(i.e., the facilities' identity will be unknown to the Agency and the facilities will participate voluntarily). The results of the survey will provide OECA with information on compliance assistance topics applicable to this sector and information from which to measure the success of OECA's compliance assistance programs for Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) reporting purposes.

OECA is evaluating the need for compliance assistance for two additional sectors: construction sites and salvage yards. OECA identified these sectors based on anecdotal information from states and EPA regions; however, sufficient data are not available in EPA's databases to evaluate the current state of compliance in these sectors. Therefore, OECA is interested in determining:

- The level of regulatory awareness in each sector;
- Areas of noncompliance and root causes of noncompliance; and
- The need for compliance assistance tools for each sector and the tools that would be most accessible and useful.

OECA is soliciting comment whether to conduct a statistically valid voluntary mail survey and site-visit survey of a sample of facilities in one of these sectors using the same approach as described above for the metal finishing and marina sectors. Since the population for construction sites and salvage yards is not known, OECA will conduct double stage cluster sampling.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

Burden Statement: The baseline surveys being requested are one time information collections. The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average:

- 1 hour per respondent for the mailed surveys in each sector; and
- 4 hours per respondent for the site visit surveys in each sector.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, and disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: 400 Marinas in EPA Region I (200 random marinas will be sampled for the mailed survey, 100 random facilities for the site-visit survey, 100 random facilities for the follow-up survey); 300 facilities in the metal finishing sector located in the vicinity of Detroit, MI and Philadelphia, PA (200 random facilities will be sampled for the mailed survey, 100 random facilities for the site-visit survey); 300 facilities in the construction site or salvage yard sector located nationally (200 random facilities will be sampled for the mailed survey, 100 random facilities for the site-visit survey).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 300 Marinas in EPA Region I (assuming a 50% response rate to the mailed survey, a 100% response rate for the site-visit survey and a 100% response rate for the follow-up survey,where we are assuming the more conservative approach that the follow-ups will be on-site visits); 200 facilities in the metal finishing sector (assuming a 50% response rate to the mailed survey and a 100% response rate for the site-visit survey); 200 facilities in the construction site or salvage yard sector (assuming a 50% response rate to the mailed survey and a 100% response rate for the site-visit survey).

Frequency of Response: Once (although we will be surveying the same sector more than once, the random sample of facilities surveyed will be different. There is a slight chance that a facility could be in both samples but we assume that that isn't likely to occur.)

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1,900 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: $131,670.

Dated: March 14, 2001.

Michael M. Stahl,

Office Director, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 01–7282 Filed 3–22–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS–140288; FRL–6776–2]

Access to Confidential Business Information by DynCorp

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized its contractor DynCorp Information and Engineering Technology, Incorporated (DynCorp) and its subcontractor Joyo Environmental Services (Joyo) of Reston, VA access to information which has been submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, and 13 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Access to the confidential data submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, and 13 of TSCA occurred as a result of an approved waiver for immediate access dated February 5, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara A. Cunningham, Acting Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 554–1404; e-mail address: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Does this Notice Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to ''those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).'' Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions

regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

II. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document or Other Related Documents?

You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. To access this document, on the Home Page select ''Laws and Regulations,'' ''Regulations and Proposed Rules,'' and then look up the entry for this document under the ''**Federal Register**—Environmental Documents.'' You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

III. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Under contract number 68–W–99–072, contractor DynCorp and its subcontractor Joyo, of 1171 Plaza America Drive, Reston, VA, will assist the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPTS) performing inspections; and collecting documentation from the residential real estate sales and rental industry, to determine compliance and enforcement actions of the Lead Disclosure Rule violations.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number 68–W–99–072, DynCorp and Joyo will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, and 13 of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract.

DynCorp and Joyo personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, and 13 of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under sections 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, and 13 of TSCA that EPA may provide DynCorp and Joyo access to these CBI materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters and at DynCorp and Joyo's site located at 6101 Stevenson Avenue, Alexandria, VA. No access will occur at the Alexandria, VA facility until it has been approved for the storage of TSCA CBI.

DynCorp and Joyo will be authorized access to TSCA CBI at EPA Headquarters, EPA's Region III office, DynCorp, and Joyo's site located at 6101 Stevenson Avenue, Alexandria, VA, in accordance with the EPA *TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual*.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until September 30, 2004.

DynCorp and Joyo personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Confidential business information.

Dated: March 8, 2001.

Allan S. Abramson,

Director, Information Management Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 01–7288 Filed 3–22–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6616–6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–IBR–K38007–CA Rating EC2, Grassland Bypass Project (2001 Use Agreement), To Implement the New Use Agreement for the period from October 1, 2001 through December 21, 2009, San Joaquin River and Merced River, Fresno, Merced and Stanslaus Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA strongly supports improving water quality and the elimination of agricultural drainwater from 93 to 100 miles of wetland channels. Nevertheless, EPA expressed concerns regarding gaps in the information provided by the DEIS, including future treatment of the sediment accumulation in the San Luis Drain, cumulative impacts, and the formulation of alternatives.

ERP No. D–NPS–C67000–NJ Rating EC2, Maurice National Scenic and Recreational River (NS&RR) Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Atlantic and Cumberland Counties, NJ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the timely implementation and monitoring of the management plan. More detail is needed for the recommendations to enhance and protect open space, water quality, and wildlife corridor values. The final EIS should include a water quality monitoring plan and a detailed plan for periodic evaluation of the implementation of the plan.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–FRC–E08020–00 Gulfstream Natural Gas System Project, Construction and Operation, To Provide Natural Gas Transportation Service, AL, MS and FL.

Summary: By employing alternate technology and different routes, the applicant has substantially reduced turbidity impacts to marine live bottom communities in the proposed pipeline right-of-way (ROW). Wetland losses were reduced by restricting construction ROW and proposing wetland enhancements and restoration as mitigation. Despite these efforts, EPA continues to have concerns about live bottom destruction and recommends seeding of benthic organisms and placement of habitat modules as mitigation for live bottom impacts.

ERP No. F–MMS–G39008–00 Programmatic EIS—Proposed Use of Floating Production, Storage and Offloading Systems on the Gulf of Mexico, Outer Continental Shelf, Western and Central Planning Areas, TX, LA, MS, AL and FL.

Summary: EPA has no further comments to offer on the FEIS.

ERP No. F–NPS–C61010–NJ Great Egg Harbor National Scenic and Recreation River, Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Altantic Gloucester, Camden and Cape May Counties, NJ.

Summary: The final EIS adequately addressed our concerns.

Dated: March 20, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–7320 Filed 3–22–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U