

hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for March 11, 2005), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2005/03/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. Written comments must be submitted on or before April 11, 2005. Comments should refer to "Priti Sharma and Rajeev Sharma, Individually and as Officers of Q.P.S., Inc., File No. 022 3278," to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/ Office of the Secretary, Room H-159, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If the comment contains any material for which confidential treatment is requested, it must be filed in paper (rather than electronic) form, and the first page of the document must be clearly labeled "Confidential."¹ The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments filed in electronic form should be sent to the following e-mail box: consentagreement@ftc.gov.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be

considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC Web site, to the extent practicable, at <http://www.ftc.gov>. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted an agreement to a proposed consent order with Priti Sharma and Rajeev Sharma ("proposed respondents"). Proposed respondents were officers of Q.P.S., Inc. ("QPS"), a company that marketed computer peripheral products to the public, including CD-R, CD-RW, and DVD storage products, under the brand name *Que!* In 2002, QPS filed for bankruptcy.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

The complaint alleges that proposed respondents engaged in deceptive and unfair practices relating to mail-in rebate offers that QPS advertised to consumers. Proposed respondents are named individually in this complaint because they formulated, directed, or controlled the policies, acts, or practices of QPS, including the acts or practices alleged in the complaint. Specifically, the complaint alleges that proposed respondents falsely represented that QPS-funded rebate checks would be mailed to purchasers of advertised QPS products within six to eight weeks, or within a reasonable period of time. From September 2001 until December 2001, many consumers experienced delays ranging from one to six months in receiving their promised rebates, which ranged from \$15 to \$100 in value. From January 2002 through July 2002, many consumers experienced similar delays, and thousands of consumers never received their promised rebates from QPS. Despite these significant problems, proposed respondents continually advertised these QPS rebates until shortly before QPS filed for bankruptcy in August 2002.

Finally, the complaint alleges that, in the advertising and sale of computer peripheral products, proposed respondents offered to deliver rebates within six to eight weeks if they purchased the advertised computer peripheral products and submitted valid rebate requests for proposed respondents-funded rebate offers. After receiving rebate requests in conformance with these offers, proposed respondents unilaterally extended the time period in which it would deliver the rebates to consumers without consumers agreeing to this extension of time. According to the complaint, this constituted an unfair business practice.

The proposed order contains provisions designed to prevent proposed respondents from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Specifically, Part I.A. prohibits the proposed respondents from representing the time in which they will mail any rebate, unless they possess competent and reliable evidence substantiating the claim. Part I.B. prohibits proposed respondents from failing to provide any rebate within the time specified, or if no time is specified, within thirty days. Part I.C. requires that proposed respondents not "misrepresent, in any manner, expressly or by implication, any material terms of any rebate program, including the status of or reasons for any delay in providing any rebate."

Parts II through V of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part VI is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-5514 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[PBS-N01]

Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Construction of a New Border Station Facility on 1-91 at Derby Line, Vermont

AGENCY: Public Buildings Service, GSA.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

¹ Commission Rule 4.2(d), 16 CFR 4.2(d). The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration (GSA) announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 to assess the potential impacts of the construction of a New Border Station Facility on Interstate 91 in Derby Line, Vermont (the "Proposed Action").

At the request of the US Customs and Border Protection, the GSA is proposing to construct a new border station facility on Interstate Highway 91 at Derby Line, Vermont. The existing facilities are undersized and obsolete, and consequently incapable of providing the level of security now required.

The Proposed Action has been defined and will likely include: (a) identification of land requirements, including acquisition of adjoining land if appropriate; (b) demolition of all existing government structures at the border station; (c) construction of a main administration building and ancillary support buildings; and (d) relocation of a portion of the I-91 roadway and interchange and consequent potential alterations to secondary roads.

