

public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Angela Bolduc, Chief, Employee/Labor Relations and Work Life Branch, at 301-492-2230, TDD: 301-415-2100, or by e-mail at angela.bolduc@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * *

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301-415-1969), or send an e-mail to darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Dated: January 7, 2010.

Rochelle C. Bavol,

Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-466 Filed 1-8-10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0008]

Withdrawal of Regulatory Guide 7.5

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Withdrawal of Regulatory Guide 7.5, "Administrative Guide for Obtaining Exemptions From Certain NRC Requirements Over Radioactive Material Shipments."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas J. Herrity, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone: 301-251-7447 or e-mail Thomas.Herrity@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is withdrawing Regulatory Guide (RG) 7.5, "Administrative Guide for Obtaining Exemptions From Certain NRC Requirements Over Radioactive Material Shipments."

Prior to expansion of the Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations in 1998 to include hazardous material transported while in intrastate commerce, most intrastate shipments of NRC-licensed material were not subject to DOT regulations. Recognizing this, in 10 CFR 71.5, "Transportation of Licensed Material," the NRC imposed the same DOT requirements on these shipments (through 10 CFR 71.5(b)) that were already imposed on shipments in interstate commerce. Additionally, in 10

CFR 71.5(b), NRC provided licensees a method to request a modification, waiver, or exemption from the DOT regulations imposed in § 71.5(a). RG 7.5, originally published in May 1977, provided guidance on obtaining a modification, waiver, or exemption from the NRC-imposed DOT regulations via 10 CFR 71.5(b).

The number of shipments currently not subject to DOT regulations is markedly lower than in 1997. Shipments of licensed material that would not be subject to DOT regulations are those shipments that are not in commerce, e.g., Federal, State, or local government radioactive material shipments transported by government employees in government vehicles. In the almost 11 years after the DOT final rule became effective on October 1, 1998, NRC has not approved any requests for exemption, waiver, or modification of DOT requirements under 10 CFR 71.5(b).

II. Further Information

The withdrawal of RG 7.5 does not alter any prior or existing licensing commitments based on its use. The guidance provided in this RG is neither necessary nor current. RGs may be withdrawn when their guidance is superseded by congressional action or no longer provides useful information.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection or downloading through the NRC's public Web site under "Regulatory Guides" in the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections>. Regulatory guides are also available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), Room O-1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738. You can reach the PDR staff by telephone at 301-415-4737 or 800-397-4209, by fax at 301-415-3548, and by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of January 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Andrea D. Valentin,

Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2010-336 Filed 1-11-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. ACR2009; Order No. 380]

FY 2009 Annual Compliance Report; Comment Request

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service has filed an Annual Compliance Report on the costs, revenues, rates, and quality of service associated with its products in fiscal year 2009. Within 90 days, the Commission must evaluate that information and issue its determination as to whether rates were in compliance with title 39, chapter 36 and whether service standards in effect were met. To assist in this, the Commission seeks public comments on the Postal Service's Annual Compliance Report.

DATES: Comments are due: February 1, 2010. Reply comments are due: February 16, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT" by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3652 of title 39 of the United States Code requires the Postal Service to file several reports with the Postal Regulatory Commission. Section 3652(a)(1) requires a report on the costs, revenues, rates, and quality of service associated with its products within 90 days after the close of each fiscal year. That section requires that the Postal Service's annual report be sufficiently detailed to allow the Commission and the public to determine whether the rates charged and the service provided comply with all of the requirements of title 39.

The Postal Service filed annual reports to the Commission in compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3652 on December 29, 2009, referred to comprehensively as the Annual Compliance Report (ACR) FY 2009. Appended to it are four basic data reports: (1) The Cost and Revenue Analysis (CRA); (2) the International Cost and Revenue Analysis (ICRA); (3) the models of costs avoided by worksharing; and (4) billing determinant information.¹ A full list of materials supporting the FY 2009 ACR

¹ United States Postal Service FY 2009 Annual Compliance Report, December 29, 2009 (FY 2009 ACR). Public portions of the Postal Service's filing are available at the Commission's Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>.

accompanies the report as Attachment One.

The Postal Service observes that all four basic data reports (CRA, ICRA, avoided cost studies, and billing determinants) have traditionally been filed with the Commission on an annual basis, and therefore are familiar to the Commission, both from prior rate cases and the previous two ACRs. It notes that there has been a significant change in the format of some of these materials. Where the CRA formerly presented financial data for competitive products as a single line item, it now presents data for five competitive product groups, consistent with the new format in which the Postal Service presents the public version of the Revenue, Pieces, and Weight report. In addition, the non-public annex filed by the Postal Service for the first time presents detailed financial data for competitive product NSAs. The supporting documentation for this new level of detail in these areas also remains in its non-public annex. *Id.* at 83–85. Finally, the Postal Service has filed a public and a non-public version of its Cost Segments and Components Reconciliation to Financial Statements and Account Reallocations report. Financial accounts relating to competitive products have been redacted from the public version. In the FY 2008 ACR, this was filed as an unredacted public document.

Section 3652(g) of title 39 requires that the Comprehensive Statement of Postal Operations mandated by 39 U.S.C. 2401(e) and performance and program plans mandated by sections 2803 and 2804 be included as a part of the Postal Service's annual compliance report. The Postal Service's Comprehensive Statement is filed as USPS-FY09–17 and is also available on its Web site: http://www.usps.com/strategicplanning/cs09/CSPO_09.pdf.

