AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

Federal Register

1–3–08 Thursday
Vol. 73 No. 2 Jan. 3, 2008

Pages 389–810

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** (ISSN 0097–6326) is published daily, Monday through Friday, except official holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, under the Federal Register Act (44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 is the exclusive distributor of the official edition. Periodicals postage is paid at Washington, DC.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders, Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress, and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless the issuing agency requests earlier filing. For a list of documents currently on file for public inspection, see *www.archives.gov.*

The seal of the National Archives and Records Administration authenticates the Federal Register as the official serial publication established under the Federal Register Act. Under 44 U.S.C. 1507, the contents of the **Federal Register** shall be judicially noticed.

The **Federal Register** is published in paper and on 24x microfiche. It is also available online at no charge as one of the databases on GPO Access, a service of the U.S. Government Printing Office.

The online edition of the **Federal Register** *www.gpoaccess.gov/nara,* available through GPO Access, is issued under the authority of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register as the official legal equivalent of the paper and microfiche editions (44 U.S.C. 4101 and 1 CFR 5.10). It is updated by 6 a.m. each day the **Federal Register** is published and includes both text and graphics from Volume 59, Number 1 (January 2, 1994) forward.

For more information about GPO Access, contact the GPO Access User Support Team, call toll free 1-888-293-6498; DC area 202-512-1530; fax at 202-512-1262; or via e-mail at *gpoaccess@gpo.gov.* The Support Team is available between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday–Friday, except official holidays.

The annual subscription price for the **Federal Register** paper edition is $749 plus postage, or $808, plus postage, for a combined **Federal Register, Federal Register** Index and List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA) subscription; the microfiche edition of the **Federal Register** including the **Federal Register** Index and LSA is $165, plus postage. Six month subscriptions are available for one-half the annual rate. The prevailing postal rates will be applied to orders according to the delivery method requested. The price of a single copy of the daily **Federal Register,** including postage, is based on the number of pages: $11 for an issue containing less than 200 pages; $22 for an issue containing 200 to 400 pages; and $33 for an issue containing more than 400 pages. Single issues of the microfiche edition may be purchased for $3 per copy, including postage. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or charge to your GPO Deposit Account, VISA, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover. Mail to: U.S. Government Printing Office—New Orders, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000; or call toll free 1-866-512-1800, DC area 202-512-1800; or go to the U.S. Government Online Bookstore site, see *bookstore.gpo.gov.*

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register.**

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 73 FR 12345.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, Federal Register, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND COPIES

PUBLIC	
Subscriptions:	
Paper or fiche	**202–512–1800**
Assistance with public subscriptions	**202–512–1806**
General online information	**202–512–1530; 1–888–293–6498**
Single copies/back copies:	
Paper or fiche	**202–512–1800**
Assistance with public single copies	**1–866–512–1800 (Toll-Free)**
FEDERAL AGENCIES	
Subscriptions:	
Paper or fiche	**202–741–6005**
Assistance with Federal agency subscriptions	**202–741–6005**

Printed on recycled paper.

Contents

Federal Register

Vol. 73, No. 2

Thursday, January 3, 2008

Agriculture Department
See Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
See Forest Service

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
PROPOSED RULES
Animal Welfare:
Climatic and Environmental Conditions for Transportation of Warmblooded Animals Other Than Marine Mammals, 413–420

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
NOTICES
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 492–493

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
RULES
Payment for Part B medical and other health services:
Revisions to payment policies under Physician Fee Schedule and policies for CY 2008; Delay of applicability date of Revised Anti-Markup provisions, etc., 404–406

Civil Rights Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 448–449

Commerce Department
See International Trade Administration
See National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Defense Department
NOTICES
Arms Sales Notification, 454–478
Base Closures and Realignments; List, 478–479

Education Department
NOTICES
Grants and Cooperative Agreements; Availability, Etc.:
Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools; Grants to Reduce Alcohol Abuse, 479–482

Employment and Training Administration
NOTICES
Reports and guidance documents; availability, etc.:
Publication of the Five-Year Research, Demonstration, and Evaluation Strategic Plan for 2007-2012, 501–512

Energy Department
See Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 482

Environmental Protection Agency
NOTICES
Meetings:
Clean Air Act Advisory Committee, 486
Waste Management System; Testing and Monitoring Activities; Notice of Availability of Final Update IV of SW-846, 486–489

Federal Aviation Administration
RULES
Airworthiness Directives:
Cessna, 395–397
DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Model DG-500MB Gliders, 400–402
Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW4164, PW4168 and PW4168A Turbofan Engines, 394–395
Robinson Helicopter Co. Models R22, R22 Alpha, R22 Beta, R22 Mariner, R44 and R44 and R44 II Helicopters, 397–400
Airworthiness Standards:
Special Conditions—
Adam Aircraft Industries Model A700; External Fuel Tank Protection During Gear-Up or Emergency Landing, 389–394
NOTICES
Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received, 535–537

Federal Communications Commission
PROPOSED RULES
Commercial Mobile Alert System, 546–607
NOTICES
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 489–491

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
PROPOSED RULES
Minority and Women Outreach Program Contracting, 420–421
NOTICES
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 491

Federal Election Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 491

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Combined Filings, 482–486

Federal Highway Administration
NOTICES
Environmental Statements; Notice of Intent:
Grays Harbor County, WA, 537–538

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
NOTICES
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 538

Federal Reserve System
NOTICES
Change in Bank Control Notices:
Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies, 492
Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies, 492

Food and Drug Administration
RULES
Human Drugs:
Toll-Free Number for Reporting Adverse Events on Labeling, 402–404

Forest Service
NOTICES
Environmental Statements; Notice of Intent:
Plumas National Forest, CA; Public Wheeled Motorized Travel Management, 444–448
Meetings:
Pacific Southwest Recreation Resource Advisory Committee, 448

Health and Human Services Department
See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
See Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
See Food and Drug Administration
See National Institutes of Health
See Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Homeland Security Department
See Transportation Security Administration

Housing and Urban Development Department
NOTICES
Grants and Cooperative Agreements; Availability, Etc.:
Continuum of Care Program (2002, 2003, 2004 FY), 610–769

Interior Department
See Land Management Bureau
See National Park Service
See Reclamation Bureau

Internal Revenue Service
PROPOSED RULES
Diversification Requirements for Certain Defined Contribution Plans, 421–428
NOTICES
Meetings:
Taxpayer Advocacy Panels, 540–543

International Trade Administration
NOTICES
Annual Listing of Foreign Government Subsidies on Articles of Cheese Subject to an In-Quota Rate of Duty; Quarterly Update, 449
Antidumping:
Low Enriched Uranium From France, 449–450

Labor Department
See Employment and Training Administration

Land Management Bureau
NOTICES
Coal Exploration License Application, Bowie Resources, LLC; Notice of Invitation, 497
Filing of Plats of Survey:
Oregon/Washington, 497–498
Meetings:
Boise District Resource Advisory Council, 498
Notice of Realty Action:
Non-Competitive (Direct) Sale of Public Land in Mineral County, Nevada, 498–499

Maritime Administration
NOTICES
Maintenance and Repair Reimbursement Pilot Program, 538–539

National Institutes of Health
NOTICES
Meetings:
National Toxicology Program; Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods, 493–495

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
RULES
Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic:
Gulf of Mexico Vermilion Snapper Fishery Management Measures, 406–411
Fisheries of the Northeastern United States:
Atlantic Bluefish Fishery; Quota Transfer, 411–412
PROPOSED RULES
Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic:
Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; Atlantic Group Spanish Mackerel Commercial Trip Limit in the Southern Zone, 439–441
Fisheries of the Northeastern United States:
Northeast Multispecies Fishery, Total Allowable Catches for Eastern Georges Bank Cod, etc., 441–443
NOTICES
Atlantic Highly Migratory Species:
Pelagic Longline Research, 450–454
Marine Mammals; Issuance of Permit Amendment, 454

National Park Service
NOTICES
National Register of Historic Places; Pending Nominations, 499–500

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 512

Personnel Management Office
PROPOSED RULES
Allowances and Differentials:
Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Rates; Alaska, 772–773
NOTICES
Reports and Guidance Documents; Availability, Etc.:
2006 Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Survey Report; Alaska and Washington, DC, 774–809

Reclamation Bureau
NOTICES
Meetings:
Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group, 500–501

Securities and Exchange Commission
NOTICES
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 512–513
Investment Company Act of 1940:
Notice of Applications for Deregistration, 513–514
Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Changes:
American Stock Exchange LLC, 514–524

Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., 524–526
Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., 527–529
Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., 529–533
New York Stock Exchange LLC, 533–534

State Department
NOTICES
Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations:
Ancient Greek Objects: The Krimisa Apollo and Bronze Hydria, 534–535

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

NOTICES
Current List of Laboratories Which Meet Minimum Standards To Engage in Urine Drug Testing for Federal Agencies, 495–496

Surface Transportation Board
NOTICES
CSX Transportation, Inc.—Abandonment Exemption—in Ware County, GA, 539

Transportation Department
See Federal Aviation Administration
See Federal Highway Administration
See Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
See Maritime Administration
See Surface Transportation Board

Transportation Security Administration
NOTICES
Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC):
Enrollment Dates for the Ports of Portland, OR; Victoria, TX; Kahului, Maui, HI; and Kauai, HI., 496–497

Treasury Department
See Internal Revenue Service

Veterans Affairs Department
PROPOSED RULES
Schedule for Rating Disabilities:
Evaluation of Residuals of Traumatic Brain Injury, 432–438
Evaluation of Scars, 428–432

Separate Parts In This Issue

Part II
Federal Communications Commission, 546–607

Part III
Housing and Urban Development Department, 610–769

Part IV
Personnel Management Office, 772–809

Reader Aids
Consult the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue for phone numbers, online resources, finding aids, reminders, and notice of recently enacted public laws.

To subscribe to the Federal Register Table of Contents LISTSERV electronic mailing list, go to http://listserv.access.gpo.gov and select Online mailing list archives, FEDREGTOC-L, Join or leave the list (or change settings); then follow the instructions.

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

5 CFR
Proposed Rules:
591 772

9 CFR
Proposed Rules:
2 413
3 413

12 CFR
Proposed Rules:
361 420

14 CFR
23 389
39 (4 documents) 394, 395, 397, 400

21 CFR
201 402
208 402
209 402

26 CFR
Proposed Rules:
1 421

38 CFR
Proposed Rules:
4 (2 documents) 428, 432

42 CFR
414 404

47 CFR
Proposed Rules:
Ch. I 546

50 CFR
600 406
622 406
648 411
Proposed Rules:
622 439
648 441

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 73, No. 2

Thursday, January 3, 2008

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 23

[Docket No. CE273; Special Conditions 23–213–SC

Special Conditions; Adam Aircraft Industries Model A700; External Fuel Tank Protection During Gear-Up or Emergency Landing

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued for the Adam Aircraft Industries Model A700 airplane. This airplane will have a novel or unusual design feature(s) associated with an External Centerline Fuel Tank (ECFT) that increases the total capacity of fuel by 184 gallons. The tank is located below the fuselage pressure shell immediately below the wing. The Adam A700 ECFT is a novel, unusual and a potentially unsafe design feature that may pose a hazard to the occupants during a gear-up or emergency landing due to fuel leakage and subsequent fire. Traditional aircraft construction places the fuel tanks in a protected area within the wings and/or fuselage. Fuel tanks located in these areas are well above the fuselage skin and are inherently protected by the wing and fuselage structure. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 26, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter L. Rouse, Federal Aviation Administration, Aircraft Certification Service, Small Airplane Directorate, ACE–111, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; 816–329–4135, fax 816–329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 12, 2004, Adam Aircraft Industries applied for a type certificate for their new model A700. The model A700 aircraft is a 6–8 seat pressurized, retractable-gear, carbon composite structure, airplane with two turbofan engines mounted on the aft fuselage. The A700 aircraft is a design evolution of the previously certificated Adam A500, with the aft fuselage mounted turbofan engines replacing the two centerline thrust, turbocharged, reciprocating engines. To maintain a max cruise range similar to the A500 and consistent with other aircraft in the same class as the A700, an external fuel tank located below the fuselage pressure shell and immediately below the wing, has been incorporated in to the A700 design. The A700 and its external fuel tank location are shown in Figure 1:



Figure 1 – A700 Side and Front View

The Adam A700 ECFT is a novel, unusual and a potentially unsafe design feature that may pose a hazard to the occupants during a gear-up or emergency landing due to fuel leakage and subsequent fire. Conventional aircraft construction places the fuel tanks in a protected area within the wings and/or fuselage. Fuel tanks located in these areas are well above the fuselage skin and are inherently

protected by the wing and fuselage structure.

The A700 ECFT must meet the inherent qualities associated with the protection of the fuel system as provided by 14 CFR part 23. The FAA requires Adam Aircraft to address the following areas with their ECFT design:

1. Load Path: Conventional design approaches establish independent load paths from the keel/skid plate to the airframe major structure where the fuel tanks are isolated from reacting to the gear-up or emergency landing loads. The A700 ECFT design must react to the gear-up or emergency landing loads in a similar manner.

2. Fuel Management: Conventional design approaches use fuel tanks located outside of the wings, or wing centerbox, as auxiliary fuel tanks, and not primary fuel tanks. The fuel in the auxiliary fuel tanks is depleted before the primary fuel tanks, thus the auxiliary tanks are usually empty upon landing. In a similar manner, the A700 ECFT must be an auxiliary fuel tank, and not primary fuel tank. The A700 must deplete the fuel in the ECFT before depleting the fuel in the primary fuel tanks.

3. Location/Geometry: A700 must preclude the scenario where the fuel tank is the first point of contact with the ground in a gear-up or emergency landing.

Regulatory Review and Discussion

14 CFR parts 11, 21, 23 and 25 regulations that pertain to the regulatory authority for special conditions, certification of unsafe conditions, structural design criteria, testing and location of the ECFT are §§ 11.19, 21.16, 21.21(b)(2), 23.303, 23.473(d), 23.561, 23.721, 23.967, 23.994 and 25.963.

The following rules provide a regulatory framework in which to apply additional requirements, beyond the existing requirements, in order to address novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design features.

A special condition is defined in 14 CFR part 11, § 11.19:

Section 11.19

A special condition is a regulation that applies to a particular aircraft design. The FAA issues special conditions when we find that the airworthiness regulations for an aircraft, aircraft engine, or propeller design do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards, because of a novel or unusual design feature.

A special condition is applied via the criteria defined in 14 CFR part 21, § 21.16:

Section 21.16

If the Administrator finds that the airworthiness regulations of this subchapter do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for an aircraft, aircraft engine, or propeller because of a novel or unusual design feature of the aircraft, aircraft engine or propeller, he prescribes special conditions and amendments thereto for the product. The special conditions are issued in accordance with part 11 of this chapter and contain such safety standards for the aircraft, aircraft engine or propeller as the Administrator finds necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established in the regulations.

An unsafe condition is defined in 14 CFR part 21, § 21.21(b)(2):

Section 21.21

An applicant is entitled to a type certificate for an aircraft in the normal, utility, acrobatic, commuter, or transport category, or for a manned free balloon, special class of aircraft, or an aircraft engine or propeller, if—

(b) The applicant submits the type design, test reports, and computations necessary to show that the product to be certificated meets the applicable airworthiness, aircraft noise, fuel venting, and exhaust emission requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations and any special conditions prescribed by the Administrator, and the Administrator finds—

(2) For an aircraft that no feature or characteristic makes it unsafe for the category in which certification is requested.

External fuel tank installations below the wing or fuselage were not envisioned in the development of 14 CFR part 23 fuel tank (and fuel system) regulations. As such, regulations that are not directly applicable to conventional fuel tank installations, but related to the novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design features were reviewed. The following 14 CFR part 23 certification requirements do contain regulatory language that can be used to determine the adequate or appropriate safety standards for novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design features of the Adam A700 ECFT.

Section 23.303

Unless otherwise provided, a factor of safety of 1.5 must be used.

Section 23.473(d)

The selected limit vertical inertia load factor at the center of gravity of the airplane for the ground load conditions prescribed in this subpart may not be less than that which would be obtained when landing with a descent velocity (V), in feet per second, equal to 4.4 $(W/S)^{1/4}$ except that this velocity need not be more than 10 feet per second and may not be less than seven feet per second.

Section 23.721

For commuter category airplanes that have a passenger seating configuration, excluding pilot seats, of 10 or more, the following general requirements for the landing gear apply:

(a) The main landing-gear system must be designed so that if it fails due to overloads during takeoff and landing (assuming the overloads to act in the upward and aft directions), the failure mode is not likely to cause the spillage of enough fuel from any part of the fuel system to constitute a fire hazard.

(b) Each airplane must be designed so that, with the airplane under control, it can be landed on a paved runway with any one or more landing-gear legs not extended without sustaining a structural component failure that is likely to cause the spillage of enough fuel to constitute a fire hazard.

(c) Compliance with the provisions of this section may be shown by analysis or tests, or both.

14 CFR part 23, §§ 23.303 and 23.473(d) relate to the associated margin of safety required above the limit loading condition and the required limit ground loading conditions. 14 CFR part 23, § 23.721 is applicable to commuter category airplanes; however, the intent is to ensure that the failure of the landing gear does not cause the spillage of enough fuel from any part of the fuel system to constitute a fire hazard. The location of the ECFT, in direct line behind the nose landing gear, makes it particularly vulnerable to failures of the nose landing gear.

14 CFR part 23 contains a limited scope of regulatory requirements pertaining to fuel tank (and fuel system) protection during a gear-up or emergency landing. These current regulations pertaining to the fuel tank (and fuel system) state:

Section 23.561(b)

The structure must be designed to [give each occupant every reasonable chance of escaping serious injury when—

(1) Proper use is made of seats, safety belts, and shoulder harnesses provided for in the design;

(2) The occupant experiences the static inertia loads corresponding to the following ultimate load factors—

(i) Upward, 3.0g for normal, utility, and commuter category airplanes, or 4.5g for acrobatic category airplanes;

(ii) Forward, 9.0g;
(iii) Sideward, 1.5g; and
(iv) Downward, 6.0g when certification to the emergency exit provisions of Sec. 23.807(d)(4) is requested; and
(3) The items of mass within the cabin, that could injure an occupant, experience the static inertia loads corresponding to the following ultimate load factors—
(i) Upward, 3.0g;
(ii) Forward, 18.0g; and
(iii) Sideward, 4.5g.

Section 23.561(c)

Each airplane with retractable landing gear must be designed to protect each occupant in a landing—
(1) With the wheels retracted;
(2) With moderate descent velocity; and
(3) Assuming, in the absence of a more rational analysis—
(i) A downward ultimate inertia force of 3g; and
(ii) A coefficient of friction of 0.5 at the ground.

Section 23.967(a)

Each fuel tank must be able to withstand, without failure, the vibration, inertia, fluid, and structural loads that it may be subjected to in operation.

Section 23.967(e)

Fuel tanks must be designed, located, and installed so as to retain fuel:
(1) When subjected to the inertia loads resulting from the ultimate static load factors prescribed in § 23.561(b)(2) of this part; and
(2) Under conditions likely to occur when the airplane lands on a paved runway at a normal landing speed under each of the following conditions:
(i) The airplane in a normal attitude and its landing gear retracted.
(ii) The most critical landing gear leg collapsed and the other landing gear legs extended.

Section 23.994

Fuel system components in an engine nacelle or in the fuselage must be protected from damage which could result in spillage of enough fuel to constitute a fire hazard as a result of a wheels-up landing on a paved runway.

The regulatory requirements of § 23.967(e)(1) refer to § 23.561(b)(2), which is an occupant protection rule. The requirements of § 23.561(b)(2) do not have a downward component for non-commuter category airplanes. To comply with the requirements of § 23.967(e)(2), the moderate descent velocity identified in § 23.561(c)(2), which is also an occupant protection rule, has been used as an acceptable means of compliance for traditional fuel tank designs that do not have novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design features. These regulations have historically demonstrated an acceptable level of safety for traditional fuel tank designs that do not have novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design features. Existing aircraft designs with this satisfactory service history have the fuel tanks located well above the fuselage skin and are inherently protected by the wing and the fuselage structure, thus providing a ''crush zone.''

The intent of 14 CFR part 23, § 23.994 is to minimize the hazard to the airplane due to fuel system components that are affected (those which are traditionally located in the fuselage or engine nacelle) when the underside of the airplane contacts the ground in a wheels-up landing. The intent is applicable to those components below the fuselage.

14 CFR part 23 guidance materials recognize that there may be situations when the installation of an auxiliary fuel tanks will require special conditions because of a novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design feature. Advisory Circular (AC) 23–10, Auxiliary Fuel Systems for Reciprocating and Turbine Powered Part 23 Airplanes, states in paragraph 5:

5. Certification Basis

a. New Type Certificates. For the issuance of a new type certificate, an airplane must be shown to comply with the certification basis established in accordance with § 21.17 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR). If the regulations do not provide adequate or appropriate standards because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions will be prescribed in accordance with § 21.16.

c. Unsafe Features or Characteristics. Notwithstanding compliance with the established certification basis, § 21.21 precludes approval if there is any feature or characteristic that makes the airplane unsafe. The applicant should recognize that it may be necessary, because of such a feature or characteristic, to impose special requirements which exceed the standards of the certification basis, to eliminate the unsafe condition.

Since 14 CFR part 23 airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the external fuel tank design, a review of the safety standards contained in 14 CFR part 25 was conducted to evaluate their applicability to the novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design feature of the ECFT. 14 CFR part 25, § 25.963 has regulatory requirements that ensure that fuel tanks within the fuselage contour are in a protected position.

Section 25.963(d)

Fuel tanks within the fuselage contour must be able to resist rupture, and to retain fuel, under the inertia forces prescribed for the emergency landing conditions in Sec. 25.561. In addition, these tanks must be in a protected position so that exposure of the tanks to scraping action with the ground is unlikely.

Section 25.963(e)(1)

Fuel tank access covers must comply with the following criteria in order to avoid loss of hazardous quantities of fuel:
(1) All covers located in an area where experience or analysis indicates a strike is likely must be shown by analysis or tests to minimize penetration and deformation by tire fragments, low energy engine debris, or other likely debris.

14 CFR part 25, § 25.963(d) is applicable to transport category airplanes; however, the intent is to ensure that in the event of an emergency landing, the fuel tank is in a protected position so that exposure of the tank to scraping action with the ground is unlikely. The location of the ECFT, located below the fuselage, makes it particularly vulnerable to scraping action with the ground in the event of a gear-up landing.

14 CFR part 25, § 25.963(e) is applicable to transport category airplanes, and only applies to the access panels; however, the intent is to prevent a hazard as a result of the impact by tire fragments or debris. This philosophy would be applied to the ECFT (not just access panels) to prevent hazardous leakage of fuel in the event of impact from tire fragments or other likely debris.

14 CFR part 25 guidance materials also recognize the need to protect the auxiliary fuel tanks beyond the velocities used as an acceptable means of compliance. The first chapter of AC 25–8, Auxiliary Fuel Systems Installations, is titled ''Fuel System Installation Integrity and Crashworthiness'' and the first paragraph states the following:

''Survivable accidents have occurred at vertical descent velocities greater than the 5 feet per second (f.p.s.) referenced in § 25.561. The energy from such descents is absorbed by the structure along the lower fuselage. As the limits of survivable accidents are approached, structure under the main

cabin floor is crushed and deformed and the volume below the floor, where the auxiliary fuel tanks are frequently located, may be reduced and reshaped. For this reason the tank material chosen by the applicant should provide resilience and flexibility; or, in the absence of these characteristics, the tank installation should provide extra clearance from structure that can be crushed or be protected by primary structure not likely to be crushed.''

Due to the concern of the Adam A700 ECFT to potentially contact the ground in a gear-up or emergency landing, we contacted the FAA Office of Accident Investigation, Safety Analysis Branch to determine the number of incidents/accidents where an aircraft landed with the landing gear retracted or the landing gear collapsed on the ground. The search used was conducted over a 25 year period from January 1982 thru January 2007, and queried all N-registered aircraft that were not 14 CFR parts 121, 135, or 129 and that had at least one of the following occurrence codes:

Gear Collapsed
Main Gear Collapsed
Nose Gear Collapsed
Tail Gear Collapsed
Complete Gear Collapsed
Other Gear Collapsed
Gear Not Extended
Gear Not Retracted
Gear Retraction On Ground

During the queried timeframe, there were 740 reported incidents/accidents, which yields an average of 30 reported incidents/accidents per year. There were no injuries or fatalities associated with the 740 reported incidents/accidents. All of the reported incidents/accidents involved aircraft having fuel in the center section of the wing area confined by the front and rear spars and the side of body wing ribs. The data shows a high probability for a landing gear failure, malfunction or not being extended during landing and that there is a good safety record for configurations involved in these incidents/accidents. The certification standards for the Adam A700 ECFT need to consider the placement of the ECFT outside of the protective wing area confined by the front and rear spars and the side of body wing ribs configurations, and the high probability of the ECFT contacting the ground.

Because of the Adam A700 ECFT's novel, unusual and potentially unsafe design features, it is necessary to impose a specific vertical velocity requirement that exceed the 5 feet per second requirement normally imposed on conventional airplane fuel tank designs. Conventionally installed fuel tanks, located within the fuselage and wing primary structure, have used § 23.561(c)(2) as an acceptable means of compliance to the requirements of § 23.967(e)(2). Fuel tank installations are not bound by regulatory requirements to use § 23.561(c)(2) as an acceptable means of compliance to the requirements of § 23.967(e)(2). The standards contained in § 23.561(c)(2), which is an occupant protection rule, provided adequate or appropriate standards for conventionally installed fuel tanks. Initially, the FAA proposed to use the vertical velocity requirements (26.8 feet per second) contained in § 23.562 as a means of compliance to the requirements of § 23.967(e)(2), as this rule is also an occupant protection rule. The velocities cited in the two occupant protection rules range from 5 feet per second to 26.8 feet per second. The velocity cited in § 23.561(c)(2) is the velocity for a minor crash landing, where the velocity in § 23.562 is the upper limit of a survivable crash landing. The requirements contained in § 23.967(e)(2) allow for the conditions likely to occur, and the range of velocities likely to occur during a survivable crash landing (5 feet per second—26.8 feet per second). Given that there is a range of velocities that define a survivable crash landing, there is ample regulatory room in which to determine an acceptable means of compliance. The FAA proposal to use the vertical velocity requirements contained in § 23.562 as a means of compliance to the requirements of § 23.967(e)(2) for the initially proposed ECFT design, was withdrawn by the FAA due to Adam Aircraft proposing to redesign the ECFT. As such, the FAA researched the standards within 14 CFR part 23 to determine a vertical velocity within the range of velocities likely to occur that provide adequate or appropriate standards, mitigate potential unsafe conditions, and do not exceed the intent of the rule. The normal precision approach speed for the Adam A700 will be approximately 120 KIAS. This approach speed will result in a normal vertical descent velocity of 10.6 feet per second. The normal precision approach speed is a speed that falls within the speeds that are likely to occur when the airplane lands on a paved runway at a normal landing speed. 14 CFR part 23, § 23.473(d) requires that the aircraft be able to absorb a limit load imposed by a vertical descent velocity of 10 feet per second for landing conditions. Combining the velocity requirements of § 23.473(d) and a commensurate 1.5 factor of safety, as required by § 23.303, would result in a vertical descent velocity of 12.25 feet per second. The derivation used to determine the ultimate velocity based upon the § 23.473(d) limit vertical inertia load and the factor of safety defined in § 23.303 is shown below:

The relationship between velocity, acceleration and distance is shown by the equation:

$$V_2^2 = V_1^2 + 2\,a\,d$$

The relationship between force and acceleration is shown by the equation:

$$F = ma$$

The relationship between limit force (load) and ultimate force (load) is shown by the equation:

$$F_{Ultimate} = F_{Limit}\,C_{FactorofSafety}$$

Assuming a constant mass of the object, an ending velocity of zero and grouping the terms:

$$V_{Limit}^2 = 2\,\frac{F_{Limit}}{m}\,d \quad \text{and} \quad V_{Ultimate}^2 = 2\,\frac{F_{Limit}C_{Factor\ of\ Safety}}{m}\,d$$

Thus, the relationship between limit velocity and ultimate velocity is shown by the equation:

$$V_{Ultimate} = V_{Limit}\sqrt{C_{Factor\ of\ Safety}}$$

Conventional airplanes with fuel tanks located below the fuselage are designed such that the ground impact loads are not absorbed by the tanks. Fuel tanks in these locations are especially vulnerable to these ground impact loads if design precautions/mitigations are not taken. If the ECFT is designed such that it absorbs gear-up landing loads, a gear-up landing could damage the ECFT and result in the spillage of enough fuel to constitute a fire hazard. The location of the A700 ECFT must be evaluated for ground impact in a gear-up landing, and design precautions/mitigations must be taken such that load paths do not go through the fuel tanks. The location of the A700 ECFT must be evaluated for exposure of the tank to impact from runway debris or from fragments emanating from failures of the tires. The location of the ECFT, below and in direct line behind the nose landing gear, makes it particularly vulnerable to debris from failures of the nose landing gear tires.

The A700 ECFT, compared to other designs that have fuel tanks located outside of the wings, was the only design that contained a significant percentage of the total fuel quantity of fuel below the fuselage. Existing designs, that have fuel tanks located outside of the wings, have their relatively smaller percentage of the total fuel quantity in their lower fuselage tanks and it is transferred out to the primary fuel tanks, so they are emptied early in the flight. The existing designs, that have fuel tanks located outside of the wings, use the fuel tanks below the fuselage as auxiliary fuel tanks, and they do not feed the engines directly, but rather are used to replenish the primary fuel tanks. The A700 ECFT design indicates the ECFT is an auxiliary fuel tank, does not feed the engines directly, but rather is used to replenish the primary fuel tanks. If the ECFT design is an auxiliary fuel tank, and it does not feed the engines directly, but rather is used to replenish the primary fuel tanks, it would provide mitigation by using the fuel quantity located in the ECFT, thus the ECFT is emptied early in the flight.

Based on our current understanding of the A700 ECTF design, the FAA agrees that Adam Aircraft may have provided the following mitigating design features:

1. The keel and truss assembly that make up the protective structure in current A700 ECFT design configuration affords the equivalent level of protection as currently certificated aircraft with fuel tanks located in the wings, or wing centerbox. The keel and truss assembly provide a structurally independent load path that does not transmit the ground impact forces through the ECFT during a gear-up or emergency landing, and also protects the ECFT from ground contact by providing protective structure.

2. The ECFT is an auxiliary fuel tank, and it does not feed the engines directly, but rather is used to replenish the primary fuel tanks. The fuel in the ECFT will be used before the fuel in the wing tanks.

The mitigating features offered by Adam Aircraft: independent load path, fuel management, and location/geometry, coupled with dynamic drop testing and a subsequent rational structural analysis using static test results and the dynamic drop test results, provide the FAA with sufficient justification to reduce the descent velocity from 12.25 feet per second to no less then 5 feet per second.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.17, Adam Aircraft Industries must show that the model A700 meets the applicable provisions of 14 CFR part 23, as amended by Amendments 23–1 through 23–55 thereto.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (i.e., 14 CFR part 23) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the model A700 because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Model model A700 must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36, and the FAA must issue a finding of regulatory adequacy pursuant to § 611 of Public Law 92–574, the ''Noise Control Act of 1972''.

Special conditions, as appropriate, as defined in § 11.19, are issued in accordance with § 11.38, and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.17(a)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The model A700 will incorporate the following novel or unusual design features:

External Centerline Fuel Tank (ECFT)

Discussion of Comments

Notice of proposed special conditions No. 23–07–03–SC for the Adam Aircraft Industries Model A700 airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on Tuesday, September 18, 2007, Vol. 72, No. 180. No comments were received, and the special conditions are adopted as proposed.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Adam Aircraft Industries Model A700. Should Adam Aircraft Industries apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

[For Final Special Conditions Effective Upon Issuance]

Under standard practice, the effective date of final special conditions would be 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**; however, as the certification date for the Adam Aircraft Industries Model A700 is imminent, the FAA finds that good cause exists to make these special conditions effective upon issuance.

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model of airplanes. It is not a rule of general applicability, and it affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 23

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Signs and symbols.

Citation

■ The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113 and 44701; 14 CFR 21.16 and 21.17; and 14 CFR 11.38 and 11.19.

The Special Conditions

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Adam Aircraft Industries Model A700 airplanes.

1. SC 23.561(c): Each airplane with retractable landing gear and external fuel tank system(s) located beneath the fuselage must be designed to protect each occupant in a landing—

1. With the wheels retracted;

2. With descent velocity of 12.25 feet per second UNLESS mitigating design features are incorporated that address:

i. Independent load path

ii. Fuel management

iii. Location/Geometry

iv. Other safety enhancing design features as proposed by the applicant.

If adequate mitigation is demonstrated for all the above design features, the FAA will reduce the descent velocity to no less then 5 feet per second; and

3. By defining, based on a rational analysis, supported by tests:

i. A downward ultimate inertia force; and

ii. A coefficient of friction of 0.5, or a rational analysis for a coefficient of friction, at the ground.

Compliance with SC 23.561(c)(2) will be demonstrated by dynamic drop test.

2. SC 23.721: The following general requirements for the landing gear apply:

1. The landing-gear system must be designed so that if it fails due to overloads during takeoff and landing (assuming the overloads to act in the upward and aft directions), the failure mode is not likely to cause the spillage of enough fuel from any part of the external fuel tank system(s) located beneath the fuselage to constitute a fire hazard.

2. The airplane must be designed so that, with the airplane under control, it can be landed on a paved runway with any one or more landing-gear legs not extended without sustaining a structural component failure that is likely to cause the spillage of enough fuel to constitute a fire hazard.

3. Compliance with the provisions of this section may be shown by analysis or tests, or both.

3. SC 23.994: Fuel system components in external fuel tank system(s) located beneath the fuselage must be protected from damage which could result in spillage of enough fuel to constitute a fire hazard as a result of a wheels-up landing on a paved runway.

4. SC 23.XXX: Fuel tanks within and below the fuselage contour must be installed in accordance with the requirements prescribed in Sec. 23.967. External fuel tank system(s) located beneath the fuselage must have the following design mitigations:

1. The external fuel tank system(s) must be in a protected position so that exposure of the tank to scraping action, or impact, with the ground is unlikely during a gear-up landing of the most critical landing gear or landing gears, when landing on a paved runway.

2. The external fuel tank system(s) must be protected by dedicated protective structure, and the protective structure load paths must be independent of the fuel system during a gear-up landing of the most critical landing gear or landing gears, when landing on a paved runway.

3. The hazard to the external fuel tank system(s) that results from impact by landing gear tire fragments or other likely debris must be minimized.

4. The fuel management of the external fuel tank system(s) must be such that fuel in the external fuel tank system(s) is to be emptied prior to fuel in the main tanks.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on December 26, 2007.

John Colomy,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7–25466 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2007–27230; Directorate Identifier 2007–NE–04–AD] Amendment 39–15322; AD 2007–26–20]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for PW PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A turbofan engines with certain low pressure turbine (LPT) stage 4 disks, part number (P/N) 51N404, installed. This AD requires removing certain LPT stage 4 disks, listed by serial number at the next piece-part exposure or within 7,500 cycles-since-new (CSN). This AD results from a report of improperly manufactured LPT stage 4 disks. We are issuing this AD to prevent an uncontained engine failure due to low-cycle fatigue (LCF), which could result in damage to the airplane.

DATES: This AD becomes effective February 7, 2008.

ADDRESSES: The Docket Operations office is located at Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building, Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: V. Rose Len, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; telephone (781) 238–7772; fax (781) 238–7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with a proposed AD. The proposed AD applies to PW PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A. We published the proposed AD in the **Federal Register** on May 21, 2007 (72 FR 28459). That action proposed to require removing certain LPT stage 4 disks, P/N 51N404, listed by serial number in the proposed AD, at the next piece-part exposure, or within 7,500 CSN, whichever occurs first.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://www.regulations.gov;* or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is provided in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comment received.

Pratt & Whitney proposes that we not write an AD. Pratt & Whitney states that they performed additional testing for low-cycle fatigue (LCF). They state the testing shows the disks with the 1-hour heat treatment are equivalent to the disks treated with a 4-hour heat treatment. We do not agree. The data that PW presents to us doesn't conclusively show the 1-hour heat-treat LCF capability is equivalent to the 4-hour heat-treat disks. We didn't change the AD.

Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the available data, including the comment received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD will affect 11 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it will take about 250 work-hours per engine to perform the required action, if not done at piece-part exposure, and that the average labor rate is $80 per work-hour. Required parts will cost about $186,288

per engine. Based on these figures, we estimate the total cost of the AD to U.S. operators to be $2,269,168.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, ''General requirements.'' Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a ''significant regulatory action'' under Executive Order 12866;

(2) Is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary at the address listed under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2007–26–20 Pratt & Whitney: Amendment 39–15322. Docket No. FAA–2007–27230; Directorate Identifier 2007–NE–04–AD.

Effective Date

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective February 7, 2008.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Pratt & Whitney PW4164, PW4168, and PW4168A turbofan engines with certain low pressure turbine (LPT) stage 4 disks, part number (P/N) 51N404, that have a serial number (S/N) listed in the following Table 1, installed. These engines are installed on, but not limited to, Airbus A330–200 and A330–300 series airplanes.

TABLE 1.—AFFECTED LPT STAGE 4 DISKS BY SERIAL NUMBER

LPT Stage 4 Disk Serial Nos.
CLDLC01142
CLDLC01143
CLDLC01144
CLDLC01145
CLDLC01146
CLDLC01148
CLDLC01149
CLDLC01150
CLDLC01151
CLDLC01152
CLDLC01181
CLDLC01182
CLDLC01183
CLDLC01185
CLDLC01186
CLDLC01187

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD results from a report of improperly manufactured LPT stage 4 disks. We are issuing this AD to prevent an uncontained engine failure due to low-cycle fatigue, which could result in damage to the airplane.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed at the next piece-part exposure after the effective date of this AD or within 7,500 cycles-since-new, unless the actions have already been done.

Removing the LPT Stage 4 Disk

(f) Remove from service any LPT stage 4 disk that has an S/N listed in Table 1 of this AD.

Prohibition Against Installing an Affected Disk

(g) After the effective date of this AD, do not install any disk, P/N 51N404, that has an S/N listed in Table 1 of this AD or any disk removed as specified in paragraph (f) of this AD except as allowed by paragraph (h) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(h) The Manager, Engine Certification Office, has the authority to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Special Flight Permits

(i) Under 14 CFR part 39.23, we are prohibiting the special flight permits for this AD.

Related Information

(j) Contact V. Rose Len, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; telephone (781) 238–7772; fax (781) 238–7199, for more information about this AD.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(k) None.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 20, 2007.

Peter A. White,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7–25505 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2007–0379; Directorate Identifier 2007–NM–331–AD; Amendment 39–15318; AD 2007–26–16]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Cessna Model 680 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Cessna Model 680 airplanes. This AD requires inspecting the routing of the aft fairing wire bundle assembly for adequate separation between the wiring and the hydraulic line; inspecting for chafing or damage of the wire bundle

assembly and for damage to the hydraulic line, and doing corrective actions if necessary. This AD results from an incident report which indicated that a hydraulic leak and wire chafing, including signs of heat damage, were found within the lower tail cone fairing area. Similar wire chafing has also been found on other airplanes. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct wire chafing, and inadequate separation of the wiring and hydraulic line, which could lead to electrical arcing and a hydraulic leak and could result in a potential source of ignition and consequent fire.

DATES: This AD is effective January 18, 2008.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this AD as of February 7, 2008.

We must receive comments on this AD by March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* 202–493–2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- *Hand Delivery:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this AD, contact Cessna Aircraft Co., P.O. Box 7706, Wichita, Kansas 67277.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://www.regulations.gov*; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone 800–647–5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jarrett Larrow, Aerospace Engineer, Electrical Systems and Avionics, ACE–119W, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone (316) 946–4128; fax (316) 946–4107.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

We received an incident report which indicated that a hydraulic leak and wire chafing, including signs of heat damage, were found within the lower tail cone fairing area on a Cessna Model 680 airplane. The incident occurred while the airplane was on the ground. Wire chafing has also been found on other airplanes. Chafing of the wiring, and inadequate separation of the wiring and hydraulic line, could lead to electrical arcing and a hydraulic leak and could result in a potential source of ignition and consequent fire.

Relevant Service Information

We reviewed Cessna Alert Service Letter ASL680–24–02, dated October 1, 2007. The service letter describes procedures for inspecting the routing of the aft fairing wire bundle assembly for adequate separation between the wiring and the hydraulic line; inspecting for chafing or damage of the wire bundle assembly and for damage to the hydraulic line; and corrective actions if necessary. The corrective actions include repairing the wiring if any damage to the wire bundle is found, replacing the hydraulic line if any damage is found, and re-routing the wire bundle so there is a minimum of two inches from the hydraulic line. The service letter refers to the Model 680 wiring diagram and maintenance manuals, which contain the procedures for doing the corrective actions.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of This AD

We are issuing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design. This AD requires accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under ''Differences Between the AD and the Service Information.''

Differences Between the AD and the Service Information

The service information refers only to an ''inspection'' for routing and chafing or damage of the wire bundles and hydraulic line. We have determined that the procedures in the service information should be described as a ''general visual inspection.'' Note 1 has been included in this AD to define this type of inspection.

The Accomplishment Instructions of the service information describe procedures for completing a maintenance transaction report and submitting a copy to the manufacturer. This AD would not require that action.

Interim Action

We consider this AD interim action. If final action is later identified, we might consider further rulemaking then.

FAA's Determination of the Effective Date

An unsafe condition exists that requires the immediate adoption of this AD; therefore, providing notice and opportunity for public comment before the AD is issued is impracticable, and good cause exists to make this AD effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

This AD is a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety, and we did not provide you with notice and an opportunity to provide your comments before it becomes effective. However, we invite you to send any written data, views, or arguments about this AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include ''Docket No. FAA–2007–0379; Directorate Identifier 2007–NM–331–AD'' at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this AD.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. ''Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,'' describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in ''Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.'' Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on

products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a ''significant regulatory action'' under Executive Order 12866,

(2) Is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979), and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

You can find our regulatory evaluation and the estimated costs of compliance in the AD Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

2007–26–16 Cessna Aircraft Company: Amendment 39–15318. Docket No. FAA–2007–0379; Directorate Identifier 2007–NM–331–AD.

Effective Date

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) is effective January 18, 2008.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Cessna Model 680 airplanes, certificated in any category, serial numbers –0001 through –0152 inclusive, –0157, and –0158.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD results from an incident report which indicated that a hydraulic leak and wire chafing, including signs of heat damage, were found within the lower tail cone fairing area. Similar wire chafing has also been found on other airplanes. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct wire chafing, and inadequate separation of the wiring and hydraulic line, which could lead to electrical arcing and a hydraulic leak and could result in a potential source of ignition and consequent fire.

Compliance

(e) Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

Inspections/Corrective Actions

(f) Within 10 days after the effective date of this AD: Do a general visual inspection of the routing of the aft fairing wire bundle assembly for adequate separation between the wiring and the hydraulic line, and do a general visual inspection for chafing or damage of the wire bundle assembly and for damage to the hydraulic line; by doing all of the actions, including all applicable corrective actions, specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Cessna Alert Service Letter ASL680–24–02, dated October 1, 2007; except as provided by paragraph (g) of this AD. Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is: ''A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to ensure visual access to all surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked.''

Exception to Alert Service Letter

(g) Although the Accomplishment Instructions of Cessna Alert Service Letter ASL680–24–02, dated October 1, 2007, specify to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h)(1) The Manager, Electrical Systems and Avionics, ACE–119W, FAA, ATTN: Jarrett Larrow, Aerospace Engineer, Electrical Systems and Avionics, ACE–119W, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone (316) 946–4128; fax (316) 946–4107; has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) You must use Cessna Alert Service Letter ASL680–24–02, dated October 1, 2007, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Cessna Aircraft Co., P.O. Box 7706, Wichita, Kansas 67277.

(3) You may review copies of the service information incorporated by reference at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.*

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 20, 2007.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 07–6265 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2007–0378; Directorate Identifier 2007–SW–04–AD; Amendment 39–15314; AD 2007–26–12]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Robinson Helicopter Company Models R22, R22 Alpha, R22 Beta, R22 Mariner, R44 and R44 II Helicopters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the specified Robinson Helicopter Company (Robinson) helicopters. This action requires a one-time visual inspection for skin separation along the leading edge of blade skin aft of the skin-to-spar bond line on the lower surface of each blade and in the tip cap area. This action also requires a ''tap test'' for detecting a separation or void in both bonded areas. This action also requires repainting any exposed area of the blades. If any separation or void is detected, replacing the blade before further flight is

required. Thereafter, before each flight, this AD also requires checking for any exposed (bare metal) along the skin-to-spar bond line on the lower surface of each blade near the tip. If any bare metal is found, a mechanic must inspect the area. This amendment is prompted by 11 reports of blade debond, some occurring in flight causing the pilot to feel excessive vibrations and land, and some found during routine maintenance. The actions specified in this AD are intended to detect blade skin debond and to prevent blade failure and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Effective January 18, 2008.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 18, 2008.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this AD:

- *Government-wide rulemaking Web site:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically;
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building, Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590;
- *Hand Delivery:* Deliver to the ''Mail'' address between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays; or
- *Fax:* (202) 493–2251.

You may get the service information identified in this AD from Robinson Helicopter Company, 2901 Airport Drive, Torrance, CA 90505, telephone (310) 539–0508, fax (310) 539–5198.

Examining the Docket

You may examine the docket that contains the AD, any comments, and other information on the Internet at *http://regulations.gov*, or in person at the Docket Management System (DMS) Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is located in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the West Building at the street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the DMS receives them.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information relating to the Robinson R22 helicopter, contact Eric Schrieber, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627–5348, fax (562) 627–5210. For information relating to the Robinson R44 helicopter, contact Fred Guerin, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627–5232, fax (562) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment adopts a new AD for the specified Robinson helicopters. This action also requires a one-time visual inspection for skin separation along the leading edge of the blade skin aft of the skin-to-spar bond line on the lower surface of each blade and in the tip cap area. This action also requires a ''tap test'' for detecting a separation or void in both bonded areas. This AD also requires repainting any exposed area of the blades. If any separation or void is detected, replacing the blade before further flight is required. Thereafter, this action requires, before each flight, checking for any exposed (bare metal) along the skin-to-spar bond line on the lower surface of each blade near the tip. If any bare metal is found, a mechanic must visually inspect the area, perform a ''tap test,'' and remove both blade tip covers and inspect. This amendment is prompted by 11 reports of blade debond, some occurring in flight, causing the pilot to feel excessive vibrations and land, and some found during routine maintenance. In one of the reported incidents, the debond was caused by corrosion from the lower surface of the aluminum tip cap, which is bonded to the inside of the blade tip. The corrosion bubbles caused lifting of the skin and eventual separation. This condition was found during inspection and not in flight.

We have reviewed the following:

- Robinson R22 and R44 Safety Alert, issued on January 4, 2007, revised on March 16, 2007, and March 22, 2007 (Safety Alert);
- Robinson R22 and R44 Service Letter SL–56 and SL–32, dated March 16, 2007, and Revision A, dated March 29, 2007 (Service Letter);
- Robinson R22 and R44 Service Bulletin SB–96 and SB–61, both dated March 29, 2007 (Service Bulletin);
- Robinson letter titled ''Additional Information Regarding Main Rotor Blade Skin Debonding,'' dated May 25, 2007; and
- The Robinson Model R22 and R44 Rotorcraft Flight Manuals changes to the Normal Procedures Section 4, and Systems Description Section 7, revised April 20, 2007.

The latest Safety Alert states in part, ''During each daily preflight, visually check blade finish along lower surface bond line. If any bare metal is exposed at or beyond bond line, have blade refinished per R22 Service Letter SL–56 or Service Letter SL–32 by a qualified mechanic before further flight.'' The Service Letter, Revision A, dated March 29, 2007, specifies using 10x magnification to visually inspect the leading edge of any exposed (bare metal) blade skin at skin-to-spar bond line. The Service Letter also specifies tap testing all bare metal skin-to-spar bonded areas. If any tap test indication of separation or any void is detected, the blade is unairworthy. The March 16, 2007, Service Letter to R22/R44 owners/operators, and Service Centers, specifies using a 5x to 10x magnification for the visual inspection and makes certain changes to the painting process. Revision A, dated March 29, 2007, to the Service Letter includes a more accurate drawing of the exposed skin-to-spar joint area. That Service Letter also includes an inspection for corrosion around the internal aluminum tip cap area and specifies painting any bare metal.

Bond failures have occurred on blades where the protective coatings have eroded away leaving the skin aft of the bond line at the skin-to-spar bond line exposed on the lower surface near the tip. Further erosion of the leading edge of the unprotected skin has caused voids and skin separation. Bond failures have also occurred due to corrosion around the tip cap where corrosion residue has disrupted and eventually separated the bond.

To attempt to prevent debonding on new blades, the manufacturer has changed the production process. However, it has not been proven that these changes will prevent a debond. Therefore, at this time, the FAA does not consider these newly manufactured blades to provide terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of these same type designs. Therefore, this AD is being issued to detect blade skin debond to prevent blade failure and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

This AD requires the following:

- Within 10 hours TIS, unless accomplished previously,
- Using a 10x or higher magnification, visually inspect for skin separation along the leading edge of any exposed (bare metal) blade skin aft of the skin-to-spar bond line on the lower surface of each blade. If there is skin separation, the blade is unairworthy.

• Perform a ''tap test'' to detect any separation or void on skin-to-spar bonded areas on the lower blade skin aft of the skin-to-spar bond line of each blade using a 1965 or later U.S. quarter-dollar coin. If there is any separation or any void, the blade is unairworthy.

• Remove both main rotor blade tip covers. Using a 10x or higher magnification, visually inspect the blade tip area exposed when the blade tip covers are removed. ''Tap test'' the skin to cap bond joints on both upper and lower surfaces of each blade. If any corrosion, separation, or void is detected, the blade is unairworthy.

• Repaint any exposed area of the blade.

• Before further flight, replace any unairworthy blade with an airworthy blade.

• Thereafter, if the rotor blade is found airworthy by the initial inspection, before each flight, visually check for any exposed (bare metal) skin-to-spar bonded area on the lower surface of each blade within the outboard 24 inches paying particular attention to the last 10 inches before the tip. An owner/operator (pilot) holding at least a private pilot certificate may perform this visual check and must enter compliance into the aircraft maintenance records in accordance with 14 CFR 43.11 and 91.417(a)(2)(V). A pilot may perform this check because it involves only a visual check for any bare metal in the skin-to-spar bonded area and can be performed equally well by a pilot or a mechanic. If a pilot finds any area of skin bare metal in the outboard 24 inches of either blade, before further flight, a qualified mechanic must comply with the requirements of this AD.

The repainting must be done by following the specified portions of the Service Letter described previously.

The short compliance time involved is required because the previously described critical unsafe condition can adversely affect the structural integrity and controllability of the helicopter. Therefore, the actions described are required before each flight and initially within 10 hours TIS, both very short time intervals, and this AD must be issued immediately.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

We estimate that this AD will affect 2290 helicopters. A check before each flight of each blade will take a minimal amount of time. It will take about:

• 3 work hours to inspect 2 blades; and

• 10 work hours to remove and replace a blade for an estimated 10 blades based on reports of 10 affected blades in the past 2 years.

• The average labor rate is $80 per work hour.

• Required parts will cost about $16,432 for a Model R22 blade, and about $23,060 for a Model R44 blade.

Based on these figures, we estimate the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators to be $755,060; $549,600 to inspect all the blades; plus $86,160, to remove and replace 5 of the Model R22 helicopter blades plus $119,300 to remove and replace 5 of the Model R44 helicopter blades.

Comments Invited

This AD is a final rule that involves requirements that affect flight safety and was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment; however, we invite you to submit any written data, views, or arguments regarding this AD. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include ''Docket No. FAA–2007–0378; Directorate Identifier 2007–SW–04–AD'' at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://regulations.gov*, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this AD. Using the search function of our docket web site, you can find and read the comments to any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment. You may review the DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the regulation:

1. Is not a ''significant regulatory action'' under Executive Order 12866;

2. Is not a ''significant rule'' under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared an economic evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD. See the DMS to examine the economic evaluation.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, ''General requirements.'' Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

2007–26–12 Robinson Helicopter Company: Amendment 39–15314. Docket No. FAA–2007–0378; Directorate Identifier 2007–SW–04–AD.

Applicability

Model R22, R22 Alpha, R22 Beta, R22 Mariner helicopters, serial numbers 0002 through 4100; R44 helicopters, serial

numbers 0001 through 1670; and R44 II helicopters, serial numbers 10001 through 11570, certificated in any category.

Compliance

Required as indicated.

To detect main rotor blade (blade) skin debond and prevent blade failure and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, do the following:

(a) Within 10 hours time-in-service (TIS), unless accomplished previously:

(1) Using a 10x or higher magnification, visually inspect for skin separation along the leading edge of any exposed (bare metal) blade skin aft of the skin-to-spar bond line on the lower surface of each blade. If there is any skin separation, the blade is unairworthy.

(2) Perform a ''tap test'' to detect any separation or void on the skin-to-spar bonded areas on the lower blade skin aft of the skin-to-spar bond line of each blade using a 1965 or later U.S. quarter-dollar coin. If there is any separation or any void, the blade is unairworthy.

(3) Remove both blade tip covers. Using a 10x or higher magnification, visually inspect the blade tip area exposed when the blade tip covers were removed. ''Tap test'' the skin to cap bond joints on both upper and lower surfaces. If corrosion, separation, or any void is detected, the blade is unairworthy.

(4) Repaint any exposed area of the blade according to the Compliance Procedure, paragraphs 3 through 7, of R22 Service Letter SL–56 and R44 Service Letter SL–32, Revision A, dated March 29, 2007.

(b) Before further flight, replace any unairworthy blade with an airworthy blade.

(c) Thereafter, if the rotor blade has been found airworthy by the inspections in paragraph (a), before each flight, visually check for any exposed (bare metal) skin-to-spar bonded area on the lower surface of each blade within the outboard 24 inches paying particular attention to the last 10 inches before the tip. An owner/operator (pilot) holding at least a private pilot certificate may perform this visual check and must enter compliance into the aircraft maintenance records in accordance with 14 CFR 43.11 and 91.417(a)(2)(V). If a pilot finds any area of skin bare metal in the outboard 24 inches of either blade, before further flight, a qualified mechanic must comply with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

(d) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Contact the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, *ATTN:* (For R22) Eric Schrieber, Aviation Safety Engineer, Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627–5348, fax (562) 627–5210, or (for R44) Fred Guerin, Aviation Safety Engineer, Airframe Branch, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627–5232, fax (562) 627–5210, for information about previously approved alternative methods of compliance.

(e) Repaint the exposed area of a blade by following Robinson R22 Service Letter SL–56 and R44 Service Letter SL–32, Revision A, dated March 29, 2007. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Robinson Helicopter Company, 2901 Airport Drive. Torrance, CA 90505, telephone (310) 539–0508, fax (310) 539–5198. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.*

(f) This amendment becomes effective on January 18, 2008.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on December 17, 2007.

David A. Downey,

Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7–25395 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2007–28843 Directorate Identifier 2007–CE–065–AD; Amendment 39–15317; AD 2007–26–25]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Model DG–500MB Gliders

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

In some cases the electric motor of the spindle drive detached itself from the spindle drive, causing the powerplant to retract itself after engine shutdown. In another case the attachment fork on the spindle drive failed with the same consequences.

We are issuing this AD to require actions to correct the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: This AD becomes effective February 7, 2008.

On February 7, 2008, the Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this AD.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://www.regulations.gov* or in person at Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Davison, Glider Program Manager, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; *telephone:* (816) 329–4130; *fax:* (816) 329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on August 20, 2007 (72 FR 46411). That NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

In some cases the electric motor of the spindle drive detached itself from the spindle drive, causing the powerplant to retract itself after engine shutdown. In another case the attachment fork on the spindle drive failed with the same consequences.

The MCAI requires you to modify the affected parts and exchange pages in the flight, maintenance, and repair manuals.

Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the NPRM or on the determination of the cost to the public.

The FAA reviewed the proposed requirement of the NPRM to exchange pages in the flight, maintenance, and repair manuals. We have determined that the exchange of certain pages in the flight, maintenance, and repair manuals is outside the scope of what is needed to correct the unsafe condition for aircraft of U.S. registry.

Conclusion

We reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed, except for eliminating the need to exchange manual pages.

Differences Between This AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use

different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.

We might also have required different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow FAA policies. Any such differences are highlighted in a NOTE within the AD.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD will affect 5 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it will take about 5 work-hours per product to comply with basic requirements of this AD. The average labor rate is $80 per work-hour. Required parts will cost about $422 per product.

Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of this AD to the U.S. operators to be $4,110 or $822 per product.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. ''Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,'' describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in ''Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.'' Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this AD:

(1) Is not a ''significant regulatory action'' under Executive Order 12866;

(2) Is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD Docket.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://www.regulations.gov;* or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

2007–26–25 DG Flugzeugbau GmbH: Amendment 39–15317; Docket No. FAA–2007–28843; Directorate Identifier 2007–CE–065–AD.

Effective Date

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective February 7, 2008.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Model DG–500MB gliders, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

Subject

(d) Air Transport Association of America (ATA) Code 24: Electric Power.

Reason

(e) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states:

In some cases the electric motor of the spindle drive detached itself from the spindle drive, causing the powerplant to retract itself after engine shutdown. In another case the attachment fork on the spindle drive failed with the same consequences.

The MCAI requires you to modify the affected parts and exchange pages in the flight, maintenance, and repair manuals.

Actions and Compliance

(f) Unless already done, within 90 days after February 7, 2008 (the effective date of this AD):

(1) Secure the connection between the spindle drive ''Stross BSA10'' and the spindle drive motor following DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instruction No. 1, dated January 23, 2006, as referenced in DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Technical Note No. 843–24, dated January 31, 2006.

(2) Replace the fork 8M233/1 from the spindle drive with the strengthened fork 8M233''f''; replace the bearing support with the modified support 8M229''e''; and secure the spindle drive fork between the spindle drive ''Stross BSA10'' and the spindle drive motor following DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instruction No. 2, dated January 30, 2006, as referenced in DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Technical Note No. 843–24, dated January 31, 2006; DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Drawing 5M210, Spindle drive Stross BSA 10 assembly, issued: January 22, 2003, revised: May 19, 2006; and DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Drawing 5M211, Spindle drive Stross BSA 10 assembly with strengthened fork 8M233''f'', issued: January 23, 2006.

Note 1: We recommend that you insert and update the new Flight Manual pages 0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 2.8, 3.7, 3.8, 4.1, 4.25, 4.26; the new Maintenance Manual pages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 42, 49, 68, 89, 89a, 93, and Enclosure 1; and the new Repair Manual pages 1, 2, 7, and 8 following DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Technical Note No. 843–24, dated January 31, 2006.

FAA AD Differences

Note 2: This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows:

(1) The service information specifies a one-time inspection of the fork and requires replacement if cracks are found. This AD requires mandatory replacement of these parts with redesigned parts. The FAA believes mandatory replacement rather than inspection will prevent failure of these parts in the future.

(2) The MCAI requires, for gliders certificated for operation in Germany, to have the pages in the flight, maintenance, and repair manuals exchanged.We have determined that the exchange of these pages is outside the scope of what is needed to correct the unsafe condition for gliders certificated for operation in the United States.

Other FAA AD Provisions

(g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Greg Davison, Glider Program

Manager, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; *telephone:* (816) 329–4130; *fax:* (816) 329–4090. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

Related Information

(h) Refer to MCAI Federal Republic of Germany Luftfahrt-Bundesamt AD D–2006–060, dated March 6, 2006; and DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Technical Note No. 843–24, dated January 31, 2006.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) You must use DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Technical Note No. 843–24, dated January 31, 2006; DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instruction No. 1, dated January 23, 2006; DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Working instruction No. 2, dated January 30, 2006; DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Drawing 5M210, Spindle drive Stross BSA 10 assembly, revised May 19, 2006; and DG Flugzeugbau GmbH Drawing 5M211, Spindle drive Stross BSA 10 assembly with strengthened fork 8M233''f'', dated January 23, 2006, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact DG Flugzeugbau GmbH, Im Schollengarten 20, D–76646 Bruchsal 4, Federal Republic of Germany.

(3) You may review copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.*

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on December 20, 2007.

John R. Colomy,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7–25212 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Parts 201, 208, and 209

[Docket No. 2003N–0342]

RIN 0910–AC35

Toll-Free Number for Reporting Adverse Events on Labeling for Human Drug Products

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Interim final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing an interim final rule to codify the provisions of the proposed rule entitled ''Toll-Free Number for Reporting Adverse Events on Labeling for Human Drug Products'' (69 FR 21778, April 22, 2004) (the toll-free number proposed rule or proposed rule) that, under the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 (FDAAA), became effective by operation of law on January 1, 2008. This interim final rule requires the addition of a statement on the labeling of certain human drug products for which an application is approved under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act). The added statement includes a toll-free number and advises that the number is to be used only for reporting side effects and is not intended for medical advice (the side effects statement). As mandated by FDAAA, this interim final rule does not apply to over-the-counter drug products approved as new drugs under the act if the product packaging includes a manufacturer's or distributor's toll-free number for reporting complaints.

DATES: *Effective Date*: This rule is effective January 1, 2008.

Compliance Date: The agency anticipates that affected entities, including manufacturers, authorized dispensers, and pharmacies, will need time to update labeling and systems to comply with the new requirements. Therefore, FDA intends to exercise its enforcement discretion and not take enforcement actions with regard to these regulations until January 1, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol Drew, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–7), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–594–2041.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On September 27, 2007, the President signed into law FDAAA (Public Law 110–85). Among other things, FDAAA reauthorized the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act (BPCA). When enacted in 2001, the BPCA (Public Law 107–109) directed FDA to issue a final rule requiring the labeling of each human drug product for which an application is approved under section 505 of the act (21 U.S.C. 355) to include: (1) A toll-free number maintained by FDA for the purpose of receiving reports of adverse events regarding drugs and (2) a statement that the number is to be used for reporting purposes only, not to receive medical advice. Collectively, we refer to the toll-free number and reporting statement as the ''side effects statement.'' The BPCA stated that the final rule must reach the broadest consumer audience and minimize the cost to the pharmacy profession.

As required, FDA issued a proposed rule entitled ''Toll-Free Number for Reporting Adverse Events on Labeling for Human Drug Products'' (69 FR 21778, April 22, 2004). FDA received 22 comments on this proposed rule and was in the process of analyzing the comments and conducting research on consumer comprehension of the side effects statement when FDAAA was enacted (see section IV of this document).

II. FDAAA Requirements

Section 502(f) of FDAAA states that ''the proposed rule * * * 'Toll-Free Number for Reporting Adverse Events on Labeling for Human Drug Products' * * * shall take effect on January 1, 2008,'' unless FDA issues a final rule before that date.

FDAAA mandates one change to the proposed rule. As described in section III of this document, section 502(f)(2) of FDAAA states that the toll-free number proposed rule shall not apply to over-the-counter (OTC) drugs marketed with an application approved under section 505 of the act (application OTC drug products) if these application OTC drug products meet certain labeling requirements. (Neither the BPCA, the proposed rule, nor this interim final rule addresses OTC drugs marketed *without* approved applications.)

Because the agency's rulemaking process is ongoing, for the reasons explained in section IV of this document, this interim rule codifies the provisions of the proposed rule as modified by FDAAA. As mandated by FDAAA, these provisions came into effect on January 1, 2008. The agency is publishing this interim final rule to codify the modified toll-free number proposed rule that has now come into effect.

III. Description of the Interim Final Rule

Consistent with the mandates of the BPCA, FDA proposed to require that the side effects statement be included in FDA-approved Medication Guides for drug products approved under section 505 of the act. We also proposed that the side effects statement be distributed with each prescription drug product approved under section 505 of the act and dispensed to consumers by pharmacies and authorized dispensers in an outpatient setting. In addition, as described in the toll-free number proposed rule, FDA interpreted the BPCA to apply to application OTC drug products. Accordingly, FDA also proposed to require the side effects statement in the labeling for application OTC drug products.

Section 502(f)(2) of FDAAA states that the proposed rule shall not apply to a drug: (1) For which an application is approved under section 505 of the act; (2) that is not described under section 503(b)(1) of the act (21 U.S.C. 353(b)(1)); and (3) the packaging of which includes a toll-free number through which consumers can report complaints to the manufacturer or distributor of the drug. This provision means that the proposed rule as it has come into effect by operation of law in accordance with FDAAA does not apply to an application OTC drug product if the product's packaging includes a manufacturer's or distributor's toll-free number for reporting complaints. Accordingly, this interim final rule includes a modified § 201.66(c)(5)(vii) reflecting the change to the proposed rule mandated by FDAAA.

IV. Ongoing Research on the Side Effects Statement

FDA is in the process of conducting research on the wording of the side effects statement published in the toll-free number proposed rule. FDA initiated this research after reviewing the comments on the proposed rule. Among the reasons cited in these comments for testing the statement were: (1) To determine the best and most precise wording for the statement; (2) to evaluate consumer comprehension of the proposed statement; and (3) to address concerns that consumers who read the statement will mistakenly call FDA in search of medical advice.

FDA designed a two-part study in response to these comments. Part one consisted of focus groups held to narrow the field of potential statement alternatives. This research was completed in 2006 (OMB Control No. 0910–0497). The second part of this research is a labeling comprehension experiment to be conducted over the Internet (OMB Control No. 0910–0603). FDA plans to complete this research. Then, based on the results of the data collected from the research and the comments received on the proposed rule, the agency will determine whether to finalize this interim final rule as published or to publish a final rule that amends this interim final rule. The effective date and implementation schedule for the final rule will be designed to minimize the burden of any additional regulatory changes for affected entities who must comply with this interim final rule.

V. Legal Authority

Section 502(f) of FDAAA states that the toll-free number proposed rule shall take effect on January 1, 2008, unless the agency publishes a final rule prior to that date. FDA determined that the research being undertaken to inform the proposed side effects statement could not be completed in time for FDA to publish a final rule prior to January 1, 2008, and that this research needed to be completed for the agency to respond fully to the comments received on the toll-free number proposed rule. Therefore, FDA did not publish a final rule before January 1, 2008, and the toll-free number proposed rule, as modified by FDAAA, came into effect by operation of law on that date.

FDA has received comments on the proposed rule addressing issues including the scope of the rule, the content and presentation of the side effects statement, the reporting provisions, the costs and benefits of the rule, implementation of the rule, and compliance with it. As part of the final rulemaking that the agency will undertake after completing the research on the side effects statement (see section IV in this document), FDA will consider and address all comments submitted to the docket for the toll-free number proposed rule.

VI. Effective Date/Compliance Date

As mandated by FDAAA, the effective date of the interim final rule is January 1, 2008. In the preamble to the toll-free number proposed rule, the agency proposed that all manufacturers, dispensers and pharmacies subject to the rule be in compliance not more than 1 year after the effective date of the final rule. FDA explained that the agency anticipated these entities would require time to update labeling and systems to comply with the new requirements.

FDAAA does not address timing needs for affected entities to come into compliance with the rule. The only change FDAAA makes to the agency's proposal is to limit the scope of the proposed rule to make the rule inapplicable to certain application OTC drug products. Accordingly, FDA concludes that FDAAA was not intended to make any other changes to the agency's proposal, including with regard to addressing the anticipated needs of affected entities for time to come into compliance with the rule.

FDA continues to anticipate that affected entities, including manufacturers of drug products, authorized dispensers, and pharmacies, will need time to update labeling and systems to comply with the new requirements. Therefore, consistent with the agency's proposal, the agency intends to exercise its enforcement discretion with regard to these regulations until January 1, 2009. That is, the agency does not intend to take enforcement action with regard to this interim final rule before that date.

List of Subjects

21 CFR Part 201

Drugs, Labeling, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

21 CFR Part 208

Labeling, Prescription drugs, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

21 CFR Part 209

Authorized dispensers, Drugs, Pharmacies, Prescription drugs.

■ Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR Chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 201—LABELING

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 201 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321, 331, 351, 352, 353, 355, 358, 360, 360b, 360gg–360ss, 371, 374, 379e; 42 U.S.C. 216, 241, 262, 264.

■ 2. Amend § 201.66 by adding two sentences at the end of paragraph (c)(5)(vii) to read as follows:

§ 201.66 Format and content requirements for over-the-counter (OTC) drug product labeling.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(5) * * *

(vii) * * * For all OTC drug products under an approved drug application whose packaging does not include a toll-free number through which consumers can report complaints to the manufacturer or distributor of the drug product, the following text shall

immediately follow the subheading: ''[Bullet] side effects occur. You may report side effects to FDA at 1–800–FDA–1088.'' The telephone number must appear in a minimum 6–point bold letter height or type size.

* * * * *

PART 208—MEDICATION GUIDES FOR PRESCRIPTION DRUG PRODUCTS

■ 3. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 208 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321, 331, 351, 352, 353, 355, 356, 357, 360, 371, 374; 42 U.S.C. 262.

■ 4. Amend § 208.20 by adding paragraph (b)(7)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 208.20 Content and format of a Medication Guide.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(7) * * *

(iii) For drug products approved under section 505 of the act, the following verbatim statement: ''Call your doctor for medical advice about side effects. You may report side effects to FDA at 1–800–FDA–1088.''

* * * * *

■ 5. Add part 209 to read as follows:

PART 209—REQUIREMENT FOR AUTHORIZED DISPENSERS AND PHARMACIES TO DISTRIBUTE A SIDE EFFECTS STATEMENT

Subpart A—General Provisions

Sec.
209.1 Scope and purpose.
209.2 Definitions.

Subpart B—Requirements

209.10 Content and format of the side effects statement.
209.11 Dispensing and distributing the side effects statement.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321, 331, 351, 352, 353, 355, 360, 371; 42 U.S.C. 241.

Subpart A—General Provisions

§ 209.1 Scope and purpose.

(a) This part sets forth requirements for human prescription drug products approved under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and dispensed by authorized dispensers and pharmacies to consumers. This part requires distribution of a side effects statement and applies to new and refill prescriptions. This part is not intended to apply to authorized dispensers dispensing or administering prescription drug products to inpatients in a hospital or health care facility under an order of a licensed practitioner, or as part of supervised home health care.

(b) The purpose of providing the side effects statement is to enable consumers to report side effects of prescription drug products to FDA.

§ 209.2 Definitions.

For the purposes of this part, the following definitions apply:

Act means the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sections 201–907 (21 U.S.C. 301–397)).

Authorized dispenser means an individual licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted by the jurisdiction in which the individual practices to provide drug products on prescription in the course of professional practice.

Consumer medication information means written information voluntarily provided to consumers by dispensing pharmacists as part of patient medication counseling activities.

Medication Guide means FDA-approved patient labeling conforming to the specifications set forth in part 208 of this chapter and other applicable regulations.

Pharmacy includes, but is not limited to, a retail, mail order, Internet, hospital, university, or clinic pharmacy, or a public health agency, regularly and lawfully engaged in dispensing prescription drugs.

Side effects statement means the following verbatim statement: ''Call your doctor for medical advice about side effects. You may report side effects to FDA at 1–800–FDA–1088.''

Subpart B—Requirements

§ 209.10 Content and format of the side effects statement.

(a) *Content.* The side effects statement provided with each prescription drug product approved under section 505 of the act must read: ''Call your doctor for medical advice about side effects. You may report side effects to FDA at 1–800–FDA–1088.''

(b) *Format.* The side effects statement must be in a single, clear, easy-to-read type style. The letter height or type size used for the side effects statement in accordance with paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of § 209.11 must be no smaller than 6 points (1 point = 0.0138 inch). The letter height or type size for the side effects statement under paragraphs (b)(3), (b)(4), and (b)(5) of § 209.11 must be no smaller than 10 points.

§ 209.11 Dispensing and distributing the side effects statement.

(a) Each authorized dispenser or pharmacy must distribute the side effects statement with each prescription drug product approved under section 505 of the act and dispensed. The side effects statement must be distributed with new and refill prescriptions.

(b) An authorized dispenser or pharmacy must choose one or more of the following options to distribute the side effects statement:

(1) Distribute the side effects statement on a sticker attached to the unit package, vial, or container of the drug product;

(2) Distribute the side effects statement on a preprinted pharmacy prescription vial cap;

(3) Distribute the side effects statement on a separate sheet of paper;

(4) Distribute the side effects statement in consumer medication information; or

(5) Distribute the appropriate FDA-approved Medication Guide that contains the side effects statement.

Dated: December 21, 2007.

Jeffrey Shuren,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. E7–25426 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

42 CFR Part 414

[CMS–1385–F2]

RIN 0938–AO65

Medicare Program; Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule, and Other Part B Payment Policies for CY 2008; Delay of the Date of Applicability of the Revised Anti-Markup Provisions for Certain Services Furnished in Certain Locations (§ 414.50)

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule delays until January 1, 2009 the applicability of the anti-markup provisions in § 414.50, as revised at 72 FR 66222, except with respect to the technical component of a purchased diagnostic test and with respect to any anatomic pathology diagnostic testing services furnished in space that: Is utilized by a physician group practice as a ''centralized building'' (as defined at § 411.351 of this chapter) for purposes of complying with the physician self-referral rules; and does not qualify as a ''same building'' under § 411.355(b)(2)(i) of this chapter.

DATES: The provisions of this final rule are effective January 1, 2008. However,

the date of applicability of the provisions of § 414.50, as revised at 72 FR 66222, with respect to certain services furnished in certain locations, as described herein, are delayed until January 1, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald Romano, (410) 786–1401.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The final rule with comment period, entitled ''Medicare Program; Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule, and Other Part B Payment Policies for CY 2008; Revisions to the Payment Policies of Ambulance Services Under the Ambulance Fee Schedule for CY 2008; and the Amendment of the E-Prescribing Exemption for Computer Generated Facsimile Transmissions,'' that appeared in the November 27, 2007 **Federal Register** (72 FR 66222), amended the anti-markup provisions for certain diagnostic tests in § 414.50.

II. Provisions of the Final Regulations

As amended, the anti-markup provisions in § 414.50 will apply to the technical and professional components of diagnostic tests covered under section 1861(s)(3) of the Social Security Act (the Act) and paid for under part 414 (other than clinical diagnostic laboratory tests paid under section 1833(a)(2)(D) of the Act, which are subject to the special billing rules set forth in section 1833(h)(5)(A) of the Act). If a physician or other supplier bills for the technical component or professional component of a diagnostic test that was ordered by the physician or other supplier (or ordered by a party related to such physician or other supplier through common ownership or control) and the diagnostic test is either purchased from an outside supplier or performed at a site other than the office of the billing physician or other supplier, the payment to the billing physician or other supplier (less the applicable deductibles and coinsurance paid by the beneficiary or on behalf of the beneficiary) for the technical component or professional component of the diagnostic test may not exceed the lowest of the following amounts:

- The performing supplier's net charge to the billing physician or other supplier.
- The billing physician or other supplier's actual charge.
- The fee schedule amount for the test that would be allowed if the performing supplier billed directly.

In revised § 414.50(a)(2)(iii), we define the ''office of the billing physician or other supplier'' as medical office space where the physician or other supplier regularly furnishes patient care. With respect to a billing physician or other supplier that is a physician organization (as defined at § 411.351 of this chapter), the ''office of the billing physician or other supplier'' is space in which the physician organization provides substantially the full range of patient care services that the physician organization provides generally.

Subsequent to the publication of the final rule with comment period, we received informal comments from various stakeholders who allege that the application of the rule is unclear with respect to whether certain types of space arrangements meet the definition of the ''office of the billing physician or other supplier.'' Further, some of these stakeholders assert that patient access may be significantly disrupted due to the alleged inability of physician groups to render services in a cost-effective manner if medical office space that satisfies the ''same building'' test in § 411.355(b)(2)(i) of this chapter for purposes of the physician self-referral rules in Part 411, Subpart J of this chapter and other medical office space in which patients are seen and that complies with the physician self-referral rules are subject to the anti-markup provisions in revised § 414.50. That is, physician groups allege that, in situations in which they are subject to the anti-markup provisions and are limited to billing Medicare for the amount of the net charge imposed by the performing supplier, because they will not be able to realize a profit and will not be able to recoup their overhead costs, they will not be able to continue to provide diagnostic testing services to the same extent that they are currently providing such services.

We are concerned that the definition of ''office of the billing physician or other supplier'' may not be entirely clear and could have unintended consequences. Accordingly, in order for us to study the issues further, we are delaying until January 1, 2009, the applicability of the revised anti-markup provisions in § 414.50, except for anatomic pathology diagnostic testing services furnished in space that: (1) Is utilized by a physician group practice as a ''centralized building'' (as defined at § 411.351 of this chapter) for purposes of complying with the physician self-referral rules; and (2) does not qualify as a ''same building'' under § 411.355(b)(2)(i) of this chapter. During the next 12 months, we plan to issue clarifying guidance as to what constitutes the ''office of the billing physician or other supplier'' or propose additional rulemaking, or both. Because anatomic pathology diagnostic testing arrangements precipitated our proposal for revision of the anti-markup provisions and remain our core concern, we are not delaying the date of applicability with respect to anatomic pathology diagnostic testing services furnished in space that: (1) Is utilized by a physician group practice as a ''centralized building'' (as defined at § 411.351 of this chapter) for purposes of complying with the physician self-referral rules; and (2) does not qualify as a ''same building'' under § 411.355(b)(2)(i) of this chapter. In addition, we are not delaying the applicability of the revised anti-markup rule with respect to the technical component of any purchased diagnostic test. The anti-markup prohibition with respect to the technical component of purchased diagnostic tests is longstanding and was incorporated into the expanded and revised provision of § 414.50. Accordingly, it will remain applicable to the technical component of any purchased diagnostic test.

III. Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking

We ordinarily publish a notice of proposed rulemaking and invite public comment on the proposed rule. The notice and comment rulemaking procedure is not required, however, if the rule is interpretive or procedural in nature, and it may be waived if there is good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest and we incorporate in the rule a statement of such a finding and the reasons supporting that finding. Likewise, we ordinarily provide for a delayed effective date of a final rule, but we are not required to do so if the rule is procedural or interpretive. Where a delayed effective date is required, this requirement may be waived for good cause. We set forth below our finding of good cause for the waiver of notice and comment rulemaking and the waiver of a delayed effective date.

Our implementation of this action without opportunity for public comment and without a delayed effective date is based on the good cause exceptions in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B) and (d), respectively. We find that seeking public comment on this action is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. We are implementing this delay of effective date as a result of our review of the informal comments on the final rule with comment period from various stakeholders. As discussed above, we understand from those comments that patient access for common diagnostic tests may be significantly disrupted

unless we delay the effective date of revised § 414.50 with respect to anatomic pathology diagnostic testing services furnished in space that: (1) Is utilized by a physician group practice as a ''centralized building'' (as defined at § 411.351 of this chapter) for purposes of complying with the physician self-referral rules; and (2) does not qualify as a ''same building'' under § 411.355(b)(2)(i) of this chapter. Likewise, if we do not make this final rule effective upon publication, patient care may be significantly disrupted during the interim period between the issuance of the rule and a delayed effective date.

IV. Collection of Information Requirements

This document does not impose information collection and recordkeeping requirements. Consequently, it need not be reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 35).

V. Regulatory Impact Statement

We do not believe that this delay in the date of applicability will result in any significant economic impact on any small entity. Until January 1, 2009, the majority of billing suppliers affected by the revised § 414.50 do not have to comply with the revised requirements in § 414.50.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance; and Program No. 93.774, Medicare—Supplementary Medical Insurance Program)

Dated: December 18, 2007.

Kerry Weems,

Acting Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Approved: December 27, 2007.

Michael O. Leavitt,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07–6280 Filed 12–28–07; 1:17 pm]

BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Parts 600 and 622

[Docket No. 070518142–7238–02]

RIN 0648–AV45

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Gulf of Mexico Vermilion Snapper Fishery Management Measures

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this final rule to implement a regulatory amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico (FMP) prepared by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council). This final rule reduces the minimum size limit for vermilion snapper to 10 inches (25.4 cm) total length (TL), eliminates the 10–fish recreational bag limit for vermilion snapper within the existing 20–fish aggregate reef fish bag limit, and eliminates the 40-day commercial closed season for vermilion snapper (from April 22 through May 31 each year). NMFS is also implementing through this rule clarifications for the Gulf of Mexico red snapper individual fishing quota (IFQ) program, as well as non-substantive changes to codified text, including removing obsolete language regarding the use of fish traps in the Gulf of Mexico, removing outdated and redundant language, revising phone numbers and an outdated definition, and revising incorrect references. The intended effects of this final rule are to help achieve optimum yield (OY) by reducing vermilion snapper harvest limitations consistent with the findings of the recent stock assessment and to clarify and update existing regulations.

DATES: This rule is effective February 4, 2008, except for the amendments to§ 622.16(c)(3)(i) and (ii) which are effective January 3, 2008 and the amendment to § 622.39(b)(1)(x) which is effective February 4, 2008 through March 28, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA) may be obtained from Sarah DeVido, NMFS, Southeast Regional Office, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701; telephone 727–824–5305; fax 727–824–5308; email *sarah.devido@noaa.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah DeVido, telephone 727–824–5305; fax 727–824–5308; e-mail *sarah.devido@noaa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The reef fish fishery of the Gulf of Mexico is managed under the FMP. The FMP was prepared by the Council and is implemented through regulations at 50 CFR part 622 under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act).

In accordance with the FMP's framework procedure, the Council recommended, and NMFS published, a proposed rule to implement the regulatory amendment and requested public comment through May 14, 2007 (72 FR 20980, April 27, 2007). The rationale for the measures contained in the regulatory amendment, is provided in the preamble to the proposed rule and the responses to comment below and is not repeated here. A summary of the public comments received by NMFS on the proposed rule and NMFS' responses are provided below.

Comments and Responses

A total of 83 individuals submitted comments during the comment period on the proposed rule to reduce the size limit, relax the recreational bag limit, and remove a commercial closure. Of these, 68 of the commenters expressed support for one, two or all three of the proposed actions, and did not express any specific objections. The remaining 15 commenters opposed one or more of the proposed actions.

Comment 1: The regulations for vermilion snapper should remain as they are. Of special concern is the proposal to remove the 10–fish bag limit restriction. Such an action is not in line with a conservation-oriented approach to recreational fishing. The upcoming reductions in bag limits for red snapper and gray triggerfish may cause an effort shift to vermilion snapper, which could lead to increased harvests of this species. Optimistic assumptions regarding current low fishing mortality should not be relied upon. Effort shifts could substantially increase fishing mortality, and the regulations would have to be revised to ensure the vermilion snapper stock does not become overfished or undergo overfishing.

Response: Fishery stocks should be managed conservatively, with a goal of achieving optimum yield (OY) from the fishery. The 2006 stock assessment for vermilion snapper incorporated new

information unavailable for the 2001 assessment. The results of the 2006 stock assessment concluded vermilion snapper was neither overfished nor undergoing overfishing. Currently, the vermilion snapper fishery is being fished at a rate below OY. The assessment suggests fishing mortality (F) may exceed FOY by 2012, but should not exceed the maximum fishing mortality threshold, i.e., the overfishing threshold, through 2017. The regulations implemented through Amendment 23 were overly restrictive and can be removed to allow the fishery to better achieve OY.

Comment 2: There was general support for the proposed reduction in the size limit, but mixed opinions regarding the changes to the bag limit and the commercial closure. Most comments did not provide a rationale for their support or opposition. Several commenters opposed the removal of the commercial closed season, but supported the removal of the 10–fish bag limit restriction within the 20–fish aggregate bag limit. Some supported the change in the size limit, but opposed the other two proposed actions. Some commenters apparently misinterpreted the ''removal'' of the 10–fish bag limit as meaning the bag limit would be set to zero. A few commenters focused on a possible reallocation of catches between commercial and recreational sectors if the commercial closure were rescinded but the 10–fish bag limit were retained.

Response: Given the vermilion snapper fishery is not achieving optimum yield, the relaxation of harvesting restrictions is intended to allow the fishery to better optimize this resource. The size limit reduction is expected to increase recreational catch by as much as 20 percent, and commercial harvest by 12 percent, while reducing discard mortalities. Elimination of the recreational bag limit is not expected to greatly increase harvest; before this restriction was implemented, less than 2 percent of anglers harvested more than 10 fish. However, removal of the bag limit restriction would allow anglers to take more fish if they choose. Eliminating the commercial closure is expected to increase commercial harvest by as much as 16 percent. In total, these actions should allow increases of over 25 percent for both the recreational and commercial sectors, which will maintain current allocation ratios while allowing fishery participants to derive greater benefit from the vermilion snapper resource.

Clarification of Language

In § 622.16(c)(3)(i) and (ii), NMFS clarifies language regarding the Gulf of Mexico red snapper IFQ program relating to a requirement for advanced notification of landing and a restriction on time of offloading IFQ red snapper. NMFS believes that the current regulatory text does not sufficiently distinguish between the terms ''landing'' and ''offloading'' and, therefore, is not consistent with the intended regulatory effect. The current wording of§ 622.16(c)(3)(i) refers to landing which, by definition, includes not only landing but also offloading. The intent was to constrain the landing of a vessel with IFQ red snapper on board to an allowable time period no less than 3 hours and no more than 12 hours after the time of advanced notification of landing. However, the current wording also constrains offloading of IFQ red snapper to this same time period. To correct this unintentional effect, this rule defines ''landing'', for purposes of § 622.16(c)(3)(i) only, in a way that removes any reference to ''offloading.''

In § 622.16(c)(3)(ii), the current regulatory text refers to both ''landing'' (or ''land'') and ''offloading'' (or ''offload'') and restricts both to an allowable time period of 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. The intent was to restrict offloading of IFQ red snapper to the 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. time period, not to restrict landing the vessel to that time frame. To correct this unintentional effect, this rule deletes reference to ''landing'' and ''land'' in § 622.16(c)(3)(ii).

Other Non-Substantive Changes Implemented by NMFS

Revision to Definition

In § 622.2, NMFS revises the definition of ''Regional Administrator'' to incorporate a change of address.

Revision to References

In § 622.7 and § 622.16, NMFS revises incorrect references to other sections in part 622.

Revision to Phone Numbers

In § 622.15, § 622.17, and§ 622.41, the phone numbers for NMFS Office for Law Enforcement, Southeast Region, are updated.

Removal of Outdated Language

In § 622.17, references to fishing for South Atlantic golden crab in the northern zone through May 3, 2005 are outdated and therefore removed.

In § 622.42, the reference to the South Atlantic snowy grouper quota that commences January 1, 2006 is outdated and therefore removed, and the remaining paragraphs are redesignated.

In § 622.44, the reference to the South Atlantic snowy grouper commercial trip limit for 2006 is outdated and therefore removed, and the remaining paragraphs are redesignated.

Removal of Redundant Language

In § 622.37, the minimum size limit for South Atlantic vermilion snapper is 12 inches (30.5 cm) for those that are subject to the bag limit and those that are not subject to the bag limit. This language has been simplified to state that the minimum size limit for South Atlantic vermilion snapper is 12 inches (30.5 cm).

Consistency of Language

In § 622.39, the language specifying the Gulf grouper zero bag limit for captain and crew has been revised to be consistent with the language specifying the Gulf red snapper zero bag limit for captain and crew.

Removal of Obsolete Language

NMFS published a final rule in the **Federal Register** on March 25, 1997 (62 FR 13983) prohibiting the use of fish traps in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of the Gulf of Mexico beginning February 8, 2007. Therefore, this final rule removes obsolete language regarding the use and/or possession of fish traps in the Gulf of Mexico and revises several paragraphs to account for the removal of this language.

General Prohibitions (§ 600.725)

In the table under paragraph (v), under the heading ''IV. Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council,'' entry 3.B. is removed because the use of fish traps in the Gulf is no longer allowed. Also, under the heading ''III. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council,'' entry 6.B. is revised to reflect only the use of sea bass pots in the South Atlantic EEZ. The use of fish traps in the South Atlantic has been prohibited since January 1, 1992 (56 FR 56016, October 31, 1991), however, this language was inadvertently not removed at that time.

Permits and Fees (§ 622.4)

Paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (n) are removed because fish traps are no longer allowed to be used and/or possessed in the Gulf of Mexico. Paragraph (a)(4)(i) is revised to clarify language regarding dealer permit requirements for the specified species in the Gulf, South Atlantic, and Atlantic EEZs. Paragraphs (b)(3)(ii)(G), (d), and (g)(1) are revised to eliminate language allowing fish traps in the Gulf but retain

language allowing the use and possession of sea bass pots in the South Atlantic EEZ.

Recordkeeping and Reporting (§ 622.5)

Paragraphs (a)(1)(ii)(A) and (B) are removed because the use and/or possession of fish traps is no longer allowed in the Gulf of Mexico.

Vessel and Gear Identification (§ 622.6)

Paragraphs (a)(1)(ii), (b)(1)(i)(B), and (b)(1)(ii)(B) are revised to eliminate fish trap language but retain sea bass pot language for use and/or possession in the South Atlantic EEZ.

Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMSs) (§ 622.9)

The last sentence of paragraph (a)(2) is removed because it is no longer effective.

Prohibited Gear and Methods (§ 622.31)

Paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(3) are removed, however, paragraph (c) is retained and revised to include language which prohibits the use and/or possession of fish traps in both the South Atlantic and Gulf EEZs.

Gulf EEZ Seasonal and/or Area Closures (§ 622.34)

Paragraph (g)(3) is removed because fish traps are no longer allowed to be used or possessed in the Gulf EEZ.

Bag and Possession Limits (§ 622.39)

Paragraph (a)(2)(iv) is revised to eliminate language pertaining to fish traps.

Limitations on Traps and Pots (§ 622.40)

Paragraphs (a)(2), (b)(2), (c)(2), and (d)(1) are removed to eliminate the use and/or possession of fish traps in the Gulf. The subsequent paragraphs are redesignated for clarity and flow. Paragraph (d)(2) is added and reserved to avoid confusion.

Species Specific Limitations (§ 622.41)

Paragraph (i) is revised to eliminate outdated language implying use and/or possession of fish traps in the Gulf of Mexico is allowed.

Classification

The Administrator, Southeast Region, NMFS determined the regulatory amendment is necessary for the management of the Gulf of Mexico vermilion snapper fishery and is consistent with the Magnuson-Stevens Act and other applicable laws.

This final rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

A final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA) was prepared. The FRFA incorporates the initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA), a summary of significant economic issues raised by public comments, NMFS responses to those comments, and a summary of the analyses completed to support the action. A copy of the full analysis is available from the NMFS (see ADDRESSES). A summary of the analysis follows.

This rule will reduce the minimum size limit for vermilion snapper to 10 inches (25.4 cm) TL, eliminate the 10–fish vermilion snapper recreational bag limit but include vermilion snapper in the 20–fish aggregate bag limit, and eliminate the April 22 through May 31 commercial closed season. The purpose of this action is to eliminate management measures that are causing the vermilion snapper fishery to be fished at a rate below OY, which is resulting in the unnecessary loss of social and economic benefits. These regulations have been determined to no longer be required because the vermilion snapper stock has been determined to be healthy, not overfished, nor undergoing overfishing.

No significant economic issues were raised by public comments. Therefore, no changes were made in the final rule as a result of such comments.

The measures in this rule are expected to affect commercial reef fish operations, for-hire vessels operating in the reef fish fishery, and dealers and processors that receive vermilion snapper. As of October 2003, there were 1,158 active commercial reef fish permits. Of these entities, 441 vessels reported logbook landings of vermilion snapper, with most using vertical line gear. During the period 2000–2004, the average vessel operating in the commercial vermilion snapper fishery generated revenues of $65,200 of which $7,400 was from vermilion snapper harvests. These estimates included all vessels that landed at least 1 lb (0.5 kg) of vermilion snapper and all the trips taken by these vessels regardless of whether vermilion snapper was caught on that trip. These estimates are assumed to be lower bound estimates, however, since landings of all commercial species, whether from Federal or state fisheries, are not required to be recorded or captured by the logbook program, which captures only reef fish and coastal pelagic harvests.

An estimated 1,625 for-hire vessels are currently permitted to harvest reef fish in the Gulf of Mexico. This sector is comprised of charter boats that are generally smaller and charge a fee on a per-vessel basis, and headboats that are larger and charge a fee per angler. On average, charter boats are estimated to generate gross revenues ranging from $58,000 in the eastern Gulf to $81,000 in the western Gulf, or an overall average of $64,000. The comparable values for headboats are $281,000 and $550,000, or an overall average of $400,000.

The Small Business Administration (SBA) defines a small business operating in the finfish industry as one that is independently owned and operated, is not dominant in its field of operation, and has average annual receipts not in excess of $4.0 million (NAICS code 114111, finfish fishing). The appropriate revenue benchmark for a vessel operating in the for-hire sector is $6.5 million (NAICS code 713990, amusement and recreation industries). Based on the gross revenue estimates presented above, all commercial and for-hire fishing vessels potentially affected by this rule are determined, for the purpose of this analysis, to be small business entities.

Instead of a receipts threshold, the SBA uses an employment threshold for seafood dealers and processors, with the appropriate values of fewer than 100 employees per dealer and fewer than 500 employees per processor. A Federal permit is required for a fish dealer to purchase reef fish from commercial vessels. Based on permits files, there are currently 227 dealers holding permits to buy and sell reef fish species. All reef fish processors would be included in this total since a processor must be a dealer. Dealers often hold multiple types of permits and operate in both Federal and state fisheries. It is unknown what percentage of any of the average dealer's business comes from the vermilion snapper fishery.

Average employment information per reef fish dealer is unknown. Although dealers and processors are not synonymous entities, total employment for reef fish processors in the Southeast is estimated at approximately 700 individuals, both part and full time. While all processors must be dealers, a dealer need not be a processor. Further, processing is a much more labor-intensive exercise than dealing. Therefore, given the employment estimate for the processing sector (700 persons) and the total number of dealers operating in the reef fish fishery (227), NMFS determines that the average number of employees per dealer and processor does not surpass the SBA employment benchmark and, for the purpose of this analysis, NMFS determines that all dealers potentially affected by this rule are small entities.

None of the measures in this rule will alter existing reporting and record-keeping requirements.

The rule is expected to increase net revenues in the commercial vermilion snapper fishery by approximately $1.443 million, or approximately 3.5 percent of total average net revenues relative to the 2000–2002 fishery. If spread over the average 441 vessels that operated in the fishery from 2000–2004, the increased net revenues expected to be generated as a result of the rule equate to approximately $3,300 per vessel or approximately 5 percent of average gross revenues.

Within the for-hire sector, the rule is expected to result in an increase of approximately $3.158 million in net revenues. It is not possible to determine how many of the 1,625 entities permitted to operate in this fishery will be affected. If evenly distributed across all said entities, the expected increase in net revenues equates to approximately $1,900 per entity, or approximately 12 percent per entity. Since not all of the vessels permitted to operate in the for-hire reef fish fishery are expected to participate in the vermilion snapper fishery, actual increases in net revenue per vessel for those vessels fishing for vermilion snapper are expected to exceed these estimates.

The impact of the rule on reef fish dealers cannot be determined with available data. However, although the current measures were originally projected to result in an approximate 26–percent reduction in vermilion snapper harvests, which are expected to be recovered under the rule, the vermilion snapper fishery comprises less than 10 percent of the total commercial reef fish fishery. Hence, the additional commercial harvests, and resultant effect on revenues or profits, expected to occur as a result of the rule, are not expected to be substantial relative to overall commercial reef fish sales.

Two alternatives to the measures in this final rule, including the status quo, were considered. The status quo would maintain current regulations in the fishery that are not biologically supported and would result in the loss of economic benefits. The second alternative allowed the continuation or suspension of the individual components of current vermilion snapper regulations. The continuation of any of these individual components would be expected to, similar to the status quo, result in the continued loss of economic benefits to the fishery. The rule will rescind current regulations that have been determined to be unnecessary from a biological perspective and is expected to result in increased economic and social benefits to the fishery.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 533(b)(B), NMFS finds good cause to waive notice and comment on the non-substantive measures contained in this rule. These measures, which remove obsolete text regarding the use of fish traps in the Gulf of Mexico, remove outdated and redundant language, revise phone numbers and an outdated definition, and revise incorrect references, simply clarify and update existing regulations to eliminate confusion among the regulated communities. No additional regulatory requirements are imposed by these measures. Therefore, providing notice and comment would be unnecessary and contrary to the public interest.

NMFS also finds good cause to waive notice and comment, and the 30-day delay in effective date, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 533(d)(3), on language clarifying Gulf of Mexico red snapper IFQ program components. The current definition of ''landing'' includes both arrival of a vessel at a dock, berth, beach, seawall, or ramp, and offloading of the catch, which therefore constrains fishers to complete both of these activities during the 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. time frame. However, NMFS' intent was to restrict only offloading to this time period. The current regulatory text creates unnecessary safety issues for IFQ fishers that must stay out longer waiting for the offloading window. It is frequently difficult to predict arrival time due to variable weather conditions, vessel operational problems, or at-sea boardings that occur after advanced notification of landing is given. Vessels waiting offshore to land their vessels and offload their catch will add to navigational congestion and increased vessel traffic, raising concerns about vessel traffic safety. Being required to wait offshore also increases the risk of fuel shortages, and in turn bilge failure, adding to the potential for vessel damage or loss. Providing an opportunity for public notice and comment would delay implementation of these measures beyond the imminent opening date of the commercial fishery on January 1, 2008, which would extend these risks and is therefore unnecessary and contrary to the public interest. For all of these reasons, NMFS finds good cause to waive notice and comment and the 30-day delay in effective date on these measures.

List of Subjects

50 CFR Part 600

Administrative practice and procedures, Confidential business information, Fisheries, Fishing, Fishing vessels, Foreign relations, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Statistics.

50 CFR Part 622

Fisheries, Fishing, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Virgin Islands.

Dated: December 28, 2007

John Oliver,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations, National Marine Fisheries Service.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, 50 CFR parts 600 and 622 are amended as follows:

PART 600—MAGNUSON-STEVENS ACT PROVISIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 600 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 561 and 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

■ 2. In § 600.725, in paragraph (v), in the table under heading ''IV. Gulf of Mexico Fishery management Council,'' entry 3.B. is removed entries 3.C. and 3.D. are redesignated as 3.B. and 3.C., respectively and under heading ''III. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council,'' entry 6.B. is revised to read as follows.

§ 600.725 General prohibitions.

* * * * *

(v) * * *

Fishery	Authorized gear types
* * * *	*
III. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council	
* * * *	*
6. * * *	
B. Black sea bass pot fishery	B. Pot.
* * * *	*

PART 622—FISHERIES OF THE CARIBBEAN, GULF, AND SOUTH ATLANTIC

■ 3. The authority citation for part 622 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

■ 4. In § 622.2, the definition for ''Regional Administrator'' is revised to read as follows:

§ 622.2 Definitions and acronyms.

* * * * *

Regional Administrator (RA), for the purposes of this part, means the

Administrator, Southeast Region, NMFS, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, or a designee.

* * * * *

■ 5. In § 622.4, paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (n) are removed and reserved; and paragraph (a)(4)(i), (b)(3)(ii)(G), the first and last sentence of paragraph (d), and the first sentence of paragraph (g)(1) are revised to read as follows:

§ 622.4 Permits and fees.

(a) * * *

(4) * * *

(i) *Permits*. For a dealer to receive Gulf reef fish harvested from the Gulf EEZ; golden crab, South Atlantic snapper-grouper, rock shrimp, or wreckfish harvested from the South Atlantic EEZ; or dolphin or wahoo harvested from the Atlantic EEZ; a dealer permit for Gulf reef fish, golden crab, South Atlantic snapper-grouper, rock shrimp, wreckfish, or Atlantic dolphin and wahoo, respectively, must be issued to the dealer.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) * * *

(G) If a sea bass pot will be used, the number, dimensions, and estimated cubic volume of the pots that will be used and the applicant's desired color code for use in identifying his or her vessel and buoys (white is not an acceptable color code).

* * * * *

(d) *Fees*. Unless specified otherwise, a fee is charged for each application for a permit, license, or endorsement submitted under this section, for each request for transfer or replacement of such permit, license, or endorsement, and for each sea bass pot identification tag required under § 622.6(b)(1)(i)(B). * * * The appropriate fee must accompany each application, request for transfer or replacement, or request for sea bass pot identification tags.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(1) *Vessel permits, licenses, and endorsements and dealer permits*. A vessel permit, license, or endorsement or a dealer permit or endorsement issued under this section is not transferable or assignable, except as provided in paragraph (m) of this section for a commercial vessel permit for Gulf reef fish, in paragraph (o) of this section for a king mackerel gillnet permit, in paragraph (q) of this section for a commercial vessel permit for king mackerel, in paragraph (r) of this section for a charter vessel/headboat permit for Gulf coastal migratory pelagic fish or Gulf reef fish, in paragraph (s) of this section for a commercial vessel moratorium permit for Gulf shrimp, in § 622.17(c) for a commercial vessel permit for golden crab, in § 622.18(b) for a commercial vessel permit for South Atlantic snapper-grouper, or in § 622.19(b) for a commercial vessel permit for South Atlantic rock shrimp. * * *

* * * * *

§ 622.5 [Amended]

■ 6. In § 622.5, paragraphs (a)(1)(ii)(A) and (B) are removed.

■ 7. In § 622.6, the first sentence in paragraph (a)(1)(ii), the first sentence in paragraph (b)(1)(i)(B), and paragraph (b)(1)(ii)(B) are revised to read as follows:

§ 622.6 Vessel and gear identification.

(a) * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) *Official number and color code*. The following vessels must display their official number as specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section and, in addition, must display their assigned color code: A vessel for which a permit has been issued to fish with a sea bass pot, as required under § 622.4(a)(2)(vi); a vessel in the commercial Caribbean reef fish fishery fishing with traps; and a vessel in the Caribbean spiny lobster fishery.* * *

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(i) * * *

(B) *South Atlantic EEZ*. A sea bass pot used or possessed in the South Atlantic EEZ between 35°15.19′ N. lat. (due east of Cape Hatteras Light, NC) and 28°35.1′ N. lat. (due east of the NASA Vehicle Assembly Building, Cape Canaveral, FL), or a sea bass pot on board a vessel with a commercial permit for South Atlantic snapper-grouper, must have a valid identification tag issued by the RA attached. * * *

(ii) * * *

(B) *South Atlantic EEZ*. In the South Atlantic EEZ, buoys are not required to be used, but, if used, each buoy must display the official number and color code assigned by the RA. However, no color code is required on a buoy attached to a golden crab trap.

* * * * *

■ 8. In § 622.7, paragraph (w) is revised to read as follows:

§ 622.7 Prohibitions.

* * * * *

(w) Fail to comply with the requirements for observer coverage as specified in § 622.8.

* * * * *

§ 622.9 [Amended]

■ 9. In § 622.9, the last sentence of paragraph (a)(2) is removed.

■ 10. In § 622.15, paragraph (d)(4) is revised to read as follows:

§ 622.15 Wreckfish individual transferable quota (ITQ) system.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(4) If a wreckfish is to be offloaded at a location other than a fixed facility of a dealer who holds a dealer permit for wreckfish, as required under § 622.4 (a)(4), the wreckfish shareholder or the vessel operator must advise NMFS Office for Law Enforcement, Southeast Region, St. Petersburg, FL, by telephone (727–824–5344), of the location not less than 24 hours prior to offloading.

■ 11. In § 622.16, a sentence is added to the beginning of paragraph (c)(3)(i), and the last sentence of paragraph (c)(3)(i) and paragraph (c)(3)(ii) are revised to read as follows:

§ 622.16 Gulf red snapper individual fishing quota (IFQ) program.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(3) * * *

(i) *Advance notice of landing*. For the purpose of this paragraph, landing means to arrive at a dock, berth, beach, seawall, or ramp. * * * Failure to comply with this advance notice of landing requirement is unlawful and will preclude authorization to complete the landing transaction report required in paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section and, thus, will preclude issuance of the required transaction approval code.

(ii) Time restriction on offloading. IFQ red snapper may be offloaded only between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m., local time.

* * * * *

■ 12. In § 622.17, paragraph (b)(4)(ii) is removed and reserved, and the third and fourth sentences in paragraph (b)(2) are revised to read as follows:

§ 622.17 South Atlantic golden crab controlled access.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) * * * A vessel with a documented length overall greater than 65 ft (19.8 m) with a permit to fish for golden crab in the southern zone may fish in that zone, consistent with the provisions of paragraph (b)(3) of this section. A vessel may possess golden crab only in a zone in which it is authorized to fish, except that other zones may be transited if the vessel notifies NMFS Office for Law Enforcement, Southeast Region, St. Petersburg, FL, by telephone (727–824–5344) in advance and does not fish in a zone in which it is not authorized to fish.

* * * * *

■ 13. In § 622.31, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

§ 622.31 Prohibited gear and methods.

* * * * *

(c) *Fish traps.* A fish trap may not be used or possessed in the Gulf or South Atlantic EEZ. A fish trap deployed in the Gulf or South Atlantic EEZ may be disposed of in any appropriate manner by the Assistant Administrator or an authorized officer.

* * * * *

§ 622.34 [Amended]

■ 14. In § 622.34, paragraph (g)(3) is removed, and paragraph (n) is removed and reserved.

■ 15. In § 622.37, paragraphs (d)(1)(ii) and (e)(1)(ii) are revised to read as follows:

§ 622.37 Size limits.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) Vermilion snapper—10 inches (25.4 cm), TL.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) Vermilion snapper—12 inches (30.5 cm), TL.

* * * * *

■ 16. In § 622.39, paragraphs (a)(2)(iv), and (b)(1)(ii) are revised; paragraph (b)(1)(ix) is removed and reserved; and paragraph (b)(1)(x) is added to read as follows:

§ 622.39 Bag and possession limits.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

(iv) When the vessel has on board or is tending any trap other than a stone crab trap or a spiny lobster trap.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) Groupers, combined, excluding goliath grouper and Nassau grouper—5 per person per day, but not to exceed 1 speckled hind or 1 warsaw grouper per vessel per day or 1 red grouper per person per day. However, no grouper may be retained by the captain or crew of a vessel operating as a charter vessel or headboat. The bag limit for such captain and crew is zero.

* * * * *

(x) Gulf reef fish, combined, excluding those specified in paragraphs (b)(1)(i), (ii) , (iv), (vi), (vii), and (viii) of this section and excluding dwarf sand perch and sand perch—20.

* * * * *

§ 622.40 [Amended]

■ 17. In § 622.40, paragraphs (a)(2), (b)(2), (c)(2), and (d)(1) are removed; paragraphs (a)(3), (b)(3), (c)(3), and (d)(2) are redesignated as paragraphs (a)(2), (b)(2), (c)(2), and (d)(1), respectively, and paragraph (d)(2) is added and reserved.

■ 18. In § 622.41, paragraphs (a)(4) and (i) are revised to read as follows:

§ 622.41 Species specific limitations.

(a) * * *

(4) Not less than 24 hours prior to harvest of aquacultured live rock, the owner or operator of the harvesting vessel must provide the following information to the NMFS Office for Law Enforcement, Southeast Region, St. Petersburg, FL, by telephone (727–824–5344):

* * * * *

(i) Gulf reef fish exhibiting trap rash. Possession of Gulf reef fish in or from the Gulf EEZ that exhibit trap rash is prima facie evidence of illegal trap use and is prohibited. For the purpose of this paragraph, trap rash is defined as physical damage to fish that characteristically results from contact with wire fish traps. Such damage includes, but is not limited to, broken fin spines, fin rays, or teeth; visually obvious loss of scales; and cuts or abrasions on the body of the fish, particularly on the head, snout, or mouth.

* * * * *

§ 622.42 [Amended]

■ 19. In § 622.42, paragraph (e)(1)(i) is removed and paragraphs (e)(1)(ii) and (iii) are redesignated as (e)(1)(i) and (ii), respectively.

§ 622.44 [Amended]

■ 20. In § 622.44, paragraph (c)(3)(i) is removed and paragraphs (c)(3)(ii) through (iv) are redesignated as paragraphs (c)(3)(i) through (iii), respectively.

§ 622.45 [Amended]

■ 21. In § 622.45, paragraph (c)(5) is removed.

■ 22. In addition to the amendments set forth above, in 50 CFR part 622, revise all references to ''NMFS, Office of Enforcement''; ''NMFS Office of Enforcement''; ''NMFS Office of Law Enforcement''; and ''NMFS Law Enforcement Office'' to read ''NMFS Office for Law Enforcement'' wherever they occur.

[FR Doc. E7–25584 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 061109296–7009–02]

RIN 0648–XE43

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Bluefish Fishery; Quota Transfer

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Temporary rule; inseason quota transfer.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces that the State of Florida is transferring commercial bluefish quota to the State of New York from its 2007 quota. By this action, NMFS adjusts the quotas and announces the revised commercial quota for each state involved.

DATES: Effective December 28, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emily Bryant, Fishery Management Specialist, (978) 281–9244, fax (978) 281–9135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Regulations governing the Atlantic bluefish fishery are found at 50 CFR part 648. The regulations require annual specification of a commercial quota that is apportioned among the coastal states from Florida through Maine. The process to set the annual commercial quota and the percent allocated to each state is described in § 648.160.

Two or more states, under mutual agreement and with the concurrence of the Administrator, Northeast Region, NMFS (Regional Administrator), can transfer or combine bluefish commercial quota under § 648.160(f). The Regional Administrator is required to consider the criteria set forth in § 648.160(f)(1) in the evaluation of requests for quota transfers or combinations.

Florida has agreed to transfer 100,000 lb (45,359 kg) of its 2007 commercial quota to New York. The Regional Administrator has determined that the criteria set forth in § 648.160(f)(1) have been met. The revised bluefish quotas for calendar year 2007 are: New York, 1,334,278 lb (605,218 kg); and Florida, 453,488 lb (205,699 kg).

Classification

This action is taken under 50 CFR part 648 and is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: December 28, 2007.

Alan D. Risenhoover

Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 07–6289 Filed 12–28–07; 2:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

Proposed Rules

Federal Register
Vol. 73, No. 2
Thursday, January 3, 2008

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

9 CFR Parts 2 and 3

[Docket No. 99–014–2]

RIN 0579–AC41

Animal Welfare; Climatic and Environmental Conditions for Transportation of Warmblooded Animals Other Than Marine Mammals

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal and reproposal.

SUMMARY: We are proposing to amend the Animal Welfare Act regulations regarding transportation of live animals other than marine mammals by removing the current ambient temperature requirements for various stages in the transportation of those animals. We would replace those requirements with a single performance standard under which the animals would be transported under climatic and environmental conditions that are appropriate for their welfare. The regulations currently require that ambient temperatures be maintained within certain ranges during transportation, but animals may be transported at ambient temperatures below the minimum temperatures if their consignor provides a certificate signed by a veterinarian certifying that the animals are acclimated to temperatures lower than the minimum temperature. This proposal would make acclimation certificates for live animals other than marine mammals unnecessary. This proposal replaces a previously published proposed rule, which we are withdrawing as part of this document, that would have required that the acclimation certificate for a dog or cat be signed by the owner of the dog or cat being transported rather than by a veterinarian. This proposal does not address marine mammals due to their unique requirements for care and handling. These changes would remove potentially confusing temperature requirements and acclimation certificate provisions from the regulations governing the transportation of animals other than marine mammals and focus those regulations on ensuring that climatic and environmental conditions are maintained appropriately during transportation of those animals.

DATES: We will consider all comments that we receive on or before March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by either of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&d=APHIS–2006–0150* to submit or view comments and to view supporting and related materials available electronically.
- *Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery:* Please send two copies of your comment to Docket No. 99–014–2, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3A–03.8, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238. Please state that your comment refers to Docket No. 99–014–2.

Reading Room: You may read any comments that we receive on this docket in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

Other Information: Additional information about APHIS and its programs is available on the Internet at *http://www.aphis.usda.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Jerry D. DePoyster, Veterinary Medical Officer, Animal Care, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 84, Riverdale, MD 20737–1234; (301) 734–7586.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under the Animal Welfare Act (AWA) (7 U.S.C. 2131 *et seq.*), the Secretary of Agriculture is authorized to promulgate regulations and standards governing the humane handling, housing, care, treatment, and transportation of certain animals by dealers, research facilities, exhibitors, and carriers and intermediate handlers. The Secretary has delegated the responsibility for enforcing the AWA to the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS). Regulations and standards established under the AWA are contained in 9 CFR parts 1, 2, and 3 (referred to below as the regulations). Parts 1 and 2 contain definitions and general requirements, and part 3 contains specific standards for the care of animals.

The regulations in part 3 are divided into six subparts, designated as subparts A through F, each of which contains facility and operating standards, animal health and husbandry standards, and transportation standards for a specific category of animals. Respectively, these categories of animals are: Dogs and cats (Subpart A); guinea pigs and hamsters (Subpart B); rabbits (Subpart C); nonhuman primates (Subpart D); marine mammals (Subpart E); and warmblooded animals other than those addressed in the previous subparts (Subpart F).

In each of these subparts, the final seven sections contain standards for the transportation of the type of animals addressed in the subpart. These transportation standards are very similar across the subparts, although some details of their requirements differ.

Each of the subparts specifies a range of ambient temperatures to which live animals may be exposed during transportation. For example, § 3.18 of subpart A contains minimum requirements for terminal facilities used in the transportation of dogs and cats. Among other things, § 3.18 requires that the ambient temperature in an animal holding area containing dogs and cats must not fall below 45 °F (7.2 °C) or rise above 85 °F (29.5 °C) for more than 4 consecutive hours at any time dogs or cats are present. Section 3.19 of subpart A contains minimum requirements for handling dogs and cats when they are moved within, to, or from an animal holding area of a terminal facility or a primary conveyance when being transported. Among other things, § 3.19 requires that dogs or cats must not be exposed to an ambient temperature below 45 °F (7.2 °C) or above 85 °F (29.5 °C) for a period of more than 45 minutes.

Section 3.13, paragraph (e), requires that carriers and intermediate handlers must not accept a dog or cat for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area meets the minimum temperature requirements provided in §§ 3.18 and 3.19, or unless the consigner provides them with a certificate signed by a veterinarian certifying that the animal is acclimated to temperatures lower than those required in §§ 3.18 and 3.19. Such a certificate is commonly referred to as an acclimation certificate.

Also related to climatic conditions, § 2.131 of the regulations contains requirements for the handling of animals that apply to all animals. Paragraph (e) of this section requires that whenever climatic conditions present a threat to an animal's health or well-being, appropriate measures must be taken to alleviate the impact of those conditions. This requirement is in addition to, not in place of, the specific temperature requirements in 9 CFR part 3.

The June 1999 Proposed Rule Regarding Acclimation Certificates

On June 7, 1999, we published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 30527–30529, Docket No. 99–014–1) a proposal to amend the regulations by requiring that acclimation certificates be signed by the owner of the dog or cat rather than by a veterinarian. We proposed this change because veterinarians are often asked to sign certificates of acclimation for dogs and cats that they have seen only for routine examinations or if the animals are ill. It is difficult for a veterinarian to determine if a dog or cat has been acclimated to a specific temperature based on a veterinary examination. Therefore, we determined that it was inappropriate to place responsibility for such certification on veterinarians.

We solicited comments concerning our proposal for 60 days ending August 6, 1999. We received a total of 19 comments by that date. They were from animal welfare organizations, veterinary medical associations, a federation of dog sports associations, and private citizens. The comments we received have led us to withdraw the June 1999 proposed rule and issue this reproposal. This reproposal would remove the current ambient temperature requirements for various stages in the transportation of dogs and cats, as well as all other live animals other than marine mammals. It would replace those requirements with a performance standard under which those animals must be transported under climatic conditions that are not detrimental to the animals' welfare. The comments are described below.

Of the 19 commenters, six commenters, all veterinary medical associations, strongly supported the proposal. These commenters stated that, under the current regulations, veterinarians are requested to issue acclimation certificates without being able to ensure the accuracy of the certificates, due to unfamiliarity with the animal to be transported under the acclimation certificate. Often, these commenters said, the veterinarian asked to issue the certificate has never before seen the animal for which the certificate is requested. Veterinarians who are unfamiliar with a dog or cat for which an acclimation certificate is requested must either deny certification due to their lack of knowledge about the animal or issue a certificate that is worded to reflect uncertainty about its appropriateness. The commenters stated that this could result in the veterinarian being exposed to liability if the animal being transported under an acclimation certificate suffers harm in transit. These commenters agreed that the owner of a dog or cat that is to be transported is likely to have the most knowledge about whether the animal is acclimated to temperatures below 45 °F (7.2 °C).

One commenter supported the proposal but stated that it was unclear to many regulated parties how the acclimation certificate is used and to what stages of the transportation of dogs and cats it applies. The commenter also expressed doubt that the requirements of the acclimation certificate were consistently enforced. This commenter urged that we reexamine the regulations to address other issues relating to the transportation of dogs and cats.

The other 12 commenters opposed the proposed rule. Noting that the analysis under the heading ''Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act'' in the proposed rule stated that licensed dealers transport animals more often than other dog and cat owners, these commenters were concerned that licensed dealers might have an interest in falsely certifying the animals they transport as being acclimated to ambient temperatures lower than 45 °F (7.2 °C) in order to reduce the cost of transporting the animals. Some of these commenters also expressed concern that individual dog and cat owners might lack the knowledge necessary to evaluate whether their dogs or cats are acclimated to low temperatures.

One of the commenters opposed to the proposal stated that the availability of the acclimation certificate, regardless of whether it is signed by a veterinarian or by the owner of the animal, has the potential to weaken the effectiveness of the temperature requirements in §§ 3.18 and 3.19. This commenter suggested that we eliminate the acclimation certificate entirely and add to our current temperature requirements a performance standard stating that ''at no time may an animal be exposed to a combination of temperature, humidity, and time that would pose a threat to the animal's health and well-being.'' The commenter's proposed language was drawn from paragraph (e) of § 2.131, which was quoted earlier in this document and which applies to the handling of all animals.

We agree with the commenters that there may be some confusion about the purpose and applicability of acclimation certificates. In addition, we agree that the acclimation certificate would not be necessary if a single performance standard for maintaining climatic and environmental conditions were in place instead of the temperature requirement.

Other considerations also indicate that a single performance standard may be preferable to the specific requirements for ambient temperature ranges currently in the regulations. A specific case arises in the regulations governing the transportation of dogs and cats. Besides the temperature requirements in §§ 3.18 and 3.19, which have been described previously in this document, § 3.15 requires that, during surface transportation, the ambient temperature may not exceed 85 °F (29.5 °C) or fall below 45 °F (7.2 °C) for more than 4 consecutive hours. While the time limits in §§ 3.15, 3.18, and 3.19 regarding exposure to temperatures outside the prescribed ranges provide some flexibility to carriers and intermediate handlers transporting dogs and cats, they could also result in dogs and cats being exposed to ambient temperatures outside the prescribed ranges during transport for lengthy periods. This is because the temperature requirements apply to different stages of transportation for dogs and cats, and each change in a stage of transportation allows for an additional period during which temperatures outside the prescribed range can be maintained without violating the regulations.

The regulations divide the transportation of dogs and cats into stages for regulatory purposes: Transportation in a primary conveyance; transportation from a terminal facility into a primary conveyance, from a primary conveyance into a terminal facility, or from a primary conveyance to another primary conveyance; and holding time in a terminal facility. A typical itinerary for the transportation of a dog or cat thus might include time at a terminal facility awaiting departure, time for

transportation from that terminal facility into a surface transportation primary conveyance, time in the primary conveyance, time for transportation from the primary conveyance to a destination terminal facility, and time at the destination terminal facility awaiting pick-up.

Under the current regulations, dogs and cats may be exposed to ambient temperatures above 85 °F (29.5 °C) or below 45 °F (7.2 °C) for up to 4 consecutive hours at a terminal facility, for up to 45 minutes during transportation between a terminal facility and a primary conveyance, and for up to 4 consecutive hours in a primary surface transportation conveyance. Dogs or cats following the typical itinerary described in the previous paragraph could conceivably be exposed to temperatures outside the prescribed ranges for up to 13.5 hours (4 hours each at the initial terminal facility, the primary conveyance, and the final terminal facility, and 45 minutes for two stages of transportation between the primary conveyance and the terminal facilities) without violating the specific ambient temperature requirements in the regulations.

The temperature requirements in §§ 3.15, 3.18, and 3.19 are in addition to, not in place of, other temperature requirements in 9 CFR parts 2 and 3, such as the requirements in § 2.131(e); therefore, if prolonged exposure to temperatures above 85 °F (29.5 °C) or below 45 °F (7.2 °C) was detrimental to the welfare of a dog or cat, the persons transporting that dog or cat would be required to take measures to avoid a situation like the one described above. We work with carriers and intermediate handlers to ensure that they are aware of all the requirements related to climatic and environmental conditions that apply to the transportation of animals. If we suspect that climatic or environmental conditions have not been properly maintained, an inspector will observe the animal for clinical signs of exposure to adverse conditions, examine the enclosure, and record the ambient temperature.

However, exposure to temperatures outside the prescribed ranges for the periods permitted under the current regulations for transportation of dogs and cats could adversely affect animal welfare, contrary to the regulations in § 2.131(e). The other regulations governing the transportation of live animals in part 3 also contain similar provisions allowing the ambient temperature to rise above or fall below prescribed limits for periods of time.

The regulations should ensure that live animals are transported in climatic and environmental conditions that provide for their continued welfare during transportation. A performance standard that replaces the specific temperature requirements and sets out the various factors in climatic and environmental conditions that need to be addressed and the hazards of transportation in suboptimal climatic and environmental conditions that must be avoided would provide for more flexibility while ensuring that live animals are transported in conditions that promote their welfare.

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed above, we are proposing to remove the provisions for an acclimation certificate from the regulations governing the transportation of all live animals, except marine mammals, in part 3. (Marine mammals have unique requirements for climatic and environmental conditions, and we believe the more specific standards that currently apply to them under subpart E of 9 CFR part 3 are necessary to ensure their welfare.) We are also proposing to remove from the regulations all the requirements that the ambient temperature be maintained within a specific temperature range for live animals other than marine mammals and replace those requirements with a single performance standard for maintaining climatic and environmental conditions that promote the welfare of live animals during their transportation. The new performance standard is described below.

Proposed Performance Standard for Climatic and Environmental Conditions During Transportation of Live Animals Other Than Marine Mammals

We would add the proposed performance standard to the regulations in 9 CFR part 2 by adding a new paragraph (f) in § 2.131 that is specific to climatic and environmental conditions during transportation of live animals other than marine mammals.

Paragraph (f)(1) of the proposed performance standard would state that transportation of all live animals shall be done in a manner that does not cause overheating, excessive cooling, or adverse environmental conditions that could cause unnecessary discomfort or stress. When climatic or environmental conditions, including temperature, humidity, exposure, ventilation, pressurization, time, or other environmental condition, or any combination thereof, present a threat to the health or well-being of a live animal, appropriate measures would have to be taken immediately to alleviate the impact of those conditions. The different climatic and environmental factors prevailing during a journey would always have to be considered when arranging for the transportation of and when transporting live animals. Corrections may include, but would not be limited to:

- The temperature and humidity level of any enclosure used during transportation of live animals would have to be controlled by adequate ventilation or any other means necessary;
- Appropriate care would have to be taken to ensure that live animals are not subjected to drafts;
- Appropriate care would have to be taken to ensure that live animals are not exposed to direct heat, such as placement in direct sunlight or near a hot radiator;
- Appropriate care would have to be taken to ensure that live animals are not exposed to direct sources of cold, and;
- During prolonged air transit stops in local climatic conditions that could produce excess heat for live animals held in aircraft compartments, the aircraft doors would have to be opened and if necessary ground equipment would have to be used to control the condition of the air within compartments containing live animals.

Proposed paragraph (f)(2) of the performance standard provides examples of factors to consider when meeting the requirements of proposed paragraph (f)(1). Paragraph (f)(2) would state that, in order to determine what climatic and environmental conditions are appropriate for a warmblooded animal, factors such as, but not limited to, the animal's age, type or breed, physiological state, last feeding, and acclimation would have to be considered when such information is available.

Proposed Changes to 9 CFR Part 3

As part of adding the new performance standard in § 2.131(f), we would amend references to specific standards for climatic and environmental conditions in each of the six subparts in 9 CFR part 3, except the marine mammals subpart, to refer to § 2.131(f). We would also add new sections at the end of each of the six subparts in 9 CFR part 3, except the marine mammals subpart, that would state that climatic and environmental conditions for the transport of the animals in question would have to be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f). The new sections would be added to the regulations as §§ 3.20 (dogs and cats), 3.42 (guinea pigs and hamsters), 3.67 (rabbits), 3.93 (nonhuman primates), and 3.143 (warmblooded animals other

than dogs, cats, rabbits, hamsters, guinea pigs, nonhuman primates, and marine mammals). Each of the sections would be titled ''Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation.''

The transportation requirements in the subparts of 9 CFR part 3 are structured similarly, with identical section titles addressing various aspects of the transportation of live animals. The changes we are proposing for the regulations governing the transportation of the various types of live animals other than marine mammals are similar as well. We will discuss the changes we are proposing in general terms and cite the paragraphs we are proposing to change below. A complete list of the changes we are proposing to make in 9 CFR part 3 can be found in the regulatory text at the end of this document.

• *Consignments to carriers and intermediate handlers.* These sections currently contain provisions stating that carriers and intermediate handlers must not accept a live animal for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area can maintain a required temperature range or unless the animal being transported is being transported with an acclimation certificate. We would replace these provisions with a statement that carriers and intermediate handlers must not accept a live animal for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area can maintain climatic and environmental conditions in accordance with the proposed performance standard in § 2.131(f). This change would be made in §§ 3.13(e), 3.35(c), 3.60(c), 3.86(e), and 3.136(c).

• *Primary conveyances (motor vehicle, rail, air, and marine).* These sections currently contain various requirements related to maintaining climatic conditions while in transit in a primary conveyance. For example, the regulations in § 3.15(d) require that, during air transportation, dogs and cats must be held in cargo areas that are heated or cooled as necessary to maintain an ambient temperature that ensures the health and well-being of the dogs or cats. Paragraph (e) in § 3.15 contains temperature requirements for surface transportation. The regulations for rabbits in § 3.62(g) contain requirements for the use of auxiliary fans and for the maintenance of ambient temperatures. All these requirements (and other, similar requirements) would be replaced with provisions requiring that, during transportation, climatic and environmental conditions in the animal cargo area must be maintained in accordance with the proposed performance standard in § 2.131(f). This change would be made in §§ 3.15(d) and (e), 3.37(g), 3.62(g), and 3.88(d) and (e).

• *Care in transit.* These sections require, among other things, that persons transporting live animals in commerce must observe the animals periodically to ensure that the ambient temperature is within the limits prescribed elsewhere in the relevant subpart. We are proposing to require instead that such persons ensure that climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the proposed performance standard in § 2.131(f). This change would be made in §§ 3.17, 3.39(a), 3.64(a), 3.90, and 3.140.

• *Terminal facilities.* These sections contain ambient temperature requirements for the animal holding areas at terminal facilities. We would replace these requirements with requirements that the climatic and environmental conditions in animal holding areas be maintained in accordance with the proposed performance standard in § 2.131(f). This change would be made in §§ 3.18(d), 3.40, 3.65, 3.91(d), and 3.141.

• *Handling.* In all these sections, paragraph (a) contains various requirements relating to the handling of live animals as they are moved within, to, or from the animal holding area of a terminal facility or a primary conveyance. We are proposing to remove all the requirements relating to maintaining an ambient temperature and replace them with a new paragraph (a)(3) that would require that climatic and environmental conditions be maintained in accordance with the proposed performance standard in § 2.131(f). However, we would retain the specific requirements that live animals be sheltered from the direct rays of the sun and that protection be provided to allow the live animals to remain dry during rain, snow, and other precipitation. These would be found in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2), respectively. This change would be made in §§ 3.19, 3.41, 3.66, 3.92, and 3.141.

Miscellaneous

We also propose to correct typographical errors in §§ 3.35, 3.36, 3.64, and 3.137.

Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12866. The rule has been determined to be significant for the purposes of Executive Order 12866 and, therefore, has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

We have prepared an economic analysis for this proposed rule. It provides a cost-benefit analysis, as required by Executive Order 12866, as well as an initial regulatory flexibility analysis that considers the potential economic effects of this proposed rule on small entities, as required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The economic analysis is summarized below. Copies of the full economic analysis are available by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** or on the Regulations.gov Web site (see **ADDRESSES** above for instructions for accessing Regulations.gov).

APHIS has promulgated minimum standards for the humane transportation, in commerce, of live dogs, cats, guinea pigs, hamsters, rabbits, nonhuman primates, marine mammals, and certain other warmblooded animals. Among other things, the standards govern the animals' exposure to temperature extremes during the various stages of transportation (*e.g.*, while in animal holding areas of terminals, while in primary conveyances). The current regulations generally prescribe ambient temperature ranges, usually from a low of 45 °F to a high of 85 °F. Animals may be exposed to lower temperatures as long as the consignor provides a certificate, signed by a veterinarian, certifying that the animal has been acclimated to temperatures outside the prescribed range. Some animals, including dogs and cats, may also be exposed to temperatures outside the prescribed temperature range for specified time periods.

The proposed rule would remove the current ambient temperature requirements for all covered animals except marine mammals and replace those requirements with a single performance standard under which the animals would have to be transported under climatic and environmental conditions that are not detrimental to their welfare. The proposal would also remove the current provisions relating to acclimation certificates for live animals other than marine mammals, since those certificates would no longer be necessary.

The proposed changes are intended to ensure that the affected animals are transported in climatic conditions that are not detrimental to their welfare while allowing for variations as to what climatic conditions are suitable for individual animals.

The proposed rule has the potential to have an economic impact on carriers and intermediate handlers that accept the affected animals for transport in

commerce. Consignors and practicing veterinarians would no longer have to provide acclimation certificates, and therefore the rule would relieve them from having to fulfill a requirement. Veterinarians would forego the fees that they might otherwise charge consignor-owners for certifications, but any such fees are likely to be insignificant, when judged against the veterinarians' overall revenues from all sources.

From an economic standpoint, the proposal has the potential to impact carriers and intermediate handlers-large and small-because compliance may require that they modify the climatic conditions to which they currently expose animals. However, based on our experience enforcing the regulations, it appears that, for most carriers and handlers, a modification of existing climatic conditions would not be necessary, since those conditions appear to be appropriate already. In addition, the proposed rule would afford carriers and intermediate handlers some flexibility in providing appropriate climatic conditions for each animal they transport. Within the overall carrier and handler category, the airline and to a lesser extent motor freight line industries are most likely to include entities affected by the proposed rule.

It is likely that the rule may affect an unknown number of small entities. Although we believe that the proposal would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, hard data to support that conclusion is not available. Accordingly, we have prepared this initial regulatory flexibility analysis so that the public may have the opportunity to offer comments on expected effects of the proposed rule on small entities.

Executive Order 12372

This program/activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.025 and is subject to Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. (See 7 CFR part 3015, subpart V.)

Executive Order 12988

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. It is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule would not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule. The Act does not provide administrative procedures which must be exhausted prior to a judicial challenge to the provisions of this rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposed rule contains no new information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). Further, this proposed rule would reduce information collection or recordkeeping requirements in 9 CFR part 3.

Lists of Subjects

9 CFR Part 2

Animal welfare, Pets, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Research.

9 CFR Part 3

Animal welfare, Marine mammals, Pets, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Research, Transportation.

Accordingly, we are proposing to amend 9 CFR parts 2 and 3 as follows:

PART 2—REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 2 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 2131–2159; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.7.

2. In § 2.131, a new paragraph (f) is added to read as follows:

§ 2.131 Handling of animals.

* * * * *

(f)(1) Transportation of all live animals shall be done in a manner that does not cause overheating, excessive cooling, or adverse environmental conditions that could cause unnecessary discomfort or stress. When climatic or environmental conditions, including temperature, humidity, exposure, ventilation, pressurization, time, or other environmental conditions, or any combination thereof, present a threat to the health or well-being of a live animal, appropriate measures shall be taken immediately to alleviate the impact of those conditions. The different climatic and environmental factors prevailing during a journey shall be considered when arranging for the transportation of and when transporting live animals. Corrections may include, but would not be limited to:

(i) The temperature and humidity level of any enclosure used during transportation of live animals must be controlled by adequate ventilation or any other means necessary;

(ii) Appropriate care must be taken to ensure that live animals are not subjected to drafts;

(iii) Appropriate care must be taken to ensure that live animals are not exposed to direct heat, such as placement in direct sunlight or near a hot radiator;

(iv) Appropriate care must be taken to ensure that live animals are not exposed to direct sources of cold; and

(v) During prolonged air transit stops in local climatic conditions that could produce excessive heat for live animals held in aircraft compartments, the aircraft doors shall be opened and if necessary ground equipment shall be used to control the condition of the air within compartments containing live animals.

(2) In order to determine what climatic and environmental conditions are appropriate for a live animal, factors such as, but not limited to, the animal's age, type or breed, physiological state, last feeding, and acclimation shall be considered when such information is available.

PART 3—STANDARDS

3. The authority citation for part 3 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 2131–2159; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.7.

4. In § 3.13, paragraph (e) is revised to read as set forth below.

§ 3.13 Consignments to carriers and intermediate handlers.

* * * * *

(e) Carriers and intermediate handlers shall not accept a dog or cat for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area can maintain climatic and environmental conditions in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

5. Section 3.15 is amended as follows:

a. By revising paragraph (d) to read as set forth below.

b. By removing paragraph (e) and redesignating paragraphs (f), (g), and (h) as paragraphs (e), (f), and (g), respectively.

§ 3.15 Primary conveyances (motor vehicle, rail, air, and marine).

* * * * *

(d) During transportation, the climatic and environmental conditions in the animal cargo area shall be maintained in accordance with § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

§ 3.17 [Amended]

6. Section 3.17 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (a), by removing the words ''the ambient temperature is within the limits provided in § 3.15(e)'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f)'' in their place.

b. In paragraph (b), by removing the words ''the animal cargo area meets the heating and cooling requirements of § 3.15(d)'' and adding the words

''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in the animal cargo area in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f)'' in their place.

7. Section 3.18 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (c), by removing the last sentence.

b. By revising paragraph (d) to read as set forth below.

§ 3.18 Terminal facilities.

* * * * *

(d) Climatic and environmental conditions. The climatic and environmental conditions in an animal holding area containing dogs and cats shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

8. In § 3.19, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 3.19 Handling.

(a) Any person subject to the Animal Welfare regulations (9 CFR parts 1, 2, and 3) who moves (including loading and unloading) dogs or cats within, to, or from the animal holding area of a terminal facility or a primary conveyance shall do so as quickly and efficiently as possible and shall provide the following during movement of the dog or cat:

(1) *Shelter from sunlight and extreme heat.* Sufficient shade shall be provided to protect the dog or cat from the direct rays of the sun.

(2) *Shelter from rain and snow.* Sufficient protection shall be provided to allow the dogs and cats to remain dry during rain, snow, and other precipitation.

(3) *Climatic and environmental conditions.* Climatic and environmental conditions during movement shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

9. A new § 3.20 is added to read as follows:

§ 3.20 Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation.

Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation of dogs and cats shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f) of this subchapter.

10. Section 3.35 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (a), by removing the word ''govenment'' and adding the word ''government'' in its place.

b. By revising paragraph (c) to read as set forth below.

§ 3.35 Consignments to carriers and intermediate handlers.

* * * * *

(c) Carriers and intermediate handlers shall not accept a live guinea pig or hamster for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area can maintain climatic and environmental conditions in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

§ 3.36 [Amended]

11. In § 3.36, paragraph (b) is amended by removing the word ''tranported'' and adding the word ''transported'' in its place.

12. In § 3.37, paragraph (g) is amended by removing the second and third sentences and adding a new second sentence to read as follows:

§ 3.37 Primary conveyances (motor vehicle, rail, air, and marine).

* * * * *

(g) * * * During transportation, the climatic and environmental conditions in the animal cargo area shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

§ 3.39 [Amended]

13. In § 3.39, paragraph (a) is amended as follows:

a. In the first sentence, by removing the words ''their ambient temperatures are within the prescribed limits,'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f),'' in their place.

b. In the third sentence, by removing the words ''their ambient temperatures are within the prescribed limits,'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f), and'' in their place.

14. Section 3.40 is amended by removing the fifth, sixth, and seventh sentences and adding a new fifth sentence to read as follows:

§ 3.40 Terminal facilities.

* * * Climatic and environmental conditions in the animal holding area shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

15. In § 3.41, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 3.41 Handling.

(a) Any person subject to the Animal Welfare regulations (9 CFR parts 1, 2, and 3) who moves (including loading and unloading) live guinea pigs or hamsters within, to, or from the animal holding area of a terminal facility or a primary conveyance shall do so as quickly and efficiently as possible and shall provide the following during movement of the live guinea pig or hamster:

(1) *Shelter from sunlight and extreme heat.* Sufficient shade shall be provided to protect the live guinea pigs and hamsters from the direct rays of the sun.

(2) *Shelter from rain and snow.* Sufficient protection shall be provided to allow the live guinea pigs and hamsters to remain dry during rain, snow, and other precipitation.

(3) *Climatic and environmental conditions.* Climatic and environmental conditions during movement shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

16. A new § 3.42 is added to read as follows:

§ 3.42 Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation.

Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation of live guinea pigs and hamsters shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f) of this subchapter.

17. Section 3.60 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as set forth below:

§ 3.60 Consignments to carriers and intermediate handlers.

* * * * *

(c) Carriers and intermediate handlers shall not accept a live rabbit for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area can maintain climatic and environmental conditions in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

18. In § 3.62, paragraph (g) is amended by removing the second and third sentences and adding a new second sentence to read as follows:

§ 3.62 Primary conveyances (motor vehicle, rail, air, and marine).

* * * * *

(g) * * * During transportation, the climatic and environmental conditions in the animal cargo area shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

§ 3.64 [Amended]

19. In § 3.64, paragraph (a) is amended as follows:

a. In the first sentence, by removing the word ''th'' and adding the word ''the'' in its place; by removing the words ''their ambient temperatures are within the prescribed limits,'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f),'' in their

place; and by removing the word ''disress'' and adding the word ''distress'' in its place.

b. In the third sentence, by removing the words ''their ambient temperatures are within the prescribed limits,'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f), and'' in their place.

20. Section 3.65 is amended by removing the fifth, sixth, and seventh sentences and adding a new fifth sentence to read as follows:

§ 3.65 Terminal facilities.

* * * Climatic and environmental conditions in the animal holding area shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

21. In § 3.66, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 3.66 Handling.

(a) Any person subject to the Animal Welfare regulations (9 CFR parts 1, 2, and 3) who moves (including loading and unloading) live rabbits within, to, or from the animal holding area of a terminal facility or a primary conveyance shall do so as quickly and efficiently as possible and shall provide the following during movement of the live rabbits:

(1) *Shelter from sunlight and extreme heat.* Sufficient shade shall be provided to protect the live rabbits from the direct rays of the sun.

(2) *Shelter from rain and snow.* Sufficient protection shall be provided to allow the live rabbits to remain dry during rain, snow, and other precipitation.

(3) *Climatic and environmental conditions.* Climatic and environmental conditions during movement shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

22. A new § 3.67 is added to read as follows:

§ 3.67 Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation.

Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation of live rabbits shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f) of this subchapter.

23. Section 3.86 is amended by revising paragraph (e) to read as set forth below:

§ 3.86 Consignments to carriers and intermediate handlers.

* * * * *

(e) Carriers and intermediate handlers shall not accept a nonhuman primate for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area can maintain climatic and environmental conditions in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

24. Section 3.88 is amended as follows:

a. By revising paragraph (d) to read as follows.

b. By removing paragraph (e) and redesignating paragraphs (f) through (i) as paragraphs (e) through (h), respectively.

§ 3.88 Primary conveyances (motor vehicle, rail, air, and marine).

* * * * *

(d) During transportation, the climatic conditions in the animal cargo area shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

25. In § 3.90, paragraphs (a) and (b) are amended by removing the words ''the ambient temperature is within the limits provided in § 3.88(d) of this subpart'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f)'' in their place.

26. Section 3.91 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (c), by removing the last sentence.

b. By revising paragraph (d) to read as set forth below.

§ 3.91 Terminal facilities.

* * * * *

(d) *Climatic and environmental conditions.* The climatic and environmental conditions in an animal holding area containing nonhuman primates shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

27. In § 3.92, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 3.92 Handling.

(a) Any person subject to the Animal Welfare regulations (9 CFR parts 1, 2, and 3) who moves (including loading and unloading) nonhuman primates within, to, or from the animal holding area of a terminal facility or a primary conveyance shall do so as quickly and efficiently as possible and shall provide the following during movement of the nonhuman primates:

(1) *Shelter from sunlight and extreme heat.* Sufficient shade shall be provided to protect the nonhuman primates from the direct rays of the sun.

(2) *Shelter from rain and snow.* Sufficient protection shall be provided to allow the nonhuman primates to remain dry during rain, snow, and other precipitation.

(3) *Climatic and environmental conditions.* Climatic and environmental conditions during movement shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

28. A new § 3.93 is added to read as follows:

§ 3.93 Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation.

Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation of nonhuman primates shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f) of this subchapter.

29. Section 3.136 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 3.136 Consignments to carriers and intermediate handlers.

* * * * *

(c) Carriers and intermediate handlers shall not accept a live animal for transport in commerce unless their animal holding area can maintain climatic and environmental conditions in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

§ 3.137 [Amended]

30. In § 3.137, paragraph (a)(3) is amended by removing the word ''opernings'' and adding the word ''openings'' in its place.

§ 3.140 [Amended]

31. In § 3.140, paragraph (a) is amended as follows:

a. In the first sentence, by removing the words ''their ambient temperatures are within the prescribed limits,'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f),'' in their place.

b. In the third sentence, by removing the words ''their ambient temperatures are within the prescribed limits,'' and adding the words ''climatic and environmental conditions are being maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f), and'' in their place.

32. Section 3.141 is amended by removing the fifth, sixth, and seventh sentences and adding a new fifth sentence to read as follows:

§ 3.141 Terminal facilities.

* * *Climatic and environmental conditions in the animal holding area shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

33. In § 3.142, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 3.142 Handling.

(a) Any person subject to the Animal Welfare regulations (9 CFR parts 1, 2, and 3) who moves (including loading and unloading) live animals within, to, or from the animal holding area of a terminal facility or a primary conveyance shall do so as quickly and efficiently as possible and shall provide the following during movement of the live animals:

(1) *Shelter from sunlight and extreme heat.* Sufficient shade shall be provided to protect the live animals from the direct rays of the sun.

(2) *Shelter from rain and snow.* Sufficient protection shall be provided to allow the live animals to remain dry during rain, snow, and other precipitation.

(3) *Climatic and environmental conditions.* Climatic and environmental conditions during movement shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f).

* * * * *

34. A new § 3.143 is added to read as follows:

§ 3.143 Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation.

Climatic and environmental conditions during transportation of live animals shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of § 2.131(f) of this subchapter.

Done in Washington, DC, this 26th day of December 2007.

Kevin Shea,

Acting Under Secretary for Marketing and Regulatory Programs.

[FR Doc. E7–25530 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

12 CFR Part 361

RIN 3064–AD23

Minority and Women Outreach Program Contracting

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This proposal would amend existing FDIC regulations regarding the FDIC's contracting activities under its Minority and Women Outreach Program (MWOP). These are relatively minor amendments designed to eliminate several provisions rendered obsolete by significant reductions in FDIC contracting activities and decreases in FDIC staff to monitor the contracting activities of Minority and Women-Owned Businesses (MWOBs).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Agency Web site: http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/laws/federal/propose.html.* Follow instructions for submitting comments on the Agency Web site.
- *E-mail: Comments@FDIC.gov.* Include ''Part 361—Minority and Women Outreach Program Contracting'' in the subject line of the message.
- *Mail:* Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary, Attention: Comments, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20429.
- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street Building (located on F Street) on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m.
- *Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.*

Public Inspection: All comments received will be posted without change to *http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/laws/federal* including any personal information provided. Comments may be inspected and photocopied in the FDIC Public Information Center, 3501 North Fairfax Drive, Room E–1002, Arlington, VA 22226, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. on business days. Paper copies of public comments may be ordered from the Public Information Center by telephone at (877) 275–3342 or (703) 562–2200.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert P. Elcan, Chief, Minority & Women Outreach Program Section, Office of Diversity and Economic Opportunity, at *RElcan@fdic.gov* or (703) 562–6070; or Trisha M. Bursey, Assistant Director, Division of Administration, Acquisition Services Branch, Policy and Operations Section, at *TBursey@fdic.gov* or (703) 562–2212; or Chris J. Conanan, Counsel, Legal Division, Corporate Operations Branch, Corporate and Legal Operations Section, Contracting and Internal Review Unit, at *CConanan@fdic.gov* or (703) 562–2335.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 1216 of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 provides that the FDIC shall ''prescribe regulations to establish and oversee a minority outreach program[s] * * * to ensure inclusion, to the maximum extent possible, of minorities and women, and entities owned by minorities and women * * * in all contracts entered into by the agency * * *.'' 12 U.S.C. 1833e(c). Since 1992, the FDIC has promulgated Outreach Regulations implementing this statutory directive and has used various approaches to ensure the maximum inclusion of MWOBs in contracts entered into by the agency, including, among other things, formal solicitations and a focus on Small Disadvantaged Businesses (SDBs). The MWOP is administered by the FDIC's Office of Diversity and Economic Opportunity (ODEO); however, actual contracts are awarded by the FDIC through the Acquisition Services Branch, Division of Administration.

Nonetheless, since promulgation of the Outreach Regulations, and amendments made in 1995 and 2000, FDIC contracting activities have significantly declined, which has resulted in fewer contract awards to MWOBs. Consequently, FDIC staff involved in MWOB registration, monitoring, and data collection has been significantly reduced, and the provisions in the Outreach Regulations that correspond to these staff activities have not been operational for several years. For these reasons, the FDIC proposes elimination of obsolete regulatory provisions. Specifically, the FDIC proposes to eliminate current regulatory provisions that call for registration of MWOBs; quarterly MWOB data reports from Offices or Divisions within the FDIC to ODEO; and the designation of MWOP coordinators within FDIC Offices or Divisions.

II. Request for Comments

The FDIC welcomes comments on all aspects of this proposal.

III. Solicitation of Comments on Use of Plain Language

Section 722 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Pub. L. 106–102, sec. 722, 113 Stat. 1338, 1471 (Nov. 12, 1999), requires the federal banking agencies to use plain language in all proposed and final rules published after January 1, 2000. We invite your comments on how to make this proposal easier to understand. For example:

- Have we organized the material to suit your needs? If not, how could this material be better organized?
- Are the requirements in the proposed regulation clearly stated? If not, how could the regulation be more clearly stated?
- Does the proposed regulation contain language or jargon that is not clear? If so, which language requires clarification?

• Would a different format (grouping and order of sections, use of headings, paragraphing) make the regulation easier to understand? If so, what changes to the format would make the regulation easier to understand?

• What else could we do to make the regulation easier to understand?

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) requires that each Federal agency either certify that a proposed rule would not, if adopted in final form, have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities or prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) of the proposal and publish the analysis for comment. See 5 U.S.C. 603, 605. The proposed rule primarily affects the internal operations of the FDIC, does not impose any obligations or restrictions on depository institutions, including small depository institutions, and does not impact the contracting opportunities of small businesses or SDBs. The FDIC certifies pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule, if it is adopted in final form, will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Commenters are nevertheless invited to provide the FDIC with any information they may have about the likely quantitative effects of the proposal.

V. Paperwork Reduction Act

The FDIC has determined that this proposed rule does not involve a collection of information pursuant to the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

VI. The Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 1999— Assessment of Federal Regulations and Policies on Families

The FDIC has determined that the proposed rule will not affect family well-being within the meaning of section 654 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, enacted as part of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 105–277, 112 Stat. 2681).

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 361

Government contracts, Individuals with disabilities, Lawyers, Legal services, Minority businesses, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Women.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation proposes to amend title 12, chapter III, of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 361—MINORITY AND WOMEN OUTREACH PROGRAM CONTRACTING

1. The authority citation for part 361 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1833e.

2. Revise § 361.3 to read as follows:

§ 361.3 Who may participate in this outreach program?

Any MWOB contractor qualified to provide goods and services to the FDIC.

3. Revise § 361.5 to read as follows:

§ 361.5 What are the FDIC's oversight and monitoring responsibilities in administering this program?

The FDIC Office of Diversity and Economic Opportunity (ODEO) has overall responsibility for nationwide outreach oversight which includes, but is not limited to, the monitoring, review and interpretation of relevant regulations. In addition, the ODEO is responsible for providing the FDIC with technical assistance and guidance to facilitate the identification and solicitation of MWOBs. ODEO shall also collect and analyze data on contracting dollars awarded to MWOBs as provided by the FDIC's Division of Administration.

4. Revise § 361.6 to read as follows:

§ 361.6 What outreach efforts are included in this program?

Outreach includes the identification and solicitation of MWOBs who can provide goods and services to the FDIC and the distribution of information concerning the MWOP. The identification and solicitation of MWOBs for the provision of legal and non-legal services will primarily be accomplished by:

(a) Obtaining lists and directories of MWOBs maintained by other federal, state, and local governmental agencies;

(b) Participating in conventions, seminars and professional meetings comprised of, or attended predominately by MWOBs;

(c) Conducting seminars, meetings, workshops and other various functions to promote the identification and solicitation of MWOBs;

(d) Placing MWOP promotional advertisements in minority- and women-owned media indicating opportunities with the FDIC; and

(e) Monitoring FDIC staff interacting with the contracting community to ensure they are knowledgeable of, and actively promote the MWOP.

By order of the Board of Directors.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 19th day of December 2007.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Valerie J. Best,

Assistant Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25028 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[REG–136701–07]

RIN1545–BH04

Diversification Requirements for Certain Defined Contribution Plans

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains proposed regulations under section 401(a)(35) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) relating to diversification requirements for certain defined contribution plans and to publicly traded employer securities. These regulations will affect administrators of, employers maintaining, participants in, and beneficiaries of defined contribution plans that are invested in employer securities.

DATES: Written or electronic comments and requests for a public hearing must be received by April 2, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send submissions to: CC:PA:LPD:PR (Reg–136701–07), room 5203, Internal Revenue Service, PO Box 7604, Ben Franklin Station, Washington DC 20044. Submissions may be hand-delivered Monday through Friday between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. to: CC:PA:LPD:PR (Reg–136701–07), Courier's Desk, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, or sent electronically via the Federal eRulemaking Portal at *http://www.regulations.gov* (IRS REG–136701–07).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Concerning the regulations, R. Lisa Mojiri-Azad or Dana Barry at (202) 622–6060; concerning submission of comments or to request a public hearing, Kelly Banks at (202) 622–7180 (not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This document contains proposed regulations under section 401(a)(35) of the Code, which was added by section

901 of the Pension Protection Act of 2006, Public Law 109–280, 120 Stat. 780 (PPA '06).[1]

Section 401(a)(35)(A) provides that a trust which is part of an applicable defined contribution plan is not a qualified trust under section 401(a) unless the plan satisfies the diversification requirements of sections 401(a)(35)(B), (C), and (D). Under section 401(a)(35)(B), each individual must have the right to direct the plan to divest employer securities allocated to the individual's account that are attributable to employee contributions or elective deferrals and to reinvest an equivalent amount in other investment options meeting the requirements of section 401(a)(35)(D).[2]

Under section 401(a)(35)(C), each individual who is a participant who has completed at least three years of service, a beneficiary of a participant who has completed at least three years of service, or a beneficiary of a deceased participant must be permitted to elect to direct the plan to divest employer securities allocated to the individual's account and to reinvest an equivalent amount in other investment options meeting the requirements of section 401(a)(35)(D).

Section 401(a)(35)(D)(i) requires an applicable defined contribution plan to offer individuals not less than three investment options, other than employer securities, to which the individuals may direct the proceeds from the divestment of employer securities, each of which is diversified and has materially different risk and return characteristics.

Under section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(I), a plan does not fail to meet the requirements of section 401(a)(35)(D) if it allows individuals to divest employer securities and reinvest the proceeds at periodic, reasonable opportunities occurring no less frequently than quarterly.

Under section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II), a plan is not permitted to impose restrictions or conditions with respect to the investment of employer securities that are not imposed on the investment of other assets of the plan. However, this rule does not apply to restrictions or conditions imposed to comply with securities laws. The Secretary is authorized to issue regulations providing additional exceptions to the requirements of section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II).

An applicable defined contribution plan under section 401(a)(35) is a defined contribution plan that holds any publicly traded employer securities. A publicly traded employer security is defined as an employer security under section 407(d)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, Public Law 93–406, 88 Stat. 829 (ERISA) which is readily tradable on an established securities market. Section 401(a)(35)(F)(i) provides that a plan that does not hold publicly traded employer securities is nevertheless treated as holding publicly traded employer securities if any employer corporation or any member of a controlled group of corporations which includes the employer (determined by applying section 1563(a), except substituting 50 percent for 80 percent) has issued a class of stock that is a publicly traded employer security. However, section 401(a)(35)(F) does not apply to a plan if no employer corporation, or parent corporation (as defined in section 424(e)) of an employer corporation, has issued any publicly traded employer security and no employer or parent corporation has issued any special class of stock which grants particular rights to, or bears particular risks for, the holder or issuer with respect to any corporation described in section 401(a)(35)(F)(i) which has issued any publicly traded employer security.

Section 401(a)(35)(E) provides that section 401(a)(35) does not apply to an employee stock ownership plan within the meaning of section 4975(e)(7) (ESOP) that holds no contributions (or earnings thereunder) that are subject to section 401(k) or (m) (generally relating to elective deferrals and matching and employee after-tax contributions) and the ESOP is a separate plan for purposes of section 414(l) with respect to any other defined benefit plan or defined contribution plan maintained by the same employer or employers. Section 401(a)(35)(E) further provides that section 401(a)(35) does not apply to one-participant retirement plans.

Section 401(a)(35) is generally effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2006. Section 401(a)(35)(H) generally provides a three year phase-in rule with respect to an individual's right to direct the divestment of employer securities attributable to employer contributions, except with respect to certain participants who have attained age 55. Section 901(c)(2) of PPA '06 includes a special rule for a plan maintained pursuant to one or more collective bargaining agreements between employee representatives and one or more employers that was ratified on or before August 17, 2006. Under this rule, section 401(a)(35) is not effective until plan years beginning after the earlier of (1) the later of (a) December 31, 2007 or (b) the date on which the last of such collective bargaining agreements terminates (determined without regard to any extension thereof after August 17, 2006) or (2) December 31, 2008.

Notice 2006–107 (2006–2 CB 1114 (December 18, 2006)) (see § 601.601(d)(2)(ii)(*b*) of this chapter), includes guidance and transitional rules with respect to the diversification requirements of section 401(a)(35).[3] Notice 2006–107 provides that a plan (and an investment option described in section 401(a)(35)(D)(i)) is not treated as holding employer securities to which section 401(a)(35) applies with respect to any securities held through either an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 or a similar pooled investment vehicle that is regulated and subject to periodic examination by a State or Federal agency and with respect to which investment in securities is made both in accordance with the stated investment objectives of the investment vehicle and independent of the employer and any affiliate thereof, but only if the holdings of the investment company or similar investment vehicle are diversified so as to minimize the risk of large losses. Notice 2006–107 also provides that investment options satisfy the requirement that investment options be diversified and have materially different risk and return characteristics under section 401(a)(35)(D)(i) if the investment options satisfy the requirements of section 2550.404c–1(b)(3) of the Department of Labor regulations.

Notice 2006–107 further provides that, for purposes of section 401(a)(35), the date on which a participant completes three years of service occurs immediately after the end of the third

[1] Section 901 of PPA '06 also added a parallel provision at section 204(j) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, Public Law 93–406, 88 Stat. 829 (ERISA). Under section 101 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713), the Secretary of Treasury has interpretative jurisdiction over the subject matter addressed in these proposed regulations for purposes of section 204(j) of ERISA. Thus, the guidance provided in these proposed regulations with respect to section 401(a)(35) of the Code also applies for purposes of section 204(j) of ERISA.

[2] Section 401(a)(28) provides certain diversification rights to participants in an employee stock ownership plan within the meaning of section 4975(e)(7) (ESOP). Section 401(a)(28)(B) also generally requires that the plan offer at least three alternative investment options. Section 401(a)(28)(B) permits a plan to satisfy these diversification requirements by distributing, within 90 days after the period during which the election may be made, the portion of the participant's account that is subject to section 401(a)(28)(B). Section 401(a)(28)(B) was amended by section 901(a)(2)(A) of PPA '06 not to apply to a plan to which section 401(a)(35) applies.

[3] Notice 2006–107 also includes guidance regarding the related notice requirements of section 101(m) of ERISA, including a model notice.

vesting computation period provided for under the plan that constitutes the completion of a third year of service under section 411(a)(5). For a plan using the elapsed time method of crediting service for vesting purposes (or a plan that provides for immediate vesting without using a vesting computation period or elapsed time method of determining vesting), the date on which a participant completes three years of service is the third anniversary of the participant's date of hire.

Notice 2006–107 includes special rules regarding restrictions or conditions with respect to employer securities under section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II). An impermissible restriction or condition is either a restriction on an individual's right to divest an investment in employer securities that is not imposed on an investment that is not in employer securities or a benefit that is conditioned on an investment in employer securities. Examples of restrictions or conditions that are prohibited by section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II) under Notice 2006–107 include: (1) A plan allows an individual the right to divest employer securities on a quarterly basis but permits divestiture of another investment on a more frequent basis; (2) a plan provides that a participant who divests his or her account of employer securities receives less favorable treatment (such as a lower rate of matching contributions) than a participant whose account remains invested in employer securities; and (3) a plan that provides if a participant divests his or her account balance with respect to investment in a class of employer securities, the participant is not permitted for a period of time to reinvest in that class of securities where that restriction is not imposed on other investments. Notice 2006–107 also provided examples of restrictions or conditions that are not prohibited by section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II): (1) A provision that limits the extent to which an individual's account balance can be invested in employer securities; (2) a provision under which an employer securities fund is closed; (3) a restriction imposed by reason of application of securities laws or a restriction that is reasonably designed to ensure compliance with such laws; (4) an imposition of fees on other investment options under the plan but not on investments in employer securities; and (5) a plan restriction on the availability of otherwise applicable diversification rights under the plan for up to 90 days following an initial public offering of the employer's stock.

Notice 2006–107 provides certain transition rules. For example, for the period prior to January 1, 2008, a plan does not impose a restriction or condition prohibited by section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II) merely because the plan, as in effect on December 18, 2006, (1) does not impose an otherwise applicable restriction on a stable value fund or (2) allows individuals the right to divest employer securities on a periodic basis (at least quarterly), but permits divestiture of another investment on a more frequent basis, provided that the other investment is not a generally available investment.

Explanation of Provisions

Overview

The proposed regulations would provide guidance with respect to the requirements of section 401(a)(35) that incorporate much of the guidance provided under Notice 2006–107. The regulations would clarify the scope of the rule in section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II) that generally prohibits restrictions and conditions on investment in employer securities, but would specifically permit certain restrictions and conditions on such investment that are consistent with the statute, and would also define when employer securities are publicly traded on an established securities market under section 401(a)(35)(D).

Basic Diversification Rights

The proposed regulations incorporate the guidance on the basic diversification rights of section 401(a)(35) that is contained in Notice 2006–107. Thus, if an applicable defined contribution plan holds employee contributions (including rollover contributions) or elective deferrals with respect to an individual that are invested in employer securities, the plan must provide that the individual is given the opportunity to divest the employer securities and reinvest an equivalent amount in another investment. These rights must be provided to each participant, to each alternate payee who has an account under the plan, and to each beneficiary of a deceased participant.

If employer contributions (other than elective deferrals) are invested in employer securities under the plan, the divestment right must be provided to each participant who has completed at least three years of service, to each alternate payee who has an account under the plan with respect to a participant who has at least three years of service, and to each beneficiary of a deceased participant (regardless of whether the participant had completed at least three years of service). For this purpose, the regulations would provide that a participant has completed three years of service on the last day of the vesting computation period as determined under the plan that constitutes the completion of the third year of service (or the third anniversary of hire for a plan that either uses the elapsed time method or that does not define the vesting computation period because the plan provides for full and immediate vesting).

The regulations would require a plan to provide individuals who have section 401(a)(35) diversification rights the opportunity to divest the employer securities and reinvest an equivalent amount in another investment at least quarterly. The individuals must be permitted to select among no less than three investment options, each of which is diversified and has materially different risk and return characteristics. For this purpose, investment options that constitute a broad range of investment alternatives within the meaning of Department of Labor Regulations section 2550.404c-1(b)(3) are treated as being diversified and having materially different risk and return characteristics.

Plans Subject to Section 401(a)(35)

Under the proposed regulations, a defined contribution plan, which holds publicly traded employer securities (referred to as an applicable defined contribution plan), is subject to the diversification requirements of section 401(a)(35), unless it is exempted under section 401(a)(35)(E) as a stand-alone ESOP or as a one-participant retirement plan. For this purpose, an employer security is defined by reference to section 407(d)(1) of ERISA.

Under section 401(a)(35)(G)(v), an employer security is a publicly traded employer security if it is readily tradable on an established securities market. The regulations would provide separate rules for securities traded on domestic securities exchanges and foreign securities exchanges.

If a security is traded on a securities exchange that is registered under section 6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, then the security would be deemed to be readily tradable on an established securities market. This definition is consistent with the definition of publicly traded found in § 54.4975–7(b)(1)(iv), but deletes the reference to a system sponsored by the National Association of Securities Dealers (NASDAQ) registered under section 15A(b) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78o) because NASDAQ is now registered as

a securities exchange under section 6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Thus, if a security is not traded on a national securities exchange that is registered under section 6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, then the security would not be publicly traded for purposes of section 401(a)(35) (unless it is traded on a foreign securities exchange and has a ''ready market'' as described in the next paragraph). This would apply to U.S. securities that are only traded on the ''Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board'' and the ''pink sheets.''

Under the proposed regulations, if a security is not listed on a securities exchange that is registered under section 6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, but is traded on a foreign national securities exchange that is officially recognized, sanctioned, or supervised by a governmental authority, then under the proposed regulations, the security would be traded on an established securities market. The proposed regulations would provide that such a security is readily tradable if the security is deemed by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as having a ''ready market'' under SEC Rule 15c3–1 (17 CFR 240.15c3–1).[4]

The proposed regulations would reflect section 401(a)(35)(F), which, subject to certain exceptions, treats a plan holding employer securities that are not publicly traded as nonetheless subject to the rules of section 401(a)(35) if any employer sponsoring the plan, or any member of the controlled group of corporations (determined by applying section 1563(a), except substituting 50 percent for 80 percent) has issued a class of stock which is publicly traded (as defined above).

Section 401(a)(35)(E)(ii) provides that an ESOP that is a separate plan holding no contributions that are subject to section 401(k) or section 401(m) is not an applicable defined contribution plan. (As noted earlier in this preamble, such a plan is subject to the diversification requirements of section 401(a)(28)(B).) The proposed regulations would clarify that a plan does not lose this exemption merely because it receives rollover contributions of amounts from another plan that are held in a separate account, even if those amounts were attributable to contributions that were subject to section 401(k) or 401(m) in the other plan. In addition, the proposed regulations would reflect the exemption for one-participant retirement plans under section 401(a)(35)(E)(iv).

[4] Under the current SEC rules, a security is deemed to have a ready market if it is included on the FTSE Group (FTSE) World Index.

Notice 2006–107 provides that employer securities held by an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 or similar pooled investment vehicle are not treated as being held by the plan. Some comments on Notice 2006–107 had recommended a broader rule, under which a commingled fund that holds employer securities and other securities would not be treated as holding employer securities that are subject to the section 401(a)(35) diversification requirement. The proposed regulations would not adopt this broad exemption from the diversification rules.

The proposed regulations, however, clarify the types of pooled investment vehicles that are exempt from the diversification requirements. Under the proposed regulations, in order to be exempt from the diversification requirements, the pooled investment vehicle must be a common or collective trust fund or pooled investment fund maintained by a bank or trust company supervised by a State or Federal agency, a pooled investment fund of an insurance company that is qualified to do business in a State, or an investment fund designated by the Commissioner in revenue rulings, notices, or other guidance published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin. As under Notice 2006–107, the regulations would include the requirement that in order to be exempt from the diversification requirements the pooled investment fund that holds the employer securities must have stated investment objectives and the investment must be independent of the employer and any affiliate thereof. The proposed regulations would add a percentage limitation rule to ensure that the investment in the employer securities through a pooled fund is not an attempt to evade the rules of section 401(a)(35). Under this rule, if the employer securities held by such fund is more than 10 percent of the total value of all of the fund's investment, then the fund is not considered to be independent of the employer.

Prohibition on Restrictions or Conditions

The proposed regulations would provide that the section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II) prohibition on restrictions or conditions with respect to the investment of employer securities which are not imposed on the investment of other assets of the plans applies to a direct or indirect restriction on an individual's rights to divest an investment in employer securities that is not imposed on an investment that is not employer securities as well as a direct or indirect benefit that is conditioned on investment in employer securities. However, like Notice 2006–107, the regulations would not apply this prohibition to restrictions that are imposed by reason of the application of securities laws and in certain other situations described below.

Like Notice 2006–107, the proposed regulations would allow a plan to impose a restriction on divestiture that is reasonably designed to comply with securities law, even if the restriction is broader than the minimum restriction needed to comply with securities laws. The proposed regulations incorporate the example of such a restriction from Notice 2006–107. This is merely an example and broader restrictions on divestiture are permitted, provided they are reasonably designed to comply with securities law. For example, in some smaller entities a broad restriction allowing divestiture to occur only once a quarter might be a restriction that is reasonably designed to comply with securities law.

Notice 2006–107 includes a rule that permits a plan to restrict the otherwise applicable diversification rights under section 401(a)(35) for a period of up to 90 days following an initial public offering of the employer's stock. The proposed regulations would extend this rule to apply to the first 90 days after the plan becomes an applicable defined contribution plan. This could happen, for example, when some other entity in the controlled group first issues stock which is publicly traded or when a stand-alone ESOP first provides for contributions that are subject to section 401(k) or section 401(m).

Notice 2006–107 permits a plan to impose a restriction on an investment in employer securities that is not imposed on a stable value fund. The proposed regulations extend this rule to a fund that is similar to a stable value fund. Specifically, the proposed regulations would provide that in the case of a plan that has several investment funds, including a fund invested in employer securities, a fund which is a stable value or similar fund, and other funds which are not invested in employer securities, the plan does not impose a restriction prohibited under section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II) merely because the plan permits transfers to be made into the stable value or similar fund more frequently than into the fund invested in employer securities (assuming the plan does not impose a restriction on transfers to or from the employer securities fund that it does not impose with respect to the other funds).

While the proposed regulations would generally prohibit indirect restrictions

on an individual's exercise of diversification rights (such as a plan provision that limits the right of an individual who diversifies out of employer securities by providing that such a participant is not permitted to reinvest in employer securities for a period of time), the rules would permit certain indirect restrictions, as well as certain indirect benefits that are conditioned on investment in employer securities. Under the proposed regulations, a plan would be permitted to limit the extent to which an individual's account balance can be invested in employer securities. For example, a plan would not be treated as imposing a restriction that violates section 401(a)(35)(D)(ii)(II) merely because the plan prohibits a participant from investing additional amounts in employer securities if more than 10 percent of that participant's account balance is (or would be after the change) invested in employer securities. In addition, an applicable defined contribution plan does not violate a prohibition against reinvestment in employer securities if the plan has terminated any further investment in employer securities.

The proposed regulations would provide that a plan is not providing an indirect benefit that is conditioned on investment in employer securities merely because the plan imposes fees on other investment options that are not imposed on the investment in employer securities. In addition, a plan is not providing a restriction on the right to divest an investment in employer securities merely because the plan imposes a reasonable fee for the divestment of employer securities.

The proposed regulations would permit a restriction on the frequency of investment elections that was not in Notice 2006–107. Under this rule, a plan would be permitted to impose reasonable restrictions on the timing and number of investment elections that an individual can make to invest in employer securities, provided that the restrictions are designed to limit short-term trading in the employer securities. For example, a fund could limit the purchase of employer securities if there has been a sale within a short period of time, such as 7 days. The regulations, however, would not permit a plan to limit an individual's right to divest employer securities.

Proposed Effective Date

Section 401(a)(35) is applicable to plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2007, subject to certain deferred effective dates and transition rules. The proposed regulations would provide guidance on these effective dates and transition rules. In particular, the regulations would provide that a plan is eligible for the deferred effective date applicable to collectively bargained plans only if at least 25 percent of the participants in the plan are members of collective bargaining units for which the contributions under the plan are specified under a collective bargaining agreement.

The regulations under section 401(a)(35) are proposed to be effective for plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2009. Until the regulations go into effect, Notice 2006–107 will continue to apply. For this purpose, the transitional relief provided for the period prior to January 1, 2008, in paragraph 4 of Section III.D. of Notice 2006–107 will continue to apply after 2007 until the regulations go into effect.[5] In addition, plans are also permitted to apply the proposed regulations for plan years before the regulations go into effect.

Special Analyses

It has been determined that this notice of proposed rulemaking is not a significant regulatory action as defined in Executive Order 12866. Therefore, a regulatory assessment is not required. It also has been determined that section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 5) does not apply to these regulations, and, because § 1.401(a)(35)–1 would not impose a collection of information on small entities, the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 6) does not apply. Pursuant to section 7805(f) of the Code, this notice of proposed rulemaking will be submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on its impact on small business.

Comments and Requests for Public Hearing

Before these proposed regulations are adopted as final regulations, consideration will be given to any written (one signed and eight (8) copies) or electronic comments that are submitted timely to the IRS. The IRS and the Treasury Department specifically request comments on the clarity of the proposed regulations and how they can be made easier to understand.

In particular, the IRS and the Treasury Department request comments on whether the determination of when an employer security is readily tradable on an established securities market under these proposed regulations should also be applied for purposes of determining whether an employer security is readily tradable on an established securities market in applying other provisions relating to qualified plans, given that the same words used in interrelated provisions of the Code are presumed to have the same meaning. These interrelated provisions include section 401(a)(28)(C) (requiring the use of an independent appraiser for valuation of employer securities that are not readily tradable on an established securities market), section 409(h)(1)(B) (relating to put options for employer securities that are not readily tradable on an established market), the definition of employer securities under section 409(l)(1) (including regulations under section 4975), and the special rules under section 1042 (providing nonrecognition treatment for certain sales to an ESOP).

All comments will be available for public inspection and copying. A public hearing will be scheduled if requested in writing by any person who timely submits written comments. If a public hearing is scheduled, notice of the date, time, and place of the public hearing will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Drafting Information

The principal authors of these regulations are Dana A. Barry and Lisa Mojiri-Azad, Office of Division Counsel/Associate Chief Counsel (Tax Exempt and Government Entities). However, other personnel from the IRS and the Treasury participated in the development of these regulations.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Proposed Amendments to the Regulations

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 1—INCOME TAXES

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 1 is amended by adding an entry in numerical order to read as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * *

Section 1.401(a)(35)–1 is also issued under 26 U.S.C. 401(a)(35).* * *

Par. 2. Section 1.401(a)(35)–1 is added to read as follows:

[5] The Treasury and IRS are issuing a notice to reflect this extension. The notice is expected to be published as Notice 2008–7 in the 2008–3 issue of the IRB on january 22, 2008 (see § 601.601(d)(2)(ii)(*b*) of this chapter).

§ 1.401(a)(35)–1 Diversification Requirements for Certain Defined Contribution Plans.

(a) *General rule*—(1) *Diversification requirements.* Section 401(a)(35) imposes diversification requirements on applicable defined contribution plans. A trust that is part of an applicable defined contribution plan is not a qualified trust under section 401(a) unless the plan—

(i) Satisfies the diversification election requirements for elective deferrals and employee contributions set forth in paragraph (b) of this section;

(ii) Satisfies the diversification election requirements for employer nonelective contributions set forth in paragraph (c) of this section;

(iii) Satisfies the investment option requirement set forth in paragraph (d) of this section; and

(iv) Does not apply any restrictions or conditions on investments in employer securities that violate the requirements of paragraph (e) of this section.

(2) *Definitions, effective dates, and transition rules.* The definitions of applicable defined contribution plan, employer security, parent corporation, and publicly traded are set forth in paragraph (f) of this section. Effective/applicability dates and transition rules are set forth in paragraph (g) of this section.

(b) *Diversification requirements for elective deferrals and employee contributions invested in employer securities*—(1) *General rule.* With respect to any individual described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, if any portion of the individual's account under an applicable defined contribution plan attributable to elective deferrals (as described in section 402(g)(3)(A)), after-tax employee contributions, or rollover contributions is invested in employer securities, then the plan satisfies the requirements of this paragraph (b) if the individual may elect to divest those employer securities and reinvest an equivalent amount in other investment options. The plan may limit the time for divestment and reinvestment to periodic, reasonable opportunities occurring no less frequently than quarterly.

(2) *Applicable individual with respect to elective deferrals and employee contributions.* An individual is described in this paragraph (b)(2) if the individual is—

(i) A participant;

(ii) An alternate payee who has an account under the plan; or

(iii) A beneficiary of a deceased participant.

(c) *Diversification requirements for employer nonelective contributions invested in employer securities*—(1) *General rule.* With respect to any individual described in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, if a portion of the individual's account under an applicable defined contribution plan attributable to employer nonelective contributions, other than elective deferrals, is invested in employer securities, then the plan satisfies the requirements of this paragraph (c) if the individual may elect to divest those employer securities and reinvest an equivalent amount in other investment options. The plan may limit the time for divestment and reinvestment to periodic, reasonable opportunities occurring no less frequently than quarterly.

(2) *Applicable individual with respect to employer nonelective contributions.* An individual is described in this paragraph (c)(2) if the individual is—

(i) A participant who has completed at least three years of service;

(ii) An alternate payee who has an account under the plan with respect to a participant who has completed at least three years of service; or

(iii) A beneficiary of a deceased participant.

(3) *Completion of 3 years of service.* For purposes of paragraph (c)(2) of this section, a participant completes three years of service on the last day of the vesting computation period provided for under the plan that constitutes the completion of the third year of service under section 411(a)(5). However, for a plan that uses the elapsed time method of crediting service for vesting purposes (or a plan that provides for immediate vesting without using a vesting computation period or the elapsed time method of determining vesting), a participant completes three years of service on the day immediately preceding the third anniversary of the participant's date of hire.

(d) *Investment option.* An applicable defined contribution plan must offer not less than three investment options, other than employer securities, to which an individual who has the right to divest under paragraph (b)(1) or (c)(1) of this section may direct the proceeds from the divestment of employer securities. Each of the three investment options must be diversified and have materially different risk and return characteristics. For this purpose, investment options that constitute a broad range of investment alternatives within the meaning of Department of Labor Regulation section 2550.404c–1(b)(3) are treated as being diversified and having materially different risk and return characteristics.

(e) *Restrictions or conditions on investments in employer securities*—(1) *Impermissible restrictions or conditions*—(i) *General rule.* Except as provided in paragraph (e)(2) of this section, an applicable defined contribution plan violates the requirements of this paragraph (e) if the plan imposes restrictions or conditions with respect to the investment of employer securities that are not imposed on the investment of other assets of the plan. A restriction or condition with respect to employer securities means—

(A) A restriction on an individual's right to divest an investment in employer securities that is not imposed on an investment that is not employer securities; and

(B) A benefit that is conditioned on investment in employer securities.

(ii) *Indirect restrictions or conditions.* Except as provided in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, a plan violates the requirements of this paragraph (e) if the plan imposes a restriction or condition in paragraph (e)(1)(i)(A) or (B) of this section either directly or indirectly. For example, a plan imposes an indirect restriction on an individual's right to divest an investment in employer securities if the plan provides that a participant who divests his or her account balance with respect to investment in employer securities is not permitted for a period of time thereafter to reinvest in employer securities.

(2) *Permitted restrictions or conditions*—(i) *In general.* An applicable defined contribution plan does not violate the requirements of this paragraph (e) merely because it imposes a restriction or a condition set forth in paragraph (e)(2)(ii) or (e)(2)(iii) of this section.

(ii) *Securities laws.* A plan is permitted to impose a restriction or condition on the divestiture of employer securities that is either required in order to ensure compliance with applicable securities laws or is reasonably designed to ensure compliance with applicable securities laws. For example, it is permissible for a plan to limit divestiture rights for participants who are subject to section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to a reasonable period (such as 3 to 12 days) following publication of the employer's quarterly earnings statements because it is reasonably designed to ensure compliance with Rule 10b–5 of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(iii) *Deferred application of the diversification requirements.* An applicable defined contribution plan is permitted to restrict the application of the diversification requirements of

section 401(a)(35) and this section for up to 90 days after the plan becomes an applicable defined contribution plan (for example, the date on which the employer securities held under the plan become publicly traded).

(3) *Permitted indirect restrictions or conditions*—(i) *In general.* An applicable defined contribution plan does not violate the requirements of this paragraph (e) merely because it imposes an indirect restriction or condition set forth in paragraphs (e)(3)(ii) through (e)(3)(v) of this section.

(ii) *Limitation on investment in employer securities.* The plan is permitted to limit the extent to which an individual's account balance can be invested in employer securities, provided the limitation applies without regard to a prior exercise of rights to divest employer securities. For example, a plan does not impose a restriction that violates this paragraph (e) merely because the plan prohibits a participant from investing additional amounts in employer securities if more than 10 percent of that participant's account balance is invested in employer securities.

(iii) *Trading frequency.* A plan is permitted to impose reasonable restrictions on the timing and number of investment elections that an individual can make to invest in employer securities, provided that the restrictions are designed to limit short-term trading in the employer securities. For example, a plan could provide that a participant may not elect to invest in employer securities if the employee has elected to divest employer securities within a short period of time, such as seven days.

(iv) *Frozen funds.* A plan is permitted to prohibit any further investment in employer securities.

(v) *Fees.* The plan has not provided an indirect benefit that is conditioned on investment in employer securities merely because the plan imposes fees on other investment options that are not imposed on the investment in employer securities. In addition, the plan has not provided a restriction on the right to divest an investment in employer securities merely because the plan imposes a reasonable fee for the divestment of employer securities.

(vi) *Transfers to stable value fund.* In the case of a plan that has several investment funds, including one or more funds invested in employer securities, a fund which is a stable value or similar fund, and other funds which are not invested in employer securities, the plan does not impose a restriction prohibited under this paragraph (e) merely because the plan permits transfers to be made into the stable value or similar fund more frequently than other funds (including funds invested in employer securities).

(f) *Definitions*—(1) *Application of definitions.* This paragraph (f) contains definitions that are applicable for purposes of this section.

(2) *Applicable defined contribution plan*—(i) *General rule.* Except as provided in this paragraph (f)(2), an applicable defined contribution plan means any defined contribution plan which holds employer securities that are publicly traded. See paragraph (f)(2)(iv) of this section for a special rule that treats certain plans that hold employer securities that are not publicly traded as applicable defined contribution plans and paragraph (f)(3)(ii) of this section for a special rule that treats certain plans as not holding publicly traded employer securities for purposes of this section.

(ii) *Exception for certain ESOPs.* An employee stock ownership plan (ESOP), as defined in section 4975(e)(7), is not an applicable defined contribution plan if the plan is a separate plan for purposes of section 414(l) with respect to any other defined benefit plan or defined contribution plan maintained by the same employer or employers and holds no contributions (or earnings thereunder) that are (or were ever) subject to section 401(k) or 401(m). Thus, an employee stock ownership plan is an applicable defined contribution plan if that ESOP is a portion of a larger plan (whether or not that larger plan includes contributions that are subject to section 401(k) or 401(m)). For purposes of this paragraph (f)(2)(ii), a plan is not considered to hold amounts ever subject to section 401(k) or 401(m) merely because the plan holds amounts attributable to rollover amounts in a separate account that were previously subject to section 401(k) or 401(m).

(iii) *Exception for one-participant plans.* A one-participant plan, as defined in section 401(a)(35)(E)(iv), is not an applicable defined contribution plan.

(iv) *Certain defined contribution plans treated as holding publicly traded employer securities*—(A) *General rule.* A defined contribution plan holding employer securities that are not publicly traded is treated as an applicable defined contribution plan if any employer maintaining the plan or any member of a controlled group of corporations that includes such employer has issued a class of stock which is publicly traded. For purposes of this paragraph (f)(2)(iv), a controlled group of corporation has the meaning given such term by section 1563(a), except that ''50 percent'' is substituted for ''80 percent'' each place it appears.

(B) *Exception for certain plans.* Paragraph (f)(2)(iv)(A) of this section does not apply to a plan if—

(*1*) No employer maintaining the plan (or a parent corporation with respect to such employer) has issued stock that is publicly traded; and

(*2*) No employer maintaining the plan (or parent corporation with respect to such employer) has issued any special class of stock which grants to the holder or issuer particular rights, or bears particular risks for the holder or issuer, with respect to any employer maintaining the plan (or any member of a controlled group of corporations that includes such employer) which has issued any stock that is publicly traded.

(3) *Employer security*—(i) *General rule.* Employer security has the meaning given such term by section 407(d)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.

(ii) *Certain defined contribution plans or investment funds not treated as holding employer securities*—(A) *Exception for certain flow-through investments.* Subject to paragraph (f)(3)(ii)(B) and (C) of this section, a plan (and an investment option described in paragraph (d) of this section) is not treated as holding employer securities for purposes of this section to the extent the employer securities are held indirectly through—

(*1*) An investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940;

(*2*) A common or collective trust fund or pooled investment fund maintained by a bank or trust company supervised by a State or a Federal agency;

(*3*) A pooled investment fund of an insurance company that is qualified to do business in a State; or

(*4*) Any other investment fund designated by the Commissioner in revenue rulings, notices, or other guidance published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin.

(B) *Investment must be independent.* The exception set forth in paragraph (f)(3)(ii)(A) of this section applies only if the investment in the employer securities are held in a fund under which—

(*1*) There are stated investment objectives of the fund; and

(*2*) The investment is independent of the employer and any affiliate thereof.

(C) *Percentage limitation rule.* For purposes of paragraph (f)(3)(ii)(B)(*2*) of this section, an investment in employer securities in a fund is considered to be independent of the employer and any affiliate thereof only if the aggregate value of the employer securities held in

the fund is not in excess of 10 percent of the total value of all of the fund's investments.

(4) *Parent corporation.* Parent corporation has the meaning given such term by section 424(e).

(5) *Publicly traded*—(i) *In general.* A security is publicly traded if it is readily tradable on an established securities market.

(ii) *Established securities market.* For purposes of this paragraph (f)(5), a security is traded on an established securities market if—

(A) The security is traded on a national securities exchange that is registered under section 6 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78f); or

(B) The security is traded on a foreign national securities exchange that is officially recognized, sanctioned, or supervised by a governmental authority.

(iii) *Readily tradable.* For purposes of this paragraph (f)(5), except as provided by the Commissioner in revenue rulings, notices, or other guidance published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin, a security is readily tradable if—

(A) The security is traded on a securities exchange that is described in paragraph (f)(5)(ii)(A) of this section; or

(B) The security is traded on a securities exchange that is described in paragraph (f)(5)(ii)(B) of this section and the security is deemed by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as having a ''ready market'' under SEC Rule 15c3–1 (17 CFR 240.15c3–1).

(g) *Effective date and transition rules*—(1) *Statutory effective date*—(i) *General rule.* Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph (g), section 401(a)(35) is effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2006.

(ii) *Collectively bargained plans*—(A) *Delayed effective date.* In the case of a plan maintained pursuant to one or more collective bargaining agreements between employee representatives and one or more employers ratified on or before August 17, 2006, section 401(a)(35) is effective for plan years beginning after the earlier of

(*1*) the later of—

(*i*) December 31, 2007; or

(*ii*) the date on which the last such collective bargaining agreement terminates (determined without regard to any extension thereof); or

(*2*) December 31, 2008.

(B) *Definition of collectively bargained plans.* For purposes of this paragraph (g)(1)(ii), in the case of a plan for which one or more collective bargaining agreements apply to some, but not all, of the plan participants, the plan is considered a collectively bargained plan if at least 25 percent of the participants in the plan are members of collective bargaining units for which the contributions under the plan are specified under a collective bargaining agreement.

(iii) *Special rule for certain employer securities held in an ESOP.* Section 901(c)(3)(A) and (B) of the Pension Protection Act of 2006, Public Law 109–280, 120 Stat. 780 (PPA '06), provides a special effective date for an employee stock ownership plan that holds a class of preferred stock with a guaranteed minimum value, as described in that section.

(2) *Statutory transition rules*—(i) *General rule.* Pursuant to section 401(a)(35)(H), in the case of the portion of an account to which paragraph (c) of this section applies and that consists of employer securities acquired in a plan year beginning before January 1, 2007, the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section only apply to the applicable percentage of such securities.

(ii) *Applicable percentage*—(A) *Phase-in percentage.* For purposes of this paragraph (g)(2), the applicable percentage is determined as follows—

Plan year to which paragraph (c) of this section applies:	The applicable percentage is:
1st	33
2nd	66
3rd and following	100

(B) *Special rule.* For a plan described in paragraph (g)(1)(iii) of this section for which the special effective date under section 901(c)(3) of PPA '06 applies, the applicable percentage under this paragraph (g)(2)(ii) is determined without regard to the delayed effective date in section 901(c)(3)(A) and (B) of PPA '06.

(iii) *Nonapplication for participants age 55 with three years of service.* Paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this section does not apply to an individual who is a participant who attained age 55 and had completed at least three years of service (as defined in paragraph (c)(3) of this section) before the first day of the first plan year beginning after December 31, 2005.

(iv) *Separate application by class of securities.* This paragraph (g)(2) applies separately with respect to each class of securities.

(3) *Regulatory effective date.* This section is effective for plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2009.

Linda E. Stiff,

Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E7–25533 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 4

RIN 2900–AM55

Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Evaluation of Scars

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Schedule for Rating Disabilities by revising that portion of the Schedule that addresses the Skin, so that it more clearly reflects our policies concerning the evaluation of scars.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 4, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted through *www.Regulations.gov*; by mail or hand-delivery to the Director, Regulations Management (00REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave., NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 273–9026. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to RIN 2900-AM55 ''Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Evaluation of Scars.'' Copies of comments received will be available for public inspection in the Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Room 1063B, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday (except holidays). Please call (202) 461–4902 for an appointment. (This is not a toll-free number.) In addition, during the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at *www.Regulations.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maya Ferrandino, Regulations Staff (211D), Compensation and Pension Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, (727) 319–5847. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document proposes to amend the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Schedule for Rating Disabilities (38 CFR part 4) by revising the portions of § 4.118, the Skin, that address scars. A prior proposed rulemaking addressing the evaluation of scars was published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 65915) on October 29, 2002, but it was subsequently withdrawn as VA determined that the proposed amendments did not accomplish the stated purpose or intended effect. The

withdrawal was published at 71 FR 78391 on December 29, 2006.

Scars resulting from burns potentially involve a wide range of locations, extent and severity. This rule would clarify that VA's diagnostic codes and means for evaluating scars, set forth under § 4.118, also encompass burn scars by incorporating ''burn scars'' into the title of the diagnostic codes most appropriate for evaluating them. At the same time, it would revise diagnostic codes 7800, 7801, 7802, 7804, and 7805, and remove diagnostic code 7803, to update and clarify the rating schedule.

Diagnostic Code 7800

Diagnostic code 7800 addresses disfigurement of the head, face, or neck, and provides criteria for evaluation based on eight possible characteristics of disfigurement and the extent of any gross distortion or asymmetry of facial features. We propose to change the title of diagnostic code 7800 from ''Disfigurement of the head, face, or neck'' to ''Burn scar(s); scar(s) due to other causes; or other disfigurement of the head, face, or neck'' to more clearly indicate that scarring, including burn scarring, may be the cause of disfigurement.

There are currently three notes under diagnostic code 7800, and we propose to add two more. New note #4 directs that disabling effects other than disfigurement that are associated with individual scar(s) of the head, face, or neck, such as pain, instability, and residuals of associated muscle or nerve injury, be evaluated under the appropriate diagnostic code(s) and combined under § 4.25. This note would provide clear guidance to raters for assessing disability other than disfigurement that is related to scars of the head, face, and neck.

We propose to add new note #5 to explain that the number of characteristics of disfigurement required to reach a particular evaluation level need not be present in a single scar in order to assign that level. The purpose of this proposed change is to ensure clarity in the method of application of the eight characteristics of disfigurement.

Diagnostic Code 7801

We propose to change the title of diagnostic code 7801 from ''Scars, other than head, face, or neck, that are deep or that cause limited motion'' to ''Burn scar(s) or scar(s) due to other causes, not of the head, face, or neck, that are deep and nonlinear.'' First, this change indicates that this is the appropriate diagnostic code for the evaluation of burn scars that are deep, as well as for nonburn scars that are deep. Second, the addition of ''that are nonlinear'' further clarifies what types of scars require evaluation under this diagnostic code. Because evaluation under diagnostic code 7801 is based on the surface area of scar(s), with the minimum compensable level requiring an area of at least 6 square inches (39 square centimeters), linear scars, which may have considerable length but only minimal width, could virtually never reach even the minimum evaluation under this diagnostic code. Therefore, it is not an appropriate diagnostic code to use for their evaluation. Linear scars, which would include, for example, most surgical scars, would be evaluated under diagnostic code 7804, as well as 7805, if applicable.

Third, the removal of reference to scars that limit motion reflects other changes proposed by this rule. Currently a scar that limits motion is assigned a rating under diagnostic code 7801, but the rating is based solely on the area of the scar and thus may not accurately reflect the degree of limitation of motion. As proposed by this rule, diagnostic code 7805 would make clear that veterans may receive a rating for the limitation of motion, or other functional effects of scars, under the diagnostic codes specifically governing such effects on the relevant body part, and that such a rating may be assigned in addition to any rating under diagnostic code 7801 or 7802 based on the area of the scar. We believe this practice would more accurately reflect the true level of disability where a scar limits motion. Accordingly, there is no need to refer to limitation of motion in diagnostic code 7801.

The evaluation criteria for diagnostic code 7801 are based on the area(s) of scars that fall under this diagnostic code and are currently: Area or areas exceeding 144 square inches (929 sq. cm.) for 40 percent, area or areas exceeding 72 square inches (465 sq. cm.) for 30 percent, area or areas exceeding 12 square inches (77 sq. cm.) for 20 percent, and area or areas exceeding 6 square inches (39 sq. cm.) for 10 percent. We propose to make a nonsubstantive change to these evaluation criteria to eliminate any possible confusion in the current criteria and to provide clear guidance on evaluating scars that fall between the sizes indicated at various percentage levels, for example a scar that exceeds 72 square inches but does not reach 144 square inches in area. This amendment would change the criteria to: Area or areas of at least 6 square inches (39 sq. cm.) but less than 12 square inches (77 sq. cm.) for 10 percent, area or areas of at least 12 square inches (77 sq. cm.) but less than 72 square inches (465 sq. cm.) for 20 percent, area or areas of at least 72 square inches (465 sq. cm.) but less than 144 square inches (929 sq. cm.) for 30 percent, and area or areas of 144 square inches (929 sq. cm.) or greater for 40 percent.

We propose to redesignate current Note (2) as Note (1). We propose to revise current Note (1) under diagnostic code 7801 (which is renumbered as note (2)) to make clearer the guidance on evaluating multiple scars under this diagnostic code and to assure that it reflects current policy. The current note says: ''Scars in widely separated areas, as on two or more extremities or on anterior and posterior surfaces of extremities or trunk, will be separately rated and combined in accordance with § 4.25 of this part.'' We propose to revise this note to clarify that if multiple scars are present, VA will assign a separate evaluation for each affected extremity, based on the total area of the qualifying scars of that extremity, and assign a separate evaluation for the trunk, if affected, based on the total area of the qualifying scars of the trunk. Note (2) would also direct that the separate evaluations be combined under § 4.25. Qualifying scars are deep scars that are not located on the head, face, or neck.

Multiple scars on different parts of the body (i.e, each extremity and the trunk), such as a scar on each arm or separate scars on the right leg and torso, would be evaluated separately based on the surface area of the scar located on each affected body part. Similarly, multiple scars on one part of the body, such as two scars on the right arm, would be evaluated based on the surface area of the scars on that part of the body. In this manner, a veteran with two scars on one arm would receive a single rating but a veteran with one scar on each arm would receive two ratings, but both evaluations would reflect the area of the scar(s). We recognize that a veteran with one affected body part may be compensated at a slightly lower rate than a veteran with two affected body parts, depending on the size of the areas of scarring. However, this difference reflects the somewhat greater difficulty in obtaining and maintaining employment that is caused by two scars that are not located near each other as compared to two scars proximate to each other.

Note (2), as revised, would also acknowledge that a scar may run into two separate areas (for example a scar of the trunk that runs across the shoulder onto the left arm). This one scar would be treated as two separate scars to ensure that the ratings reflect the effects

on distinct areas of the body. For example, we would separately evaluate the surface area of the portion of the scar located on the extremity and the surface area of the portion of the scar located on the trunk, and then combine those ratings under 38 CFR 4.25.

This revised note would remove any possible ambiguity regarding the appropriate rating for a scar that affects the trunk and one or more extremities, a scar that affects two or more extremities, and multiple scars. In addition, it would clarify that each extremity and the trunk represent the only body areas for which we may assign ratings. In other words, it would clarify the possible ambiguity present in the current regulation as to whether these areas of the body are merely examples of scarred body parts eligible for a disability rating.

Further, although the current note addresses the anterior and posterior surfaces of the trunk as widely separated areas, we propose that the trunk be considered as a single area. This would ensure that the area of all deep scars of the trunk are taken into account in the evaluation. Scars of the trunk of considerable area may extend from one surface of the trunk to another, crossing the sides of the trunk, and as such the anterior and posterior surfaces of the trunk are not widely separate areas. Under this note #2, a maximum of 40 percent could be assigned for each of the five areas, including the trunk. This proposed note is clearer and easier to apply than the current note, represents an accurate view of our current policy, and provides appropriate levels of evaluation for these types of scars.

Diagnostic Code 7802

We propose to change the title of this diagnostic code from ''Scars, other than head, face, or neck, that are superficial and that do not cause limited motion'' to ''Burn scar(s) or scar(s) due to other causes, not of the head, face, or neck, that are superficial and nonlinear.'' As with diagnostic code 7801, we propose to add burn scar(s) to the title to indicate that this is the appropriate diagnostic code for the evaluation of superficial burn scars and other superficial scars that are nonlinear. As under diagnostic code 7801, evaluation under diagnostic code 7802 is based on area, and it is therefore not an appropriate diagnostic code for the evaluation of linear scars.

We propose to revise Note (1) in a similar manner to the revision of Note (1) under diagnostic code 7801, in order to make the guidance on evaluating multiple scars under this diagnostic code clearer and to ensure that it reflects current policy.

Diagnostic Codes 7803 and 7804

Diagnostic code 7803 is now titled ''Scars, superficial, unstable,'' and provides a single evaluation level of 10 percent for such scars. It also includes two notes, one defining an unstable scar and the other defining a superficial scar.

Diagnostic code 7804 is now titled ''Scars, superficial, painful on examination'' and provides a single evaluation level of 10 percent for such scars.

We propose deleting diagnostic code 7803 and amending diagnostic code 7804 so that it will govern the evaluation of both unstable and painful scars. Because a deep scar can also be unstable, painful, or both, we propose removing ''superficial'' from the title of diagnostic code 7804, so that it will apply to both deep and superficial scars. The new title of diagnostic code 7804 would be: ''Scar(s), unstable or painful''. We propose removing the ''on examination'' language in the new title because VA's disability ratings are based on relevant medical evidence; as such, to include ''on examination'' in the title is redundant.

We propose providing evaluation criteria that encompass both unstable and painful scars and apply to one or more scars. We propose assigning a 10 percent evaluation if there are one or two scars that are unstable or painful, a 20 percent evaluation if there are three or four scars that are unstable or painful, and a 30 percent evaluation if there are five or more scars that are unstable or painful. Furthermore, we propose adding a note #2 explaining that if one or more scars are both unstable and painful, 10 percent will be added to the evaluation based on the total number of unstable or painful scars. The existing criteria provide no specific guidance on evaluating multiple painful or unstable scars, and we propose providing new criteria that reflect our policies concerning the evaluation of multiple superficial scars that are unstable, painful, or both. In our judgment, these criteria are equitable, in that if five painful scars are present on a single extremity, for example, they would receive the same evaluation (30 percent) as would five painful scars, if one were on each extremity, and one on the trunk. Unlike the physical effects of a deep or superficial scar, which may be limited to a particular part of the body, pain tends to affect the person as a whole; therefore, in cases of multiple scars, this diagnostic code does not distinguish scars based on where they are located but rather considers their cumulative effect.

Finally, we propose adding note #3, which indicates that scars evaluated under diagnostic codes 7800, 7801, 7802, or 7805 may also receive an evaluation under diagnostic code 7804, when applicable. This would clarify which types of scars may be evaluated under diagnostic code 7804 as well as under another diagnostic code.

We propose deleting note #1 to diagnostic code 7804, which defines a superficial scar, because we propose to make this diagnostic code applicable to both deep and superficial scars, and there will therefore no longer be a need to define a superficial scar under this diagnostic code. We propose replacing it with new note #1, which defines an unstable scar (using the same definition as in Note (1) under current diagnostic code 7803).

We also propose deleting Note (2) to diagnostic code 7804, concerning the evaluation of a scar on the tip of a finger or toe. The note is unnecessary because a scar on the tip of a finger or toe is evaluated under the same criteria as any other scar. In other words, a fingertip is part of the arm extremity and a toe is part of the leg extremity. We propose replacing that note with new note #2, discussed above.

Diagnostic Code 7805

Current diagnostic code 7805 has been most commonly used to evaluate well-healed, asymptomatic, linear surgical or wound scars. This diagnostic code includes a direction to rate on limitation of function of affected part. We propose revising the provision in order to clarify its intended applicability, but substantively it continues to serve the same purpose.

We propose that diagnostic code 7805 now be titled, ''Scars, other (including linear scars) and other effects of scars evaluated under Diagnostic Codes 7800, 7801, 7802, and 7804'', in order to emphasize that a single scar may receive, for example, a rating under both diagnostic codes 7801 and 7805. The purpose of the rating under diagnostic code 7805 is to ensure that we evaluate the disabling effects of a scar other than those reflected in an evaluation under the criteria set forth in diagnostic codes 7800–04. Most often, this diagnostic code is used to evaluate healed scars that are linear, are not tender or unstable, and are not of head, face, or neck, but may cause functional limitation to the affected body part, for example, a healed appendectomy scar or a scar related to gall bladder removal. An evaluation under this diagnostic code would therefore consist of a

hyphenated diagnostic code, with diagnostic code 7805 being the primary, and the affected body part being secondary, with the rating percentage based on the body part.

Applicability Date

VA proposes to make the provisions of this rule applicable to all applications for benefits received by VA on or after the effective date of this rule. A veteran who VA rated under diagnostic codes 7800, 7801, 7802, 7803, 7804, or 7805 of § 4.118, as in effect prior to the effective date of this rule, will be permitted to request review under these clarified criteria, irrespective of whether his or her disability has worsened since the last review. VA would review that veteran's disability rating to determine whether the veteran may be entitled to a higher disability rating under the provisions established by this rulemaking. The effective date of any award of an increase in disability compensation based on the clarifications in this rule would not be earlier than the effective date of the new criteria, but will otherwise be assigned under the current regulations regarding effective dates for increases in disability compensation, 38 CFR 3.400, etc. We propose adding this provision in the regulation to ensure veterans are fully notified of the availability of the review.

We propose establishing this process for veterans potentially affected by this rulemaking in order to ensure that veterans, especially those wounded during Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom, are compensated as fully as possible for their wounds.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This document contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612. This amendment would not significantly impact any small entities. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this amendment is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). The Executive Order classifies a ''significant regulatory action,'' requiring review by the Office of Management and Budget, as any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

The economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy implications of this proposed rule have been examined and it has been determined to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any year. This proposed rule would have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers and Titles

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers and titles for this proposal are 64.104, Pension for Non-Service-Connected Disability for Veterans, and 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 4

Disability benefits, Pensions, Veterans.

Approved: November 16, 2007.

Gordon H. Mansfield,

Acting Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 38 CFR part 4, subpart B, is proposed to be amended as set forth below:

PART 4—SCHEDULE FOR RATING DISABILITIES

Subpart B—Disability Ratings

1. The authority citation for part 4 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 1155, unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 4.118 is amended by:

a. Adding an introductory paragraph to § 4.118.

b. Revising the heading to diagnostic code 7800 and adding new notes (4) and (5).

c. Revising diagnostic codes 7801, 7802, 7804, and 7805.

d. Removing diagnostic code 7803.

The additions and revisions read as follows:

§ 4.118 Schedule of ratings—skin.

A veteran who VA rated under diagnostic codes 7800, 7801, 7802, 7803, 7804, or 7805, before [*date 30 days after date of publication of the final rule in the* **Federal Register**], can request review under diagnostic code 7800, 7801, 7802, 7804, and 7805, irrespective of whether his or her disability has increased since the last review. VA will review that veteran's disability rating to determine whether the veteran may be entitled to a higher disability rating under diagnostic code 7800, 7801, 7802, 7804, and 7805. A request for review pursuant to this rulemaking will be treated as a claim for an increased rating for purposes of determining the effective date of an increased rating awarded as a result of such review; however, in no case will the award be effective before [*date 30 days after date of publication of the final rule in the* **Federal Register**].

	Rating
7800 Burn scar(s); scar(s) due to other causes; or other disfigurement of the head, face, or neck: **Note (4):** Separately evaluate disabling effects other than disfigurement that are associated with individual scar(s) of the head, face, or neck, such as pain, instability, and residuals of associated muscle or nerve injury, under the appropriate diagnostic code(s) and apply § 4.25 to combine the evaluation(s) with the evaluation assigned under this diagnostic code.	

	Rating
Note (5): The characteristic(s) of disfigurement may be caused by one scar or by multiple scars; the characteristic(s) required to assign a particular evaluation need not be caused by a single scar in order to assign that evaluation.	
7801 Burn scar(s) or scar(s) due to other causes, not of the head, face, or neck, that are deep and nonlinear:	
Area or areas of 144 square inches (929 sq. cm.) or greater	40
Area or areas of at least 72 square inches (465 sq. cm.) but less than 144 square inches (929 sq. cm.)	30
Area or areas of at least 12 square inches (77 sq. cm.) but less than 72 square inches (465 sq. cm.)	20
Area or areas of at least 6 square inches (39 sq. cm.) but less than 12 square inches (77 sq. cm.)	10
Note (1): A deep scar is one associated with underlying soft tissue damage.	
Note (2): If multiple scars are present, or if a single scar affects more than one extremity, assign a separate evaluation for each affected extremity, based on the total area of the qualifying scars that affect that extremity, and assign a separate evaluation for the trunk, if affected, based on the total area of the qualifying scars of the trunk. Combine the separate evaluations under § 4.25. Qualifying scars are scars that are nonlinear, deep, and are not located on the head, face, or neck.	
7802 Burn scar(s) or scar(s) due to other causes, not of the head, face, or neck, that are superficial and nonlinear:	
Area or areas of 144 square inches (929 sq. cm.) or greater	10
Note (1): A superficial scar is one not associated with underlying soft tissue damage.	
Note (2): If multiple superficial scars are present, assign a separate evaluation for each affected extremity, based on the total area of the superficial scars of that extremity, and assign a separate evaluation for the trunk, if affected, based on the total area of the superficial scars of the trunk. Combine the separate evaluations under § 4.25.	
7804 Scar(s), unstable or painful:	
Five or more scars that are unstable or painful	30
Three or four scars that are unstable or painful	20
One or two scars that are unstable or painful	10
Note (1): An unstable scar is one where, for any reason, there is frequent loss of covering of skin over the scar.	
Note (2): If one or more scars are both unstable and painful, add 10 percent to the evaluation that is based on the total number of unstable or painful scars.	
Note (3): Scars evaluated under diagnostic codes 7800, 7801, 7802, or 7805 may also receive an evaluation under this diagnostic code, when applicable.	
7805 Scars, other (including linear scars) and other effects of scars evaluated under Diagnostic Codes 7800, 7801, 7802, and 7804:	
Evaluate any disabling effect(s) not considered in a rating provided under diagnostic codes 7800–04 under an appropriate diagnostic code.	

* * * * *

[FR Doc. E7–25525 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 4

RIN 2900–AM75

Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Evaluation of Residuals of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Schedule for Rating Disabilities by revising that portion of the Schedule that addresses neurological conditions and convulsive disorders, in order to provide detailed and updated criteria for evaluating residuals of traumatic brain injury (TBI).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 4, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted through *http://www.Regulations.gov*; by mail or hand-delivery to the Director, Regulations Management (00REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave., NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 273–9026. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to RIN 2900–AM75—''Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Evaluation of Residuals of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI).'' Copies of comments received will be available for public inspection in the Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Room 1063B, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays). Please call (202) 461–4902 (this is not a toll-free number) for an appointment. In addition, during the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at *http://www.Regulations.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maya Ferrandino, Regulations Staff (211D), Compensation and Pension Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, (727) 319–5847. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document proposes to amend the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Schedule for Rating Disabilities (38 CFR part 4) by revising the material under diagnostic code 8045, Brain disease due to trauma, in 38 CFR 4.124a (neurological conditions and convulsive disorders). TBI has been called a signature injury of the conflict in Iraq, and VA is seeing a statistically larger number of veterans of the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts with residuals of TBI than has been seen in previous conflicts. In addition, the effects of injuries stemming from blasts resulting from roadside explosions of improvised explosive devices, which have been common sources of injury in these conflicts, appear to be somewhat different from the effects of brain trauma seen from other sources of injury. VA proposes to amend the criteria for rating residuals of TBI to update them in light of current knowledge of the condition.

We propose changing the title of diagnostic code 8045 from ''Brain disease due to trauma'' to ''Residuals of traumatic brain injury (TBI),'' which reflects modern terminology for this condition.

TBI is an injury to the brain from an external force that results in immediate effects such as loss or alteration of consciousness, amnesia, and sometimes neurological impairments. These abnormalities may all be transient, but more prolonged or even permanent problems with a wide range of impairment in such areas as physical, mental, and emotional/behavioral functioning may occur. TBI is classified as mild, moderate, or severe at, or close to, the time of the original injury, and while this classification will often

correspond to the future level of functional impairment, that will not always be the case. This original designation as to severity of the original injury does not change, whatever the speed or extent of recovery, or the long-term disabling effects. Therefore, it does not affect the rating assigned under diagnostic code 8045. We propose to include the information that ''mild,'' ''moderate,'' and ''severe'' refer to a classification of TBI at, or close to, the time of injury rather than to the current level of functioning in the regulation itself to make it clear to raters that these designations that may appear in medical records refer only to the initial evaluation and not to current functioning.

We propose to provide guidance for the evaluation of the most common, but not all possible, residuals of TBI. These residuals fall into three main areas of dysfunction: Cognitive, emotional/behavioral, and physical. In addition, a cluster of largely subjective symptoms (symptoms cluster) falling into these categories may develop following TBI.

This proposed rule provides several sets of guidelines and criteria for the evaluation of TBI residuals because of the breadth of the possible effects. These include guidance on evaluating physical (neurologic) residuals, criteria for evaluating cognitive impairment, criteria for evaluating the symptoms cluster that sometimes follows TBI (sometimes referred to as post-concussion syndrome (PCS)), and guidance on evaluating emotional/behavioral dysfunction.

Evaluating Physical Dysfunction

In the current schedule, under diagnostic code 8045, purely neurological disabilities following brain trauma, such as hemiplegia, epileptiform seizures, facial nerve paralysis, etc., are rated under the diagnostic codes dealing with the specific disabilities, using a hyphenated code to indicate the rating criteria used. We propose deleting the discussion of the use of hyphenated codes because that use is explained in 38 CFR 4.27, ''Use of diagnostic code numbers,'' and therefore need not be repeated here.

When the brain is injured, almost any function of the body can be affected, depending on the location, type, and severity of the injury. We propose to provide a list of the most common, but not all possible, physical (neurological) problems that may be seen after TBI. These problems are motor and sensory dysfunction, including pain, of the extremities and face; visual impairment; hearing loss and tinnitus; loss of sense of smell and taste; seizures; gait, coordination, and balance problems; speech and other communication difficulties, including aphasia and related disorders, and dysarthria; neurogenic bladder; neurogenic bowel; cranial nerve dysfunctions; autonomic nerve dysfunctions; and endocrine dysfunctions. We propose to rate each condition separately evaluated under an appropriate diagnostic code, as long as the same signs and symptoms are not used to support more than one evaluation, and to combine those evaluations under the provisions of 38 CFR 4.25 (Combined ratings table). Residuals that are reported but not mentioned on this list would be evaluated under the most appropriate diagnostic code.

We are also proposing to direct raters to consider special monthly compensation for such problems as loss of use of an extremity, certain sensory impairments, bowel and bladder impairments, erectile dysfunction, the need for aid and attendance (including when assistance or supervision is needed on the basis of cognitive impairment), and being housebound.

Evaluating Emotional/Behavioral Dysfunction and Comorbid Mental Disorders

Comorbid (coexisting with another medical disorder) mental disorders are common with TBI. Most common is depression, which may occur in up to 60 percent of those with TBI, but anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) also commonly occur. We propose requiring comorbid mental disorders to be evaluated under 38 CFR 4.130 (Schedule of ratings—mental disorders). Some emotional/behavioral symptoms that do not reach the level of a mental disorder, as defined in DSM–IV (the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, which is published by the American Psychiatric Association), would be evaluated under the criteria provided for the evaluation of cognitive impairment or for the evaluation of the symptoms cluster, as discussed below, because the symptoms of cognitive impairment and the symptoms cluster encompass many emotional/behavioral symptoms (Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Initiative, ''Traumatic Brain Injury,'' 83–85 (Rodney Vanderploeg, Ph.D., ed., 2003)).

Evaluating the Symptoms Cluster Due to TBI

Following TBI, a cluster of symptoms (or syndrome) is commonly seen. The symptoms fall into emotional/behavioral, cognitive, and physical areas, and may have both neurological and psychological components, but there are no objective neurologic findings or abnormalities on routine imaging. While in the majority of affected people these symptoms resolve in about 3 months, in a small percentage, they become permanent. In the medical literature, this symptoms cluster is sometimes referred to as post-concussion syndrome (although loss of consciousness at the time of the original injury is not a requirement), or simply as residuals of mild TBI (Veterans Health Initiative, ''Traumatic Brain Injury,'' 23–27).

The symptoms cluster includes such symptoms as headache (migraine or tension-type), dizziness or vertigo, fatigue, malaise, sleep disturbance, cognitive impairment, difficulty concentrating, delayed reaction time, behavioral changes (such as irritability, restlessness, apathy, inappropriate social behavior, aggression, impulsivity), emotional changes (such as mood swings, anxiety, depression), tinnitus or hypersensitivity to sound, hypersensitivity to light, blurred vision, double vision, decreased sense of smell and taste, and difficulty hearing in noisy situations or with competing sounds in the absence of objective hearing loss.

In the current schedule, under diagnostic code 8045, purely subjective complaints such as headache, dizziness, insomnia, etc., recognized as symptomatic of brain trauma, are rated 10 percent and no more under diagnostic code 9304. Furthermore, this 10-percent rating is not combined with any other rating for a disability due to brain trauma, and ratings in excess of 10 percent for brain disease due to trauma under diagnostic code 9304 are not assignable in the absence of a diagnosis of multi-infarct dementia associated with brain trauma.

This guidance about evaluating subjective complaints after brain trauma is at least 45 years old and seems to reflect views that were once prevalent, that these symptoms might be due to hysteria or malingering. In recent years, abnormalities of the brain following mild TBI have been reported on the basis of the following types of special studies: Neuropathologic, neurophysiologic, neuroimaging, and neuropsychologic. Current medical thinking is that these symptoms may be due to subtle brain pathology following trauma that was undetectable on previously available studies. These symptoms may be more than 10-percent disabling. Therefore, we propose replacing the current guidance concerning the evaluation of subjective complaints after brain trauma under diagnostic code 8045 with a set of

criteria to evaluate this symptoms cluster, with evaluation levels of 20, 30, and 40 percent.

We propose to require that for evaluation under the new criteria, at least three of the symptoms listed above be present. If there are nine or more of the listed symptoms, 40 percent would be assigned; if there are five to eight of the listed symptoms, 30 percent would be assigned; and if there are three or four of the listed symptoms, 20 percent would be assigned. These levels of evaluation are consistent with the range of disability that may result from these symptoms and would promote consistent evaluations.

If, on the other hand, there is a definite diagnosis that includes one or more of these symptoms, such as migraine (which is common after TBI) or Meniere's syndrome (which has symptoms of tinnitus, vertigo, fluctuating hearing loss, and a sense of fullness in the ear), it would be separately evaluated. If there are at least 3 remaining symptoms, they would be evaluated under the criteria for evaluating the symptoms cluster.

Evaluating Cognitive Impairment

Cognitive impairment is defined as decreased memory, concentration, attention, and executive functions of the brain. Executive functions are speed of information processing, goal setting, planning, organizing, prioritizing, self-monitoring, problem solving, judgment, decision making, spontaneity, and flexibility in changing actions when they are not productive. Not all of these brain functions may be affected in a given individual with cognitive impairment, and some functions may be affected more severely than others. In a given individual, symptoms may fluctuate in severity from day to day. Cognitive impairment of varying degrees is most common and most severe following moderate or severe TBI. Therefore, primarily those who experienced a moderate or severe TBI would require evaluation under these criteria. However, an individual with mild TBI may also have these conditions.

The effects of cognitive impairment are numerous and far reaching with profound effects on many areas of functioning: mental, physical, behavioral, and emotional. Some of the major functional effects of cognitive impairment can be found at *http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PA–97–050.html, http://web.uccs.edu/dsimons/cognitive%20impairment%20handouts.pdf*, and *http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?ss=15&doc_id=3508&nbr=2734*. We propose to provide criteria that take into account 11 of the common major effects of cognitive impairment. These effects or facets of cognitive impairment are work or school; memory, attention, concentration; activities of daily living (ADLs); judgment; supervision for safety; appropriate response in social situations; orientation; motor activity (with intact motor and sensory system); visual-spatial function; other neurobehavioral effects; and speech and language disorders.

There is a wide variation in the occurrence and severity of cognitive impairments. Some individuals may have impairments in some facets but not others, some individuals may have impairments in all facets, and some functions affected by cognitive impairment may be impaired more severely than others in a given individual (for example, one may have severe speech and other communication problems but no problem with activities of daily living, while another may have no problem with speech, but considerable difficulty with ADLs and other facets). Using a standard set of evaluation criteria by assigning a specific level of evaluation for a standard set of signs or symptoms would disadvantage veterans who do not have the particular signs and symptoms in the standard set chosen, but who have equally disabling signs and symptoms of cognitive impairment. On the other hand, it would be too burdensome to include criteria for all possible signs and symptoms of cognitive impairment. Therefore, we propose using the table we have developed for evaluating cognitive impairment that includes the 11 most important types or facets of impairment, titled ''EVALUATION OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT UNDER DIAGNOSTIC CODE 8045.''

In addition, we propose providing separate criteria, representing logical increments of functioning for each facet, for assessing the severity of each of these 11 common facets of impairment following TBI. Scores of severity for each facet would range from 0 to 4, although not all facets would have all 5 levels of severity. For example, for ADLs, a score of 0 would be assigned if the individual is able to perform all activities of daily living without assistance. However, if some assistance is needed for ADLs, even part of the time, a level of 1 or 2 would be too low for such a substantial impairment. Therefore, if the individual requires assistance with activities of daily living some of the time (but less than half of the time), a score of 3 would be assigned, and if the individual requires assistance with activities of daily living most or all of the time, a score of 4 would be assigned. For the ''judgment'' facet, a score of 0 would be assigned for ''Normal.'' A score of 1 would be assigned for ''Mildly impaired.'' A score of 2 would be assigned for ''Moderately impaired.'' A score of 4 would be assigned for ''Severely impaired.'' Note that there would be no score of 3 for judgment.

The rater would assign the appropriate score from 0 to 4 for each facet, based on the information about the severity of impairment for each facet that has been provided (on the disability examination report). The rater would then add only the 3 highest scores and divide that sum by 3 to determine the overall score for cognitive impairment, that is, 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4. Numbers between whole numbers would be rounded to the nearest whole number. For example, scores of 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 would all be rounded to 1, while scores of 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9 would all be rounded to 2. The percentage evaluations available for cognitive impairment would be 0, 10, 40, 70, and 100 percent. A score of 1 would equate to an evaluation of 10 percent, a score of 2, to 40 percent, a score of 3, to 70 percent, and a score of 4, to 100 percent. As in all cases, per 38 CFR 4.31 (0 percent evaluations), an evaluation of 0 percent would be assigned if the score is below 1, after rounding.

Using the three most impaired facets of functioning balances the problems of using only one or two facets, which would result in a limited view of overall functioning, and using all 11 facets, which would cause the better areas of functioning to dilute the more severely impaired ones, and would result in an impression of better overall functioning than is actually present.

The proposed criteria are long and complex. To assist the rater, we propose providing the 11 facets, the levels of impairment, and the criteria for each level in the table, ''Evaluation of Cognitive Impairment Under Diagnostic Code 8045.'' Because of the length of the table, we are not repeating it in this summary.

Note #1—Cognitive Impairment and Comorbid Mental Disorder

We also propose adding two notes under the cognitive impairment criteria for further clarification. Note #1 would explain the evaluation process when both cognitive impairment and one or more comorbid mental disorders are present, in which case there may be an overlap of signs and symptoms. In such cases, two evaluations, one under the

cognitive impairment criteria and another under the General Rating Formula for Mental Disorders, based on the same findings would not be assigned. If the signs and symptoms of the mental disorder(s) and of cognitive impairment cannot be clearly separated, a single evaluation either under the General Rating Formula for Mental Disorders or under the evaluation criteria for cognitive impairment, whichever provides the better assessment of overall impaired functioning due to both conditions, would be assigned. If the signs and symptoms are clearly separable, separate evaluations for the mental disorder(s) and for cognitive impairment would be assigned.

Note #2—Prohibition of Evaluation Under Cognitive Impairment Criteria and Under the Symptoms Cluster

Note #2 would point out that cognitive impairment may not be evaluated both under the cognitive impairment criteria and as part of the symptoms cluster because this would constitute pyramiding. In addition, cognitive impairment encompasses many more symptoms than are specifically listed in the rating table for evaluation of cognitive impairment, including some of the subjective symptoms in the symptoms cluster. Therefore, if evaluation is made under the cognitive impairment criteria, no evaluation would be assigned for the symptoms cluster. When cognitive impairment is present, it would be evaluated either as part of the symptoms cluster, if cognitive impairment and at least 2 of the additional cluster symptoms listed are present, or under the cognitive impairment criteria, whichever method of evaluation is more advantageous to the veteran.

Note #3—TBI That Is Unclassified as to Severity

We propose adding a third note to direct raters to evaluate under the set of criteria that is most in accord with the reported residuals, regardless of whether a classification of the severity of TBI (mild, moderate, or severe) determined at, or close to, the time of injury is available. In other words, if subjective symptoms are the primary residuals, evaluation would be made under the criteria for evaluating the symptoms cluster. If cognitive impairment alone is diagnosed, evaluation would be made instead under the criteria for evaluating cognitive impairment. In any case, physical (neurologic) residuals would be evaluated as directed under diagnostic code 8045, and comorbid mental disorders would be evaluated as directed under § 4.130.

Applicability Date

VA proposes to make the provisions of this rule applicable to all applications for benefits received by VA on or after the effective date of this rule. A veteran whose residuals of TBI are rated under a prior version of § 4.124a, diagnostic code 8045, will be permitted to request review under the new criteria, irrespective of whether his or her disability has worsened since the last review. VA would review that veteran's disability rating to determine whether the veteran may be entitled to a higher disability rating under the provisions established by this rulemaking. The effective date of any award of an increase in disability compensation based on the new criteria would be no earlier than the effective date of the new criteria. The effective date of an award would be decided under the current regulations regarding effective dates for increases in disability compensation, 38 CFR 3.400, etc. and 38 CFR 3.114, if applicable, would be considered. We propose adding this information under diagnostic code 8045 as Note #4 to insure veterans are fully notified of the availability of the review.

We propose establishing this process for veterans potentially affected by this rulemaking in order to ensure that veterans, especially those wounded during Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom, are compensated as fully as possible for their wounds.

Benefits Costs

Two groups of veterans may be affected by this regulation change. The first group is those veterans who will come on the rolls in the future. VA also anticipates some current TBI beneficiaries will reopen their claims. Future caseload estimates are based on historical trends of service connected accessions related to TBI by degree of disability. VA identified the potential population of reopened claims based on current beneficiaries on the rolls with a combined evaluation that included a rating for TBI. Average monthly payments for each disability rating were applied to calculate the benefits cost. The assumptions used to generate the affected population are based on historical caseload trends and are not based on DoD information, nor should they be construed to imply any future DoD policy decisions.

VA estimates the total caseload affected for years 2008–2017 as follows: 2,846, 3,546, 3,746, 3,946, 4,146, 4,343, 4,546, 4,746, 4,946, and 5,146. Benefits costs ($ in millions) associated with the caseload for the same time period are as follows: $3.6, $10.1, $10.1, $11.1, $12.1, $13.1, $14.2, $15.3, $16.5, and $17.7 for a 10-year total of $123.8 million over 10 years.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This document contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612. This proposed rule would govern disability ratings in individual cases and would not directly affect small entities. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this proposed amendment is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). The Executive Order classifies a ''significant regulatory action,'' requiring review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), as any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

The economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy implications of this proposed rule have been examined, and it has been determined to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866

because it is likely to result in a rule that may raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or principles set forth in the Executive Order.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any 1 year. This proposed rule would have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers and Titles

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers and titles for this proposal are 64.104, Pension for Non-Service-Connected Disability for Veterans, and 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 4

Disability benefits, Pensions, Veterans.

Approved: November 16, 2007.

Gordon H. Mansfield,

Acting Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 38 CFR part 4, subpart B, is proposed to be amended as set forth below:

PART 4—SCHEDULE FOR RATING DISABILITIES

1. The authority citation for part 4 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 1155, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart B—Disability Ratings

2. In § 4.124a, in the table entitled, ''Organic Diseases Of The Central Nervous System'', the entry for 8045 is revised in its entirety and a new table titled ''Evaluation Of Cognitive Impairment Under Diagnostic Code 8045'' is added after the ''Organic Diseases Of The Central Nervous System'' table, to read as follows:

§ 4.124a Schedule of ratings—neurological conditions and convulsive disorders.

* * * * *

ORGANIC DISEASES OF THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

	Rating
8045 Residuals of traumatic brain injury (TBI):	
There are three main areas of dysfunction that may result from TBI and require evaluation: Cognitive, emotional/behavioral, and physical effects. In addition, a cluster of largely subjective symptoms, which may include Cognitive, emotional/behavioral, and physical symptoms, may develop that may also require evaluation. ''Mild,'' ''moderate,'' and ''severe'' refer to a classification of TBI at, or close to, the time of injury rather than to the current level of functioning. This classification does not affect the rating assigned under diagnostic code 8045.	
Evaluate cognitive impairment under the criteria in the table titled ''Evaluation Of Cognitive Impairment Under Diagnostic Code 8045.''	
Evaluate the symptoms cluster that sometimes follows TBI under the set of criteria for evaluating the symptoms cluster due to TBI provided as part of the rating criteria under diagnostic code 8045.	
Evaluate emotional/behavioral dysfunction under § 4.130 (Schedule of ratings—mental disorders) when there is a diagnosis of a mental disorder. When there is no diagnosis of a mental disorder, evaluate symptoms under the criteria in the table titled ''Evaluation Of Cognitive Impairment Under Diagnostic Code 8045'' or under the criteria for evaluation of the symptoms cluster due to TBI.	
Evaluate physical (neurological) dysfunction based on the following list, under an appropriate diagnostic code, as applicable.	

Motor and sensory dysfunction, including pain, of the extremities and face; visual impairment; hearing loss and tinnitus; loss of sense of smell and taste; seizures; gait, coordination, and balance problems; speech and other communication difficulties, including aphasia and related disorders, and dysarthria; neurogenic bladder; neurogenic bowel; cranial nerve dysfunctions; autonomic nerve dysfunctions; and endocrine dysfunctions.

These lists do not encompass all possible residuals of TBI. For residuals not listed here that are reported on an examination, evaluate under the most appropriate diagnostic code. Evaluate each condition separately, as long as the same signs and symptoms are not used to support more than one evaluation, and combine the evaluations for each separately rated condition under § 4.25. Consider special monthly compensation for such problems as loss of use of an extremity, certain sensory impairments, bowel and bladder impairments, erectile dysfunction, the need for aid and attendance (including when assistance or supervision is needed on the basis of cognitive impairment), and being housebound.

Evaluation of Symptoms Cluster due to TBI

A cluster of symptoms, physical, cognitive, and emotional/behavioral, often occurs following TBI. There are usually no objective neurologic findings or abnormalities on routine imaging. While in the majority of affected people this cluster of symptoms resolves in about 3 months, in a small percentage, the symptoms become permanent. In the medical literature, this symptoms cluster may be referred to as post-concussion syndrome, or simply as residuals of mild TBI. For evaluating such residuals of TBI under the criteria below, at least three of the following symptoms must be present: Headache (migraine or tension-type), dizziness or vertigo, fatigue, malaise, sleep disturbance, cognitive impairment, difficulty concentrating, delayed reaction time, behavioral changes (such as irritability, restlessness, apathy, inappropriate social behavior, aggression, impulsivity), emotional changes (such as mood swings, anxiety, depression), tinnitus or hypersensitivity to sound, hypersensitivity to light, blurred vision, double vision, decreased sense of smell and taste, and difficulty hearing in noisy situations or with competing sounds in the absence of objective hearing loss.

If there is a definite diagnosis of a condition that includes one or more of these symptoms, such as migraine headache or Meniere's disease, evaluate that condition separately under the appropriate diagnostic code and evaluate the remaining symptoms based on the following criteria, as long as there are at least three symptoms remaining.	
With nine or more of the listed symptoms	40
With five to eight of the listed symptoms	30

With three or four of the listed symptoms	20

Evaluation of Cognitive Impairment

Cognitive impairment is defined as decreased memory, concentration, attention, and executive functions of the brain. Executive functions are speed of information processing, goal setting, planning, organizing, prioritizing, self-monitoring, problem solving, judgment, decision making, spontaneity, and flexibility in changing actions when they are not productive. Not all of these brain functions may be affected in a given individual with cognitive impairment, and some functions may be affected more severely than others. In a given individual, symptoms may fluctuate in severity from day to day.

These types of losses can have profound effects on many areas of functioning: mental, physical, behavioral, and emotional. Cognitive impairment of varying degrees is common after TBI.

The table titled ''EVALUATION OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT UNDER DIAGNOSTIC CODE 8045'' contains 11 common facets of cognitive impairment with levels of impairment for each ranging from 0 to 4, with 4 representing the most severe level. Not all facets have criteria for every level from 0 to 4. Add the *3 highest numbers* from 0 to 4 assigned to facets of cognitive impairment, divide that sum by 3, and round to the nearest whole number (for example, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 are rounded to 1, while 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9 are rounded to 2). Once the whole number from 0 to 4 has been calculated, assign the percentage evaluation as follows: 0 = 0%; 1 = 10%; 2 = 40%; 3 = 70%; and 4 = 100%.

Note (1): When both cognitive impairment and one or more comorbid mental disorders are present, there may be an overlap of signs and symptoms. In such cases, do not assign two evaluations, one under the cognitive impairment criteria and another under the General Rating Formula for Mental Disorders, based on the same findings. If the signs and symptoms of the mental disorder(s) and of cognitive impairment cannot be clearly separated, assign a single evaluation either under the General Rating Formula for Mental Disorders or under the evaluation criteria for cognitive impairment, whichever provides the better assessment of overall impaired functioning due to both conditions. However, if the signs and symptoms are clearly separable, assign separate evaluations for the mental disorder(s) and for cognitive impairment.

Note (2): Do not assign separate evaluations for cognitive impairment and for the symptoms cluster due to TBI; rather, assign one or the other, whichever results in a higher evaluation. However, separate evaluations may be assigned for cognitive impairment or for the symptoms cluster, and for other physical (neurological) abnormalities or comorbid mental disorders if the same signs and symptoms are not used to support more than one evaluation.

Note (3): Whether or not a classification of the severity of TBI (mild, moderate, or severe) determined at, or close to, the time of injury is available, evaluate under the set of criteria that is most in accord with the reported residuals. If a cluster of subjective symptoms is the primary residual, evaluate under the criteria for symptoms cluster due to TBI. If cognitive impairment is diagnosed, evaluate under the criteria for cognitive impairment if it is the only residual, or under either the criteria for cognitive impairment or under the symptoms cluster if there are at least 2 other residual subjective symptoms. In any case, evaluate physical (neurologic) residuals and comorbid mental disorders as directed under diagnostic code 8045.

Note (4): A veteran whose residuals of TBI are rated under a version of § 4.124a, diagnostic code 8045, in effect prior to [insert date 30 days after date of publication of the final rule in the **Federal Register**], can request review under diagnostic code 8045, irrespective of whether his or her disability has worsened since the last review. VA will review that veteran's disability rating to determine whether the veteran may be entitled to a higher disability rating under diagnostic code 8045. A request for review pursuant to this rulemaking will be treated as a claim for an increased rating for purposes of determining the effective date of an increased rating awarded as a result of such review; however, in no case will the award be effective before [insert date 30 days after date of publication of the final rule in the **Federal Register**]. For the purposes of determining the effective date of an increased rating awarded as a result of such review, VA will apply the provisions of 38 CFR 3.114, if applicable.

* * * * *

EVALUATION OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT UNDER DIAGNOSTIC CODE 8045

Facets of cognitive impairment	Level of impairment	Criteria
Work or school	0	Able to work or attend school at a level equivalent to that prior to injury with no special accommodation, and without difficulty.
	1	Able to work or attend school at a level equivalent to that prior to injury with no special accommodation, and with only minor difficulty, mainly at times of increased duties or demands.
	2	Able to work or attend school, but requires some accommodation (for example, may need special environment, special equipment, or closer supervision).
	3	Able to work or attend school, but only in a situation with decreased demands compared to pre-injury employment or school or in a sheltered workplace.
	4	Unable to work or attend school.
Memory, attention, concentration	0	No complaints of memory loss and no objective evidence of memory loss.
	1	Mildly impaired. Any combination of memory loss (although memory tests on exam are normal), occasional difficulty following a conversation, occasional difficulty recalling recent conversations, occasional difficulty remembering names of new acquaintances, occasional difficulty finding words, misplaces items.
	2	Any combination of mild impairment of memory (which must be objectively shown), mildly impaired attention, mildly impaired concentration, difficulty following complex instructions, easily distractible, poor retention of written material, difficulty multi-tasking, problems planning, problems organizing, difficulty completing tasks.
	3	Any combination of moderately impaired memory, attention, concentration, or executive functions.
	4	Any combination of severely impaired memory, attention, concentration, or executive functions.
ADLs (activities of daily living)	0	Able to perform all activities of daily living without assistance.
	3	Requires assistance with activities of daily living some of the time (but less than half of the time).
	4	Requires assistance with activities of daily living most or all of the time.
Judgment	0	Normal.

EVALUATION OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT UNDER DIAGNOSTIC CODE 8045—Continued

Facets of cognitive impairment	Level of impairment	Criteria
	1	Mildly impaired.
	2	Moderately impaired.
	4	Severely impaired.
Supervision for safety	0	Does not need supervision for safety, even in risky situations.
	2	Rarely or occasionally needs supervision for safety, but only for risky activities.
	3	Often requires supervision for safety (but less than half of the time).
	4	Requires supervision for safety most or all of the time.
Appropriate response in social situations.	0	Appropriate response in social situations always.
	1	Appropriate response in social situations almost always.
	2	Inappropriate response in social situations much of the time.
	3	Inappropriate response in social situations most or all of the time.
Orientation	0	Always oriented to person, time, and place.
	2	Oriented to person and time; occasional or rare disorientation to place.
	3	Sometimes disoriented to time or place.
	4	Often or always disoriented, especially to time or place.
Motor activity (with intact motor and sensory system).	0	Motor activity normal.
	1	Motor activity normal most of the time. May be slowed at times.
	2	Motor activity mildly decreased due to apraxia (inability to perform previously learned motor activities, despite normal motor function), or with moderate slowing.
	3	Motor activity moderately decreased due to apraxia.
	4	Motor activity severely decreased due to apraxia.
Visual-spatial function	0	Normal.
	1	Rare indication of slight impairment, such as getting lost in unfamiliar surroundings.
	2	Mildly impaired. May get lost in unfamiliar surroundings, occasional difficulty recognizing faces.
	3	Moderately impaired. May get lost even in familiar surroundings, frequent difficulty recognizing faces.
	4	Severely impaired. May be unable to touch or name own body parts when asked by the examiner, identify the relative position in space of two different objects, copy sentences, read maps, or find way from one room to another.
Other neurobehavioral effects		Symptoms: Physically aggressive, verbally aggressive, impulsive, uninhibited, sleep problems, apathetic, inflexible, fatigability, mood swings, lack of motivation, impaired awareness of disability.
	0	None of these effects.
	1	One or two of these effects.
	2	Three to five of these effects.
	3	Six or more of these effects.
Speech and language disorders	0	Able to communicate by spoken and written language, and to comprehend spoken and written language.
	1	Impaired articulation for some words, but speech is understandable, or comprehension of either spoken language, written language, or both, is only occasionally impaired.
	2	Inability to communicate either by spoken language, written language, or both, more than occasionally but less than half of the time, or to comprehend spoken language, written language, or both, more than occasionally but less than half of the time.
	3	Inability to communicate either by spoken language, written language, or both, at least half of the time but not all of the time, or to comprehend spoken language, written language, or both, at least half of the time but not all of the time.
	4	Complete inability to communicate either by spoken language, written language, or both, or to comprehend spoken language, written language, or both.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. E7–25522 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 622

[Docket No. 070709302–7309–01]

RIN 0648–AV17

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; Atlantic Group Spanish Mackerel Commercial Trip Limit in the Southern Zone; Change in Start Date

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule, request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the framework procedure for adjusting management measures of the Fishery Management Plan for the Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic (FMP), NMFS proposes to change the start date for the commercial trip limit for Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel in the southern zone to March 1. The intended effect of this proposed rule is to conform the trip limit to the beginning of the fishing year for Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before January 18, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by RIN 0648–AV17, by any one of the following methods:

- Electronic Submissions: Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal *http://www.regulations.gov*.
- Fax: 727–824–5308, Attn: Susan Gerhart.
- Mail: Susan Gerhart, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701.

Instructions: All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted to *http://www.regulations.gov* without change. All Personal Identifying Information (for example, name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

NMFS will accept anonymous comments. Attachments to electronic comments will be accepted in Microsoft Word, Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

Copies of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council's framework recommendation for adjustment of the start date of the commercial trip limits for Atlantic group Spanish mackerel in the southern zone and related matters may be obtained from the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 4055 Faber Place, Suite 201, North Charleston, SC 29405; phone: 843–571–4366 or 866–SAFMC–10 (toll free); fax: 843–769–4520; e-mail: *safmc@safmc.net*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Gerhart, telephone: 727–824–5305, fax: 727–824–5308, e-mail: *Susan.Gerhart@noaa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The fisheries for coastal migratory pelagic resources are regulated under the FMP. The FMP was prepared jointly by the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic Fishery Management Councils and is implemented under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) by regulations at 50 CFR part 622. In accordance with the framework procedures of the FMP, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) made a recommendation to the Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, NMFS (RA), relating to Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel. The recommended change is within the scope of the management measures that may be adjusted under the framework procedure, as specified in 50 CFR 622.48(c).

Background

Amendment 15 to the FMP revised the fishing year for Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel from April through March to March through February. However, the start date for the trip limit in the southern zone was not similarly changed from April 1 to March 1.

The commercial sectors of the king and Spanish mackerel fisheries are managed under both quotas and trip limits. Currently, the commercial trip limits for Atlantic group Spanish mackerel south of the Georgia/Florida boundary are as follows: From April 1 through November 30 - 3,500 lb (1,588 kg); from December 1 until 75 percent of the adjusted quota is taken, Mondays through Fridays--unlimited, and Saturdays and Sundays--1,500 lb (680 kg); after 75 percent of the adjusted quota is taken until 100 percent of the adjusted quota is taken, 1,500 lb (680 kg); and after 100 percent of the adjusted quota is taken through the end of the fishing year, 500 lb (227 kg). The adjusted quota is currently 3.62 million lb (1.64 million kg), which is the quota for Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel reduced by an amount calculated to allow continued harvests of Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel at the rate of 500 lb (227 kg) per vessel per day for the remainder of the fishing year after the adjusted quota is reached.

As proposed by the Council for the commercial fishery off Florida, the trip limit of 3,500 lb (1,588 kg) would apply March 1 in lieu of April 1, without other change. The proposed change would conform to the current fishing year, which starts March 1, and would allow fishermen to fish for Spanish mackerel during March when there are few other fishing opportunities. It would also assure that Spanish mackerel can be harvested during Lent when ex-vessel prices are typically at their highest during the year.

Classification

Pursuant to section 304(b)(1)(A) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, the NMFS Assistant Administrator has determined that this proposed rule is consistent with the FMP, other provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, and other applicable law, subject to further consideration after public comment.

This proposed rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of E.O. 12866.

The Council prepared an IRFA, as required by section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, for this proposed rule. The IRFA describes the economic impact this proposed rule, if adopted, would have on small entities. A description of the action, why it is being considered, and the legal basis for this action are contained at the beginning of this section in the preamble and in the SUMMARY section of the preamble. A copy of the full analysis is available from the Council (see **ADDRESSES**). A summary of the IRFA follows.

The proposed rule would change the start date for the 3,500–pound trip limit in the southern zone for Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel to March 1. This action would correct an unintended inconsistency created by Amendment 15 to the FMP, effective August 8, 2005, which redefined the fishing year for Atlantic migratory group king mackerel and Spanish mackerel from April-March to March-February, but did not specify the Spanish mackerel trip limit for March. The Magnuson-Stevens Act provides the statutory basis for the proposed rule.

No duplicative, overlapping, or conflicting Federal rules have been

identified. This proposed rule would not alter existing reporting, record-keeping, or permitting requirements.

The proposed rule would affect all federally permitted commercial vessels that harvest Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel off the Florida east coast. As of January 2006, 1,333 vessels possessed Federal commercial Spanish mackerel permits. However, only 532 of these vessels had homeports on the Atlantic coast (Maine through Miami-Dade County, Florida), of which 300 vessels had homeports on the Florida east coast, and only 312 vessels reported landings of Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel in the required Federal logbook system for the 2005–2006 fishing year. Additional vessels may fish exclusively within state waters, where neither a Federal permit nor logbook reporting is required. While these vessels would not directly be subject to the proposed rule, Florida commercial trip limits for Spanish mackerel have to date been adjusted to mirror those of adjacent Federal waters.

Although the total number of vessels that operate in the Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel fishery, as well as their production characteristics, varies from year to year, information on the 312 vessels that reported landings of this species in the 2005–2006 fishing year were used to determine average revenue characteristics for this fishery. Most of the vessels that operate in the Spanish mackerel fishery have permits and participate in other commercial fisheries, king mackerel and snapper-grouper. During the 2005–2006 fishing season, these vessels harvested, on average, 5,391 pounds of Atlantic group Spanish mackerel. This accounted for 24 percent, approximately $5,300 (2006 dollars), of the estimated average annual gross revenue, approximately $22,200 (2006 dollars), from all logbook-reported landings. The annual vessel maximum estimated gross revenue from all species harvested by vessels operating in the Spanish mackerel fishery ranged from approximately $182,000 to $342,000 (2006 dollars) for the fishing years 2001–2002 through 2005–2006.

The Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel fishery has been managed via staged trip limits since November 1992 for Florida's east coast, starting with a 3,500–pound trip limit in April through November, unlimited week-day limits and 1,500–pound weekend limits from December 1 until 75 percent of the adjusted quota is harvested, followed by a 1,500–pound trip limit on all days until 100 percent of the adjusted quota is harvested, and a 500–pound limit thereafter until the end of the fishing year. The trip limit elsewhere (Georgia through New York) remains at 3,500 pounds all year. Over the past decade, the Florida east coast has accounted for over 70 percent of the fishery's landings.

Under these trip limits, very few logbook-reported trips in the fishery as a whole have reached 3,500 pounds, usually accounting for less than one percent of all trips each year since the 1998–1999 fishing season. The average Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel harvest per trip since the 1998–1999 fishing season has been approximately 500–700 pounds, and the median harvest approximately 100–300 pounds. Over this period, Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel accounted for on average approximately 60–72 percent of the estimated gross revenue from all species harvested by these fishermen.

Gear use in the fishery has changed since the mid–1990s. Prior to the mid–1990s, gillnets were the leading gear in the fishery. Since the implementation of Federal regulations that limit the use of gillnets in Federal waters in 1994 and the prohibition of the use of gillnets in Florida state waters in 1995, fishermen have adjusted their fishing practices resulting in cast nets becoming the predominant gear on the Florida east coast. Hand lines have challenged gillnets for second place, and the proportion of logbook reported landings from the Florida east coast has declined from approximately 70–80 percent of total logbook reported Spanish mackerel landings in the early 2000's to 50–60 percent in more recent years.

Little data are available since the start of the fishing year was changed to March 1. While the inconsistency between the fishing year and trip limits created the opportunity for unlimited harvests in March, to date the fishery has not responded with increased harvests relative to previous years, with March harvests in 2006 and 2007 less than those of either 2004 or 2005.

Some fleet activity may exist in the commercial fishery for Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel, but the extent of such activity is unknown. Additional permits, both state and Federal, and associated revenues may be linked to an entity through affiliation rules, but such affiliation links cannot be made using existing data. Therefore, all vessels operating in the Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel fishery are assumed to represent independent entities for the purpose of this analysis.

The Small Business Administration (SBA) has established size criteria for all major industry sectors in the U.S. including fish harvesters, for-hire operations, fish processors, and fish dealers. A business involved in fish harvesting is classified as a small business if it is independently owned and operated, is not dominant in its field of operation (including its affiliates), and has combined annual receipts not in excess of $4.0 million (NAICS code 114111, finfish fishing) for all affiliated operations worldwide. Based on the annual averages and maximums for estimated gross revenue per vessel provided above, it is determined that, for purpose of this analysis, all entities that would be affected by the proposed rule are small business entities.

No direct or indirect adverse economic effects on any affected entities have been identified or are expected to occur as a result of this proposed rule. Although the current inconsistency between the start of the Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel fishing year and the specification of the commercial trip limit created the opportunity for increased harvests in March, available data do not indicate this has altered fishing behavior that would be adversely impacted by the establishment of a 3,500–pound trip limit. Further, even if the proposed rule were to result in a harvest reduction of and reduced revenues from Spanish mackerel for some entities, the intent of the action is to enable access to and larger harvests of Spanish mackerel in the months prior to March, when harvests of other species, notably snapper-grouper species, are constrained due to recent regulatory change. Allowing unlimited trip limits for Spanish mackerel at the start of the season increases the likelihood of quota-triggered lower limits at the end of the fishing year, leading to reduced alternative fishing opportunities and lower profits for fishermen subject to reduced harvest opportunities in the snapper-grouper fishery. Improving access to Spanish mackerel at the end of the fishing year, as would be accomplished by limiting harvest in March, would, therefore, be expected to result in increased total harvest opportunities and net benefits (profits) to the participants in these fisheries. These increased benefits, however, cannot be quantified with available data.

One alternative to the proposed action, the status quo, was considered. The status quo would not establish a trip limit for the Florida east coast in March and would not, therefore, achieve the Council's objective. No other alternatives to the proposed action were considered because no other start date for the trip limit would be reasonable other than the beginning of the fishing

year. Current rules already establish trip limits for April 1–end of February, so this amendment only applies to March.

This proposed rule does not contain a collection-of-information requirement subject to review and approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 622

Fisheries, Fishing, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Virgin Islands.

Dated: December 27, 2007

John Oliver,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations, National Marine Fisheries Service.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 50 CFR part 622 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 622—FISHERIES OF THE CARIBBEAN, GULF, AND SOUTH ATLANTIC

1. The authority citation for part 622 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

2. In § 622.44, paragraph (b)(1)(ii)(A) is revised to read as follows:

§ 622.44 Commercial trip limits.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(ii) * * *

(A) From March 1 through November 30, in amounts exceeding 3,500 lb (1,588 kg).

* * * * *

[FR Doc. E7–25583 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 071004577–7578–01]

RIN 0648–AW13

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Total Allowable Catches for Eastern Georges Bank Cod, Eastern Georges Bank Haddock, and Georges Bank Yellowtail Flounder in the U.S./Canada Management Area for Fishing Year 2008

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS proposes 2008 fishing year (FY) Total Allowable Catches (TACs) for Eastern Georges Bank (GB) cod, Eastern GB haddock, and GB yellowtail flounder in the U.S./Canada Management Area, as recommended by the New England Fishery Management Council (Council). These TACs may be adjusted during FY 2008, if NMFS determines that the harvest of these stocks in FY 2007 exceeded the TACs specified for FY 2007. NMFS is also considering for the Eastern U.S./Canada Area, postponing the FY 2008 opening until August 1, 2008, allowing longline gear vessels during the May through July period, and setting a cap on the cod caught by such vessels during this period at 5 percent of the cod TAC. The intent of this action is to provide for the conservation and management of those three stocks of fish.

DATES: Comments must be received by February 4, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by 0648–AW13, by any one of the following methods:

- Electronic Submissions: Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e-rulemaking portal: *http://www.regulations.gov*
- Mail: Paper, disk, or CD-ROM comments should be sent to Patricia A. Kurkul, Regional Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside of the envelope, ''Comments on the U.S./Canada TACs.''
- Fax: (978) 281–9135.

Instructions: All comments received are part of the public record and will generally be posted to *http://www.regulations.gov* without change. All Personal Identifying Information (for example, name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publically accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

NMFS will accept anonymous comments. Attachments to electronic comments will be accepted in Microsoft Word, Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF formats only.

Copies of the Transboundary Management Guidance Committee's 2007 Guidance Document and copies of the Environmental Assessment (EA) of the 2008 TACs (including the Regulatory Impact Review and Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) may be obtained from NMFS at the mailing address specified above; telephone (978) 281–9315. NMFS prepared a summary of the IRFA, which is contained in the Classification section of this proposed rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Warren, Fishery Policy Analyst, (978) 281–9347, fax (978) 281–9135, e-mail *Thomas.Warren@NOAA.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Northeast (NE) Multispecies Fishery Management Plan (FMP) specifies a procedure for setting annual hard TAC levels (i.e., the fishery or area closes when a TAC is reached) for Eastern GB cod, Eastern GB haddock, and GB yellowtail flounder in the U.S./Canada Management Area. The regulations governing the annual development of TACs (§ 648.85(a)(2)) were implemented by Amendment 13 to the FMP (69 FR 22906; April 27, 2004) in order to be consistent with the U.S./Canada Resource Sharing Understanding (Understanding), which is an informal (i.e. non-binding) understanding between the United States and Canada that outlines a process for the management of the shared GB groundfish resources. The Understanding specifies an allocation of TAC for these three stocks for each country, based on a formula that considers historical catch percentages and current resource distribution.

Annual TACs are determined through a process involving the Council, the Transboundary Management Guidance Committee (TMGC), and the U.S./Canada Transboundary Resources Steering Committee (§ 648.85(a)(2)(i)). In September 2007, the TMGC approved the 2007 Guidance Document for Eastern GB cod, Eastern GB haddock, and GB yellowtail flounder, which included recommended U.S. TACs for these stocks. The recommended 2008 TACs were based upon the most recent stock assessments (Transboundary Resource Assessment Committee (TRAC) Status Reports for 2007), and the fishing mortality strategy shared by both the United States and Canada. The strategy is to maintain a low to neutral (less than 50 percent) risk of exceeding the fishing mortality limit reference (F_{ref} = 0.18, 0.26, and 0.25, for cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder, respectively). When stock conditions are poor, fishing mortality rates (F) should be further reduced to promote rebuilding.

For Eastern GB cod, the TMGC concluded that the most appropriate combined Canada/USA TAC for Eastern Georges Bank cod for the 2008 fishing year is 2,300 mt. This corresponds to a low risk (less than 25%) of exceeding the F_{ref} of 0.18 in 2008 and that stock biomass will not increase from 2008 to 2009, though that increase is estimated to be nominal. The annual allocation shares between countries for 2008 are based on a combination of historical

catches (20% weighting) and resource distribution based on trawl surveys (80% weighting). Combining these factors entitles the USA to 29% and Canada to 71%, resulting in a national quota of 667 mt for the USA and 1,633 mt for Canada.

For Eastern GB haddock, the TMGC concluded that the most appropriate combined Canada/USA TAC for Eastern Georges Bank haddock for the 2008 fishing year is 23,000 mt. This represents a low risk (less than 25%) of exceeding the F_{ref} of 0.26. Adult biomass is projected to peak at 159,000 mt in 2008, reflecting the recruitment and growth of the exceptional 2003 year class, and then decline to 146,000 mt in 2009. The annual allocation shares between countries for 2008 are based on a combination of historical catches (20% weighting) and resource distribution based on trawl surveys (80% weighting). Combining these factors entitles the USA to 35% and Canada to 65%, resulting in a national quota of 8,050 mt for the USA and 14,950 mt for Canada.

For GB yellowtail flounder, the TMGC concluded that the most appropriate combined Canada/USA TAC for the 2008 fishing year is 2,500 mt. This corresponds to an F of 0.17, lower than the Fref of 0.25. With a catch of 2,500 mt in 2008, the age 3+ biomass is expected to increase by 22%. The annual allocation shares between countries for 2008 are based on a combination of historical catches (20% weighting) and resource distribution based on trawl surveys (80% weighting). Combining these factors entitles the USA to 78% and Canada to 22%, resulting in a national quota of 1,950 mt for the USA and 550 mt for Canada.

On September 18, 2007, the Council approved, consistent with the 2007 Guidance Document, the following U.S. TACs recommended by the TMGC: 667 mt of Eastern GB cod, 8,050 mt of Eastern GB haddock, and 1,950 mt of GB yellowtail flounder. The Council, in a letter dated September 27, 2007, requested that NMFS implement these TACs. The proposed 2008 Fishing Year TACs represent an increase for all three stocks from the 2007 TAC levels (Tables 1 and 2).

TABLE 1: 2008 U.S./CANADA TACS (MT) AND PERCENTAGE SHARES (IN PARENTHESES)

	GB Cod	GB Haddock	GB Yellowtail flounder
Total Shared TAC	2,300	23,000	2,500
U.S. TAC	667 (29)	8,050 (35)	1,950 (78)
Canada TAC	1,633 (71)	14,950 (65)	550 (22)

TABLE 2: 2007 U.S./CANADA TACS (MT) AND PERCENTAGE SHARES (IN PARENTHESES)

	GB Cod	GB Haddock	GB Yellowtail flounder
Total Shared TAC	1,900	19,000	1,250
U.S. TAC	494 (26)	6,270 (33)	900 (72)
Canada TAC	1,406 (74)	12,730 (67)	350 (28)

The 2008 TACs are based upon stock assessments conducted in June 2007 by the TRAC. The proposed TACs are consistent with the results of the TRAC and the TMGC's harvest strategy and, therefore, NMFS proposes that they be implemented through this action.

The regulations for the U.S./Canada Management Understanding, implemented by Amendment 13, at § 648.85(a)(2)(ii), state the following: ''Any overages of the GB cod, haddock, or yellowtail flounder TACs that occur in a given fishing year will be subtracted from the respective TAC in the following fishing year.''

Therefore, should an analysis of the catch of the shared stocks by U.S. vessels indicate that an overage occurred during FY 2007, the pertinent TAC will be adjusted downward in order to be consistent with the FMP and the Understanding. Although it is very unlikely, it is possible that a very large overage could result in an adjusted TAC of zero. If an adjustment to one of the 2008 TACs for cod, haddock, or yellowtail flounder is necessary, the public will be notified through publication in the **Federal Register** and through a letter to permit holders.

NMFS is also notifying the public that it is considering adjusting the rules governing the Eastern U.S./Canada Area (Area) for FY 2008. The current regulations under 648.85(a)(3)(iv)(D) provide the Regional Administrator authority to implement in-season adjustments to various management measures in order to prevent over-harvesting or facilitate achieving the TAC. On November 7, 2007, the Council voted to postpone the FY 2008 opening of the Eastern U.S./Canada Area for vessels fishing with trawl gear (from May 1, 2008) until August 1, 2008, in order to reduce cod bycatch, while allowing vessels fishing with more selective longline gear access during the May through July period. Such vessels would be limited to a cod catch of five percent of the cod TAC, or 33.35 mt of cod. The Regional Administrator is considering implementing these measures based upon the Council's recommendation and pertinent information.

The objective of the in-season action is to prevent trawl fishing in the Area during the time period when cod bycatch is likely to be very high. The goal of the measure is to prolong access to the Area in order to maximize the catch of available cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder. During FYs 2005, 2006, and 2007, the Regional Administrator restricted management measures and access to the Area in June or July when a substantial percentage of the cod TAC had been harvested by trawl gear. When the Area closes vessels lose access not only to cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder, but also to other valuable groundfish and non-groundfish species. When the Regional Administrator implements restrictions to slow the catch of cod, the profitability of trips may decline and there is reduced incentive to fish in the Area. Delaying access to the Area until August for trawl vessels would reduce the catch of cod because the availability of cod is high in the Area during the time period May through July, and historically, the cod catch has been almost all by trawl vessels. By limiting access to the Area to vessels fishing with hook gear only during the period May through July, it is likely that once the Area opens to trawl vessels on August 1, the length of time the Area will be open or unrestricted will be prolonged, and the catch of haddock and yellowtail

flounder, as well as other species will be maximized.

Classification

NMFS has determined that the proposed rule is consistent with the FMP and preliminarily determined that the rule is consistent with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act and other applicable laws.

This proposed rule is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866 because this action contains no implementing regulations.

An initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) was prepared, as required by section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA). The IRFA describes the economic impact this proposed rule, if adopted, would have on small entities. A description of the action, why it is being considered, and the legal basis for this action are contained at the beginning of this section in the preamble and in the **SUMMARY** section of the preamble. A summary of the analysis follows. A copy of this analysis is available from the NMFS (see **ADDRESSES**).

The specification of hard TACs is necessary in order to ensure that the agreed upon U.S./Canada fishing mortality levels for these shared stocks of fish are achieved in the U.S./Canada Management Area (the geographic area on GB defined to facilitate management of stocks of cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder that are shared with Canada). A description of the objectives and legal basis for the proposed TACs is contained in the **SUMMARY** of this proposed rule.

Under the Small Business Administration (SBA) size standards for small fishing entities ($3.5 million), all permitted and participating vessels in the groundfish fishery are considered to be small entities and, therefore, there are no differential impacts between large and small entities. Gross sales by any one entity (vessel) do not exceed this threshold. The maximum number of small entities that could be affected by the proposed TACs are approximately 1,000 vessels, i.e., those with limited access NE multispecies days-at-sea (DAS) permits that have an allocation of Category A or B DAS. Realistically, however, the number of vessels that choose to fish in the U.S./Canada Management Area, and that therefore would be subject to the associated restrictions, including hard TACs, would be substantially less.

During fishing years 2004 through 2006, the number of vessels fishing in the U.S./Canada Area ranged from 161 to 184. Because the regulatory regime in FY 2008 will be similar to that in place in the past, and based on data from FY 2007, it is likely that the number of vessels that choose to fish in the U.S./Canada Area during FY 2008 will be similar to the past.

The economic impacts of the proposed TACs are difficult to predict due to numerous factors that affect the amount of catch, as well as the price of the fish. In general, the rate at which cod is caught in the Eastern U.S./Canada Area, and the rate at which yellowtail flounder is caught in the Eastern and Western U.S./Canada Area will determine the length of time the Eastern U.S./Canada Area will remain open. The length of time the Eastern U.S./Canada Area is open will determine the amount of haddock that is caught. During the 2004, 2005, and 2006 fishing years, the TACs were not fully utilized, and inseason changes to the regulations impacted the fishery.

The amount of GB cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder landed and sold will not be equal to the sum of the TACs, but will be reduced as a result of discards (discards are counted against the hard TAC), and may be further reduced by limitations on access to stocks that may result from the associated rules. Reductions to the value of the fish may result from fishing derby behavior and the potential impact on markets. The overall economic impact of the proposed 2008 U.S./Canada TACs will likely be more positive than the economic impacts of the 2007 TACs due to increased TACs for cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder, that will likely result in increased revenue. For example, based on the estimates in the EA revenues from cod caught in the Eastern U.S./Canada Area may increase by approximately $ 786,000 and haddock revenue may increase by $ 1,069,000.

It should be noted that the revenue associated with the cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder represented about 2 %, 4 %, and 10%, respectively of the total revenue from trips to the U.S./Canada Area in FY 2006. Examples of other valuable species caught are winter flounder, witch flounder, and monkfish. If the proposed larger GB cod TAC and delayed opening of the Eastern U.S./Canada Area to trawl vessels result in a longer period of time that the Eastern U.S./Canada Area is open and maximizes the catch of the available TACs, it may result in additional revenue from all species.

Although unlikely, a downward adjustment to the TACs specified for FY 2008 could occur after the start of the fishing year, if it is determined that the U.S. catch of one or more of the shared stocks during the 2007 fishing year exceeded the relevant TACs specified for FY 2007. The economic effects of this downward adjustment would likely result in a short term loss of revenue proportional to the magnitude of the adjustment.

Three alternatives were considered for FY 2008: The proposed TACs, the status quo TACs, and the no action alternative. No additional set of TACs are proposed because the process involving the TMGC and the Council yields only one proposed set of TACs. Accordingly, NMFS chooses to either accept or reject the recommendation of the Council. The proposed TACs would have a more positive economic impact as the status quo TACs. Adoption of the status quo TACs would not be consistent with the FMP because the status quo TACs do not represent the best available scientific information incorporated from the most recent TRAC. Although the no action alternative (no TACs) would not constrain catch in the U.S./Canada Management Area, and therefore would likely provide some additional fishing opportunity, the no action alternative is not a reasonable alternative because it is inconsistent with the FMP in both the short and long term. The FMP requires specification of hard TACs in order to limit catch of shared stocks to the appropriate level (i.e., consistent with the Understanding and the FMP). As such, the no action alternative would likely provide less economic benefits to the industry in the long term than the proposed alternative.

The proposed TACs do not modify any collection of information, reporting, or recordkeeping requirements. The proposed TACs do not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any other Federal rules.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: December 27, 2007

John Oliver,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E7–25580 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 73, No. 2

Thursday, January 3, 2008

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Plumas National Forest, CA, Plumas National Forest Public Wheeled Motorized Travel Management EIS

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: The Plumas National Forest (PNF) will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement to disclose the impacts associated with the following proposed actions: 1. The prohibition of wheeled motorized vehicle travel by the public off designated National Forest System (NFS) roads and NFS motorized trails and open areas except as allowed by permit or other authorization. 2. The addition of approximately 375 miles of existing unauthorized routes (including 2 miles in vehicle restricted areas) to the current system of NFS motorized trails. 3. The addition of 36 acres in 1 open area where use of wheeled motorized vehicles by the public would be allowed anywhere within that area.

DATES: The comment period on the proposed action will extend 60 days from the date the Notice of Intent is published in the **Federal Register**.

Completion of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is expected in September 2008 and the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) is expected in December 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Travel Management Team, c/o Plumas National Forest, PO Box 11500 Quincy California 95971.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Hochrein, Plumas National Forest, PO Box 11500 Quincy California 95971. Phone: 530–283–7718. *E-mai1: plumas_ohv_mail_in_database@fs.fed.us*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Over the past few decades, the availability and capability of motorized vehicles, particularly off-highway vehicles (OHVs) and sport utility vehicles (SUVs) has increased. Nationally, the number of OHV users has increased sevenfold in the past 30 years, from approximately 5 million in 1972 to 36 million in 2000. California is experiencing the highest level of OHV use of any state in the nation. There were 786,914 ATVs and OHV motorcycles registered in 2004, up 330% since 1980. Annual sales of ATVs and OHV motorcycles in California were the highest in the U.S. for the last 5 years. Four-wheel drive vehicle sales in California also increased by 1500% to 3,046,866 from 1989 to 2002.

Unmanaged OHV use has resulted in unplanned roads and trails, erosion, watershed and habitat degradation, and impacts to cultural resource sites. Compaction and erosion are the primary effects of OHV use on soils. Riparian areas and aquatic dependent species are particularly vulnerable to OHV use. Unmanaged recreation, including impacts from OHVs, is one of ''Four Key Threats Facing the Nation's Forests and Grasslands.'' (USDA Forest Service, June 2004).

On August 11, 2003, the Pacific Southwest Region of the Forest Service entered into a Memorandum of Intent (MOI) with the California Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation Commission, and the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation Division of the California Department of Parks and Recreation. That MOI set in motion a region-wide effort to ''Designate OHV roads, trails, and any specifically defined open areas for motorized wheeled vehicles on maps of the 19 National Forests in California by 2007.'' On November 9, 2005, the Forest Service published final travel management regulations in the **Federal Register** (FR Vol. 70, No. 216—Nov. 9, 2005, pp 68264–68291). This final Travel Management Rule requires designation of those roads, trails, and areas that are open to motor vehicle use on National Forests. Designations will be made by class of vehicle. The final rule prohibits the use of motor vehicles off the designated system as well as use of motor vehicles on routes and in areas that are not designated.

On some NFS lands, long managed as open to cross-country motor vehicle travel, repeated use has resulted in unplanned, roads and trails. These routes were generally developed without environmental analysis or public involvement, and do not have the same status as NFS roads and NFS trails included in the forest transportation system. Nevertheless, some unauthorized routes are well-sited, provide excellent opportunities for outdoor recreation by motorized and non-motorized users, and would enhance the National Forest system of designated roads, trails and open areas. Other unauthorized routes are poorly located and cause unacceptable impacts. Only NFS roads and NFS trails can be designated for wheeled motorized vehicle use. In order for an unauthorized route to be designated, it must be added to the forest transportation system.

In accordance with the MOI, the PNF completed an inventory of unauthorized routes on NFS lands and identified approximately 1,073 miles of unauthorized routes. The PNF then used an interdisciplinary process to conduct travel analysis that included working with interested members of the public to determine whether any of the unauthorized routes should be proposed for addition to the PNF transportation system. Roads, trails and open areas that are currently part of the PNF transportation system and are open to wheeled motorized vehicle travel will remain designated for such use. This proposal focuses on the prohibition of wheeled motorized vehicle travel off designated routes and the addition of unauthorized routes and open areas to the PNF transportation system. The proposed action is being carried forward in accordance with the Travel Management Rule (36 CFR Part 212).

In accordance with the rule, following a decision on this proposal, the Plumas National Forest will publish a Motor Vehicle Use Map (MVUM) identifying all PNF roads, trails and open areas that are designated for motor vehicle use. The MVUM shall specify the classes of vehicles for which use is designated.

Purpose and Need for Action

The following needs have been identified for this proposal:

1. There is a need for reglation of unmanaged wheeled motorized vehicle travel by the public. Currently, wheeled motorized vehicle travel by the public is not prohibited off designated routes. In

their enjoyment of the National Forest, motorized vehicle users have created numerous unauthorized routes. The number of such routes continues to grow each year with many routes having environmental impacts and safety concerns that have not been addressed. The Travel Management Rule, 36 CFR Part 212, provides policy for ending this trend of unauthorized route proliferation and managing the Forest transportation system in a sustainable manner through designation of motorized NFS roads, trails and open areas, and the prohibition of cross-country travel.

2. There is a need for limited changes and additions to the PNF transportation system to:

2.1. Provide a diversity of wheeled motorized recreation opportunities (4X4 vehicles, motorcycles, ATVs, passenger vehicles, etc.)

2.2. Provide wheeled motorized access for recreational opportunities.

It is Forest Service policy to provide a diversity of road and trail opportunities for experiencing a variety of environments and modes of travel consistent with the National Forest recreation role and land capability (FSM 2353.03(2)).

In meeting these needs the proposed action will also achieve the following purposes:

A. Avoid impacts to cultural resources.

B. Provide for public safety.

C. Provide for a diversity of recreational opportunities.

D. Assure adequate access to public and private lands.

E. Provide for adequate maintenance and administration of road, trail and area designations based on availability of resources and funding to do so.

F. Minimize damage to soil, vegetation and other forest resources.

G. Avoid harassment of wildlife and significant disruption of wildlife habitat.

H. Minimize conflicts between wheeled motor vehicles and other existing or proposed recreational uses of NFS lands.

I. Minimize conflicts among different classes of wheeled motor vehicle uses of NFS lands or neighboring federal lands.

J. Assure compatibility of wheeled motor vehicle use with existing conditions in populated areas, taking into account sound, emissions, etc.

K. Insure valid existing rights of use and access (rights-of-way).

Proposed Action

1. Prohibition of wheeled motorized vehicle travel off the designated NFS roads, NFS trails and open areas by the public except as allowed by permit or other authorization.

2. Additions to the National Forest Transportation System—The PNF currently manages and maintains approximately 4,150 miles of NFS roads and 102 miles of NFS motorized trails. Based on the stated purpose and need for action, and as a result of the recent travel analysis process, the PNF proposes to add approximately 375 miles of existing unauthorized routes. These additions would bring the total NFS motorized trails to 477 miles. The additional NFS motorized trails are listed below along with the permitted vehicle class.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS FROM UNCLASSIFIED ROUTES FOR YEARLONG USE

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
4M01	1.55	Motorcycle Only.
4M02	0.76	Motorcycle Only.
5M01	2.16	Motorcycle Only.
5M02	2.41	Motorcycle Only.
5M03	0.25	Motorcycle Only.
5M04	2.02	Motorcycle Only.
5M05	0.79	Motorcycle Only.
5M06	0.47	Motorcycle Only.
5M07	0.29	Motorcycle Only.
5M08	0.45	Motorcycle Only.
5M08A ...	0.12	Motorcycle Only.
5M09	0.65	Motorcycle Only.
5M10	0.28	Motorcycle Only.
5M11	0.65	Motorcycle Only.
5M12	1.92	Motorcycle Only.
5M13	1.11	Motorcycle Only.
5M14	0.55	Motorcycle Only.
5M15	1.05	Motorcycle Only.
5M16	0.84	50″ or less in width.
5M17	0.90	Motorcycle Only.
5M18	1.00	Motorcycle Only.
5M19	0.60	Motorcycle Only.
5M20	0.80	Motorcycle Only.
5M21	1.32	Motorcycle Only.
5M22	1.60	Motorcycle Only.
5M23	1.69	Motorcycle Only.
5M24	1.17	Motorcycle Only.
5M25	0.76	Motorcycle Only.
5M25A ...	0.34	Motorcycle Only.
5M26	0.49	Motorcycle Only.
5M27	1.22	Motorcycle Only.
5M28	1.19	Motorcycle Only.
5M29	2.34	All.
5M30	1.42	Motorcycle Only.
6M02	0.87	Motorcycle Only.
6M03	1.15	Motorcycle Only.
6M03A ...	0.08	Motorcycle Only.
6M04	1.39	Motorcycle Only.
6M05	0.41	Motorcycle Only.
6M06	0.88	All.
6M08	1.74	Motorcycle Only.
6M09	0.48	Motorcycle Only.
6M10	3.62	Motorcycle Only.
6M11	0.98	Motorcycle Only.
6M12	0.85	All.
6M13	1.41	Motorcycle Only.
6M14	2.62	Motorcycle Only.
6M14A ...	0.17	Motorcycle Only.
6M15	0.49	Motorcycle Only.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS FROM UNCLASSIFIED ROUTES FOR YEARLONG USE—Continued

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
6M16	2.26	Motorcycle Only.
6M16A ...	0.29	Motorcycle Only.
6M16B ...	0.11	Motorcycle Only.
6M17	1.00	Motorcycle Only.
6M17A ...	0.12	Motorcycle Only.
6M19	2.90	Motorcycle Only.
6M20	1.72	Motorcycle Only.
6M21	0.77	All.
6M22	2.98	Motorcycle Only.
6M22A ...	0.64	Motorcycle Only.
6M23	1.11	Motorcycle Only.
6M23A ...	0.19	Motorcycle Only.
6M24	0.23	Motorcycle Only.
6M25	0.20	All.
6M26	1.36	Motorcycle Only.
6M27	0.83	Motorcycle Only.
6M28	0.09	Motorcycle Only.
6M29	3.91	Motorcycle Only.
6M29A ...	0.20	Motorcycle Only.
6M29B ...	0.47	Motorcycle Only.
6M29C ...	0.76	Motorcycle Only.
6M30	0.50	Motorcycle Only.
6M30A ...	0.06	Motorcycle Only.
6M31	0.32	Motorcycle Only.
6M32	0.36	Motorcycle Only.
6M33	0.65	Motorcycle Only.
6M34	0.52	All.
6M34A ...	0.37	Motorcycle Only.
6M35	0.47	Motorcycle Only.
6M36	0.86	Motorcycle Only.
6M37	1.42	All.
6M38	0.38	All.
6M39	0.66	All.
6M44	0.95	Motorcycle Only.
7M01	0.59	All.
7M02	1.12	Motorcycle Only.
7M03	0.36	Motorcycle Only.
7M04	0.31	Motorcycle Only.
7M05	0.54	Motorcycle Only.
7M06	0.40	Motorcycle Only.
7M07	0.39	Motorcycle Only.
7M08	0.86	Motorcycle Only.
7M09	0.26	Motorcycle Only.
7M10	0.54	Motorcycle Only.
7M11	0.48	50″ or less in width.
7M12	0.94	50″ or less in width.
7M14	0.25	All.
7M15	1.20	All.
7M16	0.94	All.
7M17	1.73	All.
7M18	0.66	All.
7M22	0.53	50″ or less in width.
8M01	0.50	Motorcycle Only.
8M02	0.78	All.
8M03	1.46	All.
8M04	0.69	50″ or less in width.
8M10	0.67	50″ or less in width.
8M11	0.84	All.
8M11	0.00	
8M11A ...	0.12	All.
8M13	0.96	50″ or less in width.
8M14	0.27	50″ or less in width.
8M15	0.32	50″ or less in width.
8M16	0.77	50″ or less in width.
8M17	1.28	50″ or less in width.
8M18	0.41	50″ or less in width.
8M19	1.27	50″ or less in width.
8M21	0.72	All.
8M22	0.48	All.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS FROM UNCLASSIFIED ROUTES FOR YEARLONG USE—Continued

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
8M23	0.49	All.
8M24	2.71	50″ or less in width.
8M25	1.03	All.
8M26	1.01	All.
8M27	2.26	All.
8M27A ...	0.33	All.
8M28	1.08	50″ or less in width.
8M28A ...	0.10	50″ or less in width.
8M29	0.66	50″ or less in width.
8M30	0.49	50″ or less in width.
8M31	1.11	50″ or less in width.
8M32	0.64	All.
8M33	0.96	All.
8M34	0.06	All.
8M35	1.57	All.
8M36	0.96	All.
8M37	0.82	All.
8M37A ...	0.08	All.
8M37B ...	0.15	All.
8M38	0.54	All.
8M39	0.71	All.
8M39A ...	0.32	All.
8M40	0.34	All.
8M41	0.33	All.
8M42	0.98	50″ or less in width.
8M43	0.36	All.
8M44	0.30	All.
8M45	0.46	All.
8M46	0.61	All.
8M47	1.46	All.
8M47A ...	0.35	All.
8M48	0.49	All.
8M49	0.32	All.
8M50	0.83	All.
8M51	0.84	All.
8M52	1.39	All.
8M53	0.66	All.
8M54	0.82	All.
9M01	0.91	50″ or less in width.
9M02	0.39	Motorcycle Only.
9M03	0.56	50″ or less in width.
9M04	0.18	Motorcycle Only.
9M05	1.40	50″ or less in width.
9M06	0.39	50″ or less in width.
9M07	0.08	Motorcycle Only.
9M08	2.11	50″ or less in width.
9M08A ...	0.13	50″ or less in width.
9M09	0.84	50″ or less in width.
9M10	1.65	50″ or less in width.
9M11	0.65	Motorcycle Only.
9M12	0.38	Motorcycle Only.
9M13	0.48	All.
9M14	1.50	All.
9M14A ...	0.58	All.
9M15	0.81	Motorcycle Only.
9M16	1.22	50″ or less in width.
9M16A ...	0.57	50″ or less in width.
9M17	1.38	All.
9M18	0.05	All.
9M19	0.67	All.
9M20	1.39	All.
9M21	1.63	All.
9M22	0.76	All.
9M23	0.69	All.
9M24	0.85	All.
9M25	1.72	50″ or less in width.
9M25A ...	0.14	50″ or less in width.
9M26	0.90	50″ or less in width.
9M27	0.24	50″ or less in width.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS FROM UNCLASSIFIED ROUTES FOR YEARLONG USE—Continued

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
9M32	0.60	All.
9M32A ...	0.37	All.
9M33	2.66	Motorcycle Only.
9M34	0.55	Motorcycle Only.
9M35	0.69	Motorcycle Only.
9M36	1.33	All.
9M37	1.68	All.
9M37A ...	0.43	All.
9M37B ...	0.25	All.
9M38	1.61	50″ or less in width.
9M39	1.13	All.
9M39A ...	0.69	All.
9M40	1.01	50″ or less in width.
9M41	0.67	Motorcycle Only.
9M41A ...	0.19	Motorcycle Only.
9M42	0.84	All.
9M42A ...	0.17	All.
9M42B ...	0.52	All.
9M43	0.26	All.
9M44	0.49	All.
9M45	0.61	Motorcycle Only.
9M46	0.95	All.
9M46A ...	0.49	All.
9M47	1.40	All.
9M47A ...	0.47	All.
9M48	1.26	All.
9M49	1.46	All.
9M50	0.30	All.
9M50A ...	0.17	All.
9M51	1.27	All.
9M52	0.63	All.
9M53	0.59	All.
9M53A ...	0.46	All.
9M54	0.45	All.
9M55	0.53	All.
9M56	0.73	All.
9M56A ...	0.38	All.
9M57	0.82	All.
9M57A ...	0.17	All.
9M58	0.34	All.
9M58A ...	0.63	All.
9M59	0.66	All.
9M59A ...	0.47	All.
9M59B ...	0.56	All.
9M59C ...	0.24	All.
9M59D ...	0.18	All.
9M59E ...	0.43	All.
9M60	0.42	All.
9M62	0.51	All.
10M01 ...	0.45	Motorcycle Only.
10M02 ...	1.25	50″ or less in width.
10M03 ...	0.97	50″ or less in width.
10M04 ...	1.70	50″ or less in width.
10M04A	0.27	50″ or less in width.
10M06 ...	3.31	50″ or less in width.
10M07 ...	2.24	50″ or less in width.
10M09 ...	0.84	All.
10M11 ...	1.36	All.
10M12 ...	0.95	All.
10M13 ...	0.20	All.
10M14 ...	0.12	All.
10M15 ...	0.54	All.
10M16 ...	1.09	All.
10M19 ...	1.26	All.
10M20 ...	1.31	All.
10M20A	0.48	All.
10M20B	0.13	All.
10M21 ...	1.24	All.
10M21A	0.28	All.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS FROM UNCLASSIFIED ROUTES FOR YEARLONG USE—Continued

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
10M21B	0.91	All.
10M21C	0.13	All.
10M22 ...	0.50	All.
10M23 ...	2.06	All.
10M24 ...	1.28	All.
10M25 ...	1.78	All.
10M26 ...	1.92	Motorcycle Only.
10M27 ...	0.51	All.
10M28 ...	1.38	All.
10M28A	1.01	All.
10M29 ...	1.56	All.
10M30 ...	0.83	All.
10M30A	0.24	All.
10M30B	0.27	All.
10M30C	0.09	All.
10M30D	0.18	All.
10M31 ...	0.24	All.
10M32 ...	1.26	50″ or less in width.
10M33 ...	0.70	All.
10M34 ...	1.51	All.
10M35 ...	0.68	All.
10M36 ...	1.15	All.
10M36A	0.17	All.
10M38 ...	2.47	50″ or less in width.
10M39 ...	0.17	All.
10M40 ...	1.35	50″ or less in width.
10M42 ...	1.44	All.
10M43 ...	1.15	All.
10M44 ...	0.45	All.
10M45 ...	0.67	All.
10M46 ...	0.71	All.
10M47 ...	1.50	All.
11M02 ...	1.72	All.
11M03 ...	0.52	All.
11M04 ...	0.76	All.
11M05 ...	0.96	All.
11M06 ...	0.42	All.
11M07 ...	0.16	All.
11M08 ...	1.16	Motorcycle Only.
11M08A	0.27	Motorcycle Only.
11M08B	0.09	Motorcycle Only.
11M09 ...	1.07	All.
11M10 ...	1.97	Motorcycle Only.
11M11 ...	1.03	Motorcycle Only.
11M13 ...	1.03	Motorcycle Only.
11M13A	0.35	Motorcycle Only.
11M13B	0.53	Motorcycle Only.
11M13C	0.06	Motorcycle Only.
11M13D	0.08	Motorcycle Only.
11M14 ...	0.42	Motorcycle Only.
11M15 ...	0.38	Motorcycle Only.
11M15A	0.25	Motorcycle Only.
11M16 ...	0.65	Motorcycle Only.
11M17 ...	0.96	Motorcycle Only.
11M18 ...	0.23	Motorcycle Only.
11M18A	0.54	Motorcycle Only.
11M19 ...	0.66	All.
11M20 ...	1.37	All.
11M21 ...	1.76	All.
11M21A	0.10	All.
11M21B	0.06	All.
11M22 ...	0.40	50″ or less in width.
11M23 ...	0.67	50″ or less in width.
11M24 ...	0.39	All.
11M25 ...	0.43	All.
11M30 ...	0.58	All.
11M34 ...	0.73	All.
11M35 ...	0.71	All.
11M36 ...	1.36	All.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS FROM UNCLASSIFIED ROUTES FOR YEARLONG USE—Continued

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
11M37 ...	2.15	All.
11M38 ...	0.53	All.
11M39 ...	0.55	All.
11M40 ...	0.64	All.
11M41 ...	1.29	All.
11M41A	0.35	All.
11M42 ...	0.16	All.
12M02 ...	1.23	All.
12M03 ...	0.76	All.
12M04 ...	0.41	All.
12M06 ...	0.85	All.
12M07 ...	0.44	All.
12M08 ...	0.72	All.
12M09 ...	3.08	All.
12M09A	0.84	All.
12M10 ...	1.77	All.
12M11 ...	1.77	All.
12M12 ...	0.67	All.
12M13 ...	0.40	All.
12M14 ...	0.58	All.
12M15 ...	0.23	All.
12M16 ...	1.21	All.
12M17 ...	0.16	All.
12M18 ...	0.14	All.
12M19 ...	0.68	All.
12M20 ...	0.11	All.
12M21 ...	0.23	All.
12M21A	0.05	All.
12M22 ...	0.15	All.
12M23 ...	0.91	All.
12M24 ...	0.28	All.
12M25 ...	1.44	50″ or less in width.
12M26 ...	1.55	50″ or less in width.
12M27 ...	0.91	50″ or less in width.
12M30 ...	0.04	All.
13M01 ...	1.07	All.
13M03 ...	0.45	All.
13M04 ...	0.49	All.
13M04A	0.16	All.
13M04B	0.11	All.
13M05 ...	0.58	All.
13M06 ...	1.41	All.
13M07 ...	1.24	All.
13M08 ...	1.39	All.
13M09 ...	0.50	All.
13M09A	0.06	All.
13M10 ...	12.04	All.
13M10A	0.04	All.
13M10B	0.13	All.
13M11 ...	1.97	50″ or less in width.
13M11A	1.56	50″ or less in width.
13M12 ...	1.50	All.
13M12A	0.25	All.
13M13 ...	1.07	All.
13M14 ...	1.33	All.
13M15 ...	0.81	All.
13M16 ...	0.54	All.
13M17 ...	1.02	All.
13M18 ...	0.65	All.
13M19 ...	1.19	All.
13M20 ...	0.22	All.
13M21 ...	1.15	All.
13M21A	0.22	All.
13M21B	0.16	All.
13M22 ...	1.12	All.
13M23 ...	0.60	All.
13M24 ...	0.64	All.
13M25 ...	0.70	All.
13M26 ...	0.59	All.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS FROM UNCLASSIFIED ROUTES FOR YEARLONG USE—Continued

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
13M27 ...	0.93	All.
13M28 ...	0.45	All.
13M29 ...	2.24	All.
13M30 ...	0.43	Motorcycle Only.
13M31 ...	2.33	All.
14M01 ...	1.76	All.
14M01A	0.22	All.
14M01B	0.17	All.
14M01C	0.24	All.
14M02 ...	1.24	All.
14M04 ...	0.70	All.
14M05 ...	0.72	All.
14M06 ...	0.37	All.
14M07 ...	0.49	All.
14M08 ...	0.48	All.
14M09 ...	1.41	All.
14M10 ...	0.57	All.
14M11 ...	2.28	All.
14M12 ...	1.52	All.
15M01 ...	1.46	50″ or less in width.
15M01A	0.16	50″ or less in width.
15M02 ...	1.46	All.
15M02A	0.09	All.
15M02B	0.72	All.
15M02C	0.36	All.
15M03 ...	0.29	All.
15M04 ...	0.32	All.
15M05 ...	2.18	All.
16M01 ...	1.78	All.
16M03 ...	0.77	All.
16M03A	0.12	All.
16M03B	0.27	All.
16M04 ...	2.08	All.
16M04A	0.54	All.
17M01 ...	0.28	50″ or less in width.
17M02 ...	0.66	All.
17M03 ...	0.51	All.
17M04 ...	0.88	All.
17M05 ...	3.87	All.
17M06 ...	0.72	All.
17M06A	0.69	All.

NFS MOTORIZED TRAIL ADDITIONS IN VEHICLE RESTRICTED AREAS FOR YEARLONG USE

Route ID	Length (miles)	Permitted vehicle class
7M13	0.70	All.
8M20	0.19	All.
12M29 ...	0.96	All.

3. *Motorized Open Area Addition*—The Plumas National Forest currently has 1 area designated open to wheeled motorized vehicle use. The Plumas National Forest proposes to designate 1 additional open area (36 acres).

MOTORIZED OPEN AREA ADDITIONS FOR YEARLONG USE

Area name	Acreage	Permitted vehicle class
8PA2 ...	36	50″ or less in width.

The proposed action includes a Forest Plan Amendment to add 3 existing routes to the NFS motorized trails system in vehicle restricted areas.

Maps and tables describing in detail both the PNF transportation system and the proposed action can found at: *http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/plumas/projects_and_plans/ ohv _route_designation/*. In addition, maps will be available for viewing at: Supervisor's Office, PO Box 11500, Quincy, California 95971. Beckwourth Ranger District, PO Box 7, Blairsden, California 96103. Mount Hough Ranger District, 39696 Highway 70, Quincy, California 95971. Feather River Ranger District, 875 Mitchell Avenue, Oroville, California 95965–4699.

Responsible Official

Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor, PO Box 11500, Quincy, California 95971.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The responsible official will decide whether to adopt and implement the proposed action, an alternative to the proposed action, or take no action to make changes to the existing Plumas National Forest Transportation System and prohibit cross country wheeled motorized vehicle travel by the public off the designated system. Once the decision is made, the Plumas National Forest will publish a Motor Vehicle Use Map (MVUM) identifying the roads, trails and open areas that are designated for motor vehicle use by vehicle class.

Scoping Process

Public participation will be especially important at several points during the analysis. The Forest Service will be seeking information, comments, and assistance from the federal, state, and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed action.

The comment period on the proposed action will extend 60 days from the date the Notice of Intent is published in the **Federal Register**.

The draft environmental impact statement is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available for public review by September, 2008. EPA will publish a notice of availability of the draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. The

comment period on the draft EIS will extend 45 days from the date the EPA notice appears in the **Federal Register**. At that time, copies of the draft EIS will be distributed to interested and affected agencies, organizations, and members of the public for their review and comment. It is very important that those interested in the management of the Plumas National Forest participate at that time.

The final EIS is scheduled to be completed in December, 2008. In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to comments received during the comment period that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed in the draft EIS and applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered in making the decision. Submission of comments is a prerequisite for eligibility to appeal under the 36 CFR part 215 regulations.

Comment Requested

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.* v. *NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519,553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon* v. *Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc.* v. *Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft environmental impact statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: December 18, 2007.

Alice B. Carlton,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 07–6259 Filed 1–02–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Notice of Meeting; Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act, (Title VIII, Pub. L. 108–447)

AGENCY: Pacific Southwest Region, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Southwest Recreation Resource Advisory Committee (Recreation RAC) will hold a meeting in Monrovia, California. The purpose of this meeting is to conduct a field trip to view fee sites on the Angeles National Forest and to make recommendations for fee proposals on lands managed by the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management in California.

DATES: The meeting will be held January 14, 2008 from 9 a.m.to 5 p.m. and January 15, 2008 from 8 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at various sites on the Angeles National Forest on the first day and in the Salon A, Courtyard Marriott, 700 W. Huntington Drive, Monrovia, CA 91016 on the second day. Send written comments to Marlene Finley, Designated Federal Official for the Pacific Southwest Region Recreation RAC, 1323 Club Drive, Vallejo, CA 94592, 707–562–8856 or *mfinley@fs.fed.us*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marlene Finley, Designated Federal Official, Pacific Southwest Region Recreation RAC, 1323 Club Drive, Vallejo, CA 94592.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring recreation fee matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. A public input session will be provided during the meeting and individuals who wish to address the Recreation RAC will have an opportunity at 10 a.m. on January 15. Comments will be limited to 3 minutes per person. The Recreation RAC is authorized by the Federal Land Recreation Enhancement Act, which was signed into law by President Bush in December 2004.

Dated: December 27, 2007.

Lynn Boone,

Acting for Designated Federal Official, Recreation RAC, Pacific Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. E7–25559 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: United States Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Notice of meeting and briefing.

DATE AND TIME: Friday, January 11; 9:30 a.m. Meeting.

PLACE: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 Ninth Street, NW., Rm. 540, Washington, DC 20425.

Meeting Agenda

I. Approval of Agenda.
II. Approval of Minutes of December 3 Meeting.
III. Announcements.
IV. Staff Director's Report.
V. Management and Operations:
- Ethics Regulations.

VI. Program Planning:
- FY 2009 Multi-state Report.

VII. State Advisory Committee Issues:
- District of Columbia SAC.
- Kansas SAC.
- Missouri SAC.

• South Carolina SAC.
VIII. Future Agenda Items.
IX. Adjourn.

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Robert Lerner, Press and Communications, (202) 376–8582.

Dated: December 31, 2007.

David Blackwood,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 07–6310 Filed 12–31–07; 2:25 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Quarterly Update to Annual Listing of Foreign Government Subsidies on Articles of Cheese Subject to an In–Quota Rate of Duty

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 3, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3338.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 702 of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (as amended) (''the Act'') requires the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') to determine, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, whether any foreign government is providing a subsidy with respect to any article of cheese subject to an in–quota rate of duty, as defined in section 702(h) of the Act, and to publish an annual list and quarterly updates of the type and amount of those subsidies. We hereby provide the Department's quarterly update of subsidies on articles of cheese that were imported during the period July 1, 2007 through September 30, 2007.

The Department has developed, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, information on subsidies (as defined in section 702(h) of the Act) being provided either directly or indirectly by foreign governments on articles of cheese subject to an in–quota rate of duty. The appendix to this notice lists the country, the subsidy program or programs, and the gross and net amounts of each subsidy for which information is currently available. The Department will incorporate additional programs which are found to constitute subsidies, and additional information on the subsidy programs listed, as the information is developed.

The Department encourages any person having information on foreign government subsidy programs which benefit articles of cheese subject to an in–quota rate of duty to submit such information in writing to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230.This determination and notice are in accordance with section 702(a) of the Act.

Dated: December 27, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

APPENDIX

SUBSIDY PROGRAMS ON CHEESE SUBJECT TO AN IN–QUOTA RATE OF DUTY

Country	Program(s)	Gross[1] Subsidy ($/lb)	Net[2] Subsidy ($/lb)
27 European Union Member States[3]	European Union Restitution Payments	$ 0.00	$ 0.00
Canada	Export Assistance on Certain Types of Cheese	$ 0.33	$ 0.33
Norway	Indirect (Milk) Subsidy	$ 0.00	$ 0.00
	Consumer Subsidy	$ 0.00	$ 0.00
	Total	$ 0.00	$ 0.00
Switzerland	Deficiency Payments	$ 0.00	$ 0.00

[1] Defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(5).
[1] Defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(6).
[3] The 27 member states of the European Union are: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom.

[FR Doc. E7–25572 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–427–818]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order on Low Enriched Uranium From France

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determination by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty order on Low Enriched Uranium (LEU) from France would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation for this antidumping duty order.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 3, 2008.

Contact Information: Douglas Kirby or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3782 or (202) 482–1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the antidumping duty order on LEU from France, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). *See Initiation of Five-Year (''Sunset'') Reviews*, 72 FR 100 (January 3, 2007) (*Notice of Initiation*).

As a result of its review, the Department found that a revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping, and therefore notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order to be revoked. *See Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Countervailing Duty Order on Low*

Enriched Uranium from France, 72 FR 26603 (May 10, 2007).

On December 13, 2007, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that a revocation of the antidumping duty order on LEU from France would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time: *See Low Enriched Uranium from France*; 72 FR 71954 (December 19, 2007), and USITC Publication 3967 (December 2007), (Inv. No. 731–TA–909) (Review).

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is all low enriched uranium (LEU). LEU is enriched uranium hexafluoride (UF_6) with a U^{235} product assay of less than 20 percent that has not been converted into another chemical form, such as UO_2, or fabricated into nuclear fuel assemblies, regardless of the means by which the LEU is produced (including LEU produced through the down-blending of highly enriched uranium).

Certain merchandise is outside the scope of this order. Specifically, this order does not cover enriched uranium hexafluoride with a U^{235} assay of 20 percent or greater, also known as highly enriched uranium. In addition, fabricated LEU is not covered by the scope of this order. For purposes of this order, fabricated uranium is defined as enriched uranium dioxide (UO_2), whether or not contained in nuclear fuel rods or assemblies. Natural uranium concentrates (U_3O_8) with a U^{235} concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent and natural uranium concentrates converted into uranium hexafluoride with a U^{235} concentration of no greater than 0.711 percent are not covered by the scope of this order.

Also excluded from this order is LEU owned by a foreign utility end-user and imported into the United States by or for such end-user solely for purposes of conversion by a U.S. fabricator into uranium dioxide (UO_2) and/or fabrication into fuel assemblies so long as the uranium dioxide and/or fuel assemblies deemed to incorporate such imported LEU (i) remain in the possession and control of the U.S. fabricator, the foreign end-user, or their designed transporter(s) while in U.S. customs territory, and (ii) are re-exported within eighteen (18) months of entry of the LEU for consumption by the end-user in a nuclear reactor outside the United States. Such entries must be accompanied by the certifications of the importer and end-user.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2844.20.0020. Subject merchandise may also enter under 2844.20.0030, 2844.20.0050, and 2844.40.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Continuation of Order

As a result of these determinations by the Department and the ITC that a revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on LEU from France. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order no later than November 2012.

This five-year (sunset) review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 26, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 07–6279 Filed 1–02–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XD57

Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Pelagic Longline Research

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces the availability of a Final Environmental Assessment (EA) and a final decision to issue an Exempted Fishing Permit (EFP) to conduct research in portions of the East Florida Coast (EFC) and Charleston Bump closed areas using a limited number of pelagic longline (PLL) vessels. Given the nearly rebuilt status of north Atlantic swordfish ($B = 0.99B_{MSY}$) and bycatch reduction measures that were implemented throughout the U.S. PLL fishery in 2004, NMFS is authorizing the collection of baseline PLL fishery data in the closed areas to evaluate the effectiveness of existing bycatch reduction measures. The Final EA analyzes monthly and annual PLL logbook and pelagic observer program (POP) data on catch and bycatch rates of all species in the proposed research area from 1995–2000 to determine potential impacts of the research programfishery on target and non-target species. The Final EA includes additional analyses of catch rates for all species based on 18/0 circle hooks. NMFS will require the use of 18/0 non-offset circle hooks in the research project to minimize bycatch and bycatch mortality and 100 percent observer coverage to ensure scientific rigor.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Russell Dunn, 727–824–5399; fax: 727–824–5398, or Chris Rilling 301–713–2347; fax: 301–713–1917.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By issuing the EFP, NMFS authorizes a limited number of vessels (three are authorized, but only two vessels will fish at any given time and one vessel is designated as a backup vessels if breakdowns occur) to conduct research in portions of the EFC and Charleston Bump closed areas (Figure 1). The latitude and longitude coordinates of the proposed research area are provided in Table 1. In the EFC closed area, the proposed research area would be north of Fort Pierce, FL, beginning at 28 degrees north latitude and proceeding north, seaward of the axis of the Gulf Stream, to the northern boundary of the EFC closed area at 31° N. lat. In the Charleston Bump, the proposed research area would be north of 31 degrees north latitude and following the 200–meter isobath (approximately 100 fathom contour) to the northern and eastern boundaries of the Charleston Bump closed area. The two areas are hereafter referred to collectively as the proposed research area. NMFS closed the EFC and Charleston Bump closed areas to PLL gear in early 2001 to reduce bycatch of juvenile swordfish, billfish, and other Highly Migratory Species (HMS) (65 FR 47214, August 1, 2000). The Charleston Bump closed area is a seasonal closure from February through April every year, whereas the EFC closed area is closed year-round to PLL gear. Since that time, the swordfish stock has been nearly rebuilt, and new bycatch reduction measures have been implemented throughout the PLL fishery (e.g., circle

hook requirements, bait requirements, bycatch release gear, and careful handling and release workshops). No PLL fishing has been authorized in the closed areas since 2001, and NMFS has not collected information on the effectiveness of current bycatch reduction measures in closed areas where bycatch rates may be higher than in other areas. NMFS thus would collect information under scientifically rigorous protocols to determine the effectiveness of bycatch reduction measures in these closed areas. This information will assist NMFS in making appropriate management decisions regarding the effectiveness of existing closed areas and the effectiveness of current bycatch reduction measures.

NMFS authorizes a total of 289 sets distributed equally inside and outside the proposed research area over a 12 month period beginning in late 2007 or early 2008. Each set would consist of 500 18/0 non-offset circle hooks with whole dead finfish bait and/or squid bait. Vessels would be subject to 100 percent observer coverage, and observers or research staff would collect data that includes, but is not limited to, catch per unit effort (CPUE) for target and bycatch species; discard rates; interaction rates with protected species; size of target species; hooking location; mortality at haul back; bycatch mortality; and if possible, an evaluation of the condition of fish at haul back to allow post-release mortality estimates.

All targeted catch (tunas, swordfish, and sharks) that can be legally landed could be harvested and sold by the vessel owners. No other compensation will be provided to the vessels. Anyll protected speciesbycatch which are incidentally interacted with will be released using NMFS-approved dehooking equipment and appropriate safe handling and release protocols. All live bycatch will be released in a manner that maximizes survival, in accordance with existing regulations. Incidental catch of bluefin tuna would be landed consistent with existing regulations. Any mortalities of Atlantic Tunas Convention Act (ATCA) regulated species (i.e., tunas and swordfish) and sharks that could be legally landed would be counted against the appropriate quotas. Non-target species and protected resources would be tagged and released alive, consistent with requirements of the Terms and Conditions of the 2004 Biological Opinion issued for the fishery.

NMFS received a number of comments on the Draft EA including, but not limited to, comments on bycatch levels, impacts on target and non-target species, study methodology, and socio-economic impacts. The responses to comments are included in Appendix A in the Final EA, and are not repeated here. Based on the public comment received, NMFS improved and expanded the environmental analyses. In the Final EA, the Agency included an additional set of catch and bycatch estimates based on circle hook data which were not included in the Draft EA. Thus, NMFS has analyzed a range of potential impacts ranging from the worst case scenario using pre-closure J-hook data, to more conservative estimates based on 18/0 circle hook data. The additional analyses with 18/0 circle hook data further support the conclusion that the anticipated ecological impacts of the research fishery on target and non-target species are expected to be minor. For example, based on 18/0 circle hooks with a 10 degree offset (POP data 2004–2005), NMFS estimates that, under the preferred alternative, two white marlin will be discarded alive and five discarded dead, and two blue marlin will be discarded alive and two discarded dead. For sea turtles, two leatherback and one loggerhead sea turtle interactions are predicted to occur based on the 18/0 circle hooks with 10 degree offset. For marine mammals, only three interactions occurred in the proposed research area from 1995–2000. They included one pilot whale, one Risso's dolphin, and one spinner dolphin. Although eleven interactions were reported from 1993–2005 in the Florida East Coast (FEC) and South Atlantic Bight (SAB) statistical sampling areas, only three of those interactions occurred in the proposed research area. NMFS anticipates few interactions with marine mammals due to the location of the research fishery and the limited amount of fishing effort that is part of this pilot research project, particularly in comparison to past fishing effort in the area. Fewer than 10 bluefin tuna interactions are expected to occur, and the bulk of the catch will be comprised of swordfish and yellowfin tuna, with an predicted 870 swordfish retained, 373 discarded alive, and 145 potentially discarded dead, and 346 yellowfin retained, 49 discarded dead, and 27 discarded alive based on 18/0 circle hook data.

Projections based on data from 1995–2000 were used to analyze the worst case scenario (i.e., use of pre-closure J-hook data from the POP 1995–2000). Based on that data, NMFS predicts at most two interactions with leatherback sea turtles and six interactions with loggerhead sea turtles are predicted to occur in the proposed research area. Given the significantly lower interaction and mortality rates of sea turtles with 18/0 circle hooks, this action is not expected to significantly increase fishery interactions with, or mortalities of, sea turtles. The predicted interactions would not cause the Incidental Take Statement (ITS) in the 2004 Biological Opinion for the PLL fishery to be exceeded and would not be likely to jeopardize the continued existence of sea turtles. Incidental takes of, or interactions with, protected species that are listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act taking place under the research fishery would be counted against the authorized incidental take levels specified in the 2004 ITS in the Biological Opinion for the PLL fishery.

In addition to sea turtles, the Final EA includes similar analyses of PLL and POP data from 1995–2000 pre-closure J-hook data, as well as 18/0 circle hook data, on catch rates, live and dead discard rates, and retained numbers of swordfish, bluefin, yellowfin, and bigeye tunas, blue and white marlin, sailfish, spearfish, large coastal sharks, pelagic sharks, sandbar sharks, and dusky sharks. Based on the worst case scenario from the POP data, and applying predicted fishing effort in the research fishery to pre-closure J-hook catch rates, an estimated 1,083 swordfish are predicted to be retained, 973 discarded alive, and 360 discarded dead; zero (0) bluefin tuna are predicted to be caught or discarded; nine white marlin are predicted to be discarded alive and 13 dead; 10 blue marlin are predicted to be discarded alive and 14 dead; 113 large coastal sharks are predicted to be kept; 124 discarded alive, and 50 discarded dead (depending upon available quota); and 21 pelagic sharks are predicted to be kept, 81 discarded alive, and 11 discarded dead (depending upon available quota). Given the known and anticipated mortality reduction benefits of circle hooks for Atlantic HMS relative to J-hooks, the estimates above are likely over-estimates. As a result, this action is not expected to significantly increase the retention or bycatch of HMS.

All fishing activities would be monitored by Federal fisheries observers or NMFS trained research staff to provide data on longline gear configuration; target and incidental catch; bycatch of billfish, juvenile swordfish, and bluefin tuna; and sea turtle interactions. NMFS currently collects this information on selected PLL vessels through the POP.

Even though research effort necessarily will result in an increase in fishing effort (from complete closure to

limited scientific access) an increase in fishing effort across the entire fishery is not anticipated because vessels participating in the research fishery would have otherwise been fishing commercially for HMS in other, open areas.

The regulations that prohibit the proposed activities absent issuance of an EFP include requirements for vessel reporting (50 CFR 635.4) and fishing in a closed area (50 CFR 635.21(c)(2)).

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

FIGURE 1. PROPOSED RESEARCH AREA IN HASHED MARKS IN RELATION TO THE EFC AND CHARLESTON BUMP CLOSED AREAS



TABLE 1. COORDINATES OF THE PROPOSED RESEARCH AREA (PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE 3) SHOWN IN FIGURE 1 BEGINNING WITH LOCATION NUMBER 1 AND PROCEEDING CLOCKWISE THROUGH LOCATION NUMBER 12.

Point	N. Latitude			W. Longitude		
	Degrees	Minutes	Seconds	Degrees	Minutes	Seconds
1	34	0	0	76	0	0
2	31	0	0	76	0	0
3	31	0	0	78	0	0
4	28	17	6.9	79	11	54.5
5	28	0	0	79	23	47.9
6	28	0	0	79	40	0
7	31	0	0	79	40	0
8	31	0	0	79	54	38.9
9	31	47	7.2	78	21	50.5
10	32	29	12.1	78	40	21.0
11	33	5	35.8	77	27	15.7
12	34	0	0	76	15	26.5

All other relevant regulations concerning HMS at 50 CFR part 635 would apply.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.* and 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: December 21, 2007.

Alan D. Risenhoover

Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 07–6290 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XE75

Marine Mammals; File No. 782–1676

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; issuance of permit amendment.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the National Marine Mammal Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Seattle, WA, 98115 has been issued an amendment to Scientific Research Permit No. 782–1676–01, for research on marine mammals.

ADDRESSES: The amendment and related documents are available for review upon written request or by appointment in the following office(s):

Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910; phone (301)713–2289; fax (301)427–2521.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tammy Adams, (301)713–2289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The requested amendment has been granted under the authority of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*) and the regulations governing the taking and importing of marine mammals (50 CFR part 216).

The original permit (No. 782–1676–00), issued on December 4, 2002 (67 FR 76728) authorized research on harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) and spotted seals (*P. largha*) in Alaska through December 31, 2007. Research activities covered by the original permit include aerial surveys and live captures of seals. Captured seals may be tagged, and have scientific instruments attached and various tissue samples collected. The original permit was modified by ''minor amendment'' in May 2004. The minor amendment (No. 782–1676–01) added permission to harass seals incidental to installation and periodic maintenance of camera systems. The current amendment (No. 782–1676–02) extends the duration of the permit through December 31, 2008.

Dated: December 26, 2007.

P. Michael Payne,

Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E7–25582 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 08–15]

36(b)(1) Arms Sale Notification

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sale notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 08–15 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: December 26, 2007.

L.M. Bynum,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2800

DEC 1 8 2007

In reply refer to:
I-07/012700-CFM

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Speaker of the House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-6501

Dear Madam Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 08-15, concerning the Department of the Navy's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Switzerland for defense articles and services estimated to cost $535 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Wieringa
Vice Admiral, USN
Director

Enclosures:
1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification
3. Sensitivity of Technology

Same ltr to:

House	Senate
Committee on Foreign Affairs	Committee on Foreign Relations
Committee on Armed Services	Committee on Armed Services
Committee on Appropriations	Committee on Appropriations

Transmittal No. 08-15

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

(i) **Prospective Purchaser**: Switzerland

(ii) **Total Estimated Value**:

Major Defense Equipment*	$ 150 million
Other	$ 385 million
TOTAL	$ 535 million

(iii) **Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase**: The "Upgrade 25 Program" to the current F/A-18C/D configuration aircraft includes the following: 20 AN/ASQ-228A(V) Advanced Tactical Forward Looking Infrared Radar (ATFLIR) Pods; 44 AN/ALR-67(V)3 Electronic Warfare Countermeasures Receiving Sets. The proposed sale also includes, improvements to the cockpit display systems, image, capture, handling, transmit, and receipt capability, Global Positioning System (GPS), and voice/data/video recording. Aircraft modifications will include integration of the AN/AAQ-228 LITENING Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) Pod. Operational support for these modifications will be provided through upgrades to the mission data system through the purchase of the Joint Mission Planning System. Also included: engineering change proposals, system integration and testing, software development, integration, spare and repair parts, support and test equipment, publications and technical data, personnel training and equipment, U.S. Government and contractor engineering support services, and other related elements of logistics and program management support.

(iv) **Military Department**: Navy (LAL)

(v) **Prior Related Cases, if any**:
FMS case SAI -$1.6 billion-22Jun93
FMS case LAC -$283 million-30Jun00

(vi) **Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid**: none

* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

(vii) **Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: See attached annex.**

(viii) **Date Report Delivered to Congress:** DEC 1 8 2007

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Switzerland – F/A-18C/D Upgrade 25 Program

The Government of Switzerland has requested a possible sale of "Upgrade 25 Program" equipment to enhance survivability and communications connectivity and to extend the useful life of 33 Swiss Air Force (SAF) F/A-18C/D aircraft. This phase of the F/A-18C/D "Upgrade 25 Program" will include: (20) AN/ASQ-228A(V) Advanced Tactical Forward Looking Infrared Radar (ATFLIR) Pods; (44) AN/ALR-67(V)3 Electronic Warfare Countermeasures Receiving Sets. The proposed sale also includes improvements to the cockpit display systems, image, capture, handling, transmit, and receipt capability, Global Positioning System (GPS), and voice/data/video recording. Aircraft modifications will include integration of the AN/AAQ-228 LITENING Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) Pod. Operational support for these modifications will be provided through upgrades to the mission data system through the purchase of the Joint Mission Planning System. Also included: engineering change proposals, system integration and testing, software development, integration, spare and repair parts, support and test equipment, publications and technical data, personnel training and equipment, U.S. Government and contractor engineering support services, and other related elements of logistics and program management support. The estimated cost is $535 million.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country which has been, and continues to be, an important force for political stability and economic progress in Europe.

The Swiss Air Force has extensive experience operating the F/A-18C/D aircraft and should have no difficulty incorporating the upgraded capabilities into its forces. The SAF needs this upgrade to keep pace with high tech advances in sensors, weaponry, and communications.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not affect the basic military balance in the region.

The principal contractors will be:

The Boeing Company, McDonnell Douglas Corporation, of St. Louis, Missouri
Northrop Grumman Corporation of Rolling Meadows, Illinois
Raytheon Corporation of El Segundo, California
Raytheon Corporation of Goleta, California
Lockheed Martin Tactical Defense Systems of Archbald, Pennsylvania

There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will not require the assignment of any additional U.S. Government or contractor representatives in-country. However, it is estimated that 20 U.S. Government and 20 contractor representatives will participate in program management and technical reviews in-country for one-week intervals twice annually.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

Transmittal No. 08-15

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act

Annex
Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. The hardware and software to be purchased will be used to upgrade existing F/A-18C/D Hornet aircraft as follows:

2. The AN/ASQ-228 Advanced Tactical Forward Looking Infrared Radar (ATFLIR) Pod is a multi-sensor, electro-optical targeting pod incorporating infrared, low-light television camera, laser rangefinder/target designator, and laser spot tracker. It is used to provide navigation and targeting for military aircraft in adverse weather. The ATFLIR is capable of high-resolution infrared imaging, and is Unclassified. This capability allows reduced visibility weapon delivery using the SAF's F/A-18C/D aircraft. While the ATFLIR hardware and software are Unclassified, specific details of its operational capabilities are Confidential.

3. The AN/ALR-67(V)3 Electronic Warfare Countermeasures Receiving Set is classified Confidential. This system provides information about radar threat warnings to the aircrew and to onboard Electronic Warfare (EW) equipment. The system is capable of detecting and evaluating friendly and hostile radar frequency threat emitters, identifying type of emitter, and locating the relative direction to the emitter. The software for the AN/ALR-67(V)3 consists of the Operational Flight Program and the User Data File which are classified Secret. These software programs contain threat parametric data used to identify and establish priority of detected radar emitters.

4. Integration on the purchaser's F/A-18C/D aircraft includes engineering change proposal kits to upgrade 26 F/A-18C and 7 F/A-18D aircraft as follows:

a. The Global Positioning System (GPS) upgrade to include MAGR 2000 with Selective Availability Anti-Spoofing Module (SAASM) and Complementary Navigation Message (CNM) for use with GPS--guided munitions. Employment of GPS Smart weapons requires the use of the CNM and Precise Position Service (PPS). The requirement is to upgrade to the PPS GPS system to provide CNM to GPS--Guided Weapons. GPS and Navigation Systems (GNS) act as the Center to coordinate military efforts. GNS offers the most advanced military GPS user equipment available, including avionics, high anti-jam systems, integrated GPS/INS navigators, and high performance Selective Availability Anti-Spoof Module (SAASM) receivers. The MAGR 2000 design is a GPS Receiver Applications Module (GRAM) based upon system architecture that is modular in design and incorporates modern electronics. The MAGR 2000 is a form, fit, and function backward compatible replacement of the MAGR, and provides enhancements to include improved acquisition and GPS solution performance, all-in-view GPS satellite tracking, and GPS integrity. The use of the SAASM security architecture significantly enhances the combatant commander's ability to use GPS precise positioning, velocity and time, in all environments. Complementary Navigation Message contains navigation message data demodulated from the GPS satellite signals that has been stripped of parity and packed together. All countries authorized PPS equipment can buy GPS receivers that output the CNM. MAGR 2000 includes CNM.

b. The upgrade of the ALR-67V(2) Radar Warning Receiver (RWR) to the ALR-67V(3) RWR provides increased sensitivity, dual polarity wave detection, and microwave wavelength detection in order to more thoroughly cover and detect the variety of newer waveforms that modern aircraft, ships, and ground sites emit.

c. The Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS) will provide mission planning capability for support of military aviation operations. It will also provide support for unit-level mission planning for all phases of military flight operations and have the capability to provide necessary mission data for the aircrew. JMPS will support the downloading of data to electronic data transfer devices for transfer to aircraft and weapon systems. A JMPS for a specific aircraft type will consist of basic planning tools called the Joint Mission Planning Environment (JMPE) mated with a Unique Planning Component (UPC) provided by the aircraft program. In addition, UPCs will be required for specific weapons, communication devices, and moving map displays in order for proper Mission Planning.

d. The Solid State Recorder (SSR) capabilities incorporated into the F/A-18C/D aircraft as both a replacement to the existing Cockpit Video Recording System (CVRS) as well as adding capability to capture and store Electro-optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Imagery. Use of SSR technology will overcome numerous obsolescence issues with the existing CVRS, provides greater memory capacity, and allows for future network centric operations such as real-time/near real-time imagery in/out of cockpit.

e. The LITENING is a targeting pod integrated and mounted externally to the aircraft. The targeting pod contains a high-resolution, forward-looking infrared sensor (FLIR) that displays an infrared image of the target to the aircrew. It has a wide field of view search capability and a narrow field of view acquisition/targeting capability of battlefield-sized targets. The pod contains a charged coupled device (CCD-TV) camera used to obtain target imagery in the visible portion of the electromagnetic spectrum. An on-gimbal inertial navigation sensor has established line-of-sight and automatic bore sighting capability. The pod is equipped with a laser designator for precise delivery of laser-guided munitions. A laser rangefinder provides information for various avionics systems, for example, navigation updates, weapon deliveries and target updates. It includes an automatic target tracker to provide fully automatic stabilized target tracking at altitudes, airspeeds and slant ranges consistent with tactical weapon delivery maneuvers. These features simplify the functions of target detection and recognition and permit attack of targets with precision-guided weapons on a single pass.

5. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

[FR Doc. 07–6261 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–C

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 08–20]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 08–20 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: December 26, 2007.

L.M. Bynum,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2800

DEC 1 8 2007
In reply refer to:
I-07/013848-CFM

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Speaker of the House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-6501

Dear Madam Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 08-20, concerning the Department of the Air Force's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Morocco for defense articles and services estimated to cost $2.4 billion. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Millies
Deputy Director

Enclosures:
1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification
3. Sensitivity of Technology

Same ltr to:

House
Committee on Foreign Affairs
Committee on Armed Services
Committee on Appropriations

Senate
Committee on Foreign Relations
Committee on Armed Services
Committee on Appropriations

Transmittal No. 08-20

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

(i) Prospective Purchaser: Morocco

(ii) Total Estimated Value:

Major Defense Equipment*	$1.649 billion
Other	$ .751 billion
TOTAL	$2.400 billion

(iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase:

Major Defense Equipment (MDE)

24 F-16C/D Block 50/52 aircraft with either the F100-PW-229 or F110-GE-129 Increased Performance Engines (IPE) and APG 68(V)9 radars
4 F100-PW-229 or F110-GE-129 IPE spare engines
4 APG-68(V)9 spare radar sets
30 AN/ALE-47 Countermeasures Dispensing Systems (CMDS)
30 AN/ALR-56M Radar Warning Receivers (RWR)
60 LAU-129/A Launchers;
30 LAU-117 Launchers
5 Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing Systems
4 AN/ARC-238 Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio System (SINCGAR) radios with HAVE QUICK I/II
4 Conformal Fuel Tanks (pairs)
4 Link-16 Multifunctional Information Distribution System-Low Volume Terminals;
2 Link-16 Ground Stations
3 Global Positioning Systems (GPS) and Embedded GPS/ Inertial Navigation Systems (INS)
12 AN/AAQ-33 SNIPER or AN/AAQ-28 LITENING Targeting Pods
1 Unit Level Trainer

* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

5 Tactical Air Reconnaissance Systems or DB-110 Reconnaissance Pods (RECCE)
4 AN/APX-113 Advanced Identification Friend or Foe (AIFF) Systems;
28 AN/ALQ-211 Advanced Integrated Defensive Electronic Warfare Suites (AIDEW); or 28 AN/ALQ-187 Advanced Self-Protection Integrated Suite (ASPIS II); or 28 AN/ALQ-178 Self Protection Electronic Warfare Suites (SPEWS)

Associated support equipment, software development/integration, tanker support, ferry services, Cartridge Actuated Devices/Propellant Actuated Devices (CAD/PAD), repair and return, modification kits, spares and repair parts, publications and technical documentation, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor technical, engineering, and logistics support services, and other related elements of logistics support.

(iv) Military Department: Air Force (SAY)

(v) Prior Related Cases, if any: None.

(vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: none

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: See Annex attached.

(viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: DEC 1 8 2007

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Morocco - F-16C/D Block 50/52 Aircraft

The Government of Morocco has requested a possible sale of:

Major Defense Equipment (MDE)

24 F-16C/D Block 50/52 aircraft with either the F100-PW-229 or F110-GE-129 Increased Performance Engines (IPE) and APG-68(V)9 radars;
5 F100-PW-229 or F110-GE-129 IPE spare engines;
4 APG-68(V)9 spare radar sets;
30 AN/ALE-47 Countermeasures Dispensing Systems (CMDS)
30 AN/ALR-56M Radar Warning Receivers (RWR)
60 LAU-129/A Launchers;
30 LAU-117 Launchers;
6 Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing Systems;
4 AN/ARC-238 Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio System (SINCGAR) radios with HAVE QUICK I/II;
24 Conformal Fuel Tanks (pairs);
4 Link-16 Multifunctional Information Distribution System-Low Volume Terminals;
2 Link-16 Ground Stations;
4 Global Positioning Systems (GPS) and Embedded GPS/ Inertial Navigation Systems (INS);
12 AN/AAQ-33 SNIPER Targeting Pods or AN/AAQ-28 LITENING Targeting Pods
5 Tactical Air Reconnaissance Systems (TARS) or DB-110 Reconnaissance Pods (RECCE);
4 AN/APX-113 Advanced Identification Friend or Foe (AIFF) Systems;
28 AN/ALQ-211 Advanced Integrated Defensive Electronic Warfare Suites (AIDEWS); or 28 AN/ALQ-187 Advanced Self-Protection Integrated Suites (ASPIS II); or 28 AN/ALQ-178 Self Protection Electronic Warfare Suites (SPEWS)
1 Unit Level Trainer

Associated support equipment, software development/integration, tanker support, ferry services, CAD/PAD, repair and return, modification kits, spares and repair parts, publications and technical documentation, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor technical, engineering, and logistics support services, and other related elements of logistics support. The estimated cost is $2.4 billion.

The proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security objectives of the United States by enhancing Morocco's capacity to support U.S. efforts in the Global War on Terrorism (GWOT), as well as supporting Morocco's legitimate need for its own self-defense. Morocco is one of the most stable and pro-Western of the Arab states, and the U.S. remains committed to a long-term relationship with Morocco. The proposed sale will allow the Moroccan Air Force to modernize its aging fighter inventory, thereby enabling Morocco to support both its own air defense needs and coalition operations. Morocco is a Major Non-NATO ally. Delivery of this weapon system will greatly enhance Morocco's interoperability with the U.S. and other NATO nations, making it a more valuable partner in an increasingly important area of the world. The country will have no difficulty absorbing this new capability into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this weapon system will not affect the basic military balance in the region.

The principal contractors will be:

BAE Advanced Systems	Greenlawn, New York
Boeing Corporation	Seattle, Washington
Boeing Integrated Defense Systems	St Louis, Missouri
(three locations)	Long Beach, California
	San Diego, California
Raytheon Company	Lexington, Massachusetts
(two locations)	Goleta, California
Raytheon Missile Systems	Tucson, Arizona
Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company	Fort Worth, Texas
Lockheed Martin Missile and Fire Control	Dallas, Texas
Northrop-Grumman Electro-Optical Systems	Garland, Texas
Northrop-Grumman Electronic Systems	Baltimore, Maryland
Pratt & Whitney United Technology Company	East Hartford, Connecticut
General Electric Aircraft Engines	Cincinnati, Ohio
Goodrich ISR Systems	Danbury, Connecticut
L3 Communications	Arlington, Texas

There are no known offset agreements in connection with this proposed sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require multiple trips to Morocco involving U.S. Government and contractor representatives for technical reviews/support, program management, and training over a period of 15 years.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

Transmittal No. 08-20

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act

Annex
Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. This sale will involve the release of sensitive technology to Morocco. The F-16C/D Block 50/52 weapon system is unclassified, except as noted below. The aircraft utilizes the F-16 airframe and features advanced avionics and systems. It contains the Pratt and Whitney F-100-PW-229 or the General Electric F-110-GE-129 engine, AN/APG-68V(9) radar, digital flight control system, internal and external electronic warfare equipment, Advanced IFF, LINK-16 datalink, operational flight trainer, and software computer programs.

2. Sensitive and/or classified (up to Secret) elements of the proposed F-16C/D include hardware, accessories, components, and associated software: AN/APG-68V(9) Radar, Have Quick I/II Radios, AN/APX-113 Advanced Identification Friend or Foe (AIFF) with Mode IV capability, AN/ALE-47 Countermeasures (Chaff and Flare) set, SNIPER Targeting Pod and/or LITENING Advanced Targeting (AT) Pod Capabilities, TARS and/or DB-110 RECCE Pods, LINK-16 Advanced Data Link Group A provisions only, Embedded Global Positioning System/Inertial Navigation System, Joint Helmet-Mounted Cueing System, AN/ALR-56M Radar Warning Receiver, Advanced Integrated Defensive Electronic Warfare Suite (AIDEWS) or Advanced Self-Protection Integrated Suite (ASPIS II) or Self Protection Electronic Warfare Suite (SPEWS), Modular Mission Computer, Have Glass I/II without Infrared top coat, Digital Flight Control System, F-100 or F-110 engine infrared signature, and Advanced Interference Blanker Unit. Additional sensitive areas include operating manuals and maintenance technical orders containing performance information, operating and test procedures, and other information related to support operations and repair. The hardware, software, and data identified are classified to protect vulnerabilities, design and performance parameters, and other similar critical information.

3. The AN/APG-68(V)9 radar is the latest model of the APG-68 radar and was specifically designed for foreign military sales. This model contains the latest digital technology available for a mechanically scanned antenna, including higher processor power, higher transmission power, more sensitive receiver electronics, and an entirely new capability, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR), which creates higher-resolution ground maps from a much greater distance than previous versions of the APG-68. The upgrade features a 30% increase in detection range of air targets, a five-fold increase in processing speed, a ten-fold increase in memory, as well as significant improvements in all modes, jam resistance and false alarm rates. Complete hardware is classified Confidential; major components and subsystems are classified Confidential; software is classified Secret; and technical data and documentation are classified up to Secret.

4. The AN/ARC-238 Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio System (SINCGAR) radio with HAVE QUICK II is a voice communications radio system. HAVE QUICK II employs cryptographic technology that is classified Secret. Classified elements include operating characteristics, parameters, technical data, and keying material.

5. The SNIPER Targeting System (AN/AAQ-33) is Unclassified but contains state-of-the-art technology. Information on performance and inherent vulnerabilities is classified Secret. The software (object code) is classified Confidential. Sensitive elements include the Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) sensors, Laser Pulse Interval Modulation (PIM) and doublet coding, the AGM-65 Missile Boresight Correlator (MBC), and ECCM features that increase capability in a jamming environment. The SNIPER system to be released will not include the Laser Pulse Interval Modulation (PIM), laser doublet coding, or the Lockheed Martin (LM)-proprietary XR image processing algorithm (no extended range capability).

6. The LITENING Targeting System (AN/AAQ-28) is Unclassified but contains state-of-the-art technology. Information on performance and inherent vulnerabilities is classified Secret. The software (object code) is classified Confidential. Sensitive elements include the Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) sensors, the Laser Pulse Interval Modulation (PIM) and doublet coding, and the AGM-65 Missile Boresight Correlator (MBC), and ECCM features that increase capability in a jamming environment. The LITENING AT system to be released will not include laser PIM, or laser doublet coding (no extended range capability).

7. The AN/APX-113 Identification Friend or Foe (IFF) System is Unclassified unless Mode IV operational evaluator parameters are loaded into the equipment. Classified elements of the IFF system include software object code, operating characteristics, parameters, and technical data. Mode IV anti-jam performance specifications/data, software source code, algorithms, and tempest plans or reports will not be offered, released, discussed or demonstrated.

8. The Multifunctional Information Distribution System-Low Volume Terminal (MIDS-LVT) is an advanced Link-16 command, control, communications, and intelligence (C3I) system incorporating high-capacity, jam-resistant, digital communication links for exchange of near real-time tactical information, including both data and voice, among air, ground, and sea elements. MIDS-LVT is intended to support key theater functions such as surveillance, identification, air control, weapons engagement coordination, and direction for all Services and Allied forces. The system will provide jamming-resistant, wide-area communications on a Link-16 network among MIDS and Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS) equipped platforms. The MIDS/LVT and MIDS On Ship Terminal hardware, publications, performance specifications, operational capability, parameters, vulnerabilities to countermeasures, and software documentation are classified Confidential. The communication security devices and data exchanged on Link-16 networks are classified Secret. The classified information to be provided consists of that which is necessary for the operation, maintenance, and repair (through intermediate level) of the data link terminal, installed systems, and related software. Only Group A provisions will be initially transferred. Transfer of Link-16 terminals will only take place after completion of the release process for communications security devices and U.S. Secret data.

9. The Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing System (JHMCS) is a modified HGU-55/P helmet that incorporates a visor-projected Heads-Up Display (HUD) to cue weapons and aircraft sensors to air and ground targets. In close combat, a pilot must currently align the aircraft to shoot at a target. JHMCS allows the pilot to simply look at a target to shoot. This system projects visual targeting and aircraft performance information on the back of the helmet's visor, enabling the pilot to monitor this information without interrupting his field of view through the cockpit canopy. The system uses a magnetic transmitter unit fixed to the pilot's seat and a magnetic field probe mounted on the helmet to define helmet pointing positioning. A Helmet Vehicle Interface (HVI) interacts with the aircraft system bus to provide signal generation for

the helmet display. This provides significant improvement for close combat targeting and engagement. The Hardware is Unclassified; the technical data and documents are classified up to Secret.

10. The AN/ALQ-211 Airborne Integrated Defensive Electronic Warfare Suite (AIDEWS) provides passive radar warning, wide spectrum RF jamming, and control and management of the entire Electronic Warfare (EW) system. It is an internally mounted suite. The commercially developed system software and hardware are Unclassified. The system is classified Secret when loaded with a U.S.-derived EW database.

11. The AN/ALQ-178 Self-Protection Electronic Warfare Suite (SPEWS) II is an internal system composed of a fully integrated RWR, jammer, and ALE-47 variant chaff and flare dispenser. It incorporates updated digital receivers, provides a wide-variety of advanced jamming techniques, and Digital Radio Frequency Memory (DRFM) capability. The commercially developed system software and hardware are Unclassified. The system is classified Secret when loaded with a U.S.-derived EW database.

12. The AN/ALQ-187 Advanced Self-Protection Integrated Suite (ASPIS II) is a fully automatic jammer system integrated with radar warning (AN/ALR-93V) and flare/chaff (AN/ALE-47) systems for tactical aircraft self-protection. It incorporates advanced signal processing including a DRFM capability. The highest classification of the system is Secret.

13. The AN/ALE-47 Countermeasures Dispensing System is a software reprogrammable dispenser of chaff and flares. It provides for either automatic (via integrated Missile Warning System input) or aircrew commanded response dispense capabilities. Specific dispense routines are sensitive. The export version uses a country unique "look-up decision tree" for determining dispense routines. This software when loaded in the ALE-47 is classified Confidential. Increased risk of exploitation is significantly reduced given that the software is in executable form only i.e., binary code and the actual dispense routines can be gained through visual observation.

14. The ALR-56M Radar Warning Receiver continuously detects and intercepts radio frequency (RF) signals. It provides improved performance in a dense signal environment and improved detection of modern threat signals as compared to the ALR-69. The highest classification for the hardware is Confidential and the software is Secret.

15. Software, hardware, and other data/information, which is classified or sensitive, are reviewed prior to release to protect system vulnerabilities, design data, and performance parameters. Some end-item hardware, software, and other data identified above are classified at the Confidential and Secret level. Potential compromise of these systems is controlled through management of the basic software programs of highly sensitive systems and software-controlled weapon systems on a case-by-case basis.

16. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware or software in this proposed sale, the information could be used to develop countermeasures which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of systems with similar or advance capabilities.

[FR Doc. 07–6262 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–C

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 08–21]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 08–21 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: December 26, 2007.

L.M. Bynum,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2800

DEC 17 2007
In reply refer to:
I-07/014189-CFM

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Speaker of the House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-6501

Dear Madam Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 08-21, concerning the Department of the Navy's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to the United Kingdom for defense articles and services estimated to cost $147 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Wieringa
Vice Admiral, USN
Director

Enclosures:
1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification
3. Sensitivity of Technology

Same ltr to:

House
Committee on Foreign Affairs
Committee on Armed Services
Committee on Appropriations

Senate
Committee on Foreign Relations
Committee on Armed Services
Committee on Appropriations

Transmittal No. 08-21

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

(i) **Prospective Purchaser:** United Kingdom

(ii) **Total Estimated Value:**

Major Defense Equipment*	$ 0 million
Other	$147 million
TOTAL	$147 million

(iii) **Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase:** 170 Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Category II vehicles, tools and test equipment, maintenance support, contractor technical and logistics personnel services, support equipment, spare and repair parts, and other related elements of logistics support.

(iv) **Military Department:** Navy (LTR)

(v) **Prior Related Cases, if any:** FMS Case LTQ - $97M - 31Jul06

(vi) **Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid:** none

(vii) **Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold:** See Annex attached

(viii) **Date Report Delivered to Congress:** DEC 17 2007

* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

United Kingdom – Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Vehicles

The Government of the United Kingdom has requested a possible sale of 170 Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Category II vehicles, tools and test equipment, maintenance support, contractor technical and logistics personnel services, support equipment, spare and repair parts, and other related elements of logistics support. The estimated cost is $147 million.

The United Kingdom is a major political and economic power in NATO and the Atlantic and a key democratic partner of the U.S. in ensuring peace and stability in this region and around the world.

The United Kingdom requests these capabilities to provide for the defense of its deployed troops, regional security, and interoperability with the United States. This program will ensure the United Kingdom can effectively operate in hazardous areas in a safe, survivable vehicle, and enhance the United Kingdom's interoperability with U.S. forces. The United Kingdom is a staunch supporter of the U.S. in Iraq and Afghanistan, and the Global War on Terror. The United Kingdom's troops are deployed in support of IRAQI FREEDOM and ENDURING FREEDOM, where U.S. assets currently provide this proposed capability. By acquiring this capability, the United Kingdom will be able to provide the same level of protection for its own forces and those of the United States.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not affect the basic military balance in the region. The United Kingdom will have no difficulty absorbing these vehicles into its armed forces.

The principal contractor will be: Force Protection Industries, Inc., of Ladson, South Carolina. There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

The proposed sale requires the continued support of seven Field Service Representatives (FSR), currently providing in theater maintenance support for the existing Mastiff vehicles, for the additional vehicles for a period of one year.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

Transmittal No. 08-21

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act

Annex
Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. The Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Category II vehicle is an armored, multi-purpose combat vehicle intended to support Explosive Ordnance Disposal and mounted urban operations to include convoy security support and dismounted patrols. It is designed to increase crew survivability. The vehicle has a blast-resistant underbody designed to protect the crew from mine blasts, fragmentation, and direct fire weapons.

2. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

[FR Doc. 07–6263 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–C

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 08–30]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 08–03 with attached transmittal, and policy justification.

Dated: December 26, 2007.

L.M. Bynum,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2800

DEC 1 8 2007
In reply refer to:
I-07/015284-CFM

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Speaker of the House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-6501

Dear Madam Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 08-30, concerning the Department of the Air Force's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Morocco for defense articles and services estimated to cost $200 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Wieringa
Vice Admiral, USN
Director

Enclosures:
1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification

Same ltr to:

House	Senate
Committee on Foreign Affairs	Committee on Foreign Relations
Committee on Armed Services	Committee on Armed Services
Committee on Appropriations	Committee on Appropriations

Transmittal No. 08-30

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

(i) **Prospective Purchaser:** Morocco

(ii) **Total Estimated Value:**

Major Defense Equipment*	$159 million
Other	$ 41 million
TOTAL	$200 million

(iii) **Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase:** 24 T-6B Texan aircraft, Global Positioning System (GPS) with CMA-4124 GNSSA card and Embedded GPS/Inertial Navigation System (INS) spares, ferry maintenance, tanker support, aircraft ferry services, site survey, unit level trainer, spare and repair parts, support and test equipment, publications and technical documentation, personnel training and training equipment, contractor technical and logistics personnel services, and other related elements of logistics support.

(iv) **Military Department:** Air Force (SAB)

(v) **Prior Related Cases, if any:** none

(vi) **Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid:** none

(vii) **Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold:** none

(viii) **Date Report Delivered to Congress:** DEC 1 8 2007

* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Morocco – T-6B Texan Aircraft

The Government of Morocco has requested a possible sale of 24 T-6B Texan aircraft, Global Positioning System (GPS) with CMA-4124 GNSSA card and Embedded GPS/Inertial Navigation System (INS) spares, ferry maintenance, tanker support, aircraft ferry services, site survey, unit level trainer, spare and repair parts, support and test equipment, publications and technical documentation, personnel training and training equipment, contractor technical and logistics personnel services, and other related elements of logistics support. The estimated cost is $200 million.

This sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country that has been and continues to be an important force for political stability and economic progress in North Africa.

The Royal Moroccan Air Force's (RMAF) fleet of T-37 aircraft was produced in the early 1960s. The T-37s high fuel and maintenance costs, and low mission capable rates led to the RMAF's decision to procure new trainer aircraft. The T-6B aircraft will reduce fuel requirements by 66%. The RMAF will use these new aircraft to modernize its air force and to improve operational capability in coalition operations and exercises, and contribute to a modern air defense network for the legitimate defense of Morocco. Morocco will have no difficulty absorbing these aircraft into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not affect the basic military balance in the region.

The principal contractors will be:

Hawker Beechcraft Corporation, Wichita, Kansas
Pratt & Whitney Corporation, Quebec, Canada and Bridgeport, West Virginia
Martin Baker, Middlesex, United Kingdom
Hartzel Propeller, Pique, Ohio
Canadian Marconi, Broken Arrow, Oklahoma
L-3 Vertex, Madison, Mississippi

There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require multiple trips to Morocco involving U.S. Government and contractor representatives for technical reviews/support, program management, and training over a period of 15 years.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

[FR Doc. 07–6264 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–C

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Base Closure and Realignment

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Office of Economic Adjustment.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice is provided pursuant to section 2905(b)(7)(B)(ii) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990. It provides a partial list of military installations closing or realigning pursuant to the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) Report. It also provides a corresponding listing of a successor Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA) for Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, California recognized by the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Department of Defense Office of Economic Adjustment (OEA), as well as the point of contact, address, and telephone number for the successor LRA for this installation. Representatives of state and local governments, homeless providers, and other parties interested in the redevelopment of the installation

should contact the person or organization listed. The following information will also be published simultaneously in a newspaper of general circulation in the area of the installation. There will be additional Notices providing this same information about LRAs for other closing or realigning installations where surplus government property is available as those LRAs are recognized by the OEA.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 3, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Director, Office of Economic Adjustment, Office of the Secretary of Defense, 400 Army Navy Drive, Suite 200, Arlington, VA 22202–4704, (703) 604–6020.

Local Redevelopment Authorities (LRAs) for Closing and Realigning Military Installations

California

Installation Name: Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant.

LRA Name: City of Riverbank City Council, successor to City Council of Riverbank and the District 1 Board Supervisor of Stanislaus County.

Point of Contact: Ms. Debbie Olson, Economic Development Director, City of Riverbank.

Address: 6707 Third Street, Riverbank, CA 95367–2396.

Phone: (209) 863–7157.

Dated: December 21, 2007.

L.M. Bynum,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 07–6260 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools; Overview Information; Grants To Reduce Alcohol Abuse; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2008

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.184A.

Dates: Applications Available: January 3, 2008.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: February 19, 2008.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: April 17, 2008.

Full Text of Announcement

I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: This program provides grants to local educational agencies (LEAs) to develop and implement innovative and effective programs to reduce alcohol abuse in secondary schools.

Priority: In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(iv), this priority is from section 4129 of the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act, 20 U.S.C. 7139.

Absolute Priority: For FY 2008 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, this priority is an absolute priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3) we consider only applications that meet this priority.

Alcohol Abuse Reduction.

This priority is: A project must develop and implement innovative and effective programs to reduce alcohol abuse in secondary schools.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7139.

Applicable Regulations: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 85, 97, 98, 99, and 299.

(b) The notice of eligibility requirement for the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools discretionary grant programs published in the **Federal Register** on December 4, 2006 (71 FR 70369).

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 79 apply to all applicants except federally recognized Indian tribes.

II. Award Information

Type of Award: Discretionary grants.

Estimated Available Funds: $24,500,000.

Contingent upon the availability of funds and the quality of applications, we may make additional awards later in FY 2008 and in FY 2009 from the list of unfunded applicants from this competition.

Estimated Range of Awards: $250,000–$450,000.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: $350,000.

Estimated Number of Awards: 70.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 36 months.

III. Eligibility Information

1. *Eligible Applicants:* (a) LEAs, including charter schools that are considered LEAs under State law.

(b) The Secretary limits eligibility under this discretionary grant competition to LEAs that do not currently have an active grant under the Grants to Reduce Alcohol Abuse program. For the purpose of this eligibility requirement, a grant is considered active until the end of the grant's project or funding period, including any extensions of those periods that extend the grantee's authority to obligate funds.

2. *Cost Sharing or Matching:* This competition does not require cost sharing or matching.

IV. Application and Submission Information

1. *Address to Request Application Package:* You can obtain an application package via the Internet or from the Education Publications Center (ED Pubs). To obtain a copy via the Internet, use the following address: *http://www.ed.gov/programs/dvalcoholabuse/index.html.* To obtain a copy from ED Pubs, write, fax, or call the following: Education Publications Center, P.O. Box 1398, Jessup, MD 20794–1398. Telephone, toll free: 1–877–433–7827. FAX: (301) 470–1244. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), call, toll free: 1–877–576–7734.

You can contact ED Pubs at its Web site, also: *http://www.ed.gov/pubs/edpubs.html* or at its e-mail address: *edpubs@inet.ed.gov*

If you request an application from ED Pubs, be sure to identify this program or competition as follows: CFDA number 84.184A.

Individuals with disabilities can obtain a copy of the application package in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) by contacting the person listed under *Alternative Format* in section VIII of this notice.

2. *Content and Form of Application Submission:* Requirements concerning the content of an application, together with the forms you must submit, are in the application package for this competition.

3. *Submission Dates and Times:*

Applications Available: January 3, 2008.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: February 19, 2008.

Applications for grants under this competition may be submitted electronically using the *Grants.gov* Apply site (*Grants.gov*), or in paper format by mail or hand delivery. For information (including dates and times) about how to submit your application electronically, or in paper format by mail or hand delivery, please refer to section IV.6. *Other Submission Requirements* in this notice.

We do not consider an application that does not comply with the deadline requirements.

Individuals with disabilities who need an accommodation or auxiliary aid in connection with the application process should contact the person listed under *For Further Information Contact* in section VII in this notice. If the Department provides an accommodation or auxiliary aid to an individual with a

disability in connection with the application process, the individual's application remains subject to all other requirements and limitations in this notice.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: April 17, 2008.

4. *Intergovernmental Review:* This competition is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. Information about Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs under Executive Order 12372 is in the application package for this competition.

5. *Funding Restrictions:* We reference regulations outlining funding restrictions in the *Applicable Regulations* section in this notice.

6. *Other Submission Requirement:*

Applications for grants under this competition may be submitted electronically or in paper format by mail or hand delivery.

a. *Electronic Submission of Applications.*

To comply with the President's Management Agenda, we are participating as a partner in the Governmentwide *Grants.gov* Apply site. Grants to Reduce Alcohol Abuse, CFDA Number 84.184A, is included in this project. We request your participation in *Grants.gov.*

If you choose to submit your application electronically, you must use the Governmentwide *Grants.gov*. Apply site at *http://www.Grants.gov*. Through this site, you will be able to download a copy of the application package, complete it offline, and then upload and submit your application. You may not e-mail an electronic copy of a grant application to us.

You may access the electronic grant application for Grants to Reduce Alcohol Abuse at *http://www.Grants.gov*. You must search for the downloadable application package for this competition by the CFDA number. Do not include the CFDA number's alpha suffix in your search (e.g., search for 84.184, not 84.184A).

Please note the following:

- Your participation in *Grants.gov* is voluntary.
- When you enter the *Grants.gov* site, you will find information about submitting an application electronically through the site, as well as the hours of operation.
- Applications received by *Grants.gov* are date and time stamped. Your application must be fully uploaded and submitted and must be date and time stamped by the *Grants.gov* system no later than 4:30 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date. Except as otherwise noted in this section, we will not consider your application if it is date and time stamped by the *Grants.gov* system later than 4:30 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date. When we retrieve your application from *Grants.gov*, we will notify you if we are rejecting your application because it was date and time stamped by the *Grants.gov* system after 4:30 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date.
- The amount of time it can take to upload an application will vary depending on a variety of factors, including the size of the application and the speed of your Internet connection. Therefore, we strongly recommend that you do not wait until the application deadline date to begin the submission process through *Grants.gov*.
- You should review and follow the Education Submission Procedures for submitting an application through *Grants.gov* that are included in the application package for this program to ensure that you submit your application in a timely manner to the *Grants.gov* system. You can also find the Education Submission Procedures pertaining to *Grants.gov* at *http://e-Grants.ed.gov/help/GrantsgovSubmissionProcedures.pdf*.
- To submit your application via *Grants.gov*, you must complete all steps in the *Grants.gov* registration process (see *http://www.grants.gov/applicants/get_registered.jsp*). These steps include (1) registering your organization, a multi-part process that includes registration with the Central Contractor Registry (CCR); (2) registering yourself as an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR); and (3) getting authorized as an AOR by your organization. Details on these steps are outlined in the *Grants.gov* 3-Step Registration Guide (see *http://www.grants.gov/section910/Grants.gov*RegistrationBrochure.pdf). You also must provide on your application the same D–U–N–S Number used with this registration. Please note that the registration process may take five or more business days to complete, and you must have completed all registration steps to allow you to submit successfully an application via *Grants.gov*. In addition you will need to update your CCR registration on an annual basis. This may take three or more business days to complete.
- You will not receive additional point value because you submit your application in electronic format, nor will we penalize you if you submit your application in paper format.
- If you submit your application electronically, you must submit all documents electronically, including all information you typically provide on the following forms: Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424), the Department of Education Supplemental Information for SF 424, Budget Information—Non-Construction Programs (ED 524), and all necessary assurances and certifications. Please note that two of these forms—the SF 424 and the Department of Education Supplemental Information for SF 424—have replaced the ED 424 (Application for Federal Education Assistance).
- If you submit your application electronically, you must attach any narrative sections of your application as files in a .DOC (document), .RTF (rich text), or .PDF (Portable Document) format. If you upload a file type other than the three file types specified in this paragraph or submit a password-protected file, we will not review that material.
- Your electronic application must comply with any page-limit requirements described in this notice.
- After you electronically submit your application, you will receive from *Grants.gov* an automatic notification of receipt that contains a *Grants.gov* tracking number. (This notification indicates receipt by *Grants.gov* only, not receipt by the Department.) The Department then will retrieve your application from *Grants.gov* and send a second notification to you by e-mail. This second notification indicates that the Department has received your application and has assigned your application a PR/Award number (an ED-specified identifying number unique to your application).
- We may request that you provide us original signatures on forms at a later date.

Application Deadline Date Extension in Case of Technical Issues with the Grants.gov System: If you are experiencing problems submitting your application through Grants.gov, please contact the Grants.gov Support Desk, toll free, at 1–800–518–4726. You must obtain a Grants.gov Support Desk Case Number and must keep a record of it.

If you are prevented from electronically submitting your application on the application deadline date because of technical problems with the Grants.gov system, we will grant you an extension until 4:30 p.m., Washington, DC time, the following business day to enable you to transmit your application electronically or by hand delivery. You also may mail your application by following the mailing

instructions described elsewhere in this notice.

If you submit an application after 4:30 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date, please contact the person listed under *For Further Information Contact* in section VII in this notice and provide an explanation of the technical problem you experienced with Grants.gov, along with the Grants.gov Support Desk Case Number. We will accept your application if we can confirm that a technical problem occurred with the Grants.gov system and that that problem affected your ability to submit your application by 4:30 p.m., Washington, DC time, on the application deadline date. The Department will contact you after a determination is made on whether your application will be accepted.

Note: The extensions to which we refer in this section apply only to the unavailability of, or technical problems with, the Grants.gov system. We will not grant you an extension if you failed to fully register to submit your application to Grants.gov before the application deadline date and time or if the technical problem you experienced is unrelated to the Grants.gov system.

b. *Submission of Paper Applications by Mail.* If you submit your application in paper format by mail (through the U.S. Postal Service or a commercial carrier), you must mail the original and two copies of your application, on or before the application deadline date, to the Department at the applicable following address:

By mail through the U.S. Postal Service: U.S. Department of Education, Application Control Center, Attention: (CFDA Number 84.184A), 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20202–4260; or

By mail through a commercial carrier: U.S. Department of Education, Application Control Center, Stop 4260, Attention: (CFDA Number 84.184A), 7100 Old Landover Road, Landover, MD 20785–1506.

Regardless of which address you use, you must show proof of mailing consisting of one of the following:

(1) A legibly dated U.S. Postal Service postmark.

(2) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service.

(3) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.

(4) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education.

If you mail your application through the U.S. Postal Service, we do not accept either of the following as proof of mailing:

(1) A private metered postmark.

(2) A mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Service.

If your application is postmarked after the application deadline date, we will not consider your application.

Note: The U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, you should check with your local post office.

c. *Submission of Paper Applications by Hand Delivery.*

If you submit your application in paper format by hand delivery, you (or a courier service) must deliver the original and two copies of your application by hand, on or before the application deadline date, to the Department at the following address:

U.S. Department of Education, Application Control Center, Attention: (CFDA Number 84.184A), 550 12th Street, SW., Room 7041, Potomac Center Plaza, Washington, DC 20202–4260.

The Application Control Center accepts hand deliveries daily between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Washington, DC time, except Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays.

Note for Mail or Hand Delivery of Paper Applications: If you mail or hand deliver your application to the Department—

(1) You must indicate on the envelope and—if not provided by the Department—in Item 11 of the SF 424 the CFDA number, including suffix letter, if any, of the competition under which you are submitting your application; and

(2) The Application Control Center will mail to you a notification of receipt of your grant application. If you do not receive this notification within 15 business days from the application deadline date, you should call the U.S. Department of Education Application Control Center at (202) 245–6288.

V. Application Review Information

1. *Selection Criteria:* The selection criteria for this program are from 34 CFR 75.210 and are in the application package for this competition.

2. *Review and Selection Process:* An additional factor we consider in selecting an application for an award is rural and low-income status.

Applications from rural and low-income applicants will be read and scored separately and up to 25 percent of the available funds will be reserved for awards to these LEAs. The following is a suggested definition of rural and low-income that has been used by this program in previous competition; however, LEAs that want to be considered as rural and low-income applicants may provide other supporting evidence of their status as rural and low income.

A rural and low-income LEA is one (a) that is designated with a locale code of 6, 7, or 8, as determined by the Department's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES); and (b) in which 20 percent or more of the children ages 5 through 17 years served by the LEA are from families with incomes below the poverty line.

Note: Applicants wishing to be considered under this factor must be both rural and low-income.

VI. Award Administration Information

1. *Award Notices:* If your application is successful, we notify your U.S. Representative and U.S. Senators and send you a Grant Award Notice (GAN). We may notify you informally, also.

If your application is not evaluated or not selected for funding, we notify you.

2. *Administrative and National Policy Requirements:* We identify administrative and national policy requirements in the application package and reference these and other requirements in the *Applicable Regulations* section in this notice.

We reference the regulations outlining the terms and conditions of an award in the *Applicable Regulations* section in this notice and include these and other specific conditions in the GAN. The GAN also incorporates your approved application as part of your binding commitments under the grant.

3. *Reporting:* At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multi-year award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under 34 CFR 75.118. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to *http://www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html.*

4. *Performance Measures:* Under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), three measures have been developed for evaluating the overall effectiveness of the Grants to Reduce Alcohol Abuse program. They are: (1) The percentage of grantees whose target students show a measurable decrease in binge drinking; (2) the percentage of grantees that show a measurable increase in the percentage of target students who believe that alcohol abuse is harmful to their health; and (3) the

percentage of grantees that show a measurable increase in the percentage of target students who disapprove of alcohol abuse. These three measures constitute the Department's indicators of success for this program. Consequently, applicants for a grant under this program are advised to give careful consideration to these three measures in conceptualizing the design, implementation, and evaluation of their proposed project. If funded, applicants will be asked to collect and report data in their annual performance reports about progress toward these goals.

VII. Agency Contact

For Further Information Contact: Amalia Cuervo, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 3E342, Washington, DC 20202–6450. Telephone: (202)205–2855, or by e-mail: *amalia.cuervo@ed.gov.*

If you use a TDD, call the FRS, toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

VIII. Other Information

Alternative Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the program contact person listed under *For Further Information Contact* in section VII in this notice.

Electronic Access to This Document: You can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: *http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister.*

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: *http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html.*

Dated: December 28, 2007.

Deborah A. Price,

Assistant Deputy Secretary for Safe and Drug-Free Schools.

[FR Doc. E7–25587 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Proposed Agency Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice and Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) invites public comment on a proposed collection of information that DOE is developing for submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before March 3, 2008. If you anticipate difficulty in submitting comments within that period, contact the person listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent to: Ms. Andrea Chew, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, EE–2H, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, by phone at 202–586–1145, fax at 202–586–9811, or e-mail at *andrea.chew@ee.doe.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Ms. Andrea Chew using the contact information listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This package contains:

(1) *OMB No.:* New.

(2) *Package Title:* Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Knowledge and Opinions Survey of Safety and Code Officials.

(3) *Type of Review:* New collection.

(4) *Purpose:* The Knowledge and Opinions Survey of Safety and Codes Officials will measure the levels of awareness and understanding of hydrogen and fuel cell technologies within this population. Information gathered in this assessment will assist DOE's Hydrogen Education Program in formulating an overall education plan for hydrogen technologies. Changes relative to baseline knowledge levels will be determined when, after three years, the population will be surveyed again using the same survey instrument and methodology.

(5) *Respondents:* Interviews with 200 total officials will be conducted using computer-assisted telephone interview technology. Lists of persons responsible for safety and codes will be compiled from the following universe: agencies responsible for developing codes related to hydrogen and fuel cell technologies, including members of the International Code Council and the National Fire Protection Association; and safety officials responsible for adopting, enacting, and/or enforcing codes related to buildings and fire safety, including members of the National Association of State Fire Marshals who are responsible for fire prevention and the International Association of State Fire Chiefs who are responsible for fire protection.

(6) *Estimated Number of Burden Hours:* 40 hours (12 minutes per interview times 200 respondents).

Statutory Authority: Department of Energy Organization Act, Public Law 95–91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 18, 2007.

Alexander A. Karsner,

Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[FR Doc. E7–25567 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

December 27, 2007.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:

Docket Numbers: ER94–389–027; ER02–2509–006; ER00–840–007; ER01–137–005; ER98–1767–010; ER99–2992–007; ER99–3165–007; ER02–1942–006; ER01–596–005; ER01–2690–009; ER02–77–009; ER00–1780–007; ER99–415–014; ER01–389–007; ER01–2641–011; ER01–558–010; ER01–557–010; ER01–560–010; ER01–559–010; ER02–24–009; ER02–26–008; ER02–25–008; ER05–524–003; ER02–963–008.

Applicants: Tenaska Power Services Co.; Kiowa Power Partners, LLC; Tenaska Alabama Partners, L.P.;

Tenaska Alabama II Partners, L.P.; Tenaska Frontier Partners, Ltd.; Tenaska Gateway Partners Ltd; Tenaska Georgia Partners LP; Tenaska Virginia Partners, LP; Alabama Electric Marketing, LLC; California Electric Marketing, LLC; New Mexico Electric Marketing, LLC; Texas Electric Marketing, LLC; Commonwealth Chesapeake Company LLC; Calumet Energy Team, LLC; High Desert Power Project, LLC; Holland Energy, LLC; University Park Energy, LLC; Big Sandy Peaker Plant, LLC; Wolf Hills Energy, LLC; Armstrong Energy LLLP; Pleasants Energy LLC; Troy Energy, LLC; Lincoln Generating Facility, LLC; Crete Energy Venture, LLC.

Description: Tenaska Energy Inc *et al.* submit a supplement to the Notification of Change in Status submitted in the proceedings on 11/13/07.

Filed Date: 12/18/2007.

Accession Number: 20071219–0390.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER98–2491–012.

Applicants: Consolidated Edison Energy Inc.

Description: Consolidated Edison Energy Inc submits Substitute Original Sheet 1 *et al.* to its FERC Electric Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume 1.

Filed Date: 12/18/2007.

Accession Number: 20071219–0389.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER01–1071–010; ER06–9–005; ER05–1281–005; ER03–34–009; ER06–1261–003; ER03–1104–006; ER03–1105–006; ER06–1392–002; ER08–197–002; ER07–904–001; ER98–2076–013; ER98–4222–011; ER07–174–004.

Applicants: Badger Windpower LLC; FPL Energy Burleigh County Wind, LLC; FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC; FPL Energy Hancock County Wind, LLC; FPL Energy Mower County, LLC; FPL Energy North Dakota Wind, LLC; FPL Energy North Dakota Wind II, LLC; FPL Energy Oliver Wind, LLC; FPL Energy Oliver Wind II, LLC; Fpl Energy Point Beach, LLC; Hawkeye Power Partners LLC; Lake Benton Power Partners II LLC; Osceola Windpower, LLC.

Description: Change in Status of Badger Windpower, LLC, *et al.* under ER01–1071, *et al.*

Filed Date: 12/20/2007.

Accession Number: 20071220–5014.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, January 10, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER02–1695–004; ER01–2742–006; ER02–2309–003.

Applicants: Cabazon Wind Partners, LLC; Rock River I, LLC; Whitewater Hill Wind Partners LLC.

Description: Cabazon Wind Partners, LLC *et al.* submits revisions to their market-based tariffs to reflect tariff changes mandated by Order 697.

Filed Date: 12/14/2007.

Accession Number: 20071219–0199.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, January 4, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER03–478–018; ER01–3121–013; ER02–2085–007; ER02–417–012; ER02–418–012; ER03–1326–011; ER03–296–014; ER03–416–015; ER03–951–014; ER04–94–012; ER05–1146–012; ER05–1262–010; ER05–332–012; ER05–365–012; ER05–481–012; ER05–534–012; ER06–1093–006; ER06–200–011; ER07–1378–002; ER07–195–003; ER07–240–006; ER07–242–005; ER07–254–003; ER07–287–005; ER07–460–002.

Applicants: PPM Energy Inc.; Klamath Energy LLC; Northern Iowa Windpower LLC; Phoenix Wind Power LLC; Klamath Generation LLC; Colorado Green Holdings, LLC; Flying Cloud Power Partners, LLC; Klondike Wind Power LLC; Moraine Wind LLC; Mountain View Power Partners III, LLC; Shiloh I Wind Project LLC; Flat Rock Windpower LLC; Klondike Wind Power LLC; Elk River Windfarm LLC; Trimont Wind I LLC; Eastern Desert Power LLC; Flat Rock Windpower II LLC; Big Horn Wind Project LLC; Providence Heights Wind, LLC; Locust Ridge Wind Farm, LLC; Twin Buttes Wind LLC; MinnDakota Wind LLC; Casselman Windpower, LLC; Klondike Wind Power III LLC; Dillon Wind LLC.

Description: Notice of Non-Material Change in Status of Iberdrola Renewables Companies.

Filed Date: 12/26/2007.

Accession Number: 20071226–5013.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 16, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER06–739–007; ER06–738–007; ER03–983–006; ER07–501–003; ER02–537–010; ER07–758–002.

Applicants: East Coast Power Linden Holding, LLC; Cogen Technologies Linden Venture, L.P.; Fox Energy Company LLC; Birchwood Power Partners, L.P.; Shady Hills Power Company, L.L.C.; Inland Empire Energy Center, L.L.C.

Description: Replacement Notice of Change in Status of East Coast Power Linden Holding, LLC, *et al.*

Filed Date: 12/20/2007.

Accession Number: 20071220–5109.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, January 10, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER06–758–002; ER06–635–001; ER02–237–009; ER95–1007–020; ER01–2741–005; ER07–34–002; ER03–1151–005; ER00–2235–002; ER99–3320–005; ER06–634–001; ER03–922–006; ER06–759–001.

Applicants: Chambers Cogeneration LP; Edgecombe Genco, LLC; J. Aron & Company; Logan Generating Company, LP; Plains End, LLC; Plains End II, LLC; Power Receivable Finance, LLC; Ouachita Power, LLC; Rathdrum Power, LLC; Spruance Genco, LLC; Southaven Power, LLC; Selkirk Cogen Partners, L.P.

Description: Chambers Cogeneration, LP *et al.* submits revisions to their market-based tariffs to reflect tariff changes mandated by Order 697.

Filed Date: 12/14/2007

Accession Number: 20071219–0198.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, January 4, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1261–004; ER06–1392–003; ER07–1157–002; ER07–875–001; ER08–197–003.

Applicants: FPL Energy Mower County, LLC; FPL Energy Oliver Wind, LLC; Logan Wind Energy LLC; Peetz Table Wind Energy, LLC; FPL Energy Oliver Wind II, LLC.

Description: Change in Status of FPL Energy Mower County, LLC, *et al.* under ER06–1261, *et al.*

Filed Date: 12/21/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–5096.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, January 11, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1346–004.

Applicants: White Creek Wind I, LLC.

Description: Notice of Change of Status of White Creek Wind I, LLC.

Filed Date: 12/21/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–5200.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, January 11, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–187–001.

Applicants: The United Illuminating Company.

Description: The United Illuminating Company submits substitute tariff sheet for Schedule 10 that removes the proposal for on-peak & off-peak pricing for daily firm point-to-point transmission service etc.

Filed Date: 12/21/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–0199.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 2, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–347–000.

Applicants: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.

Description: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC submits the Third Revised Rate Schedule 315, the Full Requirements Power Purchase Agreement with Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corp.

Filed Date: 12/17/2007.

Accession Number: 20071220–0169.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, January 7, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–348–000.

Applicants: DTE Georgetown, LLC.

Description: Indianapolis Power & Light Co on behalf of DTE Georgetown LLC submits a notice of cancellation of FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume 1, to become effective 7/24/07.

Filed Date: 12/19/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–0157.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–349–000.

Applicants: Northeast Utilities Service Company.

Description: Northeast Utilities Service Co *et al.* submit a Service Agreement to reflect revised rates etc.

Filed Date: 12/19/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–0159.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER07–351–000.

Applicants: Edison Sault Electric Company.

Description: Edison Sault Electric Co. submits Supplemental Agreement 10 to the contract with Cloverland Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Filed Date: 12/19/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–0158.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–363–000.

Applicants: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Description: PJM Interconnection, LLC submits an Executed Interconnection Construction Service Agreement with James River Cogeneration Co *et al.* under ER08–363.

Filed Date: 12/21/2007.

Accession Number: 20071227–0201.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, January 11, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–91–001.

Applicants: Mississippi Power Company.

Description: Request to withdraw request for authorization to use updated depreciation rates filed in ER08–91 of Mississippi Power Company.

Filed Date: 12/21/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–5212.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, January 11, 2008.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at *http://www.ferc.gov.* To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov* or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25515 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

December 21, 2007.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric corporate filings:

Docket Numbers: EC08–24–000.

Applicants: Sempra Energy Power I; El Dorado Energy, LLC; San Diego Gas & Electric Company.

Description: Sempra Energy Power I *et al.* submits a joint application for approval to transfer jurisdictional facilities.

Filed Date: 12/17/2007.

Accession Number: 20071219–0301.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, January 7, 2008.

Take notice that the Commission received the following exempt wholesale generator filings:

Docket Numbers: EG08–27–000.

Applicants: Rail Splitter Wind Farm, LLC.

Description: Notice of Self-Certification of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status of Rail Splitter Wind Farm, LLC.

Filed Date: 12/21/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–5046.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, January 11, 2008.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:

Docket Numbers: ER07–1383–001; ER07–1384–001.

Applicants: Duke Energy Shared Services, Inc.

Description: Duke Energy Shared Services, Inc submits its filing, in compliance with FERC's 11/15/07 Order.

Filed Date: 12/18/2007.

Accession Number: 20071220–0167.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER07–1406–002.

Applicants: Long Beach Peakers LLC.

Description: Long Beach Peakers, LLC submits a supplemental filing to their 9/27/07 filing of an application for market-based rate authority and requests a shortened notice and comment period of no more than 10 days.

Filed Date: 12/18/2007.

Accession Number: 20071219–0164.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, December 28, 2007.

Docket Numbers: ER08–187–001.

Applicants: The United Illuminating Company.

Description: The United Illuminating Company submits substitute tariff sheet for Schedule 10 that removes the proposal for on-peak & off-peak pricing for daily firm point-to-point transmission service etc.

Filed Date: 12/21/2007.

Accession Number: 20071221–0199.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, December 31, 2007.

Docket Numbers: ER08–275–001.

Applicants: Santa Maria Cogen Inc.

Description: Santa Maria Cogen, Inc submits a clean version of Substitute Original Sheet 2 of its proposed tariff *et al.*

Filed Date: 12/19/2007.

Accession Number: 20071220–0164.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, December 31, 2007.

Docket Numbers: ER08–335–001.

Applicants: Florida Power & Light Company.

Description: Florida Power & Light Co submits Exhibit II, Lee County Electric Coop Estimated Annual Demand and Energy Billing Determinations as part of the 12/14/07 filing of Rate Schedule.
Filed Date: 12/19/2007.
Accession Number: 20071220–0165.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–343–000.
Applicants: Entergy Services, Inc.
Description: Entergy Operating Companies submits an executed Seventh Revised Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement with East Texas Electric Coop, Inc.
Filed Date: 12/18/2007.
Accession Number: 20071219–0165.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–344–000.
Applicants: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.
Description: Duke Energy Carolinas LLC submits revised Network Integration Service Agreement for Network Integration Transmission Service with Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation.
Filed Date: 12/18/2007.
Accession Number: 20071219–0159.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–345–000.
Applicants: Northwestern Corporation.
Description: Northwest Corp submits a supplement to Rate Schedule 188.
Filed Date: 12/17/2007.
Accession Number: 20071219–0160.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, January 7, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ER08–346–000.
Applicants: Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
Description: Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. submits proposed revisions to Attachment L Module A and a Table of Contents to their Open Access Transmission and Energy Markets Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Third Revised Volume 1.
Filed Date: 12/18/2007.
Accession Number: 20071220–0166.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric securities filings:
Docket Numbers: ES08–16–000.
Applicants: Ameren Services Company.
Description: Form 523—Application for Permission to Issue Securities of Ameren Services Company, *et al.*
Filed Date: 12/19/2007.
Accession Number: 20071219–5008.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ES08–17–000.
Applicants: South Carolina Electric & Gas Company; South Carolina Generating Company, Inc.
Description: Application under Section 204 of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, *et al.* for authority to issue short-term debt.
Filed Date: 12/19/2007.
Accession Number: 20071219–5058.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Docket Numbers: ES08–18–000.
Applicants: Kingsport Power Company.
Description: Form 523—Request for Permission to Issue Securities of Kingsport Power Company.
Filed Date: 12/19/2007.
Accession Number: 20071219–5071.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Take notice that the Commission received the following foreign utility company status filings:
Docket Numbers: FC08–2–000.
Applicants: Macquarie Group Limited.
Description: Foreign Utility Company Notice of Self-Certification of Macquarie Group Limited.
Filed Date: 12/19/2007.
Accession Number: 20071219–5032.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Take notice that the Commission received the following public utility holding company filings:
Docket Numbers: PH08–11–000.
Applicants: The Capital Group Companies, Inc.
Description: FERC Form 65–A, Exemption Notification of Status as Passive Investors of The Capital Group Companies, Inc.
Filed Date: 12/19/2007.
Accession Number: 20071218–5078.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, January 9, 2008.

Docket Numbers: PH08–12–000.
Applicants: ENERGYSOUTH, INC.
Description: Explanation why change in facts does not affect FERC 65–A exemption. 18 CFR 366.4(d)(1)(ii).
Filed Date: 12/18/2007.
Accession Number: 20071218–5060.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Docket Numbers: PH08–13–000.
Applicants: General Electric Company; General Electric Capital Services, Inc.; Aircraft Services Corporation; East Coast Power Linden GP, LLC; East Coast Power Linden Holding, LLC; East Coast Power Linden, Inc.; East Coast Power, LLC; EFS Cogen Holdings I LLC; EFS Shady Hills LLC; EFS–SSCC Holdings LLC; Fox Energy OP, LP; GE Capital CALGEN; General Electric Credit Corporation—TN,GE Energy Holdings, Inc.; GE Structured Finance Inc.; GESF Birchwood-GP LLC; Linden VFT, LLC; LSP Shady Blocker I, Inc.; LSP Shady Blocker II, Inc.; Shady Hills Power Holdings, LLC; SourceGas Holdings LLC; SourceGas LLC; Southern Star Central Corp.
Description: Revised FERC Form 65–A, Exemption Notification of General Electric Company, *et al.*
Filed Date: 12/18/2007
Accession Number: 20071218–5070.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, January 8, 2008.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric reliability filings:
Docket Numbers: RR07–9–004; RR07–10–004.
Applicants: North American Electric Reliability Corporation
Description: Compliance Filing of North American Electric Reliability Corp.
Filed Date: 12/17/2007.
Accession Number: 20071217–5012.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, January 7, 2008.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at *http://www.ferc.gov.* To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St. NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC.

There is an eSubscription link on the web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed dockets(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov* or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. E7–25516 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–8513–4]

Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC): Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) established the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC) on November 19, 1990, to provide independent advice and counsel to EPA on policy issues associated with implementation of the Clean Air Act of 1990. The Committee advises on economic, environmental, technical scientific, and enforcement policy issues.

DATES AND ADDRESSES: Open meeting notice; Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. App. 2 Section 10(a)(2), notice is hereby given that the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee will hold its next open meeting on Thursday, January 31, 2008, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. at the Crown Plaza Crystal City Hotel at 1480 Crystal Drive, in Arlington, Virginia. Seating will be available on a first come, first served basis. The Economic Incentives and Regulatory Innovations subcommittee will meet on January 30, 2008, from 8:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. The Permits, New Source Review and Toxics subcommittee will meet on January 30, 2008, from approximately 12:45 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. The agenda for the CAAAC full committee meeting on January 31, 2008, will be posted on the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee Web site at *http://www.epa.gov/oar/caaac/.*

Inspection of Committee Documents: The Committee agenda and any documents prepared for the meeting will be publicly available at the meeting. Thereafter, these documents, together with CAAAC meeting minutes, will be available by contacting the Office of Air and Radiation Docket and requesting information under docket OAR–2004–0075. The Docket office can be reached by telephoning 202–260–7548; Fax 202–260–4400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Concerning the CAAAC, please contact Pat Childers, Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. EPA (202) 564–1082, Fax (202) 564–1352 or by mail at U.S. EPA, Office of Air and Radiation (Mail code 6102 A), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004. For information on the Subcommittees, please contact the following individuals: (1) Permits/NSR/Toxics Integration—Liz Naess, (919) 541–1892; (2) Economic Incentives and Regulatory Innovations—Carey Fitzmaurice, (202) 564–1667; and (3) Mobile Source Technical Review—John Guy, (202) 343–9276. Additional Information on these meetings, CAAAC, and its Subcommittees can be found on the CAAAC Web site: *http://www.epa.gov/oar/caaac/.*

For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Mr. Pat Childers at (202) 564–1082 or *childers.pat@epa.gov.* To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Mr. Childers, preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: December 28, 2007.

Pat Childers,

Designated Federal Official, Clean Air Act Advisory Committee, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. E7–25573 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–RCRA–2006–0833; FRL–8513–2]

Waste Management System; Testing and Monitoring Activities; Notice of Availability of Final Update IV of SW–846

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) is providing notice of the availability of ''Final Update IV'' to the Third Edition of the manual, ''Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods,'' EPA publication SW–846. Final Update IV contains new and revised analytical methods that may be used in monitoring or complying with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous waste regulations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Kirkland, EMRAD, Office of Solid Waste (5307P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460–0002; *telephone number:* (703) 308–8855, *fax number:* (703) 308–0509, *e-mail address: kirkland.kim@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. How Can I Get Copies of Final Update IV and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–RCRA–2006–0833; FRL–6908–4. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through *http://www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at the OSWER RCRA Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OSWER RCRA Docket is (202) 566–0270.

Electronic Access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the ''**Federal Register**'' listings at *http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.*

B. How Can I Get Copies of the Third Edition of SW–846 and All of Its Updates?

The Third Edition of SW–846, as amended by Final Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, III, IIIA, IIIB, and IV is available in pdf format on the Internet at *http://www.epa.gov/SW-846.* Table 1 below provides sources for both paper and electronic copies of the Third Edition of SW–846 and all of its updates.

TABLE 1.—SOURCES FOR SW–846, THIRD EDITION, AND ITS UPDATES

Source	Available portions of SW–846
National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, (703) 605–6000 or (800) 553–6847.	—Paper copy of an integrated version of SW–846, Third Edition, as amended by Final Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, III, and IIIA. —Individual paper copies of the SW–846, Third Edition, basic manual and of certain updates, including Final Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, III, IIIA, and IIIB. —CD–ROM of integrated version of SW–846, Third Edition, as amended by Final Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, and III (pdf and WordPerfect electronic copies). —CD–ROM of Draft Update IVA (pdf and WordPerfect electronic copies).
Internet *http://www.epa.gov/SW-846*	—Integrated version of SW-846, Third Edition, as amended by Final Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, III, IIIA, IIIB, and IV (pdf electronic copy).

C. How Is the Rest of This Notice Organized?

We list below the order of the major sections of this notice.

II. What is the Subject and Purpose of this Notice?
III. Why is this Update to SW–846 Being Announced in a Notice Instead of Being Promulgated as a Final Rule?
IV. What Does Final Update IV Contain?

II. What Is the Subject and Purpose of This Notice?

We are announcing the availability of Final Update IV to ''Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods,'' EPA Publication SW–846. Final Update IV of SW–846 contains analytical methods that we have evaluated and determined to be appropriate and may be used for monitoring or complying with the RCRA hazardous waste regulations.

The Agency revises the content of SW–846 over time as new information and data are developed. We continually review advances in analytical instrumentation and techniques and periodically incorporate such advances into SW–846 as method updates by adding new methods to the manual, and replacing existing methods with revised versions of the same method. These updates improve analytical method performance and cost effectiveness. To date, we have finalized Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, III, IIIA, and IIIB to the SW–846 manual, which can be found on the Internet at: *http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/sw846.htm*.

On May 8, 1998, we published a notice of availability for Draft Update IVA in the **Federal Register** (see 63 FR 25430–25438, Docket No. F–98–4TMA–FFFF) and, on November 27, 2000, we published a notice of availability for Draft Update IVB in the **Federal Register** (see 65 FR 70678–70681, Docket No. F–2000–4BTA–FFFF). In today's notice, we are announcing the availability of Final Update IV, which combines Draft Updates IVA, IVB, and subsequent revisions made to these Updates based on public comments received.

III. Why Is This Update to SW–846 Being Announced in a Notice Instead of Being Promulgated as a Final Rule?

In the past, EPA proposed and finalized updates to SW–846 as part of a rulemaking. On June 14, 2005, however, EPA published a final rule, referred to as the Methods Innovation Rule (MIR), which removed from the RCRA hazardous waste regulations any requirement to use an analytical method found in SW–846, unless that method is the only one capable of measuring a method-defined parameter (MDP) (70 FR 34538–34592). See the preamble of that rule regarding the Agency's basis for that rulemaking. In addition, the MIR allows the Agency to issue final updates to SW–846 as guidance, provided the analytical methods contained in the update are not required by the RCRA hazardous waste regulations. The Agency will continue to follow Agency guidelines to ensure that methods incorporated into SW–846 are scientifically sound, including the peer review of method documents, as appropriate. We will also continue to request public comment on methods we update through **Federal Register** notices prior to their incorporation into SW–846.

As the analytical methods contained in Final Update IV are not required by the RCRA hazardous waste regulations, EPA is issuing this update as guidance. EPA solicited public comments on the analytical methods found in Final Update IV in two **Federal Register** notices (see notice of availability for Draft Update IVA of SW 846 (63 FR 25430) and Draft Update IVB of SW–846 (65 FR 70678)). The docket to Final Update IV (EPA–RCRA–2006–0833) contains a background document with our response to public comments.

IV. What Does Final Update IV Contain?

Final Update IV contains new and revised analytical methods, each dated ''February 2007'' in its footer. The new and revised analytical methods can be found at: *http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/main.htm*. Tables 2 through 4 list the documents found in Final Update IV. Table 5 lists those methods that have been deleted.

Table 2 provides a listing of the twenty-four revised SW–846 analytical methods, seven revised chapters and the table of contents and title page. Chapter Eleven (''Ground Water Monitoring'') is included in this listing because, as described in the Draft Update IVA notice, EPA has removed the outdated text of Chapter Eleven of SW–846 and replaced the text with a note to refer the reader to the most current version of the ground-water monitoring guidance originally issued by EPA's Office of Solid Waste (OSW) in 1992.

Table 3 provides a listing of twenty-three new analytical methods found in Update IV. It should be noted that two of the analytical methods (Methods 4500 and 9058) on which the Agency solicited public comment in Draft Updates IVA and IVB are not included in Final Update IV. Specifically, Method 4500, ''Mercury in Soil by Immunoassay,'' a method in Draft Update IVA, is not included because the method kit is no longer available from its original source. Method 9058, ''Determination of Perchlorate Using Ion Chromatography with Chemical Suppression Conductivity Detection,'' a method in Draft Update IVB, is not included because EPA determined, based on public comment and other source information, that this version of the analytical method is subject to biased results due to matrix interferences. However, the Agency developed two new and improved analytical methods for perchlorate analyses, SW–846 Methods 6850 and

6860. These methods are not part of the Final Update IV package, but have been validated and are available for use at the EPA Methods Web site, under the heading ''New Methods,'' *http://www.epa.gov/SW-846*. Given the development and availability of Methods 6850 and 6860, EPA recommends that Method 9058 only be used for screening or long-term monitoring purposes. Confirmation of perchlorate detection is recommended when analyzing unfamiliar samples using an additional technique. EPA currently plans to include a final version of Method 9058 in the Fourth Edition of SW–846 after additional validation. The final version will also be posted on the EPA Methods Web site if the method is completed prior to official publication of the Fourth Edition.

Table 4 identifies three air sampling methods for which we are providing references in SW–846 as part of Final Update IV. These one page references indicate how one may obtain a copy of each method. We are providing this information in SW–846, for the convenience of the reader.

Finally, Table 5 identifies the forty-four analytical methods to be integrated or deleted from SW–846 as part of Final Update IV. All but one of these analytical methods is an individual flame or graphite furnace atomic absorption method. The exception is Method 3810, ''Headspace,'' an obsolete headspace screening method which was replaced by Method 5021, ''Volatile Organic Compounds in Soils and Other Solid Matrices Using Equilibrium Headspace Analysis.'' The Agency is deleting Method 3810 because Method 5021, added to SW–846 as part of Final Update III, can be used for screening applications (in addition to quantitative uses), and can be expected to perform better than Method 3810 as a screening method. The 43 individual atomic absorption methods are being deleted because their inclusion is redundant given that their procedures and target analytes are fully integrated into revised Method 7000B (see Table 2) or new Method 7010 (see Table 3), the general methods for the atomic absorption techniques.

TABLE 2.—REVISED ANALYTICAL METHODS AND CHAPTERS OF SW–846 IN FINAL UPDATE IV

Analytical method No.	Method or chapter title
	Table of Contents.
	Chapter Two—Choosing the Correct Procedure.
	Chapter Three—Inorganic Analytes.
	Chapter Four—Organic Analytes.
	Chapter Five—Miscellaneous Test Methods.
	Chapter Six—Properties.
	Chapter Ten—Sampling Methods.
	Chapter Eleven—Ground Water Monitoring.
3015A	Microwave Assisted Acid Digestion of Aqueous Samples and Extracts.
3051A	Microwave Assisted Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges, Soils, and Oils.
3500C	Organic Extraction and Sample Preparation.
3535A	Solid-Phase Extraction (SPE).
3545A	Pressurized Fluid Extraction (PFE).
3550C	Ultrasonic Extraction.
3620C	Florisil Cleanup.
6010C	Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry.
6020A	Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry.
7000B	Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry.
7471B	Mercury in Solid or Semisolid Waste (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique).
8015C	Nonhalogenated Organics by Gas Chromatography.
8041A	Phenols by Gas Chromatography.
8081B	Organochlorine Pesticides by Gas Chromatography.
8082A	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by Gas Chromatography.
8141B	Organophosphorus Compounds by Gas Chromatography.
8270D	Semivolatile Organic Compounds by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS).
8280B	Polychlorinated Dibenzo-*p*-Dioxins (PCDDs) and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans (PCDFs) by High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Low Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRGC/LRMS).
8290A	Polychlorinated Dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans (PCDFs) by High-Resolution Gas Chromatography/High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRGC/HRMS).
8318A	*N*-Methylcarbamates by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).
8321B	Solvent-Extractable Nonvolatile Compounds by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography/Thermospray/Mass Spectrometry (HPLC/TS/MS) or Ultraviolet (UV) Detection.
8330A	Nitroaromatics and Nitramines by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).
9056A	Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography.
9210A	Potentiometric Determination of Nitrate in Aqueous Samples with Ion-Selective Electrode.

TABLE 3.—NEW ANALYTICAL METHODS OF SW–846 IN FINAL UPDATE IV

Analytical method No.	Method title
1040	Test Method for Oxidizing Solids.
1050	Test Methods to Determine Substances Likely to Spontaneously Combust.
3546	Microwave Extraction.
3562	Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and Organochlorine Pesticides.
3815	Screening Solid Samples for Volatile Organics.
4425	Screening Extracts of Environmental Samples for Planar Organic Compounds (PAHs, PCBs, PCDDs/PCDFs) by a Reporter Gene on a Human Cell Line.
4670	Triazine Herbicides as Atrazine in Water by Quantitative Immunoassay.
6200	Field Portable X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry for the Determination of Elemental Concentrations in Soil and Sediment.
6500	Dissolved Inorganic Anions in Aqueous Matrices by Capillary Ion Electrophoresis.
6800	Elemental and Speciated Isotope Dilution Mass Spectrometry.
7010	Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry.
7473	Mercury in Solids and Solutions by Thermal Decomposition, Amalgamation, and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry.
7474	Mercury in Sediment and Tissue Samples by Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry.

TABLE 3.—NEW ANALYTICAL METHODS OF SW–846 IN FINAL UPDATE IV—Continued

Analytical method No.	Method title
8085	Compound-Independent Elemental Quantitation of Pesticides by Gas Chromatography with Atomic Emission Detection (GC/AED).
8095	Explosives by Gas Chromatography.
8261	Volatile Organic Compounds by Vacuum Distillation in Combination with Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (VD/GC/MS).
8510	Colorimetric Screening Procedure for RDX and HMX in Soil.
8535	Screening Procedure for Total Volatile Organic Halides in Water.
8540	Pentachlorophenol (PCP) by UV-Induced Colorimetry.
9000	Determination of Water in Waste Materials by Karl Fischer Titration.
9001	Determination of Water in Waste Materials by Quantitative Calcium Hydride Reaction.
9074	Turbidimetric Screening Method for Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil.
9216	Potentiometric Determination of Nitrite in Aqueous Samples with Ion-selective Electrode.

TABLE 4.—ANALYTICAL METHOD REFERENCES PROVIDED BY SW–846 IN FINAL UPDATE IV

Analytical method No.	Method title
25D	Determination of the Volatile Organic Concentration of Waste Samples.
25E	Determination of Vapor Phase Organic Concentration in Waste Samples.
207	A Method for Measuring Isocyanates in Stationary Source Emissions.

TABLE 5.—DELETED ANALYTICAL METHODS

Analytical method No.	Method title
3810 [a]	Headspace.
7020 [b]	Aluminum (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7040 [b]	Antimony (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7041 [c]	Antimony (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).

TABLE 5.—DELETED ANALYTICAL METHODS—Continued

Analytical method No.	Method title
7060 [a]	Arsenic (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7080A [b]	Barium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7081 [c]	Barium (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7090 [b]	Beryllium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7091 [c]	Beryllium (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7130 [b]	Cadmium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7131A [c]	Cadmium (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7140 [b]	Calcium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7190 [b]	Chromium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7191 [c]	Chromium (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7200 [b]	Cobalt (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7201 [c]	Cobalt (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7210 [b]	Copper (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7211 [c]	Copper (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7380 [b]	Iron (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7381 [c]	Iron (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7420 [b]	Lead (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7421 [c]	Lead (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7430 [b]	Lithium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7450 [b]	Magnesium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7460 [b]	Manganese (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7461 [c]	Manganese (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7480 [b]	Molybdenum (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7481 [c]	Molybdenum (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7520 [b]	Nickel (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7521 [c]	Nickel (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Method).
7550 [b]	Osmium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7610 [b]	Potassium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7740 [c]	Selenium (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7760A [b]	Silver (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7761 [c]	Silver (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7770 [b]	Sodium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7780 [b]	Strontium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7840 [b]	Thallium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7841 [c]	Thallium (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).

TABLE 5.—DELETED ANALYTICAL METHODS—Continued

Analytical method No.	Method title
7870 [b]	Tin (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7910 [b]	Vanadium (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7911 [c]	Vanadium (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique).
7950 [b]	Zinc (Atomic Absorption, Direct Aspiration).
7951 [c]	Zinc (Atomic Absorption, Furnace Technique)

[a] Replaced by Method 5021.
[b] Integrated into Method 7000B.
[c] Integrated into Method 7010.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Susan Parker Bodine,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

[FR Doc. E7–25575 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval, Comments Requested

December 21, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before February 4, 2008. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via Internet at *Nicholas_A._Fraser@omb.eop.gov* or via fax at (202) 395–5167 and to *PRA@fcc.gov*.

To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the Web page *http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain*, (2) look for the section of the web page called ''Currently Under Review,'' (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the ''Select Agency'' box below the ''Currently Under Review'' heading, (4) select ''Federal Communications Commission'' from the list of agencies presented in the ''Select Agency'' box, (5) click the ''Submit'' button to the right of the ''Select Agency'' box, (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the title of this ICR (or its OMB control number, if there is one) and then click on the ICR Reference Number to view detailed information about this ICR.''

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information contact Jerry Cowden at 202–418–0447.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0484.

Title: Part 4 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities; not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 79 respondents; 4,819 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 2 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Mandatory.

Total Annual Burden: 9,638 hours.

Total Annual Costs: Not applicable.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: In accordance with 47 CFR 4.2, reports under Part 4 are presumed confidential.

Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact.

Needs and Uses: In recognition of the critical need for rapid, full, and accurate information on service disruptions that could affect homeland security, public health and safety, as well as the economic well being of our Nation, and in view of the increasing importance of non-wireline communications in the Nation's communications networks and critical infrastructure, the Commission adopted rules requiring mandatory service disruptions reporting from all communications providers (cable, satellite, wireline and wireless) that provide voice and/or paging communications. As envisioned, the information collected pursuant to these rules has helped improve network reliability. We thus propose to continue our disruption reporting requirements.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25512 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval, Comments Requested

December 21, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before February 4, 2008. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via Internet at *Nicholas_A._Fraser@omb.eop.gov* or via fax at (202) 395–5167 and to *PRA@fcc.gov*.

To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the Web page *http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain,* (2) look for the section of the Web page called ''Currently Under Review,'' (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the ''Select Agency'' box below the ''Currently Under Review'' heading, (4) select ''Federal Communications Commission'' from the list of agencies presented in the ''Select Agency'' box, (5) click the ''Submit'' button to the right of the ''Select Agency'' box, (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the title of this ICR (or its OMB control number, if there is one) and then click on the ICR Reference Number to view detailed information about this ICR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information contact Jerry Cowden at 202–418–0447.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0809.

Title: Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA).

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 250 respondents; 350 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 17.93 hours average (range of 7.5 to 80 hours).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure.

Obligation To Respond: Mandatory for system security filings and voluntary for section 107(c) and 109(b) petitions.

Total Annual Burden: 6,275 hours.

Total Annual Costs: Not applicable.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: Neither CALEA system security filings nor section 107(c) and 109(b) petitions are made available to the public.

Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact.

Needs and Uses: The Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA) requires the Commission to create rules that regulate the conduct and recordkeeping of lawful electronic surveillance. CALEA was enacted in October 1994 to respond to

rapid advances in telecommunications technology and eliminate obstacles faced by law enforcement personnel in conducting electronic surveillance. Section 105 of CALEA requires telecommunications carriers to protect against the unlawful interception of communications passing through their systems. Law enforcement officials use the information maintained by telecommunications carriers to determine the accountability and accuracy of telecommunications carriers' compliance with lawful electronic surveillance orders. On May 12, 2006, the Commission released a Second Report and Order and Memorandum Opinion and Order in ET Docket No. 04–195, *FCC 06–56,* which became effective August 4, 2006, except for sections 1.20004 and 1.20005 of the Commission's rules, which became effective on February 12, 2007 when OMB approved their information collection requirements. The Second Report and Order established new guidelines for filing section 107(c) petitions, section 109(b) petitions, and monitoring reports (FCC Form 445). The monitoring reports were required on only one occasion and no renewal of that requirement is necessary. CALEA section 107(c)(1) permits a petitioner to apply for an extension of time, up to two years from the date that the petition is filed, and to come into compliance with a particular CALEA section 103 capability requirement. CALEA section 109(b) permits a telecommunication carrier covered by CALEA to file a petition with the FCC and an application with the Department of Justice (DOJ) to request that DOJ pay the costs of the carrier's CALEA compliance (cost-shifting relief) with respect to any equipment, facility or service installed or deployed after January 1, 1995. The Second Report and Order required several different collections of information:

(a) Within 90 days of the effective date of the Second Report and Order, facilities based broadband Internet access and interconnected Voice over Interconnected Protocol (VOIP) providers newly identified in the First Report and Order in this proceeding were required to file system security statements under the Commission's rules (system security statements are currently approved under the existing OMB 3060–0809 information collection).

(b) All telecommunications carriers, including broadband Internet access and interconnected VoIP providers, must file updates to their systems security statements on file with the Commission as their information changes.

(c) Petitions filed under section 107(c), request for additional time to comply with CALEA; these provisions apply to all carriers subject to CALEA and are voluntary filings.

(d) Section 109(b), request for reimbursement of CALEA; these provisions apply to all carriers subject to CALEA and are necessary for carriers seeking relief under this section of the CALEA statute.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25513 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The FDIC published a document in the **Federal Register** of December 27, 2007, concerning a notice of information collection to be submitted to OMB for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The document contained an outdated estimate of time per response for the proposed survey with the result that the estimated total annual burden for the survey and the total burden for the overall collection were incorrect. In addition, with regard to the case studies, the number of respondents and the estimated time per response for the in-depth interview were outdated and, as a result, the estimated total burden for the case studies aspect of the collection was overstated. For purposes of clarity, information concerning the estimated burden for the collection is re-printed in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Valerie Best at *vbest@fdic.gov* or 202–898–3812.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of December 27, 2007, in FR Doc. E7–24963, volume 72, page 73347, in the first column, correct lines 15 to 43 to read as follows:

Title: National Survey on Banks' Efforts to Serve the Unbanked and Underbanked.

OMB Number: 3064–NEW.

1. *Survey*

Frequency of Response: Once.

Affected Public: FDIC-insured depository institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 865.

Estimated Time per Response: 290 minutes per respondent.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 290 minutes × 865 respondents = 4,181 hours.

2. *Case Studies*

Frequency of Response: Exploratory interview—once; in-depth interview—once.

Affected Public: 25 FDIC-insured depository institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25 FDIC-insured depository institutions.

Estimated Time per Response: Exploratory interview—1 hour; in-depth interview—3 hours.

Estimated Total Burden: 25 hours + 75 hours = 100 hours.

Total burden for this collection: 4,181 hours + 100 hours = 4,281 hours.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 28th day of December, 2007.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Valerie J. Best,

Assistant Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25576 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714–01–P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Notices

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, January 8, 2008 at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Compliance matters pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g.

Audits conducted pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g, 438(b), and Title 26, U.S.C.

Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceedings or arbitration.

Internal personnel rules and procedures or matters affecting a particular employee.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION: Mr. Robert Biersack, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694–1220.

Darlene Harris,

Deputy Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 07–6283 Filed 12–28–07; 11:09 am]

BILLING CODE 6715–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than January 18, 2008.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Tracy Basinger, Director, Regional and Community Bank Group) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105–1579:

1. Polamar QFP, LP, Long Beach, California; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Palomar Enterprises, LLC and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Farmers & Merchants Bank of Long Beach, both of Long Beach, California.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 28, 2007.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E7–25562 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–S

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at *www.ffiec.gov/nic/*.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than January 28, 2008.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Jacqueline G. King, Community Affairs Officer) 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480–0291:

1. Frandsen Financial Corporation, Arden Hills, Minnesota; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Montgomery, Montgomerey, Minnesota.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 28, 2007.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E7–25561 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60 Day–08–08AG]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404–639–5960 or send comments to Maryam I. Daneshvar, CDC Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to *omb@cdc.gov.*

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

HIV/AIDS Surveillance, Research, and Intervention Methods and Materials Development—New—National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention (DHAP) within the National Center for HIV, Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) is planning to request the Office of Management and Budget for a generic clearance mechanism to support behavioral projects. The projects encompass several qualitative analytic methods, intervention, and materials development activities to be carried out by CDC, its contractors, or its partners.

The major activities fall into six categories based on their purpose and intended use:

(1) *Qualitative interviewing for HIV/AIDS surveillance, research, and intervention methods and material development.* Results of individual interviews or group interviews are used to develop population-appropriate methods, interventions, and data collection materials for current and future projects.

(2) *Cognitive interviewing for development and testing of specific data collection instruments used for HIV/AIDS surveillance or research.* Draft instruments to be used by DHAP are developed and tested through rounds of cognitive interviews with volunteer respondents. Results of cognitive interviews are used to make instrument design decisions that minimize response error and reduce burden to the public.

(3) *Research on methodology for HIV/AIDS surveillance or research projects.* The purpose of the research is to

enhance understanding of the psychology of participation and response, to develop better standards for project methodology and instrument design, or to improve data collection and other study procedures. Such research could take the form of experiments embedded within fielded surveillance or research projects or exploratory studies employing individual interviews or focus groups.

(4) *Research on utilizing computer-assisted instruments (including web-based technology) for HIV surveillance or research projects.* This research uses qualitative and quantitative data collection methods with volunteer respondents in order to assess the design and use of computer-assisted instruments.

(5) *Pilot interviews.* A limited number of pilot interviews are conducted using proposed instruments and data collection methodologies. Sources of response error are identified through examination of pilot data, observation by methodologists, and techniques such as the coding of the interviewer-respondent interaction. Respondents for pilot interviews and interventions will be selected using the methods developed for the study that is being piloted.

(6) *Pilot testing of behavioral interventions.* Component testing will assess acceptability and feasibility of separate intervention activities. A limited number of pilot tests are conducted for behavioral interventions prior to being tested in a ''full intervention trial.''

Respondents who will participate in individual and group interviews (qualitative, cognitive, and computer-assisted development activities) are selected purposively from those who respond to recruitment advertisements. In addition to utilizing advertisements for recruitment, respondents who will participate in research on survey methods may be selected purposively or systematically from within an ongoing surveillance or research project.

CDC estimates that an average of 1430 individuals will participate in HIV/AIDS methods, intervention, and instrument development activities in a given year and the average annual respondent burden is estimated to be 2135 hours. The estimates given below cover the time that each respondent will spend communicating with the recruitment staff, in answering survey questions and, in some cases, being debriefed about the decision and recall strategies they used. Participation of respondents is voluntary and there is no cost to the respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN TABLE

Types of data collection	No. of respondents	No. of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
(1) Methods, interventions, and materials development—individual interviews	250	1	1	250
(2) Methods, interventions, and materials development—group interviews	450	1	2	900
(3) Research on survey methodology	150	1	1	150
(4) Research on human-computer interface	350	1	1	350
(5) Pilot interviewing	200	1	1	200
(6) Pilot interventions	30	6	2	360
Total	1,430			2,210

Dated: December 26, 2007.

Maryam I. Daneshvar,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. E7–25564 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

National Toxicology Program (NTP); NTP Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods (NICEATM); Workshop on Acute Chemical Safety Testing: Advancing In Vitro Approaches and Humane Endpoints for Systemic Toxicity Evaluations

AGENCY: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health (NIH).

ACTION: Workshop announcement.

SUMMARY: The Interagency Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM) and NICEATM announce the upcoming ''Scientific Workshop on Acute Chemical Safety Testing: Advancing *In Vitro* Approaches and Humane Endpoints for Systemic Toxicity Evaluations.'' The goals of the workshop are to:

(1) Review the state-of-the-science and identify knowledge gaps regarding the key pathways involved in acute systemic toxicity.

(2) Recommend how these knowledge gaps can be addressed by collecting mechanistic biomarker data during currently required *in vivo* safety testing.

(3) Recommend how key *in vivo* pathway information can be used to develop more predictive mechanism-based *in vitro* test systems and earlier, more humane endpoints for *in vivo* test methods.

(4) Recommend how mechanism-based *in vitro* test systems and earlier, more humane endpoints can be used to further reduce, refine, and eventually replace animal use for acute systemic toxicity testing while ensuring the protection of human and animal health.

This workshop is open to the public with attendance limited only by the space available.

DATES: The workshop will be held on February 6–7, 2008.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held at the NIH, Natcher Conference Center, 45 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892. A draft agenda and other information are available on the ICCVAM workshop Web site (*http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/meetings/AcuteToxWksp08/AcuteToxWksp08.htm*) and can be obtained from NICEATM (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** below).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. William S. Stokes, NICEATM Director, NIEHS, P.O. Box 12233, MD EC–17, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, (telephone) 919–541–2384, (fax) 919–541–0947, (e-mail) *niceatm@niehs.nih.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

NICEATM and ICCVAM convened a peer review panel meeting in 2006. The panel was charged to determine the

usefulness and limitations of two *in vitro* cytotoxicity test methods for determining starting doses for two acute oral toxicity test methods, the Up-and-Down Procedure and the Acute Toxic Class method, in order to reduce the number of animals used in each of these *in vivo* tests. The panel's conclusions and recommendations are described in the *Peer Review Panel Report: The Use of In Vitro Basal Cytotoxicity Test Methods for Estimating Starting Doses for Acute Oral Systemic Toxicity Testing* (available at *http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/acutetox/inv_nru_scpeerrev.htm*). The panel recommended that ICCVAM consider convening a working group to explore mechanisms of action for acute toxicity and to identify approaches for acquiring additional information on acute toxicity mechanisms when conducting required *in vivo* acute toxicity testing. The Scientific Advisory Committee on Alternative Toxicological Methods (SACATM) met by teleconference on August 3, 2006, and expressed support for the panel's recommendations (minutes of that meeting are available at *http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/files/SACATMAug06MinutesVF081506.pdf*).

NICEATM and ICCVAM included activities in their draft Five-Year Plan (2008–2012) (*http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/5yearplan.htm*) to further reduce animal use and potential pain and distress associated with acute toxicity testing. These included organizing an international workshop to (1) identify predictive and more humane endpoints that may be used to terminate studies earlier in order to further reduce the severity and duration of pain and distress and (2) identify and standardize procedures for collecting mechanistic information from *in vivo* acute oral toxicity testing that will aid in developing batteries of predictive *in vitro* test methods that can further reduce and eventually replace animals for acute toxicity testing.

The ICCVAM Acute Toxicity Working Group subsequently organized this workshop in coordination with NICEATM, the European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods, and the Japanese Center for the Validation of Alternative Methods. The goals of the workshop are to:

(1) Review the state-of-the-science and identify knowledge gaps regarding the key pathways involved in acute systemic toxicity.

(2) Recommend how these knowledge gaps can be addressed by collecting mechanistic biomarker data during currently required *in vivo* safety testing.

(3) Recommend how key *in vivo* pathway information can be used to develop more predictive mechanism-based *in vitro* test systems and earlier more humane endpoints for *in vivo* test methods.

(4) Recommend how mechanism-based *in vitro* test systems and earlier, more humane endpoints can be used to further reduce, refine, and replace animal use for acute systemic toxicity testing while ensuring the protection of human health.

Workshop Attendance and Registration

The workshop will be held on February 6–7, 2008, at the NIH Natcher Conference Center, 45 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892. Sessions will begin at 8 a.m. and end at approximately 5 p.m. on both days. Persons needing special assistance in order to attend, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodation, should contact 919–541–2475 voice, 919–541–4644 TTY (text telephone, through the Federal TTY Relay System at 800–877–8339), or e-mail *niehsoeeo@niehs.nih.gov*. Requests should be made at least seven days in advance of the event. This workshop is open to the public with attendance being limited only by the space available. Individuals who plan to attend are encouraged to register in advance with NICEATM. Registration information, an agenda, and additional information are available on the workshop Web site (*http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/meetings/AcuteToxWksp08/AcuteToxWksp08.htm*) and upon request to NICEATM (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** above).

Preliminary Workshop Agenda

Day 1—Wednesday, February 6, 2008

- Opening Plenary Session—Welcome and Overview of Workshop Objectives.
- Session 1—Current Acute Systemic Toxicity Injury and Toxicity Assessments.
- Session 2—Key Pathways and Biomarkers for Acute Systemic Toxicity.
- Concurrent Breakout Group (BG) Discussions:

—BG 1: Acute Systemic Toxicity Injury and Toxicity Assessments.
—BG 2: Key Pathways and Biomarkers for Acute Systemic Toxicity.

- Adjournment.

Day 2—Thursday, February 7, 2008

- Plenary Session—Discussion of Conclusions and Recommendations from Breakout Groups 1 and 2.
- Session 3—Developing Earlier Humane Endpoints for Acute Systemic Toxicity.
- Session 4—State of the Science: Using *In Vitro* Methods to Predict Acute Systemic Toxicity.
- Concurrent BG Discussions:

—BG 3: Developing Earlier Humane Endpoints for Acute Systemic Toxicity Testing.
—BG 4: Applying *In Vivo* Mechanistic Pathway Information to the Development and Validation of *In Vitro* Methods for Assessing Acute Systemic Toxicity.
—BG 5: Partnering with Industry to Advance Acute Toxicity Alternative Test Method Development, Validation, and Use.

- Plenary Session—Discussion of Conclusions and Recommendations from Breakout Groups 3, 4, and 5.
- Workshop Adjournment.

Background Information on ICCVAM, NICEATM, and SACATM

ICCVAM is an interagency committee composed of representatives from 15 Federal regulatory and research agencies that use, generate, or disseminate toxicological information. ICCVAM conducts technical evaluations of new, revised, and alternative methods with regulatory applicability and promotes the scientific validation and regulatory acceptance of toxicological test methods that more accurately assess the safety and hazards of chemicals and products and that refine, reduce, and replace animal use. The ICCVAM Authorization Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 285*l*–3) established ICCVAM as a permanent interagency committee of the NIEHS under NICEATM. NICEATM administers ICCVAM and provides scientific and operational support for ICCVAM-related activities. NICEATM and ICCVAM work collaboratively to evaluate new and improved test methods applicable to the needs of Federal agencies. Additional information about ICCVAM and NICEATM can be found on their Web site (*http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov*).

SACATM was established January 9, 2002, and is composed of scientists from the public and private sectors (**Federal Register**, Vol. 67, No. 49, page 11358, March 13, 2002). SACATM provides advice to the Director of the NIEHS, ICCVAM, and NICEATM regarding the statutorily mandated duties of ICCVAM and activities of NICEATM. Additional information about SACATM, including the charter, roster, and records of past meetings, can be found at *http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/167*.

Dated: December 19, 2007.

Samuel H. Wilson,

Acting Director, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and National Toxicology Program.

[FR Doc. E7–25536 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Current List of Laboratories Which Meet Minimum Standards To Engage in Urine Drug Testing for Federal Agencies

AGENCY: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) notifies Federal agencies of the laboratories currently certified to meet the standards of Subpart C of the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs (Mandatory Guidelines). The Mandatory Guidelines were first published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 1988 (53 FR 11970), and subsequently revised in the **Federal Register** on June 9, 1994 (59 FR 29908), on September 30, 1997 (62 FR 51118), and on April 13, 2004 (69 FR 19644).

A notice listing all currently certified laboratories is published in the **Federal Register** during the first week of each month. If any laboratory's certification is suspended or revoked, the laboratory will be omitted from subsequent lists until such time as it is restored to full certification under the Mandatory Guidelines.

If any laboratory has withdrawn from the HHS National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) during the past month, it will be listed at the end, and will be omitted from the monthly listing thereafter.

This notice is also available on the Internet at *http://www.workplace.samhsa.gov* and *http://www.drugfreeworkplace.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Giselle Hersh, Division of Workplace Programs, SAMHSA/CSAP, Room 2–1042, One Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, Maryland 20857; 240–276–2600 (voice), 240–276–2610 (fax).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Mandatory Guidelines were developed in accordance with Executive Order 12564 and section 503 of Pub. L. 100–71. Subpart C of the Mandatory Guidelines, ''Certification of Laboratories Engaged in Urine Drug Testing for Federal Agencies,'' sets strict standards that laboratories must meet in order to conduct drug and specimen validity tests on urine specimens for Federal agencies. To become certified, an applicant laboratory must undergo three rounds of performance testing plus an on-site inspection. To maintain that certification, a laboratory must participate in a quarterly performance testing program plus undergo periodic, on-site inspections.

Laboratories which claim to be in the applicant stage of certification are not to be considered as meeting the minimum requirements described in the HHS Mandatory Guidelines. A laboratory must have its letter of certification from HHS/SAMHSA (formerly: HHS/NIDA) which attests that it has met minimum standards.

In accordance with Subpart C of the Mandatory Guidelines dated April 13, 2004 (69 FR 19644), the following laboratories meet the minimum standards to conduct drug and specimen validity tests on urine specimens:

ACL Laboratories, 8901 W. Lincoln Ave., West Allis, WI 53227, 414–328–7840/800–877–7016. (*Formerly:* Bayshore Clinical Laboratory)

ACM Medical Laboratory, Inc., 160 Elmgrove Park, Rochester, NY 14624, 585–429–2264.

Advanced Toxicology Network, 3560 Air Center Cove, Suite 101, Memphis, TN 38118, 901–794–5770/888–290–1150.

Aegis Sciences Corporation, 345 Hill Ave., Nashville, TN 37210, 615–255–2400. (*Formerly:* Aegis Analytical Laboratories, Inc.)

Baptist Medical Center—Toxicology Laboratory, 9601 I–630, Exit 7, Little Rock, AR 72205–7299, 501–202–2783. (*Formerly:* Forensic Toxicology Laboratory Baptist Medical Center)

Clinical Reference Lab, 8433 Quivira Road, Lenexa, KS 66215–2802, 800–445–6917.

Diagnostic Services, Inc., dba DSI, 12700 Westlinks Drive, Fort Myers, FL 33913, 239–561–8200/800–735–5416.

Doctors Laboratory, Inc., 2906 Julia Drive, Valdosta, GA 31602, 229–671–2281.

DrugScan, Inc., P.O. Box 2969, 1119 Mearns Road, Warminster, PA 18974, 215–674–9310.

Dynacare Kasper Medical Laboratories,* 10150–102 St., Suite 200, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T5J 5E2, 780–451–3702/800–661–9876.

ElSohly Laboratories, Inc., 5 Industrial Park Drive, Oxford, MS 38655, 662–236–2609.

Gamma-Dynacare Medical Laboratories,* A Division of the Gamma-Dynacare Laboratory Partnership, 245 Pall Mall Street, London, ONT, Canada N6A 1P4, 519–679–1630.

Kroll Laboratory Specialists, Inc., 1111 Newton St., Gretna, LA 70053, 504–361–8989/800–433–3823. (*Formerly:* Laboratory Specialists, Inc.)

Kroll Laboratory Specialists, Inc., 450 Southlake Blvd., Richmond, VA 23236, 804–378–9130. (*Formerly:* Scientific Testing Laboratories, Inc.; Kroll Scientific Testing Laboratories, Inc.)

Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 7207 N. Gessner Road, Houston, TX 77040, 713–856–8288/800–800–2387.

Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 69 First Ave., Raritan, NJ 08869, 908–526–2400/800–437–4986. (*Formerly:* Roche Biomedical Laboratories, Inc.)

Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 1904 Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, 919–572–6900/800–833–3984. (*Formerly:* LabCorp Occupational Testing Services, Inc., CompuChem Laboratories, Inc.; CompuChem Laboratories, Inc., A Subsidiary of Roche Biomedical Laboratory; Roche CompuChem Laboratories, Inc., A Member of the Roche Group)

Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 13112 Evening Creek Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92128, 858–668–3710/800–882–7272. (*Formerly:* Poisonlab, Inc.).

Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 550 17th Ave., Suite 300, Seattle, WA 98122, 206–923–7020/800–898–0180. (*Formerly:* DrugProof, Division of Dynacare/Laboratory of Pathology, LLC; Laboratory of Pathology of Seattle, Inc.; DrugProof, Division of Laboratory of Pathology of Seattle, Inc.)

Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, 1120 Main Street, Southaven, MS 38671, 866–827–8042/800–233–6339. (*Formerly:* LabCorp Occupational Testing Services, Inc.; MedExpress/National Laboratory Center)

LabOne, Inc. d/b/a Quest Diagnostics, 10101 Renner Blvd., Lenexa, KS 66219, 913–888–3927/800–873–8845. (*Formerly:* Quest Diagnostics Incorporated; LabOne, Inc.; Center for Laboratory Services, a Division of LabOne, Inc.,)

MAXXAM Analytics Inc.,* 6740 Campobello Road, Mississauga, ON, Canada L5N 2L8, 905–817–5700. (*Formerly:* NOVAMANN (Ontario), Inc.)

MedTox Laboratories, Inc., 402 W. County Road D, St. Paul, MN 55112, 651–636–7466/800–832–3244.
MetroLab-Legacy Laboratory Services, 1225 NE 2nd Ave., Portland, OR 97232, 503–413–5295/800–950–5295.
Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Forensic Toxicology Laboratory, 1 Veterans Drive, Minneapolis, MN 55417, 612–725–2088.
National Toxicology Laboratories, Inc., 1100 California Ave., Bakersfield, CA 93304, 661–322–4250/800–350–3515.
One Source Toxicology Laboratory, Inc., 1213 Genoa-Red Bluff, Pasadena, TX 77504, 888–747–3774. (*Formerly:* University of Texas Medical Branch, Clinical Chemistry Division; UTMB Pathology-Toxicology Laboratory)
Oregon Medical Laboratories, 123 International Way, Springfield, OR 97477, 541–341–8092.
Pacific Toxicology Laboratories, 9348 DeSoto Ave., Chatsworth, CA 91311, 800–328–6942. (*Formerly:* Centinela Hospital Airport Toxicology Laboratory)
Pathology Associates Medical Laboratories, 110 West Cliff Dr., Spokane, WA 99204, 509–755–8991/800–541–7891x7.
Phamatech, Inc., 10151 Barnes Canyon Road, San Diego, CA 92121, 858–643–5555.
Quest Diagnostics Incorporated, 3175 Presidential Dr., Atlanta, GA 30340, 770–452–1590/800–729–6432. (*Formerly:* SmithKline Beecham Clinical Laboratories; SmithKline Bio-Science Laboratories)
Quest Diagnostics Incorporated, 400 Egypt Road, Norristown, PA 19403, 610–631–4600/877–642–2216. (*Formerly:* SmithKline Beecham Clinical Laboratories; SmithKline Bio-Science Laboratories)
Quest Diagnostics Incorporated, 7600 Tyrone Ave., Van Nuys, CA 91405, 866–370–6699 / 818–989–2521. (*Formerly:* SmithKline Beecham Clinical Laboratories)
S.E.D. Medical Laboratories, 5601 Office Blvd., Albuquerque, NM 87109, 505–727–6300 / 800–999–5227.
South Bend Medical Foundation, Inc., 530 N. Lafayette Blvd., South Bend, IN 46601, 574–234–4176 x276.
Southwest Laboratories, 4645 E. Cotton Center Boulevard, Suite 177, Phoenix, AZ 85040, 602–438–8507/800–279–0027.
Sparrow Health System, Toxicology Testing Center, St. Lawrence Campus, 1210 W. Saginaw, Lansing, MI 48915, 517–364–7400. (*Formerly:* St. Lawrence Hospital & Healthcare System)
St. Anthony Hospital Toxicology Laboratory, 1000 N. Lee St., Oklahoma City, OK 73101, 405–272–7052.
Toxicology & Drug Monitoring Laboratory, University of Missouri Hospital & Clinics, 301 Business Loop 70 West, Suite 208, Columbia, MO 65203, 573–882–1273.
Toxicology Testing Service, Inc., 5426 NW. 79th Ave., Miami, FL 33166, 305–593–2260.
U.S. Army Forensic Toxicology Drug Testing Laboratory, 2490 Wilson St., Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755–5235, 301–677–7085.

The following laboratory will be voluntarily withdrawing from the HHS National Laboratory Certification Program on January 15, 2008:

Physicians Reference Laboratory, 7800 West 110th St., Overland Park, KS 66210, 913–339–0372/800–821–3627.

*The Standards Council of Canada (SCC) voted to end its Laboratory Accreditation Program for Substance Abuse (LAPSA) effective May 12, 1998. Laboratories certified through that program were accredited to conduct forensic urine drug testing as required by U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations. As of that date, the certification of those accredited Canadian laboratories will continue under DOT authority. The responsibility for conducting quarterly performance testing plus periodic on-site inspections of those LAPSA-accredited laboratories was transferred to the U.S. HHS, with the HHS' NLCP contractor continuing to have an active role in the performance testing and laboratory inspection processes. Other Canadian laboratories wishing to be considered for the NLCP may apply directly to the NLCP contractor just as U.S. laboratories do.

Upon finding a Canadian laboratory to be qualified, HHS will recommend that DOT certify the laboratory (**Federal Register**, July 16, 1996) as meeting the minimum standards of the Mandatory Guidelines published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2004 (69 FR 19644). After receiving DOT certification, the laboratory will be included in the monthly list of HHS-certified laboratories and participate in the NLCP certification maintenance program.

Elaine Parry,

Acting Director, Office of Program Services, SAMHSA.

[FR Doc. E7–25565 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Transportation Security Administration

[Docket Nos. TSA–2006–24191; Coast Guard–2006–24196]

Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC); Enrollment Dates for the Ports of Portland, OR; Victoria, TX; Kahului, Maui, HI; and Kauai, HI

AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration; United States Coast Guard; DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) through the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) issues this notice of the dates for the beginning of the initial enrollment for the Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC) for the Ports of Portland, OR; Victoria, TX; Kahului, Maui, HI; and Kauai, HI.

DATES: TWIC enrollment in Portland, OR, will begin on January 17, 2008; Victoria, TX, January 16, 2008; Kahului, Maui, HI, January 17, 2008; and Kauai, HI, January 25, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may view published documents and comments concerning the TWIC Final Rule, identified by the docket numbers of this notice, using any one of the following methods.

(1) Searching the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web page at *http://www.regulations.gov*;

(2) Accessing the Government Printing Office's Web page at *http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index.html*; or

(3) Visiting TSA's Security Regulations Web page at *http://www.tsa.gov* and accessing the link for ''Research Center'' at the top of the page.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Orgill, TSA–19, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202–4220. Transportation Threat Assessment and Credentialing (TTAC), TWIC Program, (571) 227–4545; e-mail: *credentialing@dhs.gov*.

Background

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), through the United States Coast Guard and the Transportation Security Administration (TSA), issued a joint final rule (72 FR 3492; January 25, 2007) pursuant to the Maritime Transportation Security Act (MTSA), Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064 (November 25, 2002), and the Security and Accountability for Every Port Act of 2006 (SAFE Port Act), Pub. L. 109–347 (October 13, 2006). This rule requires all

credentialed merchant mariners and individuals with unescorted access to secure areas of a regulated facility or vessel to obtain a TWIC. In this final rule, on page 3510, TSA and Coast Guard stated that a phased enrollment approach based upon risk assessment and cost/benefit would be used to implement the program nationwide, and that TSA would publish a notice in the **Federal Register** indicating when enrollment at a specific location will begin and when it is expected to terminate.

This notice provides the start date for TWIC initial enrollment at the Ports of Portland, OR; Victoria, TX; Kahului, Maui, HI; and Kauai, HI. Enrollment in Portland, OR will begin on January 17, 2008; Victoria, TX on January 16, 2008; Kahului, Maui, HI on January 17, 2008; and Kauai, HI on January 25, 2008. The Coast Guard will publish a separate notice in the **Federal Register** indicating when facilities within the Captain of the Port Zone Portland, including those in the Port of Portland; Captain of the Port Zone Corpus Christi, including those in the Port of Victoria; and Captain of the Port Zone Honolulu, including those in the Ports of Kahului, Maui and Kauai must comply with the portions of the final rule requiring TWIC to be used as an access control measure. That notice will be published at least 90 days before compliance is required.

To obtain information on the pre-enrollment and enrollment process, and enrollment locations, visit TSA's TWIC Web site at *http://www.tsa.gov/twic*.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on December 27, 2007.

Rex Lovelady,

Program Manager, TWIC, Office of Transportation Threat Assessment and Credentialing, Transportation Security Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–25590 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CO–921–03–1320–EL; COC–072069]

Notice of Invitation for Coal Exploration License Application, Bowie Resources, LLC. COC–072069; Colorado

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Invitation for Coal Exploration License.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 2(b) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended, by section 4 of the Federal Coal Leasing Amendments Act of 1976, Stat. 1083, 30 U.S.C. 201(b), and to the regulations adopted as 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), part 3410, all interested parties are hereby invited to participate with Bowie Resources, LLC, on a pro rata cost sharing basis in a program for the exploration of unleased coal deposits owned by the United States of America in Delta County, Colorado:

T 12 S, R 91, 6th P.M. in Delta County, Colorado.
Sec. 29, S2;
Sec. 31, S2; Lots 7–26;
Sec. 32, All;
Sec. 33, W2NW; and
T 12 S, R 92 W
Sec. 35, All;
Sec. 36, All.
Containing 3,381 acres.

DATES: Any party electing to participate in this exploration program must send written notice to both the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Bowie Resources, LLC, as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section below, no later than 30 days after publication of this invitation in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the exploration plan and license application (serialized under the number of COC–072069) are available for review during normal business hours in the public room of the BLM State Office, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80215, and at the Uncompahgre Field Office, 2505 South Townsend Avenue, Montrose, Colorado 81401. The written notice to participate in the exploration plan should be sent to both, Kurt M. Barton, CO–921, Solid Minerals Staff, Division of Energy, Lands and Minerals, Colorado State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80215; and, Collin Stewart, Bowie Resources, LLC, PO Box 483, Paonia, CO 81428.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This coal exploration license will be issued by the BLM. The exploration program is fully described and is being conducted pursuant to an exploration plan approved by the BLM. The plan may be modified to accommodate the legitimate exploration needs of persons seeking to participate. This notice of invitation to participate was published in The Delta County Independent, once a week for two consecutive weeks beginning the first week of December 2007 and in the **Federal Register**. The forgoing is published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to 43 CFR 3410.2–1(c)(1).

Kurt M. Barton,

Solid Minerals Staff, Division of Energy, Lands and Minerals.

[FR Doc. E7–25586 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[OR–957–00–6334–BJ: GP08–035]

Filing of Plats of Survey: Oregon/Washington

AGENCY: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The plats of survey of the following described lands were officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management Oregon/Washington State Office, Portland, Oregon, on September 13, 2007.

Willamette Meridian

Washington

T. 23 N., R. 13 W., accepted July 12, 2007.
T. 21 N., R. 12 W., accepted July 19, 2007.
T. 21 N., R. 13 W., accepted August 17, 2007.

Oregon

T. 18 S., R. 34 E., accepted July 12, 2007.
T. 41 S., R. 7 E., accepted July 30, 2007.
T. 39 S., R. 3 W., accepted August 17, 2007.
T. 8 S., R. 3 E., accepted August 17, 2007.

The plats of survey of the following described lands were officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management Oregon/Washington State Office, Portland, Oregon, on October 22, 2007.

Willamette Meridian

Washington

T. 39 N., R. 33 E., accepted August 31, 2007.
T. 30 N., R. 7 W., accepted September 28, 2007.

Oregon

T. 31 S., R. 2 W., accepted September 13, 2007.
T. 41 S., R. 9 W., accepted September 28, 2007.
T. 36 S., R. 7 W., accepted September 28, 2007.
T. 8 S., R. 27 E., accepted September 28, 2007.

The plat of survey of the following described lands was officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management Oregon/Washington State Office, Portland, Oregon, on November 6, 2007.

Willamette Meridian

Oregon

T. 32 S., R. 4 W., accepted October 18, 2007.

A copy of the plats may be obtained from the Land Office at the Oregon/Washington State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 333 SW. 1st Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204, upon required payment. A person or party who wishes to protest against a survey must file a notice that they wish to protest (at the above address) with the Oregon/Washington State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, Oregon.

For further information contact: Chief, Branch of Geographic Sciences, Bureau of Land Management, (333 SW. 1st Avenue) P.O. Box 2965, Portland, Oregon 97208.

Dated: December 13, 2007.

Fred O'Ferrall,

Branch Chief, Lands and Minerals Resources.

[FR Doc. E7–25430 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[ID 100 1220MA 241A: DBG081014]

Notice of Public Meeting: Resource Advisory Council to the Boise District, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Boise District Resource Advisory Council (RAC), will hold a meeting as indicated below.

DATES: The meeting will be held January 31, 2008, beginning at 9 a.m. and adjourning at 3 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Boise District Office located at 3948 S. Development Avenue, Boise Idaho. Public comment periods will be held during the course of the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: MJ Byrne, Public Affairs Officer and RAC Coordinator, BLM Boise District, 3948 Development Ave., Boise, ID 83705, Telephone (208) 384–3393.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in southwestern Idaho.

The Council will be briefed by a representative of Idaho's U.S. Senator Mike Crapo's office regarding the Fee Repeal and Expanded Access Act of 2007 that he is a co-sponsor of with Montana's U.S. Senator Mike Baucus. The bill would repeal the 2004 passed Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act. There will be a discussion about the West-Wide Energy Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement and proposed routes going through the District's public lands. Hot Topics will be discussed by the District Manager and Field Office managers will provide highlights on activities in their offices.

Agenda items and location may change due to changing circumstances. All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM Coordinator as provided above.

Dated: December 27, 2007.

David Wolf,

Associate, District Manager.

[FR Doc. E7–25547 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–GG–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NV–030–7122–EU; N–79995; 8–08807; TAS: 14X8069]

Notice of Realty Action: Non-Competitive (Direct) Sale of Public Land in Mineral County, NV

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Realty Action.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) proposes to sell approximately 425 acres of public land within and adjacent to the Denton-Rawhide Mine in Mineral County, Nevada. The sale will be made under the provisions of Section 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), (43 U.S.C. 1713).

DATES: Interested parties may submit written comments regarding the proposed conveyance of the public land until February 19, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to the BLM Field Manager, Carson City Field Office, 5665 Morgan Mill Road, Carson City, NV 89701.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jo Ann Hufnagle, (775) 885–6000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 1, 2007, the BLM Nevada State Director approved the Final Denton-Rawhide Mine Land Sale Plan Amendment to the Carson City Consolidated Resource Management Plan, which identified the following described public land for disposal and found the land suitable for transfer out of Federal ownership by direct sale under the authority of Sections 203 and 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1713 and 1719):

Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada

T. 13 N., R. 32 E.
- Sec. 4, lots 6, 7, 8, and 9;
- Sec. 5, lots 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 (eastern portions only of lots 4, 6, 10, and 11);
- Sec. 8, lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7;
- Sec. 9, lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 (western portions only of lots 1, 4, 5 and 8).

The area described contains 425 acres, more or less.

Note: This description may be modified prior to sale upon final approval of official plats of survey which will involve amended lottings of portions of the public land. A map depicting the sale land is available for review at the Carson City Field Office.

On January 3, 2008, the above-described land is hereby segregated from appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral laws, except the sale provisions of FLPMA. Upon publication of this Notice of Realty Action and until completion of the sale, the BLM is no longer accepting land use applications affecting the identified public land, except applications for the amendment of previously filed right-of-way applications or existing authorizations to increase the term of the grants in accordance with 43 CFR 2807.15 and 2886.15. The segregative effect will terminate upon issuance of a patent, publication in the **Federal Register** of a termination of the segregation, or on January 4, 2010, unless extended by the BLM Nevada State Director in accordance with 43 CFR 2711.1–2(d) prior to the termination date.

The public land is proposed for sale to Kennecott Rawhide Mining Company (KRMC) at not less than the appraised fair market value, currently determined to be $32,000. KRMC owns 758 acres of land that surround or adjoin the public land proposed for sale and holds mining claims on all public land proposed for sale. The mining claims would be relinquished as a condition for conveyance. Use of the public land could be achieved prudently in

conjunction with fee land owned by KRMC. Disposal of the lands to KRMC would serve important public objectives because its location is difficult and uneconomic to manage as part of the public lands. A mineral potential evaluation was completed for public land within the sale area and no known mineral values were identified. Agreement to purchase the land will constitute an application for conveyance of the mineral estate, in accordance with Section 209 of FLPMA. The designated buyer must include with their purchase payment a nonrefundable $50 filing fee for the conveyance of the mineral estate. The conveyance, when issued, will be subject to the provisions of FLPMA and applicable regulations of the Secretary of the Interior, and will contain as a reservation to the United States a right-of-way for ditches and canals reserved by the United States under the Act of August 30, 1890 (43 U.S.C. 945).

The conveyance would be subject to the following numbered terms and conditions in the patent to be issued:

1. Valid existing rights; and,

2. The purchaser/patentee, by accepting patent, agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold the United States harmless from any costs, damages, claims, causes of action, penalties, fines, liabilities, and judgments of any kind arising from the past, present or future acts or omissions of the patentee, its employees, agents, contractors, or lessees, or any third-party arising out of or in connection with the patentee's use and/or occupancy of the patented real property resulting in: (1) Violations of Federal, State, and local laws and regulations that are now or in the future become, applicable to the real property; (2) Judgments, claims or demands of any kind assessed against the United States; (3) Costs, expenses, or damages of any kind incurred by the United States; (4) Releases or threatened releases of solid or hazardous waste(s) and/or hazardous substances(s), as defined by Federal or State environmental laws, off, on, into or under land, property, and other interests of the United States; (5) Other activities by which solids or hazardous substances or wastes, as defined by Federal and State environmental laws are generated, released, stored, used, or otherwise disposed of on the patented real property, and any cleanup response, remedial action or other actions related in any manner to said solid or hazardous substances or wastes; or (6) Natural resource damages as defined by Federal and State law. This covenant shall be construed as running with the patented real property and may be enforced by the United States in a court of competent jurisdiction.

The approved appraisal report, maps, Resource Management Plan Amendment, Environmental Assessment, and other supporting documentation are available for review at the Carson City Field Office.

The public land will not be offered for sale until at least 60 days after publication of this notice of realty action. For a period until February 19, 2008, interested parties may submit written comments to the Carson City Field Office. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. Only written comments submitted by postal service or overnight mail to the Field Manager, BLM Carson City Field Office, will be considered properly filed. Electronic mail, facsimile, or telephone comments will not be considered properly filed.

Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the BLM Nevada State Director, who may sustain, vacate, or modify this realty action. In the absence of any adverse comments, this realty action will become the final determination of the Department of the Interior.

(Authority: 43 CFR 2711.1–2)

Dated: December 27, 2007.

Donald T. Hicks,

Carson City Field Manager.

[FR Doc. E7–25560 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before December 15, 2007. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202–371–6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by January 18, 2008.

J. Paul Loether,

Chief, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program.

ARKANSAS

Carroll County

U.S. 62 White River Bridge, (Historic Bridges of Arkansas MPS) U.S. 62 approx. ¼ mi. S. of Co. Rd. 212, Eureka Springs, 07001421

Craighead County

Community Center #1, (New Deal Recovery Efforts in Arkansas MPS) 1212 S. Church St., Jonesboro, 07001422

First National Bank Building, 207 W. Drew Ave., Monette, 07001423

Monette Water Tower, (New Deal Recovery Efforts in Arkansas MPS) SW. corner jct. of AR 139 & Texie Ave., Monette, 07001424

Dallas County

Tennessee, Alabama & Georgia Railway Steam Locomotive #101, NW. of jct. of N. Main St. & Union Pacific RR., Fordyce, 07001425

Desha County

Mound Cemetery, .5 mi. N. of Arkansas City on Co. Rd. 351, Arkansas City, 07001426

Drew County

Look See Tree, SW. corner jct. of AR 83 & Pleasant Springs Rd., Coleman, 07001427

Little River County

Texarkana and Fort Smith Railway Depot, (Historic Railroad Depots of Arkansas MPS) Texarkana Ave., Wilton, 07001428

Logan County

Little Rock to Cantonment Gibson Road—Short Mountain Segment, Short Mt. Rd. between Horseshoe Mt. and Short Mt. Cr., Paris, 07001429

Madison County

War Eagle Creek Bridge, (Historic Bridges of Arkansas MPS) Co. Rd. 53 over War Eagle Cr., Old Alabam, 07001430

Pulaski County

Parnell Hall, 2400 W. Markham, Little Rock, 07001431

Roundtop Filling Station, (Arkansas Highway History and Architecture MPS) Jct. Trammel & Roundtop Rds., Sherwood, 07001432

Randolph County

Marr's Creek Bridge, (Historic Bridges of Arkansas MPS) S. Bettis St., Pocahontas, 07001433

Sebastian County

Hartford Water Tower, (New Deal Recovery Efforts in Arkansas MPS) Pine & 1st Sts., Hartford, 07001434

Union County

Murphy, J.T., No 1 Crater, Firetower Rd., ¾ mi. N. of jct. with Baugh St., Norphlet, 07001435

Washington County

Evansville—Dutch Mills Road Bridge, (Historic Bridges of Arkansas MPS) Co. Rd. 464, Dutch Mills, 07001436

White River Bridge at Elkins, (Historic Bridges of Arkansas MPS) Co. Rd. 44, Elkins, 07001437

Yell County

Old Arkansas 22—Dardanelle Segment, (Arkansas Highway History and Architecture MPS) Co. Rd. 906, ½ mi. W. of jct. AR 22 and Co. Rd. 51, Dardanelle, 07001438

CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles County

Board of Trade Building, 111 W. 7th St., Los Angeles, 07001439

CONNECTICUT

Fairfield County

Greenfield Hill Grange #133, 1873 Hillside Rd., Fairfield, 07001440

Silvermine Center Historic District, Roughly centered on Silvermine & Perry Aves., Norwalk, 07001441

MAINE

Hancock County

Hancock County Jail, 40 State St., Ellsworth, 07001445

Oxford County

Deering Memorial United Methodist Church, 39 Main St., Paris, 07001444

Penobscot County

Corinth Town Hall and Corinthian Lodge #59, I.O.O.F., 328 Main St., Corinth, 07001446

Enterprise Grange, No. 173, 446 Dow Rd., Orrington, 07001447

York County

Goodall, Louis B., Memorial Library, (Maine Public Libraries MPS) 952 Main St., Sanford, 07001448

Rock Rest, 167 Brave Boat Harbor Rd., Kittery, 07001449

MARYLAND

Frederick County

L'Hermitage Slave Village Archeological Site, Address Restricted, Frederick, 07001450

NEW YORK

Broome County

Binghamton Theatre, 236 Washington St., Binghamton, 07001451

Livingston County

Barber, Aaron, Memorial Building, 143 Genesee St., Avon, 07001452

Nassau County

House at 251 Rocklyn Ave., 251 Rocklyn Ave., Lynbrook, 07001454

House at 474 Ocean Avenue, 474 Ocean Ave., Lynbrook, 07001455

House at 73 Grove Street, 73 Grove St., Lynbrook, 07001456

Suffolk County

Davis Field, 2nd St., & 3rd Ave., Bayport, 07001456

WASHINGTON

King County

Palmer, A.L., Building, 1000 1st. Ave. S., Seattle, 07001457

Pierce County

Auditorium Dance Hall, The, 1308–1310 Fawcett Ave., Tacoma, 07001458

Beutel, Conrad F. & Annie K., House, 701 N. 10th St., Tacoma, 07001459

WISCONSIN

Dane County

Orpheum Theatre, 216 State St., Madison, 07001460

Kewaunee County

Perry, Melvin W. and Mary, House, 519 3rd St., Algoma, 07001461

A request to MOVE has been made for the following resource:

NEW YORK

New York County

Hamilton Grange National Memorial, 287 Convent Ave., New York, 66000097

A request for REMOVAL has been made for the following resources:

ARKANSAS

Ashley County

Greenview Café, 3rd Ave. and Arkansas St., Crossett, 04001507

Hempstead County

Carrigan House 704 W. Ave. B., Hope, 78000591

Independence County

Locust Grove School, AR 230 S. of jct. with AR 25, Locust Grove, 92001107

Jefferson County

Collier House, 1227 W. 5th St., Pine Bluff, 82000824

Lonoke County

St. Louis Southwestern Railway (Cotton Belt Route) Depot, Main St., Coy, 03001459

[FR Doc. 07–6277 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–51–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group (AMWG)

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting (Conference Call).

SUMMARY: The Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program (AMP) was implemented as a result of the Record of Decision on the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam Final Environmental Impact Statement to comply with consultation requirements of the Grand Canyon Protection Act (Pub. L. 102–575) of 1992. The AMP includes a federal advisory committee (AMWG), a technical work group (TWG), a monitoring and research center, and independent review panels. The AMWG makes recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior concerning Glen Canyon Dam operations and other management actions to protect resources downstream of Glen Canyon Dam consistent with the Grand Canyon Protection Act. The TWG is a subcommittee of the AMWG and provides technical advice and recommendations to the AMWG.

DATES AND ADDRESSES: The AMWG will conduct the following public conference call:

Date: Thursday, January 17, 2008—The call will begin at 10 a.m. (MDT) and 9 a.m. (PDT) and conclude four (4) hours later in the respective time zones. The telephone numbers are: 1–303–445–3940 for federal participants and 1–888–808–5102 for non-federal participants and members of the public. If needed, the pass code for the call is 4773.

Agenda: The conference call will include the following: (1) An update on plans for a 2008 high flow test from Glen Canyon Dam, including the proposed hydrograph and timing of the test; (2) a report from the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center on the science plan for the high flow test; (3) a report from the TWG; (4) an update on the long-term experimental plan; and (5) an update on compliance activities. To view a copy of the draft agenda, please visit Reclamation's Web site at: *http://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/amwg/mtgs/08jan17/index.html.*

Time will be allowed for any individual or organization wishing to make formal oral comments on the call. To allow for full consideration of information by the AMWG members, written notice must be provided to Dennis Kubly, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138; telephone 801–524–3715; facsimile 801–524–3858; e-mail at *dkubly@uc.usbr.gov* at least five (5) days prior to the call. Any written comments received will be provided to the AMWG members.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis Kubly, Bureau of Reclamation, telephone (801) 524–3715; facsimile (801) 524–3858; e-mail at *dkubly@uc.usbr.gov.*

Dated: December 19, 2007.

Randall Peterson,

Manager, Environmental Resources Division, Upper Colorado Regional Office, Salt Lake City, Utah.

[FR Doc. E7–25566 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Publication of the Five-Year Research, Demonstration, and Evaluation Strategic Plan for 2007–2012

AGENCY: Employment & Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of publication of *U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration's Five-Year Research, Demonstration, and Evaluation Strategic Plan for 2007–2012.*

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the publication of the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration's (USDOL/ETA) Five-Year Research, Demonstration, and Evaluation Strategic Plan for 2007–2012, hereafter referred to as ''Strategic Plan''. The Strategic Plan is required under the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) of 1998, Section 171. The attached Strategic Plan identifies the potential demonstration and pilot, multi-service, multi-state, research and evaluation efforts that will most assist ETA in carrying out workforce development programs under WIA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heidi M. Casta, USDOL/ETA, Office of Policy Development and Research, N–5641, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; phone: (202) 693–3700; fax: (202) 693–2766; e-mail: *casta.heidi@dol.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

a. Why has the USDOL/ETA developed a five-year research, demonstration, and evaluation strategic plan?

Under Section 171 of WIA, the Secretary of Labor is required to submit, every two years, a plan that describes demonstration and pilot, multi-service, research and multi-state projects. The Strategic Plan focuses on priorities for USDOL/ETA concerning employment and training for the five-year period following the plan. By requiring such a plan, WIA has given USDOL/ETA the unique opportunity to conduct a literature review of previous employment and training studies and the research methodologies used for these studies, and to propose an agenda for the next five years (beginning July 2007) for pilots, demonstrations, research, and evaluation studies in areas related to workforce development programs and policies.

b. What is the purpose of the plan, and how is it structured?

The Strategic Plan provides an overview of prior research, current research and proposed direction for future research that builds on prior efforts. The Strategic Plan is composed of three major sections.

The first section describes a sampling of projects conducted during the previous five year period (2002–2007) and provides a web link to the published reports. This section is organized in four subtopics:

- Workforce Investment Act.
- Internet Unemployment Insurance Claims.
- Personal Reemployment Accounts.
- Youth Programs.

The second section describes a select sampling of current research, demonstration and evaluation projects and includes a web link to a list of current projects. This section is also organized in four subtopics:

- Labor Market and the Global Economy.
- Workforce Investment System Improvements.
- Existing and Emerging Labor Pools.
- Program Evaluation.

The third section focuses on the six priority areas for research, demonstration, and evaluation projects for the next five-year period (2007–2012). This section is organizes by the following six priority areas:

- Integration of Workforce and Regional Economic Development.
- Methods of Expanding U.S. Workforce Skills.
- Increasing the Labor Market Participation of Underutilized Populations.
- Using State-Level Administrative Data to Measure Progress and Outcomes.
- Post-Secondary Education and Job Training.
- Unemployment Insurance (UI).

To Obtain an Electronic Copy of the Attached Report:

To download the full report as a PDF, visit the ETA Occasional Paper series Web site at: *http://wdr.doleta.gov/research/keyword.cfm*.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of December, 2007.

Emily Stover DeRocco,

Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.

Attachment

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration's five-year pilot, demonstration and evaluation strategic plan for 2007–2012. As required under Section 171 of the Workforce Investment Act.

Table of Contents

Introduction
Section I. Prior Research
- Workforce Investment Act
- Internet Unemployment Insurance Claims
- Personal Reemployment Accounts
- Youth Programs

Section II. Current Research
- Labor Market and the Global Economy
- Workforce Investment System Improvements
- Existing and Emerging Labor Pools
- Program Evaluation

Section III. Future Research Priority Areas
- Integration of Workforce and Regional Economic Development
- Methods of Expanding U.S. Workforce Skills
- Increasing the Labor Market Participation of Underutilized Populations
- Using State-Level Administrative Data to Measure Progress and Outcomes
- Post-Secondary Education and Job Training
- Unemployment Insurance (UI)

Appendix A: Leading Business, Government and Academic Economists

I. Introduction

Section 171 of the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) of 1998 requires the Secretary of Labor to submit, every two years, a plan that describes demonstration and pilot, multi-service, research and multi-state projects that focus on priorities for the Department of Labor concerning employment and training for the five-year period following the plan. The Secretary is to consult with interested parties in the development of the plan and the plan is to contain strategies to address national employment and training problems. On behalf of the Secretary, Assistant Secretary Emily Stover DeRocco convened a meeting with experts from government, business and academia to discuss agency priorities and identify key research, demonstration, and evaluation priority areas for the 2007–2012 five-year period. This *Five-Year Research, Demonstration and Evaluation Strategic Plan for 2007–2012* has been developed in accordance with the requirements of Section 171.

The Strategic Plan is composed of three major sections. The first section describes a sampling of research, demonstration and evaluation projects conducted in the previous five years (2002–2007) and provides a web link to published research, demonstration and evaluation projects. The second section describes a select sampling of current research, demonstration and evaluation projects and includes a web link to a list of current projects. The third section of the Strategic Plan focuses on the six priority areas for research, demonstration and evaluation projects for the next five-year period. It is important to note that specific projects under the six priority areas will be identified and determined each program year and planned for in accordance with available resources.

Section I. Prior Research

During the past five years the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) has commissioned a variety of studies concerning employment and training. This section briefly describes some of the past research, demonstration and evaluation projects commissioned under the following broad categories: WIA, Internet unemployment insurance claims, personal reemployment accounts (PRAs), and programs to help disadvantaged youth.

Workforce Investment Act

In its study of WIA funded by ETA, Social Policy Research Associates (SPRA) noted that WIA's key objective was to consolidate, coordinate, and improve employment, training, literacy and vocational rehabilitation programs in the United States. [1] The study found that due to WIA's attempt to streamline services through integration, WIA partners gained a new understanding of each others' programs and a commitment to working collaboratively.

However, the study found weaknesses in some partnerships. One of the weaknesses was the difficulty encountered in determining each One-Stop partners' financial contribution towards supporting the One-Stop infrastructure. Partners also had difficulty dividing responsibilities to provide self-services and staff resource rooms.

The SPRA study concluded that the diversity of service delivery across the One-Stop system was evidence of increased State and local flexibility that is one of the intents of the WIA reforms. In addition, the study found significant evidence of the emphasis WIA placed on performance and accountability through the performance-measurement system, including performance requirements for States, local areas, and training providers.

In another ETA-funded study by the Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government, the authors found that most of the studied States and their local workforce boards have moved beyond the work-first approach and have begun to place emphasis on training. [2] However, the study found that resource levels were inadequate to address WIA's goal of universal access to core services and increasing access to training services. The Administration's proposed establishment of targeted funding for One-Stop infrastructure would help to address this concern as would its Career Advancement Account proposal and related efforts to reduce administrative and overhead costs associated with the system.

In a report by Berkeley Policy Associates (BPA) from another study funded by ETA, researchers found that WIA placed a premium on innovation and flexibility in the provision of workforce services. As a result, local boards experienced tension between seeking greater flexibility and assuring that One-Stop service delivery was consistent across different organizations and locations. The study also concluded that WIA reinforced a customer-driven system that has the potential to substantially increase training choices for its customers and appears to have done so in its early implementation.[3]

Individual Training Accounts

A key goal of the WIA was to empower customers of the workforce investment system by giving them meaningful choices about the types of services they receive. The requirement that local workforce investment areas use vouchers or Individual Training Accounts (ITAs) to fund training was one way in which WIA addressed this goal. Nearly all of the 28 sites in an evaluation study funded by ETA planned to use ITAs predominantly for training adults and dislocated workers. The study found that the distribution of funds favored training as opposed to an emphasis on core and intensive services. The study also found that local areas have put financial caps on ITAs that vary depending on the site.[4] Most sites did not establish schedules for completion of training, allowing customer-driven services that permit participants to proceed at the pace best suited to their needs. Most sites adhered to an informed choice model ensuring that those authorized for training receive ample information and guidance to make better personal choices.

The study found that the Consumer Report System, an information system that supports customer choice, was developed in all observed sites over the course of the evaluation. Most sites were planning on conducting an analysis of performance outcomes on behalf of training institutions. Results affirmed the notion that the Eligible Training Providers (ETP) List provided customers ample choices in most of the training fields.

In another study funded by ETA, Mathematic Policy Research, Inc. (MPR) tested three approaches to the degree of customer choice in program selection and their effect on ITA participation.[5] ''Approach 1'' was designed to be the most directive of the approaches, requiring customers to receive intensive counseling and allowing counselors to reject customers' training choices that did not have a high return. The ITA amount was flexible to upwards of $8,000 in most sites. ''Approach 2'' was the approach most similar to what local areas adopted in their transition to WIA, where counseling was required but less intensive than Approach 1. In Approach 2, counselors could not reject customers' choice of training that was on the State's list of ETP, and the ITA was a fixed amount (generally between $3,000 to $5,000). ''Approach 3'' was the least structured where customers were not required to participate in any counseling after being found eligible for training. Customers could select training from the State's list of ETP, and they had the same fixed amount as in Approach 2.

The study found that participants who were required to take counseling (Approaches 1 and 2) were less likely to choose an ITA as the vehicle through which to receive training. Counseling presented an obstacle to receiving an ITA and discouraged customers from going through with the program. When counseling was voluntary (Approach 3),

[1] Social Policy Research Associates, The Workforce Investment Act after Five Years: Results from the National Evaluation of the Implementation of WIA, 2004.

[2] Barnow, Burt S., The Workforce Investment Act in Eight States: Overview of Findings from a Field Network Study, Interim Report, 2003.

[3] Berkeley Policy Associates, Creating Partnerships for Workforce Investment: How Services are Provided under WIA, 2003.

[4] Decker, Paul T., Ron D'Amico, and Jeffrey Salzman, The Evaluation of the Individual Training Account/Eligible Training Provider Demonstration, 2004.

[5] McConnell, Sheena, Elizabeth Stuart, Kenneth Fortson, Paul Decker, Irma Perez-Johnson, Barbara Harris, and Jeffrey Salzman, Managing Customers' Training Choices: Findings from the Individual Training Account Experiment, 2006.

customers very rarely requested it, and the lack of required counseling led to more participants pursuing training through the use of ITAs.

Average training costs per ITA customer with intensive counseling (Approach 1) were 36 percent higher than when such counseling was not provided. In the interim evaluation of the ITA demonstration, MPR noted that the comparison of costs did not include fees associated with counseling.[6] Approach 3 customers were more likely than Approach 1 and 2 customers to choose a program at a community college.

The differences in approaches did not affect the rate of participation in training although reduced counseling requirements led ITA customers to enroll in training programs sooner. Despite counselors' fears that those without counsel were more likely to choose low-paying or high-turnover occupations, customers who did not face a counseling requirement chose occupations similar to those chosen by customers treated under more rigorous counseling approaches. Counseling did, however, broaden the set of training options customers considered. ETA will further explore the long-run impacts of the three ITA treatments on participant groups' earning, employment and retention.

Unemployment Insurance and One-Stop Centers

A study by BPA found that because most claims for Unemployment Insurance (UI) are filed via telephone, mail, or the Internet, interactions between UI and One-Stop Center staff to facilitate reemployment of UI claimants has diminished.[7] The primary purpose of the study was to find effective approaches to facilitate linkages between the two components of the workforce investment system. The study found that all interventions designed to increase One-Stop staff interaction with UI claimants, including required orientation, referral to a job opening, and mandatory job search workshops, increased quarterly earnings, reduced the duration of UI benefits and the average amount of benefits drawn, and increased the rate of employment.

WIA and Business

A study by SPRA evaluated the implementation of the WIA, specifically with regard to engaging business in strategic planning and Workforce Investment Boards.[8] Local workforce areas were found to be lagging in their ability to engage the private sector seriously or get business members to participate in meaningful ways.

The study found that one of the biggest challenges faced was the need to build credibility with businesses. Most of the sites studied indicated that there was a direct connection between the availability of business services and improved relationships with businesses. Services provided to businesses involved a number of activities including coordinating service delivery among One-Stop partners, setting up organizational structures to staff and deliver services to businesses, selecting and training staff to deliver services to business clients, determining how businesses will access services, and funding, marketing, tracking and evaluating the services provided to businesses.

Internet Unemployment Insurance Claims

A study by HeiTech Services, Inc. and MPR assessed the effectiveness of filing UI claims via the Internet and compared the system with telephone and in-person claims-taking methods.[9] The report evaluated service delivery, security, fraud and abuse control, and cost effectiveness.

The study found that Internet claims filing systems provided convenient access to UI claim services, and Internet claims filers were satisfied with the services. UI Internet claimants had a greater opportunity to connect with reemployment services online. State data indicate that Internet filing did not lead to higher rates of overpayments or fraud, and the system security measures appeared to be adequate.

Claimants using the Internet to file their UI claims tended to be more educated, white, younger, higher paid and working in higher-skilled occupations and industries, and more likely to reside in urban areas. The Internet claims filing systems were a convenient and cost-effective method of providing claims services to a segment of the UI claimant population.

Personal Reemployment Accounts

PRAs are accounts of up to $3,000 offered to eligible individuals in addition to regular unemployment insurance benefits. Those who accept the offer of a PRA must forego free access to WIA intensive services within the One-Stop Center and must purchase these services at cost, using their PRA funds. Participants choosing a PRA agree to forego an ITA for the one-year period for which the PRA is valid. PRAs provide unemployed workers additional flexibility to devise their own reemployment plan.

PRA funds can be used for two things: (1) To purchase reemployment services and training, and (2) as a reemployment bonus if program participants return to work by the 13th week of UI receipt. The goal of PRAs is to provide unemployed workers who are likely to exhaust their unemployment insurance benefits with additional assistance and incentives to find employment. The PRA model utilized, for the first time, the elements of reemployment bonus incentives, pricing of services, and targeting of UI claimants using Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services models.

In a study commissioned by ETA to explore the use of reemployment bonuses, MPR evaluated the PRA model for customer choice among publicly funded reemployment services. The study predicted that a maximum amount of the $3,000 to be paid immediately to PRA recipients upon their reemployment would result in more individuals receiving a reemployment bonus than what was observed in previous reemployment bonus demonstrations conducted in Pennsylvania and Washington in the late 1980s.

In another study funded by ETA, the W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research also explored the PRA model by conducting a simulation analysis relying on patterns of intensive, supportive, and training service usage of targeted UI claimants in Georgia. The study found that those who return to work within 13 weeks of their UI claim date may receive the unused balance in the PRA as a cash reemployment bonus with 60 percent paid at the time of employment and the remainder payable after six months of steady employment.[10] Depending on the rules for PRA amounts, the pricing of services, and different behavioral responses, the study made various predictions as to the number of PRA

[6] Perez-Johnson, Irma, Sheena McConnell, Paul Decker, Jeanne Bellotti, Jeffrey Salzman, and Jessica Pearlman, The Effects of Customer Choice: First Findings from the Individual Training Account Experiment, 2004.

[7] Berkeley Policy Associates, Evaluation of the Strengthening Connections between Unemployment Insurance and One-Stop Delivery Systems Project, 2005.

[8] Dunham, Kate, Jeff Salzman, and Vinz Koller, Business as Partner and Customer under WIA: A Study of Innovative Practices, 2004.

[9] Kenyon, Robert, Karen Needels, Todd Anderson, James Gerding, and Michelle VanNoy, Internet Initial Claims Evaluation, 2003.

[10] O'Leary, Christopher J. and Randall W. Eberts, Personal Reemployment Accounts: Simulation for Planning Implementation, 2004.

offers a State could make with a fixed budget.

In another study funded by ETA, MPR assessed the implementation of PRAs in seven States selected to participate in a pilot project. Participant acceptance rates of PRAs among the States varied between 45 and 80 percent of participants offered the PRAs.[11] Interim report data shows that PRA bonus receipt for finding a job ranged from a low of 10 percent in a State with the second highest PRA acceptance rate to a high of 39 percent in a State with the second lowest PRA acceptance rate. Since purchasing services decreases the amount of the potential bonus, PRA program participants delayed such purchases while trying to qualify for the maximum bonus and purchasing services only if employment entry did not occur by the 13th week of UI receipt. Few account holders used the PRA to both purchase services and receive a bonus.

In five of the seven demonstration States when PRA recipients used PRA funds to purchase reemployment services, most of these purchases were for supportive services such as transportation costs, and other general expenses that supported reemployment activities. In the remaining two States the majority of spending was directed toward training. Few PRA recipients used PRA accounts to purchase intensive services such as career counseling or resume development.

MRP found that the structure of the PRAs contains a number of incentives that may at times, conflict. As a bonus for reemployment, PRA is treated as taxable income. Conversely, if the PRA is used for the breadth of supportive services available, PRA recipients get an additional untaxed $3,000 to spend on everyday expenses such as car repairs, clothing for interviews, or rent. If participants use the PRA fund for supportive services, i.e., as essentially a tax free addition to their unemployment benefit amount, then it may increase the disincentive towards early reemployment.

Youth Programs

At the time of initial implementation of WIA, evaluations of youth programs were showing the programs to be ineffective in many States and local areas. The largest challenge to WIA proved to be moving away from large-scale summer youth programs and replacing them with comprehensive youth services. As a result of the disappointing findings for youth in the National Job Training Partnership Act Study, the following two demonstrations were conducted to address the issue of effective youth programs:

Quantum Opportunity Program

The Quantum Opportunity Program (QOP) demonstration offered intensive and comprehensive services to help at-risk youth graduate from high school and enroll in postsecondary education or training. The demonstration consisted mainly of an after school program and targeted youth with low grades entering high schools with high dropout rates.[12] The demonstration's primary goals were to increase the rates of high school graduation and enrollment in postsecondary education or training. Its secondary goals were to improve high school grades and achievement test scores and to reduce risky behaviors.

QOP cost $18,000 to $22,000 per enrollee over the full five-year period for the five Department of Labor (DOL) funded sites. The cost per enrollee at the two sites funded by The Ford Foundation differed substantially from this average and each other. Specifically, the Yakima program cost was $23,000, while the cost in the Philadelphia program was $49,000. An evaluation study concluded that although sites implemented the mentoring and developmental components relatively well, no site fully and effectively implemented the education component, and sites generally did not meet their enrollees' needs for some supportive services.[13] Enrollees at the DOL sites spent an average of 23 percent of the annual goal of 750 hours on QOP activities, while the average annual participation in the two Ford-funded sites was more than twice the average annual participation of 126 hours in the five DOL sites. The fraction of enrollees who spent no time at all on QOP activities rose steadily from 1 percent to 36 percent over the duration of the program.

The net impact evaluation revealed that QOP did not increase either the likelihood of graduating from high school or engaging in postsecondary education or training. Nor did QOP improve high school grades and achievement test scores. Finally, QOP did not reduce the incidence of risky behaviors either. Despite the lack of overall impacts on education, the evaluation found promising results for those who were 14 or younger when they entered ninth grade. For these younger enrollees, QOP increased rates of high school completion and engagement in postsecondary education or training. Likewise, the evaluation found beneficial impacts at a number of sites participating in the demonstration. Most noteworthy is the Cleveland site, which experienced increased likelihoods of earning a diploma or GED and attending college. This site also showed beneficial impacts on some employment-related outcomes, smoking and binge drinking rates, and the receipt of welfare or food stamps.

Center for Employment Training

Young people who lack postsecondary education or vocational credentials face an uphill battle in the competition for jobs. The Center for Employment Training (CET) in San Jose, California produced strong positive effects on earnings over the four years after random assignment for youth and was replicated in new sites across the nation. ETA funded MDRC and BPA to collaboratively evaluate six of the replication sites and six more established CET sites. The study found that access to the program substantially increased youth participation in training activities.[14] Effects were either negative or negligible across a range of outcomes including employment earnings, job characteristics, receipt of welfare, family income, marital status, household structure, alcohol and marijuana use, arrests, and childbearing over the five years after random assignment for the full sample and for all key subgroups, with the possible exception of younger youth at one site that replicated the CET model with high fidelity.

For a listing of past research, demonstration and evaluation projects visit ETA's Research Publication Database at *http://wdr.doleta.gov/research/keyword.cfm*.

Section II. Current Research

During the past five years ETA has commissioned a variety of studies concerning employment and training. This section briefly describes some of the current research, demonstration and evaluation projects commissioned under the following broad categories: Labor Market and the Global Economy,

[11] Kirby, Gretchen, Implementing Personal Reemployment Accounts: Early Experiences of the Seven Demonstration States, 2006.

[12] Maxfield, Myles, Allen Schirm, and Nuria Rodriguez-Planas, The Quantum Opportunity Program Demonstration: Implementation and Short-Term Impacts, 2003.

[13] Schirm, Allen and Nuria Rodriguez-Planas, The Quantum Opportunity Program Demonstration: Initial Post-Intervention Impacts, 2004.

[14] Miller, Cynthia, Johannes M. Bos, Kristin E. Porter, Fannie M. Tseng, Fred C. Doolittle, Deana N. Tanguay, and Mary P. Vencill, Working with Disadvantaged Youth: Thirty-Month Findings from the Evaluation of the Center for Employment Training Replication Sites, 2003.

Workforce Investment System Improvement, Existing and Emerging Labor Pools, and Program Evaluation.

Labor Market and the Global Economy

To examine the impact of the global economy on the labor force and workforce programs, ETA has focused on projects that increase the understanding of the interaction between the labor market and the innovation economy. This includes methodologically rigorous projects that examine critical issues impacting the future of the nation's labor market.

The President's High Growth Job Training Initiative which ETA launched in 2003 is a strategic effort to prepare workers to take advantage of new and increasing job opportunities in high growth, high demand and economically vital sectors of the American economy. This approach for closing skill gaps focuses on the 14 industry sectors that (1) are projected to add substantial numbers of new jobs to the economy or affect the growth of other industries; or (2) are existing or emerging businesses being transformed by technology and innovation requiring new skills sets for workers. The industry sectors include advanced manufacturing, aerospace, automotive, biotechnology, construction, energy, financial services, geospatial technology, health care, homeland security, hospitality, information technology, retail and transportation.

Strategic partnerships form the foundation of the initiative. Partnerships include governors, economic development leaders, business and industry, educators, and the workforce investment system, who work collaboratively to develop solutions to the workforce challenges and labor shortages facing these industries. To date, ETA has invested more than $280 million in 149 partnerships. Each project targets the skill and talent needs of the high growth industries and provides the resources necessary to develop the capacity to train workers in the skills demanded by the 21st century economy. ETA is currently conducting an evaluation of the early investments and studying the implementation of the High Growth Job Training Initiative. The study will document best practices, lessons learned and project outcomes.

The Building Talent, Jobs and Entrepreneurs for Growth in the New Economy demonstration project aggressively stimulates and accelerates both job and business growth by focusing on high growth targeted industries. The demonstration project being implemented by Lorain County Community College is developing a system of resources that addresses workforce development needs, promotes growth of existing businesses (especially small and medium businesses), and creates an environment that supports, nurtures, and values entrepreneurs. All three components, pursued with equal vigor, create a compelling design for comprehensive workforce and economic development that virtually ensures a thriving economic future.

The Growing American Through Entrepreneurship (GATE) Project (known as Project GATE) is an innovative demonstration project in collaboration with the Small Business Administration to help emerging entrepreneurs in rural and urban communities achieve the American dream of owning their own business. Economic freedom is the foundation for individual success and prosperity. Project GATE supports economic freedom through promoting individual entrepreneurship. Project GATE seeks to energize local small business creation and help diverse urban and rural populations create, support and expand small businesses through the One-Stop Career Centers in three States, Pennsylvania, Maine and Minnesota. The random assignment project is composed of three phases: (1) The Implementation Design Phase which included developing the microenterprise package, selecting demonstration sites, detailing demonstration implementation plans, training demonstration staff, designing the process, impact, and benefit-cost analyses, and developing survey instruments for follow-up surveys; (2) The Demonstration Implementation Phase which included full implementation by the three sites; and (3) The Evaluation Phase where results of the project are being analyzed.

The Lifelong Learning Account (LiLA) Pilot is a demonstration project being implemented in the State of Maine. LiLAs are individual asset accounts that leverage funds from employers and workers to finance education and training so that workers can upgrade their skills to meet the needs of business and industry while helping to advance their own careers and earnings potential. The demonstration with the State of Maine seeks to enhance the current mix of services provided by the State's One-Stop Career Centers by closely connecting LiLAs to employers, incumbent workers, community organizations, educational providers, and new sources of revenue for career development services.

Workforce Investment System Improvements

The Evaluation of the WIA Performance Measurement System has two broad goals: (1) To assess the effectiveness of the current WIA performance measurement system; and (2) to identify alternative measures that might more effectively accomplish the aims of the system. The study will provide details of the WIA performance accountability system and explore the performance measurements systems' influences on partnerships and provider arrangements, service design and delivery and program outcomes.

The Evaluation of the Impact and Cost-Effectiveness of Self-Directed Services is studying the impact and cost-effectiveness of self-directed services. The evaluation includes both quantitative and qualitative analyses. The heart of the research design entails the estimation of the impacts of the use of self-directed services by comparing the outcomes of a large sample of self-directed services users who are UI claimants with a comparison group of claimants who do not use these services. The analysis is supported by a range of analytic components, including the administration and analysis of a survey of local areas to characterize self-directed service systems, the analysis of administrative data from a variety of sources for large samples of self-directed services users and the comparison group, the administration and analysis of a survey of self-directed service users (including employers and job seekers) to supplement what is available from administrative sources, and multiple rounds of site visits to a selected sample of local areas to provide an in-depth characterization of self-directed service systems.

The Strengthening the Connections between UI Remote Services and One-Stop Services demonstration research project aims to strengthen the connections between remote unemployment insurance (UI) services and One-Stop Career Center services through development of collaborative procedures and customer-centric services that promote rapid employment. The demonstration seeks to: (1) Better connect UI to One-Stop Career Centers via data sharing and service provision making effective use of information gathered via the Internet for work registration and other potential services; (2) utilize labor market information and industry analysis to enhance the connection of UI claimants to targeted industries; (3) expand One-Stop Career Center and workforce development partnerships by

appropriately connecting UI claimants to programs and services for which they may be eligible; and (4) help unemployed workers reconnect more rapidly with employment and supportive services.

The grants to Intermediary Faith-Based and Community-Based Organizations build connections and help promote and sustain collaborations between grassroots faith-based and community-based organizations (FBCOs) and the people they serve with the One-Stop Career Centers. To evaluate the success of these efforts, ETA launched an evaluation to examine the 12 grants awarded in 2004 and the grants given to four intermediary organizations that received extensions in 2004. The main goal of the evaluation is to provide information on whether the grants are effective in promoting sustainable collaborations among FBCOs, the One-Stop Career Centers, and employers as well as to determine which strategies were particularly effective, in what ways, and under what conditions.

Existing and Emerging Labor Pools

The aging of the baby-boom generation, in combination with other demographic trends, is resulting in slower labor force growth. These circumstances make it even more critical that every available worker join the workforce to enable the continued competitiveness of American businesses in the 21st century. Therefore, it is critical to reach out to every available worker including older workers, immigrants, Hispanics, limited English proficient individuals, ex-offenders, among others, and ensure that all workers have the education, training, and skills needed to fill the jobs of the 21st century and strengthen America's competitiveness in a global economy.

The Limited English Proficiency and Hispanic Worker Initiative (LEPHWI) selected five sites to test unique and innovative strategies for serving individuals with Limited English Proficiency (LEP) (those who do not speak English as their primary language and who have a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand English) and Hispanic Americans, specifically, those who lack basic and occupational skills needed by high-growth occupations. The demonstration program is targeted to incumbent workers, new job entrants or youth who lack the language, basic skills, and occupational skills necessary to succeed in the 21st century workplace. The demonstration program emphasizes the use of innovative contextualized learning strategies which simultaneously provide language and occupational skills training that open career opportunities and pathways for LEP and Hispanic Americans. The evaluation of the LEPHWI is assessing the effectiveness of the contextualized learning strategies as well as participant outcomes.

The Agribusiness Collaborative Demonstration Project being implemented in Tulare County, California aims to secure year-round employment for migrant seasonal farm workers as well as secure an employment bridge between seasonal agricultural work and seasonal non-agricultural work. An evaluation of the demonstration project will measure the outcomes in terms of employment, earnings, retention, and the success of the cross-training model in providing re-occurring year-round employment for farm workers.

The Evaluation of the Prisoner Reentry Initiative is a random assignment impact evaluation examining the development of employment-centered programs for ex-offenders and formally testing the effectiveness of faith-based organizations in serving ex-offenders re-entering their communities. Specifically, the PRI seeks to reduce recidivism by helping non-violent, former prisoners find work and stable housing when they return to their communities. Key components of the evaluation include an examination of PRI grantees and their partners; the principal approaches to organizing, implementing, operating and administering PRI projects; the patterns of cooperation and linkages that evolve between PRI projects and partners such as the One-Stop system, the criminal justice system, local employers, and other stakeholders; PRI participants and the services they receive; and the short-term outcomes of PRI, including employment, degree attainment, housing, substance abuse, and recidivism.

The Older Worker Study is an international research project that will provide an overview of national policy towards older workers in the U.S. labor force, and will be compared to about 20 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development countries. The international comparative analysis will help inform public policy for keeping older workers engaged in the workforce.

The Women in the Workforce research project is determining the extent to which highly-skilled and highly-educated women are leaving the workforce to attend to family needs. Highly-skilled and highly-educated women who have left the workforce represent a ready, skilled source of labor for a variety of high growth industries facing a shortage of skilled workers. The project is documenting the extent of the problems these women face which contribute to them leaving the workforce in their prime working years, their demographic characteristics, and the occupations and industries impacted. The study is also examining industry best practices to keep highly-skilled and highly-educated women in the workforce, or to ease their transition back from an extended leave.

Program Evaluation

ETA program evaluations contribute to the improvement of service delivery interventions of State and local WIA programs, and ultimately contribute to improved outcomes. ETA evaluations are coordinated with evaluations of WIA carried out by the States. Results from evaluation studies support continuous improvement of and inform policy and investment decisions.

The Evaluation of Apprenticeship includes a survey of registered apprenticeship sponsors and visits to five States to identify current issues, concerns and conditions in the field, including the degree to which registered apprenticeship is integrated with other workforce development activities. The evaluation provides, for the first time, systematic information on: (1) Sponsor views (particularly in new high growth industries); (2) the costs and benefits of apprenticeship; (3) types of data maintained; (4) linkages with the One-Stop system; (5) administration of the apprenticeship system; and (6) what sponsors would like to see changed.

The Evaluation of the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) Program is a six-year study intended to generate information that will serve multiple purposes and aid in the development of: (1) Administrative guidance; (2) technical assistance; and (3) legislation and budgetary proposals for program reauthorization in 2007. The evaluation includes a qualitative analysis focusing on activities at the State and local level and a non-experimental net impact study. The evaluation is examining participant characteristics, program practices, management issues, as well as outcomes and impact of TAA including a quasi-experimental impact analysis using a matched comparison group.

The Evaluation of Rapid Response Services provides systematic information on rapid response services for dislocated workers by examining how such services are organized, funded, and implemented, as well the challenges States and localities encounter in providing rapid response services. The evaluation includes

surveys of State and local areas engaged in conducting rapid response services.

The Youth Offender Evaluation develops cross-site analysis of selected Round One, Two and Three demonstration project sites. The evaluation assesses the Youth Offender demonstration's success in effectively providing core reentry services, employability skills, and employment for youth offenders, gang members and youth at risk of gang or court involvement. The evaluation consists of a project model study and an outcomes study.

For a listing of current research, demonstration and evaluation projects visit ETA's Research, Demonstration and Evaluation Projects Web site at *http://wdr.doleta.gov/research/keyword.cfm*.

Section III. Future Research Priority Areas

This section of the Strategic Plan focuses on the six priority areas for research, demonstration and evaluation projects for the next five-year period. The six priority areas include: Integration of Workforce and Regional Economic Development, Methods of Expanding U.S. Workforce Skills, Increasing Labor Market Participation of Underutilized Populations, Using State-Level Administrative Data to Measure Progress and Outcomes, Post-secondary Education and Job Training, and Unemployment Insurance in a Global Economy. It is important to note that specific projects under the six priority areas will be identified and determined each program year and planned for in accordance with available resources.

Integration of Workforce and Regional Economic Development

Workforce development programs have been the responsibility of the Department of Labor while economic development programs have been under the purview of the Department of Commerce. ETA's Workforce Innovation in Regional Economic Development (WIRED) Initiative is an approach to better coordinate workforce development and regional economic development and improve access to post-secondary education and skills development necessary for workers to succeed in innovation economies. By opening access to post-secondary education and skills training, workers will have expanded employment and advancement opportunities and businesses will have the skilled workers they need. Post-secondary education and training is explored further in a subsequent section.

Following are several suggested research projects to evaluate the effects of integrating workforce investment and economic development:

- Measurement of integration success;
- Regional differences in economic performance and effectiveness;
- Causes of innovation ''hot spots''; and
- Effects of immigration on the economy and the labor force.

Measurement of Integration Success

A key component of the President's High Growth Job Training Initiative, the Community-Based Job Training Grants and the WIRED Initiative is rooted in partnerships among business, education, the workforce system and economic development. One option to measure integration success is to conduct a long-term evaluation of these initiatives to look at evidence of the effect of the partnerships and the role they have on training outcomes and regional economic stability and growth. ETA has taken initial steps towards measuring integration through the High Growth Job Training Initiative early State evaluation and WIRED Initiative evaluation.

Regional Differences in Economic Performance/Effectiveness

The question of why some regions do better than others has been the subject of intensive study in many branches of economics. International economic development studies look at this problem in relation to different countries around the globe. Within the United States, studies in entrepreneurship focus on the roots of Silicon Valley and how Silicon Valley can be cloned in other States.

One approach to this research is to look at the jurisdictional challenges and interests that prevent collaboration on regional economic interests. In some areas, political jurisdiction issues stand in the way of regional economic considerations. Potential research questions include: Which regions have organized their economic and workforce activities along economic boundaries versus political jurisdictions? What governance vehicles are being used and how are they set up? How have these regions been able to overcome barriers that get in the way of working along economic boundaries? How should regional workforce boards re-align along economic regions versus political jurisdiction? What federal rules block regional workforce and economic development systems from organizing along economic jurisdictions versus political jurisdictions? How might Federal programs better support a more integrated strategy at the regional level?

Causes of ''Innovation Hot Spots''

Much evidence shows that educational clusters and innovation are linked to workforce development. For instance, Silicon Valley was started by partnerships between Stanford and the University of California (Berkeley) with regional businesses. The Boston corridor was sparked by partnerships between MIT, Harvard and businesses. North Carolina benefited from partnerships between Duke, the University of North Carolina, and innovators. However, other educational clusters, such as those in Chicago and New York, have not led to innovation hot spots.

A research project could be conducted that examines the reasons for the development of ''hot spots:'' Is it university research and development? Is it government institutions? Is it the workforce system in the area? Much can be learned from the National Innovation Initiative proposed by the Council on Competitiveness.[15] One major question is whether the public workforce system is the right platform for initiating development of hot spots. If so, what is the role of the public workforce system? Is it possible that the community college is a better forum? In that case, resources should be directed further at community colleges.

A start to such research would be to look at examples of the impact of regional economic development on disadvantaged populations, such as in the WIRED regions in Indiana, Michigan, Alabama, and Mississippi. Are there places where the workforce investment system has played a key role in regional economic transformation? Innovation hot spots could be compared to other communities in order to have a baseline for comparisons. This would be an excellent subject for a short-term research paper.

Effects of Immigration on the Economy and Labor Force

A potential research topic is the role of DOL in immigration in relation to workforce development. There are three possible roles. The first role could be to inform the U.S. population of the effect of immigration on the economy and wages. A second role could be to assist immigrants in assimilation, using Canada as a model. A third role is to facilitate labor market clearing so that employers would have the workers they need.

[15] Council on Competitiveness Home Page, *http://www.compete.org*, Accessed 8/17/2006.

Also, it may be valuable to examine the role of faith-based and community based organizations in helping immigrant populations assimilate into the workforce. Many faith-based and community-based organizations that work with immigrants have strong cultural connections within the community and can be valuable partners in outreach, English language classes, bridging cultural barriers, and other supportive services immigrants need as they transition into the U.S. labor force.

The latest studies on the effects of immigration on wages, by Professor Giovanni Peri of the University of California-Davis, show that immigrants increase the wages of native-born Americans by expanding aggregate demand. Professor David Card of the University of California-Berkeley and Dr. Pia Orrenius of the Dallas Federal Reserve find similar results. Studies showing that the wages of some Americans are adversely affected, such as those by Harvard's Professor George Borjas, show only a 4 percent negative effect on average among the lowest-paid workers. Implications of all these studies need to be examined as a group.

Another research topic is immigration patterns. Immigrants who initially came into California or Texas, for example, have been migrating to Iowa and other States in search of better jobs. Such migration helps the functioning of regional labor markets. The markets are more efficient when workers migrate to the locations where more and better jobs are available. ETA's New American Centers Demonstration Project in Arkansas and Iowa is an initial step towards looking at this issue. New Americans Centers aim to assist immigrants in becoming a part of the local community through employment and immigration assistance. The purpose of the research demonstration is to speed the transition of immigrants into communities, promote stability and rapid employment with good wages, and enhance economic development.

Another proposed research study relates to the issue of community colleges securing resources from the One-Stop system in order to pay for immigrant education/training programs. Many immigrants want to learn English in order to better assimilate and have better job opportunities. However, community colleges and volunteer systems often have limited capacity to accommodate student enrollments.[16] Other immigrants wish to come and enter the skilled trades, such as plumbing, construction, and electrical work, areas where there is a shortage of workers in some parts of the United States. What is the extent of the problem, and how can it be solved?

Another research paper could examine all the workforce implications of immigration, including possible roles for DOL that do not duplicate the roles of other Federal agencies that deal with the issue.

Methods of Expanding U.S. Workforce Skills

Global competitiveness requires that we increase the skills of the U.S. workforce so that we retain our current competitive advantage. Our students are falling behind in international tests at the primary and secondary school levels.[17] Furthermore, high school graduation rates in some parts of the country are below 70 percent, including Alaska (68 percent), Florida (67 percent), Alabama (65 percent), Louisiana (64 percent), Tennessee (63 percent), New Mexico (63 percent), Mississippi (63 percent), New York (61 percent), Georgia (61 percent), South Carolina (60 percent), and the District of Columbia (60 percent).[18] Much of the responsibility for educating young people rests with the States, local school districts, and the Department of Education. However, once students drop out of high school with inadequate skills or education and join the ranks of the unemployed or work intermittently for low wages, DOL programs need to deal with the associated problems.

Furthermore, DOL is aware that education is ongoing, and is part of a life-long process. The skills required by our workforce are rapidly changing due to changing technology. Furthermore, there is high job turnover within our labor force. In 2005, out of 133 million nonfarm wage and salary jobs, there were 57 million new hires and 55 million separations,[19] among the most in the industrialized world. New jobs often require new skills, and our workforce needs the means to acquire them.

ETA is planning research that should help develop policy to address the problems of low wages due to lack of skills in the following five broad areas:

- Measuring the effectiveness of credentialing;
- Occupational projections;
- Career ladders;
- Limits of capacity to train in certain occupational areas; and
- Technology-Based Learning.

Measure Effectiveness of Credentialing

A credential is easy to measure when it is in the form of a degree from a four-year undergraduate program, a graduate program, or a community college program. But credentials from less-formal programs are more difficult to measure. A research topic to explore is teaching skills and how learned skills are being translated into credentials. One way of doing this is to look at the nature of Information Technology (IT) credentialing. The industry has data on credentialing for various IT skills. There are other general, industry-recognized credentials that it may be useful to study. Another way to explore this is to conduct a demonstration project that links General Educational Development (GED) preparation and attainment with access to post-secondary education and employment in high-demand occupations. Yet another option is to compare employment outcomes of youth who obtain a High School Diploma with those who obtain a GED.

Occupational Projections

Occupational projections for the next ten years are published every two years by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). BLS projects employment for all occupations and indicates the typical level of educational attainment of workers in those occupations. The latest projections show a growth in high and low skilled occupations. In addition to the BLS projections, there are various private analyses and projections that offer different perspectives, detail and time horizons. A suggested research project to be conducted in collaboration with the BLS could examine a variety of occupational data projections and analyses to identify the consensus outlook for setting training strategy and policy.

Career Ladders

The panel proposed doing additional research on the subject of career ladders. The paper would investigate sectoral strategies as related to career ladders, as in the work done by the State of Oregon and the City of West Palm Beach. The paper would mine various demonstration grant data for what has been successful, such as H–1B grants, High Growth Job Training Grants,

[16] California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office Press Release (September 10, 2002), *Community Colleges Experience Record Enrollments While Funding Concerns Mount*, Accessed 3/1/2007.

[17] *TIMSS: Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study* (1999 & 2003), U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Accessed 3/1/2007.

[18] U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (2006), *The Condition of Education 2006,* NCES 2006–071, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

[19] Job Openings and Labor Turnover: November 2006, Bureau of Labor Statistics News Release, January 10, 2007.

Community-Based Job Training Grants, and the WIRED Initiative.

Limits of Capacity-to-Train in Certain Occupational Areas

Certain high-return subjects in community colleges, such as nursing, are vastly oversubscribed. Although it costs far more to run a nursing program than an art program, colleges have to charge approximately the same amount per course taken. Community colleges, therefore, have an incentive to channel students into low-cost courses rather than expand the number of slots in high-return courses.[20]

The purpose of the Community-Based Job Training Grants is to build the capacity of community colleges to train in areas of demand. An evaluation of this investment, $125 million per year, should examine the effectiveness of this strategy. The study of this type would conduct an evaluation using random assignment techniques.

A number of questions worth researching include: Is teacher availability a problem? How can community college resources be integrated with workforce development in these high-need and high-return occupations? What market failure is at work that causes community colleges to turn away applicants to high-return occupations that are in such need by society?

Increasing Labor Market Participation of Underutilized Populations

Older workers, people with disabilities, stay-at-home parents, people living in rural areas, and immigrant groups have lower than average rates of participation in the labor force. The United States, at 15.6 percent in 2006 (15.5 percent in 2005), has the second highest percentage of senior citizens over 65 participating in the workforce. In most industrialized countries the ratio is between 1 and 8 percent.

One important issue for women, seniors and individuals with disabilities is the flexibility of the labor market, and the extent that it permits telecommuting, part-time jobs, and other means of customized employment to fit the needs and abilities of the workers while simultaneously meeting the needs of employers. Other populations such as ex-offenders, substance abusers, high-school dropouts, and other disadvantaged youth face unique challenges and significant barriers to employment. A suggested research project could examine certain efforts aimed at assisting these individuals including the role that faith-based and community organizations play in connecting individuals to employment and training opportunities. One-Stop Career Centers could also be essential in demonstrating the universal strategies that have proven successful in assisting job seekers with complex barriers to employment (such as individuals with disabilities, homeless individuals, TANF recipients, and older workers) to successfully achieve employment outcomes. An examination of these issues could be included as part of a comprehensive evaluation of the WIA programs.

There are a host of educational challenges to increasing labor force participation rates for specific population groups. These will be discussed below under ''Post-Secondary Education and Job Training''.

Using State-Level Administrative Data to Measure Progress and Outcomes

One of the most valuable sources of data is State-level administrative data from UI wage records. Data are available in all States for about five years and in some States as long as 25 years. Generally speaking, these data are precise because they are earnings reports of employers not surveys that are based on workers' recollections, which are often inaccurate.

Some States, such as Florida, California, Texas, and Washington have merged UI wage records data with educational data from community college transcripts and in some cases from K through 12th grade making it possible to see from the merged data what education levels have resulted in what levels of earnings.

This is a valuable source of information that has not been fully used. Louis Jacobson et al.[21] did a study of the returns to courses taken at community colleges in Washington State, but data from other States have not been analyzed. The Jacobson study found a seven percent increase in earnings from certain courses taken in community colleges. By linking education data, UI wage records, and workforce program data it is possible to examine longitudinal performance outcomes of education and training programs.

The use of administrative databases rather than using surveys to conduct research presents numerous advantages. People cannot be expected to remember their grades and salaries from a decade ago (or more), but these data are available from the State databases. Further, sometimes people do not want to tell the truth about earnings if they have tax avoidance problems or problems with documentation. Each State database contains millions of records, far more than a researcher could obtain through a survey. Research using administrative data is particularly cost-effective because the data already exist.

Another potential use of linked administrative data is for the evaluation of existing government programs. ETA plans to conduct a linked administrative data evaluation of the WIA formula programs. Through the use of quasi-experimental research methods, including closely-matched comparison groups, ETA will assess the net-impacts of the receipt of WIA core/intensive services and the incremental impacts of participating in WIA training on participant earnings, employment, and retention. ETA is assessing the appropriate methodologies and necessary data sources to conduct this evaluation with the goal to publish an interim report, including impact estimates, by the end of 2008.

Post-Secondary Education and Job Training

The 21st century economy demands higher levels of education and skills from American workers than at any other time in history. The fastest growing jobs, on average, require a postsecondary credential—a vocational certificate or other credential, or an associate or higher degree. As the demand for workers with specialized skills and training grows, some economists fear that America is facing a ''skills gap,'' a situation in which the demand by employers for skilled workers outpaces supply. Employers are having difficulty filling jobs with workers who have the skills they require. Fields like health care, information technology, and advanced manufacturing have jobs and solid career paths left untaken due to a lack of people qualified to fill them. Therefore, access to post-secondary education and job training is becoming even more critical for workers to obtain the required skills for American businesses to remain competitive.

Educational achievement in high school and beyond is a key predictor of success in the labor market. For example, in 2006, the unemployment rate for high school dropouts was 6.8 percent versus 3.0 percent for individuals with an associate's degree,

[20] Vaughan, George B (2005), ''(Over)Selling the Community College: What Price Access,'' *The Chronicle of Higher Education,* 52 (10), P. B12.

[21] Jacobson, Louis, Robert LaLonde, and Daniel Sullivan, Do Displaced Workers Benefit From Community College Courses? Findings From Administrative Data and Directions for Future Research, Working Paper, 2005.

and only 2.0% for those who had earned a bachelor's degree. Moreover, average earnings also greatly increase with higher levels of education.

ETA is evaluating a new method of providing occupational training: Career Advancement Accounts (CAAs). CAAs are self-directed accounts that enable current and future workers to gain the skills needed to successfully enter, navigate, and advance in 21st century jobs. Accounts will be available to workers entering the workforce or transitioning between jobs and careers, to incumbent workers in need of new skills to remain employed or to move up the career ladder, and to out-of-school youth to restart their education or to begin a vocational training program.

This approach to occupational training is a fundamental departure from the traditional WIA practices of sequence of services and ITA-based occupational training. To understand the comprehensive nature of such a change, ETA will conduct a randomized controlled trial evaluation of the current WIA system. The evaluation will seek to examine the employment and earnings of three groups: (1) Those who receive traditional WIA services; (2) those who receive an enhanced training account; and (3) a control group of non-WIA participants. ETA will also assess whether the WIA services group can be further examined to determine earnings, employment, and retention impacts for a core/intensive participant group, a training participant group, and an ''any WIA service'' summary group. The evaluation will begin in the fall of 2007 with short-term impact results projected to be delivered to ETA in 2012.

Also, ETA is interested in the long-run impacts of occupational training. ETA is in the process of extending the evaluation of the ITA experiment. The extension would be a longitudinal follow-up study that examines the impacts of the three ITA treatments on the original participant groups and would introduce a quasi-experimental, but closely-matched control group to the evaluation to help determine the incremental net-impacts on earnings, employment, and retention of training on the ITA experiment participants. ETA will begin this project in the fall of 2007.

Suggested topics for research projects include:

- ''Ages and Stages'' of lifelong learners;
- How people learn, and what they learn;
- The value of career and technical education;
- How to train diverse populations quickly;
- Analyze research of the Institute for Educational Sciences (IES), Department of Education; and
- Partnerships with the Department of Defense, IES, Foundations, and others.

''Ages and Stages'' of Lifelong Learners

While many workers manage transitions between different jobs successfully on their own, others need help. There are some workers who may have completed certain careers and who want to do something different such as retired math and science professionals who want to teach. Suggested research include analyzing the transitions between different jobs, how workers get from one stage to another, and what is the best way to facilitate transitions to new careers and to discover any obstacles that prevent such transitions from happening. ETA is implementing a demonstration project with Western Governors University to increase the number of qualified mathematics and science teachers for unfilled teaching jobs in rural areas. The project will recruit and assist professionals in transitions between jobs that lack teaching certificates, or other qualifications, to complete their teaching degree requirements.

Another research topic suggests examining attitudes about lifelong learning, about learning and having increasing levels of knowledge among diverse populations and/or generations. The major policy research issue is about ETA investments in individuals and types of learning. Further, it is also important to examine how ETA serves different groups and different learners at different stages of their lives. For example, the millennial generation learns in a very different way than the baby-boomer generation. Understanding such differences will assist ETA to design and implement policies and programs that are tailored to different learning generations and styles and ultimately improve program effectiveness and performance outcomes.

How People Learn and What They Learn

Just a few decades ago most learning took place in classrooms, for people of certain ages, with books and a blackboard. Today, learning is enhanced by technology and therefore can take place on computers in the home where students are of all ages, online materials can replace books, and blackboards are now white. This change has profound effects on training.

Determining how people learn and how technology makes learning accessible is a research topic to examine as it can inform investment in educational systems, models, or approaches that work particularly for occupational skills training. With distance learning far more common, it becomes far easier to institute lifelong learning programs. Computers make tracking e-learning results simpler. Examples of proposed research topics include:

- Industry competency models;
- What workers need to know—general capital versus firm-specific capital (i.e., employers will train on job-specifics but want employees to already possess computer skills such as Word or Excel, for example);
- On-demand learning;
- Remediation/literacy—Are new ways of remediation needed? How are falling literacy levels and more complex employment reading levels posing problems for the workforce?
- English as a Second Language (ESL); and
- Motivation to learn.

How To Train Quickly; How To Train Diverse Populations

The Department of Defense (DOD) has an enormous occupational training establishment paralleling much of what community colleges do. DOD has to train vast numbers of recruits to do a wide range of tasks, from cooking to maintaining equipment to piloting fighter planes. Suggested research includes examining the effectiveness of DOD long-term learning systems. What do they know and do that ETA can learn from?

While the workforce investment system in the past has focused primarily on short-term occupational training leading to rapid reemployment, the realities of the global labor market and the economic restructuring from traditional manufacturing economies to more innovation-based economies may require ETA to test longer-term training approaches. One such approach, lifelong learning accounts (LiLAs), are employer-matched individual asset accounts that finance lifelong learning so that workers can achieve their career goals and advance into family-sustaining jobs. LiLAs are workplace-based, with employers' matches leveraging employees' contributions, and a potential for third-party contributions. ETA is conducting, in collaboration with the State of Maine and the Council for Adult and Experiential Learning (CAEL), a two-year pilot test of this concept. The primary goal of the pilot is for the State's One-Stop Career Centers to take a lead role in establishing a State-based delivery system for supporting LiLAs.

Another approach is the Career Advancement Accounts discussed earlier.

Analyze Research Done by the Institute for Educational Sciences (IES), Department of Education

In addition to learning from DOD, ETA could analyze research done by the Institute for Educational Studies (IES) of the U.S. Department of Education. The Department of Education commissions scientific studies of learning effectiveness and examines what works in schools and professional development. For example, MDRC has performed a study of literacy education in 9th grade for IES. They tested two curricula with 9th graders who could not read, with the results having applications to illiterate adults.

Partnerships With DOD, IES, Foundations, and Others

A number of Federal and State government agencies and foundations are examining education and training. As well as DOD and IES, some State agencies are doing research in these areas. The Ford Foundation, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Smith Richardson Foundation, and the Pew Foundation, among others, are all interested in education. One way to leverage research dollars would be to work with these other entities. It may be possible to get questions added to their studies or surveys, for example. ETA has collaborated with other Federal agencies such as the Department of Health and Human Services on projects targeted to welfare recipients and low-wage workers. ETA is currently collaborating with the Joyce Foundation on the Transitional Jobs Reentry Demonstration Project and with the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation on the Jobs to Careers Initiative. ETA will continue to explore opportunities to collaborate with other entities on common research projects.

Unemployment Insurance

The Federal-State UI program has managed a careful balance between its role as a safety net and its employment disincentive effects. Some think its role as a safety net is inadequate while others think it goes too far. In contrast, unemployment insurance systems elsewhere have tremendous disincentives to reemployment. In the United States, 12 percent of the unemployed have been out of work for more than a year. This is far too many, but it compares favorably to Germany, where 54 percent have been unemployed for over a year; Italy, where the ratio is 52 percent; and France, where it is 43 percent.

Suggested research topics include:

- The efficacy of the current UI system for the 21st century workforce;
- The effect of unemployment benefits on the duration of spells of unemployment; and
- Further UI research.

The Efficacy of Current UI System for the 21st Century Workforce and Economy

A suggested research project is to evaluate how UI responds to the 21st century economy. Is UI a safety net, something more, or something less? Ownership ideas should be evaluated, with a system similar to a 401(k) plan or a health savings account, where employees would be able to keep the unused funds in the account. Is UI viable as an income replacement and an economic stabilizer? Given the structural changes in the economy, the labor force, and the needs of employers, is there a structural response needed in the UI program to increase its value to employers, unemployed workers, and the economy? Is a Federal-State partnership still viable and relevant in the 21st century? Is it complicated to have 53 different State systems versus one national system?

Additional research suggested includes a determination of how to ensure all the incentives are moving in the right direction. What if the UI system did not exist? What would workers do when they lose their jobs? What do entrepreneurs and risk takers do now when things do not work out, because they are not covered by UI? How would a UI support system work for entrepreneurs (high risk employment)?

Many alternative possibilities exist for UI. One idea to consider is a UI system reconstituted as a hybrid between income replacement and wage insurance. ETA plans on exploring the potential impacts of income replacement and wage insurance programs by conducting a study of the wage subsidy or wage insurance component of the Trade Adjustment Assistance program. Such an evaluation could examine the employment, retention, and earnings of program participants compared to a comparison group of like individuals. The content and timing of the study will be heavily influenced by possible TAA reauthorization. Another idea is to consider moving away from an all or nothing approach as the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program has done with varying levels of UI. One suggestion is to conduct an examination of recently introduced legislation that provides an alternative for the UI program.

Disincentives and Incentives To Work

There has been a significant amount of work in the area of incentives and disincentives of UI. What is not known is the role that UI plays in people's lives across each segment of the population. For example, is UI no longer needed for some? Does it need to be expanded for others? Further exploration in these areas is recommended as well as more information about the particular aspects of the program on which the disincentives and incentives would focus.

Further UI Research To Consider

Examining how uncovered workers deal with unemployment is an area of research ETA has not undertaken. A suggested research project would compare workers who are eligible with those ineligible for UI benefits (or those who received with those who did not receive benefits). ETA has not made this kind of comparison. Possible research includes a random assignment study or a quasi-experimental net impact study among two different States with different UI payout rates to examine this and all of the UI research questions raised. Naturally, the first step would be to do a feasibility study. A survey could be implemented to see whether individuals would pay for individual UI savings accounts dedicated to spells of unemployment.

Other future research might include: A continuation of the Internet Initial Claims Evaluation to see if there is a segment of the population that the UI system fails to serve in those localities where in-person claims filing is no longer an option (an extension or continuation of the study could include an examination of the advantages and disadvantages of benefits dispersal through direct deposit and ATM delivery which several States have begun); studies that evaluate the elimination of fraud or other improved integrity efforts; studies that assess the impact of false hits on the national new hire directory; studies to measure the effectiveness of UI benefit and tax payment accuracy; studies that develop an optimum method for States to determine which individuals to pursue for overpayments using the new hire directory; and studies that determine the characteristics of employers who do not respond to UI agency inquiries during the adjudication of separation issues.

Appendix A

Leading Business, Government and Academia Economists

On December 16, 2005, the Employment and Training Administration of the U.S. Department of Labor assembled a panel of economic experts to discuss future directions for research on workforce issues. Assistant Secretary Emily Stover DeRocco chaired the meeting. The following table lists the names of experts from government, business and academia who attended the meeting and their organizations.

Economist	Organization
Gordon Berlin	MDRC.
Ron Bird*	U.S. Department of Labor.
Diana Furchtgott-Roth	Hudson Institute, Inc.
Randall Kempner	Council on Competitiveness.
Richard McGahey	Ford Foundation.
Alan Moghissi	Institute for Regulatory Science.
Demetra Nightingale*	Institute for Policy Studies/Johns Hopkins University.
Deborah van Opstal	Council on Competitiveness.
Rick Shangraw	Decision Theater/Arizona State University.
Jim Streeter	Institute for Regulatory Science.
Daniel G. Sullivan	Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.
Nancy Welch	Morrison Institute for Public Policy/Arizona State University.

* While unable to attend the meeting due to inclement weather, these individuals were provided drafts of the research plan and their comments are captured in the plan.

[FR Doc. E7–25563 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FM–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Federal Register Notice

DATES: Weeks of December 31, 2007, January 7, 14, 21, 28, February 4, 2008.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of December 31, 2007

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of December 31, 2007.

Week of January 7, 2008—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of January 7, 2008.

Week of January 14, 2008—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of January 14, 2008.

Week of January 21, 2008—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of January 21, 2008.

Week of January 28, 2008—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of January 28, 2008.

Week of February 4, 2008—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of February 4, 2008.

* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: Michelle Schroll, (301) 415–1662.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: *http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policy-making/schedule.html.*

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify the NRC's Disability Program Coordinator, Rohn Brown, at 301–492–2279, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by e-mail at *REB3@nrc.gov.* Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to *dkw@nrc.gov.*

Dated: December 27, 2007.

R. Michelle Schroll,

Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07–6306 Filed 12–31–07; 10:59 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension: Rule 206(4)–7; SEC File No. 270–523; OMB Control No. 3235–0585.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission (the ''Commission'') has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (''OMB'') a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

The title for the collection of information is ''Investment Advisers Act rule 206(4)–7 (17 CFR 275.206(4)–7), Compliance procedures and practices.'' Rule 206(4)–7 requires each investment adviser registered with the Commission to (i) adopt and implement internal compliance policies and procedures, (ii) review those policies and procedures annually, (iii) designate a chief compliance officer, and (iv) maintain certain compliance records. Rule 206(4)–7 is designed to protect investors by fostering better compliance with the securities laws. The collection of information under rule 206(4)–7 is necessary to assure that investment advisers maintain comprehensive internal programs that promote the advisers' compliance with the Advisers Act. The information collection in the rule also assists the Commission's examination staff in assessing the

adequacy of advisers' compliance programs. This collection of information is mandatory.

The information documented pursuant to rule 206(4)–7 is reviewed by the Commission's examination staff, it will be accorded the same level of confidentiality accorded to other responses provided to the Commission in the context of its examination and oversight program. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

The respondents to this information collection are investment advisers registered with the Commission. Our latest data indicate that there were 10,817 advisers registered with the Commission as of September 30, 2007. The Commission has estimated that compliance with rule 206(4)–7 imposes an annual burden of approximately 80 hours per respondent. Based on this figure, the Commission estimates a total annual burden of 865,360 hours for this collection of information.

Please direct general comments regarding the above information to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or e-mail to: *Alexander_T._Hunt@omb.eop.gov*; and (ii) R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: *PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov*. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25523 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC–28084]

Notice of Applications for Deregistration Under Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

December 27, 2007.

The following is a notice of applications for deregistration under section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for the month of December, 2007. A copy of each application may be obtained for a fee at the SEC's Public Reference Branch (tel. 202–551–5850). An order granting each application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by writing to the SEC's Secretary at the address below and serving the relevant applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on January 22, 2008, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

For Further Information Contact: Diane L. Titus at (202) 551–6810, SEC, Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–4041.

Franklin Federal Money Fund [File No. 811–3052]

Summary: Applicant, a feeder fund in a master/feeder structure, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On August 31, 2006, applicant transferred its assets to Franklin Money Fund, based on net asset value. Expenses of $175,850 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicant, the acquiring fund and Franklin Advisers, Inc., the investment adviser of the acquiring fund.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on November 9, 2007, and amended on December 18, 2007.

Applicant's Address: One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403–1906.

Columbus Funds, Inc. [File No. 811–21463]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On August 3, 2007, applicant transferred its assets to Frontegra Funds, Inc., based on net asset value. Expenses of $110,500 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by Reams Asset Management Company, LLC, applicant's investment adviser, and Frontegra Asset Management, Inc., the acquiring fund's investment adviser.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on October 10, 2007, and amended on December 14, 2007.

Applicant's Address: 227 Washington St., Columbus, IN 47202.

Granum Series Trust [File No. 811–8029]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On November 1, 2007, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of $292,343 incurred in connection with the liquidation will be paid by applicant from assets placed in an escrow account.

Filing Date: The application was filed on November 13, 2007.

Applicant's Address: c/o Granum Capital Management, L.L.C., 126 East 56th St., 25th Floor, New York, NY 10022.

CAMCO Investors Fund [File No. 811–8465]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On February 8, 2007, applicant transferred its assets to CAMCO Investors Trust, based on net asset value. Expenses of $30,050 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicant and Cornerstone Asset Management Inc., applicant's investment adviser. A capital stock tax in the amount of $69 payable to the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue will be paid by the acquiring fund.

Filing Date: The application was filed on November 13, 2007.

Applicant's Address: 30 East Main St., Berryville, VA 22611.

FTI Funds [File No. 811–7369]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On July 24, 2003, applicant transferred its assets to corresponding series of Franklin Global Trust, based on net asset value. Expenses of $43,619 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by Fiduciary International, Inc., applicant's investment adviser.

Filing Date: The application was filed on December 4, 2007.

Applicant's Address: One Franklin Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94403–1906.

Prairie Fund [File No. 811–9931]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On September 19, 2007, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of $16,059 incurred in connection with the liquidation will be paid by applicant and Zuckerman Management Associates, Inc., applicant's investment adviser and sole remaining shareholder.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on October 26, 2007, and amended on November 30, 2007.

Applicant's Address: 801 41st St., Suite 210, Miami, FL 33140.

MetLife Investment Funds, Inc. [File No. 811–7450]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On August 16, 2007 the Board of Directors voted to liquidate the Applicant, and on November 9, 2007, the Applicant distributed all of its shares at net asset value to its shareholders. Expenses of $35,900 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid for by the Applicant.

Filing Date: The application was filed on December 11, 2007.

Applicant's Address: 400 Atrium Drive, Somerset, NJ 08873–4172.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25524 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57042; File No. SR–Amex–2007–70]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendments No. 1 and 2, Relating to the Listing and Trading of Units of the United States Heating Oil Fund, LP and the United States Gasoline Fund, LP

December 26, 2007.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'') [1] and Rule 19b–4 [2] thereunder, notice is hereby given that on June 29, 2007, the American Stock Exchange LLC (''Amex'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. On August 16, 2007, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. On December 20, 2007, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to list and trade units (a ''Unit'' or collectively, the ''Units'') of each of the United States Heating Oil Fund, LP (''USHO'') and the United States Gasoline Fund, LP (''USG'') (each, a ''Partnership,'' and collectively, the ''Partnerships'').

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1.Purpose

The Exchange proposes to list and trade the Units issued by USHO (under the symbol: ''UHN'') and USG (under symbol: ''UGA'') pursuant to Amex Rules 1500–AEMI and 1501 through 1505. [3] Each Partnership is a commodity pool that will issue Units that may be purchased and sold on the Exchange. The Exchange submits that the Units will conform to the initial and continued listing criteria under Rule 1502, [4] specialist prohibitions under Rule 1503, and the obligations of specialists under Rule 1504.

Ownership of a Unit represents a fractional undivided beneficial interest in each of the net assets of USHO and USG. Each of the net assets of USHO and USG will consist of investments in futures contracts based on heating oil, gasoline, crude oil, and other petroleum-based fuels, and natural gas that are traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (''NYMEX''), Intercontinental Exchange (''ICE Futures''), or other U.S. and foreign exchanges (collectively, ''Futures Contracts''). In the case of USHO, the predominant investments are expected to be based on, or related to, heating oil. The predominant investments of USG are expected to be based on, or related to, gasoline.

USHO may also invest in other heating-oil-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for heating oil, and over-the-counter (''OTC'') contracts that are based on the price of heating oil, oil and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts, and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, ''Other Heating Oil Related Investments''). Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil Related Investments collectively are referred to as ''Heating Oil Interests.''

Similarly, USG may also invest in other gasoline-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for gasoline, and OTC transactions based on the price of gasoline, oil, and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts, and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, ''Other Gasoline-Related Investments''). Futures Contracts and Other Gasoline-Related Investments collectively are referred to as ''Gasoline Interests.''

Each of USHO and USG will invest in Heating Oil Interests and Gasoline Interests, respectively, to the fullest extent possible without being leveraged or unable to satisfy its current or potential margin or collateral obligations. In pursuing this objective, the primary focus of USHO's and USG's investment manager, Victoria Bay Asset Management, LLC (''Victoria Bay'' or ''General Partner''), will be the investment in Futures Contracts and the management of its investments in short-term obligations of the United States of two years or less (''Treasuries''), and cash and cash equivalents (collectively, ''Cash'') for margining purposes and as collateral.

USHO Investment Objective and Policies

The investment objective of USHO is for the changes in percentage terms of a Unit's net asset value (''NAV'') to reflect the changes in percentage terms of the price of heating oil (also known as No. 2 fuel) delivered at the New York harbor, as measured by the changes in the price of the heating oil futures contract traded on the NYMEX (the

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] Amex Rule 1500–AEMI provides for the listing of Partnership Units, which are defined as securities, that are (a) issued by a partnership that invests in any combination of futures contracts, options on futures contracts, forward contracts, commodities, and/or securities; and (b) issued and redeemed daily in specified aggregate amounts at net asset value. *See* Exchange Act Release No. 53582 (March 31, 2006), 71 FR 17510 (April 6, 2006) (SR–Amex–2005–127) (approving Amex Rules 1500–AEMI and 1501 through 1505 in conjunction with the listing and trading of Units of the United States Oil Fund, LP).

[4] *See* section entitled ''Listing and Trading Rules,'' *infra.*

''Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract''), less USHO's expenses. The Heating Oil Benchmark Futures contract employed is the near month expiration contract, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case it will invest in the next expiration month.[5]

The General Partner will attempt to place USHO's trades in Heating Oil Interests and otherwise manage USHO's investments so that ''A'' will be within plus/minus 10% of ''B'', where:

• A is the average daily change in USHO's NAV for any period of 30 successive valuation days, *i.e.*, any day as of which USHO calculates its NAV, and

• B is the average daily change in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract over the same period.

The Exchange states that an investment in the Units will allow both retail and institutional investors to easily gain exposure to the heating oil market in a cost-effective manner. The Units are also expected to provide additional means for diversifying an investor's investments or hedging exposure to changes in heating oil prices.

The General Partner believes that market arbitrage opportunities should cause USHO's Unit price to closely track USHO's per-Unit NAV, which is targeted at the current Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract.[6] USHO will not be operated in a manner such that the per-Unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the dollar price of spot heating oil or any particular futures contract based on heating oil.

USG Investment Objective and Policies

The investment objective of USG is for changes in percentage terms of a Unit's NAV to reflect the changes in percentage terms of the price of unleaded gasoline (also known as reformulated gasoline blendstock for oxygen blending or ''RBOB''), for delivery to New York harbor, as measured by the changes in the price of the unleaded gasoline futures contract traded on the NYMEX (the ''Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract''), less USG's expenses. The Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract employed is the near month expiration contract, except when the near month contract is within two weeks, in which case it will invest in the next expiration month.[7]

The General Partner will attempt to place USG's trades in Gasoline Interests and otherwise manage USG's investments so that ''A'' will be within plus/minus 10% of ''B'', where:

• A is the average daily change in USG's NAV for any period of 30 successive valuation days, *i.e.*, any day as of which USG calculates its NAV, and

• B is the average daily change in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract over the same period.

The Exchange states that an investment in the Units will allow both retail and institutional investors to easily gain exposure to the gasoline market in a cost-effective manner. The Units are also expected to provide additional means for diversifying an investor's investments or hedging exposure to changes in gasoline prices.

The General Partner believes that market arbitrage opportunities should cause USG's Unit price to closely track USG's per-Unit NAV which is targeted at the current Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract. USG will not be operated in a manner such that the per-Unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the dollar price of spot gasoline or any particular futures contract based on gasoline.

Description of the Petroleum-Based Fuels Market

With respect to each of the following petroleum-based fuel markets, the Exchange states as follows:

Heating Oil. Heating oil, also known as No. 2 fuel oil, accounts for 25% of the yield of a barrel of crude oil, the second largest ''cut'' from oil after gasoline. The heating oil futures contract, listed and traded on NYMEX, trades in units of 42,000 gallons (1,000 barrels) and is based on delivery in New York harbor, the principal cash market center. The price of heating oil fluctuates on a seasonal basis. Cold weather increases demand and price follows.

Crude Oil. Crude oil is the world's most actively traded commodity. The NYMEX is the world's most liquid forum for crude oil trading and has the most liquid futures contracts on a physical commodity. Due to the liquidity and price transparency of oil Futures Contracts, they are used as a principal international pricing benchmark. The oil futures contracts for WTI light, sweet crude oil trade on the NYMEX in units of 1,000 U.S. barrels (42,000 gallons) and, if not closed out before maturity, will result in delivery of oil to Cushing, Oklahoma, which is also accessible to the world market by two major interstate petroleum pipeline systems.

The price of crude oil is established by the supply and demand conditions in the global market overall, and more particularly, in the main refining centers of Singapore, Northwest Europe, and the U.S. Gulf Coast. Demand for petroleum products by consumers, as well as agricultural, manufacturing, and transportation industries, determines demand for crude oil by refiners. Since the precursors of product demand are linked to economic activity, crude oil demand will tend to reflect economic conditions. However, other factors such as weather also influence product and crude oil demand. The price of WTI light, sweet crude oil has historically exhibited periods of significant volatility.

Gasoline. Gasoline is by volume the largest single refined product sold in the United States and accounts for almost half of national oil consumption. The gasoline Futures Contract, listed and traded on the NYMEX, trades in units of 42,000 gallons (1,000 barrels) and is based on delivery at petroleum products terminals in the New York harbor, the major East Coast trading center for imports and domestic shipments from refineries in the New York harbor area or from the Gulf Coast refining centers. The price of gasoline is volatile.

Natural Gas. Natural gas accounts for almost a quarter of U.S. energy consumption. The price of natural gas is established by the supply and demand conditions in the North American market, and more particularly, in the main refining center of the U.S. Gulf Coast. The natural gas market essentially constitutes an auction, where the highest bidder wins the supply. When markets are ''strong'' (*i.e.*, when demand is high and/or supply is low), the bidder must be willing to pay a higher premium to capture the supply. When markets are ''weak'' (*i.e.*, when demand is low and/or supply is high), a bidder may choose not to outbid competitors, waiting instead for later, possibly lower-priced, supplies. Demand for natural gas by consumers, and the agricultural, manufacturing, and transportation industries, determines overall demand for natural gas. Since the precursors of product demand are linked to economic activity, natural gas demand will tend to reflect economic conditions. However, other factors such as weather significantly influence natural gas demand. NYMEX is the world's largest physical commodity futures exchange and the dominant market for the trading of energy and precious metals. The NYMEX's natural

[5] The Benchmark Futures Contracts will be changed or ''rolled'' over a four-day period by selling the near month contract that expires the following month.

[6] *See* section entitled ''Arbitrage,'' *infra.*

[7] The Benchmark Futures Contracts will be changed or ''rolled'' over a four-day period by selling the near month contract that expires the following month.

gas futures contracts trade in units of 10,000 million British thermal units (''mmBtu'') and are based on delivery at the Henry Hub in Louisiana.

Because of the volatility of natural gas prices, a vigorous basis market has developed in the pricing relationships between the Henry Hub and other important natural gas market centers in the continental United States and Canada.

Structure and Regulation of USHO and USG

Each of USHO and USG is a Delaware limited partnership formed in April 2007. USHO is a commodity pool that will invest in Heating Oil Interests, while USG is a commodity pool that will invest in Gasoline Interests. Neither USHO nor USG is an investment company as defined in section 3(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Both are managed by Victoria Bay, a single-member Delaware limited liability company, which is wholly owned by Wainwright Holdings, Inc. The General Partner of the Partnerships is registered as a commodity pool operator (''CPO'') with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the ''CFTC'') and is a member of the National Futures Association.

Information regarding the Partnerships and the General Partner, as well as detailed descriptions of the manner in which the Units will be offered and sold, and the investment strategy of USHO and USG, are included in their respective registration statements regarding the offering of the Units filed with the Commission under the Securities Act of 1933.[8]

Clearing Broker. UBS Securities, LLC (the ''Clearing Broker''), a CFTC-registered futures commission merchant (''FCM''), will execute and clear each Partnership's futures contract transactions and hold the margin related to its Futures Contracts investments. The clearing arrangements between the Clearing Broker and each Partnership are terminable by the Clearing Broker, upon notice. In such an instance, the General Partner may be required to renegotiate with the current Clearing Broker, or make arrangements with other FCMs, if the Partnership(s) intend(s) to continue trading in Futures Contracts or Heating Oil Interests and Gasoline Interests, as appropriate, at the present level of capacity.

Administrator and Custodian. Under separate agreements with each Partnership, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. will serve as each Partnership's administrator, registrar, transfer agent, and custodian (the ''Administrator'' or ''Custodian''). The Administrator will perform or supervise the performance of services necessary for the operation and administration of each Partnership. These services include, but are not limited to, investment accounting, financial reporting, broker and trader reconciliation, calculation of the NAV, and valuation of Treasuries and cash equivalents used to purchase or redeem Units and other Partnership assets or liabilities. As Custodian, it will: (1) Receive payments from purchasers of Creation Baskets; (2) make payments to Sellers for Redemption Baskets, as described below; and (3) hold the cash, cash equivalents and Treasuries, as well as collateral posted by each Partnership's derivatives counterparties, and will make transfers of margin and collateral with respect to each Partnership's investments to and from its FCMs or counterparties.

Marketing Agent. ALPS Distributors, Inc., a registered broker-dealer, will be the marketing agent for the Partnerships (''Marketing Agent''). The Marketing Agent will continuously offer and redeem Creation and Redemption Baskets, respectively, and will receive and process creation and redemption orders from Authorized Purchasers (as defined below) and coordinate the processing of orders for the creation or redemption of Units with the General Partner and the Depository Trust Company (''DTC'').

Investment Strategy of USHO

Investments. The General Partner of USHO believes that it will be able to use a combination of Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil Related Investments to manage the portfolio to achieve its investment objective. The General Partner further anticipates that the exact mix of Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil Related Investments held by the portfolio will vary over time depending on, among over things, the amount of invested assets in the portfolio, price movements of Heating Oil, the rules and regulations of the various futures and commodities exchanges and trading platforms that deal in Heating Oil Interests, and innovations in the Heating Oil Interests' marketplace, including both the creation of new Heating Oil Interest investment vehicles and the creation of new trading venues that trade in Heating Oil Interests.

Futures Contracts. The principal Heating Oil Interests to be invested in by USHO are Futures Contracts. USHO initially expects to purchase the Benchmark Futures Contract. USHO may also invest in Futures Contracts in Heating Oil, crude oil, gasoline, and other petroleum-based fuels that are traded on the NYMEX, ICE Futures, or other U.S. and foreign exchanges.

The Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract has historically closely tracked the investment objective of USHO over the short term, medium term and the long term. For that reason, USHO anticipates making significant investments in the Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract. The General Partner submits that other Futures Contracts have also tended to track the investment objective of USHO, though not as closely as the Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract.

Other Heating Oil Related Investments. USHO may also purchase Other Heating Oil Related Investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts and forward contracts for Heating Oil, and participate in OTC transactions that are based on the price of Heating Oil, crude oil, natural gas, and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts, and indices based on the foregoing. Option contracts offer investors and hedgers another vehicle for managing exposure to the heating oil market. USHO may purchase options on Heating Oil Futures Contracts on the principal commodities and futures exchanges in pursuing its investment objective.

In addition to these listed options, there also exists an active OTC market in derivatives linked to Heating Oil. These OTC derivative contracts are privately negotiated agreements between two parties. Unlike Futures Contracts or related options, each party to an OTC contract bears the credit risk that the counterparty may not be able to perform its obligations. Some OTC contracts contain fairly generic terms and conditions and are available from a wide range of participants, while other OTC contracts have highly customized terms and conditions and are not as widely available. Many OTC contracts are cash-settled forwards for the future delivery of Heating Oil or petroleum-based fuels that have terms similar to the Futures Contracts. Others take the form of ''swaps'' in which the two parties exchange cash flows based on pre-determined formulas tied to the price of Heating Oil as determined by the spot, forward, or futures markets. USHO may enter into OTC derivative contracts whose value may be tied to changes in the difference between the Heating Oil spot price, the price of Futures Contracts traded on NYMEX, and the prices of non-NYMEX Futures

[8] *See* USHO's Form S–1 filed with the Commission on April 19, 2007 (File No. 333–142211); USG's S–1 filed with the Commission on April 18, 2007 (File No. 333–142206).

Contracts that may be invested in by USHO.

Investment Strategy of USG

Investments. USG believes that it will be able to use a combination of Futures Contracts and Other Gasoline Related Investments to manage the portfolio to achieve its investment objective. USG further anticipates that the exact mix of Futures Contracts and Other Gasoline Related Investments held by the portfolio will vary over time depending on, among other things, the amount of invested assets in the portfolio, price movements of Gasoline, the rules and regulations of the various futures and commodities exchanges and trading platforms that deal in Gasoline Interests, and innovations in the Gasoline Interests' marketplace including both the creation of new Gasoline Interest investment vehicles and the creation of new trading venues that trade in Gasoline Interests.

Futures Contracts. The principal Gasoline Interests to be invested in by USG are Futures Contracts. USG initially expects to purchase the Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract. USG may also invest in Futures Contracts in crude oil, natural gas, heating oil, and other petroleum-based fuels that are traded on the NYMEX, ICE Futures, or other U.S. and foreign exchanges.

The Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract has historically closely tracked the investment objective of USG over the short term, medium term and the long term. For that reason, USG anticipates making significant investments in the Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract. The General Partner submits that other Futures Contracts have also tended to track the investment objective of USG, though not as closely as the Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract.

Other Gasoline Related Investments. USG may also purchase Other Gasoline Related Investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for gasoline, and OTC contracts that are based on the price of gasoline, heating oil, crude oil, natural gas, and other petroleum-based fuels, as well as Futures Contracts and indices based on the foregoing. Option contracts offer investors and hedgers another vehicle for managing exposure to the gasoline market. USG may purchase options on gasoline Futures Contracts on the principal commodities and futures exchanges in pursuing its investment objective.

In addition to these listed options, there also exists an active OTC market in derivatives linked to gasoline. These OTC derivative transactions are privately negotiated agreements between two parties. Unlike Futures Contracts or related options, each party to an OTC contract bears the credit risk that the counterparty may not be able to perform its obligations. Some OTC contracts contain fairly generic terms and conditions and are available from a wide range of participants, while other OTC contracts have highly customized terms and conditions and are not as widely available. Many OTC contracts are cash-settled forwards for the future delivery of gasoline or petroleum-based fuels that have terms similar to the Futures Contracts. Others take the form of ''swaps'' in which the two parties exchange cash flows based on pre-determined formulas tied to the price of gasoline as determined by the spot, forward, or futures markets. USG may enter into OTC derivative contracts whose value will be tied to changes in the difference between the gasoline spot price, the price of Futures Contracts traded on NYMEX, and the prices of non-NYMEX Futures Contracts that may be invested in by USG.

Impact of Accountability Levels and Position Limits

The CFTC and U.S. designated contract markets such as NYMEX have established accountability levels and position limits on the maximum net long or net short Futures Contracts in commodity interests that any person or group of persons under common trading control and that these limits are applicable to each of the Partnerships. Accountability levels and position limits are intended, among other things, to prevent a corner or squeeze on a market or undue influence on prices by any single trader or group of traders. The net position is the difference between an individual or firm's open long contracts and open short contracts in any one commodity.

Most U.S. futures exchanges, such as NYMEX, also limit the daily price fluctuation (*i.e.*, daily price limits) for Futures Contracts. The daily price limits establish the maximum amount that the price of a futures contract or an option on a futures contract may vary either up or down from the previous day's settlement price during a particular trading session. Once the daily limit has been reached in a particular futures contract or option on a futures contract, no trades may be made at a price beyond the limit.

The accountability levels for the Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract, the Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract, and other Futures Contracts traded on NYMEX are not a fixed ceiling, but rather a threshold above which the NYMEX may exercise greater scrutiny and control over an investor's positions. The current accountability level for each of the Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract and Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract is 7,000 contracts. If a Partnership exceeds this accountability level for its Benchmark Futures Contract, NYMEX will monitor the Partnership's exposure and ask for further information on its activities, including the total size of all positions, the investment and trading strategy, and the extent of liquidity resources. If deemed necessary by NYMEX, it could also order the Partnership to reduce its position back to the accountability level.

If NYMEX orders a Partnership to reduce its position back to the accountability level, or to an accountability level that NYMEX deems appropriate for the Partnership, such an accountability level may impact the mix of investments by such Partnership. To illustrate, assume that the Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract and the unit price of USHO are each $10, and that NYMEX has determined that USHO may not own more than 7,000 contracts. In such a case, USHO could invest up to $2.940 billion of its daily net assets in the Benchmark Futures Contract (*i.e.*, $10 per unit multiplied by 42,000 (a Benchmark Futures Contract is a contract for 42,000 gallons (1,000 barrels)) multiplied by 7,000 contracts) before reaching the accountability level imposed by NYMEX. Once the daily net assets of the portfolio exceed $2.940 billion in the Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract, the portfolio may not be able to make any further investments in the Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contract, depending on whether the NYMEX imposes limits. If NYMEX does impose limits at the $2.940 billion level (or another level), USHO anticipates that it will invest the majority of its assets above that level in a mix of other Futures Contracts or Other Heating Oil Related Investments. The above example applies equally to USG and the Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contract.

In addition to accountability levels, NYMEX imposes position limits on contracts held in the last few days of trading in the near month contract. The Exchange states that it is unlikely that a Partnership will run up against such position limits because each Partnership's investment strategy is to exit from the near month contract over a four day period beginning two weeks from expiration of the contract.

Investment Procedures

The General Partner of each of USHO and USG anticipate that the use of Other Heating Oil Related Investments and Other Gasoline Related Investments, respectively, together with investments in Futures Contracts, will produce price and total return results that closely track each Partnership's investment objective.

Counterparty Procedures. To protect itself from the credit risk that arises in connection with OTC contracts, each Partnership will enter into agreements with each counterparty that provide for the netting of its overall exposure to its counterparty, and/or provide collateral or other credit support to address the Partnership's exposure. The counterparties to an OTC contract will generally be major broker-dealers and banks or their affiliates, though certain institutions, such as large energy companies, or other institutions active in the gasoline commodities markets, may also be counterparties. The General Partner will assess or review, as appropriate, the creditworthiness of each potential or existing, as appropriate, counterparty to an OTC contract pursuant to guidelines approved by the General Partner's board of directors. Furthermore, the General Partner, on behalf of each Partnership, will only enter into OTC contracts with (a) members of the Federal Reserve System or foreign banks with branches regulated by the Federal Reserve Board, (b) primary dealers in U.S. government securities, (c) broker-dealers, (d) commodities futures merchants, or (e) affiliates of the foregoing.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Treasuries. USHO and USG will invest virtually all of their assets not invested in Heating Oil Interests or Gasoline Interests, respectively, in cash, cash equivalents, and Treasuries with a remaining maturity of two years or less. The cash, cash equivalents, and Treasuries will be available to be used to meet each Partnership's current or potential margin and collateral requirements with respect to investments in Heating Oil Interests or Gasoline Interests, as appropriate. Neither Partnership will use cash, cash equivalents, or Treasuries as margin for new investments unless it has a sufficient amount of cash, cash equivalents or Treasuries to meet the margin or collateral requirements that may arise due to changes in the value of its currently held Heating Oil Interests or Gasoline Interests. Other than in connection with a redemption of Units, each Partnership does not intend to distribute cash or property to its Unit holders. Interest earned on cash, cash equivalents, and Treasuries held by a Partnership will be retained by it to pay its expenses, to make investments to satisfy its investment objectives, or to satisfy its margin or collateral requirements.

The Markets for Partnership Units

There will be two markets for investors to purchase and sell Units. A new issuance of the Units will be made only in a ''Basket'' of 100,000 Units or multiples thereof. Each Partnership will issue and redeem Baskets on a continuous basis, by or through participants who have each entered into an authorized purchaser agreement (''Authorized Purchaser Agreement'' and each such participant, an ''Authorized Purchaser'')[9] with the General Partner, at the NAV per Unit next determined after an order to purchase the Units in a Basket is received in proper form. A Basket may be issued and redeemed on any ''business day'' (defined as any day other than a day on which the Amex, NYMEX, or the New York Stock Exchange is closed for regular trading) through the Marketing Agent in exchange for cash and/or Treasuries, which the Custodian receives from an Authorized Purchaser or transfers to an Authorized Purchaser, in each case on behalf of a Partnership. A Basket is then separable upon issuance into identical Units that will be listed and traded on the Exchange. The Exchange expects that the number of outstanding Units will increase and decrease as a result of creations and redemptions of Baskets.

The Units will thereafter be traded on the Exchange similar to other equity securities. Units will be registered in book-entry form through DTC. Trading in the Units on the Exchange will be effected until 4:15 p.m. Eastern time (''ET'') each business day. The minimum trading increment for such Units will be $0.01.

Each Authorized Purchaser, and each distributor offering and selling newly issued Units as part of the distribution of such Units, is required to comply with the prospectus delivery and disclosure requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as well as the requirements of the Commodities Exchange Act, including the requirement that prospective investors provide an acknowledgement of receipt of such disclosure materials prior to the payment for any newly issued Units.

Calculation of Partnership NAV. The Administrator will calculate NAV as follows: (1) Determine the current value of each Partnership's assets; and (2) subtract the liabilities of each Partnership. The NAV will be calculated shortly after the close of trading on the Exchange using the settlement value[10] of Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX as of the close of open-outcry trading on the NYMEX at 2:30 p.m. ET, and for the value of other Heating Oil Interests or Gasoline Interests, depending on the Partnership, and Treasuries and cash equivalents, the value of such investments as of the earlier of 4 p.m. ET or the close of trading on the New York Stock Exchange. The NAV is calculated by including any unrealized profit or loss on Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil Related Investments and Other Gasoline Related Investments, as the case may be, and any other credit or debit accruing to a Partnership but unpaid or not received by such Partnership. The NAV is then used to compute all fees (including the management and administrative fees) that are calculated from the value of Partnership assets. The Administrator will calculate the NAV per Unit by dividing the NAV by the number of Units outstanding.

When calculating NAV, the Administrator will value Futures Contracts based on the closing settlement prices quoted on the relevant commodities and futures exchange and obtained from various major market data vendors such as Bloomberg or Reuters. The value of the Other Heating Oil Related Investments or Other Gasoline Related Investments for purposes of determining the NAV will be based upon the determination of the Administrator as to the fair market value. Certain types of Other Heating Oil Related Investments and Other Gasoline Related Investments, such as listed options on Futures Contracts, have closing prices that are available from the exchange upon which they are traded or from various market data vendors. Other Heating Oil Related Investments and Other Gasoline Related Investments will be valued based on the last sale price on the exchange or market where traded. If a contract fails to trade, the value shall be the most recent bid quotation from the third-party source. Some types of Other Heating Oil Related Investments and Other Gasoline Related Investments, such as forward contracts, do not trade on established exchanges, but typically have prices that are widely

[9] ''An Authorized Purchaser'' must be (1) a registered broker-dealer or other market participant, such as a bank or other financial institution, that is exempt from broker-dealer registration; and (2) a DTC Participant.

[10] *See* Rules 6.52 and 6.52A of the NYMEX Rulebook.

available from third-party sources. The Administrator may make use of such third-party sources in calculating a fair market value of these Other Heating Oil Related Investments and Other Gasoline Related Investments.

Certain types of Other Heating Oil Related Investments and Other Gasoline Related Investments, such as OTC derivative ''swaps,'' also do not have established exchanges upon which they trade and may not have readily available price quotes from third parties. Swaps and other similar derivative or contractual-type instruments will be first valued at a price provided by a single broker or dealer, typically the counterparty. If no such price is available, the contract will be valued at a price at which the counterparty to such contract could repurchase the instrument or terminate the contract. In determining the fair market value of such derivative contracts, the Administrator may make use of quotes from other providers of similar derivatives. If these are not available, the Administrator may calculate a fair market value of the derivative contract based on the terms of the contract and the movement of the underlying price factors of the contract.

Calculation of the Basket Amount. A Basket will be issued in exchange for Treasuries and/or cash in an amount equal to the NAV per Unit times 100,000 Units (the ''Basket Amount''). A Basket will be delivered by the Marketing Agent to an Authorized Purchaser only after execution of the Authorized Purchaser Agreement.

Units in a Basket are issued and redeemed in accordance with the Authorized Purchaser Agreement. An Authorized Purchaser that wishes to purchase a Basket must transfer the Basket Amount, for each Basket purchased, to the Custodian (the ''Deposit Amount''). An Authorized Purchaser that wishes to redeem a Basket will receive an amount of Treasuries and/or cash in exchange for each Basket surrendered in an amount equal to the NAV per Basket (the ''Redemption Amount'').

On each business day, the Administrator will make available, immediately prior to the opening of trading on the Exchange, the Basket Amount for the creation of a Basket based on the prior day's NAV. At or about 4 p.m. ET on each business day, the Administrator will determine the Basket Amount for orders placed by Authorized Purchasers received before 12 p.m. ET that day. Because orders to purchase and/or redeem Baskets must be placed by 12 p.m. ET, but the Basket Amount will not be determined until shortly after 4 p.m. ET on the date the order to purchase or redeem is received, an Authorized Purchaser will not know the total payment required to create or redeem a Basket at the time it submits such irrevocable purchase and/or redemption order. This is similar to exchange-traded funds and mutual funds. USHO's and USG's registration statements disclose that the NAV and the Basket Amount could rise and fall substantially between the time an irrevocable purchase order and/or redemption order is submitted and the time the Basket Amount is determined.[11]

Shortly after 4 p.m. ET on each business day, the Administrator, Amex, and the General Partner will disseminate the Basket Amount (for orders placed during the day) together with NAV for the Units. The Exchange will obtain a representation from each Partnership that its NAV and other relevant pricing information will be disclosed to all market participants at the same time. The Basket Amount and the NAV are communicated by the Administrator to all Authorized Purchasers via facsimile or e-mail. Concurrently, the Amex will also disclose the NAV and Basket Amount on its Web site at *http://www.amex.com*. The Basket Amount necessary for the creation of a Basket will change from day to day. On each day that the Amex is open for regular trading, the Administrator will adjust the Deposit Amount as appropriate to reflect the prior day's Partnership NAV and accrued expenses. The Administrator will then determine the Deposit Amount for a given business day.

Calculation and Payment of the Deposit Amount. The Deposit Amount of Treasuries and/or cash will be in the same proportion to the total net assets of each Partnership as the number of Units to be created is in proportion to the total number of Units outstanding as of the date the purchase order is accepted. The General Partner will determine the requirements for the Treasuries that may be included in the Deposit Amount and will disseminate these requirements at the start of each business day. The amount of cash that is required is the difference between the aggregate market value of the Treasuries required to be included in the Deposit Amount as of 4 p.m. ET on the date of purchase and the total required deposit.

All purchase orders must be received by the Marketing Agent by 12 p.m. ET for consideration on that business day. Delivery of the Deposit Amount, *i.e.*, Treasuries and/or cash, to the Administrator must occur by the third business day following the purchase order date (T+3).[12] Thus, the General Partner will disseminate shortly after 4 p.m. ET, on the date the purchase order was properly submitted, the amount of Treasuries and/or cash to be deposited with the Custodian for each Basket.

Calculation and Payment of the Redemption Amount. The Units will not be individually redeemable but will only be redeemable in Baskets. To redeem, an Authorized Purchaser will be required to accumulate enough Units to constitute a Basket (*i.e.*, 100,000 Units). An Authorized Purchaser redeeming a Basket will receive the Redemption Amount. Upon the surrender of the Units and payment of applicable redemption transaction fee,[13] taxes, or charges, the Custodian will deliver to the redeeming Authorized Purchaser the Redemption Amount. The Redemption Amount of Treasuries and/or cash will be in the same proportion to the total net assets of each Partnership as the number of Units to be redeemed is in proportion to the total number of Units outstanding as of the date the redemption order is accepted. The General Partner will determine the Treasuries to be included in the Redemption Amount. The amount of cash that is required is the difference between the aggregate market value of the Treasuries required to be included in the Redemption Amount as of 4 p.m. ET on the date of redemption and the total Redemption Amount. All redemption orders must be received by the Marketing Agent by 12 p.m. ET on the business day redemption is requested and are irrevocable. Delivery of the Basket to be redeemed to the Custodian and payment of Redemption Amount will occur by the third business day following the redemption order date (T+3).

Arbitrage

The Exchange believes that the Units will not trade at a material discount or premium to a Unit's NAV based on potential arbitrage opportunities. Due to the fact that the Units can be created and redeemed only in Baskets at NAV,

[11] The General Partner states that the price of crude oil, heating oil, gasoline, and other petroleum-based fuels futures may fluctuate 5% or more between 12:00 noon, the cutoff for creation and redemption orders, and 2:30 p.m., the close of trading on NYMEX. As explained further below (*see* section entitled ''Arbitrage,'' *infra*), the Exchange does not anticipate such price movements to impact the arbitrage process.

[12] Authorized Purchasers are required to pay a transaction fee of $1,000 for each order to create one or more Baskets.

[13] Authorized Purchasers are required to pay a transaction fee of $1,000 for each order to redeem one or more Baskets.

arbitrage opportunities should provide a mechanism to mitigate the effect of any premiums or discounts that may exist from time to time.[14]

Dissemination and Availability of Information

Futures Contracts. The daily settlement prices for the NYMEX-traded Futures Contracts are publicly available on the NYMEX Web site at *http://www.nymex.com*. The Exchange will also include on its Web site at *http://www.amex.com* a hyperlink to the NYMEX Web site for the purpose of disclosing futures contract pricing. In addition, various market data vendors and news publications publish futures prices and related data. The Exchange represents that quote and last-sale information for the Futures Contracts are widely disseminated through a variety of market data vendors worldwide, including Bloomberg and Reuters. In addition, real-time futures data is available by subscription from Reuters and Bloomberg. The NYMEX also provides delayed futures information on current and past trading sessions and market news free of charge on its Web site. The specific contract specifications for the Futures Contracts are also available on the NYMEX Web site and the ICE Futures Web site at *http://www.icefutures.com*.

Partnership Units. The Exchange's Web site at *http://www.amex.com*, which is publicly accessible at no charge, will contain the following information: (1) The prior business day's NAV and the reported closing price; (2) the mid-point of the bid-ask price[15] in relation to the NAV as of the time the NAV is calculated (the ''Bid-Ask Price''); (3) calculation of the premium or discount of such price against such NAV; (4) data in chart form displaying the frequency distribution of discounts and premiums of the Bid-Ask Price against the NAV, within appropriate ranges for each of the four previous calendar quarters; (5) the prospectus and the most recent periodic reports filed with the Commission or required by the CFTC; and (6) other applicable quantitative information.

Portfolio Disclosure. USHO's and USG's total portfolio composition will be disclosed, each business day that the Amex is open for trading, on their respective Web sites at *http://www.unitedstatesheatingoilfund.com* and *http://www.unitedstatesgasolinefund.com*. USHO's Web site disclosure of portfolio holdings will be made daily and will include, as applicable, the name and value of each Heating Oil Interest, the specific types of Heating Oil Interests and characteristics of such Heating Oil Interests, Treasuries, and amount of cash and cash equivalents held in the portfolio of USHO. USG's Web site disclosure of portfolio holdings will be made daily and will include, as applicable, the name and value of each Gasoline Interest, the specific types of Gasoline Interests and characteristics of such Gasoline Interests, Treasuries, and amount of cash and cash equivalents held in the portfolio of USG. The public Web site disclosure of the portfolio composition of each of USHO and USG will coincide with the disclosure by the Administrator on each business day of the NAV for the Units and the Basket Amount (for orders placed during the day) for each Partnership. Therefore, the same portfolio information will be provided at the same time on the public Web site for each Partnership as well as in the facsimile or e-mail to Authorized Purchasers containing the NAV and Basket Amount (''Daily Dissemination''). The format of the public Web site disclosure and the Daily Dissemination will differ because the public Web site will list all portfolio holdings while the Daily Dissemination will provide the portfolio holdings in a format appropriate for Authorized Purchasers, *i.e.*, the exact components of a Creation Unit.

As described above, each Partnership's NAV will be calculated and disseminated daily. The Amex also intends to disseminate for each Partnership on a daily basis by means of Consolidated Tape Association (''CTA'')/Consolidated Quote High Speed Lines information with respect to the Indicative Partnership Value (as discussed below), recent NAV, Units outstanding, the Basket Amount, and the Deposit Amount. The Exchange will also make available on its Web site daily trading volume, closing prices, and the NAV. The closing price and settlement prices of the Futures Contracts held by each Partnership are also readily available from the NYMEX, automated quotation systems, published or other public sources, or on-line information services such as Bloomberg or Reuters. In addition, the Exchange will provide a hyperlink on its Web site at *http://www.amex.com* to each Partnership's Web site.

Indicative Partnership Value. In order to provide updated information relating to each Partnership for use by investors, professionals, and persons wishing to create or redeem the Units, the Exchange will disseminate through the facilities of the CTA an amount representing, on a per-Unit basis, the current indicative value of the Basket Amount (the ''Indicative Partnership Value''). Amex Rule 1500–AEMI(b) defines ''Indicative Partnership Value'' as an estimate, updated at least every 15 seconds, of the value of a Partnership Unit of each series. Consistent with Amex Rule 1502, the Indicative Partnership Value for each Partnership will be disseminated on a per-Unit basis at least every 15 seconds during regular Amex trading hours of 9:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. ET. The Indicative Partnership Value will be calculated based on the Treasuries and cash required for creations and redemptions (*i.e.*, NAV per Unit x 100,000) adjusted to reflect the price changes of the relevant Benchmark Futures Contracts.

The Indicative Partnership Value is based on open-outcry trading of the relevant Benchmark Futures Contracts on NYMEX. Open-outcry trading on the NYMEX closes daily at 2:30 p.m. ET while NYMEX's energy futures contracts are traded on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange's CME Globex® electronic trading platform on a 24-hour basis.[16] After the close of open outcry on NYMEX at 2:30 p.m., the Indicative Partnership Value will reflect changes to the relevant Benchmark Futures Contracts as provided for through CME Globex®. The value of the relevant Benchmark Futures Contracts will be available on a 15-second delayed basis during the time that Units trade on the Exchange.

While NYMEX is open for trading, the Indicative Partnership Value can be expected to closely approximate the value per Unit of the Basket Deposit. However, during Amex trading hours when the Futures Contracts have ceased trading in NYMEX's open outcry, spreads and resulting premiums or discounts may widen and, therefore,

[14] Arbitrage opportunities may arise whenever the market price of a Partnership Unit is higher (or lower) than its expected fair market value, which is based on the price of the underlying commodity futures. An Authorized Purchaser may effectively lock-in an arbitrage spread by selling (or buying) the Units while, at the same time buying (or selling), the related commodity futures. This arbitrage activity may occur not only at the time of an irrevocable creation or redemption order, but throughout the day. Accordingly, arbitrage activity should not be affected by price movements in the underlying commodity assets between the cutoff for creation and redemption orders and the close of futures trading, following which the Basket Amount is determined.

[15] The Bid-Ask Price of Units is determined using the highest bid and lowest offer as of the time of calculation of the NAV.

[16] CME Globex® (''Globex'') is an open-access marketplace that operates virtually 24 hours each trading day. Electronic trading on Globex is conducted from 6 p.m. ET Sunday through 5:15 p.m. ET Friday each week. There is a 45-minute break each day between 5:15 p.m. ET and 6 p.m. ET.

increase the difference between the price of the Units and the NAV of the Units. The Indicative Partnership Value disseminated during Amex trading hours, on a per-Unit basis, should not be viewed as a real-time update of the NAV, which is calculated only once daily. The Exchange believes that dissemination of the Indicative Partnership Value based on the Basket Deposit provides additional information that is not otherwise available to the public and is useful to professionals and investors in connection with the Units trading on the Exchange or the creation or redemption of the Units.

Partnership Termination Events

Each Partnership will continue in effect from the date of its formation in perpetuity, unless sooner terminated upon the occurrence of any one or more of the following circumstances: (1) The death, adjudication of incompetence, bankruptcy, dissolution, withdrawal, or removal of a general partner who is the sole remaining general partner, unless a majority in interest of limited partners within 90 days after such event elects to continue the Partnership and appoints a successor general partner; or (2) the affirmative vote to terminate the Partnership by a majority in interest of the limited partners to terminate the partnership, subject to certain conditions.

Upon termination of the Partnership, holders of the Units will surrender their Units and the assets of the Partnership shall be distributed to the Unit holders pro rata in accordance with the value of the Units, in cash or in kind, as determined by the General Partner.

Purchases and Redemptions in Baskets

In the Information Circular, members and member organizations will be informed that procedures for purchases and redemptions of Units in Baskets are described in the Prospectus and that Units are not individually redeemable but are redeemable only in Baskets or multiples thereof.

Listing and Trading Rules

Each Partnership will be subject to the criteria in Amex Rule 1502 for initial and continued listing of the Units. The Exchange will require a minimum of 100,000 Units to be outstanding at the start of trading. The Exchange expects that the initial price of a Unit will be $50.00.[17] The Exchange believes that the anticipated minimum number of Units outstanding at the start of trading is sufficient to provide adequate market liquidity and to further each Partnership's objective to seek to provide a simple and cost effective means of accessing the commodity futures markets. The Exchange represents that it prohibits the initial and/or continued listing of any security that is not in compliance with Rule 10A–3 under the Act.[18]

The Amex original listing fee applicable to the listing of Units for each Partnership is $5,000. In addition, the annual listing fee applicable under Section 141 of the Amex Company Guide will be based upon the year-end aggregate number of Units in all series of each Partnership outstanding at the end of each calendar year.

Amex Rule 154–AEMI, ''Orders in AEMI,'' paragraph (c)(ii), provides that stop and stop limit orders to buy or sell a security the price of which is derivatively priced based upon another security or index of securities, may be elected by a quotation, as set forth in subparagraphs (c)(ii)(1)–(4) of Rule 154–AEMI. The Units will be deemed eligible for this treatment.

The Exchange states that Amex Rule 126A–AEMI, which will apply to trading of the Units, complies with Rule 611 of Regulation NMS, which requires among other things, that the Exchange adopt and enforce written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to prevent trade-throughs of protected quotations.

Consistent with the treatment of trust-issued receipts (''TIRs''),[19] specialist transactions of the Units made in connection with the creation and redemption of Units will not be subject to the prohibitions of Amex Rule 190, ''Specialist's Transactions with Public Customers.'' The Units will generally be subject to the Exchange's stabilization rule, Rule 170–AEMI, ''Registration and Functions of Specialists,'' except that specialists may buy on ''plus ticks'' and sell on ''minus ticks,'' in order to bring the Units into parity with the underlying commodity or commodities and/or futures contract price. The Exchange notes that Commentary .01 to its Rule 1503, ''Specialist Prohibitions,'' sets forth this limited exception to Rule 170–AEMI.

The trading of the Units will be subject to certain conflict-of-interest provisions set forth in Amex Rules 1503 and 1504. Rule 1503 provides that the prohibitions in Rule 175(c) apply to a specialist in the Units so that the specialist or affiliated person may not act or function as a market-maker in an underlying asset, related futures contract or option, or any other related derivative. An exception to the general prohibition in Rule 1503 provides that an approved person of an equity specialist that has established and obtained Exchange approval for procedures restricting flow of material, non-public market information between itself and the specialist member organization, and any member, officer, or employee associated therewith, may act in a market-making capacity, other than as a specialist in the Units on another market center, in the underlying asset or commodity, related futures or options on futures, or any other related derivatives. Rule 1504 provides that a specialist handling Units must provide the Exchange with all necessary information relating to its trading in underlying physical assets or commodities, related futures or options on futures, or any other related derivatives. In addition, a member or member organization will be subject to Commentary .03 to Amex Rule 1500-AEMI prohibiting it from acting as a market maker from off-floor through the use of multiple limit orders as agent (*i.e.*, customer agency orders).

Trading Halts

If an Indicative Partnership Value is not being disseminated by one or more major market data vendors, the Exchange may halt trading during the day in which the interruption to the dissemination of such Indicative Partnership Value occurs. If the interruption to the dissemination of an Indicative Partnership Value persists past the trading day in which it occurred, the Exchange will halt trading no later than the beginning of the trading day following the interruption.

Prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will issue an Information Circular to members informing them of, among other things, Exchange policies regarding trading halts in the Units. First, the Information Circular will advise that trading will be halted in the event the market volatility trading halt parameters set forth in Amex Rule 117 have been reached. Second, the Information Circular will advise that, in addition to the parameters set forth in Rule 117, the

[17] Each Partnership expects that the initial Authorized Purchaser will purchase the initial Basket of 100,000 Units at the initial offering price per Unit of $50.00. On the date of the public offering and thereafter, each Partnership will continuously issue Units in Baskets consisting of 100,000 Units to Authorized Purchasers at NAV.

[18] See 17 CFR 240.10A–3.

[19] *See* The Commission notes that Commentary .05 to Rule 190 provides an exemption from the prohibitions stated in that rule for securities issued by a trust listed pursuant to Amex Rules 1200–AEMI and 1201–1202, 1200A–AEMI and 1201A–1205A, or 1200B and 1201B–1205B.

Exchange will halt trading in the Units if trading in the underlying Benchmark Futures Contracts is halted or suspended. Third, with respect to a halt in trading that is not specified above, the Exchange may also consider other relevant factors and the existence of unusual conditions or circumstances that may be detrimental to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. Additionally, the Exchange represents that it will cease trading in the Units if any of the condition in Amex Rule 1502(b)(ii) or (iii) exist (*i.e.*, if there is a halt or disruption in the dissemination of the Indicative Partnership Value and/or underlying Heating Oil Benchmark Futures Contracts and/or Gasoline Benchmark Futures Contracts).

Suitability

The Information Circular will inform members and member organizations of the characteristics of the Units and of applicable Exchange rules, as well as of the requirements of Amex Rule 411 (Duty to Know and Approve Customers).

The Exchange notes that, pursuant to Amex Rule 411, a member or member organization is required in connection with recommending transactions in the Units to have a reasonable basis to believe that a customer is suitable for the particular investment given reasonable inquiry concerning the customer's investment objectives, financial situation, needs, and any other information known by such member.

Information Circular

The Amex will distribute an Information Circular to its members in connection with the trading of each Partnership's Units. The Information Circular will discuss the special characteristics and risks of trading in the Units. Specifically, the Information Circular, among other things, will discuss what the Units are; how a Basket is created and redeemed; the requirement that members and member firms deliver a prospectus to investors purchasing the Units prior to, or concurrently with, the confirmation of a transaction; applicable Amex rules; dissemination of information regarding the Indicative Partnership Value; trading information; and applicable suitability rules. The Information Circular will also explain that each Partnership is subject to various fees and expenses described in the relevant Registration Statements. The Information Circular will also reference the fact that there is no regulated source of last-sale information regarding physical commodities, and describe the regulatory framework relating to the trading of crude oil- and natural gas-based futures contracts and related options.

The Information Circular will also notify members and member organizations about the procedures for purchases and redemptions of Units in Baskets, and that Units are not individually redeemable but are redeemable only in Baskets or multiples thereof. The Information Circular will also discuss any relief, if granted, by the Commission or the staff from any rules under the Act.

The Information Circular will disclose that the NAV for Units will be calculated shortly after 4 p.m. ET each trading day.

Surveillance

The Exchange submits that its surveillance procedures are adequate to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules relating to the trading of the Units. The surveillance procedures for the Units will be similar to those used for units of the United States Oil Fund, LP and the United States Natural Gas Fund, LP [20] as well as other commodity-based trusts, TIRs, and exchange-traded funds. In addition, the surveillance procedures will incorporate and rely upon existing Amex surveillance procedures governing options and equities. The Exchange currently has in place a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement with each of NYMEX and ICE Futures for the purpose of providing information in connection with trading in, or related to, futures contracts traded on NYMEX and ICE Futures, respectively. To the extent that a Partnership invests in Heating Oil Interests or Gasoline Interests traded on other exchanges, the Amex will enter into comprehensive surveillance sharing agreements with those particular exchanges. The Exchange represents that each of the Partnerships will only invest in futures contracts on markets where the Exchange has entered into the appropriate comprehensive surveillance sharing agreements.

2. Statutory Basis

The Amex believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of section 6(b) of the Act [21] in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5) [22] in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices; to promote just and equitable principles of trade; to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities; to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system; and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers, or to regulate by virtue of any authority conferred by the Act matters not related to the purpose of the Act or the administration of the Exchange.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

The Amex has requested accelerated approval of this proposed rule change prior to the 30th day after the date of publication of the notice of the filing thereof.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

[20] *See* Exchange Act Release Nos. 53582 (March 31, 2006), 71 FR 17510 (April 6, 2006) (SR–Amex–2005–127) (approving Amex Rules 1500–AEMI and 1501 through 1505 in conjunction with the listing and trading of Units of the United States Oil Fund, LP) and 55632 (April 13, 2007), 72 FR 19987 (April 20, 2007) (SR–Amex–2006–112) (approving the listing and trading of Units of the United States Natural Gas Fund, LP).

[21] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

[22] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–Amex–2007–70 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Amex–2007–70. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Amex–2007–70 and should be submitted on or before January 18, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[23]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25487 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[23] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57052; File No. SR–Amex–2007–140]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Options Licensing Fee Changes

December 27, 2007.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'')[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on December 21, 2007, the American Stock Exchange LLC (''Amex'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge applicable only to a member, pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act[3] and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder,[4] which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Amex proposes to modify its Options Fee Schedule.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, on the Exchange's Web site at *http://www.amex.com*, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for the proposed rule change, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

[4] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange has entered into numerous agreements with index providers for the purpose of trading index options. The requirement to pay an index license fee to such third parties is a condition to the listing and trading of these index options. In many cases, the Exchange is required to pay a significant licensing fee to issuers or index owners that may not be reimbursed. In an effort to recoup the costs associated with index licenses, the Exchange has previously established a per-contract licensing fee for firms, specialists, registered options traders (''ROTs''), remote registered options traders (''RROTs''), supplemental registered options traders (''SROTs''), non-member market makers, and broker-dealers that is collected on every transaction in designated products in which a firm, specialist, ROT, RROT, SROT, non-member market maker, or broker-dealer is a party. The licensing fees currently imposed are set forth in the Exchange's Options Fee Schedule.

The Exchange is proposing to amend its Options Fee Schedule to increase the licensing fees for options on the Nasdaq-100 Index (NDX) and Mini-Nasdaq-100 Index (MNX). Currently, the licensing fees for these index options are $0.15 per contract side. As a result of a recent change to the licensing agreement for NDX and MNX, the Exchange is now being charged a higher license fee. Accordingly, the Exchange now proposes to charge $ 0.16 per contract side for NDX and MNX options, effective January 2, 2008.

The Exchange submits that the proposed license fee will provide the Exchange with additional revenue and allow the Exchange to recoup its costs associated with the trading of NDX and MNX options. Furthermore, the Amex believes that this fee will help to allocate to those firms, specialists, ROTs, RROTs, SROTs, non-member market makers, and broker-dealers transacting in NDX and MNX options a fair share of the related costs of offering such options. Accordingly, the Exchange believes that the proposed fee is reasonable.

The Exchange asserts that the proposal is equitable as required by section 6(b)(4) of the Act.[5]

[5] Section 6(b)(4) requires that the rules of a national securities exchange provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and

Continued

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed fee change is consistent with section 6(b)(4) of the Act[6] regarding the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among exchange members and other persons using exchange facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing rule change is establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge applicable only to a member, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act[7] and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder.[8] At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–Amex–2007–140 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Amex–2007–140. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Amex–2007–140 and should be submitted on or before January 24, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[9]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25569 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

[6] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

[8] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

[9] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57037; File No. SR–BSE–2007–53]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Opening of the Market of the Boston Options Exchange

December 21, 2007.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'')[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on December 20, 2007, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. (''BSE'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposed rule change pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii)[3] of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(5) thereunder,[4] which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to delay the opening of trading in the event of unusual trading activity in a particular series or instrument. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at *http://www.bostonstock.com*, at the Exchange's principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has substantially prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

BSE proposes to amend the BOX Rules[5] to delay the opening of trading in the event of unusual trading activity in a particular series or instrument on the Boston Options Exchange, (''BOX''). BOX believes that delaying the opening of trading in the event of unusual

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

[4] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(5).

[5] Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings prescribed under the BOX Rules.

trading activity will help to ensure a fair and orderly market opening.

Delayed Series Opening

The Exchange will delay the opening of an options series if a Market Maker's quote crosses the Theoretical Opening Price (''TOP'') [6] by more than a certain percentage and certain amount of the TOP, as determined on a periodic, series-by-series basis by the Market Regulation Center (''MRC''). Such a delayed series opening will be announced to all BOX Participants via the Trading Host and the Market Operations Center (''MOC'') will contact the Market Maker whose quotes caused the delayed opening to verify the accuracy of his or her quotes. Once the Market Maker confirms or amends his or her quotes, the MRC will open the series for trading.

Delayed Class Opening

The Exchange will delay the opening of an options class if the sum of the volume for all of the series within a class exceeds a certain amount of series or a certain amount of contracts, as determined on a periodic, class-by-class basis by the MRC. Such a delayed class opening will be announced to all BOX Participants via the Trading Host. MRC will investigate the cause of the high volume or amount in the class and once resolved, will open the class for trading.

Discussion

By implementing a mechanism whereby the opening of a particular series or instrument may be delayed under certain enumerated circumstances, all BOX Market Makers will be protected equally from the unreasonable risk of multiple, nearly simultaneous executions caused by communication failures or systemic errors. Like auto-quote systems used on other options exchanges, the primary method for Market Makers to update their quotes on BOX is to post and update quotes on multiple series of options at the same time through the use of ''bulk quotes.'' [7] Generally, these quotes are based on the Market Maker's proprietary pricing models that rely on various factors, including the price of the underlying security and that security's market volatility. As these variables change, a Market Maker's pricing model and automated quote system will continuously enter bulk quotes for most or all of the series in the class.

In most instances, a Market Maker sends a message to BOX to update or refresh his or her quote on at least one of the series in his or her assigned class after each execution by the Market Maker in that options series or any movement in the underlying security's price. If, however, a Market Maker's pricing model and automated quote update system malfunction, the Market Maker's bulk quote update could inadvertently execute across all of the series in the assigned class.

This can be especially problematic if a Market Maker experiences a technical breakdown in either the Market Maker's communication link with BOX or the Market Maker's automated trading and quotation system during the Opening Match. Trading on BOX opens by ''processing the series of a class in a random order, starting promptly after the opening for trading of the underlying security in the primary market.'' [8] If a Market Maker is experiencing technical difficulties it can be executed against numerous times nearly simultaneously as BOX's Opening Match opens each options series within a class. This occurrence can create huge unintended principal positions for the Market Maker and expose the Market Maker to unnecessary market risk.

Firm risk management procedures dictate that Market Makers must take into account the possibility of such errors and the corresponding risk to the Market Maker and the firm. As a result, the BSE believes that Market Makers widen their quotes, quote less aggressively, and limit their quote size in order to avoid such unintended executions and the attendant risks and costs, all to the detriment of customers and other market participants. The mechanism outlined in this proposal is designed to promote Market Maker confidence that these risks have been alleviated or eliminated and in turn bolster their ability to quote more effectively on the BOX Market. Thus, Market Maker quote widths should narrow, quotes should be entered more aggressively, and quote size should increase, all resulting in increased liquidity on the opening of the BOX Market.

The proposed rule change is intended to detect situations where price and volume seem to suggest that unusual market conditions exist. By implementing a mechanism whereby the opening of a series or instrument may be delayed in the event of unusual trading activity, unintentional and erroneous trades may be prevented from occurring. Unintentional and erroneous trades do not properly reflect the true nature of the market and subject Market Makers to unreasonable market risk, multiple executions and clearing fees, with no real economic justification behind the trades. The Exchange believes the proposed rule change will assist in reducing these inefficiencies and risks by preventing a BOX Market Maker from erroneously and automatically trading multiple times during the Opening Match.

The MOC is best suited to contact a Market Maker in the event that the proposed mechanism is triggered at the individual series level. If and when needed, the MOC would expeditiously contact the Market Maker responsible for the unusual quotes to determine if they were accurate and intentional or were in fact erroneous. This quick response by the MOC serves two purposes. First, if the Market Maker involved informs the MOC that the quotes in question were intentionally and accurately entered, then the MOC will quickly relay this information to the MRC and remove this particular barrier preventing the series from opening. Second, if the MOC's contact with the Market Maker confirms that the quotes or orders were indeed erroneously sent to BOX and thus unreliable, the Market Maker will be able to promptly amend its quotes, whereby the MOC will notify the MRC that the issue has been resolved and the series will again be ready to open.

The proposed mechanism also accounts for unusual activity on the opening of the BOX Market in an entire instrument as a whole. Certain conditions on the opening of the BOX Market may not trigger the parameters set at the individual series level. However, where a Market Maker has nonetheless entered unintended erroneous quotes on BOX, particularly excessive trading over normal levels could still cause significant inefficiencies and expose the Market Maker to unintended risk. This excessive volume could occur either in the number of series or the number of contracts that would trade in that instrument on the open. Again, providing a system whereby the MRC will have the ability to suspend the opening of an instrument at the class level will protect Market Makers from exposure to the risk and negative results that would otherwise accompany trading on these erroneous quotes.

[6] The Theoretical Opening Price ''is that price at which the Opening Match would occur at the current time, if that time were the opening, according to the Opening Match procedures [described in Chapter V, Section 9(e) of the BOX Rules].'' *See* BOX Rules, Chapter V, Section 9(b).

[7] A ''bulk quote'' message is a single message from a Market Maker that simultaneously updates all of the Market Maker's quotes in multiple series in a class at the same time.

[8] *See* BOX Rules, Chapter V, Section 9(e)(i).

The MRC is able to evaluate historical data from the opening of the BOX Market. Statistical analysis of this data shows the number of contracts and number of series that typically trade in each instrument on the opening of the BOX Market. Using this data, the MRC will set finite upper volume levels, ''y'' and ''z'', for both the number of series and number of contracts that will be able to trade on the open. These levels will be assigned on an individual basis for each instrument that is listed and traded on the BOX Market.

The MRC will periodically evaluate the parameters to be used in determining the applicable percentages, amounts and volumes as discussed above. These parameters will be coded into the Trading Host and will be applied to all Market Maker quotes on an equal basis. Periodic review will enable the proposed mechanism to function as intended by allowing for adjustment of these parameters, when appropriate. The MRC will also review these parameters if and when the series and class opening delays are triggered. This will allow the MRC to determine whether the quotes in question, in the interests of both the Market Maker and BOX, should be flagged and prevent the series or instrument from opening for trading. Thus, the combination of periodic and event specific review of the parameters will allow for optimal threshold settings and the function of the mechanism as designed.

The Exchange also is proposing to delete current subparagraph g(i), which provides that the BOX Trading Host will not open a series if the opening price is not within an acceptable range as determined by the MRC and will be announced to all BOX Participants via the Trading Host.[9] The Exchange believes that proposed subparagraph g(ii) is an improvement to the current subparagraph g(i). The proposed (g)ii contains parameters which will be hard coded into the system. The Exchange believes these new parameters more effectively and efficiently addresses situations where the opening should be delayed than the current g(i) which relies on a variety of factors. These new parameters were determined after reviewing trading activity over time. As such, the new g(ii) will better assist in opening the market in a fair and orderly manner.

[9] In making this determination the MRC will consider, among other factors, all prices that exceed a variance greater than either $.50 or 20% to the previous day's closing price.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act,[10] in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5) of the Act,[11] in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the proposed rule change effects a change in an existing order-entry or trading system that: (i) Does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) does not have the effect of limiting the access to or availability of the system, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act[12] and subparagraph (f)(5) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.[13]

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in the furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

[10] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

[11] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

[12] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[13] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(5).

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–BSE–2007–53 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BSE–2007–53. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NYSE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BSE–2007–53 and should be submitted on or before January 24, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[14]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25568 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[14] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57044; File No. SR–CBOE–2007–130]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, Relating to Anti-Money Laundering Program Rule 4.20

December 27, 2007.

I. Introduction

On November 2, 2007, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (''CBOE'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission''), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the ''Act''),[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] a proposed rule change relating to amendments to CBOE Rule 4.20. On November 9, 2007, CBOE file Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. The proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on November 26, 2007.[3] The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change amends CBOE's Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Program (''the AML Program'') as codified in CBOE Rule 4.20 (''the Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Rule''), to: (1) Establish that independent testing for compliance must be conducted at least annually by members with a public business, or every two years if no public business is conducted; and (2) clarify the persons designated to implement and monitor the Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Rule. The amendments also establish a standard to determine who is adequately qualified and sufficiently independent to conduct the required testing. In addition, the amendment clarifies that the person designated to implement and monitor the Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Rule must be an associated person of the Exchange member.

Background and Detail

Financial institutions, including broker-dealers, must develop and implement AML Programs pursuant to the Bank Secrecy Act,[4] as amended by the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism (USA PATRIOT Act) Act of 2001 (''PATRIOT Act'').[5] Consistent with the Department of Treasury's (''Treasury'') regulation 31 CFR 103.120 under the Bank Secrecy Act, CBOE Rule 4.20 requires each member organization and each member not associated with a member organization to develop and implement a written AML program and specifies the minimum requirements for these programs.

The AML program must include the development of internal policies, procedures and controls; the designation of a person to implement and monitor the day-to-day operations and internal controls of the program (commonly referred to as an ''AML Officer''); ongoing training for appropriate persons; and an independent testing function for overall compliance.

In order to provide interpretive clarity to the requirements under CBOE Rule 4.20 with respect to independent testing and AML Officers, as well as to clarify references to the Bank Secrecy Act, CBOE proposed the following amendments to CBOE Rule 4.20.

References to Bank Secrecy Act

The proposed rule change would delete references to certain sections of the Bank Secrecy Act and a reference to the USA PATRIOT Act to more clearly reflect the requirements under CBOE Rule 4.20.

Timeframes for Independent Testing

The proposed rule change would require that independent testing of AML programs be conducted, at a minimum, on an annual (calendar-year) basis by members or member organizations, unless the member or member organization does not execute transactions for customers or otherwise hold customer accounts or act as an introducing broker with respect to customer accounts (e.g., engages solely in proprietary trading, or conducts business only with other broker-dealers), in which case such independent testing would be required every two years (on a calendar-year basis). CBOE believes these timeframes are reasonable in that they require more frequent testing of AML programs designed to monitor a business with customers from the general public, which may be more susceptible to money laundering schemes than a strictly proprietary business involving transactions with other broker-dealers. Further, the one-year time frame for testing is consistent with standard industry practice in that it is similar to generally accepted guidelines for conducting tests in the context of, for instance, general audits and branch office visits. However, the proposed rule change establishes only a minimum requirement, and makes clear that members should undertake more frequent testing when circumstances warrant (e.g., should the business mix of the member or member organization materially change; in the event of a merger or acquisition; in light of systemic weaknesses uncovered via testing of the AML Program; or in response to any other ''red flags'').

Qualification and Independence Standards for Testing

The proposed rule change would further require that testing be conducted by a designated person with a working knowledge of applicable requirements under the Bank Secrecy Act and its implementing regulations. Such person need not be an employee of the member or member organization since the responsibility is essentially an auditing function and, as such, it would not be unusual or ineffective for it to be performed by an independent outside party.

The proposed rule change does not preclude an employee of the member or member organization from conducting the required independent testing of the AML Program; however the proposed ''independence'' standard would prohibit testing from being conducted by a person who performs the functions being tested, by the designated AML Officer or by a person who reports toeither.

The proposed rule change would be generally consistent with the approach taken by the regulatory arms of the New York Stock Exchange (''NYSE'') and the National Association of Securities Dealers (''NASD''), n/k/a the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., (''FINRA''),[6] regarding independent testing of AML Programs, with variations where necessary to account for the differences in CBOE membership—in particular, differences

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56816 (Nov. 19, 2007); 72 FR 66006 (Nov. 26, 2007) (''Notice'').

[4] 31 U.S.C. 5311 *et seq.*

[5] Pub. L. No. 107–56, 115 Stat. 272 (2001).

[6] On July 26, 2007, the Commission approved a proposed rule change filed by NASD to amend NASD's Certificate of Incorporation to reflect its name change to Financial Industry Regulatory Authority Inc., or FINRA, in connection with the consolidation of the member firm regulatory functions of NASD and NYSE Regulation, Inc. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56146 (July 26, 2007); 72 FR 42190 (Aug. 1, 2007).

in firm size, types of businesses conducted, and overall business models. It should be noted that the over whelming majority of CBOE's membership consists of broker-dealers that are not members of either NYSE or FINRA and that conduct business only with other broker-dealers.

AML Officer

The proposed rule change would also clarify that the AML Officer(s) must be an associated person of the member. This would not prohibit a member that is part of a diversified financial institution from designating an AML Officer that is employed by the member's parent company, sister company, or other affiliate. However, if such a person is designated as a member's AML Officer, CBOE would consider that person to be an associated person of the member with respect those activities performed on behalf of the member.

III. Discussion and Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and in particular, with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5)[7] of the Exchange Act.[8] Section 6(b)(5) requires, among other things, that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and national market system, and in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes that the proposed rule change is designed to accomplish these ends by requiring members to conduct periodic tests of their AML compliance programs, preserve the independence of their testing personnel, and ensure the accuracy of their AML compliance programs.

IV. Conclusions

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,[9] that the proposed rule change, as amended (SR–CBOE–2007–130), be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[10]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25507 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

[8] In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

[9] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

[10] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57049; File No. SR–CBOE–2007–125]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 2 Thereto, Relating to the $1 Strike Pilot Program

December 27, 2007.

I. Introduction

On October 31, 2007, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (''CBOE'' or ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission''), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'')[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] a proposal to amend its rules relating to the $1 Strike Pilot Program (''$1 Strike Program''). On November 14, 2007, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. The Exchange subsequently withdrew Amendment No. 1 and filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change on November 15, 2007. The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on November 23, 2007.[3] The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended.

II. Description of the Proposal

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to expand the $1 Strike Program and to request permanent approval of the $1 Strike Program. The $1 Strike Program currently allows CBOE to select a total of 5 individual stocks on which option series may be listed at $1 strike price intervals. To be eligible for selection into the $1 Strike Program, the underlying stock must close below $20 in its primary market on the previous trading day. If selected for the $1 Strike Program, the Exchange may list strike prices at $1 intervals from $3 to $20, but no $1 strike price may be listed that is greater than $5 from the underlying stock's closing price in its primary market on the previous day. The Exchange also may list $1 strikes on any other option class designated by another securities exchange that employs a similar $1 Strike Program under their respective rules. The Exchange may not list long-term option series (''LEAPS'') at $1 strike price intervals for any class selected for the $1 Strike Program. The Exchange also is restricted from listing any series that would result in strike prices being $0.50 apart.

The Exchange proposes to amend Interpretation and Policy .01 to CBOE Rule 5.5 to expand the $1 Strike Program to allow it to select a total of 10 individual stocks on which option series may be listed at $1 strike price intervals. Additionally, CBOE proposes to raise the upper limit of the price range on which it may list $1 strikes from $20 to $50. The existing restrictions on listing $1 strikes would continue, *e.g.*, no $1 strike price may be listed that is greater than $5 from the underlying stock's closing price in its primary market on the previous day, and CBOE would be restricted from listing any series that would result in strike prices being $0.50 apart. In addition, because it believes that the $1 Strike Program has been very successful by allowing investors to establish equity options positions that are better tailored to meet their investment objectives, CBOE requests that the $1 Strike Program be approved on a permanent basis.

In its filing with the Commission, CBOE stated its belief that $1 strike price intervals provide investors with greater flexibility in the trading of equity options that overlie lower priced stocks by allowing investors to establish equity options positions that are better tailored to meet their investment objectives. According to CBOE, member firms representing customers have repeatedly requested that CBOE seek to expand the $1 Strike Program, both in terms of the number of classes that can be selected and the range in which $1 strikes may be listed. CBOE concluded from its analysis of the $1 Strike Program that the impact on CBOE's, OPRA's, and market data vendors' respective automated systems has been minimal.[4] CBOE has represented that is has sufficient capacity to handle an expansion of the $1 Strike Program, as proposed.

Finally, the Exchange proposes to make a corresponding change to

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56801 (November 16, 2007), 72 FR 65784 (''Notice'').

[4] *See* Notice, *supra* note 3, at 65785 (providing CBOE's $1 Strike Program analysis on systems capacity).

Interpretation and Policy .11(e) to CBOE Rule 24.9 to (i) note that the Exchange shall designate no more than 9 individual stocks for inclusion in the $1 Strike Program at the same time there are strike prices listed at $1 intervals on Mini-SPX options,[5] and (ii) make a technical correction to a cross-reference to Interpretation and Policy .01(a) to CBOE Rule 5.5.

III. Commission's Findings and Order Granting Approval of the Proposed Rule Change

After careful review and based on the Exchange's representations, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.[6] In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act[7] in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Specifically, the Commission believes that the proposed expansion to permit the Exchange to select a total of 10 individual underlying stocks trading at less than $50 on which option series may be listed at $1 strike price intervals, and the request to make the $1 Strike Program permanent, should provide investors with added flexibility in the trading of equity options and further the public interest by allowing investors to establish equity options positions that are better tailored to meet their investment objectives. The Commission also believes that the proposal strikes a reasonable balance between the Exchange's desire to accommodate market participants by offering a wider array of investment opportunities and the need to avoid unnecessary proliferation of options series and the corresponding increase in quotes. The Commission notes that the existing restrictions on listing $1 strike price intervals will continue to apply, *e.g.*, no $1 strike price may be listed (a) that is greater than $5 from the underlying stock's closing price in its primary market on the previous day, or (b) that would result in strike prices being $0.50 apart.

The Commission expects the Exchange to continue to monitor for options with little or no open interest and trading activity and to act promptly to delist such options. In addition, the Commission expects that CBOE will continue to monitor the trading volume associated with the additional options series listed as a result of this proposal and the effect of these additional series on market fragmentation and on the capacity of the Exchange's, OPRA's, and vendors' automated systems.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,[8] that the proposed rule change (SR–CBOE–2007–125), as modified by Amendment No. 2 thereto, be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[9]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25570 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[5] Although the $1 Strike Program generally allowed CBOE to select a total of 5 individual stocks on which option series may be listed at $1 strike price intervals, the $1 Strike Program provided that CBOE could designate no more than 4 individual stocks for inclusion in the $1 Strike Program at the same time there are strike prices listed at $1 intervals on Mini-SPX options in accordance with Interpretation and Policy .11 to CBOE Rule 24.9. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 52625 (October 18, 2005), 70 FR 61479 (October 24, 2005) (SR–CBOE–2005–81) (providing that as long as there are open Mini-SPX option series listed at $1 strike price intervals, the Exchange would be required to surrender one of its five selections under the $1 Strike Program). If CBOE decides to discontinue listing Mini-SPX option series at $1 strike price intervals, CBOE would again be free to select up to 10 option classes for inclusion in the $1 Strike Program, as proposed.

[6] In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

[8] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

[9] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57045; File No. SR–FINRA–2007–037]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Amendments to FINRA's New York Stock Exchange Rule 409(f)

December 27, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'')[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on December 21, 2007, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (''FINRA'') (f/k/a National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (''NASD'')) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'' or ''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by FINRA. FINRA has designated the proposed rule change as constituting a ''non-controversial'' rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act[3] and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)[4] thereunder, which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing to amend New York Stock Exchange (''NYSE'') Rule 409(f), to delete the requirement that certain confirmations and reports include the name of the securities market on which a transaction is effected. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in brackets.

* * * * *

Rule 409. Statements of Accounts to Customers

(a) through (e) No change.

(f) Confirmation of all transactions (including those made ''over-the-counter'' and on other exchanges) in securities admitted to dealings on the Exchange, sent by members or member organizations to their customers, shall [indicate]*clearly set forth with a suitable legend* the settlement date of each transaction[and bear the name of the securities market on which the transaction was made]. This requirement also applies to confirmations or reports from an organization to a correspondent, but does not apply to reports made by floor brokers to the member organization from whom the orders were received.

[All confirmations shall contain a suitable legend clearly setting forth all required information.]

(g) No change.

* * * * *

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[4] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

NYSE Rule 409(f) requires that confirmations of all transactions (including those made over-the-counter and on other exchanges) in securities admitted to dealings on the NYSE, and sent by FINRA members that are also members of NYSE (''Dual Members'') to their customers, indicate the settlement date of the transaction and the name of the securities market on which the transaction was effected.[5] This requirement also applies to confirmations or reports from an organization to a correspondent, but does not apply to reports made by floor brokers to the member organization from which the orders were received.

Following the SEC's adoption of Regulation NMS, an increasing number of orders, or portions of orders, routed to a given market for execution are rerouted to other markets that, at that time, display a better quotation. This process, which often is necessary due to the requirements of the Order Protection Rule under Regulation NMS, may lead to relatively small orders receiving executions in multiple market centers.[6] This has created an operational challenge for Dual Members to capture the name of the market of execution on a timely basis for inclusion on the transaction confirmation as required by NYSE Rule 409(f). As a result of this challenge, on March 20, 2007, NYSE granted its member organizations temporary relief from the requirement that confirmations and correspondent reports include the securities market on which the transaction was effected.[7] The temporary relief, which expired on September 30, 2007, was extended by FINRA and by NYSE until January 1, 2008.[8] In extending the relief, both FINRA and NYSE stated that they would continue to reassess the utility of NYSE Rule 409(f) in the current regulatory environment.

Under the duty of best execution, Dual Members are required to exercise diligence to obtain the best price when routing customer trades for execution,[9] and Regulation NMS imposes disclosure obligations on broker-dealers regarding the handling of customer orders.[10] In this regard, NASD Rule 2320 requires every FINRA member to employ reasonable diligence in ascertaining best execution in the execution of a transaction. As stated in *NASD Notice to Members 01–22,* members generally may execute such diligence on either a trade-by-trade basis or through the regular and rigorous review of the execution quality of various market centers. FINRA has concluded that in light of these existing best execution and disclosure requirements, the usefulness of including on a confirmation or correspondent report the securities market on which a transaction was effected does not outweigh the operational difficulties of capturing the information following the adoption of Regulation NMS. Consequently, the proposed rule change would delete from NYSE Rule 409(f) the requirement that confirmations and correspondent reports include the securities market on which the transaction was effected. Dual Members would, however, still be required to indicate the settlement date of each transaction on customer and correspondent confirmations and correspondent reports for all transactions (including those made over-the-counter and on other exchanges) in securities admitted to dealings on the NYSE.

FINRA has filed the proposed rule change for immediate effectiveness. The operative date will be January 1, 2008.

2. Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,[11] which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA believes that the operational difficulties of including on a confirmation or correspondent report the securities market on which a transaction was effected outweigh the benefits of including the information in light of existing best execution and disclosure requirements.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act[12] and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.[13] In accordance with Rule 19b–4,[14] FINRA submitted written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed

[5] FINRA incorporated NYSE Rule 409(f) into its interim rulebook; however, the incorporated NYSE rules, including NYSE Rule 409(f), apply solely to Dual Members. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56147 (July 26, 2007), 72 FR 42166 (August 1, 2007) (Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of SR–NASD–2007–054).

[6] The Order Protection Rule requires trading centers, including broker-dealers that internally execute orders, to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to protect against ''trade-throughs'' of protected quotations in NMS stocks. *See* 17 CFR 242.611(a).

[7] *See NYSE Information Memo 07–28* (March 20, 2007).

[8] *See FINRA Regulatory Notice 07–35* (August 2007); *NYSE Information Memo 07–84* (August 2, 2007).

[9] *See, e.g.,* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37537–38 (June 29, 2005) (discussing the duty of best execution in relation to Regulation NMS).

[10] SEC Rule 606(b) requires a broker-dealer to disclose to its customer upon request ''the identity of the venue to which the customer's orders were routed for execution in the six months prior to the request, whether the orders were directed orders or non-directed orders, and the time of the transactions, if any, that resulted from such orders.'' *See* 17 CFR 242.606(b). SEC Rule 607 requires a broker-dealer that acts as agent for a customer to disclose, in writing, upon opening a new account and on an annual basis thereafter, the firm's policies regarding receipt of payment for order flow and the firm's policies for determining where to route customer orders that are the subject of payment for order flow. *See* 17 CFR 242.607(a).

[11] 15 U.S.C. 78o–3(b)(6).

[12] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[13] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

[14] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing.

FINRA has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay contained in Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) [15] under the Act based upon a representation that the temporary exemptive relief provided by FINRA and NYSE expires on January 1, 2008. In light of the foregoing, the Commission believes such waiver is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission designates the proposal to be effective upon filing with the Commission and operative on January 1, 2008.[16]

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–FINRA–2007–037 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–FINRA–2007–037. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FINRA. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–FINRA–2007–037 and should be submitted on or before January 24, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[17]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25508 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[15] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

[16] For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

[17] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57050; File No. SR–FINRA–2007–040]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Delay Implementation of Certain FINRA Rule Changes Approved in SR–NASD–2004–183

December 27, 2007.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'') [1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on December 21, 2007, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (''FINRA'') (f/k/a National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (''NASD'')) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by FINRA. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing to delay the effective date of certain FINRA rule changes approved in SR–NASD–2004–183 until August 4, 2008.

There are no new changes proposed to the text of the FINRA rules. Paragraphs (a), (b), (d), and (e) of Rule 2821, approved pursuant to SR–NASD–2004–183, will become effective on May 5, 2008.[3] FINRA is proposing to delay the effective date of paragraph (c) of Rule 2821, also approved pursuant to SR–NASD–2004–183,[4] until August 4, 2008.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On September 7, 2007, the Commission noticed the filing of Amendment Nos. 3 and 4 and granted accelerated approval of SR–NASD–2004–183, FINRA's new NASD Rule 2821, regarding broker-dealers' compliance and supervisory responsibilities for deferred variable annuities.[5] On November 6, 2007, FINRA published *Regulatory Notice* 07–53, which announced the Commission's approval of Rule 2821 (SR–NASD–2004–183) and established May 5, 2008 as the rule's effective date. Following Commission approval of the rule and publication of the *Regulatory Notice*, several firms requested that the effective

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] *See* Order Approving FINRA's NASD Rule 2821 Regarding Members' Responsibilities for Deferred Variable Annuities (Approval Order), Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56375 (September 7, 2007), 72 FR 52403 (September 13, 2007) (SR–NASD–2004–183); Corrective Order, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56375A (September 14, 2007), 72 FR 53612 (September 19, 2007) (SR–NASD–2004–183) (correcting the rule's effective date).

[4] *Id.*

[5] *Id.*

date of the approved rule be delayed to allow firms additional time to make necessary systems changes. In addition, some firms raised various concerns regarding paragraph (c) of Rule 2821 (Principal Review and Approval), which had been substantially changed by Amendment No. 4.

Rule 2821(c), in part, requires principal review and approval ''[p]rior to transmitting a customer's application for a deferred variable annuity to the issuing insurance company for processing, but no later than seven business days after the customer signs the application.'' A number of firms asserted that seven business days beginning from the time when the customer signs the application may not allow for a thorough principal review in all cases. These firms have asked that a different timing mechanism be used.

Rule 2821(c) also states that a principal must treat ''all transactions as if they have been recommended for purposes of this principal review,'' and may only approve the transaction if he or she determines ''that there is a reasonable basis to believe that the transaction would be suitable based on the factors delineated in paragraph (b) of this Rule.'' A principal who determines that the transaction is unsuitable nonetheless may authorize the processing of the transaction if the principal determines that the transaction was not recommended and that the customer, after being informed of the reason why the principal found it to be unsuitable, affirms that he or she wants to proceed with the purchase or exchange of the deferred variable annuity. Some firms questioned whether broker-dealers that do not make *any* recommendations to customers (and generally do not employ principals to perform suitability reviews) should be subject to this provision.

Finally, in *Regulatory Notice* 07–53, FINRA stated that Rule 2821(c) does not permit the depositing of a customer's funds in an account at the insurance company prior to completion of principal review. In response to the *Regulatory Notice*, a number of firms explained that insurers' financial controls regarding the receipt of money from customers often include holding such funds in a general ''suspense'' account at the insurer. According to these firms, insurers use an identifier to track money held in the suspense account and, if a contract is not issued, the funds are promptly returned to the customers. The firms further stated that this process has been used for many years without complications, makes processing much more efficient and effective, and receives significant scrutiny by examiners from the Commission and state insurance departments. Accordingly, these firms asked that insurers be allowed to deposit customer funds in suspense accounts under certain circumstances.

In light of these concerns, among others, FINRA staff believes it is prudent to give further consideration to paragraph (c) of Rule 2821 and the interpretation addressed in the *Regulatory Notice* to determine whether certain unintended and harmful consequences might ensue upon the currently scheduled effective date of May 5, 2008. If, based on this review, FINRA concludes that further rulemaking is warranted, FINRA will file a separate rule change with the Commission.

To provide adequate time for firms to make systems changes and for FINRA to consider and potentially act upon the concerns discussed above, FINRA is proposing that the effective date of paragraph (c) of Rule 2821, approved in SR–NASD–2004–183, be delayed until August 4, 2008. All other parts of Rule 2821 approved in SR–NASD–2004–183 will become effective as scheduled on May 5, 2008.

2. Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,[6] which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The rule change will promote investor protection because it will allow firms to better prepare procedures and systems to implement Rule 2821(c) and will allow FINRA to more fully consider the new comments discussed above.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

[6] 15 U.S.C. 78o–3(b)(6).

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–FINRA–2007–040 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–FINRA–2007–040. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days

between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FINRA. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–FINRA–2007–040 and should be submitted on or before January 24, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.[7]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25571 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57046; File No. SR–NYSE–2007–118]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to NYSE Rule 409(f)

December 27, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)[1] of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'') and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on December 21, 2007, the New York Stock Exchange LLC (''NYSE'' or the ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by NYSE. NYSE has designated the proposed rule change as constituting a ''non-controversial'' rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act[3] and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)[4] thereunder, which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

This proposal is to amend New York Stock Exchange Rule 409 (Statements of Accounts to Customers) to delete the requirement that certain confirmations and reports include the name of the securities market on which a transaction is effected. The proposed rule change conforms NYSE's version of NYSE Rule 409 to proposed amendments filed by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (''FINRA'') to its version of NYSE Rule 409. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in brackets.

* * * * *

Rule 409. Statements of Accounts to Customers

(a) through (e) No change.

(f) Confirmation of all transactions (including those made ''over-the-counter'' and on other exchanges) in securities admitted to dealings on the Exchange, sent by members or member organizations to their customers, shall [indicate]*clearly set forth with a suitable legend* the settlement date of each transaction[and bear the name of the securities market on which the transaction was made]. This requirement also applies to confirmations or reports from an organization to a correspondent, but does not apply to reports made by floor brokers to the member organization from whom the orders were received.

[All confirmations shall contain a suitable legend clearly setting forth all required information.]

(g) No change.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On July 30, 2007, NASD and NYSE Regulation, Inc. consolidated their member firm regulation operations into a combined organization, FINRA.[5] Pursuant to FINRA's new regulatory responsibilities, FINRA amended FINRA's NYSE Rule 409 to delete the requirement that certain confirmations and reports include the name of the securities market on which a transaction is effected. The NYSE is proposing to amend its version of NYSE Rule 409 to conform to FINRA's NYSE Rule 409.

As noted in Item 2 of this filing, the operative date of the proposed rule change is January 1, 2008, which is the operative date of FINRA's identical amendments to its version of Rule 409.

2. Statutory Basis

The basis under the Act for this proposed rule change is the requirement under Section 6(b)(5)[6] that an Exchange have rules that are designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

[7] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[4] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

[5] Pursuant to Rule 17d–2 under the Exchange Act, NYSE, NYSE Regulation, Inc., and NASD entered into an agreement (the ''Agreement'') to reduce regulatory duplication for firms that are members of FINRA and also members of NYSE on or after July 30, 2007 (''Dual Members''), by allocating to FINRA certain regulatory responsibilities for selected NYSE rules. The Agreement includes a list of all of those rules (''Common Rules'') for which FINRA has assumed regulatory responsibilities. *See* Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 56148 (July 26, 2007), 72 FR 42146 (August 1, 2007) (Notice of Filing and Order Approving and Declaring Effective a Plan for the Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities). The Common Rules are the same NYSE rules that FINRA has incorporated into its rulebook. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56418 (July 26, 2007), 72 FR 42146 (August 1, 2007) (Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change to Incorporate Certain NYSE Rules Relating to Member Firm Conduct; File No. SR–NASD–2007–054). Paragraph 2(b) of the 17d–2 Agreement sets forth procedures regarding proposed changes by either NYSE or FINRA to the substance of any of the Common Rules.

[6] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is being filed for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)[7] of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)[8] promulgated thereunder. The foregoing rule change effects a change that (A) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (B) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (C) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) becomes effective upon filing but normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii),[9] the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and public interest. The Exchange requests that the Commission waive the 30-day delayed operative date, so that the proposed rule change may become operative on January 1, 2008. In particular, the sole purpose of the proposed rule change is to conform NYSE's version of NYSE Rule 409 to FINRA's proposed amendments to NYSE Rule 409, in furtherance of the consolidation of the member firm regulations functions of NYSE Regulation and NASD. NYSE requests that the effective date of the proposed rule change be January 1, 2008, to conform to the effective date of FINRA's identical amendment to Rule 409 to ensure that Rule 409 maintains its status as a Common Rule under the 17d–2 Agreement. As provided in paragraph 2(b) of the Agreement, FINRA and NYSE will, absent a disagreement about the substance of a proposed rule change to one of the Common Rules, promptly propose conforming changes to ensure that such rules continue to be Common Rules under the Agreement.

In accordance with Rule 19b–4,[10] NYSE submitted written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing. NYSE has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay contained in Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii)[11] under the Act based upon a representation that the temporary exemptive relief provided by FINRA and NYSE expires on January 1, 2008, and to conform to the identical rule amendments proposed by FINRA. In light of the foregoing, the Commission believes such waiver is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission designates the proposal to be effective upon filing with the Commission and operative on January 1, 2008.[12]

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR–NYSE–2007–118 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSE–2007–118. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro/shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NYSE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File number SR–NYSE–2007–118 and should be submitted on or before January 24, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[13]

Nancy M. Morris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25506 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[7] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[8] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

[9] 17 CFR 240. 19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

[10] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[11] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

[12] For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

[13] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 6051]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations: Ancient Greek Objects: ''The Krimisa Apollo'' and ''Bronze Hydria''

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, Delegation of Authority No. 236 of October 19, 1999, as amended, and Delegation of Authority No. 257 of April 15, 2003 [68 FR 19875], I hereby determine that the ancient Greek objects, ''The Krimisa Apollo'' and ''Bronze Hydria,'' to be imported from abroad for temporary exhibition within the United States, are of cultural significance. The objects are imported pursuant to loan agreements with the foreign owners or custodians. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at the Princeton University Art Museum, Princeton, New Jersey, from on or about January 13, 2008, until on or about January 15, 2012, and at possible additional exhibitions or venues yet to be

determined, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these Determinations is ordered to be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of the exhibit objects, contact Wolodymyr Sulzynsky, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (*telephone:* 202/453–8050). The address is U.S. Department of State, SA–44, 301 4th Street, SW., Room 700, Washington, DC 20547–0001.

Dated: December 26, 2007.

C. Miller Crouch,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E7–25574 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE–2007–52]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petition for exemption received.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before January 23, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments identified by Docket Number FAA–2007–0107 using any of the following methods:

- *Government-wide rulemaking Web site:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- *Mail:* Send comments to the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590.
- *Fax:* Fax comments to the Docket Management Facility at 202–493–2251.
- *Hand Delivery:* Bring comments to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Docket: To read background documents or comments received, go to *http://www.regulations.gov* at any time or to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information you provide. Using the search function of our docket Web site, anyone can find and read the comments received into any of our dockets, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pat Nininger (816) 329–4129, FAA Central Regional Office, 901 Locust St., Kansas City, MO 64106 or Frances Shaver (202) 267–9681, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Pamela Hamilton-Powell,

Director, Office of Rulemaking.

Petition for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA–2007–0107.

Petitioner: Cessna Aircraft Company.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: § 23.562(a).

Description of Relief Sought: Petitioner requests relief from the requirements of § 23.562(a) for side facing seats in the Cessna Model 525C aircraft. If granted, the petitioner would be allowed to obtain a type certificate with guidelines applied to side facing seat criteria framed by the Transport Airplane Directorate policy, which includes existing criteria, body-to-body contact, thoracic trauma, pelvic loading, body-to-wall/furnishing contact and occupant retention.

[FR Doc. E7–25526 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE–2007–47]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petition for exemption received.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of the petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before January 23, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments identified by Docket Number FAA–2004–18657 using any of the following methods:

- *Government-wide rulemaking Web site:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- *Mail:* Send comments to the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590.
- *Fax:* Fax comments to the Docket Management Facility at 202–493–2251.
- *Hand Delivery:* Bring comments to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information you provide. Using the search function of our docket Web site, anyone can find and read the comments received into any of our dockets, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

Docket: To read background documents or comments received, go to

http://www.regulations.gov at any time or to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenna Sinclair (425) 227–1556, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–113, Federal Aviation Administration, 1601 Lind Avenue, SE., Renton, WA 98055–4056; or Frances Shaver (202) 267–9681, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–204), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Pamela Hamilton-Powell,

Director, Office of Rulemaking.

Petition for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA–2004–18657.

Petitioner: The Boeing Company.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: §§ 25.785(j) and 25.1447(c)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: The petitioner is requesting that Exemption No. 8590 be amended to permit the access of twenty non-crewmembers (commonly referred to as supernumeraries) into the Class E main deck cargo compartment during flight for the purpose of attending to cargo types requiring care and/or inspection (e.g., live animals and/or hazardous materials).

[FR Doc. E7–25527 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE–2007–49]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petition for exemption received.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of the petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before January 23, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments identified by Docket Number FAA–2007–0381 using any of the following methods:

- *Government-wide rulemaking Web site:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- *Mail:* Send comments to the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590.
- *Fax:* Fax comments to the Docket Management Facility at 202–493–2251.
- *Hand Delivery:* Bring comments to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information you provide. Using the search function of our docket Web site, anyone can find and read the comments received into any of our dockets, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

Docket: To read background documents or comments received, go to *http://www.regulations.gov* at any time or to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyneka Thomas (202) 267–7626 or Laverne Brunache (202) 267–3133, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Pamela Hamilton-Powell,

Director, Office of Rulemaking.

Petition for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA–2007–0381.

Petitioner: North Texas Flight Academy.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 141.39(b).

Description of Relief Sought: To allow North Texas Flight Academy to operate Evektor SportStar light sport aircraft having a Special Airworthiness certificate for flight training purposes under Part 141.

[FR Doc. E7–25528 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE–2007–51]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petition for exemption received.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of the petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before January 23, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments identified by Docket Number FAA–2007–0377 using any of the following methods:

- *Government-wide rulemaking Web site:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- *Mail:* Send comments to the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590.
- *Fax:* Fax comments to the Docket Management Facility at 202–493–2251.
- *Hand Delivery:* Bring comments to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://www.regulations.gov,* including any personal information you provide. Using the search function of our docket Web site, anyone can find and read the comments received into any of our dockets, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or

signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

Docket: To read background documents or comments received, go to *http://www.regulations.gov* at any time or to the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyneka Thomas (202) 267–7626 or Laverne Brunache (202) 267–3133, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Pamela Hamilton-Powell,

Director, Office of Rulemaking.

Petition for Exemption

Docket No.: FAA–2007–0377.

Petitioner: United Technologies Corporation.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 61.3(a)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit United Technologies Corporation's customers, whose pilots do not hold FAA issued airman certificates, to perform evaluation flights of its Sikorsky S–92 aircraft in the U.S.

[FR Doc. E7–25532 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement; Grays Harbor County, WA

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public, affected Indian tribes and agencies that an environmental impact statement (EIS) will be prepared for the proposed development of a site to build pontoons that would be used to restore the function of the existing SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge in case of catastrophic failure. The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) is a co-lead agency on the EIS. FHWA and WSDOT will prepare the EIS in accordance with the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA–LU), and with Washington's State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Boch, Federal Highway Administration, 915 2nd Avenue, Room 3142, Seattle, Washington, 98174, Telephone: (206) 220–7536; Jenifer Young, Washington State Department of Transportation, SR 520 Project Office, Seattle, Washington, Telephone: (206) 770–3522.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the project is to expedite construction of pontoons to be used to restore the existing SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge in the event of a catastrophic failure. To meet this purpose, the proposed action being evaluated in the EIS is to develop a facility to build pontoons that would be of appropriate size and type to restore the function of the existing floating bridge, and to store these pontoons until needed at open-water moorage locations or onsite. Other potential uses of the pontoons are to support future planned replacement of the Evergreen Point Bridge if the pontoons are not needed for emergency use, or support emergency replacement or repair of other WSDOT floating bridges.

WSDOT and FHWA, as lead agencies, have identified an underlying need of timely availability of new pontoons. (1) The SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge is vulnerable to catastrophic failure; severe winter storms have damaged the 44-year-old bridge and rendered it increasingly vulnerable to windstorms and earthquakes. (2) The SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge is a key regional transportation link. There is no available detour designed for, or capable of, accommodating the vehicles that cross the bridge each day at equivalent speeds or travel times. (3) It will take several years to produce SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge pontoons. Currently, no existing facility in Washington has the capacity to quickly produce the number and size of pontoons that would be needed to replace the SR 520 bridge in the event of catastrophic failure. FHWA and WSDOT are seeking comment on the proposed purpose and need for the project, as required by SAFETEA–LU. Information on public and agency scoping meetings and address to send written comments to is provided below.

The proposed location for construction of pontoons is a 45 acre property in the City of Hoquiam, Grays Harbor County. Alternatives under initial consideration include: (1) Constructing a casting basin facility; (2) constructing a barge launch facility; (3) constructing a barge slip facility; and (4) No Build. Each ''build'' alternative will also include: improvements to the existing shoreline earthen berm and additional ancillary facilities, including a concrete batch plant, office space, parking area, access roads, rail spur, laydown area, and water treatment area. The EIS will evaluate potential effects on the physical, human, and natural environments. Areas of investigation include, but are not limited to: ecosystems, including threatened and endangered species, archaeological and historic resources, social and economic factors, land use, transportation, noise and vibration, air quality, soils and geology, hazardous materials, visual and aesthetic qualities, and public services and utilities.

The purpose of the Notice of Intent is to invite participation in the EIS process and comment from interested agencies, organizations, and citizens. In accordance with SAFETEA–LU, FHWA extended invitation to other Federal and non-Federal agencies and Indian tribes that may have an interest in being a ''cooperating agency'' or ''participating agency'' in December 2007. A public, agency and tribal coordination plan is being prepared. Agency and public scoping meetings will be held in January 2008. Scoping meetings are scheduled for the following date and times:

- *Agency and Tribal Scoping Meeting:* January 17, 2008, 10 a.m. to 12 p.m., Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, Old Capital Building, Room 430, 600 South Washington, Olympia Washington.
- *Public Scoping Meeting:* January 17, 2008, 5:30 p.m. to 8 p.m., Hoquiam High School Cafeteria, 501 West Emerson Avenue, Hoquiam, Washington. A court reporter will be available to record oral comments.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action are addressed, and all significant issues are identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments on the proposed purpose and need and the scope of alternatives and impacts to be considered are requested by February 1, 2008 and should be sent to: Jenifer Young, WSDOT–SR 520 Project Office, 600 Stewart Street, Suite 520, Seattle, WA, 98101; fax number (206) 770–3569; or e-mail to *pontoons@wsdot.wa.gov*.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program 20.205, Highway Research, Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation of

federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Stephen Boch,

Major Project Oversight Manager, Olympia, WA.

[FR Doc. E7–25164 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2007–0083]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection: Transportation of Hazardous Materials, Highway Routing

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for information.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FMCSA announces its plan to submit the Information Collection Request (ICR) described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The FMCSA requests approval to extend an existing information collection entitled ''Transportation of Hazardous Materials, Highway Routing,'' which requires States and Indian tribes to identify designated/restricted routes and restrictions or limitations affecting how motor carriers may transport certain hazardous materials on the highway. On October 30, 2007, FMCSA published a **Federal Register** notice allowing for a 60-day comment period on the ICR. *No comment was received.*

DATES: Please send your comments by February 4, 2008. OMB must receive your comments by this date in order to act quickly on the ICR.

ADDRESSES: All comments should reference DOT Docket No. FMCSA–2007–0083. You may submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 Seventeenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, *Attention: DOT/FMCSA Desk Officer*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. James O. Simmons, Hazardous Materials Division, phone (202) 366–6121; FAX (202) 366–3921; or e-mail *james.simmons@dot.gov*; Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, DOT, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. EST, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Transportation of Hazardous Materials, Highway Routing.

OMB Control Number: 2126–0014.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Respondents: The reporting burden is shared by the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Marianas, and the Virgin Islands.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 53.

Estimated Time per Response: 15 minutes.

Expiration Date: February 28, 2007.

Frequency of Response: There is one response annually from approximately 53 respondents.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 13 hours [53 respondents × 1 response × 15 minutes per response/60 minutes = 13.25 hours, rounded to 13 hours].

Background: The data for the Transportation of Hazardous Materials; Highway Routing designations are collected under authority of 49 U.S.C. 5112 and 5125. That authority places responsibility on the Secretary of Transportation (Secretary) to specify and regulate standards for establishing, maintaining, and enforcing routing designations.

Under 49 CFR 397.73, the Administrator has the authority to request that each State and Indian tribe, through its routing agency, provide information identifying hazardous materials routing designations within their jurisdictions. That information is collected and consolidated by the FMCSA and published annually in whole, or as updates, in the **Federal Register**.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the performance of FMCSA's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways for the FMCSA to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information.

Issued on: December 18, 2007.

Terry Shelton,

Associate Administrator for Research and Information Technology.

[FR Doc. E7–25579 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

Maintenance and Repair Reimbursement Pilot Program

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice of extension of application deadline.

SUMMARY: The Maritime Administration is hereby giving notice that the closing date for filing applications to enroll in the Maintenance and Repair Reimbursement Pilot Program is extended until February 15, 2008. The notice announcing the initial application deadline was published in the **Federal Register** on July 2, 2007 (72 FR 36103). An extension to October 30, 2007 was previously published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2007 (72 FR 41581–01). A subsequent extension to December 30, 2007 was published in the **Federal Register** on October 30, 2007 (72 FR 61421–01).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean E. McKeever, Associate Administrator for Business and Workforce Development, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE., Washington, DC 20590; phone: (202) 366–5737; fax: (202) 366–3511; or e-mail: *Jean.McKeever@dot.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3517 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2007 (Pub. L. 109–163) requires a person who is awarded a Maritime Security Program (''MSP'') agreement to also enter into an agreement with the Maritime Administration to perform maintenance and repair (''M&R'') work in United States shipyards as a condition of the MSP award. The Maritime Administration's M&R regulations do not apply the M&R condition to contractors who have already been awarded an M&R agreement. Thus, the Maritime Administration's M&R regulations make the M&R obligation mandatory on new awardees, including transferees, of MSP agreements, and voluntary for existing MSP contractors.

The M&R regulations were published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 2007 (72 FR 5342–01), but did not specify a time period for submitting applications. The deadline for applying for the M&R program is being extended to accommodate one or more carriers that are considering submitting applications, but need additional time to make a decision.

(Authority: 49 CFR 1.66)

Dated: December 27, 2007.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

Murray A. Bloom,

Acting Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–25555 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB–55 (Sub-No. 675X)]

CSX Transportation, Inc.—Abandonment Exemption—in Ware County, GA

CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT), has filed a notice of exemption [1] under 49 CFR part 1152 subpart F-Exempt Abandonments to abandon a 1.08-mile rail line on CSXT's Southern Region, Jacksonville Division, Fitzgerald Subdivision, between mileposts AP 588.84 and AP 587.76 in Waycross, Ware County, GA. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 31501 and 31503.

CSXT has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) any overhead traffic on the line can be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an OFA has been received, this exemption will be effective on February 2, 2008, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,[2] formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),[3] and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by January 14, 2008. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by January 23, 2008, with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to CSXT's representative: Louis E. Gitomer, 600 Baltimore Ave., Suite 301, Towson, MD 21204.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

CSXT has filed both an environmental report and a historic report that address the effects, if any, of the abandonment on the environment and historic resources. SEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by January 8, 2008. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 1100, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423–0001) or by calling SEA, at (202) 245–0305. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.] Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), CSXT shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned the line. If consummation has not been effected by CSXT's filing of a notice of consummation by January 3, 2009, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at *http://www.stb.dot.gov*.

Decided: December 27, 2007.

By the Board, Joseph H. Dettmar, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–25486 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

[1] CSXT also filed a petition seeking exemption from the offer of financial assistance provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10904. The merits of the petition will be addressed in a separate decision.

[2] The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. *See Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

[3] Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at $1,300. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Area 7 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of Alaska, California, Hawaii, and Nevada)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Area 7 committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (TAP) is soliciting public comments, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service. The TAP will use citizen input to make recommendations to the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Wednesday, February 20, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janice Spinks at 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Area 7 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Wednesday, February 20, 2008, from 2 to 3:30 p.m. Pacific Time via a telephone conference call. The public is invited to make oral comments. Individual comments will be limited to 5 minutes. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or write to Janice Spinks, TAP Office, 915 2nd Avenue, MS W–406, Seattle, WA 98174. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Janice Spinks. Miss Spinks can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org*.

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25534 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Area 4 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Area 4 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comment, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 19, 2008, at 1 p.m., Central Time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Ann Delzer at 1–888–912–1227, or (414) 231–2360.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that a meeting of the Area 4 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, February 19, 2008, at 1 p.m., Central Time via a telephone conference call. You can submit written comments to the panel by faxing the comments to (414) 231–2363, or by mail to Taxpayer Advocacy Panel, Stop 1006MIL, 211 West Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53203–2221, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org*. Please contact Mary Ann Delzer at 1–888–912–1227 or (414) 231–2360 for dial-in information.

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25537 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Small Business/Self Employed—Taxpayer Burden Reduction Issue Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel Small Business/Self Employed—Taxpayer Burden Reduction Issue Committee will be conducted. The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comment, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Thursday, February 14, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marisa Knispel at 1–888–912–1227 or (718) 488–3557.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that a meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel Small Business/Self Employed—Taxpayer Burden Reduction Issue Committee will be held Thursday, February 14, 2008, at 2 p.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. You can submit written comments to the panel by faxing to (718) 488–2062, or by mail to Taxpayer Advocacy Panel, 10 Metro Tech Center, 625 Fulton Street, Brooklyn, NY, 11201, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org*. Public comments will also be welcome during the meeting. Please contact Marisa Knispel at 1–888–912–1227 or (718) 488–3557 for additional information.

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS Issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25538 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Taxpayer Assistance Center Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Taxpayer Assistance Center Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (TAP) is soliciting public comments, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, January 29, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Coffman at 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Taxpayer Assistance Center Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, January 29, 2008, from 9 to 10:30 a.m. Pacific Time via a telephone conference call. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or write to Dave Coffman, TAP Office, 915 2nd Avenue, MS W–406, Seattle, WA 98174. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Dave Coffman. Mr. Coffman can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org*.

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25540 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Taxpayer Assistance Center Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Taxpayer Assistance Center Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (TAP) is soliciting public comments, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 26, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Coffman at 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Taxpayer Assistance Center Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, February 26, 2008, at 9 a.m. Pacific Time via a telephone conference call. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or write to Dave Coffman, TAP Office, 915 2nd Avenue, MS W–406, Seattle, WA

98174. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Dave Coffman. Mr. Coffman can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org.*

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25542 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) Issue Committee

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel VITA Issue Committee will be conducted. The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comment, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 12, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marisa Knispel at 1–888–912–1227 or (718) 488–3557.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that a meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel VITA Issue Committee will be held Tuesday, February 12, 2008, at 2 p.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. You can submit written comments to the panel by faxing to (718) 488–2062, or by mail to Taxpayer Advocacy Panel, 10 Metro Tech Center, 625 Fulton Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org.* Public comments will also be welcome during the meeting. Please contact Marisa Knispel at 1–888–912–1227 or (718) 488–3557 for additional information.

The agenda will include the following: Various VITA Issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25543 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Area 1 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, Vermont and Maine)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Area 1 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comments, ideas and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 19, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audrey Y. Jenkins at 1–888–912–1227 or 718–488–2085

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An open meeting of the Area 1 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, February 19, 2008, from 9 to 10 a.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. Individual comments will be limited to 5 minutes. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 718–488–2085, or write Audrey Y. Jenkins, TAP Office, 10 MetroTech Center, 625 Fulton Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Audrey Y. Jenkins. Ms. Jenkins can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 718–488–2085, or post comments to the Web site: *http://www.improveirs.org.*

The agenda will include various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25545 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Area 2 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of Delaware, North Carolina, South Carolina, New Jersey, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Area 2 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comments, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Wednesday, February 20, 2008, at 2:30 p.m. Eastern Time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inez E. DeJesus at 1–888–912–1227, or 954–423–7977.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Area 2 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Wednesday, February 20, 2008, at 2:30 p.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7977, or write Inez E. DeJesus, TAP Office, 1000 South Pine Island Rd., Suite 340, Plantation, FL 33324. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Inez E. DeJesus. Ms. DeJesus can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7977, or post comments to the Web site: *http://www.improveirs.org.*

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25546 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Area 3 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of Florida, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, and the Territory of Puerto Rico)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Area 3 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comments, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 19, 2008, at 12:30 a.m. Eastern Time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sallie Chavez at 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7979.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Area 3 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, February 19, 2008, at 12:30 a.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7979, or write Sallie Chavez, TAP Office, 1000 South Pine Island Rd., Suite 340, Plantation, FL 33324. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Sallie Chavez. Ms. Chavez can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7979, or post comments to the Web site: *http://www.improveirs.org.*

The agenda will include: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25548 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Area 5 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Area 5 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted. The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comment, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 12, 2008, at 9:30 a.m. Central Time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Ann Delzer at 1–888–912–1227, or (414) 231–2360.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that a meeting of the Area 5 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, February 12, 2008, at 9:30 a.m. Central Time via a telephone conference call. You can submit written comments to the panel by faxing to (414) 231–2363, or by mail to Taxpayer Advocacy Panel, Stop 1006MIL, 211 West Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53203–2221, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org.* Please contact Mary Ann Delzer at 1–888–912–1227 or (414) 231–2360 for dial-in information.

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25549 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Area 6 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington and Wyoming)

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Area 6 committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (TAP) is soliciting public comments, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service. The TAP will use citizen input to make recommendations to the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Coffman at 1–888–912–1227, or 206–220–6096.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Area 6 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, February 5, 2008, from 1 to 2:30 p.m. Pacific Time via a telephone conference call. The public is invited to make oral comments. Individual comments will be limited to 5 minutes. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or write to Dave Coffman, TAP Office, 915 2nd Avenue, MS W–406, Seattle, WA 98174. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Dave Coffman. Mr. Coffman can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 206–220–6096, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org.*

The agenda will include the following: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25550 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Wage & Investment Reducing Taxpayer Burden (Notices) Issue Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Wage & Investment Reducing Taxpayer Burden (Notices) Issue Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comments, ideas and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Wednesday, February 20, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sallie Chavez at 1–888–912–1227, or 954–423–7979.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Wage & Investment Reducing Taxpayer Burden (Notices) Issue Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Wednesday, February 20, 2008, at 12:30 p.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7979, or write Sallie Chavez, TAP Office, 1000 South Pine Island Road, Suite 340, Plantation, FL 33324. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Sallie Chavez. Ms. Chavez can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7979, or post comments to the Web site: *http://www.improveirs.org.*

The agenda will include: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25551 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Joint Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Joint Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted via conference call. The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comment, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Wednesday, February 6, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia Robb at 1–888–912–1227 or (414) 231–2360.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Joint Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (TAP) will be held Wednesday, February 6, 2008, at 2 p.m. Eastern Time via a conference call. If you would like to have the Joint Committee of TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or (414) 231–2360, or write Patricia Robb, TAP Office, MS–1006–MIL, 211 West Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53203–2221, or FAX to (414) 231–2363, or you can contact us at *http://www.improveirs.org.* For information to join the Joint Committee meeting, contact Patricia Robb at the above number.

The agenda will include the following: discussion of issues and responses brought to the joint committee, office report, and discussion of annual meeting.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25552 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Ad Hoc IRS Forms and Publications/Language Services Issue Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Ad Hoc IRS Forms and Publications/Language Services Issue Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comments, ideas and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, February 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inez DeJesus at 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7977.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Ad Hoc IRS Forms and Publications/Language Services Issue Committee of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Tuesday, February 5, 2008, at 2 p.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7977, or write Inez DeJesus, TAP Office, 1000 South Pine Island Road, Suite 340, Plantation, FL 33324. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Inez DeJesus. Ms. DeJesus can be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or 954–423–7977, or you can post comments to the Web site: *http://www.improveirs.org.*

The agenda will include: Various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25556 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Open Meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel Earned Income Tax Credit Issue Committee

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel Earned Income Tax Credit Issue Committee will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comments, ideas and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

DATES: The meeting will be held Wednesday, February 13, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audrey Y. Jenkins at 1–888–912–1227 or 718–488–2085.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel Earned Income Tax Credit Issue Committee will be held Wednesday, February 13, 2008, from 1 to 2 p.m. Eastern Time via a telephone conference call. The public is invited to make oral comments. Individual comments will be limited to 5 minutes. For information or to confirm attendance, notification of intent to attend the meeting must be made with Audrey Y. Jenkins. Ms. Jenkins may be reached at 1–888–912–1227 or (718) 488–2085. Send written comments to Audrey Y. Jenkins, TAP Office, 10 MetroTech Center, 625 Fulton Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201 or post comments to the Web site *www.improveirs.org.* Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made in advance.

The agenda will include various IRS issues.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

Sandra L. McQuin,

Acting Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

[FR Doc. E7–25557 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

Federal Register

Thursday,
January 3, 2008

Part II

Federal Communications Commission

47 CFR Chapter I
Commercial Mobile Alert System; Proposed Rule

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[PSHSB Docket No. 07–287; FCC 07–214]

Commercial Mobile Alert System

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: By this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) initiates a comprehensive rulemaking to establish a Commercial Mobile Alert System (CMAS). In particular, the Commission seeks comment on the recommendations of the Commercial Mobile Services Alert Advisory Committee (CMSAAC). These recommendations are attached as Appendix A. The Commission convened the CMSAAC in compliance with the Warning Alert and Response Network (WARN) Act, which requires that the FCC adopt technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements for the CMAS based on the recommendations of the CMSAAC. The purpose of this rulemaking is to create a mechanism under which CMS providers may elect to transmit emergency alerts to the public. The Commission has initiated this proceeding to comply with the Warning Alert and Response Network (WARN) Act and to satisfy the Commission's mandate to promote the safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communication.

DATES: Comments are due on or before February 4, 2008, and reply comments are due on or before February 19, 2008. Written comments on the Paperwork Reduction Act proposed information collection requirement must be submitted by the public, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and other interested parties on or before March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send comments and reply comments to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. You may submit comments, identified by PSHSB Docket No. 07–287, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: *http://www.regulations.gov/*. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Federal Communications Commission's Web site: *http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/*. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- People with Disabilities: Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail; *FCC504@fcc.gov* or phone: 202–418–0530 or TTY: 202–418–0432.

In addition to filing with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirement contained herein should be submitted to the Federal Communications Commission via e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* and to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via e-mail to *Nicholas_A._Fraser@omb.eop.gov* or via fax at 202–395–5167.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa M. Fowlkes, Deputy Bureau Chief, PSHSB, at (202) 418–7450 or Jeffery Goldthorp, Chief, Communications Services Analysis Division, PSHSB at (202) 418–1096. For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act information collection requirement contained in this document, send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Jerry Cowden at (202) 418–0447.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in PSHSB Docket No. 07–287, FCC 07–214, adopted December 14, 2007, and released December 14, 2007. The complete text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone (800) 378–3160 or (202) 488–5300, facsimile (202) 488–5563, or via e-mail at *fcc@bcpiweb.com*. It is also available on the Commission's Web site at *http://www.fcc.gov.*

This document contains a proposed information collection requirement. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and the OMB to comment on the proposed information collection requirement contained in this document, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Public and agency comments are due March 3, 2008.

Comments should address: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. In addition, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107–198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4), we seek specific comment on how it might ''further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.''

OMB Control Number: None.

Title: Election Whether To Participate in the Commercial Mobile Alert System.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New Collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 1,253.

Time per Response: 6 minutes.

Frequency of Response: One-time.

Obligation to Respond: Mandatory.

Total Annual Burden: 125.3 hours.

Total Annual Costs: $0.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: Not applicable.

Needs and Uses: Section 602(b)(2)(A) of the WARN Act requires each Commercial Mobile Service (CMS) provider to notify the Commission, within 30 days of the Commission's release of the order adopting CMAS technical requirements and protocols, whether it intends to participate in the CMAS. The information collected will be the CMS provider's contact information and its election, i.e., a ''yes'' or ''no,'' on whether it intends to provide commercial mobile service alerts. The Commission will use the information collected to meet its statutory requirement under the WARN Act to accept licensees' election filings and to establish an effective CMAS that will provide the public with effective mobile alerts in a manner that imposes minimal regulatory burdens on affected entities.

Synopsis of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

1. *Background.* On October 13, 2006, the President signed the Security and Accountability For Every Port (SAFE Port) Act into law. Title VI of the SAFE Port Act, the WARN Act, establishes a process for CMS providers to elect to transmit emergency alerts to their subscribers. The WARN Act requires that the Commission engage in a series of activities to accomplish that goal. Among these activities was the

requirement that by December 12, 2006, the Commission establish an advisory committee to recommend system critical protocols and technical recommendations for the CMAS, and arrange for the Committee to hold its first meeting. The Commission formed the Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee (CMSAAC), which had its first meeting on this date. By October 12, 2007 (one year of enactment), the CMSAAC was required to provide system critical recommendations regarding technical requirements and protocols for the CMAS to the Commission. The CMSAAC submitted its report on this date. Within 180 days of receipt of the CMSAAC's recommendations, the Commission must complete a proceeding to adopt technical standards, protocols, procedures and technical requirements based on recommendations submitted by the CMSAAC. A copy of the CMSAAC recommendations is attached to this NPRM.

2. *Introduction.* With this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), we initiate a comprehensive rulemaking to establish a Commercial Mobile Alert System (CMAS), under which Commercial Mobile Service providers may elect to transmit emergency alerts to the public. This proceeding represents our next step in compliance with the Warning Alert and Response Network (WARN) Act requirement that the Commission enable commercial mobile service alerting capability for providers that elect to transmit emergency alerts. In addition, with this rulemaking we continue to address our obligations under the President's ''Public Alert and Warning System'' Executive Order that the Commission ''adopt rules to ensure that communications systems have the capacity to transmit alerts and warnings to the public as part of the public alert and warning system.''

3. Section 602 of the WARN Act requires the Commission to adopt: (1) System critical protocols and technical requirements for the CMAS; (2) a mechanism under which commercial mobile service providers' (''CMS providers'') licensees may elect to participate in the CMAS and disclose to their subscribers whether or not they will participate; (3) rules under which licensees and permittees of noncommercial educational (NCE) broadcast stations or public broadcast stations install necessary equipment and technologies on, or as part of, any broadcast television digital signal transmitter to enable the distribution of geographically targeted alerts by CMS providers that have elected to participate in the CMAS; and (4) technical testing requirements for CMS providers that elect to transmit emergency alerts and for the devices and equipment used by such providers for transmitting such alerts. In this NPRM we seek comment on questions pertaining to all of these statutory requirements. We also seek comment about how the issues discussed in the NPRM relate to the Commission's activities in connection with the Emergency Alert System (EAS).

4. By starting this rulemaking today, we take a significant step towards implementing one of our highest priorities—to ensure that all Americans have the capability to receive timely and accurate alerts, warnings and critical information regarding impending disasters and other emergencies irrespective of what communications technologies they use. As we have learned from recent disasters such as the Southern California fires, the Virginia Tech shootings, and the 2005 hurricanes, such a capability is essential to enable Americans to take appropriate action to protect their families and themselves from loss of life or serious injury. This rulemaking represents our continued commitment to satisfy the mandate of the Communications Act that the Commission promote the safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communication.

5. This NPRM is the latest example of our commitment to enhance the redundancy, reliability and security of emergency alerts to the public by requiring that alerts be distributed over diverse communications platforms. Most recently, we expanded the EAS from its legacy in analog television and radio to include participation by digital television broadcasters, digital cable television providers, digital broadcast radio, Digital Audio Radio Service (DARS) and Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) systems. As we noted in our 2005 EAS Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 70 FR 7102–01, wireless services are becoming equal to television and radio as an avenue to reach the American public quickly and efficiently. As of June 2007, approximately 243 million Americans subscribed to wireless services. Wireless service has progressed beyond voice communications and now provides subscribers with access to a wide range of information critical to their personal and business affairs. In times of emergency, Americans rely on their mobile telephony service to receive and retrieve critical, time-sensitive information. A comprehensive mobile alerting system would have the ability to reach people on the go in a short timeframe, even where they do not have access to broadcast radio or television or other sources of EAS. Providing critical alert information in this respect will ultimately help avert danger and save lives.

6. On October 13, 2006, the President signed the Security and Accountability For Every Port (SAFE Port) Act into law. Title VI of the SAFE Port Act, the WARN Act, establishes a process for CMS providers to elect to transmit emergency alerts to their subscribers. The WARN Act requires that we engage in a series of activities to accomplish that goal. These requirements are listed below, followed by our activity to satisfy that requirement:

- By December 12, 2006 (60 days of enactment), we were required to establish an advisory committee to recommend system critical protocols and technical recommendations for the CMAS, and arrange for the Committee to hold its first meeting. We formed the Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee (CMSAAC), which had its first meeting on this date.
- By April 13, 2007 (180 days of enactment), we were required to determine what constitutes ''remote communities effectively unserved by commercial mobile service for the purpose of enabling residents of those communities to receive emergency alerts.'' This required determination relates to a program under which NOAA may issue grants to provide for outdoor alerting technologies. We issued a Declaratory Ruling addressing this issue on April 11, 2007.
- By October 12, 2007 (one year of enactment), the CMSAAC was required to provide system critical recommendations regarding technical requirements and protocols for the CMAS to the Commission. The CMSAAC submitted its report on this date. The CMSAAC recommendations are attached at Appendix B.
- Within 180 days of receipt of the CMSAAC's recommendations, we must complete a proceeding to adopt technical standards, protocols, procedures and technical requirements based on recommendations submitted by the CMSAAC, necessary to enable commercial mobile service alerting capability for commercial mobile service providers.
- Within 90 days of our adoption of CMAS technical requirements, we must complete a proceeding to require NCE and public broadcast station licensees and permittees to install equipment to enable the distribution of geographically targeted alerts by CMS providers that

have elected to transmit emergency alerts.

• Within 120 days of our adoption of CMAS technical requirements, we must complete a proceeding that, among other things, establishes the process by which CMS providers would elect to transmit emergency alerts to subscribers.

• Within two years after completion of the technical rulemaking, we must examine whether CMS providers electing to transmit emergency alerts should continue to permit their subscribers the capability to block such alerts and must submit a report with its recommendations to Congress.

WARN Act Section 602(a)—Technical Requirements

7. Section 602(a) of the WARN Act requires that the Commission adopt technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements based on the recommendations of the CMSAAC that will enable commercial mobile service alerting capability for CMS providers that voluntarily elect to transmit emergency alerts. The CMSAAC has recently completed its report, and we seek comment generally on all the recommendations contained therein. Accordingly, we seek comment on the technical standards, protocols, procedures and other requirements that should be adopted to facilitate the transmission of emergency alerts by CMS providers. We ask whether these recommendations, if adopted, would satisfy the requirements of the WARN Act and our goal of ensuring a robust, reliable and effective CMAS that could, in conjunction with other alerting systems and technologies, be used to transmit emergency alerts to all Americans, including those with special needs and those who do not speak English. We seek comment on whether the CMSAAC recommendations present an effective mechanism for alert originators at all levels of government to initiate emergency alerts and whether these recommendations could be implemented using a myriad of current and future technologies. Commenters should review all of the recommendations and comment, where appropriate, on the manner in which each of the recommendations contributes to an effective, unified system for the delivery of alerts over commercial mobile systems as envisioned by the WARN Act. We further seek comment on any alternatives to the CMSAAC's recommendations. Comments that suggest alternatives to the CMSAAC's recommendations should address with sufficient detail how their proposed alternative would promote an effective CMAS as envisioned by the WARN Act.

8. The CMSAAC's recommendations are detailed and highly technical in many places. As noted above, we have attached the CMSAAC's recommendations at Appendix B to this NPRM. Accordingly, rather than summarize each of the recommendations in this document, we provide descriptions of the major issues addressed by the CMSAAC's recommendations in order to facilitate a focused approach for public comment.

9. *Available Transport Technologies.* We seek comment on the availability of technologies now and in the future for the transmission of alerts over the CMAS. For example, to what extent do point-to-point and point-to-multipoint technologies provide viable solutions for a national CMAS? In this regard, we note that, the CMSAAC raised concerns regarding the viability of point-to-point solutions for a national alerting system. We seek comment on these concerns. Specifically, can current generation point-to-point services such as short message service (SMS) be used to efficiently alert large populations of people within a short time frame? What impact would wireless 3G networks have on the SMS model?

10. Can point-to-multipoint technologies such as cell broadcast provide a viable transport solution for alerts transmitted over the CMAS? If current cell broadcasting does not provide a viable solution, what further development would be necessary to use cell broadcasting for the CMAS? Are there significant differences in how CDMA or GSM systems could employ cell broadcasting today and in the future? Are current mobile devices capable of receiving cell broadcast alerts?

11. We also seek comment, particularly from the EAS community, on whether a broadcast distribution model similar to that used to distribute EAS is consistent with the WARN Act and the CMAS. Could radio data systems like the Radio Broadcast Data System (RBDS), which do not require significant service provider infrastructure, nonetheless meet our goals for efficient delivery of alerts over the CMAS? What about emerging wireless broadcast technologies such as MediaFLO and DVB-H? Comments should include a discussion concerning the broad range of devices intended to utilize the CMAS and potential impact on the subscriber service experience.

12. The CMAS as proposed by the CMSAAC likely will require a higher layer protocol that carries meta-data (administrative information) with the alert message, and can send authentication and authorization data to the alert's originator. We seek comment on whether this higher layer protocol is necessary for the CMAS. We also seek comment on how point-to-point, point-to-multi point and broadcast models could carry this information and provide the recommended authentication information. We further seek comment on any alternative methods for transmitting this data.

13. *Federal Government's Role.* What should be the Federal Government's role, if any, in managing the CMAS? The CMSAAC recommended that a Federal Government entity fulfill the roles of ''Alert Aggregator'' (i.e., receive, accumulate and authenticate alerts originated by authorized alert initiators using the Common Alert Protocol (CAP)) and the ''Alert Gateway'' (i.e., formulate an alert based on key fields in the CAP alert sent by the alert initiator and transmit the alert to corresponding gateways operated by each CMS provider). We seek comment on these recommendations. Is it necessary and desirable for a Federal government entity to assume these roles? If so, what Federal government entity would be appropriate? Commenters suggesting that a Federal government entity other than the Commission should fulfill these roles should also address how we could implement such a recommendation, taking into account our statutory authority and jurisdiction. We also seek comment on whether a private sector entity could fulfill these roles either independently or pursuant to delegated authority by a Federal government entity (e.g., under a ''Memorandum of Understanding'' (MoU) arrangement, similar to the one used by the Justice Department regarding Amber Alerts).

14. The CMSAAC also recommended that all alerts, whether national or local, would be funneled through this aggregator. Is a centralized system best positioned to accomplish the goals of the CMAS as envisioned by the WARN Act? Would this run the risk of creating a single point of failure? Further, we seek comment on the government alerting system capability to a) support the aggregation of alerts from emergency agencies down to county and municipal levels, b) distribute alerts to a diverse range of potential alerting systems, and c) interact and determine the status of such connected alerting systems. What is the role of state emergency agencies in such a scheme? Should the aggregator concept be expanded to include state and county emergency agencies, such as state and county emergency operations

centers (EOCs)? Could this be done in a manner that could track a state's role in any EAS activation? What equipment or security issues might be involved in expanding the scope of the system? What criteria should be established for determining the appropriateness of connecting an agency? What responsibilities should be attendant on connected agencies?

15. *Use of the Common Alerting Protocol (CAP).* We seek comment on the CMSAAC's recommendation that the CMAS use CAP as the basic alerting protocol from the alert initiator to the alert gateway. We also seek comment about the use of CAP as a general, system-wide CMAS interface. Is use of CAP currently practicable in the context of CMAS? If CAP use were mandated, how quickly could such use be introduced by all CMAS participants? We note that we have specifically mandated use of CAP recently in our EAS Second Report and Order, where we concluded that use of CAP would provide specific benefits to the evolving EAS. As noted above, one of the key benefits of CAP is that it ensures that diverse alert systems and technologies can participate within a common, transparent framework. Would CAP as utilized in the context of CMAS promote similar transparency? To the extent that commenters believe that the use of CAP as proposed would not be appropriate, they should discuss in detail any alternative protocols.

16. *Alert Formatting, Classes, and Content Issues.* We seek comment on whether we should adopt a character limit for alerts transmitted over the CMAS. We note that the CMSAAC recommended that, at least initially, the technical limit of any CMAS alert should be 90 characters of text. Commenters should provide detailed technical explanation in support of their positions and explain the relationship between ''payload'' and ''displayable message size'' as referenced in the CMSAAC's recommendations.

17. We also seek comment on whether and to what extent emergency alerts should be classified. We specifically seek comment on the CMSAAC's recommendation that there be three classes of Commercial Mobile Alerts: Presidential-level, Imminent threat to life and property; and Child Abduction Emergency or ''AMBER Alert'' Service. For example, the CMSAAC recommended that the term ''Imminent threat to life and property'' be defined as ''alerts where the CAP severity equals Extreme or Severe, CAP urgency is Immediate or Expected, and CAP certainty is Observed or Likely.'' Is this proposed definition sufficient to set a proper threshold for the class of alerts that should be transmitted using the CMAS? We solicit examples of events meeting these criteria. Further, we seek comment on whether the choice of ''imminent'' represents a correct threshold? Does ''imminent'' apply to all types of threats, such as weather for example? Also, we note that CMS providers already support the transmission of Amber alerts to mobile devices using SMS technology. What is the added value of also including Amber Alerts in CMAS? What are the potential negatives if ''too many'' alerts are generated? What balance of alerts should be sought, and what factors should be considered in seeking such a balance?

18. We also seek comment on the content of CMAS alerts, including the CMSAAC's recommendation that all service providers support, at minimum, a capability for a text based common alerting message format support across multiple service platform technologies.

19. The CMSAAC also recommended that the elements of a Commercial Mobile Alert Message (CMAM) should be (1) event type or category, (2) area affected, (3) recommended action, (4) expiration time with time zone, and (4) sending agency. We seek comment on these choices. Are they consistent with accepted industry practices for emergency alerts? Are they consistent with the evolving concept of CAP-formatted messages? The CMSAAC anticipated that the elements of a CMA would evolve as experience is gained by alert initiators. We seek comment on this assumption. How might CMAM elements evolve over time?

20. The CMSAAC also recommended a method for the automatic generation of alert text by extracting information from CAP fields, SAME codes and free-form text, but proposed that the CMAS allow the generation of free text in Amber Alerts and Presidential alerts. We seek comment on this recommendation. We also seek comment on whether Presidential and Amber alerts can be structured to use automatic text.

21. We also seek comment on the CMSAAC's recommended set of standardized alerting messages. Should the alert message include telephone numbers, URLs or other response and contact information in certain Commercial mobile alerts? Is there public safety value to the inclusion of such information in a Commercial mobile alert? What, if any, would be the impact on the network? In prior emergencies, mobile traffic increased to the point of network congestion. What would be the impact on network congestion if subscribers were directed to a specific number (such as a ''311'' number in New York City) or URL?

22. *Geographically Targeted Commercial Mobile Alerts.* We seek comment on what level of precision we should require for the geographical targeting (geo-targeting) of CMAS alerts. In section 5.4 of its recommendations, the CMSAAC acknowledged ''that it is the goal of the CMAS for CMSPs to be able to deliver geo-targeted alerts to the area specified by the Alert Initiator.'' However, the CMSAAC recommended that, due to current limited capabilities on the part of CMS providers, ''an alert that is specified by a geocode, circle or polygon . . . will be transmitted to an area not larger than the CMSP's approximation of coverage for the county or counties with which that geocode, circle or polygon intersects.'' We seek comment on this recommendation, including the assertion that technical limitations currently preclude dynamic geo-targeting at a level more granular than the county.

23. The CMSAAC recognized that a ''CMS provider may elect to target smaller areas'' and recommended ''that certain urban areas with populations exceeding 1,000,000 inhabitants or with other specialized alerting needs be identified for priority consideration regarding implementation of more precise geo-targeting.'' The CMSAAC recommended that a process be initiated by the Alert Gateway operator and the CMS providers to identify such priority locations by August, 2008, and recognized ''the desire to move forward with this process on a small number of areas with particularly urgent alerting needs as soon as possible.'' We seek comment on these and the other recommendations raised in section 5.4 of the CMSAAC's recommendations.

24. *CMAS for Individuals With Disabilities and the Elderly.* We seek comment on what, if any, technical or accessibility requirements we should adopt to ensure that commercial mobile alerts can be received by people with disabilities and the elderly. The CMSAAC submitted recommendations addressing the needs of users, including individuals with disabilities and the elderly, and we seek comment on these recommendations. Among the major recommendations by the CMSAAC is a proposal that the CMAS support a common audio attention signal and a common vibrating cadence to be used solely for CMAS alerts. We seek comment on this recommendation. Does the CMAS need to require these attention signals for all users? Further, the CMSAAC recommended that the alert initiator use clear and simple

language whenever possible, with minimal use of abbreviations and that the mobile device be able to provide an easy way to allow the user to recall the message for review. We seek comment on these recommendations and other issues that parties wish to raise concerning users with special needs. The CMSAAC also recommended that legacy mobile devices not be required to support CMAS, notwithstanding that much of the special needs services will depend on features in the mobile device. We seek comment on this recommendation. Is there a way, perhaps through software upgrades, for present mobile devices to support CMAS? Could, and if so, should upgrades be performed over the air?

25. *Transmission of CMAS Alerts in Languages Other Than English.* We seek comment on the technical feasibility of providing commercial mobile alerts in languages in addition to English. The CMSAAC suggested that there may be fundamental technical challenges to implementing parallel alerts in languages in addition to English. We seek comment on this view. We recognize the significant public safety interest in delivering alerts to speakers of languages other than English and strongly affirmed this principle in our May 2007 EAS Second Report and Order. CMSAAC also asserted that multilingual (and geo-targeted) alerting would raise latency (alert delay) concerns. How would requirements for multi-language alerts affect the generation and distribution of messages on a local, state and national level?

WARN Act Section 602(b)—CMAS Election Rulemaking

26. Section 602(b) concerns commercial mobile service licensees' election to transmit or not transmit emergency alerts to subscribers. It requires the Commission to establish procedures by which a CMS provider will notify new and existing subscribers of its election and inform the Commission of its election and the method of its transmittal of alerts, and to establish procedures for a CMS provider to withdraw its election and afford existing subscribers to discontinue service upon notification of that withdrawal.

27. *Notice at Point of Sale.* Under Section 602(b)(1), ''within 120 days after the date on which [the Commission] adopts relevant technical standards and other technical requirements pursuant to subsection (a), the Commission shall complete a proceeding to allow any licensee providing commercial mobile service to transmit emergency alerts to subscribers to, or users of, the commercial mobile service provided by such licensee.'' The Commission shall ''require any CMS licensee providing commercial mobile service that elects, in whole or in part, under paragraph (2) [Election] not to transmit emergency alerts to provide clear and conspicuous notice at the point of sale of any devices with which its commercial mobile service is included, that it will not transmit such alerts via the service it provides for the device.''

28. CMSAAC recommended that CMS providers should have the discretion to determine how to provide this notice. Thus, as an initial matter, we seek comment on this recommendation. Alternatively, should we specify the methods by which a service provider should notify prospective and existing subscribers that it has elected not to offer emergency alerts? The Commission has established procedures in other proceedings concerning the provision of notice to subscribers and the display of information in a service provider's places of business. For purposes of this proceeding, we also would define any point of sale as any means—retail, telephone, or Internet-based—by which a service provider facilitates and promotes its services for sale to the public. We include third party, separately branded resellers as meeting the criteria for a point of sale. We seek comment on this choice. Are there others that should be included?

29. In these commercial environments, what constitutes clear and conspicuous notice at the point of sale? Does a general notice in the form of a statement attesting to the election not to provide emergency alerts satisfy the statutory requirement? Does the language of the statute require the posting of a general notice in clear view of subscribers in the service provider's stores, kiosks, third party reseller locations, Web site (proprietary or third party), and any other venue through which the service provider's devices and services are marketed or sold? What form would that general notice take; for example, should service providers include a placard of a particular size at the point of sale? Is notification in the service provider's service subscription terms and conditions sufficient notice to subscribers? Does the clear and conspicuous standard require that each device sold by the service provider include a notice that emergency alerts are not included as a feature of the device or the service provider's service? Does a service provider meet the condition of clear and conspicuous notification if it requires subscribers to read and indicate an understanding that the service provider does not offer emergency alerts? The CMSAAC has drafted recommended text by which CMS providers may indicate that they will not be electing to participate in the CMAS. We seek comment on this text. Does it satisfy the statute?

30. The CMSAAC suggested that, because the WARN Act does not require any disclosure for a CMS provider that participates in the CMAS, no disclosure is required. We seek comment on this assertion. If a CMS provider only offers CMAS within part of its territory or only on certain mobile devices, where and how should the disclosure obligations apply?

31. *Notifications to Existing Subscribers.* With respect to existing subscribers, under section 602(b)(1)(C), the Commission shall ''require any licensee providing commercial mobile service that elects under paragraph (2) not to transmit emergency alerts to notify its existing subscribers of its election.'' Should CMS providers be granted the discretion to determine how to provide notice of non-election? If not, we seek comment on how such notification should be made, including the methods and duration of a service provider's notification to existing subscribers of its election. Commercial mobile service providers regularly communicate service and equipment offers and upgrades to existing subscribers through direct mailings and through notification on paper bills. Do existing marketing and billing practices allow service providers to meet the requirement to notify existing subscribers of the service provider's election? Are these types of existing communication methods sufficient to reach the service provider's entire existing subscriber base? Commenters should take into account the fact that some service providers are offering their subscribers electronic billing and do not send a paper bill, and some service providers have opt-out programs allowing their subscribers to decline receiving any direct mailings from the service provider. Should service providers be required to notify existing subscribers by sending them a separate notice of a change in the terms and conditions of their service? How should service providers notify pre-paid customers? Should service providers demonstrate to the Commission that they have met this requirement and, if so, how should they do so? Should service providers be required to maintain a record of subscribers who have acknowledged receipt of the service provider's notification?

32. *Related Filings and Other Requirements.* Sections 602(b)(2)(A), (B), (D) and (E) establish certain

requirements for service providers electing to provide or not to provide emergency alerts to subscribers. As specified in the timelines of the WARN Act, the election process must be complete in September 2008. In several instances, the statute requires service providers to submit notifications to the Commission indicating its election, non-election, or its withdrawal from providing emergency alerts. Section 602(b)(2)(A) requires that, ''within 30 days after the Commission issues its order under [section 602(b)], each licensee providing commercial mobile service shall file an election with the Commission with respect to whether or not it intends to transmit emergency alerts.'' Similarly, under section 602(b)(2)(B), a service provider that elects to transmit emergency alerts must ''notify the Commission of its election'' and ''agree to transmit such alerts in a manner consistent with the technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements implemented by the Commission.'' Further, section 602(b)(2)(D) requires the Commission to establish procedures relating to withdrawal of an election and the filing of late election notices with the Commission. Under section 602(b)(2)(D)(i), ''the Commission shall establish a procedure for a commercial mobile service licensee that has elected to transmit emergency alerts to withdraw its election without regulatory penalty or forfeiture upon advance written notification of the withdrawal to its affected subscribers.'' Finally, section 602(b)(2)(D)(ii) requires ''the Commission to establish a procedure for a commercial mobile service licensee to elect to transmit emergency alerts at a date later than provided in subparagraph (A).'' The CMSAAC proposed a timeline for election based on its interpretation of the WARN Act. We seek comment on this interpretation and timeline. Commenters with a different interpretation should provide detailed alternatives.

33. With respect to all these filing requirements, we request comment on the most efficient method for accepting, monitoring, and maintaining service provider election and withdrawal information. We anticipate that this information will be of interest to the public and will serve to aid consumers in their decision regarding which service provider can best meet their expectations for delivering emergency alerts. Should the Commission require electronic filing of the submission? With respect to the initial filing by the service provider of its intention to provide or not to provide emergency alerts, what should the CMS provider provide in its report to the Commission if it indicates its intention to provide emergency alerts? For example, we seek comment on the CMSAAC's recommendations that, at a minimum, a CMS provider explicitly commits to support the development and deployment of technology for the following: the ''C'' reference point, the CMS provider Gateway, the CMS provider infrastructure, and the mobile device with CMAS functionality. The CMSAAC also suggests that the required technology may not be in place for some time. Accordingly, should electing CMS providers be able to specify when they will be able to offer mobile alerting?

34. With respect to notification that the service provider elects to provide emergency alerts, we seek comment on the manner by which service providers shall notify the Commission and attest to their adoption of the Commission's standards, protocols, procedures and other technical requirements. Should the Commission require electronic filing of the submission? What should the CMS provider submit in its report to the Commission if it indicates its intention to provide emergency alerts?

35. The statute allows service providers to withdraw from their election to provide emergency alerts, upon notification to the Commission and to subscribers. We seek comment on the proper mechanism for service providers to file this withdrawal with the Commission. We contemplate two scenarios: first, the service provider has elected to provide emergency alerts, but does not build the infrastructure, or second, the service provider elects to provide emergency alerts, does so to all or some portion of its coverage area, but then chooses to no longer provide alerts and elects to discontinue the service. With respect to the second scenario, how much advance service provider notification to subscribers should the Commission require prior to the service provider's withdrawal of the service? What methods should service providers use to notify all existing subscribers at the service provider's various points of sale? Should the Commission impose the same set of requirements considered under section 602(b)(1)(C) regarding notification to existing subscribers and potential subscribers that a service provider has elected not to provide emergency alerts? Were the Commission to allow some cost recovery mechanism, what changes in that process should be required when a service provider ceases to provide emergency alerts? Should service providers be required to demonstrate or certify that they are no longer passing through costs to implement emergency alerts to subscribers?

36. Section 602(b)(2)(D)(iii) requires the Commission to establish a procedure ''under which a subscriber may terminate a subscription to service provided by a commercial mobile service licensee that withdraws its election without penalty or early termination fee.'' We seek comment on the procedures necessary to allow a subscriber to terminate service upon a service provider's withdrawal of its election to provide emergency alerts. In what manner should subscribers and potential subscribers be informed of their right to discontinue service? Is notification in the terms and conditions of service sufficient to apprise subscribers of their right to discontinue service without penalty or termination fee? Should the Commission prescribe a specific procedure for subscribers or should service providers submit to the Commission a description of their procedure for informing subscribers of their right to terminate service? What should such procedures be?

37. Section 602(b)(2)(E) states that ''any commercial mobile service licensee electing to transmit emergency alerts may offer subscribers the capability of preventing the subscriber's device from receiving such alerts, or classes of such alerts, other than an alert issued by the President.'' The CMSAAC recommended that the CMS providers should offer their subscribers a simple opt-out process. With the exception of presidential messages, which are always transmitted, the CMSAAC recommended that the process should allow the choice to opt out of ''all messages,'' ''all severe messages,'' and AMBER Alerts. The CMSAAC suggested that, because of differences in the way CMS providers and device manufacturers provision their menus and user interfaces, CMS providers and device manufacturers should have flexibility on how to present the opt-out choices to subscribers. We seek comment on the recommendations of the CMSAAC with respect to three choices of message types that a subscriber should be allowed to choose to opt out of receiving. We also seek comment on the CMSAAC recommendation that CMS providers and device manufacturers should have flexibility on whether the Commission should establish baseline criteria for informing subscribers of this capability and if any uniform standards for conveying that information to subscribers is required. We understand that current and future devices have different user interfaces and menu structures for enabling and disabling

device features. To what extent is a uniform methodology for disabling this feature necessary? Are there more classes of alerts that should be considered?

38. Section 602(b)(2)(E) also provides that the Commission shall, within two years of the adoption of the technical requirements, ''examine the issue of whether a [CMS provider] should continue to be permitted to offer its subscribers an opt-out capability.'' We seek comment on the appropriate mechanism for doing so. Further, we seek comment on whether the Commission can expand the scope of this inquiry to other questions concerning the development of the CMAS. We note that the CMSAAC recommended this result because the CMAS is a new and untested system and will need periodic review as it is deployed. We seek comment on this recommendation.

39. Section 602(b)(2)(C) states ''[a] commercial mobile service licensee that elects to transmit emergency alerts may not impose a separate or additional charge for such transmission or capability.'' Does this provision completely preclude a participating service provider's ability to recover costs associated with the provision of alerts? What about CMAS-related services and technologies that are not used to deliver CMAS? Should the section's reference to ''transmission or capability'' be read narrowly? For example, much of the alert technology will reside in the subscriber's mobile device. Can the CMS providers recover CMAS-related developmental costs from the subscriber through mobile device charges based on a determination that mobile devices lie outside the ''transmission or capability'' language of the section?

WARN Act Section 602(c)—Digital Television Transmission Towers Retransmission Capability

40. Section 602(c) of the WARN Act requires that within 90 days of adoption of the technical requirements, we must complete a proceeding to require NCE and public broadcast station licensees and permittees to install equipment and technologies on, or as part of, any broadcast television digital signal transmitter to enable the distribution of geographically targeted alerts by CMS providers that have elected to transmit emergency alerts. We seek comment on this requirement. Specifically, we seek comment on whether the system described in this section is identical to the ''Datacasting'' system that the Association of Public Television Stations (APTS) and FEMA are deploying as the backbone of the Digital Emergency Alert System (DEAS)? If so, would it be consistent with the WARN Act simply to implement the DEAS in a manner that complies with section 602(c) of the WARN Act?

41. How will this DTV-based system interface with the CMAS? How will this requirement regarding the geo-targeting of CMAMs fit into centrally administered CMAS as envisioned by the CMSAAC. How would the DTV-based system implement the message formats defined by the ''C'' interface? We also seek comment on the scope of this section. Although the caption of section 602(c) refers to digital television transmissions, it mandates that the Commission impose any equipment requirements to licensees and permittees of NCE and public broadcast stations as those terms are defined under Section 397(6) of the Communications Act. That provision references both radio and television broadcast stations. We seek comment on this definition as it relates to section 602(c) of the WARN Act. Is it a fair reading of the language to conclude that this section applies only to licensees and permittees of NCE and public broadcast television stations?

WARN Act Section 602(f)—Testing

42. Section 602(f) of the WARN Act provides that the Commission shall ''require by regulation technical testing for commercial mobile service providers that elect to transmit emergency alerts and for the devices and equipment used by such providers for transmitting such alerts.'' We seek comment on what type of testing regime the Commission should require. We note that the CMSAAC proposed that in order to ensure the reliability and performance of this new system, certain procedures for logging CMAS alerts at the Alert Gateway and for testing the system at the Alert Gateway and on an end-to-end basis should be implemented. We seek comment on these proposed procedures. Do they satisfy the requirements of section 602(f) of the WARN Act? We particularly seek comment on whether there should be some form of testing of the CMAS that sends test messages to the mobile device and the subscriber. Do the EAS testing rules offer a model for such tests? In those rules, internal systems test are combined with tests that are heard (or in some cases seen) by the public. Should some similar form of test that alerts the public be required in the CMAS? Should the testing process be invisible to the subscriber or should all subscribers participate in certain tests? If testing involves subscribers, how should subscribers be made aware of such tests?

Overall Relationship of CMAS to EAS and Development of a National Alert System by FEMA

43. As noted earlier, the Commission originally intended to consider in its rulemaking in EB Docket No. 04–296 whether wireless mobile service providers should be included in the EAS. Notwithstanding various operational differences between the EAS and those requirements mandated by the WARN Act (chiefly, the voluntary participation model of the latter), both alert systems will provide important emergency information to American citizens. As such, both systems would seem to qualify for inclusion in the ''national alert system,'' to be developed and coordinated by FEMA, as envisaged by President Bush's June 2006 Executive Order. We seek comment about how the CMSAAC's proposals for a CMAS relate to the directives contained in that Executive Order. We also seek comment about the overall compatibility of the CMAS with the EAS (i.e., in addition to the specific questions that have been raised earlier in this NPRM). Should we mandate such compatibility? What steps would we need to take to ensure such compatibility? As related above, the CMSAAC has proposed use of CAP1.1 as the standard CMAS alert interface, and the Commission has mandated that CAP1.1 shall also be the standard interface for the evolving EAS (if it is adopted by FEMA). Would adoption and incorporation of CAP1.1 per the CMAS in and of itself ensure that it's compatible with a CAP-formatted EAS alert delivery system? If not, what modifications to the CMSAAC's proposals would be necessary to ensure such compatibility with the future National Alert System required under EO 13407? Finally, we also seek comment on what additional statutory authority, independent of the WARN Act, we have to implement a mobile alerting system.

Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

44. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), the Commission has prepared this present Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) of the possible significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities by the policies and rules proposed in this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM). Written public comments are requested on this IRFA. Comments must be identified as responses to the IRFA and must be filed by the deadlines for comments on the NPRM provided in

Section IV of the item. The Commission will send a copy of the NPRM, including this IRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA). In addition, the NPRM and IRFA (or summaries thereof) will be published in the **Federal Register**.

45. *Need for, and Objectives of, the Proposed Rules.* With the NPRM, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission), as required by the Warning Alert and Response Network (WARN) Act, initiates a comprehensive rulemaking to establish a Commercial Mobile Alert System (CMAS), under which Commercial Mobile Service providers (alternatively, ''CMS providers'') may voluntarily elect to transmit emergency alerts to the public. This proceeding represents our next step in compliance with the Warning Alert and Response Network (WARN) Act, that the Commission enable commercial mobile service alerting capability for CMS providers that elect to transmit emergency alerts.

46. Section 602 of the WARN Act requires the Commission to adopt: (1) system critical protocols and technical requirements for the CMAS; (2) a mechanism under which CMS providers may elect to participate in the CMAS and disclose to their subscribers whether or not they would participate; (3) rules under which licensees and permittees of noncommercial educational (NCE) broadcast stations or public broadcast stations install necessary equipment and technologies on, or as part of, any broadcast television digital signal transmitter to enable the distribution of geographically targeted alerts by CMS providers that have elected to participate in the CMAS; and (4) technical testing requirements for CMS providers that elect to transmit emergency alerts and for the devices and equipment used by such providers for transmitting such alerts. In this NPRM we seek comment on questions pertaining to all of these statutory requirements. We also seek comment about how the issues discussed in the NPRM relate to the Commission's activities in connection with the Emergency Alert System (EAS).

47. *Legal Basis.* Authority for the actions proposed in the NPRM may be found in sections 1, 4(i) and (o), 201, 303(r), 403, and 706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i) and (o), 201, 303(r), 403, and 606, as well as by sections 602(a), (b), (c), (f), 603, 604 and 606 of the WARN Act.

Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which Rules Will Apply

48. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of, and, where feasible, an estimate of, the number of small entities that may be affected by the rules adopted herein. The RFA generally defines the term ''small entity'' as having the same meaning as the terms ''small business,'' ''small organization,'' and ''small governmental jurisdiction.'' In addition, the term ''small business'' has the same meaning as the term ''small business concern'' under the Small Business Act. A ''small business concern'' is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA).

49. *Small Businesses.* Nationwide, there are a total of approximately 22.4 million small businesses, according to SBA data.

50. *Small Organizations.* Nationwide, there are approximately 1.6 million small organizations.

51. *Governmental Entities.* The term ''small governmental jurisdiction'' is defined as ''governments of cities, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts, with a population of less than fifty thousand.'' As of 2002, there were approximately 87,525 governmental jurisdictions in the United States. This number includes 38,967 county governments, municipalities, and townships, of which 37,373 (approximately 95.9%) have populations of fewer than 50,000, and of which 1,594 have populations of 50,000 or more. Thus, we estimate the number of small governmental jurisdictions overall to be 85,931 or fewer.

52. *Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite).* Since 2007, the SBA has recognized wireless firms within this new, broad, economic census category. Prior to that time, the SBA had developed a small business size standard for wireless firms within the now-superseded census categories of ''Paging'' and ''Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications.'' Under the present and prior categories, the SBA has deemed a wireless business to be small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. Because Census Bureau data are not yet available for the new category, we will estimate small business prevalence using the prior categories and associated data. For the first category of Paging, data for 2002 show that there were 807 firms that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 804 firms had employment of 999 or fewer employees, and three firms had employment of 1,000 employees or more. For the second category of Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications, data for 2002 show that there were 1,397 firms that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 1,378 firms had employment of 999 or fewer employees, and 19 firms had employment of 1,000 employees or more. Thus, using the prior categories and the available data, we estimate that the majority of wireless firms can be considered small.

53. *Cellular Service.* As noted, the SBA has developed a small business size standard for small businesses in the category ''Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except satellite).'' Under that SBA category, a business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. Since 2007, the SBA has recognized wireless firms within this new, broad, economic census category. Prior to that time, the SBA had developed a small business size standard for wireless firms within the now-superseded census categories of ''Paging'' and ''Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications.'' Under the present and prior categories, the SBA has deemed a wireless business to be small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. Because Census Bureau data are not yet available for the new category, we will estimate small business prevalence using the prior categories and associated data.

54. For the first category of Paging, data for 2002 show that there were 807 firms that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 804 firms had employment of 999 or fewer employees, and three firms had employment of 1,000 employees or more. For the second category of Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications, data for 2002 show that there were 1,397 firms that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 1,378 firms had employment of 999 or fewer employees, and 19 firms had employment of 1,000 employees or more. Thus, using the prior categories and the available data, we estimate that the majority of wireless firms can be considered small.

55. *Auctions.* In addition, we note that, as a general matter, the number of winning bidders that qualify as small businesses at the close of an auction does not necessarily represent the number of small businesses currently in service. Also, the Commission does not generally track subsequent business size unless, in the context of assignments or transfers, unjust enrichment issues are implicated.

56. *Broadband Personal Communications Service.* The broadband Personal Communications

Service (PCS) spectrum is divided into six frequency blocks designated A through F, and the Commission has held auctions for each block. The Commission has created a small business size standard for Blocks C and F as an entity that has average gross revenues of less than $40 million in the three previous calendar years. For Block F, an additional small business size standard for ''very small business'' was added and is defined as an entity that, together with its affiliates, has average gross revenues of not more than $15 million for the preceding three calendar years. These small business size standards, in the context of broadband PCS auctions, have been approved by the SBA. No small businesses within the SBA-approved small business size standards bid successfully for licenses in Blocks A and B. There were 90 winning bidders that qualified as small entities in the C Block auctions. A total of 93 ''small'' and ''very small'' business bidders won approximately 40 percent of the 1,479 licenses for Blocks D, E, and F. On March 23, 1999, the Commission reauctioned 155 C, D, E, and F Block licenses; there were 113 small business winning bidders. On January 26, 2001, the Commission completed the auction of 422 C and F PCS licenses in Auction 35. Of the 35 winning bidders in this auction, 29 qualified as ''small'' or ''very small'' businesses. Subsequent events concerning Auction 35, including judicial and agency determinations, resulted in a total of 163 C and F Block licenses being available for grant.

57. *Narrowband Personal Communications Service.* The Commission held an auction for Narrowband Personal Communications Service (PCS) licenses that commenced on July 25, 1994, and closed on July 29, 1994. A second commenced on October 26, 1994 and closed on November 8, 1994. For purposes of the first two Narrowband PCS auctions, ''small businesses'' were entities with average gross revenues for the prior three calendar years of $40 million or less. Through these auctions, the Commission awarded a total of forty-one licenses, 11 of which were obtained by four small businesses. To ensure meaningful participation by small business entities in future auctions, the Commission adopted a two-tiered small business size standard in the Narrowband PCS Second Report and Order. A ''small business'' is an entity that, together with affiliates and controlling interests, has average gross revenues for the three preceding years of not more than $40 million. A ''very small business'' is an entity that, together with affiliates and controlling interests, has average gross revenues for the three preceding years of not more than $15 million. The SBA has approved these small business size standards. A third auction commenced on October 3, 2001 and closed on October 16, 2001. Here, five bidders won 317 (MTA and nationwide) licenses. Three of these claimed status as a small or very small entity and won 311 licenses.

58. *Wireless Communications Services.* This service can be used for fixed, mobile, radiolocation, and digital audio broadcasting satellite uses in the 2305–2320 MHz and 2345–2360 MHz bands. The Commission defined ''small business'' for the wireless communications services (WCS) auction as an entity with average gross revenues of $40 million for each of the three preceding years, and a ''very small business'' as an entity with average gross revenues of $15 million for each of the three preceding years. The SBA has approved these definitions. The Commission auctioned geographic area licenses in the WCS service. In the auction, which commenced on April 15, 1997 and closed on April 25, 1997, there were seven bidders that won 31 licenses that qualified as very small business entities, and one bidder that won one license that qualified as a small business entity.

59. *700 MHz Guard Bands Licenses.* In the 700 MHz Guard Bands Order, the Commission adopted size standards for ''small businesses'' and ''very small businesses'' for purposes of determining their eligibility for special provisions such as bidding credits and installment payments. A small business in this service is an entity that, together with its affiliates and controlling principals, has average gross revenues not exceeding $40 million for the preceding three years. Additionally, a ''very small business'' is an entity that, together with its affiliates and controlling principals, has average gross revenues that are not more than $15 million for the preceding three years. SBA approval of these definitions is not required. An auction of 52 Major Economic Area (MEA) licenses for each of two spectrum blocks commenced on September 6, 2000, and closed on September 21, 2000. Of the 104 licenses auctioned, 96 licenses were sold to nine bidders. Five of these bidders were small businesses that won a total of 26 licenses. A second auction of remaining 700 MHz Guard Bands licenses commenced on February 13, 2001, and closed on February 21, 2001. All eight of the licenses auctioned were sold to three bidders. One of these bidders was a small business that won a total of two licenses. Subsequently, in the 700 MHz Second Report and Order, the Commission reorganized the licenses pursuant to an agreement among most of the licensees, resulting in a spectral relocation of the first set of paired spectrum block licenses, and an elimination of the second set of paired spectrum block licenses (many of which were already vacant, reclaimed by the Commission from Nextel). A single licensee that did not participate in the agreement was grandfathered in the initial spectral location for its two licenses in the second set of paired spectrum blocks. Accordingly, at this time there are 54 licenses in the 700 MHz Guard Bands.

60. *700 MHz Band Commercial Licenses.* There is 80 megahertz of non-Guard Band spectrum in the 700 MHz Band that is designated for commercial use: 698–757, 758–763, 776–787, and 788–793 MHz Bands. With one exception, the Commission adopted criteria for defining two groups of small businesses for purposes of determining their eligibility for bidding credits at auction. These two categories are: (1) ''small business,'' which is defined as an entity that has attributed average annual gross revenues that do not exceed $15 million during the preceding three years; and (2) ''very small business,'' which is defined as an entity with attributed average annual gross revenues that do not exceed $40 million for the preceding three years. In Block C of the Lower 700 MHz Band (710–716 MHz and 740–746 MHz), which was licensed on the basis of 734 Cellular Market Areas, the Commission adopted a third criterion for determining eligibility for bidding credits: an ''entrepreneur,'' which is defined as an entity that, together with its affiliates and controlling principals, has average gross revenues that are not more than $3 million for the preceding three years. The SBA has approved these small size standards.

61. An auction of 740 licenses for Blocks C (710–716 MHz and 740–746 MHz) and D (716–722 MHz) of the Lower 700 MHz Band commenced on August 27, 2002, and closed on September 18, 2002. Of the 740 licenses available for auction, 484 licenses were sold to 102 winning bidders. Seventy-two of the winning bidders claimed small business, very small business, or entrepreneur status and won a total of 329 licenses. A second auction commenced on May 28, 2003, and closed on June 13, 2003, and included 256 licenses: five EAG licenses and 251 CMA licenses. Seventeen winning bidders claimed small or very small business status and won 60 licenses,

and nine winning bidders claimed entrepreneur status and won 154 licenses.

62. The remaining 62 megahertz of commercial spectrum is currently scheduled for auction on January 24, 2008. As explained above, bidding credits for all of these licenses will be available to ''small businesses'' and ''very small businesses.''

63. *Advanced Wireless Services.* In the AWS–1 Report and Order, the Commission adopted rules that affect applicants who wish to provide service in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands. The Commission did not know precisely the type of service that a licensee in these bands might seek to provide. Nonetheless, the Commission anticipated that the services that will be deployed in these bands may have capital requirements comparable to those in the broadband Personal Communications Service (PCS), and that the licensees in these bands will be presented with issues and costs similar to those presented to broadband PCS licensees. Further, at the time the broadband PCS service was established, it was similarly anticipated that it would facilitate the introduction of a new generation of service. Therefore, the AWS–1 Report and Order adopts the same small business size definition that the Commission adopted for the broadband PCS service and that the SBA approved. In particular, the AWS–1 Report and Order defines a ''small business'' as an entity with average annual gross revenues for the preceding three years not exceeding $40 million, and a ''very small business'' as an entity with average annual gross revenues for the preceding three years not exceeding $15 million. The AWS–1 Report and Order also provides small businesses with a bidding credit of 15 percent and very small businesses with a bidding credit of 25 percent.

64. *Broadband Radio Service and Educational Broadband Service.* Broadband Radio Service (''BRS''), formerly known as Multipoint Distribution Service (''MDS''), and Educational Broadband Service (''EBS''), formerly known as Instructional Television Fixed Service (''ITFS''), use frequencies at 2150–2162 and 2500–2690 MHz to transmit video programming and provide broadband services to residential subscribers. These services, collectively referred to as ''wireless cable,'' were originally designed for the delivery of multichannel video programming, similar to that of traditional cable systems, but over the past several years licensees have focused their operations instead on providing two-way high-speed Internet access services. We estimate that the number of wireless cable subscribers is approximately 100,000, as of March 2005. As described below, the SBA small business size standard for the broad census category of Cable and Other Program Distribution, which consists of such entities generating $13.5 million or less in annual receipts, appears applicable to MDS and ITFS. Other standards also apply, as described.

65. The Commission has defined small MDS (now BRS) entities in the context of Commission license auctions. In the 1996 MDS auction, the Commission defined a small business as an entity that had annual average gross revenues of less than $40 million in the previous three calendar years. This definition of a small entity in the context of MDS auctions has been approved by the SBA. In the MDS auction, 67 bidders won 493 licenses. Of the 67 auction winners, 61 claimed status as a small business. At this time, the Commission estimates that of the 61 small business MDS auction winners, 48 remain small business licensees. In addition to the 48 small businesses that hold BTA authorizations, there are approximately 392 incumbent MDS licensees that have gross revenues that are not more than $40 million and are thus considered small entities. MDS licensees and wireless cable operators that did not receive their licenses as a result of the MDS auction fall under the SBA small business size standard for Cable and Other Program Distribution. Information available to us indicates that there are approximately 850 of these licensees and operators that do not generate revenue in excess of $13.5 million annually. Therefore, we estimate that there are approximately 850 small entity MDS (or BRS) providers, as defined by the SBA and the Commission's auction rules.

66. Educational institutions are included in this analysis as small entities; however, the Commission has not created a specific small business size standard for ITFS (now EBS). We estimate that there are currently 2,032 EBS licensees, and all but 100 of the licenses are held by educational institutions. Thus, we estimate that at least 1,932 EBS licensees are small entities.

67. *Common Carrier Paging.* As noted, the SBA has developed a small business size standard for wireless firms within the broad economic census category of ''Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite).'' Under this category, the SBA deems a business to be small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. Since 2007, the SBA has recognized wireless firms within this new, broad, economic census category. Prior to that time, the SBA had developed a small business size standard for wireless firms within the now-superseded census categories of ''Paging'' and ''Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications.'' Under the present and prior categories, the SBA has deemed a wireless business to be small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. Because Census Bureau data are not yet available for the new category, we will estimate small business prevalence using the prior categories and associated data. For the first category of Paging, data for 2002 show that there were 807 firms that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 804 firms had employment of 999 or fewer employees, and three firms had employment of 1,000 employees or more. For the second category of Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications, data for 2002 show that there were 1,397 firms that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 1,378 firms had employment of 999 or fewer employees, and 19 firms had employment of 1,000 employees or more. Thus, using the prior categories and the available data, we estimate that the majority of wireless firms can be considered small. Thus, under this category, the majority of firms can be considered small.

68. In the Paging Third Report and Order, we developed a small business size standard for ''small businesses'' and ''very small businesses'' for purposes of determining their eligibility for special provisions such as bidding credits and installment payments. A ''small business'' is an entity that, together with its affiliates and controlling principals, has average gross revenues not exceeding $15 million for the preceding three years. Additionally, a ''very small business'' is an entity that, together with its affiliates and controlling principals, has average gross revenues that are not more than $3 million for the preceding three years. The SBA has approved these small business size standards. An auction of Metropolitan Economic Area licenses commenced on February 24, 2000, and closed on March 2, 2000. Of the 985 licenses auctioned, 440 were sold. Fifty-seven companies claiming small business status won. Also, according to Commission data, 365 carriers reported that they were engaged in the provision of paging and messaging services. Of those, we estimate that 360 are small, under the SBA-approved small business size standard.

69. *Wireless Communications Service.* This service can be used for fixed, mobile, radiolocation, and digital audio

broadcasting satellite uses. The Commission established small business size standards for the wireless communications services (WCS) auction. A ''small business'' is an entity with average gross revenues of $40 million for each of the three preceding years, and a ''very small business'' is an entity with average gross revenues of $15 million for each of the three preceding years. The SBA has approved these small business size standards. The Commission auctioned geographic area licenses in the WCS service. In the auction, there were seven winning bidders that qualified as ''very small business'' entities, and one that qualified as a ''small business'' entity.

70. *Wireless Communications Equipment Manufacturers.* While these entities are merely indirectly affected by our action, we see are describing them to achieve a fuller record. The Census Bureau defines this category as follows: ''This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing radio and television broadcast and wireless communications equipment. Examples of products made by these establishments are: transmitting and receiving antennas, cable television equipment, GPS equipment, pagers, cellular phones, mobile communications equipment, and radio and television studio and broadcasting equipment.'' The SBA has developed a small business size standard for Radio and Television Broadcasting and Wireless Communications Equipment Manufacturing, which is: all such firms having 750 or fewer employees. According to Census Bureau data for 2002, there were a total of 1,041 establishments in this category that operated for the entire year. Of this total, 1,010 had employment of under 500, and an additional 13 had employment of 500 to 999. Thus, under this size standard, the majority of firms can be considered small.

71. *Software Publishers.* While these entities are merely indirectly affected by our action, we are describing them to achieve a fuller record. These companies may design, develop or publish software and may provide other support services to software purchasers, such as providing documentation or assisting in installation. The companies may also design software to meet the needs of specific users. The SBA has developed a small business size standard of $23 million or less in average annual receipts for the category of Software Publishers. For Software Publishers, Census Bureau data for 2002 indicate that there were 6,155 firms in the category that operated for the entire year. Of these, 7,633 had annual receipts of under $10 million, and an additional 403 firms had receipts of between $10 million and $24,999,999. For providers of Custom Computer Programming Services, the Census Bureau data indicate that there were 32,269 firms that operated for the entire year. Of these, 31,416 had annual receipts of under $10 million, and an additional 565 firms had receipts of between $10 million and $24,999,999. Consequently, we estimate that the majority of the firms in this category are small entities that may be affected by our action.

72. *NCE and Public Broadcast Stations.* The Census Bureau defines this category as follows: ''This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting images together with sound. These establishments operate television broadcasting studios and facilities for the programming and transmission of programs to the public.'' The SBA has created a small business size standard for Television Broadcasting entities, which is: such firms having $13 million or less in annual receipts. According to Commission staff review of the BIA Publications, Inc., Master Access Television Analyzer Database as of May 16, 2003, about 814 of the 1,220 commercial television stations in the United States had revenues of $12 (twelve) million or less. We note, however, that in assessing whether a business concern qualifies as small under the above definition, business (control) affiliations must be included. Our estimate, therefore, likely overstates the number of small entities that might be affected by our action, because the revenue figure on which it is based does not include or aggregate revenues from affiliated companies.

73. In addition, an element of the definition of ''small business'' is that the entity not be dominant in its field of operation. We are unable at this time to define or quantify the criteria that would establish whether a specific television station is dominant in its field of operation. Accordingly, the estimate of small businesses to which rules may apply do not exclude any television station from the definition of a small business on this basis and are therefore over-inclusive to that extent. Also as noted, an additional element of the definition of ''small business'' is that the entity must be independently owned and operated. We note that it is difficult at times to assess these criteria in the context of media entities and our estimates of small businesses to which they apply may be over-inclusive to this extent. There are also 2,117 low power television stations (LPTV). Given the nature of this service, we will presume that all LPTV licensees qualify as small entities under the above SBA small business size standard.

74. The Commission has, under SBA regulations, estimated the number of licensed NCE television stations to be 380. We note, however, that, in assessing whether a business concern qualifies as small under the above definition, business (control) affiliations must be included. Our estimate, therefore, likely overstates the number of small entities that might be affected by our action, because the revenue figure on which it is based does not include or aggregate revenues from affiliated companies. The Commission does not compile and otherwise does not have access to information on the revenue of NCE stations that would permit it to determine how many such stations would qualify as small entities.

Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements

75. There are potential reporting or recordkeeping requirements proposed in this NPRM. For example, section 602(b)(2)(A) of the WARN Act requires that CMS providers shall file an election with the Commission with respect to whether or not it intends to participate in the CMAS. Further, 602(b)(1)(C) of the WARN Act requires CMS providers to provide clear and conspicuous notice to new and existing customers of the CMS provider's election not to participate in the CMAS. Further, the Commission is considering whether to adopt procedures by which CMS providers would log alerts. The Commission seeks comment on these proposals and especially invited small entity comment. The NPRM also seeks comment on potential testing procedures for the CMAS that could affect CMS providers as well as Wireless Communications Equipment Manufacturers. Finally, section 602(b)(2) requires that CMS providers undertake a procedure to elect whether or not to provide alerts to their customers. The proposals set forth in the NPRM are intended to advance our public safety mission and establish an effective CMAS in a manner that imposes minimal regulatory burdens on affected entities.

Steps Taken to Minimize the Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered

76. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant alternatives that it has considered in developing its approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among

others): ''(1) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements under the rule for such small entities; (3) the use of performance rather than design standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for such small entities.''

77. As noted in paragraph 1 above, this NPRM initiates a comprehensive rulemaking to establish a system by which CMS providers may elect to transmit emergency alerts to the public, a goal mandated by recent legislation and consistent with the Commission's obligation to protect the lives and property of Americans. In commenting on the manner in which the Commission seeks in this NPRM to achieve this goal, commenters are invited to propose steps that the Commission may take to minimize any significant economic impact on small entities. When considering proposals made by other parties, commenters are invited to propose significant alternatives that serve the goals of these proposals

Federal Rules That May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict With the Proposed Rules

78. None.

Ex Parte Rules

66. These matters shall be treated as a ''permit-but-disclose'' proceeding in accordance with the Commission's ex parte rules. Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentations must contain summaries of the substance of the presentations and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one or two sentence description of the views and arguments presented is generally required. Other requirements pertaining to oral and written presentations are set forth in section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules.

Ordering Clauses

67. It is ordered, that pursuant to sections 1, 4(i) and (o), 201, 303(r), 403, and 706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i) and (o), 201, 303(r), 403, and 606, as well as by sections 602(a),(b),(c), (f), 603, 604 and 606 of the WARN Act, this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking IS hereby ADOPTED.

68. It is further ordered that the Commission's Consumer and Government Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Council for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

69. It is further ordered that the Commission's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, shall send a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST).

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

Appendix A—Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee Commercial Mobile Alert Service Architecture and Requirements

Date: 10/12/2007.

All marks, trademarks, and product names used in this document are the property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and Executive Summary
1.1 Executive Summary
1.1.1 Reference Architecture (Section 2)
1.1.2 Deployment Scenarios (Section 3)
1.1.3 CMAS Alert Scenarios (Section 4)
1.1.4 General Recommendations and Conclusions (Section 5)
1.1.5 Service Profiles (Section 6)
1.1.6 Mobile Device Functionality for CMAS Alerts (Section 7)
1.1.7 Security for CMAS Alerts (Section 8)
1.1.8 CMAS Reliability & Performance (section 9)
1.1.9 Interface Protocols for CMAS Alerts (Section 10)
1.2 Definitions
1.3 Acronyms
2 Reference Architecture
2.1 Functional Reference Model Diagram
2.2 Government Administered Elements Definitions & Requirements
2.2.1 Reference Point A
2.2.2 Alert Aggregator
2.2.3 Reference Point B
2.2.4 Alert Gateway
2.2.4.1 General Alert Gateway System Requirements
2.2.4.2 CMSP Profile Support
2.3 CMSP Administered Elements Definitions & Requirements
2.3.1 Reference Point C
2.3.2 CMSP Gateway
2.3.3 CMSP Infrastructure
2.3.4 Reference Points D & E
2.3.5 Mobile Device
3 Deployment Scenarios
3.1 Scenarios for Single Technology Deployed
3.1.1 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Single Technology Operator Network on All Devices
3.1.2 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Single Technology Operator Network on a Subset of Devices
3.1.3 Scenario—CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on All Devices
3.1.4 Scenario—CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on Subset of Devices
3.2 Scenarios for Multiple Technologies Deployed
3.2.1 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on All Devices
3.2.2 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices
3.2.3 Scenario—CMAS in Subset of Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices
3.3 Scenario for Operator Does Not Elect to Transmit CMAS Alerts
3.4 Subscriber Notification Recommendations
3.4.1 Notification Procedures
3.4.2 Notification Text Recommendations
4 CMAS Alert Scenarios
4.1 Nominal CMAS Alert Scenarios
4.1.1 Scenario for Nominal Text CMAS Alert
4.1.1.1 Pre-Conditions
4.1.1.2 Normal Flow
4.1.2 Scenario for Nominal Streaming Audio or Streaming Video CMAS Alert
4.1.3 Scenario for Nominal Downloaded Multimedia CMAS Alert
4.2 CMAS Alert Cancellation Scenario
4.2.1 Pre-Conditions
4.2.2 Normal Flow
4.3 CMAS Alert Update Scenarios
4.3.1 Scenario for Update of Text CMAS Alert
4.3.1.1 Pre-Conditions
4.3.1.2 Normal Flow
4.3.2 Scenario for Update of Streaming Audio or Streaming Video CMAS Alert
4.3.3 Scenario for Update of Downloaded Multimedia CMAS Alert
4.4 CMAS Alert Expiration Scenario
4.4.1 Pre-Conditions
4.4.2 Normal Flow
4.5 Duplicate CMAS Alerts Scenarios
4.5.1 Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Same Broadcast Technology
4.5.1.1 Pre-Conditions
4.5.1.2 Normal Flow
4.5.2 Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Different Broadcast Technologies
4.5.2.1 Pre-Conditions
4.5.2.2 Normal Flow
4.6 Multiple Different Active CMAS Alerts Scenario
4.6.1 Pre-Conditions
4.6.2 Normal Flow
5 General Requirements & Conclusions
5.1 Scope & Definition of CMAS Alerts
5.2 General CMAS Requirements & Conclusions
5.3 Recommendations for Alert Initiation & Alert Initiators
5.3.1 CMAM Elements
5.3.2 Generating CMAM From CAP Fields
5.3.2.1 Generating CMAM From Free Form Text
5.3.3 Presidential Message and AMBER Alert
5.3.4 Recommended Message Initiator Training
5.4 Recommendations for Geo-Targeting of CMAS Alerts

5.5 Requirements and Recommendations on Needs of Users, Including Individuals with Disabilities and the Elderly
5.5.1 General Requirements
5.5.2 User Needs Requirements
5.5.2.1 Alert/Attention Signal
5.5.2.2 Message Content
5.5.2.3 Output Mode/Display
5.5.2.4 Behavior on Receipt of a Message
5.5.2.5 CMAS-Related Print and Online Materials
5.5.3 Subscriber CMA Opt-Out Recommendations
5.6 Recommendations for CMAM Transmissions
5.7 Multi-Language CMAS Alerts Recommendations
5.8 CMAS Reception Control on Mobile Devices
5.9 Roaming
6 Service Profiles
6.1 Conclusions on Text, Audio, Video & Multimedia Resources
6.2 Text Profile
6.3 Streaming Audio Profile (future capability)
6.4 Streaming Video Profile (future capability)
6.5 Downloaded Multimedia Profile (future capability)
7 Mobile Device Functionality for CMAS Alerts
7.1 General Requirements on Mobile Device Functionality
7.2 Mobile Device Audio Attention Signal & Vibration Cadence Recommendations
7.3 CMAS Functionality on Mobile Device
7.4 Impact to Mobile Device Battery Life
8 Security for CMAS Alerts
8.1 Alert Interface & Aggregator Trust Model
8.1.1 Trust Model Definitions
8.1.2 Trust Model Requirements
8.2 Alert Gateway Security Requirements
8.3 Reference Point C Security
8.4 Reference Points D & E Security
9 CMAS Reliability & Performance
9.1 Alert Gateway Performance Requirements
9.2 Alert Delivery Latency
9.3 CMAS End-to-End Reliability
9.4 Message Logging
9.4.1 Alert Gateway Logging
9.5 CMAS Testing
9.5.1 General CMAS Testing Recommendations
9.5.2 Alert Gateway Testing
10 Interface Protocols for CMAS Alerts
10.1 Reference Point A Protocol
10.2 Reference Point B Protocol
10.3 Alert Gateway Interfaces & Mapping Requirements
10.3.1 Alert Gateway Interface Requirements
10.3.2 Alert Gateway Interface Mapping Requirements
10.4 Reference Point C Protocol
10.4.1 Structure of the CMA ''C'' Reference Point Protocol
10.4.2 CMAC Data Dictionary
10.4.2.1 CMAC_Alert_Attributes Segment
10.4.2.2 CMAC_Alert_Info Segment
10.4.2.3 CMAC_Area Segment:
10.4.2.4 CMAC_Resource Segment:
10.4.3 Example CMAC XML Schema
10.4.4 Element Mapping from B Reference Point (CAP) to C Reference Point (CMAC) to E Reference Point (CMAE) Elements
10.4.5 Definition of CMAC_cmas_geocode Element
10.4.6 Definition of CMAC Response Codes
10.4.7 Example CMAS ''C'' Interface Alert Messages
10.5 Reference Point E Protocols
11 Annex A—Anticipated Peak & Average CMAS Traffic Volume
12 Annex B—WARN Act Statutory Requirements
12.1 WARN Act Requirements
12.2 WARN Act Interpretations
12.2.1 CMSP Election
12.3 Licensees and Permittees of Noncommercial Educations Broadcasting Stations or Public Television Stations

List of Figures

Figure 2–1 CMAS Functional Reference Model
Figure 3–1 CMAS in Entire Single Technology Network on All Devices
Figure 3–2 CMAS in Entire Network on Sub-set of Devices
Figure 3–3 CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on All Devices
Figure 3–4 CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on Subset of Devices
Figure 3–5 CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on All Devices
Figure 3–6 CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices
Figure 3–7 CMAS in Subset of Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices
Figure 3–8 Operator Does Not Elect to Transmit CMAS Alerts
Figure 4–1 Flow for Scenario for Nominal Text CMAS Alert
Figure 4–2 Flow for CMAS Alert Cancellation Scenario
Figure 4–3 Flow for Scenario for Update of Text CMAS Alert
Figure 4–4 Flow for CMAS Alert Expiration Scenario
Figure 4–5 Flow for Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Same Broadcast Technology
Figure 4–6 Flow for Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Different Broadcast Technologies
Figure 4–7 Flow for Scenario for Multiple Different Active CMAS Alerts Scenario
Figure 10–1 Relationship of CAP Elements to Reference Point C Elements
Figure 10–2 CMAC Message Structure
Figure 12–1 Potential Deployment Timeline

List of Tables

Table 2–1 CMSP Profile on Alert Gateway
Table 5–1 CAP Value Field Mapping to Text
Table 6–1 Text Profile
Table 6–2 Streaming Audio Profile
Table 6–3 Video Profile
Table 6–4 Downloaded Multimedia Profile
Table 10–1 Parameter Mapping from ''B'' Interface CAP Message in to ''C'' Interface CMAC message
Table 10–2 CMAC_Alert_Attributes Segment
Table 10–3 CMAC_Alert_Info Segment
Table 10–4 CMAC_Area Segment
Table 10–5 CMAC_Resource Segment
Table 10–6 Mapping Reference Point B Elements to Reference Point C Elements
Table 10–7 CMAC_cmas_geocode Assignments
Table 10–8 Reference Point E Protocol Elements
Table 11–1 Table of Total 2006 Tornado & Flash Flood Warnings by State
Table 11–2 Table of 2006 Tornado & Flash Flood Warnings by State by Month
Table 11–3 Estimated CMA Volume by Month

1 Introduction and Executive Summary

1.1 Executive Summary

On October 13, 2006, the President signed the Security and Accountability For Every Port (SAFE Port) Act [1] into law. Title VI of the SAFE Port Act, the Warning, Alert and Response Network (WARN) Act, [2] establishes a process for Commercial Mobile Service Providers (CMSPs) to voluntarily elect to transmit emergency alerts. Section 603(c) of the WARN Act required that the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) establish the Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee (CMSAAC) to develop and recommend technical standards and protocols for the voluntary transmission of emergency alerts by CMSPs within one year from the date of enactment of the WARN Act. (i.e., by October 12, 2007).[3] This document presents the result of the CMSAAC's efforts to satisfy the obligations set forth in the WARN Act.

The WARN Act places the following tasks before the CMSAAC. Each is followed by the section number or numbers in this report that includes recommendations addressing the associated WARN Act's requirements:

Within one year after the enactment of this Act, the Advisory Committee shall develop and submit to the Federal Communications Commission recommendations—

(1) For protocols, technical capabilities, and technical procedures through which electing commercial mobile service providers receive, verify, and transmit alerts to subscribers (Sections 2, 4, 6, 8, 10);

(2) For the establishment of technical standards for priority transmission of alerts by electing commercial mobile service providers to subscribers (Sections 2, 9);

(3) For relevant technical standards for devices and equipment and technologies used by electing commercial mobile service providers to transmit emergency alerts to subscribers (Sections 7, 9);

(4) For the technical capability to transmit emergency alerts by electing commercial mobile service providers to subscribers in languages in addition to English, to the extent practicable and feasible (Section 5);

(5) Under which electing commercial mobile service providers may offer subscribers the capability of preventing the

[1] Security and Accountability For Every Port Act of 2006 (SAFE Port Act), Pub. L. 109–347.

[2] Safe Port Act, Title VI-Commercial Mobile Service Alerts.

[3] WARN Act, § 603(c).

subscriber's device from receiving emergency alerts, or classes of such alerts, (other than an alert issued by the President), consistent with Section 602(b)(2)(E) of the WARN Act (Section 5);

(6) For a process under which commercial mobile service providers can elect to transmit emergency alerts if

(a) Not all of the devices or equipment used by such provider are capable of receiving such alerts (Section 3); or

(b) The provider cannot offer such alerts throughout the entirety of its service area (Section 3); and

(7) As otherwise necessary to enable electing commercial mobile service providers to transmit emergency alerts to subscribers.

Following are summaries of each section in the document, with a focus on the recommendations the CMSAAC makes in each. This section is provided as a high-level overview only and is not intended as a substitute for the formal recommendations of the CMSAAC, many of which are highly technical and are laid forth in detail in subsequent sections of the document.

1.1.1 Reference Architecture (Section 2)

This section recommends a functional reference model for the distribution of alerts to Commercial Mobile Service Providers (CMSPs) (Section 2.1). Under this reference model, a Federal government entity, the ''Alert Aggregator,'' would receive, aggregate, and authenticate alerts originated by authorized alert initiators using the Common Alerting Protocol (CAP). The government entity would also act as an ''Alert Gateway'' (Section 2.2) to formulate a 90 character alert based on key fields in the CAP alert sent by the alert initiator [4]. Based on CMSP profiles maintained in the Alert Gateway, the Alert Gateway would then deliver the alert over a secure interface (see Section 2.3.1) to another gateway maintained by the appropriate CMSP ''CMSP Gateway.'' (Section 2.3.2)

Each individual CMSP Gateway would be responsible for the management of the particular CMSP elections to provide alerts in whole or in part. The CMSP Gateway would also be responsible for formulating the alert in a manner consistent with the individual CMSP's available delivery technologies, mapping the alert to the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers, and handling congestion within the CMSP Infrastructure. The CMSP Gateway will process alerts in a first in—first out (FIFO) queuing method except for a Presidential-level alert, which will be immediately moved to the top of the queue and processed before all other non-Presidential alerts. The CMSAAC or its successor will study the feasibility of establishing a procedure that, if invoked, would give certain messages priority status irrespective of their ranking in the Alert Gateway queue.

Upon receipt of an alert from the CMSP Gateway, the CMSP Infrastructure distributes the received CMAS alert message to the determined set of cell sites/paging transceivers and authenticates interactions with the Mobile Device (Section 2.3.3). Ultimately, the alert is received on a customer's Mobile Device. The major functions of the Mobile Device are to authenticate interactions with the CMSP Infrastructure, to monitor for CMAS alerts, to maintain customer options (such as the subscriber's opt-out selections and subscriber's preferred language, if applicable), and to activate the associated visual, audio, and mechanical (e.g., vibration) indicators that the subscriber has indicated as options when an alert is received on the Mobile Device. (Section 2.3.5.)

1.1.2 Deployment Scenarios (Section 3)

This section notes that the WARN Act specifies that a CMSP who elects to transmit emergency alerts can elect to transmit the CMAS alerts ''in whole or in part.'' [5] The CMSAAC defines ''in whole or in part'' as including all or a subset of the CMSP's service area, and/or all or a subset of current and future mobile devices supported by the CMSP network. The section then posits a set of scenarios in which an individual alert is sent over CMSP networks that deploy various technologies and handsets that may or may not support the transmission of the alert. (Sections 3.1–3.3). This section also contains recommendations for the notices to subscribers that the WARN Act requires where a CMSP does not elect to provide alerts. (Section 3.4).

1.1.3 CMAS Alert Scenarios (Section 4)

This section provides descriptions of a representative sample of scenarios and message flows related to the transmission and support of CMAS Alerts. The section includes descriptions and charts of scenarios involving text based streaming audio or streaming video CMAS alert, CMAS alert cancellation, CMAS alert updates, CMAS alert expiration, duplicate CMAS alerts, and multiple different active CMAS alerts.

1.1.4 General Recommendations and Conclusions (Section 5)

This section sets forth the CMSAAC's recommendations concerning the extent and scope of CMAS alerts. The major recommendation in this section is that there should be three classes of Commercial Mobile Alerts (CMAs): Presidential-level, Imminent threat to life and property; and Child Abduction Emergency or ''AMBER Alert'' Service (Section 5.1). The section also recommends a format for CMAS alerts (Section 5.3.1.) and a method for extracting a CMAS alert from CAP fields and free form text (Section 5.3.2.). The section also recommends that alert initiators be trained on creating CMAS alerts (Section 5.3.4).

A significant recommendation concerns the geo-targeting of CMAS alerts. The CMSAAC acknowledges that it is the goal of the CMAS for CMSPs to be able to deliver geo-targeted alerts to the areas specified by the alert initiator. However, early CMAS implementations will likely be limited to static geo-targeting areas. Hence, the CMSAAC recommends that, initially, geo-targeting be at least precise enough to target at the county level. The CMSAAC further recognizes that certain areas with especially urgent alerting needs have a need for more precise geo-targeting, and provisions are made to accommodate them. Longer term the CMSAAC recommends that provisions in Section 604 of the WARN Act be applied to fully realize the benefits of dynamic geo-targeting.

This section also makes recommendations on the needs of users, including individuals with disabilities and the elderly. Among the major recommendations is the requirement for the CMAS to support a common audio attention signal and a common vibrating cadence to be used solely for CMAS alerts. Further, the CMSAAC recommends that the alert initiator use clear and simple language whenever possible, with minimal use of abbreviations and that the mobile devices provide an easy way to allow the user to recall the message for review.

The section notes that the WARN Act provides for subscriber CMAS alert Opt-Out, and recommends that CMSPs shall offer their subscribers a simple opt-out process that is based on the classification of imminent threat and AMBER Alerts. Except for presidential messages, which are always transmitted, the process should allow the choice to opt-out of (1) All messages, (2) All severe messages, or (3) AMBER Alerts. Regarding the transmission of CMAS alerts in languages other than English, the CMSAAC has analyzed the technical feasibility of supporting multi-language CMAS alerts on various delivery technologies and has determined that support of languages other than English is a very complex issue and that, at the present time, the CMSAAC believes there are fundamental technical problems to reliably implement any languages in addition to English. The CMSAAC recommends, however, that the biennial review committee continue to study the feasibility of supporting additional languages, as technology evolves.

Finally, the CMSAAC notes that roaming is only supported on an intra-technology basis.

1.1.5 Service Profiles (Section 6)

In this section the CMSAAC notes that the CMAS architecture and recommendations are based upon the principles of technology-neutral service profiles containing, for example, profiles for maximum payload and displayable message size. The section defines service profiles for: (a) Text; (b) Streaming Audio (future capability); (c) Streaming Video (future capability); and (c) Downloaded Multimedia Profile (future capability), and provides general recommendations and conclusions for each.

1.1.6 Mobile Device Functionality for CMAS Alerts (Section 7)

This section describes the impact to the mobile devices, i.e., the handsets, for the support of CMAS alerts. The section includes the recommendation that if the end user has both muted the mobile device audio and alarms and/or has deselected or turned off the vibration capabilities of the mobile device, neither the CMAS audio attention signal nor the special emergency alert vibration cadence will be activated upon receipt of a CMAS alert. Further, the section recommends that, in order to minimize the

[4] Provisions have also been made for authorized alert originators to formulate and distribute alerts via the Alert Gateway in free text. See e.g., section 5.3.2, supra.

[5] WARN Act, § 602(c).

possibility of network congestion and false alerts, mobile devices should not support any user interface capabilities to forward received CMAS alerts, to reply to received CMAS alerts, or to copy and paste CMAS alert contents. The section also notes that the monitoring for CMAS alerts could have a significant impact on handset battery life, but that with modifications to network infrastructure, mobile devices and/or standards, the reduction of battery life can be less than 10% of today's capability for monitoring.

1.1.7 Security for CMAS Alerts (Section 8)

This section recommends a specific Alert Aggregator and Alert Gateway Trust Model to assure the security, authentication and authorization of alerts from the Alert initiator to the CMSP Gateway. The section then recommends security requirements for the interface between the Alert and CMSP Gateways and within each CMSP's network.

1.1.8 CMAS Reliability & Performance (Section 9)

Recommendations in this section include Alert Gateway performance requirements such as the capability to monitor system utilization for capacity planning purposes, and to temporarily disable and buffer CMAS alert traffic in the event of an overload. The CMSAAC acknowledges the importance of assessing any latency in alert delivery, but notes that it will be difficult to predict system performance in this area prior to deployment. The CMSAAC suggests that factors relevant to potential latency include; mobile device battery life impact, call processing impact; capabilities of the delivery technology; message queues; number of languages; number of targeted cell sites/paging transceivers for the alert area; and any geo-targeting processing. Similarly, although the CMSAAC recommends that the CMAS end-to-end reliability technology meet telecom standards for highly reliable systems, the over-all reliability of CMAS is unpredictable because RF transmissions can be subject to noise and other interference or environmental factors; the capabilities of the cellular environment are not predictable especially in a disaster environment; the subscriber may be in a location that does not have any RF signal; and the subscriber's mobile device may not have any remaining power. In order to assure the reliability and performance of this new system, the CMSAAC recommends procedures for logging CMAS alerts at the Alert Gateway and for testing the system at the Alert Gateway and on an end-to-end basis.

1.1.9 Interface Protocols for CMAS Alerts (Section 10)

This section establishes detailed technical protocols and specifications for the delivery of alerts over the various interfaces in the Reference Model. Specifically, the section established requirements that Alert Initiators must meet to deliver CMAS alerts to the Alert Aggregator, and that the Alert Gateway must meet to deliver CMAS alerts to the CMSP gateway. CAP mapping parameters are provided in detail.

1.2 Definitions

Commercial Mobile Alert (CMA)—The term CMA refers to the event that creates the need for a CMAM and can fall into any of the following three categories: (i) A Presidential alert, (ii) An imminent threat to life and property, or (iii) An AMBER alert.

Commercial Mobile Alert Message (CMAM)—The term CMAM refers to communication that is issued to the end-user via the Commercial Mobile Alerting System in response to (i) A Presidential alert, (ii) an imminent threat to life and property, or (iii) An AMBER alert.

Commercial Mobile Alert Service (CMAS)—The term CMAS refers to the end-to-end architecture for delivery of emergency alert messages subject to the WARN Act.

Commercial Mobile Service Provider (CMSP)—Per the WARN Act Section 602(b)(1)(A), a CMSP is a licensee providing commercial mobile service as defined in section 332(d)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 332(d)(1)), where the term ''commercial mobile service'' means any mobile service that is provided for profit and makes interconnected service available.[6]

1.3 Acronyms

AMBER America's Missing: Broadcast Emergency Response
CAP Common Alerting Protocol as defined in CAP version 1.1 specification
CDMA Code Division Multiple Access
CMA Commercial Mobile Alert
CMAM Commercial Mobile Alert Message
CMAS Commercial Mobile Alert Service
CMSAAC Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee
CMSP Commercial Mobile Service Provider
CTIA Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association
EOC Emergency Operations Center
FIPS Federal Information Processing Standards
GNIS Geographic Names Information System
GSM Global System for Mobile communications
NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
MVNO Mobile Virtual Network Operator
NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology
NWS National Weather Service
SAME Specific Area Message Encoding
SMS Short Message Service
UMTS Universal Mobile Telecommunications System
VPN Virtual Private Network
WARN Warning, Alert, and Response Network
XML Extensible Markup Language

2 Reference Architecture

[6] WARN Act, § 602(b)(1)(A).

2.1 Functional Reference Model Diagram



Figure 2-1 CMAS Functional Reference Model

2.2 Government Administered Elements Definitions & Requirements

The CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Aggregator and Alert Gateway be the responsibility of the authorized government entity. The CMSAAC further recommends that the system be acquired, managed, operated, and administered by the same authorized government entity.

2.2.1 Reference Point A

The actions to be performed at Reference Point A include the following:

1. Provide information for the authentication and validation of actions across this reference point.
2. Delivery of a new, updated, or cancelled wireless alert message to Alert Distribution Network in CAP format.
3. Acknowledgement from Alert Gateway to Alert Aggregator that the new, updated, or cancelled wireless alert message has been received by the Alert Gateway.

2.2.2 Alert Aggregator

The CMSAAC recommends that the authorized government entity operate an alerting framework that aggregates all alerts submitted by Federal, State, Tribal and local originators and deliver these alerts to the Alert Gateway. The CMSAAC makes the following additional recommendations regarding the Alert Aggregator:

1. All message originators will comply with the Trust Model when sending messages through the alert framework to the Alert Gateway. (See Section 8.1, below for a discussion of the Trust Model)
2. The Alert Aggregator will be operated according to the requirements set forth in the Trust Model.
3. The authorized government entity will publish open non-proprietary standards for message origination
4. The Alert Aggregator will utilize CAP as the messaging standard to the Alert Gateway.
5. Messages will be delivered to the Alert Gateway on a first-in first-out basis, with the exception of the Presidential message, which will move to the front of any existing messages.
6. The Alert Aggregator will support bi-directional message traffic to deliver the message and to notify the alert message originator of the status of its CMAS message.
7. The Alert Aggregator may consist of separate paths for the delivery of the message to the Alert Gateway and from the Alert Gateway for message status notification.

2.2.3 Reference Point B

The actions to be performed by Reference Point B include the following:

1. Carry forward information for the authentication and validation of actions across this reference point.
2. Delivery of a new, updated, or cancelled wireless alert message to Alert Gateway in CAP format.
3. Carry acknowledgement from Alert Gateway to Alert Aggregator that the new, updated, or cancelled wireless alert message has been received.

2.2.4 Alert Gateway

2.2.4.1 General Alert Gateway System Requirements

The functions to be performed by the Alert Gateway include the following:

1. Ensure authenticity of interactions with the Alert Aggregator and the CMSP Gateway.
2. Validate (e.g., authentication and non-repudiation) the received wireless alert message.
3. Maintain a log of wireless alert messages received from the Alert Aggregator and delivered to and rejected by the CMSP Gateway.
4. Implementation and support of defined ''service profiles'' specifying alert message formats containing information elements required by CMSPs for the delivery of alert messages to wireless devices.
5. Stores CMSPs profiles including the CMSP election within a specific service area, supported technologies including any associated service profiles, characteristics, restrictions, limitations, or parameters.
6. Deployment to achieve geographic separation from the CMSP Gateway.
7. Support interfacing with multiple CMSPs and with multiple CMSP Gateways per CMSP.
8. Geographically redundant Alert Gateway to avoid a single point of failure.

2.2.4.2 CMSP Profile Support

The CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Gateway have a profile for every CMSP. The CMSAAC further recommends that these profiles be administered using the following procedures:

- The CMSP Gateway IP addresses and CMSP service area on a state level will be provided by an authorized CMSP representative to the Alert Gateway administrator 30 days in advance of the required in-service date when CMSP begin to transmit the CMAMs.
- Any updates of CMSP profile will be provided by an authorized CMSP representative to the Alert Gateway administrator in writing at least 30 days in advance of the required in-service date.
- The parties will negotiate and mutually agree on an implementation date.

TABLE 2–1.—CMSP PROFILE ON ALERT GATEWAY

Profile parameter	Parameter election	Description
CMSP Name		Unique identification of CMSP.
CMSP Gateway Address	IP address or Domain Name Alternate IP address	Optional and subject to implementation.
Geo-Location Filtering	<yes/no>	If "yes" the only CMAM issued in the listed states will be sent to the CMSP Gateway. If "no", all CMAM will be sent to the CMSP Gateway.
If yes, list of states	CMAC Geocode for state	List can be state name, abbreviated state name, or CMAC GeoCode for state (see Section 10.4.5).

2.3 CMSP Administered Elements Definitions & Requirements

2.3.1 Reference Point C

The CMSAAC recommends that the actions to be performed by Reference Point C include the following:

1. Provide information for the authentication and validation of actions across this reference point.

2. Delivery of a new, updated, or cancelled wireless alert message by the Alert Gateway in a format that is suitable for the mobile devices and the wireless alert delivery technology or technologies implemented by the CMSP.

3. Acknowledgement from CMSP Gateway to Alert Gateway that the new, updated, or cancelled wireless alert message has been received by the CMSP Gateway.

2.3.2 CMSP Gateway

The CMSAAC recommends that the functions to be performed by the Commercial Mobile Service Provider Gateway include the following:

1. Authentication of interactions with the Alert Gateway.

2. Management of Commercial Mobile Service Provider elections to support CMAS alert services within the Commercial Mobile Service Provider's service areas.

3. Determination if CMSP has elected to offer CMAS alert services within the specified alerting area.

4. Determination of which delivery technology or delivery technologies will be utilized for the transmission of CMAS alert messages within the specified alerting area.

5. Map the alert area of the CMAS alert message into the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers.

6. Manage and execute CMAS alert retransmission subject to delivery technology capability and CMSP policy.

7. A CMSP that elects to transmit alerts will have one or more CMSP Gateways designated for receipt of alerts from the Alert Gateway.

8. The CMSP Gateway should have redundancy and be designed to provide high reliability and availability comparable to similarly situated network elements.

9. A Commercial Mobile Service Provider may have one or more CMSP Gateways in the CMSP network to support regional distribution of CMSA messages and to handle anticipated CMAM traffic levels. The CMSP has the responsibility for the distribution of the CMAM traffic among CMSP Gateways.

10. CMSP Gateway(s) in a CMSP network will be identified by a unique IP address or domain name.

11. The CMSP Gateway will support the defined CMAS "C" interface and associated protocols between the Alert Gateway and the CMSP Gateway.

12. The interface from the CMSP Gateway to the CMSP Infrastructure is CMSP and technology dependent and is not specified in CMAS.

13. The CMSP Gateway model will support standardized IP based security mechanisms such as a firewall. The CMSP will provide a secure connection from the CMSP Gateway to the Alert Gateway for reception of the CMAS messages.

14. The CMSP Gateway application will support CMAM:

a. Authentication.

b. Message integrity.

c. Availability (i.e. keep alive messages).

15. The CMSP Gateway will support a mechanism on the Reference Point C interface with the Alert Gateway to stop and start alert message deliveries from the Alert Gateway to the CMSP Gateway under conditions such as the event too many messages are being received on the interface, the CMSP Gateway buffers are full, congestion exists at the CMSP Gateway, etc.

16. The CMSP Gateway will support a mechanism to handle congestion within the CMSP Infrastructure according to CMSP policy.

17. The CMSP Gateway will not be responsible for performing any formatting, re-formatting, or translation of the CMAM other than the following:

a. Text, audio, video, and multimedia files may require transcoding into the proper format (e.g., codec) supported by the mobile device.

18. The CMSP Gateway will be responsible for validating message integrity and alerting parameters and respond with an error message to the Alert Gateway if these validations fail.

19. The CMSP Gateway will retrieve any resources (e.g., audio, video, multimedia files such as graphics) from the Alert Gateway if the alert attributes indicate a resource is available and if the CMSP has the capability to broadcast these resource types.

20. The CMSP Gateway will process CMAMs in a first in-first out (FIFO) queuing method except for a Presidential-level alert which will be immediately moved to the top of the queue and processed before all other non-Presidential alerts. The CMSAAC or its successor will study the feasibility of establishing a procedure that, if invoked, would give certain messages priority status irrespective of their ranking in the Alert Gateway queue.

2.3.3 CMSP Infrastructure

CMSP infrastructure functionality is generally dependent on delivery technology, the capabilities of the delivery technology, and mobile vendor/CMSP specific policy and requirements. The following are general guidelines recommended by the CMSAAC for the functions to be performed by the CMSP Infrastructure:

1. Authentication of interactions with the Mobile Device which is dependent upon the capabilities of the delivery technology and CMSP policy. This function may not be part of CMAS but a capability of the underlying delivery technology.

2. Distribute the received CMAS alert message to the determined set of cell sites/paging transceivers for transmission to the mobile devices within the range of cell sites/pager transceivers.

3. For each specified cell site/pager transceiver, transmit the CMAS alert message using the delivery technology or delivery technologies supported by the CMSP for that specific cell site/paging transceiver.

2.3.4 Reference Points D & E

Reference Point D is the interface between the CMSP Gateway and the CMSP Infrastructure. Reference Point E is the interface between the CMSP Infrastructure and the mobile device including the air interface.

Reference Points D and E are defined and controlled by the Commercial Mobile Service Providers. The CMSAAC recommendations in this document define what type of information needs to be conveyed across Reference Point E to support CMAS alerts on mobile devices. The CMSAAC recommends that the definition of the Reference Point D and E protocols be performed by the commercial mobile service providers in conjunction with the CMSP infrastructure network vendors and the mobile device vendors.

2.3.5 Mobile Device

Mobile device functionality is generally dependent on delivery technology, the capabilities of the delivery technology, and mobile vendor/CMSP specific policy and requirements. CMAS should allow for mobile device vendor flexibility in the design of CMA user interactions, and allow for innovation by the mobile device vendors and CMSPs, especially as mobile device

technology advances. The following are general guidelines recommended by the CMSAAC for the functions to be performed by the Mobile Device:

1. Authentication of interactions with the CMSP infrastructure. The authentication will not be part of the CMAS alert and is delivery technology dependent.

2. Determination of delivery technology or delivery technologies being supported by the Commercial Mobile Service Provider in the subscriber's current visited network.

3. Monitor associated channel or channels according to the requirements of the delivery technology or delivery technologies for CMAS alerts.

4. Maintain configuration of CMAS alert options including the following:

a. Subscriber's choice of CMAS alert opt-out selections.

b. Subscriber's preferred language for CMAS alerts if applicable to the delivery technology.

c. Default language is English if CMAS alert is not being transmitted in subscriber's preferred language.

5. Extraction of the CMAS alert content in the subscriber's preferred language or in the default language of English, if the CMAS alert is not being transmitted in the subscriber's preferred language.

6. Presentation of received CMAS alert content to the mobile device user in accordance with the capabilities of the mobile device, if the CMAS alert complies with the subscriber's opt-out selections.

a. Presidential level CMAS alerts are always presented.

b. Presentation of a CMAS alert will activate associated visual, audio, and mechanical (e.g., vibration) indicators per subscriber options configured on the mobile device.

7. Detection and suppression of presentation of duplicate CMAS alerts.

8. Suppression of CMAS alert visual, audio and mechanical (e.g., vibration) indicators upon subscriber's action on the mobile device user interface (e.g., key stroke, touch screen).

3 Deployment Scenarios

The WARN Act specifies that a commercial mobile service operator who elects to transmit emergency alerts can elect to transmit the CMAS alerts in whole or in part.[7] The CMSAAC recommends that the definition of ''in whole or in part'' include the following:

- All or a subset of the CMSP's service area.
- All or a subset of current and future mobile devices supported by the CMSP network.

For reasons detailed in Annex B—WARN Act Statutory Requirements, the date of election is likely not the date of deployment. Therefore the CMSAAC recommends that the process for a CMSP to ''file an election with the Commission with respect to whether or not it intends to transmit emergency alerts'' should include the following information:

1. Potential date of initial deployment.

2. Potential date when mobile device(s) with CMAS support are available for consumer purchase.

3. Whether the deployment will be ''in whole or in part''.

It is important to understand the various scenarios that may be deployed in CMSP networks to support CMAS for those CMSP that do elect to transmit the CMAS alerts in whole or part. In addition, these scenarios need to be understood for the development of appropriate information a CMSP must provide to the subscriber to educate them on the availability of CMAS alerts. This information also needed to educate the sources of the CMAS alerts so there is not an unrealistic expectation as to the percentage of population to which the alert message may be broadcast.

Note: The following diagrams show variety of mobile devices (i.e. cellular mobile phones and pagers) as illustrative examples; it is not the intention to suggest all mobile device technologies are supported by a single operator or via a single CMSP network.

3.1 Scenarios for Single Technology Deployed

3.1.1 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Single Technology Operator Network on All Devices

This scenario illustrates where the CMSP deploys a single delivery technology within the CMSP network to support CMAS alerts, and all mobile devices on that network support the delivery technology and thus the reception of the CMAS alerts.

[7] WARN Act, § 602(b)(1)(B).



Figure 3-1 CMAS in Entire Single Technology Network on All Devices

3.1.2 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Single Technology Operator Network on a Subset of Devices

This scenario illustrates where the CMSP deploys a single delivery technology within the CMSP network to support CMAS alerts, and only a subset of mobile devices on that CMSP network support the delivery technology and thus the reception of the CMAS alerts.



Figure 3-2 CMAS in Entire Network on Sub-set of Devices

3.1.3 Scenario—CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on All Devices

This scenario illustrates where the CMSP deploys a single delivery technology in a subset of the CMSP network to support CMAS alerts, and all mobile devices on that CMSP network support the delivery technology and thus the reception of the CMAS alerts while in the portion of the CMSP network where the delivery technology is deployed.



Figure 3-3 CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on All Devices

3.1.4 Scenario—CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on Subset of Devices

This scenario illustrates where the CMSP deploys a single delivery technology in a subset of the CMSP network to support CMAS, and only a subset of mobile devices on the CMSP network support the delivery technology and thus the reception of the CMAS alerts while in the portion of the CMSP network where the delivery technology is deployed.



Figure 3-4 CMAS in Subset of Single Technology Operator's Network on Subset of Devices

3.2 Scenarios for Multiple Technologies Deployed

3.2.1 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on All Devices

This scenario illustrates where the CMSP deploys multiple delivery technologies within the CMSP network to support CMAS alerts, and all mobile devices on that CMSP network support all delivery technologies and thus the reception of the CMAS alerts.



Figure 3-5 CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on All Devices

3.2.2 Scenario—CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices

This scenario illustrates where the CMSP deploys multiple delivery technologies within the CMSP network to support CMAS alerts, and only a subset of mobile devices on the CMSP network supports one or both delivery technologies and thus the reception of the CMAS alerts. Some mobile devices may not support either delivery technology.



Figure 3-6 CMAS in Entire Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices

3.2.3 Scenario—CMAS in Subset of Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices

This scenario illustrates where the CMSP deploys multiple delivery technologies on a subset of the CMSP network to support CMAS alerts, and only a subset of mobile devices on the CMSP network support one or both delivery technologies and thus the reception of the CMAS alerts. Some mobile devices may not support either delivery technology. This is a realistic picture of the deployment of CMAS, especially in a nationwide scenario.



Figure 3-7 CMAS in Subset of Multiple Technology Operator Network on Subset of Devices

3.3 Scenario for Operator Does Not Elect to Transmit CMAS Alerts

This option illustrates where the CMSP does not elect to transmit CMAS alerts.



Figure 3-8 Operator Does Not Elect to Transmit CMAS Alerts

3.4 Subscriber Notification Recommendations

The CMSAAC, in collaboration with the Cellular Telephone and Internet Association (CTIA) and its membership developed the proposed text to be used by commercial mobile service providers to notify their subscribers (1) when they intend to transmit emergency alerts ''in part'' or (2) when they do not intend to transmit emergency alerts. The WARN Act appears not to require specific text be developed for service providers who elect to transmit emergency alerts throughout its entire coverage area. Therefore no text was developed for that case.

3.4.1 Notification Procedures

The CMSAAC recommends that carriers retain the discretion to determine how to provide specific information regarding (1) whether or not they offer wireless emergency alerts, and (2) which devices are or are not capable of receiving wireless emergency alerts, as well as how to tailor additional notice, if necessary, for devices offered at other points of sale, i.e., retail outlets, mobile virtual network operators (MVNOs) and third party vendors.

3.4.2 Notification Text Recommendations

The CMSAAC submits the following recommended notice text, consistent with the requirements of the WARN Act.

I. NOTICE BY CARRIER WHO INTENDS TO TRANSMIT EMERGENCY ALERTS ''IN PART.''

NOTICE REGARDING TRANSMISSION OF WIRELESS EMERGENCY ALERTS (Commercial Mobile Alert Service)

[[WIRELESS PROVIDER]] has chosen to offer wireless emergency alerts within portions of its service area, as defined by the terms and conditions of its service agreement, on wireless emergency alert capable devices. There is no additional charge for these wireless emergency alerts.

Wireless emergency alerts may not be available on all devices or in the entire service area, or if a subscriber is outside of the [WIRELESS PROVIDER's] service area. For details on the availability of this service and wireless emergency alert capable devices, please ask a sales representative, or go to [[INSERT WEB SITE URL]].

Notice required by FCC Rule XXXX (Commercial Mobile Alert Service).

II. NOTICE BY CARRIER WHO, ''IN WHOLE,'' DOES NOT INTEND TO TRANSMIT EMERGENCY ALERTS

NOTICE TO NEW AND EXISTING SUBSCRIBERS REGARDING TRANSMISSION OF WIRELESS EMERGENCY ALERTS (Commercial Mobile Alert Service)

[[WIRELESS PROVIDER]] presently does not transmit wireless emergency alerts.

Notice required by FCC Rule XXXX (Commercial Mobile Alert Service).

4 CMAS Alert Scenarios

This section provides descriptions recommended by the CMSAAC for many common scenarios which are related to the support of CMAS Alert messages. These scenarios are a representative sample and do not include all possible sequences and/or events. Specifically this section will include descriptions of the following scenarios:

- Nominal CMAS alert scenarios for text based CMAS alert, as well as future capabilities of streaming audio, streaming video, and downloaded multimedia CMAS alerts.
- CMAS alert cancellation scenario.
- CMAS alert update scenarios for text based CMAS alert, as well as future capabilities of streaming audio, streaming video, and downloaded multimedia CMAS alerts.
- CMAS alert expiration scenario.
- Duplicate CMAS alert scenarios for both duplicate CMAS alerts on the same broadcast technology and duplicate CMAS alerts from different broadcast technologies.
- Multiple different active CMAS alert scenarios.
- Multiple different CMAS alerts.

4.1 Nominal CMAS Alert Scenarios

4.1.1 Scenario for Nominal Text CMAS Alert

An event has occurred and the appropriate government entities have decided to issue a text based CMA to warn the CMSP subscribers within the indicated alerting area.

This scenario applies to both the CMSP subscribers and to subscribers who are roaming as visiting subscribers into the service area of the CMSP network which will be broadcasting the CMA.

4.1.1.1 Pre-Conditions

1. Mobile device is authorized and authenticated for service on CMSP network.
2. Mobile device is receiving adequate radio signal strength from the CMSP.
3. Mobile device is in state that allows for the detection and reception of the CMA (e.g., not busy, not on a voice call).
4. No previous Commercial Mobile Alert Message (CMAM) is being broadcast by the CMSP.
5. There is no active CMAM on mobile device.
6. CMSP subscriber is within the alerting area for the CMA.

4.1.1.2 Normal Flow

The normal flow for the text based CMA is described in the following steps and in the associated flow diagram which follows:

1. The appropriate government entity creates the alert message in CAP format which is sent to the government alerting network over Reference Point A.
2. The government alerting network validates and authenticates the received alert request.
   a. If the alert fails validation or authentication, an error response is returned to the originating government entity and the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
3. The government alerting network converts the received alert message into the text profile based CMAS format supported by the CMSP.
   a. If the alert fails conversion, the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
4. The text profile based CMAM is sent to the CMSP over Reference Point C.
5. The CMSP validates the received CMAM.
   a. If the CMAM fails validation, an error response is returned to the government alerting network and the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
6. The CMSP sends an acknowledgement to the government alerting network that a valid CMAM has been received.
7. The CMSP performs geo-targeting to translate the indicated alert area into the associated set of cell sites / paging transceivers for the broadcast of the CMA.
   a. If the CMSP does not support CMAS in the indicated alert area, the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
   b. If the CMSP does not have any cell site / paging transceiver coverage within the indicated alert area, the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
   c. If the entire nation is indicated as the alert area then all cell sites / paging transceivers of the CMSP which support the CMAS service are used for the broadcast of the CMAM.
8. The CMSP broadcasts the CMAM to the set of cell sites / paging transceivers identified by the geo-targeting processing in the previous step.
   a. The CMAM is broadcast via the CMSP selected technology.
9. The mobile device monitors for the broadcast of the CMAM via the CMSP selected technology.
   a. If the CMAM is not a Presidential alert and if the end user opt-out selections for CMAS alerts indicate that this type of CMAM is not to be presented, the CMAM is discarded or ignored. End of scenario.
10. The CMAM is received and presented to the end user including the activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or the activation of the special emergency alert vibration cadence (if mobile device has vibration capabilities) for a short duration as defined by CMSP policies and by the capabilities of the mobile device, and display of the CMAM message text on the visual display of the mobile device.
    a. Activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or special vibration cadence complies with the end user mobile device configuration as defined in Section 7.2, below.
11. The behavior of the mobile device beyond this point is outside the scope of the WARN Act and, therefore, is not subject to recommendations by the CMSAAC. The functionality of the mobile device is CMSP and mobile device specific.

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P



Figure 4-1 Flow for Scenario for Nominal Text CMAS Alert

BILLING CODE 6712–01–C

4.1.2 Scenario for Nominal Streaming Audio or Streaming Video CMAS Alert Streaming audio or streaming video CMAS alerts are a future capability.

4.1.3 Scenario for Nominal Downloaded Multimedia CMAS Alert Downloaded multimedia CMAS alerts are a future capability.

4.2 CMAS Alert Cancellation Scenario

The event that caused the issuance of the CMA has changed and the appropriate government entities have decided that the event is no longer an imminent threat to life or property. Consequently the appropriate government entities have decided to issue a cancellation of the CMA.

This scenario applies to both the CMSP subscribers and to subscribers who are roaming as visiting subscribers into the service area of the CMSP network which will be broadcasting the CMA.

If the received CMAM cancellation is not valid and if, as a part of its implementation, the CMSP has enabled message retransmission, the CMSP may continue to send the original alert until expiry or until a valid CMAM cancellation is received.

4.2.1 Pre-Conditions

1. Mobile device is authorized and authenticated for service on CMSP network.
2. Mobile device is receiving adequate radio signal strength from the CMSP.
3. Mobile device is in state that allows for the detection and reception of the CMA (e.g., not busy, not on a voice call).
4. A previous non-expired Commercial Mobile Alert Message (CMAM) has been broadcast by the CMSP and has been received by the mobile device (i.e., there is an active CMAM on the mobile device).
6. CMSP subscriber is within the alerting area of the active CMA.

4.2.2 Normal Flow

The normal flow for the cancelled CMA is described in the following steps and in the associated flow diagram which follows:

1. The appropriate government entity creates the alert cancellation message in CAP format which is sent to the government alerting network over Reference Point A.

2. The government alerting network validates and authenticates the received alert cancellation request.

a. If the alert fails validation or authentication, an error response is returned to the originating government entity and the alert cancellation is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.

3. The government alerting network converts the received alert message into the text profile based CMAS format support by the CMSP.

a. The Alert Gateway ensures that the urgency, severity, certainty match the values of those fields in the original message. As a consequence, a cancelled CMAM passed to the CMSP Gateway has the same urgency, severity, certainty, and message category as the original CMA alert in order to ensure the opt-out filter on the handset is the same for both messages. Therefore if the original CMAM was ignored based on opt-out criteria, then the CMAM cancellation should also be ignored.

b. If the alert fails conversion, the alert cancellation is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.

4. The CMAM cancellation is sent to the CMSP over Reference Point C.

5. The CMSP validates the received CMAM cancellation.

a. If the CMAM cancellation fails validation, an error response is returned to the government alerting network and the CMAM cancellation is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.

6. The CMSP sends an acknowledgement to the government alerting network that a valid CMAM cancellation has been received.

7. The CMSP discontinues the broadcasts the associated CMAM including the text component and any associated audio, video, or multimedia components.

8. The CMSP performs geo-targeting to translate the indicated alert area into the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers for the broadcast of the CMA.

a. If the CMSP does not support CMAS in the indicated alert area, the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.

b. If the CMSP does not have any cell site/paging transceiver coverage within the indicated alert area, the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.

c. If the entire nation is indicated as the alert area then all cell sites/paging transceivers of the CMSP which support the CMAS service are used for the broadcast of the CMAM.

9. The CMSP broadcasts the CMAM cancellation to the same set of cell sites / paging transceivers identified by the geo-targeting processing in the previous step.

10. The mobile device monitors for the broadcast of the CMAM cancellation via the CMSP selected technology and receives the CMAM cancellation.

a. If the CMAM cancellation is not a Presidential alert and if the end user opt-out selections for CMAS alerts indicate that this type of CMAM is not to be presented, the CMAM cancellation is discarded or ignored. End of scenario.

11. The CMAM cancellation is received and the CMAM cancellation is presented to the end user including the activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or the activation of the special emergency alert vibration cadence (if mobile device has vibration capabilities) for a short duration as defined by CMSP policies and the capabilities of the mobile device, and the display of the CMAM cancellation message text on the visual display of the mobile device.

a. Activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or special vibration cadence will comply with the end user mobile device configuration as defined in Section 7.2 below.

12. The behavior of the mobile device beyond this point is outside the scope of the WARN Act and, therefore, is not subject to recommendations by the CMSAAC. The functionality of the mobile device is CMSP and mobile device specific.



Figure 4-2 Flow for CMAS Alert Cancellation Scenario

4.3 CMAS Alert Update Scenarios

4.3.1 Scenario for Update of Text CMAS Alert

The appropriate government entities have decided to issue an update to a previously issued text based CMA to warn the CMSP subscribers within the indicated alerting area about changes associated with the event that caused the issuance of the previous CMA.

This scenario applies to both the CMSP subscribers and to subscribers who are roaming as visiting subscribers into the service area of the CMSP network which will be broadcasting the CMA.

If the received CMAM cancellation is not valid and if, as a part of its implementation, the CMSP has enabled message retransmission, the CMSP may continue to send the original alert until expiration or until a valid CMAM cancellation is received.

4.3.1.1 Pre-Conditions

1. Mobile device is authorized and authenticated for service on CMSP network.

2. Mobile device is receiving adequate radio signal strength from the CMSP.

3. Mobile device is in state that allows for the detection and reception of the CMA (e.g., not busy, not on a voice call).

4. The CMSP may be broadcasting a previous CMA which is associated with the updated CMA.

5. A CMAM may be active on mobile device.

6. CMSP subscriber is within the alerting area of the updated CMA.

4.3.1.2 Normal Flow

The normal flow for the update of text based CMAM is described in the following steps and in the associated flow diagram which follows:

1. The appropriate government entity creates the updated alert message in CAP format which is sent to the government alerting network over Reference Point A.

2. The government alerting network validates and authenticates the received updated alert request.

a. If the alert fails validation or authentication, or conversion, an error response is returned to the originating government entity and the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.

3. The government alerting network converts the received alert message into the text profile based CMAS format supported by the CMSP.

a. The Alert Gateway ensures that the urgency, severity, certainty match the values of those fields in the original message. As a consequence, an updated CMAM passed to the CMSP Gateway has the same urgency, severity, certainty, and message category as the original CMA alert in order to ensure the opt-out filter on the handset is the same for both messages. Therefore if the original CMAM was ignored based on opt-out criteria, then the updated CMAM should also be ignored.

b. If the alert fails conversion, the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.

4. The updated text based CMAM is sent to the CMSP over Reference Point C.

5. The CMSP validates the received updated CMAM.

a. If the updated CMAM fails validation, an error response is returned to the government alerting network and the updated CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.

6. The CMSP sends an acknowledgement to the government alerting network that a valid updated CMAM has been received.

7. The CMSP discontinues any broadcasts of the previously issued CMAM.

8. The CMSP performs geo-targeting to translate the indicated alert area into the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers for the broadcast of the updated CMAM.

a. If the CMSP does not support CMAS in the indicated alert area, the updated CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.

b. If the CMSP does not have any cell site/paging transceiver coverage within the indicated alert area, the updated CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.

c. If the entire nation is indicated as the alert area then all cell sites/paging transceivers of the CMSP which support the CMAS service are used for the broadcast of the updated CMAM.

9. The CMSP broadcasts the updated CMAM to the set of cell sites/paging transceivers identified by the geo-targeting processing in the previous step.

a. The updated CMAM is broadcast via the CMSP selected technology.

10. The mobile device monitors for the broadcast of the updated CMAM via the CMSP selected technology.

a. If the updated CMAM is not a Presidential alert and if the end user opt-out selections for CMAS alerts indicate that this type of CMAS alert is not to be presented, the updated CMAM is discarded or ignored. End of scenario.

11. The updated CMAM is received and presented to the end user including the activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or the activation of the special emergency alert vibration cadence (if mobile device has vibration capabilities) for a short duration as defined by CMSP policies and the capabilities of the mobile device, and the display of the updated CMAM message text on the visual display of the mobile device.

a. Activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or special vibration cadence complies with the end user mobile device configuration as defined in Section 7.2 below.

12. The behavior of the mobile device beyond this point is outside the scope of the WARN Act and, therefore, is not subject to recommendations by the CMSAAC. The functionality of the mobile device is CMSP and mobile device specific.



Figure 4-3 Flow for Scenario for Update of Text CMAS Alert

4.3.2 Scenario for Update of Streaming Audio or Streaming Video CMAS Alert

Streaming audio or streaming video CMAS alerts are a future capability.

4.3.3 Scenario for Update of Downloaded Multimedia CMAS Alert

Downloaded multimedia CMAS alerts are a future capability.

4.4 CMAS Alert Expiration Scenario

The previously issued Commercial Mobile Alert Message (CMAM) alert has reached its expiration time without having been updated or cancelled. This scenario describes the functionality when the expiration time has been detected.

4.4.1 Pre-Conditions

1. The associated non-expired non-cancelled CMAM has been or is currently being broadcast by the CMSP.

4.4.2 Normal Flow

The normal flow for the CMAS alert expiration is described in the following steps and in the associated flow diagram which follows:

1. The expiration time of a previously issued CMAM has been determined by the CMSP.
2. Any active broadcasts of text component of the previously issued CMAM are discontinued by the CMSP.
3. All active broadcasts of any associated audio, video, or multimedia components of the previously issue CMAM are discontinued by the CMSP.



Figure 4-4 Flow for CMAS Alert Expiration Scenario

4.5 Duplicate CMAS Alerts Scenarios

4.5.1 Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Same Broadcast Technology

A CMAM is being retransmitted by the CMSP network. The mobile device detects and ignores the duplicate CMAM.

This scenario applies to both the CMSP subscribers and to subscribers who are roaming as visiting subscribers into the service area of the CMSP network which will be broadcasting the CMA.

4.5.1.1 Pre-Conditions

1. Mobile device is authorized and authenticated for service on CMSP network.
2. Mobile device is receiving adequate radio signal strength from the CMSP.
3. Mobile device is in state that allows for the detection and reception of CMAM (e.g., not busy, not on a voice call).
4. A previous copy of the CMAM has been broadcast by the CMSP.
5. The previous copy of the CMAM is contained on mobile device.
6. CMSP subscriber is still within the alerting area for the CMA.

4.5.1.2 Normal Flow

The flow for duplicate CMAM on the same broadcast technology is described in the following steps and in the associated flow diagram which follows:

1. The CMSP network retransmits a previously broadcast CMAM.

a. The CMAM being retransmitted contains the same message identifier as the previously broadcast version.

b. The retransmission could be performed by the CMSP selected delivery technology depending on the capabilities of the delivery technology.

2. The mobile device monitors for the broadcast of the CMAM via the CMSP selected technology.
3. The mobile device detects the received CMAM as a duplicate CMAM based upon message identifier and other message attributes. The duplicate CMAM is ignored and discarded by the mobile station.



Figure 4-5 Flow for Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Same Broadcast Technology

4.5.2 Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Different Broadcast Technologies

An event has occurred and the appropriate government entities have decided to issue a text based CMA to warn the CMSP subscribers within the indicated alerting area. The CMSP network supports more than one broadcast technology in the indicated alerting area and the CMSP elects to broadcast the CMA on more than one technology in the indicated alerting area. Support of multiple broadcast technologies by the CMSP network may be result of the deployment and implementation of newer broadcast technologies. This scenario applies to both the CMSP subscribers and to subscribers who are roaming as visiting subscribers into the service area of the CMSP network which will be broadcasting the CMA.

4.5.2.1 Pre-Conditions

1. Mobile device is authorized and authenticated for service on CMSP network.
2. Mobile device is receiving adequate radio signal strength from the CMSP.
3. Mobile device is in state that allows for the detection and reception of the CMA (e.g., not busy, not on a voice call).
4. No previous CMAM is being broadcast by the CMSP.
5. There is no active CMAM on mobile device.
6. CMSP subscriber is still within the alerting area for the CMA.
7. The mobile device is capable of receiving the CMAM from more than one broadcast technology.

4.5.2.2 Normal Flow

The flow for duplicate text profile based CMAS alerts on the different broadcast technologies is described in the following steps and in the associated flow diagram which follows:

1. The appropriate government entity creates the alert message in CAP format which is sent to the government alerting network over Reference Point A.
2. The government alerting network validates and authenticates the received alert request.
a. If the alert fails validation or authentication, an error response is returned to the originating government entity and the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
3. The government alerting network converts the received alert message into the text profile based CMAS format supported by the CMSP.
a. If the alert fails conversion, the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
4. The text profile based CMAM is sent to the CMSP over Reference Point C.
5. The CMSP validates the received CMAM.
a. If the CMAM fails validation, an error response is returned to the government alerting network and the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
6. The CMSP sends an acknowledgement to the government alerting network that a valid CMAM has been received.
7. The CMSP performs geo-targeting to translate the indicated alert area into the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers for the first broadcast technology used for the broadcast of the CMAM.
a. If the CMSP does not support CMAS in the indicated alert area, the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
b. If the CMSP does not have any cell site/paging transceiver coverage for the first broadcast technology within the indicated alert area, the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP using the first broadcast technology. The CMAM will be processed as described in Section 4.1.1 above. End of scenario.
c. If the entire nation is indicated as the alert area then all cell sites/paging transceivers of the first broadcast technology of the CMSP which support the CMAS service are used for the broadcast of the CMAM.
8. The CMSP broadcasts the CMAM using the first broadcast technology to the set of cell sites/paging transceivers identified by the geo-targeting processing in the previous step.
a. The CMAM is broadcast via the first CMSP selected technology.
9. The CMSP performs geo-targeting to translate the indicated alert area into the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers for the second broadcast technology used for the broadcast of the CMAM.
a. If the CMSP does not have any cell site/paging transceiver coverage for the second broadcast technology within the indicated alert area, the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP using the second broadcast technology. The CMAM is processed as described in Section 4.1.1 above. End of scenario.
c. If the entire nation is indicated as the alert area then all cell sites/paging transceivers of the second broadcast technology of the CMSP which support the CMAS service are used for the broadcast of the CMAM.
10. The CMSP broadcasts the CMAM using the second broadcast technology to the set of cell sites/paging transceivers identified by the geo-targeting processing in the previous step.
a. The CMAM is broadcast via the second CMSP selected technology.
11. The CMAM is received from both the first and second broadcast technologies.
12. Based upon mobile device capabilities and configurations, only one of the received CMAM will be presented to the end user. The mobile device should only perform one activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or the activation of the special emergency alert vibration cadence (if mobile device has vibration capabilities).
a. If the CMAM is not a Presidential alert and if the end user opt-out selections for CMAS alerts indicate that this type of CMAS

alert is not to be presented, the CMAM is discarded or ignored. End of scenario.

13. The behavior of the mobile device beyond this point is outside the scope of the WARN Act and, therefore, is not subject to recommendations by the CMSAAC. The functionality of the mobile device is CMSP and mobile device specific.

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P



Figure 4-6 Flow for Scenario for Duplicate CMAS Alerts on Different Broadcast Technologies

BILLING CODE 6712–01–C

4.6 Multiple Different Active CMAS Alerts Scenario

An event has occurred and the appropriate government entities have decided to issue a text based CMA to warn the CMSP subscribers within the indicated alerting area. During the broadcast period of the 1st alert message, a second event has occurred for the same alerting area and the appropriate government entities have decided to issue a second text based CMA to warn the CMSP subscribers within the indicated alerting area.

The CMSP processes CMAM received from the Alert Gateway on a first come first served basis. There is no prioritization of processing or delivery of CMAM within the CMSP network. This scenario applies to both the CMSP subscribers and to subscribers who are roaming as visiting subscribers into the service area of the CMSP network which will be broadcasting the CMA.

4.6.1 Pre-Conditions

1. Mobile device is authorized and authenticated for service on CMSP network.
2. Mobile device is receiving adequate radio signal strength from the CMSP.
3. Mobile device is in state that allows for the detection and reception of CMA (e.g., not busy, not on a voice call).
4. No previous CMAM is being broadcast by the CMSP.
5. There is no CMAM on mobile device.
6. CMSP subscriber is within the alerting area for the CMA.
7. Both CMA are to be issued for the same alerting area.

4.6.2 Normal Flow

The flow for multiple different CMAS alerts within the same alerting area is described in the following steps and in the associated flow diagram which follows:

1. The appropriate government entity creates the 1st alert message in CAP format which is sent to the government alerting network over Reference Point A.
2. The government alerting network validates and authenticates the 1st received alert request.
a. If the 1st alert fails validation or authentication, an error response is returned to the originating government entity and the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
3. The government alerting network converts the 1st received alert message into the text profile based CMAS format supported by the CMSP.
a. If the alert fails conversion, the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
4. The 1st text profile based CMAM is sent to the CMSP over Reference Point C.
5. The CMSP validates the 1st received CMAM.
a. If the 1st CMAM fails validation, an error response is returned to the government alerting network and the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
6. The CMSP sends an acknowledgement to the government alerting network that the 1st received CMAM is valid.
7. The CMSP performs geo-targeting for the 1st CMAS alert to translate the indicated alert area into the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers for the broadcast of the 1st CMAM.
a. If the CMSP does not support CMAS in the indicated alert area, the 1st CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
b. If the CMSP does not have any cell site/paging transceiver coverage within the indicated alert area, the 1st CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
c. If the entire nation is indicated as the alert area then all cell sites/paging transceivers of the CMSP which support the CMAS service are used for the broadcast of the 1st CMA.
8. The CMSP broadcasts the 1st CMAM to the set of cell sites/paging transceivers identified by the geo-targeting processing in the previous step.
a. The 1st CMAM is broadcast via the CMSP selected technology.
9. The 1st CMAM is received and presented to the end user including the activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or the activation of the special emergency alert vibration cadence (if mobile device has vibration capabilities) for a short duration as defined by CMSP policies and by the capabilities of the mobile device, and display of the 1st CMAM message text on the visual display of the mobile device.
a. If the 1st CMAM is not a Presidential alert and if the end user opt-out selections for CMAS alerts indicate that this type of CMAS alert is not to be presented, the CMAM is discarded or ignored.
b. Activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or special vibration cadence complies with the end user mobile device configuration as defined in Section 7.2 below.
10. An appropriate government entity creates a 2nd alert message in CAP format for the same alerting area as the 1st alert message. The 2nd alert message is sent to the government alerting network over Reference Point A.
11. The government alerting network validates and authenticates the 2nd received alert request.
a. If the 2nd alert fails validation or authentication, an error response is returned to the originating government entity and the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
12. The government alerting network converts the 2nd received alert message into the text profile based CMAS format supported by the CMSP.
a. If the alert fails conversion, the alert is not sent to the CMSP. End of scenario.
13. The 2nd text profile based CMAM is sent to the CMSP over Reference Point C.
14. The CMSP validates the 2nd received CMAM.
a. If the 2nd CMAM fails validation, an error response is returned to the government alerting network and the CMAM is not broadcast by the CMSP. End of scenario.
15. The CMSP sends an acknowledgement to the government alerting network that the 2nd received CMAM is valid.
16. The CMSP performs geo-targeting for the 2nd CMAM to translate the indicated alert area into the associated set of cell sites/paging transceivers for the broadcast of the 2nd CMAM.
a. For this scenario, since the indicated alert area of the 1st and 2nd CMAM are the same, the results of the geo-targeting for both the 1st and 2nd CMAM should return the same set of cell sites/paging transceivers.
17. The CMSP broadcasts the 2nd CMAM to the set of cell sites/paging transceivers identified by the geo-targeting processing step.
a. The 2nd CMAM is broadcast via the CMSP selected technology.
b. The retransmission of the 1st CMAM and the initial transmission of the 2nd CMAM may be simultaneously broadcast, or may be transmitted sequentially, depending on the delivery technology.
18. The 2nd CMAM is received and presented to the end user including the activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or the activation of the special emergency alert vibration cadence (if mobile device has vibration capabilities) for a short duration as defined by CMSP policies and by the capabilities of the mobile device, and display of the 2nd CMAM message text on the visual display of the mobile device.
a. If the 2nd CMAM is not a Presidential alert and if the end user opt-out selections for CMAS alerts indicate that this type of CMAS alert is not to be presented, the 2nd CMAM is discarded or ignored.
b. Activation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or special vibration cadence complies with the end user mobile device configuration as defined in Section 7.2 below.
c. The mobile device ignores the retransmission of the duplicate 1st CMAM.
d. The mobile device processing and presentation of multiple received CMAS alerts is outside the scope of the WARN Act and, therefore, is not subject to recommendations by the CMSAAC. The functionality of the mobile device is CMSP and mobile device specific.



Figure 4-7 Flow for Scenario for Multiple Different Active CMAS Alerts Scenario

5 General Requirements & Conclusions

The following section contains the CMSAAC's general recommendations and conclusions for the CMAS. Many of the conclusions and recommendations apply to initial deployments of the CMAS, for a text-based service profile. Future technologies, such as streaming audio, streaming video, and multimedia, are mentioned throughout this document; however, technology advances to support these future capabilities are just beginning to be developed and introduced. As CMSPs gain experience with these technologies, the applicability of those technologies to the CMAS will be better understood.

The CMSAAC recommends that this document be treated as a living document,

with periodic updates to account for experiences with initial CMAS deployments and experiences with new technologies and their applicability to CMAS. An industry group consisting of government and industry stakeholders should be created after the CMSAAC's activity is complete to review and update this document on a periodic basis. This review should occur no less frequently than biennially. It is expected that during research, development, and deployment, this industry group may need to convene more frequently than biennially to address research conclusions and any development or deployment issues.

5.1 Scope & Definition of CMAS Alerts

The CMSAAC recommends that there are three classes of Commercial Mobile Alerts:

1. Presidential-level.

2. Imminent threat to life and property (defined as alerts where the CAP severity equals Extreme or Severe, CAP urgency is Immediate or Expected, and CAP certainty is Observed or Likely).

3. Child Abduction Emergency or ''AMBER Alert''.

Because of the technical limitations in delivering emergency alerts on CMSP systems, the CMSAAC recommends that only the 3 classes defined above will be transmitted as CMA messages.

The CMSAAC recommends that the CMSPs who elect to support CMAs are considered for this purpose only to be agents of the federal, state, local, or tribal agencies that originate the alerts and are providing CMAs on their behalf.

A CMSP that elects to transmit alerts under Section 602(b)(2) of the WARN Act may not impose a separate or additional charge for such transmission or capability when the emergency alerts are transmitted in a manner consistent with the technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements implemented by the Commission. For transmission or service beyond standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements implemented by the Commission, a Commercial Mobile Service licensee is not bound by Section 602(b)(2)(C) of the WARN Act.

The Commercial Mobile Service licensee may utilize the technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements implemented by the Commission to support the WARN Act for other services or purposes and are not bound by Section 602(b)(2)(C) of the WARN Act. The government portion, from Reference Point A to Reference Point C, of the CMAS will not be made available for commercial use.

CMAS will be provided according to the technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements implemented by the Commission to support the WARN Act. A CMSP's networks shall not be bound to use any specific vendor, technology, software, implementation, client, device, or third party agent, in order to meet the obligations under the WARN Act.

Technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements implemented by the Commission shall be standardized in industry fora which have a well-defined reasonable and non-discriminatory intellectual property rights policy, allowing for multi-vendor implementations.

It is anticipated that mobile devices that support CMAS may incur additional development and manufacturing costs and these costs may be passed on to the subscriber.

A CMSP or any device deployed by a CMSP to support the transmission of CMAS alerts according to the WARN Act shall not be required to identify location or location history of the mobile device.

The CMSAAC recommends that, prior to the adoption of rules as specified in the WARN Act Section 602 (b) (1), the Commission will require all participants in the CMSAAC and all participants in the public comment process on this Commercial Mobile Alert Service Architecture and Requirements document to provide written assurance to the Commission that, if and insofar as one or more licenses may be required under any of their respective Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) that are technically essential for purposes of implementing or deploying CMAS, the rights holders shall license such IPR on a fair, reasonable and nondiscriminatory basis for those limited purposes only.

5.2 General CMAS Requirements & Conclusions

This section contains the CMSAAC's recommendations for general requirements and assumptions for CMAS. More specific requirements and assumptions may be contained within the other sections of this document.

1. Federal, state, tribal, and local level CMAS alert messages will be supported using the same CMAS solution.

2. Point-to-point or unicast delivery technologies are not feasible or practical for the support of CMAS, i.e., SMS point-to-point, MMS. Reasons for point-to-point technologies not being feasible or practical are:

a. Point-to-point technologies can experience significant delivery delays.

b. Point-to-point technologies can result in network and radio interface congestion to the point of blocking voice calls.

c. Point-to-point technologies lack security and can be easily spoofed.

d. Point-to-point technologies lack geo-targeting capabilities because it is targeted to phone numbers instead of a specific alert area.

e. Point-to-point technologies lack emergency alert specific alert tones and thereby emergency alerts can not be distinguished from normal SMS message traffic.

f. Point-to-point technologies lack support of roamers.

3. For a CMSP that elects to transmit CMAS alerts, text is the minimum requirement for CMAS alert messages. All CMAS alert messages delivered to the CMSP will contain at least a textual component.

4. No new CALEA lawful intercept access points will be created for CMAS alert broadcast delivery technologies.

5. There is no interaction between CMAS alert message delivery and Number Portability. There is no guarantee that the end user will receive the CMAS alert message during the time interval that the user's subscription is being ported between CMSPs. As part of Number Portability, there is no service portability between CMSPs.

6. It is not a requirement to support CMAS on non-initialized mobile devices, including mobile devices that are not authorized for service.

7. CMAS is intended for commercial mobile services (i.e, cellular phones and pagers) supported by commercial mobile service licensees. Some devices are not designed to support CMAS (e.g., telematics, data only devices such as laptop data cards) and thus are outside the scope of the CMAS architecture.

a. Broadcast technologies such as MediaFLO, DVB–H, and FM/RBDS receivers are not considered as part of the CMAS. Service providers of these technologies do not hold commercial mobile service licenses as they do not provide interconnect service, and are not licensed to transmit in the same channels as commercial mobile services. It is recognized that these technologies may provide supplemental alert information for the CMAS.

8. The CMAMs are delivered across Reference Point C to the CMSP network at no cost to the CMSP.

5.3 Recommendations for Alert Initiation & Alert Initiators

5.3.1 CMAM Elements

A typical emergency alert message issued by the National Weather Service on weather radio might appear as follows:

''The National Weather Service in Phoenix has issued a severe thunderstorm warning for northwest Maricopa County effective until 5 p.m. local time. Seek shelter now inside a sturdy structure and stay indoors!''

(Note the above message contains over 200 characters and spaces and is not in the correct format for a CMAM).

The CMSAAC recommends that CMAMs follow this same general format, within the text character limitations of CMA as defined in the text profile in Section 6.2 below. Given the rapidly evolving nature of wireless technology, the biennial review committee shall review whether the character limit profile, as described in Section 6.2, may be increased.

The necessary elements of an effective CMAM and the order in which they should be presented in the CMAM are:

1. What's happening (Event Type or Event Category).

2. Area affected (in this area).

3. Recommended action (Response description).

4. Expiration time with time zone (Represented as a distinct time—e.g., until 09:30 a.m. EDT).

5. Sending agency (agency type, i.e., police, fire, National Weather Service, etc.).

Note: The above format for a CMAM is recommended for initial deployments of CMAS and as experience is gained by alert initiators and by CMSPs, we envision that the format will evolve to provide the most efficient and informative format for the CMAMs.

5.3.2 Generating CMAM From CAP Fields

For initial CMAS system deployments and until Alert Initiators are trained in the generation of CMAM, in order to create consistent and accurate CMAMs, the CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Gateway ''construct'' the CMAM using selected required and optional fields in the CAP message. The translated CMAM will then be transmitted to the CMSP across the Reference Point C.

Allowing the Alert Gateway to create the CMAM using CAP fields creates consistent and accurate messages and will enable enhancements to be made over time in the Alert Gateway and made available to all CMA capable mobile devices in the field. For instance, if a new alert event is identified, a new event code or category can be added to the CAP message, translated in the Alert Gateway and a new text string can be sent to the mobile device through the CMSP Gateway.

However, generating CMAM using CAP fields may not provide flexibility to Alert Initiators to tailor the CMAM content to a specific alert event. Even though CMAS is not intended to provide comprehensive alert information, a CMAM with a ''what is happening'' text indicating ''security warning'' may not be very meaningful to the end user. The recent steam pipe explosion in New York City and the Virginia Tech shootings are examples where an automatically generated CMAM would not have provided meaningful information in the CMAM text.

The CMSAAC recommends the use of the sender identity used by the Alert Gateway in the Trust Model to identify the sender. The Alert Gateway will then assign an agreed upon text phrase or abbreviation (e.g., VDOT, NWS, etc.) to be transmitted to the CMSP Gateway.

The CMSAAC makes the following recommendations regarding the use of the required category and optional eventCode CAP fields. They are:

1. Encourage the National Weather Service to continue its practice of using codes, such as SAME codes, in the eventCode field to identify weather alerts.

2. When the eventCode field is populated with a value, that value will be used by the Alert Gateway to determine what text phrase will be transmitted to the CMSP gateway (e.g., TOR will be translated to Tornado Warning).

3. If the eventCode field is not populated or is populated with a value unknown to the Alert Gateway, the required category field will be used by the Alert Gateway to determine what text phrase to be transmitted to the CMSP gateway.

4. Emergency message originators and the National Weather Service are encouraged to utilize codes for eventCodes. These codes should be known by the Alert Gateway and have appropriate text phrases associated with them to be transmitted to the CMSP gateway. The CMSAAC recommends that a process be developed by which new event codes in addition to the standard SAME and CAP event codes can be developed and registered.

The CMSAAC recommends that the affected area be generated from the optional geocode field. If the optional geocode field is missing, the polygon or circle elements will be used to determine the associated geocodes and the corresponding affected area description. The CMSAAC further recommends that a process be developed by which new geocodes in addition to standard FIPS codes can be registered and implemented in the Alert Gateway for deriving the affected area description.

The CMSAAC recommends that the response description will be taken from the optional responseType CAP Field. If the field is not populated, the message should be transmitted with the text string ''Check local media for info'' applied. The CMSAAC further recommends that a process be developed by which new responseType Codes in addition to the standard CAP response type codes can be developed and registered.

The CMSAAC recommends that the expiration time will be determined from the optional expires CAP field. If this field is not populated, local guidelines will be applied by the Alert Gateway as to when the message is no longer in effect.

The following table defines the text string associated with the CAP value fields used to generate the CMAM:

TABLE 5–1.—CAP VALUE FIELD MAPPING TO TEXT

CAP field	Value	Text string
What is happening		
category	Met	Severe Weather Warning.
	Safety	Public Safety Warning.
	Fire	Fire Warning.
	Geo	Geologic Warning.
	Security	Security Warning.
	Rescue	Rescue Alert.
	Health	Health Warning.
	Env	Environmental Warning.
	Transport.	Transport Alert.
eventCode	TOR	Tornado Warning.
	VOW	Volcano Warning.
	SVR	Severe TStorm Warning.
	EQW	Earthquake Warning.
	TSW	Tsunami Warning.
	BZW	Blizzard Warning.
	DSW	Dust Storm Warning.
	FFW	Flash Flood Warning.
	HWW	High Wind Warning.
	HUW	Hurricane Warning.
	TRW	Tropical Storm Warning.
	WSW	Winter Storm Warning.
	CFW	Coastal Flood Warning.
	FLW	Flood Warning.
	FRW	Fire Warning.
	SMW	Special Marine Warning.
	AVW	Avalanche Warning.
	CDW	Civil Danger Warning.
	CEM	Civil Emergency.
	HMW	HazMat Warning.
	LEW	Police Warning.
	CAE	AMBER Alert.
	NUW	Nuclear Power Plant Warning.
	RHW	Radiological Hazard Warning.

TABLE 5–1.—CAP VALUE FIELD MAPPING TO TEXT—Continued

CAP field	Value	Text string
What area is affected		
		"in this area"
When the alert expires		
expires	The expiration time of the information of the alert message. The date and time is represented in [dateTime] format (e.g., "2002–05–24T16:49:00–07:00" for 24 May 2002 at 16:49 PDT).	Translated by the Alert Gateway to an event expires time in a 12 hour/Time zone format (i.e., Until7:00AM PDT).
What action should be taken		
responseType	Shelter or SPW	Take Shelter Now.
	Evacuate or EVI	Evacuate Now.
	Prepare	Prepare for Action.
	Execute	Execute Action.
	Avoid Hazard[8]	Avoid Hazard.
Who is sending the alert		
sender	Identifies the originator of this alert. Guaranteed by assigner to be unique globally; e.g., may be based on an Internet domain name—could also come from the sender's name in the Trust Model.	Translated by the Alert Gateway to an acronym or short abbreviation picked by the sender. **Note:** URLs, phone numbers, and email addresses are not sent to the mobile device.

5.3.2.1 Generating CMAM From Free Form Text

As indicated in the above section, the generation of CMAM using CAP fields may not provide flexibility to Alert Initiators to tailor the CMAM content to a specific alert event where only an event category is available such as a "security warning". In addition, Alert Initiators may want to provide specific response information above what is available in the CAP responseType field.

The CMSAAC recommends that a capability be provided for Alert Initiators to generate free form text consistent with the text profile of Section 6.2, below. The CMSAAC further recommends that the Alert Gateway have a mechanism to determine when the free form text should be used instead of the automatically generated CMAM described in Section 5.3.2 above. The Alert Gateway mechanism is subject to the implementation of the Alert Gateway and the policy of the authorized government entity.

The CMSAAC recommends that the FCC establish a forum that includes the CMSPs to develop the Alert Gateway mechanism and policy for free form text-based CMAMs that will be subject to final approval of the CMSPs. This policy would encompass specific decision points at the Alert Gateway such as: the message length does not exceed the maximum character limit, the message contains no phone numbers or URLs which would encourage mass access of the wireless network, the message contains all the necessary elements of an effective message referenced in section, etc. If any of the decision points are not met, the automatically generated message would be issued to the CMSP instead of the free form text.

The CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Gateway issue automatically generated CMAMs for alerts other than presidential and AMBER Alert messages until free form CMAMs meet the policies established for the Alert Gateway.

If the use of free form text messages becomes problematic or induces network disruptions in practice, the Alert Gateway mechanism and policy would need to be modified to further restrict the issuance of free form text messages or to utilize only automatically generated CMAMs.

The free form text for the CMAM should be included as a parameter of the CAP message with the valueName indicating "CMAMtext".

The CMSAAC further recommends that training be provided to Alert Initiators on generation of meaningful CMAM which provides sufficient information on the mobile devices. It is recommended that the above mentioned forum participate in the development of the training program for free form text targeted for CMAMs.

5.3.3 Presidential Message and AMBER Alert

There are two additional special cases where automatic text generation at the Alert Gateway would not be practical. These are the Presidential Alert message and AMBER Alerts. The CMSAAC recommends that:

1. They may be identified either by a code in the optional CAP eventCode field—EAN for Presidential Alert and CAE for AMBER Alert—or by the required CAP sender field. Presidential level messages are not restricted to nationwide only alert messages. The Presidential level message may contain polygon, circle, GNIS, or geocode information to designate the targeted alert area.

2. The free text message would be presented to the Alert Gateway in a free text CAP field. This free text message would be transmitted to the CMSP gateway. For Presidential alerts, the Alert Gateway may use a generic statement such as "The President has issued an emergency alert. Check local media for more details".

3. It may be desirable for some AMBER Alert messages to include specific information such as a vehicle license plate. The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC) should be authorized to formulate unique free-form message text for CMAS.

4. These two special cases will use the normal processes for sending messages to the Alert Aggregator (i.e., use of CAP messages) and will be treated as any other emergency alert initiated message except as specified above and in Section 2.2.2 above.

5.3.4 Recommended Message Initiator Training

In order for emergency message initiators to develop and transmit effective emergency messages, within the character length limits of the CMAM, the CMSAAC recommends that alert initiator training on consistently populating CAP fields and generating CMAM be accomplished via the credentialing process (See Section 8.1 below).

5.4 Recommendations for Geo-Targeting of CMAS Alerts

The CMSAAC acknowledges that it is the goal of the CMAS for CMSPs to be able to deliver geo-targeted alerts to the areas specified by the Alert Initiator. Systems used by Alert Initiators may allow them to define an alert area on a map. For example, the defined alert area could include the projected

[8] This value is recommended for CMAS use only pending inclusion into the CAP standard by the responsible standards body.

path of a tornado or an event that encompasses a portion of an urban area. The CMSAAC further recognizes that CMSPs currently have limited capability to deliver geo-targeted alerts.

Based upon current capabilities, the CMSAAC recommends the following for geo-targeting of CMAS alerts:

1. In order to expedite initial deployments of CMAS an alert that is specified by a geocode, circle or polygon (See Section 10.4) will be transmitted to an area not larger than the CMSP's approximation of coverage for the county [9] or counties with which that geocode, circle, or polygon intersects. Some wireless technology RF propagation areas, for systems such as paging systems or multi-county cell sites, may greatly exceed a single county. In these instances, CMSPs will support geo-targeting subject to the limitations imposed by their technology. Cell sites'/paging transceivers' physical location within the alert area may be used to determine the initial predefined alert areas. The CMSP is not required to perform RF coverage mapping of cell sites/paging transceivers to initial alert areas.

a. A CMSP may elect to target smaller areas. CMSP may elect to target CMAM for distributions to predefined alert areas smaller than a county and may use GNIS codes, polygon, or circle information to identify a predefined list of cell sites/paging transceivers within the alert area. In the interim period before the availability of dynamic targeting, the CMSAAC recommends that certain urban areas with populations exceeding 1,000,000 inhabitants or with other specialized alerting needs be identified for priority consideration regarding implementation of more precise geo-targeting. The CMSAAC further recommends that a process be established by the Alert Gateway operator and the CMSPs to identify no later than August 2008 those initial areas that should be given such priority treatment for more precise geo-targeting. The CMSAAC recognizes the desire to move forward with this process on a small number of areas with particularly urgent alerting needs as soon as possible. The CMSAAC recommends that Section 604 funding be provided to FEMA for this purpose.

2. The CMSAAC recognizes that the use of predefined sets of cell sites frequently will not optimally cover the alert area desired. Therefore, the CMSAAC recommends that the FCC encourage DHS/FEMA, in concert with CMSPs, to immediately initiate the research, development, testing, and evaluation program referenced in Section 604 of the WARN Act. Section 604 requires DHS to establish a program to develop innovative technologies that will allow CMSPs to efficiently transmit geo-targeted CMAMs to the public. The CMSAAC further recommends that CMSPs work with this DHS program to evaluate the feasibility and implementation issues associated with proposed solutions to increase geographic targeting specificity. Finally, the CMSAAC recommends that the FCC assess the progress of the CMSP geo-targeting as part of the biennial review process.

[9] County, parish or equivalent jurisdictional area.

3. The architecture to support CMAS shall not require the CMSPs to open the configuration, interfaces and topology of their network including cell or paging transceiver towers to any third parties, nor provide subscriber information or data outside their network. A CMSP shall not be required to report cell site/paging transceiver information, coverage information, or any RF properties of their respective networks. The CMSP shall be the sole agent responsible for determining which network facilities, elements, or locations are involved in transmitting an alert to a mobile device.

4. Transmission of alerts shall be to two-dimensional areas. There shall not be any altitude or ceiling component.

5.5 Requirements and Recommendations on Needs of Users, Including Individuals With Disabilities and the Elderly

The WARN Act requirements for the establishment of the Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee membership specifically call for representation from ''national organizations representing individuals with special needs, including individuals with disabilities and the elderly''.[10]

During its work, the CMSAAC reviewed input from members on accessibility considerations. Most of the following requirements benefit all subscribers in an emergency.

It is recognized that not all wireless devices have the features to support all recommendations, but manufacturers are strongly encouraged to implement those recommendations that are technically feasible, so that their mobile devices can accommodate as many users as possible for emergency alerting.

5.5.1 General Requirements

In order to notify mobile service subscribers that an emergency alert message has been received on the mobile device, the CMSAAC recommends that the CMAS support a common audio attention signal and a common vibrating cadence to be used solely for CMAMs. These alerting mechanisms must be distinct from all other audio alerting signals and vibrations available in the mobile device and must not be able to be selected or modified by the user for any other purpose. The CMAS audio attention signals and vibration cadence signals as defined in Section 7.2 below are applicable to all mobile devices which support CMAS including any specialized mobile devices for individuals with special needs.

In addition, the CMSAAC recommends that the user should not be required to remember or use a unique command to turn off the notification of the CMAM. A familiar command, consistent with the other commands used for call or message handling on the mobile device, is recommended.

[10] Beyond the WARN Act, consideration may be given to legislation such as Title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act which requires accessibility to state and local government programs and communications; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act which requires accessibility of Federal government programs; Section 255 of the Communications Act which requires accessibility in telecommunications products where readily achievable; and Section 508 which applies to Federal government purchase of wireless devices.

5.5.2 User Needs Requirements

5.5.2.1 Alert/Attention Signal

A unique vibration cadence (if supported by the mobile device) should be provided as well as a unique audio attention signal. If both are available, the two modes do not need to be activated simultaneously but will follow the user's settings in the handset. If the handset supports dual activation the signals will be simultaneous according to user settings, but otherwise will be separate signals. The vibration cadence for the alert signal should be noticeably different from the default cadence of the handset.

For devices that have polyphonic capabilities, the CMSAAC recommends that the audio attention signal should consist of more than one tone, all of which are to be in the low frequency range below 2 kHz, and preferably below 1 kHz. For devices which have only single frequency audio alert tone capability, it is recommended that the audio alert tone be in the low frequency range below 2 kHz. The CMSAAC further recommends, subject to mobile device capabilities, that the signal have a temporal pattern (on-off pattern) to make it easier to detect, particularly in noisy conditions and by people with hearing loss. See Section 7 below for additional information.

An audio attention signal starting with a lower intensity and going to a higher intensity during the tone sequence may effectively get attention while endeavoring to avoid unduly startling the message recipient. Some mobile devices may support this capability; however, such a capability is controlled by the subscriber preferences for audio attention signal settings; this capability is not applicable to all mobile devices and should be implemented at the discretion of the mobile device vendors.

5.5.2.2 Message Content

The CMSAAC makes the following recommendations regarding message content:

General Guideline: alert initiator use clear and simple language whenever possible, with minimal use of abbreviations. The most important information should be presented first.

Text messages: Follow General Guideline.

Audio messages: Follow General Guideline. The alert initiator must insure abbreviations are spoken as full words.

Video messages: Follow General Guideline.

Multimedia messages: The alert initiator should provide ample text and audio to explain images such as maps, so that message recipients understand the content of the graphics/images.

5.5.2.3 Output Mode/Display

The CMSAAC makes the following recommendations regarding output mode/display:

General Guideline: The mobile device should provide an easy way to allow the user to recall the message for review.

Outside the scope of CMSAAC are alternate delivery mechanisms that would enable a CMAS-registered person to sign up with a third party for alternate format

message delivery. This would provide the means to access speech delivery for people who do not have text-to-speech (TTS) functionality in their phones, and would enable delivery of American Sign Language (ASL) if available and supported by the user's handset and service. The CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Aggregator have the capability to deliver alerts to third party services in order for them to deliver accessible alerts to users with special needs.

The need to support languages other than English is recognized. See Section 5.7 Multi-Language CMAS Alert Recommendations below for further information.

Text Messages:

The mobile devices should use a font to make the message easily readable. Per the American Foundation for the Blind, the goal in font selection is to use easily recognizable characters, either standard Roman or Sans Serif fonts. Another good choice is Arial. Avoid decorative fonts.

The use of color should be avoided for the purpose of conveying information, as some people are color-blind, and some devices do not display color.

If technically feasible, the mobile device display should provide high contrast display and provide adjustable font size.

One area of particular concern is that people who are blind or visually impaired will be most underserved by a solely text-based CMAM. The Committee recognizes that these subscribers could be best served by having the CMAM made available in speech format. There are mobile devices and software on the market with screen reading and text-to-speech conversion capability. It is agreed that such specialized mobile devices, which are geared for people who are blind and who have low vision, could be a solution.[11] The CMSAAC recommends that participating CMSPs who elect to transmit CMAS alert messages strongly consider offering this capability.

In mobile devices/software that includes capabilities to support text-to-speech access, the CMAS text should be accessible to the screen-reading functions in phones that are capable of generating text-to-speech. The opt-out menus on displays also should be available to these screen readers. The CMSAAC recommends that the CMAS text is accessible to these screen readers when CMAS capability is incorporated in those devices.

Future Audio Alert Message:

Follow the general guideline. Alert initiators should insure that speech is enunciated and presented at a slow pace. Alert initiators should provide a text version along with the audio version. Note this is not the text-based alert; this is a multimedia alert that contains both a text and audio component consistent with the multimedia profiles.

Future Video Alert Message:

Follow the general guideline. Alert initiators should provide text versions of the audio content of video alerts. CMSPs and mobile device vendors should consider appropriate methods for delivery and allowing users the ability to display this associated text on mobile devices as technology evolves and video and captioning capabilities become available. Also, the alert initiator should provide an audio description of the video content as a separate multimedia audio component consistent with the multimedia profiles.

Future Multimedia Alert Message:

Follow the general guideline. The alert initiator should provide all information in text and graphical form as part of the multimedia components to the alert message. The alert initiator should provide an audio description of the important information supplied in the graphic, which is a separate multimedia component consistent with the multimedia profiles.

5.5.2.4 Behavior on Receipt of a Message

It is desirable to have the CMAM prominently presented on the mobile device without user interaction when the alert message is received. To turn off the notification of the CMAS message, a familiar command consistent with the other commands used for message handling on the mobile device is recommended. It is best to avoid requiring the subscribers to remember and use a unique command or command sequence. The need to scroll or manipulate the device should be minimized.

5.5.2.5 CMAS-Related Print and Online Materials

As important to the accessibility of the CMAS is the accessibility of any CMAS-related consumer information in print or electronic form. Providing information that incorporates accessibility solutions for individuals with special needs may also bring benefits to the general population, not just users with disabilities, as studies of multimodal learning have shown. Listed here are a variety of available resources that present solutions to accessibility obstacles in formats designed to easily educate and assist publishers. The Web Accessibility Initiative (WAI) develops strategies, guidelines, and resources to help make the Web accessible to people with disabilities. The following WAI resources are intended to provide basic information for people who are new to Web accessibility. The W3C—World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) Web Content Accessibility Guidelines are available at *http://www.w3.org/WAI/*.

The principles of universal design—designing to meet the needs of as many users as possible—provide a new dimension for improving the usability of electronic materials for everyone. The Carl and Ruth Shapiro Family National Center for Accessible Media at WGBH developed Accessible Digital Media Design Guidelines for Electronic Publications, Multimedia and the Web, available at *http://ncam.wgbh.org/publications/adm/*.

The above resources are provided for informational purposes to ensure the accessibility of all CMAS related print and web content. It is not the intent of the CMSAAC to make recommendations for existing web content or web content not associated with CMAS.

For future multimedia capabilities, if web content is delivered to the mobile device, consideration should be given to the proposed World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) Mobile Web Best Practices 1.0.

5.5.3 Subscriber CMA Opt-Out Recommendations

As stated in the WARN Act, the CMA subscriber opt out process may be supported by a CMSP that elects to transmit.

○ Opt-out is defined in Section 602(b)(2)(E) in the WARN Act as ''the capability of preventing the subscriber's device from receiving such alerts, or classes of such alerts, other than an alert issued by the President''.[12]

○ ''Receiving such alerts'' may also be interpreted to ''notify and display to the user of such alerts'' as the mobile device may actually receive the alert but not present it to the subscriber.

As noted in Section 5.1 above, there are three classes of CMAS Message categories:

1. Presidential-level
2. Imminent threat to life and property
3. Child Abduction Emergency or ''AMBER Alert''

Presidential-level messages must always be transmitted and are not eligible for the opt-out procedure. Imminent Threat alerts are messages where the CAP severity field is Extreme or Severe, the CAP urgency field is Immediate or Expected, and the CAP certainty field is Observed or Likely. AMBER Alert messages are considered a different message classification and are treated separately.

The CMSAAC recommends that CMSPs shall offer their subscribers a simple opt-out process that is based on the classification of imminent threat and AMBER Alerts. Except for presidential messages, which are always transmitted, the process should allow the choice to opt-out of:

- All messages,[13]
- All severe messages,[14]
- AMBER Alerts [15]

Because of differences in the way CMSPs and device manufacturers provision their menus and user interfaces, CMSPs and device manufacturers shall have flexibility on how to present the opt-out choices to subscribers.

5.6 Recommendations for CMAM Transmissions

The CMSAAC recommends that the CMAM be retransmitted into an effected area until the alert expires. This will provide the alert to those that might have missed the initial broadcast alert, e.g., been in the process of a voice call, those that might have had their mobile device turned off when the alert was issued or those that might be entering the area after the alert was issued.

[11] For more information, the American Foundation for the Blind (AFB) is an authoritative resource for accessible devices and related technology developments: *http://www.afb.org*.

[12] WARN Act § 602(b)(2)(E).

[13] Presidential messages will still be received.

[14] Extreme messages, AMBER Alerts and presidential messages will still be received (Extreme messages are those messages where the CAP severity field is Extreme, the CAP urgency field is Immediate, and the CAP certainty field is Observed or Likely).

[15] All other messages will still be received.

The interval and frequency of transmission of CMAM performed by the CMSP is based upon balancing the capabilities of the CMSP specified delivery technology and various factors, such as:

—Number of simultaneous active alerts
—Number of languages
—Mobile device battery life
—Latency from alert initiator to receipt by first mobile device
—Notification to subscribers entering alert area
—Limitations of delivery technology
—Configuration of delivery technology and mobile devices
—Impact to normal call processing.

Therefore, the CMSAAC recommends that the CMSP determine the frequency of retransmissions based upon the considerations and optimization of the above mentioned factors.

5.7 Multi-Language CMAS Alerts Recommendations

The WARN Act requires the CMSAAC to submit to the Commission recommendations ''for the technical capability to transmit emergency alerts by electing commercial mobile providers to subscribers in languages in addition to English, to the extent practical and feasible.'' [16]

Provision has been made in the CMAS architecture to support language extensions, for example the C interface contains fields to identify language and character encoding (see Section 10.4, below). Such extensions are reserved for a time at which the engineering impact of additional language sets is understood. The biennial review committee shall continue to study the feasibility of broadcasting alerts in languages other than English.

It is recognized that there is a strong desire for the CMAS to support Spanish in addition to English. A CMSP may choose to transmit alerts received in languages other than English based on the capabilities of the technology the CMSP has deployed to support CMAS alerts, the capabilities of the mobile device, the CMSP's policy, and the definition of the single unified Federal policy for the support of alerts in multiple languages. In addition, the Alert Gateway would need to be able to generate CMAM in multiple languages.

The CMSAAC recommends that CMSPs not be required to give notification in its election to transmit alerts, at point of sale or through any other means, or to the CMSP's subscriber base for not supporting the transmission in languages other than English.

A fundamental requirement for the optional support of languages other than English is that the CMAM must be delivered to the CMSP in the language that it is to be delivered and in the CMAS format. At the current time, there shall be no language translation in the CMSP network or in the mobile device. This requirement should be reviewed as technology improvements are developed.

The CMSAAC has analyzed the technical feasibility of supporting multilanguage CMAS alerts on the various delivery technologies and has determined that support of languages other than English is a very complex issue. Fundamentally the existing air interfaces of CMSPs have technical limitations and the support of multiple languages may result in a significant impact to capacity and latency due to these limitations.

