

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Mr. Bruce Ellis, Chief, Environmental Resources Management Division, Bureau of Reclamation, Phoenix Area Office (PXAO-1500), PO Box 81169, Phoenix, AZ 85069-1169; fax number (602) 216-4006.

A copy of the draft EIS is available upon request from Ms. Janice Kjesbo, Bureau of Reclamation, Phoenix Area Office (PXAO-1500), PO Box 81169, Phoenix, AZ 85069-1169, telephone (602) 216-3864, faxogram (602) 216-4006. A copy of the draft EIS is also available for public inspection and review at the libraries listed under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reclamation has issued a draft EIS on the proposed execution of an Implementation Agreement (IA) that would commit the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) to make Colorado River water deliveries in accordance with the terms and conditions of the IA to enable certain southern California water agencies to implement a proposed Quantification Settlement Agreement (QSA). (The QSA is an agreement in principle among several southern California water agencies. It establishes a framework of conservation measures and water transfers within southern California for up to 75 years. The QSA provides a substantial mechanism for California to reduce its diversions of Colorado River water in normal years to its 4.4 million acre-feet per year apportionment.) The proposed Federal action includes the following components: Execution of an IA, wherein the Secretary agrees to changes in the amount and/or location of deliveries of Colorado River water that are necessary to implement the QSA; adoption of an Inadvertent Overrun and Payback Policy (IOP), which establishes requirements for payback of inadvertent overuse of Colorado River water by Colorado River water users in Arizona, California, and Nevada; and implementation of biological conservation measures to offset potential impacts from the proposed action that could occur to federally listed fish and wildlife species.

Copies of the draft EIS are available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

- Department of the Interior, Natural Resources Library, 1849 C St., NW, Washington, DC 20240.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver, CO 80225.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Lower Colorado Regional Office, Nevada

Highway and Park St., Boulder City, NV 89006.

- Bureau of Reclamation, Phoenix Area Office, 2222 W. Dunlap Ave., Suite 100, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

- Bureau of Reclamation, Southern California Area Office, 27710 Jefferson Ave., Suite 201, Temecula, CA 92590-2628.

- Bureau of Reclamation, Yuma Area Office, 7301 Calle Agua Salada, Yuma, AZ 85364-9763.

- Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 S. State St., Salt Lake City, UT 84138-1102.

- Lake Havasu City Library, 1787 McCulloch Blvd. North, Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403.

- Mohave County Library, 1170 Hancock Rd., Bullhead City, AZ 86442.

- Parker Public Library, 1001 S. Navajo Ave., Parker, AZ 85344.

- Phoenix Public Library (Burton Barr Central), 1221 N. Central Ave., AZ 85004.

- Yuma County Library, 350 S. 3rd Ave., Yuma, AZ 85364.

- Los Angeles Central Library, 630 W. 5th St., Los Angeles, CA 90071.

- Palo Verde Valley Library, 125 W. Chanslor Way, Blythe, CA 92225.

- San Bernardino County Library, 1111 Bailey Ave., Needles, CA 92363.

- San Diego Central Library, 820 E St., San Diego, CA 92101.

- Henderson District Public Library, 280 South Water St., Henderson, NV 89015.

- Salt Lake City Public Library, 209 E 500 S, Salt Lake City, UT 84111.

Written comments received by Reclamation become part of the public record associated with this action. Accordingly, Reclamation makes these comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: March 11, 2002.

Willie Taylor,

Director, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 02-6284 Filed 3-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-446]

Certain Ink Jet Print Cartridges and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision to Review-in-Part an Initial Determination That Finds a Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part a final initial determination (ID) of the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) that finds a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter L. Sultan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3094. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.ustic.gov>).

Copies of the public version of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 19, 2001, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP"), alleging a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain inkjet print cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of U.S. Letters Patent 4,827,294; 4,635,073; 4,680,859; 4,872,027; 4,992,802; and 5,409,134; 66 FR 7783 (January 25, 2001). The following five firms were

named as respondents: Microjet Technology Co., Ltd. of Taipei, Taiwan; Printer Essentials of Reno, Nevada; Price-Less Inkjet Cartridge Company of Port Charlotte, Florida; Cartridge Hut and Paperwork Plus of Sun City, California; and ABCCo.net, Inc. of Port Charlotte, Florida.

Based on joint stipulations and proposed consent orders, the ALJ issued IDs terminating the investigation as to Printer Essentials (Order No. 7, dated May 11, 2001) and as to Cartridge Hut (Order No. 15, dated October 12, 2001). These IDs became Commission final determinations, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.42(h)(3).

On August 21, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 12) granting HP's motion for summary determination on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement for all patents at issue. This ID became a Commission final determination, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.42(h)(3).

On October 24, 2001, HP filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to its infringement allegations based on claim 5 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,635,073, claim 2 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,827,294 and claims 12-14 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,409,134. On November 15, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 17) terminating the investigation as to the patent claims that were the subject of HP's motion. These IDs were not reviewed by the Commission, and thus became the determinations of the Commission, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.42(h)(3).

The ALJ issued his final ID, along with a recommended determination on remedy and bonding, on January 25, 2002, concluding that there was a violation of section 337, based on his findings that (a) the accused devices infringe claims of five of the six patents at issue, U.S. Letters Patent 4,827,294, 4,680,859, 4,872,027, 4,992,802 and 5,409,134; and (b) that a domestic industry exists with respect to each of these patents. The ALJ found no infringement of U.S. Letter Patent 4,635,073, and he found that HP had not satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to this patent.

On February 14, 2002, complainant HP and the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") petitioned for review of parts of the ID concerning the '073 patent. No responses to these petitions for review were filed.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, and the petitions for review, the Commission has determined to review:

(1) The ID's construction of the asserted claim of the '073 patent;

(2) The ID's finding of no infringement with respect to the '073 patent; and

(3) The ID's findings with respect to the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to the '073 patent.

The Commission has determined not to review the remainder of the ID. The Commission does not request further briefing on the issues that it has determined to review.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry that either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background information, see the Commission Opinion, In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337-TA-360.

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount to be determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to this investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than 14 days from the date of issuance of this notice. Response submissions must be filed no later than seven days after the deadline for filing the main submissions. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in §§ 210.42-45 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.42-45).

Issued: March 12, 2002.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-6316 Filed 3-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-991 (Preliminary)]

Silicon Metal From Russia

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.