

on behalf of Bethlehem Steel Corporation ("Bethlehem"), United States Steel Corporation ("U.S. Steel"), IPSCO Steel Inc. ("IPSCO"), and Nucor Corporation ("Nucor") (collectively, "domestic interested parties"), within the applicable deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. See Notices of Intent to Participate for IPSCO and Nucor (September 16, 2002) and Bethlehem and U.S. Steel (September 18, 2002). Domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act. *Id.* at 2. In addition, domestic interested parties assert that they are not related to a foreign producer/exporter and are not importers, or related to importers, of the subject merchandise. *Id.*

The Department received a complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). See Substantive Responses for IPSCO and Nucor (October 2, 2002) and Bethlehem and U.S. Steel (October 3, 2002). On October 3, 2002, the Department received a complete substantive response from respondent interested parties Azovstal and Ilyich (collectively, "respondent interested parties"). See Substantive Response for Azovstal and Ilyich (October 3, 2002). Respondent interested parties assert that they participated fully in the original investigation and have exported CTL plate from Ukraine in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Agreement. *Id.* at 4. Respondent interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(A) of the Act as foreign manufacturers, producers, and exporters of CTL plate from Ukraine. *Id.* at 2. Lastly, domestic interested parties filed rebuttal responses to respondent interested parties' substantive response on October 8, 2002. See Rebuttal Responses from Domestic Interested Parties (October 8, 2002).

In a sunset review, the Department normally will conclude that there is adequate response to conduct a full sunset review where respondent interested parties account for more than 50 percent, by volume, of total exports of subject merchandise to the United States. See 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A) (63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998)). After examining the respondent interested parties' total exports of the subject merchandise, on October 23, 2002, the Department determined that the respondent interested parties accounted for more than 50 percent total production of the domestic like product.

See Letter from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Lynn Featherstone, Director, Office of Investigations, International Trade Commission (October 23, 2002). Because the response of the respondent interested parties constituted an adequate response to the notice of initiation, the Department is conducting a full (240-day) sunset review in accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(i) and will issue final results of review not later than May 1, 2003.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* ("Decision Memorandum") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, dated December 23, 2002, which is adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the suspended antidumping duty investigation to be terminated. Parties may find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading "December 2002." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine that termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on CTL plate from Ukraine would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/producer/ exporter	Weighted- average margin percentage
Azovstal .....	81.43
Ilyich .....	155.00
Ukraine-wide .....	237.91

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than February 10, 2003, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Rebuttal briefs,

which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than February 17, 2003. Any hearing, if requested, will be held on February 19, 2003, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d). The Department will issue a notice of final results of this sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, no later than May 1, 2003.

This sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 23, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-33010 Filed 12-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

#### Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final court decision and amended final results of administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 31, 2002.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of a final and conclusive court decision, the Department of Commerce is amending its final results of the administrative review of shipments of tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished from the People's Republic of China made during the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennifer Moats or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5047 or (202) 482-4477, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of December 31, 1994. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the

Department's) regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR part 353 (1995).

### Summary

On February 11, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its *Final Results and Partial Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, from the People's Republic of China*, 62 FR 6173 (*Final Results*). This notice covered various exporters for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994. As a result of litigation, the Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded the results of the review to the Department on October 25, 2001. See *Peer Bearing Company v. United States*, Court No. 97-03-00419, Slip Op. 01-125 (CIT October 25, 2001). The CIT ordered the Department to make the following changes to its original calculations: (1) Correct a clerical error resulting from the application of best information available to certain models for which factor-of-production data were available; (2) redetermine direct labor costs on the basis of SKF India's data on labor (supplemented by facts otherwise available only to the extent necessitated by the insufficiency, if any, of SKF India's data currently on the record); and (3) determine marine insurance in a manner related to the value and risk of transporting tapered roller bearings. The Department submitted its final results of redetermination on remand to the CIT on March 12, 2002; the CIT affirmed the Department's final remand results and dismissed the case. See *Peer Bearing Company v. United States*, Court No. 97-03-00419, Slip Op. 02-53 (CIT June 5, 2002). In another decision, *Transcom, Inc., et al. v. United States*, Court No. 01-1138, 2002 U.S. App. LEXIS 12723 (June 27, 2002), the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued an opinion upholding the Department's determination in this administrative review.

As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our final results of review and we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to this review.

### Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Act, we are now amending the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People's Republic of China for the period of review June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994. The revised weighted-average margins are as follows:

Company	Margin (percent)
Premier Bearing and Equipment Ltd .....	60.95
Guizhou Machinery Import and Export Corporation .....	9.06
Henan Machinery and Equipment Import and Export Corporation .....	0.61
Luoyang Bearing Factory .....	0.57
Shanghai General Bearing Company Limited .....	0.05
Jilin Province Machinery Import and Export Corporation .....	60.95
Chin Jun Industrial Limited .....	10.00
Wafangdian Bearing Factory .....	13.36
Lianning MEC Group Company Limited .....	7.24
China National Machinery Import and Export Corp (CMC) .....	0.06
China Nat'l Automotive Industry Machinery Import and Export Corp (Guizhou Automotive) .....	0.96
Tianshui Hailin Import and Export Corp .....	16.55
Zhejiang Machinery Import and Export Corp .....	10.08

Accordingly, the Department will determine and the Customs Service shall assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise exported by firms covered by this review. Weighted-average margins for other respondent companies remain as published in the *Final Results*.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

Dated: December 19, 2002.

**Bernard T. Carreau,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-33009 Filed 12-30-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 122602A]

### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; StormReady and TsunamiReady/StormReady Application Forms

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506 (c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before March 3, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at [dHynek@doc.gov](mailto:dHynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Stephan Kuhl at [Stephan.Kuhl@noaa.gov](mailto:Stephan.Kuhl@noaa.gov) at 301-713-0090, extension 175.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

StormReady and TsunamiReady are voluntary programs offered as a means of providing guidance and incentive to officials interested in improving their respective hazardous weather operations. The StormReady Application Form and TsunamiReady/StormReady Application Form will be used by localities to apply for initial StormReady or TsunamiReady and StormReady recognition and renewal of that recognition every three years. A typical StormReady and/or TsunamiReady community would use this form two times every 10 years. The government will use the information collected by the StormReady or TsunamiReady/StormReady Application Form to determine whether a community has met all of the criteria to receive StormReady and/or TsunamiReady recognition.

#### II. Method of Collection

The information will be collected through the submission of a paper application form.

#### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0648-0419.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal government (emergency management).

*Estimated Number of Respondents:*

75.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours:* 75.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to*

*Public:* \$27.75.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance