Commission, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and several local authorities.

The results of these meetings have allowed Western and CEC to identify areas of concern raised by the public and other agencies. The visual and noise impacts of the plant and the new transmission line were a major concern of the people who live in the immediate area of the plant site. Other more general issues concerned water resources—the impact to nearby wells by a potential draw-down by SPP; water quality impacts to downstream users and fisheries; the use of surface ditches by the project; and potential impacts caused by localized flooding. Other concerns raised include air quality impacts, land use issues, impacts to agricultural operations, and the need for rezoning the site.

The Draft EIS/FSA presents analyses of the no action (no project) alternative, as well as four siting alternatives to the proposed site. These alternate sites were compared to the unmitigated impacts of the SPP proposed location. The potential impacts to each sensitive issue (water, air, natural resources, cultural resources, visual, noise, etc.) were analyzed and discussed in some detail in the Draft EIS/FSA. However, each of these alternate sites were found to have environmental problems. Alternatives to the proposed project, as well as individual mitigation measures, are proposed and applied where impacts approach a threshold of significance. Environmentally preferred options are detailed for each issue.

CEC will hold hearings on Calpine's proposal. These are held as evidentiary hearings with two commissioners present. All witnesses are sworn in and present information to the Commissioners. Each technical area will be discussed in this manner, so that the length of the hearing process depends on the amount of testimony that needs to be taken for each technical area. Following each portion of the hearing process, the public may comment on the evidence presented. A full transcript will be available following the hearings.

A decision on the proposed action will be made after considering comments on the Draft EIS/FSA, both written and those presented at the hearings announced above. The final EIS will present the full analysis of these comments and project alternatives that are proposed in the Draft EIS/FSA and present the final alternative that will be the subject of Western's and CEC's decisions on SPP.

Dated: October 20, 1998.

Michael S. Hacskaylo,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 98–29803 Filed 11–5–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–5496–6]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed October 26, 1998 Through October 30, 1998 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 980439, LEGISLATIVE DRAFT EIS, USA, NM, McGregor Range Military Land Withdrawal Renewal, Fort Bliss, Otera County, NM and TX, Due: February 09, 1999, Contact: Anthony Rekas (703) 614–4991.

EIS No. 980440, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Taylor Fork Timber Sale and Road Restoration, Implementation, Buck Creek, Taylor Fork Creek and Eldridge Creek, Gallatin National Forest, Madison Ranger, Hebgen Lake Ranger District, Yellow Stone, Gallatin County, MT, Due: December 21, 1998, Contact: Julie Neff-Shea (406) 587–6706.

EIS No. 980441, DRAFT EIS, NPS, WA, Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, General Management Plan, Implementation, Ferry, Grant, Lincoln, Okanogan and Stevens Counties, WA, Due: January 31, 1999, Contact: Vaughn Baker (509) 633–9441.

EIS No. 980442, FINAL EIS, NPS, MI, Isle Royale National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Keweenaw County, MI, Due: December 07, 1998, Contact: Michael Madell (402) 221–3493.

EIS No. 980443, FINAL EIS, COE, MN, ND, East Grand Forks, Minnesota and Grand Forks, North Dakota Flood Control and Flood Protection, Red River Basin, MN and ND, Due: December 07, 1998, Contact: John T. Shyne (651) 290–5270.

EIS No. 980444, DRAFT EIS, BLM, OR, Southeastern Oregon Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Comprehensive Framework of Managing Public Land, Malheur, Jordan and Andrew Resource Areas, Vale and Burns Districts, Malheur, Harney and Grant Counties, OR, Due: March 01, 1999, Contact: Gary Copper (541) 473–3144.

EIS No. 980445, DRAFT EIS, DOE, AZ, Griffith Energy Project, Construction and Operation, 520-Megawatt (MW) Natural Gas-Fired and Combined Cycle Power Plant, Right-of-Way Grant, Operating Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Kingman, AZ, Due: December 21, 1998, Contact: John Holt (602) 352–2692.

EIS No. 980446, REVISED DRAFT EIS, USN, CA, Hunters Point (Former) Naval Shipyard Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Revised Information, City of San Francisco, San Francisco County, CA, Due: January 05, 1999, Contact: Gary J. Munekawa (650) 244–3022.

EIS No. 980447, FINAL EIS, CGD, CA, I–880/CA–92 Interchange Reconstruction, I–880 from Winton Avenue to Tennyson Road and CA–92 from Hesperian Boulevard to Santa Clara Street, Funding, City of Hayward, Alameda County, CA, Due: December 07, 1998, Contact: Wayne Till (510) 437–3514.

EIS No. 980448, DRAFT EIS, AFS, OR, Beaver Creek Fuels Reduction and Associated Restoration Activities Project, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, La Grande Ranger District, Union County, OR, Due: December 21, 1998, Contact: Cindy Whitlock (541) 962–8501.

EIS No. 980449, DRAFT EIS, AFS, WY, Cold Springs Ecosystem Management Project, Implementation, Enhancement of Tree Harvesting and Sale, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Douglas Ranger District, Converse and Albany Counties, WY, Due: December 21, 1998, Contact: Malcolm R. Edward (307) 358–4690.

EIS No. 980450, FINAL EIS, COE, MD, Ocean City, Restoration of Assateague Island, Water Resources Study, Town of Ocean City, Worcester County, MD, Due: December 07, 1998, Contact: Stacey Underwood (410) 962–4977.

EIS No. 980451, FINAL EIS, COE, FL, Jacksonville Harbor Navigation Channel Deepening Improvements, Construction, St. Johns River, Duval County, FL, Due: December 07, 1998, Contact: Rea Boothby (904) 232–3453.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 980425, FINAL EIS, FHW, IL, Federal Aid Route 310/US 67 Expressway Study, Godfrey to Jacksonville, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Madison, Jersey, Greene, Morgan and Scott Counties, IL, Due: November 23, 1998, Contact: William C. Jones (708) 283–3510. Published FR—10–23–98—Due Date Correction.

EIS No. 980437, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, EPA, CA, International Wastewater

Treatment Plant and South Bay Ocean Outfall, Updated Information, Interim Operation, Tijuana River, San Diego, CA, Due: November 30, 1998, Contact: Elizabeth Borowiec (415) 744–1165.

U.S. EPA had applied to the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) under Section 1502(c)(4) of the CEQ Regulations for the Approval of Alternative Procedures. CEQ has approved the request by EPA for a 30-day Review Period.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98–29841 Filed 11–5–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

ER–FRL–5496–7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 19, 1998 Through October 23, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (62 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–DOA–G36149–OK. Rating LO, Double Creek Watershed Plan, Implementation, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, National Economic Development (NED), Town of Ramona, Washington and Osage Counties, OK.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the lead agency's preferred alternative as described in the DEIS.

ERP No. D–FAA–E51046–NC. Rating EC2, Charlotte/Douglas International Airport, Construction and Operation, New Runway 17/35 (Future 18L/36R) Associated Taxiway Improvements, Master Plan Development, Approval Airport Layout Plan (ALP) and COE Section 404 Permit, Mecklenburg County, NC.

Summary: EPA's review found that the noise analysis was deficient and needs to be redone. Both general and transportation conformity criteria must be met for the project to go forward.

ERP No. D–FHW–L40209–WA. Rating EC2, WA–16/Union Avenue Vicinity to WA–302 Vicinity of Tacoma Improvements, Construction, Funding, Coast Guard Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Pierce County, WA.

Summary: EPA had concerns with the likely increase of urban growth and the resulting impact. EPA requested that these issues be fully discussed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D–NOA–E39044–FL. Rating LO, Guana, Tolomato, Matanizas, Site Designation, National Estuarine Research Reserve, Management Plan, City of Jacksonville, St. Johns and Flagler Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA supports the proposed action.

ERP No. D–NOA–E39045–MS. Rating EC2, Grand Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR), Designation, To Conduct Research, Educational Project and Construction, East of the City of Biloxi, Jackson County, MS.

Summary: EPA requested additional information on phosphogypsum waste storage facility impacts on ground surface water quality. Comments were made on rock reed wastewater cell maintenance problems compared to conventional septic tank systems.

ERP No. DS–NOA–A64057–00. Rating EC2, Comprehensive Amendment Addressing Essential Fish Habitat in Fishery Management Plans for the South Atlantic Region for Shrimp, Red Drum, Coral, Coral Reefs and Live/Hard Bottom Habitat, Spiny Lobster, Snapper-Grouper, Coastal Migratory Pelagics and Golden Crab, South Atlantic Region.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the Calico Scallop Fishery Management Plan contained data that was too old to fully assess impact of the fishery and collateral impacts threatened and endangered species. EPA requested that these issues be fully discussed in the next environmental document.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–BLM–G65021–00. Rio Grande Corridor Coordinated Resource Management Plan and Taos Management Plan Amendment, Activity-Level-Plans, Implementation, NM and CO.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–DOE–L08053–00. Lower Valley Transmission Project, Construction of a New 115 kV Transmission Line from Swan Valley Substation near Swan Valley, Special-Use-Permits, Bonneville and Teton Counties, ID and Teton County, WY.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–JUS–K80035–CA. Service Processing Center (SPC) for Detainees, Construction and Operation, Possible Sites, Stockton and Tracy Sites, San Joaquin Counties, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98–29842 Filed 11–5–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6183–4]

Extension of the Policy on Enforcement of RCRA Section 3004(j) Storage Prohibition at Facilities Generating Mixed Radioactive/ Hazardous Waste

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Policy statement.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing a limited extension of its policy (56 FR 42730, August 29, 1991) on the civil enforcement of the storage prohibition in sec. 3004(j) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) at facilities that generate ''mixed waste'' regulated under both the RCRA subtitle C hazardous waste program and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA). The policy affects only mixed wastes that are prohibited from land disposal under the RCRA land disposal restrictions (LDR) and for which there are no available options for treatment or disposal. EPA has determined that for a few of these mixed wastes, treatment technology and disposal capacity still is not commercially available. Based on this determination, EPA is hereby renewing for three years the August 1991 policy for those mixed wastes. For purposes of this policy statement, ''available treatment technology and disposal capacity'' means that a facility is commercially available to treat or dispose of a particular waste and the facility has either (1) a RCRA permit or interim status; (2) a research, development, and demonstration permit under 40 CFR 270.65; or (3) a land treatment permit under 40 CFR 270.63.