

about adverse reproductive and/or developmental health effects associated with exposure to environmental and/or occupational exposures. Expert panels conduct scientific evaluations of agents selected by the CERHR in public forums.

The CERHR invites the nomination of agents for review or scientists for its expert registry. Information about CERHR and the nomination process can be obtained from its Web site (<http://cerhr.niehs.nih.gov>) or by contacting Dr. Shelby (see **ADDRESSES** above). The CERHR selects chemicals for evaluation based upon several factors including production volume, potential for human exposure from use and occurrence in the environment, extent of public concern, and extent of data from reproductive and developmental toxicity studies.

CERHR follows a formal, multi-step process for review and evaluation of selected chemicals. The formal evaluation process was published in the **Federal Register** notice July 16, 2001 (Vol. 66, No. 136, pp 37047–37048) and is available on the CERHR Web site under “About CERHR” or in printed copy from the CERHR.

Dated: July 6, 2005.

David A. Schwartz,

Director, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the National Toxicology Program.

[FR Doc. 05–14425 Filed 7–20–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day–05–05CO]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on

proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404–371–5983 and send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to omb@cdc.gov.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Consumer Response Services Center (CDC–INFO) Evaluation—New—National Center for Health Marketing (NCHM), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

CDC is launching an integrated “one face to the public” approach across all communication channels to handle inquiries concerning a broad spectrum of public health topics. The overall objective is to ensure consistent, timely, reliable health information for dissemination to a variety of consumers (public, health professionals, researchers, etc.) and to address variations in inquiry volumes related to public health emergencies, news events, and dynamic, shifting public health priorities. The CDC has integrated over

40 hotlines into one Consumer Response Services Center—CDC–INFO. CDC–INFO has an exceptionally wide scope because content currently divided between over 40 hotlines handling nearly 2,000,000 telephone contacts annually will be consolidated under CDC–INFO. All CDC hotlines will be consolidated in one center beginning in February 2005, with all CDC program areas transitioning into CDC–INFO through a phased approach during the next three years. CDC–INFO itself will be operational for at least the next seven years.

The primary objectives of the national evaluation are to (1) Proactively evaluate customer interactions and service effectiveness by employing assessment measures and data collection mechanisms to support performance management, gathering insights and understandings for improving service levels, and implementing effective measures to meet customer satisfaction goals; (2) develop an ongoing understanding of customer requirements and satisfaction trends to achieve best of practice quality standards and to provide qualitative assessments, quantitative data, and cost factors to drive improvement and reinforce operational objectives; (3) measure CDC–INFO contractor service performance to assist in determining whether performance incentives have been achieved; and (4) to collect data in order to address public concern and response to emergencies, outbreaks, and media events.

Sample size, respondent burden, and intrusiveness have been minimized to be consistent with national evaluation objectives. Procedures will be employed to safeguard the privacy and confidentiality of participants. Pilot tests assisted in controlling burden and ensuring the user-relevance of questions. The following table shows the estimated annualized burden for data collection. There are no respondent costs other than the amount of time required to respond to the survey.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN TABLE

Data collection instrument	Number of respondents	Responses /respondent	Average burden/re-sponse (in hrs)	Average annual burden hours
Satisfaction survey (callers)	35,000	1	3/60	1,750
Satisfaction survey (e-mail inquiries)	336	1	3/60	17
Follow up survey	7,000	1	7/60	817
Key informant survey	5,000	1	7/60	583
Postcard survey	5,000	1	1/60	83
Special event survey	35,000	1	5/60	2,917

ESTIMATE OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN TABLE—Continued

Data collection instrument	Number of respondents	Responses /respondent	Average burden/re-sponse (in hrs)	Average annual burden hours
Emergency response survey	35,000	1	5/60	2,917
Total Burden Hours				9,084

Dated: July 15, 2005.
Joan F. Karr,
Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
 [FR Doc. 05-14369 Filed 7-20-05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60 Day-05-05CP]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-371-5983 and send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to omb@cdc.gov.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c)

ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Micro-Finance Project for HIV Prevention—New—National Center for HIV, STD and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

CDC is requesting a 3-year approval from the Office of Management and Budget to conduct focus groups and administer a one-on-one qualitative interview to women who are at risk for HIV infection and community leaders in four communities in the southeastern United States.

The purpose of this project is to conduct formative research to determine the most realistic and efficacious approach for developing a micro-finance project to reduce HIV/STD-related risk behavior among unemployed or underemployed high-risk African-American women in the southeastern United States, who are among those most at risk for HIV infection in the country. The project addresses goals of the CDC HIV Prevention Strategic Plan," specifically the goal of decreasing the number of persons at high risk of acquiring or transmitting HIV infection. Information from this project will inform the development of economic

empowerment interventions to reduce risk for HIV infection.

A focus group will be conducted with eight women (who are screened for eligibility) in each of the four communities (a total of 32 women) in the southeast United State with high prevalence of HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases. A subset of these women will participate in individual interviews. Another focus group will include community leaders in each of the four communities (a total of 32 individuals). The focus groups will capture demographic information, attitudes, and knowledge regarding income-generating activities that are feasible (can be done with small capitalization and by these women with some training and other preparation), attractive (women will do this work), and useful (likely to produce income to address a reasonable proportion of economic need; the community will use the service or purchase the product of the activity).

The subset of focus group participants who also participate in individual interviews (five women in each of the four communities, with a maximum of 20 individual interviews) will respond to more personal questions. The semi-structured individual interviews will explore behavioral, social, and economic conditions that might contribute to risk for HIV infection.

The focus groups and interviews will take about two hours each to complete. A screening interview for women participants will take about 10 minutes to complete. There are no costs to respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
Women—Screening interview	55	1	10/60	10
Women—Focus groups	32	1	2	64
Women—individual interviews	20	1	2	40
Community leaders—Focus groups	32	1	2	64
Total				178