

in this manner are not subject to a civil penalty.

As discussed above, although the events noted by the Petitioners constituted violations of the NRC's regulations and certain enforcement actions were taken, a civil penalty was not assessed on the licensee. This result partially fulfills the Petitioners' request to take enforcement action against the licensee. With regard to imposing a civil penalty, the NRC Enforcement Policy (NUREG-1600, Revision 1, Section VI.B) states, "Civil penalties are used to encourage prompt identification and prompt and comprehensive correction of violations, to emphasize compliance in a manner that deters future violations, and to serve to focus licensees' attention on violations of significant regulatory concern." Based on numerous inspections, the NRC has concluded that the licensee has taken timely and comprehensive corrective actions to improve its radiation protection program, has achieved adequate compliance in the time after the events occurred, and has focused its attention on maintaining adequate radiological controls. An additional civil penalty is unnecessary in light of the improvement in the licensee's performance. Consequently, consistent with the Enforcement Policy, discretion was exercised to not impose civil penalties for these violations. Therefore, the request to take enforcement action by means of a large civil penalty on CY in response to the events noted in the petition is granted in part, in that enforcement action has been taken against the licensee, and denied in part, since no civil penalty was imposed.

IV. Decision

For the reasons stated above and in Director's Decision DD-97-19, issued September 3, 1997, the petition is granted in part and denied in part. The decision and the documents cited in the decision are available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2210 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c), a copy of the decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review. As provided by this regulation, the decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after issuance, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of September 1999.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Samuel J. Collins,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99-24059 Filed 9-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notice of Issuance of Revised NRC Form 3, Notice to Employees

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a revised NRC Form 3, "Notice to Employees", dated August 1999, effective September 15, 1999. The form has been revised to include information that the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) promulgated in 29 part CFR 24. The inclusion of this information into NRC Form 3 and OSHA's endorsement of the form simplifies the existing process in which interested parties were advised to copy the 29 CFR part 24 notice from OSHA's Internet website. The notice will still be available on OSHA's Internet website. All licensees will receive an administrative letter explaining the revisions with a copy of the revised form attached.

A copy of NRC Form 3 has been placed in the NRC's Public Document Room in the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20037, for review and copying by interested persons. A copy of the form will be mailed to interested parties who request the form from the NRC Forms Manager, Beverly Martin, at (301) 415-5877, by e-mail BAMI@NRC.gov, or by mail at NRC—Washington, DC 20555, Mail Stop T-4 E16.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 9th day of September 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Edward T. Baker, III,

Agency Allegation Advisor, Office of the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99-24055 Filed 9-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Interest Assumption for Determining Variable-Rate Premium; Interest Assumptions for Multiemployer Plan Valuations Following Mass Withdrawal

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of interest rates and assumptions.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public of the interest rates and assumptions to be used under certain Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation regulations. These rates and assumptions are published elsewhere (or are derivable from rates published elsewhere), but are collected and published in this notice for the convenience of the public. Interest rates are also published on the PBGC's web site (<http://www.pbgc.gov>).

DATES: The interest rate for determining the variable-rate premium under part 4006 applies to premium payment years beginning in September 1999. The interest assumptions for performing multiemployer plan valuations following mass withdrawal under part 4281 apply to valuation dates occurring in October 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202-326-4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1-800-877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-326-4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Variable-Rate Premiums

Section 4006(a)(3)(E)(iii)(II) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and § 4006.4(b)(1) of the PBGC's regulation on Premium Rates (29 CFR part 4006) prescribe use of an assumed interest rate in determining a single-employer plan's variable-rate premium. The rate is the "applicable percentage" (currently 85 percent) of the annual yield on 30-year Treasury securities for the month preceding the beginning of the plan year for which premiums are being paid (the "premium payment year"). The yield figure is reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Releases G.13 and H.15.

The assumed interest rate to be used in determining variable-rate premiums for premium payment years beginning in September 1999 is 5.16 percent (*i.e.*, 85 percent of the 6.07 percent yield figure for August 1999).

The following table lists the assumed interest rates to be used in determining variable-rate premiums for premium payment years beginning between October 1998 and September 1999.

For premium payment years beginning in:	The assumed interest rate is:
October 1998	4.42
November 1998	4.26
December 1998	4.46

For premium payment years beginning in:	The assumed interest rate is:
January 1999	4.30
February 1999	4.39
March 1999	4.56
April 1999	4.74
May 1999	4.72
June 1999	4.94
July 1999	5.13
August 1999	5.08
September 1999	5.16

Multiemployer Plan Valuations Following Mass Withdrawal

The PBGC's regulation on Duties of Plan Sponsor Following Mass Withdrawal (29 CFR part 4281) prescribes the use of interest assumptions under the PBGC's regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-employer Plans (29 CFR part 4044). The interest assumptions applicable to valuation dates in October 1999 under part 4044 are contained in an amendment to part 4044 published elsewhere in today's **Federal Register**. Tables showing the assumptions applicable to prior periods are codified in appendix B to 29 CFR part 4044.

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 7th day of September, 1999.

David M. Strauss,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 99-23850 Filed 9-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Request Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission Office of Filings and Information Services Washington, DC 20549

Extension:

Form N-17D-1, SEC File No. 270-231, OMB Control No. 3235-0229

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for extension and approval of the collections of information discussed below.

Section 17(d) [15 U.S.C. 80a-17(d)] of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the Act) authorizes the Commission to adopt rules that protect companies and

their security holders from overreaching by affiliated persons where the investment company and the affiliated person participate jointly or a jointly and severally in a transaction. Rule 17d-1 under the Act [17 CFR 270.17d-1] prohibits any such participation, unless an application regarding the transaction has been filed with and approved by the Commission. The rule provides an exemption from this requirement for any loan or advance of credit to, or acquisition of securities or other property of, a small business concern, or any agreement to do any of the foregoing (investments) made by a affiliated bank and a small business investment company (SBIC), provided that reports about the investments are made on such forms as the Commission may prescribe. For this purpose, Rule 17d-2 [17 CFR 270.17d-2] prescribes Form N-17D-1.

Form N-17D-1 is used by SBICs and their affiliated banks to report any investments in a small business concern. The form provides shareholders and persons seeking to make an informed decision about investing in an SBIC an opportunity to learn about transactions of the SBIC that have a high potential for overreaching at the expense of shareholders.

Form N-17D-1 requires SBICs to report identifying information about the small business concern and the affiliated bank. SBICs must list, among other things, the outstanding investments in the small business concern, the use of the proceeds of the investments made during the reporting period, any changes in the nature and amount of the bank's investment, the name of any affiliated person of the SBIC or the affiliated bank (or any affiliated person of such person) who has any interest in the transactions, the basis of the affiliation, the nature of the interest, and the consideration received or to be received by the affiliate.

The Commission estimates that up to 5 SBICs may use the form annually. The estimated burden of filling out the form is approximately 5 hours per response and would likely be completed by an accountant or other professional. At \$114 per hour of time, completion of the form will cost approximately \$570 per filer. The total annual hour burden would be 25 hours with a total annual cost of \$2,850.

The estimate of average burden hours is made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, and is not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection or information unless it

displays a currently valid OMB control number.

General comments regarding the above information should be directed to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, and (ii) Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: September 9, 1999.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-23989 Filed 9-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Requests Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extension:

Rule 10a-1, SEC File No. 270-413, OMB Control No. 3235-0475

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for approval of an extension of a collection of information on the following rule: 17 CFR 240.10a-1.

Rule 10a-1 (17 CFR 240.10a-1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) is designed to limit short selling of a security in a declining market, by requiring, in effect, that each successive lower price be established by a long seller. The price at which short sales may be effected is established by reference to the last sale price reported in the consolidated system or on a particular marketplace. Rule 10a-1 requires each broker or dealer that effects any sell order for a security registered on or admitted to unlisted trading privileges on, a national securities exchange to mark the relevant order ticket either "long" or "short."

There are approximately 1,500 brokers and dealers registered with the national securities exchanges. The Commission has considered each of