AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

Federal Register

9–15–00 Friday
Vol. 65 No. 180 Sept. 15, 2000
Pages 55885–56208

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** is published daily, Monday through Friday, except official holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, under the Federal Register Act (44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 is the exclusive distributor of the official edition.

The **Federal Register** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders, Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress, and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless the issuing agency requests earlier filing. For a list of documents currently on file for public inspection, see http://www.nara.gov/fedreg.

The seal of the National Archives and Records Administration authenticates the Federal Register as the official serial publication established under the Federal Register Act. Under 44 U.S.C. 1507, the contents of the **Federal Register** shall be judicially noticed.

The **Federal Register** is published in paper and on 24x microfiche. It is also available online at no charge as one of the databases on GPO Access, a service of the U.S. Government Printing Office.

The online edition of the **Federal Register** is issued under the authority of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register as the official legal equivalent of the paper and microfiche editions (44 U.S.C. 4101 and 1 CFR 5.10). It is updated by 6 a.m. each day the **Federal Register** is published and it includes both text and graphics from Volume 59, Number 1 (January 2, 1994) forward.

GPO Access users can choose to retrieve online **Federal Register** documents as TEXT (ASCII text, graphics omitted), PDF (Adobe Portable Document Format, including full text and all graphics), or SUMMARY (abbreviated text) files. Users should carefully check retrieved material to ensure that documents were properly downloaded.

On the World Wide Web, connect to the **Federal Register** at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara. Those without World Wide Web access can also connect with a local WAIS client, by Telnet to swais.access.gpo.gov, or by dialing (202) 512-1661 with a computer and modem. When using Telnet or modem, type swais, then log in as guest with no password.

For more information about GPO Access, contact the GPO Access User Support Team by E-mail at gpoaccess@gpo.gov; by fax at (202) 512–1262; or call (202) 512–1530 or 1–888–293–6498 (toll free) between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern time, Monday–Friday, except Federal holidays.

The annual subscription price for the **Federal Register** paper edition is $638, or $697 for a combined **Federal Register,** Federal Register Index and List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA) subscription; the microfiche edition of the **Federal Register** including the Federal Register Index and LSA is $253. Six month subscriptions are available for one-half the annual rate. The charge for individual copies in paper form is $9.00 for each issue, or $9.00 for each group of pages as actually bound; or $2.00 for each issue in microfiche form. All prices include regular domestic postage and handling. International customers please add 25% for foreign handling. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or charge to your GPO Deposit Account, VISA, MasterCard or Discover. Mail to: New Orders, Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250–7954.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register.**

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 65 FR 12345.

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND COPIES

PUBLIC	
Subscriptions:	
Paper or fiche	**202–512–1800**
Assistance with public subscriptions	**512–1806**
General online information	**202–512–1530; 1–888–293–6498**
Single copies/back copies:	
Paper or fiche	**512–1800**
Assistance with public single copies	**512–1803**
FEDERAL AGENCIES	
Subscriptions:	
Paper or fiche	**523–5243**
Assistance with Federal agency subscriptions	**523–5243**

Printed on recycled paper.

Contents

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 180

Friday, September 15, 2000

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
See Historic Preservation, Advisory Council

Agricultural Marketing Service
RULES
Oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in—
Florida, 55885–55890

Agriculture Department
See Agricultural Marketing Service
See Food Safety and Inspection Service
See Forest Service
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Submission for OMB review; comment request, 55934–55936

Air Force Department
NOTICES
Meetings:
Air Force Academy Board of Visitors, 55946

Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Bureau
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 56020–56021

Army Department
See Engineers Corps
NOTICES
Senior Executive Service:
Performance Review Boards; membership, 56946–55947

Blind or Severely Disabled, Committee for Purchase From People Who Are
See Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled

Bonneville Power Administration
NOTICES
Floodplain and wetlands protection; environmental review determinations; availability, etc.:
Shelton-Kitsap Transmission Line Rebuild Project, WA, 55951–55952

Children and Families Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 55980–55981

Commerce Department
See International Trade Administration
See National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled
NOTICES
Procurement list; additions and deletions, 55938–55939

Consumer Product Safety Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 55946

Defense Department
See Air Force Department
See Army Department
See Engineers Corps
See Navy Department

Education Department
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 55948–55949
Grants and cooperative agreements; availability, etc.:
Freely-Associated States Educational Program; local educational agencies, 56187–56189

Employment Standards Administration
NOTICES
Minimum wages for Federal and federally-assisted construction; general wage determination decisions, 56004–56006

Energy Department
See Bonneville Power Administration
See Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
See Southwestern Power Administration
NOTICES
Environmental statements; notice of intent:
Oakland Operations Office; Energy Technology Engineering Center Environmental Restoration Project; public scoping meetings, 55949–55950
Grants and cooperative agreements; availability, etc.:
Medical Applications Program, 55950–55951

Engineers Corps
NOTICES
Environmental statements; notice of intent:
Elk County, PA; Dents Run acid mine drainage restoration project, 55947–55948

Environmental Protection Agency
RULES
Air quality implementation plans; approval and promulgation; various States:
California, 55910–55911
Pesticides; tolerances in food, animal feeds, and raw agricultural commodities:
Difenoconazole, 55911–55921
Myclobutanil, 55921–55923
PROPOSED RULES
Pesticide programs:
Plant-pesticides; nomenclature change to plant-incorporated protectants; notification to Agriculture Secretary, 55929
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 55955–55959
Air pollution control; new motor vehicles and engines:
Urban buses (1993 and earlier model years); retrofit/rebuild requirements; equipment certification—
Engelhard Corp., 55959–55967
Johnson Matthey, 55967–55973
Confidential business information and data transfer, 55973–55974

Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Agency statements—
Comment availability, 55974–55975
Weekly receipts, 55974
Patent licenses; non-exclusive, exclusive, or partially exclusive:
Horiba Instruments, Inc., 55975

Federal Aviation Administration
RULES
Air carrier certification and operations:
Service difficulty reports, 56191–56207
Airworthiness directives:
Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Spolka zo.o., 55891–55893

Federal Communications Commission
RULES
Common carrier services:
Commercial mobile radio services—
Interstate interexchange marketplace; policy and rules, 55923–55924
Radio stations; table of assignments:
Alabama and Florida, 55925
Colorado, 55925
Texas, 55924–55925
Various States, 55926
Vermont, 55924
PROPOSED RULES
Radio services, special:
Private land mobile services—
Public Safety Pool and highway maintenance frequencies, eligibility criteria; and dockside channels, power limits; 1998 biennial regulatory review, 55931–55933
Radio stations; table of assignments:
New Mexico, 55930
Various States, 55930–55931
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 55975–55976
Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, 55976–55979
Rulemaking proceedings; petitions filed, granted, denied, etc., 55979–55980

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Electric rate and corporate regulation filings:
Columbia Gulf Transmission Co., 55952–55953
Young Gas Storage Co., Ltd., 55953
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Kern River Gas Transmission Co.; correction, 56026
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., 55952
Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc., 55952

Federal Housing Finance Board
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 55980

Federal Maritime Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 55980

Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 56010–56011

Federal Procurement Policy Office
NOTICES
Cost Accounting Standards Board:
Government contracts; cost accounting of employee stock ownership plans, 56008–56010

Federal Reserve System
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 55980

Fish and Wildlife Service
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 55994–56001

Food and Drug Administration
RULES
Animal drugs, feeds, and related products:
Narasin and bacitracin zinc, 55893–55894
NOTICES
Committees; establishment, renewal, termination, etc.:
Biologics Research and Evaluation Center and Drug Evaluation and Research Center—
Nonvoting members of industry interests on public advisory committees, 55981
Meetings:
Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee, 55981
Medical devices; Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) waiver criteria; public workshop, 55981–55982

Food Safety and Inspection Service
NOTICES
Committees; establishment, renewal, termination, etc.:
Microbiological Criteria for Foods National Advisory Committee, 55936

Forest Service
NOTICES
Environmental statements; notice of intent:
Willamette National Forest, OR, 55936–55938

Health and Human Services Department
See Children and Families Administration
See Food and Drug Administration
See Health Care Financing Administration
See Health Resources and Services Administration

Health Care Financing Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Submission for OMB review; comment request, 55982

Health Resources and Services Administration
NOTICES
Medical professional shortage area designations; primary medical care, mental health, and dental health; lists, 56027–56186
Meetings:
Infant Mortality Advisory Committee, 55982–55983

Historic Preservation, Advisory Council
PROPOSED RULES
Protection of historic and cultural properties
Proposed suspension of rule and adoption as guidelines, 55928–55929

Housing and Urban Development Department
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 55983–55986
Grants and cooperative agreements; availability, etc.:
Facilities to assist homeless—
Excess and surplus Federal property, 55986–55994

Interior Department
See Fish and Wildlife Service
See Land Management Bureau
See National Park Service

Internal Revenue Service
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 56021–56023

International Trade Administration
NOTICES
Antidumping:
Electrolytic manganese dioxide from—
Japan, 55939–55940
Freshwater crawfish tail meat from—
China, 55940–55941
Natural bristle brushes and brush heads from—
China, 55941–55942
Stainless steel flanges from—
India, 55942–55944
Structural steel beams from—
Korea, 55944–55945

International Trade Commission
NOTICES
Import investigations:
Cuba; economic impact of U.S. sanctions, 56004

Labor Department
See Employment Standards Administration
See Mine Safety and Health Administration
See Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Land Management Bureau
NOTICES
Closure of public lands:
Fire restrictions—
Montana, 56002
Realty actions; sales, leases, etc.:
Oregon, 56002–56003

Management and Budget Office
See Federal Procurement Policy Office

Mine Safety and Health Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 56006–56007

Mine Safety and Health Federal Review Commission
See Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Submission for OMB review; comment request, 56011–56012

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
RULES
Fishery conservation and management:
Northeastern United States fisheries—
Atlantic mackerel, squid, and butterfish, 55926–55927
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Submission for OMB review; comment request, 55945–55946

National Park Service
NOTICES
Meetings:
Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve Advisory Committee, 56003
Reports and guidance documents; availability, etc.:
Director's Order 55; National Park Service Organic Act; interpretation, 56003–56004

National Women's Business Council
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 56012

Navy Department
NOTICES
Inventions, Government-owned; availability for licensing, 55948

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Meetings:
Technical requirements; risk-informed revisions; workshop, 56012–56013

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, 56007–56008

Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
RULES
Single-employer plans:
Allocation of assets—
Interest assumptions for valuing and paying benefits, 55894–55896
NOTICES
Multiemployer plans:
Interest rates and assumptions, 56013

Presidio Trust
RULES
Management of Presidio; general provisions, etc.:
Environmental quality, 55896–55910

Public Debt Bureau
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 56023–56025

Public Health Service
See Food and Drug Administration
See Health Resources and Services Administration

Securities and Exchange Commission
NOTICES
Investment Company Act of 1940:
Exemption applications—
Nations Fund, Inc., et al.; correction, 56026

Self-regulatory organizations; proposed rule changes:
Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc.; correction, 56026
National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; correction, 56026
Options Clearing Corp., 56014–56016
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Public utility holding company filings, 56013–56014

Small Business Administration
NOTICES
Disaster loan areas:
Alabama, 56016–56017
Idaho, 56017
Minnesota, 56017
Montana, 56017–56018
New Jersey, 56018
Meetings:
National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board, 56018

Social Security Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities:
Proposed collection; comment request, 56018

Southwestern Power Administration
NOTICES
Power rates:
Sam Rayburn Dam Project, 55953–55955

Surface Transportation Board
NOTICES
Railroad operation, acquisition, construction, etc.:
Kanawha Rail Corp., 56018
Railroad services abandonment:
Kyle Railroad Co. et al., 56019
Union Pacific Railroad Co., 56019–56020

Transportation Department
See Federal Aviation Administration
See Surface Transportation Board

Treasury Department
See Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Bureau
See Internal Revenue Service
See Public Debt Bureau
NOTICES
Notes, Treasury:
Government securities; large position reports, call for; 5 3/4 percent notes (August 2010), 56020

Separate Parts In This Issue

Part II
Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, 56027–56186

Part III
Department of Education, 56187–56189

Part IV
Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 56191–56207

Reader Aids
Consult the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue for phone numbers, online resources, finding aids, reminders, and notice of recently enacted public laws.

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

7 CFR
905 55885

14 CFR
39 55891
121 56192
125 56192
135 56192
145 56192

21 CFR
558 55883

29 CFR
4022 55894
4044 55894

36 CFR
1010 55896

Proposed Rules:
800 55928

40 CFR
52 55910
180 (2 documents) 55911, 55921

Proposed Rules:
152 55929
174 55929

47 CFR
Ch. I 55923
73 (5 documents) 55924, 55925, 55926

Proposed Rules:
73 (2 documents) 55930
90 55931

50 CFR
648 55926

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register
Vol. 65, No. 180
Friday, September 15, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 905

[Docket No. FV00–905–4 IFR]

Oranges, Grapefruit, Tangerines, and Tangelos Grown in Florida; Limiting the Volume of Small Red Seedless Grapefruit

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Interim final rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: This rule limits the volume of small red seedless grapefruit entering the fresh market under the marketing order covering oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida. The marketing order is administered locally by the Citrus Administrative Committee (Committee). This rule limits the volume of sizes 48 (at least 3 9/16 inches in diameter) and 56 (at least 3 5/16 inches in diameter) red seedless grapefruit handlers can ship during the first 11 weeks of the 2000–2001 season beginning September 18, 2000.

This limitation provides a sufficient supply of small-sized red seedless grapefruit to meet market demand, without saturating all markets with these small sizes. This rule should help stabilize the grapefruit market and improve grower returns.

DATES: Effective September 18, 2000; comments received by September 25, 2000, will be considered prior to issuance of a final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this rule. Comments must be sent to the Docket Clerk, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525–S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456; Fax: (202) 720–5698, or E-mail: moab.docketclerk@usda.gov. All comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection in the Office of the Docket Clerk during regular business hours, or can be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William G. Pimental, Southeast Marketing Field Office, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 2276, Winter Haven, Florida 33883–2276; telephone: (863) 299–4770, Fax: (863) 299–5169; or George Kelhart, Technical Advisor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525–S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456; telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–5698.

Small businesses may request information on complying with this regulation by contacting Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525–S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456; telephone (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–5698, or E-mail: Jay.Guerber@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule is issued under Marketing Agreement No. 84 and Marketing Order No. 905, both as amended (7 CFR part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida, hereinafter referred to as the ''order.'' The marketing agreement and order are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the ''Act.''

The Department of Agriculture (Department) is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and request a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided an action is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

The order provides for the establishment of grade and size requirements for Florida citrus, with the concurrence of the Secretary. These grade and size requirements are designed to provide fresh markets with citrus fruit of acceptable quality and size. This helps create buyer confidence and contributes to stable marketing conditions. This is in the interest of growers, handlers, and consumers, and is designed to increase returns to Florida citrus growers. The current minimum grade standard for red seedless grapefruit is U.S. No. 1, and the minimum size requirement is size 56 (at least 3 5/16 inches in diameter).

This rule invites comments on limiting the volume of small red seedless grapefruit entering the fresh market. This rule establishes limits on the volume of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit handlers can ship during the first 11 weeks of the 2000–2001 season beginning September 18. This rule supplies enough small-sized red seedless grapefruit to meet market demand, without saturating all markets with these small sizes. This rule will help stabilize the grapefruit market and improve grower returns.

Section 905.52 of the order provides authority to limit shipments of any grade or size, or both, of any variety of Florida citrus. Such limitations may restrict the shipment of a portion of a specified grade or size of a variety. Under such a limitation, the quantity of such grade or size that may be shipped by a handler during a particular week is established as a percentage of the total shipments of such variety by such handler in a prior period, established by

the Committee and approved by the Secretary, in which the handler shipped such variety.

Section 905.153 of the regulations provides procedures for limiting the volume of small red seedless grapefruit entering the fresh market. The procedures specify that the Committee may recommend that only a certain percentage of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit be made available for shipment into fresh market channels for any week or weeks during the regulatory period. The regulation period is 11 weeks long and begins the third Monday in September. Under such a limitation, the quantity of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit that may be shipped by a handler during a regulated week is calculated using the recommended percentage. By taking the recommended weekly percentage times the average weekly volume of red grapefruit handled by such handler in the previous five seasons, handlers can calculate the total volume of sizes 48 and 56 they may ship in a regulated week.

This rule limits the volume of small red seedless grapefruit that can enter the fresh market for each week of the 11-week period beginning September 18, 2000. This rule establishes the weekly percentage for the first three weeks (September 18 through October 8) at 45 percent; for the fourth through seventh weeks (October 9 through November 5) at 40 percent; and for the last four weeks (November 6 through December 3) at 35 percent. This is a change from the percentages originally recommended by the Committee.

On May 26, 2000, the Committee unanimously voted to establish a weekly percentage of 25 percent for each of the 11 weeks. The Committee's initial recommendation was issued as a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on July 11, 2000 (65 FR 42642). No comments were received during the comment period, which expired August 10, 2000. The Committee subsequently met on August 31, 2000, and unanimously recommended adjusting the proposed percentages.

As in the previous three seasons, the Committee initially recommended that the weekly percentage of size regulation be set at 25 percent for each week during the regulatory period. The Committee thought it was best to recommend regulation at the most restrictive level, 25 percent for each of the 11 weeks in the regulated period, and then relax the percentages as warranted by information available closer to the start of the season.

The Committee recognizes the need for and the benefits of the weekly percentage regulation. Members believe that the problems associated with an uncontrolled volume of small sizes entering the market early in the season will recur without such action. However, the Committee believes based on information now available that the initial recommendation was too restrictive, and recommended raising the established base percentages from 25 percent for each of the regulation weeks.

The Committee met on August 31, 2000, and revisited the weekly percentage issue and reviewed information it had acquired since its May meeting. In its discussion, the Committee reviewed the initial percentages recommended and the current state of the crop. The Committee also reexamined shipping information from past seasons, looking particularly at volume across the 11 weeks. The Committee noted that more information helpful in determining the appropriate weekly percentages is available closer to the start of the harvesting season. At the time of the May meeting, grapefruit had not yet begun to size, giving little indication as to the distribution of sizes. Only the most preliminary of crop estimates was available, with the official estimate not to be issued until October.

The 2000–2001 season crop seems to be sizing well. Current indications are that early-season conditions for this year are similar to those of last season. Due to the anticipated similarities, the Committee considered the percentages established last year as a basis for discussing this year's percentages. Committee members thought that last season's percentages had worked well, providing some restriction while affording volume for those markets that prefer the smaller sizes. In making its recommendation, the Committee considered that there had been a reduction in the overall available weekly industry base due to industry consolidation, a reduction in shipments, and packinghouse closings.

The available weekly industry base is the sum of each individual handler's weekly base. A handler's base is calculated by taking that handlers total red seedless grapefruit shipments during the 33 week season for each of the past five seasons, adding them together and dividing by five to calculate an average season. This number is then divided by 33 to derive the average week. This average week is the base for each handler for each of the 11 weeks of the regulatory period. The overall available industry base per week was 937,257 cartons last season. For the 2000–2001 season, the base calculates to 875,688 cartons.

To recognize this reduction in available base, the Committee recommended establishing the weekly percentages at levels slightly higher than those established for last season. The Committee agreed that the percentage established for the first two weeks of last season of 45 percent was still appropriate, and recommended that the percentages for the first two weeks of the 2000/2001 season be established at 45 percent. The Committee recommended that the third week should also be established at 45 percent, a five percent increase from last season's third week percentage. For the next four weeks the Committee recommended that the weekly percentage be established at 40 percent, an increase from 37 percent for last season. For the last four weeks of regulation, the Committee recommended that the percentage be established at 35 percent, an increase from last season's 32 percent for the final four weeks.

The ongoing problems affecting the European and Asian markets are also a factor. In past seasons, these markets have shown a strong demand for the smaller-sized red seedless grapefruit. The reduction in shipments to these areas experienced during the last few years is expected to continue during the upcoming season. This reduction in demand could result in a greater amount of small sizes for remaining markets to absorb. These factors increase the need for restrictions to prevent the volume of small sizes from overwhelming all markets.

Therefore, based on available information and the experiences from past seasons, the Committee recommended changing the initial weekly percentages from their most restrictive level. The Committee could meet again during the regulation period, as needed, when additional information is available, and determine whether the set percentage levels are appropriate. Any changes to the weekly percentages established by this rule would require additional rulemaking and the approval of the Secretary.

During the three seasons prior to implementation of weekly percentage regulations (1994–95, 1995–96, and 1996–97), returns for red seedless grapefruit had been declining, often not returning the cost of production. On-tree prices for red seedless grapefruit had fallen steadily from $9.60 per carton (4/5 bushel) during the 1989–90 season, to $3.45 per carton during the 1994–95 season, to $1.41 per carton during the 1996–97 season.

The Committee determined that one problem contributing to the market's condition was the excessive number of small-sized grapefruit shipped early in the marketing season. In the 1994–95,

1995–96, and 1996–97 seasons, sizes 48 and 56 accounted for 34 percent of total shipments during the 11-week regulatory period, with the average weekly percentage exceeding 40 percent of shipments. This contrasted with sizes 48 and 56 representing only 26 percent of total shipments for the remainder of the season.

While there is a market for early grapefruit, shipping large quantities of small red seedless grapefruit in a short period oversupplies the fresh market for these sizes and negatively impacts the market for all sizes. For the majority of the season, larger sizes return higher prices than smaller sizes. However, there is a push early to get fruit into the market to take advantage of high prices available at the beginning of the season. The early season crop tends to have a greater percentage of small sizes. This creates a glut of smaller, lower-priced fruit on the market, driving down the price for all sizes.

At the start of the season, larger-sized fruit command a premium price. In some cases, the f.o.b. price is $4 to $10 more a carton than for the smaller sizes. In October, the f.o.b. price for a size 27 averages around $14.00 per carton. This compares to an average f.o.b. price of $6.00 per carton for size 56. In the three years before the issuance of a percentage size regulation, by the end of the 11-week period covered in this rule, the f.o.b. price for large sizes dropped to within $1 or $2 of the f.o.b. price for small sizes.

In the three seasons prior to 1997–98, prices of red seedless grapefruit fell from a weighted average f.o.b. price of $7.80 per carton to an average f.o.b. price of $5.50 per carton during the period covered by this rule. Later in the season the crop sized to naturally limit the amount of smaller sizes available for shipment. However, the price structure in the market had already been negatively affected. The market never recovered, and the f.o.b. price for all sizes fell to around $5.00 to $6.00 per carton for most of the rest of the season.

An economic study done by the University of Florida—Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (UF–IFAS) in May 1997, found that on-tree prices had fallen from a high near $7.00 per carton in 1991–92 to around $1.50 per carton for the 1996–97 season. The study projected that if the industry elected to make no changes, the on-tree price would remain around $1.50 per carton. The study also indicated that increasing minimum size restrictions could help raise returns.

The Committee believes that the over shipment of smaller sized red seedless grapefruit early in the season contributes to poor returns for growers and lower on-tree values. To address this issue, the Committee voted to utilize the provisions of § 905.153, and established a weekly percentage of size regulation during the first 11 weeks of the 1997–98, 1998–99, and 1999–2000 seasons. The initial recommendation from the Committee was to set the weekly percentages at 25 percent for each of the 11 weeks. Then, as more information on the crop became available, and as the season progressed, the Committee met again and adjusted its recommendations for the weekly percentages as needed. Actual weekly percentages established during the 11-week period during the 1999–2000 season were 45 percent for the first two weeks, 40 percent for the third week, 37 percent for the fourth through the seventh week, and 32 percent for the last four weeks. The Committee considered information from past seasons, crop estimates, fruit size, and other available information in making its recommendations.

The Committee has used the percentage size regulation to the betterment of the industry. Prices have increased, and movement has been stable. In each of the three seasons following the 1996–97 season, the Committee has recommended utilizing the percentage size rule. During the 11-week period of regulation, the average market price has been higher than for the three years prior to regulation. In late October, the average market price for red seedless grapefruit was $9.31 for the last three years regulation compared to $7.22 for the same period for the three years prior to regulation. Market prices also remained at a higher level following the regulation period, with an average price of $7.31 in mid-December compared to $6.02 for the three years prior to regulation. The average season price was also higher, with the past three seasons averaging $7.13 compared to $5.83 for the three prior years.

The on-tree earnings per box have also been increasing for the past three years, providing better returns to growers. The on-tree price increased from $3.42 for 1997–98, to $5.04 for 1998–99, to an estimated $6.46 for the 1999–2000 season.

Another benefit of percentage size regulation has been in maintaining higher prices for the larger-sized fruit. Larger fruit commands a premium price early in the season. The f.o.b. price for a larger size can be $4 to $10 more per carton than for smaller sizes. However, the glut of smaller, lower-priced fruit on the early market was driving down the prices for all sizes. In the three years prior to the implementation of the percentage size rule, by the end of the 11-week period covered, the f.o.b. price for the large sizes would drop to within $2 of the f.o.b. price for the smaller sizes. This was not acceptable to the industry.

During the past three years of regulation under the percentage size rule, the average differential between the carton price for a size 27 and the price for a size 56 was $5.65 at the end of October and remained at $3.43 in mid-December. During the three years prior to regulation, the average differential between these two sizes was $3.47 at the end of October, but by mid-December the price for the larger size had dropped to within $1.68 of the price for the smaller-size fruit. In fact, the average prices for each size were higher during the three years with regulation than for the three years prior to regulation. The average prices for size 27, size 32, size 36, and size 40 during the 11-week period for the last three years were $9.07, $7.91, $7.16, and $6.62, respectively. This compares to the average prices for the same sizes during the same period for the three years prior to regulation of $6.48, $5.63, $5.59, and $5.34, respectively.

The percentage size regulation has also been helpful in stabilizing the volume of small sizes entering the fresh market early in the season. During the three years prior to regulation, small sizes accounted for over 34 percent of the total shipments of red seedless grapefruit during the 11-week period covered in the rule. This compares to 31 percent for the same period for the last three years of regulation. There has also been a 43 percent reduction in the volume of small sizes entering the fresh market during the 11-week regulatory period from 1995–96 to 1999–2000.

An economic study done by Florida Citrus Mutual (Lakeland, Florida) in April 1998 found that the weekly percentage regulation had been effective. The study stated that part of the strength in early season pricing appeared to be due to the use of the weekly percentage rule to limit the volume of sizes 48 and 56. It said that prices were generally higher across the size spectrum with sizes 48 and 56 having the largest gains, and larger-sized grapefruit registering modest improvements. The rule shifted the size distribution toward the higher-priced, larger-sized grapefruit, which helped raise weekly average f.o.b. prices. It further stated that size 48 and 56 grapefruit accounted for around 27 percent of domestic shipments during the same 11 weeks during the 1996–97 season. Comparatively, sizes 48 and 56 accounted for only 17 percent of

domestic shipments during the same period in 1997–98, as small sizes were used to supply export customers with preferences for small-sized grapefruit.

During deliberations in past seasons as to weekly percentages, the Committee considered how past shipments had affected the market. Based on available statistical information, the Committee members believed that once shipments of sizes 48 and 56 reach levels above 250,000 cartons a week, prices declined on those and most other sizes of red seedless grapefruit. The Committee believed that if shipments of small sizes could be maintained at around 250,000 cartons a week, prices should stabilize and demand for larger, more profitable sizes should increase.

While the Committee did eventually vote last season to increase the weekly percentages, shipments of sizes 48 and 56 during the 11 weeks regulated remained close to the 250,000-carton mark. This may have contributed to the success of the regulation.

In setting the weekly percentage for each week at 25 percent for the 2000–2001 season, the total available allotment would have approximated 218,922 (25 percent of the total industry base of 875,688 cartons). Consequently, there is room to increase the percentages while holding weekly shipments of sizes 48 and 56 close to the 250,000-carton mark, as was done last season.

In making its recommendation, the Committee reviewed experiences from the past seasons. The Committee examined shipment data covering the 11-week regulatory period for the last three regulated seasons and the three prior seasons. The information contained the amounts and percentages of sizes 48 and 56 shipped during each week. The Committee believes establishing weekly percentages during the last three seasons was successful. The past regulations helped maintain prices at a higher level than the previous years without regulation, and sizes 48 and 56 by count and as a percentage of total shipments were reduced. The Committee considered the past problems and the success of the percentage rule and decided to recommend using the percentage of size provisions for the coming season beginning September 18, 2000.

Therefore, this rule establishes the weekly percentages for these small sizes at 45 percent for the first three weeks (September 18 through October 8); for the fourth through seventh weeks (October 9 through November 5) at 40 percent; and for the last four weeks (November 6 through December 3) at 35 percent.

Under § 905.153, the quantity of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit that may be shipped by a handler during a regulated week will be calculated using the recommended percentages 45, 40, or 35 percent, depending on the week. By taking the weekly percentage times the average weekly volume of red grapefruit handled by such handler in the previous five seasons, handlers can calculate the total volume of sizes 48 and 56 they may ship in a regulated week.

The Committee calculates an average week for each handler using the following formula. The total red seedless grapefruit shipments by a handler during the 33 week period beginning the third Monday in September and ending the first Sunday in May during the previous five seasons are added and divided by five to establish an average season. This average season is then divided by the 33 weeks to derive the average week. This average week is the base for each handler for each of the 11 weeks of the regulatory period. The weekly percentage, in this case either 45, 40, or 35 percent, is multiplied by a handler's average week. The product is that handler's total allotment of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit for the given week.

Under this rule handlers can fill their allotment with size 56, size 48, or a combination of the two sizes such that the total of these shipments are within the established limits. The Committee staff will perform the specified calculations and provide them to each handler.

The average week for handlers with less than five previous seasons of shipments is calculated by averaging the total shipments for the seasons they did ship red seedless grapefruit during the immediately preceding five years and dividing that average by 33. New handlers with no record of shipments have no prior period on which to base their average week. Therefore, a new handler can ship small sizes equal to 45, 40, or 35 percent, depending on the week, of their total volume of shipments during their first shipping week (depending on when they begin shipping). Once a new handler has established shipments, their average week is calculated as an average of the weeks they have shipped during the current season.

The regulatory period begins the third Monday in September, September 18, 2000. Each regulation week would begin Monday at 12 a.m. and end at 11:59 p.m. the following Sunday, since most handlers keep records based on Monday being the beginning of the workweek.

The rules and regulations governing percentage size regulation contain a variety of provisions designed to provide handlers with some marketing flexibility. When the Secretary establishes regulation for a given week, the Committee calculates the quantity of small red seedless grapefruit that may be handled by each handler. Section 905.153(d) provides allowances for overshipments, loans, and transfers of allotment. These tolerances allow handlers the opportunity to supply their markets while limiting the impact of small sizes.

During any week for which the Secretary has fixed the percentage of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit, any handler could handle an amount of sizes 48 or 56 red seedless grapefruit not to exceed 110 percent of their allotment for that week. The quantity of overshipments (the amount shipped in excess of a handler's weekly allotment) will be deducted from the handler's allotment for the following week. Overshipments are not allowed during week 11 because there are no allotments the following week from which to deduct the overshipments.

If handlers fail to use their entire allotments in a given week, the amounts undershipped are not carried forward to the following week. However, a handler to whom an allotment has been issued can lend or transfer all or part of such allotment (excluding the overshipment allowance) to another handler. In the event of a loan, each party, prior to the completion of the loan agreement, notifies the Committee of the proposed loan and date of repayment. If a transfer of allotment is desired, each party will promptly notify the Committee so that proper adjustments of the records can be made. In each case, the Committee confirms in writing all such transactions prior to the following week.

The Committee can also act on behalf of handlers wanting to arrange allotment loans or participate in the transfer of allotment. Repayment of an allotment loan is at the discretion of the handlers party to the loan. The Committee will notify each handler prior to that particular week of the quantity of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit such handler can handle during a particular week, making the necessary adjustments for overshipments and loan repayments.

This rule does not affect the provision that handlers may ship up to 15 standard packed cartons (12 bushels) of fruit per day exempt from regulatory requirements. Fruit shipped in gift packages that are individually addressed and not for resale, and fruit shipped for animal feed are also exempt from handling requirements under

specific conditions. Also, fruit shipped to commercial processors for conversion into canned or frozen products or into a beverage base are not subject to the handling requirements under the order.

The introductory text of § 905.350 is proposed to be modified to reflect the Committee recommendation to establish the minimum size for red seedless grapefruit at size 56 on a continuous basis. A proposed rule to implement this recommendation will be published in a separate issue of the **Federal Register**.

Section 8e of the Act requires that whenever grade, size, quality, or maturity requirements are in effect for certain commodities under a domestic marketing order, including grapefruit, imports of that commodity must meet the same or comparable requirements. This rule does not change the minimum grade and size requirements under the order, only the percentages of sizes 48 and 56 red grapefruit that may be handled. Therefore, no change is necessary in the grapefruit import regulations as a result of this action.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), AMS has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this final regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

There are approximately 75 grapefruit handlers subject to regulation under the order and approximately 11,000 growers of citrus in the regulated area. Small agricultural service firms, which includes handlers, have been defined by the Small Business Administration (SBA) as those having annual receipts of less than $5,000,000, and small agricultural producers are defined as those having annual receipts of less than $500,000 (13 CFR 121.201).

Based on industry and Committee data, the average annual f.o.b. price for fresh Florida red grapefruit during the 1999–2000 season was around $7.52 per 4/5 bushel carton, and total fresh shipments for the 1999–2000 season are estimated at 25.6 million cartons of red grapefruit. Approximately 25 percent of all handlers handled 70 percent of Florida grapefruit shipments. In addition, many of these handlers ship other citrus fruit and products which are not included in Committee data but would contribute further to handler receipts. Using the average f.o.b. price, about 69 percent of grapefruit handlers could be considered small businesses under SBA's definition. Therefore, the majority of Florida grapefruit handlers may be classified as small entities. The majority of Florida grapefruit producers also may be classified as small entities.

This rule limits the volume of small red seedless grapefruit entering the fresh market during the first 11 weeks of the 2000–01 season, beginning September 18, 2000. The over shipment of smaller-sized red seedless grapefruit early in the season has contributed to below production cost returns for growers and lower on tree values. This rule limits the volume of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit by setting the weekly percentage for the 11 weeks at 45 percent for the first three weeks (September 18 through October 8); for the fourth through seventh weeks (October 9 through November 5) at 40 percent; and for the last four weeks (November 6 through December 3) at 35 percent. This is a change from the Committee's original recommendation of a 25 percent weekly percentage for the 11 weeks. The quantity of sizes 48 and 56 red seedless grapefruit that may be shipped by a handler during a particular week is calculated using the recommended percentage. This rule utilizes the provisions of § 905.153. Authority for this action is provided in § 905.52 of the order.

While this rule may necessitate spot picking, which could entail slightly higher harvesting costs, many in the industry are already using the practice. In addition, because this regulation is only in effect for part of the season, the overall effect on costs is minimal. This rule is not expected to appreciably increase costs to producers. Over the past three seasons, producers have adjusted their harvesting operations to more efficiently conform with the percentage size regulation and to keep their harvesting costs as low as possible.

If a 25 percent restriction on small sizes had been applied during the 11-week period for the three seasons prior to the 1997–98 season, an average of 4.2 percent of overall shipments during that period would have been constrained by regulation. A large percentage of this volume most likely could have been replaced by larger sizes for which there are no volume restrictions. Under regulation, larger sizes have been substituted for smaller sizes with a nominal effect on overall shipments. Also, handlers can transfer, borrow, or loan allotment based on their needs in a given week. Handlers also have the option of over shipping their allotment by 10 percent in a week, provided the overshipment is deducted from the following week's shipments. Approximately 120 loans and transfers were utilized last season. Statistics for 1999–2000 show that in none of the regulated weeks was the total available allotment used. Therefore, the overall impact of this regulation on total shipments should be minimal.

Handlers and producers have received higher returns under percentage size regulation. In late October, during the last three years with regulation, the average market price for red seedless grapefruit was $9.31 compared to $7.22 for the same time during the three years prior to regulation. Prices have also remained higher, with an average price of $7.31 in mid-December during regulation compared to $6.02 for the three years prior to regulation. The average season price was also higher, with the past three seasons with regulation averaging $7.13 compared to $5.83 for the three prior seasons.

The on-tree earnings per box have also increased for the past three years, providing better returns to growers. The on-tree price increased from $3.42 for 1997–98, to $5.04 for 1998–99, to an estimated $6.46 for the 1999–2000 season. These increased returns when coupled with the overall volume of red seedless grapefruit more than offset any additional costs associated with this regulation.

The purpose of this rule is to help stabilize the market and improve grower returns by limiting the volume of small sizes marketed early in the season. This rule provides a supply of small-sized red seedless grapefruit sufficient to meet market demand, without saturating all markets with these small sizes. The opportunities and benefits of this rule are expected to be available to all red seedless grapefruit handlers and growers regardless of their size of operation.

The Committee considered one alternative to taking this action. The alternative was leaving the weekly percentages at 25 percent. However, the Committee believed that the 25 percent level was too restrictive. Therefore, this option was rejected.

Handlers utilizing the flexibility of the loan and transfer aspects of this action are required to submit a form to the Committee. The rule increases the reporting burden on approximately 75 handlers of red seedless grapefruit who will be taking about 0.03 hour to complete each report regarding allotment loans or transfers. The information collection requirements

contained in this section have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) and assigned OMB number 0581–0094. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sectors.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap or conflict with this interim final rule. However, red seedless grapefruit must meet the requirements as specified in the U.S. Standards for Grades of Florida Grapefruit (7 CFR 51.760 through 51.784) issued under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 through 1627).

The Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the citrus industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the May 26, 2000 and the August 31, 2000, meetings were public meetings and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

A proposed rule concerning this action was published in the **Federal Register** on July 11, 2000 (65 FR 42642). Copies of the rule were mailed or sent via facsimile to all Committee members and grapefruit growers and handlers. The Office of the Federal Register, the Department, and the Committee also made this rule available through the Internet.

A 30-day comment period was provided to allow interested persons to respond to the proposal. The comment period ended August 10, 2000. No comments were received.

As previously stated, subsequent to the issuance of the proposed rule, the Committee met and recommended modifying its original recommendation. The Committee recommended that the weekly percentages be changed from 25 percent for each of the 11 regulated weeks to 45 percent for the first three weeks (September 18 through October 8); 40 percent for the next four weeks (October 9 through November 5); and 35 percent for the last four weeks (November 6 through December 3). Because of this recommendation, the Department has determined that interested parties should be provided the opportunity to comment on the changes to the original recommendation. However, the Department has further determined that extending the comment period with no percentages in effect limiting the shipment of small red seedless grapefruit when the period of regulation begins would be detrimental to the industry. Therefore, the Department is instituting the regulations on small red seedless grapefruit through this interim final rule that allows 10 additional days to comment.

Ten days is deemed appropriate because the regulation period begins September 18, 2000, and continues for 11 weeks. Adequate time will be necessary so that any changes made to the regulations based on comments filed could be made effective during the 11 week period. All comments received will be considered before a final determination is made on this matter.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendations submitted by the Committee, and other information, it is found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect and good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. This rule needs to be in place when the regulatory period begins September 18, 2000, and handlers begin shipping grapefruit. This issue has been widely discussed at various industry and association meetings, and the Committee has kept the industry well informed. Interested persons have had time to determine and express their positions. In addition, these small red grapefruit are already being harvested and handlers need to know the amount they will be allowed to ship. Further, handlers are aware of this rule, which was recommended at public meetings. Also a 30-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule and a 10-day comment period is provided in this rule.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 905

Grapefruit, Marketing agreements, Oranges, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Tangelos, Tangerines.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 905 is amended as follows:

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 905 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

2. Section 905.350 is revised to read as follows:

§ 905.350 Red seedless grapefruit regulation.

This section establishes the weekly percentages to be used to calculate each handler's weekly allotment of small sizes. Handlers can fill their allotment with size 56, size 48, or a combination of the two sizes such that the total of these shipments are within the established weekly limits. The weekly percentages for size 48 ($3\frac{9}{16}$ inches minimum diameter) and size 56 ($3\frac{5}{16}$ inches minimum diameter) red seedless grapefruit grown in Florida, which may be handled during the specified weeks are as follows:

Week	Weekly percentage
(a) 9/18/00 through 9/24/00	45
(b) 9/25/00 through 10/1/00	45
(c) 10/2/00 through 10/8/00	45
(d) 10/9/00 through 10/15/00	40
(e) 10/16/00 through 10/22/00 ..	40
(f) 10/23/00 through 10/29/00 ...	40
(g) 10/30/00 through 11/5/00	40
(h) 11/6/00 through 11/12/00	35
(i) 11/13/00 through 11/19/00 ...	35
(j) 11/20/00 through 11/26/00 ...	35
(k) 11/27/00 through 12/3/00	35

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 00–23820 Filed 9–12–00; 3:43 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99–CE–84–AD; Amendment 39–11897; AD 2000–18–12]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Spolka zo.o. Models PZL M18, PZL M18A, and PZL M18B Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Spolka zo.o. (PZL-Mielac) Models PZL M18, PZL M18A, and PZL M18B airplanes. This AD requires you to repetitively inspect the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints for cracks in the lugs, corrosion in the main holes, and ovalization of the main holes; repair corrosion and apply anti-corrosion protection; replace the wing attach joints, as necessary; and eliminate any ovalization of the wing main joint holes. This AD is the result two instances of in-flight wing separation on Model PZL M18A airplanes where severe corrosion and pitting led to high stress concentrations on the wing attachment joints. The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct cracks in the lugs, corrosion in the main holes, and ovalization of the main holes, in the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints. Such damage could result in failure of the joints with consequent in-flight wing separation.

DATES: This amendment becomes effective on September 27, 2000.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations as of September 27, 2000.

The FAA must receive any comments on this rule on or before October 17, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–CE–84–AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

You may get the service information referenced in this AD from Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Spolka zo.o., Wojska Polskiego 3, 39–300 Mielec, Poland; telephone: 48 17 788 7818; e-mail: *pzlservice@ptc.pl.* You may examine this information at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–CE–84–AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brain Hancock, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4143; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

What events have caused this AD? The FAA has received reports of two instances of in-flight wing separation on Model PZL M18A airplanes.

Investigation of the occurrences reveals that severe corrosion and pitting led to high stress concentrations on the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints. This resulted in cracks that ran radially from the bore of the fitting. The cracking consequently caused the in-flight separation of the wing on the two airplanes.

The Models PZL M18, PZL M18A, and PZL M18B incorporate a similar type design.

What are the consequences if the condition is not corrected? Cracked or corroded centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints could result in failure of the joints with consequent in-flight wing separation.

Relevant Service Information

Is there service information that applies to this subject? Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Co. Ltd. has issued Service Bulletin No. E/02.170/2000, dated August 3, 2000.

What are the provisions of this service bulletin? This service bulletin includes procedures for:

—Inspecting the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints for cracks in the lugs, corrosion in the main holes, and ovalization of the main holes;
—Repairing corrosion and applying anti-corrosion protection;
—Replacing the wing attach joints; and
—Eliminating ovalization of the wing main joint holes.

The FAA's Determination and an Explanation of the Provisions of the AD

What has FAA decided? After examining the circumstances and reviewing all available information related to the incidents described above, FAA has determined that:

—An unsafe condition exists or could develop on PZL-Mielac Models PZL M18, PZL M18A, and PZL M18B airplanes of the same type design to those referenced above;
—The actions specified in the above service bulletin should be incorporated on the affected airplanes; and
—AD action should be taken to detect and correct cracks in the lugs, corrosion in the main holes, and ovalization of the main holes, in the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints. Such damage could result in failure of the joints with consequent in-flight wing separation.

What does this AD require? This AD requires you to:

—Repetitively inspect the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints for cracks in the lugs, corrosion in the main holes, and ovalization of the main holes;
—Repair corrosion and apply anti-corrosion protection;
—Replace the wing attach joints, as necessary; and
—Eliminate any ovalization of the wing main joint holes.

You must use magnetic particle methods to accomplish the inspection, and use the procedures included in the maintenance manual. All other procedures to accomplish this AD are included in Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Co. Ltd. Service Bulletin No. E/02.170/2000, dated August 3, 2000.

We will give initial inspection credit to any owner/operator where the centerwing-to-outboard attach joints were inspected within the last 9 months using magnetic particle methods as specified in the maintenance manual.

What is the compliance time of this AD? The initial inspection compliance time of this AD is ''Upon accumulating 3,000 hours time-in-service (TIS) on the airplane or within 30 calendar days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.'' The repetitive compliance time of this AD is ''Within 500 hours TIS or 12 calendar months after the initial inspection, whichever occurs first; and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 500 hours or 12 calendar months, whichever occurs first.''

Why is the compliance presented in both calendar time and hours time-in-service (TIS)? Unless you accomplished the initial inspection within the last 9 calendar months from the effective date of the AD, you must accomplish the initial inspection when the airplane has accumulated 3,000 hours TIS or 30 days, whichever occurs later. Since most of the affected airplanes have accumulated more than 3,000 hours TIS, the 30 days allows a grace period of 30 days for those airplanes. This will assure that:

—The high TIS airplanes have the inspection accomplished within 30 days;

—The low TIS airplanes will have the inspection accomplished at 3,000 hours total TIS; and

—None of the affected airplanes will be unnecessarily grounded as a result of this action.

The repetitive compliance time assures that cracks and corrosion do not go undetected on all airplanes by:

—Requiring inspection within 500 hours TIS on the high-usage airplanes; and

—Requiring inspection within 12 calendar months on the low-usage airplanes.

This would allow the airplane owners/operators to schedule the inspections at regularly scheduled maintenance activities.

Will I have the opportunity to comment prior to the issuance of the rule? Because the unsafe condition described in this document could result in an in-flight separation of the wing, FAA finds that notice and opportunity for public prior comment are impracticable. Therefore, good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

How do I comment on this AD? Although this action is in the form of a final rule and was not preceded by notice and opportunity for public comment, we invite your comments on the rule. You may submit whatever written data, views, or arguments you choose. You need to include the rule's docket number and submit your comments in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES.** We will consider all comments received on or before the closing date specified above. We may amend this rule in light of comments received. Factual information that supports your ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether we need to take additional rulemaking action.

Are there any specific portions of the AD I should pay attention to? The FAA specifically invites comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. You may examine all comments we receive before and after the closing date of the rule in the Rules Docket. We will file a report in the Rules Docket that summarizes each FAA contact with the public that concerns the substantive parts of this AD.

The FAA is reviewing the writing style we currently use in regulatory documents, in response to the Presidential memorandum of June 1, 1998. That memorandum requires federal agencies to communicate more clearly with the public. We are interested in your comments on whether the style of this document is clearer, and any other suggestions you might have to improve the clarity of FAA communications that affect you. You can get more information about the Presidential memorandum and the plain language initiative at *http://www.plainlanguage.gov.*

How can I be sure FAA receives my comment? If you want us to acknowledge the receipt of your comments, you must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard. On the postcard, write ''Comments to Docket No. 99–CE–84–AD.'' We will date stamp and mail the postcard back to you.

Regulatory Impact

Does this AD impact relations between Federal and State governments?

These regulations will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, FAA has determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Does this AD involve a significant rule or regulatory action? The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket (otherwise, an evaluation is not required). A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, FAA amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. FAA amends Section 39.13 by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

2000–18–12 Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Spolka zo.o.: Amendment 39–11897; Docket No. 99–CE–84–AD.

(a) *What airplanes are affected by this AD?* This AD applies to Models PZL M18, PZL M18A, and PZL M18B airplanes, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

(b) *Who must comply with this AD?* Anyone who wishes to operate any of the above airplanes on the U.S. Register must comply with this AD.

(c) *What problem does this AD address?* The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct cracks in the lugs, corrosion in the main holes, and ovalization of the main holes, in the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints. Such damage could result in failure of the joints with consequent in-flight wing separation.

(d) *What must I do to address this problem?* To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

Action	Compliance time	Procedures
(1) Inspect, using magnetic particle methods, the centerwing-to-outboard wing attach joints for cracks in the lugs, corrosion in the main holes, and ovalization of the main holes.	(i) Initial inspection: Unless you have accomplished the required inspection since December 27, 1999 (9 months before the effective date of the AD), accomplish upon accumulating 3,000 hours time-in-service (TIS) on the airplane or within 30 days after September 27, 2000 (the effective date of this AD), whichever occurs later. (ii) Repetitive inspections:	As specified in Polskie Zak Ady Lotnicze Co. Ltd. Service Bulletin No. E/02.170/2000, dated August 3, 2000. Use the magnetic particle inspection procedures that are in the maintenance manual for these inspections.

Action	Compliance time	Procedures
	(A) For existing attach joints: Within 500 hours TIS or 12 calendar months, whichever occurs first, after the initial inspection; and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 500 hours TIS or 12 calendar months, whichever occurs first. The first repetitive inspection starts at 12 calendar months after the last inspection for those airplanes that already had the initial inspection accomplished since December 27, 1999 (9 months before the effective date of this AD). (B) For new attach joints: Upon accumulating 3,000 hours TIS on the joint, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 500 hours TIS or 12 calendar months, whichever occurs first.	
(2) After each inspection, repair corrosion damage found to the extent allowed in the service bulletin and apply anti-corrosion protection.	Prior to further flight after the inspection where the discrepancy was found.	In accordance with the procedures in Polskie Zak Ady Lotnicze Co. Ltd. Service Bulletin No. E/02.170/2000, dated August 3, 2000.
(3) After each inspection, replace the wing attach joints if found cracked or if the corrosion damage is more than is specified in the service bulletin.	Prior to further flight after the inspection where the discrepancy was found.	In accordance with the procedures in Polskie Zak Ady Lotnicze Co. Ltd. Service Bulletin No. E/02.170/2000, dated August 3, 2000.
(4) After each inspection, eliminate any ovalization of the wing main joint holes.	Prior to further flight after the inspection where the discrepancy was found.	In accordance with the procedures in Polskie Zak Ady Lotnicze Co. Ltd. Service Bulletin No. E/02.170/2000, dated August 3, 2000.

(e) *Can I comply with this AD in any other way?* You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact the Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4121; facsimile: (816) 329–4091.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference?* You must accomplish the actions required by this AD in accordance with Polskie Zak Ady Lotnicze Co. Ltd. Service Bulletin No. E/02.170/2000, dated August 3, 2000. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You can get copies from Polskie Zaklady Lotnicze Spolka zo.o., Wojska Polskiego 3, 39–300 Mielec, Poland. You may look at copies at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

(i) *When does this amendment become effective?* This amendment becomes effective on September 27, 2000.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on September 5, 2000.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–23322 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 558

New Animal Drugs for Use in Animal Feeds; Narasin and Bacitracin Zinc

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations to reflect approval of a new animal drug application (NADA) filed by Roche Vitamins, Inc. The NADA provides for use of approved narasin and bacitracin zinc Type A medicated articles to make two-way combination Type C medicated feeds used for prevention of coccidiosis, increased rate of weight gain, and improved feed efficiency in broiler chickens.

DATES: This rule is effective September 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles J. Andres, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV128), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301–827–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Roche Vitamins, Inc., 45 Waterview Blvd., Parsippany, NJ 07054–1298, filed NADA 140–865 that provides for use of Monteban® (36, 45, 54, 72, or 90 grams per pound (g/lb) narasin activity) and Baciferm® (10, 25, 40, or 50 g/lb bacitracin activity as bacitracin zinc) Type A medicated articles to make two-way combination Type C medicated feeds for broiler chickens. The combination Type C medicated feeds contain 54 to 72 g/ton narasin and 4 to 50 g/ton bacitracin zinc and are used for prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria necatrix*, *E. tenella*, *E. acervulina*, *E. brunetti*, *E. mivati*, and *E. maxima*; and for increased rate of weight gain and improved feed efficiency in broiler chickens. The NADA is approved as of August 7, 2000, and the regulations are amended in §§ 558.78 and 558.363 (21 CFR 558.78 and 558.363) to reflect the approval. The basis of approval is discussed in the freedom of information summary.

Section 558.78 is also amended editorially to consolidate the cross-references for approved combinations in paragraph (d)(3) and list them in alphabetical order.

In accordance with the freedom of information provisions of 21 CFR part 20 and 514.11(e)(2)(ii), a summary of safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support approval of this application may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.33(a)(2) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of ''rule'' in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of ''particular applicability.'' Therefore, it is not subject to the congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801–808.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 558

Animal drugs, Animal feeds.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 558 is amended as follows:

PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 558 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 360b, 371.

2. Section 558.78 is amended by revising paragraph (d)(3) to read as follows:

§ 558.78 Bacitracin zinc.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(3) It may be used as approved in combination with:

(i) Amprolium alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.55.

(ii) Amprolium and ethopabate alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.58.

(iii) Carbarsone as in § 558.120.

(iv) Clopidol alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.175.

(v) Decoquinate alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.195.

(vi) Hygromycin B alone and with penicillin as in § 558.274.

(vii) Lasalocid sodium alone or with roxarsone as in § 558.311.

(viii) Monensin alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.355.

(ix) Naracin as in § 558.363.

(x) Robenidine as in § 558.515.

(xi) Salinomycin alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.550.

(xii) Zoalene alone and with arsanilic acid or roxarsone as in § 558.680.

3. Section 558.363 is amended by adding paragraphs (a)(7) and (d)(1)(x) to read as follows:

§ 558.363 Narasin.

(a) * * *

(7) To 063238: 36, 45, 54, 72, or 90 grams per pound, with 10, 25, 40, or 50 grams per pound bacitracin zinc, paragraph (d)(1)(x) of this section.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(1) * * *

(x) *Amount per ton*. Narasin, 54 to 72 grams and bacitracin zinc, 4 to 50 grams.

(A) *Indications for use*. For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria necatrix*, *E. tenella*, *E. acervulina*, *E. brunetti*, *E. mivati*, and *E. maxima*, and for increased rate of weight gain and improved feed efficiency.

(B) *Limitations*. For broiler chickens only. Feed continuously as sole ration. Do not allow adult turkeys, horses, or other equines access to formulations containing narasin. Ingestion of narasin by these species has been fatal. Narasin as provided by 000986, bacitracin zinc by 063238 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

* * * * *

Dated: August 5, 2000.

Stephen F. Sundlof,

Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 00–23799 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

29 CFR Parts 4022 and 4044

Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing and Paying Benefits

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's regulations on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans and Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans prescribe interest assumptions for valuing and paying benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the regulations to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in October 2000. Interest assumptions are also published on the PBGC's web site (http://www.pbgc.gov).

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326-4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PBGC's regulations prescribe actuarial assumptions—including interest assumptions—for valuing and paying plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered by title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The interest assumptions are intended to reflect current conditions in the financial and annuity markets.

Three sets of interest assumptions are prescribed: (1) a set for the valuation of benefits for allocation purposes under section 4044 (found in Appendix B to Part 4044), (2) a set for the PBGC to use to determine whether a benefit is payable as a lump sum and to determine lump-sum amounts to be paid by the PBGC (found in Appendix B to Part 4022), and (3) a set for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology (found in Appendix C to Part 4022). (See the PBGC's two final rules published March 17, 2000, in the **Federal Register** (at 65 FR 14752 and 14753). Effective May 1, 2000, these rules changed how the interest assumptions are used and where they are set forth in the PBGC's regulations.)

Accordingly, this amendment (1) adds to Appendix B to Part 4044 the interest assumptions for valuing benefits for allocation purposes in plans with valuation dates during October 2000, (2) adds to Appendix B to Part 4022 the interest assumptions for the PBGC to use for its own lump-sum payments in plans with valuation dates during October 2000, and (3) adds to Appendix C to Part 4022 the interest assumptions for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology for valuation dates during October 2000.

For valuation of benefits for allocation purposes, the interest assumptions that

the PBGC will use (set forth in Appendix B to part 4044) will be 7.00 percent for the first 25 years following the valuation date and 6.25 percent thereafter. These interest assumptions represent no change from those in effect for September 2000.

The interest assumptions that the PBGC will use for its own lump-sum payments (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022) will be 5.00 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status, 4.25 percent during the seven-year period directly preceding the benefit's placement in pay status, and 4.00 percent during any other years preceding the benefit's placement in pay status. These interest assumptions represent a decrease (from those in effect for September 2000) of 0.25 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and for the seven-year period directly preceding the benefit's placement in pay status and are otherwise unchanged.

For private-sector payments, the interest assumptions (set forth in Appendix C to part 4022) will be the same as those used by the PBGC for determining and paying lump sums (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022).

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest assumptions promptly so that the assumptions can reflect, as accurately as possible, current market conditions.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation and payment of benefits in plans with valuation dates during October 2000, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the assumptions set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a ''significant regulatory action'' under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply. See 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

List of Subjects

29 CFR Part 4022

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

29 CFR Part 4044

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR parts 4022 and 4044 are amended as follows:

PART 4022—BENEFITS PAYABLE IN TERMINATED SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 4022 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1302, 1322, 1322b, 1341(c)(3)(D), and 1344.

2. In appendix B to part 4022, Rate Set 84, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

Appendix B to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates for PBGC Payments

* * * * * * *

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate annuity rate (percent)	Deferred annuities (percent)				
	On or after	Before		i_1	i_2	i_3	n_1	n_2
*	*		*	*	*	*		*
84	10–1–00	11–1–00	5.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	7	8

3. In appendix C to part 4022, Rate Set 84, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

Appendix C to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For Private-Sector Payments

* * * * * * *

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate annuity rate (percent)	Deferred annuities (percent)				
	On or after	Before		i_1	i_2	i_3	n_1	n_2
*	*		*	*	*	*		*
84	10–1–00	11–1–00	5.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	7	8

PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

4. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

5. In appendix B to part 4044, a new entry, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used To Value Benefits

* * * * * * *

For valuation dates occurring in the month—	The values of i_t are					
	i_t	for t =	i_t	for t =	i_t	for t =
* * *	*		*		*	*
October 2000	.0700	1–25	.0625	>25	N/A	N/A

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 8th day of September 2000.

David M. Strauss,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 00–23738 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

PRESIDIO TRUST

36 CFR Part 1010

RIN 3212–AA02

Management of the Presidio: Environmental Quality

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Presidio Trust (Trust) was created by Congress in 1996 to manage a portion of the former U.S. Army base known as The Presidio of San Francisco, California. Administrative jurisdiction of approximately 80 percent of this property was transferred from the National Park Service (NPS), Department of the Interior (DOI), to the Trust as of July 1, 1998. Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Trust adopted interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA, which generally consisted of the NEPA procedures and guidelines of the NPS, pending promulgation of the Trust's own regulations for implementing NEPA. See 63 FR 49142 (Sept. 14, 1998). The Trust proposed its own NEPA regulations on July 23, 1999 (64 FR 39951) and accepted comments from the public until October 5, 1999, following an extension of the comment period (64 FR 51488). Today, the Trust publishes its response to comments received, as well as its final rule on this topic.

DATES: This final rule is effective October 16, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen A. Cook, General Counsel, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, PO Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052, Telephone: 415–561–5300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Presidio Trust is a wholly-owned government corporation created pursuant to Title I of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Act of 1996, Public Law 104–333, 110 Stat. 4097 (the Trust Act). Pursuant to section 103(b) of the Trust Act, on July 1, 1998, the Secretary of the Interior transferred administrative jurisdiction to the Trust of all of Area B of the former Presidio Army Base, as shown on the map referenced in the Trust Act.

Pursuant to its rulemaking authority and the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) at 40 CFR 1507.3(a), the Trust—in consultation with CEQ—initially adopted existing NPS NEPA policy guidance, to the extent it did not conflict with the Presidio Trust Act or regulations of the Presidio Trust, as its interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA. These interim procedures and guidelines are found in ''NPS–12: National Environmental Policy Act Guidelines'' (1982) as supplemented by NPS's ''Standard Operating Procedure 601.'' Notice of the Trust's adoption of these interim procedures was published in the **Federal Register** on September 14, 1998 (63 FR 49142). These interim procedures and guidelines will remain in effect until the effective date of the final regulations published today. Upon the effective date, the final regulations will replace the interim procedures and guidelines in their entirety.

Prior to proposing these regulations, and finalizing them today, the Trust consulted with CEQ pursuant to CEQ's regulations, 40 CFR 1507.3(a). The Trust also consulted with officials of the Department of the Interior and the National Park Service designated by the Secretary of the Interior to facilitate such consultation. An initial draft of the proposed regulations was modified in response to these comments prior to its publication in the **Federal Register** on July 23, 1999 (64 FR 39951).

The Trust originally provided for a public comment period of 60 days on its proposed NEPA regulations. See 64 FR 39951. Upon request of the commenters, that period was later extended by approximately two weeks. See 64 FR 51488. The Trust has considered the comments received within the comment period, as extended, and today publishes its responses to those comments and its final NEPA regulations. As of its effective date, this final rule supersedes the Trust's adoption of interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA.

Summary of the Proposed Rule

The proposed rule, including a section-by-section analysis, was set forth in detail in the July 23, 1999 issue of the **Federal Register** (64 FR 39951). In general, the proposed rule—and the final rule presented herein—follows the fundamental NEPA process that Federal agencies follow. The rule is intended to supplement the regulations of CEQ and not to paraphrase or repeat those regulations. See 40 CFR 1507.3.

Under the rule as proposed and finalized, the Trust would first determine whether a proposed action by the Trust is one that normally does not require either an environmental assessment (EA) or an environmental impact statement (EIS), *i.e.*, whether the proposed action is categorically excluded from NEPA review or has been covered by a previous EA and/or EIS. If it is not such an action, then the Trust would consider whether the action is one that normally requires an EIS. If so, an EIS would normally be prepared.

If the action is not one that is categorically excluded from further NEPA review or has not been previously analyzed in an EA or EIS, and if the action also is not one that normally requires an EIS, then an EA would normally be prepared. Following preparation of the EA, the Trust would make a determination as to whether the proposed action requires further review in an EIS or whether the Trust may, on the basis of the review performed in the EA, issue a finding of no significant impact (FONSI). Under the rule, the Trust could not undertake the proposed action unless (1) it is categorically excluded; or (2) an EIS has been finalized and a Record of Decision has been issued; or (3) a FONSI has been issued on the basis of an EA.

The final rule adopted by the Trust will replace in its entirety the Trust's adoption of interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA. The final rule is similar to the proposed rule, particularly in structure and format, but its content has been modified in a number of respects in response to comments received and further review

by the Trust. These modifications are discussed below.

Summary of Comments and Responses

The Trust received two submissions in response to its request for comments on the proposed rule. A three-page letter together with 10 pages of comments was submitted by the NPS, and a 13-page letter was submitted collectively on behalf of the following private organizations: As You Sow, the California Native Plant Society, the Golden Gate Audubon Society, the Ecology Center, the National Parks and Conservation Association, the National Trust for Historic Preservation, the Natural Resources Defense Council, the San Francisco League of Conservation Voters, San Francisco Tomorrow, The Wilderness Society, and the Tides Foundation (collectively referred to hereinafter as AYS). Summarized below are the significant comments contained in these two submissions—many of which are almost identical—and the Trust's responses to those comments. Because these responses in some cases have resulted in redesignations of sections and paragraphs from the proposed regulations, references to section and paragraph numbers in the following discussion—unless otherwise noted—correspond to the designations used in the proposed regulations that were published in the **Federal Register** on July 23, 1999 (63 FR 39951).

The Trust's Choice for the Structure of the Regulations

The Trust relied primarily on the NPS NEPA procedures for the substance of its regulations, including NPS's categorical exclusions, and borrowed heavily from those aspects of NPS–12 that are well-suited to binding regulations and to the Trust's unique mandate and activities. In some instances, the Trust also looked to the NPS's draft revision of NPS–12 for the substance of its regulations. For purposes of one categorical exclusion (which has been substantially revised in these final regulations) the Trust looked to the NEPA procedures of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The structure and format of the Trust's regulations were drawn primarily from the regulations of the former Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation (PADC) found at 36 CFR part 907, for a number of reasons that are discussed more fully below and in the preamble to the proposed rule. See 64 FR 39951, 39953–56 (July 23, 1999). The Trust has maintained that format in these final regulations.

The result is that the substance of today's final rule does not differ significantly from that of the NPS procedures. For example, the current version of NPS–12 (at section 1–2) provides an ''overview'' of the NEPA process that is as much a foundation of the Trust's final regulations as it is of the NPS guidelines:

> If the proposed action is adequately evaluated in a previous environmental document, or is contained in the * * * lists of categorical exclusions, and is not a(n) * * * exception * * *, further NEPA compliance is not required. If an action is not categorically excluded, an environmental assessment (EA) and/or environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared. EA's are prepared in order to determine whether an EIS is required. In addition, EA's can serve to assist * * * planning and decisionmaking. EIS's are prepared on proposed actions which may or will have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Following preparation of an EA, responsible * * * officials will examine it to determine the significance of the environmental impacts of the proposed action. If they determine the impacts not to be significant, (the agency) prepares a finding of no significant impact (FONSI). If the impacts are significant, preparation of an EIS is initiated. If it is clear from the outset that an EIS is needed, no EA should be prepared.

The Trust's Summary of the Proposed Rule, presented above, outlines essentially the same process and describes the process set by this final rule. The end result does not differ in any substantive respect from the NPS's description of its process.

In their written comments on the Trust's proposed rule, both NPS and AYS objected to the use of the PADC regulations as the structural template for the Trust's NEPA regulations and suggested that the NEPA procedures and guidelines applicable to the NPS are a more appropriate model for the Trust. NPS commented that the PADC NEPA implementation regulations are an inappropriate model because the mandate of the PADC was more narrowly circumscribed than that of the Trust, particularly in that PADC actions were expressly limited to implementation of a Comprehensive Design Plan, whereas the Trust is required by the Trust Act to observe only the ''general objectives'' of the 1994 Final General Management Plan Amendment for the Presidio (Plan). AYS similarly comments that the PADC was more narrowly circumscribed in its planning authority than is the Trust. AYS further points out that the area of the Presidio under the Trust's administrative jurisdiction differs from that administered by the PADC in that the Trust area is a national park.

The Trust agrees that its mandate and authorities differ from those of the PADC and are in many ways more similar to those of the NPS. But the relative similarities or differences among the authorities of the Trust and those of the former PADC or the NPS are not a key determinant of the appropriate model for the structure of the Trust's NEPA regulations.

The Trust considered but did not choose to use the NPS procedures as its model for the structure and format of these regulations for a number of reasons, all of which continue to be valid. As noted in the preamble to the proposed regulations, NPS is in the process of developing a Director's Order and ''NPS Handbook 12'' to replace the existing NPS NEPA procedures, which were adopted in 1982. NPS itself therefore recognizes that the current NPS procedures are in need of revision or clarification. Furthermore, as noted in the preamble to the proposed regulations, the scope and structure of the current 129-page draft NPS procedures are not well-suited to a procedural regulation.

AYS comments that the current (1982) NPS NEPA procedures would be a more appropriate model for the Trust than the draft NPS Handbook. The Trust considered and rejected this option, based on the following reasons:

First, as NPS noted in its comments on an initial draft of the Trust's proposed NEPA regulations, NPS–12 does not carry the force of law. It states: ''While these guidelines constitute a permanent directive to NPS personnel, they are strictly advisory and do not create, add to, or otherwise modify any legal requirement. The procedures described in these guidelines were devised solely to aid NPS officials in the internal administration of the bureau, and are subject to reinterpretation, revision or suspension by NPS in its discretion at any time without notice.'' Contrary to the assumption of the AYS comment, NPS has no NEPA ''rules'' or regulations. Consistent with the spirit of NEPA and the CEQ regulations, the Trust is committed to issuing its NEPA procedures in the form of regulations that are readily available to the public in the Code of Federal Regulations, that carry the force of law, and that are adopted or amended following notice in the **Federal Register** and opportunity for public comment.

Second, NPS itself believes that the current version of NPS–12 is in need of revision and clarification, as indicated by the NPS Handbook drafting process described above. NPS–12 has been amended several times since it was adopted, and both the Trust and NPS

personnel charged with implementing these NEPA procedures have from time to time found it difficult to determine whether a given version incorporates all of these various amendments and is completely up to date. The Trust wishes, through its regulations, to codify procedures and substance that are more clear, concise, and readily ascertainable.

Third, the format of NPS–12 was developed as a guidance document for internal agency use, and therefore it has a different purpose than a regulation. The Trust's interim procedures for implementing NEPA consist of NPS–12 and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area's Standard Operating Procedure 601. These documents together occupy over 50 pages of guidance materials encompassing explanatory discussion, policy implications, and other narrative information. As a whole, they are an ill-suited structure for codified rules. They may, however, provide an appropriate model to provide internal policy guidance for implementing the Trust's regulations. The Trust is developing such guidance and is evaluating both NPS–12 and Standard Operating Procedure 601 as possible models.

Fourth, NPS–12 is written to be used in tandem with numerous other policies of the DOI and internal guidance of the NPS that are neither applicable nor well-tailored to the Trust's activities because of the varying scope and breadth of DOI's mission. Some relevant examples include Departmental Manual Part 516; NPS–2 on planning process; NPS–3 on public participation; and NPS–28 on cultural resources management. While these topics generally may be pertinent to Trust actions, the details are often ill-adapted. NPS–12 also incorporates guidance based on legal authorities that are inapplicable to the Trust and addresses NPS issues and requirements that are irrelevant to the Trust, such as special laws on in-holdings, mining, and grazing. In short, NPS–12 is written to cover the broadest range of NPS actions and would need to be substantially re-written in order to customize it to the Trust.

Fifth, NPS–12 is written for a much larger organization than the Trust, and provides for far more layers of review than the Trust's size and structure warrant. For example, NPS–12 specifies the NEPA oversight responsibilities of the Assistant Secretary of the Interior for Policy, Budget and Administration, the Assistant Secretary of the Interior for Fish and Wildlife and Parks, the NPS Director, the Chief of the Office of Park Planning and Environmental Quality, the Chief of the Division of Environmental Compliance, the Regional Directors, the Regional Environmental Coordinators, the Denver Service Center, the Park Superintendents, and Contracting Officers. The complexity of such review and designations is inappropriate and inapplicable for the Trust's organizational structure and its mission.

AYS argues that the Trust should adopt the NPS NEPA guidelines in order to ''facilitate the Trust's ability to work closely with (NPS) on a wide variety of planning and environmental review matters.'' AYS also comments that this would ''make things easier for the many Trust employees who are former NPS employees. * * *'' and would allow those who are not former NPS employees to continue to learn the NPS NEPA procedures. AYS further suggests that adoption of the NPS NEPA procedures would be easier for members of the public who are already familiar with these procedures. The Trust recognizes that a certain orientation period will be associated with any introduction of procedures, but believes that this can be accommodated in other ways than adopting guidelines with a structure that is ill-suited to the Trust. In creating the Trust as a Federal entity separate and apart from the NPS, and with a structure and authorities that differ from those of the NPS, Congress contemplated such a change in procedures. The Trust believes the change is warranted by the benefits of the clear, concise structure proposed for the Trust's NEPA regulations. Nevertheless, the Trust is aware of the issues identified by AYS and is committed to (1) continuing to work closely with the NPS and its other neighbors on planning and environmental review matters, as well as discussing arrangements for allocation of lead agency designations between the NPS and the Trust where appropriate; (2) ensuring that its employees are properly trained concerning implementation of NEPA; and (3) providing opportunities for the public to learn about and fully understand the Trust's NEPA procedures.

The Trust chose the PADC regulations as its structural model for a number of other reasons, including the fact that they had been formally promulgated as regulations carrying the force of law in the Code of Federal Regulations and are appropriately concise for a procedural regulation.

In sum, in crafting its procedures, the Trust reviewed and relied heavily upon relevant portions of the NPS NEPA procedures for the substance of the Trust's NEPA regulations. The Trust's regulations are designed to ensure that the Trust complies with NEPA by analyzing the impacts of all major activities or proposals with the potential to significantly affect the environment. The comments do not address how, if at all, the structure that the Trust adopted from the PADC regulations is deficient in accomplishing that core purpose. As a result, upon consideration of the comments received, the Trust has decided to maintain the structure and format of its proposed regulations in this final rule.

The Trust's Interim Procedures for Implementing NEPA

NPS states that its review of the proposed regulations focuses primarily on the degree of departure from the current NPS guidelines and policies implementing NEPA. AYS appears to object to the Trust moving beyond the interim adoption of NPS's procedures. AYS views the NPS guidelines and policies as ''far more appropriate for the Trust's critical responsibilities * * * .'' AYS further believes that ''(t)he basic problem with the proposed rulemaking is that the Trust has not provided an adequate justification for'' this action and ''has failed to identify any problem with the existing rules that would explain why they need to be abandoned.''

Far from abandoning these procedures, the Trust has adopted their substance but made it specific to the Trust and its mission in order to comply with its obligations under NEPA, the CEQ regulations, and the Trust Act. The Trust adopted the NPS NEPA procedures on an explicitly temporary basis (following consultation with CEQ and the NPS) in order to ensure that the Trust's initial actions would be subjected to appropriate environmental review under NEPA while the Trust hired staff and developed its own procedures for implementing NEPA. The process of drafting, internal review, consultation with other Federal agencies, and notice and comment rulemaking was anticipated to occupy several months, during which time the Trust would be managing the property under its administrative jurisdiction and taking actions that would require NEPA review. The September 14, 1998, **Federal Register** notice of that ''interim policy statement'' expressly stated the Trust's intention to develop its own procedures and guidelines implementing NEPA (63 FR 49142), as is its responsibility under both NEPA and CEQ regulations implementing NEPA on a government-wide basis. 40 CFR 1507.3.

Furthermore, the Trust temporarily adopted the NPS procedures for a variety of reasons that no longer apply. The Trust initially lacked the staff to implement NEPA and therefore entered into a temporary arrangement with the NPS under which NPS staff provided services to the Trust for NEPA review of the Trust's proposed actions. The NPS personnel, of course, are familiar with the NPS NEPA procedures. A number of actions that the Trust anticipated taking in the initial period of its management of the Presidio had been initiated by the NPS, which formerly managed the property now under the Trust's administrative jurisdiction; these early actions therefore were in the process of being reviewed under the NPS procedures.

Similarly, NPS personnel were familiar with these actions from the period in which NPS managed the property that is now under the Trust's administrative jurisdiction. As a result, following consultation with the NPS and CEQ, the Trust determined that interim adoption of the NPS NEPA procedures was the most convenient and appropriate option for the Trust to ensure that its initial actions were subjected to appropriate NEPA review.

Since the Trust's interim adoption of the NPS NEPA procedures, the Trust has retained the necessary personnel to ensure appropriate NEPA review of proposed actions. Furthermore, the Trust has completed NEPA review of a number of proposed actions using the NPS NEPA procedures and NPS personnel. The interim procedures adopted by the Trust did not establish a status quo against which today's adoption of final NEPA regulations is to be measured. In promulgating today's NEPA procedures the Trust does not depart from any set procedures and guidelines, but rather establishes for the first time the permanent regulations under which it will comply with NEPA.

Section-Specific Comments and Revisions

In addition to these overall comments, both NPS and AYS presented comments on specific aspects of the proposed regulations. These are addressed below according to the section or sections of the proposed regulations that are implicated by the comments. Also discussed below are the revisions made to the proposed regulations by the Trust following consultation with CEQ and further internal review.

Section 1010.1 Policy

NPS comments that this section of the proposed regulations does not adequately identify and incorporate the Trust's mandate to preserve and conserve the resources of the Presidio, including natural, historic, scenic, cultural, and recreational resources. NPS suggests that this mission be identified in order to emphasize that the focus of NEPA environmental analysis is to provide information to make substantive decisions in accordance with the Trust's mandate. In response, the Trust has revised Section 1010.1(d) to identify these resources specifically.

Section 1010.2 Purpose

AYS comments that this section, unlike the corresponding ''background and purpose'' section of NPS–12, does not contain a commitment to make information available to the public before actions are taken by the Trust. Although such a policy is not stated in the one-sentence ''purpose'' section of the Trust's regulations, it is stated in § 1010.12, which concerns public involvement.

NEPA is designed to involve the public in an agency's implementation of NEPA, and the final regulations reflect that statutory purpose. In § 1010.12, the Trust states its policy to make public involvement an essential part of its environmental review process and to provide timely public notice of anticipated Trust actions that may have a significant environmental impact, of environmental documents, and of opportunities for public involvement. The Trust commits to using a variety of means to provide the public with notice, including a monthly newsletter, postings on its web site, placement of public notices in newspapers, and other appropriate means.

The Trust also modified the wording of § 1010.2 to make clear that these regulations are intended to implement the requirements set forth in NEPA and CEQ's regulations.

Section 1010.4 Responsible Trust Official

This section was retitled ''NEPA Compliance Coordinator'' following further internal review by the Trust. The Trust believes that it is appropriate to identify a more specific and descriptive title associated with this position than the proposed title of ''Responsible Trust Official.'' Corresponding revisions were made throughout the regulations.

This section provides that the Executive Director of the Trust will designate ''an employee of the Trust'' as the individual responsible for ensuring NEPA compliance. AYS comments that this allows such an official to be designated ''by project'' and asks why it is necessary for this person ''to change from project to project.'' AYS has misinterpreted this provision. Section 1010.4 describes duties that involve long-term development and supervision of NEPA compliance procedures and standards, including oversight of all EA's and EIS's prepared during the tenure of the NEPA Compliance Coordinator. This provision does not state nor does the Trust intend that this official will ordinarily change from project to project.

AYS also comments that this section fails to make clear where responsibility for NEPA compliance ultimately rests. Section 1010.4 plainly states that delegation to the NEPA Compliance Coordinator does not abrogate the responsibility of the Trust's Executive Director and Board of Directors to ensure that the Trust complies with NEPA.

Section 1010.5 Major Decision Points

AYS comments that the ''scoping'' step is not mentioned in this section. The CEQ regulations require that a public scoping process be initiated following an agency decision to prepare an environmental impact statement on a proposed action. 40 CFR 1501.7. Although CEQ regulations also provide that agency procedures implementing NEPA are not to paraphrase or repeat the CEQ regulations, 40 CFR 1507.3(a), the Trust concurs with AYS that clarity would be served by identifying the scoping process in this section. Accordingly, the Trust has cross-referenced the requirements of 40 CFR 1501.7 in § 1010.5(b)(3). In addition, in accordance with the suggestion of the CEQ regulations at 40 CFR 1501.7(b)(3) and the Trust's commitment to public involvement in the NEPA process, the Trust has provided in § 1010.5(b)(2) that the Trust may, in appropriate circumstances, engage in public scoping concerning a proposed action *prior* to its determination as to whether to prepare an EIS. AYS's additional comments concerning scoping and public involvement are addressed below under Section 1010.12.

The Trust has also revised the term ''final approval stage'' in § 1010.5(a)(2) to refer to the ''final decision stage,'' in order to reflect that the Trust not only approves projects proposed by others but also makes decisions on its own proposals.

Section 1010.7 Actions that Do Not Require an EA or EIS

NPS and AYS comment that § 1010.7(b)(1) contains an overly broad criterion for determining categories of action that normally do not require an EA or an EIS. They comment that this criterion would allow categorical

exclusion of actions with potentially significant environmental effects that cannot be categorically excluded from NEPA analysis, even though the requirement to prepare such analysis may have been satisfied in a prior NEPA document.

The Trust concurs with the comment that the actions described in § 1010.7(b)(1) are, as a matter of nomenclature, not entitled to be categorically excluded from NEPA review. But, as the comments point out, such actions may not require an EA or EIS because by definition the environmental effects of the action have already been adequately analyzed. Upon reflection, the Trust has determined that there is no need to identify in these regulations any general criteria that may be used in the future to determine categories of actions excluded from NEPA review, since any such future categorical exclusions will be subject to notice and opportunity for public comment. Therefore, in the interests of clarity, the Trust has addressed this comment by deleting § 1010.7(b) of the proposed regulations. Similar comments by NPS and AYS concern § 1010.8(c) and 1010.10(c), and the Trust has revised these provisions accordingly. Furthermore, the Trust has made conforming changes to §§ 1010.5(b) (twice adding references to whether the action has ''been adequately reviewed in a previously prepared EA or EIS'') and 1010.6(a) (deleting the parenthetical that equated the term ''normally does not require an EA or EIS'' with the term ''categorical exclusion'').

NPS also asks that reference in § 1010.7(b)(1) to Trust actions taken ''in accordance with the general objectives of the Plan and the Trust Act'' be deleted as superfluous, since the Trust is required to act in accordance with those general objectives under the Trust Act and the Trust's resolutions. This reference has been removed.

NPS and AYS also comment that it is unlikely that the Trust could ''tier'' NEPA review of any significant Trust action from the Plan EIS, as that EIS addressed proposed actions in the context of the overall Plan, which the Trust is not required to follow in detail. This comment addresses issues beyond the scope of the Trust's proposed regulations for implementing NEPA. The Trust acknowledges that certain Trust actions may require further NEPA review. When undertaking such actions, the Trust will address whether it is appropriate to ''tier'' such subsequent or supplemental NEPA review from analysis contained in the Plan EIS or in another EIS.

In light of the admonition that these regulations not paraphrase or repeat the CEQ regulations, see 40 CFR 1507.3, the Trust has also determined that it is unnecessary to restate the ''general rule'' in § 1010.7(a) that ''neither an EA nor an EIS is required for actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.'' Instead, this provision contains a more straightforward declarative sentence that the Trust has determined that the following categories of action meet the criteria of NEPA for categorical exclusions.

Comments Specific to Categorical Exclusions

NPS and AYS provided specific comments on several of the categorical exclusions in the Trust's proposed NEPA regulations. These are discussed below. References to categorical exclusions derived from the draft NPS–12 guidelines, the PADC regulations, and the HUD regulations are numbered herein in the same manner as in the proposed regulations, as described at 63 FR 39953, col. 3.

Preliminarily, the Trust notes that, consistent with NEPA, these regulations provide exceptions to categorical exclusions in extraordinary circumstances in which a normally excluded action may have a significant environmental effect. That provision is discussed below under § 1010.7(d).

Section 1010.7(c)(2) Categorical Exclusion for Administrative Actions

NPS and AYS comment that this categorical exclusion should not cover actions to acquire or convert space for Trust offices or maintenance facilities. NPS and AYS note that, because the Trust has a sizable number of employees and considerable maintenance equipment, it is difficult to conclude categorically that all such actions would have no significant effect on the environment. AYS also comments that a categorical exclusion of acquisition or conversion of space for maintenance facilities is inappropriate within a national park and National Historic Landmark District. This provision has been revised to delete the reference to ''space acquisition or conversion for the Trust offices or maintenance facilities.'' For clarity, the Trust has also added the acquisition of equipment to the non-exclusive list of administrative actions intended to be covered by this categorical exclusion. Following consultation with CEQ, the Trust has also added another requirement to this categorical exclusion: That the action be consistent with applicable Executive Orders (such as those related to Greening the Government).

Section 1010.7(c)(8) Categorical Exclusion for Educational Activities

AYS comments that this provision combines a variety of NPS exclusions ''in inappropriate and/or confusing ways.'' The comment does not specify any particular problem or suggest any particular change. The Trust notes that this categorical exclusion is based primarily on NPS–J and deviates only slightly from it to include interpretive programs (which are covered by NPS–B3 and NPS–Q) and technical assistance (which is covered by NPS–M). The Trust therefore has not modified this categorical exclusion.

Section 1010.7(c)(9) Categorical Exclusion for Legislative Proposals

AYS comments that use of the word ''minor'' to describe the boundary changes and land transactions referred to in this categorical exclusion (which require legislative action) and the proposed categorical exclusion for land acquisitions or exchanges that do not require legislative action (at § 1010.7(c)(16)) is subjective and provides no guidance. AYS considers any such actions in a national park to be significant.

This exclusion, including the word ''minor'' to describe boundary changes and land transactions, is taken almost verbatim from NPS–H in the draft NPS–12 Handbook. It is also contained in a categorical exclusion in the current NPS–12 for ''minor boundary changes.'' NPS has not indicated to the Trust in its comments or elsewhere that it finds the word ''minor'' inappropriate in this context; on the contrary, NPS has previously concluded that this term is appropriate for national parks. Use of the word ''minor,'' rather than a precise numerical limit, allows the Trust—like NPS—the flexibility to consider the environmental implications of particular actions in context. Furthermore, this exclusion, like all exclusions in the proposed regulations, will not apply to actions that may have a significant effect upon the human environment. The Trust is therefore retaining this categorical exclusion as proposed.

Section 1010.7(c)(10) Categorical Exclusion for Certain Regulations

Following consultation with CEQ, the Trust adopted the suggestion of CEQ that this categorical exclusion be combined with the following categorical exclusion in order to promote clarity concerning the types of regulations and policies that would be categorically

excluded. In addition, the criteria of former provision Section 1010.7(c)(10) were revised to add the term ''significant'' to three of the four items and to precede their applicability with the term ''potentially,'' in order to more closely parallel the requirements of NEPA.

Section 1010.7(c)(11) Categorical Exclusion for Certain Policies

This categorical exclusion was combined with the prior categorical exclusion, as discussed above.

Section 1010.7(c)(12) Categorical Exclusion for Certain Research Plans

AYS comments that the scope of this categorical exclusion is unclear in light of the original categorical exclusions that were combined. AYS asks whether this exclusion relates only to non-manipulative and non-destructive research activities. The language of this proposed exclusion states that it covers such activities, as well as non-manipulative and non-destructive monitoring, inventorying, and information gathering. Following further internal review and consultation, the Trust revised the references to ''non-manipulative and non-destructive'' research to clarify that they include activities that are ''only minimally manipulative'' and cause ''only minimal physical damage.''

AYS also asks whether this exclusion is intended to include ''statements for management, outlines of planning requirements and agreements between NPS offices for plans and studies,'' which were included in NPS–B5, on which this exclusion is based in part. As noted in the preamble to the proposed rule, the terms ''preparation, approval, coordination, and implementation'' were added in order to cover the type of items listed in NPS–B5.

AYS finds the lack of conformity between the language in the original exclusions and the language of this exclusion ''quite troubling.'' The activities covered by this categorical exclusion are no more extensive than those covered by the NPS categorical exclusions on which it is based. Furthermore, the Trust has concluded that these activities, all of which are non-manipulative and non-destructive, meet the criteria for categorical exclusion.

Section 1010.7(c)(14) Categorical Exclusion for Certain Changes in Visitor Use

AYS comments that it is inappropriate for this categorical exclusion, which was developed from NPS exclusions D–1, D–2 and D–3, to include language covering short-term leases. AYS states that ''leasing has nothing to do with changes in visitor use.'' Short-term leasing was added to this categorical exclusion because the Trust—unlike NPS—has authority to enter into leases, as well as other agreements for use and occupancy that may not be considered permits in a technical sense. In response to the AYS comment, this categorical exclusion has been modified to remove references to leasing and instead to broaden the term ''permit'' to include other forms of use and occupancy agreements. This change also clarifies that only short-term leases (or other forms of use and occupancy agreements) related to special visitor events or public assemblies and meetings are covered by this exclusion.

Following further review, the term ''environmental disturbance'' in this categorical exclusion was changed to ''environmental impacts'' in order to more closely parallel the requirements of NEPA.

Section 1010.7(c)(15) Categorical Exclusion for the Designation of Environmental Study Areas

Following further review, the criteria of this categorical exclusion that the designation of environmental study areas cause ''no environmental impact'' was modified to include ''only minimal environmental impact'' as well—*i.e.*, not ''significant environmental impact''—in order to more closely parallel the requirements of NEPA.

Section 1010.7(c)(16) Categorical Exclusion for Land Acquisitions or Exchanges

AYS's comment concerning this categorical exclusion's use of the term ''minor'' is addressed above under the discussion of § 1010.7(c)(9).

NPS suggests that the categorical exclusion be amended to cover transfers of administrative jurisdiction as authorized under section 102 of the Trust Act and to exclude exchanges of land ownership. The Trust has revised this provision in accordance with NPS's suggestion.

Section 1010.7(c)(18) Categorical Exclusion for Planning and Design Guidelines

AYS and NPS comment that categorically excluding planning and design guidelines from NEPA review is inappropriate. Upon further review of this proposed categorical exclusion, the Trust has removed it from the final rule.

Section 1010.7(c)(19) Categorical Exclusion for Certain Previously Analyzed Actions

NPS and AYS comment that implementation of a plan, even if the plan was covered by a previously prepared EA and/or EIS, may potentially have a significant effect on the human environment and therefore cannot be categorically excluded from NEPA review. The ambiguity noted by NPS and AYS is unintentional. The Trust therefore has revised this categorical exclusion as suggested by the NPS comment, which combines NPS–A1 (relating to approved actions) with NPS–B1 (relating to approved plans). In addition, the Trust has revised the term ''no potential for environmental impact'' to state that the criterion is whether the action ''would cause no or only minimal environmental impact,'' in order to more closely parallel the requirements of NEPA.

Section 1010.7(c)(20) Categorical Exclusion for Contracts Related to Administrative Operations

Consistent with other comments discussed above, NPS comments that this categorical exclusion should not necessarily cover actions which have been the subject of prior NEPA review. This categorical exclusion has been revised to address the NPS comment and to be consistent with the addition to § 1010.10(c), which categorizes as appropriate for preparation of an EA actions involving ''contracts, work authorizations, and master agreements related to and implementing programs, policies, and proposals which are not categorically excluded and for which there is no previously prepared EA or EIS.''

Section 1010.7(c)(21) Categorical Exclusion for Transfer of Non-Fee Interests

NPS and AYS comment that this categorical exclusion is too broadly worded. NPS suggests that it either be deleted or limited to actions that do not require physical change to structures and do not have adverse effects on the environment. The Trust believes that it is appropriate to retain this categorical exclusion, while limiting it to actions that will have no or only minimal environmental impact (*e.g.*, the permitting of existing occupancies that have not been properly documented, the revision of lease provisions concerning financial or legal matters, etc.). The addition of this criterion, since it properly limits this categorical exclusion, is a key determinant of

whether an action can properly be considered categorically excluded. See 40 CFR 1508.8. (Section 1010.7(c)(15) has been revised in a similar manner to refer to actions causing ''no or only minimal environmental impact.'')

Section 1010.7(c)(22) Categorical Exclusion for Certain Changes to Real Property Agreements

AYS comments that this exclusion ''lumps'' together and alters the several NPS exclusions from which it is drawn. Other than ''the elimination of qualifying language (*i.e.*, 'mino' before 'modifications'),'' the comment does not specify other faults. The Trust has revised this categorical exclusion to include the word ''minor'' before ''modifications,'' and to remove the words ''renegotiation'' and ''termination,'' all of which were not included in the categorical exclusions of the current NPS–12 that correspond to those in the draft NPS–12 from which this categorical exclusion was drawn.

AYS also comments that this exclusion is confusing in that it includes ''language relating to when supplementation of EIS's is necessary.'' The language AYS points to provides that this exclusion does not apply to renewal of or changes to agreements for which supplemental NEPA review is required. The Trust has modified the grammar of this language in order to clarify its meaning, which is merely intended to ensure that any new information or changes in environmental conditions be taken into account before the Trust relies on this categorical exclusion.

Furthermore, following internal review, the Trust has revised this categorical exclusion to remove the qualification that these agreements must have been in force as of the date the Trust received administrative jurisdiction of the underlying real property. This qualification was viewed as unnecessarily restrictive, likely to become obsolete rather quickly, and potentially causing ambiguity in that the effective date of each and every purported agreement for use of real property in Area B of the Presidio is not clear.

Section 1010.7(c)(23) Categorical Exclusion for Permits for Minor Development Activities

NPS comments that the proposed categorical exclusion for minor development activities, which is based upon a PADC exclusion of ''review'' of applications for permits for minor development activities, should not extend to ''issuance'' of such permits. Upon further review, and in light of the comments, the Trust has deleted this categorical exclusion from its final regulations.

Section 1010.7(c)(24) Categorical Exclusion for Rehabilitation of Historic Properties

NPS and AYS also comment that minor development activities and minor actions affecting historic properties should not be categorically excluded from NEPA on the grounds of compliance with the Secretary of Interior's ''Standards for Treatment of Historic Properties'' (36 CFR part 68) when, under NPS practice, determination of compliance with those standards occurs in the context of NEPA review.

While NEPA review provides an opportunity to determine compliance with the Secretary's standards, it is not a necessary or exclusive means to make such a determination. Therefore, under the regulations, minor projects that are properly excluded from NEPA review need not be subject to NEPA review solely to facilitate determination of compliance with the Secretary's historic properties standards. In order to ensure that only appropriate projects are covered by this categorical exclusion, the Trust has added to it a requirement that the proposed project have no or only minimal environmental impact. See 40 CFR 1508.8.

NPS also comments that the Trust must consider the environmental impact of this categorical exclusion on the status of the Presidio as a National Historic Landmark. Because this categorical exclusion only applies to actions that are in conformance with the Secretary's historic properties standards, there is no impact to the Presidio's status as a National Historic Landmark that requires evaluation in an EA.

Section 1010.7(c)(25) Categorical Exclusion for Rehabilitation of Non-Historic Properties

NPS and AYS comment that use of a categorical exclusion developed for HUD is misplaced, because the Trust, unlike HUD, is not charged with a mandate to develop housing. NPS and AYS also comment that increase of not more than 20% in unit density of housing facilities under the Trust's administrative jurisdiction could have significant environmental impacts that would require evaluation under NEPA. NPS further comments that this categorical exclusion would allow ''any non-historic building to be modified in any way'' without NEPA review, as long as the modification did not involve a change from residential to non-residential use or vice versa.

The Trust has substantially revised this categorical exclusion to remove the objectionable criteria that were part of the HUD categorical exclusion. Instead, the Trust has established two criteria called for under NEPA and applicable law: (1) That the action be consistent with applicable Executive Orders; and (2) that the action not have significant environmental impacts, including impacts to cultural landscapes or archaeological resources. Similar changes were made to the categorical exclusion proposed in § 1010.7(c)(27) related to removal of non-historic materials and structures.

Section 1010.7(c)(28) Categorical Exclusion for Activities Related to Minor Structures

NPS and AYS comment that this categorical exclusion, which combines parts of ten categorical exclusions developed by NPS, results in a single exclusion much broader in effect than the sum of the ten NPS exclusions. NPS and AYS comment that so broad an exclusion would discourage comprehensive planning and appropriate environmental review involving the public. NPS also comments that this categorical exclusion, which deleted the reference in some NPS exclusions to ''areas showing clear evidence of recent human disturbance,'' does not adequately recognize the importance of considering and protecting archaeological resources at the Presidio. In response to the comments, the Trust has replaced this categorical exclusion with ten categorical exclusions closely corresponding to the NPS models (NPS–C5, NPS–C8, NPS–C9, NPS–C10, NPS–C11, NPS–C12, NPS–C17, NPS–C18, NPS–C19, and NPS–D4). Modifications have been made where the NPS exclusions referred to features uncharacteristic of or inappropriate to the Presidio, such as pit toilets and logging roads.

Section 1010.7(c)(30) Categorical Exclusion for Utility Rights-of-Way

NPS and AYS comment that this exclusion, which combines parts of four NPS categorical exclusions, is overly broad and may discourage appropriate NEPA review to determine whether there would be visual intrusion or compliance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. In response to the comments, this categorical exclusion has been replaced by four exclusions more closely corresponding to the NPS models (NPS–C13, NPS–C14, NPS–C15,

NPS–C16). To address the visual impacts concern, modifications have been made to tailor the exclusions to the Presidio, including reference to the Secretary's Standards, in light of the Presidio's status as a National Historic Landmark. In addition, the Trust notes that the categorical exclusion for ''upgrading or adding new overhead utility facilities to existing poles'' also covers maintenance and repair of such facilities.

Section 1010.7(d) Extraordinary Circumstances

The CEQ regulations require that agency procedures to implement NEPA ''shall provide for extraordinary circumstances in which a normally excluded action may have a significant environmental effect.'' 40 CFR 1508.4. NPS and AYS comment that NPS–12 lists several specific exceptions to the categorical exclusions provided in that document and suggest that the Trust adopt all of these exceptions.

The CEQ regulations do not require the enumeration of specific circumstances in which an environmental document must be prepared despite the applicability of a categorical exclusion, and CEQ did not raise this issue in its review of an earlier draft of the Trust's proposed NEPA regulations. Although NPS–12 lists certain exceptions, the Trust does not believe that a complete enumeration is possible or appropriate in a document that—unlike NPS–12—is intended to be legally enforceable. The Trust nevertheless believes that clarity will result from enumeration of criteria that will be applied by the NEPA Compliance Coordinator in determining whether such ''extraordinary circumstances'' exist. The Trust has therefore identified several such criteria, based on the NPS list of ten exceptions, in § 1010.7(b) of these final regulations.

The Trust has modified certain of the NPS criteria, however, in order to account for the characteristics of the geographic area under the Trust's administrative jurisdiction, which does not encompass any wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, prime farmlands, or areas on the Department of the Interior's National Register of Natural Landmarks. The Trust has also added Executive Order 13007 (Indian Sacred Sites) to the list of executive orders in the ninth criterion. This executive order is specifically identified in the overriding criteria of the draft NPS–12.

In addition, the Trust has retitled this section using the term ''extraordinary circumstances''—which appears in the CEQ regulations—as opposed to ''overriding criteria.''

Section 1010.8 Actions That Normally Require an EIS

NPS and AYS comment that § 1010.8(c), listing categories of actions normally requiring an EIS, should not include an exception for actions that are categorically excluded. As discussed above concerning § 1010.7, the Trust agrees with this comment and has revised the regulation accordingly. For the sake of clarity, the listing of legislative proposals in § 1010.8(c)(1) is specifically limited to those not covered by the categorical exclusion of § 1010.7(a)(9) of these final regulations. The Trust has also made a conforming change to § 1010.10(c). AYS further proposes that § 1010.8 include a statement that ''even categorically excluded actions require NEPA analysis when there is the potential for adverse impacts.'' The Trust has considered this suggestion and believes it is unnecessary because this issue is already addressed with clarity in § 1010.7(b) of these final regulations.

NPS and AYS also comment that § 1010.8(c)(2), which provides that an EIS is normally required for actions associated with construction of new buildings and having a significant environmental effect, should not be limited to activities that were not contemplated in the Plan. NPS and AYS comment that whether an action having a significant environmental effect is contemplated in the Plan is irrelevant to whether the environmental effects of that action have been adequately analyzed. The regulation has been revised in light of these comments to remove the reference to an activity having been ''contemplated by the Plan.'' Removing these references to the Plan and the Plan EIS obviates the need for definitions of these terms, which have therefore been removed from § 1010.3.

NPS and AYS comment that § 1010.8(c)(3), which provides that an EIS is normally required for actions significantly altering the kind and amount of resources at the Presidio, does not specify that those resources include ''natural'' or ''scenic'' resources. The regulation has been revised to address these comments.

NPS also comments that the list of actions normally requiring preparation of an EIS should also include ''a General Management Plan, or its equivalent.'' The Trust agrees that the approval of a plan akin to what the NPS calls a ''General Management Plan'' would ordinarily require the preparation of an EIS. Because the Trust may not adhere to this nomenclature, however, the Trust has revised the regulation to refer to ''[a]pproval or amendment of a general land use or resource management plan for the entire Presidio Trust Area.''

Section 1010.10 Actions That Normally Require an EA

Section 1010.10(b) identifies the criteria used to determine categories of action normally requiring an EA, but not necessarily an EIS. Further internal review and consultation with CEQ resulted in the revision of these provisions to (1) remove the qualifier ''minor'' before ''degradation;'' (2) add the qualifier ''adverse'' before ''impact'' in the second and third items, since that is the primary concern of NEPA; and (3) for the sake of clarity, add a list of the type of resources that the Trust considers to be ''protected resources.''

Section 1010.10(c) lists actions that normally require preparation of an EA. NPS comments that this list should not include ''proposals to significantly add or alter access between the Presidio Trust Area and surrounding neighborhoods.'' NPS believes that actions ''significantly'' adding or altering access may have significant environmental effects that require preparation of an EIS, whereas some access alteration may only require an EA. Section 1010.10(c) has been revised to address this comment.

NPS also suggests that the list of actions normally requiring an EA include two items listed in the PADC regulations (at 36 CFR 907.11(b)(1), (5)). The first NPS suggestion covers amendments to a General Management Plan that do not represent ''substantial changes'' to such document. The Trust has not included this item for the reasons stated above in the discussion of § 1010.8. Instead, under the revision to § 1010.8(c)(4), the amendment of a general land use or resource management plan is intended to fall into the category of actions that normally would require preparation of an EIS. The second NPS suggestions covers contracts, work authorizations, and master agreements related to and implementing programs, policies and proposals. The regulations at § 1010.10(c)(5) have been revised to address this NPS comment.

As noted above, the Trust has deleted the parenthetical in § 1010.10(c) that would except from actions normally requiring an EA those that are categorically excluded or previously analyzed in an EA or EIS, since that exception is already stated clearly in § 1010.7.

Section 1010.11 Preparation of an EA

Following consultation with CEQ and further internal review, the Trust clarified § 1010.11(b) to note that an EA should include an analysis of cumulative impacts. The other revision to this section is discussed below.

Section 1010.12 Public Involvement

AYS comments that the proposed regulations provide no opportunity for public review of Trust actions that are covered by categorical exclusions. In response, the Trust notes that NEPA implementing procedures are intended simply to ensure that the Trust complies with NEPA. Information concerning determinations that specific actions or proposals are categorically excluded will be available in the Trust's library and from the Trust upon request. Furthermore, the Trust has in place, pursuant to Board Resolutions 97–3 and 98–16, a comprehensive Public Outreach Policy for sharing information with the public and seeking public comment. Since the first meeting of the Trust's Board of Directors in July 1997, the Board has held regular public meetings; staff have coordinated innumerable public input sessions on diverse topics; the Trust has routinely published a monthly newsletter and multiple fliers on issues of special interest; and Trust staff have initiated and participated in regular discussions with a variety of neighborhood, community, environmental, and business organizations. Under its Public Outreach Policy, the Trust maintains both an informative website and an extensive public library of relevant documents, including NEPA and other environmental documentation, concerning the Presidio. These opportunities supplement the public involvement requirements of the CEQ regulations at 40 CFR 1506.6 as well as the additional requirements of § 1010.12 of the Trust's NEPA regulations.

AYS comments that under the proposed rules the Trust is not required to designate a ''preferred alternative'' in the environmental documents it prepares and that such a requirement would facilitate public involvement and improve the usefulness to the Trust of information provided by commenters. The Trust notes that the CEQ regulations, to which the Trust is subject, require just such an identification in draft and final EIS's. 40 CFR 1502.14(e). Because the CEQ regulations mandate that an individual agency's regulations avoid restating the CEQ requirements, see 40 CFR 1507.3(a), the Trust has not reiterated this requirement in these regulations.

AYS comments that § 1010.12 fails to specify the precise means by which public notice will be provided. AYS further comments that the process followed by the Trust for notification of these proposed regulations indicates that greater specificity is needed in the text of the regulations, in order to ensure appropriate public notice in the future. The Trust regrets any confusion with respect to public notice that may have occurred at the time the proposed regulations were published. The Trust met its responsibility to provide notice and opportunity for public comment on the Trust's proposed NEPA regulations, including extending the comment period upon request in order to allow AYS to provide written comments. More substantively, the proposed regulations specify several means of public notification: ''Public notice of anticipated Trust actions that may have a significant environmental effect, opportunities for involvement, and availability of environmental documents will be provided through announcements in the Trust's monthly newsletter, postings on its web site (http://www.presidiotrust.gov), placement of public notices in newspapers, direct mailings, and other means appropriate for involving the public in a meaningful way.'' These means are in addition to the requirements of the CEQ regulations at 40 CFR 1506.6, which are more specific with regard to EA's and EIS's, as well as public hearings or meetings in the event of substantial environmental controversy, substantial interest, or a request by another agency with jurisdiction over the action.

Furthermore, the proposed regulations (at § 1010.12) contain a commitment to holding public scoping meetings and public workshops on projects subject to NEPA review. As noted above, the Trust has revised § 1010.5 to allow for public scoping meetings prior to the determination as to whether an EIS is required. The Trust has also revised § 1010.11(a) to require public notice once the Trust has determined to prepare an EA. AYS also requests that opportunities be provided for submittal of written scoping comments in order to allow opportunities for formal comment and for comment by interested parties who may not be able to attend a workshop. The Trust has revised § 1010.12 to clarify that the Trust will solicit and accept written scoping comments as part of the scoping process.

AYS also comments that the procedures of some agencies provide for EA's and EIS's to respond to written scoping comments. Such documents prepared by the Trust will reflect agencies' and the public's priority concerns as expressed through scoping. Nevertheless, there is no requirement for an individual response to written scoping comments under NEPA or the CEQ regulations. The Trust's NEPA regulations continue to allow the Trust the flexibility to tailor its responses to scoping comments to the particular circumstances of each action under review.

Section 1010.13 Trust Decision-Making Procedures

Following internal review and consultation with CEQ, the Trust revised § 1010.13(b) to provide for monitoring and enforcement of any mitigation measures adopted in an EIS.

Section 1010.15 Actions Where Lead Agency Designation is Necessary

The NPS comments that this section should not provide that the Trust will seek designation as lead agency for all actions ''that directly relate to implementation of the general objectives of the Plan,'' because some such actions may relate to Area A of the Presidio, over which NPS—and not the Trust—has administrative jurisdiction. Because circumstances in which the Trust would seek lead agency status are likely to be covered by the criteria of 40 CFR 1501.5 and the other criteria identified in § 1010.15, the Trust has revised this section in accordance with this NPS comment.

Following internal review and consultation with CEQ, the Trust also modified § 1010.15(b) and (c) to allow for the Trust to establish itself as ''joint lead agency'' for appropriate actions.

Section 1010.17 Actions to Eliminate Duplication With State and Local Procedures

Upon further review of § 1010.17(d), the Trust has clarified it to include not only ''joint environmental assessments'' but also joint Environmental Impact Statements/Environmental Impact Reports. The California Environmental Quality Act requires the preparation of Environmental Impact Reports (EIRs) for certain actions, and the preparation of a combined EIS/EIR for appropriate actions would serve to reduce duplication with State and local procedures.

Regulatory Impact

This final rule will not have an annual effect of $100 million or more on the economy nor adversely affect productivity, competition, jobs, prices, the environment, public health or safety, or State or local governments.

This final rule will not interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency or raise new legal or policy issues. In short, little or no effect on the national economy will result from adoption of this final rule. Because this final rule is not ''economically significant,'' it is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866. Furthermore, this final rule is not a ''major rule'' under the Congressional review provisions of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act, 5 U.S.C. sec. 801 *et seq*.

The Trust has determined and certifies pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq*., that this final rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities.

The Trust has determined and certifies pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act, 2 U.S.C. 1502 *et seq*., that this final rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on local, State, or tribal governments or private entities.

Environmental Impact

Although not required to do so, the Trust prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in connection with the proposed rule. The EA determined that the proposed rule would not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment because it was neither intended nor expected to change the physical status quo of the Presidio in any significant manner. Comments on that EA were received from both AYS and NPS. The Trust has prepared a response to these comments, which is part of the administrative record on this matter.

The EA, the FONSI, and the administrative record are available for public inspection at the offices of the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, The Presidio, San Francisco, CA 94129, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Other Applicable Authorities

The Presidio Trust has drafted and reviewed this final rule in light of Executive Order 12988 and has determined that it meets the applicable standards provided in secs. 3(a) and (b) of that order.

List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 1010

Administrative practice and procedure, Environmental impact statements, National parks, Public lands, Recreation and recreation areas.

Dated: September 9, 2000.

Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

Accordingly, the Presidio Trust adds 36 CFR part 1010, as set forth below:

PART 1010–ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Sec.

1010.1 Policy.
1010.2 Purpose.
1010.3 Definitions.
1010.4 NEPA Compliance Coordinator.
1010.5 Major decision points.
1010.6 Determination of requirement for EA or EIS.
1010.7 Actions that do not require an EA or EIS.
1010.8 Actions that normally require an EIS.
1010.9 Preparation of an EIS.
1010.10 Actions that normally require an EA.
1010.11 Preparation of an EA.
1010.12 Public involvement.
1010.13 Trust decision-making procedures.
1010.14 Review of proposals by project applicants.
1010.15 Actions where lead agency designation is necessary.
1010.16 Actions to encourage agency cooperation early in the NEPA process.
1010.17 Actions to eliminate duplication with State and local procedures.

Authority: Pub. L. 104–333, 110 Stat. 4097 (16 U.S.C. sec. 460bb note); 42 U.S.C. sec. 4321 *et seq*.; 40 CFR 1507.3.

§ 1010.1 Policy.

The Presidio Trust's policy is to:

(a) Use all practical means, consistent with the Trust's statutory authority, available resources, and national policy, to protect and enhance the quality of the human environment;

(b) Ensure that environmental factors and concerns are given appropriate consideration in decisions and actions by the Trust;

(c) Use systematic and timely approaches which will ensure the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and environmental design arts in planning and decision-making which may have an impact on the human environment;

(d) Develop and utilize ecological, cultural, and other environmental information in the management of the Presidio Trust

Area and its natural, historic, scenic, cultural, and recreational resources pursuant to the Trust Act;

(e) Invite the cooperation and encourage the participation, where appropriate, of Federal, State, and local authorities and the public in Trust planning and decision-making processes that affect the quality of the human environment; and

(f) Minimize any possible adverse effects of Trust decisions and actions upon the quality of the human environment.

§ 1010.2 Purpose.

The regulations in this part incorporate and supplement the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations at 40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508 for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), and otherwise to describe how the Trust intends to consider environmental factors and concerns in the Trust's decision-making process within the requirements set forth in NEPA and CEQ regulations.

§ 1010.3 Definitions.

(a) The following terms have the following meanings as used in this part:

Decision-maker means the Board or its designee.

EA means an environmental assessment, as defined at 40 CFR 1508.9.

EIS means an environmental impact statement, as defined at 40 CFR 1508.11.

Project applicant means an individual, firm, partnership, corporation, joint venture, or other public or private entity other than the Trust (including a combination of more than one such entities) which seeks to demolish, construct, reconstruct, develop, preserve, rehabilitate, or restore real property within the Presidio Trust Area.

(b) If not defined in this part or in this chapter, other terms used in this part have the same meanings as those provided in 40 CFR part 1508.

§ 1010.4 NEPA Compliance Coordinator.

(a) The NEPA Compliance Coordinator, as designated by the Executive Director, shall be the Trust official responsible for implementation and operation of the Trust's policies and procedures on environmental quality and control. The delegation of this responsibility shall not abrogate the responsibility of the Executive Director and the Board to ensure that NEPA and other applicable laws are followed, or the right of the Executive Director and the Board to overrule or alter decisions of the NEPA Compliance Coordinator in accordance with the Trust's regulations and procedures.

(b) The NEPA Compliance Coordinator shall:

(1) Coordinate the formulation and revision of Trust policies and procedures on matters pertaining to environmental protection and enhancement;

(2) Establish and maintain working relationships with relevant government agencies concerned with environmental matters;

(3) Develop procedures within the Trust's planning and decision-making processes to ensure that environmental factors are properly considered in all proposals and decisions in accordance with this part;

(4) Develop, monitor, and review the Trust's implementation of standards, procedures, and working relationships for protection and enhancement of environmental quality and compliance with applicable laws and regulations;

(5) Monitor processes to ensure that the Trust's procedures regarding consideration of environmental quality are achieving their intended purposes;

(6) Advise the Board, officers, and employees of the Trust of technical and management requirements of environmental analysis, of appropriate expertise available, and, in consultation with the Trust's General Counsel, of relevant legal developments;

(7) Monitor the consideration and documentation of the environmental aspects of the Trust's planning and decision-making processes by appropriate officers and employees of the Trust;

(8) Ensure that all EA's and EIS's are prepared in accordance with the appropriate regulations adopted by the CEQ and the Trust;

(9) Consolidate and transmit to appropriate parties the Trust's comments on EIS's and other environmental reports prepared by other agencies;

(10) Acquire information and prepare appropriate reports on environmental matters required of the Trust;

(11) Coordinate Trust efforts to make available to other parties information and advice on the Trust's policies for protecting and enhancing the quality of the environment; and

(12) Designate other Trust employees to execute these duties under the supervision of the NEPA Compliance Coordinator, where necessary for administrative convenience and efficiency. As used in this chapter, the term ''NEPA Compliance Coordinator'' includes any such designee.

§ 1010.5 Major decision points.

(a) The possible environmental effects of a proposed action or project within the Presidio Trust Area must be considered along with technical, financial, and other factors throughout the decision-making process. Most Trust projects have three distinct stages in the decision-making process:

(1) Conceptual or preliminary study stage;

(2) Detailed planning or final decision stage;

(3) Implementation stage.

(b) Environmental review will be integrated into the decision-making process of the Trust as follows:

(1) During the conceptual or preliminary study stage, the NEPA Compliance Coordinator shall determine whether the proposed action or project is one which is categorically excluded under § 1010.7, has been adequately reviewed in a previously prepared EA and/or EIS, or requires further NEPA review (*i.e.,* an EA or an EIS).

(2) If the proposed action or project is not categorically excluded and has not been adequately reviewed in a previously prepared EA and/or EIS, then prior to the Trust's proceeding beyond the conceptual or preliminary study stage, the NEPA Compliance Coordinator must determine whether an EIS is required. When appropriate, prior to the determination as to whether an EIS is required, the NEPA Compliance Coordinator may initiate a public scoping process in order to inform such a determination.

(3) If an EIS is determined to be necessary, the Trust shall initiate a public scoping process in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.7. An EIS, if determined necessary, must be completed and circulated at the earliest point at which meaningful analysis can be developed for the proposed action or project and prior to the Trust's final approval of the proposed action or project.

§ 1010.6 Determination of requirement for EA or EIS.

In deciding whether to require the preparation of an EA or an EIS, the NEPA Compliance Coordinator will determine whether the proposal is one that:

(a) Normally does not require either an EA or an EIS;

(b) Normally requires an EIS; or

(c) Normally requires an EA, but not necessarily an EIS.

§ 1010.7 Actions that do not require an EA or EIS.

(a) *Categorical Exclusions.* Pursuant to 40 CFR 1508.4, the Trust has determined that the categories of action identified in this paragraph have no significant effect, either individually or cumulatively, on the human environment and are therefore categorically excluded. Such actions (whether approved by the Trust or undertaken by the Trust directly or indirectly) do not require the preparation of an EA or an EIS:

(1) Personnel actions and investigations and personal services contracts;

(2) Administrative actions and operations directly related to the operation of the Trust (*e.g.,* purchase of furnishings, services, and equipment) provided such actions and operations are consistent with applicable Executive Orders;

(3) Internal organizational changes and facility and office expansions, reductions, and closings;

(4) Routine financial transactions, including such things as salaries and expenses, procurement, guarantees, financial assistance, income transfers, audits, fees, bonds and royalties;

(5) Management, formulation, allocation, transfer and reprogramming of the Trust's budget;

(6) Routine and continuing government business, including such things as supervision, administration, operations, maintenance, and replacement activities having limited context and intensity (limited size and magnitude or short-term effects);

(7) Preparation, issuance, and submittal of publications and routine reports;

(8) Activities which are educational, informational, or advisory (including interpretive programs), or otherwise in consultation with or providing technical assistance to other agencies, public and private entities, visitors, individuals, or the general public;

(9) Legislative proposals of an administrative or technical nature, including such things as changes in authorizations for appropriations or financing authority, minor boundary changes and land transactions; or having primarily economic, social, individual or institutional effects, as well as comments and reports on legislative proposals;

(10) Proposal, adoption, revision, and termination of policies, directives, regulations, and guidelines:

(i) That are of an administrative, financial, legal, technical, or procedural nature, the environmental effects of which are too broad, speculative, or conjectural to lend themselves to environmental analysis and the implementation of which will be subject to the NEPA process either collectively or on a case-by-case basis; or

(ii) Where such actions will not potentially:

(A) Increase public use to the extent of compromising the nature and character of the area or of causing significant physical damage to it;

(B) Introduce non-compatible uses that might compromise the nature and characteristics of the area or cause significant physical damage to it;

(C) Conflict with adjacent ownerships or land uses; or

(D) Cause a significant nuisance to adjacent owners or occupants;

(11) Preparation, approval, coordination, and implementation of plans, including priorities, justifications, and strategies, for research, monitoring, inventorying, and information gathering that is not or is only minimally manipulative and causes no or only minimal physical damage;

(12) Identification, nomination, certification, and determination of eligibility of properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places and the National Historic Landmark and National Natural Landmark Programs;

(13) Minor or temporary changes in amounts or types of visitor use for the purpose of ensuring visitor safety or resource protection, minor changes in programs or regulations pertaining to visitor activities, and approval of permits or other use and occupancy agreements for special events or public assemblies and meetings, provided such events, assemblies, and meetings entail only short-term or readily mitigated environmental impacts;

(14) Designation of environmental study areas and research areas, including those closed temporarily or permanently to the public, provided such designation would cause no or only minimal environmental impact;

(15) Land and boundary surveys and minor boundary adjustments or transfers of administrative jurisdiction resulting in no significant change in land use;

(16) Archaeological surveys and permits involving only surface collection or small-scale test excavations;

(17) Changes or amendments to an approved plan or action when such changes or amendments would cause no or only minimal environmental impact;

(18) Contracts, work authorizations, or procurement actions related to proposals, programs, and master agreements related to administrative operation of the Trust;

(19) The leasing, permitting, sale, or financing of, or granting of non-fee interests regarding, real or personal property in the Presidio Trust Area, provided that such actions would have no or only minimal environmental impact;

(20) Extension, reissuance, renewal, minor modification, or conversion in form of agreements for use of real property (including but not limited to leases, permits, licenses, concession contracts, use and occupancy agreements, easements, and rights-of-way), so long as such agreements were previously subject to NEPA and do not involve new construction or new or substantially greater environmental impacts, and so long as no new information is known or no changed circumstances have occurred that would give rise to new or substantially greater environmental impacts.

(21) Rehabilitation, modification, or improvement of historic properties that have been determined to be in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's ''Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties'' at 36 CFR part 68 and that would have no or only minimal environmental impact;

(22) Rehabilitation, maintenance, modification or improvement of non-historic properties that is consistent with applicable Executive Orders, provided there is no potential for significant environmental impacts, including impacts to cultural landscapes or archaeological resources;

(23) Removal, reduction, or restraint of resident individuals of species that are not threatened or endangered which pose dangers to visitors, residents, or neighbors or immediate threats to resources of the Presidio Trust Area;

(24) Removal of non-historic materials and structures in order to restore natural conditions when such removal has no potential for significant environmental impacts, including impacts to cultural landscapes or archaeological resources and is consistent with applicable Executive Orders;

(25) Installation of signs, displays, and kiosks, etc.;

(26) Replacement of minor structures and facilities (*e.g.*, signs, kiosks, fences, comfort stations, and parking lots) with little or no change in location, capacity, or appearance;

(27) Repair, resurfacing, striping, installation of traffic control devices, and repair/replacement of guardrails, culverts, signs, and other minor features, on existing roads and parking facilities, provided there is no potential for significant environmental impact;

(28) Minor trail relocation, development of compatible trail networks on roads or other formally established routes, and trail maintenance and repair;

(29) Construction or rehabilitation in previously disturbed or developed areas required to meet health or safety regulations, or to meet requirements for making facilities accessible to the handicapped provided such construction or rehabilitation is implemented in a manner consistent with applicable Executive Orders;

(30) Landscaping and landscape maintenance in previously disturbed or developed areas;

(31) Minor changes in programs and regulations pertaining to visitor activities;

(32) Routine maintenance, property management, and resource management, with no potential for significant environmental impact and that are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's ''Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties'' at 36 CFR part 68, as applicable, and with applicable Executive Orders;

(33) Upgrading or adding new utility facilities to existing poles, or replacement poles which do not change existing pole line configurations.

(34) Issuance of rights-of-way for overhead utility lines to an individual building or well from an existing line where installation will not result in significant visual intrusion or non-conformance with the Secretary's ''Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties'' at 36 CFR part 68, as applicable, and will involve no clearance of vegetation other than for placement of poles;

(35) Issuance of rights-of-way for minor overhead utility lines not involving placement of poles or towers and not involving vegetation management or significant visual intrusion in an area administered by NPS or the Trust or non-conformance with the Secretary's ''Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties'' at 36 CFR part 68, as applicable;

(36) Installation of underground utilities in previously disturbed areas having stable soils, or in an existing utility right-of-way; and

(37) Experimental testing of no longer than 180 days of mass transit systems, and changes in operation of existing systems with no potential for significant environmental impact.

(b) *Extraordinary circumstances.* An action that falls into one or more of the categories in paragraph (a) of this section may still require the preparation of an EIS or an EA if the NEPA Compliance Coordinator determines that it meets the criteria stated in § 1010.8(b) or § 1010.10(b), respectively, or involves extraordinary circumstances that may have a significant environmental effect. At its discretion, the Trust may require the preparation of an EA or an EIS for a proposal or action that otherwise qualifies for a categorical exclusion. Criteria used in determining whether to prepare an EA or EIS for an action that otherwise qualifies for a

categorical exclusion include whether an action may:

(1) Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety;

(2) Have significant adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources, park, recreation or refuge lands, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, or ecologically significant or critical areas;

(3) Have highly controversial environmental effects;

(4) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks;

(5) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects;

(6) Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects;

(7) Have significant adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;

(8) Have significant adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species;

(9) Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), Executive Order 13007 (Indian Sacred Sites), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act; and/or

(10) Threaten to violate a Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.

§ 1010.8 Actions that normally require an EIS.

(a) *General procedure.* So long as a proposed action or project is not categorically excluded under § 1010.7, the Trust shall require the preparation of an EA to determine if the proposed action or project requires an EIS. Nevertheless, if it is readily apparent to the NEPA Compliance Coordinator that the proposed action or project will have a significant impact on the environment, an EA is not required, and the Trust will prepare or direct the preparation of an EIS without preparing or completing the preparation of an EA. To assist the NEPA Compliance Coordinator in determining if a proposal or action normally requires the preparation of an EIS, the following criteria and categories of action are provided.

(b) *Criteria.* Criteria used to determine whether proposals or actions may significantly affect the environment and therefore require an EIS are described in 40 CFR 1508.27.

(c) *Categories of action.* The following categories of action normally require an EIS:

(1) Legislative proposals made by the Trust to the United States Congress, other than those described in § 1010.7(b)(9);

(2) Approval, funding, construction, and/or demolition in preparation for construction of any new building, if that activity has a significant effect on the human environment;

(3) Proposals that would significantly alter the kind and amount of natural, recreational, historical, scenic, or cultural resources of the Presidio Trust Area or the integrity of the setting; and

(4) Approval or amendment of a general land use or resource management plan for the entire Presidio Trust Area.

§ 1010.9 Preparation of an EIS.

(a) *Notice of intent.* When the Trust decides to prepare an EIS, it shall publish a notice of intent in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22. Where there is a lengthy period between the Trust's decision to prepare an EIS and the time of actual preparation, then at the discretion of the NEPA Compliance Coordinator the notice of intent shall be published at a reasonable time in advance of preparation of the EIS.

(b) *Preparation.* After having determined that an EIS will be prepared and having published the notice of intent, the Trust will begin to prepare or to direct the preparation of the EIS. The EIS shall be formatted in accordance with 40 CFR 1502.10.

(c) *Supplemental environmental impact statements.* The Trust may supplement a draft or final EIS at any time. The Trust shall prepare a supplement to either a draft or final EIS when:

(1) Substantial changes are proposed to an action analyzed in the draft or final EIS that are relevant to environmental concerns;

(2) There are significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action or its impacts; or

(3) Actions are proposed which relate to or are similar to other actions taken or proposed and that together will have a cumulatively significant impact on the human environment.

§ 1010.10 Actions that normally require an EA.

(a) *General procedure.* If a proposal or action is not one that normally requires an EIS, and does not qualify for a categorical exclusion under § 1010.7, the Trust will require, prepare, or direct the preparation of an EA. An EA should be prepared when the Trust has insufficient information on which to determine whether a proposal may have significant impacts. An EA assists the Trust in complying with NEPA when no EIS is necessary, and it facilitates the preparation of an EIS, if one is necessary.

(b) *Criteria.* Criteria used to determine those categories of action that normally require an EA, but not necessarily an EIS, include:

(1) Potential for degradation of environmental quality;

(2) Potential for cumulative adverse impact on environmental quality; and

(3) Potential for adverse impact on protected resources (*e.g.,* natural, scenic, recreational, historical, and cultural resources).

(c) *Categories of action.* The following categories of action normally require the preparation of an EA:

(1) Promulgation of regulations and requirements that are not categorically excluded;

(2) Proposals submitted by project applicants to the Trust for its review, as described in § 1010.14;

(3) Proposals to add or alter access between the Presidio Trust Area and surrounding neighborhoods; and

(4) Contracts, work authorizations, and master agreements related to and implementing programs, policies, and proposals which are not categorically excluded and for which there is no previously prepared EA and/or EIS.

§ 1010.11 Preparation of an EA.

(a) *When to prepare.* The Trust will begin the preparation of an EA (or require it to be begun) as early as possible after it is determined by the NEPA Compliance Coordinator to be required. The Trust will provide notice of such determinations in accordance with § 1010.12. The Trust may prepare or require an EA at any time to assist planning and decision-making.

(b) *Content and format.* An EA is a concise public document used to determine whether to prepare an EIS. An EA should address impacts, including cumulative impacts, on those resources that are specifically relevant to the particular proposal. Those impacts should be addressed in as much detail as is necessary to allow an analysis of the alternatives and the proposal. The EA shall contain brief discussions of the following topics:

(1) Purpose and need for the proposed action.

(2) Description of the proposed action.

(3) Alternatives considered, including a No Action alternative.

(4) Environmental effects of the proposed action and the alternatives, including mitigation measures.

(5) Listing of agencies, organizations, and/or persons consulted.

(c) *Finding of no significant impact (FONSI).* If an EA is completed and the NEPA Compliance Coordinator determines that an EIS is not required, then the NEPA Compliance Coordinator shall prepare a finding of no significant impact. The finding of no significant impact shall be made available to the public by the Trust as specified in 40 CFR 1506.6.

(d) *Mitigated FONSI.* If an EA is completed and the NEPA

Compliance Coordinator determines that an EIS is required, then prior to preparation of an EIS, the proposal may be revised in order to mitigate the impacts identified in the EA through adherence to legal requirements, inclusion of mitigation as an integral part of the proposal, and/or fundamental changes to the proposal. A supplemental EA will be prepared on the revised proposal and will result in a Mitigated Finding of No Significant Impact, preparation of an EIS, or additional revision of the proposal and a supplemental EA.

§ 1010.12 Public involvement.

The Trust will make public involvement an essential part of its environmental review process. Public notice of anticipated Trust actions that may have a significant environmental impact, opportunities for involvement, and availability of environmental documents will be provided through announcements in the Trust's monthly newsletter, postings on its web site (www.presidiotrust.gov), placement of public notices in newspapers, direct mailings, and other means appropriate for involving the public in a meaningful way. The Trust will conduct scoping with interested federal, state and local agencies and Indian tribes, will solicit and accept written scoping comments and will hold public scoping meetings to gather early input whenever it determines an EIS to be necessary and otherwise as appropriate. Notice of all public scoping meetings will be given in a timely manner. Interested persons may also obtain information concerning any pending EIS or any other element of the environmental review process of the Trust by contacting the NEPA Compliance Coordinator at the following address: Presidio Trust, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, California 94129–0052.

§ 1010.13 Trust decision-making procedures.

To ensure that at major decision-making points all relevant environmental concerns are considered by the decision-maker, the following procedures are established.

(a) An environmental document (*i.e.,* the EA, finding of no significant impact, EIS, or notice of intent), in addition to being prepared at the earliest point in the decision-making process, shall accompany the relevant proposal or action through the Trust's decision-making process to ensure adequate consideration of environmental factors.

(b) The Trust shall consider in its decision-making process only decision alternatives encompassed by the range of alternatives discussed in the relevant environmental documents. Also, where an EIS has been prepared, the Trust shall consider all alternatives described in the EIS, a written record of the consideration of alternatives during the decision-making process shall be maintained, and a monitoring and enforcement program shall be adopted and summarized where applicable for any mitigation.

(c) Any environmental document prepared for a proposal or action shall be made part of the record of any formal rulemaking by the Trust.

§ 1010.14 Review of proposals by project applicants.

(a) An EA shall be required for each proposal for demolition, construction, reconstruction, development, preservation, rehabilitation, or restoration of real property submitted by a project applicant to the Trust for its review, and which the decision-maker agrees to consider, unless categorically excluded or covered by a previously prepared EA and/or EIS.

(b) The decision-maker may not take any approval action on such a proposal submitted by a project applicant until such time as the appropriate environmental review documents have been prepared and submitted to the decision-maker.

(c) At a minimum, and as part of any submission made by a project applicant to the decision-maker for its approval, such project applicant shall make available data and materials concerning the proposal sufficient to permit the Trust to carry out its environmental review responsibilities. When requested, the project applicant shall provide additional information that the NEPA Compliance Coordinator believes is necessary to permit it to satisfy its environmental review functions.

(d) With respect to each project proposed for consideration for which the NEPA Compliance Coordinator determines that an EA shall be prepared, the decision-maker may require a project applicant to submit a draft EA regarding its proposal for the Trust's evaluation and revision. In accordance with 40 CFR 1506.5(b), the Trust shall make its own evaluation of the environmental issues and shall take responsibility for the scope and content of the final EA.

(e) With respect to each project proposed for consideration for which the NEPA Compliance Coordinator determines an EIS shall be prepared, the decision-maker may require a project applicant to pay a non-refundable fee to the Trust sufficient to cover a portion or all of the Trust's anticipated costs associated with preparation and review of the EIS, including costs associated with review under other applicable laws. Such fee shall be paid to the Trust in full prior to commencement of the preparation of the EIS or any amendment or supplement thereto.

(f) In accordance with 40 CFR 1506.5(C), the EIS shall be prepared by the Trust and/or by contractors who are selected by the Trust and who certify that they have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the project, and the Trust shall independently evaluate the EIS prior to its approval and take responsibility for ensuring its adequacy. The EIS shall be prepared in accordance with 40 CFR part 1502.

(g) The NEPA Compliance Coordinator may set time limits for environmental review appropriate to each proposal, consistent with 40 CFR 1501.8 and 1506.10.

(h) The NEPA Compliance Coordinator shall at the earliest possible time ensure that the Trust commences its environmental review on a proposed project and shall provide the project applicant with any policies or information deemed appropriate in order to permit effective and timely review by the Trust of a proposal once it is submitted to the decision-maker for approval.

§ 1010.15 Actions where lead agency designation is necessary.

(a) Consistent with 40 CFR 1501.5, where a proposed action by the Trust involves one or more other Federal agencies, or where actions by the Trust and one or more Federal agencies are directly related to each other because of their functional interdependence or geographical proximity, the Trust will seek designation as lead agency for those actions that relate solely to the Presidio Trust Area.

(b) For an action that qualifies as one for which the Trust will seek

designation as lead agency, the Trust will promptly consult with the appropriate Federal agencies to establish lead agency, joint lead agency, and/or cooperating agency designations.

(c) For an action as to which the Trust undertakes lead, joint lead, or cooperating agency status, the Trust is authorized to enter into a memorandum of understanding or agreement to define the rights and responsibilities of the relevant agencies.

§ 1010.16 Actions to encourage agency cooperation early in the NEPA process.

Consistent with 40 CFR 1501.6, the Trust may request the NPS to be a cooperating agency for actions or projects significantly affecting the quality of the Presidio. In addition, upon request of the Trust, any other Federal, State, local, or tribal agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental issue that should be addressed in the analysis may be a cooperating agency. The Trust shall use the environmental analysis and proposals of cooperating agencies with jurisdiction by law or special expertise to the maximum extent possible consistent with its responsibility as lead or joint lead agency.

§ 1010.17 Actions to eliminate duplication with State and local procedures.

Consistent with 40 CFR 1506.2, the Trust shall cooperate with State and local agencies to the fullest extent possible to reduce duplication between NEPA and State and local requirements. Such cooperation shall to the fullest extent possible include:

(a) Joint planning processes;

(b) Joint environmental research and studies;

(c) Joint public hearings (except where otherwise provided by statute); and

(d) Joint environmental assessments and/or Environmental Impact Statements/Environmental Impact Reports.

[FR Doc. 00–23710 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–4R–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 226–0250; FRL–6852–7]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Tehama County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is finalizing approval of revisions to the Tehama County Air Pollution Control District (TCAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions were proposed in the **Federal Register** on April 17, 2000, and concern oxides of nitrogen (NO_X) emissions from fuel burning equipment. We are approving a local rule that regulates these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective on October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: You can inspect copies of the administrative record for this action at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 ''L'' Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Tehama County APCD, P.O. Box 38 (1750 Walnut Street) Red Bluff, CA 96080.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ed Addison, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744–1160.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, ''we,'' ''us'' and ''our'' refer to EPA.

I. Proposed Action

On April 17, 2000 (65 FR 20426), EPA proposed to approve the following rule into the California SIP.

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule Title	Adopted	Submitted
TCAPCD	4.14	Fuel Burning Equipment	November 3, 1998	May 13, 1999.

We proposed to approve this rule because we determined that it complied with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rule and our evaluation.

II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

EPA's proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received no comments.

III. EPA Action

No comments were submitted that change our assessment that the submitted rule complies with the relevant CAA requirements. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, EPA is fully approving this rule into the California SIP.

IV. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a ''significant regulatory action'' and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4). For the same reason, this rule also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998). This rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR

19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the ''Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings'' issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register.** A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register.** This action is not a ''major rule'' as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 14, 2000. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: August 3, 2000.

John Wise,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(263)(i)(D)(*2*) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(263) * * *

(i) * * *

(D) * * *

(*2*) Rule 4.14 adopted on November 3, 1998.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 00–23651 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP–301005; FRL–6589–3]

RIN 2070–AB

Difenoconazole; Pesticide Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes a tolerance for residues of difenoconazole [(2*S*,4*R*)/(2*R*/4*S*)]/[(2*R*/4*R*)/(2*S*,4*S*) 1-(2-[4-(4-chlorophenoxy)-2-chlorophenyl]-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl-methyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole in or on canola, seed. Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. requested this tolerance under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996.

DATES: This regulation is effective September 15, 2000. Objections and requests for hearings, identified by docket control number OPP–301005, must be received by EPA on or before November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests may be submitted by mail, in person, or by courier. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit VI. of the ''SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.'' To ensure proper receipt by EPA, your objections and hearing requests must identify docket control number OPP–301005 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Cynthia Giles-Parker, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW.,Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 305–7740; and e-mail address: giles-parker.cynthia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Categories	NAICS codes	Examples of Potentially Affected Entities
Industry	111 112 311 32532	Crop production Animal production Food manufacturing Pesticide manufacturing

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether or not this action might apply to certain entities. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically.*You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and

certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. To access this document, on the Home Page select ''Laws and Regulations'' and then look up the entry for this document under the ''**Federal Register**—Environmental Documents.'' You can also go directly to the**Federal Register** listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

2. *In person*. The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number OPP–301005. The official record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

II. Background and Statutory Findings

In the **Federal Register** of May 5, 1999 (64 FR 24153) (FRL–6072–7), EPA issued a notice pursuant to section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) (Public Law 104–170) announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP) for tolerance by Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. This notice included a summary of the petition prepared by Novartis Crop Protection, Inc., the registrant. There were no comments received in response to this notice of filing.

The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.475 be amended by establishing a tolerance for the fungicide, difenoconazole [(2*S*,4*R*)/(2*R*/4*S*)]/[(2*R*/4*R*)/(2*S*,4*S*) 1-(2-[4-(4-chlorophenoxy)-2-chlorophenyl]-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl-methyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole, in or on canola, seed at 0.01 ppm.

Section 408(b)(2)(A)(i) of the FFDCA allows EPA to establish a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is ''safe.'' Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii) defines ''safe'' to mean that ''there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information.'' This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings, but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to ''ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue....''

EPA performs a number of analyses to determine the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide residues. For further discussion of the regulatory requirements of section 408 and a complete description of the risk assessment process, see the final rule on Bifenthrin Pesticide Tolerances (62 FR 62961, November 26, 1997) (FRL–5754–7).

III. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety

Consistent with section 408(b)(2)(D), EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of and to make a determination on aggregate exposure, consistent with section 408(b)(2), for a tolerance for residues of difenoconazole [(2*S*,4*R*)/(2*R*/4*S*)]/[(2*R*/4*R*)/(2*S*,4*S*) 1-(2-[4-(4-chlorophenoxy)-2-chlorophenyl]-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl-methyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole in or on canola, seed at 0.01 ppm. EPA's assessment of the dietary exposures and risks associated with establishing the tolerance follows.

A. Toxicological Profile

EPA has evaluated the available toxicity data and considered its validity, completeness, and reliability as well as the relationship of the results of the studies to human risk. EPA has also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children. The nature of the toxic effects caused by difenoconazole are discussed in this unit.

Difenoconazole possesses low acute toxicity. Technical difenoconazole had the following acute toxicology endpoints and toxicity categories. The acute oral toxicity Lethal Dose 50% (LD_{50}) was 1,453 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) (Toxicity Category III). The acute dermal toxicity LD_{50} was >2,010 mg/kg (Toxicity Category III). The acute inhalation Lethal Concentration 50% (LC_{50}) was >3,300 milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m^3; 4 hours of exposure; Toxicity Category IV). The primary eye irritation results were mild eye irritation, reversible in 7 days (Toxicity Category III). The primary skin irritation results were slight irritation (Toxicity Category IV). The dermal sensitization results were negative.

Subchronic studies in mice and rats manifested decreased body weights, decreased body weight gains, and effects on the liver at 200 ppm and higher. Microscopic examination of the eyes of dogs at 3,000 ppm revealed unilateral and bilateral lenticular cataracts in both sexes of animals. Decreased body weights, body weight gains, and food consumption were reported in a 21-day rabbit dermal study at the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 100 mg/kg/day.

Chronic studies in rats revealed decreased body weight gains and increased liver weights along with hepatocellular hypertrophy. Clinical chemistry data supported the liver pathology data suggesting that the liver was the primary target organ. There were no treatment-related neoplastic effects. The LOAEL was 500 ppm (equal to 24.12 and 32.79 milligrams per kilogram per day (mg/kg/day) for males and females, respectively) and the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) was 20 ppm (equal to 0.96 and 1.27 mg/kg/day for males and females, respectively).

Chronic feeding studies in mice showed decreased body weight gains in male and female mice at termination. Treatment-related non-neoplastic lesions were confined to the liver and were supported by the clinical chemistry data at a level of 300 ppm (46.29 and 57.79 mg/kg/day for males and females, respectively). Liver tumors were observed in mice at 300 ppm and higher; however, based on the excessive toxicity observed at the two highest doses of 2,500 and 4,500 ppm (females terminated after 2 weeks due to excessive toxicity resulting in moribundity and death), the absence of tumors at the two lower doses of 10 and 30 ppm, and the absence of genotoxic effects, the Cancer Peer Review Committee (CPRC) recommended for a cancer classification of C (possible human carcinogen) and advocated a Margin of Exposure (MOE) approach to risk assessment utilizing the NOAEL of 30 ppm (4.7 and 5.6 mg/kg/day in males and females, respectively) and the LOAEL of 300 ppm (46.3 and 57.8 mg/

kg/day in males and females, respectively) from the mouse study, using only those biological endpoints which were related to tumor development (i.e., hepatocellular hypertrophy, liver necrosis, fatty changes in the liver, and bile stasis).

The chronic study in beagle dogs revealed decreased body weight gains throughout the study at 500 ppm and increased levels of alkaline phosphatase at 1,500 ppm (equal to 51.2 and 44.3 mg/kg/day for males and females, respectively). The LOAEL was 500 ppm (equal to 16.4 and 19.4 mg/kg/day for males and females respectively) and the NOAEL was 100 ppm (equal to 3.4 and 3.7 mg/kg/day for males and females, respectively).

The results of the 2-generation and developmental studies did not demonstrate increased sensitivity to infants and children.

Neurotoxicity studies are not applicable as this chemical is not a cholinesterase inhibitor and there is no evidence in the available data base that difenoconazole possesses neurotoxic properties. It is not structurally related to known neurotoxic compounds.

Mutagenicity studies indicated that difenoconazole was not mutagenic under the test conditions.

Metabolism studies in rats indicated that peak absorption occurred between 28 and 48 hours post-dosing. Elimination in the feces ranged between 78% and 94% and in the urine between 8% and 21%. Difenoconazole did not accumulate to any appreciable extent, since tissues contained less than 1.0% of the radioactivity after 7 days post-dosing. Difenoconazole undergoes successive oxidation and conjugation reactions. There is saturation of the metabolic pathway at high doses. The distribution, metabolism, and excretion of difenoconazole are not sex-dependent.

The overall quality of the toxicology data base is good. Confidence in the hazard and dose response assessment is also good. There are no toxicology data gaps.

B. Toxicological Endpoints

An inhalation dose/endpoint was not identified by the Agency because there is minimal concern for potential inhalation exposure/risk based on the low acute toxicity (Toxicity Category IV), application rate, application method, and number of applications (one time).

1. *Acute toxicity*. An acute Reference Dose (RfD) for difenoconazole of 0.25 mg/kg was established for the subpopulation group females 13+ years old, based on a NOAEL of 25 mg/kg from a developmental toxicity study in the rabbit. Effects at the next higher dose level of 75 mg/kg (the LOAEL) were based on post-implantation loss and resorptions per dose and a significant decrease in fetal body weight. These effects are presumed to occur after a single exposure *in utero* and therefore are considered to be appropriate for this risk assessment. The 10x FQPA Safety Factor, to provide increased protection for infants and children where this is needed, was reduced to 1x because there is no evidence that infants and children have an increased sensitivity to difenoconazole. As a result, the acute RfD and the acute Population Adjusted Dose (aPAD) are the same: 0.25 mg/kg. An acute dose and endpoint were not selected for the general population group (including infants and children) because there were no effects oberved in oral toxicology studies including maternal toxicity in the developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits that are attributable to a single exposure (dose).

2. *Short- and intermediate-term toxicity*. For difenoconazole, the short-term dermal dose/endpoint was chosen from a developmental rabbit study. An oral NOAEL of 25 mg/kg/day was selected, based on post-implantation loss, increased resorptions per dose, and decreased body weight seen at 75 mg/kg/day (LOAEL). An intermediate-term dermal endpoint was chosen from a rat 2-generation reproduction study. The Agency chose an oral NOAEL of 1.25 mg/kg/day based on decreased pup weight on day 21 at 12.5 mg/kg/day (LOAEL).

3.*Chronic toxicity (non-cancer)*. EPA established an oral chronic RfD for difenoconazole at 0.01 mg/kg/day. This RfD is based on a 2-year chronic feeding/oncogenicity study in the rat, where the NOAEL of 0.96 mg/kg/day (statistically equal to 1.0 mg/kg/day) was based on cumulative decreases in body weight gains at the LOAEL of 24.12 mg/kg/day (500 ppm). This RfD was originally established by the Agency in 1994, and reconfirmed by the Agency in 1998. The chronic Population Adjusted Dose (cPAD) and the chronic RfD are the same because the FQPA Safety Factor has been reduced to 1x for difenoconazole. A long-term dermal endpoint was not identified by the Agency because long-term dermal exposure is not expected based on a one-time application as a seed treatment.

4. *Carcinogenicity*. In 1994, the Agency concluded that difenoconazole should be classed as a Group C carcinogen (possible human carcinogen) and recommended that, for the purpose of risk assessment, the MOE approach be used for the quantification of human risk. The decision to classify difenoconazole as a Group C carcinogen was based on statistically significant increases in liver adenomas, carcinomas, and combined adenomas and carcinomas in both sexes of CD–1 mice, but only at doses that were considered to be excessively high for carcinogenicity testing. The MOE approach was recommended because there was only very weak (limited) evidence of carcinogenic potential at dose levels not considered to be excessive, with significant changes observed only at excessive doses. In addition, there was no evidence of genotoxicity. However, to date the Agency has not defined the level of concern for cancer risk using the MOE approach. Therefore, a quantitative risk analysis was conducted utilizing the Q_1* approach. The Q_1* was determined to be 0.157 $(mg/kg/day)^{-1}$. This value incorporates the scaling factor and is based on the male mouse liver adenomas and/or carcinomas combined.

C. Exposures and Risks

1. *From food and feed uses*. Tolerances have previously been established (40 CFR 180.475) for the fungicide difenoconazole in or on the following raw agricultural commodities: Bananas, barley (grain only); eggs; the fat, meat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep; milk; rye (grain only); sweet corn (fodder, forage, and grain) and wheat (forage, grain, and straw). The food risk analyses also included the pending residue tolerances for canola and sweet corn. The risk assessments conducted by EPA to assess food exposures were handled as follows. In the acute food risk analysis, present and proposed tolerance level residues and 100% crop treated (PCT) values were used in the calculation, producing a Theoretical Maximum Residue Contribution (TMRC). In the chronic and cancer food risk analyses, anticipated residues were used for most commodities, while reduced values for PCT were used for barley, sweet corn, and wheat. The PCT value used for barley is actually a percent crop imported value, because the barley residue tolerance is an import tolerance. Percent crop imported and PCT have equivalent effects on the calculations.

Section 408(b)(2)(E) authorizes EPA to use available data and information on the anticipated residue levels of pesticide residues in food and the actual levels of pesticide chemicals that have been measured in food. If EPA relies on

such information, EPA must require that data be provided 5 years after the tolerance is established, modified, or left in effect, demonstrating that the levels in food are not above the levels anticipated. Following the initial data submission, EPA is authorized to require similar data on a time frame it deems appropriate. As required by section 408(b)(2)(E), EPA will issue a data call-in for information relating to anticipated residues to be submitted no later than 5 years from the date of issuance of this tolerance.

Section 408(b)(2)(F) states that the Agency may use data on the actual percent of food treated for assessing chronic dietary risk only if the Agency can make the following findings: Condition 1, that the data used are reliable and provide a valid basis to show what percentage of the food derived from such crop is likely to contain such pesticide residue; Condition 2, that the exposure estimate does not underestimate exposure for any significant subpopulation group; and Condition 3, if data are available on pesticide use and food consumption in a particular area, the exposure estimate does not understate exposure for the population in such area. In addition, the Agency must provide for periodic evaluation of any estimates used. To provide for the periodic evaluation of the estimate of PCT as required by section 408(b)(2)(F), EPA may require registrants to submit data on PCT.

The Agency used PCT information as follows and believes that the three conditions listed above have been met. With respect to Condition 1, PCT estimates are derived from Federal and private market survey data, which are reliable and have a valid basis. EPA uses a weighted average PCT for chronic food exposure estimates. This weighted average PCT figure is derived by averaging State-level data for a period of up to 10 years, and weighting for the more robust and recent data. The percent imported data for barley were derived from statistics published by the National Agricultural Statistics Service and the Economic Research Service, both of which are units of the United States Department of Agriculture. A weighted average of the PCT reasonably represents a person's food exposure over a lifetime, and is unlikely to underestimate exposure to an individual because of the fact that pesticide use patterns (both regionally and nationally) tend to change continuously over time, such that an individual is unlikely to be exposed to more than the average PCT over a lifetime. For acute food exposure estimates, EPA uses estimated maximum PCTs (in this case 100%). The exposure estimates resulting from this approach reasonably represent the highest levels to which an individual could be exposed, and are unlikely to underestimate an individual's acute dietary exposure. The Agency is reasonably certain that the percentage of the food treated is not likely to be underestimated. As to Conditions 2 and 3, regional consumption information and consumption information for significant subpopulations is taken into account through EPA's computer-based model for evaluating the exposure of significant subpopulations including several regional groups. Use of this consumption information in EPA's risk assessment process ensures that EPA's exposure estimate does not understate exposure for any significant subpopulation group and allows the Agency to be reasonably certain that no regional population is exposed to residue levels higher than those estimated by the Agency. Other than the data available through national food consumption surveys, EPA does not have available information on the regional consumption of food to which difenoconazole may be applied in a particular area.

A food exposure analysis using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM) for the acute and both of the chronic (cancer and non-cancer) analyses evaluated individual food consumption as reported by respondents during the USDA 1989–1992 Continuing Surveys of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII) and accumulated exposure to the chemical from each commodity.

i. *Acute exposure and risk*. Acute food risk assessments are performed for a food-use pesticide if a toxicological study has indicated the possibility of an effect of concern occurring as a result of a 1-day or single exposure. The endpoint used for all population subgroups that were analyzed in the acute food analyis was an aPAD of 0.25 mg/kg that incorporated Uncertainty Factors of 10x for interspecies extrapolation, 10x for intraspecies variability, and 1x for the FQPA Safety Factor. The subgroups analyzed and the exposure values calculated at the 95th percentile were females 13+ years old, pregnant, and not nursing—0.000852 mg/kg; females 13+ years old, nursing—0.000889 mg/kg; females 13–29 years old, not pregnant, not nursing—0.000750 mg/kg; females 20+ years old, not pregnant, not nursing—0.000668 mg/kg; females 13–50 years old—0.000701 mg/kg. In each case the exposure value is less than 1% of the aPAD. The exposure values calculated at the 99th percentile were females 13+ years old, pregnant, and not nursing—0.001093 mg/kg; females 13+ years old, nursing—0.001086 mg/kg; females 13–29 years old, not pregnant, not nursing—0.001008 mg/kg; females 20+ years old, not pregnant, not nursing—0.000987 mg/kg; females 13–50 years old—0.001008 mg/kg. In each of these cases the exposure value is also less than 1% of the aPAD. The exposure values calculated at the 99.9th percentile were females 13+ years old, pregnant, and not nursing—0.001265 mg/kg; females 13+ years old, nursing—0.001115 mg/kg; females 13–29 years old, not pregnant, not nursing—0.001570 mg/kg; females 20+ years old, not pregnant, not nursing—0.001359 mg/kg; females 13–50 years old—0.001436 mg/kg. Once again, in each of these cases the exposure value is less than 1% of the aPAD. The exposures were below the Agency's level of concern for all subgroups of females who were 13 to 50 years old. The Agency's level of concern is for exposures greater than 100% of the aPAD.

ii. *Chronic (non-cancer and cancer) exposure and risk*. For the chronic (non-cancer) food analysis, a cPAD of 0.01 mg/kg/day was used. It incorporated Uncertainty Factors of 10x for interspecies extrapolation and 10x for intraspecies variability, and an FQPA Safety Factor of 1x. The chronic (both cancer and non-cancer) analyses for difenoconazole are both partially refined estimates (Tier 3 assessments) because they use anticipated residues for all commodities and PCT information for some commodities.

The exposure estimates produced by the chronic (non-cancer) dietary exposure analysis are the following: U.S. population (48 states)—0.000005 mg/kg/day; all infants less than 1 year old—0.00016 mg/kg/day; nursing infants less than 1 year old—0.000007; non-nursing infants less than 1 year old—0.000016 mg/kg/day; children 1-6 years old—0.000011 mg/kg/day; children 7–12 years old—0.000005 mg/kg/day; females 13–19 years old, not pregnant, not nursing—0.000003 mg/kg/day; females 20+, not pregnant, not nursing—0.000004 mg/kg/day; females 13–50—0.000004 mg/kg/day; females 13+ years old, pregnant, nursing—0.000004 mg/kg/day; females (13+ years old, nursing—0.000006 mg/kg/day; non-Hispanic Whites—0.000006 mg/kg/day; non-Hispanic/non-White/non-Black—0.000006 mg/kg/day. In each case the exposure estimate is less than 1% of the cPAD.

The endpoint calculated for the chronic (cancer) analysis was a Q_1* of 0.157 $(mg/kg/day)^{-1}$. The result of the exposure analysis was that the exposure

for the U.S. population was estimated to be 0.000005 mg/kg/day. This exposure estimate produces a lifetime cancer risk estimate of 8.6 x 10^{-7}, below the Agency's 1 x 10^{-6} level of concern.

2. *From drinking water*. The Agency does not have the monitoring data available that is needed to perform a quantitative drinking water risk assessment for difenconazole at this time. Ground and surface water concentration estimates, for the parent chemical only, were therefore calculated. These estimates may be used qualitatively.

The Agency's Tier 1 models for estimating surface and ground water pesticide concentrations, GENEEC (Generic Estimated Environmental Concentration) and SCI-GROW (Screening Concentration in Ground Water), are not designed to estimate runoff or leaching values for seed treatment pesticides. Therefore, there are uncertainties in the predictive potential of the Tier 1 modeling. Additionally, it was necessary to use screened environmental fate data in the assessment because there was insufficient time to conduct a formal review of data that had previously been submitted by a predecessor company to Novartis Crop Protection, Inc. The uncertainties in the water assessment, however, are not expected to substantially decrease the conservativeness of the Tier 1 modeling results. The Tier 1 water modeling used in the instant analysis is the same as the analysis previously done for wheat. Because wheat is seeded at a much higher rate (by weight of seed) than canola, even more conservative estimates of resulting difenoconazole concentrations in ground and surface waters should result. Wheat is seeded at a rate of 60–120 pounds (lbs.) per acre, while canola is seeded at a rate of 5–10 lbs. per acre.

Therefore, the application rate of difenconazole used in the analysis is based on a wheat seed treatment rate of 0.025 lb. active ingredient (a.i.) per 100 lbs. of seed and the maximum seeding rate (120 lbs./acre). This produces a maximum application rate of 0.03 lb. difenconazole per acre. Based on the preliminary screen of the environmental fate data submitted by the registrant, difenoconazole is expected to be relatively immobile but persistent in terrestrial environments. The adsorption coefficients for difenoconazole that were used in these calculations were 12.76 microliters per gram (µL/g; producing a K_{oc} = 3,866) in an agricultural sand, 62.97 µL/g (K_{oc} = 3,470) in sandy loam soil, 54.84 µL/g (K_{oc} = 7,734) in silt loam soil, and 47.18 µL/g (K_{oc} = 7,734) in a silty clay loam soil. The aerobic soil metabolism half-life for difenoconazole ranged from 175 to 1,600 days. Difenoconazole had a first-order photodegradation half-life of 5.68 days in water.

GENEEC deals with surface water and models the results of a single runoff event (but can handle multiple spray-drift events, though spray drift is not a consideration in the instant analysis of a seed treatment fungicide) and manditorily represents an outdoor system consisting of a 10 hectare (ha) field immediately adjacent to a 1 ha pond that is 2 meters (m) deep and has no outlet. GENEEC allows reduction of the amount of pesticide that runs off by accounting for degradative processes in the field and soil-binding. However, limitations of this approach are that surface-source drinking water usually comes from bodies of water that are much larger than a 1-ha pond, the entire drainage basin (the 10-ha field) of the pond is assumed to receive an application of the pesticide (quite unlikely for a drinking water source), and most surface drinking water sources will have at least some water turnover (outflow, etc.). Despite this, GENEEC still allows screening calculations and the provision of an upper bound estimate (probably often a substantial overestimate) of surface water concentrations of a pesticide. Where the level of concern for drinking water concentrations is exceeded, the Agency can use various methods to refine the estimate.

SCI-GROW deals with ground water and is an empirical screening model based on actual ground water monitoring data collected from small-scale prospective ground water monitoring studies for the registration of a number of pesticides that serve as benchmarks for the model. The current version of SCI-GROW provides realistic estimates of pesticide concentrations in shallow, highly vulnerable (sandy soil and depth-to-ground-water of 10 to 20 feet) ground water, nearly a worst-case scenario for ground water contamination. There may be exceptional circumstances under which concentrations of a pesticide may exceed the SCI-GROW estimates but such circumstances should be rare. The ground water concentrations generated by SCI-GROW are based on the largest 90-day average concentration recorded during the sampling period. Because of the conservative nature of the monitoring data on which the model is based, SCI-GROW is considered to provide an upper bound estimate of pesticide residues in ground water. Since it is believed that pesticide concentrations in ground water do not fluctuate widely, SCI-GROW provides one estimate that is used both as a maximum and as an average concentration value in ground water.

A Drinking Water Level of Comparison (DWLOC) is a theoretical upper limit on a pesticide's concentration in drinking water in light of total aggregate exposure to a pesticide in food, drinking water, and through residential uses. A DWLOC will vary depending on the toxic endpoint, with drinking water consumption, and with body weight variances. Different populations will have different DWLOCs. The Agency uses DWLOCs internally in the risk assessment process as a surrogate measure of potential exposure associated with pesticide exposure through drinking water. In the absence of monitoring data for pesticides, it is used as a point of comparison against conservative model estimates of a pesticide's concentration in water. DWLOC values are not regulatory standards for drinking water. They do have an indirect regulatory impact through aggregate exposure and risk assessments.

The Agency's default bodyweights are 70 kg for males, 60 kg for females, and 10 kg for children. The Agency's default water consumption values are 2 liters (L) for males and females, and 1 L for children. The equation for the calculation is: DWLOC (micrograms/Liter) equals (water exposure (mg/kg/day) times body weight) divided by (consumption (Liters) times 10^{-3} milligrams/microgram).

i. *Acute exposure and risk*. The GENEEC model (Tier 1) estimate of the acute or peak Estimated Environmental Concentration (EEC) for difenoconazole in surface water was 0.125 parts per billion (ppb). The SCI-GROW model estimate of the concentration of difenoconazole in ground water was 0.00084 ppb. The Agency calculated DWLOCs for acute exposure to difenoconazole in surface and ground water for females 13-50 years old. To calculate the DWLOC for acute exposure relative to an acute toxicity endpoint, the acute dietary food exposure (from the DEEM analysis) was subtracted from the aPAD to obtain the acceptable acute exposure to difenoconazole in drinking water. DWLOCs were then calculated using the default body weights and drinking water consumption figures. The results were that the acute DWLOC for both the subgroup females (13+ years old, pregnant, and not nursing) and for the subgroup females (13+ years old, nursing), was 7,470 ppb. For the subgroup females (13-29 years old, not pregnant, and not nursing), the

subgroup females (20+ years old, not pregnant, non-nursing), and the subgroup females (13-50 years old) the DWLOC was 7,480 ppb.

ii. *Chronic (cancer and non-cancer) exposure and risk*. The GENEEC model (Tier 1) estimate of the chronic 56-day EEC was 0.048 ppb. Agency drinking water guidance calls for this value to be divided by 3 to obtain the value to use in the chronic risk assessment. Therefore, the surface water value used in the chronic risk assessment of difenoconazole was 0.016 ppb. The SCI-GROW model estimate of the concentration of difenoconazole in ground water was 0.00084 ppb.

The Agency calculated DWLOCs for chronic (non-cancer) exposure to difenoconazole in surface and ground water. To calculate the DWLOC for chronic exposure relative to a chronic toxicity endpoint, the chronic dietary food exposure (from the DEEM analysis) was subtracted from the cPAD to obtain the acceptable chronic (non-cancer) exposure to difenoconazole. DWLOCs were then calculated using the default body weights and drinking water consumption figures. For the group U.S. population (48 states), the subgroup non- Hispanic Whites, and the subgroup non-Hispanic/non-White/non-Black, the DWLOC was 350 ppb. For the subgroup all infants less than 1 year old, the subgroup nursing infants less than 1 year old, the subgroup non-nursing infants less than 1 year old, the subgroup children 1-6 years old, and the subgroup children 7-12 years old, the DWLOC was 100 ppb. For the subgroup females (13-19 years old/not pregnant, non-nursing), the subgroup females (20+ years old/not pregnant, non-nursing), the subgroup females (13-50 years old), the subgroup females (13+ years old/ pregnant/non-nursing), and the subgroup females (13+ years old/ nursing), the DWLOC was 300 ppb. The population group U.S. population (48 states), all infant and children subgroups, all subgroups for females 13-50 years old, and any other population subgroup whose exposure exceeded that of the U.S. population group were included in this analysis.

The Agency calculated DWLOCs for chronic (cancer) exposure to difenoconazole in surface and ground water for the U.S. population group. To calculate the DWLOC for chronic (cancer) exposure relative to a carcinogenic toxicity endpoint (Q_1*), the chronic (cancer) dietary food exposure from the DEEM analysis was subtracted from the ratio of the negligible cancer risk (1×10^{-6}) to the Q_1* to obtain the acceptable chronic (cancer) exposure to difenoconazole in drinking water. DWLOCs were then calculated using the default body weights and drinking water consumption figures. The $DWLOC_{cancer}$ for the U.S. population group is 0.048 ppb.

3. *From non-dietary exposure*. Difenococonazole has no residential uses so non-dietary exposure is not a factor in the difenoconazole exposure/ risk analysis.

4. *Cumulative exposure to substances with a common mechanism of toxicity*. Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider ''available information'' concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and ''other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity.''

EPA does not have, at this time, available data to determine whether difenococonazole has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances or how to include this pesticide in a cumulative risk assessment. Unlike other pesticides for which EPA has followed a cumulative risk approach based on a common mechanism of toxicity, difenococonazole does not appear to produce a toxic metabolite produced by other substances. For the purposes of this tolerance action, therefore, EPA has not assumed that difenococonazole has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. For information regarding EPA's efforts to determine which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and to evaluate the cumulative effects of such chemicals, see the final rule for Bifenthrin Pesticide Tolerances (62 FR 62961, November 26, 1997).

D. Aggregate Risks and Determination of Safety for U.S. Population

1. *Acute risk*. The acute aggregate exposure includes food and water. The acute risk scenario for difenoconazole for the 5 subgroups analyzed is as follows. For females (13+ years old/ pregnant/non-nursing) the aPAD was 0.25 mg/kg, the NOAEL was 25 mg/kg, the food exposure estimate from DEEM was 0.000852 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate was 0.249 mg/kg/day, the SCI-GROW ground water EEC estimate was 0.00084 ppb, the GENEEC surface water EEC estimate was 0.125 ppb, and the DWLOC was 7,470 ppb. For females (13+ years old/nursing) the aPAD was 0.25 mg/kg, the NOAEL was 25 mg/kg, the food exposure estimate from DEEM was 0.000889 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate was 0.249 mg/kg/day, the SCI-GROW ground water EEC estimate was 0.00084 ppb, the GENEEC surface water EEC estimate was 0.125 ppb, and the DWLOC was 7,470 ppb. For females (13-29 years old/ not pregnant/non-nursing) the aPAD was 0.25 mg/kg, the NOAEL was 25 mg/ kg, the food exposure estimate from DEEM was 0.000750 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate was 0.249 mg/ kg/day, the SCI-GROW ground water EEC estimate was 0.00084 ppb, the GENEEC surface water EEC estimate was 0.125 ppb, and the DWLOC was 7,480 ppb. For females (20+ years old/ not pregnant/non-nursing) the aPAD was 0.25 mg/kg, the NOAEL was 25 mg/ kg, the food exposure estimate from DEEM was 0.000668 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate was 0.249 mg/ kg/day, the SCI-GROW ground water EEC estimate was 0.00084 ppb, the GENEEC surface water EEC estimate was 0.125 ppb, and the DWLOC was 7,480 ppb. For females (13-20 years old) the aPAD was 0.25 mg/kg, the NOAEL was 25 mg/kg, the food exposure estimate from DEEM was 0.000701 mg/ kg/day, the water exposure estimate was 0.249 mg/kg/day, the SCI-GROW ground water EEC estimate was 0.00084 ppb, the GENEEC surface water EEC estimate was 0.125 ppb, and the DWLOC was 7,480 ppb.

From the acute dietary (food only) risk assessments, high-end exposure estimates were calculated for the female 13-50 subgroups only. The percent aPADs were below the Agency's level of concern at the 95th percentile for all female 13-50 year old sugroups with all estimated acute dietary exposures <1% of the aPAD. The maximum estimated concentrations of difenoconazole in surface and ground water are less than the Agency's acute DWLOCs for difenoconazole as a contribution to acute aggregate exposure. Therefore, taking into account the uses proposed in this action, the Agency concludes with reasonable certainty that residues of difenoconazole in drinking water (when considered along with other sources of exposure for which the Agency has reliable data) would not result in unacceptable levels of acute aggregate human health risk at this time.

2. *Chronic (non-cancer) risk*. There are no registered or proposed residential uses of difenoconazole. Therefore, chronic (non-cancer) aggregate exposure will include risk from food and water only. The chronic (non-cancer) scenario for difenoconazole is as follows. For the U.S. population group the food exposure estimate (from the DEEM assessment) is 0.000005 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate (the cPAD minus the DEEM dietary exposure estimate) is 0.00995 mg/kg/day, the cPAD is 0.01 mg/kg/day, the ground

water concentration estimate (from SCI-GROW modeling) is 0.00084 ppb, the surface water concentration estimate (from GENEEC modeling) is 0.016 ppb, and the DWLOC is 350 ppb. For the subgroup females (13+ years old, nursing) the food exposure estimate is 0.000007 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate is 0.01 mg/kg/day, the cPAD is 0.01 mg/kg/day, the ground water concentration estimate is 0.00084 ppb, the surface water concentration estimate is 0.016 ppb, and the DWLOC is 300 ppb. For the subgroup non-nursing infants (< 1 year old) the food exposure estimate is 0.000019 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate is 0.00999 mg/kg/day, the cPAD is 0.01 mg/kg/day, the ground water concentration estimate is 0.00084 ppb, the surface water concentration estimate is 0.016 ppb, and the DWLOC is 100 ppb. Using the ARC exposure assumptions described in this unit, EPA has concluded that aggregate exposure to difenoconazole from food will utilize < 1% of the cPAD for the U.S. population. The major identifiable subgroup with the highest aggregate exposure is discussed below. From the chronic (non-cancer) dietary (food only) risk assessments, the percent cPADs were below the Agency's level of concern for the U.S. population and all population subgroups. The estimated chronic dietary risk associated with the use of difenoconazole is below the Agency's level of concern. The estimated average concentrations of difenoconazole in surface and ground water are less than the Agency's chronic (non-cancer) DWLOCs for difenoconazole in drinking water as a contribution to chronic aggregate exposure. Aggregate chronic (non-cancer) risk estimates due to exposure to difenoconazole in both food and water are also below the Agency's level of concern. EPA therefore concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to difenoconazole residues.

3. *Aggregate cancer risk for U.S. population*. There are no registered or proposed residential uses for difenoconazole, so chronic (cancer) aggregate exposure/risk estimates are derived from food and water exposure only. The chronic (cancer) scenario is as follows. For the U.S. population group the food exposure estimate (from DEEM) is 0.000005 mg/kg/day, the water exposure estimate (negligible risk (1×10^{-6}) divided by the Q_1* is 0.00000137 mg/kg/day, the Q_1* is 0.157 $(mg/kg/day)^{-1}$, the ground water concentration estimate (from SCI-GROW modeling) is 0.00084 ppb, the surface water estimate (from GENEEC modeling) is 0.016 ppb, and the DWLOC is 0.048 ppb. From the chronic (cancer) dietary (food only) risk assessments, the estimated lifetime risk for the U.S. population was 8.6×10^{-7}, which is below the Agency's level of concern (generally 1×10^{-6}). The estimated average concentrations of difenoconazole in surface and ground water are less than the Agency's $DWLOC_{cancer}$ for difenoconazole in drinking water as a contribution to chronic (cancer) aggregate exposure. EPA therefore concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate chronic (cancer) exposure to difenoconazole residues.

4. *Determination of safety*. Based on these risk assessments, EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to residues.

E. Aggregate Risks and Determination of Safety for Infants and Children

1. *Safety factor for infants and children*— i. *In general*. In assessing the potential for additional sensitivity of infants and children to residues of difenoconazole, EPA considered data from developmental toxicity studies in the rat and rabbit and a 2-generation reproduction study in the rat. The developmental toxicity studies are designed to evaluate adverse effects on the developing organism resulting from maternal pesticide exposure during gestation. Reproduction studies provide information relating to effects from exposure to the pesticide on the reproductive capability of mating animals and data on systemic toxicity.

FFDCA section 408 provides that EPA shall apply an additional tenfold margin of safety for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for prenatal and postnatal toxicity and the completeness of the data base unless EPA determines that a different margin of safety will be safe for infants and children. Margins of safety are incorporated into EPA risk assessments either directly through use of a MOE analysis or through using uncertainty (safety) factors in calculating a dose level that poses no appreciable risk to humans. EPA believes that reliable data support using the standard uncertainty factor (usually 100 for combined interspecies and intraspecies variability) and not the additional tenfold MOE/uncertainty factor when EPA has a complete data base under existing guidelines and when the severity of the effect in infants or children or the potency or unusual toxic properties of a compound do not raise concerns regarding the adequacy of the standard MOE/safety factor.

ii. *Developmental toxicity studies*. Difenoconazole was administered to pregnant rats at dose levels of 0, 2, 20, 100, and 200 mg/kg/day from day 6 to day 15 of gestation. Statistically significant decreases in maternal body weight gain and feed consumption were observed during the dosing period at dose levels of 100 and 200 mg/kg/day. At 200 mg/kg/day the incidence of bifid or unilateral ossification of the thoracic vertebrae was significantly increased on a fetal basis. There were also significant increases in the average number of ossified hyoid and decreases in the numbers of sternal centers of ossification (per fetus per litter). The average number of ribs was significantly increased, with accompanying increases in the number of thoracic vertebrae and decreases in the number of lumbar vertebrae in this group. These findings at the highest dose tested (200 mg/kg/day) appear to be the result of maternal toxicity. The NOAEL for maternal toxicity was 20 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL for maternal toxicity was determined to be 100 mg/kg/day based on decreased body weight gains and decreased food consumption at 100 mg/kg/day and higher. The NOAEL for developmental toxicity was 100 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 200 mg/kg/day based on the incidence of bifid or unilateral ossification of the thoracic vertebrae, which was significantly increased on a fetal basis, and the significant increases in the average number of ossified hyoid and decreases in the number of sternal centers of ossification (per fetus per litter). The average number of ribs was also significantly increased, with accompanying increases in the number of thoracic vertebrae and decreases in the number of lumber vertebrae in this group.

In a developmental toxicity study in rabbits, impregnated females (16 per dose) were orally administered difenoconazole at 0, 1, 25, and 75 mg/kg/day during days 7 through 19 of gestation. At 75 mg/kg/day, maternal toxicity was manifested as decreased body weight gain and food consumption; no maternal toxicity was observed at lower doses. Developmental toxicity, observed only at 75 mg/kg/day, was a slight nonsignificant increase in post-implantation loss and resorption per dose and a significant decrease in fetal weight. For maternal toxicity, the LOAEL of 75 mg/kg/day is based on decreases in body weight gain and food consumption; the NOAEL is 25 mg/kg/day. For developmental toxicity, the LOAEL of 75 mg/kg/day is based on increases in post-implantation loss and

resorption and decreases in fetal body weight; the NOAEL is 25 mg/kg/day. The increases in post-implantation loss and resorption are presumed to occur after a single exposure.

iii. *Reproductive toxicity study*. In a 2-generation reproduction study, difenoconazole was administered in the diet to male and female rats at 0, 25, 250, and 2,500 ppm (0, 1.25, 12.5, and 125 mg/kg/day, respectively). Statistically significant reductions in bodyweight gains of F_0 and F_1 males were observed at 2,500 ppm during days 70-77 and during the course of the study (terminal bodyweight minus day 0 bodyweight). Significant reductions in bodyweight gains of F_0 and F_1 females were seen during the pre-mating, gestation, and lactation periods. A dose-related, but non-statistically significant decrease in bodyweight gain was seen in F_0 at 250 ppm during days 70-77 prior to mating, days 0-7 of gestation, and days 7-14 of lactation. At 2,500 ppm, significant reductions in pup bodyweight were detected on days 0, 4 (pre- and post-culling), 7, 14, and 21 for males and females of both generations. There was a significant reduction in the bodyweight of F_1 male pups on day 21 in the 250 ppm group. The percentage of male pups in the F_1 generation surviving days 0-4 was significantly reduced in the 2,500 ppm group. For parental toxicity, the LOAEL of 250 ppm (12.5 mg/kg/day) is based on the decreased maternal bodyweight gain; the NOAEL is 25 ppm (1.25 mg/kg/day). For reproductive toxicity, the LOAEL of 250 ppm (12.5 mg/kg/day) is based on decreased pup weight at day 21; the NOAEL is 25 ppm (1.25 mg/kg/day).

iv. *Prenatal and postnatal sensitivity*. The data provided no indication of increased susceptibility of rats or rabbits to *in utero* and/or postnatal exposure to difenoconazole. In the prenatal developmental toxicity study in rats, no evidence of developmental toxicity was seen even in the presence of maternal toxicity. In the developmental toxicity study in rabbits, developmental toxicity was seen in the presence of maternal toxicity at the highest dose tested. In the 2-generation reproduction study in rats, effects in the offspring were observed only at or above treatment levels which resulted in evidence of parental toxicity.

v. *Conclusion*. A complete toxicology data base exists for difenoconazole, and exposure data are complete or are estimated based on data that reasonably account for potential exposures. Taking into account the completeness of the data and the absence of any evidence of increased sensitivity, EPA determined that the additional tenfold safety factor for the protection of infants and children was not necessary.

2. *Acute risk*. An acute dose and endpoint were not chosen for the general population including infants and children because there were no effects observed in oral toxicology studies including maternal toxicity in the developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits that are attributable to a single exposure (dose). Acute exposure/risk analyses were performed only for subgroups of females 13-50 years old.

3. *Chronic risk*. Using the exposure assumptions described in this unit, EPA has concluded that aggregate exposure to difenoconazole from food will utilize < 1% of the cPAD for infants and children. EPA generally has no concern for exposures below 100% of the cPAD because the cPAD represents the level at or below which daily aggregate dietary exposure over a lifetime will not pose appreciable risks to human health. Despite the potential for exposure to difenoconazole in drinking water, EPA does not expect the aggregate exposure to exceed 100% of the cPAD.

4. *Determination of safety*. Based on these risk assessments, EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to residues.

IV. Other Considerations

A. Metabolism in Plants and Animals

The nature of the residue in plants is understood. Plant metabolism studies were conducted on wheat, tomatoes, grapes, potatoes, and canola and found to be acceptable. The canola metabolism study was performed using a foliar treatment of difenoconazole on canola. The proposed use is a seed treatment. The results in these studies are consistent with foliar metabolism studies submitted and reviewed for wheat, tomatoes, and potatoes. The metabolic pathway in canola appears to proceed by hydrolysis of the ketal to the ketone followed by reduction of the ketone to the alkanol. The alkanol can be conjugated with sugars or the bridge linking the phenyl and triazole moities is cleaved, forming free triazole. The free triazole can be conjugated with serine to yield an intermediate which can be oxidatively deaminated to the lactic acid analogue and then degraded further. There was no evidence for a minor metabolic pathway via hydroxylation of the phenyl ring moiety.

Metabolism studies for a wheat seed treatment have been submitted and reviewed. The seed treatment metabolism studies had similar results to the foliar studies. Therefore, the Agency has translated the foliar canola studies to seed treatment and considers the nature of the residue in canola understood.

The nature of the difenoconazole residue in animals was considered understood for wheat and barley (PP 2F4107) only. It was concluded that for any future petition in which there is a greater potential for transfer of residues to meat and milk, additional animal metabolism studies would be required. Since the proposed use on canola is a seed treatment and canola is not a major feed item, there is not a greater potential for transfer of residues to meat and milk. Therefore, additional animal metabolism studies were not required for this action and the nature of the residue in animals is considered understood for this action.

B. Analytical Enforcement Methodology

For plants, the petitioner has submitted a copy of method AG-676, which is similar to the enforcement method for wheat (method 575). Therefore, an Independent Laboratory Validation (ILV) was not required. Acceptable recoveries were obtained for all matrices. Samples are homogenized and centrifuged in an ACN/hexane mixture. The resulting solution is then decanted and extracted repeatedly, then partially evaporated, and, finally, eluted and brought to volume. The sample is analyzed by gas chromatography with mass spectral detection (GC/MSD). The reported limit of quantitation (LOQ) is 0.01 ppm.

A Petition Method Validation (PMV) has been successfully completed for petitioner proposed residue method 676, so adequate enforcement methodology is available to enforce the tolerance expression. When this method is formally completed, it will be forwarded to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to be included in Pesticide Analytical Manual II (PAM II).

The petitioner proposed Method AG-544A, ''Difenoconazole (CGA-169374) Analytical Method for the Determination of CGA-169374 Residues in Dairy and Poultry Tissue, Eggs and Milk by Gas Chromatography,'' as the analytical enforcement method. The sample is extracted by homogenization with acetonitrile and concentrated ammonium hydroxide for 1 minute, the extract is filtered, the filtrate is diluted with water and saturated sodium chloride, partitioned twice, then cleaned up. The final sample is then analyzed by packed column gas chromatography (GC) using alkali flame ionization detection. The reported LOQ

for livestock tissue is 0.05 ppm and for milk is 0.01 ppm. The Agency concluded that Method AG-544A is adequate for the purpose of enforcing difenoconazole tolerances in animal commodities. A satisfactory ILV of the method was submitted and a satisfactory PMV was completed by the Agency's residue analysis laboratory. This method was forwarded to FDA to be included in PAM II.

These methods may be requested from: Calvin Furlow, PIRIB, IRSD (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 305–5229; e-mail address: furlow.calvin@epa.gov.

C. Magnitude of Residues

A total of six field trials were submitted and reviewed. The residue levels of difenoconazole in canola seed were all less than the LOQ of 0.01 ppm. The submitted data indicate that the appropriate tolerance level for residues of difenoconazole in canola seed is 0.01 ppm.

No processing study is required for this tolerance petition. The maximum theoretical concentration factor for processing of canola seed to canola oil is 3x. Difenoconazole was applied to canola at an exaggerated rate of 3.6x (0.09 pounds of active ingredient per 100 pounds of seed) as a seed treatment at two locations. Residue levels for each location were below the LOQ of 0.01 ppm.

The petitioner had requested (in support of wheat use, PP 2F4107) a waiver for animal feeding studies based on the low potential for residues in feed items and the exaggerated rates used in the animal feeding studies. Based on a diet composed of 100% wheat raw agricultural commodities (RACs) and residues at the levels of the proposed tolerances, the maximum dietary burden for dairy cattle is estimated to be 0.30 ppm. Two metabolism studies were performed on ruminants (lactating goats) in a 10-day study with a dose rate of 4.17 ppm (14 x the 0.30 ppm estimated dietary burden) and a 3-day study with a dose rate of 100 ppm (333 x the 0.30 ppm estimated dietary burden). The total radioactive residue (TRR) in the goat tissues was used to estimate the expected residues in a feeding study with a dose rate of 0.30 ppm. The maximum residue observed was in liver, estimated to be at a level of 0.02 ppm from both metabolism studies. This value is 2.5 x below the LOQ of the proposed analytical enforcement method (0.05 ppm). The estimated residue in milk would be 0.5 ppb, 200 x below the method LOQ of 0.1 ppm. The Agency accepted the petitioner's proposal to allow the animal metabolism studies to also serve as feeding studies. Feeding studies in cattle and poultry, as appropriate, will be needed for any future tolerance request which could result in higher residues of concern in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs.

The proposed use in/on canola in this action does not appear to result in higher residues of concern in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs. The proposed use pattern (seed treatment) and low animal dietary feed consumption (canola meal only commodity consumed, 15% of diet) support the assumption of no increase in residues. Therefore, animal feeding studies are not required for this action with the same caveat that if, in the future, uses are proposed that result in higher residues in animal commodities, feeding studies will be required.

D. International Residue Limits

There is neither a Codex proposal, nor Canadian or Mexican maximum residue limits for residues of difenoconazole in canola. Therefore, a compatibility issue is not relevant to the proposed tolerance.

E. Rotational Crop Restrictions

The nature of the residue is understood. The data indicate that the phenyl/triazole bridge of difenoconazole is cleaved in the soil and that triazole-specific metabolites are preferentially taken up by the rotational crops. The maximum TRR observed with phenyl-labeled difenoconazole was 0.009 ppm (wheat stalks) and with triazole-labeled difenoconazole was 0.314 ppm in wheat grain. The registrant has submitted the results of two confined rotational studies using phenyl-labeled difenoconazole. In the RACs of all rotational crops planted 30–33 days after application of difenoconazole, the TRR was < 0.01 ppm. These results support the proposed 30-day plant-back restrictions for all rotational crops. A 30-day plantback restriction for all crops is appropriate.

V. Conclusion

Therefore, a tolerance is established for residues of difenoconazole in or on canola, seed at 0.01 ppm.

VI. Objections and Hearing Requests

Under section 408(g) of the FFDCA, as amended by the FQPA, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. The EPA procedural regulations which govern the submission of objections and requests for hearings appear in 40 CFR part 178. Although the procedures in those regulations require some modification to reflect the amendments made to the FFDCA by the FQPA of 1996, EPA will continue to use those procedures, with appropriate adjustments, until the necessary modifications can be made. The new section 408(g) provides essentially the same process for persons to ''object'' to a regulation for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance issued by EPA under new section 408(d), as was provided in the old FFDCA sections 408 and 409. However, the period for filing objections is now 60 days, rather than 30 days.

A. What Do I Need to Do To File an Objection or Request a Hearing?

You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in this unit and in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket control number OPP–301005 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All requests must be in writing, and must be mailed or delivered to the Hearing Clerk on or before November 14, 2000.

1. *Filing the request*. Your objection must specify the specific provisions in the regulation that you object to, and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issues(s) on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the objector (40 CFR 178.27). Information submitted in connection with an objection or hearing request may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the information that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice.

Mail your written request to: Office of the Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. You may also deliver your request to the Office of the Hearing Clerk in Rm. M3708, Waterside Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. The Office of the Hearing Clerk is open from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Office of the Hearing Clerk is (202) 260–4865.

2. *Tolerance fee payment*. If you file an objection or request a hearing, you must also pay the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i) or request a waiver of that fee pursuant to 40 CFR 180.33(m). You must mail the fee to: EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, Office of Pesticide Programs, P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. Please identify the fee submission by labeling it ''Tolerance Petition Fees.''

EPA is authorized to waive any fee requirement ''when in the judgement of the Administrator such a waiver or refund is equitable and not contrary to the purpose of this subsection.'' For additional information regarding the waiver of these fees, you may contact James Tompkins by phone at (703) 305–5697, by e-mail at tompkins.jim@epa.gov, or by mailing a request for information to Mr. Tompkins at Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

If you would like to request a waiver of the tolerance objection fees, you must mail your request for such a waiver to: James Hollins, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

3. *Copies for the Docket*. In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in Unit VI.A., you should also send a copy of your request to the PIRIB for its inclusion in the official record that is described in Unit I.B.2. Mail your copies, identified by docket control number OPP–301005, to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. In person or by courier, bring a copy to the location of the PIRIB described in Unit I.B.2. You may also send an electronic copy of your request via e-mail to: opp-docket@epa.gov. Please use an ASCII file format and avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of electronic objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 6.1/8.0 file format or ASCII file format. Do not include any CBI in your electronic copy. You may also submit an electronic copy of your request at many Federal Depository Libraries.

B. When Will the Agency Grant a Request for a Hearing?

A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is a genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issues(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32).

VII. Regulatory Assessment Requirements

This final rule establishes a tolerance under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled *Regulatory Planning and Review* (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). This final rule does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, or impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Public Law 104–4). Nor does it require any prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 13084, entitled *Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments* (63 FR 27655, May 19, 1998); special considerations as required by Executive Order 12898, entitled *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations* (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994); or require OMB review or any Agency action under Executive Order 13045, entitled *Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks* (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Public Law 104–113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note). Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) do not apply. In addition, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, entitled *Federalism* (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure ''meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.'' ''Policies that have federalism implications'' is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have ''substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.'' This final rule directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers and food retailers, not States. This action does not alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4).

VIII. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this final rule in the **Federal Register**. This final rule is not a ''major rule'' as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 7, 2000.

James Jones,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180–[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), (346a) and 371.

2. Section 180.475 is amended by revising the introductory text of

paragraph (a) and alphabetically adding canola, seed to the table in paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 180.475 Difenoconazole; tolerances for residues.

(a)*General*. Tolerances are established for residues of the fungicide difenoconazole [(2*S*,4*R*)/(2*R*/4*S*)]/[(2*R*/4*R*)/(2*S*,4*S*) 1-(2-[4-(4-chlorophenoxy)-2-chlorophenyl]-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl-methyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole in or on the following raw agricultural commodities.

Commodity	Parts per million
* * * * *	
Canola, seed	0.01
* * * * *	

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 00–23773 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP–301045; FRL–6742–6]

RIN 2070–AB78

Myclobutanil; Extension of Tolerance for Emergency Exemptions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation re-establishes a time-limited tolerance for combined residues of the fungicide myclobutanil in or on artichoke at 1.0 part per million (ppm), and peppers (bell and non-bell) at 1.0 ppm for an additional 2–year period. This tolerance will expire and is revoked on July 31, 2002. This action is in response to EPA's granting of an emergency exemption under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act authorizing use of the pesticide on both artichoke and peppers. Section 408(l)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act requires EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance or exemption from the requirement for a tolerance for pesticide chemical residues in food that will result from the use of a pesticide under an emergency exemption granted by EPA under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

DATES: This regulation is effective September 15, 2000. Objections and requests for hearings, identified by docket control number OPP–301045, must be received by EPA on or before November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests may be submitted by mail, in person, or by courier. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit III. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, your objections and hearing requests must identify docket control number OPP–301045 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: David Deegan, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 703–308–9358; and e-mail address: deegan.dave@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Categories	NAICS Codes	Examples of Potentially Affected Entities
Industry	111	Crop production
	112	Animal production
	311	Food manufacturing
	32532	Pesticide manufacturing

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether or not this action might apply to certain entities. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically*.You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. To access this document, on the Home Page select ''Laws and Regulations,'' ''Regulations and Proposed Rules,'' and then look up the entry for this document under the ''**Federal Register**—Environmental Documents.'' You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

2. *In person*. The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number OPP–301045. The official record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

II. Background and Statutory Findings

EPA issued a final rule, published in the **Federal Register** of September 16, 1998 (63 FR 49472) (FRL–6025–1), which announced that on its own initiative under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a, as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) (Public Law 104–170) it established a time-limited tolerance for the combined residues of myclobutanil in or on artichoke and peppers (bell and non-bell), each at a tolerance level of 1.0 ppm, with an expiration date of July 31, 2000. EPA established the tolerance because section 408(l)(6) of the FFDCA requires EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance or exemption from the requirement for a tolerance for pesticide chemical residues in food that will result from the use of a pesticide under an emergency exemption granted by EPA under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Such tolerances can be established without providing notice or period for public comment.

EPA has received requests to extend the use of myclobutanil on artichoke and on peppers for this year's growing season due to the ongoing existence of powdery mildew on these crops, and due to the difficulty growers experience trying to control the pathogen using the currently available alternative fungicides. After having reviewed the submission, EPA concurs that emergency conditions continue to exist on both crops. EPA has authorized under FIFRA section 18 the use of myclobutanil on artichoke and peppers (bell and non- bell) for control of powdery mildew in California and New Mexico.

EPA assessed the potential risks presented by residues of myclobutanil in or on artichoke and peppers (bell and non-bell). In doing so, EPA considered the safety standard in FFDCA section 408(b)(2), and decided that the necessary tolerance under FFDCA section 408(l)(6) would be consistent with the safety standard and with FIFRA section 18. The data and other relevant material have been evaluated and discussed in the final rule of September 16, 1998 (63 FR 49472). Based on that data and information considered, the Agency reaffirms that re-establishment of the time-limited tolerance will continue to meet the requirements of section 408(l)(6). Therefore, the time-limited tolerance is re-established for an additional 2–year period. EPA will publish a document in the **Federal Register** to remove the revoked tolerance from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Although this tolerance will expire and is revoked on July 31, 2002, under FFDCA section 408(l)(5), residues of the pesticide not in excess of the amounts specified in the tolerance remaining in or on artichoke and peppers (bell and non-bell) after that date will not be unlawful, provided the pesticide is applied in a manner that was lawful under FIFRA and the application occurred prior to the revocation of the tolerance. EPA will take action to revoke this tolerance earlier if any experience with, scientific data on, or other relevant information on this pesticide indicate that the residues are not safe.

III. Objections and Hearing Requests

Under section 408(g) of the FFDCA, as amended by the FQPA, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. The EPA procedural regulations which govern the submission of objections and requests for hearings appear in 40 CFR part 178. Although the procedures in those regulations require some modification to reflect the amendments made to the FFDCA by the FQPA of 1996, EPA will continue to use those procedures, with appropriate adjustments, until the necessary modifications can be made. The new section 408(g) provides essentially the same process for persons to ''object'' to a regulation for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance issued by EPA under new section 408(d), as was provided in the old FFDCA sections 408 and 409. However, the period for filing objections is now 60 days, rather than 30 days.

A. What Do I Need to Do to File an Objection or Request a Hearing?

You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in this unit and in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket control number OPP–301045 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All requests must be in writing, and must be mailed or delivered to the Hearing Clerk on or before November 14, 2000.

1. *Filing the request.* Your objection must specify the specific provisions in the regulation that you object to, and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issues(s) on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the objector (40 CFR 178.27). Information submitted in connection with an objection or hearing request may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the information that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice.

Mail your written request to: Office of the Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. You may also deliver your request to the Office of the Hearing Clerk in Rm. C400, Waterside Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. The Office of the Hearing Clerk is open from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Office of the Hearing Clerk is (202) 260–4865.

2. *Tolerance fee payment.* If you file an objection or request a hearing, you must also pay the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i) or request a waiver of that fee pursuant to 40 CFR 180.33(m). You must mail the fee to: EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, Office of Pesticide Programs, P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. Please identify the fee submission by labeling it ''Tolerance Petition Fees.''

EPA is authorized to waive any fee requirement ''when in the judgement of the Administrator such a waiver or refund is equitable and not contrary to the purpose of this subsection.'' For additional information regarding the waiver of these fees, you may contact James Tompkins by phone at (703) 305–5697, by e-mail at tompkins.jim@epa.gov, or by mailing a request for information to Mr. Tompkins at Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

If you would like to request a waiver of the tolerance objection fees, you must mail your request for such a waiver to: James Hollins, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

3. *Copies for the Docket.* In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in Unit III.A., you should also send a copy of your request to the PIRIB for its inclusion in the official record that is described in Unit I.B.2. Mail your copies, identified by docket control number OPP–301045, to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. In person or by courier, bring a copy to the location of the PIRIB described in Unit I.B.2. You may also send an electronic copy of your request via e-mail to: opp-docket@epa.gov. Please use an ASCII file format and avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of electronic objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 6.1/8.0 file format or ASCII file format. Do not include any CBI in your electronic copy. You may also submit an electronic copy of your request at many Federal Depository Libraries.

B. When Will the Agency Grant a Request for a Hearing?

A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is a genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established resolve

one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issues(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32).

IV. Regulatory Assessment Requirements

This final rule establishes a time-limited tolerance under FFDCA section 408. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled *Regulatory Planning and Review* (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). This final rule does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, or impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Public Law 104–4). Nor does it require any prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 13084, entitled *Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments* (63 FR 27655, May 19, 1998); special considerations as required by Executive Order 12898, entitled *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations* (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994); or require OMB review or any Agency action under Executive Order 13045, entitled *Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks* (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Public Law 104–113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note). Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a FIFRA section 18 petition under FFDCA section 408, such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) do not apply. In addition, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, entitled *Federalism* (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure ''meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.'' ''Policies that have federalism implications'' is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have ''substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.'' This final rule directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers and food retailers, not States. This action does not alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4).

V. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this final rule in the **Federal Register**. This final rule is not a ''major rule'' as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated:September 7, 2000.

James Jones,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), (346a) and 371.

§ 180.443 Myclobutanil; tolerances for residues.

2. In § 180.443, amend the table in paragraph (b) by revising the Revocation/expiration date ''7/31/00'' to read ''7/31/02'' for the commodities ''Artichoke'' and ''Peppers (bell and non-bell).''

[FR Doc. 00–23774 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[CC Docket No. 96–61; FCC 00–308]

Policy and Rules Concerning the Interstate Interexchange Marketplace

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document dismisses as moot requests asking that the Commission forbear from application of the rate integration requirements of the Communications Act to commercial mobile radio service providers. This action is taken to comport with the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals vacating the Commission's rate integration rules as applied to commercial mobile radio service carriers and remanding the matter to the Commission for further proceedings.

DATES: Effective September 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Wolfe, 202–418–1310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O) in CC Docket No. 96–61, FCC 00–308, adopted August 17, 2000, and released August 23, 2000. The complete text of this MO&O is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services (ITS, Inc.), CY–B400, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC.

Synopsis of the Memorandum Opinion and Order

1. In this Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O), the Commission addresses requests filed by BellSouth, AT&T, the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association, Nextel, Omnipoint, the Personal Communications Industry Association, and PrimeCo, asking that the Commission forbear from application of the rate integration requirements of section 254(g) of the Communications Act, as amended (47 U.S.C. 254(g)) to

commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers.

2. In light of the July 14, 2000, decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacating the Commission's rate integration rules as applied to CMRS carriers and remanding the matter to the Commission for further proceedings, the Commission finds that the forbearance requests are moot and premature. Accordingly, the requests are dismissed as discussed in detail in the full text of the MO&O.

Ordering Clauses

3. Pursuant to sections (4)(I) and 10 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(I) and 160, that the Petition for Forbearance of BellSouth is dismissed.

4. In addition, the requests for Forbearance contained in the comments filed by AT&T, CTIA, CommNet, Nextel, Omnipoint, PCIA, and PrimeCo are dismissed.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23691 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–1968; MM Docket No. 99–260; RM–9686]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Bristol, VT

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, at the request of Blue Sky Broadcasting, LLC, allots Channel 248A to Bristol, VT, as the community's first local aural service. *See* 64 FR 3996, July 23, 1999. Channel 248A can be allotted to Bristol in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements, with respect to domestic allotments, without the imposition of a site restriction, at coordinates 44–08–18 NL; 73–05–00 WL. Canadian concurrence in the allotment, as a specially negotiated short-spaced allotment, has been received since Bristol is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border and the allotment will be short-spaced to Station CHOM–FM, Channel 249C1, Montreal, Quebec. A filing window for Channel 248A at Bristol will not be opened at this time. Instead, the issue of opening a filing window for this channel will be addressed by the Commission in a subsequent order.

DATES: Effective October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 99–260, adopted August 23, 2000, and released September 1, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334. 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Vermont, is amended by adding Bristol, Channel 248A.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–23694 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA No. 00–2016; MM Docket No. 99–336; RM–9758]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Rocksprings, TX

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document allots Channel 223A at Rocksprings, Texas, in response to a petition filed by Rocksprings Radio Broadcasting Company. *See* 64 FR 68663, December 8, 1999. The coordinates for Channel 223A at Rocksprings are 30–05–18 NL and 100–18–02 WL. There is a site restriction 11.9 kilometers (7.4 miles) northwest of the community. Although Mexican concurrence has been requested for Channel 223A at Rocksprings, final notification from Mexico has not been received. Therefore, operation with the facilities specified for Rocksprings herein is subject to modification, suspension, or termination without hearing, if found by the Commission to be necessary in order to conform to the 1992 USA-Mexico FM Broadcast Agreement or if specifically objected to by Mexico. A filing window for Channel 223A at Rocksprings will not be opened at this time. Instead, the issue of opening a filing window for this channel will be addressed by the Commission in a subsequent order.

DATES: Effective October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 99–336, adopted August 23, 2000, and released September 1, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857–3800, facsimile (202) 857–3805.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by adding Channel 223A at Rocksprings.[1]

[1] The community of Rocksprings, Texas, was added to the FM Table of Allotments in MM Docket No. 99–214. *See* 65 FR 35588, June 5, 2000.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–23695 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–2002; MM Docket No. 99–151; RM–9559; RM–9932]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Rangely, Ridgway and Silverton, CO

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In response to a proposal filed by Mountain West Broadcasting, the Commission allots Channel 279C1 to Rangely, Colorado, as that community's first local aural transmission service. See 64 FR 26719, May 17, 1999. Additionally, in response to a counterproposal filed on behalf of Idaho Broadcasting Consortium, Inc. (RM–9932), permittee for Station KBNG, Channel 279C2, Silverton, Colorado, the Commission substitutes Channel 279C1 for Channel 279C2 at Silverton, reallots Channel 279C1 to Ridgway, Colorado, as that community's first local aural transmission service, and modifies the authorization for Station KBNG, as requested. Additionally, the Commission allots Channel 238A to Silverton, Colorado. Coordinates used for Channel 279C1 at Rangely, Colorado, are 40–05–06 NL and 108–48–18 WL. The coordinates for Channel 279C1 at Ridgway, Colorado, are 38–03–18 NL and 107–41–40 WL. Coordinates for Channel 238A at Silverton, Colorado, are 37–48–42 NL and 107–40–00 WL.

DATES: Effective October 16, 2000. A filing window for Channel 279C1 at Rangely, Colorado, and for Channel 238A at Silverton, Colorado, will not be opened at this time. Instead, the issue of opening a filing window for those channels will be addressed by the Commission in a subsequent Order.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Allocations Branch, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180. Questions related to the application process for Channel 279C1 at Rangely, Colorado and for Channel 238A at Silverton, Colorado, should be addressed to the Audio Services Division, (202) 418–2700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 99–151, adopted August 30, 2000, and released September 1, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center (Room CY–A257), 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857–3800.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Colorado, is amended by adding Rangely, Channel 279C1.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Colorado, is amended by adding Ridgway, Channel 279C1.

4. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Colorado, is amended by removing Channel 279C2 and adding Channel 238A at Silverton.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–23696 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–2014; MM Docket No. 00–17; RM–9814]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Andalusia, AL and Holt, FL

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In response to a proposal filed on behalf of Capstar TX Limited Partnership, the Commission reallots Channel 251C1 from Andalusia, Alabama, to Holt, Florida, as that community's first local aural transmission service, and modifies the license for Station WTKE(FM) to specify Holt as its community of license. *See* 65 FR 7817, February 16, 2000. Coordinates used for Channel 251C1 at Holt, Florida, are 30–59–57 NL and 86–41–20 WL.

DATES: Effective October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 00–17, adopted August 23, 2000, and released September 1, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center (Room CY–A257), 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857–3800.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Alabama, is amended by removing Channel 251C1 at Andalusia.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Florida, is amended by adding Holt, Channel 251C1.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–23698 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–2015; Docket No. 00–60, RM–9827; MM Docket No. 00–61, RM–9840; MM Docket No. 00–62, RM–9846]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Sheffield, PA; Erie, IL; and Due West, SC

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document grants three proposals that allot new channels to Sheffield, Pennsylvania; Erie, Illinois; and Due West, South Carolina. Filing windows for Channel 286A at Sheffield, Pennsylvania; Channel 288A at Erie, Illinois; and Channel 237A at Due West, South Carolina, will not be opened at this time. Instead, the issue of opening a filing window for these channels will be addressed by the Commission in a subsequent order.

DATES: Effective October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon P. McDonald, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 00–60; MM Docket No. 00–61; and MM Docket No. 00–62, adopted August 23, 2000, and released September 1, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center (Room CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The Commission, at the request of Port Erie Communications, allots Channel 286A at Sheffield, Pennsylvania, as the community's first local aural transmission service. *See* 65 FR 20935, April 19, 2000. Channel 286A can be allotted at Sheffield in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 1.9 kilometers (1.2 miles) northeast to avoid short-spacings to the licensed and application sites for Station WQXK(FM), Channel 286B, Salem, Ohio. The coordinates for Channel 286A at Sheffield are 41–42–42 North Latitude and 79–00–56 West Longitude. Since Sheffield is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence of the Canadian government was requested but not yet received. Therefore, if a construction permit is granted prior to the receipt of formal concurrence in the allotment by the Canadian government, the construction permit will include the following condition: ''Operation with the facilities specified herein is subject to modification, suspension or termination without right to a hearing, if found by the Commission to be necessary in order to conform to the U.S.A.-Canadian Broadcast Agreement.''

The Commission, at the request of Erie Foods International, Inc., allots Channel 288A at Erie, Illinois, as the community's first local aural transmission service. *See* 65 FR 20935, April 19, 2000. Channel 288A can be allotted to Erie in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 0.6 kilometers (0.4 miles) east to avoid a short-spacing to the licensed site of Station KQLI(FM), Channel 285C3, DeWitt, Iowa. The coordinates for Channel 288A at Erie are 41–39–22 North Latitude and 90–04–23 West Longitude.

The Commission, at the request of Sutton Radiocasting Corporation, allots Channel 237A at Due West, South Carolina, as the community's first local aural transmission service. *See* 65 FR 20935, April 19, 2000. Channel 237A can be allotted at Due West in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 5.5 kilometers (3.4 miles) south to avoid a short-spacing to the licensed site of Station WBTS(FM), Channel 238C1, Athens, Georgia. The coordinates for Channel 237A are 34–17–13 North Latitude and 82–24–23 West Longitude.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Pennsylvania, is amended by adding Sheffield, Channel 286A.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Illinois, is amended by adding Erie, Channel 288A.

4. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under South Carolina, is amended by adding Due West, Channel 237A.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–23806 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 000907254-0254-01; I.D. 082400A]

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Mackerel, Squid and Butterfish Fisheries; Inseason Adjustment Procedures

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: NMFS corrects the regulations implementing the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) by reinserting regulatory text that was incorrectly removed in the final rule that implemented measures contained in Amendment 12 to the Summer Flounder, Scup and Black Sea Bass FMP, Amendment 8 to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid and Butterfish FMP, and Amendment 12 to the Atlantic Surf Clam and Ocean Quahog FMP, which was published on October 26, 1999.

DATES: Effective September 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul H. Jones, Fishery Policy Analyst, (978) 281-9273.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 26, 1999, NMFS published a final rule at 64 FR 57587 to implement management measures contained in Amendment 12 to the Summer Flounder, Scup and Black Sea Bass FMP, Amendment 8 to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid and Butterfish FMP, and Amendment 12 to the Atlantic Surf Clam and Ocean Quahog FMP. However, the final rule incorrectly removed § 648.21 (e), because the measures were thought to be redundant with the inseason adjustment process established under the framework

provision in § 648.24, which allows the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) to modify or add management measures through a streamlined public review process. The text previously codified at § 648.21 (e), allowed the Administrator, Northeast Region, NMFS, in consultation with the Council, to recommend inseason adjustments to the annual specifications during the fishing year by publishing notification in the Federal Register and providing a 30-day public comment period. This process is not redundant with the framework provision process that was established under § 648.24. Therefore, this technical amendment reinserts § 648.21 (e), that was incorrectly removed on October 26, 1999.

Classification

This technical amendment is issued under 50 CFR part 648. Because it only corrects an inadvertent deletion, the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA, finds, under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), that it is unnecessary to provide prior notice and opportunity for public comment as such procedures would serve no useful purpose. This rule simply restores text that was inadvertently removed by a prior rule. Because this rule is not substantive, it is not subject to a 30-day delay in effective date. The provision is procedural in nature because it merely restores the process through which NMFS may make inseason adjustments to the annual specifications, and it does not impose any requirements with which the public must comply.

This rule is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 648

Fisheries, Fishing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Bruce C. Morehead,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 50 CFR part 648 is amended as follows:

PART 648—FISHERIES OF THE NORTHEASTERN UNITED STATES

1. The authority citation for part 648 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

2. In § 648.21, redesignate paragraph (e) as paragraph (f) and add new paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 648.21 Procedures for determining initial annual amounts.

* * * * *

(e) Inseason adjustments. The specifications established pursuant to this section may be adjusted by the Regional Administrator, in consultation with the MAFMC, during the fishing year by publishing notification in the Federal Register stating the reasons for such an action and providing a 30-day public comment period.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 00–23807 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

Proposed Rules

Federal Register
Vol. 65, No. 180
Friday, September 15, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

36 CFR Part 800

Protection of Historic Properties

AGENCY: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

ACTION: Notice of proposed suspension of rule and adoption as guidelines.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation proposes to suspend its rule implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Such rule sets forth the process by which Federal agencies consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and provide the Council with a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertakings, as required by Section 106. The suspended rule would become guidelines upon the effective date of suspension.

DATES: Submit comments on or before October 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this proposed rule to the Executive Director, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 809, Washington, DC 20004. Fax (202) 606–8672. You may submit electronic comments to: regs@achp.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Javier Marques, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 809, Washington, DC 20004 (202) 606–8503.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 6, 2000, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (''Council'') voted to suspend the Section 106 rule currently codified under 36 CFR part 800 after a 45-day notice and comment period. That rule sets forth the process by which Federal agencies consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and provide the Council with a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertakings, as required by Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The Council also voted to adopt the rule to be suspended as guidelines, effective immediately upon suspension of the rule.

The Council is currently seeking public comment on such actions. If the public comments received do not compel the Council to change its course, the Council plans to proceed with publishing a final rule suspension that would suspend the current rule and reissue the text of the suspended rule as guidelines, with an immediate effective date.

The Council is compelled to take these actions by the prospect of a potentially unfavorable ruling from the court that would severely disrupt the Section 106 process. Reluctantly, the Council has come to the conclusion that suspending the current Section 106 rule, which is at the heart of the litigation, is now the most advisable course to follow.

The preeminent issue in the litigation at this point is the participation of two Council members, who are not appointed by the President, in the rulemaking process leading up to the adoption of the current rule. The plaintiff has argued to the court that such participation violated the Appointments Clause of the Constitution, and that the court should therefore invalidate the regulations without delay. Even though the Council believes the law is on its side on this issue, it runs a risk of having the court rule against it and immediately invalidate the current Section 106 rule in short order. An abrupt suspension of the rule would cause chaos in the ongoing Section 106 reviews, and is seen by the Council as an unacceptable risk.

The Council believes that by proceeding in this manner, it is fashioning an orderly and prudent way of proceeding rather than risking the possibility of an immediate suspension from an adverse court ruling. Of utmost importance, the Council will be able to provide adequate advance notice to the public that the current Section 106 rule is being suspended by a certain date and specify the system that should be followed until new regulations take effect, so Federal agencies, other participants in the Section 106 process and the public can prepare accordingly. This notice and comment period is essential to provide participants in the Section 106 process with sufficient notice of the proposed suspension to ensure an orderly transition. Section 106 regulations were applied to 95,419 agency undertakings during fiscal year 1999. Accordingly, thousands of projects are undergoing Section 106 review at any one time during the year. A reasonable notice and comment period is essential to prevent unduly and abruptly disrupting these thousands of reviews that are proceeding under the existing Section 106 rule. It would also provide Federal agencies the time needed to make responsible and informed decisions as to how to complete their ongoing reviews, and how to comply with Section 106 for new projects without the benefit of a regulatory framework.

As already mentioned, the Council is also proposing to adopt the rule to be suspended as guidelines. While such guidelines would not have the binding legal effect of a rule, they would specify a reasonable procedure for participants in the Section 106 process. The other alternative is to go back to the 1986 rule, but the Council believes that would be unwise. The 1992 amendments to the National Historic Preservation Act brought important changes to the Section 106 process that are simply not reflected at all in the 1986 rule. The current Section 106 rule to be suspended incorporates those changes.

The current Section 106 rule, which would become guidance following the proposed suspension, was unanimously approved by the Council in February, 1999. On June 23, 2000, the Council membership (minus the two, non-Presidentially appointed members) unanimously reaffirmed its belief that the current Section 106 rule represents the process that Federal agencies should follow to comply with Section 106. Those who wish to examine the evolution and rationale behind the substance in these proposed guidelines, are asked to consult the following public documents: (a) Notice of proposed rulemaking at 59 FR 50396, October 3, 1994; (b) notice of proposed rulemaking at 61 FR 48580, September 13, 1996; and (c) final rule and preamble published at 64 FR 27044–27084, May 18, 1999.

The Council is optimistic that the period of time during which the public will need to comply with the Section

106 process without the benefit of a rule will be brief. The general comment period for the proposed rule published July 11, 2000 (65 FR 42834) closed on August 10, 2000. The Council extended the comment period to August 31, 2000 for all those members of the public that made timely requests for additional time to provide comments. The Council received a total of 59 comments. The Council is currently in the process of reviewing and evaluating the comments received on the proposed rule, and believes that it will vote on adopting a new final rule by November 17, 2000, as originally anticipated.

List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 800

Administrative practice and procedure, Historic preservation, Indians, Intergovernmental relations.

For the reasons stated above, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation proposed to suspend the rule currently codified at 36 CFR part 800, and adopt it as guidelines.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

John M. Fowler,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 00–23575 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–10–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 152 and 174

[OPP–250127; FRL–6047–9]

RIN 2070–AC02

Final Regulations for Plant-Pesticides (Plant-Incorporated Protectants); Notification to the Secretary of Agriculture

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notification to the Secretary of Agriculture.

SUMMARY: This document notifies the public that the Administrator of EPA has forwarded to the Secretary of Agriculture, a draft final rule under section 25(a) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act. The substances plants produce for protection against pests are pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act definition of pesticide, if humans intend to use these substances for ''preventing, destroying, repelling or mitigating any pest.'' These substances, produced and used in living plants, along with the genetic material necessary to produce them, are currently called ''plant-pesticides'' by EPA. In this final rule, EPA will rename these pesticides, calling them ''plant-incorporated protectants,'' will exempt a category of plant-pesticides, will clarify the relationship between plants and plant-pesticides and confirm that plants used as biological control agents are exempt from regulation under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, and will establish new part 174 in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, specifically for plant-pesticides.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Philip Hutton, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs (7511C), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 308–8260; and e-mail address: hutton.phil@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general for notification. This action may, however, be of interest to a person or company that may be involved with agricultural biotechnology that may develop and market plant-incorporated protectants. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be interested in this notice. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other documents related to the proposed rule only that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. The draft final rule is not available until it has been signed by EPA. To access this document, on the Home Page select ''Laws and Regulations,'' ''Regulations and Proposed Rules,'' and then look up the entry for this document under the ''**Federal Register**—Environmental Documents.'' You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

II. What Action is EPA Taking?

Section 25(a)(2) of FIFRA provides that the Administrator must provide the Secretary of Agriculture with a copy of any regulation at least 30 days before signing it for publication in the **Federal Register**. The draft final rule is not available to the public until after it has been signed by EPA. If the Secretary comments in writing regarding the draft final rule within 15 days after receiving it, the Administrator shall include in the final rule when published in the **Federal Register** the comments of the Secretary and the Administrator's response to those comments. If the Secretary does not comment in writing within 15 days after receiving the final rule, the Administrator may sign the final rule for publication in the **Federal Register** anytime after the 15-day period. As required by FIFRA section 25(a)(3), a copy of the final rule has been forwarded to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate. EPA issued a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** of November 23, 1994 (59 FR 60534) (FRL–4755–3).

III. Do Any Regulatory Assessment Requirements Apply to this Notification?

No. This document is not a rule, merely a notification of submission to the Secretary of Agriculture. As such, none of the regulatory assessment requirements apply to this document.

IV. Will EPA Submit this Notification to Congress and the Comptroller General?

No. This action is not a rule for purposes of the Congressional Review Act (CRA), 5 U.S.C. 804(3), and will not be submitted to Congress and the Comptroller General. EPA will submit the final rule to Congress and the Comptroller General as required by the CRA.

List of Subjects in Parts 152 and 174

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Biotechnology pesticides, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Stephen L. Johnson,

Associate Deputy Assistant Administrator for the Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 00–23780 Filed 9–14–00 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–2017, MM Docket No. 00–158, RM–9921]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Alamo, NM

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Alamo Navajo School Board, Inc., seeking the allotment of Channel 298A, as a noncommercial educational channel, to Alamo community, NM. Channel 298A can be allotted to Alamo Community at coordinates 34–25–01 NL; 107–30–04 WL. Mexican concurrence in the allotment must be obtained since Alamo Community is located within 320 kilometers (199 miles) of the U.S.-Mexican border. Petitioner is requested to provide further information concerning Alamo Community's center city reference coordinates in order to determine whether the proposed allotment would comply with the signal coverage requirements contained in Section 73.315 of the Commission's Rules. In addition, petitioner is requested to provide further information concerning the reservation of the channel for noncommerical educational use.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before October 23, 2000, and reply comments on or before November 7, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Burton Apache, President, The Alamo Navajo School Board, Inc., P.O. Box 907, Magdalena, NM 87825 (Petitioner) and Don Davis, 800 Wellesley N.E., Albuquerque, NM 87106 (Technical Consultant to petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00–158, adopted August 23, 2000, and released September 1, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC. 20036, (202) 857–3800, facsimile (202) 857–3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–23693 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–2018; MM Docket No. 00–159, RM–9889; MM Docket No. 00–160; RM–9928; MM Docket No. 00–161; RM–9929]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Thermopolis and Story, WY; Pana, Taylorville and Macon, IL; Fort Bridger, WY and Woodruff, UT

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes three reallotments. The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Legend Communications for Wyoming, L.L.C., proposing the substitution of Channel 252C1 for Channel 252C2 at Thermopolis, Wyoming, the reallotment of Channel 252C1 from Thermopolis to Story, Wyoming, and the modification of petitioner's construction permit (File No BPH–19971021MC) accordingly. Channel 251C1 can be reallotted to Story in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 1.2 kilometers (0.8 miles) east at petitioner's requested site. The coordinates for Channel 252C1 at Story are 44–34–28 North Latitude and 106–52–14 West Longitude. In accordance with Section 1.420(i) of Commission's Rules, we will not accept competing expressions of interest for the use of Channel 252C1 at Story, Wyoming, or require petitioner to demonstrate the availability of an equivalent class channel for use by such parties. *See* **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, *infra*.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before October 23, 2000, and reply comments on or before November 7, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, his counsel, or consultant, as follows: Lee W. Shubert, Esq., Rosenman & Colin, LLP, 805 15th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005–2212 (Counsel for Legend Communications for Wyoming, L.LC.); Patricia M. Chuh, Pepper & Corazzini, L.L.P., 1176 K Street, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006 (Counsel for Kaskaskia Broadcasting, Inc. and Miller Communications, Inc.); and Ellen Masters, Shaw Pittman, 2300 N Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037 (Counsel for M. Kent Frendsen).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon P. McDonald, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00–159 and MM Docket No. 00–160, and MM Docket No. 00–161, adopted August 23, 2000, and released September 1, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center (Room CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The Commission also requests comments on a petition filed jointly by Kaskaskia Broadcasting, Inc. and Miller Communications, Inc., proposing the reallotment of Channel 265A from Pana to Macon, Illinois, and the modification of Station WEGY(FM)'s license accordingly. Additionally, petitioners propose the reallotment of Channel 232A from Taylorville to Pana, Illinois, and the modification of Station WMKR(FM)'s license accordingly. Channel 265A can be reallotted to Macon in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 6.9 kilometers (4.3 miles) south at Station WEGY(FM)'s requested

site. The coordinates for Channel 265A at Macon are 39–41–08 North Latitude and 88–55–29 West Longitude. Additionally, Channel 232A can be reallotted to Pana in compliance with the minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 11.7 kilometers (7.3 miles) west at Station WMKR(FM)'s requested site. The coordinates for Channel 262A at Pana 39–22–56 North Latitude and 89–12–56 West Longitude. In accordance with Section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules, we will not accept competing expressions of interest for the use of Channel 232A at Pana, Illinois or for Channel 265A at Macon, Illinois.

The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by M. Kent Frandsen proposing the reallotment of Channel 256C1 from Fort Bridger, Wyoming to Woodruff, Utah, and the modification of Station KNYN(FM)'s construction permit accordingly. Channel 256C1 can be reallotted to Woodruff in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 28.2 kilometers (17.5 miles) southeast at petitioner's presently authorized site. The coordinates for Channel 256C1 at Woodruff are 41–21–10 North Latitude and 110–54–26 West Longitude. In accordance with Section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules, we will not accept competing expressions of interest in the use of Channel 256C1 at Woodruff, Utah.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–23697 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 90

[WT Docket No. 98–182; RM–9222; PR Docket No. 92–235; FCC 00–235]

1998 Biennial Regulatory Review—Private Land Mobile Radio Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has adopted a *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, (FNPRM)* which seeks comment on whether certain rule changes would be in the public interest. Specifically, the Commission seeks comment on whether it should modify the eligibility criteria to operate in the Public Safety Pool for parks and schools; the eligibility criteria for highway maintenance frequencies; and the power limits for certain dockside channels.

DATES: Interested parties may file comments on or before November 14, 2000 and reply comments on or before December 14, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Guy Benson (202) 418–2946 <gbenson@fcc.gov> or Ghassen Khalek (202) 418–2771 <gkhalek@fcc.gov>, Public Safety and Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, or Les Smith, AMD–PERM, Office of Managing Director at (202) 418–0217.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *FNPRM* in the *Report and Order and FNPRM,* FCC 00–235 in WT Docket No. 98–182 and PR Docket No. 92–235, adopted on June 28, 2000 and released on July 12, 2000. The full text of this *FNPRM* is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room CY–A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC. 20037. The full text may also be downloaded at: *www.fcc.gov.* Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Martha Contee at (202) 418–0260 or TTY (202) 418–2555.

Summary of the Proposed Rule

1. The Commission initiated the instant proceeding in conjunction with the Commission's 1998 biennial regulatory review under Section 11 of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. 161. Section 11 requires us to review all our regulations applicable to providers of telecommunications service and determine whether any rule is no longer in the public interest as a result of meaningful economic competition between providers of telecommunications service, and whether such regulations should be deleted or modified. As part of the biennial review of regulations required under section 11, however, the Commission has reviewed all of its regulations relating to administering wireless services, not just those pertaining to providers of a telecommunications service, to determine which regulations can be streamlined or eliminated. The Commission concluded in the *FNPRM* that it was in the public interest to continue to streamline the part 90 Rules and to reduce regulatory requirements on licensees.

2. First, the Commission proposes to eliminate the restriction found in 47 CFR 90.20(a)(1)(i) that excludes school districts and authorities and park districts and authorities from eligibility in the Public Safety Pool. The Commission believes that there are sufficient frequencies available in the Public Safety Pool to accommodate school and park districts. Because school districts and authorities will be eligible to hold authorizations in the Public Safety Pool with this change, the Commission also proposes to eliminate their eligibility for the Industrial/Business Pool.

3. Second, the Commission proposes to eliminate the restriction found in 47 CFR 90.20(43), reserving certain public safety frequencies for non-State highway maintenance use. The proposal allows any public safety user to share these frequencies. The intended result is more efficient use of the spectrum.

4. Finally, the Commission seeks comment on the American Automobile Association's (AAA) proposal that the Commission assign eight of the thirty dockside frequencies to the Emergency Road Service. AAA also proposes to act as the sole frequency coordinator on those channels and asks that the 2-watt power limitations for these frequencies be eliminated. The Commission seeks comment on all of these proposals.

Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

5. As required by section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 603, the Commission has prepared an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) of the expected impact on small entities of the policies and rules proposed in the *FNPRM.* Written public comments are requested on the IRFA. Comments must be identified as responses to the IRFA

and must be filed by the deadlines for comments on this *FNPRM*.

Reason for, and Objectives of, the *FNPRM*

6. The purpose of this item is to determine whether it is in the public interest, convenience, and necessity to amend our rules to eliminate certain restrictions on the use of frequencies in the Public Safety Pool. These proposals include: (1) Deleting the restrictions in 47 CFR 90.20 that exclude school districts and authorities and park districts and authorities from Public Safety Pool eligibility; (2) eliminate the restrictions in 47 CFR 90.20(43) that limit eligibility for certain Public Safety Pool frequencies to non-State highway maintenance systems; and (3) seeking comment on AAA's proposal that we assign eight of twenty-two dockside frequencies for Emergency Road Service, designate AAA as the sole frequency coordinator for those frequencies, and eliminate the current 2-watt power restriction for those frequencies.

7. These proposed rules and actions will give park districts and authorities, school districts and authorities, and other public safety users access to spectrum needed for important communications functions, and will result in more efficient use of the spectrum.

Legal Basis

8. Authority for the proposed rules included in this issuance of this *FNPRM* is contained in sections 4(i), 303(r), and 332(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 303(r), and 332(a)(2).

Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which the Proposed Rules Will Apply

9. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of, and, where feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by the proposed rules, if adopted. The RFA defines the term ''small entity'' as having the same meaning as the terms ''small business,'' ''small organization,'' and ''small business concern'' under section 3 of the Small Business Act. A small business concern is one which: (1) Is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the SBA.

Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping and Other Compliance Requirements

10. Reporting, recordkeeping, and compliance requirements under these proposed rules are nominal. No new reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance requirements would be imposed on applicants or licensees as a result of the actions proposed in this rule making proceeding.

Steps Taken To Minimize Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered

11. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant alternatives that it has considered in reaching its proposed approach, which may include the following four alternatives: (1) The establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements under the rule for small entities; (3) the use of performance, rather than design, standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule or any part thereof for small entities.

12. Regarding our proposal to delete the exclusion of park districts and authorities and school districts and authorities from the Public Safety Pool channels, *see* paras. 43–46, *supra,* there should be no significant adverse impact on small entities. An alternative to this proposal would be to do nothing, which would be unsatisfactory because it would leave the parks without any possibility of operating radio stations for the transmission of communications essential to their official activities.

13. Finally, we seek comment on how the changes proposed in the *FNPRM* will effect small entities.

Federal Rules That May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict With the Proposed Rules

14. None.

Report to Congress

The Commission will send a copy of the *FNPRM* including this FRFA, in a report to be sent to Congress pursuant to the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. In addition, the Commission will send a copy of the *FNPRM,* including FRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. A copy of the *FNPRM* and FRFA (or summaries thereof) will also be published in the **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 90

Communications equipment, Radio

Federal Communications Comission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

Proposed Rule Changes

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 90 as follows:

PART 90—PRIVATE LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 90 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sections 4(i), 11, 303(g), 303(r) and 332(c)(7) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 161, 303(g), 303(r), 332(c)(7).

2. Section 90.20 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (d)(43) and revising paragraph (a)(1)(i) and the entries for 156.165 MHz, 156.1725 MHz, 156.180 MHz, 156.1875 MHz, 156.195 MHz, 156.2025 MHz, 156.225 MHz, 156.2325 MHz, 156.240 MHz, 158.985 MHz, 158.9925 MHz, 159.000 MHz, 159.0075 MHz, 159.015 MHz, 159.0225 MHz, 159.045 MHz, 159.0525 MHz, 159.060 MHz, 159.0675 MHz, 159.075 MHz, 159.0825 MHz, 159.105 MHz, 159.1125 MHz, 159.120 MHz, 159.1275 MHz, 159.135 MHz, 159.1425 MHz, 159.165 MHz, and 159.1725 MHz of paragraph (c)(3) to read as follows:

§ 90.20 Public Safety Pool.

(a) * * *

(a) * * *

(i) A district and an authority;

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(3) * * *

PUBLIC SAFETY POOL FREQUENCY TABLE

Frequency or band	Class of stations(s)	Limitations	Coordinator
Megahertz:			
* *	*	*	* *
156.165	Base or Mobile	42	PH
156.1725	do	27, 42	PH
156.180	do	42	PH
156.1875	do	27, 42	PH
156.195	do		PH
156.2025	do	27	PH
* *	*	*	* *
156.225	do		PH
156.2325	do	27	PH
156.240	do	79	PH
* *	*	*	* *
158.985	[Mobile]		PH
158.9925	do	27	PH
159.000	do		PH
159.0075	do	27	PH
159.015	do		PH
159.0225	do	27	PH
* *	*	*	* *
159.045	do		PH
159.0525	do	27	PH
159.060	do		PH
159.0675	do	27	PH
159.075	do		PH
156.0825	do	27	PH
* *	*	*	* *
159.105	[Base or Mobile]		PH
159.1125	do	27	PH
159.120	do		PH
159.1275	do	27	PH
159.135	do		PH
159.1425	do	27	PH
* *	*	*	* *
159.165	do		PH
159.1725	do	27	PH

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(43) [Reserved]

* * * * *

§ 90.242 [Amended]

3. Section 90.242 is amended by removing paragraph (a)(1) and redesignating paragraphs (a)(2) through (a)(7) as (a)(1) though (a)(6).

[FR Doc. 00–23587 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 180

Friday, September 15, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

September 11, 2000.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, DC 20503 *and* to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–6746.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service

Title: National Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program.

OMB Control Number: 0524–0033.

Summary of Collection: The Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) administers several competitive and non-competitive grant programs. 7 U.S.C. 450i (Competitive, Special, and Facilities Research Grant Act) authorizes these programs. CSREES has primary responsibility for providing linkages between the Federal and State components of a broad-based, national agricultural research, extension, and education system. Focused on national issues, its purpose is to represent the Secretary of Agriculture and carry out the intent of Congress by administering formula and grant funds appropriated for agricultural, research, extension, and education. Before awards can be made, certain information is required from applicants as part of an overall proposal package. CSREES will collect information using forms CSREES 1232, 1233, and 1234.

Need and Use of the Information: CSREES will collect information to evaluate proposals and award grants.

Description of Respondents: State, Local, or Tribal Government; Federal Government; Individuals or households; Business or other for-profit; Not-for profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 20,450.

National Agricultural Statistics Service

Title: Agricultural Resource Management, Chemical Use, and Post-Harvest Chemical Use Surveys.

OMB Control Number: 0535–0218.

Summary of Collection: The primary objective of the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) is to provide the public with timely and reliable agricultural production and economic statistics, as well as environmental and specialty agricultural related statistics. Three surveys—the Agricultural Resource Management Study, the Fruit and Vegetable Chemical Use Surveys, and the Post-harvest Chemical Use Survey—are critical to NASS' ability to fulfill these objectives and to build the Congressionally mandated database on agricultural chemical use and related farm practices. NASS uses a variety of survey instruments to collect the information in conjunction with these studies.

Need and Use of the Information: The Agricultural Resource Management Study provides a robust database of information to address varied needs of policy makers. There are many uses for the information from this study including an evaluation of the safety of the Nation's food supply; input to the farm sector portion of the gross domestic product; and as a barometer on the financial condition of farm businesses. Data from the Fruit and Vegetable Chemical Use Surveys is used to assess the environmental and economic implications of various program and policies and the impact on agricultural producers and consumers. The results of the Post-harvest Chemical Use Survey are used by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to develop Food Quality Protection Act risk assessments. Other organizations use this data to make sound regulatory decisions.

Description of Respondents: Farms.

Number of Respondents: 122,706.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Annually.

Total Burden Hours: 41,017.

National Agricultural Statistics Service

Title: Census of Agriculture Content Test.

OMB Control Number: 0535–NEW.

Summary of Collection: The National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) is responsible for conducting the Census of Agriculture under the authority of the Census of Agriculture Act of 1997, Public Law 105–113. In support of this effort, NASS conducts a pilot study 2 years prior to each census to test factors affecting response such as wording, capability of respondents to answer questions, availability of records, and perception of usefulness of the census data. This test is important to assessing potential changes prior to making adjustments to the full-scale census. The current proposal for the Census of Agriculture content test involves the redesign of the collection form in intelligent character recognition (ICR) format for scanning, wording changes, new content items, and order of the sections. Additionally, a substantially lower number of respondents will be

involved in this content test than in the previous version.

Need and Use of the Information: The Census of Agriculture Content Test is critical to NASS' ability to design a successful census survey. The actual Census of Agriculture is required by law every five years and serves as the basis for many agriculturally-based decisions. Without the content test, NASS would not be able to ensure that the actual census involved clear questions that would be easily and accurately answered by the affected public.

Description of Respondents: Farms.

Number of Respondents: 122,706.

Frequency of Respondents: Reporting: Annually.

Total Burden Hours: 41,017.

Rural Business-Cooperative Services

Title: 7 CFR 2484–F, Rural Cooperative Development Grant.

OMB Control Number: 0570–0006.

Summary of Collection: This program is administered through State Rural Development Offices on behalf of the Rural Business-Cooperative Services (RBS). The primary objective of the program is to improve the economic condition of rural areas through cooperative development. The applicants, who are non-profit corporations or institutions of higher education, will provide information using various forms and supporting documentation.

Need and Use of the Information: RBS will use the information collected to evaluate the applicant's ability to carry out the purposes of the program. Grant funds will be awarded on a competitive basis using a scoring system that gives preference to applications that demonstrate a proven track record. If this information were not collected, RBS would have not basis on which to evaluate the relative merit of each application.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or households; Not for profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 50.

Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 1848.

Rural Housing Service

Title: 7 CFR 1944–D, Farm Labor Housing Loan and Grant Policies, Procedures, and Authorization.

OMB Control Number: 0575–0045.

Summary of Collection: Sectin 514 and 516 of Title V of the Housing Act of 1949 authorizes Rural Housing Service (RHS) to make loans and grants to public, private nonprofit and farm worker organizations for developing farm labor. The objective of this program is to provide decent, safe, and sanitary housing and related facilities for domestic farm labor and migrant labor in areas where needed.

Need and Use of the Information: The information collected is based on the program requirements and regulations which help determine an applicant's eligibility for a loan and/or grant. RHS has the responsibility for protecting the interest of taxpayer's funds and to assure that the objectives of the loan and grant program are carried out as intended. Failure to have this information would result in illegal and unauthorized use of federal funds.

Description of Respondents: Farms; Not for profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 95.

Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 8524.

Food Nutrition Service

Title: Monthly Claim For Reimbursement.

OMB Control Number: 0584–0284.

Summary of Collection: The Child Nutrition Act of 1966 requires that educational agencies disburse and appropriate funds during the fiscal year for the purposes of carrying out provisions of the Special Milk Program (SMP). The National School Lunch Act requires that State educational agencies disburse appropriated funds paid to the state for any fiscal year for the purposes of fulfilling the earned reimbursement set forth in National School Lunch, Breakfast, and Special Milk Programs. The Food and Nutrition Service will use the monthly claim reimbursement form FNS–806 to fulfill the earned requirements identified in these programs. National School Lunch Program (NSLP), SMP, and the School Breakfast Program (SBP).

Need and Use of The Information: The information is collected electronically from school authorities that participate in NSLP, School Breakfast Program (SBP), and SMP programs. The forms contain meal and cost data collected from authorized program participants. Also, these forms are an essential part of the accounting system used by the subject programs to ensure proper reimbursement. This information is collected monthly because of the constant fluctuation in school enrollment and program participation. Program participants would not receive the monthly reimbursement earned and the Agency would lose program accountability, if this information were collected less frequently.

Description of Respondents: Farms; Not for profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 520.

Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: Monthly.

Total Burden Hours: 2700.

Forest Service

Title: National Survey on Recreation and the Environment.

OMB Control Number: 0596–0127.

Summary of Collection: The National Survey on Recreation and the Environment (NSRE) 2000 is the latest in a series of surveys conducted by the Forest Service (FS) which began in 1960 as the primary source of recreation data from the U.S. population. This information is vital for federal land managing agencies to obtain an understanding of the outdoor recreation participation levels and preferences of the American people so that effective policy making, planning, and decision-making can occur. Information from the survey is shared with and relied upon by organizations outside the federal government including educational institutions, private sector companies, state agencies, and other governmental organizations as the fundamental source of outdoor recreation trend and demand data on a national scale. The survey will be administered using a statistically valid sampling methodology through computer-assisted telephone interviewing techniques

Need and Use of the Information: FS will collect information nationally from the public to assess trends in recreation participation over the years since the survey was last conducted and to estimate demand for outdoor recreation among the U.S. population. In addition, the survey will collect information from the public on people's attitudes and values toward natural resources and their management. The information will be used by FS and other federal agencies to develop long-range strategic plans, adjust programs and activities to meet customer needs and expectations, and better manage federally owned lands.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 40,000.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Other (one time).

Total Burden Hours: 13,333.

This is a revision to the number of respondents and burden hours reported in the Federal Register on May 2, 2000 (25464). Due to an error in estimating, the numbers reported in the register were 20,000 respondents and 6,000 hours. The revised number for the respondents should be 40,000 and 13,333 for the burden hours.

Food and Nutrition Service

Title: Food Stamp Program Identification Cards.

OMB Control Number: 0584–0124.

Summary of Collection: The Food Stamp Act of 1977 requires that photographic identification (ID) cards be used in issuance situations in which the Department believes that it would be useful to protect the integrity of the Food Stamp Program (FSP). These requirements are essential to ensure the integrity of the FSP. The ID is required by Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) regulation to be a controlled document, laminated with a serial number and a photograph.

Need and Use of the Information: Photo ID are used by issuance agents to identify households for monthly issuance; by retailers to identify households when benefits are used; and by households to provide proof at recertification and when picking up monthly allotments. The use of the photo ID card and the requirement to show it to obtain benefits is crucial to the agency's ability to protect the integrity of the Food Stamp Program.

Description of Respondents: State, Local, or Tribal Government; Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 329,293.

Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 21,250.

Nancy B. Sternberg,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23711 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food Safety and Inspection Service

[Docket No. 00–020N]

National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods; Renewal

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of Re-chartering of Committee.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the re-chartering of the National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods (NACMCF). The Committee is being renewed in cooperation with the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). The establishment of the Committee was recommended by a 1985 report of the National Academy of Sciences Committee on Food Protection, Subcommittee on Microbiological Criteria, ''An Evaluation of the Role of Microbiological Criteria for Foods.'' The current charter for the NACMCF is available for viewing on the FSIS homepage at *www.fsis.usda.gov* under mission and activities.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Carol Maczka, Executive Secretariat, USDA, Food Safety and Inspection Service, 3rd Floor—Room 355 Aerospace Center, 901 D Street, SW., Washington, DC 20024. Background materials are available for inspection by contacting Dr. Maczka at (202) 690–6540.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) is charged with the enforcement of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA), the Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA), and the Egg Products Inspection Act (EPIA). Under these Acts, USDA is responsible for the wholesomeness and safety of meat, poultry, and egg products intended for human consumption. Similarly, the Secretary of HHS is charged with the enforcement of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA). Under this Act, HHS is responsible for ensuring the wholesomeness and safety of human foods other than meat, poultry, and egg products, and of animal feeds.

In order to continue to meet the responsibilities of the FMIA, PPIA, EPIA, and FFDCA, the NACMCF is being reestablished. The Committee will be charged with advising and providing recommendations to the Secretaries on the development of microbiological criteria by which the safety and wholesomeness of food can be assessed, including criteria for microorganisms that indicate whether foods have been adequately and appropriately processed.

Reestablishment of this Committee is necessary and in the public interest because the development of a sound public policy in this area can best be accomplished by a free and open exchange of information and ideas among Federal, State, and local agencies, and other interested parties. The complexity of the issues to be addressed requires that more than one meeting per year will be necessary to accomplish the Committee's tasks.

Members will be appointed by the Secretary of USDA after consultation with the Secretary of HHS. Because of their interest in the matters to be addressed by this Committee, advice on membership appointments will be requested from the Department of Commerce's National Marine Fisheries Service and the Department of Defense's Veterinary Service Activity.

Additional Public Notification

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, in an effort to better ensure that minorities, women, and persons with disabilities are aware of this notice, FSIS will announce it and provide copies of this **Federal Register** publication in the FSIS Constituent Update. FSIS provides a weekly FSIS Constituent Update, which is communicated via fax to over 300 organizations and individuals. In addition, the update is available on-line through the FSIS web page located at http://www.fsis.usda.gov. The update is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, **Federal Register** notices, FSIS public meetings, recalls, and any other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to our constituents/stakeholders. The constituent fax list consists of industry, trade, and farm groups, consumer interest groups, allied health professionals, scientific professionals, and other individuals that have requested to be included. Through these various channels, FSIS is able to provide information to a much broader, more diverse audience. For more information and to be added to the constituent fax list, fax your request to the Congressional and Public Affairs Office, at (202) 720–5704.

Done in Washington, DC on: September 11, 2000.

Paul W. Fiddick,

Assistant Secretary for Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–23771 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Shore Nuf Timber Sale, Willamette National Forest, Linn and Marion Counties, Oregon

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The USDA Forest Service gives notice that it will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Shore Nuf Timber Sale Project proposal. The project area is located approximately 50 miles east of Salem, Oregon on the western slopes of the Cascade Mountains, near Detroit Lake and the City of Detroit, Oregon on the Detroit Ranger District of the Williamette National Forest. The Shore

Nuf Timber Sale would occur during the next five years on approximately 1200 acres of the Detroit Tributaries Watershed, and includes the following proposed actions:

1. Thin approximately 1200 acres of second growth Douglas fir stands, to reduce stocking levels while maintaining a 50 to 70 percent average canopy closure;

2. Thin selected portions of riparian reserves that are within, or adjacent to the proposed thinning stands, to develop late successional characteristics in riparian areas;

3. Treat pockets of *Phellinus weirii* and other root rots occurring in the proposed thinning stands by removing all of the affected trees within the infection site and/or within a buffer around the infection site, to prevent the spread of the *Phellinus weirii* and other diseases; and

4. Create eight small openings, up to three acres each, along the Blowout Road and Stahlman trail to provide views of Detroit Lake and the surrounding area.

The proposed action also includes the following associated actions:

1. Construct approximately 2.0 miles of temporary roads to access thinning units, and after implementation of the thinning, obliterate the roads by ripping, seeding, and re-establishing natural drainage patterns;

2. Reconstruct approximately 6.0 miles of existing roads that are currently inaccessible due to slides, overgrown vegetation, water damage, and downed trees;

3. Construct, reconstruct, or modify landings for helicopters, skylines, and ground based yarding systems;

4. Treat slash created by the thinning activities in areas where there is a high risk of fire starts, such as campgrounds, summer homes and major roads, by hand piling and burning slash; and

5. Reforest the treated *Phellinus weirii* and other root rot pockets by planting species that are not susceptible to root rot such as native hardwoods.

The Detroit District Ranger will decide whether to implement the project as proposed, to implement a modified proposal, to implement an alternative to the proposal, or to take no action at this time.

DATES: Written comments concerning the scope and implementation of this proposal should be received on or before October 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments or suggestions concerning this project to Stephanie Phillips, District Ranger, Detroit Ranger District, HC73 Box 320, Mill City, Oregon 97360.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Please direct questions about the proposed action and EIS to Jim Romero, District Planner at (503) 854–3366.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 1200 acres selected for commercial thinning are located along Blowout Creek (Forest Road 10), French Creek (Forest Road 2223), and Piety Island near Detroit Lake. These stands were established primarily through natural seeding following logging or large fires in the early 1900's. Most stands date to a single large fire, which occurred in the Detroit Reservoir Area in 1919. Stands in the French Creek area were logged in the 1930's but have similar characteristics to stands harvested earlier. These stands are predominantly Douglas-fir, and have about 150–300 trees per acre, resulting in greater than 90% canopy closure. The trees range in size from 9 to 21 inches in diameter. Generally, the stands have only one canopy layer with sparse to light ground vegetation as a result of the dense canopy closure. Given this, the stands support a less diverse range of wildlife species (primarily fewer late successional species) and are prone to crown-type fires. Because of the age and density of these stands, future growth projections, and increased mortality due to tree competition, these stands will not attain large diameter trees, open spacing, and structural diversity (multiple canopy layers) for many years.

The Detroit Ranger District, over the past several years, has commercially thinned using helicopters in stands similar to those proposed for treatment in the Shore Nuf Timber Sale project area, including thinning in riparian reserves. The results of these sales have had virtually no effect on scenic quality, water quality, or substantial amounts of slash left from the thinning. The thinning would achieve a 50–70 percent average canopy closure to meet wildlife and hydrologic needs. Thinning would be applied within selected riparian reserves to control stocking, re-establish and manage stands, and acquire desired vegetation characteristics needed to attain Aquatic Conservation Strategy Objectives. Thinning would take place outside of the wet area of the riparian reserve and outside of the portion contributing to channel bank stability.

Treating the *Phellinus weirii* and other root rot pockets would result in several small openings varying in size from about one to five acres. The total area affected by the treatment is approximately one to five percent of the thinned area. Reforesting the affected areas would occur with non-susceptible species such as hardwoods. There is a need for this treatment to minimize the spread of the infection by removing the host species in the infection site and/or within its path of spread.

The combination of commercial thinning and creation of small openings along the Blowout Road (Forest Road 10) would improve views of Detroit Reservoir as seen from the road. Thinnings would also occur adjacent to private lands within the project area to reduce the edge effect created by large clearcuts on private lands.

The proposal includes using a helicopter to yard the logs on about two-thirds of 1200 acres of thinning, and to use both skyline and ground-based yarding systems on the remaining one-third of the area. The timber sale would result in the sale of approximately 10 to 15 MMBF of commercial wood products such as saw logs.

The need for the Shure Nuf Timber Sale Project is to reduce the total number of trees per acre to lessen competition for nutrients, sunlight, and growing space. This would improve the growth and vigor of the remaining trees resulting in healthier stands of trees that are more resistant to insects and disease. The trees would also reach larger diameters and exhibit late successional stand characteristics sooner. Thinning the smaller diameter, suppressed trees before they die would reduce the fuel buildup and fire risk, and have the added benefit of utilizing the trees for commercial wood products. The thinning would open the stand canopies, letting sunlight reach the forest floor, thus resulting in increased shrub and hardwood growth, which would enhance diversity for wildlife habitat. The open stands with a diverse understory would also enhance the scenic quality along the forest roads in this heavily used recreation area.

The USDA Forest Service is seeking information and comments from Federal, State and local agencies, as well as, other individuals or organizations that may be interested in, or affected by, the proposed action. Information that would be especially useful would be identification of issues, exploration of additional alternatives based on the issues, and identifying potential environmental effects of the proposed action and alternatives to the proposal. Public involvement will include periodic mailings to interested persons as the project progresses, and possibly field trips and public meetings depending on the level of public interest for the project. Information on field trips and meetings will be announced later.

The following is a description of the preliminary issues identified for this project: (1) Noise from helicopters,

harvest equipment, log trucks and increased truck traffic could be disturbing to local residents and recreation users, potentially impacting local tourism and the related tourist economy during harvest operations, and (2) There is concern that noxious weeds could spread to areas where mineral soil has been exposed due to road and landing construction.

The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register.** The draft environmental impact statement is scheduled for release in January, 2001. It is expected that the final environmental impact statement will be released in April, 2001.

Comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR parts 215 or 217. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27 (d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under the FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within a specified number of days.

The USDA Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage as a result of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of a draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.* v. *NRDC,* 435 U.S. 519,553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon* v. *Hodel,* 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir, 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc.* v. *Harris,* 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the USDA Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages of chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points).

The lead agency for this project is the USDA Forest Service, Willamette National Forest. The deciding official is Stephanie Phillips, District Ranger, Detroit Ranger District of the Willamette National Forest. The Record of Decision for this project will document the decision and rationale for the decision. That decision is subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 215.

Dated: August 29, 2000.

Stephanie Phillips,

Detroit District Ranger, Willamette National Forest.

[FR Doc. 00–23729 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Additions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled

ACTION: Additions to the procurement list.

SUMMARY: This action adds to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis R. Bartalot (703) 603–7740

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 24, 1999, July 21 and 28, and August 4, 2000, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notices (64 FR 51736 and 65 FR 45358, 46425 and 47949) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4. I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.

2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the services.

3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.

4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following services are hereby added to the Procurement List:

Administrative/General Support Services

Department of the Army, Office of the Surgeon General, 5111 Leesburg Pike, Room 538, Falls Church, Virginia

Base Supply Center

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

Grounds Maintenance

Base Housing, Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point, North Carolina

Hearing/Grievance Examiner Services

The Corporation for National & Community Service, 1201 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC

Janitorial/Custodial

Naval and Marine Corps Reserve Center, 3655 S. Wilmot Road, Tucson, Arizona

Janitorial/Custodial

Youth Center (Ch-905), New Submarine Base New London, Groton, Connecticut

Laundry Service

Linen Exchange, Building 426, March Air Force Base, California

Parking Facility Attendant

VA Medical Center, 2215 Fuller Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Switchboard Operation

Harry S. Truman Memorial Veterans' Hospital, Columbia, Missouri

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23791 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Proposed Additions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Proposed additions to procurement list.

SUMMARY: The Committee has received proposals to add to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 16, 2000.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis R. Bartalot (703) 603–7740

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51–2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, all entities of the Federal Government (except as otherwise indicated) will be required to procure the services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.

2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List. Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

The following services have been proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Janitorial/Custodial

Department of Veterans Affairs, BRECC VA Clinic, 3800 Loch Raven Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland
NPA: Baltimore Association for Retarded Citizens, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland

U.S. Department of Commerce, National Weather Service, 2001 North West 56th Drive, Pendleton, Oregon
NPA: Horizon Project, Inc., Milton Freewater, Oregon

Janitorial/Grounds Maintenance

U.S. Army Reserve Center, 1650 Corey Boulevard, Decatur, Georgia
NPA: Bobby Dodd Industries, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia

Mailroom Operation

New Orleans Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) Sites, New Orleans, Louisiana,
NPA: Goodworks, Inc., New Orleans, Louisiana

Switchboard Operation

Department of Justice, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia
NPA: Rappahannock Goodwill Industries, Inc., Fredericksburg, Virginia

G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23792 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–588–806]

Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On May 8, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Japan. The review covers one producer/exporter, Tosoh Corporation, during the period of review April 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made no changes for the final results. The review indicates the existence of no dumping margins for Tosoh Corporations during this period.

DATE: September 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla, Karin Ryerson, or Richard Rimlinger, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3477, (202) 482–3174 or (202) 482–4477; respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act, by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Departments) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On May 8, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the anitidumping duty order on electrolytic manganese dioxide (EMD) from Japan. See *Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Japan,* 65 FR

265780 (Preliminary Results). Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC and Chemetals, Inc. (collectively ''the petitioners''), submitted their case briefs on June 7, 2000. Tosoh Corporation (Tosoh), the sole respondent in this review, submitted its case brief on June 7, 2000. Both the petitioners and Tosoh submitted their rebuttal on June 12, 2000. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of EMD from Japan. EMD is manganese dioxide (MnO_2) that has been refined in an electrolysis process. The subject merchandise is an intermediate product used in the production of dry-cell batteries. EMD is sold in three physical forms, powder, chip or plate, and two grades, alkaline and zinc chloride. EMD is all three forms and both grades is included in the scope of the order. This merchandise is currently classifiable under item number 2820.10.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. It is not determinative of the products subject to the order. The written product description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by the petitioners and Tosoh are addressed in the ''Issues and Decision Memorandum'' (Decision Memo) from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Secretary, dated September 5, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an appendix. This Decision Memo, which is a public document, is on the file in the Central Records Unit, Main Commerce Building, Room B–099, and is accessible on the Web at www.ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Sunset Revocation

On April 20, 2000, the International Trade Commission (ITC), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on EMD from Japan would not be likely to lead to continuation of recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. Therefore, because the order will be revoked as a result of the ITC's determination with an effective date of January 1, 2000, no deposit requirements will be effective for shipments entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review

Final Results of Review

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made no changes for the final results. Therefore, the final results of review are the same as those we presented in our preliminary results. We have determined that a weighted-average margin of zero percent exists for Tosoh for the period April 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

The Department will issue appraisement instruction directly to the Customs Service.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(A)(1) and 777(i)(l) of the Act.

Dated: September 5, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Comments and Responses

1. Determination of U.S. Price
2. Affiliation
3. Matching Methodology

[FR Doc. 00–23797 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Recission of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of partial recission of new shipper antidumping duty review

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 15, 2000.

SUMMARY: On June 1, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 35046) a notice announcing the initiation of four new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat (crawfish) from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period September 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000. One new shipper review is now being rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of request for a new shipper antidumping duty review by Rizhao Riyuan Marine and Food Products Co., Ltd. (Rizhao Riyuan).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Gilgunn, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0648.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 29, 2000, Rizhao Riyuan, an exporter of the subject merchandise, requested a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on crawfish from the PRC in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b). On June 1, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated a new shipper review of this order for the period September 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000. On July 11, 2000, Rizhao Riyuan withdrew its request for this review.

Recission of Review

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(f)(1) provide that a party may withdraw its request for a new shipper review within 60 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Rizhao Riyuan's request for withdrawal was made within the 60 day period. Rescission of this review would not prejudice any party in this proceeding, as Rizhao Riyuan would continue to be included in the PRC-wide rate to which it was subject at the time of its request for this new shipper review. Rizhao Riyuan is the only party that requested a review of its sales for the period September 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000, and no other party has objected to its withdrawal of that request. Therefore, we are rescinding this review. This determination is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 351.214(f).

Dated: August 7, 2000.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement III.

[FR Doc. 00–23793 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–501]

Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of administrative review: natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads from the People's Republic of China.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah Ellerman or Maureen Flannery, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4106 or (202) 482–3020, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads from the PRC. Excluded from the review are paint brushes and brush heads with a blend of 40% natural bristles and 60% synthetic filaments. The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 9603.40.40.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Background

On July 25, 2000, the Department published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on natural bristle paint brushes and paint brush heads from the People's Republic of China (65 FR 45753). This review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Hebei Founder Import & Export Company (Founder) and Hunan Provincial Native Produce & Animal By-Products Import & Export Corporation (Hunan), for the period February 1, 1998 through January 31, 1999. The petitioner is the Paint Applicator Division of the American Brush Manufacturers Association (petitioner). After publication of our final results, we received timely allegations from both Founder and petitioner that we made ministerial errors in calculating the final results of review. In addition, petitioner made a timely response to Founder's ministerial error allegation. We agree that ministerial errors were made and have corrected our calculations in accordance with section 751 (h) of the Tariff Act.

Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations Received From Interested Parties

As defined by section 751(h) of the Act, the term ministerial error includes errors ''in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the [Department] considers ministerial.''

We received one ministerial error allegation from Founder stating that the Department calculated the cost of inland freight for Founder on a per-kilogram basis rather than on a per-brush basis. Founder argues that, in order to correct this error, the Department should divide the cost per kilogram by the weight of the two-inch and four-inch brush, respectively, to determine the inland freight cost per brush. Petitioner counters that, if the Department determined that it made a ministerial error in its calculation of inland freight, then the Department should not adopt Founder's proposed correction because Founder's proposed correction would fail to account for the packed weight of each brush. Petitioner argues that the cost of inland freight should be based on packed weight because the brushes are shipped from the factory to the port in packaged form. Thus, petitioner contends that the Department should add the per-unit weights of poly-bags, boxes, and cartons to the weight of each brush before calculating a weight-based, per-unit inland freight cost.

We agree with Founder that we calculated the cost of inland freight on a per-kilogram basis, rather than on a per-piece basis; however, we disagree with Founder's methodology to rectify this error. Rather than divide the cost per kilogram by the weight of the two-inch and four-inch brush respectively, we should multiply the cost per kilogram by the weight of the two-inch and four-inch brushes, thereby deriving a cost per brush. In addition, we agree with petitioner that Founder's methodology fails to account for the packed weight of each brush. Therefore, we have added the per-unit weights of poly-bags, boxes, and cartons to the weight of the individual brush to base the cost of inland freight on packed weights. We have subsequently made these changes to the calculations for both the two-inch and four-inch brushes for the amended final results. For further information with regard to the changes made in our calculations, *see Memorandum to the File from Michael Strollo through Maureen Flannery: Analysis of Hebei Founder Import and Export Corp. (Founder) for the Amended Final Results of Review of Natural Bristle Paintbrushes and Brush Heads from the People's Republic of China (Founder Amended Analysis Memo)*, dated August XX, 2000.

We also received ministerial error allegations from petitioner. Petitioner stated that (1) the Department did not use the most current wage rates for valuing both manufacturing and packing labor, and (2) the Department did not implement its intentions with respect to the valuation of wooden core for respondent Founder. Petitioner contends that, after case and rebuttal briefs were filed in this review, the Department published an updated wage rate of $0.80 for China based on 1998 data. Petitioner maintains that this is the most current and contemporaneous wage rate that is available to value labor. Therefore, petitioner argues that, consistent with the regulations, the Department should amend the final results to incorporate the most current wage rate data.

With regard to the Department's valuation of Founder's wooden core, petitioner refers to the *Memorandum to the File from Mike Strollo through Maureen Flannery: Analysis of Hebei Animal By-Product Import/Export Corp., now Hebei Founder Import and Export Corp. (Founder), for the Final Results of Review of Natural Bristle Paintbrushes and Brush Heads from the People's Republic of China*, dated July 13, 2000. In that memorandum, the

Department stated that, as facts available, it intended to use the surrogate value for bristles to value the bristle/wooden core factor for both the two-inch and four-inch brushes weighed during the verification of Founder. Petitioner contends, however, that the Department valued the weight of the bristle, using the same weights for the final results that it used in the preliminary results.

We agree with petitioner that the Department unintentionally failed to use the most current wage rates for valuing labor for both Founder and Hunan. Since a more current wage rate is publicly available at the Import Administration web-site, we should have updated our analysis for the final results to reflect the most current data. We also agree with petitioner that we failed to properly implement its intentions with respect to the valuation of wooden core for Founder. We have made these suggested corrections for the amended final results. For more information on these changes with respect to the revised calculations of weighted-average dumping margins, please refer to the *Founder Amended Analysis Memo* and *Memorandum to the File from Michael Strollo through Maureen Flannery: Analysis of Hunan Provincial Native Produce & Animal By-Products Import & Export Corp. (Hunan) for the Amended Final Results of Review of Natural Bristle Paintbrushes and Brush Heads from the People's Republic of China*, dated August 24, 2000.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of correcting these ministerial errors, we have revised our final results and determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period February 1, 1998 through January 31, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Hunan Provincial Native Produce & Animal By-Products Import & Export Corp. ...	0.00
Hebei Founder Import & Export Company	32.74
PRC-Wide Rate	351.92

Accordingly, the Department will determine, and the Customs Service will assess, antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise from Hunan and Founder in accordance with these amended final results. For assessment purposes, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for each class or kind of merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the period of review (POR) to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs.

Amended Cash Deposit Requirements

The following amended deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of amended final results of administrative review for all shipments of natural bristle paint brushes and paint brush heads from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(c) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above except that, for firms whose weighted-average margins are less than 0.5 percent and therefore de minimis, the Department shall require no deposit of estimated antidumping duties; (2) for previously-reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters with separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate, 351.92 percent; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of the subject merchandise, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

This amended final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 22, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration

[FR Doc. 00–23794 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–809]

Certain Stainless Steel Flanges From India: New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Preliminary results of new shipper review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges from India in response to a request by an Indian exporter of subject merchandise, Bhansali Ferromet Pvt. Ltd. (Bhansali). This review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States during the period of August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on entries subject to this review. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results, and are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Killiam or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–5222 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

The Department published an antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges from India on February 9, 1994 (59 FR 5994), received a timely request for a new shipper review from Bhansali, and initiated this review on (65 FR 8120; February 10, 2000) pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act and section 351.214(b) of the Department's regulations. The Department extended the deadline for completion of the new shipper review on June 14, 2000 (65 FR 37359). Bhansali provided responses to the Department's questionnaires on March 8, 2000 (Section A), April 5, 2000 (Sections B and C), May 15, 2000, and July 24, 2000 (supplemental questionnaires). The Department's analysis of Bhansali's data is presented in full in a Memorandum from the Case Analyst to the file, dated September 5, 2000, ''Analysis of data of Bhansali Ferromet Pvt. Ltd. (Bhansali) for the preliminary results of the new shipper review of certain stainless steel flanges

from India, 8/1/98–7/31/99'' (Analysis Memo).

Scope of Review

The products under review are certain forged stainless steel flanges (hereafter, ''flanges'') from India, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A–182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A–351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the review.

United States Price

Bhansali reported as export price (EP) transactions sales of subject merchandise to unaffiliated U.S. customers prior to importation. We calculated EP in accordance with section 772(a) of the Tariff Act, because the merchandise was sold to the first unaffiliated purchaser in the United States prior to importation and constructed export price (CEP) methodology was not otherwise warranted, based on the facts of record. We based EP on the FOB price to unaffiliated purchasers in the United States. We adjusted the starting price by the amount Bhansali reported for early payment discounts, and movement in accordance with section 772(c)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act. *See* the Analysis Memo.

Home Market Viability

In order to determine whether there is a sufficient volume of sales in the home market to serve as a viable basis for calculating NV (*i.e.,* the aggregate volume of home market sales of the foreign like product is equal to or greater than five percent of the aggregate volume of U.S. sales), we compared Bhansali's volume of home market sales of the foreign like product to the volume of U.S. sales of the subject merchandise, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(C) of the Tariff Act. Because Bhansali's aggregate volume of home market sales of the foreign like product was greater than five percent of its aggregate volume of U.S. sales for the subject merchandise, we determined that the home market was viable for Bhansali.

Level of Trade

In accordance with section 773(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act, to the extent practicable, we determine NV based on sales in the comparison market at the same level of trade (LOT) as the EP or CEP transaction. The LOT in the home market is that of the starting-price sales in the comparison market or, when NV is based on constructed value (CV), that of the sales from which we derive selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses and profit. With respect to U.S. price for EP transactions, the LOT is also the level of the starting-price sale, which is usually from the exporter to the importer. For CEP, the LOT is the level of the constructed sale from the exporter to the importer.

To determine whether NV sales are at a different LOT than EP or CEP, we examine stages in the marketing process and selling functions along the chain of distribution between the producer and the unaffiliated customer. If the comparison-market sales are at a different LOT and the difference affects price comparability, as manifested in a pattern of consistent price differences between the sales on which NV is based and home market sales at the LOT of the export transaction, we make a LOT adjustment under section 773(a)(7)(A) of the Tariff Act. Finally, for CEP sales, if the NV level is more remote from the factory than the CEP level and there is no basis for determining whether the difference in the levels between NV and CEP affects price comparability, we adjust NV under section 773(a)(7)(B) of the Tariff Act (the CEP-offset provision). *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from South Africa,* 62 FR 61731 (November 19, 1997).

Bhansali reported one customer category and one channel of distribution (*i.e.,* sales to unaffiliated distributors) for its home market sales. Bhansali reported EP sales in the U.S. market. For EP sales, Bhansali also reported one customer category and one channel of distribution (*i.e.,* direct sales to unaffiliated distributors). Bhansali claimed in its response that its EP sales were made at the same LOT as home market sales to unaffiliated distributors. For this reason, Bhansali has not requested a LOT adjustment to NV for comparison to its EP sales.

In determining whether separate LOTs actually existed in the home market and U.S. market, we examined whether Bhansali's sales involved different marketing stages (or their equivalent) based on the channel of distribution, customer categories and selling functions. After reviewing the record evidence, we agree with Bhansali that its home market sales comprise a single LOT.

In analyzing Bhansali's selling activities for its EP sales, we noted that the sales involved the same selling functions associated with the home market LOT described above. Based upon the record evidence, we have determined that there is one LOT for all EP sales and that it is the same LOT as that in the home market. Accordingly, because we find the U.S. sales and home market sales to be at the same LOT, no LOT adjustment under section 773(a)(7)(A) is warranted.

Price-to-Price Comparisons

We calculated NV based on FOB prices to unaffiliated customers. Bhansali reported no movement expenses for home market sales of similar and identical merchandise. We made adjustments to NV for differences in costs attributable to differences in the physical characteristics of the merchandise, pursuant to section 773(a)(6)(C)(ii) of the Tariff Act. We made adjustments under section 773(a)(6)(C)(iii) of the Tariff Act for differences in circumstances of sale for imputed credit expenses. Bhansali reported having no packing costs in the home market. We added U.S. packing costs to NV in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(A) and (B) of the Tariff Act. *See* the Analysis Memo.

Ordinary Course of Trade

Section 773(a)(1)(B) of the Act states, in part, that normal value (NV) is ''the price at which the foreign like product is first sold (or, in absence of a sale, offered for sale) for consumption in the exporting country, in the usual commercial quantities and in the ordinary course of trade.'' The purpose of the ordinary-course-of-trade provision ''is to prevent dumping margins from being based on sales which are not representative'' of the home market. *Thai Pineapple Public Co.* v. *United States,* 946 F. Supp. 11, 15 (CIT 1996) (quoting *Laclede Steel Co.* v. *United States,* Slip Op. 95–144 at 6 (CIT Aug. 11, 1995)). Congress has not specified any criteria that the agency

should use in determining the appropriate ''conditions and practices.'' Thus, the Department, ''in its discretion, chooses how best to analyze the many factors involved in a determination of whether sales are made within the ordinary course of trade.'' *Id.* at 14–17. As an example of sales which would be considered outside the ordinary course of trade, the Department's regulations cite ''merchandise sold at aberrational prices.'' 19 CFR 351.102.

Concerning whether all of Bhansali's home market sales were made in the ordinary course of trade, the record evidence indicates that in one case, Bhansali purchased merchandise the same week as it made its U.S. sale of the identical model, then re-sold the home market merchandise two months later at a significant loss, to the same party who had supplied it. We preliminarily determine that because of the exceptional circumstances surrounding this transaction, it was made outside the ordinary course of trade, and we therefore have excluded it from comparison with the U.S. merchandise. *See* the Analysis Memo.

Constructed Value

In accordance with section 773(e) of the Tariff Act, we calculated CV based on the sum of Bhansali's cost of materials, SG&A, U.S. packing costs, and profits on home market sales. *See* the Analysis Memo.

Currency Conversion

Pursuant to section 773A(a) of the Tariff Act, we made currency conversions into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of the U.S. sales as certified by the Federal Reserve Bank.

Preliminary Results

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that a dumping margin of 4.08% exists for Bhansali for the period August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999.

The Department will disclose calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). A party may request a hearing within thirty days of publication. *See* 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 37 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 35 days after the date of publication. The Department will issue the final results of this new shipper review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in the briefs, within 90 days of issuance of these preliminary results, unless the time limit is extended.

Upon completion of this new shipper review, the Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. Bhansali did not report entered value; we will calculate Bhansali's duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales, calculated as the difference between NV and EP, to the total quantity of examined sales. The rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries made during the POR. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of flanges from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this new shipper review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Bhansali will be the rate established in the final results of this new shipper review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 162.14 percent, the ''all-others'' rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative or new shipper review for a subsequent review period.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This new shipper review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.214.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–23795 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–841]

Structural Steel Beams From Korea: Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b), Northwestern Steel & Wire Company, Nucor-Yamato Steel Company, and TXI-Chaparral Steel, Inc. (''Petitioners''), interested parties in this proceeding and the petitioners in the less-than-fair value investigation of structural steel beams from Korea, requested a changed circumstances review. In response to this request, the Department of Commerce is initiating a changed circumstances review on structural steel beams from Korea.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Shin or Laurel LaCivita, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0413 or (202) 482–4243, respectively.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (''URAA''). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations

to the Department of Commerce's (''the Department's'') regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July, 5 2000, the Department published the final determination of sales at less than fair value of structural steel beams from Korea. *See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Structural Steel Beams from Korea,* 65 FR 41437 (July 5, 2000) (as amended 65 FR 50501 (August 18, 2000)) (''Final Determination''). On August 18, 2000, the Department published the antidumping duty order on this product. *See Structural Steel Beams from Korea: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order* 65 FR 50502 (August 18, 2000). In an August 30, 2000 letter to the Department, petitioners requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act. Petitioners state that Inchon Iron & Steel Co. Ltd. (''Inchon'') and Kangwon Industries, Ltd. (''Kangwon''), respondents in the original investigation in the above-mentioned proceeding, completed a merger of their steel-making operations during the Department's antidumping duty investigation, but subsequent to the period of investigation. Petitioners state that the newly formed company is the successor-in-interest to Inchon and Kangwon, and hence, should be subject to a new duty deposit rate.

Scope of Review

The products covered by this investigation are doubly-symmetric shapes, whether hot-or cold-rolled, drawn, extruded, formed or finished, having at least one dimension of at least 80 mm (3.2 inches or more), whether of carbon or alloy (other than stainless) steel, and whether or not drilled, punched, notched, painted, coated or clad. These products include, but are not limited to, wide-flange beams (''W'' shapes), bearing piles (''HP'' shapes), standard beams (''S'' or ''I'' shapes), and M-shapes.

All products that meet the physical and metallurgical descriptions provided above are within the scope of this investigation unless otherwise excluded. The following products are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation: structural steel beams greater than 400 pounds per linear foot or with a web or section height (also known as depth) over 40 inches.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (''HTSUS'') at subheadings: 7216.32.0000, 7216.33.0030, 7216.33.0060, 7216.33.0090, 7216.50.0000, 7216.61.0000, 7216.69.0000, 7216.91.0000, 7216.99.0000, 7228.70.3040, 7228.70.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed-Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of information concerning, or a request from an interested party of, an antidumping duty order which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order.

In its request for a changed circumstances review, petitioners indicate that the newly formed corporation is the successor in interest to Inchon and Kangwon due to the merger of their operations. In addition, the Department noted in its Issues and Decision Memorandum that accompanied the Final Determination that ''Since the merger between Inchon and Kangwon took place after the POI, and after the preliminary determination in this investigation, the Department has not addressed the issue of successorship at this time * * * however, in light of the information obtained in this investigation regarding the issue of successorship, we would consider a request for a changed circumstances review in the event that an antidumping order is issued in this case.'' *See the Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 29,* which accompanied the Final Determination. Because deposit rates established in an investigation are company-specific, and because the merger raises the question of whether the deposit rates set in the investigation are appropriate given the merger of operations between Inchon and Kangwon, we find good cause to conduct a changed circumstances review. *See 19 CFR 351.216(c).* Therefore, in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(b) and 351.221(b)(1), we are initiating a changed circumstances review based upon the information contained in petitioners' August 30, 2000 request for this review.

In making a successor-in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, the following changes: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. *See, e.g., Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 57 FR 20460 (May 13, 1992) (*Canadian Brass*). Although petitioners claim that the newly merged company is the successor-in-interest, petitioners have not, at this stage, provided any supporting documentation relevant to the factors described above. Therefore, we will consider additional information concerning Inchon's and Kangwon's merger.

We will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based and a description of any action proposed based on those results. As per 351.221(b)(4), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment. The Department will issue its final results of review not later than 270 days after publication of this notice of initiation. All written comments must be submitted to the Department and served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

During the course of this changed circumstances review, the current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise, including the merchandise subject to this changed-circumstances review, will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–23796 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 091200A]

Submission For OMB Review; Comment Request.

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the

Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Observer Program for Catcher Vessels in the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery.

Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: None.

Type of Request: Regular submission.

Burden Hours: 3,451.

Number of Respondents: 2,116.

Average Hours Per Response: 5 minutes.

Needs and Uses: This data collection would require that a representative (owner, operator, or manager) for selected catcher vessels participating in the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery provide NMFS with notification at least 24 hours before departure for a fishing trip and notification when the vessel ceases to participate in the observed portion of the fleet. The information will be used to plan for fishery observer assignments.

Affected Public: Business and other for-profit organizations.

*Frequency:*On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23808 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Thursday, September 21, 2000.

LOCATION: Room 420, East West Towers, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

STATUS: Open to the Public.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: *Bed Rails:* The Commission will consider options concerning whether the Commission should issue an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPR) addressing a risk of injury/death associated with certain portable bed rails.

For a recorded message containing the latest agenda information, call (301) 504–0709.

CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Sadye E. Dunn, Office of the Secretary, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20207, (301) 504–0800.

Dated: September 13, 2000.

Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23950 Filed 9–13–00; 3:45 pm]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Air Force Academy Board of Visitors Meeting

Pursuant to Section 9355, Title 10, United States Code, the Air Force Academy Board of Visitors will meet at the U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado (CO), November 9–11, 2000. The purpose of the meeting is to consider morale and discipline, the curriculum instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, academic methods, and other matters relating to the Academy.

A portion of the meeting will be open to the public while other portions will be closed to the public to discuss matters listed in subsections (2), (4), and (6) of section 552b(c), title 5 United States Code. These closed sessions will include attendance at cadet training programs and discussions with cadets, military staff, and faculty officers involving personal information and opinion, the disclosure of which would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Closed sessions will also include executive sessions involving discussions of personal issues, financial topics, and information relating solely to internal personnel rules and practices of the Board of Visitors and the Academy. Closed sessions may also include proprietary information from sources outside the government. Meeting sessions will be held in various facilities throughout the cadet area.

For further information, contact Lt Col Wayne A. Schiefer or Ms Deborah Mercurio, Plans and Current Operations Division, HQ USAFA/XPO, 2304 Cadet Drive, Suite 300, USAF Academy, CO 80840–5002, 719–333–3933.

Janet A. Long,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23704 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–05–U

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Performance Review Boards Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of the Performance Review Boards for the Department of the Army.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 8, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Quick, U.S. Army Senior Executive Service Office, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), 111 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310–0111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of these executives.

The members of the Performance Review Board for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) are:

1. MG Joseph G. Garrett III, Principal Director, EURNATO Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs (OASD/ISA);

2. Mr. Alfred Volkman, Director, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), International Programs;

3. Mr. Leo G. Michel, Director, NATO Policy;

4. Mr. John A. Berry, Director, Regional Affairs, ,Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs (OASD/ISA); and

5. Mr. Brendt McConnell, Principal Deputy Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs (ISA).

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23755 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Performance Review Boards Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of the Performance Review Boards for the Department of the Army.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 11, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Quick, U.S. Army Senior Executive Service Office, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), 111 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310–0111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of these executives.

The members of the Performance Review Board for the Office of the Surgeon General are:

1. MG John S. Parker, Commander, Medical Research and Materiel Command;

2. Ms. Sandra R. Riley, Deputy Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army, Office of the Administrative Assistant;

3. Mr. Raymond J. Fatz, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environmental Safety and Occupational Health), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations & Environment);

4. Ms. Donna L. Shands, Associate Director of Supply and Maintenance, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics; and

5. Mr. Ronald Richards, Assistant Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, Office of Health Services Operations and Readiness.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23757 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of Army Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Restoration Report and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Dents Run Acid Mine Drainage Restoration Project in Elk County, Pennsylvania

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Baltimore District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, has initiated the Dents Run Acid Mine Drainage Feasibility Study in Elk County, Pennsylvania. The study and project construction will be implemented through the Corps' Section 206 Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Authority of the Continuing Authorities Program. The goal is to provide environmental habitat and water quality benefits for brook trout, other aquatic life, and elk. This study and DEIS will include documentation of baseline conditions; an evaluation of the no action alternative, and active and passive treatment alternative; and an evaluation of the proposed action and associated impacts. The degree of restoration will be determined through an assessment of improvement to pH and habitat diversity; degree of adverse and beneficial impacts to the cultural, environmental, and socio-economic surroundings; and a cost-effectiveness and incremental cost analysis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions about the proposed action and DEIS can be address to Mr. Greg Nielson, Project Manager, Baltimore District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: CEN AB–PP–C, P.O. Box 1715, Baltimore, Maryland 21203–1715, telephone (410) 962–8111. E-mail address: gregory.j.nielson@usace.army.mil

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. The study area is the Dents Run watershed, located in Elk County, Pennsylvania. Dents Run is a tributary to the Bennetts Branch of the Sinnemahoning Creek. The Dents Run Preliminary Restoration Plan (PRP) was completed by the Corps in March 1999 and determined that there was both Federal and non-Federal interest in pursuing a feasibility study and implementation of an environmental restoration project to abate acid mine drainage. Previous mining endeavors (both deep and strip mines) as early as the late 1800's have rendered the streams in the area highly acidic, laden with toxic metals (iron, manganese, and aluminum), and created substantial ''dead zones'' within the watershed. Aquatic life is nearly non-existent. The PRP identified eight site, primarily along Porcupine Run (a tributary to Dents Run) for possible abatement that would restore over 5 miles of trout and other aquatic species habitat and reclaim over 300 acres of scarred mine lands within the Dents Run Watershed.

2. In June 1999, the Corps began this environmental restoration feasibility study to abate acid mine drainage in cooperation with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection—Bureau of Abandoned Mine Reclamation and Bureau of Forestry, Pennsylvania Game Commission, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bennetts Branch Watershed Association, and other agencies and organizations. Preliminary alternatives have been identified and are being considered in consultation with all interested agencies and stakeholders. To date, the alternatives analysis is not complete and a recommended plan has not been finalized.

3. Environmental issues will focus on, but are not limited to, effects on air quality, wetlands, water quality; fish and wildlife resources (including threatened and endangered species); hazardous, toxic, and radioactive waste; aesthetic resources; and cultural resources (including archaeological sites and historical architecture). Environmental benefits, costs, and impacts will be examined in detail to determine what level of restoration is needed and justified. The team will evaluate the environmental impacts (both adverse and beneficial) of the proposed actions.

4. The decision to implement these actions will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact of the proposed activities on the public interest, and will also be based on the national concern for protection and utilization of important resources. The benefit that reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal will be balanced against the project's reasonably foreseeable costs. The Baltimore District is preparing a DEIS that will describe the impacts of the proposed project on environmental and cultural resources in the study area, and the overall public interest. The DEIS will be in accordance with NEPA and will document all factors that may be relevant to the proposal, including the cumulative effects thereof. If applicable, the DEIS will also apply guidelines issued by the Environmental Protection Agency,

under the authority of Section 404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act of 1977 (Public Law 95–217).

5. The public involvement program will include meetings and other coordination with interested private individuals and organizations, as well as with concerned Federal, state and local agencies as part of the scoping process. Additional information inviting the public to participate will be provided through print media and mailings.

6. Other participants that will be involved in the study and DEIS process in addition to the Corps, Bennetts Branch Watershed Association, and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection include the following: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Natural Resource Conservation Service, U.S. National Park Service, Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, Pennsylvania Audubon Society, and Canaan Valley Institute. The Baltimore District invites potentially affected Federal, state, and local agencies, and other organizations and entities to participate in this study.

8. The Dents Run Environmental Restoration Report and DEIS are tentatively scheduled for public review in December 2000.

Robert W. Lindner,

Chief, Planning Division.

[FR Doc. 00–23756 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–41–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Availability of Invention for Licensing; Government-Owned Invention

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The inventions listed below are assigned to the United States Government as represented by the Secretary of the Navy and are available for licensing by the Department of the Navy.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/566,237 entitled ''Low Drive Voltage $LiNbO_3$ Intensity Modulator With Reduced Electrode Loss'' (Navy Case No. 79,893).

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/566,238 entitled ''Low Loss Coplanar Waveguide Horn For Low Drive Voltage $LiNbO_3$ Modulators'' (Navy Case No. 79,925).

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the patent applications cited should be directed to the Naval Research Laboratory, Code 1008.2, 4555 Overlook Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20375-5320, and must include the Navy Case number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine M. Cotell, Ph.D., Head, Technology Transfer Office, NRL Code 1004, 4555 Overlook Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20375–5320, telephone (202) 767–7230.

(Authority: 35 U.S.C. 207, 37 CFR Part 404)

Dated: September 5, 2000.

J.L. Roth,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23705 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Availability of Inventions for Licensing; Government-Owned Inventions

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The inventions listed below are assigned to the United States Government as represented by the Secretary of the Navy and are available or licensing by the Department of the Navy.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/631,121 entitled, ''Guallium Arsenide Semiconductor Devices Fabricated with Insulator Layer,'' filing date: August 2, 2000, Navy Case No. 82528.

ADDRESSES: Request for copies of the patent application cited should be directed to the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Laboratory, Code CD222, 17320 Dahlgen Road, Building 183, Room 015, Dahlgren, VA 22448–5100, and must include the Navy Case number. Interested parties will be required to sign a confidentiality, Non-Disclosure and Non-Use Agreement before receiving copies of requested patent applications.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James B. Bechtel, Patent Counsel, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Laboratory, Code CD222, 17320 Dahlgren Road, Building 183, Room 015, Dahlgren, VA 22448–5100, telephone (540) 653–8016.

(Authority: 35 U.S.C. 207, 37 CFR Part 404)

Dated: September 5, 2000.

J.L. Roth,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps,, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23706 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 14, 2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, *e.g.,* new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might be Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the

burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

John Tressler,

Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: Revision

Title: The Evaluation of Exchange, Language, International and Area Studies (EELIAS), National Resource Centers (NRC), Foreign Language and Area Studies (FLAS) and Institute for International Public Policy (IIPP), Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language (UISFL) Program (JS)

Frequency: Annually

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions; individuals or household

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 60

Burden Hours: 2,100

Abstract: This fourth program, UISFL, is being added for clearance to the system that already contains the other three. Information collection assists the Office of International Education and Graduate Programs Service (OIEGPS) in meeting program planning and evaluation requirements. Program officers require performance information to justify continuation funding, and grantees use this information for self evaluations and to request continuation funding from ED.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from *http://edicsweb.ed.gov,* or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, D.C. 20202–4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO_IMG_Issues@ed.gov or faxed to 202–708–9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request. Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to SCHUBART at (202) 708–9266. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 00–23722 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Oakland Operations Office; Energy Technology Engineering Center Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Activities

AGENCY: Oakland Operations Office, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental assessment and hold public scoping meetings.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) Oakland Operations Office (OAK) is announcing its intent to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) to evaluate the environmental effects of the Environmental Restoration Project at the Energy Technology Engineering Center (ETEC). The EA is being prepared in accordance with the Council of Environmental Quality's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Implementing Regulations and the DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures of April 24, 1992, 10 CFR 1021. This notice announces DOE intent to prepare an EA and hold public scoping meetings for the proposed restoration project. This EA will analyze the potential environmental impacts associated with environmental restoration and closure waste management activities.

DATES: The public scoping period begins with the publication of this notice and will continue until October 30, 2000. Written comments postmarked by that date will be considered in the preparation of the EA. Comments postmarked after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

Public scoping meetings will be held at the two locations and times indicated below. This information will be published in local public notices prior tot he meetings.

(1) Meeting: Woodland Hills, California
Date and time: October 17, 2000, 6 p.m. to 8 p.m.
Location: Warner Center Marriott Woodland Hills, 21850 Oxnard Street, Woodland Hills, CA 91367

(2) Meeting: Simi Valley, California
Date and time: October 18, 2000, 9 a.m. to 11 a.m.
Location: Rancho Santa Susana Community Center, 5005–C Los Angeles Avenue, Simi Valley, CA 93063.

Following the review period comments will be considered and changes made as necessary. The final EA will be published and distributed to interested parties. The NEPA process begins with this notice. The draft EA is anticipated to be available in February or March 2001. A forty-five day review period will be provided for public review following distribution of the draft EA. Review comments will be considered in the development of the final EA that should be distributed in June or July 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the scope of the EA or requests for information on the activities at ETEC should be sent to the following address: Ms. Donna Sutherland, Document Manager, U.S. Department of Energy, 1301 Clay Street, 700N, Oakland, CA 94612–5208, Phone (510) 637– 1563, Facsimile (510) 637–2031.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information on National Environmental Policy Act process or status of a NEPA review, please contact: Ms. Janet Neville, NEPA Compliance Officer, U.S. Department of Energy Oakland Operations Office, 1301 Clay Street, 700 N, Oakland, CA 94612–5208, Phone: (510) 637–1813, Messages: (510) 637–1813.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The ETEC site is within the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) located between the Simi and San Fernando Valley, north of Los Angeles, California. The ETEC site occupies about 90 acres within Area IV of the SSFL. The ETEC site supported DOE projects for nuclear research and energy development. The site includes buildings which house test apparatus for large scale heat transfer and fluid mechanics experiments, mechanical and chemical test facilities, office buildings, and auxiliary support facilities. The ETEC site is surplus to the DOE's current mission and is undergoing closure. The site has numerous facilities, including some where chemical and radioactive substances were used. Contamination may exist in structures and the physical media including soils, surface and groundwater. Deactivation of the site includes divestment of assets, remediation of contaminated areas, waste management and site restoration.

This EA will analyze the potential environmental impacts associated with environmental restoration and closure waste management activities. Waste management activities include operation, maintenance and closure of Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) permitted facilities. The EA will also analyze environmental restoration activities for site-wide soil and groundwater remediation and the decontamination and decommissioning or dismantlement of government buildings and structures. Facilities to be D&D include former radiological facilities, former sodium facilities, and administrative facilities.

This notice announces DOE intent to prepare an EA and hold public scoping meetings for the proposed restoration project. This EA will analyze the potential environmental impacts associated with environmental restoration and closure waste management activities.

The scoping process will include notifying the general public, Federal, state, local, and tribal agencies of the proposed action. The purpose of scoping is to identify public and agency concerns, and alternatives to be considered in the EA. The DOE is preparing this EA to decide whether to issue a Finding of No Significant Impact or whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). In consideration of the possibility that the DOE will decide to prepare an EIS, a Notice of Intent will be issued and written comments on the scope of alternatives and impacts will still be accepted at that future time. Presently, in preparation of an EA, comments may be submitted in writing and/or orally at the public scoping meeting or in writing prior to the end of the scoping period as indicated in the **DATES** section of this notice.

Issued in Oakland, California, on September 11, 2000.

Donna Sutherland,

Deputy Director, Oakland Environmental Programs Division.

[FR Doc. 00–23879 Filed 9–13–00; 11:59 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Science; Office of Science Financial Assistance Program Notice 00–20; Medical Applications Program

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice inviting grant applications.

SUMMARY: The Office of Biological and Environmental Research (OBER) of the Office of Science (SC), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), hereby announces its interest in receiving grant applications to support one specific research area within the Medical Applications Program: Innovative approaches to cell-targeted ablation therapy for cancer with in vivo radiation techniques. The emphasis will be on the therapeutic use of ionizing radiation such as may be achieved with radionuclide therapy or dual step techniques such as boron neutron capture therapy. The specific goals include development of novel ligands and delivery techniques to target and treat cancer at the cellular level. Special consideration will be given to applications reflecting a well integrated, multidisciplinary team effort of scientists with skills to address such complex challenges as chemical ligand synthesis, tumor targeting, and dosimetry. Access to appropriate tumor models for pre-clinical testing will impact funding considerations. Applications for clinical trials using already developed compounds and techniques will not be considered.

DATES: Before preparing a formal application, potential applicants are encouraged to submit a brief pre-application. All pre-applications referencing Program Notice 00–20, should be received by DOE by 4:30 p.m., E.D.T., October 16, 2000. A response encouraging or discouraging the submission of a formal application will be communicated by electronic mail within approximately 2 weeks.

Formal applications submitted in response to this Notice must be received by 4:30 p.m., E.S.T., January 5, 2001, to be accepted for merit review and consideration of an award in Fiscal Year 2001.

ADDRESSES: Pre-applications referencing Program Notice 00–20, are to be sent, if possible, by E-mail or Fax to Ms. Sharon Betson (sharon.betson@science.doe.gov; Fax: 301–903–0567). Pre-applications will also be accepted if mailed to the following address: Ms. Sharon Betson, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, SC–73, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20874–1290.

Formal applications referencing Program Notice 00–20, should be forwarded to: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Grants and Contracts Division, SC–64, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20874–1290, Attn: Program Notice 00–20. This address must also be used when submitting applications by U.S. Postal Service Express Mail or any other commercial overnight delivery service, or hand-carried by the applicant. An original and seven copies of the application must be submitted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter T. Kirchner, MD, or Prem C. Srivastava, Ph.D., Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Medical Sciences Division (SC–73), U.S. Department of Energy, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20874–1290, telephone: (301) 903–3213, FAX: (301) 903–0567, E-mail: peter.kirchner@science.doe.gov or prem.srivastava@science.doe.gov. The full text of Program Notice 00–20 is available via the Internet using the following web site address: http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/grants/grants.html.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Medical Applications Program supports directed nuclear medicine research in the areas of radiopharmaceutical development, molecular nuclear medicine and medical imaging to promote the use of radioisotopes for non-invasive diagnosis and therapy. Selective molecular targeting with radioligands will facilitate the analysis of cellular and tissue function and may enable purposeful disruption of specific cellular functions in tissues requiring therapy. The in-vivo distribution of radiopharmaceuticals and other cell-directed ligands may be defined and monitored with a variety of in-vivo imaging methods, such as the use of gamma cameras, positron emission tomographs (PET), fluorescent techniques and a variety of optical techniques. The development of in-vivo imaging techniques based on cell-targeting should assist reliable differentiation between normal and abnormal tissues at the molecular and/or metabolic levels, ideally leading to the development of more effective therapies and useful monitoring techniques for such therapies. Thus, highly selective substrate-binding molecules, when labeled with high energy-emitting radioisotopes or other noxious or pre-sensitizing agents, can become powerful tools for targeted molecular therapy of cancer.

Basic research in molecular biology has provided new insights to the molecular basis of human disease and its potential molecular targets. DOE's current Molecular Nuclear Medicine Program encourages development of new technologies for molecular delivery of radioisotopes to disease target sites with a high degree of molecular precision, recognition, and target selectivity. The availability of new technology for high resolution imaging of small animals should facilitate the evaluation of new molecular ligands for their potential value and subsequent use in human trials of cancer therapy.

This Notice is to solicit grant applications for developing innovative approaches to cell-targeted ablation therapy for tumors with in vivo radiation techniques. A well integrated team effort by scientists from overlapping disciplines of chemistry, radiopharmaceutical chemistry, cellular and molecular biology, and biological and nuclear medicine imaging will be judged important in the evaluation of submitted research applications. Methodological approaches that can be adapted to deliver more than one type

of radiation or more than one radioisotope to the target sites are encouraged. It will be important for each application to consider also the following objectives:

(1) Techniques to ensure highly selective tumor targeting by the proposed ligands;

(2) Efficient screening techniques for selecting candidate ligands for in-vivo testing;

(3) Preliminary data indicating reasonable likelihood of success for in-vivo targeting of primary tumors and their metastases in pre-clinical animal trials;

(4) Reliable approaches for dosimetry calculations to normal tissues and to tumor sites based on 3-dimensional modeling;

(5) Measurement techniques for accurately assessing the success of tumor targeting in vivo;

(6) Measurement techniques for assessing therapy effects in vivo at the molecular, cellular and metabolic levels.

Program Funding

It is anticipated that up to $2 million will be available for multiple awards in Fiscal Year 2001 contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds and the scientific merit of the submitted applications. Previous awards have ranged from $200,000 to $400,000 per year (direct plus indirect costs) with terms lasting up to three years. Similar award sizes are anticipated for new grants. Applications may request project support up to three years, with out-year support contingent on the availability of appropriated funds, satisfactory progress in the research proposed, and programmatic needs.

Pre-Applications

A brief pre-application should be submitted. The cover sheet of the pre-application should list the title of the project, the institution, and the principal investigator's name, address, telephone, fax, and E-mail address. The pre-application should not exceed two pages (in addition to the cover sheet). It should identify and describe the research objectives, the methods proposed for accomplishment of the research, and the key members of the scientific team responsible for this effort. Pre-applications will be evaluated relative to the scope and objectives of this solicitation.

Merit Review

Applications will be subjected to scientific merit review (peer review) and will be evaluated against the following evaluation criteria listed in descending order of importance as codified at 10 CFR 605.10(d):

1. Scientific and/or Technical Merit of the Project
2. Appropriateness of the Proposed Approach and Methods
3. Competency of the Research Team and Adequacy of Available Resources
4. Justification of the Proposed Budget

The evaluation will include program policy factors such as the relevance of the proposed research to the terms of the announcement and the agency's programmatic needs. It should be noted that external peer reviewers are selected on the basis of their scientific expertise and the absence of conflict-of-interest issues. Non-federal reviewers may be used, and submission of an application constitutes agreement that this review process is acceptable to the investigator(s) and the submitting institution.

Submission Information

Information about the development, submission of applications, eligibility, limitations, evaluation, the selection process, and other policies and procedures may be found in 10 CFR Part 605, and in the Application Guide for the Office of Science Financial Assistance Program.

Electronic access to the Guide and required forms is made available via the World Wide Web at: http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/grants/grants.html. DOE is under no obligation to pay for any costs associated with the preparation or submission of applications if an award is not made. In addition, in response to this Notice, the Project Description must be 25 pages or less, exclusive of attachments, and the application must contain a table of contents, an abstract or project summary, letters of intent from collaborators (if any), and short curriculum vitae, consistent with National Institutes of Health guidelines. Block 15 of the SC grant face page (form DOE F4650.2) should list the PI's phone number, fax number, and E-mail address.

DOE policy requires that potential applicants adhere to 10 CFR 745 ''Protection of Human Subjects'' or such later revision of those guidelines as may be published in the **Federal Register**.

The Office of Science as part of its grant regulations requires at 10 CFR 605.11(b) that a recipient receiving a grant and performing research involving recombinant DNA molecules and/or organisms and viruses containing recombinant DNA molecules shall comply with NIH ''Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules,'' which is available via the world wide web at: http://www.niehs.nih.gov/odhsb/biosafe/nih/rdna-apr98.pdf, (59 FR 34496, July 5, 1994,) or such later revision of those guidelines as may be published in the **Federal Register**.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number for this program is 81.049, and the solicitation control number is ERFAP 10 CFR Part 605.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 1, 2000

John Rodney Clark,

Associate Director of Science for Resource Management.

[FR Doc. 00–23768 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Bonneville Power Administration

Shelton-Kitsap Transmission Line Rebuild Project

AGENCY: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of Floodplain and Wetlands Involvement.

SUMMARY: This notice announces BPA's proposal to rebuild its existing Shelton-Kitsap No.2 115-kV transmission line as a double circuit 230-kV line in the existing right-of-way in floodplain and wetlands located in Mason and Kitsap Counties, Washington. In accordance with DOE regulations for compliance with floodplain and wetlands environmental review requirements, BPA will prepare a floodplain and wetlands assessment and will perform this proposed action in a manner so as to avoid or minimize potential harm to or within the affected floodplain and wetlands. The assessment will be included in the environmental assessment being prepared for the proposed project in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. A floodplain statement of findings will be included in any finding of no significant impact that may be issued following the completion of the environmental assessment.

DATES: Comments are due to the address below no later than October 2, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to Communications, Bonneville Power Administration—KC–7, P.O. Box 12999, Portland, Oregon 97212. Internet address: comment@bpa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dawn R. Boorse—KECN–4, Bonneville Power Administration, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, Oregon, 97208–3621, phone number 503–230–5678, fax number 503–230–5699.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BPA Shelton-Kitsap transmission line rebuild would cross the 100-year floodplains of John's Creek (T20N, R3W, Section 5), Cranberry Creek (T21N, R3W, Section 34), and Sherwood Creek (T22N, R2W, Section 35), and a total of 31 wetlands were identified within the cleared right-of-way.

Maps and further information are available from BPA at the address above.

Issued in Portland, Oregon, on September 8, 2000.

Thomas C. McKinney,

NEPA Compliance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23767 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP00–450–000]

Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application

September 11, 2000.

Take notice that on August 30, 2000, Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company (REGT), 1111 Louisiana Street, Houston, TX 77210, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (the Commission) Regulations (18 CFR 157.7 and 157.18), for any and all abandonment authority required for REGT to effect the sale and transfer to Reliant Energy Field Services Inc. (REFS) of its Savannah compressor station located in Pittsburg County, Oklahoma. In addition, REGT seeks a determination that once conveyed, this facility will be a gathering facility exempt from the Commission's jurisdiction pursuant to NGA Section 1(b), all as more fully set forth in the Application on file with the Commission and open to pubic inspection. The application may be viewed on the web at www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (Call (202) 208–2222 for assistance).

Any questions regarding the application may be directed to: Lawrence O. Thomas, Director-Financial Analysis, Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Company, PO Box 21734, Shreveport, LA 71151, (318) 429–2804.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before October 2, 2000, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

A person does not have to intervene, however, in order to have comments considered. A person, instead, may submit two copies of such comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of environmental documents, and will be able to participate in meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, Commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission, and will not have the right to seek rehearing or appeal the Commission's final order to a Federal court. The Commission will consider all comments and concerns equally, whether filed by commenters or those requesting intervener status.

Take further notice, that pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this Application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein or if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If the Commission believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for REGT to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23712 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98–52–000]

Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Informal Settlement Conference

September 11, 2000.

An informal settlement conference in the above docket will be held on Friday, September 22, 2000, in the Trianon A/B Room, Kansas City Marriott Downtown, 200 West 12th Street, Kansas City, MI 64105. The informal settlement conference will begin at 10:30 a.m.

All interested parties in the above docket are requested to attend the informal settlement conference. If a party has any questions regarding the conference, please call Richard Miles, the Director of the Dispute Resolution Service. His telephone number is 1 877 FERC ADR (337–2237) or 202/208–0702 and his e-mail address is richard.miles@ferc.fed.us. If you plan on attending the conference, please R.S.V.P. to Jamie Capps at Williams by e-mail at jamie.capps@williams.com, by fax at 918/573–4195 or by phone at 918/573–4218 so that appropriate accommodations may be made.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23713 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96–389–008]

Columbia Gulf Transmission Company; Notice of Negotiated Rate Filing

September 11, 2000.

Take notice that on September 6, 2000, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf) tendered for filing the following contract for disclosure of a recently negotiated rate transaction:

ITS–2 Service Agreement No. 69313 between Columbia Gulf Transmission Company and

Southern Company Energy Marketing dated September 1, 2000

Columbia Gulf states that transportation service is scheduled to commence September 6, 2000. Columbia Gulf states that copies of the filing have been served on all parties on the official service list created by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23714 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96–389–009]

Columbia Gulf Transmission Company; Notice of Negotiated Rate Filing

September 11, 2000.

Take notice that on September 5, 2000, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf) tendered for filing the following contract for disclosure of a recently negotiated rate transaction:

ITS–2 Service Agreement No. 69314 between Columbia Gulf Transmission Company and Dynegy Marketing and Trade dated August 30, 2000

Columbia Gulf states that transportation service is scheduled to commence September 5, 2000.

Columbia Gulf states that copies of the filing have been served on all parties on the official service list created by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23715 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00–538–000]

Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd.; Notice of Tariff Filing

September 11, 2000.

Take notice that on September 6, 2000, Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd. (Young), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed in Appendix A to the filing, to be effective November 1, 2000.

Young states it manages its storage fields by controlling the injection and withdrawal cycles such that the reservoir pressure, calculated in pound/days, above original pressure conditions in the reservoir are balanced against those below original pressure conditions. Young further states it manages these pound/day requirements through the use of a Reservoir Integrity Inventory Limit which is a graphical representation of a shipper's maximum allowable gas inventory in place on any day as a percentage of the shipper's contractual maximum inventory. To increase the flexibility of its storage service, Young proposes to revise the graph to allow shippers to retain more gas in storage between cycles while maintaining the pound/day balancing requirement. Young further states to accomplish this objective, the period of time that shippers can maintain a full storage inventory must be slightly reduced.

Young further states that copies of this filing have been served on Young's jurisdictional customers and public bodies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23716 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Southwestern Power Administration

Sam Rayburn Dam Project Power Rate Extension on an Interim Basis

AGENCY: Southwestern Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of Rate Order.

SUMMARY: The Deputy Secretary of Energy, acting under the authorities as implemented in 10 CFR 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3), has approved and placed into effect on an interim basis Rate Order No. SWPA–42.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) currently has marketing responsibility for 2.2 million kilowatts of power from 24 multiple-purpose reservoir projects, with power facilities constructed and operated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, generally in all or portions of the states of Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Oklahoma and Texas. The Integrated System, comprised of 22 of the projects, is interconnected through a transmission system presently consisting of 138-kV

and 161-kV high-voltage transmission lines, 69-kV transmission lines, and numerous bulk power substations and switching stations. In addition, contractual transmission arrangements provide for integration of other projects into the system.

The remaining two projects, Sam Rayburn Dam and Robert Douglas Willis, are isolated hydraulically and electrically from the Southwestern transmission system, and their power is marketed under separate contracts through which the customer purchases the entire power output of the project at the dam. A separate Power Repayment Study (PRS) is prepared for each isolated project.

The existing rate schedule for the Sam Rayburn Dam Project was confirmed and approved on a final basis by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) on December 7, 1994, for the period October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1998. The Deputy Secretary of Energy extended the existing rate schedule for a one year period, through September 30, 1999. On September 15, 1999, the Secretary of Energy again extended the existing rate schedule for a one year period, through September 30, 2000. The FY 2000 Sam Rayburn Dam Project PRS indicates the need for a rate adjustment of $28,068 annually, or 1.3 percent.

Pursuant to implementing authority in 10 CFR 903(h) and 903.23(a)(3), the Deputy Secretary of Energy may extend a FERC-approved rate on an interim basis. The Administrator, Southwestern, published notice in the **Federal Register** on June 26, 2000, 65 FR 39386, announcing a 30-day period for public review and comment concerning the proposed interim rate extension. Written comments were accepted through July 26, 2000. In a facsimile transmission dated July 24, 2000, a Sam Rayburn Dam Electric Cooperative (SRDEC) official stated that SRDEC has no objection to the proposed rate extension. No other comments were received.

Discussion

The existing Sam Rayburn Dam Project rate is based on the FY 1994 PRS. PRSs have been completed on the Sam Rayburn Dam Project each year since approval of the existing rate. Rate changes identified by the PRSs since that period have indicated the need for minimal rate increases or decreases. Since the revenue changes reflected by the PRSs were within the plus-or-minus two percent Rate Adjustment Threshold established by Southwestern's Administrator on June 23, 1987, these rate adjustments were deferred in the best interest of the government and provided for the next year's PRS to determine the appropriate level of revenues needed for the next rate period.

The FY 2000 PRS indicates the need for an annual revenue increase of 1.3 percent. As has been the case since the existing rate was approved, the FY 2000 rate adjustment falls within Southwestern's plus-or-minus two percent Rate Adjustment Threshold and would normally be deferred. However, the existing rate expires on September 30, 2000. Consequently, Southwestern proposes to extend the existing rate for a one-year period ending September 30, 2001, on an interim basis under the implementation authorities noted in 10 CFR 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3).

Southwestern continues to make significant progress toward repayment of the Federal investment in the Sam Rayburn Dam Project. Through FY 1999, cumulative amortization for the Sam Rayburn Dam Project was $12,795,065, which represents approximately 49 percent of the $25,845,371 Federal investment. The status has increased almost 34 percent since the existing rates were placed in effect.

Information regarding this rate extension, including studies and other supporting material, is available for public review and comment in the offices of Southwestern Power Administration, Suite 1400, One West Third Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103.

Order

In view of the foregoing and pursuant to the authorities granted in 10 CFR Sections 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3), I hereby extend on an interim basis, for the period of one year, effective October 1, 2000, the current FERC-approved Sam Rayburn Dam Project Rate for the sale of power and energy.

Dated: September 6, 2000.

T.J. Glauthier,

Deputy Secretary.

In the matter of: Southwestern Power Administration—Sam Rayburn Dam Project Rate; Order Approving Extension of Power Rate on an Interim Basis

[Rate Order; No. SWPA–42]

Pursuant to Sections 302(a) and 301(b) of the Department of Energy Organization Act, Public Law 95–91, the functions of the Secretary of the Interior and the Federal Power Commission under Section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944, 16 U.S.C. 825s, for the Southwestern Power Administration were transferred to and vested in the Secretary of Energy. By Delegation Order No. 0204–108, effective December 14, 1983, 48 FR 55664, the Secretary of Energy delegated to the Deputy Secretary of Energy on a non-exclusive basis the authority to confirm, approve and place into effect on an interim basis power and transmission rates, and delegated to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) on an exclusive basis the authority to confirm, approve and place in effect on a final basis, or to disapprove power and transmission rates. Amendment No. 1 to Delegation Order No. 0204–108, effective May 30, 1986, 51 FR 19744, revised the delegation of authority to confirm, approve and place into effect on an interim basis power and transmission rates by delegating such authority to the Under Secretary of Energy rather than the Deputy Secretary of Energy. This delegation was reassigned to the Deputy Secretary of Energy by Department of Energy (DOE) Notice 1110.29, dated October 27, 1988, and clarified by Secretary of Energy Notice SEN–10–89, dated August 3, 1989, and subsequent revisions.

By Amendment No. 2 to Delegation Order No. 0204–108, effective August 23, 1991, 56 FR 41835, the Secretary of the Department of Energy revised Delegation Order No. 0204–108 to delegate to the Assistant Secretary, Conservation and Renewable Energy, the authority which was previously delegated to the Deputy Secretary in that Delegation Order. By Amendment No. 3 to Delegation Order No. 0204–108, effective November 10, 1993, the Secretary of Energy re-delegated to the Deputy Secretary of Energy, the authority to confirm, approve and place into effect on an interim basis power and transmission rates of the Power Marketing Administrations. Thus, the Deputy Secretary of Energy now exercises interim rate approval authority for Southwestern's rates.

This is an interim rate extension. It is made pursuant to the authorities as implemented in 10 CFR 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3).

Background

Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) currently has marketing responsibility for 2.2 million kilowatts of power from 24 multiple-purpose reservoir projects, with power facilities constructed and operated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, generally in all or portions of the states of Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Oklahoma and Texas. The Integrated System, comprised of 22 of the projects, is interconnected through a transmission system presently consisting of 138-kV and 161-kV high-voltage transmission

lines, 69-kV transmission lines, and numerous bulk power substations and switching stations. In addition, contractual transmission arrangements provide for integration of other projects into the system.

The remaining two projects, Sam Rayburn Dam and Robert Douglas Willis, are isolated hydraulically and electrically from the Southwestern transmission system, and their power is marketed under separate contracts through which the customer purchases the entire power output of the project at the dam. A separate Power Repayment Study (PRS) is prepared for each isolated project.

The existing rate schedule for the Sam Rayburn Dam Project was confirmed and approved on a final basis by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) on December 7, 1994, for the period October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1998. The rate was extended on an interim basis by the Deputy Secretary of Energy, who had authority at that time pursuant to Delegation Order 0204–108, for a one year period, October 1, 1998, through September 30, 1999. The rate was again extended on an interim basis by the Secretary of Energy, pursuant to Delegation Order 0204–108, for a one year period, October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2000. The FY 2000 Sam Rayburn Dam Project PRS indicates the need for a rate adjustment of $28,068 annually, or 1.3 percent.

Pursuant to implementing authority in 10 CFR 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3), the Deputy Secretary of Energy may extend a FERC-approved rate on an interim basis. The Administrator, Southwestern, published notice in the **Federal Register** on June 26, 2000, 65 FR 39386, announcing a 30-day period for public review and comment concerning the proposed interim rate extension. In addition, an informal meeting was held with customer representatives in May 2000. Written comments were accepted through July 26, 2000. One comment was received. This comment stated no objection to the proposed interim extension.

Discussion

The existing Sam Rayburn Dam Project rate is based on the FY 1994 PRS. PRSs have been completed on the Sam Rayburn Dam Project each year since approval of the existing rate. Rate changes identified by the PRSs since that period have indicated the need for minimal rate increases or decreases. Since the revenue changes reflected by the PRSs were within the plus-or-minus two percent Rate Adjustment Threshold established by Southwestern's Administrator on June 23, 1987, these rate adjustments were deferred in the best interest of the government and provided for the next year's PRS to determine the appropriate level of revenues needed for the next rate period.

The FY 2000 PRS indicates the need for an annual revenue increase of $28,068 (1.3 percent). As has been the case since the existing rates were approved, the FY 2000 rate adjustment falls within Southwestern's plus-or-minus two percent Rate Adjustment Threshold and would normally be deferred. However, the existing rate expires on September 30, 2000. Consequently, Southwestern proposes to extend the existing rate for a one-year period ending September 30, 2001, on an interim basis under the implementation authorities noted in 10 CFR 903.22(h) and 903.23(a)(3).

Southwestern continues to make significant progress toward repayment of the Federal investment in the Sam Rayburn Dam Project. Through FY 1999, cumulative amortization for the Sam Rayburn Dam Project was $12,795,065, which represents approximately 49 percent of the $25,845,371 Federal investment for the Sam Rayburn Dam Project. The cumulative amortization has increased almost 34 percent since the existing rate was placed in effect.

Information regarding this rate extension, including studies and other supporting material, is available for public review and comment in the offices of Southwestern Power Administration, One West Third Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101.

Order

In view of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me in 10 CFR 903, I hereby extend on an interim basis, for the period of one year, effective October 1, 2000, the current FERC-approved Sam Rayburn Dam Project rate for the sale of power and energy.

Dated: September 6, 2000.

T.J. Glauthier,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23766 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6870–2]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; ICRs Planned To Be Submitted

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following six continuing Information Collection Requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Before submitting the ICRs to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the information collections as described at the beginning of Supplementary Information.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, mail code 2223A, Washington, DC 20460. A hard copy of an ICR may be obtained without charge by calling the identified information contact individual for each ICR in Section B of the Supplementary Information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific information on the individual ICRs see Section B of the Supplementary Information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

For All ICRs

The EPA is charged under Section 111 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, to establish standards of performance for new stationary sources (NSPS). The standards must reflect application of the best technological system of continuous emission reductions. Such reductions should take into consideration the cost of achieving emission reduction, or any non-air quality health and environmental impact and energy requirements.

The EPA is charged under section 112 of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act), as amended, to establish national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP). These standards are applicable to new or existing sources of hazardous air pollutants and shall require the maximum degree of emission reduction.

In addition, Section 114 of the Clean Air Act allows the Administrator to require inspections, monitoring, and entry into facilities to ensure

compliance with any requirement of this Act. Records and reports are necessary to enable the EPA to identify facilities that may not be in compliance with the standards. In the absence of such information enforcement personnel would be unable to determine whether the standards are being met on a continuous basis, as required by the Clean Air Act.

An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information request unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are displayed in 40 CFR part 9.

Any information submitted to the Agency for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, Chapter 1, Part 2, Subpart B—Confidentiality of Business Information (see 40 CFR 2; 41 CFR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 1764, March 23, 1979).

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The Agency computed the burden for each of the recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to industry for the currently approved ICRs. Where applicable, the Agency identified specific tasks and made assumptions, while being consistent with the concept of the Paper Work Reduction Act.

A. List of ICRs Planned To Be Submitted

In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following six continuing ICRs to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB):

(1) New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Sewage Treatment Plants, Subpart O; OMB Control No. 2060–0035; EPA ICR No. 1063.08; expiration date is June 30, 2001.

(2) NSPS for Coal Preparation Plants, Subpart Y; OMB Control No. 2060–0122; EPA ICR No. 1062.06; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(3) NSPS for Phosphate Rock Plants, Subpart NN; OMB Control No. 2060–0111; EPA ICR No. 1078.06; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(4) NSPS for the Graphic Arts Industry, Subpart QQ; OMB Control No. 2060–0105; EPA ICR No. 0657.07; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(5) NSPS for the Polymeric Coating of Supporting Substrates Facilities, Subpart VVV; OMB Control No. 2060–0181; EPA ICR No. 1284.05; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(6) National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Petroleum Refineries, Subpart CC; OMB Control No. 2060–0340; EPA ICR No. 1692.03; expiration date is August 18, 2001.

B. Contact Individuals for ICRs

(1) NSPS for Sewage Treatment Plants, Subpart O; contact Michelle Angelich of the Commercial Services and Municipal Branch, at (202) 564–7033, or via E-mail to *angelich.michelle@epa.gov;* OMB Control Number 2060–0035; EPA ICR No. 1063.08; expiration date is June 30, 2001.

(2) NSPS for Coal Preparation Plants, Subpart Y; contact Dan Chadwick of the Energy and Transportation Branch at (202) 564–7054 or via E-mail to *chadwick.dan@epa.gov.;* OMB Control No. 2060–0122; EPA ICR No. 1062.06; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(3) NSPS for Phosphate Rock Plants, Subpart NN; contact Stephen Howie of the Agriculture Branch, at (202) 564–4146; or via E-mail to *howie.stephen@epa.gov;* OMB Control Number 2060–0111; ICR No. 1078.06; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(4) NSPS for the Graphic Arts Industry, Subpart QQ; contact Ginger Gotliffe of the Commercial Services & Municipal Branch, at phone 202–564–7072, or via E-mail to *gotliffe.ginger@epa.gov;* OMB Control No. 2060–0105; EPA ICR No. 0657.07; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(5) NSPS for the Polymeric Coating of Supporting Substrates Facilities, Subpart VVV; contact Maria Malave of the Manufacturing Branch, at (202) 564–7027 or via E-mail to *malave.maria@epa.gov;* OMB Control No. 2060–0181; EPA No. 1284.05; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

(6) NESHAP-MACT for the Petroleum Refineries, Subpart CC; contact Tom Ripp of the Energy and Transportation Branch, at (202) 564–7003 or by E-Mail to *ripp.tom@epa.gov;* OMB Control No. 2060–0340; EPA ICR No. 1692.03; expiration date is August 18, 2001.

C. Individual ICRs

(1) New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Sewage Treatment Plants, Subpart O; OMB Control No. 2060–0035; EPA ICR No. 1063.08; expiration date is June 30, 2001.

Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are those which incinerate wastes containing more than 10 percent sewage sludge (dry basis) produced by municipal sewage treatment plants or each incinerator which charges more than 1000 kg (2205 lb) per day municipal sewage sludge (dry basis) and which commenced construction or modification after June 11, 1973.

Abstract: This ICR contains recordkeeping and reporting requirements that are mandatory for compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.150, *et seq.,* Subpart O, New Source Performance Standards for sewage sludge treatment plant incinerators.

The control of emissions of particulate matter from sewage treatment plant incinerators requires not only the installation of properly designed equipment, but also the operation and maintenance of that equipment. Particulate matter emissions from sewage treatment plant incinerators are the result of the physical and chemical characteristics of the sludge feed and fuel use, the excess air rate, the temperature profile within the incinerator, the pressure drop across the control device, and operating procedures. These standards rely on the reduction of particulate matter emissions by wet scrubbers.

In order to ensure compliance with these standards, adequate recordkeeping is necessary. In the absence of such information, enforcement personnel

would be unable to determine whether the standards, that are protective of public health, are being met on a continuous basis, as required by the Clean Air Act.

The standards require initial notification reports with respect to construction, modification, reconstruction, startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions. The standards also require reports on initial performance tests and semiannual reports of noncompliance.

Under the standard, the data collected by the affected industry is retained at the facility for a minimum of two (2) years and made available for inspection by the Administrator.

Burden Statement: In the currently approved ICR, the annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection is estimated to average 40 hours per response. The respondents are owners or operators of sewage sludge treatment plant incinerators. The estimated number of respondents is 114. The number of respondents is expected to increase by approximately 4 facilities per year. The total annual responses is 228. The estimated total annual burden on respondents is 9,089 hours. The total annualized capital and start-up cost is $700,000 and the total operation and maintenance cost is $3,990,000, associated with continuous emissions monitors. Therefore, the estimated total annualized cost burden is $4,690,000.

(2) NSPS for Coal Preparation Plants, Subpart Y; EPA ICR No. 1062.06; OMB No. 2060–0122; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are those coal preparation plants which process more than 200 tons per day for which construction is or was commenced after October 24, 1974.

Abstract: This ICR contains recordkeeping and reporting requirements that are mandatory for compliance with 40 CFR 60.253, NSPS for Coal Preparation Plants, Subpart Y.

Owners and operators of all new facilities subject to this NSPS must provide EPA with the following one-time only reports; notification of the date of construction or reconstruction; notification of the anticipated and actual dates of the start up; and notification of the date for continuous monitoring system (CMS) demonstration. There are no requirements for a semiannual or annual report for this standard.

Owners and operators are also required to report to EPA any physical or operational change to their facility which may result in an increase in the regulated pollutant emission rate. An estimated 18 existing facilities will submit reports of physical or operational changes each year, over the next three years.

All facilities must maintain records on the facility operation that document: the occurrence and duration of any startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions; measurements of particulate matter (PM) emissions; pressure drops across any scrubber system; and the initial performance test results of the CMS demonstration. All subject facilities must maintain records related to compliance for two years.

Burden Statement: In the currently approved ICR, the total annual burden hours for recordkeeping and reporting requirements for facilities subject to this ICR is 14,729. The number of responses is 399. Therefore, the recordkeeping and reporting burden hours per respondent is 37. The EPA estimates the respondent universe to expand at an annual rate of 6 new facilities per year.

The total annualized capital and start-up cost for facilities subject to this ICR is 1 thousand dollars and the total operation and maintenance cost for these facilities is 14 thousand dollars.

(3) NSPS for Phosphate Rock Plants, Subpart NN; OMB Control No. 2060–0111; EPA ICR No. 1078.06; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are owners and operators of phosphate rock plants.

Abstract: The New Source Performance Standards for phosphate rock plants were proposed on September 21, 1979 and promulgated on April 16, 1982. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR Part 60 subpart NN. These standards apply to the following facilities in phosphate rock plants with capacities greater than 4 tons/hour: dryers, calciners, grinders, and ground rock handling and storage facilities, (except those facilities producing or preparing phosphate rock solely for consumption in elemental phosphorus production), commencing construction, modification or construction after the date of proposal.

Owners or operators of the affected facilities described must make the following one-time-only reports: Notification of the date of construction or reconstruction; notification of the anticipated and actual dates of startup; notification of any physical or operational change to an existing facility which may increase the regulated pollutant emission rate; notification of demonstration of the continuous monitoring system (CMS); notification of the date of the initial performance test; and the results of the initial performance test. Owners or operators are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring is inoperative.

These notifications, reports and records are required, in general, of all sources subject to NSPS. Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records.

Burden Statement: In the currently approved ICR, the average annual burden to the industry over the next three years is estimated to be 94 person hours per response. The estimated number of respondents is 31. The number of respondents is expected to increase by approximately 2 facilities per year. The total annual response is 31. The estimated total annual burden on respondents is 2,914 hours. The total annualized capital and start-up costs are $69,600 based on 2 new plants annually at $34,800 per plant. The annualized operations and maintenance costs are estimated at $232,500 at each of the 31 plants, which are associated with continuous emissions monitors. Therefore, the estimated total annualized cost burden is $4,690,000.

(4) NSPS for the Graphic Arts Industry, Subpart QQ; OMB Control No. 2060–0105; EPA ICR No. 0657.07; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

Affected Facilities: These standards apply to the following facilities in NSPS Subpart QQ, each publication rotogravure printing press (not including proof presses) commencing construction, modification or reconstruction after the date of proposal.

Abstract: The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for subpart QQ were proposed on October 28, 1980, and promulgated on November 8, 1982. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart QQ.

Owners or operators of the affected facilities described have certain notification, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements under this rule including: a one-time-only notification of the date of the anticipated and actual dates of startup, the initial performance test, and physical or operational changes; keep records of monthly emissions calculations, records of startup, shutdowns, and malfunctions, and records concerning the conditions of the performance test; and a report of the initial performance test. Any owner or

operators subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such reports and records.

The information requested as part of this rule include one-time-only notifications; records about the initial performance test, changes in the operation of the facility, and exceedences of parameters; and semiannual reports of those exceedences.

Notifications are used to inform the Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the standard. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated and the standard is being met. Performance test reports are needed as these are the Agency's record of a source's initial capability to comply with the emission standard.

Burden Statement: In the currently approved ICR, the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 49.06 hours per response. Approximately 24 facilities are currently subject to the standard, and it is estimated that an additional 3 facilities will become subject to the standard in the next three years. It is further assumed that less than half of the existing facilities will be adding or modifying a press during the three year period. Therefore there are 219 existing presses subject to this standard and an additional 10 affected units will be added each year. The estimated frequency of response is 50 per year. The estimated annual hour burden is 3,075.3 hours for all facilities. The estimated total annualized cost burden is $107,242.91 for all facilities.

(5) NSPS for the Polymeric Coating of Supporting Substrates Facilities, Subpart VVV; OMB Control No. 2060–0181; EPA ICR No. 1284.05; expiration date is August 31, 2001.

Affected Facilities: These standards apply to each coating operation and any on-site coating mix preparation equipment used to prepare coatings for the polymeric coating of supporting substrates for which construction, modification or reconstruction occurs after the date of proposal. Any affected facility for which the amount of VOC used is less than 95 Mg per 12-month period is subject only to the requirements of §§ 60.744(b), 60.747(b) and 60.747(c).

Abstract: The New Source Performance Standards for polymeric coating of supporting substrates facilities were proposed on April 30, 1987 and promulgated on September 11, 1989. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart VVV.

In addition to the monitoring, recordkeeping and notification requirements specified in the General Provisions in § 60.7(a), (b), (d) and (f), and § 60.8(a) and (d), owners or operators are to comply with the requirements specified in the rule.

The owners or operators of polymeric coating of supporting substrates facilities must install and calibrate all monitoring devices required under the provisions of § 60.744, according to the manufacturer's specifications. The parameters to be monitored shall be continuously measured and recorded during each performance test.

The recordkeeping requirements include: records of all measurements of performance test and results including estimates of projected and actual VOC use and monitored operating parameters use in demonstrating compliance, as required by § 60.747. Records must be retained for at least 2 years.

The reporting requirements include: report on the initial compliance report that includes initial performance test results, the monthly schedule to be used in making compliance determinations, design and equipment specifications and compliance method; semiannual reports of compliance and statement of no exceedences; semiannual reports of monitoring exceedance; and, quarterly reports of periods of noncompliance recorded under § 60.744(b) and (c).

In addition, any affected facility for which the amount of VOC used is less than 95 Mg per 12-month period is subject only to the requirements of §§ 60.744(b), 60.747(b) and 60.747(c).

Burden Statement: In the currently approved ICR, the average annual burden to industry over the next three years from these recordkeeping and reporting requirements is estimated at 14,375.5 person-hours. This is based on approximately 51 existing polymeric coating of supporting substrates plants and the projection that one additional plant per year will become subject to the standard in the next three years.

The estimated capital start-up costs for this regulation are $212,500 dollars. This estimate is based on 4 new solvent-borne coating lines at existing plants and one new solvent-borne coating line at one new plant yielding a total of 5 new solvent-borne coating lines per year. The estimated costs are based on two types of monitoring equipments needed at each plant, temperature monitoring devices to measure gas temperature and VOC monitoring devices to measure inlet and outlet organic concentration level use to calculate control device efficiency. The annual operations and maintenance (O&M) costs are $57,500 dollars. The average annual burden for capital and operations and maintenance costs to industry over the next three years of the ICR is estimated to be $270,000.

(6) NESHAP–MACT for the Petroleum Refineries, Subpart CC; OMB Control No. 2060–0340; EPA ICR No. 1692.03; expiration date is August 18, 2001.

Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are petroleum refineries.

Abstract: This regulation was published in 60 FR 43244, August 18, 1995, and is codified at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart CC.

The reporting requirements include a one-time report of start of construction, anticipated and actual start-up dates, and physical or operational changes to existing facilities; notification of compliance status reports; periodic reports; and event triggered (*e.g.,* notification of installation of a new control device or reconstruction of an existing control device) reports. The periodic reports provide information on monitored control device parameters when they are outside established ranges and on instances where inspections reveal problems. Records (*e.g.,* parameter monitor data, records of annual storage vessel inspections) are required to be maintained on-site for a minimum of 5 years.

Monitoring requirements include the use of existing refinery monitoring devices for when combustion sources are used as control devices (*e.g.,* temperature or flame sensing device), and existing refinery monitoring devices for finding leaking components at process units in organic hazardous air pollutant service. Additionally, sources are required to monitor tanks to ensure that control devices are properly maintained (*e.g.,* floating roof seals). In general records of the monitored parameters mentioned above are required to be kept for five years.

Effective enforcement of the standards is necessary due to the hazardous nature of benzene (a known human carcinogen) and other HAP's emitted from petroleum refineries. The required records and reports are necessary: to enable EPA to identify new and existing sources subject to the standards; and to assist EPA and State agencies to which enforcement has been delegated in determining compliance with the standards. The EPA uses the reports to identify facilities that may not be in compliance with the standards. Based on reported information, EPA can decide which facilities should be inspected and what records or specific

emission sources should be inspected at each facility. The required records also provide an indication as to whether facility personnel are operating and maintaining control equipment properly.

Burden Statement: In the currently approved ICR, the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection is estimated to be 488,000 hours, and average 1,494 hours per respondent. It is estimated that there are 165 respondents (no new sources). It is estimated that the total annual cost for this collection 20.45 million dollars for labor and 570,000 dollars for annualized capital costs.

There are no operating and maintenance costs since the rule does not require any continuous emissions monitoring or electronic data submittal. Sources can comply with the monitoring requirements by using existing parametric or safety monitoring devices.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Michael Stahl,

Acting Director, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 00–23772 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6870–4]

Retrofit/Rebuild Requirements for 1993 and Earlier Model Year Urban Buses; Approval of a Notification of Intent To Certify Equipment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of EPA approval of a notification of intent to certify equipment.

SUMMARY: This **Federal Register** describes the certification of the Engelhard Corporation's ETX Plus rebuild kit pursuant to the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements. The kit is certified to comply with the 0.10 grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/bhp-hr) particulate matter (PM) standard for certain engines (see below).

EPA received a notification of intent to certify (that is, an ''application'' for) the ETX Plus rebuild kit, signed November 17, 1998, from the Engelhard Corporation (Engelhard) pursuant to Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 85 subpart O, entitled ''Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements.'' The kit applies to Detroit Diesel Corporation's (DDC) diesel-fueled 6V92TA urban bus engines of model years 1988 through 1993 that are equipped with the second version of Detroit Diesel Electronic Control (DDEC II). Engelhard's principal place of business is 101 Wood Avenue, Iselin, New Jersey 08830–0770.

On April 29, 1999 EPA published a notice in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 23072) that the Engelhard application had been received, and that made the application available for public review and comment for a period of 45 days pursuant to 40 CFR 85.1407. EPA has completed its review and determined that it meets the requirements for certification. The effective date of certification is discussed below under **DATES**.

Certification of this kit does not initiate (that is, ''trigger'') any program requirements for urban bus operators, because the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard is already in effect for the engines to which the ETX Plus applies. Additionally, Engelhard did not provide the life cycle cost information that is required to trigger a standard. However, certification of the ETX Plus kit will provide additional choices for urban bus operators.