In addition, an important question is how many languages should be considered? On a National basis, only Spanish exceeds 1% of households. On a local basis, however, there are potentially more than 37 languages that exceed 1% of households which would require more than 16 different character sets to be supported in the mobile device. This raises issues such as character set limitations, the amount of CMAS alert message traffic that would need to be delivered in multi-languages, bandwidth limitations, increased cost and complexity, mobile device capabilities and deployment impacts. Additional character sets to support multiple languages also will potentially limit the amount of data that can be transmitted; for example, some character sets require 2 Bytes per character versus 1 Byte per character, and thus 90 characters available in the text profile for a CMAM now reduces the text message to 45 characters. Additional languages increase the cost and complexity both in the mobile device and in the CMSP network. At the present time, the CMSAAC believes there are fundamental technical problems to reliably implement any languages in addition to English.

5.8 CMAS Reception Control on Mobile Devices

CMAS reception control is required where subscribers and/or CMSPs should be allowed to control the reception of CMAS alerts via control of the delivery technology (e.g., broadcast) on a CMAS-capable mobile device. The CMSAAC recognizes the WARN Act requirements of not being able to opt-out of Presidential messages. However, the primary justifications for allowing a subscriber and/or CMSP to control the CMAS delivery technology capabilities on the mobile devices include:

a. Providing the ability of not presenting CMAS alert messages to users that may not understand or may experience undue alarm such as parents wanting to suppress this service for young children or the elderly.

b. Disabling the broadcast capability when traveling to locations where the CMAS services are not desired or not supported and thus preserving battery life in normal circumstances.

c. In the presence of the CMSP radio signal, potential savings on battery life, which may be critical in an emergency or disaster situation especially where power is not available to recharge the mobile device.

d. Disabling the broadcast capability for mobile devices that are being used for special applications where the CMAS service is not applicable such as a backup notification method for in-home security systems.

e. Being able to disable the broadcast capability for CMSPs that elect not to transmit alerts in whole or in part.

Based upon the above, the CMSAAC recommends:

1. The CMSP will have the capability to enable or disable the broadcast capabilities or CMAS functionality on any of their associated mobile devices. This capability is under CMSP control mechanisms such as mobile device provisioning, and the CMSP shall be required to give notification to the subscribers as defined in Section 3.4 above.

2. The mobile device user may have the capability on their mobile device to disable the delivery technology for the CMAS alert messages. The execution of this capability by the subscriber shall require confirmation of the action by the subscriber and there are no additional CMSP notification requirements as described in Section 3.4 above.

5.9 Roaming

The CMSAAC recommends that roaming be supported only on an intra-technology basis. For example:

1. Roaming GSM subscribers receive CMAS alerts from GSM operators in the serving market.

2. Roaming CDMA subscribers receive CMAS alerts from CDMA operators in the serving market.

3. If a CMSP elects not to support CMAS alerts, subscribers from other CMSP will not receive CMAS alert messages when roaming onto that CMSP's network.

4. If a CMSP elects not to support CMAS alerts and subscribers from that CMSP roam onto another CMSP network which does support CMAS alerts, that roaming subscriber will receive CMAS alert messages only if their mobile device is configured to receive CMAS alert messages with the delivery technology of roamed-to CMSP network.

5. Inbound roamers may be supported if the mobile device is configured for, is eligible to receive and is technically capable of receiving CMAS alert messages with the delivery technology of the serving CMSP network.

6 SERVICE PROFILES

The CMAS architecture and recommendations are based upon the principles of service profiles. Commercial mobile operators may utilize any broadcast technology to the mobile devices which comply with the service profiles. The following service profiles are defined

- Text Profile
- Streaming Audio Profile (future capability)
- Streaming Video Profile (future capability)
- Downloaded Multimedia Profile (future capability)

The CMSAAC recommends that each CMAS alert sent to the CMSP Gateway contain, at a minimum, the attributes for the text profile. Optionally, there may be multiple streaming audio, streaming video, and/or downloaded multimedia attributes associated with the CMAS alert sent to the CMSP Gateway.

Specifically, the following will be the service profiles associated with a CMAS alert sent to the CMSP Gateway:

- One Text Profile
- Zero or more Streaming Audio Profiles
- Zero or more Streaming Video Profiles

[16] WARN Act § 603(c)(4)).

• Zero or more Downloaded Multimedia Profiles

The following section provides general recommendations and conclusions on text, audio, video, and multimedia resources.

6.1 Conclusions on Text, Audio, Video & Multimedia Resources

1. The CMSAAC recommends that the formats for future streaming audio, streaming video, and multimedia be defined at point where implementation and deployment of these technologies have reached a point where a standard set of formats can be identified, e.g., at the initial biennial review described in Section 5 above. The CMSAAC also recommends that the alert initiation systems do not implement any coding formats for these types of resources until the full impact to the end-to-end CMAS system is understood.

2. The CMAS service profiles for text, audio, video, and multimedia messages are for the transmission of text data, audio files, video files, and multimedia files and not for the presentation of real-time content.

3. The CMSP networks are outside the scope of the Trust Model of the government alerting infrastructure.

4. The Alert Gateway is responsible for collecting and assembling all text, audio, video, and multimedia components of the CMAS messages to be given to the CMSPs for transmission.

a. If the CAP message includes a Resource Element that includes an URI, it is not expected that the CMSPs will be required to retrieve the file specified by the URI. Rather, the Alert Gateway will retrieve the associated file during the collection and assembly process for the CMAS alert message for retrieval by the CMSPs.

b. Any audio, video, and multimedia files collected for the CMAS alert messages must be provided to the CMSPs in a standard set of formats.

5. The CMSAAC recommends that the government alerting infrastructure be aligned with the capabilities and requirements as defined under the CMAS.

a. The above referenced initial CMAS service profiles are not capable of providing real-time multimedia broadcasts including a Presidential audio alert.

6.2 Text Profile

Support of the text profile is the minimum requirement for any CMSP which elects to support CMAS.

This information is passed from the Alert Gateway to the CMSP Gateway and may include attributes that are generated by the CMAS alert originator.

TABLE 6–1.—TEXT PROFILE

[Service profile: Text_universal_service_profile]

Attribute name	Attribute definition	Note
Purpose	Common denominator for text messages.	
Maximum Payload Size	120 bytes (As noted in Section 5.3.1, the biennial review committee shall review whether the character limit profile may be increased.).	Size is estimated.
Maximum Displayable Message Size.	90 characters for an English language CMA encoded with 7-bit encoding. (As noted in Section 5.3.1, the biennial review committee shall review whether the character limit profile may be increased.).	Languages other than English, or coding other then 7-bit coding, will result in a change to the maximum number of characters supported.
Data Coding Scheme	UTF–8 as defined in IETF RFC–3629	The text on the C interface is provided in UTF–8 format which is capable of supporting text in English and other languages. It is the responsibility of the CMSP Gateway to translate to any character format encoding required by the CMSP selected delivery technology.

6.3 Streaming Audio Profile (Future Capability)

The streaming audio profile defines the attributes for the support of streaming audio based CMAS alerts. Support of the streaming audio profile is optional for any CMSP which elects to support CMAS and is dependent on the technology selected by the CMSP and mobile device capabilities.

This information is passed from the Alert Gateway to the CMSP Gateway and may include attributes that are generated by the CMAS alert originator.

TABLE 6–2.—STREAMING AUDIO PROFILE

[Service profile: Streaming_audio_service_profile]

Attribute name	Attribute definition	Note
Purpose	Define service profile for streaming audio messages.	
Maximum size	Based upon the authorized government entity policy	Size of the streaming audio file is dependent on the file type and encoding algorithms. Size of CMAS alerts with streaming audio components are much larger than text based CMAS alerts and, therefore, could have greater impact to bandwidth requirements, message latency, etc.
C Interface Data Coding Scheme.	Identification of the standard format of the streaming audio file being retrieved by the CMSP Gateway.	See reference model.
C interface Audio File Reference.	Issue of audio file transmissions remains to be addressed.	The contents of this attribute are based upon the streaming audio file being pulled by the CMSP Gateway from the Alert Gateway.

6.4 Streaming Video Profile (Future Capability)

The streaming video profile defines the attributes for the support of streaming video based CMAS alerts. Support of the streaming video profile is optional for any CMSP which elects to support CMAS and is dependent on the technology selected by the CMSP and mobile device capabilities.

This information is passed from the Alert Gateway to the CMSP Gateway and may include attributes that are generated by the CMAS alert originator.

TABLE 6–3.—VIDEO PROFILE

[Service profile: Streaming_video_service_profile]

Attribute name	Attribute definition	Note
Purpose	Define service profile for streaming video alert messages.	
Maximum Size	Based upon the authorized government entity policy	Size of the streaming video file is dependent on the file type and encoding algorithms. Size of CMAS alerts with streaming video components are much larger than text based CMAS alert messages and, therefore, could have greater impact to bandwidth requirements, message latency, etc.
C Interface Data Coding Scheme.	Identification of the standard format of the streaming video file being retrieved by the CMSP Gateway.	See reference model.
C Interface Video File Reference.	Issue of video file transmissions remains to be addressed.	The contents of this attribute are based upon the streaming video file being pulled by the CMSP Gateway from the Alert Gateway.

6.5 Downloaded Multimedia Profile (Future Capability)

The downloaded multimedia profile defines the attributes for the support of CMAS alerts with multimedia files (e.g., graphics, photos, maps, animation) which are to be downloaded to the mobile device. Support of the downloaded multimedia profile is optional for any CMSP which elects to support CMAS and is dependent on the technology selected by the CMSP and mobile device capabilities. The multimedia files for download to the mobile device are distributed using broadcast mechanisms instead of point-to-point mechanisms based upon by the CMSP selected technology.

This information is passed from the Alert Gateway to the CMSP Gateway and may include attributes that are generated by the CMAS alert originator.

TABLE 6–4.—DOWNLOADED MULTIMEDIA PROFILE

[Service profile: Downloaded_multimedia_service_profile]

Attribute name	Attribute definition	Note
Purpose	Define service profile for CMAS alerts with multimedia files for download to the mobile device.	
Maximum Size	Based upon the authorized government entity policy	Size of the multimedia file for download is dependent on the file type and encoding algorithms. Size of CMAS alerts with multimedia components for download to the mobile device are much larger than text based CMAS alert messages and, therefore, could have greater impact to bandwidth requirements, message latency, etc.
C Interface Data Coding Scheme.	Identification of the standard format of the multimedia file being retrieved by the CMSP Gateway.	See reference model.
C Interface Multimedia File Reference.	Issue of multimedia file transmissions remains to be addressed.	The contents of this attribute are based upon the multimedia file being pulled by the CMSP Gateway from the Alert Gateway.

7 Mobile Device Functionality for CMAS Alerts

This section describes the impact to the mobile devices for the support of CMAS alerts and organized into the following topics:

- General Requirements of Mobile Device Functionality
- Mobile Device Audio Attention Signal & Vibration Cadence Recommendations
- CMAS Functionality on Mobile Device
- Impact to Mobile Device Battery Life

7.1 General Requirements of Mobile Device Functionality

The CMSAAC recommends that the CMSP and the mobile device vendors have the flexibility in the design and implementation of mobile devices in order to take the maximum advantages of advances in mobile device technologies and to account for the evolution of mobile devices and the capabilities of the future. The CMSAAC further recommends that:

1. Mobile device behavior is outside the scope of the WARN Act and, therefore, is not subject to recommendations by the CMSAAC.
2. There be a common audio attention signal and a common vibration alert cadence for CMAM. (See Section 7.2 below.)
3. The functionality and features of the mobile device after the receipt of the CMAM (e.g., message storage, message expiration, alert presentation visual interface, and user acknowledgment to the mobile device of alert messages) will be CMSP and mobile device specific.
4. Legacy deployed mobile devices may not be supported. At a minimum, new CMAS functionality is needed on future mobile devices.
   a. New mobile devices will be introduced by normal market mobile device lifecycle replacement.
   b. Some legacy pager devices may be able to be updated with over the air programming.
5. Distribution of the CMAS alert messages to the CMSP's subscribers will be unidirectional from the CMSP network to the mobile device of the subscriber. There will not be any acknowledgement or confirmation of receipt from the mobile device.
6. CAP messages will not be delivered to mobile devices of the subscribers.

7.2 Mobile Device Audio Attention Signal & Vibration Cadence Recommendations

Currently most Americans are familiar with the current EAS audio attention signals on radios and televisions which have been in use since the 1960s. Reproduction of this audio attention signal on mobile devices is the most recognizable method to notify the American public of CMAS alert message.

The EAS uses a two tone system for audio alerts which is a combination of 853Hz and 960Hz sine waves. For devices capable of supporting dual tone EAS audio attention signals, the CMAS audio attention signal should sound as close to the EAS audio attention signals as can be feasibility achieved with the capabilities of the mobile devices.

The single tone for the NOAA warning alarm tone for NOAA Weather Radios and commercial broadcast stations is 1050Hz. EAS audio attention signals on commercial broadcast stations are 8 to 25 seconds in duration and the NOAA warning alarm tone is 8 to 10 seconds.

The CMSAAC recommends that temporal patterns of the CMAS audio attention signal should be supported if technologically feasible. The recommended temporal pattern of the CMAS audio attention signal is one long tone of approximately 2 seconds followed by two short tones of approximately 1 second each with approximately ½ second gap between tones. The entire sequence is repeated twice with approximately ½ second between repetitions. Temporal patterns of the CMAS audio attention signal are mobile device manufacturer specific.

For devices that have polyphonic capabilities, the CMSAAC recommends that the audio attention signal consist of the two EAS tones. For devices which have only single frequency alert tone capability, it is recommended that the CMAS audio attention signal be in the low frequency range below 2 kHz.

The CMSAAC recommends that the vibration cadence for the CMAS alert signal be noticeably different from the default cadence of the mobile device and should be similar to the temporal pattern of the audio attention signal and is mobile device manufacturer specific.

If both CMAS audio and vibration cadence alerts are available, the two modes do not need to be activated simultaneously but will follow the user's settings in the mobile device; if the mobile device supports dual activation the signals will be simultaneous according to user settings, but otherwise will be separate signals.

The CMSAAC recommends that neither the CMAS audio attention signal nor the vibration cadence provided by the CMSP for the CMAS alert should be selectable by the subscriber for any mobile device functions. However, the CMSAAC acknowledges that there is no way to prevent the subscriber from downloading a ring tone that emulates the CMAS audio attention signal.

The CMSAAC recommended that the CMAS audio attention signal and the associated vibration cadence shall not be used for any application other than CMAS. The CMSAAC further recommended that the CMAS audio attention signal and the associated vibration cadence should be protected via copyright and/or trademarks and should be available for appropriate use on free and non-discriminatory basis.

7.3 CMAS Functionality on Mobile Device

This section contains the CMSAAC's conclusions and recommendations regarding the CMAS functionality on the mobile device that would be needed to support CMAS alerts.

1. If the end user has muted the mobile device audio and alarms, the CMAS audio attention signal will not be activated upon receipt of a CMAS alert.

2. If the end user has deselected or turned off the vibration capabilities of the mobile device, the special emergency alert vibration cadence will not be activated upon receipt of a CMAS alert.

3. If the end user has both muted the mobile device audio and alarms and has deselected or turned off the vibration capabilities of the mobile device, neither the CMAS audio attention signal nor the special emergency alert vibration cadence will be activated upon receipt of a CMAS alert.

4. Subject to the limitations of the CMSP selected broadcast technologies and the mobile devices, the presentation of the received CMAS alert message should take priority over other mobile device functions except for the preemption of an active voice or data session.

5. If the end user does not acknowledge the CMAS alert to the mobile device, the mobile device should support the capability to activate and deactivate the CMAS audio attention signal and/or should activate and deactivate the special emergency alert vibration cadence, if mobile device has vibration capabilities. The frequency and interval of the activation and deactivation of the CMAS audio attention signal and/or the special emergency alert vibration cadence is dependent on CMSP policies and mobile device capabilities.

6. In order to minimize the possibility of network congestion and false alerts, mobile devices should not support any user interface capabilities to forward received CMAS alerts, to reply to received CMAS alerts, or to copy and paste CMAS alert contents.

7. The presentation of CMAS alert messages to the subscriber on the mobile device should be distinguishable from any other types of textual messages received by the mobile device subject to mobile device capabilities.

a. Color cannot be a required method for distinguishing CMAS alert messages from other types of text messages on the mobile device since all mobile devices do not have color display capabilities.

b. Color cannot be used as the sole method for convening information. (See Section 5.5 above)

7.4 Impact to Mobile Device Battery Life

The CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Aggregator support a policy of ensuring that the aggregate CMAM rate does not adversely impact mobile device battery life.

The CMSAAC recommends that the CMSPs give consideration to modifications to network infrastructure, mobile devices and/or standards, and to the proper selection of the criteria below, in order to limit the reduction of battery life.

This analysis was limited in scope to text based messages, and does not analyze the impacts of other profiles, such as audio, video or multimedia. The delta impact on portable device battery life of text based alert messages of CMAS depends upon the following input criteria:

(a) Delivery Technology (GSM, UMTS, CDMA2000 1x, Flex, Re-Flex, etc.).

(b) Initial system network parameters before implementation of broadcast messaging.

(c) Maximum latency to deliver the message over the E interface.

(d) Retransmission interval.

(e) Number of languages supported.

(f) Number of alerts sent.

(g) Alert Duration, and number of times the portable device alerts the user.

Each technology implements text broadcast messaging differently. In addition, each technology is deployed with different hardware and software, as well as, different standards releases. During the battery life evaluation, these issues explain the wide range of reported battery life impact of text Broadcast Messaging. The battery life impact of CMAS on a state of the art deployment of infrastructure and portable devices targeting optimized battery life could be as high as 40% or more.

When using older technology or different network parameters, the impact to battery life can be quoted as a lower percentage, although battery life will be lower than the optimized solution with cell broadcast enabled.

Although there are limitations in today's implementation of Cell Broadcast, it can be utilized for transmission of Emergency Alerts. The impact to portable device battery life can be managed through careful selection of the above parameters. The high impact parameters influenced by the CMSAAC are maximum latency to deliver the message over the E interface, Retransmission interval, Number of languages supported, Number of alerts sent, and Alert Duration. With modifications to network infrastructure, mobile devices and/or standards, and proper selection of the above criteria, the reduction of battery life can be less than 10% of today's capability for monitoring the Cell Broadcast channel without sending alerts messages. These modifications could potentially adversely affect the timeline given in Section 12.2.1 below. When alert messages are sent, e.g. a disaster situation with multiple alerts sent from multiple agencies, the reduction of battery life increases proportionally to the number of messages sent and can approach up to 40% of the battery life.

To design and deploy a system with the performance described above, modifications to the portable devices, network infrastructure and/or standards are required. These changes are scheduled in the proposed timeline for deployment of CMAS.

8 Security for CMAS Alerts

8.1 Alert Interface & Aggregator Trust Model

8.1.1 Trust Model Definitions

The following definitions are offered for clarity and specificity.

- Identity—A trusted agent will verify the identity of each individual that will be requesting credentials.
- Responsibility—The individual will have the duties of issuing public alerts and warnings on behalf of their respective jurisdiction.
- Jurisdiction—The area a person has authority to send public alert and warning messages.
- Authority—Any public servant that is permitted by their jurisdiction to send a public alert and warning message.
- Capability—The nominated individual must demonstrate the knowledge of process,

content and policy pertaining to the issuance of public alerts and warnings. The minimum requirement shall be a national level computer based training course. States and or local jurisdictions may require further training if they so desire.

• Credential—A specified form of evidence that an individual has completed the verification of identity, responsibility and capability. This credential will allow the individual to send or countersign a public alert and warning message.

• Certified system—will support the Trust Model and counter-signatory function to send public alert and warning messages.

• Countersigned—A public alert and warning message must be digitally signed by two credentialed personnel for acceptance into the CMAS.

• Originator—Can be a Federal, State, Tribal, or local jurisdiction.

8.1.2 Trust Model Requirements

The CMSAAC makes the following recommendations regarding Trust Model requirements:

1. All messages will be attributed reliably to an individual sender.

2. All messages will be accepted from individuals holding a specified credential or from a certified system which required individual credentials.

3. All messages must be countersigned by a second credentialed sender. All messages not countersigned will be rejected and not be sent. The sender must be notified if the message was rejected for this reason.

4. The CMSAAC recommends that a process be established by which credentials can be certified upon demonstration of identity, responsibility and capability.

5. Identity, responsibility and capability must be recertified annually. All credentials will expire in 12 months.

6. All messages entered into the system will be logged, this log will be maintained for a reasonable period of time to support an audit.

7. The digital signatures will be bound to the message and carried from the originator to the Alert Gateway.

8. The message transport layer from the originator to the Alert Gateway will utilize an existing open non-proprietary transport standard and shall be Internet Protocol based.

8.2 Alert Gateway Security Requirements

The CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Gateway be protected against the potential for misuse such as hoax emergency alerts, illegal distribution of offensive content, Denial of Service (DoS/DDoS) attacks and SPAM. The CMSAAC recommends the following requirements to achieve the necessary level of security:

1. The Alert Gateway will be subject to and administered in a manner consistent with the Trust Model and shall be in compliance with Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 199 and FIPS 200. The Alert Gateway shall also be in compliance with security requirements for National Critical Infrastructure/Key Resources.

2. The Alert Gateway will be part of the government alert distribution network. The interface between the Alert Aggregator and the Alert Gateway shall support the Trust Model specified in Section 8.1 above. The C interface is outside the scope of the Trust Model and therefore the Alert Gateway shall support standardized authentication and authorization mechanisms to interface with the CMSP Gateways.

3. A single authorized source such as a designated government agency, or their authorized agent, will serve as the sole operator for the Alert Gateway.

4. The Alert Gateway will authenticate the source of all alert transactions. If the source cannot be authenticated, the message will not be sent and a warning issued to the Alert Gateway's monitoring system.

5. The Alert Gateway will inform the alert originator via Alert Aggregator if the CMAS message was not accepted by the CMSP Gateway.

8.3 Reference Point C Security

The CMSAAC recommends that the Reference Point C interface be IP based. Therefore the security of the Reference Point C interface should be based upon standard IP security mechanisms such as VPN tunnels and IPSEC functionality.

8.4 Reference Points D & E Security

The CMSAAC recommends that the security of the Reference Points D and E be based upon CMSP policies and upon the capabilities of the CMSP selected delivery technologies.

9 CMAS Reliability & Performance

The CMSAAC recommends that, to the extent feasible, prior to the September 2008 CMSP Election, the statistical data on peak and average alert traffic volume at least for the period October 2007 thru August 2008 be available to CMSPs to support the engineering considerations for the CMSP Gateway and air interfaces. This statistical data needs to be available at the geo-targeted areas defined in Section 5.4 above.

9.1 Alert Gateway Performance Requirements

See Annex A—Anticipated Peak & Average CMAS Traffic Volume for anticipated peak & average CMAS traffic volume. The CMSAAC makes the following recommendations regarding Alert Gateway performance requirements:

1. Based on available historical data presented to the committee, and then applying a 2X factor, it is estimated that no more than 25,000 alert messages per year will be delivered to the Alert Gateway for transmission to the various CMSPs. It is also assumed that peak rates as high as 12,000 alert messages per month and 6,000 alert messages per day are possible. For a given hour, it is also conceivable that there can be an alert for every county in the U.S. and therefore the Alert Gateway should be capable of receiving and processing 3,000 alert messages per hour and a peak rate of 30 alert messages per second.

2. The Alert Gateway will have capabilities to monitor the system utilization for capacity planning purposes and it shall be scalable to accommodate the need for additional capacity.

3. The Alert Gateway will provide a transmission control mechanism to buffer the CMAM traffic upon receiving an overload warning from the CMSP Gateway.

4. The Alert Gateway will provide the capability for a CMSP or CMSP Gateway to temporarily disable the transmission of all CMAMs to the CMSP Gateway. While CMAM delivery to CMSP Gateway has been stopped, the Alert Gateway shall establish an alert queue for the specific CMSP Gateway.

a. The CMSP Gateway will notify the Alert Gateway to stop sending CMAM using an error response as described in Section 10.4.6 below. Once the error condition has cleared, the CMSP Gateway will notify the Alert Gateway to restart CMAM delivery and retry delivery of CMAMs in the queue if the CMAMs have not expired.

b. The authorized government entity which manages the Alert Gateway will establish a process where an authorized CMSP representative can provide notification of a planned or unplanned outage of a CMSP Gateway and during that outage period, CMAMs are not delivered from the Alert Gateway to that specific CMSP Gateway. During a planned or unplanned outage, the ability to support test message across the Reference Point C interface will be supported as defined in Section 10.4 below.

5. If the CMAM delivered over the Reference Point C interface was rejected by a CMSP Gateway due to congestion or temporary transient error conditions, the Alert Gateway will establish an alert queue for the specific CMSP Gateway and retry delivering it to the CMSP Gateway by a configurable interval, *e.g.* every 30 seconds, if the CMAM has not expired.

6. There are two logical queues per CMSP Gateway, one logical queue for Presidential alerts and another logical queue for all other CMAMs. The processing of the Presidential queue takes priority over the non-Presidential queue.

7. If an alert queue exists for a CMSP Gateway, all incoming alerts shall be placed into the queue based upon the time the CMAM was received by the Alert Gateway.

8. The Alert Gateway will support separate alert queues for each CMSP Gateway so that queuing for one or more CMSP Gateway shall not affect alerts delivery to all other CMSP Gateways.

9. The Alert Gateway will be designed to have the service availability of 99.999%.

10. System performance will be monitored in real-time 24 hours a day seven days a week to ensure all levels of service are met and/or exceeded.

9.2 Alert Delivery Latency

The CMSAAC recommends that, since latency will require experience in deployment, end-to-end latency requirements be addressed in the biennial review.

The CMSAAC recognizes the importance of delivering CMAMs as quickly as possible from the alert initiators to the transmission within the alert area. The CMSAAC also recognizes that there are operational characteristics of the CMSP Infrastructure which impact CMAM delivery latency. These operational characteristics include the following factors:

—Mobile device battery life impact
—Call processing impact

—Capabilities of the delivery technology
—Message queues
—Number of languages
—Number of targeted cell sites/paging transceivers for the alert area
—Geo-targeting processing

It is difficult to predict or model systems that have not been designed, built, or deployed.

9.3 CMAS End-to-End Reliability

The CMSAAC recommends that CMAS system reliability from alert initiation to the transmission of the CMAM over the CMSP selected delivery technology meet telecom standards for highly reliable systems.

In order to achieve, a feasible and practical level of CMAS reliability on an end-to-end basis:

• The CMSPs will process CMAS alerts on a best effort.

• The CMAS alert message may be retransmitted according to CMSP policies and the capabilities of the CMSP selected delivery technology.

Even though many components and elements of the end to end CMAS solution have high reliability, the over-all reliability of CMAS is unpredictable for the following reasons:

• RF transmissions can be subject to noise and other interference or environmental factors.

• The capabilities of the cellular environment are not predictable especially in a disaster environment. For example, it cannot be predicted which and how many cell sites will remain operational after a disaster.

• The subscriber may currently be in a location that does not have any RF signal.

• The subscriber's mobile device may not have any remaining power.

9.4 Message Logging

The CMSAAC recommends that the logs on the Alert Gateway be used to identify messages received by or rejected by the CMSP Gateway. These logs will be accessible by the alert originators and by the CMSPs. These logs will be the only required audit methods for the determination of which CMAS messages were sent to the CMSPs.

The CMSAAC further recommends that, upon receipt of an alert, the CMSP Gateway will respond back to the Alert Gateway with an acknowledgment that the alert message was received or rejected. Message logging on the CMSP Gateway is a function of the system performance part of the Commercial Mobile CMSP's business, and will not be an audit trail.

The CMSAAC recommends that there be no requirements for the CMSP to retain logs for any period of time.

9.4.1 Alert Gateway Logging

The CMSAAC makes the following recommendations regarding Alert Gateway logging:

1. The Alert Gateway will maintain a log of messages with time-stamps that verify when messages are received from the Alert Aggregator and when the messages are acknowledged or rejected by the CMSP Gateway. The log for rejected messages will include error codes for rejection as specified in Section 10.4.6 below.

2. The Alert Gateway will maintain an online log of active and cancelled alert messages for 90 days.

3. The Alert Gateway will maintain archived logs for a minimum of 36 months.

4. The Alert Gateway will provide CMSPs access to online messaging logs and archived logs for testing and troubleshooting purposes.

5. The Alert Gateway will generate monthly system and performance statistics reports based on CMA alerting category, alerting originator, alerting area and other alerting attributes.

6. The Alert Gateway will provide the capability for a CMSP to temporarily disable the transmission of all CMAMs to the CMSP Gateway. This event will be captured in the log file. Cancellation of the event should be noted in the log file as well.

9.5 CMAS Testing

End-to-end testing of the CMAS is defined to be testing from the Alert Initiator to the CMSP Gateway. This testing will verify the A, B, and C reference points, as well as the function of the Alert Aggregator, Alert Gateway, and CMSP Gateway. It is undesirable to send test messages over the CMSP infrastructure to the mobile devices as these messages could cause considerable confusion to the end user, as well as utilizing CMSP network resources.

Using real event codes for testing purposes poses the risk of unintentionally alarming and confusing recipients. For this reason, and to insure that a test message does not propagate to the CMSP subscriber base, the CMSAAC recommends that all end-to-end testing be indicated using the CAP status element with a value of ''test'', which shall be mapped to a test message over Reference Point C. Upon receipt of a test message, the CMSP Gateway will respond with an acknowledgment of receipt of the message and log receipt of the message according to CMSP policy.

The CMSAAC recommends that the CMSP Gateway support receiving a test message from the Alert Gateway for testing Reference Point C. This test message shall not be delivered to the CMSP Infrastructure nor broadcast to subscribers.

The CMSAAC recommends that the CMSP Gateway support the receipt and processing of Alert Gateway keep-alive test messages periodically. The frequency shall be configurable based on policy to be determined by the authorized government entity and the CMSPs.

The CMSAAC recommends that the keep-alive test messages not be sent if there are real messages to be sent.

9.5.1 General CMAS Testing Recommendations

An important part of a successful CMAS will be the ability to effectively test and troubleshoot the various components and interfaces.

The CMSAAC recommends that this test and troubleshooting capability be integrated into the architecture and protocol of the CMAS up front, to maximize effectiveness.

The CMSAAC recommends the following primary aspects of CMAS Testing and Troubleshooting capability to allow thorough testing and troubleshooting of the end-to-end CMAS without wearying the public:

1. Provision for testing of the CMAS, including the delivery mechanisms, without requiring all subscribers to see a test message.

a. This might be accomplished by providing signaling in the application layer which indicates a test message—which would not be displayed by 'normal terminals', but could be displayed by 'test terminals'. CMSPs could configure which devices were 'test terminals'.

b. Provide the ability to send test messages to a single CMSP/network without impact to other CMSPs.

c. Provide the ability to test the CMAS up to the CMSP Gateway without impacting the CMSP infrastructure.

2. Provide CMSP access to the CMAM logs from the Alert Gateway.

3. Messages used for testing purposes shall be clearly differentiated from messages for actual events.

9.5.2 Alert Gateway Testing

The CMSAAC recommends that the Alert Gateway support several types of testing:

a. Functional testing for the C interface (not expected to be sent to the subscribers)

b. Connection testing for new CMSP

The CMSAAC further recommends the following requirements for Alert Gateway testing:

1. The Alert Gateway will support initiating a test message for each service profile implemented for Reference Point C upon request by a particular CMSP. The test message will only be sent to a specific CMSP Gateway. The message will not be broadcast to subscribers.

2. The Alert Gateway will support initiating a test message for each service profile implemented for Reference Point C for all CMSP Gateways. The message will not be broadcast to subscribers.

3. The Alert Gateway will support keep-alive test messages periodically over the C interface. The frequency will be configurable based on policy to be determined by the authorized government entity and the CMSPs. The keep-alive test messages will not be sent if there are real messages to be sent.

4. All test messages for the C interface will be clearly marked and identified as test messages.

10 Interface Protocols for CMAS Alerts

The following two interfaces are applicable for the support of CMAS alerts in the CMSP networks:

• Alert Gateway—CMSP Interface which is Reference Point C.

• CMSP—Mobile Device Interface for CMAS alert content which is Reference Point E.

Both of these interfaces are defined in this section.

10.1 Reference Point A Protocol

The CMSAAC recommends that Reference Point A interface requirements consist of the following:

1. The message sent to the Alert Aggregator must consist of one of the following:

a. A valid CAP 1.1 message with all mandatory elements.

- Message ID
- Sender ID
- Sent Date/Time
- Message Status
- Message Type
- Scope
- Event Category
- Urgency
- Severity
- Certainty
- Resource Description
- Area Description—A FIPS geo-code, a polygon or circle (WGS–84 format) will be used to support the area description.

2. The Alert Aggregator will provide a mechanism to validate the identity of the individual sending the message to allow non-repudiation.

3. The implementer of the Alert Aggregator will provide a documented, non-proprietary, specification for transport that will support appropriate security and reliability.

10.2 Reference Point B Protocol

The CMSAAC recommends that Reference Point B interface requirements consist of the following:

1. The implementer of the Alert Gateway will provide a documented non-proprietary specification for the B interface which will support appropriate security and reliability.

10.3 Alert Gateway Interfaces & Mapping Requirements

10.3.1 Alert Gateway Interface Requirements

The CMSAAC recommends the following requirements for the Alert Gateway interfaces:

1. The Alert Gateway will support an open, non-proprietary interface to the Alert Aggregator (e.g. IP).

2. The Alert Gateway will initially support CAP v1.1 as the application layer protocol for communicating with the Alert Aggregator.

3. The Alert Gateway will uniquely identify each CMSP Gateway identified by a unique IP address or domain name.

4. The Alert Gateway will support the ''C'' interface protocol as defined in Section 10.4 below.

5. The Alert Gateway will support all CMAM formats that can be delivered to CMSP Gateway.

6. The Alert Gateway will support the common service profile formats as referred to in Section 6 above for text, audio, video and multimedia transmission of alert messages to the CMSP Gateways.

7. The Alert Gateway will support receiving acknowledgment from the CMSP Gateway that the CMAM has been received or rejected by the CMSP Gateway.

8. If any mandatory parameter/attribute is not included in the CAP message sent over the B interface, the Alert Gateway will use a default parameter value if available, or reject the CAP message if a default parameter value is not available.

10.3.2 Alert Gateway Interface Mapping Requirements

The Alert Gateway will map the CMAMs received in CAP format into the CMAC format supported by the CMSP Gateway.

1. If eventCode = ''EAN'', the CMAM will be handled as a Presidential Alert. The Alert Gateway will not forward messages with eventCode = ''EAT'' or ''NIC'' to the CMSP Gateway.

2. The Alert Gateway will deliver CMAMs using the same language as issued by the alert originator and will not do language translation as a gateway function.

3. Each CMAM will only include one language. The CMA issued in multiple languages will be issued by separate messages.

4. All CMAM alert, update and cancellation messages will come only from the alert originators, including Presidential Alert. The Alert Gateway will pass these messages to the CMSP Gateway. The Alert Gateway is not required to generate alerts, alert updates and/or cancellations.

5. The Alert Gateway will not alter the content of text alert messages, with the exception of

a. If CAP expires is not available, the default parameter value of one hour shall be used.

b. Constructing the text alert message using CAP elements such as category, eventCode and responseType. The algorithm for constructing the text alert message is described in Section 5.3 above.

6. For Presidential Alert, the Alert Gateway will use the following CAP elements to construct the message:

a. Use CAP parameter (with valueName = CMAMtext), if available and less than the maximum CMA message length limit. If not, then

b. Use Alert Gateway generated automatic text: ''The President has issued an emergency alert. Check local media for more details.''

7. For AMBER Alert, the Alert Gateway will use the following CAP elements to construct the message:

a. Use CAP parameter (with valueName = CMAMtext), if available and less than the maximum CMA message length limit. If not, the Alert Gateway will reject the message.

8. For alerts other than the Presidential Alert or AMBER Alert, the Alert Gateway will support free-format text generation or automatic text generation.

9. For free-format text generation, the Alert Gateway will use the CAP parameter (with valueName = CMAMtext) to construct the message. If the CAP parameter (with valueName = CMAMtext) is not available or exceeds the maximum CMA message length limit, the Alert Gateway will reject the message.

10. For automatic text generation, the Alert Gateway will support the following rules to construct the message:

a. What's happening: The Alert Gateway will use the expanded text as defined in Table 5.1 for the CAP eventCode element if available. If eventCode is not provided, the Alert Gateway will use the expanded text as defined in Table 5.1 for the CAP category element.

b. Area Affected: The Alert Gateway will use the phrase ''in this area''.

c. Recommended action: The Alert Gateway will use the CAP responseType element if available. If responseType is not provided, the Alert Gateway will not include this information.

d. Area Affected: The Alert Gateway will use the phrase ''in this area''.

e. Expiration time with time zone: The Alert Gateway will translate the time according to Table 5.1 for the CAP expires element if provided. The Alert Gateway will use the time zone provided in the CAP expires element or may use the time zone in the affected area. If not provided, the Alert Gateway will use one hour from the current time as a default. If the affected area has more than one time zone, the Alert Gateway will use one of the time zones.

f. Sending Agency: The Alert Gateway will translate it according to Table 5.1 for the CAP sender element. The translated sending agency should not exceed the maximum length of 12 characters in order to fit into the maximum CMA message length limit. The translated sending agency will be truncated to 12 characters if it causes the constructed message to exceed the maximum CMA message length limit.

11. If the CAP message received by the Alert Gateway is not formatted correctly, the Gateway will reject the message and inform the alert originator.

12. If a CAP message contains multiple INFO blocks with the same headline but different area elements, the Alert Gateway will collapse it into a single CMAM with a single INFO block and multiple area elements before sending it to the CMSP Gateway.

13. If a CAP message contains multiple INFO blocks with the different headlines, the Alert Gateway will create separate CMAM with each INFO block. The Alert Gateway will process the INFO blocks in the order contained in the CAP message.

14. The Alert Gateway will not do translations of the character sets.

15. The Geo-mapping of targeted area (cell sites) will be the responsibility of CMSPs and not a function of the Alert Gateway.

16. The Alert Gateway will provide the geo-targeting information over Reference Point C in accordance with the CMSP profile stored within the Alert Gateway.

17. The Alert Gateway will provide Geocode as specified in Section 10.4 below to the CMSP Gateway.

18. The Alert Gateway will translate latitude/longitude coordinates into appropriate State or County Geocode if no State or County Geocode is provided by the alert originator.

19. The Alert Gateway will not be required to translate State or County Geocode into latitude/longitude coordinates.

20. The Alert Gateway will specify an agreed upon maximum number of latitude/longitude coordinates per polygon to be sent to the CMSP Gateway.

21. If Geocode, polygon or circle is not provided for a Presidential Alert, the Alert Gateway will use ''Nation wide'' by default.

22. If Geocode, polygon or circle is not provided for any non-presidential alert or update, the Alert Gateway will reject the message and return an error to the alert originator.

23. For audio, video and multi-media CMAMs, if the CAP message includes the associated files, the Alert Gateway will

a. Re-format, if necessary, the associated files into standardized format as specified in the associated service profile (see Section 6 above).

b. Store the associated files on the Alert Gateway to be retrieved by the CMSP Gateways.

c. Send the message with proper URL so that CMSP Gateways can retrieve the files if they so choose.

24. For audio, video and multi-media CMAMs, if the CAP message includes only the URL but not the associated files, the Alert Gateway will

a. Retrieve the associated files from the URL in the CAP message.

b. Re-format, if necessary, the associated files into standardized format as specified in the associated service profile (see Section 6 above).

c. Store the associated files on the Alert Gateway to be retrieved by the CMSP Gateway.

d. Send the message with proper URL so that CMSP Gateway can retrieve the files if they so choose.

25. The Alert Gateway, via Reference Point C, will always provide the CMSP Gateway, the CMAC_geocode as defined in Section 10.4 below. Additionally, if available, the Alert Gateway will provide one or more of the following parameters to identify the alert area: CMAC_polygon, CMAC_circle or CMAC_gnis format.

26. The Alert Gateway will be responsible to generate the CMAC geocode(s) corresponding to the alert area from the CAP ''area'' element. The CMAC geocode(s) corresponding to the alert area will be generated from either the area described by the polygon or circle, conversion of the SAME code or ZIP code for the alert area, or using the FIPS value if specified in the original CAP alert message.

27. If the original CAP message does not contain a polygon, circle, or geocode, the Alert Gateway will reject the message unless the message originator was the President, in which case the alert area will be assumed Nationwide in the absence of the area information.

28. CAP will be the protocol used on the ''B'' interface to carry the CMAM into the Alert Gateway. Not all the elements and values allowed by CAP are useful for CMAMs. Also some elements are optional in CAP but required by CMAMs. The Alert Gateway will apply the following mapping and filtering rules for all the messages received via the ''B'' interface as shown in Table 10–1. The following is a description of the column shown in Table 10–1:

Column 1: Lists the CAP element.

Column 2: Lists the code values applicable to CMAMs.

Column 3: Lists the filtering and mapping rules to be used by the Alert Gateway. ''Pass'' means the element and code value will be passed from the ''B'' interface to the ''C'' interface. ''Mapped'' means the CAP element and code value will be mapped into the appropriate CMAC attribute. ''Reject'' means the Alert Gateway will reject the CAP message received from the ''B'' interface and no message will be sent over the ''C'' interface. ''Ignored'' means the CAP element is not applicable to CMAM and will be ignored by the Alert Gateway. ''Generated'' means the Alert Gateway will generate the appropriate CMAC elements and attributes.

Column 4: Lists the corresponding ''C'' interface CMAC elements as defined in Section 10.4 below.

TABLE 10–1.—PARAMETER MAPPING FROM ''B'' INTERFACE CAP MESSAGE IN TO ''C'' INTERFACE CMAC MESSAGE

CAP element	(CMA) Permitted values	Alert gateway filtering rules	CMAC element
N/A		Generated by the Alert Gateway	CMAC protocol version.
N/A		Generated by the Alert Gateway	CMAC sending Alert Gateway id.
alert	N/A	Ignored	N/A
identifier (free format)		Mapped from the free format into a 2 octet binary number.	CMAC_message_identifier (2 octet binary number).
sender		Pass	CMAC_sender.
sent		Mapped into UTC format	CMAC_sent_date_time.
status	''Actual'' ''Exercise'' ''System'' ''Test''.	Pass with permitted values; Reject message with ''Draft''.	CMAC—status.
msgType	''Alert'' ''Update'' ''Cancel'' ''Error''	Pass with permitted values; Reject message with ''Ack''.	CMAC_message_type.
source	N/A	Ignored.	
scope	''Public''	Reject message if ''Public'' is not in field..	N/A.
restriction		Reject message if this element is included.	N/A.
addresses		Reject message if this element is included.	N/A.
code		Ignored	N/A.
note		Pass	CMAC_cancel_error_node.
references		Mapped from the free format into a 2 octet binary number.	CMAC_referenced_message_identifier (2-octet binary number).
incidents	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
N/A		Generated by the Alert Gateway	CMAC_original_cap_alert_uri.
info			Ignored.
language		Pass	CMAC_text_language.
category		Mapped	CMAC_category.
event	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
responseType	All but ''Assess''	Reject message with ''Assess'' in field, pass all others.	CMAC_response_type.
urgency	''Immediate'' ''Expected''	Pass with permitted values or rejecting message with other values.	CMAC_urgency.
severity	''Extreme'' ''Severe''	Pass with permitted values or rejecting message with other values.	CMAC_severity.
certainty	''Observed'' ''Likely''	Pass with permitted values or rejecting message with other values.	CMAC_certainty.
audience	N/A	Ignored	N/A.

TABLE 10–1.—PARAMETER MAPPING FROM "B" INTERFACE CAP MESSAGE IN TO "C" INTERFACE CMAC MESSAGE—Continued

CAP element	(CMA) Permitted values	Alert gateway filtering rules	CMAC element
eventCode	"EAN" "CAE"	Map "EAN" to "Presidential"; Map "CAE" to "Child Abduction"; Map other values to "No special handling".	CMAC_special_handling.
eventCode		Mapped	CMAC_event_code.
effective	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
onset	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
expires		Passed; Reject message if already expired;. Apply default value of one hour if not provided.	CMAC_expires_date_time.
senderName		Mapped	CMAC_sender_name.
headline		Passed conditionally when eventCode= "EAN" or "CAE";. Ignored when eventCode has other values.	CMAC_text_alert_message.
description	N/A	Ignoring	CMAC_text_alert_message.
N/A	ASCII 7-bit	Ignoring	CMAC_text_encoding.
N/A	Less than 90 characters	Generated by the Alert Gateway	CMAC_text_message_length.
N/A		Generated by the Alert Gateway as specified in Section 5.5.	CMAC_text_alert_message.
instruction	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
web		Mapped to a local link on the Alert Gateway.	CMAC_web_link.
contact	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
parameter	N/A	Passed conditionally when eventCode= "EAN" or "CAE";. Passed conditionally when eventCode has other values and parameter valueName = "CMAMtext"; Ignored otherwise.	CMAC_text_alert_message.
resource	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
resourceDesc		Mapped	CMAC_resource_description.
mimeType		Mapped	CMAC_mime_type.
size		Mapped	CMAC_resource_size.
uri		Mapped to a local link on the Alert Gateway.	CMAC_uri.
derefUri	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
degest			Ignored
area	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
areaDesc		Passed	CMAC_area_description.
polygon		Passed	CMAC_polygon.
circle		Passed	CMAC_circle.
geocode		Passed, or generated based on polygon and/or circle.	CMAC_cmas_geocode.
geocode		Generated based on polygon and/or circle.	CMAC_cmas_gnis.
altitude	N/A	Ignored	N/A.
ceiling	N/A	Ignored	N/A.

29. If an incoming CAP message fails the Alert Gateway validation or filtering rules, an error message will be sent over the "B" interface to the alert originator. The error message may contain additional information in the "note" element. The "note" element in the error response to the alert originator may contain multiple error messages. The following are some examples of error responses.

a. CMA error #1: Unsupported code value of "<value>" in element "<element name>" (e.g. scope = "Private")

b. CMA error #2: Missing required element "<element name>" (e.g. element Y = eventCode)

c. CMA error #3: Unsupported element "<element name>" (e.g. element Z = restriction)

d. CMA error #4: Text message length exceeds maximum limit.

10.4 Reference Point C Protocol

The C reference point is the interface from the Alert Gateway to the CMSP Gateway. The C reference point is used to map the CAP elements into the CMSP protocol on the C reference point ("CMAC"), as follows:



Figure 10-1 Relationship of CAP Elements to Reference Point C Elements

10.4.1 Structure of the CMA ''C'' Reference Point Protocol

The CMSAAC recommends that each CMAC Alert message consist of the following segments:

—CMAC Alert Attributes segment
—CMAC Alert Info segment
—CMAC Alert Area segment
—CMAC Alert Resource segment

The CMSAAC recommends that the CMAC Alert Message document object model be as follows:



Figure 10-2 CMAC Message Structure

BILLING CODE: 6712–01–C

The CMSAAC recommends that a CMAC Alert Message must contain:

one CMAC_Alert_Attributes segment
one or more CMAC_Alert_Info segments
one or more CMAC_Alert_Area segements.

The CMAC_Resource segment is optional for future use in streaming audio, streaming video, and multimedia CMAs.

10.4.2 CMAC Data Dictionary

10.4.2.1 CMAC_Alert_Attributes Segment

TABLE 10–2.—CMAC_ALERT_ATTRIBUTES SEGMENT

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
CMAC_alert	M	(1) Surrounds CMAC alert message subelements. (2) MUST include the xmlns attribute referencing the CMAC URN as the namespace, *e.g.:* <cmac:CMAC_alert xmlns:cmac="urn:xxx:xxxxx:xx:cmac:1.0"> [sub-elements] </cmac:CMAC_alert> (3) In addition to the specified subelements, MAY contain one or more <CMAC_alert_info> blocks.
CMAC_protocol_v version	M	The version of the CMAC protocol. Used by the CMSP Gateway only. Specified by the Alert Gateway.
CMAC_sending_a alert_gateway_ id.	M	URI of the Alert Gateway sending the CMAC message. Specified by thet Alert Gateway.
CMAC_message_i identifier	M	A 2-octet binary value uniquely identifying this message, assigned by the Alert Gateway and derived from the CAP identifier element. This element is sent to the mobile device.

TABLE 10–2.—CMAC_ALERT_ATTRIBUTES SEGMENT—Continued

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
CMAC_referenced_message_ identifier.	C	A 2-octet binary value uniquely identifying a referenced CMAM, assigned by the Alert Gateway. Required for an Update, Cancel or Ack CMAC_message_type. Derived from the CAP references element.
CMAC_special_handling	O	Specifies if this alert message requires special handling. Specified by the Alert Gateway, derived from CAP elements. Code Values: ''Presidential'' ''Child Abduction'' ''No Special Handling.''
CMAC_sender	M	Identifies the originator of this alert. Used by the CMSP for logging purposes only. Alert Gateway uses the CAP sender element to populate this element.
CMAC_sent_date_time	M	The date and time the message is sent by originator in UTC in XML dateTime format. Derived from the CAP sent element.
CMAC_status	M	Alert Gateway uses the CAP status element to populate this element. Code Values: ''Actual''—Actionable by all targeted recipients. ''Exercise''—Actionable only by designated exercise participants, for CMSP use. ''System''—For messages that support alert network internal functions. In addition this is used for the ''keep alive'' message between the Alert Gateway and the CMSP Gateway. ''Test''—Technical testing of the C Reference Point only, for CMSP Gateway use only.
CMAC_message_type	M	Alert Gateway uses the CAP msgType element to populate this element. Code Values: ''Alert''—Initial information requiring attention by targeted recipients. ''Update''—Updates and supercedes the earlier message(s) identified in <CMAC_referenced_ message_identifier> ''Cancel''—Cancels the earlier message(s) identified in <CMAC_referenced_message_identifier> ''Ack''—Acknowledges receipt and acceptance of the message(s) identified in < CMAC_referenced_message_identifier > additional explanation may appear in <CMAC_note> ''Error'' indicates rejection of the message(s) identified in <CMAC_referenced_message_identifier >explanation SHOULD appear in <CMAC_note>
CMAC_note	O	Optional element. Used for CMSP logging purposes for a cancel or error message type, or to provide a response back to the Alert Gateway. Alert Gateway uses the CAP note element to populate this element on messages from the Alert Gateway to the CMSP Gateway. The CMSP Gateway uses this element on messages to the Alert Gateway.
CMAC_original_cap_alert_uri	M	This element contains the uri where the CMSP may retrieve the original complete CAP version of the alert from the Alert Gateway. Specified by the Alert Gateway.

10.4.2.2 CMAC_Alert_Info Segment

Multiple occurrences are permitted within the CAP from the alert originator; the CMSAAC recommends that each occurrence be a separate CMAM from the Alert Gateway. The CMSAAC further recommends that each language be sent as a separate CMAM with a unique message identifier. It is anticipated that a separate CMAS_Alert_Info element with associated sub-elements will be created for the CMAMs to be given to the CMSPs for broadcast via the CMSP selected technologies consistent with the requirements and procedures defined by the CMSAAC.

TABLE 10–3.—CMAC_ALERT_INFO SEGMENT

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
CMAC_alert_info		(1) Only a single occurrence is permitted within a single <CMAC_alert>. If there are multiple ''info'' segements in the original CAP message, the Alert Gateway shall format as separate CMAC messages each with a unique identifier. (2) In addition to the specified subelements, MAY contain one or more <CMAC_resource> blocks and/or one or more <CMAC_area> blocks.
CMAC_category	M	Alert Gateway uses the CAP category element to populate this element. Code Values used by CMSP Gateway only: ''Geo''—Geophysical (inc. landslide). ''Met''—Meteorological (inc. flood). ''Safety''—General emergency and public safety. ''Security''—Law enforcement, military, homeland and local/private security. ''Rescue''—Rescue and recovery. ''Fire''—Fire suppression and rescue. ''Health''—Medical and public health. ''Env''—Pollution and other environmental. ''Transport''—Public and private transportation. ''Infra''—Utility, telecommunication, other non-transport infrastructure. ''CBRNE''—Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear or High-Yield Explosive threat or attack. ''Other''—Other events.
CMAC_event_code	O	Alert Gateway uses the CAP eventCode element to populate this element. Optional element used by the CMSP Gateway only.

TABLE 10–3.—CMAC_ALERT_INFO SEGMENT—Continued

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
		A system-specific code for event typing, in the form: <CMAC_event_code>, <CMAC_valueName> valueName</CMAC_valueName>, <CMAC_value>value</CMAC_value>, </CMAC_event_code> where the content of ''CMAC_valueName'' is a user assigned string designating the domain of the code, and the content of ''value'' is a string (which may represent a number) denoting the value itself (e.g., CMAC_valueName =''SAME'' and value=''TOR''). Values of ''CMAC_valueName'' that are acronyms SHOULD be represented in all capital letters without periods (e.g., SAME). The following SAME codes are supported in CMAS: ○ Civil Danger Warning CDW ○ Civil Emergency Message CEM ○ Evacuation Immediate EVI ○ Hazardous Materials Warning HMW ○ Law Enforcement Warning LEW ○ Local Area Emergency LAE ○ Nuclear Power Plant Warning NUW ○ Radiological Hazard Warning RHW ○ Shelter in Place Warning SPW ○ Avalanche Warning AVW ○ Blizzard Warning BZW ○ Child Abduction Emergency CAE ○ Coastal Flood Warning CFW ○ Dust Storm Warning DSW ○ Earthquake Warning EQW ○ Fire Warning FRW ○ Flash Flood Warning FFW ○ Flood Warning FLW ○ High Wind Warning HWW ○ Hurricane Warning HUW ○ Severe Thunderstorm Warning SVR ○ Special Marine Warning SMW ○ Tornado Warning TOR ○ Tropical Storm Warning TRW ○ Tsunami Warning TSW ○ Volcano Warning VOW ○ Winter Storm Warning WSW
CMAC_response_type	O	Alert Gateway uses the CAP responseType element to populate this element. Code values: ''Shelter''—Take shelter in place. ''Evacuate''—Relocate. ''Prepare''—Make preparations. ''Execute''—Execute a pre-planned activity. ''Monitor''—Attend to information sources. ''Assess''—Evaluate the information in this message. (This value SHOULD NOT be used in public warning applications.). ''None''—No action recommended. Multiple instances MAY occur within a single <CMAC_info> block. This element is passed to the mobile device.
CMAC_severity	M	Alert Gateway uses the CAP severity element to populate this element. Code Values sent to the mobile device: ''Extreme''—Extraordinary threat to life or property. ''Severe''—Significant threat to life or property.
CMAC_urgency	M	Alert Gateway uses the CAP urgency element to populate this element. Code Values sent to the mobile device: ''Immediate''—Responsive action SHOULD be taken immediately. ''Expected''—Responsive action SHOULD be taken soon (within next hour).
CMAC_certainty	M	Alert Gateway uses the CAP certainty element to populate this element. Code Values sent to the mobile device: ''Observed''—Determined to have occurred or to be ongoing. ''''Likely''—Likely (probability > 50%).
CMAC_expires_date_time	M	The expiry time of the information of the alert message for use by the CMSP Gateway. The date and time is represented in UTC [dateTime] format. Maximum duration is 24 hours. Derived from the CAP expires element.
CMAC_sender_name	O	Optional element for logging purposes at the CMSP Gateway. The human-readable name of the agency or authority issuing this alert. Alert Gateway uses the CAP senderName element to populate this element.
CMAC_text_language	M	Specifies the language of the text in the CMAC_text_alert_message, for use by the mobile device. Code Values: ''English'', ''Spanish'', ''French'' (future Canada use only), ''Other''—for future use. Specified by the Alert Gateway and derived from the CAP language element.

TABLE 10–3.—CMAC_ALERT_INFO SEGMENT—Continued

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
CMAC_text_encoding	M	Specifies the data encoding scheme of the text in the CMAC_text_alert_message, for use by the mobile device. Code Values: "UTF–8". Specified by the Alert Gateway.
CMAC_text_alert_message_ length.	M	The length, in characters, of the text in the CMAC_text_alert_message. Note the number of octets in the CMAC_text_alert_message can be derived from this parameter and the CMAC_ text_encoding parameter. Specified by the Alert Gateway.
CMAC_text_alert_message	M	The text of the alert message for use by the mobile device. This field is defined by the CMAS Text Profile and may contain up to 90 English characters using a 7-bit encoding scheme. Other languages or data encoding schemes will change the number of characters supported. Specified by the Alert Gateway, which may be derived or obtained via CAP elements.
CMAC_web_link	O	Optional element for future use. The identifier of the hyperlink associating additional information with the alert message. This data must be in a domain accessible by the CMSP Gateway. Alert Gateway uses the CAP web element to populate this element.

10.4.2.3 CMAC_Area Segment

Multiple occurrences are permitted.

TABLE 10–4.—CMAC_AREA SEGMENT

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
CMAC_area	M	(1) Multiple occurrences permitted, in which case the target area for the <CMAC_alert_info> block is the union of all the included <CMAC_area> blocks. (2) MAY contain one or multiple instances of <CMAC_polygon> or <CMAC_circle>, and shall contain at least one instance of <CMAC_geocode>. If multiple <CMAC_polygon>, <CMAC_ circle> or <CMAC_geocode> elements are included, the area described by this <area> is the union of those represented by the included elements.
CMAC_area_description	M	The text describing the affected area of the alert message for use by the CMSP for logging purposes only. Alert Gateway uses the CAP areaDesc element to populate this element.
CMAC_polygon	O	Optional element. The paired values of points defining a polygon that delineates the affected area of the alert message. Alert Gateway uses the CAP polygon element to populate this element.
CMAC_circle	O	Optional element. The paired values of a point and radius delineating the affected area of the alert message. Alert Gateway uses the CAP circle element to populate this element.
CMAC_cmas_geocode	M	The CMAS-defined geographic code delineating the affected area of the alert message. This is an extension to the FIPS code (see Section 10.4.5). Alert Gateway uses the CAP geocode, polygon, circle, and/or sender elements to derive this element.
CMSC_gnis	O	Optional element. This value is the geographic code delineating the affected area of the alert message using the U.S.G.S. Geographic Names Information System (GNIS) code. Derived by the Alert Gateway.

10.4.2.4 CMAC_Resource Segment

Multiple occurrences are permitted. The CMAC_Resource segment is not used for the Text Profile but may be applicable to future streaming audio, streaming video, and multimedia alerts.

TABLE 10–5.—CMAC_R RESOURCE SEGMENT

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
CMAC_resource	O	(1) Refers to an additional file with supplemental information related to this <CMAC_alert_info> element; e.g., an image or audio file. (2) Multiple occurrences MAY occur within a single <CMAC_alert_info> block.
CMAC_resource_description	O	Optional element. The human-readable text describing the content and kind, such as "map" or "photo," of the resource file. For use by the CMSP Gateway for logging purposes only. Alert Gateway uses the CAP resourceDesc element to populate this element.
CMAC_mime_type	O	Optional element. The identifier of the MIME content type and sub-type describing the resource file. Alert Gateway uses the CAP mimeType element to populate this element.
CMAC_resource_size	O	Optional element. The integer indicating the size of the resource file. Alert Gateway uses the CAP size element to populate this element.
CMAC_resource_uri	O	Optional element. The identifier of the hyperlink for the resource file. Alert Gateway uses the CAP uri element to populate this element.

TABLE 10–5.—CMAC_R RESOURCE SEGMENT—Continued

CMAC element	Mandatory/ optional/ conditional	CMAC definition
CMAC_digest	O	Optional element. The code representing the digital digest (''hash'') computed from the resource file. Calculated using the Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA–1) per [FIPS 180–2]. Alert Gateway uses the CAP digest element to populate this element.

10.4.3 Example CMAC XML Schema

```
<?xml version = ''1.0'' encoding = ''UTF–8''?>
<schema xmlns = ''http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema''
targetNamespace = ''cmac:1.0''
xmlns:cmac = ''cmac:1.0''
xmlns:xs = ''http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema''
elementFormDefault = ''qualified''
attributeFormDefault = ''unqualified''>
<element name = ''CMAC_Alert_Attributes''>
<annotation>
<documentation>CMAC Alert Message (version 1.0)</documentation>
</annotation>
<complexType>
<sequence>
<element name = ''CMAC_protocol_version'' type =''string''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_sending_alert_gateway_id'' type = ''anyURI''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_message_identifier'' type = ''string''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_referenced_message_identifier'' type = ''string'' minOccurs = ''0'' />
<element name = ''CMAC_special_handling''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Presidential''/>
<enumeration value = ''Child Abduction''/>
<enumeration value = ''No Special Handling''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_sender'' type = ''string''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_sent_date_time'' type = ''dateTime''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_status''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Actual''/>
<enumeration value = ''Exercise''/>
<enumeration value = ''System''/>
<enumeration value = ''Test''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_message_type''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Alert''/>
<enumeration value = ''Update''/>
<enumeration value = ''Cancel''/>
<enumeration value = ''Ack''/>
<enumeration value = ''Error''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
<element name = ''CMAC_note'' type = ''string'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_original_cap_alert_uri'' type = ''anyURI''/>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_alert_info'' minOccurs = ''0''>
<complexType>
<sequence>
<element name = ''category'' maxOccurs = ''unbounded''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Geo''/>
<enumeration value = ''Met''/>
<enumeration value = ''Safety''/>
<enumeration value = ''Security''/>
<enumeration value = ''Rescue''/>
<enumeration value = ''Fire''/>
<enumeration value = ''Health''/>
<enumeration value = ''Env''/>
<enumeration value = ''Transport''/>
<enumeration value = ''Infra''/>
<enumeration value = ''CBRNE''/>
<enumeration value = ''Other''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_event_code'' minOccurs = ''0'' maxOccurs = ''unbounded''>
<complexType>
<sequence>
<element ref = ''cmac:valueName''/>
<element ref = ''cmac:value''/>
</sequence>
</complexType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_responseType'' maxOccurs = ''unbounded''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Shelter''/>
<enumeration value = ''Evacuate''/>
<enumeration value = ''Prepare''/>
<enumeration value = ''Execute''/>
<enumeration value = ''Monitor''/>
<enumeration value = ''Assess''/>
<enumeration value = ''None''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_severity''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Extreme''/>
<enumeration value = ''Severe''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_urgency''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Immediate''/>
<enumeration value = ''Expected''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_certainty''>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''Observed''/>
<enumeration value = ''Likely''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_expires_date_time'' type = ''dateTime'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_sender_name'' type = ''string'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_text_language'' />
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = ''English''/>
<enumeration value = ''Spanish''/>
<enumeration value = ''French''/>
<enumeration value = ''Other''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
<element name = ''CMAC_text_encoding''/>
<simpleType>
<restriction base = ''string''>
<enumeration value = '' UTF–8''/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
</element>
<element name = ''CMAC_text_alert_message_length'' type = ''string''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_text_alert_message'' type = ''string'' />
<element name = ''CMAC_web'' type = ''anyURI'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_alert_resource'' minOccurs = ''0'' maxOccurs = ''unbounded'' >
<complexType>
<sequence>
<element name = ''CMAC_resource_desciption'' type = ''string''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_mime_type'' type = ''string'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_resource_size'' type = ''integer'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_resource_uri'' type = ''anyURI'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_digest'' type = ''string'' minOccurs = ''0''/>
</sequence>
</complexType>
</element>
<element name = ''area'' minOccurs = ''0'' maxOccurs = ''unbounded''>
<complexType>
<sequence>
<element name = ''CMAC_area_description'' type = ''string''/>
```

```
<element name = ''CMAC_polygon'' type =
  ''string'' minOccurs = ''0'' maxOccurs =
  ''unbounded''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_circle'' type =
  ''string'' minOccurs = ''0'' maxOccurs =
  ''unbounded''/>
<element name = ''CMAC_cmac_geocode''
  type=''string'' maxOccurs = ''unbounded''>
<element name = ''CMAC_gnis'' type =
  ''string'' minOccurs = ''0'' maxOccurs =
  ''unbounded''/>
</element>
</sequence>
</complexType>
</element>
</sequence>
</complexType>
</element>
</sequence>
</complexType>
</element>
<element name = ''valueName'' type =
  ''string''/>
<element name = ''value'' type = ''string''/>
</schema>
```

10.4.4 Element Mapping From B Reference Point (CAP) to C Reference Point (CMAC) to E Reference Point (CMAE) Elements

Note: elements listed in **bold** are mandatory.

TABLE 10–6.—MAPPING REFERENCE POINT B ELEMENTS TO REFERENCE POINT C ELEMENTS

CAP element	CMAC element	CMAE element
N/A	**CMAC_protocol_version**	N/A.
N/A	N/A	**CMAE_protocol_version.**
N/A	**CMAC_sending_alert_gateway_id**	N/A.
identifier	**CMAC_message_identifier**	**CMAE_identifier.**
references	CMAC_referenced_message_identifier	N/A.
N/A	CMAC_special_handling	**CMAE_alert_handling.**
sender	**CMAC_sender**	N/A.
sent	**CMAC_sent_date_time**	N/A.
status	**CMAC_status**	N/A.
msgType	CMAC_message_type	**CMAE_alert_type.**
source	N/A	N/A.
scope	N/A	N/A.
restriction	N/A	N/A.
code	N/A	N/A.
note	CMAC_n note	N/A.
incidents	N/A	N/A.
N/A	**CMAC_original_cap_alert_uri**	N/A.
category	**CMAC_category**	**CMAE_category.**
event	N/A	N/A.
eventCode	CMAC_event_code	N/A.
responseType	CMAC_response_type	**CMAE_response_type.**
severity	**CMAC_severity**	**CMAE_severity.**
urgency	**CMAC_urgency**	**CMAE_urgency.**
certainty	**CMAC_certainty**	**CMAE_certainty.**
audience	N/A	N/A.
effective	N/A	N/A.
onset	N/A	N/A.
expires	**CMAC_expires_date_time**	**CMAE_expires.**
senderName	CMAC_sender_name	N/A.
language	**CMAC_text_language**	**CMAE_language.**
N/A	**CMAC_text_encoding**	**CMAE_char_set.**
N/A	**CMAC_text_alert_message_length**	**CMAE_alert_text_length.**
parameter (when value = ''CMAM text'')	**CMAC_text_alert_message**	**CMAE_alert_text.**
headline	N/A	N/A.
description	N/A	N/A.
instruction	N/A	N/A.
web	CMAC_web_link	N/A.
contact	N/A	N/A.
parameter (when value not = ''CMAMtext'')	N/A	N/A.
areaDesc	**CMAC_area_description**	N/A.
polygon	CMAC_polygon	N/A.
circle	CMAC_circle	N/A.
geocode	**CMAC_cmas_geocode**	N/A.
geocode	CMSC_gnis	N/A.
altitude	N/A	N/A.
ceiling	N/A	N/A.
resourceDesc	CMAC_resource_description	N/A.
mimeType	CMAC_mime_type	N/A.
size	CMAC_resource_size	N/A.
uri	CMAC_resource_uri	N/A.
derefUri	N/A	N/A.
digest	CMAC_digest	N/A.
N/A	N/A	CMAE_associated_multimedia_indicator.
N/A	N/A	CMAE_CMSP_defined_parameter.
N/A	N/A	CMAE_reserved.

10.4.5 Definition of CMAC_cmas_geocode Element

The CMAC_cmas_geocode is five characters where the first two characters or digits identify the state or region and the last three digits identify the specific counties, regions, or equivalent entities. The CMSAAC recommends that the CMAC_cmas_geocode be assigned as follows:

1. The CMAC_cmas_geocode indication for a specific county will be as defined in Federal Information Processing Standard 6–4 (FIPS 6–4), titled ''Counties and Equivalent Entities of the United States, Its Possessions, and Associated Areas'', dated 31 August 1990.

2. The CMAC_cmas_geocode indication for an entire state will be the two digit FIPS State Numeric Code as defined in Federal Information Processing Standard 5–2 (FIPS 5–2), titled ''Codes for the Identification of the States, the District of Columbia and the Outlying Areas of the United States, and Associated Areas'', dated 28 May 1987 followed by three zeroes (000).

3. The CMAC_cmas_geocode indication for an entire United States including all states, the District of Columbia, possessions, and associated areas will be US000.

4. In the future, it is possible that alerts may be targeted for regions of the country (e.g., Gulf States). The more efficient and error resistant solution would be to have CMAC_cmas_geocode values for regional areas such as FEMA regions or National Weather Service (NWS) regions. The FEMA regions would be assigned values in the format of US0xx and the NWS regions would be assigned values in the format of US1xx.

The following table defines the CMAC_cmas_geocode value assignments.

TABLE 10–7.—CMAC_CMAS_GEOCODE ASSIGNMENTS

CMAC_cmas geocode	Definition
00000	Not used.
00001 thru 99999	For identification of states and counties.
US000	Entire United States.
US001	FEMA Region 1 (Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and Connecticut).
US002	FEMA Region 2 (New York, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands).
US003	FEMA Region 3 (Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia).
US004	FEMA Region 4 (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, and Mississippi).
US005	FEMA Region 5 (Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin).
US006	FEMA Region 6 (Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas).
US007	FEMA Region 7 (Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska).
US008	FEMA Region 8 (Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Utah).
US009	FEMA Region 9 (Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada, American Samoa, Guam, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Republic of the Marshall Islands, and Federated States of Micronesia).
US010	FEMA Region 10 (Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington).
US011 thru US100	Not Assigned.
US101	National Weather Service (NWS) Central Region (Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, and Nebraska).
US102	National Weather Service (NWS) Eastern Region (Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Vermont).
US103	National Weather Service (NWS) Southern Region (Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Puerto Rico, Tennessee, and Texas).
US104	National Weather Service (NWS) Western Region (Arizona, California, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington).
US105	National Weather Service (NWS) Alaska Region (Alaska).
US106	National Weather Service (NWS) Pacific Region (Hawaii, Guam, America Samoa).
US107 thru US999	Not Assigned.

10.4.6 Definition of CMAC Response Codes

The CMSAAC recommends the following as the response codes that may be returned from the CMSP Gateway to the Alert Gateway in the CMAC_note element in response a received CMAS message via the Reference Point C interface:

CMAC_Error_100 Invalid Alert Gateway ID
CMAC_Error_101 Unsupported protocol version
CMAC_Error_102 Segment XXX missing
CMAC_Error_103 Invalid message length
CMAC_Error_104 Mandatory element XXX missing
CMAC_Error_105 Conditional element XXX missing which is required based upon value of element YYYY
CMAC_Error_106 Optional element XXX not allowed
CMAC_Error_107 Unrecognized value in element XXX
CMAC_Error_108 Value in element XXX is out of acceptable range
CMAC_Error_109 Value XXX of element YYY not supported
CMAC_Error_110 Invalid length of element XXX
CMAC_Error_111 Expiration time greater than allowed interval
CMAC_Error_112 Failure to convert text message into alphabet encoding scheme
CMAC_Error_113 Text encoding not compatible with specified text language
CMAC_Error_114 Special handling element not consistent with message content
CMAC_Error_115 Polygon element contains more than maximum number of coordinates
CMAC_Error_200 Failure to retrieve additional alert info from Alert Gateway
CMAC_Error_201 Message received after expiration time
CMAC_Error_203 Message update failed
CMAC_Error_204 Message cancellation failed
CMAC_Error_300 Alert message failed due to insufficient system storage
CMAC_Error_301 CMSP server error
CMAC_Error_302 Maximum number of sessions reached (if C interface is session based)
CMAC_Resp_400 CMAS test successful
CMAC_Resp_401 CMAS test failed due to XXX
CMAC_Resp_500 Transient error on CMSP Gateway—Discontinue transmission of alerts
CMAC_Resp_501 Resume transmission of alerts to CMSP Gateway
CMAC_Resp_502 Keep alive message response

10.4.7 Example CMAS ''C'' Interface Alert Messages

As an example of a CMAS Alert Message, consider the following CAP alert message from the National Weather Service:

<cap:alert xmlns:cap=''http://www.incident.com/cap/1.0''>
<cap:identifier>NOAA-NWS-ALERTS Arizona 2007–08–01T18:22:17–04:00</cap:identifier>
<cap:sender>w-nws.web master@noaa.gov</cap:sender>
<cap:sent>2007–08–01T18:22:17–04:00<cap:sent>
<cap:status:>Actual</cap:status>
<cap:msgType>Alert</cap:msgType>
<cap:scope>Public</cap:scope>

<cap:note>Current Watches, Warnings and Advisories for Arizona Issued by the National Weather Service</cap:note>
<cap:references>http://www.weather.gov/alerts/az.html
</cap:references>
<cap:info>
<cap:category>Met</cap:category>
<cap:event>Flash Flood Warning
</cap:event>
<cap:urgency>Expected
</cap:urgency>
<cap:severity>Severe</cap:severity>
<cap:certainty>Likely</cap:certainty>
<cap:effective>2007–08–01T22:11:00</cap:effective>
<cap:expires>2007–08–01T23:15:00
</cap:expires>
<cap:headline>Flash Flood Warning</cap:headline>
<cap:description>FLASH FLOOD WARNING AZC005–012315—BULLETIN—EAS ACTIVATION REQUESTED FLASH FLOOD WARNING NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE FLAGSTAFF AZ 311 PM MST WED AUG 1 2007 THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IN FLAGSTAFF HAS ISSUED A * FLASH FLOOD WARNING FOR... SOUTH CENTRAL COCONINO COUNTY IN NORTH CENTRAL ARIZONA... * UNTIL 415 PM MST * AT 306 PM MST...NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE DOPPLER RADAR INDICATED FLASH FLOODING FROM A THUNDERSTORM OVER THE WARNED AREA. * LOCATIONS IN THE WARNING INCLUDE HIGHWAY 89 THROUGH OAK CREEK CANYON BETWEEN SLIDE ROCK STATE PARK AND MIDGELY BRIDGE. THE HEAVY RAINS WILL LIKELY TRIGGER LIFE-THREATENING ROCKSLIDES... MUDSLIDES...AND DEBRIS FLOWS NEAR THE BRINS FIRE BURN AREA IN OAK CREEK CANYON...AS WELL AS FLOODING OF CREEKS...ROADS...AND NORMALLY DRY WASHES. DO NO ATTEMPT TO DRIVE THROUGH THIS AREA UNTIL THE THREAT HAS DIMINISHED. LAT...LON 3488 11177 3489 11169 3499 11169 3498 11177 $$ DB
</cap:description>
<cap:web>http://www.weather.gov/alerts/AZ.html
#AZC005.FGZFFWFGZ.221100</cap:web>
<cap:area>
<cap:areaDesc>Kaibab Plateau, Marble, Glen Canyons, Grand Canyon Country, Coconino Plateau, Northeast Plateaus, Mesas Hwy, Little Colorado River Valley in, Western Mogollon Rim, Eastern Mogollon Rim, Oak Creek, Sycamore Canyons, Northeast Plateaus, Mesas Sou (Arizona)
</cap:areaDesc>
<cap:geocode>004005</cap:geocode>
</cap:area>
</cap:info>
</cap:alert>

This Alert Gateway would construct a CMAS ''C'' Interface message based on this CAP alert as follows:

<?xml version = ''1.0'' encoding = ''UTF–8''?>
<CMAS_alert xmlns = ''urn:xxx:xxx:xx:xxx:cmac:1.0''>
<CMAC_protocol_version>1.0
</CMAC_protocol_version >
<CMAC_alert_gateway_id>http://cmas_alert_gateway.gov
</CMAC_alert_gateway_id >
<CMAC_identifier>1056</identifier>
<CMAS_sender> w-nws.webmaster @noaa.gov </CMAS_sender>
<CMAC_sent_date_time>2003–06–17 T14:57:00–07:00
</CMAC_sent_date_time>
<CMAC_status>Actual
</CMACstatus>
<CMAC_message_type>Alert
</CMAC_message_type>
<CMAC_alert_gateway_id>http://cmas_alert_gateway.gov/CMAM1056
</CMAC_alert_gateway_id >
<CMAC_alert_info>
<CMAC_category>Met
</CMAC_category>
<CMAC_severity>Severe
</CMAC_severity>
<CMAC_urgency>Expected
</CMAC_urgency>
<CMAC_certainty>Likely
</CMAC_certainty>
<CMAC_expires_date_time>2007–08–01T23:15:00</CMAC_expires_date_time>
<CMAC_text_language>English
</CMAC_text_language >
<CMAC_text_encoding>ISO–6739–2</CMAC_text_encoding>
<CMAC_text_message_length>56
</CMAC_text_message_length>
<CMAC_message>Severe Weather Warning until 4:15pm MST
</CMAC_message>
<CMAC_area>
<CMAC_area_description>Kaibab Plateau, Marble, Glen Canyons, Grand Canyon Country, Coconino Plateau, Northeast Plateaus, Mesas Hwy, Little Colorado River Valley in, Western Mogollon Rim, Eastern Mogollon Rim, Oak Creek, Sycamore Canyons, Northeast Plateaus, Mesas Sou (Arizona)
</CMAC_area_description>
<CMAC_geocode>004005
</CMAC_geocode>
</CMAC_area>
</CMAC_alert_info>
</CMAC_alert>

This CMAM would be broadcast as:

Severe Weather Warning in this area until 4:15pm MST NWS

10.5 Reference Point E Protocols

The protocols that will be used for Reference Point E are dependent upon the capabilities of the delivery technology or technologies that have been selected by the CMSP.

The following is the CMA specific information that must be delivered over Reference Point ''E'' to support the CMAS text profile; mapping of this information to the delivery technology is beyond the scope of the CMSAAC:

TABLE 10–8.—REFERENCE POINT E PROTOCOL ELEMENTS

Parameter	Function
CMAE_protocol_version	CMAE protocol version.
CMAE_identifier	A number uniquely identifying this message.
CMAE_alert_handling	Identifies special handling for the alert: —Presidential Alert. —Child Abduction Emergency (i.e., AMBER Alert) Additional values are reserved for future use.
CMAE_alert_type	Alert message is new, update or cancel CMAS alert.
CMAE_language	Language of the alert message in the CMAE_Alert_Text parameter.
CMAE_char_set	Character set for the alert message in the CMAE_Alert_Text parameter (e.g., GSM 7-bit encoding, ISO 639–2, UCS–2, UTF–16).

11 Annex A—Anticipated Peak & Average CMAS Traffic Volume

In 2006, there was a total of 9239 tornado and flash flood warnings in the U.S. as reported by the National Weather Service. The following has a breakdown by state of these warnings:

Table 11-1 Table of Total 2006 Tornado & Flash Flood Warnings by State

STATE	TOR	FFW
AL	223	109
AR	152	142
AZ	11	292
CA	13	142
CO	54	68
CT	2	24
DC	0	10
DE	4	15
FL	106	24
GA	99	36
HI	1	163
IA	66	26
ID	24	16
IL	325	164
IN	212	175
KS	206	80
KY	152	291
LA	169	100
MA	1	11
MD	11	116
ME	4	27
MI	23	17
MN	70	46
MO	467	287
MS	300	82
MT	2	11
NC	108	171
ND	70	19
NE	67	27
NH	1	2
NJ	5	56
NM	11	167
NV	4	29
NY	14	218
OH	55	139
OK	112	34
OR	1	4
PA	22	326
SC	79	18
SD	71	24
TN	209	141
TX	382	753
UT	1	100
VA	54	362
VT	2	5
WA	0	7
WI	74	37
WV	2	64
WY	9	12
TOTAL	4050	5189

It can be assumed that these warnings account for approximately 50% of all warnings issued in 2006. In addition, there are approximately 1200 child abduction emergency/Amber Alerts per year.

Given the above statistics and adding a factor of uncertainty in, the anticipated initial yearly CMAMs for a single language of English which meet the criteria for CMAs is assumed to be 25,000 alerts per year. This number is expected to grow due to increased usage and due to the potential support of additional languages in the future.

On a monthly basis, the tornado and flash flood data is as follows:

Table 11-2 Table of 2006 Tornado & Flash Flood Warnings by State by Month

2006	Tornado	Flash Flood	Total
January	134	109	243
February	53	48	101
March	769	398	1167
April	916	238	1154
May	520	476	996
June	281	1124	1405
July	163	946	1109
August	211	703	914
September	407	530	937
October	290	370	660
November	202	186	388
December	104	61	165
Total '06	4050	5189	9239

Using these actual alert statistics as a percent of the total per month, and applying to the 25,000 estimate number yields the following estimate of alerts per month:

TABLE 11–3.—ESTIMATED CMA VOLUME BY MONTH

CMA Estimate Per Month:	
January	658
February	273
March	3158
April	3123
May	2695
June	3802
July	3001
August	2473
September	2535
October	1786
November	1050
December	446
Total	25000

Note there is significant uncertainty in these estimates as one cannot predict ''mother nature'' or human activities. These estimates should only serve as guidelines to the anticipated message traffic in the CMAS.

12 Annex B—WARN Act Statutory Requirements

12.1 WARN Act Requirements

1. Transmission of emergency alerts via commercial mobile service is voluntary.

a. Commercial mobile service operators may voluntarily elect to transmit emergency alerts {Sec. 602(a)}.

2. A commercial mobile service operator who elects to transmit emergency alerts agree to do so in a manner consistent with the technical standards, protocols, procedures, and other technical requirements implemented by the Commission.[17]

3. A commercial mobile service operator who elects to transmit emergency alerts can elect to transmit the emergency alert services in whole or in part.[18]

4. A commercial mobile service operator who elects in whole or in part NOT to transmit emergency alerts:

a. Must provide clear and conspicuous notice at point-of-sale of any devices with which its commercial mobile service is included, that it will not transmit such alerts via the service it provides for the device.[19]

b. Must provide notification of this decision to its existing subscribers.[20]

c. Shall not by itself provide a basis for liability against the provider (including its officers, directors, employees, vendors, and agents).[21]

5. Commercial mobile service licensee may not impose a separate or additional charge for such transmission or capability.[22]

6. Any commercial mobile service licensee electing to transmit emergency alerts may offer subscribers the capability of preventing the subscriber's device from receiving such alerts, or classes of such alerts, other than an alert issued by the President.[23]

7. CMSPs who elect to transmit emergency alerts may transmit in languages in addition to English to the extent practical and feasible.[24]

8. Any CMSP (including its officers, directors, employees, vendors, and agents) that transmits emergency alerts and meets its obligations under this title shall not be liable to any subscriber to, or user of, such person's service or equipment for

a. Any act or omission related to or any harm resulting from the transmission of, or failure to transmit, an emergency alert.[25]

b. The release to a government agency or entity, public safety, fire service, law enforcement official, emergency medical service, or emergency facility of subscriber information used in connection with delivering such an alert.[26]

12.2 WARN Act Interpretations

12.2.1 CMSP Election

The WARN Act specifies the election process for a CMSP that elects to transmit CMAs as follows:

602(b)(2) ELECTION—

(A) IN GENERAL—Within 30 days after the Commission issues its order under paragraph (1), each licensee providing commercial mobile service shall file an election with the Commission with respect to whether or not it intends to transmit emergency alerts.[27]

The above mentioned election process must be complete in September, 2008 as specified in the timelines in the WARN Act.

The CMAS requires new technology development and deployments, including development of mobile device functionality for CMAS and new mobile devices. The requirements for this new technology will not be available until the completion of the CMSAAC process and the completion of the FCC Report and Order in April, 2008 as specified by the WARN Act. Typical development cycles for a development of this magnitude require up to 12 months of standardization work in the appropriate standards bodies once the requirements are finalized followed by 18–24 months implementation and deployment before availability of the service and supporting mobile devices.

Thus, a CMSP that files an election with the Commission in September 2008 with the intent to transmit emergency alerts is making a commitment to support the development and deployment of technology for the following:

—''C'' reference point
—CMSP Gateway
—CMSP Infrastructure
—Mobile Device with CMAS functionality and support of the CMSP selected technology

However, the technology, capabilities for deployment, and mobile devices may not be

[17] WARN Act, § 602(b)(2)(B)(ii).

[18] WARN Act, § 602(b)(1)(B). The Committee interprets the definition of ''in whole or in part'' to include the following: All or a subset of the mobile operator's service area and/or all or a subset of current and future mobile devices supported by the mobile operator network.

[19] *Id.* § 602(b)(1)(B).

[20] *Id.* § 602(b)(1)(C).

[21] *Id.* § 602(e)(2).

[22] *Id.* § 602(b)(2)(C).

[23] *Id.* § 602.(b)(2)(E) & Sec. 603(c)(5).

[24] *Id.* § 603(c)(4)}.

[25] *Id.* § 6022(e)(1)(A)}.

[26] *Id.* § 602(e)(1)(B).

[27] *Id.* § 602(b)(2).

available for initial deployment and subscriber purchase potentially 12 months plus 18–24 months (approximately 30–36 months) following the CMSAAC recommendation, due to the required standardization and development cycles for the technology and capabilities of the mobile devices. Full deployments may not occur until a much later timeframe via a phased implementation.



Figure 12-1 Potential Deployment Timeline

The above potential deployment timeline is based upon the assumptions that (1) the CMSAAC recommendations contained within this document are accepted without any major technical changes and (2) the government documentation and deliverables are available at the milestone dates indicated on the timeline. The industry will begin standardization efforts at the completion of the CMSAAC recommendations but any major technical changes to the CMSAAC recommendations will adversely affect the above potential deployment timeline.

There are factors outside of the CMSP's direct control that will influence the deployment and availability of CMA service. These factors include manufacturer development cycles for equipment in the CMSP infrastructure, manufacturer commitment to support the delivery technology of choice by the CMSP, and mobile device manufacturer development of the required CMAS functionality on the mobile devices. Typically, a CMSP will have equipment from multiple manufacturers deployed in the CMSP infrastructure. Multi-vendor environments require feature availability and deployment alignment, and require interoperability testing between the different manufacturers equipment. Also, if a CMSP chooses a particular technology to transmit alerts (*e.g.*, cell broadcast), if a vendor with which a CMSP has a relationship chooses not to develop the same capability, then the CMSP may be forced into not electing to transmit alerts (at least not ''in whole'').

It is also assumed the requirements, development, and deployments of the Alert Gateway and Alert Aggregator align with the CMSP developments to allow for testing during the development process and prior to CMAS deployments.

12.3 Licensees and Permittees of Noncommercial Educations Broadcasting Stations or Public Television Stations

The WARN Act requires in section 602(c) that:

Within 90 days after the date on which the Commission adopts relevant technical standards based on recommendations of the Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee, established pursuant to section 603(a), the Commission shall complete a proceeding to require licensees and permittees of noncommercial educational broadcast stations or public broadcast stations (as those terms are defined in section 397(6) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 397(6))) to install necessary equipment and technologies on, or as part of, any broadcast television digital signal transmitter to enable the distribution of geographically targeted alerts by commercial mobile service providers that have elected to transmit emergency alerts under this section.[28]

This Committee acknowledges the potential relevance of the rulemaking described in section 602(c) of the WARN Act to this Committee's recommendations. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that the equipment and technologies described in Section 602(c) of the WARN Act be deployed promptly and in a manner consistent with the Committee's recommendations. The Committee further recommends that the national organization representing the licensees and permittees of non-commercial broadcast stations work with the FCC pursuant to Section 602(c) on the necessary equipment.

[FR Doc. E7–24876 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

[28] *Id.* § 602(c).

Federal Register

Thursday,
January 3, 2008

Part III

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Announcement of Funding Awards for the Continuum of Care Program; Notice

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket Nos. FR–4723–FA–24, FR–4800–FA–13, and FR–4900–FA–29]

Announcement of Funding Awards for the Continuum of Care Program; Fiscal Years 2002, 2003, and 2004

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of funding awards.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989, this announcement notifies the public of funding decisions made by the Department in a competition for funding under the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Continuum of Care (CoC) program. This announcement contains the names of the awardees and the amounts of the awards made available by HUD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robyn Raysor, Deputy Director, Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs, Office of Community Planning and Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Room 7262, Washington, DC 20410–7000; telephone (202) 708–4300 (this is not a toll-free number). Hearing- and speech-impaired persons may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Relay Service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339. For general information on this and other HUD programs, call Community Connections at 1–800–998–9999 or visit the HUD Web site at *http://www.hud.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Assistance Programs is to fund projects that will fill gaps in locally developed Continuum of Care systems to assist homeless persons to move to self-sufficiency and permanent housing. An important element of meeting this objective is to fund projects that will meet the Department's goal of ending chronic homelessness. The Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Programs are the Supportive Housing Program (SHP), Shelter Plus Care (S+C), and section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy Program for Homeless Individuals (SRO).

The Fiscal Year 2002 competition was announced in the SuperNOFA published March 26, 2002 (67 FR 14361) and appropriated approximately $950,000,000 for CoC grants. The Fiscal Year 2003 competition was announced in the SuperNOFA published April 25, 2003 (68 FR 21579) and appropriated approximately $1,060,000,000 for CoC grants. The Fiscal Year 2004 competition was announced in the SuperNOFA published May 14, 2004 (69 FR 27495) and appropriated approximately $1,000,000,000 for CoC grants. Applications were rated and selected for funding on the basis of selection criteria contained in that Notice.

Any unobligated funds from previous competitions or additional funds that may become available as a result of deobligations or recaptures from previous awards or budget transfers may be used in addition to the respective Fiscal Year's appropriations.

$979,771,512 was awarded to 3051 recipients in the Fiscal Year 2002 competition. $1,116,599,114 was awarded to 3790 recipients in the Fiscal Year 2003 competition. $1,243,178,671 was awarded to 4406 recipients in the Fiscal Year 2004 competition.

In accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (103 Stat. 1987, 42 U.S.C. 3545), the Department is publishing the grantees and amounts of the awards in Appendix A to this document.

Dated: December 20, 2007.

William H. Eargle, Jr.,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Operations, Office of Community Planning and Development.

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Rural Alaska Community Action Program Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$357,474
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$22,560
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$119,268
Municipality of Anchorage	Anchorage, AK	$296,714
Rural Alaska Community Action Program Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$141,168
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$215,854
Mat-Su Community Mental Health Services, Incorporated, d/b/a	Wasilla, AK	$102,500
Mat-Su Community Mental Health Services, Incorporated, d/b/a	Wasilla, AK	$46,464
Saint Vincent de Paul Society	Juneau, AK	$48,450
Women's Resource and Crisis Center	Kenai, AK	$147,582
Alaska State Housing Finance Corp.	Anchorage, AK	$348,480
Covenant House Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$245,629
Anchorage Housing Initiatives	Anchorage, AK	$81,886
Alaskan AIDS Assistance Association	Anchorage, AK	$112,875
Lighthouse Counseling Center, Incorporated	Montgomery, AL	$584,378
City of Huntsville, Alabama	Huntsville, AL	$252,756
YWCA of Birmingham	Birmingham, AL	$64,688
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$701,736
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$160,680
Montgomery Area Mental Health Authority, Incorporated	Montgomery, AL	$359,712
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$189,863
The Community Kitchens of Birmingham, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$99,133
AIDS Alabama, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$186,874
Birmingham Health Care for the Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Birmingham, AL	$271,689
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$398,492
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$166,514
Bread and Roses Hospitality, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$128,182
The Cooperative Downtown Ministries	Birmingham, AL	$379,279
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$136,080
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$143,430
Birmingham Health Care for the Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Birmingham, AL	$189,126

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Aletheia House	Birmingham, AL	$108,858
Chessie Harris Foundation, Incorporated	Huntsville, AL	$21,000
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$101,830
Safeplace, Incorporated	Florence, AL	$520,531
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$75,786
Mid-Alabama Chapter of the Alabama Coalition	Birmingham, AL	$27,562
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$499,800
AIDS Alabama, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$149,301
New Futures, Incorporated	Huntsville, AL	$232,344
Interfaith Hospitality House	Birmingham, AL	$320,477
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$161,316
YWCA of Birmingham	Birmingham, AL	$303,477
AIDS Alabama, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$258,591
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$287,400
Interfaith Mission Service, Incorporated	Huntsville, AL	$105,976
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Incorporated	Mobile, AL	$189,512
Birmingham Health Care for the Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Birmingham, AL	$504,240
Aletheia House	Birmingham, AL	$314,705
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$1,039,896
The King's Ranch and Hannah Homes	Chelsea, AL	$56,666
The Salvation Army A Georgia Corporation	Birmingham, AL	$160,991
Montgomery Area Mental Health Authority	Montgomery, AL	$627,127
Counseling Associates, Inc.	Conway, AR	$200,000
Department of Human Services	Little Rock, AR	$432,492
Little Rock Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Little Rock, AR	$142,436
Little Rock Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Little Rock, AR	$114,378
North Arkansas Human Services System, Inc.	Batesville, AR	$490,954
AIDS Outreach of Arkansas, Inc.	North Little Rock, AR	$273,108
Woman and Children First	Little Rock, AR	$271,170
Department of Human Services	Little Rock, AR	$492,600
Women Living Free	Phoenix, AZ	$269,958
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$1,657,056
Save the Family Foundation of Arizona	Mesa, AZ	$211,412
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$786,384
Mesa Community Action Network, Inc.	Mesa, AZ	$56,075
Pima County	South Tucson, AZ	$438,640
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$506,560
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$437,698
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$163,178
Save the Family Foundation of Arizona	Mesa, AZ	$411,726
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$151,200
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$77,553
United States Veterans Initiative, Incorporated	Inglewood, AZ	$496,557
The Excel Group	Yuma, AZ	$167,496
Pima County	South Tucson, AZ	$454,348
Women In New Recovery (WINR)	Mesa, AZ	$46,862
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$69,199
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$91,043
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$128,625
Southwest Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$205,977
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$64,999
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$96,495
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$160,569
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$78,176
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$91,050
Old Pueblo Community Foundation	Tucson, AZ	$436,044
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$499,972
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$350,368
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$76,685
Information and Referral Services, Incorporated	Tucson, AZ	$276,179
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$98,771
City of Tucson	Tucson, AZ	$180,289
Chicanos Por La Causa, Incorporated	Phoenix, AZ	$203,473
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$77,700
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$114,261
The Excel Group	Yuma, AZ	$133,488
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$60,735
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$318,730
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$333,371
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$59,304
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$108,701

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$199,500
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$711,912
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$1,114,796
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$971,973
City of Tucson	Tucson, AZ	$1,302,885
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$176,753
CODAC Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	Tucson, AZ	$499,530
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$903,424
Governor's Office of Housing Development	Phoenix, AZ	$81,385
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$52,500
Many Mansions	Thousands Oaks, CA	$185,341
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$417,082
Many Mansions	Thousands Oaks, CA	$313,113
Orange County Housing Authority	Santa Ana, CA	$1,961,460
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$567,521
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$118,575
County of Ventura Human Services Agency	San Buenaventura, CA	$147,253
County of Orange/Housing and Community Development	Santa Ana, CA	$1,128,600
Lompoc Housing Assistance Corporation	Lompoc, CA	$405,000
Women's Center-High Desert, Incorporation	Ridgecrest, CA	$339,397
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$1,303,278
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$323,273
Alliance Against Family Violence and Sexual Assault	Bakersfield, CA	$241,942
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$344,576
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$398,225
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$146,082
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara, CA	$560,280
Domestic Violence Solutions for Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara, CA	$250,000
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$287,685
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$618,472
Orange County Housing Authority	Santa Ana, CA	$553,068
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$301,027
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$477,958
Clinica Sierra Vista Incorporation	Bakersfield, CA	$231,435
Greater Bakersfield Legal Assistance, Incorporation	Bakersfield, CA	$360,240
Restoration Community Project, Incorporation	Bakersfield, CA	$600,000
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$395,319
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$407,729
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$214,360
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$198,917
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$309,346
Transition House	Santa Barbara, CA	$185,290
Housing Authority of the County of Contra Costa	Martinez, CA	$1,155,900
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	San Jose, CA	$46,000
Phoenix Programs Inc.	Concord, CA	$509,906
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$92,011
Housing For Independent People, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$24,816
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$162,872
Second Start Learning Disabilities, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$96,790
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$211,613
Concern for the Poor	San Jose, CA	$197,077
Berkeley Emergency Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$242,217
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$56,275
The Unity Care Group	San Jose, CA	$375,000
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$179,794
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$415,548
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$277,439
County of Riverside Department of Public Social Services	Riverside, CA	$250,000
Housing Authority of the County of Contra Costa	Martinez, CA	$1,458,984
Contra Costa County Health Services	Martinez, CA	$298,547
Rubicon Programs, Inc.	Richmond, CA	$304,279
United Christian Centers	West Sacramento, CA	$46,527
Santa Cruz Community Counseling Ctr.	Santa Cruz, CA	$387,643
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$157,189
Pajaro Valley Shelter Services	Watsonville, CA	$40,869
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$724,504
Greater Richmond Interfaith Program	Richmond, CA	$168,099
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$1,199,627
Resources for Community Development	Alameda, CA	$75,529
Bill Wilson Marriage and Family Counseling Center	Santa Clara, CA	$298,645
Rubicon Programs, Inc.	Richmond, CA	$204,120

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$259,432
Resources for Community Development	Alameda, CA	$56,424
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$2,447,325
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$85,161
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$221,399
Fred Finch Children's Home, Inc	Oakland, CA	$649,864
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$237,582
Pasadena Community Development Commission	Pasadena, CA	$952,750
Pasadena Community Development Commission	Pasadena, CA	$122,097
Pasadena Community Development Commission	Pasadena, CA	$163,700
Pasadena Community Development Commission	Pasadena, CA	$155,417
Pasadena Community Development Commission	Pasadena, CA	$248,884
Pasadena Community Development Commission	Pasadena, CA	$499,981
Foothill Family Shelter, Incorporated	Upland, CA	$68,250
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$168,684
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$244,786
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$668,169
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$161,070
Samaritan House	San Mateo, CA	$105,000
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$126,000
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$362,805
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$421,924
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$735,000
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$884,664
John XXIII AIDS Ministry	Monterey, CA	$498,532
Interim, Incorporated	Monterey, CA	$414,510
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$354,018
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	San Jose, CA	$432,000
Children's Services International	Salinas, CA	$497,658
Victor Valley Domestic Violence, Incorporated	Victoriville, CA	$559,166
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$79,800
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$529,392
County of Riverside Department of Public Social Services	Riverside, CA	$525,000
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$114,997
County of Riverside Department of Public Social Services	Riverside, CA	$874,961
County of Riverside Department of Public Social Services	Riverside, CA	$48,414
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$950,976
County of Riverside Department of Public Social Services	Riverside, CA	$87,914
YWCA of Central Orange County	Orange, CA	$296,257
Turning Point Foundation	Ventura, CA	$664,042
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$585,996
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$420,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$420,000
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$196,812
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$459,840
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$172,440
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$287,400
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$270,827
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$122,304
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$378,244
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$103,464
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$313,269
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$570,675
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$243,749
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$286,864
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$338,837
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$526,802
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$215,268
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$210,000
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,479,680
Berkeley Emergency Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$139,981
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$121,089
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$559,497
Walden House, Incorporated	San Francisco, CA	$258,471
Community Awareness & Treatment Services, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$371,342
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$557,052
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$499,288
Compass Community Services	San Francisco, CA	$320,782
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$224,900
St. Vincent de Paul Society–SF	San Francisco, CA	$144,380
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$157,550

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,155,500
Larkin Street Youth Services	San Francisco, CA	$55,587
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,824,080
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$648,344
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$382,800
Swords to Plowshares	San Francisco, CA	$282,594
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$565,337
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$500,000
County of Napa	Napa, CA	$123,439
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$1,363,944
Asian Pacific Women's Center, Incorporation (APWC)	Los Angeles, CA	$149,380
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$724,500
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$248,824
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$354,631
Buckelew Programs	San Rafael, CA	$149,385
Housing Authority of the City of Napa	Napa, CA	$15,000
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$245,146
Department of Public Health	Alameda, CA	$73,877
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$1,825,154
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$350,397
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$61,041
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$244,335
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$544,008
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$1,063,933
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$365,908
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$299,692
FaithWORKS Community Coalition Incorporated	Redding, CA	$424,624
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$721,001
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$195,353
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$400,709
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$394,825
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$421,397
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$400,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$1,039,766
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$133,371
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$101,040
County of Napa–Health and Human Service Agency	Napa, CA	$19,950
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$355,525
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$1,166,360
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$1,016,786
Resources for Community Development	Alameda, CA	$70,188
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$354,325
Saint Vincent de Paul Village, Incorporation	San Diego, CA	$402,182
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$200,000
Supportive Housing for Senior Adults	Anaheim, CA	$836,700
Shelter, Hunger and Health Partnership of Orange Country	Tustin, CA	$941,000
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$960,120
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$156,384
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$141,804
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$577,500
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$133,944
The Eli Home, Incorporation	Orange, CA	$1,650,984
Santa Barbara Community Housing Corporation	Santa Barbara, CA	$99,444
Saint Vincent de Paul Village, Incorporation	San Diego, CA	$513,713
City of Davis	Davis, CA	$106,752
Saint Vincent de Paul Village, Incorporation	San Diego, CA	$619,024
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$523,088
Volunteers of America	La Mesa, CA	$590,306
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$1,090,393
Corporation for Supportive Housing	Oakland, CA	$539,398
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$475,843
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$87,551
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$1,459,710
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$131,683
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$1,060,899
Fred Finch Children's Home, Inc	Oakland, CA	$719,667
The John Henry Foundation	Garden Grove, CA	$157,500
County of Orange/Housing and Community Development	Santa Ana, CA	$103,824
WTLC	Orange, CA	$313,412
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$2,083,300
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$96,147

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Human Options	Irvine, CA	$100,245
Interval House Crisis Shelter	Seal Beach, CA	$238,500
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$853,440
CA City of Fremont	Fremont, CA	$269,790
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$192,024
Orange County Association for Mental Health	Orange, CA	$388,628
Families Forward	Irvine, CA	$406,926
Service League of San Mateo County	Redwood City, CA	$91,000
Episcopal Community Services (ECS)	San Diego, CA	$509,328
TACHS	San Diego, CA	$420,000
Vietnam Veterans of California, Incorporated	Santa Rosa, CA	$166,215
San Francisco Network Ministries Housing Corporation	San Francisco, CA	$76,228
Solano County	Solano, CA	$204,414
The Lord's Fellowship Center	Mare Island, Vallejo, CA	$63,000
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$59,325
Mental Health Association of San Mateo County	Redwood City, CA	$146,542
Vietnam Veterans of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$405,700
Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.	Oroville, CA	$143,312
Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.	Oroville, CA	$161,840
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$2,250,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$680,429
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$185,740
Alameda Point Collaborative, Incorporated	Alameda, CA	$500,000
Olive Crest Treatment Centers, Incorporation	Santa Ana, CA	$257,250
Fairfield-Suisun Community Action Council	Fairfield, CA	$194,250
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$676,632
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$560,869
YMCA of San Diego County	Oceanside, CA	$178,739
The Ark of Refuge, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$208,502
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$42,170
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$107,850
Placer County Health and Human Services Adult System of Care	Auburn, CA	$293,282
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$553,947
Saint Vincent de Paul Village, Incorporation	San Diego, CA	$935,898
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$1,008,960
YWCA of San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$553,475
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$600,000
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$1,098,228
Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Fresno, CA	$2,159,160
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission	Fresno, CA	$855,126
Poverello House	Fresno, CA	$1,182,101
Housing Authority of the City of Fresno	Fresno, CA	$295,281
TACHS	San Diego, CA	$147,000
Placer Women's Center	Auburn, CA	$212,298
InterFaith Shelter Network, Incorporated	Santa Rosa, CA	$160,670
Committee on the Shelterless	Petaluma, CA	$59,489
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$53,164
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$177,399
Serra Ancillary Care Corporation d.b.a. The Serra Project	Los Angeles, CA	$295,362
New Economics for Women	Los Angeles, CA	$310,508
Filipino American Services Group, Incorporation	Los Angeles, CA	$380,898
P.A.T.H.	Los Angeles, CA	$200,550
P.A.T.H.	Los Angeles, CA	$229,060
Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$185,220
Los Angeles Youth Network	Los Angeles, CA	$81,057
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$109,734
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$154,734
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$93,000
Marin Housing Authority	San Rafael, CA	$463,644
Marin Housing Authority	San Rafael, CA	$448,560
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$319,136
Center Point, Incorporated	San Rafael, CA	$474,247
Community Action Marin	San Rafael, CA	$50,148
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$123,496
San Francisco Network Ministries Housing Corporation	San Francisco, CA	$449,412
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$497,424
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$93,866
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa, CA	$82,399
Catholic Charities of Los Angeles, Incorporation	Los Angeles, CA	$142,901
Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Modesto, CA	$512,100
San Diego County Consortium	San Diego, CA	$499,995

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
North County Interfaith Council	Escondido, CA	$310,246
Inland Temporary Homes	Loma Linda, CA	$512,694
San Diego County Consortium	San Diego, CA	$886,219
Mary's Mercy Center, Incorporated	San Bernardino, CA	$1,070,927
San Diego County Consortium	San Diego, CA	$693,378
Community Resource Center	Encinitas, CA	$165,000
San Diego County Consortium	San Diego, CA	$560,000
Hope Through Housing Foundation	Rancho Cucamonga, CA	$258,451
San Diego County Consortium	San Diego, CA	$290,533
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$91,525
San Diego County Consortium	San Diego, CA	$193,920
Shelter For the Homeless	Midway City, CA	$394,900
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$453,832
Harbor Interfaith Services, Incorporation	San Pedro, CA	$255,345
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$116,740
A Community of Friends	Los Angeles, CA	$104,500
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$129,596
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$84,528
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$217,292
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$81,534
Serra Ancillary Care Corporation d.b.a. The Serra Project	Los Angeles, CA	$637,703
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$85,464
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	San Bernardino, CA	$2,727,000
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$844,024
University Housing Inc.	Alameda, CA	$44,122
San Jose Cathedral Foundation	San Jose, CA	$90,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$378,000
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$26,280
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$3,591,192
Center Point, Incorporated	San Rafael, CA	$42,210
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$60,348
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$305,666
Veterans Transition Center of Monterey County	Marina, CA	$389,048
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$22,596
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$118,176
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$39,084
Marin Abused Women Services	San Rafael, CA	$65,540
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$49,464
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$67,788
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$59,256
Community Action Marin	San Rafael, CA	$56,642
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$250,985
Santa Clara Unified School District	Santa Clara, CA	$200,534
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$157,716
City of Berkeley	Berkeley, CA	$1,745,160
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$90,420
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$39,420
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$48,876
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$86,712
InnVision, The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$210,000
San Diego Youth and Community Services	San Diego, CA	$175,142
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$88,114
County of Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz, CA	$142,591
InnVision, The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$104,030
Community Action Marin	San Rafael, CA	$27,476
Bethany Services	Bakersfield, CA	$570,696
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$457,371
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$110,250
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$104,399
Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$152,016
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$141,973
San Luis Obispo Nonprofit Housing Corporation	San Luis, CA	$473,981
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$83,324
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$42,999
Buckelew Community Housing Development Organization	San Rafael, CA	$190,000
InnVision, The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$628,875
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$3,327,852
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$200,700
Community Technology Alliance	San Jose, CA	$607,438
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$81,852
Alameda County Community Development Agency	Hayward, CA	$29,628

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Housing Authority of the County of Contra Costa	Martinez, CA	$203,124
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$89,932
Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Modesto, CA	$40,968
Vietnam Veterans of California, Inc.	Santa Rosa, CA	$265,807
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$215,255
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$206,936
Housing Community Development Commission Housing Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$1,641,000
City of Woodland	Woodland, CA	$175,151
Housing Community Development Commission Housing Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$4,358,640
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$228,426
Resources for Community Development	Alameda, CA	$40,285
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$185,727
Community Support Network	Santa Rosa, CA	$60,715
Families in Transition of Santa Cruz County, Inc.	Santa Cruz, CA	$185,186
Nirvana Drug and Alcohol	Modesto, CA	$84,000
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$100,571
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$80,797
The Salvation Army	Los Angeles, CA	$552,080
Rainbow Services, Ltd. (Applicant)	San Pedro, CA	$510,023
The Salvation Army	Los Angeles, CA	$339,898
County of Ventura Behavioral Health Department	Ventura, CA	$523,680
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$164,038
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$175,786
County of Ventura	Camarillo, CA	$676,500
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$167,325
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$229,107
County of Ventura Human Service Agency	San Buenaventura, CA	$93,643
Resources for Independent Living, (RIL)	Sacramento, CA	$97,876
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$490,071
City of Santa Monica	Santa Monica, CA	$1,323,828
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$74,500
Center for Human Services	Modesto, CA	$230,984
Contra Costa County Health Services	Martinez, CA	$699,841
Tarzana Treatment Centers, Incorporation	Tarzana, CA	$376,263
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$3,061,636
Sonoma County People for Economic Opportunity	Santa Rosa, CA	$214,000
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$859,669
Project Understanding	Ventura, CA	$160,930
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$273,584
Community Support Network	Santa Rosa, CA	$500,000
Rubicon Programs, Inc.	Richmond, CA	$653,005
Su Casa Family Crisis and Support Center	Artesia, CA	$104,926
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$546,089
Community Housing and Shelter Services	Modesto, CA	$95,314
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$177,335
Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Bakersfield, CA	$690,900
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$400,000
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$191,523
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$511,200
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Colorado Springs	Colorado Springs, CO	$29,820
Posada	Pueblo, CO	$749,700
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$58,247
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$147,643
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$388,107
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$120,960
Greeley Center for Independence, Incorporated	Greenley, CO	$499,911
Partners In Housing, Incorporated	El Paso, CO	$36,341
Partners In Housing, Incorporated	El Paso, CO	$52,444
Partners In Housing, Incorporated	El Paso, CO	$17,543
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$342,312
Partners In Housing, Incorporated	El Paso, CO	$24,149
Community Housing Services, Incorporated	Denver, CO	$2,829,231
Catholic Charities of Colorado Springs, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$38,961
Grand Valley Catholic Outreach	Grand Junction, CO	$283,966
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$286,686
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$91,920
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$639,221
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$148,536
Volunteers of America Colorado Branch	Denver, CO	$487,195
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$1,015,255
Pikes Peak Mental Health Center	Colorado Springs, CO	$158,500

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$1,103,316
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$272,304
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$349,320
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$343,860
Partners In Housing, Incorporated	El Paso, CO	$47,998
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, CT	$229,862
Mutual Housing Association of SW CT, Inc.	Stanford, CT	$446,880
St. Vincent DePaul Society of Bristol, Incorporated	Bristol, CT	$321,830
Mid Fairfield AIDS Project, Incorporated	Norwalk, CT	$120,000
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$184,303
YWCA of the Hartford Region	Hartford, CT	$500,000
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$428,222
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$95,004
Family and Children's Agency, Incorporated	Norwalk, CT	$145,513
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$270,940
Friendship Service Center of New Britain	New Britain (Hartford), CT	$674,751
Friendship Service Center of New Britain	New Britain (Hartford), CT	$210,007
Thames River Community Services Inc.	Norwich, CT	$587,951
Community Renewal Team, Incorporated	Hartford, CT	$621,354
Community Renewal Team, Incorporated	Hartford, CT	$565,000
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, CT	$372,973
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$537,480
Windham Regional Community Council, Incorporated	Willimantic, CT	$802,500
Applied Behavioral Rehabilitation Institute, Inc.	Bridgeport, CT	$407,676
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$458,220
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$89,424
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$201,420
Youth Continuum Inc.	New Haven, CT	$301,568
HACD Corporation	Danbury, CT	$654,500
St. Vincent DePaul Society of Bristol, Incorporated	Bristol, CT	$27,019
American Red Cross Middlesex Central Connecticut Chapter	Middletown, CT	$133,000
Hall-Brooke Behavioral Health Services	Westport, CT	$354,858
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$169,008
The Salvation Army	Hartford County, CT	$219,450
United Way of Stamford, Incorporated	Stamford, CT	$52,500
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$481,980
United Way of Eastern Fairfield County	Bridgeport, CT	$121,000
Chrysalis Center, Incorporated	Hartford, CT	$636,552
Mercy Housing and Shelter Corporation	Hartford, CT	$241,190
United Way of Norwalk and Wilton	Norwalk, CT	$125,000
Regional Network of Programs, Inc.	Bridgeport, CT	$522,392
Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness	Hartford, CT	$290,920
City of Danbury	Danbury, CT	$142,000
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$292,500
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$321,156
Community Renewal Team, Incorporated	Hartford, CT	$111,720
Immaculate Conception Shelter and Housing Corporation	Hartford, CT	$789,695
The Connection Fund, Inc.	Middletown, CT	$147,000
Community Connections	Washington, DC	$98,175
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$204,748
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$220,508
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$232,880
Coalition for the Homeless	Washington, DC	$171,453
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$257,404
Sasha Bruce Youthwork	Washington, DC	$129,593
Community Family Life Services	Washington, DC	$364,761
Hannah House, Inc.	Washington, DC	$148,115
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$134,835
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$141,957
JHP Incorporated	Washington, DC	$136,761
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$86,003
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$293,914
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$42,303
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$266,084
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$121,728
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$190,522
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$132,300
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$245,422
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$333,913
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$189,000
Coalition for the Homeless	Washington, DC	$113,825

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
So Others Might Eat (SOME), Incorporated	Washington, DC	$323,673
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$176,226
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$109,725
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$204,916
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$175,219
Sasha Bruce Youthwork	Washington, DC	$67,628
So Others Might Eat (SOME), Incorporated	Washington, DC	$101,333
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$142,306
Transitional Housing Corporation	Washington, DC	$127,385
So Others Might Eat (SOME), Incorporated	Washington, DC	$513,941
Catholic Charities	Washington, DC	$168,641
Green Door	Washington, DC	$47,894
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$34,657
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$79,929
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$83,511
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$84,383
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$202,832
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$149,203
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$358,073
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$87,850
Community Connections	Washington, DC	$106,864
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$196,569
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$583,578
Department of Health	Washington, DC	$330,984
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$141,214
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$100,906
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$899,866
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$144,083
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	Washington, DC	$484,683
Community Family Life Services	Washington, DC	$140,205
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$321,806
Connections CSP, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$499,372
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$129,874
People's Place II, Inc.	Milford, DE	$39,158
SBM Housing, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$65,444
Delaware Health and Social Services	New Castle, DE	$1,282,950
Delaware Health and Social Services	New Castle, DE	$79,788
Connections CSP, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$153,421
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$200,408
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$136,836
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$66,467
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$374,174
The Young Women's Christian Association of New Castle County	Wilmington, DE	$318,095
CareLink Community Support Services	Wilmington, DE	$75,456
Agency for Community Treatment Services, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$128,991
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$21,164
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Orlando, FL	$124,371
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$202,878
City of Gainesville	Gainesville, FL	$106,215
Gateway Community Services	Jacksonville, FL	$198,706
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$26,490
City of Gainesville	Gainesville, FL	$102,565
Alachua County Housing Authority	Gainesville, FL	$104,420
Mental Health Resource Center, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$293,979
Lakeview Center, Incorporated	Pensacola, FL	$472,018
United Way Of Northeast Florida	Jacksonville, FL	$64,374
Gainesville Housing Authority	Gainesville, FL	$332,100
Coalition for the Homeless of Pasco County, Incorporated	Port Richey, FL	$1,003,373
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$178,172
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$171,921
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$129,273
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$311,679
Lakeview Center, Incorporated	Pensacola, FL	$314,606
Tallahassee Coalition for the Homeless	Tallahassee, FL	$14,350
Bridgeway Center, Inc.	Fort Walton Beach, FL	$498,750
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$10,582
Peaceful Paths Domestic Abuse Network	Gainesville, FL	$84,974
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$52,980
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$500,000
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Sarasota, FL	$77,750
Goodwill of North Florida, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$412,500

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
AIDS Coalition of Volusia/Flagler, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$72,928
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$285,343
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$500,000
Tallahassee Coalition for the Homeless	Tallahassee, FL	$21,873
United Way of Sarasota County, Inc.	Sarasota, FL	$160,372
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Sarasota, FL	$132,840
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Orlando, FL	$78,352
Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Tampa, FL	$513,480
Domestic Abuse Council, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$69,386
United Way of Marion County	Ocala, FL	$150,749
Mental Health Care, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$2,068,548
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Orlando, FL	$27,550
Mental Health Care, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$436,089
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$129,273
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$187,053
Renaissance Manor	Sarasota, FL	$483,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$425,392
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$250,000
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Orlando, FL	$92,301
Brookwood Florida-Central, Inc.	Saint Petersburg, FL	$161,412
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$334,392
Boley Centers for Behavioral Health Care, Inc.	Saint Petersburg, FL	$247,662
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$325,651
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$171,354
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$124,621
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$364,854
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$158,095
Agency for Community Treatment Services, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$48,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$696,028
River Region Human Services	Jacksonville, FL	$264,970
Polk, Hardee, Highlands Aids Services and Education, Inc.	Lakeland, FL	$47,374
Homeless Emergency Project, Inc.	Clearwater, FL	$149,100
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$126,003
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$433,408
Gulfcoast Legal Services, Inc.	Saint Petersburg, FL	$86,862
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$726,961
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$33,957
Coalition of Saint John's County, Incorporated	Saint Augustine, FL	$152,610
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$84,000
Community Connection of Jacksonville	Jacksonville, FL	$531,637
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Ocala, FL	$215,697
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$171,921
I.M. Sulzbacher Center for the Homeless	Jacksonville, FL	$235,288
Homeless Emergency Project, Inc.	Clearwater, FL	$161,700
Talbot House Ministries of Lakeland, Inc.	Lakeland, FL	$511,852
Tri-County Human Services, Inc. (TCHS)	Lakeland, FL	$75,000
Directions for Mental Health, Inc.	Clearwater, FL	$1,069,315
Day Nursery Association of Lakeland	Lakeland, FL	$264,216
New Life Outreach Ministry, Inc.	Lakeland, FL	$143,586
Brookwood Florida-Central, Inc.	Saint Petersburg, FL	$133,888
Peace River Center	Bartow, FL	$400,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$551,388
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$285,343
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$1,056,125
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$500,000
Volunteers of America of Florida, Incorporated	Jacksonville, FL	$343,033
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$127,020
Clara White Mission, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$175,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$392,952
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$148,010
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$224,772
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$248,448
Indian River County Commission	Vero Beach, FL	$224,280
Brothers Keeper/Blessed Trinity	Ocala, FL	$275,900
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$621,262
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$136,752
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$177,264
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$526,869
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$421,331
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$256,662
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$227,325

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$500,000
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$226,180
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$1,069,663
United Way of Sarasota County, Incorporated	Sarasota, FL	$142,655
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$1,445,573
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$259,038
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$299,786
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$339,721
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$68,376
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$357,791
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$407,862
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$860,114
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$249,992
The Center for Information and Crisis Services, Incorporated	Lantana, FL	$158,724
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$90,508
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$1,064,138
Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners	West Palm Beach, FL	$438,254
Adopt-A-Family of Palm Beaches, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$207,813
Broward County Housing Authority	Lauderhill, FL	$832,716
Martin County Board of County Commissioners	Stuart, FL	$390,180
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$119,722
Florida Keys Outreach Coalition, Incorporated	Key West City, FL	$175,879
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$500,000
Revitalax Victorian Resort, Incorporated	West Palm Beach, FL	$165,260
SAWCC, Incorporated	Naples, FL	$339,000
Saint Mathew's House	Naples, FL	$227,000
Catholic Charities of the Arch of Miami, Inc.	Miami, FL	$180,000
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$386,000
Covenant House Florida	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$191,713
Aid to Victims of Domestic Abuse, Inc.	Delray Beach, FL	$134,725
Gulfstream Goodwill Industries, Incorporated	West Palm Beach, FL	$194,419
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$86,415
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$222,069
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$996,917
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$257,191
Cobb Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$85,323
Augusta Richmond County	Augusta, GA	$67,517
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$412,380
Goodwill Industries of Mid-Georgia	Macon, GA	$296,197
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$215,400
Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia	Atlanta, GA	$702,814
Progressive Redevelopment Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$563,246
Alternate Life Paths Program, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$48,572
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$514,560
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$34,604
House of T.I.M.E., Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$259,461
Atlanta Enterprise Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$190,955
Genesis Shelter, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$136,500
Gwinnet Housing Resource Partnership, Incorporated	Norcross, GA	$293,790
Economic Opportunity Authority for Savannah-Chatham County	Savannah, GA	$220,500
Jewish Family and Career Services, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$157,729
Open Door Community House, Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$267,745
Hope House Incorporated	Augusta, GA	$58,842
Saint Jude's Recovery Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$715,311
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$622,944
Cobb Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$96,701
Saint Jude's Recovery Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$141,842
Cobb Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$208,097
HODAC, Incorporated	Warner Robins, GA	$42,891
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$192,960
Loaves and Fishes Ministry of Macon, Incorporated	Macon, GA	$470,777
Loaves and Fishes Ministry of Macon, Incorporated	Macon, GA	$46,460
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$475,935
Hall Family Initiative Residences	Gainsville, GA	$174,808
South Central Georgia Task Force for the Homeless	Valdosta, GA	$717,360
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$1,787,604
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$243,482
Greenbriar Children's Center, Incorporated	Savannah, GA	$398,424
CSRA Economic Opportunity Authority, Incorporated	Augusta, GA	$262,038
Chatham-Savannah Authority for the Homeless	Savannah, GA	$223,469
Saint Joseph's Mercy Care Services, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$36,823

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Nicholas House, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$36,141
Saint Jude's Recovery Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$278,342
Housing Initiative of North Fulton	Roswell, GA	$23,646
Jerusalem House, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$193,704
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$660,480
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$498,960
Goodwill Industries of Mid-Georgia	Augusta, GA	$97,836
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$662,785
Our Common Welfare, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$158,033
Georgia Department of Community Affairs	Atlanta, GA	$439,800
Saint Jude's Recovery Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$328,898
Georgia Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$294,000
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$79,800
Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia	Atlanta, GA	$373,951
Goodwill Industries of Mid-Georgia	Macon, GA	$220,620
Action Ministries, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$70,000
Chatham-Savannah Authority for the Homeless	Savannah, GA	$179,256
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$46,423
Phoenix Alliance, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$58,371
Buckhead Christian Ministry, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$80,000
Initiative for Affordable Housing DeKalb, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$320,938
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$18,517
Union Mission, Incorporated	Savannah, GA	$218,876
Families First Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$184,013
City of Savannah	Savannah, GA	$249,024
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$397,350
The CSRA Economic Opportunity Authority, Incorporated	Augusta, GA	$162,071
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$39,039
House of T.I.M.E., Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$134,343
Citizens Against Violence, Incorporated	Statesboro, GA	$120,000
Travelers Aid of Metropolitan Atlanta, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$169,441
Stewart Community Home, Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$733,758
Furniture Bank of Metro Atlanta	Atlanta, GA	$70,291
Cobb County, Georgia	Marietta, GA	$492,135
Samaritan House of Atlanta, Incorporated	Fulton, GA	$78,820
Augusta Richmond County	Augusta, GA	$227,021
Achor Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$378,311
Government of Guam	Sinajana, GU	$84,672
Government of Guam	Sinajana, GU	$936,489
Government of Guam	Sinajana, GU	$99,146
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$110,880
State of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	$400,000
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$790,680
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$267,217
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$860,142
Mental Health Kokua	Honolulu, HI	$870,274
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$454,260
State of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	$350,000
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$94,799
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$575,812
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$88,962
Emergency Housing Project, Inc.	Iowa, IA	$448,318
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$215,072
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$450,000
Youth and Shelter Services, Inc.	Ames, IA	$128,457
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$701,976
New Directions/Area Substance Abuse Council	Clinton, IA	$104,223
Family Service League	Waterloo, IA	$133,495
Crittendon Center	Sioux City, IA	$184,527
Youth and Shelter Services, Inc.	Ames, IA	$189,670
Cedar Valley Friends of the Family, Inc.	Waverly, IA	$254,249
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$87,216
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$212,139
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$261,975
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$329,499
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$768,327
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$921,907
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$40,740
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$60,076
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$96,495
Boise City Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$15,743

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$57,072
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$72,502
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$174,308
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$96,967
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$88,989
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$41,577
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$66,990
Boise City Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$211,582
Women's and Children's Alliance	Boise, ID	$119,123
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$95,747
Boise City Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$64,514
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$187,929
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$85,116
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$73,925
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$452,820
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$82,363
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$85,037
Casa Central Social Services Corporation	Chicago, IL	$259,669
Housing Opportunities for Women, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$142,758
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$421,800
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$1,177,488
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$414,750
West Englewood United Organization	Chicago, IL	$208,451
Project NOW, Inc.	Rock Island, IL	$119,445
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$300,288
The Employment Project	Chicago, IL	$247,072
New Phoenix Assistance Center	Chicago, IL	$240,500
Lakefront SRO Corporation	Chicago, IL	$246,141
Travelers & Immigrants Aid/ Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$48,612
Community Crisis Center	Elgin, IL	$64,981
Western Egyptian Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	Steeleville, IL	$24,913
Community Mental Health Council, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$217,915
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$326,760
Inspiration Corporation	Chicago, IL	$185,472
Lakefront SRO Corporation	Chicago, IL	$228,876
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$1,443,909
Community Mental Health Council, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$159,803
Franciscan Outreach Association	Chicago, IL	$105,840
World Relief DuPage	Wheaton, IL	$386,339
Bethel New Life Inc.	Chicago, IL	$193,966
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$38,940
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$98,760
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$169,239
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$41,760
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$15,652
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$175,320
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$41,760
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$568,524
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$448,020
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$185,066
The Thresholds Inc.	Chicago, IL	$489,838
Embarras River Basin Agency, Inc.	Greenup, IL	$499,691
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$75,733
The Salvation Army	Kankakee, IL	$330,113
Kankakee County Housing Authority	Kankakee, IL	$429,300
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$136,500
Saint Clair County, Illinois	Belleville, IL	$537,177
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$427,680
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$536,550
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$500,000
Fifth Street Renaissance	Springfield, IL	$23,000
Abundant Faith Ministries	Springfield, IL	$48,825
Youth Service Bureau	Springfield, IL	$91,899
The Night Ministry	Chicago, IL	$723,172
Aids Foundation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$762,498
Unity Parenting & Counseling Inc.	Chicago, IL	$869,515
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$63,865
Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$207,936
H.O.P.E. of East Central Illinois	Charleston, IL	$229,320
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$233,640
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$489,696

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Helping Hands of Springfield, Inc.	Springfield, IL	$149,567
DuPage County Human Resources	Wheaton, IL	$106,649
DuPage County Health Department	Wheaton, IL	$385,000
Prairie State Legal Services, Inc.	Rockford, IL	$206,340
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$36,734
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$23,184
Chicago Connections	Chicago, Suite 1818, IL	$50,164
South Side Office of Concern	Peoria, IL	$45,324
C.E.F.S. Economic Opportunity Corporation	Effingham, IL	$133,350
Association for Individual Development	Aurora, IL	$50,874
Saint Clair County, Illinois	Belleville, IL	$330,000
Saint Clair County, Illinois	Belleville, IL	$711,198
Saint Clair County, Illinois	Belleville, IL	$548,040
City of Peoria, Planning & Growth Management	Peoria, IL	$109,080
The Center for Prevention of Abuse	Peoria, IL	$582,545
Hope Haven of DeKalb County, Inc.	DeKalb, IL	$95,270
YWCA of Peoria, IL	Peoria, IL	$196,216
Public Action to Deliver Shelter, Inc.	Aurora, IL	$234,302
Community Crisis Center	Elgin, IL	$30,135
Goodwill Industries of Central Illinois, Inc.	Peoria, IL	$654,508
Family Counseling Center, Inc.	Golconda, IL	$559,548
Renaissance Social Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$119,492
New Moms, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$105,837
Renaissance Social Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$46,114
Traveler's & Immigrants Aid /Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$490,040
The Women's Center, Inc.	Carbondale, IL	$20,866
Association for Individual Development	Aurora, IL	$71,349
Decatur Housing Authority	Decatur, IL	$215,760
The Inner Voice, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$78,073
Travelers and Immigrants Aid/ Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$886,882
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$878,524
Community Supportive Living Systems, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$164,712
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$840,720
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$54,075
Home of the Sparrow, Inc./Michael Joseph Foundation	McHenry, IL	$246,309
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$376,127
Bethel New Life, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$219,276
Featherfist	Chicago, IL	$636,260
Dove, Inc.	Decatur, IL	$365,928
Dove, Inc.	Decatur, IL	$89,581
South Suburban PADS	Homewood, IL	$838,433
Community and Economic Development Association of Cook County	Chicago, IL	$400,848
Miller Beach Foundation, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$339,150
WINGS Program, Inc.	Palatine, IL	$136,218
Larkin Center	Elgin, IL	$372,000
Miller Beach Foundation, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$225,750
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$1,336,304
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$754,500
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$350,697
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$736,440
AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$558,358
City of Urbana	Urbana, IL	$130,176
City of Rockford, Illinois	Rockford, IL	$33,764
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$122,240
Lake County, IL	Waukegan, IL	$70,704
PADS to HOPE	Palatine, IL	$550,993
Lake County, IL	Waukegan, IL	$63,000
City of Rockford, Illinois	Rockford, IL	$483,928
Jane Addams Hull House Association	Chicago, IL	$778,052
City of Rockford, Illinois	Rockford, IL	$175,896
WilPower, Inc.	Northfield, IL	$247,968
M.E.R.C.Y. Communities, Inc.	Springfield, IL	$167,923
Cornerstone Services, Inc.	Joliet, IL	$327,000
AIDSCARE, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$1,159,462
Mental Health Center of Champaign County, Inc.	Champaign, IL	$129,129
Community Mental Health Council, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$286,889
Lake County, IL	Waukegan, IL	$504,810
Serenity House, Inc.	Addison, IL	$215,000
City of Rockford, Illinois	Rockford, IL	$466,704
Home of the Sparrow, Inc.	McHenry, IL	$26,250
Lake County, IL	Waukegan, IL	$531,480

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Lake County, IL	Waukegan, IL	$272,502
Transitional Living Services	Woodstock, IL	$141,734
Champaign County Regional Planning Commission	Urbana, IL	$32,500
The Night Ministry	Chicago, IL	$156,027
Interfaith House	Chicago, IL	$189,889
Teen Living Programs	Chicago, IL	$388,754
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$317,278
Embarras River Basin Agency, Inc.	Greenup, IL	$464,166
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$375,375
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$1,050,000
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$488,341
Connections for the Homeless	Evanston, IL	$553,181
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$1,897,443
Housing Options for the Mentally Ill, Inc.	Evanston, IL	$331,289
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$136,599
Madison County Community Development	Edwardsville, IL	$320,460
Project NOW, Inc.	Rock Island, IL	$25,809
City of Rockford, Illinois	Rockford, IL	$535,680
Community Counseling Center of Northern Madison County	Alton, IL	$1,220,270
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$711,710
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$2,870,820
City of Rockford, Illinois	Rockford, IL	$93,083
Lake County, IL	Waukegan, IL	$46,433
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$485,830
Chicago Health Outreach, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$212,417
Center for Women in Transition	Champaign, IL	$102,000
Lake County, IL	Waukegan, IL	$100,000
Interfaith Council for the Homeless	Chicago, IL	$624,483
City of Evansville, Indiana	Evansville, IN	$248,459
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$2,269,320
Lafayette Transitional Housing Center	Lafayette, IN	$312,559
Family & Children's Center Counseling and Development Service	South Bend, IN	$95,063
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$206,038
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$242,466
City of Anderson	Anderson, IN	$306,951
Bridges Community Services, Inc.	Muncie, IN	$277,742
Evansville Goodwill Industries, Inc.	Evansville, IN	$660,400
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$41,076
St. Elizabeth's Regional Maternity Center	New Albany, IN	$561,695
Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Valparaiso, IN	$148,351
City of South Bend Indiana	South Bend, IN	$43,128
Amethyst House	Bloomington, IN	$261,163
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IN	$353,940
City of Gary d/b/a Edgewater Systems	Gary, IN	$357,068
The Center for the Homeless	South Bend, IN	$295,250
Brothers' Keeper, Inc.	Gary, IN	$225,750
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$204,678
Hope House, Inc.	Ft. Wayne, IN	$64,890
Vincent House, Inc.	Ft. Wayne, IN	$48,451
YWCA of St. Joseph County	South Bend, IN	$165,390
The Center for the Homeless	South Bend, IN	$236,292
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$166,599
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$599,999
Family and Social Services Administration	Indianapolis, IN	$252,000
City of Evansville, Indiana	Evansville, IN	$168,396
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$99,756
City of Gary d/b/a Gary Commission for Women	Gary, IN	$414,200
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$144,664
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$150,860
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$74,550
YWCA of St. Joseph County	South Bend, IN	$36,208
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$180,761
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$293,400
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$59,400
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$95,880
Family Services of Delaware Co. Inc.	Muncie, IN	$438,367
Cedars Hope, Inc.	Ft. Wayne, IN	$35,700
City of South Bend Indiana	South Bend, IN	$89,076
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$368,550
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$267,300
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$127,186

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$101,461
United Community Services of Johnson County	Lenexa, KS	$138,555
Housing Authority of Wichita, KS	Wichita, KS	$626,568
Inter-Faith Ministries Wichita, Inc.	Wichita, KS	$414,595
United Methodist Urban Ministry of Wichita, Inc.	Wichita, KS	$269,422
Unified Gov. of Wyandotte County/KC, KS	Kansas City, KS	$56,467
Kansas Legal Services, Inc.	Topeka, KS	$571,824
Unified Gov. of Wyandotte County/KC, KS	Kansas City, KS	$460,125
The Salvation Army, an Illinois Corporation	Lawrence, KS	$66,667
United Methodist Urban Ministry of Wichita, Inc.	Wichita, KS	$168,714
Bert Nash Community Mental Health Center	Lawrence, KS	$31,794
Bert Nash Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Lawrence, KS	$102,228
Wichita Children's Home	Wichita, KS	$303,658
Inter-Faith Ministries Wichita, Inc.	Wichita, KS	$484,260
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$140,537
Transitions, Incorporated	Bellevue, KY	$710,312
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$90,636
Seven Counties Services, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$489,178
Choices, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$105,903
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$500,000
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$312,997
Goodwill Industries of Kentucky	Louisville, KY	$511,195
Interlink Counseling Services, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$77,868
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$118,992
Jefferson County Fiscal Court, Jefferson County, Kentucky	Louisville, KY	$198,035
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$65,149
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$18,384
Transitions, Incorporated	Bellevue, KY	$500,000
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$570,000
The Center for Women and Families, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$210,000
Jefferson County Fiscal Court, Jefferson County, Kentucky	Louisville, KY	$465,048
Wayside Christian Mission	Louisville, KY	$75,351
Wayside Christian Mission	Louisville, KY	$444,848
Home of The Innocents	Louisville, KY	$261,500
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$775,000
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$190,744
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$567,787
House of Ruth, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$213,780
Interlink Counseling Services, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$119,070
Kentucky Housing Corporation	Frankfort, KY	$252,000
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$235,927
Chrysalis House, Incorporated	Lexington, KY	$201,369
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$90,636
House of Ruth, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$149,508
Community Action Council for Lexington Fayette	Lexington, KY	$193,226
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$265,991
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$10,862
Kentucky Housing Corporation	Frankfort, KY	$32,057
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$279,795
The Salvation Army	Lexington, KY	$495,639
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$821,802
Interlink Counseling Services, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$102,816
YWCA of Northeast Louisiana	Monroe, LA	$175,268
Volunteers of America Greater New Orleans, Inc.	New Orleans, LA	$52,500
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$71,854
Volunteers of America Greater New Orleans, Inc.	New Orleans, LA	$114,754
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Incorporated	Lafayette, LA	$107,100
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$756,506
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$171,420
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$499,490
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$301,902
Caddo Parish School Board	Shreveport, LA	$89,237
Iberia Homeless Shelter, Incorporated	New Iberia, LA	$217,245
Volunteers of America of N. LA	Shreveport, LA	$100,736
Catholic Charities Archdiocese of New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$246,849
Southwest Louisiana Legal Services Society Incorporated	Lake Charles, LA	$36,196
Community Directions, Incorporated	Opelousas, LA	$194,762
Community Directions, Incorporated	Opelousas, LA	$211,999
Shreveport SRO, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$142,712
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Incorporated	Lafayette, LA	$92,925
Grace House of Louisiana, Incorporated	New Orleans, LA	$563,771

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
St. Mary Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Franklin, LA	$44,652
St. Mary Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Franklin, LA	$64,496
Shreveport SRO, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$227,816
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$173,756
START Corporation	Houma, LA	$514,574
Northlake Community Development Corporation	Hammond, LA	$16,170
Gulf Coast Teaching Family Services, Inc.	Gretna, LA	$512,169
YWCA of NorthWest Louisiana, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$96,394
St. Francis Foundation, Incorporated	Lafayette, LA	$63,000
Hope House of Central Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	$58,245
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$134,684
Volunteers of America of N. LA	Shreveport, LA	$103,775
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$567,401
Southeastern Louisiana University	Hammond, LA	$311,028
Gatehouse Foundation, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$430,574
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$96,642
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$280,326
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$383,733
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$96,769
Providence House	Shreveport, LA	$155,555
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$102,558
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$356,942
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$320,937
Volunteers of America North Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$166,993
Providence House	Shreveport, LA	$91,536
Responsibility House	Kenner, LA	$611,717
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$181,648
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$263,209
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Incorporated	Lafayette, LA	$168,000
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$82,151
The Lodge, Incorporated of Pennsylvania	Lancaster, LA	$1,103,097
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$394,598
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$196,452
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$209,114
Our Father's Arms	Rayville, LA	$474,715
Housing Authority of the Town of Delhi	Delhi, LA	$134,220
YWCA of Northeast Louisiana	Monroe, LA	$209,052
Louisiana State Department of Health and Hospitals	New Orleans, LA	$777,072
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$839,267
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$104,255
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$77,075
City of New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$396,252
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$168,261
Shreveport SRO, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$65,240
City of Springfield, Massachusetts	Springfield, MA	$513,600
Barnestable Housing Authority	Hyannis, MA	$299,040
City of Havenhill	Havenhill, MA	$420,000
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance	Worcester, MA	$199,399
Massachusetts Department of Mental Health	Hyannis, MA	$170,880
City of Springfield, Massachusetts	Springfield, MA	$265,500
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$265,079
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$359,768
City of Worcester	Worcester, MA	$936,453
City of Worcester	Worcester, MA	$228,312
Lynn Housing Authority and Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$498,036
City of Springfield, Massachusetts	Springfield, MA	$64,200
Turner House Living Center for Veterans, Incorporated	Williamstown, MA	$358,266
Community Healthlink, Incorporated	Worcester, MA	$727,859
City of Springfield, Massachusetts	Springfield, MA	$199,846
Construct, Incorporated	Great Barrington, MA	$42,045
City of Springfield, Massachusetts	Springfield, MA	$586,712
City of Springfield, Massachusetts	Springfield, MA	$187,277
Community Healthlink, Incorporated	Worcester, MA	$493,958
Lynn Housing Authority and Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$286,694
Lynn Housing Authority and Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$731,791
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$817,469
Lynn Housing Authority and Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$591,684
City of Springfield, Massachusetts	Springfield, MA	$188,788
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance	Worcester, MA	$499,800
Family Life Support Center, Incorporated	North Adams, MA	$136,487
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$509,617

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$242,300
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$334,551
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$297,006
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$114,988
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$228,522
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$259,141
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$281,553
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$237,130
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$74,775
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$113,558
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$364,419
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$37,765
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$441,713
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$238,109
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$228,739
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$99,293
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$523,501
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$173,726
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$208,357
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$69,774
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$209,977
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$227,607
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$199,569
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$104,996
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$68,775
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$72,450
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$80,352
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$83,333
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$145,273
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$101,302
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$232,987
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$528,179
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$50,400
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$233,009
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$126,899
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$183,825
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$88,733
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$141,053
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$40,788
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$49,357
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$271,842
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$123,633
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$114,692
Cambridge Housing Authority	Cambridge, MA	$156,384
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$134,280
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$58,585
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$40,731
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$156,885
The City of Quincy	Quincy, MA	$239,497
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$386,640
The City of Quincy	Quincy, MA	$236,250
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$515,520
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$120,342
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance	Worcester, MA	$266,832
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$495,600
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$129,492
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$102,085
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$70,828
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$67,200
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$32,553
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$28,772
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$65,281
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$73,920
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$54,075
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$500,000
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$1,605,828
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$339,016
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$487,502
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$276,067
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$190,575
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$363,384

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$522,779
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$73,500
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$390,379
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$205,920
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$83,656
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$1,050,600
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$93,916
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$369,336
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$171,600
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$128,928
City of Lowell, Massachusetts	Lowell, MA	$203,747
City of Lowell, Massachusetts	Lowell, MA	$75,717
City of Lowell, Massachusetts	Lowell, MA	$426,345
City of Lowell, Massachusetts	Lowell, MA	$42,341
City of Lowell, Massachusetts	Lowell, MA	$101,640
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$371,971
City of Boston	Boston, MA	$683,148
Wayside Youth and Family Support Network, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$701,615
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$509,284
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$441,336
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$201,894
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$478,652
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$183,052
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$826,500
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$169,560
Brockton Housing Authority	Brockton, MA	$195,000
Somerville Homeless Coalition	Somerville, MA	$496,894
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$42,000
CASPAR, Incorporated	Somerville, MA	$242,191
Advocates, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$495,008
The Second Step, Incorporated	Newtonville, MA	$190,035
The Second Step, Incorporated	Newtonville, MA	$196,982
Brookline Community Mental Health Center	Brookline, MA	$386,208
Turning Point, Incorporated	Newburyport, MA	$338,567
Community Counseling of Bristol County, Incorporated	Taunton, MA	$491,662
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$683,904
Advocates, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$346,168
YWCA of Greater Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$190,041
Tri-City Housing Task Force for Homeless Families, Incorporated	Malden, MA	$500,000
Tri-City Mental Health and Retardation Center, Incorporated	Medford, MA	$115,670
Tri-City Community Action Program, Incorporated	Malden, MA	$351,928
Tri-City Community Action Program, Incorporated	Malden, MA	$6,730
Emmaus, Incorporated	Haverhill, MA	$67,542
Merrimack Valley YWCA, Incorporated	Lawrence, MA	$162,456
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$1,049,999
City of Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$57,151
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$195,004
Advocates, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$64,497
Advocates, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$82,215
South Middlesex Legal Services, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$97,013
Steppingstone, Incorporated	Fall River, MA	$652,953
Residential Care Consortium, Incorporated	Fall River, MA	$186,050
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$525,000
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$852,297
City of Fall River, Massachusetts	Fall River, MA	$293,376
The Psychological Center	Lawrence, MA	$141,720
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$341,250
Action, Incorporated	Gloucester, MA	$240,240
Brockton Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Brockton, MA	$42,169
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$94,500
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$99,250
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$109,453
Emmaus Incorporated	Haverhill, MA	$102,100
Latin American Health Institute, Incorporated	Boston, MA	$123,691
North Shore Community Action Programs	Peabody, MA	$284,821
Brockton Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Brockton, MA	$93,371
Board of Garrett County Commissioners	Oakland, MD	$40,485
Mental Hygiene Administration	Baltimore, MD	$118,080
Washington County Community Action Council Incorporated	Hagerstown, MD	$175,455
Baltimore County Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$327,600
Baltimore County Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$506,743

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Advocates for Homeless Families Incorporated	Frederick, MD	$14,772
City of Frederick	Frederick, MD	$135,536
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$960,492
Angel's Watch Regional Shelter	Hughesville, MD	$82,391
Somerset County Health Department	Westover, MD	$325,956
Mid-Shore Mental Health Systems Incorporated	Easton, MD	$343,320
National Center for Children and Families	Bethesda, MD	$623,191
Volunteers of America Chesapeake, Inc.	Lanham, MD	$162,225
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$107,336
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$1,177,800
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$503,489
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$87,084
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$329,200
Harford County Government	Bel Air, MD	$54,930
Allegany Co. HRDC, Inc.	Cumberland, MD	$198,132
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$53,664
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$103,224
Baltimore County Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$218,400
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$76,464
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$133,560
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$143,688
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$122,472
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$679,620
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$62,556
Laurel Advocacy and Referral Services	Laurel, MD	$119,776
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$212,362
Prince George's County Dept. of Soc. Services	Landover, MD	$449,617
Prince George's County Dept. of Soc. Services	Landover, MD	$116,193
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$43,192
Nehemiah House Incorporated	Baltimore, MD	$151,473
Rehabilitation System Incorporated	Lanham, MD	$459,285
Harford County Government	Bel Air, MD	$120,441
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$311,096
Laurel Advocacy and Referral Services	Laurel, MD	$47,265
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$617,009
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$110,695
Mental Hygiene Administration	Baltimore, MD	$76,968
Volunteers of America Chesapeake Incorporated	Lanham, MD	$225,114
Young Men's Christian Association of Cumberland Maryland Inc	Cumberland, MD	$223,745
Rehabilitation System Incorporated	Lanham, MD	$64,494
United Communities Against Poverty Incorporated	Capitol Heights, MD	$190,459
Laurel Advocacy and Referral Services	Laurel, MD	$61,963
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless	Rockville, MD	$500,961
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$92,471
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$273,636
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$82,920
Howard County Maryland	Columbia, MD	$43,043
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$33,168
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$113,531
City of Gaithersburg	Gaithersburg, MD	$128,247
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$70,686
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$159,732
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$610,440
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$292,089
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$185,916
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$306,804
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington	Washington, MD	$235,903
City of Frederick	Frederick, MD	$65,899
Housing Opportunities Commission of Maryland County	Kensington, MD	$79,533
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$47,040
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$294,682
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless	Rockville, MD	$359,231
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$290,220
Howard County Maryland	Columbia, MD	$68,736
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$165,840
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$90,758
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$102,606
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$135,109
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$300,065
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$402,120
Housing Opportunities Commission of Maryland County	Kensington, MD	$535,450

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$117,066
Howard County Maryland	Columbia, MD	$142,796
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$502,046
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$333,312
Howard County Maryland	Columbia, MD	$84,962
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$248,760
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$2,842,473
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless	Rockville, MD	$823,853
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$218,532
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$188,940
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$411,852
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$265,344
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$200,088
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$258,999
Baltimore City Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$82,920
State of Maine Dept. of Behavioral & Developmental Services	Augusta, ME	$565,320
State of Maine Dept. of Behavioral & Developmental Services	Augusta, ME	$565,680
Creative Housing for Maine People	Sanford, ME	$503,000
Maine Street Housing Authority	Augusta, ME	$161,148
Bread of Life Ministries	Augusta, ME	$200,000
State of Maine, Dept. of Behavioral & Developmental Services	Bangor, ME	$125,580
York County Shelter, Incorporated	Alfred, ME	$449,138
State of Maine, Dept. of Behavioral & Development Services	Augusta, ME	$140,688
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$72,678
State of Maine, Dept. of Behavioral & Development Services	Augusta, ME	$243,300
Randall Place Ingraham, Inc.	Portland, ME	$320,242
Portland West, Incorporated	Portland, ME	$70,652
YWCA of Greater Portland Maine, Incorporated	Portland, ME	$130,001
City of Portland, Maine	Portland, ME	$71,640
City of Portland, Maine	Portland, ME	$69,927
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$56,448
York-Cumberland Housing Development Corporation	Gorham, ME	$500,000
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$144,900
State of Maine Dept. of Behavioral & Developmental Services	Augusta, ME	$705,408
City of Portland, Maine	Portland, ME	$26,639
City of Portland, Maine	Portland, ME	$343,716
City of Portland, Maine	Portland, ME	$84,268
City of Portland, Maine	Portland, ME	$158,032
Maine Street Housing Authority	Augusta, ME	$102,756
State of Maine Dept. of Behavioral & Developmental Services	Augusta, ME	$274,020
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$118,422
OHI	Hermon, ME	$135,450
County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$443,940
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Lansing, MI	$217,208
Siren/Eaton Shelter, Inc.	Charlotte, MI	$200,000
Detroit Central City C.M.H., Incorporated	Detroit, MI	$1,981,134
Housing Services for Eaton County	Charlotte, MI	$282,814
Center for Women in Transition	Holland, MI	$160,428
Good Samaritan Ministries	Holland, MI	$788,220
Community Mental Health Board of Clinton, Eaton and Ingham Counties	Lansing, MI	$99,750
Bay Area Women's Center	Bay City, MI	$106,488
City Of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$87,550
Northpointe Behavioral Healthcare Systems	Kingsford, MI	$63,052
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$918,428
Mariner's Inn	Detroit, MI	$289,004
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$1,057,722
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$146,930
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$570,820
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$234,912
Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Grand Rapids, MI	$119,520
Charlevoix-Emmet Housing Coalition	Walloon Lake, MI	$26,533
County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$70,875
The Salvation Army	Detroit, MI	$108,743
County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$142,015
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$760,329
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$448,503
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$660,686
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$89,617
American Red Cross Mid-Michigan Chapter	Lansing, MI	$187,618
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$625,469
The Salvation Army	Detroit, MI	$1,036,250

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Lansing, MI	$430,684
Freedom House	Detroit, MI	$166,363
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$854,082
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$218,768
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$209,811
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Lansing, MI	$124,142
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$105,546
Wayne-Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$836,850
Inner City Christian Federation	Grand Rapids, MI	$38,810
Community Rebuilders, Incorporated	Grand Rapids, MI	$260,310
Community Rebuilders, Incorporated	Grand Rapids, MI	$252,840
Dwelling Place of Grand Rapids, Incorporated	Grand Rapids, MI	$52,660
The Recuperation Center, Incorporated	Grand Rapids, MI	$21,315
Community Rebuilders, Incorporated	Grand Rapids, MI	$598,575
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$249,855
YWCA of Grand Rapids	Grand Rapids, MI	$386,579
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$228,488
Heartside Nonprofit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$63,000
Ozone House, Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI	$329,795
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$271,740
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$58,920
Wayne-Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$364,234
Lutheran Social Services of Michigan	Detroit, MI	$33,632
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$618,744
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$127,833
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$136,660
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$697,839
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$667,800
Community Housing Network, Incorporated	Troy, MI	$635,036
Lighthouse of Oakland County, Incorporated	Pontiac, MI	$294,509
Lighthouse of Oakland County, Incorporated	Pontiac, MI	$608,477
Creative Housing Resources	Clawson, MI	$335,548
Genesis Non-Profit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$26,250
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$174,790
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Lansing, MI	$1,921,500
Livingston County Community Mental Health Services Board	Howell, MI	$200,000
Branch County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Coldwater, MI	$14,422
Branch County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Coldwater, MI	$20,700
Community Action Agency	Jackson, MI	$164,800
Florence Crittenton Services	Jackson, MI	$190,182
Homeless Housing Assistance Project of Summit Pointe	Battle Creek, MI	$71,333
Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin & Upper Michigan, Inc.	Marquette, MI	$104,205
Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin & Upper Michigan, Inc.	Marquette, MI	$110,112
Goodwill Industries of Northern Michigan, Incorporated	Traverse City, MI	$329,000
Northern Living Non Profit Housing Corporation	Traverse City, MI	$150,850
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$856,184
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$134,823
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$118,003
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$189,000
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$244,800
SOS Crisis Center, Incorporated	Ypsilanti, MI	$394,732
SOS Crisis Center, Incorporated	Ypsilanti, MI	$1,181,940
SOS Crisis Center, Incorporated	Ypsilanti, MI	$248,415
Avalon Housing, Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI	$86,534
Michigan Ability Partners	Ann Arbor, MI	$262,500
Michigan Ability Partners	Ann Arbor, MI	$401,552
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$193,860
Training and Treatment Innovations	Clawson, MI	$315,554
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Lansing, MI	$504,000
Lenawee Emergency and Affordable Housing Corporation (LEAHC)	Adrian, MI	$86,511
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Virginia, MN	$25,856
Housing Coalition of the Saint Cloud Area	Saint Cloud, MN	$25,965
Cabrini House	Minneapolis, MN	$365,953
Dakota County Community Services	West Saint Paul, MN	$395,767
Dakota County Community Development Agency	Rosemount, MN	$73,224
Pillsbury United Communities	Minneapolis, MN	$1,122,842
Freeport West, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$451,425
Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning	Roseville, MN	$45,108
Hennepin County	Minnetonka, MN	$347,549
Resource, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$264,240
Resource, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$321,044

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Housing Coalition of the Saint Cloud Area	Saint Cloud, MN	$108,000
Simpson Housing Services, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$88,722
Mental Health Resources, Incorporated	Saint Paul, MN	$198,299
East Metro Women's Council	White Bear Lake, MN	$516,000
Freeport West, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$289,151
Southern Minnesota Legal Services	Saint Paul, MN	$98,960
New Foundations, Incorporated	Saint Paul, MN	$125,000
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	Saint Paul, MN	$1,061,677
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minn	Minneapolis, MN	$584,242
New Foundations, Incorporated	Saint Paul, MN	$195,946
Central Community Housing Trust of Minneapolis	Minneapolis, MN	$285,305
Mental Health Resources, Incorporated	Saint Paul, MN	$200,123
Central Community Housing Trust of Minneapolis	Minneapolis, MN	$77,005
Mental Health Resources, Incorporated	Saint Paul, MN	$152,410
Theresa Living Center	Saint Paul, MN	$96,193
Zion Originated Outreach Ministry	Minneapolis, MN	$260,111
Face to Face Health and Counseling Services, Incorporated	Saint Paul, MN	$109,126
Ramsey County	Saint Paul, MN	$513,360
Metropolitan Council	Saint Paul, MN	$122,244
Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning	Roseville, MN	$186,942
Emma Norton Services	Saint Paul, MN	$81,171
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Duluth	Duluth, MN	$64,176
Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning	Roseville, MN	$424,610
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Virginia, MN	$153,429
Human Development Center	Duluth, MN	$129,780
Range Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Virginia, MN	$269,532
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition, Incorporated	Duluth, MN	$263,284
Worthington Housing and Redevelopment Authority, Western Co	Worthington, MN	$205,800
American Indian Community Housing Organization	Duluth, MN	$122,862
Human Services, Incorporated, in Washington County	Oakdale, MN	$250,000
Three Rivers Community Action, Incorporated	Zumbrota, MN	$158,912
Renville County HRA/EDA	Olivia, MN	$439,779
American Indian Housing & Community Development Corporation	Minneapolis, MN	$81,111
Bi-County Community Action Programs, Incorporated	Bemidji, MN	$56,167
People, Inc.	St. Paul, MN	$126,000
Metropolitan Council	Saint Paul, MN	$767,484
Range Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Virginia, MN	$199,201
Lutheran Social Service of Minnesota	Minneapolis, MN	$32,272
Housing Coalition of the Saint Cloud Area	Saint Cloud, MN	$247,088
Tri-Valley Opportunity Council, Incorporated	Crookston, MN	$308,868
Northwoods Coalition for Battered Women	Bemidji, MN	$215,967
Hennepin County	Minnetonka, MN	$489,873
Alliance Housing Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$664,860
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Virginia Minnesota	Virginia, MN	$443,280
Elim Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Columbia Heights, MN	$87,488
Churches United in Ministry	Duluth, MN	$90,300
Elim Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Columbia Heights, MN	$35,781
Rise, Incorporated	Spring Lake Park, MN	$58,004
Metropolitan Council	Saint Paul, MN	$95,292
Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning	Roseville, MN	$285,997
Scott-Carver-Dakota CAP Agency	Shakopee, MN	$93,608
Scott-Carver-Dakota CAP Agency	Shakopee, MN	$104,018
Lutheran Social Service of Minnesota	Minneapolis, MN	$500,000
SAVE, Incorporated	Kansas City, MO	$603,461
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$1,305,906
The Salvation Army	Springfield, MO	$148,882
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$219,345
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$303,268
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$523,285
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$609,445
Economic Security Corporation of Southwest Area	Joplin, MO	$37,426
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$478,107
Housing Authority of the City of Springfield	Springfield, MO	$35,112
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$659,789
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$168,875
City of St. Joseph	St. Joseph, MO	$141,509
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$1,294,165
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$401,173
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$634,019
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$543,567
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$160,604

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Model Cities Health Corporation of K.C.	Kansas City, MO	$615,331
Family Self-Help Center, Incorporated	Joplin, MO	$63,000
Jasper County Public Housing Authority	Jasper, MO	$197,460
The Salvation Army	Joplin, MO	$80,000
Economic Security Corporation of Southwest Area	Joplin, MO	$68,603
Budget and Financial Management Assistance	Kansas City, MO	$365,000
Mid America Assistance Coalition	Kansas City, MO	$24,000
The Kitchen Incorporated	Springfield, MO	$393,750
reStart Incorporated	Kansas City, MO	$679,000
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$215,572
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, Missouri	Columbia, MO	$225,528
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$105,083
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$152,174
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$195,568
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$153,262
Mental Health Association of the Heartland	Kansas City, MO	$192,299
Rose Brooks Center, Incorporated	Kansas City, MO	$610,830
The Kansas City Metropolitan Lutheran Ministry	Kansas City, MO	$761,000
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$723,033
Community Services League	Independence, MO	$169,491
Families Assisted in Transitional Housing (F.A.I.T.H., Inc.)	Clinton, MO	$43,648
City of Natchez	Natchez, MS	$937,666
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Jackson, MS	$157,600
Hinds County, MS	Jackson, MS	$277,860
Hinds County Human Resource Agency	Jackson, MS	$118,650
New Dimensions Development Foundation	Jackson, MS	$345,000
Recovery House, Inc.	Columbus, MS	$367,500
Bolivar County Community Action Program, Inc.	Cleveland, MS	$1,575,000
Sanctuary Hospice House, Incorporated	Tupelo, MS	$918,750
New Life For Women, Incorporated	Jackson, MS	$400,000
Lett & Associates Cross, Incorporated	Moss Point, MS	$88,032
South Mississippi Aids Task Force	Biloxi, MS	$385,497
Supporters of Abuse Free Environments, Incorporated	Hamilton, MT	$107,100
District XII Human Resources Council	Butte, MT	$272,878
Missoula Housing Authority	Missoula, MT	$577,800
County of Missoula	Missoula, MT	$184,737
God's Love Incorporated	Helena, MT	$451,411
Sanders County Coalition for Families	Thompson Falls, MT	$113,927
Missoula Housing Authority	Missoula, MT	$259,680
Helena Housing Authority	Helena, MT	$70,056
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$90,511
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$98,123
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$70,206
The Carying Place, Incorporated	Cary, Wake, NC	$92,000
Community Link Programs of Travelers Aid	Charlotte, NC	$70,341
Pan Lutheran Ministries of Wake County, Incorporated	Raleigh, NC	$51,264
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$56,829
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$49,614
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$103,296
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$37,309
Passage Home, Incorporated	Raleigh, NC	$220,615
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$46,475
The Servant Center, Incorporated	Greensboro, NC	$225,750
Genesis Home	Durham, NC	$349,999
Mecklenburg County Area MH, DD and SA Authority	Charlotte, NC	$759,120
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$14,663
Community Alternatives for Supportive Abodes	Raleigh, NC	$499,989
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$31,500
OASIS, Incorporated	Boone, NC	$10,150
The High Point Housing Coalition, Incorporated	High Point, NC	$41,250
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$47,545
Affordable Housing Coalition of Asheville/Buncombe County,	Asheville, NC	$263,137
Family Service of the Piedmont, Incorporated	High Point, NC	$47,293
Telamon Corporation	Raleigh, NC	$78,994
Neuse Center Area MH/DD/SAS Authority	New Bern, NC	$107,064
Mary's House, Incorporated	Greensboro, NC	$135,982
Greensboro Urban Ministry	Greensboro, NC	$42,000
Greensboro Urban Ministry	Greensboro, NC	$36,750
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Charlotte, NC	$226,646
Inter-Faith Council for Social Services	Carrboro, NC	$525,000
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$47,808

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Servant Center, Incorporated	Greensboro, NC	$59,481
Haven House, Incorporated	Raleigh, NC	$31,147
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$57,750
Blue Ridge Authority	Asheville, NC	$180,408
The Christian Counseling and Wellness Group, Incorporated	Greensboro, NC	$88,007
Orange-Person-Chatham MH, DD & SA Authority	Carrboro, NC	$103,296
Community Alternatives for Supportive Abodes	Raleigh, NC	$376,496
Passage Home, Incorporated	Raleigh, NC	$190,890
Cumberland County, North Carolina	Fayetteville, NC	$515,256
Pan Lutheran Ministries of Wake County, Incorporated	Raleigh, NC	$31,068
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$205,008
Inter-Faith Council for Social Services	Carrboro, NC	$103,000
Hope Haven, Incorporated	Charlotte, NC	$53,980
Community Link Programs of Travelers Aid	Charlotte, NC	$154,342
Caring Services, Incorporated	High Point, NC	$111,503
Pathways Services, Incorporated	Gastonia, NC	$279,731
Gaston County Interfaith Hospitality Network, Incorporated	Gastonia, NC	$38,850
Mecklenburg County Area MH, DD and SA Authority	Charlotte, NC	$299,316
Hope Haven, Incorporated	Charlotte, NC	$53,662
Hospitality House of Asheville, Incorporated	Asheville, NC	$182,886
Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	Asheville, NC	$251,940
Gateway Church	Wilmington, NC	$250,079
Mecklenburg County Area MH, DD and SA Authority	Charlotte, NC	$391,668
Pathways Services, Incorporated	Gastonia, NC	$296,160
CenterPoint Human Services	Winston-Salem, NC	$189,240
Next Step Ministries, Incorporated	Kernersville, NC	$37,800
Hope Haven, Incorporated	Charlotte, NC	$63,000
Hope Haven, Incorporated	Charlotte, NC	$52,867
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	High Point, NC	$28,692
Mecklenburg County Area MH, DD and SA Authority	Charlotte, NC	$316,764
Pathways Services, Incorporated	Gastonia, NC	$74,040
The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	Durham, NC	$217,560
Mecklenburg County Health Department	Charlotte, NC	$145,136
First Fruit Ministries	Wilmington, NC	$104,279
CenterPoint Human Services	Winston-Salem, NC	$280,320
Open Door Ministries of High Point, Incorporated	High Point, NC	$106,065
Rehab Services, Incorporated	Minot, ND	$309,490
Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Fargo, ND	$189,468
Prairie Harvest Human Services Foundation	Grand Forks, ND	$170,000
North Dakota Division of Community Services	Bismarck, ND	$60,000
Grand Lodge of North Dakota, Independent Order of Odd Fellow	Devils Lake, ND	$350,025
North Dakota Division of Community Services	Bismarck, ND	$517,200
Women's Alliance, Incorporated	Dickinson, ND	$112,801
CenterPointe, Incorporated	Lincoln, NE	$443,273
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$83,231
Mid-Nebraska Community Action, Incorporated	Kearney, NE	$90,717
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$125,382
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$153,646
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$116,040
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$625,778
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$114,111
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$293,390
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$214,571
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$160,564
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$162,628
CenterPointe, Incorporated	Lincoln, NE	$187,612
Catholic Social Services	Lincoln, NE	$93,683
Saint Monica's	Lincoln, NE	$140,456
Blue Valley Community Action	Fairbury, NE	$390,684
Lincoln Action Program, Incorporated	Lincoln, NE	$449,539
CEDARS Youth Services	Lincoln, NE	$130,707
Greater Nashua Council on Alcoholism, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$60,083
The Way Home, Incorporated	Manchester, NH	$189,000
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$52,170
On the Road to Recovery, Incorporated	Manchester, NH	$158,508
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$500,640
Families in Transition	Manchester, NH	$498,750
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$139,815
Harbor Homes, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$162,852
Harbor Homes, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$58,481
Harbor Homes, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$59,546

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Southern New Hampshire Services, Inc.	Manchester, NH	$30,736
Families in Transition	Manchester, NH	$132,001
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$16,695
Harbor Homes, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$100,929
My Friend 's Place	Dover, NH	$54,240
Behavioral Health & Developmental Services of Strafford Co.	Dover, NH	$136,967
Southern New Hampshire Services, Incorporated	Manchester, NH	$112,905
Tri-County Community Action Program, Incorporated	Berlin, NH	$188,568
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$395,003
Drenk Behavioral Health Center	Mount Holly, NJ	$15,000
Homeless Solutions Incorporation	Morristown, NJ	$396,965
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$472,800
Family Service	Mount Holly, NJ	$40,000
Catholic Charities Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$69,218
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$136,668
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$176,448
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$77,980
Catholic Charities, Inc.	Trenton, NJ	$45,797
Alliance Against Homelessness of Bergen County, Incorporated	Dumont, NJ	$266,403
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Camden	Pennsauken, NJ	$175,000
County of Union, Department of Human Services	Elizabeth, NJ	$57,902
Mental Health Association of Morris County, Inc.	Madison, NJ	$60,060
County of Union, Department of Human Services	Elizabeth, NJ	$59,465
Isaiah House	East Orange, NJ	$132,390
HABcore, Incorporated	Red Bank, NJ	$582,416
Easter Seals New Jersey	East Brunswick, NJ	$36,368
Bergen County Department of Human Services	Hackensack, NJ	$318,945
Bergen County Community Action Program, Incorporated	Hackensack, NJ	$223,611
Catholic Charities Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$69,292
Cerebral Palsy of Monmouth and Ocean Counties, Incorporated	Wanamassa, NJ	$28,348
Grace Reformed Baptist Church	Newark, NJ	$445,750
South Jersey Behavioral Health Res.	Camden, NJ	$99,178
The Salvation Army	Perth Amboy, NJ	$330,000
Newark Emergency Services for Families, Incorporation	Newark, NJ	$495,936
Hispanic Multi-Purpose Service Center	Paterson, NJ	$108,802
The Apostles' House	Newark, NJ	$295,989
Strengthen Our Sisters	Hewitt, NJ	$700,000
Easter Seals New Jersey	Verona, NJ	$450,975
Urban Renewal Corporation	Newark, NJ	$262,500
Center for Family Services, Incorporated	Camden, NJ	$97,800
Homeless Solutions Incorporation	Morristown, NJ	$219,400
Interfaith Homeless Outreach Council	Cherry Hill, NJ	$27,148
Homeless Solutions Incorporation	Morristown, NJ	$64,300
NJ Department of Military & Veterans Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$354,313
Isaiah House	East Orange, NJ	$615,972
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Incorporated	Collingswood, NJ	$310,238
Isaiah House	East Orange, NJ	$134,823
Positive Health Care, Incorporated	Newark, NJ	$828,345
AIDS Coalition of Southern New Jersey	Bellmawr, NJ	$23,734
Our Lady of Lourdes Health Foundation, Incorporated	Camden, NJ	$256,711
Dooley House, Inc.	Camden, NJ	$500,000
Shelter Our Sisters	Hackensack, NJ	$71,943
Urban Renewal Corporation	Newark, NJ	$170,100
Alliance Against Homelessness of Bergen County, Incorporated	Dumont, NJ	$303,119
County of Monmouth	Freehold, NJ	$170,664
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$1,221,360
Fairmount Housing Corporation	Jersey City, NJ	$1,262,210
180, Turning Lives Around, Incorporated	Hazlet, NJ	$856,318
Respond, Incorporated	Camden, NJ	$64,459
Counseling and Referral Services of Ocean, Incorporated	Brick, NJ	$472,830
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Incorporated	Collingswood, NJ	$608,930
Shelter Our Sisters	Hackensack, NJ	$342,853
Catholic Community Services	Newark, NJ	$785,255
Urban Renewal Corporation	Newark, NJ	$475,473
DACKKs Group for Supportive Housing Development, Inc.	Ramsey, NJ	$673,928
O.C.E.A.N., Incorporated	Toms River, NJ	$535,500
Urban Renewal Corporation	Newark, NJ	$315,000
Middlesex Interfaith Partners with the Homeless (MIPH)	New Brunswick, NJ	$171,256
Integrity, Incorporation	Newark, NJ	$400,000
NJ HOME (A subsidiary of Paterson Coalition for Housing, In	Paterson, NJ	$840,000
Cape Counseling Services, Incorporated	Cape May Court House, NJ	$358,730

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of East Orange	East Orange, NJ	$1,064,160
WomenRising, Inc. (fka–YWCA of Hudson County)	Jersey City, NJ	$644,268
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$838,467
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$223,710
Albuquerque Mental Health Housing Coalition	Albuquerque, NM	$482,380
Catholic Charities of Central New Mexico	Albuquerque, NM	$197,868
Esperanza Shelter for Battered Families, Inc.	Santa Fe, NM	$189,000
The Dharma Foundation III, Incorporated	Taos, NM	$234,411
Catholic Charities of Central New Mexico	Albuquerque, NM	$241,154
Casa Milagro	Santa Fe, NM	$246,750
Transitional Living Services, Inc. (TLC)	Albuquerque, NM	$276,300
Hacienda Del Sol	Las Cruces, NM	$367,603
Barrett Foundation, Incorporated	Albuquerque, NM	$23,780
Catholic Charities of Central New Mexico	Albuquerque, NM	$51,371
Albuquerque Health Care f/t Homeless, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$135,267
St. Martin's Hospitality Center	Albuquerque, NM	$115,500
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe, NM	$170,232
South Western Advocates 4 Kids	Silver City, NM	$317,414
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$231,972
Barrett Foundation, Incorporated	Albuquerque, NM	$97,447
Women's Community Association	Albuquerque, NM	$42,097
El Refugio, Incorporated	Silver City, NM	$198,450
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$784,536
St. Elizabeth Shelter	Santa Fe, NM	$189,598
Eastern Plains Council of Government	Clovis, NM	$510,120
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe, NM	$99,636
Transitional Living Services, Inc. (TLC)	Albuquerque, NM	$105,000
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Las Vegas, NV	$972,640
Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Las Vegas, NV	$849,420
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Las Vegas, NV	$682,399
Churchill County Social Services	Fallon, NV	$250,851
State of Nevada	Carson City, NV	$610,740
Restart, Incorporated	Reno, NV	$325,401
Northern Nevada Community Housing Resource Board	Reno, NV	$325,047
State of Nevada	Carson City, NV	$364,332
State of Nevada	Carson City, NV	$179,412
Circulo De La Hispanidad, Incorporated	Long Beach, NY	$133,424
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$183,792
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$135,806
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$245,232
Community Housing Innovations, Incorporated	White Plains, NY	$333,373
Nassau-Suffolk Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Garden City, NY	$403,200
City of Troy	Troy, NY	$181,757
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$150,000
Nassau County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Hempstead, NY	$147,498
Nassau County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Hempstead, NY	$113,341
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$130,824
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Incorporated	Syosset, NY	$214,840
Nassau/Suffolk Law Services Committee, Incorporated	Hemstead, NY	$194,670
YWCA of WNY	Buffalo, NY	$582,402
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$111,072
City of Mount Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$22,278
Multi-Talents, Inc.	West Hempstead, NY	$167,480
Corporation for AIDS Research, Education and Services	Albany, NY	$75,000
Joseph's House and Shelter, Inc.	Troy, NY	$166,474
Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Diocesan Council, Rockville	Bethpage, NY	$1,099,998
Restoration Society, Inc.	Buffalo, NY	$200,227
Southern Tier Environments for Living Incorporated, (STEL)	Chautauqua, NY	$57,443
City of Mount Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$171,675
Options for Community Living, Inc.	Smithtown, NY	$1,098,308
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$54,100
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$61,240
City of Schenectady	Schenectady, NY	$90,360
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$60,667
City of Troy	Troy, NY	$168,582
Neighborhood Legal Services, Incorporated	Buffalo, NY	$232,430
FLARE (Fillmore-Leroy Area Residents, Inc.)	Buffalo, NY	$202,254
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$254,724
City of Mount Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$45,894
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$175,530
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$183,600

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$255,816
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$171,984
Child and Family Services of Erie County	Buffalo, NY	$724,040
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$208,080
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$263,568
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$612,341
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$203,160
State of New York	Albany, NY	$228,000
County of Westchester	White Plains, NY	$30,000
The Salvation Army of Greater New York	New York, NY	$54,075
Planned Parenthood of Buffalo & Erie County	Buffalo, NY	$204,822
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$88,500
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$1,128,600
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$150,480
City of Mount Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$21,000
City of Mount Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$257,160
Interfaith Hospitality Network, Inc.	Buffalo, NY	$68,625
State of New York	Albany, NY	$76,164
Greater Refuge Temple of Christ	Buffalo, NY	$1,269,348
Tompkins County Department of Social Services	Ithaca, NY	$250,000
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$231,588
County of Westchester	White Plains, NY	$299,999
Corporation for AIDS Research, Education and Services	Albany, NY	$50,000
State of New York	Albany, NY	$112,164
State of New York	Albany, NY	$96,144
YWCA of the Tonawandas	North Tonawanda, NY	$231,363
YWCA of Binghamton/Broome County	Binghamton, NY	$479,013
YWCA of Binghamton/Broome County	Binghamton, NY	$297,224
Gateway Community Industries, Incorporated	Kingston, NY	$89,001
County of Ulster	Kingston, NY	$274,998
State of NY, OASAS	Albany, NY	$153,168
Gerard Place HDFC, Inc.	Buffalo, NY	$533,729
Unity House of Troy, Incorporated	Troy, NY	$555,961
City of Buffalo, WNY Veterans Housing Coalition, Inc.	Buffalo, NY	$209,508
County of Westchester	White Plains, NY	$256,856
Hospital Audiences, Inc.	New York, NY	$166,653
Housing Works, Inc.	New York, NY	$667,269
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$711,405
Bronx Addiction Services Integrated Concepts Systems, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$706,416
Association to Benefit Children	New York, NY	$231,414
VIP Community Services, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$180,033
Community Counseling and Mediation Services	Brooklyn, NY	$477,905
West End Intergenerational Residence, HDEC, Inc.	New York, NY	$267,216
Goddard Riverside Community Center	New York, NY	$188,466
SoHo Partnership	New York, NY	$165,736
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$660,660
Covenant House/Under 21, Inc.	New York, NY	$143,360
Women In Need, Inc.	New York, NY	$582,132
Westside Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$220,412
VIP Community Services, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$278,855
Argus Community, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$739,472
University Consultation and Treatment Center	Bronx, NY	$489,999
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$356,269
Covenant House/Under 21	New York, NY	$1,189,084
The Salvation Army	Rochester, NY	$890,823
Jericho Project, Inc.	New York, NY	$99,342
Safe Space NYC, Inc.	New York, NY	$225,565
Housing Works, Inc.	New York, NY	$939,072
Covenant House/Under 21, Inc.	New York, NY	$504,647
Bowery Residents' Committee, Inc.	New York, NY	$497,954
Care for the Homeless	New York, NY	$349,916
Institute for Community Living, Inc.	New York, NY	$631,575
Nazareth Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$34,257
American Red Cross in Greater New York	New York, NY	$292,116
Institute for Community Living, Inc.	New York, NY	$218,637
Health Industry Resources Enterprise, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,271,258
Banana Kelly Community Improvement Association	Bronx, NY	$753,482
Anchor House, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$481,296
Urban Pathways, Inc.	New York, NY	$174,675
Support for Training & Educational Program Services	New York, NY	$143,396
Westside Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$724,394

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$328,595
Brooklyn Bureau of Community Service	Brooklyn, NY	$904,618
Project Renewal, Incorporated	New York, NY	$670,770
Covenant House/Under 21, Incorporated	New York, NY	$299,112
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,642,740
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$512,952
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$908,676
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$34,246
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$108,466
Henry Street Settlement	New York, NY	$200,022
El Regreso Foundation, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$507,710
Henry Street Settlement	New York, NY	$501,677
Urban Pathways, Inc.	New York, NY	$714,905
Project Renewal, Incorporated	New York, NY	$553,417
Center for Urban Community Services, Incorporated	New York, NY	$298,737
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$315,600
Health Industry Resources Enterprises, Incorporated	New York, NY	$1,326,429
The Citizens Advice Bureau, Incorporated	Bronx, NY	$1,200,000
State of New York	Albany, NY	$978,000
The Educational Alliance, Inc.	New York, NY	$448,421
Women In Need, Incorporated	New York, NY	$288,206
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$341,640
United Bronx Parents, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$839,057
Services for the Underserved Mental Health Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$257,040
Services for the Underserved Mental Health Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$527,258
Services for the Underserved Mental Health Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$182,154
Homes for the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$145,872
Homes for the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$538,832
Goddard Riverside Community Center	New York, NY	$339,288
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Incorporated	Syosset, NY	$233,354
Covenant House/Under 21, Incorporated	New York, NY	$717,646
Project Return Foundation, Incorporated	New York, NY	$985,660
Community Action for Human Services, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$258,416
Community Action for Human Services, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$872,482
The Citizens Advice Bureau, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$601,332
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$480,638
The Bridge, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,076,585
The Bridge, Inc.	New York, NY	$224,325
Homes for the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$261,916
State of New York	Albany, NY	$174,144
State of New York	Albany, NY	$139,704
State of New York	Albany, NY	$322,608
State of New York	Albany, NY	$553,104
State of New York	Albany, NY	$238,344
State of New York	Albany, NY	$108,840
State of New York	Albany, NY	$98,976
Bowery Residents' Committee, Inc.	New York, NY	$511,358
State of New York	Albany, NY	$171,324
State of New York	Albany, NY	$161,304
State of New York	Albany, NY	$58,680
State of New York	Albany, NY	$80,448
State of New York	Albany, NY	$153,396
State of New York	Albany, NY	$174,144
State of New York	Albany, NY	$106,632
State of New York	Albany, NY	$156,120
State of New York	Albany, NY	$263,232
State of New York	Albany, NY	$159,660
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$88,020
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$195,600
State of New York	Albany, NY	$142,980
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$332,500
State of New York	Albany, NY	$364,584
Brooklyn Community Housing & Services, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$783,835
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$492,996
St. John's Place Family Center, HDFC	Brooklyn, NY	$955,038
H.O.M.E.E. Clinic, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$263,874
State of New York	Albany, NY	$105,396
Commond Ground Job Training Corp.	New York, NY	$244,858
State of New York	Albany, NY	$124,188
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$426,528
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$128,400

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$563,832
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$282,156
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$361,140
State of New York	Albany, NY	$89,700
State of New York	Albany, NY	$1,956,000
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$216,576
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$74,811
State of New York	Albany, NY	$94,512
Brooklyn Community Housing & Services	Brooklyn, NY	$153,867
Urban Pathways, Inc.	New York, NY	$148,109
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$69,816
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$48,312
American Red Cross in Greater New York	New York, NY	$201,241
Argus Community, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$740,560
Project Return Foundation, Inc.	New York, NY	$565,580
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$157,500
United Bronx Parents, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$248,975
Project Return Foundation, Inc.	New York, NY	$531,448
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$245,522
Project Return Foundation, Inc.	New York, NY	$916,484
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Inc.	New York, NY	$85,729
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$294,840
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$42,183
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$18,385
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$254,804
Phase: Piggy Back, Inc.	New York, NY	$137,118
Columba Kavanagh House, Inc.	New York, NY	$765,358
Goodwill Industries of Greater NY & Northern NJ, Inc.	Astoria, NY	$420,971
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York, NY	$2,730,480
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York, NY	$391,200
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York, NY	$2,102,700
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$2,373,515
Pathways to Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,582,940
Church Avenue Merchants Block Association, Inc. (CAMBA)	Brooklyn, NY	$8,012
Women In Need, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,309,124
Pathways to Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,719,124
Jericho Project, Inc.	New York, NY	$230,408
Lenox Hill Neighborhood House	New York, NY	$138,911
YMCA of Greater New York	New York, NY	$570,505
Minority Task Force on AIDS	New York, NY	$133,914
Turning Point Housing Development Fund Corporation	Brooklyn, NY	$62,070
East New York Urban Youth Corps	Brooklyn, NY	$193,513
Phase: Piggy Back, Inc.	New York, NY	$305,947
Women in Need, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,189,267
Housing Council in the Monroe County Area, Inc.	Rochester, NY	$61,677
Concern for Independent Living, Incorporated	Medford, NY	$432,838
Help Development Corporation	New York, NY	$878,913
The Salvation Army	Syracuse, NY	$412,433
Catholic Charities of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Syracuse	Syracuse, NY	$263,598
The Salvation Army	Syracuse, NY	$488,470
Syracuse Brick House, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$374,852
YWCA of Syracuse and Onondaga County	Syracuse, NY	$492,767
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$155,088
Catholic Charities of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Syracuse	Syracuse, NY	$201,154
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$282,588
Syracuse Brick House, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$315,732
Family Service League of Suffolk County, Incorporated	Huntington, NY	$92,342
Steuben Churchpeople Against Poverty, Incorporated	Bath, NY	$57,678
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$41,000
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$48,547
Housing Options Made Easy, Incorporated	Cattaraugus, NY	$316,424
Family Service League of Suffolk County, Incorporated	Huntington, NY	$135,666
Transitional Services of New York for Long Island, Inc.	Brentwood, NY	$57,456
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$165,444
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$188,100
Bethesda House of Schenectady, Incorporated	Schenectady, NY	$200,229
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$226,872
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$767,280
Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$735,107
Syracuse Housing Authority	Syracuse, NY	$332,292
Schenectady Municipal Housing Authority	Schenectady, NY	$927,000

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$489,600
City of Schenectady	Schenectady, NY	$66,900
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$491,640
Liberty Resources, Incorporated	Syracuse, NY	$190,065
Westchester DCMH	White Plains, NY	$489,600
The Salvation Army of Greater New York	New York, NY	$54,075
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$210,479
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$59,607
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$36,779
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$120,533
Syracuse Housing Authority	Syracuse, NY	$396,504
Syracuse Brick House, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$468,010
Spanish Action League of Onondaga County, Incorporated	Syracuse, NY	$99,740
The Altamont Program, Incorporated	Liverpool, NY	$93,450
City of Schenectady	Schenectady, NY	$144,250
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$142,788
Albany Housing Coalition	Albany, NY	$67,432
Options for Community Living, Incorporated	Smithtown, NY	$99,610
Rehabilitation Support Services	Albany, NY	$180,359
Homeless and Travelers Aid Society of the Capital District	Albany, NY	$336,196
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Albany	Albany, NY	$185,289
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$153,000
Homeless Action Committee, Incorporated	Albany, NY	$139,251
Homeless Action Committee Incorporated	Albany, NY	$99,991
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$772,500
City of Saratoga Springs	Saratoga Springs, NY	$50,000
City of Saratoga Springs	Saratoga Springs, NY	$226,250
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$30,900
Federation of Organizations for the NYS Mentally Disabled	West Babylon, NY	$103,246
HELP Suffolk, Incorporated	Bellport, NY	$127,897
City of Saratoga Springs	Saratoga Springs, NY	$211,491
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$63,120
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$482,556
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$425,064
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$67,980
Togetherness in Love Community	Rochester, NY	$25,000
Joseph's House and Shelter, Incorporated	Troy, NY	$184,481
State of New York	Albany, NY	$53,688
Unity Health System	Rochester, NY	$290,000
Young Women's Christian Association of Rochester & Monroe Co	Rochester, NY	$53,405
The Salvation Army	Rochester, NY	$1,850,325
Housing Council in the Monroe County Area, Inc.	Rochester, NY	$123,354
Mercy Residential Services, Inc.	Rochester, NY	$207,338
Wilson Commencement Park	Rochester, NY	$139,718
City of Saratoga Springs	Saratoga Springs, NY	$368,445
Saint Christopher-Ottilie DBA Madonna Heights	Dix Hills, NY	$346,500
NASSAU/Suffolk Law Services Committee, Inc.	Hempstead, NY	$51,515
Legal Aid Society of Northeastern NY, Inc.	Albany, NY	$100,957
Community Housing Innovations, Incorporated	White Plains, NY	$109,699
Corporation for AIDS Research, Education and Services	Albany, NY	$100,000
CDCLI Housing Development Fund Corporation	Centereach, NY	$610,512
HELP—Equity Homes, Incorporated	Bellport, NY	$165,914
Daybreak, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$128,759
Project Women	Springfield, OH	$46,162
Project Women	Springfield, OH	$35,020
City of Springfield	Springfield, OH	$30,042
Catholic Charities	Norwalk, OH	$86,769
Ohio Valley Goodwill Industries Rehab. Center, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$854,284
PLACES, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$1,935,214
State of Ohio	Columbus, OH	$1,228,728
PLACES, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$600,000
Humility of Mary Housing Development Corporation	Akron, OH	$229,871
City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$1,162,632
Ashtabula County Mental Health and Recovery Services Board	Ashtabula, OH	$767,828
National Church Residences	Columbus, OH	$750,276
Daybreak, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$251,307
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$1,654,200
EDEN, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$2,147,749
The Greater Youngstown Point, Inc.	Youngstown, OH	$80,150
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$120,901
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$459,795

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$471,704
Coleman Professional Services	Kent, OH	$268,386
Ironton Lawrence County Area	Ironton, OH	$304,000
WSOS Community Action Commission, Inc.	Fremont, OH	$871,737
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$176,160
EDEN, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$233,761
Family and Community Services of Portage	Kent, OH	$346,177
State of Ohio	Columbus, OH	$183,504
Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Lorain, OH	$390,300
Catholic Social Services	Columbus, OH	$33,566
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Licking, OH	$427,620
Columbiana Metropolitan	Columbiana, OH	$267,240
The First Step Home, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$245,175
Community Housing Network	Columbus, OH	$782,016
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$113,472
Wooster Interfaith Housing Corporation	Wooster, OH	$790,810
Hamilton County Dept. of Job Family Services	Cincinnati, OH	$366,628
Jefferson County Community Action Council, Incorporated	Steubenville, OH	$415,296
The Salvation Army	Cincinnati, OH	$826,980
Over-the-Rhine Housing Network	Cincinnati, OH	$168,111
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$533,412
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$607,260
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$419,292
City of Cincinnati	Cincinnati, OH	$574,320
Pickaway County Community Action Organization Incorporation	Circleville, OH	$369,435
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$515,208
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$230,460
Tom Geiger Guest House, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$157,500
City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$349,224
Joseph House, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$322,982
Lutheran Social Services of Central Ohio	Columbus, OH	$393,153
Friends of the Homeless, Inc.	Columbus, OH	$412,499
Friends of the Homeless, Inc.	Columbus, OH	$369,542
Volunteers of America of Central Ohio, Inc.	Columbus, OH	$336,531
Volunteers of America of Central Ohio, Inc.	Columbus, OH	$370,333
Community Housing Network	Columbus, OH	$400,000
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$410,220
Franciscan Home Development	Cincinnati, OH	$641,004
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$87,120
Catholic Social Services	Columbus, OH	$89,983
AIDS Volunteers of Cincinnati	Cincinnati, OH	$85,050
Justice Watch, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$183,621
Tender Mercies Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$898,473
Center For Independent Living Options, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$167,189
Caracole, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$480,000
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$28,296
Shelterhouse Volunteer Group, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$296,258
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$276,288
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Toledo	Toledo, OH	$268,192
The Salvation Army	Canton, OH	$215,363
Community Services of County, Inc.	Canton, OH	$400,000
Minority Development Services of Stark County	Canton, OH	$192,950
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$270,705
Alliance for Children & Families	Alliance, OH	$378,924
P.A.L. Mission	Canton, OH	$200,000
Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority	Canton, OH	$554,400
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$275,403
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$537,741
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$386,373
East Side Catholic Center & Shelter, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$187,749
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$187,351
Continue Life, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$212,973
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$1,555,824
FOCUS	Toledo, OH	$299,930
WSOS Community Action Commission, Inc.	Fremont, OH	$1,264,998
Legacy III, Inc.	Akron, OH	$399,825
Community Support Services	Akron, OH	$143,451
ACCESS, Inc.	Akron, OH	$355,270
Battered Women's Shelter	Akron, OH	$422,206
Battered Women's Shelter	Akron, OH	$329,980
H.M. Life Opportunity Services	Akron, OH	$204,200

