32. Emery County Project, Utah: The Huntington Cleveland Irrigation Company has requested a contract for carriage of up to 14,074 acre-feet of nonproject water; utilizing Huntington North Reservoir as a regulating feature associated with their Salinity Control Project.

Great Plains Region: Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 36900, Federal Building, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Montana 59107–6900, telephone 406–247–7752.

New contract actions:

49. Colorado River Water Conservation District, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Long-term exchange, conveyance, and storage contract to implement the Exhibit B Agreement of the Settlement Agreement on Operating Procedures for Green Mountain Reservoir Concerning Operating Limitations and in Resolution of the Petition Filed August 7, 2003, in Case No. 49-CV–2782 (The United States v Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District, *et al.*, U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, Case No. 2782 and Consolidated Case Nos. 5016 and 5017).

50. Colorado River Water Conservation District, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Consideration of a request for a long-term contract for the use of excess capacity for storage and exchange in Green Mountain Reservoir in the Colorado-Big Thompson Project.

Modified contract actions:

9. Highland-Hanover ID, Hanover-Bluff Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Negotiate long-term water service contract.

10. Upper Bluff ID, Hanover-Bluff Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Negotiate long-term water service contract.

13. Savage ID, P-SMBP, Montana: The district is currently seeking title transfer. The contract is subject to renewal pending outcome of the title transfer process. A 5-year interim contract was executed May 7, 2003, to ensure a continuous water supply.

Completed contract actions:

8. City of Cheyenne, Kendrick Project, Wyoming: Negotiate a long-term contract for storage space for replacement water on a daily basis in Seminoe Reservoir. A temporary contract has been issued pending negotiation of the long-term contract. Long-term contract was executed October 1, 2006.

16. Glendo Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Amendments to long-term water service contracts with Burbank Ditch, New Grattan Ditch Company, Torrington ID, Lucerne Canal and Power Company, and Wright and Murphy Ditch Company. Contract amendments were executed June 28, 2006.

17. Glendo Unit, P-SMBP, Nebraska: Amendments to long-term water service contracts with Bridgeport, Enterprise, and Mitchell IDs, and Central Nebraska Public Power and ID. Contract amendments were executed June 28, 2006.

27. Hill County WD, Milk River Project, Montana: Drafting contracts for renewal of municipal water supply contract No. 14–06–600–8954 which expired August 1, 2006. The proposal includes splitting the contract between Hill County WD and North Havre County WD which both receive their full water supply under the current contract. Contract No. 069E670064 with Hill County WD was executed July 28, 2006; and contract No. 069E670065 with North Havre County WD was executed August 4, 2006.

Discontinued contract action:

21. Canadian River Municipal Water Authority, Lake Meredith Salinity Control Project, New Mexico and Texas: Negotiation of a contract for the transfer of control (care and O&M) of the project to the Authority in accordance with Pub. L. 102–575, Title VIII, Section 804(c).

Dated: September 27, 2006.

Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. E6–19554 Filed 11–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–891 (Review)]

Foundry Coke From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on foundry coke from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on foundry coke from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jai Motwane (202–205–3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On November 6, 2006, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (71 FR 43518) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.[1] Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report. A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on November 28, 2006, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions. As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,[2] and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the

[1] A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

[2] The Commission has found the responses submitted by ABC Coke, Citizens Gas & Coke Utility, Erie Coke, Sloss Industries Corp., and Tonawanda Coke Corp. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before December 1, 2006 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by December 1, 2006. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 15, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6–19542 Filed 11–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on November 3, 2006, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Honeywell International, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 06–00387–MCE–JFM, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

In this action the United States sought reimbursement of response costs, pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (''CERCLA''), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), from Honeywell International, Inc. (Honeywell) and others, incurred or to be incurred by EPA, for response actions taken at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Central Eureka Mine Superfund Site in Amador County, California. The Consent Decree will settle claims against defendant Honeywell. Pursuant to the Consent Decree, Honeywell will pay the sum of $2,000,000 for past response costs incurred at the Site, in addition to the approximately $3 million Honeywell had previously spent responding to releases at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *Honeywell International, Inc., et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–1692/1.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of California, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814, and at U.S. EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, *http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html.* A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (*tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov*), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of $*5.25* (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 06–9276 Filed 11–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decrees

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that two proposed consent decrees in *United States* v. *Pala Band of Mission Indians, et al.*, (S.D. Cal.), 06–cv–2323–H (NLS), were lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of California on November 2, 2006.

These proposed consent decrees concern a complaint filed by the United States against the Pala Band of Mission Indians, Brown Bulk Transportation Co., Valley Material and Supply, Inc., and James A. Brown pursuant to section 309(b) and (d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1319(b) and (d), to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging pollutants without a permit into waters of the United States. One proposed consent decree resolves the United States' allegations against the Pala Band of Mission Indians by requiring the Tribe to pay a civil penalty and to mitigate the environmental impacts by making a contribution to the Nature Conservancy. The second proposed consent decree resolves the United States' claims against Brown Bulk Transportation Co., Valley Material and Supply, Inc., and James A. Brown by requiring these Defendants to pay a civil penalty.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to these proposed Consent decrees for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Please address comments to Pamela S. Tonglao, United States Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, DC 20026–3986 and refer to *United States* v. *Pala Band of Mission Indians, et al.*, (S.D. Cal.), 06–CV–2323–H (NLS), DJ #90–5–1–1–16816.