ADDRESSES: The Engelhard application, as well as other documents specifically relevant to it, is contained in Public Docket A–93–42, Category XXV–A, entitled ''Certification of Urban Bus Retrofit/Rebuild Equipment.'' Docket items may be inspected from 8:00 a.m. until 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. As provided in 40 CFR part 2, a reasonable fee may be charged by EPA for copying docket materials.

DATES: Today's **Federal Register** document describes EPA's decision to certify the ETX Plus kit, and establishes the effective date of certification. This certified kit may be used immediately by urban bus operators, as discussed in Section VI below. Urban bus operators having affected engines and using compliance program 1 are currently required to use kits certified to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard when the applicable engines are rebuilt or replaced.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Rutledge, Certification and Compliance Division (mail code 6403J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington D.C. 20460. Telephone: (202) 564–9297. Email address: rutledge.william@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Program Background

On April 21, 1993, EPA published final Retrofit/Rebuild Requirements for 1993 and Earlier Model Year Urban Buses (58 FR 21359). The retrofit/rebuild program is intended to reduce the ambient levels of PM in urban areas and is limited to 1993 and earlier model year (MY) urban buses operating in metropolitan areas with 1980 populations of 750,000 or more, whose engines are rebuilt or replaced after January 1, 1995. Operators of the affected buses are required to choose between two compliance options: Option 1 sets PM emissions requirements for each urban bus engine in an operator's fleet which is rebuilt or replaced; Option 2 is a fleet averaging program that sets out a specific annual target level for average PM emissions from urban buses in an operator's fleet.

A key aspect of the program is the certification of retrofit/rebuild equipment (also referred to as ''kits''). To meet either of the two compliance options, operators of the affected buses must use kits which are certified by EPA. Emissions requirements under either of the two options depend on the availability of retrofit/rebuild kits certified for each engine model. To be used for Option 1, kits must be certified as meeting a 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard or as achieving a 25 percent reduction in PM. Kits used for Option 2 must be certified as providing some level of PM reduction that would in turn be claimed by urban bus operators when calculating their average fleet PM levels attained under the program.

Under Option 1, additional information regarding cost must be submitted in the application for certification, in order for certification of that kit to trigger program requirements for a particular engine model. In order for the kit to serve as a trigger, the certifier must guarantee that the kit will be offered to affected operators for $7,940 or less at the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM level, or for $2,000 or less for the 25 percent or greater reduction in PM. Both of the above amounts are based on 1992 dollars and include life cycle costs incremental to the cost of a standard rebuild.

II. Certification Application and Kit Identification

In an application signed November 17, 1998, Engelhard applied for certification of equipment under the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements. The application is clarified in letters from Engelhard dated December 14, 1998, and June 30, 2000. The equipment is referred to as the ETX Plus rebuild kit and applies to 1988 through 1993 model year DDC 6V92TA urban bus engines equipped with DDEC II.

The ETX Plus kit is intended to be installed at the time of a standard engine rebuild, and results in one mechanical configuration to update all

applicable engines to an ETX Plus configuration of either 253 or 277 horsepower (Hp). The basis of the ETX Plus kit is a 6V92TA DDEC II engine that is rebuilt to a standard 1991 to 1993 DDC specification, but with some changes. When rebuilt with the ETX Plus kit, the engine will utilize an improved CMX(TM)–6 integrated catalytic converter muffler, a coated turbocharger, a specific blower drive gear, and must include other emission-related components identified on the engine specific parts list that is provided in the kit. (Use of parts other than the specific parts listed for the kit will place an engine in an uncertified kit configuration.)

The CMX–6 is designed to replace the existing noise muffler of a bus and incorporates Engelhard's oxidation catalyst technology to reduce PM emissions in the exhaust. The CMX–6 is different from the CMX–5 converter of the Engelhard kit that EPA certified earlier to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard for the same engines (see 63 FR 50225; September 21, 1998). Therefore, previously-certified CMX converters cannot be used in place of the new CMX–6 converter in the ETX Plus kit. The specific CMX–6 to be used depends on the type of coach as well as the type of engine. Engelhard's application provides a table listing the various catalytic converter kits available for different engine/coach combinations.

Engelhard indicates that the turbocharger of the ETX Plus kit has a coated housing and operates like a typical turbocharger but with improved efficiency and airflow. The improved airflow improves combustion efficiency which reduces engine-out PM.

The 1988 to 1990 model year engines also receive an upgraded software control program for the electronic control module, if necessary. The control program is listed on an updated ETX Plus parts list provided in the letter to EPA dated June 30, 2000, which can be found in the public docket at the address listed above.

The contents of the ETX Plus kit will vary depending upon the model year of the engine to be rebuilt. For the 1988–1990 model year engines, the kit will include components necessary to update the older applicable engines to the 1991–1993 configuration. For 1991–1993 model year engines, the kit does not include the emission-related components that are typically replaced during an engine rebuild of those engines. However, the operator is still responsible for purchasing and using the components on the engine specific parts list of the kit because such components are emissions related and necessary to assure the engine is the certified ETX Plus configuration.

The engine specific parts list of the ETX Plus kit identifies the components that, while not provided with the kit, are necessary to complete an engine rebuild. The engine specific parts list for the 1988–1990 model year engines identifies only the cylinder head and blower (which are common to all model year engines). The components that are necessary to complete the ETX Plus rebuild for 1988–1990 engines, including those original equipment (OE), emission related components necessary to upgrade to the 1991–1993 model year configuration, are provided with the kit because the components would not typically be used for rebuilding 1988–1990 engines.

The engine specific parts list for 1991–1993 model year engines identifies the cylinder head and blower (again, common to all model year engines), cylinder kits, fuel injectors and camshafts. These components are necessary to complete an engine rebuild using the ETX Plus kit but would typically be replaced by an operator during rebuild of the 1991–1993 model year engines. It is an operator's responsibility to assure that all components of the ETX Plus kit, including the components of the engine specific parts list, are acquired and properly installed.

The emissions defect warranty will cover the components which Engelhard supplies in the ETX kit. Engelhard states that the ETX Plus kit will require no additional maintenance compared to a standard engine.

Using engine dynamometer testing conducted in accordance with the Federal Test Procedure (FTP) for heavy-duty diesel engines, Engelhard documented in its November 17, 1998 application, PM emissions complying with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard. This test data is shown below in Table 1. In Table 1 EPA has also included baseline data from testing conducted in conjunction with the Engelhard kit certified earlier to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard and described in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225). The PM emissions level of an original engine, prior to installation of the Engelhard kit, may be less relevant because all emissions-related components are required to be replaced upon installation of the kit.

The same engine block (that is, same serial number) was used for all emissions testing. The engine was initially rebuilt to a 1988 California configuration, subsequently rebuilt to a 1991 through 1993 model year DDC DDEC II standard configuration (using a DDC DDEC II upgrade kit), and then finally rebuilt with the ETX Plus rebuild kit. The testing documentation related to each of the rebuilds can be found in the public docket A–93–42, category XXV–A, at the address listed above. Transient testing was performed in accordance with the federal test procedure of 40 CFR Part 86, subparts N and I.

The certification testing documents a PM emissions level that complies with the PM standard of 0.10 g/bhp-hr, and also shows that emissions of hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_X), and smoke opacities comply with the applicable standards.

Based on the testing summarized in Table 1, EPA believes that all ETX Plus equipped engines will meet the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard because installation of the kit upon engine rebuild results in the replacement of all emissions-related components with a specific set of components, the combination of which has been demonstrated to comply with the PM standard of 0.10 g/bhp-hr.

TABLE 1.—SUMMARY OF ENGELHARD TESTING OF A DDC 6V92TA DDEC II

Gaseous and particulate test	g/bhp-hr					
	HDDE standards			1988 6V92TA (California) baseline [3]	1991 6V92TA baseline [3]	6V92TA with ETX Plus kit [3]
	1988	1990	1991			
Test Date	.....	.....	.....	02/19/97	03/10/97	05/26/98
Test Cell	.....	.....	.....	7	7	7
HC	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.02
CO	15.5	15.5	15.5	1.4	1.9	0.4
NO_X	10.7	6.0	5.0	5.5	4.7	5.0

TABLE 1.—SUMMARY OF ENGELHARD TESTING OF A DDC 6V92TA DDEC II—Continued

Gaseous and particulate test	g/bhp-hr					
	HDDE standards			1988 6V92TA (California) baseline [3]	1991 6V92TA baseline [3]	6V92TA with ETX Plus kit [3]
	1988	1990	1991			
PM	0.60	0.60	0.25	0.43	0.28	0.10
BSFC[1]	.....	.....	.....	0.481	0.498	0.488
Hp (R/O) [2]	.....	.....	.....	277/273	277/281	277/278
Smoke test	Maximum opacity standard					
ACCEL	20%			4%	7%	3%
LUG	15%			1%	1%	1%
PEAK	50%			6%	15%	6%

[1] Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) is measured in units of lb/bhp-hr.
[2] Horsepower (Rated/Observed during testing).
[3] All 6V92TA testing was performed on engine identification number 6VF–203466. See discussion in the text. The DDC upgrade kit (25% reduction) was used to configure the engine to the 1991 model year.

Engelhard's application includes no life cycle cost information. Such information is required, pursuant to 40 CFR 85.1407, only to trigger the program standard of 0.10 g/bhp-hr for applicable engines. That 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard was triggered for both federal (*i.e.,* 49-State) and California engines with the certification of the Engelhard ETX–2002 rebuild kit described in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225). The effective date is discussed below in section VI, ''Urban Bus Operator Responsibilities.''

In accordance with program requirements of 40 CFR 85.1409, Engelhard's application includes emissions defect and emissions performance warranties for the ETX Plus kit.

The ETX Plus kit is certified to a PM emission level of 0.10 g/bhp-hr for all 1988 through 1993 DDC 6V92TA DDEC II urban bus engines using either diesel fuel #1 or #2 (including engines originally certified, or rebuilt, to meet California emissions standards). Table 2 below lists the applicable engine models and certification levels associated with the certification announced in today's **Federal Register**.

TABLE 2.—CERTIFICATION LEVELS

Applicable models	Applicable engine codes	Certified PM level
1988–1993 Detroit Diesel 6V92TA DDEC II	ALL (including those certified or rebuilt to meet California or 50-state emissions standards).	0.10 g/bhp-hr.

Today's certification of the ETX Plus kit includes certification for engines originally certified, or rebuilt, to meet emissions standards of California. The impact of this on urban bus operators is discussed below in the ''Transit Operator Requirements'' of section VI below.

III. Summary and Analysis of Comments

Comments were received from three parties in response to the **Federal Register** document of April 29, 1999 (64 FR 232072): Johnson Matthey, Incorporated (JM), Engine Control Systems, Limited (ECS), and Golden Gate Transit. JM is a company that has several kits certified under the urban bus program, including a kit certified to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard for the same engines to which the ETX Plus kit is applicable. ECS is a company that has kits certified under the urban bus program, and also provides catalytic converters for a DDC kit that is certified to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard for the same engines to which the ETX Plus kit is applicable. Golden Gate Transit is an operator of urban buses in an area to which the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements apply.

Comments and issues generally fell into the following categories:

(A) Components in the ETX Plus kit; (B) Potential safety concern; (C) Durability and in-service concerns related to the ETX Plus kit; (D) Certification test engine; (E) Kit Compliance; and, (F) Other comments. These comments and issues are discussed below. The overwhelming majority of comments were provided by JM.

Copies of the complete comments and other documentation are available in the public docket, which is located at the address stated above.

A. Components in the ETX Plus Kit

1. JM notes that Engelhard intends to supply the ETX Plus kit components alone, while bus operators will procure the engine-specific components on their own. JM states that EPA should require Engelhard to clearly state that transits are required to purchase and install all of the components in both the Engelhard-supplied kit and the non-Engelhard-supplied kit.

Engelhard states that it has clearly stated that a transit needs to install all of the specified components to be certified.

EPA notes that the Engelhard application shows that the ETX Plus Installation Instructions states ''Ensure that all required parts are used per the Engelhard ETX Plus Parts List.'' Additionally, the components list provided by Engelhard in its letter dated June 30, 2000, show unique components lists for 1988–1990 and 1991–1993 6V92TA DDEC II engines, and installation of either list would result in an engine rebuilt to a standard 1991 to 1993 engine specification of either 253 or 277 horsepower.

2. Golden Gate Transit comments that there will be a ''wide gap'' in warranty coverage, between the components

Engelhard plans to put in the kit, and the warranty that DDC provides for other engine components that are not part of the certified kit, but are necessary to complete an engine rebuild when installing a kit. Emissions will be compromised if there is failure of engine components not in the certified kit, when past the DDC warranty period, and places the burden on the bus operator. Components that are not in the certified kit include pistons, cylinder liners, and piston rings. Golden Gate believes that EPA should apply the bus program warranty to all emission-related components of an engine, even if components are not in a certified kit.

EPA notes that the intent of the defect warranty of the regulation (40 CFR 85.1409) is to provide bus operators with the ability to obtain replacement components of a retrofit/rebuild kit that is still under warranty and fails to perform. Engelhard has met this requirement for the parts in its kits. Regarding the potential for a ''wide gap'' in warranty coverage between kit parts and other engine parts, Golden Gate is correct when referring to the kit applicable to 1991 through 1993 model year engines because certain engine parts are not provided with the kit. However, for kits for those model year engines, the warranty coverage for those standard engine parts when used with the kit (as they must) would be the same as the coverage when used with other standard engine rebuilds (such as rebuilding a 1991 model year engine to a standard 1991 configuration).

EPA notes that the ETX Plus kit for 1988 through 1990 model year engines includes the cylinder kits, fuel injectors, and camshafts and, all components of the kit are covered by the emission warranties required by 40 CFR 85.1409. These engine components are part of the kit because they are not standard rebuild parts for these model years.

EPA has no information that the presence of certified kits will result in the other components failing earlier than would otherwise occur. Therefore, EPA does not believe that there is a need for the kit certifier to warrant such components under the warranty requirements of the bus program. Further, the non-special emissions-related engine components are OEM type components and not unique with regard to the specific engine being rebuilt. In the absence of the bus program, an operator would bear the costs of such components replacement if beyond any manufacturer's warranty period. In summary, EPA does not believe that it is necessary for a kit certifier to warrant components that are not part of its kit, and does believe that Engelhard has met its obligations under the regulatory warranty requirements of 40 CFR 85.1409.

3. In the preamble to the April 29, 1999 **Federal Register** document (64 FR 23072) that started the 45-day review of the Engelhard application, EPA stated that during the certification review it would address the issue of the supply method that Engelhard proposed for the ETX Plus kit and, whether the supply method compromises the ability of the kit to achieve the emission reductions in the field.

As noted previously, the ETX Plus kit is expected to be installed at the time of a standard engine rebuild, and the contents of the kit will vary depending upon the model year of the engine to be rebuilt. For rebuilding a 1988–1990 model year engine, the kit includes the original equipment emission related components needed to upgrade the engine to the 1991–1993 configuration. However, for a 1991–1993 model year engine, the kit will not contain those emission related components. This is because operators of 1991–1993 engines typically acquire such standard components when rebuilding 1991–1993 engines, and must do the same when installing the ETX Plus kit on these engines. In other words, urban bus operators are expected to acquire, from their routine supply sources, the standard components that are specified for the kit. EPA does not believe that this supply method will affect the ability of the kit to achieve emission reductions in the field and, therefore, EPA is not requiring that the kit for 1991–1993 engines provide the standard engine components that are typically acquired by the operator for a standard rebuild of the 1991–1993 engines. This supply method will not affect the in-use performance of the ETX Plus kit because EPA believes that operators will continue to procure the proper components as listed on Engelhard's engine specific parts list, which are typically replaced during a routine 1991–1993 engine rebuild. However, because these specific engine components are not common to 1988–1990 model year engines, the components are part of the kit for 1988–1990 engines.

The emissions performance warranty and emissions defect warranty provided by Engelhard as required by 40 CFR 85.1409, cover the components provided in the kit. This supply method is consistent with what has been provided for previous certifications, such as for the JM Cam Converter Technology (CCT ™) upgrade kit for these same engines as described on December 3, 1998 at 63 FR 66798, and the Engelhard ETX–2002 kit for the same engines as described on September 21, 1998 at 63 FR 50225.

4. JM notes that Engelhard includes the blower drive gear part number 5122918, which is for a non-hardened gear. However, this is not an appropriate component because DDC standardized the gear train to include hardened gears (JM refers to DDC service information bulletin 18–D–88, but does not provide it). According to JM, DDC has indicated that hardened and non-hardened gears should not be mixed.

Engelhard states that the appropriate blower drive gear will be specified depending on the application.

EPA notes that the blower drive gear, to be supplied with the ETX Plus kit, must be covered by the program warranty per 40 CFR 85.1409, because the blower drive gear specified by Engelhard is not part of a standard rebuild for the engine. In general, EPA believes that an emission-related component should be included in a certified ''kit'' if the component is not part of a standard rebuild for an engine (subject to the rebuild requirements).

5.A. JM notes that the certification word codes (CWC) on the ETX Plus components list are not compliant with the Consent Decree requirements that were agreed upon by the U.S. Justice Department and the engine manufacturer (DDC).

Engelhard states that the consent decree CWC's were not available when the original application was submitted. However, the appropriate CWC will be used.

EPA notes that Engelhard, in its letter to EPA dated June 30, 2000, has provided applicable CWCs for the engines for which the ETX Plus is applicable. EPA notes that no kits are certified that are to be re-programmed with an original certification word code because such software includes programming that EPA considers a defeat device that is prohibited by the Clean Air Act.

B. Potential Safety Concern

JM has several concerns regarding the use, operation, and durability of the ceramic coated turbocharger that is provided by Engelhard as part of the ETX Plus kit. First, JM states that the ceramic coating will most likely result in higher exhaust temperature in the piping between the turbocharger and the CMX. Higher than normal exhaust temperature could be a fire hazard. EPA should require Engelhard to provide exhaust temperature data for this coated technology to compare to existing engines operating with standard turbochargers, to determine whether

there is a safety hazard. JM suggests that additional insulation may be necessary on the piping and CMX catalytic muffler that are in close proximity to fluid lines, body panels, or the customer compartment.

In response, Engelhard states that only a very small portion of the turbocharger is coated and it is insufficient to produce a change in heat loss of the turbocharger. Also, Engelhard has told EPA that the coating is not designed as a thermal barrier, and that the turbocharger does not significantly change the exhaust temperature. Furthermore, the turbocharger is the same unit as used on the original ETX kit certified earlier as described in the **Federal Register** at 63 FR 50225 on September 21, 1998. In its letter to EPA dated June 30, 2000, Engelhard states that these units have been in-service on urban buses for the last several years without a problem.

EPA believes, as JM also notes, that there may be many different exhaust configurations in the various bus models for the piping between the turbocharger and CMX. Also, regardless of whether the ETX Plus kit is used, the heat radiated from this section of the exhaust system is related to the exhaust pipe configurations, plus other factors that influence engine load, such as passenger loading, terrain, etc. EPA is not convinced that there is a safety concern with the ETX Plus kit, given the description of the coating and the in-service experience to date. Operators with concerns regarding the variations of particular bus models, and the possibility for increased exhaust pipe temperatures, should discuss their concerns with Engelhard. EPA notes that kits are available from other manufacturers if concerns can not be resolved.

C. Durability Related to the ETX Plus Kit

1. JM comments that EPA should be concerned about the actual level of PM attained by Engelhard's technology. It is a well-accepted fact that over time and use, both engine performance as well as catalyst performance will show some level of degradation resulting in an increase in emissions. JM says that the ETX Plus kit functions at a PM level of 0.103 to 0.105 g/bhp-hr which allows for no in-use deterioration. Because of the difficulty and impracticality of testing the performance of in-use kits, the industry would never know if this technology would consistently meet the 0.10 PM standard either initially or after any engine and/or catalyst deterioration.

As noted in other discussion on this subject, EPA is concerned about in-use deterioration. However, in its comments, JM has neither substantiated a need to account for deterioration, nor determined what that amount or test margin should be. JM has not supplied any data relevant to deterioration of Engelhard's catalysts or on its own catalysts that might be relevant to the CMX–6. EPA's review of its electronic database of new engine certification applications for diesel-fueled, catalyst-equipped, urban bus engines does not support the need to account for PM deterioration. Of the 23 engine families certified since 1997 (EPA's electronic database goes back to model year 1997), 83 percent have deterioration factors of 1.000. This indicates that the engine manufacturers have determined that the over-whelming majority of their urban bus engine systems that use exhaust catalysts will have no measurable PM emissions deterioration over the useful life of these engines. These new urban bus engine families have a PM standard of 0.05 g/bhp-hr and a useful life of 290,000 miles. For the Urban Bus Rebuild Program, the most rigorous PM standard is 0.10 g/bhp-hr, and the emissions performance warranty period (comparable in concept to useful life for new engines) is 150,000 miles. In summary, the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements do not burden certifiers with a durability demonstration requirement as part of the certification process, but instead rely on the emissions warranties required pursuant to 40 CFR 85.1409, and EPA authorities to decertify per 40 CFR 85.1413, and recall non-compliant certified kits.

JM is correct that it would be difficult to conduct an in-use testing program using the dynamometer test procedure that is used for kit certification. However, EPA expects that its ability to conduct future in-use testing programs may be facilitated by the availability of on-road testing systems such as the ROVER type of system that EPA has developed. ROVER is a mobile measurement system designed to measure exhaust emissions from vehicles under actual in-use conditions. While ROVER is not currently configured to measure emissions for determining compliance of certified kits with the urban bus program, the system has to date been used successfully in several heavy-duty on-road enforcement actions. This or other systems may be applicable to measuring the emissions associated with certified kits in the future.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 85.1413, EPA has authority to decertify equipment for various reasons, including if use of certified equipment is causing urban bus engine emissions to exceed emission requirements for any regulated pollutant. Further, pursuant to 40 CFR 85.1406(f), Engelhard has agreed in its certification application to notify operators who have installed this equipment and repair the equipment without cost to the operator when EPA determines that a substantial number of the equipment kits, when properly maintained and used, and in actual use throughout the in-use compliance period, do not meet emission requirements.

2. JM has several questions related to durability and the turbocharger of the kit. JM asks how, if it is assumed that the coated turbocharger has a higher than normal exhaust temperature, the temperature will affect durability. JM asks whether the turbocharger will have to be rebuilt prior to 200,000 miles, or lead to quicker degradation of the lubricating oil, or whether the higher temperature will lead to degradation of the turbocharger performance. JM also expresses concerns for the durability of the ceramic coating on the turbocharger. JM suggests that due to the duty cycle of a turbocharger, there are concerns that the coating will not survive. EPA should require Engelhard to demonstrate that the elevated temperature does not affect the durability of the turbocharger, and to provide durability data to demonstrate that the coating will survive over the required 150,000 miles of transit operation.

Engelhard, as noted above in response to an earlier comment, has stated that the turbocharger does not significantly change the temperature of the exhaust.

Additionally, EPA notes that Engelhard, in its letter to EPA dated December 14, 1998, states that the turbocharger in the ETX kit is almost identical to a turbocharger in operation on a revenue-service 6V92 DDEC II bus with over 75,000 miles, and the transit operator is extremely happy with the improved fuel economy and performance due to the installation of the turbocharger. Engelhard also notes that a similar turbocharger operated on a Class 8 tractor trailer test rig utilized by Engelhard for over 150,000 miles with no degradation of performance. While there may be differences in operating cycles, and other factors, EPA believes that this type of in-use durability evaluation is relevant to the general durability of the unit, and therefore supportive of the durability of the turbocharger in the ETX Plus kit.

Moreover, in its letter to EPA dated June 30, 2000, Engelhard notes that the same turbocharger is used in its original ETX kit, which is described in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225). Engelhard notes that this

unit has been used on many buses without a problem.

EPA notes that, while the program regulation does not contain specific requirements relating to demonstration of durability, EPA is concerned with equipment durability. This subject is discussed in the preamble to the final rule (at 58 FR 21379; April 21, 1993). Additionally, the regulation at 40 CFR 85.1409 require manufacturers to provide both an emission performance warranty, to extend for a period of 150,000 miles from when kits are installed and, an emission defect warranty, to extend for a period of 100,000 miles. The regulation is clear that EPA maintains the option of performing in-use testing. Based on the information provided by Engelhard, EPA at this point has no reason to request further information regarding durability.

3. JM comments that in the Engelhard letter of December 14, 1998 in response to EPA's question on field data and durability, Engelhard provides inadequate information. Engelhard compares its modified turbocharger to a standard, unmodified DDC turbocharger durability and offers one case for their modified turbocharger with no data to substantiate their claim. Additionally, Engelhard presents durability data from a Cummins B5.9 engine as proof of the durability of the CMX–6 catalytic muffler for transit bus operations. This is not an appropriate comparison for transit operation, because there are significant differences between these two engines and their respective applications. JM states that the information should not be considered evidence of durability for transit operation, and that EPA should require Engelhard to provide similar data from a unit operating on a transit bus before any consideration is given to certifying the ETX kit.

In response, EPA notes (as discussed above) that Engelhard has presented in-use examples relevant to the durability of the turbocharger in the ETX Plus kit. Regarding the CMX–6 catalyst of the ETX-Plus kit, Engelhard states that it is very similar to the current CMX catalysts and standard OEM catalysts. In support of its technology, Engelhard has submitted data from EPA's new engine certification program that indicate no PM deterioration from two 1994 model year engines using Engelhard catalysts. Also submitted are a graphical presentation showing the PM reduction performance over 1,000 hours of an Engelhard catalyst on a 1991 Cummins 5.9 liter engine, and an SAE paper written by Cummins on the durability of Engelhard diesel oxidation catalysts in use. EPA believes that while these engine/vehicle applications may not be identical to an urban bus, such information is supportive of certification of important components of the ETX Plus kit. EPA at this point has no reason to request further information regarding durability.

4. ECS comments that a turbocharger, as a general design feature, has clearance between the intake compressor, exhaust impeller and housing to allow for expansion and contraction of components due to temperature changes. An abrade-able coating to eliminate clearances may initially improve turbocharger efficiency but as components expand and contract and bearings wear, further abrading of the coating must be expected. Therefore, some definite loss in turbocharger efficiency and increase in PM must occur. ECS believes that this bolsters their comment that the zero emissions deterioration position is not defendable in regard to this application given the nature of the coating and the intended operation of a turbocharger.

Engelhard responds that the break-in of a turbocharger and engine operates the engine at a very high temperature, thus the coated turbocharger will achieve its minimum clearance (thus abrading the maximum amount of coating) during break-in. There will not be any additional loss of coating during operation over the life of the kit. Turbochargers are designed to operate in excess of 300,000 miles before needing to be reconditioned. Bearing wear will be minimal and will have no effect at all on the performance of the coated turbocharger.

EPA has no evidence that the Engelhard turbocharger is any less durable that an original equipment unit. Further, the coated Engelhard turbocharger is part of the certified ETX Plus kit and is therefor covered by the emissions warranty requirement of the program regulations (40 CFR 85.1409).

D. Test Engine

JM states that EPA should require Engelhard to identify the origin of the 1988 DDC 6V92TA DDEC II test engine to determine whether it was an appropriate choice for testing. Also, the list of components used for the rebuild to the 1988 California DDEC II 277 Hp configuration was not provided in the Engelhard application. EPA should require Engelhard to provide the list.

Engelhard responds that a components list for the engine rebuild was provided previously to EPA but is not relevant to the emissions testing, and the components list (for the ETX Plus kit) represents the status of the engine for the certification testing.

EPA notes that JM does not indicate why the origin of the test engine is important to determining whether the test engine is an appropriate choice for testing. Similarly, EPA does not know why the earlier (California) engine configuration is relevant to the configuration used for certification testing with the ETX Plus kit, because of the substantial number of parts replaced to generate the ETX Plus configuration. Finally, EPA notes that the components list for the test engine, in its 1988 model year California configuration, is available. This information was provided by Engelhard in conjunction with the Engelhard kit certified earlier to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard and described in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225). The same engine block (that is, same serial number) was used for all emissions testing. The engine was initially rebuilt to a 1988 California configuration, subsequently rebuilt to a 1991 through 1993 model year DDC DDEC II standard configuration (using a DDC DDEC II upgrade kit), and then finally rebuilt with the ETX Plus rebuild kit. The testing documentation and lists of components used in each of the rebuilds can be found in the public docket A–93–42, category XXV–A, at the address listed above.

E. Kit Compliance

1. Engine Control Systems (ECS) comments that PM emissions actually exceed the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard, and that there is therefore no basis for approval of this application. ECS notes that there must be zero emissions deterioration over the 150,000 miles of the emissions warranty requirement, and absolutely zero emission variance between different rebuilt engines. The Engelhard position on the matter does not allow for any emissions variance between different rebuild engines. ECS believes that this position cannot be defended to the transit industry.

EPA appreciates the concerns expressed by ECS. The program regulations require neither multiple certification tests nor durability demonstration. As discussed above, however, Engelhard has met the requirements of the urban bus program. EPA notes that its ability to conduct future in-use testing may be facilitated by the availability of on-road testing systems such as the ROVER type of system that EPA is currently evaluating.

2. JM states that it is their position that an oxidation catalyst, even in combination with a turbocharger that boosts exhaust temperatures above

typical temperatures, will not reduce a large enough portion of both the soluble organic fraction (SOF) and soot particles to reach 0.10 g/bhp-hr over the FTP transient cycle. JM is not convinced that this technology can and will consistently produce emission levels that will meet the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard. EPA should require Engelhard to provide turbocharger exit temperatures across the FTP cycle, baseline FTP data, and a PM analysis for SOF to allow EPA and catalytic technology experts to assess the true likelihood that this technology can consistently meet the 0.10 PM emission standard. JM submits exhaust emission data from DDC indicating a composite PM level of 0.225 g/bhp-hr for a 1991 6V92TA DDEC II.

Engelhard responds that JM's summation is not correct. The ETX Plus kit includes a blower drive gear and improved turbocharger that dramatically affect the engine-out particulate. Additionally, Engelhard's CMX–6 catalyst is substantially more efficient, so that JM's conclusions are not correct. Engelhard's certification engine was not ''tuned'' as JM suggests and actually emitted 0.277 g/bhp-hr PM when rebuilt to a baseline 1991 277 hp 6V92 50-State DDEC configuration. After baseline testing, the Engelhard turbocharger, new blower drive gear and CMX–6 catalyst were added to achieve the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard.

EPA notes that the certification test engine for the ETX Plus kit and the baseline engine emitting 0.277 g/bhp-hr PM is the same engine serial number used for testing of its original ETX kit (the certification of which is described on September 21, 1998 at 63 FR 50225). The 0.277 g/bhp-hr PM level of Engelhard's baseline test engine is on the high side compared to both the data that JM submitted for a 1991 configuration (0.225 g/bhp-hr), and from data supplied by DDC for new engine certification of the 1991 model year 6V92TA DDEC coach (engine family MDD0552FZL1), which shows a level of 0.25 g/bhp-hr. EPA notes that all the parameter data that JM requests is not required by the bus regulation. As noted above, Engelhard states that after baseline testing, the Engelhard turbocharger, new blower drive gear and CMX–6 catalyst was added to achieve the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard of the urban bus program. In conclusion, Engelhard has demonstrated compliance of the ETX Plus kit with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard in accordance with the bus program requirements.

3. JM states that EPA should require Engelhard to provide baseline exhaust emission FTP data on the test engines so that the initial PM emissions can be part of the overall assessment of the technology. This includes the baseline exhaust emissions for the 1988 California, and the 1991 model year federal engine. JM's concern is whether the baseline emissions are truly representative. JM asks, ''Or was exceptional care taken in selection of components and in rebuilding the engine that the actual PM emissions were much lower, so that use of only an oxidation catalyst would reduce PM to 0.103 g/bhp-hr?'' EPA should require Engelhard to provide this data to ensure that the test engine is representative.

Engelhard states that such information is not required for certifications because Engelhard is certifying the kit to a certain standard rather than a specific amount of reduction versus a baseline engine.

EPA notes that the emissions data that Engelhard presents in its certification application (signed November 17, 1998) demonstrates compliance with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard in accordance with section 85.1406(a). Additional hot start test data complying with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard is provided in Engelhard's letter to EPA dated December 14, 1998 (supporting documentation for this test is provided in the June 30, 2000 letter to EPA). While multiple tests, including testing of different stages of engine rebuild or different engine configurations, might provide additional comfort regarding the ability of a kit to meet standards, the regulations do not require this level of scrutiny. Indeed, it might be edifying to EPA and others to know the emissions reduction associated with each component of a kit. However, the program regulations are not intended to impose such a burden on a kit certifier. Additionally, as noted previously, EPA has made ''baseline'' engine emissions data available in Table 1 above.

4. JM states that Engelhard should submit baseline data for the 1988 California engine because it is essential to determine whether an oxidation catalyst can theoretically reduce emission on this engine below 0.10 g/bhp-hr level. Also, the selection of a California engine is inappropriate for comparison of fuel economy penalties for Federal engines because the 1988 California NO_X standard (6.0 g/bhp-hr) is lower than the standard (10.7 g/bhp-hr) for federal engines. An engine operating with lower NO_X has higher fuel consumption. By using a baseline engine with high fuel consumption, Engelhard would be able to show a lower fuel penalty when comparing the performance of the ETX kit. EPA should require Engelhard to provide a baseline test of a federal engine for both the 1988—1990 model configuration as well as the 1991—1993 configuration in addition to the California baseline.

Engelhard responds that the baseline is not relevant because Engelhard is certifying a complete rebuild kit that essentially creates a new engine.

EPA notes that there is no specific regulatory requirement to submit data for fuel consumption comparison because Engelhard is not certifying the ETX Plus kit to life cycle cost requirements. However, this information is available for the certification test engine and when the (same serial number) engine was tested as a 1991 model year and 1988 model year California configurations. This data is provided in Table 1 above.

5. JM notes that the calculated PM level, when rounded to three places past the decimal, is 0.103 g/bhp-hr. JM argues that, while rounding is an acceptable practice, rounding off a number that is higher than the specific emissions standard is unacceptable because the standard was not achieved. EPA notes that the relevant PM standard for the Urban Bus Rebuild Program, as stated at 40 CFR 85.1403(b), is 0.10 g/bhp-hr. It is EPA's practice, in the context of its programs measuring exhaust emissions, to use the ''rounding-off method'' stated in American Standards and Testing and Materials (ASTM) Practice E29 entitled ''Using Significant Digits in Test Data to Determine Conformance with Specifications.'' According to this method, the numeric value of the bus program PM standard (0.10) expresses an implied level of precision (that is, two places beyond the decimal point) to which emission calculations are rounded in order to compare to the standard to determine compliance. Therefore, the calculated value of the test data produced for Engelhard's ETX Plus kit (0.103 g/bhp-hr) rounds to 0.10 g/bhp-hr, and this rounded test result complies with the urban bus program standard of 0.10 g/bhp-hr.

6. JM notes that the Engelhard certification testing completed in May 1998 was done on an engine having piston ring set 23522064 (DDC part number). This ring set was superseded by DDC in January 1999 with a piston ring set with DDC part number 23524349. In a telefax to EPA dated September 15, 1998, DDC indicated that this new ring set includes a grooved fire control ring for improved lubrication and states that the new ring carries more oil to the cylinder walls resulting in an increase in oil consumption of 21 percent. (This telefax from DDC to EPA is attached to JM's comments to EPA

dated June 14, 1999.) In the telefax, DDC calculates that the increase in oil consumption will cause an increase in PM by an average of 0.002 g/bhp-hr. JM estimates that the PM increase will be higher from the increased oil consumption than is presented because the Engelhard engine is a 1991 model year and its base emissions are higher than that used in the DDC calculations. JM calculates that with the minimum increase of 0.002 g/bhp-hr, the ETX Plus kit with the new ring set will emit at a PM level of 0.105 g/bhp-hr. JM rounds this to 0.11 g/bhp-hr, and states this clearly does not meet the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard.

Engelhard responds with a calculation, concluding that the increase in PM due to additional oil consumption would be impossible to measure. However, EPA believes that Engelhard has incorrectly assumed that the 0.002 g/bhp-hr is an engine-out increase. Instead, the 0.002 g/bhp-hr value was calculated in an engineering analysis performed by DDC as an average increase in catalyst-out total PM, for the catalysts of three different manufacturers.

EPA notes that DDC's revised cylinder kit (part number 23524343) is for use in the DDC rebuild kits for both DDEC and MUI engines, and expects that the cylinder kits will be also be used in engines rebuilt with the ETX Plus kit. Therefore, EPA believes that the calculations of the DDC engineering analysis that JM references, are relevant to the ETX Plus kit. In its analysis, DDC calculates the increase in catalyst-out PM from its 0.10 kit, due to the increased oil consumption with the new cylinder kit. DDC assumes that the additional oil consumption results in an increase only in the soluble organic fraction (SOF) of the total PM, that the exhaust catalyst will oxidize most of this additional SOF, and that the fuel-derived and soot components of the total PM are not affected by the revised cylinder kit changes. Therefore, EPA knows of no reason why the magnitudes calculated in the DDC analysis would not apply to the Engelhard engine, even though it is configured basically to a 1991 model year. The DDC analysis calculates the total catalyst-out PM increases associated with the catalytic converters of three different manufacturers that might be used with the DDC kit. When the highest catalyst-out PM increase (that is, that associated with the catalyst having the lowest PM conversion efficiency—22 percent) is added to the total PM of the ETX Plus kit (0.103 g/bhp-hr from the Engelhard certification testing), the total PM for the ETX Plus kit is estimated to be 0.105 g/bhp-hr. While EPA does not know the conversion efficiency of the CMX–6 catalytic muffler unit of the ETX Plus kit, EPA expects it to be greater than the 22 percent conversion efficiency used in the DDC analysis for the catalyst with the lowest efficiency. In accordance with the ASTM E29 rounding practice referenced above, the rounded value of 0.105 g/bhp-hr for the ETX Plus kit complies with the urban bus program standard of 0.10 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, the ETX Plus kit when used in conjunction with an engine rebuild using the DDC's new piston ring set, will still meet the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard.

7. JM notes that Engelhard submitted exhaust emission data for a hot-start test that showed a total PM measurement of 0.098 g/bhp-hr. JM states that EPA should require Engelhard to submit the formal data sheet from the test lab before it is used as part of any assessment of the technology. Also, JM notes that it results in a composite FTP PM level of 0.101 g/bhp-hr (presumedly when combined with the cold-start test data from the certification test) to which the minimum value of 0.002 g/bhp-hr PM (the increase from the piston ring set change) must be added. JM states that this results in a final PM level of 0.103 g/bhp-hr that clearly does not meet the requirement for a 0.1 PM standard.

EPA notes that Engelhard, in its letter to EPA dated June 30, 2000, has submitted the formal data sheet from the test laboratory, for the additional hot-start test data. This hot-start data is submitted by Engelhard in support of its previously-submitted certification test data (which consists of cold and hot-start test data). Additionally, while Engelhard has not provided any cold start test data associated with the additional hot-start test, EPA notes that the ''final PM level'' of 0.103 g/bhp-hr mentioned by JM, if rounded per ASTM Practice E29 as has been discussed above, would be in compliance with the urban bus program standard for PM of 0.10 g/bhp-hr.

F. Other Comment

ECS comments that Engelhard has told EPA of Engelhard's intent to withdraw their original 0.10 DDEC II kit (the certification of which is described in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 1998 at 63 FR 50225) from the Urban Bus Program. ECS asks whether Engelhard will guarantee to offer the ETX Plus kit for actual sale to the transit industry. ECS suggests that applicants who have no intention to offer products for sale to the transit industry obviously have other commercial reasons for the application, and that EPA should deny certification to applicants that have no intention to offer the products for sale to the transit industry. Applications for kits that will not be offered for sale are a misuse of the Urban Bus Program. Such applications dilute the EPA's ability to expedite other certification applications. Also, such dilution can result in undue financial hardship to other serious applicants and an unnecessary delay of competitive products to the marketplace.

EPA notes that the program regulations require, for kits certified to life cycle cost requirements, that a certifier guarantee to offer the kit for sale to all operators for less that the applicable life cycle cost. Such information is required, pursuant to 40 CFR 85.1407, only to trigger the program standard of 0.10 g/bhp-hr for applicable engines. Providing life cycle cost information is optional and the related guarantee is not specifically required from certifiers that do not intend their kit to trigger an emission standard. Engelhard has not provided this information for the ETX Plus kit, but EPA has no reason to suspect that Engelhard will not offer the ETX Plus kit to the transit industry. However, EPA agrees with ECS that the urban bus program is intended to certify kits that are to be sold to the transit industry for use on urban bus engines.

IV. California Engines

The NO_X emission standard for new engine certification applicable to 1988 through 1990 model year engines sold in the State of California is 6.0 g/bhp-hr. For 1991 through 1993, the standard is 5.0 g/bhp-hr. The emissions testing presented by Engelhard demonstrate a NO_X emissions level that complies with the 5.0 g/bhp-hr standard. Therefore, today's certification of the ETX Plus kit for DDEC II engines applies to DDEC II engines certified to meet California emissions standards.

The kit certified today may require additional review by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) before use in the State of California. EPA recognizes that special situations may exist in California that are reflected in the unique emissions standards, engine calibrations, and fuel specifications of the State. While requirements of the federal urban bus program apply to several metropolitan areas in California, EPA understands the view of CARB that a kit certified under the urban bus program, to be used in California, must be provided with an executive order exempting it from the anti-tampering prohibitions of that State. Parties interested in additional information should contact the Aftermarket Part Section of CARB, at (818) 575–6848.

V. Certification

EPA has reviewed this application, along with comments received from interested parties, and finds the ETX Plus kit described in the Engelhard application and other relevant documents:

(1) Complies with a PM emissions standard of 0.10 g/bhp-hr, without causing the applicable engine families to exceed other applicable emission requirements;

(2) Will not cause an unreasonable risk to the public health, welfare or safety;

(3) Will not result in any additional range of parameter adjustability; and

(4) Meets other requirements necessary for certification under the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements (40 CFR Sections 85.1401 through 85.1415).

EPA hereby certifies this kit for use in the Urban Bus Retrofit/Rebuild Program. The equipment, the ETX Plus™ Emissions Rebuild Kit, may be used immediately by urban bus operators subject to the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements.

VI. Urban Bus Operator Responsibilities

Today's **Federal Register** document announces certification of the above-described Engelhard kit, when properly applied, as meeting the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard of the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements, for urban bus engines certified as meeting either federal and California emissions standards. Affected urban bus operators that choose to comply with compliance program 1 are required to use this or another kit that is certified to meet the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard, for any engines listed in Table 2 which are rebuilt or replaced after the applicable deadline, as discussed below.

The 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard was triggered on September 21, 1998. As described in a **Federal Register** notice on September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225), EPA certified the ETX–2002™ Emissions Rebuild Kit supplied by the Engelhard Corporation. The ETX kit applies to 1988 through 1993 model year Detroit Diesel Corporation 6V92TA DDEC II engines having electronic fuel control and rated at either 253 or 277 horsepower (hp). That certification means that transit operators using compliance program 1 must use rebuild kits certified to the 0.10 standard when rebuilding or replacing the applicable engines after March 22, 1999 (that is, 6 months after September 21, 1998).

The September 21, 1998 **Federal Register** notice states that certification of Engelhard's ETX kit, as it applies to engines of model years 1988 through 1990, is conditional pending demonstration by Engelhard that any replacement engine control module (ECM) or any replacement ECM program used in conjunction with the kit would not adversely impact the emissions of NO_X. In a letter dated March 2, 1999, to Engelhard, EPA stated that the conditional status was removed and that the ETX kit can be used by transit operators in compliance with the requirement of the rebuild program. In a letter dated March 29, 1999 from EPA's Assistant Administrator for Enforcement and Compliance Assurance to Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, EPA stated that due to confusion surrounding the conditional certification, it will not take action against an operator who does not install 0.10 kits between March 22, 1999 and May 21, 1999. Further, EPA stated in the letter that it will extend this period of no action past May 21, 1999, if the general counsel for a bus operator certifies in writing to EPA that it has exercised due diligence since September 21, 1998, to procure the necessary 0.10 kits, but could not obtain them in time to begin installing 0.10 kits by May 22, 1999. In no event will the period of no action be longer than September 1, 1999. A copy of this letter is located in docket XXV–A located at the above address.

Urban bus operators who choose to comply with compliance program 2 may use the certified Engelhard kit, and those who use this kit may claim the respective PM certification level from Table 2 when calculating their Fleet Level Attained (FLA).

Urban bus operators must be aware of their responsibility for maintenance of records pursuant to 40 CFR Sections 85.1403 through 85.1404. The ETX Plus kit may not include, depending upon model year of the applicable engine, fuel injectors, engine camshafts, cylinder kits, or ECM software. As stated in the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements (40 CFR 85.1401 through 85.1415), operators should maintain records for each engine in their fleet to demonstrate that they are in compliance with the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements beginning on January 1, 1995. These records include purchase records, receipts, and part numbers for the parts and components used in the rebuilding of urban bus engines. Urban bus operators must be able to demonstrate that all components used in the rebuilding of engines are in compliance with program requirements. In other words, urban bus operators must be able to demonstrate that all required components of the kit certified in today's **Federal Register** document are installed on applicable engines.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 00–23775 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6870–3]

Retrofit/Rebuild Requirements for 1993 and Earlier Model Year Urban Buses; Certification of Equipment on the Basis of Life Cycle Cost Criteria

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

ACTION: Notice of certification by EPA of equipment on the basis of compliance with the life cycle cost criteria of the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 40 CFR 85.1407(c), this notice announces the decision of EPA to expand the certification of certain equipment to include compliance with the life cycle cost criteria of the Urban Bus Rebuild Program (40 CFR Part 85, Subpart O).

A **Federal Register** notice dated December 3, 1998 (63 FR 66798) announced that EPA certified the JM CCT™ Upgrade Kit to comply with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr particulate matter (PM) standard of the Urban Bus Rebuild Program. The kit is applicable to 1985 through 1993 model year Detroit Diesel Corporation (DDC) 6V92TA DDEC II urban bus engines having electronic control of fuel injection. That certification is not based on the optional compliance with life cycle cost criteria of the program.

In documents dated January 26, 1999, JM provided life cycle cost information to EPA for the CCT kit, as it applies to engines of model years 1988 through 1993. A **Federal Register** notice (64 FR 11864) dated March 10, 1999, announced that EPA had received the cost information and made it available for public review, and asked for public comment. EPA has reviewed JM's life cycle cost information as well as the comments received, and with today's **Federal Register** notice is expanding certification of the JM equipment to include compliance with the life cycle cost criteria.

Today's **Federal Register** notice announces that JM's certification is expanded to include compliance with the life cycle cost criteria, and would therefore serve to ''trigger'' the 0.10 g/

bhp-hr standard for the applicable engines, if necessary. This is discussed below in additional detail.

The impact of today's action on urban bus operators is discussed further below.

Category XXI of Public Docket A–93–42, entitled ''Certification of Urban Bus Retrofit/Rebuild Equipment'' contains JM's notification of intent to certify, the new cost information, as well as other materials specifically relevant to it. This docket is located at the address below.

DATES: The date of today's **Federal Register** notice, September 15, 2000, is the effective date of certification by EPA of the CCT kit described herein, for compliance with the applicable life cycle cost criteria of the urban bus rebuild program. This certification will obligate JM to offer the equipment meeting the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard within the specified life cycle cost limits discussed below. The impact of today's action on urban bus operators is discussed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Rutledge, Certification and Compliance Division (6403J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460. Telephone: (202) 564–9297. Email Address: rutledge.william@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Program Background

On April 21, 1993, EPA published final Retrofit/Rebuild Requirements for 1993 and Earlier Model Year Urban Buses (58 FR 21359). The retrofit/rebuild program is intended to reduce the ambient levels of particulate matter (PM) in urban areas and is limited to 1993 and earlier model year (MY) urban buses operating in metropolitan areas with 1980 populations of 750,000 or more, whose engines are rebuilt or replaced after January 1, 1995. Operators of the affected buses are required to choose between two compliance options: Program 1 sets particulate matter emissions requirements for each urban bus engine in an operator's fleet which is rebuilt or replaced; Program 2 is a fleet averaging program that establishes specific annual target levels for average PM emissions from urban buses in an operator's fleet. In general, to meet either of the two compliance options, operators of the affected buses must use equipment that has been certified by EPA.

A key aspect of the program is the certification of retrofit/rebuild equipment. Emissions requirements under either of the two compliance options depend on the availability of retrofit/rebuild equipment certified for each engine model. To be used for Program 1, equipment must be certified as meeting a 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard or, if equipment is not certified as meeting the 0.10 standard, as achieving a 25 percent reduction in PM. Equipment used for Program 2 must be certified as providing some level of PM reduction that would in turn be claimed by urban bus operators when calculating their average fleet PM levels attained under the program. For Program 1, information on life cycle costs must be submitted in the notification of intent to certify in order for certification of the equipment to initiate (that is, to ''trigger'') program requirements. To trigger program requirements, the certifier must guarantee that the equipment will be available to all affected operators for a life cycle cost of $7,940 or less at the 0.10 PM level, or for a life cycle cost of $2,000 or less for the 25 percent or greater reduction in PM emissions. Both of these values are based on 1992 dollars and are increments above costs associated with a standard rebuild. If EPA determines that the life cycle cost limit is met, then certification is based on ''life cycle cost'' in addition to reducing PM emissions.

Under program 2, operators calculate their average fleet emissions using specified engine PM emission levels (as well as other factors).

The 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard was triggered on September 21, 1998. As described in a **Federal Register** notice on September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225), EPA certified the ETX–2002™ Emissions Rebuild Kit supplied by the Engelhard Corporation. The ETX kit applies to 1988 through 1993 model year Detroit Diesel Corporation 6V92TA DDEC II engines having electronic fuel control and rated at either 253 or 277 horsepower (hp). That certification means that transit operators using compliance program 1 must use rebuild kits certified to the 0.10 standard when rebuilding or replacing the applicable engines after March 22, 1999 (that is, 6 months after September 21, 1998).

The September 21, 1998 **Federal Register** notice states that certification of Engelhard's ETX kit, as it applies to engines of model years 1988 through 1990, is conditional pending demonstration by Engelhard that any replacement engine control module (ECM) or any replacement ECM program used in conjunction with the kit would not adversely impact the emissions of NO_X. In a letter dated March 2, 1999, to Engelhard, EPA stated that the conditional status was removed and that the ETX kit can be used by transit operators in compliance with the requirement of the rebuild program. In a letter dated March 29, 1999, EPA stated that due to confusion surrounding the conditional certification, it will not take action against an operator who does not install 0.10 kits between March 22, 1999 and May 21, 1999. Further, EPA stated in the letter that it will extend this period of no action past May 21, 1999, if the general counsel for a bus operator certifies in writing to EPA that it has exercised due diligence since September 21, 1998, to procure the necessary 0.10 kits, but could not obtain them in time to begin installing 0.10 kits by May 22, 1999.

Certification of the JM CCT kit as complying with the life cycle cost criteria will not establish new requirements for operators. This is discussed further in Section V below.

II. Information Concerning Cost and Availability

EPA announced certification of the JM CCT Upgrade Kit in the **Federal Register** on December 3, 1998 (63 FR 66798). That certification is based on compliance with the 0.10 standard, but without determination of compliance with the optional life cycle cost criteria. That certification was described as ''conditional'' for some engine applications, pending a demonstration that any replacement ECM or ECM program used in conjunction with the certified kit would not adversely impact the emissions of NO_X in comparison to the ECM or ECM program that is replaced. DDC provided information that allowed EPA to remove the conditional status of the certification. Therefore, in a letter to JM dated March 2, 1999, EPA removed the conditional status and stated that the CCT Kit can be used by bus operators in compliance with requirements of the Urban Bus Rebuild Program.

In documents signed January 26, 1999, JM provided life cycle cost information in a revised section 6 of their notification of intent to certify the CCT Upgrade Kit. JM presents data in support of their claim that the life cycle cost of the CCT kit is less than $7,940 (in 1992 dollars) incremental to the cost of a standard rebuild. A **Federal Register** notice (64 FR 11864) dated March 10, 1999, announced that EPA had received the cost information and was making it available for public review and public comment.

III. Summary and Analysis of Comments

Detroit Diesel Corporation (DDC), the original manufacturer of the bus engines to which the CCT kit applies, was the

only party to provide comments. The following summarizes DDC's comments, JM's responses to the comments, and EPA's position on the issues raised by the comments.

a. DDC notes that JM states that the cost of the reprogramming, if ECM reprogramming is necessary, would be included in the price of the kit. However, JM does not explain how DDC distributors and dealers, who would be doing the reprogramming, would be compensated for the reprogramming if the reprogramming fee is paid to JM as part of the kit price. The reprogramming fee is typically collected by the distributor when the service is performed.

In response, JM states that it intends to reprogram ECMs at its facilities at Stewart & Stevenson (a DDC distributor as well as JM distributor). JM's payment for reprogramming will be by JM to Stewart & Stevenson and will remain imbedded in the price of those kits that require the ECM program (that is, the certification word code, CWC) to be changed.

Regarding DDC's point, EPA requested JM to include the price of the reprogramming in the purchase price of the kit to insure that the price of the kit includes all components that are not part of a standard rebuild (it is thereby accounted for in the life cycle cost analysis). In this way, bus operators will not be faced with the potential for additional costs above the purchase price of the kit, for an emission-related component.

b. DDC also states that JM should identify those engines that will receive ECM reprogramming. Further, DDC believes that the ECM reprogramming costs should be shown separately from the CCT hardware costs.

JM states that all 1985 through 1990 model year engines, and any 1991 through 1993 model year engine with a CWC other than 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, or 264, will be required to upgrade its CWC. Also, as noted previously, EPA requested JM to include the price of the reprogramming in the purchase price of the kit.

c. DDC notes that JM's test of the CCT kit consisted of a cold-start test followed by two hot-start tests. To calculate the fuel consumption (that is, brake specific fuel consumption, BSFC, measured in units of pounds of fuel per brake-horsepower-hour) of the CCT kit, JM combined the cold-start test with the second hot-start test, and completely ignored the first hot-start test, even though it is valid. DDC states that it sees no justification for ignoring the valid first hot-start test. DDC states that the first hot-start test should be used (in conjunction with the cold-start test), unless JM provides some sound rationale for bypassing it.

In reply, JM states that after a cold-start test, the test laboratory routinely conducts two hot-start tests in the event that the first is invalid. JM contends that, because the second hot-start is a valid test, it can be used to calculate the fuel consumption.

EPA notes that it is not improper to use the second hot-start test if the first test is not valid. However, in this situation, the first test has been presented as valid and, was used by JM to demonstrate compliance with the 0.10 standard in its certification application dated March 6, 1998. EPA notes that it is consistent with the regulations governing the transient test procedure to use the first hot-start test. 40 CFR 86.1327–90 (''Engine dynamometer test procedures; overview'') establishes the basic sequence for the transient engine test, and 86.1330–90 shows a diagram of the overall test sequence. Section 86.1336–84 (''Engine starting, restarting, and shutdown'') provides direction for re-running the hot-cycle when the test sequence is impacted by engine stalls and malfunctions in the required test equipment. In no case are there provisions in the Part 86 test procedures for the arbitrary selection of hot-start cycles. Use of a valid first hot-start test is consistent with EPA's procedures in other, new engine, test programs (for example, during selective enforcement audits). Therefore, EPA is using the first hot-start with the cold start test to determine the composite fuel consumption of engines equipped with the CCT kit. For the purposes of the analysis discussed below, the fuel consumption value of engines equipped with the CCT kit is 0.489 lb/bhp-hr.

d. DDC notes that JM presents baseline fuel consumption data for 1991 through 1993 model year engines. After comparing this to the fuel consumption for the CCT kit, JM notes a 0.4 percent difference, but dismisses the difference as being ''within accepted experimental error.'' DDC notes that the urban bus regulation (40 CFR 85.1404) does not include provisions for ''rounding off'' or ignoring differences that may be the result of testing uncertainty. DDC states that the BSFC difference reflected by the actual test data is the best estimate of the fuel consumption impact of the CCT kit, and must be used in computing the life cycle cost.

In response, JM states that a fuel consumption difference of 0.4 percent is within the ''experimental'' error of the test cells at the laboratory. JM also states that it is following the customary practice of EPA in rounding down numbers.

EPA has no customary practice of ''rounding down'' test data. Additionally, DDC is correct in that the program regulation does not address rounding of numbers or ignoring differences when assigned to ''experimental'' (that is, test-to-test) error. However, the issue specifically related to the 0.4 percent is no longer relevant because the fuel consumption value determined by EPA for the CCT kit, as discussed previously, is not the value based on the second hot-start test as JM presents in its analysis. The impact of any difference in fuel consumption, of course, remains relevant with regard to determining the life cycle cost of the kit. EPA is not familiar with any analyses of the test-to-test error of the laboratory at which the testing was conducted.

EPA believes that it is reasonable to determine (and apply) the fuel consumption impact to one-tenth of a percentage point. This is consistent with the practice used during the certification process of the Engelhard ETX kit. The impact of fuel consumption is discussed below in the section titled ''EPA Determination of Life Cycle Cost''.

e. JM separately evaluates the fuel consumption impact of its kit on 1990 model year engines because the NO_X standard for the 1990 model year dropped from 10.7 to 6.0 g/bhp-hr. JM states that it is accepted in industry that reductions in NO_X are achieved at the expense of fuel consumption. For evaluating the impact, JM cites original DDC new engine certification data to establish a baseline fuel consumption for 1990 model year engines, and compares this data with the fuel consumption of the CCT kit to determine the impact of the CCT on these engines. DDC notes that in 1990, it only produced engines configured to operate on diesel fuel #1, and to compare this data with data run with the CCT using diesel fuel #2, as JM has done, results in a biased and inappropriate comparison because the energy content of diesel #1 is about 1 percent higher than diesel #2. Therefore, DDC states that it is appropriate to correct the 1990 baseline fuel consumption upward by 1 percent to correct for fuel type differences before making the fuel consumption evaluation.

In response, JM recognizes the accuracy of DDC's statement and concurs that the 1990 model year engine's baseline fuel consumption should be increased by 1 percent.

EPA notes that the comment and response by JM are no longer specifically relevant. While in general it appears to be reasonable to determine the impact of fuel consumption based on grouping together those engines having the same NO_X standard (such as the 1988 California engines and 1990 federal engines), EPA does not rely on the specific test data from the original DDC new engine certification. The impact of fuel consumption is discussed below in the section titled ''EPA Determination of Life Cycle Cost''.

f. JM also separately evaluates the fuel consumption impact of its kit on 1988 and 1989 model year California engines because the NO_X standard in California for those years is 6.0 g/bhp-hr, and JM states that it is a widely accepted fact in the industry that there is a trade off between NO_X and fuel consumption. JM notes that the fuel consumption of the 1988 and 1989 model year California engines would have been comparable to the 1990 model year federal engine because all had the same 6.0 g/bhp-hr NO_X standard. For evaluating the impact, JM did not develop baseline data, but instead cites data supplied by Engelhard Corporation in its application for certification of its ETX kit. Engelhard tested a 1988 6V92TA DDEC II California configuration to establish a baseline fuel consumption for the 1988–1989 California engines of 0.481 lb/bhp-hr. JM compares this data with the fuel consumption of the CCT kit to determine the impact of the CCT kit on these engines. DDC notes that the original DDC certification testing of the 1988 California 6V92 DDEC engine rated at 277 horsepower yielded fuel consumption of 0.462 lb/bhp-hr.

In response, JM argues that the fuel consumption of 0.481 lb/bhp-hr, developed for Engelhard using a 1988 California engine, is more ''real life'' than DDC's value of 0.462 lb/bhp-hr for the same engine, and therefore appropriate for evaluating the impact of its CCT kit.

While DDC has not provided any background information on its test of the 1988 California certification engine, EPA expects that it was conducted in a DDC test cell in 1987. However, EPA believes that it is reasonable to compare data that is developed at the same laboratory. Therefore, to determine the fuel consumption impact, EPA is relying on the 0.481 lb/bhp-hr (developed for Engelhard) because it was conducted at the same test laboratory (Southwest Research Institute, SwRI) as the CCT test. The impact of fuel consumption is discussed below in the section titled ''EPA Determination of Life Cycle Cost''.

g. DDC notes that for the urban bus rebuild certification of their own 25 percent reduction kit for 6V92 DDEC engines, they provided fuel consumption values of 0.449 and 0.470 lb/bhp-hr for 1988 and 1991 model year engines, respectively. While both of these values are lower than the corresponding values developed by JM, the fuel consumption penalty (from the 1988 to 1991 model year) is about 5 percent in both cases (actually 4.7 percent based on EPA calculation). DDC states that the fuel consumption penalty that JM develops for the impact of the CCT kit on 1988 and 1989 model year federal engines appears appropriate, but when additional differences between the CCT kit and the 1991 baseline are accounted for, DDC believes that the actual fuel consumption penalty is approximately 6.5 percent.

JM responded that it stands by its analysis that shows there is no fuel consumption penalty associated with upgrading a 1991 model year DDEC engine to a CCT Upgrade Kit configuration, and would agree to use DDC's 5 percent penalty for upgrading 1988 and 1989 model year engines to the CCT kit configuration.

EPA notes that the specific fuel consumption penalty of 4.7 percent (to which DDC and JM refer as 5 percent), is based on comparing data from 1988 and 1991 model year engines that DDC developed for its original new engine certification. However, the data do not represent engine configurations of the same horsepowers, and neither test represents actual use of the CCT kit. To calculate the fuel consumption penalty for the CCT kit, EPA believes that it is reasonable to compare data from the testing conducted for JM on the CCT kit and the engine rebuilt to a 1991 model year configuration. Both tests were conducted for JM using engines of the same horsepower (277 Hp) in the same test cell of the same laboratory. As discussed later in the section titled ''EPA Determination of Life Cycle Cost,'' our analysis shows that a 6.5 percent fuel consumption penalty is appropriate.

IV. EPA Determination of Life Cycle Cost

Section 85.1403(b)(1)(ii) describes the elements that must be considered when analyzing life cycle cost of equipment, including equipment purchase price, incremental fuel cost, installation costs, maintenance costs, and costs of any fuel additives required. To trigger the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard, the life cycle cost of equipment can be no more than the limit of $7,940 (in 1992 dollars), incremental to the cost of a standard rebuild.

In this section, EPA analyzes the life cycle costs using a methodology similar to that described in both the **Federal Register** notice of March 14, 1997 (62 FR 12166), which describes the certification of Engelhard's ETX kit applicable to DDC's 6V92TA engines with mechanical unit injectors (MUI), and the **Federal Register** notice of September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225), which describes the certification of Engelhard's ETX kit applicable to DDC's 6V92TA engines with electronic unit injectors (DDEC).

The analysis first determines the cost of a ''weighted'' rebuild because the kit is used in conjunction with a standard rebuild and contains parts that are typically replaced during a standard rebuild. The weighted rebuild considers that all operators do not rebuild engines the same way, and therefor reflects, on a weighted basis, that some operators rebuild using non-original equipment parts and some operators rebuild certain components in-house. For the weighted rebuild, cost information is ''corrected'' to a 1992 time-frame, which is the time period for which the life cycle cost limit of $7,940 of the regulation is based. EPA then uses the cost of a weighted rebuild for determining an offset for the parts supplied in the CCT kit (that is, JM's first supply option) that are typically replaced during a standard rebuild. The offset is then added to any additional installation costs and fuel penalty, to determine a maximum purchase price such that the life cycle cost of the equipment meets the life cycle cost limit. In other words, in order to comply with the life cycle cost criteria, the maximum purchase price, when added to the fuel consumption penalty and additional installation cost, and offset for parts in the CCT kit, can be no more than $7,940 (in 1992 dollars), incremental to the cost of a standard rebuild. In the final step, the maximum purchase price in 1992 dollars is converted to current value using the appropriate consumer price indices.

A. Cost of a Weighted Rebuild

The life cycle cost analysis is based on JM's first supply option, as described in the December 3, 1998 **Federal Register** notice, because only one supply option needs to comply with the life cycle cost criteria and, the first supply option provides virtually all emissions-related components typically replaced during a standard rebuild. In the first supply option, JM is to provide the following parts: CEM II catalytic muffler, patented engine camshafts, CCT cylinder kits, 0.015 offset key, fuel

injectors, 40T blower gear, turbo charger, blower assembly, blower bypass valve, and if necessary, the ECM program. The cylinder heads and gasket kit are not included with the CCT kit because these parts, although typically replaced during a standard rebuild, are the same regardless of model year.

JM notes that the CCT kit is sold to complement a standard engine rebuild. The balance of the specified parts for the standard rebuild (excluding standard cams) can be acquired from traditional DDC parts sources. A cost offset is provided in the analysis for the parts in the CCT kit that are normally replaced during a standard engine rebuild. The costs for the parts normally replaced during a standard engine rebuild has been previously determined for certification of the Engelhard's ETX kit (63 FR 50225; September 21, 1998).

As explained in the September 21, 1998 **Federal Register**, for the determination of the cost of a weighted rebuild, EPA assumes that some parts used in the rebuild of some engines are original equipment (OE) parts, others are non-OE parts, and that some transits re-manufacture certain components in-house. Table 1 below summarizes the cost of a weighted rebuild as presented in the September **Federal Register**, and indicates the parts costs that are offset because they are provided in the CCT kit for the first supply option.

TABLE 1.—PARTS NORMALLY REPLACED DURING STANDARD REBUILD

[1992 dollars]

Items	Weighted rebuild[1]	Parts offset by CCT kit
1—Cylinder Kit	1,540	1,540
2—Gasket Kit	147	.....
3—Fuel Injectors	1,450	1,450
4—LB Camshaft	606	606
5—RB Camshaft	519	519
6—Blower Ass'y	302	302
7—Turbo Ass'y	424	424
8—Heads Ass'y	1,079	.....
9—ECM Program	([2])	([2])
Totals	6,067	4,841

[1] As determined on September 21, 1998 (63 FR 50225) in conjunction with certification of the Engelhard ETX kit for DDEC engines.
[2] Not required.

EPA received no comments regarding the costs related to the standard or weighted rebuild. Therefore, EPA continues to use the costs from the **Federal Register** notice developed for the Engelhard certifications indicated above. There may be uncertainties and assumptions involved with this ''weighted'' approach, but EPA believes that, based on the available information, the cost of a standard rebuild of a DDC 6V92TA DDEC engine is best approximated by the weighted rebuild costs shown above in Table 1, for the purposes of determining the maximum purchase price for the CCT Upgrade Kit.

B. Incremental Fuel Cost

Life cycle costs can be impacted by the fuel consumption associated with the use of retrofit equipment using diesel fuel. A review of test data is used to determine any fuel consumption penalty. As noted above, EPA gives preference to data developed for JM, based on engines of 277 horsepower, and tested at the same laboratory. Table 2 below lists the test data that is used to make the determination of incremental fuel cost for the CCT kit.

TABLE 2.—BASELINE AND CCT FUEL CONSUMPTION DATA

Engine description (Hp)	NO_X level/NO_X Std	Test laboratory	Tested for	Test date	BSFC[1]	BSFC penalty (percent)
CCT Kit (277)	5.0/5.0	SwRI, cell 16	JM	01/07/98	0.489	
1991 50-state (277)	4.9/5.0	SwRI, cell 16	JM	02/13/98	0.483	1.2
1988 Calif (277)	5.5/6.0	SwRI, cell 7	Engelhard	02/19/97	0.481	1.7
1988 fed (277)	8.4/10.7	SwRI, cell 16	JM	03/05/97	0.459	6.5

[1] Brake-specific fuel consumption measured in units of pounds of fuel per brake horsepower-hour (lb/bhp-hr).

EPA determines the fuel consumption impact of the CCT kit on three test engines, each complying with a distinct NO_X exhaust emission standard. The NO_X standard for all 1991 through 1993 model year engines is 5.0 g/bhp-hr. The standard for 1988 through 1990 California engines and 1990 federal engines is 6.0 g/bhp-hr. The standard for 1988 and 1989 federal engines is 10.7 g/bhp-hr. Data provided by JM with its application for certification dated March 6, 1998, indicate that CCT-equipped engines comply with the 5.0 g/bhp-hr NO_X standard and therefore the CCT kit can be used on engines originally certified to comply with any of the noted standards. EPA recognizes that the available fuel consumption data is limited, but believes it adequate for the purpose of determining the life cycle cost analysis.

As discussed above, EPA is using the first hot-start in conjunction with the cold-start test, to determine the fuel consumption of the engine equipped with the CCT kit. Therefore, the purposes of this analysis, the fuel consumption of CCT-equipped engines is taken as 0.489 lb/bhp-hr.

The test of the engine configured to a 1991 model year configuration indicates a baseline fuel consumption of 0.483 lb/

bhp-hr. Therefore, EPA calculates that the CCT kit, on 1991 through 1993 model year engines, increases fuel consumption by 1.2 percent.

EPA believes that it is reasonable to determine the impact of fuel consumption on all engines meeting the same 6.0 g/hp-hr NO_X standard, which includes the 1988 through 1990 California engines and the 1990 federal engines. JM did not conduct a baseline test on an engine that was designed to 6.0 g/bhp-hr NO_X standard. However, data available from testing a 1988 model year California engine at Southwest Research Institute for Engelhard, indicates a baseline value of 0.481 lb/bhp-hr for engines designed to the 6.0 g/bhp-hr standard. Therefore, EPA calculates that the CCT kit, on 1988 through 1990 California engines and 1990 federal engines, increases fuel consumption by 1.7 percent.

The test conducted for JM on the 1988 model year federal engine indicate a baseline fuel consumption of 0.459 lb/bhp-hr. Comparing this value with the CCT fuel consumption of 0.489 lb/bhp-hr, indicates a fuel consumption penalty of 6.5 percent when upgrading a 1988 model year engine to the CCT kit.

The impacts on fuel consumption are summarized below in Table 3 along with the increased life cycle fuel costs calculated pursuant to the formula prescribed at 40 CFR 85.1403(b)(1). The impact of the fuel consumption penalty on life cycle costs is included in the summary below.

TABLE 3.—FUEL CONSUMPTION IMPACT OF CCT UPGRADE KIT

[1992 dollars]

Applicable engine model year	NO_X Standard (g/bhp-hr)	Fuel consumption impact (percent)	Fuel penalty per 40 CFR 85.1403(b)(1) (in 1992 $)
1991–1993 50-state	5.0	1.2	338
1988–1990 Calif & 1990 50-state	6.0	1.7	479
1988–1989 fed	10.7	6.5	1,831

C. Installation Costs

As defined at 40 CFR 85.1403 (b)(1)(ii)(B), the installation cost of certified equipment is ''the labor cost of installing the equipment on an urban bus engine, incremental to a standard rebuild, based on a labor rate of $35 per hour'' (in 1992 dollars). JM states that the labor required to rebuild an engine will be the same for a standard rebuild and the CCT kit, with the exception of the additional labor required for installation of the CEM II catalytic muffler. The CEM II installation is essentially identical to the replacement of an OE muffler, and will not exceed 2 hours labor. Using the labor rate of $35.00 per hour, as specified at 40 CFR 85.1403, the two hours is valued at $70 (in 1992 dollars) and is incremental to the cost of a standard rebuild.

D. Maintenance Costs

JM states that the CCT kit requires no maintenance for the CEM II and no additional maintenance above and beyond normal DDC maintenance requirements for a standard rebuild. EPA has no information to conclude that any additional maintenance is necessary for the CEM II catalyst muffler, or would increase life cycle costs. Therefore, no additional maintenance costs are listed for the CCT kit.

E. Costs of Fuel Additives

No fuel additives are required for the CCT kit.

F. Total Life Cycle Cost

As noted previously, the regulation at 40 CFR 85.1403 requires that the life cycle cost be no more than $7,940 (in 1992 dollars) incremental to the cost of a standard rebuild, for equipment that triggers the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard. Table 4 below itemizes the life cycle cost elements determined above for the CCT kit for each of the following groups of applicable engines: 1991 through 1993 model year 50-state engines, 1988–1990 model year California and 1990 model year federal engines, and 1988–1989 model year federal (49-state) engines. The maximum purchase price shown in Table 4 is determined by adjusting the life cycle cost ceiling for the parts offset, installation cost, and fuel penalty.

TABLE 4.—SUMMARY OF LIFE CYCLE COSTS FOR THE CCT KIT

[1992 dollars]

	Applicable engine model year		
	1991–1993 50-state	1988–1990 Calif & 1990 fed	1988–1989 fed
Maximum Purchase Price	12,373	12,232	10,880
Offset for CCT parts normally replaced during a std rebuild	(4,841)	(4,841)	(4, 841)
Installation Cost	70	70	70
Fuel Penalty	338	479	1,831
Total Life Cycle Cost	7,940	7,940	7,940

Table 4 displays the maximum purchase prices for the CCT kits, in 1992 dollars. The total life cycle cost is the sum of the listed items. An ''offset'' (that is, a credit) is provided to the life cycle cost of the CCT kit because certain components provided in the kit offset costs for parts which otherwise are replaced during a standard engine rebuild. The values for the individual rebuild parts that are offset by the CCT kit parts, are listed previously in conjunction with the determination of a weighted rebuild and itemized in Table 1. To determine the incremental life cycle cost, these ''offset'' costs are subtracted, as shown in Table 4. As shown in the table, the total incremental

life cycle cost is no more than the ceiling specified in the program regulations, $7,940 in 1992 dollars. Current values of the maximum purchase prices are discussed below.

G. Current Maximum Purchase Price for the CCT Upgrade Kit

Table 6 below shows the maximum purchase price (in 1992 dollars) as determined above. The current (August 1999) maximum purchase prices are also shown in Table 6, and are calculated using a multiplicative ratio of Consumer Price Indices (CPI). Table 5 below lists the relevant CPIs. The average CPI for 1992 is 140.3, as specified by the program regulation. The August 1999 CPI, for all items and all urban consumers, is 167.1. These CPI values are provided by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE 5.—CONSUMER PRICE INDICES

Average CPI for	CPI
1992	140.3
August 1999	167.1

TABLE 6.—CURRENT MAXIMUM CCT KIT PURCHASE PRICE

Applicable engine model year	1992 maximum purchase price	August 1999 maximum purchase price
1991–1993 50-state	$12,373	$14,736
1988–1990 Calif & 1990 fed	12,232	14,569
1988–1989 fed	10,880	12,958

JM, in a letter to EPA dated October 22, 1999, guarantees to make CCT Upgrade kits available to all affected urban bus operators for no more than the maximum August 1999 purchase prices shown in Table 6.

V. Impact on Transit Operator

Today's **Federal Register** notice announces that the JM CCT Upgrade Kit is certified to comply with the optional life cycle cost criteria of the Urban Bus Rebuild Program. EPA has reviewed the available information and comments received to determine that there is adequate demonstration of compliance with the life cycle cost criteria of 40 CFR 85.1403(b) and 85.1407(a).

Affected urban bus operators who choose to comply with compliance program 1 are currently required to use equipment certified to meet the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard. As discussed above, this current requirement has been previously triggered by certification of equipment supplied by the Engelhard Corporation.

The Johnson Matthey CCT kit has already been certified to comply with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard and can be used by all operators towards compliance with the current urban bus program requirements. Operators that choose to comply with compliance program 2 and use this equipment would claim the PM certification level for the CCT kit (0.10 g/bhp-hr) when calculating their Fleet Level Attained (FLA).

If the current trigger of the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard becomes ineffective, then certification of JM's CCT Upgrade Kit on the basis of life cycle cost would trigger program requirements for bus operators that have chosen to comply with program 1, to use equipment certified to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard when applicable engines are rebuilt or replaced. The requirement would be effective for any applicable engine rebuilt or replaced six months after September 15, 2000.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 00–23776 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS–140286; FRL–6741–7]

Access to Confidential Business Information by SecTek Incorporated

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized its contractor SecTek, Incorporated of Herndon, Virginia access to information which has been submitted to EPA under all sections of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Access to the confidential data submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA occurred as a result of an approved waiver dated August 2, 2000, which requested granting SecTek immediate access to all sections of TSCA CBI. This waiver was necessary to allow SecTek to maintain the day-to-day operations of all security hardware, access controls, and alarm equipment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara A. Cunningham, Acting Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 554–1404, TDD: (202) 554–0551; e-mail address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Does this Notice Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to ''those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).'' Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

II. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document or Other Related Documents?

Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. To access this document, on the Home Page select ''Laws and Regulations'', ''Regulations and Proposed Rules,'' and then look up the entry for this document under the ''**Federal Register**—Environmental Documents.'' You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

III. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Under contract number 68–W–00–104, contractor SecTek, Incorporated of 208 Eden St., Suite 201, Herndon, VA, will assist the Office of Pollution

Prevention and Toxics (OPPTS) by maintaining the day-to-day operations of all security hardware, access controls, and alarm equipment.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number 68–W–00–104, SecTek will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract.

SecTek personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under all sections of TSCA that EPA may provide SecTek access to these CBI materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters.

SecTek will be authorized access to TSCA CBI at EPA Headquarters only, in accordance with the EPA *TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual*.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until June 30, 2005.

SecTek personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Confidential business information.

Dated: September 6, 2000.

Allan S. Abramson,

Director, Information Management Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 00–23781 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6610–8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564–7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed September 04, 2000 Through September 08, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000315, Draft EIS, TVA, TN, Future Water Supply Needs in the Upper Duck River Basin, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Bedford, Marshall, Maury and Williamson Counties, TN, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Linda B. Oxendine (865) 632–3440.

EIS No. 000316, Draft Supplement, BLM, NV, Betze-Post Project, Updated Information, Dewatering Operations and a Proposed Pipeline, Elko and Eureka Counties, NV, Due: November 14, 2000, Contact: Kirk Laird (775) 753–0272.

EIS No. 000317, Draft Supplement, COE, MS, Yazoo Basin Reformulation Study, Supplement No: 1 To the 1982 Yazoo Area Pump Project, Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, Yazoo Basin, MS and LA, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Gary Young (601) 631–5960.

EIS No. 000318, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, El Luky Duk Gold Suction Dredging, Proposal to Mine Gold, Plan-of-Operation, Implementation, Nez Perce National Forest, Red River Ranger District, ID, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Kevin Martin (208) 842–2245.

EIS No. 000319, Draft EIS, BOR, NM, Rio Granda and Low Flow Conveyance Channel Modification Channel System, From Rio Grande Valley between San Acacia Diversion Dam, NM and the Narrows of Elephant Butte Reservoir, NM, Due: November 07, 2000, Contact: Chris Gorbach (505) 348–5351.

EIS No. 000320, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, Chugach National Forest, Proposed Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Glacier, Seward and Cordora Ranger Districts, Kenai Peninsula Borough, AK, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Dave Gibbons (907) 271–2500.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 000286, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Lassen Volcanic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Lassen, Plumas, Shasta and Tehama Counties, CA, Due: October 31, 2000, Contact: Alan Schmierer (415) 427–1441.

Published FR–8–25–00, Correction to agency from GSA to NPS.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–23809 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6610–9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–FRA–A54035–00 Rating EC2, Programmatic—Maglev Deployment Program, Development and Construction of an Operating Public Transportation System using Magnetic Levitation, Grants Issuance, CA, FL, GA, LA, MD, NV and PA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns relating to disclosure of the existing state of knowledge regarding the safety and health effects and general operating characteristics of Maglev technology,

ERP No. D–FTA–L54004–WA Rating LO, Sound Transit, Lakewood-to-Tacoma Commuter Rail and WA–512 Park and Ride Expansion, Construction and Operation, Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, City of Tacoma and City of Lakewood, WA.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D–NPS–K60030–CA Rating EC2, Legislative EIS—Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Homeland, To Establish a Permanent Tribal Land Base and Related Cooperative Activities, The Transfer of Federal Land and Acquisition of Private Land, Death Valley National Park, Saline Valley, CA and Lida Ranch near Lida, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding the range of alternatives and the lack of information on the reasonably foreseeable future development (RFFD) on proposed lands for transfer in trust. EPA requested more information on RFFD, that the alternatives section criteria be included in the body of the document, that alternatives be presented in comparative format, and that the Tribal and Federal agencies commit to the development of a comprehensive plan in the Record of Decision (ROD).

ERP No. DR–COE–K90029–CA Rating EC2, Delta Wetlands Project,

Construction and Operation Revised Information for the Water Storage Project on Four Islands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Approval of Permits, San Joaquin and Contra Costa Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns that the project will yield degradation of Delta water with respect to its beneficial use as a source for drinking water. EPA requested that more stringent criteria for total organic carbons be used as a goal in the FEIS.

ERP No. DS–COE–K36083–CA Rating EC2, Guadalupe River Flood Control Plan, Improvement, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding effects on the aquatic environment associated with the potential release of mercury-contaminated sediments and with the degradation and loss wetlands and riparian habitat in the Guadalupe River watershed. EPA urged the Corps and the local project sponsor to avoid and minimize such impacts to the fullest extent and identify appropriate mitigation measures.

ERP No. DS–FHW–L40198–WA Rating EC2, North Spokane Freeway Project, Improvements Transportation through the City of Spokane and Spokane County between I–90, Spokane County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding potential wetland impacts and the lack of analysis of proposed road building activities associated with possible hazardous waste impacts.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–FHW–G40154–TX, Loop 1 Extension Project, From Farm-to-Market Road FM–734 (Palmer Lander) to I–35, Funding, Travis and Williamson Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA finds that the final EIS responded reasonably to EPA's comments on the draft EIS, therefore EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F–FHW–K40163–CA, CA–238 Construction, near Industrial Parkway to CA–238/I–580 Interchange, Funding, and 404 Permit, City of Hayward, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed continuing objections to the environmental impacts of induced travel demand and cumulative impacts generated by the proposed project. EPA requested monitoring and mitigation for induced travel demand and the implementation of controls to prevent growth inducement.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–23810 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–01–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6869–7]

Intent To Grant an Exclusive Patent License

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of intent to grant an exclusive patent license.

SUMMARY: This is a correction and reissue of the notice of intent to grant an exclusive license originally published in the August 29, 2000 issue of the **Federal Register** (65 FR 52426). The deadline for comments is also extended. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 207 and 37 CFR part 404, EPA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant an exclusive, royalty-bearing revocable license to practice the invention described and claimed in the patent application listed below, all U.S. patents issuing therefrom, all corresponding patents granted and issued throughout the world, and all reexamined patents and reissued patents granted in connection with such patent application to Horiba Instruments, Incorporated, Irvine, California. The patent application is:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/226,920, entitled ''Real-Time On-Road Vehicle Exhaust Gas Modular Flowmeter and Emissions Reporting System,'' filed January 5, 1999.

The invention was announced as being available for licensing in the March 1, 1999 issue of the **Federal Register** (60 FR 20490). The proposed exclusive license will contain appropriate terms, limitations and conditions to be negotiated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209 and the U.S. Government patent licensing regulations at 37 CFR part 404.

EPA will negotiate the final terms and conditions and grant the exclusive license, unless within 60 days from the date of this Notice, EPA receives, at the address below, written objections to the grant, together with supporting documentation. The documentation from objecting parties having an interest in practicing the above patent application should include an application for exclusive or nonexclusive license with the information set forth in 37 CFR 404.8. The EPA Patent Counsel and other EPA officials will review all written responses and then make recommendations on a final decision to the Director and Deputy Director, Office of Transportation Air Quality, both of whom have been delegated the authority to issue patent licenses under 35 U.S.C. 207.

DATES: Comments to this notice must be received by EPA at the address listed below by November 14, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan Ehrlich, Patent Counsel, Office of General Counsel (Mail Code 2377A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone (202) 564–5457.

Dated: September 7, 2000.

Marla E. Diamond,

Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23777 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

September 8, 2000.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before November 14,

2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commissions, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1–A804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No.: 3060–0757.

Title: FCC Auctions Customer Survey.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households; Business or other for profit.

Number of Respondents: 2,000.

Estimate Hour Per Response: .25 hours per response (2,000 x .25 hrs = 500 hrs.).

Total Annual Burden: 500 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: None.

Frequency of Response: Reporting; On occasion.

Needs and Uses: The information will be used by the Commission to evaluate the competitive bidding methodologies and other operational processes used to date and to improve these techniques for use in future auctions.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0774.

Title: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96–45 (47 CFR Part 54)

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension.

Respondents: Business or Other for Profit; Not for Profit Institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 5,735,638.

Estimated Time Per Response: .34 hrs (avg.).

Total Annual Burden: 1,984,119 Hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; Quarterly, Annually, Every five years, Third Party Disclosure, Recordkeeping .

Needs and Uses: Congress directed the Commission to implement a new set of universal service support mechanisms that are explicit and sufficient to advance the universal service principles enumerated in 47 U.S.C. Section 254 and other such principles as the Commission believes are necessary and appropriate for the protection of the public interest, convenience and necessity, and are consistent with the Act. Part 54 promulgates the rules and requirements to preserve and advance universal service. The collections are necessary to implement Section 254.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0810.

Title: Procedures for Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension.

Respondents: Business or Other for Profit.

Number of Respondents: 110.

Estimated Time Per Response: 56.3 Hour (avg.).

Total Annual Burden: 6200 Hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; Third Party Disclosure.

Needs and Uses: 47 U.S.C. Section 214(e)(6) states that a telecommunications carrier that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a state may request that the Commission determine whether it is eligible. The Commission must evaluate whether such telecommunications carriers meet the eligibility criteria set forth in the Act, specified in the Public Notice, and also the Order issued in CC Docket 96–45 (FCC 00–208). Carriers seeking designation for service provided on non-tribal lands must provide an affirmative statement from a court of competent jurisdiction or the state commission that the state lacks jurisdiction over the carrier.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23690 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

September 8, 2000.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. For further information contact Shoko B. Hair, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418–1379.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060–0848.

Expiration Date: 03/31/2001.

Title: Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, CC Docket No. 98–147.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 1700 respondents; 95.76 hours per response (avg.).; 162,800 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; Third Party Disclosure.

Description: In the Advanced Services First Report and Order, issued in CC Docket 98–147, the Commission adopted measures to facilitate the development of competition in the advanced services market. These measures include strengthened collocation rules adopted pursuant to section 251(c)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended which imposes a statutory duty on incumbent local exchange carriers to provide collocation to requesting telecommunications carriers. Recently in an Order on Reconsideration issued in this proceeding, the Commission further strengthens its collocation rules. The following collections of information are necessary to implement Section 251 and to Congress's goal of promoting innovation and investment by all participating in the telecommunications marketplace, in order to stimulate competition for all services, including advanced services. In furtherance of this goal, the Commission imposes certain collections of information on incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs), seeking to deploy advanced services in order to assist incumbent LECs in protecting network integrity.

a. Processing of Collocation Applications. Where neither the state nor the parties to an interconnection agreement set a different deadline, an incumbent LEC must tell the requesting telecommunications carrier whether a collocation application has been accepted or denied within ten calendar days after receiving the application. If the incumbent LEC deems that application unacceptable, it must advise the competitive LEC of any deficiencies within this ten calendar day period. The incumbent LEC must provide sufficient detail so that the requesting carrier has a reasonable opportunity to cure each deficiency. The competitive LEC must

cure any deficiencies in its collocation application and resubmit the application within 10 calendar days after being advised of them. The requesting carrier must inform the ILEC that physical collocation should proceed within seven calendar days after receiving the ILEC's price quotation. This is a new requirement adopted in the attached Order on Reconsideration. See 47 CFR 51.323(l). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* 40 hours; *total annual burden:* 56,000 hours).

b. Amendment of Collocation Agreements, Collocation Tariffs, and Collocation-Related Provisions in Statements of Generally Available Terms. An incumbent LEC must offer to provide all forms of physical collocation (*i.e.,* caged, cageless, shared, and adjacent) in accordance with the Commission's application processing and provisioning interval requirements, except to the extent a state sets its own application processing and collocation interval deadlines. To make an offer to provide physical collocation, an incumbent LEC must propose in response to a request from a competitive LEC an interconnection agreement or an amendment to an interconnection agreement including all necessary rates, terms, and conditions. The incumbent LEC also must file with the state commission proposed amendments to any tariff or statement of generally available terms and conditions that does not comply with the national standards for processing collocation applications and provisioning collocation arrangements. These amendments must provide for application processing intervals and physical collocation intervals no longer than the national standards except to the extent a state sets its own standard. See Order on Reconsideration, paragraph 36). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* 44 hours; *total annual burden:* 61,600 hours).

c. *State Commission Approval.* If collocation becomes available in a previously exhausted ILEC structure, the ILEC must obtain the state commission's express approval before requiring a competitive LEC to move, or prohibiting a competitive lLEC from moving, a collocation arrangement into that structure, unless the ILEC and the collocation have an interconnection agreement that expressly provides for a different outcome. (See Order on Reconsideration, paragraph 46). Safe-time work practices that the incumbent may waive to keep from competitively disadvantaging its or an affiliates operations or that prevents a collocation, from restoring service in the event of an outage are inherently suspect and must receive explicit state commission approval. (See Order on Reconsideration, paragraph 60). (Number of respondents: 1400; hours per response: 2 hours; total annual burden: 2800 hours).

d. Showing Regarding Loop Condition. Incumbent LECs who refuse a competitive carrier's request to condition a loop must make an affirmative showing to the relevant state commission that conditioning the specific loop in question will significantly degrade voiceband services. The incumbent LEC must also show that there is no adjacent or alternative loop available that can be conditioned or to which the customer's service can be moved to enable line sharing. See 47 CFR 51.319(h)(5). (Number of respondents: 1400; hours per response: 2 hours; total annual burden: 2800 hours).

e. Request for Alternative Physical Access. Incumbent LECs must provide requesting carriers with access to the loop facility for testing, maintenance, and repair. At a minimum, incumbent must provide requesting carriers with loop access either through a cross-connection to the competitor's collocation space, or through a standard interface. An incumbent seeking to utilize an alternative physical access methodology may request approval to do so from the relevant state commission, but must show that the proposed alternative method is reasonable, nondiscriminatory, and will not disadvantage a requesting carrier's ability to perform loop or service testing, maintenance or repair. See 47 CFR 51.319(h)(7). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* .50 hours; *total annual burden:* 700 hours).

f. Showing of Significant Degradation. An incumbent LEC may not deny a carrier's request to deploy a technology that is presumed acceptable for deployment unless the incumbent LEC demonstrates to the relevant state commission that deployment of the particular technology will significantly degrade the performance of other advanced services or traditional voiceband services. Where a carrier seeks to establish that deployment of a technology falls within the presumption of acceptability under 47 CFR 51.230(a)(3), the burden is on the requesting carrier to demonstrate to the state commission that its proposed deployment meets the threshold for a presumption of acceptability and will not, in fact, significantly degrade the performance of other advanced services or traditional voice band services. Upon a successful demonstration by the requesting carrier before a particular state commission, the deployed technology shall be presumed acceptable for deployment in other areas. See 47 CFR 51.230(b) and (c). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* 2 hours; *total annual burden:* 2800 hours).

g. Information on Type of Technology. A requesting carrier that seeks access to a loop or a high frequency portion of a loop to provide advanced services must provide to the incumbent LEC information on the type of technology that the requesting carrier seeks to deploy. Where the requesting carrier asserts that the technology it seeks to deploy fits within a generic power spectral density mask, it also must provide Spectrum Class information for the technology. Where a requesting carrier relies on a calculation-based approach to support deployment of a particular technology, it must provide the incumbent LEC with information on the speed and power at which the signal will be transmitted. The requesting carrier also must provide the information required above when notifying the incumbent LEC of any propose change in advanced services technology that the carrier uses on the loop. See 47 CFR 51.231(b)–(c). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* 1.5 hours; *total annual burden:* 2100 hours).

h. Petition. Any party seeking designation of a technology as a known disturber should file a petition for declaratory ruling. See 47 CFR 51.232(b). (*Number of respondents:* 100; *hours per response:* 1 hour; *total annual burden;* 100 hours).

i. Showing of Network Harm. Where a deployed advanced service is significantly degrading other services and the degradation remains unresolved by the deploying carrier(s) after a reasonable opportunity to correct the problem, the carrier whose services are being degraded must establish before the relevant state commission that a particular technology deployment is causing the significant degradation. Any claims of network harm presented to the deploying carrier(s) or, if subsequently necessary, the relevant state commission, must be supported with specific and verifiable information. See 47 CFR Section 51.233 (b) and (c). (*Number of respondents:* 100; *hours per response:* 2 hours; *total annual burden:* 200 hours).

j. List of Equipment, Affidavit—Whenever an incumbent LEC objects to collocation of equipment by a requesting telecommunications carrier for the purposes within the scope of

section 251(c)(6) of the Act, the incumbent LEC shall prove to the state commission that the equipment is eligible for collocation. An incumbent LEC that denies collocation of a competitor's equipment, citing safety standards, must provide to the competitive LEC within five business days a list of all equipment that the incumbent LEC locates within the premises in question, together with an affidavit attesting that all of that equipment meets or exceeds the safety standard that the incumbent LEC contends the competitor's equipment fails to meet. In the Order on Reconsideration, the Commission required that this affidavit set forth in detail: the exact safety requirement that the requesting carrier's equipment does not satisfy; the incumbent LEC's basis for concluding that the requesting carrier's equipment does not meet this safety requirement; and the incumbent LEC's basis for concluding why collocation of equipment not meeting this safety requirement would compromise network safety. See 47 CFR 51.323(b). This requirement has been modified. (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* 2 hours; *total annual burden* 2800 hours).

k. Space Limitation Documentation—An incumbent LEC shall submit to the state commission, subject to any protective order as the state commission may deem necessary, detailed floor plans or diagrams of any premises where the incumbent LEC claims that physical collocation is not practical because of space limitations. An incumbent LEC that contends space for physical collocation is not available in an incumbent LEC premises must also allow the requesting carrier to tour the entire premises in question, not just the room in which space was denied, without charge, within ten days of the receipt of the incumbent LEC's denial of space. The Commission amended the rule in the First Report and Order to require that ILECs allow CLECs to tour their facility. However, no new or modified paperwork requirements were made. In the Order on Reconsideration, the Commission required that each incumbent LEC provide the state commission with all information necessary for the state commission to evaluate the reasonableness of the incumbent LEC's and its affiliates' reservations of space for future growth. This information shall include any information the state commission may require to implement its specific space reservation policies, including which space, if any, the incumbent or any of its affiliates have reserved for future use. The incumbent shall also provide the state commission with a detailed description of the specific future uses for which the space has been reserved. An incumbent LEC shall permit any requesting telecommunications carrier to inspect any floor plans or diagrams that the incumbent LEC provides a state commission, subject to any nondisclosure protections the state commission deems appropriate. See 47 CFR Section 51.321(f). See also paragraph 57 in attached Order on Reconsideration. This requirement has been modified. (*Number of respondents:* 100; *hours per response:* 26 hours; *total annual burden:* 26,000 hours).

l. Report of Available Collocation Space—Upon request, an incumbent LEC must submit to the requesting carrier within ten days of the submission of the request a report indicating the incumbent LEC's available collocation space in a particular LEC premises. This report must specify the amount of collocation space available at each requested premises, the number of collocators, and any modifications in the use of the space since the last report. The incumbent LEC must maintain a publicly available document, posted for viewing on the Internet, indicating all premises that are full, and must update such a document within ten days of the date at which a premises runs out of physical collocation space. See 47 CFR Section 51.321(h). In the Order on Reconsideration, the Commission makes clear that the ILEC must provide this report within ten calendar days, as opposed to ten business days. (See Order on Reconsideration, paragraph 64, page 32). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per respondent:* 1 hour; *total annual burden:* 1400 hours).

m. Information on Security Training—An incumbent LEC must provide information to competitive LECs on the specific type of security training a competitive LEC's employees must complete in order for the incumbent LEC to maintain reasonable security measures for its equipment and networks. See 47 CFR Section 51.323(i)(3). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *total annual burden:* .50 hour; *total annual burden:* 700 hours).

n. Access to Spectrum Management Procedures and Policies—An incumbent LEC must provide competitive LECs with nondiscriminatory access to the incumbent LEC's spectrum management procedures and policies. See 47 CFR Section 51.231(a). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* .50 hour; *total annual burden:* 700 hours).

o. Rejection and Loop Information—An incumbent LEC must disclose to requesting carrier information with respect to the rejection of the requesting carrier's provision of advanced services, together with the specific reason for the rejection. An incumbent LEC must also disclose to requesting carriers information with respect to the number of loops using advanced services technology within the binder and type of technology deployed on those loops. See 47 CFR 51.231(a). (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* 1 hour; *total annual burden:* 1400 hours).

p. Notification of Performance Degradation—If a carrier claims a service is significantly degrading the performance of other advanced services or traditional voice band services, then that carrier must notify the causing carrier and allow that carrier a reasonable opportunity to correct the problem. Any claims of network harm must be supported with specific and verifiable supporting information. See 47 CFR 51.233. (*Number of respondents:* 1400; *hours per response:* .50 hour; *total annual burden:* 700 hours). All of the collections will be used by the Commission and by competitive carriers to facilitate the deployment of advanced data services and to implement section 706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. Obligation to respond: Mandatory.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0806.

Expiration Date: 9/30/2003.

Title: Universal Service—Schools and Libraries Universal Service Program.

Form No.: FCC Forms 470 and 471.

Respondents: Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government; business or other for-profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 60,000 respondents; 7.33 hours per response (avg.).; 440,000 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; Third Party Disclosure; Recordkeeping.

Description: The Commission adopted rules providing support for all telecommunications services, Internet access, and internal connections for all eligible schools and libraries. To participate in the program, schools and libraries must submit a description of the services desired to the Administrator via FCC Form 470. FCC Form 471 is submitted by schools and libraries that have ordered telecommunications services, Internet access, and internal connections. The information is used to determine

eligibility. Obligation to respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0384.

Expiration Date: 09/30/2003.

Title: Section 64.904, Independent Audits.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 14 respondents; 250 hours per response (avg.).; 3500 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $1,200,000.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Description: Local exchange carriers and dominant interexchange carriers are required to submit an auditor's attestation biennially demonstrating the application of the Commission's cost allocation standards to their particular operations. The independent audit requirement is imposed to ensure that the carriers are properly implementing their cost allocation manual. The independent audits serve as an important aid in the Commission's monitoring program. Obligation to respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0725.

Expiration Date: 08/31/2003.

Title: Annual Filing of Nondiscrimination Reports (On Quality of Service, Installation and Maintenance by Bell Operating Companies).

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 7 respondents; 50 hours per response (avg.).; 350 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Description: Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) are required to provide nondiscrimination reports on an annual basis. Without provision of these reports, the Commission would be unable to ascertain whether the BOCs were discriminating in favor of their own payphones. The report allows the Commission to determine how the BOCs will provide competing payphone providers with equal access to all the basic underlying network services that are provided to its own payphones. Obligation to respond: Mandatory.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0726.

Expiration Date: 08/31/2003.

Title: Quarterly Report of Interexchange Carriers Listing the Number of Dial-Around Calls for Which Compensation is Being Paid to Payphone Owners.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 1100 respondents; .50 hours per response (avg.); 550 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.

Frequency of Response: Third Party Disclosure.

Description: Pursuant to the mandate in Section 276(b)(1)(A) to ''establish a per call compensation plan to ensure that all payphone service providers are fairly compensated for each and every completed intrastate and interstate call'', interexchange carriers (IXCs) who are responsible for paying per-call compensation to payphone providers are required to provide to payphone providers a quarterly report listing the dial-around calls made from each payphone provider's payphones. Without provision of this report, payphone providers would be unable to ascertain the compensation amount to be paid by the IXCs. The report allows each payphone provider to determine how many dial-around calls to the IXC generating the report were originated by each of the payphone provider's payphones. Obligation to respond: Mandatory.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0817.

Expiration Date: 08/31/2003.

Title: Computer III Further Remand Procedures: BOC Provision of Enhanced Services (ONA Requirements), CC Docket No. 95–20.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 10 respondents; 27 hours per response (avg.); 270 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; Semi-annually; Third Party Disclosure.

Description: Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) are required to post their Comparably Efficient Interconnection (CEI) plans and amendments on their publicly accessible Internet sites. The requirement extends to CEI plans for new or modified telemessaging or alarm monitoring services and for new or amended payphone services. If the BOC receives a good faith request for a plan for someone who does not have internet access, the BOC must notify that person where a paper copy of the plan is available for public inspection. The CEI plan will be used to ensure that BOCs comply with Commission policies and regulations safeguarding against potential anticompetitive behavior by the BOCs in the provision of information services. Obligation to respond: Mandatory. Public reporting burden for the collection of information is as noted above. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collections of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, DC 20554.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23804 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2436]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

September 11, 2000.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY–A257, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 875–3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by October 2, 2000. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (CC Docket No. 904–129).

Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers Long Distance Carriers

Number of Petitions Filed: 2.

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Promoting Deployment and Subscribership in Unserved and Underserved Areas, Including Tribal and Insular Areas

Western Wireless Corporation, Crow Reservation in Montana (CC Docket No. 96–45)

Smith Bagley, Inc.

Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Telephone Authority Western Wireless Corporation, Wyoming

Cellco Partnership d/b/a/ Bell Atlantic Mobile, Inc.

Petitions for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier and for

Related Waivers to Provide Universal Service

Number of Petitions Filed: 10.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23805 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting; Charge of Meeting Time

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS NOTICE: 65 FR 54533, September 8, 2000.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 3:00 P.M., Tuesday, September 19, 2000.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The time of the above mention meeting is changed to 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, September 19, 2000 at the Federal Housing Finance Board, 1777 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Elaine L. Baker, Secretary to the Board, (202) 408–2837.

James L. Bothwell,

Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 00–23914 Filed 9–13–00; 2:06 pm]

BILLING CODE 6725–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Maritime Commission.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m. September 20, 2000.

PLACE: 800 North Capitol Street, NW., First Floor Hearing Room, Washington, DC.

STATUS: A portion of the meeting will be open to the public and the remainder of the meeting will be closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

The Open Portion of the Meeting

1. Docket No. 00–07—*Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Concerning Public Access Charges to Carrier Automated Tariffs and Tariff Systems under the Ocean Shipping Reform Act of 1998.*

2. Petition No. P1–00—*Petition of the Port of Houston Authority for the Institution of a Rulemaking Proceeding.*

The Closed Portion of the Meeting

1. Docket No. 99–24—*Cargo One, Inc.* v. *COSCO Container Lines Company, Ltd.,* Interlocutory Appeal of the ALJ's Denial of COSCO's Motion to Dismiss.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Bryant L. VanBrakle, Secretary, (202) 523–5725.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23907 Filed 9–13–00; 1:37 pm]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Wednesday, September 20, 2000.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, 20th and C Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.

2. Any matters carried forward from a previously announced meeting

CONTACT FOR MORE INFORMATION: Lynn S. Fox, Assistant to the Board; 202–452–3204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: You may call 202–452–3206 beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before the meeting for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting; or you may contact the Board's Web site at http://www.federalreserve.gov for an electronic announcement that not only lists applications, but also indicates procedural and other information about the meeting.

Dated: September 13, 2000.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00–23867 Filed 9–13–00; 10:56 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

Proposed Projects

Title: Order/Notice to Withhold Income for Child Support.

OMB No.: 0970–0154.

Description: Pub. L. 104–193, The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) of 1996, Section 324—Use of Forms in Interstate Enforcement requires the Federal Office of Child Support Enforcement (CSE) agencies and courts/tribunals must use to collect child support payments from an obligor's employer.

The form, which promotes standardization expires 12/31/2000 and we are taking this opportunity to make minor revised to reflect the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) and the mandate to use for IV–D and non IV–D direct withholding cases. The 2-page form provides a detailed legal description of the established order, support amounts, and remittance information an employer needs to withhold payments from an obligor who owes child support.

Respondents: State, Local, and Tribal Governments.

Annual Burden Estimates:

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Order/Notice	54	1	.1666	9

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 9.

In compliance with the requirements of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW.,

Washington, DC 20477, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Bob Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23709 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

Request for Nominations for Nonvoting Members of Industry Interests on Public Advisory Committees; Extension of Nomination Period

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice; extension of nomination period.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is extending the nomination period for nonvoting representatives of industry interests to serve on public advisory committees under the purview of the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) and the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). This request for nominations was announced in the **Federal Register** of August 16, 2000 (65 FR 49990). FDA has been asked to extend the nominations period to allow additional time for the submission of nominations. Note also that the street address for the CBER contact person has been changed.

DATES: Nominations should be received by October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All nominations for representatives should be sent to William Freas or John M. Treacy (addresses below).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Regarding representatives of industry interests for CBER advisory committees: William Freas, Scientific Advisors and Consultants Staff (HFM–71), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–1448, 301–827–0314, FAX: 301–827–0294, or e-mail: FREAS@CBER.FDA.GOV.

Regarding representatives of industry interests for CDER advisory committees: John M. Treacy, Advisors and Consultants Staff (HFD–21), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–7001, FAX: 301–827–6776, or e-mail: TREACY@CDER.FDA.GOV.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Linda A, Suydam,

Senior Associate Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 00–23880 Filed 9–13–00; 1:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

This notice announces a forthcoming meeting of a public advisory committee of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The meeting will be open to the public.

Name of Committee: Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee.

General Function of the Committee: To provide advice and recommendations to the agency on FDA's regulatory issues.

Date and Time: The meeting will be held on October 16, 2000, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Location: Marriott Washingtonian Center, the Ballrooms, 9751 Washingtonian Blvd., Gaithersburg, MD.

Contact Person: Nancy Chamberlin or Beverly O'Neil, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD-21), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, (for express delivery, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1093) Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–7001, or by e-mail: CHAMBERLINN@CDER.FDA.GOV, or FDA Advisory Committee Information Line, 1–800–741–8138 (301–443–0572 in the Washington, DC area), code 12531. Please call the Information Line for up-to-date information on this meeting.

Agenda: The committee will discuss the use of surrogate markers in the early development of immunomodulatory agents for the treatment of patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

Procedure: Interested persons may present data, information, or views, orally or in writing, on issues pending before the committee. Written submissions may be made to the contact person by October 2, 2000. Oral presentations from the public will be scheduled between approximately 1 p.m. to 2 p.m. Time allotted for each presentation may be limited. Those desiring to make formal oral presentations should notify the contact person before October 2, 2000, and submit a brief statement of the general nature of the evidence or arguments they wish to present, the names and addresses of proposed participants, and an indication of the approximate time requested to make their presentation.

Notice of this meeting is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. app. 2).

Dated: August 23, 2000.

Linda A. Suydam,

Senior Associate Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 00–23798 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 00N–1394]

Medical Devices; CLIA Waiver Criteria; Public Workshop

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is extending to October 16, 2000, the comment period for the notice of a public workshop that appeared in the **Federal Register** of July 21, 2000 (65 FR 45384). That notice announced FDA's intention to review the criteria used to determine whether specific laboratory tests are waived from certain requirements of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA). This extension of the comment period is intended to allow interested persons additional time to submit comments on the CLIA waiver criteria.

DATES: Submit written comments by October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the notice of public workshop to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clara A. Sliva, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ–440), Food and Drug Administration, 2098 Gaither Rd., Rockville, MD 20850, 301–827–0496.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Extension of Comment Period

In the **Federal Register** of July 21, 2000 (65 FR 45384), FDA published a notice of a public workshop to review the criteria used to determine whether specific laboratory tests are waived from certain requirements of the CLIA. FDA is soliciting comments from interested persons concerning the review of criteria and the process that the agency should use to determine when a particular test is waived. CLIA specifies that laboratory requirements be based on the complexity of the tests performed and establishes criteria for categorizing a test as waived. Responsibility for determining whether a particular test is waived was transferred from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to FDA on January 31, 2000.

FDA received several requests to extend the comment period for an additional month to allow adequate time to respond. In response to the requests, FDA is extending the comment period until October 16, 2000.

II. Comments

Interested persons may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding this notice by October 16, 2000. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

William K. Hubbard,

Senior Associate Commissioner for Policy, Planning, and Legislation.

[FR Doc. 00–23881 Filed 9–13–00; 1:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

[Document Identifier: HCFA–10005]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Type of Information Request: New collection; *Title of Information Collection:* Ticket to Work and Work Incentives: Medicaid Infrastructure Grants; *HCFA Form Number:* HCFA–10005 (OMB approval #: 0938–NEW); *Use:* Section 203 of the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Act of 1999 provides for the establishment of a grants program for states that build infrastructures designed to support people with disabilities. State agencies will be applying for these grants; *Frequency:* Annually; *Affected Public:* State, local or tribal govt.; *Number of Respondents:* 56; *Total Annual Responses:* 56; *Total Annual Burden Hours:* 5,600.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, access HCFA's Web Site address at http://www.hcfa.gov/regs/prdact95.htm, or E-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and HCFA document identifier, to Paperwork@hcfa.gov, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (410) 786–1326. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections must be mailed within 30 days of this notice directly to the OMB desk officer: OMB Human Resources and Housing Branch, Attention: Allison Eydt, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

John P. Burke III,

HCFA Reports Clearance Officer, HCFA Office of Information Services, Security and Standards Group, Division of HCFA Enterprise Standards.

[FR Doc. 00–23707 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–03–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463), announcement is made of the following National Advisory body scheduled to meet during the month of October 2000.

Name: Advisory Committee on Infant Mortality (ACIM).

Date and Time: October 5, 2000; 9 a.m.–5 p.m.; October 6, 2000; 8:30 a.m.–3 p.m.

Place: Holiday Inn Washington, D.C. on the Hill, 415 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001, (202) 638–1616.

The meeting is open to the public.

Purpose: The Committee provides advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Health and Human Services on the following: Department programs which are directed at reducing infant mortality and improving the health status of pregnant women and infants; factors affecting the continuum of care with respect to maternal and child health care, including outcomes following childbirth; factors determining the length of hospital stay following childbirth; strategies to coordinate the variety of Federal, State, and local and private programs and efforts that are designed to deal with the health and social problems impacting on infant mortality; and the implementation of the Healthy Start initiative and infant mortality objectives from *Healthy People 2010.*

Agenda: Topics that will be discussed include: Early Postpartum Discharge; Low-Birth Weight; Disparities in Infant Mortality; and the Healthy Start Program.

Anyone requiring information regarding the Committee should contact Peter C. van Dyck, M.D., M.P.H., Executive Secretary, ACIM, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Room 18–05, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, Telephone (301) 443–2170.

Individuals who are interested in attending any portion of the meeting or who have questions regarding the meeting should contact Ms. Kerry P. Nesseler, HRSA, Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Telephone (301) 443–2170.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities are further determined.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Jane M. Harrison,

Director, Division of Policy Review and Coordination.

[FR Doc. 00–23699 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4565–N–23]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Comment Request; Mortgagee's Application for Partial Settlement (Multifamily Mortgage)

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., L'Enfant Plaza Building, Room 8100, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia L. Tarber, Systems Accountant, Office of Financial Services, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708– (this is not a toll free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Mortgagee's Application for Partial Settlement (Multifamily Mortgage).

OMB Control Number, if applicable: 2502–0427.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: When the mortgage goes into default, the lender may elect to file with the Department a claim for insurance benefits. Statute 12 USC 1713(g) and Title II, Section 207(g) of the National Housing Act provides that, ''* * * the mortgagee shall be entitled to receive the benefits of the insurance as hereinafter provided, upon assignment, transfer, and delivery to the Secretary, within a period and in accordance with rules and regulations to be prescribed by the Secretary of all rights and interest arising under the mortgage so in default: * * * at its options and in accordance with regulations of, and in a period to be determined by the Secretary, proceed to foreclose on and obtain possession of or otherwise acquire sure property after default and receive the benefits of the insurance as herein provided upon the prompt conveyance of the Secretary the title of the property * * *'' The mortgagee may receive a portion of the benefits immediately after the assignment or conveyance. The partial amount is computed in accordance with the foregoing statutory provisions and regulations promulgated under 24 CFR 207.251 Subpart B.

Agency form numbers, if applicable: HUD–2537.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: The estimated number of respondents is 215, frequency of response is one claim per submission, the total annual burden hours requested are 54.

Status of the proposed information collection: Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved information collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

William C. Apgar,

Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 00–23718 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–27–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4565–N–24]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Comment Request; Mortgage Insurance Termination Application for Premium Refund or Distributive Share

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comment on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, L'Enfant Plaza Building, Room 8202, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Silas C. Vaughn, Single Family Insurance Operations Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708–2596, Ext. 3445 (this is not a toll free number) for information on form HUD–27050–A. Lillie A. Watson, Single Family Insurance Operations Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708–2596, Ext. 3305 (this is not a toll free number) for information on form HUD–27050–B.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate

whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Mortgage Insurance Termination—HUD–27050–A; Application for Premium Refund or Distributive Share Payment—HUD–27050–B.

OMB Control Number, if applicable: 2502–0414.

Description of the need of the information and proposed use: The information collection for the Mortgage Insurance Termination, form HUD–27050–A, is used by servicing mortgages to comply with HUD requirements for reporting the termination of FHA mortgage insurance. This information is used whenever FHA mortgage insurance is terminated and no claim for insurance benefits will be filed. Due to technological advances this information is now submitted electronically to HUD from lenders via Electronic Date Interchange (EDI) and via the Internet through FHA Connection. The information collection is still needed although the hardcopy form is not used. Under the new streamline III program, when the information is submitted it can be used to directly pay eligible homeowners. This condition occurs when the data passes the criteria of certain system edits. As a result, the system generates a disbursement to the eligible homeowners for a refund consisting of the unused portion of the paid premium. The information collection required is used to update HUD's Single Family Insurance System. The billing of mortgage insurance premiums is discontinued as a result of the transaction. Without this information the premium collection/monitoring function would be severely impeded and program data would be unreliable. Under streamline III, when the information is processed but does not pass the series of edits, the system generates a form HUD–27050–B to the homeowners to be completed and returned to HUD for further processing for a premium refund or distributive share. In general, a premium refund is the difference between the amount of prepaid premium and the amount of the premium that has been earned by HUD up to the time the mortgage is terminated. A distributive share payment is a dividend of the Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund operated by HUD/FHA and may be payable when FHA insurance is terminated depending on the age of the mortgage and other eligibility conditions.

Agency form numbers, if applicable: HUD–27050–A, HUD–27050–B.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: The public reporting burden for this collection of information for the HUD–27050–A is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, completing and reviewing the collection of information, and transmitting the information electronically via EDI or FHA Connection. The number of respondents is 9,500, the frequency of response is on occasion, and the volume per respondents is 1 to 40,000 depending on the size of their FHA portfolio. The public reporting burden for this collection of information for the HUD–27050–B is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The number of respondents is 382,000, the frequency of response is one time, and the volume per respondents is 1.

Status of the proposed information collection: Reinstatement, without change, or a previously approved information collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

William C. Apgar,

Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 00–23719 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–27–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4565–N–25]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Comment Request; Mortgagee's Application for Insurance Benefits (Multifamily Mortgage)

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, L'Enfant Plaza Building, Room 8100, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia L. Tarber, Systems Accountant, Office of Financial Services, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708– (this is not a toll free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Mortgagee's Application for Insurance Benefits (Multifamily Mortgage).

OMB Control Number, if applicable 2520–0419.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: A lender with an insured multifamily mortgage may pay an annual insurance premium to the Department. When the mortgage goes into default, the lender may elect to file with the Department a claim for insurance benefits. A requirement of the claims filing process is the submission of an application for insurance benefits. Regulation 12 USC 1713(g) and Title II, Section 207(g) of the National Housing Act provides that, ''Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, upon receipt, after September 2, 1964, of an application for insurance benefits on a mortgage insured under this chapter, the Secretary may terminate the lender's obligation to pay premium charges on the mortgage.'' This provision is further spelled out under 24 CFR Part 207—Subpart B—Contract Rights and Obligations at 207.252(d) and 207.258(c)(6). This information collection satisfied the preceding requirements.

Agency form numbers, if applicable: HUD–2747.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: The estimated number of respondents is 215, frequency of response is one claim per submission, the total annual burden hours requested are 18.

Status of the proposed information collection: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved information collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

William C. Apgar,

Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 00–23720 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–27–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4566–N–12]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Comments Request, Community Development Block Grant, States Program

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement for the State Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Shelia Jones, Reports Liaison Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, Room 7232, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Johnson, Director, State and Small Cities Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 7286, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410–7000. For telephone communication, contact Yvette Aidara, State and Small Cities Division, at 202–708–1322. This is not a toll-free number. Hearing or speech impaired individuals may access this number via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

The Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Community Development Block Grants: States Program.

OMB Control Number: 2506–0085.

Description of the Need for the Information and Proposed Use: The information is needed to assist HUD in determining whether States are carrying out the CDBG program in accordance with the applicable laws. In addition, States must maintain records at the state level to facilitate review and audit by HUD of each state's administration of its grant pursuant to section 104 (e) of the statute and section 570.490 of the State CDBG rule.

Agency Form Numbers, if applicable: The Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, requires states that administer the CDBG program to submit: (1) A Final Statement that contains the community development objectives, a method of distribution, and the certification by the Governor or a duly authorized state official (Section 104(a)(1); (2) an annual performance and evaluation report (PER) (Section 104(e)); and such records as may be necessary to facilitate review and audit by HUD of the state's administration of CDBG funds (Section 104(e)(2)).

Members of Affected Public: State Governments participating in the State administered CDBG program.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response:

Task	Number of respondents	Frequency of response (annual)	Estimate of burden hours	Total U.S. burden hours
PER (Performance & Evaluation Report)	50	1	212	10,600
Recordkeeping:				
States	50	on-going	116	5,800
Localities	3,500	on-going	26	91,000

Task	Number of respondents	Frequency of response (annual)	Estimate of burden hours	Total U.S. burden hours
Total	50 plus	2 or more	354	107,400

Status of the Proposed Information Collection: Reinstatement, with minor change, of a previously approved collection for which approval is near expiration and request for OMB renewal for three years. The current OMB approval expires in November, 2000.

This report does not include hours spent on Consolidated Plan preparation and reporting. Those hours are reported with 2506–0117.

Authority: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Cardell Cooper,

Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

[FR Doc. 00–23721 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–29–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4557–N–37]

Federal Property Suitable as Facilities To Assist the Homeless

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for possible use to assist the homeless.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clifford Taffet, room 7266, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708–1234; TTY number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708–2565 (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free Title V information line at 1–800–927–7588.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 24 CFR part 581 and section 501 of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 14411), as amended, HUD is publishing this Notice to identify Federal buildings and other real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. The properties were reviewed using information provided to HUD by Federal landholding agencies regarding unutilized and underutilized buildings and real property controlled by such agencies or by GSA regarding its inventory of excess or surplus Federal property. This Notice is also published in order to comply with the December 12, 1988 Court Order in *National Coalition for the Homeless* v. *Veterans Administration,* No. 88–2503–OG (D.D.C.).

Properties reviewed are listed in this Notice according to the following categories: Suitable/available, suitable/unavailable, suitable/to be excess, and unsuitable. The properties listed in the three suitable categories have been reviewed by the landholding agencies, and each agency has transmitted to HUD: (1) Its intention to make the property available for use to assist the homeless, (2) its intention to declare the property excess to the agency's needs, or (3) a statement of the reasons that the property cannot be declared excess or made available for use as facilities to assist the homeless.

Properties listed as suitable/available will be available exclusively for homeless use for a period of 60 days from the date of this Notice. Homeless assistance providers interested in any such property should send a written expression of interest to HHS, addressed to Brian Rooney, Division of Property Management, Program Support Center, HHS, room 5B–41, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, (301) 443–2265. (This is not a toll-free number.) HHS will mail to the interested provider an application packet, which will include instructions for competing the application. In order to maximize the opportunity to utilize a suitable property, providers should submit their written expressions of interest as soon as possible. For complete details concerning the processing of applications, the reader is encouraged to refer to the interim rule governing this program, 24 CFR part 581.

For properties listed as suitable/to be excess, that property may, if subsequently accepted as excess by GSA, by made available for use by the homeless in accordance with applicable law, subject to screening for other Federal use. At the appropriate time, HUD will publish the property in a Notice showing it as either suitable/available or suitable/unavailable.

For properties listed as suitable/unavailable, the landholding agency has decided that the property cannot be declared excess or made available for use to assist the homeless, and the property will not be available.

Properties listed as unsuitable will not be made available for any other purpose for 20 days from the date of this Notice. Homeless assistance providers interested in a review by HUD of the determination of unsuitability should call the toll free information line at 1–800–927–7588 for detailed instructions or write a letter to Clifford Taffet at the address listed at the beginning of this Notice. Included in the request for review should be he property address (including zip code), the date of publication in the **Federal Register**, the landholding agency, and the property number.

For more information regarding particular properties identified in this Notice (*i.e.,* acreage, floor plan, existing sanitary facilities, exact street address), providers should contact the appropriate landholding agencies at the following addresses: *Army:* Mr. Jeff Holste, Army Corps of Engineers, Military Programs, Installation Support Division, Planning Branch, ATTN: CEMP–IP, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20314–1000; (202) 761–5737; *GSA:* Mr. Brian K. Polly, Assistant Commissioner, General Services Administration, Office of Property Disposal, 18th and F Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20405; (202) 501–0052; *Interior:* Ms. Linda Tribby, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, NW., Mail Stop 5512–MIB, Washington, DC 20240; (202) 219–0728; *Navy:* Mr. Charles C. Cocks, Director, Department of the Navy, Real Estate Policy Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Washington Navy Yard, 1322 Patterson Ave., SE., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20374–5065; (202) 685–9200; (These are not toll-free numbers).

Dated: September 7, 2000.

Fred Karnas, Jr.,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs Assistance Programs.

TITLE V, FEDERAL SURPLUS PROPERTY PROGRAM FEDERAL REGISTER REPORT FOR 9/15/00

Suitable/Available Properties

Buildings (by State)

Alabama

Residence 1223
204 Akin Drive
Tuskegee Co: Macon AL 36083–

Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020023
Status: Excess
Comment: 1375 sq. ft., brick, veneer, most recent use—residential
GSA Number: 4–A–AL–768

Alaska

Bldg. 00390
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030067
Status: Excess
Comment: 13,632 sq. ft., off-site use only

Bldgs. 01200, 01202
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030068
Status: Excess
Comment: 4508 & 6366 sq. ft., most recent use—hazard bldg., off-site use only

Bldg. 01204
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030069
Status: Excess
Comment: 5578 sq. ft., most recent use—VOQ transient, off-site use only

Bldgs. 01205–01207
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030070
Status: Excess
Comment: various sq. ft., most recent use—hazard bldg., off-site use only

Bldgs. 01208, 01210, 01212
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030071
Status: Excess
Comment: various sq. ft., most recent use—hazard bldg., off-site use only

Bldgs. 01213, 01214
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030072
Status: Excess
Comment: 11964 & 13740 sq. ft., most recent use—transient UPH, off-site use only

Bldgs. 01218, 01230
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030073
Status: Excess
Comment: 480 & 188 sq. ft., most recent use—hazard bldgs., off-site use only

Bldgs. 01231, 01232
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030074
Status: Excess
Comment: 458 & 4260 sq. ft., most recent use—hazard bldgs., off-site use only

Bldg. 01234
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030075
Status: Excess
Comment: 615 sq. ft., most recent use—admin., off-site use, only

Bldg. 01237
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030076
Status: Excess
Comment: 408 sq. ft. most recent use—fuel/pol bldg., off-site use only

Bldg. 01272
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030077
Status: Excess
Comment: 308 sq. ft., most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldg. 03002
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030078
Status: Excess
Comment: 7480 sq. ft., most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldg. 03725
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030079
Status: Excess
Comment: 7200 sq. ft., most recent use—veh. maint. shop, off-site use only

Bldg. 08109
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030080
Status: Excess
Comment: 1920 sq. ft., most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldg. 21001
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030081
Status: Excess
Comment: 3200 sq. ft., most recent use—family housing, off-site use only

Bldg. 22001
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030082
Status: Excess
Comment: 1448 sq. ft., most recent use—family housing, off-site use only

Bldg. 22002
Fort Richardson
Ft. Richardson Co: AK 99505–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030083
Status: Excess
Comment: 1508 sq. ft., most recent use—family housing, off-site use only

Connecticut

Bldg. DKL12
USARC Middletown
Middletown Co: Middlesex CT 06457–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030084
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 39 sq. ft., possible asbestos/lead paint, needs rehab, most recent use—sentry station, off-site use only

Georgia

Bldg. T–1003
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030085
Status: Excess
Comment: 9267 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—admin., off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1005, T–1006, T–1007
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030086
Status: Excess
Comment: 9267 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1015, T–1016, T–1017
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030087
Status: Excess
Comment: 7496 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1018, T–1019
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030088
Status: Excess
Comment: 9267 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1020, T–1021
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030089
Status: Excess
Comment: 9267 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldg. T–1022
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030090
Status: Excess
Comment: 9267 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—supply center, off-site use only

Bldg. T–1027
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030091
Status: Excess
Comment: 9024 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldg. T–1028
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030092
Status: Excess
Comment: 7496 sq. ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1035, T–1036, T–1037
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030093

Status: Excess
Comment: 1626 sq ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1038, T–1039
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030094
Status: Excess
Comment: 1626 sq ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1040, T–1042
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030095
Status: Excess
Comment: 1626 sq ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. T–1086, T–1088
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030096
Status: Excess
Comment: 7680 sq ft., poor condition, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldg. P–7751
Fort Stewart
Hinesville Co: Liberty GA 31514–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030097
Status: Excess
Comment: 192 sq ft., poor condition, off-site use only

Bldg. P–338
Hunter Army Airfield
Savannah Co: Chatham GA 31409–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030098
Status: Excess
Comment: 2261 sq ft., most recent use—bathhouse, off-site use only

Bldg. P–339
Hunter Army Airfield
Savannah Co: Chatham GA 31409–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030099
Status: Excess
Comment: 545 sq ft., most recent use—chlorinator bldg., off-site use only

Hawaii

Bldg. P–L0031
Schofield Barracks
Wahiawa Co: HI 96786–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 851 sq ft., most recent use—water pumping station, off-site use only

Illinois

Milo Comm. Tower Site
350 N. Rt. 8
Milo Co: Bureau IL 56142–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020018
Status: Excess
Comment: 120 sq ft., cinder block bldg.
GSA Number: 1–D–IL–795

LaSalle Comm. Tower Site
1600 NE 8th St.
Richland Co: LaSalle IL 61370–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020019
Status: Excess
Comment: 120 sq. ft. cinder block bldg. and a 300′ tower
GSA Number: 1–D–IL–724

Kansas

Former Army Reserve Center
800 South 29th Street
Parsons Co: Labette KS 75702–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200010001
Status: Surplus
Comment: 3157 sq. ft., presence of asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—reserve center/office, subject to existing easements
GSA Number: 7–D–KS–519A

Garage/Maint. Bldg.
Cedar Bluff Dam
Ellis Co: Trego KS 67636—
Landholding Agency: Interior
Property Number: 61200030009
Status: Excess
Comment: 1152 sq. ft., needs rehab, presence of asbestos, off-site use only

Kentucky

Bldg. 00087, 02322, 04401
Fort Knox
Ft. Knox Co: Hardin KY 40121–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030101
Status: Unutilized
Comment: various sq. ft., needs rehab, possible asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—heat plant/storage/admin., off-site use only

Bldg. 02813
Fort Knox
Ft. Knox Co: Hardin KY 40121–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030102
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 60 sq. ft., needs rehab, possible asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—shed, off-site use only

Maryland

Bldg. 2831
Ft. George G. Meade
Ft. Meade Co: Anne Arundel MD 20755–5115
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030103
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 9652 sq. ft., presence of asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—dental clinic, off-site use only

Bldg. 490
Naval Air Station
Patuxent River Co: MD 20670–
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77200030062
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 15,210 sq. ft., most recent use—museum, presence of asbestos/lead paint, off-site use only

Minnesota

GAP Filler Radar Site
St. Paul Co: Rice MN 55101–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910009
Status: Excess
Comment: 1266 sq. ft., concrete block, presence of asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—storage, zoning requirements
GSA Number: 1–GR(1)–MN–475

Missouri

Hardesty Federal Complex
607 Hardesty Avenue
Kansas City Co: Jackson MO 64124–3032
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940001
Status: Excess
Comment: 7 warehouses and support buildings (540 to 216,000 sq. ft.) on 17.47 acres, major rehab, most recent use—storage/office, utilities easement
GSA Number: 7–G–MO–637

Natl Weather Svc Ofc
4100 Mexico Road
St. Peters Co: St. Charles MO 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020015
Status: Excess
Comment: 4774 sq. ft., presence of asbestos, good condition, most recent use—office
GSA Number: 7–C–MO–641

New Hampshire

Bldg. KG001
Grenier Field USARC
Manchester Co: Rockingham NH 03103–7474
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030104
Status: Excess
Comment: 18,994 sq. ft., presence of asbestos, most recent use—classroom, off-site use only

Bldg. KG002
Grenier Field USARC
Manchester Co: Rockingham NH 03103–7474
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030105
Status: Excess
Comment: 20,014 sq. ft., presence of asbestos, most recent use—storage/store, off-site use only

Bldg. KG003
Grenier Field USARC
Manchester Co: Rockingham NH 03103–7474
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030106
Status: Excess
Comment: 3458 sq. ft., presence of asbestos, most recent use—veh. maint., off-site use only

Bldg. KG005
Grenier Field USARC
Manchester Co: Rockingham NH 03103–7474
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030107
Status: Excess
Comment: 3005 sq. ft., presence of asbestos, most recent use—storage, off-site use only

New Jersey

Old Bridge Housing
Route 9
Old Bridge Co: NJ 08857–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940010
Status: Excess
Comment: 12 three bedroom housing units, no long-term wastewater treatment system for property, presence of asbestos/lead paint, needs repair
GSA Number: 0–0–NJ–000

New York

Bldg. 801
US Military Academy
Highlands Co: Orange NY 10996–1592

Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030108
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 27,726 sq. ft., needs repair, possible lead paint, most recent use—warehouse, off-site use only

''Terry Hill''
County Road 51
Manorville NY
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 51499830008
Status: Surplus
Comment: 2 block structures, 780/272 sq. ft., no sanitary facilities, most recent use—storage/comm. facility, w/6.19 acres in fee and 4.99 acre easement, remote area
GSA Number: 1–D–NY–864

Binghampton Depot
Nolans Road
Binghampton Co: NY 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910015
Status: Excess
Comment: 45,977 sq. ft., needs repair, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office
GSA Number: 1–G–NY–760A

South Carolina

Bldg. 1765
Fort Jackson
Ft. Jackson Co: Richard SC 29207–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030109
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1700 sq. ft., need repairs, presence of asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—training bldg., off-site use only

Texas

Bldg. P–8219
Fort Sam Houston
San Antonio Co: Bexar TX 78234–5000
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030110
Status: Excess
Comment: 2456 sq. ft., presence of asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—family house, off-site use only

Bldg. 4422
Fort Hood
Ft. Hood Co: Bell TX 76544–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030111
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., most recent use—barracks, off-site use only

Bldg. 4423
Fort Hood
Ft. Hood Co: Bell TX 76544–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030112
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., most recent use—barracks, off-site use only

Bldg. 4462
Fort Hood
Ft. Hood Co: Bell TX 76544–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030113
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., most recent use—barracks, off-site use only

Bldg. 4463
Fort Hood
Ft. Hood Co: Bell TX 76544–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030114
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., most recent use—barracks, off-site use only

Bldg. 4464
Fort Hood
Ft. Hood Co: Bell TX 76544–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030115
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., most recent use—barracks, off-site use only

Bldg. 4469
Fort Hood
Ft. Hood Co: Bell TX 76544–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030116
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., most recent use—barracks, off-site use only

Bldg. 8
Grand Prairie Reserve
Complex
Grand Prairie Co: Dallas TX 75051–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030117
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 32,500 sq. ft., poor condition, presence of asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—quarters, off-site use only

Virginia

Bldgs. P00526, P00527
Fort A.P. Hill
Bowling Green Co: VA 22427–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030118
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 144 sq. ft., most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldgs. 1630, 1633, 1636
Fort Eustis
Ft. Eustis Co: VA 22604–
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030119
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 720 sq. ft., most recent use—storehouse, off-site use only

Bldg. CEP–6
Naval Station
Norfolk Co: VA 23511–
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77200030063
Status: Excess
Comment: 1056 sq. ft., most recent use—storage, off-site use only

Bldg. CEP–210
Naval Station
Norfolk Co: VA 23511–
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77200030064
Status: Excess
Comment: 2346 sq. ft., off-site use only

Washington

Bldg. 607
Vancouver Barracks
Vancouver Co: Clark WA 98661–3826
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030120
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 10,120 sq. ft., National Historic Preservation Act requirements, most recent use—office

Bldg. 614
Vancouver Barracks
Vancouver Co: Clark WA 98661–3826
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030121
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 38,981 sq. ft., National Historic Preservation Act requirements, most recent use—admin.

Bldg. 626
Vancouver Barracks
Vancouver Co: Clark WA 98661–3826
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030122
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1710 sq. ft., National Historic Preservation Act requirements, most recent use—admin.

Bldg. 628
Vancouver Barracks
Vancouver Co: Clark WA 98661–3826
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030123
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2621 sq. ft., National Historic Preservation Act requirements, most recent use—admin.

Bldg. 636
Vancouver Barracks
Vancouver Co: Clark WA 98661–3826
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030124
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 9686 sq. ft., National Historic Preservation Act requirements, most recent use—admin.

Bldg. 638
Vancouver Barracks
Vancouver Co: Clark WA 98661–3826
Landholding Agency: Army
Property Number: 21200030125
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 33,822 sq. ft., National Historic Preservation Act requirements, most recent use—admin.

West Virginia

Moundsville Federal Bldg.
7th Street
Moundsville Co: Marshal WV 26041–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020024
Status: Excess
Comment: 9674 sq. ft., good condition, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office space
GSA Number: 4–G–WV–535

Land (by State)

Arizona

0.322 acres
Madison Street Property
Yuma Co: AZ 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020025
Status: Excess
Comment: 14,026 sq. ft., irregular in shape, most recent use—former railroad right-of-way
GSA Number: 9–I–AZ–814

Idaho

25′ x 100′ Site
1520 N St. & 2290 E St.
Rogerson Co: Twin Falls ID 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA

Property Number: 54200010007
Status: Unutilized
Comment: lot too small to meet minimum size for residence zoning/agriculture, no sewer service
GSA Number: 9–A–ID–545

Maine

Irish Ridge NEXRAD Site
Loring AFB
Fort Fairfield Co: Aroostook ME 04742–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 18199640017
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3.491 acres in fee simple
GSA Number: 0–0–ME–000

Ohio

Jersey Tower Site
Tract No. 100 & 100E
Jersey Co: Licking OH 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910013
Status: Surplus
Comment: 4.24 acres, subject to preservation of wetlands
GSA Number: 1–W–OH–813

Licking County Tower Site
Summit & Haven Corner Rds.
Pataskala Co: Licking OH 43062–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020021
Status: Excess
Comment: Parcel 100 = 3.67 acres, Parcel 100E = 0.57 acres
GSA Number: 1–W–OH–813

Wyoming

Flying J
Shoshone Project
Park Co: WY 82414–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020022
Status: Excess
Comment: approximately 46.35 acres, no utilities, most recent use—oil refinery
GSA Number: 7–1–WY–0539A

Suitable/Unavailable Properties

Buildings (by State)

California

112 Bldgs.—Skaggs Island
Naval Security Group
Skaggs Island Co: Sonoma CA
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199730001
Status: Excess
Comment: 32–13,374 sq. ft., temp. quonset huts to perm. wood/concrete most recent use—housing, admin., support facilities, remote location, below sea level, high maintenance
GSA Number: 9–N–CA–1488

Marine Culture Laboratory
Granite Canyon
34500 Coast Highway
Monterey CA 93940–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199830011
Status: Surplus
Comment: 3297 sq. ft. office bldg. & lab on 4.553 acres, envir. clean-up plans scheduled
GSA Number: 9–C–CA–1499

Natl Weather Svc Station
Blue Canyon Airport
Emigrant Gap CA 95715–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199840007
Status: Surplus
Comment: 3140 sq. ft., presence of asbestos, most recent use—ofc/residential/storage, land agreements w/U.S. Forest Service exist, special use permit
GSA Number: 9–C–CA–1521

Naval & Marine Corps Readiness
1700 Stadium Way
Los Angeles Co: Los Angeles CA 90012–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910005
Status: Excess
Comment: 133,484 sq. ft., suffered seismic damage, presence of asbestos/lead paint, historic convenants, 45% of property will revert to City
GSA Number: 9–N–CA–1523

Eureka Federal Building
5th & H Streets
Eureka Co: CA 95501–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930024
Status: Excess
Comment: 23,959 gross sq. ft., needs rehab, presence of asbestos/lead paint, most recent use—post office/office, listed on the National Register of Historic Places
GSA Number: 9–G–CA–1529

Florida

Crooked River Lighthouse
Carrabelle Co: Franklin FL 32322–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940017
Status: Excess
Comment: Lighthouse on 1.29 acres, possible lead base paint, listed on National Register of Historic Places
GSA Number: 4–U–FL–1165

Georgia

Federal Building
109 N. Main Street
Lafayette Co: Walker GA 30728–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910014
Status: Excess
Comment: approx. 4761 sq. ft., does not meet ADA requirements for accessibility, easements/reservations restrictions, historic protective covenants
GSA Number: 4–G–GA–858

Illinois

Radar Communication Link
½ mi east of 116th St.
Co: Will IL
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199820013
Status: Excess
Comment: 297 sq. ft. concrete block bldg. with radar tower antenna, possible lead based paint, most recent use—air traffic control
GSA Number: 2–U–IL–696

Army Reserve Center
1881 East Fremont Street
Galesburg Co: Knox IL 61401–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940008
Status: Excess
Comment: 2 brick buildings (6117 & 1325 sq. ft.), utilities turned off, need repairs, most recent use—storage
GSA Number: 1–D–IL–720

Indiana

Former Army Reserve Center
White Oak Park
LaPorte Co: LaPorte IN 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199920003
Status: Excess
Comment: two—1600 sq. ft. picnic shelters, 4358 sq. ft. paved road, 200 sq. ft. rest room
GSA Number: 1–GR(1)–IN–430E

Maryland

Washington Court Apartments
Maryland Rt. 755
Edgewood Co: Harford MD 21040–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940005
Status: Excess
Comment: 55 bldgs. housing 276 apartments, (2 to 4 bedrooms), need repairs, presence of lead based paint, property published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–MD–559

De LaSalle Bldg.
4900 LaSalle Road
Avondale Co: Prince Georges MD 20782–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020007
Status: Excess
Comment: 130,000 sq. ft., multi-story on 17.79 acres, extensive rehab required, presence of asbestos/lead paint/pigeon infestation, subj. to easements, eligible for Natl Register
GSA Number: 4–G–MD–565A

Cheltenham Naval Comm. Dtchmt.
9190 Commo Rd., AKA 7700
Redman Rd.
Clinton Co: Prince Georges MD 20397–5520
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 77199330010
Status: Excess
Comment: 32 bldgs., various sq. ft., most recent use—admin/com, & 39 family housing units on 230.35 acres, presence of lead paint/asbestos, 20.09 acres leased to County w/improvements
GSA Number: 4–N–MD–544A

Michigan

Detroit Job Corps Center
10401 E. Jefferson & 1438
Garland;
1265 St. Clair
Detroit Co: Wayne MI 42128–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199510002
Status: Surplus
Comment: Main bldg. is 80,590 sq. ft., 5-story, adjacent parking lot, 2nd bldg. on St. Clair Ave. is 5140 sq. ft., presence of asbestos in main bldg., to be vacated 8/97
GSA Number: 2–L–MI–757

Parcel 1
Old Lifeboat Station
East Tawas Co: Iosco MI
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199730011
Status: Excess
Comment: 2062 sq. ft. station bldg., garage, boathouse, oilhouse, possible asbestos/lead paint, eligible for listing on National Register of Historic Places
GSA Number: 1–UU–MI–500

Minnesota

MG Clement Trott Mem. USARC
Walker Co: Cass MN 56484–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 5419930003
Status: Excess
Comment: 4320 sq. ft. training center and 1316 sq. ft. vehicle maintenance shop, presence of environmental conditions
GSA Number: 1–D–MN–575

Mississippi

Federal Building
236 Sharkey Street
Clarksdale Co: Coahoma MS 38614–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910004
Status: Excess
Comment: 15,233 sq. ft., courthouse
GSA Number: 4–G–MS–553

North Carolina

Tarheel Army Missile Plant
Burlington Co: Alamance NC 27215–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199820002
Status: Excess
Comment: 31 bldgs., presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin., warehouse, production space and 10.04 acres parking area, contamination at site—environmental clean up in process
GSA Number: 4–D–NC–593

Coinjock Station
Canal Road
Coinjock Co: Currituck NC 27293–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199840010
Status: Excess
Comment: 4 bldgs., most recent use—storage/office GSA Number: 4–U–NC–734

Vehicle Maint. Facility
310 New Bern Ave.
Raleigh Co: Wake NC 27601–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020012
Status: Excess
Comment: 10,455 sq. ft., most recent use—maintenance garage
GSA Number: NC076AB

Goldsboro Federal Bldg.
134 North John Street
Goldsboro Co: Wayne NC 27530–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020016
Status: Excess
Comment: 24,492 sq.ft., presence of asbestos/lead paint
GSA Number: 4–G–NC–736

Ohio

Zanesville Federal Building
65 North Fifth Street
Zanesville Co: Muskingum OH
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199520018
Status: Excess
Comment: 18750 sq. ft., most recent use—office, possible asbestos, eligible for listing on the Natl Register of Historic Places
GSA Number: 2–G–OH–781A

Lorain Housing
238–240 Augusta Ave.
Lorain OH 44051–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199840006
Status: Excess
Comment: 3000 sq. ft. duplex, 2-story, good condition, possible lead based paint, existing easements
GSA Number: 1–U–OH–814

Oklahoma

Fed. Bldg./Courthouse
N. Washington & Broadway Streets
Ardmore Co: Carter OK 73402–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199820009
Status: Excess
Comment: 4000 sq. ft. bldg. w/parking, 3 story plus basement, most recent use—office, subject to historic preservation covenants
GSA Number: 7–G–TX–559

Oregon

Gus Solomon U.S. Courthouse
620 SW Main Street
Portland Co: Multnomah OR 97205–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199730023
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 15,775 sq. ft., 7-story, does not meet Federal seismic requirements, National Register of Historic Places, pending lease
GSA Number: 7–G–OR–724

Tennessee

3 Facilities, Guard Posts
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930011
Status: Surplus
Comment: 48–64 sq. ft., most recent use—access control, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

4 Bldgs.
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Railroad System Facilities
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930012
Status: Surplus
Comment: 14–2,420 sq. ft., most recent use—storage/rail weighing facilities/dock, potential use restrictions, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

8 Bldgs.
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Missile Assembly
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37412–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930013
Status: Surplus
Comment: concrete block bldgs. on approx. 100 acres, most recent use—assembly/storage/buffer, potential use restrictions, property was published in error as available on 2/11/000
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

200 bunkers
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Storage Magazines
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930014
Status: Surplus
Comment: approx. 200 concrete bunkers covering a land area of approx. 4000 acres, most recent use—storage/buffer area, potential use restrictions, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

Bldg. 232
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930020
Status: Surplus
Comment: 10,000 sq. ft., most recent use—office, presence of asbestos, approx. 5 acres associated w/bldg., potential use restrictions, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

2 Laboratories
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930021
Status: Surplus
Comment: 2000–12,000 sq. ft., potential use/lease restrictions, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

3 Facilities
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Water Distribution
Facilities
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930022
Status: Surplus
Comment: 256–15,204 sq. ft., 35.86 acres associated w/bldgs., most recent use—water distribution system, potential use/lease restrictions, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

Naval Hospital
5720 Integrity Drive
Millington Co: Shelby TN 38054–
Location: Bldgs. 98, 100, 103, 105, 111, 114, 116, 117, 118
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020005
Status: Excess
Comment: 9 bldgs., various sq. ft., need major rehab
GSA Number: 4–N–TN–648

Virginia

Army Reserve Center
1 West Church St.
Martinsville Co: Henry VA 24112–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930010
Status: Excess
Comment: 12,225 sq. ft., 3 stories, most recent use—office, 2,250 sq. ft. leased to Postal Service, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–VA–719

Washington

Moses Lake U.S. Army Rsv Ctr
Grant County Airport
Moses Lake Co: Grant WA 98837–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 21199630118
Status: Surplus
Comment: 4499 sq. ft./2.86 acres, most recent use—admin., temporary permit from COE granted to an organization, FAA recommended land not be used for

residential use due to aircraft noise problem, restriction
GSA Number; 9–D–WA–1141

Wisconsin

Wausau Federal Building
317 First Street
Wausau Co: Marathon WI 54401–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199820016
Status: Excess
Comment: 30,500 sq. ft., presence of asbestos, eligible for listing on the Natl Register of Historic Places, most recent use—office
GSA Number: 1–G–WI–593

Army Reserve Center
401 Fifth Street
Kewaunee Co: WI 54216–1838
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940004
Status: Excess
Comment: 2 admin. bldgs. (15,593 sq. ft.), 1 garage (1325 sq. ft.), need repairs, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 1–D–WI–597

Land (by State)

Maryland

12.52 acres
Casson Neck
Cambridge Co: Dorchester MD 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020020
Status: Excess
Comment: 12.52 acres, possible restrictions due to wetlands
GSA Number: 4–U–MD–600A

Michigan

Parcel 3, Parcel B
East Tawas Co: Iosco MI
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199730013
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.02 acres of land, wooded and primarily wetlands, restricted access
GSA Number: 1–U–MI–500

Mississippi

Proposed Site
Army Reserve Center
Waynesboro Co: Wayne MS 39367–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200010005
Status: Excess
Comment: 7.60 acres, most recent use—pine plantation, periodic flooding, possible wetlands on 30–40% of property
GSA Number: 4–D–MS–0555

North Carolina

6.45 acres
Portion of McKinney Lake
Fish Hatchery
Millstone Church Road
Hoffman Co: Richmond NC 28347
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020011
Status: Excess
Comment: 6.45 acres, most recent use—outdoor horticulture classes
GSA Number: 4–GR–NC–570

Puerto Rico

La Hueca—Naval Station
Roosevelt Roads
Vieques PR 00765–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199420006
Status: Excess
Comment: 323 acres, cultural site

Bahia Rear Range Light
Ocean Drive
Catano Co: PR 00632–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940003
Status: Excess
Comment: 0.167 w/skeletal tower, fenced, aid to navigation
GSA Number: 1–T–PR–508

Tennessee

1500 acres
Volunteer Army Ammunition
Plant
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930015
Status: Surplus
Comment: scattered throughout facility, most recent use—buffer area, steep topography, potential use restrictions, property was published in error as available on 2/11/00
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

Unsuitable Properties

Buildings (by State)

Alabama

Sand Island Light House
Gulf of Mexico
Mobile AL
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199610001
Status: Excess
Reason: Inaccessible
GSA Number: 4–U–AL–763

Mobile Point Light
Gulf Shores Co: Baldwin AL 36542–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940011
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 4–U–AL–767

California

Old SF Mint
88 5th Street
San Francisco Co: CA 94103–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910017
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 9–G–CA–1531

Bldg. 21091
Marine Corps Air Station
Miramar Co: San Diego CA 92132—
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77200030058
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Bldg. 21127
Marine Corps Air Station
Miramar Co: San Diego CA 92132–
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77200030059
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Bldg. 9919
Marine Corps Air Station
Miramar Co: San Diego CA 92132–
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77200030060
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Bldg. 9920
Marine Corps Air Station
Miramar Co: San Diego CA 92132–
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77200030061
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Florida

Cape St. George Lighthouse
St. George Island Co: Franklin FL 32328–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940012
Status: Excess
Reasons: Floodway, Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 4–U–FL–1167

Boca Grande Range
Rear Light
Gasparilla Island Co: Lee FL 33921–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940013
Status: Excess
Reason: Floodway
GSA Number: 4–U–FL–1169

Sanibel Island Light
Sanibel Co: Lee FL 33957–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940014
Status: Excess
Reason: Floodway
GSA Number: 4–U–FL–1162

Amelia Island Light
Fernandina Beach Co: Nassau FL 32034–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940016
Status: Excess
Reason: Secured Area
GSA Number: 4–U–FL–1171

Georgia

Stored Products Insects
R&D Lab
3401 Edwin Street
Savannah Co: Chatham GA 31403–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200010003
Status: Excess
Reason: Floodway
GSA Number: 4–A–GA–861

Range Rear Light
Blythe Island
Brunswick Co: Glynn GA 31525–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020001
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 4–U–GA–863

Hawaii

Portion, Bellows AFS
DE#1, Parcel 5A
Waimanalo Co: Oahu HI 96795–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930025
Status: Surplus
Reason: Floodway
GSA Number: 9–D–HI–574

Idaho

Moore Hall U.S. Army Rsve Ctr
1575 N. Skyline Dr.
Idaho Falls Co: Bonneville ID 83401–

Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 21199720207
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 9–D–ID–544

Illinois

Navy Family Housing
18-units
Hanna City Co: Peoria IL 61536–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940018
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 1–N–IL–723

Kansas

Sunflower AAP
DeSoto Co: Johnson KS 66018–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199830010
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 7–D–KSL–0581

Massachusetts

Frederick Murphy Federal Ctr
424 Trapelo Road
Waltham Co: MA 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199920005
Status: Surplus
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 1–G–MA–0848

Michigan

15 Offshore Lighthouses
Great Lankes MI
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199630014
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Parcel 14, Boat House
East Tawas Co: Iosco MI
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199730014
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 1–U–MI–500

Round Island Light
Lake Huron
Lake Huron Co: Mackinac MI
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199730019
Status: Excess
Reason: Inaccessible
GSA Number: 1–U–MI–444B

Tracts 100–1
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Co: Keweenaw MI 49913–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199840003
Status: Excess
Reason: no legal access
GSA Number: 1–D–MI–659A

Tract 100–2, 100–3
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Co: Keweenaw MI 49913–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199840004
Status: Excess
Reason: no legal access
GSA Number: 1–D–MI–659A

Federal Bldg.
Benton Harbor
174/5 Territorial Road
Benton Harbor Co: Berrien MI 49022–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020003
Status: Excess
Reason: With 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 1–G–MI–796

Navy Housing
64 Barberry Drive
Springfield Co: Calhoun MI 49015–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020013
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 1–N–MI–795

Minnesota

Naval Ind. Rsv Ordnance Plant
Minneapolis Co: MN 55421–1498
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930004
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 1–N–MI–570

Nike Battery Site, MS–40
Castle Rock Township
Farmington Co: MN 00000–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020004
Status: Surplus
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 1–I–MN–451–B

Nevada

Former Weather Service Office
Winnemucca Airport
Winnemucca Co: Humbolt NV 89445–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199810001
Status: Excess
Reason: Within airport runway clear zone
GSA Number: 9–C–NV–509

6 Bldgs.
Dale Street Complex
300, 400, 500, 600, Block
Bldg, Valve House
Boulder City Co: NV 89005–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020017
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: LC–00–01–RP

New Jersey

Telephone Repeater Site
U.S. Coast Guard
Monmouth Beach Co: Monmouth Beach NJ 07750–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199910001
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 1–U–NJ–628

Parcel A–1, Bldg. 228
Raritan Center
2890 Woodbridge Avenue
Edison Co: NJ 08837–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200020009
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 1–Z–NJ–440–O

New York

2 Offshore Lighthouses
Great Lakes NY
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199630015
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Ohio

Toledo Harbor Lighthouse
Lake Erie
Toledo Co: Lucas OH 43611–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199710014
Status: Excess
Reason: Inaccessible
GSA Number: 1–U–OH–801

Toledo Federal Building
234 Summit Avenue
Toledo Co: Lucas OH 43604–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199810014
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 1–G–H–804

Oregon

Portion, Former Kingsley Field
Air Force Base
Arnold Ave. & Joe Wright Rd.
Klamath Falls Co: Klamath OR 97603–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199810003
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 10–D–OR–434–J

Troutdale Materials Lab
Troutdale Co: Multnomah OR 97060–9501
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199830009
Status: Surplus
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 9–D–OR–729

Puerto Rico

Dry Dock & Ship Repair Fac.
U.S. Navy
San Juan PR
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199710012
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material; Floodway
GSA Number: 1–N–PR–491

Tennessee

22 Bldgs.
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Warehouses (Souther Portion)
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 5419930016
Status: Surplus
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

17 Bldgs.
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Acid Production
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930017

Status: Surplus
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material contamination
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

41 Facilities
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
TNT Production
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930018
Status: Surplus
Reason: contamination
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

5 Facilities
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Waste Water Treatment
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930019
Status: Surplus
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

6 Bldgs.
Volunteer Army Ammunition Plant
Offices (Southern Portion)
Chattanooga Co: Hamilton TN 37421–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930023
Status: Surplus
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 4–D–TN–594F

Texas

Station Port Mansfield
Port Mansfield Co: Willary TX 78598–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199930008
Status: Surplus
Reason: Floodway
GSA Number: 7–U–TX–1057

Portion-Port O'Connor Housing
1125 Brook Hollow Drive
Port Lavaca Co: Calhoun TX 77979–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940006
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration
GSA Number: 7–U–TX–1056

Washington

Bldg. 844
Former Park Place Enlisted Club
808 Burwell St.
Bremerton Co: Kitsap WA 98314–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199840002
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 9–D–WA–1164

Wisconsin

2 Offshore Lighthouses
Great Lakes WI
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199630016
Status: Excess
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Land (by State)

Florida

(P) Ponce de Leon Inlet
2999 N. Peninsula Ave.
New Smyrna Beach Co: Volusia FL 32169–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199940015
Status: Excess
Reason: Floodway
GSA Number: 4–U–FL–1170

Guam

Submerged Lands
Ritidian Point GU
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199640003
Status: Excess
Reason: Inaccessible
GSA Number: 9–N–GU–437

Kentucky

9 Tracts
Daniel Boone National Forest
Co: Owsley KY 37902–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199620012
Status: Excess
Reason: Floodway
GSA Number: 4–G–KY–607

Massachusetts

Comm. Annex #1 (Former)
Granby Co: Hampshire MA 01033–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200010002
Status: Excess
Reason: Within airport runway clear zone
GSA Number: 1–D–MA–0856

Michigan

Port/EPA Large Lakes Rsch Lab
Grosse Ile Twp Co: Wayne MI
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199720022
Status: Excess
Reason: Within airport runway clear zone
GSA Number: 1–Z–MI–554–A

Ohio

Lewis Research Center
Cedar Point Road
Cleveland Co: Cuyahoga OH 44135–
Property Number: 54199610007
Status: Excess
Reasons: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material; Within airport runway clear zone
GSA Number: 2–Z–OH–598–I

Pennsylvania

Novak Estate Land
Moon Township Co: Allegheny PA 15222–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54200010006
Status: Excess
Reason: Inaccessible
GSA Number: 4–G–PA–787

Washington

Tract B–201
Geiger Heights Lagoon
Spokane Co: WA 99210–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 18199930014
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or explosive material
GSA Number: 9–D–WA–1180

Wyoming

Cody Industrial Area
Cody Co: Park WY 82414–
Landholding Agency: GSA
Property Number: 54199740008
Status: Excess
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable of explosive material
GSA Number: 7–I–WY–0539

[FR Doc. 00–23455 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–29–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Information Collection To Be Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Approval Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)

ACTION: New Information Collection.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) plans to submit the collection of information requirement described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). You may obtain copies of the collection requirement and related forms and explanatory material by contacting the Service's Information Collection Clearance Officer at the phone number listed below. The Service is soliciting comments and suggestions on the requirement as described below.

DATES: Interested parties must submit comments on or before November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should send comments and suggestions on the requirement to Rebecca A. Mullin, Information Collection Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Suite 222, Arlington, VA 22203, (703) 358–2287 or *Rebecca Mullins@fws.gov* E-mail.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Hicks, (703) 358–1851, fax (703) 358–1837, or *Jack Hicks@fws.gov* E-mail.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Forms: Summary Information for Ranking National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program Proposal.

Description and Use: The Service administers the National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant program authorized by the Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act. The Service uses the information collected to evaluate proposals under this program. This includes summarized information on habitat, coastal barriers, levels of conservation, watershed management, threatened and/or endangered species potentially involved, benefits of the restoration proposed, partners, cost sharing, education/outreach impact, impact on wildlife-oriented recreation and other

benefits and determining if the estimated cost is reasonable.

Service Form Numbers: 3–2179 (Summary Information for Ranking National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program Porposals).

Supplemental Information: The Service plans to submit the following informatioin collection requirements to OMB for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments are invited on (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of burden of the collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (4) ways to minimize the burden of collection of information on respondents, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Frequency: Generally annually.

Description of Respondents: States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa.

Completion Time and Annual Response and Burden Estimate:

Form name	Completion time per form	Annual response	Annual burden
Summary Information for Ranking National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program Proposals.	½ hour	35 Forms	17½ Hours.

While the summary form is five pages long the ½ hour estimated burden is accurate. Agencies applying for grants will have all of the information readily available in the proposals they have prepared.

BILLING CODE 4310–55–M

OMB Control Number 1018-XXXX
Approval Expires xx/xx/xxxx

Summary Information for Ranking National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program Proposals

Title: _____

Costs: Coastal Wetlands Program Request _____
State _____
Other (Federal) _____
Other (Non-Federal) _____ Total _____

Summary: Include a 2-5 sentence paragraph describing the project and its resource benefits.

(1) **Wetlands Conservation: What is the breakdown by habitat type for the wetlands being conserved?**

Habitat type	Number of acres	Percentage of total project area
Declining coastal wetlands		
Stable coastal wetlands		
Total wetlands		
Upland		
Total project acres		

(2) **Maritime Forest on Coastal Barriers: What plant species are present that are indicative of maritime forest as defined in the criteria?**

Common name	Scientific name	Prevalence (rare, common, predominant)

Form 3-2179 06/00

(3) **Long-term conservation: How long will the habitat benefits be provided by the project?**

	Benefits in perpetuity (number of acres)	Benefits for 26-99 years (number of acres)	Benefits for 10-25 years (number of acres)
Easements			
Fee-title (no restoration)			
Fee-title (that will also be restored)			
Not acquired, Restored only			
Not acquired, Enhanced only			
Other (please explain)			
Total			

(4) **Coastal watershed management: How will this project help achieve the goals of specific management plans and efforts?**

Management plan or effort	How this project helps implement its goals

(5a) **Conservation of threatened and endangered species: What are the benefits to federally listed species, candidates, or recently delisted species?**

Common name	Scientific name	Status	Project benefits	Does the project support goals of a Recovery Plan or HCP? List plan and goal.

(5b) **What are the benefits to State species of concern?**

Common name	Scientific name	Status	Project benefits	Does the project support goals of a specific Recovery Plan? List plan and goal.

(6) **Benefits to fish: What are benefits to anadromous, interjuristictional, and other important species?**

Common name	Scientific name	Project benefits	Does the project help meet specific management goals? List plan and goal.

(7) **Coastal-dependent or migratory birds: What are the benefits to specific coastal-dependent or migratory species?**

Common name	Scientific name	Benefits	Does project help meet the goals of a specific management plan? List plan and goal.

(8) **Prevent or reduce contamination: What are the contaminants benefits?**

Contaminant	Benefits	Does project help meet the goals of a specific management plan? List plan and goal.

(9) **Catalyst for future conservation: What other conservation efforts that would benefit from this project?**

Projects	Benefits

(10) **Partners in conservation: What are the sources and amounts of financial support being provided by partners?**

Organizations/individuals providing match	Monetary value of support

(11) **Federal share reduced: Is the Federal match share reduced by contributions from State and other non-Federal sources? To what extent? (See instructions for guidance on the calculation.)**

Total project costs	
Required State match	
Additional cash contribution	
Percent increase over required match (see instructions)	

(12) **Education/outreach or wildlife-oriented recreation: What site-specific educational or outreach programs or wildlife-oriented recreation programs or products are made possible by this project?**

Site-specific programs or products	Audience/Participants	Estimated size of audience/participants

(13) **Other factors: What other benefits does the project provide?**

Other factors	How the project addresses them

Other considerations/tie-breakers:

1) **Is the habitat imminently threatened?**

Yes ___ No ___

Threat(s)	Severity

2. **Does the site have unique and significant diversity?**

Yes ___ No ___

Unique biodiversity	Supportive evidence

3) **What are the costs per acre?** (Lesser costs would be preferable, all other factors being equal).

Habitat conservation approach	Costs per acre
Acquisition	
Easements	
Restoration	

4a) **Are there new sources of funds, lands, or services are being applied to this project?** (As opposed to lands already owned by the State or third party that are being offered as match).

Yes ___ No___

(4b) **What percentage of the funds, lands, or services is new?** _____percent.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUMMARY INFORMATION FOR RANKING
NATIONAL COASTAL WETLANDS CONSERVATION GRANT PROGRAM PROPOSALS

A. Prepare your grant proposal.

B. Guidance and examples for calculation for question #11.

NOTE TO APPLICANTS AND REVIEWERS: *The purpose of this criteria is to increase the amount of match dollars from non-Federal sources. This decreases the need for Federal match dollars, so that Federal dollars can help more projects.*

Documentation of each partner's financial commitment must accompany the proposal to receive points.

If the State itself provides the excess match they should receive credit for reducing the Federal share.

Each 5 percent above the required match would be approximately equal to 1 point.

The following two examples, using both a 50 and 75 percent Federal match share, define a 10 percent increase in a State's match amount.

EXAMPLE 1 - 50 Percent Federal Match

If the total project costs are:	$ 100,000
Then the required State match share is:	$ 50,000
If the State or a partner provides an additional cash contribution equal to 10 percent of the $50,000*:	$5,000

This is defined as a 10 percent increase in the State match

EXAMPLE 2 - 75 Percent Federal Match

If the total project costs are:	$ 100,000
Then the required State match share is:	$ 25,000
If the State or a partner provides an additional cash contribution equal to 10 percent of the $25,000*:	$2,500

This is defined as a 10 percent increase in the State match.

* *From sources other than Federal agencies. Natural Resource Damage Assessment funds may in some cases be defined as "non-Federal." See discussion under 50 CFR 84.46 "What are the cost sharing requirements?"*

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 350 1) and the Privacy Act of 1974 (U.S.C. 552), please be advised that:

The gathering of information from applicants to gain benefits is authorized under the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 777-777k) and the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669-669i). Information from this form will be used to formalize and execute Grant Agreements and Amendment to Grant Agreements issued under these and other Acts. Your participation in completing this form is required to obtain benefits. Once submitted this form becomes public information and is not protected under the Privacy Act. The public reporting burden for this form is estimated at one-half hour per response, including time for gathering information, completing, reviewing and obtaining signature. Direct comments to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, 1018-XXXX, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS 222-ARLSQ; 1849 C Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20240.

An agency may not conduct and a person is not required to complete a collection of information unless a currently valid OMB control number is displayed.

Form 3-2179 06-00

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Rebecca A. Mullin,

Service Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23789 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–C

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[MT–925–2810–XU–241E]

Notice of Special Fire Restrictions—Restrictions and Conditions of Use in the Miles City, Malta, Billings and Lewistown Field Offices, Montana

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 9212.2, the following acts are prohibited on all Bureau of Land Management lands in Liberty, Hill, Blaine, Phillips, Valley, Daniels, Sheridan, Roosevelt, Choteau, Judith Basin, Fergus, Petroleum, Garfield, McCone, Richland, Dawson, Prairie, Wibaux, Wheatland, Golden Valley, Musselshell, Yellowstone, Big Horn, Treasure, Rosebud, Custer, Powder River, Fallon, and Carter counties. These restrictions will become effective at 12:01 MDT September 12, 2000. They will remain in effect until rescinded or revoked. They replace the restrictions enacted on August 31, 2000, which are hereby terminated.

Building, maintaining, attending, or using a campfire except at a developed, designated recreation site or campground (43 CFR 9212.1(h)). Gas and liquid-fueled stoves and lanterns are permitted.

Smoking, except within an enclosed vehicle or building; at an improved place of habitation; at a developed, designated recreation site or campground; or while stopped in an area at least 3 feet in diameter that is cleared of all flammable material (43 CFR 9212.1(h)).

Use of chainsaws or other equipment with internal combustion engines for felling, bucking, skidding, wood cutting, road building, and other high fire risk operations between 1 p.m. and 1 a.m. local time. Exceptions are helicopter yarding and earth moving on areas of cleared and bare soil. Sawing incidental to loading operations on cleared landings is not necessarily restricted (43 CFR 9212.1(h)).

Using chainsaws or other equipment with internal combustion engines for felling, bucking, skidding, wood cutting or any other operation within areas having a significant accumulation of dead or down slash or timber (43 CFR 9212.1(h)).

Welding, blasting (except seismic operations confined by ten or more feet of soil, sand or cuttings), and other activities with a high potential for causing forest fires (43 CFR 9212.1(h)).

A patrol is required for a period of two hours after any woods operations including felling, bucking, skidding, woodcutting, or road building cease. A patrol is also required for one hour following the cessation of all work activity. The patrolperson's responsibilities include checking for compliance with required fire precautions.

Possessing or using motorized vehicles such as, but not limited to cars, trucks, trail bikes, motorcycles and all terrain vehicles off existing roads and trails (43 CFR 9212.1(h)) except for persons with a grazing, oil and gas or mining permit performing activities in accordance with their permit.

Exemptions to the above prohibitions are allowed only for any Federal, State, or local officer, or member of an organized law enforcement, rescue, or firefighting force in the performance of an official duty, or persons with a permit or written authorization allowing the otherwise prohibited act or omission.

Violation of this order is prohibited by the provisions of the regulations cited. Under 43 CFR 9212.4, any violation is subject to punishment by a fine of not more than $1,000 or imprisonment of not more than 12 months, or both.

DATES: Restrictions go into effect at 12:01 am on Tuesday, September 12, 2000, and will remain in effect until further notice.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to BLM Montana State Director, Attention: Pat Mullaney, P.O. Box 36800, Billings, Montana 59107–6800.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pat Mullaney, Fire Management Specialist, 406–896–2915.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Roberta A. Moltzen,

Acting State Director.

[FR Doc. 00–23851 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–$$–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[OR–035–00–1150–ES : GPO–0364]

Notice of Realty Action; Recreation and Public Purposes (R&PP) Act Classification; Oregon

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The following public lands in Baker county, Oregon have been examined and found suitable for classification for lease to the Oregon Travel Information Council under the provisions of the Recreation and Public Purposes Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 869 *et seq.*). The Oregon Travel Information Council purposes to use the lands for a highway visitor parking area with an Oregon Trail historical interpretive sign.

Williamette Meridian

T. 09S., R. 41E.,

Sec. 06, SE¼NE¼SE¼.

Containing 0.12 acres more or less.

The lands are not needed for Federal purposes. Lease is consistent with current Bureau of Land Management (BLM) land use planning and would be in the public interest.

The lease, when issued, will be subject to the following terms, conditions and reservations:

1. Provisions of the Recreation and Public Purposes Act and to all applicable regulations of the secretary of the Interior.

2. All valid existing rights documented on the official public land records at the time of lease issuance.

3. All minerals shall be reserved to the United States, together with the right to prospect for, mine, and remove the minerals.

4. Any other reservations that the authorized officer determines appropriate to ensure public access and proper management of Federal lands and interests therein.

Upon publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the lands will be segregated from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws, except for lease under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act and leasing under the mineral leasing laws. For a period of 45 days from the date of publication of this notice, interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed classification of the lands to the District Manager. Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the State Director. In the absence of any adverse comments, the classification will become effective 60 days from the date of publication of this notice.

Upon the effective date of classification, the lands will be open to the filing of an application under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act by any interested, qualified applicant. If, after 18 months following the effective date of classification, an application has not been filed, the segregative effect of the classification shall automatically expire and the lands classified shall return to their former status without further action by the authorized officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susie Manezes, Bureau of Land Management, Vale District, 100 Oregon Street, Vale, OR 97918.

Juan Palma,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 00–23708 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–33–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets the schedule for a meeting of the Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve Advisory Committee. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463).

DATE, TIME, AND ADDRESS: Wednesday, October 4, 2000; 9 a.m. until business and public comment are complete; Chase County Community Building, Swope Park, Walnut and County Road, Cottonwood Falls, Kansas.

This business meeting is open to the public. Space and facilities to accommodate members of the public are limited and people will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. An agenda will be available from the Superintendent 1 week prior to the meeting. Attendees are encouraged to participate in these meetings. If you would like to address the committee, please contact the Superintendent by September 29, 2000, at the address or telephone number listed below requesting that your name be added to the agenda. Depending on the number of requests, the Superintendent has the right to limit the amount of time each participant is allowed to address this committee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Miller, Superintendent, Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve, P.O. Box 585, Cottonwood Falls, Kansas 66845; or telephone him at 316–273–6034.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Tallgrass Prairie National Preserve was established by Public Law 104–333, dated November 12, 1996.

Dated: September 6, 2000.

David N. Given,

Deputy Regional Director, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 00–23731 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of New Policy Interpreting the National Park Service (NPS) Organic Act

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of new policy.

SUMMARY: The Director of the NPS has approved ''Director's Order #55: Interpreting the National Park Service Organic Act.'' This Director's Order adopts section 1.4 of NPS ''Management Policies'' in advance of adopting the entire 10-chapter volume. We have done this so that all NPS employees will have access to our official interpretation of the 1916 NPS Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1) and the 1978 amendment to the National Park System General Authorities Act of 1970 (16 U.S.C. 1a–1). These statutes, taken together, are the primary source of guidance for managing the national parks. All NPS personnel must conduct their work activities and make decisions affecting the national park system in conformance with the interpretation in this Director's Order.

ADDRESSES: Director's Order #55 is available on the Internet at http://www.nps.gov/refdesk/DOrders/index.htm#new. Requests for paper copies should be sent to: NPS Office of Policy, Room 2414, Main Interior Building, Washington, DC 20240. Copies may also be obtained by calling (202) 208–7456.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Chick Fagan at (202) 208–7456.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A draft of proposed revisions to NPS ''Management Policies'' was issued for a 60-day public review and comment period, beginning January 19, 2000 [65 FR 2984]. We subsequently considered all the comments received, and will adopt the year 2000 edition of Management Policies in the near future. However, due to the importance of instituting as soon as possible a Service-wide interpretation of the most salient provisions of the Organic Act and General Authorities Act, we have issued Director's Order #55 as a means of adopting section 1.4 of ''Management Policies.'' This Service-wide interpretation will help all NPS employees understand their legal duties in managing the national park system, and will help ensure the law is properly and consistently applied throughout the national park system.

Comments on Draft Section 1.4

Sixteen organizations and individuals commented on section 1.4 of the draft ''Management Policies.'' Their comments and our responses are summarized below. Most of the comments listed are summaries or consolidations of comments that shared similarities.

Comment #1: The NPS Organic Act gives equal weight to the NPS's obligation to conserve park resources unimpaired, and to the NPS's obligation to provide opportunities for public enjoyment. This is not properly reflected in the NPS's proposed policy.

Our response: Congress, recognizing that the enjoyment by future generations of the national parks can be assured only if the superb quality of park resources and values is left unimpaired, has provided that when there is an unavoidable conflict between conserving resources and values and providing for enjoyment of them, conservation is to be predominant. This is how courts have consistently interpreted the Organic Act, in decisions that variously describe it as making ''resource protection the primary goal'' or ''resource protection the overarching concern,'' or as establishing a ''primary mission of resource conservation,'' a ''conservation mandate,'' ''an overriding preservation mandate,'' ''an overarching goal of resource protection,'' or ''but a single purpose, namely, conservation.''

Comment #2: It is virtually impossible to provide opportunities for enjoyment without causing at least some degree of impairment. The NPS should acknowledge this fact and not use the Organic Act as a pretext for curtailing the level of public use and enjoyment allowed in national parks.

Our response: The Organic Act and the General Authorities Act prohibit impairment of park resources and values, not all impacts to park resources and values. We have revised section 1.4 to make that distinction clearer.

Comment #3: The definitions of ''enjoyment,'' ''resources and values,'' and ''impairment'' are critically important to how the NPS will implement the policy. As written, they are too unclear; or will allow too much (or too little) discretion by decision-makers.

Our response: We have revised the definitions to make it easier to understand how these words apply within the context of the overall policy. We have also revised text at other key points to help make the overall policy clearer and, in the process, reduced the need for more explicit definitions.

Comment #4: Some parks were established because of their natural qualities, some because of their historic qualities, and some because of their recreational qualities. Since these parks and their resources are not all the same, the policies should not treat them as if they are all the same. (Conversely, another commenter felt that a stronger statement should be included to remind readers that all parks deserve the same level of protection, regardless of what they are called.)

Our response: The Organic Act states the ''fundamental purpose'' of all national parks, national monuments, and reservations managed by NPS. A 1978 amendment to the NPS General Authorities Act ''further reaffirms, declares, and directs that the promotion and regulation of the various units of the National Park System. * * * shall be consistent with and founded in the purpose established by'' the Organic Act, and that, ''The authorization of activities shall be construed and the protection, management, and administration of these areas shall be conducted in light of the high public value and integrity of the National Park System and shall not be exercised in derogation of the values and purposes for which these various areas have been established, except as may have been or shall be directly and specifically provided by Congress.'' These statutory provisions make it clear that the mandates of the Organic Act and the General Authorities Act apply equally to all units of the National Park System, except as Congress may have directly and specifically provided otherwise.

Comment #5: Federal courts have consistently reaffirmed the policies interpretation that, when there is a conflict between conserving resources and values and providing for public enjoyment, conservation is to be predominant.

Our response: This point has been added to the policy text.

Comment #6: The proposed policy presumes that the first line of defense against impairment should be to limit public enjoyment. The NPS should instead examine other remedies before placing unnecessary restrictions on public enjoyment.

Our response: This interpretation of these laws makes it clear that NPS may not allow the impairment of park resources and values, but it does not establish any presumptions or preferences as to what management steps must be taken to avoid those impairments. However, other parts of ''Management Policies,'' particularly Chapter 8: Use of the Parks, include NPS policies that are relevant.

Comment #7: Impairments caused by actions that were reviewed and approved as acceptable in the past should be exempt from eliminating the impairment.

Our response: The Organic Act and the General Authorities Act do not include any provisions to exempt prior authorized activities from the prohibition on the impairment of park resources and values.

Comment #8: The policy should be clear that as-yet undiscovered resources must be protected from impairment, in the same way that known resources must be protected.

Our response: We agree that the resources and values which the Organic Act protects are not limited to those that we happen to know about today. We believe the policy is sufficiently clear on this point.

Comment #9: The new policy requirement for an ''impairment review'' by the NPS will be costly, contentious, and burdensome.

Our response: For the past 30 years the NPS has been complying with the requirement of the National Environmental Policy Act that we evaluate the environmental consequences of our proposed actions. We plan to integrate into the NEPA compliance process the new requirement for a determination that there would be no impairment of park resources and values from a proposed activity. We do not expect it to make the management decision-making process appreciably more costly, contentious, or burdensome.

Comment #10: The policies set forth in section 1.4 need to be supplemented by additional directives and procedures to help ensure their effective implementation.

Our response: We will judge over the coming months whether field managers have difficulty applying the policy, and we will issue supplemental guidance, as necessary.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Loran Fraser,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 00–23732 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332–413]

The Economic Impact of U.S. Sanctions With Respect to Cuba

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Additional day for public hearing.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 11, 2000.

SUMMARY: The public hearing on this matter is scheduled for September 19, 2000. A second day, September 20, 2000, has been added for this public hearing. The public hearing will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission building, 500 E Street SW Washington, DC, beginning at 9:30 a.m. on both September 19, and September 20, 2000. Notice of institution of this investigation was published in the **Federal Register** of April 24, 2000 (65 FR 21788).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Information may be obtained from Mr. James Stamps (202–205–3227), Office of Economics, or Mr. Jonathan Coleman (202–205–3465), Office of Industries, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, 20436. For information on the legal aspects of this investigation, contact William Gearhart of the Office of the General Counsel (202–205–3091). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

List of Subjects

Cuba, sanctions, exports, imports.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: September 11, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23733 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division

Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and

fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act on March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR Part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedes decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the **Federal Register**, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR Part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled ''General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon And Related Acts,'' shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitute Avenue, N.W., Room S–3014, Washington, D.C. 20210.

Modifications to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled ''General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts'' being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the **Federal Register** are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

Volume I

None

Volume II

District of Columbia
DC000001 (Feb. 11, 2000)
DC000003 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Maryland
MD000034 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MD000036 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MD000046 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MD000048 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MD000056 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MD000057 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Pennsylvania
PA000009 (Feb. 11, 2000)
PA000012 (Feb. 11, 2000)
PA000023 (Feb. 11, 2000)
PA000024 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Virginia
VA000022 (Feb. 11, 2000)
VA000025 (Feb. 11, 2000)
VA000050 (Feb. 11, 2000)
VA000052 (Feb. 11, 2000)
VA000058 (Feb. 11, 2000)
VA000078 (Feb. 11, 2000)
VA000079 (Feb. 11, 2000)
VA000092 (Feb. 11, 2000)

Volume III

Florida
FL000032 (Feb. 11, 2000)
FL000009 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Georgia
GA000003 (Feb. 11, 2000)
GA000032 (Feb. 11, 2000)
GA000073 (Feb. 11, 2000)
GA000085 (Feb. 11, 2000)
GA000086 (Feb. 11, 2000)
GA000087 (Feb. 11, 2000)
GA000088 (Feb. 11, 2000)

Volume IV

Michigan
MI000001 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000002 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000003 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000004 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000005 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000007 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000008 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000019 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000030 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000031 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000034 (Feb. 11, 2000)
MI000009 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Wisconsin
WI000003 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000004 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000005 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000006 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000009 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000013 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000016 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000017 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000020 (Feb. 11, 2000)
WI000030 (Feb. 11, 2000)

Volume V

Kansas
KS000006 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000007 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000010 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000011 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000016 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000018 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000019 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000020 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000021 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000023 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000026 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000029 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000035 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000069 (Feb. 11, 2000)
KS000070 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Nebraska
NE000001 (Feb. 11, 2000)
NE000004 (Feb. 11, 2000)
NE000019 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Texas
TX000003 (Feb. 11, 2000)

Volume VI

Alaska
AK000001 (Feb. 11, 2000)
AK000002 (Feb. 11, 2000)
AK000003 (Feb. 11, 2000)
AK000004 (Feb. 11, 2000)
AK000006 (Feb. 11, 2000)
AK000007 (Feb. 11, 2000)
AK000008 (Feb. 11, 2000)
Washington
WA000001 (Feb. 11, 2000)

Volume VII

None

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled ''General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts.'' This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determination issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at 1–800–363–2068.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 512–1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the seven separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC this 7th day of September 2000.

Carl J. Poleskey,

Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 00–23486 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–27–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Gamma Radiation Exposure Records

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

DATES: Submit comments on or before November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Brenda C. Teaster, Acting Chief, Records Management Division, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Room 709A, Arlington, VA 22203–1984. Commenters are encouraged to send their comments on a computer disk, or via Internet E-mail to bteaster@msha.gov, along with an original printed copy. Ms. Teaster can be reached at (703) 235–1470 (voice), or (703) 235–1563 (facsimile).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda C. Teaster, Acting Chief, Records Management Division, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Room 709A, Arlington, VA 22203–1984. Ms. Teaster can be reached at bteaster@msha.gov (Internet E-mail), (703) 235–1470 (voice), or (703) 235–1563 (facsimile).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Under Section 103(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, MSHA is required to ''* * *issue regulations requiring operators to maintain accurate records of employee exposures to potentially toxic materials or harmful physical agents which are required to be monitored or measured under any applicable mandatory health or safety standard promulgated under this Act.''

Gamma radiation occurs anywhere that radioactive materials are present, and has been associated with lung cancer and other debilitating occupational diseases. Gamma radiation hazards may be found near radiation sources at surface operations using X-ray machines, weightometers, nuclear and diffraction units.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed new/revision/extension/reinstatement of the information collection related to Gamma Radiation Exposure Records (pertains to metal and nonmetal underground mines). MSHA is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A copy of the proposed information collection request may be viewed on the Internet by accessing the MSHA Home Page (*http://www.msha.gov*) and selecting ''Statutory and Regulatory Information'' then ''Paperwork Reduction Act submission (*http:www.msha.gov/regspwork.htm*)'', or by contacting the employee listed above in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice for a hard copy.

III. Current Actions

Annual gamma radiation surveys are required to be conducted in all underground mines where radioactive ores are mined. Where the average gamma radiation measurements are in excess of 2.0 milliroentgens per hour in the working place, all persons are to be provided with gamma radiation dosimeters and records of cumulative individual gamma radiation exposures be kept.

Records of cumulative occupational radiation exposures aid in the protection of workers and in control of subsequent radiation exposure, and are used by MSHA in the evaluation of the effectiveness of the protection program in demonstrating compliance with regulatory requirements.

Type of Review: Extension.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

Title: Gamma Radiation Exposure Records.

OMB Number: 1219–0039.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit institutions.

Cite/Reference/Form/etc.: 30 CFR 57.5047.

Total Respondents: 2.

Frequency: Annually.

Total Responses: 2.

Average Time per Response: 2 hours.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 2.

Estimated Total Burden Cost: $92.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): $0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining): $0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Brenda C. Teaster,

Acting Chief, Records Management Division.

[FR Doc. 00–23758 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–43–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Agency Information-Collection Activities; Announcement of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Approvals

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of approval.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) announces that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the information-collection requirements found in certain sections of 29 CFR part 1926. This document provides the OMB approval numbers and expiration dates for these requirements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Martinez, Directorate of Policy, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3627, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–2444.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a series of **Federal Register** notices, the Agency announced its requests to OMB to renew its current approvals for various information-collection (paperwork) requirements in its Construction standards. In these **Federal Register** announcements, the Agency provided 60-day comment periods for the public to respond to OSHA's burden-hour and cost estimates.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), OMB recently renewed its approval for these information-collection requirements and assigned OMB control numbers to these requirements. The table below provides the following information for each of these OMB-approved requirements: The title of, and CFR reference to, the standard that contains the requirement and, in some cases, the title of the requirement; the **Federal Register** reference (date, volume, and leading page) to OSHA's request for public comment on its burden-hour and cost estimates; the OMB control number; and the new expiration date.

Title	Federal Register reference	OMB control number	Expiration date
Methylenedianline (29 CFR 1926.60)	65 FR 11086 03/01/2000	1218–0183	01/31/2000
Rigging Equipment for Material Handling—Certifying Proof-Tests of Welded-End Attachments (29 CFR 1926.251(c)(15)(ii)).	65 FR 15018 03/20/2000	1218–0233	06/30/2002
Material Hoists, Personnel Hoists, and Elevators—Certifying Tests and Inspections of Personnel Hoists (29 CFR 1926.552(c)(15)).	65 FR 15652 03/23/2000	1218–0231	06/30/2002
General Provisions (for Blasting and the Use of Explosives)—Developing and Certifying Alternative Safety Methods (29 CFR 1926.900(k)(3)(i)).	65 FR 15651 03/23/2000	1218–0217	06/30/2002
Underground Transportation of Explosives—Certifying Inspections of Trucks (29 CFR 1926.903(e)).	65 FR 15650 03/23/2000	1218–0227	06/30/2002

Under 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an Agency cannot conduct, sponsor, or require a response to a collection of information unless: The collection displays a valid OMB control number; and the Agency informs responds that they are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Authority and Signature

Charles N. Jeffress, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 3–2000 (65 FR 50017).

Signed at Washington, DC, on September 11th, 2000.

Charles N. Jeffress,

Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 00–23701 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Agency Information-Collection Activities; Announcement of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Approvals

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of approval.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) announces that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the information-collection requirements found in certain sections of 29 CFR part 1910. This document provides the OMB approval numbers and expiration dates for these requirements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Todd Owen, Directorate of Policy, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3627, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–2444.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a series of **Federal Register** notices, the Agency announced its requests to OMB to renew its current approvals for various information-collection (paperwork) requirements in its health standards for General Industry. In these **Federal Register** announcements, the Agency provided 60-day comment periods for the public to respond to OSHA's burden-hour and cost estimates.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), OMB renewed its approval for these information-collection requirements and assigned OMB control numbers to these requirements. The table below provides the following information for each of these OMB-approved requirements: The title of, and CFR reference to the standard that contains the requirement; the **Federal Register** reference (date, volume, and leading page) to OSHA's request for public comment on its burden-hour and cost estimates; the OMB control number; and the new expiration date.

Title	Federal Register reference	OMB control number	Expiration date
Inorganic Arsenic (29 CFR 1910.1018)	65 FR 3977 01/25/2000	1218–0104	05/31/2003
Cotton Dust (29 CFR 1910.1043)	65 FR 12318 03/08/2000	1218–0061	01/31/2002
Methylenedianiline (29 CFR 1910.1050)	65 FR 11085 03/01/2000	1218–0184	01/31/2002
1,3-Butadiene (29 CFR 1910.1051)	63 FR 3979 01/25/2000	1218–0170	05/31/2003

Under 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an Agency cannot conduct, sponsor, or require a response to a collection of information unless: The collection displays a valid OMB control number; and the Agency informs respondents that they are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Authority and Signature

Charles N. Jeffress, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 3–2000 (65 FR 50017).

Charles N. Jeffress,

Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 00–23702 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Office of Federal Procurement Policy

Cost Accounting Standards Board; Accounting for the Cost of Employee Stock Ownership Plans

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of Federal Procurement Policy, Cost Accounting Standards Board, invites public comments concerning a Staff Discussion Paper on accounting for the cost of employee stock ownership plans (ESOP) under government contracts.

DATES: Comments must be in writing and must be received by November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Dr. Rein Abel, Director of Research, Cost Accounting Standards Board, Office of Federal Procurement Policy, 725 17th Street, NW, Room 9013, Washington, D.C. 20503. Attn: CASB Docket No. 00–03. The submission of public comments in writing, via letter, is requested, as receipt of a readable data file via Internet e-mail cannot be assured. To facilitate the CAS Board's review of submitted comments, you may include with your written comments a three point five inch (3.5'') computer diskette copy of your comments and denote the word processing format used.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rein Abel, Director of Research, Cost Accounting Standards Board (telephone: 202-395–3254).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Regulatory Process

The Cost Accounting Standards Board's rules, regulations and Standards are codified at 48 CFR Chapter 99. Section 26(g)(1) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, 41 U.S.C. 422(g), requires that the Board, prior to the establishment of any new or revised Cost Accounting Standard, complete a prescribed rulemaking process. The process generally consists of the following four steps:

1. Consult with interested persons concerning the advantages, disadvantages and improvements anticipated in the pricing and administration of Government contracts as a result of the adoption of a proposed Standard.
2. Promulgate an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.
3. Promulgate a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.
4. Promulgate a Final Rule.

This proposal is step one of the four-step process.

B. Background and Summary

In response to the Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) Board's continuing research, a number of commenters have identified accounting for the cost of employee stock ownership plans under government contracts as an issue requiring Board consideration. The primary concern raised is the lack of guidance concerning this matter in applicable government contracting regulations, and the attempt by the contracting parties, in certain cases, to apply various provisions of the CAS to accounting for the costs of ESOPs, when such CAS provisions do not explicitly address or even mention ESOPs. More specifically, issues have arisen in which the accounting for the cost of ESOPs have caused substantial controversies.

This Staff Discussion Paper represents the results of research performed by the staff of the Cost Accounting Standards Board, and is issued by the Board in accordance with the requirements of 41 U.S.C. 422(g)(1)(A). The statements contained herein do not necessarily represent the position of the Cost Accounting Standards Board.

C. Public Comments

Interested persons are invited to participate by submitting data, views or arguments with respect to this Staff Discussion Paper. All comments must be in writing and submitted to the address indicated in the **ADDRESSES** section.

Nelson F. Gibbs,

Executive Director, Cost Accounting Standards Board.

Cost Accounting Standards Board Staff Discussion Paper—Issues Relating to Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs)

The Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) Board is examining the accounting issues related to the measurement, assignment and allocation of costs associated with Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs) to government contracts. This Staff Discussion Paper (SDP) is part of the Board's deliberative process that may lead to a pronouncement by the Board on this topic. The purpose of this SDP is to solicit comments on the relevant issues.

Background

The initial purpose of ESOPs was to encourage employee stock ownership of American industry. Such encouragement was contained in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and corresponding changes to the Internal Revenue Code. Congress has also commented on ESOP

regulations dealing with the allowability of such costs for Government contract costing purposes. Specifically, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1998 (Pub. L. 105–85, 844, Nov. 18, 1997) included a section expressing the ''Sense of Congress'' on certain aspects of ESOP cost allowability.

More recently, ESOPs have also been used for additional purposes. According to the Statement of Position (SOP) 93-6, issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), these other purposes include the following:

- To fund a matching program for a sponsor's 401(k) saving plan, formula-based profit-sharing plan, and other employee benefits;
- To raise new capital or to create a marketplace for existing stock;
- To replace lost benefits from the termination of other retirement plans or provide benefits under postretirement benefit plans, particularly medical benefits;
- To be part of the financing package in leveraged buy-outs;
- To provide a tax-advantaged means for owners to terminate their ownership;
- To be part of a long-term program to restructure the equity section of a plan sponsor's balance sheet; and
- To defend the company against hostile takeovers.

Although costs of ESOP sponsorship are not explicitly covered by CAS, guidance is included in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), 31.205–6(j)(8), and referred to in the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) Contract Audit Manual (§ 7–2114). These references either state or imply that a distinction exists between so-called ''pension'' and ''nonpension'' ESOPs.

In general, the lack of a clear regulatory framework in this area has contributed to an environment in which a number of legal actions and other disputes have occurred between the Government and contractors. *See, e.g., Ralph Parsons Co.*, ASBCA 37931, 91–1 BCA 23648, *reconsideration denied* 91–2 BCA 23751; *Ball Corp.*, ASBCA 49118 (Apr. 3, 2000).

The CAS Board first considered the issue of accounting for ESOP costs at its May 1995 meeting, wherein it was decided to establish a case on this topic. At the Board's December 1995 meeting, the matter was further discussed and consideration was given to issuing an ''interpretation'' on the topic. A draft interpretation was submitted by the staff to the Board at the Board's February 1996 meeting. In the ensuing Board discussion, the applicability of either CAS 9904.412 or CAS 9904.415 was identified as one of the major issues. Other issues discussed were the characterization of interest in leveraged ESOPs, and the valuation of shares that are released from the ESOP's suspense account and allocated to individual employee accounts. The Board determined that these issues were matters appropriate for the Board's consideration. The staff was instructed to continue with its research on this topic.

As part of its research, the staff has consulted with various Government offices and other interested parties who have had experience with ESOPs. At the December 1998 open CAS Board meeting, the Director of Defense Procurement again urged the CAS Board to address the issue of accounting for the costs of ESOPs.

Discussion

A discussion of the more prominent ESOP issues follows with questions on each subject. Commenters who believe that the questions contained herein do not adequately cover all pertinent aspects of the topic are encouraged to submit comments dealing with any additional issues.

There are two forms of ESOPs—nonleveraged and leveraged. Contributions to a nonleveraged ESOP are generally allocated to individual participant accounts before the end of the sponsor's fiscal year. A leveraged ESOP uses borrowed funds to acquire shares in the sponsoring company which are then held by the ESOP in a suspense account for potentially extended periods, prior to their release to individual employee accounts. In a leveraged ESOP, some maintain that the employer's periodic contribution to the ESOP contains two elements—the cost of employee compensation and the cost of interest on borrowed funds.

Even though CAS do not deal explicitly with the costs associated with ESOPs, the parties to some government contracts have applied the provisions in existing Standards to support their position with respect to ESOP treatment.

While the arguments have varied, at least one assertion has been that if an ESOP can be regarded as a ''pension'' ESOP, then it falls under the provisions of CAS 9904.412, *Composition and Measurement of Pension Cost.* This makes it easier, so some believe, to assert that the total of a contractor's payment to the ESOP, including any interest element, should be regarded as employee compensation. Others have asserted that if an ESOP is classified as a ''deferred compensation'' ESOP then the appropriate Standard for application is CAS 9904.415, *Accounting for the Cost of Deferred Compensation.* In this case, some have asserted that the cost measurement should not include interest while others have asserted that it should include interest. Thus, different interpretations of the various provisions of CAS by the contracting parties have become an element in recent disputes concerning accounting for ESOP contributions.

The question as to whether interest costs attributable to leveraged ESOPs should be reimbursed by the Government is a procurement policy issue with broad ramifications. However, this issue is not within the purview of the CAS Board. As stated in the CAS Board's *Statement of Objectives, Policies and Concepts* (May 1992):

> ''While the Board has exclusive authority for establishing Standards governing the measurement, assignment and allocation of costs, it does not determine the allowability of categories or individual items of cost. Allowability is a procurement concept affecting contract price and in most cases is established in regulatory or contractual provisions.''

Although accounting for the costs of ESOPs is addressed in the FAR and in other guidance applicable to cost allowability issues in Government contracting, the topic has not been dealt with explicitly in any of the existing CAS Board Standards. Private sector accounting standard setters have addressed the topic for financial reporting purposes. The current Statement of Position (SOP) on the topic was issued by the AICPA in November 1993 as SOP 93–6. This SOP was issued after an extensive promulgation process that included the publication of an exposure draft which attracted a significant number of comments. The SOP provides guidance on both periodic compensation cost measurement and applicable disclosure. It states that compensation costs and interest on borrowed funds should be reported as separate items in financial statements.

SOP 93–6 further states that the fair value prevailing at the time when the shares are ''committed to be released for allocation to participant accounts'' should be used for charging employee compensation costs when that was the purpose for which the shares were released. The SOP similarly provides that the cost of shares acquired or transferred to ESOPs should be valued on the date they were acquired or transferred. Any difference in value between the acquisition date and the date when the shares are released to the employee accounts is recognized as an

equity adjustment in the financial statements.

At this point it may be useful to restate the CAS Board's position on pronouncements issued by other authoritative bodies established to issue guidance affecting accounting for financial and tax purposes. In its *Statement of Objectives, Policies and Concepts* (May 1992) the Board stated:

> ''The Board will continue taking those other pronouncements into account to the extent it can do so in accomplishing its objectives. However, the Board recognizes that the purposes of these pronouncements are not intended to meet the objectives of contract costing. Therefore the Board will retain and exercise full responsibility for meeting the objectives of contract costing.''

In the light of this statement the first question may be stated as follows:

1. Does GAAP (SOP 93–6) provide sufficient guidance for accounting for the costs of ESOPs for Government contract costing purposes? Please discuss the rationale of your answer to this question.

If the answer to question 1, above, is no, then the Board would like to receive comments as to whether one of the existing CAS does or could be expanded to provide adequate guidance.

As noted earlier, CAS have been applied to these issues by the various parties to the Government contracting process. As there has not been any direct reference to ESOPs in any of the Standards, a party applying CAS to ESOP accounting must first identify a Standard that would be applicable in a particular instance. In general, the choice has been between CAS 9904.412 and CAS 9904.415.

To develop criteria for distinguishing the circumstances in which either of these two Standards may be applicable, two different types of ESOPs have been identified. The first type embraces the so-called ''pension ESOPs'' which are distinguished principally by the characteristic that they offer their participants, benefits for life. All other ESOPs are referred to as ''nonpension'' or ''deferred compensation ESOPs.'' In practice, it appears that the provisions of CAS 9904.412 have been applied to the first group of ESOPs, while the provisions of CAS 9904.415 have been applied to the second group. This attempt to distinguish between pension and deferred compensation ESOPs seems to be a categorization that is currently found only in the field of Government contract cost accounting. In the broader context, when accounting for ESOPs is discussed, this particular categorization is not used. Therefore, the question arises as to whether this distinction between pension and deferred compensation ESOPs is an approach that should be included in any future CAS Board promulgation on this topic.

2. Do you believe that distinguishing between ''pension'' and ''deferred compensation'' ESOP type is useful in the Government contract costing environment and that this feature should be included in any future CAS Board promulgation on this topic? Please include the rationale for your answer to this question.

3. If you believe that a distinction between ESOP types is useful and should be included in any future CAS promulgation do you also believe that amendments, or an interpretation, to CAS 9904.412 and/or CAS 9904.415, is the appropriate action for the Board to take?

Another area where differing opinions exist, concerns the measurement date for determining the fair value of shares released to employee accounts. Some ESOPs hold shares of the sponsor company in a suspense account for the purpose of subsequent distribution to employees. It is likely that the fair value of these shares will change while the shares are held in the suspense account prior to being transferred to individual employee accounts. Accordingly, two different dates have been suggested as measurement dates for the purpose of determining the fair value of the shares released to individual employee accounts.

The FAR, at section 31.205–6(j)(8), stipulates that ''* * * the value of the stock contribution shall be limited to the fair market value of the stock on the date that the title is effectively transferred to the trust.'' The FAR provisions do not, however, address what valuation applies on the date when shares are actually released to employees for determining individual employee compensation costs. In other words, the FAR implies that the cost of the shares at the time of their acquisition by the ESOP should also be used as their fair value at the time of their release to individual employee accounts.

4. Do you believe that the fair value of the shares released by an ESOP to individual employee accounts should be established at the date when the title to these shares is transferred to the ESOP or should it be the date when the shares are committed to be released to employee accounts? If you would like to propose a different date or a modified version of the two dates referred to above, please explain.

The cost allocated to contracts may also be different depending on what is to be measured—the cost to the company or the amount of compensation received by the employee. The compensation received by the employee is the fair value of the shares or other consideration received by the employee. The cost to the company may be measured differently depending on whether the cost is measured as (1) the fair value of the shares on the date the sponsor transfers the shares to the ESOP; (2) the fair value of the shares on the date the ESOP purchases the shares; (3) the amount of the sponsor's cash contribution to the ESOP; or (4) a combination of the above.

5. For contract costing purposes, should a distinction be made between measurement of the ''cost to the company'' or measurement of compensation ''received by the employee?'' Please explain. If a distinction should be made, please also comment on the method that should be used to measure this amount.

In certain circumstances when CAS 9904.415 has been applied to accounting for ESOPs, further disputes may occur. CAS 9904.415 identifies two different methods for determining the present value of future benefits depending upon the method of settling the deferred compensation obligation.

CAS 9904.415–50(d) provides guidance for the calculation when the settlement ''is to be paid in money,'' while CAS 9904.415–50(e) provides guidance when ''the compensation is received by the employee in other than money.'' These two approaches, CAS 9904.415–50(d) and (e), generally produce different present values of the future benefit and hence, different cost for contract costing purposes.

6. Should the form of payment of ESOP benefits to the employee make a difference in measuring the cost allocable to Government contracts? If so, how should the cost be determined?

[FR Doc. 00–23717 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110–01–U

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Change in Meeting Status

September 11, 2000.

Previously Announced

TIME AND DATE: 2 p.m., Thursday, September 14, 2000.

PLACE: Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Because agency business so requires, the Commission

has unanimously voted to change the status of the following meeting from open to closed, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10).

1. Secretary of Labor on behalf of *Noe* v. *J & C Mining,* Docket No. KENT 99–248–D.

No earlier announcement of the change was possible.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean Ellen, (202) 653–5629/(202) 708–9300 for TDD Relay/1–800–877–8339 for toll free.

Jean H. Ellen,

Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 00–23846 Filed 9–13–00; 10:15 am]

BILLING CODE 6735–01–M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 00–108]

Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of agency report forms under OMB review.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Ms. Linda Connell, MS 262–7, Ames Research Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Moffett Field, CA 94035–1000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carmela Simonson, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 358–1223.

Reports: None.

Title: National Aviation Operations Monitoring Service.

OMB Number: 2700.

Type of review: New.

Need and Uses: This data collection will be used to help evaluate national aviation safety through the establishment of a survey based methodology. Information provided will be used to measure and monitor aviation safety; namely the pilots, air traffic controllers, mechanics and flight attendants who routinely operate aircraft and provide support services.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Responses Per Respondent: 1–4.

Annual Responses: 8,000.

Hours Per Request: ½ hr to ¾ hr.

Annual Burden Hours: 5,907.

Frequency of Report: Annually/Quarterly.

David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23703 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 00–112]

Agency Information Collection: Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mr. Richard Kall, Code HK, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carmela Simonson, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 358–1223.

Title: NASA acquisition process reports required for contracts with an estimated value more than $500,000.

OMB Number: 2700–0089.

Type of Review: Extension.

Need and Uses: Information collection is required to effectively manage and administer contracts that furnish goods and services in support of NASA's mission. The requirement for this information is set forth in the federal Acquisition Regulation, the NASA Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, and approved mission requirements.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 1,360.

Responses Per Respondent: 56.

Annual Responses: 76,160.

Hours Per Request: 8.

Annual Burden Hours: 609,280.

Frequency of Report: On occasion.

David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23782 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 00–109]

Agency Information Collection: Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mr. Richard Kall, Code HK National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carmela Simonson, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 358–1223.

Title: NASA acquisition process, bids and proposals for contracts with an estimated value more than $500,000.

OMB Number: 2700–0085.

Type of Review: Extension.

Need and Uses: Information collection is required to evaluate bids and proposals from offerors in order to award contracts for required goods and services in support of NASA's mission and in response to contractual requirements.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 1,496.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 1,496.

Hours Per Request: 400–620.

Annual Burden Hours: 663,520.

Frequency of Report: On occasion.

David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23783 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 00–111]

Agency Information Collection: Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of agency report forms under OMB review.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mr. Richard Kall, Code HK, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carmela Simonson, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 358–1223.

Title: NASA acquisition process, bids and proposals for contracts with an estimated value less than $500,000.

OMB Number: 2700–0087.

Type of Review: Extension.

Need and Uses: Information collection is required to evaluate bids and proposals from offerors in order to award contracts for required goods and services in support of NASA's mission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 11,000.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 11,000.

Hours Per Request: 250–300.

Annual Burden Hours: 2,790,000.

Frequency of Report: On occasion.

David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23784 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (00–110)]

Agency Information Collection: Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mr. Richard Kall, Code HK, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carmela Simonson, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 358–1223.

Title: NASA simplified acquisition for goods and services with a value of $100,000 or less.

OMB Number: 2700–0086.

Type of Review: Extension.

Need and Uses: Information collection is required to evaluate bids and proposals from offerors in order to award purchase orders and to use bank cards for required goods and services in support of NASA's mission and for the administrative requirements from such orders.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 250,865.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 250,865.

Hours Per Request: 15–20 min.

Annual Burden Hours: 73,380.

Frequency of Report: On occasion.

David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23785 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL WOMEN'S BUSINESS COUNCIL

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: National Women's Business Council.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Women's Business Ownership Act, Public Law 105–135 as amended, the National Women's Business Council (NWBC) announces a forthcoming Council meeting and joint meeting of the NWBC and Interagency Committee on Women's Business Enterprise. The meetings will cover action items worked on by the National Women's Business Council and the Interagency Committee on Women's Business Enterprise included but not limited to procurement, access to capital and training.

DATE: October 4, 2000.

ADDRESS: *Joint Meeting.*

The White House/Eisenhower Executive Office Building/Indian Treaty Room (17th & Penn. Entrance) Washington, DC, 9 am to 11:30 am.

Note: No admittance without prior official clearance. Please have a photo ID.

ADDRESS: *Council Meeting.*

The JW Marriott Hotel/Russell-Hart Room, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 2:30 am to 5:30 pm.

STATUS: Open to the public.

CONTACT: National Women's Business Council, 409 Third Street, SW, Suite 210, Washington, DC 20024, (202) 205–3850.

Note: Please call by September 22, 2000.

Gilda Presley,

Administrative Officer, National Women's Business Council.

[FR Doc. 00–23917 Filed 9–13–00; 2:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 6820–AB–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMMISSION

Risk-Informed Revisions to Technical Requirements of 10 CFR 50.46

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has instructed its staff to explore changes to specific technical requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, to incorporate risk-informed attributes. The staff is studying the ensemble of technical requirements contained in 10 CFR Part 50 (and its associated implementing documents, such as regulatory guides and standard review plan sections) to (1) identify individual or sets of requirements potentially meriting change; (2) prioritize which of these requirements (or sets of requirements) should be changed; and (3) develop the technical bases to an extent that is sufficient to demonstrate the feasibility of changing the requirements. This work will result in recommendations to the Commission on any specific regulatory changes that should be pursued. Public participation in the development of these recommendations will be obtained via workshops and information on a web site.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice serves as initial notification of a public workshop to provide for the exchange of information with all stakeholders regarding the staff's efforts to risk-inform the technical requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 (ECCS Acceptance Criteria), and the latest version of the framework for risk-informed changes to the technical requirements of 10 CFR Part 50. The meeting will focus on the current work being performed by the NRC staff to risk-inform the technical requirements of 10 CFR 50.46, and also on recent changes to the framework document.

This notice provides only the date, the location and a brief summary of the workshop; the workshop agenda and other details will be provided in a forthcoming **Federal Register** notice prior to the workshop.

Workshop Meeting Information: The staff intends to conduct a workshop to provide for an exchange of information related to (1) the staff's initial efforts to make risk-informed revisions to the technical requirements of 10 CFR 50.46, and (2) the latest version of the staff's framework for risk-informed changes to the technical requirements of 10 CFR Part 50. Persons other than NRC staff and NRC contractors interested in making a presentation at the workshop should notify Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, MS: T10–E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C. 20555–0001, (301) 415–6675, email: mxd@nrc.gov

Date: October 2, 2000.

Time: 8 am.

Agenda: To be provided.

Location: Auditorium, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

Registration: There is no registration fee for the workshop; however, so that adequate space, materials, *etc.,* for the workshop can be arranged, please provide notification of attendance to Alan Kuritzky, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, MS: T10–E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555–0001, (301) 415–6255, email: ask1@nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan Kuritzky, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, MS: T10–E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555–0001, (301) 415–6255, email: ask1@nrc.gov.

Dated this 11th day of September 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Mark A. Cunningham,

Probabilistic Risk Analysis Branch, Division of Risk Analysis and Applications, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 00–23786 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Interest Assumption for Determining Variable-Rate Premium; Interest Assumptions for Multiemployer Plan Valuations Following Mass Withdrawal

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of interest rates and assumptions.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public of the interest rates and assumptions to be used under certain Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation regulations. These rates and assumptions are published elsewhere (or are derivable from rates published elsewhere), but are collected and published in this notice for the convenience of the public. Interest rates are also published on the PBGC's web site (http://www.pbgc.gov).

DATES: The interest rate for determining the variable-rate premium under part 4006 applies to premium payment years beginning in September 2000. The interest assumptions for performing multiemployer plan valuations following mass withdrawal under part 4281 apply to valuation dates occurring in October 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326-4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Variable-Rate Premiums

Section 4006(a)(3)(E)(iii)(II) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and § 4006.4(b)(1) of the PBGC's regulation on Premium Rates (29 CFR part 4006) prescribe use of an assumed interest rate in determining a single-employer plan's variable-rate premium. The rate is the ''applicable percentage'' (currently 85 percent) of the annual yield on 30-year Treasury securities for the month preceding the beginning of the plan year for which premiums are being paid (the ''premium payment year''). The yield figure is reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Releases G.13 and H.15.

The assumed interest rate to be used in determining variable-rate premiums for premium payment years beginning in September 2000 is 4.86 percent (*i.e.,* 85 percent of the 5.72 percent yield figure for August 2000).

The following table lists the assumed interest rates to be used in determining variable-rate premiums for premium payment years beginning between October 1999 and September 2000.

For premium payment years beginning in—	The assumed interest rate is—
October 1999	5.16
November 1999	5.32
December 1999	5.23
January 2000	5.40
February 2000	5.64
March 2000	5.30
April 2000	5.14
May 2000	4.97
June 2000	5.23
July 2000	5.04
August 2000	4.97
September 2000	4.86

Multiemployer Plan Valuations Following Mass Withdrawal

The PBGC's regulation on Duties of Plan Sponsor Following Mass Withdrawal (29 CFR part 4281) prescribes the use of interest assumptions under the PBGC's regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-employer Plans (29 CFR part 4044). The interest assumptions applicable to valuation dates in October 2000 under part 4044 are contained in an amendment to part 4044 published elsewhere in today's **Federal Register**. Tables showing the assumptions applicable to prior periods are codified in appendix B to 29 CFR part 4044.

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 8th day of September 2000.

David M. Strauss,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 00–23737 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 35–27227]

Filing Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as Amended (''Act'')

September 8, 2000.

Notice is hereby given that the following filing(s) has/have been made with the Commission pursuant to

provisions of the Act and rules promulgated under the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application(s) and/or declaration(s) for complete statements of the proposed transaction(s) summarized below. The application(s) and/or declaration(s) and any amendment(s) is/are available for public inspection through the Commission's Branch of Public Reference.

Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing on the application(s) and/or declaration(s) should submit their views in writing by October 3, 2000, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, DC 20549–0609, and serve a copy on the relevant applicant(s) and/or declarant(s) at the address(es) specified below. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for hearing should identify specifically the issues of facts or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of any notice or order issued in the matter. After October 3, 2000, the application(s) and/or declaration(s), as filed or as amended, may be granted and/or permitted to become effective.

Entergy Corporation (70–8839)

Entergy Corporation (''Entergy''), 639 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, Louisiana 70113, a registered holding company, has filed a post-effective amendment under sections 6(a) and 7 of the Act and rules 42 and 54 under the Act to its declaration previously filed under the Act.

By prior Commission orders dated June 6, 1996 and March 25, 19997 (HCAR Nos. 26541 and 26693) (''Orders''), Entergy was authorized, through December 30, 2000, to issue and sell up to 30 million shares of its authorized but unissued common stock, par value $0.01 (''Common Stock'') or Common Stock acquired through public or private transactions, under its Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (''Plan''). As of June 28, 2000, Entergy had 13,139,294 shares of Common Stock authorized to be issued under the Plan. Entergy now proposes to extend the time in which it may sell up to 30 million shares of its Common Stock under the Plan through June 30, 2006. All other provisions of the Plan will remain as authorized in the Orders.

The purchase price of the newly issued shares of Common Stock issued under the plan will generally be the weighted average of the daily high and low sales prices of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange during each pricing period, consisting of the twelve trading days immediately preceding the monthly investment date. The purchase price for shares purchased on the open market will be the weighted average price paid by the Plan, including brokerage fees and commissions.

For the Commission by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23736 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–Mcent,

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–43277; File No. SR–OCC–00–07]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Adjustment Increments and Decimalization

September 11, 2000.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act''),[1] notice is hereby given that on August 9, 2000, The Options Clearing Corporation (''OCC'') filed with the Securities Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change clarifies OCC's existing policies on minimum adjustment increments and rounding and proposes new minimum adjustment increments and rounding provisions with respect to decimal strike prices. The proposed rule change also states OCC's intention to restate existing strike prices in decimals when decimal strike prices are introduced for new series in the same class of options.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.[2]

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statements of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed amendments to Article I, Section 1 and Article VI, Section 11 of OCC's By-Laws is to clarify OCC's existing policies regarding minimum adjustment increments and rounding and to state the minimum adjustment increments and rounding provisions that will apply during and after the transition to decimal strike prices. The proposed amendments also announce OCC's intention to restate existing strike prices in decimals when decimal strike prices are introduced for new series in the same class of options so long as that can be done without changing the absolute value of the existing strike prices.

Article VI, Section 11 of OCC's By-Laws currently states that, ''as a general rule,'' OCC will adjust to the ''nearest trading increment,'' which is defined in Article I of the By-Laws as the minimum trading increment specified by the primary exchange for the underlying security. OCC's practice, as disclosed in the options disclosure document, is to round to the nearest eighth when making adjustments. OCC follows this practice even where the trading increment for the underlying security may be one-sixteenth rather than one-eight. With decimalization, the potential exists for even smaller minimum trading units. OCC intends to continue its disclosed policy of rounding to the nearest eighth in the case of fractional prices and to round to the nearest cent in the case of decimal prices. Thus, adjustments will be made to the nearest eighth or cent even if the trading increment is more or less than an eighth or a cent. Accordingly, OCC is proposing to define a new term ''adjustment increment'' and to change the reference in Article VI, Section 11 to refer to ''adjustment increment'' rather than ''trading increment.''

OCC rounds up when an adjusted exercise price is equidistant between two adjustment increments. OCC proposes to amend Article VI, Section 11 accordingly.

The existing text of Interpretation and Policy .09 is being replaced by a

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by OCC.

provision allowing OCC to make a smoother transition from fractional to decimal strike prices, during which some strikes are expressed in fractions and others in decimals. Because the minimum decimal strike price increment will be one cent, there will be some fractional strike prices (*e.g.,* eighths) that cannot be converted into decimals without a loss of value. However, there will be others (*e.g.,* quarters) that convert evenly into decimals. OCC wants to avoid simultaneously expressing identical amounts in fractions and decimals. Accordingly, OCC proposes to restate the exercise prices of existing series of options decimals when the first decimal series is introduced with the same underlying interest. OCC will restate exercise prices in decimals only for series having fractional strike prices that convert evenly into dollars and cents. Thus, a strike price of 25¾ would be expressed as 25.75. Where a fractional strike does not convert evenly into decimals, it will continue to be expressed as a fraction until the series expired. Thus, for example, a strike price of 25⅛, which converts to 25.125, will continue to be expressed in fractions. This policy represents a compromise that will allow as many strike prices as possible in each class of options to be expressed in decimals while avoiding adjustments that would affect the substantive rights of holders and writers of options even by a small amount.

The proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act [3] and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to OCC because it fosters cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in the clearance and settlement of securities transactions, removes impediments to and perfects the mechanism of a national system for the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and, in general, protects investors and the public interest.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would have any material adverse impact on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not yet been solicited or received. OCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by OCC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.[4] As discussed below, the Commission believes that the rule change is consistent with this obligation because it should facilitate the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of options during and after the transition to decimal strike prices which has already begun.

The rule change should assure that OCC's existing policies regarding minimum adjustment increments and rounding in fractions will convert smoothly to options with decimal strike prices. The rule change should allow the process of decimalization of options to proceed concurrently with the move to the process of decimalization of the underlying securities.

The Commission finds good cause for approving the proposed rule change prior to the thirtieth day after publication of the notice of filing because accelerated approval will permit OCC to immediately implement decimal strike pricing which will bring the pricing of such options in line with the pricing already in place for the underlying securities.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–OCC–00–7 and should be submitted by October 6, 2000.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.[5]

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23734 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[3] 15 U.S.C. 78q–1.

[4] 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3)(F).

[5] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–43276; File No. SR–OCC–99–15]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Clearing Member Affiliates

September 11, 2000.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act''),[1] notice is hereby given that on November 2, 1999, The Options Clearing Corporation (''OCC'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') and on August 11, 2000, amended the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would allow certain affiliates of a clearing member to be designated as non-customers under the Commission's hypothecation rules.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.[2]

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by OCC.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The principal purpose of the proposed rule change is to allow certain affiliates of a clearing member to be designated as non-customers under the Commission's hypothecation rules [3] so that the affiliates may have their transactions and positions commingled in their clearing member's firm account and/or proprietary X–M account at OCC for the purpose of receiving more favorable clearing margin treatment. [4] The proposed rule change creates a definition of Member Affiliate that consists of the relevant portion of the existing definition of Related Person in OCC's By-Laws. (for the sake of economy of expression and consistency, OCC proposes to replace that portion of the Related Person definition used to define Member Affiliate with the term Member Affiliate.) The proposed rule change then modifies the definition of Non-Customer to include a Member Affiliate that has executed a non-conforming subordination agreement [5] that has been approved by the clearing member's designated examining authority.

Additionally, the proposed rule change modifies the definition of Related Person to eliminate redundancies and to more closely parallel 17 CFR 1.3(y), which defines ''proprietary account'' for the purposes of the Commodity Exchange Act's regulations. [6] The proposed rule no longer refers to spouses of ''any such person'' (*i.e.*, any officer, director, or general or special partner) which was redundant because the rule already covers spouses of ''any non-customer of the clearing member'' and the definition of Non-Customer includes officers, directors, or general or special partners. Additionally, in order to conform OCC rules with Section 1.3(y)'s definition of ''proprietary account'' the proposed rule change clarifies that not only are spouses and minor dependents of non-customers Related Persons but also that the spouses and minor dependents of certain employees are also Related Persons.

The proposed rule change is consistent with the purpose and requirements of Section 17A of the Act [7] and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to OCC because the proposed rule change will assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in OCC's custody or control and for which OCC is responsible, foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in the clearance and settlement of securities transactions, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a national system for the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have any impact or impose any burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments were not and are not intended to be solicited with respect to the proposed rule change, and none have been received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within thirty-five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to ninety days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–OCC–99–15 and should be submitted by October 6, 2000.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.[8]

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23735 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[3] 17 CFR 240.8c–1 and 15c2–1.

[4] *See also* no-action letter from Michael A. Macchiaroli, Associate Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, to William H. Navin, Executive Vice President and General Counsel, OCC, (June 15, 2000).

[5] Non-conforming subordination agreements are subordination agreements that do not meet the requirements of Appendix D of Rule 15c3–1.

[6] As defined, a Related Person is essentially a person whose account would be a ''proprietary account'' under the rules of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission but who is nevertheless a ''customer'' for purposes of the Commission's hypothecation rules cited above. Market Makers who are Related Persons of a clearing member are deemed to be Associated Market Makers and are excluded from the Combined Market Maker Account under Article VI, Section 3(c) of OCC's By-Laws.

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78q–1.

[8] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3292]

State of Alabama

Autauga County and the contiguous counties of Chilton, Dallas, Elmore, Lownes, and Montgomery in the State of Alabama constitute a disaster area due to damages caused by flash flooding that occurred on September 1, 2000. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on November 9, 2000 and for economic injury until the close of business on June 8, 2001 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations:

U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 2 Office, One Baltimore Place, Suite 300, Atlanta, GA 30308

The interest rates are:

For Physical Damage:	
Homeowners with credit available elsewhere	7.375
Homeowners without credit available elsewhere	3.687
Businesses with credit available elsewhere	8.000
Businesses and non-profit organizations without credit available elsewhere	4.000

Others (including non-profit organizations) with credit available elsewhere	6.750
For Economic Injury:	
Businesses and small agricultural cooperatives without credit available elsewhere	4.000

The numbers assigned to this disaster are 329206 for physical damage and 9I7600 for economic injury.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Aida Alvarez,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23759 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3291]

State of Idaho

As a result of the President's major disaster declaration on September 1, 2000, I find that the following Counties and Indian Reservation in the State of Idaho constitute a disaster area due to damages caused by wildfires beginning on July 27, 2000 and continuing: Bannock, Boise, Clearwater, Elmore, Idaho, Jerome, Lemhi, Lewis, and Power Counties, and the Fort Hall Indian Reservation. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on October 31, 2000, and for loans for economic injury until the close of business on June 1, 2001 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations:

U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 4 Office, P.O. Box 13795, Sacramento, CA 95853–4795

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the following contiguous counties may be filed until the specified date at the above location: Ada, Adams, Bingham, Blaine, Butte, Camas, Caribou, Cassia, Clark, Custer, Franklin, Gem, Gooding, Latah, Lincoln, Minidoka, Nez Perce, Oneida, Owyhee, Shoshone, Twin Falls, and Valley Counties in Idaho, and Wallowa County, Oregon. All contiguous counties in the State of Montana have been declared under a separate declaration for that State.

The interest rates are:

	Percent
For Physical Damage:	
Homeowners with credit available elsewhere	7.375
Homeowners without credit available elsewhere	3.687
Businesses with credit available elsewhere	8.000
Businesses and non-profit organizations without credit available elsewhere	4.000
Others (including non-profit organizations) with credit available elsewhere	6.750
For Economic Injury:	
Businesses and small agricultural cooperatives without credit available elsewhere	4.000

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 329105. For economic injury the numbers are 9I5600 for Idaho and 9I5700 for Oregon.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: September 5, 2000.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–23760 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3271]

State of Minnesota (Amendment #6)

In accordance with information received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to extend the deadline for filing applications for physical damage as a result of this disaster for victims located in Dakota County, Minnesota to September 12, 2000.

All other information remains the same, *i.e.,* the deadline for filing applications for physical damage for Yellow Medicine and Chippewa Counties is September 25. For all other counties the physical deadline expired on August 29. For economic injury the deadline is March 30, 2001.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: September 1, 2000.

Becky C. Brantley,

Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–23762 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3290]

State of Montana

As a result of the President's major disaster declaration on August 30, 2000, I find that the following Counties and Indian Reservations in the State of Montana constitute a disaster area due to damages caused by wildfires beginning on July 13, 2000 and continuing: Beaverhead, Broadwater, Carbon, Cascade, Deer Lodge, Flathead, Gallatin, Glacier, Granite, Jefferson, Judith Basin, Lake, Lewis and Clark, Lincoln, Madison, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Park, Pondera, Powell, Ravalli, Sanders, Silver Bow, Stillwater, Sweet Grass, Teton, and Wheatland Counties, and the Flathead and Blackfeet Indian Reservations. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on October 29, 2000, and for loans for economic injury until the close of business on May 30, 2001 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations:

U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 3 Office, 4400 Amon Carter Blvd., Suite 102, Fort Worth, TX 76155

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the following contiguous counties may be filed until the specified date at the above location: Big Horn, Chouteau, Fergus, Golden Valley, Liberty, Toole, and Yellowstone Counties in Montana; Bonner, Boundary, and Fremont Counties in Idaho; and Big Horn, Park and Teton Counties in Wyoming. Any Idaho counties contiguous to the above-named primary counties and not listed herein have been declared under a separate declaration for that State.

The interest rates are:

For Physical Damage:	
Homeowners with credit available elsewhere	7.375
Homeowners without credit available elsewhere	3.687
Businesses with credit available elsewhere	8.000
Businesses and non-profit organizations without credit available elsewhere	4.000
Others (including non-profit organizations) with credit available elsewhere	6.750
For Economic Injury:	
Businesses and small agricultural cooperatives without credit available elsewhere	4.000

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 329005.

For economic injury the numbers are 9I4800 for Montana, 9I4900 for Idaho, and 9I5000 for Wyoming.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: September 5, 2000.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–23761 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3281]

State of New Jersey (Amendment #1)

In accordance with a notice from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, dated August 30, 2000, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning on August 12, 2000 and continuing through August 21, 2000.

All other information remains the same, *i.e.,* the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is October 16, 2000 and for economic injury the deadline is May 17, 2001.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: September 5, 2000.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–23763 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board will hold a public meeting on Thursday, October 5, 2000, from 10 am to 4 pm at the Fontainebleau Hilton Hotel, Miami Beach, Florida to discuss such matters as may be presented by members, staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, or others present.

For further information, please write or call Ellen Thrasher, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street, SW., Fourth Floor, Washington, DC 20416. Telephone number (202) 205–6817.

Bettie Baca,

Counselor to the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23764 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Request and Comment Request

In compliance with Public Law 104–13, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, SSA is providing notice of an information collection that requires submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). SSA is soliciting comments on the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate; the need for the information; its practical utility; ways to enhance its quality, utility and clarity; and on ways to minimize burden on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The information collection listed below will be submitted to OMB within 60 days from the date of this notice. Therefore, comments and recommendations regarding the information collection would be most useful if received by the Agency within 60 days from the date of this publication. Comments should be directed to the SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the address listed at the end of the notice. You can obtain a copy of the collection instrument by calling the SSA Reports Clearance Officer on (410) 965–4145, or by writing to him.

Waiver of Right to Appear, Disability Hearing—0960–0534. The Social Security Administration uses Form SSA–773–U4 to provide claimants with an effective means of requesting waiver of their right to appear at a disability hearing. The information collected will be used as documentation that claimants understand their right to appear and the effects of the decision to waive this right. The respondents are claimants under Title II (Old-Age, Survivors and Disability Insurance) and Title XVI (Supplemental Security Income), of the Social Security Act, who wish to waive their right to appear at a disability hearing.

Number of Respondents: 194.

Frequency of Response: 1.

Average Burden Per Response: 25 minutes.

Estimated Average Burden: 81 hours.

Social Security Administration, DCFAM, Attn: Frederick W. Brickenkamp, 6401 Security Blvd., 1–A–21 Operations Bldg., Baltimore, MD 21235.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Frederick W. Brickenkamp,

Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–23700 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4190–29–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33920]

Big Eagle Rail, LLC—Lease and Operation Exemption—Kanawha Rail Corp.

Big Eagle Rail, LLC, a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to lease from Kanawha Rail Corp. and operate rail trackage located in Kanawha County, WV, together with other associated rail properties (trackage). The trackage extends from its northern terminus at an interchange with CSX Transportation, Inc., at CSX Station 670+30, south along Fields Creek, in Cabin Creek District, for approximately 6.47 miles, to its southern terminus.

The parties reported that they intended to consummate the transaction promptly upon the effective date of the exemption. The earliest the transaction could have been consummated was September 1, 2000, 7 days after the exemption was filed.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio.* Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33920, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Kelvin J. Dowd, Esq., Slover & Loftus, 1224 Seventeenth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20036.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at ''WWW.STB.DOT.GOV.''

Decided: September 7, 2000.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23662 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket Nos. AB–486 (Sub–No. 2X) and AB–33 (Sub. No. 154X)]

Kyle Railroad Company—Discontinuance of Service Exemption—in Smith, Phillips and Norton Counties, KS and Union Pacific Railroad Company—Abandonment Exemption—in Smith, Phillips and Norton Counties, KS

On August 28, 2000, Kyle Railroad Company (Kyle) and Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) (collectively, petitioners) jointly filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption [1] from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903 for Kyle to discontinue service over and UP to abandon a 70.5-mile segment of a line of railroad known as the Lenora Branch, extending from milepost 552.7 near Harlan to the end of the line at milepost 623.6 near Lenora, in Smith, Phillips, and Norton Counties, KS.[2] The line traverses U.S. Postal Zip Codes 67638, 67628, 67626, 67644, 67639, 67661, 67646, and 67645, and includes the stations of Gaylord (milepost 557.8), Cedar (milepost 563.0), Claudell (milepost 567.4), Kirwin (milepost 572.4), Glade (milepost 583.1), Speed (milepost 589.8), Logan (milepost 598.5), and Edmond (milepost 612.9).

The line does not contain federally granted rights-of-way. Any documentation in the railroad's possession will be made available promptly to those requesting it.

The interest of railroad employees will be protected by *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen,* 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

By issuance of this notice, the Board is instituting an exemption proceeding pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(b). A final decision will be issued by December 15, 2000.

Any offer of financial assistance (OFA) under 49 CFR 1152.27(b)(2) will be due no later than 10 days after service of a decision granting the petition for exemption. Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at $1,000. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

All interested persons should be aware that, following abandonment of rail service and salvage of the line, the line may be suitable for other public use, including interim trail use. Any request for a public use condition under 49 CFR 1152.28 or for trail use/rail banking under 49 CFR 1152.29 will be due no later than October 5, 2000. Each trail use request must be accompanied by a $150 filing fee. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to STB Docket Nos. AB–486 (Sub-No. 2X) and AB–33 (Sub-No. 154X) and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423–0001; (2) Fritz R. Kahn, 1920 N Street, N.W. (8th Floor), Washington, DC 20036–1601; and (3) James P. Gatlin, 1416 Dodge Street (#830), Omaha, NE 68179–0001. Replies to the petition are due on or before October 5, 2000.

Persons seeking further information concerning abandonment procedures may contact the Board's Office of Public Services at (202) 565–1592 or refer to the full abandonment or discontinuance regulations at 49 CFR part 1152. Questions concerning environmental issues may be directed to the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) at (202) 565–1545. [TDD for the hearing impaired is available at 1–800–877–8339.]

An environmental assessment (EA) (or environmental impact statement (EIS), if necessary) prepared by SEA will be served upon all parties of record and upon any agencies or other persons who commented during its preparation. Other interested persons may contact SEA to obtain a copy of the EA (or EIS). EAs in these abandonment proceedings normally will be made available within 60 days of the filing of the petition. The deadline for submission of comments on the EA will generally be within 30 days of its service.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at ''WWW.STB.DOT.GOV.''

Decided: September 8, 2000.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23661 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

[1] Petitioners' original petition for exemption was filed on August 23, 2000. On August 28, 2000, Kyle filed supplemental information that had been omitted from the original petition. Accordingly, August 28, 2000, when all of the required information was submitted, is considered to be the actual filing date and the due dates in this notice are based on that date.

[2] Petitioners state that milepost 582.52 is equivalent to milepost 582.92, which makes the line 0.4 mile shorter than it would appear from the terminal mileposts.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33926]

The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Union Pacific Railroad Company

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) has agreed to grant overhead trackage rights to The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) over UP's lines as follows: (1) Between East Portland, OR, in the vicinity of UP's milepost 770.34, and Oakridge, OR, in the vicinity of UP's milepost 580.5 (UP's Brooklyn Subdivision); and (2) between Oakridge, OR, in the vicinity of UP's milepost 580.5 and Chemult, OR, in the vicinity of UP's milepost 502.9 (UP's Cascade Subdivision), a distance of approximately 245 miles.[1]

The transaction is scheduled to be consummated on September 15, 2000.

The primary purpose of the trackage rights is to allow BNSF to operate over an alternative line while BNSF's lines are undergoing maintenance and repair.

As a condition to this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN,* 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate,* 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If it contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio.* Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33926, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on Yolanda Grimes Brown, Esq., The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company, P. O. Box 961039, Fort Worth, TX 76161–0039.

[1] On September 6, 2000, BNSF filed a petition for exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 33926 (Sub-No. 1), *The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Union Pacific Railroad Company,* wherein BNSF requests that the Board permit the proposed overhead trackage rights arrangement described in the present proceeding to expire on November 11, 2000. That petition will be addressed by the Board in a separate decision.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at ''WWW.STB.DOT.GOV.''

Decided: September 8, 2000.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–23631 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Government Securities: Call for Large Position Reports

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary for Domestic Finance, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury (''Department'' or ''Treasury'') called for the submission of Large Position Reports by those entities whose reportable positions in the 5–¾% Treasury Notes of August 2010 equaled or exceeded $2 billion as of close of business September 12, 2000.

DATES: Large Position Reports must be received before noon Eastern time on September 19, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The reports must be submitted to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Securities Reports Division, 1st Floor, 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045; or faxed to 212–720–5030.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lori Santamorena, Executive Director; Lee Grandy, Associate Director; or Nadir Isfahani, Government Securities Specialist; Bureau of the Public Debt, Department of the Treasury, at 202–691–3632.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Department's large position rules under the Government Securities Act regulations (17 CFR Part 420), the Treasury, in a press release issued on September 13, 2000, and in this **Federal Register** notice, called for Large Position Reports from those entities whose reportable positions in the 5–¾% Treasury Notes of August 2010, Series C–2010, equaled or exceeded $2 billion as of the close of business Tuesday, September 12, 2000. This call for Large Position Reports is a test. Entities whose reportable positions in this note equaled or exceeded the $2 billion threshold must report these positions to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Entities with positions in this note below $2 billion are not required to file Large Position Reports. Large Position Reports, which must include the required position and administrative information, must be received by the Securities Reports Division of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York before noon Eastern time on Tuesday, September 19, 2000. The Reports may be filed by facsimile at (212) 720–5030 or delivered to the Bank at 33 Liberty Street, 1st floor.

The 5¾% Treasury Notes of August 2010 have a CUSIP number of 912827 6J 6, a STRIPS principal component CUSIP number of 912820 FT 9, and a maturity date of August 15, 2010.

The press release and a copy of a sample Large Position Report, which appears in Appendix B of the rules at 17 CFR Part 420, can be obtained by calling (202) 622–2040 and requesting document number 870. These documents are also available at the Bureau of the Public Debt's Internet site at the following address: http://www.publicdebt.treas.gov.

Questions about Treasury's large position reporting rules should be directed to Public Debt's Government Securities Regulations Staff at (202) 691–3632. Questions regarding the method of submission of Large Position Reports may be directed to the Securities Reports Division of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York at (212) 720–1449.

The collection of large position information has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act under OMB Control Number 1535–0089.

Dated: September 13, 2000.

Gary Gensler,

Under Secretary, Domestic Finance.

[FR Doc. 00–23889 Filed 9–13–00; 1:12 pm]

BILLING CODE 4810–39–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the Tobacco Products Manufacturers—Notice for Tobacco Products, ATF REC 5210/12 and Records of Operations, ATF REC 5210/1.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 14, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Linda Barnes, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226, (202) 927–8930.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form(s) and instructions should be directed to Cliff Mullen, Regulations Division, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226, (202) 927–8181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Tobacco Products Manufacturers—Notice for Tobacco Products, ATF REC 5210/12 and Records of Operations, ATF REC 5210/1.

OMB Number: 1512–0502.

Recordkeeping Requirement ID Number: ATF REC 5210/12 and ATF REC 5210/1.

Abstract: ATF requires tax identification on packages or cases which is used to validate excise tax payments and verify claims. In order to safeguard these taxes, tobacco products manufacturers are required to maintain a system of records designed to establish accountability over the tobacco products produced. The recordkeeping requirement for this information collection is 3 years.

Current Actions: There are no changes to this information collection and it is being submitted for extension purposes only.

Type of Review: Extension.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 108.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: None, records are usual and customary.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate

of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Steve L. Mathis,

Deputy Assistant Director (Management).

[FR Doc. 00–23739 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–31–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the Application to Register as an Importer of U.S. Munitions Import List Articles.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 14, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Linda Barnes, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226, (202) 927–8930.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form(s) and instructions should be directed to Debbie Lee, Firearms and Explosives Imports Branch, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226, (202) 927–8320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Application to Register as an Importer of U.S. Munitions Import List Articles.

OMB Number: 1512–0021.

Form Number: ATF F 4587 (5330.4).

Abstract: Under 22 U.S.C. 2778 and the implementing regulations in 27 CFR Part 47, persons engaged in the business of importing firearms and ammunition, and implements of war are required to register with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and pay a registration fee. The recordkeeping requirement associated with this information collection is 6 years.

Current Actions: There are no changes to this information collection and it is being submitted for extension purposes only.

Type of Review: Extension.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 300.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 150.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and cost of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: September 7, 2000.

Steve L. Mathis,

Deputy Assistant Director (Management).

[FR Doc. 00–23740 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–31–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Forms 5434 and 5434–A

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 5434, Application for Enrollment, and Form 5434–A, Application for Renewal of Enrollment.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 14, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Garrick R. Shear, Internal Revenue Service, room 5244, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the forms and instructions should be directed to Carol Savage, (202) 622–3945, Internal Revenue Service, room 5242, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title*: Form 5434, Application for Enrollment, and Form 5434–A, Application for Renewal of Enrollment.

OMB Number: 1545–0951.

Forms Number: 5434 and 5434–A.

Abstract: Form 5434 is used to apply for enrollment to perform actuarial services under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). Form 5434–A is used to renew enrollment every three years to perform actuarial services under ERISA. The information is used by the Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries to determine the eligibility of the appliant to perform actuarial services.

Current Actions: There are no changes being made to the forms at this time.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 6,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 38 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,800.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Approved: September 8, 2000.

Garrick R. Shear,

IRS Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23800 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Form 2587

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 2587, Application for Special Enrollment Examination.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 14, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Garrick R. Shear, Internal Revenue Service, room 5244, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Carol Savage, (202) 622–3945, Internal Revenue Service, room 5242, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Application for Special Enrollment Examination.

OMB Number: 1545–0949.

Form Number: Form 2587.

Abstract: Form 2587 is used by individuals to apply to take the Special Enrollment Examination to establish eligibility for enrollment to practice before the IRS. The information on the form is used by the Director of Practice to identify those individuals seeking to take the examination and to plan for the administration of the examination.

Current Actions: There are no changes being made to the form at this time.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 8,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 6 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 800.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Approved: September 7, 2000.

Garrick R. Shear,

IRS Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23801 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Form 706–GS(D)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 706–GS(D), Generation-Skipping Transfer Tax Return for Distributions.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 14, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Garrick R. Shear, Internal Revenue Service, room 5244, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Carol Savage, (202) 622–3945, Internal Revenue Service, room 5242, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Generation-Skipping Transfer Tax Return for Distributions.

OMB Number: 1545–1144.

Form Number: 706–GS(D).

Abstract: Form 706–GS(D) is used by persons who receive taxable distributions from a trust to compute and report the generation-skipping transfer tax imposed by Internal Revenue Code section 2601. IRS uses the information to verify that the tax has been properly computed.

Current Actions: There are no changes being made to the form at this time.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 1 hour, 5 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,080.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Approved: September 7, 2000.

Garrick R. Shear,

IRS Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23802 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Revenue Procedure 97–43 and Revenue Ruling 97–39

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Revenue Procedure 97–43, Procedures for Electing Out of Exemptions Under Section 1.475(c)–1, and Revenue Ruling 97–39, Mark-to-Market Accounting Method for Dealers in Securities.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 14, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Garrick R. Shear, Internal Revenue Service, room 5244, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the revenue procedure and/or revenue ruling should be directed to Carol Savage, (202) 622–3945, Internal Revenue Service, room 5242, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Revenue Procedure 97–43, Procedures for Electing Out of Exemptions Under Section 1.475(c)–1, and Revenue Ruling 97–39, Mark-to-Market Accounting Method for Dealers in Securities.

OMB Number: 1545–1558.

Revenue Procedure Number: Revenue Procedure 97–43.

Revenue Ruling Number: Revenue Ruling 97–39.

Abstract: Revenue Procedure 97–43 provides taxpayers automatic consent to change to mark-to-market accounting for securities after the taxpayer elects under regulation section 1.475(c)–1, subject to certain terms and conditions. Revenue Ruling 97–39 provides taxpayers additional mark-to-market guidance under section 475 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Current Actions: In 1998 Congress added Code section 475(c)(4), which effectively made the non-financial customary paper exemption of regulation section 1.475(c)–1(b) mandatory. In addition, after 1997, taxpayers could no longer use the automatic consent provisions of Revenue Procedure 97–43 to get retroactive waivers of the non-financial customary paper exemption of § 1.475(c)–1(b) or the negligible sales exemption of § 1.475(c)–1(c) or make the intragroup customer election of § 1.475(c)–1(a), retroactively. This makes holding 15 of Revenue Ruling 97–39 irrelevant and reduces the number of taxpayers affected by these requirements.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 200.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 5 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,000.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

Request for Comments:

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Approved: September 7, 2000.

Garrick R. Shear,

IRS Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23803 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of the Public Debt

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort

to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). Currently the Bureau of the Public Debt within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the extension of information collections under the regulations which were issued pursuant to the Government Securities Act.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 20, 2000, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Bureau of the Public Debt, Vicki S. Thorpe, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Vicki S. Thorpe, Bureau of the Public Debt, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328, (304) 480–6553.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Government Securities Act Regulations.

OMB Number: 1535–0089.

Abstract: The information collections are contained within the regulations issued pursuant to the Government Securities Act (GSA), as amended (15 U.S.C. 780–5), which require government securities brokers and dealers to make and keep certain records concerning their business activities and their holdings of securities, to submit financial reports, and to make certain disclosures to investors. The regulations also require depository institutions to keep certain records of non-fiduciary custodial holdings of government securities. The regulations and associated collections are fundamental to customer protection and dealer financial responsibility.

Current Actions: None.

Type of Review: Extension.

Affected Public: Government securities brokers and dealers and depository institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 16,931.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 369,620.

Request for Comments:

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Vicki S. Thorpe,

Manager, Graphics, Printing and Records Branch.

[FR Doc. 00–23724 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–39–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of the Public Debt

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). Currently the Bureau of the Public Debt within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the Application For Recognition as Natural Guardian of a Minor Not Under Legal Guardianship and for Disposition of Minor's Interest in Registered Securities.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 20, 2000, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Bureau of the Public Debt, Vicki S. Thorpe, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Vicki S. Thorpe, Bureau of the Public Debt, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328, (304) 480–6553.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Application For Recognition As Natural Guardian Of A Minor Not Under Legal Guardianship And For Disposition Of Minor's Interest In Registered Securities.

OMB Number: 1535–0105.

Form Number: PD F 2481.

Abstract: The information is to support disposition of registered securities belonging to a minor.

Current Actions: None.

Type of Review: Extension.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 13.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Vicki S. Thorpe,

Manager, Graphics, Printing and Records Branch.

[FR Doc. 00–23725 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–39–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of the Public Debt

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information

collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). Currently the Bureau of the Public Debt within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the Application By Survivors for Payment of Bond or Check Issued Under the Armed Forces Leave Act of 1946, as amended.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 20, 2000, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Bureau of the Public Debt, Vicki S. Thorpe, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Vicki S. Thorpe, Bureau of the Public Debt, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328, (304) 480–6553.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Application By Survivor For Payment of Bond or Check Issued Under The Armed Forces Leave Act of 1946, As Amended.

OMB Number: 1535–0104.

Form Number: PD F 2066.

Abstract: The information is requested to support payment of bonds or checks issued under the Armed Forces Leave Act of 1946, as amended.

Current Actions: None.

Type of Review: Extension.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 400.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 200.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Vicki S. Thorpe,

Manager, Graphics, Printing and Records Branch.

[FR Doc. 00–23726 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–39–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of the Public Debt

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). Currently the Bureau of the Public Debt within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the Certificate to Support Application For Relief on Account of Lost, Stolen, or Destroyed U.S. Securities.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 20, 2000, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Bureau of the Public Debt, Vicki S. Thorpe, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Vicki S. Thorpe, Bureau of the Public Debt, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, WV 26106–1328, (304) 480–6553.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Certificate To Support Application For Relief On Account of Lost, Stolen, or Destroyed United States Securities.

OMB Number: 1535–0108.

Form Number: PD F 2471.

Abstract: The information is to support an application for relief on account of lost, stolen, or destroyed United States Securities.

Current Actions: None.

Type of Review: Extension.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 400.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 200.

Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Vicki S. Thorpe,

Manager, Graphics, Printing and Records Branch.

[FR Doc. 00–23727 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–39–P

Corrections

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 180

Friday, September 15, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains editorial corrections of previously published Presidential, Rule, Proposed Rule, and Notice documents. These corrections are prepared by the Office of the Federal Register. Agency prepared corrections are issued as signed documents and appear in the appropriate document categories elsewhere in the issue.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00–505–000]

Kern River Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 31, 2000.

Correction

In notice document 00–22934 appearing on page 54246, in the issue of Thursday, September 7, 2000, make the following correction:

On page 54246, in the second column, the docket number is corrected to read as set forth above.

[FR Doc. C0–22934 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–43099; File No. SR–CBOE–99–35]

Self–Regulatory Organizations; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change and Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Amendment Nos. 1, 2 and 3 to the Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., Relating to Facilitation Crosses of Index Options Orders

July 31, 2000.

Correction

In notice document 00–19911 beginning on page 48264 in the issue of Monday, August 7, 2000, the heading should read as set forth above.

[FR Doc. C0–19911 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–43113; File No. SR–CBOE–00-32]

Self–Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Adoption of the CBOE Best Executive Assurance Program

August 3, 2000.

Correction

In notice document 00–20256 beginning on page 49038 in the issue of Thursday, August 10, 2000, the heading is corrected by adding the date.

[FR Doc. C0–20256 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–43138; File No. SR–NASD–99–53]

Self–Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Amendment Nos. 5, 6, and 7 to Proposed Rule Change by National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to the Establishment of Nasdaq Order Display Facility and to Modifications of the Nasdaq Trading Platform

August 10, 2000.

Correction

In notice document 00–20686 beginning on page 49842 in the issue of Tuesday, August 15, 2000, the docket line should read as set forth above.

[FR Doc. C0–20686 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 24600; 812–12152]

Nations Fund, Inc., et al.; Notice of Application

August 18, 2000.

Correction

In notice document 00–21629 beginning on page 51372 in the issue of Wednesday, August 23, 2000, the heading is corrected by adding the date.

[FR Doc. C0–21629 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

Federal Register

Friday,
September 15, 2000

Part II

Department of Health and Human Services

Health Resources and Services Administration

Lists of Designated Primary Medical Care, Mental Health, and Dental Health Professional Shortage Areas; Notice

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Lists of Designated Primary Medical Care, Mental Health, and Dental Health Professional Shortage Areas

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice provides lists of all areas, population groups, and facilities designated as primary medical care, mental health, and dental health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) as of July 31, 2000. HPSAs are designated or withdrawn by the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) under the authority of section 332 of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for further information on the HPSA designations listed below and requests for additional designations, withdrawals, or reinstatement of a withdrawn designation should be submitted to Jerilyn A. Thornburg, R.N., M.P.H., Director, Division of Shortage Designation, Bureau of Primary Health Care, Health Resources and Services Administration, 4350 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814 (301–594–0816).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background

Section 332 of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 254e, provides that the Secretary of HHS shall designate HPSAs based on criteria established by regulation. HPSAs are defined in section 332 to include (1) urban and rural geographic areas with shortages of health professionals, (2) population groups with such shortages, and (3) facilities with such shortages. Section 332 further requires that the Secretary annually publish a list of the designated geographic areas, population groups, and facilities. The list of HPSAs is to be reviewed at least annually and revised as necessary. The Health Resources and Services Administration's (HRSA) Bureau of Primary Health Care (BPHC) has the responsibility for designating and updating HPSAs.

Public or private nonprofit entities are eligible to apply for assignment of National Health Service Corps (NHSC) personnel to provide primary health services in or to these HPSAs. NHSC health professionals with a service obligation may serve only in federally designated HPSAs. Programs with clinical training sites located in HPSAs are eligible to receive priority for certain residency training program grants administered by HRSA's Bureau of Health Professions.

Several programs administered by the Health Care Financing Administration also use the HPSA designation. Certain qualified providers in HPSAs are eligible for increased levels of Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement.

2. Development of the Designation and Withdrawal Lists

Criteria for designating HPSAs were published as final regulations (42 CFR part 5) in 1980. Criteria were then defined for each of seven health professional types (primary medical care, dental, psychiatric, vision care, podiatric, pharmacy, and veterinary care). The criteria for correctional facility HPSAs were revised at 54 FR 8738 in 1989, and the criteria for psychiatric HPSAs were expanded to mental health HPSAs at 57 FR 2477 in 1992. The currently-funded PHS programs which use the HPSA designations involve only the primary medical care, mental health, or dental HPSAs.

Individual requests for designation or withdrawal of a particular area, population group, or a facility as a HPSA are received and reviewed continuously by BPHC. The review process includes routine submission of such requests to the appropriate State Health Planning and Development Agency (SHPDA) and/or a unit of the State Health Department, the Governor, and other interested organizations and individuals for their comments and recommendations. Requests regarding primary medical care and mental health HPSAs are also submitted to the appropriate State medical society for comment, and dental HPSA requests are submitted to the appropriate State dental society.

Annually, lists of designated HPSAs are provided to all SHPDAs and/or State health departments, State medical and dental societies and others, together with a request to review and update the data on which the designations are based. Emphasis is placed on updating those designations which are more than three years old or where significant changes relevant to the designation criteria have occurred.

Recommendations for possible additions, continuations, revisions or withdrawals from the HPSA list are reviewed by BPHC, and the review findings are provided by letter to the agency or individual requesting action or providing data, with copies to other interested organizations and individuals. These letters constitute the official notice of designation as a HPSA, rejection of recommendations for HPSA designation, revision of a HPSA designation, and/or advance notice of pending withdrawals from the HPSA list. Designations (or revisions of designations) are effective as of the date of the notification letter from BPHC. Proposed withdrawals become effective only after interested parties in the area affected have been afforded the opportunity to submit additional information to BPHC in support of its continued or revised designation. If no new data are submitted or if the BPHC review confirms the proposed withdrawal, it becomes effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of a list of HPSAs that does not include the proposed withdrawals.

This notice contains three lists of designated HPSAs. Each list (primary medical care, mental health, and dental) includes all those areas, population groups, and facilities which were designated HPSAs as of July 31, 2000. This notice incorporates the most recent annual review of designated HPSAs and supersedes the HPSA list published in the **Federal Register** on September 20, 1999. The lists below include 2,706 primary medical care, 661 mental health, and 1,178 dental HPSAs.

3. Format of Lists

Each list of designated HPSAs (primary medical care, mental health, and dental) is arranged by State. Within each State, the list is first presented by county. If only a portion (or portions) of a county is (are) designated, or if the county is part of a larger designated service area, or if a population group residing in the county or a facility located in the county has been designated, the name of the service area, population group, or facility involved is listed under the county name. Counties which have a geographic HPSA designation in addition to one or more facility designations within the county are indicated by a ''(g)'' following the county name.

Following the county listing, a list of any designated service areas is presented, identifying their component parts—counties, towns, townships, census tracts (CTs), minor civil divisions (MCDs), census county divisions (CCDs), block numbering areas (BNAs), or magisterial districts, as defined by the Bureau of the Census. Those counties (or parts of counties included in service areas) which are classified as nonmetropolitan are indicated by an asterisk (*). ''Nonmetropolitan'' refers to those counties not included in the definition

of metropolitan areas established by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB Bulletin 94–07 dated July 5, 1994).

Following the service area listing, a list of designated population groups (if any) is presented identifying each group and the geographic area wherein it resides. Following the population group listing, a list by name and location of any separately designated facilities (including prisons, correctional institutions, health centers, or hospitals) is presented.

In addition to the specific listings included in this notice, all Indian tribes which meet the definition of such tribes in the Indian Health Care Improvement Act of 1976, 25 U.S.C. 1603(d), are automatically designated as population groups with primary medical care and dental health professional shortages.

4. Future Updates of Lists of Designated HPSAs

The lists of HPSAs below consist of all those which were designated as of July 31, 2000. It should be noted that additional HPSAs may have been designated by letter since July 31. The appropriate agencies and individuals have been or will be notified of these actions by letter.

Any designated HPSA listed below is subject to withdrawal from designation if new information received and confirmed by HRSA indicates that the relevant data for the area involved have significantly changed since its designation or that incorrect or incomplete data were used in making the original designation.

All requests for new designations, updates, or withdrawals should be based on the relevant criteria in regulations published at 42 CFR part 5 (1997).

(Authority: 42 U.S.C. 254e)

5. Electronic Access Address

Information on HPSAs is also available at http://www.bphc.hrsa.gov.

Dated: August 30, 2000.

Claude Earl Fox,

Administrator.

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
County Listing

County Name

Autauga
  Service Area: Autaugaville
  Population Group: Low Inc—Montgomery/Prattville
Baldwin
  Service Area: Atmore/Century (AL/FL)
  Population Group: Low Inc—Central/South Baldwin
*Barbour
  Service Area: Clayton
  Population Group: Low Inc—Eufaula
*Bibb
Blount
*Bullock
  Service Area: Bullock-Macon
*Butler
  Service Area: Butler/South Lowndes
*Chambers
  Service Area: La Fayette
  Population Group: Low Inc—Valley
*Cherokee
*Chilton
*Choctaw
*Clarke
  Service Area: Coffeeville
  Service Area: Grove Hill/Fulton
*Clay
*Cleburne
*Coffee
  Population Group: Low Inc—Coffee Co
Colbert
  Service Area: Cherokee
*Conecuh
*Coosa
*Covington
  Service Area: South Covington
  Population Group: Low Inc—North Covington
*Crenshaw
*Cullman
  Population Group: Low Inc—Cullman Co
Dale
*Dallas
  Population Group: Low Inc—Dallas
*De Kalb
  Population Group: Low Inc—Dekalb
Elmore
*Escambia
  Service Area: Atmore/Century (AL/FL)
Etowah
  Population Group: Low Inc—Etowah Co
*Fayette
*Franklin
  Population Group: Low Inc—Franklin
*Geneva
*Greene
  Service Area: Greene-Hale
*Hale
  Service Area: Greene-Hale
*Henry
*Jackson
  Population Group: Low Inc—Jackson Co
Jefferson
  Population Group: Low Inc—Central Birmingham
*Lamar
Lauderdale
  Population Group: Low Inc—Lauderdale Co
Lawrence
*Lee
  Population Group: Low Inc—Lee County
Limestone
  Population Group: Low Inc—Limestone Co
*Lowndes
  Service Area: Butler/South Lowndes
  Service Area: North Lowndes
*Macon
  Service Area: Bullock-Macon
Madison
  Population Group: Low Inc—C Huntsville
*Marion
  Population Group: Low Inc—Marion Co
*Marshall
  Population Group: Low Inc—Marshall Co
Mobile
  Service Area: Bayou La Batre/Grand Bay
  Service Area: North Mobile
  Population Group: Low Inc—NW Mobile
  Population Group: Low Inc—Central Mobile/Prichard
  Population Group: Low Inc—SE Mobile
*Monroe
  Service Area: Atmore/Century (AL/FL)
  Population Group: Low Inc—Monroeville
Montgomery
  Population Group: Low Inc—Montgomery/Prattville
Morgan
  Population Group: Low Inc—Morgan Co
*Perry
*Pickens
*Randolph
Russell
  Population Group: Low Inc—Russell
Shelby
St Clair
*Sumter
  Population Group: Low Inc—Sumter
*Talladega
  Population Group: Low Inc—Talladega Co
  Facility: FCI Talladega
*Tallapoosa
  Service Area: Camp Hill
Tuscaloosa
  Population Group: Low Inc—Tuscaloosa Co
*Walker
  Population Group: Low Inc—Walker Co
*Washington
*Wilcox
*Winston

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Atmore/Century (AL/FL)
  County—Baldwin
    Parts:
      C.T. 101
  County—Escambia
    Parts:
      C.T. 9703–9707
  County—Monroe
    Parts:
      C.T. 9862
Autaugaville
  County—Autauga
    Parts:
      Autaugaville Division
      Billingsley Division
      Marbury Division
Bayou La Batre/Grand Bay
  County—Mobile
    Parts:
      C.T. 65–67
      C.T. 72.01–72.02
      C.T. 73
Bullock-Macon
  County—Bullock
  County—Macon
Butler/South Lowndes
  County—Butler
  County—Lowndes

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
Braggs-Prairie Hill Division
Fort Deposit Division
Camp Hill
County—Tallapoosa
Parts:
Camp Hill Division
Dadeville Division
Tallassee Division
Cherokee
County—Colbert
Parts:
Cherokee Division
Clayton
County—Barbour
Parts:
Clayton Division
Clio Division
Louisville Division
Coffeeville
County—Clarke
Parts:
Coffeeville Division
Greene-Hale
County—Greene
County—Hale
Grove Hill/Fulton
County—Clarke
Parts:
Fulton CCD
Grove Hill CCD
La Fayette
County—Chambers
Parts:
Five Points Division
Lafayette Division
Milltown Division
North Lowndes
County—Lowndes
Parts:
Benton-Collirene Division
Hayneville Division
Lowndesboro Division
North Mobile
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T. 58–60
South Covington
County—Covington
Parts:
Falco Division
Florala Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—C Huntsville
County—Madison
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3.01–3.02
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8
C.T. 10–13
C.T. 15–16
C.T. 20–24
C.T. 25.01–25.02
Low Inc—Central Birmingham
County—Jefferson
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 3–5
C.T. 7–8
C.T. 11–12
C.T. 14–16
C.T. 19.02
C.T. 22
C.T. 23.03–23.04
C.T. 24
C.T. 27
C.T. 29
C.T. 30.01–30.02
C.T. 31–34
C.T. 39–40
C.T. 42
C.T. 45
C.T. 51.01
C.T. 55
Low Inc—Central Mobile/Prichard
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 5–6
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8
C.T. 12.01
C.T. 26
C.T. 38.01
C.T. 39.01
C.T. 40–48
Low Inc—Central/South Baldwin
County—Baldwin
Parts:
C.T. 102–106
C.T. 107.01–107.03
C.T. 108
C.T. 109.01–109.02
C.T. 110–111
C.T. 112.01–112.02
C.T. 113
C.T. 114.01–114.02
C.T. 115–116
Low Inc—Coffee Co
County—Coffee
Low Inc—Cullman Co
County—Cullman
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dallas
County—Dallas
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Dekalb
County—De Kalb
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Etowah Co
County—Etowah
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Eufaula
County—Barbour
Parts:
C.T. 9501
C.T. 9505–9509
Low Inc—Franklin
County—Franklin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jackson Co
County—Jackson
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Jackson
Low Inc—Lauderdale Co
County—Lauderdale
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Lee County
County—Lee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Limestone Co
County—Limestone
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marion Co
County—Marion
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marshall Co
County—Marshall
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Monroeville
County—Monroe
Parts:
Beatrice CCD
Frisco City CCD
Monroeville CCD
Peterman CCD
Vredenburgh CCD
Low Inc—Montgomery/Prattville
County—Autauga
Parts:
C.T. 201–208
County—Montgomery
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Morgan Co
County—Morgan
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—North Covington
County—Covington
Parts:
Andalusia Division
Opp Division
Rosehill-Gantt Division
Low Inc—NW Mobile
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T. 34.01–34.02
C.T. 49–50
C.T. 61.03
C.T. 161.03
Low Inc—Russell
County—Russell
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sumter
County—Sumter
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—SE Mobile
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T 10.01
C.T 9.02
C.T. 9.01
C.T. 10.02
C.T. 11
C.T. 13.01–13.02
C.T. 14
C.T. 15.01–15.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 16
C.T. 21–22
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24
C.T. 25.01–25.02
C.T. 27–29
Low Inc—Talladega Co
County—Talladega
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Tuscaloosa Co
County—Tuscaloosa
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Valley
County—Chambers
Parts:
Lanett CCD
Langdale CCD
Low Inc—Walker Co
County—Walker
Parts:
Low Inc—Walker

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alabama
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Talladega
County—Talladega

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alaska
Census Area Listing

Census Area Name

*Aleutians East Borough
*Aleutians West Borough
Anchorage Borough
Population Group: Low Inc—N. Anchorage City
*Bethel Area
*Bristol Bay Borough
*Denali Borough
*Fairbanks North Star Boro
Population Group: Low Inc—Fairbanks North Star Boro
*Lake And Peninsula Borough
*Matanuska-Susitna Borough
Service Area: Talkeetna/Trapper Creek
*Nome Area
Service Area: Norton Sound
*North Slope Borough
*Northwest Arctic Borough
*Prince Of Wales-Outer Ket
*Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon Borough
*Southeast Fairbanks
*Wade Hampton Borough
*Yakutat Borough
*Yukon-Koyukuk

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alaska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Norton Sound
Census Area—Nome Area
Parts:
C.T. 9510
Talkeetna/Trapper Creek
Census Area—Matanuska-Susitna Borough
Parts:
C.T. 9743–9745

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Alaska
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Fairbanks North Star Boro
Census Area—Fairbanks North Star Boro
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—N. Anchorage City
Census Area—Anchorage Borough
Parts:
Ct 10
Ct 11
Ct 14
Ct 15
Ct 16
Ct 19
Ct 20
Ct 21
Ct 22.02
Ct 5
Ct 6
Ct 7.01
Ct 7.02
Ct 7.03
Ct 8.01
Ct 8.02
Ct 9.01
Ct 9.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
County Listing

County Name

*Apache
Service Area: Ganado
Service Area: Sanders
Service Area: Tsaile
Population Group: Low Inc—St Johns/Springerville
*Cochise
Service Area: Elfrida
Service Area: Tombstone
Population Group: Low Inc—Bowie/Wilcox
Population Group: Low Inc—Douglas
Population Group: Low Inc—Bisbee
*Coconino
Service Area: Grand Canyon Village
Service Area: Kanab/Fredonia (UT/AZ)
Service Area: Page/Tuba City
*Gila
Service Area: Young
*La Paz
Maricopa
Service Area: Gila Bend
Service Area: Guadalupe
Service Area: Wickenburg
Population Group: El Mirage- Low Inc/MFW
Population Group: Low Inc—Avondale/Tolleson
Population Group: Low Inc—South Mountain
Population Group: Low Inc—South Central Phoenix
Facility: FCI Phoenix
Facility: Maricopa Co Jails
Mohave
Service Area: Dolan Springs
Service Area: Mohave North
Service Area: Needles/Topock (CA/AZ)
*Navajo
Service Area: Heber/Overgaard
Service Area: Hopi
Population Group: Low Inc—Holbrook
Population Group: Low Inc—Winslow

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
County Listing

County Name

Pima
Service Area: Arivaca
Service Area: Continental
Population Group: Low Inc—Ajo
Population Group: Low Inc—Marana
Population Group: Low Inc—South Tucson
Facility: Child. Rehab. Cl—PC Clinic
Facility: FCI Tucson
Pinal
Service Area: San Pedro Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—Superior
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Central/West Pinal
Facility: INS Med Fac—Florence
*Santa Cruz
*Yavapai
Service Area: Ash Fork
Service Area: Cordes Junction
Yuma
Service Area: Somerton
Service Area: Wellton/Mohawk

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Arivaca
County—Pima
Parts:
C.T. 43.05
Ash Fork
County—Yavapai
Parts:
C.T. 1
Continental
County—Pima
Parts:
C.T. 41.02
Cordes Junction
County—Yavapai
Parts:
C.T. 15
Dolan Springs
County—Mohave
Parts:
C.T. 9502
C.T. 9504–9505
Elfrida
County—Cochise
Parts:
Elfrida Division
Ganado
County—Apache
Parts:
C.T. 9776–9778
Gila Bend
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 7233
Grand Canyon Village
County—Coconino
Parts:
C.T. 17–19
Guadalupe
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 3200.02
Heber/Overgaard
County—Navajo
Parts:
C.T. 9607
Hopi

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Navajo
Parts:
C.T. 9650–9653
C.T. 9674
C.T. 9676
Kanab/Fredonia (UT/AZ)
County—Coconino
Parts:
Kaibab Division
Mohave North
County—Mohave
Parts:
Mohave North Division
Needles/Topock (CA/AZ)
County—Mohave
Parts:
C.T. 9521
Page/Tuba City
County—Coconino
Parts:
C.T. 21–25
San Pedro Valley
County—Pinal
Parts:
San Manuel Division
Sanders
County—Apache
Parts:
C.T. 9701
Somerton
County—Yuma
Parts:
C.T. 114–116
Tombstone
County—Cochise
Parts:
C.T. 4
Tsaile
County—Apache
Parts:
C.T. 9772–9775
Wellton/Mohawk
County—Yuma
Parts:
Wellton Division
Wickenburg
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 405.02
C.T. 405.09
Young
County—Gila
Parts:
C.T. 9806–9807

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
Population Group Listing

Population Group

El Mirage- Low Inc/MFW
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 608–609
C.T. 610.06–610.08
Low Inc—Ajo
County—Pima
Parts:
C.T. 49–50
Low Inc—Avondale/Tolleson
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 610.03–610.05

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 612–614
C.T. 821
C.T. 822.01–822.02
Low Inc—Bisbee
County—Cochise
Parts:
C.T. 10–12
C.T. 19–21
Low Inc—Bowie/Wilcox
County—Cochise
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
Low Inc—Douglas
County—Cochise
Parts:
Douglas Div
Low Inc—Holbrook
County—Navajo
Parts:
Ct 9603
Ct 9602
Ct 9601
Low Inc—Marana
County—Pima
Parts:
C.T. 44.08–44.09
Low Inc—South Central Phoenix
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 1115–1124
C.T. 1126–1151
Low Inc—South Mountain
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 1152–1161
C.T. 1162.02–1162.04
C.T. 1163–1165
C.T. 1166.01–1166.02
C.T. 1167.02–1167.04
Low Inc—South Tucson
County—Pima
Parts:
C.T. 1–12
C.T. 13.01–13.02
C.T. 14
C.T. 20–24
C.T. 25.01–25.02
C.T. 37.01–37.03
C.T. 38–39
C.T. 41.03–41.04
C.T. 43.01
C.T. 43.09
Low Inc—St Johns/Springerville
County—Apache
Parts:
C.T. 9702–9705
Low Inc—Superior
County—Pinal
Parts:
Census Tract 4.00
Census Tract 2.00
Low Inc—Winslow
County—Navajo
Parts:
C.T. 9604–9606
Low Inc/MFW—Buckeye
Parts:
C.T. 506–507
Low Inc/MFW—Central/West Pinal
County—Pinal
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 8–17
C.T. 19–21

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arizona
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Child. Rehab. Cl—PC Clinic
County—Pima
FCI Phoenix
County—Maricopa
FCI Tucson
County—Pima
INS Med Fac—Florence
County—Pinal
Maricopa Co Jails
County—Maricopa

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
County Listing

County Name

*Arkansas
Service Area: Dewitt
*Ashley
Service Area: Portland/Wilmot
*Boone
Service Area: Lead Hill
*Bradley
Service Area: Hermitage
*Calhoun
*Chicot
Population Group: Low Inc—Eudora/Lake Village
Population Group: Low Income—Dermott/Mcgehee
Facility: Cummins Correctional Unit
Facility: Delta Correctional Unit
*Clay
*Cleburne
*Cleveland
Crawford
Service Area: Mountainburg
Crittenden
*Cross
*Dallas
Service Area: Bearden
Service Area: Carthage
Service Area: Sparkman
*Desha
Service Area: Snow Lake
Population Group: Low Income—Dermott/Mcgehee
*Drew
Population Group: Low Income—Dermott/Mcgehee
Faulkner
Service Area: California
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Franklin Co
*Fulton
Service Area: Mammoth Spring
*Grant
*Howard
Service Area: Umpire
Jefferson
Service Area: Altheimer
Service Area: Central Pine Bluff
Service Area: North Pine Bluff
Service Area: Redfield
Service Area: Richland
*Johnson

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Oark
*Lafayette
*Lawrence
*Lincoln
*Logan
Lonoke
*Madison
*Marion
Service Area: Lead Hill
*Monroe
*Montgomery
*Nevada
*Newton
*Ouachita
Service Area: Bearden
Service Area: Reader
Service Area: Stephens
*Perry
*Phillips
*Poinsett
Service Area: Harrisburg
Population Group: Low Inc—Marked Tree
*Polk
Service Area: Grannis/Wickes
*Pope
Service Area: Hector
*Prairie
Pulaski
Service Area: College Station
Service Area: East Little Rock
*Randolph
*Scott
*Searcy
*St Francis
*Stone
*Union
Service Area: Strong
*Van Buren
Washington
Service Area: West Washington
*Woodruff
*Yell
Service Area: Havana

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Altheimer
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 1.85
C.T. 7
Bearden
County—Dallas
Parts:
Holly Springs Township
County—Ouachita
Parts:
Carroll Township
Cleveland Township
Freeo Township
Union Township
Valley Township
California
County—Faulkner
Parts:
Benton Township
California Township
Enola Township
Matthews Township
Mount Vernon Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Mountain Township
Walker Township
Carthage
County—Dallas
Parts:
Chester Township
Smith Township
Willow Township
Central Pine Bluff
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 10–13
C.T. 14.02
C.T. 16–17
College Station
County—Pulaski
Parts:
C.T. 40.01
C.T. 40.03
C.T. 40.05
Dewitt
County—Arkansas
Parts:
Arkansas Twp
Barton Twp
Bayou Meto Twp
Brewer Twp
Chester Twp
Crockett Twp
Garland Twp
Keaton Twp
La Grue Twp
Point De Luce Twp
Prairie Twp
Stanley Twp
East Little Rock
County—Pulaski
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 4–5
Grannis/Wickes
County—Polk
Parts:
Ozark Township
White Township
Harrisburg
County—Poinsett
Parts:
Bolivar Township
Owen Township
Scott Township
Havana
County—Yell
Parts:
Bluffton Township
Briggsville Township
Crawford Township
Dutch Creek Township
Gravelly Hill Township
Herring Township
Ions Creek Township
Richland Township
Riley Township
Waveland Township
Hector
County—Pope
Parts:
Center Township
Freeman Township
Griffin Township
Jackson Township
Liberty Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Martin Township
Phoenix Township
Smyrna Township
Hermitage
County—Bradley
Parts:
Eagle Township
Marion Township
Ouachita Township
Palestine Township
River Township
Sumpter Township
Washington Township
Lead Hill
County—Boone
Parts:
Sugar Loaf Township
County—Marion
Parts:
Crockett Township
Franklin Township
Keesee Township
Sugarloaf Township
Mammoth Spring
County—Fulton
Parts:
Afton Township
Mammoth Spring Township
Myatt Township
Wilson Township
Mountainburg
County—Crawford
Parts:
Bidville Township
Chester Township
Locke Township
Mountainburg Township
Porter Township
Upper Township
Whitley Township
Winfrey Township
North Pine Bluff
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 5.02
C.T. 6
C.T. 6.99
Oark
County—Johnson
Parts:
Batson Township
Dickerson Township
Hill Township
Low Gap Township
Mulberry Township
Portland/Wilmot
County—Ashley
Parts:
Banner Township
Bayou Township
Bearhouse Township
Beech Creek Township
De Bastrop Township
Montrose Township
Portland Township
Prairie Township
Union Township
Wilmot Township
Reader
County—Ouachita
Parts:
Behestian Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Red Hill Township
Redfield
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Barraque Township
Bolivar Township
Jefferson Township
Pastoria Township
Richland
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 8
Snow Lake
County—Desha
Parts:
Mississippi Twp
Sparkman
County—Dallas
Parts:
Manchester Township
Nix Township
Owen Township
Stephens
County—Ouachita
Parts:
Jefferson Township
Liberty Township
Smackover Township
Strong
County—Union
Parts:
Harrison Township
Lapile Township
Umpire
County—Howard
Parts:
Burg Township
Clay Township
Duckett Township
Mountain Township
Umpire Township
West Washington
County—Washington
Parts:
District No. 10 Township
District No. 11 Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Eudora/Lake Village
County—Chicot
Parts:
Carlton Twp
Planters Twp
Low Inc—Franklin Co
County—Franklin
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Marked Tree
County—Poinsett
Parts:
Greenwood Twp
Little River Twp
Tyronza Twp
Low Income—Dermott/Mcgehee
County—Chicot
Parts:
Bowie Twp
County—Desha
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Bowie Twp
Clayton Twp
Franklin Twp
Halley Twp
Richland Twp
County—Drew
Parts:
Bartholomew Twp
Collins Twp
Franklin Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Arkansas
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Cummins Correctional Unit
County—Chicot
Delta Correctional Unit
County—Chicot

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
County Listing

County Name

Butte
Service Area: Feather Falls (MSSA 11)
Population Group: Low Inc—Biggs/Gridley
*Calaveras
Population Group: Low Inc—West Point/Wilseyville
Population Group: Low Inc—San Andreas (MSSA 13)
Population Group: Low Inc—Angels (MSSA 12)
*Colusa
Contra Costa
Population Group: Low Inc-Antioch N./Pittsburg N.(MSSA18d)
*Del Norte
Population Group: Low Inc—Del Norte Co (MSSA 19)
El Dorado
Service Area: N. El Dorado Co
Service Area: Pollock Pines (MSSA 23.2)
Fresno
Service Area: Kerman (MSSA 29)
Service Area: Laton/Riverdale
Service Area: Mendota (MSSA 25)
Service Area: San Joaquin-Tranquility (MSSA 26)
Population Group: Low Inc—Edison/Easton
Population Group: Low Inc—Reedley/Parlier/Orange
Facility: Kingsburg Hosp-Pc Clinic (MSSA 33)
Facility: Valley Medical Center
*Glenn
Population Group: Low Inc—Willows (MSSA 37)
*Humboldt
Service Area: Garberville (MSSA 44)
Service Area: Willow Creek (MSSA 38)
Population Group: Low Inc—Rio Dell/Scotia (MSSA 43)
Population Group: Low Inc—Ferndale (MSSA 41)
*Imperial
Service Area: Brawley/Calipatria MSSA 50/51
Service Area: Calexico (MSSA 49)
Service Area: East Imperial (MSSA 47)
Service Area: El Centro (MSSA 48)

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—W. Imperial (MSSA 52)
Facility: INS Med Fac—El Centro
*Inyo
Service Area: Lone Pine
Population Group: Low Inc—Bishop (MSSA 53)
Kern
Service Area: Arvin/Lamont (MSSA 61)
Service Area: Buttonwillow (MSSA 59)
Service Area: Frazier Park-MSSA 57.1
Service Area: SE Kern/MSSA 65
Service Area: Taft (MSSA 57.2)
Service Area: Tehachapi (MSSA 62)
Service Area: Wasco/Shafter (MSSA 58)
Population Group: Low Inc—Lake Isabella (MSSA 63)
Population Group: Low Inc—E Bakersfield (MSSA 66b)
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Delano/Mcfarland (MSSA 60)
*Kings
Service Area: Avenal
Service Area: Corcoran
Population Group: Low Inc—Hanford/Lemoore (MSSA 69.2)
*Lassen
Service Area: Big Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—Susanville
Los Angeles
Service Area: Avalon/Goodyear/Main
Service Area: Pico Rivera South
Service Area: Santa Catalina Island
Population Group: Low Inc—E Los Angeles (MSSA 78.2d)
Population Group: Low Inc—North Hollywood (MSSA 78.2bb)
Population Group: Low Inc—Bell (MSSA 78.2ddd)
Population Group: Low Inc—E San Ped/Long Beach MSSA 78.2
Population Group: Low Inc—Venice/South Santa Monica
Population Group: Low Inc-Bell Garden(MSSA 78.2c)
Facility: FCI Terminal Island
Facility: Harbor-UCLa Med Ctr Ambulatory Clinics
Facility: INS Med Fac—San Pedro
Facility: Long Beach Comprehensive Hlth Ctr
Facility: Los Angeles. Mission Comm. Clinic
Facility: Uhp Compton Medical Center
Facility: USC Women/Children's Ped Outpt Clinic
Facility: Watts Health Center (MSSA 78.2aaa)
Madera
Service Area: Madera West/Southwest
Marin
Service Area: Bolinas/Stinson Beach
*Mariposa
Service Area: Mariposa/Coulterville
*Mendocino
Service Area: Boonville/Navarro/Philo/Yorkville
Service Area: Covelo
Facility: Redwood Coast Med Srvcs
Merced
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Gustine

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Dos Palos/Los Banos
*Modoc
Service Area: Big Valley
Service Area: Surprise Valley
*Mono
Service Area: Mono South/Mammoth Lakes
Monterey
Service Area: Gonzales/Greenfield/Soledad
Service Area: King City
Population Group: Low Inc—Pajaro (MSSA 184.2)
Facility: Natividad Prof Plaza-Alvin Drive
Facility: Natividad Family Hlth-Blanco Circle
Napa
Population Group: Low Inc—Southern Napa Co
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Northern Napa Co
Orange
Population Group: Low Inc—C. Santa Ana (MSSA 116b)
Placer
Service Area: Colfax (MSSA 118.1)
Service Area: Foresthill/Back Country
Facility: Placer Co Medical Clinic
Riverside
Service Area: Idyllwild/Pine Cove
Population Group: Low Inc—Palm Desert (MSSA 129.1a)
*San Benito
Service Area: San Benito/Bitterwater
Population Group: Low Inc—Hollister (MSSA 140)
San Bernardino
Service Area: Argus/Trona (MSSA 142)
Service Area: Lake Arrowhead (MSSA 147)
Service Area: Needles/Topock (CA/AZ)
Service Area: 29 Palms/Yucca Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—Fontana East (MSSA 151f)
Population Group: Low Inc—Big Bear Lake(MSSA 146)
San Diego
Service Area: Borrego Springs
Service Area: Encanto/Lincoln Acres/National City
Service Area: Golden Hills/Logan Heights
Service Area: Mountain Empire
Service Area: Ramona
Population Group: Low Inc—San Ysidro
Population Group: Low Inc—Fallbrook (MSSA 160)
Population Group: Low Inc—Oceanside W/Carlsbad W
Population Group: Low Inc—El Cajon
Population Group: Low Inc—Vista East/San Marcos North
Population Group: Low Inc—City Heights (MSSA 161d)
San Joaquin
Service Area: South And East Stockton (MSSA 169b)
Population Group: Low Inc—Escalon/Manteca/Ripon
Santa Barbara
Facility: USP Lompoc
Shasta
Service Area: Central Shasta/MSSA 188

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: E Shasta/MSSA 190
Service Area: Sacramento Canyon (MSSA 187)
Service Area: SW Shasta/MSSA 186
Population Group: Low Inc—S Redding (MSSA 189.1)
Facility: Shasta Pc Clinic (MSSA 189.2)
*Sierra
Service Area: Downieville
*Siskiyou
Service Area: Butte Valley/Dorris
Service Area: Etna/Ft. Jones
Service Area: Happy Camp
Service Area: Tule Lake (MSSA 101.2)
Sonoma
Service Area: Cloverdale
Service Area: Petaluma (MSSA 209)
Service Area: Sonoma Valley (MSSA 208)
Stanislaus
Service Area: Newman/Patterson (MSSA 213)
Population Group: Low Inc—Hughson
Population Group: Low Inc—Turlock
Sutter
Population Group: Low Inc—South Sutter (MSSA 217)
Population Group: Low Inc—Meridian/Robbins (MSSA 216)
Population Group: Low Inc—Live Oak (MSSA 9.2)
*Tehama
Service Area: Corning/SW East Tehama/Las Molinas
Population Group: Low Inc—Red Bluff (MSSA 221)
*Trinity
Service Area: Hayfork/Forest Glen/Peanut(MSSA 225)
Service Area: Lower Trinity/Helena/Salyer
Service Area: Mad River/Ruth/Zenia(MSSA 226)
Tulare
Service Area: Earlimart
Service Area: Porterville
Service Area: Woodlake/Three Rivers(MSSA 229)
Population Group: Low Inc—Lindsay (MSSA 228)
Population Group: Low Inc—Cutler/Orosi/Dinuba
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Tulare (MSSA 233b)
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Visalia
*Tuolumne
Service Area: Groveland
Service Area: Stanislaus/Yosemite
Ventura
Service Area: Los Padres MSSA 237
Population Group: Low Inc—Fillmore (MSSA 239.2)
Population Group: Low Inc-C.Oxnard MSSA 241b
Population Group: Low Inc-Santa Paula MSSA 239.1
Yuba
Service Area: Yuba Foothills (MSSA 247)
Population Group: Low Inc—Wheatland (MSSA 248.1)
Population Group: Low Inc—Marysville (MSSA 218.2)

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Argus/Trona (MSSA 142)
County—San Bernardino
Parts:
C.T. 89.01
Arvin/Lamont (MSSA 61)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 62–64
Avalon/Goodyear/Main
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 2281–2289
C.T. 2291–2294
C.T. 2311
C.T. 2318–2319
C.T. 2328
C.T. 2392–2393
C.T. 2395–2396
C.T. 5328–5329
Avenal
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 17
Big Valley
County—Lassen
Parts:
Big Valley Division
County—Modoc
Parts:
Adin-Lookout Division
Bolinas/Stinson Beach
County—Marin
Parts:
C.T. 1321–1322
Boonville/Navarro/Philo/Yorkville
County—Mendocino
Parts:
C.T. 112
Borrego Springs
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 210
Brawley/Calipatria MSSA 50/51
County—Imperial
Parts:
C.T. 101–107
C.T. 123.02
Butte Valley/Dorris
County—Siskiyou
Parts:
C.T. 2
Buttonwillow (MSSA 59)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 37
Calexico (MSSA 49)
County—Imperial
Parts:
C.T. 119–122
Central Shasta/MSSA 188
County—Shasta
Parts:
C.T. 126
Cloverdale
County—Sonoma
Parts:
C.T. 1541–1542
Colfax (MSSA 118.1)
County—Placer
Parts:
C.T. 219.01–219.02
C.T. 220.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Corcoran
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 13–16
Corning/SW East Tehama/Las Molinas
County—Tehama
Parts:
C.T. 9–11
C.T. 12.98
Covelo
County—Mendocino
Parts:
C.T. 101
Downieville
County—Sierra
Parts:
West Sierra Division
E Shasta/MSSA 190
County—Shasta
Parts:
C.T. 127
Earlimart
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 32
C.T. 42–44
East Imperial (MSSA 47)
County—Imperial
Parts:
C.T. 124
El Centro (MSSA 48)
County—Imperial
Parts:
C.T. 108–111
C.T. 112.01–112.02
C.T. 113–117
C.T. 118.01–118.03
Encanto/Lincoln Acres/National City
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 30.01–30.02
C.T. 31.01–31.02
C.T. 32.02
C.T. 33
C.T. 114
C.T. 114.99–115.00
C.T. 116–122
Etna/Ft. Jones
County—Siskiyou
Parts:
C.T. 6 (Fort Jones CCD)
C.T. 8 (Etna CCD)
Feather Falls (MSSA 11)
County—Butte
Parts:
C.T. 24
Foresthill/Back Country
County—Placer
Parts:
C.T. 202
Frazier Park-MSSA 57.1
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 33.02
Garberville (MSSA 44)
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 113
Golden Hills/Logan Heights
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 34.02
C.T. 35–36
C.T. 38
C.T. 38.99–39.00
C.T. 40–41
C.T. 45–50
C.T. 50.99–51.00
C.T. 51.99–52.00
Gonzales/Greenfield/Soledad
County—Monterey
Parts:
C.T. 108.98
C.T. 109
C.T. 111–112
Groveland
County—Tuolumne
Parts:
C.T. 42
Happy Camp
County—Siskiyou
Parts:
C.T. 5
Hayfork/Forest Glen/Peanut(MSSA 225)
County—Trinity
Parts:
C.T. 3.98
Idyllwild/Pine Cove
County—Riverside
Parts:
C.T. 444.01–444.03
Kerman (MSSA 29)
County—Fresno
Parts:
C.T. 39–41
King City
County—Monterey
Parts:
C.T. 113
C.T. 114.02
Lake Arrowhead (MSSA 147)
County—San Bernardino
Parts:
C.T. 108–110
Laton/Riverdale
County—Fresno
Parts:
C.T. 74
C.T. 77
Parts:
C.T. 5990–5991
Parts:
C.T. 89.01
C.T. 89.01
C.T. 89.01
Lone Pine
County—Inyo
Parts:
C.T. 5–7
Los Padres MSSA 237
County—Ventura
Parts:
C.T. 1
Lower Trinity/Helena/Salyer
County—Trinity
Parts:
C.T. 2
Mad River/Ruth/Zenia(MSSA 226)
County—Trinity
Parts:
C.T. 4
Madera West/Southwest
County—Madera
Parts:
C.T. 4
C.T. 5.02–5.05
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7–10
Mariposa/Coulterville
County—Mariposa
Parts:
Coulterville Division
Mariposa Division
Mendota (MSSA 25)
County—Fresno
Parts:
C.T. 83
C.T. 84.01–84.02
Mono South/Mammoth Lakes
County—Mono
Parts:
C.T. 2
Mountain Empire
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 211
N. El Dorado Co
County—El Dorado
Parts:
C.T. 306.03
Needles/Topock (CA/AZ)
County—San Bernardino
Parts:
C.T. 105–107
Newman/Patterson (MSSA 213)
County—Stanislaus
Parts:
C.T. 32
C.T. 33.98
C.T. 34.98
C.T. 35
Petaluma (MSSA 209)
County—Sonoma
Parts:
C.T. 1506.01–1506.04
C.T. 1507–1511
C.T. 1512.01–1512.02
C.T. 1513.01–1513.04
Pico Rivera South
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 5007–5009
C.T. 5023–5025
C.T. 5026.01–5026.02
C.T. 5027
C.T. 5029.02
C.T. 5320–5322
Pollock Pines (MSSA 23.2)
County—El Dorado
Parts:
C.T. 314.01–314.03
C.T. 316.98
Porterville
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 27
C.T. 33–41
C.T. 45
Ramona
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 208.01
C.T. 208.04
C.T. 208.97–208.98
Sacramento Canyon (MSSA 187)
County—Shasta

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
C.T. 125
San Benito/Bitterwater
County—San Benito
Parts:
C.T. 8
San Joaquin-Tranquility (MSSA 26)
County—Fresno
Parts:
C.T. 82
Santa Catalina Island
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 5990–5991
Sonoma Valley (MSSA 208)
County—Sonoma
Parts:
C.T. 1501–1502
C.T. 1503.01–1503.02
C.T. 1504–1505
South And East Stockton (MSSA 169b)
County—San Joaquin
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 5–8
C.T. 8.99
C.T. 16–26
C.T. 27.01–27.02
C.T. 28–29
C.T. 36.01–36.02
C.T. 37–39
Stanislaus/Yosemite
County—Tuolumne
Parts:
C.T. 21.98
C.T. 31.98
Surprise Valley
County—Modoc
Parts:
Surprise Valley Division
SE Kern/MSSA 65
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 55.03–55.06
C.T. 56–59
SW Shasta/MSSA 186
County—Shasta
Parts:
C.T. 124
C.T. 128.97–128.98
Taft (MSSA 57.2)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 33.03–33.04
C.T. 34–36
Tehachapi (MSSA 62)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 60.01–60.02
C.T. 61
Tule Lake (MSSA 101.2)
County—Siskiyou
Parts:
C.T. 1
Wasco/Shafter (MSSA 58)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 39–45
Willow Creek (MSSA 38)
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 101

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Woodlake/Three Rivers(MSSA 229)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 7
Yuba Foothills (MSSA 247)
County—Yuba
Parts:
C.T. 411
29 Palms/Yucca Valley
County—San Bernardino
Parts:
C.T. 104.02–104.03
C.T. 104.05–104.09

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Angels (MSSA 12)
County—Calaveras
Parts:
C.T. 1
Low Inc—Bell (MSSA 78.2ddd)
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 5324
C.T. 5333–5337
C.T. 5338.01–5338.02
C.T. 5343
C.T. 5344.01–5344.02
Low Inc—Big Bear Lake(MSSA 146)
County—San Bernardino
Parts:
C.T. 111–115
Low Inc—Biggs/Gridley
County—Butte
Parts:
C.T. 34–36
Low Inc—Bishop (MSSA 53)
County—Inyo
Parts:
C.T. 1–4
Low Inc—C. Santa Ana (MSSA 116b)
County—Orange
Parts:
C.T. 744.05
C.T. 745.01
C.T. 746.01–746.02
C.T. 747.01–747.02
C.T. 748.01–748.02
C.T. 748.05–748.06
C.T. 749.01–749.02
C.T. 750.01–750.02
C.T. 751
C.T. 752.01–752.02
Low Inc—City Heights (MSSA 161d)
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 14–15
C.T. 22–24
C.T. 25.01–25.02
C.T. 26
C.T. 27.01
C.T. 27.04–27.06
C.T. 34.01
C.T. 42–44
C.T. 53–58
C.T. 58.99–59.00
C.T. 60–61
Low Inc—Cutler/Orosi/Dinuba
County—Tulare

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 3.02
C.T. 3.98
C.T. 4–6
Low Inc—Del Norte Co (MSSA 19)
County—Del Norte
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—E Bakersfield (MSSA 66b)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 10
C.T. 11.01–11.03
C.T. 12–15
C.T. 20–22
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24–26
C.T. 30
Low Inc—E Los Angeles (MSSA 78.2d)
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 5303–5306
C.T. 5308–5311
C.T. 5312.01–5312.02
C.T. 5313.01–5313.02
C.T. 5315.01–5315.02
C.T. 5316.01–5316.02
C.T. 5317.01–5317.02
Low Inc—E San Ped/Long Beach MSSA 78.2
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
Low Income (MSSA 78.2)
Low Inc—Edison/Easton
County—Fresno
Parts:
C.T. 2–4
C.T. 7–13
C.T. 15
C.T. 18–20
C.T. 38.01–38.03
C.T. 42.01
Low Inc—El Cajon
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 153.01–153.02
C.T. 156.01–156.02
C.T. 157.01–157.02
C.T. 158–161
C.T. 162.01–162.02
C.T. 163
C.T. 164.01–164.02
C.T. 165.01–165.02
Low Inc—Escalon/Manteca/Ripon
County—San Joaquin
Parts:
C.T. 49.01
C.T. 49.98
C.T. 50.01–50.02
C.T. 51.01
C.T. 51.06
C.T. 51.08–51.20
Low Inc—Fallbrook (MSSA 160)
County—San Diego
Parts:
Ct 187
Ct 188.01
Ct 188.02
Ct 188.03
Ct 189.01
Ct 189.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Ct 190.00
Low Inc—Ferndale (MSSA 41)
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 112
Low Inc—Fillmore (MSSA 239.2)
County—Ventura
Parts:
Ct 0002.00
Ct 0003.00
Low Inc—Fontana East (MSSA 151f)
County—San Bernardino
Parts:
C.T. 28–34
C.T. 35.01–35.02
C.T. 36.02
C.T. 40
Low Inc—Hanford/Lemoore (MSSA 69.2)
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 5–12
Low Inc—Hollister (MSSA 140)
County—San Benito
Parts:
C.T. 1.98
C.T. 2–7
C.T. 9
Low Inc—Hughson
County—Stanislaus
Parts:
C.T. 28
C.T. 29.01–29.02
Low Inc—Lake Isabella (MSSA 63)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 52.01–52.02
Low Inc—Lindsay (MSSA 228)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 8
C.T. 14–16
C.T. 25–26
C.T. 28
Low Inc—Live Oak (MSSA 9.2)
County—Sutter
Parts:
C.T. 507
Low Inc—Marysville (MSSA 218.2)
County—Yuba
Parts:
C.T. 401–407
C.T. 409.00–409.02
C.T. 410
Low Inc—Meridian/Robbins (MSSA 216)
County—Sutter
Parts:
C.T. 509
Low Inc—North Hollywood (MSSA 78.2bb)
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 1224
C.T. 1230
C.T. 1231.02
C.T. 1232.01–1232.02
C.T. 1233.01
C.T. 1239
C.T. 1241.01–1241.02
C.T. 1242.01–1242.02
C.T. 1243
C.T. 1252–1256

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Oceanside W/Carlsbad W
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 178.01
C.T. 178.05
C.T. 179–184
C.T. 185.01
C.T. 185.04
C.T. 186.01
C.T. 186.03
Low Inc—Pajaro (MSSA 184.2)
County—Monterey
Parts:
Ct 101.98
Ct 102.01
Ct 102.02
Low Inc—Palm Desert (MSSA 129.1a)
County—Riverside
Parts:
C.T. 445.01–445.02
C.T. 449.02–449.03
C.T. 450
C.T. 451.02–451.04
C.T. 452.01
Low Inc—Red Bluff (MSSA 221)
County—Tehama
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 4–8
Low Inc—Reedley/Parlier/Orange
County—Fresno
Parts:
Ct 63
Ct 65
Ct 66.01
Ct 66.02
Ct 67
Ct 68.01
Ct 68.02
Ct 69
Low Inc—Rio Dell/Scotia (MSSA 43)
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 111
Low Inc—S Redding (MSSA 189.1)
County—Shasta
Parts:
C.T. 120–123
Low Inc—San Andreas (MSSA 13)
County—Calaveras
Parts:
C.T. 2–3
Low Inc—San Ysidro
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 100.01–100.05
C.T. 100.07–100.09
C.T. 101.03–101.04
C.T. 101.06–101.09
C.T. 102–105
Low Inc—South Sutter (MSSA 217)
County—Sutter
Parts:
C.T. 511
Low Inc—Southern Napa Co
County—Napa
Parts:
C.T. 2001–2014
Low Inc—Susanville
County—Lassen
Parts:
C.T. 401 (Bna)

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 401–406
Low Inc—Turlock
County—Stanislaus
Parts:
C.T. 36.02–36.05
C.T. 37
C.T. 38.01–38.03
C.T. 39.03–39.07
Low Inc—Venice/South Santa Monica
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 2722
C.T. 2723.02
C.T. 2731–2739
C.T. 2751–2752
C.T. 2755
C.T. 7018.01–7018.02
C.T. 7019–7021
C.T. 7022.01–7022.02
C.T. 7026
C.T. 7028.03
Low Inc—Vista East/San Marcos North
County—San Diego
Parts:
C.T. 192.02–192.04
C.T. 195
C.T. 196.01–196.02
C.T. 197.02
C.T. 199.02–199.03
C.T. 200.05–200.07
C.T. 200.09
Low Inc—W. Imperial (MSSA 52)
County—Imperial
Parts:
Ct 0123.01
Low Inc—West Point/Wilseyville
County—Calaveras
Parts:
C.T. 4–5
Low Inc—Wheatland (MSSA 248.1)
County—Yuba
Parts:
Ct 408
Low Inc—Willows (MSSA 37)
County—Glenn
Parts:
C.T. 103–105
Low Inc-Antioch N./Pittsburg N.(MSSA18d)
County—Contra Costa
Parts:
C.T. 3050
C.T. 3071.02
C.T. 3072.01–3072.02
C.T. 3072.04–3072.05
C.T. 3090
C.T. 3100
C.T. 3110
C.T. 3120
C.T. 3132.01–3132.02
C.T. 3141.01–3141.02
C.T. 3142
C.T. 3142.98
C.T. 3552
Low Inc-Bell Garden(MSSA 78.2c)
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 5302.01–5302.02
C.T. 5318
C.T. 5319.01–5319.02
C.T. 5323.01–5323.02
C.T. 5339–5342
Low Inc-C.Oxnard MSSA 241b

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Ventura
Parts:
Ct 0030.01
Ct 0030.02
Ct 0031.00
Ct 0032.00
Ct 0034.01
Ct 0034.02
Ct 0035.00
Ct 0037.00
Ct 0038.00
Ct 0039.00
Ct 0040.00
Ct 0041.00
Ct 0044.00
Ct 0045.00
Ct 0045.01
Ct 0045.02
Ct 0047.01
Ct 0049.00
Ct 0050.01
Ct 0050.02
Low Inc-Santa Paula MSSA 239.1
County—Ventura
Parts:
Ct 0008.00
Ct 0006.00
Ct 0007.00
Ct 0004.00
Ct 0005.00
Low Inc/MFW—Delano/Mcfarland (MSSA 60)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 46–48
C.T. 49.01–49.02
C.T. 50
Low Inc/MFW—Dos Palos/Los Banos
County—Merced
Parts:
C.T. 21.98
C.T. 22
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24
Low Inc/MFW—Gustine
County—Merced
Parts:
C.T. 20
Low Inc/MFW—Northern Napa Co
County—Napa
Parts:
C.T. 2015–2020
Low Inc/MFW—Tulare (MSSA 233b)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 21–22
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24
C.T. 29.01–29.02
C.T. 30–31
Low Inc/MFW—Visalia
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 9
C.T. 10.01–10.02
C.T. 11–13
C.T. 17.01–17.02
C.T. 18–19
C.T. 20.01–20.05

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: California
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Terminal Island
County—Los Angeles
Harbor-UCLa Med Ctr Ambulatory Clinics
County—Los Angeles
INS Med Fac—El Centro
County—Imperial
INS Med Fac—San Pedro
County—Los Angeles
Kingsburg Hosp-Pc Clinic (MSSA 33)
County—Fresno
Long Beach Comprehensive Hlth Ctr
County—Los Angeles
Los Angeles. Mission Comm. Clinic
County—Los Angeles
Natividad Family Hlth-Blanco Circle
County—Monterey
Natividad Prof Plaza-Alvin Drive
County—Monterey
Placer Co Medical Clinic
County—Placer
Redwood Coast Med Srvcs
County—Mendocino
Shasta Pc Clinic (MSSA 189.2)
County—Shasta
Uhp Compton Medical Center
County—Los Angeles
USC Women/Children's Ped Outpt Clinic
County—Los Angeles
USP Lompoc
County—Santa Barbara
Valley Medical Center
County—Fresno
Watts Health Center (MSSA 78.2aaa)
County—Los Angeles

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Colorado
County Listing

County Name

Adams
Service Area: Bennett/Strasburg
Service Area: Commerce City
Population Group: Low Inc—Thornton
Arapahoe
Service Area: Bennett/Strasburg
*Archuleta
*Baca
*Bent
Boulder
Population Group: Low Inc—Boulder City
*Chaffee
Facility: Buena Vista Correctional Fac
*Cheyenne
*Clear Creek
*Conejos
Population Group: Med Ind—Conejos Co
*Costilla
*Crowley (g)
Facility: Arkansas Valley Correctional
*Custer
Denver
Service Area: La Casa
Population Group: Homeless—Downtown Denver
Facility: Denver Reception & Diagnostic Center
Facility: Denver Women's Correctional Facility
*Dolores
Douglas
Facility: FCI Englewood
El Paso

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Colorado
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Calhan-Yoder
Population Group: Low Income—Colorado Springs
*Elbert
Service Area: Limon/Hugo/Simla
*Fremont
Population Group: Low Inc—Fremont
Facility: Centennial Correctional Facility
Facility: Colorado State Penn
Facility: Colorado Territorial Correctional Facili
Facility: Colorado Women's In Canon City
Facility: Freemont Correctional Facility
Facility: FCI Florence
Facility: USP Florence—Admax
Facility: USP Florence
*Garfield
Service Area: Rifle
*Gilpin
*Hinsdale
*Huerfano
Service Area: Gardner
Population Group: Low Inc—E Huerfano
*Jackson
*Kiowa
Population Group: Low Inc—Kiowa Co
*Kit Carson
*Las Animas
Population Group: Low Inc—Las Animas Co
*Lincoln
Service Area: Limon/Hugo/Simla
Facility: Limon Correctional Facility
*Logan
Service Area: Crook/Fleming
Facility: Sterling Correctional Facility
*Mineral
*Moffat
Service Area: Rangely
*Montrose
Service Area: Nucla/Norwood
*Morgan
Population Group: Low Income/MSFW—Morgan Co
*Otero
Population Group: Low Income—Otero County
*Park
*Phillips
*Prowers
Pueblo
Population Group: Low Income —Pueblo Co
Facility: San Carlos Correctional Facility
Facility: Youthful Offenders Services
*Rio Blanco
Service Area: Rangely
*Rio Grande
*Routt
Service Area: Oak Creek/Yampa
*San Juan
*San Miguel
Service Area: Nucla/Norwood
*Sedgwick
Service Area: Julesburg (CO/NE)
Weld
Facility: Platte Valley Youth Services

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Colorado
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Bennett/Strasburg
County—Adams
Parts:
East Adams Division
County—Arapahoe
Parts:
East Arapahoe Division
Calhan-Yoder
County—El Paso
Parts:
C.T. 39.01
C.T. 46
Commerce City
County—Adams
Parts:
C.T. 87.03
C.T. 87.05–87.06
C.T. 88.01–88.02
C.T. 89.01
C.T. 89.52
Crook/Fleming
County—Logan
Parts:
Crook Division
Fleming Division
Gardner
County—Huerfano
Parts:
Gardner Division
Julesburg (CO/NE)
County—Sedgwick
La Casa
County—Denver
Parts:
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 11.01–11.02
Limon/Hugo/Simla
County—Elbert
Parts:
Agate Division
Simla Division
County—Lincoln
Nucla/Norwood
County—Montrose
Parts:
Nucla Division
County—San Miguel
Parts:
Norwood Division
Oak Creek/Yampa
County—Routt
Parts:
Oak Creek Division
Yampa Division
Rangely
County—Moffat
Parts:
Artesia CCD
County—Rio Blanco
Parts:
Rangely CCD
Rifle
County—Garfield
Parts:
Grand Valley Division
New Castle Division
Rifle Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Colorado
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Downtown Denver
County—Denver
Parts:
C.T. 16
C.T. 20
C.T. 24.01–24.02
C.T. 25
C.T. 26.01–26.02
C.T. 27.01–27.03
Low Inc—Boulder City
County—Boulder
Parts:
C.T. 121.01–121.02
C.T. 122.02–122.05
C.T. 123
C.T. 124.01
C.T. 126.02
C.T. 126.04
Low Inc—E Huerfano
County—Huerfano
Parts:
La Veta
Walsenburg
Low Inc—Fremont
County—Fremont
Parts:
Fremont Low Inc
Low Inc—Kiowa Co
County—Kiowa
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Las Animas Co
County—Las Animas
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Thornton
County—Adams
Parts:
C.T. 85.05–85.08
C.T. 85.15–85.18
C.T. 90.01–90.03
C.T. 91.02
C.T. 92.01–92.03
C.T. 93.04
C.T. 93.06–93.10
C.T. 93.13–93.18
C.T. 94.01
C.T. 94.03
C.T. 94.05–94.07
C.T. 95.01–95.02
C.T. 95.53
C.T. 96.03–96.06
C.T. 97.50
Low Income —Pueblo Co
County—Pueblo
Parts:
Low-Income
Low Income—Colorado Springs
County—El Paso
Parts:
13.01
14.00
15.00
16.00
17.00
21.01
21.02
22.00
23.00
26.00
27.00
28.00

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Colorado
Population Group Listing

Population Group

29.00
33.02
45.01
52.00
53.00
54.00
Low Income—Otero County
County—Otero
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income/MSFW—Morgan Co
County—Morgan
Parts:
Low Inc
Med Ind—Conejos Co
County—Conejos
Parts:
Medically Indigent

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Colorado
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Arkansas Valley Correctional
County—Crowley
Buena Vista Correctional Fac
County—Chaffee
Centennial Correctional Facility
County—Fremont
Colorado State Penn
County—Fremont
Colorado Territorial Correctional Facili
County—Fremont
Colorado Women's In Canon City
County—Fremont
Denver Reception & Diagnostic Center
County—Denver
Denver Women's Correctional Facility
County—Denver
Freemont Correctional Facility
County—Fremont
FCI Englewood
County—Douglas
FCI Florence
County—Fremont
Limon Correctional Facility
County—Lincoln
Platte Valley Youth Services
County—Weld
San Carlos Correctional Facility
County—Pueblo
Sterling Correctional Facility
County—Logan
USP Florence
County—Fremont
USP Florence—Admax
County—Fremont
Youthful Offenders Services
County—Pueblo

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Connecticut
County Listing

County Name

Fairfield
Service Area: Central Norwalk
Service Area: Central/East Bridgeport
Service Area: South End Stamford
Service Area: Southwest Bridgeport
Population Group: Low Inc—Danbury
Population Group: Low-Income Stratford
Hartford

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Connecticut
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Charter Oak/Frog Hollow/Parkville/Barry
Service Area: North-Central Hartford
Population Group: Low Inc—Central New Britain
Facility: Ct Child Med Ctr-PC Ctr
New Haven
Service Area: Central Waterbury
Service Area: Fair Haven
Population Group: Low Inc—Central New Haven
Population Group: Low Inc—West Haven
Population Group: Low Income—Central Meriden
New London
Service Area: Central Groton
Population Group: Low Inc—Norwich
Population Group: Low Inc—Central New London
Tolland
Population Group: Low Inc—Rockville
Windham
Population Group: Low Inc—Town Of Windham

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Connecticut
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Central Groton
County—New London
Parts:
C.T. 7022–7023
C.T. 7025
C.T. 7027–7028
Central Norwalk
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 440–441
C.T. 444–445
Central Waterbury
County—New Haven
Parts:
C.T. 3501–3508
C.T. 3512
C.T. 3514
C.T. 3517
Central/East Bridgeport
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 713–717
C.T. 735–736
C.T. 738–744
Charter Oak/Frog Hollow/Parkville/Barry
County—Hartford
Parts:
C.T. 5001–5004
C.T. 5019
C.T. 5027–5030
C.T. 5043
C.T. 5045–5046
C.T. 5049
Fair Haven
County—New Haven
Parts:
C.T. 1421
C.T. 1423–1425
C.T. 1426.02
North-Central Hartford
County—Hartford
Parts:
C.T. 5005

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Connecticut
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 5008–5018
C.T. 5020–5022
C.T. 5034–5035
C.T. 5037
South End Stamford
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 222–223
Southwest Bridgeport
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 702–712

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Connecticut
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Central New Britain
County—Hartford
Parts:
C.T. 4159
C.T. 4161–4162
C.T. 4171
Low Inc—Central New Haven
County—New Haven
Parts:
C.T. 1402–1409
C.T. 1413
C.T. 1415–1416
Low Inc—Central New London
County—New London
Parts:
C.T. 6901
C.T. 6903–6906
C.T. 6906.99–6907.00
C.T. 6907.99
Low Inc—Danbury
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 2101–2114
Low Inc—Norwich
County—New London
Parts:
C.T. 6961
C.T. 6964–6970
Low Inc—Rockville
County—Tolland
Parts:
C.T. 5301–5302
Low Inc—Town Of Windham
County—Windham
Parts:
Windham Town
Low Inc—West Haven
County—New Haven
Parts:
West Haven Town
Low Income—Central Meriden
County—New Haven
Parts:
C.T. 1701.01–1701.02
C.T. 1702.01–1702.02
C.T. 1703
C.T. 1710
C.T. 1713–1715
Low-Income Stratford
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 803–804

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Connecticut
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Ct Child Med Ctr-PC Ctr
County—Hartford

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: DELAWARE
County Listing

County Name

Kent
Population Group: Low Inc—Milford
New Castle
Service Area: Middletown-Odessa
Service Area: Wilmington—Southbridge
*Sussex
Population Group: Low Inc—Milford

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: DELAWARE
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Middletown-Odessa
County—New Castle
Parts:
C.T. 166–169
Wilmington—Southbridge
County—New Castle
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7–9
C.T. 15–17
C.T. 19–23
C.T. 154–155

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: DELAWARE
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Milford
County—Kent
Parts:
C.T. 424–431
County—Sussex
Parts:
C.T. 501–502
C.T. 508

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: District Of Columbia
County Listing

County Name

Dist Of Columbia
Service Area: Anacostia
Service Area: Brentwood
Service Area: East Capitol St. (Far S.E.)
Service Area: Mt. Pleasant/Upper Cardozo
Service Area: South Capitol
Population Group: Homeless—Downtown Washington
Facility: Dc General Ambulatory Care Center
Facility: Lorton Max Corr Fac

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: District Of Columbia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Anacostia
County—Dist Of Columbia
Parts:
C.T. 74.01
C.T. 74.04

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: District Of Columbia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 74.06–74.09
C.T. 74.30
C.T. 75.02–75.04
C.T. 76.01
C.T. 76.05
Brentwood
County—Dist Of Columbia
Parts:
C.T. 47
C.T. 79.01
C.T. 79.03
C.T. 80.01
C.T. 84.02
C.T. 84.10
C.T. 85.10
C.T. 86
C.T. 88.02–88.04
C.T. 89.03–89.04
C.T. 91.02
East Capitol St. (Far S.E.)
County—Dist Of Columbia
Parts:
C.T. 77.03
C.T. 77.07–77.09
C.T. 78.03–78.04
C.T. 78.07–78.09
C.T. 78.60
C.T. 96.02–96.03
C.T. 99.03–99.07
Mt. Pleasant/Upper Cardozo
County—Dist Of Columbia
Parts:
C.T. 25.02
C.T. 27.01–27.02
C.T. 28.01–28.02
C.T. 29–30
C.T. 35–39
C.T. 43
South Capitol
County—Dist Of Columbia
Parts:
C.T. 60.20
C.T. 64.10
C.T. 71–72

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: District Of Columbia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Downtown Washington
County—Dist Of Columbia
Parts:
C.T. 40.01–40.02
C.T. 41
C.T. 42.02
C.T. 46–47
C.T. 48.01–48.02
C.T. 49.01–49.02
C.T. 50–51
C.T. 52.10
C.T. 52.20
C.T. 53.01–53.02
C.T. 54.01–54.02
C.T. 55.01–55.02
C.T. 56
C.T. 57.01–57.02
C.T. 58–59

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: District Of Columbia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Dc General Ambulatory Care Center
County—Dist Of Columbia
Lorton Max Corr Fac
County—Dist Of Columbia

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
County Listing

County Name

Alachua
Population Group: Low Inc—Alachua Co
Facility: Gainesville Corr Inst
Bradford
Brevard
Facility: Brevard Corr Inst
Broward
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Ft Lauderdale
Population Group: Low-Inc Pompano
*Calhoun
Population Group: Low Inc—Calhoun
Facility: Calhoun Corr Inst
Charlotte
Population Group: Low Inc—Charlotte Co
*Citrus
Population Group: Low Inc—Citrus Co
Clay
Service Area: Keystone Heights
Collier
Service Area: Everglades
Service Area: Imokalee
*Columbia
Population Group: Low Inc—Columbia Co
Dade
Service Area: Model Cities
Service Area: Wynwood
Population Group: Low Inc—North Beach
Population Group: Low Inc—Little Havana
Population Group: Low Inc—South Beach
Population Group: Low Inc—Homestead
Population Group: Low Inc—West Perrine
Facility: Dade Corr Inst
Facility: Doris Ison Comm Hlth Ctr
Facility: FCI Miami
Facility: Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center
Facility: Jackson Mem Hosp Outpt Clinics
Facility: Krome Medical Referral Center
*De Soto
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Desoto Co
Facility: Desoto Corr Inst
*Dixie (g)
Facility: Cross City Corr Inst
Duval
Population Group: Low Inc—N Jacksonville
Escambia
Service Area: Atmore/Century (AL/FL)
Facility: Century Corr Inst
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Franklin Co
Gadsden
*Gilchrist
Facility: Lancaster Corr Inst
*Glades
*Gulf
Population Group: Low Inc—Gulf Co
Facility: Gulf Corr Inst
*Hamilton
*Hardee
*Hendry

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Labelle
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Clewiston
Facility: Hendry Corr Inst
*Highlands
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Highlands Co
Facility: Avon Park Corr Inst
Hillsborough
Population Group: Low Inc—Suitcase City
Population Group: Low Inc—E Tampa/Ybor City
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Ruskin/Appollo Beach
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Plant City/Dover
*Holmes (g)
Facility: Holmes Corr Inst
*Indian River
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW-Fellsmere
Facility: Indian River Corr Inst
*Jackson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jackson Co
Facility: Apalachee Corr Inst
Facility: FCI Marianna
Facility: Jackson Corr Inst
*Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jefferson Co
*Lafayette
Lake
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Lake Co.
Lee
Service Area: Dunbar
Population Group: Low Inc—N Cape Coral/Pine Island
Leon
Population Group: Low Inc—Bond Community
*Levy
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Levy Co
*Liberty
Population Group: Low Inc—Liberty
Facility: Liberty Corr Inst
*Madison
Manatee
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Manatee Co
Marion
Population Group: Low Inc—Marion Co
Facility: Florida Corr Inst
Facility: Marion Corr Inst
Martin
Service Area: Indiantown
Nassau
Service Area: Callahan/Hilliard
Okaloosa
Population Group: Low Inc—Crestview
Facility: Okaloosa Corr Inst
*Okeechobee
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Okeechobee Co
Orange
Population Group: MFW—Orange
Facility: Central Fl Reception Ctr
Osceola
Population Group: Low Inc—Osceola
Palm Beach
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Belle Glade/Pahokee
Pasco

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Eastern Pasco
Pinellas
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner St. Petersburg
Population Group: Low Inc Pop—C Clearwater
Polk
Service Area: Frostproof/Lake Wales
Population Group: Low Inc—Haines City
*Putnam
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Putnam
Facility: Putnam Corr Inst
Santa Rosa
Facility: Santa Rosa Corr Inst
Seminole
Population Group: MFW—Sanford Division
St Johns
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Hastings
St Lucie
Population Group: Low Inc—Fort Pierce
*Sumter
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Sumter Co
Facility: Fcc Coleman
*Suwannee
*Taylor
*Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Union
Facility: North Fl Reception Ctr
Volusia
Service Area: Pierson/Seville/Deleon Spring
*Wakulla
*Walton (g)
Facility: Walton Corr Inst
*Washington

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Atmore/Century (AL/FL)
County—Escambia
Parts:
C.T. 38–40
Callahan/Hilliard
County—Nassau
Parts:
C.T. 504–505
Dunbar
County—Lee
Parts:
C.T. 5.01–5.02
C.T. 6
Everglades
County—Collier
Parts:
C.T. 111.01–111.02
Frostproof/Lake Wales
County—Polk
Parts:
C.T. 142–144
C.T. 154–158
C.T. 160
C.T. 161.98
Imokalee
County—Collier
Parts:
C.T. 112.01–112.03
C.T. 113–114

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Indiantown
County—Martin
Parts:
Indiantown Division
Keystone Heights
County—Clay
Parts:
Keystone Heights Division
Labelle
County—Hendry
Parts:
C.T. 9603–9604
Model Cities
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 4.08
C.T. 8.01–8.02
C.T. 9.01–9.03
C.T. 10.01–10.04
C.T. 11.03
C.T. 15.01–15.02
C.T. 16.01–16.02
C.T. 17.01–17.02
C.T. 18.01–18.03
C.T. 19.01
C.T. 19.03–19.04
C.T. 23
Pierson/Seville/Deleon Spring
County—Volusia
Parts:
C.T. 901
Wynwood
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 20.01
C.T. 20.03–20.04
C.T. 21
C.T. 22.01–22.02
C.T. 25–26
C.T. 27.01–27.02
C.T. 28–29

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Alachua Co
County—Alachua
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Bond Community
County—Leon
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 4–6
C.T. 10.01
C.T. 11.01–11.02
C.T. 12–14
Low Inc—Calhoun
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Central Ft Lauderdale
County—Broward
Parts:
C.T. 409–416
C.T. 427–431
C.T. 503.04
C.T. 508
C.T. 803
C.T. 804.01–804.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Charlotte Co
County—Charlotte
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Citrus Co
County—Citrus
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Columbia Co
County—Columbia
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Crestview
County—Okaloosa
Parts:
C.T. 203–207
Low Inc—E Tampa/Ybor City
County—Hillsborough
Parts:
C.T. 10
C.T. 17–19
C.T. 30–44
C.T. 49–51
Low Inc—Fort Pierce
County—St Lucie
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 1.99–2.00
C.T. 3–5
C.T. 9.02
Low Inc—Franklin Co
County—Franklin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gulf Co
County—Gulf
Parts:
Low Inc—Gulf
Low Inc—Haines City
County—Polk
Parts:
C.T. 125–127
C.T. 141.00–141.02
Low Inc—Homestead
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 104–105
C.T. 106.02
C.T. 107.01–107.02
C.T. 108–109
C.T. 110.01–110.02
C.T. 111
C.T. 112.01–112.02
C.T. 113
C.T. 114.98
Low Inc—Inner St. Petersburg
County—Pinellas
Parts:
C.T. 201.01
C.T. 203.01
C.T. 204–208
C.T. 209.95
C.T. 210.95
C.T. 212–213
C.T. 213.99–214.00
C.T. 215
C.T. 216.95
C.T. 218.95
C.T. 219.95
C.T. 220
C.T. 234–235
Low Inc—Jackson Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Jackson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jefferson Co
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Liberty
County—Liberty
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Little Havana
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 30.02
C.T. 36.01–36.02
C.T. 49.01–49.02
C.T. 50.01–50.02
C.T. 51
C.T. 52.01–52.02
C.T. 53.01–53.02
C.T. 54.01–54.02
C.T. 55.01–55.02
C.T. 56
C.T. 57.03–57.04
C.T. 58.01
C.T. 62
C.T. 63.01–63.02
C.T. 64.01–64.03
C.T. 65
Low Inc—Marion Co
County—Marion
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—N Cape Coral/Pine Island
County—Lee
Parts:
C.T. 103.01–103.02
C.T. 104.01–104.02
C.T. 206–208
C.T. 701–702
Low Inc—N Jacksonville
County—Duval
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 1.99–2.00
C.T. 2.99–3.00
C.T. 3.99–4.00
C.T. 5
C.T. 9–19
C.T. 26–29
C.T. 107–109
C.T. 112–116
C.T. 118
C.T. 121
Low Inc—North Beach
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 39.01–39.02
C.T. 39.04–39.05
Low Inc—Osceola
County—Osceola
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—South Beach
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 42–45
C.T. 45.99
Low Inc—Suitcase City
County—Hillsborough
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T 108.05
C.T. 2–4
C.T. 6–9
C.T. 105
C.T. 108.06–108.07
C.T. 112.06
Low Inc—Union
County—Union
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—West Perrine
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 83.03
C.T. 101.14
C.T. 102.02–102.03
Low Inc Pop—C Clearwater
County—Pinellas
Parts:
C.T. 261–264
C.T. 267.01
C.T. 270
C.T. 271.01–271.02
Low Inc/MFW—Belle Glade/Pahokee
County—Palm Beach
Parts:
C.T. 80.01–80.02
C.T. 81.01–81.02
C.T. 82.01–82.03
C.T. 83.01–83.02
Low Inc/MFW—Clewiston
County—Hendry
Parts:
C.T. 9601–9602
Low Inc/MFW—Desoto Co
County—De Soto
Parts:
Pov Pop/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Eastern Pasco
County—Pasco
Parts:
C.T. 320.01–320.02
C.T. 321.01–321.02
C.T. 322–329
C.T. 330.01–330.04
C.T. 331
Low Inc/MFW—Hastings
County—St Johns
Low Inc/MFW—Highlands Co
County—Highlands
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Lake Co.
County—Lake
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Levy Co
County—Levy
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—Levy
Low Inc/MFW—Manatee Co
County—Manatee
Parts:
C.T. 1.01–1.04
C.T. 2
C.T. 3.01–3.03
C.T. 6.01
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 13
C.T. 15.01–15.02
C.T. 16

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 19.01
C.T. 19.03–19.04
Low Inc/MFW—Okeechobee Co
County—Okeechobee
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—Okeechobee
Low Inc/MFW—Plant City/Dover
County—Hillsborough
Parts:
C.T. 101.02–101.04
C.T. 124–131
Low Inc/MFW—Putnam
County—Putnam
Parts:
Low Inc Pop/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Ruskin/Appollo Beach
County—Hillsborough
Parts:
C.T. 140.02
C.T. 141.01
C.T. 141.03–141.04
Low Inc/MFW—Sumter Co
County—Sumter
Parts:
Low Income/Migrant Farmw
Low Inc/MFW- Fellsmere
County—Indian River
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—Fellsmere
Low-Inc Pompano
County—Broward
Parts:
C.T. 303–306
C.T. 308.01
MFW—Orange
County—Orange
Parts:
MFW
MFW—Sanford Division
County—Seminole
Parts:
MFW—Sanford

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Apalachee Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Avon Park Corr Inst
County—Highlands
Brevard Corr Inst
County—Brevard
Calhoun Corr Inst
County—Calhoun
Central Fl Reception Ctr
County—Orange
Century Corr Inst
County—Escambia
Cross City Corr Inst
County—Dixie
Dade Corr Inst
County—Dade
Desoto Corr Inst
County—De Soto
Doris Ison Comm Hlth Ctr
County—Dade
Fcc Coleman
County—Sumter
Florida Corr Inst
County—Marion
FCI Marianna

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Florida
Facility Listing

Facility Name

County—Jackson
FCI Miami
County—Dade
Gainesville Corr Inst
County—Alachua
Gulf Corr Inst
County—Gulf
Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center
County—Dade
Hendry Corr Inst
County—Hendry
Holmes Corr Inst
County—Holmes
Indian River Corr Inst
County—Indian River
Jackson Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Jackson Mem Hosp Outpt Clinics
County—Dade
Krome Medical Referral Center
County—Dade
Lancaster Corr Inst
County—Gilchrist
Liberty Corr Inst
County—Liberty
Marion Corr Inst
County—Marion
North Fl Reception Ctr
County—Union
Okaloosa Corr Inst
County—Okaloosa
Putnam Corr Inst
County—Putnam
Santa Rosa Corr Inst
County—Santa Rosa
Walton Corr Inst
County—Walton

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

*Appling
Population Group: Low Inc Pop—Appling Co
*Atkinson
*Bacon
Population Group: Low Inc—Bacon Co
*Baker
*Baldwin
Population Group: Low Inc—Baldwin
*Banks
Bartow
Population Group: Low Inc—Bartow Co
*Ben Hill
Population Group: Low Inc—Ben Hill Co
*Berrien
*Brantley
*Brooks
Bryan
Population Group: Low Inc—Pembroke
*Bulloch
Population Group: Low Inc—Bulloch
*Burke
Population Group: Low Inc—Burke Co
*Butts
Population Group: Low Inc—Butts Co
Facility: Ga Diagnostic Prison
*Calhoun
Population Group: Pov Pop—Calhoun Co
*Camden
Service Area: Woodbine

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

*Candler
Population Group: Low Inc—Candler Co
*Charlton
Population Group: Low Inc—Charlton Co
Chatham
Population Group: Pov Pop—N W Savannah
Chattahoochee
Population Group: Low Inc—Chattahoochee
*Chattooga
Cherokee
*Clay
*Clinch
Population Group: Low Inc—Clinch Co
Cobb
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Marietta
Facility: Smyrna Health Center
*Colquitt
*Cook
*Crawford
*Crisp
Population Group: Low Inc—Crisp Co
Dade
Population Group: Low Inc—Dade
*Dawson
De Kalb
Service Area: South Decatur/Candler/Mcafee
Facility: North Dekalb Clinic
*Decatur
Population Group: Low Inc—Decatur Co
*Dodge
Population Group: Low Inc—Dodge Co
Facility: Dodge State Prison
Facility: Eastman Youth Development Campus
*Dooly
Population Group: Low Inc—Dooly Co
Dougherty
Service Area: East Albany
Service Area: South Albany
Douglas
Population Group: Low Inc—Douglasville
*Echols
Effingham
*Elbert
Population Group: Low Inc—Elbert Co
*Emanuel
*Fannin
Population Group: Low Inc—Fannin Co
Forsyth
Population Group: Pov Pop—Forsyth Co
Facility: Phillips State Prison
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Franklin Co
Fulton
Service Area: Atlanta/Southside
Service Area: West Atlanta
Population Group: Med Ind—Palmetto
Facility: Central Health Center
Facility: USP—Atlanta
*Gilmer
Population Group: Low Inc—Gilmer Co
*Glascock
*Gordon
Population Group: Low Inc—Gordon
*Grady
Population Group: Low Inc—Grady Co
*Greene
Population Group: Low Inc—Greene