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Info Line Incorporated	Akron, OH	$479,390
Community Support Services	Akron, OH	$531,047
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$221,926
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$690,000
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$900,000
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$505,572
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$41,398
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$455,574
YMCA of Youngstown	Youngstown, OH	$320,742
Mental Health Services for Homeless Persons, Incorporated	Cleveland, OH	$283,664
YMCA of Youngstown	Youngstown, OH	$71,334
YMCA of Greater Cleveland	Cleveland, OH	$342,158
Catholic Charities Housing Opportunities	Youngstown, OH	$28,149
Northeast Ohio Legal Services	Youngstown, OH	$52,793
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$450,263
YMCA of Youngstown	Youngstown, OH	$162,735
Youngstown Area Community Action Council	Youngstown, OH	$41,590
Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority	Canton, OH	$155,736
Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	Cleveland, OH	$563,045
FOCUS	Toledo, OH	$890,138
Potential Development Program, Inc.	Youngstown, OH	$54,075
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$97,182
Mental Health Services for Homeless Persons, Incorporated	Cleveland, OH	$525,706
Cleveland Housing Network, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$369,721
Joseph's Home	Cleveland, OH	$273,056
Cleveland Housing Network, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$119,626
VOA of Northeast and North Central Ohio, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$246,967
YMCA of Youngstown	Youngstown, OH	$442,717
Transitional Housing, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$122,528
Catholic Diocese of Cleveland	Cleveland, OH	$39,032
VOA of Northeast and North Central Ohio, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$79,155
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$132,847
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$210,000
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$83,018
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$393,981
Community Service Council of Greater Tulsa	Tulsa, OK	$258,226
Domestic Violence Intervention Services, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$304,076
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Ponca City, OK	$142,800
Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$357,144
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$146,002
Volunteers of America of Oklahoma	Tulsa County, OK	$519,662
United Community Action Program, Incorporated	Pawnee, OK	$82,550
Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$262,500
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$53,760
NW Domestic Crisis Services, Incorporated	Woodward, OK	$761,021
Women's Haven	Duncan, OK	$80,334
Volunteers of America of Oklahoma, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$421,838
Oklahoma Mental Health Council dba/Red Rock Behavioral Health	Oklahoma City, OK	$525,644
Oklahoma Mental Health Council dba/Red Rock Behavioral Health	Oklahoma City, OK	$300,207
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$365,721
Food and Shelter for Friends, Incorporated	Norman, OK	$179,363
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$209,225
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$134,400
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$13,944
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$164,852
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$184,629
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$316,801
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Tulsa, OK	$331,294
Ki Bois Community Action Foundation, Incorporated	Stigler, OK	$358,207
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$50,128
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$143,307
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$9,565
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$114,514
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$99,900
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$500,000
Mid-Willamette Valley Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Salem, OR	$601,625
Central City Concern	Portland, OR	$446,030
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$378,850
St. Vincent de Paul Society of Lane County, Incorporated	Eugene, OR	$499,473
St. Vincent de Paul Society of Lane County, Incorporated	Eugene, OR	$222,220
Portland Impact, Incorporated	Portland, OR	$231,474

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
SW Oregon Community Action Committee, Incorporated	Coos Bay, OR	$25,523
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$70,875
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$108,973
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$11,336
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$82,408
Housing Authority of Portland	Portland, OR	$517,860
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$67,209
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$278,970
The Inn—Home for Boys	Portland, OR	$196,326
The Inn—Home for Boys	Portland, OR	$314,825
Housing Authority of Washington County	Hillsboro, OR	$323,000
Washington County, Oregon	Hillsboro, OR	$114,570
Washington County, Oregon	Hillsboro, OR	$383,760
Coos County Women's Crisis Service	North Bend, OR	$11,620
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$1,580,628
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$40,941
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$86,625
Aging Community Coordinated Enterprises and Supportive Services	Medford, OR	$185,115
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$72,834
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$64,382
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$91,602
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$89,311
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$103,791
Community Works	Medford, OR	$359,100
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$251,164
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$486,083
Central Oregon Community Action Agency Network	Redmond, OR	$296,759
Clatsop Behavioral Healthcare	Astoria, OR	$20,806
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$50,247
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$82,500
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$32,747
Housing Authority of Portland	Portland, OR	$411,732
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$224,068
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$286,595
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$560,080
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$269,319
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$194,670
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$369,773
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$561,043
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$519,474
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$595,491
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$205,065
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$845,364
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$887,370
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$644,218
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$533,188
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$42,908
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$626,500
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$362,250
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$294,600
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$260,144
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$209,337
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$122,987
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$917,297
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$146,721
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Harrisburg, PA	$726,600
Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$577,011
Women's Resource Center, Incorporated	Scranton, PA	$133,729
Carlisle Area Opportunities Industrialization Center, Inc.	Carlisle, PA	$161,818
Borough of State College	State College, PA	$32,760
Centre County Youth Service Bureau	State College, PA	$158,611
Turning Point-Interfaith Mission	Gettysburg, PA	$779,816
Resources for Human Development, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$958,938
Adams County Housing Authority	Gettysburg, PA	$119,238
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$77,400
Berks Counseling Center, Incorporated	Reading, PA	$481,505
Berks Women in Crisis	Reading, PA	$84,000
Easy Does It, Incorporated	Leesport, PA	$97,776
United Way of Berks County	Reading, PA	$252,000
Reading-Berks Emergency Shelter	Reading, PA	$366,862
City of Reading, Pennsylvania	Reading, PA	$205,800

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Reading, Pennsylvania	Reading, PA	$128,483
The Salvation, A New York Corporation Eastern Pennsylvania	Carlisle, PA	$181,125
Access-York, Incorporated	York, PA	$444,150
Hedwig House, Incorporated	Norristown, PA	$183,538
Salvation Army	Norristown, PA	$206,214
Horizon House, Inc.	Chester, PA	$466,439
Community Action Agency of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$365,546
Indian Valley Opportunity Center	Souderton, PA	$64,664
Salvation Army	Norristown, PA	$95,486
Catherine McAuley Center	Scranton, PA	$113,700
The Salvation Army, A New York Corporation, Eastern PA & Del	Chester, PA	$214,635
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$595,896
Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation (NORCAM)	Cambria, PA	$510,312
Family and Community Service of Delaware	Media, PA	$224,887
Penndel Mental Health Center, Incorporated	Penndel, PA	$86,682
Domestic Abuse Project of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$251,458
Crispus Attucks Community Center	Lancaster, PA	$556,132
Hogar International Incorporated of Pennsylvania	Allentown, PA	$994,586
Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley, Incorporate	Bethlehem, PA	$210,000
Community Action Agency of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$534,728
Project H.O.M.E.	Philadelphia, PA	$499,692
YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	Harrisburg, PA	$267,913
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$550,048
Covenant Jobs of Harrisburg, Incorporated	Harrisburg, PA	$241,894
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$1,050,112
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$1,841,421
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$1,985,451
Berks County	Reading, PA	$295,708
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$399,053
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$232,200
Family Planning Council	Philadelphia, PA	$368,928
Resources for Human Development, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$1,020,962
Philadelphia Health Management Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$1,145,970
Drueding Center/Project Rainbow	Philadelphia, PA	$1,400,037
Resources for Human Development, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$817,871
Philadelphia Health Management Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$802,583
Philadelphia Housing Authority	Philadelphia, PA	$1,291,383
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$1,299,981
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$338,722
Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley, Incorporate	Bethlehem, PA	$124,026
The Salvation Army, A New York Corporation	Reading, PA	$593,805
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$191,868
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$171,900
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$263,808
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$103,140
Philadelphia Health Management Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$340,214
Delta Housing, Incorporated	Harrisburg, PA	$252,201
Holcomb Behavioral Health Systems	Exton, PA	$227,762
Dauphin County Human Services/Adult and Family Services Dept	Harrisburg, PA	$326,097
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$986,160
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$500,000
Greater Philadelphia Urban Affairs Coalition	Philadelphia, PA	$633,929
Horizon House, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$659,479
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$129,000
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$263,460
City of Reading, Pennsylvania	Reading, PA	$91,964
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$61,797
County of Erie Department of Human Services	Erie, PA	$226,412
County of Erie Department of Human Services	Erie, PA	$210,000
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$273,839
Catholic Social Services	Wilkes Barre, PA	$362,250
Mercer County Community Action Agency	Sharon, PA	$154,494
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$310,404
County of Erie Department of Human Services	Erie, PA	$492,319
Human Services Center	Norristown, PA	$409,920
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$955,460
Hedwig House, Incorporated	Norristown, PA	$432,090
Laurel House, Incorporated	Norristown, PA	$252,000
Crawford County Courthouse	Meadville, PA	$464,400
Travelers Aid Society of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$768,148
County of Indiana	Indiana, PA	$40,178

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
County of Erie Department of Human Services	Erie, PA	$443,360
Mental Health Association of SE PA	Philadelphia, PA	$187,474
Greater Philadelphia Urban Affairs Coalition	Philadelphia, PA	$593,170
Armstrong County Community Action Agency	Kittanning, PA	$328,292
Indiana County Community Action Program, Incorporated	Indiana, PA	$242,405
County of Indiana	Indiana, PA	$97,686
Opportunities Industrialization Corp.	Philadelphia, PA	$134,200
County of Erie Department of Human Services	Erie, PA	$341,712
DuBois Housing Authority	DuBois, PA	$348,300
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$133,923
Community Housing Services, Incorporated	Landsdale, PA	$349,616
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$223,088
Dickinson Mental Health Center	Ridgway, PA	$584,636
Prince of Peace Center	Farrell, PA	$304,141
Westmoreland Human Opportunities, Inc.	Greensburg, PA	$458,194
Good Shepherd Corporation of Clarks Summit	Luzerne, PA	$82,163
Turning Point-Interfaith Mission	Gettysburg, PA	$600,116
Commission on Economic Opportunity	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$539,612
Commission on Economic Opportunity	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$493,459
Corporacion La Fondita de Jesus	San Juan, PR	$2,074,864
Corporacion Milagros del Amor	Caguas, PR	$75,600
Hogar Resurreccion	Caguas, PR	$787,017
Fundacion de Desarrollo Comunal de PR, Incorporation	Caguas, PR	$952,484
Catholic Social Services of Puerto Rico Incorporated	San Juan, PR	$1,303,802
Municipal Government of San Juan	San Juan, PR	$1,465,800
Municipal Government of San Juan	San Juan, PR	$901,063
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$220,626
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$123,401
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$224,698
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$399,295
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$471,858
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$292,742
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$220,692
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$103,187
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$649,030
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$549,999
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$500,000
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$66,659
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$170,490
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$129,780
Rhode Island Housing and Mortgage Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$399,995
Homes of Hope	Piedmont, SC	$199,077
Upstate Homeless Coalition of South Carolina	Greenville, SC	$642,153
Crisis Ministries	Charleston, SC	$238,630
Crisis Ministries	Charleston, SC	$62,899
Project Care, Incorporated	Greenville, SC	$500,000
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources, Incorporated	Greenville, SC	$98,675
Meg's House	Greenwood, SC	$218,645
Clinton Christian Outreach, Incorporated	Clinton, SC	$64,050
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources, Incorporated	Greenville, SC	$545,315
Home Alliance, Incorporated	Myrtle Beach, SC	$134,400
Humanities Foundation, Incorporated	Charleston, SC	$500,000
Upstate Homeless Coalition of South Carolina	Greenville, SC	$184,304
Pee Dee Community Action Agency	Florence, SC	$404,250
Florence Crittenton Programs of South Carolina	Charleston, SC	$201,768
Any Length Recovery, Incorporated	Sumter, SC	$803,560
Greenville Area Interfaith Hospitality Network	Greenville, SC	$65,328
Humanities Foundation, Incorporated	Charleston, SC	$304,197
Pee Dee Community Action Agency	Florence, SC	$98,004
Pee Dee Community Action Agency	Florence, SC	$179,098
Lighthouse Ministries	Florence, SC	$259,350
Santee-Lynches Affordable Housing and Community Development	Sumter, SC	$147,385
Charleston County Human Services Commission	Charleston, SC	$104,054
The Women's Shelter	Columbia, SC	$124,608
Inter-Lakes Community Action, Incorporated	Madison, SD	$1,167,836
Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Sioux Falls, SD	$809,400
Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Sioux Falls, SD	$117,648
Memphis Family Shelter, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$148,050
Professional Counseling Services, Incorporated	Covington, TN	$123,959
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$131,539
Jackson Housing Authority	Jackson, TN	$442,140

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$228,444
Partners for the Homeless	Memphis, TN	$70,363
Catholic Charities, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$455,356
Mid-South HealthNet, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$393,750
Carey Counseling Center, Incorporated	Paris, TN	$308,595
Damascus Road Incorporated	Paris, TN	$49,258
Women's Resource and Rape Assistant Program	Jackson, TN	$86,073
Quinco Mental Health Center	Bolivar, TN	$282,345
Catholic Charities, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$625,350
Memphis Family Shelter, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$247,722
Chattanooga Church Ministries, Incorporated	Chattanooga, TN	$94,828
Alpha Omega Veterans Services	Memphis, TN	$505,050
Metropolitan Inter-Faith Association	Memphis, TN	$307,238
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$72,392
Whitehaven Southwest Mental Health Center	Memphis, TN	$50,708
Metropolitan Inter-Faith Association	Memphis, TN	$244,879
Metropolitan Inter-Faith Association	Memphis, TN	$349,734
Whitehaven Southwest Mental Health Center	Memphis, TN	$214,347
Nashville Cares	Tennessee, TN	$15,410
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$24,850
Urban Housing Solutions	Nashville, TN	$500,000
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$31,203
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$854,688
Case Management, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$89,250
Renewal House, Incorporated	Nashville, TN	$87,922
Fortwood Center, Incorporated	Chattanooga, TN	$138,649
Nashville Cares	Tennessee, TN	$159,084
Nashville Young Women's Christian Assoc.	Nashville, TN	$150,000
Park Center	Nashville, TN	$372,242
Buffalo Valley, Incorporated	Hohenwald, TN	$445,650
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$342,180
Operation Stand Down Nashville, Incorporated	Nashville, TN	$150,000
Child and Family Tennessee	Knoxville, TN	$266,698
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$393,355
Buffalo Valley, Incorporated	Hohenwald, TN	$73,333
Buffalo Valley, Incorporated	Hohenwald, TN	$49,575
Buffalo Valley, Incorporated	Hohenwald, TN	$376,032
Campus for Human Development	Nashville, TN	$183,630
Samaritan Recovery Community, Incorporated	Nashville, TN	$157,500
Metropolitan Government of Nashville-Davidson County	Nashville, TN	$174,485
Murfreesboro Housing Authority	Murfreesboro, TN	$268,414
The Housing Authority of Travis County	Austin, TX	$387,264
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$205,902
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$496,805
Harmony House Incorporated	Houston, TX	$279,450
The Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Austin, TX	$315,684
Families Under Urban and Social Attack, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$158,875
Austin Travis County MHMR Center	Austin, TX	$78,533
Austin Travis County MHMR Center	Austin, TX	$348,007
Travis County Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Survival	Austin, TX	$624,113
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Austin, TX	$776,928
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$256,851
Tarrant County ACCESS for the Homeless	Fort Worth, TX	$24,237
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$432,495
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$29,874
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$41,016
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$69,329
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$273,600
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$54,272
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$134,726
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$187,072
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$31,090
Legal Services of North Texas	Dallas, TX	$22,932
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$188,007
City of Beaumont	Beaumont, TX	$98,208
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Incorporated	Pasadena, TX	$783,462
Search	Houston, TX	$193,040
Career and Recovery Resources, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$351,342
Harris County	Houston, TX	$134,328
Harris County	Houston, TX	$245,472
Harris County	Houston, TX	$416,160

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Harris County	Houston, TX	$416,160
Harris County	Houston, TX	$62,424
Harris County	Houston, TX	$144,528
Harris County	Houston, TX	$108,708
South East Texas Regional Planning Commission	Beaumont, TX	$52,206
Dallas Jewish Coalition, Incorporation	Dallas, TX	$166,441
Neighborhood Development Corporation	Orange, TX	$655,438
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$146,633
LifeNet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$350,266
Presbyterian Night Shelter	Fort Worth, TX	$181,078
American YouthWorks	Austin, TX	$104,559
The Housing Authority of the City of San Antonio TX006	San Antonio, TX	$126,684
Coalition for the Homeless Houston/Harris County	Houston, TX	$730,304
Child Care Council of Greater Houston, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$406,347
Goodwill Industries of South Texas, Incorporation	Corpus Christi, TX	$394,460
Wesley Community Center	Corpus Christi, TX	$269,942
Turtle Creek Manor, Incorporation	Dallas, TX	$257,237
The Jesse Tree, Inc.	Galveston, TX	$443,777
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$431,880
Central Texas Youth Services Bureau, Incorporated	Belton, TX	$386,037
LifeNet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$124,999
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$322,293
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$679,310
Housing Authority-City of Fort Worth	Fort Worth, TX	$1,679,904
Wesley Community Center, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$323,007
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$681,120
YWCA El Paso Del Norte Region	El Paso, TX	$605,565
City of El Paso, Texas	El Paso, TX	$160,634
La Posada Home, Incorporation	El Paso, TX	$267,084
El Paso Community MH/MR Center	El Paso, TX	$611,947
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$374,724
The Gulf Coast Center	Galveston, TX	$521,088
The Gulf Coast Center	Galveston, TX	$273,600
Family Services of Southeast Texas, Incorporated	Beaumont, TX	$355,000
LifeNet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$390,895
Volunteers of America Texas, Incorporated	Fort Worth, TX	$124,342
Promise House, Incorporation	Dallas, TX	$219,538
Mental Health and Mental Retardation Center of Nueces County	Corpus Christi, TX	$251,380
Family Gateway, Incorporation	Dallas, TX	$150,700
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$85,050
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$103,194
The Family Place	Dallas, TX	$976,567
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$250,598
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$182,252
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$149,913
Family Gateway, Incorporation	Dallas, TX	$42,440
Just People, Incorporated	Abilene, TX	$104,101
City of Amarillo	Amarillo, TX	$433,864
d/b/a AIDS Services of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$574,390
Northwest Assistance Ministries	Houston, TX	$983,123
Wesley Community Center, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$338,768
Wellsprings, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$129,541
Wesley Community Center, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$386,099
AIDS Foundation Houston, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$423,668
University of Texas Health Science Center	Houston, TX	$398,237
AIDS Foundation Houston, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$1,149,981
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Galveston / Houston	Houston, TX	$56,465
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$542,568
Search	Houston, TX	$77,497
Bay Area Turning Point, Incorporated	Webster, TX	$216,405
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$207,408
Mental Health Mental Retardation Authority of Harris County	Houston, TX	$593,470
DePelchin Children's Center	Houston, TX	$509,589
The Women's Home	Houston, TX	$253,433
Search	Houston, TX	$217,944
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Incorporated	Pasadena, TX	$502,038
City of Longview	Longview, TX	$196,128
Denton County MHMR Center	Denton, TX	$792,955
Travis County Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Survival	Austin, TX	$202,327
Tarrant County ACCESS for the Homeless	Fort Worth, TX	$166,451
Covenant House Texas	Houston, TX	$398,656

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$147,895
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$307,406
Youth and Family Alliance, Incorporation dba LifeWorks	Austin, TX	$215,320
Carites of Austin	Austin, TX	$313,926
Wesley Community Center	Corpus Christi, TX	$136,434
Coastal Bend Alcohol and Drug Rehabilitation Center	Corpus Christi, TX	$148,240
Search	Houston, TX	$446,640
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$191,832
The Housing Authority of the City of San Antonio TX006	San Antonio, TX	$129,132
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$807,273
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$232,491
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$122,895
University of Texas Health Science Center	Houston, TX	$420,367
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$224,239
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$238,580
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$686,156
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$178,920
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$420,000
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$409,006
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$210,000
United States Veterans Initiative	Houston, TX	$377,473
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$389,729
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$705,125
Iron County Care and Share	Cedar City, UT	$75,816
Family Connection Center of Davis Support Center Inc.	Clearfield, UT	$269,000
State of Utah, Department of Community & Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$49,980
Homeless Veterans Fellowship	Ogden, UT	$46,106
Scenicview Center, L.L.C.	Salt Lake City, UT	$440,889
Community Action Services	Provo, UT	$228,653
Food & Care Coalition of Utah Valley	Provo, UT	$55,125
Center for Women and Children in Crisis	Provo, UT	$77,068
Four Corners Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Moab, UT	$417,252
State of Utah, Department of Community & Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$20,000
Mountainlands Community Housing Trust	Park City, UT	$72,000
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$179,592
Center for Women and Children in Crisis	Provo, UT	$16,252
Family Support Center, Inc.	Midvale, UT	$400,000
Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Salt Lake City, UT	$193,896
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$798,000
Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Salt Lake City, UT	$523,740
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$159,793
Iron County Care and Share	Cedar City, UT	$105,222
Volunteers of America, Inc.	Salt Lake City, UT	$356,088
Family Support Center, Inc.	Midvale, UT	$98,532
Green Street Partners, Inc.	Salt Lake City, UT	$302,084
Family Support Center, Inc.	Midvale, UT	$203,280
State of Utah, Department of Community & Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$210,000
Norfolk Community Services Board	Norfolk, VA	$365,747
St. Joseph's Villa	Richmond, VA	$274,390
City of Roanoke	Roanoke, VA	$413,006
FORkids, Inc.	Norfolk, VA	$582,749
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$157,896
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$242,352
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$189,105
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$162,468
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$285,516
Homeward	Richmond, VA	$26,745
Arlington County, VA	Arlington, VA	$217,245
Community Resource Network of Chesapeake, Inc.	Chesapeake, VA	$159,551
CARITAS, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$79,697
FORkids, Inc.	Norfolk, VA	$426,881
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$19,128
Samaritan House, Inc.	Virginia Beach, VA	$157,500
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$841,536
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$504,600
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$105,000
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$624,566
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$529,095
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$74,613
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$121,939
St. Joseph's Villa	Richmond, VA	$409,500

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
CANDII	Norfolk, VA	$61,716
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$294,795
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$47,688
City of Portsmouth	Portsmouth, VA	$294,960
Emergency Shelter, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$773,836
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$131,643
Northwestern Community Services	Front Royal (Warren), VA	$117,613
Waynesboro Housing Corporation	Waynesboro, VA	$312,112
The Salvation Army—A GA Corporation	Petersburg, VA	$212,428
Lynchburg Neighborhood Development Foundation	Lynchburg, VA	$412,125
Portsmouth Area Resources Coalition, Inc.	Portsmouth, VA	$240,823
YWCA of South Hampton Roads	Norfolk, VA	$130,413
Serenity House Substance Abuse Recovery Program, Inc.	Newport News, VA	$75,610
St. Columba Ecumencial Ministries, Inc.	Norfolk, VA	$107,389
Avalon: A Center for Women and Children	Williamsburg, VA	$111,516
Transitions Family Violence Services	Hampton, VA	$176,099
Hampton-Newport News Community Services Board	Newport News, VA	$162,480
Region Ten Community Services Board	Charlottesville, VA	$370,090
Samaritan House, Inc.	Virginia Beach, VA	$363,309
Community Alternatives Management Group, Inc.	Virginia Beach, VA	$111,014
Virginia Beach Community Development Corp.	Virginia Beach, VA	$55,068
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$226,256
TRUST—The Roanoke Valley Trouble Center	Roanoke, VA	$161,576
YWCA of South Hampton Roads	Norfolk, VA	$205,332
Barrett Haven, Inc.	Norfolk, VA	$392,684
HomeBase of the Va. Peninsula, Inc.	Hampton, VA	$203,256
Prince William County Dept. of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$162,619
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Fairfax, VA	$157,584
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Fairfax, VA	$118,080
Fairfax-Falls Church Community Services Board	Fairfax, VA	$506,663
Pathway Homes, Incorporated	Fairfax, VA	$118,342
New Hope Housing, Incorporated	Alexandria, VA	$176,551
Christian Relief Services Charities, Incorporated	Lorton, VA	$135,674
Christian Relief Services Charities, Incorporated	Lorton, VA	$135,674
Pathway Homes, Incorporated	Fairfax, VA	$118,342
United Community Ministries, Incorporated	Alexandria, VA	$269,464
Pathway Homes, Incorporated	Fairfax, VA	$118,342
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Fairfax, VA	$610,800
Prince William County Dept. of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$14,190
Arlington-Alexandria Coalition for the Homeless (AACH)	Arlington, VA	$139,440
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, VA	$63,258
Sheltered Homes of Alexandria	Alexandria, VA	$89,288
Christian Relief Services Charities, Incorporated	Lorton, VA	$135,674
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$98,150
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$29,814
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$267,687
Pathway Homes, Incorporated	Fairfax, VA	$118,342
Arlington County Dept of Human Services	Arlington, VA	$175,460
Arlington County, VA	Arlington, VA	$541,560
Community Residences, Incorporated	Arlington, VA	$244,895
Chesapeake (VA) Community Services Board	Chesapeake, VA	$133,740
County of Loudoun	Leesburg, VA	$106,429
Northwestern Counseling & Support Services	St. Albans, VT	$61,068
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$111,048
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$69,865
Addison County Community Action Group, Incorporated	Addison County, VT	$290,092
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$512,280
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$26,448
The Howard Center for Human Services	Burlington, VT	$181,146
The Howard Center for Human Services	Burlington, VT	$200,073
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$148,815
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$78,435
Yakima County Coalition for the Homeless	Yakima, WA	$183,750
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$54,121
Spokane County	Spokane, WA	$200,000
Washington State Department of Community Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$133,279
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$152,874
Washington State Department of Community Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$131,846
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$31,882
Share	Vancouver, WA	$61,267
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$30,101

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Vancouver, WA	$31,843
YW Housing	Vancouver, WA	$92,365
Council for the Homeless	Vancouver, WA	$24,937
YW Housing	Vancouver, WA	$354,035
Yakima County Coalition for the Homeless	Yakima, WA	$150,000
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$246,216
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$42,622
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,084
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$93,161
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$86,629
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,132
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$62,396
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$38,802
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,799
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$499,304
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$37,734
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$76,526
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$9,472
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,536
Housing Hope	Everett, WA	$89,483
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$141,840
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$304,488
Bremerton Housing Authority	Bremerton, WA	$132,450
City of Bremerton	Bremerton, WA	$88,740
City of Bremerton	Bremerton, WA	$40,548
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$734,256
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$80,681
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$490,977
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$44,511
YouthCare	Seattle, WA	$151,856
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$164,820
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$88,705
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$326,054
Seattle Emergency Housing Service	Seattle, WA	$29,684
Washington State Department of Community Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$123,116
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$699,825
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$699,825
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$168,723
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$263,384
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$54,022
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$36,902
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$94,034
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$194,676
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$24,324
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$135,450
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$124,212
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$511,200
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$200,200
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$100,100
Housing Hope	Everett, WA	$80,315
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$14,917
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$34,106
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$85,614
Multi-Service Center	Federal Way, WA	$26,725
United Indians of All Tribes Foundation	Discovery Park, WA	$343,565
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$328,382
El Centro de La Raza	Seattle, WA	$17,602
Children's Home Society of Washington	Seattle, WA	$56,642
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$80,012
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$548,599
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$492,049
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$51,424
Housing Authority of the City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$9,896
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$126,136
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$696,733
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$197,739
Kent Youth and Family Services	Kent, WA	$38,135
Low Income Housing Institute	Seattle, WA	$397,916
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$57,320
Low Income Housing Institute	Seattle, WA	$210,000
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$1,149,355

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$201,577
Church Council of Greater Seattle	Seattle, WA	$57,278
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$78,878
Seattle Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program	Seattle, WA	$23,580
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$545,050
Fremont Public Association	Seattle, WA	$158,620
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$116,396
The Compass Center	Seattle, WA	$13,119
Safe Haven Associates	Washington, WA	$348,157
The Salvation Army	Seattle, WA	$253,989
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$443,472
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$105,422
The Compass Center	Seattle, WA	$13,165
Community Psychiatric Clinic	Seattle, WA	$75,172
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$168,153
Catholic Community Services of Western Washington	Seattle, WA	$140,085
YMCA of Greater Seattle	Seattle, WA	$36,141
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$25,423
Manaway Evangelistic Ministry	Seattle, WA	$18,760
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$838,688
AIDS Housing of Washington	Seattle, WA	$387,192
YouthCare	Seattle, WA	$105,603
Auburn Youth Resources	Auburn, WA	$123,287
Friends of Youth	Redmond, WA	$123,062
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$507,351
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$42,541
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$181,307
Development Association of Goodwill Baptist Church	Seattle, WA	$28,597
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$121,546
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$56,251
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$9,350
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$135,599
The Salvation Army	Seattle, WA	$77,839
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$88,698
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$101,409
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$143,082
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$43,419
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$106,004
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$54,810
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$50,055
Serenity House of Clallam County	Port Angeles, WA	$138,215
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$149,625
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$140,868
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$84,130
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$117,195
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$77,175
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$31,500
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$247,430
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$144,133
Washington State Department of Community, Trade and Economic	Olympia, WA	$36,312
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$492,360
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$35,280
Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Madison, WI	$500,000
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$97,382
ADVOCAP, Incorporated	Fond du Lac, WI	$326,305
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$194,166
The Salvation Army	Madison, WI	$38,193
YWCA of Greater Milwaukee	Milwaukee, WI	$170,160
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$491,707
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$529,732
Kenosha Human Development Services, Incorporated	Kensosha, WI	$413,495
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$412,473
Guest House of Milwaukee, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$541,384
Transitional Living Center	Burlington, WI	$23,992
Hebron House of Hospitality, Incorporated	Waukesha, WI	$349,605
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$412,650
Legal Action of Wisconsin, Incorporated	Racine, WI	$80,536
Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd.	Milwaukee, WI	$127,404
St. Catherine Residence	Milwaukee, WI	$433,139
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$157,815
Walker's Point Youth and Family Center	Milwaukee, WI	$60,820

FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Community Action, Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties	Janesville, WI	$413,517
Milwaukee County Department of Human Services	Milwaukee, WI	$791,504
Family Service of Racine	Racine, WI	$88,905
Community Advocates, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$252,000
Sojourner Truth House, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$122,769
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$247,580
Guest House of Milwaukee, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$411,800
Hope House of Milwaukee, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$92,038
Meta House, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$108,341
Housing Authority of City of Milwaukee	Milwaukee, WI	$572,520
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$71,610
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$217,929
Health Care For The Homeless of Milwaukee, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$147,000
Milwaukee County Housing and Community Development	Milwaukee, WI	$211,980
Tellurian UCAN, Incorporated	Monona, WI	$249,165
City of Madison, Wisconsin	Madison, WI	$111,374
Tellurian UCAN, Incorporated	Monona, WI	$739,683
Milwaukee County Department of Human Services	Milwaukee, WI	$929,378
YWCA of Greater Milwaukee	Milwaukee, WI	$78,750
Tellurian UCAN, Incorporated	Monona, WI	$67,327
Homeward Bound of Racine City, Incorporated	Racine, WI	$318,374
Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Madison, WI	$343,636
My Home, Your Home, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$550,642
Milwaukee County Behavioral Health Division	Milwaukee, WI	$1,901,904
The Open Gate, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$667,574
State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$335,235
Wisconsin Coulee Region Community Action Program, Inc.	Westby, WI	$71,362
Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd.	Milwaukee, WI	$1,120,329
Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd.	Milwaukee, WI	$242,900
Greater Wheeling Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Wheeling, WV	$413,436
Young Women's Christian Association	Charleston, WV	$500,000
City of Charleston	Charleston, WV	$378,533
Young Women's Christian Association	Charleston, WV	$89,580
LifeBridge, Incorporated	Charleston, WV	$59,999
Stop Abusive Family Environments, Incorporated (S.A.F.E.)	Welch, WV	$135,800
Roark-Sullivan Lifeway Center	Charleston, WV	$558,342
COMEA, Inc.	Cheyenne, WY	$250,000
Housing Authority-City of Casper	Casper, WY	$398,233

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Mat-Su Community Mental Health Services, Incorporated, DBA Life Quest	Wasilla, AK	$26,340
Mat-Su Community Mental Health Services, Incorporated, DBA Life Quest	Wasilla, AK	$102,500
Mat-Su Community Mental Health Services, Incorporated, DBA Life Quest	Wasilla, AK	$46,464
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Bethel, AK	$50,966
Interior Alaska Center for Non-Violent Living	Fairbanks, AK	$65,648
Fairbanks Native Association	Fairbanks, AK	$38,350
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$355,560
Municipality of Anchorage	Anchorage, AK	$296,714
Alaskan AIDS Assistance Association	Anchorage, AK	$103,425
Rural Alaska Community Action Program, Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$357,474
Rural Alaska Community Action Program, Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$141,168
Covenant House Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$245,629
Anchorage Community Mental Services, Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$256,087
Anchorage Housing Initiatives, Inc.	Anchorage, AK	$81,886
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$23,724
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$125,448
Anchorage Community Mental Services, Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$203,465
Anchorage Community Mental Services, Incorporated	Anchorage, AK	$390,477
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$226,440
AIDS Alabama, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$149,301
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$203,659
Jefferson-Blount-St. Clair Mental Health/Mental Retardation Authority	B*COM020*irmingham, AL	$243,791
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$790,200
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Birmingham, AL	$159,973
City of Huntsville, Alabama	Huntsville, AL	$253,488
Interfaith Mission Service, Incorporated	Huntsville, AL	$105,017

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Cooperative Downtown Ministries	Birmingham, AL	$250,000
Birmingham Health Care, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$189,126
Lighthouse Counseling Center, Inc.	Montgomery, AL	$860,400
Metropolitan Birmingham Services for the Homeless	Birmingham, AL	$415,800
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$191,251
Community Kitchens of Birmingham, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$99,133
Birmingham Health Care, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$271,689
Aletheia House	Birmingham, AL	$314,705
Aletheia House	Birmingham, AL	$108,858
Mid-Alabama Chapter of the Alabama Coalition of Citizens with Disabilities	Birmingham, AL	$26,460
AIDS Alabama, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$258,591
YWCA of Central Alabama	Birmingham, AL	$64,688
First Light, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$82,379
YWCA of Central Alabama	Birmingham, AL	$303,477
Pathways, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$705,360
Pathways, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$128,182
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$161,316
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$156,358
Riverbend Center for Mental Health	Florence, AL	$250,000
Montgomery Area Mental Health Authority	Montgomery, AL	$303,003
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$704,160
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$143,430
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$398,492
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$166,514
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$105,000
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$769,351
Montgomery Area Family Violence Program, Inc.	Montgomery, AL	$277,213
State of Alabama	Montgomery, AL	$180,684
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$163,176
Safeplace, Incorporated	Florence, AL	$520,531
AIDS Alabama, Incorporated	Birmingham, AL	$186,874
City of Pine Bluff	Pine Bluff, AR	$701,262
Health Resources of Arkansas	Batesville, AR	$510,673
Black Community Developers, Incorporated	Little Rock, AR	$330,375
Department of Human Services	Little Rock, AR	$749,220
Department of Human Services	Little Rock, AR	$433,668
Seven Hills Homeless Shelter	Fayetteville, AR	$46,666
Second Genesis Ministries, Incorporated	Little Rock, AR	$109,112
Seven Hills Homeless Shelter	Fayetteville, AR	$224,721
(Committee Against Spouse Abuse) CASA	Pine Bluff, AR	$103,929
Counseling Associates, Incorporated	Conway, AR	$200,000
Bethlehem House, Incorporated	Conway, AR	$45,360
Our House, Incorporated	Little Rock, AR	$487,705
Arkansas Department of Human Services	Little Rock, AR	$286,056
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$24,040
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$59,304
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$108,701
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$63,064
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$971,973
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$199,500
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$437,698
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$35,000
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$78,176
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$158,550
The Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$1,716,480
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$163,178
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$126,575
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$60,735
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$318,730
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$176,753
Save the Family Foundation of Arizona	Mesa, AZ	$211,412
Women In New Recovery (WINR)	Mesa, AZ	$46,862
The Salvation Army	Phoenix, AZ	$45,360
Sojourner Center	Phoenix, AZ	$147,805
PREHAB of Arizona, Inc.	Mesa, AZ	$510,688
Save the Family Foundation of Arizona	Mesa, AZ	$411,726
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$48,937
Tumbleweed Center for Youth Development	Phoenix, AZ	$214,429
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$64,999
United Methodist Outreach Ministries, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$187,584
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$91,043

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$202,031
The Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$938,788
The Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$814,584
The EXCEL Group	Yuma, AZ	$133,488
United Methodist Outreach Ministries, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$80,126
Travelers Aid Society of Tucson, Incorporated	Tucson, AZ	$224,973
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$903,424
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$20,775
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$58,025
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$499,972
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$1,735,423
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$77,700
City of Tucson Community Services Department	Tucson, AZ	$663,600
City of Tucson Community Services Department	Tucson, AZ	$120,771
City of Tucson Community Services Department	Tucson, AZ	$175,392
City of Tucson Community Services Department	Tucson, AZ	$774,840
Pima County CSD—Jackson Employment Ctr.	Tucson, AZ	$845,693
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$70,456
Primavera Services, Incorporated	Tucson, AZ	$206,612
La Frontera Center, Incorporated	Tucson, AZ	$845,418
Community Information & Referral, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$478,294
YWCA of Maricopa County	Phoenix, AZ	$201,671
Mesa Community Action Network, Inc.	Mesa, AZ	$56,075
Women Living Free (WLF)	Phoenix, AZ	$199,055
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$677,040
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$191,064
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$160,569
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$98,771
Southwest Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$205,977
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$82,268
Chrysalis Shelter for Victims of DV, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$23,114
Sojourner Center	Phoenix, AZ	$269,958
Pima County CSD—Jackson Employment Ctr.	Tucson, AZ	$454,348
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$157,500
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$173,460
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$97,200
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$114,261
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$78,858
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$350,368
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$76,685
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$128,625
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$747,986
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$736,896
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$333,371
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$1,114,796
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$91,050
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$113,685
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$100,638
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$483,979
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$19,808
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$195,686
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$1,010,810
Community Action Partnership of Kern	Bakersfield, CA	$500,283
County of Kern Mental Health Department	Bakersfield, CA	$372,790
Serving People In Need, Inc.	Costa Mesa, CA	$349,009
Alliance Against Family Violence and Sexual Assault	Bakersfield, CA	$173,643
County of Orange H & CD Dept.	Santa Ana, CA	$83,180
County of Orange H & CD Dept.	Santa Ana, CA	$300,000
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$55,860
Community Action Partnership of Kern	Bakersfield, CA	$306,456
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$63,038
Tabitha's House Ministries, Inc.	Bakersfield, CA	$409,500
Partners INHousing	Thousand Oaks, CA	$420,000
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$218,336
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$365,750
Women's Transitional Living Center, Inc.	Orange, CA	$75,000
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$29,401
Casa De Amigos Community Respite Center, Inc.	Bakersfield, CA	$286,055
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$513,713
Many Mansions	Thousand Oaks, CA	$127,021
Many Mansions	Thousand Oaks, CA	$137,212

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Lutheran Social Services of Southern California	Thousand Oaks, CA	$304,007
Saddleback Community Outreach	Laguna Hills, CA	$665,280
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$155,417
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$778,473
County of Ventura	Camarillo, CA	$432,484
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$163,700
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$103,515
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$122,097
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$952,750
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	Downey, CA	$1,141,035
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$138,600
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$690,237
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$118,610
County of Kern Mental Health Department	Bakersfield, CA	$850,035
Colette's Children's Home	Huntington Beach, CA	$928,395
Community Services Department of San Bernardino County	San Bernardino, CA	$123,143
St. John of God Health Care Services	Victorville, CA	$311,960
Thomas House Temporary Shelter	Garden Grove, CA	$92,400
Thomas House Temporary Shelter	Garden Grove, CA	$274,661
Orange Coast Interfaith Shelter	Costa Mesa, CA	$535,096
United Way of Ventura County	Camarillo, CA	$133,623
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$282,450
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$157,500
Fullerton Interfaith Emergency Service, Inc.	Fullerton, CA	$756,000
Weingart Center Association, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$170,760
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$402,182
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$56,275
United States Veterans Initiative	Inglewood, CA	$289,796
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$415,548
JWCH Institute, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$308,999
St. Joseph Center	Venice, CA	$47,247
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$354,018
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$93,865
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$277,267
Beyond Shelter, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$141,912
Venice Family Clinic	Venice, CA	$284,843
Weingart Center Association, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$314,478
Santa Clara Unified School District	Santa Clara, CA	$200,534
Frazee Community Center	San Bernardino, CA	$26,250
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$86,437
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$92,011
InnVision, The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$210,000
InnVision, The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$104,030
Housing Authority of County of Santa Clara	San Jose, CA	$48,300
The Los Angeles Gay and Lesbian Community Service Center	Los Angeles, CA	$377,262
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$56,424
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$70,187
Alameda County Allied Housing Program	Hayward, CA	$526,470
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$162,409
YMCA of San Diego County	Oceanside, CA	$178,739
Orange County Housing Authority	Santa Ana, CA	$584,340
Housing Authority of City of Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara, CA	$590,184
Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Bakersfield, CA	$766,620
Domestic Violence Solutions	Santa Barbara, CA	$76,220
Transition House	Santa Barbara, CA	$55,152
Lompoc Housing Assistance Corporation	Lompoc, CA	$36,565
Santa Barbara Community Housing Corporation	Santa Barbara, CA	$99,444
Santa Barbara County, Alcohol, Drug and Mental Health Services	Santa Barbara, CA	$113,685
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$152,172
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$231,012
Emergency Housing Consortium, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$524,450
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$143,736
Orange County Housing Authority	Santa Ana, CA	$3,787,140
YMCA of San Diego County	Oceanside, CA	$553,475
Episcopal Community Services	San Diego, CA	$509,328
Episcopal Community Services	San Diego, CA	$554,562
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$217,827
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$619,024
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$763,198
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$555,301
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$935,898

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Charities Housing Development Corporation	San Jose, CA	$901,280
South Central Health & Rehabilitation Programs	Compton, CA	$224,760
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$167,808
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$175,786
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$123,496
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$229,107
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$89,932
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$226,000
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$154,734
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$129,596
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$398,509
Catholic Charities Archdiocese of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$319,096
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$81,746
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$3,061,636
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$86,712
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$215,255
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$4,075,992
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$191,523
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$42,999
Committee on the Shelterless	Petaluma, CA	$150,000
County of Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz, CA	$89,985
Homeward Bound of Marin	San Rafael, CA	$50,148
Homeward Bound of Marin	San Rafael, CA	$210,000
Marin Abused Women's Services	San Rafael, CA	$65,540
Marin Abused Women's Services	San Rafael, CA	$56,642
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$778,500
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$228,426
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$104,328
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$110,250
Committee on the Shelterless	Petaluma, CA	$76,667
Buckelew Programs	San Rafael, CA	$27,476
Buckelew Programs	San Rafael, CA	$193,998
Vietnam Veterans of California, Inc.	Santa Rosa, CA	$89,000
Vietnam Veterans of California, Inc.	Santa Rosa, CA	$125,000
Transitional Living & Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$250,984
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$297,780
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$100,449
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$104,390
Contra Costa County Health Services Department	Martinez, CA	$298,547
Phoenix Programs, Incorporated	Concord, CA	$509,906
Marin Housing Authority	San Rafael, CA	$519,948
Marin Housing Authority	San Rafael, CA	$431,556
Sonoma County Community Development	Santa Rosa, CA	$97,330
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$724,504
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$100,571
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$457,371
Housing Authority of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$1,503,672
Housing Authority of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$1,180,440
Housing Authority of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$179,352
Community Action Partnership Sonoma County	Santa Rosa, CA	$81,249
Catholic Charities-Diocese of Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa, CA	$160,000
Vietnam Veterans of California	Santa Rosa, CA	$265,807
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$41,524
Rubicon Programs, Incorporated	Richmond, CA	$44,013
Families in Transition of Santa Cruz County	Santa Cruz, CA	$185,186
Transitional Living & Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$157,716
Transitional Living & Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$305,666
Transitional Living & Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$88,114
Center Point, Incorporated	San Rafael, CA	$42,210
Center Point, Incorporated	San Rafael, CA	$474,247
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$273,584
Service Outreach Motivation Empowerment	Santa Rosa, CA	$32,000
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$83,324
Rubicon Programs, Incorporated	Richmond, CA	$304,279
Rubicon Programs, Incorporated	Richmond, CA	$204,120
Rubicon Programs, Incorporated	Richmond, CA	$653,005
Rubicon Programs, Incorporated	Richmond, CA	$939,000
Greater Richmond Interfaith Program	Richmond, CA	$168,099
SHELTER, Incorporated of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$80,797
Community Support Network	Santa Rosa, CA	$56,238
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$231,468

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$748,125
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$1,089,760
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$124,990
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$67,937
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$525,000
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$189,625
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$93,804
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$231,424
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$135,756
Whiteside Manor, Incorporated	Riverside, CA	$1,752,012
San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$636,381
San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$546,567
San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$173,902
San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$564,102
County of Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz, CA	$142,591
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$249,962
Central City Lutheran Mission	San Bernardino, CA	$76,794
New Hope Village, Incorporated	Barstow, CA	$66,841
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$343,858
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$709,469
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$111,740
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$189,731
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$109,307
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$239,566
Fontana Native American Indian Center, Incorporated	Fontana, CA	$249,286
San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$179,596
Central City Lutheran Mission	San Bernardino, CA	$17,671
WomanHaven, Incorporated	El Centro, CA	$169,865
Provisional Educational Services, Incorporated	Bernardino, CA	$292,553
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$520,998
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$84,384
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$194,256
United Way of Ventura County	Camarillo, CA	$133,623
Coalition of Homeless Services Providers	Marina, CA	$141,750
San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$208,512
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$116,740
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$207,456
Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$190,550
Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$151,962
CDC of Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$1,005,264
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$91,525
INTERIM, Incorporated	Monterey, CA	$709,586
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$93,000
Shelter Outreach Plus	Marina, CA	$348,000
The Unity Care Group	San Jose, CA	$90,000
Housing Authority of the County of Monterey	Salinas, CA	$735,734
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Capitola, CA	$442,440
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Capitola, CA	$56,000
The Salvation Army	San Bernardino, CA	$158,521
CDC of Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$33,504
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$148,156
San Luis Obispo Non-Profit Housing Corporation	San Luis Obispo, CA	$473,981
San Luis Obispo Non-Profit Housing Corporation	San Luis Obispo, CA	$211,150
City of Oceanside	Oceanside, CA	$293,406
Alpha Project for the Homeless	San Diego, CA	$159,346
South Bay Community Services	Chula Vista, CA	$193,663
North County Interfaith Council	Escondido, CA	$128,427
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$84,528
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$81,534
Families in Transition of Santa Cruz County	Santa Cruz, CA	$180,664
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$109,734
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$217,292
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$53,164
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$85,464
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$176,787
City of Glendale, CA/Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$719,253
St. Clare's Home	Escondido, CA	$148,770
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$23,745
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$92,217
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$381,941
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$331,547

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$248,942
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$350,397
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$61,041
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$134,592
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$63,655
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$154,998
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$339,078
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$516,497
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$108,998
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$118,347
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$157,707
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$56,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$387,581
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$325,549
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$450,710
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$176,269
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$67,200
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$385,943
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$277,455
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$161,538
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$248,824
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$349,666
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$292,257
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$253,325
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$1,090,393
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$300,205
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$287,117
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,426,400
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$1,516,800
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$1,516,800
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$129,192
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$1,002,552
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$82,728
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$58,176
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$477,958
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$608,064
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$30,869
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$475,843
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$141,317
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$262,085
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$398,225
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$420,867
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$131,683
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$146,902
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$407,729
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$63,688
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$71,317
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$119,280
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$71,797
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$180,897
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$243,292
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$158,891
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$565,337
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$282,429
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$233,735
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$168,480
Concern For The Poor	San Jose, CA	$197,077
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$198,508
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$323,274
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$344,576
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$801,012
Progress Foundation	San Francisco, CA	$386,749
Walden House, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$258,471
San Francisco Network Ministries Housing Corporation	San Francisco, CA	$76,228
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$121,089
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$157,550
Community Awareness & Treatment Services, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$371,342
Larkin Street Youth Services	San Francisco, CA	$55,587
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	San Francisco, CA	$449,412
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$301,027
Bill Wilson Center	Santa Clara, CA	$548,476

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$87,551
St. Vincent de Paul Society of SF	San Francisco, CA	$144,380
Swords To Plowshares	San Francisco, CA	$282,594
Baker Places, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$11,308
Compass Community Services	San Francisco, CA	$320,782
Second Start Learning Disabilities, Inc.	San Jose, CA	$96,790
The Unity Care Group	San Jose, CA	$121,840
The Unity Care Group	San Jose, CA	$123,366
St. Vincent De Paul Society	San Jose, CA	$118,667
Community Solutions for Children, Families & Individuals, Inc.	Morgan Hill, CA	$224,553
City of Fremont	Fremont, CA	$269,790
LifeLong Medical Care	Berkeley, CA	$539,398
City of Berkeley, California	Berkeley, CA	$1,909,116
United States Veterans Initiative, Inc.	Inglewood, CA	$496,557
Bill Wilson Center	Santa Clara, CA	$298,645
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$292,176
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$147,776
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$287,114
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$575,314
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$340,549
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$796,649
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$299,451
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$849,122
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$629,398
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$228,179
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$386,941
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$333,929
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$816,709
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$309,346
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$575,314
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$303,600
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$474,403
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$1,191,616
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$223,929
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$259,701
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$244,335
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$220,461
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$201,821
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$213,806
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$156,191
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$145,940
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$395,319
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$417,082
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$567,520
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$575,314
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$44,122
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,339,940
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$360,501
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$218,222
Southern California Alcohol and Drug Programs, Inc.	Downey, CA	$355,944
Resources for Independent Living Inc. (RIL)	Sacramento, CA	$97,876
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$157,189
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$617,384
Los Angeles Family Housing Corporation	North Hollywood, CA	$355,664
Los Angeles Family Housing Corporation	North Hollywood, CA	$363,659
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$720,072
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$40,404
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$192,266
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$170,271
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$30,624
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$221,486
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$98,604
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$85,161
Catholic Charities of Los Angeles, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$103,425
Catholic Charities of Los Angeles, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$142,901
Stop Homelessness in the Rio Hondo Area, Incorporated	Norwalk, CA	$165,207
Asian Pacific Women's Center, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$149,380
Antelope Valley Domestic Violence Council	Lancaster, CA	$143,912
California Council for Veterans Affairs, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$136,216
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$59,325
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$194,098

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$378,804
Step Up on Second	Santa Monica, CA	$251,712
Homes for Life Foundation	Los Angeles, CA	$146,091
New Directions, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$574,641
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$42,170
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$75,528
Bonita House, Incorporated	Oakland, CA	$33,080
Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$279,510
Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$369,601
Mary Lind Foundation	Los Angeles, CA	$442,318
Jewish Family Service of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$180,498
YMCA of Metropolitan Los Angeles	Hollywood, CA	$177,487
Gramercy Housing Group	Los Angeles, CA	$210,961
Testimonial Community Love Center	Los Angeles, CA	$136,888
The Ark of Refuge, Incorporated	San Francisco, CA	$208,502
Affordable Housing Associates	Berkeley, CA	$36,665
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$51,120
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$174,133
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$676,632
City of Santa Monica	Santa Monica, CA	$95,688
The Penny Lane Centers	North Hills, CA	$174,971
Covenant House California	Hollywood, CA	$128,499
Upward Bound House	Santa Monica, CA	$281,424
SHIELDS For Families, Incorporated	Los Angeles, CA	$90,396
Center for Human Rights and Constitutional Law, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$134,944
1736 Family Crisis Center	Los Angeles, CA	$521,824
Ocean Park Community Center	Santa Monica, CA	$305,939
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$244,786
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$61,248
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$40,752
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$3,427,716
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$79,800
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$60,348
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$84,612
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$485,760
San Jose Cathedral Foundation	San Jose, CA	$96,600
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$179,794
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$221,399
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$159,292
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$898,560
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$198,917
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$198,922
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$1,060,899
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$1,303,278
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$89,856
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$1,010,880
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$118,878
Serra Ancillary Care Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$590,724
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$146,082
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$859,669
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$267,780
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$24,288
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$1,111,176
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$246,444
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$119,952
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$207,876
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$150,396
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$113,232
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$122,796
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$161,760
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$109,296
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$182,160
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$40,285
San Francisco Department of Human Services	San Francisco, CA	$202,176
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$114,997
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$4,079,040
Community Dev. Commission/Housing Authority Co. of LA	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$1,236,060
Community Dev. Commission/Housing Authority Co. of LA	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$667,980
Community Dev. Commission/Housing Authority Co. of LA	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$219,156
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$70,092
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$27,168

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$23,364
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$122,148
County of Alameda, HCD	Hayward, CA	$50,544
Berkeley Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$242,217
Berkeley Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$249,999
Berkeley Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$141,019
Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	El Cerrito, CA	$53,747
Jubilee Restoration, Incorporated	Berkeley, CA	$102,171
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$1,825,154
City of Santa Monica	Santa Monica, CA	$1,526,868
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$1,016,786
Alameda County, Department of Public Health	Oakland, CA	$73,877
Fred Finch Children's Home	Oakland, CA	$719,667
Fred Finch Children's Home	Oakland, CA	$649,863
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$164,038
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$245,146
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$844,024
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$185,727
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$74,500
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$523,088
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$553,947
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency	Berkeley, CA	$96,147
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$648,304
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$259,432
Central California Family Crisis Center, Incorporated	Porterville, CA	$93,687
County of Napa	Napa, CA	$19,950
Housing Authority of City of Napa	Napa, CA	$59,062
Housing Authority of City of Napa	Napa, CA	$218,520
Housing Authority of City of Napa	Napa, CA	$123,439
Central Community Development Center	Clvis, CA	$206,451
Turning Point of Central California, Inc.	Visalia, CA	$1,272,349
Fresno County EOC	Fresno, CA	$1,757,591
Marjaree Mason Center, Inc.	Fresno, CA	$863,520
Marjaree Mason Center, Inc.	Fresno, CA	$324,259
Center for Domestic Violence Prevention	Burlingame, CA	$450,750
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$131,250
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$131,250
Buckelew Programs	San Rafael, CA	$149,398
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$52,500
Hsg Authority of the Co. of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$939,984
Mental Health Association of San Mateo County	Redwood City, CA	$39,530
Samaritan House	San Mateo, CA	$105,000
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$206,936
Hsg Authority of the Co. of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$990,738
Hsg Authority of the Co. of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$1,013,772
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$141,253
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$563,184
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$141,603
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$232,120
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$245,879
County of San Joaquin	Stockton, CA	$22,503
Community Housing and Shelter Services	Modesto, CA	$95,314
Housing Authority County of Stanislaus	Modesto, CA	$42,408
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$115,500
Caminar	Chico, CA	$707,180
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$553,212
Interfaith Shelter Network	Santa Rosa, CA	$49,560
United States Veterans Initiative	Inglewood, CA	$416,497
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$561,576
Hsg Authority of the Co. of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$202,908
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$780,108
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$444,156
United Christian Centers of the Greater Sacramento Area, Incorporated	West Sacramento, CA	$46,527
Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$524,844
City of Woodland	Woodland, CA	$175,151
Phoenix Programs, Incorporated	Concord, CA	$305,871
Fairfield-Suisun Community Action Council	Fairfield, CA	$194,250
Caminar, Incorporated	Fairfield, CA	$100,000
Rubicon Programs, Incorporated	Richmond, CA	$447,190
United States Veterans Initiative	Inglewood, CA	$119,001
Placer Women's Center dba PEACE for Families	Auburn, CA	$212,298

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Placer County Health and Human Services	Auburn, CA	$241,320
Placer County Health and Human Services	Auburn, CA	$293,282
City of Davis	Davis, CA	$106,752
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$356,208
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$90,793
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$749,842
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$1,380,000
Volunteers of America	Denver, CO	$1,012,205
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$277,224
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$350,664
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$90,793
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$322,317
Family Tree, Inc.	Wheat Ridge, CO	$240,254
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$97,099
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$266,976
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$431,654
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$165,620
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$225,876
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$268,393
North Range Behavioral Health	Greeley, CO	$748,631
Housing Solutions for the Southwest	Durango, CO	$38,016
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$259,540
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$76,587
Catholic Charities of Colorado Springs Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$74,212
Partners in Housing, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$72,681
Partners in Housing, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$72,447
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$357,660
Partners in Housing, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$65,020
Bridgeway	Lakewood, CO	$207,515
Partners in Housing, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$143,994
Partners in Housing, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$104,888
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$282,316
The Salvation Army A California Corporation	Colorado Spring, CO	$214,000
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$746,880
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$97,560
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$1,126,488
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$149,916
Aurora Comprehensive Community Mental Health Center	Aurora, CO	$331,333
Arapahoe House	Thornton, CO	$208,010
Third Way Center, Inc.	Denver, CO	$111,800
Partners in Housing, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$199,150
Women's Center of SE CT	New London, CT	$50,584
South Park Inn	Hartford, CT	$273,000
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	Hartford, CT	$565,000
Thames Valley Counc. for Comm. Action Inc.	Jewett City, CT	$655,247
Alliance for Living, Inc.	New London, CT	$149,335
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$756,480
Connecticut Women's Consortium, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$171,113
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$292,500
Christian Community Action, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$183,990
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$448,800
Hall-Brooke Behavioral Health Services	Westport, CT	$309,030
Housing Authority of the City of Waterbury	Waterbury, CT	$117,857
Housing Authority of the City of Waterbury	Waterbury, CT	$395,280
Torrington Community Housing Corporation	Torrington, CT	$401,473
Torrington Community Housing Corporation	Torrington, CT	$210,000
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$175,728
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$175,728
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$222,268
Youth Continuum, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$301,568
Housing Oper. Mgmt Ent. (HOME), Inc.	New Haven, CT	$900,900
Interfaith Housing Association	Westport, CT	$321,535
Norwalk Emergency Shelter, Inc.	Norwalk, CT	$47,831
Family & Children's Agency, Inc.	Norwalk, CT	$145,513
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$390,396
Friendship Service Center of New Britain, Inc.	New Britain, CT	$420,014
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	New Britain, CT	$370,000
Prudence Crandall Center, Inc.	New Britain, CT	$655,000
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$90,960
Mercy Housing and Shelter Corporation	Hartford, CT	$241,190
American Red Cross Middlesex CT	Middletown, CT	$133,000

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
My Sisters' Place, Inc.	Hartford, CT	$238,082
Immaculate Conception Shelter and Housing Corp.	Hartford, CT	$457,251
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$46,584
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$69,876
Inter-Community Mental Health Group, Inc.	East Hartford, CT	$207,127
St. Vincent DePaul Society of Bristol, Inc.	Bristol, CT	$321,830
United Way of Stamford	Stamford, CT	$52,500
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$86,712
St. Luke's Community Services, Inc.	Stamford, CT	$132,900
St. Luke's Community Services, Inc.	Stamford, CT	$263,364
Mutual Housing Association of SW CT, Inc.	Stamford, CT	$165,900
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	Hartford, CT	$1,084,032
Housing Oper. Mgmt Ent. (HOME), Inc.	New Haven, CT	$245,000
Nehemiah Housing Corporation	Middletown, CT	$168,000
St. Vincent DePaul Society of Bristol, Inc.	Bristol, CT	$27,019
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$90,144
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$213,380
The Mid Fairfield AIDS Project Inc.	Norwalk, CT	$120,000
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$428,222
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$597,564
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$237,344
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$270,940
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$333,444
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$504,300
CT Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$100,887
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$204,748
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$104,040
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$141,957
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$142,306
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$580,428
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$100,905
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$370,591
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$95,880
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$190,522
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$73,980
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$41,100
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$121,416
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$284,172
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$43,848
Families Forward, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$191,160
District of Columbia Department of Health	Washington, DC	$199,488
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$29,232
District of Columbia Department of Health	Washington, DC	$378,888
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$358,073
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$86,003
Community Connections	Washington, DC	$98,175
Families Forward, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$229,046
DC Office of Research and Analysis	Washington, DC	$176,688
Families Forward, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$201,224
Hannah House, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$148,115
Catholic Charities	Washington, DC	$168,641
Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$189,058
Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$129,593
Sasha Bruce Youthwork, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$67,628
Coalition for the Homeless	Washington, DC	$113,825
Coalition for the Homeless	Washington, DC	$171,453
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$245,422
Community Family Life Services	Washington, DC	$140,205
JHP, Incorporated	Washington, DC	$136,761
Community Connections	Washington, DC	$106,864
Transitional Housing Corporation	Washington, DC	$127,385
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$293,914
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$266,084
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$134,835
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$189,000
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$87,850
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$109,725
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$121,728
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$333,913
Community Family Life Services	Washington, DC	$364,761
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$257,404

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
So Others Might Eat (SOME)	Washington, DC	$323,673
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$196,569
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$141,214
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$202,832
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$83,511
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$321,806
Catholic Charities Archdiocese of Washington DC	Washington, DC	$235,903
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$144,083
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$34,657
So Others Might Eat (SOME)	Washington, DC	$101,333
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$84,383
So Others Might Eat (SOME)	Washington, DC	$513,940
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$750,000
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$176,226
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$175,219
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$132,300
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$210,119
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$232,880
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$149,203
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$204,916
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homelessness	District of Columbia, DC	$899,866
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$79,929
Delaware Health & Social Services	New Castle, DE	$1,282,950
The YWCA of New Castle County Delaware	Wilmington, DE	$323,966
Ministry of Caring Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$200,408
Ministry of Caring Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$129,874
Ministry of Caring Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$212,357
Ministry of Caring Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$66,467
Ministry of Caring Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$647,808
SBM Housing, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$65,785
Ministry of Caring Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$374,174
West End Neighborhood House	Wilmington, DE	$749,997
Connections CSP, Inc	Wilmington, DE	$152,421
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$357,021
United Way of Sarasota County, Inc.	Sarasota, FL	$142,655
United Way of Sarasota County, Inc.	Sarasota, FL	$160,372
Indian River County Commission	Vero Beach, FL	$77,568
Indian River County Commission	Vero Beach, FL	$400,140
Renaissance Manor, Inc.	Sarasota, FL	$582,990
New Life Outreach Ministry, Incorporated	Lakeland, FL	$311,347
City of Gainesville	Gainesville, FL	$99,101
Tallahassee Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Tallahassee, FL	$576,030
Tallahassee Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Tallahassee, FL	$153,462
Tallahassee Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Tallahassee, FL	$273,000
New Life Outreach Ministry, Incorporated	Lakeland, FL	$287,172
Domestic Abuse Council, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$62,815
Domestic Abuse Council, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$69,386
Hardee, Highlands Aids Services & Education	Lakeland, FL	$94,748
Bridgeway Center, Incorporated	Fort Walton Beach, FL	$426,458
Catholic Charities	Lakeland, FL	$348,277
Alachua County Housing Authority	Gainesville, FL	$470,400
St. Francis House, Incorporated	Gainesville, FL	$68,812
Peaceful Paths, Incorporated	Gainesville, FL	$84,974
City of Gainesville	Gainesville, FL	$106,215
AIDS Coalition of Volusia/Flagler, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$203,917
Project Return	Tampa, FL	$461,868
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$474,390
Alpha House of Tampa, Incorporated	Tampa, FL	$249,039
Alpha House of Tampa, Incorporated	Tampa, FL	$206,456
Catholic Charities, Diocese of St. Petersburg, Incorporated	Tampa, FL	$128,275
United Way of Sarasota County, Inc.	Sarasota, FL	$139,051
The Spring of Tampa Bay, Incorporated	Tampa, FL	$532,681
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$55,097
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$129,273
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$363,978
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$156,704
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$171,921
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$52,980
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$21,164
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$184,602
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$189,000

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Agency for Community Treatment Services, Incorporated	Tampa, FL	$1,209,106
Orange Co. Housing and Community Development	Orlando, FL	$741,960
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$133,736
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$326,406
Boley Centers for Behavioral Health Care, Inc.	Pinellas, FL	$756,000
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$380,639
Mental Health Care, Incorporated	Tampa, FL	$598,500
Martin County Board of Co. Commissioners	Stuart, FL	$395,280
Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Tampa, FL	$698,520
Tri-County Human Services, Inc.	Lakeland, FL	$75,000
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$659,312
Peaceful Paths, Incorporated	Gainesville, FL	$76,612
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$336,691
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$105,000
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$250,000
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$185,850
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$343,033
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$1,064,138
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$119,722
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$180,510
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$222,069
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$86,199
Rural Health Network of Monroe Co., Inc.	Key West, FL	$173,774
Monroe Association Retarded Citizens, Inc.	Key West, FL	$102,269
Florida Keys Outreach Coalition, Inc.	Key West, FL	$175,879
AIDS Help, Inc.	Key West, FL	$138,000
Broward County Housing Authority	Lauderhill, FL	$880,920
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$141,727
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$259,038
Community Connections of Jacksonville, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$262,177
Covenant House Florida	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$183,729
Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners	West Palm Beach, FL	$438,254
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$206,825
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$63,494
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$621,262
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$81,407
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$774,540
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$996,911
Boley Centers for Behavioral Health Care, Inc.	St. Petersburg, FL	$232,088
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$314,868
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$681,500
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$346,476
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$141,768
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$170,017
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$202,878
Community Connections of Jacksonville, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$530,308
Volusia/Flagler County Coalition for Homeless, Incorporated	Daytona Beach, FL	$81,400
Act Corporation	Daytona Beach, FL	$750,000
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$421,331
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$407,862
United Way of Northeast Florida	Jacksonville, FL	$64,374
Clara White Mission, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$175,000
Goodwill Industries of North Florida	Jacksonville, FL	$412,500
Mental Health Resource Center, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$279,980
211 Brevard, Incorporated	Cocoa, FL	$76,752
River Region Human Services	Jacksonville, FL	$241,584
Crosswinds Youth Services, Incorporated	Cocoa, FL	$87,536
Coalition for the Hungry and Homeless	Cocoa, FL	$440,756
Operation Hope, Inc.	Lake Park, FL	$749,549
Coalition for the Hungry and Homeless	Cocoa, FL	$226,400
The Center for Information & Crisis Services	Lantana, FL	$134,441
Collier County Board of County Commissioners	Naples, FL	$440,000
Collier County Board of County Commissioners	Naples, FL	$155,599
YWCA of Palm Beach County	West Palm Beach, FL	$285,801
Oakwood Center of the Palm Beaches, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$381,304
Gulfstream Goodwill Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$178,807
Gulfstream Goodwill Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$194,029
The Lord's Place, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$182,984
The Lord's Place, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$283,023
Community Connections of Jacksonville, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$316,350
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$86,415

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$257,191
Adopt-A-Family of the Palm Beaches, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$207,813
Carrfour Supportive Housing	Miami, FL	$409,479
Coalition for the Hungry and Homeless	Cocoa, FL	$134,865
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$687,505
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$57,668
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$83,333
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$644,400
I.M.Sulzbacher Center for the Homeless Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$235,288
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$129,648
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$79,485
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$571,272
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$129,138
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$527,999
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$339,721
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$232,968
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$84,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$348,236
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$106,994
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$138,789
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$257,496
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$63,993
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$434,700
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$124,621
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$81,120
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$33,957
ESHC of St. Johns County, Incorporated	St. Augustine, FL	$89,610
Lakeview Center, Incorporated	Pensacola, FL	$307,887
CASA Community Action Stops Abuse	St. Petersburg, FL	$484,063
Boley Centers for Behavioral Health Care, Inc.	St. Petersburg, FL	$253,779
Religious Community Services, Inc.	Clearwater, FL	$330,163
WestCare Gulfcoast Florida, Inc.	St. Petersburg, FL	$547,458
2-1-1 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc.	Largo, FL	$172,454
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$357,791
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$174,998
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$395,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$761,160
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$235,020
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$183,768
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$121,872
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$698,784
Goodwill of North Florida	Jacksonville, FL	$76,034
Gateway Community Services, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$816,410
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$192,665
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$347,130
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$178,172
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$425,392
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$311,679
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$336,002
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$273,807
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$391,128
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$158,095
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$251,071
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$364,854
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$860,114
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$392,418
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$118,395
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$315,170
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$69,860
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$320,880
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$292,660
Decatur Cooperative Ministry, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$88,487
Advantage Behavioral Health Systems	Athens, GA	$333,182
Integrated Life Center, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$714,361
Nicholas House, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$36,141
Action Ministries, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$70,000
Travelers Aid of Metropolitan Atlanta, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$169,441
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$39,039
Initiative for Affordable Housing, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$320,938
Citizens Against Violence, Inc.	Statesboro, GA	$145,465
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$385,192

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Distinguished Women With A Purpose Ministries, Inc.	Duluth, GA	$284,444
S.H.A.R.E. House, Inc.	Douglasville, GA	$253,046
New Horizons Community Service Board	Columbus, GA	$47,378
Lowndes Associated Ministries to People, Inc.	Valdosta, GA	$282,555
Macon -Bibb EOC, Inc.	Macon, GA	$199,500
Hall Family Initiative Residences, Inc.	Cumming, GA	$196,221
The Extension, Incorporated	Marietta, GA	$209,308
Citizens Against Violence, Inc.	Statesboro, GA	$126,000
City of Savannah	Savannah, GA	$733,500
Phoenix Alliance, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$58,371
Our House, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$94,696
House of T.I.M.E, Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$259,461
House of T.I.M.E, Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$134,343
New Horizons Community Service Board	Columbus, GA	$90,200
Our Common Welfare, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$158,033
Saint Joseph's Mercy Care Services, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$36,823
Housing Initiative of North Fulton	Roswell, GA	$23,646
The Anchor Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$378,311
City of Savannah	Savannah, GA	$212,196
Union Mission, Incorporated	Savannah, GA	$218,876
Chatham-Savannah Authority for the Homeless	Savannah, GA	$179,256
Chatham-Savannah Authority for the Homeless	Savannah, GA	$223,469
Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia	Atlanta, GA	$702,814
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$397,350
30901 Development Corporation	Augusta, GA	$250,000
Hope House, Inc.	Augusta, GA	$200,000
Cobb Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$208,097
Cobb Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$85,323
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$34,604
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$243,482
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$79,800
City of Augusta, Georgia	Augusta, GA	$69,090
Saint Jude's Recovery Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$278,342
Cobb Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$492,135
Families First Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$184,013
Hope House, Inc.	Augusta, GA	$58,842
Cobb Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$96,700
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$327,000
Saint Jude's Recovery Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$468,400
The Young Adult Guidance Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$154,396
Open Door Community House, Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$267,745
City of Augusta, Georgia	Augusta, GA	$67,517
Marietta Housing Authority	Marietta, GA	$642,300
Saint Jude's Recovery Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$328,898
Economic Opportunity Authority for Savannah-Chatham County Area, Incorporated	Savannah, GA	$220,500
Action Ministries, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$1,240,088
GA Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Atlanta, GA	$685,169
Travelers Aid of Metropolitan Atlanta, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$111,259
Travelers Aid of Metropolitan Atlanta, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$111,694
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$322,800
Gwinnett Housing Resource Partnership	Duluth, GA	$146,895
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$231,180
Maranatha Outreach, Inc.	Milledgeville, GA	$120,355
Asian-American Resource Center	Norcross, GA	$331,500
Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia	Atlanta, GA	$373,951
Progressive Redevelopment Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$563,246
Samaritan House of Atlanta	Atlanta, GA	$78,820
Jerusalem House, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$193,704
Monumental Faith Community Outreach	Albany, GA	$117,303
Alternate Life Paths Program, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$48,572
Atlanta Enterprise Center, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$190,955
Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia	Augusta, GA	$93,774
Stewart Community Home, Incorporated	Columbus, GA	$285,620
Georgia Law Center on Homelessness & Poverty, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$294,000
Furniture Bank of Metro Atlanta	Atlanta, GA	$70,010
Marietta Housing Authority	Marietta, GA	$234,888
Greenbriar Children's Center, Incorporated	Savannah, GA	$398,424
Buckhead Christian Ministry	Atlanta, GA	$80,000
Jewish Family & Career Services	Atlanta, GA	$157,729
Genisis Shelter, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$136,500
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$46,423

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Mary Hall Freedom House, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$570,681
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$788,100
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$615,060
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$610,680
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$518,280
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Incorporated	Atlanta, GA	$18,517
Government of Guam	Sinajana, GU	$180,056
City and County of Honolulu, DCS	Honolulu, HI	$1,096,200
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$83,623
State of Hawaii, HCDCH	Honolulu, HI	$167,760
State of Hawaii, HCDCH	Honolulu, HI	$66,102
Alternative Structures International	Waianae, HI	$541,527
Mental Health Kokua	Honolulu, HI	$870,274
City and County of Honolulu, DCS	Honolulu, HI	$1,108,980
State of Hawaii, HCDCH	Honolulu, HI	$183,435
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$72,771
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$65,849
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$33,385
State of Hawaii, HCDCH	Honolulu, HI	$92,490
State of Hawaii, HCDCH	Honolulu, HI	$629,160
State of Hawaii, HCDCH	Honolulu, HI	$42,287
State of Hawaii, HCDCH	Honolulu, HI	$133,875
City and County of Honolulu, DCS	Honolulu, HI	$461,844
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program	Hiawatha, IA	$87,217
Iowa Institute for Community Alliances	Des Moines, IA	$155,673
Family Service League	Waterloo, IA	$133,495
Crisis Intervention Services	Oskaloosa, IA	$108,499
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Incorporated	Davenport, IA	$440,000
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Incorporated	Davenport, IA	$220,000
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Incorporated	Davenport, IA	$207,000
Shelter House Community Shelter & Transition Services	Iowa City, IA	$448,318
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program	Hiawatha, IA	$109,833
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program	Hiawatha, IA	$17,215
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program	Hiawatha, IA	$30,998
New Directions/Area Substance Abuse Council	Clinton, IA	$104,223
YWCA of Clinton	Clinton, IA	$55,774
Family Resources, Incorporated	Davenport, IA	$37,625
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program	Hiawatha, IA	$215,072
Crisis Intervention & Advocacy Center	Adel, IA	$654,887
Family Service League	Waterloo, IA	$377,703
Cedar Valley Friends of the Family	Waverly, IA	$254,249
Youth and Shelter Services, Incorporated	Ames, IA	$128,457
Youth and Shelter Services, Incorporated	Ames, IA	$189,670
Municipal Housing Agency of Fort Dodge	Fort Dodge, IA	$609,600
The City of Sioux City	Sioux City, IA	$184,527
The City of Sioux City	Sioux City, IA	$80,062
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$738,792
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$150,810
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$862,068
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$298,174
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$731,400
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$171,284
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$170,000
Family Services	Council Bluffs, IA	$266,954
Family Resources, Incorporated	Davenport, IA	$37,549
Family Resources, Incorporated	Davenport, IA	$39,525
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$58,272
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$180,793
Women's and Children's Alliance	Boise, ID	$119,123
Ada County Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$246,480
Ada County Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$7,696
Ada County Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$150,284
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$72,502
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$119,070
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$73,925
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$86,880
Ada County Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$64,514
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$55,708
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$136,920
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$41,592
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$41,577

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$111,680
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$728,700
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$187,929
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$96,495
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$96,967
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$82,363
Prairie Center Health Systems	Urbana, IL	$420,000
Delta Center, Incorporated	Cairo, IL	$125,735
Iroquois-Kankakee Regional Office of Education	Kankakee, IL	$128,035
YWCA	Danville, IL	$270,978
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$23,184
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$63,865
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$15,586
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$171,352
Young Women's Christian Association of Quincy	Quincy, IL	$387,034
Housing Authority County of Cook	Chicago, IL	$237,096
Christian Vision Center, Incorporated, CDC	Chicago Heights, IL	$344,254
CEDA Northwest Self-Help Center, Incorporated	Mt. Prospect, IL	$318,788
LaGrange Area Transitional Housing Corporation	LaGrange, IL	$90,562
YMCA of Metropolitan Chicago	Alsip, IL	$399,700
Catholic Charities-Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$245,319
Catholic Charities-Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$415,046
Catholic Charities-Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$452,698
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$148,240
Stopping Woman Abuse Now	Olney, IL	$161,364
City of Urbana	Urbana, IL	$132,720
Catholic Charities Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$666,347
Catholic Charities Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$82,240
Catholic Charities Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$698,629
DuPage County Health Department	Wheaton, IL	$385,000
DuPage P.A.D.S., Incorporated	Wheaton, IL	$121,322
NCO Youth and Family Services	Naperville, IL	$120,248
Southern Illinois Coalition for the Homeless	Marion, IL	$248,271
Serenity House, Incorporated	Addison, IL	$10,977
MCS Community Services	Jacksonville, IL	$202,500
Community Crisis Center, Incorporated	Elgin, IL	$30,135
Community Crisis Center, Incorporated	Elgin, IL	$64,981
Larkin Center	Elgin, IL	$297,425
County of Kane	Geneva, IL	$169,853
Public Action to Deliver Shelter, Incorporated	Aurora, IL	$234,302
AID	Aurora, IL	$71,349
Young Women's Christian Association of Quincy	Quincy, IL	$76,853
Catholic Charities-Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$176,000
County of Lake	Waukegan, IL	$136,521
Catholic Charities-Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$273,665
St. Clair County (Illinois)	Belleville, IL	$525,000
St. Clair County (Illinois)	Belleville, IL	$170,380
St. Clair County (Illinois)	Belleville, IL	$100,000
St. Clair County (Illinois)	Belleville, IL	$750,660
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$754,500
Trinity Services, Incorporated	Joliet, IL	$250,000
Cornerstone Services, Incorporated	Joliet, IL	$1,085,607
Cornerstone Services, Incorporated	Joliet, IL	$327,000
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$375,375
County of Lake	Waukegan, IL	$220,500
County of Lake	Waukegan, IL	$34,500
YWCA Domestic Violence Services	Evanston, IL	$141,303
County of Lake	Waukegan, IL	$248,299
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$317,278
County of Lake	Waukegan, IL	$729,960
County of Lake	Waukegan, IL	$562,820
Cornerstone Services, Incorporated	Joliet, IL	$523,672
Peoria Housing Authority	Peoria, IL	$131,040
YWCA of Peoria, IL	Peoria, IL	$200,704
YWCA of Peoria, IL	Peoria, IL	$490,539
Human Service Center	Peoria, IL	$394,796
Madison County Community Development	Edwardsville, IL	$303,660
Chestnut Health Systems	Granite City, IL	$509,895
McHenry County PADS, Incorporated	Woodstock, IL	$105,000
Home of the Sparrow, Incorporated	McHenry, IL	$26,250
Pioneer Center of McHenry County	McHenry, IL	$261,816

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Pioneer Center of McHenry County	McHenry, IL	$226,464
County of Lake	Waukegan, IL	$46,543
The Salvation Army	Des Plaines, IL	$113,003
Western Egyptian E.O.C., Incorporated	Steeleville, IL	$74,739
Fellowship Housing Corporation	Hoffman Estates, IL	$200,246
The Center of Concern	Park Ridge, IL	$156,800
The Center of Concern	Park Ridge, IL	$98,068
Wilpower, Incorporated	Northfield, IL	$495,929
Connections for the Homeless, Incorporated	Evanston, IL	$192,164
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$33,764
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$179,640
City of Urbana	Urbana, IL	$206,516
Connections for the Homeless	Evanston, IL	$336,922
Connections for the Homeless	Evanston, IL	$315,596
Connections for the Homeless	Evanston, IL	$137,294
Bethany Place	Belleville, IL	$145,924
South Suburban Family Shelter, Incorporated	Homewood, IL	$563,914
Catholic Charities-Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$356,205
The Salvation Army	Des Plaines, IL	$120,983
Community and Economic Development Association of Cook County, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$254,536
Fifth Street Renaissance	Springfield, IL	$24,150
Young Men's Christian Association	Chicago, IL	$256,955
Youth Service Bureau	Springfield, IL	$91,899
M.E.R.C.Y. Communities, Incorporated	Springfield, IL	$167,923
Abundant Faith Ministries	Springfield, IL	$246,091
Abundant Faith Ministries	Springfield, IL	$48,825
Family Rescue, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$611,859
Cornerstone Community Outreach	Chicago, IL	$132,224
Family Rescue, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$64,628
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$485,820
Hope Haven of DeKalb County, Incorporated	DeKalb, IL	$95,270
Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Chicago	Chicago, IL	$163,104
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$396,875
Beacon Therapeutic School Inc., d/b/a Beacon Therapeutic Diagnostic and Treatment Center.	Chicago, IL	$1,083,562
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$414,750
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$660,586
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$147,597
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$739,235
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$156,687
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$42,804
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$79,217
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$64,633
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$582,540
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$50,274
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$179,640
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$67,736
New Phoenix Assistance Center	Chicago, IL	$543,018
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$438,276
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$124,891
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$115,763
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$42,804
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$58,319
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$101,184
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$1,995,000
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$47,250
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$136,599
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$119,700
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$57,931
The Women's Center, Incorporated	Carbondale, IL	$62,596
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$68,250
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$147,459
Catholic Charities/Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$130,356
Catholic Charities/Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$1,379,776
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$163,962
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$308,319
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$221,650
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$207,936
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$37,669
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$343,819
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$448,853

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$47,014
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$939,084
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$48,612
Good Samaritan House	Granite City, IL	$303,114
City of Chicago Dept. of Housing	Chicago, IL	$804,460
La Casa Norte	Chicago, IL	$391,194
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$74,028
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$420,000
Residents for Effective Shelter Transitions	Chicago, IL	$185,563
Residents for Effective Shelter Transitions	Chicago, IL	$558,797
Casa Central Social Services Corporation	Chicago, IL	$259,669
Casa Central Social Services Corporation	Chicago, IL	$211,596
Casa Central Social Services Corporation	Chicago, IL	$750,000
Single Room Housing Assistance Corporation	Chicago, IL	$422,546
New Phoenix Assistance Center	Chicago, IL	$240,500
New Phoenix Assistance Center	Chicago, IL	$325,780
Unity Parenting & Counseling, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$514,532
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$350,557
TIA/Chicago Connections	Chicago, IL	$314,986
Interfaith Housing Development Corporation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$189,889
Catholic Charities/Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$420,000
FEATHERFIST	Chicago, IL	$532,528
FEATHERFIST	Chicago, IL	$144,243
FEATHERFIST	Chicago, IL	$420,000
FEATHERFIST	Chicago, IL	$256,626
FEATHERFIST	Chicago, IL	$293,526
FEATHERFIST	Chicago, IL	$249,382
Teen Living Programs, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$655,191
Healthcare Alternative Systems, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$214,748
Community Supportive Living Systems	Chicago, IL	$203,150
Community Supportive Living Systems	Chicago, IL	$201,735
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$459,060
Interfaith Housing Development Corporation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$85,890
The Thresholds, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$78,491
Northwestern Memorial Hospital	Chicago, IL	$160,827
Northwestern Memorial Hospital	Chicago, IL	$332,899
AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$737,048
Interfaith House	Chicago, IL	$210,704
Community Mental Health Council, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$73,014
Tri-County Opportunities Council	Rock Falls, IL	$62,150
Project NOW, Incorporated, CAA	Rock Island, IL	$119,445
Project NOW, Incorporated, CAA	Rock Island, IL	$77,427
Anna Bixby Women's Center	Harrisburg, IL	$128,679
Catholic Charities/Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$126,228
Western Egyptian E.O.C., Incorporated	Steeleville, IL	$74,739
The Women's Center, Incorporated	Carbondale, IL	$87,925
Community Supportive Living Systems	Chicago, IL	$165,136
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$488,341
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$502,140
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$159,204
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$239,400
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$138,199
City of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$39,900
The Salvation Army	Des Plaines, IL	$464,642
Bethel New Life, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$219,153
New Moms, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$147,860
New Moms, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$105,838
The Inner Voice, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$205,452
The Inner Voice, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$79,459
The Inner Voice, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$348,807
Catholic Charities/Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$750,000
Apna Ghar, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$249,570
The Thresholds, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$78,491
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$122,240
Chicago Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$130,438
West Englewood United Organization	Chicago, IL	$210,118
Housing Opportunities for Women, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$142,758
Housing Opportunities for Women, Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$55,610
Matthew House	Chicago, IL	$137,592
Connexions Enterprise Incorporated	Chicago, IL	$173,228
Woodlawn East Community Neighbors	Chicago, IL	$111,666

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Inspiration Corporation	Chicago, IL	$399,822
Children's Home and Aid Society of Illinois	Granite City, IL	$38,571
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$93,083
Stopping Woman Abuse Now	Olney, IL	$140,000
Human Resources Development Institute	Chicago, IL	$469,909
Dove, Incorporated	Decatur, IL	$364,794
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$179,640
Illinois Valley Economic Development Corp.	Macoupin, IL	$309,251
C.E.F.S. Economic Opportunity Corporation	Effingham, IL	$420,052
Dove, Incorporated	Decatur, IL	$89,581
Dove, Incorporated	Decatur, IL	$214,510
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$368,550
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$206,038
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$180,153
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$150,860
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$99,756
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$599,999
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$267,300
Family and Social Services Administration	Indianapolis, IN	$136,656
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$41,328
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$115,260
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$101,461
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$74,550
Vincent House, Incorporated	Fort Wayne, IN	$48,451
Family and Social Services Administration	Indianapolis, IN	$97,380
Margaret Alexander C.H.I.L.D. Center, Incorporated	Fort Wayne, IN	$52,499
Young Women's Christian Association of Fort Wayne, Incorporated	Fort Wayne, IN	$165,817
Fort Wayne Women's Bureau, Incorporated	Fort Wayne, IN	$89,775
Hope House, Incorporated	Fort Wayne, IN	$64,890
Hope House, Incorporated	Fort Wayne, IN	$133,679
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$738,000
Council On Domestic Abuse, Inc.	Terre Haute, IN	$263,228
Community Mental Health Center	Lawrenceburg, IN	$470,301
Middle Way House, Inc.	Bloomington, IN	$342,187
The Center for Women and Families, Inc.	Sellersburg, IN	$446,290
City of New Albany	New Albany, IN	$474,741
City of New Albany	New Albany, IN	$153,405
Muncie Community Schools	Muncie, IN	$189,000
CRWorks, Inc.	Gary, IN	$422,509
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$121,132
Indiana Coalition on Housing and Homeless Issues	Indianapolis, IN	$840,000
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$168,399
Open Door Community Services, Inc.	Muncie, IN	$306,409
Lafayette Transitional Housing Center, Inc.	Lafayette, IN	$221,680
Stepping Stones Shelter for Women	Michigan City, IN	$550,368
South Central Community Mental Health	Bloomington, IN	$761,793
Community Mental Health Center	Lawrenceburg, IN	$831,343
Family and Social Services Administration	Indianapolis, IN	$70,560
Family and Social Services Administration	Indianapolis, IN	$515,160
Cedars HOPE, Incorporated	Fort Wayne, IN	$35,700
Family Crisis Shelter	Crawfordsville, IN	$181,675
YWCA of St. Joseph County	South Bend, IN	$195,000
The Center for the Homeless, Incorporated	South Bend, IN	$312,000
AIDS Ministries/AIDS Assist of North Indiana, Incorporated	South Bend, IN	$43,416
AIDS Ministries/AIDS Assist of North Indiana, Incorporated	South Bend, IN	$309,420
Madison Center, Incorporated	South Bend, IN	$442,100
Madison Center, Incorporated	South Bend, IN	$164,850
Young Women's Christian Association	Evansville, IN	$57,330
Young Women's Christian Association	Evansville, IN	$86,865
Young Women's Christian Association	Evansville, IN	$60,424
City of South Bend	South Bend, IN	$89,664
Family and Social Services Administration	Indianapolis, IN	$809,280
Family and Social Services Administration	Indianapolis, IN	$413,280
Housing & Credit Counseling, Incorporated	Topeka, KS	$193,725
City of Wichita, Kansas	Wichita, KS	$632,100
Lawrence-DG County Housing Authority	Lawrence, KS	$328,928
Unified Government of Wyandotte County	Kansas, KS	$92,308
Inter-Faith Ministries Wichita, Incorporated	Wichita, KS	$739,971
Community Action, Incorporated	Topeka, KS	$514,652
CLASS LTD	Columbus, KS	$217,959
The Salvation Army	Wichita, KS	$1,000,000

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$531,999
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$86,029
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$18,384
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$590,579
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$90,972
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$230,000
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$784,681
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$90,972
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$140,537
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$825,785
The Daniel Pitino Shelter, Incorporated	Owensboro, KY	$798,118
Neighborhood Investment Partners	Covington, KY	$219,000
Welcome House of Northern Kentucky, Incorporated	Covington, KY	$469,110
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$320,530
Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	Louisville, KY	$114,747
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$959,721
Bellewood Presbyterian Home for Children, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$264,981
New Directions Housing Corporation	Louisville, KY	$174,739
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$255,910
Family Health Center, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$765,441
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$118,992
Father Maloney's Boys' Haven, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$375,715
The Center for Women and Families, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$149,625
Volunteers of America of Kentucky, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$328,090
New Beginnings, Bluegrass, Incorporated	Lexington, KY	$61,676
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	Lexington, KY	$168,456
Hope Center, Incorporated	Lexington, KY	$538,667
Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	Louisville, KY	$929,460
Chrysalis House, Incorporated	Lexington, KY	$166,667
Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	Louisville, KY	$466,908
The Salvation Army, A GA Corporation	Louisville, KY	$119,999
The Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$401,844
Volunteers of America of Kentucky, Incorporated	Louisville, KY	$722,699
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$567,401
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$339,530
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$196,452
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$104,201
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$134,684
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$484,617
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$320,937
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$839,267
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$83,431
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$181,913
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$209,114
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$256,490
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$356,942
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$61,490
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$280,326
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$168,261
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$161,450
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$240,828
Volunteers of America of GNO, INC.	New Orleans, LA	$470,234
Hall-Brooke Behavioral Health Services	Westport, LA	$313,935
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$900,000
Metropolitan Battered Women's Program, Inc.	Jefferson, LA	$113,344
Acadiana C.A.R.E.S.	Lafayette, LA	$687,153
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$173,756
Micah Housing, Inc.	Fairfield, LA	$73,501
United Way of Eastern Fairfield County	Bridgeport, LA	$74,984
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, LA	$850,265
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, LA	$141,766
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, LA	$188,192
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, LA	$372,973
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, LA	$45,472
Louisiana State Dept. of Health and Hospitals	New Orleans, LA	$779,988
Inner-City Revitalization Corporation	Alexandria, LA	$454,000
NAMI NEW Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$153,421
Jefferson Parish Human Services Authority	Metairie, LA	$277,474
Covenant House New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$144,153
Covenant House New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$78,294
Volunteers of America of North Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$154,669

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Volunteers of America of North Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$125,042
Hope House of Central Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	$135,537
Hope House of Central Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	$58,246
City of New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$315,384
Volunteers of America of GNO, Inc.	New Orleans, LA	$52,500
Acadiana Outreach Center, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$389,607
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$301,902
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$77,075
Shreveport SRO	Shreveport, LA	$142,712
Shreveport SRO	Shreveport, LA	$227,816
Shreveport SRO	Shreveport, LA	$65,240
Housing Authority of the City of Bossier City	Bossier City, LA	$750,900
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$71,854
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$171,420
Acadiana Outreach Center	Shreveport, LA	$35,173
Volunteers of America of GNO, Inc.	New Orleans, LA	$628,300
Providence House	Shreveport, LA	$155,555
Volunteers of America of GNO, Inc.	New Orleans, LA	$114,794
St. Mary Community Action Agency, Inc.	Franklin, LA	$44,652
St. Mary Community Action Agency, Inc.	Franklin, LA	$64,496
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$71,662
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$498,641
State of Connecticut	Hartford, LA	$913,668
Volunteers of America, Greater Baton Rouge, Inc.	Baton Rouge, LA	$119,170
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$70,734
Southwest LA Legal Services	Lake Charles, LA	$36,196
SW LA Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Lake Charles, LA	$52,454
Southeast Spouse Abuse Program	Hammond, LA	$149,166
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$699,072
Gulf Coast Teaching Family Services, Inc.	Gretna, LA	$300,459
Chez Hope, Inc.	Franklin, LA	$334,342
Eastern Louisiana Mental Health System	Jackson, LA	$157,791
Mental Health Association for Greater Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$89,253
Gulf Coast Teaching Family Services, Incorporated	Gretna, LA	$278,593
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$324,324
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$82,151
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$181,648
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$32,467
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$263,209
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$102,558
First Evangelist Housing & CDC	New Orleans, LA	$750,000
Our House, Inc.	Monroe, LA	$113,167
Young Women's Christian Assoc. of Northeast Louisiana	Monroe, LA	$135,188
Monroe Area Guidance Center	Monroe, LA	$160,432
State of Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals	Monroe, LA	$194,765
Caddo Parish School Board	Shreveport, LA	$89,237
YWCA of Northwest Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$96,394
Philadelphia Center	Shreveport, LA	$176,400
Volunteers of America of N. LA	Shreveport, LA	$103,775
Volunteers of America of N. LA	Shreveport, LA	$100,736
Providence House	Shreveport, LA	$91,536
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$60,339
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$96,642
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$173,726
Housing For All Corporation	Hyannis, MA	$289,000
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$271,842
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$136,224
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$151,987
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$364,419
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$208,357
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$1,661,880
Housing Assistance Corporation	Hyannis, MA	$107,444
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$37,765
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$120,342
Brockton Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Brockton, MA	$93,371
Brockton Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Brockton, MA	$42,169
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$42,000
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$50,400
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$45,618
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$344,640
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$610,470

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$653,820
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$88,733
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$232,987
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$334,551
Housing For All Corporation	Hyannis, MA	$88,400
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$126,899
Housing Assistance Corporation	Hyannis, MA	$46,617
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$181,200
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$528,179
Barnstable Housing Authority	Hyannis, MA	$303,240
Massachusetts Department of Mental Health	Hyannis, MA	$173,760
Legal Services for Cape Cod and Islands	Hyannis, MA	$49,875
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$61,002
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$1,048,764
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$238,109
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$55,141
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$170,336
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$122,580
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$57,750
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$35,448
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$523,501
Valley Community Development Corporation	Northampton, MA	$331,800
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$441,713
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$518,108
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$49,357
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$522,779
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$69,774
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$73,500
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$371,971
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$363,384
Cambridge Housing Authority	Cambridge, MA	$132,012
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$72,450
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$234,056
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$137,815
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$60,690
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$29,601
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$242,300
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$199,569
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$848,421
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$41,125
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$9,916
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$109,453
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$99,250
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$94,500
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$104,996
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$68,250
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$80,352
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$55,494
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$61,250
South Middlesex Opportunity Council, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$347,866
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$178,647
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$353,375
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$633,061
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$240,648
Family Life Support Center, Incorporated	North Adams, MA	$136,486
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$49,700
Emmaus, Incorporated	Haverhill, MA	$102,100
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$1,263,489
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$136,816
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$117,667
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$104,999
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$262,500
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$210,000
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance Inc.	Worcester, MA	$146,490
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$70,718
Northshore Community Action Programs, Incorporated	Peabody, MA	$382,432
City of Haverhill	Haverhill, MA	$250,690
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$281,738
Shelter, Incorporated/Family Life, Incorporated	Cambridge, MA	$454,969
Shelter, Incorporated/Family Life, Incorporated	Cambridge, MA	$949,032
Twin Cities Community Development Corporation	Fitchburg, MA	$92,418

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
South Middlesex Opportunity Council, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$79,128
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$30,000
Veterans Northeast Outreach Center	Haverhill, MA	$129,675
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$96,640
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$541,203
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$420,939
Lynn Shelter Association, Incorporated	Lynn, MA	$207,283
Turning Point, Incorporated	Newburyport, MA	$316,097
Berkshire Community Action Council, Incorporated	Pittsfield, MA	$48,190
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$198,747
Emmaus, Incorporated	Haverhill, MA	$135,084
Residential Care Consortium, Incorporated	Fall River, MA	$490,808
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$217,440
City of Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$45,000
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$487,502
City of Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$304,186
Advocates, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$82,210
Advocates, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$82,805
City of Fall River, MA	Fall River, MA	$1,029,319
Vinfen Corporation	Cambridge, MA	$70,251
Citizens for Affordable Housing in Newton Development Organization	West Newton, MA	$12,616
The Psychological Center, Incorporated	Lawrence, MA	$141,720
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$200,760
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$89,200
City of Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$80,000
YWCA of Greater Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$190,041
City of New Bedford, MA	New Bedford, MA	$32,761
American Red Cross	Pittsfield, MA	$132,290
Advocates, Incorporated	Framingham, MA	$248,413
Construct, Incorporated	Great Barrington, MA	$41,200
City of New Bedford, MA	New Bedford, MA	$265,079
City of New Bedford, MA	New Bedford, MA	$735,195
City of New Bedford, MA	New Bedford, MA	$375,866
City of Fall River, MA	Fall River, MA	$298,176
City of New Bedford, MA	New Bedford, MA	$95,933
City of Fall River, MA	Fall River, MA	$96,157
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$299,640
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$136,200
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$787,920
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$749,100
The City of Quincy	Quincy, MA	$170,172
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$428,904
City of New Bedford, MA	New Bedford, MA	$199,345
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$852,296
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$328,406
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$40,788
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$123,633
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$233,009
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$114,988
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$113,558
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$441,336
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$195,237
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$339,016
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$1,049,999
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$228,739
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$99,293
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$183,825
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$227,607
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$114,692
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$733,271
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$145,273
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$101,302
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$592,836
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$482,688
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$281,553
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$228,522
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$195,004
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$660,772
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$478,655
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$840,840
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$259,141

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$509,284
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$147,360
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$74,775
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$178,632
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$80,928
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$128,918
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$183,050
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$105,324
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$37,780
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$713,808
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$546,084
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$237,130
Community Counseling of Bristol County, Incorporated	Taunton, MA	$41,269
Somerville Homeless Coalition, Incorporated	Somerville, MA	$388,035
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development	Lynn, MA	$164,955
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$83,333
Somerville Homeless Coalition, Incorporated	Somerville, MA	$290,325
CASPAR, Incorporated	Somerville, MA	$301,589
CASPAR	Boston, MA	$750,000
United Way of Greater Attleboro-Taunton, Incorporated	Attleboro, MA	$23,954
Community Counseling of Bristol County, Incorporated	Taunton, MA	$162,896
Catholic Social Services of Fall River, Incorporated	Fall River, MA	$231,818
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$190,575
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$472,500
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$509,617
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$141,053
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$209,977
City of Boston Acting by and Through its Public Facilities	Boston, MA	$297,006
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$65,448
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$138,780
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$43,668
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$34,524
Somerset County Health Department	Westover, MD	$174,712
Somerset County Health Department	Westover, MD	$325,956
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$322,527
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$58,800
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$74,002
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$77,612
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$80,448
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$111,720
YWCA of the Greater Baltimore Area, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$173,250
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$43,620
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$251,783
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$291,270
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$183,750
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$776,160
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$80,376
Heartly House Incorporated	Frederick, MD	$35,074
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$248,745
Laurel Advocacy & Referral Services, Incorporated	Laurel, MD	$47,265
Laurel Advocacy & Referral Services, Incorporated	Laurel, MD	$61,963
Laurel Advocacy & Referral Services, Incorporated	Laurel, MD	$119,776
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$322,788
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$117,066
Baltimore Co., Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$80,717
Prince George's County Government	Landover, MD	$449,617
Baltimore County, MD	Baltimore, MD	$204,375
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$160,020
Human Services Programs of Carroll County, Incorporated	Westminster, MD	$86,136
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$254,220
Prince George's County Government	Landover, MD	$746,657
Human Services Programs of Carroll County, Incorporated	Westminster, MD	$96,395
Prince George's County Government	Landover, MD	$116,193
Rehabilitation Systems, Incorporated	Seabrook, MD	$129,394
Rehabilitation Systems, Incorporated	Seabrook, MD	$359,623
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$319,200
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$784,400
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$682,996
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$369,600
Associated Catholic Charities	Baltimore, MD	$290,556
Volunteers of America Chesapeake, Incorporated	Lanham, MD	$225,114

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Human Services Programs of Carroll County, Incorporated	Westminster, MD	$44,000
United Communities Against Poverty, Incorporated	Capitol Heights, MD	$190,459
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$63,972
Housing Opportunities Commission	Kensington, MD	$420,000
City of Gaithersburg	Gaithersburg, MD	$128,247
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless	Rockville, MD	$359,232
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless	Rockville, MD	$500,961
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless	Rockville, MD	$819,622
Housing Opportunities Commission	Kensington, MD	$79,533
Montgomery Avenue Women's Center, Inc.	Rockville, MD	$138,853
Housing Opportunities Commission	Kensington, MD	$385,855
Mental Health Administration	Catonsville, MD	$167,784
YMCA of Cumberland, MD	Cumberland, MD	$140,700
Allegany County HRDC, Incorporated	Cumberland, MD	$65,478
Allegany County HRDC, Incorporated	Cumberland, MD	$205,443
Housing Opportunities Commission	Kensington, MD	$617,028
Housing Opportunities Commission	Kensington, MD	$498,143
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$296,352
Housing Opportunities Commission	Kensington, MD	$390,549
Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Annapolis, MD	$222,860
Howard County, Maryland	Columbia, MD	$68,736
Howard County, Maryland	Columbia, MD	$260,671
Howard County, Maryland	Columbia, MD	$43,043
Howard County, Maryland	Columbia, MD	$227,758
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$130,728
Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Annapolis, MD	$947,038
National Center for Children and Families (NCCF)	Bethesda, MD	$623,191
Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Annapolis, MD	$248,167
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$204,768
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$91,632
Harford County Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$81,962
Harford County Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$90,223
Harford County Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$54,930
Harford County Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$82,178
Harford County Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$138,039
Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Annapolis, MD	$127,871
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$284,269
Housing Opportunities Commission	Kensington, MD	$498,143
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$113,462
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$50,818
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$38,128
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$282,542
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$113,598
Washington County Community Action Council, Incorporated	Hagerstown, MD	$169,102
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$114,805
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$40,587
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$304,368
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$107,117
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$582,490
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$205,212
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$65,967
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$136,836
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$272,029
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$87,240
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$107,352
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$279,168
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$100,044
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$305,340
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$77,989
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$87,240
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$548,310
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$261,720
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$109,032
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$34,896
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$287,892
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$271,380
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$34,341
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$213,120
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$102,062
Baltimore City	Baltimore, MD	$174,480
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$902,880

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Frederick	Frederick, MD	$65,897
Advocates for Homeless Families	Frederick, MD	$24,008
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$86,556
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$124,680
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$135,420
Mid-Shore Mental Health System, Inc.	Easton, MD	$62,084
Board of Garrett County Commissioners	Oakland, MD	$52,473
Mental Hygiene Administration	Catonsville, MD	$65,328
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington	Hughesville, MD	$82,391
Calvert County Government	Prince Frederick, MD	$19,210
City of Frederick	Frederick, MD	$135,536
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$43,192
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$205,981
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$107,336
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$40,630
Board of Garrett County Commissioners	Oakland, MD	$40,485
Maine State Housing Authority	Augusta, ME	$117,233
Portland West, Incorporated	Portland, ME	$70,652
YWCA of Greater Portland, Maine, Incorporated	Portland, ME	$130,179
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$760,140
MAPS/My Choice	Portland, ME	$71,355
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$200,952
New Beginnings, Incorporated	Lewiston, ME	$334,234
Kennebec Mental Health Association	Waterville, ME	$65,677
Maine State Housing Authority	Augusta, ME	$161,148
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$31,366
Ingraham, Incorporated	Portland, ME	$82,357
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$644,364
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$70,016
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$158,127
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$111,384
State of Maine, Department of Behavioral & Developmental Services	Bangor, ME	$31,488
State of Maine, Department of Behavioral & Developmental Services	Bangor, ME	$126,180
Manna, Incorporated	Bangor, ME	$105,000
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$19,152
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$72,678
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$57,408
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$133,812
Ingraham, Incorporated	Portland, ME	$307,100
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$118,422
York County Shelters, Incorporated	Alfred, ME	$222,257
Shaw House, Incorporated	Bangor, ME	$327,206
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$239,172
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$147,504
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$752,340
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$18,600
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$15,443
York County Shelters, Incorporated	Alfred, ME	$198,349
Community Concepts, Incorporated	South Paris, ME	$41,289
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$215,760
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$27,971
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$80,700
Rumford Group Homes, Incorporated	Rumford, ME	$29,972
Counseling Services, Incorporated	Saco, ME	$64,410
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$352,224
Battered Women's Project	Presque Isle, ME	$54,504
Tedford Shelter	Brunswick, ME	$321,376
Allegany Co. Resource Development Committee, Incorporated	Allegan, MI	$84,800
Travelers Aid Society of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$89,617
Travelers Aid Society of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$218,768
Travelers Aid Society of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$209,811
Travelers Aid Society of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$918,428
American National Red Cross	Bay City, MI	$118,078
Charter County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$142,015
Charter County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$125,183
Bay Area Women's Center	Bay City, MI	$106,488
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$129,540
Word Foundation Agape House	Southfield, MI	$210,000
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$660,686
Training and Treatment Innovations	Clawson, MI	$437,913
Capital Area Community Services, Inc.	Lansing, MI	$106,791

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$105,546
Heartside Nonprofit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$63,000
Genesis Non-Profit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$750,000
Community Housing Network, Incorporated	Troy, MI	$781,307
The Salvation Army	Detroit, MI	$108,743
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Lansing, MI	$645,011
Travelers Aid Society of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$854,082
Lighthouse of Oakland County	Pontiac, MI	$514,015
CareGivers, Incorporated	Detroit, MI	$756,140
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$400,233
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$84,980
Charter County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$443,940
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$75,844
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$138,410
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$426,160
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$88,675
EightCap, Inc.	Greenville, MI	$113,375
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$446,832
Freedom House	Detroit, MI	$169,013
Common Ground Sanctuary	Bloomfield Hills, MI	$249,566
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$616,621
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$506,304
Community Housing Network, Incorporated	Troy, MI	$178,467
Freedom House	Detroit, MI	$118,878
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$622,668
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$119,532
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$87,516
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$645,732
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$173,700
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$299,268
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$759,594
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$406,741
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$1,057,723
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$201,576
Genesis Non-Profit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$26,250
Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin and Upper Michigan	Marquette, MI	$110,000
Mariner's Inn	Detroit, MI	$289,004
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$183,312
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$63,648
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$709,837
Mariner's Inn	Detroit, MI	$243,585
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$91,928
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$146,930
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$907,156
Housing Plus, Incorporated	Pontiac, MI	$392,272
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$447,581
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$217,208
Wayne County Neighborhood Legal Services	Detroit, MI	$853,435
SOS Crisis Center, Incorporated	Ypsilanti, MI	$433,994
Wayne-Metropolitan CAA	Wyandotte, MI	$1,092,703
Underground Railroad, Incorporated	Saginaw, MI	$315,469
SOS Crisis Center, Incorporated	Ypsilanti, MI	$394,732
SOS Crisis Center, Incorporated	Ypsilanti, MI	$1,181,940
Underground Railroad, Incorporated	Saginaw, MI	$168,242
State of Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$96,636
Oakland Livingston Human Services Agency	Howell, MI	$48,240
The Haven of Rest Ministries, Inc.	Battle Creek, MI	$350,324
Oakland Livingston Human Services Agency	Howell, MI	$48,822
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$228,488
Housing Resources, Inc. of Kalamazoo Co.	Kalamazoo, MI	$618,519
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$93,509
Housing Resources, Inc. of Kalamazoo Co.	Kalamazoo, MI	$143,586
Center for Women in Transition	Holland, MI	$46,442
Center for Women in Transition	Holland, MI	$92,336
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$130,824
County of Ottawa	Holland, MI	$222,992
Avalon Housing, Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI	$83,334
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$247,656
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$191,184
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$67,644
Washtenaw County, Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI	$250,380

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Saginaw County Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$24,660
Avalon Housing, Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI	$86,534
Underground Railroad, Incorporated	Saginaw, MI	$290,898
Monroe County Opportunity Program	Monroe, MI	$200,251
The County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$525,433
Monroe County Opportunity Program	Monroe, MI	$20,000
Michigan Ability Partners	Ann Arbor, MI	$401,552
Community Action Agency	Jackson, MI	$366,000
Michigan Ability Partners	Ann Arbor, MI	$41,316
Training and Treatment Innovations, Inc.	Jackson, MI	$338,629
SOS Crisis Center, Incorporated	Ypsilanti, MI	$248,415
Livingston County Community Mental Health Services Board	Howell, MI	$85,213
Saginaw County Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$649,320
Wayne County Neigh. Legal Services	Ecorse, MI	$662,317
Wayne-Metropolitan CAA	Wyandotte, MI	$208,404
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$84,752
Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin and Upper Michigan	Marquette, MI	$156,624
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$356,004
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$249,855
Lutheran Social Services of Michigan	Detroit, MI	$243,117
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$1,094,206
First Step: Western Wayne County Project on Domestic and Sexual Violence	Plymouth, MI	$131,550
Metro Housing Partnership	Flint, MI	$372,862
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$2,050,018
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$268,419
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$703,802
Housing Services for Eaton County	Charlotte, MI	$55,600
Branch County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Coldwater, MI	$14,422
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$228,233
Branch County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Coldwater, MI	$20,700
Lutheran Social Services of Michigan	Detroit, MI	$100,896
Inner City Christian Federation	Grand Rapids, MI	$38,810
Lansing Housing Commission	Lansing, MI	$220,392
Lenawee Emergency and Affordable Housing Corporation (LEAHC)	Adrian, MI	$86,511
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$188,526
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$301,297
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$135,765
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$742,186
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$124,142
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$188,530
Summit Pointe	Battle Creek, MI	$71,333
Dwelling Place of Grand Rapids, Inc.	Grand Rapids, MI	$52,660
Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Grand Rapids, MI	$135,360
Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Grand Rapids, MI	$226,900
Community Rebuilders	Grand Rapids, MI	$260,310
Community Rebuilders	Grand Rapids, MI	$598,575
Community Rebuilders	Grand Rapids, MI	$252,840
YWCA of Grand Rapids	Grand Rapids, MI	$386,579
Volunteers of America of MN	Minneapolis, MN	$150,272
Dakota Cty. Community Development Agency	Eagan, MN	$285,300
Rise, Inc.	Spring Lake Park, MN	$55,242
Dakota County Community Services	West St. Paul, MN	$395,767
Houston County Women's Resources	Hokah, MN	$35,332
Scott Agency	Shakopee, MN	$240,720
Minnesota Department of Human Services	St. Paul, MN	$119,030
Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	Columbia Heights, MN	$87,488
Northwestern Mental Health Center, Incorporated	Crookston, MN	$103,666
Lutheran Social Service of Minnesota	Minneapolis, MN	$32,272
New Pathways, Incorporated	Cambridge, MN	$105,265
Lakeland Mental Health Center, Incorporated	Moorhead, MN	$249,375
West Central MN Communities Action, Incorporated	Sebeka, MN	$13,794
Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Saint Paul Park, MN	$222,900
Rum River Health Services, Incorporated	Princeton, MN	$500,500
Housing Coalition of the St. Cloud Area	St. Cloud, MN	$242,766
Housing Coalition of the St. Cloud Area	St. Cloud, MN	$51,930
Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	Columbia Heights, MN	$179,215
Simpson Housing Services, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$102,319
New Foundations, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$320,144
Community Involvement Programs	Minneapolis, MN	$25,480
Tubman Family Alliance	Minneapolis, MN	$97,085
Hennepin County (CFASD)	Minneapolis, MN	$489,874

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Hennepin County (CFASD)	Minneapolis, MN	$347,549
RS Eden	St. Paul, MN	$149,100
Perspectives, Incorporated	St. Louis Park, MN	$171,173
Elim Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$165,000
Central Community Housing Trust	Minneapolis, MN	$473,606
American Indian Housing and Community Development Corporation	Minneapolis, MN	$162,222
Pillsbury United Communities	Minneapolis, MN	$420,000
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$923,700
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$781,380
Young Women's Christian Association	St. Paul, MN	$60,238
Young Women's Christian Association	St. Paul, MN	$31,335
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of St. Paul and Minneapolis	Minneapolis, MN	$584,242
Breaking Free, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$100,000
Central Community Housing Trust	Minneapolis, MN	$154,010
Theresa Living Center	St. Paul, MN	$62,400
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$118,848
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$146,364
Women's Advocates, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$90,262
Southern Minnesota Regional Legal Services	St. Paul, MN	$98,960
Freeport West, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$81,746
Face to Face Health and Counseling Service, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$109,127
People, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$126,000
Families Moving Forward	Minneapolis, MN	$105,555
Theresa Living Center	St. Paul, MN	$96,193
Mental Health Resources, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$152,410
Emma Norton Services	St. Paul, MN	$81,171
Lutheran Social Service of Minnesota	St. Paul, MN	$141,359
Resource, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$571,732
Mental Health Resources, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$198,299
Minnesota Veterans Home Board	Minneapolis, MN	$227,583
Freeport West, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$406,284
Freeport West, Incorporated	Minneapolis, MN	$242,887
Peta Wakan Tipi	St. Paul, MN	$50,467
The Salvation Army	Duluth, MN	$100,859
Minnesota Department of Human Services	St. Paul, MN	$45,108
Life House, Inc.	Duluth, MN	$99,335
Life House, Inc.	Duluth, MN	$63,034
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Virginia, MN	$40,856
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	Virginia, MN	$25,856
KOOTASCA Community Action	Grand Rapids, MN	$31,971
Lakes and Pines CAC, Incorporated	Mora, MN	$21,000
Human Development Center	Duluth, MN	$69,825
Minnesota Department of Human Services	Saint Paul, MN	$186,943
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition, Inc.	Duluth, MN	$119,807
The Salvation Army	Duluth, MN	$385,177
Life House, Inc.	Duluth, MN	$158,970
Rice County HRA	Faribault, MN	$219,300
Range Mental Health Center, Inc.	Virginia, MN	$400,000
Dakota County Community Development Agency	Eagan, MN	$76,320
Partners for Affordable Housing	Mankato, MN	$24,195
Three Rivers Community Action, Incorporated	Zumbrota, MN	$6,867
Three Rivers Community Action, Incorporated	Zumbrota, MN	$153,000
Minnesota Assistance Council for Veterans	Minneapolis, MN	$724,500
Our Savior's Lutheran Church	Minneapolis, MN	$139,811
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$1,061,677
Steele County Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Owatonna, MN	$45,885
Mental Health Resources, Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$169,931
Ramsey County	St. Paul, MN	$777,720
HRA of Duluth, MN	Duluth, MN	$71,124
Range Transitional Housing, Inc.	Virginia, MN	$463,900
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition, Inc.	Duluth, MN	$99,348
American Indian Community Housing Organization	Duluth, MN	$67,918
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition, Inc.	Duluth, MN	$153,984
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$781,440
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$341,530
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$338,311
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$260,127
Family Self Help Center, Incorporated	Joplin, MO	$63,000
Economic Security Corporation of Southwest Area	Joplin, MO	$208,684
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$48,300
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$224,514

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$235,395
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$343,350
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$2,258,054
North East Community Action Corporation	Bowling Green, MO	$329,238
Salvation Army	Jefferson City, MO	$230,971
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$32,935
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, Missouri	Columbia, MO	$227,292
Economic Security Corporation of Southwest Area	Joplin, MO	$37,426
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$24,856
Saint Louis County Government	St. Louis, MO	$215,573
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$583,944
SAVE, Incorporated	Kansas City, MO	$584,942
Benilde Hall	Kansas City, MO	$502,700
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$2,300,007
Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	Portageville, MO	$441,210
Community Caring Council	Cape Girardeau, MO	$542,808
Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	Portageville, MO	$341,985
Families Assistance inTransitional Housing, Incorporated	Clinton, MO	$130,944
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$125,891
The Salvation Army	Joplin, MO	$80,000
Family Counseling Center, Incorporated	Kennett, MO	$384,521
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$25,131
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$36,131
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$284,160
City of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO	$197,815
Truman Medical Center, Incorporated	Kansas City, MO	$513,248
The Kitchen Incorporated	Springfield, MO	$393,750
Interfaith Community Services, Inc.	St. Joseph, MO	$669,834
Interfaith Community Services, Inc.	St. Joseph, MO	$736,601
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$173,371
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$784,350
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$211,093
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$314,040
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$1,270,284
Salvation Army	Jefferson City, MO	$314,010
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$1,402,200
The Salvation Army	Springfield, MO	$148,882
Ozarks Area Community Action Corporation	Springfield, MO	$26,655
Housing Authority of the City of Springfield	Springfield, MO	$35,376
Economic Security Corporation of Southwest Area	Joplin, MO	$68,603
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$305,974
Bolivar County Community Action	Cleveland, MS	$525,000
Lift, Incorporated	Tupelo, MS	$390,820
Recovery House, Incorporated	Columbus, MS	$637,350
University of Southern Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	$672,000
MS. Regional Housing Authority	Columbus, MS	$564,936
Multi-County Community Service Agency, Incorporated	Meridian, MS	$700,000
Forrest General Hospital	Hattiesburg, MS	$787,000
Hinds County Human Resource Agency	Jackson, MS	$118,650
The Samaritan House, Incorporated	Kalispell, MT	$63,000
District 7 Human Resources Development Council	Billings, MT	$63,000
MT DPhhS	Helena, MT	$220,000
Helena Housing Authority	Helena, MT	$70,896
Missoula Housing Authority	Missoula, MT	$264,000
Poverello Center, Incorporated	Missoula, MT	$74,934
Missoula County	Missoula, MT	$25,807
Missoula County	Missoula, MT	$35,240
Mountain Home Montana, Incorporated	Missoula, MT	$153,595
Missoula County	Missoula, MT	$196,664
Northwest Montana Human Resources, Incorporated	Kalispell, MT	$71,538
Neuse Center Area MH/DD/SAS Authority	New Bern, NC	$82,248
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$90,511
Cumberland County, Inc.	Fayetteville, NC	$147,788
Graham Housing Authority	Graham, NC	$257,100
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$47,250
Sandhills Community Action Program	Carthage, NC	$240,792
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$47,545
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$46,475
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$57,750
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$300,660
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$14,663

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$107,124
Family Service of the Piedmont, Inc.	High Point, NC	$47,293
Next Step Ministries	Kernersville, NC	$37,800
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corp.	Charlotte, NC	$226,646
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$56,829
Mecklenburg County Area MH Authority	Charlotte, NC	$766,200
Mecklenburg County Area MH Authority	Charlotte, NC	$316,764
Passage Home, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$491,618
Mecklenburg County Area MH Authority	Charlotte, NC	$392,928
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$70,206
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$210,396
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$31,500
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$49,614
Community Alternatives for Supportive Abode	Raleigh, NC	$669,344
Mecklenburg County Area MH Authority	Charlotte, NC	$145,136
Housing for New Hope	Durham, NC	$140,700
Mecklenburg County Area MH Authority	Charlotte, NC	$300,276
Mecklenburg County Area MH Authority	Charlotte, NC	$44,363
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$110,208
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$216,197
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$80,000
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$305,712
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$98,123
Hospitality House of Asheville, Inc.	Asheville, NC	$182,886
Hospitality House of the Boone Area, Inc.	Boone, NC	$93,544
Christian Counseling & Wellness	Greensboro, NC	$88,007
Mary's House, Inc.	Greensboro, NC	$135,982
Greensboro Urban Ministry	Greensboro, NC	$36,750
Ashe County Department of Social Services	Jefferson, NC	$60,000
Greensboro Urban Ministry	Greensboro, NC	$42,000
Reinvestment in Communities of Gaston County, Inc.	Gastonia, NC	$46,273
Reinvestment in Communities of Gaston County, Inc.	Gastonia, NC	$350,123
Gaston County Interfaith Hospitality Network	Gastonia, NC	$38,850
The Servant Center, Inc.	Greensboro, NC	$59,481
Northwestern Housing Enterprises, Inc.	Boone, NC	$222,800
Centerpoint Human Services	Winston-Salem, NC	$195,252
Affordable Housing Coalition	Asheville, NC	$263,137
OASIS, Inc.	Boone, NC	$60,900
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corp.	Charlotte, NC	$87,500
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$63,000
Pathways Services, Inc.	Gastonia, NC	$74,280
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$383,500
Housing for New Hope	Durham, NC	$50,400
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$53,662
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$53,980
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$52,867
Durham Interfaith Hospitality Network	Durham, NC	$216,476
United Community Ministries, Inc.	Rocky Mount, NC	$88,200
Blue Ridge Area Authority	Asheville, NC	$182,244
Good Shepherd Ministries, Inc.	Wilmington, NC	$50,209
Greenville Community Shelter, Inc.	Greenville, NC	$125,348
Community Link Programs of Traveler's Aid	Charlotte, NC	$146,992
OPC Mental Health, SA, Disabilities Authority	Carrboro, NC	$463,080
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	High Point, NC	$29,454
Community Link Programs of Traveler's Aid	Charlotte, NC	$66,991
Community Link Programs of Traveler's Aid	Charlotte, NC	$234,984
Wilmington Housing Authority	Wilmington, NC	$107,856
First Fruit Ministries	Wilmington, NC	$109,493
VOA-Willow Pond, Inc.	Wilmington, NC	$78,909
The Arc of North Carolina, Inc.	Wilmington, NC	$268,484
Housing for New Hope	Durham, NC	$116,978
OPC Area Program	Carrboro, NC	$109,776
Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Fargo, ND	$192,504
YWCA of Fargo-Moorhead	Fargo, ND	$161,009
Centre, Incorporated	Fargo, ND	$236,862
YWCA of Fargo-Moorhead	Fargo, ND	$266,031
Community Violence Intervention Center, Incorporated	Grand Forks, ND	$189,610
Burleigh County Housing Authority	Bismarck, ND	$733,500
Nebraska Legal Services	Omaha, NE	$98,962
The Salvation Army	Omaha, NE	$277,796
Central Nebraska Community Services	Loup City, NE	$127,085

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Community Alliance Rehabilitation Services	Omaha, NE	$267,479
Family Housing Advisory Services, Incorporated	Omaha, NE	$253,746
CenterPointe, Incorporated	Lincoln, NE	$443,273
Visiting Nurse Association	Omaha, NE	$227,171
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$146,696
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$117,539
Blue Valley Community Action, Incorporated	Fairbury, NE	$24,677
Saint Monica's	Lincoln, NE	$140,456
CenterPointe, Incorporated	Lincoln, NE	$187,612
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese	Omaha, NE	$213,234
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$30,870
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$32,827
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$75,881
Lincoln Action Program	Lincoln, NE	$449,539
CEDARS Youth Services	Lincoln, NE	$130,707
Catholic Social Services	Lincoln, NE	$93,683
Family Service	Omaha, NE	$84,214
Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska	Kearney, NE	$90,718
Catholic Social Services	Lincoln, NE	$340,034
Greater Nashua Council on Alcoholism, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$60,083
Liberty House Shelter, Incorporated	Manchester, NH	$150,000
Harbor Homes, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$59,546
My Friend's Place	Dover, NH	$54,240
Southern New Hampshire Services, Incorporated	Manchester, NH	$32,273
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$12,170
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$47,504
Harbor Homes, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$100,929
Marguerite's Place, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$58,481
MP Housing, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$173,659
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$116,524
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$99,632
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$195,285
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$143,815
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$395,004
Harbor Homes, Incorporated	Nashua, NH	$862,121
Tri-County Community Action Program, Incorporated	Berlin, NH	$188,568
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$666,900
Child and Family Services	Manchester, NH	$334,588
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$42,098
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$90,047
Families in Transition	Manchester, NH	$151,020
Families in Transition	Manchester, NH	$641,392
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$116,475
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$88,497
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$85,866
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$251,249
State of New Hampshire, Division of Behavioral Health	Concord, NH	$14,154
Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$67,374
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$68,420
Caring For Kids Exchange Club Family Center	Cape May Courthouse, NJ	$84,846
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$8,000
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$99,200
NCC Harmony House Corporation	Newark, NJ	$543,695
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$192,489
Cerebral Palsy of Monmouth & Ocean Counties	Wanamassa, NJ	$28,348
New Jersey Community Development Corporation	Paterson, NJ	$774,816
St. Phillip's Ministry UMC	Paterson, NJ	$181,650
North Hudson Community Action Corporation	West New York, NJ	$424,888
Counseling & Referral Services of Ocean, Incorporated	Brick, NJ	$472,830
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$68,000
Eva's Kitchen & Sheltering Programs Incorporated	Paterson, NJ	$79,400
O.C.E.A.N., Incorporated	Toms River, NJ	$395,741
Hispanic Multi-Purpose Service Center	Paterson, NJ	$108,530
Alternatives, Inc.	Raritan, NJ	$101,279
NCC Harmony House Corporation	Newark, NJ	$471,635
VetGroup, Incorporated	Forked River, NJ	$55,999
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$59,464
Choices, Incorporated	Newark, NJ	$195,384
Choices, Incorporated	Newark, NJ	$87,216
Babyland Family Services, Incorporated	Newark, NJ	$117,600
Babyland Family Services, Incorporated	Newark, NJ	$277,252

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Urban Renewal Corporation	Newark, NJ	$211,697
Urban Renewal Corporation	Newark, NJ	$212,625
Urban Renewal Corporation	Newark, NJ	$646,191
Saint Joseph's Home	Jersey City, NJ	$558,534
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$121,968
WomenRising, Incorporated	Jersey City, NJ	$644,268
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$738,838
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$57,901
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$837,632
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$638,792
Housing Authority of the City of Elizabeth	Elizabeth, NJ	$236,880
Housing Authority of the City of Elizabeth	Elizabeth, NJ	$982,800
Catholic Community Services	Newark, NJ	$160,000
Vantage Health System, Incorporated	Dumont, NJ	$240,251
Advance Housing, Incorporated	Hackensack, NJ	$247,776
South Jersey Behavioral Health	Camden, NJ	$36,179
Center for Family Services	Camden, NJ	$750,000
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$104,916
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$170,182
O.C.E.A.N., Incorporated	Toms River, NJ	$776,948
Monmouth County	Monmouth, NJ	$184,680
Monmouth County	Monmouth, NJ	$1,005,480
Dooley House, Incorporated	Camden, NJ	$500,000
Paterson Coalition for Housing, Incorporated	Paterson, NJ	$334,014
Interfaith Homeless Outreach Council	Cherry Hill, NJ	$27,148
MIPH	New Brunswick, NJ	$174,110
Our Lady of Lourdes Health Foundation, Incorporated	Camden, NJ	$256,069
Camden County Council on Economic Opportunity	Camden, NJ	$249,128
AIDS Coalition of Southern New Jersey	Bellmawr, NJ	$23,734
Respond, Incorporated	Camden, NJ	$64,459
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Incorporated	Collingwood, NJ	$310,533
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Incorporated	Collingwood, NJ	$310,238
Group Homes of Camden County, Incorporated	Camden, NJ	$120,395
New Jersey Department of Military/Veterans Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$354,313
Homeless Solutions, Incorporated	Morristown, NJ	$396,965
The Salt and Light Company, Incorporated	Mount Holly, NJ	$213,642
Transitional Housing Services, Incorporated	Mount Holly, NJ	$32,116
Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$24,507
Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$69,218
Family Service of Burlington County	Mount Holly, NJ	$42,000
Jersey Battered Women's Service, Incorporated	Morris Plains, NJ	$198,137
Mental Health Association of Morris County, Incorporated	Madison, NJ	$60,060
Center for Family Services	Camden, NJ	$97,800
Homeless Solutions, Incorporated	Morristown, NJ	$219,398
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Inc.	Collingswood, NJ	$224,910
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$5,120
Burlington County Community Action Program	Burlington, NJ	$32,000
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$76,608
Mother/Child Residential Services	Woodbury, NJ	$194,250
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$9,000
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$734,760
N.J. Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$67,521
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$269,280
Homeless Solutions, Incorporated	Morristown, NJ	$64,300
Easter Seals, New Jersey	Verona, NJ	$139,992
City of East Orange	East Orange, NJ	$737,100
Urban Youth Development Corporation	East Orange, NJ	$117,588
Grace Reformed Baptist Church	Newark, NJ	$537,450
Isaiah House	East Orange, NJ	$463,500
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$150,000
Positive Health Care, Incorporated	Newark, NJ	$828,345
City of East Orange	East Orange, NJ	$89,688
St. Paul's CDC	Paterson, NJ	$685,440
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$257,000
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$786,240
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$787,296
Catholic Charities	Albuquerque, NM	$51,371
Catholic Charities	Albuquerque, NM	$750,000
Women's Community Association	Albuquerque, NM	$42,097
Catholic Charities	Albuquerque, NM	$197,868
Sandoval County	Bernalillo, NM	$641,040

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Barrett Foundation, Incorporated	Albuquerque, NM	$23,780
Eastern Plains Council of Governments	Clovis, NM	$235,660
La Casa, Inc.	Las Cruces, NM	$221,655
Transitional Living Services, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$276,300
Transitional Living Services, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$105,000
Albuq. Health Care for the Homeless, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$135,267
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe, NM	$99,960
Mesilla Valley Community of Hope	Las Cruces, NM	$274,050
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe, NM	$170,928
Barrett Foundation, Incorporated	Albuquerque, NM	$97,447
City of Las Cruces	Las Cruces, NM	$327,060
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$223,710
Daybreak Center, Inc.	Aztec, NM	$199,979
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$861,071
Guidance Center of Lea County	Hobbs, NM	$521,324
Town of Taos	Taos, NM	$450,000
St. Martin's Hospitality Center	Albuquerque, NM	$115,500
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$232,812
Catholic Charities	Albuquerque, NM	$241,154
EOB Community Action Partnership	Las Vegas, NV	$104,556
Rural Clinics Community Mental Health Centers	Carson City, NV	$179,520
Douglas County Social Services	Gardnerville, NV	$306,089
State of Nevada	Las Vegas, NV	$632,580
ReStart, Incorporated	Reno, NV	$89,115
The Shade Tree	North Las Vegas, NV	$302,915
Saint Vincent H.E.L.P., Incorporated	Las Vegas, NV	$120,140
Women's Development Center	Las Vegas, NV	$119,285
ReStart, Incorporated	Reno, NV	$679,088
State of Nevada, NNAMHS	Sparks, NV	$388,884
Northern Nevada Community Housing Resource Board	Reno, NV	$51,955
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$136,200
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$238,596
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$165,744
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$57,132
Joseph's House and Shelter	Troy, NY	$210,000
City of Troy	Troy, NY	$32,704
Unity House Troy, Incorporated	Troy, NY	$1,360,212
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$483,336
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$166,488
Family of Woodstock	Kingston, NY	$22,000
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$30,960
Support Ministries, Incorporated	Waterford, NY	$333,964
Housing Options Made Easy, Inc.	Gowanda, NY	$166,177
Housing Options Made Easy, Inc.	Gowanda, NY	$168,034
Albany Housing Coalition, Incorporated	Albany, NY	$21,000
Rehabilitation Support Services	Guilderland, NY	$297,357
Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York	Albany, NY	$106,786
Equinox, Incorporated	Albany, NY	$252,723
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$195,300
SAFE, Inc. of Schenectady	Schenectady, NY	$48,268
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$142,164
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$390,600
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$97,094
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$293,400
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$72,552
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$50,184
Crystal Run Village	Middletown, NY	$245,520
Crystal Run Village	Middletown, NY	$139,816
Homeless and Travelers Aid Society of the Capital District, Incorporated	Albany, NY	$268,416
Crystal Run Village	Middletown, NY	$75,600
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$176,448
Homeless and Travelers Aid Society of the Capital District, Incorporated	Albany, NY	$143,435
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$117,696
Behavioral Health Services North, Incorporated	Plattsburgh, NY	$205,824
ETC Housing Corporation	Plattsburgh, NY	$64,800
Rural Law Center of New York, Incorporated	Plattsburgh, NY	$30,000
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$236,016
Syracuse Housing Authority	Syracuse, NY	$1,423,752
Catholic Charities of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Syracuse, NY	Utica, NY	$504,675
YWCA of Mohawk Valley	Utica, NY	$1,049,998
Oneida County Department of Mental Health	Utica, NY	$74,965

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Oneida County Workforce Development	Utica, NY	$79,800
Syracuse Brick House, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$303,994
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$327,216
Albany Housing Coalition, Incorporated	Albany, NY	$86,310
The Salvation Army	Syracuse, NY	$162,000
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$757,200
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$96,456
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$76,380
Central New York Services, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$849,849
City of Troy	Troy, NY	$183,084
City of Buffalo	Buffalo, NY	$236,220
Rescue Mission Alliance of Syracuse, NY	Syracuse, NY	$300,000
The Salvation Army	Syracuse, NY	$745,595
The Salvation Army	Syracuse, NY	$663,233
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$332,500
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$492,713
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$414,057
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$153,180
The Salvation Army	Syracuse, NY	$157,503
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$416,526
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$48,600
HELP Las Vegas Housing Corporation	New York, NY	$185,934
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$337,176
Catholic Charities of Rochester dba Catholic Family Center	Rochester, NY	$408,069
YWCA of Rochester and Monroe County	Rochester, NY	$371,795
DePaul Community Services	Rochester, NY	$64,440
The Center for Youth Services, Inc.	Rochester, NY	$99,754
New York State Office of Mental Health Housing Services Unit	Albany, NY	$246,657
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$141,972
Cath. McAuley Housing DBA Mercy Residential	Rochester, NY	$600,684
Wilson Commencement Park	Rochester, NY	$417,075
Unity Health System	Rochester, NY	$960,900
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$474,300
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$824,100
Catholic Charities of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Syracuse	Syracuse, NY	$250,000
Steuben County	Bath, NY	$280,488
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$52,836
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$251,352
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$179,124
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$130,500
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$1,731,137
Erie County Department of Mental Health	Buffalo, NY	$110,604
YWCA of Western New York	Buffalo, NY	$885,825
YWCA of Western New York	Buffalo, NY	$216,144
Housing Options Made Easy, Inc.	Gowanda, NY	$683,319
Buffalo Halfway House, Inc.	Buffalo, NY	$400,000
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$463,568
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$121,992
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$425,724
Newark Housing Development Corporation	Newark, NY	$302,128
YWCA of Binghamton/Broome County	Binghamton, NY	$321,244
Fairview Recovery Services, Incorporated	Binghamton, NY	$273,000
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$52,872
Gateway Community Industries, Incorporated	Kingston, NY	$273,898
Fairview Recovery Services, Incorporated	Binghamton, NY	$431,198
Unity House of Cayuga County, Inc.	Auburn, NY	$67,500
Tompkins Community Action	Ithaca, NY	$60,126
U.C. Department of Social Services	Kingston, NY	$329,340
U.C. Department of Social Services	Kingston, NY	$39,270
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$118,080
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$342,900
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$119,992
County of Dutchess	Poughkeepsie, NY	$27,245
ARISE Child and Family Service, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$150,788
Project Hospitality, Inc.	Staten Island, NY	$370,558
Covenant House New York/Under 21, Incorporated	New York, NY	$504,647
WestHELP, Incorporated	New York, NY	$299,999
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$420,000
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$420,000
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$256,856
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$365,328

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Greyston Health Services	Yonkers, NY	$253,344
Community Housing Innovations Inc.	White Plains, NY	$337,278
Multi-Talents, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$349,520
Multi-Talents, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$334,894
Nassau County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Hempstead, NY	$210,405
Nassau County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Hempstead, NY	$124,305
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$379,086
Covenant House New York/Under 21, Incorporated	New York, NY	$588,962
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$112,560
The Project for Psychiatric Outreach to the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$238,140
The Project for Psychiatric Outreach to the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$200,000
Veritas Therapeutic Community, Inc.	New York, NY	$273,348
Common Ground Job Training Corp.	New York, NY	$244,858
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$267,120
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$293,220
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$443,904
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$383,148
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$91,584
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$203,520
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$52,993
Services for the Underserved	New York, NY	$1,054,517
Nassau County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Hempstead, NY	$147,497
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$828,360
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$21,000
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$54,100
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$41,000
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$85,000
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$36,779
Institute for Community Living, Incorporated	New York, NY	$141,627
Institute for Community Living, Incorporated	New York, NY	$461,890
Institute for Community Living, Incorporated	New York, NY	$480,120
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$181,848
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$266,472
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$191,400
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$291,696
Westchester/Putnam Legal Services	White Plains, NY	$72,100
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$191,160
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$311,430
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$191,160
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$134,184
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$114,696
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$213,480
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$72,072
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$241,248
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$45,906
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$40,823
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$45,894
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$45,894
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$42,000
City of Mt. Vernon	Mount Vernon, NY	$40,170
Westchester County	White Plains, NY	$268,464
VIP Community Services, Incorporated	Bronx, NY	$278,855
Goddard Riverside Community Center	New York, NY	$153,722
Praxis Housing Initiative Incorporated	New York, NY	$1,591,518
Care for the Homeless	New York, NY	$349,916
Support for Training and Educational Program Services	New York, NY	$54,091
Support for Training and Educational Program Services	New York, NY	$143,396
John Heuss Corporation	New York, NY	$77,030
Henry Street Settlement	New York, NY	$171,224
Henry Street Settlement	New York, NY	$231,569
FEGS Health and Human Services System	New York, NY	$676,767
FEGS Health and Human Services System	New York, NY	$1,117,812
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$83,712
FEGS Health and Human Services System	New York, NY	$582,961
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$754,887
FEGS Health and Human Services System	New York, NY	$85,729
Palladia, Incorporated	New York, NY	$556,586
Interfaith Nutrition Network (The INN)	Hempstead, NY	$69,919
Urban Pathways	New York, NY	$85,071
Urban Pathways	New York, NY	$148,110
Urban Pathways	New York, NY	$174,675

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Bridge, Incorporated	New York, NY	$230,864
The Bridge, Incorporated	New York, NY	$101,909
The Bridge, Incorporated	New York, NY	$436,958
Discipleship Outreach Ministries, Incorporated	Brooklyn, NY	$200,000
Discipleship Outreach Ministries, Incorporated	Brooklyn, NY	$62,070
Family Residences & Essential Enterprises	Hauppauge, NY	$104,020
Damon House New York, Incorporated	Brooklyn, NY	$262,479
Community Access, Incorporated	New York, NY	$812,051
FEGS Health and Human Services System	New York, NY	$1,190,000
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$108,333
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$175,530
Services for the Underserved	New York, NY	$1,176,983
Services for the Underserved	New York, NY	$345,362
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$223,104
Services for the Underserved	New York, NY	$182,154
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$433,272
Coalition for the Homeless	New York, NY	$179,924
HELP—Equity Homes, Inc.	New York, NY	$132,720
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$421,457
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$133,632
SoHo Partnership, Inc.	New York, NY	$165,736
Nazareth Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$34,257
Goddard Riverside Community Center	New York, NY	$558,673
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$328,595
Palladia, Incorporated	New York, NY	$823,775
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$159,340
Church Avenue Merchants Block Association	Brooklyn, NY	$166,666
Church Avenue Merchants Block Association	Brooklyn, NY	$8,012
Project Renewal, Inc.	New York, NY	$670,770
Project Renewal, Inc.	New York, NY	$407,839
Project Renewal, Inc.	New York, NY	$400,000
Safe Horizon	New York, NY	$106,587
Fountain House, Incorporated	New York, NY	$639,297
Fountain House, Incorporated	New York, NY	$144,712
Fountain House, Incorporated	New York, NY	$498,815
Association to Benefit Children	New York, NY	$135,609
Palladia, Incorporated	New York, NY	$1,409,764
Services for the Underserved	New York, NY	$404,204
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$249,495
Lower Eastside Service Center, Incorporated	New York, NY	$986,667
Binding Together, Incorporated	New York, NY	$368,033
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$273,924
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$162,480
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$160,968
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$235,536
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$174,336
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$107,520
Brooklyn Bureau of Community Service	Brooklyn, NY	$474,924
Brooklyn Bureau of Community Service	Brooklyn, NY	$249,674
The Door-A Center of Alternatives, Incorporated	New York, NY	$151,111
Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services, Incorporated	New York, NY	$830,789
Bailey House, Incorporated	New York, NY	$1,258,600
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$166,140
Center for Urban Community Services, Incorporated	New York, NY	$164,763
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$575,700
Safe Space NYC, Incorporated	New York, NY	$225,610
United Bronx Parents, Incorporated	Bronx, NY	$1,102,500
Lutheran Social Services of Metropolitan New York, Incorporated	New York, NY	$420,000
Housing Solutions, Incorporated	New York, NY	$459,783
New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York, NY	$2,402,460
New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York, NY	$1,475,520
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$2,070,944
Pibly Residential Programs, Incorporated	Bronx, New York, NY	$463,234
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$520,576
Women's Prison Association (WPA)	New York, NY	$124,464
Covenant House New York/Under 21, Incorporated	New York, NY	$143,360
The Lantern Group, Incorporated	New York, NY	$1,260,000
Volunteers of America —Greater New York	New York, NY	$246,411
Bailey House, Incorporated	New York, NY	$329,577
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$103,008
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$375,396

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Society of St. Vincent De Paul	Bethpage, NY	$310,714
Community Housing Innovations	White Plains, NY	$329,097
Nassau-Suffolk Law Services Committee, Incorporated	Hempstead, NY	$108,181
Mercy Haven, Incorporated	Islip Terrace, NY	$30,583
HELP Suffolk, Incorporated	New York, NY	$127,897
Family Service League of Suffolk County	Huntington, NY	$184,684
Family Residence & Essential Enterprises	Hauppauge, NY	$191,328
Transitional Services of New York for Long Island, Incorporated	Brentwood, NY	$57,456
HELP—Equity Homes, Incorporated	New York, NY	$165,915
Federation of Organizations for the New York State	West Babylon, NY	$103,246
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$61,056
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$98,364
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$181,248
American Red Cross in Greater New York	New York, NY	$201,241
Women In Need, Incorporated	New York, NY	$402,540
Women In Need, Incorporated	New York, NY	$1,061,642
Women In Need, Incorporated	New York, NY	$954,857
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$146,580
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$167,880
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$109,692
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$113,280
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$335,736
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$155,004
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$129,252
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$249,216
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$93,360
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$151,908
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$178,320
Argus Community, Incorporated	Bronx, NY	$430,101
Family and Children's Association	Mineola, NY	$695,220
Kenmore Housing Development Corporation	New York, NY	$781,153
The Mental Health Association of New York City, Incorporated	New York, NY	$288,063
Postgraduate Center for Mental Health	New York, NY	$945,354
Phase: Piggy Back, Incorporated	New York, NY	$137,109
NYC Department of Homeless Services	New York, NY	$2,185,604
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$351,456
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$678,604
The Doe Fund, Incorporated	New York, NY	$451,538
Regional Economic Community Action Program, Incorporated	Middletown, NY	$210,000
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$1,008,349
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$711,404
Nassau Suffolk Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Garden City, NY	$189,000
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$356,269
The Mental Health Association of New York City, Incorporated	New York, NY	$581,091
163rd Street Improvement Council, Incorporated	Bronx, NY	$595,350
Bowery Residents' Committee, Incorporated	New York, NY	$511,358
Bowery Residents' Committee, Incorporated	New York, NY	$368,496
Bowery Residents' Committee, Incorporated	New York, NY	$497,954
Bowery Residents' Committee, Incorporated	New York, NY	$360,107
YMCA of Greater New York	New York, NY	$570,505
Hospital Audiences, Incorporated	New York, NY	$166,652
Citizens Advice Bureau, Incorporated	Bronx, NY	$1,200,000
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$157,000
Citizens Advice Bureau, Incorporated	Bronx, NY	$105,000
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$61,240
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$60,667
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$826,446
Center for Urban Community Services, Incorporated	New York, NY	$592,861
Weston United Community Renewal, Incorporated	New York, NY	$224,900
Minority Task Force on AIDS	New York, NY	$369,106
Minority Task Force on AIDS	New York, NY	$148,972
Minority Task Force on AIDS	New York, NY	$133,914
Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty	New York, NY	$99,942
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$784,980
Phase: Piggy Back, Incorporated	New York, NY	$305,957
Center for Urban Community Services, Incorporated	New York, NY	$597,473
Nassau Suffolk Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Garden City, NY	$210,000
Lenox Hill Neighborhood House	New York, NY	$138,911
Lenox Hill Neighborhood House	New York, NY	$283,845
Options for Community Living, Inc.	Smithtown, NY	$239,186
Options for Community Living, Inc.	Smithtown, NY	$1,081,498

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$156,240
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$240,096
Center for Urban Community Services, Incorporated	New York, NY	$709,678
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$317,760
Samaritan Village, Incorporated	Briarwood, NY	$183,750
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$91,896
Society of St. Vincent de Paul	Bethpage, NY	$771,903
Samaritan Village, Incorporated	Briarwood, NY	$326,390
Pathways to Housing, Incorporated	New York, NY	$298,998
Pathways to Housing, Incorporated	New York, NY	$837,286
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$108,466
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$34,246
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$109,686
Pathways to Housing, Incorporated	New York, NY	$538,843
New York State Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$758,640
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$80,760
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$192,588
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$552,120
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$110,436
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$26,784
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$378,924
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$79,356
Youngstown Area Goodwill Industries, Incorporated	Youngstown, OH	$216,240
Family Violence Prevention Center of Greene County	Xenia, OH	$189,949
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$253,344
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$157,740
Joseph's Home	Cleveland, OH	$273,056
ICAN, Incorporated	Canton, OH	$48,825
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$467,714
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$1,796,460
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$38,679
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$270,705
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$508,248
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$111,287
Community Action Partnership of the Greater Dayton Area	Dayton, OH	$168,699
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$446,546
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$82,796
Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	Cleveland, OH	$563,045
Mental Health Services for Homeless Persons, Inc. (MHS)	Cleveland, OH	$276,577
Catholic Diocese of Cleveland	Cleveland, OH	$78,065
East Side Catholic	Cleveland, OH	$187,749
YMCA of Greater Cleveland	Cleveland, OH	$342,158
Continue Life, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$212,973
TH Resource Center of Cincinnati	Cincinnati, OH	$132,999
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$1,717,692
Cleveland Housing Network, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$119,626
Ohio Valley Goodwill Industries, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$357,926
Ohio Valley Goodwill Industries, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$516,000
Tender Mercies, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$175,889
Greater Cincinnati Oral Health Council	Cincinnati, OH	$682,651
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$758,580
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$532,812
Emerald Dev. & Economic Network (EDEN)	Cleveland, OH	$1,100,074
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$126,149
Cleveland Housing Network, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$499,081
Western Stark County Medical Clinic, Incorporated	Massillon, OH	$130,200
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$537,741
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$97,182
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$174,731
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$275,403
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$120,901
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$154,335
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$380,088
Emerald Dev. & Economic Network (EDEN)	Cleveland, OH	$1,076,634
Associated Neighborhood Center	Youngstown, OH	$30,912
ICAN, Incorporated	Canton, OH	$86,564
Mercy Manor, Incorporated	Dayton, OH	$203,437
PLACES, Incorporated	Dayton, OH	$142,164
PLACES, Incorporated	Dayton, OH	$633,333
Young Women's Christian Association	Dayton, OH	$1,795,500
Young Women's Christian Association of Youngstown Ohio	Youngstown, OH	$268,061

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Young Women's Christian Association of Youngstown Ohio	Youngstown, OH	$403,105
City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$1,170,384
Addiction Programs of Mahoning County, Incorporated	Youngstown, OH	$143,782
City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$351,528
Associated Neighborhood Centers	Youngstown, OH	$126,000
Youngstown Area Community Action Council	Youngstown, OH	$45,939
Catholic Charities Housing Opportunities	Youngstown, OH	$28,149
The Greater Youngstown Point, Incorporated	Youngstown, OH	$80,150
Potential Development Program, Incorporated	Youngstown, OH	$54,075
Northeast Ohio Legal Services	Youngstown, OH	$52,793
Youngstown State University	Youngstown, OH	$90,659
Beatitude House	Youngstown, OH	$213,753
Community AIDS Network	Akron, OH	$197,400
The Salvation Army	Cleveland, OH	$100,314
Volunteers of America of Northeast & North Central Ohio, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$79,155
Volunteers of America of Northeast & North Central Ohio, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$246,967
Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority	Canton, OH	$157,920
AIDS Taskforce of Greater Cleveland	Cleveland, OH	$151,309
Transitional Housing, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$122,528
Northeast Ohio Coalition for the Homeless	Cleveland, OH	$105,000
Daybreak, Incorporated	Dayton, OH	$210,000
Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Akron, OH	$271,104
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$907,557
H.M. Life Opportunity Services, Incorporated	Akron, OH	$538,606
H.M. Life Opportunity Services, Incorporated	Akron, OH	$166,163
H.M. Life Opportunity Services, Incorporated	Akron, OH	$525,872
Community Drug Board	Akron, OH	$399,387
Community Drug Board	Akron, OH	$359,141
Legacy 3, Incorporated	Akron, OH	$417,214
City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$570,360
Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Akron, OH	$738,720
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$523,380
Community Housing Network	Columbus, OH	$923,719
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Union County	Marysville, OH	$73,361
Project Woman	Springfield, OH	$107,100
Family & Community Services of Portage County	Kent, OH	$554,104
Coleman Professional Services	Kent, OH	$212,787
Columbiana County Mental Health Clinic	Lisbon, OH	$110,000
Volunteers of America Northwest Ohio, Incorporated	Sandusky, OH	$869,998
Shelterhouse Volunteer Group	Cincinnati, OH	$93,954
Community Housing Network	Columbus, OH	$249,850
State of Ohio	Columbus, OH	$184,692
Neighborhood Health Association, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$137,657
Community Housing Network	Columbus, OH	$177,181
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$386,373
Community Housing Network	Columbus, OH	$678,948
Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Lorain, OH	$495,420
Family Violence Prevention Center of Greene County	Xenia, OH	$179,170
Ashtabula County Mental Health Board	Ashtabula, OH	$909,000
Tom Geiger Guest House, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$151,760
Catholic Charities	Norwalk, OH	$259,657
Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Ravenna, OH	$813,000
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Incorporated	Troy, OH	$50,400
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Incorporated	Troy, OH	$132,300
YWCA of Hamilton	Hamilton, OH	$119,320
WSOS Community Action Commission, Incorporated	Fremont, OH	$53,776
Trumbull LifeLines (Local Government)	Warren, OH	$640,500
Transitional Living, Incorporated	Hamilton, OH	$255,417
Lighthouse Youth Services, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$725,484
Bethany House Services, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$74,283
Humility of Mary Housing Development Corporation	Lorain, OH	$516,966
New Sunrise Properties, Incorporated	Elyria, OH	$490,950
Community Housing Network	Columbus, OH	$291,878
Jefferson County Prevention and Recovery Board	Steubenville, OH	$1,483,897
FreeStore/FoodBank, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$336,932
Lighthouse Youth Services, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$441,075
Lighthouse Youth Services	Cincinnati, OH	$235,448
City of Springfield	Springfield, OH	$93,600
Health Resource Center of Cincinnati	Cincinnati, OH	$152,230
YWCA of Elyria	Elyria, OH	$362,798
Young Women's Christian Association of Greater Cincinnati, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$460,911

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Neighborhood Prosperities, Incorporated	Toledo, OH	$750,000
Neighborhood Prosperities, Incorporated	Toledo, OH	$481,549
Aurora Project, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$311,320
Bethany House Services, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$1,066,983
Family Outreach Community United Services	Toledo, OH	$960,586
Lucas County T.A.S.C., Inc.	Toledo, OH	$117,606
Neighborhood Health Association, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$158,940
Bethany House Services, Incorporated	Cincinnati, OH	$78,523
YWCA of Columbus	Columbus, OH	$297,045
Project Woman	Springfield, OH	$641,316
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$210,060
Amethyst, Incorporated	Columbus, OH	$483,515
Shelterhouse Volunteer Group	Cincinnati, OH	$494,126
City of Springfield	Springfield, OH	$92,570
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$485,964
Huckleberry House, Incorporated	Columbus, OH	$688,617
Volunteers of America of Oklahoma, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$155,829
Freedom From Addiction Through Christ	Picher, OK	$130,898
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$185,752
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$165,377
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$268,901
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$129,600
Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$668,304
Legal Aid Services of Oklahoma, Incorporated	Oklahoma City, OK	$111,920
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$750,000
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$206,124
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$51,840
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$249,190
Volunteers of America of Oklahoma, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$237,933
Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$270,092
Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Incorporated	Tulsa, OK	$756,024
Domestic Violence Program of N.C. OK, Inc.	Ponca City, OK	$29,946
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$249,999
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$120,095
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$197,462
United Way of Ponca City, Incorporated	Ponca City, OK	$55,070
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$300,359
City of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$13,464
Northeast Oklahoma Community Action Agency, Inc.	Jay, OK	$93,826
Raphael House of Portland	Portland, OR	$48,416
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$108,973
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$143,307
Central Oregon Community Action Agency Network	Redmond, OR	$296,759
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$188,916
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$383,630
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$338,746
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$237,332
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$276,771
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$599,114
The Salvation Army	Portland, OR	$251,538
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$209,538
Housing Authority of Portland	Portland, OR	$768,780
Housing Authority of Portland	Portland, OR	$424,308
The Shangri-La Corporation	Salem, OR	$75,600
Mid-Willamette Valley Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Salem, OR	$167,145
Mid-Willamette Valley Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Salem, OR	$60,789
Mid-Willamette Valley Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Salem, OR	$70,980
Housing Authority and Community Services Agency	Eugene, OR	$124,320
Housing Authority of County of Clackamas	Oregon, OR	$215,658
Bradley-Angle House	Portland, OR	$71,273
Community Action Organization	Hillsboro, OR	$330,437
Housing Authority of County of Clackamas	Oregon, OR	$59,956
Washington County, Oregon	Hillsboro, OR	$43,490
Washington County, Oregon	Hillsboro, OR	$463,680
Clackamas Women's Services	Milwaukie, OR	$238,112
The Salvation Army	Salem, OR	$78,750
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$272,554
St. Vincent de Paul Society, Lane Co., Inc.	Eugene, OR	$222,220
Central City Concern	Portland, OR	$209,544
Central City Concern	Portland, OR	$160,603
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$378,850

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$58,567
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$82,208
Cascadia Behavioral Healthcare	Portland, OR	$698,365
Clackamas County Department Human Services	Oak Grove, OR	$190,366
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$750,000
Women's Safety & Resource Center	North Bend, OR	$11,620
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$9,565
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$40,941
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$66,853
Southwestern Oregon Community Action Committee, Inc.	Coos Bay, OR	$25,523
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$106,405
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$11,336
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$67,067
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$52,500
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$79,757
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$93,488
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$106,666
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$268,413
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$109,908
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$109,508
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$79,357
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$482,731
Domestic Violence Center of Chester County	West Chester, PA	$261,522
Calcutta House, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA	$201,213
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$526,130
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$251,605
Guadenzia, Incorporated	Norristown, PA	$197,360
County of Erie DHS	Erie, PA	$492,319
Guadenzia, Incorporated	Norristown, PA	$730,804
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$1,016,444
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$86,749
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$125,783
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$51,912
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$79,200
Hedwig House, Inc.	Norristown, PA	$320,215
United Neighborhood Centers of Lackawanna County	Scranton, PA	$271,909
The Salvation Army	Norristown, PA	$206,216
United Neighborhood Centers of Lackawanna County	Scranton, PA	$59,556
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$226,560
Catholic Social Services of the Archdiocese of Philadelphia	Chester, PA	$92,402
United Neighborhood Centers of Lackawanna County	Scranton, PA	$210,763
Bethesda Project	Philadelphia, PA	$513,511
Mental Health Association of SE PA	Philadelphia, PA	$136,579
Holcomb Behavioral Health Systems	Exton, PA	$115,512
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$903,273
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$607,440
Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$664,632
Commission on Economic Opportunity	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$537,606
DuBois Housing Authority	DuBoise, PA	$348,300
Human Services Center	New Castle, PA	$239,675
YWCA of Bradford	Bradford, PA	$189,352
Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	Northern Cambria, PA	$517,973
Lawrence County Social Services, Incorporated	New Castle, PA	$127,780
Domestic Violence Service Center, Incorporated	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$114,030
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$1,901,220
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$882,533
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$847,446
Community Intervention Center	Scranton, PA	$84,000
Horizon House, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$566,715
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$105,660
County of Erie DHS	Erie, PA	$1,025,136
Westmoreland Human Opportunities, Incorporated	Greensburg, PA	$458,000
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$1,514,100
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$432,600
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$567,084
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$607,452
Harbor Point Housing	Beaver Falls, PA	$119,700
Drueding Center / Project Rainbow	Philadelphia, PA	$1,595,872
Cumberland County Coalition for Shelter	Carlisle, PA	$80,898
Union-Synder Office of Human Resources	Selinsgrove, PA	$340,519
Episcopal Community Services	Philadelphia, PA	$178,777

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Opportunities Industrialization Center, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$268,400
BERKS AIDS NETWORK	Reading, PA	$93,600
Council on Chemical Abuse	Reading, PA	$163,807
Council on Chemical Abuse	Reading, PA	$248,609
The Health Federation of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$254,554
Berks Counseling Center	Reading, PA	$504,682
Berks Counseling Center	Reading, PA	$299,880
Reading-Berks Emergency Shelter	Reading, PA	$596,992
Catholic Social Services Diocese of Scranton, Incorporated	Scranton, PA	$283,650
Resources for Human Development	Philadelphia, PA	$732,407
Young Women's Christian Association	Williamsport, PA	$699,506
Drueding Center / Project Rainbow	Philadelphia, PA	$128,123
Housing Transitions, Inc.	State College, PA	$213,447
Montgomery County Community Action Development Commission	Norristown, PA	$59,216
Indian Valley Opportunity Center	Souderton, PA	$129,328
1260 Housing Development Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$579,121
Community Housing Services	Lansdale, PA	$184,419
Community Housing Services	Lansdale, PA	$89,964
COMHAR, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$1,062,342
COMHAR, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$600,020
Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	Cambria, PA	.....
Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	Cambria, PA	.....
Allied Services Foundation	Clarks Summit, PA	$364,994
Resources for Human Development	Philadelphia, PA	$747,638
Penndel Mental Health Center, Inc.	Penndel, PA	$81,959
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$468,015
Women Against Abuse, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$409,042
Catholic Social Services	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$325,731
YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	Harrisburg, PA	$513,286
Horizon House, Incorporated	Philadelphia, PA	$753,100
Women's Resource Center, Incorporated	Scranton, PA	$267,358
Montgomery County	Norristown, PA	$542,682
Catherine McAuley Center	Scranton, PA	$227,400
Impact Service Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$1,376,782
Impact Service Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$638,579
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$136,134
The Lodge, Inc. of Pennsylvania	Lancaster, PA	$485,580
MARANATHA (Formerly Counseling Services)	Chambersburg, PA	$531,704
Penndel Mental Health Center, Inc.	Penndel, PA	$71,671
Domestic Violence Intervention (DVI) of Lebanon County, Inc.	Lebanon, PA	$870,273
Valley Youth House Committee, Incorporated	Allentown, PA	$483,348
Bell Socialization Services, Incorporated	York, PA	$56,960
County of Bucks, Pennsylvania	Doylestown, PA	$110,158
Lehigh County Conference of Churches	Allentown, PA	$336,472
United Christian Ministries, Incorporated	Osceola, PA	$378,045
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Allentown, PA	$319,142
Schuylkill Women in Crisis	Pottsville, PA	$99,984
Valley Housing Development Corp	Emmaus, PA	$647,892
Valley Housing Development Corp	Emmaus, PA	$395,312
Waynesboro New Hope Shelter, Inc.	Waynesboro, PA	$272,568
Housing Authority of Monroe County	Stroudsburg, PA	$641,760
The Salvation Army	Norristown, PA	$190,972
Tabor Community Services, Inc.	Lancaster, PA	$635,487
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$365,400
Fayette County Community Action Agency, Incorporated	Uniontown, PA	$65,695
Armstrong County Community Action Agency	Kittanning, PA	$121,083
Armstrong County Community Action Agency	Kittanning, PA	$134,412
City Mission-Living Stones, Incorporated	Uniontown, PA	$266,832
Housing Authority of the County of Butler	Butler, PA	$283,500
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$674,499
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$2,529,896
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$322,993
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$226,185
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$1,589,036
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$514,570
Community Action Southwest	Washington, PA	$36,229
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$688,011
Butler County Community Action & Development	Butler, PA	$322,024
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$337,213
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$471,700
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$473,342

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$764,962
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$646,581
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$439,561
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$58,680
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$176,100
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$692,275
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$478,620
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$438,978
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$470,874
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$118,361
Philadelphia Housing Authority	Philadelphia, PA	$52,560
Community Action Agency of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$365,546
Domestic Abuse Project of Delaware County	Media, PA	$146,907
Delaware County Dept. of Human Service	Upper Darby, PA	$411,600
Community Action Agency of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$451,654
Philadelphia Housing Authority	Philadelphia, PA	$179,280
Wesley House Community Corporation, Inc.	Chester, PA	$52,400
Philadelphia Housing Authority	Philadelphia, PA	$31,968
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$133,923
Mental Health Association of SE PA	Philadelphia, PA	$187,474
Asociacion de Puertorriquenos en Marcha, Inc. (APM)	Philadelphia, PA	$173,039
Fundacion de Desarrollo Comunal de PR, Incorporated	Caguas, PR	$154,797
Coalition Pro-Homeless of Eastern Port	Municipality of Yabucoa, PR	$174,404
Casa de la Bondad, Incorporated	Humacao, PR	$262,500
Hogar Nueva Vida, Incorporated	Yabucoa, PR	$120,716
Fundacion de Desarrollo Comunal de PR, Incorporated	Caguas, PR	$135,616
CORDA, Incorporated	Humacao, PR	$313,890
Department of the Family of Puerto Rico	San Juan, PR	$243,342
Lucha Contra el SIDA Inc.	San Juan, PR	$2,567,519
Department of the Family of Puerto Rico	San Juan, PR	$5,935,547
Department of the Family of Puerto Rico	San Juan, PR	$627,624
Municipality of Cayey	Cayey, PR	$655,800
Corporacion Milagros del Amor	Caguas, PR	$240,647
Municipality of San Juan	Hato Rey, PR	$330,768
Corporacion La Fondita de Jesus	San Juan, PR	$281,667
Corporacion La Fondita de Jesus	San Juan, PR	$181,333
Lucha Contra El Sida, Inc.	San Juan, PR	$180,963
Casa Protegida Julidade Burgos	San Juan, PR	$405,461
COSSMA	Cidra, PR	$444,417
Administration of Corrections	San Juan, PR	$2,831,752
Estancia Corazon, Inc.	Mayaguez, PR	$580,020
Estancia Corazon, Inc.	Mayaguez, PR	$761,450
Estancia Corazon, Inc.	Mayaguez, PR	$299,565
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$102,145
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$820,724
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$260,910
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$309,652
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$24,614
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$478,769
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$247,714
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$749,535
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$35,432
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$84,120
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$121,796
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$135,854
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$24,785
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$536,841
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$34,440
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$252,462
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$100,380
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$263,584
Rhode Island Housing	Providence, RI	$83,527
Crisis Ministries	Charleston, SC	$91,762
Crisis Ministries	Charleston, SC	$130,208
Charleston County Human Services Commission	Charleston, SC	$208,108
Pee Dee Community Action Agency	Florence, SC	$179,098
The Housing Authority—City of Charleston	Charleston, SC	$254,982
Trinity Housing Corporation	Columbia, SC	$192,628
Trinity Housing Corporation	Columbia, SC	$49,440
Humanities Foundation, Incorporated	Charleston, SC	$491,072
Trinity Housing Corporation	Columbia, SC	$160,633

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Trinity Housing Corporation	Columbia, SC	$280,342
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources, Incorporated	Greenville, SC	$98,675
South Carolina Department of Mental Health	Columbia, SC	$750,060
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources, Incorporated	Greenville, SC	$545,315
Charleston County Government	Charleston, SC	$377,685
Humanities Foundation	Charleston, SC	$270,000
Williamsburg Enterprise Community Commission, Incorporated	Kingstree, SC	$254,383
Upstate Homeless Coalition of South Carolina	Greenville, SC	$750,000
South Carolina Department of Mental Health	Columbia, SC	$545,400
Wateree Community Actions, Incorporated	Sumter, SC	$242,302
Samaritan House	Orangeburg, SC	$216,227
Upstate Homeless Coalition of South Carolina	Greenville, SC	$184,305
Home Alliance, Incorporated	Myrtle Beach, SC	$69,999
Spartanburg County	Spartanburg, SC	$320,350
Upstate Homeless Coalition of South Carolina	Greenville, SC	$642,153
MEG'S House: Shelter for Abused Women	Greenwood, SC	$218,668
Rosewood House of Recovery, Incorporated	Greenville, SC	$290,826
United Way of Beaufort County	Beaufort, SC	$124,950
The Women's Shelter	Columbia, SC	$249,215
American Indian Services, Inc.	Sioux Falls, SD	$38,023
Development for the Disabled, Inc.	Rapid City, SD	$126,978
Sioux Falls Housing & Redevelopment Commission	Sioux Falls, SD	$119,472
Mid-South HealthNet, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$47,035
Urban Housing Solutions	Nashville, TN	$73,750
Wo/Men's Resource & Rape Assis. Program	Jackson, TN	$86,073
Earl Medley, E.D. Fortwood Center, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$138,649
Chattanooga Church Ministries, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$90,873
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$131,539
Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$857,712
Matthew 25, Incorporated	Nashville, TN	$111,125
Quinco Mental Health Center	Bolivar, TN	$98,595
Jackson Area Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependency	Jackson, TN	$82,258
Chattanooga Church Ministries, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$94,828
Chattanooga Church Ministries, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$317,625
Partnership for Families, Children & Adults	Chattanooga, TN	$87,813
Southeast Tennessee Human Resource Ag.	Dunlap, TN	$692,100
Damascus Road Inc.	Paris, TN	$49,258
Housing Opport. and People Enterprises	Huntsville, TN	$73,500
Renewal House, Incorporated	Nashville, TN	$93,407
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Nashville, TN	$741,162
Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$753,240
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$49,575
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$73,047
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$73,333
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$442,708
Nashville Family Shelter, Incorporated	Nashville, TN	$179,659
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$71,376
Cumberland Regional Development Corporation	Cookeville, TN	$210,000
Genesis House, Inc.	Cookeville, TN	$145,762
Town of Crossville Housing Authority	Crossville, TN	$255,300
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$24,850
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$72,392
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$228,444
Earl Medley, E.D. Fortwood Center, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$211,255
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$445,650
J.W. Williams Community Neighborhood Center	Memphis, TN	$238,675
AGAPE Child & Family Services, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$144,080
Catholic Charities, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$296,565
City of Memphis	Memphis, TN	$739,080
Jackson Housing Authority	Jackson, TN	$130,072
Partners for the Homeless	Memphis, TN	$37,572
Professional Counseling Services	Covington, TN	$18,959
Campus for Human Development	Nashville, TN	$399,000
Catholic Charities, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$455,356
Shelby County Government	Memphis, TN	$285,822
Bethany House	Memphis, TN	$48,960
Synergy Treatment Centers, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$44,723
Cocaine, Alcohol Awareness Program, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$168,749
Metropolitan Inter-Faith Association	Memphis, TN	$133,867
Metropolitan Inter-Faith Association	Memphis, TN	$167,426
Whitehaven Southwest Mental Health Center	Memphis, TN	$13,538

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Catholic Charities, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$625,350
Knoxville-Knox County Community Action Committee	Knoxville, TN	$209,160
Earl Medley, E.D. Fortwood Center, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$82,299
Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$343,320
Council for Alcohol & Drug Abuse Services	Chattanooga, TN	$41,638
Carey Counseling Center, Inc.	Paris, TN	$48,300
Carey Counseling Center, Inc.	Paris, TN	$98,595
Catholic Charities of East Tennessee	Jonesborough, TN	$129,587
Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Kingsport, TN	$655,560
Fairview Housing Management Corporation	Johnson City, TN	$247,020
Alpha Omega Veterans Services, Incorporated	Memphis, TN	$93,425
Knoxville-Knox County Community Action Committee	Knoxville, TN	$278,100
The University of Tennessee	Knoxville, TN	$277,790
Helen Ross McNab	Knoxville, TN	$323,054
Murfreesboro Housing Authority	Murfreesboro, TN	$99,000
Murfreesboro Housing Authority	Murfreesboro, TN	$49,955
The University of Tennessee Health Science Center	Memphis, TN	$105,738
Henry County, Tennessee	Paris, TN	$676,920
Harris County	Houston, TX	$160,656
Harris County	Houston, TX	$110,592
Harris County	Houston, TX	$63,504
The Housing Launch Pad	Houston, TX	$360,137
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Incorporated	Pasadena, TX	$383,462
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Incorporated	Pasadena, TX	$502,038
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Incorporated	Pasadena, TX	$88,949
Tarrant County ACCES for the Homeless	Fort Worth, TX	$166,451
City of Beaumont	Beaumont, TX	$80,892
Abilene Hope Haven, Inc.	Abilene, TX	$371,154
Housing Authority—City of Forth Worth	Fort Worth, TX	$761,340
Housing Authority—City of Forth Worth	Fort Worth, TX	$1,653,048
Brighter Tomorrows	Grand Prairie, TX	$352,720
Dallas Metrocare Services	Dallas, TX	$543,403
Rescue Mission of El Paso, Inc.	El Paso, TX	$140,389
Community Council of Greater Dallas	Dallas, TX	$240,392
El Paso Center on Family Violence, Inc.	El Paso, TX	$172,788
HelpNet of the Greater Denton Area	Denton, TX	$202,000
HOPE, Inc.	Denton, TX	$99,695
City of Longview	Longview, TX	$196,728
City of Amarillo	Amarillo, TX	$268,156
South East Texas Regional Planning Commission	Beaumont, TX	$23,648
Volunteers of America Texas, Inc.	Houston, TX	$212,069
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Dallas, TX	$292,931
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$749,898
All Children Home for Children, Incorporated	Fort Worth, TX	$227,845
Rainbow Days, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$257,237
Supportive Housing Program	Arlington, TX	$563,963
Turtle Creek Manor, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$765,163
New Beginning Center, Incorporated	Garland, TX	$377,898
Shared Housing Center, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$181,020
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Abilene, TX	$396,636
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$483,272
Central Texas Council of Governments	Belton, TX	$617,160
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$398,595
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$345,408
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$248,875
YWCA El Paso Del Norte Region	El Paso, TX	$529,970
El Paso Community MH/MR Center	El Paso, TX	$530,283
Family Abuse Center, Inc.	Waco, TX	$251,999
Heart of Texas Council of Governments	Waco, TX	$246,030
Harris County	Houston, TX	$423,360
Port Cities Rescue Mission Ministries	Port Arthur, TX	$175,037
Bread of Life, Inc.	Houston, TX	$335,660
Covenant House Texas	Houston, TX	$198,446
Harris County Housing Authority	Houston, TX	$3,334,680
United States Veterans Initiative	Houston, TX	$979,955
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Galveston-Houston	Houston, TX	$254,956
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$446,640
Harris County	Houston, TX	$398,237
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$220,944
Harris County	Houston, TX	$420,367
Harris County	Houston, TX	$404,233

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Harris County	Houston, TX	$423,360
Harris County	Houston, TX	$394,080
Harris County	Houston, TX	$94,080
Harris County	Houston, TX	$259,824
Houston SRO Housing Corporation	Houston, TX	$1,047,874
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$307,406
Lazarus House Initiative, Inc.	Missouri, TX	$128,146
Society of St. Vincent de Paul	Houston, TX	$84,638
Fort Bend County Women's Center, Inc.	Rosenberg, TX	$651,092
Bay Area Turning Point, Inc.	Webster, TX	$161,095
Montrose Counseling Center, Inc.	Houston, TX	$265,223
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Galveston-Ho.	Houston, TX	$63,235
The Housing Launch Pad	Houston, TX	$115,000
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$542,568
Harris County	Houston, TX	$147,012
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$191,832
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$238,580
Houston Area Women's Center	Houston, TX	$62,037
Houston Area Women's Center	Houston, TX	$652,066
Houston Area Women's Center	Houston, TX	$80,368
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$94,908
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$207,408
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$188,007
Family Getaway, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$42,439
Family Getaway, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$976,567
Promise House, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$219,538
Arlington Life Shelter	Arlington, TX	$212,100
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$836,760
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$442,440
ABC Behavioral Health, L.L.C	Dallas, TX	$30,634
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$250,598
Legal Aid of Northwest Texas	Dallas, TX	$240,000
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$182,252
Coastal Bend Alcohol and Drug Rehabilitation Center	Corpus Christi, TX	$142,569
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Corpus, TX	$181,845
Mental Health Mental Retardation Authority of Harris County	Houston, TX	$592,418
DePelchin Children's Center	Houston, TX	$509,589
PWA Coalition of Dallas, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$574,390
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$149,913
Youth and Family Alliance, Incorporated	Austin, TX	$215,320
Dallas Jewish Coalition, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$166,441
Legal Aid of NorthWest Texas	Ft. Worth, TX	$60,000
Dental Health Programs, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$146,633
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Austin, TX	$776,928
Austin Travis County MHMR Center	Austin, TX	$78,533
Caritas of Austin	Austin, TX	$313,926
Family Getaway, Incorporated	Dallas, TX	$150,701
Austin Travis County MHMR Center	Austin, TX	$348,007
Legal Aid of Northwest Texas	Dallas, TX	$22,932
Travis County Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Survival Center	Austin, TX	$826,440
Push-Up Foundations, Incorporated	Austin, TX	$104,559
Housing Authority Travis County	Austin, TX	$391,140
The Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Austin, TX	$320,712
The Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Austin, TX	$740,880
Texas Inmate Services, Inc.	Fort Worth, TX	$220,223
Resource & Crisis Center of Galveston Co., Inc.	Galveston, TX	$662,829
Youth and Family Alliance, Incorporated	Austin, TX	$148,508
MHMR of Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$175,271
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$24,237
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$103,194
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$227,588
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$1,068,845
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$69,329
Presbyterian Night Shelter of Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$362,156
Community Enrichment Center, Inc.	North Richland Hills, TX	$473,086
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$304,115
MHMR of Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$196,442
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$31,090
Housing Authority of the City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$691,560
Lifenet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$124,999
Lifenet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$350,266

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Lifenet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$23,095
Lifenet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$390,895
The Gulf Coast Center	Galveston, TX	$1,148,702
ABC Behavioral Health,L.L.C	Dallas, TX	$749,555
ABC Behavioral Health,L.L.C	Dallas, TX	$26,250
Volunteers of America Texas, Inc.	Fort Worth, TX	$124,342
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$423,457
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$147,895
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$262,500
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$322,293
AIDS Foundation Houston, Incorporated	Houston, TX	$1,207,479
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$134,726
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$196,806
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$1,229,624
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$60,295
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$287,510
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$210,000
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$771,436
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$344,190
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$364,298
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$387,273
Valley Mental Health, Incorporated	Salt Lake City, UT	$114,118
State of Utah, Department of Com. & Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$182,620
Cedar City Housing Authority	Cedar City, UT	$13,913
Center for Women and Children in Crisis, Incorporated	Provo, UT	$16,252
Homeless Veterans Fellowship	Ogden, UT	$92,194
Utah Department of Community & Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$20,000
Food & Care Coalition of U. Valley	Provo, UT	$55,125
Mountainlands Community Housing Trust	Park City, UT	$72,000
Provo City Housing Authority	Provo, UT	$452,700
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$182,364
State of Utah, Department of Community and Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$36,288
The Golden Rule Mission	Helper, UT	$115,419
Davis Family Support Center, Incorporated	Clearfield, UT	$268,999
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$14,914
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$158,208
Community Action Services	Provo, UT	$228,653
Salt Lake Community Action Program	Salt Lake City, UT	$118,709
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$196,884
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$531,912
State of Utah, Department of Community and Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$51,572
Young Women's Christian Assn. of Salt Lake	Salt Lake City, UT	$155,989
Davis Behavioral Health	Bountiful, UT	$750,000
Bear River Association of Governments	Logan, UT	$48,383
The Erin Kimball Memorial Foundation, Incorporated	St. George, UT	$294,315
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$32,197
Kurdish Human Rights Watch, Incorporated	Fairfax, VA	$426,357
Rappahannock Refuge, Incorporated	Fredericksburg, VA	$58,976
Lutheran Social Services, NCA	Fairfax, VA	$111,492
Northwestern Community Services	Front Royal, VA	$117,613
Christian Relief Services Charities, Incorporated	Lorton, VA	$24,885
Community Housing Partners Corporation	Christianburg, VA	$42,000
Christian Relief Services Charities, Incorporated	Lorton, VA	$76,220
Christian Relief Services Charities, Incorporated	Lorton, VA	$120,676
Fairfax County Department of Family Services	Fairfax, VA	$440,271
Arlington-Alexandria Coalition for the Homeless	Arlington, VA	$139,440
Fairfax County Department of Family Services	Fairfax, VA	$424,715
City of Richmond Department of Social Services	Richmond, VA	$60,480
City of Richmond Department of Social Services	Richmond, VA	$841,428
Homestretch, Incorporated	Falls Church, VA	$146,700
Region Ten Community Services Board	Charlottesville, VA	$143,946
Judeo-Christian Outreach Center, Inc.	Virginia Beach, VA	$332,993
Comm. Alternatives Development Corporation	Virginia Beach, VA	$346,667
Avalon: A Center for Women & Children	Williamsburg, VA	$108,277
Oasis Commission of Social Ministry of Portsmouth and Chesapeake	Portsmouth, VA	$250,068
City of Portsmouth	Portsmouth, VA	$296,856
Virginia Beach Community Development Corporation	Virginia Beach, VA	$249,705
Virginia Beach Community Development Corporation	Virginia Beach, VA	$154,010
Christian Relief Services of Virginia, Incorporated	Fairfax, VA	$216,781
Community Resource Network of Chesapeake, Incorporated	Chesapeake, VA	$173,290
Northwestern Community Services	Front Royal, VA	$163,836

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Urban League of Greater Richmond	Richmond, VA	$70,350
Chesapeake (VA) Community Service Board	Chesapeake, VA	$89,760
Serenity House Substance Abuse Recovery Program, Inc.	Newport News, VA	$75,611
Transitions Family Violence Services	Hampton, VA	$152,696
CANDII	Norfolk, VA	$265,640
Emergency Shelter, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$381,690
HAMPTON-NEWPORT NEWS CSB	Newport News, VA	$160,264
Links of Hampton Roads, Incorporated	Newport News, VA	$629,420
Virginia Beach Community Development Corporation	Virginia Beach, VA	$12,600
Emergency Shelter, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$146,011
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$98,150
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$29,814
CANDII	Norfolk, VA	$329,628
The Salvation Army–A Georgia Corporation	Norfolk, VA	$208,250
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$267,687
Vanguard Services Unlimited	Arlington, VA	$140,661
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$189,105
Virginia Supportive Housing	Richmond, VA	$1,821,600
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$131,643
Virginia Supportive Housing	Richmond, VA	$749,152
FORkids, inc.	Norfolk, VA	$250,076
Christian Relief Services Charities, Incorporated	Lorton, VA	$24,885
County of Loudoun	Leesburg, VA	$106,429
Prince William Dept. of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$287,171
Arlington County, VA	Arlington, VA	$217,245
Arlington County, VA	Arlington, VA	$400,740
Arlington County, VA	Arlington, VA	$100,112
Community Residences, Inc.	Arlington, VA	$244,468
Arl. Street People's Assistance Network, Inc.	Arlington, VA	$194,986
Homeward	Richmond, VA	$26,745
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Fairfax, VA	$748,080
Sheltered Homes of Alexandria	Alexandria, VA	$77,748
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$226,257
St. Joseph's Villa	Richmond, VA	$274,390
New Hope Housing, Incorporated	Alexandria, VA	$219,044
PRS, Incorporated	Falls Church, VA	$168,450
Sheltered Homes of Alexandria	Alexandria, VA	$89,288
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Fairfax, VA	$166,380
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Fairfax, VA	$124,680
Emergency Shelter, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$109,541
Hillard House	Richmond, VA	$291,917
Methodist Training and Outreach Center, Incorporated	P.O Box 306816, VI	$494,760
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$111,880
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$174,996
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$148,815
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$37,248
Burlington Housing Authority	Burlington, VT	$246,900
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$38,535
Northwestern Counseling & Support Services, Incorporated	St. Albans, VT	$244,272
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$62,733
State of VT	Montpelier, VT	$25,280
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$26,832
Burlington Housing Authority	Burlington, VT	$69,120
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$32,940
Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity	Burlington, VT	$222,440
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$739,560
The Howard Center for Human Services	Burlington, VT	$200,073
The Howard Center for Human Services	Burlington, VT	$181,146
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$90,455
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$134,767
Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Vancouver, WA	$83,059
The Compass Center	Seattle, WA	$13,166
AIDS Housing of Washington	Seattle, WA	$387,192
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$35,280
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,132
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$9,472
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$62,396
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,799
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$42,622
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$51,424
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$86,629

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$251,400
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$14,917
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$117,195
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$126,136
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$56,251
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$35,966
Community Psychiatric Clinic	Seattle, WA	$75,172
YouthCare	Seattle, WA	$105,603
YouthCare	Seattle, WA	$151,856
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$268,704
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$31,882
Community Psychiatric Clinic	Seattle, WA	$348,157
Seattle Emergency Housing Service	Seattle, WA	$29,684
Catholic Community Services of Western Washington	Auburn, WA	$140,086
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$43,822
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$140,868
Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Vancouver, WA	$33,249
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$84,130
Whatcom Counseling & Psychiatric Clinic	Bellingham, WA	$270,000
Spokane Neighborhood Action Program	Spokane, WA	$131,517
Spokane Neighborhood Action Program	Spokane, WA	$133,279
Spokane County	Spokane, WA	$181,320
Columbia River Mental Health Services	Vancouver, WA	$97,633
YW Housing	Vancouver, WA	$92,365
Yakima County Coalition For the Homeless	Yakima, WA	$225,834
Yakima County Coalition For the Homeless	Yakima, WA	$111,195
The Compass Center	Seattle, WA	$13,119
El Centro de la Raza	Seattle, WA	$17,603
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$328,906
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$73,804
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$259,033
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$188,069
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$95,114
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$162,067
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$102,318
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$692,931
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$58,842
Columbia Court Transitional Housing	Seattle, WA	$36,141
Auburn Youth Resources	Auburn, WA	$123,287
Low Income Housing Institute	Seattle, WA	$398,267
Kent Youth and Family Services	Kent, WA	$38,135
United Indians of All Tribes Foundation	Seattle, WA	$343,565
Multi-Service Center	Federal Way, WA	$26,725
Friends of Youth	Redmond, WA	$123,062
Children's Home Society of Washington	Seattle, WA	$56,642
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Seattle, WA	$253,989
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$109,270
Goodwill Baptist Church	Seattle, WA	$28,597
Child Care Resources	Seattle, WA	$529,095
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$77,175
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$152,874
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$734,760
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,740
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$15,492
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$93,161
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$38,802
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$37,734
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$30,101
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$106,004
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$85,395
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,536
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$84,436
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$78,435
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$88,698
Share	Vancouver, WA	$61,267
Mental Health Northwest	Vancouver, WA	$89,396
Council for the Homeless	Vancouver, WA	$47,943
Council for the Homeless	Vancouver, WA	$24,938
Affordable Community Environments	Vancouver, WA	$343,745
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$101,364
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$43,419

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$76,526
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$443,472
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$73,780
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$35,338
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$545,050
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$25,423
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$84,906
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$80,017
City of Bremerton	Bremerton, WA	$41,604
City of Bremerton	Bremerton, WA	$91,032
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$140,738
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$838,689
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$743,411
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$116,396
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$1,149,355
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$507,351
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$787,080
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$168,153
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$326,054
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$105,422
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$201,577
Kittitas County Action Council	Ellensburg, WA	$45,400
Housing Hope	Everett, WA	$145,620
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$72,972
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$696,733
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$80,681
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$492,049
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$181,307
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$548,599
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$328,382
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$121,546
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$81,523
Housing Hope	Everett, WA	$199,872
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$75,795
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$80,315
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$57,259
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$312,612
YWCA of Seattle* King County* Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$72,245
YWCA of Seattle* King County* Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$100,099
Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	Bremerton, WA	$132,450
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$164,820
Seattle Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program	Lake Forest Park, WA	$23,580
YWCA of Seattle, King County, Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$167,867
Housing Hope	Everett, WA	$753,792
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$294,795
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$197,739
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$139,322
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$105,000
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$624,566
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$1,680,324
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$198,975
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$863,712
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$163,356
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$108,095
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$88,032
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$709,982
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$121,940
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$63,258
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$20,904
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$124,428
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$298,140
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$248,820
Fremont Public Association	Seattle, WA	$158,620
Church Council of Greater Seattle	Seattle, WA	$57,278
Housing Authority of the City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$9,896
King County Department of Community and Human Services	Seattle, WA	$74,613
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$31,500
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Seattle, WA	$77,839
YWCA of Seattle, King County, Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$85,615
YWCA of Seattle, King County, Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$78,878
YWCA of Seattle, King County, Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$42,541

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Serenity House of Clallam County	Clallam, WA	$142,951
Serenity House of Clallam County	Clallam, WA	$138,215
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$109,620
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$143,082
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$123,116
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$36,312
YWCA of Seattle, King County, Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$57,320
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$19,152
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$44,512
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$135,599
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$50,055
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$142,430
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$149,625
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$56,103
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$101,409
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$133,921
WA State DCTED	Olympia, WA	$136,994
Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Madison, WI	$162,743
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$455,700
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$917,973
Transitional Living Services, Inc.	Racine, WI	$515,909
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$158,584
Tellurian UCAN, Incorporated	Monona, WI	$249,165
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$280,187
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$222,600
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$538,125
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$113,762
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$105,025
City of Waukesha Housing Authority	Waukesha, WI	$112,555
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$180,743
Transitional Housing, Incorporated	Madison, WI	$343,636
WoMen and Children's Horizons, Incorporated	Kenosha, WI	$645,429
Central Wisconsin Community Action	Wisconsin Dells, WI	$30,892
The Salvation Army	Madison, WI	$85,000
The Salvation Army	Madison, WI	$38,193
ADVOCAP, Incorporated	Fond du Lac, WI	$197,658
Tellurian UCAN, Incorporated	Monona, WI	$67,327
Tellurian UCAN, Incorporated	Monona, WI	$739,683
Interfaith Hospitality Network of the Madison Area	Madison, WI	$109,992
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$238,786
Community Relations-Social Development Commission	Milwaukee, WI	$392,460
Catherine Marian Housing, Inc.	Racine, WI	$110,107
Homeward Bound of Racine Cty., Inc.	Racine, WI	$135,593
Legal Action of Wisconsin, Inc.	Racine, WI	$80,536
Family Service of Racine, Inc.	Racine, WI	$84,671
Racine Vocational Ministry	Racine, WI	$63,311
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$200,884
American Red Cross	Milwaukee, WI	$904,338
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$513,804
Community Relations-Social Development Commission	Milwaukee, WI	$396,066
Community Relations-Social Development Commission	Milwaukee, WI	$127,878
Milwaukee Women's Center, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$413,032
City of Madison, Wisconsin	Madison, WI	$750,000
Meta House, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$131,954
State of Wisconsin Department of Administration	Madison, WI	$313,976
Community Advocates, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$1,033,632
City of Madison, Wisconsin	Madison, WI	$111,374
Milwaukee County Behavioral Health Division	Milwaukee, WI	$1,951,968
Milwaukee County Housing and Community Development	Milwaukee, WI	$217,560
Matt Talbot Recovery Center, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$471,250
Health Care for the Homeless of Milwaukee	Milwaukee, WI	$345,000
Hope House of Milwaukee, Incorporated	Milwaukee, WI	$1,739,147
The Salvation Army	Milwaukee, WI	$94,424
The Salvation Army	Milwaukee, WI	$668,368
Cabell-Huntington Coalition for the Homeless	Huntington, WV	$130,847
Cabell-Huntington Coalition for the Homeless	Huntington, WV	$211,811
Telamon Corporation	Martinsburg, WV	$78,994
Charleston Housing	Charleston, WV	$502,800
Young Women's Christian Association of Charleston	Charleston, WV	$597,380
Religious Coalition for Community Renewal	Charleston, WV	$217,539
Stop Abusive Family Environments, Incorporated (SAFE)	Welch, WV	$135,800

FISCAL YEAR 2003 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Greater Wheeling Coalition for the Homeless, Incorporated	Wheeling, WV	$79,610
Housing Authority—City of Casper	Casper, WY	$160,320

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$698,820
Municipality of Anchorage	Anchorage, AK	$296,714
Covenant House Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$245,629
Alaskan AIDS Assistance Association	Anchorage, AK	$103,425
Rural Alaska Community Action Program	Anchorage, AK	$141,168
Anchorage Housing Initiatives, Inc.	Anchorage, AK	$81,886
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	Anchorage, AK	$390,474
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$307,800
Rural Alaska Community Action Program	Anchorage, AK	$357,474
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$25,416
State of Alaska	Anchorage, AK	$135,084
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	Anchorage, AK	$256,087
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	Anchorage, AK	$203,465
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Bethel, AK	$50,966
Tundra Women's Coalition	Bethel, AK	$84,636
St. Vincent de Paul Society Diocesan Council Southeast Alaska	Juneau, AK	$48,450
Women's Resource and Crisis Center	Kenai, AK	$73,791
Behavioral Health Services of Mat-Su Inc.	Wasilla, AK	$26,340
Behavioral Health Services of Mat-Su Inc.	Wasilla, AK	$46,464
Birmingham Independent Living Center	Birmingham, AL	$26,460
AIDS Alabama	Birmingham, AL	$186,874
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$721,524
AIDS Alabama	Birmingham, AL	$258,591
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$170,112
First Light, Inc.	Birmingham, AL	$82,379
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$790,800
Aletheia House	Birmingham, AL	$108,858
Jefferson County Housing Authority	Birmingham, AL	$468,960
Birmingham Health Care, Inc.	Birmingham, AL	$166,283
YWCA of Central Alabama	Birmingham, AL	$303,477
AIDS Alabama	Birmingham, AL	$149,301
Birmingham Health Care, Inc.	Birmingham, AL	$271,689
YWCA of Central Alabama	Birmingham, AL	$64,688
The Cooperative Downtown Ministries	Birmingham, AL	$219,089
The Cooperative Downtown Ministries	Birmingham, AL	$47,836
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Birmingham, AL	$159,973
Birmingham Health Care, Inc.	Birmingham, AL	$189,126
Jefferson-Blount-St. Clair Mental Health/Mental Retardation Authority	Birmingham, AL	$243,791
Aletheia House	Birmingham, AL	$314,705
Pathways	Birmingham, AL	$128,182
Safeplace, Inc.	Florence, AL	$520,531
Homeless Coalition of Northeast Alabama/City of Gadsden	Gadsden, AL	$60,426
City of Huntsville	Huntsville, AL	$241,512
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$163,078
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$390,117
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$120,245
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$143,430
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$929,376
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$94,932
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$159,443
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$176,119
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$156,240
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$94,756
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$88,222
Homeless Coalition of the Gulf Coast, Inc.	Mobile, AL	$105,000
Montgomery Area Family Violence Program, Inc.	Montgomery, AL	$164,653
Montgomery Area Mental Health Authority	Montgomery, AL	$163,028
Volunteer & Information Center, Inc.	Montgomery, AL	$70,327
Lighthouse Counseling Center	Montgomery, AL	$177,727
Montgomery Area Mental Health Authority	Montgomery, AL	$294,505
State of Alabama	Montgomery, AL	$193,800
SafeHouse of Shelby County, Inc.	Pelham, AL	$479,257

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Salvation Army	Tuscaloosa, AL	$78,800
Tuscaloosa Housing Authority	Tuscaloosa, AL	$265,200
Mental Health Boards of Bibb, Pickens and Tuscaloosa Counties, Inc.	Tuscaloosa, AL	$62,951
Health Resources of Arkansas	Batesville, AR	$400,787
Benton County Women's Shelter	Bentonville, AR	$102,375
Counseling Associates, Inc.	Conway, AR	$200,000
Housing Authority of the City of Fayetteville, Arkansas	Fayetteville, AR	$277,320
Seven Hills Homeless Center	Fayetteville, AR	$94,500
Youth Bridge, Inc.	Fayetteville, AR	$341,427
Arkansas Department of Human Services	Little Rock, AR	$315,216
Arkansas Department of Human Services	Little Rock, AR	$477,696
Arkansas Supportive Housing Network, Inc.	North Little Rock, AR	$1,136,903
Arkansas Supportive Housing Network, Inc.	North Little Rock, AR	$550,993
Arkansas Supportive Housing Network, Inc.	North Little Rock, AR	$297,630
City of West Memphis	West Memphis, AR	$201,240
Women In New Recovery (WINR & Kids)	Mesa, AZ	$46,862
Save the Family Foundation of Arizona	Mesa, AZ	$211,412
PREHAB of Arizona, Inc.	Mesa, AZ	$510,688
Mesa Community Action Network, Inc.	Mesa, AZ	$58,878
Community Bridges, Inc.	Mesa, AZ	$344,610
Save the Family Foundation of Arizona	Mesa, AZ	$411,726
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$350,368
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$163,178
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$126,575
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$176,753
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$60,735
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$971,972
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$78,176
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$64,999
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$77,700
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$765,432
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$59,304
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$97,200
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$98,771
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$183,168
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$191,064
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$82,268
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$775,380
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$157,500
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$78,858
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$76,685
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$91,050
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$108,701
Tumbleweed Center for Youth Development	Phoenix, AZ	$214,429
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$26,250
United Methodist Outreach Ministries, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$80,126
United Methodist Outreach Ministries, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$187,584
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$101,737
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$63,064
The Salvation Army	Phoenix, AZ	$45,360
Southwest Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$205,977
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$100,000
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$48,937
Chrysalis Shelter for Victims of Domestic Violence, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$24,269
Sojourner Center	Phoenix, AZ	$147,805
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$24,040
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$34,600
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$91,043
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$437,698
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$58,025
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$333,371
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$318,729
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$1,735,423
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$75,600
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$38,777
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$313,761
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$160,569
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$938,788
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$1,323,504
YWCA of Maricopa County	Phoenix, AZ	$201,671
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$903,424

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$35,000
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$1,114,796
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$2,603,004
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$1,653,228
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$20,775
Community Information & Referral, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$400,921
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$499,972
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$202,031
Arizona Department of Housing	Phoenix, AZ	$128,625
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	Phoenix, AZ	$70,456
Sojourner Center	Phoenix, AZ	$269,958
City of Phoenix	Phoenix, AZ	$158,550
New Arizona Family, Inc.	Phoenix, AZ	$717,316
CSD—Jackson Employment Ctr	Tucson, AZ	$429,503
CSD—Jackson Employment Ctr	Tucson, AZ	$382,508
Information & Referral Services	Tucson, AZ	$138,090
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation	Tucson, AZ	$82,000
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation	Tucson, AZ	$83,323
Old Pueblo Community Foundation	Tucson, AZ	$202,100
Information & Referral Services	Tucson, AZ	$43,000
Old Pueblo Community Foundation	Tucson, AZ	$218,022
CSD—Jackson Employment Ctr	Tucson, AZ	$454,348
CSD—Jackson Employment Ctr	Tucson, AZ	$219,320
City of Tucson	Tucson, AZ	$741,273
City of Tucson	Tucson, AZ	$745,440
City of Tucson	Tucson, AZ	$765,432
The EXCEL Group	Yuma, AZ	$133,488
Pacific Clinics	Arcadia, CA	$952,750
Arcata House, Inc.	Arcata, CA	$318,326
Su Casa Family Crisis & Support Center	Artesia, CA	$52,463
Placer County	Auburn, CA	$293,282
Placer County	Auburn, CA	$282,960
County of Kern	Bakersfield, CA	$654,202
Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Bakersfield, CA	$608,400
Bethany Services, dba Bakersfield Homeless Center	Bakersfield, CA	$300,000
Independent Living Center of Kern County	Bakersfield, CA	$216,874
Restoration Village Treatment Center, Inc.	Bakersfield, CA	$409,500
Tabitha's House Ministries, Inc.	Bakersfield, CA	$1,150,695
New Hope Village, Inc.	Barstow, CA	$66,675
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$181,668
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$885,420
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$249,644
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$826,224
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	Belmont, CA	$660,000
Life Long Medical Care	Berkeley, CA	$539,398
Affordable Housing Associates	Berkeley, CA	$36,665
Women's Daytime Drop-In Center	Berkeley, CA	$68,975
City of Berkeley, California	Berkeley, CA	$1,909,116
Jubilee Restoration, Inc.	Berkeley, CA	$102,171
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$40,285
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$185,727
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$114,997
Berkeley Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$242,217
Berkeley Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$249,999
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$75,528
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$55,392
Resources for Community Development	Berkeley, CA	$70,187
Berkeley Food and Housing Project	Berkeley, CA	$141,019
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$844,024
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$553,947
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$96,147
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$74,500
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$164,038
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency (BOSS)	Berkeley, CA	$523,088
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$92,870
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$131,250
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$115,500
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$131,250
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$200,000
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$279,746
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$161,438

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$133,350
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$52,500
Shelter Network of San Mateo County	Burlingame, CA	$110,250
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Capitola, CA	$525,600
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz	Capitola, CA	$56,000
Housing Authority of the County of Butte	Chico, CA	$353,280
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$100,571
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$100,449
Phoenix Programs, Inc.	Concord, CA	$509,906
STAND! Against Domestic Violence	Concord, CA	$41,524
Serving People In Need	Costa Mesa, CA	$1,992,929
Orange Coast Interfaith Shelter	Costa Mesa, CA	$885,000
Cupertino Community Services	Cupertino, CA	$82,533
City of Davis	Davis, CA	$106,752
Southern California Alcohol & Drug Program, Inc.	Downey, CA	$355,944
WomenHaven, Inc.	El Centro, CA	$169,865
Community HousingWorks	Escondido, CA	$313,676
Redwood Community Action Agency	Eureka, CA	$354,222
Redwood Community Action Agency	Eureka, CA	$115,077
Solano County Health and Social Services	Fairfield, CA	$109,925
Solano County Health and Social Services	Fairfield, CA	$215,080
Fairfield/Suisun Community Action Council	Fairfield, CA	$194,250
Solano County Health and Social Services	Fairfield, CA	$102,207
City of Fremont	Fremont, CA	$269,790
Housing Authorities of the City and County of Fresno	Fresno, CA	$534,844
Housing Authorities of the City and County of Fresno	Fresno, CA	$1,118,940
Valley Teen Ranch	Fresno, CA	$90,146
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission	Fresno, CA	$361,138
City of Glendale, CA/Glendale Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$752,285
City of Glendale, CA/Glendale Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$177,399
City of Glendale, CA/Glendale Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$148,156
City of Glendale, CA/Glendale Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$217,292
City of Glendale, CA/Glendale Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$643,875
City of Glendale, CA/Glendale Housing Authority	Glendale, CA	$93,000
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$81,816
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$48,312
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$44,820
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$85,161
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$48,312
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$51,036
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$54,648
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$384,582
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$59,376
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$1,090,393
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$157,189
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$1,426,320
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$3,320,736
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$202,776
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$79,800
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$22,440
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$688,848
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$100,128
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$38,544
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$40,752
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$29,688
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$68,232
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$44,122
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$59,325
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$676,632
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$67,320
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$27,168
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$42,170
County of Alameda	Hayward, CA	$192,266
YMCA of Metropolitan Los Angeles	Hollywood, CA	$177,487
Covenant House California	Hollywood, CA	$128,499
Los Angeles Youth Network	Hollywood, CA	$40,529
Colette's Children's Home	Huntington Beach, CA	$801,934
United States Veterans Initiative	Inglewood, CA	$484,571
United States Veterans Initiative	Inglewood, CA	$119,021
United States Veterans Initiative	Inglewood, CA	$496,557
United States Veterans Initiative	Inglewood, CA	$289,796

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Families Forward	Irvine, CA	$225,962
Human Options, Inc.	Irvine, CA	$361,726
Housing and Supportive Services for Fresno	Kerman, CA	$1,487,550
Volunteers of America	La Mesa, CA	$301,164
Antelope Valley Domestic Violence Council	Lancaster, CA	$143,912
Inland Temporary Homes, Inc.	Loma Linda, CA	$69,402
Lompoc Housing Assistance Corporation	Lompoc, CA	$36,565
Lompoc Housing Assistance Corporation	Lompoc, CA	$49,875
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$19,808
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$50,085
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$216,667
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$100,638
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$157,500
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$282,450
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$194,745
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$365,750
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$50,019
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$63,068
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$195,686
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$218,336
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$56,961
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$1,113,899
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$34,132
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$100,599
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$105,870
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$29,401
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$55,860
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$102,363
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$46,998
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$81,940
City of Long Beach	Long Beach, CA	$270,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$243,292
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$179,040
Testimonial Community Love Center	Los Angeles, CA	$136,888
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$304,368
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$255,000
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$70,056
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$125,328
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$262,085
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$143,808
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$63,655
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$197,413
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$284,184
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$156,635
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$333,929
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$59,052
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$213,806
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$134,592
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$61,041
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$154,998
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$223,929
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$250,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$112,450
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$169,419
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$273,045
New Directions, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$574,641
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$378,804
1736 Family Crisis Center	Los Angeles, CA	$521,824
SHIELDS For Families, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$90,396
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$132,192
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$70,176
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$143,432
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$145,940
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$3,420,720
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$259,701
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$220,680
A Community of Friends	Los Angeles, CA	$52,250
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$135,413
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$201,600
A Community of Friends	Los Angeles, CA	$350,000
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,264,520

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$268,800
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$128,963
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$66,686
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$201,821
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$381,941
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$182,955
Asian Pacific Women's Center, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$149,380
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$147,776
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$189,122
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$120,960
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$537,600
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$292,176
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$455,520
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$191,016
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$362,250
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$86,400
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$336,000
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$222,216
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,014,200
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$203,304
United Friends of the Children	Los Angeles, CA	$294,355
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$89,520
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$235,200
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$86,437
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$71,317
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$97,677
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$200,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$250,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$121,875
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$220,461
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$198,508
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$200,354
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$474,403
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$385,943
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$287,114
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$350,397
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$156,191
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$1,229,760
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$189,000
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$167,376
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$88,668
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$176,269
County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$194,098
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$248,942
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$349,666
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$565,337
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$71,797
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$292,257
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$23,745
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$63,698
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$339,078
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$141,755
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$119,280
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$263,401
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$253,325
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$113,971
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$149,846
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$387,581
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$67,200
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$161,539
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$151,803
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$118,347
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$92,217
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$206,461
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$180,897
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$218,222
California Council for Veterans Affairs, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$136,216
Catholic Charities of Los Angeles, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$142,901
Catholic Charities of Los Angeles, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$103,425
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$276,040
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$233,735

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Filipino American Service Group, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$190,449
Beacon Housing, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$76,192
Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$92,610
Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$369,601
Single Room Occupancy Housing Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$279,510
Beyond Shelter, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$141,912
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$157,707
Center for Human Rights and Constitutional Law, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$134,944
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$360,567
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$331,546
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$340,549
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$385,943
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$129,761
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$282,429
Institute for Urban Research and Development	Los Angeles, CA	$2,192,400
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$177,316
P.A.T.H.	Los Angeles, CA	$100,275
Jewish Family Service of Los Angeles (JFS)	Los Angeles, CA	$180,498
Gramercy Housing Group	Los Angeles, CA	$210,961
New Economics for Women	Los Angeles, CA	$155,254
Mary Lind Foundation	Los Angeles, CA	$442,318
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$392,700
P.A.T.H.	Los Angeles, CA	$114,530
The Los Angeles Gay and Lesbian Community Service Center	Los Angeles, CA	$377,262
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$277,455
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$105,000
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$360,363
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$361,209
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$248,824
JWCH Institute, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$308,999
P.A.T.H.	Los Angeles, CA	$209,161
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$158,891
Weingart Center Association, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$314,478
Weingart Center Association, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA	$170,760
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority	Los Angeles, CA	$244,335
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$174,133
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$169,949
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	$2,160,000
Institute for Urban Research and Development	Los Angeles, CA	$1,002,240
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$360,501
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$221,486
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$360,501
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	Los Angeles, CA	$170,271
South Central Health & Rehabilitation Programs	Lynwood, CA	$224,760
Veterans Transitional Center of Monterey County	Marina, CA	$243,348
Shelter Outreach Plus	Marina, CA	$365,500
Shelter Outreach Plus	Marina, CA	$499,800
Housing Authority of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$747,120
Housing Authority of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$1,466,400
Housing Authority of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$174,696
Housing Authority of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$953,496
SHELTER, Inc. of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$457,371
SHELTER, Inc. of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$80,797
SHELTER, Inc. of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$273,584
SHELTER, Inc. of Contra Costa County	Martinez, CA	$724,504
Contra Costa Health Services	Matinez, CA	$298,547
Contra Costa Health Services	Matinez, CA	$224,870
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$159,292
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$74,078
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$179,794
Clara-Mateo Alliance, Inc.	Menlo Park, CA	$211,613
Merced County Community Action Board	Merced, CA	$84,000
Nirvana Drug and Alcohol Treatment	Modesto, CA	$132,300
Community Housing and Shelter Services	Modesto, CA	$285,941
Center for Human Services	Modesto, CA	$128,988
Nirvana Drug and Alcohol Treatment	Modesto, CA	$108,360
Community Housing and Shelter Services	Modesto, CA	$205,025
Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Modesto, CA	$43,416
Stanislaus Community Assistance Project	Modesto, CA	$355,000
Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	Modesto, CA	$215,932
Housing Authority of the City of Napa	Napa, CA	$59,062

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Housing Authority of the City of Napa	Napa, CA	$15,000
County of Napa	Napa, CA	$19,950
County of Napa	Napa, CA	$123,439
Penny Lane Centers	North Hills, CA	$174,971
L.A. Family Housing Corporation	North Hollywood, CA	$363,659
L.A. Family Housing Corporation	North Hollywood, CA	$355,664
Stop Homelessness in the Rio Hondo Area, Inc.	Norwalk, CA	$165,207
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$859,669
Bonita House, Inc.	Oakland, CA	$33,080
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$245,146
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$648,302
Jobs for Homeless Consortium	Oakland, CA	$1,016,786
Fred Finch Children's Home	Oakland, CA	$696,434
Fred Finch Children's Home	Oakland, CA	$649,863
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$1,825,154
The City of Oakland	Oakland, CA	$259,432
YMCA of San Diego County	Oceanside, CA	$178,739
OC Partnership	Orange, CA	$160,125
Council of Orange County Society of St. Vincent de Paul	Orange, CA	$1,865,898
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$1,383,720
City of Oxnard	Oxnard, CA	$83,661
Bridge Focus, Inc.	Panorama City, CA	$99,225
Serra Ancillary Care Corporation dba The Serra Project	Pasadena, CA	$318,851
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$138,600
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$804,877
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$118,610
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$163,700
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$122,097
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$103,515
City of Pasadena	Pasadena, CA	$155,417
Committee on the Shelterless	Petaluma, CA	$76,667
City of Pomona	Pomona, CA	$162,154
Central California Family Crisis Center, Inc.	Porterville, CA	$281,061
Community Alcohol & Drug Rehabilitation Effort/Alternative Services, Inc.	Porterville, CA	$300,000
Northern Valley Catholic Social Service, Inc.	Redding, CA	$420,000
Service League of San Mateo County	Redwood City, CA	$44,996
Mental Health Association of San Mateo County	Redwood City, CA	$73,271
Mental Health Association of San Mateo County	Redwood City, CA	$39,530
Rubicon Programs	Richmond, CA	$653,005
Rubicon Programs	Richmond, CA	$304,279
Rubicon Programs	Richmond, CA	$44,013
Rubicon Programs	Richmond, CA	$204,120
Greater Richmond Interfaith Program (GRIP)	Richmond, CA	$168,099
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$98,712
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$249,962
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$279,806
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$525,000
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$1,909,225
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$722,904
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$120,932
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$135,756
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$124,990
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$1,524,737
County of Riverside	Riverside, CA	$231,730
Resources for Independent Living	Sacramento, CA	$97,876
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$191,523
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$110,250
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$228,426
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$215,255
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$123,496
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$497,424
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$294,384
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$42,999
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$3,061,636
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$86,712
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$226,000
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$157,716
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$206,936
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$316,033
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$123,553
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$88,114

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$305,666
Transitional Living and Community Support, Inc.	Sacramento, CA	$250,985
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$175,786
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$89,932
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$81,746
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$83,324
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$229,107
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$99,960
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$398,509
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$104,390
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$154,734
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$490,071
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$748,005
County of Sacramento	Sacramento, CA	$3,899,568
Housing Authority of the County of Monterey	Salinas, CA	$756,840
San Jose Cathedral Foundation	San Jose, CA	$96,600
San Jose Cathedral Foundation	San Jose, CA	$45,000
Inland Behavioral and Health Services, Inc.	San Bernardino, CA	$367,063
Frazee Community Center	San Bernardino, CA	$26,250
Central City Lutheran Mission	San Bernardino, CA	$17,672
The Salvation Army	San Bernardino, CA	$158,522
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	San Bernardino, CA	$1,995,040
Knotts Family Agency	San Bernardino, CA	$420,000
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	San Bernardino, CA	$750,477
Central City Lutheran Mission	San Bernardino, CA	$76,794
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$217,643
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$1,329,330
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$629,374
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$220,128
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$130,671
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$638,820
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$183,472
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$693,378
County of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$147,621
Young Women's Christian Association of San Diego County	San Diego, CA	$553,475
TACHS (The Association For Community Housing Solutions)	San Diego, CA	$73,500
Episcopal Community Services	San Diego, CA	$509,328
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$158,076
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$763,198
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$402,182
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$148,872
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$1,551,420
Episcopal Community Services	San Diego, CA	$554,562
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$174,336
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$113,568
Vietnam Veterans of San Diego	San Diego, CA	$202,850
San Diego Housing Commission	San Diego, CA	$237,072
San Diego Youth & Community Service	San Diego, CA	$87,571
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$890,000
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$935,898
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$513,713
St. Vincent de Paul Village, Inc.	San Diego, CA	$619,024
Baker Places, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$11,308
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$475,843
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$407,728
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$118,879
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$720,000
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$87,551
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$198,922
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$146,902
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$301,027
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$198,917
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$472,212
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$742,176
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$591,048
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$135,000
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$180,000
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$1,309,920
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$477,958
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$131,683
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$287,117

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$44,244
The Ark of Refuge, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$208,502
Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	San Francisco, CA	$53,747
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$398,225
The Salvation Army, a California Corporation	San Francisco, CA	$449,412
Swords To Plowshares—A Veterans Rights Organization	San Francisco, CA	$282,594
Swords To Plowshares—A Veterans Rights Organization	San Francisco, CA	$258,471
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$61,596
Community Housing Partnership	San Francisco, CA	$174,989
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$146,082
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$567,520
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$30,869
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$395,319
San Francisco Network Ministries Housing Corporation	San Francisco, CA	$76,228
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$1,303,278
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$141,317
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$810,000
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$264,000
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$260,678
Larkin Street Youth Services	San Francisco, CA	$55,587
Community Awareness & Treatment Services, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	$371,343
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$323,274
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$417,082
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$162,000
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$1,060,899
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$72,000
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$344,576
Catholic Charities CYO	San Francisco, CA	$157,550
City and County of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$70,152
St. Vincent de Paul Society of San Francisco	San Francisco, CA	$144,380
Catholic Charities CYO	San Francisco, CA	$151,506
Progress Foundation	San Francisco, CA	$386,749
Compass Community Services	San Francisco, CA	$320,782
Catholic Charities CYO	San Francisco, CA	$121,089
Charities Housing Development Corp.	San Jose, CA	$420,000
Community Technology Alliance	San Jose, CA	$303,718
The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$210,000
The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$168,888
Concern for the Poor	San Jose, CA	$197,077
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$93,866
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	San Jose, CA	$508,680
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	San Jose, CA	$216,000
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	San Jose, CA	$48,300
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$524,450
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$92,011
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$415,548
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$277,267
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$162,409
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$102,462
The Unity Care Group	San Jose, CA	$123,366
County of Santa Clara, CA	San Jose, CA	$532,824
County of Santa Clara, CA	San Jose, CA	$641,856
The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$104,030
The Unity Care Group	San Jose, CA	$121,840
Second Start	San Jose, CA	$96,790
County of Santa Clara, CA	San Jose, CA	$503,568
County of Santa Clara, CA	San Jose, CA	$502,068
County of Santa Clara, CA	San Jose, CA	$689,196
The Way Home	San Jose, CA	$78,750
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$56,275
Emergency Housing Consortium of Santa Clara County	San Jose, CA	$211,231
San Luis Obispo Nonprofit Housing Corporation	San Luis Obispo, CA	$473,981
San Luis Obispo County	San Luis Obispo, CA	$211,150
San Luis Obispo County	San Luis Obispo, CA	$163,091
Samaritan House	San Mateo, CA	$105,000
Rainbow Services, Ltd.	San Pedro, CA	$255,012
Harbor Interfaith Services, Inc.	San Pedro, CA	$127,673
Homeward Bound of Marin	San Rafael, CA	$50,148
Homeward Bound of Marin	San Rafael, CA	$319,096
Buckelew Programs	San Rafael, CA	$27,476
Center Point, Inc.	San Rafael, CA	$42,210

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Homeward Bound of Marin	San Rafael, CA	$191,493
Center Point, Inc.	San Rafael, CA	$474,247
Buckelew Programs	San Rafael, CA	$193,998
Marin Abused Women's Services	San Rafael, CA	$55,642
Marin Housing Authority	San Rafael, CA	$378,084
Marin Abused Women's Services	San Rafael, CA	$64,540
Marin Housing Authority	San Rafael, CA	$193,740
Marin Housing Authority	San Rafael, CA	$394,188
Buckelew Programs	San Rafael, CA	$148,768
Orange County Housing Authority	Santa Ana, CA	$1,066,848
Orange County Housing Authority	Santa Ana, CA	$643,392
Orange County Housing Authority	Santa Ana, CA	$2,895,120
Veterans First	Santa Ana, CA	$468,720
Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara, CA	$160,586
Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara, CA	$102,813
Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara, CA	$115,315
Domestic Violence Solutions for Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara, CA	$76,220
Transition House	Santa Barbara, CA	$55,152
Santa Barbara Community Housing Corporation	Santa Barbara, CA	$99,444
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara, CA	$590,184
Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara, CA	$17,050
Bill Wilson Center	Santa Clara, CA	$298,645
Bill Wilson Center	Santa Clara, CA	$548,476
Santa Clara Unified School District	Santa Clara, CA	$200,534
County of Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz, CA	$142,591
County of Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz, CA	$89,985
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$89,280
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$2,556,960
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$96,360
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$1,376,880
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$877,200
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$143,232
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$1,080,000
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs, CA	$232,224
Upward Bound House	Santa Monica, CA	$281,424
City of Santa Monica	Santa Monica, CA	$1,526,868
City of Santa Monica	Santa Monica, CA	$95,688
Ocean Park Community Center	Santa Monica, CA	$305,939
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa, CA	$224,890
Vietnam Veterans of California, Inc.	Santa Rosa, CA	$124,688
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Santa Rosa, CA	$107,000
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$107,952
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$87,744
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$405,360
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$298,332
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$97,330
Community Support Network	Santa Rosa, CA	$30,358
Community Support Network	Santa Rosa, CA	$56,238
Sonoma County Community Development Commission	Santa Rosa, CA	$109,384
Individuals Now d.b.a. Social Advocates for Youth	Santa Rosa, CA	$120,000
Vietnam Veterans of California, Inc.	Santa Rosa, CA	$265,807
Vietnam Veterans of California	Santa Rosa, CA	$83,108
The Salvation Army	Seaside, CA	$204,017
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$592,200
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$141,603
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$141,253
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$268,716
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$231,595
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$255,329
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$397,332
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$398,822
San Joaquin County	Stockton, CA	$22,503
Tarzana Treatment Centers, Inc.	Tarzana, CA	$188,132
Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$200,077
Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$128,052
Community Development Commission of Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$1,053,444
Community Development Commission of Mendocino County	Ukiah, CA	$34,992
Foothill Family Shelter, Inc.	Upland, CA	$34,125
Venice Family Clinic	Venice, CA	$284,843
Venice Community Housing Corp.	Venice, CA	$81,170
St. Joseph Center	Venice, CA	$47,247

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Turning Point Foundation	Ventura, CA	$94,083
Turning Point Foundation	Ventura, CA	$332,021
Turning Point Foundation	Ventura, CA	$26,075
St. John of God Health Care Services	Victorville, CA	$311,960
Victor Valley Domestic Violence, Inc	Victorville, CA	$276,379
Tulare County Hispanic Commission, Inc.	Visalia, CA	$454,147
Turning Point of Central California, Inc.	Visalia, CA	$74,602
Turning Point of Central California, Inc.	Visalia, CA	$1,573,755
Turning Point of Central California, Inc.	Visalia, CA	$173,573
Alpha Project for the Homeless	Vista, CA	$318,692
United Christian Centers	W. Sacramento, CA	$46,527
LuvLee's Residential Care, Inc.	Walnut, CA	$912,150
Families In Transition of Santa Cruz County, Inc.	Watsonville, CA	$181,158
Families In Transition of Santa Cruz County, Inc.	Watsonville, CA	$185,186
City of Woodland	Woodland, CA	$175,151
Morongo Basin Mental Health Services Association, Inc.	Yucca Valley, CA	$87,606
Pikes Peak United Way	Colorado Springs, CO	$110,000
Partners In Housing, Incorporated	Colorado Springs, CO	$306,305
City of Colorado Springs	Colorado Springs, CO	$801,660
City of Colorado Springs	Colorado Springs, CO	$198,800
Southern Colorado Health Network dba Southern Colorado AIDS Project	Colorado Springs, CO	$97,104
Colorado Springs Gospel Home—Liza's Place	Colorado Springs, CO	$75,000
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$101,280
Third Way Center, Inc.	Denver, CO	$232,493
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$153,174
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$1,594,500
Urban Peak Housing Corporation	Denver, CO	$294,656
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$283,992
State of Colorado	Denver, CO	$57,452
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$74,712
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$147,643
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$166,667
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$702,660
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$358,178
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$564,631
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$385,224
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$1,437,707
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$212,500
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$346,924
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$377,856
Denver Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$306,960
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$1,243,452
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$168,540
Colorado Department of Human Services	Denver, CO	$397,128
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$1,522,880
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$396,375
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$639,219
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$807,070
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless	Denver, CO	$273,195
Harbor Health Services, Inc.	Branford, CT	$48,800
Harbor Health Services, Inc.	Branford, CT	$124,064
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, CT	$372,973
LMG Programs, Inc.	Bridgeport, CT	$179,626
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, CT	$286,440
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, CT	$141,766
United Way of Eastern Fairway County	Bridgeport, CT	$39,999
City of Bridgeport	Bridgeport, CT	$44,890
St. Vincent DePaul Society of Bristol, Inc.	Bristol, CT	$27,019
St. Vincent DePaul Society of Bristol, Inc.	Bristol, CT	$321,830
Housing Authority, City of Danbury	Danbury, CT	$106,320
City of Danbury	Danbury, CT	$142,000
Inter-Community Mental Health Grouping	East Hartford, CT	$302,190
Micah Housing, Inc.	Fairfield, CT	$73,501
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$287,520
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$237,343
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$30,480
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$158,592
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$158,592
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$481,980
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$270,940
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$349,992

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$97,284
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$86,688
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$592,020
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$100,887
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$407,830
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$338,076
State of CT	Hartford, CT	$213,380
My Sisters' Place, Inc.	Hartford, CT	$250,000
Mercy Housing and Shelter Corporation	Hartford, CT	$241,190
South Park Inn	Hartford, CT	$273,000
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$99,948
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$51,120
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$459,960
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$76,680
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$1,253,760
State of CT Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	Hartford, CT	$809,400
Broad-Park Development Corporation	Hartford, CT	$750,000
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$879,864
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	Hartford, CT	$565,000
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	Hartford, CT	$55,860
Community Renewal Team, Inc.	Hartford, CT	$469,533
State of Connecticut	Hartford, CT	$131,760
Thames Valley Council for Community Action, Inc. (TVCCA)	Jewitt City, CT	$655,247
American Red Cross Middlesex Central CT Chapter	Middletown, CT	$133,000
Friendship Services Center of New Britain,Inc	New Britain, CT	$670,632
Community Mental Health Affiliates	New Britain, CT	$759,701
Christian Community Action, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$200,000
Liberty Community Services, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$292,501
Connecticut Women's Consortium, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$171,113
Youth Continuum, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$301,568
Fellowship Place, Inc.	New Haven, CT	$92,705
Women's Center of Southeastern Connecticut, Inc.	New London, CT	$50,584
Mid Fairfield AIDS Projecting	Norwalk, CT	$120,000
Family + Children's Agency	Norwalk, CT	$145,513
Bethsaida Community, Inc.	Norwich, CT	$84,515
St. Philip House, Inc	Plainville, CT	$484,804
Norwalk Emergency Shelter, Inc	So Norwalk, CT	$331,478
Norwalk Emergency Shelter, Inc	So Norwalk, CT	$47,831
United Way of Stamford, Inc.	Stamford, CT	$52,500
Laurel House, Inc.	Stamford, CT	$263,931
Shelter for the Homeless	Stamford, CT	$84,051
St. Luke's Community Services, Inc.	Stamford, CT	$398,717
Mutual Housing Association of Southwestern Connecticut, Inc.	Stamford, CT	$165,900
Torrington Chapter of FISH, Inc.	Torrington, CT	$26,216
Housing Authority of the City of Waterbury	Waterbury, CT	$673,320
The St. Vincent DePaul Society of Waterbury, Inc.	Waterbury, CT	$879,980
Hall-Brooke Behavioral Health Services	Westport, CT	$313,935
Hall-Brooke Behavioral Health Services	Westport, CT	$177,420
Hall-Brooke Behavioral Health Services	Westport, CT	$307,446
Hall-Brooke Behavioral Health Services	Westport, CT	$750,000
CT Coalition to End Homelessness	Wethersfield, CT	$218,720
Holy Family Home and Shelter, Inc	Williamantic, CT	$366,873
Community Health Resources	Windsor, CT	$107,184
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Washington , DC	$475,935
Community Connections	Washington, DC	$106,864
DC Office of Revenue Analysis (formerly Office of Research and Analysis)	Washington, DC	$479,556
Sasha Bruce Youthwork	Washington, DC	$67,628
DC Office of Revenue Analysis (formerly Office of Research and Analysis)	Washington, DC	$1,893,552
DC Office of Revenue Analysis (formerly Office of Research and Analysis)	Washington, DC	$676,548
DC Office of Revenue Analysis (formerly Office of Research and Analysis)	Washington, DC	$271,368
Sasha Bruce Youthwork	Washington, DC	$129,593
Sasha Bruce Youthwork	Washington, DC	$189,058
Families Forward, Inc.	Washington, DC	$201,224
Families Forward, Inc.	Washington, DC	$229,046
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$79,929
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington	Washington, DC	$235,903
Hannah House, Inc.	Washington, DC	$148,115
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$245,422
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$86,003
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$210,119
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$134,835

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$149,203
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$333,913
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$346,324
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, DC	Washington, DC	$86,391
SOME (SO Others Might EAT)	Washington, DC	$323,673
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$899,866
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$202,832
Community Family Life Services, Inc.	Washington, DC	$364,761
Catholic Charities	Washington, DC	$168,641
Community Family Life Services, Inc.	Washington, DC	$140,205
SOME (SO Others Might EAT)	Washington, DC	$513,940
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$141,957
Coalition for the Homeless	Washington, DC	$171,453
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$84,383
SOME (SO Others Might EAT)	Washington, DC	$101,333
JHP, Inc.	Washington, DC	$136,761
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, DC	Washington, DC	$230,056
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$825,000
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$204,916
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$321,806
Transitional Housing Corporation	Washington, DC	$127,385
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, DC	Washington, DC	$24,247
District of Columbia	Washington, DC	$200,640
District of Columbia	Washington, DC	$272,832
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$132,300
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$142,306
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$144,083
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$83,511
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$232,880
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$204,748
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$190,522
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$175,219
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$144,758
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$300,000
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$141,214
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$148,925
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$165,819
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$85,340
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$257,404
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$109,725
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$189,000
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$176,226
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$100,905
House of Ruth	Washington, DC	$34,657
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$121,728
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$196,569
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$580,428
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$75,000
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$325,000
The Community Partnership for the Prevention of Homeless	Washington, DC	$266,084
State of Delaware	New Castle, DE	$143,682
State of Delaware	New Castle, DE	$1,282,951
State of Delaware	New Castle, DE	$128,049
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$200,408
CareLink Community Support Services	Wilmington, DE	$75,456
Young Women's Christian Association of New Castle County, Delaware	Wilmington, DE	$319,594
SBM Housing, Inc./Gateway House	Wilmington, DE	$67,334
Connections CSP, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$152,421
Connections CSP, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$246,576
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$212,357
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$129,874
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$66,467
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$374,174
Connections CSP, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$749,996
Ministry of Caring, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$647,808
Connections CSP, Inc.	Wilmington, DE	$167,076
Peace River Center	Bartow, FL	$365,498
City of Bradenton	Bradenton, FL	$562,200
Flagler Ecumenical Society Services Center, Inc.	Bunnell, FL	$223,740
Homeless Emergency Project, Inc.	Clearwater, FL	$33,101
Crosswinds Youth Services	Cocoa, FL	$87,536

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
2-1-1 Brevard, Inc	Cocoa, FL	$76,751
Coalition for the Hungry and Homeless of Brevard County, Inc	Cocoa Blvd, FL	$226,400
Coalition for the Hungry and Homeless of Brevard County, Inc	Cocoa Blvd, FL	$166,387
Coalition for the Hungry and Homeless of Brevard County, Inc	Cocoa Blvd, FL	$134,865
Coalition for the Hungry and Homeless of Brevard County, Inc	Cocoa Blvd, FL	$439,250
Volusia/Flagler County Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$58,800
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$171,921
Serenity House of Volusia, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$129,273
AIDS Coalition of Volusia/Flagler, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$96,617
Domestic Abuse Council, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$69,386
Domestic Abuse Council, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$62,815
Domestic Abuse Council, Inc.	Daytona Beach, FL	$124,807
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$242,102
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$141,727
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$202,879
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$81,406
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$143,784
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$621,262
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$996,911
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$259,038
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$226,180
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$241,815
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$893,040
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	Fort Lauderdale, FL	$75,576
Covenant House Florida	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	$183,729
The House of Israel, Inc.	Ft. Meade, FL	$488,069
St. Lucie County Board of County Commissioners	Ft. Pierce, FL	$454,440
Bridgeway Center, Inc.	Ft. Walton Beach, FL	$363,878
Alachua County Housing Authority	Gainesville, FL	$575,520
City of Gainesville	Gainesville, FL	$106,215
City of Gainesville	Gainesville, FL	$99,101
Alachua County Housing Authority	Gainesville, FL	$80,569
Peaceful Paths Domestic Abuse Network, Inc.	Gainesville, FL	$84,974
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$52,980
Family Renew Community, Inc.	Holly Hill, FL	$21,164
Youth Crisis Center, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$170,000
I.M. Sulzbacher Center for the Homeless, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$235,288
Jacksonville Housing Authority	Jacksonville, FL	$600,000
I.M. Sulzbacher Center for the Homeless, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$407,862
River Region Human Services, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$241,584
United Way of Northeast Florida	Jacksonville, FL	$64,374
Goodwill of North Florida	Jacksonville, FL	$411,469
Clara White Mission, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$175,000
Mental Health Resource Center, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$293,979
Community Connections of Jacksonville, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$262,177
Community Connections of Jacksonville, Inc.	Jacksonville, FL	$530,308
Goodwill of North Florida	Jacksonville, FL	$76,034
Florida Keys Outreach Coalition, Inc.	Key West, FL	$175,879
United Way of Suwannee Valley	Lake City, FL	$96,440
Adopt-A-Family of the Palm Beaches, Inc.	Lake Worth, FL	$207,813
Talbot House Ministries of Lakeland, Inc.	Lakeland, FL	$255,926
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Lakeland, FL	$255,559
The Center for Information & Crisis Services, Inc.	Lantana, FL	$134,441
2-1-1 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc.	Largo, FL	$172,454
Broward County Housing Authority	Lauderhill, FL	$1,100,064
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$348,960
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$348,014
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$162,929
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$347,130
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$534,832
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$315,170
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$273,807
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$192,665
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$425,392
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$311,679
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$118,395
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$450,120
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$313,139
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$149,893
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$687,505
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$149,472

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$618,636
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$273,420
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$124,996
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$241,800
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$170,017
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$158,095
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$93,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$271,032
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$178,172
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$231,384
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$283,272
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$216,704
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$860,114
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$451,140
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$180,048
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$85,677
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$292,660
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$113,663
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$33,957
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$34,188
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$364,854
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$395,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$339,721
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$251,071
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$348,236
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$528,062
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$527,999
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$106,994
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$84,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$434,700
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$392,418
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$363,480
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$698,784
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$357,791
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$138,789
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$124,621
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$148,010
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$63,993
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$382,596
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$722,786
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$79,485
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$128,330
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$2,000,460
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$129,138
Carrfour Supportive Housing	Miami, FL	$409,479
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$125,000
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$57,668
Miami-Dade County	Miami, FL	$174,998
Collier County Board of Commissioners	Naples, FL	$153,384
Collier County Board of Commissioners	Naples, FL	$104,645
St. Matthew's House	Naples, FL	$109,562
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$222,069
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$1,064,138
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$550,043
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$90,508
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$180,509
Lee County Board of County Commissioners	North Fort Myers, FL	$119,722
United Way of Marion County	Ocala, FL	$75,374
Home, Health & Hope, Inc.	Ocala, FL	$137,368
Soul Harvest Word, Wordship & Praise Ministries	Ocala, FL	$278,963
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$355,627
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$809,235
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$97,999
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$255,681
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$210,000
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$356,920
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$155,241
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$224,750
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$420,000
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$169,204
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$73,683

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$141,181
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$294,128
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$275,655
Homeless Services Network of Central Florida	Orlando, FL	$311,096
Homeless & Hunger Coalition of Northwest Florida, Inc.	Panama City, FL	$47,482
Loaves and Fishes Soup	Pensacola, FL	$248,672
Escarosa Coalition on the Homeless	Pensacola, FL	$42,943
Lakeview Center, Inc.	Pensacola, FL	$307,887
Charlotte County Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Port Charlotte, FL	$121,000
Charlotte Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	Punta Gorda, FL	$121,000
Housing Partnership, Inc.	Riviera Beach, FL	$57,224
Seminole County, Florida	Sanford, FL	$734,040
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Sarasota, FL	$200,060
Emergency Services and Homeless Coalition of St. Johns County, Inc.	St. Augustine, FL	$89,610
Emergency Services and Homeless Coalition of St. Johns County, Inc.	St. Augustine, FL	$62,790
Boley Centers for Behavioral Health Care, Inc	St. Petersburg, FL	$133,929
ALPHA "A Beginning", Inc.	St. Petersburg, FL	$69,888
Boley Centers for Behavioral Health Care, Inc	St. Petersburg, FL	$1,744,682
Operation PAR, Inc.	St. Petersburg, FL	$100,452
YWCA of Tampa Bay	St. Petersburg, FL	$176,237
Boley Centers for Behavioral Health Care, Inc	St. Petersburg, FL	$253,779
Suncoast Center Mental Health, Inc.	St. Petersburg, FL	$147,731
Martin County Board of County Commissioners	Stuart, FL	$389,520
Tallahassee Coalition for the Homeless	Tallahassee, FL	$1,042,231
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc	Tampa, FL	$168,743
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$343,033
Agency for Community Treatment Services, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$50,400
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Tampa, FL	$489,490
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$703,561
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Tampa, FL	$288,934
Agency for Community Treatment Services, Inc	Tampa, FL	$96,307
Agency for Community Treatment Services, Inc	Tampa, FL	$499,999
Homeless Coalition of Hillsborough County, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$190,000
Agency for Community Treatment Services, Inc	Tampa, FL	$46,666
Mental Health Care, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$886,000
Hillsborough County Crisis Center, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$65,510
Alpha House of Tampa, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$154,438
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$421,331
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	Tampa, FL	$681,500
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Venice, Inc.	Venice, FL	$360,415
Indian River County Board of County Commissioners	Vero Beach, FL	$36,177
Indian River County Board of County Commissioners	Vero Beach, FL	$24,581
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$257,191
Oakwood Center of the Palm Beaches, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$381,304
Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners	West Palm Beach, FL	$438,254
Young Women's Christian Assoc. of Palm Beach County FL. Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$285,801
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$249,527
Gulfstream Goodwill Industries	West Palm Beach, FL	$178,816
Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners	West Palm Beach, FL	$776,220
Gulfstream Goodwill Industries	West Palm Beach, FL	$194,029
The Lord's Place, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$283,023
The Lord's Place, Inc.	West Palm Beach, FL	$182,984
Children's Home Society of Florida	West Palm Beach, FL	$127,620
Florida Housing Corporation	West Palm Beach, FL	$86,415
Early Childhood Resources, Inc.	Winter Haven, FL	$132,108
House of Grace Inc.	Adel, GA	$129,529
First Monumental Faith COC, Inc.	Albany, GA	$117,303
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$243,482
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$213,600
Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County	Athens, GA	$79,800
Alternate Life Paths Program, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$48,572
St. Jude's Recovery Center, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$278,342
St. Jude's Recovery Center, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$73,768
Jerusalem House, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$193,704
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$184,560
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$346,200
St. Jude's Recovery Center, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$715,311
Georgia Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$294,000
Atlanta Enterprise Center, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$190,955
Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia	Atlanta, GA	$373,951
Samaritan House of Atlanta	Atlanta, GA	$78,820

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Travelers Aid of Metropolitan Atlanta, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$169,441
Saint Joseph's Mercy Care Services, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$36,823
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$46,423
Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia	Atlanta, GA	$702,814
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$18,517
Furniture Bank of Metro Atlanta	Atlanta, GA	$70,010
Jewish Family & Career Services	Atlanta, GA	$157,729
The Young Adult Guidance Center, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$154,396
Metro Atlanta Task Force for the Homeless, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$338,100
Nicholas House, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$36,141
Genesis Shelter, Inc	Atlanta, GA	$136,500
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$403,080
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$397,350
St. Jude's Recovery Center, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$328,898
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$556,800
Action Ministries, dba Atlanta Urban Ministries	Atlanta, GA	$70,000
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$44,640
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$1,140,240
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$824,400
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$246,600
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$130,320
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$1,494,600
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$692,280
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$384,840
The Achor Center, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$378,311
Community Advanced Practice Nurses, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$39,039
Families First, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	$184,013
Buckhead Christian Ministry	Atlanta, GA	$80,000
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta, GA	$38,448
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Atlanta, GA	$349,188
Hope House Inc.	Augusta, GA	$58,842
Augusta, Georgia	Augusta, GA	$67,517
CSRA Economic Opportunity Authority, Inc.	Augusta, GA	$131,712
CSRA Economic Opportunity Authority, Inc.	Augusta, GA	$119,081
House of T.I.M.E., Inc.	Columbus, GA	$134,343
House of T.I.M.E., Inc.	Columbus, GA	$212,736
Stewart Community Home, Inc.	Columbus, GA	$285,620
New Horizons Community Service Board	Columbus, GA	$45,122
Open Door Community House, Inc.	Columbus, GA	$267,745
Progressive Redevelopment, Inc.	Decatur, GA	$563,246
Phoenix Alliance, Inc.	Decatur, GA	$58,371
Initiative for Affordable Housing, Inc.	Decatur, GA	$320,938
Our Common Welfare, Incorporated	Decatur, GA	$158,033
Gwinnett Housing Resource Partnership, Inc.	Duluth, GA	$146,895
Calvary Refuge, Inc.	Forest Park, GA	$203,326
Hall Family Initiative Residences, Inc.	Gainesville, GA	$87,404
City of Hinesville	Hinesville, GA	$187,639
Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc.	Macon, GA	$148,067
The Salvation Army	Macon, GA	$505,951
Macon Bibb Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	Macon, GA	$94,500
Loaves & Fishes Ministries of Macon	Macon, GA	$23,230
Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc.	Macon, GA	$110,310
Marietta Housing Authority	Marietta, GA	$241,320
Cobb County, GA	Marietta, GA	$60,000
The Center for Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$96,700
The Center for Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$191,202
The Center for Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$85,323
Young Women's Christian Association of Northwest Georgia Inc.	Marietta, GA	$519,568
MUST Ministries	Marietta, GA	$105,840
MUST Ministries	Marietta, GA	$211,680
MUST Ministries	Marietta, GA	$105,000
The Center for Family Resources	Marietta, GA	$441,009
Colquitt County Serenity House Project, Inc.	Moultrie, GA	$596,706
Housing Initiative of North Fulton	Roswell, GA	$23,646
Union Mission, Inc.	Savannah, GA	$218,876
City of Savannah	Savannah, GA	$246,732
Housing Authority of Savannah	Savannah, GA	$478,632
Housing Authority of Savannah	Savannah, GA	$435,252
Chatham-Savannah Authority for the Homeless	Savannah, GA	$179,256
City of Savannah	Savannah, GA	$685,125
Greenbriar Children's Center, Inc.	Savannah, GA	$398,424

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Chatham-Savannah Authority for the Homeless	Savannah, GA	$223,469
Economic Opportunity Authority for Savannah-Chatham County Area, Inc.	Savannah, GA	$220,500
Citizens Against Violence, Inc.	Statesboro, GA	$119,999
Citizens Against Violence, Inc.	Statesboro, GA	$145,465
Housing Authority of the City of Tampa	Tampa, GA	$802,800
South Georgia Coalition to End Homelessness	Valdosta, GA	$745,500
HODAC, Inc.	Warner Robins, GA	$42,891
Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority	Sinajana, GU	$699,720
Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority	Sinajana, GU	$138,100
Child and Family Service	Ewa Beach, HI	$123,470
Legal Aid Society of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	$129,339
State of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	$94,632
The Salvation Army, a California corporation	Honolulu, HI	$575,812
Homeless Solutions, Inc.	Honolulu, HI	$165,395
Mental Health Kokua	Honolulu, HI	$870,274
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$306,936
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$503,796
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$515,400
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$123,480
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Honolulu, HI	$66,769
HCDCH, State of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	$126,863
Parents And Children Together	Honolulu, HI	$277,410
HCDCH, State of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	$796,020
State of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	$181,020
City and County of Honolulu	Honolulu, HI	$133,608
United States Veterans Imitative, HI	Kalaeloa, HI	$682,526
Youth and Shelter Services	Ames, IA	$189,670
Youth and Shelter Services	Ames, IA	$128,457
Area Substance Abuse Council	Clinton, IA	$104,223
YWCA of Clinton	Clinton, IA	$49,232
The Christian Worship Center	Council Bluffs, IA	$511,798
Family Resources, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$39,525
Family Resources, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$37,625
Family Resources, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$37,549
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$207,000
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$415,600
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$440,000
John Lewis Coffee Shop, Inc.	Davenport, IA	$220,000
Iowa Institute for Community Alliances	Des Moines, IA	$758,940
Iowa Finance Authority	Des Moines, IA	$153,720
Iowa Institute For Community Alliances	Des Moines, IA	$89,250
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$289,733
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$721,752
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$227,468
City of Des Moines	Des Moines, IA	$102,217
Opening Doors	Dubuque, IA	$42,222
Project Concern, Inc.	Dubuque, IA	$31,570
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$87,217
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$17,215
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$215,072
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$30,998
Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Inc.	Hiawatha, IA	$329,499
Shelter House Community Shelter and Transition Services	Iowa City, IA	$448,318
City of Sioux City	Sioux City, IA	$113,452
Center For Siouxland	Sioux City, IA	$80,062
Center For Siouxland	Sioux City, IA	$128,168
Community Action Agency of Siouxland	Sioux City, IA	$137,241
Crittenton Center	Sioux City, IA	$184,527
Family Service League	Waterloo, IA	$133,495
Family Service League	Waterloo, IA	$377,703
Cedar Valley Friends of the Family	Waverly, IA	$254,249
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$131,250
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$82,363
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$55,708
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$42,300
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$85,037
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$41,577
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$50,797
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$96,967
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$88,989
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$91,944

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Ada County & Boise City Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$211,582
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$95,729
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$81,735
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$681,180
Women's and Children's Alliance	Boise, ID	$119,123
Ada County & Boise City Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$150,284
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$96,495
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$136,920
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$72,502
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$123,511
Ada County & Boise City Housing Authority	Boise, ID	$64,514
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	Boise, ID	$60,804
St. Vincent de Paul	Coeur d'Alene, ID	$187,929
YMCA of Metropolitan Chicago/YMCA Network	Alsip, IL	$601,829
Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance Foundation	Alton, IL	$47,584
Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance Foundation, Inc.	Alton, IL	$131,888
Public Action to Deliver Shelter, Inc.	Aurora, IL	$234,302
St. Clair County	Belleville, IL	$170,380
St. Clair County	Belleville, IL	$525,000
Community Care Options	Berwyn, IL	$1,526,542
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$75,733
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$148,240
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$63,865
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$23,184
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$6,581
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$65,835
City of Bloomington	Bloomington, IL	$171,353
Good Samaritan Ministries, A Project of Carbondale Interchurch Council	Carbondale, IL	$74,397
B.C.M.W. Community Services	Centralia, IL	$19,597
Mental Health Center of Champaign County	Champaign, IL	$549,502
City of Chicago Department of Housing	Chicago, IL	$35,647
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$150,144
City of Chicago Department of Housing	Chicago, IL	$804,460
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$188,064
City of Chicago Department of Housing	Chicago, IL	$60,585
City of Chicago Department of Housing	Chicago, IL	$668,152
City of Chicago Department of Housing	Chicago, IL	$292,057
City of Chicago Department of Housing	Chicago, IL	$49,875
Teen Living Programs, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$194,378
Chicago House and Social Service Agency	Chicago, IL	$45,123
Deborah's Place	Chicago, IL	$414,750
The Renaissance Collaborative, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$189,525
Human Resources Development Institute, Inc. (HRDI)	Chicago, IL	$469,909
Community Support Living Systems, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$203,150
Vital Bridges, NFP	Chicago, IL	$506,592
The Inner Voice, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$348,807
St. Leonard's Ministries	Chicago, IL	$47,250
The Inner Voice, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$205,452
Beacon Therapeutic School Inc., d/b/a Beacon Therapeutic Diagnostic and Treatment Center.	Chicago, IL	$1,083,562
Family Rescue, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$611,859
Family Rescue, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$64,628
The Night Ministry	Chicago, IL	$74,260
Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Chicago	Chicago, IL	$163,104
CEDA Bloom-Rich Community Development Association	Chicago Heights, IL	$475,755
Chapter III of the Affordable Housing Preservation Foundation	Chicago, IL	$420,000
Chapter III of the Affordable Housing Preservation Foundation	Chicago, IL	$2,321,280
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$485,820
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$155,124
Brand New Beginnings, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$420,000
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$249,768
Featherfist	Chicago, IL	$144,243
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$238,884
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$47,014
AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$279,179
AIDS Foundation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$381,249
Featherfist	Chicago, IL	$249,382
Young Men's Christian Association	Chicago, IL	$256,955
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$476,520
Featherfist	Chicago, IL	$293,526
Interfaith Housing Development Corporation of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$85,890

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$428,126
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$50,274
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$123,072
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$74,717
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$257,771
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$147,457
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$100,068
Featherfist	Chicago, IL	$318,130
Featherfist	Chicago, IL	$532,528
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$207,936
Housing Opportunities for Women, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$198,368
Housing Opportunities for Women, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$749,999
Featherfist	Chicago, IL	$256,626
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$343,819
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$78,491
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$245,020
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$939,084
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$443,441
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$448,853
Interfaith Council for the Homeless	Chicago, IL	$312,241
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$221,650
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$314,986
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$244,919
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$46,298
Renaissance Social Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$59,746
State of Illinois, Dept of Veterans Affairs	Chicago, IL	$750,000
Heartland Human Care Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$442,062
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$78,491
Renaissance Social Services, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$23,057
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$136,560
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$109,643
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$403,605
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$308,319
Heartland Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$122,240
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$721,954
New Phoenix Assistance Center	Chicago, IL	$325,780
Heartland Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$948,721
Bethel New Life, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$96,983
Bethel New Life, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$219,153
Bethel New Life, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$350,557
Unity Parenting & Counseling Inc.	Chicago, IL	$434,758
The Thresholds, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$163,962
Healthcare Alternatives Systems, Inc. (HAS)	Chicago, IL	$214,748
Heartland Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$488,341
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$314,935
New Phoenix Assistance Center	Chicago, IL	$240,500
Northwestern Memorial Hospital	Chicago, IL	$160,827
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$1,379,776
Franciscan Outreach Association	Chicago, IL	$52,920
Northwestern Memorial Hospital	Chicago, IL	$332,899
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Chicago	Chicago, IL	$255,976
Residents for Effective Shelter Transitions	Chicago, IL	$185,563
The Employment Project	Chicago, IL	$123,537
Interfaith House Inc.	Chicago, IL	$210,704
Interfaith House Inc.	Chicago, IL	$189,889
Heartland Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$368,219
New Moms, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$147,860
Southwest Women Working Together	Chicago, IL	$588,746
Circle Urban Ministries	Chicago, IL	$396,875
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$250,120
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$92,533
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$136,599
Lakefront Supportive Housing	Chicago, IL	$74,028
Inspiration Corporation	Chicago, IL	$92,736
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$27,038
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$607,068
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$375,375
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$41,580
Housing Authority of the County of Cook	Chicago, IL	$158,064
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$68,250
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$57,931

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$187,200
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$249,480
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$39,600
Chicago Christian Industrial League	Chicago, IL	$317,278
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$249,480
Heartland Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$130,438
Casa Central Social Services Corporation	Chicago, IL	$471,265
Goldie's Place	Chicago, IL	$67,736
Heartland Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$156,301
New Moms, Inc.	Chicago, IL	$105,838
Heartland Health Outreach	Chicago, IL	$355,855
Northwestern Memorial Hospital	Chicago, IL	$227,522
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$478,380
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$39,600
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$231,660
Chicago Department of Human Services	Chicago, IL	$420,732
Dove, Inc.	Decatur, IL	$61,471
Dove, Inc.	Decatur, IL	$364,794
Dove, Inc.	Decatur, IL	$222,541
Dove, Inc.	Decatur, IL	$89,581
Hope Haven of DeKalb County, Inc.	DeKalb, IL	$95,270
DeKalb County Residential Development Corporation	DeKalb, IL	$98,375
The Salvation Army	Des Plains, IL	$48,877
Housing Authority of the City of East St. Louis	East St. Louis, IL	$802,080
Madison County Government	Edwardsville, IL	$819,720
Madison County Government	Edwardsville, IL	$303,660
C.E.F.S. Economic Opportunity Corporation	Effingham, IL	$199,675
Community Crisis Center	Elgin, IL	$64,981
Ecker Center for Mental Health	Elgin, IL	$163,030
The Larkin Center	Elgin, IL	$297,425
Ecker Center for Mental Health	Elgin, IL	$504,222
Community Crisis Center	Elgin, IL	$30,135
Connections for the Homeless, Inc.	Evanston, IL	$351,000
Housing Options for the Mentally Ill in Evanston, Inc.	Evanston, IL	$250,680
Housing Options for the Mentally Ill in Evanston, Inc.	Evanston, IL	$354,833
Carver Community Action Agency	Galesburg, IL	$208,282
Bridge Communities, Inc.	Glen Ellyn, IL	$107,289
Chestnut Health Systems	Granite City, IL	$267,612
Children's Home and Aid Society of IL	Granite City, IL	$115,713
Chestnut Health Systems	Granite City, IL	$426,024
Embarras River Basin Agency, Inc.	Greenup, IL	$249,845
Anna Bixby Women's Center	Harrisburg, IL	$77,105
Bethel Human Resources, Inc.	Harvey, IL	$546,816
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$242,867
Cornerstone Services, Inc.	Joliet, IL	$1,412,607
Christian Family Ministries, Inc.& Lamb's Fold Women's Center	Joliet, IL	$99,750
Cornerstone Services, Inc.	Joliet, IL	$333,543
Trinity Services, Inc.	Joliet, IL	$250,000
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$754,500
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$82,240
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Joliet	Joliet, IL	$666,347
Shields Township	Lake Bluff, IL	$722,700
Western Illinois Regional Council—Community Action Agency	Macomb, IL	$54,907
Southern Illinois Coalition for the Homeless	Marion, IL	$58,975
Home of the Sparrow, Inc.	McHenry, IL	$26,250
Pioneer Center of McHenry County	McHenry, IL	$261,819
Pioneer Center of McHenry County	McHenry, IL	$226,464
Home of the Sparrow, Inc.	McHenry, IL	$54,600
Home of the Sparrow, Inc.	McHenry, IL	$86,805
CEDA Northwest Self-Help Center, Inc.	Mount Prospect, IL	$309,746
NCO Youth & Family Services	Naperville, IL	$140,000
Cook County Department of Public Health	Oak Park, IL	$954,120
Stopping Woman Abuse Now	Olney, IL	$73,500
WINGS Program, Inc.	Palatine, IL	$331,029
Goodwill Industries of Central Illinois, Inc.	Peoria, IL	$45,484
The Center for Prevention of Abuse	Peoria, IL	$345,517
Peoria Housing Authority	Peoria, IL	$158,932
Peoria Housing Authority	Peoria, IL	$131,400
YWCA of Peoria, IL	Peoria, IL	$212,380
Peoria Opportunities Foundation	Peoria, IL	$739,515
Pioneer Civic Services	Peoria, IL	$112,912

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Freedom House	Princeton, IL	$186,000
Young Women's Christian Association	Quincy, IL	$76,853
Young Women's Christian Association	Quincy, IL	$83,276
Young Women's Christian Association	Quincy, IL	$81,189
Tri-County Opportunities Council	Rock Falls, IL	$186,450
Rock Island Housing Authority	Rock Island, IL	$430,080
Project NOW, Inc., CAA	Rock Island, IL	$119,445
Project NOW, Inc., CAA	Rock Island, IL	$127,944
Project NOW, Inc., CAA	Rock Island, IL	$77,427
Project NOW, Inc., CAA	Rock Island, IL	$58,713
DeLaCerda House, Inc.	Rock Island, IL	$169,288
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$33,764
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$93,083
Prairie State Legal Services	Rockford, IL	$150,000
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$170,640
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$170,640
Youth Services Network	Rockford, IL	$250,569
City of Rockford	Rockford, IL	$39,866
Abundant Faith Ministry	Springfield, IL	$48,825
Helping Hands of Springfield, Inc.	Springfield, IL	$115,332
Fifth Street Renaissance	Springfield, IL	$24,150
Youth Service Bureau	Springfield, IL	$91,899
M.E.R.C.Y. Communities. Inc.	Springfield, IL	$167,293
M.E.R.C.Y. Communities. Inc.	Springfield, IL	$242,190
City of Urbana	Urbana, IL	$132,672
Iroquois Mental Health Center	Watseka, IL	$112,213
Lake County	Waukegan, IL	$82,469
Lake County	Waukegan, IL	$118,802
Lake County	Waukegan, IL	$408,753
I–PLUS	Waukegan, IL	$38,414
A Safe Place/Lake County Crisis Center	Waukegan, IL	$105,000
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Chicago (Lake County Services)	Waukegan, IL	$170,100
Lake County	Waukegan, IL	$93,086
DuPage County Health Department	Wheaton, IL	$837,600
DuPage County Health Department	Wheaton, IL	$83,281
DuPage County Health Department	Wheaton, IL	$385,000
The County of DuPage	Wheaton, IL	$203,675
DuPage P.A.D.S., Inc.	Wheaton, IL	$121,322
Housing Opportunity Development Corporation	Wilmette, IL	$562,175
Housing Opportunity Development Corporation	Wilmette, IL	$53,251
McHenry County PADS, Inc.	Woodstock, IL	$105,000
City of Anderson, Indiana	Anderson, IN	$506,820
South Central Community Mental Health Centers Inc.	Bloomington, IN	$113,904
Monroe County Government	Bloomington, IN	$231,920
Interfaith Mission, Inc. DBR Lighthouse	Columbia City, IN	$189,000
Quinco Consulting Center, Inc.	Columbus, IN	$82,127
Human Services, Inc.	Columbus, IN	$152,406
Human Services, Inc.	Columbus, IN	$324,450
City of Elkhart	Elkhart, IN	$140,569
City of Elkhart	Elkhart, IN	$134,294
City of Evansville, Indiana	Evansville, IN	$191,835
City of Evansville, Indiana	Evansville, IN	$57,330
City of Evansville, Indiana	Evansville, IN	$97,001
City of Evansville, Indiana	Evansville, IN	$86,865
City of Evansville, Indiana	Evansville, IN	$60,424
Vincent House, Inc. (Vincent House)	Fort Wayne, IN	$105,888
Margr Alexander CHILD Center, Inc.	Fort Wayne, IN	$52,499
Vincent House, Inc. (Vincent House)	Fort Wayne, IN	$145,354
Vincent House, Inc. (Vincent House)	Fort Wayne, IN	$269,416
Fort Wayne Women's Bureau, Inc.	Fort Wayne, IN	$89,775
Cedars HOPE, Inc.	Fort Wayne, IN	$259,141
Cedars HOPE, Inc.	Fort Wayne, IN	$107,100
Young Women's Christian Association of Fort Wayne, Inc.	Fort Wayne, IN	$331,634
Hope House, Inc.	Fort Wayne, IN	$133,679
Hope House, Inc.	Fort Wayne, IN	$129,780
Pathfinder Services, Inc.	Huntington, IN	$432,054
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$177,801
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$206,038
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$329,400
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$123,900
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$46,116

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$242,466
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$229,504
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$150,860
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$299,268
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$368,550
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$180,761
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$101,461
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$267,300
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$214,912
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$185,580
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$1,836,000
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$143,520
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$242,264
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$55,200
City of Indianapolis	Indianapolis, IN	$509,352
State of Indiana	Indianapolis, IN	$98,520
LifeSpring, Inc.	Jeffersonville, IN	$235,571
Lafayette Transitional Housing Center, Inc.	Lafayette, IN	$226,013
Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Lawrenceburg, IN	$305,835
Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Lawrenceburg, IN	$322,576
Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Lawrenceburg, IN	$249,256
Open Door Community Services, Inc.	Muncie, IN	$349,263
Family Services of Delaware County, Indiana, Inc.	Muncie, IN	$448,853
Salvation Army	New Albany, IN	$364,042
City of South Bend	South Bend, IN	$243,840
City of South Bend	South Bend, IN	$96,864
Center for the Homeless	South Bend, IN	$577,779
Life Treatment Centers, Inc	South Bend, IN	$210,878
Family & Children's Center Counseling and Development Services, Inc.	South Bend, IN	$403,000
AIDS Ministries/AIDS Assist of North Indiana, Inc.	South Bend, IN	$44,712
AIDS Ministries AIDS Assist of North Indiana, Inc.	South Bend, IN	$106,675
Mental Health Association in Vigo County	Terre Haute, IN	$628,425
Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Valparaiso, IN	$568,452
Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Valparaiso, IN	$249,501
Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Valparaiso, IN	$248,204
Kosciusko County Shelter for Abuse, Inc. dba The Braman Home	Warsaw, IN	$78,870
CLASS LTD.	Columbus, KS	$554,659
New Beginnings, Inc.	Hutchinson, KS	$377,147
Olathe Family Resource Center/Johnson County Community College	Olathe, KS	$118,200
Johnson County Interfaith Hospitality Network, Inc.	Overland Park, KS	$64,600
My Father's House Community Services	Paola, KS	$646,135
Johnson County Mental Health Center	Shawnee, KS	$252,420
City of Topeka, Kansas	Topeka, KS	$1,181,208
Community Resources Council of Shawnee County, Kansas, Inc.	Topeka, KS	$19,483
Kansas Housing Resources Center	Topeka, KS	$399,000
COMCARE of Sedgwick County	Wichita, KS	$123,283
City of Wichita Housing Authority	Wichita, KS	$668,676
Inter-Faith Ministries Wichita, Inc.	Wichita, KS	$129,150
United Way of the Plains	Wichita, KS	$259,993
Transitions, Inc.	Bellevue, KY	$238,088
Northern Kentucky Mental Health—Mental Retardation Regional Board, Inc.	Covington, KY	$166,667
Welcome House of No. KY, Inc.	Covington, KY	$469,110
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$103,884
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$315,547
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$31,246
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$64,115
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$103,884
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$17,184
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$154,629
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$750,000
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$193,257
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$121,752
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$333,333
Commonwealth of Kentucky	Frankfort, KY	$582,388
Chrysalis House, Inc.	Lexington, KY	$118,470
New Beginnings Bluegrass, Inc.	Lexington, KY	$61,676
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority	Lexington, KY	$173,976
Chrysalis House, Inc.	Lexington, KY	$100,685
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Louisville, KY	$120,000
House of Ruth, Inc.	Louisville, KY	$9,503
Volunteers of America of KY, Inc.	Louisville, KY	$199,043

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	Louisville, KY	$476,964
Volunteers of America of KY, Inc.	Louisville, KY	$27,726
Schizophrenia Foundation, Kentucky Inc.	Louisville, KY	$17,369
Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	Louisville, KY	$27,216
Schizophrenia Foundation, Kentucky Inc.	Louisville, KY	$211,650
Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	Louisville, KY	$493,500
House of Ruth, Inc.	Louisville, KY	$29,400
Volunteers of America of KY, Inc.	Louisville, KY	$144,843
Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	Louisville, KY	$27,216
Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	Louisville, KY	$137,939
OASIS, Inc.	Owensboro, KY	$1,545,678
Hope House of Central Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	$58,246
Hope House of Central Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	$135,537
Office of Mental Health	Alexandria, LA	$205,758
Volunteers of America, Greater Baton Rouge, Inc.	Baton Rouge, LA	$179,583
Volunteers of America, Greater Baton Rouge, Inc.	Baton Rouge, LA	$173,105
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$181,648
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$32,467
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$196,735
The Church United for Community Development	Baton Rouge, LA	$410,302
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$82,151
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$39,900
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$64,394
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$93,164
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$96,769
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$63,419
Volunteers of America, Greater Baton Rouge, Inc.	Baton Rouge, LA	$135,184
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$102,558
City of Baton Rouge & Parish of East Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge, LA	$51,435
St. Mary Community Action Agency, Inc.	Franklin, LA	$64,496
St. Mary Community Action Agency, Inc.	Franklin, LA	$71,662
Responsibility House, Inc.	Gretna, LA	$134,145
Gulf Coast Teaching Family Services, Inc.	Gretna, LA	$593,684
Northlake Community Development	Hammond, LA	$178,000
Southeast Spouse Abuse Program	Hammond, LA	$144,298
Southeastern Louisiana University	Hammond, LA	$148,109
START Corporation	Houma, LA	$493,684
Eastern Louisiana Mental Health System	Jackson, LA	$157,791
Metropolitan Battered Women's Program, Inc.	Jefferson, LA	$113,344
Acadiana Outreach Center	Lafayette, LA	$35,641
Acadiana Outreach Center, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$147,870
Acadiana Outreach Center, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$56,025
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$301,599
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$105,263
Lafayette Catholic Service Centers, Inc.	Lafayette, LA	$290,761
Volunteers of America, Greater Baton Rouge	Lake Charles, LA	$180,015
Southwestern Louisiana Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Lake Charles, LA	$52,454
Southwestern Louisiana Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Lake Charles, LA	$56,158
Volunteer Center Southwest Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	$116,484
Housing Authority of City of Lake Charles	Lake Charles, LA	$427,800
Vernon Community Action Council, Inc.	Leesville, LA	$70,092
Volunteers of America, Greater New Orleans	Mandeville, LA	$50,000
Volunteers of America, Greater New Orleans	Mandeville, LA	$575,955
Volunteers of America, Greater New Orleans	Mandeville, LA	$109,290
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$68,432
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$163,257
Volunteers of America, Greater New Orleans	Mandeville, LA	$158,726
Southeast Louisiana Hospital	Mandeville, LA	$80,134
Jefferson Parish Human Services Authority	Metairie, LA	$277,473
Young Women's Christian Association	Monroe, LA	$135,188
Young Women's Christian Association	Monroe, LA	$244,942
Young Women's Christian Association	Monroe, LA	$175,268
Covenant House New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$144,153
Catholic Charities Archdiocese of New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$99,418
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$567,401
Catholic Charities Archdiocese of New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$91,657
Catholic Charities Archdiocese of New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$123,422
Volunteers of America of Greater New Orleans, Inc.	New Orleans, LA	$470,234
City of New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$423,480
Volunteers of America of Greater New Orleans, Inc.	New Orleans, LA	$525,000
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$161,450

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$173,756
Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals	New Orleans, LA	$844,752
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$209,114
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$1,500,000
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$839,267
Covenant House New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$78,294
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$484,617
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$168,261
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$320,937
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$378,253
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$134,684
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$181,913
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$339,530
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$256,490
NAMI New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$153,421
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$356,942
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$104,201
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$240,828
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$196,452
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$280,326
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$900,000
Covenant House New Orleans	New Orleans, LA	$44,343
UNITY for the Homeless	New Orleans, LA	$83,431
Elisha Ministries	Ruston, LA	$306,410
Volunteers of America of North Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$154,795
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$263,209
Volunteers of America of North Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$103,775
Volunteers of America of North Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$100,736
YWCA of Northwest Louisiana, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$96,394
Shreveport SRO, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$65,240
Volunteers of America of North Louisiana	Shreveport, LA	$747,779
Shreveport SRO, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$142,712
Caddo Parish School Board	Shreveport, LA	$89,237
Providence House	Shreveport, LA	$155,555
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$301,902
Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse	Shreveport, LA	$250,000
Providence House	Shreveport, LA	$91,536
Philadelphia Center	Shreveport, LA	$176,400
Community Support Programs, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$77,075
Shreveport SRO, Inc.	Shreveport, LA	$227,816
United Way of Greater Attleboro/Taunton, Inc.	Attleboro, MA	$416,695
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$363,384
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$221,472
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$371,971
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$120,342
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$233,009
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$173,726
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$227,607
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$88,733
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$228,739
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$350,736
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$175,332
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$232,987
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$1,543,789
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$101,302
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$228,522
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$733,271
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$259,141
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$141,053
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$509,617
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$208,357
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$334,551
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$364,419
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$522,779
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$184,560
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$99,293
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$523,501
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$276,067
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$691,272
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$528,179
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$133,392

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$151,987
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$271,842
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$339,016
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$1,024,140
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$594,936
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$69,360
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$660,772
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$281,553
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$773,664
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$441,336
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$181,440
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$509,284
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$83,333
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$500,760
Pine Street Inn, Inc.	Boston, MA	$56,000
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$183,050
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$145,920
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$297,006
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$126,899
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$487,502
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$37,765
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$209,977
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$145,273
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$720,504
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$328,406
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$358,020
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$190,575
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$73,500
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$50,400
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$74,775
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$237,130
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$1,616,640
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$114,988
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$254,290
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$40,788
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$45,618
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$123,633
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$49,357
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$69,774
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$238,109
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$193,860
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$114,692
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$918,583
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$195,004
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$128,918
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$195,237
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$147,360
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$478,655
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$750,000
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$267,672
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$113,558
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$999,999
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$127,356
Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Boston, MA	$41,088
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$441,713
City of Boston Acting by and through its Public Facilities Commission	Boston, MA	$183,825
Brockton Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Brockton, MA	$59,850
Brockton Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Brockton, MA	$93,371
Brockton Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Brockton, MA	$42,169
Brookline Community Mental Health Center	Brookline, MA	$70,797
Cambridge Housing Authority	Cambridge, MA	$118,200
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$29,601
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$13,020
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$51,042
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$137,815
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$57,750
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$55,141
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$990,706
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$36,960
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$20,365
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$58,530

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$170,336
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$32,640
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$61,002
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$9,916
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$35,414
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$14,386
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$81,763
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$116,316
City of Cambridge, Massachusetts	Cambridge, MA	$33,600
Vinfen Corporation	Cambridge, MA	$73,910
Friends of Baybridge, Inc.	East Sandwich, MA	$280,480
City of Fall River, Massachusetts	Fall River, MA	$378,408
Alcoholism Council of Greater Fall River, Inc.	Fall River, MA	$141,750
The Salvation Army	Fall River, MA	$184,374
Moveable Feast, Inc.	Fall River, MA	$309,724
Steppingstone, Inc.	Fall River, MA	$329,092
Veteran Hospice Homestead Inc.	Fitchburg, MA	$30,218
Twin Cities Community Development Corp	Fitchburg, MA	$92,418
South Middlesex Opportunity Council, Inc	Framingham, MA	$343,441
Advocates, Inc.	Framingham, MA	$461,186
Advocates, Inc.	Framingham, MA	$333,322
Advocates, Inc.	Framingham, MA	$165,608
Advocates, Inc.	Framingham, MA	$33,072
South Middlesex Legal Services, Inc.	Framingham, MA	$48,507
South Middlesex Opportunity Council, Inc	Framingham, MA	$79,128
South Middlesex Opportunity Council, Inc	Framingham, MA	$232,300
Action, Inc	Gloucester, MA	$120,120
Construct, Inc.	Great Barrington, MA	$41,200
Veteran's Northeast Outreach Center, Inc	Haverhill, MA	$135,828
City of Haverhill	Haverhill, MA	$250,690
Emmaus Inc.	Haverhill, MA	$102,100
Housing Assistance Corporation	Hyannis, MA	$44,397
Massachusetts Department of Mental Health	Hyannis, MA	$169,680
Barnstable Housing Authority	Hyannis, MA	$44,112
Barnstable Housing Authority	Hyannis, MA	$296,940
Housing Assistance Corporation	Hyannis, MA	$107,444
South Shore Housing Development Corporation	Kingston, MA	$40,000
The Psychological Center, Inc.	Lawrence, MA	$140,389
YWCA of Greater Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$375,900
Lazarus House, Inc.	Lawrence, MA	$232,000
City of Lawrence	Lawrence, MA	$80,665
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$70,718
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$96,640
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$420,939
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$189,283
City of Lowell	Lowell, MA	$42,341
Lynn Shelter Association	Lynn, MA	$207,283
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development (LHAND)	Lynn, MA	$803,091
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development (LHAND)	Lynn, MA	$664,200
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development (LHAND)	Lynn, MA	$123,900
Lynn Housing Authority & Neighborhood Development (LHAND)	Lynn, MA	$738,240
Tri-City Community Action Program, Inc.	Malden, MA	$183,961
Tri-City Community Action Program, Inc.	Malden, MA	$527,892
Tri-City Community Action Program, Inc.	Malden, MA	$618,363
Tri-City Mental Health Center, Inc.	Medford, MA	$173,505
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$32,761
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$265,079
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$398,600
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$894,222
City of New Bedford	New Bedford, MA	$193,638
Turning Point, Inc.	Newburyport, MA	$280,745
City of Newton	Newton, MA	$8,604
The Second Step, Inc.	Newtonville, MA	$94,045
The Second Step, Inc.	Newtonville, MA	$216,474
Family Life Support Center, Inc.	North Adams, MA	$136,492
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$242,300
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$99,250
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$94,500
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$72,450
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$80,352
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$68,250

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$104,996
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$199,569
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$55,494
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$109,453
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$41,125
City of Northampton	Northampton, MA	$726,240
North Shore Community Action Program	Peabody, MA	$142,410
Berkshire Community Action Council, Inc.	Pittsfield, MA	$48,075
American Red Cross	Pittsfield, MA	$132,290
City of Quincy	Quincy, MA	$661,500
City of Quincy	Quincy, MA	$259,530
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$284,328
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$84,852
Quincy Housing Authority	Quincy, MA	$129,240
City of Quincy	Quincy, MA	$713,475
Crombie St. United Church of Christ-dba Salem Mission	Salem, MA	$685,860
Somerville Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Somerville, MA	$80,022
Somerville Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Somerville, MA	$1,280,489
CASPAR, Inc.	Somerville, MA	$330,809
CASPAR, Inc.	Somerville, MA	$78,276
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$99,923
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$150,551
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$83,232
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$132,750
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$96,694
City of Springfield	Springfield, MA	$69,360
City of Taunton	Taunton, MA	$183,299
Community Counseling of Bristol County, Inc.	Taunton, MA	$106,146
Citizens for Affordable Housing in Newton Development, Org., Inc.	West Newton, MA	$12,616
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$117,667
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$146,490
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$750,000
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$468,227
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$633,061
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$252,360
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$353,375
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$133,416
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$97,384
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$61,250
Community Healthlink, Inc.	Worcester, MA	$363,930
Community Healthlink, Inc.	Worcester, MA	$246,979
City of Worcester, MA	Worcester, MA	$178,647
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$104,999
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$49,700
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$136,816
Central Massachusetts Housing Alliance, Inc	Worcester, MA	$262,500
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$488,821
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$188,940
Anne Arundel County, MD	Annapolis, MD	$129,499
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$34,032
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$114,805
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$252,193
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$243,192
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$35,343
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$136,836
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$107,117
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$166,656
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$164,600
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$58,800
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$314,796
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$377,031
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$42,540
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$113,462
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$1,421,236
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$34,341
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$255,240
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$335,087
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$251,745
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$55,348
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$150,032
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$45,379

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$102,062
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$40,656
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$152,460
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$117,066
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$23,520
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$109,032
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$205,926
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$308,505
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$588,900
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$297,780
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$77,328
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$170,160
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$85,080
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$272,256
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$101,640
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$263,520
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$984,564
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$255,240
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$1,752,030
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$51,108
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$270,648
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$146,044
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$214,026
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$282,542
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$207,773
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$193,974
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$85,080
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$103,104
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$118,836
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$67,555
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$155,548
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$46,236
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$212,700
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$170,160
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$74,002
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$280,764
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$100,044
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$91,116
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$37,344
Baltimore City	Baltimore City, MD	$38,128
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$183,750
YWCA of the Greater Baltimore Area, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$173,250
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$291,270
Nehemiah House, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$57,295
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$251,783
Baltimore Mental Health Systems, Inc.	Baltimore, MD	$369,600
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$80,339
Department of Health	Baltimore, MD	$204,375
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$315,000
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$322,527
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$109,200
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$77,612
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$248,745
Baltimore County, Maryland	Baltimore, MD	$15,750
Harford County, Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$81,962
Harford County, Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$90,223
Harford County, Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$54,930
Harford County, Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$139,839
Harford County, Maryland	Bel Air, MD	$82,178
National Center for Children and Families (NCCF)	Bethesda, MD	$623,191
United Communities Against Poverty, Inc.	Capitol Heights, MD	$190,459
Crossroads Community, Inc.	Centreville, MD	$117,056
Crossroads Community, Inc.	Centreville, MD	$179,056
Howard County, Maryland	Columbia, MD	$68,736
Howard County, Maryland	Columbia, MD	$153,537
Howard County, Maryland	Columbia, MD	$227,758
YMCA of Cumberland (MD)	Cumberland, MD	$146,815
YMCA of Cumberland (MD)	Cumberland, MD	$111,872
YMCA of Cumberland (MD)	Cumberland, MD	$92,610
Mid-Shore Mental Health Systems	Easton, MD	$62,000
Cecil County Health Department	Elkton, MD	$79,620

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Cecil County Department of Social Services	Elkton, MD	$74,736
Heartly House, Inc.	Frederick, MD	$35,074
City of Frederick	Frederick, MD	$65,897
City of Frederick	Frederick, MD	$135,536
Advocates for Homeless Families, Inc.	Frederick, MD	$24,008
City of Gaithersburg	Gaithersburg, MD	$128,247
Washington County Community Action Council, Inc.	Hagerstown, MD	$133,333
Washington County Department of Social Services	Hagerstown, MD	$45,839
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$53,604
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$157,224
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$93,612
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$42,000
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$175,788
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$162,516
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$79,884
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$684,840
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$589,920
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$120,456
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$67,644
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$236,244
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$987,972
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$127,104
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$84,552
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Jessup, MD	$107,496
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$390,549
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$79,533
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$267,725
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$715,140
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$385,855
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$694,710
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$526,824
Housing Opportunities Commission of Montgomery County, MD	Kensington, MD	$498,143
Prince Georges County Government	Landover, MD	$438,247
Prince Georges County Government	Landover, MD	$116,193
Prince Georges County Government	Landover, MD	$374,850
Prince Georges County Government	Landover, MD	$449,617
Volunteers of America Chesapeake, Inc.	Lanham, MD	$225,114
Laurel Advocacy & Referral Services, Inc.	Laurel, MD	$47,265
Laurel Advocacy & Referral Services, Inc.	Laurel, MD	$61,963
Laurel Advocacy & Referral Services, Inc.	Laurel, MD	$119,776
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$40,630
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$43,192
St. Mary's County Housing Authority	Leonardtown, MD	$107,336
Garrett County Community Action Committee (GCCAC)	Oakland, MD	$149,885
Garrett County Community Action Committee (GCCAC)	Oakland, MD	$52,473
Garrett County Community Action Committee (GCCAC)	Oakland, MD	$37,424
Garrett County Community Action Committee (GCCAC)	Oakland, MD	$40,485
Board of County Commissioners of Calvert County	Prince Frederick, MD	$19,210
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Rockville, MD	$500,961
Montgomery Avenue Women's Center	Rockville, MD	$138,853
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Rockville, MD	$823,853
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Rockville, MD	$359,231
Rehabilitation Systems, Inc.	Seabrook, MD	$750,000
Rehabilitation Systems, Inc.	Seabrook, MD	$359,595
Rehabilitation Systems, Inc.	Seabrook, MD	$129,393
Human Service Programs of Carroll County, Inc.	Westminster, MD	$44,000
Human Service Programs of Carroll County, Inc.	Westminster, MD	$101,862
Human Service Programs of Carroll County, Inc.	Westminster, MD	$86,136
Somerset County Health Department	Westover, MD	$325,956
York County Shelter Programs, Inc.	Alfred, ME	$329,680
York County Shelter Programs, Inc.	Alfred, ME	$99,712
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$407,940
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$258,276
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$403,356
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$76,656
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$90,792
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$142,620
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$31,452
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$49,752
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$786,600
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$147,180

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Me. Dept of Health & Human Services	Augusta, ME	$307,100
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$83,736
Bread of Life Ministries	Augusta, ME	$320,000
Maine State Housing Authority	Augusta, ME	$161,148
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$737,940
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$230,352
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$680,436
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$103,080
State of Maine	Augusta, ME	$222,360
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$16,758
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$170,268
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$18,600
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$9,975
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$61,632
City of Bangor Maine	Bangor, ME	$118,422
Shaw House	Bangor, ME	$180,000
Tedford Shelter	Brunswick, ME	$437,956
YMCA of Greater Portland	Portland, ME	$130,179
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$70,016
Portland West Inc.	Portland, ME	$70,652
MAPS /My Choice	Portland, ME	$71,355
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$15,443
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$158,127
City of Portland	Portland, ME	$27,971
Ingraham-Randall	Portland, ME	$82,357
Rumford Group Homes, Inc.	Rumford, ME	$280,000
Counseling Services, Inc.	Saco, ME	$128,820
Community Concepts, Incorporated	South Paris, ME	$41,289
Coastal Enterprises, Inc.	Wiscasset, ME	$30,450
Lenawee Emergency Affordable Housing Corp.	Adrian, MI	$3,000
Allegan County Resource Development Committee Inc.	Allegan, MI	$84,800
Shelter, Inc.	Alpena, MI	$116,193
Shelter, Inc.	Alpena, MI	$131,286
Shelter, Inc.	Alpena, MI	$17,921
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	Ann Arbor, MI	$256,680
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	Ann Arbor, MI	$71,760
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	Ann Arbor, MI	$139,536
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	Ann Arbor, MI	$219,840
Avalon Housing, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI	$83,334
Michigan Ability Partners	Ann Arbor, MI	$41,316
Michigan Ability Partners	Ann Arbor, MI	$401,552
Michigan Ability Partners	Ann Arbor, MI	$86,534
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	Ann Arbor, MI	$273,792
Bay Area Women's Center	Bay City, MI	$106,488
Southwest Michigan Community Action Agency	Benton Harbor, MI	$64,238
Community Homes, Inc.	Berkley, MI	$194,757
Common Ground Sanctuary	Bloomfield Hills, MI	$243,996
Human Development Commission	Caro, MI	$239,014
SIREN/Eaton Shelter, Inc.	Charlotte, MI	$113,400
Community Mental Health Board of Clinton, Eaton and Ingham Counties	Charlotte, MI	$33,250
SIREN/Eaton Shelter, Inc.	Charlotte, MI	$100,000
SIREN/Eaton Shelter, Inc.	Charlotte, MI	$63,000
Housing Services for Eaton County	Charlotte, MI	$141,408
Housing Services for Eaton County	Charlotte, MI	$55,600
Training and Treatment Innovations, Inc.	Clawson, MI	$440,452
The Salvation Army	Clinton Township, MI	$125,173
Macomb Homeless Coalition	Clinton Township, MI	$57,848
Branch County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Coldwater, MI	$14,422
Branch County Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Coldwater, MI	$20,700
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$217,208
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$426,160
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$420,000
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$91,928
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$400,233
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$88,675
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$709,837
Mariners Inn	Detroit, MI	$243,585
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$1,057,722
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$406,741
CareGivers	Detroit, MI	$756,140
Cass Community Social Services, Inc.	Detroit, MI	$108,743

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Salvation Army	Detroit, MI	$518,125
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$124,142
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$129,540
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$854,082
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$146,930
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$148,530
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$188,725
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$84,980
Detroit Central City	Detroit, MI	$990,567
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$105,546
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$75,844
Coalition on Temporary Shelter	Detroit, MI	$660,686
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$622,668
Charter County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$443,940
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$218,768
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$447,581
City of Detroit	Detroit, MI	$907,156
United Community Housing Coalition	Detroit, MI	$632,613
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$201,576
Detroit Rescue Mission Ministries	Detroit, MI	$759,594
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$209,811
Neighborhood Legal Services Michigan	Detroit, MI	$853,435
Charter County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$142,015
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Detroit, MI	$138,410
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$918,428
Charter County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$393,074
Charter County of Wayne	Detroit, MI	$125,183
Travelers Aid Society of Metropolitan Detroit	Detroit, MI	$89,617
Mariners Inn	Detroit, MI	$289,004
Freedom House	Detroit, MI	$287,891
Metro Housing Partnerships	Flint, MI	$50,272
Metro Housing Partnerships	Flint, MI	$24,862
Metro Housing Partnerships	Flint, MI	$63,696
Grand Rapids Housing Commission	Grand Rapids, MI	$226,900
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$228,233
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$249,855
County of Kent, Michigan	Grand Rapids, MI	$694,464
YWCA of Grand Rapids	Grand Rapids, MI	$386,579
Dwelling Place of Grand Rapids, Inc.	Grand Rapids, MI	$100,935
Dwelling Place of Grand Rapids, Inc.	Grand Rapids, MI	$52,660
Community Rebuilders	Grand Rapids, MI	$252,840
Community Rebuilders	Grand Rapids, MI	$260,310
The Salvation Army	Grand Rapids, MI	$228,488
Community Rebuilders	Grand Rapids, MI	$598,575
Genesis Non-Profit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$26,250
Heartside Nonprofit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$63,000
Heartside Nonprofit Housing Corporation	Grand Rapids, MI	$750,000
Inner City Christian Federation	Grand Rapids, MI	$38,810
County of Kent, Michigan	Grand Rapids, MI	$333,072
Dwelling Place of Grand Rapids, Inc.	Grand Rapids, MI	$75,055
County of Kent, Michigan	Grand Rapids, MI	$122,880
Heritage H.O.M.E.S., Inc.	Holland, MI	$231,321
Good Samaritan Ministries	Holland, MI	$394,110
County of Ottawa	Holland, MI	$99,540
Center for Women in Transition	Holland, MI	$92,336
Center for Women in Transition	Holland, MI	$37,517
Center for Women in Transition	Holland, MI	$80,214
Livingston County Community Mental Health Services Board	Howell, MI	$111,000
Community Action Agency	Jackson, MI	$186,627
Community Action Agency	Jackson, MI	$263,356
Community Action Agency	Jackson, MI	$4,160
Catholic Family Services	Kalamazoo, MI	$309,000
Kalamazoo County Public Housing Commission	Kalamazoo, MI	$152,520
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$345,800
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$71,933
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$93,509
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$127,116
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$450,000
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$166,464
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$102,264
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$225,072

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Michigan Departments of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$175,320
Michigan Departments of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$40,200
Michigan Departments of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$315,120
Michigan Departments of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$152,760
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$750,000
Michigan Family Independence Agency	Lansing, MI	$1,553,731
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$34,620
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$52,704
Peckham, Inc.	Lansing, MI	$146,877
Capital Area Community Services, Inc.	Lansing, MI	$93,809
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$971,640
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$53,760
Capital Area Community Services, Inc.	Lansing, MI	$106,791
City of Lansing	Lansing, MI	$72,002
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$632,472
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$441,648
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$220,344
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$88,440
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$191,064
State of Michigan	Lansing, MI	$166,104
Michigan Department of Community Health	Lansing, MI	$300,072
Community Care Services	Lincoln Park, MI	$451,891
Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin & Upper Michigan, Inc.	Marquette, MI	$104,027
Lutheran Social Services of Wisconsin & Upper Michigan, Inc.	Marquette, MI	$52,103
Macomb County Community Mental Health	Mt. Clemens, MI	$84,775
Senior Resources of West Michigan	Muskegon Heights, MI	$54,970
Community Mental Health Services of Muskegon County	Muskegon, MI	$303,610
West Michigan Therapy, Inc.	Muskegon, MI	$234,169
West Michigan Therapy, Inc.	Muskegon, MI	$40,000
Kalamazoo County Government	Nazareth, MI	$324,450
Kalamazoo Community Mental Health Services	Nazareth, MI	$749,271
First Step: Western Wayne County Project on Domestic Assault	Plymouth, MI	$175,926
Lighthouse of Oakland County, Inc.	Pontiac, MI	$309,319
Sacred Heart Rehabilitation Center, Inc	Port Huron, MI	$75,950
Sacred Heart Rehabilitation Center, Inc	Port Huron, MI	$118,263
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$119,678
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$163,539
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$53,760
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$347,240
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$244,148
Saginaw Housing Commission	Saginaw, MI	$26,880
Perfecting Community Development Corporation	Sterling Heights, MI	$160,080
Goodwill Industries of Northern Michigan, Inc.	Traverse City, MI	$155,770
Foundation for Mental Health—Grand Traverse/Leelanau	Traverse City, MI	$72,450
Goodwill Industries of Northern Michigan, Inc.	Traverse City, MI	$9,600
Foundation for Mental Health—Grand Traverse/Leelanau	Traverse City, MI	$174,560
Community Housing Network, Inc.	Troy, MI	$635,036
Community Housing Network, Inc.	Troy, MI	$611,738
Community Housing Network, Inc.	Troy, MI	$929,899
Community Housing Network, Inc.	Troy, MI	$361,311
Wayne Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$240,104
Wayne Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$597,974
Wayne Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$297,977
Wayne Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$190,033
Wayne Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$606,274
Wayne Metropolitan Community Action Agency	Wyandotte, MI	$825,733
SOS Community Services, Inc.	Ypsilanti, MI	$1,181,942
SOS Community Services, Inc.	Ypsilanti, MI	$394,732
SOS Community Services, Inc.	Ypsilanti, MI	$248,415
SOS Community Services, Inc.	Ypsilanti, MI	$433,994
Listening Ear Crisis Center Project, Inc.	Alexandria, MN	$56,961
Bi-County Community Action Programs, Inc.	Bemidji, MN	$62,000
New Pathways, Inc.	Cambridge, MN	$267,878
New Pathways, Inc.	Cambridge, MN	$105,265
Women's Transitional Housing Coalition, Inc.	Duluth, MN	$97,758
Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Duluth, MN	Duluth, MN	$82,584
The Salvation Army	Duluth, MN	$158,545
The Salvation Army	Duluth, MN	$75,644
Grant County	Elbow Lake, MN	$347,460
Koostasca Community Action, Inc.	Grand Rapids, MN	$31,971
Minnesota Veterans Home—Hastings	Hastings, MN	$20,000

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Houston County Women's Resources	Hokah, MN	$105,996
Itasca County Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Itasca, MN	$139,860
Partners for Affordable Housing	Mankato, MN	$24,195
Blue Earth County	Mankato, MN	$301,800
Minnesota Assistance Council for Veterans	Minneapolis, MN	$57,929
Cabrini House	Minneapolis, MN	$355,953
Lutheran Social Service of Minnesota	Minneapolis, MN	$32,272
Minnesota Assistance Council for Veterans	Minneapolis, MN	$28,003
Freeport West, Inc.	Minneapolis, MN	$242,886
Elim Transitional Housing, Inc.	Minneapolis, MN	$87,488
The Salvation Army	Minneapolis, MN	$493,569
Community Involvement Programs	Minneapolis, MN	$76,440
Simpson Housing Services, Inc.	Minneapolis, MN	$306,957
RESOURCE, Inc.	Minneapolis, MN	$572,063
Pillsbury United Communities	Minneapolis, MN	$561,421
Freeport West, Inc.	Minneapolis, MN	$406,284
Minnesota Veterans Home Board	Minneapolis, MN	$455,166
Indigenous Peoples Task Force	Minneapolis, MN	$23,976
Community Involvement Programs	Minneapolis, MN	$301,938
Freeport West, Inc.	Minneapolis, MN	$81,746
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of St. Paul and Minneapolis	Minneapolis, MN	$584,242
Tubman Family Alliance	Minneapolis, MN	$194,170
Hennepin County	Minnetonka, MN	$503,868
Hennepin County	Minnetonka, MN	$347,549
Churches United for the Homeless	Moorhead, MN	$46,305
Moorhead Public Housing Agency	Moorhead, MN	$155,040
Lakes & Pines Community Action Council, Inc.	Mora, MN	$21,000
Human Services, Inc. in Washington Co.	Oakdale, MN	$42,392
Olmsted County	Rochester, MN	$42,960
Olmsted County	Rochester, MN	$77,940
Olmsted County (MN) Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Rochester, MN	$741,120
Washington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Saint Paul Park, MN	$167,040
Scott-Carver-Dakota CAP Agency, Inc.	Shakopee, MN	$54,928
Housing Coalition of the St. Cloud Area	St. Cloud, MN	$242,766
Housing Coalition of the St. Cloud Area	St. Cloud, MN	$81,410
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of St. Cloud (Minnesota)	St. Cloud, MN	$352,200
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of St. Cloud (Minnesota)	St. Cloud, MN	$82,548
Perspectives, Inc.	St. Louis Park, MN	$171,500
Perspectives, Inc.	St. Louis Park, MN	$171,173
Housing Authority of St. Louis Park	St. Louis Park, MN	$156,240
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$19,999
Minnesota Department of Human Services	St. Paul, MN	$45,108
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$1,420,200
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$49,999
Minnesota Department of Human Services	St. Paul, MN	$119,030
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$228,900
People Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$36,783
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$109,488
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$860,820
RS Eden	St. Paul, MN	$298,200
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$19,999
Lutheran Social Service of MN	St. Paul, MN	$125,753
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$25,000
Ramsey County	St. Paul, MN	$771,540
People Incorporated	St. Paul, MN	$126,000
Mental Health Resources	St. Paul, MN	$169,931
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$49,999
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$49,999
New Foundations, Inc.	St. Paul, MN	$320,144
Emma Norton Services	St. Paul, MN	$151,725
Emma Norton Services	St. Paul, MN	$81,171
St. Paul Urban League	St. Paul, MN	$26,137
Breaking Free, Inc.	St. Paul, MN	$100,000
RSEden	St. Paul, MN	$47,880
Minnesota Department of Human Services	St. Paul, MN	$186,943
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$1,061,677
Young Women's Christian Association of St. Paul, MN	St. Paul, MN	$91,573
Theresa Living Center	St. Paul, MN	$62,400
Theresa Living Center	St. Paul, MN	$96,193
Peta Wakan Tipi	St. Paul, MN	$50,467
The Family Place	St. Paul, MN	$66,675

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Face to Face Health and Counseling Services, Inc.	St. Paul, MN	$109,127
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St. Paul, MN	$86,000
Women's Advocates, Inc.	St. Paul, MN	$90,262
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$174,360
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$578,616
Southern Minnesota Regional Legal Services	St. Paul, MN	$98,960
Metropolitan Council	St. Paul, MN	$137,544
Mental Health Resources, Inc.	St. Paul, MN	$350,709
Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	St.. Paul, MN	$49,999
Violence Intervention Project, Inc.	Thief River Falls, MN	$11,667
Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency, Inc.	Virginia, MN	$25,856
Range Mental Health Center	Virginia, MN	$37,935
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Virginia, Minnesota	Virginia, MN	$17,688
Dakota County	West St. Paul, MN	$395,767
Dakota County	West St. Paul, MN	$77,208
Guild Incorporated	West St. Paul, MN	$199,200
Dakota County	West St. Paul, MN	$45,780
Three Rivers Community Action, Inc.	Zumbrota, MN	$146,699
North East Community Action Corporation	Bowling Green, MO	$442,780
Church Army, Inc.	Branson, MO	$227,500
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$1,051,373
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$431,146
Saint Louis County Government	Clayton, MO	$500,186
Phoenix Programs, Inc.	Columbia, MO	$71,122
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, Missouri	Columbia, MO	$254,244
Phoenix Programs, Inc.	Columbia, MO	$222,340
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$1,391,088
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$757,260
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson City, MO	$325,680
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson, MO	$657,168
Missouri Department of Mental Health	Jefferson, MO	$1,380,216
The Salvation Army	Joplin, MO	$80,000
Economic Security Corporation of Southwest Area	Joplin, MO	$37,426
Economic Security Corporation of Southwest Area	Joplin, MO	$68,603
Family Self—Help Center, Inc.	Joplin, MO	$63,000
Ozark Center, Inc.	Joplin, MO	$249,373
City of Kansas City Missouri	Kansas City, MO	$48,300
Community LINC	Kansas City, MO	$110,058
Benilde Hall	Kansas City, MO	$100,380
Rose Brooks Center, Inc.	Kansas City, MO	$40,420
Mid America Assistance Coalition	Kansas City, MO	$43,358
Sheffield Place	Kansas City, MO	$163,079
City of Kansas City Missouri	Kansas City, MO	$197,815
City of Kansas City Missouri	Kansas City, MO	$32,935
City of Kansas City Missouri	Kansas City, MO	$978,360
Truman Medical Center, Incorporated	Kansas City, MO	$513,246
Restart, Inc.	Kansas City, MO	$642,482
City of Kansas City Missouri	Kansas City, MO	$125,891
City of Kansas City Missouri	Kansas City, MO	$108,395
City of Kansas City Missouri	Kansas City, MO	$74,569
Catholic Charities Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc.	Kansas City, MO	$112,068
Mid America Assistance Coalition	Kansas City, MO	$56,000
Family Counseling Center	Kennett, MO	$674,566
Missouri Valley Community Action Agency Housing Partnership	Marshall, MO	$554,488
Pettis County Community Partnership, Inc.	Sedalia, MO	$344,276
Alternative Opportunities, Inc.	Springfield, MO	$300,000
The Salvation Army	Springfield, MO	$148,882
Ozarks Area Community Action Corporation	Springfield, MO	$26,655
The Kitchen Inc.	Springfield, MO	$393,750
Housing Authority of the City of Springfield	Springfield, MO	$59,928
Housing Authority of the City of Springfield	Springfield, MO	$41,808
Catholic Charities Kansas City - St. Joseph, Inc.	St. Joseph, MO	$804,762
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$329,895
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$200,587
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$151,634
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$647,083
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$239,054
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$80,302
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$261,643
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$109,673
City of St. Louis	St. Louis, MO	$304,723

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Praise Keepers	Tipton, MO	$318,049
Johnson County HELP	Warrensburg, MO	$321,879
Back Bay Mission	Biloxi, MS	$195,189
So MS AIDS Task Force	Biloxi, MS	$135,227
Gulf Coast Women's Center for Nonviolence, Inc.	Biloxi, MS	$111,888
Back Bay Mission	Biloxi, MS	$90,560
Gulf Coast Women's Center for Nonviolence, Inc.	Biloxi, MS	$50,260
AIDS Services Coalition	Hattiesburg, MS	$398,375
Pine Grove Behavioral Health	Hattiesburg, MS	$787,500
New Dimensions Development Foundation	Jackson, MS	$159,238
Hinds County Human Resource Agency	Jackson, MS	$63,755
Stewpot Community Services, Inc.	Jackson, MS	$49,392
Country Oaks Recovery Center, Inc.	Jackson, MS	$760,066
Hinds County Human Resource Agency	Jackson, MS	$118,650
Catholic Charities, Inc.	Jackson, MS	$169,048
Mississippi United to End Homeless CoC	Meridian, MS	$490,558
Pearl River Information & Drug Infor.	Picayune, MS	$71,820
Lift, Incorporated	Tupelo, MS	$1,092,263
District 7 Human Resources Development Council (HRDC)	Billings, MT	$63,000
District IV Human Resources Development Council	Harve, MT	$16,800
Helena Housing Authority	Helena, MT	$74,424
Helena Housing Authority	Helena, MT	$74,424
Florence Crittenton Home and Services	Helena, MT	$373,639
The Samaritan House, Inc.	Kalispell, MT	$63,000
Missoula Housing Authority	Missoula, MT	$304,200
Missoula County	Missoula, MT	$393,330
Missoula Housing Authority	Missoula, MT	$126,360
Missoula County	Missoula, MT	$65,761
Missoula County	Missoula, MT	$35,240
Missoula Housing Authority	Missoula, MT	$847,440
Sanders County For Families	Thompson Falls, MT	$56,964
Christians United Outreach Center	Asheboro, NC	$126,129
Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	Asheville, NC	$368,160
Affordable Housing Coalition	Asheville, NC	$263,137
Hospitality House of Asheville, Inc.	Asheville, NC	$182,886
Western Highlands A Local Management Entity	Asheville, NC	$216,744
New River Behavioral Healthcare	Boone, NC	$82,779
Opposing Abuse with Services, Information & Shelter, Inc. (Oasis, Inc.)	Boone, NC	$208,554
Watauga Crisis Assistance Network * * * A Faith Based Ministries/WeCan	Boone, NC	$87,536
Alamance-Caswell Area MH/DD/SA	Burlington, NC	$321,720
Residential Treatment Services Alamance, Inc.	Burlington, NC	$150,000
Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc	Carthage, NC	$240,792
OPC Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities & Substance Abuse Authority	Chapel Hill, NC	$109,152
Mecklenburg Area Mental Health Authority	Charlotte, NC	$316,764
Mecklenburg Area Mental Health Authority	Charlotte, NC	$785,040
Mecklenburg Area Mental Health Authority	Charlotte, NC	$44,363
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$53,980
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$63,000
Mecklenburg County Health Department Homeless Support Services	Charlotte, NC	$145,136
Community Link Programs of Traveler's Aid	Charlotte, NC	$224,682
Community Link Programs of Traveler's Aid	Charlotte, NC	$234,984
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$52,867
The Salvation Army A Georgia Corporation	Charlotte, NC	$87,500
Mecklenburg Area Mental Health Authority	Charlotte, NC	$405,396
Mecklenburg Area Mental Health Authority	Charlotte, NC	$307,560
The Salvation Army A Georgia Corporation	Charlotte, NC	$226,646
Hope Haven, Inc.	Charlotte, NC	$383,500
Genesis Home	Durham, NC	$525,000
AIDS Community Residence Association, Inc.	Durham, NC	$135,005
Genesis Home	Durham, NC	$60,138
New Directors For Downtown, Inc.	Durham, NC	$366,775
Humans United Giving Greater Services	Fayetteville, NC	$247,019
Pathways Services, Inc.	Gastonia, NC	$77,640
Pathways Services, Inc.	Gastonia, NC	$335,580
As One Ministries, Inc.	Gastonia, NC	$191,520
Gaston County Interfaith Hospitality Network, Inc.	Gastonia, NC	$38,850
Greensboro Urban Ministry	Greensboro, NC	$36,750
Greensboro Urban Ministry	Greensboro, NC	$42,000
The Sevant Center, Inc.	Greensboro, NC	$59,481
Mary's House, Inc.	Greensboro, NC	$135,982
The Christian Counseling and Wellness Group, Inc.	Greensboro, NC	$88,007

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Youth Focus, Inc.	Greensboro, NC	$155,100
Greensboro Housing Authority	Greensboro, NC	$754,860
The Salvation Army A Georgia Corporation	High Point, NC	$29,454
Alcohol and Drugs Services of Guilford	High Point, NC	$46,048
Open Doors Ministries of High Point, Inc.	High Point, NC	$54,062
Family Service of the Piedmont, Inc.	Jamestown, NC	$102,829
Family Service of the Piedmont, Inc.	Jamestown, NC	$47,293
Ashe County Department of Social Services	Jefferson, NC	$25,000
Next Step Ministries, Inc.	Kernersville, NC	$37,800
Neuse Center for MH/DD/SAS Authority	New Bern, NC	$127,860
Community Alternatives For Supportive Abodes	Raleigh, NC	$188,248
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$109,872
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$305,664
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$209,676
Community Alternatives For Supportive Abodes	Raleigh, NC	$100,353
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$165,114
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$47,808
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$217,572
Wake County Human Services	Raleigh, NC	$757,080
Pan Lutheran Ministries of Wake County, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$31,070
Haven House, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$32,704
North Carolina Housing Coalition, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$52,583
Pan Lutheran Ministries of Wake County, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$51,265
Community Alternatives For Supportive Abodes	Raleigh, NC	$173,208
Mental Health Association in North Carolina, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$124,399
North Carolina Housing Coalition, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$25,187
Passage Home, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$190,890
Passage Home, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$110,308
North Carolina Housing Coalition, Inc.	Raleigh, NC	$26,880
The New Reidsville Housing Authority	Reidsville, NC	$133,020
United Community Ministries	Rocky Mount, NC	$88,200
United Community Ministries	Rocky Mount, NC	$262,710
Cleveland County Abuse Prevention Council	Shelby, NC	$111,473
Good Shepherd Ministries	Wilmington, NC	$53,536
Housing Authority of the City of Wilmington	Wilmington, NC	$111,936
Volunteers of America of the Carolinas, Inc.	Wilmington, NC	$82,854
Cape Fear Housing for Independent	Wilmington, NC	$286,142
First Fruit Ministries	Wilmington, NC	$114,968
Coastal Horizons Center, Inc.	Wilmington, NC	$82,455
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$752,400
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$14,663
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$98,123
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$49,614
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$57,750
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$46,475
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$51,811
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$47,545
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$31,500
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$90,511
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$47,250
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$70,206
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$56,829
City of Winston-Salem	Winston-Salem, NC	$121,212
Center Point Human Services	Winston-Salem, NC	$214,944
Share House, Inc.	Fargo, ND	$150,000
Fargo Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Fargo, ND	$160,656
Prairie Harvest Human Services Foundation	Grand Forks, ND	$170,000
Red River Valley Community Action	Grand Forks, ND	$464,782
Rehab Services, Inc.	Minot, ND	$317,477
North Dakota Association For Disabled	Williston, ND	$101,259
Panhandle Partnership for Health and Human Services	Chadron, NE	$94,399
Panhandle Partnership for Health and Human Services	Chadron, NE	$64,155
Heartland Family Service	Council Bluffs, NE	$71,523
Heartland Family Service	Council Bluffs, NE	$266,954
Heartland Family Service	Council Bluffs, NE	$84,214
Blue Valley Community Action, Inc.	Fairbury, NE	$479,261
Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska, Inc.	Kearney, NE	$90,718
CenterPointe	Lincoln, NE	$187,612
CenterPointe	Lincoln, NE	$443,273
CenterPointe	Lincoln, NE	$556,775
Lincoln Action Program	Lincoln, NE	$449,539

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
CEDARS Youth Services	Lincoln, NE	$130,707
St. Monica's	Lincoln, NE	$140,456
Catholic Social Services	Lincoln, NE	$93,683
Central Nebraska Community Services	Loup City, NE	$193,864
The Salvation Army	Omaha, NE	$138,897
Young Women's Christian Association	Omaha, NE	$38,037
Visiting Nurse Association	Omaha, NE	$81,314
Youth Emergency Services, Inc.	Omaha, NE	$75,881
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Omaha, Inc.	Omaha, NE	$213,234
Community Alliance Rehabilitation Services	Omaha, NE	$62,691
The Salvation Army	Omaha, NE	$58,020
Charles Drew Health Center, Inc.	Omaha, NE	$313,339
City of Omaha	Omaha, NE	$76,823
The Salvation Army	Omaha, NE	$146,695
Tri-County Action Program, Inc.	Berlin, NH	$188,568
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$217,772
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$251,249
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$90,047
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$14,154
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$99,632
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$195,285
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$236,866
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$47,504
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$42,098
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$394,855
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$714,780
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$112,953
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$12,779
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$116,524
State of New Hampshire	Concord, NH	$88,497
My Friend's Place	Dover, NH	$54,240
Behavioral Health & Development Services of Strafford County, Inc.	Dover, NH	$143,815
West Central Services, Inc.	Lebanon, NH	$66,038
Northern New Hampshire Mental Health and Developmental Services	Littleton, NH	$132,011
Southern New Hampshire Services	Manchester, NH	$32,273
Helping Hands Outreach Ministries, Inc.	Manchester, NH	$624,824
Families in Transition	Manchester, NH	$333,900
Marguerite's Placekick	Nashua, NH	$58,481
Harbor Homes, Inc.	Nashua, NH	$862,121
Harbor Homes, Inc.	Nashua, NH	$100,929
Nasha Housing Authority	Nashua, NH	$150,120
Harbor Homes, Inc.	Nashua, NH	$166,667
Greater Nashua Council on Alcoholism, Inc.	Nashua, NH	$60,083
Harbor Homes, Inc.	Nashua, NH	$59,546
Friends of Jean Webster, Inc.	Atlantic City, NJ	$834,202
Ocean Mental Health Services, Inc.	Bayville, NJ	$397,579
So. NJ Housing Corp. (ACSNJ)	Belimawr, NJ	$33,596
Counseling and Referral Services of Ocean, Inc.	Brick, NJ	$472,830
Burlington County Community Action Program	Burington, NJ	$14,172
RESPOND, INC.	Camden, NJ	$32,230
Camden County Council on Economic Opportunity, Inc.	Camden, NJ	$305,116
Camden County Council on Economic Opportunity, Inc.	Camden, NJ	$249,128
Center for Family Services	Camden, NJ	$97,800
AIDS Coalition of Southern NJ	Camden, NJ	$23,734
Dooley House, Inc.	Camden, NJ	$250,000
Lourdes Health Foundation	Camden, NJ	$256,069
South Jersey Behavioral Health Resources	Cherry Hill, NJ	$99,178
Interfaith Homeless Outreach Council (IHOC)	Cherry Hill, NJ	$27,148
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Inc.	Collingswood, NJ	$310,238
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Inc.	Collingswood, NJ	$90,252
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Inc.	Collingswood, NJ	$195,538
Volunteers of America Delaware Valley, Inc.	Collingswood, NJ	$310,533
Easter Seals Society of NJ, Inc.	East Brunswick, NJ	$63,473
EASTER SEALS SOCIETY OF NJ, INC	East Brunswick, NJ	$36,368
City of East Orange	East Orange, NJ	$1,627,020
Catholic Community Services	East Orange, NJ	$160,000
The City of East Orange, New Jersey	East Orange, NJ	$99,432
Urban Youth Development Corporation	East Orange, NJ	$117,588
Isaiah House, Inc.	East Orange, NJ	$712,845
East Orange General Hospital	East Orange, NJ	$816,689
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$116,298

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$60,663
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$244,800
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$801,900
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$535,428
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$385,876
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$178,395
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$285,012
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$410,328
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$522,496
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$173,705
County of Union	Elizabeth, NJ	$77,510
Housing Authority of Bergen County	Englewood, NJ	$415,800
Englewood Housing Authority	Englewood, NJ	$204,000
Vetgroup, Inc.	Forked River, NJ	$55,999
County of Monmouth	Freehold, NJ	$207,408
County of Monmouth	Freehold, NJ	$914,100
County of Monmouth	Freehold, NJ	$399,720
County of Bergen	Hackensack, NJ	$257,700
Bergen County Community Action Program, Inc.	Hackensack, NJ	$330,750
Advance Housing, Inc.	Hackensack, NJ	$550,094
The Lester A. Drenk Behavioral Health Center	Hainesport, NJ	$208,188
180, Turning Lives Around, Inc.	Hazlet, NJ	$599,168
Essex Business Training Institute	Irvington, NJ	$400,000
Irvington Housing Authority	Irvington, NJ	$661,500
WomenRising, Inc.	Jersey City, NJ	$644,268
Saint Joseph's Home	Jersey City, NJ	$558,534
Comprehensive Behavioral Healthcare, Inc.	Lyndhurst, NJ	$390,000
Homeless Solutions, Inc.	Morristown, NJ	$396,965
Jersey Battered Women's Service, Inc.	Morristown, NJ	$198,137
Homeless Solutions, Inc.	Morristown, NJ	$64,300
Homeless Solutions, Inc.	Morristown, NJ	$219,398
Mental Health Association of Morris County, Inc.	Mountain Lakes, NJ	$60,060
Family Service of Burlington County	Mt. Holly, NJ	$41,895
Transitional Housing Services, Inc.	Mt. Holly, NJ	$34,067
Transitional Housing Services, Inc.	Mt. Holly, NJ	$32,116
Middlesex Interfaith Partners with the Homeless	New Brunswick, NJ	$174,110
Project Live, Inc.	Newark, NJ	$125,575
YMCA of Newark & Vicinity	Newark, NJ	$1,037,557
The Apostles' House	Newark, NJ	$186,000
Positive Health Care, Incorporated	Newark, NJ	$840,000
Urban Renewal Corp	Newark, NJ	$211,697
Newark Emergency Services for Families, Inc.	Newark, NJ	$401,517
CHOICES, Inc.	Newark, NJ	$261,648
Paterson Coalition For Housing, Inc.	Paterson, NJ	$334,014
Hispanic Multi Purpose Service Center	Paterson, NJ	$108,530
Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Paterson, NJ	$408,000
NJ Home, Inc.	Paterson, NJ	$1,185,713
Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	Paterson, NJ	$509,280
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Metuchen	Perth Amboy, NJ	$247,662
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Metuchen	Perth Amboy, NJ	$964,440
Alternatives, Inc.	Raritan, NJ	$212,304
Alternatives, Inc.	Raritan, NJ	$101,279
Alternatives, Inc.	Raritan, NJ	$99,529
Salem County Inter-Agency Council of Human Services	Salem, NJ	$137,550
Ocean's Harbor House	Tom River, NJ	$52,500
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$112,344
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$50,000
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$24,507
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$38,990
Catholic Charities Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$69,218
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$342,500
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$50,000
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$205,000
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$68,420
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$39,940
New Jersey Department of Military and Veterans Affairs (Veterans Haven)	Trenton, NJ	$354,313
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$69,000
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$267,300
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$119,088
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$76,896
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$418,800

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$160,560
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$99,200
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$127,200
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$47,875
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$150,000
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$192,488
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$67,374
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$667,560
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$738,360
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$2,457
City of Trenton	Trenton, NJ	$139,920
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$67,521
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$140,172
New Jersey Association on Correction	Trenton, NJ	$230,038
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency	Trenton, NJ	$33,374
New Jersey Department of Community Affairs	Trenton, NJ	$694,980
LADACIN Network, Inc.	Wanamassa, NJ	$28,348
AAH of Bergen County, Inc.	Washington Township, NJ	$536,812
North Hudson Community Action Corporation	West New York, NJ	$424,888
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$223,710
Catholic Charities	Albuquerque, NM	$51,371
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$239,268
Barrett Foundation, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$97,447
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$811,272
Barrett Foundation, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$23,780
Catholic Charities	Albuquerque, NM	$241,154
Catholic Charities	Albuquerque, NM	$197,868
Albuquerque Health Care for the Homeless, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$135,267
City of Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM	$863,881
St. Martin's Hospitality Center	Albuquerque, NM	$115,500
Transitional Living Services, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$276,300
Women's Community Association	Albuquerque, NM	$42,097
Human Rights Advocacy, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$186,900
Transitional Living Services, Inc.	Albuquerque, NM	$105,000
Albuquerque Mental Health Housing Coalition	Albuquerque, NM	$749,490
Valencia Shelter for Victims Domestic Violence	Belen, NM	$320,000
San Juan County Partnership	Farmington, NM	$210,672
Community Action Agency of Southern New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	$97,000
Hacienda del Sol	Las Cruces, NM	$197,764
Samaritan House, Inc.	Las Vegas, NM	$193,420
Village of Los Lunas	Los Lunas, NM	$781,920
Haven House, Inc.	Rio Rancho, NM	$150,000
Esperanza Shelter For Battered Families, Inc.	Santa Fe, NM	$283,500
Youth Shelter and Family Services	Santa Fe, NM	$420,000
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe, NM	$115,164
City of Santa Fe	Santa Fe, NM	$186,336
St. Elizabeth Shelter Corporation	Santa Fe, NM	$218,141
Rural Clinics Community Mental Health Centers	Carson City, NV	$222,132
Vitality Center	Elko, NV	$555,250
Henderson Allied Community Advocates	Henderson, NV	$471,554
Clark County Social Service	Las Vegas, NV	$219,300
HELP Las Vegas Housing Corporation	Las Vegas, NV	$390,461
Nevada H.A.N.D., Inc.	Las Vegas, NV	$750,000
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Las Vegas, NV	$429,950
The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Las Vegas, NV	$666,502
Women's Development Center	Las Vegas, NV	$164,895
Women's Development Center	Las Vegas, NV	$238,571
Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.	Las Vegas, NV	$227,702
St. Vincent HELP Inc.	Las Vegas, NV	$360,209
Women's Development Center	Las Vegas, NV	$130,876
State of Nevada	Las Vegas, NV	$705,336
Northern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services (NNAMHS)	Nevada, NV	$346,200
Northern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services (NNAMHS)	Nevada, NV	$430,836
The Shade Tree	North Las Vegas, NV	$605,828
ReStart, Inc.	Reno, NV	$89,115
ReStart, Inc.	Reno, NV	$21,177
ReStart, Inc.	Reno, NV	$162,200
ReStart, Inc.	Reno, NV	$932,237
Northern Nevada Community Housing Resource Board	Reno, NV	$51,955
ReStart, Inc.	Reno, NV	$69,400
Homeless Action Committee, Inc.	Albany, NY	$209,922

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$55,224
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$133,752
State of New York	Albany, NY	$208,404
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$268,668
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$700,020
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$186,660
State of New York	Albany, NY	$154,920
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$149,328
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$83,100
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$228,504
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$1,419,420
State of New York	Albany, NY	$66,444
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$91,368
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$130,104
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$287,748
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$370,152
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$427,512
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$203,040
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$266,700
State of New York	Albany, NY	$81,180
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$432,576
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$217,944
State of New York	Albany, NY	$197,040
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$77,760
State of New York	Albany, NY	$350,556
State of New York	Albany, NY	$184,248
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$550,944
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$241,560
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$97,728
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$88,320
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$418,368
State of New York	Albany, NY	$191,376
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$124,488
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$159,972
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$175,680
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$159,480
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$266,352
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$94,020
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$33,540
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$157,008
State of New York	Albany, NY	$77,976
State of New York	Albany, NY	$185,712
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$67,320
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$71,988
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$105,000
Equinox, Inc.	Albany, NY	$163,281
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$171,960
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$137,772
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$178,116
NYS Office of Mental Health	Albany, NY	$249,684
Albany Housing Coalition, Inc.	Albany, NY	$190,000
Albany Housing Authority	Albany, NY	$944,760
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$66,024
Albany Housing Coalition, Inc.	Albany, NY	$63,000
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$45,720
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$163,608
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$328,284
Community Living Associates Program, Inc.	Albany, NY	$95,705
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$175,680
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$137,280
State of New York	Albany, NY	$244,200
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$175,680
NYS OASAS	Albany, NY	$152,844
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$109,800
State of New York, OASAS	Albany, NY	$145,212
Cayuga/Seneca Community Action Agency, Inc.	Auburn, NY	$179,613
Options for Independence, Inc.	Auburn, NY	$46,380
Options for Independence, Inc.	Auburn, NY	$221,924
Unity House of Cayuga County, Inc.	Auburn, NY	$357,252
Unity House of Cayuga County, Inc.	Auburn, NY	$67,500
South Shore Association for Independent Living, Inc.	Baldwin, NY	$278,770

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
South Shore Association for Independent Living, Inc.	Baldwin, NY	$440,580
South Shore Association for Independent Living, Inc.	Baldwin, NY	$289,750
Steuben County	Bath, NY	$246,000
United Veterans Beacon House, Inc.	Bayshore, NY	$267,575
Broome County Department of Mental Health	Binghamton, NY	$784,800
Fairview Recovery Services, Inc.	Binghamton, NY	$246,000
Phoenix Houses of Long Island	Brentwood, NY	$76,020
Transitional Services of NY for LI, Inc.—Summit Program	Brentwood, NY	$172,368
Samaritan Village, Inc.	Briarwood, NY	$183,750
Samaritan Village, Inc.	Briarwood, NY	$342,709
Argus Community, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$430,101
Banana Kelly Community Improvement Association, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$753,512
Argus Community, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$370,285
Vocational Instruction Project Community Services, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$278,855
Vocational Instruction Project Community Services, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$180,033
Vocational Instruction Project Community Services, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$455,334
University Consultation and Treatment Center for Mental Hygiene, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$489,999
Community Action For Human Services Inc.	Bronx, NY	$258,416
Community Action For Human Services Inc.	Bronx, NY	$872,482
Citizens Advice Bureau	Bronx, NY	$210,000
Citizens Advice Bureau	Bronx, NY	$2,400,000
H.O.M.E.E. Clinic, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$263,874
Argus Community, Inc.	Bronx, NY	$371,322
Helping Hands Unlimited, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$160,454
Church Avenue Merchants Block Association, Inc. (CAMBA)	Brooklyn, NY	$166,666
Anchor House, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$240,647
East New York Urban Youth Corps	Brooklyn, NY	$193,513
Community Counseling and Mediation Services	Brooklyn, NY	$477,904
Multi-Talents, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$463,631
Bridge Back Recovery Homes, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$1,333,747
Brooklyn Community Housing & Services	Brooklyn, NY	$783,835
El Regreso Foundation	Brooklyn, NY	$253,855
Brooklyn Bureau of Community Service	Brooklyn, NY	$474,924
Brooklyn Bureau of Community Service	Brooklyn, NY	$249,674
Damon House New York, Inc.	Brooklyn, NY	$262,479
Crisis Services (Suicide Prevention and Crisis Service)	Buffalo, NY	$59,097
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$142,152
WNY Veterans Housing Coalition, Inc.	Buffalo, NY	$262,224
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$111,085
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$109,728
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$37,608
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$74,088
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$173,724
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$264,144
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$179,904
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$115,656
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$137,064
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$56,796
Homespace Corporation	Buffalo, NY	$133,313
County of Erie	Buffalo, NY	$215,973
Gerard Place Housing Development Fund Company	Buffalo, NY	$94,452
Restoration Society, Inc.	Buffalo, NY	$220,281
City of Dunkirk Housing Authority	Dunkirk, NY	$438,780
Chautauqua Opportunities, Inc.	Dunkirk, NY	$65,000
Catholic Charities of Chemung County (Southern Tier)	Elmira, NY	$94,642
Catholic Charities of Chemung County (Southern Tier)	Elmira, NY	$456,683
Nassau Suffolk Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Garden City, NY	$63,000
Housing Options Made Easy, Inc.	Gowanda, NY	$183,365
Housing Options Made Easy, Inc.	Gowanda, NY	$133,270
Rehabilitation Support Services, Inc.	Guilderland, NY	$103,168
Rehabilitation Support Services, Inc.	Guilderland, NY	$251,357
Suffolk County Department of Social Services	Hauppauge, NY	$710,940
Nassau County Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Inc.	Hempstead, NY	$294,994
Nassau County Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Inc.	Hempstead, NY	$119,016
Nassau/Suffolk Law Services Committee, Inc.	Hempstead, NY	$139,232
Nassau/Suffolk Law Services Committee, Inc.	Hempstead, NY	$208,848
Columbia Opportunities, Inc.	Hudson, NY	$10,080
Tompkins Community Action	Ithaca, NY	$60,126
Ulster County Department of Social Services	Kingston, NY	$286,080
Mental Health Association in Ulster County, Inc.	Kingston, NY	$173,832
Gateway Community Industries, Inc.	Kingston, NY	$120,601

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Circulo de la Hispanidad, Inc.	Long Beach, NY	$266,849
Wayne County Action Program, Inc.	Lyons, NY	$152,479
Franklin County Community Housing Council, Inc.	Malone, NY	$175,942
Community Action Agency of Franklin County, NY, Inc.	Malone, NY	$30,000
Concern for Independent Living, Inc.	Medford, NY	$432,841
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$133,350
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$68,116
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$157,500
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$102,234
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$244,472
Crystal Run Village, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$186,615
Regional Economic Community Action Program, Inc.	Middletown, NY	$390,600
Family and Children's Association	Mineola, NY	$357,254
Council on Alcoholism & Drug Abuse of Sullivan County, Inc.	Monticello, NY	$110,040
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$37,319
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$45,000
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$29,123
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$30,450
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$108,960
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$49,749
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$33,273
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$204,300
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$43,260
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$171,675
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$33,282
City of Mount Vernon (NY)	Mount Vernon, NY	$33,273
HELP—Equity Homes, Inc.	New York, NY	$127,897
West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$220,412
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$108,466
Community Development Partners, Inc.	New York, NY	$257,143
Community Development Partners, Inc.	New York, NY	$310,230
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$109,686
Minority Task Force On AIDS	New York, NY	$267,828
The Center for Urban Community Services, Inc.	New York, NY	$709,678
Community Development Partners, Inc.	New York, NY	$36,303
West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$724,395
The Fortune Society	New York, NY	$896,314
Community Development Partners, Inc.	New York, NY	$69,934
HELP—Development Corporation	New York, NY	$439,457
The Center for Urban Community Services, Inc.	New York, NY	$592,861
Urban Justice Center	New York, NY	$34,246
Phase: Piggy Back, Inc.	New York, NY	$137,118
HELP—Equity Homes, Inc.	New York, NY	$165,915
Minority Task Force On AIDS	New York, NY	$148,972
HELP-Equity Homes, Inc.	New York, NY	$398,160
Services for the Underserved-Mental Health Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$404,204
Services for the Underserved-Mental Health Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$149,625
Services for the Underserved-Mental Health Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$283,034
Harlem United Community AIDS Center, Inc.	New York, NY	$709,231
Ali Forney Center	New York, NY	$1,311,931
Neighborhood Coalition for Shelter, Inc.	New York, NY	$240,287
Urban Pathways, Inc.	New York, NY	$174,675
Urban Pathways, Inc.	New York, NY	$296,220
Urban Pathways, Inc.	New York, NY	$714,905
Services for the Underserved-Mental Health Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$345,362
The Center for Urban Community Services, Inc.	New York, NY	$453,600
Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty	New York, NY	$99,942
Violence Intervention Program, Inc.	New York, NY	$974,762
United Bronx Parents, Inc.	New York, NY	$419,529
United Bronx Parents, Inc.	New York, NY	$248,975
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$678,605
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$710,814
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$451,538
Phase: Piggy Back, Inc.	New York, NY	$305,947
Fountain House, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,278,593
Fountain House, Inc.	New York, NY	$144,712
Weston United Community Renewal, Inc.	New York, NY	$224,900
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$330,330
HELP Social Service Corporation	New York, NY	$1,008,349
Association to Benefit Children	New York, NY	$231,414
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$821,370

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Bronx Addiction Services Integrated Concepts Systems Inc.	New York, NY	$353,208
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,045,343
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$351,456
The Educational Alliance, Inc.	New York, NY	$448,421
The Educational Alliance, Inc.	New York, NY	$480,638
Pibly Residential Programs, Inc.	New York, NY	$463,234
Common Ground Community IV HDFC, Inc.	New York, NY	$141,382
The Project for Psychiatric Outreach to the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$238,140
The Project for Psychiatric Outreach to the Homeless, Inc.	New York, NY	$200,000
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$2,538,000
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$2,030,400
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$1,297,440
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$1,157,700
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$406,080
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$1,269,000
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$1,371,600
NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development	New York City, NY	$244,320
HELP Development Corporation	New York City, NY	$1,300,324
Palladia, Inc.	New York, NY	$823,775
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$108,333
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$492,713
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$414,057
The Doe Fund, Inc.	New York, NY	$332,500
Palladia, Inc.	New York, NY	$317,914
Women In Need, Inc.	New York, NY	$773,675
Goddard Riverside Community Center	New York, NY	$339,288
Goddard Riverside Community Center	New York, NY	$153,697
Goddard Riverside Community Center	New York, NY	$188,466
The Jericho Project	New York, NY	$99,342
Palladia, Inc.	New York, NY	$458,242
Housing Works. Inc.	New York, NY	$939,072
Bowery Residents Committee, Inc.	New York, NY	$368,496
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$159,340
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$328,214
Heritage Health and Housing, Inc.	New York, NY	$249,495
Housing Works. Inc.	New York, NY	$667,269
Palladia, Inc.	New York, NY	$530,120
Palladia, Inc.	New York, NY	$556,583
Palladia, Inc.	New York, NY	$565,580
Bowery Residents Committee, Inc.	New York, NY	$360,107
Bowery Residents Committee, Inc.	New York, NY	$497,954
Palladia, Inc.	New York, NY	$985,660
Bowery Residents Committee, Inc.	New York, NY	$511,358
Health Industry Resources Enterprises, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,326,429
Institute For Community Living, Inc.	New York, NY	$141,627
Project Renewal, Inc.	New York, NY	$407,839
Lenox Hill Neighborhood House	New York, NY	$283,845
Institute For Community Living, Inc.	New York, NY	$109,318
Institute For Community Living, Inc.	New York, NY	$315,787
Project Renewal, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,341,540
Safe Space NYC, Inc.	New York, NY	$225,565
Coalition For the Homeless	New York, NY	$367,554
John Heuss Corporation	New York, NY	$154,060
Columba Kavanagh House, Inc.	New York, NY	$776,326
Mental Health Association of NYC, Inc.	New York, NY	$581,091
Mental Health Association of NYC, Inc.	New York, NY	$288,063
Veritas Therapeutic Community, Inc.	New York, NY	$273,348
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$419,149
Health Industry Resources Enterprises, Inc.	New York, NY	$1,271,258
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Inc.	New York, NY	$676,767
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$149,556
WestHELP, Inc.	New York, NY	$240,000
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$166,948
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$177,978
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$358,823
The Bridge Inc.	New York, NY	$101,909
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Inc.	New York, NY	$714,961
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Inc.	New York, NY	$582,961
The YMCA of Greater New York	New York, NY	$570,505
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$504,647
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$376,444

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Under 21, Inc./Covenant House New York	New York, NY	$594,542
Family Residences and Essentials Enterprises, Inc.	Old Bethpage, NY	$208,040
Suffolk County United Veterans	Patchogue, NY	$209,727
Behavioral Health Services North, Inc.	Plattsburgh, NY	$66,214
Plattsburgh Housing Authority	Plattsburgh, NY	$263,520
Dutchess County	Poughkeepsie, NY	$109,974
Dutchess County	Poughkeepsie, NY	$106,680
Dutchess County	Poughkeepsie, NY	$33,629
Dutchess County	Poughkeepsie, NY	$162,749
Dutchess County	Poughkeepsie, NY	$142,020
Dutchess County	Poughkeepsie, NY	$212,640
Rural Opportunities, Inc	Rochester, NY	$125,593
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$628,560
Veterans Outreach Center, Inc.	Rochester, NY	$228,383
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$879,300
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$445,980
Sojourner Hall for Women, Inc.	Rochester, NY	$267,825
Rochester Monroe County Youth Bureau	Rochester, NY	$336,965
Spiritus Christi Prison Outreach, Inc.—Jennifer House	Rochester, NY	$255,000
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$373,536
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$496,620
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$377,856
Rochester Housing Authority	Rochester, NY	$510,096
The Salvation Army	Rochester, NY	$1,037,810
Sojourner Hall for Women, Inc.	Rochester, NY	$406,931
Cattaraugus Community Action, Inc.	Salamanca, NY	$94,315
Schenectady Community Action Program, Inc.	Schenectady, NY	$479,682
Bethesda House of Schenectady, Inc.	Schenectady, NY	$750,000
Bethesda House of Schenectady, Inc.	Schenectady, NY	$458,216
City of Schenectady	Schenectady, NY	$97,440
City of Schenectady	Schenectady, NY	$48,720
SAFE, Inc. of Schenectady	Schenectady, NY	$48,267
Options for Community Living, Inc.	Smithtown, NY	$149,415
Project Hospitality, Inc.	Staten Island, NY	$370,558
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Inc.	Syosset, NY	$214,840
Federation Employment and Guidance Service, Inc.	Syosset, NY	$499,983
Catholic Charities of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Syracuse	Syracuse, NY	$918,083
Central New York Services, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$262,500
Chadwick Residence, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$95,871
Syracuse Brick House, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$247,645
The Salvation Army	Syracuse, NY	$251,111
Onondaga Case Management Services, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$703,446
Chadwick Residence, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$557,230
Syracuse Brick House, Inc.	Syracuse, NY	$647,652
Syracuse Housing Authority	Syracuse, NY	$599,052
Syracuse Housing Authority	Syracuse, NY	$1,472,760
Joseph's House and Shelter, Inc.	Troy, NY	$750,000
YWCA of Troy-Cohoes	Troy, NY	$210,000
City of Troy, New York	Troy, NY	$199,704
YWCA of Troy-Cohoes	Troy, NY	$75,000
Troy Housing Authority	Troy, NY	$48,276
Troy Housing Authority	Troy, NY	$321,840
Unity House of Troy, Inc.	Troy, NY	$308,188
Support Ministries, Inc.	Waterford, NY	$270,000
Federation of Organizations for the NY State Mentally Disabled, Inc.	West Babylon, NY	$206,492
Community Housing Innovations, Inc.	White Plains, NY	$133,662
Community Housing Innovations, Inc.	White Plains, NY	$189,373
Community Housing Innovations, Inc.	White Plains, NY	$500,060
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$252,828
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$277,512
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$743,400
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$519,840
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$202,440
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$324,900
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$204,552
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$194,940
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$71,316
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$100,000
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$179,868
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$290,160
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$116,964

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$260,988
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$324,900
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$192,852
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$278,568
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$216,744
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$259,920
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$363,828
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$184,056
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$129,636
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$301,032
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$197,064
Westchester County, Department of Comm. Mental Health	White Plains, NY	$242,856
Legal Services of the Hudson Valley	White Plains, NY	$58,614
Westchester County, Social Services	White Plains, NY	$30,000
Westchester County, Social Services	White Plains, NY	$205,485
Westchester County, Social Services	White Plains, NY	$140,000
Westchester County, Social Services	White Plains, NY	$175,637
Greyston Health Services	Yonkers, NY	$251,112
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$107,132
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$29,975
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$314,239
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$49,910
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$35,925
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$33,415
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$69,275
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$81,166
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$17,115
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$18,102
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$44,091
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$187,088
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$61,124
The Municipal Housing Authority for the City of Yonkers	Yonkers, NY	$49,444
Oriana Services, Inc.	Akron, OH	$142,287
Community Drug Board	Akron, OH	$219,586
Legacy III, Inc.	Akron, OH	$227,849
Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Akron, OH	$244,992
Community Drug Board	Akron, OH	$186,900
Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	Akron, OH	$1,121,040
Summit County Children Services	Akron, OH	$346,933
Oriana House, Inc.	Akron, OH	$238,276
Community Legal Aid Services, Inc.	Akron, OH	$55,441
Appleseed Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Ashland, OH	$198,922
Community Services of Stark County, Inc.	Canton, OH	$383,876
Stark Metropolitan Housing Authority	Canton, OH	$173,400
ICAN, Inc.	Canton, OH	$173,384
ICAN, Inc.	Canton, OH	$140,928
Young Women's Christian Association, Inc.	Canton, OH	$143,872
Young Women's Christian Association, Inc.	Canton, OH	$41,570
Young Women's Christian Association, Inc.	Canton, OH	$181,690
YMCA Of Central Stark County	Canton, OH	$652,050
ICAN, Inc.	Canton, OH	$93,713
Geauga Community Board of Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services	Chardon, OH	$86,232
Shelterhouse Volunteer Group, Inc	Cincinnati, OH	$279,000
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$1,614,000
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$570,588
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$558,120
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$774,660
Young Women's Christian Association, Inc	Cincinnati, OH	$438,204
Hamilton County Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services Board	Cincinnati, OH	$1,190,000
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Greater Cincinnati	Cincinnati, OH	$330,114
House of Hope, Inc.	Cincinnati, OH	$458,000
Joseph House, Inc	Cincinnati, OH	$231,147
Center for Independent Living Options	Cincinnati, OH	$882,921
The Salvation Army	Cincinnati, OH	$88,933
Freestore Foodbank, Inc	Cincinnati, OH	$511,347
City of Cincinnati, Ohio	Cincinnati, OH	$888,600
Ohio Valley Goodwill Industries, Inc	Cincinnati, OH	$567,972
Health Resource Center of Cincinnati, Inc	Cincinnati, OH	$398,998
Tom Geiger Guest House, Inc	Cincinnati, OH	$420,000
Mental Health Services for Homeless Persons, Inc. (MHS)	Cleveland, OH	$262,851
Mental Health Services for Homeless Persons, Inc. (MHS)	Cleveland, OH	$276,577

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Volunteers of America of Northeast and North Central Ohio	Cleveland, OH	$787,500
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$194,365
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$317,109
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$229,897
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$270,705
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$174,731
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$386,373
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$187,351
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$2,340,516
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$1,631,220
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$2,043,060
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$484,740
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$446,546
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$2,196,600
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$467,714
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$252,298
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$241,802
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$537,741
Cuyahoga County	Cleveland, OH	$275,403
Cogswell Hall, Inc	Cleveland, OH	$293,207
Volunteers of America of Northeast and North Central Ohio, Inc	Cleveland, OH	$246,967
AIDS Taskforce of Greater Cleveland	Cleveland, OH	$222,660
Continue Life, Inc	Cleveland, OH	$212,973
Volunteers of America of Northeast and North Central Ohio, Inc	Cleveland, OH	$79,155
Emerald Development & Economic Network (EDEN)	Cleveland, OH	$1,410,000
East Side Catholic Center and Shelter	Cleveland, OH	$187,749
YMCA of Greater Cleveland	Cleveland, OH	$342,158
Transitional Housing, Inc	Cleveland, OH	$245,057
Cleveland Housing Network, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$304,500
Emerald Development & Economic Network (EDEN)	Cleveland, OH	$911,198
Joseph's Home, Inc	Cleveland, OH	$273,056
Cleveland Housing Network, Inc.	Cleveland, OH	$239,253
Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	Cleveland, OH	$563,045
Jireh Services, Inc.	Columbus, OH	$708,325
Maryhaven	Columbus, OH	$249,045
Community Shelter Board	Columbus, OH	$370,927
Lutheran Social Services of Central Ohio	Columbus, OH	$162,573
Lutheran Social Services of Central Ohio	Columbus, OH	$81,177
Community Housing Network, Inc.	Columbus, OH	$411,949
Volunteers of America of Central Ohio, Inc.	Columbus, OH	$1,060,297
YWCA of Columbus	Columbus, OH	$487,677
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$578,760
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$509,592
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$65,880
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$397,884
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$265,332
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$220,380
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$83,088
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$165,540
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$171,288
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$202,020
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$28,032
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$65,880
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$397,452
State of Ohio	Columbus, OH	$181,404
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$115,728
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbus, OH	$128,892
St. Vincent Hotel, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$180,000
Miami Valley Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$351,051
Miami Valley Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$34,815
Miami Valley Housing Opportunities, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$15,549
The City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$1,222,788
YWCA of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$682,685
Daybreak, Inc.	Dayton, OH	$1,232,604
The City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$371,448
The City of Dayton	Dayton, OH	$783,720
Good Samaritan Hospital	Dayton, OH	$446,250
Transitional Living, Inc.	Hamilton, OH	$99,719
Transitional Living, Inc.	Hamilton, OH	$255,417
YWCA of Hamilton	Hamilton, OH	$357,960
Family & Community Services of Portage County, Inc.	Kent, OH	$137,800

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Coleman Professional Services	Kent, OH	$210,000
Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Lebanon, OH	$539,326
Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Lebanon, OH	$1,140,069
Community Action Agency for Columbiana County, Inc.	Lisbon, OH	$284,540
Family Recovery Center	Lisbon, OH	$211,827
Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	Lorain, OH	$488,100
The Center for Individual & Family Services, Inc.	Mansfield, OH	$164,230
Western Stark Medical Clinic, Inc.	Massillon, OH	$249,903
Medina County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Board	Medina, OH	$1,017,625
Licking County Coalition for Housing	Newark, OH	$1,164,897
Lake County Alcohol, Drug Addition and Mental Health Services Board	Painesville, OH	$867,000
Volunteers of America Northwest Ohio, Inc.	Sandusky, OH	$859,983
Project Woman	Springfield, OH	$121,863
City of Springfield	Springfield, OH	$97,992
Harbor House/300 Beds, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$352,654
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$233,027
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$334,766
Catholic Charities Diocese of Toledo	Toledo, OH	$384,325
Family Outreach Community United Services, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$1,214,941
Family Outreach Community United Services, Inc.	Toledo, OH	$350,268
St. Paul's Community Center	Toledo, OH	$564,967
Tri-County Board of Recovery & Mental Health Services	Troy, OH	$122,280
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Washington Court House, OH	$178,379
Beatitude House	Youngstown, OH	$424,000
Beatitude House	Youngstown, OH	$398,767
Catholic Charities Regional Agency	Youngstown, OH	$56,298
Potential Development Program, Inc.	Youngstown, OH	$53,941
Mahoning-Youngstown Community Action Partnership	Youngstown, OH	$45,824
Meridian Services, Inc.	Youngstown, OH	$273,572
Meridian Services, Inc.	Youngstown, OH	$113,302
Meridian Services, Inc.	Youngstown, OH	$249,281
The Greater Youngstown Point, Inc.	Youngstown, OH	$160,299
Youngstown State University	Youngstown, OH	$150,924
Youngstown City Health District	Youngstown, OH	$160,000
Catholic Charities Regional Agency	Youngstown, OH	$155,663
Northeast Oklahoma Community Action Agency, Inc.	Jay, OK	$226,227
Great Plains Improvement Foundation, Inc.	Lawton, OK	$77,386
Community Crisis Center INC.	Miami, OK	$171,327
East Main Place, Inc. (formerly LEAP)	Norman, OK	$73,468
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$209,225
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$142,800
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$133,386
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$195,000
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$265,721
State of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City, OK	$149,376
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$199,271
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$83,018
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$13,944
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$146,002
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$120,000
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$57,120
City of Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City, OK	$599,999
Legal Aid Services of Oklahoma, Inc.	Oklahoma City, OK	$111,920
United Community Action Program, Inc.	Pawnee, OK	$41,275
United Way of Ponca City, Inc.	Ponca City, OK	$27,417
Domestic Violence Program of North Central Oklahoma	Ponca City, OK	$29,946
The Salvation Army of Ponca City	Ponca City, OK	$71,400
Community Service Council of Greater Tulsa	Tulsa, OK	$129,113
Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	Tulsa, OK	$549,070
Domestic Violence Intervention Services, Inc.	Tulsa, OK	$298,739
12 &12, Inc.	Tulsa, OK	$244,916
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Tulsa, OK	$673,358
Waynoka MHS	WAYNOKA, OK	$224,440
Senior and Disability Services of Rogue Valley Council of Governments	Central Point, OR	$133,665
Southwestern Oregon Community Action Committee, Inc.	Coos Bay, OR	$25,523
Community Services Consortium	Corvallis, OR	$152,243
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$143,307
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$378,850
Housing Authority and Community Services Agency	Eugene, OR	$130,320
St Vincent de Paul Society of Lane County	Eugene, OR	$388,223
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$100,000

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$134,737
St Vincent de Paul Society of Lane County	Eugene, OR	$222,220
St Vincent de Paul Society of Lane County	Eugene, OR	$249,736
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$58,567
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$82,208
Lane County, Oregon	Eugene, OR	$108,973
Washington County, Oregon	Hillsboro, OR	$400,904
Washington County, Oregon	Hillsboro, OR	$315,972
Washington County, Oregon	Hillsboro, OR	$1,050,034
On-Track, Inc.	Medford, OR	$210,903
The Salvation Army a California Company	Medford, OR	$84,658
Women's Safety & Resource Center	North Bend, OR	$11,620
Clackamas County Social Services	Oregon City, OR	$539,728
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$263,242
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$243,041
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$112,033
Portland Impact, Inc	Portland, OR	$115,737
The Inn-Home for Boys	Portland, OR	$377,236
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$45,801
Central City Concern	Portland, OR	$236,968
The Inn-Home for Boys	Portland, OR	$70,911
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$29,711
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$770,466
City of Portland	Portland, OR	$125,582
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$139,485
Cascadia Behavioral Heathcare, Inc	Portland, OR	$698,365
Bradley Angle House	Portland, OR	$71,273
Raphael House of Portland	Portland, OR	$48,416
Neighborhood House Inc.	Portland, OR	$276,771
Central City Concern	Portland, OR	$160,603
Central City Concern	Portland, OR	$223,014
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$24,343
Human Solutions, Inc.	Portland, OR	$100,000
Multnomah County	Portland, OR	$338,746
Housing Authority of Portland	Portland, OR	$737,880
Housing Authority of Portland	Portland, OR	$405,816
Central Oregon Community Action Agency Network	Redmond, OR	$296,759
Umpqua Community Action Network	Roseburg, OR	$81,094
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$43,311
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$29,783
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$19,517
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$101,548
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$11,336
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$40,941
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$50,122
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$33,565
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$44,730
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$32,482
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$9,565
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$99,132
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$52,500
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$46,958
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$82,694
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$103,843
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$56,618
Oregon Housing and Community Services	Salem, OR	$245,024
Chemeketa Non-Profit Housing, Inc.	Salem, OR	$449,999
Mid-Williamette Valley Community Action Agency, Inc.	Salem, OR	$300,812
Northwest Human Services	Salem, OR	$235,026
Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	Allentown, PA	$1,491,966
The PROGRAM for Women and Families, Inc.	Allentown, PA	$220,817
Blair County Community Action Program	Altoona, PA	$313,889
Blair County Community Action Program	Altoona, PA	$531,458
Lebanon County Commissioners	Annville, PA	$78,704
Supportive Services, Inc.	Beaver Falls, PA	$1,169,732
Harbor Point Housing	Beaver Falls, PA	$239,400
The Salvation Army	Beaver Falls, PA	$543,209
Housing Authority of Centre County	Bellefonte, PA	$55,200
Episcopal Ministries of the Diocese of Bethlehem	Bethlehem, PA	$237,276
YWCA of Bradford	Bradford, PA	$189,352
Clearfield-Jefferson Mental Health/Mental Retardation Program	Brockway, PA	$266,086

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Housing Authority of the County of Butler	Butler, PA	$493,686
The Salvation Army	Carlisle, PA	$258,357
Maranatha (Formally Financial Counseling Services)	Chambersburg, PA	$315,417
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Chester, PA	$107,318
Catholic Social Services of Delaware County	Chester, PA	$92,402
Catholic Social Services of Delaware County	Chester, PA	$107,608
Horizon House, Inc.	Chester, PA	$233,220
W.C. Atkinson Memorial Community Service Center, Inc.	Coatesville, PA	$47,775
Mechling Shakley Veterans Center	Cowansville, PA	$85,680
Transitional Housing and Care Center of Columbia and Montour Counties	Danville, PA	$195,926
Bucks County	Doylestown, PA	$203,028
Bucks County	Doylestown, PA	$110,158
DuBois Housing Authority	DuBois, PA	$58,752
DuBois Housing Authority	DuBois, PA	$78,048
Family Services of Montgomery County	Eagleville, PA	$181,692
Valley Housing Development Corporation	Emmaus, PA	$361,878
County of Erie DHS MH/MR	Erie, PA	$336,594
County of Erie DHS MH/MR	Erie, PA	$221,680
County of Erie DHS MH/MR	Erie, PA	$297,150
County of Erie DHS MH/MR	Erie, PA	$525,960
County of Erie DHS MH/MR	Erie, PA	$492,318
Victim Outreach Intervention Center	Evans City, PA	$878,694
Westmoreland Human Opportunities, Inc.	Greensburg, PA	$259,728
Westmoreland Human Opportunities, Inc.	Greensburg, PA	$458,000
Christian Churches United of the Tri-County Area	Harrisburg, PA	$933,843
Shalom House, Inc.	Harrisburg, PA	$229,819
YWCA of Greater Harrisburg	Harrisburg, PA	$327,453
Huntingdon House Inc.	Huntingdon, PA	$240,406
Luzerne Intermediate Unit #18	Kingston, PA	$189,630
Armstrong County Community Action Agency	Kittanning, PA	$121,083
Armstrong County Community Action Agency	Kittanning, PA	$134,412
County of Lancaster	Lancaster, PA	$106,932
Tabor Community Services, Inc.	Lancaster, PA	$255,348
MidPenn Legal Services	Lancaster, PA	$209,790
Community Action Program of Lancaster County	Lancaster, PA	$242,717
Tabor Community Services, Inc.	Lancaster, PA	$347,917
Community Housing Services	Lansdale, PA	$131,408
Housing Authority County of Lebanon	Lebanon, PA	$352,412
Easy Does It, Inc.	Leesport, PA	$1,485,553
American Red Cross, Lower Bucks County Chapter	Levittown, PA	$80,905
Crawford County Mental Health Awareness Program, Inc. (CHAPS)	Meadville, PA	$183,567
United Community Independence Programs	Meadville, PA	$74,505
Family and Community Service of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$112,445
Community Action Agency of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$451,654
Community Action Agency of Delaware County, Inc.	Media, PA	$365,546
Domestic Abuse Project of Delaware County	Media, PA	$146,907
Connect, Inc.	Monessen, PA	$119,669
Lawrence County Social Services, Inc.	New Castle, PA	$229,950
Lawrence County Social Services, Inc.	New Castle, PA	$380,809
Crisis Shelter of Lawrence County	New Castle, PA	$83,121
Montgomery County Community Action Development Commission	Norristown, PA	$59,216
Hedwig House, Inc.	Norristown, PA	$181,201
Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	Northern Cambria, PA	$549,868
United Christian Ministries, Inc	Osceola, PA	$180,807
Penndel Mental Health Center, Inc.	Penndel, PA	$71,671
Penndel Mental Health Center, Inc.	Penndel, PA	$163,918
Mental Health Association of Southeastern Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA	$590,372
Mental Health Association of Southeastern Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA	$91,728
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$372,331
Friends Rehabilitation Program, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA	$31,500
Episcopal Community Services of the Diocese of Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA	$178,777
Philadelphia Health Management Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$170,107
Greater Philadelphia Urban Affairs Coalition	Philadelphia, PA	$296,586
Asociacion De Puertorriquenose en Marcha, Inc. (APM)	Philadelphia, PA	$199,616
The Philadelphia Veterans Multi-Service & Education Center, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA	$315,000
Greater Philadelphia Urban Affairs Coalition	Philadelphia, PA	$316,966
Drueding Center/Project Rainbow	Philadelphia, PA	$128,123
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$42,147
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$493,080
People's Emergency Center	Philadelphia, PA	$312,148
Mental Health Association of Southeastern Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA	$187,474

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Mental Health Association of Southeastern Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA	$136,579
Bethesda Project	Philadelphia, PA	$321,802
Project H.O.M.E.	Philadelphia, PA	$1,572,758
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$936,629
AchieveAbility (formerly Philadelphians Concerned About Housing)	Philadelphia, PA	$149,864
Travelers Aid Society of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$384,074
AchieveAbility (formerly Philadelphians Concerned About Housing)	Philadelphia, PA	$470,400
Committee for Dignity and Families for the Homeless Housing Dev., Inc.	Philadelphia, PA	$534,725
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$866,892
Overington House, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA	$254,142
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$655,200
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$147,924
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$865,134
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$92,842
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$1,365,286
1260 Housing Development Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$173,498
1260 Housing Development Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$438,683
1260 Housing Development Corporation	Philadelphia, PA	$1,155,000
Horizon House, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA	$739,014
Asociacion De Puertorriquenose en Marcha, Inc. (APM)	Philadelphia, PA	$173,039
Philadelphia Housing Authority	Philadelphia, PA	$52,800
Philadelphia Housing Authority	Philadelphia, PA	$31,536
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$2,745,960
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$961,200
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$282,180
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$629,580
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$249,960
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$116,508
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$209,400
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$261,420
Philadelphia Housing Authority	Philadelphia, PA	$180,576
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$125,640
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$27,672
AchieveAbility (formerly Philadelphians Concerned About Housing)	Philadelphia, PA	$239,400
City of Philadelphia	Philadelphia, PA	$625,716
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$1,052,611
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$601,537
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$205,566
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$277,011
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$929,161
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$479,147
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$291,228
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$857,392
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$284,957
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$924,630
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$542,086
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$316,132
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$526,361
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$791,328
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$477,989
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$887,448
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$753,858
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$489,670
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$298,134
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$947,512
Allegheny County	Pittsburgh, PA	$318,949
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Pottstown, PA	$545,825
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Pottstown, PA	$206,216
Schuylkill Women in Crisis	Pottsville, PA	$578,432
Community Action, Inc.	Punxsutawney, PA	$133,790
Berks Counseling Center	Reading, PA	$235,246
Berks Counseling Center	Reading, PA	$211,714
Scranton Primary Health Care Center, Inc.	Scranton, PA	$199,500
Catholic Social Services, Inc.	Scranton, PA	$376,206
St. Joseph's Center	Scranton, PA	$321,225
Catholic Social Services, Inc.	Scranton, PA	$119,614
United Neighborhood Centers	Scranton, PA	$210,763
United Neighborhood Centers	Scranton, PA	$59,556
Penn Foundation, Inc.	Sellersville, PA	$72,888
Tableland Services, Inc.	Somerset, PA	$410,474
Indian Valley Housing Corporation	Souderton, PA	$44,989

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Carbon-Monroe-Pike Mental Health/Mental Retardation Program	Stroudsburg, PA	$392,422
Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc	Stroudsburg, PA	$849,003
Northwestern Human Services of PA	Sunbury, PA	$202,629
Northwestern Human Services of PA	Sunbury, PA	$353,430
Futures Community Support Services, Inc.	Towanda, PA	$239,545
Fayette County Community Action Agency, Inc.	Uniontown, PA	$65,695
City Mission-Living Stones, Inc.	Uniontown, PA	$108,582
Washington County	Washington, PA	$143,027
Washington County	Washington, PA	$222,356
Washington County	Washington, PA	$92,021
Washington County	Washington, PA	$164,510
Washington County	Washington, PA	$197,919
Washington County	Washington, PA	$140,606
Washington County	Washington, PA	$64,755
Washington County	Washington, PA	$85,478
Community Action Southwest	Washington, PA	$36,228
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$811,440
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$315,000
The Salvation Army	West Chester, PA	$210,072
County of Chester	West Chester, PA	$94,320
Wyoming Valley Catholic Youth Center	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$664,037
Catholic Social Services	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$264,600
Commission on Economics Opportunity	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$782,456
Commission on Economics Opportunity	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$603,100
Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$293,569
Housing Development Corp of NEPA	Wilkes-Barre, PA	$408,261
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$141,492
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$792,900
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$136,134
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$133,923
Delaware County Housing Authority	Woodlyn, PA	$468,015
Bell Socialization Services, Inc	York, PA	$34,824
County of York	York, PA	$122,064
Casa del Peregrino Aguadilla, Inc.	Aguadilla, PR	$72,821
La Tierra Prometida, Inc.	Aguadilla, PR	$187,125
La Tierra Prometida, Inc.	Aguadilla, PR	$267,579
Fundacion de Desarrollo Comunal De P.R., Inc.	Caguas, PR	$135,616
Fundacion de Desarrollo Comunal De P.R., Inc.	Caguas, PR	$154,796
Corporacion Milagros del Amor	Caguas, PR	$37,800
ESTANCIA CORAZON, INC.	MAYAGUEZ, PR	$286,739
Hogar del Buen Pastor, Inc.	San Juan, PR	$237,609
Corporacion La Fondita de Jesus, Inc.	San Juan, PR	$281,667
Albergue El Paraiso, Inc.	San Juan, PR	$1,133,391
Fundacion Chana Goldstein y Samuel Levis, Inc.	San Juan, PR	$246,439
LUCHA CONTRA EL SIDA, INC.	SAN JUAN, PR	$361,927
Corporacion La Fondita de Jesus, Inc.	San Juan, PR	$362,666
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN JUAN	San Juan, PR	$330,768
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN JUAN	San Juan, PR	$298,031
CASA PROTEGIDA JULIA DE BURGOS	SAN JUAN, PR	$810,921
Department of the Family of Puerto Rico	San Juan, PR	$656,567
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$787,392
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$103,187
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$324,515
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$350,000
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$101,869
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$680,269
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$173,250
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$94,968
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$333,216
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$451,901
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$399,994
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$200,000
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$34,440
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$245,700
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$24,785
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$46,516
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$410,288
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$55,440
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$117,075
Rhode Island Housing Mortgage and Finance Corporation	Providence, RI	$98,928
Growing Homes Southeast	Cayce, SC	$236,250

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Crisis Ministries	Charleston, SC	$306,387
Charleston County Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services	Charleston, SC	$395,160
Crisis Ministries	Charleston, SC	$189,168
Humanities Foundation, Inc.	Charleston, SC	$26,846
Humanities Foundation, Inc.	Charleston, SC	$21,939
Humanities Foundation, Inc.	Charleston, SC	$750,000
Humanities Foundation, Inc.	Charleston, SC	$36,000
The Housing Authority- City of Charleston	Charleston, SC	$125,502
SC Department of Mental Health	Columbia, SC	$237,852
The Salvation Army, A Georgia Corporation	Columbia, SC	$108,943
Sistercare, Inc.	Columbia, SC	$232,995
The South Carolina Centers for Equal Justice	Columbia, SC	$138,102
SC Department of Mental Health	Columbia, SC	$109,152
Healing Properties, Inc.	Elgin, SC	$834,344
Healing Properties, Inc.	Elgin, SC	$110,250
Pee Dee Community Action Partnership	Florence, SC	$49,002
Pee Dee Community Action Partnership	Florence, SC	$179,098
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources, Inc. (SHARE)	Greenville, SC	$98,675
Upstate Homeless Coalition of S.C.	Greenville, SC.	$642,151
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources, Inc. (SHARE)	Greenville, SC	$545,315
Rosewood House of Recovery, Inc.	Greenville, SC	$57,086
Rosewood House of Recovery, Inc.	Greenville, SC	$33,075
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources, Inc. (SHARE)	Greenville, SC	$429,173
Upstate Homeless Coalition of S.C.	Greenville, SC	$184,305
Upstate Homeless Coalition of S.C.	Greenville, SC	$750,000
MEG's House	Greenwood, SC	$218,645
Myrtle Beach Housing Authority	Myrtle Beach, SC	$177,588
Any Length Recovery, Inc.	Sumter, SC	$19,068
Inter-Lakes Community Action, Inc.	Madison, SD	$254,498
South Dakota Housing Development Authority	Pierre, SD	$146,330
Pennington County Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Rapid City, SD	$739,260
Development for the Disabled, Inc.	Rapid City, SD	$380,934
American Indian Services, Inc.	Sioux Falls, SD	$113,784
Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	Sioux Falls, SD	$108,300
QUINCO Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Bolivar, TN	$98,595
QUINCO Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Bolivar, TN	$196,056
City of Chattanooga	Chattanooga, TN	$159,972
Council for Alcohol and Drug Abuse Services, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$41,638
Chattanooga Church Ministries, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$90,873
Fortwood Center, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$138,649
Council for Alcohol and Drug Abuse Services, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$211,255
Chattanooga Church Ministries, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$94,828
Chattanooga Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$107,856
Chattanooga Homeless Coalition, Inc.	Chattanooga, TN	$318,099
Partnership for Families, Children and Adults	Chattanooga, TN	$932,520
City of Clarksville	Clarksville, TN	$425,700
Hope House, Inc.	Columbia, TN	$73,333
Professional Counseling Services, Inc.	Covington, TN	$18,959
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$442,708
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$71,376
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$72,392
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$228,444
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$131,539
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$24,850
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$73,047
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$49,575
Buffalo Valley, Inc.	Hohenwald, TN	$445,650
Jackson Area Council on Alcoholism and Drug Dependency	Jackson, TN	$443,708
Women's Resource & Rape Assistance Program	Jackson, TN	$86,073
Appalachian Regional Coalition on Homelessness (ARCH)	Johnson City, TN	$205,905
One Way Missionary Baptist Church	Johnson City, TN	$50,000
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Johnson City, TN	$214,027
Kingsport Housing & Redevelopment Authority	Kingsport, TN	$511,440
Catholic Charities of East Tennessee, Inc.	Knoxville, TN	$342,294
Volunteer Ministry Center	Knoxville, TN	$400,000
Knoxville-Knox County Community Action Committee	Knoxville, TN	$270,289
Child and Family Tennessee	Knoxville, TN	$359,598
AGAPE Child and Family Services, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$48,960
Whitehaven Southwest Mental Health Center, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$107,174
The University of Tennessee Health Science Center	Memphis, TN	$105,738
Cocaine & Alcohol Awareness Program Inc.	Memphis, TN	$168,749

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Memphis Family Shelter, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$74,025
Memphis Family Shelter, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$123,861
J.W. Williams Community Centers dba Women's Oasis of Memphis	Memphis, TN	$239,117
Metropolitan Inter Faith Association, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$153,615
Metropolitan Inter Faith Association, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$122,439
Metropolitan Inter Faith Association, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$134,303
Metropolitan Inter Faith Association, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$107,065
Better Life, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$750,000
Metropolitan Inter Faith Association, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$133,867
Alpha Omega Veterans Services, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$190,050
Partners For The Homeless	Memphis, TN	$37,572
Alpha Omega Veterans Services, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$93,425
Synergy Treatment Center	Memphis, TN	$44,722
Behavioral Health Initiatives, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$78,750
AGAPE Child and Family Services, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$144,080
Whitehaven Southwest Mental Health Center, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$13,538
Catholic Charities, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$296,565
Catholic Charities, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$625,350
Catholic Charities, Inc.	Memphis, TN	$455,356
Shelby County Government	Memphis, TN	$285,818
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Memphis, TN	$385,192
Urban Housing Solutions	Nashville, TN	$29,500
Urban Housing Solutions	Nashville, TN	$50,000
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$38,808
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$359,412
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$928,296
Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency	Nashville, TN	$440,354
Centerstone Community Mental Health Centers, Inc.	Nashville, TN	$290,850
Carey Counseling Center, Inc.	Paris, TN	$98,595
Damascus Road, Inc.	Paris, TN	$49,258
Day Nursery of Abilene, Inc	Abilene, TX	$68,145
United Way of Abilene	Abilene, TX	$47,376
Legal Aid of Northwest Texas	Amarillo, TX	$180,000
City of Amarillo	Amarillo, TX	$149,964
City of Amarillo	Amarillo, TX	$554,400
City of Arlington	Arlington, TX	$108,755
City of Arlington	Arlington, TX	$157,106
City of Arlington	Arlington, TX	$29,370
The Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Austin, TX	$841,800
The Housing Authority of the City of Austin	Austin, TX	$337,296
The Housing Authority of Travis County	Austin, TX	$426,156
Travis County Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Survival Center d/b/a SafePlace	Austin, TX	$826,440
Caritas of Austin	Austin, TX	$313,926
Youth and Family Alliance, Inc. DBA LifeWorks	Austin, TX	$215,320
Youth and Family Alliance, Inc. DBA LifeWorks	Austin, TX	$148,508
The Salvation Army, a Georgia Corporation	Austin, TX	$776,928
ATC MHMR	Austin, TX	$348,007
ATC MHMR	Austin, TX	$78,533
City of Beaumont	Beaumont, TX	$88,212
Some Other Place, Inc.	Beaumont, TX	$117,482
South East Texas Regional Planning Commission	Beaumont, TX	$23,008
Family Services of Southeast Texas, Inc.	Beaumont, TX	$150,977
Twin City Mission, Inc.	Bryan, TX	$64,431
Twin City Mission, Inc.	Bryan, TX	$38,411
Montgomery County Homeless Coalition	Conroe, TX	$269,128
Montgomery County Homeless Coalition	Conroe, TX	$296,630
Goodwill Industries of South Texas	Corpus Christi, TX	$176,099
Coastal Bend Alcohol & Drug Rehabilitation Center dba Charlie's Place	Corpus Christi, TX	$142,569
Nueces County MHMR Community Center	Corpus Christi, TX	$142,871
Corpus Christi Metro Ministries, Inc.	Corpus Christi, TX	$160,255
Wesley Community Center	Corpus Christi, TX	$134,971
Dental Health Programs, Inc. dba Community Dental Care	Dallas, TX	$146,633
Dallas Jewish Coalition, dba Vogel Alcove Childcare Center for Homeless	Dallas, TX	$166,441
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$1,555,202
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$103,194
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$188,007
Housing Crisis Center	Dallas, TX	$182,252
Central Dallas Food Pantry dba Central Dallas Ministries	Dallas, TX	$547,639
PWA Coalition of Dallas, Inc. d/b/a AIDS Services of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$574,390
LifeNet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$124,999
LifeNet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$364,129

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
The Family Place	Dallas, TX	$976,567
ABC Behavioral Health, L.L.C.	Dallas, TX	$30,634
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$85,560
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$149,913
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$455,400
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$874,200
LifeNet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$390,895
ABC Behavioral Health, L.L.C.	Dallas, TX	$26,250
Community Council of Greater Dallas	Dallas, TX	$63,611
Dallas MetroCare Services	Dallas, TX	$1,218,185
Rainbow Days, Inc.	Dallas, TX	$257,237
LifeNet Community Behavioral Healthcare	Dallas, TX	$23,095
Family Gateway, Inc.	Dallas, TX	$150,701
Family Gateway, Inc.	Dallas, TX	$42,440
City of Dallas	Dallas, TX	$250,598
Legal Aid of NorthWest Texas	Dallas, TX	$22,932
Promise House, Inc.	Dallas, TX	$219,538
AIDS Services of North Texas, Inc.	Denton, TX	$237,734
El Paso Center for Children, Inc.	El Paso, TX	$126,248
Centro San Vicente	El Paso, TX	$325,655
YWCA El Paso Del Norte Region	El Paso, TX	$689,190
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$236,162
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$515,767
El Paso Center for Children, Inc.	El Paso, TX	$436,734
Housing Authority of the City of El Paso	El Paso, TX	$1,214,400
Opportunity Center for the Homeless	El Paso, TX	$50,400
Centro San Vicente	El Paso, TX	$420,000
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$31,090
Volunteers of America Texas	Fort Worth, TX	$124,342
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$102,951
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$316,182
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$1,068,244
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$69,329
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$134,726
MHMR of Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$858,875
Housing Authority—City of Fort Worth	Fort Worth, TX	$1,002,960
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$24,237
Housing Authority—City of Fort Worth	Fort Worth, TX	$1,647,048
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$322,293
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$93,536
Tarrant County ACCESS for the Homeless	Fort Worth, TX	$166,451
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$216,249
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$27,136
Tarrant County	Fort Worth, TX	$136,800
The Salvation Army a Georgia Corporation	Galveston, TX	$321,495
The Gulf Coast Center	Galveston, TX	$649,507
Houston SRO Housing Corporation	Houston, TX	$1,047,874
Women Opting For More Affordable Housing Now, Inc.	Houston, TX	$312,505
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$96,520
Harris County	Houston, TX	$160,656
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$94,908
Harris County	Houston, TX	$162,168
ALTE' Community Care Services, Inc.	Houston, TX	$393,982
United States Veterans Initiative	Houston, TX	$149,951
Volunteers of America Texas, Inc.	Houston, TX	$212,069
Harris County	Houston, TX	$137,376
Harris County	Houston, TX	$160,380
Harris County	Houston, TX	$402,840
Harris County	Houston, TX	$70,956
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$446,640
Harris County	Houston, TX	$115,236
Harris County	Houston, TX	$409,568
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$217,944
Families Under Urban and Social Attack, Inc.	Houston, TX	$120,750
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$38,749
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$307,406
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$734,400
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$238,580
Star of Hope Mission	Houston, TX	$207,408
Harris County	Houston, TX	$473,040
Harris County	Houston, TX	$86,448

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Harris County	Houston, TX	$428,760
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$404,233
Harris County	Houston, TX	$282,588
Harris County	Houston, TX	$473,040
Covenant House Texas	Houston, TX	$199,328
Society of St. Vincent de Paul	Houston, TX	$61,233
Harmony House, Inc.	Houston, TX	$133,907
Houston Area Women's Center	Houston, TX	$76,656
Houston Area Women's Center	Houston, TX	$550,245
Houston Area Women's Center	Houston, TX	$60,613
Houston Area Women's Center	Houston, TX	$881,365
Northwest Assistance Ministries	Houston, TX	$491,562
Covenant House Texas	Houston, TX	$196,604
SEARCH	Houston, TX	$390,430
Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Galveston—Houston	Houston, TX	$56,466
DePelchin Children's Center	Houston, TX	$509,589
Mental Health Mental Retardation Authority of Harris County	Houston, TX	$592,418
AIDS Foundation Houston, Inc. (AFH)	Houston, TX	$1,150,125
AIDS Foundation Houston, Inc. (AFH)	Houston, TX	$1,800,000
Wellspring, Inc.	Houston, TX	$453,661
Wellspring, Inc.	Houston, TX	$180,974
The Women's Home	Houston, TX	$126,717
Harris County	Houston, TX	$106,080
Sabine Valley Center	Longview, TX	$370,440
Sabine Valley Center	Longview, TX	$28,865
City of Longview	Longview, TX	$224,868
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc.	Pasadena, TX	$383,462
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc.	Pasadena, TX	$88,727
The Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc.	Pasadena, TX	$448,211
Port Cities Rescue Mission Ministries	Port Arthur, TX	$175,037
Fort Bend County Women's Center	Roseberg, TX	$651,092
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$19,872
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$57,583
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$364,298
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$15,059
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$147,895
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$284,040
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$137,777
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$235,824
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$352,562
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$104,597
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$194,864
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$105,531
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$304,115
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$255,906
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$423,457
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$287,510
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$91,976
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$216,048
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$89,460
City of San Antonio	San Antonio, TX	$61,448
City of Texarkana, Texas	Texarkana, TX	$18,769
Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc.	Victoria, TX	$483,274
Perpetual Help Home, Inc.	Victoria, TX	$40,732
Cross Culture Experiences, Inc.	Waco, TX	$654,922
Compassion Ministries of Waco, Inc	Waco, TX	$161,276
Provision Center of Parker County Texas, Inc. dba Center for Hope	Weatherford, TX	$267,750
Bay Area Turning Point, Inc.	Webster, TX	$107,210
Bay Area Turning Point, Inc.	Webster, TX	$161,095
Texas RioGrande Legal Aid	Weslaco, TX	$109,999
Iron County Care and Share	Cedar, UT	$78,775
Cedar City Housing Authority	Cedar, UT	$41,737
Davis Family Support Center, Inc., dba Family Connection Center	Clearfield, UT	$269,000
Bear River Association of Governments	Logan, UT	$48,282
Your Community Connection of Ogden/Northern Utah	Ogden, UT	$274,846
Mountainlands Community Housing Trust	Park City, UT	$72,000
Housing Authority of Utah County	Provo, UT	$495,060
Food & Care Coalition of Utah Valley	Provo, UT	$55,125
Community Action Services	Provo, UT	$228,653
Provo City Housing Authority	Provo, UT	$116,856
Center for Women and Children in Crisis, Inc.	Provo, UT	$16,252

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Utah Department of Community & Economic Development	Salt City Utah, UT	$20,000
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$143,076
Utah Nonprofit Housing Corporation	Salt Lake City, UT	$104,599
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$30,735
Utah Department of Community and Economic Development	Salt Lake City, UT	$131,845
Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	Salt Lake City, UT	$755,412
Valley Mental Health, Inc.	Salt Lake City, UT	$114,118
Utah Nonprofit Housing Corporation	Salt Lake City, UT	$104,742
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$68,317
Young Women's Christian Association (YWCA) of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$311,978
Volunteers of America, Utah	Salt Lake City, UT	$213,506
Volunteers of America, Utah	Salt Lake City, UT	$299,250
Volunteers of America, Utah	Salt Lake City, UT	$311,564
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City, UT	$192,912
The Road Home	Salt Lake City, UT	$76,486
Salt Lake Community Action Program	Salt Lake, UT	$118,709
Utah Department of Community and Economic Development	Salt Lake, UT	$35,856
Utah Department of Community and Economic Development	Salt Lake, UT	$47,600
Southwest Behavioral Health Center	St. George, UT	$396,547
Dixie Care and Share	St. George, UT	$225,750
West Valley City Housing Authority	West Valley City, UT	$798,840
Region Ten Community Services Board	Albemarle, VA	$143,946
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$131,643
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$29,814
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$163,824
Alexandria Community Services Board	Alexandria, VA	$98,150
United Community Ministries, Inc.	Alexandria, VA	$134,732
Christian Relief Services Charities, Inc. (CRSC, Inc.)	Alexandria, VA	$24,885
Christian Relief Services Charities, Inc. (CRSC, Inc.)	Alexandria, VA	$24,885
Christian Relief Services Charities, Inc. (CRSC, Inc.)	Alexandria, VA	$120,676
Christian Relief Services Charities, Inc. (CRSC, Inc.)	Alexandria, VA	$76,220
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Alexandria, VA	$698,220
Sheltered Homes of Alexandria, Inc.	Alexandria, VA	$89,288
Christian Relief Services of Virginia, Inc.	Alexandria, VA	$291,789
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Alexandria, VA	$127,104
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Alexandria, VA	$127,104
Sheltered Homes of Alexandria, Inc.	Alexandria, VA	$77,748
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Alexandria, VA	$75,240
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Alexandria, VA	$128,808
New Hope Housing, Inc.	Alexandria, VA	$219,044
Christian Relief Services of Virginia, Inc.	Alexandria, VA	$216,781
Fairfax County Department of Housing and Community Development	Alexandria, VA	$168,132
Christian Relief Services Charities, Inc. (CRSC, Inc.)	Alexandria, VA	$30,944
Community Residences	Arlington, VA	$244,468
Arlington Street People's Assistance Network, Inc.	Arlington, VA	$194,986
Arlington County	Arlington, VA	$100,112
Arlington County	Arlington, VA	$217,245
Arlington County	Arlington, VA	$414,240
Arlington-Alexandria Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Arlington, VA	$139,440
Vanguard Services Unlimited	Arlington, VA	$175,460
Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission	Charlottesville, VA	$71,070
AIDS/HIV Services Group	Charlottesville, VA	$181,742
Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Danville, VA	$109,699
Fairfax County Department of Family Services	Fairfax, VA	$424,715
Fairfax Falls Church Community Services Board	Fairfax, VA	$253,332
Fairfax County Department of Family Services	Fairfax, VA	$243,702
Fairfax County Department of Family Services	Fairfax, VA	$440,271
Kurdish Human Rights Watch, Inc.	Fairfax, VA	$426,357
Lutheran Social Services of the National Capitol Area—NOVACO	Fairfax, VA	$111,492
Homestretch, Inc.	Falls Church, VA	$146,700
PRS, Inc.	Falls Church, VA	$168,450
Rappahannock Refuge, Inc.	Fredericksburg, VA	$52,296
Northwestern Community Services	Front Royal, VA	$94,620
Northwestern Community Services	Front Royal, VA	$117,613
Harrisonburg Redevelopment and Housing Authority	Harrisonburg, VA	$42,000
County of Loudoun	Leesburg, VA	$106,429
County of Loudoun	Leesburg, VA	$117,518
Miriam's House, Inc.	Lynchburg, VA	$261,456
Prince William Department of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$162,619
Prince William Department of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$235,356
Prince William Department of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$210,449

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Prince William Department of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$36,230
Prince William Department of Social Services	Manassas, VA	$14,190
Hampton-Newport News Community Services Board	Newport News, VA	$143,322
LINK of Hampton Roads, Inc.	Newport News, VA	$749,968
CANDII, Inc.	Norfolk, VA	$265,640
Forkids, Inc	Norfolk, VA	$149,166
The Planning Council	Norfolk, VA	$50,533
The Salvation Army	Norfolk, VA	$847,812
YWCA of South Hampton Roads	Norfolk, VA	$39,281
The Salvation Army	Norfolk, VA	$208,250
St. Columba Ecumenical Ministries	Norfolk, VA	$136,500
Barrett Haven, Inc	Norfolk, VA	$182,593
City of Portsmouth	Portsmouth, VA	$69,013
Portsmouth Area Resources Coalition, Inc.	Portsmouth, VA	$120,421
Portsmouth Area Resources Coalition, Inc.	Portsmouth, VA	$53,550
Portsmouth Area Resources Coalition, Inc.	Portsmouth, VA	$102,312
Oasis Commission of Social Ministry of Portsmouth and Chesapeake	Portsmouth, VA	$250,069
Portsmouth Volunteers for the Homeless, Inc.	Portsmouth, VA	$55,650
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$189,105
Virginia Supportive Housing	Richmond, VA	$846,720
Hilliard House	Richmond, VA	$262,917
St. Joseph's Villa	Richmond, VA	$157,750
Emergency Shelter Incorporated	Richmond, VA	$99,960
Emergency Shelter Incorporated	Richmond, VA	$346,500
Homeward	Richmond, VA	$26,745
Virginia Supportive Housing	Richmond, VA	$846,720
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$270,000
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$192,257
Emergency Shelter Incorporated	Richmond, VA	$146,011
St. Joseph's Villa	Richmond, VA	$274,390
City of Richmond	Richmond, VA	$886,560
City of Richmond	Richmond, VA	$60,480
Urban League of Greater Richmond	Richmond, VA	$70,350
Virginia Supportive Housing	Richmond, VA	$423,360
Daily Planet, Inc.	Richmond, VA	$227,687
Total Action Against Poverty	Roanoke, VA	$530,522
Total Action Against Poverty	Roanoke, VA	$284,025
Community Alternatives Management	Virginia Beach, VA	$111,014
Virginia Beach Community Development Corporation	Virginia Beach, VA	$249,704
Community Alternatives Management	Virginia Beach, VA	$20,288
Community Alternatives Management	Virginia Beach, VA	$346,667
Virginia Beach Community Development Corporation	Virginia Beach, VA	$90,747
Methodist Training & Outreach Center, Inc.	St. Thomas, VI	$124,740
Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity, Inc.	Burlington, VT	$222,440
Burlington Housing Authority	Burlington, VT	$257,820
The Howard Center for Human Services	Burlington, VT	$181,146
The Howard Center for Human Services	Burlington, VT	$200,073
Burlington Housing Authority	Burlington, VT	$78,120
Addison County Community Action Group	Middlebury, VT	$145,046
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$34,020
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$179,580
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$90,455
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$34,020
Vermont State Housing Authority	Montpelier, VT	$148,815
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$62,733
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$71,643
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$55,524
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$69,905
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$37,248
State of Vermont	Waterbury, VT	$38,535
Vermont Department of Health—Division of Mental Health	Waterbury, VT	$30,000
Auburn Youth Resources (Severson House)	Auburn, WA	$123,287
Bellingham Whatcom County	Bellingham, WA	$60,060
Bellingham Whatcom County	Bellingham, WA	$705,312
Bellingham Whatcom County	Bellingham, WA	$121,368
City of Bermerton	Bermerton, WA	$35,352
City of Bermerton	Bermerton, WA	$41,580
City of Bermerton	Bermerton, WA	$91,824
Bremerton Housing Authority	Bermerton, WA	$132,562
Kittitas County Action Council	Ellensburg, WA	$45,400
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$35,338

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
Compass Health	Everett, WA	$62,280
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$484,904
Compass Health	Everett, WA	$189,598
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$75,795
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$109,270
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$164,820
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$73,780
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$81,523
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$89,399
Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$39,364
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$837,528
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$186,348
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$659,688
Housing Hope	Everett, WA	$80,315
Housing Hope	Everett, WA	$57,259
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	Everett, WA	$288,828
Multi-Service Center	Federal Way, WA	$26,725
The Salvation Army—River Valley Corps.	Grandview, WA	$72,805
Kent Youth and Family Services	Kent, WA	$38,135
Seattle Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program	Lake Forest Park, WA	$23,580
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$88,537
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$1,022,960
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$334,679
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$153,709
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$605,654
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$135,024
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$179,054
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$259,033
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$136,799
Pierce County	Lakewood, WA	$83,295
Tellurian UCAN, Inc.	Madison, WA	$739,683
Tellurian UCAN, Inc.	Madison, WA	$31,999
Tellurian UCAN, Inc.	Madison, WA	$67,327
Tellurian UCAN, Inc.	Madison, WA	$249,165
The Salvation Army	Madison, WA	$38,193
Porchlight, Inc.	Madison, WA	$162,743
Porchlight, Inc.	Madison, WA	$343,028
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$133,921
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$35,966
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$84,130
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$123,122
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$39,028
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$136,993
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$750,000
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$140,868
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$50,054
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$139,322
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$135,599
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$237,196
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$106,775
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$149,625
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$108,095
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$19,152
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$31,500
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$36,316
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$101,409
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$56,104
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$143,082
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$99,992
WA State Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development	Olympia, WA	$43,821
Serenity House of Clallam County	Port Angeles, WA	$142,951
Serenity House of Clallam County	Port Angeles, WA	$138,769
YWCA of Seattle-King County, Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$167,868
Friends of Youth	Redmond, WA	$123,062
YWCA of Seattle-King County, Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$85,615
YWCA of Seattle-King County, Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$78,878
YWCA of Seattle-King County, Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$42,541
YWCA of Seattle-King County, Snohomish County	Redmond, WA	$57,320
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$72,245
YWCA of Seattle-King County-Snohomish County	Seattle, WA	$100,099
King County	Seattle, WA	$105,000

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
King County	Seattle, WA	$665,856
King County	Seattle, WA	$121,940
King County	Seattle, WA	$99,750
Housing Authority of the City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$9,896
King County	Seattle, WA	$1,592,208
The Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$105,422
Child Care Resources	Seattle, WA	$529,095
Low Income Housing Institute	Seattle, WA	$36,141
Community Psychiatric Clinic	Seattle, WA	$348,157
Community Psychiatric Clinic	Seattle, WA	$75,172
AIDS Housing of Washington	Seattle, WA	$387,192
The Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$197,739
The Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Seattle, WA	$201,577
Aridell Mitchell Home	Seattle, WA	$28,597
El Centro de la Raza	Seattle, WA	$17,603
Catholic Community Services of Western Washington	Seattle, WA	$140,086
King County	Seattle, WA	$624,566
Low Income Housing Institute	Seattle, WA	$398,284
Children's Home Society of Washington	Seattle, WA	$56,642
King County	Seattle, WA	$827,964
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$838,689
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$84,906
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$168,153
King County	Seattle, WA	$63,258
The Compass Center	Seattle, WA	$13,119
The Compass Center	Seattle, WA	$13,166
Seattle Emergency Housing Service	Seattle, WA	$29,684
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$116,397
The Salvation Army	Seattle, WA	$77,839
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$1,149,355
YouthCare	Seattle, WA	$151,856
YouthCare	Seattle, WA	$105,603
The Church Council of Greater Seattle	Seattle, WA	$57,278
King County	Seattle, WA	$74,613
King County	Seattle, WA	$999,168
King County	Seattle, WA	$294,795
King County	Seattle, WA	$198,975
King County	Seattle, WA	$232,848
The Salvation Army	Seattle, WA	$253,989
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$25,423
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$181,307
United Indians of All Tribes Foundation	Seattle, WA	$343,565
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$507,351
Fremont Public Association	Seattle, WA	$158,620
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$443,472
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$326,054
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$114,450
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$328,382
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$105,000
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$548,599
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$545,050
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$492,049
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$121,546
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$80,012
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$80,681
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$696,733
City of Seattle	Seattle, WA	$1,500,000
Spokane Neighborhood Action Program	Spokane, WA	$131,517
Spokane Neighborhood Action Program	Spokane, WA	$133,279
Spokane County	Spokane, WA	$185,520
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$279,010
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$67,162
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$77,175
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$43,419
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$88,698
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$62,396
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$106,004
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$311,079
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,536
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$15,492
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$51,424

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$76,526
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$93,161
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$83,333
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$53,352
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$56,251
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$117,195
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$165,064
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$42,622
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,799
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$38,802
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$271,836
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$27,740
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$9,472
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$730,440
City of Spokane	Spokane, WA	$14,917
YW Housing	Vancouver, WA	$166,102
Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Vancouver, WA	$83,038
Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	Vancouver, WA	$33,249
Columbia River Mental Health Services	Vancouver, WA	$97,633
Mental Health Northwest	Vancouver, WA	$89,396
Council for the Homeless	Vancouver, WA	$24,938
Council for the Homeless	Vancouver, WA	$47,880
YW Housing	Vancouver, WA	$428,757
Share	Vancouver, WA	$61,267
YW Housing	Vancouver, WA	$92,365
Triumph Treatment Services	Yakima, WA	$167,085
City of Appleton	Appleton, WI	$511,591
Starting Points, Inc.	Chippewa Falls, WI	$499,864
Starting Points, Inc.	Chippewa Falls, WI	$348,022
ADVOCAP, Inc.	Fond du Lac, WI	$197,658
ADVOCAP, Inc.	Fond du Lac, WI	$158,584
West Central Wisconsin Community Action Agency, Inc.	Glenwood City, WI	$427,009
Western Dairyland Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	Independence, WI	$264,926
Community Action, Inc. of Rock and Walworth Counties	Janesville, WI	$557,180
Kenosha Human Development Services, Inc.	Kenosha, WI	$123,879
Dane County Human Services	Madison, WI	$283,944
Dane County Human Services	Madison, WI	$790,800
City of Madison	Madison, WI	$111,374
Community Action Coalition for South Central WI, Inc.	Madison, WI	$484,867
Forward Service Corporation	Madison, WI	$393,741
Lakeshore CAP, Inc.	Manitowoc, WI	$117,663
Milwaukee County Behavioral Health Division	Milwaukee, WI	$224,436
Health Care For The Homeless of Milwaukee, Inc. (HCHM, Inc.)	Milwaukee, WI	$248,505
Community Relations—Social Development Commission	Milwaukee, WI	$1,324,024
Meta House, Inc.	Milwaukee, WI	$201,150
Milwaukee County Behavioral Health Division	Milwaukee, WI	$2,036,448
Milwaukee County Behavioral Health Division	Milwaukee, WI	$1,248,101
The Open Gate	Milwaukee, WI	$452,221
Meta House, Inc.	Milwaukee, WI	$984,095
Legal Action of Wisconsin	Milwaukee, WI	$48,000
Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd.	Milwaukee, WI	$115,667
Meta House, Inc.	Milwaukee, WI	$363,277
Walker's Point Youth and Family Center	Milwaukee, WI	$526,525
YWCA of Greater Milwaukee	Milwaukee, WI	$100,739
Homeward Bound of Racine County, Inc.	Racine, WI	$135,593
Transitional Living Services, Inc.	Racine, WI	$336,703
SafeStart, Inc.	Racine, WI	$54,951
Racine Emergency Shelter TaskForce, Inc.	Racine, WI	$171,382
Legal Action of Wisconsin, Inc.	Racine, WI	$80,536
Family Service of Racine	Racine, WI	$84,671
CAP Services, Inc.	Stevens Point, WI	$105,025
Northwest Wisconsin Community Services Agency, Inc.	Superior, WI	$333,369
City of Waukesha Housing Authority	Waukesha, WI	$112,555
City of Waukesha Housing Authority	Waukesha, WI	$434,524
Couleecap, Inc.	Westby, WI	$359,805
United Way of Central West Virginia	Charleston, WV	$42,000
Roark-Sullivan Lifeway Center, Inc	Charleston, WV	$558,342
City of Charleston	Charleston, WV	$189,266
State of West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity	Charleston, WV	$65,000
Charleston Housing	Charleston, WV	$511,200
North Central WV Community Action	Fairmont, WV	$329,420

FISCAL YEAR 2004 FUNDING AWARDS FOR THE CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM—Continued

Grantee name	Location	Grant amount
North Central WV Community Action	Fairmont, WV	$234,920
The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Huntington, WV	$19,392
Cabell-Huntington Coalition for the Homeless	Huntington, WV	$423,622
Cabell-Huntington Coalition for the Homeless	Huntington, WV	$392,540
The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Huntington, WV	$37,495
The Huntington West Virginia Housing Authority	Huntington, WV	$531,120
Telamon Corporation	Martinsburg, WV	$78,993
Parkersburg Housing Authority	Parkersburg, WV	$571,260
Stop Abusive Family Environments, Inc. SAFE	Welch, WV	$407,399
Greater Wheeling Capitation for the Homeless, Inc.	West Virginia, WV	$744,937
Greater Wheeling Capitation for the Homeless, Inc.	West Virginia, WV	$33,600
Housing Authority-City of Casper	Casper, WY	$224,910
Self-Help Center, Inc.	Casper, WY	$292,990
Council of Community Services	Gillette, WY	$399,000

[FR Doc. E7–25427 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

Federal Register

Thursday,
January 3, 2008

Part IV

Office of Personnel Management

5 CFR Part 591

Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Rates; Alaska; Proposed Rule

2006 Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Survey Report: Alaska and Washington, DC, Area; Notice

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

5 CFR Part 591

RIN 3206–AL37

Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Rates; Alaska

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is proposing to change the cost-of-living allowance (COLA) rates received by certain white-collar Federal and U.S. Postal Service employees in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau, Alaska. The proposed rate changes are the result of living-cost surveys conducted by OPM in 2006 and interim adjustments OPM calculated based on relative Consumer Price Index differences between the cost-of-living allowance areas and the Washington, DC, area. The proposed rate changes would reduce the COLA rates in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau from 24 percent to 23 percent. OPM is also proposing a minor clarification to the Alaska COLA area boundaries to make clear the 50-mile radius is by the shortest route using paved roads.

DATES: We will consider comments received on or before March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to Charles D. Grimes III, Deputy Associate Director for Performance Management and Pay Systems, Strategic Human Resources Policy Division, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Room 7300B, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20415–8200; fax: (202) 606–4264; or e-mail: *COLA@opm.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Stanley Austin, (202) 606–2838; fax: (202) 606–4264; or e-mail: *COLA@opm.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 5941 of title 5, United States Code, authorizes Federal agencies to pay cost-of-living allowances (COLAs) to white-collar Federal and U.S. Postal Service employees stationed in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam and the Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Executive Order 10000, as amended, delegates to the U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) the authority to administer nonforeign area COLAs and prescribes certain operational features of the program. OPM conducts living-cost surveys in each allowance area and in the Washington, DC, area to determine whether, and to what degree, COLA area living costs are higher than those in the DC area. OPM sets the COLA rate for each area based on the results of these surveys.

As required by section 591.223 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, OPM conducts COLA surveys once every 3 years on a rotating basis. For areas not surveyed during a particular year, OPM adjusts COLA rates by the relative change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the COLA area compared with the Washington, DC, area. (See 5 CFR 591.224–226.) OPM adopted these regulations pursuant to the stipulation for settlement in *Caraballo et al.* v. *United States,* No. 1997–0027 (D.V.I), August 17, 2000. *Caraballo* was a class-action lawsuit which resulted in many changes in the COLA methodology and regulations.

2006 Alaska Survey

OPM conducted living-cost surveys in Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, and the Washington, DC, area in the spring of 2006. We are publishing the results of these surveys in the *2006 Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Survey Report: Alaska and Washington, DC, Areas,* which accompanies this proposed rule.

As described in the 2006 survey report, we compared the results of the COLA area surveys with the results of the DC area survey to compute a living-cost index for each of the Alaska COLA areas. Table 1 shows the final 2006 Alaska survey living-cost indexes. These indexes indicate reductions in the COLA rates for Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau. OPM's regulations at 5 CFR 591.228(c) limit COLA rate reductions to 1 percentage point in a 12-month period; therefore, OPM is proposing to reduce the COLA rates in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau from 24 percent to 23 percent.

TABLE 1.—2006 ALASKA SURVEY INDEXES

Allowance area	Index
Anchorage	109.81
Fairbanks	118.90
Juneau	120.08
Rest of the State of Alaska	132.82

2005 Interim Adjustments

On October 27, 2006, OPM published a notice on the 2005 interim adjustments for the Alaska and Pacific COLA areas in the **Federal Register** at 71 FR 63178. OPM also published a proposed rule at 71 FR 63176 to reduce the COLA rates for Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau based on the interim adjustments. In the notice, the adjustment for Alaska used CPI changes for a 30-month period and the adjustment for the Pacific used CPI changes for an 18-month period. As specified at 5 CFR 591.224(a), the adjustment for the Alaska areas should have been based on a 24-month period and the adjustment for the Pacific areas should have been based on a 12-month period. Tables 2 and 3 show corrected CPI changes for Anchorage and Honolulu.

TABLE 2.—CHANGE IN ANCHORAGE AND IN THE WASHINGTON-BALTIMORE CPI–US 2003 TO 2005

Survey area	CPI–U
Anchorage 2003 CPI–U first half	161.1
Anchorage 2005 CPI–U first half	169.6
Anchorage change in percent	5.2762
DC-Baltimore 2003 CPI–U first half	115.6
DC-Baltimore 2005 CPI–U first half	122.8
DC-Baltimore change in percent	6.2284

TABLE 3.—CHANGE IN HONOLULU AND IN THE WASHINGTON-BALTIMORE CPI–US 2004 TO 2005

Survey area	CPI–U
Honolulu 2004 CPI–U first half	189.2
Honolulu 2005 CPI–U first half	195.0
Honolulu change in percent	3.0655
DC-Baltimore 2004 CPI–U first half	118.3
DC-Baltimore 2005 CPI–U first half	122.8
DC-Baltimore change in percent	3.8039

Correcting the adjustments for the appropriate period changed the indexes for the Alaska and Pacific areas as shown in Tables 4 and 5. Because the corrected Alaska area indexes are now superseded by the 2006 Alaska survey indexes and the corrected Pacific indexes have been superseded by 2006 interim adjustment indexes, OPM plans no further action based on the 2005 interim adjustments.

TABLE 4.—ALASKA 2005 CPI–U PRICE INDEX ADJUSTMENTS

	Anchorage	Fairbanks	Juneau	Kodiak
2003 COLA Survey Indexes	111.40	115.62	118.09	135.84

TABLE 4.—ALASKA 2005 CPI–U PRICE INDEX ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

	Anchorage	Fairbanks	Juneau	Kodiak
Adjustment Factors	7	9	9	9
2003 COLA Survey Price Indexes	104.40	106.62	109.09	126.84
2005 CPI Adjusted Price Indexes	103.46	105.66	108.11	125.70
2005 COLA Indexes with Adj. Factors	110.46	114.66	117.11	134.70

TABLE 5.—PACIFIC 2005 CPI–U PRICE INDEX ADJUSTMENTS

	Honolulu	Hawaii Co	Kauai	Maui	Guam
2004 COLA Survey Indexes	125.80	117.25	127.63	131.50	127.40
Adjustment Factors	5	7	7	7	9
2004 COLA Survey Price Indexes	120.80	110.25	120.63	124.50	118.40
2005 CPI Adjusted Price Indexes	119.94	109.47	119.77	123.61	117.56
2005 COLA Indexes with Adj. Factors	124.94	116.47	126.99	130.61	125.56

Area Boundary Clarification

OPM is also proposing a clarification to the Alaska COLA area boundaries in 5 CFR 591.207 to make clear the 80-kilometer (50-mile) radius is by the shortest route using paved roads when available, as measured from the Federal courthouse to the official duty station. OPM believes the current regulations should not be interpreted differently, but proposes this clarification to assist agencies when alternate interpretations are presented.

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Review

This rule has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because the regulation will affect only Federal agencies and employees.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 591

Government employees, Travel and transportation expenses, Wages.

Office of Personnel Management.

Linda M. Springer,

Director.

Accordingly, OPM proposes to amend subpart B of 5 CFR part 591 as follows:

PART 591—ALLOWANCES AND DIFFERENTIALS

Subpart B—Cost-of-Living Allowance and Post Differential—Nonforeign Areas

1. The authority citation for subpart B of 5 CFR part 591 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5941; E.O. 10000, 3 CFR, 1943–1948 Comp., p. 792; and E.O. 12510, 3 CFR, 1985 Comp., p. 338.

2. In § 591.207, revise paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) to read as follows:

§ 591.207 Which areas are COLA areas?

* * * * *

(a) City of Anchorage, AK, and 80-kilometer (50-mile) radius by shortest route using paved roads when available, as measured from the Federal courthouse to the official duty station;

(b) City of Fairbanks, AK, and 80-kilometer (50-mile) radius by shortest route using paved roads when available, as measured from the Federal courthouse to the official duty station;

(c) City of Juneau, AK, and 80-kilometer (50-mile) radius by shortest route using paved roads when available, as measured from the Federal courthouse to the official duty station;

* * * * *

3. Revise appendix A of subpart B to read as follows:

Appendix A to Subpart B of Part 591—Places and Rates at Which Allowances Are Paid

This appendix lists the places approved for a cost-of-living allowance and shows the authorized allowance rate for each area. The allowance rate shown is paid as a percentage of an employee's rate of basic pay. The rates are subject to change based on the results of future surveys.

Geographic coverage	Allowance rate (percent)
State of Alaska:	
City of Anchorage and 80-kilometer (50-mile) radius by road	23
City of Fairbanks and 80-kilometer (50-mile) radius by road	23
City of Juneau and 80-kilometer (50-mile) radius by road	23
Rest of the State	25
State of Hawaii:	
City and County of Honolulu	25
Hawaii County, Hawaii	18
County of Kauai	25
County of Maui and County of Kalawao	25
Territory of Guam and Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	25
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	13
U.S. Virgin Islands	25

[FR Doc. E7–25302 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

2006 Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Survey Report: Alaska and Washington, DC, Areas

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice publishes the ''2006 Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Survey Report: Alaska and Washington, DC, Areas.'' The Federal Government uses the results of surveys such as these to set cost-of-living allowance (COLA) rates for General Schedule, U.S. Postal Service, and certain other Federal employees in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam and the Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. This report contains the results of the COLA surveys conducted by the U.S. Office of Personnel Management in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau, Alaska; and in the Washington, DC, area during the spring and early summer of 2006.

DATES: Comments on this report must be received on or before March 3, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to Charles D. Grimes III, Deputy Associate Director for Performance Management and Pay Systems, Strategic Human Resources Policy Division, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Room 7300B, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20415–8200; fax: (202) 606–4264; or e-mail: *COLA@opm.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Stanley Austin, (202) 606–2838; fax: (202) 606–4264; or e-mail: *COLA@opm.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 591.229 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, requires the U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) to publish nonforeign area cost-of-living allowance (COLA) survey summary reports in the **Federal Register**. We are publishing the complete ''2006 Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Survey Report: Alaska and Washington, DC, Areas'' with this notice. The report contains the results of the COLA surveys we conducted in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau, Alaska; and in the Washington, DC, area during the spring and early summer of 2006.

Survey Results

Using an index scale with Washington, DC, area living costs equal to 100, we computed index values of relative prices in the Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, and Rest of the State of Alaska COLA areas. Then we added an adjustment factor of 7.0 to the Anchorage price index and 9.0 to the other Alaska price indexes and rounded the results to the nearest whole percentage point. According to the results, the COLA rate for the Rest of the State of Alaska COLA area should remain unchanged, but the COLA rates for Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau should decrease. Section 591.228(c) limits decreases to 1 percentage point in a 12-month period. OPM proposes to reduce those rates no sooner than 12 months after the effective date of the last reduction.

Office of Personnel Management

Linda M. Springer,

Director.

2006 Nonforeign Area Cost-of-Living Allowance Survey Report: Alaska and Washington, DC, Areas

Table of Contents

Executive Summary
1. Introduction
   1.1 Report Objectives
2. Preparing for the Survey
   2.1 COLA Advisory Committees
   2.2 Pre-Survey Meetings
   2.3 Survey Item Selection
   2.3.1 Special Considerations
   2.4 Outlet Selection
   2.5 Geographic Coverage
3. Conducting the Survey
   3.1 Pricing Period
   3.2 Non-Housing Price Data Collection
   3.2.1 Data Collection Teams
   3.2.2 Data Collection Process
   3.3 Housing (Rental) Price Data Collection
4. Analyzing the Results
   4.1 Data Review
   4.2 Special Price Computations
   4.2.1 K–12 Private Education
   4.2.2 Health Insurance
   4.2.3 Water Utilities
   4.2.4 Energy Utilities Model
   4.2.5 Tire Prices
   4.2.6 Renters' Insurance
   4.2.7 Rental Data Hedonic Models
   4.3 Averaging Prices by Item and Area
   4.4 Computing Price Indexes
   4.4.1 Geometric Means
   4.4.2 Special Private Education Computations
   4.5 Applying Consumer Expenditure Weights
   4.6 Computing the Overall Price Index for Rest of the State of Alaska
5. Final Results
6. Post Survey Meetings

List of Appendices

Appendix 1: Publication in the **Federal Register** of Prior Survey Results: 1990–2005
Appendix 2: Estimated DC Area Middle Income Annual Consumer Expenditures
Appendix 3: COLA Survey Items and Descriptions
Appendix 4: COLA Rental Survey Data Collection Elements
Appendix 5: Utility Usage and Calculations
Appendix 6: Hedonic Rental Data Equations and Results
Appendix 7: Final Living-Cost Results for Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau, AK
Appendix 8: Final Living-Cost Results for the Rest of the State of Alaska

Executive Summary

The Government pays cost-of-living allowances (COLAs) to Federal employees in nonforeign areas in consideration of living costs significantly higher than those in the Washington, DC, area. The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) conducts living-cost surveys to set the COLA rates. The methodology for conducting these surveys is prescribed in regulation at subpart B of part 591 of title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

This report provides the results of the COLA surveys OPM conducted in the spring and early summer of 2006 in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau, Alaska; and in the Washington, DC, area. The report details our comparison of living costs in the Alaska areas with living costs in the Washington, DC, area.

For the surveys, we contacted about 930 outlets and collected approximately 4,300 non-rental prices on more than 320 items representing typical consumer purchases. We also collected about 1,800 rental prices. We then combined the data using consumer expenditure information from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The final results are living-cost indexes, shown in Table 1. These indexes compare living costs in the Alaska COLA areas to those in the Washington, DC, area. The index for the DC area (not shown) is 100.00 because it is, by law, the reference area. The living-cost indexes shown in Table 1 include the adjustment factor prescribed at 5 CFR 591.227.

TABLE 1.—FINAL LIVING-COST COMPARISON INDEXES

Allowance area	Index
Anchorage	109.81
Fairbanks	118.90
Juneau	120.08
Rest of the State of Alaska	132.82

1. Introduction

1.1 Report Objectives

This report provides the results of the 2006 Alaska nonforeign area cost-of-living allowance (COLA) survey conducted by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) in the spring and early summer of 2006. (Appendix 1 lists prior survey reports and their publication dates.) In addition to providing the results, the report

describes how we prepared for and conducted the survey and analyzed the results. The results show comparative living-cost differences between the Alaska COLA areas, *i.e.*, Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, and the Rest of the State of Alaska, and the Washington, DC, area. By law, Washington, DC, is the base or ''reference'' area for the COLA program.

2. Preparing for the Survey

2.1 COLA Advisory Committees

Before conducting the Alaska survey, OPM established COLA Advisory Committees (CACs) in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau. The settlement of *Caraballo, et al.* v. *United States,* No. 1997–0027 (D.V.I.), August 17, 2000, provides for employee involvement in the administration of the COLA program. As in previous surveys, we found it valuable to involve employee and agency representatives in planning and conducting the surveys and reviewing the results.

Each CAC is composed of approximately 12 agency and employee representatives from the survey area and 2 OPM representatives. The functions of the CACs include the following:

—Advising and assisting OPM in planning COLA surveys;
—Providing or arranging for data collection observers during COLA surveys;
—Advising and assisting OPM in reviewing survey data;
—Advising OPM on its COLA program administration, including survey methodology;
—Assisting OPM in disseminating information to affected employees about the surveys and the COLA program; and
—Advising OPM on special situations or conditions, such as hurricanes and earthquakes, as they relate to OPM's authority to conduct interim surveys or implement some other change in response to conditions caused by a natural disaster or similar emergency.

2.2 Pre-Survey Meetings

To help us prepare for the COLA surveys, the CACs held 3-day meetings in Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau. The CACs reviewed the preliminary outlet and item lists developed by OPM for the surveys. The committee members researched the outlets and availability and appropriateness of the items in each area and made recommendations to us concerning the survey. We incorporated these recommendations into the survey design.

We found the work of the CACs to be extremely helpful and informative. The CACs' knowledge of the local area, the popularity of items and outlets, and other information about the COLA area were invaluable in helping us plan the survey.

2.3 Survey Item Selection

As described in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, we consulted with the CACs as we selected survey items. We identified items to reflect a wide array of items consumers typically purchase. To determine what consumers purchase, OPM uses the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) 2002/2003 Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES). We aggregated CES expenditures into the following nine major expenditure groups (MEGs):

—Food,
—Shelter and Utilities,
—Household Furnishings and Supplies,
—Apparel,
—Transportation,
—Medical,
—Recreation,
—Education and Communication, and
—Miscellaneous.

We further subdivided each MEG into primary expenditure groups (PEGs). In all, there were 45 PEGs. For example, we subdivided Food into the following nine PEGs:

—Cereals and Bakery Products;
—Meats, Poultry, Fish, and Eggs;
—Dairy Products;
—Fresh Fruits and Vegetables;
—Processed Foods;
—Other Food at Home;
—Nonalcoholic Beverages;
—Food Away from Home; and
—Alcoholic Beverages.

To select survey items, we chose a sufficient number of items to represent each PEG and reduce overall price index variability. To do this, we applied the following guidelines: Each survey item should be:

—Relatively important (*i.e.*, represent a fairly large expenditure) within the PEG;
—Relatively easy to find in both COLA and DC areas;
—Relatively common, *i.e.*, what people typically buy;
—Relatively stable over time, *e.g.*, not a fad item; and
—Subject to similar supply and demand functions.

In all, we selected over 320 non-housing items to survey. Appendix 2 shows how OPM organized the CES data into MEGs and PEGs, identifies the Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which we chose survey items, and shows estimated DC area middle income annual consumer expenditures for each DEC and higher level of aggregations.

Appendix 3 lists the items we surveyed and their descriptions. Each of these items is specifically described with an exact brand, model, type, and size whenever practical. Thus, we priced exactly the same items or the same quality and quantity of items in both the COLA and DC areas. For example, we priced a 10.5-ounce can of Campbell's Chicken Noodle Soup in both the COLA and DC areas because it is typical of canned soups and consumers commonly purchase it.

2.3.1 Special Considerations

Health Insurance: It is not practical to compare the prices of exactly the same quality and quantity of health insurance between the COLA and Washington, DC, areas because the same array of plans is not offered in each area, and a significant proportion of Federal employees in both the COLA and DC areas subscribe to plans not available nationwide. To compare the employee health benefits premiums of these often highly different plans, OPM would have to adjust for differences in benefits and coverage. Research conducted by the parties prior to the *Caraballo* settlement indicated this would not be feasible.

Therefore, OPM uses the non-Postal Service employee's share of the Federal Employees Health Benefits premiums by plan for each plan offered in each area. OPM maintains these data in its Central Personnel Data File (CPDF), including the number of white-collar Federal employees enrolled in each plan. As described in Section 4.2.3, we used these data to compute the average ''price'' of health insurance for Federal employees in the COLA and DC areas.

Housing: For housing items, OPM surveys rental rates for specific kinds or classes of housing and collects detailed information about each housing unit. OPM surveys the following classes of housing:

—Four bedroom, single family unit, not to exceed 3200 square feet;
—Three bedroom, single family unit, not to exceed 2600 square feet;
—Two bedroom, single family unit, not to exceed 2200 square feet;
—Three bedroom apartment unit, not to exceed 2000 square feet;
—Two bedroom apartment unit, not to exceed 1800 square feet; and
—One bedroom apartment unit, not to exceed 1400 square feet.

For each housing unit we surveyed, we obtained approximately 80 characteristics about the unit. For example, we determined the number of bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, whether there was a garage, air conditioning, security systems, and recreational activities. Appendix 4 lists the types of detailed information we collected. We did not collect

homeowner data, such as mortgage payments, maintenance expenses, or insurance. Under the *Caraballo* settlement, the parties agreed to adopt a rental equivalence approach similar to the one BLS uses for the Consumer Price Index. Rental equivalence compares the shelter value (rental value) of owned homes, rather than total owner costs, because the latter are influenced by the investment value of the home (*i.e.*, influenced by what homeowners hope to realize as a profit when they sell their homes). As a rule, living-cost surveys do not compare how consumers invest their money.

In the 2006 survey, OPM surveyed rents and used them to estimate homeowner rental values (*i.e.*, rental equivalence). In late 2004 and 2005, OPM conducted special research, the General Population Rental Equivalence Survey (GPRES), to obtain additional rent and rental equivalence information. The goal was to determine whether OPM should adjust the rent index before using it to estimate homeowner rental values. The analyses showed that no adjustments should be made. Therefore, OPM's use of the rents to estimate rental equivalence is appropriate. OPM published the GPRES results in a **Federal Register** notice on July 31, 2006, at 71 FR 43228.

Although we surveyed rental rates for the same classes of housing in each area, the type, style, size, quality, and other 80-plus characteristics of each unit varied within each area and between the COLA and DC areas. As described in Section 4.2.6, we used special statistical analyses to hold these characteristics constant between the COLA and Washington, DC, areas to make rental price comparisons.

2.4 Outlet Selection

Just as it is important to select commonly-purchased items and survey the same items in both the DC area and COLA areas, it is important to select outlets frequented by consumers and find comparable outlets in both the COLA and DC areas. To identify comparable outlets, OPM categorizes outlets by type (*e.g.*, grocery store, convenience store, discount store, hardware store, auto dealer, and catalog outlet) and then surveys only specific items at each outlet type. For example, OPM surveys grocery items at supermarkets in all areas because most people purchase their groceries at such stores and because supermarkets exist in nearly all areas. Selecting comparable outlets is particularly important because significant price variations may occur between dissimilar outlets (*e.g.*, comparing the price of milk at a supermarket with the price of milk at a convenience store).

We used the above classification criteria and existing data sources, including previous COLA surveys, phonebooks, and various business listings, to develop initial outlet lists for the survey. We provided these lists to the CACs and consulted with them on outlet selection. The committees helped us refine the outlet lists and identify other/additional outlets where local consumers generally purchase the survey items.

We also priced some items by catalog, and when we did, we priced the same items by catalog in the COLA areas and in the DC area. We priced 11 items by catalog in the Alaska areas. All catalog prices included any charges for shipping and handling and all applicable taxes, including excise taxes.

In all, we surveyed prices from approximately 930 outlets. In the COLA survey areas, we attempted to survey three popular outlets of each type, to the extent practical. For some outlet types, such as local phone service, there were not three outlets. In some areas, there was not a sufficient number of businesses to find three outlets of each particular type. In the Washington, DC area, we attempted to survey nine popular outlets of each type, three in each of the DC survey areas described in Table 2.

2.5 Geographic Coverage

Table 2 shows the Alaska COLA and DC survey area boundaries. OPM collected non-housing prices in outlets throughout the major cities described in Table 2. To collect rental data, OPM contracted with Delta-21 Resources, Incorporated, a research organization with expertise in housing and rental data collection. Delta-21 surveyed rental rates in locations within the same cities.

To collect non-rental data in the DC area, OPM divides the area into three survey areas, as shown in Table 2. OPM collects non-rental prices in outlets throughout these areas. As stated in the footnote to Table 2, we surveyed certain items, such as golf, in areas beyond the counties and cities specified in Table 2. Another example is air travel. We surveyed the cost of air travel from Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport, Washington Dulles International Airport, and Baltimore/Washington International Airport (BWI) and surveyed the price of a 5-mile taxi ride originating at these airports. Both Dulles and BWI are outside the counties and cities shown in Table 2. Nevertheless, DC area residents commonly use both airports.

TABLE 2.—SURVEY AND DATA COLLECTION AREAS

COLA areas and reference areas	Survey area
Anchorage, AK	City of Anchorage.
Fairbanks, AK	Fairbanks/North Pole area.
Juneau, AK	Juneau/Mendenhall/Douglas area.
Washington, DC–DC	District of Columbia.
Washington, DC–MD	Montgomery County and Prince George's County.
Washington, DC–VA	Arlington County, Fairfax County, Prince William County, City of Alexandria, City of Fairfax, City of Falls Church, City of Manassas, and City of Manassas Park.

Note: For selected items, such as snow skiing and air travel, these survey areas include additional geographic locations beyond these jurisdictions.

Delta-21 surveyed rental prices as specified in the COLA areas and throughout the DC area. (Note: OPM does not divide the DC area into three separate survey areas for rental data collection but rather treats the area as a single survey area.) In selecting the locations and sample sizes within each location, OPM used 2000 census data showing the relative number of Federal employees and housing units by zip code. In doing this, we often merged several zip codes to identify a single location. We allocated the rental sample objectively, requiring Delta to attempt to obtain more rental observations in locations with a relatively large number of Federal employees and housing units and fewer observations in locations with a relatively small number of Federal employees and housing units. Although

the process provided a rational way to allocate the sample, Delta was limited ultimately by how many units were available for rent within a location. Under the contract, Delta surveyed only units available for rent. It did not survey all renter-occupied housing.

3. Conducting the Survey

3.1 Pricing Period

OPM collected data from late March through early July 2006. We collected non-housing price data concurrently in the Alaska areas in March and April and collected the bulk of the DC area data in May. Delta-21 collected rental data sequentially in the DC area, Juneau, Fairbanks, and Anchorage beginning in March and ending in July 2006.

3.2 Non-Housing Price Data Collection

3.2.1 Data Collection Teams

In both the COLA and Washington, DC, areas, OPM central office staff collected non-housing price data. In the COLA areas, data collection observers designated by the local CAC accompanied the OPM data collectors. Data collection observers were extremely helpful and advised and assisted the data collectors in contacting outlets, matching items, and selecting substitutes. The observers also advised us on other living-cost and compensation issues relating to their areas.

Because of logistical considerations, cost, and the fact OPM central office staff is very knowledgeable about the DC area, we did not use CAC data collection observers in the Washington, DC, area. However, we made all of the DC area data available to the CACs. This included both the rental and non-rental data. The non-rental data showed the individual prices by item, store, and survey location as well as averages. The rental data included a photograph and a rough sketch of the layout of the rental unit. We also provided the CACs with maps showing where each rental unit is located.

3.2.2 Data Collection Process

The data collector/observer teams obtained most of the data by visiting stores, auto dealers, and other outlets. The teams also priced some items, such as car insurance, tax preparation fees, bank interest, and private education tuition, by telephone. As noted in Section 2.4, we surveyed some items via catalog, including all shipping costs and any applicable taxes in the price. We also collected other data, such as sales tax rates and airline fares, from Web sites on the Internet.

For all items subject to sales and/or excise taxes, OPM added the appropriate amount of tax to the price for computing COLA rates. Sales tax rates varied by city within Alaska and in the DC area. Some sales tax rates also varied by item, such as restaurant meals, within a location.

The data collectors collected the price of the item at the time of the visit to the outlet. Therefore, with certain exceptions, the data collectors collected the sale price if the item was on sale, and we used sale prices in the COLA calculations. The exceptions include coupon prices, going-out-of-business prices, clearance prices, mail-in rebates, and area-wide distress sales, which we do not use. We also do not collect automobile ''sale'' or negotiated prices. Instead, we obtain the sticker (i.e., non-negotiated) price for the model and specified options. The prices are the manufacturer's suggested retail price (including options), destination charges, additional shipping charges, appropriate dealer-added items or options, dealer mark-up, and taxes, including sales tax and licensing and title fees.

3.3 Housing (Rental) Price Data Collection

As noted in Section 2.5, OPM contracted for the collection of rental data with Delta-21, which collected data in the three Alaska cities and in the DC area. These data included rental prices, comprehensive information about the size and type of dwelling, number and types of rooms, and other important amenities that might influence the rental price. Appendix 4 lists the data elements Delta-21 collected.

The contractor identified units for rent from various sources, including rental property managers, realtor brokers, listing services, newspaper ads, grocery store bulletin boards, and casual drive-by observation. The contractor then visited each rental unit, took a photograph of the unit, made a sketch of the floor plan based on exterior dimensions and shape, and noted the unit's longitude and latitude coordinates. We used longitude and latitude to (1) determine the distance of the rental unit from major commercial and Government centers, (2) to correlate census tract data (e.g., median income) for the tract in which the unit was located, and (3) to map each unit's location. As discussed in Section 4.2.5, we used certain census tract data elements along with the data Delta-21 collected to determine the relative price of rents.

During the 2006 survey, OPM arranged for CAC observers to accompany Delta-21 rental data collectors as they collected data in the survey areas. This is the first year CAC observers did this, so it was a learning experience for the CAC members, Delta-21, and OPM. Overall, the observation process went well and enhanced the CAC observers' understanding of the rental survey process. OPM is considering allowing CAC members to be rental data collection observers in future COLA rental surveys.

4. Analyzing the Results

4.1 Data Review

During and after the data collection process, the data collectors reviewed the data for errors and omissions. This involved reviewing the data item-by-item and comparing prices across outlets within an area to spot data entry errors, mismatches, and other mistakes.

After all of the data had been collected in both the COLA areas and the Washington, DC, area, we again reviewed the data by item across all of the areas. One purpose was to spot errors not previously detected, but the principal reason was to look at substitute items.

A substitute is an item similar to but not exactly the same as the specified survey item. For example, one of the items OPM specified was a man's Geoffrey Beene long sleeve dress shirt. The data collectors in the Alaska areas, however, discovered some stores did not carry the Geoffrey Beene brand. Therefore, the data collectors priced a long sleeve dress shirt by Arrow instead. We then priced the same shirt in the DC area and used the substitute price information in place of the prices of the originally specified item.

4.2 Special Price Computations

After completing our data review, we made special price computations for five survey items: K–12 private education, Federal Employees Health Benefits premiums, water utilities, energy utility prices, automobile tire prices, renters' insurance, and rental prices. For each of these, we used special processes to calculate appropriate estimates for each survey area.

4.2.1 K–12 Private Education

One of the items OPM surveys is the average annual tuition for private education, grades K–12. As in previous surveys, we found tuition rates varied by grade level. Therefore, we computed an overall average tuition ''price'' for each school surveyed by averaging the tuition rates grade-by-grade. Section 4.4.2 below describes the additional special ''use factor'' OPM applied to the

average tuition rates in the price comparison process.

4.2.2 Health Insurance

As noted in Section 2.3.1, OPM surveyed the non-Postal employees' premium for the various Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) plans offered in each survey area. Using enrollment information from the CPDF, we computed two weighted average premium costs—one for self-only coverage and another for family coverage—for white-collar Federal employees in each of the COLA areas and in the Washington, DC, area. As shown in Table 3, we then computed an overall weighted average premium for each survey area by applying the number of white-collar Federal employees nationwide enrolled in self-only and family plans. We used the overall weighted average premiums as ''prices'' in the price averaging process described in Section 4.3.

TABLE 3.—2006 AVERAGE FEHB PREMIUMS FOR FULL-TIME PERMANENT EMPLOYEES

(Non-Postal Employees' Share)

Location	Self premium	Family premium	Bi-Weekly weighted average premium
Anchorage	58.58	135.82	104.68
Fairbanks	55.89	132.19	101.43
Juneau	56.89	131.31	101.31
DC Area	52.57	121.47	93.70
Nationwide Enrollment	620,038	918,099	
Enrollment Percentage	40.31%	59.69%	

4.2.3 Water Utilities

OPM surveys water utility rates in each of the COLA and Washington, DC, survey areas. To compute the ''price'' of water utilities, we assumed the average monthly water consumption in each area was 7,600 gallons. We derived this estimate from earlier COLA research, and it reflects the average consumption across all of the COLA areas and the Washington, DC, area. We used this quantity along with the rates charged to compute the average monthly water utility cost by survey area. These average monthly costs were the water utility ''prices'' we used in the price averaging process described in Section 4.3.

4.2.4 Energy Utilities Model

For energy utilities (i.e., electricity, gas, and oil), OPM collects from local utility companies and suppliers in the COLA and DC survey areas the price of various energy utilities used for lighting, cooking, cooling, and other household needs. We use these prices in a heating and cooling engineering model that estimates how many kilowatt hours of electricity, cubic feet of gas, and/or gallons of fuel oil are needed to maintain a specific model home at a constant ambient temperature of 72 degrees in each area.

The engineering model was developed by an economic consulting company under special research conducted jointly for OPM and the plaintiffs' representatives after the *Caraballo* settlement. The model uses local home construction information and climatic data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and also includes the amount of electricity needed to run standard household appliances and lighting. For each survey area, we calculated the cost of heating and cooling the model home using the different heating fuels and electricity for lighting and appliances. Although some homes use additional energy sources, such as wood, coal, kerosene, and solar energy, we did not price or include these in the calculations because, based on the results of the 2000 census, relatively few homes use these as primary energy sources.

For Fairbanks and Juneau, OPM surveyed the price of electricity and fuel oil to compute home energy costs because the 2000 Census indicated that these two sources were used to heat over 95 percent of the homes in Fairbanks and Juneau. In Anchorage, OPM surveyed gas and electricity prices because Census data indicated that 97 percent of the Anchorage homes use these energy sources for heating. In Washington, OPM surveyed the costs of all three fuels (gas, oil and electricity). OPM used percentages based on the usage of the different fuels in each survey area to compute a weighted average utility fuel cost for the area. Appendix 5 shows the energy requirements, relative usage percentages, and total costs by area. OPM used these total costs as the ''price'' of utilities in the COLA rate calculations.

4.2.5 Tire Prices

Because of the climate, many Alaskans use snow tires on their automobiles during the winter months. People in the Washington, DC, area generally do not use snow tires. Therefore, in Alaska, we surveyed the price of both snow tires and all season radial tires and averaged the prices to compute the ''price'' for tires. We compared this with the average price of all season radial tires in the Washington, DC, area to compute a price index for tires.

4.2.6 Renters' Insurance

One of the items OPM surveys is the price of renters' insurance. In the COLA areas, OPM includes catastrophic coverage for earthquakes, hurricanes, and/or typhoons, to the extent it is available, in the price. In the 2006 survey, we surveyed earthquake insurance for renters in Alaska. Some insurance carriers did not offer this coverage, so for these carriers we estimated the price of earthquake coverage. We did this by computing for the companies that offered earthquake coverage the additional cost in percent of such coverage and increasing the price of the policies that did not provide the coverage by that percentage.

4.2.7 Rental Data Hedonic Models

As discussed in Sections 2.5 and 3.3, OPM hired a contractor to collect rental data, including rents and the characteristics of each rental unit. As described in Section 3.3, we collated these rental data with census tract information published by the Bureau of the Census using the longitude and latitude of the rental properties. We used census tracts, which are relatively small geographically, as surrogates for neighborhoods. We believe the census tract characteristics, such as the percentage of school age children,

reflect the character and quality of the neighborhoods in which the rental units are found.

OPM uses hedonic regression analysis, which is a type of multiple linear regression analysis, to compare rents in the COLA areas with rents in the DC area. Multiple linear regression is a type of statistical analysis used to determine how the dependent variable (in this case rent) is influenced by the independent variables (in this case the characteristics of the neighborhood and rental unit). In regression analyses, it is very important to choose the independent variables with great care, making certain only those meeting certain statistically significant thresholds are used in the analysis. To select the independent variables, OPM uses a special procedure developed jointly by OPM and the Technical Advisory Committee. (The Technical Advisory Committee was established under the *Caraballo* settlement and is composed of three economists with expertise in living-cost comparisons.) We call this procedure the Variable Selection Protocol (VSP).

VSP is a multi-step procedure that uses objective criteria to eliminate independent variables with little statistical significance in the regression. It also removes variables with inexplicable signs and variables that negatively affect the precision of the rent indexes. An example of an inexplicable sign is when the landlord provides an amenity (e.g., a microwave), and the variable has a negative sign. In essence, this is the same as saying on average when the landlord did not provide a microwave, the property rented for more than when the landlord did provide a microwave. In 2006, the presence of kitchen range, patio, and trash pickup had negative signs and were dropped.

How VSP drops variables that negatively affect the precision of rent indexes is a bit more complicated to explain. The key variable in the regression is the survey area, i.e., Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, and the Washington, DC, area. As with all variables in the regression, these variables have parameter estimates; but the survey area parameter estimates are especially important because they become the rent indexes for each of the survey areas. Therefore, it is important that the survey area parameter estimates be as accurate as practicable. The accuracy is measured by the standard error of the survey area parameter estimate. In the last steps of VSP, the protocol tests each of the variables in the model and drops variables that if retained would raise the standard errors of the survey area parameter estimates.

Using VSP, we selected variables with the greatest statistical significance. The variables are listed below and are shown in the regression output in Appendix 6.

—Age of unit (i.e., number of years since built or extensively remodeled);
—Age squared;
—Elevator (yes/no) (for apartments only);
—Exceptional view (yes/no);
—Fireplace (yes/no);
—Garage (yes/no) (for detached and town/row houses);
—Microwave (yes/no);
—Number of square feet combined (i.e., ''crossed'') with unit type;
—Number of bathrooms;
—Number of bedrooms;
—Percent school age children in census tract;
—Percent with BA degree or higher in census tract;
—Percent with BA degree squared;
—Square footage squared;
—Unit Type (detached house, row/townhouse, high rise apartment, garden apartment, and other (in-home apartments, duplex/triplex/quadplex units and other));
—Survey Year (2005 or 2006 DC area only); and
—Survey area (Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, or the DC area).

The Technical Advisory Committee economists recommended adding Washington, DC, rental observations from the previous year to the current year rental data. We examined the effect of including prior year data and found it significantly reduced the standard error of the survey area parameter estimate. Therefore, we included the survey year variable in the regression calculations.

As is common in this type of analysis and as was done in the research leading to the *Caraballo* settlement, OPM uses semi-logarithmic regressions. As noted previously in this section, the regression produces parameter estimates for each independent variable, including survey area. When the regression uses the Washington, DC, area as the base, the regression produces parameter estimates for each of the COLA survey areas: Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau. The exponent of the survey area parameter estimate (i.e., after the estimate is converted from natural logarithms) multiplied by 100 (following the convention used to express indexes) is the survey area's rent index. This index reflects the difference in rents in each of the COLA survey areas relative to the Washington, DC, area, while holding constant important neighborhood and rental unit characteristics captured in the survey and census data.

OPM makes a technical adjustment in the above calculations to correct for a slight bias caused by the use of logarithms because the exponent of the average of the logarithms of a series of numbers is always less than the average of the numbers. Therefore, we added one-half of the standard deviation of the survey area parameter estimate before converting from natural logarithms. (See Arthur Goldberger, ''Best Linear Unbiased Prediction in the Generalized Linear Regression Model,'' *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1962.) Table 4 shows the resulting rent indexes. We used these indexes as ''prices'' in the price averaging process described in Section 4.3.

TABLE 4.—RENT INDEXES

Area	Rent index
Anchorage	86.22
Fairbanks	80.55
Juneau	94.39
Washington, DC, Area	*100.00

* By definition, the index of the base area is always 100.00.

Appendix 6 shows the regression equation in SAS code and the regression results. (SAS is a proprietary statistical analysis computer software package.)

4.3 Averaging Prices by Item and Area

After OPM collects, reviews, and makes special adjustments in the data, OPM averages the prices of each item by COLA survey area. For example, we priced milk at three different grocery stores in Anchorage and averaged these prices to compute a single average price for milk in Anchorage. If we collected more than one price for a particular matched item within the same outlet (e.g., priced equivalent brands), we used the lowest price by item and outlet to compute the average. (The concept is that if the item and brands are equivalent, consumers will choose the one with the lowest price.) We repeated this item-by-item averaging process for each area.

For Washington, DC, area prices, we first averaged prices within each of the three DC survey areas described in Section 2.5. Then we computed a simple average of the three DC area survey averages to derive a single DC area average price for each survey item.

4.4 Computing Price Indexes

OPM computes a price index for each of the items found in both the COLA survey area and in the Washington, DC, area. To do this we divided the COLA survey area average price by the DC area

average price and, following the convention used to express indexes, multiplied the result by 100. For the majority of survey items, we next applied consumer expenditure weights to combine price indexes. For a few items, however, OPM first applied special processes as described in Sections 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 below.

4.4.1 Geometric Means

As described in Section 2.3, OPM selects survey items to represent specified detailed expenditure categories (DECs). Generally, OPM surveys only one item per DEC, but in some cases, it surveys multiple items at a single DEC. In these cases, it computes the geometric mean of the price indexes to derive a single price index for the DEC. (A geometric mean is the *n*th root of the product of *n* different numbers and is often used in price index computations.) For example, we surveyed two prescription drugs—Amoxicillin and Nexium in the 2005 Caribbean survey. These two different prescription drugs represent a single DEC called ''prescription drugs.'' To derive a single price index for the DEC, we computed the geometric mean of the price index for Amoxicillin and the price index for Nexium.

4.4.2 Special Private Education Computations

As noted in Section 4.2.1, OPM surveyed K–12 private education in the COLA and DC areas and computed an average tuition ''price'' that reflected all grade levels. Because not everyone sends children to private school, OPM made an additional special adjustment for K–12 education by applying ''use factors.'' These use factors reflect the relative extent to which Federal employees make use of private education in the COLA and DC areas. For example, Table 5 shows a use factor of 0.7816 for Anchorage. OPM computed this by dividing 10.34 percent (the percentage of Federal employees in Anchorage with at least 1 child in a private school) by 13.23 percent (the percentage of DC area Federal employees with at least 1 child in a private school). OPM obtained the percentages from the results of the 1992/93 Federal Employee Housing and Living Patterns Survey, which is the most current comprehensive data available. Table 5 shows the use factors and the adjusted price indexes for each COLA survey area.

TABLE 5.—SUMMARY OF PRIVATE EDUCATION USE FACTORS AND INDEXES

COLA survey area	Employees w/children in private schools		Use factor	Price index	Price index w/use factor
	Local area	DC area			
Anchorage	10.34	13.23	0.7816	37.97	29.67
Fairbanks	8.56	13.23	0.6470	21.39	13.84
Juneau	12.43	13.23	0.9395	23.95	22.50

4.5 Applying Consumer Expenditure Weights

Next, OPM applies consumer expenditure weights to aggregate price indexes by expenditure group. As noted in Section 2.3, OPM uses the results of the BLS 2003/2004 Consumer Expenditure Survey to estimate the amounts middle income level consumers in the DC area spend on various items. Using expenditure weights, OPM combines the price indexes according to their relative importance. For example, shelter is the most important expenditure in terms of the COLA survey and represents about 30 percent of total consumer expenditures. On the other hand, the purchase of newspapers at newsstands represents less than 1/10th of 1 percent of total expenditures.

Beginning at the lowest level of expenditure aggregation (e.g., sub-PEG), we computed the relative importance of each survey item within the level of aggregation, multiplied the price index times its expenditure percentage, and summed the cross products for all of the items within the level of aggregation to compute a weighted price index for the level. We repeated this process at each higher level of aggregation (e.g., PEG and MEG). Appendix 7 shows these calculations for each COLA survey area at the PEG and MEG level. The process resulted in an overall price index for Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau but not for the Rest of the State of Alaska.

4.6 Computing the Overall Price Index for Rest of the State of Alaska

Pursuant to the *Caraballo* settlement agreement, OPM did not conduct a living-cost survey in the Rest of the State of Alaska COLA area. Instead, OPM obtained information published by the University of Alaska and the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development that compared prices in Anchorage with various other locations in Alaska. OPM used these data to compare prices in Kodiak, Alaska, with prices in Anchorage to compute, to the extent practical, Kodiak price indexes at the PEG and MEG level using Anchorage as the base. OPM then multiplied the MEG price indexes by the Anchorage indexes shown in Appendix 7 to estimate price differences in Kodiak compared with the DC area. OPM used the expenditure weights and the process described above to aggregate these indexes and produce an overall price index for the Rest of the State of Alaska, as shown in Appendix 8.

5. Final Results

To compute the overall living-cost index, OPM adds to the price index a non-price adjustment factor. The parties in *Caraballo* negotiated these factors to reflect differences in living costs not captured by the surveys, and OPM adopted these factors in regulation as part of the new methodology. The factor for Anchorage is seven index points, and the factor for Fairbanks, Juneau, and the Rest of the State of Alaska is nine index points. The resulting living-cost indexes are shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6.—FINAL LIVING-COST COMPARISON INDEXES

Allowance area	Index
Anchorage	109.81
Fairbanks	118.90
Juneau	120.08
Rest of the State of Alaska	132.82

6. Post Survey Meetings

In September 2006, the Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau CACs held 1-day meetings to review the survey results. We provided the committee members with various reports showing the data we collected, examples of how we reviewed these data, the data we used in our analyses, and the results at the PEG and MEG level, as shown in Appendix 7. We explained how we analyzed the rental data and used

expenditure weights to combine price indexes to reflect overall living costs.

APPENDIX 1.—PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER OF PRIOR SURVEY RESULTS: 1990–2004

Citation	Contents
71 FR 63179	Report on 2005 living-cost surveys conducted in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
70 FR 44989	Report on 2004 living-cost surveys conducted in Hawaii and Guam.
69 FR 12002	Report on 2003 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska.
69 FR 6020	Report on 2002 living-cost surveys conducted in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
65 FR 44103	Report on 1998 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
63 FR 56432	Report on 1997 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
62 FR 14190	Report on 1996 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
61 FR 4070	Report on winter 1995 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska.
60 FR 61332	Report on summer 1994 living-cost surveys conducted in Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
59 FR 45066	Report on winter 1994 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska.
58 FR 45558	Report on summer 1992 and winter 1993 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
58 FR 27316	Report on summer 1993 living-cost surveys conducted in Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
57 FR 58556	Report on summer 1991 and winter 1992 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
56 FR 7902	Report on summer 1990 living-cost surveys conducted in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
1	TOTALEXP		Total Expenditure	$55,614.27
2	FOODTOTL	MEG	Food	6,922.88
3	CERBAKRY	PEG	Cereals and bakery products	454.23
4	CEREAL		Cereals and cereal products	150.11
5	010110		Flour	8.77
5	010120		Prepared flour mixes	13.22
5	010210		Ready-to-eat and cooked cereals *	85.68
5	010310		Rice *	17.63
5	010320		Pasta, cornmeal and other cereal products *	24.81
4	BAKERY		Bakery products	304.11
5	BREAD		Bread	88.56
6	020110		White bread *	35.96
6	020210		Bread, other than white *	52.60
5	CRAKCOOK		Crackers and cookies	68.85
6	020510		Cookies *	45.26
6	020610		Crackers	23.58
5	020810		Frozen and refrigerated bakery products *	25.43
5	OTHBAKRY		Other bakery products	121.28
6	020310		Biscuits and rolls *	40.04
6	020410		Cakes and cupcakes *	40.09
6	020620		Bread and cracker products	3.20
6	020710		Sweetrolls, coffee cakes, doughnuts	23.95
6	020820		Pies, tarts, turnovers	14.00
3	ANIMAL	PEG	Meats, poultry, fish, and eggs	914.88
4	BEEF		Beef	258.77
5	030110		Ground beef *	99.60
5	ROAST		Roast	41.70
6	030210		Chuck roast *	10.63
6	030310		Round roast *	9.00
6	030410		Other roast	22.07
5	STEAK		Steak	99.04
6	030510		Round steak *	17.29
6	030610		Sirloin steak *	30.40
6	030710		Other steak	51.36
5	030810		Other beef	18.43
4	PORK		Pork	133.75
5	040110		Bacon *	24.19
5	040210		Pork chops *	29.82
5	HAM		Ham	29.05
6	040310		Ham, not canned *	28.45
6	040610		Canned ham *	0.60
5	040510		Sausage	21.16
5	040410		Other pork	29.54
4	OTHRMEAT		Other meats	104.10
5	050110		Frankfurters *	21.48
5	LNCHMEAT		Lunch meats (cold cuts)	71.11

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
6	050210		Bologna, liverwurst, salami *	21.27
6	050310		Other lunchmeats	49.83
5	LAMBOTHR		Lamb, organ meats and others	11.52
6	050410		Lamb and organ meats	8.99
6	050900		Mutton, goat and game	2.52
4	POULTRY		Poultry	162.22
5	CHICKEN		Fresh and frozen chickens	129.26
6	060110		Fresh and frozen whole chicken *	39.10
6	060210		Fresh and frozen chicken parts *	90.16
5	060310		Other poultry	32.96
4	FISHSEA		Fish and seafood	217.94
5	070110		Canned fish and seafood *	26.72
5	070230		Fresh fish and shellfish *	121.55
5	070240		Frozen fish and shellfish *	69.66
4	080110		Eggs *	38.09
3	DAIRY	PEG	Dairy products	324.73
4	MILKCRM		Fresh milk and cream	121.80
5	090110		Fresh milk, all types *	109.79
5	090210		Cream	12.00
4	OTHDAIRY		Other dairy products	202.94
5	100110		Butter	20.89
5	100210		Cheese *	98.59
5	100410		Ice cream and related products *	55.36
5	100510		Miscellaneous dairy products	28.10
3	FRUITVEG	PEG	Fruits and vegetables	446.86
4	FRSHFRUT		Fresh fruits	228.66
5	110110		Apples *	40.49
5	110210		Bananas *	39.81
5	110310		Oranges *	25.91
5	110510		Citrus fruits, excluding oranges	17.56
5	110410		Other fresh fruits	104.89
4	FRESHVEG		Fresh vegetables	218.20
5	120110		Potatoes *	34.55
5	120210		Lettuce *	26.50
5	120310		Tomatoes *	43.10
5	120410		Other fresh vegetables	114.04
3	PROCFOOD	PEG	Processed Foods	761.67
4	PROCFRUT		Processed fruits	113.77
5	FRZNFRUT		Frozen fruits and fruit juices	10.96
6	130110		Frozen orange juice *	5.02
6	130121		Frozen fruits	3.41
6	130122		Frozen fruit juices	2.53
5	130310		Canned fruits *	15.98
5	130320		Dried fruit	6.84
5	130211		Fresh fruit juice	23.18
5	130212		Canned and bottled fruit juice *	56.80
4	PROCVEG		Processed vegetables	89.11
5	140110		Frozen vegetables *	31.24
5	CANDVEG		Canned and dried vegetables and juices	57.87
6	140210		Canned beans *	12.18
6	140220		Canned corn	7.00
6	140230		Canned miscellaneous vegetables	18.09
6	140320		Dried peas	0.61
6	140330		Dried beans	3.04
6	140340		Dried miscellaneous vegetables	7.06
6	140310		Dried processed vegetables	0.28
6	140410		Frozen vegetable juices	0.26
6	140420		Fresh and canned vegetable juices	9.34
4	MISCFOOD		Miscellaneous foods	558.80
5	FRZNPREP		Frozen prepared foods	112.27
6	180210		Frozen meals *	30.29
6	180220		Other frozen prepared foods	81.98
5	180110		Canned and packaged soups *	36.07
5	SNACKS		Potato chips, nuts, and other snacks	112.03
6	180310		Potato chips and other snacks *	85.73
6	180320		Nuts	26.30
5	CONDMNTS		Condiments and seasonings	94.21
6	180410		Salt, spices, other seasonings *	22.44
6	180420		Olives, pickles, relishes	10.53
6	180510		Sauces and gravies *	41.33
6	180520		Baking needs and miscellaneous products	19.91

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
5	OTHRPREP		Other canned and packaged prepared foods	161.53
6	180611		Prepared salads	23.52
6	180612		Prepared desserts *	10.82
6	180620		Baby food *	29.01
6	180710		Miscellaneous prepared foods	97.40
6	180720		Vitamin supplements	0.77
5	190904		Food prepared by consumer unit on out-of-town trips	42.69
3	OTHRFOOD	PEG	Other food at home	196.83
4	SWEETS		Sugar and other sweets	126.14
5	150110		Candy and chewing gum *	80.21
5	150211		Sugar *	17.26
5	150212		Artificial sweeteners *	5.16
5	150310		Jams, preserves, other sweets *	23.51
4	FATSOILS		Fats and oils	70.69
5	160110		Margarine *	7.54
5	160211		Fats and oils *	23.29
5	160212		Salad dressings *	21.26
5	160310		Nondairy cream and imitation milk *	9.31
5	160320		Peanut butter	9.29
3	NALCBEVG	PEG	Nonalcoholic beverages	268.77
4	170110		Cola *	91.79
4	170210		Other carbonated drinks	44.33
4	COFFEE		Coffee	34.21
5	170310		Roasted coffee *	22.00
5	170410		Instant and freeze dried coffee	12.21
4	170510		Noncarbonated fruit flavored drinks *	19.52
4	170520		Tea	16.11
4	200112		Nonalcoholic beer	0.69
4	170530		Other nonalcoholic beverages and ice	62.10
3	FOODAWAY	PEG	Food away from home	2,937.46
4	RESTCOAO		Meals at Restaurants, carry outs, and other	2,517.57
5	LUNCH		Lunch	919.32
6	190111		Lunch at fast food, take-out, delivery, etc. *	529.59
6	190112		Lunch at full service restaurants *	282.31
6	190113		Lunch at vending machines/mobile vendors	10.28
6	190114		Lunch at employer and school cafeterias	97.14
5	DINNER		Dinner	934.77
6	190211		Dinner at fast food, take-out, delivery, etc. *	328.53
6	190212		Dinner at full service restaurants *	599.75
6	190213		Dinner at vending machines/mobile vendors	3.46
6	190214		Dinner at employer and school cafeterias	3.02
5	SNKNABEV		Snacks and nonalcoholic beverages	379.95
6	190311		Snacks/nonalcoholic bev. at fast food, take-out, etc. *	272.51
6	190312		Snacks/nonalcoholic bev. at full service restaurants	37.31
6	190313		Snacks/nonalcoholic bev. at vending machines	57.33
6	190314		Snacks/nonalcoholic bev. at cafeterias	12.80
5	BRKFBRUN		Breakfast and brunch	283.53
6	190321		Breakfast/brunch at fast food, take-out, delivery, etc. *	150.66
6	190322		Breakfast/brunch at full service restaurants *	123.36
6	190323		Breakfast/brunch at vending machines, etc	2.14
6	190324		Breakfast/brunch at cafeterias	7.37
4	NONRESME		Non Restaurant Meals	419.89
5	190901		Board (including at school)	13.85
5	190902		Catered affairs	42.74
5	190903		Food on out-of-town trips	242.96
5	790430		School lunches	83.89
5	800700		Meals as pay	36.45
3	ALCBEVG	PEG	Alcoholic beverages	617.45
4	ALCHOME		At home	379.36
5	200111		Beer and ale *	209.02
5	200210		Whiskey	26.19
5	200310		Wine *	107.75
5	200410		Other alcoholic beverages	36.40
4	ALCAWAY		Away from home	238.09
5	BEERNALE		Beer and ale	104.82
6	200511		Beer and ale at fast food, take-out, etc	24.30
6	200512		Beer and ale at full service restaurants *	79.17
6	200513		Beer and ale at vending machines, etc	1.23
6	200516		Beer and ale at catered affairs	0.12
5	WINE		Wine	31.50
6	200521		Wine at fast food, take-out, delivery, etc	4.43

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
6	200522		Wine at full service restaurants *	27.06
6	200523		Wine at vending machines, etc	0.00
6	200526		Wine at catered affairs	0.01
5	OTHALCBV		Other alcoholic beverages	101.77
6	200531		Other alcoholic bev. at fast food, etc	5.07
6	200532		Other alcoholic bev. at full service restaurants	53.04
6	200533		Other alcoholic bev. at vending machines, etc	0.13
6	200536		Other alcoholic beverages at catered affairs	0.05
6	200900		Alcoholic beverages purchased on trips	43.47
2	SHEL&UTL	MEG	Shelter and Utilities	20,525.18
3	SHELTER	PEG	Shelter	18,305.70
4	RNTLEQ		Rented Equivalence (estimated monthly × 12)	13,998.86
4	RENTXX		Rented Dwelling (rent minus tenants ins.)	3,916.16
4	350110		Tenants Insurance (tenants ins × 2) *	26.25
4	OTHLODGE		Other Lodging (other minus housing at school)	364.42
3	ENERUT	PEG	Energy Utilities *	1,860.82
3	WATERX	PEG	Water and other public services *	358.66
2	HHF&SUPP	MEG	Household Furnishings and Supplies	2,645.64
3	HHOPER	PEG	Household operations	671.14
4	HHPERSRV		Personal services	416.02
5	340210		Babysitting and child care *	101.45
6	340211		Child care in own home	38.51
6	340212		Child care outside own home	62.94
5	340906		Care for elderly, invalids, handicapped, etc	30.66
5	340910		Adult day care centers	6.49
5	670310		Day-care centers, nursery, and preschools *	277.41
4	HHOTHXPN		Other household expenses	255.12
5	340310		Housekeeping services *	50.35
5	340410		Gardening, lawn care service *	82.13
5	340420		Water softening service	3.61
5	340520		Household laundry and dry cleaning, sent out	1.12
5	340530		Coin-operated household laundry/dry cleaning	4.75
5	340914		Services for termite/pest control	11.01
5	340915		Home security system service fee	15.69
5	340903		Other home services	20.03
5	330511		Termite/pest control products	1.22
5	340510		Moving, storage, freight express *	35.83
5	340620		Appliance repair, including service center	13.90
5	340630		Reupholstering, furniture repair	5.20
5	340901		Repairs/rentals of lawn/equipment, etc	7.00
5	340907		Appliance rental	1.70
5	340908		Rental of office equipment for nonbusiness use	0.58
5	340913		Repair of miscellaneous household equip.	0.99
5	990900		Rental, install of dishwashers, range hoods, disposals	0.00
3	HKPGSUPP	PEG	Housekeeping supplies	598.59
4	LAUNDRY		Laundry and cleaning supplies	144.84
5	330110		Soaps and detergents *	84.01
5	330210		Other laundry cleaning products	60.82
4	HKPGOTHR		Other household products	275.05
5	330310		Cleansing & toilet tissue, paper towels/napkins *	87.48
5	330510		Miscellaneous household products	120.86
5	330610		Lawn and garden supplies *	66.70
4	POSTAGE		Postage and stationery	178.71
5	330410		Stationery, stationery supplies, giftwraps *	81.65
5	340110		Postage	92.74
6	STAMP		Stamp *	87.73
6	PARPST		Parcel Post *	5.00
5	340120		Delivery services	4.33
3	TEX&RUGS	PEG	Textiles and Area Rugs	156.23
4	HHTXTILE		Household textiles	120.39
5	280110		Bathroom linens *	19.20
5	280120		Bedroom linens *	64.63
5	280130		Kitchen and dining room linens	4.19
5	280210		Curtains and draperies	12.16
5	280220		Slipcovers, decorative pillows	7.35
5	280230		Sewing materials for slipcovers, curtains, etc	12.04
5	280900		Other linens	0.82
4	FLOORCOV		Floor coverings	35.83
5	RNTCARPT		Wall-to-wall carpeting (renter)	5.12
6	230134		Wall-to-wall carpet (renter)	1.06
6	320163		Wall-to-wall carpet (replacement) (renter)	4.06

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
5	320111		Floor coverings, nonpermanent *	30.71
3	FURNITUR	PEG	Furniture	422.94
4	290110		Mattress and springs *	54.25
4	290120		Other bedroom furniture	88.24
4	290210		Sofas	103.68
4	290310		Living room chairs *	44.56
4	290320		Living room tables	14.12
4	290410		Kitchen, dining room furniture *	41.29
4	290420		Infants' furniture	7.86
4	290430		Outdoor furniture	13.02
4	290440		Wall units, cabinets and other occasional furniture	55.91
3	MAJAPPL	PEG	Major appliances	169.11
4	230116		Dishwashers (built-in), disposals, range hoods	10.71
5	230117		Dishwasher (owned home)	0.66
5	230118		Dishwasher (rented home)	10.05
4	300110		Refrigerators, freezers *	41.53
5	300111		Refrigerators, freezers (renter)	6.37
5	300112		Refrigerators, freezers (owned home)	35.16
4	300210		Washing machines *	26.60
5	300211		Washing machines (renter)	4.47
5	300212		Washing machines (owned home)	22.12
4	300220		Clothes dryers	18.74
5	300221		Clothes dryers (renter)	3.04
5	300222		Clothes dryer (owned home)	15.70
4	300310		Cooking stoves, ovens *	22.00
5	300311		Cooking stoves, ovens (renter)	3.25
5	300312		Cooking stoves, ovens (owned home)	18.75
4	300320		Microwave ovens	6.48
5	300321		Microwave ovens (renter)	1.41
5	300322		Microwave ovens (owned home)	5.08
4	300330		Portable dishwasher	1.09
5	300331		Portable dishwasher (renter)	0.18
5	300332		Portable dishwasher (owned home)	0.91
4	300410		Window air conditioners	41.94
5	300411		Window air conditioners (renter)	0.67
5	300412		Window air conditioners (owned home)	2.24
5	320511		Electric floor cleaning equipment *	31.26
5	320512		Sewing machines	4.12
5	300900		Miscellaneous household appliances	3.64
3	SMAPPHWR	PEG	Small appliances, miscellaneous housewares	119.92
4	HOUSWARE		Housewares	92.09
5	320310		Plastic dinnerware	2.16
5	320320		China and other dinnerware *	14.40
5	320330		Flatware	4.00
5	320340		Glassware	27.51
5	320350		Silver serving pieces	0.32
5	320360		Other serving pieces	1.20
5	320370		Nonelectric cookware *	19.86
5	320380		Tableware, nonelectric kitchenware	22.64
4	SMLLAPPL		Small appliances	27.83
5	320521		Small electric kitchen appliances *	22.42
5	320522		Portable heating and cooling equipment	5.41
3	MISCHHEQ	PEG	Miscellaneous household equipment	507.70
4	320120		Window coverings	12.07
4	320130		Infants' equipment	8.40
4	320140		Laundry and cleaning equip	16.38
4	320150		Outdoor equipment *	30.16
4	320210		Clocks	4.37
4	320220		Lamps and lighting fixtures	10.97
4	320231		Other household decorative items	132.64
4	320232		Telephones and accessories *	21.19
4	320410		Lawn and garden equipment *	44.44
4	320420		Power tools *	44.84
4	320901		Office furniture for home use *	6.08
4	320902		Hand tools *	6.80
4	320903		Indoor plants, fresh flowers *	37.16
4	320904		Closet and storage items	9.22
4	340904		Rental of furniture	2.82
4	430130		Luggage	5.11
4	690210		Telephone answering devices	0.67
4	690220		Calculators	1.11

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
4	690230		Business equipment for home use	1.32
4	320430		Other hardware	49.65
4	690242		Smoke alarms (owned home)	1.08
4	690241		Smoke alarms (renter)	0.17
4	690243		Smoke alarms (owned vacation)	0.01
4	690245		Other household appliances (owned home)	12.32
4	690244		Other household appliances (renter)	1.17
4	320905		Miscellaneous household equipment and parts	47.55
2	APPAREL	MEG	Apparel and services	1,987.64
3	MENBOYS	PEG	Men and boys	399.20
4	MENS		Men, 16 and over	319.11
5	360110		Men's suits *	20.44
5	360120		Men's sportcoats, tailored jackets	6.94
5	360210		Men's coats and jackets *	23.04
5	360311		Men's underwear *	19.68
5	360312		Men's hosiery	20.11
5	360320		Men's nightwear	2.30
5	360330		Men's accessories	22.09
5	360340		Men's sweaters and vests	9.25
5	360350		Men's active sportswear	18.86
5	360410		Men's shirts *	80.48
5	360511		Men's pants *	69.00
5	360512		Men's shorts, shorts sets	17.68
5	360901		Men's uniforms	5.25
5	360902		Men's costumes	3.99
4	BOYS		Boys, 2 to 15	80.09
5	370110		Boys' coats and jackets	6.07
5	370120		Boys' sweaters	2.28
5	370130		Boys' shirts *	16.50
5	370211		Boys' underwear	4.96
5	370212		Boys' nightwear	2.11
5	370213		Boys' hosiery	3.89
5	370220		Boys' accessories	2.02
5	370311		Boys' suits, sportcoats, vests	3.06
5	370312		Boys' pants *	22.83
5	370313		Boys' shorts, shorts sets	7.16
5	370903		Boys' uniforms	3.34
5	370904		Boys' active sportswear	3.68
5	370902		Boys' costumes	2.19
3	WMNSGRLS	PEG	Women and girls	789.24
4	WOMENS		Women, 16 and over	685.81
5	380110		Women's coats and jackets *	56.19
5	380210		Women's dresses *	79.38
5	380311		Women's sportcoats, tailored jackets	10.03
5	380312		Women's vests and sweaters *	47.56
5	380313		Women's shirts, tops, blouses *	132.70
5	380320		Women's skirts	18.56
5	380331		Women's pants *	101.23
5	380332		Women's shorts, shorts sets	12.49
5	380340		Women's active sportswear	31.84
5	380410		Women's sleepwear	34.36
5	380420		Women's undergarments	51.52
5	380430		Women's hosiery	23.65
5	380510		Women's suits	20.48
5	380901		Women's accessories *	52.89
5	380902		Women's uniforms	8.24
5	380903		Women's costumes	4.68
4	GIRLS		Girls, 2 to 15	103.43
5	390110		Girls' coats and jackets	7.60
5	390120		Girls' dresses and suits *	4.32
5	390210		Girls' shirts, blouses, sweaters *	23.77
5	390221		Girls' skirts and pants *	25.91
5	390222		Girls' shorts, shorts sets	9.01
5	390230		Girls' active sportswear	8.55
5	390310		Girls' underwear and sleepwear	7.11
5	390321		Girls' hosiery	4.27
5	390322		Girls' accessories	5.29
5	390901		Girls' uniforms	4.16
5	390902		Girls' costumes	3.43
3	INFANT	PEG	Children under 2	62.17
4	410110		Infant coat, jacket, snowsuit	1.62

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
4	410120		Infant dresses, outerwear	17.82
4	410130		Infant underwear *	32.99
4	410140		Infant nightwear, loungewear *	3.04
4	410901		Infant accessories	6.70
3	FOOTWEAR	PEG	Footwear	406.51
4	400110		Men's footwear *	141.48
4	400210		Boys' footwear	33.73
4	400310		Women's footwear *	194.62
4	400220		Girls' footwear	36.68
3	OTHAPPRL	PEG	Other apparel products and services	330.52
4	420110		Material for making clothes	25.38
4	420120		Sewing patterns and notions	14.39
4	430110		Watches *	22.77
4	430120		Jewelry *	126.83
4	440110		Shoe repair and other shoe service	1.29
4	440120		Coin-operated apparel laundry/dry cleaning *	59.82
4	440130		Alteration, repair and tailoring of apparel	6.22
4	440140		Clothing rental	3.53
4	440150		Watch and jewelry repair	5.24
4	440210		Apparel laundry/dry cleaning not coin-operated *	63.94
4	440900		Clothing storage	1.14
2	TRANS	MEG	Transportation	8,448.97
3	MOTVEHCO	PEG	Motor Vehicle Costs	4,290.98
4	VEHPURCH		Vehicle purchases (net outlay)	3,445.26
5	NEWCARS		Cars and trucks, new	2,339.82
6	450110		New cars *	1,007.79
6	450210		New trucks	1,332.03
5	USEDCARS		Cars and trucks, used	1,104.10
6	460110		Used cars	476.77
6	460901		Used trucks	627.33
5	OTHVEHCL		Other vehicles	1.34
6	450220		New motorcycles	0.86
6	450900		New aircraft	0.00
6	460902		Used motorcycles	0.48
6	460903		Used aircraft	0.00
4	VEHFINCH		Vehicle finance charges	445.49
5	510110		Automobile finance charges *	189.33
5	510901		Truck finance charges	230.30
5	510902		Motorcycle and plane finance charges	3.51
5	850300		Other vehicle finance charges	22.35
4	LEASVEH		Leased vehicles	198.64
5	450310		Car lease payments	99.10
5	450313		Cash downpayment (car lease)	4.87
5	450314		Termination fee (car lease)	0.67
5	450410		Truck lease payments	88.75
5	450413		Cash downpayment (truck lease)	4.91
5	450414		Termination fee (truck lease)	0.34
4	VEHXP&LV		Other Vehicle Expenses and Licenses	201.59
5	520110		State & Local Registration *	115.65
6	520111		Vehicle reg. state (as of Q20012) incl in 520110	106.85
6	520112		Vehicle reg. local (as of Q20012) incl in 520110	8.80
5	520310		Driver's license	9.34
5	520410		Vehicle inspection (added to S&L registration) *	11.55
5	PARKING		Parking fees	25.77
6	520531		Parking fees in home city, excluding residence	20.24
6	520532		Parking fees, out-of-town trips	5.53
5	520541		Tolls	13.38
5	520542		Tolls on out-of-town trips	4.76
5	520550		Towing charges	7.18
5	620113		Automobile service clubs	13.96
3	GASOIL	PEG	Gasoline and motor oil	1,694.67
4	470111		Gasoline *	1,562.03
4	470112		Diesel fuel	21.77
4	470113		Gasoline on out-of-town trips	99.10
4	470114		Gasohol	0.18
4	470211		Motor oil	10.59
4	470212		Motor oil on out-of-town trips	1.00
3	CARP&R	PEG	Maintenance and repairs	738.26
4	CARPAR		Maintenance and Repair Parts	188.47
5	470220		Coolant, additives, brake, transmission fluids	3.88
5	480110		Tires—purchased, replaced, installed *	119.84

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
5	480213		Parts, equipment, and accessories*	52.38
5	480214		Vehicle audio equipment, excluding labor	7.24
5	480212		Vehicle products	5.13
4	CARREP		Maintenance and Repair Service*	549.79
5	490000		Misc. auto repair, servicing	52.89
5	490110		Body work and painting	32.53
5	490211		Clutch, transmission repair	44.55
5	490212		Drive shaft and rear-end repair	5.00
5	490221		Brake work, including adjustments	50.99
5	490231		Repair to steering or front-end	16.37
5	490232		Repair to engine cooling system	22.36
5	490311		Motor tune-up	45.80
5	490312		Lube, oil change, and oil filters	68.27
5	490313		Front-end alignment, wheel balance and rotation	13.00
5	490314		Shock absorber replacement	3.01
5	490316		Gas tank repair, replacement	3.55
5	490318		Repair tires and other repair work	54.22
5	490319		Vehicle air conditioning repair	14.63
5	490411		Exhaust system repair	14.10
5	490412		Electrical system repair	27.39
5	490413		Motor repair, replacement	71.05
5	490900		Auto repair service policy	10.07
3	500110	PEG	Vehicle insurance*	1,117.49
3	RENTVEH	PEG	Rented vehicles	36.87
3	PUBTRANS	PEG	Public transportation	570.70
4	530110		Airline fares*	361.09
4	530210		Intercity bus fares	14.90
4	530510		Intercity train fares	29.28
4	530901		Ship fares	48.22
4	LOCTRANS		Local Transportation	117.20
5	530311		Intracity mass transit fares	59.39
5	530312		Local trans. on out-of-town trips	13.06
5	530411		Taxi fares and limousine service on trips	7.67
5	530412		Taxi fares and limousine service*	36.74
5	530902		School bus	0.34
2	MEDICAL	MEG	Medical	2,652.88
3	HEALTINS	PEG	Health insurance	1,527.07
4	COMHLTIN		Commercial health insurance	315.60
5	580111		Traditional fee for service health plan (not BCBS)	87.71
5	580113		Preferred provider health plan (not BCBS)	227.89
4	BCBS		Blue Cross, Blue Shield	496.81
5	580112		Traditional fee for service health plan (BCBS)	88.87
5	580114		Preferred provider health plan (BCBS)	201.20
5	580312		Health maintenance organization (BCBS)	142.66
5	580904		Commercial Medicare supplement (BCBS)	55.73
5	580906		Other health insurance (BCBS)	8.34
4	580311		Health maintenance organization (not BCBS)	324.71
4	580901		Medicare payments	245.20
4	COMEDOTH		Commercial Medicare supplements, other health insurance	144.74
5	580903		Commercial Medicare supplement (not BCBS)	93.85
5	580905		Other health insurance (not BCBS)	50.89
3	MEDSERVS	PEG	Medical services	641.51
4	560110		Physician's services*	159.58
4	560210		Dental services*	227.78
4	560310		Eyecare services	36.02
4	560400		Service by professionals other than physician	36.77
4	560330		Lab tests, x-rays	31.31
4	570110		Hospital room*	43.52
4	570210		Hospital service other than room	51.25
4	570240		Medical care in retirement community	0.00
4	570220		Care in convalescent or nursing home	34.78
4	570902		Repair of medical equipment	0.00
4	570230		Other medical care services	20.48
3	DRUGS&ME	PEG	Drugs and Medical Supplies	484.30
4	DRUGS		Drugs	354.11
5	550210		Nonprescription drugs*	55.72
5	550410		Nonprescription vitamins	34.02
5	540000		Prescription drugs*	264.37
4	MEDSUPPL		Medical supplies	130.19
5	550110		Eyeglasses and contact lenses*	45.87
5	550340		Hearing aids	20.50

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
5	550310		Topicals and dressings *	35.87
5	550320		Medical equipment for general use	8.35
5	550330		Supportive and convalescent medical equip	10.66
5	570901		Rental of medical equipment	2.54
5	570903		Rental of supportive, convalescent equipment	6.41
2	RECREATN	MEG	Recreation	2,501.55
3	FEESADM	PEG	Fees and admissions	627.98
4	610900		Recreation expenses, out-of-town trips	33.40
4	620111		Social, recreation, civic club membership *	90.70
4	620121		Fees for participant sports *	104.80
4	620122		Participant sports, out-of-town trips	29.99
4	620211		Movie, theater, opera, ballet *	121.14
4	620212		Movie, other admissions, out-of-town trips	64.78
4	620221		Admission to sporting events	43.38
4	620222		Admission to sports events, out-of-town trips	21.59
4	620310		Fees for recreational lessons *	84.79
4	620903		Other entertainment services, out-of-town trips	33.40
3	TVAUDIO	PEG	Television, radios, sound equipment	403.40
4	TELEVSN		Televisions	233.02
5	310110		Black and white TV	0.43
5	310120		Color TV—console	67.46
5	310130		Color TV—portable, table model *	47.72
5	310210		VCR's and video disc players *	30.69
5	310220		Video cassettes, tapes, and discs *	58.10
5	310230		Video game hardware and software	23.89
5	340610		Repair of TV, radio, and sound equipment	3.63
5	340902		Rental of televisions	1.11
4	AUDIO		Radios, sound equipment	170.39
5	310311		Radios	5.67
5	310312		Phonographs	0.00
5	310313		Tape recorders and players	11.84
5	310320		Sound components and component systems *	13.05
5	310331		Miscellaneous sound equipment	1.56
5	310332		Sound equipment accessories	9.71
5	310334		Satellite dishes	0.95
5	310341		Compact disc, tape, record and video mail order clubs	6.41
5	310342		Records, CDs, audio tapes, needles *	46.97
5	340905		Rental of VCR, radio, and sound equipment	0.26
5	610130		Musical instruments and accessories	21.95
5	620904		Rental and repair of musical instruments	2.01
5	620912		Rental of video cassettes, tapes, & discs *	50.00
3	PETSPLAY	PEG	Pets, toys, and playground equipment	438.28
4	PETS		Pets	313.48
5	610310		Pet food *	124.55
5	610320		Pet purchase, supplies, medicine	76.28
5	620410		Pet services	25.34
5	620420		Vet services *	87.31
4	610110		Toys, games, hobbies, and tricycles *	118.68
4	610140		Stamp & Coin Collecting	3.41
4	610120		Playground equipment	2.71
3	ENTEROTH	PEG	Other entertainment supplies, equipment, and services	257.22
4	UNMTRBOT		Unmotored recreational vehicles	32.94
5	600121		Boat without motor and boat trailers	1.48
5	600122		Trailer and other attachable campers	31.46
4	PWRSPVEH		Motorized recreational vehicles	113.23
5	600141		Purchase of motorized camper	72.69
5	600142		Purchase of other vehicle *	23.41
5	600132		Purchase of boat with motor	17.14
4	RNTSPVEH		Rental of recreational vehicles	2.48
5	520904		Rental noncamper trailer	0.11
5	520907		Boat and trailer rental out-of-town trips	0.29
5	620909		Rental of campers on out-of-town trips	0.00
5	620919		Rental of other vehicles on out-of-town trips	1.98
5	620906		Rental of boat	0.00
5	620921		Rental of motorized camper	0.00
5	620922		Rental of other RV's	0.09
4	600110		Outboard motors	0.52
4	520901		Docking and landing fees	2.83
4	RECEQUIP		Sports, recreation and exercise equipment	56.67
5	600210		Athletic gear, game tables, exercise equip *	23.29
5	600310		Bicycles	5.04

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
5	600410		Camping equipment	6.77
5	600420		Hunting and fishing equipment	10.74
5	600430		Winter sports equipment	1.39
5	600901		Water sports equipment	1.85
5	600902		Other sports equipment	6.39
5	620908		Rental and repair of misc. sports equipment	1.19
4	PHOTOEQ		Photographic equipment, supplies and services	43.18
5	610210		Film *	7.28
5	610220		Other photographic supplies	2.84
5	620330		Film processing *	11.32
5	620905		Repair and rental of photographic equipment	0.40
5	610230		Photographic equipment	14.29
5	620320		Photographer fees	7.04
4	610901		Fireworks	2.33
4	610902		Souvenirs	0.82
4	610903		Visual goods	1.22
4	620913		Pinball, electronic video games	1.00
3	PERSPROD	PEG	Personal care products	348.21
4	640110		Hair care products *	74.00
4	640120		Nonelectric articles for the hair	6.67
4	640130		Wigs and hairpieces	2.36
4	640210		Oral hygiene products, articles	37.15
4	640220		Shaving needs	19.68
4	640310		Cosmetics, perfume, bath preparation *	161.47
4	640410		Deodorants, feminine hygiene, misc pers. Care	36.47
4	640420		Electric personal care appliances	10.41
3	PERSSERV	PEG	Personal care services	274.45
4	650310		Personal care service *	274.45
4	650900		Repair of personal care appliances	0.00
3	READING	PEG	Reading	152.01
4	590110		Newspapers	61.38
5	590111		Newspaper subscriptions *	48.08
5	590112		Newspaper, non-subscriptions *	13.31
4	590210		Magazines	28.81
5	590211		Magazine subscriptions *	18.43
5	590212		Magazines, non-subscriptions *	10.38
4	590900		Newsletters	0.15
4	590220		Books thru book clubs	7.59
4	590230		Books not thru book clubs *	53.64
4	660310		Encyclopedia and other sets of reference books	0.44
2	EDU&COMM	MEG	Education and Communication	2,553.52
3	EDUCATN	PEG	Education	114.00
4	670210		Elementary and high school tuition *	90.56
4	660210		School books, supplies for elementary and H.S.	23.44
3	COMMICAT	PEG	Communications	2,290.23
4	PHONE		Telephone services	1,449.14
5	270101		Telephone services in home city, excluding car *	875.46
5	270102		Telephone services for mobile car phones *	541.25
5	270103		Pager service	1.93
5	270104		Phone cards	30.50
4	690114		Computer information services *	179.28
4	270310		Community antenna or cable TV *	661.82
3	COMP&SVC	PEG	Computers and Computer Services	149.28
4	690113		Repair of computer systems for nonbusiness use	4.54
4	690111		Computers and computer hardware nonbusiness use *	125.55
4	690112		Computer software and accessories for nonbusiness use	19.19
2	MISCMEG	MEG	Miscellaneous	7,376.02
3	TOBACCO	PEG	Tobacco products and smoking supplies	216.86
4	630110		Cigarettes *	199.04
4	630210		Other tobacco products	16.46
4	630220		Smoking accessories	1.36
3	MISC	PEG	Miscellaneous	818.24
4	620925		Miscellaneous fees	7.45
4	620926		Lotteries and pari-mutuel losses	84.60
4	680110		Legal fees *	142.74
4	680140		Funeral expenses *	91.92
4	680210		Safe deposit box rental	3.42
4	680220		Checking accounts, other bank service charges	22.65
4	680901		Cemetery lots, vaults, maintenance fees	17.64
4	680902		Accounting fees *	53.91
4	680903		Miscellaneous personal services	38.58

APPENDIX 2.—ESTIMATED DC AREA MIDDLE INCOME ANNUAL CONSUMER EXPENDITURES—Continued

[Asterisks show Detailed Expenditure Categories (DECs) for which OPM surveyed items.]

Level	Code	Group	Category name	Expenditures
4	710110		Credit card interest and annual fees *	203.04
4	900002		Occupational expenses	52.07
4	790600		Expenses for other properties	91.44
4	880210		Interest paid, home equity line of credit (other property)	1.69
4	620115		Shopping club membership fees	7.09
3	INSPENSN	PEG	Personal insurance and pensions	6,340.91
4	LIFEINSR		Life and other personal insurance *	568.40
5	700110		Life, endowment, annuity, other personal insurance	550.96
5	002120		Other nonhealth insurance	17.44
4	PENSIONS		Pensions and Social Security	5,772.51
5	800910		Deductions for government retirement *	93.45
5	800920		Deductions for railroad retirement	2.71
5	800931		Deductions for private pensions	472.55
5	800932		Non-payroll deposit to retirement plans	344.12
5	800940		Deductions for Social Security	4,859.67

Appendix 3—COLA Survey Items and Descriptions

Abbreviations used in this appendix: FD = Fine Dining (type of dining), FM = Fred Meyers, HA = Hanna Andersson, HTO = Hudson Trail Outfitters, JCP = J.C. Penney, PH = Pancake House (type of dining).

Adhesive Bandages. One box of 40 adhesive bandages. Assorted sizes, clear or flexible. (Note: in Virginia, add tax to this item.) Survey: Band-Aid Bandages Sheer.

Airfare Los Angeles (LAX). Lowest cost, round-trip ticket to Los Angeles, CA, 3-week advance reservation, departing and returning midweek and including Saturday night stay. Price non-refundable ticket. Disregard restrictions, super-saver fares, and special promotions. In reference area, price flights from BWI for Maryland, Reagan National for the District of Columbia, and Dulles for Virginia. Price all flights via Internet on same day during the DC area survey. Survey: Major carrier.

Airfare Miami (MIA). Lowest cost, round-trip ticket to Miami, FL, 3-week advance reservation, departing and returning midweek and including Saturday night stay. Price non-refundable ticket. Disregard restrictions, super-saver fares, and special promotions. In reference area, price flights from BWI for Maryland, Reagan National for the District of Columbia, and Dulles for Virginia. Price all flights via Internet on same day during the DC area survey. Survey: Major carrier.

Airfare Seattle (SEA). Lowest cost, round-trip ticket to Seattle, WA, 3-week advance reservation, departing and returning midweek and including Saturday night stay. Price non-refundable ticket. Disregard restrictions, super-saver fares, and special promotions. In reference area, price flights from BWI for Maryland, Reagan National for the District of Columbia, and Dulles for Virginia. Price all flights via Internet on same day during the DC area survey. Survey: Major carrier.

Airfare St. Louis (STL). Lowest cost, round-trip ticket to St. Louis, MO, 3-week advance reservation, departing and returning midweek and including Saturday night stay. Price non-refundable ticket. Disregard restrictions, super-saver fares, and special promotions. In reference area, price flights from BWI for Maryland, Reagan National for the District of Columbia, and Dulles for Virginia. Price all flights via Internet on same day during the DC area survey. Survey: Major carrier.

All Season Tires (Chevy—Sears). The cost of 4 tires (P245/75R16) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and or sales tax and disposal cost of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: General Tire AmeriTrac—Sears #09540044000.

All Season Tires (Subaru—Sears). The cost of 4 tires (P205/60R15) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and or sales tax and disposal cost of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Bridgestone HP50, Sears #09563649000.

Alternator (Chevrolet). Price of a remanufactured 105-amp alternator for a 2001 Chevrolet Silverado 1500, Regular Cab, 4x4, 2 door, 8 ft. fleetside bed, 4.8 Liter, V8, 4-speed automatic transmission, to the consumer at a dealership. Report price net of core charge (*i.e.*, price after core is returned). Report core charge in comments. If only new alternator available, report new price as match. If price varies whether dealer installs, assume dealer installs but do not price labor. Survey: Dealer recommended brand.

Alternator (Ford). Price of a remanufactured 130-amp alternator for a 2001 Ford Explorer 5.0 Liter Fuel Injected V8 with A/C and automatic transmission (VIN P) to the consumer at a dealership. Report price net of core charge (*i.e.*, price after core is returned). Report core charge in comments. If only new alternator available, report new price as match. If price varies whether dealer installs, assume dealer installs but do not price labor. Survey: Dealer recommended brand.

Alternator (Subaru Legacy L). Price of a 90-amp remanufactured alternator for a 2001 Subaru Legacy L Sedan, 4 door, AWD, 2.5 Liter, 4 cylinder, 4 speed automatic transmission. Report price net of core charge (*i.e.*, price after core is returned). Report core charge in comments. If only new alternator available, report new price as match. If price varies whether dealer installs, assume dealer installs but do not price labor. Survey: Dealer recommended brand.

Antacid. Ninety-six-count size of extra strength tablets. Survey: Tums EX 96 tablets.

Antibacterial Ointment. Half-ounce tube of antibacterial ointment. Do not price pain reliever ointment. Survey: Neosporin Original ½ oz.

Antibacterial Ointment. One-ounce tube of antibacterial ointment. Do not price pain reliever ointment. Survey: Neosporin Original 1 oz.

Apples. Price per pound, loose (not bagged) apples. If only bagged apples available, report bag weight. Survey: Red Delicious.

Area Rug (FurnitureOnline). Five by eight feet hand tufted rug. Include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Matrix Rug, catalog number: GLT–1031.

Artificial Sweetener. One-hundred-count package of artificial sweetener. Survey: Equal 100 Ct.

Artificial Sweetener. Fifty-count package of artificial sweetener. Survey: Equal 50 Ct.

Aspirin. Fifty tablets of regular strength aspirin. Survey: Bayer, Regular Strength.

ATV–1. All terrain sports vehicle, 4x4, automatic with 455 cc engine. Electric with recoil backup start. Survey: 2006 Polaris Sportsman 450.

ATV–2. All terrain sports vehicle with 450 cc engine. Kick or electric start. Survey: 2006 Honda TRX450ER.

Auto Finance Rate. Interest rate for a 4-year loan on a new car with a down payment of 20 percent. Assume the loan applicant is a current bank customer who will make payments by cash or check and not by automatic deduction from the account. Assume excellent credit. Enter 7.65 percent as $7.650. If bank needs to know type of car, use specified Ford. Obtain interest rate and verify phone number. Survey: Interest percentage rate.

Auto Inspection. Annual cost of auto safety and emissions inspection required by local government. If not required annually prorate to annual. (AN and FA = certificate and inspection, every 2 years. JU = no emissions or safety inspection.) Survey: Auto inspection.

Baby Food. Four-ounce jar strained vegetables or fruit. Survey: Gerber 2nd.

Baby Food Formula. Thirty-two fluid-ounce bottle of infant formula with iron. Look for blue print on label. There are at least four other types of Similac with different color print and different prices. Survey: Similac Infant Formula with Iron R-T-F.

Babysitter. Minimum hourly wage appropriate to area. Survey: Government Wage Data.

Baking Dish 8 by 8 (Target/FM). Glass baking dish, 8 inch square glass, clear or tinted. Exclude baking dish with cover or lid. Survey: Pyrex, 8 x 8.

Baking Dish 8 by 8 (Wal-Mart). Glass baking dish, 8 inch square glass, clear or tinted. Exclude baking dish with cover or lid. Survey: Anchor Hocking, 8 x 8.

Baking Dish 9 by 13 (Target/FM). Glass baking dish, 9 inch by 13 inch glass, clear or tinted. Exclude baking dish with cover or lid. Survey: Pyrex, 9 x 13.

Baking Dish 9 by 13 (Wal-Mart). Glass baking dish, 9 inch by 13 inch glass, clear or tinted. Exclude baking dish with cover or lid. Survey: Pyrex, 9 x 13.

Bananas. Price per pound of bananas. Survey: Dole or Chiquita.

Bath Towel (Target/FM). Bath towel, approximately 58 inches by 32 inches wide, 100 percent cotton, medium weight, any color. Survey: Fieldcrest Classic (Target), Columbia (Fred Meyer).

Bath Towel (Wal-Mart). Approximately 52 inches by 30 inches wide, 100 percent cotton, medium weight. Side hem is woven selvage. Bottom hem may be folded. Survey: Home Trends.

Beer at Home (Cans). Six-pack of 12 ounce cans. Do not price refrigerated beer unless that is the only type available. Survey: Budweiser.

Beer Away (Casual). One glass of beer. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Budweiser.

Beer Away (FD-type). One glass of beer. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Budweiser.

Board Game (Target/FM). Price standard edition board game, not deluxe. Survey: Sorry!

Board Game (Wal-Mart). Price standard edition board game, not deluxe. Survey: Sorry!

Book, Paperback. Store price (not publishers list price unless that is the store price) for top-selling fiction, paperback book. Survey: Cordinas Royal Family by Nora Roberts or The Broker by John Grisham.

Book, Paperback (Amazon). Internet price with shipping and any applicable taxes for top-selling fiction, paperback book. Survey: Cordinas Royal Family by Nora Roberts (Amazon), or The Broker by John Grisham (Amazon).

Bookshelf Stereo System (Target/FM). Stereo shelf system, 400-watt, 3-disc CD changer and dual cassette decks, 150-watt 8'' subwoofer and 3-way dual 5'' woofer main speakers; includes remote control. Survey: Sony Shelf System (MHCGX450).

Bookshelf Stereo System (Wal-Mart). Home Stereo System, five-CD changer, AM/FM stereo tuner, CD storage compartment, remote control. Survey: Durabrand—CD2160.

Bowling. One game of open (or non-league) 10-pin bowling on a weekday (Monday through Friday) between the hours of 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. Exclude shoe rental. If priced by the hour, report hourly rate divided by five (*i.e.*, estimated number of games per hour) and note hourly rate in comments. Do not price duck-pin bowling. Survey: Bowling.

Boys Jeans (JCP/Sears). Relaxed fit, size range 9 to 14, pre-washed jeans, not bleached, stone-washed or designer jeans. Survey: Levis 550 Relaxed Fit.

Boys Polo Shirt (JCP/Sears). Knit polo-type short sleeve shirt with collar, solid color, cotton or cotton/polyester, size range 8 to 14. Survey: Polo Club.

Boys T-Shirt (JCP/Sears). Screen-printed t-shirt for boys ages 8 thru 10 (sizes 7 to 14). Pullover with crew neck, short sleeves and polyester/cotton blend. Do not price team logo shirts. Survey: Canyon River Blues.

Bread, Wheat, Butter Top. Loaf of national brand sliced wheat bread, 20 to 24 ounces. Survey: Home Pride.

Bread, Wheat. Loaf of store brand sliced wheat bread, 22 to 24 ounces. Survey: Store brand.

Bread, White. Loaf of national brand sliced white bread, 22 to 24 ounces. Holsum is an equivalent brand. Survey: Wonder Buttermilk, Giant, Jumbo Sandwich.

Bread, White, Butter Top. Loaf of store brand sliced white bread, 22 to 24 ounces. Survey: Store brand.

Breakfast Full Service. Two to four strips of bacon or sausages, two eggs, toast, hash browns, coffee, and small juice. Check sales tax and include in price. At Dennys price the Two-Egg Breakfast. At IHOP price the Quick Two-Egg Breakfast. Survey: Bacon and eggs breakfast.

Cable TV Service. One month of digital cable service. Include converter and universal remote fees. Do not price value packages or premium channels; *i.e.*, Showtime, HBO, Cinemax. Do not report hookup charges. Itemize taxes and fees as percent rates or amounts and add to price. Note in comments whether digital or analog service. If both digital and analog service is provided, price digital service and include the analog price in comments. Survey: Local provider.

Camera Film (Target/FM). Four-pack, 35 millimeter, 24 exposure, 400 ASA. Survey: Kodak Max 400.

Camera Film (Wal-Mart). Four-pack, 35 millimeter, 24 exposure, 400 ASA. Survey: Kodak Max 400.

Candy Bar. One regular size candy bar, weight approximately 1.5 to 2 ounces. Do not price king-size or multi-pack. Survey: Snickers.

Canned Chopped Ham. Twelve-ounce can of processed luncheon meat. Do not price turkey, light, or smoked varieties. Survey: SPAM.

Canned Green Beans. Fourteen to 15-ounce can of plain-cut green beans. Survey: Del Monte.

Canned Ham. Three-pound canned ham. Survey: Hormel, Black Label.

Canned Peaches. Fifteen to 16-ounce can of sliced peaches. Survey: Del Monte.

Canned Soup. Regular size (approximately 10.7 ounce) can of condensed soup. Not hearty, reduced fat or salt free varieties. Survey: Campbells Chicken Noodle Soup.

Canned Tuna. Chunk light tuna, packed in spring water (approximately 6

ounces). Do not price fancy style or albacore. Survey: StarKist.

Cappuccino. One 12-ounce ''tall'' cup of cappuccino. Survey: Starbucks type.

Cellular Phone 450 Minute Plan. Nationwide cellular phone service with 450 anytime minutes per month with no additional charge for long distance calls. Price via internet all areas at the same time during the DC area survey. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: Major provider.

Cellular Phone 900 Minute Plan. Nationwide cellular phone service with 900 anytime minutes per month with no additional charge for long distance calls. Price via internet all areas at the same time during the DC area survey. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: Major provider.

Cereal. Raisin bran cereal, approximately 20-ounce box. Survey: Kelloggs Raisin Bran.

Charcoal Grill. Charcoal grill, heavy gauge, porcelain-enameled, steel lid, approximately 22.5 inches in diameter. Survey: Weber 1 Touch Silver 22–1/2 inch, model 741001.

Cheese. Twelve-ounce package cheese, 16 slices. Okay to price yellow or white, but do not price reduced fat or fat-free varieties. Survey: Kraft Singles, American.

Chevrolet Silverado 1500. Purchase price of a 2006 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 Regular Cab LT, 4x4, 2 door, 8 foot bed, 4.8 liter, V8, 4-speed automatic overdrive transmission. Please note the price of any special option packages. In Alaska, include price of cold weather package, if extra. [Use auto dealer worksheet]. Survey: Chevrolet Silverado 1500 LT.

Chevy Lic., Reg., Taxes, and Insp. License, registration, periodic taxes (*e.g.*, road or personal property tax, but NOT one-time taxes such as sales tax). Survey: Specified Chevy.

Chicken Breast, Skinless, Boneless. Price per pound of USDA grade boneless, skinless, fresh chicken breasts. Survey: National/Regional brand (*e.g.* Perdue).

Chicken, Whole, Fresh. Price per pound of USDA graded, whole (Fryer), fresh chicken. If fryer not available price roaster as substitute. Survey: National/Regional brand (*e.g.* Perdue).

Chuck Roast, Bone-In. Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) bone-in beef chuck pot roast. Price USDA Select or ungraded if available. If not available, note USDA grade in comments. Use average size package; *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. Survey: Chuck Roast with bone.

Chuck Roast, Boneless. Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) boneless beef chuck pot roast. Price USDA Select or un-graded if available. If not available, note USDA grade in comments. Use average size package; *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. Survey: Chuck Roast boneless.

Cigarettes. One pack filter kings. Include State and/or Federal tobacco tax in price if normally part of the price. Report sales tax in the same manner as any other taxable item. Survey: Marlboro.

Claw Hammer. Twenty-ounce, straight claw hammer with shock reduction grip. Head and handle forged in one piece. Survey: Estwing (E3–20S).

Coffee. One 12-ounce ''tall'' fresh brewed cup of coffee. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Starbucks type.

Coffee, Ground. Thirteen-ounce can. Do not price decaffeinated or special roasts. Survey: Folgers Classic Roast.

Compact Disc (Target/FM). Current best-selling CD. Do not price double CDs. Survey: X&Y by Coldplay or Some Hearts by Carrie Underwood.

Compact Disc (Wal-Mart). Current best-selling CD. Do not price double CDs. Survey: X&Y by Coldplay or Some Hearts by Carrie Underwood.

Contact Lenses. One box of disposable contact lenses, three pairs in the box. A pair lasts 2 weeks. Survey: Bausch & Lomb, Acuvue 2.

Cookies. Approximately sixteen ounce package of chocolate chip cookies. Survey: Nabisco Chips Ahoy!

Cooking Oil. Forty-eight fluid ounce plastic bottle of vegetable oil. Survey: Crisco.

Cordless Phone (Target/FM). Cordless phone, 2.4 GHz with Caller ID and digital answering machine. Includes base unit, charger and 2 handsets. Survey: Panasonic (KX–TG2432B).

Cordless Phone (Wal-Mart). Cordless phone, 2.4 GHz with Caller ID and digital answering machine. Includes base unit, charger and 2 handsets. Survey: Panasonic (KX–TG2432B).

Credit Card Gold Interest & Annual. Obtain credit card interest rate of a gold card and apply it to the national average balance ($8,562) plus any annual fees charged by the bank. Price standard plan without airline miles or other special offers. Assume excellent credit. Survey: Gold VISA/Master Card.

Cremation. Direct cremation. Includes removal of remains, local transportation to crematory, necessary body care and minimal services of the staff. Include crematory fee. Do not include price of urn. Ask if crematory fee, Medical Examiner fee, and minimum basic container are included. Ask if anything other than basic service, such as a funeral service, is included. Survey: Cremation.

Cured Ham, Boneless. Price per pound of a boneless cured ham. Do not price sliced varieties. Survey: Hormel, Cure 81.

Curved Claw Hammer. Sixteen-ounce, curved claw hammer with jacketed graphite handle and nylon vinyl grip. Survey: Stanley (51–505).

Day Care. One month of day care for a 3-year old child, 5 days a week, about 10 hours per day. If monthly rate is not available, (1) obtain weekly rate, (2) record rate in the comments section, and (3) multiply weekly rate by 4.33 to obtain monthly rate. Survey: Day care.

Dental Clean and Check-Up. Current adult patient charge for routine exam, including two bite-wing x-rays and cleaning of teeth with light scaling and polishing. No special treatment of gums or teeth. Do not price an initial visit or specialist or oral surgeon. (Dental codes: 0120, 0272, 1110.) Survey: Dentist.

Dental Crown. Full crown on a lower molar, porcelain fused to a high noble metal. Include price of preparation or restoration of tooth to accept crown. Price for an adult. (Dental code: 2750.) Survey: Dentist.

Dental Filling. Lower molar, two surfaces resin-based composite filling. Price for an adult. (Dental code: 2392.) Survey: Dentist.

Dining Table (FurnitureOnline). Dining table with bookmatched veneer tops, pedestal base, 18'' leaf extension with a Nutmeg Brown Cherry finish. Include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Dining Table, catalog number: FOG–DN1034.

Dinner Full Service (FD-Type). Filet mignon (6 to 10 ounce) with one or two small side dishes (*e.g.*, rice or potato), salad and coffee. Do not include tip. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Filet Mignon.

Dinner Full Service (FD-Type). NY strip steak (10 to 16 ounce) with one or two small side dishes (*e.g.*, rice or potato), salad and coffee. Do not include tip. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Steak dinner, NY strip.

Dinner Full Service (PH-Type). T-bone steak (8 to 13 ounce) with one or two small side dishes (*e.g.*, rice or potato), salad and coffee. Do not include tip. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Steak dinner, T-Bone.

Dinner Full-Service (Casual-1). Sirloin steak (8 to 12 ounce) with one or two small side dishes (*e.g.*, rice or potato), side salad or salad bar, and coffee. Meal should not include dessert. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Steak dinner, sirloin.

Dinner Full-Service (Casual-2). NY strip steak (8 to 12 ounce) with one or

two small side dishes (*e.g.*, rice or potato), side salad or salad bar, and coffee. Meal should not include dessert. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: NY strip steak.

Dish Set (Target/FM). Sixteen-piece dinnerware set. Service for 4 includes: dinner plates, salad plates, bowls and mugs. Stoneware. Shale or Adobe Red pattern. Survey: Prairie 16-pc Dinnerware Set.

Dish Set (Wal-Mart). Corelle Chutney pattern tableware 20-piece set. Includes: 4 dinner plates, 4 luncheon plates, 4 bowls, 4 cups, and 4 saucers. Survey: Corelle, Chutney.

Disposable Diapers (Grocery). Mega pack disposable diapers. Survey: Pampers Baby Dry, Mega, any size and count.

Disposable Diapers (Grocery). Mega pack disposable diapers. Survey: Huggies Baby Shape, Mega, any size and count.

Doctor Office Visit. Typical fee for office visit for an adult when medical advice or simple treatment is needed. Do not price initial visit. Exclude regular physical examination, injections, medications, or lab tests. Use general practitioner not pediatrician or other specialist. Medical Code: 99213. Survey: Doctor.

Drill, Cord (Lowes). Variable speed, 3/8-inch electric drill, keyless chuck, approximately 5 amp. Survey: Black & Decker DR220K (Lowes).

Drill, Cord. Variable speed, 3/8-inch electric drill, keyless chuck, approximately 5 amp. Survey: Black & Decker DR201K.

Drill, Cordless. Variable speed, reversible, 3/8-inch keyless chuck, 14.4 volt, electric drill, with battery charger. Survey: DeWalt (DC728KA).

Dry Clean Man's Suit. Dry cleaning of a two-piece Man's suit of typical fabric. Do not price for silk, suede or other unusual materials. Survey: Dry cleaning.

DVD Movie (Target/FM). Current best-selling DVD movie, (widescreen edition). Survey: Walk the Line or The Constant Gardener.

DVD Movie (Wal-Mart). Current best-selling DVD movie. Survey: Walk the Line (Widescreen), The Constant Gardener (Widescreen).

DVD Player. Single disc DVD player with remote control. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly. Survey: Sony (DVP–NS50P/S).

DVD Player (Target/FM). Single disc DVD player with remote control. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly. Survey: SONY (DVP–NS50P/S).

DVD Player (Wal-Mart). Single disc DVD player with remote control. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly. Survey: Sony DVD Player (DVP–NS50P/S).

Education, Private K–12. Cost of tuition and all access fees, materials fees, books, and registration fees that are not included in tuition. If price varies by grade, record in comments price for each grade. Note any annual, recurring fees; *i.e.*, registration, computer, activity, etc. If pricing at church-affiliated schools, report price for a non-church member. Survey: Private school K–12.

Eggs (White, Large). One dozen large white Grade AA eggs. If multiple brands available, match the lowest priced item and note in comments. Survey: Store brand.

Electric, Gas, and Oil Rates. Utility rates for electricity, gas and oil, including all taxes and surcharges, etc. in effect for the last 12 months. Survey: Local provider.

Eye Round Roast, Boneless. Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) boneless eye round roast. Price USDA Select or un-graded if available. If not available, note USDA grade in comments. Use average size package, *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. Survey: Store brand.

Fast Food Breakfast. Breakfast value meal, including hash browns and coffee. Check sales tax and include in price. Sausage McMuffin and Egg, and Bacon Egg and Cheese Biscuit value meals are equivalents. Survey: Egg McMuffin value meal.

Fast Food Dinner Burger. Burger value meal, includes fries and soda. Price medium size. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Big Mac Value Meal (Med.).

Fast Food Dinner Chicken. Two-piece breast and wing combo, with one side item, biscuit, and a medium drink. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Two pc. Breast & Wing Combo.

Fast Food Dinner Pizza. Medium cheese pizza (without extra cheese) with salad and small soft drink. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Medium cheese pizza.

Fast Food Dinner Sub. Six-inch cold cut combo, includes sub, chips and a medium drink. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Cold Cut Combo (6 inch).

Fast Food Lunch Burger. Burger value meal, includes fries and soda. Price medium size. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Big Mac Value Meal (Med.).

Fast Food Lunch Chicken. Two-piece breast and wing combo, with one side item, biscuit, and a medium drink. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Two pc. Breast & Wing Combo.

Fast Food Lunch Pizza. Personal size cheese pizza (without extra cheese) or one slice of cheese pizza. Include price of a small soft drink. Do not include price of salad or other side dishes. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Cheese pizza.

Fast Food Lunch Sub. Six-inch cold cut combo, includes sub, chips and a medium drink. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Cold Cut Combo (6 inch).

FEGLI (Life Insurance). Federal life insurance. This item is not surveyed locally because it is constant across all areas. Survey: FEGLI.

FEHB Insurance. Self-only and family coverage. This item is not surveyed locally. OPM estimates insurance prices from employee premiums and enrollment data from the Central Personnel Data File. Survey: FEHB.

FERS/CSRS Contributions. Federal retirement contributions. This item is not surveyed locally because it is constant across all areas. Survey: FERS/CSRS.

Filing Cabinet (Target/FM). Metal, two-drawer, vertical file cabinet, approximately 24 by 14 by 18 inches. File drawer accommodates hanging files. Top drawer has lock. Survey: Space Solutions Ready File (10002).

Filing Cabinet (Wal-Mart). Metal, two-drawer, vertical file cabinet, approximately 24 by 14 by 18 inches. File drawer accommodates hanging files. Top drawer has lock. Survey: Space Solutions Smart File (16024).

Film Processing 1 Hr (Target/FM). One-hour color film processing for 24 exposure, 35 mm, with 4 by 6 inch double prints. Survey: In-store processing.

Film Processing 1 Hr (Wal-Mart). One-hour color film processing for 24 exposure, 35 mm, with 4 by 6 inch double prints. Survey: Wal-Mart in-store processing.

Ford Explorer 4WD. Purchase price of a 2006 Ford Explorer XLT, 4x4, 4.6 liter, 8 cylinder, 4 door, 5-speed automatic overdrive transmission. Please note the price of any special option packages. (Use auto dealer worksheet.) Survey: 2006 Ford Explorer XLT.

Ford Lic., Reg., Taxes, and Insp. License, registration, periodic taxes (*e.g.*, road or personal property tax, but NOT one-time taxes such as sales tax). Survey: Specified Ford.

Fresh Cod. Price one pound of cod fillet, fresh. Survey: Store brand.

Frozen Fish Fillet-1. Price of one box (10 count) approximately 19 ounces of frozen ocean whitefish crunchy golden breaded fillets. Survey: Gortons breaded fish fillets.

Frozen Fish Fillet-2. Price of one box (8 to 10 count) approximately 21.5 ounces of frozen ocean whitefish crispy golden battered fillets. Survey: Van De Kamps battered fish fillets.

Frozen Meal-1. One approximately 8.5-ounce frozen meal. Survey: Lean Cuisine Chicken w/Almonds.

Frozen Meal-2. One approximately 11-ounce frozen meal. Survey: Healthy Choice Grilled Chicken Basil.

Frozen Orange Juice. Twelve fluid-ounce can of orange juice concentrate (makes 48 fluid ounces). Do not price calcium fortified, pulp free, country style, etc. Survey: Minute Maid.

Frozen Vegetables. Seven-and-1/2-ounce package of frozen green beans and almonds, no sauce. Survey: Green Giant Green Beans & Almonds.

Frozen Waffles. Ten-count box of frozen waffles per package. Do not price fat-free or whole wheat varieties. Survey: Eggo (10 ct).

Fruit Drink. Ten pack of fruit drink, not juice, any flavor. Survey: CapriSun.

Fruit Juice. Sixty-four-ounce bottle of cranberry juice. Survey: Ocean Spray Cranberry Juice.

Gasoline, Regular Unleaded. One gallon of self-service, unleaded, regular gasoline. Survey: Major brand.

Gelatin. Three-ounce box of gelatin dessert. Survey: JELL-O.

General Admission Evening Film. Adult price for evening showing, current-release (currently advertised on television). Report weekend evening price if different from weekday. Survey: Movie.

Girls Dress (H.A.). Three print sundress, combed cotton, slipover style with pleated ruffles at the caplet sleeves and hem, and a raised waist. Back button placket, knee length. Include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Best Friends Sundress, number: CD30843.

Girls Dress (JCP/Sears). Girls print chiffon dress. Simple lines, short sleeves. Polyester, machine washable. Survey: Store brand.

Girls Jeans (JCP/Sears). Girls jeans, slim fit in the seat and thighs with flared legs and traditional 5-pocket styling, for ages 8 to 10 (size 7 to 14). Survey: Levis 517.

Girls Polo Type Top (JCP/Sears). Girls polo cotton blend, striped or solid pattern. Price sizes 7 to14 or S, M, and L in girls sizes. Survey: Lands End.

Ground Beef (20% fat). Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) 20 percent fat ground beef or ground chuck. Use average size package; *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. Survey: Store brand (20% fat).

Ground Beef (7% fat). Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) approximately 7 percent fat ground beef or ground chuck. Use average size package; *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. Survey: Store brand (7% fat).

Hamburger Buns. Eight-count package of sliced enriched white hamburger buns. Holsum is an equivalent brand. Survey: Wonder.

Health Club Membership. One-year regular, individual membership for existing member. Do not price special offers. If no yearly rate, price month and prorate. Service must include free weights, cardiovascular equipment, and aerobic classes. Report as substitute if pool, tennis, racquet ball, or similar amenities included. Survey: Golds Gym type.

Hospital Room (Private). Daily charge for a private room. Include food and routine care. Exclude cost of operating room, surgery, medicine, lab fees, etc. Do not price specialty rooms, *e.g.*, those in cardiac care units. Survey: Private Room.

Hospital Room (Semi-Private). Daily charge for a semi-private room. Include food and routine care. Exclude cost of operating room, surgery, medicine, lab fees, etc. Do not price specialty rooms, *e.g.*, those in cardiac care units. Survey: Semi-private room.

Hot Dogs, Beef Franks. Sixteen-ounce package, 10-count, USDA graded, all-beef franks. Do not price chicken, turkey, extra lean, or fat-free frankfurters. Survey: Oscar Mayer Beef Franks.

Housekeeping (Hourly Wage). Local hourly wage for a housekeeper or janitor. BLS code 37–2012. Try to obtain from the local department of labor. Survey: Government Wage Data.

Ice Cream. Fifty-six ounce (1.75 quart) vanilla flavored ice cream. Do not price ice milk, fat-free, or frozen yogurt. Survey: Breyers/Edys Grand Ice Cream.

Infants Sleeper (JCP/Sears). One-piece sleeping garment with legs, covering the body including the feet. Stretch cotton/polyester terry. Washable. Can be packaged or hanging. Size: New born. Survey: Carters NewBorn.

Insurance, Chevrolet. Annual premium for surveyed Chevrolet. Thirty-five-year-old married male, currently insured, no accidents/violations. Commuting 15 miles one-way per day, annual 15,000 miles. Bodily injury 100/300; property damage 25; medical 15 or personal injury protection 50; uninsured motorist 100/300; comprehensive deductible 100; and collision deductible 250. If this level of coverage is not available, price the policy with the closest coverage. Car value $27,500. Survey: National company if available.

Insurance, Subaru. Annual premium for surveyed Subaru. Thirty-five-year-old married male, currently insured, no accidents/violations. Commuting 15 miles one-way per day, annual 15,000 miles. Bodily injury 100/300; property damage 25; medical 15 or personal injury protection 50; uninsured motorist 100/300; comprehensive deductible 100; and collision deductible 250. If this level of coverage is not available, price the policy with the closest coverage. Car value $25,920. Survey: National company if available.

Internet Service Cable. Monthly charge for unlimited cable Internet access. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: Local cable provider.

Internet Service DSL. Monthly charge for unlimited DSL Internet access. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: Local DSL provider.

Jelly-1. Eighteen-ounce jar of grape jam or jelly. Survey: Smuckers Concord Grape.

Jelly-2. Eighteen-ounce jar of grape jam or jelly. Survey: Welchs Grape Jelly.

Jewelry Earring Set (JCP/Sears). A box set of fake diamond earrings and necklace. Survey: Store Brand.

Ketchup. Twenty-four-ounce plastic squeeze bottle. Survey: Heinz.

Kitchen Range, Electric Coil. Thirty-inch free-standing electric range with coil burners, self-cleaning oven. Survey: GE—JBP35WKWW.

Kitchen Range, Electric Coil (Sears). Thirty-inch free-standing electric range with coil burners, self-cleaning oven. Survey: GE—JBP35DKWW.

Kitchen Range, Smooth Top-1. Thirty-inch free-standing smooth top electric range with radiant burners, self-cleaning oven. Survey: GE—JBP62BKWH.

Kitchen Range, Smooth Top-2. Thirty-inch free-standing smooth top electric range with radiant burners, self-cleaning oven. Survey: Hotpoint—RB790WKWW.

Kitchen Range, Smooth Top (S). Thirty-inch free-standing smooth top electric range with radiant burners, warming zone, self-cleaning oven, stainless steel. Survey: GE—JBP71SKSS.

Laptop Computer. Laptop with Intel Core Duo Processor T2300, 1.66 GHz, 17 inch wide screen XGA+, 512 MB, 100 GB hard drive, CD/DVD combo. (Include tax and shipping and handling, if applicable.) Survey: Dell Inspiron E1705.

Laundry Soap. One-hundred fluid-ounces of liquid household laundry detergent. Survey: Wisk.

Lawn Care (Hourly Wage). Local wage for gardener or grounds keeper. BLS code 37–3011. Try to obtain from the

local department of labor. Survey: Government Wage Data.

Lawn Mower, Self Propelled. Twenty-one to 22-inch, self-propelled approximately 6.5 horsepower gas lawn mower. Survey: Toro high wheel recycler (20012).

Lawn Mower, Self Propelled (Sears). Twenty-one to 22-inch, self-propelled approximately 6.5 horsepower gas lawn mower. Survey: Craftsman (37482) Rear Bag Mower.

Lawn Trimmer, Gas-1. Gas powered 25cc 2-cycle engine, 17 to 18-inch wide cut. Straight or curved shaft. Bump or automatic line feed. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly by dealer. Survey: Homelite (UT20778).

Lawn Trimmer, Gas-2. Gas powered 25cc, 17-inch wide cut string trimmer. Survey: Homelite UT20042.

Lawn Trimmer, Gas (Sears). Gas powered 34cc Briggs & Stratton 4-cycle engine, 17 to 18-inch wide cut. Straight or curved shaft. Bump or automatic line feed. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly by dealer. Survey: Craftsman (79612).

Lettuce, Iceberg. One head of iceberg lettuce. Survey: Store brand.

Lettuce, Romaine. One pound of romaine lettuce, not hearts. If only sold by each, note typical weight in comments. Survey: Store brand.

Lipstick-1. One tube, any color lipstick. Survey: Revlon Super Lustrous.

Lipstick-2. One tube, any color lipstick. Survey: Maybelline Moisture Whip.

Living Room Chair (FurnitureOnline). Three-way recliner, microfiber upholstery, wood frame construction. Include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Nascar 3 Way Recliner, number BAB–8100N.

Long Distance Call Chicago. Price of a 10-minute call using regional carrier, received on a weekday in Chicago at 8:00 p.m. (Chicago time); direct dial. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: AT&T or Sprint.

Long Distance Call Los Angeles. Price of a 10-minute call using regional carrier, received on a weekday in Los Angeles at 8:00 p.m. (LA time); direct dial. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: AT&T or Sprint.

Long Distance Call New York. Price of a 10-minute call using regional carrier, received on a weekday in New York at 8:00 p.m. (NY time); direct dial. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: AT&T or Sprint.

Lunch Full Service (PH-type). Cheeseburger platter with fries and small soft drink. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Cheeseburger platter.

Lunch Full-Service (Casual). Cheeseburger platter with fries and small soft drink. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: Cheeseburger platter.

Lunch Meat, All Beef. Eight-ounce package, all-beef variety, sliced bologna. Survey: Oscar Mayer Beef Bologna.

Magazine. Store price (not publishers list price unless that is the store price) for a single copy. Survey: InStyle.

Magazine Subscription. One-year home delivery price of a magazine. This is priced during the DC area survey via the Internet. Survey: Time.com.

Man's Athletic Shoe (Shoe Store). Man's walking shoe, soft leather upper. Full-length Phylon midsole with low-pressure Air-Sole units in heel and forefoot. Composition rubber outsole. Survey: Reebok Classic.

Man's Dress Shirt (JCP/Sears). White or solid color long sleeve button cuff plain collar dress shirt, cotton blend. Survey: Geoffrey Beene.

Man's Dress Shoe Rubber Sole. Leather oxford with cushioned insole and heel pad. Shoe has combination leather and rubber sole. Survey: Rockport.

Man's Hiking Boot (HTO/FMS). Gore-Tex waterproof, breathable boot, gusseted tongue, Nubuck leather upper, AgION anti-microbial lining, easy-glide reinforced instep eyelet, Vibram outsole, TPU shank. Survey: The North Face Conness GTX.

Man's Hiking Boot (REI). Split-grain leather with Cordura nylon upper, Gore-Tex and nylon lining, Polyurethane midsole, DuoAsoflex support, rubber outsole. Survey: Asolo Fugitive GTX.

Man's Hiking Boot (Sears). Timberland steel toe hiker with leather construction and a rubber outsole. Toe is constructed of steel. Steel shank. Survey: Timberland Steel Toe Hiker Grey.

Man's Jeans (JCP/Sears). Relaxed-fit jeans. Survey: Levis Red Tab 550.

Man's Khaki Pants (JCP/Sears). Man's casual khakis, any color, relaxed-fit or classic-fit, no wrinkle, flat-front or pleated, cotton twill. Do not price expandable waistband. Survey: Dockers.

Man's Leather Dress Shoe (JCP). Full-grain leather captoe oxford, leather upper, leather outsole, with leather lining and a comfort heel cup. Slip-resistant sole. Price by catalog and include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Florsheim Lexington Captoe, number A014–9043.

Man's Parka (Cabelas). Man's goose down parka, regular size. Price by catalog and include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: North Slope (XF–920167).

Man's Regular Haircut. Regular haircut for short to medium length hair. Survey: Hair salon cut.

Man's Sport Watch (Target/FM). Digital watch with stainless steel case back, 30-lap memory, 100-hour chronograph, 2 mode timers and alarm, INDIGLO nightlight, and water resistant to 100 meters. Strap and watch trim colors may vary. Survey: Timex Ironman.

Man's Sport Watch (Wal-Mart). Digital compass watch with fast wrap band, 100-hour chronograph, INDIGLO night-light, water-resistant up to 100 meters, digital display, alarm and countdown timer. Strap and watch trim colors may vary. Survey: Timex Expedition (47512).

Man's Suit (JCP). Six-button, double-breasted worsted wool suit coat, flap pockets, chest pocket, dry clean only. Regular size with full acetate lining. Price coat as a separate, not combo with trousers. Price by catalog and include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Stafford Suit Coat, number A957–0249.

Man's Undershirt (JCP/Sears). One package of three mens v-neck T-shirts, white, 100 percent cotton undershirts with short sleeves, regular size. Survey: Jockey.

Margarine. One pound (four sticks) regular margarine. Do not price reduced fat variety. Survey: Fleischmanns.

Mayonnaise. Thirty or 32-ounce jar of mayonnaise. Do not price light or fat-free. Survey: Best Foods/Hellmanns Real Mayonnaise.

Measuring Tape. Twenty-five-foot tape measure with blade armor coating. Survey: Stanley 25 Ft. FatMax (33–725H).

Milk, Low-Fat. One-half-gallon, 2 percent milk. Survey: Store brand.

Mover (Hourly Wage). Local hourly wage for a mover or material handler. BLS code 53–7062. Try to obtain from the local department of labor. Survey: Government Wage Data.

Newspaper Subscription, Local. One-year home delivery of the largest selling daily local paper (including Sunday edition) distributed in the area. Do not include tip. Survey: Major local newspaper.

Newspaper, Newsstand, Local. Local newspaper at a newsstand (in box), weekday issue. If a newsstand box is not available, price at a newsstand and indicate whether price includes tax. Survey: Newspaper, newsstand, local.

Newspaper, Newsstand, NY Times. New York Times newspaper at a newsstand (in box), weekday issue. If a newsstand box is not available, price at a newsstand and indicate whether price includes tax. Survey: New York Times, Weekday.

Newspaper, Newsstand, USA Today. USA Today newspaper at a newsstand (in box), weekday issue. If a newsstand box is not available, price at a newsstand and indicate whether price includes tax. Survey: USA Today, Weekday.

Non-Aspirin Pain Reliever. One hundred geltabs of acetaminophen 500 mg. Survey: Tylenol Ex Str Geltabs (100 cnt).

Non-Aspirin Pain Reliever. Fifty geltabs of acetaminophen 500 mg. Survey: Tylenol Ex Str Geltabs (50 cnt).

Oranges. Price per pound of loose, large (3/4 lb or more), Navel oranges. Survey: National brand *e.g.* Sunkist.

Oregano Leaves. Three-quarter-ounce bottle of oregano leaves. Survey: McCormick.

Parcel Post to Chicago. Price of mailing a 10-pound package to Chicago (zip code 60602) using regular mail delivery service. Survey: Parcel Post to Chicago.

Parcel Post to Los Angeles. Price of mailing a 10-pound package to Los Angeles (zip code 90013) using regular mail delivery service. Survey: Parcel Post to Los Angeles.

Parcel Post to New York. Price of mailing a 10-pound package to New York (zip code 10109) using regular mail delivery service. Survey: Parcel Post to New York.

Pen (Target/FM). Ten-pack round stick medium point pen. Survey: BIC.

Pen (Wal-Mart). Ten-pack round stick medium point pen. Survey: Paper Mate.

Pet Food. Twenty-two-pound bag of adult dry dog food. Survey: Pedigree Complete Nutrition.

Piano Lessons. Monthly fee for half-hour beginner private piano lessons for an adult, one lesson per week. Price through a music studio if possible. If only per lesson price is available, prorate using 1/2 hour lesson times 4.333. If only 1-hour lesson is available prorate accordingly. Survey: Piano Lessons.

Pillow-Top Mattress (Mattress.com). Full-size pillow top mattress and box spring set. Include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: The W Bed Pillow Top Mattress Set.

Plant Food. Twenty-four-ounce container of granulated all purpose plant food. Survey: Miracle Gro.

Pork Chops Center Cut, Bone-In. Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) center cut, bone-in, pork loin chops. Sirloin and blade chops are not comparable. Use average size package, *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. If multiple brands available, match the lowest priced item and note in comments. Survey: Store brand.

Pork Chops Center Cut, Boneless. Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) pork chops, center cut, boneless, loin chops. Sirloin and blade chops are not comparable. Use average size package, *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. If multiple brands available, match the lowest priced item and note in comments. Survey: Store brand.

Potato Chips. One 5.2 to 6-ounce container of regular potato chips. Do not price fat-free. Survey: Pringles.

Potatoes. Price per pound of loose potatoes. Survey: Russet or Idaho Baking.

Prescription Drug (Non-Generic). Nexium, 30 capsules 40 mg. Survey: Nexium (40 mg).

Prescription Drug (Generic). Amoxicillin, 30 capsules, 250 mg. Survey: Amoxicillin.

Printer, Color, Photo. Color inkjet all-in-one printer, flatbed scanner, copier. Survey: HP PSC 1610 All-In-One.

Red Roses. One-dozen long stemmed, fresh cut red roses wrapped in floral paper, purchased in store, not delivered. Do not price boxed or roses arranged in vase. Survey: Dozen red roses.

Refrigerator—Side-by-Side. Side-by-side refrigerator, approximately 25 cubic feet, with factory installed ice maker, water filter, and ice and water dispenser through the door. Survey: GE—GSH25JFRWW.

Refrigerator—Side-by-Side (Lowes). Side-by-side refrigerator, approximately 26 cubic feet, with factory installed ice maker, water filter, and dispenser. Survey: Whirlpool—GS6SHEXNL.

Refrigerator—Side-by-Side (Sears). Side-by-side refrigerator, approximately 25 cubic feet, with factory installed ice maker, water filter, and ice and water dispenser through the do or. Survey: Kenmore Elite—56712.

Refrigerator—Top Mount-1. Top freezer refrigerator, approximately 21 cubic feet, with factory installed ice maker, water filter, and dispenser. Survey: Whirlpool—ET1FHTXMQ.

Refrigerator—Top Mount-2. Top freezer refrigerator, approximately 18 cubic feet, without ice maker. Survey: GE—GTS18FBSWW.

Rental Data. Rent index from hedonic regressions that OPM conducts using contractor-provided survey data. Survey: Monthly rental data from OPM.

Renter Insurance Low. One-year of renters insurance (HO–4) coverage for $25,000 of contents. In the COLA areas, policy must cover hurricane, earthquake, and other catastrophic damage. Note amount of liability coverage in comments; price minimum liability coverage if it varies. Assume concrete structure. Survey: Major carrier.

Renter Insurance Middle. One-year of renters insurance (HO–4) coverage for $30,000 of contents. In the COLA areas policy must cover hurricane, earthquake, and other catastrophic damage. Note amount of liability coverage in comments; price minimum liability coverage if it varies. Assume concrete structure. Survey: Major carrier.

Renter Insurance Upper. One-year of renters insurance (HO–4) coverage for $35,000 of contents. In the COLA areas policy must cover hurricane, earthquake, and other catastrophic damage. Note amount of liability coverage in comments; price minimum liability coverage if it varies. Assume concrete structure. Survey: Major carrier.

Rice, Long Grain. Three-pound box of long grain enriched white rice. Do not price instant rice. Survey: Uncle Ben's Converted Long Grain.

Salt. Twenty-six-ounce box of iodized salt. Sterling is an equivalent brand. Survey: Morton.

Shampoo. Fifteen-ounce bottle for normal hair. Survey: VO5.

Sheet, 200 Count (Wal-Mart). Sheet, 200 thread count cotton or cotton polyester blend. QUEEN size fitted or flat sheet, not a set. Survey: Mainstays.

Sheet, 300 Count (Target/FM). Sheet, 300 thread count cotton or cotton polyester blend. QUEEN size fitted or flat sheet, not a set. Survey: Fieldcrest Classic or HD Designs.

Shop Rate (Chevrolet). Hourly shop rate for a mechanic at a Chevrolet dealership. Survey: Chevy dealer shop rate.

Shop Rate (Ford). Hourly shop rate for a mechanic at a Ford dealership. Survey: Ford dealer shop Rate.

Shop Rate (Subaru). Hourly shop rate for a mechanic at a Subaru dealership. Survey: Subaru dealer shop rate.

Sirloin Steak, Boneless. Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) boneless beef top sirloin steak. Price USDA Select or un-graded if available. If not available, note USDA grade in comments. Use average size package; *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. Survey: Store brand.

Skiing. Lift ticket for downhill skiing. Day pass (8 hours) for Saturday during peak season, non-holiday. Survey: Skiing (lift ticket).

Sliced Bacon. Sixteen-ounce package USDA grade, regular slice. Survey: Oscar Mayer.

Snack Cake. One 10-count box of cream-filled type cake desserts. Survey: Hostess Twinkies.

Snowblower. 2006, two stage, 6.5 HP, 196 CC, 24'' clearing, rubber track driven snowblower with 210 degree adjustable discharge chute. Include any set-up or other applicable fees. Survey: Honda Snowblower (HS724TA).

Soft Drink. Twelve-pack of cola flavored soda, 12 ounce cans. Survey: Coca-Cola 12-pack (cans).

Soy Milk. One-half-gallon of refrigerated vanilla soy milk. Survey: White Wave Silk Soy.

Spaghetti. Sixteen-ounce box or bag of pasta spaghetti. Survey: Barilla.

Stamp. Price of mailing a 1-ounce first class letter. Survey: USPS.

Stand Mixer (JCP/Sears). Artisan stand mixer with 325-watt motor, tilt-up head, 10 speeds, and 5-quart stainless steel bowl. Includes pouring shield. Last two characters of model number denote color. Survey: KitchenAid 325-watt (KSM150PSWW).

Stand Mixer (Target/FM). Artisan stand mixer with 325-watt motor, tilt-up head, 10 speeds, and 5-quart stainless steel bowl. Last two characters of model number denote color. Survey: KitchenAid 325-watt (KSM150).

Stand Mixer (Wal-Mart). Sunbeam Heritage Series Mixmaster stand mixer, 350 watts of power, 12 speeds, die-cast, all metal construction, two stainless steel mixing bowls (4.6-quart and 2.2-quart), stainless steel beaters and dough hooks. Survey: Sunbeam Heritage (2350).

Subaru Legacy. Purchase price of a 2006 Subaru Legacy I Limited sedan, AWD, 2.5 liter, 16 valve, 4 cylinder, 4 door, 4-speed automatic transmission. Please note the price of any special option packages. (Use auto dealer worksheet.) Survey: 2006 Subaru Legacy I Limited.

Subaru Lic., Reg., Taxes, and Insp. License, registration, periodic taxes (*e.g.*, road or personal property tax, but NOT one-time taxes such as sales tax). Survey: Specified Subaru.

Sugar. Five-pound bag of granulated cane or beet name brand sugar. Do not price superfine. Survey: National brand, *e.g.* Domino.

Tax Preparation. Flat rate for preparing individual tax Federal 1040 (long form), Schedule A, plus State or local equivalents. (Note: Some areas only have local income taxes.) Note number of forms in comments. Assume typical itemized deductions. If only hourly rate available, obtain estimate of the time necessary to prepare forms, prorate, and report as a substitute. Survey: H&R Block type.

Taxi Fare. Cab fare, one way, from major airport to destination 5 miles away. Price fare for one passenger with two suitcases. In reference area, price rides from BWI for Maryland, Reagan National for the District of Columbia, and Dulles for Virginia. Survey: Taxi fare.

Telephone Service. Monthly price for unlimited local phone service. Exclude options such as call waiting, call forwarding or fees for equipment rental. Itemize taxes and fees and add to price. Survey: Local provider.

Television 14″ (Wal-Mart). Flat-screen, 14-inch, stereo, color TV, with remote. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly. Survey: RCA 14'' TV (14F512T).

Television 26″ HDTV (Wal-Mart). Twenty-six-inch, widescreen, LCD, high definition TV with remote. Survey: Sharp 26″ HDTV—LD26SH3U.

Television 27″. Flat-screen, 27-inch, stereo, color TV with remote. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly by dealer. Survey: Sony 27″ TV (KV–27FS120).

Television 27″ (Target/FM). Flat-screen, 27-inch, stereo, color TV with remote. Note: Model numbers may vary slightly by dealer. Survey: Sony 27″ TV (KV–27FS120).

Tennis Balls (Target/FM). One can, three pressurized tennis balls designed for recreational play. Do not price premium type balls. Survey: Wilson Championship.

Tennis Balls (Wal-Mart). One can, three pressurized tennis balls designed for recreational play. Do not price premium type balls. Survey: Wilson Championship.

Tires, All Season (Chevy-1). Price of four tires (P245/75R16 Load Range SL, Svc Desc 109S) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and/or sales tax and disposal of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Goodyear Wrangler RT/S.

Tires, All Season (Chevy-2). Price of four tires (P245/75R16 Load Range SL, Svc Desc 109S) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and/or sales tax and disposal of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Toyo—Open Country.

Tires, All Season (Subaru-1). Price of four tires (P205/60R15 Service Description 91H) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and/or sales tax and disposal of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Goodyear Eagle LS.

Tires, All Season (Subaru-2). Price of four tires (P205/60R15 Service Description 91H) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and/or sales tax and disposal of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Toyo—Eclipse.

Tires, Average (Chevy). Average price by area of four tires for surveyed Chevy. In Alaska, this is average of all season and winter tires. In DC it is average of all season tires. See Tires Worksheet. Survey: Tires, Average (Chevy).

Tires, Average (Subaru). Average price by area of four tires for surveyed Chevy. In Alaska, this is average of all season and winter tires. In DC it is average of all season tires. See Tires Worksheet. Survey: Tires, Average (Subaru).

Tires, Snow (Chevy—Fairbanks). The cost of four tires (P245/75R16 Service Description 109Q) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and or sales tax and disposal cost of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Bridgestone Blizzak DM-Z3.

Tires, Snow (Subaru—Fairbanks). The cost of four tires (R205/60R15 Service Description 91H) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and or sales tax and disposal cost of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Bridgestone Blizzak WS–50.

Tires, Studded Snow (Chevy). Price of four tires (P245/75R16 Service Description 109Q) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and/or sales tax and disposal of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Bridgestone Dayton Winterforce.

Tires, Studded Snow (Subaru). Price of four tires (R205/60R15 Service Description 91H) mounted on the vehicle, balanced, new stems, stud fee, and applicable excise and/or sales tax and disposal of old tires. Do not price road hazard insurance or lifetime valve stems. Survey: Bridgestone Dayton Winterforce.

Toilet Tissue. Twelve-count single-roll type toilet tissue. Survey: Angel Soft.

Tomatoes. Price per pound of medium-size tomatoes. Do not price organic, hydro, plum, or extra fancy tomatoes. Survey: Store brand.

Top Round Steak, Boneless. Price per pound, fresh (not frozen or previously frozen) boneless beef top round steak. Price USDA Select or ungraded if available. If not available, note USDA grade in comments. Use average size package; *i.e.*, not family-pack, value-pack, super-saver pack, or equivalent. Survey: Store brand.

Truck Driver (Hourly Wage). Local hourly rate for truck driver light. BLS code 53–3033. Try to obtain from the local department of labor. Survey: Government Wage Data.

Utility Model Output. Total annual energy costs (electricity, gas, and oil) from OPM's utility function model, including all taxes and surcharges, etc. Survey: Utility Model Output.

Vacuum, Electric Broom (Wal-Mart). Electric bagless upright vacuum cleaner with 3 amp motor. Has telescopic handle, revolving brushroll. Survey: Eureka Boss SuperLite 405A or 405B.

Vacuum, Hand-Held 7.2 V (Wal-Mart). Cordless, hand-vac, 7.2 volt wet and dry. Survey: Black & Decker DustBuster—CHV7250.

Vacuum, Hand-Held 9.6 V (Target/FM). Cordless, hand-vac, 9.6 volt rechargeable battery, on-board tools and wall-mount charging base, 3-stage filtration for clean air exhaust. Survey: Black & Decker DustBuster (CHV9600).

Vacuum, Upright (Target/FM). Electric 12-amp bagless upright vacuum cleaner with a 15'' path, 3-year, HEPA filter; pet-hair cleaning tool, control switch for carpeting and hard floors. Survey: Hoover Savvy—U8174–900.

Veterinary Services. Routine annual examination for a small dog (approximately 25 to 30 pounds). Do not price booster shots, medication, or other extras such as nail clipping and ear cleaning. Survey: Vet services.

Video Rental (DVD). Minimum rental rate to rent a DVD on a Saturday night. Survey: Harry Potter and the Goblet of Fire.

Wash (Front Load). Regular size (double load) wash using a front loading washing machine. Approximate capacity: 2.8 cubic foot or 18 pounds. Exclude cost of drying. Survey: Coin laundry.

Washing Machine—Front Load-1. Front load washer, 3.8 cubic feet, 13 wash, 5 rinse cycles, electronic controls. Survey: Whirlpool Duet—GHW9400PW.

Washing Machine—Front Load-2. Front load washer, 3.3 cubic feet, with electronic controls. Maytag model MAH5500WW is an equivalent. Survey: Maytag Neptune—MAH55FLBWW.

Washing Machine—Front Load (Sears). Front load washer, 3.8 cubic feet, 12 cycles and options, electronic controls. Survey: Kenmore Elite—45087.

Washing Machine—Top Load. Top load washer, 3.2 cubic feet, 12 wash, 5 rinse cycles, manual controls. Survey: Whirlpool—LSQ9549PW.

Washing Machine—Top Load (HD). Top load washer, 3.2 cubic feet, 15 cycles, manual controls. Survey: GE—WHDSR315DWW.

Washing Machine—Top Load (Sears). Top load washer, 3.2 cubic feet, 22 cycles and options, manual controls. Survey: Kenmore Elite—16922.

Water Bill. Average monthly consumption in gallons and price (*e.g.*, price for first__gallons; price for over__gallons) for water and sewer. Include related charges such as customer service charge. Survey: Water bill.

Will Preparation. Hourly rate for a lawyer (not a paralegal) to prepare a simple will. If only flat rate available, record flat rate amount and divide by average amount of hours it would take to prepare will. Note in comments. Survey: Legal service.

Wine At Home. Chardonnay wine, 750 milliliter bottle, any vintage. Survey: Turning Leaf.

Wine Away (Casual). One glass of the least expensive house white wine. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: House wine.

Wine Away (FD-type). One glass of the least expensive house white wine. Check sales tax and include in price. Survey: House wine.

Woman's Athletic Shoe (Shoe Store). Woman's walking shoe, soft leather upper. Full-length Phylon midsole with low-pressure Air-Sole units in heel and forefoot. Composition rubber outsole. Survey: Reebok Classic.

Woman's Blouse (JCP/Sears). Short sleeve, 100 percent polyester, button front blouse with minimum or no trim. Washable. With or without shoulder pads. Price regular size, not Woman's or Plus sizes. Note brand in comments. Survey: Laura Scott (Sears) Liz Baker (JCP).

Woman's Blue Jeans (JCP/Sears). Blue jeans. Machine washable, five pocket with zipper fly, loose fit, straight leg or tapered, no elastic waist. Price regular size, not Woman's or Plus sizes. Survey: Levis 550 Relaxed Fit.

Woman's Boot (LL Bean). Pull-on insulated boot, waterproof nubuck-and-suede upper, lined with Thinsulate, fleece interior. Price by catalog and include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Wildcat Boots, Pull-On—TA48980.

Woman's Casual Khakis (JCP/Sears). Woman's casual khakis, any color, flat-front or pleated pants, machine washable, all cotton. Price regular size, not Woman's or Plus sizes. Survey: Lands End.

Woman's Cut and Style. Wash, cut, and styled blow dry for medium length hair. Exclude curling iron if extra. Price hair salons in major department stores and malls, if available. Survey: Medium length hair.

Woman's Dress (JCP/Sears). Patterned, rayon, dry clean only, misses print dress, size 4–16. Simple lines, no lace or special stitching. Survey: Covington (Sears) Studio 1 (JCP).

Woman's Dress (HA). Stretch poplin dress, sleeveless, raised waist seam and slight A-line, plus back zipper. Cotton/spandex print. Price by catalog and include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Circles On Black number CD30922.

Woman's Parka (Cabelas). Woman's GORE-TEX parka, regular size. Price by catalog and include sales tax and shipping and handling. Survey: Castlewood Parka (XF–912130).

Woman's Pump Shoes (JCP). Plain pump (not open toed or open back style) with tapered heel, approximately 1.5 to 2 inches. Heel color matches shoe color (e.g., not stacked or wooden type). Shoe has leather uppers. Rest is man-made materials. No extra ornamentation. Survey: 925.

Woman's Pump Shoes (Sears). Plain pump (not open toed or open back style) with tapered heel, approximately 1.5 to 2 inches. Heel color matches shoe color (e.g., not stacked or wooden type). Shoe has leather uppers. Rest is man-made materials. No extra ornamentation. Survey: Laura Scott.

Woman's Sweater (JCP/Sears). Short sleeve sweater, no buttons or collar, 100 percent cotton or cotton blend. Price regular size, not Woman's or Plus sizes. Survey: Sag Harbor.

Woman's Wallet (JCP/Sears). Clutch, checkbook style wallet, split-grain cowhide leather. Do not price eel skin, snake skin, or other varieties. Survey: Buxton, Liz Claiborne.

Appendix 4—COLA Rental Survey Data Collection Elements

Data element	Description of data
Survey Year*	Year of the survey.

Data element	Description of data
Comparable identification code *	A five character code that is unique to each comparable and structured as follows: Position 1 is the letter corresponding to the area in which the comparable is located, *i.e.*, A, B, C, or D. Position 2 is the letter corresponding to the location as identified in Attachment A in which the comparable is located. Position 3 is the letter corresponding to the class of housing shown in Section A.3.5.1. Positions 4 and 5 is a sequence numbers 01 through 99 that identifies the order in which that comparable was collected relative to other comparables of the same class in the same location and area.
Comparable's address *	Complete location address of the comparable, including ZIP code, NOT Post Office Box, and name of multi-family complex (as applicable).
How initially identified *	Internet, broker, drive-by, newspaper, published rental listing (e.g., as often found in supermarkets), other.
Person providing information, if applicable	Name and title of person providing information about the comparable. Examples of title: agent, landlord, tenant.
Address, etc. of person providing information	Complete mailing address, phone number(s), and email address, as appropriate, of person providing information about the comparable.
Community name, if applicable	Name of community in which comparable is located.
Year built	Year built.
Finished space *	Total sq. ft. of finished space (i.e., living-area), including finished basements.
Basement *	Finished, Unfinished, None (report as finished if more than 50 percent of space finished.)
Bedrooms *	Number of bedrooms.
Bathrooms *	Number of bathrooms (½ bath is toilet and sink; full bath is toilet, sink, shower, and/or tub). Record ¾ baths (e.g., toilet, sink, and shower only) as full baths.
Arctic entrance *	Yes/no.
Balcony *	Covered, uncovered, none.
Deck *	Covered, uncovered, none.
Patio *	Covered, uncovered, none.
External condition *	Above average, average, below average. Above average condition means the unit is new or like new condition (e.g., recently remodeled, refurbished, or restored). Average condition means the unit shows signs of age but is in good repair (e.g., the paint is not peeling, there are no broken windows, sagging fences, or missing gutters; the yard is maintained; and there are no disabled cars, appliances, or other trash around the property). Below average condition means the unit is habitable but needs repair and the property needs maintenance and/or trash removal.
Neighborhood condition *	Desirable, average, undesirable. A desirable neighborhood generally has above average and average homes. Commercial services are separate (*e.g.*, clustered in strip malls or business parks). There are parks and/or open public spaces. Roads and parks are well-maintained and clean. An average neighborhood generally has homes in average condition with a balance of homes in above average and below average condition. Commercial services are separate. Roads and parks are in good condition but may need cleaning or maintenance. An undesirable neighborhood generally has homes in poor condition. Commercial units may be intermingled with residential units. Roads are often poorly maintained and have litter. There are few parks and/or parks are poorly maintained.
Central air conditioning *	Yes/no. Central air is a ducted system designed to cool all or essentially all of a house or apartment.
Multi-room air conditioning *	Yes/no. If yes and if available, report number of multi-room units. Multi-room air conditioning is a non-window unit designed to cool more than one room but not all of a house or apartment.
Window air conditioning *	Yes/no. If yes and if available, report number of window-type air conditioning units.
Garage *	Triple (or more), double, single, none. If landlord charges extra fee for garage parking, code as "none," and report monthly parking fee separately. Do not include in monthly rent. Explain parking fees in comments.
Heated Garage *	Yes/no.
Carport *	Yes/no. If landlord charges extra fee for carport parking, code as "no," and report monthly parking fee separately. Do not include in monthly rent. Explain parking fees in comments.
Reserved parking	Yes/no. If landlord charges extra fee for parking, code as "no," and report monthly parking fee separately. Do not include in monthly rent. Explain parking fees in comments.
Security *	Yes/no for each of the following: Gated community, guard, alarm system, building access control.
Type of unit *	Type of unit. (See Section A.3.5.2.)
Number of floors (F, G, and H) *	Number of floors in complex (for Types of Unit F, G, and H).
Elevator (F, G, and H)	Yes/no in complex (for Types of Unit F, G, and H only).
Lot size *	Approximate square footage (detached single family units only)
Furnishings provided by landlord *	Yes/no.
Appliances provided by landlord *	Yes/no for each of the following: Refrigerator, range, oven, dishwasher, clothes washer, clothes dryer, and/or freezer.
Services paid by landlord *	Yes/no for each of the following: Water, sewer (includes septic), garbage collection, lawn care, cable television, satellite dish, electricity, heating fuel, firewood, snow removal.
Water source	Public, well, cistern, none.
Sewer	Public, septic, none.
Fireplace	Yes/no.
Complementary recreation facilities *	Yes/no for each of the following: Complementary (i.e., free) swimming pools, club houses, tennis courts, or other significant recreational facilities.

Data element	Description of data
Pets	Yes/no. Yes, if dogs, cats, or both allowed; else no. If extra monthly fee, code as "no" and report monthly pet fee separately. Do not include in monthly rent. If annual fee, pro-rate to monthly and report as described. If pet fee is an additional deposit, do not report. Explain monthly/annual pet fees in comments.
Exceptional view *	Yes/no. A view of a park, ocean, mountain, valley, golf course, etc., that is unusually beautiful for the area and may increase the rental value of the property. [Note: Properties with direct access to such an amenity are not comparables and must not be surveyed.]
Rent *	Rental or lease amount per month. Do not include deposits or additional fees reported separately, e.g., parking, homeowner association, and pet fees.
Date of listing *	Date associated with rental rate reported above.
Other fees and charges *	Additional periodic fees or charges that the tenant pays separately, e.g., condo fees if paid separately. If annual fee, pro-rate to monthly. Do not report deposits, first/last month's rent, utilities, tenant's insurance, or discretionary fees (e.g., cable TV, community pool membership).
Geographic location *	Latitude and longitude of the unit accurate to within 7 meters. Latitude and longitude to be recorded in separate fields as decimal degrees (e.g., 30.5012 and not as degrees, minutes and seconds).
State or equivalent *	Two digit State Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code (e.g., Alaska = 02)
County or equivalent *	Three digit County FIPS code (e.g., Anchorage = 020)
Census tract code *	Six digit census tract code. Note: It will be necessary to pad 4 digit census tract codes with trailing zeros (e.g., 0061 becomes 006100) and to remove the decimal from census tract codes that have a decimal (e.g., 0063.02 becomes 006302).
Comment	Additional information that helps clarify above data elements as they apply to the comparable.

* Required.

Appendix 5—Utility Usage and Calculations—2006 Energy Requirements and Prices

TABLE A5–1.—ANCHORAGE

	Electric heat				Gas heat		
	KHW	Cost	Therms	Cost	KWH [1]	Cost	Total cost
Jan '06	6,535	$729.81	245	$159.12	467	$59.36	$218.48
Feb	5,645	632.28	211	138.29	419	53.88	192.17
Mar	5,894	659.57	220	143.80	457	58.22	202.02
Apr '05	5,334	483.99	199	110.16	436	52.75	162.91
May	4,957	452.48	184	102.46	442	53.39	155.86
Jun	3,441	325.76	125	72.19	406	49.54	121.73
Jul	2,166	239.72	76	47.44	401	49.78	97.22
Aug	4,022	433.39	148	83.83	425	52.40	136.23
Sep	5,099	545.77	190	105.06	430	52.94	158.00
Oct	5,778	614.43	216	118.20	457	55.70	173.90
Nov	6,099	647.80	228	124.26	446	54.50	178.77
Dec	6,205	680.68	233	126.79	456	55.59	182.38
Totals	61,175	.....	2,275	.....	5,242		
Annual Cost	.....	$6,445.68	.....	$1,331.60	.....	$648.06	$1,979.66
Relative Usage	.....	12.44%	.....	.....	.....	.....	87.56%
Weighted Avg Cost [2]	.....	$801.84	.....	.....	.....	.....	$1,733.39
Total Energy Utility Cost (Sum the weighted average cost of Electric Heat + Gas Heat)							$2,535.23

[1] KWH required for lighting, appliances, and furnace. Model used gas for stove and oven with gas heat.
[2] Annual cost times relative usage.

TABLE A5–2.—FAIRBANKS

	Electric heat		Oil heat				
	KHW	Cost	Gallons	Cost	KWH [1]	Cost	Total cost
Jan '06	8,563	$1,067.63	230	$544.72	1,211	$163.86	$708.58
Feb	7,437	929.21	198	468.93	1,079	147.64	616.57
Mar	7,723	1,023.72	204	483.14	1,174	168.34	651.48
Apr '05	6,743	714.88	175	414.46	1,122	131.46	545.91
May	4,922	525.87	119	281.83	1,123	131.56	413.39
Jun	1,856	232.39	27	63.95	1,004	132.60	196.54
Jul	1,835	230.00	24	56.84	1,053	138.37	195.21
Aug	4,465	538.15	105	248.68	1,094	143.18	391.86
Sep	6,431	819.85	166	393.14	1,112	154.17	547.31

TABLE A5–2.—FAIRBANKS—Continued

	Electric heat		Oil heat				
	KHW	Cost	Gallons	Cost	KWH [1]	Cost	Total cost
Oct	7,343	933.98	192	454.72	1,183	163.05	617.77
Nov	7,776	988.17	207	490.25	1,144	158.17	648.42
Dec	8,375	1,063.14	225	532.88	1,168	161.18	694.05
Totals	73,469	.....	1,872	.....	13,467		
Annual Cost	.....	$9,066.99	.....	$4,433.52	.....	$1,793.58	$6,227.10
Relative Usage	.....	9.09%	.....	.....	.....	.....	90.91%
Weighted Avg Cost [2]	.....	$824.19	.....	.....	.....	.....	$5,661.06
Total Energy Utility Cost (Sum the weighted average cost of Electric Heat + Oil Heat)							$6,485.25

[1] KWH required for lighting, appliances, and furnace. Model used gas for stove and oven with gas heat.
[2] Annual cost times relative usage.

TABLE A5–3.—JUNEAU

	Electric heat		Oil heat				
	KHW	Cost	Gallons	Cost	KWH [1]	Cost	Total cost
Jan '06	5,190	$518.35	128	$370.50	1,149	$121.98	$492.48
Feb	4,516	452.24	111	321.29	1,024	109.72	431.01
Mar	4,840	484.02	118	341.55	1,119	119.04	460.59
Apr '05	4,418	437.13	106	306.82	1,076	113.21	420.03
May	4,064	402.82	94	272.08	1,106	116.12	388.21
Jun	3,247	267.72	70	202.62	1,031	91.10	293.71
Jul	3,373	274.00	73	211.30	1,083	94.03	305.33
Aug	3,700	299.69	83	240.24	1,073	93.25	333.49
Sep	4,287	345.82	102	295.24	1,069	92.93	388.17
Oct	4,698	393.28	113	327.08	1,132	101.81	428.89
Nov	4,704	470.68	115	332.87	1,085	115.71	448.57
Dec	5,026	502.27	124	358.92	1,105	117.67	476.59
Totals	52,063	.....	1,237	.....	13,052		
Annual Cost	.....	$4,848.03	.....	$3580.50	.....	$1,286.58	$4,867.08
Relative Usage	.....	24.04%	.....	.....	.....	.....	75.96%
Weighted Avg Cost [2]	.....	$1,165.47	.....	.....	.....	.....	$3,697.03
Total Energy Utility Cost (Sum the weighted average cost of Electric Heat + Oil Heat)							$4,862.50

[1] KWH required for lighting, appliances, and furnace. Model used gas for stove and oven with gas heat.
[2] Annual cost times relative usage.

TABLE A5–4.—WASHINGTON, DC, AREA

Electric heat			Gas heat					Oil heat				
Month	KWH	Cost	Therms	Cost	KHW[1]	Cost	Total cost	Gallons	Cost	KHW[1]	Cost	Total cost
Jan '06	3,326	$281.63	126	$244.86	362	$36.15	$281.00	72	$170.33	1,007	$92.76	$263.09
Feb	2,688	225.61	101	188.94	320	32.04	220.98	56	132.48	891	81.43	213.91
Mar	1,812	155.25	68	115.27	322	32.19	147.46	27	63.87	938	85.15	149.03
Apr '05	966	86.07	34	55.52	316	31.24	86.76	2	4.73	909	81.55	86.28
May	1,170	102.15	34	56.95	544	50.78	107.73	.....	0.00	1,166	101.84	101.84
Jun	1,377	135.27	32	51.52	784	78.36	129.88	.....	0.00	1,369	134.54	134.54
Jul	1,648	161.82	34	56.71	1,022	101.55	158.26	.....	0.00	1,636	160.67	160.67
Aug	1,566	153.93	33	55.60	957	95.29	150.89	.....	0.00	1,555	152.87	152.87
Sep	1,246	125.65	32	58.39	653	67.71	126.10	.....	0.00	1,241	125.17	125.17
Oct	975	97.68	35	73.70	315	34.38	108.08	1	2.37	941	94.71	97.07
Nov	1,797	155.15	67	130.15	311	31.44	161.60	28	66.24	911	83.55	149.79
Dec	2,797	237.11	106	184.27	344	34.42	218.69	58	137.21	952	87.27	224.48
Totals	21,368	.....	702	.....	6,250	.....	.....	244	.....	13,516	.....	.....
Annual Cost	.....	$1,917.33	.....	$1,271.90	.....	$625.54	$1,897.44	.....	$577.22	.....	$1,281.50	$1,858.73
Relative Usage	.....	33.20%	.....	.....	.....	.....	60.74%	.....	.....	.....	.....	6.06%
Weighted Avg Cost[2]	.....	636.55	.....	.....	.....	.....	$1,152.51	.....	.....	.....	.....	$112.64
Total Energy Utility Cost (sum of the weighted average cost of Electric + Gas + Oil Heat)												$1,901.70

[1] KWH required for lighting, appliances, and furnace. Model used gas for stove and oven with gas heat.
[2] Annual cost times relative usage.

Appendix 6—Hedonic Rental Data Equations and Results

SAS Regression Program Using Proc Freq

```
data temp;
set OPM.OPMSurvey2005_2006dc;
if use='Yes';
if unittype='I' then delete;
survey_area='XX';
location=substr(compnumber,1,1);
if location='A' then survey_ area='JU';
if location='B' then survey_ area='FB';
if location='C' then survey_area='AN';
if location='G' then survey_area='WA';
*Q1 yrbuilt;
survey_yr=0;
if surveyyr=2006 then survey_yr=1;
if surveyyr=2006 then do;
age=2006-yrbuilt;end;
if surveyyr=2005 then do;
age=2005-yrbuilt;end;
agesq = age**2;
baths=fullbaths+halfbaths*.5;
hasexceptionalview=0;
if excview = 'Y' then
   hasexceptionalview = 1;
hasgarage = 0;
if garage in ('A', 'B', 'C') and parkfees=0
   then hasgarage = 1;
hasmicrowave=0;
if microwave='Y' then hasmicrowave=1;
haselevator=0;
if elevator='Y' then haselevator=1;
InOthPlex = 0;
if unittype in ('E','H','B','C') then
   InOthPlex = 1;
HiRz = 0;
if unittype ='G' then HiRz= 1;
GdnWalk = 0;
if unittype ='F' then GdnWalk= 1;
TownRow = 0;
if unittype = 'D' then TownRow = 1;
Detached = 0;
*if unittype = 'A' then Detached = 1;
*omitting the above line makes
   Detached the base condition;
SqftXInOthPlex = 0;
if unittype in ('E','H','B','C') then
   SqftXInOthPlex = sqfootage;
SqftXHiRz= 0;
if unittype = 'G' then SqftXHiRz=
   sqfootage;
SqftXGdnWalk= 0;
if unittype = 'F' then SqftXGdnWalk=
   sqfootage;
SqftXTownRow = 0;
if unittype = 'D' then SqftXTownRow =
   sqfootage;
SqftXDetached = 0;
if unittype = 'A' then SqftXDetached =
   sqfootage;
hasfireplace = 0;
if fireplace='Y' then hasfireplace=1;
Anchorage = 0;
if survey_ area='AN' then Anchorage =
   1;
Fairbanks = 0;
if survey_ area='FB' then Fairbanks = 1;
Juneau = 0;
if survey_area='JU' then Juneau = 1;
Wash_DC = 0;
*** if survey_area='WA' then
   Wash_DC=1—Omitting this makes DC
   the base area;
pctallbasq=pctallba_**2;
sqsqspace=sqfootage**2;
lrent=log(rent+hoafees+otherfees);
label inothplex='In-home, Other, and
   Plexed Units';
label hirz='High Rise Units';
label gdnwalk='Garden/Walk-up Units';
label townrow='Town and Row House
   Units';
label sqftXinothplex='Sq Ft—In-home,
   Other, and Plexed Units';
label sqftXhirz='Sq Ft—High Rise
   Units';
label sqftXgdnwalk='Sq Ft—Garden/
   Walk-up Units';
label sqftXtownrow='Sq Ft—Town and
   Row House Units';
label sqftXdetached='Sq Ft—Town and
   Row House Units';
label sqsqspace='Square Footage
   Squared';
label pctallba_='Census Percent of BAs';
label pctallbasq='Census Percent of BAs
   Squared';
label pctschoolage='Census Percent of
   School-Age Children';
label surveyyr='Survey Year (2005 and
   2006 DC Data Used)';
label Anchorage='Anchorage Survey
   Area';
label Fairbanks='Fairbanks Survey
   Area';
label Juneau='Juneau Survey Area';
label haselevator='Has an Elevator';
label hasexceptionalview='Has an
   Exceptional View';
label hasgarage='Has a Garage';
label hasfireplace='Has a Fireplace';
label hasmicrowave='Has a Microwave';
label bedrooms='Bedrooms';
label baths='Baths';
label age='Age of Unit';
label agesq='Age of Unit Squared';
run;
PROC REG DATA=temp;
MODEL lrent = TownRow HiRz
   GdnWalk InOthPlex SqftXTownRow
   SqftXHiRz
SqftXGdnWalk SqftXInOthPlex
   SqftXDetached sqsqspace age agesq
   baths bedrooms haselevator
   hasexceptionalview hasgarage
   hasfireplace hasmicrowave pctallbasq
   pctallba_ PctSchoolAge survey_yr
   Anchorage Fairbanks Juneau;
TITLE '2006 Alaskan Rental Data';
Title2 'Rental Analyses, Federal
   Register Model';
RUN;
```

2006 Alaska Rental Data—Rental Analyses, Federal Register Model

[The REG Procedure Model: MODEL1
Dependent Variable: lrent]

Number of Observations Read	2762
Number of Observations Used	2762

Analysis of variance

Source	DF	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value	Pr > F
Model	26	261.69702	10.06527	463.34	<.0001
Error	2735	59.41275	0.02172		
Corrected Total	2761	321.10977			

Root MSE	0.14739	R-Square	0.8150
Dependent Mean	7.26529	Adj R-Sq	0.8132
Coeff Var	2.02865		

Parameter estimates

Variable	Label	DF	Parameter estimate	Standard error	t Value	Pr > [t]
Intercept	Intercept	1	6.91748	0.04781	144.68	<.0001
TownRow	Town and Row House Units	1	0.05988	0.03118	1.92	0.0549
HiRz	High Rise Units	1	−0.32269	0.03943	−8.18	<.0001

Parameter estimates

Variable	Label	DF	Parameter estimate	Standard error	t Value	Pr > [t]
GdnWalk	Garden/Walk-up Units	1	−0.33769	0.04175	−8.09	<.0001
InOthPlex	In-home, Other, and Plexed Units	1	−0.17830	0.03751	−4.75	<.0001
SqftXTownRow	Sq Ft—Town and Row House Units	1	0.00019167	0.00004596	4.17	<.0001
SqftXHiRz	Sq Ft—High Rise Units	1	0.00051342	0.00004185	12.27	<.0001
SqftXGdnWalk	Sq Ft—Garden/Walk-up Units	1	0.00050184	0.00004398	11.41	<.0001
SqftXInOthPlex	Sq Ft—In-home, Other, and Plexed Units	1	0.00037695	0.00004457	8.46	<.0001
SqftXDetached	Sq Ft—Town and Row House Units	1	0.00029074	0.00004964	5.86	<.0001
Sqsqspace	Square Footage Squared	1	−5.24648E–8	1.300171E–8	−4.04	<.0001
Age	Age of Unit	1	−0.00678	0.00043407	−15.62	<.0001
Agesq	Age of Unit Squared	1	0.00007692	0.00000500	15.38	<.0001
Baths	Baths	1	0.06173	0.00612	10.08	<.0001
BEDROOMS	Bedrooms	1	0.09049	0.00578	15.66	<.0001
Haselevator	Has an Elevator	1	0.05331	0.01452	3.67	0.0002
hasexceptionalview	Has an Exceptional View	1	0.20177	0.03563	5.66	<.0001
Hasgarage	Has a Garage	1	0.08045	0.00891	9.03	<.0001
Hasfireplace	Has a Fireplace	1	0.02599	0.00647	4.01	<.0001
hasmicrowave	Has a Microwave	1	0.05537	0.00669	8.28	<.0001
Pctallbasq	Census Percent of BAs Squared	1	0.66016	0.07454	8.86	<.0001
PCTAllBA_	Census Percent of BAs	1	−0.24537	0.07048	−3.48	0.0005
PctSchoolAge	Census Percent of School-Age Children	1	−0.86785	0.06980	−12.43	<.0001
survey_yr	Survey Year (2005 and 2006 DC Data Used).	1	0.05995	0.00687	8.73	<.0001
Anchorage	Anchorage Survey Area	1	−0.15375	0.01106	−13.90	<.0001
Fairbanks	Fairbanks Survey Area	1	−0.22251	0.01252	−17.77	<.0001
Juneau	Juneau Survey Area	1	−0.06585	0.01616	−4.07	<.0001

APPENDIX 7.—FINAL LIVING-COST RESULTS FOR ANCHORAGE, FAIRBANKS, AND JUNEAU, AK

Major expenditure group (MEG)	Primary expenditure group (PEG)	MEG weight (percent)	PEG weight (percent)	PEG index	MEG index
Anchorage					
1. Food		12.45			114.47
	Cereals and bakery products	0.82	6.56	130.90	
	Meats, poultry, fish, and eggs	1.65	13.22	112.00	
	Dairy products	0.58	4.69	116.52	
	Fruits and vegetables	0.80	6.45	149.82	
	Processed foods	1.37	11.00	112.59	
	Other food at home	0.35	2.84	115.87	
	Nonalcoholic beverages	0.48	3.88	109.84	
	Food away from home	5.28	42.43	107.62	
	Alcoholic beverages	1.11	8.92	115.82	
	PEG Total		100.00		
2. Shelter and Utilities		36.91			90.97
	Shelter	32.92	89.19	86.46	
	Energy utilities	3.35	9.07	133.31	
	Water and other public services	0.64	1.75	101.41	
	PEG Total		100.00		
3. Household Furnishings and Supplies		4.76		102.07	
	Household operations	1.21	25.37	100.13	
	Housekeeping supplies	1.08	22.63	103.40	
	Textiles and area rugs	0.28	5.91	98.07	
	Furniture	0.76	15.99	115.41	
	Major appliances	0.30	6.39	108.50	
	Small appliances, misc. housewares	0.22	4. 53	78.97	
	Misc. household equipment	0.91	19.19	96.53	
	PEG Total		100.00		
4. Apparel and Services		3.57			131.61
	Men and boys	0.72	20.08	146.00	
	Women and girls	1.42	39.71	131.78	
	Children under 2	0.11	3.13	106.95	
	Footwear	0.73	20.45	108.55	
	Other apparel products and services	0.59	16.63	146.81	
	PEG Total		100.00		
5. Transportation		15.19			108.74
	Motor vehicle costs	7.75	51.01	98.35	
	Gasoline and motor oil	3.06	20.15	94.97	
	Maintenance and repairs	1.33	8.78	99.19	
	Vehicle insurance	2.02	13.28	129.52	

APPENDIX 7.—FINAL LIVING-COST RESULTS FOR ANCHORAGE, FAIRBANKS, AND JUNEAU, AK—Continued

Major expenditure group (MEG)	Primary expenditure group (PEG)	MEG weight (percent)	PEG weight (percent)	PEG index	MEG index
	Public transportation	1.03	6.78	199.44	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
6. Medical	.....	4.77	.....	.....	115.97
	Health insurance	2.75	57.56	111.73	.....
	Medical services	1.15	24.18	127.90	.....
	Drugs and medical supplies	0.87	18.26	113.52	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
7. Recreation	.....	4.50	.....	.....	101.65
	Fees and admissions	1.13	25.10	90.52	.....
	Television, radios, sound equipment	0.73	16.13	97.84	.....
	Pets, toys, and playground equipment	0.79	17.52	123.36	.....
	Other entertainment supplies, etc	0.46	10.28	95.32	.....
	Personal care products	0.63	13.92	112.08	.....
	Personal care services	0.49	10.97	92.73	.....
	Reading	0.27	6.08	98.04	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
8. Education and Communication	.....	4.59	.....	.....	113.94
	Education	0.20	4.46	26.46	.....
	Communications	4.12	89.69	119.17	.....
	Computers and computer services	0.27	5.85	100.41	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
9. Miscellaneous	.....	13.26	.....	.....	102.32
	Tobacco products, etc	0.39	2.94	154.82	.....
	Miscellaneous	1.47	11.09	106.35	.....
	Personal insurance and pensions	11.40	85.97	100.00	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
Overall Price Index	MEG Total	100.00	.....	.....	102.81
Plus Adjustment Factor	.....	.....	.....	.....	7.00
Index Plus Adjustment Factor	.....	.....	.....	.....	109.81
Fairbanks					
1. Food	.....	12.45	.....	.....	114.78
	Cereals and bakery products	0.82	6.56	135.72	.....
	Meats, poultry, fish, and eggs	1.65	13.22	112.95	.....
	Dairy products	0.58	4.69	121.92	.....
	Fruits and vegetables	0.80	6.45	152.26	.....
	Processed foods	1.37	11.00	113.31	.....
	Other food at home	0.35	2.84	117.41	.....
	Nonalcoholic beverages	0.48	3.88	108.84	.....
	Food away from home	5.28	42.43	107.48	.....
	Alcoholic beverages	1.11	8.92	109.48	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
2. Shelter and Utilities	.....	36.91	.....	.....	106.89
	Shelter	32.92	89.19	81.09	.....
	Energy utilities	3.35	9.07	341.02	.....
	Water and other public services	0.64	1.75	208.81	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
3. Household Furnishings and Supplies	.....	4.76	.....	.....	104.33
	Household operations	1.21	25.37	96.50	.....
	Housekeeping supplies	1.08	22.63	105.88	.....
	Textiles and area rugs	0.28	5.91	96.17	.....
	Furniture	0.76	15.99	116.80	.....
	Major appliances	0.30	6.39	106.19	.....
	Small appliances, misc. housewares	0.22	4.53	113.14	.....
	Misc. household equipment	0.91	19.19	102.27	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
4. Apparel and Services	.....	3.57	.....	.....	131.52
	Men and boys	0.72	20.08	141.97	.....
	Women and girls	1.42	39.71	132.49	.....
	Children under 2	0.11	3.13	104.79	.....
	Footwear	0.73	20.45	107.35	.....
	Other apparel products and services	0.59	16.63	151.31	.....
	PEG Total	.....	100.00	.....	.....
5. Transportation	.....	15.19	.....	.....	114.95
	Motor vehicle costs	7.75	51.01	97.09	.....
	Gasoline and motor oil	3.06	20.15	95.74	.....
	Maintenance and repairs	1.33	8.78	101.50	.....
	Vehicle insurance	2.02	13.28	121.54	.....
	Public transportation	1.03	6.78	310.77	.....

APPENDIX 7.—FINAL LIVING-COST RESULTS FOR ANCHORAGE, FAIRBANKS, AND JUNEAU, AK—Continued

Major expenditure group (MEG)	Primary expenditure group (PEG)	MEG weight (percent)	PEG weight (percent)	PEG index	MEG index
	PEG Total		100.00		
6. Medical		4.77			115.40
	Health insurance	2.75	57.56	108.26	
	Medical services	1.15	24.18	135.94	
	Drugs and medical supplies	0.87	18.26	110.71	
	PEG Total		100.00		
7. Recreation		4.50			105.91
	Fees and admissions	1.13	25.10	102.90	
	Television, radios, sound equipment	0.73	16.13	101.39	
	Pets, toys, and playground equipment	0.79	17.52	123.33	
	Other entertainment supplies, etc	0.46	10.28	94.75	
	Personal care products	0.63	13.92	114.34	
	Personal care services	0.49	10.97	90.03	
	Reading	0.27	6.08	108.30	
	PEG Total		100.00		
8. Education and Communication		4.59			115.61
	Education	0.20	4.46	13.02	
	Communications	4.12	89.69	121.71	
	Computers and computer services	0.27	5.85	100.41	
	PEG Total		100.00		
9. Miscellaneous		13.26			101.47
	Tobacco products, etc	0.39	2.94	132.72	
	Miscellaneous	1.47	11.09	104.62	
	Personal insurance and pensions	11.40	85.97	100.00	
	PEG Total		100.00		
Overall Price Index	MEG Total	100.00			109.90
Plus Adjustment Factor					9.00
Index Plus Adjustment Factor					118.90
Juneau					
1. Food		12.45			118.71
	Cereals and bakery products	0.82	6.56	131.89	
	Meats, poultry, fish, and eggs	1.65	13.22	108.55	
	Dairy products	0.58	4.69	134.52	
	Fruits and vegetables	0.80	6.45	139.55	
	Processed foods	1.37	11.00	122.85	
	Other food at home	0.35	2.84	115.62	
	Nonalcoholic beverages	0.48	3.88	122.89	
	Food away from home	5.28	42.43	114.75	
	Alcoholic beverages	1.11	8.92	113.54	
	PEG Total		100.00		
2. Shelter and Utilities		36.91			110.35
	Shelter	32.92	89.19	94.63	
	Energy utilities	3.35	9.07	255.69	
	Water and other public services	0.64	1.75	158.57	
	PEG Total		100.00		
3. Household Furnishings and Supplies		4.76			104.62
	Household operations	1.21	25.37	97.58	
	Housekeeping supplies	1.08	22.63	111.66	
	Textiles and area rugs	0.28	5.91	92.78	
	Furniture	0.76	15.99	114.01	
	Major appliances	0.30	6.39	119.29	
	Small appliances, misc. housewares	0.22	4.53	112.53	
	Misc. household equipment	0.91	19.19	94.69	
	PEG Total		100.00		
4. Apparel and Services		3.57			136.71
	Men and boys	0.72	20.08	161.68	
	Women and girls	1.42	39.71	138.17	
	Children under 2	0.11	3.13	111.47	
	Footwear	0.73	20.45	102.42	
	Other apparel products and services	0.59	16.63	150.03	
	PEG Total		100.00		
5. Transportation		15.19			107.60
	Motor vehicle costs	7.75	51.01	94.59	
	Gasoline and motor oil	3.06	20.15	111.08	
	Maintenance and repairs	1.33	8.78	107.19	
	Vehicle insurance	2.02	13.28	94.17	
	Public transportation	1.03	6.78	221.98	
	PEG Total		100.00		

APPENDIX 7.—FINAL LIVING-COST RESULTS FOR ANCHORAGE, FAIRBANKS, AND JUNEAU, AK—Continued

Major expenditure group (MEG)	Primary expenditure group (PEG)	MEG weight (percent)	PEG weight (percent)	PEG index	MEG index
6. Medical		4.77			113.44
	Health insurance	2.75	57.56	108.13	
	Medical services	1.15	24.18	122.89	
	Drugs and medical supplies	0.87	18.26	117.68	
	PEG Total		100.00		
7. Recreation		4.50			108.90
	Fees and admissions	1.13	25.10	110.72	
	Television, radios, sound equipment	0.73	16.13	101.70	
	Pets, toys, and playground equipment	0.79	17.52	126.81	
	Other entertainment supplies, etc.	0.46	10.28	97.78	
	Personal care products	0.63	13.92	120.19	
	Personal care services	0.49	10.97	90.39	
	Reading	0.27	6.08	95.25	
	PEG Total		100.00		
8. Education and Communication		4.59			119.51
	Education	0.20	4.46	24.07	
	Communications	4.12	89.69	125.35	
	Computers and computer services	0.27	5.85	102.89	
	PEG Total		100.00		
9. Miscellaneous		13.26			102.31
	Tobacco products, etc	0.39	2.94	143.34	
	Miscellaneous	1.47	11.09	109.36	
	Personal insurance and pensions	11.40	85.97	100.00	
	PEG Total		100.00		
Overall Price Index	MEG Total	100.00			111.08
Plus Adjustment Factor					9.00
Index Plus Adjustment Factor					120.08

APPENDIX 8.—FINAL LIVING-COST RESULTS FOR THE REST OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

Anchorage results						Kodiak relative to		
						Anchorage		DC
Major expenditure group (MEG)	Primary expenditure group (PEG)	MEG weight (percent)	PEG weight (percent)	PEG index	MEG index	PEG index*	MEG index*	MEG index
Rest of the State of Alaska 2006 (Based on Kodiak)								
1. Food		12.45			114.47		134.00	153.39
	Cereals and bakery products.	0.82	6.56	130.90				
	Meats, poultry, fish, and eggs.	1.65	13.22	112.00				
	Dairy products	0.58	4.69	116.52				
	Fruits and vegetables	0.80	6.45	149.82				
	Processed foods	1.37	11.00	112.59				
	Other food at home	0.35	2.84	115.87				
	Nonalcoholic beverages	0.48	3.88	109.84				
	Food away from home	5.28	42.43	107.62				
	Alcoholic beverages	1.11	8.92	115.82				
	PEG Total		100.00					
2. Shelter and Utilities		36.91			90.97		124.05	112.85
	Shelter	32.92	89.19	86.46		126.97		
	Energy utilities	3.35	9.07	133.31		100.00		
	Water and other public services.	0.64	1.75	101.41		100.00		
	PEG Total		100.00					
3. Household Furnishings and Supplies.		4.76			102.07		125.37	127.98
	Household operations	1.21	25.37	100.13		100.00		
	Housekeeping supplies	1.08	22.63	103.40		134.00		
	Textiles and area rugs	0.28	5.91	98.07		134.00		
	Furniture	0.76	15.99	115.41		134.00		
	Major appliances	0.30	6.39	108.50		134.00		
	Small appliances, misc. housewares.	0.22	4.53	78.97		134.00		
	Misc. household equipment	0.91	19.19	96.53		134.00		
	PEG Total		100.00					
4. Apparel and Services		3.57			131.61		134.00	176.36

APPENDIX 8.—FINAL LIVING-COST RESULTS FOR THE REST OF THE STATE OF ALASKA—Continued

Anchorage results						Kodiak relative to		
						Anchorage		DC
Major expenditure group (MEG)	Primary expenditure group (PEG)	MEG weight (percent)	PEG weight (percent)	PEG index	MEG index	PEG index*	MEG index*	MEG index
	Men and boys	0.72	20.08	146.00	...	...	...	...
	Women and girls	1.42	39.71	131.78	...	...	...	...
	Children under 2	0.11	3.13	106.95	...	...	...	...
	Footwear	0.73	20.45	108.55	...	...	...	...
	Other apparel products and services.	0.59	16.63	146.81	...	...	...	...
	PEG Total	...	100.00	...	...	...	...	...
5. Transportation	...	15.19	...	...	108.74	...	119.58	130.03
	Motor vehicle costs	7.75	51.01	98.35	...	134.00	...	...
	Gasoline and motor oil	3.06	20.15	94.97	...	111.09	...	...
	Maintenance and repairs	1.33	8.78	99.19	...	100.00	...	...
	Vehicle insurance	2.02	13.28	129.52	...	100.00	...	...
	Public transportation	1.03	6.78	199.44	...	100.00	...	...
	PEG Total	...	100.00	...	...	...	...	...
6. Medical	...	4.77	...	...	115.97	...	114.43	132.70
	Health insurance	2.75	57.56	111.73	...	100.00	...	...
	Medical services	1.15	24.18	127.90	...	134.00	...	...
	Drugs and medical supplies	0.87	18.26	113.52	...	134.00	...	...
	PEG Total	...	100.00	...	...	...	...	...
7. Recreation	...	4.50	...	...	101.65	...	121.73	123.74
	Fees and admissions	1.13	25.10	90.52	...	100.00	...	...
	Television, radios, sound equipment.	0.73	16.13	97.84	...	134.00	...	...
	Pets, toys, and playground equipment.	0.79	17.52	123.36	...	134.00	...	...
	Other entertainment supplies, etc.	0.46	10.28	95.32	...	134.00	...	...
	Personal care products	0.63	13.92	112.08	...	134.00	...	...
	Personal care services	0.49	10.97	92.73	...	100.00	...	...
	Reading	0.27	6.08	98.04	...	134.00	...	...
	PEG Total	...	100.00	...	...	...	...	...
8. Education and Communication.	...	4.59	...	...	113.94	...	101.99	116.20
	Education	0.20	4.46	26.46	...	100.00	...	...
	Communications	4.12	89.69	119.17	...	100.00	...	...
	Computers and computer services.	0.27	5.85	100.41	...	134.00	...	...
	PEG Total	...	100.00	...	...	...	...	...
9. Miscellaneous	...	13.26	...	...	102.32	...	101.00	103.34
	Tobacco products, etc	0.39	2.94	154.82	...	134.00	...	...
	Miscellaneous	1.47	11.09	106.35	...	100.00	...	...
	Personal insurance and pensions.	11.40	85.97	100.00	...	100.00	...	...
	PEG Total	...	100.00	...	...	...	...	...
Overall Price Index	MEG Total	100.00	...	...	102.81	...	...	123.82
Plus Adjustment Factor	...	...	...	...	7.00	...	...	9.00
Index Plus Adjustment Factor.	...	...	...	...	109.81	...	...	132.82

* Except for rental data and indexes set at 100, all data are from the University of Alaska Fairbanks, June 2006.
Rental data are from Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, 2006.
Indexes set to 100 assume costs in Kodiak are equal to those in Anchorage.

[FR Doc. E7–25297 Filed 1–2–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 73, No. 2

Thursday, January 3, 2008

CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION

Federal Register/Code of Federal Regulations	
General Information, indexes and other finding aids	**202–741–6000**
Laws	**741–6000**
Presidential Documents	
Executive orders and proclamations	**741–6000**
The United States Government Manual	**741–6000**
Other Services	
Electronic and on-line services (voice)	**741–6020**
Privacy Act Compilation	**741–6064**
Public Laws Update Service (numbers, dates, etc.)	**741–6043**
TTY for the deaf-and-hard-of-hearing	**741–6086**

ELECTRONIC RESEARCH

World Wide Web

Full text of the daily Federal Register, CFR and other publications is located at: **http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html**

Federal Register information and research tools, including Public Inspection List, indexes, and links to GPO Access are located at: **http://www.archives.gov/federal__register**

E-mail

FEDREGTOC-L (Federal Register Table of Contents LISTSERV) is an open e-mail service that provides subscribers with a digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents. The digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents includes HTML and PDF links to the full text of each document.

To join or leave, go to **http://listserv.access.gpo.gov** and select *Online mailing list archives, FEDREGTOC-L, Join or leave the list (or change settings);* then follow the instructions.

PENS (Public Law Electronic Notification Service) is an e-mail service that notifies subscribers of recently enacted laws.

To subscribe, go to **http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html** and select *Join or leave the list (or change settings);* then follow the instructions.

FEDREGTOC-L and **PENS** are mailing lists only. We cannot respond to specific inquiries.

Reference questions. Send questions and comments about the Federal Register system to: **fedreg.info@nara.gov**

The Federal Register staff cannot interpret specific documents or regulations.

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATE, JANUARY

1–388 2
389–810 3

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING JANUARY

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

5 CFR

Proposed Rules:
591 772

7 CFR

3 1

9 CFR

Proposed Rules:
2 413
3 413

10 CFR

72 17

12 CFR

558 17
563 17
564 17
567 17
574 17
Proposed Rules:
361 420

14 CFR

23 ..... 19, 389
25 27
39 ..... 29, 394, 395, 397, 400
Proposed Rules:
39 ..... 73, 75, 77, 80, 84, 87

15 CFR

700 32
730 32
740 32
743 32
744 32
745 32
746 32
748 32
750 32
752 32
754 32
774 32

18 CFR

38 38
284 38

19 CFR

Proposed Rules:
4 90
12 90
18 90
101 90
103 90
113 90
122 90
123 90
141 90
149 90
192 90

21 CFR

201 402
208 402
209 402

23 CFR

Proposed Rules:
634 268
655 268

26 CFR

Proposed Rules:
1 421

32 CFR

Proposed Rules:
1701 113

33 CFR

117 41
165 43

38 CFR

Proposed Rules:
4 ..... 428, 432

40 CFR

52 48
63 226
180 ..... 51, 52
260 57
261 57
Proposed Rules:
52 125

42 CFR

414 404

43 CFR

Proposed Rules:
46 126

47 CFR

Proposed Rules:
Ch. I 546

50 CFR

600 406
622 406
648 411
Proposed Rules:
300 140
622 439
648 441

REMINDERS

The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to Federal Register users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance.

RULES GOING INTO EFFECT JANUARY 3, 2008

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Radiation protection standards:

Occupational dose records, labeling containers, and total effective dose equivalent; published 12-4-07

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

Federal Aviation Administration

Airworthiness directives:

Grob-Werke GMBH & Co. KG; published 11-29-07

VETERANS AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

Medical benefits:

Medical care or services; reasonable charges; published 12-4-07

COMMENTS DUE NEXT WEEK

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Agricultural Marketing Service

Cherries (tart) grown in Michigan, et al.; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-11-07 [FR E7-23907]

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Interstate transportation of animals and animal products (quarantine):

Equines; commercial transportation to slaughter facilities; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR E7-21896]

Plant-related quarantine, foreign:

Ya pears from China; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-11-07 [FR E7-23957]

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Fishery conservation and management:

Alaska; fisheries of Exclusive Economic Zone—

Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands groundfish, crab, salmon,and scallop; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-21-07 [FR 07-05774]

Groundfish; comments due by 1-7-08; published 12-6-07 [FR 07-05940]

Groundfish; comments due by 1-7-08; published 12-6-07 [FR 07-05943]

Magunuson-Stevens Act provisions—

Boarding ladders; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-11-07 [FR E7-24008]

West Coast States and Western Pacific fisheries—

Pacific Coast groundfish; comments due by 1-7-08; published 12-21-07 [FR E7-24864]

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):

Local Community Recovery Act of 2006; set-asides; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05482]

Safety Act; implementation; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05477]

Service Contract Act; exemption of certain service contracts; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05481]

ENERGY DEPARTMENT

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Natural Gas Policy Act:

Capacity release market efficiency promotion; comments due by 1-10-08; published 11-26-07 [FR E7-22952]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Air pollution; standards of performance for new stationary sources:

Petroleum refineries; comment period extension; comments due by 1-7-08; published 12-7-07 [FR E7-23824]

Air quality implementation plans; approval and promulgation; various States:

Georgia; comments due by 1-7-08; published 12-7-07 [FR E7-23710]

Montana; comments due by 1-11-08; published 12-12-07 [FR E7-24093]

Air quality implementation plans; approval and promulgation; various States; air quality planning purposes; designation of areas:

Wisconsin; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-11-07 [FR E7-23949]

Hazardous waste program authorizations:

Rhode Island; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-11-07 [FR E7-23946]

Pesticides; tolerances in food, animal feeds, and raw agricultural commodities:

Oxytetracycline; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR E7-21796]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Radio broadcasting:

FM translators; use by AM stations as fill-in service; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-6-07 [FR E7-21271]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):

Local Community Recovery Act of 2006; set-asides; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05482]

Safety Act; implementation; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05477]

Federal Management Regulation:

Personal property replacement pursuant to exchange/sale authority; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-11-07 [FR E7-23887]

HOMELAND SECURITY DEPARTMENT

Coast Guard

Drawbridge operations:

Louisiana; comments due by 1-8-08; published 11-9-07 [FR E7-21885]

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Agency information collection activities; proposals, submissions, and approvals; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-6-07 [FR 07-05499]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):

Local Community Recovery Act of 2006; set-asides; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05482]

Safety Act; implementation; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05477]

Service Contract Act; exemption of certain service contracts; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-7-07 [FR 07-05481]

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

Federal Aviation Administration

Airworthiness directives:

Boeing; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-23-07 [FR E7-22814]

Fokker; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-11-07 [FR E7-23950]

McDonnell Douglas; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-21-07 [FR E7-22725]

Saab; comments due by 1-9-08; published 12-10-07 [FR E7-23869]

Taylorcraft Aviation, LLC; comments due by 1-9-08; published 12-10-07 [FR E7-23860]

Airworthiness standards:

Special conditions—

ASPEN Avionics Inc. Model EFD 1000; comments due by 1-9-08; published 12-10-07 [FR E7-23835]

Cirrus Design Corp. Model SR22 airplane; comments due by 1-9-08; published 12-10-07 [FR E7-23852]

Honda Aircraft Co., Model HA-420 Hondajet; comments due by 1-9-08; published 12-10-07 [FR E7-23831]

Pilatus Aircraft Ltd.; Model PC/12/47E airplane; comments due by 1-9-08; published 12-10-07 [FR E7-23837]

Class E airspace; comments due by 1-10-08; published 12-13-07 [FR 07-06017]

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Motor vehicle safety standards:

Fee schedule; U.S. cash deposits or obligations offer in lieu of sureties on DOT conformance bonds; comments due by 1-7-08; published 11-21-07 [FR E7-22532]

LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

This is a continuing list of public bills from the current session of Congress which have become Federal laws. It may be used in conjunction with ''P L U S'' (Public Laws Update Service) on 202–741–6043. This list is also available online at *http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/laws.html*.

The text of laws is not published in the **Federal Register** but may be ordered in ''slip law'' (individual pamphlet) form from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 (phone, 202–512–1808). The text will also be made available on the Internet from GPO Access at *http://www.gpoaccess.gov/plaws/index.html*. Some laws may not yet be available.

H.R. 3648/P.L. 110–142
Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007 (Dec. 20, 2007; 121 Stat. 1803)

H.R. 365/P.L. 110–143
Methamphetamine Remediation Research Act of 2007 (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1809)

H.R. 710/P.L. 110–144
Charlie W. Norwood Living Organ Donation Act (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1813)

H.R. 2408/P.L. 110–145
To designate the Department of Veterans Affairs outpatient clinic in Green Bay, Wisconsin, as the ''Milo C. Huempfner Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic''. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1815)

H.R. 2671/P.L. 110–146
To designate the United States courthouse located at 301 North Miami Avenue, Miami, Florida, as the ''C. Clyde Atkins United States Courthouse''. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1816)

H.R. 3703/P.L. 110–147
To amend section 5112(p)(1)(A) of title 31, United States Code, to allow an exception from the $1 coin dispensing capability requirement for certain vending machines. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1817)

H.R. 3739/P.L. 110–148
To amend the Arizona Water Settlements Act to modify the requirements for the statement of findings. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1818)

H.J. Res. 72/P.L. 110–149
Making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2008, and for other purposes. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1819)

S. 597/P.L. 110–150
To amend title 39, United States Code, to extend the authority of the United States Postal Service to issue a semipostal to raise funds for breast cancer research. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1820)

S. 888/P.L. 110–151
Genocide Accountability Act of 2007 (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1821)

S. 2174/P.L. 110–152
To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 175 South Monroe Street in Tiffin, Ohio, as the ''Paul E. Gillmor Post Office Building''. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1823)

S. 2371/P.L. 110–153
To amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to make technical corrections. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1824)

S. 2484/P.L. 110–154
To rename the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development as the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1826)

S.J. Res. 8/P.L. 110–155
Providing for the reappointment of Patricia Q. Stonesifer as a citizen regent of the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution. (Dec. 21, 2007; 121 Stat. 1829)

Last List December 21, 2007

Public Laws Electronic Notification Service (PENS)

PENS is a free electronic mail notification service of newly enacted public laws. To subscribe, go to *http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html*

Note: This service is strictly for E-mail notification of new laws. The text of laws is not available through this service. **PENS** cannot respond to specific inquiries sent to this address.