

2002, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), and 207.24 of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony *in camera* no later than 7 days prior to the date of the hearing.

Written Submissions

Each party who is an interested party shall submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.23 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is February 13, 2002. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.25 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is February 28, 2002; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the investigation may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the investigation on or before February 28, 2002. On March 19, 2002, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before March 21, 2002, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.30 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: November 7, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-28448 Filed 11-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Meetings; Sunshine Act

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Thursday, November 15, 2001.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Request from a Federal Credit Union to Convert to a Community Charter.
2. request from a Federal Credit Union to Expand its Community Charter.
3. Request from a Federal Credit Union to Add an Underserved Area to its Field of Membership.
4. Maryland Member Business Loan Rule.
5. Request from a Corporate Federal Credit Union for a Waiver under Part 704 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations.
6. NCUA Operating Budget for 2002-2003.
7. NCUA Overhead Transfer Rate for 2002.
8. NCUA Operating Fee Scale for 2002.
9. Petition for a Rulemaking on the Overhead Transfer Rate.
10. Final Rule: Part 742 and Amendment to Part 722, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Regulatory Flexibility Program.

RECESS: 11:30 a.m.

TIME AND DATE: 12:30 p.m., Thursday, November 15, 2001.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428..

STATUS: Closed..

MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED:

1. Administrative Action under Part 709 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations. Closed pursuant to exemptions (6) and (8).
2. One (1) Personnel Matter. Closed pursuant to exemptions (2) and (6)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone 703-518-6304.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 01-28576 Filed 11-8-01; 5:09 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting OMB clearance of this collection for no longer than 3 years.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be received by January 14, 2002 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date would be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Rm. 295, Arlington, VA 22230, or by e-mail to splimpto@nsf.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292-7556 or send email to splimpto@nsf.gov.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: The National Science Foundation's Graduate Research Traineeship Program's Follow Up Study.

OMB Control No.: 3145-NEW.

Expiration Date of Approval: Not applicable.

Type of Request: Intent to seek approval to carry out a new information collection.

1. Abstract

This document has been prepared to support the clearance of data collection instruments to be used in the follow up study of the National Science Foundation's (NSF) Graduate Research Traineeship (GRT) Program. GRT supported graduate students in peer-review selected institutions to achieve a doctorate (PhD) in critical or emerging areas of science, mathematics, and engineering. The study addresses the following questions: What positions do graduates obtain following completion of the doctorate? What academic awards or private/public sector attainments do graduates receive? What impacts do traineeships have on the sponsoring institution, faculty, and colleagues? How do GRT trainees who stopped their pursuit of a PhD characterize their GRT experience? Is there a relationship between the average time of GRT funding support for a trainee and the average number of years required for completing a PhD? Despite not completing the doctorate, did former GRT recipients find the traineeships?

The data to address these questions will be gathered via two survey instruments. The first instrument is an Institutional Impact Survey that GRT project Principal Investigators (PI) will complete 2 years after their final year of funding. The second instrument is an individual survey that all trainees who have received doctorates or withdrawn from the GRT program will be asked to complete.

2. Expected Respondents

The expected respondents are the Principal Investigators and GRT funding recipients (trainees) from GRT projects funded by NSF since 1993.

3. Burden on the Public

The total annual burden hours for this collection are 290 for a maximum of 373 respondents, assuming an 80–100% response rate. The average annual reporting burden is one hour or less per respondent. The burden on the public is limited because the study is limited to GRT project participant and no other individuals.

Dated: November 7, 2001.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

NSF Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–28424 Filed 11–13–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting OMB clearance of this collection for no longer than 3 years.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be received by January 14, 2002, to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date would be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Rm. 295, Arlington, VA 22230, or by e-mail to splimpo@nsf.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292–7556 or send mail to splimpo@nsf.gov.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: An Evaluation of the Impact of Adoption and Use of the Office of Science Education Curriculum Supplements on Students' Scientific Knowledge.

OMB Control No.: 3145–NEW.
Expiration Date of Approval: Not applicable.

Type of Request: Intent to seek approval to carry out a new information collection.

1. Abstract

The National Science Foundation (NSF) has provided funding for systematically developed, research-based curriculum materials beginning in the 1960s. NSF has the responsibility of coordinating evaluations of mathematics and science education programs across government, including agencies such as the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Since its establishment as part of NIH, the Office of Science Education (OSE) has engaged in the development of science curriculum supplements and other educational materials related to medicine and research. NSF and NIH will partner in this evaluation because both desire information on the effectiveness of curriculum materials and the effective means to collect this information. Over the years, there have been changes in the levels of funding for such instructional materials, reflecting changes in public support and concerns for such endeavors. However, concerns about student achievement in science have focused attention on the need for strong curriculum materials to support “systemic reform” (O’Day & Smith, 1993). NSF has responded to these needs by increasing support to research-based instructional materials that have been reviewed by content experts and found to be of high quality and meet the demands of the National Science Education Standards (NSES).

The proposed evaluation's study questions to be addressed are: Do the curriculum supplements promote better science education? Do the curriculum supplements reduce academic inequity? Do the curriculum supplements deepen students' understanding of the importance of basic research to advances in medicine and health? Do the curriculum supplements foster student analysis of the direct and indirect effects of scientific discoveries on their individual and public health? Do the curriculum supplements encourage students to take more responsibility for their own health?

The data to address these questions will be gathered using mixed methods. In addition to assessing student achievement data and using surveys, the mixed-methods evaluation model will include pre-observation questionnaires, observations, and interviews of teachers. Interviews and observations, for example, will enable research evaluators to clarify vague responses in surveys or