modeling the benefits of second-generation onboard diagnostic systems (OBD-II) in MOBILE6. This will be followed by discussions of the changes to input files/structure/content and proposed changes to the output files produced by the model. A significant amount of time will be spent on these ''input/output'' issues on the second day, as EPA is hoping to engage workshop attendees in active discussions concerning these changes.

The last presentation on October 2 will be an update on the development of the proposed nonroad emission inventory model for mobile sources. The workshop will conclude with reminders to the audience on how to keep abreast of progress, stakeholder review and comment of products to be used in MOBILE6 and the nonroad emission inventory model, and discussion of the schedule for development of MOBILE6 and the nonroad emission inventory model over the coming months.

Additional Information. To the extent possible, EPA will post material at the OMS Web site described under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, above, in advance of the workshop. Those planning to attend, and those interested in following the progress of workshop planning more closely, should periodically visit the workshop information site. For example, some of the presentation materials that will be used at the workshop will be posted in advance to facilitate discussion and comment at the workshop.

Dated: August 22, 1997.

Richard D. Wilson,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 97–23034 Filed 8–28–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–5483–8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed August 18, 1997 Through August 22, 1997

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970326, Draft EIS, NPS, MI, Keweenaw National Historical Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Houghton County, MI, Due: October 30, 1997, Contact: Frank Fiala (906) 337–3168.

EIS No. 970327, Draft EIS, COE, DE, Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to Fenwick Island Feasibility Study and Bethany Beach and South Bethany Interim Feasibility Study, Storm Damage Reduction and to Construct a Protective Berm and Dune, Sussex County, DE, Due: October 14, 1997, Contact: Steven D. Allen (215) 656–6559.

EIS No. 970328, Draft EIS, AFS, AZ, Windmill Range Allotment Management Plan, Cattle Grazing Use, Implementation, Coconino National Forest, Mormon Lake, Peaks and Sedona Ranger Districts, Coconino and Yavapal County, AZ, Due: October 14, 1997, Contact: Mike Hanneman (520) 774–1147.

EIS No. 970329, Draft Supplement, COE, AR, Red River Waterway, Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas and Oklahoma and Related Projects, New and Updated Information, Red River Below Denison Dam Levee Rehabilition, Implementation, Hempstead, Lafayette and Miller Counties, AR, Due: October 14, 1997, Contact: Stuart McLean (601) 631–5965.

EIS No. 970330, Draft EIS, USN, CA, San Diego Naval Training Center (NTC) Disposal and Reuse of Certain Real Properties, Implementation, City of San Diego, San Diego County, CA, Due: October 14, 1997, Contact: Robert Montana (619) 532–2004 ext 43.

EIS No. 970331, Final EIS, AFS, OR, Little River (DEMO) Demonstration of Ecosystem Management Options Timber Sale, Implementation, Umpqua National Forest, North Umpqua Ranger District, Douglas County, OR, Due: September 29, 1997, Contact: Debbie Anderson (541) 496–3532.

EIS No. 970332, Final EIS, AFS, AZ, Pocket/Baker Ecosystem and Land

Management Plan, Implementation, Mogollen Rim, Coconino National Forest, Coconino County, AZ, Due: September 29, 1997, Contact: John Gerritsma (520) 354–2216.

EIS No. 970333, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Fourmile Timber Sale, Timber Harvesting and Road Construction, Payette National Forest, New Meadow Ranger District, Adam County, ID, Due: September 29, 1997, Contact: Debbie Ellis (218) 347–0300.

Dated: August 26, 1997.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–23119 Filed 8–28–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–5483–9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared August 4, 1997 Through August 8, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the OFFICE OF FEDERAL ACTIVITIES at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 4, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–FHW–K40142–CA Rating EC2, CA–4 ''GAP'' Closure Project, Improvements between I–80 and Cunninings Skyway, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Hercules, Contra Costa County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to: a need for additional information regarding wetlands, water and biological resources and air quality data and analysis.

ERP No. D–FHW–K40224–CA Rating EU3, I–880/CA–92 Interchange Reconstruction, I–880 from Winton Avenue to Tennyson Road and CA–92 from Hesperian Boulevard to Santa Clara Street, Funding, City of Hayward, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA found the DEIS for the I–880/92 interchange project to have inadequate information because the DEIS did not account for the related SR 92 San Mateo-Hayward bridge widening project which had been analyzed in a separate document. EPA believes the two projects should be analyzed together as one since both are dependent on one another, and that the information did not present a complete picture of the impacts to the public and to the decisionmaker.

ERP No. D–FHW–K40225–CA Rating EC2, Marin US–101 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Gap Closure Project, Construction from US 101 I–580 on US–101 from Lucky Drive to North San Pedro Road and I–580 from Irene Street to US–101, Funding, COE Section 404 and Bridge Permits, Marin County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential air quality impacts, relocation of the San Rafael Viaduct, impacts to the future rail project, minimization of impacts of coastal zone resources, and indirect impacts.

ERP No. D–TVA–E09803–MS Rating EC2, Exercise of Option Purchase Agreement with LSP Energy Limited Partnership for Supply of Electric Energy, Construction and Operation, Batesville Generation Facility, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and NPDES Permit, City of Batesville, Coahoma, Panola, Quitman and Yalobusha Counties, MS.

Summary: EPA's primary concern involves the fact that the proposed power plant site is not close to waterbodies required for process water supply and discharge, so that pipeline interconnection with associated impacts (including loss of forested wetlands) are proposed.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–FHW–J40140–MT, US 93 Highway Transportation Improvements, between Hamilton (Milepost 49.0) to Lolo (Milepost 83.2), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Ravalli and Missoula Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential induced and hastened changes in the pattern of land use, population density or growth rate of the Bitteroot Valley resulting indirectly from the project and potential adverse effects to wetlands, riparian areas, wildlife habitat, and other natural systems, including ecosystems.

Dated: August 26, 1997.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97–23120 Filed 8–28–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[PF–758; FRL–5738–2]

Notice of Filing of Pesticide Petitions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the initial filing of pesticide petitions proposing the establishment of regulations for residues of certain pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities.

DATES: Comments, identified by the docket control number PF–758, must be received on or before September 29, 1997.

ADDRESSES: By mail submit written comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (7506C), Information Resources and Services Division, Office of Pesticides Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person bring comments to: Rm. 1132, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by following the instructions under ''SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.'' No confidential business information should be submitted through e-mail.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as ''Confidential Business Information'' (CBI). CBI should not be submitted through e-mail. Information marked as CBI will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. All written comments will be available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the address given above, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The contact person listed in the table below: