repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking in the lower spar cap of the wing rear spar and in the lower skin at the wing front spar, just outside the nacelle, on the left-hand and right-hand side of the airplane, which could result in fuel leakage and consequent fire in or around the wing, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 13,000 total flight cycles, or within 500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, modify the airplane by coldworking the fastener holes at the front and rear wing spar (including all applicable nondestructive test and detailed visual inspections and repairs of holes) and installing modified support angles for the lower trailing edge panel of the wing, in accordance with the instructions of Saab Service Bulletin SAAB 2000–57–029, dated June 4, 1999.

(b) Where Saab Service Bulletin 2000–57–029, dated June 4, 1999, specifies that Saab be contacted for repair instructions for certain damage conditions, this AD requires that such damage conditions must be repaired in accordance with a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or the Luftfartsverket (LFV) (or its delegated agent). For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, as required by this paragraph, the Manager's approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Swedish airworthiness directive SAD 1–142, dated June 4, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 17, 1999.

D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 99–24848 Filed 9–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

PRESIDIO TRUST

36 CFR Part 1010

RIN 3212–AA02

Management of the Presidio: Environmental Quality

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: Extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: This action extends until October 5, 1999 the period for public comment on the proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 39951–39963) on July 23, 1999 (proposed 36 CFR Part 1010). This proposed rule would implement the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and replace the Presidio Trust's interim procedures and guidelines implementing NEPA, the availability of which was noticed in the **Federal Register** on September 14, 1998 (63 FR 49142).

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule must be received by October 5, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the proposed rule must be sent to Karen A. Cook, General Counsel, Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen A. Cook, General Counsel, Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. Telephone: 415–561–5300.

Dated: September 17, 1999.

Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 99–24785 Filed 9–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–4R–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD069–3031b and MD070–3031b; FRL–6440–7]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of Volatile Organic Compounds From Vinegar Generators and Leather Coating Operations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing volatile organic compound control requirements on vinegar generators and leather coating operations. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A more detailed description of the state submittal and EPA's evaluation are included in a Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking action. A copy of the TSD is available, upon request, from the EPA Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by October 25, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Kathleen Henry, Chief, Permits and Technical Assessment Branch, Mailcode 3AP11, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marilyn Powers, (215) 814–2308, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at powers.marilyn@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final action, with the same title, that is located in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 3, 1999.

W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 99–24687 Filed 9–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 179–0178; FRL–6442–7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing a limited approval and limited disapproval of rules submitted to EPA as revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of particulate matter (PM–10) emissions from fugitive dust sources in the San Joaquin Valley.

The intended effect of proposing limited approval and limited disapproval of these rules is to regulate PM–10 emissions in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). EPA's final action on this proposed rule will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. EPA has evaluated the rules and is proposing this action under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals and general rulemaking authority because these revisions, while strengthening the SIP, also do not fully meet the CAA provisions regarding plan submissions and requirements for nonattainment areas.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office AIR–4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 ''L'' Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave., Fresno, CA 93726

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen Irwin, Rulemaking Office, AIR–4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901 Telephone: (415) 744–1903.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Applicability

The rules being proposed for incorporation into the California SIP include the following San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) Regulation VIII rules: Rule 8010, Fugitive Dust Administrative Requirements for Control of Fine Particulate Matter (PM–10); Rule 8020, Fugitive Dust Requirements for Control of Fine Particulate Matter (PM–10) from Construction, Demolition, Excavation, Extraction Activities; Rule 8030, Fugitive Dust Requirements for Control of Fine Particulate Matter (PM–10) from Handling and Storage of Bulk Materials; Rule 8040, Fugitive Dust Requirements for Control of Fine Particulate Matter (PM–10) from Landfill Disposal Sites; Rule 8060, Fugitive Dust Requirements for Control of Fine Particulate Matter (PM–10) from Paved and Unpaved Roads and; Rule 8070, Fugitive Dust Requirements for Control of Fine Particulate Matter (PM–10) from Vehicle and/or Equipment Parking, Shipping, Receiving, Transfer, Fueling, and Service Areas. These rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on July 23, 1996.

II. Background

On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a list of total suspended particulate (TSP) nonattainment areas under the provisions of the 1977 Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977, that included the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin (43 FR 8964; 40 CFR 81.305). On July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24672) EPA replaced the TSP standards with new PM standards applying only to PM up to 10 microns in diameter (PM–10).[1] On November 15, 1990, amendments to the CAA were enacted. Public Law 101–549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. On the date of enactment of the 1990 CAA Amendments, PM–10 areas meeting the qualifications of section 107(d)(4)(B) of the Act, including the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin,[2] were designated nonattainment by operation of law and classified as moderate pursuant to section 188(a). Under section 189(a) of the CAA, moderate PM–10 nonattainment areas must implement by December 10, 1993 Reasonably Available Control Measures (RACM) rules for PM–10.

On February 8, 1993, EPA reclassified five moderate nonattainment areas, including the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin, to serious nonattainment pursuant to section 188(b)(58 FR 3334). Section 189(b) requires serious nonattainment areas to implement Best Available Control Measures (BACM) by February 8, 1997, four years after reclassification.[3]

In response to section 110(a) and part D of the Act, local California air pollution control districts have adopted and the State of California has submitted many PM–10 rules to EPA for incorporation into the California SIP on July 23, 1996, including the rules referenced above that are proposed for action in this document. These rules were adopted by the SJVUAPCD on April 25, 1996 and were found to be

---

[1] On July 18, 1997 EPA promulgated revised and new standards for PM–10 and PM–2.5 (62 FR 38651). The U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in *American Trucking Assoc., Inc., et al.* v. *USEPA*, No. 97–1440 (May 14, 1999) issued an opinion that, among other things, vacated the new standards for PM–10 that were published on July 18, 1997 and became effective September 16, 1997. However, the PM–10 standards promulgated on July 1, 1987 were not an issue in this litigation, and the Court's decision does not affect the applicability of those standards in this area. Codification of those standards continue to be recorded at 40 CFR 50.6. In the notice promulgating the new PM–10 standards, the EPA Administrator decided that the previous PM–10 standards that were promulgated on July 1, 1987, and provisions associated with them, would continue to apply in areas subject to the 1987 PM–10 standards until certain conditions specified in 40 CFR 50.6(d) are met. See 62 FR at 38701. EPA has not taken any action under 40 CFR 50.6(d) for this area. Today's proposed action relates only to the CAA requirements concerning the PM–10 standards as originally promulgated in 1987.

[2] San Joaquin Valley Air Basin is under the jurisdiction of the SJVUAPCD.

[3] Because the statutory RACM and BACM implementation deadlines have passed, RACM and BACM must be implemented ''as soon as possible.'' *Delaney* v. *EPA*, 898 F.2d 687, 691 (9th Cir. 1990). EPA has interpreted this requirement to be ''as soon as practicable.'' 55 FR 36458, 36505 (September 9, 1990). States are required to develop RACM and BACM that address both the annual and 24-hour PM–10 standards. *Ober* v. *EPA*, 84 F.3d 304, 308–311 (9th Cir. 1996).