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

*Habersham
Population Group: Low Inc—Habersham Co
*Hancock
Population Group: Low Inc—Hancock
*Haralson
Population Group: Low Inc—Haralson Co
Harris
*Hart
Population Group: Low Inc—Hart Co
*Heard
Houston
Population Group: Low Inc—Houston Co
Jackson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jackson Co
*Jasper
*Jeff Davis
Population Group: Low Inc—Jeff Davis Co
*Jefferson
*Jenkins
Population Group: Low Inc—Jenkins Co
*Johnson
Population Group: Low Inc—Johnson
Facility: Wrightsville Youth Develp Campus
*Lamar
Population Group: Low Inc—Lamar Co
*Lanier
Population Group: Low Inc—Lanier Co
*Laurens
Population Group: Low Inc—Laurens Co
Lee
*Liberty
*Lincoln
*Long
*Lumpkin
Population Group: Low Inc—Lumpkin Co
Madison
Population Group: Low Inc—Madison Co
*Marion
Population Group: Low Inc—Marion Co
McDuffie
Population Group: Low Inc—Mcduffie
*McIntosh
*Meriwether
Population Group: Low Inc—Meriwether
*Miller
Population Group: Low Inc—Miller
*Mitchell
*Montgomery
Population Group: Low Inc—Montgomery/Wheeler
*Morgan
Population Group: Low Inc—Morgan Co
*Murray
Population Group: Low Inc—Murray
Muscogee/Columbus
Population Group: Pov Pop—Central Muscogee
Newton
Population Group: Low Inc—Newton Co
*Oglethorpe
Population Group: Low Inc—Oglethorpe Co
Paulding
Peach
Population Group: Low Inc—Peach Co
Pickens
Population Group: Low Inc—Pickens Co
*Pierce
Population Group: Low Inc—Pierce Co
*Pike
Population Group: Low Income—Pike Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

*Polk
*Putnam
*Quitman
*Rabun
*Randolph
Population Group: Pov Pop—Randolph Co
Richmond
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Augusta
*Schley
Population Group: Low Inc—Schley Co
*Screven
Spaulding
Population Group: Low Inc—Spalding Co
*Stewart
Service Area: Stewart/Webster
*Talbot
Population Group: Low Inc—Talbot
*Tattnall
Population Group: Low Inc—Tattnall
*Taylor
*Telfair
*Terrell
*Toombs
Population Group: Low Inc—Toombs Co
*Towns
Population Group: Low Inc—Towns Co
*Turner
Twiggs
*Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Union Co
Walker
Walton
Population Group: Low Inc—Walton Co
*Ware
Population Group: Low Inc—Ware Co
*Warren
*Washington
Population Group: Pov Pop—Washington Co
*Wayne
Facility: FCI Jesup
*Webster
Service Area: Stewart/Webster
*Wheeler
Population Group: Low Inc—Montgomery/Wheeler
*White
*Whitfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Whitfield Co
*Wilcox
Population Group: Low Inc—Wilcox Co
Facility: Wilcox State Prison
*Wilkes
*Wilkinson
*Worth
Population Group: Low Inc—Worth

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Atlanta/Southside
County—Fulton
Parts:
C.T. 44
C.T. 46.95
C.T. 48
C.T. 49.95
C.T. 50
C.T. 52–53
C.T. 55.01–55.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 56–58
C.T. 63–64
C.T. 67
C.T. 68.01–68.02
C.T. 69–73
East Albany
County—Dougherty
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 101–102
C.T. 103.01–103.02
C.T. 107–108
South Albany
County—Dougherty
Parts:
C.T. 12
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 15
C.T. 106.01–106.02
South Decatur/Candler/Mcafee
County—De Kalb
Parts:
C.T. 205–209
C.T. 227
C.T. 231.01
C.T. 235.01–235.02
C.T. 236–237
Stewart/Webster
County—Stewart
County—Webster
West Atlanta
County—Fulton
Parts:
C.T. 8
C.T. 22–26
C.T. 36–41
C.T. 42.95
C.T. 43
C.T. 60–62
C.T. 66.02
C.T. 78.04
C.T. 80
C.T. 81.01–81.02
C.T. 82.01–82.02
C.T. 83.01–83.02
C.T. 84–85
C.T. 86.01–86.02
C.T. 87.01–87.02
Woodbine
County—Camden
Parts:
Woodbine Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Bacon Co
County—Bacon
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Baldwin
County—Baldwin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bartow Co
County—Bartow
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Ben Hill Co
County—Ben Hill
Low Inc—Bulloch

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Bulloch
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Burke Co
County—Burke
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Butts Co
County—Butts
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Candler Co
County—Candler
Parts:
Low Income Pop
Low Inc—Central Augusta
County—Richmond
Parts:
C.T. 104
C.T. 105.04–105.09
C.T. 106
Low Inc—Central Marietta
County—Cobb
Parts:
C.T. 307–308
C.T. 309.02
Low Inc—Charlton Co
County—Charlton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Chattahoochee
County—Chattahoochee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Clinch Co
County—Clinch
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Crisp Co
County—Crisp
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dade
County—Dade
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Decatur Co
County—Decatur
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dodge Co
County—Dodge
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dooly Co
County—Dooly
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Douglasville
County—Douglas
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Elbert Co
County—Elbert
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Fannin Co
County—Fannin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Franklin Co
County—Franklin

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gilmer Co
County—Gilmer
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gordon
County—Gordon
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Grady Co
County—Grady
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Greene
County—Greene
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Habersham Co
County—Habersham
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Hancock
County—Hancock
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Haralson Co
County—Haralson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hart Co
County—Hart
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Houston Co
County—Houston
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jackson Co
County—Jackson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jeff Davis Co
County—Jeff Davis
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jenkins Co
County—Jenkins
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Johnson
County—Johnson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lamar Co
County—Lamar
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lanier Co
County—Lanier
Parts:
Low Inc—Lanier
Low Inc—Laurens Co
County—Laurens
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lumpkin Co
County—Lumpkin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Madison Co
County—Madison

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marion Co
County—Marion
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mcduffie
County—McDuffie
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Meriwether
County—Meriwether
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Miller
County—Miller
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Montgomery/Wheeler
County—Montgomery
Parts:
Low Income
County—Wheeler
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Morgan Co
County—Morgan
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Murray
County—Murray
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Newton Co
County—Newton
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Oglethorpe Co
County—Oglethorpe
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Peach Co
County—Peach
Parts:
Low Inc—Peach
Low Inc—Pembroke
County—Bryan
Parts:
Pembroke CCD
Low Inc—Pickens Co
County—Pickens
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Pierce Co
County—Pierce
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Schley Co
County—Schley
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Spalding Co
County—Spaulding
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Talbot
County—Talbot
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Tattnall
County—Tattnall
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income
Low Inc—Toombs Co
County—Toombs
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Towns Co
County—Towns
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Union Co
County—Union
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Walton Co
County—Walton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Ware Co
County—Ware
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Whitfield Co
County—Whitfield
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wilcox Co
County—Wilcox
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Worth
County—Worth
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc Pop—Appling Co
County—Appling
Parts:
Low Inc—Appling
Low Income—Pike Co
County—Pike
Parts:
Low Income
Med Ind—Palmetto
County—Fulton
Parts:
C.T. 104
C.T. 105.04–105.06
Pov Pop—Calhoun Co
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Pov Pop
Pov Pop—Central Muscogee
County—Muscogee/Columbus
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 13
C.T. 15
C.T. 18–20
C.T. 22–25
C.T. 27–28
C.T. 29.01–29.02
C.T. 30–34
Pov Pop—Forsyth Co
County—Forsyth
Parts:
Pov Pop
Pov Pop—N W Savannah
County—Chatham
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 3
C.T. 6.01
C.T. 8–13

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 15
C.T. 17–28
C.T. 32
C.T. 33.01–33.02
C.T. 36.01–36.02
C.T. 37
C.T. 44–45
C.T. 101.01
C.T. 106.04
Pov Pop—Randolph Co
County—Randolph
Parts:
Pov Pop
Pov Pop—Washington Co
County—Washington
Parts:
Pov Pop

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Georgia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Central Health Center
County—Fulton
Dodge State Prison
County—Dodge
Eastman Youth Development Campus
County—Dodge
FCI Jesup
County—Wayne
Ga Diagnostic Prison
County—Butts
North Dekalb Clinic
County—De Kalb
Phillips State Prison
County—Forsyth
Smyrna Health Center
County—Cobb
USP—Atlanta
County—Fulton
Wilcox State Prison
County—Wilcox
Wrightsville Youth Develp Campus
County—Johnson

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Hawaii
County Listing

County Name

*Hawaii
Service Area: Hamakua
Service Area: Kau District
Service Area: Pahoa
Honolulu
Population Group: Low Inc—Kokua/Kalihi-Palama
*Maui/Kalawao
Service Area: Hana/Haiku
Service Area: Island Of Lanai
Service Area: Island Of Molokai

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Hawaii
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Hamakua
County—Hawaii
Parts:
C.T. 219–221
Hana/Haiku
County—Maui/Kalawao
Parts:
C.T. 301–302
Island Of Lanai
County—Maui/Kalawao
Parts:
C.T. 316
Island Of Molokai
Parts:
C.T. 319
County—Maui/Kalawao
Parts:
C.T. 317–318
Kau District
County—Hawaii
Parts:
C.T. 212
Pahoa
County—Hawaii
Parts:
C.T. 211

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Hawaii
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Kokua/Kalihi-Palama
County—Honolulu
Parts:
C.T. 51–57
C.T. 57.99–58.00
C.T. 59–61
C.T. 62.01–62.02
C.T. 63.01–63.02
C.T. 64.01–64.02
C.T. 65–66

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Idaho
County Listing

County Name

Ada
Facility: Idaho State Pen. (Max/Med Units)
*Adams
*Bannock
Service Area: South Bannock Division
*Benewah
Population Group: Low Inc—Benewah Co
*Bingham
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Bingham Co
*Blaine
Service Area: Carey
*Boise
*Bonner
Service Area: Clark Fork
Service Area: Priest River
*Bonneville
Service Area: Swan Valley
*Boundary
*Butte
Service Area: Arco/Mackay
*Camas
Canyon
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Canyon Co
*Caribou
*Cassia
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Cassia Co
*Clark
*Clearwater
Service Area: Elk River
Service Area: Pierce/Weippe

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Idaho
County Listing

County Name

*Custer
Service Area: Arco/Mackay
Service Area: Stanley/Challis
*Elmore
*Franklin
*Fremont
*Gem
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Gem Co
*Gooding
*Idaho
Service Area: Elk City
Service Area: Riggins
*Jefferson
*Jerome
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Jerome Co
*Kootenai
Population Group: Low Inc—Kootenai Co
*Latah
Population Group: Low Inc—Latah Co
*Lemhi
*Lewis
Service Area: Winchester
*Lincoln
*Madison
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Madison Co
*Minidoka
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Minidoka Co
*Oneida
*Owyhee
*Payette
*Power
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Power Co
*Teton
*Twin Falls
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW -Twin Falls Co
*Valley
Service Area: Cascade
*Washington

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Idaho
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Arco/Mackay
County—Butte
County—Custer
Parts:
Mackay Division
Carey
County—Blaine
Parts:
Carey Division
Cascade
County—Valley
Parts:
C.T. 9701
Clark Fork
County—Bonner
Parts:
Clark Fork Division
Elk City
County—Idaho
Parts:
Elk City Division
Elk River
County—Clearwater
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Idaho
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Elk River Division
Pierce/Weippe
County—Clearwater
Parts:
Pierce-Headquarters Division
Weippe Division
Priest River
County—Bonner
Parts:
Blanchard-Glengary Division
Priest River Division
Riggins
County—Idaho
Parts:
Riggins Division
South Bannock Division
County—Bannock
Parts:
South Bannock Division
Stanley/Challis
County—Custer
Parts:
Challis Division
Stanley Division
Swan Valley
County—Bonneville
Parts:
Swan Valley Division
Winchester
County—Lewis
Parts:
Winchester Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Idaho
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Benewah Co
County—Benewah
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Kootenai Co
County—Kootenai
Low Inc—Latah Co
County—Latah
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc/MFW—Bingham Co
County—Bingham
Low Inc/MFW—Canyon Co
County—Canyon
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Cassia Co
County—Cassia
Parts:
Cassia
Low Inc/MFW—Gem Co
County—Gem
Low Inc/MFW—Jerome Co
County—Jerome
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Madison Co
County—Madison
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Minidoka Co
County—Minidoka
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW Minidoka Co
Low Inc/MFW—Power Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Idaho
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Power
Parts:
Low Income/MFW Pop
Low Inc/MFW -Twin Falls Co
County—Twin Falls
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—Twin Falls

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Idaho
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Idaho State Pen. (Max/Med Units)
County—Ada

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
County Listing

County Name

*Alexander
Service Area: Cairo
*Bond
Facility: Greenville Corr Inst
*Brown
*Calhoun
*Carroll
Clinton (g)
Facility: Centralia Corr Ctr
Cook
Service Area: Auburn Gresham
Service Area: Austin
Service Area: Logan Square
Service Area: New City
Service Area: Roseland/Pullman/Burnside
Service Area: S Lawndale
Service Area: South Chicago/Avalon Park
Service Area: South Deering
Service Area: West Englewood/Englewood
Population Group: Hmlss—Uptown/Near North Side/Loop
Facility: Alivio Med Ctr
Facility: Altgeld Health Ctr
Facility: Children's Primary Care Serv
Facility: Cook Co (Sengstacke)
Facility: Cook Co Dept Of Corr Complex
Facility: Erie Family HC (Teens)
Facility: Erie Family HC (West Town)
Facility: Erie Family HC (Humboldt Park)
Facility: Family Wellness Ctr (C.T. 4808)
Facility: Fantus Outpt Clinic—Cook Co Hosp
Facility: Friend Family Health Ctr
Facility: Hawthorne Works Med Ctr
Facility: Infant Welfare Society
Facility: Ingalls Community Care Ctr
Facility: Kedzie Plaza Med Ctr
Facility: Kling Prof Office Bldg
Facility: Lawndale Christian Hlth Ctr
Facility: Lawndale Plaza Medical Ctr
Facility: Lincoln Memorial Health Ctr
Facility: Markham Medical Clinic
Facility: Near South Health Ctr
Facility: Pcc Community Wellness Ctr
Facility: Pediatric Clinic—U Of Il
Facility: Pediatric Follow-Up Clinic
Facility: Pilsen Med Ctr
Facility: Sinai Doctors Med Ctr
Facility: West Side Health Ctr
Facility: Westside Family Health Ctr
Facility: Winfield Moody Health Ctr
Facility: Woodlawn Health Ctr
*Edgar

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
County Listing

County Name

*Fayette
*Franklin
*Gallatin
*Hamilton
Population Group: Low Inc—Hamilton Co
*Hardin
Service Area: Hardin/Pope
*Iroquois
Service Area: Hoopeston
*Jackson
Population Group: Med Ind—Jackson Co
*Jasper
*Jo Daviess
Service Area: Stockton/Warren
*Johnson (g)
Facility: Shawnee Corr Ctr
*Knox
Facility: Hill Corr Ctr
*Lee
Service Area: Ashton
Macon
Population Group: Low Inc—Decatur City
*Macoupin
Madison
Service Area: East St. Louis
Population Group: Low Inc—Alton/Wood River
Facility: Koch Family Health Ctr
*Mason
*Massac
Population Group: Low Inc—Massac Co
Ogle
Service Area: Polo
*Perry
Population Group: Low Inc—Perry Co
*Pope
Service Area: Hardin/Pope
*Pulaski
Service Area: Cairo
Rock Island
Population Group: Low Inc—Greater Davenport (IA/IL)
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastern Rock Island Co
*Scott
*Shelby
Population Group: Low Inc—Shelby Co
St Clair
Service Area: East St. Louis
Tazewell
Facility: FCI Pekin
*Vermilion
Service Area: Hoopeston
*Washington
Service Area: Nashville
*White
Population Group: Low Inc—White Co
Will
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastside Joliet
Facility: Joliet Corr Inst
*Williamson
Facility: USP Marion
Winnebago
Service Area: Rockford Westside

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Ashton
County—Lee
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Alto Township
Amboy Township
Ashton Township
Bradford Township
Brooklyn Township
China Township
Lee Center Township
May Township
Reynolds Township
Sublette Township
Viola Township
Willow Creek Township
Wyoming Township
Auburn Gresham
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 7101–7115
C.T. 7301–7307
Austin
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 2501–2524
Cairo
County—Alexander
County—Pulaski
East St. Louis
County—Madison
Parts:
C.T. 4007
County—St Clair
Parts:
C.T. 5004–5006
C.T. 5009–5014
C.T. 5021–5022
C.T. 5024.01
C.T. 5024.03–5024.04
C.T. 5025
C.T. 5027–5030
C.T. 5041
C.T. 5042.01
C.T. 5044
Hardin/Pope
County—Hardin
County—Pope
Hoopeston
County—Iroquois
Parts:
Fountain Creek Township
Lovejoy Township
Prairie Green Township
County—Vermilion
Parts:
Butler Township
Grant Township
Middlefork Township
Ross Township
South Ross Township
Logan Square
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 2001–2006
C.T. 2201–2229
Nashville
County—Washington
Parts:
Beaucoup Township
Bolo Township
Covington Township
Du Bois Township
Johannisburg Township
Lively Grove Township
Nashville Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Oakdale Township
Okawville Township
Pilot Knob Township
Plum Hill Township
Venedy Township
New City
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 3701–3704
C.T. 6101–6122
Polo
County—Ogle
Parts:
Brookville Twp
Buffalo Twp
Eagle Point Twp
Forreston Twp
Leaf River Twp
Lincoln Twp
Maryland Twp
Mount Morris Twp
Pine Creek Twp
Woosung Twp
Rockford Westside
County—Winnebago
Parts:
C.T. 10–12
C.T. 21
C.T. 24–29
C.T. 32
Roseland/Pullman/Burnside
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 4701
C.T. 4901–4914
C.T. 5001–5003
S Lawndale
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 3001–3020
South Chicago/Avalon Park
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 4501–4503
C.T. 4601–4610
South Deering
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 5101–5105
Stockton/Warren
County—Jo Daviess
Parts:
Apple River Township
Berreman Township
Derinda Township
Nora Township
Pleasant Valley Township
Rush Township
Stockton Township
Thompson Township
Wards Grove Township
Warren Township
Woodbine Township
West Englewood/Englewood
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 6701–6720
C.T. 6801–6814

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Hmlss—Uptown/Near North Side/Loop
County—Cook
Parts:
Edgewater (C.T. 301–309)
Lakeview(C.T. 601–634)
Lincoln Park (C.T.701–720
Loop (C.T. 3201–3206)
Near N Side(C.T.801–819
Uptown(C.T. 310–321)
Low Inc—Alton/Wood River
County—Madison
Parts:
Alton Twp
Wood River Twp
Low Inc—Decatur City
County—Macon
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 4.97–4.98
C.T. 5.98
C.T. 6–9
C.T. 16
C.T. 20
Low Inc—Eastern Rock Island Co
County—Rock Island
Parts:
C.T. 202–203
C.T. 206–210
C.T. 213–215
Low Inc—Eastside Joliet
County—Will
Parts:
C.T. 8812–8813
C.T. 8820–8822
C.T. 8824–8825
C.T. 8830
Low Inc—Greater Davenport (IA/IL)
County—Rock Island
Parts:
C.T. 216–217
C.T. 222–224
C.T. 226–228
C.T. 233–237
Low Inc—Hamilton Co
County—Hamilton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Massac Co
County—Massac
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Perry Co
County—Perry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Shelby Co
County—Shelby
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—White Co
County—White
Parts:
Low Income
Med Ind—Jackson Co
County—Jackson
Parts:
Medically Indigent

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Alivio Med Ctr

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
Facility Listing

Facility Name

County—Cook
Altgeld Health Ctr
County—Cook
Centralia Corr Ctr
County—Clinton
Children's Primary Care Serv
County—Cook
Cook Co (Sengstacke)
County—Cook
Cook Co Dept Of Corr Complex
County—Cook
Erie Family HC (Humboldt Park)
County—Cook
Erie Family HC (Teens)
County—Cook
Erie Family HC (West Town)
County—Cook
Family Wellness Ctr (C.T. 4808)
County—Cook
Fantus Outpt Clinic—Cook Co Hosp
County—Cook
Friend Family Health Ctr
County—Cook
FCI Pekin
County—Tazewell
Greenville Corr Inst
County—Bond
Hawthorne Works Med Ctr
County—Cook
Hill Corr Ctr
County—Knox
Infant Welfare Society
County—Cook
Ingalls Community Care Ctr
County—Cook
Joliet Corr Inst
County—Will
Kedzie Plaza Med Ctr
County—Cook
Kling Prof Office Bldg
County—Cook
Koch Family Health Ctr
County—Madison
Lawndale Christian Hlth Ctr
County—Cook
Lawndale Plaza Medical Ctr
County—Cook
Lincoln Memorial Health Ctr
County—Cook
Markham Medical Clinic
County—Cook
Near South Health Ctr
County—Cook
Pcc Community Wellness Ctr
County—Cook
Pediatric Clinic—U Of Il
County—Cook
Pediatric Follow-Up Clinic
County—Cook
Pilsen Med Ctr
County—Cook
Shawnee Corr Ctr
County—Johnson
Sinai Doctors Med Ctr
County—Cook
USP Marion
County—Williamson
West Side Health Ctr
County—Cook
Westside Family Health Ctr
County—Cook
Winfield Moody Health Ctr

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Illinois
Facility Listing

Facility Name

County—Cook
Woodlawn Health Ctr
County—Cook

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Indiana
County Listing

County Name

*Benton
*Blackford
*Brown
*Carroll
Population Group: Low Inc—Carroll Co
Clinton
Population Group: Low Inc—Clinton Co
*Crawford
*Daviess
*Fountain
Population Group: Low Inc—Fountain Co
*Franklin
*Grant
Population Group: Low Inc—Grant Co
*Greene
Harrison
Service Area: Elizabeth
*Henry
Population Group: Low Inc—Henry Co
Howard
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner City Kokomo
*Jasper
Population Group: Low Inc—Jasper Co
*Jennings
*Knox
Facility: Wabash Valley Clinic
*La Porte
Population Group: Low Inc—LA Porte Co
Facility: Indiana State Prs
*Lagrange
Lake
Service Area: East Chicago
Service Area: Gary
Marion
Service Area: Forest Manor (Indianapolis)
Service Area: Highland-Brookside (Indianapolis)
Service Area: Near North Side (Indianapolis)
Service Area: South Central Indianapolis
Service Area: Southwest Indianapolis
*Newton
*Ohio
*Owen
*Perry
Facility: Branchville Training Ctr
*Pike
*Putnam
Facility: Indiana State Farm
*Randolph
*Ripley
Service Area: Osgood/Versailles
*Rush
Population Group: Low Inc—Rush Co
Scott
Population Group: Low Inc—Scott Co
*Spencer
St Joseph
Service Area: Southwest South Bend
*Starke
*Steuben
*Sullivan
*Switzerland

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Indiana
County Listing

County Name

Tippecanoe
Population Group: Low Inc—Tippecanoe Co
Vanderburgh
Population Group: Homeless—Evansville
Vigo
Facility: Terre Haute Corr Inst
*Warren
*Washington
Population Group: Low Inc—Washington Co
*White

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Indiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

East Chicago
County—Lake
Parts:
C.T. 301–303
C.T. 303.98
C.T. 304
C.T. 304.98
C.T. 305–310
Elizabeth
County—Harrison
Parts:
Boone Township
Posey Township
Taylor Township
Forest Manor (Indianapolis)
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3225–3227
C.T. 3505–3508
C.T. 3523
Gary
County—Lake
Parts:
C.T. 101
C.T. 102.98
C.T. 103–134
C.T. 411–412
C.T. 413.01
Highland-Brookside (Indianapolis)
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3526–3527
C.T. 3544–3545
C.T. 3547–3551
Parts:
C.T. 101
C.T. 102.98
C.T. 103–134
C.T. 411–412
C.T. 413.01
Near North Side (Indianapolis)
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3517
C.T. 3519
C.T. 3521
C.T. 3528
C.T. 3531–3532
Osgood/Versailles
County—Ripley
Parts:
Brown Township
Center Township
Johnson Township
Otter Creek Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Indiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Shelby Township
Washington Township
South Central Indianapolis
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3556–3557
C.T. 3559
C.T. 3562
C.T. 3569–3572
C.T. 3578–3580
Southwest Indianapolis
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3414–3415
C.T. 3417
C.T. 3424–3426
C.T. 3563–3564
C.T. 3581
Southwest South Bend
County—St Joseph
Parts:
C.T. 6
C.T. 17–24
C.T. 27
C.T. 29–30

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Indiana
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Evansville
County—Vanderburgh
Parts:
Homeless
Low Inc—Carroll Co
County—Carroll
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Clinton Co
County—Clinton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Fountain Co
County—Fountain
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Grant Co
County—Grant
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Henry Co
County—Henry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Inner City Kokomo
County—Howard
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 4–5
C.T. 9
C.T. 12
Low Inc—Jasper Co
County—Jasper
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—LA Porte Co
County—La Porte
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Rush Co
County—Rush
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Indiana
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income
Low Inc—Scott Co
County—Scott
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Tippecanoe Co
County—Tippecanoe
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Washington Co
County—Washington
Parts:
Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Indiana
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Branchville Training Ctr
County—Perry
Indiana State Farm
County—Putnam
Indiana State Prs
County—La Porte
Terre Haute Corr Inst
County—Vigo
Wabash Valley Clinic
County—Knox

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
County Listing

County Name

*Adair
*Benton
Black Hawk
Population Group: Medicaid—Black Hawk Co
*Boone
Service Area: Dayton/Gowrie
Bremer
Service Area: Sumner/Tripoli
*Buchanan
*Calhoun
Service Area: Dayton/Gowrie
Service Area: Lake City
Service Area: Manson
*Carroll
Service Area: Lake City
*Cedar
Service Area: Lowden/Lost Nation
*Cherokee
Service Area: Kingsley/Anthon/Mapleton
*Clayton
Service Area: Elkader/Strawberry Point
*Clinton
Service Area: Lowden/Lost Nation
Dallas
Service Area: Redfield
*Delaware
Service Area: Elkader/Strawberry Point
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Franklin Co
*Fremont
Service Area: Glenwood/Tabor
*Greene
Service Area: Dayton/Gowrie
Service Area: Lake City
*Grundy
Service Area: Grundy
*Guthrie
Service Area: Guthrie Center

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Redfield
*Hamilton
Service Area: Dayton/Gowrie
*Harrison
Service Area: Onawa (IA/NE)
*Henry
Service Area: Keosauqua
Facility: Mt. Pleasant Corr Fac
*Ida
*Jackson
Service Area: Lowden/Lost Nation
*Jefferson
Service Area: Keosauqua
*Lee
Service Area: Keosauqua
*Lyon
Service Area: Rock Rapids
*Madison
Service Area: Redfield
*Mills
Service Area: Glenwood/Tabor
*Mitchell
*Monona
Service Area: Kingsley/Anthon/Mapleton
Service Area: Onawa (IA/NE)
*Plymouth
Service Area: Kingsley/Anthon/Mapleton
Service Area: Le Mars/Akron
*Pocahontas
Service Area: Manson
Pottawattamie
Service Area: Oakland
Population Group: Low Inc—W Pottawattamie Co
*Sac
Scott
Service Area: Lowden/Lost Nation
Population Group: Low Inc—Greater Davenport (IA/IL)
*Sioux
Service Area: Hawarden/Ireton
*Tama
*Van Buren
Service Area: Keosauqua
*Webster
Service Area: Dayton/Gowrie
Service Area: Manson
Woodbury
Service Area: Kingsley/Anthon/Mapleton
Service Area: Onawa (IA/NE)
Population Group: Low Inc—NW Sioux City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Dayton/Gowrie
County—Boone
Parts:
Dodge Twp
Grant Twp
Pilot Mound Twp
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Reading Twp
County—Greene
Parts:
Dawson Twp
Paton Twp
County—Hamilton
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Marion Twp
Webster Twp
County—Webster
Parts:
Burnside Twp
Clay Twp
Dayton Twp
Gowrie Twp
Hardin Twp
Lost Grove Twp
Roland Twp
Sumner Twp
Webster Twp
Yell Twp
Elkader/Strawberry Point
County—Clayton
Parts:
Boardman Twp
Cass Twp
Cox Creek Twp
Grand Meadow Twp
Highland Twp
Lodomillo Twp
Marion Twp
Monona Twp
Sperry Twp
Wagner Twp
County—Delaware
Parts:
Honey Creek Twp
Richland Twp
Glenwood/Tabor
County—Fremont
Parts:
Green Twp
Monroe Twp
Riverside Twp
Scott Twp
County—Mills
Grundy
County—Grundy
Parts:
Black Hawk Twp
Colfax Twp
Lincoln Twp
Melrose Twp
Palermo Twp
Pleasant Valley Twp
Shiloh Twp
Washington Twp
Guthrie Center
County—Guthrie
Parts:
Baker Twp
Bear Grove Twp
Beaver Twp
Cass Twp
Dodge Twp
Grant Twp
Highland Twp
Jackson Twp
Orange Twp
Richland Twp
Seely Twp
Thompson Twp
Union Twp
Valley Twp
Victory Twp
Hawarden/Ireton
County—Sioux
Parts:
Buncombe Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Center Township
Eagle Township
Garfield Township
Logan Township
Plato Township
Reading Township
Sherman Township
Washington Township
Keosauqua
County—Henry
Parts:
Jackson Township
Salem Township
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Cedar Township
Des Moines Township
Liberty Township
Round Prairie Township
County—Lee
Parts:
Cedar Township
Franklin Township
Harrison Township
Marion Township
Van Buren Township
County—Van Buren
Kingsley/Anthon/Mapleton
County—Cherokee
Parts:
Grand Meadow Twp
County—Monona
Parts:
Cooper Twp
Grant Twp
Maple Twp
County—Plymouth
Parts:
Elkhorn Twp
Garfield Twp
County—Woodbury
Parts:
Arlington Twp
Banner Twp
Floyd Twp
Grange Twp
Grant Twp
Kedron Twp
Liston Twp
Little Sioux Twp
Miller Twp
Morgan Twp
Moville Twp
Oto Twp
Rock Twp
Rutland Twp
Union Twp
West Fork Twp
Willow Twp
Wolf Creek Twp
Lake City
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Calhoun Township
Cedar Township
Elm Grove Township
Garfield Township
Jackson Township
Lake Creek Township
Lake City City
Logan Township
Union Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Williams Township
County—Carroll
Parts:
Jasper Township
Kniest Township
Sheridan Township
Wheatland Township
County—Greene
Parts:
Cedar Township
Highland Township
Le Mars/Akron
County—Plymouth
Parts:
America Twp
Elgin Twp
Fredonia Twp
Grant Twp
Henry Twp
Johnson Twp
Liberty Twp
Marion Twp
Meadow Twp
Plymouth Twp
Portland Twp
Preston Twp
Remsen Twp
Sioux Twp
Stanton Twp
Union Twp
Washington Twp
Westfield Twp
Lowden/Lost Nation
County—Cedar
Parts:
Inland Twp
Massillon Twp
Springfield Twp
County—Clinton
Parts:
Liberty Twp
Sharon Twp
Spring Rock Twp
County—Jackson
Parts:
Monmouth Twp
County—Scott
Parts:
Liberty Twp
Manson
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Butler Township
Center Township
Greenfield Township
Lincoln Township
Sherman Township
Twin Lakes Township
County—Pocahontas
Parts:
Bellville Township
Lizard Township
County—Webster
Parts:
Jackson Township
Johnson Township
Oakland
County—Pottawattamie
Parts:
Belknap Twp
Carson Twp
Center Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Grove Twp
James Twp
Knox Twp
Layton Twp
Lincoln Twp
Macedonia Twp
Pleasant Twp
Silver Creek Twp
Valley Twp
Washington Twp
Waveland Twp.
Wright Twp.
Onawa (IA/NE)
County—Harrison
Parts:
Jackson Twp
Little Sioux Twp
County—Monona
Parts:
Ashton Twp
Belvidere Twp
Center Twp
Fairview Twp
Franklin Twp
Jordan Twp
Kennebec Twp
Lake Twp
Lincoln Twp
Onawa City
Sherman Twp
Sioux Twp
Soldier Twp
Spring Valley Twp
St Clair Twp
West Fork Twp
Willow Twp
County—Woodbury
Parts:
Lakeport Twp
Sloan Twp
Willow Twp
Redfield
County—Dallas
Parts:
Linn Township
Union Township
County—Guthrie
Parts:
Penn Township
Stuart Township
County—Madison
Parts:
Madison Township
Penn Township
Rock Rapids
County—Lyon
Parts:
Allison Twp
Cleveland Twp
Dale Twp
Doon Twp
Elgin Twp
Garfield Twp
Grant Twp
Larchwood Twp
Liberal Twp
Midland Twp
Riverside Twp
Rock Twp
Sioux Twp
Wheeler Twp
Sumner/Tripoli

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Bremer
Parts:
Dayton Twp
Frederika Twp
Fremont Twp
Le Roy Twp
Sumner City
Sumner ι2 Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Franklin Co
County—Franklin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Greater Davenport (IA/IL)
County—Scott
Parts:
C.T. 105–117
C.T. 122–123
C.T. 130
C.T. 135
Low Inc—NW Sioux City
County—Woodbury
Parts:
C.T. 7–8
C.T. 10
C.T. 12–16
Low Inc—W Pottawattamie Co
County—Pottawattamie
Parts:
Boomer Twp
Crescent Twp
Garner Twp
Hardin Twp
Hazel Dell Twp
Kane Twp
Keg Creek Twp
Lake Twp
Lewis Twp
Minden Twp
Neola Twp
Norwalk Twp
Rockford Twp
York Twp
Medicaid—Black Hawk Co
County—Black Hawk
Parts:
Medicaid Eligible

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Iowa
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Mt. Pleasant Corr Fac
County—Henry

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kansas
County Listing

County Name

*Atchison
Population Group: Low Inc—Atchison Co
*Brown
Population Group: Medicaid—Brown Co
*Chautauqua
Service Area: Elk/Chautauqua
*Cherokee
*Cheyenne
*Clark

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kansas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Clark/Meade
*Comanche
*Doniphan
*Elk
Service Area: Elk/Chautauqua
*Geary
Population Group: Low Inc—Geary Co
*Haskell
*Hodgeman
*Jackson
*Jewell
*Kearny
*Kiowa
Leavenworth
Facility: USP Leavenworth
*Lincoln
*Linn
*Meade
Service Area: Clark/Meade
*Osborne
*Pratt
*Rawlins
*Rooks
*Russell
*Sheridan
*Smith
Population Group: Low Inc—Smith Co
*Stevens
*Wabaunsee
*Wallace
*Washington
*Wichita
Wyandotte
Population Group: Low Inc—Wyandotte Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Clark/Meade
County—Clark
County—Meade
Elk/Chautauqua
County—Chautauqua
County—Elk

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kansas
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Atchison Co
County—Atchison
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Geary Co
County—Geary
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Smith Co
County—Smith
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wyandotte Co
County—Wyandotte
Parts:
Low Income
Medicaid—Brown Co
County—Brown
Parts:
Medicaid

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kansas
Facility Listing

Facility Name
USP Leavenworth
County—Leavenworth

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
County Listing

County Name
*Adair
*Allen
*Anderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Anderson
*Ballard
*Bath
Population Group: Low Inc—Bath
*Bell
Service Area: Western Harlan
Service Area: Williamsburg/Saxton
Boyd
Population Group: Low Inc—Boyd Co
*Bracken
*Breathitt
*Breckinridge
Population Group: Low Inc—Breckinridge Co
Bullitt
*Butler
Campbell
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner City Newport
*Carroll
Carter
*Casey
*Clay (g)
Facility: FCI Manchester
*Crittenden
*Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc—Cumberland Co
Daviess
Population Group: Low Inc—Daviess
*Edmonson
*Elliott
*Estill
Population Group: Low Inc—Estill
Fayette
Population Group: Low Inc—N Central Lexington
*Fleming
*Floyd
Population Group: Low Inc—Mud Creek
*Gallatin
*Garrard
*Grayson
Population Group: Low Inc—Grayson Co
*Green
Population Group: Low Inc—Green Co
Greenup
Population Group: Low Inc—Greenup Co
*Hancock
*Harlan
Service Area: Cumberland
Service Area: Upper Clover
Service Area: Western Harlan
Population Group: Med Ind—Harlan/Evarts/Grays Knob
Facility: Clover Fork Clinic
*Hart
*Henry
*Hickman
*Jackson
Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc—West End Louisville

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
County Listing

County Name
*Knott
*Knox
*Larue
Population Group: Low Inc—Larue
*Laurel
*Lawrence
Population Group: Low Inc—Lawrence Co
*Leslie
Population Group: Low Inc—Leslie Co
*Lewis
*Livingston
Population Group: Low Inc—Livingston
*Logan
Population Group: Med Ind—Logan Co
*Lyon
Facility: Ky. State Pen.
Madison
Population Group: Low Inc—Madison Co
*Magoffin
*Marion
Population Group: Low Inc—Marion
*Martin
Population Group: Low Inc—Martin Co
*McCreary
Population Group: Low Inc—Mccreary
*McLean
*Meade
*Menifee
*Morgan (g)
Facility: Eastern Ky. Corr. Complex
*Muhlenberg
*Nicholas
*Ohio
Oldham
Facility: Ky. State Ref.
*Owen
*Owsley
*Pendleton
*Perry
Service Area: Ary
Service Area: Buckhorn
Population Group: Low Inc—Hazard
*Powell
*Robertson
*Todd
*Trimble
*Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Union
*Wayne
Population Group: Med Ind—Wayne Co
*Webster
Population Group: Low Inc—Webster
*Whitley
Service Area: Williamsburg/Saxton
*Wolfe

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Ary
County—Perry
Parts:
Dice Division
Buckhorn
County—Perry
Parts:
Buckhorn Division
Cumberland
County—Harlan
Parts:
Cumberland Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Upper Clover
County—Harlan
Parts:
Upper Clover Division
Western Harlan
County—Bell
Parts:
Tejay Division
County—Harlan
Parts:
Alva Division
Williamsburg/Saxton
County—Bell
Parts:
Pruden-Fonde Division
County—Whitley
Parts:
Pearl Division
Saxton Division
Siler Division
Williamsburg Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Inc—Anderson
County—Anderson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bath
County—Bath
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Boyd Co
County—Boyd
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Breckinridge Co
County—Breckinridge
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cumberland Co
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Daviess
County—Daviess
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Estill
County—Estill
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Grayson Co
County—Grayson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Green Co
County—Green
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Greenup Co
County—Greenup
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hazard
County—Perry
Parts:
Defiance-Vigor CCD
Hazard CCD
Krypton CCD

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Viper CCD
Low Inc—Inner City Newport
County—Campbell
Parts:
C.T. 501–506
Low Inc—Larue
County—Larue
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lawrence Co
County—Lawrence
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Leslie Co
County—Leslie
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Livingston
County—Livingston
Low Inc—Madison Co
County—Madison
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marion
County—Marion
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Martin Co
County—Martin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mccreary
County—McCreary
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mud Creek
County—Floyd
Parts:
McDowell CCD
Mud Creek CCD
Wheelwr-Weeksbury CCD
Low Inc—N Central Lexington
County—Fayette
Parts:
C.T. 1–5
C.T. 8–14
C.T. 18–19
C.T. 38.01
Low Inc—Union
County—Union
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Webster
County—Webster
Parts:
Low-Income
Low Inc—West End Louisville
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 1–18
C.T. 20–24
C.T. 27–28
C.T. 30
C.T. 34–35
Med Ind—Harlan/Evarts/Grays Knob
County—Harlan
Parts:
Cawood Div
Harlan Div
Poor Fork Div
Wallins Creek Div
Med Ind—Logan Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Logan
Parts:
Medically Indigent
Med Ind—Wayne Co
County—Wayne
Parts:
Medically Indigent

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Kentucky
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Clover Fork Clinic
County—Harlan
Eastern Ky. Corr. Complex
County—Morgan
FCI Manchester
County—Clay
Ky. State Pen.
County—Lyon
Ky. State Ref.
County—Oldham

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Parish Listing

Parish Name

Acadia
Population Group: Low Inc—Acadia Co
*Allen (g)
Facility: FCI Oakdale
*Ascension
Service Area: Ascension/Northeast Iberville
Service Area: Donaldsonville
*Assumption
*Avoyelles
*Bienville
Caddo
Service Area: North Caddo
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Shreveport
Facility: David Raines CHC (C.T. 246)
Calcasieu
Service Area: Dequincy
Service Area: North Lake Charles
Service Area: Vinton
Facility: Moss Reg Med Ctr Outpt Clinic
*Caldwell
*Cameron
*Catahoula
*Claiborne
Population Group: Low Income—Claiborne Par
*De Soto
East Baton Rouge
Service Area: Eden Park
Service Area: NW Baton Rouge
*East Carroll
*East Feliciana
*Franklin
*Iberia
Population Group: Medicaid—Iberia Par
*Iberville
Service Area: Ascension/Northeast Iberville
Population Group: Low Inc—Iberville Par
*Jackson
Jefferson
*Jefferson Davis
Service Area: Lafitte
Service Area: Old Kenner/River Town
Population Group: Low Inc—Jefferson Davis Par

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Parish Listing

Parish Name

Lafourche
Service Area: S E Lafourche
*Lasalle
*Lincoln
Livingston
Service Area: Livingston Service Area
*Madison
*Natchitoches
Population Group: Medicaid—Natchitoches Co
Orleans
Service Area: Algiers/Fischer
Service Area: Desire/Florida
Service Area: Lower 9Th Ward
Population Group: Low Inc—Irish Channel
Population Group: Low Income—Gentilly Woods
Plaquemines
Service Area: Plaquemines East
Service Area: Plaquemines West
*Pointe Coupee
*Richland
Population Group: Low Inc—Richland Par
*Sabine
*St Helena
St James
Service Area: Vacherie
St John The Baptist
Service Area: Vacherie
St Landry
Population Group: Low Inc—St. Landry Parish
St Martin
*St Mary
Population Group: Low Inc—St. Mary Par
*Tangipahoa
Service Area: Northern Tangipahoa
Facility: Lallie Kemp Hosp. Outpatient Unit
*Tensas
Terrebonne
Service Area: Dulac
Facility: Leonard J. Chabert Medical Center
*Union
*Vermilion
*Vernon
*West Carroll
*West Feliciana
Population Group: Low Inc—W Feliciana Parish
*Winn

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Algiers/Fischer
Parish—Orleans
Parts:
C.T. 1–4
C.T. 6.01–6.05
C.T. 6.13
Ascension/Northeast Iberville
Parish—Ascension
Parts:
District 3
District 4–a
District 4–b
District 5
District 6–a
District 6–b
District 7–a

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

District 7–b
Parish—Ascension
Parish—Iberville
Parts:
District 3
District 4
Dequincy
Parish—Calcasieu
Parts:
C.T. 24
Desire/Florida
Parish—Orleans
Parts:
C.T. 11
C.T. 11.99–12.00
C.T. 13.01–13.04
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 15–16
C.T. 17.03
C.T. 17.06
C.T. 17.98
Donaldsonville
Parish—Ascension
Parts:
C.T. 308–310
Dulac
Parish—Terrebonne
Parts:
District I
District G
District H
Eden Park
Parish—East Baton Rouge
Parts:
C.T. 8–10
C.T. 12–16
C.T. 21–22
C.T. 24–25
Lafitte
Parish—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 277.02
C.T. 278.09
C.T. 279
C.T. 279.99
Livingston Service Area
Parish—Livingston
Parts:
District 8
District 7
District 6
District 1
District 9
Lower 9Th Ward
Parish—Orleans
Parts:
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8
C.T. 9.01–9.04
North Caddo
Parish—Caddo
Parts:
C.T. 248–250
C.T. 251.98
North Lake Charles
Parish—Calcasieu
Parts:
C.T. 2–4
C.T. 14–15
Northern Tangipahoa
Parish—Tangipahoa
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 9532–9536
NW Baton Rouge
Parish—East Baton Rouge
Parts:
C.T. 1–5
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 11.02–11.04
C.T. 30.01–30.02
C.T. 31.01–31.02
C.T. 33–34
Old Kenner/River Town
Parish—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 205.05
C.T. 206–210
C.T. 236–237
Plaquemines East
Parish—Plaquemines
Parts:
District 1
Plaquemines West
Parish—Plaquemines
Parts:
District 5
District 6
District 7
District 8
District 9
S E Lafourche
Parish—Lafourche
Parts:
District 11
District 8
District 9
District 10
District 12
District 13
District 14
District 15
Vacherie
Parish—St James
Parts:
District 7
District 5
District 6
Parish—St John The Baptist
Parts:
District 1
Vinton
Parish—Calcasieu
Parts:
C.T. 35–36

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Acadia Co
Parish—Acadia
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Central Shreveport
Parish—Caddo
Parts:
C.T. 201–202
C.T. 204–213
C.T. 217–225
C.T. 232–233
Low Inc—Iberville Par
Parish—Iberville
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Dist. 7
Dist. 6
Dist. 2
Dist. 12
Dist. 11
Dist. 10
Dist. 9
Dist. 8
Dist. 1
Low Inc—Irish Channel
Parish—Orleans
Parts:
C.T. 77–80
C.T. 81.01–81.02
C.T. 82–89
Low Inc—Jefferson Davis Par
Parish—Jefferson Davis
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Richland Par
Parish—Richland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—St. Landry Parish
Parish—St Landry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—St. Mary Par
Parish—St Mary
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—W Feliciana Parish
Parish—West Feliciana
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Claiborne Par
Parish—Claiborne
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Gentilly Woods
Parish—Orleans
Parts:
C.T. 17.02
Medicaid—Iberia Par
Parish—Iberia
Parts:
Medicaid Eligible
Medicaid—Natchitoches Co
Parish—Natchitoches
Parts:
Medicaid Eligible

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Louisiana
Facility Listing

Facility Name

David Raines CHC (C.T. 246)
Parish—Caddo
FCI Oakdale
Parish—Allen
Lallie Kemp Hosp. Outpatient Unit
Parish—Tangipahoa
Leonard J. Chabert Medical Center
Parish—Terrebonne
Moss Reg Med Ctr Outpt Clinic
Parish—Calcasieu

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
County Listing

County Name

Androscoggin

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Jay/Livermore Falls
*Aroostook
Service Area: Ashland PCAA
Service Area: Danforth
Service Area: St. Francis
Service Area: Van Buren
Population Group: Low Inc—Houlton PCAA
Population Group: Low Inc—Island Falls
Population Group: Low Inc—Mars Hill PCAA
Population Group: Low Inc—Ft. Kent
Population Group: Low Inc—Lincoln
Cumberland
Service Area: Casco Bay Islands
Service Area: Sacopee Valley Region
Population Group: Homeless—Portland
*Franklin
Service Area: Jay/Livermore Falls
Service Area: Rangeley
Population Group: Low Inc—Rumford
Population Group: Low Inc—Kingfield PCAA
*Kennebec
Service Area: Jay/Livermore Falls
Population Group: Low Inc—Richmond PCAA
*Knox
Population Group: Low Inc—Penobscot Bay Islands
Facility: Me State Prison/Me Corr Inst—Thomaston
*Lincoln
Population Group: Low Inc—Richmond PCAA
Population Group: Low Inc—Damariscotta
*Oxford
Service Area: Bethel
Service Area: Jay/Livermore Falls
Service Area: Rangeley
Service Area: Sacopee Valley Region
Population Group: Low Inc—Rumford
Penobscot
Service Area: Corinth
Service Area: Danforth
Service Area: Howland
Population Group: Low Inc—Millinocket PCAA 44
Population Group: Low Inc—Island Falls
Population Group: Low Inc—Dexter
Population Group: Low Inc—Lincoln
Population Group: Penobscot Nat Amer Tribe
*Piscataquis
Service Area: Bingham
Population Group: Low Inc—Millinocket PCAA 44
Population Group: Low Inc—Greenville PCAA
Population Group: Low Inc—Milo PCAA
*Sagadahoc
Population Group: Low Inc—Richmond PCAA
*Somerset
Service Area: Bingham
Service Area: Jackman
Population Group: Low Inc—Greenville PCAA
Population Group: Low Inc—Dexter
*Washington
Service Area: Danforth
Service Area: Eastport
Service Area: Jonesport

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Topsfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Lubec
Population Group: Low Inc—Machias
Population Group: Low Income—Milbridge
Population Group: Med Ind—Calais
York
Service Area: Sacopee Valley Region

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Ashland PCAA
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Ashland Town
Garfield Plantation
Masardis Town
Nashville Plantation
Oxbow Plantation
Portage Lake Town
Bethel
County—Oxford
Parts:
Bethel Town
Gilead Town
Greenwood Town
Newry Town
North Oxford Unorg.
Upton Town
Woodstock Town
Bingham
County—Piscataquis
Parts:
Kingsbury Plantation
County—Somerset
Parts:
Bingham Town
Brighton Plantation
Caratunk Town
Moscow Town
Northeast Somerset Unorg.
Pleasant Ridge Plantation
Solon Town
The Forks Plantation
West Forks Plantation
Casco Bay Islands
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Cliff Is.
Cushing Is.
Great Chebeague Is.
Great Diamond Is.
Little Chebeague Is.
Little Diamond Is.
Long Is.
Peak's Is.
Corinth
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Bradford Town
Charleston Town
Corinth Town
Exeter Town
Hudson Town
Danforth
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Bancroft Town
Orient Town
Weston Town
County—Penobscot

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
Drew Plantation
Kingman Unorg.
Prentiss Plantation
County—Washington
Parts:
Danforth Town
Eastport
County—Washington
Parts:
Eastport City
Passamaquoddy Pleasant Point Res
Pembroke Town
Perry Town
Howland
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Burlington Town
East Central Penobscot Unorg.
Edinburg Town
Enfield Town
Howland Town
Lagrange Town
Lowell Town
Maxfield Town
Passadumkeag Town
Seboeis Plantation
Jackman
County—Somerset
Parts:
Dennistown Plantation
Jackman Town
Moose River Town
Jay/Livermore Falls
County—Androscoggin
Parts:
Livermore Falls Town
Livermore Town
County—Franklin
Parts:
Jay Town
County—Kennebec
Parts:
Fayette Town
County—Oxford
Parts:
Canton Town
Hartford Town
Sumner Town
Jonesport
County—Washington
Parts:
Addison Town
Beals Town
Centerville Town
Columbia Falls Town
Jonesboro Town
Jonesport Town
Rangeley
County—Franklin
Parts:
Coplin Plantation
Dallas Plantation
Eustis Town
Madrid Town
North Franklin Unorg.
Rangeley Plantation
Rangeley Town
Sandy River Plantation
County—Oxford
Parts:
Lincoln Plantation

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Magalloway Plantation
North Oxford Unorg.
Sacopee Valley Region
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Baldwin Town
County—Oxford
Parts:
Hiram Town
Porter Town
County—York
Parts:
Cornish Town
Limerick Town
Parsonsfield Town
St. Francis
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Allagash Town
St. Francis Town
St. John Plantation
Topsfield
County—Washington
Parts:
Codyville Plantation
Grand Lake Stream Plantation
North Washington Unorg.
Passamaquoddy Indian Township Re
Talmadge Town
Topsfield Town
Vanceboro Town
Waite Town
Van Buren
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Grand Isle Town
Hamlin Town
Van Buren Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Portland
County—Cumberland
Parts:
C.T. 1–13
Low Inc—Damariscotta
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Alna Town
Boothbay Town
Boothbay Harbor Town
Bremen Town
Bristol Town
Damariscotta Town
Edgecombe Town
Jefferson Town
Monhegan Plantation
Newcastle Town
Nobleboro Town
South Bristol Town
Southport Town
Waldoboro Town
Low Inc—Dexter
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Corinna Town
Dexter Town
Garland Town
County—Somerset
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Cambridge Town
Ripley Town
Low Inc—Ft. Kent
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Eagle Lake Town
Fort Kent Town
Frenchville Town
Madawaska Town
New Canada Town
St. Agatha Town
Wallagras Plt.
Winterville Plt.
Low Inc—Greenville PCAA
County—Piscataquis
Parts:
Beaver Cove Town
Blanchard Plantation
Greenvilletown
Monsontown
NE Piscataquis (Sw 1/3)
NW Piscataquis
Shirleytown
Willimantic Town
County—Somerset
Parts:
NE Somerset (North 2/3)
Seboomook Lake Town
Low Inc—Houlton PCAA
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Amity Town
Cary Plantation
Cental Aroostook Unorg.
Glenwood Plantation
Hammond Plantation
Haynesville Town
Hodgdon Town
Houlton Town
Linneus Town
Littleton Town
Ludlow Town
Merrill Town
Monticello Town
New Limerick Town
Oakfield Town
Reed Plantation
Smyrna Town
Low Inc—Island Falls
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Crystal Town
Dyer Brook Town
Hersey Town
Island Falls
Moro Plantation
S. Aroostook Un. (N 2/3)
Sherman Town
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Mt. Chase Town
N. Penobscot Un. (N 1/3)
Pattentown
Stacyville Town
Low Inc—Kingfield PCAA
County—Franklin
Parts:
Carrabassett Valley Town
E C Franklin Unorg. Terr
Kingfield Town
Phillips Town
Wyman Unorg. Terr

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Lincoln
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Macwahoc Plt
South Aroostook Unorg
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Carroll Plt
Chester Town
Lakeville Towm
Lee Town
Lincoln Town
Mattawamkeag Town
Springfield Town
Twombley Unorg
Webster Plt
Winn Town
Woodville Town
Low Inc—Lubec
County—Washington
Parts:
Dennysville Town
East Central Wash.Unorg.
Lubec Town
Whiting Town
Low Inc—Machias
County—Washington
Parts:
Cutler Town
East Machias Town
Machias Town
Machiasport Town
Marshfield Town
Northfield Town
Roque Bluffs Town
Wesley Town
Whitneyville Town
Low Inc—Mars Hill PCAA
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Blaine Town
Bridgewater Town
E. Plantation
Mars Hill Town
Westfield Town
Low Inc—Millinocket PCAA 44
County—Penobscot
Parts:
East Millinocket Town
Medway Town
Millinocket Town
N Penobscot (S 2/3)
County—Piscataquis
Parts:
NE Piscataquis (N 1/3)
Low Inc—Milo PCAA
County—Piscataquis
Parts:
Atkinson Town
Brownville Town
Lake View Plantation
Medford Town
Milo Town
NE Piscataquis (Se 1/3)
Sebec Town
SE Piscataquis Unorg
Low Inc—Penobscot Bay Islands
County—Knox
Parts:
Matinicus Isle Plt
North Haven Town
Vinalhaven Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Richmond PCAA
County—Kennebec
Parts:
Litchfield
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Dresden
County—Sagadahoc
Parts:
Bowdoinham
Richmond
Low Inc—Rumford
County—Franklin
Parts:
Carthage Town
Weld Town
County—Oxford
Parts:
Andover Town
Byron Town
Dixfield Town
Hanover Town
Mexico Town
Milton Town
Peru Town
Roxbury Town
Rumford Town
Low Income—Milbridge
County—Washington
Parts:
Beddington Town
Cherryfield Town
Columbia Town
Deblois Town
Harrington Town
Milbridge Town
Steuben Town
Med Ind—Calais
County—Washington
Parts:
Alexander Town
Baileyville Town
Baring Town
Calais City
Charlotte Town
Cooper Town
Crawford Town
Meddybemps Town
Plantation ι21
Princeton Town
Robbinston Town
Penobscot Nat Amer Tribe
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Old Town City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maine
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Me State Prison/Me Corr Inst—Thomaston
County—Knox

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maryland
County Listing

County Name

Allegany
Service Area: George's Creek
Service Area: Hancock (MD/PA)
Facility: FCI Cumberland
Baltimore

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maryland
County Listing

County Name

Baltimore City (Indep)
Service Area: Middle River
Population Group: Low Inc—W. Central Baltimore
Population Group: Low Inc—Belair Road/Brehm's Lane
Population Group: Low Inc—Park West
Population Group: Medicaid—South Baltimore City
Facility: Healthcare For The Homeless
*Caroline
Cecil
*Dorchester
*Kent
Population Group: Low Income-Kent Co
Montgomery
Population Group: Low Inc—S Central Montgomery Co
Population Group: Low Inc—Langley Park
Facility: Projecto Salud Facility
Prince Georges
Population Group: Low Inc—Langley Park
Queen Annes
*Somerset
Population Group: Low-Income Somerset Co
Facility: Eastern Corr Inst
Washington
Service Area: Hancock (MD/PA)
Population Group: Low Inc—Hagerstown
*Wicomico
Population Group: Low Income—Wicomico Co
*Worcester
Population Group: Low Inc—Worcester County

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maryland
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

George's Creek
County—Allegany
Parts:
C.T. 13.01
C.T. 14.01
C.T. 15.01–15.03
C.T. 16.01
C.T. 17.01
C.T. 18
C.T. 19.01
C.T. 20.01
C.T. 21–22
Hancock (MD/PA)
County—Allegany
Parts:
District 1, Orleans
County—Washington
Parts:
District 15, Indian Spring
District 4, Clear Spring
District 5, Hancock
Middle River
County—Baltimore
Parts:
C.T. 4507
C.T. 4508.01–4508.02
C.T. 4513–4516

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maryland
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Belair Road/Brehm's Lane
County—Baltimore City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 801.01–801.02
C.T. 2601.02
C.T. 2602.01–2602.03
C.T. 2603.01–2603.03
C.T. 2604.02
C.T. 2604.98
C.T. 2701.01
Low Inc—Hagerstown
County—Washington
Parts:
C.T. 3.02
C.T. 4–5
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7–9
Low Inc—Langley Park
County—Montgomery
Parts:
C.T. 7019–7020
County—Prince Georges
Parts:
C.T. 8056–8057
Low Inc—Park West
County—Baltimore City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 1512–1513
C.T. 2716–2717
C.T. 2718.01–2718.02
C.T. 2801.01
Low Inc—S Central Montgomery Co
County—Montgomery
Parts:
C.T. 7034.04
Low Inc—W. Central Baltimore
County—Baltimore City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 1102
C.T. 1207
C.T. 1301–1305
C.T. 1401–1403
C.T. 1501–1506
C.T. 1507.01–1507.02
C.T. 1508.01–1508.02
C.T. 1509–1511
C.T. 1601–1607
C.T. 1608.01–1608.02
C.T. 1701–1703
C.T. 2801.02
C.T. 2802
C.T. 2803.01–2803.02
Low Inc—Worcester County
County—Worcester
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Wicomico Co
County—Wicomico
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income-Kent Co
County—Kent
Parts:
Low Income
Low-Income Somerset Co
County—Somerset
Parts:
Low Income
Medicaid—South Baltimore City
County—Baltimore City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 2101.01

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maryland
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 2102.01
C.T. 2301–2303
C.T. 2401
C.T. 2404
C.T. 2502.03–2502.05
C.T. 2502.07
C.T. 2503.01–2503.03
C.T. 2504.01–2504.02
C.T. 2505–2506

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Maryland
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Eastern Corr Inst
County—Somerset
FCI Cumberland
County—Allegany
Healthcare For The Homeless
County—Baltimore City (Indep)
Projecto Salud Facility
County—Montgomery

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Massachusetts
County Listing

County Name

Barnstable
Population Group: Homeless—Hyannis
Bristol
Population Group: Low Inc—C Fall River
Population Group: Low Inc—C New Bedford
Essex
Service Area: North Lawrence
Service Area: South Lynn
Population Group: Med Elig—Salem/East Peabody
Hampden
Service Area: Gateway Regional Dist
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
Population Group: Low Inc Of Holyoke
Hampshire
Service Area: Gateway Regional Dist
Service Area: Hampshire Regional Dist
Middlesex
Service Area: Community Health Network Area ι16
Facility: McI—Concord
Plymouth
Service Area: Hull
Suffolk
Service Area: Community Health Network Area ι16
Service Area: Roxbury
Service Area: SW Dorchester
Population Group: Hmlss—Boston
Population Group: Low Inc—Brighton/Allston
Worcester
Population Group: Low Inc—Worcester

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Massachusetts
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Community Health Network Area ι16
County—Middlesex
Parts:
Everett City
Malden City
County—Suffolk

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Massachusetts
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
Chelsea City
Revere City
Winthrop Town
Gateway Regional Dist
County—Hampden
Parts:
Blandford Town
Chester Town
Montgomery Town
Russell Town
County—Hampshire
Parts:
Huntington Town
Middlefield Town
Worthington Town
Hampshire Regional Dist
County—Hampshire
Parts:
Chesterfield Town
Cummington Town
Goshen Town
Plainfield Town
Hull
County—Plymouth
Parts:
Hull Town
North Lawrence
County—Essex
Parts:
C.T. 2501–2517
Roxbury
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 801–809
C.T. 811–821
South Lynn
County—Essex
Parts:
C.T. 2055–2065
C.T. 2068–2072
SW Dorchester
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 923–924
C.T. 1001–1005
C.T. 1010.01–1010.02
C.T. 1011.01–1011.02
C.T. 1101.01

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Massachusetts
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Hmlss—Boston
County—Suffolk
Parts:
Long Is Shelter/Ct 1501
Pc Clinic/Bc Hosp/Ct 710
Pine Street Inn/Ct 712
Shattuck Ctr/Ct 1101.02
St. Francis Hse/Ct 1206
Homeless—Hyannis
County—Barnstable
Parts:
Ct 012400
Ct 012600
Low Inc—Brighton/Allston
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Massachusetts
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 3
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 5.01–5.02
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8.01–8.02
Low Inc—C Fall River
County—Bristol
Parts:
C.T. 6408–6414
C.T. 6419–6420
Low Inc—C New Bedford
County—Bristol
Parts:
C.T. 6504–6509
C.T. 6510.02
C.T. 6511–6518
C.T. 6518.99–6519.00
C.T. 6520–6527
Low Inc—Springfield
County—Hampden
Parts:
C.T. 8001
C.T. 8002.01–8002.02
C.T. 8003–8010
C.T. 8011.01–8011.02
C.T. 8012–8013
C.T. 8014.01–8014.02
C.T. 8015.01–8015.03
C.T. 8016.01–8016.05
C.T. 8017–8025
C.T. 8026.01–8026.02
Low Inc—Worcester
County—Worcester
Parts:
C.T. 7301–7303
C.T. 7304.01–7304.02
C.T. 7305–7307
C.T. 7308.01–7308.02
C.T. 7309.01–7309.02
C.T. 7310
C.T. 7311.01–7311.02
C.T. 7312.01–7312.02
C.T. 7313–7319
C.T. 7320.01–7320.02
C.T. 7321
C.T. 7322.01–7322.03
C.T. 7323–7328
C.T. 7329.01–7329.02
C.T. 7330
C.T. 7331.01–7331.02
Low Inc Of Holyoke
County—Hampden
Parts:
C.T. 8114–8118
C.T. 8120
Med Elig—Salem/East Peabody
County—Essex
Parts:
C.T. 2042–2043
C.T. 2045–2046
C.T. 2107–2108

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Massachusetts
Facility Listing

Facility Name

McI—Concord
County—Middlesex

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
County Listing

County Name

*Alcona
*Alger
Population Group: Low Inc—Alger Co
Facility: Alger Max Fac
Allegan
Service Area: Allegan
Population Group: Low Inc—South Haven/Bangor
*Alpena
Population Group: Low Inc—Alpena Co
*Antrim
Service Area: Mancelona
Population Group: Low Inc—East Jordan
*Arenac
Service Area: Sterling/Standish
Bay
Service Area: Sterling/Standish
*Benzie
Berrien
Population Group: Low Inc—North Berrien Co
*Branch
Population Group: Low Inc—Branch Co
Facility: Crane Women's Fac
Calhoun
Population Group: Low Inc—Calhoun Co
*Cass
Service Area: Dowagiac
*Charlevoix
Service Area: Beaver Island
Population Group: Low Inc—East Jordan
*Cheboygan
Population Group: Low Inc—Cheboygan Co
*Chippewa
Population Group: Low Inc—Chippewa Co
Facility: Chippewa Cty Corr Inst
*Clare
*Crawford
Population Group: Low Inc—Crawford Co
*Delta
Population Group: Low Inc—Delta Co
*Dickinson
Population Group: Low Inc—Dickinson Co
*Emmet
Population Group: Little Traverse Bay Band Of Odawa Indian
Genesee
Service Area: Otter Lake
Population Group: Low Inc—Flint
*Gladwin
Population Group: Low Inc—Gladwin Co
*Gogebic
Population Group: Low Inc—Ewen
Population Group: Low Inc—Ironwood/Hurley (MI/WI)
*Grand Traverse
Population Group: Low Inc—Cadillac/Buckley
*Gratiot
Population Group: Low Inc—Gratiot Co
Facility: Mid Michigan Temporary Fac
*Hillsdale
*Houghton
Population Group: Low Inc—Houghton Co
*Huron
Service Area: Pigeon
Service Area: Port Austin
Population Group: Low Inc—Harbor Beach/Bad Axe
*Ionia
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Ionia Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
County Listing

County Name

Facility: Handlon Mi Training Unit
Facility: Ionia Maximum Fac
Facility: Ionia Temporary Fac
Facility: Michigan Ref
*Iosco
Population Group: Low Inc—Iosco Co
*Iron
Population Group: Low Inc—Iron Co
*Isabella
Population Group: Low Inc—Isabella Co
Jackson
Facility: Egeler Corr Fac
Facility: Jackson Cotton Facility
Facility: Reception And Guidance Ctr
Facility: State Prs S Michigan—S Complex
Facility: State Prs S Michigan—C Complex
Kalamazoo
Population Group: Huron Potawatomi, Inc.
Population Group: Low Inc—N Kalamazoo City
*Kalkaska
Kent
Population Group: Low Inc—Grand Rapids
Population Group: MSFW—N Kent Co
*Keweenaw
*Lake
Lapeer
Service Area: Marlette/Kingston
Service Area: Otter Lake
Facility: Thumb Regional Fac
*Leelanau
Service Area: Northport/Suttons Bay
*Lenawee
Service Area: Morenci
Facility: Gus Harrison Regional Fac
*Luce
Population Group: Low Inc—Luce Co
*Mackinac
Population Group: Low Inc—Mackinac Co
Macomb
Facility: Macomb Corr Fac
*Manistee
Population Group: Little River Band Of Ottawa Indians, Inc
*Marquette
Service Area: Gwinn
Service Area: Western Marquette
Facility: Marquette Branch Prs
*Mason
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Mason Co
*Mecosta
*Menominee
Population Group: Low Inc—E Marinette/S Menominee (MI/WI
Population Group: Low Inc—Northern Menominee
*Missaukee
Monroe
Service Area: Carleton
*Montcalm
Population Group: Low Inc—Montcalm Co
Facility: Carson City Regional Fac
*Montmorency
Muskegon
Population Group: Low Inc—Muskegon City
Population Group: Low Inc—Northern Muskegon Co
Facility: Brooks Regional Fac
Facility: Muskegon Corr Fac
Facility: Muskegon Temporary Fac

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
County Listing

County Name

*Newaygo
Population Group: Low Inc—Newaygo Co
*Ogemaw
Population Group: Low Inc—Ogemaw Co
*Ontonagon
Population Group: Low Inc—Ewen
Population Group: Low Inc—North Ontonagon
*Osceola
*Oscoda
*Otsego
Population Group: Low Inc—Otsego Co
Ottawa
Population Group: MFW—Ottawa Co
*Presque Isle
*Roscommon
Saginaw
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastside Saginaw
Facility: Saginaw Corr Fac
Facility: Saginaw Cooperative Hosp Inc
*Sanilac
Service Area: Marlette/Kingston
Population Group: Low Inc—Deckerville/Sandusky
*Schoolcraft
Population Group: Low Inc—Schoolcraft Co
St Clair
Population Group: Low Inc—St Clair Co
*St Joseph
Population Group: Low Inc—St. Joseph Co
*Tuscola
Service Area: Marlette/Kingston
Service Area: Otter Lake
Service Area: Pigeon
Population Group: Low Inc—Caro/Cass City
Van Buren
Service Area: Dowagiac
Population Group: Low Inc—South Haven/Bangor
Wayne
Service Area: Airport/Conner (N.E. Detroit)
Service Area: Chene (S. Central Detroit)
Service Area: Eastside Detroit
Service Area: Highland Park
Service Area: Inkster
Service Area: Mackenzie/Brooks
Service Area: Nolan/State Fair/Davison/Pershing
Service Area: Southwest Detroit
Service Area: Tireman/Chadsey
Population Group: Low Inc—Hamtramck
Facility: Michigan Hospital & Medical Centers
Facility: Ryan Regional Fac
*Wexford
Population Group: Low Inc—Cadillac/Buckley

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Airport/Conner (N.E. Detroit)
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5037
C.T. 5039–5048
C.T. 5052–5053
C.T. 5107–5109

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Allegan
County—Allegan
Parts:
Allegan City
Allegan Township
Cheshire Township
Clyde Township
Dorr Township
Hopkins Township
Lee Township
Leighton Township
Martin Township
Monterey Township
Salem Township
Trowbridge Township
Valley Township
Watson Township
Wayland Township
Wayland City
Beaver Island
County—Charlevoix
Parts:
Peaine Township
St. James Township
Carleton
County—Monroe
Parts:
Ash Township
Exeter Township
London Township
Chene (S. Central Detroit)
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5111
C.T. 5161–5162
C.T. 5177–5179
C.T. 5183–5188
Dowagiac
County—Cass
Parts:
Dowagiac City
La Grange Township
Marcellus Township
Penn Township
Pokagon Township
Silver Creek Township
Volinia Township
Wayne Township
County—Van Buren
Parts:
Decatur Township
Hamilton Township
Hartford City
Hartford Township
Keeler Township
Porter Township
Eastside Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5121–5124
C.T. 5126
C.T. 5129
C.T. 5132–5136
C.T. 5139–5143
C.T. 5145–5157
Gwinn
County—Marquette
Parts:
Ewing Township
Forsyth Township
Turin Township
Wells Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Highland Park
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5530–5537
Inkster
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5701–5710
Mackenzie/Brooks
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5341–5344
C.T. 5347
C.T. 5350–5355
C.T. 5363–5368
C.T. 5370–5373
C.T. 5378
C.T. 5451–5454
Mancelona
County—Antrim
Parts:
Chestonia Township
Custer Township
Helena Township
Kearney Township
Mancelona Township
Star Township
Marlette/Kingston
County—Lapeer
Parts:
Burlington Township
County—Sanilac
Parts:
Lamotte Township
Marlette City
Marlette Township
County—Tuscola
Parts:
Dayton Township
Fremont Township
Kingston Township
Koylton Township
Morenci
County—Lenawee
Parts:
Fairfield Township
Medina Township
Morenci City
Ogden Township
Riga Township
Seneca Township
Nolan/State Fair/Davison/Pershing
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5064–5080
C.T. 5102–5106
Northport/Suttons Bay
County—Leelanau
Parts:
Leelanau Township
Leland Township
Suttons Bay Township
Otter Lake
County—Genesee
Parts:
Forest Township
County—Lapeer
Parts:
Deerfield Township
Marathon Township
North Branch Township
Rich Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Tuscola
Parts:
Arbela Township
Millington Township
Watertown Township
Pigeon
County—Huron
Parts:
Brookfield Township
Caseville Township
Fairhaven Township
McKinley Township
Sebewaing Township
Winsor Township
County—Tuscola
Parts:
Columbia Township
Port Austin
County—Huron
Parts:
Dwight Township
Gore Township
Hume Township
Huron Township
Lake Township
Pointe Aux Barques Township
Port Austin Township
Southwest Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5208–5209
C.T. 5211–5214
C.T. 5231–5238
C.T. 5240–5243
C.T. 5245
C.T. 5247–5248
Sterling/Standish
County—Arenac
County—Bay
Parts:
Gibson Township
Mount Forest Township
Pinconning City
Pinconning Township
Tireman/Chadsey
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5221–5222
C.T. 5251–5258
C.T. 5260–5265
C.T. 5335–5337
C.T. 5345–5346
Western Marquette
County—Marquette
Parts:
Champion Township
Humboldt Township
Michigamme Township
Republic Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Huron Potawatomi, Inc.
County—Kalamazoo
Parts:
Wakeshma Twp
Little River Band Of Ottawa Indians, Inc
County—Manistee
Parts:
American Indians

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Little Traverse Bay Band Of Odawa Indian
County—Emmet
Parts:
American Indians
Low Inc—Alger Co
County—Alger
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Alpena Co
County—Alpena
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Branch Co
County—Branch
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cadillac/Buckley
County—Grand Traverse
Parts:
Fife Lake Twp
Grant Twp
Mayfield Twp
Paradise Twp
County—Wexford
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Calhoun Co
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Caro/Cass City
County—Tuscola
Parts:
Almer Twp
Elkland Twp
Ellington Twp
Elmwood Twp
Indianfields Twp
Novesta Twp
Wells Twp
Low Inc—Cheboygan Co
County—Cheboygan
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Chippewa Co
County—Chippewa
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Crawford Co
County—Crawford
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Deckerville/Sandusky
County—Sanilac
Parts:
Argyle Twp
Austin Twp
Bridgehampton Twp
Custer Twp
Delaware Twp
Elmer Twp
Evergreen Twp
Forester Twp
Greenleaf Twp
Marion Twp
Minden Twp
Moore Twp
Sandusky City
Sanilac Twp
Watertown Twp
Wheatland Twp
Low Inc—Delta Co
County—Delta
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dickinson Co
County—Dickinson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—E Marinette/S Menominee (MI/WI
County—Menominee
Parts:
Ingallston Twp
Mellen Twp
Menominee Twp
Menominee City
Low Inc—East Jordan
County—Antrim
Parts:
Banks Twp
Central Lake Twp
Echo Twp
Forest Home Twp
Jordan Twp
Torch Lake Twp
Warner Twp
County—Charlevoix
Parts:
Boyne Valley Twp
Boyne City City
Chandler Twp
East Jordan City
Evangeline Twp
Eveline Twp
Hudson Twp
Marion Twp
Melrose Twp
Norwood Twp
South Arm Twp
Wilson Twp
Low Inc—Eastside Saginaw
County—Saginaw
Parts:
C.T. 1–11
C.T. 110
Low Inc—Ewen
County—Gogebic
Parts:
Marenisco Twp
Watersmeet Twp
County—Ontonagon
Parts:
Bergland Twp
Haight Twp
Interior Twp
Matchwood Twp
McMillan Twp
Rockland Twp
Stannard Twp
Low Inc—Flint
County—Genesee
Parts:
C.T. 1–11
C.T. 14–15
C.T. 17–29
C.T. 32
C.T. 34
C.T. 37–38
C.T. 103.02
C.T. 103.04
Low Inc—Gladwin Co
County—Gladwin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Grand Rapids
County—Kent
Parts:
C.T. 4
C.T. 8–10
C.T. 11.01–11.02
C.T. 12–15
C.T. 19–31
C.T. 36–40
Low Inc—Gratiot Co
County—Gratiot
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hamtramck
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5520–5526
Low Inc—Harbor Beach/Bad Axe
County—Huron
Parts:
Bad Axe City
Bingham Twp
Bloomfield Twp
Chandler Twp
Colfax Twp
Grant Twp
Harbor Beach City
Lincoln Twp
Meade Twp
Oliver Twp
Paris Twp
Rubicon Twp
Sand Beach Twp
Sheridan Twp
Sherman Twp
Sigel Twp
Verona Twp
Low Inc—Houghton Co
County—Houghton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Iosco Co
County—Iosco
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Iron Co
County—Iron
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Ironwood/Hurley (MI/WI)
County—Gogebic
Parts:
Bessemer City
Bessemer Twp
Erwin Twp
Ironwood City
Ironwood Twp
Wakefield City
Wakefield Twp
Low Inc—Isabella Co
County—Isabella
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Luce Co
County—Luce
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mackinac Co
County—Mackinac
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Montcalm Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Montcalm
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Muskegon City
County—Muskegon
Parts:
C.T. 1–5
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7
C.T. 7.99–8.00
C.T. 11–13
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 19.02
C.T. 21
C.T. 26.01
Low Inc—N Kalamazoo City
County—Kalamazoo
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3
C.T. 4.02
C.T. 5–6
C.T. 8.01–8.02
C.T. 9–10
Low Inc—Newaygo Co
County—Newaygo
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—North Berrien Co
County—Berrien
Parts:
Bainbridge Twp
Benton Charter Twp
Benton Harbor City
Coloma City
Coloma Twp
Hagar Twp
Pipestone Twp
Sodus Twp
Watervliet Twp
Watervliet City
Low Inc—North Ontonagon
County—Ontonagon
Parts:
Bohemia Twp
Carp Lake Twp
Greenland Twp
Ontonagon Twp
Low Inc—Northern Menominee
County—Menominee
Parts:
Cedarville Twp
Daggett Twp
Faithorn Twp
Gourley Twp
Harris Twp
Holmes Twp
Lake Twp
Meyer Twp
Nadeau Twp
Spalding Twp
Stephenson City
Stephenson Twp
Low Inc—Northern Muskegon Co
County—Muskegon
Parts:
Blue Lake Twp
Cedar Creek Twp
Dalton Twp
Fruitland Twp
Holton Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Montague Twp
Montague City
White River Twp
Whitehall Twp
Whitehall City
Low Inc—Ogemaw Co
County—Ogemaw
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Otsego Co
County—Otsego
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Schoolcraft Co
County—Schoolcraft
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—South Haven/Bangor
County—Allegan
Parts:
Casco Twp
Ganges Twp
County—Van Buren
Parts:
Arlington Twp
Bangor City
Bangor Twp
Columbia Twp
Covert Twp
Geneva Twp
Lawrence Twp
South Haven City
South Haven Twp
Low Inc—St Clair Co
County—St Clair
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—St. Joseph Co
County—St Joseph
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Ionia Co
County—Ionia
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Mason Co
County—Mason
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
MFW—Ottawa Co
County—Ottawa
Parts:
MFW
MSFW—N Kent Co
County—Kent
Parts:
Algoma Twp
Cedar Springs City
Courtland Twp
Nelson Twp
Oakfield Twp
Rockford City
Solon Twp
Sparta Twp
Spencer Twp
Tyrone Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Michigan
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Alger Max Fac
County—Alger
Brooks Regional Fac
County—Muskegon
Carson City Regional Fac
County—Montcalm
Chippewa Cty Corr Inst
County—Chippewa
Crane Women's Fac
County—Branch
Egeler Corr Fac
County—Jackson
Gus Harrison Regional Fac
County—Lenawee
Handlon Mi Training Unit
County—Ionia
Ionia Maximum Fac
County—Ionia
Ionia Temporary Fac
County—Ionia
Jackson Cotton Facility
County—Jackson
Macomb Corr Fac
County—Macomb
Marquette Branch Prs
County—Marquette
Michigan Hospital & Medical Centers
County—Wayne
Michigan Ref
County—Ionia
Mid Michigan Temporary Fac
County—Gratiot
Muskegon Corr Fac
County—Muskegon
Muskegon Temporary Fac
County—Muskegon
Reception And Guidance Ctr
County—Jackson
Ryan Regional Fac
County—Wayne
Saginaw Cooperative Hosp Inc
County—Saginaw
Saginaw Corr Fac
County—Saginaw
State Prs S Michigan—S Complex
County—Jackson
State Prs S Michigan—C Complex
County—Jackson
Thumb Regional Fac
County—Lapeer

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
County Listing

County Name

*Beltrami
Service Area: Northome/Blackduck
*Blue Earth
Service Area: Wells
*Cass
Clay
Service Area: Barnesville
Service Area: Hawley
*Clearwater
*Cook
*Faribault
Service Area: Wells
*Freeborn
Service Area: Wells
*Grant
Service Area: Elbow Lake/Dalton
Hennepin

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Near North—Minneapolis
Population Group: Hmlss—Inner City Minneapolis
Population Group: Low Inc—NE Minneapolis
Population Group: Low Inc—N Minneapolis
*Itasca
Service Area: Bigfork
Service Area: Northome/Blackduck
*Jackson
Service Area: Jackson/Lakefield
*Kanabec
*Kittson
*Koochiching
Service Area: Northome/Blackduck
*Lake
Service Area: Silver Bay
*Lincoln
Service Area: Tyler/Lake Benton
*Lyon
Service Area: Tyler/Lake Benton
*Mahnomen
*Marshall
*Murray
*Norman
Olmsted
Facility: Federal Medical Ctr—Rochester
*Otter Tail
Service Area: East Otter Tail County
Service Area: Elbow Lake/Dalton
Service Area: Pelican Rapids
*Pine
Population Group: Low Inc—Pine Co
*Pipestone
Service Area: Tyler/Lake Benton
Population Group: Low Inc—Pipestone
Polk
Ramsey
Population Group: Low Inc—Central-Midway
Population Group: Low Inc—Riverview/Dayton's Bluff
*Rock
Population Group: Low Inc—Pipestone
*Sibley
St Louis
Service Area: Cook/Orr
Service Area: Floodwood
Stearns
Population Group: Low Inc—Belgrade/Brooten
Population Group: Low Inc—Albany
*Todd
Population Group: Low Inc—Central-Midway
*Wadena
Population Group: Low Inc—N Wadena Co
*Waseca
Service Area: Wells
*Wilkin
Service Area: Barnesville

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Barnesville
County—Clay
Parts:
Alliance Township
Barnesville Township
Barnesville City
Comstock City
Elkton Township
Holy Cross Township
Humboldt Township
Parke Township
Skree Township
Tansem Township
County—Wilkin
Parts:
Atherton Township
Deerhorn Township
Manston Township
Mitchell Township
Prairie View Township
Rothsay City
Tanberg Township
Wolverton City
Bigfork
County—Itasca
Parts:
Bearville Township
Bigfork City
Bigfork Township
Carpenter Township
Effie Unorg.
Effie City
Grattan Township
Kinghurst Township
Lake Jessie Township
Liberty Township
Marcell Township
Northeast Itasca Unorg.
Pomroy Township
Stokes Township
Wirt Township
Cook/Orr
County—St Louis
Parts:
C.T. 151–152
C.T. 155
East Otter Tail County
County—Otter Tail
Parts:
Amor Township
Battle Lake City
Blowers Township
Bluffton City
Bluffton Township
Butler Township
Clitherall City
Clitherall Township
Compton Township
Corliss Township
Dead Lake Township
Deer Creek City
Deer Creek Township
Dent City
Eagle Lake Township
Eastern Township
Edna Township
Effington Township
Elmo Township
Everts Township
Folden Township
Girard Township
Gorman Township
Henning City
Henning Township
Hobart Township
Homestead Township
Inman Township
Leaf Lake Township
Leaf Mountain Township
New York Mills City
Newton Township
Nidaros Township
Oak Valley Township
Otter Tail Township
Ottertail City
Otto Township
Paddock Township
Parkers Prairie City
Parkers Prairie Township
Perham City
Perham Township
Pine Lake Township
Richville City
Rush Lake Township
Urbank City
Vining City
Wadena City
Woodside Township
Elbow Lake/Dalton
County—Grant
County—Otter Tail
Parts:
Aastad Township
Dalton City
St. Olaf Township
Tumuli Township
Western Township
Floodwood
County—St Louis
Parts:
Arrowhead Township
Cedar Valley Township
Cotton Township
Elmer Township
Fine Lakes Township
Floodwood City
Floodwood Township
Halden Township
Kelsey Township
Meadowlands City
Meadowlands Township
Ness Township
Northland Township
Payne Township
Potshot Lake Unorg.
Prairie Lake Township
Toivola Township
Van Buren Township
Hawley
County—Clay
Parts:
Cromwell Township
Eglon Township
Felton Township
Felton City
Flowing Township
Goose Prairie Township
Hagen Township
Hawley City
Hawley Township
Highland Grove Township
Hitterdal City
Keene Township
Riverton Township
Spring Prairie Township
Ulen City
Ulen Township
Jackson/Lakefield
County—Jackson
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Alpha City
Belmont Township
Des Moines Township
Enterprise Township
Heron Lake Township
Hunter Township
Jackson City
Lakefield City
Middletown Township
Minneota Township
Okabena City
Petersburg Township
Rost Township
West Heron Lake Township
Wisconsin Township
Near North—Minneapolis
County—Hennepin
Parts:
C.T. 20–23
C.T. 27–29
C.T. 32–35
C.T. 41–42
Northome/Blackduck
County—Beltrami
Parts:
Battle Township
Blackduck City
Cormant Township
Durand Township
Funkley City
Hagali Township
Hines Township
Hornet Township
Kelliher City
Kelliher Township
Langor Township
Nebish Township
O'brien Township
Quiring Township
Shooks Township
Shotley Brook Unorg.
Shotley Township
Summit Township
Waskish Township
Woodrow Township
County—Itasca
Parts:
Alvwood Township
Ardenhurst Township
Moose Park Township
Nore Township
Third River Township
County—Koochiching
Parts:
Mizpah City
Northome City
Northome Unorg.
Northwest Koochiching Unorg.
Pelican Rapids
County—Otter Tail
Parts:
Candor Township
Dora Township
Dunn Township
Erhard City
Erhards Grove Township
Lida Township
Maplewood Township
Norwegian Grove Township
Pelican Rapids City
Pelican Township
Scambler Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Star Lake Township
Trondhjem Township
Vergas City
Silver Bay
County—Lake
Parts:
Beaver Bay City
Beaver Bay Township
Crystal Bay Township
Lake No. 1 Unorg.
Silver Bay City
Tyler/Lake Benton
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Arco City
Diamond Lake Township
Hope Township
Lake Benton City
Lake Benton Township
Lake Stay Township
Marshfield Township
Tyler City
County—Lyon
Parts:
Coon Creek Township
Florence City
Shelburne Township
County—Pipestone
Parts:
Aetna Township
Fountain Prairie Township
Ruthton City
Wells
County—Blue Earth
Parts:
Danville Township
Mapleton Township
Mapleton City
County—Faribault
Parts:
Bricelyn City
Brush Creek Township
Clark Township
Dunbar Township
Easton City
Foster Township
Kiester City
Kiester Township
Lura Township
Minnesota Lake City
Minnesota Lake Township
Seely Township
Walnut Lake Township
Walters City
Wells City
County—Freeborn
Parts:
Alden Township
Alden City
Carlston Township
Conger City
Emmons City
Freeborn Township
Freeborn City
Hartland Township
Hartland City
Manchester Township
Manchester City
Mansfield Township
Nunda Township
Pickerel Lake Township
Twin Lakes City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Waseca
Parts:
Byron Township
New Richland Township
New Richland City
Vivian Township
Waldorf City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Hmlss—Inner City Minneapolis
County—Hennepin
Parts:
C.T. 44–48
C.T. 53–54
C.T. 57–63
C.T. 71–74
C.T. 78–79
Low Inc—Albany
County—Stearns
Parts:
Albany City
Albany Twp
Avon City
Avon Twp
Holding Twp
Holdingford City
Krain Twp
St Anthony City
Low Inc—Belgrade/Brooten
County—Stearns
Parts:
Belgrade City
Brooten City
Crow Lake Twp
Crow River Twp
Elrosa City
North Fork Twp
Low Inc—Central-Midway
County—Ramsey
Parts:
C.T. 301–302
C.T. 319–326
County—Todd
Parts:
C.T. 327
C.T. 334–340
C.T. 353–355
Low Inc—N Minneapolis
County—Hennepin
Parts:
C.T. 7–10
C.T. 13–16
Low Inc—N Wadena Co
County—Wadena
Parts:
Blueberry Twp
Huntersville Twp
Meadow Twp
Menahga City
Nimrod City
Orton Twp
Red Eye Twp
Sebeka City
Shell River Twp
Low Inc—NE Minneapolis
County—Hennepin
Parts:
C.T. 5
C.T. 11

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 17–19
C.T. 24–26
C.T. 30–31
C.T. 36
Low Inc—Pine Co
County—Pine
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Pipestone
County—Pipestone
Parts:
Burke Twp
Eden Twp
Edgerton Twp
Elmer Twp
Grange Twp
Gray Twp
Hatfield City
Holland City
Ihlen City
Jasper City
Osborne Twp
Pipestone City
Rock Twp
Sweet Twp
Trosky City
Troy Twp
Woodstock City
County—Rock
Parts:
Battle Plain Twp
Denver Twp
Hardwick City
Jasper City
Rose Dell Twp
Low Inc—Riverview/Dayton's Bluff
County—Ramsey
Parts:
C.T. 306.01
C.T. 307.04
C.T. 309–311
C.T. 315–317
C.T. 330–331
C.T. 342
C.T. 344–345
C.T. 346.01–346.02
C.T. 361
C.T. 370–372

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Minnesota
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Federal Medical Ctr—Rochester
County—Olmsted

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Mississippi
County Listing

County Name

*Amite
Service Area: Centreville/Liberty
*Benton
*Bolivar
Facility: Delta Health Center
*Calhoun
*Carroll
*Chickasaw
*Choctaw
*Claiborne
*Clarke
*Clay

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Mississippi
County Listing

County Name

*Coahoma
Population Group: Low Inc—Coahoma Co
*Copiah
*Covington
De Soto
Forrest
Service Area: East Leaf River
*George
*Greene
Hancock
Harrison
Population Group: Low Inc—Harrison Co
Hinds
Service Area: Jackson Inner-City
Service Area: Utica
Service Area: West Jackson Inner City
*Holmes
*Humphreys
*Issaquena
Service Area: Issaquena/Sharkey
*Itawamba
*Jasper
*Jefferson
*Jefferson Davis
*Jones
Population Group: Low Inc—Jones
*Kemper
Lamar
*Lauderdale
Population Group: Low Inc—Lauderdale Co
*Lawrence
*Leake
Madison
*Marion
*Marshall
*Monroe
Population Group: Low Inc—Monroe Co
*Montgomery
*Neshoba
*Newton
*Noxubee
*Panola
*Pearl River
*Perry
*Prentiss
*Quitman
Rankin
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastern Rankin
Population Group: Low Inc—SW Rankin
*Scott
*Sharkey
Service Area: Issaquena/Sharkey
*Smith
*Sunflower
*Tallahatchie
*Tippah
*Tunica
*Walthall
*Warren
Population Group: Low Inc—Warren Co
*Webster
*Wilkinson
Service Area: Centreville/Liberty
*Winston
*Yalobusha
*Yazoo

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Mississippi
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Centreville/Liberty
County—Amite
County—Wilkinson
East Leaf River
County—Forrest
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 4–6
C.T. 105
Issaquena/Sharkey
County—Issaquena
County—Sharkey
Jackson Inner-City
County—Hinds
Parts:
C.T. 8–11
C.T. 17–20
C.T. 27
C.T. 39
C.T. 103.01
Utica
County—Hinds
Parts:
C.T. 113
West Jackson Inner City
County—Hinds
Parts:
C.T. 24–26
C.T. 30–32

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Mississippi
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Coahoma Co
County—Coahoma
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Eastern Rankin
County—Rankin
Parts:
C.T. 201
C.T. 208–209
Low Inc—Harrison Co
County—Harrison
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jones
County—Jones
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lauderdale Co
County—Lauderdale
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Monroe Co
County—Monroe
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—SW Rankin
County—Rankin
Parts:
C.T. 204.01–204.02
C.T. 210
Low Inc—Warren Co
County—Warren
Parts:
Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Mississippi
Facility Listing

Facility Name
Delta Health Center
County—Bolivar

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Missouri
County Listing

County Name
Andrew
*Barry
Population Group: Low Inc—Barry Co
*Bates
*Benton
*Bollinger
*Caldwell
*Cape Girardeau
Population Group: Low Inc—Cape Girardeau
*Carroll
*Carter
Cass
Christian
Population Group: Low Inc—Christian Co
*Clark
*Crawford
Population Group: Low Inc—Crawford Co
*Dade
*Dallas
*Daviess
*Douglas
*Dunklin
Greene
Population Group: Low Inc—Greene Co
*Harrison
*Hickory
*Holt
*Howell
Population Group: Poverty—Howell Co
Jackson
Population Group: Pov Pop—North Kansas City
Jasper
Population Group: Low Inc—Jasper Co
Jefferson
Service Area: Festus/De Soto
*Johnson
*Knox
*Laclede
Population Group: Low Inc—Laclede Co
Lafayette
*Lewis
Lincoln
*Madison
Population Group: Low Inc—Madison Co
*Maries
*McDonald
*Mercer
*Miller
*New Madrid
Newton
Population Group: Low Inc—Newton Co
*Osage
*Ozark
*Perry
*Phelps
Population Group: Low Inc—Phelps Co
*Pulaski
*Putnam
Ray
*Scotland
*Scott
Population Group: Low Inc—Scott Co
*Shannon

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Missouri
County Listing

County Name
St Louis
Population Group: Low Inc—Kinlock/Berkeley
St Louis City (Indep)
Population Group: Low Inc—Southeast St Louis
Population Group: Low Inc—East Central St Louis
Population Group: Low Inc—West Central St Louis
Population Group: Low Inc—N St Louis City
*Stoddard
*Stone
*Sullivan
*Texas
*Wayne
Webster
*Worth
*Wright

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Missouri
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Festus/De Soto
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Big River Township
Central Township
High Ridge Township
Imperial Township
Joachim Township
Meramec Township
Plattin Township
River View Township
Rock Township
Valle Township
Windsor Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Missouri
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Inc—Barry Co
County—Barry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cape Girardeau
County—Cape Girardeau
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Christian Co
County—Christian
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Crawford Co
County—Crawford
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—East Central St Louis
County—St Louis City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 1171–1172
C.T. 1181
C.T. 1184
C.T. 1186
C.T. 1211
C.T. 1214
C.T. 1222
C.T. 1255–1256
Low Inc—Greene Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Missouri
Population Group Listing

Population Group
County—Greene
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jasper Co
County—Jasper
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Kinlock/Berkeley
County—St Louis
Parts:
C.T. 2127–2129
C.T. 2134
Low Inc—Laclede Co
County—Laclede
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Madison Co
County—Madison
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—N St Louis City
County—St Louis City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 1053–1055
C.T. 1061–1067
C.T. 1071–1077
C.T. 1081–1082
C.T. 1085
C.T. 1096–1097
C.T. 1101–1105
C.T. 1111–1115
C.T. 1122–1123
C.T. 1192
C.T. 1201–1203
C.T. 1211–1213
C.T. 1257
C.T. 1266–1267
Low Inc—Newton Co
County—Newton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Phelps Co
County—Phelps
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Scott Co
County—Scott
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Southeast St Louis
County—St Louis City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 1014–1015
C.T. 1018
C.T. 1018.99
C.T. 1155–1157
C.T. 1164–1165
C.T. 1173–1174
C.T. 1185
C.T. 1221
C.T. 1224
C.T. 1231–1235
C.T. 1241–1243
C.T. 1246
Low Inc—West Central St Louis
County—St Louis City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 1051.98
C.T. 1052
C.T. 1121
C.T. 1124
C.T. 1191–1193

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Missouri
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Pov Pop—North Kansas City
County—Jackson
Parts:
C.T. 2–4
C.T. 5.01
C.T. 6–27
C.T. 28.01–28.02
C.T. 29–34
C.T. 35.01–35.02
C.T. 36.01–36.02
C.T. 37–45
C.T. 59.01
Poverty—Howell Co
County—Howell
Parts:
Poverty

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Montana
County Listing

County Name

*Big Horn
*Blaine
Service Area: Havre/Chinook
*Broadwater
*Carbon
Service Area: Bridger
*Carter
Service Area: Fallon
*Chouteau
Service Area: Big Sandy
Service Area: Fort Benton
*Custer
Service Area: Fallon
*Daniels
*Fallon
Service Area: Fallon
*Gallatin
Service Area: Ennis/W. Yellowstone
Service Area: Three Forks/Manhattan
*Garfield
*Glacier
*Granite
*Hill
Service Area: Havre/Chinook
*Judith Basin
*Lewis And Clark
Service Area: Choteau
Service Area: Lincoln
*Lincoln
Service Area: Eureka
*Madison
Service Area: Ennis/W. Yellowstone
*McCone
*Mineral
Service Area: Thompson Falls
*Missoula
Service Area: Seely Lake
*Musselshell
*Park
*Petroleum
*Phillips
*Pondera
Service Area: Conrad
*Powder River
*Powell (g)
Facility: Montana State Prs
*Prairie
*Richland
Service Area: Culbertson
*Roosevelt
Service Area: Culbertson

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Montana
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Poplar/Wolf Point
*Rosebud
Service Area: Forsyth/Colstrip
*Sanders
Service Area: Hot Springs
Service Area: Thompson Falls
*Silver Bow
Population Group: Low Inc—Silver Bow Co
*Sweet Grass
*Teton
Service Area: Choteau
Service Area: Conrad
*Toole
Service Area: Sunburst
*Treasure
Service Area: Forsyth/Colstrip
*Valley
*Wibaux
Service Area: Fallon
*Yellowstone Park
Service Area: Gardiner/Yellowstone (MT/WY)

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Montana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Big Sandy
County—Chouteau
Parts:
Big Sandy Division
Bridger
County—Carbon
Parts:
Carbon East Division
Fromberg-Bridger Division
Joliet Division
Choteau
County—Lewis And Clark
Parts:
Augusta Division
County—Teton
Parts:
Choteau Division
Fairfield Division
Conrad
County—Pondera
County—Teton
Parts:
Dutton-Power Division
Dutton-Power Division
Culbertson
County—Richland
Parts:
Fairview Division
County—Roosevelt
Parts:
East Roosevelt Division
Ennis/W. Yellowstone
County—Gallatin
Parts:
West Yellowstone Division
County—Madison
Parts:
Harrison Division
Madison Valley Division
Virginia City Division
Eureka
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Eureka Division
Fallon

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Montana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Carter
County—Custer
Parts:
Shirley-Ismay Division
County—Carter
County—Fallon
County—Wibaux
Forsyth/Colstrip
County—Rosebud
County—Treasure
Fort Benton
County—Chouteau
Parts:
Fort Benton Division
Geraldine Division
Gardiner/Yellowstone (MT/WY)
County—Yellowstone Park
Parts:
Yellowstone National Park Divisi
Havre/Chinook
County—Blaine
County—Hill
Hot Springs
County—Sanders
Parts:
Flathead Division
Lincoln
County—Lewis And Clark
Parts:
Lincoln Division
Poplar/Wolf Point
County—Roosevelt
Parts:
Fort Peck Reservation Division
Seely Lake
County—Missoula
Parts:
Seeley Lake-Blackfoot Valley Div
Sunburst
County—Toole
Parts:
Sunburst Division
Thompson Falls
County—Mineral
Parts:
West End Division
County—Sanders
Parts:
Thompson Falls-West End Division
Three Forks/Manhattan
County—Gallatin
Parts:
Manhattan Division
Three Forks Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Montana
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Silver Bow Co
County—Silver Bow
Parts:
Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Montana
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Montana State Prs
County—Powell

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
County Listing

County Name

*Antelope
Service Area: Antelope
*Arthur
*Blaine
*Boone
Service Area: Albion
*Box Butte
Population Group: Low Inc—Box Butte Co
*Brown
Service Area: North Central
*Burt
Service Area: Oakland
Service Area: Onawa (IA/NE)
*Cedar
Service Area: Cedar/Dixon
*Cherry
*Cuming
Service Area: West Point
*Custer
Service Area: Arnold
*Dawes
Service Area: Crawford
*Deuel
Service Area: Julesburg (CO/NE)
*Dixon
Service Area: Cedar/Dixon
*Dodge
Service Area: West Point
*Dundy
*Frontier
Service Area: Cambridge
Service Area: Curtis
*Furnas
Service Area: Cambridge
*Garden
*Gosper
Service Area: Cambridge
*Greeley
Service Area: Albion
Service Area: Howard/St Paul
*Hayes
Service Area: Hayes/Hitchcock
*Hitchcock
Service Area: Hayes/Hitchcock
*Howard
Service Area: Howard/St Paul
*Johnson
*Kearney
*Keya Paha
Service Area: North Central
*Kimball
Lancaster
Facility: Lancaster Dept Of Corr
*Lincoln
Service Area: Arnold
*Logan
Service Area: Arnold
Service Area: Stapleton No 1
*Madison
Service Area: Albion
Service Area: Antelope
*Morrill
*MCPherson
*Nance
*Platte
Service Area: Albion
*Red Willow
Service Area: Cambridge
*Richardson
Population Group: Low Inc—Richardson Co
*Rock

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: North Central
*Saunders
Service Area: Wahoo
*Sherman
*Sioux
Service Area: Crawford
*Stanton
*Thayer
*Thomas
*Thurston
Population Group: Winnebago Indian Res

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Albion
County—Boone
County—Greeley
Parts:
Spalding Precinct
County—Boone
County—Madison
Parts:
Newman Grove City
Shell Creek Precinct
County—Boone
County—Platte
Parts:
St. Bernard Township
Walker Township
Antelope
County—Antelope
County—Madison
Parts:
Jefferson Precinct
Tilden City
Arnold
County—Custer
Parts:
Arnold Township
Cliff Township
Custer Township
Delight Township
Elim Township
Grant Township
Hayes Township
Triumph Township
Wayne Township
Wood River Township
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Antelope Precinct
Garfield Precinct
County—Logan
Parts:
Gandy Precinct
Logan Precinct
Stapleton No. 2 Precinct
Cambridge
County—Frontier
Parts:
Garfield Precinct
Grant Precinct
Knowles Precinct
County—Furnas
County—Gosper
Parts:
Elk Creek Precinct
Highland Precinct
Union Precinct
West Muddy Precinct

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Furnas
County—Red Willow
Parts:
Alliance Precinct
Beaver Precinct
East Valley Precinct
Indianola Precinct
Lebanon Precinct
Missouri Ridge Precinct
North Valley Precinct
Tyrone Precinct
Cedar/Dixon
County—Cedar
County—Dixon
Parts:
Clark Township
Concord Township
Daily Township
Galena Township
Hooker Township
Newcastle Township
Otter Creek Township
Ponca City
Ponca Township
Silver Creek Township
Spring Bank Township
Crawford
County—Dawes
Parts:
Precinct No. 10
Precinct No. 11
Precinct No. 7
Precinct No. 9
County—Sioux
Curtis
County—Frontier
Parts:
Allen Precinct
Clearwater Precinct
Curtis City
Curtis Precinct
Earl Precinct
Fairview Precinct
Harrison Precinct
Horrell Precinct
Laird Precinct
Laws Precinct
Lincoln Precinct
Logan Precinct
Moorefield Precinct
Muddy Precinct
North Star Precinct
Orafino Precinct
Osborn Precinct
Plum Creek Precinct
Russell Precinct
Sheridan Precinct
Sherman Precinct
Stockville Precinct
Weaver Precinct
Zimmer Precinct
Hayes/Hitchcock
County—Hayes
County—Hitchcock
Howard/St Paul
County—Greeley
Parts:
Greeley Precinct
Scotia Precinct
Wolbach No. 1 Precinct
Wolbach No. 2 Precinct
County—Howard

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Julesburg (CO/NE)
County—Deuel
North Central
County—Brown
County—Keya Paha
County—Rock
Oakland
County—Burt
Parts:
Arizona Township
Bell Creek Township
Craig Township
Everett Township
Logan Township
Oakland Township
Oakland City
Pershing Township
Summit Township
Tekamah City
Onawa (IA/NE)
County—Burt
Parts:
Decatur Twp
Quinnebaugh Twp
Riverside Twp
Silver Creek Twp
Stapleton No 1
County—Logan
Parts:
Stapleton No. 1 Precinct
Wahoo
County—Saunders
Parts:
Ashland Township
Center Township
Chapman Township
Chester Township
Clear Creek Township
Douglas Township
Elk Township
Green Township
Marble Township
Marietta Township
Mariposa Township
Newman Township
Oak Creek Township
Richland Township
Rock Creek Township
South Cedar Township
Stocking Township
Union Township
Wahoo City
Wahoo Township
West Point
County—Cuming
Parts:
Beemer Township
Bismarck Township
Blaine Township
Cuming Township
Elkhorn Township
Garfield Township
Grant Township
Lincoln Township
Logan Township
Monterey Township
Neligh Township
Sherman Township
St. Charles Township
West Point City
Wisner Township
Wisner City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Dodge
Parts:
Cuming Township
Pebble Township
Scribner City
Webster Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Box Butte Co
County—Box Butte
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Richardson Co
County—Richardson
Parts:
Low Income
Winnebago Indian Res
County—Thurston
Parts:
Omaha Indian Res

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nebraska
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Lancaster Dept Of Corr
County—Lancaster

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nevada
County Listing

County Name

Carson City (Indep)
Population Group: Low Inc—E Carson City (Indep)
Population Group: Native Am-Washoe Indian Tribe
Facility: Nv St Corr Fac (North)
*Churchill
Population Group: Native Am-Fallon Reservation And Colony
Clark
Service Area: Indian Springs
Service Area: Laughlin
Service Area: Moapa Valley
Service Area: N Central Las Vegas
Service Area: N.E. Las Vegas
Service Area: Virgin Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—City Of Henderson
Facility: Nv St Corr Fac (South)
*Douglas
Population Group: Native Am-Washoe Indian Tribe
*Elko
*Esmerelda
*Eureka
*Humboldt
Population Group: Native Am-Winnemucca Colony
Population Group: Native Am-Fort Mcdermitt Reservation
*Lander
*Lincoln
*Lyon
*Mineral
*Nye
*Pershing
*Storey

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nevada
County Listing

County Name

Washoe
Service Area: Gerlach
Service Area: Wadsworth
Population Group: Low Inc—Reno/Sparks
*White Pine (g)
Facility: Nv St Corr Fac (East)

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nevada
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Gerlach
County—Washoe
Parts:
Gerlach Division
Indian Springs
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 58.98
Laughlin
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 57
Moapa Valley
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 59
N Central Las Vegas
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 2.01
C.T. 3.01–3.02
C.T. 4
C.T. 7
C.T. 34.01
C.T. 35
C.T. 36.02
C.T. 37–38
C.T. 39.97–39.98
C.T. 40–46
N.E. Las Vegas
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 47.02–47.06
C.T. 48.97–48.98
Virgin Valley
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 56.02–56.03
Wadsworth
County—Washoe
Parts:
Pyramid Lake Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nevada
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—City Of Henderson
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 52
C.T. 54.02
Low Inc—E Carson City (Indep)
County—Carson City (Indep)
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 5–6
C.T. 9–10
Low Inc—Reno/Sparks
County—Washoe
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nevada
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T 18.00
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 7
C.T. 9
C.T. 10.04
C.T. 14–15
C.T. 17
C.T. 19
C.T. 21.01
C.T. 22.03–22.04
C.T. 28
C.T. 33.01
Native Am-Fallon Reservation And Colony
County—Churchill
Parts:
Fallon Colony
Fallon Reservation
Native Am-Fort Mcdermitt Reservation
County—Humboldt
Parts:
Fort Mcdermitt Res
Native Am-Washoe Indian Tribe
County—Douglas
Parts:
Dresslerville Ranch
Washoe Ranch
County—Carson City (Indep)
Parts:
Carson Colony
Native Am-Winnemucca Colony
County—Humboldt
Parts:
Winnemucca Colony

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Nevada
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Nv St Corr Fac (East)
County—White Pine
Nv St Corr Fac (North)
County—Carson City (Indep)
Nv St Corr Fac (South)
County—Clark

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Hampshire
County Listing

County Name

*Coos
Service Area: Upper Connecticut Valley (NH/VT)
Population Group: Low Inc—Berlin
*Grafton
Service Area: Baker River Valley
Hillsborough
Service Area: Hillsboro/Weare
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Manchester
Population Group: Low Inc—E Nashua
Merrimack
Service Area: Hillsboro/Weare
Rockingham
Service Area: Raymond
*Sullivan
Service Area: Hillsboro/Weare

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Hampshire
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Baker River Valley
County—Grafton
Parts:
Rumney Town
Warren Town
Wentworth Town
Hillsboro/Weare
County—Hillsborough
Parts:
Antrim Town
Deering Town
Hillsborough Town
Weare Town
Windsor Town
County—Merrimack
Parts:
Henniker Town
County—Sullivan
Parts:
Washington Town
Raymond
County—Rockingham
Parts:
Deerfield Town
Epping Town
Fremont Town
Nottingham Town
Raymond Town
Upper Connecticut Valley (NH/VT)
County—Coos
Parts:
Clarksville Town
Colebrook Town
Columbia Town
Dixville Township
Errol Town
Millsfield Township
Pittsburg Town
Stewartstown Town
Stratford Town
Wentworth Location

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Hampshire
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Berlin
County—Coos
Parts:
Berlin City
Cambridge Twp
Dummer Town
Gorham Town
Kilkenny Twp
Milan Town
Randolph Town
Shelburne Town
Stark Town
Success Twp
Low Inc—Central Manchester
County—Hillsborough
Parts:
C.T. 4–5
C.T. 13–16
C.T. 19–20
Low Inc—E Nashua
County—Hillsborough
Parts:
C.T. 105–109

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Jersey
County Listing

County Name

Atlantic
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Atlantic Co
Camden
Population Group: Low Inc—Camden
*Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Cumberland Co
Facility: FCI Fairton
Essex
Service Area: City Of Orange
Service Area: East Orange City
Hudson
Service Area: Jersey City
Mercer
Population Group: Medicaid—Trenton City
Ocean
Population Group: Low Inc—Lakewood
Passaic
Service Area: Downtown Paterson
Service Area: Northside Paterson
Service Area: Passaic
Salem
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—West Salem Co
Union
Facility: INS Medical Facility—Elizabeth

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Jersey
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

City Of Orange
County—Essex
Parts:
C.T. 181–189
Downtown Paterson
County—Passaic
Parts:
C.T. 1811–1815
C.T. 1816.01–1816.02
C.T. 1817.01–1817.02
C.T. 1818
C.T. 1820
C.T. 1822–1823
C.T. 1829
East Orange City
County—Essex
Parts:
East Orange City
Jersey City
County—Hudson
Parts:
C.T. 1–8
C.T. 9.01–9.02
C.T. 10–11
C.T. 12.01–12.02
C.T. 13–15
C.T. 16.01–16.02
C.T. 17–40
C.T. 41.01–41.02
C.T. 42–56
C.T. 58.01–58.02
C.T. 59–63
Northside Paterson
County—Passaic
Parts:
C.T. 1802–1809
Passaic
County—Passaic
Parts:
C.T. 1752–1755
C.T. 1758–1759

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Jersey
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Camden
County—Camden
Parts:
C.T. 6001–6020
Low Inc—Lakewood
County—Ocean
Parts:
Lakewood Town
Low Inc/MFW—Atlantic Co
County—Atlantic
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Cumberland Co
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low-Income/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—West Salem Co
County—Salem
Parts:
C.T. 202–206
C.T. 213–217
C.T. 219–221
Medicaid—Trenton City
County—Mercer
Parts:
C.T. 1–17
C.T. 19–24

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Jersey
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Fairton
County—Cumberland
INS Medical Facility—Elizabeth
County—Union

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Mexico
County Listing

County Name

Bernalillo
Service Area: North Valley
Population Group: Low Inc/Hmlss—Albuquerque Central
*Catron
*Chaves
Population Group: Low Income— Chaves Co
*Cibola
Dona Ana
Service Area: Hatch
Service Area: Southern Dona Ana
*Eddy
*Grant
Service Area: Cliff/Gila
*Harding
*Hidalgo
*Lea
Service Area: Jal/Eunice
Service Area: Northern Lea
*Lincoln
Service Area: Carrizozo
Service Area: Corona
*Luna
*McKinley
*Mora
*Otero
Service Area: Cloudcroft
*Rio Arriba
Service Area: Coyote
Service Area: Penasco/Truchas/Embudo

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Mexico
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Rio Chama
Service Area: Tierra Amarilla
Service Area: Western Rio Arriba
*Roosevelt
Population Group: Low Inc—Roosevelt Co
*San Juan
Population Group: Am In—San Juan Co
*San Miguel
Service Area: Pecos/Villanueva
Sandoval
Service Area: Cuba
Service Area: Southern Sandoval
Santa Fe
Service Area: Santa Fe/La Familia
*Sierra
*Socorro
Service Area: Claunch
Service Area: Magdalena
*Taos
Service Area: Penasco/Truchas/Embudo
Service Area: Questo/Arroyo Hondo
*Torrance
*Union
Valencia

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Mexico
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Carrizozo
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Carrizozo CCD
Claunch
County—Socorro
Parts:
Claunch Division
Cliff/Gila
County—Grant
Parts:
Pinos Altos Division
Tyrone Division
Cloudcroft
County—Otero
Parts:
Southeast Otero Division
Corona
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Corona Division
Coyote
County—Rio Arriba
Parts:
Coyote Division
Cuba
County—Sandoval
Parts:
Cuba Division
Jemez Division
Hatch
County—Dona Ana
Parts:
Hatch Division
Jal/Eunice
County—Lea
Parts:
Eunice CCD
Jal CCD
Rio Chama Division
Magdalena
County—Socorro
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Mexico
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Magdalena Division
North Valley
County—Bernalillo
Parts:
C.T. 29
C.T. 30.01–30.02
C.T. 31
C.T. 32.01–32.02
C.T. 35.01–35.02
C.T. 36
Northern Lea
County—Lea
Parts:
Lovington CCD
Tatum CCD
Pecos/Villanueva
County—San Miguel
Parts:
Pecos Division
Villanueva Division
Penasco/Truchas/Embudo
County—Rio Arriba
Parts:
Chimayo Division
Dixon Division
County—Taos
Parts:
Penasco Division
Picuris Division
Questo/Arroyo Hondo
County—Taos
Parts:
Arroyo Hondo Division
Questa Division
Rio Chama
County—Rio Arriba
Parts:
Rio Chama CCD
Santa Fe/La Familia
County—Santa Fe
Parts:
C.T. 3
C.T. 7–9
C.T. 10.02
C.T. 12
C.T. 103.04
Southern Dona Ana
County—Dona Ana
Parts:
Anthony Division
South Dona Ana Division
Southern Sandoval
County—Sandoval
Parts:
C.T. 103–104
C.T. 105.01–105.02
Tierra Amarilla
County—Rio Arriba
Parts:
Tierra Amarilla Division
Vallecitas Division
Western Rio Arriba
County—Rio Arriba
Parts:
Jicarilla Division
Western Rio Arriba Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Mexico
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Am In—San Juan Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New Mexico
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—San Juan
Parts:
American Indian
Low Inc—Roosevelt Co
County—Roosevelt
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/Hmlss—Albuquerque Central
County—Bernalillo
Parts:
C.T. 14–15
C.T. 20–22
C.T. 25–28
Low Income— Chaves Co
County—Chaves
Parts:
Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
County Listing

County Name

Albany
Service Area: Westerlo-Rensselaerville
*Allegany
Service Area: Arcade
Service Area: Letchworth
Service Area: Wellsville
Bronx
Service Area: Hunts Point
Service Area: Morris Heights
Population Group: Low Inc—Mott Haven
Population Group: Low Inc—High Bridge
Population Group: Low Inc—Morrisania
Population Group: Low Inc—Soundview
Population Group: Low Inc—West Farms
Facility: Jacobi Women's Health Center
Facility: NYC Corr. Fac./Rikers Island
Broome
Service Area: Deposit
Service Area: Whitney Point PCAA
*Cattaraugus
Service Area: Arcade
Service Area: Randolph/Ellicottville
Service Area: Tri-County
Cayuga
Service Area: Aurora
Service Area: Cato
Population Group: Low Inc—Auburn PCSa
Population Group: Low Inc—Oswego City
Population Group: Low Income Of Groton/Moravia
Chautauqua
Service Area: Dunkirk-Fredonia
Service Area: Tri-County
Service Area: Westfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Union City (PA/NY)
*Clinton
Service Area: Dannemora
Population Group: Low Inc—Malone
*Columbia
Service Area: Southeast Columbia
*Cortland
Population Group: Low-Income Cortland County
*Delaware
Service Area: Deposit
Service Area: Margaretville/Andes
Population Group: Low Inc—Hobart/Stamford
Dutchess

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Beacon
Erie
Service Area: Black Rock/Riverside
Service Area: Ellicott/Lower Westside
Service Area: P.S. 84
Service Area: Tri-County
Facility: Children's Hosp Pc Clinics—C.T. 67.02
Facility: INS Med Facility-Batavia
*Essex
Service Area: Central Adirondack
Service Area: East Central Essex
Service Area: Warrensburg
Population Group: Low Inc—Schroon-Ticonderoga
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Canton/Potsdam
Population Group: Low Inc—Malone
Facility: Bare Hill Corr Fac
Facility: Chateaugay State Corr. Facility
Facility: FCI Raybrook
*Fulton
Facility: Hale Creek Asatca
*Genesee
Service Area: Batavia/Attica/Bennington
*Greene
*Hamilton
Service Area: Central Adirondack
Service Area: South Hamilton
Service Area: Webb
Herkimer
Service Area: Webb
Service Area: West Winfield
*Jefferson
Service Area: Alexandria Bay
Service Area: Gouverneur
Population Group: Low Inc—Watertown
Kings
Service Area: Bedford-Stuyvesant
Service Area: Bushwick
Service Area: Coney Island
Service Area: Crown Heights—Brooklyn
Service Area: East Ny-Brooklyn
Service Area: Williamsburg
*Lewis
Service Area: Boonville
Service Area: Camden
Livingston
Service Area: Letchworth
Service Area: N. Livingston
Monroe
Service Area: Jordan
Service Area: Westside (Rochester)
New York
Service Area: Alphabet City—Lower East Side
Service Area: Clinton
Service Area: Washington Heights—Inwood
Service Area: West Central Harlem
Population Group: Homeless—Chelsea/Ward's Island
Population Group: Low Inc—Upper West Side
Facility: INS Medical Facility—New York
Niagara
Service Area: Newfane
Oneida
Service Area: Boonville
Service Area: Camden
Service Area: West Winfield

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc —Utica
Population Group: Low Income—Rome PCSa
Onondaga
Population Group: Low Inc—Syracuse
Orange
Population Group: Low Inc—Newburgh City
Population Group: MFW—Goshen/Warwick
Population Group: MFW—Walden
Orleans
Service Area: Oak Orchard
Oswego
Service Area: Pulaski
Population Group: Low Inc—Fulton
Population Group: Low Inc—Oswego City
Queens
Service Area: Long Island City
Service Area: South Jamaica
Population Group: Medicaid—Rockaway
Rockland
Population Group: Low Inc—Monsey/New Square
Saratoga
Service Area: Corinth/Luzerne
Schenectady
Population Group: Low Inc—Hamilton Hill/Mt Pleasant
Schoharie
Service Area: Southern Schoharie
Population Group: Low Inc—Hobart/Stamford
*Seneca
Service Area: South Seneca
*St Lawrence
Service Area: Alexandria Bay
Service Area: Gouverneur
Service Area: Star Lake
Population Group: Low Inc—Canton/Potsdam
Population Group: Low Inc—Massena
Population Group: Low Inc—Ogdensburg
*Steuben
Service Area: Elkland (NY/PA)
*Sullivan
Service Area: Cochecton
Tioga
Service Area: Whitney Point PCAA
*Tompkins
Population Group: Low Income Of Groton/Moravia
*Ulster
Population Group: MFW—New Paltz
Facility: Highland Residential Center
Warren
Service Area: Corinth/Luzerne
Service Area: Warrensburg
Population Group: Low Inc—Schroon-Ticonderoga
Washington
Service Area: Pawlet/Granville (VT/NY)
Population Group: Low Inc—Schroon-Ticonderoga
*Wyoming
Service Area: Arcade
Service Area: Batavia/Attica/Bennington
Service Area: Letchworth
Facility: Attica Corr Fac

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Alexandria Bay
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Alexandria Town
Cape Vincent Town
Clayton Town
Lyme Town
Orleans Town
Philadelphia Town
Theresa Town
County—St Lawrence
Parts:
Hammond Town
Alphabet City—Lower East Side
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 6
C.T. 8
C.T. 10.01–10.02
C.T. 12
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 16
C.T. 18
C.T. 20
C.T. 22.01–22.02
C.T. 24–25
C.T. 26.01–26.02
C.T. 27–29
C.T. 30.01–30.02
C.T. 31–32
C.T. 34
C.T. 36.01–36.02
C.T. 38
C.T. 40–43
C.T. 45
C.T. 55.02
C.T. 57
Arcade
County—Allegany
Parts:
Centerville Town
Rushford Town
County—Cattaraugus
Parts:
Farmersville Town
Freedom Town
Machias Town
Yorkshire Town
County—Wyoming
Parts:
Arcade Town
Eagle Town
Java Town
Orangeville Town
Sheldon Town
Wethersfield Town
Aurora
County—Cayuga
Parts:
Genoa Town
Ledyard Town
Scipio Town
Springport Town
Venice Town
Batavia/Attica/Bennington
County—Genesee
County—Wyoming
Parts:
Attica Town
Bennington Town
Bedford-Stuyvesant

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 11
C.T. 23
C.T. 25
C.T. 27
C.T. 29.01–29.02
C.T. 31
C.T. 33
C.T. 35
C.T. 179
C.T. 181
C.T. 183
C.T. 185.01–185.02
C.T. 187
C.T. 189
C.T. 191
C.T. 193
C.T. 195
C.T. 197
C.T. 199
C.T. 201
C.T. 203
C.T. 205
C.T. 207
C.T. 213
C.T. 215
C.T. 217
C.T. 219
C.T. 221
C.T. 223
C.T. 225
C.T. 227
C.T. 229
C.T. 231
C.T. 233
C.T. 235
C.T. 237
C.T. 239
C.T. 241
C.T. 243
C.T. 245
C.T. 247
C.T. 249
C.T. 251
C.T. 253
C.T. 255
C.T. 257
C.T. 259.01–259.02
C.T. 261
C.T. 263
C.T. 265
C.T. 267
C.T. 269
C.T. 271.01–271.02
C.T. 273
C.T. 275
C.T. 277
C.T. 279
C.T. 281
C.T. 283
C.T. 285.01–285.02
C.T. 287
C.T. 289
C.T. 291
C.T. 293
C.T. 295
C.T. 297
C.T. 299
C.T. 301
C.T. 303
C.T. 307

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 309
C.T. 311
C.T. 313
C.T. 315
C.T. 317.01–317.02
C.T. 319
C.T. 321
C.T. 323
C.T. 325
C.T. 327
C.T. 329
C.T. 331
C.T. 333
C.T. 335
C.T. 337
C.T. 339
C.T. 341
C.T. 343
C.T. 345
C.T. 347
C.T. 349
C.T. 351
C.T. 353
C.T. 365.01–365.02
C.T. 367
C.T. 369
C.T. 371
C.T. 373
C.T. 375
C.T. 377
C.T. 379
C.T. 381
C.T. 383
C.T. 385
C.T. 387
Black Rock/Riverside
County—Erie
Parts:
C.T. 55–59
Boonville
County—Lewis
Parts:
Greig Town
Lewis Town
Leyden Town
Lyonsdale Town
Turin Town
West Turin Town
County—Oneida
Parts:
Ava Town
Boonville Town
Forestport Town
Bushwick
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 389
C.T. 391
C.T. 393
C.T. 395
C.T. 397
C.T. 399
C.T. 401
C.T. 403
C.T. 405
C.T. 407
C.T. 409
C.T. 411
C.T. 413
C.T. 415
C.T. 417
C.T. 419

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 421
C.T. 423
C.T. 425
C.T. 427
C.T. 429
C.T. 431
C.T. 433
C.T. 435
C.T. 437
C.T. 439
C.T. 441
C.T. 443
C.T. 445
C.T. 447
C.T. 453
C.T. 455.97–455.98
C.T. 465
C.T. 473
C.T. 477
C.T. 481
C.T. 483
C.T. 487
C.T. 489
C.T. 491
C.T. 493
C.T. 495
C.T. 497
C.T. 501
C.T. 503
C.T. 505
C.T. 511
C.T. 513
C.T. 527
C.T. 1142.01–1142.02

Camden
County—Lewis
Parts:
Osceola Town
County—Oneida
Parts:
Annsville Town
Camden Town
Florence Town
Vienna Town

Cato
County—Cayuga
Parts:
Cato Town
Conquest Town
Ira Town
Victory Town

Central Adirondack
County—Essex
Parts:
Newcomb Town
County—Hamilton
Parts:
Indian Lake Town
Long Lake Town

Clinton
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 95
C.T. 97
C.T. 99
C.T. 101
C.T. 103
C.T. 109
C.T. 111
C.T. 113
C.T. 115
C.T. 117

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 119
C.T. 121
C.T. 125
C.T. 127
C.T. 129
C.T. 133
C.T. 135

Cochecton
County—Sullivan
Parts:
Callicoon Town
Cochecton Town
Delaware Town
Fremont Town
Highland Town
Tusten Town

Coney Island
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 326
C.T. 328
C.T. 330
C.T. 340
C.T. 342
C.T. 348.01
C.T. 352

Corinth/Luzerne
County—Saratoga
Parts:
Corinth Town
Day Town
Edinburg Town
Hadley Town
County—Warren
Parts:
Lake Luzerne Town
Stony Creek Town

Crown Heights—Brooklyn
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 508
C.T. 794
C.T. 796
C.T. 798
C.T. 800
C.T. 802
C.T. 804
C.T. 806
C.T. 810
C.T. 812
C.T. 814
C.T. 816
C.T. 818
C.T. 820
C.T. 822
C.T. 824
C.T. 856
C.T. 864
C.T. 866
C.T. 868
C.T. 870
C.T. 872
C.T. 874.01–874.02
C.T. 876
C.T. 878
C.T. 880
C.T. 882
C.T. 884
C.T. 886
C.T. 888
C.T. 890
C.T. 892

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 894
C.T. 896
C.T. 898
C.T. 900
C.T. 902

Dannemora
County—Clinton
Parts:
Dannemora Town
Saranac Town

Deposit
County—Broome
Parts:
Colesville Town
Sanford Town
Windsor Town
County—Delaware
Parts:
Deposit Town
Tompkins Town

Dunkirk-Fredonia
County—Chautauqua
Parts:
Arkwright Town
Charlotte Town
Dunkirk City
Dunkirk Town
Pomfret Town
Portland Town
Sheridan Town
Stockton Town

East Central Essex
County—Essex
Parts:
Elizabethtown Town
Essex Town
Keene Town
Lewis Town
Moriah Town
North Hudson Town
Westport Town
Willsboro Town

East Ny-Brooklyn
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 904
C.T. 906
C.T. 908
C.T. 910
C.T. 912
C.T. 914
C.T. 916
C.T. 918
C.T. 920
C.T. 922
C.T. 982
C.T. 1058
C.T. 1070
C.T. 1078
C.T. 1098
C.T. 1100
C.T. 1102
C.T. 1106
C.T. 1110
C.T. 1112
C.T. 1114
C.T. 1118
C.T. 1120
C.T. 1122
C.T. 1124
C.T. 1126
C.T. 1128

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 1130
C.T. 1132
C.T. 1134
C.T. 1136
C.T. 1138
C.T. 1140
C.T. 1146
C.T. 1148
C.T. 1150
C.T. 1152
C.T. 1154
C.T. 1156
C.T. 1158
C.T. 1160
C.T. 1162
C.T. 1164
C.T. 1166
C.T. 1168
C.T. 1170
C.T. 1172.01–1172.02
C.T. 1174
C.T. 1176.01–1176.02
C.T. 1178
C.T. 1180
C.T. 1182.01–1182.02
C.T. 1184
C.T. 1186
C.T. 1188
C.T. 1190.97
C.T. 1192
C.T. 1194
C.T. 1196
C.T. 1200
C.T. 1202.97–1202.98
C.T. 1208
C.T. 1210
C.T. 1214
C.T. 1220

Elkland (NY/PA)
County—Steuben
Parts:
Tuscarora Town
Woodhull Town

Ellicott/Lower Westside
County—Erie
Parts:
C.T. 12
C.T. 13.01–13.02
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 15–18
C.T. 25.01–25.02
C.T. 26
C.T. 27.01
C.T. 31
C.T. 68
C.T. 71.01–71.02
C.T. 72.01

Gouverneur
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Antwerp Town
County—St Lawrence
Parts:
De Kalb Town
De Peyster Town
Edwards Town
Fowler Town
Gouverneur Town
Macomb Town
Rossie Town

Hunts Point
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 5
C.T. 91
C.T. 97
C.T. 99
C.T. 105
C.T. 115.01–115.02

Jordan
County—Monroe
Parts:
C.T. 7
C.T. 13–15
C.T. 39
C.T. 43
C.T. 48–53
C.T. 55–56
C.T. 80
C.T. 91–92
C.T. 93.01

Letchworth
County—Allegany
Parts:
Allen Town
Caneadea Town
Granger Town
Hume Town
County—Livingston
Parts:
Portage Town
County—Wyoming
Parts:
Castile Town
Gainesville Town
Genesee Falls Town
Pike Town

Long Island City
County—Queens
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 7
C.T. 19
C.T. 25
C.T. 27
C.T. 29
C.T. 31
C.T. 35
C.T. 37
C.T. 39
C.T. 41
C.T. 43
C.T. 45
C.T. 47
C.T. 49
C.T. 51
C.T. 53
C.T. 55
C.T. 57
C.T. 59
C.T. 171

Margaretville/Andes
County—Delaware
Parts:
Andes Town
Middletown Town
Roxbury Town

Morris Heights
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 53.02
C.T. 205
C.T. 215.01–215.02
C.T. 217.01
C.T. 227.01
C.T. 233.01
C.T. 235.01
C.T. 237.01
C.T. 239
C.T. 241
C.T. 243
C.T. 245
C.T. 247
C.T. 249
C.T. 251
C.T. 253
C.T. 255
C.T. 257

N. Livingston
County—Livingston
Parts:
Avon Town
Caledonia Town
Geneseo Town
Groveland Town
Leicester Town
Lima Town
Livonia Town
York Town

Newfane
County—Niagara
Parts:
Hartland Town
Newfane Town
Somerset Town
Wilson Town

Oak Orchard
County—Orleans
Parts:
Albion Town
Barre Town
Carlton Town
Clarendon Town
Gaines Town
Kendall Town
Murray Town

P.S. 84
County—Erie
Parts:
C.T. 27.02
C.T. 29
C.T. 32.01–32.02
C.T. 33.01–33.02
C.T. 34–36
C.T. 39.01–39.02
C.T. 40.01–40.02
C.T. 41–42
C.T. 44.02
C.T. 52.02
C.T. 64

Pawlet/Granville (VT/NY)
County—Washington
Parts:
Fort Ann Town
Granville Town
Hampton Town
Hartford Town
Hebron Town
Whitehall Town

Pulaski
County—Oswego
Parts:
Albion Town
Boylston Town
Mexico Town
Orwell Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Redfield Town
Richland Town
Sandy Creek Town
Williamstown Town
Randolph/Ellicottville
County—Cattaraugus
Parts:
Carrollton Town
Coldspring Town
Conewango Town
Ellicottville Town
Franklinville Town
Great Valley Town
Humphrey Town
Little Valley Town
Mansfield Town
Napoli Town
New Albion Town
Randolph Town
Red House Town
Salamanca City
Salamanca Town
South Valley Town
South Hamilton
County—Hamilton
Parts:
Arietta Town
Benson Town
Hope Town
Lake Pleasant Town
Morehouse Town
Wells Town
South Jamaica
County—Queens
Parts:
C.T. 190
C.T. 196
C.T. 198
C.T. 202
C.T. 204
C.T. 206
C.T. 208
C.T. 212
C.T. 244
C.T. 246
C.T. 248
C.T. 250
C.T. 252
C.T. 258
C.T. 260
C.T. 262
C.T. 264
C.T. 266
C.T. 270
C.T. 272
C.T. 274
C.T. 276
C.T. 278
C.T. 280
C.T. 410
C.T. 414
C.T. 440
C.T. 442
South Seneca
County—Seneca
Parts:
Covert Town
Lodi Town
Ovid Town
Southeast Columbia
County—Columbia
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Ancram Town
Copake Town
Gallatin Town
Hillsdale Town
Taghkanic Town
Southern Schoharie
County—Schoharie
Parts:
Blenheim Town
Broome Town
Conesville Town
Fulton Town
Gilboa Town
Star Lake
County—St Lawrence
Parts:
Clare Town
Clifton Town
Fine Town
Pitcairn Town
Russell Town
Tri-County
County—Cattaraugus
Parts:
Dayton Town
Leon Town
Otto Town
Perrysburg Town
Persia Town
County—Chautauqua
Parts:
Cherry Creek Town
Hanover Town
Villenova Town
County—Erie
Parts:
Brant Town
Collins Town
Eden Town
Evans Town
North Collins Town
Warrensburg
County—Essex
Parts:
Minerva Town
County—Warren
Parts:
Chester Town
Horicon Town
Johnsburg Town
Thurman Town
Warrensburg Town
Washington Heights—Inwood
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 243.01
C.T. 245
C.T. 247
C.T. 249
C.T. 251
C.T. 253
C.T. 255
C.T. 261
C.T. 263
C.T. 265
C.T. 267
C.T. 269
C.T. 271
C.T. 273
C.T. 275
C.T. 277
C.T. 279

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 281
C.T. 283
C.T. 285
C.T. 287
C.T. 289
C.T. 291
C.T. 293
C.T. 295
C.T. 297
C.T. 303
C.T. 307
C.T. 309
C.T. 311
Webb
County—Hamilton
Parts:
Inlet Town
County—Herkimer
Parts:
Webb Town
Wellsville
County—Allegany
Parts:
Alfred Town
Alma Town
Almond Town
Amity Town
Andover Town
Angelica Town
Belfast Town
Birdsall Town
Bolivar Town
Burns Town
Clarksville Town
Cuba Town
Friendship Town
Genesee Town
Grove Town
Independence Town
New Hudson Town
Scio Town
Ward Town
Wellsville Town
West Almond Town
Willing Town
Wirt Town
West Central Harlem
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 186
C.T. 190
C.T. 197.02
C.T. 200
C.T. 201.02
C.T. 207.02
C.T. 208
C.T. 209.01–209.02
C.T. 211–212
C.T. 213.01–213.02
C.T. 214
C.T. 216
C.T. 217.01–217.02
C.T. 218
C.T. 219.97
C.T. 220
C.T. 221.01–221.02
C.T. 222
C.T. 223.97–223.98
C.T. 224–226
C.T. 227.01–227.02
C.T. 228–230
C.T. 231.01–231.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 232–234
C.T. 235.01–235.02
C.T. 236–237
C.T. 239
C.T. 241
C.T. 243.02
West Winfield
County—Herkimer
Parts:
Columbia Town
Litchfield Town
Warren Town
Winfield Town
County—Oneida
Parts:
Bridgewater Town
Westerlo-Rensselaerville
County—Albany
Parts:
Rensselaerville Town
Westerlo Town
Westfield
County—Chautauqua
Parts:
Chautauqua Town
Mina Town
Ripley Town
Sherman Town
Westfield Town
Westside (Rochester)
County—Monroe
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 16–17
C.T. 23–24
C.T. 27
C.T. 32
C.T. 40–41
C.T. 62–71
C.T. 75
C.T. 87.01–87.02
C.T. 88–90
C.T. 93.02
C.T. 94.01–94.03
C.T. 95
C.T. 96.01–96.04
Whitney Point PCAA
County—Broome
Parts:
Barker Town
Lisle Town
Nanticoke Town
Triangle Town
County—Tioga
Parts:
Berkshire Town
Richford Town
Williamsburg
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 507
C.T. 509
C.T. 515
C.T. 519
C.T. 523
C.T. 525
C.T. 529
C.T. 531
C.T. 533
C.T. 535
C.T. 537
C.T. 539

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 545
C.T. 547
C.T. 549
C.T. 551
C.T. 553
C.T. 555

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Chelsea/Ward's Island
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 91 (Homeless)
C.T. 93 (Homeless)
C.T. 95 (Homeless)
C.T. 97 (Homeless)
C.T. 99 (Homeless)
C.T. 101 (Homeless)
C.T. 103 (Homeless)
C.T. 109 (Homeless)
C.T. 111 (Homeless)
C.T. 113 (Homeless)
C.T. 115 (Homeless)
C.T. 117 (Homeless)
C.T. 240 (Homeless)
Low Inc —Utica
County—Oneida
Parts:
C.T. 202.01–202.02
C.T. 203–206
C.T. 207.01–207.02
C.T. 208.01–208.03
C.T. 209–210
C.T. 211.01–211.03
C.T. 212.01–212.02
C.T. 213.01–213.03
C.T. 214.01–214.04
C.T. 215
C.T. 216.01–216.02
C.T. 217.01–217.02
Low Inc—Auburn PCSa
County—Cayuga
Parts:
Auburn City
Aurelius Town
Brutus Town
Fleming Town
Mentz Town
Montezuma Town
Owasco Town
Sennett Town
Throop Town
Low Inc—Beacon
County—Dutchess
Parts:
Ct 2101
Ct 2102
Ct 2103
Low Inc—Canton/Potsdam
County—Franklin
Parts:
Dickinson Town
Waverly Town
County—St Lawrence
Parts:
Canton Town
Colton Town
Hermon Town
Hopkinton Town
Madrid Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parishville Town
Pierrepont Town
Potsdam Town
Stockholm Town
Low Inc—Fulton
County—Oswego
Parts:
Fulton City
Granby Town
Schroeppel Town
Volney Town
Low Inc—Hamilton Hill/Mt Pleasant
County—Schenectady
Parts:
C.T. 203
C.T. 207–209
C.T. 210.01–210.02
C.T. 211.01–211.02
C.T. 214–217
Low Inc—High Bridge
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 53.01
C.T. 57
C.T. 59.01
C.T. 187
C.T. 189
C.T. 193
C.T. 195
C.T. 197
C.T. 199
C.T. 201
C.T. 211
C.T. 213.01–213.02
C.T. 217.02
C.T. 219
C.T. 221
C.T. 223
C.T. 227.02
Low Inc—Hobart/Stamford
County—Delaware
Parts:
Davenport Town
Harpersfield Town
Kortright Town
Stamford Town
County—Schoharie
Parts:
Jefferson Town
Low Inc—Malone
County—Clinton
Parts:
Altona Town
Clinton Town
Ellenburgtown
County—Franklin
Parts:
Bangor Town
Bellmont Town
Bombaytown
Brandon Town
Burke Town
Chateaugay Town
Constable Town
Duane Own
Fort Covington Own
Malone Town
Moira Town
Westville Town
Low Inc—Massena
County—St Lawrence
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Brasher Town
Lawrence Town
Louisville Town
Massena Town
Norfolk Town
Low Inc—Monsey/New Square
County—Rockland
Parts:
C.T. 115.03–115.04
C.T. 121–124
Low Inc—Morrisania
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 49
C.T. 59.02
C.T. 61
C.T. 121.01
C.T. 123
C.T. 125
C.T. 127.01
C.T. 129.01
C.T. 131
C.T. 133
C.T. 135
C.T. 137
C.T. 139
C.T. 141
C.T. 143
C.T. 145
C.T. 147
C.T. 149
C.T. 151
C.T. 153
C.T. 155
C.T. 157
C.T. 161
C.T. 163
C.T. 165
C.T. 167
C.T. 169
C.T. 171
C.T. 173
C.T. 175
C.T. 177
C.T. 179
C.T. 181
C.T. 183
C.T. 225
C.T. 227.03
C.T. 229.02
C.T. 367
C.T. 369.02
Low Inc—Mott Haven
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 11
C.T. 15
C.T. 17
C.T. 23
C.T. 25
C.T. 27.01–27.02
C.T. 31
C.T. 33
C.T. 35
C.T. 37
C.T. 39
C.T. 41
C.T. 43
C.T. 47
C.T. 65
C.T. 67
C.T. 69
C.T. 71
C.T. 73
C.T. 75
C.T. 77
C.T. 79
C.T. 81
C.T. 83
C.T. 85
C.T. 87
C.T. 89
C.T. 119
C.T. 121.02
C.T. 127.02
C.T. 129.02
Low Inc—Newburgh City
County—Orange
Parts:
C.T. 1–6
Low Inc—Ogdensburg
County—St Lawrence
Parts:
Depeyster Town
Lisbon Town
Morristown Town
Ogdensburg City
Oswegatchie Town
Waddington Town
Low Inc—Oswego City
County—Cayuga
Parts:
Sterling Town
County—Oswego
Parts:
Hannibal Town
Minetto Town
New Haven Town
Oswego City
Oswego Town
Scriba Town
Low Inc—Schroon-Ticonderoga
County—Essex
Parts:
Crown Point Twp
Schroon Twp
Ticonderoga Twp
County—Warren
Parts:
Hague Twp
County—Washington
Parts:
Dresdentwp
Putnam
Low Inc—Soundview
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 4
C.T. 16
C.T. 20
C.T. 24
C.T. 28
C.T. 36
C.T. 38
C.T. 40.01–40.02
C.T. 44
C.T. 46
C.T. 48
C.T. 50
C.T. 52
C.T. 54
C.T. 56
C.T. 58
C.T. 62
C.T. 64
C.T. 66
C.T. 68
C.T. 70
C.T. 72
C.T. 74
C.T. 78
C.T. 84
C.T. 86
C.T. 88
C.T. 98
C.T. 102
C.T. 214
Low Inc—Syracuse
County—Onondaga
Parts:
C.T. 5–7
C.T. 13–16
C.T. 21–24
C.T. 29–35
C.T. 36.01
C.T. 38–45
C.T. 51–55
C.T. 57–59
C.T. 61.01–61.02
Low Inc—Union City (PA/NY)
County—Chautauqua
Parts:
Clymer Town
French Creek Town
Low Inc—Upper West Side
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 177
C.T. 179
C.T. 181
C.T. 183
C.T. 185
C.T. 187
C.T. 189
C.T. 191
C.T. 193
C.T. 195
C.T. 197.01
C.T. 199
C.T. 201.01
C.T. 203
C.T. 205
C.T. 207.01
Low Inc—Watertown
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Brownville Town
City Of Watertown
Hounsfield Town
Le Ray Town
Pamelia Town
Rutland Town
Watertown Town
Low Inc—West Farms
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 60
C.T. 216.01–216.02
C.T. 218
C.T. 220
C.T. 236
C.T. 240
C.T. 359
C.T. 361
C.T. 363

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income—Rome PCSa
County—Oneida
Parts:
Floyd
Lee
Rome
Verona
Western
Low Income Of Groton/Moravia
County—Cayuga
Parts:
Locke Twn
Moravia Twn
Semproniustwn
Summerhilltwn
County—Tompkins
Parts:
Groton Twn
Low-Income Cortland County
County—Cortland
Parts:
Low-Income
Medicaid—Rockaway
County—Queens
Parts:
C.T. 916.01–916.02
C.T. 916.99
C.T. 918
C.T. 922
C.T. 928
C.T. 934
C.T. 938
C.T. 942.01–942.03
C.T. 952
C.T. 962
C.T. 964
C.T. 972
C.T. 992
C.T. 998
C.T. 1008
C.T. 1010
C.T. 1032
MFW—Goshen/Warwick
County—Orange
Parts:
Goshen Town
Warwick Town
MFW—New Paltz
County—Ulster
Parts:
New Paltz Town
MFW—Walden
County—Orange
Parts:
Montgomery Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Attica Corr Fac
County—Wyoming
Bare Hill Corr Fac
County—Franklin
Chateaugay State Corr. Facility
County—Franklin
Children's Hosp Pc Clinics—C.T. 67.02
County—Erie
FCI Raybrook
County—Franklin
Hale Creek Asatca
County—Fulton

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: New York
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Highland Residential Center
County—Ulster
INS Med Facility-Batavia
County—Erie
INS Medical Facility—New York
County—New York
Jacobi Women's Health Center
County—Bronx
NYC Corr. Fac./Rikers Island
County—Bronx

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Carolina
County Listing

County Name

*Anson
*Beaufort
Service Area: Bayboro/Aurora
Service Area: Belhaven/Swan Quarter
Caldwell
Service Area: Western Caldwell
*Caswell
Chatham
Population Group: Low Inc—Moncure/Sanford
*Clay
*Cleveland
Population Group: Med Ind—Cleveland Co
*Columbus
Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc—Cumberland Co
*Dare
Service Area: Hatteras-Ocracoke
*Duplin
Population Group: Low Inc—Duplin Co
Durham
Population Group: Medicaid—Durham Co
Edgecombe
Franklin
Gaston
Population Group: Low Inc—Gaston Co
*Gates
*Graham
Guilford
Service Area: Inner City Greensboro
*Halifax
Population Group: Low Inc—Halifax
*Harnett
Population Group: Low Inc—Harnett
*Henderson
Population Group: MFW—Henderson/Polk
*Hertford
Population Group: Low Inc—Hertford Co
*Hoke
*Hyde
Service Area: Belhaven/Swan Quarter
Service Area: Hatteras-Ocracoke
Johnston
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Johnston Co
*Lee
Population Group: Low Inc—Moncure/Sanford
*Lenoir
Service Area: East Kinston
*Macon
Service Area: Franklin
Mecklenburg
Service Area: Central Charlotte
Nash

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Carolina
County Listing

Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Nash Co
New Hanover
Population Group: Low Inc—New Hanover Co
*Pamlico
Service Area: Bayboro/Aurora
*Pender
*Person
*Polk
Population Group: MFW—Henderson/Polk
Randolph
*Robeson
*Sampson
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Sampson Co
*Scotland
Population Group: Med Ind—Scotland Co
*Stanly
Population Group: Low Inc—Stanly Co
Stokes
Service Area: Danbury
*Surry
Population Group: Low Inc—Surry Co
*Swain
Population Group: Low Inc—Swain Co
*Tyrrell
*Vance
Facility: FCI—Butner
Wake
Facility: Central Prison
*Warren
Service Area: Warrenton
*Washington
Wayne
Population Group: Low Inc—Wayne Co
*Wilson
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Wilson Co
*Yancey
Population Group: Low Inc—Yancey Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Bayboro/Aurora
County—Beaufort
Parts:
Richland Twp
County—Pamlico
Belhaven/Swan Quarter
County—Beaufort
Parts:
Bath Township
Pantego Township
County—Hyde
Parts:
Currituck Township
Fairfield Township
Lake Landing Township
Lake Mattamuskeet Unorg.
Swan Quarter Township
Central Charlotte
County—Mecklenburg
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 4–8
C.T. 36–37
C.T. 38.98
C.T. 39.01–39.02
C.T. 41–42
C.T. 45.00–51.01

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Carolina
Service Area Listing

County Name

C.T. 52
Danbury
County—Stokes
Parts:
C.T. 701–703
East Kinston
County—Lenoir
Parts:
C.T. 101–105
C.T. 107
Franklin
County—Macon
Parts:
Burningtown Twp
Cartoogechaye Twp
Cowee Twp
Ellijay Twp
Flats Twp
Franklin Twp
Millshoal Twp
Nantahala Twp
Smiths Bridge Twp.
Hatteras-Ocracoke
County—Dare
Parts:
Hatteras Township
Kinnakeet Township
County—Hyde
Parts:
Ocracoke Township
Inner City Greensboro
County—Guilford
Parts:
C.T. 101
C.T. 107.02
C.T. 108.01
C.T. 110
C.T. 111.01
C.T. 112–115
Warrenton
County—Warren
Parts:
Fork Township
Hawtree Township
Nutbush Township
River Township
Roanoke Township
Sandy Creek Township
Shocco Township
Sixpound Township
Smith Creek Township
Warrenton Township
Western Caldwell
County—Caldwell
Parts:
Globe Township
Johns River Township
Mulberry Township
Patterson Township
Wilson Creek Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Cumberland Co
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Duplin Co
County—Duplin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gaston Co
County—Gaston
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Halifax
County—Halifax
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Harnett
County—Harnett
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Hertford Co
County—Hertford
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Moncure/Sanford
County—Chatham
Parts:
Cape Fear
Haw River
Oakland
County—Lee
Parts:
Cape Fear
Deep River
East Sanford
Jonesboro
West Sanford
Low Inc—New Hanover Co
County—New Hanover
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Stanly Co
County—Stanly
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Surry Co
County—Surry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Swain Co
County—Swain
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wayne Co
County—Wayne
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Yancey Co
County—Yancey
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Johnston Co
County—Johnston
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Nash Co
County—Nash
Parts:
Low Income/Migrant Farmw
Low Inc/MFW—Sampson Co
County—Sampson
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Wilson Co
County—Wilson
Parts:
Low Income/Migrant Farmw
Med Ind—Cleveland Co
County—Cleveland
Parts:
Medically Indigent
Med Ind—Scotland Co
County—Scotland
Parts:
Medically Indigent
Medicaid—Durham Co
County—Durham
Parts:
Medicaid Eligible
MFW—Henderson/Polk
County—Henderson
Parts:
MFW
County—Polk
Parts:
MFW

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Carolina
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Central Prison
County—Wake
FCI—Butner
County—Vance

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Service Area: Lemmon (SD/ND)
*Barnes
Service Area: Wimbledon
*Benson
*Bottineau
Service Area: Bottineau
Service Area: Mohall
*Burke
Service Area: Powers Lake/Columbus
*Dickey
Service Area: Ellendale/Edgeley (ND/SD)
Service Area: Oakes/Forman
*Divide
*Dunn
*Eddy
*Emmons
*Golden Valley
*Hettinger
*Kidder
Service Area: Medina
*La Moure
Service Area: Ellendale/Edgeley (ND/SD)
Service Area: La Moure
*Logan
*McHenry
*McIntosh
*McIntosh
Population Group: Low Inc—Mcintosh
Population Group: Low Inc—Mcintosh
*McLean
*Mercer
Morton
Service Area: West Morton/East Stark
*Mountrail
Service Area: New Town
Service Area: Stanley
*Nelson
*Oliver
*Pembina
*Renville

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Mohall
*Richland
Service Area: Hankinson/Lidgerwood (ND/SD)
*Rolette
*Sargent
Service Area: Oakes/Forman
*Slope
*Stark
Service Area: West Morton/East Stark
*Stutsman
Service Area: Medina
Service Area: Wimbledon
*Ward
Service Area: New Town
Service Area: Stanley
*Williams
Service Area: Tioga

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Bottineau
County—Bottineau
Parts:
Amity Township
Bentinck Township
Bottineau City
Brander Township
Cecil Township
Chatfield Township
Cordelia Township
Dalen Township
Eidsvold Township
Elms Township
Elysian Township
Gardena City
Haram Township
Hastings Township
Homen Township
Kane Township
Kramer City
Landa City
Lewis Township
Lordsburg Township
Maxbass City
Mount Rose Township
Newborg Township
Newburg City
Oak Creek Township
Oak Valley Township
Ostby Township
Overly City
Peabody Township
Pickering Township
Richburg Township
Roland Township
Russell City
Scandia Township
Scotia Township
Sergius Township
Souris City
Starbuck Township
Stone Creek Township
Tacoma Township
Wayne Township
Wellington Township
Westhope City
Whitby Township
Whitteron Township
Willow City City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Willow Vale Township
Ellendale/Edgeley (ND/SD)
County—Dickey
Parts:
Ada Township
Albertha Township
Albion Township
Elden Township
Ellendale City
Ellendale Township
Elm Township
Forbes City
Fullerton City
German Township
Grand Valley Township
Hamburg Township
Kent Township
Kentner Township
Keystone Township
Lorraine Township
Maple Township
Merricourt City
Monango City
Northwest Township
Porter Township
Potsdam Township
Spring Valley Townshi
Valley Township
Van Meter Township
Whitestone Township
Wright Township
Yorktown Township
Young Township
County—La Moure
Parts:
Edgeley City
Golden Glen Township
Kulm City
Nora Township
Norden Township
Pomona View Township
Ray Township
Swede Township
Wano Township
Willowbank Township
Hankinson/Lidgerwood (ND/SD)
County—Richland
Parts:
Barney City
Belford Township
Brandenburg Township
Brightwood Township
Danton Township
Devillo Township
Dexter Township
Duerr Township
Elma Township
Fairmount City
Fairmount Township
Grant Township
Great Bend City
Greendale Township
Hankinson City
La Mars Township
Liberty Grove Township
Lidgerwood City
Mantador City
Moran Township
Waldo Township
Wyndmere Township
Wyndmere City
La Moure

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—La Moure
Parts:
Adrian Township
Badger Township
Berlin City
Black Loam Township
Bluebird Township
Dean Township
Dickey City
Gladstone Township
Glen Township
Glenmore Township
Grand Rapids Township
Grandview Township
Greenville Township
Henrietta Township
Jud City
Kennison Township
La Moure City
Litchville Township
Marion City
Mikkelson Township
Ovid Township
Pearl Lake Township
Prairie Township
Raney Township
Roscoe Township
Russell Township
Ryan Township
Saratoga Township
Sheridan Township
Verona City
Lemmon (SD/ND)
County—Adams
Parts:
E Adams Unorg
Gilstrap Twp
North Lemmon Twp
Orange Twp
South Fork Twp
Medina
County—Kidder
Parts:
Allen Township
Buckeye Township
Bunker Township
Crystal Springs Township
Dawson City
Graf Township
Haynes Township
Manning Township
Peace Township
Quinby Township
Sibley Township
South Kidder Unorg.
Steele City
Tanner Township
Tappen City
Tappen Township
Valley Township
Vernon Township
Weiser Township
Westford Township
Williams Township
Woodlawn Township
County—Stutsman
Parts:
Bloomenfield Township
Chase Lake Unorg.
Chicago Township
Cleveland City
Flint Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Germania Township
Griffin Township
Iosco Township
Medina City
Newbury Township
Peterson Township
Sinclair Township
St. Paul Township
Stirton Township
Streeter City
Streeter Township
Valley Spring Township
Weld Township
Mohall
County—Bottineau
Parts:
Antler City
Antler Township
Blaine Township
Cut Bank Township
Hoffman Township
Lansford City
Lansford Township
Renville Township
Sherman Township
Wheaton Township
County—Renville
Parts:
Brandon Township
Callahan Township
Clay Township
Colquhoun Township
Eden Valley Township
Grano City
Grassland Township
Hamerly Township
Hamlet Township
Hurley Township
Lockwood Township
Loraine City
Mohall City
Sherwood City
New Town
County—Mountrail
Parts:
Banner Township
Big Bend Township
Brookbank Township
Crane Creek Township
Fertile Township
Howie Township
Knife River Township
Liberty Township
Model Township
Mountrail Township
New Town City
Oakland Township
Osborn Township
Osloe Township
Parshall City
Parshall Township
Plaza Township
Plaza City
Rat Lake Township
Shell Township
Sikes Township
Southwest Mountrail Unorg.
Spring Coulee Township
Van Hook Township
Wayzetta Township
County—Ward
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Anna Township
Hiddenwood Township
Linton Township
Lund Township
Makoti City
Orlien Township
Ryder Township
Ryder City
Shealey Township
Tolgen Township
Oakes/Forman
County—Dickey
Parts:
Bear Creek Township
Clement Township
Divide Township
Hudson Township
James River Valley To
Lovell Township
Ludden City
Oakes City
Port Emma Township
Riverdale Township
County—Sargent
Powers Lake/Columbus
County—Burke
Parts:
Battleview Township
Clayton Township
Cleary Township
Columbus City
Colville Township
Dale Township
Fay Township
Foothills Township
Forthun Township
Garness Township
Harmonious Township
Keller Township
Larson City
Leaf Mountain Township
Lignite City
Lucy Township
Portal City
Portal Township
Powers Lake City
Short Creek Township
Soo Township
Thorson Township
Vale Township
Stanley
County—Mountrail
Parts:
Alger Township
Bicker Township
Burke Township
Clearwater Township
Cottonwood Township
Crowfoot Township
Debing Township
Egan Township
Idaho Township
James Hill Township
Kickapoo Township
Lostwood Township
Lowland Township
Manitou Township
McAlmond Township
McGahan Township
Myrtle Township
Palermo City
Palermo Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Powers Township
Powers Lake Township
Purcell Township
Redmond Township
Ross City
Ross Township
Sidonia Township
Sorkness Township
Stanley City
Stave Township
West Mountrail Unorg.
White Earth City
White Earth Township
County—Ward
Parts:
Berthold City
Berthold Township
Carbondale Township
Carpio City
Carpio Township
Donnybrook City
Evergreen Township
Mandan Township
Passport Township
Ree Township
Tioga
County—Williams
Parts:
Alamo City
Athens Township
Barr Butte Township
Big Meadow Township
Big Stone Township
Blacktail Township
Blue Ridge Township
Bonetraill Township
Brooklyn Township
Champion Township
Climax Township
Dry Fork Township
Dublin Township
Ellisville Township
Epping City
Farmvale Township
Golden Valley Township
Good Luck Township
Grenora City
Grenora Township
Hanks City
Hazel Township
Hofflund Township
Lindahl Township
Marshall Township
Nesson Valley Unorg.
New Home Township
Oliver Township
Orthell Township
Pleasant Valley Township
Rainbow Township
Ray City
Rock Island Township
Sauk Valley Township
Scorio Township
South Meadow Township
Southeast Williams Unorg.
Spring Brook City
Strandahl Township
Tioga Township
Tioga City
Truax Township
Twelve Mile Township
View Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

West Bank Township
Wheelock Township
Wheelock City
Wildrose City
Winner Township
West Morton/East Stark
County—Morton
Parts:
Almont City
Engelter Township
Glen Ullin City
Hebron City
New Salem City
West Morton Unorg.
County—Stark
Parts:
East Stark Unorg.
Richardton City
Taylor City
Wimbledon
County—Barnes
Parts:
Ashtabula Township
Baldwin Township
Dazey City
Dazey Township
Edna Township
Ellsbury Township
Grand Prairie Township
Lake Town Township
Leal City
Minnie Lake Township
Pierce Township
Pillsbury City
Rogers City
Rogers Township
Sibley City
Sibley Trail Township
Uxbridge Township
Wimbledon City
County—Stutsman
Parts:
Ashland Township
Courtenay City
Courtenay Township
Durham Township
Gray Township
Spiritwood Lake City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: North Dakota
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Mcintosh
County—McIntosh
County—McIntosh
Parts:
Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Population Group: Low Inc—Adams Co
*Ashtabula
Service Area: Orwell
*Athens
Population Group: Low Income—Athens Co
Butler
Service Area: Eastern Hamilton

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: West Middletown
Carroll
Clark
Service Area: Southwest Side (Springfield)
Clermont
Service Area: Eastern Clermont
Columbiana
Population Group: Low Inc—East Liverpool
*Coshocton
Population Group: Low Inc—Coshocton Co
Cuyahoga
Service Area: East Cleveland
Service Area: Hough/Norwood/Glenville
Service Area: Lee Miles (Cleveland)
Service Area: Mt Pleasant/Union-Miles/Corlett
Service Area: Western Collinwood
Population Group: Low Inc—Central/Fairfax/Kinsman
Population Group: Low Income—Near West/Westside/Edgewate
Facility: Free Clinic Of Greater Cleveland
Facility: Neighborhood Family Practice
Fairfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Lancaster/Baltimore
*Fayette
Population Group: Low Inc—Fayette Co
Franklin
Service Area: Lower Linden (N.E. Columbus)
Service Area: Near North/University
Population Group: Low Inc—Near Southside (Columbus)
Population Group: Low Inc—Franklinton (Columbus)
*Guernsey
Service Area: Freeport
Hamilton
Service Area: East & Lower Price Hill/S Fairmont
Service Area: East End (Cincinnati)
Service Area: Millvale
Service Area: West End (Cincinnati)
*Hancock
Population Group: Low Inc—Tiffin/Fostoria
*Hardin
Population Group: Low Inc—Hardin Co
*Harrison
Service Area: Cadiz/Scio/Hopedale
Service Area: Freeport
*Highland
Population Group: Low Inc—Highland Co
*Hocking
Population Group: Low Inc—Hocking Co
*Holmes
Population Group: Low Inc—Holmes Co
*Jackson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jackson Co
Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc—East Liverpool
Lawrence
Population Group: Low Inc—Lawrence Co
Lucas
Service Area: Center City/Dorr (Toledo)
Service Area: East Toledo
Service Area: Near Southside Toledo
Mahoning
Service Area: Eastside Youngstown
Service Area: Southside Youngstown
*Meigs
*Monroe

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: New Matamoras
Service Area: Woodsfield
Montgomery
Service Area: West Dayton
Population Group: Homeless—Dayton
*Morgan
*Morrow
Population Group: Low Inc—Morrow Co
*Noble
Facility: Noble Corr Fac
*Perry
*Pike
Population Group: Low Inc—Pike Co
*Preble
Richland
Population Group: Low Income—Richland Co
*Sandusky
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Sandusky Co
*Scioto
Population Group: Low Inc—Scioto Co
*Seneca
Population Group: Low Inc—Tiffin/Fostoria
Stark
Service Area: NE Canton
Summit
Service Area: Akron (Southeast Side)
Trumbull
Service Area: Orwell
Service Area: The Flats (Warren)
*Tuscarawas
Service Area: Freeport
Population Group: Low Inc—S Tuscarawas Co
*Vinton
Washington
Service Area: New Matamoras
Wood
Population Group: Low Inc—Tiffin/Fostoria

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Akron (Southeast Side)
County—Summit
Parts:
C.T. 5014
C.T. 5031–5035
C.T. 5038
Cadiz/Scio/Hopedale
County—Harrison
Parts:
Archer Township
Athens Township
Cadiz Township
Franklin Township
German Township
Green Township
Monroe Township
North Township
Rumley Township
Short Creek Township
Stock Township
Center City/Dorr (Toledo)
County—Lucas
Parts:
C.T. 27–28
C.T. 31–37
C.T. 39
East & Lower Price Hill/S Fairmont

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Hamilton
Parts:
C.T. 87
C.T. 89
C.T. 91–96
C.T. 103
East Cleveland
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1501
C.T. 1503–1504
C.T. 1511–1518
East End (Cincinnati)
County—Hamilton
Parts:
C.T. 43–44
C.T. 47.02
East Toledo
County—Lucas
Parts:
C.T. 46
C.T. 47.01–47.02
C.T. 48
C.T. 48.99–49.00
C.T. 50–53
Eastern Clermont
County—Clermont
Parts:
Batavia Township
Franklin Township
Goshen Township
Jackson Township
Monroe Township
Ohio Township
Pierce Township
Stonelick Township
Tate Township
Washington Township
Wayne Township
Williamsburg Township
Eastern Hamilton
County—Butler
Parts:
C.T. 3–4
C.T. 6
C.T. 7.01–7.02
Eastside Youngstown
County—Mahoning
Parts:
C.T. 8001–8007
C.T. 8040
Freeport
County—Guernsey
Parts:
Londonderry Township
Madison Township
Washington Township
County—Harrison
Parts:
Freeport Township
Moorefield Township
Nottingham Township
Washington Township
County—Tuscarawas
Parts:
Perry Township
Hough/Norwood/Glenville
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1112–1113
C.T. 1114.01–1114.02
C.T. 1115–1118

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 1119.01–1119.02
C.T. 1121–1128
C.T. 1161–1168
C.T. 1181–1185
C.T. 1186.01–1186.02
C.T. 1189
Lee Miles (Cleveland)
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1217–1219
C.T. 1221–1223
Lower Linden (N.E. Columbus)
County—Franklin
Parts:
C.T. 7.20
C.T. 7.30
C.T. 9.10
C.T. 9.20
C.T. 14–15
C.T. 23
C.T. 25.10
C.T. 29
C.T. 75.11–75.12
C.T. 75.20
C.T. 75.34
C.T. 76
Millvale
County—Hamilton
Parts:
C.T. 28
C.T. 77
C.T. 85.02
C.T. 86.01
Mt Pleasant/Union-Miles/Corlett
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1155
C.T. 1198–1199
C.T. 1204–1206
C.T. 1207.01–1207.02
C.T. 1208.01–1208.02
C.T. 1211–1213
C.T. 1214.01–1214.02
C.T. 1215–1216
C.T. 1275
Near North/University
County—Franklin
Parts:
C.T. 6
C.T. 10
C.T. 11.10
C.T. 12–13
C.T. 16–17
C.T. 18.10
C.T. 18.20
C.T. 20–22
C.T. 32
Near Southside Toledo
County—Lucas
Parts:
C.T. 38
C.T. 40–42
C.T. 54
New Matamoras
County—Monroe
Parts:
Benton Township
Jackson Township
County—Washington
Parts:
Grandview Township
Independence Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Liberty Township
Ludlow Township
NE Canton
County—Stark
Parts:
C.T. 7002–7005
C.T. 7018
Orwell
County—Ashtabula
Parts:
Colebrook Township
Hartsgrove Township
Morgan Township
New Lyme Township
Orwell Township
Rome Township
Trumbull Township
Windsor Township
County—Trumbull
Parts:
Bloomfield Township
Greene Township
Gustavus Township
Kinsman Township
Mesopotamia Township
Southside Youngstown
County—Mahoning
Parts:
C.T. 8010
C.T. 8016–8017
C.T. 8019–8025
Southwest Side (Springfield)
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 8
C.T. 9.01–9.02
C.T. 10
C.T. 11.01–11.02
C.T. 12
The Flats (Warren)
County—Trumbull
Parts:
C.T. 9205–9207
West Dayton
County—Montgomery
Parts:
C.T. 2–4
C.T. 6–7
C.T. 9–10
C.T. 12–14
C.T. 35–45
C.T. 602–603
C.T. 702.01–702.02
C.T. 703
West End (Cincinnati)
County—Hamilton
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 3.01–3.02
C.T. 4
C.T. 8
C.T. 14–15
West Middletown
County—Butler
Parts:
C.T. 128–132
C.T. 138–140
Western Collinwood
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1169

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 1171.01–1171.02
C.T. 1172.01–1172.02
C.T. 1173–1175
C.T. 1179
C.T. 1261
Woodsfield
County—Monroe
Parts:
Adams Township
Bethel Township
Center Township
Franklin Township
Green Township
Lee Township
Malaga Township
Ohio Township
Perry Township
Salem Township
Seneca Township
Summit Township
Sunsbury Township
Switzerland Township
Washington Township
Wayne Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Dayton
County—Montgomery
Parts:
C.T. 15
C.T. 21
Low Inc—Adams Co
County—Adams
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Central/Fairfax/Kinsman
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1079
C.T. 1087–1089
C.T. 1091–1093
C.T. 1096–1099
C.T. 1101–1103
C.T. 1129
C.T. 1131–1139
C.T. 1141–1145
C.T. 1147–1148
Low Inc—Coshocton Co
County—Coshocton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—East Liverpool
County—Columbiana
Parts:
Center Twp
East Liverpool City
Elk Run Twp
Franklin Twp
Hanover Twp
Liverpool Twp
Madison Twp
Middleton Twp
St Clair Twp
Unity Twp
Washington Twp
Wayne Twp
Wellsville City
Yellow Creek Twp
County—Jefferson

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Brush Creek Twp
Saline Twp
Low Inc—Fayette Co
County—Fayette
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Franklinton (Columbus)
County—Franklin
Parts:
C.T. 41–44
C.T. 49–51
Low Inc—Hardin Co
County—Hardin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Highland Co
County—Highland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hocking Co
County—Hocking
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Holmes Co
County—Holmes
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jackson Co
County—Jackson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lancaster/Baltimore
County—Fairfield
Parts:
Amanda Twp
Berne Twp
Bloom Twp
Clear Creek Twp
Greenfield Twp
Hocking Twp
Lancaster City
Liberty Twp
Madison Twp
Pleasant Twp
Richland Twp
Rush Creek Twp
Walnut Twp
Low Inc—Lawrence Co
County—Lawrence
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Morrow Co
County—Morrow
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Near Southside (Columbus)
County—Franklin
Parts:
C.T. 37–38
C.T. 53
C.T. 54.10
C.T. 54.20
C.T. 55
C.T. 56.10
C.T. 56.20
C.T. 58.20
C.T. 59–61
C.T. 87.10
C.T. 87.20
C.T. 87.30
Low Inc—Pike Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Pike
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—S Tuscarawas Co
County—Tuscarawas
Parts:
Clay Twp
Mill Twp
Oxford Twp
Rush Twp
Salem Twp
Union Twp
Warwick Twp
Washington Twp
Low Inc—Scioto Co
County—Scioto
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Tiffin/Fostoria
County—Hancock
Parts:
C.T. 1 (Fostoria)
County—Seneca
Parts:
Low Income
County—Wood
Parts:
C.T. 22 (Fostoria)
Low Inc/MFW—Sandusky Co
County—Sandusky
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Income—Athens Co
County—Athens
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Near West/Westside/Edgewate
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1011.01
C.T. 1012–1019
C.T. 1021.01–1021.02
C.T. 1022–1023
C.T. 1024.01–1024.02
C.T. 1025–1026
C.T. 1031–1039
Low Income—Richland Co
County—Richland
Parts:
Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Ohio
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Free Clinic Of Greater Cleveland
County—Cuyahoga
Neighborhood Family Practice
County—Cuyahoga
Noble Corr Fac
County—Noble

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oklahoma
County Listing

County Name

*Adair
*Alfalfa
*Atoka
Canadian
Facility: FCI El Reno

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oklahoma
County Listing

County Name

*Carter
Service Area: Velma-Alma/Healdton North
*Choctaw
*Coal
Creek
Population Group: Low Inc—Mounds
*Delaware
Population Group: Amer Indian Pop Delaware County
*Grant
*Harper
*Haskell
*Hughes
*Johnston
*Kiowa
*Latimer
Population Group: Low Inc—Latimer Co
*Lincoln
Logan
*Marshall
*Mayes
Population Group: Native American Pop—Mayes Co
McClain
*McIntosh
*Muskogee
Population Group: American Indian Pop Muskogee County
*Nowata
Service Area: Nowata
*Okfuskee
Oklahoma
Service Area: N.E. Oklahoma Co
Service Area: S.E. Oklahoma City
*Okmulgee
Population Group: Low Inc—Mounds
*Pittsburg
Service Area: Quinton
*Pontotoc
Population Group: Medicaid—Pontotoc Co
Pottawatomie
Service Area: Konawa
*Roger Mills
*Seminole
Service Area: Konawa
Sequoyah
Population Group: Amer Indian Pop Sequoyah County
*Stephens
Service Area: Velma-Alma/Healdton North
*Texas
Service Area: Texoma
*Tillman
Tulsa
Service Area: North Tulsa
Population Group: Am In—Tulsa
*Washita

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oklahoma
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Konawa
County—Pottawatomie
Parts:
Maud Division
Wanette-Asher Division
County—Seminole
Parts:
Konawa Division
Seminole South Division
N.E. Oklahoma Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oklahoma
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Oklahoma
Parts:
C.T. 1080.03
C.T. 1080.05
C.T. 1080.10–1080.11
C.T. 1088.01
C.T. 1088.03–1088.04
North Tulsa
County—Tulsa
Parts:
C.T. 2–10
C.T. 12–14
C.T. 57
C.T. 62
C.T. 79
C.T. 80.01–80.02
C.T. 91.01
Nowata
County—Nowata
Parts:
Lenapah-Delaware Division
Nowata Division
South Coffeyville-Wann Division
Quinton
County—Pittsburg
Parts:
Quinton Division
S.E. Oklahoma City
County—Oklahoma
Parts:
C.T. 1039
C.T. 1048
C.T. 1053–1054
C.T. 1073.04
Texoma
County—Texas
Parts:
West Texas Division
Velma-Alma/Healdton North
County—Carter
Parts:
Healdton North Divisi
County—Stephens
Parts:
Velma-Alma Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oklahoma
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Am In—Tulsa
County—Tulsa
Parts:
American Indian
Amer Indian Pop Delaware County
County—Delaware
Parts:
American Indian
Amer Indian Pop Sequoyah County
County—Sequoyah
Parts:
American Indian
American Indian Pop Muskogee County
County—Muskogee
Parts:
American Indian
Low Inc—Latimer Co
County—Latimer
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mounds
County—Creek

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oklahoma
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
C.T. 215
County—Okmulgee
Parts:
C.T. 6
Medicaid—Pontotoc Co
County—Pontotoc
Parts:
Medicaid
Native American Pop—Mayes Co
County—Mayes
Parts:
Native American

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oklahoma
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI El Reno
County—Canadian

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
County Listing

County Name

*Baker
Service Area: Halfway
Clackamas
Service Area: Estacada
Service Area: Mt. Hood
Population Group: MSFWs—Western Clackamas Co
*Columbia
Service Area: Clatskanie
Service Area: East Columbia
Service Area: Vernonia
*Coos
Service Area: Powers
*Curry
Service Area: Port Orford
Population Group: Low Inc—Brookings
*Deschutes
Service Area: La Pine
*Douglas
Service Area: Glendale
*Gilliam
Service Area: Arlington
Service Area: Condon
*Harney
*Hood River
Population Group: MSFW—Hood River Co
Jackson
Service Area: Shady Cove
Population Group: MFW—Ashland/Phoenix
*Jefferson
Service Area: Madras
*Josephine
Service Area: Cave Junction
Service Area: Glendale
Service Area: Williams
Population Group: Med Ind—Grants Pass
*Klamath
Service Area: Bly
Service Area: Chiloquin
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Klamath Falls
*Lake
Service Area: Silver Lake
Lane
Service Area: Lowell
Service Area: McKenzie
Service Area: Oakridge

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Triangle Lake/Swisshome
Population Group: Homeless-Mid Lane Co
*Malheur
Service Area: Jordan Valley
Service Area: Nyssa (OR/ID)
Service Area: Vale
Population Group: MSFW—Ontario
Facility: Snake River Corr. I.
Marion
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW/Homeless-Polk & Marion
*Morrow
Service Area: Boardman
Service Area: Heppner
Polk
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW/Homeless-Polk & Marion
*Sherman
Service Area: Moro/Grass Valley
Service Area: Wasco
*Tillamook
Service Area: Nehalem
Service Area: Pacific City/Cloverdale
Population Group: Low Inc/Homeless—Tillamook/Bay City
*Umatilla
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Umatilla Co
Facility: E Oregon Corr I
*Union
Service Area: Elgin
*Wasco
Service Area: Maupin/Dufur
Population Group: MFW—The Dalles
Washington
Population Group: MFW—W. Washington Co
*Wheeler
Yamhill
Facility: FCI Sheridan

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Arlington
County—Gilliam
Parts:
Arlington Division
Bly
County—Klamath
Parts:
Langell Division
Boardman
County—Morrow
Parts:
Boardman Division
Cave Junction
County—Josephine
Parts:
Cave Junction Division
Wilderville Division
Chiloquin
County—Klamath
Parts:
Chiloquin Division
Crescent Lake Division
Clatskanie
County—Columbia
Parts:
Clatskanie Division
Marshland Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Condon
County—Gilliam
Parts:
Condon Division
East Columbia
County—Columbia
Parts:
Goble Division
Rainier Division
Scappoose Division
St. Helens Division
Elgin
County—Union
Parts:
Elgin Division
Estacada
County—Clackamas
Parts:
Estacada Division
Glendale
County—Douglas
Parts:
South Umpqua CCD
County—Josephine
Parts:
Northwest Josephine CCD
Halfway
County—Baker
Parts:
Eagle Valley Division
Halfway Division
Heppner
County—Morrow
Parts:
Heppner Division
Ione-Lexington Division
Jordan Valley
County—Malheur
Parts:
Jordan Division
La Pine
County—Deschutes
Parts:
C.T. 9902–9905
Lowell
County—Lane
Parts:
Lowell Division
Madras
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Ashwood Division
Culver Division
Madras Division
Maupin/Dufur
County—Wasco
Parts:
Dufur CCD
McKenzie
County—Lane
Parts:
McKenzie Division
Moro/Grass Valley
County—Sherman
Parts:
Moro Division
Mt. Hood
County—Clackamas
Parts:
Mount Hood Division
Nehalem
County—Tillamook

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
Nehalem Division
Nyssa (OR/ID)
County—Malheur
Parts:
Adrian Division
Nyssa Division
Owyhee Division
Oakridge
County—Lane
Parts:
Oakridge Division
Pacific City/Cloverdale
County—Tillamook
Parts:
Beaver Division
Neskowin Division
Port Orford
County—Curry
Parts:
Port Orford CCD
Powers
County—Coos
Parts:
Powers Division
Shady Cove
County—Jackson
Parts:
Butte Falls-Prospect Division
Shady Cove Division
Silver Lake
County—Lake
Parts:
Silver Lake-Fort Rock Division
Triangle Lake/Swisshome
County—Lane
Parts:
Middle Siuslaw-Triangle Lake Div
Vale
County—Malheur
Parts:
Brogan Division
Juntura Division
Vale Division
West Vale Division
Vernonia
County—Columbia
Parts:
Vernonia Division
Wasco
County—Sherman
Parts:
Wasco Division
Williams
County—Josephine
Parts:
Williams Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless-Mid Lane Co
County—Lane
Parts:
McD 002
McD 030
McD 035
McD 040
McD 056
McD 070
McD 085

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
Population Group Listing

Population Group

McD 107
McD 140
Low Inc—Brookings
County—Curry
Parts:
Brookings CCD
Harbor CCD
Low Inc/Homeless—Tillamook/Bay City
County—Tillamook
Parts:
Bay City CCD
Tillamook CCD
Low Inc/MFW—Klamath Falls
County—Klamath
Parts:
Keno CCD
Klamath Falls CCD
Malinccd
Merrill CCD
Low Inc/MFW—Umatilla Co
County—Umatilla
Parts:
Low Income
Migrant Farmworkers
Low Inc/MFW/Homeless-Polk & Marion
County—Marion
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW/Homeless
County—Polk
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW/Homeless
Med Ind—Grants Pass
County—Josephine
Parts:
C.T. 3604–3608
C.T. 3610–3613
MFW—Ashland/Phoenix
County—Jackson
Parts:
Ashland CCD
Eagle Point CCD
Southeast Jackson CCD
Southwest Jackson CCD
MFW—The Dalles
County—Wasco
Parts:
The Dalles CCD
MFW—W. Washington Co
County—Washington
Parts:
Chehalem Mt CCD
Coast Range CCD
Forest Grove-Cornelius
North Plains CCD
MSFW—Hood River Co
County—Hood River
Parts:
MSFW
MSFW—Ontario
County—Malheur
Parts:
Ontario CCD
MSFWs—Western Clackamas Co
County—Clackamas
Parts:
Beaver Creek CCD
Canby CCD
Colton CCD
Molalla CCD
Mulino CCD
Northwest Clackamas CCD
Redland CCD

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Sandy CCD
Wilsonville CCD
Yoder CCD

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Oregon
Facility Listing

Facility Name

E Oregon Corr I
County—Umatilla
FCI Sheridan
County—Yamhill
Snake River Corr. I.
County—Malheur

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
County Listing

County Name

Allegheny
Service Area: Arlington Heights/St Clair
Service Area: Hill District
Service Area: Homewood-Brushton
Service Area: Manchester
Service Area: McKees Rocks-Stowe
Service Area: North Braddock
Service Area: South Braddock
Service Area: West End Pittsburgh
Population Group: Low Inc—East Liberty
Population Group: Low Inc—Mckeesport
*Armstrong
Service Area: Armstrong-Clarion
Service Area: Dayton/Rural Valley
Service Area: Kiski Valley
Service Area: New Bethlehem/Hawthorn
Service Area: Northeast Butler
Beaver
Population Group: Low Inc—East Liverpool
*Bedford
Service Area: Broad Top/Cromwell
Population Group: Low Inc—Pleasantville
Berks
Population Group: Med Ind—Welsh Mountain
Blair
Population Group: Low Inc—Pleasantville
*Bradford
Service Area: La Porte
Butler
Service Area: Northeast Butler
Cambria
Service Area: Coalport
Population Group: Low Inc—Ebensburg
Facility: Sci Cresson
*Cameron
Centre
Service Area: Snow Shoe
Population Group: Low Inc—Philipsburg
Facility: Sci Rockview
Chester
Population Group: Med Ind—Welsh Mountain
*Clarion
Service Area: Armstrong-Clarion
Service Area: New Bethlehem/Hawthorn
*Clearfield
Service Area: Coalport
Service Area: Mahaffey
Service Area: Snow Shoe
Population Group: Low Inc—Philipsburg
Facility: Sci Houtzdale
*Clinton

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Snow Shoe
Service Area: Western Lycoming
Columbia
Service Area: Eastern Lycoming
*Crawford
Service Area: Conneautville
Population Group: Low Inc—Union City (PA/NY)
Population Group: Low Inc—Titusville
Cumberland
Facility: Sci Camp Hill
Dauphin
Service Area: Millersburg
Population Group: Low Income—Harrisburg
*Elk
Service Area: Marienville
Erie
Service Area: Southern Erie
Population Group: Low Inc—Union City (PA/NY)
Population Group: Med Ind—Erie City
Fayette
Service Area: Markleysburg
Service Area: Republic
Population Group: Low Inc—Greensboro
*Forest
Service Area: Marienville
Service Area: Tionesta
*Franklin
Service Area: Dry Run
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Chambersburg
*Fulton
Service Area: Broad Top/Cromwell
Service Area: Hancock (MD/PA)
*Greene
Service Area: Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)
Population Group: Low Inc—Carmichaels
Population Group: Low Inc—Greensboro
Population Group: Low Inc—Western Greene
Facility: Sci Greene
*Huntingdon
Service Area: Alexandria
Service Area: Broad Top/Cromwell
Service Area: Mt. Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Big Valley
Facility: Sci Smithfield
*Indiana
Service Area: Dayton/Rural Valley
Service Area: North Indiana
Service Area: Punxsutawney
Population Group: Low Inc—Ebensburg
*Jefferson
Service Area: Punxsutawney
*Juniata
Population Group: Low Inc—Middleburg
Population Group: Low Inc—Mifflintown
Lancaster
Population Group: Low Inc—SE Lancaster
Population Group: Med Ind—Welsh Mountain
Lycoming
Service Area: Eastern Lycoming
Service Area: Northern Lycoming
Service Area: Western Lycoming
Facility: Sci Muncy
*McKean
Population Group: Low Inc—Coudersport
Facility: FCI Mckean
Mercer

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Stoneboro
Population Group: Low Inc—Sharon/Farrell
*Mifflin
Service Area: Mt. Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Big Valley
Monroe
Service Area: Mount Pocono
Service Area: South Monroe
Northampton
Population Group: Low Inc—Easton
*Northumberland
Service Area: Herndon
Service Area: Millersburg
Philadelphia
Service Area: Upper N. East Philadelphia
Service Area: Upper N. West Philadelphia
Population Group: Low Inc—Lower North Philadelphia
*Pike
Population Group: Low Inc—Paupack
*Potter
Service Area: Westfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Coudersport
*Schuylkill
Service Area: Millersburg
Facility: FCI Schuylkill
*Snyder
Population Group: Low Inc—Middleburg
Population Group: Low Inc—Mcclure
Somerset
Service Area: Indian Lake
Population Group: Low Inc—Confluence Service Area
*Sullivan
Service Area: La Porte
Service Area: Northern Lycoming
*Susquehanna
Service Area: Montrose
*Tioga
Service Area: Blossburg
Service Area: Elkland (NY/PA)
Service Area: Western Lycoming
Service Area: Westfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Coudersport
*Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Mcclure
Facility: FCI Allenwood
Facility: USP Allenwood
Facility: USP Lewisburg
*Venango
Service Area: Tionesta
Population Group: Low Inc—Titusville
*Warren
Population Group: Low Inc—Union City (PA/NY)
Population Group: Low Inc—Titusville
*Wayne
Population Group: Low Inc—Honesdale
Population Group: Low Inc—Northern Wayne
Population Group: Low Inc—Paupack
Westmoreland
Service Area: Kiski Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—Ebensburg
York
Service Area: York

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Alexandria
County—Huntingdon
Parts:
Alexandria Borough
Franklin Township
Logan Township
Morris Township
Petersburg Borough
Porter Township
Spruce Creek Township
Arlington Heights/St Clair
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 1603–1604
C.T. 1606
Armstrong-Clarion
County—Armstrong
Parts:
Bradys Bend Township
Madison Township
Perry Township
Sugarcreek Township
Washington Township
County—Clarion
Parts:
Brady Township
East Brady Borough
Madison Township
Rimersburg Borough
Blossburg
County—Tioga
Parts:
Bloss Township
Blossburg Borough
Covington Township
Duncan Township
Hamilton Township
Liberty Borough
Liberty Township
Putnam Township
Union Township
Ward Township
Broad Top/Cromwell
County—Bedford
Parts:
Broad Top Township
Coaldale Borough
Hopewell Borough
Hopewell Township
Liberty Township
Saxton Borough
County—Fulton
Parts:
Dublin Township
Taylor Township
Wells Township
County—Huntingdon
Parts:
Broad Top City Borough
Carbon Township
Clay Township
Coalmont Borough
Dublin Township
Dudley Borough
Hopewell Township
Juniata Township
Lincoln Township
Marklesburg Borough
Penn Township
Saltillo Borough
Shade Gap Borough
Smithfield Township
Springfield Township
Three Springs Borough
Todd Township
Walker Township
Wood Township
Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)
County—Greene
Parts:
Freeport Township
Gilmore Township
Springhill Township
Wayne Township
Coalport
County—Cambria
Parts:
Reade Township
White Township
County—Clearfield
Parts:
Beccaria Township
Bigler Township
Chest Township
Coalport Borough
Glen Hope Borough
Gulich Township
Irvona Borough
Jordan Township
Ramey Borough
Westover Borough
Conneautville
County—Crawford
Parts:
Beaver Township
Conneaut Township
Conneautville Borough
Spring Township
Springboro Borough
Summerhill Township
Dayton/Rural Valley
County—Armstrong
Parts:
Atwood Boro
Cowanshannock Twp
Dayton Boro
Elderton Boro
Plumcreek Twp
Rural Valley Boro
Wayne Twp
County—Indiana
Parts:
Plumville Boro
South Mahoning Twp
Dry Run
County—Franklin
Parts:
Fannett Township
Metal Township
Eastern Lycoming
County—Columbia
Parts:
Greenwood Township
Jackson Township
Madison Township
Millville Borough
Pine Township
County—Lycoming
Parts:
Franklin Township
Jordan Township
Moreland Township
Penn Township
Picture Rocks Borough
Shrewsbury Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Elkland (NY/PA)
County—Tioga
Parts:
Elkland Borough
Elkland Township
Farmington Township
Nelson Township
Osceola Township
Hancock (MD/PA)
County—Fulton
Parts:
Bethel Township
Thompson Township
Union Township
Herndon
County—Northumberland
Parts:
Herndon Borough
Jackson Township
Jordan Township
Little Mahanoy Township
Upper Mahanoy Township
Washington Township
West Cameron Township
Zerbe Township
Hill District
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 305
C.T. 314
C.T. 501–502
C.T. 506
C.T. 508–511
Homewood-Brushton
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 1207
C.T. 1301–1306
C.T. 5604
C.T. 5606
C.T. 5611–5612
Indian Lake
County—Somerset
Parts:
Central City Borough
Indian Lake Borough
Shade Township
Shanksville Borough
Stonycreek Township
Kiski Valley
County—Armstrong
Parts:
Apollo Borough
Bethel Township
Burrell Township
Gilpin Township
Kiskiminetas Township
Leechburg Borough
North Apollo Borough
Parks Township
South Bend Township
County—Westmoreland
Parts:
Allegheny Township
Avonmore Borough
Bell Township
East Vandergrift Borough
Hyde Park Borough
Oklahoma Borough
Vandergrift Borough
Washington Township
West Leechburg Borough

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

La Porte
County—Bradford
Parts:
Albany Township
New Albany Borough
Overton Township
Wilmot Township
County—Sullivan
Parts:
Cherry Township
Colley Township
Davidson Township
Dushore Borough
Eagles Mere Borough
Elkland Township
Forks Township
Forksville Borough
Hillsgrove Township
Laporte Borough
Laporte Township
Shrewsbury Township
Fannet Twp
Parts:
Metal Twp
Mahaffey
County—Clearfield
Parts:
Bell Township
Burnside Borough
Burnside Township
Ferguson Township
Grampian Borough
Greenwood Township
Lumber City Borough
Mahaffey Borough
New Washington Borough
Newburg Borough
Penn Township
Manchester
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 2107
C.T. 2503
C.T. 2507–2508
Marienville
County—Elk
Parts:
Millstone Township
County—Forest
Parts:
Barnett Township
Green Township
Howe Township
Jenks Township
Kingsley Township
Markleysburg
County—Fayette
Parts:
Henry Clay Township
Markleysburg Borough
Ohiopyle Borough
Stewart Township
Wharton Township
McKees Rocks-Stowe
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 4621
C.T. 4626
C.T. 4639
C.T. 4644
Millersburg
County—Dauphin

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
Berrysburg Borough
Elizabethville Borough
Gratz Borough
Halifax Borough
Halifax Township
Jackson Township
Jefferson Township
Lykens Borough
Lykens Township
Mifflin Township
Millersburg Borough
Pillow Borough
Reed Township
Rush Township
Upper Paxton Township
Washington Township
Wayne Township
Wiconisco Township
Williamstown Borough
Williams Township
County—Northumberland
Parts:
Lower Mahanoy Township
County—Schuylkill
Parts:
Porter Township
Tower City Borough
Montrose
County—Susquehanna
Parts:
Auburn Township
Bridgewater Township
Brooklyn Township
Dimock Township
Forest Lake Township
Franklin Township
Harford Township
Hop Bottom Borough
Jessup Township
Lathrop Township
Lenox Township
Liberty Township
Montrose Borough
Rush Township
Silver Lake Township
Springville Township
Mount Pocono
County—Monroe
Parts:
Barrett Twp
Coolbaugh Twp
Mount Pocono Boro
Paradise Twp
Tobyhanna Twp
Tunkhannock Twp
Mt. Union
County—Huntingdon
Parts:
Brady Township
Cass Township
Cassville Borough
Cromwell Township
Mapleton Borough
Mill Creek Borough
Mount Union Borough
Orbisonia Borough
Rockhill Furnace Borough
Shirley Township
Shirleysburg Borough
Tell Township
Union Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Mifflin
Parts:
Bratton Township
Kistler Borough
McVeytown Borough
Newton Hamilton Borough
Oliver Township
Wayne Township
New Bethlehem/Hawthorn
County—Armstrong
Parts:
Mahoning Twp
Redbank Twp
South Bethlehem Boro
County—Clarion
Parts:
Hawthorn Boro
New Bethlehem Boro
Porter Twp
Redbank Twp
North Braddock
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 5041
C.T. 5100
C.T. 5120
C.T. 5128–5129
C.T. 5138
C.T. 5140
North Indiana
County—Indiana
Parts:
Cherry Tree Borough
East Mahoning Township
Ernest Borough
Grant Township
Green Township
Marion Center Borough
Montgomery Township
Rayne Township
Northeast Butler
County—Armstrong
Parts:
Hovey Township
Parker City
County—Butler
Parts:
Allegheny Township
Bruin Borough
Cherry Valley Borough
Concord Township
Eau Claire Borough
Fairview Borough
Fairview Township
Karns City Borough
Parker Township
Petrolia Borough
Venango Township
Washington Township
Northern Lycoming
County—Lycoming
Parts:
Cascade Township
Gamble Township
Lewis Township
McIntyre Township
McNett Township
Mill Creek Township
Plunketts Creek Township
County—Sullivan
Parts:
Fox Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Punxsutawney
County—Indiana
Parts:
Banks Twp
Canoe Twp
Glen Campbell Boro
North Mahoning Twp
Smicksburg Boro
West Mahoning Twp
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Beaver Twp
Bell Twp
Big Run Boro
Gaskill Twp
Henderson Twp
McCalmont Twp
Oliver Twp
Perry Twp
Porter Twp
Punxsutawney Boro
Ringgold Twp
Timblin Boro
Worthville Boro
Young Twp
Republic
County—Fayette
Parts:
Brownsville Borough
Brownsville Township
Luzerne Township
Redstone Township
Snow Shoe
County—Centre
Parts:
Boggs Twp
Burnside Twp
Curtin Twp
Howard Twp
Howard Boro
Liberty Twp
Snow Shoe Boro
Snow Shoe Twp
Union Twp
Unionville Boro
County—Clearfield
Parts:
Cooper Twp
Covington Twp
Karthaus Twp
County—Clinton
Parts:
Beech Creek Twp
Beech Creek Boro
West Keating Twp
South Braddock
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 4824
C.T. 4838
C.T. 4843
C.T. 4850
C.T. 4867–4869
C.T. 4882
South Monroe
County—Monroe
Parts:
Chestnuthill Twp
Eldred Twp
Polk Twp
Ross Twp
Southern Erie

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Erie
Parts:
Albion Borough
Conneaut Township
Cranesville Borough
Elk Creek Township
Platea Borough
Springfield Township
Stoneboro
County—Mercer
Parts:
Coolspring Twp
Deer Creek Twp
Fairview Twp
Fredonia Boro
French Creek Twp
Jackson Twp
Jackson Center Boro
Lake Twp
Mill Creek Twp
New Lebanon Boro
New Vernon Twp
Perry Twp
Sandy Lake Boro
Sandy Lake Twp
Stoneboro Boro
Tionesta
County—Forest
Parts:
Harmony Twp
Hickory Twp
Tionesta Boro
Tionesta Twp
County—Venango
Parts:
President Twp
Upper N. East Philadelphia
County—Philadelphia
Parts:
C.T. 175–176
C.T. 194–199
C.T. 287
Upper N. West Philadelphia
County—Philadelphia
Parts:
C.T. 170–174
C.T. 200–205
C.T. 280
C.T. 283–284
West End Pittsburgh
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 2004
C.T. 2017–2022
C.T. 2024
C.T. 2807–2808
C.T. 2814
C.T. 2816
Western Lycoming
County—Clinton
Parts:
Gallagher Township
County—Lycoming
Parts:
Brown Township
Cogan House Township
Cummings Township
Jackson Township
McHenry Township
Pine Township
Watson Township
County—Tioga

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
Elk Township
Morris Township
Westfield
County—Potter
Parts:
Harrison Township
Hector Township
County—Tioga
Parts:
Brookfield Township
Chatham Township
Clymer Township
Deerfield Township
Knoxville Borough
Westfield Township
Westfield Borough
York
County—York
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 5
C.T. 7
C.T. 9–12
C.T. 15–16

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Big Valley
County—Huntingdon
Parts:
Barree Twp
Jackson Twp
Miller Twp
County—Mifflin
Parts:
Armagh Twp
Brown Twp
Decatur Twp
Menno Twp
Union Twp
Low Inc—Carmichaels
County—Greene
Parts:
Carmichaels Borough
Clarksville Borough
Cumberland Township
Jefferson Borough
Jefferson Township
Morgan Township
Rices Landing Borough
Low Inc—Confluence Service Area
County—Somerset
Parts:
Addison Borough
Addison Twp
Casselman Borough
Confluence Borough
Lower Turkeyfoot Twp
Middlecreek Twp
Seven Springs Borough
Upper Turkeyfoottwp
Ursina Borough
Low Inc—Coudersport
County—McKean
Parts:
Annin Township
Ceres Township
Eldred Borough
Eldred Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Keating Township
Liberty Township
Norwich Township
Otto Township
Port Allegany Borough
Smethport Borough
County—Potter
Parts:
Abbott Township
Allegany Township
Austin Borough
Bingham Township
Clara Township
Coudersport Borough
East Fork District
Eulalia Township
Galton Borough
Genesee Township
Hebron Township
Homer Township
Keating Township
Oswayo Township
Oswayo Borough
Pike Township
Pleasant Valley Township
Portage Township
Roulette Township
Sharon Township
Shinglehouse Borough
Stewardson Township
Summit Township
Sweden Township
Sylvania Township
Ulysses Borough
Ulysses Township
West Branch Township
Wharton Township
County—Tioga
Parts:
Gaines Township
Low Inc—East Liberty
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 818
C.T. 1016–1017
C.T. 1113–1115
C.T. 1201–1204
C.T. 1208
Low Inc—East Liverpool
County—Beaver
Parts:
Georgetown Boro
Glasgow Boro
Greene Twp
Hookstown Boro
Ohioville Boro
Low Inc—Easton
County—Northampton
Parts:
C.T. 143–147
Low Inc—Ebensburg
County—Cambria
Parts:
Allegheny Borough
Ashville Borough
Barnesboro Borough
Barr Township
Blacklick Township
Cambria Township
Carrolltown Borough
Cassandra Borough
Chest Springs Borough

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Cresson Borough
Cresson Township
East Carroll Township
Ebensburg Borough
Gallitzin Borough
Gallitzin Township
Jackson Township
Lilly Borough
Loretto Borough
Munster Township
Nanty-Glo Borough
Patton Borough
Portage Borough
Portage Township
Sankertown Borough
Spangler Borough
Susquehanna Township
Tunnelhill Borough
Vintondale Borough
Washington Township
West Carroll Township
County—Indiana
Parts:
Armagh Borough
Buffington Township
East Wheatfield Township
Pine Township
West Wheatfield
County—Westmoreland
Parts:
New Florence Borough
Seward Borough
St. Clair Township
Low Inc—Greensboro
County—Fayette
Parts:
Nicholson Twp
Point Marion Boro
Springhill Twp
County—Greene
Parts:
Dunkard Twp
Greene Twp
Greensboro Boro
Monongahela Twp
Low Inc—Honesdale
County—Wayne
Parts:
Berlin Township
Bethany Borough
Canaan Township
Cherry Ridge Township
Clinton Township
Dyberry Townshhip
Honesdale Borough
Oregon Township
Prompton Borough
South Canaan Township
Texas Township
Waymart Borough
Low Inc—Lower North Philadelphia
County—Philadelphia
Parts:
Ct 125.00
Ct 126.00
Ct 127.00
Ct 128.00
Ct 129.00
Ct 130.00
Ct 131.00
Ct 132.00
Ct 133.00

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Ct 134.00
Ct 135.00
Ct 136.00
Ct 137.00
Ct 138.00
Ct 139.00
Ct 140.00
Ct 141.00
Ct 142.00
Ct 144.00
Ct 145.00
Ct 146.00
Ct 147.00
Ct 148.00
Ct 149.00
Ct 150.00
Ct 151.00
Ct 152.00
Ct 153.00
Ct 154.00
Ct 155.00
Ct 156.00
Ct 157.00
Ct 162.00
Ct 163.00
Ct 164.00
Ct 165.00
Ct 166.00
Ct 167.00
Ct 168.00
Ct 169.00
Low Inc—Mcclure
County—Snyder
Parts:
Adams Twp
McClure Boro
Spring Twp
West Beaver Twp
County—Union
Parts:
Hartleton Boro
Hartley Twp
Low Inc—Mckeesport
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 5010
C.T. 5509
C.T. 5512
C.T. 5519–5524
Low Inc—Middleburg
County—Juniata
Parts:
Monroe Township
Susquehanna Township
County—Snyder
Parts:
Beaver Township
Beavertown Borough
Centre Township
Chapman Township
Franklin Township
Freeburg Borough
Middleburg Borough
Perry Township
Union Township
Washington Township
West Perry Township
Low Inc—Mifflintown
County—Juniata
Parts:
Beale Township
Fayette Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Fermanagh Township
Lack Township
Mifflin Borough
Mifflintown Borough
Milford Township
Port Royal Borough
Spruce Hill Township
Turbett Township
Tuscarora Township
Walker Township
Low Inc—Northern Wayne
County—Wayne
Parts:
Buckingham Township
Damascus Township
Lebanon Township
Manchester Township
Mt. Pleasant Township
Preston Township
Scott Township
Starrucca Borough
Low Inc—Paupack
County—Pike
Parts:
Blooming Grove Twp.
Greene Township
Lackawaxen Township
Palmyra Township
Porter Townsip
Shohola Township
County—Wayne
Parts:
Dreher Township
Hawley Borough
Lake Township
Palmyra Township
Paupack Township
Salem Township
Sterling Township
Low Inc—Philipsburg
County—Centre
Parts:
Philipsburg Boro
Rush Twp
South Philipsburg Boro
County—Clearfield
Parts:
Boggs Twp
Brisbin Boro
Chester Hill Boro
Decatur Twp
Graham Twp
Houtzdale Boro
Morris Twp
Osceola Mills Boro
Wallaceton Boro
Woodward Twp
Low Inc—Pleasantville
County—Bedford
Parts:
East St. Clair Township
Kimmel Township
King Township
Lincoln Township
Pleasantville Borough
St. Clairsville Borough
Union Township
West St. Clair Township
County—Blair
Parts:
Greenfield Township
Low Inc—Sharon/Farrell

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Mercer
Parts:
C.T. 301–309
Low Inc—SE Lancaster
County—Lancaster
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 7–9
C.T. 14–16
Low Inc—Titusville
County—Crawford
Parts:
Athens Township
Centreville Borough
Hydetown Borough
Oil Creek Township
Randolph Township
Richmond Township
Rome Township
Steuben Township
Titusville City
Townville Borough
Troy Township
Wayne Township
County—Venango
Parts:
Allegheny Township
Cherrytree Township
Cooperstown Borough
Jackson Township
Oilcreek Township
Pleasantville Borough
Plum Township
County—Warren
Parts:
Eldred Township
Southwest Township
Low Inc—Union City (PA/NY)
County—Crawford
Parts:
Bloomfield Twp
Rockdale Twp
Sparta Twp
Spartansburg Boro
County—Erie
Parts:
C.T. 112.01
C.T. 118.01–118.02
C.T. 119
C.T. 120.01–120.02
C.T. 121
County—Warren
Parts:
Columbus Twp
Spring Creek Twp
Low Inc—Western Greene
County—Greene
Parts:
Aleppo Twp
Center Twp
Franklin Twp
Gray Twp
Jackson Twp
Morris Twp
Richhill Twp
Washington Twp
Waynesburg Boro
Low Inc/MFW—Chambersburg
County—Franklin
Parts:
Chambersburg Boro
Hamilton Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Letterkenny Twp
St. Thomas Twp
Low Income—Harrisburg
County—Dauphin
Parts:
C.T. 201–217
Med Ind—Erie City
County—Erie
Parts:
C.T. 1–30
Med Ind—Welsh Mountain
County—Berks
Parts:
Brecknock Twp
Caernarvon Twp
County—Chester
Parts:
Honey Brook Boro
Honey Brook Twp
County—Lancaster
Parts:
Adamstown Boro
Akron Boro
Brecknock Twp
Caernarvon Twp
Christiana Boro
Denver Boro
Earl Twp
East Cocalico Twp
East Earl Twp
Ephrata Boro
Ephrata Twp
Leacock Twp
New Holland Boro
Paradise Twp
Sadsbury Twp
Salisbury Twp
Terre Hill Boro
Upper Leacock Twp
West Earl Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Pennsylvania
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Allenwood
County—Union
FCI Mckean
County—McKean
FCI Schuylkill
County—Schuylkill
Sci Camp Hill
County—Cumberland
Sci Cresson
County—Cambria
Sci Greene
County—Greene
Sci Houtzdale
County—Clearfield
Sci Muncy
County—Lycoming
Sci Rockview
County—Centre
Sci Smithfield
County—Huntingdon
USP Allenwood
County—Union
USP Lewisburg
County—Union

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Rhode Island
County Listing

County Name

Newport
Population Group: Low Inc—Newport Co
Providence
Service Area: Northwest Providence
Service Area: Northwest Woonsocket
Population Group: Low Inc—Pawtucket/Central Falls
Population Group: Low Inc—Providence City
Facility: Ri Adult Corr Inst (Cranston)
Washington
Population Group: Narragansett Indian Tribe
Facility: Health Center Of South County
Facility: Wood River Health Services

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Rhode Island
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Northwest Providence
County—Providence
Parts:
Burrillville Town
Foster Town
Glocester Town
Northwest Woonsocket
County—Providence
Parts:
C.T. 172
C.T. 174
C.T. 176
C.T. 178–182

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Rhode Island
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Newport Co
County—Newport
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Pawtucket/Central Falls
County—Providence
Parts:
Ct 108
Ct 109
Ct 110
Ct 111
Ct 149
Ct 150
Ct 151
Ct 152
Ct 153
Ct 154
Ct 155
Ct 156
Ct 157
Ct 158
Ct 159
Ct 160
Ct 161
Ct 163
Ct 164
Ct 165
Ct 166
Ct 167.97
Ct 167.98
Ct 168
Ct 169
Ct 170
Ct 171

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Rhode Island
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Providence City
County—Providence
Parts:
Ct 011
Ct 012
Ct 013
Ct 014
Ct 015
Ct 016
Ct 017
Ct 018
Ct 019
Ct 020
Ct 021
Ct 022
Ct 023
Ct 025
Ct 026
Ct 027
Ct 028
Ct 029
Ct 030
Ct 031
Ct 032
Ct 033
Ct 035
Ct 036
Ct 037
C.T. 1–10
Narragansett Indian Tribe
County—Washington
Parts:
Charlestown Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Rhode Island
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Health Center Of South County
County—Washington
Ri Adult Corr Inst (Cranston)
County—Providence
Wood River Health Services
County—Washington

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
County Listing

County Name

*Abbeville
Aiken
Population Group: Low Inc—Aiken Co
*Allendale
Facility: Low Co Health Care System
Anderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Anderson Co
*Bamberg
Population Group: Low Inc—Bamberg
*Barnwell
*Beaufort
Service Area: Sheldon
Berkeley
Service Area: Cross
Service Area: McClellanville
Service Area: Northern Berkeley
*Calhoun
Charleston
Service Area: Edisto Is
Service Area: McClellanville
Service Area: Ravenel—Hollywood
Population Group: Low Inc—Sea Islands
Population Group: Low Inc—Peninsula Charleston

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc Pop—North Charleston
*Chester
*Chesterfield
Service Area: Sandhills
Population Group: Low Inc—Society Hill/E Chesterfield
*Clarendon
*Darlington
Population Group: Low Inc—Society Hill/E Chesterfield
*Dillon
Dorchester
Service Area: St George
Edgefield
*Fairfield
Florence
Service Area: Johnsonville/Brittons Neck
Service Area: Olanta
Population Group: Low Inc—Lake City
*Georgetown
Population Group: Low Inc—Georgetown
Greenville
Service Area: Slater-Marietta
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner City Greenville
*Hampton (g)
Facility: FCI Estil
Horry
Population Group: Low Inc—Loris
Population Group: Low Inc—Conway
*Jasper
*Lancaster
Service Area: Heath Springs
*Laurens
Population Group: Low Inc—Laurens
*Lee
Lexington
Service Area: Batesburg/Leesville
Service Area: Pelion-Swansea
*Marion
Service Area: Johnsonville/Brittons Neck
Population Group: Low Income—Marion/Mullins
*Marlboro
*McCormick
*Newberry
Service Area: Whitmire
*Oconee
Population Group: Low Inc—Oconee Co
*Orangeburg
Service Area: Eastern Orangeburg
Population Group: Low Inc Western Orangeburg
Richland
Service Area: Eastover
Service Area: Hopkins
Population Group: Low Inc—Columbia
*Saluda
Spartanburg
Service Area: Chesnee
Service Area: Woodruff/Enoree
Sumter
Service Area: Olanta
*Union
*Williamsburg
York
Service Area: Western York
Population Group: Catawba Indian Nation
Population Group: Low Inc—South Rock Hill

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Batesburg/Leesville
County—Lexington
Parts:
Batesburg-Leesville Division
Gilbert Division
Chesnee
County—Spartanburg
Parts:
Chesnee Division
Mayo Division
Cross
County—Berkeley
Parts:
Cross Division
Eastern Orangeburg
County—Orangeburg
Parts:
Bowman Division
Elloree Division
Eutawville Division
Holly Hill Division
Vance Division
Eastover
County—Richland
Parts:
Eastover Division
Edisto Is
County—Charleston
Parts:
C.T. 23.98
Heath Springs
County—Lancaster
Parts:
Heath Springs Division
Kershaw Division
Hopkins
County—Richland
Parts:
Hopkins Division
Johnsonville/Brittons Neck
County—Florence
Parts:
Johnsonville Division
County—Marion
Parts:
Brittons Neck Division
Centenary Division
McClellanville
County—Berkeley
Parts:
C.T. 204.01
County—Charleston
Parts:
C.T. 46.01
C.T. 50
Northern Berkeley
County—Berkeley
Parts:
Bonneau Division
St. Stephen Division
Olanta
County—Florence
Parts:
Olanta Division
Sardis Division
County—Sumter
Parts:
Shiloh Division
Pelion-Swansea
County—Lexington
Parts:
Pelion Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Swansea Division
Ravenel—Hollywood
County—Charleston
Parts:
C.T. 24.98
C.T. 25
Sandhills
County—Chesterfield
Parts:
Jefferson Division
McBee Division
Pageland Division
Sheldon
County—Beaufort
Parts:
Sheldon Division
Slater-Marietta
County—Greenville
Parts:
Highland Division
Slater Division
Tigerville Division
St George
County—Dorchester
Parts:
Harleyville Division
Reevesville Division
St. George Division
Western York
County—York
Parts:
Clover Division
Hickory Grove Division
McConnells Division
York Division
Whitmire
County—Newberry
Parts:
Whitmire Division
Woodruff/Enoree
County—Spartanburg
Parts:
Enoree Division
Woodruff Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Catawba Indian Nation
County—York
Parts:
C.T. 612.02
Low Inc Western Orangeburg
County—Orangeburg
Parts:
Branchville Div
Cope Div
Neeses Div
North Div
Norway Div
Orangeburg Div
Orangeburg West Div
Springfield Div
Low Inc—Aiken Co
County—Aiken
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Anderson Co
County—Anderson
Parts:
Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Bamberg
County—Bamberg
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Columbia
County—Richland
Parts:
C.T. 1–19
C.T. 20.01–20.02
C.T. 21–28
C.T. 105.01–105.02
C.T. 106
C.T. 107.01–107.03
C.T. 108.02–108.04
C.T. 109–110
C.T. 111.01–111.02
C.T. 112.01–112.02
C.T. 116.03–116.06
C.T. 117.01–117.02
Low Inc—Conway
County—Horry
Parts:
Aynor CCD
Conway East CCD
Conway CCD
Low Inc—Georgetown
County—Georgetown
Parts:
Andrews CCD
Georgetown CCD
Plantersville CCD
Pleasanthill-Folly Grove
Sampit-Santee CCD
Low Inc—Inner City Greenville
County—Greenville
Parts:
C.T. 1–10
C.T. 12.02
C.T. 13.01
C.T. 21.04–21.05
C.T. 21.08
C.T. 22.01–22.02
C.T. 23.03–23.04
Low Inc—Lake City
County—Florence
Parts:
Coward CCD
Lake City—Scranton
Low Inc—Laurens
County—Laurens
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Loris
County—Horry
Parts:
Floyd CCD
Longs CCD
Loris CCD
Low Inc—Oconee Co
County—Oconee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Peninsula Charleston
County—Charleston
Parts:
C.T. 4
C.T. 6–11
C.T. 13–14
Low Inc—Sea Islands
County—Charleston
Parts:
C.T. 21.01–21.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 22
Low Inc—Society Hill/E Chesterfield
County—Chesterfield
Parts:
Cheraw Division
Chesterfield Division
Patrick Division
County—Darlington
Parts:
Society Hill Division
Low Inc—South Rock Hill
County—York
Parts:
C.T. 601.01–601.02
C.T. 602–603
C.T. 604.01–604.02
C.T. 605.01–605.02
Low Inc Pop—North Charleston
County—Charleston
Parts:
C.T. 37
C.T. 41
C.T. 43–45
Low Income—Marion/Mullins
County—Marion
Parts:
Marion CCD
Mullins CCD

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Carolina
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Estil
County—Hampton
Low Co Health Care System
County—Allendale

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Dakota
County Listing

County Name

*Aurora
Service Area: Corsica/Armour
*Bennett
*Brookings
Service Area: Flandreau/Elkton
*Brown
Service Area: Ellendale/Edgeley (ND/SD)
*Butte
Service Area: Newell
*Campbell
*Charles Mix
*Clark
*Clay
Service Area: Beresford/Alcester
*Corson
Service Area: Isabel
Service Area: Lemmon (SD/ND)
Service Area: McLaughlin
*Davison
Service Area: Corsica/Armour
*Day
*Deuel
*Dewey
Service Area: Eagle Butte
Service Area: Isabel
*Douglas
Service Area: Corsica/Armour
*Edmunds
*Fall River
*Faulk

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Dakota
County Listing

County Name

*Grant
Service Area: Milbank
*Gregory
Service Area: Fairfax
*Haakon
*Hamlin
*Hanson
Service Area: Salem
*Harding
*Hyde
*Jackson
*Jones
*Kingsbury
Lincoln
*Lyman
*McCook
Service Area: Salem
*Meade
Service Area: Faith
*Mellette
*Miner
*Moody
Service Area: Flandreau/Elkton
*MCPherson
Service Area: Leola
Pennington
Service Area: N. Rapid City
*Perkins
Service Area: Faith
Service Area: Lemmon (SD/ND)
*Potter
Service Area: Gettysburg/Agar
*Roberts
Service Area: Milbank
*Shannon
*Spink
*Sully
Service Area: Gettysburg/Agar
*Todd
*Turner
*Union
Service Area: Beresford/Alcester
Service Area: Elk Point
*Ziebach
Service Area: Eagle Butte
Service Area: Faith
Service Area: Isabel

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Beresford/Alcester
County—Clay
Parts:
Glenwood Township
County—Union
Parts:
Beresford City
Big Sioux Township
Emmet Township
Prairie Township
Virginia Township
Corsica/Armour
County—Aurora
Parts:
Aurora Township
Center Township
Truro Township
Washington Township
County—Davison
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Baker Township
County—Douglas
Eagle Butte
County—Dewey
Parts:
Eagle Butte City
South Dewey Unorg.
County—Ziebach
Parts:
Eagle Butte City
South Ziebach Unorg.
Elk Point
County—Union
Parts:
Brule Township
Elk Point City
Elk Point Township
Richland Unorg.
Ellendale/Edgeley (ND/SD)
County—Brown
Parts:
Allison Township
Frederick Town
Frederick Township
Greenfield Township
Liberty Township
Osceola Township
Palmyra Township
Richland Township
Savo Township
Fairfax
County—Gregory
Parts:
Bonesteel City
East Gregory Unorg
Fairfax Twp
Fairfax Town
Pleasant Valley Twp
Schriever Twp
Southeast Gregory Unorg
St Charles Twp
Star Valley Twp
Faith
County—Meade
Parts:
Eagle Township
Faith City
Howard Township
North Meade Unorg.
Union Township
Upper Red Owl Township
County—Perkins
Parts:
Ada Township
Antelope Township
Beck Township
Brushy Township
Chance Township
Chaudoin Township
Duell Township
Englewood Township
Foster Township
Hall Township
Highland Township
Lone Tree Township
Maltby Township
Martin Township
Moreau Township
South Perkins Unorg.
Southwest Perkins Unorg.
Vickers Township
Vrooman Township

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Wells Township
West Central Perkins Unorg.
West Perkins Unorg.
Wyandotte Township
County—Ziebach
Parts:
Dupree City
Dupree Unorg.
Flandreau/Elkton
County—Brookings
Parts:
Elkton City
Elkton Township
County—Moody
Gettysburg/Agar
County—Potter
County—Sully
Isabel
County—Corson
Parts:
Pleasant Ridge Township
County—Dewey
Parts:
Isabel City
North Dewey Unorg.
Timber Lake City
County—Ziebach
Parts:
North Ziebach Unorg.
Lemmon (SD/ND)
County—Corson
Parts:
Custer Twp
Delaney Twp
Grand Valley Twp
Lake Twp
Lemon No. 2 Unorg
McIntosh City
Morristown Town
Pioneer Twp
Prairie View Twp
Riverside Twp
Rolling Green Twp
Sherman Twp
Twin Butte Twp
Watauga Twp
West Corson Unorg
County—Perkins
Parts:
Anderson Twp
Barrett Twp
Bison Town
Bison Twp
Burdick Twp
Cash Twp
Castle Butte Twp
Clark Twp
De Witt Twp
Duck Creek Unorg
East Perkins Unorg
Flat Creek Twp
Fredlund Twp
Glendo Twp
Grand River Twp
Horse Creek Twp
Independence Unorg
Lemmon City
Liberty Twp
Lincoln Twp
Lodgepole Twp
Marshfield Twp
Meadow Twp

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Plateau Twp
Pleasant Valley Twp
Rainbow Twp
Rockford Twp
Scotch Cap Twp
Sidney Twp
Strool Twp
Trail Twp
Vail Twp
Viking Twp
White Hill Twp
White Butte Twp
Wilson Twp
Leola
County—MCPherson
Parts:
Carl Township
Central MCPherson Unorg.
Hoffman Township
Leola City
Long Lake Town
Wachter Township
Wacker Township
Weber Township
Wetonka Town
McLaughlin
County—Corson
Parts:
Cadillac Township
Central Corson Unorg.
Lincoln Township
Mahto Township
McLaughlin City
Mission Township
Northeast Corson Unorg.
Ridgeland Township
Wakpala Township
Walker Township
Milbank
County—Grant
Parts:
Adams Twp
Alban Twp
Albee Town
Blooming Valley Twp
Farmington Twp
Georgia Twp
Grant Center Twp
Kilborn Twp
La Bolt Town
Lura Twp
Madison Twp
Marvin Town
Mazeppa Twp
Melrose Twp
Milbank City
Osceola Twp
Revillo Town
Stockholm Twp
Stockholm Town
Strandburg Town
Troy Twp
Twin Brooks Twp
Twin Brooks Town
Vernon Twp
County—Roberts
Parts:
Garfield Twp
Geneseo Twp
N. Rapid City
County—Pennington
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: South Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 101–105
C.T. 114–115
Newell
County—Butte
Parts:
East Butte Unorg
Newell City
Union Twp
Vale Twp
Salem
County—Hanson
Parts:
Edgerton Twp
Emery Town
Farmer Town
Spring Lake Twp
Taylor Twp
County—McCook

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
County Listing

County Name

Anderson
Service Area: Briceville/Lake City
*Bedford
Population Group: Low Inc—Bedford
*Bledsoe
Service Area: Dayton/Pikeville/Decatur
Blount
Population Group: Low Inc—Blount
*Campbell
Population Group: Low Inc—Campbell Co
Carter
Population Group: Low Inc—Carter
Cheatham
*Claiborne
Population Group: Low Inc—Claiborne Co
*Cocke
Population Group: Low Inc—Cocke Co
*Crockett
Population Group: Low Inc—Crockett Co
*Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc—Cumberland
Davidson
Population Group: Low Inc—Waverly-Belmont
Population Group: Low Inc—E Nashville
Facility: Downtown Homeless Clinic
*Decatur
Population Group: Low Inc—Decatur Co
Dickson
Service Area: Vanleer/Shiloh
Fayette
*Fentress
Population Group: Low Inc—Fentress Co
*Gibson
Population Group: Low Inc—Gibson
*Giles
Population Group: Low Inc—Giles Co
Grainger
*Greene
Service Area: Baileyton
*Grundy
Hamilton
Service Area: Middle Valley
*Hancock
*Hardeman
Hawkins
*Haywood
*Henderson
*Hickman

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
County Listing

County Name

*Jackson
Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jefferson
*Johnson
Knox
Service Area: Mechanicsville
*Lake
*Lauderdale
*Lawrence
Population Group: Low Inc—Lawrence
*Lewis
Population Group: Low Inc—Lewis
*Lincoln
Service Area: Cash Point—Blanche
*Macon
Madison
Service Area: E Jackson
*Marshall
Population Group: Low Inc—Marshall
*Meigs
Service Area: Dayton/Pikeville/Decatur
Montgomery
Service Area: Vanleer/Shiloh
*Moore
*Morgan
*Obion
Service Area: Hornbeak/Samburg
*Overton
Population Group: Low Inc—Overton Co
*Pickett
*Polk
Population Group: Low Inc—Benton/Parkville
*Putnam
Population Group: Low Inc—Putnam Co
*Rhea
Service Area: Dayton/Pikeville/Decatur
*Roane
Population Group: Low Inc—Roane Co
Robertson
Population Group: Low Inc—Robertson
Rutherford
Service Area: Eagleville
*Scott
Population Group: Low Inc—Scott Co
Sevier
Population Group: Low Inc—Sevier
Shelby
Population Group: Low Inc—Whitehaven-Levi/NW Oakhaven
Population Group: Low Inc—Millington
Population Group: Low Inc—Frayser
Population Group: Low Inc—NW Memphis
Facility: FCI Memphis
Facility: Hope Center
*Stewart
Population Group: Low Inc—Stewart Co
Tipton
Population Group: Low Inc—Tipton
*Trousdale
Population Group: Low Inc—Trousddale
Unicoi
Union
*Van Buren
Population Group: Low Inc—Van Buren Co
*Warren
Population Group: Low Inc—Warrren Co
*Weakley
Population Group: Low Inc—Dresden
*White

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Baileyton
County—Greene
Parts:
Baileyton Division
Briceville/Lake City
County—Anderson
Parts:
Lake City East CCD
Lake City West CCD
New River CCD
Cash Point—Blanche
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Cash Point-Blanche Division
Dayton/Pikeville/Decatur
County—Bledsoe
County—Meigs
County—Rhea
E Jackson
County—Madison
Parts:
C.T. 5
C.T. 8–12
Eagleville
County—Rutherford
Parts:
Eagleville Division
Hornbeak/Samburg
County—Obion
Parts:
Hornbeak-Samburg Division
Mechanicsville
County—Knox
Parts:
C.T. 1–7
C.T. 11–14
C.T. 20
C.T. 28
Middle Valley
County—Hamilton
Parts:
Middle Valley Division
Sale Creek Division
Soddy-Daisy Division
Vanleer/Shiloh
County—Dickson
Parts:
Vanleer Division
County—Montgomery
Parts:
Palmyra-Shiloh Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Bedford
County—Bedford
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Benton/Parkville
County—Polk
Parts:
Low Inc Benton CCD
Low Inc Parkville CCD
Low Inc—Blount
County—Blount
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Campbell Co
County—Campbell
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income
Low Inc—Carter
County—Carter
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Claiborne Co
County—Claiborne
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cocke Co
County—Cocke
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Crockett Co
County—Crockett
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cumberland
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Decatur Co
County—Decatur
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dresden
County—Weakley
Parts:
Chestnut Glade-Dukedom
Dresden Division
Gleason Division
Palmersville Division
Low Inc—E Nashville
County—Davidson
Parts:
C.T. 112–126
Low Inc—Fentress Co
County—Fentress
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Frayser
County—Shelby
Parts:
C.T. 99–100
C.T. 101.10
C.T. 101.20
C.T. 102.10
C.T. 102.20
C.T. 103
Low Inc—Gibson
County—Gibson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Giles Co
County—Giles
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Jefferson
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lawrence
County—Lawrence
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lewis
County—Lewis
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marshall
County—Marshall
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income
Low Inc—Millington
County—Shelby
Parts:
C.T. 202.10
C.T. 202.20
C.T. 203–204
Low Inc—NW Memphis
County—Shelby
Parts:
C.T. 1–10
C.T. 13–28
C.T. 30
C.T. 89–90
Low Inc—Overton Co
County—Overton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Putnam Co
County—Putnam
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Roane Co
County—Roane
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Robertson
County—Robertson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Scott Co
County—Scott
Parts:
Low Inc Pop
Low Inc—Sevier
County—Sevier
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Stewart Co
County—Stewart
Parts:
Low Inc Pop
Low Inc—Tipton
County—Tipton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Trousddale
County—Trousdale
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Van Buren Co
County—Van Buren
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Warrren Co
County—Warren
Parts:
Low Inc—Warren Co
Low Inc—Waverly-Belmont
County—Davidson
Parts:
C.T. 148
C.T. 160–163
C.T. 170–171
Low Inc—Whitehaven-Levi/NW Oakhaven
County—Shelby
Parts:
C.T. 104.10
C.T. 104.20
C.T. 105
C.T. 220.10
C.T. 220.21–220.22

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 221.11–221.12
C.T. 221.20
C.T. 221.30
C.T. 222.10
C.T. 222.20
C.T. 223.10
C.T. 223.20
C.T. 223.30
C.T. 224.10
C.T. 224.20

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Tennessee
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Downtown Homeless Clinic
County—Davidson
FCI Memphis
County—Shelby
Hope Center
County—Shelby

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
County Listing

County Name

*Anderson
Facility: Beto Prs
Facility: Coffield Prs
Facility: Gurney Prs
Facility: Michael Prs
Facility: Powledge Prs
*Andrews
Population Group: Med Ind—Andrews Co
*Angelina
Facility: Diboll Prs
*Aransas
Archer
*Armstrong
*Atascosa
*Austin
*Bailey
*Bandera
Bastrop
*Baylor
Population Group: Low Income—Baylor County
*Bee (g)
Facility: McConell Psn
Bexar
Service Area: San Antonio (West Side)
Service Area: San Antonio (Southside)
Service Area: San Antonio (Eastside)
Facility: Dominguez Prs
*Blanco
*Borden
Bowie
Facility: Telford Prs
Brazoria
Facility: Ramsey I Prs
Facility: Ramsey II Prs
*Brewster
*Briscoe
*Brooks
*Burleson
Caldwell
Cameron (g)
Facility: Port Isabel INS Health Facility
*Camp
Population Group: Low-Income Camp County
*Carson

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
County Listing

County Name

*Chambers
*Cherokee
Population Group: Low Inc—Cherokee Co
Facility: Skyview/Hodge Psn
*Cochran
*Coke
*Coleman
*Collingsworth
Comal
Population Group: Low Inc—Comal County
Coryell (g)
Facility: Gatesville Prison
Facility: Hughes Prs
Facility: Mountain View Prison
*Crane (g)
Facility: Tx Tech Univ Pc Clinics
*Crockett
Dallas
Service Area: Lisbon
Service Area: Simpson-Stuart
Service Area: South Dallas
Service Area: Trinity
Facility: Hutchins Prs
Facility: Parkland Mem Hosp Outpt Cl (C.T. 100)
*Dawson
*Deaf Smith
*Delta
Denton
Population Group: Low Inc—N Denton
*Dickens
*Dimmit
Service Area: Dimmit-Zavala
*Donley
*Duval
El Paso
Service Area: Lower Valley—El Paso
Service Area: South El Paso
Service Area: Southeast El Paso
Facility: El Paso INS Health Facility
Facility: Tx Tech Med. Ambulatory Cl
*Falls
*Fannin (g)
Facility: Choice Moore Prs
Facility: Cole Prs
*Fisher
*Foard
Fort Bend
Facility: Jester I Prs
Facility: Jester II Prs
*Freestone
Facility: Boyd Prs
*Frio (g)
Facility: Briscoe Prs
*Gaines
Galveston
Service Area: Bolivar Penninsula
*Garza
*Glasscock
*Goliad
*Gonzales
Population Group: Low Inc—Gonzales Co
*Grimes (g)
Facility: Luther Prs
*Hale
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Hale Co
*Hall
*Hansford
Hardin
Harris
Service Area: Acres Home
Service Area: Aldine

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Casa De Amigos
Service Area: East Central Houston
Service Area: Galena Park/Jacinto City
Service Area: Ripley
Service Area: Settegast
Service Area: South Central Houston
Facility: Joe Kegan Psn
Facility: Lychner Prs
*Hartley
*Henderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Henderson Co
Hidalgo (g)
Facility: Lopez Psn
Facility: Segovia Psn
*Hill
*Hockley
*Houston
Facility: Eastham Prs
*Hudspeth
*Hunt
Population Group: Low Inc—Hunt Co
*Irion
*Jackson
*Jasper
Facility: Goodman Prs
Jefferson
Service Area: Port Arthur Inner City
Facility: Gist Prs
Facility: Leblanc Prs
Facility: Stiles Prs
*Jim Wells
*Jones
*Karnes (g)
Facility: Conanally Prison
*Kenedy
*Kent
*King
*Kinney
*Knox
*La Salle
*Lamb
*Lampasas
*Lee
*Leon
Liberty
Facility: Henley Prs
Facility: Hightower Prs
Facility: Plane Prs
*Limestone
*Lipscomb
*Live Oak (g)
Facility: FCI Three Rivers
*Loving
Lubbock
Service Area: East Lubbock
*Lynn
*Madison
Facility: Ferguson Prs
*Marion
*Mason
*Maverick
*McMullen
*Medina
*Menard
*Milam
*Mills
*Moore
Population Group: Low Inc—Moore Co
*Morris
*Motley
*Nacogdoches

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Nacogdoches Co
Nueces
Service Area: Port Aransas
*Oldham
Orange
Population Group: Low Income— Orange County
*Palo Pinto
Population Group: Low Inc—Palo Pinto Co
*Panola
*Parmer
*Polk (g)
Facility: Terrell Prison
*Presidio
*Rains
*Reagan
*Red River
*Reeves
*Refugio
*Roberts
*Robertson
*Runnels
*Rusk
*Sabine
*San Augustine
*San Jacinto
*San Saba
*Shackelford
*Shelby
Population Group: Low Inc—Shelby Co
*Sherman
*Starr
*Stephens
*Sterling
*Swisher
Tarrant
Service Area: Diamond Hill
Service Area: Poly/Stop Six
Facility: Jp Smith Hosp Clinics (Fh,Gyn,Med,Ob,Ped
*Terrell
*Terry
Travis
Service Area: Dove Springs
Service Area: East Austin
Service Area: South Austin
*Trinity
*Tyler (g)
Facility: Lewis Prs
Upshur
*Upton
Population Group: Low Income-Upton County
*Uvalde
Population Group: Low Inc—Uvalde Co
*Val Verde
*Van Zandt
*Walker
Facility: Ellis I Prs
Facility: Holliday Prs
Facility: Wynne Prs
Waller
*Ward
Webb
*Wheeler
Population Group: Low Inc—Wheeler Co
*Willacy
Wilson
*Winkler
*Wise
*Wood

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
County Listing

County Name

Facility: Johnston Prs
*Yoakum
*Zapata
*Zavala
Service Area: Dimmit-Zavala

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Acres Home
County—Harris
Parts:
C.T. 524
C.T. 525.02–525.04
C.T. 530.02
C.T. 531.01
C.T. 531.03
Aldine
County—Harris
Parts:
C.T. 222.01–222.02
C.T. 223.01–223.03
C.T. 224.01
C.T. 240.02
Bolivar Penninsula
County—Galveston
Parts:
C.T. 1254
Casa De Amigos
County—Harris
Parts:
C.T. 502
C.T. 503.01–503.02
C.T. 504
C.T. 505.01–505.02
C.T. 506.01–506.02
C.T. 507.01–507.02
C.T. 508
C.T. 509.02–509.03
C.T. 512
C.T. 514.01–514.02
C.T. 515.02
Diamond Hill
County—Tarrant
Parts:
C.T. 1002.01–1002.02
C.T. 1003–1004
C.T. 1008–1011
C.T. 1050.01
C.T. 1050.06
Dimmit-Zavala
County—Dimmit
County—Zavala
Dove Springs
County—Travis
Parts:
C.T. 24.11–24.13
East Austin
County—Travis
Parts:
C.T. 4.02
C.T. 8.01–8.04
C.T. 9.01–9.02
C.T. 10
C.T. 18.11–18.12
C.T. 21.04–21.13
C.T. 22.01–22.02
C.T. 22.05
East Central Houston
County—Harris
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 201.01–201.02
C.T. 202.10
C.T. 202.20
C.T. 203.01–203.03
C.T. 204
C.T. 205.01
C.T. 205.03
C.T. 205.98
C.T. 206.01
C.T. 206.98
C.T. 207.03–207.04
C.T. 208.02–208.03
C.T. 209
C.T. 210.01
C.T. 214.01
East Lubbock
County—Lubbock
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3.01–3.02
C.T. 6.03–6.06
C.T. 7–11
C.T. 13–14
C.T. 23–25
Galena Park/Jacinto City
County—Harris
Parts:
C.T. 211–212
Lisbon
County—Dallas
Parts:
C.T. 56–57
C.T. 59.01–59.02
C.T. 87.01
C.T. 87.03–87.05
C.T. 88.01–88.02
Lower Valley—El Paso
County—El Paso
Parts:
C.T. 35
C.T. 37.01–37.02
C.T. 38.01–38.02
C.T. 41.03–41.07
C.T. 42.01–42.02
Poly/Stop Six
County—Tarrant
Parts:
C.T. 1035
C.T. 1036.01
C.T. 1037.01–1037.02
C.T. 1046.01
C.T. 1046.04
C.T. 1062.01–1062.02
C.T. 1063
Port Aransas
County—Nueces
Parts:
C.T. 51.02
Port Arthur Inner City
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 51–65
Ripley
County—Harris
Parts:
C.T. 300.22–300.23
C.T. 301.01–301.02
C.T. 302
C.T. 308.20
C.T. 309.01–309.03
C.T. 310–312

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 313.01–313.02
C.T. 314.02
C.T. 319.01
C.T. 321.01–321.02
San Antonio (Eastside)
County—Bexar
Parts:
C.T. 1101–1104
C.T. 1109–1110
C.T. 1301–1306
C.T. 1307.85
C.T. 1308–1313
C.T. 1401
San Antonio (Southside)
County—Bexar
Parts:
C.T. 1402–1412
C.T. 1416–1418
C.T. 1501–1522
C.T. 1609
C.T. 1610.85
C.T. 1611–1612
C.T. 1619–1620
San Antonio (West Side)
County—Bexar
Parts:
C.T. 1105–1108
C.T. 1601–1606
C.T. 1607.85
C.T. 1616
C.T. 1701–1716
C.T. 1901–1902
Settegast
County—Harris
Parts:
C.T. 207.01–207.02
C.T. 208.01
C.T. 215.01–215.03
C.T. 216.01–216.02
C.T. 217.01–217.02
C.T. 218.03–218.04
C.T. 225.03–225.04
C.T. 227
Simpson-Stuart
County—Dallas
Parts:
C.T. 112–113
C.T. 114.01–114.02
C.T. 167.01
C.T. 169.01
South Austin
County—Travis
Parts:
C.T. 23.04
C.T. 23.10–23.12
C.T. 24.16
South Central Houston
County—Harris
Parts:
C.T. 318.02–318.03
C.T. 319.02
C.T. 325.01–325.02
C.T. 327.01–327.02
C.T. 328.01–328.03
C.T. 329.02–329.03
C.T. 339.03
C.T. 340
C.T. 342
C.T. 343.01–343.02
South Dallas
County—Dallas
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 25
C.T. 27.01–27.02
C.T. 28–29
C.T. 33–38
C.T. 39.01–39.02
C.T. 40
C.T. 93.03–93.04
C.T. 115
C.T. 116.01
South El Paso
County—El Paso
Parts:
C.T. 17–21
C.T. 28–29
Southeast El Paso
County—El Paso
Parts:
C.T. 39.01–39.03
C.T. 40.01–40.02
C.T. 103.08–103.10
C.T. 104.01–104.04
C.T. 105
Trinity
County—Dallas
Parts:
C.T. 41
C.T. 49
C.T. 54–55
C.T. 86.01–86.02
C.T. 89

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Cherokee Co
County—Cherokee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Comal County
County—Comal
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gonzales Co
County—Gonzales
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Henderson Co
County—Henderson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hunt Co
County—Hunt
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Moore Co
County—Moore
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—N Denton
County—Denton
Parts:
Denton CCD
Pilot Point—Aubrey CCD
Sanger CCD
Low Inc—Nacogdoches Co
County—Nacogdoches
Parts:
Nacogdoches
Low Inc—Palo Pinto Co
County—Palo Pinto
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low-Income
Low Inc—Shelby Co
County—Shelby
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Uvalde Co
County—Uvalde
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wheeler Co
County—Wheeler
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Hale Co
County—Hale
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Income— Orange County
County—Orange
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Baylor County
County—Baylor
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income-Upton County
County—Upton
Parts:
Low Income
Low-Income Camp County
County—Camp
Parts:
Low-Income
Med Ind—Andrews Co
County—Andrews
Parts:
Medically Indigent

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Beto Prs
County—Anderson
Boyd Prs
County—Freestone
Briscoe Prs
County—Frio
Choice Moore Prs
County—Fannin
Coffield Prs
County—Anderson
Cole Prs
County—Fannin
Conanally Prison
County—Karnes
Diboll Prs
County—Angelina
Dominguez Prs
County—Bexar
Eastham Prs
County—Houston
El Paso INS Health Facility
County—El Paso
Ellis I Prs
County—Walker
Ferguson Prs
County—Madison
FCI Three Rivers
County—Live Oak
Gatesville Prison

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Texas
Facility Listing

Facility Name

County—Coryell
Gist Prs
County—Jefferson
Goodman Prs
County—Jasper
Gurney Prs
County—Anderson
Henley Prs
County—Liberty
Hightower Prs
County—Liberty
Holliday Prs
County—Walker
Hughes Prs
County—Coryell
Hutchins Prs
County—Dallas
Jester I Prs
County—Fort Bend
Jester II Prs
County—Fort Bend
Joe Kegan Psn
County—Harris
Johnston Prs
County—Wood
Jp Smith Hosp Clinics (Fh,Gyn,Med,Ob,Ped
County—Tarrant
Leblanc Prs
County—Jefferson
Lewis Prs
County—Tyler
Lopez Psn
County—Hidalgo
Luther Prs
County—Grimes
Lychner Prs
County—Harris
McConell Psn
County—Bee
Michael Prs
County—Anderson
Mountain View Prison
County—Coryell
Parkland Mem Hosp Outpt Cl (C.T. 100)
County—Dallas
Plane Prs
County—Liberty
Port Isabel INS Health Facility
County—Cameron
Powledge Prs
County—Anderson
Ramsey I Prs
County—Brazoria
Ramsey II Prs
County—Brazoria
Segovia Psn
County—Hidalgo
Skyview/Hodge Psn
County—Cherokee
Stiles Prs
County—Jefferson
Telford Prs
County—Bowie
Terrell Prison
County—Polk
Tx Tech Med. Ambulatory Cl
County—El Paso
Tx Tech Univ Pc Clinics
County—Crane
Wynne Prs
County—Walker

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Utah
County Listing

County Name

*Beaver
  Population Group: Low Inc—Beaver Co
*Box Elder
  Service Area: West Box Elder
*Carbon
*Daggett
*Duchesne
  Population Group: Low Inc—Duchesne Co
*Emery
*Garfield
*Grand
*Juab
*Kane
  Service Area: Kanab/Fredonia (UT/AZ)
*Millard
*Morgan
  Population Group: Low Income—Morgan Co
*Piute
*Rich
  Population Group: Low Income—Rich Co
Salt Lake
  Population Group: Low Income—Salt Lake Co
  Facility: Utah State Prison
*San Juan
*Sanpete
  Population Group: Low Inc—Sanpete
  Facility: Central Utah Corr Fac
*Sevier
  Population Group: Low Inc—Sevier
*Tooele
*Uintah
Utah
  Population Group: Low Income—Utah County
*Wasatch
*Washington
  Population Group: Low Income—Washington County
*Wayne
Weber
  Population Group: Low Income—Weber County

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Utah
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Kanab/Fredonia (UT/AZ)
  County—Kane
West Box Elder
  County—Box Elder
    Parts:
      West Box Elder Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Utah
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Beaver Co
  County—Beaver
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Inc—Duchesne Co
  County—Duchesne
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Inc—Sanpete
  County—Sanpete
    Parts:
      Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Utah
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Sevier
  County—Sevier
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Income—Morgan Co
  County—Morgan
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Income—Rich Co
  County—Rich
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Income—Salt Lake Co
  County—Salt Lake
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Income—Utah County
  County—Utah
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Income—Washington County
  County—Washington
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Income—Weber County
  County—Weber
    Parts:
      Low Income

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Utah
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Central Utah Corr Fac
  County—Sanpete
Utah State Prison
  County—Salt Lake

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Vermont
County Listing

County Name

*Addison
  Service Area: Route 100
*Caledonia
  Population Group: Low Inc—Hardwick
*Essex
  Service Area: Island Pond
  Service Area: Upper Connecticut Valley (NH/VT)
Franklin
  Service Area: Richford-Enosburg
*Lamoille
  Population Group: Low Inc—Hardwick
*Orange
  Service Area: Chelsea
*Orleans
  Service Area: Island Pond
  Service Area: Richford-Enosburg
  Population Group: Low Inc—Hardwick
  Facility: Northern State Correctional Facility
*Rutland
  Service Area: Black River Valley
  Service Area: Pawlet/Granville (VT/NY)
  Service Area: Route 100
*Washington
  Service Area: Mad River Valley
  Population Group: Low Inc—Hardwick
*Windsor
  Service Area: Black River Valley
  Service Area: Route 100

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Vermont
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Black River Valley
  County—Rutland
    Parts:
      Mount Holly Town
  County—Windsor
    Parts:
      Cavendish Town
      Ludlow Town
      Plymouth Town
      Reading Town
Chelsea
  County—Orange
    Parts:
      Chelsea Town
      Corinth Town
      Strafford Town
      Tunbridge Town
      Vershire Town
      Washington Town
Island Pond
  County—Essex
    Parts:
      Avery's Gore
      Brighton Town
      Ferdinand Town
      Lewis Town
      Norton Town
      Warner's Grant
      Warren's Gore
  County—Orleans
    Parts:
      Charleston Town
      Morgan Town
Mad River Valley
  County—Washington
    Parts:
      Fayston Town
      Moretown Town
      Waitsfield Town
      Warren Town
Pawlet/Granville (VT/NY)
  County—Rutland
    Parts:
      Danby Town
      Middletown Springs To
      Mount Tabor Town
      Pawlet Town
      Poultney Town
      Tinmouth Town
      Wells Town
Richford-Enosburg
  County—Franklin
    Parts:
      Bakersfield Town
      Berkshire Town
      Enosburg Town
      Fairfield Town
      Franklin Town
      Montgomery Town
      Richford Town
      Sheldon Town
  County—Orleans
    Parts:
      Jay Town
Route 100
  County—Addison
    Parts:
      Granville Town
      Hancock Town
  County—Rutland
    Parts:
      Pittsfield Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Vermont
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Windsor
Parts:
Rochester Town
Stockbridge Town
Upper Connecticut Valley (NH/VT)
County—Essex
Parts:
Averill Town
Bloomfield Town
Brunswick Town
Canaan Town
Lemington Town
Maidstone Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Vermont
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Hardwick
County—Caledonia
Parts:
Hardwick Town
Stannard Town
Walden Town
County—Lamoille
Parts:
Wolcott Town
County—Orleans
Parts:
Craftsbury Town
Greensboro Town
County—Washington
Parts:
Woodbury Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Vermont
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Northern State Correctional Facility
County—Orleans

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virginia
County Listing

County Name

*Accomack
*Alleghany
Service Area: Clifton Forge/Covington
*Amelia
*Appomattox
Bedford
Service Area: Big Island
*Bland
Botetourt
Service Area: Northern Botetourt
*Brunswick
Service Area: Brunswick/La Crosse
*Buchanan
Campbell/Lynchburg
Service Area: Altavista/Chatham
Facility: Free Clinic Of Central Va
*Caroline
*Carroll
Service Area: Laurel Fork
Charles City
Population Group: Low Inc—Charles City Co
*Charlotte
Chesapeake
Service Area: South Norfolk
*Clifton Forge City (Indep)

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virginia
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Clifton Forge/Covington
*Covington City (Indep)
Service Area: Clifton Forge/Covington
*Craig
*Dickenson
Dinwiddie/Petersburg
Facility: FCI Petersburg
*Franklin
Goochland
Service Area: Goochland/Fife
*Grayson/Galax
Service Area: Trout Dale/Independence
Hanover
Service Area: Beaverdam/Montpelier
*Henry/Martinsville
Population Group: Low Inc—Martinsville
*Highland
Isle Of Wight
Service Area: Berlin-Ivor
King George
*Lee
*Louisa
Service Area: Beaverdam/Montpelier
*Lunenburg
*Mecklenburg
Service Area: Brunswick/La Crosse
Service Area: Chase City
Facility: Comm. Memorial HC—Primary Care Clinic
*Nelson
New Kent
Newport News
Service Area: Newport News
*Northampton
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Northampton Co
*Northumberland
*Page
*Patrick
Pittsylvania/Danville
Service Area: Altavista/Chatham
Population Group: Low Inc—Danville
Portsmouth City
Service Area: Downtown Portsmouth
*Richmond
Richmond City
Service Area: East End Richmond
Service Area: Old South Richmond
Population Group: Homeless—Richmond City
Roanoke/Roanoke-Salem
Service Area: Northwest Roanoke
*Rockbridge/Buena Vista
Service Area: Big Island
*Russell
*Smyth
Service Area: Konnarock
Service Area: Saltville
*Southampton/Franklin
Service Area: Berlin-Ivor
Spotsylvania/Fredericksbg
Service Area: Beaverdam/Montpelier
Suffolk
Service Area: City Of Suffolk
*Surry
*Sussex
Washington/Bristol
Service Area: Mendota
Service Area: Saltville
*Westmoreland

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Altavista/Chatham
County—Campbell/Lynchburg
Parts:
C.T. 204.98
C.T. 205–209
County—Pittsylvania/Danville
Parts:
C.T. 101–107
Beaverdam/Montpelier
County—Hanover
Parts:
C.T. 3201–3202
County—Louisa
Parts:
C.T. 9501
C.T. 9505
County—Spotsylvania/Fredericksbg
Parts:
C.T. 204.01
Berlin-Ivor
County—Isle Of Wight
Parts:
Hardy District
County—Southampton/Franklin
Parts:
Berlin And Ivor District
Big Island
County—Bedford
Parts:
Peaks District
County—Rockbridge/Buena Vista
Parts:
Natural Bridge District
Brunswick/La Crosse
County—Brunswick
County—Mecklenburg
Parts:
La Crosse District
Chase City
County—Mecklenburg
Parts:
Bluestone District
Boydton District
Buckhorn District
Chase City District
Clarksville District
City Of Suffolk
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 651
C.T. 653–655
C.T. 756
Clifton Forge/Covington
County—Alleghany
County—Clifton Forge City (Indep)
County—Covington City (Indep)
Downtown Portsmouth
County—Portsmouth City
Parts:
C.T. 2107
C.T. 2110–2111
C.T. 2113–2114
C.T. 2117–2121
East End Richmond
County—Richmond City
Parts:
C.T. 201–212
Goochland/Fife
County—Goochland
Parts:
C.T. 4002–4005
Konnarock

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Smyth
Parts:
C.T. 9907
Laurel Fork
County—Carroll
Parts:
Laurel Fork District
Mendota
County—Washington/Bristol
Parts:
Tyler District
Newport News
County—Newport News
Parts:
C.T. 301–302
C.T. 302.99
C.T. 303.98
C.T. 304–306
C.T. 308–310
C.T. 313
Northern Botetourt
County—Botetourt
Parts:
C.T. 401–402
Northwest Roanoke
County—Roanoke/Roanoke-Salem
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 7–10
C.T. 23
Old South Richmond
County—Richmond City
Parts:
C.T. 601–605
C.T. 607.98
C.T. 608.98
Saltville
County—Smyth
Parts:
North Fork District
Saltville District
County—Washington/Bristol
Parts:
Jefferson District
South Norfolk
County—Chesapeake
Parts:
C.T. 201–204
C.T. 205.01–205.02
C.T. 206–207
Tri-County(Buck/Fluv/Cumb)
Trout Dale/Independence
County—Grayson/Galax
Parts:
Elk Creek District
Wilson Creek District

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virginia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Richmond City
County—Richmond City
Parts:
C.T. 301–306
C.T. 412–415
Low Inc—Charles City Co
County—Charles City
Parts:
Low Inc—Chrls Cty Co
Low Inc—Danville
County—Pittsylvania/Danville

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virginia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
C.T. 108.98
C.T. 109–111
C.T. 112.98
C.T. 113.98
C.T. 114
Danville City
Low Inc—Martinsville
County—Henry/Martinsville
Parts:
Henry Co
Martinsville City
Low Inc/MFW—Northampton Co
County—Northampton
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virginia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Comm. Memorial HC—Primary Care Clinic
County—Mecklenburg
Free Clinic Of Central Va
County—Campbell/Lynchburg
FCI Petersburg
County—Dinwiddie/Petersburg

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Facility: Columbia Basin Health Association
Benton
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Benton Co
*Chelan
Population Group: MSFW—Chelan/Douglas
*Clallam
Service Area: Clallam Bay-Neah Bay
Population Group: Lower Elwha Indian Tribe
Facility: Clallam Bay Corrections Ct r
Clark
Population Group: Low Inc—C. Vancouver/Hazel Dell
Population Group: Low Inc—Camas
*Cowlitz
Population Group: Low Inc—Cowlitz Co
*Douglas
Service Area: Grand Coulee
Population Group: MSFW—Chelan/Douglas
*Ferry
Service Area: Republic
Population Group: Am In—Colville Res
Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Franklin Co
Facility: Coyote Ridge Corr Inst
*Garfield
*Grant
Service Area: Grand Coulee
Service Area: Royal City
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Central Grant Co
*Grays Harbor
Service Area: Copalis Beach
Service Area: Mc Cleary-Elma
Service Area: Neilton

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Westport
Population Group: Low Inc—Aberdeen/Hoquiam
*Jefferson
Service Area: Clallam Bay-Neah Bay
Service Area: Quilcene Bay
Population Group: Low Inc-Discovery Bay/Oak Bay
King
Population Group: Low Inc/Homeless—S King Co
Kitsap
Population Group: Low Inc—Bremerton
*Kittitas
Service Area: Cle Elum
*Klickitat
Population Group: MSFW—W Klickitat Co
*Lewis
Service Area: Morton
Population Group: Low Inc—SW Lewis Co
*Lincoln
Service Area: Grand Coulee
Service Area: Odessa
*Mason (g)
Facility: Wa Corr/Reception Ct r
*Okanogan
Service Area: Twisp/Winthrop
Population Group: Am In—Colville Res
Population Group: MSFW—C Okanogan Co
Population Group: MSFW—N Okanogan Co
Population Group: MSFW—S Okanogan Co
*Pacific
Service Area: Raymond
*Pend Oreille
Service Area: Ione/Metaline Falls
Service Area: Newport/Cusick
Pierce
Service Area: Longbranch
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastside Tacoma
Population Group: Low Inc—Lakewood (SW Pierce Co)
Facility: McNeil Island Corr. C.
*Skagit
Service Area: Concrete
Population Group: MSFW—West Skagit Co
*Skamania
Snohomish
Service Area: Darrington
Population Group: Low Inc/Homeless—Snohomish Co
Facility: Twin Rivers Corr C
Spokane
Service Area: Deer Park
Service Area: Rockford
Population Group: Low Inc—Southwestern Spokane
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastern Spokane
*Stevens
Service Area: Chewelah
Service Area: Deer Park
Service Area: Northport
Thurston
Population Group: Low Inc—Thurston South Div
*Wahkiakum

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Wahkiakum Co
*Walla Walla
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Walla Walla
Facility: Wa State Pen
Whatcom
Population Group: MSFW—Whatcom Co
*Whitman
Service Area: Rock Lake/La Crosse
Population Group: Low Inc—NE Whitman Co
Yakima
Population Group: MFW—Yakima Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Chewelah
County—Stevens
Parts:
Chewelah Division
Columbia Division
Springdale Division
Clallam Bay-Neah Bay
County—Clallam
Parts:
Clallam Bay-Neah Bay CCD
Forks CCD
County—Jefferson
Parts:
West End CCD
Cle Elum
County—Kittitas
Parts:
Cle Elum Division
Manastash Division
Concrete
County—Skagit
Parts:
Upper Skagit Division
Copalis Beach
County—Grays Harbor
Parts:
North Beach Division
Darrington
County—Snohomish
Parts:
Cascade Division
Deer Park
County—Spokane
Parts:
Colbert Division
Deer Park Division
County—Stevens
Parts:
Loon Lake Division
Grand Coulee
County—Douglas
Parts:
Bridgeport Division
County—Grant
Parts:
Coulee City Division
Grand Coulee Division
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Wilbur Division
Ione/Metaline Falls
County—Pend Oreille
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Ione-Metaline Falls Division
Longbranch
County—Pierce
Parts:
Lower Peninsula CCD (C.T.
Mc Cleary-Elma
County—Grays Harbor
Parts:
Elma Division
Malone-Porter Division
McCleary Division
Morton
County—Lewis
Parts:
Big Bottom Division
Mineral Division
Morton Division
Mossyrock Division
Neilton
County—Grays Harbor
Parts:
Humptulips Division
Lake Quinault Division
Newport/Cusick
County—Pend Oreille
Parts:
Newport Division
Northport
County—Stevens
Parts:
Kettle Falls Division
Odessa
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Odessa Division
Quilcene Bay
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Quilcene Bay Division
Raymond
County—Pacific
Parts:
Raymond Division
Willapa Valley Division
Republic
County—Ferry
Parts:
Curlew Division
Orient-Sherman Division
Republic Division
Rock Lake/La Crosse
County—Whitman
Parts:
La Crosse Division
Rock Lake Division
Rockford
County—Spokane
Parts:
Rockford Division
Royal City
County—Grant
Parts:
Southern Slopes Division
Twisp/Winthrop
County—Okanogan
Parts:
Early Winters Division
Methow Valley Division
Westport
County—Grays Harbor
Parts:
South Shore Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Am In—Colville Res
County—Ferry
Parts:
Colville Res CCD
County—Okanogan
Parts:
Colville Res CCD
Low Inc—Aberdeen/Hoquiam
County—Grays Harbor
Parts:
Aberdeen-Hoquiam CCD
North River CCD
Wishkah CCD
Wyhoochee CCD
Low Inc—Bremerton
County—Kitsap
Parts:
C.T. 801.01–801.02
C.T. 802–803
C.T. 805–806
C.T. 808.98
C.T. 809.98
C.T. 810–811
807
812.00
813
814
814.99
922
923
924
Low Inc—C. Vancouver/Hazel Dell
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 408.01
C.T. 409.03–409.04
C.T. 410.02–410.03
C.T. 410.05–410.07
C.T. 411.05–411.06
C.T. 416
C.T. 417.03
C.T. 418–421
C.T. 423–429
Low Inc—Camas
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 405.02–405.03
C.T. 414–415
Low Inc—Cowlitz Co
County—Cowlitz
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Eastern Spokane
County—Spokane
Parts:
C.T. 101
C.T. 132.01
Low Inc—Eastside Tacoma
County—Pierce
Parts:
C.T. 618–627
C.T. 628.01–628.02
C.T. 629–635
Low Inc—Lakewood (SW Pierce Co)
County—Pierce
Parts:
C.T. 714.03–714.05
C.T. 715.01
C.T. 715.03–715.04
C.T. 717.01–717.02
C.T. 718.02–718.04
C.T. 719.01–719.02

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 720
C.T. 721.05–721.08
C.T. 723.06
C.T. 723.08
Low Inc—NE Whitman Co
County—Whitman
Parts:
Rosalia CCD
Steptoe CCD
Tekoa CCD
Low Inc—Southwestern Spokane
County—Spokane
Parts:
C.T. 104.01
C.T. 139
C.T. 140.01–140.02
C.T. 141–142
Low Inc—SW Lewis Co
County—Lewis
Parts:
Boistfort CCD
Ethel CCD
Olequa CCD
Low Inc—Thurston South Div
County—Thurston
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wahkiakum Co
County—Wahkiakum
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc-Discovery Bay/Oak Bay
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Discovery Bay CCD
Oak Bay CCD
Low Inc/Homeless—S King Co
County—King
Parts:
C.T. 252–254
C.T. 259
C.T. 291
C.T. 292.01–292.02
C.T. 295.01–295.02
C.T. 296–297
C.T. 298.01
C.T. 305.01–305.02
C.T. 306–308
Low Inc/Homeless—Snohomish Co
County—Snohomish
Parts:
C.T 0419.02
C.T. 401.98
C.T. 402–408
C.T. 410–412
C.T. 414–415
C.T. 418.01
C.T. 418.03–418.04
C.T. 419.01
C.T. 510–512
C.T. 514–515
C.T. 517
C.T. 518.01–518.02
Low Inc/MFW—Benton Co
County—Benton
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Central Grant Co
County—Grant
Parts:
Ephrata—Soap Lake CCD

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

George CCD
Gloyd CCD
Moses Lake CCD
Quincy CCD
Warden CCD
Wilson Creek CCD
Low Inc/MFW—Franklin Co
County—Franklin
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Walla Walla
County—Walla Walla
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Lower Elwha Indian Tribe
County—Clallam
Parts:
Agnew-Carlsborg CCD
Crescent CCD
Forks CCD
Port Angeles CCD
Sequim CCD
MFW—Yakima Co
County—Yakima
Parts:
MFW
MSFW—C Okanogan Co
County—Okanogan
Parts:
Concully-Riverside CCD
Okanogan CCD
Omak CCD
MSFW—Chelan/Douglas
County—Chelan
Parts:
MSFW
County—Douglas
Parts:
MSFW
MSFW—N Okanogan Co
County—Okanogan
Parts:
Oroville CCD
Tonasket-Pine Creek CCD
MSFW—S Okanogan Co
County—Okanogan
Parts:
Brewster-Wakefield CCD
MSFW—W Klickitat Co
County—Klickitat
Parts:
Wahkiakus CCD
White Salmon CCD
Yakima Res CCD
MSFW—West Skagit Co
County—Skagit
Parts:
Anacortes CCD
Bayview CCD
Bow CCD
Burlington CCD
Cavanaugh CCD
Clear Lake CCD
Conway CCD
La Conner CCD
Lyman-Hamilton CCD
Mount Vernon CCD
Samish CCD
Sedro-Woolley CCD
MSFW—Whatcom Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Whatcom
Parts:
MSFW

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Washington
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Clallam Bay Corrections Ct r
County—Clallam
Columbia Basin Health Association
County—Adams
Coyote Ridge Corr Inst
County—Franklin
McNeil Island Corr. C.
County—Pierce
Twin Rivers Corr C
County—Snohomish
Wa Corr/Reception Ct r
County—Mason
Wa State Pen
County—Walla Walla

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: West Virginia
County Listing

County Name

*Barbour
Berkeley
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Shenandoah
*Boone
Service Area: McDowell
*Braxton
*Calhoun
*Clay
*Doddridge
Service Area: Doddridge/Salem
*Fayette
Service Area: New Haven
*Gilmer
*Grant
Service Area: Mt Storm-Union District
*Greenbrier
Service Area: Greenbrier
Service Area: Rainelle
*Hampshire
Hancock
Population Group: Low Inc—East Liverpool
*Hardy
Service Area: Baker
*Harrison
Service Area: Doddridge/Salem
*Jackson
Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Shenandoah
Kanawha
Service Area: Clendenin
*Lincoln
Marshall
Service Area: Cameron
*Mercer
Service Area: Matoaka
*Mingo
Service Area: Gilbert
Service Area: Kermit
*Monongalia
Service Area: Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)
*Monroe
*Morgan
Service Area: Paw Paw

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: West Virginia
County Listing

County Name

*Nicholas
Service Area: Richwood
*Pendleton
*Preston
Service Area: Bruceton Mills
Service Area: Rowlesburg/Eglon
*Raleigh
Service Area: Northwest Raleigh
Facility: FCI Beckley
*Randolph
Service Area: Huttonsville
*Ritchie
*Roane
*Summers
*Taylor
*Tyler
*Upshur
Service Area: Rock Cave
Wayne
Service Area: Wayne/Fort Gay
*Webster
Service Area: Richwood
*Wetzel
Service Area: Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)
*Wirt
*Wyoming
Population Group: Low Income—Wyoming Co

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: West Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Baker
County—Hardy
Parts:
Capon District
Lost River District
Bruceton Mills
County—Preston
Parts:
Grant District
Cameron
County—Marshall
Parts:
C.T. 208
Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)
County—Monongalia
Parts:
C.T. 114
County—Wetzel
Parts:
C.T. 304
Clendenin
County—Kanawha
Parts:
C.T. 112
Doddridge/Salem
County—Doddridge
County—Harrison
Parts:
C.T. 316
Gilbert
County—Mingo
Parts:
Stafford District
Greenbrier
County—Greenbrier
Parts:
Anthony Creek District
Falling Spring District
Williamsburg District

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: West Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Huttonsville
County—Randolph
Parts:
Huttonsville District
Middle Fork District
Mingo District
Valley Bend District
Kermit
County—Mingo
Parts:
Harvey District
Kermit District
Matoaka
County—Mercer
Parts:
C.T. 9509
C.T. 9516
McDowell
County—Boone
Parts:
District 1
District 2
Mt Storm-Union District
County—Grant
Parts:
Union District
New Haven
County—Fayette
Parts:
C.T. 210–211
Northwest Raleigh
County—Raleigh
Parts:
C.T. 111–112
Paw Paw
County—Morgan
Parts:
C.T. 9709–9710
Rainelle
County—Greenbrier
Parts:
Meadow Bluff District
Richwood
County—Nicholas
Parts:
Beaver District
County—Webster
Parts:
Glade District
Rock Cave
County—Upshur
Parts:
Banks District
Meade District
Rowlesburg/Eglon
County—Preston
Parts:
Lyon District
Reno District
Union District
Wayne/Fort Gay
County—Wayne
Parts:
Butler District
Stonewall District
Union District

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: West Virginia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—East Liverpool
County—Hancock
Parts:
Grant District
Low Inc/MFW—Shenandoah
County—Berkeley
Parts:
Low Income/Migrant Farmw
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Low Incomw/Migrant Farmw
Low Income—Wyoming Co
County—Wyoming
Parts:
Wyoming

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: West Virginia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Beckley
County—Raleigh

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
County Listing

County Name

*Adams (g)
Facility: FCI Oxford
*Ashland
Service Area: Bayfield
Population Group: Am In—Bad River Tribe
*Barron
Service Area: Chetek/Colfax
*Bayfield
Service Area: Bayfield
Service Area: Hayward/Radisson
Brown
Service Area: Pulaski
Population Group: Am In—Oneida Nation
Facility: Green Bay Maximum Security Inst
*Buffalo
Population Group: Low Inc—Durand
Population Group: Low Inc—Mondovi
*Burnett
*Clark
*Columbia
Population Group: Low Inc—Portage/Pardeeville
Facility: Columbia Maximum Security Inst
*Crawford
Population Group: Low Inc—Boscobel
*Dodge
Facility: Dodge Corr Inst
Facility: Fox Lake Medium Security Inst
Facility: Waupun Maximum Security Inst
*Door
Service Area: Sister Bay/Washington Island
Service Area: Sturgeon Bay
Douglas
Service Area: Minong/Solon Springs
*Dunn
Service Area: Chetek/Colfax
Population Group: Low Inc—Durand
Eau Claire
Population Group: Low Inc—Augusta/Osseo
*Fond Du Lac
Facility: Kettle Moraine Medium Security Inst
*Grant
Service Area: Platteville/Cuba City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Boscobel
Population Group: Low Inc—Lancaster/Fennimore
*Green Lake
Service Area: Markesan/Kingston
*Iowa
Service Area: Platteville/Cuba City
*Iron
Population Group: Low Inc—Ironwood/Hurley (MI/WI)
*Jackson
Population Group: Low Inc—Augusta/Osseo
*Juneau
Service Area: Hillsboro
Kenosha
Service Area: Kenosha
*Kewaunee
Service Area: Kewaunee City/Algoma
La Crosse
Service Area: Coon Valley/Chaseburg
*Lafayette
Service Area: Darlington/Shullsburg
Service Area: Platteville/Cuba City
*Langlade
Service Area: Elcho
Service Area: Mountain/White Lake
*Lincoln
Population Group: Low Inc—Tomahawk
Marathon
Service Area: Tigerton/Birnamwood
Population Group: Low Inc—Wausau City
*Marinette
Service Area: W. Marinette
Population Group: Low Inc—E Marinette/S Menominee (MI/WI
*Marquette
Service Area: Montello
*Menominee
Milwaukee
Service Area: Capitol Drive (Milwaukee)
Service Area: Inner City West
Service Area: Inner City South
Service Area: Juneautown
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner City North (Milwaukee)
*Monroe
Service Area: Hillsboro
Service Area: Sparta
*Oconto
Service Area: Mountain/White Lake
Service Area: Oconto/Oconto Falls
Service Area: Pulaski
*Oneida
Service Area: Elcho
Population Group: Low Inc—Tomahawk
Outagamie
Service Area: Clintonville/Marion
Population Group: Am In—Oneida Nation
*Pepin
Population Group: Low Inc—Durand
Population Group: Low Inc—Mondovi
Pierce
Population Group: Low Inc—Durand
*Polk
Service Area: Frederic/Luck
*Price
Racine
Facility: Racine Medium Security Inst
*Richland
Service Area: Hillsboro
Service Area: Spring Green/Plain

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
County Listing

County Name

Rock
Service Area: Central Beloit
*Rusk
Population Group: Low Inc—Rusk Co
*Sauk
Service Area: Hillsboro
Service Area: Spring Green/Plain
*Sawyer
Service Area: Hayward/Radisson
*Shawano
Service Area: Clintonville/Marion
Service Area: Oconto/Oconto Falls
Service Area: Pulaski
Service Area: Tigerton/Birnamwood
Population Group: Am In—Stockbridge-Munsee Tribe
*Taylor
*Trempealeau
Service Area: Galesville/Trempealeau
Population Group: Low Inc—Augusta/Osseo
*Vernon
Service Area: Coon Valley/Chaseburg
Service Area: Hillsboro
*Vilas
Service Area: Land O'Lakes/Presque Isle
*Washburn
Service Area: Hayward/Radisson
Service Area: Minong/Solon Springs
Population Group: Low Inc—Spooner/Shell Lake
*Waupaca
Service Area: Clintonville/Marion
Service Area: Tigerton/Birnamwood
*Waushara
Service Area: Wautoma/Plainfield/Wild Rose
Winnebago
Facility: Oshkosh Medium Security Inst

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Bayfield
County—Ashland
Parts:
La Pointe Town
County—Bayfield
Parts:
Bayfield City
Bayfield Town
Bayview Town
Bell Town
Clover Town
Russell Town
Capitol Drive (Milwaukee)
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 23–28
C.T. 36
C.T. 38–49
C.T. 60–61
C.T. 63–65
Central Beloit
County—Rock
Parts:
C.T. 15–19
Chetek/Colfax
County—Barron
Parts:
Arland Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Chetek City
Chetek Town
Dallas Village
Dallas Town
Dovre Town
Maple Grove Town
Prairie Farm Village
Prairie Lake Town
Prairie Farm Town
Sioux Creek Town
Sumner Town
Turtle Lake Town
Turtle Lake Village
Vance Creek Town
County—Dunn
Parts:
Boyceville Village
Colfax Village
Colfax Town
Downing Village
Grant Town
Hay River Town
Knapp Village
New Haven Town
Otter Creek Town
Ridgeland Village
Sand Creek Town
Sheridan Town
Sherman Town
Stanton Town
Tainter Town
Tiffany Town
Wheeler Village
Wilson Town
Clintonville/Marion
County—Outagamie
Parts:
Bear Creek Vil
Deer Creek Town
Maine Town
County—Shawano
Parts:
Grant Town
Pella Town
County—Waupaca
Parts:
Bear Creek Town
Clintonville City
Dupont Town
Embarrass Vil
Larrabee Town
Marion City
Matteson Town
Union Town
Coon Valley/Chaseburg
County—La Crosse
Parts:
Washington Town
County—Vernon
Parts:
Chaseburg Vil
Coon Town
Coon Valley Vil
Hamburg Town
Darlington/Shullsburg
County—Lafayette
Parts:
Argyle Town
Argyle Vil
Blanchard Town
Blanchardville Vil
Darlington City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Darlington Town
Fayette Town
Gratiot Town
Gratiot Vil
Kendall Town
Lamont Town
Monticello Town
Seymour Town
Shullsburg Town
Shullsburg City
South Wayne Vil
Wayne Town
White Oak Springs Town
Willow Springs Town
Wiota Town
Elcho
County—Langlade
Parts:
Ainsworth Town
Elcho Town
Parrish Town
Summit Town
Upham Town
County—Oneida
Parts:
Enterprise Town
Schoepke Town
Frederic/Luck
County—Polk
Parts:
Bone Lake Town
Clam Falls Town
Frederic Vil
Georgetown Town
Laketown Town
Lorain Town
Luck Town
Luck Vil
McKinley Town
West Sweden Town
Galesville/Trempealeau
County—Trempealeau
Parts:
Caledonia Town
Ettrick Town
Ettrick Vil
Gale Town
Galesville City
Trempealeau Vil
Trempealeau Town
Hayward/Radisson
County—Bayfield
Parts:
Barnes Town
Cable Town
Drummond Town
Grand View Town
Namakagon Town
County—Sawyer
Parts:
Bass Lake Town
Couderay Town
Couderay Vil
Edgewater Town
Exeland Vil
Hayward City
Hayward Town
Hunter Town
Lenroot Town
Meadowbrook Town
Meteor Town
Ojibwa Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Radisson Town
Radisson Vil
Round Lake Town
Sand Lake Town
Spider Lake Town
Weirgor Town
Winter Vil
Winter Town
County—Washburn
Parts:
Bass Lake Town
Stinnett Town
Stone Lake Town
Hillsboro
County—Juneau
Parts:
Union Center Village
Wonewoc Town
Wonewoc Village
County—Monroe
Parts:
Glendale Town
Kendall Village
Sheldon Town
Wellington Town
County—Richland
Parts:
Bloom Town
Cazenovia Village
Henrietta Town
Westford Town
Yuba Village
County—Sauk
Parts:
Woodland Town
County—Vernon
Parts:
Forest Town
Greenwood Town
Hillsboro City
Hillsboro Town
Ontario Village
Union Town
Whitestown Town
Inner City South
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 155–159
C.T. 162–169
C.T. 174–177
Inner City West
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 62
C.T. 87–90
C.T. 96–100
C.T. 119–123
C.T. 133–138
C.T. 148–149
Juneautown
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 108
C.T. 110–113
Kenosha
County—Kenosha
Parts:
C.T. 7–12
C.T. 16
Kewaunee City/Algoma
County—Kewaunee
Parts:

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Ahnapee Town
Algoma City
Carlton Town
Casco Town
Casco Village
Kewaunee City
Lincoln Town
Pierce Town
West Kewaunee Town
Land O'Lakes/Presque Isle
County—Vilas
Parts:
Land O'Lakes Town
Presque Isle Town
Winchester Town
Markesan/Kingston
County—Green Lake
Parts:
Kingston Vil
Kingston Town
Mackford Town
Manchester Town
Markesan City
Marquette Town
Marquette City
Minong/Solon Springs
County—Douglas
Parts:
Bennett Town
Dairyland Town
Gordon Town
Highland Town
Oakland Town
Solon Springs Village
Solon Springs Town
Wascott Town
County—Washburn
Parts:
Brooklyn Town
Chicog Town
Frog Creek Town
Gull Lake Town
Minong Town
Minong Village
Montello
County—Marquette
Parts:
Crystal Lake Town
Harris Town
Mecan Town
Montello City
Montello Town
Neshkoro Town
Neshkoro Vil
Newton Town
Oxford Town
Oxford Vil
Packwaukee Town
Shields Town
Springfield Town
Westfield Vil
Westfield Town
Mountain/White Lake
County—Langlade
Parts:
Evergreen Town
Langlade Town
White Lake Vil
Wolf River Town
County—Oconto
Parts:
Armstrong Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Bagley Town
Brazeau Town
Breed Town
Doty Town
Lakewood Town
Riverview Town
Townsend Town
Oconto/Oconto Falls
County—Oconto
Parts:
Abrams Town
Gillett City
Gillett Town
How Town
Lena Town
Lena Village
Little River Town
Maple Valley Town
Morgan Town
Oconto City
Oconto Falls City
Oconto Falls Town
Oconto Town
Pensaukee Town
Spruce Town
Stiles Town
Suring Village
Underhill Town
County—Shawano
Parts:
Green Valley Town
Platteville/Cuba City
County—Grant
Parts:
Clifton Town
Cuba City City
Dickeyville Vil
Ellenboro Town
Harrison Town
Hazel Green Town
Hazel Green Vil
Lima Town
Livingston Vil
Paris Town
Platteville City
Platteville Town
Smelser Town
County—Iowa
Parts:
Mifflin Town
Rewey Vil
County—Lafayette
Parts:
Belmont Town
Belmont Vil
Benton Town
Benton Vil
Elk Grove Town
New Diggings Town
Pulaski
County—Brown
Parts:
Pittsfield Town
Pulaski Vil
County—Oconto
Parts:
Chase Town
County—Shawano
Parts:
Angelica Town
Maple Grove Town
Sister Bay/Washington Island
County—Door
Parts:
Baileys Harbor Town
Ephraim Vil
Gibraltar Town
Liberty Grove Town
Sister Bay Vil
Washington Town
Sparta
County—Monroe
Parts:
Angelo Town
Cashton Village
Jefferson Town
Lafayette Town
Leon Town
Little Falls Town
Melvina Village
New Lyme Town
Norwalk Village
Portland Town
Ridgeville Town
Sparta Town
Sparta City
Wells Town
Spring Green/Plain
County—Richland
Parts:
Buena Vista Town
Lone Rock Village
County—Sauk
Parts:
Bear Creek Town
Franklin Town
Honey Creek Town
Plain Village
Spring Green Town
Spring Green Village
Troy Town
Sturgeon Bay
County—Door
Parts:
Brussels Town
Claybanks Town
Egg Harbor Town
Egg Harbor Vil
Forestville Town
Forestville Vil
Gardner Town
Jacksonport Town
Nasewaupee Town
Sevastopol Town
Sturgeon Bay City
Sturgeon Bay Town
Union Town
Tigerton/Birnamwood
County—Marathon
Parts:
Elderon Town
Elderon Vil
Franzen Town
Hatley Vil
Norrie Town
Plover Town
County—Shawano
Parts:
Almon Town
Aniwa Town
Aniwa Vil
Birnamwood Town
Birnamwood Vil
Bowler Vil
Eland Vil
Fairbanks Town
Germania Town
Hutchins Town
Mattoon Vil
Morris Town
Tigerton Vil
Wittenberg Vil
Wittenberg Town
County—Waupaca
Parts:
Big Falls Vil
Harrison Town
Wyoming Town
W. Marinette
County—Marinette
Parts:
Amberg Town
Athelstane Town
Beaver Town
Beecher Town
Coleman Village
Crivitz Village
Dunbar Town
Goodman Town
Lake Town
Middle Inlet Town
Niagara Town
Pembine Town
Pound Town
Pound Village
Silver Cliff Town
Stephenson Town
Wausaukee Town
Wausaukee Village
Wautoma/Plainfield/Wild Rose
County—Waushara
Parts:
Coloma Town
Coloma Vil
Dakota Town
Deerfield Town
Hancock Town
Hancock Vil
Marion Town
Mount Morris Town
Oasis Town
Plainfield Town
Plainfield Vil
Richford Town
Rose Town
Springwater Town
Wautoma City
Wautoma Town
Wild Rose Vil

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Am In—Bad River Tribe
County—Ashland
Parts:
Sanborn Town
Am In—Oneida Nation
County—Brown
Parts:
Hobart Town
County—Outagamie
Parts:
Oneida Town
Am In—Stockbridge-Munsee Tribe

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Shawano
Parts:
Bartelme Town
Red Springs Town
Low Inc—Augusta/Osseo
County—Eau Claire
Parts:
Augusta City
Bridge Creek Town
Clear Creek Town
Fairchild Vil
Fairchild Town
Otter Creek Town
County—Jackson
Parts:
Cleveland Town
Garfield Town
Northfield Town
County—Trempealeau
Parts:
Hale Town
Osseo City
Strum Vil
Sumner Town
Unity Town
Low Inc—Boscobel
County—Crawford
Parts:
Haney Town
Marietta Town
Scott Town
Steuben Vil
Wauzeka Town
Wauzeka Vil
County—Grant
Parts:
Bagley Vil
Blue River Vil
Boscobel City
Boscobel Town
Castle Rock Town
Hickory Grove Town
Marion Town
Millville Town
Mount Hope Town
Mount Ida Town
Muscoda Town
Muscoda Vil
Patch Grove Vil
Patch Grove Town
Watterstown Town
Woodman Town
Woodman Vil
Wyalusing Town
Low Inc—Durand
County—Buffalo
Parts:
Maxville Twn
Nelson Vil
Nelson Twn
County—Dunn
Parts:
Dunn Twn
Eau Galle Twn
Peru Twn
Rock Creek Twn
Spring Brook Twn
Weston Twn
County—Pepin
Parts:
Durand Twn
Durand City

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Frankfort Twn
Lima Twn
Pepin Twn
Pepin Vil
Stockholm Vil
Stockholm Twn
Waterville Twn
Waubeek Twn
County—Pierce
Parts:
El Paso Twn
Elmwood Vil
Gilman Twn
Maiden Rocktwn
Maiden Rock Vil
Plum City Vil
Rock Elm Twn
Salem Twn
Spring Lake Twn
Spring Valley Vil
Union Twn
Low Inc—E Marinette/S Menominee (MI/WI
County—Marinette
Parts:
Grover Town
Marinette City
Peshtigo City
Pestigo Town
Porterfield Town
Wagner Town
Low Inc—Inner City North (Milwaukee)
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 44
C.T. 66–72
C.T. 79–86
C.T. 101–107
C.T. 114–118
C.T. 139–142
C.T. 145–147
C.T. 151
Low Inc—Ironwood/Hurley (MI/WI)
County—Iron
Parts:
Anderson Town
Carey Town
Gurney Town
Hurley City
Kimball Town
Knight Town
Mercer Town
Montreal City
Oma Town
Pence Town
Saxon Town
Low Inc—Lancaster/Fennimore
County—Grant
Parts:
Beetown Town
Bloomington Town
Bloomington Vil
Cassville Vil
Cassville Town
Fennimore Town
Fennimore City
Glen Haven Town
Lancaster City
Liberty Town
Little Grant Town
Montfort Vil
North Lancaster Town
Potosi Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Potosi Vil
South Lancaster Town
Waterloo Town
Wingville Town
Low Inc—Mondovi
County—Buffalo
Parts:
Alma City
Alma Town
Belvidere Town
Buffalo City
Canton Town
Cochrane Vil
Dover Town
Gilmanton Town
Lincoln Town
Modena Town
Mondovi City
Mondovi Town
Naples Town
County—Pepin
Parts:
Albany Town
Low Inc—Portage/Pardeeville
County—Columbia
Parts:
Caledonia Twn
Cambria Vil
Courtland Twn
Dekorra Twn
Doylestown Vil
Fall River Vil
Fort Winnebago Twn
Fountain Prairie Twn
Friesland Vil
Lewiston Twn
Lowville Twn
Marcellon Twn
Otsego Twn
Pacific Twn
Pardeeville Vil
Portage City
Poynette Vil
Randolph Twn
Randolph Vil
Rio Vil
Scott Twn
Springvale Twn
Wyocena Vil
Wyocena Twn
Low Inc—Rusk Co
County—Rusk
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Spooner/Shell Lake
County—Washburn
Parts:
Barronett Town
Bashaw Town
Beaver Brook Town
Birchwood Town
Birchwood Vil
Casey Town
Crystal Town
Evergreen Town
Long Lake Town
Madge Town
Sarona Town
Shell Lake City
Spooner City
Spooner Town
Springbrook Town

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Trego Town
Low Inc—Tomahawk
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Bradley Town
Harrison Town
King Town
Skanawan Town
Somo Town
Tomahawk City
Tomahawk Town
Wilson Town
County—Oneida
Parts:
Little Rice Town
Lynne Town
Nokomis Town
Low Inc—Wausau City
County—Marathon
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 4–5
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wisconsin
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Columbia Maximum Security Inst
County—Columbia
Dodge Corr Inst
County—Dodge
Fox Lake Medium Security Inst
County—Dodge
FCI Oxford
County—Adams
Green Bay Maximum Security Inst
County—Brown
Kettle Moraine Medium Security Inst
County—Fond Du Lac
Oshkosh Medium Security Inst
County—Winnebago
Racine Medium Security Inst
County—Racine
Waupun Maximum Security Inst
County—Dodge

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wyoming
County Listing

County Name

*Big Horn
*Campbell
Service Area: Wright
*Carbon
Facility: Wyoming State Penitentiary
*Crook
*Fremont
Service Area: Dubois
Service Area: Sweetwater
*Hot Springs
Natrona
Service Area: Midwest/Edgerton
Population Group: Low Income—Casper
*Niobrara
*Park
Service Area: Gardiner/Yellowstone (MT/WY)
*Platte
Service Area: Chugwater
Service Area: Glendo

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wyoming
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Guernsey
*Sublette
*Teton
Service Area: Gardiner/Yellowstone (MT/WY)
*Uinta
*Washakie
*Weston

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wyoming
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Chugwater
County—Platte
Parts:
Chugwater Division
Dubois
County—Fremont
Parts:
Dubois Division
Gardiner/Yellowstone (MT/WY)
County—Park
Parts:
Yellowstone National Park Divisi
County—Teton
Parts:
Yellowstone National Park Divisi
Glendo
County—Platte
Parts:
Glendo Division
Guernsey
County—Platte
Parts:
Guernsey Division
Midwest/Edgerton
County—Natrona
Parts:
Casper Division
Sweetwater
County—Fremont
Parts:
Sweetwater Division
Wright
County—Campbell
Parts:
Gillette South Division

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wyoming
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income—Casper
County—Natrona
Parts:
Census Tract 0012.00
Census Tract 0011.00
Census Tract 0008.00
Census Tract 0007.00
Census Tract 0004.00
Census Tract 0003.00
Census Tract 0002.00
Census Tract 0001.00

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Wyoming
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Wyoming State Penitentiary
County—Carbon

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: American Samoa
County Listing

County Name

*Eastern
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Manua
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Rose Island
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Swains Island
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Western
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: American Samoa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Terr. Of American Samoa
County—Eastern
County—Manua
County—Rose Island
County—Swains Island
County—Western

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Fed Ste Micronesia
County Listing

County Name

*Chuuk State
*Kosrae State
*Pohnpei State
*Yap State

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Guam
County Listing

County Name

*Guam

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: N. Mariana Islands
County Listing

County Name

*Northern Islands
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Mariana Islands
*Rota
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Mariana Islands
*Saipan
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Mariana Islands
*Tinian
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Mariana Islands

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: N. Mariana Islands
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Commonwealth N. Mariana Islands
County—Northern Islands
County—Rota
County—Saipan
County—Tinian

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Republic of Palau
County Listing

County Name
*Republic Of Palau

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Puerto Rico
County Listing

County Name
*Adjuntas (W 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
Aguada
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4A
Aguadilla
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4A
*Aguas Buenas
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
Anasco
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4B
*Arroyo
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5C
*Barranquitas
Population Group: Pov Pop—Barranquitas
*Cabo Rojo
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4C
*Caguas
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Canovanas
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Ceiba
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Cidra
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Coamo
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5B
*Culebra
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Fajardo
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Guayama
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5C
*Gurabo
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
Hormigueros
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4C
*Humacao
Population Group: Pov. Pop.—Subregion 6A
*Isabela
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4A
Juana Diaz
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5B
*Juncos
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Lajas
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4C
*Lares (S 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
*Las Marias
Population Group: Pov. Pop.—Las Marias
*Las Peidras
Population Group: Pov. Pop.—Subregion 6A
*Loiza
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Luquillo
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Maricao (E 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
*Maunabo
Population Group: Pov. Pop.—Subregion 6A
Mayaguez
Population Group: Pov. Pop.—Mayaguez

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Puerto Rico
County Listing

County Name
Moca
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4A
*Naguabo
Population Group: Pov. Pop.—Subregion 6A
*Patillas
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5C
Ponce
Population Group: Low Income—SW Ponce
Facility: Las Cucharas Corr Inst
*Rincon
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4B
*Rio Grande
Population Group: Rsa 14
Sabana Grande
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4C
*Salinas
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5C
San German
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4C
*San Sebastian
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 4A
*Santa Isabel
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5B
*Vega Baja
Population Group: Pov Pop—Vega Baja
*Vieques
Population Group: Rsa 14
Villalba
Population Group: Pov Pop—Subregion 5B
*Yabucoa
Population Group: Pov. Pop.—Subregion 6A
*Yauco (N 1/4)
Service Area: Castaner

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Puerto Rico
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Caguas (Sub-Region I)
County—Aguas Buenas
County—Caguas
County—Cidra
County—Gurabo
County—Juncos
Castaner
County—Adjuntas (W 1/2)
County—Lares (S 1/2)
County—Maricao (E 1/2)
County—Yauco (N 1/4)

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Puerto Rico
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Income—SW Ponce
County—Ponce
Parts:
C.T. 714.01–714.02
C.T. 715
C.T. 716.01–716.02
C.T. 719
C.T. 721.01–721.02
C.T. 721.99
C.T. 730.01–730.07
Pov Pop—Barranquitas
County—Barranquitas
Parts:
Pov. Pop.—Barranquitas
Pov Pop—Subregion 4B

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Puerto Rico
Population Group Listing

Population Group
County—Anasco
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Rincon
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
Pov Pop—Subregion 4C
County—Cabo Rojo
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Hormigueros
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Lajas
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Sabana Grande
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—San German
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
Pov Pop—Subregion 4A
County—Aguada
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Aguadilla
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Isabela
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Moca
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—San Sebastian
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
Pov Pop—Subregion 5C
County—Arroyo
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Guayama
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Patillas
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Salinas
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
Pov Pop—Subregion 5B
County—Coamo
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Juana Diaz
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Santa Isabel
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Villalba
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
Pov Pop—Vega Baja
County—Vega Baja
Parts:
Pov. Pop.—Vega Baja
Pov. Pop.—Las Marias
County—Las Marias
Parts:
Pov. Pop.

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Puerto Rico
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Pov. Pop.—Mayaguez
County—Mayaguez
Pov. Pop.—Subregion 6A
County—Humacao
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Las Peidras
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Maunabo
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Naguabo
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
County—Yabucoa
Parts:
Pov. Pop.
Rsa 14
County—Canovanas
Parts:
Canovanas
County—Ceiba
Parts:
Ceiba
County—Culebra
Parts:
Culebra
County—Fajardo
Parts:
Fajardo
County—Loiza
Parts:
Loiza
County—Luquillo
Parts:
Luquillo
County—Rio Grande
Parts:
Rio Grande
County—Vieques
Parts:
Vieques

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Puerto Rico
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Las Cucharas Corr Inst
County—Ponce

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virgin Islands
County Listing

County Name

*St. Croix
Service Area: Fredericksted
*St. Thomas
Service Area: East End St. Thomas

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virgin Islands
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

East End St. Thomas
County—St. Thomas
Parts:
East End
Southside
Tutu
Fredericksted
County—St. Croix

PRIMARY MEDICAL CARE: Virgin Islands
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
Fredericksted
Northwest
Southwest

MENTAL HEALTH: Alabama
County Listing

County Name

Autauga
Service Area: Catchment Area M-14
Baldwin
Service Area: Catchment Area M-21
*Barbour
Service Area: Catchment Area M-19
*Bibb
Service Area: Catchment Area M-8
*Bullock
Service Area: Catchment Area M-15
*Butler
Service Area: Catchment Area M-18
Calhoun
Service Area: Catchment Area M-7
*Chambers
Service Area: Catchment Area M-12
*Cherokee
Service Area: Catchment Area M-6
*Chilton
Service Area: Catchment Area M-11
*Choctaw
Service Area: Catchment Area M-10
*Clarke
Service Area: Catchment Area M-17
*Clay
Service Area: Catchment Area M-9
*Cleburne
Service Area: Catchment Area M-7
*Coffee
Service Area: Catchment Area M-18
Colbert
Service Area: Catchment Area M-1
*Conecuh
Service Area: Catchment Area M-17
*Coosa
Service Area: Catchment Area M-9
*Covington
Service Area: Catchment Area M-18
*Crenshaw
Service Area: Catchment Area M-18
*Cullman
Service Area: Catchment Area M-22
Dale
Service Area: Catchment Area M-19
*Dallas
Service Area: Catchment Area M-13
*Dekalb
Service Area: Catchment Area M-6
Elmore
Service Area: Catchment Area M-14
*Escambia
Service Area: Catchment Area M-17
Etowah
Service Area: Catchment Area M-6
*Fayette
Service Area: Catchment Area M-4
*Franklin
Service Area: Catchment Area M-1
*Geneva
Service Area: Catchment Area M-19
*Greene
Service Area: Catchment Area M-10
*Hale
Service Area: Catchment Area M-10
*Henry
Service Area: Catchment Area M-19
*Houston
Service Area: Catchment Area M-19
*Jackson
Service Area: Catchment Area M-20
*Lamar
Service Area: Catchment Area M-4
Lauderdale
Service Area: Catchment Area M-1
Lee
Service Area: Catchment Area M-12
*Lowndes
Service Area: Catchment Area M-14
*Macon
Service Area: Catchment Area M-15
*Marengo
Service Area: Catchment Area M-10
*Marion
Service Area: Catchment Area M-4
*Marshall
Service Area: Catchment Area M-20
Mobile
Service Area: Catchment Area M-16
*Monroe
Service Area: Catchment Area M-17
Montgomery
Service Area: Catchment Area M-14
*Perry
Service Area: Catchment Area M-13
*Pickens
Service Area: Catchment Area M-8
*Pike
Service Area: Catchment Area M-15
*Randolph
Service Area: Catchment Area M-9
Russell
Service Area: Catchment Area M-12
Shelby
Service Area: Catchment Area M-11
*Sumter
Service Area: Catchment Area M-10
*Talladega
Service Area: Catchment Area M-9
*Tallapoosa
Service Area: Catchment Area M-12
Tuscaloosa
Service Area: Catchment Area M-8
Facility: M. Starke Harper Geriatric Psych Center
*Walker
Service Area: Catchment Area M-4
*Washington
Service Area: Catchment Area M-16
*Wilcox
Service Area: Catchment Area M-13
*Winston
Service Area: Catchment Area M-4

MENTAL HEALTH: Alabama
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area M-1
County—Colbert
County—Franklin
County—Lauderdale
Catchment Area M-10
County—Choctaw
County—Greene
County—Hale

MENTAL HEALTH: Alabama
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
County—Marengo
County—Sumter
Catchment Area M-11
County—Chilton
County—Shelby
Catchment Area M-12
County—Chambers
County—Lee
County—Russell
County—Tallapoosa
Catchment Area M-13
County—Dallas
County—Perry
County—Wilcox
Catchment Area M-14
County—Autauga
County—Elmore
County—Lowndes
County—Montgomery
Catchment Area M-15
County—Bullock
County—Macon
County—Pike
Catchment Area M-16
County—Mobile
County—Washington
Catchment Area M-17
County—Clarke
County—Conecuh
County—Escambia
County—Monroe
Catchment Area M-18
County—Butler
County—Coffee
County—Covington
County—Crenshaw
Catchment Area M-19
County—Barbour
County—Dale
County—Geneva
County—Henry
County—Houston
Catchment Area M-20
County—Jackson
County—Marshall
Catchment Area M-21
County—Baldwin
Catchment Area M-22
County—Cullman
Catchment Area M-4
County—Fayette
County—Lamar
County—Marion
County—Walker
County—Winston
Catchment Area M-6
County—Cherokee
County—Dekalb
County—Etowah
Catchment Area M-7
County—Calhoun
County—Cleburne
Catchment Area M-8
County—Bibb
County—Pickens
County—Tuscaloosa
Catchment Area M-9
County—Clay
County—Coosa
County—Randolph
County—Talladega

MENTAL HEALTH: Alabama
Facility Listing

Facility Name
M. Starke Harper Geriatric Psych Center
County—Tuscaloosa

MENTAL HEALTH: Alaska
Census Area Listing

Census Area Name
*Aleutians East Borough
*Aleutians West Area
*Bethel Area
*Denali Borough
*Ketchikan Gateway Borough
*Lake And Peninsula Borough
*Nome Census Area
Service Area: Nome
*North Slope Borough
*Northwest Arctic Borough
*Prince Of Wales-Outer Ket
*Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon Borough
*Wrangell-Petersburg Area
*Yukon-Koyukuk Area

MENTAL HEALTH: Alaska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Nome
Census Area—Nome Census Area

MENTAL HEALTH: Arizona
County Listing

County Name
*Apache
Service Area: N. Arizona Mental Hlth Catch Area
Coconino
Service Area: N. Arizona Mental Hlth Catch Area
*Gila
Service Area: Pinal/Gila Catchment Area
*La Paz
Service Area: Southwest Az Catchment Area
Maricopa
Facility: Maricopa Co Jails
Mohave
Service Area: N. Arizona Mental Hlth Catch Area
*Navajo
Service Area: N. Arizona Mental Hlth Catch Area
Pinal
Service Area: Pinal/Gila Catchment Area
*Yavapai
Service Area: N. Arizona Mental Hlth Catch Area
*Yuma
Service Area: Southwest Az Catchment Area

MENTAL HEALTH: Arizona
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
N. Arizona Mental Hlth Catch Area
County—Apache
County—Coconino
County—Mohave
County—Navajo
County—Yavapai
Pinal/Gila Catchment Area
County—Gila

MENTAL HEALTH: Arizona
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
County—Pinal
Southwest Az Catchment Area
County—La Paz
County—Yuma

MENTAL HEALTH: Arizona
Facility Listing

Facility Name
Maricopa Co Jails
County—Maricopa

MENTAL HEALTH: Arkansas
County Listing

County Name
*Arkansas
Service Area: Pine Bluff C.A.
*Ashley
Service Area: Monticello C.A.
*Baxter
Service Area: Mountain Home C.A.
*Boone
Service Area: Mountain Home C.A.
*Bradley
Service Area: Monticello C.A.
*Calhoun
Service Area: El Dorado
*Carroll
*Chicot
Service Area: Monticello C.A.
*Clark
Service Area: Hot Springs C.A.
*Clay
Service Area: Jonesboro
*Cleburne
Service Area: Batesville
*Cleveland
Service Area: Pine Bluff C.A.
*Columbia
Service Area: El Dorado
*Conway
Service Area: Russellville C.A.
Craighead
Service Area: Jonesboro
Crawford
Service Area: Fort Smith C.A.
Crittenden
Service Area: Helena
*Cross
Service Area: Helena
*Dallas
Service Area: El Dorado
*Desha
Service Area: Monticello C.A.
*Drew
Service Area: Monticello C.A.
*Franklin
Service Area: Fort Smith C.A.
*Fulton
Service Area: Batesville
*Garland
Service Area: Hot Springs C.A.
*Grant
Service Area: Pine Bluff C.A.
*Greene
Service Area: Jonesboro
*Hempstead
Service Area: Texarkana C.A.
*Hot Spring
Service Area: Hot Springs C.A.
*Howard

MENTAL HEALTH: Arkansas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Texarkana C.A.
*Independence
Service Area: Batesville
*Izard
Service Area: Batesville
*Jackson
Service Area: Batesville
Jefferson
Service Area: Pine Bluff C.A.
*Johnson
Service Area: Russellville C.A.
*Lafayette
Service Area: Texarkana C.A.
*Lawrence
Service Area: Jonesboro
*Lee
Service Area: Helena
*Lincoln
Service Area: Pine Bluff C.A.
*Little River
Service Area: Texarkana C.A.
*Logan
Service Area: Fort Smith C.A.
*Madison
*Marion
Service Area: Mountain Home C.A.
Miller
Service Area: Texarkana C.A.
*Mississippi
Service Area: Jonesboro
*Monroe
Service Area: Helena
*Montgomery
Service Area: Hot Springs C.A.
*Nevada
Service Area: El Dorado
*Newton
Service Area: Mountain Home C.A.
*Ouachita
Service Area: El Dorado
*Perry
Service Area: Russellville C.A.
*Phillips
Service Area: Helena
*Pike
Service Area: Hot Springs C.A.
*Poinsett
Service Area: Jonesboro
*Polk
Service Area: Fort Smith C.A.
*Pope
Service Area: Russellville C.A.
*Randolph
Service Area: Jonesboro
*Scott
Service Area: Fort Smith C.A.
*Searcy
Service Area: Mountain Home C.A.
Sebastian
Service Area: Fort Smith C.A.
*Sevier
Service Area: Texarkana C.A.
*Sharp
Service Area: Batesville
*St. Francis
Service Area: Helena
*Stone
Service Area: Batesville
*Union
Service Area: El Dorado
*Van Buren
Service Area: Batesville

MENTAL HEALTH: Arkansas
County Listing

County Name

*White
Service Area: Batesville
*Woodruff
Service Area: Batesville
*Yell
Service Area: Russellville C.A.

MENTAL HEALTH: Arkansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Batesville
County—Cleburne
County—Fulton
County—Independence
County—Izard
County—Jackson
County—Sharp
County—Stone
County—Van Buren
County—White
County—Woodruff
El Dorado
County—Calhoun
County—Columbia
County—Dallas
County—Nevada
County—Ouachita
County—Union
Fort Smith C.A.
County—Crawford
County—Franklin
County—Logan
County—Polk
County—Scott
County—Sebastian
Helena
County—Crittenden
County—Cross
County—Lee
County—Monroe
County—Phillips
County—St. Francis
Hot Springs C.A.
County—Clark
County—Garland
County—Hot Spring
County—Montgomery
County—Pike
Jonesboro
County—Clay
County—Craighead
County—Greene
County—Lawrence
County—Mississippi
County—Poinsett
County—Randolph
Monticello C.A.
County—Ashley
County—Bradley
County—Chicot
County—Desha
County—Drew
Mountain Home C.A.
County—Baxter
County—Boone
County—Marion
County—Newton
County—Searcy
Pine Bluff C.A.
County—Arkansas
County—Cleveland

MENTAL HEALTH: Arkansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Grant
County—Jefferson
County—Lincoln
Russellville C.A.
County—Conway
County—Faulkner
County—Johnson
County—Perry
County—Pope
County—Yell
Texarkana C.A.
County—Hempstead
County—Howard
County—Lafayette
County—Little River
County—Miller
County—Sevier

MENTAL HEALTH: California
County Listing

County Name

*Calaveras
Fresno
Service Area: West Side Fresno Co
Kern
Population Group: Low Inc—Arvin/Lamont (MSSA 61)
*Lake
Madera
Merced
*Shasta
Population Group: Low Inc—Shasta Co
*Tehama
*Trinity
Tulare
Service Area: Earlimart (MSSA 230)
Service Area: Porterville (MSSAS 231 & 232)
Service Area: Visalia (MSSA 227, 228, 233a, 233b)
Service Area: Woodlake (MSSA 229)
*Tuolumne

MENTAL HEALTH: California
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Earlimart (MSSA 230)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 32
C.T. 42–44
Parts:
C.T. 62–64
Porterville (MSSAS 231 & 232)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 27
C.T. 33–41
C.T. 45
Visalia (MSSA 227, 228, 233a, 233b)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 3.02
C.T. 3.98
C.T. 4–6
C.T. 8–9
C.T. 10.01–10.02
C.T. 11–16
C.T. 17.01–17.02

MENTAL HEALTH: California
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
C.T. 18–19
C.T. 20.01–20.05
C.T. 21–22
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24–26
C.T. 28
C.T. 29.01–29.02
C.T. 30–31
West Side Fresno Co
County—Fresno
Parts:
C.T. 78
C.T. 79.98
C.T. 80–83
C.T. 84.01–84.02
Woodlake (MSSA 229)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 7

MENTAL HEALTH: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Inc—Arvin/Lamont (MSSA 61)
County—Kern
Low Inc—Shasta Co
County—Shasta

MENTAL HEALTH: Colorado
County Listing

County Name
*Fremont
Facility: USP Florence

MENTAL HEALTH: Colorado
Facility Listing

Facility Name
USP Florence
County—Fremont

MENTAL HEALTH: Connecticut
County Listing

County Name
Hartford
Service Area: Charter Oak Terrace/ Rice Heights
New London
Facility: York Corr Inst

MENTAL HEALTH: Connecticut
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Charter Oak Terrace/ Rice Heights
County—Hartford
Parts:
C.T. 5001–5004
C.T. 5019
C.T. 5027–5030
C.T. 5043
C.T. 5045–5046
C.T. 5049

MENTAL HEALTH: Connecticut
Facility Listing

Facility Name
York Corr Inst
County—New London

MENTAL HEALTH: District Of Columbia
County Listing

County Name
The District
Service Area: Region Iv—Anacostia

MENTAL HEALTH: District Of Columbia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Region Iv—Anacostia
County—The District
Parts:
C.T. 73.01–73.02
C.T. 73.04
C.T. 73.08
C.T. 74.01
C.T. 74.04
C.T. 74.06–74.09
C.T. 74.30
C.T. 75.02–75.04
C.T. 76.01
C.T. 76.03–76.05
C.T. 77.03
C.T. 77.07–77.09
C.T. 97
C.T. 98.03–98.10
C.T. 98.20
C.T. 99.01–99.07

MENTAL HEALTH: Florida
County Listing

County Name
Alachua
Facility: Gainesville Corr Inst
*Baker
Facility: Baker Corr. Inst.
*Bradford
Service Area: Mental Health Catchment Area 3A
Facility: Florida State Prs
Facility: Lawtey Corr Inst
Facility: New River Corr Inst
Brevard
Facility: Brevard Corr Inst
*Calhoun
Facility: Calhoun Corr Inst
*Columbia
Service Area: Mental Health Catchment Area 3A
Facility: Columbia Corr Inst
*De Soto
Facility: Desoto Corr Inst
*Dixie
Service Area: Suwannee River
Facility: Cross City Corr Inst
Escambia
Facility: Century Corr Inst
*Gilchrist
Service Area: Suwannee River
Facility: Lancaster Corr Inst
*Glades
Population Group: Low Inc—South Central Florida
Facility: Moore Haven Corr Facility
*Gulf
Facility: Gulf Corr Inst
*Hamilton

MENTAL HEALTH: Florida
County Listing

County Name
Service Area: Mental Health Catchment Area 3A
Facility: Hamilton Corr Inst
*Hardee
Facility: Hardee Corr Inst
*Hendry
Population Group: Low Inc—South Central Florida
Facility: Hendry Corr Inst
Hernando
Facility: Hernando Corr Inst
*Highlands
Facility: Avon Park Corr Inst
Hillsborough
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—SE Hillsborough
Facility: Hillsborough Corr Inst
*Holmes
Facility: Holmes Corr Inst
*Indian River
Facility: Indian River Corr Inst
*Jackson
Facility: Apalachee Corr Inst
Facility: Jackson Corr Inst
Facility: River Junction Corr Inst
*Jefferson
Facility: Jefferson Corr Inst
*Lafayette
Service Area: Mental Health Catchment Area 3A
Facility: Mayo Corr Inst
*Levy
Service Area: Suwannee River
*Liberty
Facility: Liberty Corr Inst
*Madison
Facility: Madison Corr Inst
Manatee
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Central Manatee
Marion
Facility: Florida Corr. Inst.
Facility: Marion Corr Inst
Martin
Facility: Martin Corr Inst
*Monroe
Service Area: Upper/Middle Keys
Okaloosa
Facility: Okaloosa Corr Inst
Orange
Facility: C Fl Reception Ct r
Palm Beach
Service Area: Belle Glade/Pahokee
Facility: Glades Corr Inst
Pasco
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW E Pasco
Polk
Facility: Polk Corr Inst
*Putnam
Facility: Putnam Corr Inst
Santa Rosa
Facility: Santa Rosa Corr Inst
*Sumter
Facility: Sumter Corr Inst
*Suwannee
Service Area: Mental Health Catchment Area 3A
*Union
Service Area: Mental Health Catchment Area 3A
Facility: N Fl Reception Ct r
*Wakulla

MENTAL HEALTH: Florida
County Listing

County Name

Facility: Wakulla Corr Inst
*Walton
Facility: Walton Corr Inst
*Washington
Facility: Washington Corr Inst

MENTAL HEALTH: Florida
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Belle Glade/Pahokee
County—Palm Beach
Parts:
Belle Glade-Pahokee Division
Parts:
C.T. 1.01–1.04
C.T. 2
C.T. 3.01–3.03
C.T. 6.01
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 13
C.T. 15.01–15.02
C.T. 16
C.T. 19.01
C.T. 19.03–19.04
Brandon Division
Parts:
Gibsonton Division
Palm River-East Tampa Division
Plant City Division
Ruskin Division
Wimauma-Lithia Division
Mental Health Catchment Area 3A
County—Bradford
County—Columbia
County—Hamilton
County—Lafayette
County—Suwannee
County—Union
Suwannee River
County—Dixie
County—Gilchrist
County—Levy
Upper/Middle Keys
County—Monroe
Parts:
Middle Keys Division
Upper Keys Division

MENTAL HEALTH: Florida
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—South Central Florida
County—Glades
County—Hendry
Low Inc/MFW E Pasco
County—Pasco
Parts:
C.T. 320.01–320.02
C.T. 321.01–321.02
C.T. 322–329
C.T. 330.01–330.04
C.T. 331
Low Inc/MFW—Central Manatee
County—Manatee
Low Inc/MFW—SE Hillsborough
County—Hillsborough

MENTAL HEALTH: Florida
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Apalachee Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Avon Park Corr Inst
County—Highlands
Baker Corr. Inst.
County—Baker
Brevard Corr Inst
County—Brevard
C Fl Reception Ct r
County—Orange
Calhoun Corr Inst
County—Calhoun
Century Corr Inst
County—Escambia
Columbia Corr Inst
County—Columbia
Cross City Corr Inst
County—Dixie
Desoto Corr Inst
County—De Soto
Florida Corr. Inst.
County—Marion
Florida State Prs
County—Bradford
Gainesville Corr Inst
County—Alachua
Glades Corr Inst
County—Palm Beach
Gulf Corr Inst
County—Gulf
Hamilton Corr Inst
County—Hamilton
Hardee Corr Inst
County—Hardee
Hendry Corr Inst
County—Hendry
Hernando Corr Inst
County—Hernando
Hillsborough Corr Inst
County—Hillsborough
Holmes Corr Inst
County—Holmes
Indian River Corr Inst
County—Indian River
Jackson Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Jefferson Corr Inst
County—Jefferson
Lancaster Corr Inst
County—Gilchrist
Lawtey Corr Inst
County—Bradford
Liberty Corr Inst
County—Liberty
Madison Corr Inst
County—Madison
Marion Corr Inst
County—Marion
Martin Corr Inst
County—Martin
Mayo Corr Inst
County—Lafayette
Moore Haven Corr Facility
County—Glades
N Fl Reception Ct r
County—Union
New River Corr Inst
County—Bradford
Okaloosa Corr Inst
County—Okaloosa
Polk Corr Inst
County—Polk

MENTAL HEALTH: Florida
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Putnam Corr Inst
County—Putnam
River Junction Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Santa Rosa Corr Inst
County—Santa Rosa
Sumter Corr Inst
County—Sumter
Wakulla Corr Inst
County—Wakulla
Walton Corr Inst
County—Walton
Washington Corr Inst
County—Washington

MENTAL HEALTH: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

*Bryan
Service Area: Gateway Catchment Area
*Butts
Service Area: McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
*Camden
Service Area: Gateway Catchment Area
*Catoosa
Service Area: MHCA ι1—Lookout Mountain
*Chattooga
Service Area: MHCA ι1—Lookout Mountain
*Cherokee
Service Area: Georgia Highlands
Cobb
Facility: Devereux Treatment Center
*Crisp
Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*Dade
Service Area: MHCA ι1—Lookout Mountain
*Dooly
Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*Fannin
Service Area: Georgia Highlands
*Fayette
Service Area: McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
Fulton
Service Area: South Central Fulton
Service Area: West Fulton Trail
*Gilmer
Service Area: Georgia Highlands
*Glynn
Service Area: Gateway Catchment Area
*Henry
Service Area: McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
*Irwin
Facility: Irwin Youth Development Campus
*Johnson
Facility: Wrightsville Youth Develp Campus
*Lamar
Service Area: McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
*Liberty
Service Area: Gateway Catchment Area
*Long
Service Area: Gateway Catchment Area
*Macon
Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*Marion

MENTAL HEALTH: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*McIntosh
Service Area: Gateway Catchment Area
*Mitchell
Facility: Autry State Prison
*Murray
Service Area: Georgia Highlands
*Pickens
Service Area: Georgia Highlands
*Pike
Service Area: McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
*Schley
Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*Spalding
Service Area: McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
*Sumter
Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*Taylor
Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*Upson
Service Area: McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
*Walker
Service Area: MHCA #1—Lookout Mountain
*Webster
Service Area: Middle Flint Ca 26
*Whitfield
Service Area: Georgia Highlands

MENTAL HEALTH: Georgia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Gateway Catchment Area
County—Bryan
County—Camden
County—Glynn
County—Liberty
County—Long
County—McIntosh
Georgia Highlands
County—Cherokee
County—Fannin
County—Gilmer
County—Murray
County—Pickens
County—Whitfield
McIntosh Trail Catchment Area
County—Butts
County—Fayette
County—Henry
County—Lamar
County—Pike
County—Spalding
County—Upson
Middle Flint Ca 26
County—Crisp
County—Dooly
County—Macon
County—Marion
County—Schley
County—Sumter
County—Taylor
County—Webster
MHCA #1—Lookout Mountain
County—Catoosa
County—Chattooga
County—Dade
County—Walker

MENTAL HEALTH: Georgia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Pineland
County—Appling
County—Bulloch
County—Candler
County—Evans
County—Jeff Davis
County—Tattnall
County—Toombs
County—Wayne
South Central Fulton
County—Fulton
Parts:
C.T. 44
C.T. 46.95
C.T. 48
C.T. 49.95
C.T. 50
C.T. 52–53
C.T. 55.01–55.02
C.T. 56–58
C.T. 63–64
C.T. 67
C.T. 68.01–68.02
C.T. 69–73
West Fulton Trail
County—Fulton
Parts:
C.T. 60–62
C.T. 77.01–77.02
C.T. 78.02–78.04
C.T. 79–80
C.T. 81.01–81.02
C.T. 82.01–82.02
C.T. 83.01–83.02
C.T. 84–85
C.T. 86.01–86.02
C.T. 87.01–87.02
C.T. 88
C.T. 103.01–103.02

MENTAL HEALTH: Georgia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Autry State Prison
County—Mitchell
Devereux Treatment Center
County—Cobb
Irwin Youth Development Campus
County—Irwin
Wrightsville Youth Develp Campus
County—Johnson

MENTAL HEALTH: Hawaii
County Listing

County Name

*Hawaii
Service Area: Kau
Service Area: Puna
Population Group: Low Inc—N Hawai'i

MENTAL HEALTH: Hawaii
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Kau
County—Hawaii
Parts:
C.T. 212
Puna
County—Hawaii

MENTAL HEALTH: Hawaii
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
C.T. 210.01–210.02
C.T. 211

MENTAL HEALTH: Hawaii
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—N Hawai'i
County—Hawaii
Parts:
C.T. 217–221

MENTAL HEALTH: Idaho
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Catchment Area III
*Bannock
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region Vi
*Bear Lake
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region Vi
*Bingham
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region Vi
*Blaine
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Bonneville
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Butte
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Camas
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Canyon
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Catchment Area III
*Caribou
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region Vi
*Cassia
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Clark
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Clearwater
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region II
*Custer
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Franklin
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region Vi
*Fremont
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Gem
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Catchment Area III
*Gooding
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Idaho
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region II
*Jefferson
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Jerome
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Latah
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region II
*Lemhi
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Lewis
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region II
*Lincoln
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Madison
Service Area: MH Region Vii

MENTAL HEALTH: Idaho
County Listing

County Name

*Minidoka
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Nez Perce
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region II
*Oneida
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region Vi
*Owyhee
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Catchment Area III
*Payette
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Catchment Area III
*Power
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region Vi
*Teton
Service Area: MH Region Vii
*Twin Falls
Service Area: Mental Hlth Region V
*Washington
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Catchment Area III

MENTAL HEALTH: Idaho
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Mental Hlth Region II
County—Clearwater
County—Idaho
County—Latah
County—Lewis
County—Nez Perce
Mental Hlth Region V
County—Blaine
County—Camas
County—Cassia
County—Gooding
County—Jerome
County—Lincoln
County—Minidoka
County—Twin Falls
Mental Hlth Region Vi
County—Bannock
County—Bear Lake
County—Bingham
County—Caribou
County—Franklin
County—Oneida
County—Power
MH Region Vii
County—Bonneville
County—Butte
County—Clark
County—Custer
County—Fremont
County—Jefferson
County—Lemhi
County—Madison
County—Teton

MENTAL HEALTH: Idaho
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc/MFW—Catchment Area III
County—Adams
County—Canyon
County—Gem
County—Owyhee
County—Payette
County—Washington

MENTAL HEALTH: Illinois
County Listing

County Name

*Clay
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33
*Coles
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
Cook
Service Area: Ashbum/Beverly/Mount Greenwood/Morgan Pa
Service Area: Auburn Gresham/Washington Heights
Service Area: Roseland/Pullman/Riverdale
Service Area: South Chicago
Service Area: South Shore/Chatham/Avalon Park/Burnside
Population Group: Hmlss—Uptown/Near North Side/Loop
Facility: Cook Co Dept Of Corr
Facility: Englewood Neighborhood Hlth Ct r
Facility: Tinley Park Mhc
*Crawford
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33
*Cumberland
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Douglas
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Edwards
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33
*Henderson
Service Area: Galesburg
*Henry
Service Area: Galesburg
*Jasper
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33
*Knox
Service Area: Galesburg
*Lawrence
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33
*Richland
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33
*Shelby
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Wabash
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33
*Warren
Service Area: Galesburg
*Wayne
Service Area: Catchment Area 4–03–33

MENTAL HEALTH: Illinois
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Ashbum/Beverly/Mount Greenwood/Morgan Pa
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 7001–7005
C.T. 7201–7207
C.T. 7401–7404
C.T. 7501–7506
Auburn Gresham/Washington Heights
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 7101–7115
C.T. 7301–7307
Catchment Area 3
County—Coles
County—Cumberland
County—Douglas
County—Shelby
Catchment Area 4–03–33
County—Clay
County—Crawford

MENTAL HEALTH: Illinois
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Edwards
County—Jasper
County—Lawrence
County—Richland
County—Wabash
County—Wayne
Galesburg
County—Henderson
County—Henry
County—Knox
County—Warren
Roseland/Pullman/Riverdale
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 4901–4914
C.T. 5001–5003
C.T. 5301–5306
C.T. 5401
South Chicago
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 4601–4610
C.T. 4801–4805
C.T. 5101–5105
C.T. 5201–5206
C.T. 5501–5502
South Shore/Chatham/Avalon Park/Burnside
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 4301–4314
C.T. 4401–4409
C.T. 4501–4503
C.T. 4701
C.T. 6901–6915

MENTAL HEALTH: Illinois
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Hmlss—Uptown/Near North Side/Loop
County—Cook
Parts:
Edgewater (C.T. 301–309)
Lakeview (C.T. 601–634)
Lincoln Park (C.T.701–720
Loop (C.T. 3201–3206)
Near N Side (C.T.801–819
Uptown (C.T. 310–321)

MENTAL HEALTH: Illinois
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Cook Co Dept Of Corr
County—Cook
Englewood Neighborhood Hlth Ct r
County—Cook
Tinley Park Mhc
County—Cook

MENTAL HEALTH: Indiana
County Listing

County Name

Adams
*Crawford
Service Area: Southern Indiana Catchment Area
*Dubois
Service Area: Southern Indiana Catchment Area
*Grant

MENTAL HEALTH: Indiana
County Listing

County Name

*Greene
Hendricks
  Facility: Reception And Diagnostic Ct r
*Huntington
  Service Area: Warsaw
*Kosciusko
  Service Area: Warsaw
Lake
  Service Area: Gary
Madison
  Facility: Pendleton Corr Fac
*Marshall
  Service Area: Warsaw
*Miami
  Facility: Miami Corr Facility
*Orange
  Service Area: Southern Indiana Catchment Area
*Perry
  Service Area: Southern Indiana Catchment Area
  Facility: Branchville Training Ct r
*Spencer
  Service Area: Southern Indiana Catchment Area
*Sullivan
*Wabash
  Service Area: Warsaw
*Whitley
  Service Area: Warsaw

MENTAL HEALTH: Indiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Gary
  County—Lake
    Parts:
      C.T. 101
      C.T. 102.98–103.00
      C.T. 104–134
      C.T. 411–412
      C.T. 413.01
Southern Indiana Catchment Area
  County—Crawford
  County—Dubois
  County—Orange
  County—Perry
  County—Spencer
Warsaw
  County—Huntington
  County—Kosciusko
  County—Marshall
  County—Wabash
  County—Whitley

MENTAL HEALTH: Indiana
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Branchville Training Ct r
  County—Perry
Miami Corr Facility
  County—Miami
Pendleton Corr Fac
  County—Madison
Reception And Diagnostic Ct r
  County—Hendricks

MENTAL HEALTH: Iowa
County Listing

County Name

*Adair
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Allamakee
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Audubon
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Boone
  Service Area: Ft Dodge/Boone
*Bremer
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Buchanan
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Buena Vista
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Butler
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Calhoun
  Service Area: Ft Dodge/Boone
*Cass
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Cerro Gordo
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Cherokee
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Chickasaw
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Clay
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Clayton
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Clinton
  Service Area: Clinton/Jackson
*Dallas
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Des Moines
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 16
*Dickinson
  Service Area: MHCA 1
Dubuque
  Service Area: Clinton/Jackson
*Emmet
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Fayette
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Floyd
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Franklin
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Greene
  Service Area: Ft Dodge/Boone
*Guthrie
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Hamilton
  Service Area: Ft Dodge/Boone
*Hancock
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Hardin
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Henry
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 16
*Howard
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Humboldt
  Service Area: Ft Dodge/Boone
*Ida
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Jackson
  Service Area: Clinton/Jackson
*Jasper
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Jefferson
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 16

MENTAL HEALTH: Iowa
County Listing

County Name

*Keokuk
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Kossuth
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Lee
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 16
*Louisa
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 16
*Lyon
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Madison
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Mahaska
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Marion
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Marshall
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Mitchell
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Montgomery
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*O'brien
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Osceola
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Page
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Palo Alto
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Plymouth
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Pocahontas
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Poweshiek
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Shelby
  Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*Sioux
  Service Area: MHCA 1
*Tama
  Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Van Buren
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 16
*Washington
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 16
*Webster
  Service Area: Ft Dodge/Boone
*Winnebago
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Winneshiek
  Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Worth
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
*Wright
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Catch Area 2

MENTAL HEALTH: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area 13
  County—Adair
  County—Audubon
  County—Cass
  County—Dallas
    Parts:
      Dallas Township
      Lincoln Township
      Linn Township
      Spring Valley Township
      Union Township

MENTAL HEALTH: Iowa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Washington Township
County—Adair
County—Audubon
County—Cass
County—Guthrie
County—Madison
County—Montgomery
County—Page
County—Shelby
Catchment Area 3
County—Allamakee
County—Bremer
County—Buchanan
County—Butler
County—Chickasaw
County—Clayton
County—Fayette
County—Howard
County—Winneshiek
Catchment Area 8
County—Hardin
County—Jasper
County—Keokuk
County—Mahaska
County—Marion
County—Marshall
County—Poweshiek
County—Tama
Clinton/Jackson
County—Clinton
County—Dubuque
County—Jackson
Ft Dodge/Boone
County—Boone
County—Calhoun
County—Greene
County—Hamilton
County—Humboldt
County—Webster
Mental Hlth Catch Area 16
County—Des Moines
County—Henry
County—Jefferson
County—Lee
County—Louisa
County—Van Buren
County—Washington
Mental Hlth Catch Area 2
County—Cerro Gordo
County—Floyd
County—Franklin
County—Hancock
County—Kossuth
County—Mitchell
County—Winnebago
County—Worth
County—Wright
MHCA 1
County—Buena Vista
County—Cherokee
County—Clay
County—Dickinson
County—Emmet
County—Ida
County—Lyon
County—O'brien
County—Osceola
County—Palo Alto
County—Plymouth
County—Pocahontas
County—Sioux

MENTAL HEALTH: Kansas
County Listing

County Name

*Allen
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 7
*Anderson
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 7
*Atchison
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 4
*Barber
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Barton
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 20
*Bourbon
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 7
*Brown
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 3
*Chase
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 12
*Chautauqua
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 13
*Cherokee
*Cheyenne
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Clark
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 22
*Cloud
Service Area: Mental Health Area 14 (Part)
*Coffey
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 12
*Comanche
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 22
*Cowley
*Crawford
*Decatur
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Dickinson
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 15
*Doniphan
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 3
*Edwards
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 22
*Elk
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 13
*Ellis
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Ellsworth
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 15
*Finney
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Ford
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Franklin
*Gove
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Graham
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Grant
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Gray
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Greeley
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Greenwood
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 12
*Hamilton
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Harper
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Haskell
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 25
*Hodgeman
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Jackson
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 3

MENTAL HEALTH: Kansas
County Listing

County Name

*Jefferson
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 4
*Jewell
Service Area: Mental Health Area 14 (Part)
*Kearny
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Kingman
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Kiowa
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 22
*Labette
*Lane
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
Leavenworth
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 4
*Lincoln
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 15
*Linn
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 7
*Logan
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Lyon
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 12
*Meade
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 25
Miami
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 6
*Mitchell
Service Area: Mental Health Area 14 (Part)
*Montgomery
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 13
*Morris
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 12
*Morton
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Nemaha
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 3
*Neosho
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 7
*Ness
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Norton
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Osage
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 12
*Osborne
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Ottawa
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 15
*Pawnee
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 20
*Phillips
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Pratt
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Rawlins
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Reno
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Republic
Service Area: Mental Health Area 14 (Part)
*Rice
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 20
*Rooks
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Rush
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Russell
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Saline
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 15
*Scott

MENTAL HEALTH: Kansas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Seward
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 25
*Sheridan
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Sherman
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Smith
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Stafford
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 20
*Stanton
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Stevens
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 25
*Sumner
*Thomas
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Trego
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Wabaunsee
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 12
*Wallace
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 23
*Washington
Service Area: Mental Health Area 14 (Part)
*Wichita
Service Area: Mental Health Area 24
*Wilson
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 13
*Woodson
Service Area: Mental Hlth Area 7

MENTAL HEALTH: Kansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area 21
County—Barber
County—Harper
County—Kingman
County—Pratt
County—Reno
Mental Health Area 14 (Part)
County—Clay
County—Cloud
County—Geary
County—Jewell
County—Marshall
County—Mitchell
County—Pottawatomie
County—Republic
County—Riley
County—Washington
Mental Health Area 24
County—Finney
County—Ford
County—Grant
County—Gray
County—Greeley
County—Hamilton
County—Hodgeman
County—Kearny
County—Lane
County—Morton
County—Scott
County—Stanton
County—Wichita
Mental Hlth Area 12
County—Chase
County—Coffey
County—Greenwood

MENTAL HEALTH: Kansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Lyon
County—Morris
County—Osage
County—Wabaunsee
Mental Hlth Area 13
County—Chautauqua
County—Elk
County—Montgomery
County—Wilson
Mental Hlth Area 15
County—Dickinson
County—Ellsworth
County—Lincoln
County—Ottawa
County—Saline
Mental Hlth Area 20
County—Barton
County—Pawnee
County—Rice
County—Stafford
Mental Hlth Area 22
County—Clark
County—Comanche
County—Edwards
County—Kiowa
Mental Hlth Area 23
County—Cheyenne
County—Decatur
County—Ellis
County—Gove
County—Graham
County—Logan
County—Ness
County—Norton
County—Osborne
County—Phillips
County—Rawlins
County—Rooks
County—Rush
County—Russell
County—Sheridan
County—Sherman
County—Smith
County—Thomas
County—Trego
County—Wallace
Mental Hlth Area 25
County—Haskell
County—Meade
County—Seward
County—Stevens
Mental Hlth Area 3
County—Brown
County—Doniphan
County—Jackson
County—Nemaha
Mental Hlth Area 4
County—Atchison
County—Jefferson
County—Leavenworth
Mental Hlth Area 6
County—Miami
Mental Hlth Area 7
County—Allen
County—Anderson
County—Bourbon
County—Linn
County—Neosho
County—Woodson

MENTAL HEALTH: Kentucky
County Listing

County Name

*Adair
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Allen
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Anderson
*Ballard
Service Area: Western Kentucy
*Barren
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Bell
Service Area: Cumberland River B
*Boyd
Service Area: Fivco Catchment Area
*Boyle
Service Area: Danville Catchment Area
*Bracken
Service Area: Buffalo Trace
*Breathitt
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River
*Butler
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Caldwell
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Calloway
Service Area: Western Kentucy
*Carlisle
Service Area: Western Kentucy
*Carroll
Service Area: Northern Kentucky
*Carter
Service Area: Fivco Catchment Area
*Casey
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Christian
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Clay
Service Area: Cumberland River A
*Clinton
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Crittenden
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Cumberland
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Daviess
Service Area: Green River Catchment Area
*Edmonson
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Elliott
Service Area: Fivco Catchment Area
*Estill
*Fleming
Service Area: Buffalo Trace
*Floyd
Service Area: Mountain
*Franklin
*Fulton
Service Area: Western Kentucy
*Gallatin
Service Area: Northern Kentucky
*Garrard
Service Area: Danville Catchment Area
*Grant
Service Area: Northern Kentucky
*Graves

MENTAL HEALTH: Kentucky
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Western Kentucy
*Green
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Greenup
Service Area: Fivco Catchment Area
*Hancock
Service Area: Green River Catchment Area
*Harlan
Service Area: Cumberland River B
*Harrison
*Hart
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Henderson
Service Area: Green River Catchment Area
*Henry
*Hickman
Service Area: Western Kentucy
*Hopkins
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Jackson
Service Area: Cumberland River A
*Johnson
Service Area: Mountain
*Knott
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River
*Knox
Service Area: Cumberland River B
*Laurel
Service Area: Cumberland River A
*Lawrence
Service Area: Fivco Catchment Area
*Lee
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River
*Leslie
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River
*Letcher
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River
*Lewis
Service Area: Buffalo Trace
*Lincoln
Service Area: Danville Catchment Area
*Livingston
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Logan
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Lyon
Service Area: Pennyroyal
Madison
*Magoffin
Service Area: Mountain
*Marshall
Service Area: Western Kentucy
*Martin
Service Area: Mountain
*Mason
Service Area: Buffalo Trace
*McCracken
Service Area: Western Kentucy
*McCreary
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*McLean
Service Area: Green River Catchment Area
*Mercer
Service Area: Danville Catchment Area
*Metcalfe
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Monroe

MENTAL HEALTH: Kentucky
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Muhlenberg
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Nicholas
*Ohio
Service Area: Green River Catchment Area
*Owen
Service Area: Northern Kentucky
*Owsley
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River
*Perry
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River
*Pike
Service Area: Mountain
*Powell
*Pulaski
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Robertson
Service Area: Buffalo Trace
*Rockcastle
Service Area: Cumberland River A
*Russell
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Simpson
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Spencer
*Taylor
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Todd
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Trigg
Service Area: Pennyroyal
*Trimble
*Union
Service Area: Green River Catchment Area
*Warren
Service Area: Barren River Catchment Area
*Wayne
Service Area: Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
*Webster
Service Area: Green River Catchment Area
*Whitley
Service Area: Cumberland River A
*Wolfe
Service Area: Upper Kentucky River

MENTAL HEALTH: Kentucky
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Barren River Catchment Area
County—Allen
County—Barren
County—Butler
County—Edmonson
County—Hart
County—Logan
County—Metcalfe
County—Monroe
County—Simpson
County—Warren
Buffalo Trace
County—Bracken
County—Fleming
County—Lewis

MENTAL HEALTH: Kentucky
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Mason
County—Robertson
Cumberland River A
County—Clay
County—Jackson
County—Laurel
County—Rockcastle
County—Whitley
Cumberland River B
County—Bell
County—Harlan
County—Knox
Danville Catchment Area
County—Boyle
County—Garrard
County—Lincoln
County—Mercer
Fivco Catchment Area
County—Boyd
County—Carter
County—Elliott
County—Greenup
County—Lawrence
Green River Catchment Area
County—Daviess
County—Hancock
County—Henderson
County—McLean
County—Ohio
County—Union
County—Webster
Lake Cumberland Catchment Area
County—Adair
County—Casey
County—Clinton
County—Cumberland
County—Green
County—McCreary
County—Pulaski
County—Russell
County—Taylor
County—Wayne
Mountain
County—Floyd
County—Johnson
County—Magoffin
County—Martin
County—Pike
Northern Kentucky
County—Carroll
County—Gallatin
County—Grant
County—Owen
Pennyroyal
County—Caldwell
County—Christian
County—Crittenden
County—Hopkins
County—Livingston
County—Lyon
County—Muhlenberg
County—Todd
County—Trigg
Upper Kentucky River
County—Breathitt
County—Knott
County—Lee
County—Leslie
County—Letcher
County—Owsley
County—Perry
County—Wolfe

MENTAL HEALTH: Kentucky
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Western Kentucy
County—Ballard
County—Calloway
County—Carlisle
County—Fulton
County—Graves
County—Hickman
County—McCracken
County—Marshall

MENTAL HEALTH: Maine
County Listing

County Name

*Aroostook
Population Group: Low Inc—MHCA 1
Cumberland
Population Group: Low-Income Bridgton Mhaa
*Franklin
Service Area: Rumford
*Oxford
Service Area: Rumford
Population Group: Low-Income Bridgton Mhaa
Penobscot
Population Group: Low Inc—MHCA 2
Population Group: Low Inc—MHCA 1
*Piscataquis
Population Group: Low Inc—MHCA 2
*Somerset
Population Group: Low Inc—MHCA 3
*Washington
Service Area: Greater Washington
Population Group: Low Inc—MHCA 1

MENTAL HEALTH: Maine
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Greater Washington
County—Washington
Parts:
Addison Town
Alexander Town
Baileyville Town
Baring Town
Beals Town
Beddington Town
Calais City
Centerville Town
Charlotte Town
Cherryfield Town
Codyville Plantation
Columbia Falls Town
Columbia Town
Cooper Town
Crawford Town
Cutler Town
Deblois Town
Dennysville Town
East Central Washington Unorg.
East Machias Town
Eastport City
Grand Lake Stream Plantation
Harrington Town
Jonesboro Town
Jonesport Town
Lubec Town
Machias Town
Machiasport Town
Marshfield Town

MENTAL HEALTH: Maine
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Meddybemps Town
Milbridge Town
North Washington Unorg.
Northfield Town
Passamaquoddy Indian Township Re
Passamaquoddy Pleasant Point Res
Pembroke Town
Perry Town
Princeton Town
Robbinston Town
Roque Bluffs Town
Steuben Town
Talmadge Town
Topsfield Town
Vanceboro Town
Waite Town
Wesley Town
Whiting Town
Whitneyville Town
Bridgton Town
Parts:
Casco Town
Harrison Town
Naples Town
Sebago Town
Denmark Town
Rumford
County—Franklin
Parts:
Carthage Town
South Franklin Unorg.
Weld Town
County—Oxford
Parts:
Andover Town
Byron Town
Dixfield Town
Hanover Town
Mexico Town
Milton Unorg.
Peru Town
Roxbury Town
Rumford Town

MENTAL HEALTH: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—MHCA 1
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Aroostook Co
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Mt. Chase Town
Patten Town
Stacyvilletown
County—Washington
Parts:
Danforth Town
Low Inc—MHCA 2
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Carroll Town
Chester Town
Drew Town
East Millinocket Town
Kingman Town
Lee Town
Lincoln Town
Mattawamkeagtown
Medway Town

MENTAL HEALTH: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Millinocket Town
Prentiss Town
Springfield Town
Webster Town
Winn Town
Woodville Town
County—Piscataquis
Parts:
Piscataquis Co
Low Inc—MHCA 3
County—Somerset
Parts:
Somerset Co
Low-Income Bridgton Mhaa
County—Cumberland
County—Oxford

MENTAL HEALTH: Maryland
County Listing

County Name

*Caroline
Service Area: Mid-Shore
*Dorchester
Service Area: Mid-Shore
*Garrett
*Kent
Service Area: Northeastern Shore
*Queen Anne's
Service Area: Northeastern Shore
*Somerset
*Worcester

MENTAL HEALTH: Maryland
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Mid-Shore
County—Caroline
County—Dorchester
County—Talbot
Northeastern Shore
County—Kent
County—Queen Anne's

MENTAL HEALTH: Massachusetts
County Listing

County Name

Suffolk
Population Group: Homeless—Dorchester(S)
Population Group: Homeless—Roxbury(S)
Population Group: Homeless—South End(S)
Population Group: Homeless—Downtown(S)
Worcester
Population Group: Low Income—Worcester
Parts:

MENTAL HEALTH: Massachusetts
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
C.T. 901–906
C.T. 912–915
C.T. 918–919
C.T. 923–924
C.T. 1001
C.T. 1101.01–1101.02

MENTAL HEALTH: Massachusetts
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
C.T. 203
C.T. 701–702
Parts:
C.T. 103
C.T. 104.02
C.T. 801–810
C.T. 812–821
C.T. 1203
C.T. 1205
Parts:
C.T. 704
C.T. 710–712
Worcester City

MENTAL HEALTH: Massachusetts
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Dorchester(S)
County—Suffolk
Homeless—Downtown(S)
County—Suffolk
Homeless—Roxbury(S)
County—Suffolk
Homeless—South End(S)
County—Suffolk
Low Income—Worcester
County—Worcester

MENTAL HEALTH: Michigan
County Listing

County Name

*Alger
*Antrim
Service Area: Mancelona/Kalkaska
*Arenac
*Baraga
Service Area: Copper Country
*Benzie
Service Area: Manistee
*Branch
*Cass
*Charlevoix
Population Group: Low Inc—Charlevoix Co
*Cheboygan
*Chippewa
Service Area: Eastern/Upper Peninsula
*Clare
Service Area: Mt. Pleasant
*Crawford
Service Area: North Central
*Delta
*Dickinson
Service Area: Iron Mountain
*Emmet
Population Group: Low Inc—Emmet Co
*Gladwin
Service Area: Midland/Gladwin
*Gratiot
*Hillsdale
*Houghton
Service Area: Copper Country
*Huron
*Iosco
Service Area: Au Sable Valley
*Iron
Service Area: Iron Mountain
*Isabella
Service Area: Mt. Pleasant
*Kalkaska
Service Area: Mancelona/Kalkaska
*Keweenaw
Service Area: Copper Country
*Lake
Service Area: Lake/Mason/Oceana
*Leelanau
*Lenawee
*Mackinac
Service Area: Eastern/Upper Peninsula
*Manistee
Service Area: Manistee
*Mason
Service Area: Lake/Mason/Oceana
*Mecosta
Service Area: Mt. Pleasant
*Menominee
*Midland
Service Area: Midland/Gladwin
*Missaukee
Service Area: North Central
*Montcalm
*Newaygo
*Oceana
Service Area: Lake/Mason/Oceana
*Ogemaw
Service Area: Au Sable Valley
*Ontonagon
Service Area: Copper Country
*Osceola
Service Area: Mt. Pleasant
*Oscoda
Service Area: Au Sable Valley
*Otsego
Ottawa
*Roscommon
Service Area: North Central
*Sanilac
*Schoolcraft
*Tuscola (g)
Facility: Caro Regional Mhc
Wayne
Service Area: East Detroit
Service Area: Northwest Detroit
Population Group: Low Inc—Southwest Detroit
*Wexford
Service Area: North Central

MENTAL HEALTH: Michigan
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Au Sable Valley
County—Iosco
County—Ogemaw
County—Oscoda
Copper Country
County—Baraga
County—Houghton
County—Keweenaw
County—Ontonagon
East Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5004–5005
C.T. 5013
C.T. 5020
C.T. 5039–5045
C.T. 5121–5124
C.T. 5126
C.T. 5129
C.T. 5132–5136
C.T. 5139–5143
C.T. 5145–5157
C.T. 5161–5164
C.T. 5166–5169
Eastern/Upper Peninsula
County—Chippewa
County—Mackinac
Iron Mountain
County—Dickinson
County—Iron
Lake/Mason/Oceana
County—Lake
County—Mason
County—Oceana
Mancelona/Kalkaska
County—Antrim
County—Kalkaska
Manistee
County—Benzie
County—Manistee
Midland/Gladwin
County—Gladwin
County—Midland
Mt. Pleasant
County—Clare
County—Isabella
County—Mecosta
County—Osceola
North Central
County—Crawford
County—Missaukee
County—Roscommon
County—Wexford
Northwest Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5341–5347
C.T. 5350–5357
C.T. 5366–5367
C.T. 5371–5373
C.T. 5377–5378
C.T. 5423–5426
C.T. 5451–5454

MENTAL HEALTH: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Charlevoix Co
County—Charlevoix
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Emmet Co
County—Emmet
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Southwest Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5209
C.T. 5211–5215
C.T. 5220–5222
C.T. 5231–5238
C.T. 5240–5243
C.T. 5251–5258
C.T. 5260–5265

MENTAL HEALTH: Michigan
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Caro Regional Mhc
County—Tuscola

MENTAL HEALTH: Minnesota
County Listing

County Name

*Aitkin
Service Area: Itasca/Koochiching
*Becker
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Beltrami
Service Area: Bemidji
*Cass
Service Area: Bemidji
*Chisago
Service Area: Cambridge
*Clay
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Clearwater
Service Area: Bemidji
*Cottonwood
Service Area: Worthington
*Douglas
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Faribault
Service Area: Fairmount
*Grant
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Hubbard
Service Area: Bemidji
*Isanti
Service Area: Cambridge
*Itasca
Service Area: Itasca/Koochiching
*Jackson
Service Area: Worthington
*Kanabec
Service Area: Cambridge
*Kittson
Service Area: E Grand Forks
*Koochiching
Service Area: Itasca/Koochiching
*Lake Of The Woods
Service Area: Bemidji
*Lincoln
Service Area: Marshall
*Lyon
Service Area: Marshall
*Mahnomen
Service Area: E Grand Forks
*Marshall
Service Area: E Grand Forks
*Martin
Service Area: Fairmount
*Mille Lacs
Service Area: Cambridge
*Murray
Service Area: Marshall
*Nobles
Service Area: Worthington
*Norman
Service Area: E Grand Forks
*Otter Tail
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Pennington
Service Area: E Grand Forks
*Pine
Service Area: Cambridge
*Pipestone
Service Area: Worthington
*Polk
Service Area: E Grand Forks
*Pope
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Red Lake
Service Area: E Grand Forks
*Redwood
Service Area: Marshall

MENTAL HEALTH: Minnesota
County Listing

County Name

*Rock
Service Area: Worthington
*Roseau
Service Area: Bemidji
*Stevens
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Traverse
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Watonwan
Service Area: Fairmount
*Wilkin
Service Area: Fergus Falls
*Yellow Medicine
Service Area: Marshall

MENTAL HEALTH: Minnesota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Bemidji
County—Beltrami
County—Cass
County—Clearwater
County—Hubbard
County—Lake Of The Woods
County—Roseau
Cambridge
County—Chisago
County—Isanti
County—Kanabec
County—Mille Lacs
County—Pine
E Grand Forks
County—Kittson
County—Mahnomen
County—Marshall
County—Norman
County—Pennington
County—Polk
County—Red Lake
Fairmount
County—Faribault
County—Martin
County—Watonwan
Fergus Falls
County—Becker
County—Clay
County—Douglas
County—Grant
County—Otter Tail
County—Pope
County—Stevens
County—Traverse
County—Wilkin
Itasca/Koochiching
County—Aitkin
County—Itasca
County—Koochiching
Marshall
County—Lincoln
County—Lyon
County—Murray
County—Redwood
County—Yellow Medicine
Worthington
County—Cottonwood
County—Jackson
County—Nobles
County—Pipestone
County—Rock

MENTAL HEALTH: Mississippi
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Alcorn
Service Area: Catchment Area #4
*Amite
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Attala
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Benton
Service Area: Catchment Area #3
*Bolivar
Service Area: Catchment Area #5
*Calhoun
Service Area: Catchment Area #2
*Carroll
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Chickasaw
Service Area: Catchment Area #3
*Choctaw
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Claiborne
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Clarke
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Clay
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Coahoma
Service Area: Catchment Area #1
*Covington
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Desoto
Service Area: Catchment Area #2
*Forrest
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Franklin
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*George
Service Area: Catchment Area #14
*Greene
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Grenada
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Hancock
Service Area: Catchment Area #13
*Harrison
Service Area: Catchment Area #13
*Holmes
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Humphreys
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Issaquena
Service Area: Catchment Area #5
*Itawamba
Service Area: Catchment Area #3
*Jackson
Service Area: Catchment Area #14
*Jasper
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Jefferson
*Jefferson Davis
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Jones
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Kemper
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Lafayette
Service Area: Catchment Area #2
*Lamar
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Lauderdale
Service Area: Catchment Area #10

MENTAL HEALTH: Mississippi
County Listing

County Name

*Lawrence
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Leake
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Lee
Service Area: Catchment Area #3
*Leflore
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Lincoln
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Lowndes
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Marion
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Marshall
Service Area: Catchment Area #2
*Monroe
Service Area: Catchment Area #3
*Montgomery
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Neshoba
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Newton
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Noxubee
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Oktibbeha
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Panola
Service Area: Catchment Area #2
*Pearl River
Service Area: Catchment Area #13
*Perry
Service Area: Catchment Area #12
*Pike
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Pontotoc
Service Area: Catchment Area #3
*Prentiss
Service Area: Catchment Area #4
*Quitman
Service Area: Catchment Area #1
*Scott
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Sharkey
Service Area: Catchment Area #5
*Smith
Service Area: Catchment Area #10
*Stone
Service Area: Catchment Area #13
*Sunflower
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Tallahatchie
Service Area: Catchment Area #1
*Tate
Service Area: Catchment Area #2
*Tippah
Service Area: Catchment Area #4
*Tishomingo
Service Area: Catchment Area #4
*Tunica
Service Area: Catchment Area #1
*Union
Service Area: Catchment Area #3
*Walthall
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Warren
Service Area: Catchment Area #15
*Washington
Service Area: Catchment Area #5
*Wayne
Service Area: Catchment Area #12

MENTAL HEALTH: Mississippi
County Listing

County Name

*Webster
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Wilkinson
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Winston
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Yalobusha
Service Area: Catchment Area #2
*Yazoo
Service Area: Catchment Area #15

MENTAL HEALTH: Mississippi
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area #1
County—Coahoma
County—Quitman
County—Tallahatchie
County—Tunica
Catchment Area #10
County—Clarke
County—Jasper
County—Kemper
County—Lauderdale
County—Leake
County—Neshoba
County—Newton
County—Scott
County—Smith
Catchment Area #11
County—Adams
County—Amite
County—Claiborne
County—Franklin
County—Jefferson
County—Lawrence
County—Lincoln
County—Pike
County—Walthall
County—Wilkinson
Catchment Area #12
County—Covington
County—Forrest
County—Greene
County—Jefferson Davis
County—Jones
County—Lamar
County—Marion
County—Perry
County—Wayne
Catchment Area #13
County—Hancock
County—Harrison
County—Pearl River
County—Stone
Catchment Area #14
County—George
County—Jackson
Catchment Area #15
County—Warren
County—Yazoo
Catchment Area #2
County—Calhoun
County—Desoto
County—Lafayette
County—Marshall
County—Panola
County—Tate
County—Yalobusha
Catchment Area #3
County—Benton

MENTAL HEALTH: Mississippi
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Chickasaw
County—Itawamba
County—Lee
County—Monroe
County—Pontotoc
County—Union
Catchment Area #4
County—Alcorn
County—Prentiss
County—Tippah
County—Tishomingo
Catchment Area #5
County—Bolivar
County—Issaquena
County—Sharkey
County—Washington
Catchment Area #6
County—Attala
County—Carroll
County—Grenada
County—Holmes
County—Humphreys
County—Leflore
County—Montgomery
County—Sunflower
Catchment Area #7
County—Choctaw
County—Clay
County—Lowndes
County—Noxubee
County—Oktibbeha
County—Webster
County—Winston

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
County Listing

County Name

*Adair
Service Area: Hannibal
*Andrew
Service Area: St Joseph
*Atchison
Service Area: St Joseph
*Audrain
Service Area: Mexico
*Barry
Service Area: Nevada
*Barton
Service Area: Joplin
*Bates
Service Area: Nevada
*Benton
Service Area: Nevada
*Bollinger
Service Area: Cape Girardeau
*Buchanan
Service Area: St Joseph
*Butler
Service Area: Poplar Bluff
*Caldwell
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Callaway
Service Area: Mexico
*Camden
Service Area: Jefferson City
*Cape Girardeau
Service Area: Cape Girardeau
*Carter
Service Area: Poplar Bluff
*Cass
Service Area: Warrensburg

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
County Listing

County Name

*Cedar
Service Area: Nevada
Christian
Christian
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
*Clark
Service Area: Hannibal
*Clinton
Service Area: St Joseph
*Cole
Service Area: Jefferson City
*Crawford
Service Area: Rolla
*Dade
Service Area: Nevada
*Dallas
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
*Daviess
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Dekalb
Service Area: St Joseph
*Dent
Service Area: Rolla
*Douglas
Service Area: West Plains
*Dunklin
Service Area: Poplar Bluff
*Gasconade
Service Area: Rolla
*Gentry
Service Area: St Joseph
Greene
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
*Grundy
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Harrison
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Henry
Service Area: Nevada
*Hickory
Service Area: Nevada
*Holt
Service Area: St Joseph
*Howell
Service Area: West Plains
*Iron
Service Area: Rolla
*Jasper
Service Area: Joplin
*Jefferson
Service Area: Cape Girardeau
*Johnson
Service Area: Warrensburg
*Knox
Service Area: Hannibal
*Laclede
Service Area: Jefferson City
*Lafayette
Service Area: Warrensburg
*Lawrence
Service Area: Nevada
*Lewis
Service Area: Hannibal
*Linn
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Livingston
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Macon
Service Area: Hannibal
*Madison
Service Area: Cape Girardeau

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
County Listing

County Name

*Maries
Service Area: Rolla
*Marion
Service Area: Hannibal
*McDonald
Service Area: Joplin
*Mercer
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Miller
Service Area: Jefferson City
*Mississippi
Service Area: Sikeston
*Monroe
Service Area: Mexico
*Montgomery
Service Area: Mexico
*Morgan
Service Area: Columbia
*New Madrid
Service Area: Sikeston
*Newton
Service Area: Joplin
*Nodaway
Service Area: St Joseph
*Oregon
Service Area: West Plains
*Osage
Service Area: Jefferson City
*Ozark
Service Area: West Plains
*Pemiscot
Service Area: Poplar Bluff
*Perry
Service Area: Cape Girardeau
*Pettis
Service Area: Columbia
*Phelps
Service Area: Rolla
*Pike
Service Area: Mexico
*Polk
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
*Pulaski
Service Area: Jefferson City
*Putnam
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Ralls
Service Area: Mexico
*Reynolds
Service Area: Poplar Bluff
*Ripley
Service Area: Poplar Bluff
*Saline
Service Area: Columbia
*Schuyler
Service Area: Hannibal
*Scotland
Service Area: Hannibal
*Scott
Service Area: Sikeston
*Shannon
Service Area: West Plains
*Shelby
Service Area: Hannibal
*St. Clair
Service Area: Nevada
*St. Francois
Service Area: Rolla
*Ste. Genevieve
Service Area: Cape Girardeau
*Stoddard
Service Area: Sikeston

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
County Listing

County Name

*Stone
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
*Sullivan
Service Area: Chillicothe
*Taney
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
*Texas
Service Area: West Plains
*Vernon
Service Area: Nevada
*Washington
Service Area: Rolla
*Wayne
Service Area: Poplar Bluff
Webster
Population Group: Low Inc—Springfield
*Worth
Service Area: St Joseph
*Wright
Service Area: West Plains

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Cape Girardeau
County—Bollinger
County—Cape Girardeau
County—Jefferson
County—Madison
County—Perry
County—Ste. Genevieve
Chillicothe
County—Caldwell
County—Daviess
County—Grundy
County—Harrison
County—Linn
County—Livingston
County—Mercer
County—Putnam
County—Sullivan
Columbia
County—Boone
County—Carroll
County—Chariton
County—Cooper
County—Howard
County—Moniteau
County—Morgan
County—Pettis
County—Randolph
County—Saline
Hannibal
County—Adair
County—Clark
County—Knox
County—Lewis
County—Macon
County—Marion
County—Schuyler
County—Scotland
County—Shelby
Jefferson City
County—Camden
County—Cole
County—Laclede
County—Miller
County—Osage
County—Pulaski
Joplin
County—Barton

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Jasper
County—McDonald
County—Newton
Mexico
County—Audrain
County—Callaway
County—Monroe
County—Montgomery
County—Pike
County—Ralls
Nevada
County—Barry
County—Bates
County—Benton
County—Cedar
County—Dade
County—Henry
County—Hickory
County—Lawrence
County—St. Clair
County—Vernon
Poplar Bluff
County—Butler
County—Carter
County—Dunklin
County—Pemiscot
County—Reynolds
County—Ripley
County—Wayne
Rolla
County—Crawford
County—Dent
County—Gasconade
County—Iron
County—Maries
County—Phelps
County—St. Francois
County—Washington
Sikeston
County—Mississippi
County—New Madrid
County—Scott
County—Stoddard
St Joseph
County—Andrew
County—Atchison
County—Buchanan
County—Clinton
County—Dekalb
County—Gentry
County—Holt
County—Nodaway
County—Worth
Warrensburg
County—Cass
County—Johnson
County—Lafayette
West Plains
County—Douglas
County—Howell
County—Oregon
County—Ozark
County—Shannon
County—Texas
County—Wright

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Springfield
County—Christian

MENTAL HEALTH: Missouri
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Dallas
County—Greene
County—Polk
County—Stone
County—Taney
County—Webster

MENTAL HEALTH: Montana
County Listing

County Name

*Beaverhead
*Big Horn
*Blaine
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Carter
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Chouteau
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Custer
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Daniels
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Dawson
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Deer Lodge
Service Area: Silver Bow/Deer Lodge
Facility: Montana State Hospital
*Fallon
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Fergus
Service Area: Lewistown
*Garfield
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Glacier
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Golden Valley
*Granite
*Hill
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Judith Basin
Service Area: Lewistown
*Lake
*Liberty
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Lincoln
*Madison
*McCone
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Meagher
*Mineral
*Musselshell
*Park
*Petroleum
Service Area: Lewistown
*Phillips
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Pondera
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Powder River
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Powell (g)
Facility: Montana State Prison
*Prairie
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Ravalli
*Richland
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Roosevelt
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Rosebud
Service Area: Eastern Montana

MENTAL HEALTH: Montana
County Listing

County Name

*Sanders
*Sheridan
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Silver Bow
Service Area: Silver Bow/Deer Lodge
*Stillwater
*Sweet Grass
*Teton
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Toole
Service Area: North-Central Montana
*Treasure
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Valley
Service Area: Eastern Montana
*Wheatland
Service Area: Lewistown
*Wibaux
Service Area: Eastern Montana

MENTAL HEALTH: Montana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Eastern Montana
County—Carter
County—Custer
County—Daniels
County—Dawson
County—Fallon
County—Garfield
County—McCone
County—Phillips
County—Powder River
County—Prairie
County—Richland
County—Roosevelt
County—Rosebud
County—Sheridan
County—Treasure
County—Valley
County—Wibaux
Lewistown
County—Fergus
County—Judith Basin
County—Petroleum
County—Wheatland
North-Central Montana
County—Blaine
County—Chouteau
County—Glacier
County—Hill
County—Liberty
County—Pondera
County—Teton
County—Toole
Silver Bow/Deer Lodge
County—Deer Lodge
County—Silver Bow

MENTAL HEALTH: Montana
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Montana State Hospital
County—Deer Lodge
Montana State Prison
County—Powell

MENTAL HEALTH: Nebraska
County Listing

County Name

*Antelope
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Arthur
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Banner
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Boone
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Box Butte
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Boyd
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Brown
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Burt
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Butler
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Cedar
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Chase
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Cherry
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Cheyenne
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Colfax
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Cuming
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
Dakota
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Dawes
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Dawson
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Deuel
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Dixon
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
Douglas
Facility: Douglas County Hosp (Mhc)
*Dundy
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Fillmore
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Frontier
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Gage
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Garden
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Gosper
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Grant
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Hayes
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Hitchcock
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Holt
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Hooker
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Jefferson
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Johnson
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Keith
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Keya Paha
Service Area: Catchment Area 4

MENTAL HEALTH: Nebraska
County Listing

County Name

*Kimball
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Knox
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
Lancaster
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Lincoln
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Logan
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Madison
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Morrill
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*MCPherson
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Nance
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Nemaha
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Otoe
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Pawnee
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Perkins
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Pierce
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Platte
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Polk
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Red Willow
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Richardson
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Rock
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Saline
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Saunders
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Scotts Bluff
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Seward
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Sheridan
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Sioux
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Stanton
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Thayer
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Thomas
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Thurston
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Wayne
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*York
Service Area: Catchment Area 5

MENTAL HEALTH: Nebraska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area 1
County—Banner
County—Box Butte
County—Cheyenne
County—Dawes

MENTAL HEALTH: Nebraska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Deuel
County—Garden
County—Kimball
County—Morrill
County—Scotts Bluff
County—Sheridan
County—Sioux
Catchment Area 2
County—Arthur
County—Chase
County—Dawson
County—Dundy
County—Frontier
County—Gosper
County—Grant
County—Hayes
County—Hitchcock
County—Hooker
County—Keith
County—Lincoln
County—Logan
County—MCPherson
County—Perkins
County—Red Willow
County—Thomas
Catchment Area 4
County—Antelope
County—Boone
County—Boyd
County—Brown
County—Burt
County—Cedar
County—Cherry
County—Colfax
County—Cuming
County—Dakota
County—Dixon
County—Holt
County—Keya Paha
County—Knox
County—Madison
County—Nance
County—Pierce
County—Platte
County—Rock
County—Stanton
County—Thurston
County—Wayne
Catchment Area 5
County—Butler
County—Fillmore
County—Gage
County—Jefferson
County—Johnson
County—Lancaster
County—Nemaha
County—Otoe
County—Pawnee
County—Polk
County—Richardson
County—Saline
County—Saunders
County—Seward
County—Thayer
County—York

MENTAL HEALTH: Nebraska
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Douglas County Hosp (Mhc)
County—Douglas

MENTAL HEALTH: Nevada
County Listing

County Name

Carson City
  Facility: Nv State Prsn—Carson City
*Churchill
*Elko
*Humboldt
*Lander
*Mineral
*Pershing
*White Pine

MENTAL HEALTH: Nevada
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Nv State Prsn—Carson City
  County—Carson City

MENTAL HEALTH: New Hampshire
County Listing

County Name

*Carroll
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Region I
*Coos
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Region I
*Grafton
  Service Area: Eastern Grafton (Reg. III)
  Service Area: Mental Hlth Region I

MENTAL HEALTH: New Hampshire
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Eastern Grafton (Reg. III)
  County—Grafton
    Parts:
      Alexandria Town
      Ashland Town
      Bridgewater Town
      Bristol Town
      Campton Town
      Ellsworth Town
      Groton Town
      Hebron Town
      Holderness Town
      Plymouth Town
      Rumney Town
      Thornton Town
      Wentworth Town
Mental Hlth Region I
  County—Carroll
  County—Coos
  County—Grafton
    Parts:
      Bath Town
      Benton Town
      Bethlehem Town
      Easton Town
      Franconia Town
      Haverhill Town
      Landaff Town
      Lincoln Town
      Lisbon Town
      Littleton Town
      Lyman Town
      Monroe Town
      Piermont Town
      Sugar Hill Town
      Warren Town
      Waterville Valley Town
      Woodstock Town

MENTAL HEALTH: New Jersey
County Listing

County Name

Essex
Salem

MENTAL HEALTH: New Mexico
County Listing

County Name

Bernalillo
  Service Area: North Valley
  Service Area: Southwest Valley
*Catron
  Service Area: Border MH Service Area
*Chaves
  Service Area: Southeastern MH Service Area
*Curry
  Service Area: Plains MH Service Area
*Debaca
  Service Area: Plains MH Service Area
*Eddy
  Service Area: Southeastern MH Service Area
*Grant
  Service Area: Border MH Service Area
*Guadalupe
  Service Area: Plains MH Service Area
*Harding
  Service Area: Plains MH Service Area
*Hidalgo
  Service Area: Border MH Service Area
*Lea
  Service Area: Southeastern MH Service Area
*Lincoln
  Service Area: Southeastern MH Service Area
*Luna
  Service Area: Border MH Service Area
*McKinley
  Service Area: Catchment Area #1
*Otero
  Service Area: Southeastern MH Service Area
*Quay
  Service Area: Plains MH Service Area
*Rio Arriba
*Roosevelt
  Service Area: Plains MH Service Area
*San Juan
  Service Area: Catchment Area #1
*San Miguel
  Facility: Las Vegas Medical Center
Sandoval
  Service Area: Northern Sandoval
*Sierra
  Service Area: South Central MH Service Area
*Socorro
  Service Area: South Central MH Service Area
*Taos
*Union
  Service Area: Plains MH Service Area
Valencia

MENTAL HEALTH: New Mexico
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Border MH Service Area
  County—Catron
  County—Grant
  County—Hidalgo
  County—Luna
Catchment Area #1
  County—McKinley
  County—San Juan
North Valley
  County—Bernalillo
    Parts:
      C.T. 29
      C.T. 30.01–30.02
      C.T. 31
      C.T. 32.01–32.02
      C.T. 35.01–35.02
      C.T. 36
Northern Sandoval
  County—Sandoval
    Parts:
      Cuba CCD
      Jemez CCD
      Santo Domingo CCD
Plains MH Service Area
  County—Curry
  County—Debaca
  County—Guadalupe
  County—Harding
  County—Quay
  County—Roosevelt
  County—Union
South Central MH Service Area
  County—Sierra
  County—Socorro
Southeastern MH Service Area
  County—Chaves
  County—Eddy
  County—Lea
  County—Lincoln
  County—Otero
Southwest Valley
  County—Bernalillo
    Parts:
      C.T. 23
      C.T. 24.01–24.02
      C.T. 43
      C.T. 44.01–44.02
      C.T. 45.01–45.02
      C.T. 46.02–46.04

MENTAL HEALTH: New Mexico
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Las Vegas Medical Center
  County—San Miguel

MENTAL HEALTH: New York
County Listing

County Name

*Allegany
Bronx
  Facility: NYC Corr. Fac./Rikers Island
*Cattaraugus
Cayuga
Chautauqua
*Chenango
*Delaware
Erie
  Service Area: P.S. 84 Neighborhood
*Essex
*Franklin
*Fulton
*Jefferson

MENTAL HEALTH: New York
County Listing

County Name

*Lewis
Livingston
Monroe
  Service Area: Jordan (Rochester)
New York
  Service Area: Chinatown/Lower Manhattan
  Facility: Bellevue Hosp Ct r
  Facility: Callen/Lorde Mental Health Clinic
  Facility: Ft. Washington—Proj Renewal
  Facility: Holland House- Proj Renewal
  Facility: New Providence—Proj Renewal
  Facility: Psych Outreach To Homeless
  Facility: Renewal On Clinton
  Facility: World Trade Center
*Schuyler
*Seneca
Wayne

MENTAL HEALTH: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Chinatown/Lower Manhattan
  County—New York
    Parts:
      C.T. 2.01–2.02
      C.T. 6
      C.T. 8
      C.T. 10.01–10.02
      C.T. 12
      C.T. 14.01–14.02
      C.T. 15.01
      C.T. 16
      C.T. 18
      C.T. 22.01
      C.T. 25
      C.T. 27
      C.T. 29
      C.T. 30.01
      C.T. 41
Jordan (Rochester)
  County—Monroe
    Parts:
      C.T. 7
      C.T. 13–15
      C.T. 39
      C.T. 43
      C.T. 48–53
      C.T. 55–56
      C.T. 80
      C.T. 90–92
      C.T. 93.01–93.02
      C.T. 94.01–94.03
P.S. 84 Neighborhood
  County—Erie
    Parts:
      C.T. 27.02
      C.T. 29
      C.T. 32.01–32.02
      C.T. 33.01–33.02
      C.T. 34–36
      C.T. 39.01–39.02
      C.T. 40.01–40.02
      C.T. 41–42
      C.T. 44.02
      C.T. 52.02
      C.T. 64

MENTAL HEALTH: New York
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Bellevue Hosp Ct r
  County—New York
Callen/Lorde Mental Health Clinic
  County—New York
Ft. Washington—Proj Renewal
  County—New York
Holland House- Proj Renewal
  County—New York
New Providence—Proj Renewal
  County—New York
NYC Corr. Fac./Rikers Island
  County—Bronx
Psych Outreach To Homeless
  County—New York
Renewal On Clinton
  County—New York
World Trade Center
  County—New York

MENTAL HEALTH: North Carolina
County Listing

County Name

*Bertie
  Service Area: Roanoke-Chowan
*Bladen
  Service Area: Southeast Regional
*Brunswick
Burke
  Facility: Broughton Hospital
Caldwell
*Camden
  Service Area: Albemarle
*Cherokee
  Service Area: Smokey Mountain
*Chowan
  Service Area: Albemarle
*Clay
  Service Area: Smokey Mountain
*Columbus
  Service Area: Southeast Regional
*Currituck
  Service Area: Albemarle
*Dare
  Service Area: Albemarle
*Duplin
  Service Area: Duplin-Sampson
*Edgecombe
  Population Group: Low Inc—Edgecombe/Nash
*Gates
  Service Area: Roanoke-Chowan
*Graham
  Service Area: Smokey Mountain
*Halifax
  Service Area: Halifax MHCA
*Hertford
  Service Area: Roanoke-Chowan
*Hyde
  Service Area: Tideland
*Jackson
  Service Area: Smokey Mountain
*Macon
  Service Area: Smokey Mountain
*Martin
  Service Area: Tideland
*Nash
  Population Group: Low Inc—Edgecombe/Nash
*Northampton
  Service Area: Roanoke-Chowan
*Pasquotank
  Service Area: Albemarle
*Perquimans
  Service Area: Albemarle
*Robeson
  Service Area: Southeast Regional
*Sampson
  Service Area: Duplin-Sampson
*Scotland
  Service Area: Southeast Regional
*Surry
  Service Area: Surry-Yadkin
*Swain
  Service Area: Smokey Mountain
*Tyrrell
  Service Area: Tideland
*Vance
  Facility: FCI—Butner
*Washington
  Service Area: Tideland
*Yadkin
  Service Area: Surry-Yadkin

MENTAL HEALTH: North Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Albemarle
  County—Camden
  County—Chowan
  County—Currituck
  County—Dare
  County—Pasquotank
  County—Perquimans
Duplin-Sampson
  County—Duplin
  County—Sampson
Halifax MHCA
  County—Halifax
Roanoke-Chowan
  County—Bertie
  County—Gates
  County—Hertford
  County—Northampton
Smokey Mountain
  County—Cherokee
  County—Clay
  County—Graham
  County—Haywood
  County—Jackson
  County—Macon
  County—Swain
Southeast Regional
  County—Bladen
  County—Columbus
  County—Robeson
  County—Scotland
Surry-Yadkin
  County—Surry
  County—Yadkin
Tideland
  County—Beaufort
  County—Hyde
  County—Martin
  County—Tyrrell
  County—Washington

MENTAL HEALTH: North Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Edgecombe/Nash
  County—Edgecombe
  County—Nash

MENTAL HEALTH: North Carolina
Facility Listing

Facility Name
Broughton Hospital
County—Burke
FCI—Butner
County—Vance

MENTAL HEALTH: North Dakota
County Listing

County Name
*Adams
*Barnes
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
*Benson
Service Area: Devils Lake Catchment Area
*Billings
*Bottineau
*Bowman
*Burke
*Cavalier
Service Area: Devils Lake Catchment Area
*Dickey
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
*Divide
*Dunn
*Eddy
Service Area: Devils Lake Catchment Area
*Emmons
*Foster
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
*Golden Valley
*Grant
*Griggs
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
*Hettinger
*Kidder
*Lamoure
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
*Logan
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
*McIntosh
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
*McKenzie
*McLean
*Mercer
*Mountrail
*Nelson
*Oliver
*Pembina
*Pierce
*Ramsey
Service Area: Devils Lake Catchment Area
*Ransom
*Renville
*Richland
*Rolette
Service Area: Devils Lake Catchment Area
*Sargent
*Sheridan
*Sioux
*Slope
*Steele
*Stutsman
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)
Facility: North Dakota State Hosp
*Towner
Service Area: Devils Lake Catchment Area
*Traill
*Walsh
*Wells
Service Area: Jamestown (Ca 38004)

MENTAL HEALTH: North Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Devils Lake Catchment Area
County—Benson
County—Cavalier
County—Eddy
County—Ramsey
County—Rolette
County—Towner
Jamestown (Ca 38004)
County—Barnes
County—Dickey
County—Foster
County—Griggs
County—Lamoure
County—Logan
County—McIntosh
County—Stutsman
County—Wells

MENTAL HEALTH: North Dakota
Facility Listing

Facility Name
North Dakota State Hosp
County—Stutsman

MENTAL HEALTH: Ohio
County Listing

County Name
*Adams
*Athens
Service Area: Catchment Area #33
*Belmont
Service Area: Catchment Area #8
*Brown
*Clinton
Crawford
Cuyahoga
Facility: Cleveland Psych Inst
*Gallia
Service Area: Catchment Area #35
Geauga
*Harrison
Service Area: Catchment Area #8
*Hocking
Service Area: Catchment Area #33
*Jackson
Service Area: Catchment Area #35
Lawrence
Lorain
Facility: Grafton Corr Fac
*Marion
*Meigs
Service Area: Catchment Area #35
*Monroe
Service Area: Catchment Area #8
*Ross
Facility: Chillicothe Corr Inst
Facility: Ross Corr Inst
*Scioto (g)
Facility: S Ohio Corr Fac
Stark
Facility: Massillon State Hosp
*Vinton
Service Area: Catchment Area #33

MENTAL HEALTH: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Catchment Area #33
County—Athens
County—Hocking
County—Vinton
Catchment Area #35
County—Gallia
County—Jackson
County—Meigs
Catchment Area #8
County—Belmont
County—Harrison
County—Monroe

MENTAL HEALTH: Ohio
Facility Listing

Facility Name
Chillicothe Corr Inst
County—Ross
Cleveland Psych Inst
County—Cuyahoga
Grafton Corr Fac
County—Lorain
Massillon State Hosp
County—Stark
Ross Corr Inst
County—Ross
S Ohio Corr Fac
County—Scioto

MENTAL HEALTH: Oklahoma
County Listing

County Name
*Adair
Service Area: Catchment Area #21
*Atoka
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Beckham
Service Area: Catchment Area #9
*Blaine
Service Area: Catchment Area #9
*Bryan
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Carter
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Cherokee
Service Area: Catchment Area #21
*Choctaw
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Coal
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Craig
Facility: Eastern State Mental Hospital
*Creek
Service Area: Catchment Area #13
*Custer
Service Area: Catchment Area #9
*Dewey
Service Area: Catchment Area #9
*Garvin
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Greer
Service Area: Catchment Area #9
*Haskell
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Hughes
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Johnston
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Kay
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Kiowa
Service Area: Catchment Area #9
*Latimer

MENTAL HEALTH: Oklahoma
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Le Flore
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Love
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Marshall
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*McCurtain
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Murray
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Noble
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Okfuskee
Service Area: Catchment Area #13
*Okmulgee
Service Area: Catchment Area #13
*Osage
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Pawnee
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Payne
Service Area: Catchment Area #11
*Pittsburg
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Pontotoc
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Pushmataha
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Roger Mills
Service Area: Catchment Area #9
*Seminole
Service Area: Catchment Area #7
*Sequoyah
Service Area: Catchment Area #21
*Wagoner
Service Area: Catchment Area #21
*Washita
Service Area: Catchment Area #9

MENTAL HEALTH: Oklahoma
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area #11
County—Kay
County—Noble
County—Osage
County—Pawnee
County—Payne
Catchment Area #13
County—Creek
County—Okfuskee
County—Okmulgee
Catchment Area #21
County—Adair
County—Cherokee
County—Sequoyah
County—Wagoner
Catchment Area #6
County—Atoka
County—Choctaw
County—Coal
County—Haskell
County—Hughes
County—Latimer
County—Le Flore
County—McCurtain
County—Pittsburg
County—Pushmataha
Catchment Area #7
County—Bryan

MENTAL HEALTH: Oklahoma
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Carter
County—Garvin
County—Johnston
County—Love
County—Marshall
County—Murray
County—Pontotoc
County—Seminole
Catchment Area #9
County—Beckham
County—Blaine
County—Custer
County—Dewey
County—Greer
County—Kiowa
County—Roger Mills
County—Washita

MENTAL HEALTH: Oklahoma
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Eastern State Mental Hospital
County—Craig

MENTAL HEALTH: Oregon
County Listing

County Name

*Baker
Service Area: Northeastern Oregon
*Clatsop
*Coos
Service Area: Catchment Area 14
*Crook
Service Area: Central Oregon
*Curry
Service Area: Catchment Area 14
*Gilliam
Service Area: East Columbia
*Grant
Service Area: East Columbia
*Harney
Service Area: Southeastern Oregon
*Hood River
Service Area: Mid Columbia Region
*Jefferson
Service Area: Central Oregon
*Josephine
*Klamath
*Lincoln
*Malheur
Service Area: Southeastern Oregon
*Marion
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Marion And Polk C
*Morrow
Service Area: East Columbia
*Polk
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Marion And Polk C
*Sherman
Service Area: Mid Columbia Region
*Tillamook
*Umatilla
Service Area: East Columbia
*Union
Service Area: Northeastern Oregon
*Wallowa
Service Area: Northeastern Oregon
*Wasco
Service Area: Mid Columbia Region

MENTAL HEALTH: Oregon
County Listing

County Name

*Wheeler
Service Area: East Columbia

MENTAL HEALTH: Oregon
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area 14
County—Coos
County—Curry
Central Oregon
County—Crook
County—Jefferson
East Columbia
County—Gilliam
County—Grant
County—Morrow
County—Umatilla
County—Wheeler
Mid Columbia Region
County—Hood River
County—Sherman
County—Wasco
Northeastern Oregon
County—Baker
County—Union
County—Wallowa
Southeastern Oregon
County—Harney
County—Malheur

MENTAL HEALTH: Oregon
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Marion And Polk C
County—Marion
County—Polk

MENTAL HEALTH: Pennsylvania
County Listing

County Name

*Armstrong
Population Group: Low Inc—Armstrong Co
Carbon
*Clearfield
Facility: Sci Houtzdale
*Clinton
Fayette
*Forest
*Greene
*Huntingdon
Service Area: Juniata/Mifflin
*Juniata
Service Area: Juniata/Mifflin
Lackawanna
Population Group: Low Income—Northern Lackawana
Lancaster
Population Group: Low Inc—Lancaster City
Lycoming (g)
Facility: Sci Muncy
*Mifflin
Service Area: Juniata/Mifflin
Monroe
*Pike
*Susquehanna
*Tioga
*Warren
*Wayne
Wyoming

MENTAL HEALTH: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Juniata/Mifflin
County—Huntingdon
County—Juniata
County—Mifflin

MENTAL HEALTH: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Inc—Armstrong Co
County—Armstrong
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lancaster City
County—Lancaster
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 4–10
C.T. 14–16
Low Income—Northern Lackawana
County—Lackawanna
Parts:
Archbaldboro.
Blakelyboro.
Carbondale Twp
Carbondale Boro.
Fell Twp
Greenfield Twp
Jefferson Twp
Jermynboro.
Jessup Boro.
Mayfield Boro.
Olyphant Boro.
Scott Twp
Vandling Boro.

MENTAL HEALTH: Pennsylvania
Facility Listing

Facility Name
Sci Houtzdale
County—Clearfield
Sci Muncy
County—Lycoming

MENTAL HEALTH: Rhode Island
County Listing

County Name
Washington
Population Group: Narragansett Indian Tribe
Parts:

MENTAL HEALTH: Rhode Island
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Charlestown Town

MENTAL HEALTH: Rhode Island
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Narragansett Indian Tribe
County—Washington

MENTAL HEALTH: South Carolina
County Listing

County Name
*Abbeville
Service Area: Catchment Area 5

MENTAL HEALTH: South Carolina
County Listing

County Name
*Allendale
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 11
*Anderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 1
Facility: Patrick B. Harris Psychiatric Hospital
*Bamberg
Service Area: Catchment Area 14
*Barnwell
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 10
*Beaufort
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 11
*Berkeley
Service Area: Catchment Area 8B
*Calhoun
Service Area: Catchment Area 14
*Charleston
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 15
*Cherokee
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Chester
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Chesterfield
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*Clarendon
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Colleton
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 11
*Darlington
Service Area: Catchment Area 7
*Dillon
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*Dorchester
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 15
*Edgefield
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Fairfield
*Florence
Service Area: Catchment Area 7
*Greenwood
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Hampton
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 11
*Jasper
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 11
*Kershaw
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Lancaster
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Laurens
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Lee
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Marion
Service Area: Catchment Area 7
*Marlboro
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*McCormick
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Newberry
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Oconee

MENTAL HEALTH: South Carolina
County Listing

County Name
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 1
*Orangeburg
Service Area: Catchment Area 14
*Pickens
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 1
Richland
Facility: G. Werber Bryan Psychiatric Hospital
Facility: William S. Hall Institute
*Saluda
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Spartanburg
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Sumter
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Union
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Williamsburg
Service Area: Catchment Area 13
*York
Service Area: Catchment Area 4

MENTAL HEALTH: South Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Catchment Area 12
County—Chesterfield
County—Dillon
County—Marlboro
Catchment Area 13
County—Georgetown
County—Horry
County—Williamsburg
Catchment Area 14
County—Bamberg
County—Calhoun
County—Orangeburg
Catchment Area 3
County—Cherokee
County—Spartanburg
County—Union
Catchment Area 4
County—Chester
County—Lancaster
County—York
Catchment Area 5
County—Abbeville
County—Edgefield
County—Greenwood
County—Laurens
County—McCormick
County—Newberry
County—Saluda
Catchment Area 7
County—Darlington
County—Florence
County—Marion
Catchment Area 8B
County—Berkeley
Catchment Area 9
County—Clarendon
County—Kershaw
County—Lee
County—Sumter

MENTAL HEALTH: South Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Inc—Catchment Area 10

MENTAL HEALTH: South Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Aiken Co
County—Barnwell
Parts:
Barnwell Co
Low Inc—Catchment Area 15
County—Charleston
County—Dorchester
Low Inc—Catchment Area 11
County—Allendale
Parts:
Allendale Co
County—Beaufort
Parts:
Beaufortco
County—Colleton
Parts:
Colleton Co
County—Hampton
Parts:
Hampton Co
County—Jasper
Parts:
Jasper Co
Low Inc—Catchment Area 1
County—Anderson
County—Oconee
County—Pickens

MENTAL HEALTH: South Carolina
Facility Listing

Facility Name

G. Werber Bryan Psychiatric Hospital
County—Richland
Patrick B. Harris Psychiatric Hospital
County—Anderson
William S. Hall Institute
County—Richland

MENTAL HEALTH: South Dakota
County Listing

County Name

*Aurora
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Beadle
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Bon Homme
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
Facility: Sd State Pen.—Bon Homme
*Brookings
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Brule
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Buffalo
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Charles Mix
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*Clark
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Clay
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*Codington
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Corson
Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Davison
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Deuel
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Dewey
Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Douglas
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*Grant
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Gregory
Service Area: Catchment Area 10
*Haakon
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Hamlin
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Hand
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Hanson
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Hughes
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Hutchinson
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*Hyde
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Jerauld
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Jones
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Kingsbury
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Lake
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Lyman
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Mellette
Service Area: Catchment Area 10
*Miner
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
Minnehaha
Facility: Sd State Pen.—Minnehaha
*Moody
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Perkins
Service Area: Catchment Area 8
*Roberts
Service Area: Catchment Area 5
*Sanborn
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Spink (g)
Facility: Redfield State Hospital
*Stanley
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Sully
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Todd
Service Area: Catchment Area 10
*Tripp
Service Area: Catchment Area 10
*Union
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
*Yankton
Service Area: Catchment Area 12
Facility: South Dakota Human Srv Ct r
*Ziebach
Service Area: Catchment Area 8

MENTAL HEALTH: South Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area 1
County—Brookings
County—Moody
Catchment Area 10
County—Gregory
County—Mellette
County—Todd
County—Tripp
Catchment Area 12
County—Bon Homme
County—Charles Mix
County—Clay
County—Douglas
County—Hutchinson
County—Union
County—Yankton
Catchment Area 2
County—Buffalo
County—Haakon
County—Hughes
County—Hyde
County—Jones
County—Lyman
County—Stanley
County—Sully
Catchment Area 3
County—Beadle
County—Hand
County—Jerauld
County—Lake
County—Miner
Catchment Area 4
County—Aurora
County—Brule
County—Davison
County—Hanson
County—Sanborn
Catchment Area 5
County—Clark
County—Codington
County—Deuel
County—Grant
County—Hamlin
County—Kingsbury
County—Roberts
Catchment Area 7
Catchment Area 8
County—Corson
County—Dewey
County—Perkins
County—Ziebach

MENTAL HEALTH: South Dakota
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Redfield State Hospital
County—Spink
Sd State Pen.—Bon Homme
County—Bon Homme
Sd State Pen.—Minnehaha
County—Minnehaha
South Dakota Human Srv Ct r
County—Yankton

MENTAL HEALTH: Tennessee
County Listing

County Name

*Bedford
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 19
*Benton
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 21
*Bledsoe
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 12

MENTAL HEALTH: Tennessee
County Listing

County Name

*Bradley
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 10
*Cannon
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Carroll
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 21
*Cheatham
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
*Chester
Service Area: Catchment Area 24
*Claiborne
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 5
*Clay
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Cocke
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 5
*Coffee
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 19
*Crockett
Service Area: Catchment Area 22
*Cumberland
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Decatur
Service Area: Catchment Area 24
*Dekalb
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Dickson
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
*Dyer
Service Area: Catchment Area 22
*Fayette
Service Area: Catchment Area 25
*Fentress
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 19
*Gibson
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 21
*Giles
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*Grainger
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 5
*Greene
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 4
*Grundy
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 12
*Hamblen
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 5
*Hancock
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 4
*Hardeman
Service Area: Catchment Area 24
*Hardin
Service Area: Catchment Area 24
*Hawkins
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 4
*Haywood
Service Area: Catchment Area 23
*Henderson
Service Area: Catchment Area 23
*Henry
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 21
*Hickman
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*Houston
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
*Humphreys
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
*Jackson
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 5
*Lake
Service Area: Catchment Area 22
*Lauderdale
Service Area: Catchment Area 25
*Lawrence
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*Lewis
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*Lincoln
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 19
*Macon
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Madison
Service Area: Catchment Area 23
*Marion
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 12
*Marshall
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*Maury
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*McMinn
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 10
*McNairy
Service Area: Catchment Area 24
*Meigs
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 10
*Montgomery
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
*Moore
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 19
*Obion
Service Area: Catchment Area 22
*Overton
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Perry
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*Pickett
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Polk
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 10
*Putnam
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Rhea
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 12
*Robertson
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
*Sequatchie
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 12
*Shelby
Population Group: Low Inc—Shelby
*Smith
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Stewart
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
*Sumner
Service Area: Catchment Area 31
*Tipton
Service Area: Catchment Area 25
*Trousdale
Service Area: Catchment Area 31
*Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Catchment Area 5
*Van Buren
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Warren
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Wayne
Service Area: Catchment Area 20
*Weakley
Service Area: Catchment Area 22
*White
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Wilson
Service Area: Catchment Area 31

MENTAL HEALTH: Tennessee
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area 20
County—Giles
County—Hickman
County—Lawrence
County—Lewis
County—Marshall
County—Maury
County—Perry
County—Wayne
Catchment Area 22
County—Crockett
County—Dyer
County—Lake
County—Obion
County—Weakley
Catchment Area 23
County—Haywood
County—Henderson
County—Madison
Catchment Area 24
County—Chester
County—Decatur
County—Hardeman
County—Hardin
County—McNairy
Catchment Area 25
County—Fayette
County—Lauderdale
County—Tipton
Catchment Area 31
County—Sumner
County—Trousdale
County—Wilson
Catchment Area 9

MENTAL HEALTH: Tennessee
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Cannon
County—Clay
County—Cumberland
County—Dekalb
County—Fentress
County—Jackson
County—Macon
County—Overton
County—Pickett
County—Putnam
County—Smith
County—Van Buren
County—Warren
County—White

MENTAL HEALTH: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Catchment Area 4
County—Greene
County—Hancock
County—Hawkins
Low Inc—Catchment Area 21
County—Benton
County—Carroll
County—Gibson
County—Henry
Low Inc—Catchment Area 19
County—Bedford
County—Coffee
County—Franklin
County—Lincoln
County—Moore
Low Inc—Catchment Area 10
County—Bradley
County—McMinn
County—Meigs
County—Polk
Low Inc—Catchment Area 12
County—Bledsoe
County—Grundy
County—Marion
County—Rhea
County—Sequatchie
Low Inc—Catchment Area 14
County—Cheatham
County—Dickson
County—Houston
County—Humphreys
County—Montgomery
County—Robertson
County—Stewart
Low Inc—Catchment Area 5
County—Claiborne
County—Cocke
County—Grainger
County—Hamblen
County—Jefferson
County—Union
Low Inc—Shelby
County—Shelby

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
County Listing

County Name

*Anderson
Facility: Beto Psn
Facility: Gurney Prison
*Andrews
Service Area: West Texas Center (LSA 46a)

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
County Listing

County Name

*Angelina
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
Facility: Duncan Prison
*Archer
Service Area: Rolling Plains (LSA 39b)
*Armstrong
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Atascosa
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Austin
Service Area: LSA 11 (Central Gulf Designation)
*Bailey
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Bandera
Service Area: LSA 40
*Baylor
Service Area: LSA 55
*Bee
Facility: McConnel Prsn
*Blanco
Service Area: LSA 32
*Bowie
Service Area: LSA 34 (North East Texas)
*Brazos
Service Area: LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
*Brewster
Service Area: LSA 6 (Big Bend)
*Briscoe
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Brooks
Service Area: Coastal Plain—LSA 14b
*Brown
Service Area: LSA 13 (Central Texas)
*Burleson
Service Area: LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
*Callahan
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Cameron
Service Area: LSA 44 (Tropical Texas)
*Carson
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Cass
Service Area: LSA 34 (North East Texas)
*Castro
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Childress
Service Area: LSA 53
*Clay
Service Area: Rolling Plains (LSA 39b)
*Coleman
Service Area: LSA 13 (Central Texas)
*Collingsworth
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Comanche
Service Area: LSA 13 (Central Texas)
*Cooke
Service Area: LSA 31 (Services Of Texoma)
Coryell
Facility: Mountain View Prison
*Cottle
Service Area: LSA 55
*Crane
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Crockett
Service Area: New Val Verde
*Culberson
Service Area: LSA 6 (Big Bend)
*Dawson

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: West Texas Center (LSA 46a)
*Dickens
Service Area: LSA 55
*Dimmit
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Donley
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Duval
Service Area: Coastal Plain—LSA 14b
*Eastland
Service Area: LSA 13 (Central Texas)
*Ector
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Edwards
Service Area: LSA 40
El Paso
*Ellis
Service Area: LSA 26 (Johnson County)
*Erath
Service Area: LSA 36 (Pecan Valley)
*Falls
Service Area: LSA 21 (Heart Of Texas Mhmr=Mha)
*Fannin
Service Area: LSA 31 (Services Of Texoma)
*Fisher
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Floyd
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Foard
Service Area: LSA 55
*Freestone
Service Area: LSA 21 (Heart Of Texas Mhmr=Mha)
Facility: Boyd Prison
*Frio
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Gaines
Service Area: West Texas Center (LSA 46a)
*Garza
Service Area: LSA 38b
Gillespie
Service Area: LSA 40
*Glasscock
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Gonzales
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Gray
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Grayson
Service Area: LSA 31 (Services Of Texoma)
*Gregg
Service Area: LSA 40 (Sabine Valley Center)
*Grimes
Service Area: LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
*Guadalupe
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Hale
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Hall
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Hansford
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Hardeman
Service Area: LSA 55
*Hardin

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*Harrison
Service Area: LSA 40 (Sabine Valley Center)
*Haskell
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
Hays
Service Area: LSA 32
*Hemphill
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Henderson
Service Area: LSA 12
*Hidalgo
Service Area: LSA 44 (Tropical Texas)
Facility: Lopez Psn
*Hood
Service Area: LSA 36 (Pecan Valley)
*Houston
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*Hudspeth
Service Area: LSA 6 (Big Bend)
*Hutchinson
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Jack
Service Area: Rolling Plains (LSA 39b)
*Jasper
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*Jeff Davis
Service Area: LSA 6 (Big Bend)
*Jim Hogg
Service Area: LSA 27 (Laredo)
*Jim Wells
Service Area: Coastal Plain—LSA 14b
*Johnson
Service Area: LSA 26 (Johnson County)
*Jones
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Karnes
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
Facility: Conanally Prison
*Kendall
Service Area: LSA 40
*Kenedy
Service Area: Coastal Plain—LSA 14b
*Kent
Service Area: LSA 38b
*Kerr
Service Area: LSA 40
*Kimble
Service Area: LSA 40
*King
Service Area: LSA 55
*Kinney
Service Area: New Val Verde
*Kleberg
Service Area: Coastal Plain—LSA 14b
*Knox
Service Area: LSA 55
*La Salle
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Lamb
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Leon
Service Area: LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
*Liberty
Service Area: LSA 43 (Tri-Co Mhmr Center)
*Limestone
Service Area: LSA 21 (Heart Of Texas Mhmr=Mha)
*Lipscomb

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Llano
Service Area: LSA 40
*Loving
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Madison
Service Area: LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
*Marion
Service Area: LSA 40 (Sabine Valley Center)
*Martin
Service Area: West Texas Center (LSA 46a)
*Mason
Service Area: LSA 40
*Maverick
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*McCulloch
Service Area: LSA 13 (Central Texas)
*McMullen
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Menard
Service Area: LSA 40
*Midland
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Mills
Service Area: LSA 13 (Central Texas)
*Montague
Service Area: Rolling Plains (LSA 39b)
*Montgomery
Service Area: LSA 43 (Tri-Co Mhmr Center)
*Motley
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Nacogdoches
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*Navarro
Service Area: LSA 26 (Johnson County)
*Newton
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*Nolan
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Ochiltree
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Palo Pinto
Service Area: LSA 36 (Pecan Valley)
*Panola
Service Area: LSA 40 (Sabine Valley Center)
*Parker
Service Area: LSA 36 (Pecan Valley)
*Parmer
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
*Pecos
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Polk
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
Facility: Terrell Prison
*Presidio
Service Area: LSA 6 (Big Bend)
*Rains
Service Area: LSA 12
*Real
Service Area: LSA 40
*Red River
Service Area: LSA 34 (North East Texas)
*Roberts
*Roberts
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Robertson

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
*Runnels
Service Area: LSA 37b
*Rusk
Service Area: LSA 40 (Sabine Valley Center)
*Sabine
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*San Augustine
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*San Jacinto
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*San Saba
Service Area: LSA 13 (Central Texas)
*Shackelford
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Shelby
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
Smith
Service Area: LSA 12
*Somervell
Service Area: LSA 36 (Pecan Valley)
*Starr
Service Area: LSA 27 (Laredo)
*Stephens
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Stonewall
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Sutton
Service Area: New Val Verde
*Swisher
Service Area: LSA 12 (Central Plains)
Taylor
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Terrell
Service Area: New Val Verde
*Terry
Service Area: West Texas Center (LSA 46a)
*Throckmorton
Service Area: Abilene—LSA 1
*Trinity
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*Tyler
Service Area: LSA 7 (Burke Center)
*Upshur
Service Area: LSA 40 (Sabine Valley Center)
*Upton
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Val Verde
Service Area: New Val Verde
*Van Zandt
Service Area: LSA 12
*Walker
Service Area: LSA 43 (Tri-Co Mhmr Center)
*Waller
Service Area: LSA 11 (Central Gulf Designation)
*Ward
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Washington
Service Area: LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
*Webb
Service Area: LSA 27 (Laredo)
*Wheeler
Service Area: Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
*Wilbarger
Service Area: LSA 55

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
County Listing

County Name

*Willacy
Service Area: LSA 44 (Tropical Texas)
*Wilson
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)
*Winkler
Service Area: Permian Basin (LSA 37)
*Wise
Service Area: Rolling Plains (LSA 39b)
*Wood
Service Area: LSA 12
*Yoakum
Service Area: West Texas Center (LSA 46a)
*Young
Service Area: Rolling Plains (LSA 39b)
*Zapata
Service Area: LSA 27 (Laredo)
*Zavala
Service Area: LSA 8 (Camino Real)

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Abilene—LSA 1
County—Callahan
County—Fisher
County—Haskell
County—Jones
County—Nolan
County—Shackelford
County—Stephens
County—Stonewall
County—Taylor
County—Throckmorton
Coastal Plain—LSA 14b
County—Aransas
County—Bee
County—Brooks
County—Duval
County—Jim Wells
County—Kenedy
County—Kleberg
County—San Patricio
LSA 11 (Central Gulf Designation)
County—Austin
County—Waller
LSA 12
County—Henderson
County—Rains
County—Smith
County—Van Zandt
County—Wood
LSA 12 (Central Plains)
County—Bailey
County—Briscoe
County—Castro
County—Floyd
County—Hale
County—Lamb
County—Motley
County—Parmer
County—Swisher
LSA 13 (Central Texas)
County—Brown
County—Coleman
County—Comanche
County—Eastland
County—McCulloch
County—Mills
County—San Saba
LSA 21 (Heart Of Texas Mhmr=Mha)

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Falls
County—Freestone
County—Limestone
LSA 26 (Johnson County)
County—Ellis
County—Johnson
County—Navarro
LSA 27 (Laredo)
County—Jim Hogg
County—Starr
County—Webb
County—Zapata
LSA 31 (Services Of Texoma)
County—Cooke
County—Fannin
County—Grayson
LSA 32
County—Blanco
County—Hays
LSA 32 (Mhmra Of Brazos Valley)
County—Brazos
County—Burleson
County—Grimes
County—Leon
County—Madison
County—Robertson
County—Washington
LSA 34 (North East Texas)
County—Bowie
County—Cass
County—Red River
LSA 36 (Pecan Valley)
County—Erath
County—Hood
County—Palo Pinto
County—Parker
County—Somervell
LSA 37b
County—Runnels
LSA 38b
County—Garza
County—Kent
LSA 40
County—Bandera
County—Edwards
County—Gillespie
County—Kendall
County—Kerr
County—Kimble
County—Llano
County—Mason
County—Menard
County—Real
LSA 40 (Sabine Valley Center)
County—Gregg
County—Harrison
County—Marion
County—Panola
County—Rusk
County—Upshur
LSA 43 (Tri-Co Mhmr Center)
County—Liberty
County—Montgomery
County—Walker
LSA 44 (Tropical Texas)
County—Cameron
County—Hidalgo
County—Willacy
LSA 53
County—Childress
LSA 55
County—Baylor

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Cottle
County—Dickens
County—Foard
County—Hardeman
County—King
County—Knox
County—Wilbarger
LSA 6 (Big Bend)
County—Brewster
County—Culberson
County—Hudspeth
County—Jeff Davis
County—Presidio
LSA 7 (Burke Center)
County—Angelina
County—Hardin
County—Houston
County—Jasper
County—Nacogdoches
County—Newton
County—Polk
County—Sabine
County—San Augustine
County—San Jacinto
County—Shelby
County—Trinity
County—Tyler
LSA 8 (Camino Real)
County—Atascosa
County—Dimmit
County—Frio
County—Gonzales
County—Guadalupe
County—Karnes
County—La Salle
County—McMullen
County—Maverick
County—San Patricio
County—Wilson
County—Zavala
New Val Verde
County—Crockett
County—Kinney
County—Sutton
County—Terrell
County—Val Verde
Permian Basin (LSA 37)
County—Crane
County—Ector
County—Glasscock
County—Loving
County—Midland
County—Pecos
County—Reeves
County—Upton
County—Ward
County—Winkler
Rolling Plains (LSA 39b)
County—Archer
County—Clay
County—Jack
County—Montague
County—Wise
County—Young
Texas Panhandle (LSA 45b)
County—Armstrong
County—Carson
County—Collingsworth
County—Donley
County—Gray
County—Hall
County—Hansford

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Hemphill
County—Hutchinson
County—Lipscomb
County—Ochiltree
County—Roberts
County—Wheeler
West Texas Center (LSA 46a)
County—Andrews
County—Dawson
County—Gaines
County—Martin
County—Terry
County—Yoakum

MENTAL HEALTH: Texas
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Beto Psn
County—Anderson
Boyd Prison
County—Freestone
Conanally Prison
County—Karnes
Duncan Prison
County—Angelina
Gurney Prison
County—Anderson
Lopez Psn
County—Hidalgo
McConnel Prsn
County—Bee
Mountain View Prison
County—Coryell
Terrell Prison
County—Polk

MENTAL HEALTH: Utah
County Listing

County Name

*Beaver
Service Area: Five County MHCA (SW District)
*Box Elder
Population Group: Low Inc—Bridgerland Area
*Cache
Population Group: Low Inc—Bridgerland Area
*Carbon
Population Group: Low Inc—Four County MHCA (SE District)
*Daggett
Service Area: Uintah Basin
*Duchesne
Service Area: Uintah Basin
*Emery
Population Group: Low Inc—Four County MHCA (SE District)
*Garfield
Service Area: Five County MHCA (SW District)
*Grand
Population Group: Low Inc—Four County MHCA (SE District)
*Iron
Service Area: Five County MHCA (SW District)
*Juab
Service Area: Six County MHCA
Kane
Service Area: Five County MHCA (SW District)
*Millard
Service Area: Six County MHCA
*Morgan
Population Group: Low Inc—Weber/Morgan
*Piute
Service Area: Six County MHCA
*Rich
Population Group: Low Inc—Bridgerland Area
Salt Lake
Facility: Draper State Prison
*San Juan
Population Group: Low Inc—Four County MHCA (SE District)
*Sanpete
Service Area: Six County MHCA
Facility: Central Utah Corr Fac
*Sevier
Service Area: Six County MHCA
*Tooele
*Uintah
Service Area: Uintah Basin
Utah
*Washington
Service Area: Five County MHCA (SW District)
*Wayne
Service Area: Six County MHCA
Weber
Population Group: Low Inc—Weber/Morgan

MENTAL HEALTH: Utah
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Five County MHCA (SW District)
County—Beaver
County—Garfield
County—Iron
County—Kane
County—Washington
Six County MHCA
County—Juab
County—Millard
County—Piute
County—Sanpete
County—Sevier
County—Wayne
Uintah Basin
County—Daggett
County—Duchesne
County—Uintah

MENTAL HEALTH: Utah
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Bridgerland Area
County—Box Elder
County—Cache
County—Rich
Low Inc—Four County MHCA (SE District)
County—Carbon
County—Emery
County—Grand
County—San Juan
Low Inc—Weber/Morgan
County—Morgan
County—Weber

MENTAL HEALTH: Utah
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Central Utah Corr Fac
County—Sanpete
Draper State Prison
County—Salt Lake

MENTAL HEALTH: Vermont
County Listing

County Name

*Caledonia
Service Area: Northeast Kingdom
*Essex
Service Area: Northeast Kingdom
*Franklin
Service Area: Franklin/Grand Isle
*Grand Isle
Service Area: Franklin/Grand Isle
*Orleans
Service Area: Northeast Kingdom

MENTAL HEALTH: Vermont
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Franklin/Grand Isle
County—Franklin
County—Grand Isle
Northeast Kingdom
County—Caledonia
County—Essex
County—Orleans

MENTAL HEALTH: Virginia
County Listing

County Name

*Brunswick
Service Area: Southside Planning Ditrict
*Buchanan
Service Area: Planning Dist II
*Danville City
Service Area: Planning Dist XII
*Dickenson
Service Area: Planning Dist II
*Dinwiddie/Petersburg
Service Area: Planning Dist Xix
*Essex
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Franklin
Service Area: Planning Dist XII
*Gloucester
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Halifax
Service Area: Southside Planning Ditrict
*Henry
Service Area: Planning Dist XII
*King And Queen
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*King William
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Lancaster

MENTAL HEALTH: Virginia
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Martinsville City
Service Area: Planning Dist XII
*Mathews
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Mecklenburg
Service Area: Southside Planning Ditrict
*Middlesex
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Northumberland
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Patrick
Service Area: Planning Dist XII
*Pittsylvania
Service Area: Planning Dist XII
*Richmond
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
*Russell
Service Area: Planning Dist II
*Smyth
Facility: Southwestern MH Inst
*Surry
Service Area: Planning Dist Xix
*Sussex
Service Area: Planning Dist Xix
*Tazewell
Service Area: Planning Dist II
*Westmoreland
Service Area: Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck

MENTAL HEALTH: Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Middle Peninsula/Northern Neck
County—Essex
County—Gloucester
County—King And Queen
County—King William
County—Lancaster
County—Mathews
County—Middlesex
County—Northumberland
County—Richmond
County—Westmoreland
Planning Dist II
County—Buchanan
County—Dickenson
County—Russell
County—Tazewell
Planning Dist Xix
County—Dinwiddie/Petersburg
County—Surry
County—Sussex
Planning Dist XII
County—Franklin
County—Henry
County—Patrick
County—Pittsylvania
County—Danville City
County—Martinsville City
Southside Planning Ditrict
County—Brunswick
County—Halifax
County—Mecklenburg

MENTAL HEALTH: Virginia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Southwestern MH Inst
County—Smyth

MENTAL HEALTH: Washington
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
*Benton
Service Area: Tri-Cities
Population Group: MFW—Benton/Franklin
*Chelan
Service Area: Chelan/Douglas
*Clallam (g)
Facility: Clallam Bay Corr Ct r
*Cowlitz
Population Group: Low Inc—Cowlitz Co
*Douglas
Service Area: Chelan/Douglas
*Ferry
*Franklin
Service Area: Tri-Cities
Population Group: MFW—Benton/Franklin
Facility: Coyote Ridge Corr Ct r
*Grant
*Jefferson
*Kittitas
*Lewis
Population Group: Low Inc—Lewis Cty
*Lincoln
*Mason
Population Group: Low Inc—Mason Cty
Facility: Wash/Corr/Reception Ct r
*Okanogan
*Pend Oreille
Snohomish
Facility: Twin Rivers Corr Ct r
*Stevens
*Wahkiakum
*Walla Walla
Service Area: Tri-Cities
Facility: Wa State Pen
Yakima
Population Group: MSFW—Yakima Cty

MENTAL HEALTH: Washington
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Chelan/Douglas
County—Chelan
County—Douglas
Parts:
Low Inc
Tri-Cities
County—Benton
County—Franklin
County—Walla Walla
Parts:
Burbank CCD

MENTAL HEALTH: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Cowlitz Co
County—Cowlitz
Low Inc—Lewis Cty
County—Lewis
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Mason Cty
County—Mason

MENTAL HEALTH: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

MFW—Benton/Franklin
County—Benton
Parts:
MFW
County—Franklin
Parts:
MFW
MSFW—Yakima Cty
County—Yakima

MENTAL HEALTH: Washington
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Clallam Bay Corr Ct r
County—Clallam
Coyote Ridge Corr Ct r
County—Franklin
Twin Rivers Corr Ct r
County—Snohomish
Wa State Pen
County—Walla Walla
Wash/Corr/Reception Ct r
County—Mason

MENTAL HEALTH: West Virginia
County Listing

County Name

*Braxton
Service Area: Central (VI-2)
*Doddridge
Service Area: Central (VI-2)
*Gilmer
Service Area: Central (VI-2)
*Grant
Service Area: Petersburg (VIII)
*Greenbrier
Service Area: Seneca (IV)
*Hampshire
Service Area: Petersburg (VIII)
*Hardy
Service Area: Petersburg (VIII)
*Harrison
Service Area: Central (VI-2)
*Lewis
Service Area: Central (VI-2)
Facility: William R. Sharpe Hospital
*Logan
Service Area: Logan/Mingo (II-1)
*Mc Dowell
Service Area: Mercer/Mcdowell/Wyoming (I-1)
*Mercer
Service Area: Mercer/Mcdowell/Wyoming (I-1)
*Mineral
Service Area: Petersburg (VIII)
*Mingo
Service Area: Logan/Mingo (II-1)
*Nicholas
Service Area: Seneca (IV)
*Pendleton
Service Area: Petersburg (VIII)
*Pocahontas
Service Area: Seneca (IV)
*Webster
Service Area: Seneca (IV)
*Wyoming
Service Area: Mercer/Mcdowell/Wyoming (I-1)

MENTAL HEALTH: West Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Central (VI-2)
County—Braxton
County—Doddridge
County—Gilmer
County—Harrison
County—Lewis
Logan/Mingo (II-1)
County—Logan
County—Mingo
Mercer/Mcdowell/Wyoming (I-1)
County—Mc Dowell
County—Mercer
County—Wyoming
Petersburg (VIII)
County—Grant
County—Hampshire
County—Hardy
County—Mineral
County—Pendleton
Seneca (IV)
County—Greenbrier
County—Nicholas
County—Pocahontas
County—Webster

MENTAL HEALTH: West Virginia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

William R. Sharpe Hospital
County—Lewis

MENTAL HEALTH: Wisconsin
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Service Area: Catchment Area 16
*Ashland
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Barron
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Bayfield
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
Brown
Facility: Green Bay Corr. I.
*Buffalo
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Burnett
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Clark
*Columbia
Service Area: Catchment Area 16
*Crawford
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Dodge
Facility: Dodge Corr Inst
Facility: Fox Lake Corr. I.
Facility: Waupun Corr Inst
*Door
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Douglas
Service Area: Catchment Area 1
*Dunn
Service Area: Catchment Area 7
*Florence
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Forest
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Grant
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Green
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Iowa
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Iron
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Jackson
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
Facility: Jackson Corr Inst
*Jefferson
*Juneau
Service Area: Catchment Area 15
*Lafayette
Service Area: Catchment Area 21
*Langlade
*Lincoln
*Marinette
Service Area: Catchment Area #6
*Marquette
Service Area: Catchment Area 16
*Menominee
Service Area: Catchment Area 11
Milwaukee
Service Area: Near North Side—Milwaukee
Facility: Milwaukee MH Complex
*Monroe
*Oconto
*Oneida
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Pepin
Service Area: Catchment Area 7
*Pierce
Service Area: Catchment Area 7
*Polk
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Price
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
Racine
Facility: Racine Corr I
Facility: Racine Youth Corr Ct r
*Richland
Service Area: Catchment Area 15
*Rusk
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
*Sauk
Service Area: Catchment Area 15
*Sawyer
Service Area: Catchment Area 3
*Shawano
Service Area: Catchment Area 11
Sheboygan
Facility: Kettle Moraine Corr I
*St. Croix
Service Area: Catchment Area 7
*Taylor
*Trempealeau
Service Area: Catchment Area 9
*Vernon
*Vilas
Service Area: Catchment Area 4
*Washburn
Service Area: Catchment Area 2
Waukesha
Facility: Ethan Allen School
*Waupaca
Service Area: Catchment Area 11
*Waushara
Winnebago
Facility: Oshkosh Corr I
Facility: Wisconsin Resource Center

MENTAL HEALTH: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Catchment Area 1
County—Douglas
Catchment Area #6
County—Door
County—Florence
County—Marinette
Catchment Area 11
County—Menominee
County—Shawano
County—Waupaca
Catchment Area 15
County—Juneau
County—Richland
County—Sauk
Catchment Area 16
County—Adams
County—Columbia
County—Marquette
Catchment Area 2
County—Barron
County—Burnett
County—Polk
County—Rusk
County—Washburn
Catchment Area 21
County—Crawford
County—Grant
County—Green
County—Iowa
County—Lafayette
Catchment Area 3
County—Ashland
County—Bayfield
County—Iron
County—Price
County—Sawyer
Catchment Area 4
County—Forest
County—Oneida
County—Vilas
Catchment Area 7
County—Dunn
County—Pepin
County—Pierce
County—St. Croix
Catchment Area 9
County—Buffalo
County—Jackson
County—Trempealeau
Near North Side—Milwaukee
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 18–28
C.T. 38–49
C.T. 60–72
C.T. 79–92
C.T. 98–107
C.T. 114–116
C.T. 141–142
C.T. 145

MENTAL HEALTH: Wisconsin
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Dodge Corr Inst
County—Dodge
Ethan Allen School
County—Waukesha
Fox Lake Corr. I.
County—Dodge
Green Bay Corr. I.

MENTAL HEALTH: Wisconsin
Facility Listing

Facility Name

County—Brown
Jackson Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Kettle Moraine Corr I
County—Sheboygan
Milwaukee MH Complex
County—Milwaukee
Oshkosh Corr I
County—Winnebage
Racine Corr I
County—Racine
Racine Youth Corr Ct r
County—Racine
Waupun Corr Inst
County—Dodge
Wisconsin Resource Center
County—Winnebage

MENTAL HEALTH: Wyoming
County Listing

County Name

*Uinta
Facility: Wyoming State Hosp

MENTAL HEALTH: Wyoming
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Wyoming State Hosp
County—Uinta

MENTAL HEALTH: American Samoa
County Listing

County Name

*Eastern
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Manua
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Rose Island
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Swains Island
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Western
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa

MENTAL HEALTH: American Samoa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Terr. Of American Samoa
County—Eastern
County—Manua
County—Rose Island
County—Swains Island
County—Western

MENTAL HEALTH: Fed Ste Micronesia
County Listing

County Name

*Chuuk State
*Kosrae State
*Pohnpei State
*Yap State

MENTAL HEALTH: Guam
County Listing

County Name

*Guam
Service Area: Terr. Of Guam

MENTAL HEALTH: Guam
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Terr. Of Guam
County—Guam

MENTAL HEALTH: N. Mariana Islands
County Listing

County Name

*Northern Islands
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
*Rota
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
*Saipan
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
*Tinian
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands

MENTAL HEALTH: N. Mariana Islands
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
County—Northern Islands
County—Rota
County—Saipan
County—Tinian

MENTAL HEALTH: Republic of Palau
County Listing

County Name

*Republic Of Palau

MENTAL HEALTH: Puerto Rico
County Listing

County Name

*Adjuntas (W 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
*Aguas Buenas
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Caguas
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Canovanas
*Canovanas
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Ceiba
*Ceiba
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Cidra
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Culebra
*Culebra
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Dorado
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Fajardo
*Fajardo
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Gurabo
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Juncos
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Lares (S 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
*Loiza

MENTAL HEALTH: Puerto Rico
County Listing

County Name

*Loiza
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Luquillo
*Luquillo
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Maricao (E 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
*Rio Grande
*Rio Grande
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Vieques
*Vieques
Service Area: Rsa 14
Service Area: Rsa 14
*Yauco (N 1/4)
Service Area: Castaner

MENTAL HEALTH: Puerto Rico
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Caguas (Sub-Region I)
County—Aguas Buenas
County—Caguas
County—Cidra
County—Gurabo
County—Juncos
Castaner
County—Adjuntas (W 1/2)
County—Lares (S 1/2)
County—Maricao (E 1/2)
County—Yauco (N 1/4)
Rsa 14
County—Canovanas
County—Ceiba
County—Culebra
County—Fajardo
County—Loiza
County—Luquillo
County—Rio Grande
County—Vieques

MENTAL HEALTH: Virgin Islands
County Listing

County Name

*St. Croix
Service Area: Virgin Islands C.A.
*St. John
Service Area: Virgin Islands C.A.
*St. Thomas
Service Area: Virgin Islands C.A.

MENTAL HEALTH: Virgin Islands
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Virgin Islands C.A.
County—St. Croix
County—St. John
County—St. Thomas

DENTAL: Alabama
County Listing

County Name

Autauga
Population Group: Low Inc Pop—Autauga Co.

DENTAL: Alabama
County Listing

County Name

*Barbour
Population Group: Low Inc—Barbour Co
*Bibb
Blount
*Chambers
Population Group: Low Inc—Chambers Co
*Choctaw
Population Group: Low Inc—Choctaw
*Conecuh
Elmore
Population Group: Low Inc Pop—Elmore Co
*Escambia
Population Group: Low Inc—Escambia County
Etowah
Population Group: Low Inc—Etowah Co
*Greene
*Hale
*Lowndes
Madison
Population Group: Low Inc—C Huntsville
*Marengo
Mobile
Service Area: North Mobile
Population Group: Low Inc—NW Mobile
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Mobile/Prichard
Population Group: Low Inc—SE Mobile
*Monroe
Montgomery
Population Group: Low Inc—W Montgomery
*Perry
*Pickens
*Pike
Population Group: Low Inc—Pike Co
*Sumter
*Talladega
Facility: FCI—Talladega
Tuscaloosa
Population Group: Low Inc—Tuscaloosa Co
*Washington
*Wilcox

DENTAL: Alabama
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

North Mobile
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T. 58–60

DENTAL: Alabama
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Barbour Co
County—Barbour
Low Inc—C Huntsville
County—Madison
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3.01–3.02
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8
C.T. 10–13
C.T. 15–16
C.T. 20–24

DENTAL: Alabama
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 25.01–25.02
Low Inc—Central Mobile/Prichard
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 5–6
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8
C.T. 12.01
C.T. 26
C.T. 38.01
C.T. 39.01
C.T. 40–48
Low Inc—Chambers Co
County—Chambers
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Choctaw
County—Choctaw
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Escambia County
County—Escambia
Parts:
Low-Income
Low Inc—Etowah Co
County—Etowah
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—NW Mobile
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T. 34.01–34.02
C.T. 49–50
C.T. 61.03
C.T. 161.03
Low Inc—Pike Co
County—Pike
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—SE Mobile
County—Mobile
Parts:
C.T. 9.01–9.02
C.T. 10.01–10.02
C.T. 11
C.T. 13.01–13.02
C.T. 14
C.T. 15.01–15.02
C.T. 16
C.T. 21–22
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24
C.T. 25.01–25.02
C.T. 27–29
Low Inc—Tuscaloosa Co
County—Tuscaloosa
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—W Montgomery
County—Montgomery
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 3.85
C.T. 4–7
C.T. 10–11
C.T. 13
C.T. 15–16
C.T. 22–24
C.T. 51.02
C.T. 59.02

DENTAL: Alabama
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc Pop—Autauga Co.
County—Autauga
Parts:
Low Inc Pop Autauga Co
Low Inc Pop—Elmore Co
County—Elmore
Parts:
Low Inc—Elmore Co

DENTAL: Alabama
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI—Talladega
County—Talladega

DENTAL: Arizona
County Listing

County Name

*Apache
Service Area: Fort Defiance
*Cochise
Service Area: Elfrida
*Coconino
Service Area: Williams
Population Group: Low Inc—Flagstaff
*Greenlee
*La Paz
Maricopa
Population Group: Low Inc—Buckeye
Population Group: Low Inc—Wickenburg
Population Group: Low Inc—S Mountain
Facility: FCI Phoenix
Facility: FCI Tucson
Facility: Maricopa Co Jails
Pima
Service Area: South Tucson
Facility: FCI Tucson
Pinal
Service Area: Florence
Service Area: San Manuel
Population Group: Low Inc-Coolidge/Eloy/Casa Grande
*Santa Cruz
Yuma
Service Area: Wellton
Population Group: Low Inc—Somerton
Population Group: Low Income—Yuma

DENTAL: Arizona
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Elfrida
County—Cochise
Parts:
C.T. 5
Florence
County—Pinal
Parts:
C.T. 8–9
Fort Defiance
County—Apache
Parts:
Fort Apache Division
San Manuel
County—Pinal
Parts:
C.T. 6–7
South Tucson
County—Pima

DENTAL: Arizona
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
C.T. 1–12
C.T. 13.01–13.02
C.T. 14
C.T. 20–24
C.T. 25.01–25.02
C.T. 37.01–37.03
C.T. 38–39
C.T. 41.02–41.04
C.T. 43.01
C.T. 43.09
Wellton
County—Yuma
Parts:
C.T. 105–107
C.T. 112–113
Williams
County—Coconino
Parts:
C.T. 17–19

DENTAL: Arizona
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Buckeye
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 506–507
Low Inc—Flagstaff
County—Coconino
Parts:
C.T. 1–15
Low Inc—S Mountain
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 1152–1161
C.T. 1162.02–1162.04
C.T. 1163–1165
C.T. 1166.01–1166.02
C.T. 1167.02–1167.04
Low Inc—Somerton
County—Yuma
Parts:
Somerton CCD
Low Inc—Wickenburg
County—Maricopa
Parts:
C.T. 405.02
C.T. 405.09
Low Inc-Coolidge/Eloy/Casa Grande
County—Pinal
Parts:
Casa Grande CCD
Coolidge CCD
Eloy CCD
Manicopa-Stanfield
Low Income—Yuma
County—Yuma
Parts:
Yuma CCD

DENTAL: Arizona
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Phoenix
County—Maricopa
FCI Tucson
County—Pima
FCI Tucson
County—Maricopa

DENTAL: Arizona
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Maricopa Co Jails
County—Maricopa

DENTAL: Arkansas
County Listing

County Name

*Ashley
Service Area: Parkdale
*Calhoun
*Cleveland
*Fulton
*Grant
Jefferson
Service Area: Altheimer
*Lafayette
*Lee
*Lincoln
*Monroe
*Montgomery
*Newton
*Perry
*Phillips
*Poinsett
Service Area: Marked Tree
*Prairie
Pulaski
Service Area: College Station
*Searcy
*Sharp
*Woodruff

DENTAL: Arkansas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Altheimer
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 1.85
C.T. 7
College Station
County—Pulaski
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 4–5
C.T. 40.01
C.T. 40.03
C.T. 40.05
Marked Tree
County—Poinsett
Parts:
Greenwood Township
Little River Township
Tyronza Township
Parkdale
County—Ashley
Parts:
Beech Creek Twp
De Bastrop Twp
Portland Twp
Wilmot Twp

DENTAL: California
County Listing

County Name

Alameda
Population Group: Low Inc—Fruitvale (MSSA 2d)
Butte
Population Group: Low Inc-Oroville/Palermo(MSSA 10)

DENTAL: California
County Listing

County Name

*Colusa
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Colusa Co
Contra Costa
Population Group: Low Inc—Antioch/Pittsburg North (MSSA
*Del Norte
Population Group: Low Inc—Del Norte Co
Fresno
Population Group: Low Inc—San Joaquin/Tranquility
*Glenn
Population Group: Low Inc—Willows
*Humboldt
Population Group: Low Inc—Garberville/Redway
Population Group: Low Inc—Trinity/Klamath
Population Group: Low Inc—Eureka/Arcata
Population Group: Low Inc—Rio Dell/Scotia
Population Group: Low Inc—Fortuna
Population Group: Low Inc—Ferndale
Population Group: Low Inc—Area Around Arcata
Population Group: Low Inc—North Coastal
*Imperial
Service Area: Brawley-Calipatria
Service Area: Calexico—MSSA 49
Service Area: East Imperial—MSSA 47
Kern
Service Area: Arvin-Lamont (MSSA 61)
Service Area: Buttonwillow
Service Area: McFarland/Delano
Service Area: S. Westside/Frazier Park
Service Area: Shafter/Wasco
Population Group: Low Inc—N Westside/Taft
*Lake
Population Group: Low Inc—Lakeport (MSSA 70)
*Lassen
Population Group: Low Inc—Susanville
Los Angeles
Population Group: Low Inc—Watts/Willowbrook
Facility: FCI Terminal Island
*Mendocino
Service Area: Covelo
Service Area: Laytonville/Leggett
Service Area: Redwood/Potter Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—Willits
Monterey
Service Area: Greenfield/Soledad/Gonzales
Service Area: King City
Population Group: Low-Income—N. Salinas (MSSA 109.2a)
San Francisco
Population Group: Low Inc—South Of Market
Santa Barbara
Facility: USP Lompoc
Shasta
Service Area: Castella (MSSA 187)
Service Area: French Gulch (MSSA 186)
Population Group: Low Inc—Redding (MSSAS 189.1 & 189.2)
*Sierra
Service Area: West Sierra (MSSA 192)
*Siskiyou
Service Area: Happy Camp
Service Area: Tulake (MSSA 101.2)

DENTAL: California
County Listing

County Name

*Trinity
Service Area: Hayfork/Forest Glen/Peanut (MSSA 225)
Service Area: L. Trinity/Helena/Salyer (MSSA 223)
Service Area: Weaverville, Trinity Ct r (MSSA 224)
Tulare
Population Group: Low Inc—Porterville (MSSA 231/232)
Population Group: Low Inc—Visalia (MSSA 233a)

DENTAL: California
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Arvin-Lamont(MSSA 61)
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 62–64
Brawley-Calipatria
County—Imperial
Parts:
C.T. 101–107
C.T. 123.02
Buttonwillow
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 37
Calexico—MSSA 49
County—Imperial
Parts:
C.T. 119–122
Castella (MSSA 187)
County—Shasta
Parts:
C.T. 125
Covelo
County—Mendocino
Parts:
C.T. 101
East Imperial—MSSA 47
County—Imperial
Parts:
C.T. 124
French Gulch (MSSA 186)
County—Shasta
Parts:
C.T. 124
Greenfield/Soledad/Gonzales
County—Monterey
Parts:
C.T. 108.98
C.T. 109
C.T. 111–112
Happy Camp
County—Siskiyou
Parts:
C.T. 5
Hayfork/Forest Glen/Peanut (MSSA 225)
County—Trinity
Parts:
C.T. 3.98
King City
County—Monterey
Parts:
C.T. 113
C.T. 114.02
L. Trinity/Helena/Salyer (MSSA 223)
County—Trinity
Parts:
C.T. 2
Laytonville/Leggett
County—Mendocino
Parts:
C.T. 102
McFarland/Delano
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 46–48
C.T. 49.01–49.02
C.T. 50
Redwood/Potter Valley
County—Mendocino
Parts:
C.T. 108
S. Westside/Frazier Park
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 33.02
Shafter/Wasco
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 39–45
Tulake (MSSA 101.2)
County—Siskiyou
Parts:
C.T. 1
Weaverville, Trinity Ct r (MSSA 224)
County—Trinity
Parts:
C.T. 1
West Sierra (MSSA 192)
County—Sierra
Parts:
West Sierra Division

DENTAL: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Antioch/Pittsburg North (MSSA
County—Contra Costa
Parts:
C.T. 3050
C.T. 3071.02
C.T. 3072.01–3072.02
C.T. 3072.04–3072.05
C.T. 3090
C.T. 3100
C.T. 3110
C.T. 3120
C.T. 3132.01–3132.02
C.T. 3141.01–3141.02
C.T. 3142
C.T. 3142.98
C.T. 3552
Low Inc—Area Around Arcata
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 9
C.T. 12
Low Inc—Del Norte Co
County—Del Norte
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Eureka/Arcata
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 1.99–2.00
C.T. 3–8
C.T. 10–11
C.T. 106–107
Low Inc—Ferndale
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 112
Low Inc—Fortuna
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 108–110
Low Inc—Fruitvale (MSSA 2d)
County—Alameda
Parts:
C.T. 4061–4062
C.T. 4065–4066
C.T. 4070–4075
Low Inc—Garberville/Redway
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 113
Low Inc—Lakeport (MSSA 70)
County—Lake
Parts:
C.T. 1–5
C.T. 10
Low Inc—N Westside/Taft
County—Kern
Parts:
C.T. 33.03–33.04
C.T. 34–36
Low Inc—North Coastal
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 102
Low Inc—Porterville (MSSA 231/232)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 27
C.T. 33–41
C.T. 45
Low Inc—Redding (MSSAS 189.1 & 189.2)
County—Shasta
Parts:
C.T. 101–123
Low Inc—Rio Dell/Scotia
County—Humboldt
Parts:
C.T. 111
Low Inc—San Joaquin/Tranquility
County—Fresno
Parts:
C.T. 82
Low Inc—South Of Market
County—San Francisco
Parts:
C.T. 122–125
C.T. 176.02
C.T. 176.98
C.T. 177–178
C.T. 179.01–179.02
C.T. 179.99–180.00
C.T. 201.98
C.T. 226–229
C.T. 607
Low Inc—Susanville
County—Lassen
Parts:
Honey Lake Div
Madeline Plains Div
Susanville Div
Westwood Div
Low Inc—Trinity/Klamath
County—Humboldt

DENTAL: California
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
C.T. 101
Low Inc—Visalia (MSSA 233a)
County—Tulare
Parts:
C.T. 9
C.T. 10.01–10.02
C.T. 11–13
C.T. 17.01–17.02
C.T. 18–19
C.T. 20.01–20.05
Low Inc—Watts/Willowbrook
County—Los Angeles
Parts:
C.T. 2408–2410
C.T. 2420–2423
C.T. 2426–2427
C.T. 2430–2431
C.T. 5352
C.T. 5404
C.T. 5406–5408
C.T. 5412–5414
Low Inc—Willits
County—Mendocino
Parts:
C.T. 106–107
Low Inc—Willows
County—Glenn
Parts:
C.T. 103–105
Low Inc-Oroville/Palermo(MSSA 10)
County—Butte
Parts:
C.T. 25–33
Low Inc/MFW—Colusa Co
County—Colusa
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low-Income—N. Salinas (MSSA 109.2a)
County—Monterey
Parts:
C.T. 1–9
C.T. 13
C.T. 17–18
C.T. 105.01–105.02
C.T. 106.01–106.02

DENTAL: California
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Terminal Island
County—Los Angeles
USP Lompoc
County—Santa Barbara

DENTAL: Colorado
County Listing

County Name

Adams
Service Area: Commerce City
Population Group: Low Income—Northwest Adams
*Alamosa
Population Group: Low Inc—Alamosa Co
*Costilla
Denver
Service Area: Eastside (Denver)
Service Area: Montbello
Service Area: Westside (Denver)
Facility: Denver Reception & Diagnostic Center

DENTAL: Colorado
County Listing

County Name

Facility: Denver Women's C.F.
Douglas
Facility: FCI Englewood
*Fremont
Facility: Centennial C.F.
Facility: Colorado State Penn
Facility: Colorado Territorial C.F.
Facility: Florence Admax USP
Facility: Freemont C.F.
Facility: FCI Florence
Facility: USP Florence
*Kiowa
*Morgan
Population Group: Low Income/MSFW—Morgan Co
*Prowers
Population Group: Low Inc—Prowers Co
Pueblo
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Pueblo Co
*Saguache

DENTAL: Colorado
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Commerce City
County—Adams
Parts:
C.T. 87.03
C.T. 87.05–87.06
C.T. 88.01–88.02
C.T. 89.01
C.T. 89.52
Eastside (Denver)
County—Denver
Parts:
C.T. 15–16
C.T. 23
C.T. 24.01–24.02
C.T. 25
C.T. 26.01–26.02
C.T. 27.01–27.03
C.T. 28.01–28.03
C.T. 35
C.T. 36.01–36.03
C.T. 41.01–41.02
C.T. 41.04
Montbello
County—Denver
Parts:
C.T. 83.04–83.06
C.T. 83.11–83.12
Westside (Denver)
County—Denver
Parts:
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 5.01–5.02
C.T. 6
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8
C.T. 9.01–9.03
C.T. 10
C.T. 11.01–11.02
C.T. 13.01–13.02
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 18–19
C.T. 21
C.T. 45.01–45.02
C.T. 46.01–46.02
C.T. 54.02

DENTAL: Colorado
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Alamosa Co
County—Alamosa
Parts:
Low Income
Migrant Farmworker
Low Inc—Prowers Co
County—Prowers
Parts:
Prowers
Low Inc/MFW—Pueblo Co
County—Pueblo
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low Income—Northwest Adams
County—Adams
Parts:
C.T. 90.01–90.03
C.T. 93.06–93.10
C.T. 93.15–93.18
C.T. 94.01
C.T. 94.07
C.T. 95.01–95.02
C.T. 95.53
C.T. 96.03–96.06
C.T. 97.50
Low Income/MSFW—Morgan Co
County—Morgan
Parts:
Low-Income/MSFW

DENTAL: Colorado
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Centennial C.F.
County—Fremont
Colorado State Penn
County—Fremont
Colorado Territorial C.F.
County—Fremont
Denver Reception & Diagnostic Center
County—Denver
Denver Women's C.F.
County—Denver
Florence Admax USP
County—Fremont
Freemont C.F.
County—Fremont
FCI Englewood
County—Douglas
FCI Florence
County—Fremont
USP Florence
County—Fremont

DENTAL: Connecticut
County Listing

County Name

Fairfield
Service Area: Central/East Bridgeport
Service Area: Southwest Bridgeport
Hartford
Service Area: Charter Oak/Frog Hollow/Parkville
Service Area: North/Northcentral Hartford
Population Group: Low Inc— C New Britain
Middlesex
Population Group: Low Inc/Homeless—Cent Middletown
New Haven

DENTAL: Connecticut
County Listing

County Name

Service Area: S. Cent Waterbury
Population Group: Low Inc—Meriden
New London
Population Group: Low Inc—Norwich
Population Group: Low Inc—New London (Inner City)
Windham
Population Group: Low Inc—Town Of Windham

DENTAL: Connecticut
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Central/East Bridgeport
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 713–717
C.T. 735–736
C.T. 738–744
Charter Oak/Frog Hollow/Parkville
County—Hartford
Parts:
C.T. 5001–5004
C.T. 5019
C.T. 5027–5030
C.T. 5043
C.T. 5045–5046
C.T. 5049
North/Northcentral Hartford
County—Hartford
Parts:
C.T. 5008–5015
C.T. 5017–5018
C.T. 5035
C.T. 5037
S. Cent Waterbury
County—New Haven
Parts:
C.T. 3501–3506
C.T. 3508
C.T. 3512
C.T. 3514
C.T. 3517
Southwest Bridgeport
County—Fairfield
Parts:
C.T. 702–712

DENTAL: Connecticut
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc— C New Britain
County—Hartford
Parts:
C.T. 4159–4162
C.T. 4166
C.T. 4168
C.T. 4171
Low Inc—Meriden
County—New Haven
Parts:
Ct 1701.01
Ct 1702.02
Ct 1702.01
Ct 1702.02
Ct 1703
Ct 1710
Ct 1713
Ct 1714
Ct 1715

DENTAL: Connecticut
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—New London (Inner City)
County—New London
Parts:
C.T. 6901
C.T. 6901.99–6902.00
C.T. 6902.99–6903.00
C.T. 6904–6906
C.T. 6906.99–6907.00
C.T. 6907.99–6908.00
C.T. 6909
Low Inc—Norwich
County—New London
Parts:
Census Tract 6970
Census Tract 6969
Census Tract 6968
Census Tract 6967
Census Tract 6966
Census Tract 6965
Census Tract 6964
Census Tract 6961
Low Inc—Town Of Windham
County—Windham
Parts:
Windham Town
Low Inc/Homeless—Cent Middletown
County—Middlesex
Parts:
Census Tract 5411.00
Census Tract 5416.00
Census Tract 5415.00
Census Tract 5418.00
Census Tract 5417.00

DENTAL: DELAWARE
County Listing

County Name

New Castle
Service Area: Southbridge
Service Area: Wilmington
*Sussex

DENTAL: DELAWARE
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Southbridge
County—New Castle
Parts:
C.T. 19
C.T. 153–155
Wilmington
County—New Castle
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7–9
C.T. 15–17
C.T. 20–23

DENTAL: District Of Columbia
County Listing

County Name

Dist Of Columbia
Population Group: Homeless—Downtown D.C.

DENTAL: District Of Columbia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Downtown D.C.
County—Dist Of Columbia
Parts:
C.T. 40.01–40.02
C.T. 41
C.T. 42.02
C.T. 46–47
C.T. 48.01–48.02
C.T. 49.01–49.02
C.T. 50–51
C.T. 52.10
C.T. 52.20
C.T. 53.01–53.02
C.T. 54.01–54.02
C.T. 55.01–55.02
C.T. 56
C.T. 57.01–57.02
C.T. 58–59

DENTAL: Florida
County Listing

County Name

Alachua
Facility: Gainesville Corr Inst
*Baker
Facility: Baker Corr Inst
Bradford
Facility: Fl State Prison
Facility: Lawtey Corr Inst
Brevard
Facility: Brevard Corr Inst
Broward
Facility: Broward Corr Inst
*Calhoun
Facility: Calhoun Corr Inst
Charlotte
Facility: Charlotte Corr Inst
Collier
Service Area: Everglades
Service Area: Immokalee
*Columbia
Facility: Columbia Corr Inst
Dade
Service Area: Homestead
Service Area: Model Cities
Facility: Dade Corr Inst
Facility: FCI Miami
Facility: S Fl Reception Ct r
*De Soto
Facility: Desoto Corr Inst
*Dixie (g)
Facility: Cross City Corr Inst
Duval
Population Group: Low Inc—NW Jacksonville
Escambia
Population Group: Low Inc—NW Escambia
Facility: Century Corr Inst
*Franklin
Gadsden
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Gadsden Co
*Gilchrist (g)
Facility: Lancaster Corr Inst
*Glades
*Gulf
Population Group: Low Inc—Gulf Co
Facility: Gulf Corr Inst
*Hamilton (g)
Facility: Hamilton Corr Inst
*Hardee

DENTAL: Florida
County Listing

Population Group

Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Hardee Co
Facility: Hardee Corr Inst
*Hendry
Hernando
Population Group: Low Inc—Hernando Co
*Highlands
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Highlands Co
Facility: Avon Park Corr Inst
Hillsborough
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Ruskin/Apollo Beach
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Plant City/Dover
*Holmes (g)
Facility: Holmes Corr Inst
*Indian River
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW Fellsmere
Facility: Hendry Corr Inst
Facility: Indian River Corr Inst
*Jackson
Facility: Apalachee Corr Inst
Facility: FCI—Marianna
Facility: Jackson Corr Inst
Facility: River Junction Corr Inst
*Jefferson (g)
Facility: Jefferson Corr Inst
*Lafayette (g)
Facility: Mayo Corr Inst
Lake
Population Group: MFW—Lake Co
Facility: Lake Corr Inst
Leon
Population Group: Low Inc—Bond Community
*Levy
*Liberty
Facility: Liberty Corr Inst
*Madison (g)
Facility: Madison Corr Inst
Manatee
Population Group: MFW—Manatee
Marion
Facility: Florida Corr Inst
Martin
Service Area: Indiantown
Facility: Martin Corr Inst
Okaloosa
Facility: Okaloosa Corr Inst
*Okeechobee
Orange
Facility: C Fl Reception Ct r
Palm Beach
Service Area: Belle Glade/Pahokee
Population Group: Low Inc—West Palm Beach
Pasco
Service Area: Eastern Pasco
Facility: Zephyrhills Corr Inst
Polk
Service Area: Frostproof
Facility: Polk Corr Inst
*Putnam
Santa Rosa
Facility: Santa Rosa Corr Inst
St Lucie
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Ft. Pierce
*Sumter (g)
Facility: Fcc Coleman
Facility: Sumter Corr Inst

DENTAL: Florida
County Listing

Population Group

*Suwannee
Population Group: Low Inc—Suwannee Co
*Taylor
*Union
Facility: N Fl Reception Ct r
Facility: Union Corr Inst
Volusia
Facility: Tomoka Corr Inst
*Walton (g)
Facility: Walton Corr Inst
*Washington

DENTAL: Florida
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Belle Glade/Pahokee
County—Palm Beach
Parts:
C.T. 80.01–80.02
C.T. 81.01–81.02
C.T. 82.01–82.03
C.T. 83.01–83.02
Eastern Pasco
County—Pasco
Parts:
C.T. 320.01–320.02
C.T. 321.01–321.02
C.T. 322–329
C.T. 330.01–330.04
C.T. 331
Everglades
County—Collier
Parts:
C.T. 111.01–111.02
Frostproof
County—Polk
Parts:
Frostproof Division
Homestead
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 104–105
C.T. 106.02
C.T. 107.01
C.T. 108–109
C.T. 110.01–110.02
C.T. 111
C.T. 112.01–112.02
C.T. 113
C.T. 114.98
Immokalee
County—Collier
Parts:
C.T. 112.02–112.03
C.T. 113–114
Indiantown
County—Martin
Parts:
C.T. 18
Model Cities
County—Dade
Parts:
C.T. 4.08
C.T. 8.01–8.02
C.T. 9.01–9.03
C.T. 10.01–10.04
C.T. 11.03
C.T. 15.01–15.02
C.T. 16.01–16.02
C.T. 17.01–17.02
C.T. 18.01–18.03

DENTAL: Florida
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 19.01
C.T. 19.03–19.04
C.T. 23

DENTAL: Florida
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Bond Community
County—Leon
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 4–6
C.T. 10.01
C.T. 11.01–11.02
C.T. 12–14
Low Inc—Central Ft. Pierce
County—St Lucie
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 1.99–2.00
C.T. 3–5
C.T. 9.02
Low Inc—Gulf Co
County—Gulf
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hernando Co
County—Hernando
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—NW Escambia
County—Escambia
Parts:
C.T. 38–40
Low Inc—NW Jacksonville
County—Duval
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 1.99–2.00
C.T. 2.99–3.00
C.T. 3.99–4.00
C.T. 5
C.T. 9–19
C.T. 26–29
C.T. 107–109
C.T. 112–116
C.T. 118
C.T. 121
Low Inc—Suwannee Co
County—Suwannee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—West Palm Beach
County—Palm Beach
Parts:
C.T. 20–24
C.T. 26
Low Inc/MFW—Gadsden Co
County—Gadsden
Parts:
Low Income/Migrant Farmw
Low Inc/MFW—Hardee Co
County—Hardee
Parts:
Low Income/Migrant Farmw
Low Inc/MFW—Highlands Co
County—Highlands
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Plant City/Dover
County—Hillsborough

DENTAL: Florida
Population Group Listing

County Name

Parts:
C.T. 101.02–101.04
C.T. 124–131
Low Inc/MFW—Ruskin/Apollo Beach
County—Hillsborough
Parts:
C.T. 140.02
C.T. 141.01
C.T. 141.03–141.04
Low Inc/MFW Fellsmere
County—Indian River
Parts:
Fellsmere Division
MFW—Lake Co
County—Lake
Parts:
MFW
MFW—Manatee
County—Manatee
Parts:
C.T. 13
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 15.01
C.T. 15.01
C.T. 16
C.T. 19.01
C.T. 19.03–19.04

DENTAL: Florida
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Apalachee Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Avon Park Corr Inst
County—Highlands
Baker Corr Inst
County—Baker
Brevard Corr Inst
County—Brevard
Broward Corr Inst
County—Broward
C Fl Reception Ct r
County—Orange
Calhoun Corr Inst
County—Calhoun
Century Corr Inst
County—Escambia
Charlotte Corr Inst
County—Charlotte
Columbia Corr Inst
County—Columbia
Cross City Corr Inst
County—Dixie
Dade Corr Inst
County—Dade
Desoto Corr Inst
County—De Soto
Fcc Coleman
County—Sumter
Fl State Prison
County—Bradford
Florida Corr Inst
County—Marion
FCI—Marianna
County—Jackson
FCI Miami
County—Dade
Gainesville Corr Inst
County—Alachua
Gulf Corr Inst
County—Gulf

DENTAL: Florida
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Hamilton Corr Inst
County—Hamilton
Hardee Corr Inst
County—Hardee
Hendry Corr Inst
County—Indian River
Holmes Corr Inst
County—Holmes
Indian River Corr Inst
County—Indian River
Jackson Corr Inst
County—Jackson
Jefferson Corr Inst
County—Jefferson
Lake Corr Inst
County—Lake
Lancaster Corr Inst
County—Gilchrist
Lawtey Corr Inst
County—Bradford
Liberty Corr Inst
County—Liberty
Madison Corr Inst
County—Madison
Martin Corr Inst
County—Martin
Mayo Corr Inst
County—Lafayette
N Fl Reception Ct r
County—Union
Okaloosa Corr Inst
County—Okaloosa
Polk Corr Inst
County—Polk
River Junction Corr Inst
County—Jackson
S Fl Reception Ct r
County—Dade
Santa Rosa Corr Inst
County—Santa Rosa
Sumter Corr Inst
County—Sumter
Tomoka Corr Inst
County—Volusia
Union Corr Inst
County—Union
Walton Corr Inst
County—Walton
Zephyrhills Corr Inst
County—Pasco

DENTAL: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

Bibb
Facility: Middle Ga Corr Complex
Bryan
*Burke
*Butts
Facility: Ga Diagnostic Prison
*Calhoun
Facility: Calhoun State Prison
*Camden
Chatham
Facility: Costal State Prison
*Colquitt
Population Group: Low Inc—Colquitt
*Crawford
*Dawson
Population Group: Low Inc—Dawson Co
De Kalb

DENTAL: Georgia
County Listing

County Name

Facility: Marietta Health Center
Facility: Metro State Prison
*Dodge
Facility: Dodge State Prison
Dougherty
Population Group: Low Inc—Dougherty
Forsyth
Facility: Phillips State Prison
Fulton
Service Area: Atlanta Southside
Service Area: West Atlanta
Facility: Douglas Health Center
Facility: Procare At Midtown, Inc.
Facility: Smyrna Health Center
Facility: USP Atlanta
*Hancock (g)
Facility: Hancock State Prison
Harris
*Liberty
*Long
*Macon
*Marion
*Pulaski
*Putnam
*Sumter
Population Group: Low Inc—Sumter
*Talbot
*Tattnall
Twiggs
*Ware
Population Group: Low Inc—Ware Co
*Warren
*Washington
*Wayne
Facility: FCI—Jesup
*Whitfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Whitfield
*Wilcox
Facility: Wilcox State Prison
*Worth
Population Group: Low Inc—Worth

DENTAL: Georgia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Atlanta Southside
County—Fulton
Parts:
C.T. 44
C.T. 46.95
C.T. 48
C.T. 49.95
C.T. 50
C.T. 52–53
C.T. 55.01–55.02
C.T. 56–58
C.T. 63–64
C.T. 67
C.T. 68.01–68.02
C.T. 69–73
West Atlanta
County—Fulton
Parts:
C.T. 8
C.T. 22–26
C.T. 36–41
C.T. 42.95
C.T. 43
C.T. 60–62
C.T. 66.02
C.T. 78.04

DENTAL: Georgia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 80
C.T. 81.01–81.02
C.T. 82.01–82.02
C.T. 83.01–83.02
C.T. 84–85
C.T. 86.01–86.02
C.T. 87.01–87.02
C.T. 88

DENTAL: Georgia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Colquitt
County—Colquitt
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dawson Co
County—Dawson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dougherty
County—Dougherty
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sumter
County—Sumter
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Ware Co
County—Ware
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Whitfield
County—Whitfield
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Worth
County—Worth
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: Georgia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Calhoun State Prison
County—Calhoun
Costal State Prison
County—Chatham
Dodge State Prison
County—Dodge
Douglas Health Center
County—Fulton
FCI—Jesup
County—Wayne
Ga Diagnostic Prison
County—Butts
Hancock State Prison
County—Hancock
Marietta Health Center
County—De Kalb
Metro State Prison
County—De Kalb
Middle Ga Corr Complex
County—Bibb
Phillips State Prison
County—Forsyth
Procare At Midtown, Inc.
County—Fulton
Smyrna Health Center
County—Fulton

DENTAL: Georgia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

USP Atlanta
County—Fulton
Wilcox State Prison
County—Wilcox

DENTAL: Hawaii
County Listing

County Name

*Hawaii
Population Group: Low Inc—West Hawaii
Population Group: Low Inc—East Hawaii
*Maui
Service Area: Hana/Haiku

DENTAL: Hawaii
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Hana/Haiku
County—Maui
Parts:
C.T. 301–302

DENTAL: Hawaii
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—East Hawaii
County—Hawaii
Parts:
C.T. 201–206
C.T. 206.99
C.T. 207.01–207.02
C.T. 208.01–208.02
C.T. 209
C.T. 210.01–210.02
C.T. 211
C.T. 219–221
Low Inc—West Hawaii
County—Hawaii
Parts:
C.T. 212–214
C.T. 215.01–215.02
C.T. 215.97–215.98
C.T. 216–218

DENTAL: Idaho
County Listing

County Name

Ada
Population Group: Low Inc—Urban Boise City
*Adams
*Bannock
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Bannock C0
*Benewah
Population Group: Low Inc—Benewah Co
*Bingham
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Bingham Co
*Boise
Population Group: Low Inc—Boise Co
*Bonner
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Bonner Co
*Boundary
Population Group: Low Inc—Boundary Co
*Butte
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Butte Co

DENTAL: Idaho
County Listing

County Name

*Camas
Canyon
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—S Treasure Valley
*Caribou
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Caribou Co
*Clark
*Elmore
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Elmore Co
*Fremont
Population Group: Low-Inc/MFW—Fremont Co
*Gem
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—N Treasure Valley
*Gooding
Population Group: Low-Inc/MFW—Gooding Co
*Idaho
*Jerome
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Jerome Co
*Kootenai
Population Group: Low Inc—Kootenai
*Lemhi
Population Group: Low Inc—Lemhi Co
*Lincoln
*Nez Perce
Population Group: Nez Perce Co—Low Inc/MFW
*Oneida
Population Group: Low Inc—Oneida Co
*Owyhee
Service Area: Bruneau
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—S Treasure Valley
*Payette
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—N Treasure Valley
*Power
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Power Co
*Shoshone
Population Group: Low Inc—Shoshone Co
*Teton
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Teton Co
*Twin Falls
Population Group: MSFW—Twin Falls Co
*Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—Valley Co
*Washington
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—N Treasure Valley

DENTAL: Idaho
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Bruneau
County—Owyhee
Parts:
Bruneau Division
Grand View Division
Murphy Division
Western Shoshone Division

DENTAL: Idaho
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low -Inc/MFW—Gooding Co
County—Gooding
Parts:
Low—Inc/MFW—Gooding
Low Inc—Benewah Co
County—Benewah
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Boise Co
County—Boise
Low Inc—Boundary Co
County—Boundary
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Kootenai
County—Kootenai
Parts:
Kootenai Co
Low Inc—Lemhi Co
County—Lemhi
Parts:
Lemhi Co
Low Inc—Oneida Co
County—Oneida
Parts:
Oneida Co
Low Inc—Shoshone Co
County—Shoshone
Parts:
Shoshone
Low Inc—Urban Boise City
County—Ada
Parts:
Ct 1
Ct 10
Ct 11
Ct 12.01
Ct 12.02
Ct 14
Ct 15
Ct 16
Ct 17
Ct 18
Ct 19
Ct 20
Ct 21
Ct 23.11
Ct 23.10
Ct 23.02
Ct 4
Ct 5
Ct 6
Ct 9
Low Inc—Valley Co
County—Valley
Low Inc/MFW—Bannock C0
County—Bannock
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Bingham Co
County—Bingham
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Bonner Co
County—Bonner
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Butte Co
County—Butte
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Caribou Co

DENTAL: Idaho
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Caribou
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Elmore Co
County—Elmore
Low Inc/MFW—Jerome Co
County—Jerome
Parts:
Jerome Co
Low Inc/MFW—N Treasure Valley
County—Gem
Parts:
Low Income/MFW-Gem Co
County—Payette
Parts:
Low Income/MFW-Payette
County—Washington
Parts:
Low Income/MFW-Washington
Low Inc/MFW—Power Co
County—Power
Parts:
Power Co
Low Inc/MFW—S Treasure Valley
County—Canyon
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
County—Owyhee
Parts:
Homedale CCD
Marsing CCD
Low Inc/MFW—Teton Co
County—Teton
Parts:
Teton Co
Low-Inc/MFW—Fremont Co
County—Fremont
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—Fremont
MSFW—Twin Falls Co
County—Twin Falls
Parts:
MSFW
Nez Perce Co—Low Inc/MFW
County—Nez Perce
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW

DENTAL: Illinois
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Population Group: Low Inc—Adams Co
*Bond
Facility: FCI Greenville
*Bureau
Population Group: Low Inc—Bureau Co
Champaign
Population Group: Low Inc—Campaign Co
Cook
Service Area: Englewood Area
Service Area: Riverdale (Chicago)
Population Group: Homeless—Chicago
Population Group: Medicaid Elig—Southwest East (Chicago)
*Douglas
Population Group: Low Inc—Douglas Co
*Gallatin
*Henderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Henderson Co

DENTAL: Illinois
County Listing

County Name

*Macoupin
Population Group: Low Inc—Macoupin Co
*Montgomery
Population Group: Low Inc—Montgomery Co
*Saline
Population Group: Low Inc—Saline Co
Tazewell
Facility: Pekin Corr Inst
*White
Population Group: Low Inc—White Co
*Whiteside
Population Group: Low Inc—Whiteside Co
*Williamson
Facility: USP Marion
Winnebago
Population Group: Low Inc—SW Rockford

DENTAL: Illinois
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Englewood Area
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 6701–6720
C.T. 6801–6814
Riverdale (Chicago)
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 5401

DENTAL: Illinois
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Chicago
County—Cook
Parts:
Comm. Area 77 (Edgewater
Comm. Area 32 (Loop)
Comm. Area 28 (Near West
Comm. Area 24 (West Town
Comm. Area 22 (Logan Squ
Comm. Area 8 (Near Nort
Comm. Area 7 (Lincoln P
Comm. Area 6 (Lakeview)
Comm. Area 5 (North Cen
Comm. Area 4 (Lincoln S
Comm. Area 3 (Uptown)
Low Inc—Adams Co
County—Adams
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bureau Co
County—Bureau
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Campaign Co
County—Champaign
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Douglas Co
County—Douglas
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Henderson Co
County—Henderson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Macoupin Co
County—Macoupin

DENTAL: Illinois
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Montgomery Co
County—Montgomery
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Saline Co
County—Saline
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—SW Rockford
County—Winnebago
Parts:
C.T. 8
C.T. 10–13
C.T. 18–22
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24–29
C.T. 31–32
Low Inc—White Co
County—White
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Whiteside Co
County—Whiteside
Parts:
Low Income
Medicaid Elig—Southwest East (Chicago)
County—Cook
Parts:
C.T. 4901–4914
C.T. 5001–5003
C.T. 5301–5306
C.T. 5401

DENTAL: Illinois
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Greenville
County—Bond
Pekin Corr Inst
County—Tazewell
USP Marion
County—Williamson

DENTAL: Indiana
County Listing

County Name

Allen
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Ft Wayne City
Elkhart
Population Group: Low Inc—NW Elkhart Co
*La Porte
Population Group: Low Inc—LA Porte Co
Marion
Service Area: Highland-Brookside (Indianapolis)
Service Area: Near North Side (Indianapolis)
Service Area: South Central Indianapolis
St Joseph
Population Group: Low Inc—South Bend
Tippecanoe
Population Group: Low Inc—Lafayette City
Vigo
Facility: Terre Haute Corr Inst

DENTAL: Indiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Highland-Brookside (Indianapolis)
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3526–3527
C.T. 3544–3545
C.T. 3547–3551
Near North Side (Indianapolis)
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3517
C.T. 3519
C.T. 3521
C.T. 3528
C.T. 3531–3532
South Central Indianapolis
County—Marion
Parts:
C.T. 3556–3557
C.T. 3559
C.T. 3562
C.T. 3569–3572
C.T. 3578–3580

DENTAL: Indiana
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Central Ft Wayne City
County—Allen
Parts:
C.T. 6
C.T. 9–27
C.T. 28.97–28.98
C.T. 29–30
Low Inc—Lafayette City
County—Tippecanoe
Parts:
C.T. 4
C.T. 6
C.T. 53–55
C.T. 103–105
Low Inc—LA Porte Co
County—La Porte
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—NW Elkhart Co
County—Elkhart
Parts:
C.T. 16–17
C.T. 18.97
C.T. 21
C.T. 22.97–22.98
C.T. 23–28
Low Inc—South Bend
County—St Joseph
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 4–7
C.T. 9–10
C.T. 17–24
C.T. 27–30
C.T. 33–35

DENTAL: Indiana
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Terre Haute Corr Inst
County—Vigo

DENTAL: Iowa
County Listing

County Name

*Guthrie
Polk
Population Group: Low Inc—City Of Des Moines
Woodbury
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Sioux City

DENTAL: Iowa
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Central Sioux City
County—Woodbury
Parts:
C.T. 7–8
C.T. 10
C.T. 12–16
Low Inc—City Of Des Moines
County—Polk
Parts:
C.T. 11–12
C.T. 17–18
C.T. 21
C.T. 26–27
C.T. 42
C.T. 44
C.T. 48–53

DENTAL: Kansas
County Listing

County Name

*Comanche 1
Douglas
Population Group: Low Inc—Douglas Co
*Ellis
Population Group: Low Inc—Ellis Co
*Haskell
*Kiowa
Leavenworth
Facility: USP Leavenworth
*Lyon
Population Group: Low Inc—Emporia City
*Ness
*Rawlins
Shawnee
Population Group: Low Inc—City Of Topeka
*Wichita

DENTAL: Kansas
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—City Of Topeka
County—Shawnee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Douglas Co
County—Douglas
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Ellis Co
County—Ellis
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Emporia City
County—Lyon
Parts:
Low-Income

DENTAL: Kansas
Facility Listing

Facility Name
USP Leavenworth
County—Leavenworth

DENTAL: Kentucky
County Listing

County Name
*Ballard
*Clay
Facility: FCI Manchester
*Edmonson
*Harlan
*Hart
*Jackson
Jefferson
Service Area: West End—Louisville
*Larue
*Laurel
*Lee
Service Area: Lee/Owsley
*McCreary
*Meade
*Owsley
Service Area: Lee/Owsley
*Rockcastle
*Todd
*Wolfe

DENTAL: Kentucky
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Lee/Owsley
County—Lee
County—Owsley
West End—Louisville
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 1–18
C.T. 20–24
C.T. 27–28
C.T. 30
C.T. 34–35

DENTAL: Kentucky
Facility Listing

Facility Name
FCI Manchester
County—Clay

DENTAL: Louisiana
Parish Listing

Parish Name
*Allen
Facility: FCI Oakdale
*Caldwell
*Catahoula
East Baton Rouge
Service Area: Eden Park/South Baton Rouge
*Natchitoches
Orleans
Population Group: Downtown New Orleans Homless Pop
*Red River
St Landry
*St Mary
*Tensas
*Union
*West Carroll
*Winn

DENTAL: Louisiana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Eden Park/South Baton Rouge
Parish—East Baton Rouge
Parts:
C.T. 8–10
C.T. 12–16
C.T. 21–22
C.T. 24–25

DENTAL: Louisiana
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Downtown New Orleans Homless Pop
Parish—Orleans
Parts:
C.T. 57–59
C.T. 67–68

DENTAL: Louisiana
Facility Listing

Facility Name
FCI Oakdale
Parish—Allen

DENTAL: Maine
County Listing

County Name
Androscoggin
Service Area: Jay-Livermore
*Aroostook
Service Area: Allagash
Service Area: Danforth
Service Area: Fort Kent
Population Group: Low Income—Presque Isle
Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc—Portland
*Franklin
Service Area: Jay-Livermore
Service Area: Rangeley/Kingsfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Farmington
*Hancock
Population Group: Low Inc—Bucksport
Population Group: Low Inc—Gouldsboro
Population Group: Low Inc—Ellsworth
*Kennebec
Service Area: Jay-Livermore
Population Group: Low Inc—Waterville Dcaa
Population Group: Low Inc—Farmington
Facility: Augusta Mental Health Institute
*Knox
Service Area: Penobscot Bay
*Oxford
Service Area: Jay-Livermore
Service Area: Rangeley/Kingsfield
Penobscot
Service Area: Danforth
Facility: Bangor Mental Health Institute
*Piscataquis
Service Area: Bingham Dcaa
Population Group: Low Inc—Skowhegan Dcaa
*Somerset
Service Area: Bingham Dcaa
Service Area: Jackman Dcaa
Population Group: Low Inc—Skowhegan Dcaa
Population Group: Low Inc—Waterville Dcaa
Waldo

DENTAL: Maine
County Listing

County Name
Population Group: Low Inc—Waterville Dcaa
Population Group: Low Inc—Bucksport
Population Group: Low Inc—Belfast
*Washington
Service Area: Danforth
Service Area: Eastport/Lubec
Population Group: Low Inc—Calais Dcaa
Population Group: Low Inc—Gouldsboro
Population Group: Low-Income Machias/Jonesport (Dcaa #37)

DENTAL: Maine
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Allagash
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Allagash Town
Northwest Aroostook Unorg.
St. Francis Town
St. John Plantation
Bingham Dcaa
County—Piscataquis
Parts:
Kingsbury Plantation
County—Somerset
Parts:
Bingham Town
Caratunk Town
Moscow Town
NW Somerset (S. 1/3)
Pleasant Ridge Plantation
The Forks Plantation
West Forks Plantation
Danforth
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Bancroft Town
Orient Town
Weston Town
County—Penobscot
Parts:
Drew Plantation
Kingman Unorg.
Prentiss Plantation
County—Washington
Parts:
Codyville Plantation
Danforth Town
Grand Lake Stream Plantation
North Washington Unorg.
Passamaquoddy Indian Township Re
Talmadge Town
Topsfield Town
Vanceboro Town
Waite Town
Eastport/Lubec
County—Washington
Parts:
Dennysville Town
East Central Washington Unorg.
Eastport City
Lubec Town
Pembroke Town
Perry Town
Whiting Town
Fort Kent
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Eagle Lake Town

DENTAL: Maine
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Fort Kent Town
Frenchville Town
Grand Isle Town
Hamlin Town
Madawaska Town
New Canada Town
Square Lake Unorg.
St. Agatha Town
Van Buren Town
Wallagrass Plantation
Winterville Plantation
Jackman Dcaa
County—Somerset
Parts:
Dennistown Plantation
Jackman Town
Moose River Town
Jay-Livermore
County—Androscoggin
Parts:
Livermore Falls Town
Livermore Town
County—Franklin
Parts:
Jay Town
County—Kennebec
Parts:
Fayette Town
County—Oxford
Parts:
Canton Town
Hartford Town
Sumner Town
Penobscot Bay
County—Knox
Parts:
Matinicus Isle Plantation
North Haven Town
Vinalhaven Town
Rangeley/Kingsfield
County—Franklin
Parts:
Carrabassett Valley Town
Coplin Plantation
Dallas Plantation
Eustis Town
Kingsfield Twn
Madrid Town
Phillips Town
Rangeley Town
Rangeley Plantation
Sandy River Plantation
Unorg. Terr.—E.C.Franklin
Wyman Unorg.
County—Oxford
Parts:
Lincoln Plantation
Magalloway Plantation
Unorg. Terr.-N.Oxford

DENTAL: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Belfast
County—Waldo
Parts:
Belfast City
Belmont Town
Brooks Town
Jackson Town
Knox Town

DENTAL: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Liberty Town
Lincolnville Town
Monroe Town
Montville Town
Morrill Town
Northport Town
Searsmont Town
Searsport Town
Stockton Springs Town
Swanville Town
Waldo Town
Low Inc—Bucksport
County—Hancock
Parts:
Bucksport Town
Orland Town
Verona Town
County—Waldo
Parts:
Frankfort Town
Prospect Town
Low Inc—Calais Dcaa
County—Washington
Parts:
Alexander Town
Baileyville Town
Baring Town
Calais City
Charlotte Town
Cooper Town
Crawford Town
Meddybemps Town
Princeton Town
Robbinston Town
Low Inc—Ellsworth
County—Hancock
Parts:
Eastbrook Town
Ellsworth City
Franklin Town
Hancock Town
Lamoine Town
Mariaville Town
Osborn Town
Otis Town
Trenton Town
Waltham Town
Low Inc—Farmington
County—Franklin
Parts:
Avon Town
Chesterville Town
Farmington Town
Industry Town
New Sharon Town
Strong Town
Temple Town
Wilton Town
County—Kennebec
Parts:
Vienna Town
Low Inc—Gouldsboro
County—Hancock
Parts:
East Hancock Unorg.
Gouldsboro Town
Sorrento Town
Sullivan Town
Winter Harbor Town
County—Washington
Parts:
Beddington Town

DENTAL: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Cherryfield Town
Columbia Town
Deblois Town
Harrington Town
Milbridge Town
Steuben Town
Low Inc—Portland
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Skowhegan Dcaa
County—Piscataquis
Parts:
Wellington Town
County—Somerset
Parts:
Anson Town
Athens Town
Brighton Plantation Town
Canaan Town
Central Somerset Unorg.
Cornville Town
Embden Town
Harmony Town
Highland Plantation Town
Madison Town
Mercer Town
New Portland Town
Norridgewock Town
Skowhegan Town
Smithfield Town
Solon Town
Starks Town
Low Inc—Waterville Dcaa
County—Kennebec
Parts:
Albion Town
Belgrade Town
Benton Town
China Town
Clinton Town
Oakland Town
Rome Town
Sidney Town
Unity Township
Vassalboro Town
Waterville Town
Winslow Town
County—Somerset
Parts:
Fairfield Town
County—Waldo
Parts:
Burnham Town
Freedom Town
Palermo Town
Thorndike Town
Troy Town
Unity Town
Low Income—Presque Isle
County—Aroostook
Parts:
Ashland Town
Blaine Town
Bridgewater Town
Caribou City
Castle Hill Town
Caswell Town
Central Aroostook Unorg.
Chapman Town
Connor Unorg.
Cyr Plantation

DENTAL: Maine
Population Group Listing

Population Group

East Plantation
Easton Town
Fort Fairfield Town
Garfield Plantation
Limestone Town
Mapleton Town
Mars Hill Town
Masardis Town
Nashville Plantation
New Sweeden Town
Oxbow Plantation
Perham Town
Portage Lake Town
Presque Isle City
Stockholm Town
Wade Town
Washburn Town
Westfield Town
Westmanland Town
Woodland Town
Low-Income Machias/Jonesport (Dcaa #37)
County—Washington
Parts:
Addison Twn
Beals Twn
Centerville Twn
Columbia Falls Twn
Cutler Twn
East Machias Twn
Jonesboro Twn
Jonesport Twn
Machias Twn
Machiasport Twn
Marshfield Twn
Northfield Twn
Roque Bluffs Twn
Wesley Twn
Whitneyville Twn
1/3 Ec Washington Unorg.

DENTAL: Maine
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Augusta Mental Health Institute
County—Kennebec
Bangor Mental Health Institute
County—Penobscot

DENTAL: Maryland
County Listing

County Name

Allegany
Population Group: Low Inc—Allegany Co
Facility: FCI Cumberland
Baltimore City
Population Group: Low Inc—N Central Baltimore
Population Group: Low Inc—East Baltimore
Population Group: Low Inc—Sandtown Winchester
Facility: Health Care For The Homeless Facility
*Caroline
Population Group: Low Inc—Caroline
Charles
Population Group: Low Inc—Nanjemoy-Marbury
*Somerset
Population Group: Medicaid—Somerset Co

DENTAL: Maryland
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Allegany Co
County—Allegany
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Caroline
County—Caroline
Parts:
Low Inc Pop Caroline
Low Inc—East Baltimore
County—Baltimore City
Parts:
C.T. 301–302
C.T. 501
C.T. 603–605
C.T. 703–704
C.T. 802
C.T. 803.01–803.02
C.T. 804
C.T. 806–808
C.T. 909
C.T. 1001–1002
C.T. 1004
C.T. 1205
Low Inc—N Central Baltimore
County—Baltimore City
Parts:
C.T. 901–908
C.T. 1201–1204
C.T. 2709.03
C.T. 2710.01–2710.02
C.T. 2711.01–2711.02
Low Inc—Nanjemoy-Marbury
County—Charles
Parts:
District 3, Nanjemoy
District 10, Marbury
Low Inc—Sandtown Winchester
County—Baltimore City
Parts:
C.T. 1401–1403
C.T. 1501–1502
C.T. 1601–1604
C.T. 1607
C.T. 1702–1703
Medicaid—Somerset Co
County—Somerset
Parts:
Medicaid Eligibles

DENTAL: Maryland
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Cumberland
County—Allegany
Health Care For The Homeless Facility
County—Baltimore City

DENTAL: Massachusetts
County Listing

County Name

Hampden
Service Area: Worthington
Population Group: Low Inc—C Springfield
Hampshire
Service Area: Worthington
Suffolk
Service Area: North Dorchester
Population Group: Low Inc—Mattapan
Population Group: Low Inc—Roxbury
Population Group: Low Inc—South End
Population Group: Low Inc—Allston-Brighton
Worcester
Population Group: Low Inc—Worcester

DENTAL: Massachusetts
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

North Dorchester
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 901–924
Worthington
County—Hampden
Parts:
Chester Town
County—Hampshire
Parts:
Chesterfield Town
Cummington Town
Goshen Town
Middlefield Town
Plainfield Town
Worthington Town

DENTAL: Massachusetts
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Allston-Brighton
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 5.01–5.02
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8.01–8.02
Low Inc—C Springfield
County—Hampden
Parts:
C.T. 8005–8010
C.T. 8011.01–8011.02
C.T. 8012–8013
C.T. 8014.01–8014.02
C.T. 8015.01–8015.02
C.T. 8017–8020
Low Inc—Mattapan
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 1010.01–1010.02
C.T. 1011.01–1011.02
Low Inc—Roxbury
County—Suffolk
Parts:
Ct 801.00
Ct 802.00
Ct 803.00
Ct 804.00
Ct 805.00
Ct 806.00
Ct 807.00
Ct 808.00
Ct 809.00
Ct 811.00
Ct 812.00
Ct 813.00
Ct 814.00
Ct 815.00

DENTAL: Massachusetts
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Ct 816.00
Ct 817.00
Ct 818.00
Ct 819.00
Ct 820.00
Ct 821.00
Low Inc—South End
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 704–712
Low Inc—Worcester
County—Worcester
Parts:
Ct 7301
Ct 7302
Ct 7303
Ct 7304.01
Ct 7304.02
Ct 7305
Ct 7306
Ct 7307
Ct 7308.01
Ct 7308.02
Ct 7309.01
Ct 7309.02
Ct 7310
Ct 7311.01
Ct 7311.02
Ct 7312.01
Ct 7312.02
Ct 7313
Ct 7314
Ct 7315
Ct 7316
Ct 7317
Ct 7318
Ct 7319
Ct 7320.01
Ct 7320.02
Ct 7321
Ct 7322.01
Ct 7322.02
Ct 7322.03
Ct 7323
Ct 7324
Ct 7325
Ct 7326
Ct 7327
Ct 7328
Ct 7329.01
Ct 7329.02
Ct 7330
Ct 7331.02
Ct 7331.01

DENTAL: Michigan
County Listing

County Name

*Alcona
Population Group: Low Inc—Alcona Co
*Alger
Population Group: Low Inc—Alger Co
*Alpena
Population Group: Low Inc—Alpena Co
*Antrim
Population Group: Low Inc—Antrim Co
*Arenac
Population Group: Low Inc—Arenac Co
*Baraga
Population Group: Low Inc—Baraga Co
*Benzie
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Benzie Co
Berrien
Population Group: Low Inc—Berrien Co
*Branch
Population Group: Low Inc—Branch Co
Calhoun
Population Group: Low Inc—Calhoun Co
*Cass
Population Group: Low Inc—Cass Co
*Cheboygan
Population Group: Low Inc—Cheboygan Co
*Chippewa
Population Group: Low Inc—Chippewa Co
*Clare
Population Group: Low Inc—Clare Co
*Crawford
Population Group: Low Inc—Crawford Co
*Delta
Population Group: Low Inc—Delta Co
*Dickinson
Population Group: Low Inc—Dickenson Co
*Emmet
Population Group: Low Inc—Emmet Co
Genesee
Population Group: Low Inc—South Flint
Population Group: Low Inc—North Flint
*Gladwin
Population Group: Low Inc—Gladwin Co
*Gogebic
Population Group: Low Inc—Gogebic Co
*Gratiot
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Gratiot Co
*Hillsdale
Population Group: Low Inc—Hillsdale Co
*Houghton
Population Group: Low Inc—Houghton Co
*Huron
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Huron Co
*Ionia
Population Group: Low Inc—Ionia Co
*Iosco
Population Group: Low Inc—Iosco Co
*Iron
Population Group: Low Inc—Iron Co
*Isabella
Population Group: Low Inc—Isabella Co
Jackson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jackson Co
Kalamazoo
Population Group: Low Inc—Northern Kalamazoo City
Facility: Kalamazoo Co Dental Office
*Kalkaska
Population Group: Low Inc—Kalkaska Co
Kent
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Kent Co
*Keweenaw
Population Group: Low Inc—Keweenaw Co
*Lake
Population Group: Low Inc—Lake Co
*Lenawee
Population Group: Low Inc—W Lenawee
*Luce
Population Group: Low Inc—Luce Co
*Mackinac
Population Group: Low Inc—Mackinac Co
*Manistee
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Manistee Co
*Marquette
Population Group: Low Inc—Marquette Co
*Mason
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Mason Co
*Mecosta
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Mecosta Co
*Menominee
Population Group: Low Inc—Menominee Co
*Missaukee
Population Group: Low Inc—Missaukee Co
Monroe
Population Group: Low Income—Monroe City
*Montcalm
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Montcalm Co
*Montmorency
Population Group: Low Inc—Montmorency Co
Muskegon
Population Group: Low Income—Muskegon Co
*Newaygo
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Newaygo Co
*Oceana
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Oceana Co
*Ogemaw
Population Group: Low Inc—Ogemaw Co
*Ontonagon
Population Group: Low Inc—Ontonagon Co
*Osceola
Population Group: Low Inc—Osceola Co
*Oscoda
Population Group: Low Inc—Oscoda Co
*Otsego
Population Group: Low Inc—Otsego Co
*Presque Isle
Population Group: Low Inc—Presque Isle Co
*Roscommon
Population Group: Low Inc—Roscommon Co
Saginaw
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastside Saginaw
*Sanilac
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Sanilac Co
*Schoolcraft
Population Group: Low Inc—Schoolcraft Co
*Tuscola
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Tuscola Co
Van Buren
Population Group: Low Inc—Van Buren Co
Wayne
Service Area: Southwest Detroit
Population Group: Low Inc—Tireman/Chadsey
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Detroit
Population Group: Low Inc—Highland Park City
Population Group: Low Inc—Romulus City

DENTAL: Michigan
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—River Rouge City
Population Group: Low Inc—Melvindale City
Population Group: Low Inc—Inkster City
Population Group: Low Inc—Ecorse City
Population Group: Low Inc—Eastside Detroit
Population Group: Low Inc—Mackenzie/Brooks
Population Group: Low Inc—Chene
Population Group: Low Inc—Airport/Conner
Population Group: Low Inc—Nolan/State Fair/Davison/Persh
Population Group: Low Inc—Outer Drive/Van Dyke
*Wexford
Population Group: Low Inc—Wexford Co

DENTAL: Michigan
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Southwest Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5208–5209
C.T. 5211–5214
C.T. 5231–5238
C.T. 5240–5243
C.T. 5245
C.T. 5247–5248

DENTAL: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Airport/Conner
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5037
C.T. 5039–5048
C.T. 5052–5053
C.T. 5107–5109
Low Inc—Alcona Co
County—Alcona
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Alger Co
County—Alger
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Alpena Co
County—Alpena
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Antrim Co
County—Antrim
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc—Arenac Co
County—Arenac
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Baraga Co
County—Baraga
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Berrien Co
County—Berrien
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Branch Co
County—Branch
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Calhoun Co
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cass Co
County—Cass
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Central Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5172–5176
C.T. 5180–5181
C.T. 5201–5207
C.T. 5218
Low Inc—Cheboygan Co
County—Cheboygan
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Chene
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5111
C.T. 5161
C.T. 5177–5178
C.T. 5183–5188
Low Inc—Chippewa Co
County—Chippewa
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Clare Co
County—Clare
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Crawford Co
County—Crawford
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Delta Co
County—Delta
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dickenson Co
County—Dickinson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Eastside Detroit
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5121–5124
C.T. 5126
C.T. 5129
C.T. 5132–5136
C.T. 5139–5143
C.T. 5145–5156
Low Inc—Eastside Saginaw
County—Saginaw
Parts:
C.T. 1–11
C.T. 110
Low Inc—Ecorse City
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5795
C.T. 5795.99–5796.00
C.T. 5797–5798
Low Inc—Emmet Co
County—Emmet
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gladwin Co
County—Gladwin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gogebic Co
County—Gogebic
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Highland Park City
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5530–5537
Low Inc—Hillsdale Co
County—Hillsdale
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Houghton Co
County—Houghton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Inkster City
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5701–5710
Low Inc—Ionia Co
County—Ionia
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Iosco Co
County—Iosco
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Iron Co
County—Iron
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Isabella Co
County—Isabella
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jackson Co
County—Jackson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Kalkaska Co
County—Kalkaska
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Keweenaw Co
County—Keweenaw
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lake Co
County—Lake
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Luce Co
County—Luce
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mackenzie/Brooks
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5341–5344
C.T. 5347
C.T. 5350–5357
C.T. 5364–5367
C.T. 5370–5373
C.T. 5377–5378

DENTAL: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 5451–5454
Low Inc—Mackinac Co
County—Mackinac
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marquette Co
County—Marquette
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Melvindale City
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5230
C.T. 5986
Low Inc—Menominee Co
County—Menominee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Missaukee Co
County—Missaukee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Montmorency Co
County—Montmorency
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Nolan/State Fair/Davison/Persh
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5064–5080
C.T. 5102–5106
Low Inc—North Flint
County—Genesee
Parts:
C.T. 1–11
C.T. 14
C.T. 17–27
C.T. 103.02
C.T. 103.04
Low Inc—Northern Kalamazoo City
County—Kalamazoo
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3
C.T. 4.02
C.T. 5–6
C.T. 8.01–8.02
C.T. 9–10
Low Inc—Ogemaw Co
County—Ogemaw
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Ontonagon Co
County—Ontonagon
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Osceola Co
County—Osceola
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Oscoda Co
County—Oscoda
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Otsego Co
County—Otsego
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Outer Drive/Van Dyke
County—Wayne
Parts:

DENTAL: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 5035–5036
C.T. 5049–5051
C.T. 5061–5063
Low Inc—Presque Isle Co
County—Presque Isle
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—River Rouge City
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5790–5793
Low Inc—Romulus City
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5855–5863
Low Inc—Roscommon Co
County—Roscommon
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Schoolcraft Co
County—Schoolcraft
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—South Flint
County—Genesee
Parts:
C.T. 12–13
C.T. 15
C.T. 28–29
C.T. 32
C.T. 36–40
C.T. 113.01–113.02
Low Inc—Tireman/Chadsey
County—Wayne
Parts:
C.T. 5221–5222
C.T. 5251–5258
C.T. 5260–5265
C.T. 5335–5337
C.T. 5345–5346
Low Inc—Van Buren Co
County—Van Buren
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—W Lenawee
County—Lenawee
Parts:
Adrian City
Dover Twp
Fairfield Twp
Hudson City
Hudson Twp
Madison Charter Twp
Medina Twp
Morenci City
Rollin Twp
Rome Twp
Seneca Twp
Low Inc—Wexford Co
County—Wexford
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Benzie Co
County—Benzie
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Gratiot Co
County—Gratiot
Parts:
Low Income
MFW

DENTAL: Michigan
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc/MFW—Huron Co
County—Huron
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Kent Co
County—Kent
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Manistee Co
County—Manistee
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Mason Co
County—Mason
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Mecosta Co
County—Mecosta
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Montcalm Co
County—Montcalm
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Newaygo Co
County—Newaygo
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Oceana Co
County—Oceana
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Sanilac Co
County—Sanilac
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Tuscola Co
County—Tuscola
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Income—Monroe City
County—Monroe
Parts:
Low-Income
Low Income—Muskegon Co
County—Muskegon
Parts:
Low-Income

DENTAL: Michigan
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Kalamazoo Co Dental Office
County—Kalamazoo

DENTAL: Minnesota
County Listing

County Name

*Carlton
Population Group: Low Inc—Clay Co

DENTAL: Minnesota
County Listing

County Name

*Cook
*Koochiching
  Service Area: Littlefork/Big Falls
  Population Group: Low Inc—Koochiching Co
Olmsted
  Facility: Federal Medical Ct r—Rochester
St Louis
  Service Area: Cook/Orr
  Service Area: Littlefork/Big Falls

DENTAL: Minnesota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Cook/Orr
  County—St Louis
    Parts:
      C.T. 151–152
      C.T. 155
Littlefork/Big Falls
  County—Koochiching
    Parts:
      Big Falls City
      East Koochiching Unorg.
      Littlefork City
      Mizpah City
      Nett Lake Unorg.
      Northome Unorg.
      Northome City
      Northwest Koochiching Unorg.
      South Koochiching Unorg.
  County—St Louis
    Parts:
      Nett Lake Unorg.