The location of the new border station facility is set by the requirement that the facility be located at the intersection of the interstate highway and the U. S. Border. Therefore, alternatives to be studied will identify alternative locations for the components of the border station including the main administration and ancillary support buildings, the roadway and interchange. A No Action alternative will also be studied that will evaluate the consequences of not constructing the new border station facility. This alternative is included to provide a basis for comparison to the action alternatives described above as required by NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1002.14(d)).

GSA invites individuals, organizations and agencies to submit comments concerning the scope of the EIS.

The public scoping period starts with the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** and will continue for forty five (45) days from the date of this notice. GSA will consider all comments received or postmarked by that date in defining the scope of the EIS.

GSA expects to issue a Draft EIS in summer 2005 at which time its availability will be announced in the **Federal Register** and local media. A public comment period will commence upon publication of the Notice of Availability. The GSA will consider and respond to comments received on the Draft EIS in preparing the Final EIS.

ADDRESSES: Written comments or suggestions concerning the scope of the EIS should be sent to David M. Drevinsky P.E., PMP, Regional Environmental Quality Advocate (REQA), U.S. General Services Administration, 10 Causeway Street, Room 975, Boston, MA 02222; Fax (617) 565-5967.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David M. Drevinsky by phone at (617) 565-6596 or by email at dave.drevinsky@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Other Agency Involvement:

The GSA anticipates that the Federal Highway Administration will be a cooperating agency in the preparation of the EIS because the proposed action affects the Federal Highway System. The GSA will consult with the Vermont Agency of Transportation regarding regulatory issues pertaining to the Proposed Action.

Public Scoping Meetings:

A public scoping meeting will provide the public with an opportunity to present comments, ask questions, and discuss concerns regarding the scope of the EIS for the Proposed Action with GSA representatives. GSA will hold a public scoping meeting in April 2005 at Derby Line, Vermont. Once established, the specific date for this meeting will be published in the **Federal Register** and the local media.

Date: March 14, 2005

Dennis R. Smith

Regional Administrator, New England Region
[FR Doc. 05-5452 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-23-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Request for Measures of Healthcare Experiences of People With Mobility Impairment

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), DHHS.

ACTION: Notice of request for measures.

SUMMARY: The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), with the support of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) of the U.S. Department of Education are soliciting the submission

of instruments or items that measure the quality of healthcare experienced by people with mobility impairment. The instruments or items will be considered for inclusion in a CAHPS® survey of people with mobility impairment (PWMI). Items or survey instruments may be submitted from researchers, health plans, other health care providers, disability organizations, stakeholders, vendors and other interested parties. This initiative is in response to suggestions from a significant number of stakeholders to develop a CAHPS® tool that measures the quality of care as perceived by adults with disabilities, and to provide performance data to health plans and others that are actionable for quality improvement and access. Our response to stakeholder requests will ultimately provide users with a flexible survey tool to assess the quality of healthcare services for adults with disabilities across multiple settings. The focus of this initial project will be only on people with mobility impairments, and subsequent survey projects may focus on other aspects of disability.

Many questions in the existing CAHPS instruments address concerns of people with mobility impairments, including access, communication, courtesy and respect, and shared decision-making. We are particularly interested in identifying and considering new content areas, new response categories and scales for existing questions, and revised wording or question order to make existing questions disability-appropriate.

DATES: Please submit instruments or items and supporting information on or before May 20, 2005. AHRQ will not respond individually to submitters, but will consider all submitted instruments and items, and publicly report the results of the review of the submissions in aggregate.

ADDRESSES: Submissions should include a brief cover letter, a copy of an instrument or items for consideration, and supporting statements and information as specified under the submission criteria below. Submissions may be in the form of a letter or e-mail, preferably as an electronic file with an e-mail attachment. Electronic submissions are strongly encouraged. Responses to this request should be submitted to: Marybeth Farquhar, RN, MSN, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 540 Gaither Road, Rockville, MD 20850, phone: (301) 427-1317, Fax: (301) 427-1341, e-mail: mfarquha@ahrq.gov.

To facilitate handling of submissions, please include full-information about