bandage facility of Aso Corporation located in Sarasota County, Florida, (FTZ Docket 24–98, filed 5–05–98);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 26776, 5/14/98 and 65 FR 49536, 8/14/00); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations would be satisfied, and that approval of the application would be in the public interest if approval were subject to a time limit;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the adhesive bandage facility of Aso Corporation, located in Sarasota County, Florida, (Subzone 169A), at the location described in the application, for an initial period of four years (of activation), subject to extension upon review, and subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of September 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–25085 Filed 9–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 55–2000]

Proposed Foreign-Trade Zone—Edinburg, Texas; Application and Public Hearing

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the City of Edinburg, Texas, to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone in Edinburg, Texas, adjacent to the Hidalgo/Pharr Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the FTZ Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on September 22, 2000. The applicant is authorized to make the proposal under Senate Bill 691 of the 70th Legislature of the State of Texas (Regular Session, 1987), codified as Tex Rev. Civ. Stat. Ann. Art. 1446.01.

The proposed zone would be the second general-purpose zone in the Hidalgo/Pharr Customs port of entry area. The existing zone is FTZ 12 in McAllen, Texas (Grantee: McAllen Economic Development Corporation, Board Order 84, 35 FR 16962, 11/3/70).

The proposed new zone would involve a site (552 acres) located at the Edinburg International Airport complex, 400 East Hargill Road, 11 miles north of the City of Edinburg. The site is about 25 miles north of the Pharr/Reynosa International Bridge, one of the two bridges connecting the U.S. to Reynosa, Mexico. The applicant owns the site.

The application indicates a need for foreign-trade zone services in the Edinburg area. Several firms have indicated an interest in using zone procedures for warehousing/distribution of such items as precision instruments, apparel, electronics and medical supplies. Specific manufacturing approvals are not being sought at this time. Requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

As part of the investigation, the Commerce examiner will hold a public hearing on November 1, 2000, at 9 a.m., University of Texas—Pan American Campus, International Trade and Technology Building, corner of Dr. Miguel Nevarez and 107, Room 1.102, Edinburg, Texas 78539.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is November 28, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to December 13, 2000).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at the following locations:

The University of Texas—Pan American Campus, International Trade and Technology Building, Room 1.102, Corner of Dr. Miguel Nevarez and 107, Edinburg, Texas 78539,

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: September 22, 2000.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–25084 Filed 9–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–588–826]

Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand; Preliminary Results of Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of full sunset review: Canned pineapple fruit from Thailand.

SUMMARY: On June 5, 2000, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit (''CPF'') from Thailand (65 FR 35604) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate filed on behalf of domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct a full review. As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Preliminary Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathryn B. McCormick or James Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1930 or (202) 482–3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statute and Regulations

This review is being conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in *Procedures for Conducting Five-year (''Sunset'') Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders,* 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) (*''Sunset Regulations''*) and in 19 CFR part 351 (2000) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (''Sunset'') Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin,* 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (*''Sunset Policy Bulletin''*).

Background

On June 5, 2000, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand (65 FR 35604), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. The Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of Maui Pineapple Co., Ltd. (''Maui'') and the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union (the ''Union'') (collectively, ''domestic interested parties''), within the applicable deadline (June 16, 1999) specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. Domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as U.S. producers of a domestic like product.

On July 5, 2000, we received substantive responses on behalf of domestic interested parties and Dole. Dole is an interested party pursuant to section 771(9)(A) of the Act as a foreign producer and exporter of subject merchandise. Domestic interested parties claim that they have participated in every segment of this proceeding, including the original investigation and the four administrative reviews initiated to date, pursuant to section 751(a) of the Act (*see* July 5, 2000, Substantive Response of domestic interested parties at 3).

On July 10, 2000, we received rebuttal comments on behalf of domestic interested parties in response to Dole's substantive response. On July 14 and July 27, 2000, we accepted additional comments.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is CPF from Thailand. CPF is defined as pineapple processed and/or prepared into various product forms, including rings, pieces, chunks, tidbits, and crushed pineapple, that is packed and cooked in metal cans with either pineapple juice or sugar syrup added. CPF is currently classifiable under subheadings 2008.20.0010 and 2008.20.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (''HTSUS''). HTSUS 2008.20.0010 covers CPF packed in a sugar-based syrup; HTSUS 2008.20.0090 covers CPF packed without added sugar (*i.e.*, juice-packed). Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the ''Issues and Decision Memorandum'' (''Decision Memo'') from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 23, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the attached Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B–099, of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn, under the heading ''Thailand.'' The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/exporters	Margin (percent)
Dole	1.73
TIPCO	38.68
SAICO	51.16
Malee	41.74
All Others	24.64

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held on November 15, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than November 8, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than November 13, 2000. The Department will issue a notice of final results of this sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such briefs, no later than January 27, 2001.

This five-year (''sunset'') review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 25, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–25082 Filed 9–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–423–602]

Industrial Phosphoric Acid From Belgium: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 26, 2000, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium. *See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Industrial Phosphoric Acid From Belgium,* 65 FR 39355 (June 26, 2000) (''*Preliminary Results*''). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States, Societe Chimique Prayon-Rupel S.A. (''Prayon''). The period of review is August 1, 1998, through July 31, 1999. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results* of review but received no comments. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the *Preliminary Results* of review, in which we found the dumping margin for Prayon to be 0.60 percent.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Thomson or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office IV, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4793, and 482–5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act (''URAA''). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).