DENTAL: Minnesota
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Clay Co
  County—Carlton
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Inc—Koochiching Co
  County—Koochiching
    Parts:
      Low Income

DENTAL: Minnesota
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Federal Medical Ct r—Rochester
  County—Olmsted

DENTAL: Mississippi
County Listing

County Name

*Amite
*Benton
*Carroll
*Chickasaw
*Claiborne
*Clarke
*Franklin
*Greene
Hancock
Harrison
  Population Group: Pov Pop—Harrison Co
Hinds
  Population Group: Low Inc—Western Hinds
*Holmes
*Humphreys
*Issaquena
  Service Area: Issaquena-Sharkey
*Jasper
*Jefferson
*Kemper
*Lawrence
*Leake
Madison
  Population Group: Low Inc—Madison
*Marshall
*Monroe
  Population Group: Low Inc—Monroe Co
*Montgomery
*Neshoba
*Noxubee
*Panola
*Pearl River
*Perry
*Quitman
*Scott
*Sharkey
  Service Area: Issaquena-Sharkey
*Smith
*Stone
*Tallahatchie
*Tate
*Tunica
*Walthall
*Wayne
*Webster
*Yazoo

DENTAL: Mississippi
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Issaquena-Sharkey
  County—Issaquena
  County—Sharkey

DENTAL: Mississippi
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Madison
  County—Madison
Low Inc—Monroe Co
  County—Monroe
    Parts:
      Low Income
Low Inc—Western Hinds
  County—Hinds
    Parts:
      C.T. 106–107
      C.T. 112–113
Pov Pop—Harrison Co
  County—Harrison
    Parts:
      Pov Pop

DENTAL: Missouri
County Listing

County Name

*Adair
  Population Group: Low-Income—Adair Co
*Carter
*Chariton
*Hickory
*Holt
*Macon
*McDonald
  Population Group: Low Inc—Mcdonald Co
*Pemiscot
*Polk
  Population Group: Low Income—Polk County
St Louis City
  Population Group: Low Inc—N. St. Louis
  Population Group: Low Inc—W. Central St. Louis
  Population Group: Low Inc—Southeast St. Louis
  Population Group: Low Inc—E. Central St. Louis
*Vernon
  Population Group: Low Inc—Vernon Co
Webster
  Population Group: Low Inc—Webster Co

DENTAL: Missouri
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—E. Central St. Louis
  County—St Louis City
    Parts:
      C.T. 1171–1172
      C.T. 1181
      C.T. 1184
      C.T. 1186
      C.T. 1211
      C.T. 1214
      C.T. 1222
      C.T. 1255–1256
Low Inc—Mcdonald Co
  County—McDonald
    Parts:
      Low-Income
Low Inc—N. St. Louis
  County—St Louis City
    Parts:
      C.T. 1053–1055
      C.T. 1061–1067
      C.T. 1071–1077
      C.T. 1081–1082
      C.T. 1085
      C.T. 1096–1097
      C.T. 1101–1105
      C.T. 1111–1115
      C.T. 1122–1123
      C.T. 1201–1203
      C.T. 1212–1213
      C.T. 1257
      C.T. 1266–1267
Low Inc—Southeast St. Louis
  County—St Louis City
    Parts:
      C.T. 1014–1015
      C.T. 1018
      C.T. 1018.99
      C.T. 1155–1157
      C.T. 1164–1165
      C.T. 1173–1174
      C.T. 1185
      C.T. 1221
      C.T. 1224
      C.T. 1231–1235
      C.T. 1241–1243
      C.T. 1246

DENTAL: Missouri
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Vernon Co
County—Vernon
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—W. Central St. Louis
County—St Louis City
Parts:
C.T. 1051.98
C.T. 1052
C.T. 1121
C.T. 1124
C.T. 1191–1193
Low Inc—Webster Co
County—Webster
Parts:
Low-Income
Low Income—Polk County
County—Polk
Parts:
Low-Income
Low-Income—Adair Co
County—Adair
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: Montana
County Listing

County Name

*Madison
Population Group: Low Inc—Madison County
*Missoula
Population Group: Low Income—Missoula
*Roosevelt
Service Area: Poplar/Wolf Point

DENTAL: Montana
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Poplar/Wolf Point
County—Roosevelt
Parts:
Fort Peck Reservation Division

DENTAL: Montana
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Madison County
County—Madison
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Missoula
County—Missoula
Parts:
Low-Income

DENTAL: Nebraska
County Listing

County Name

*Arthur
Service Area: Arthur/Grant
*Blaine
*Cuming
Population Group: Am In—Winnebago/Omaha
*Frontier
*Furnas
*Grant
Service Area: Arthur/Grant
*Greeley
Service Area: Greeley/Wheeler
*Hayes
Service Area: Hayes/Hitchcock
*Hitchcock
Service Area: Hayes/Hitchcock
*Logan
Service Area: Logan/MCPherson
*Morrill
*MCPherson
Service Area: Logan/MCPherson
*Scotts Bluff
Population Group: Medicaid—Scotts Bluff Co
*Thurston
Population Group: Am In—Winnebago/Omaha
*Wheeler
Service Area: Greeley/Wheeler

DENTAL: Nebraska
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Arthur/Grant
County—Arthur
County—Grant
Greeley/Wheeler
County—Greeley
County—Wheeler
Hayes/Hitchcock
County—Hayes
County—Hitchcock
Logan/MCPherson
County—Logan
County—MCPherson

DENTAL: Nebraska
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Am In—Winnebago/Omaha
County—Cuming
Parts:
Om In—Cleveland Twp
Om In—Bancroft Twp
County—Thurston
Parts:
Winnebago Indians
Medicaid—Scotts Bluff Co
County—Scotts Bluff
Parts:
Medicaid Eligible

DENTAL: Nevada
County Listing

County Name

*Churchill
Service Area: Dixie Valley
Clark
Service Area: Northeast Clark
Population Group: Low Inc—Las Vegas
*Elko
Service Area: Jackpot
Service Area: Montello/West Wendover
Service Area: Mountain City/Jarbidge
Service Area: Wells
*Esmeralda
*Eureka
*Humboldt
Service Area: McDermitt
Service Area: Summit Lake
*Lyon
*Nye
*Pershing
Washoe
Population Group: Low Inc-Reno/Sparks

DENTAL: Nevada
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Dixie Valley
County—Churchill
Parts:
Dixie Valley Division
Jackpot
County—Elko
Parts:
Jackpot Division
McDermitt
County—Humboldt
Parts:
McDermitt Division
Montello/West Wendover
County—Elko
Parts:
Montello Division
West Wendover Division
Mountain City/Jarbidge
County—Elko
Parts:
Jarbidge Division
Mountain City Division
Northeast Clark
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 56.02–56.03
C.T. 59
Summit Lake
County—Humboldt
Parts:
Summit Lake Division
Wells
County—Elko
Parts:
Wells Division

DENTAL: Nevada
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Las Vegas
County—Clark
Parts:
Las Vegas CCD
Low Inc-Reno/Sparks
County—Washoe
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 7
C.T. 9
C.T. 10.04
C.T. 14–15
C.T. 17–19
C.T. 21.01
C.T. 22.03–22.04
C.T. 28
C.T. 33.01

DENTAL: New Hampshire
County Listing

County Name
*Belknap
Facility: Sci—Laconia
*Coos
Service Area: Upper Connecticut Valley
Population Group: Low Inc—Berlin
Hillsboro
Population Group: Low Inc—Manchester
Merrimack
Facility: Sci—Concord

DENTAL: New Hampshire
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Upper Connecticut Valley
County—Coos
Parts:
Clarksville Town
Colebrook Town
Columbia Town
Dixville Township
Errol Town
Millsfield Township
Pittsburg Town
Stewartstown Town
Wentworth Location

DENTAL: New Hampshire
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Inc—Berlin
County—Coos
Parts:
Berlin City
Cambridge Township
Dummer Town
Gorham Town
Milan Town
Randolph Town
Shelburne Town
Stark Town
Success Township
Low Inc—Manchester
County—Hillsboro
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3–8
C.T. 9.01
C.T. 11–25

DENTAL: New Hampshire
Facility Listing

Facility Name
Sci—Concord
County—Merrimack
Sci—Laconia
County—Belknap

DENTAL: New Jersey
County Listing

County Name
Camden
Population Group: Low Inc—Camden City
Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Cumberland Co
Facility: FCI Fairton
Mercer
Population Group: Medicaid—Trenton

DENTAL: New Jersey
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Low Inc—Camden City
County—Camden
Parts:
Camden City (6001–6020)
Low Inc/MFW—Cumberland Co
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Medicaid—Trenton
County—Mercer
Parts:
C.T. 1–24

DENTAL: New Jersey
Facility Listing

Facility Name
FCI Fairton
County—Cumberland

DENTAL: New Mexico
County Listing

County Name
Bernalillo
Service Area: North Valley
Service Area: Southwest Valley
*Catron
*Cibola
*Curry
Population Group: Low Inc—Curry Co
Dona Ana
Service Area: Hatch
Service Area: Southern Dona Ana
Population Group: Dent Ind—Las Cruces
Population Group: Low Income—Dona Ana Hill
*Eddy
Population Group: Low Inc—Eddy Co
*Grant
Population Group: Low Inc—Grant Co
*Guadalupe
*Harding
*Hidalgo
*Luna
*McKinley
*Mora
*Otero
*Rio Arriba
Service Area: North/Western Rio Arriba
Service Area: Penasco/Truchas/Embudo
*Roosevelt
Population Group: Dent Ind—Roosevelt Co
Sandoval
Service Area: Cuba (N. Sandoval)
Santa Fe
Population Group: Low-Inc—Santa Fe Co
*Sierra
*Taos
Service Area: North/Western Rio Arriba
Service Area: Penasco/Truchas/Embudo
Service Area: Questa
*Torrance

DENTAL: New Mexico
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name
Cuba (N. Sandoval)
County—Sandoval
Parts:
Cuba Division
Jemez Division
Santo Domingo Division
Hatch
County—Dona Ana
Parts:
Hatch Division
North Valley
County—Bernalillo
Parts:
C.T. 29
C.T. 30.01–30.02
C.T. 31
C.T. 32.01–32.02
C.T. 35.01–35.02
C.T. 36
North/Western Rio Arriba
County—Rio Arriba
Parts:
Coyote Division
Jicarilla Division
Rio Chama Division
Tierra Amarilla Division
Vallecitas Division
Western Rio Arriba Division
County—Taos
Parts:
Tres Piedras Division
Penasco/Truchas/Embudo
County—Rio Arriba
Parts:
Chimayo Division
Dixon Division
County—Taos
Parts:
Penasco Division
Picuris Division
Questa
County—Taos
Parts:
Arroyo Hondo CCD
Questa CCD
Southern Dona Ana
County—Dona Ana
Parts:
Anthony Division
South Dona Ana Division
Southwest Valley
County—Bernalillo
Parts:
C.T. 23
C.T. 24.01–24.02
C.T. 40.01
C.T. 43
C.T. 44.01–44.02
C.T. 45.01–45.02
C.T. 46.02–46.04

DENTAL: New Mexico
Population Group Listing

Population Group
Dent Ind—Las Cruces
County—Dona Ana
Parts:
C.T. 1–9
Dent Ind—Roosevelt Co
County—Roosevelt
Parts:
Dentally Indigent
Low Inc—Curry Co
County—Curry
Parts:

DENTAL: New Mexico
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income
Low Inc—Eddy Co
County—Eddy
Parts:
Low Inc—Eddyco.
Low Inc—Grant Co
County—Grant
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Income—Dona Ana Hill
County—Dona Ana
Parts:
Low Income
Low-Inc—Santa Fe Co
County—Santa Fe
Parts:
Low Inc

DENTAL: New York
County Listing

County Name

*Allegany
Service Area: Arcade
Service Area: Letchworth
Population Group: Low Inc—Wellsville
Bronx
Service Area: Morris Heights
Service Area: Morrisania/High Bridge
*Cattaraugus
Service Area: Arcade
Service Area: Randolph-Ellicottville
Population Group: Low Inc—Olean
Cayuga
Population Group: Low-Income Groton-Moravia
Chautauqua
Population Group: Low Inc—Dunkirk/Fredonia
Population Group: Low Inc—Jamestown
Population Group: Low Inc—Westfield
*Clinton
Population Group: Low Income—Dannemora
*Cortland
Population Group: Low Income— Cortland Co
Dutchess
Population Group: Low Inc—Beacon City
*Franklin
Facility: FCI Raybrook
*Jefferson
Population Group: Low-Income Jefferson County
Kings
Service Area: Bedford-Stuyvesant
Service Area: Coney Island
Service Area: Crown Heights
Service Area: Sunset Park
*Lewis
Population Group: Low Inc—Lewis Co
Monroe
Population Group: Low Inc—Westside Rochester
New York
Service Area: Central/West Harlem
Service Area: East Harlem
Service Area: Lower East Side
Service Area: Washington Hgts/Inwood
Population Group: Low Inc—Chinatown/Lwr Manhattan
Onondaga

DENTAL: New York
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Syracuse
Orange
Population Group: MFW—Goshen/Warwick
Population Group: MFW—Walden
Oswego
Population Group: Low Inc—Pulaski PCSa
Schenectady
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Schenectady City
*St Lawrence
Population Group: Low Income—Ogdensburg
Tioga
Population Group: Low Income—Tioga County
*Tompkins
Population Group: Low-Income Groton-Moravia
*Ulster
Population Group: MFW—New Paltz
*Wyoming
Service Area: Arcade
Service Area: Letchworth

DENTAL: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Arcade
County—Allegany
Parts:
Centerville Town
Rushford Town
County—Cattaraugus
Parts:
Farmersville Town
Freedom Town
Machias Town
Yorkshire Town
County—Wyoming
Parts:
Arcade Town
Eagle Town
Java Town
Orangeville Town
Sheldon Town
Wethersfield Town
Bedford-Stuyvesant
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 11
C.T. 23
C.T. 25
C.T. 27
C.T. 29.01–29.02
C.T. 31
C.T. 33
C.T. 35
C.T. 179
C.T. 181
C.T. 183
C.T. 185.01–185.02
C.T. 187
C.T. 189
C.T. 191
C.T. 193
C.T. 195
C.T. 197
C.T. 199
C.T. 201
C.T. 203
C.T. 205

DENTAL: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 207
C.T. 213
C.T. 215
C.T. 217
C.T. 219
C.T. 221
C.T. 223
C.T. 225
C.T. 227
C.T. 229
C.T. 231
C.T. 233
C.T. 235
C.T. 237
C.T. 239
C.T. 241
C.T. 243
C.T. 245
C.T. 247
C.T. 249
C.T. 251
C.T. 253
C.T. 255
C.T. 257
C.T. 259.01–259.02
C.T. 261
C.T. 263
C.T. 265
C.T. 267
C.T. 269
C.T. 271.01–271.02
C.T. 273
C.T. 275
C.T. 277
C.T. 279
C.T. 281
C.T. 283
C.T. 285.01–285.02
C.T. 287
C.T. 289
C.T. 291
C.T. 293
C.T. 295
C.T. 297
C.T. 299
C.T. 301
C.T. 303
C.T. 307
C.T. 309
C.T. 311
C.T. 313
C.T. 315
C.T. 317.01–317.02
C.T. 319
C.T. 321
C.T. 323
C.T. 325
C.T. 327
C.T. 329
C.T. 331
C.T. 333
C.T. 335
C.T. 337
C.T. 339
C.T. 341
C.T. 343
C.T. 345
C.T. 347
C.T. 349
C.T. 351
C.T. 353
C.T. 355

DENTAL: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 357
C.T. 359
C.T. 361
C.T. 363
C.T. 365.01–365.02
C.T. 367
C.T. 369
C.T. 371
C.T. 373
C.T. 375
C.T. 377
C.T. 379
C.T. 381
C.T. 383
C.T. 385
C.T. 387

Central/West Harlem
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 186
C.T. 190
C.T. 197.02
C.T. 200
C.T. 201.02
C.T. 207.02
C.T. 208
C.T. 209.01–209.02
C.T. 211–212
C.T. 213.01–213.02
C.T. 214
C.T. 216
C.T. 217.01–217.02
C.T. 218
C.T. 219.97
C.T. 220
C.T. 221.01–221.02
C.T. 222
C.T. 223.97–223.98
C.T. 224–226
C.T. 227.01–227.02
C.T. 228–230
C.T. 231.01–231.02
C.T. 232–234
C.T. 235.01–235.02
C.T. 236–237
C.T. 239
C.T. 241
C.T. 243.02

Coney Island
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 326
C.T. 328
C.T. 330
C.T. 340
C.T. 342
C.T. 348.01
C.T. 352

Crown Heights
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 213
C.T. 215
C.T. 217
C.T. 219
C.T. 317.02
C.T. 319
C.T. 321
C.T. 323
C.T. 325
C.T. 327
C.T. 329

DENTAL: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 331
C.T. 333
C.T. 335
C.T. 337
C.T. 339
C.T. 349
C.T. 351
C.T. 353
C.T. 355
C.T. 357

East Harlem
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 156.02
C.T. 158.02
C.T. 160.02
C.T. 162
C.T. 164
C.T. 166
C.T. 168
C.T. 170
C.T. 172.01–172.02
C.T. 174.01–174.02
C.T. 178
C.T. 180
C.T. 182
C.T. 184
C.T. 188
C.T. 192
C.T. 194
C.T. 196
C.T. 198
C.T. 202
C.T. 204
C.T. 206
C.T. 210

Letchworth
County—Allegany
Parts:
Allen Town
Caneadea Town
Granger Town
Hume Town
County—Wyoming
Parts:
Castile Town
Gainesville Town
Genesee Falls Town
Pike Town

Lower East Side
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 10.02
C.T. 20
C.T. 22.01–22.02
C.T. 24
C.T. 26.01–26.02
C.T. 28

Morris Heights
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 205
C.T. 213.01–213.02
C.T. 215.01–215.02
C.T. 217.01
C.T. 239
C.T. 243
C.T. 245
C.T. 247
C.T. 249
C.T. 251
C.T. 253

DENTAL: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 255
C.T. 257

Morrisania/High Bridge
County—Bronx
Parts:
C.T. 47
C.T. 49
C.T. 53.01
C.T. 57
C.T. 59.01–59.02
C.T. 61
C.T. 67
C.T. 69
C.T. 121.01
C.T. 123
C.T. 125
C.T. 127.01
C.T. 129.01
C.T. 131
C.T. 133
C.T. 135
C.T. 137
C.T. 139
C.T. 141
C.T. 143
C.T. 145
C.T. 147
C.T. 149
C.T. 151
C.T. 153
C.T. 155
C.T. 157
C.T. 161
C.T. 163
C.T. 165
C.T. 167
C.T. 169
C.T. 171
C.T. 173
C.T. 175
C.T. 177
C.T. 179
C.T. 181
C.T. 183
C.T. 187
C.T. 189
C.T. 193
C.T. 195
C.T. 197
C.T. 199
C.T. 201
C.T. 211
C.T. 213.02
C.T. 217.02
C.T. 219
C.T. 221
C.T. 223
C.T. 225
C.T. 227.02–227.03
C.T. 229.02
C.T. 367
C.T. 369.02

Randolph-Ellicottville
County—Cattaraugus
Parts:
Carrollton Town
Coldspring Town
Conewango Town
Ellicottville Town
Franklinville Town
Great Valley Town
Humphrey Town

DENTAL: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Little Valley Town
Mansfield Town
Napoli Town
New Albion Town
Randolph Town
Red House Town
Salamanca City
Salamanca Town
South Valley Town
Sunset Park
County—Kings
Parts:
C.T. 2
C.T. 18
C.T. 20
C.T. 22
C.T. 72
C.T. 74
C.T. 76
C.T. 78
C.T. 80
C.T. 82
C.T. 84
C.T. 86
C.T. 88
C.T. 90
C.T. 92
C.T. 94
C.T. 96
C.T. 98
C.T. 100–102
C.T. 108
C.T. 110
C.T. 112
C.T. 117
C.T. 121–122
C.T. 141
C.T. 143
C.T. 145
C.T. 147
Washington Hgts/Inwood
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 243.01
C.T. 245
C.T. 247
C.T. 249
C.T. 251
C.T. 253
C.T. 255
C.T. 261
C.T. 263
C.T. 265
C.T. 267
C.T. 269
C.T. 271
C.T. 273
C.T. 275
C.T. 277
C.T. 279
C.T. 281
C.T. 283
C.T. 285
C.T. 287
C.T. 289
C.T. 291
C.T. 293
C.T. 295
C.T. 297
C.T. 301
C.T. 303
C.T. 307

DENTAL: New York
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 309
C.T. 311

DENTAL: New York
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Beacon City
County—Dutchess
Parts:
C.T. 2101–2103
Low Inc—Central Schenectady City
County—Schenectady
Parts:
C.T. 201–204
C.T. 207–209
C.T. 210.01–210.02
C.T. 211.02–211.03
C.T. 214–215
C.T. 217
Low Inc—Chinatown/Lwr Manhattan
County—New York
Parts:
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 6
C.T. 8
C.T. 10.01
C.T. 14.01–14.02
C.T. 15.01
C.T. 16
C.T. 18
C.T. 25
C.T. 27
C.T. 29
C.T. 30.01–30.02
C.T. 32
C.T. 34
C.T. 36.01–36.02
C.T. 38
C.T. 40–41
C.T. 43
C.T. 45
C.T. 55.02
Low Inc—Dunkirk/Fredonia
County—Chautauqua
Parts:
Arkwright Town
Charlotte Town
Dunkirk City
Dunkirk Town
Pomfret Town
Portland Town
Sheridan Town
Stockton Town
Low Inc—Jamestown
County—Chautauqua
Parts:
Busti Town
Carroll Town
Ellery Town
Ellicott Town
Ellington Town
Gerry Town
Harmony Town
Jamestown City
Kiantone Town
North Harmony Town
Poland Town
Low Inc—Lewis Co
County—Lewis
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: New York
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Olean
County—Cattaraugus
Parts:
Allegany Town
Hinsdale Town
Ischua Town
Lyndon Town
Olean City
Olean Town
Portville Town
Low Inc—Pulaski PCSa
County—Oswego
Parts:
Albion Town
Boylston Town
Mexico Town
Orwell Town
Redfield Town
Richland Town
Sandy Creek Town
Williamstown Town
Low Inc—Syracuse
County—Onondaga
Parts:
C.T. 5–7
C.T. 13–16
C.T. 21–24
C.T. 29–35
C.T. 36.01
C.T. 38–45
C.T. 51–55
C.T. 57–59
C.T. 61.01–61.02
Low Inc—Wellsville
County—Allegany
Parts:
Alfred Town
Alma Town
Almond Town
Amity Town
Andover Town
Angelica Town
Belfast Town
Birdsall Town
Bolivar Town
Burns Town
Clarksville Town
Cuba Town
Friendship Town
Genesee Town
Grove Town
Independence Town
New Hudson Town
Scio Town
Ward Town
Wellsville Town
West Almond Town
Willing Town
Wirt Town
Low Inc—Westfield
County—Chautauqua
Parts:
Chautauqua Town
Clymer Town
French Creek Town
Mina Town
Ripley Town
Sherman Town
Westfield Town
Low Inc—Westside Rochester
County—Monroe
Parts:

DENTAL: New York
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 2
C.T. 16–17
C.T. 23–24
C.T. 27
C.T. 40–41
C.T. 62–71
C.T. 75
C.T. 87.01–87.02
C.T. 88
C.T. 94.03
C.T. 95
C.T. 96.01–96.04
Low Income— Cortland Co
County—Cortland
Parts:
Low-Income
Low Income—Dannemora
County—Clinton
Parts:
Dannemora Town
Ellenburg Town
Saranacv Town
Low Income—Ogdensburg
County—St Lawrence
Parts:
De Peyster Town
Lisbon Town
Morristown Town
Ogdensburg City
Oswegatchie Town
Waddington Town
Low Income—Tioga County
County—Tioga
Parts:
Low Income
Low-Income Groton-Moravia
County—Cayuga
Parts:
Locke Town
Moravia Town
Sempronius Town
Summerhill Town
County—Tompkins
Parts:
Groton Town
Low-Income Jefferson County
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Low-Income
MFW—Goshen/Warwick
County—Orange
Parts:
Goshen Town
Warwick Town
MFW—New Paltz
County—Ulster
Parts:
New Paltz
MFW—Walden
County—Orange
Parts:
Montgomery Town

DENTAL: New York
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Raybrook
County—Franklin

DENTAL: North Carolina
County Listing

County Name

*Anson
*Bertie
Population Group: Low Inc—Bertie
*Bladen
Population Group: Low Inc—Bladen
*Caswell
Population Group: Low Inc—Caswell
*Cherokee
Population Group: Low Inc—Cherokee
*Clay
Population Group: Low Inc—Clay
*Cleveland
Population Group: Low Income—Cleveland
*Craven
Population Group: Low Inc—Craven
Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc—Cumberland Co
*Duplin
Population Group: Low Inc—Duplin
Edgecombe
Population Group: Low Inc—Edgecombe
Gaston
Population Group: Medicaid—Gaston Co
Guilford
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner Greensboro
*Halifax
*Harnett
Population Group: Low Inc—Harnett Co
*Henderson
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Henderson
*Jones
Population Group: Low Inc—Jones
*Lenoir
Population Group: Low Inc—Lenoir
*Macon
Population Group: Low Inc—Macon
Madison
Service Area: Hot Springs
*Mitchell
Population Group: Low Inc—Mitchell
New Hanover
Population Group: Low Inc—New Hanover
*Northampton
*Pamlico
Population Group: Low Inc—Pamlico
*Person
Population Group: Low Inc—Person
*Robeson
Population Group: Low Inc—Robeson
*Sampson
Population Group: Low Inc—Sampson
*Surry
Population Group: Low Income—Surry
*Vance
Facility: FCI—Butner
*Warren
*Wilkes
*Yancey
Population Group: Low-Income —Yancey County

DENTAL: North Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Hot Springs
County—Madison
Parts:
Hot Springs Twp

DENTAL: North Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Laurel Twp
Revere Rice Cove Twp
Spring Creek Twp
Walnut Twp

DENTAL: North Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Bertie
County—Bertie
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bladen
County—Bladen
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Caswell
County—Caswell
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cherokee
County—Cherokee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Clay
County—Clay
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Craven
County—Craven
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cumberland Co
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low Inc
Low Inc—Duplin
County—Duplin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Edgecombe
County—Edgecombe
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Harnett Co
County—Harnett
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Inner Greensboro
County—Guilford
Parts:
C.T. 101
C.T. 103
C.T. 107.02
C.T. 108.01
C.T. 109–110
C.T. 111.01–111.02
C.T. 112–115
C.T. 127.05–127.07
Low Inc—Jones
County—Jones
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lenoir
County—Lenoir
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Macon
County—Macon
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: North Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Mitchell
County—Mitchell
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—New Hanover
County—New Hanover
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Pamlico
County—Pamlico
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Person
County—Person
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Robeson
County—Robeson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sampson
County—Sampson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Henderson
County—Henderson
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW
Low Income—Cleveland
County—Cleveland
Parts:
Low-Income
Low Income—Surry
County—Surry
Parts:
Low-Income
Low-Income —Yancey County
County—Yancey
Parts:
Low-Income
Medicaid—Gaston Co
County—Gaston
Parts:
Medicaid

DENTAL: North Carolina
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI—Butner
County—Vance

DENTAL: North Dakota
County Listing

County Name

*Benson
*Billings
*Dunn
*Golden Valley
*Kidder
*McIntosh
*McKenzie
*Rolette
*Sioux
*Slope
*Towner

DENTAL: Ohio
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Population Group: Low Inc—Adams Co
*Ashland
Population Group: Low Inc—Ashland Co
*Athens
*Brown
Population Group: Low Inc—Brown Co
Clark
Population Group: Low Inc—SW Springfield City
Columbiana
Population Group: Low Inc—Columbiana Co
Cuyahoga
Service Area: Corlett/Mt. Pleas/Wdland
Service Area: Glenville (Area I—Cleveland)
Service Area: Western Collinwood
Population Group: Low Inc—Hough/Norwood
Population Group: Low Inc—Central/Fairfax/Kinsman
Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Near Eastside (Columbus)
Hamilton
Service Area: Winton Hills (Cincinnati)
*Jackson
Lucas
Population Group: Low Inc—Old West End/Center City/Door
*Meigs
Montgomery
Population Group: Low Inc—West Dayton
*Muskingum
Population Group: Low Inc—Muskingum/Perry
*Perry
Population Group: Low Inc—Muskingum/Perry
*Pike
Population Group: Low Inc—Pike Co
*Sandusky
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Sandusky Co
*Scioto
Population Group: Low Inc—Scioto Co
*Seneca
Population Group: Low Inc—Seneca Co
Washington
Population Group: Low Inc—Washington Co

DENTAL: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Corlett/Mt. Pleas/Wdland
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1155
C.T. 1198–1199
C.T. 1204–1206
C.T. 1207.01–1207.02
C.T. 1208.01–1208.02
C.T. 1211–1213
C.T. 1214.01–1214.02
C.T. 1215–1216
C.T. 1275
Glenville (Area I—Cleveland)
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1114.01–1114.02
C.T. 1161–1168

DENTAL: Ohio
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 1181–1185
Western Collinwood
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1169
C.T. 1171.01–1171.02
C.T. 1172.01–1172.02
C.T. 1173–1175
C.T. 1179
C.T. 1261
Winton Hills (Cincinnati)
County—Hamilton
Parts:
C.T. 80

DENTAL: Ohio
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Adams Co
County—Adams
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Ashland Co
County—Ashland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Brown Co
County—Brown
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Central/Fairfax/Kinsman
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1079
C.T. 1087–1089
C.T. 1093
C.T. 1096–1099
C.T. 1103
C.T. 1129
C.T. 1131–1139
C.T. 1141–1145
C.T. 1147–1148
Low Inc—Columbiana Co
County—Columbiana
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hough/Norwood
County—Cuyahoga
Parts:
C.T. 1112–1113
C.T. 1115–1118
C.T. 1119.01–1119.02
C.T. 1121–1128
C.T. 1186.01–1186.02
C.T. 1189
Low Inc—Muskingum/Perry
County—Muskingum
Parts:
Low Income
County—Perry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Near Eastside (Columbus)
County—Franklin
Parts:
C.T. 25.20
C.T. 28–29
C.T. 36–38
C.T. 53
C.T. 54.10
Low Inc—Old West End/Center City/Door

DENTAL: Ohio
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Lucas
Parts:
C.T. 8
C.T. 14–16
C.T. 21–23
C.T. 24.01–24.02
C.T. 25–28
C.T. 31–37
Low Inc—Pike Co
County—Pike
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Scioto Co
County—Scioto
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Seneca Co
County—Seneca
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—SW Springfield City
County—Clark
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 9.02
C.T. 10
C.T. 11.01–11.02
C.T. 12
Low Inc—Washington Co
County—Washington
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—West Dayton
County—Montgomery
Parts:
C.T. 2–4
C.T. 7
C.T. 10
C.T. 35–45
Low Inc/MFW—Sandusky Co
County—Sandusky
Parts:
Low Income
MFW

DENTAL: Oklahoma
County Listing

County Name

*Caddo
Canadian
Facility: FCI El Reno
*Coal
Creek
*Haskell
*Le Flore
Service Area: Talihina
*McCurtain
Oklahoma
Population Group: Low Inc—SE Oklahoma City
Osage
Pottawatomie
Service Area: Konawa
*Pushmataha
Service Area: Talihina
*Seminole
Service Area: Konawa
*Tillman
Tulsa
Population Group: Am In—Tulsa

DENTAL: Oklahoma
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Konawa
County—Pottawatomie
Parts:
Maud Division
Wanette-Asher Division
County—Seminole
Parts:
Konawa Division
Seminole South Division
Talihina
County—Le Flore
Parts:
South Le Flore Division
Talihina Division
County—Pushmataha
Parts:
North Pushmataha Division

DENTAL: Oklahoma
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Am In—Tulsa
County—Tulsa
Parts:
American Indian
Low Inc—SE Oklahoma City
County—Oklahoma
Parts:
Low-Income

DENTAL: Oklahoma
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI El Reno
County—Canadian

DENTAL: Oregon
County Listing

County Name

Clackamas
*Curry
Service Area: Port Orford
*Gilliam
*Harney
Population Group: Low Inc—Harney Co
*Hood River
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Hood River Co
Jackson
Population Group: Low-Inc/MFW—Jackson Co
*Josephine
Population Group: Low Income—Josephine County
*Klamath
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Klamath Co
*Malheur
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Malheur Co
Facility: Snake River Correct Inst
Marion
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Polk And Marion C
*Morrow
Multnomah
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner NE Multnomah Co
Population Group: Low Inc—Southeast Multnoma County

DENTAL: Oregon
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Mid-County Multnomah
Polk
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Polk And Marion C
*Sherman
*Tillamook
*Umatilla
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Umatilla Co
*Wasco
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Wasco Co
Washington
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Washington Co
*Wheeler
Yamhill
Population Group: Low Inc—Yamhill
Facility: FCI Sheridan

DENTAL: Oregon
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Port Orford
County—Curry
Parts:
Port Orford Division

DENTAL: Oregon
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Harney Co
County—Harney
Low Inc—Inner NE Multnomah Co
County—Multnomah
Parts:
C.T 27.02
C.T. 22.01–22.02
C.T. 23.01–23.02
C.T. 24.01–24.02
C.T. 25.01–25.02
C.T. 26
C.T. 27.01–27.02
C.T. 28.01–28.02
C.T. 29.01–29.02
C.T. 30–32
C.T. 33.01–33.02
C.T. 34.01–34.02
C.T. 35.01–35.02
C.T. 36.01–36.03
C.T. 37.01–37.02
C.T. 38.01–38.03
C.T. 39.01–39.02
C.T. 40.01–40.02
C.T. 41.01–41.02
C.T. 72.01–72.02
C.T. 73–76
Low Inc—Mid-County Multnomah
County—Multnomah
Parts:
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 16.02
C.T. 17.02
C.T. 29.03
C.T. 77–79
C.T. 80.01–80.02
C.T. 92.01–92.02
C.T. 93–95
C.T. 97.01

DENTAL: Oregon
Population Group Listing

Population Group

81
82.01
82.02
83.01
83.02
84
85
89
90
91
Low Inc—Southeast Multnoma County
County—Multnomah
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 3.01–3.02
C.T. 4.01–4.02
C.T. 5.01–5.02
C.T. 7.01–7.02
C.T. 8.01–8.02
C.T. 9.01–9.02
C.T. 10
C.T. 11.01–11.02
C.T. 12.01–12.02
C.T. 13.01–13.02
C.T. 14–15
C.T. 16.01
C.T. 17.01
C.T. 18.01–18.02
C.T. 19–21
C.T. 86–88
Low Inc—Yamhill
County—Yamhill
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Hood River Co
County—Hood River
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Malheur Co
County—Malheur
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Umatilla Co
County—Umatilla
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Wasco Co
County—Wasco
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Washington Co
County—Washington
Parts:
Low Income/MFW
Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Klamath Co
County—Klamath
Parts:
Low Income/MFW/Homeless
Low Inc/MFW/Homeless—Polk And Marion C
County—Marion
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW/Homeless
County—Polk
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW/Homeless
Low Income—Josephine County
County—Josephine
Parts:
Low-Income

DENTAL: Oregon
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low-Inc/MFW—Jackson Co
County—Jackson
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—Jackson Co

DENTAL: Oregon
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Sheridan
County—Yamhill
Snake River Correct Inst
County—Malheur

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
County Listing

County Name

Allegheny
Service Area: Homewood-Brushton
Service Area: Wilkinsburg
Beaver
Population Group: Low Inc—Beaver Co
*Bedford
Population Group: Low Income—Bedford County
*Bradford
Population Group: Low Inc—Bradford Co
Butler
Population Group: Low-Inc Pop Of Butler Co
*Crawford
Population Group: Low Inc—Meadville/Conneautville
Dauphin
Population Group: Low Inc—Harrisburg
Erie
Population Group: Low Inc—Erie City
Fayette
Service Area: Greensboro
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Chambersburg
*Fulton
Population Group: Low Inc.—Fulton County
*Greene
Service Area: Greensboro
*Huntingdon
Service Area: Cromwell
*Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jefferson County
*Juniata
Population Group: Low Inc—Juniata
Lancaster
Population Group: Low Inc—Welsh Mountain
Population Group: Low Inc—SE Lancaster City
*Lawrence
Population Group: Low Inc—Lawrence Co
*McKean
Facility: FCI Mckean
Mercer
Population Group: Low Inc—Sharon/Farrell
*Mifflin
Population Group: Low Inc—Mifflin Co
Philadelphia
Population Group: Low Inc—Lower North Philadelphia
*Pike

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Pike County
*Schuylkill
Population Group: Low Inc—Schuylkill
Facility: FCI—Schuylkill
*Tioga
Population Group: Low-Income Tioga County
*Union
Facility: FCI Allenwood
Facility: USP—Lewisburg
Facility: USP Allenwood
*Wayne
Population Group: Low Inc—Wayne Co.
York
Service Area: York City

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Cromwell
County—Huntingdon
Parts:
Clay Twp
Cromwell Twp
Dublin Twp
Orbisonia Boro
Rockhill Furnace Boro
Saltillo Boro
Shade Gap Boro
Springfield Twp
Tell Twp
Three Springs Boro
Greensboro
County—Fayette
Parts:
German Township
Masontown Borough
Nicholson Township
Point Marion Borough
Springhill Township
County—Greene
Parts:
Dunkard Township
Greene Township
Greensboro Borough
Monongahela Township
Homewood-Brushton
County—Allegheny
Parts:
C.T. 1204
C.T. 1207
C.T. 1301–1305
C.T. 1305
Wilkinsburg
County—Allegheny
Parts:
Wilkinsburg Borough
York City
County—York
Parts:
C.T. 1–3
C.T. 5
C.T. 7
C.T. 9–12
C.T. 15–16

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Beaver Co

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Beaver
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bradford Co
County—Bradford
Low Inc—Erie City
County—Erie
Parts:
Ct 1
Ct 10
Ct 11
Ct 12
Ct 13
Ct 14
Ct 15
Ct 16
Ct 17
Ct 18
Ct 19
Ct 2
Ct 20
Ct 21
Ct 22
Ct 23
Ct 24
Ct 25
Ct 26
Ct 27
Ct 28
Ct 29
Ct 3
Ct 30
Ct 4
Ct 5
Ct 6
Ct 7
Ct 8
Ct 9
Low Inc—Harrisburg
County—Dauphin
Parts:
C.T. 201–217
Low Inc—Jefferson County
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Juniata
County—Juniata
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lawrence Co
County—Lawrence
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lower North Philadelphia
County—Philadelphia
Parts:
C.T. 125–149
C.T. 151–182
C.T. 192
C.T. 195
C.T. 200–201
Low Inc—Meadville/Conneautville
County—Crawford
Parts:
Beaver Twp
Conneaut Twp
Conneaut Lake Boro
Conneautville Boro
Cussewago Twp
Hayfield Twp
Meadville City

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Pine Twp
Sadsbury Twp
Saegertown Boro
Spring Twp
Springboro Boro
Summerhill Twp
Summit Twp
Union Twp
Vernon Twp
West Mead Twp
Low Inc—Mifflin Co
County—Mifflin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Pike County
County—Pike
Parts:
Pike County
Low Inc—Schuylkill
County—Schuylkill
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sharon/Farrell
County—Mercer
Parts:
C.T. 301–309
Low Inc—SE Lancaster City
County—Lancaster
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 7–9
C.T. 14–16
Low Inc—Wayne Co.
County—Wayne
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Welsh Mountain
County—Lancaster
Parts:
Bart Township
Brecknock Township
Caernarvon Township
Christiana Borough
Colerain Township
Earl Township
East Earl Township
Eden Township
Leacock Township
Little Britain Township
New Holland Borough
Paradise Township
Quarryville Borough
Sadsbury Township
Salisbury Township
Terre Hill Borough
Low Inc.—Fulton County
County—Fulton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc/MFW—Chambersburg
County—Franklin
Parts:
Chambersburg Boro
Hamilton Twp
Letterkenny Twp
St. Thomas Twp
Low Income—Bedford County
County—Bedford
Parts:
Low Income
Low-Inc Pop Of Butler Co
County—Butler

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Allegheny Twp
Brady Twp
Bruin Boro
Butler City
Butler Twp
Center Twp
Cherry Twp
Cherry Valley Boro
Chicora Boro
Clay Twp
Clearfield Twp
Concord Twp
Connequenessing Boro
Connoquenessing Twp
Donegal Twp
East Butler Boro
Eau Claire Boro
Fairview Boro
Fairview Twp
Franklin Twp
Harrisville Boro
Karns City Boro
Lancaster Twp
Marion Twp
Mercer Twp
Muddy Creek Twp
Oakland Twp
Parker Twp
Petrolia Boro
Portersville Boro
Prospect Boro
Slippery Rock Boro
Slippery Rock Twp
Summit Twp
Venango Twp
Washington Twp
West Liberty Boro
West Sunbury Boro
Worth Twp
Low-Income Tioga County
County—Tioga
Parts:
Low-Income

DENTAL: Pennsylvania
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI—Schuylkill
County—Schuylkill
FCI Allenwood
County—Union
FCI Mckean
County—McKean
USP—Lewisburg
County—Union
USP Allenwood
County—Union

DENTAL: Rhode Island
County Listing

County Name

Newport
Population Group: Newport/Middletown Low Income
Providence
Population Group: Low Inc—NW Woonsocket
Population Group: Low Inc—Providence City

DENTAL: Rhode Island
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—C Falls/N Pawtucket
Washington
Population Group: Narragansett Indian Tribe
Facility: Health Center Of South County

DENTAL: Rhode Island
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—C Falls/N Pawtucket
County—Providence
Parts:
Ct 108
Ct 109
Ct 110
Ct 111
Ct 149
Ct 150
Ct 151
Ct 152
Ct 153
Ct 154
Ct 155
Ct 156
Ct 157
Ct 158
Ct 159
Ct 160
Ct 161
Ct 163
Ct 164
Ct 165
Ct 166
Ct 167.97
Ct 167.98
Ct 168
Ct 169
Ct 170
Ct 171
Low Inc—NW Woonsocket
County—Providence
Parts:
C.T. 172
C.T. 174
C.T. 176
C.T. 178–183
Low Inc—Providence City
County—Providence
Parts:
Ct 01
Ct 02
Ct 03
Ct 04
Ct 05
Ct 06
Ct 07
Ct 08
Ct 09
Ct 10
Ct 11
Ct 12
Ct 13
Ct 14
Ct 15
Ct 16
Ct 17
Ct 18
Ct 19
Ct 20
Ct 21

DENTAL: Rhode Island
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Ct 22
Ct 23
Ct 24
Ct 25
Ct 26
Ct 27
Ct 28
Ct 29
Ct 30
Ct 31
Ct 32
Ct 33
Ct 34
Ct 35
Ct 36
Ct 37
Narragansett Indian Tribe
County—Washington
Parts:
Charlestown Town
Newport/Middletown Low Income
County—Newport
Parts:
Ct 402
Ct 403.03
Ct 405
Ct 406
Ct 407
Ct 408
Ct 410
Ct 412

DENTAL: Rhode Island
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Health Center Of South County
County—Washington

DENTAL: South Carolina
County Listing

County Name

*Abbeville
Population Group: Low Inc—Abbeville/Greenwood/Mccormick
Aiken
Population Group: Low Inc—Aiken
*Allendale
Population Group: Low Inc—Allendale/Hampton
Anderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Anderson
*Bamberg
Population Group: Low Inc—Bamberg/Calhoun/Orangeburg
*Barnwell
Population Group: Low Inc—Barwell
*Beaufort
Population Group: Low Inc—Beaufort
Berkeley
Population Group: Low Inc—Berkeley
*Calhoun
Population Group: Low Inc—Bamberg/Calhoun/Orangeburg
Charleston
Population Group: Low Inc—Charleston/Dorchester
Cherokee
*Chester
Population Group: Low Inc—Chester/York
*Chesterfield

DENTAL: South Carolina
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Chesterfield
*Clarendon
*Colleton
*Darlington
Population Group: Low Inc—Darlington
*Dillon
*Dillon
Service Area: Dillon/Marion
Service Area: Dillon/Marion
Dorchester
Population Group: Low Inc—Charleston/Dorchester
Edgefield
Population Group: Low Inc—Edgefield
*Fairfield
Population Group: Low Inc—Fairfield
Florence
Population Group: Low Inc—Florence
*Georgetown
Population Group: Low Inc—Georgetown
*Greenwood
Population Group: Low Inc—Abbeville/Greenwood/Mccormick
*Hampton
Population Group: Low Inc—Allendale/Hampton
Facility: FCI Estill
Horry
Population Group: Low Inc—Horry
*Jasper
Population Group: Low Inc—Jasper
Facility: Ridgeland Ci
*Kershaw
Population Group: Low Inc—Kershaw
*Lancaster
Population Group: Low Inc—Lancaster
*Laurens
*Lee
*Marion
*Marion
Service Area: Dillon/Marion
Service Area: Dillon/Marion
*Marlboro
Population Group: Low Inc—Marlboro
*McCormick
Population Group: Low Inc—Abbeville/Greenwood/Mccormick
*Oconee
Population Group: Low Inc—Oconee
*Orangeburg
Population Group: Low Inc—Bamberg/Calhoun/Orangeburg
Pickens
Population Group: Low Inc—Pickens
Spartanburg
Population Group: Low Inc—Spartanburg
Sumter
*Union
Population Group: Low Inc—Union
*Williamsburg
York
Population Group: Low Inc—Chester/York

DENTAL: South Carolina
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Dillon/Marion
County—Dillon
County—Marion
County—Marion

DENTAL: South Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Abbeville/Greenwood/Mccormick
County—Abbeville
Parts:
Low Income
County—Greenwood
Parts:
Low Income
County—McCormick
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Aiken
County—Aiken
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Allendale/Hampton
County—Allendale
Parts:
Low Income
County—Hampton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Anderson
County—Anderson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bamberg/Calhoun/Orangeburg
County—Bamberg
Parts:
Low Income
County—Calhoun
Parts:
Low Income
County—Orangeburg
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Barwell
County—Barnwell
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Beaufort
County—Beaufort
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Berkeley
County—Berkeley
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Charleston/Dorchester
County—Charleston
Parts:
Low Income
County—Dorchester
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Chester/York
County—Chester
Parts:
Low Income
County—York
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Chesterfield
County—Chesterfield
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Darlington
County—Darlington
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Edgefield
County—Edgefield
Parts:

DENTAL: South Carolina
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income
Low Inc—Fairfield
County—Fairfield
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Florence
County—Florence
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Georgetown
County—Georgetown
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Horry
County—Horry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jasper
County—Jasper
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Kershaw
County—Kershaw
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lancaster
County—Lancaster
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marlboro
County—Marlboro
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Oconee
County—Oconee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Pickens
County—Pickens
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Spartanburg
County—Spartanburg
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Union
County—Union
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: South Carolina
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Estill
County—Hampton
Ridgeland Ci
County—Jasper

DENTAL: South Dakota
County Listing

County Name

*Bennett
*Corson
*Dewey
*Gregory
*Harding
*Lyman
*Meade
Service Area: Faith
Minnehaha

DENTAL: South Dakota
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc—Central Sioux Falls
*Perkins
*Roberts
*Shannon
Population Group: Low Income—Shannon
*Todd
*Ziebach

DENTAL: South Dakota
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Faith
County—Meade
Parts:
Eagle Township
Faith City
Howard Township
North Meade Unorg.
Union Township
Upper Red Owl Township

DENTAL: South Dakota
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Central Sioux Falls
County—Minnehaha
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 7–9
Low Income—Shannon
County—Shannon
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: Tennessee
County Listing

County Name

Anderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Anderson Co
*Bedford
Population Group: Low Inc—Bedford
*Benton
Population Group: Low Inc—Benton Co
*Bledsoe
Blount
Population Group: Low Inc—Blount Co
*Bradley
Population Group: Low Inc—Bradley Co
*Campbell
*Carroll
Population Group: Low Inc—Carroll Co
Carter
Population Group: Low Inc—Carter Co
Cheatham
Population Group: Low Inc—Cheatham
*Chester
Population Group: Low Inc—Chester Co
*Claiborne
*Clay
Population Group: Low Inc—Clay
*Cocke
Population Group: Low Inc—Cocke
*Coffee
Population Group: Low Inc—Coffee Co
*Crockett
Population Group: Low Inc—Crockett Co
*Cumberland
Population Group: Low Inc—Cumberland

DENTAL: Tennessee
County Listing

County Name

Davidson
Population Group: Low Inc—E Nashville
Population Group: Low Inc Pop—Waverly-Belmont
Population Group: Low Inc/Homeless—N Nashville
*De Kalb
Population Group: Low Inc—Dekalb
*Decatur
Population Group: Low Inc—Decatur Co
Dickson
Population Group: Low Inc—Dickson
*Dyer
Population Group: Low Inc—Dyer Co
Fayette
*Fentress
Population Group: Low Inc—Fentress
*Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc—Franklin Co
*Gibson
Population Group: Low Inc—Gibson Co
*Giles
Population Group: Low Inc—Giles Co
Grainger
*Greene
Population Group: Low Inc—Greene Co
*Grundy
*Hamblen
Population Group: Low Inc—Hamblen Co
Hamilton
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Chattanooga
Facility: Woods Clinic
*Hancock
*Hardeman
Population Group: Low Inc—Hardeman Co
*Hardin
Population Group: Low Inc—Hardin Co
Hawkins
Population Group: Low Inc—Hawkins Co
*Haywood
Population Group: Low Inc—Haywood
*Henderson
Population Group: Low Inc—Henderson Co
*Henry
Population Group: Low Inc—Henry Co
*Hickman
Population Group: Low Inc—Hickman Co
*Houston
Population Group: Low Inc—Houston
*Humphreys
Population Group: Low Inc—Humphreys
*Jackson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jackson Co
Jefferson
Population Group: Low Inc—Jefferson Co
*Johnson
Knox
Population Group: Low Inc—Knoxville
*Lake
*Lauderdale
Population Group: Low Inc—Lauderdale Co
*Lawrence
Population Group: Low Inc—Lawrence Co
*Lewis
Population Group: Low Inc—Lewis Co
*Lincoln
Population Group: Low Inc—Lincoln Co
Loudon
Population Group: Low Inc—Loudon Co
*Macon

DENTAL: Tennessee
County Listing

County Name

Madison
Population Group: Low Inc—Madison Co
Marion
Population Group: Low Inc—Marion Co
*Marshall
Population Group: Low Inc—Marshall Co
*Maury
Population Group: Low Inc—Maury Co
*McMinn
Population Group: Low Inc—Mcminn Co
*McNairy
Population Group: Low Inc—Mcnairy Co
*Meigs
*Monroe
Population Group: Low Inc—Monroe Co
Montgomery
Population Group: Low Inc—Montgomery
*Moore
Population Group: Low Inc—Moore Co
*Morgan
*Obion
Population Group: Low Inc—Obion Co
*Overton
Population Group: Low Inc—Overton
*Perry
Population Group: Low Inc—Perry Co
*Pickett
*Polk
Population Group: Low Inc—Polk Co
*Putnam
Population Group: Low Inc—Putnam
*Rhea
Population Group: Low Inc—Rhea Co
*Roane
Population Group: Low Inc—Roane Co
Robertson
Population Group: Low Inc—Robertson
*Scott
*Sequatchie
Population Group: Low Inc—Sequatchie Co
Sevier
Population Group: Low Inc—Sevier Co
Shelby
Population Group: Low Inc—Shelby
Facility: FCI Memphis
*Smith
Population Group: Low Inc—Smith
*Stewart
Population Group: Low Inc—Stewart
Sumner
Population Group: Low Inc—Highland Ridge
Tipton
Population Group: Low Inc—Tipton Co
*Trousdale
Population Group: Low Inc—Trousdale
Unicoi
Population Group: Low Inc—Unicoi Co
Union
*Van Buren
Population Group: Low Inc—Van Buren
*Warren
Population Group: Low Inc—Warren
Washington
Population Group: Low Inc—Washington Co
*Weakley
Population Group: Low Inc—Weakley Co
*White
Population Group: Low Inc—White

DENTAL: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Anderson Co
County—Anderson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bedford
County—Bedford
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Benton Co
County—Benton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Blount Co
County—Blount
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Bradley Co
County—Bradley
Parts:
Low Inc Pop
Low Inc—Carroll Co
County—Carroll
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Carter Co
County—Carter
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Central Chattanooga
County—Hamilton
Parts:
C.T. 1–8
C.T. 10–16
C.T. 18–21
C.T. 23–27
C.T. 31
C.T. 115
Low Inc—Cheatham
County—Cheatham
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Chester Co
County—Chester
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Clay
County—Clay
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cocke
County—Cocke
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Coffee Co
County—Coffee
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Crockett Co
County—Crockett
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cumberland
County—Cumberland
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Decatur Co
County—Decatur
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dekalb
County—De Kalb
Parts:

DENTAL: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Income
Low Inc—Dickson
County—Dickson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Dyer Co
County—Dyer
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—E Nashville
County—Davidson
Parts:
C.T. 112–129
Low Inc—Fentress
County—Fentress
Low Inc—Franklin Co
County—Franklin
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Gibson Co
County—Gibson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Giles Co
County—Giles
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Greene Co
County—Greene
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hamblen Co
County—Hamblen
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hardeman Co
County—Hardeman
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hardin Co
County—Hardin
Parts:
Hardin
Low Inc—Hawkins Co
County—Hawkins
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Haywood
County—Haywood
Low Inc—Henderson Co
County—Henderson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Henry Co
County—Henry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Hickman Co
County—Hickman
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Highland Ridge
County—Sumner
Parts:
Portland CCD
Westmoreland CCD
Whitehouse CCD
Low Inc—Houston
County—Houston
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Humphreys

DENTAL: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Humphreys
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jackson Co
County—Jackson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Jefferson Co
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Knoxville
County—Knox
Parts:
C.T. 1–17
C.T. 19–20
C.T. 24
C.T. 26–28
Low Inc—Lauderdale Co
County—Lauderdale
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lawrence Co
County—Lawrence
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lewis Co
County—Lewis
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Lincoln Co
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Loudon Co
County—Loudon
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Madison Co
County—Madison
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marion Co
County—Marion
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Marshall Co
County—Marshall
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Maury Co
County—Maury
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mcminn Co
County—McMinn
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mcnairy Co
County—McNairy
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Monroe Co
County—Monroe
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Montgomery
County—Montgomery
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Moore Co
County—Moore

DENTAL: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Obion Co
County—Obion
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Overton
County—Overton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Perry Co
County—Perry
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Polk Co
County—Polk
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Putnam
County—Putnam
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Rhea Co
County—Rhea
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Roane Co
County—Roane
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Robertson
County—Robertson
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sequatchie Co
County—Sequatchie
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sevier Co
County—Sevier
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Shelby
County—Shelby
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Smith
County—Smith
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Stewart
County—Stewart
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Tipton Co
County—Tipton
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Trousdale
County—Trousdale
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Unicoi Co
County—Unicoi
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Van Buren
County—Van Buren
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Warren
County—Warren

DENTAL: Tennessee
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Washington Co
County—Washington
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Weakley Co
County—Weakley
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—White
County—White
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc Pop—Waverly-Belmont
County—Davidson
Parts:
C.T. 161–163
C.T. 170–171
Low Inc/Homeless—N Nashville
County—Davidson
Parts:
C.T. 133
C.T. 135–144
C.T. 146–148

DENTAL: Tennessee
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Memphis
County—Shelby
Woods Clinic
County—Hamilton

DENTAL: Texas
County Listing

County Name

*Anderson
Facility: Beto Psn
Facility: Gurney Prison
*Aransas
Archer
*Armstrong
*Atascosa
Bastrop
*Bee (g)
Facility: McConnell Prsn
Bexar
Service Area: South Side (San Antonio)
Service Area: West Side (San Antonio)
*Borden
*Briscoe
*Brooks
*Burleson
Cameron
*Carson
*Castro
*Cochran
*Coke
Coryell (g)
Facility: Mountain View Prison
*Crosby
*Culberson
Dallas
Service Area: Lisbon
Service Area: South Dallas
Facility: FCI Seagoville
*Dawson
*De Witt
*Dickens
*Dimmit
*Donley

DENTAL: Texas
County Listing

County Name

*Duval
*Edwards
El Paso
Service Area: Southeast El Paso
*Gaines
*Glasscock
*Hall
*Hansford
Hidalgo
*Hudspeth
Jefferson
Service Area: North Beaumont
Service Area: South Beaumont
*Jim Hogg
*Jim Wells
*Kent
*King
*Kinney
*La Salle
Liberty
*Live Oak
Facility: FCI Three Rivers
*Loving
Lubbock
Service Area: East Lubbock
*Maverick
*Medina
*Mitchell
*Motley
*Nacogdoches
Population Group: Low Inc—Nacogdoches Co
*Oldham
*Pecos
*Presidio
*Reeves
*Roberts
*Robertson
*San Jacinto
*Schleicher
*Shackelford
*Sherman
*Starr
*Sterling
*Stonewall
*Terrell
*Terry
*Throckmorton
Travis
Service Area: Dove Springs
Service Area: East Austin
Service Area: South Austin
*Trinity
Upshur
*Uvalde
*Val Verde
*Ward
Webb
*Wharton
Population Group: Low Inc—Wharton Co
*Willacy
*Wise
*Yoakum
*Zapata
*Zavala

DENTAL: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Dove Springs
County—Travis

DENTAL: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
C.T. 24.11–24.13
East Austin
County—Travis
Parts:
C.T. 4.02
C.T. 8.01–8.04
C.T. 9.01–9.02
C.T. 10
C.T. 18.11–18.12
C.T. 21.04–21.13
C.T. 22.01–22.02
C.T. 22.05
East Lubbock
County—Lubbock
Parts:
C.T. 1
C.T. 2.01–2.02
C.T. 3.01–3.02
C.T. 6.03–6.06
C.T. 7–13
C.T. 24–25
Lisbon
County—Dallas
Parts:
C.T. 56–57
C.T. 59.01–59.02
C.T. 87.01
C.T. 87.03–87.05
C.T. 88.01–88.02
North Beaumont
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 1.03
C.T. 6–9
South Austin
County—Travis
Parts:
C.T. 23.04
C.T. 23.10–23.12
C.T. 24.16
South Beaumont
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 10
C.T. 12
C.T. 14–26
South Dallas
County—Dallas
Parts:
C.T. 25
C.T. 27.01–27.02
C.T. 28–29
C.T. 33–38
C.T. 39.01–39.02
C.T. 40
C.T. 93.03–93.04
C.T. 115
C.T. 116.01
South Side (San Antonio)
County—Bexar
Parts:
C.T. 1402–1412
C.T. 1416–1418
C.T. 1501–1522
C.T. 1609
C.T. 1610.85
C.T. 1611–1612
C.T. 1619–1620
Southeast El Paso
County—El Paso
Parts:

DENTAL: Texas
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

C.T. 17–21
C.T. 28–32
C.T. 35–36
C.T. 37.01–37.02
C.T. 38.01–38.02
C.T. 39.01–39.03
C.T. 40.01–40.02
C.T. 41.03–41.07
C.T. 42.01–42.02
C.T. 103.10
C.T. 104.01–104.04
C.T. 105
West Side (San Antonio)
County—Bexar
Parts:
C.T. 1105–1108
C.T. 1601–1606
C.T. 1607.85
C.T. 1616
C.T. 1701–1716
C.T. 1901–1902

DENTAL: Texas
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Nacogdoches Co
County—Nacogdoches
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wharton Co
County—Wharton
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: Texas
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Beto Psn
County—Anderson
FCI Seagoville
County—Dallas
FCI Three Rivers
County—Live Oak
Gurney Prison
County—Anderson
McConnell Prsn
County—Bee
Mountain View Prison
County—Coryell

DENTAL: Utah
County Listing

County Name

*Beaver
Population Group: Low Inc—Beaver
*Box Elder
Population Group: Low Inc—Box Elder
*Cache
Population Group: Low Inc—Cache
*Carbon
Population Group: Low Income—Carbon County
*Daggett
*Duchesne
Population Group: Low Income—Duchesne Co
*Emery
*Garfield
*Grand
Population Group: Low Income—Grand County
*Iron
Population Group: Low Inc—Iron
*Juab
Population Group: Low Inc—Juab
*Kane
Population Group: Low Inc—Kane
*Morgan
Population Group: Low Inc0me—Morgan County
*Piute
*Rich
Salt Lake
Population Group: Low Income— NW Salt Lake
Facility: Utah St. Prison (Draper)
*San Juan
Population Group: Low Inc—San Juan Co
*Sanpete
Population Group: Low Inc—Sanpete
*Sevier
Population Group: Low Inc—Sevier
*Tooele
Population Group: Low Inc—Tooele
*Uintah
Population Group: Low Income—Uintah Co
Utah
Population Group: Low Income—Utah Co
*Wasatch
Population Group: Low Inc—Wasatch
*Wayne
Weber
Population Group: Low Inc—Weber

DENTAL: Utah
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Beaver
County—Beaver
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Box Elder
County—Box Elder
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Cache
County—Cache
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Iron
County—Iron
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Juab
County—Juab
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Kane
County—Kane
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—San Juan Co
County—San Juan
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sanpete
County—Sanpete
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Sevier
County—Sevier
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Tooele
County—Tooele
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wasatch
County—Wasatch
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Weber
County—Weber
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income— NW Salt Lake
County—Salt Lake
Parts:
C.T. 1001
C.T. 1003.03–1003.04
C.T. 1004–1006
C.T. 1019–1027
Low Income—Carbon County
County—Carbon
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Duchesne Co
County—Duchesne
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Grand County
County—Grand
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Uintah Co
County—Uintah
Parts:
Low Income
Low Income—Utah Co
County—Utah
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc0me—Morgan County
County—Morgan
Parts:
Low Income

DENTAL: Utah
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Utah St. Prison (Draper)
County—Salt Lake

DENTAL: Vermont
County Listing

County Name

*Essex

DENTAL: Vrginia
County Listing

County Name

*Accomack
Service Area: Accomack/Northampton
*Bedford
Service Area: Bedford
*Bedford City
Service Area: Bedford
*Brunswick
*Buchanan

DENTAL: Vrginia
County Listing

County Name

*Charlotte
*Dickenson
*Lee
*Nelson
Service Area: Lovingston
Newport News
Service Area: Newport News
*Northampton
Service Area: Accomack/Northampton
Richmond City
Service Area: East End Richmond
Population Group: Homeless—Richmond City
*Russell
Scott
Suffolk
Service Area: City Of Suffolk
*Sussex

DENTAL: Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Accomack/Northampton
County—Accomack
County—Northampton
Bedford
County—Bedford
County—Bedford City
City Of Suffolk
County—Suffolk
Parts:
C.T. 651
C.T. 653–655
C.T. 756
East End Richmond
County—Richmond City
Parts:
C.T. 201–212
Lovingston
County—Nelson
Parts:
Lovingston Dist
Massies Mill Dist
Schuyler Dist
Newport News
County—Newport News
Parts:
C.T. 302
C.T. 302.99
C.T. 303.98
C.T. 304–306
C.T. 308–309
C.T. 313

DENTAL: Virginia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Homeless—Richmond City
County—Richmond City
Parts:
C.T. 301–306
C.T. 412–415

DENTAL: Washington
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Othello/Royal City

DENTAL: Washington
County Listing

County Name

Benton
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Benton/Franklin
*Chelan
Population Group: MSFW—Chelan & Douglas Co
*Clallam
Service Area: W Side Olympic Peninsula
*Columbia
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Columbia & Walla Walla
*Douglas
Population Group: MSFW—Chelan & Douglas Co
*Ferry
Franklin
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Benton/Franklin
Facility: Coyote Ridge Corr Inst
*Grant
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Central Grant Co
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Othello/Royal City
*Island
Population Group: Low Inc—Island Co
*Jefferson
Service Area: W Side Olympic Peninsula
Population Group: Low Inc—Port Townsend
King
Population Group: Low Inc/Homeless- S. King Co
Kitsap
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Bremerton
*Klickitat
Population Group: Low Inc—E Klickitat Co
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—W Klickitat Co
*Lewis
Service Area: Morton
*Lincoln
Service Area: Odessa
*Mason
Facility: Wa Corr/Reception Ct r
*Okanogan
Population Group: Medicaid Eligible—Okanogan Co
*Pend Oreille
Service Area: Ione/Metaline
Pierce
Population Group: Medicaid—S. Pierce Co
Facility: Wa Corr Ct r For Women
*Skagit
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Skagit/Whatcom
Snohomish
Population Group: Low Inc/Homeless—Everett/Lynnwood
Facility: Twin Rivers Corr Ct r
Spokane
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Spokane
*Stevens
*Wahkiakum
*Walla Walla
Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Columbia & Walla Walla
Facility: Wa State Pen
Whatcom

DENTAL: Washington
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Low Inc/MFW—Skagit/Whatcom
Yakima
Population Group: MSFW—Toppenish/Grandview

DENTAL: Washington
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Ione/Metaline
County—Pend Oreille
Parts:
Ione-Metaline Falls Division
Morton
County—Lewis
Parts:
Big Bottom Division
Mineral Division
Morton Division
Mossyrock Division
Odessa
County—Lincoln
Parts:
Odessa Division
W Side Olympic Peninsula
County—Clallam
Parts:
C.T. 9802–9805
County—Jefferson
Parts:
C.T. 9501

DENTAL: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Central Bremerton
County—Kitsap
Parts:
C.T. 805–806
C.T. 810–813
Low Inc—Central Spokane
County—Spokane
Parts:
Spokane CCD
Low Inc—E Klickitat Co
County—Klickitat
Parts:
Goldendale CCD
Horse Heaven CCD
Low Inc—Island Co
County—Island
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Port Townsend
County—Jefferson
Parts:
Discovery Bay CCD
Oak Bay CCD
Quilcene Bay CCD
Low Inc/Homeless—Everett/Lynnwood
County—Snohomish
Parts:
C.T. 401.98
C.T. 402–408
C.T. 410–412
C.T. 414–415
C.T. 418.01
C.T. 418.03–418.04
C.T. 419.01–419.02
C.T. 510–512

DENTAL: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

C.T. 514–515
C.T. 517
C.T. 518.01–518.02
Low Inc/Homeless- S. King Co
County—King
Parts:
C.T. 259
C.T. 292.01–292.02
C.T. 297
C.T. 298.01–298.02
C.T. 299
C.T. 300.02
C.T. 302.02
C.T. 303.03
C.T. 305–308
Low Inc/MFW—Benton/Franklin
County—Benton
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
County—Franklin
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—Central Grant Co
County—Grant
Parts:
Ephrata-Soap Lake CCD
George CCD
Gloyd CCD
Moses Lake CCD
Quincy CCD
Warden CCD
Wilson Creek CCD
Low Inc/MFW—Columbia & Walla Walla
County—Columbia
Parts:
Columbia
County—Walla Walla
Parts:
Walla Walla
Low Inc/MFW—Othello/Royal City
County—Adams
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—Adams Co
County—Grant
Parts:
Low Inc/MFW—S Slopes C
Low Inc/MFW—Skagit/Whatcom
County—Skagit
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
County—Whatcom
Parts:
Low Income
MFW
Low Inc/MFW—W Klickitat Co
County—Klickitat
Parts:
Wahkiakus CCD
White Salmon CCD
Yakima Res CCD
Medicaid—S. Pierce Co
County—Pierce
Parts:
Buckley CCD
Eatonville CCD
Fort Lewis—Du Pont CCD
Graham-Thrift CCD
Mount Rainier CCD
Puyallup CCD

DENTAL: Washington
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Roy CCD
Tacoma CCD
Medicaid Eligible—Okanogan Co
County—Okanogan
Parts:
Medicaid Eligible
MSFW—Chelan & Douglas Co
County—Chelan
Parts:
MSFW
County—Douglas
Parts:
MSFW
MSFW—Toppenish/Grandview
County—Yakima
Parts:
Mabton CCD
S Yakima CCD
Sunnyside CCD
Toppenish/Wapato CCD

DENTAL: Washington
Facility Listing

Facility Name

Coyote Ridge Corr Inst
County—Franklin
Twin Rivers Corr Ct r
County—Snohomish
Wa Corr Ct r For Women
County—Pierce
Wa Corr/Reception Ct r
County—Mason
Wa State Pen
County—Walla Walla

DENTAL: West Virginia
County Listing

County Name

*Calhoun
*Hampshire
Service Area: Baker
*Hardy
Service Area: Baker
Kanawha
Service Area: Cedar Grove
*Lincoln
*McDowell
*Monongalia
Service Area: Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)
*Raleigh
Facility: FCI Beckley
Wayne
Population Group: Low-Inc Wayne/Ft. Gay
*Wetzel
Service Area: Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)

DENTAL: West Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Baker
County—Hampshire
Parts:
Capon District
County—Hardy
Parts:
Capon District
Lost River District
Cedar Grove
County—Kanawha

DENTAL: West Virginia
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Parts:
C.T. 118
Clay/Battelle (WV/PA)
County—Monongalia
Parts:
C.T. 114
County—Wetzel
Parts:
C.T. 304

DENTAL: West Virginia
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low-Inc Wayne/Ft. Gay
County—Wayne
Parts:
Butler Dist
Stonewall Dist
Union Dist

DENTAL: West Virginia
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Beckley
County—Raleigh

DENTAL: Wisconsin
County Listing

County Name

*Adams
Population Group: Low Inc—Adams Co
Facility: FCI Oxford
Dane
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Madison
*Forest
Service Area: Mountain
Kenosha
Population Group: Low Inc—Kenosha City
La Crosse
Population Group: Low Inc—LA Crosse Co
*Langlade
Service Area: Mountain
Marathon
Population Group: Low Inc—Wausau City
Milwaukee
Service Area: Inner City West
Service Area: Inner City North (Milwaukee)
Population Group: Low Inc—Inner City South
*Monroe
Population Group: Low Inc—Westby/Cashton
*Oconto
Service Area: Mountain
Rock
Population Group: Low Inc—Central Beloit City
*Vernon
Population Group: Low Inc—Westby/Cashton
*Waushara
Population Group: Low Inc—Waushara Co

DENTAL: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Inner City North (Milwaukee)

DENTAL: Wisconsin
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 66–72
C.T. 79–86
C.T. 101–107
C.T. 114–118
C.T. 139–142
C.T. 145–147
C.T. 151
Inner City West
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 62
C.T. 87–90
C.T. 96–100
C.T. 119–123
C.T. 133–138
C.T. 148–149
Mountain
County—Forest
Parts:
Blackwell Town
Freedom Town
Wabeno Town
County—Langlade
Parts:
Evergreen Town
Langlade Town
White Lake Village
Wolf River Town
County—Oconto
Parts:
Armstrong Town
Bagley Town
Brazeau Town
Breed Town
Doty Town
Lakewood Town
Riverview Town
Townsend Town

DENTAL: Wisconsin
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Adams Co
County—Adams
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Central Beloit City
County—Rock
Parts:
C.T. 15–19
Low Inc—Central Madison
County—Dane
Parts:
C.T. 11
C.T. 12.98
C.T. 13.98
C.T. 14.01
C.T. 16.01–16.02
C.T. 17
Low Inc—Inner City South
County—Milwaukee
Parts:
C.T. 155–159
C.T. 162–169
C.T. 174–177
C.T. 178.98
C.T. 179
C.T. 180.97–180.98
Low Inc—Kenosha City

DENTAL: Wisconsin
Population Group Listing

Population Group

County—Kenosha
Parts:
C.T. 7–12
C.T. 16
Low Inc—LA Crosse Co
County—La Crosse
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Wausau City
County—Marathon
Parts:
C.T. 1–2
C.T. 4–5
C.T. 6.01–6.02
C.T. 7
Low Inc—Waushara Co
County—Waushara
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Westby/Cashton
County—Monroe
Parts:
Cashton Vil
Jefferson Town
Melvina Vil
Norwalk Vil
Portland Town
Ridgeville Town
Sheldon Town
Wellington Town
County—Vernon
Parts:
Christiana Town
Clinton Town
Forest Town
Ontario Vil
Westby City
Whitestown Town

DENTAL: Wisconsin
Facility Listing

Facility Name

FCI Oxford
County—Adams

DENTAL: Wyoming
County Listing

County Name

*Niobrara

DENTAL: American Samoa
County Listing

County Name

*Eastern
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Manua
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Rose Island
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Swains Island
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa
*Western
Service Area: Terr. Of American Samoa

DENTAL: American Samoa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Terr. Of American Samoa

DENTAL: American Samoa
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

County—Eastern
County—Manua
County—Rose Island
County—Swains Island
County—Western

DENTAL: Fed Ste Micronesia
County Listing

County Name

*Chuuk State
*Kosrae State
*Pohnpei State
*Yap State

DENTAL: Guam
County Listing

County Name

*Guam

DENTAL: N. Mariana Islands
County Listing

County Name

*Northern Islands
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
*Rota
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
*Saipan
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
*Tinian
Service Area: Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands

DENTAL: N. Mariana Islands
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Commonwealth N. Marianas Islands
County—Northern Islands
County—Rota
County—Saipan
County—Tinian

DENTAL: Republic of Palau
County Listing

County Name

*Republic Of Palau

DENTAL: Puerto Rico
County Listing

County Name

*Adjuntas (W 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
*Aguas Buenas
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Caguas
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Canovanas
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Ceiba
*Ceiba
Population Group: Rsa 14
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Cidra
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Culebra

DENTAL: Puerto Rico
County Listing

County Name

Population Group: Rsa 14
*Fajardo
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Gurabo
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Humacao
Population Group: Low Inc—Humacao
*Juncos
Service Area: Caguas (Sub-Region I)
*Lares (S 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
*Loiza
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Luquillo
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Manati
Population Group: Low Inc—Manati
*Maricao (E 1/2)
Service Area: Castaner
Mayaguez
Population Group: Low Inc—Mayaguez
*Orocovis
Population Group: Low Inc—Orocovis
*Rio Grande
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Vieques
Population Group: Rsa 14
*Yauco (N 1/4)
Service Area: Castaner

DENTAL: Puerto Rico
Service Area Listing

Service Area Name

Caguas (Sub-Region I)
County—Aguas Buenas
County—Caguas
County—Cidra
County—Gurabo
County—Juncos
Castaner
County—Adjuntas (W 1/2)
County—Lares (S 1/2)
County—Maricao (E 1/2)
County—Yauco (N 1/4)

DENTAL: Puerto Rico
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Low Inc—Humacao
County—Humacao
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Manati
County—Manati
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Mayaguez
County—Mayaguez
Parts:
Low Income
Low Inc—Orocovis
County—Orocovis
Parts:
Low Income
Rsa 14
County—Canovanas

DENTAL: Puerto Rico
Population Group Listing

Population Group

Parts:
Canovanas
County—Ceiba
County—Ceiba
Parts:
Ceiba
County—Culebra
Parts:
Culebra
County—Fajardo
Parts:
Fajardo
County—Loiza
Parts:
Loiza
County—Luquillo
Parts:
Luquillo
County—Rio Grande
Parts:
Rio Grande
County—Vieques
Parts:
Vieques

DENTAL: Virgin Islands
County Listing

County Name

*St. Croix

[FR Doc. 00–22682 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–15–P

Federal Register

Friday,
September 15, 2000

Part III

Department of Education

Freely-Associated States Educational Grant Programs; Notice

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No. 84.256]

Freely-Associated States Educational Grant Programs

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Freely-Associated States Educational Grant Program; Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2001.

Purpose of the Program: The program provides financial assistance for educational purposes to local educational agencies (LEAs) in the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM), the Republic of the Marshall Islands (RMI), and the Republic of Palau (RP).

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: November 15, 2000.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: January 15, 2001.

Applications Available: September 13, 2000.

Eligible Applicants: LEAs in the FSM, RMI and the RP only.

Available Funds: $4,750,000.00.

Estimated Range of Awards: $700,000–$800,000.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: $750,000.

Estimated Number of Awards: six (6).

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 36 months.

Applicable Regulations: The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, and 99.

Selection Criteria: The Secretary will use the following selection criteria in accordance with 34 CFR 75.209–75.210 to evaluate applications under this competition. In accordance with 20 U.S.C. 6331(b)(2), the Pacific Resources for Education and Learning (PREL) uses these criteria in making funding recommendations to the Secretary.

(a) *Need for Project.* (25 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the need for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the need for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The magnitude or severity of the problem to be addressed by the proposed project.

(ii) The magnitude of the need for the services to be provided or the activities to be carried out by the proposed project.

(iii) The extent to which the proposed project will provide services or otherwise address the needs of students at risk of educational failure.

(iv) The extent to which the proposed project will focus on serving or otherwise addressing the needs of disadvantaged individuals.

(b) *Significance.* (10 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The significance of the proposed project to education in the area to be served.

(ii) The significance of the problem or issue to be addressed by the proposed project.

(iii) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project, especially improvements in teaching and student achievement.

(c) *Quality of the Project Design.* (25 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the goals, objectives and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable.

(ii) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address, the needs of the target population or other identified needs.

(iii) The extent to which the proposed project will be coordinated with similar or related efforts, and with other appropriate community, State, and Federal resources.

(iv) The extent to which the proposed project is part of a comprehensive effort to improve teaching and learning and support rigorous academic standards for students.

(v) The extent to which the proposed project encourages parental involvement.

(vi) The extent to which performance feedback and continuous improvement are integral to the design of the proposed project.

(d) *Adequacy of Resources.* (5 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.

(2) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project.

(ii) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project.

(iii) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served and to the anticipated results and benefits.

(e) *Quality of project personnel.* (10 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the project personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability,

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the project director or principal investigator.

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel.

(iii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of project consultants or subcontractors.

(f) *Quality of the project evaluation.* (15 points)

(1) The Secretary considers quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation provide for examining the effectiveness of project implementation strategies.

(ii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible.

(g) *Quality of project services.* (10 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project.

(2) In determining the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project, the Secretary considers the quality and sufficiency of strategies for ensuring equal access and treatment for eligible project participants who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are appropriate to the needs of the intended

recipients or beneficiaries of those services.

(ii) The likely impact of the services to be provided by the proposed project on the intended recipients of those services.

(iii) The extent to which the training or professional development services to be provided by the proposed project are of sufficient quality, intensity and duration to lead to improvements in practice among the recipients of those services.

(iv) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are focused on those with greatest needs.

For Applications Contact: Valerie Rogers, U. S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 3E245, Washington, D.C. 20202–6140. Telephone (202) 260–2543.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–888–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format, (*e.g.,* Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in this section.

Individuals with disabilities also may obtain a copy of the application package in alternative format, by contacting that person. However, the Department is not able to reproduce in an alternative format the standard forms included in the application package.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at either of the following sites:

http://ocfo.ed.gov/fedreg.htm

http://www.ed.gov/news.html

To use the PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at either of the previous sites. If you have questions about using the PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free at 1–888–293–6498; or in Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: *http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html*

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6331(b)(2).

Dated: September 11, 2000.

Michael Cohen,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 00–23618 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

Federal Register

Friday,
September 15, 2000

Part IV

Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 121 et al.
Service Difficulty Reports; Final Rule

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Parts 121, 125, 135, and 145

[Docket No. 28293; Amendment No. 121–279, 125–35, 135–77, and 145–22]

RIN 2120–AF71

Service Difficulty Reports

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule, request for comments on the information collection requirements.

SUMMARY: The FAA amends reporting requirements for air carriers and certificated domestic and foreign repair station operators concerning failures, malfunctions, and defects of aircraft, aircraft engines, systems, and components. This action was prompted by an internal Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) review of the effectiveness of the reporting system and by air carrier industry concern over the quality of the data being reported. The objective of this final rule is to improve the reporting system to effectively collect and disseminate clear and concise safety information to the aviation industry.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 16, 2001. Comments on the information collection requirements must be submitted on or before November 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Address your comments on the information collection requirements, in duplicate, to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rules Docket (AGC–200), Docket No. 28293, Room 915G, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jose E. Figueroa, AFS–300, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave., Washington, DC, 20591 telephone (703) 661–0522.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Availability of Rulemaking Documents

You can get an electronic copy using the Internet by taking the following steps:

(1) Go to the search function of the Department of Transportation's electronic Docket Management System (DMS) web page (http://dms.dot.gov/search).

(2) On the search page type in the last four digits of the Docket number shown at the beginning of this notice. Click on ''search.''

(3) On the next page, which contains the Docket summary information for the Docket you selected, click on the document number for the item you wish to view.

You can also get an electronic copy using the Internet through FAA's web page at http://www.faa.gov/avr/arm/nprm/nprm.htm or the Federal Register's web page at http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/aces/aces140.html.

You can also get a copy by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Rulemaking, ARM–1, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267–9680. Make sure to identify the amendment number or docket number of this rulemaking.

Availability of the Joint Aircraft System/Component (JASC) Code

Copies of the Joint Aircraft System/Component (JASC) Code are available from the FAA's Regulatory Support Division (AFS–620), P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125, (405) 954–4391 or on-line from http://av-info.faa.gov/isdr/SDRRelatedReferences.asp.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) of 1996 requires FAA to comply with small entity requests for information or advice about compliance with statutes and regulations within its jurisdiction. Therefore, any small entity that has a question regarding this document may contact their local FAA official, or the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. You can find out more about SBRFA on the Internet at our site, http://www.gov/avr/arm/sbrefa.htm. For more information on SBREFA, e-mail us 9–AWA–SBREFA@faa.gov.

Background

On August 14, 1995, the FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled ''Operational and Structural Difficulty Reports,'' Notice No. 95–12 (60 FR 41992). That document proposed to revise the reporting requirements for air carrier certificate holders and certificated domestic and foreign repair stations concerning failures, malfunctions, and defects of aircraft, aircraft engines, systems, and components.

The comment period for Notice No. 95–12 closed on November 13, 1995. Comments addressing numerous issues on the proposed rule were received from individuals, part 121 and part 135 certificate holders, aviation consulting firms, industry associations, manufacturers, and labor organizations. The FAA reviewed the comments and the changes recommended by the commenters. As a result, the FAA published a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking, Notice No. 95–12A (64 FR 18766, April 15, 1999). This supplemental notice gave all interested parties an opportunity to comment on the revisions made as a result of the comments received on Notice 95–12.

The reports submitted by certificate holders and certificated repair stations, known as service difficulty reports (SDR's), provide the FAA with airworthiness statistical data necessary for planning, directing, controlling, and evaluating certain assigned safety-related programs. Currently, the Service Difficulty Reporting System (SDRS) is used in the following ways:

- FAA Analysis of SDR data:
- To rapidly disseminate defect trends, problems, and alert information that could pertain to future aviation safety issues to appropriate segments of the aviation community and the FAA; and
- To inform engineering offices within the FAA for evaluation of problems for potential use in preparing Airworthiness Directives (AD).
- FAA personnel requests for SDRS data:
- Using SDR data as part of aircraft safety inspections;
- Whenever there is an accident, the Office of Accident Investigation draws on this data;
- Supporting investigations into accidents and incidents;
- Disseminate safety data to the aviation industry, multiple government organizations, the public, the media, and legal communities; and
- Used in Aviation Safety/Accident Prevention programs.
- National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) personnel request data from the SDRS to assist in their accident investigations.
- There are numerous requests, from the media and legal community, for the SDR data.
- Foreign countries and branches of the U.S. military services use the SDR data for research.

Discussion of Comments and Modifications to the Proposal

Eleven comments were received on the supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (Notice No. 95–12A).

Structural Reporting Concerns

One commenter interprets the proposed rule to mean that if a defect

is found to be beyond the manufacturer's limits and is repaired in accordance with the manufacturer's repair manual, that defect is not reportable under the revised SDR reporting requirements.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees with the commenter's interpretation. Section 121.704(a)(4) requires reporting of each the following: (1) Any defect that leads to replacement, (2) any rework that exceeds the manufacturer's established allowable damage limits, (3) any defect in PSE's (Primary Structural Elements), or (4) repairs made in accordance with approved data not contained in the manufacturer's maintenance manual. Using the commenter's example, a report would be required under § 121.704(a)(2) because the defect is found to be beyond the manufacturer's limits. The availability of the data in the repair manual has no bearing in this situation as the FAA wants reports of any defect that exceeds the manufacturer's established allowable damage limits. The FAA has made some minor editorial changes to the rule language.

Delta Airlines is opposed to reporting defects when a repair scheme for that defect is not contained in the original equipment manufacturer (OEM) manuals. Delta Airlines also states that they are against reporting defects when a repair scheme is contained in the OEM manual. They believe that once a recurring problem has been addressed (through the development of a repair scheme), repetitive reporting of the same defect adds no value, unless the defect has recurred following the incorporation of the recommended terminating action or repair.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. Section 121.704(a) requires certificate holders to report the occurrence or detection of each failure or defect that exceeds OEM established limits, and failures or defects repaired in accordance with approved data not contained in the manufacturer's maintenance manual. Certificate holders are required to report occurrences to the FAA. The FAA will use data on occurrences to identify trends that have a negative affect on the continued airworthiness of aeronautical products; and may take action to ensure prompt and appropriate correction of design defects. It is important to receive reports of defects even if a method of repairing them is known and available. If the FAA did not receive reports of defects because they could be repaired, the FAA would not be aware that defects were being identified. One of the primary purposes of the SDR program is to warn of defects that could lead to unairworthy conditions. To accomplish that goal, the FAA must be aware of possible safety related issues.

Value of Service Difficulty Reports (SDR's)

The Air Transport Association (ATA) and some of its membership have questioned the value of reporting the service difficulty data, stating that further expansion of the rule will not lead to any observable benefit for the enhancement of safety.

Airborne Express states that the existing service difficulty database serves little benefit. They believe that if any analysis is done based on this database, it is transparent to the operators.

FAA Response: The Service Difficulty Reporting (SDR) Program's objective is to achieve prompt and appropriate correction of conditions adversely affecting continued airworthiness of aeronautical products. The SDR program allows for an exchange of information and provides a method of communication between the FAA and the aviation community concerning in-service problems. The consolidation, collation, and analysis of the data, and the rapid dissemination of trends, problems, and alert information to the appropriate segments of the aviation community and FAA, effectively and economically provide a method to ensure aviation safety.

Air Canada states that ''it was our understanding that the basis for collecting this data was to provide a database that would substantiate the effectiveness of manufacturer developed bulletins and repairs.'' Air Canada also feels that new § 121.704 is worded in general terms leading to more reporting of non-routine work tasks during heavy maintenance.

FAA Response: The SDR program was never intended to substantiate the effectiveness of manufacturer-developed bulletins and repairs. Although certain conclusions can be drawn from repeated reporting of defects that were supposedly fixed by the provisions of a service bulletin, the SDR program is predicated on reporting of the occurrence of defects.

The FAA created § 121.704 to report defects occurring in structural items. Such reporting was not specifically required in the past. The rule language is designed to require reporting of specific items that are most likely to be found during a heavy maintenance check. The SDR program does not require the reporting of nonroutine work tasks. The program only requires the reporting of defects when found.

American Airlines see SDR's as a very time consuming, labor intensive exercise, and may have little or no value.

FAA Response: In the past, the SDR database may not have been utilized to its fullest potential. Some reporting requirements were subjective, causing inconsistent reporting which could lead to analysis of incorrect data and errors in trend analysis. The FAA undertook this rulemaking effort to correct such deficiencies. The rulemaking is designed to provide more consistent data reporting that will lend itself to better data analysis.

The Air Line Pilots Association (ALPA), on the other hand, feels that the FAA has eliminated many reporting ambiguities found in the current rule language and believes that the FAA's proposal is clearer and will ensure more useful SDR reports. Also, comments received from the Association of Flight Attendants (AFA), and the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (IAM) indicate that the FAA has made significant improvements to the service difficulty reporting process.

Southwest Airlines states that Structural Item reporting proposed for heavy maintenance is totally unacceptable. They claim that this provision will require reporting service difficulties while the aircraft is still in-work, leaving the report ''open'' because all repair data are not available. The tracking and closure of open SDR's will impose an additional administrative burden on both Southwest Airlines and the FAA.

The ATA comments that the proposed rule will not provide the FAA with valuable safety information. Many reports will be submitted by the operators as ''open'' reports and specific repair information will not be available until the repair process is completed.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. Any report of the failure, malfunction, or defect of an aeronautical product that causes or has the potential to cause a safety hazard is valuable safety information. The initial ''open'' (not complete with cause) report is valuable safety information and may be the first indication of a problem. Even an ''open'' report could alert other operators of a potential safety problem or a defect.

All of the required information may not be available when an original SDR is submitted. In such a case, when certificate holders operating under part 121, 125, or 135 get additional information concerning a required report, they must submit this additional information, from whatever source (including information obtained from

the manufacturer, the certificate holder's internal maintenance organization, or a certificated repair station) in a supplemental report. If all of the required information were available when the SDR is submitted, the report would be an original closed (''OC'') report. However, in those cases where all of the required information is not available, the certificate holder still must file an SDR within the required 96-hour time period and indicate on the SDR that the report is an original open (''OO'') report. When the additional information is obtained, the certificate holder must file a supplemental SDR referencing the operator control number from the original report. The use of this number will ensure that the supplemental report can be traced to the original SDR. The certificate holder also should indicate whether the additional information closes (supplemental closed/''SC'') the report or whether more information will be submitted and the report remains open (supplemental open/''SO''). Because certificate holders are required to submit supplemental SDR's, they should establish procedures for tracking ''open'' SDR's.

Air Canada claims that they have seen no demonstrated increase in safety as a result of mandatory service difficulty reporting.

FAA Response: The purpose of the SDR program is to receive reports of the occurrence of defects to alert the FAA, and subsequently the aviation industry, of the potential for widespread occurrences of those defects. The initial operator's report alerts the FAA of the potential for an airworthiness problem, and reports from multiple operators of the same defect could be an indication of a fleet-wide problem. The FAA analyses the reports it receives and places the report data in a database that is also analyzed. The FAA may determine that corrective action is required.

Joint Aircraft System/Component (JASC) Code Codes versus Air Transport Association (ATA) Codes

The ATA states that the use of the Joint Aircraft System/Component (JASC) code as proposed in § 121.703(e)(7) would require future reports to include the JASC rather than existing ATA codes. The ATA codes, are the cornerstone and industry standard for technical data development and reporting. Also, Airborne Express states that the issue of the use of JASC coding versus ATA coding seems to have questionable benefit.

Delta Airlines disagrees with the use of JASC codes in place of ATA codes on the SDR form. Also, Southwest Airlines states that the requirement to use JASC coding is unacceptable. The usage of JASC codes would require reprogramming all computers and extensive training to adapt to the new coding system.

The Regional Airline Association (RAA) requests that the following provisions be deleted from §§ 121.703, 125.409, and 135.415: ''The applicable Joint Aircraft System/Component Code and a unique control number for the occurrence in a form acceptable to the Administrator.'' The RAA views the entry of the JASC Code as an optional field. The RAA further states that because the entry is administrative in nature, it should be recommended as a data entry field in an advisory circular (AC).

FAA Response: The existing rules do not specifically require the use of ATA codes on an SDR report. When reporting, however, the use of ATA Codes has become a routine practice that has proven to be effective for both industry and the FAA. Most of the SDR reports presently contain the ATA Code and the FAA attempts to add the ATA Code to the database when possible. The use of a specific code has proven to be very useful for tracking and analysis of problems. Thus, the FAA decided to require the use of such a code. The FAA originally planned to require the ATA Code; however, a lack of specificity in certain ATA Codes necessitated a broader code.

The JASC Code system was developed from the ATA coding system and is consistent with the ATA Code system. The ATA Codes do not always provide the necessary level of specificity for analysis. The JASC Codes merely expand on existing ATA Codes to give a more detailed picture of the condition. The users of the ATA Code should not need to significantly revise their procedures or systems to convert to the use of the JASC Code.

The Safety Analysis Section of the FAA's Flight Standards Service developed the JASC Code from the ATA Code with input from other civil aviation authorities. The JASC Code has been adopted by the Civil Aviation Authority of Australia and by Transport Canada.

The 96-Hour Requirement

The ATA and Airborne Express comment that the requirement for operators to submit SDR's within 96 hours after discovery of the defect rather than upon return to service of the aircraft places an additional burden on the airlines with questionable benefits.

American Airlines states that forcing air carriers to report structural type defects within 96 hours from the time of discovery, instead of from the time the aircraft returns to service, will cause an additional and unnecessary burden.

FAA Response: The reporting timeframe requirement in the existing rule (72 hours) and this revision to the rule (96 hours) has always been predicated on the time of discovery of the occurrence, not on return to service. The proposal did not change the triggering requirement. The initial report contains valuable safety information, as it may be the first indication of a problem. The initial report alerts other operators of the potential for problems. The change from a 72 to a 96-hour requirement will allow the operators additional time to complete the report and may reduce the number of incomplete (open) reports.

Additional Burdens

Airborne Express comments on the undue burden to operators to control data on parts to meet the SDR reporting information requirement.

FAA Response: The FAA has determined that there is minimal burden on the operators due to this rulemaking action. Operators are already recording most of the information to document the airworthiness of the aeronautical product as required by other various regulations not part of this rulemaking. The revised rule specifies the need to report the manufacturer, manufacturer part number, part name, serial number, and location of the part. In the past, there was not a specific request for this information.

The Helicopter Association International (HAI) states that ''proposed §§ 135.415(g), 135.416(f), and 145.79(e) permit certificated domestic and foreign repair stations to submit required SDR's, but do not require them to do so. Rather, the burden remains with the operator to submit the required reports or to supervise the efforts of the repair station to do so.'' The HAI believes that this allocation of responsibility is inappropriate.

Air Canada states that they ''will be required to provide reporting on behalf of our customers.''

FAA Response: The reporting responsibility ultimately lies with the certificate holder for the aircraft. However, a certificate holder could make arrangements with the repair station to submit the required reports. This arrangement would permit the repair station to submit the reports as the repair station discovers discrepancies during maintenance of the operator's equipment. If such an arrangement were made to meet the

requirements, the repair station would submit the data required to be submitted by the operator. The FAA emphasizes that such arrangements are optional and that the details of such arrangements are contractual, not regulatory. The FAA emphasizes that the responsibility for the submission of the reports would always remain with the certificate holder of that aircraft. Other regulations, not affected by this rulemaking, specify the certificate holders' responsibility for supervising contract maintenance.

One purpose of the revised regulation is to reduce the possibility of duplicate reports when two separate certificate holders each bear responsibility for submitting SDR's. The FAA expects the operator and the repair station to reach an agreement so that one report is submitted to the FAA for each defect.

American Airlines also states that the new rule shifts the burden of reporting from the FAA Certificate Management Office to the industry and that the impact of removing the FAA from the reporting chain should be addressed.

FAA Response: The reporting burden has always been the responsibility of the operator. The local FAA offices will not be removed from the reporting chain, rather the reports will be transmitted to the FAA centralized collection point that is accessible to the Certificate Holding District Office (CHDO).

American Airlines states that the proposed rule expands the reportable incidents and they expect a significant increase in the number of SDR's submitted.

FAA Response: The FAA agrees. The FAA took the current SDR list of reportable items and determined which items were necessary for inclusion in the database. This resulted in approximately the same numbers of items to be reported; however, the list is now very specific as to which items must be reported. This will result in an increase in the number of reports.

American Airlines believes that reporting malfunctions or defects occurring during ground operations is unnecessary and is an additional burden. They also suggest that reporting engine shutdowns during either ground or flight operations will cause confusion.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. The revised rule clarifies that a failure, malfunction, or defect is required to be reported regardless of what stage of operation the discovery occurred because such an incidence could indicate a system malfunction or fault that may affect safety of flight.

Reportable Items

Airborne Express questions why a defect covered by the minimum equipment list (MEL) must to be reported when there is no apparent unsafe condition in the operation of the aircraft.

FAA Response: If an item that is listed on the MEL for that aircraft fails, the operator may temporarily continue to operate the aircraft. However, the SDR program is designed to capture failure occurrences. In some cases an identical part that may not be on the MEL list for other aircraft could be subject to the same failure. The FAA needs to know if an item is failing, regardless if the aircraft may still be capable of safe flight. The repeated failure of an item, whether listed on the MEL or not, is of particular interest to the FAA and industry.

Delta Airlines states that they are against reporting unscheduled engine removal.

FAA Response: The proposal did not address the Mechanical Interruption Summary Report provisions that contain the requirement for reporting unscheduled engine removal. The FAA removed from § 121.705 only those items that were duplicated in the SDR's and did not change the remainder of the § 121.705 requirements. The reporting of unscheduled engine removal facilitates the continued compilation of data for preparation of the FAA's Air Carrier Aircraft Utilization and Propulsion Reliability Report.

Delta Airlines states that they currently provide continuous electronic access to Mechanical Interruption Summary data and; therefore, should not be required to comply with a monthly reporting requirement.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. The FAA does not have the resources to monitor the certificate holder's database on a continual basis. In addition, the FAA is responsible for the oversight of a large number of certificate holders and needs the information submitted in summary format.

Southwest Airlines states that proposals to change the language of "§ 121.703(a)(13) to include reporting of flight control seals, pulleys, cables, brackets, hardware, chafing, rubbing, rigging, etc. are unacceptable."

FAA Response: The provision Southwest Airlines refers to is found in § 121.703(a)(12). The FAA's intent was to record events during aircraft operation, i.e. uncommanded movements of flight controls while engaged in autoflight and autothrottle operations. The FAA did not intend for the certificate holder to report the expected wear and tear of items such as cables, seals, pulleys, etc. The commenter did not provide evidence to support the claim that these reporting requirements are "unacceptable."

British Aerospace Regional Aircraft (BAeRA) states "that in our experience that (sic) airlines who are required to provide FAA SDR reporting rarely also provide safety event information direct to BAeRA, either in parallel or in addition to the required FAA SDR reporting." The BAeRA states "that it would be of benefit, both in terms of timeliness and ensuring that any safety event is considered in the context of all aircraft of that type, if the airlines were required to inform or provide copies of all SDR's direct to the aircraft manufacturer in parallel with their submission to the FAA."

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. The SDR database is and has been available to the aviation industry and manufacturers through the publicly available reports. These reports are available on the Internet. The SDRS will allow the public access to information much quicker than in the past.

Redundant Reporting

Airborne Express feels that these proposed rules include redundancies, such as the requirement to report similar information to the Reliability Programs and the SDR program.

FAA Response: The FAA agrees that reliability programs capture similar defect data; however, not all part 121 operators have approval to use a reliability program, and data from these programs are not shared universally amongst operators. If operators with reliability programs were excluded from the requirement to submit SDRs to the database, the database would be incomplete (only show part of the potentially affected aircraft fleet), and the occurrence of defects for some aircraft would go unreported even though the defect could occur in another operator's fleet.

American Airlines states that "the value of the expanded structural reporting requirement must be questioned. The industry already gathers and reports structural repair data mandated by Airworthiness Directives (AD). Reporting this information under the SDR program seems to be a duplication of effort. This duplication is not addressed in the NPRM and should be considered by the FAA before any final rule is put into effect."

FAA Response: The FAA contends that, in general, AD's do not require the same reporting of structural repair data. The AD reporting requirements, while

containing some information common to the SDR system, usually request information that is different from the information collection required for the SDR system. Also, the reported AD information is used for reasons other than the analysis function of the SDR database. As an example, the ''aging aircraft'' information reported by certificate holders for an AD is submitted to the appropriate FAA Aircraft Certification Office to determine the extent of aircraft deterioration because of age, and to monitor the effectiveness of the supplemental inspection documents and corrosion prevention and control programs. Information submitted to the SDR's is used for the identification of recurring service problems.

Electronic Submission of SDR's

American Airlines feels that mandating the reporting of SDR's in an electronic format will result in an unnecessary burden and additional costs. The commenter further states that the reporting of SDR's is a complex process for part 121 certificate holders. ''Revamping the present reporting system, training numerous employees in a new unneeded process, and changing the culture in our company will cause a tremendous burden on American Airlines.'' Also, American Airlines suggests that the FAA may have unnecessarily burdened part 121 certificate holders by requiring them to report SDR's in an electronic format when other certificate holders have the option of using electronic reporting.

FAA Response: The FAA has determined that electronic submission of SDR's could permit a more timely dissemination of safety information. The FAA instituted a test electronic SDR reporting system several years ago to determine if electronic reporting was feasible. At this time, several similar sized part 121 operators are voluntarily submitting reports electronically via the Internet. Although the electronic reporting system appears to work, the FAA requested comments on a proposal to make electronic reporting mandatory. Most of the commenters raised concerns with mandating electronic reporting. The FAA has decided to allow the option of electronic reporting but will not make it mandatory at this time. The rule language has been revised to reflect that electronic reporting is optional.

American Airlines also states that to mandate a part 121 certificate holder to use an IBM-compatible computer, is as ludicrous as a part 121 carrier requesting that the FAA purchase and use a Macintosh computer so equipment used by the FAA can be compatible with equipment used by the part 121 certificate holder. Delta Airlines states ''that the proposed rule places the full burden (logistics, economics, programming, etc.) on the operators to conform to the Administrator's electronic format and its future revisions.''

FAA Response: After further consideration, the FAA has determined that due to the potential for lack of computer compatibility and the current lack of a universally accepted protocol, the mandatory electronic submission of reports would increase the burden on the FAA and industry. Therefore, electronic submission of reports will be optional. The FAA is using the Internet standard as a means of receiving electronic SDR's that in effect resolves platform incompatibility issues such as Macintosh computer devices if an operator prefers to submit reports electronically.

The Regional Airline Association (RAA) and Southwest Airlines support the use of electronically submitted SDR's. The RAA recognizes that the SDR system will become a more effective tool for tracking and analyzing mechanical malfunction trends. In the past, the air carriers provided the SDR data to the FAA on paper and the FAA in turn published the data in huge paper documents several months later.

Delta Airlines states that § 121.705 should allow for reporting by other means acceptable to the Administrator.

FAA Response: The rule language has been changed to permit the SDR's to be submitted on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator. The FAA has developed a paper form that includes blocks for all the required reporting information. The FAA's Aviation Data Systems Branch (AFS–620), P.O. Box 25085, Oklahoma City, OK 73125, telephone number (405) 954–4391, will assist any operator with resolving compatibility and format issues should the operator desire to undertake electronic reporting.

Expansion of Reportable Items

American Airlines states that fuel spills caused by overfilling the tanks would now become reportable.

Southwest Airlines states that ''the expansion of reportable items § 121.703(e) includes fuel and fuel dumping systems that could cause hazardous leakage will include fuel leakage during installation of components, static leaks, and fuel spills during the fueling of aircraft. This is unacceptable because a misinterpretation of this rule will cause enforcement problems with certain inspectors.''

FAA Response: The provision to which Southwest Airlines refers is found in § 121.703(a)(7). Fuel spills during refueling are not considered reportable under this rule unless an aircraft system failure, malfunction, or defect caused the fuel spill.

Airborne Express feels that exceptions to the rule should be allowed for events occurring during the course of maintenance. Due to the potential for maintenance to introduce defects as systems are disturbed, there needs to be consideration given to exclusion of these events during maintenance. American Airlines states that the new rule will require the reporting of fuel leaks during heavy maintenance when leaks occur after assembly.

FAA Response: An event occurring during the performance of maintenance that was induced by the maintenance action does not constitute a reportable defect if detected and corrected as part of that maintenance action. Using the Airborne Express' example, in the course of a mechanic replacing a bracket, where the maintenance-induced action of causing an associated fastener to loosen or break that results in a fuel leak would not necessarily mean that the leak would have to be reported to the SDR program. The SDR program is designed to track defects, not disturbances of parts due to maintenance. After completion of the related maintenance task, the aircraft is returned to service. During the subsequent operation of the aircraft, if the bracket should fail and cause a fuel leak, this leak would have to be reported to the SDR program.

American Airlines has concerns with the reporting of failures, malfunctions, or defects associated with emergency evacuation systems or components. This commenter states that reports on the failure of emergency lighting or the degradation of emergency egress lighting batteries should be excluded from the reporting requirements. The commenter states that high maintenance components do not render the system inoperable, nor add value to the SDR database.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. The current rules pertaining to the reporting of the described failures provide the FAA with an indication of evacuation system reliability and the reliability of components within evacuation systems. The FAA contends that if an evacuation slide has an on-aircraft life of 12 months, the components within that slide should last 12 months. Failure of batteries for a slide's emergency egress lighting may indicate a need to change maintenance procedures or life limits.

American Airlines states their opposition to the requirement to report hours and cycles of the affected components due to the additional research time burden that would be imposed, and that if the rule goes into effect as proposed, that the reporting time be increased to 10 days. Also, Southwest Airlines states that the expansion of the list of reportable data, § 121.703(e), to include reporting time and cycles of affected components, will impose additional time and manpower requirements due to some information that will have to be collected from vendors is unacceptable. Also, Delta Airlines disagrees with the new requirement to report manufacturer, name, time, and cycles of components.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. The FAA has made the manufacturer's name, total time, and total cycle information a mandatory requirement. The FAA requires this information so a more complete analysis of the component failure trends can be made.

One commenter has two problems with the SNPRM: the inclusion of aircraft total time and total cycles for each report, and station and flight numbers should be required. The commenter strongly supports the rest of the proposal.

FAA Response: The flight number and station where the failure, malfunction, or defect was detected is not necessary to determine the cause of the failure. This information is available through the maintenance records if needed.

The International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (IAM) and the Association of Flight Attendants fully support the proposed rule changes and support the additional requirement that aircraft total time and total cycles be recorded. Furthermore, the IAM believes the station and flight number should be included as part of the report to permit tracking of particular problems occurring at a specific station or airport.

Public Aircraft Concerns

Delta Airlines suggests that ''the rule should include Public Aircraft.''

FAA Response: The FAA has not exercised the authority to mandate that operators of public aircraft submit SDR reports; however, the FAA encourages those operators to participate in the SDR program.

Miscellaneous Comments

American Airlines states that the new rule requires redundant reporting of failures, malfunctions or defects of the autothrottle, autoflight or flight control systems as these defects are already reportable under current § 121.703(c). Delta Airlines suggests that the word ''uncommanded'' be added to the list of reportable flight control items in § 121.703(a)(12).

FAA Response: Although such events could be reported under current § 121.703(c) or § 135.415(c), the SDR database does not indicate that such reports are being made. The FAA has become aware that failures of this nature are occurring. Therefore, the FAA has added a specific requirement to report failures, malfunctions, or defects of autothrottle, autoflight, or flight control systems or components in § 121.703(a)(12). The assumption is that any uncommanded system activation is the result of a malfunction, failure, or defect.

Delta Airlines suggests that the wording ''in its opinion'' not be deleted from existing § 121.703(c). According to Delta, ''the deletion would remove any flexibility in reporting and increase enforcement problems with inspectors who have various interpretations of the rule.''

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. Section 121.704 has been modified by listing specific items to be reported. Flexibility in reporting has been a problem with the SDR database. The revised rule will require specific reporting so that a quality analysis can be performed.

Southwest Airlines states that ''changes added to § 121.703(a)(11) included all exit door defects, malfunctions, or failures. Additionally, this includes door trim, window shade panels, and other cosmetic and or secondary structure on doors.''

FAA Response: Some items may have been installed for ''cosmetic'' reasons. However, using the commenter's example, opening a window shade panel during an aircraft emergency evacuation is necessary to allow one to look out the window of the exit to determine whether that exit is safe to use. If a shade is defective and cannot be opened, the crew or a passenger might not be able to determine if there is a fire outside the aircraft. Similarly, a door trim item that is defective may jam the door in an emergency. For these reasons, defects of these items must be reported as part of the SDR program.

The RAA requests that the supplemental reporting provisions of §§ 121.703(i), 125.409(i), and 135.415(i) be rewritten as follows: ''When a certificate holder gets additional information concerning a report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report unless the previously submitted information is sufficiently descriptive for analysis of the failure, malfunction or defect.''

FAA Response: The FAA agrees in part. The rule requires that the occurrence of the defect be initially reported within a 96-hour time frame. The FAA realizes that in some instances all the required information to complete the report may not be available within this time frame. The purpose of the supplemental report is to allow the operator to submit the information when it becomes available in order to complete the report. The important point is that the FAA be notified of the occurrence or detection of the defect.

In order to clarify what additional information is required in supplemental reporting, §§ 121.703(i), 121.704(h), 125.409(i), 125.410(h), 135.415(h), and 135.416(h) have been revised as follows: ''When a certificate holder gets supplemental information to complete the report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report and use the unique control number from the original report.'' A report is only complete when all the required information is submitted to the FAA.

The RAA requests that the word ''component'' in §§ 121.703(e)(9), 125.409(e)(9), and 135.415(e)(9) be revised to ''component part'' and that provision (e)(10) be deleted.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. As stated in the proposal, the FAA revised these sections to require that the information be provided for the component that failed, malfunctioned, or was defective, if applicable. In some instances, it may be possible to further identify the specific part, within that component, that failed malfunctioned, or was defective. This provision (tracking down to the part level) is a major change from existing practice.

The RAA also requests that the following provisions be deleted from §§ 121.704, 125.410, and 135.416: ''* * * a unique control number for the occurrence, in a form acceptable to the Administrator.''

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. The FAA needs an identifiable field to track SDR's. The use of the unique control number will reduce the number of duplicate reports for the same occurrence in the SDR database and provide a more simplified method for the FAA and industry to reference an SDR.

The Helicopter Association International states that the corrosion reporting requirements of §§ 135.416(a)(1) and (a)(2) are superfluous from a safety perspective and that these provisions will prove

unduly burdensome in certain environments. The HAI urges the FAA to delete proposed §§ 135.416(a)(1) and (a)(2).

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. Sections 135.416(a)(1) and (a)(2) apply to all aircraft. The FAA feels that helicopters are susceptible to the same conditions as most fixed wing aircraft.

Delta Airlines states ''we know of no data to suggest a data link between autothrottle/autoflight systems and uncommanded control inputs.''

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees. There have been two air carrier accidents in the United States that immediately followed unexplained airplane rolls. The FAA is aware of other roll, pitch, or yaw events that have occurred, although reports are not always made to the SDR's. The FAA notes that some of these events have required full deflection of the flight controls to regain control of the aircraft. Other events have occurred involving ice in autopilot actuators, which prevented the actuators from disengaging when the autopilot was disengaged.

Although such events could be reported under existing § 121.703(c) or § 135.415(c), the SDR database does not indicate that such reports are being made. Therefore, the FAA has added a requirement to report failures, malfunctions, or defects of autothrottle, autoflight, or flight control systems or components in §§ 121.703(a)(12), 125.409(a)(12), and 135.415(a)(12).

The Air Line Pilots Association supports the intent of the proposal and feels that the FAA has eliminated many reporting ambiguities found in the old language. The ALPA believes the proposed changes have made the rule language more clear and will result in more useful reports. Comments received from the AFA and IAM also indicate that the FAA has made significant improvements to the service difficulty reporting process.

Summary of Economic Comments

This section will summarize the economic comments and FAA's responses. A detailed discussion of these comments and responses is contained in the full evaluation in the docket for this rule. A total of 8 commenters raised economic issues.

Costs—The economic analysis attributed relatively minor costs to the operators as a result of the SNPRM. Commenters believe that the analysis was wrong in many areas:

Several commenters stress that switching from ATA codes to JASC codes will be costly.

FAA Response: The major difference between the ATA and the JASC codes are that the latter includes more detailed description of aircraft systems and components. Hence, the air carrier operators will only need to obtain the new documentation and not need to retrain their employees, resulting in de mininus costs.

A trade organization claims that the proposed rule would mandate additional fields for the data to be sorted; these additional fields would need to be provided at the expense of the air carriers. This organization estimates that the JASC code and unique control number would add at least 5% to the air carrier's processing costs.

FAA Response: Given the similarities between the JASC code and ATA code and given that operators will always have to generate a control number, the FAA does not believe that these will add 5% to processing costs.

Five commenters believe the number of SDR's will drastically increase, possibly at least double.

FAA Response: The FAA is increasing the number of variables that need to be reported about each defect. To be conservative, the FAA will base costs in the final rule on a 45% increase in SDR's due to the new paragraphs.

The answer to the previous comment has cost implications; air carriers would have to hire additional personnel.

FAA Response: The existing rule only requires that the data be sent to the certificate holder's District Office. Any changes in how these air carriers report information is based on their internal operating procedures, rather than changes in the rule.

Four commenters claim that the requirement to adjust the process from filing the time the aircraft returns to service to 96 hours from the time of discovery will increase their labor costs with questionable benefits.

FAA Response: The current rule has been for operators to report within 72 hours from the time of discovery rather than from the time the aircraft returns to service. The FAA is making this process less burdensome by changing the 72 hours to 96 hours.

One air carrier claims that, in order to continue to process SDR's with their Macintosh computer, they will incur additional hardware and service maintenance costs.

FAA Response: Since the economic evaluation for the SNPRM was written, the FAA has changed its operating procedures. Operators can now submit the required information using the Internet and will not need to purchase software to allow Macintosh computers to interface with an IBM-compatible system.

Several commenters are unhappy about the mandated electronic filing, as this would have cost implications.

FAA Response: The FAA modified the requirements so that electronic filing will not be mandatory.

One air carrier notes that the NPRM is moving the reporting burden from the FAA Certificate Management Office (CMO) to the industry; by removing them from the process, the responsibilities now falls on the carriers.

FAA Response: The regulatory burden has always been on the industry to review and report the data. Hence, removal of the CMO will not place any new regulatory burdens on the industry.

Several commenters were uncomfortable with the FAA's estimate that ''on average, it would cost each individual air carrier $15 per year and each repair station $1 per year,'' saying that the SDR program costs air carriers much more per year.

FAA Response: To obtain these values, the FAA divided the cost of the proposed changes by their applicable industry group. The FAA did err in not making it clear that these average annual costs were for the changes to the proposed rule rather than the entire cost of the SDR program.

In sum, most of the commenters believe that the costs were very much undervalued.

FAA Response: The FAA has reviewed the regulatory evaluation based on industry comments and has determined that the rule does not impose major additional costs to the industry. The FAA removed the proposed requirement for part 121 carriers to file electronically, which should reduce costs over what was reported in the SNPRM analysis.

Benefits—Almost all of these commenters were unanimous in believing that the overall benefit of the SDRS is dubious at best and that the added costs do not justify the increase in benefits. Different commenters claimed that:

- The SDR system is seldom used in the decision making process either because the SDR information comes too late or the data is unworkable;
- The new requirements will not provide the FAA with valuable 'safety' information;
- There are no real benefits to offset the costs imposed by data collection. In addition, there has been no demonstrated increase in safety as a result of mandatory reporting;
- Reporting SDR's is a time consuming and labor intensive exercise

that has little or no value, and there is no assurance that the increase in data will result in any safety gain; and

• The costs of reporting alone will far outweigh any benefits. The practical utility of the current information collection for SDR's is negligible.

FAA Response: The FAA disagrees with these comments. The improved SDRS will provide the FAA with airworthiness statistical data necessary for planning, directing, controlling, and evaluating certain assigned safety-related programs. The reporting system provides FAA managers and inspectors with a means for monitoring the effectiveness of self-evaluation techniques being employed by certain segments of the civil aviation industry. In addition, information submitted to the SDRS is used for the identification of recurring service problems.

Regulatory Evaluation Summary

Changes to Federal regulations must undergo several economic analyses. First, Executive Order 12866 directs that each Federal agency shall propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that the benefits of the intended regulation justify its costs. Second, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 requires agencies to analyze the economic effect of regulatory changes on small entities. Third, the Trade Agreements Act (19 U.S.C. §§ 2531–2533) prohibits agencies from setting standards that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the U.S. And fourth, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4) requires agencies to prepare a written assessment of the costs, benefits, and other effects of proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate likely to result in the expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by private sector, or $100 million or more annually (adjusted for inflation).

In conducting these analyses, the FAA has determined that this rule is not ''a significant regulatory action'' under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and, therefore, is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. The rule is not considered significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). This rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities and will not constitute a barrier to international trade.

Cost of Compliance

The FAA has estimated the expected costs and benefits of this regulation. In this analysis, the FAA estimated costs for a 10-year period, from 2001 through 2010. The present value of this stream was calculated using a discount factor of 7 percent as required by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). All costs in this analysis are in 1998 dollars.

Sixteen of the section changes will increase costs; the changes in fifteen of them will add additional reporting requirements for information that has not been collected before or had been collected through voluntary reporting. Accordingly, since there is little or no historical data on the data collection and reporting requirements, the FAA does not know how many extra reports these new requirements will generate. For these changes, the FAA believes that there will be few additional new reports and that the overall burden will be minimal. However, based on comments and the need to provide the public with an estimation of the potential total impact of these paragraphs, the FAA assumed that each of these changes will increase the total number of SDR's processed each year by three percent. Over ten years, these costs sum to $2.46 million (present value, $1.73 million).

Sections 121.703(g), 121.704(f), 125.409(g), 125.410(f), 135.415(g), and 135.416(f) will permit part 121, 125, and 135 certificate holders to authorize a repair station to submit an SDR on their behalf. Sections 145.63(e) and 145.79(f) will require that the repair stations provide a copy of the report submitted by the repair station to the part 121, 125, or 135 certificate holder on whose behalf the report was submitted. These sections will result in increased costs for the repair stations. However, these sections will also allow for cost savings by eliminating duplicate reports; repair stations will submit the report for input into the SDRS that is currently submitted by both repair stations and air carriers.

The elimination of the air carrier operator's duplicate report will not diminish safety. The SDR system is used to spot equipment malfunction trends and to get an overview of airplane mechanical malfunctions by fleet type; they are not intended to give an operational view of what is wrong with an operator's individual airplane. Based on the existing regulations, before an airplane can be put back into service, the air carrier will need to be aware of what was wrong and what corrective actions were taken. Alleviating the air carrier operator of the responsibility of submitting an SDR in this case does not lessen the information that the air carrier will have about their aircraft.

There were 2,600 SDR's from repair stations that were entered into the SDR database that were also submitted from air carriers in 1998. Each report will need to be sent from the repair station to the air carrier. Since repair stations may now do all of the reports, the FAA assumes in this analysis that half of this number of reports is the maximum number that will not have to be generated and processed in the SDR system under this section. The FAA assumes in this analysis that all reports are photostated and mailed. Over ten years, the costs of these reports will be $35,400 (present value, $24,800).

Total quantifiable costs, over ten years, sum to $2.49 million (present value, $1.75 million).

Sections 121.703(d), 125.409(d), and 135.415(d) may reduce the Principal Maintenance Inspector's (PMI) workload. Currently, all reports go from the certificate holder to the Flight Standards District Office (FSDO) where the PMI spends time reviewing the SDR before forwarding it to the SDRS in Oklahoma City. The rule will require certificate holders and operators to submit these reports directly to Oklahoma City, thus possibly reducing the PMI's workload. The certificate holder or operator will be required to make the SDR data available to the FSDO for examination. Hence, while the PMI can still remain informed, he or she may not have to spend as much time inspecting each report and will not have to forward the material. Over ten years, this cost savings will be $1.40 million (present value, $981,000).

Sections 121.703(e)(13), 121.704(d)(9), 125.409(e)(13), 125.410(d)(9), 135.415(e)(13), and 135.416(d)(9) will add a requirement that an SDR include a unique control number for each occurrence. These sections will yield cost savings which will come from both the reduction in the number of duplicate reports for the same occurrence in the SDR database and from the more simplified, methodical method for the FAA and industry to reference an SDR. Traditionally, when a supplemental report was submitted to the SDRS, it was entered as if it were a separate report, thus making it difficult to link it to the original report. Using a unique identification number for each occurrence will reduce the total number of reports within the SDRS. The potential cost savings will be based on the reduction in the amount of time spent to find and link these reports within the SDRS. Going on the assumption that no certificate holder currently is using unique control numbers, over ten years, the cost savings will be $140,500 (present value, $98,700). However, the actual cost savings will almost certainly be lower

because some certificate holders are already using a control number.

Sections 121.703(g), 125.409(g), and 135.415(g) will reduce dual reporting. When a repair station identifies a failure, malfunction, or defect, this information is currently being reported by both the repair station and the certificate holder or operator. Therefore, information about the same problem may be reported twice to the FAA. This revision is intended to eliminate these duplicate reports. The final rule will require that the part 121, 125, or 135 certificate holder or operator receive a copy of the report submitted by the repair station (these costs were covered above). Cost savings will accrue, for each repair, due to one less report needing to be processed. Over ten years, this cost reduction will be $173,200 (present value, $121,600).

Total cost savings over 10 years sum to $1.71 million (net present value, $1.20 million). The rule's net costs sum to $781,200 (present value, $548,600). Net cost savings could be change due to two factors:

- Net costs could be lower if the number of additional reports due to the new reporting requirements is less than the assumed 45% increase in total reports. Indeed, if this increase in reports were less than 32%, this rule will yield net cost savings; and
- Net costs could be higher because the cost savings from using a unique control number almost certainly will be less than the amount discussed above (but the FAA does not have the data to determine how much more it will be).

Analysis of Benefits

The use of a unique control number will help reduce the possibility of duplicate SDR reports being entered into the SDR database. In addition, the additional time from discovery for submitting reports should reduce the number of supplemental reports filed. A more efficient system will preserve and improve the integrity of the database and allow for better and more complete analysis by the FAA and other users of the data. Additional specific benefits of these rule changes include standardizing reporting requirements for air carriers, which allows for more consistent data.

The regulations will enhance air carrier safety by collecting specific data that identify mechanical failures, malfunctions, and defects that may be a hazard to the operation of an aircraft. The information collected can be used to develop and implement corrective actions to help prevent future occurrences of these failures, malfunctions, and defects.

As noted above, the SDR system is used to identify trends and to provide an overview of product service data. Identifying these trends can help to catch problems early, which would allow Airworthiness Directives to be based on better information. In addition, an SDR will give an operator the ability to use trend information (and knowledge of potential problems) to better plan its maintenance scheduling, a major benefit for airplane operators. The FAA believes that because of the improved SDR information resulting from these regulations, additional information and equipment malfunction trends can be identified that will lead, over time, more timely corrective action by the FAA, and hence, to safer airplanes.

Comparison of Costs and Benefits

This rule will result in costs of $828,400 (net present value, $581,800). Duplicate reports, as well as duplicate entries in the SDRS, will be reduced. In addition, the regulations will enhance air carrier safety by collecting additional and more timely data that identify mechanical failures, malfunctions, and defects that may be a hazard to the operation of an aircraft. This data can be used to identify trends, which could help to catch problems early and to better plan maintenance scheduling. All of this could lead, over time, to safer airplanes.

The FAA believes that these benefits exceed the rule's net costs, and hence, finds this rule to be cost beneficial.

Regulatory Flexibility Determination

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, directs the FAA to fit regulatory requirements to the scale of the business, organizations, and governmental jurisdictions subject to the regulation. We are required to determine whether a proposed or final action will have a ''significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities'' defined in the Act. If we find that the action will have a significant impact, we must do a ''regulatory flexibility analysis.''

For this rule, the small entity group is considered to be part 121, 125, and 135 air carriers (Standard Industrial Classification Code [SIC] 4512) and part 145 repair stations (SIC Code 4581, 7622, 7629, and 7699). The FAA has identified a total of 98 part 121 air carriers, 2,118 part 125 and part 135 air carriers, and 2,790 part 145 repair stations that would be considered small entities.

These regulations will cost all small air carriers $2.08 million (present value, $1.46 million) and repair stations $99,200 (present value, $69,600) over the next ten years. On average, the economic impact is minimal; it will cost each individual certificated air carrier $67 per year and each repair station $2 per year for these changes. Therefore, we certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

International Trade Impact Statement

The Office of Management and Budget directs the FAA to assess whether or not a regulatory change would affect international trade. We determined that the provisions of this rule will have no impact on trade for U.S. firms doing business in foreign countries and foreign firms doing business in the United States.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. §§ 1532–1538) requires the FAA to assess the effects of Federal regulatory actions on state, local, and tribal governments, and on the private sector of rules that contain a Federal intergovernmental or private sector mandate that exceeds $100 million in any one year. This action does not contain such a mandate.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This final rule contains information collections that are subject to review by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13). The request for review and approval has been submitted to OMB. An opportunity for comment on the paperwork portion of this rule was not provided during the NPRM stage. Therefore, there is a 60-day comment period attached to this final rule. The title, description, respondents, and description of the annual burden are shown below.

Title: Service Difficulty Reports.

Description: Under current regulations, certificate holders operating under parts 121, 125, and 135 and part 145 certificated domestic and foreign repair stations are required to report service difficulties to the FAA. The objective of the revisions to the rule is to update and improve the reporting system to effectively collect and disseminate clear and concise safety information to the aviation industry. This will be done through a series of changes that include:

- Permitting part 121, 125, and 135 certificate holders to authorize a repair station to submit an SDR on their behalf;
- Eliminating dual reporting from both air carriers and repair stations;
- Reducing the Principal Maintenance Inspector's (PMI's) workload;

• Requiring that each SDR include a unique control number for an occurrence; and

• Adding some additional reporting requirements for part 121, 125, and 135 certificate holders on information that has not been collected before or had been collected through voluntary reporting.

Description of Respondents: This rule will constitute a recordkeeping burden for certificate holders operating under parts 121, 125, and 135, and part 145 certificated repair stations that currently must report service difficulties. The FAA notes that the current service difficulty reporting requirements were approved under OMB assigned Control Numbers 2120–0008, 2120–0085, 2120–0003, and 2120–0039.

The FAA expects that this rule will affect 156 part 121 certificated air carriers, 2,940 part 125 and 135 certificated air carriers, and 4,599 part 145 certificated repair stations. The final rule, while imposing additional reporting and recordkeeping requirements on those operators, will have the following impacts on these businesses:

• Allowing a repair station to file an SDR on behalf of a certificate holder operating under part 121, 125, or 135 (saving 216 hours annually); and

• Requiring certificate holders to report certain additional service difficulties and include new information in the SDR (adding 6,225 hours annually for air carriers and 98 hours annually for repair stations).

Accordingly, the FAA estimates that this rule will increase the reporting and paperwork requirements for industry by 6,107 hours annually [calculation: 6,225 + 98 − 216 = 6,107 hours annually]. The total annual reporting burden costs sums to $168,800. These cost figures are based on estimates provided in the FAA's ''Regulatory Analysis.''

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Therefore, the FAA is soliciting comments to (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

When an OMB control number is assigned, notification of that number will be published in the **Federal Register**.

International Compatibility

In keeping with U.S. obligations under the Convention on International Civil Aviation, it is FAA policy to comply with International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Standards and Recommended Practices to the maximum extent practicable. The FAA has determined that there are some differences with ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

The FAA has analyzed this rule under the principles and criteria of Executive Order 13132, Federalism. We have determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, we have determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications.

Environmental Analysis

FAA Order 1050.1D defines FAA actions that may be categorically excluded from preparation of a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental impact statement. In accordance with FAA Order 1050.1D, appendix 4, paragraph 4(j), this rulemaking action qualifies for a categorical exclusion.

Energy Impact

The energy impact of this final rule has been assessed in accordance with the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA), P.L. 94–163, as amended (43 U.S.C. 6362) and FAA Order 1053.1. It has been determined that the final rule is not a major regulatory action under the provisions of the EPCA.

List of Subjects

14 CFR Part 121

Air carriers, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Safety, Transportation.

14 CFR Part 125

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

14 CFR Part 135

Air taxis, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

14 CFR Part 145

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR parts 121, 125, 135, and 145 as follows:

PART 121—OPERATING REQUIREMENTS: DOMESTIC, FLAG, AND SUPPLEMENTAL OPERATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 121 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 40119, 44101, 44701–44702, 44705, 44709–44711, 44713, 44716–44717, 44722, 44901, 44903–44904, 44912, 46105.

2. Amend § 121.703 by revising the section heading and paragraphs (a), (c), (d), (e), and (f); redesignating paragraphs (g) and (h) as paragraphs (h) and (i) respectively; revising newly redesignated paragraph (i); and by adding a new paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 121.703 Service difficulty reports (operational).

(a) Each certificate holder shall report the occurrence or detection of each failure, malfunction, or defect concerning—

(1) Any fire and, when monitored by a related fire-warning system, whether the fire-warning system functioned properly;

(2) Any false warning of fire or smoke;

(3) An engine exhaust system that causes damage to the engine, adjacent structure, equipment, or components;

(4) An aircraft component that causes the accumulation or circulation of smoke, vapor, or toxic or noxious fumes;

(5) Any engine flameout or shutdown during flight or ground operations;

(6) A propeller feathering system or ability of the system to control overspeed;

(7) A fuel or fuel-dumping system that affects fuel flow or causes hazardous leakage;

(8) A landing gear extension or retraction, or the opening or closing of landing gear doors during flight;

(9) Any brake system component that results in any detectable loss of brake actuating force when the aircraft is in motion on the ground;

(10) Any aircraft component or system that results in a rejected takeoff after initiation of the takeoff roll or the taking

of emergency actions, as defined by the Aircraft Flight Manual or Pilot's Operating Handbook;

(11) Any emergency evacuation system or component including any exit door, passenger emergency evacuation lighting system, or evacuation equipment found to be defective or that fails to perform the intended function during an actual emergency or during training, testing, maintenance, demonstrations, or inadvertent deployments; and

(12) Autothrottle, autoflight, or flight control systems or components of these systems.

* * * * *

(c) In addition to the reports required by paragraph (a) of this section, each certificate holder shall report any other failure, malfunction, or defect in an aircraft, system, component, or powerplant that occurs or is detected at any time if that failure, malfunction, or defect has endangered or may endanger the safe operation of an aircraft.

(d) Each certificate holder shall submit each report required by this section, covering each 24-hour period beginning at 0900 local time of each day and ending at 0900 local time on the next day, to a centralized collection point as specified by the Administrator. Each report of occurrences during a 24-hour period shall be submitted to the FAA within the next 96 hours. However, a report due on Saturday or Sunday may be submitted on the following Monday, and a report due on a holiday may be submitted on the next workday. Each certificate holder also shall make the report data available for 30 days for examination by the certificate-holding district office in a form and manner acceptable to the Administrator.

(e) The certificate holder shall submit the reports required by this section on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator. The reports shall include the following information:

(1) The manufacturer, model, and serial number of the aircraft, engine, or propeller;

(2) The registration number of the aircraft;

(3) The operator designator;

(4) The date on which the failure, malfunction, or defect was discovered;

(5) The stage of flight or ground operation during which the failure, malfunction, or defect was discovered;

(6) The nature of the failure, malfunction, or defect;

(7) The applicable Joint Aircraft System/Component Code;

(8) The total cycles, if applicable, and total time of the aircraft, aircraft engine, propeller, or component;

(9) The manufacturer, manufacturer part number, part name, serial number, and location of the component that failed, malfunctioned, or was defective, if applicable;

(10) The manufacturer, manufacturer part number, part name, serial number, and location of the part that failed, malfunctioned, or was defective, if applicable;

(11) The precautionary or emergency action taken;

(12) Other information necessary for a more complete analysis of the cause of the failure, malfunction, or defect, including available information pertaining to type designation of the major component and the time since the last maintenance overhaul, repair, or inspection; and

(13) A unique control number for the occurrence, in a form acceptable to the Administrator.

(f) A certificate holder that also is the holder of a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order authorization, or that is a licensee of a Type Certificate holder, need not report a failure, malfunction, or defect under this section if the failure, malfunction, or defect has been reported by that certificate holder under § 21.3 of this chapter or under the accident reporting provisions of 49 CFR part 830.

(g) A report required by this section may be submitted by a certificated repair station when the reporting task has been assigned to that repair station by a part 121 certificate holder. However, the part 121 certificate holder remains primarily responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of this section. The part 121 certificate holder shall receive a copy of each report submitted by the repair station.

(h) No person may withhold a report required by this section although all information required by this section is not available.

(i) When a certificate holder gets supplemental information to complete the report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report and use the unique control number from the original report.

3. Add § 121.704 to read as follows:

§ 121.704 Service difficulty reports (structural).

(a) Each certificate holder shall report the occurrence or detection of each failure or defect related to—

(1) Corrosion, cracks, or disbonding that requires replacement of the affected part;

(2) Corrosion, cracks, or disbonding that requires rework or blendout because the corrosion, cracks, or disbonding exceeds the manufacturer's established allowable damage limits;

(3) Cracks, fractures, or disbonding in a composite structure that the equipment manufacturer has designated as a primary structure or a principal structural element; or

(4) Repairs made in accordance with approved data not contained in the manufacturer's maintenance manual.

(b) In addition to the reports required by paragraph (a) of this section, each certificate holder shall report any other failure or defect in aircraft structure that occurs or is detected at any time if that failure or defect has endangered or may endanger the safe operation of an aircraft.

(c) Each certificate holder shall submit each report required by this section, covering each 24-hour period beginning at 0900 local time of each day and ending at 0900 local time on the next day, to a centralized collection point as specified by the Administrator. Each report of occurrences during a 24-hour period shall be submitted to the FAA within the next 96 hours. However, a report due on Saturday or Sunday may be submitted on the following Monday, and a report due on a holiday may be submitted on the next workday. Each certificate holder also shall make the report data available for 30 days for examination by the certificate-holding district office in a form and manner acceptable to the Administrator.

(d) The certificate holder shall submit the reports required by this section on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator. The reports shall include the following information:

(1) The manufacturer, model, serial number, and registration number of the aircraft;

(2) The operator designator;

(3) The date on which the failure or defect was discovered;

(4) The stage of ground operation during which the failure or defect was discovered;

(5) The part name, part condition, and location of the failure or defect;

(6) The applicable Joint Aircraft System/Component Code;

(7) The total cycles, if applicable, and total time of the aircraft;

(8) Other information necessary for a more complete analysis of the cause of the failure or defect, including corrosion classification, if applicable, or crack length and available information pertaining to type designation of the major component and the time since the

last maintenance overhaul, repair, or inspection; and

(9) A unique control number for the occurrence, in a form acceptable to the Administrator.

(e) A certificate holder that also is the holder of a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order authorization, or that is a licensee of a Type Certificate holder, need not report a failure or defect under this section if the failure or defect has been reported by that certificate holder under § 21.3 of this chapter or under the accident reporting provisions of 49 CFR part 830.

(f) A report required by this section may be submitted by a certificated repair station when the reporting task has been assigned to that repair station by the part 121 certificate holder. However, the part 121 certificate holder remains primarily responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of this section. The part 121 certificate holder shall receive a copy of each report submitted by the repair station.

(g) No person may withhold a report required by this section although all information required by this section is not available.

(h) When a certificate holder gets supplemental information to complete the report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report and use the unique control number from the original report.

4. Revise § 121.705 to read as follows:

§ 121.705 Mechanical interruption summary report.

Each certificate holder shall submit to the Administrator, before the end of the 10th day of the following month, a summary report for the previous month of each interruption to a flight, unscheduled change of aircraft en route, unscheduled stop or diversion from a route, or unscheduled engine removal caused by known or suspected mechanical difficulties or malfunctions that are not required to be reported under § 121.703 or § 121.704 of this part.

PART 125—CERTIFICATION AND OPERATIONS: AIRPLANES HAVING A SEATING CAPACITY OF 20 OR MORE PASSENGERS OR A MAXIMUM PAYLOAD CAPACITY OF 6,000 POUNDS OR MORE; AND RULES GOVERNING PERSONS ON BOARD SUCH AIRCRAFT

5. The authority citation for part 125 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701–44702, 44705, 44710–44711, 44713, 44716–44717, 44722.

6. Revise § 125.409 to read as follows:

§ 125.409 Service difficulty reports (operational).

(a) Each certificate holder shall report the occurrence or detection of each failure, malfunction, or defect concerning—

(1) Any fire and, when monitored by a related fire-warning system, whether the fire-warning system functioned properly;

(2) Any false warning of fire or smoke;

(3) An engine exhaust system that causes damage to the engine, adjacent structure, equipment, or components;

(4) An aircraft component that causes the accumulation or circulation of smoke, vapor, or toxic or noxious fumes;

(5) Any engine flameout or shutdown during flight or ground operations;

(6) A propeller feathering system or ability of the system to control overspeed;

(7) A fuel or fuel-dumping system that affects fuel flow or causes hazardous leakage;

(8) A landing gear extension or retraction, or the opening or closing of landing gear doors during flight;

(9) Any brake system component that results in any detectable loss of brake actuating force when the aircraft is in motion on the ground;

(10) Any aircraft component or system that results in a rejected takeoff after initiation of the takeoff roll or the taking of emergency actions, as defined by the Aircraft Flight Manual or Pilot's Operating Handbook;

(11) Any emergency evacuation system or component including any exit door, passenger emergency evacuation lighting system, or evacuation equipment found to be defective or that fails to perform the intended function during an actual emergency or during training, testing, maintenance, demonstrations, or inadvertent deployments; and

(12) Autothrottle, autoflight, or flight control systems or components of these systems.

(b) For the purposes of this section, ''during flight'' means the period from the moment the aircraft leaves the surface of the earth on takeoff until it touches down on landing.

(c) In addition to the reports required by paragraph (a) of this section, each certificate holder shall report any other failure, malfunction, or defect in an aircraft, system, component, or powerplant that occurs or is detected at any time if that failure, malfunction, or defect has endangered or may endanger the safe operation of an aircraft.

(d) Each certificate holder shall submit each report required by this section, covering each 24-hour period beginning at 0900 local time of each day and ending at 0900 local time on the next day, to a centralized collection point as specified by the Administrator. Each report of occurrences during a 24-hour period shall be submitted to the FAA within the next 96 hours. However, a report due on Saturday or Sunday may be submitted on the following Monday, and a report due on a holiday may be submitted on the next workday. For aircraft operating in areas where mail is not collected, reports may be submitted within 24 hours after the aircraft returns to a point where the mail is collected. Each certificate holder also shall make the report data available for 30 days for examination by the certificate-holding district office in a form and manner acceptable to the Administrator.

(e) The certificate holder shall submit the reports required by this section on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator. The reports shall include the following information:

(1) The manufacturer, model, and serial number of the aircraft, engine, or propeller;

(2) The registration number of the aircraft;

(3) The operator designator;

(4) The date on which the failure, malfunction, or defect was discovered;

(5) The stage of flight or ground operation during which the failure, malfunction, or defect was discovered;

(6) The nature of the failure, malfunction, or defect;

(7) The applicable Joint Aircraft System/Component Code;

(8) The total cycles, if applicable, and total time of the aircraft, aircraft engine, propeller, or component;

(9) The manufacturer, manufacturer part number, part name, serial number, and location of the component that failed, malfunctioned, or was defective, if applicable;

(10) The manufacturer, manufacturer part number, part name, serial number, and location of the part that failed, malfunctioned, or was defective, if applicable;

(11) The precautionary or emergency action taken;

(12) Other information necessary for a more complete analysis of the cause of the failure, malfunction, or defect, including available information pertaining to type designation of the major component and the time since the last maintenance overhaul, repair, or inspection; and

(13) A unique control number for the occurrence, in a form acceptable to the Administrator.

(f) A certificate holder that also is the holder of a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order authorization, or that is a licensee of a Type Certificate holder, need not report a failure, malfunction, or defect under this section if the failure, malfunction, or defect has been reported by that certificate holder under § 21.3 of this chapter or under the accident reporting provisions of 49 CFR part 830.

(g) A report required by this section may be submitted by a certificated repair station when the reporting task has been assigned to that repair station by a part 125 certificate holder. However, the part 125 certificate holder remains primarily responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of this section. The part 125 certificate holder shall receive a copy of each report submitted by the repair station.

(h) No person may withhold a report required by this section although all information required by this section is not available.

(i) When a certificate holder gets supplemental information to complete the report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report and use the unique control number from the original report.

7. Add § 125.410 to read as follows:

§ 125.410 Service difficulty reports (structural).

(a) Each certificate holder shall report the occurrence or detection of each failure or defect related to—

(1) Corrosion, cracks, or disbonding that requires replacement of the affected part;

(2) Corrosion, cracks, or disbonding that requires rework or blendout because the corrosion, cracks, or disbonding exceeds the manufacturer's established allowable damage limits;

(3) Cracks, fractures, or disbonding in a composite structure that the equipment manufacturer has designated as a primary structure or a principal structural element; or

(4) Repairs made in accordance with approved data not contained in the manufacturer's maintenance manual.

(b) In addition to the reports required by paragraph (a) of this section, each certificate holder shall report any other failure or defect in aircraft structure that occurs or is detected at any time if that failure or defect has endangered or may endanger the safe operation of an aircraft.

(c) Each certificate holder shall submit each report required by this section, covering each 24-hour period beginning at 0900 local time of each day and ending at 0900 local time on the next day, to a centralized collection point as specified by the Administrator. Each report of occurrences during a 24-hour period shall be submitted to the FAA within the next 96 hours. However, a report due on Saturday or Sunday may be submitted on the following Monday, and a report due on a holiday may be submitted on the next workday. For aircraft operating in areas where mail is not collected, reports may be submitted within 24 hours after the aircraft returns to a point where the mail is collected. Each certificate holder also shall make the report data available for 30 days for examination by the certificate-holding district office in a form and manner acceptable to the Administrator.

(d) The certificate holder shall submit the reports required by this section on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator. The reports shall include the following information:

(1) The manufacturer, model, serial number, and registration number of the aircraft;

(2) The operator designator;

(3) The date on which the failure or defect was discovered;

(4) The stage of ground operation during which the failure or defect was discovered;

(5) The part name, part condition, and location of the failure or defect;

(6) The applicable Joint Aircraft System/Component Code;

(7) The total cycles, if applicable, and total time of the aircraft;

(8) Other information necessary for a more complete analysis of the cause of the failure or defect, including corrosion classification, if applicable, or crack length and available information pertaining to type designation of the major component and the time since the last maintenance overhaul, repair, or inspection; and

(9) A unique control number for the occurrence, in a form acceptable to the Administrator.

(e) A certificate holder that also is the holder of a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order authorization, or that is a licensee of a Type Certificate holder, need not report a failure or defect under this section if the failure or defect has been reported by that certificate holder under § 21.3 of this chapter or under the accident reporting provisions of 49 CFR part 830.

(f) A report required by this section may be submitted by a certificated repair station when the reporting task has been assigned to that repair station by the part 125 certificate holder. However, the part 125 certificate holder remains primarily responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of this section. The part 125 certificate holder shall receive a copy of each report submitted by the repair station.

(g) No person may withhold a report required by this section although all information required by this section is not available.

(h) When a certificate holder gets supplemental information to complete the report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report and use the unique control number from the original report.

PART 135—OPERATING REQUIREMENTS: COMMUTER AND ON-DEMAND OPERATIONS AND RULES GOVERNING PERSONS ON BOARD SUCH AIRCRAFT

8. The authority citation for part 135 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701–44702, 44705, 44709, 44711–44713, 44715–44717, 44722.

9. Amend § 135.415 by revising the section heading and paragraphs (a), (c), (d), (e), and (f); redesignating paragraphs (g) and (h) as paragraphs (h) and (i) respectively; revising newly redesignated paragraph (i); and by adding a new paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 135.415 Service difficulty reports (operational).

(a) Each certificate holder shall report the occurrence or detection of each failure, malfunction, or defect concerning—

(1) Any fire and, when monitored by a related fire-warning system, whether the fire-warning system functioned properly;

(2) Any false warning of fire or smoke;

(3) An engine exhaust system that causes damage to the engine, adjacent structure, equipment, or components;

(4) An aircraft component that causes the accumulation or circulation of smoke, vapor, or toxic or noxious fumes;

(5) Any engine flameout or shutdown during flight or ground operations;

(6) A propeller feathering system or ability of the system to control overspeed;

(7) A fuel or fuel-dumping system that affects fuel flow or causes hazardous leakage;

(8) A landing gear extension or retraction, or the opening or closing of landing gear doors during flight;

(9) Any brake system component that results in any detectable loss of brake actuating force when the aircraft is in motion on the ground;

(10) Any aircraft component or system that results in a rejected takeoff after initiation of the takeoff roll or the taking of emergency action, as defined by the Aircraft Flight Manual or Pilot's Operating Handbook;

(11) Any emergency evacuation system or component including any exit door, passenger emergency evacuation lighting system, or evacuation equipment found to be defective, or that fails to perform the intended function during an actual emergency or during training, testing, maintenance, demonstrations, or inadvertent deployments; and

(12) Autothrottle, autoflight, or flight control systems or components of these systems.

* * * * *

(c) In addition to the reports required by paragraph (a) of this section, each certificate holder shall report any other failure, malfunction, or defect in an aircraft, system, component, or powerplant that occurs or is detected at any time if that failure, malfunction, or defect has endangered or may endanger the safe operation of an aircraft.

(d) Each certificate holder shall submit each report required by this section, covering each 24-hour period beginning at 0900 local time of each day and ending at 0900 local time on the next day, to a centralized collection point as specified by the Administrator. Each report of occurrences during a 24-hour period shall be submitted to the FAA within the next 96 hours. However, a report due on Saturday or Sunday may be submitted on the following Monday, and a report due on a holiday may be submitted on the next workday. For aircraft operating in areas where mail is not collected, reports may be submitted within 24 hours after the aircraft returns to a point where the mail is collected. Each certificate holder also shall make the report data available for 30 days for examination by the certificate-holding district office in a form and manner acceptable to the Administrator.

(e) The certificate holder shall submit the reports required by this section on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator. The reports shall include the following information:

(1) The manufacturer, model, and serial number of the aircraft, engine, or propeller;

(2) The registration number of the aircraft;

(3) The operator designator;

(4) The date on which the failure, malfunction, or defect was discovered;

(5) The stage of flight or ground operation during which the failure, malfunction, or defect was discovered;

(6) The nature of the failure, malfunction, or defect;

(7) The applicable Joint Aircraft System/Component Code;

(8) The total cycles, if applicable, and total time of the aircraft, aircraft engine, propeller, or component;

(9) The manufacturer, manufacturer part number, part name, serial number, and location of the component that failed, malfunctioned, or was defective, if applicable;

(10) The manufacturer, manufacturer part number, part name, serial number, and location of the part that failed, malfunctioned, or was defective, if applicable;

(11) The precautionary or emergency action taken;

(12) Other information necessary for more complete analysis of the cause of the failure, malfunction, or defect, including available information pertaining to type designation of the major component and the time since the last maintenance overhaul, repair, or inspection; and

(13) A unique control number for the occurrence, in a form acceptable to the Administrator.

(f) A certificate holder that also is the holder of a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order authorization, or that is a licensee of a Type Certificate holder, need not report a failure, malfunction, or defect under this section if the failure, malfunction, or defect has been reported by that certificate holder under § 21.3 of this chapter or under the accident reporting provisions of 49 CFR part 830.

(g) A report required by this section may be submitted by a certificated repair station when the reporting task has been assigned to that repair station by a part 135 certificate holder. However, the part 135 certificate holder remains primarily responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of this section. The part 135 certificate holder shall receive a copy of each report submitted by the repair station.

(h) No person may withhold a report required by this section although all information required by this section is not available.

(i) When a certificate holder gets supplemental information to complete the report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report and use the unique control number from the original report.

10. Add § 135.416 to read as follows:

§ 135.416 Service difficulty reports (structural).

(a) Each certificate holder shall report the occurrence or detection of each failure or defect related to—

(1) Corrosion, cracks, or disbonding that requires replacement of the affected part;

(2) Corrosion, cracks, or disbonding that requires rework or blendout because the corrosion, cracks, or disbonding exceeds the manufacturer's established allowable damage limits;

(3) Cracks, fractures, or disbonding in a composite structure that the equipment manufacturer has designated as a primary structure or a principal structural element; or

(4) Repairs made in accordance with approved data not contained in the manufacturer's maintenance manual.

(b) In addition to the reports required by paragraph (a) of this section, each certificate holder shall report any other failure or defect in aircraft structure that occurs or is detected at any time if that failure or defect has endangered or may endanger the safe operation of an aircraft.

(c) Each certificate holder shall submit each report required by this section, covering each 24-hour period beginning at 0900 local time of each day and ending at 0900 local time on the next day, to a centralized collection point as specified by the Administrator. Each report of occurrences during a 24-hour period shall be submitted to the FAA within the next 96 hours. However, a report due on Saturday or Sunday may be submitted on the following Monday, and a report due on a holiday may be submitted on the next workday. For aircraft operating in areas where mail is not collected, reports may be submitted within 24 hours after the aircraft returns to a point where the mail is collected. Each certificate holder also shall make the report data available for 30 days for examination by the certificate-holding district office in a form and manner acceptable to the Administrator.

(d) The certificate holder shall submit the reports required by this section on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator. The reports shall include the following information:

(1) The manufacturer, model, serial number, and registration number of the aircraft;

(2) The operator designator;

(3) The date on which the failure or defect was discovered;

(4) The stage of ground operation during which the failure or defect was discovered;

(5) The part name, part condition, and location of the failure or defect;

(6) The applicable Joint Aircraft System/Component Code;

(7) The total cycles, if applicable, and total time of the aircraft;

(8) Other information necessary for a more complete analysis of the cause of the failure or defect, including corrosion classification, if applicable, or crack length and available information pertaining to type designation of the major component and the time since the last maintenance overhaul, repair, or inspection; and

(9) A unique control number for the occurrence, in a form acceptable to the Administrator.

(e) A certificate holder that also is the holder of a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order authorization, or that is a licensee of a Type Certificate holder, need not report a failure or defect under this section if the failure or defect has been reported by that certificate holder under § 21.3 of this chapter or under the accident reporting provisions of 49 CFR part 830.

(f) A report required by this section may be submitted by a certificated repair station when the reporting task has been assigned to that repair station by the part 135 certificate holder. However, the part 135 certificate holder remains primarily responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of this section. The part 135 certificate holder shall receive a copy of each report submitted by the repair station.

(g) No person may withhold a report required by this section although all information required by this section is not available.

(h) When a certificate holder gets supplemental information to complete the report required by this section, the certificate holder shall expeditiously submit that information as a supplement to the original report and use the unique control number from the original report.

11. Revise § 135.417 to read as follows:

§ 135.417 Mechanical interruption summary report.

Each certificate holder shall submit to the Administrator, before the end of the 10th day of the following month, a summary report for the previous month of each interruption to a flight, unscheduled change of aircraft en route, unscheduled stop or diversion from a route, or unscheduled engine removal caused by known or suspected mechanical difficulties or malfunctions that are not required to be reported under § 135.415 or § 135.416 of this part.

PART 145—REPAIR STATIONS

12. The authority citation for part 145 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701–44702, 44707, 44717.

13. Amend § 145.63 by revising paragraphs (a) and (c) and adding paragraphs (d) and (e) to read as follows:

§ 145.63 Reports of defects or unairworthy conditions.

(a) Each certificated domestic repair station shall, within 96 hours after it discovers any serious defect in, or other recurring unairworthy condition of, an aircraft, powerplant, or propeller, or any component of any of them, submit a report to a central collection point as specified by the Administrator. The report shall be made on a form or in another format acceptable to the Administrator, describing the defect or unairworthy condition completely without withholding any pertinent information.

* * * * *

(c) The holder of a domestic repair station certificate that also is the holder of a part 121, part 125, or part 135 certificate, a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order Authorization, or that is the licensee of a Type Certificate holder, need not report a failure, malfunction, or defect under this section if the failure, malfunction, or defect has been reported by it under § 21.3, § 121.703, § 121.704, § 125.409, § 125.410, § 135.415, or § 135.416 of this chapter.

(d) A certificated domestic repair station may submit a Service Difficulty Report (operational or structural) for—

(1) A part 121 certificate holder under § 121.703(g) or § 121.704(f) provided that the report meets the requirements of §§ 121.703(d) and 121.703(e), or §§ 121.704(c) and 121.704(d) of this chapter, as appropriate;

(2) A part 125 certificate holder under § 125.409(g) or § 125.410(f) provided that the report meets the requirements of §§ 125.409(d) and 125.409(e), or§§ 125.410(c) and 125.410(d) of this chapter, as appropriate;

(3) A part 135 certificate holder under § 135.415(g) or § 135.416(f) provided that the report meets the requirements of §§ 135.415(d) and 135.415(e), or §§ 135.416(c) and 135.416(d) of this chapter, as appropriate.

(e) A certificated domestic repair station authorized to report a failure, malfunction, or defect under paragraph (d) of this section shall not report the same failure, malfunction, or defect under paragraph (a) of this section. A copy of the report submitted under paragraph (d) of this section shall be forwarded to the certificate holder.

14. Amend § 145.79 by revising paragraphs (c) and (d) and adding paragraphs (e) and (f) to read as follows:

§ 145.79 Records and reports.

* * * * *

(c) Each certificated foreign repair station shall, within 96 hours after it discovers any serious defect in, or other recurring unairworthy condition of, any aircraft, powerplant, propeller, or any component of any of them, submit a report to a central collection point as specified by the Administrator. The report shall be made on a form or another format acceptable to the Administrator, describing the defect or unairworthy condition completely without withholding any pertinent information.

(d) The holder of a foreign repair station certificate that also is the holder of a Type Certificate (including a Supplemental Type Certificate), a Parts Manufacturer Approval, or a Technical Standard Order Authorization or that is the licensee of a Type Certificate holder need not report a failure, malfunction, or defect under this section if the failure, malfunction, or defect has been reported by it under § 21.3 of this chapter.

(e) A certificated foreign repair station may submit a Service Difficulty Report (operational or structural) for—

(1) A part 121 certificate holder under § 121.703(g) or § 121.704(f) provided that the report meets the requirements of §§ 121.703(d) and 121.703(e) or §§ 121.704(c) and 121.704(d) of this chapter, as appropriate;

(2) A part 125 certificate holder under § 125.409(g) or § 125.410(f) provided that the report meets the requirements of §§ 125.409(d) and 125.409(e) or §§ 125.410(c) and 125.410(d) of this chapter, as appropriate;

(3) A part 135 certificate holder under § 135.415(g) or § 135.416(f) provided that the report meets the requirements of §§ 135.415(d) and 135.415(e) or §§ 135.416(c) and 135.416(d) of this chapter, as appropriate.

(f) A certificated foreign repair station authorized to report a failure, malfunction, or defect under paragraph (e) of this section shall not report the same failure, malfunction, or defect under paragraph (c) of this section. A copy of the report submitted under paragraph (e) of this section shall be forwarded to the certificate holder.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 8, 2000.

Jane F. Garvey,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–23676 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 180

Friday, September 15, 2000

CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION

Federal Register/Code of Federal Regulations

General Information, indexes and other finding aids	**202–523–5227**
Laws	**523–5227**
Presidential Documents	
Executive orders and proclamations	**523–5227**
The United States Government Manual	**523–5227**
Other Services	
Electronic and on-line services (voice)	**523–4534**
Privacy Act Compilation	**523–3187**
Public Laws Update Service (numbers, dates, etc.)	**523–6641**
TTY for the deaf-and-hard-of-hearing	**523–5229**

ELECTRONIC RESEARCH

World Wide Web

Full text of the daily Federal Register, CFR and other publications:

http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara

Federal Register information and research tools, including Public Inspection List, indexes, and links to GPO Access:

http://www.nara.gov/fedreg

E-mail

PENS (Public Law Electronic Notification Service) is an E-mail service for notification of recently enacted Public Laws. To subscribe, send E-mail to

listserv@www.gsa.gov

with the text message:

subscribe PUBLAWS-L your name

Use listserv@www.gsa.gov only to subscribe or unsubscribe to PENS. We cannot respond to specific inquiries.

Reference questions. Send questions and comments about the Federal Register system to:

info@fedreg.nara.gov

The Federal Register staff cannot interpret specific documents or regulations.

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATE, SEPTEMBER

53157–53522 1
53523–53888 5
53889–54138 6
54139–54396 7
54397–54740 8
54741–54942 11
54943–55168 12
55169–55430 13
55431–55884 14
55885–56208 15

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING SEPTEMBER

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR

Administrative Orders:

Presidential Determinations:

Presidential Determination No. 99–36 of September 10, 1999 (see Presidential Determination No. 2000–29 of September 12, 2000) No. 00–29 of September 12, 2000 55883

Proclamations:

7336 53887
7337 54397

Executive Orders:

5327 (Revoked in part by PLO 7461).....54297

5 CFR

532 55431
2635 55076

Proposed Rules:

2635 53650
2640 53942

7 CFR

246 53523
301 .....53528, 54139, 54741, 54943, 55431
905 55885
920 54945
927 53531
929 55436
944 54945
1735 54399

Proposed Rules:

226 55102
932 54818
983 53652
1940 55784
1945 54973

8 CFR

204 53889
245 53889

9 CFR

318 53531
381 53531

Proposed Rules:

206 53653

10 CFR

1 54948
2 54948
19 54948
30 54948
40 54948
50 54948
51 54948
70 54948
72 53533

12 CFR

612 54742
614 54742
702 55439
709 55439
1710 55169

Proposed Rules:

741 55464

13 CFR

121 53533

14 CFR

23 55848
25 .....55443, 55848
33 55848
39 .....53157, 53158, 53161, 53897, 54140, 54143, 54145, 54403, 54407, 54409, 54743, 55175, 55449, 55450, 55452, 55453, 55457, 55891
71 .....53558, 54950, 54952, 54953, 55076
95 54744
97 55458
121 56192
125 56192
135 56192
145 56192

Proposed Rules:

39 .....53199, 53201, 53203, 53205, 53206, 54182, 54184, 54445, 54820, 54823, 54981, 55466, 55468, 55470
71 .....54824, 54825

15 CFR

738 55177
742 55177
746 55177
774 55177

16 CFR

305 .....53163, 53165
1000 53167

Proposed Rules:

313 54186
436 53946

17 CFR

146 53559
200 55180
240 53560

Proposed Rules:

30 53946
210 54189
240 54189

19 CFR

10 53565
12 53565
18 53565
24 53565
111 53565
113 53565
114 53565
125 53565
134 53565
145 53565
162 53565
171 53565
172 53565

20 CFR

404 54747
416 54747

21 CFR

101 54686
510 ....54147, 55460
520 53581
573 53167
558 ....53581, 53582, 53583, 54147, 54410, 54411, 55883

22 CFR

22 54148
40 54412
42 54412
203 54790

24 CFR

5 55134
401 53899
903 55134
982 55134

25 CFR

Proposed Rules:
103 53948
292 55471

26 CFR

1 ....53584, 53901
25 53587
602 53584

28 CFR

Proposed Rules:
16 53679

29 CFR

4022 55894
4044 55894

30 CFR

218 55187
917 53909
931 54791
Proposed Rules:
218 55476
256 55476
260 55476
943 54982

31 CFR

202 55427
203 55428
225 55429
344 55400
380 55426

32 CFR

311 53168
701 53171
736 53589
762 53171
765 53171
770 53591
Proposed Rules:
326 53902
651 54348

33 CFR

100 54150
117 ....54795, 54954
162 53593
165 ....54152, 54153, 54795, 54797
167 53911

34 CFR

Proposed Rules:
303 53808

36 CFR

51 54155
242 55190
1010 55896
Proposed Rules:
7 53208
293 54190
800 55928

37 CFR

1 54604
3 54604
5 54604
10 54604
Proposed Rules:
201 54984
256 54984
401 54826

38 CFR

8 54798
19 55461
21 55192

39 CFR

20 55462
Proposed Rules:
111 53212

40 CFR

9 55810
52 ....53172, 53180, 53181, 53595, 53599, 53602, 54413, 55193, 55196, 55201, 55910
62 53605
63 ....54419, 55810
80 ....53185, 54423
180 ....55911, 55921
261 54955
Proposed Rules:
50 54828
52 ....53214, 53680, 53962, 54820, 55205
62 53680
63 ....55332, 55489, 55491
80 ....53215, 54447
81 54828
141 55362
146 53218
148 55684
152 55929
174 55929
261 55684
268 55684
271 55684
300 54190
302 55684
372 53681

41 CFR

Ch. 301 53470
101-16 54965
102-5 54965

42 CFR

36 53914
36a 53914
447 55076
457 55076
Proposed Rules:
405 53963
410 55078
414 55078

43 CFR

Proposed Rules:
3600 55864
3610 55864
3620 55864

44 CFR

Ch. I 53914
65 53915
67 53917
295 53914
Proposed Rules:
67 53964

45 CFR

2543 53608

46 CFR

Proposed Rules:
401 55206

47 CFR

Ch. I 55923
1 ....53610, 54799
2 54155
11 ....53610, 54155
21 53610
24 53624
25 ....53610, 54155
51 54433
52 53189
64 54799
73 ....53610, 53638, 53639, 53640, 54176, 54804, 54805, 55924, 55925, 55926
74 ....53610, 54155
76 53610
78 54155
79 ....54176, 54805
90 53641
95 53190
100 53610
101 54155
Proposed Rules:
73 ....53690, 53973, 53974, 54192, 54832, 54833, 55930
90 55931

48 CFR

209 54988
1828 54439
1845 54813
1852 ....54439, 54813
Proposed Rules:
2 54940
13 54936
22 54104
25 54936
31 54940
35 54940
52 ....54104, 54936
204 54985
442 54986

49 CFR

192 54441
195 54441
Proposed Rules:
23 54454
26 54454
565 53219
571 55212
1244 54471

50 CFR

17 54177
20 ....53190, 53492, 53936
100 55190
300 54969
600 53646
622 55203
635 54970
648 ....53648, 53940, 55926
660 ....53646, 53648, 54178, 54817
679 ....53197, 53198, 54179, 54180, 54971
Proposed Rules:
17 ....53222, 53691, 53974, 54472, 54892
600 54833
622 54474
648 54987
660 ....53692, 54475, 55214, 55495

REMINDERS

The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to Federal Register users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance.

RULES GOING INTO EFFECT SEPTEMBER 15, 2000

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Agricultural Marketing Service

Cranberries grown in—
- Massachusetts et al.; published 9-14-00

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Fishery conservation and management:
- Northeastenrn United States fisheries—
  - Atlantic mackerel, squid and butterfish; published 9-15-00

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Pesticides; tolerances in food, animal feeds, and raw agricultural commodities:
- Difenoconazole; published 9-15-00
- Myclobutanil; published 9-15-00

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Common carrier services:
- Commercial mobile radio services—
  - Interstate interexchange marketplace; policy statement; published 9-15-00

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Food and Drug Administration

Animal drugs, feeds, and related products:
- Narasin and bacitracin zinc; published 9-15-00

Interstate quarantine:
- Communicable diseases control; apprehension and detention of persons with specific diseases; transfer of regulations; published 8-16-00

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Interstate quarantine:
- Communicable diseases control; apprehension and detention of persons with specific diseases; transfer of regulations; published 8-16-00

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Lead-based paint hazards in federally owned residential property and housing receiving Federal assistance; notification, evaluation, and reduction
- Correction; published 1-21-00

COMMENTS DUE NEXT WEEK

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Agricultural Marketing Service

Peanut promotion, research, and information order:
- National Peanut Board; membership; comments due by 9-20-00; published 8-21-00

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Interstate transportation of animals and animal products (quarantine):
- Land tortoises free of ticks carrying heartwater disease; comments due by 9-19-00; published 7-21-00

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

Americans with Disabilities Act; implementation:
- Accessibility guidelines—
  - Recreation facilities; draft final guidelines summary availability and meetings; comments due by 9-19-00; published 7-21-00

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Endangered and threatened species:
- Sea turtle conservation; shrimp trawling requirements—
  - Galveston Bay, TX; inshore waters; limited tow times use as alternative to turtle excluder devices; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-29-00

Fishery conservation and management:
- Atlantic highly migratory species—
  - Atlantic blue marlin, billfish, and swordfish; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-9-00
- West Coast States and Western Pacific fisheries—
  - Pacific Coast groundfish; comments due by 9-22-00; published 9-7-00

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Foreign futures and options transactions:
- Secured amount requirement; interpretation; comments due by 9-21-00; published 9-6-00

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

Acquisition regulations:
- Profit policy changes; comments due by 9-22-00; published 7-24-00

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Postsecondary education:
- Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan, and William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Programs; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-2-00
- Student assistance general provisions and Federal Family Education Loan, William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan, and Federal Pell Grant Programs; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-2-00

Special education and rehabilitative services:
- Special Demonstration Programs; comments due by 9-21-00; published 6-23-00

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Air pollutants, hazardous; national emission standards:
- Metal coil coating facilities; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-18-00
- Mobile source air toxics controls; comments due by 9-20-00; published 8-4-00

Air quality implementation plans; approval and promulgation; various States:
- Massachusetts; comments due by 9-20-00; published 8-21-00

Hazardous waste:
- Identification and listing—
  - Exclusions; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-8-00
  - Fossil fuels combustion wastes; regulatory determination; comments due by 9-19-00; published 5-22-00
- Land disposal restrictions—
  - Miscellaneous changes; comments due by 9-18-00; published 6-19-00

Pesticides; tolerances in food, animal feeds, and raw agricultural commodities:
- Azoxystrobin, etc.; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-19-00
- Butyl acrylate-vinyl acetate-acrylic acid copolymer; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-19-00
- Humic acid, sodium salt; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-18-00
- Pendimethalin; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-19-00
- Tebuconazole; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-18-00

Superfund program:
- National oil and hazardous substances contingency plan—
  - National priorities list update; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-17-00
  - National priorities list update; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-17-00

Water supply:
- National primary drinking water regulations—
  - Arsenic; maximum contaminant level; comments due by 9-20-00; published 6-22-00

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Digital television stations; table of assignments:
- Alabama; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-31-00

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Acquisition regulations:
- Energy-efficient office equipment and supplies containing recovered materials or other environmental attributes; identification; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-18-00

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Food and Drug Administration

Human drugs:

Labeling of drug products (OTC)—
Standardized format; compliance dates, partial extension; comments due by 9-18-00; published 6-20-00

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT
Land Management Bureau
Minerals management:
Leasing of solid minerals other than coal and oil shale; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-18-00

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT
Fish and Wildlife Service
Endangered and threatened species:
Critial habitat designations—
Spalding's catchfly; comments due by 9-22-00; published 9-8-00
Critical habitat designations—
Mexican spotted owl; comments due by 9-19-00; published 7-21-00
Zapata bladderpod; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-19-00

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT
Minerals Management Service
Outer Continental Shelf; oil, gas, and sulphur operations:
Restructuring oil and gas drilling requirements, and conversion of rule into plain language; comments due by 9-19-00; published 6-21-00

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT
Immigration and Naturalization Service
Nonimmigrant classes:
Temporary agricultural worker (H-2A) petitions; processing procedures; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-17-00

LABOR DEPARTMENT
Employment and Training Administration
Aliens:
Nonimmigrant agricultural workers; temporary employment; labor certification and petition process; fee structure modification; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-17-00
Temporary employment in U.S.—
Attestations by facilities employing H-1C nonimmigrant aliens as registered nurses; comments due by 9-21-00; published 8-22-00

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Reports and guidance documents; availability, etc.:
Operator license eligibility and use of simulation facilities in operator licensing; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-3-00

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION
Single-employer plans:
Allocation of assets—
Title IV aspects of cash balance plans with variable indices; comments due by 9-22-00; published 7-6-00

PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT OFFICE
Health benefits, Federal employees:
Health insurance premiums; pre-tax allotment; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-19-00
Health benefits; Federal employees:
Health insurance; pre-tax premium conversion; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-19-00
Prevailing rate systems; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-17-00

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Securities:
Firm quote and trade-through disclosure rules for options; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-4-00
Order routing and execution practices; disclosure; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-8-00

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
Computer reservation systems, carrier-owned
Internet use for airline distribution; comments due by 9-22-00; published 7-24-00

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
Federal Aviation Administration
Air carrier certification and operations:
Airports serving scheduled air carrier operations in aircraft with 10-30 seats; certification requirements; comments due by 9-19-00; published 6-21-00
Emergency medical equipment; comments due by 9-21-00; published 5-24-00
Hawaii; air tour operators; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-23-00
Airworthiness directives:
Aerospatiale; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-23-00
Airbus; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-23-00
Bell; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-20-00
Bombardier; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-23-00
Cessna; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-8-00
Dowty Aerospace Propellers; comments due by 9-20-00; published 8-21-00
Eurocopter France; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-20-00
Fairchild; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-3-00
General Electric Co.; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-20-00
Learjet; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-8-00
Pilatus Aircraft Ltd.; comments due by 9-22-00; published 8-18-00
Raytheon; comments due by 9-18-00; published 8-16-00
Saab; comments due by 9-20-00; published 8-21-00
Class E airspace; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-25-00

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
Federal Highway Administration
Payment procedures:
Engineering and design related service contracts; administration; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-18-00

VETERANS AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT
National and State cemeteries; interment or memorialization prohibition due to commission of capital crimes; comments due by 9-19-00; published 7-21-00
Servicemembers' and veterans' group life insurance:
Accelerated benefits option; comments due by 9-18-00; published 7-20-00

LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

This is a continuing list of public bills from the current session of Congress which have become Federal laws. It may be used in conjunction with "P L U S" (Public Laws Update Service) on 202–523–6641. This list is also available online at http://www.nara.gov/fedreg.

The text of laws is not published in the **Federal Register** but may be ordered in "slip law" (individual pamphlet) form from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 (phone, 202–512–1808). The text will also be made available on the Internet from GPO Access at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html. Some laws may not yet be available.

H.R. 3519/P.L. 106–264

Global AIDS and Tuberculosis Relief Act of 2000 (Aug. 19, 2000; 114 Stat. 748)

Last List August 22, 2000

Public Laws Electronic Notification Service (PENS)

PENS is a free electronic mail notification service of newly enacted public laws. To subscribe, go to www.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html or send E-mail to **listserv@www.gsa.gov** with the following text message:

SUBSCRIBE PUBLAWS-L Your Name.

Note: This service is strictly for E-mail notification of new laws. The text of laws is not available through this service. **PENS** cannot respond to specific inquiries sent to this address.