After receiving the FY 2009 ACR, the Commission is required under 39 U.S.C. 3653 to provide interested persons with an opportunity to comment on these reports and to appoint a Public Representative to represent the interests of the general public. Kenneth E. Richardson serves as the Public Representative in this docket.²

The Commission hereby solicits public comment on these reports, and on whether any rates or fees in effect during FY 2009 (for products individually or collectively) were not in compliance with applicable provisions of chapter 36 of title 39 (or regulations promulgated thereunder). Commenters addressing market dominant products

are referred in particular to applicable requirements (39 U.S.C. 3622(d), (e) and 3626); objectives (39 U.S.C. 3622(b)); and factors (39 U.S.C. 3622(c)).

Commenters addressing competitive products are referred to 39 U.S.C. 3633.

The Commission also solicits public comment on whether any service standards in effect during FY 2009 were not met. Commenters addressing the achievement of service standards for products within the market dominant classes of mail or special services are referred to 39 CFR parts 121 and 122, adopted 72 FR 72216 *et seq.*, December 19, 2007.

Additionally, the Commission solicits public comment on whether the Postal Service has met the goals established in the annual Comprehensive Statement and program and performance plans included in the Comprehensive Statement, which will assist the Commission in developing appropriate recommendations to the Postal Service related to the protection or promotion of the public policy objectives of title 39.

Comments by interested persons are due on or before February 1, 2010. Reply comments are due on or before February 16, 2010. After completing its review of the FY 2009 ACR, public comments, and any other information submitted in this proceeding, the Commission will issue an Annual Compliance Determination (ACD).

This is the third compliance report filed by the Postal Service since passage of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA). Some of the issues raised by transitioning from the Postal Reorganization Act to the PAEA were resolved in FY 2009, easing the task of the Postal Service in preparing its report, and the task of the Commission and the public in evaluating it. The Commission has adopted rules prescribing the form and content of the Postal Service's periodic reports, including its annual compliance report.³ In its FY 2009 ACR, the Postal Service presents costs and revenues aligned (for the most part) with the market dominant and competitive product lists in the Mail Classification Schedule.

In FY 2009, the Commission also adopted rules governing the treatment of commercially sensitive information. Those rules require the Postal Service to apply for non-public treatment of information required in periodic reports. Its application must specify its reasons for concluding the particular information is commercially sensitive

and in need of non-public treatment, and describe with particularity the nature of the competitive harm that public disclosure is likely to cause.⁴ Accordingly, the Postal Service has accompanied its FY 2009 ACR with an application for non-public treatment of certain competitive product information, including its supporting rationale in Attachment Two. There, the Postal Service argues that costs at the level of individual competitive products and below are generally commercially sensitive, and that volume and revenue at the level of billing determinants are commercially sensitive. In its domestic CRA, the Postal Service has aggregated competitive products into five groups that it views as appropriate for public disclosure—Total Express Mail, Total Priority Mail, Total Ground, Total International Competitive, and Competitive Services. In its FY 2008 domestic CRA, it had presented comparable data in a single competitive products line item.

Among the materials submitted by the Postal Service as part of its filing is a document identified as USPS-FY09–9, which serves as a roadmap summarizing other materials submitted as part of the FY 2009 ACR and discussing changes in methodologies from those used in the FY 2008 ACD. The Postal Service explains that methodological changes are discussed in general terms in a separate section of the roadmap document (USPS-FY09–9), and in more detail in the narrative preface accompanying each of the appended materials. The Postal Service explains that to the extent feasible it has adhered to the methodologies used in the FY 2008 ACD or approved by the Commission in informal rulemakings subsequent to the FY 2008 ACD. On pages 5 and 6 of the FY 2009 ACR, the Postal Service provides a list of dockets in which it has proposed changes to analytical principles used in periodic reporting, and identifies those rulemakings that have been completed and those that are still pending. With respect to those that are still pending, the Postal Service observes that in some instances, use of a changed analytical principle was necessary to reflect changed circumstances. In all other instances, the Postal Service explains that it has provided “toggle switches” in the documentation to allow the impact of the proposed change to be separately identified and reversed, if necessary. FY 2009 ACR at 6.

² See Notice of Appointment of Public Representative, October 28, 2009.

³ See Docket No. RM2008–4, Notice of Final Rule Prescribing Form and Content of Periodic Reports, April 16, 2009.

⁴ See Docket No. RM2008–1, Final Rule Establishing Appropriate Confidentiality Procedures, June 19, 2009.

The Postal Service's FY 2009 ACR discusses the evolution of its measurement of service standards to meet the mandate of 39 U.S.C. 3652(a)(2)(B)(i). The Postal Service reports that its hybrid IMb-based system for obtaining service performance results for bulk market dominant products is still under development. The Postal Service intends to use data from this system to measure service performance of its bulk mail products in the future. *Id.* at 9–10. Also of interest is the expansion of the coverage of the Postal Service's EXFC system for measuring the service performance of single-piece First-Class Mail from 463 3–digit ZIP Code areas to 892 3–digit ZIP Code areas. It notes that on-time performance in the expansion ZIP Codes initially lagged the on-time performance of the legacy ZIP Codes by 13.5 percent, but that management initiatives reduced that gap to less than 1 percent by the end of FY 2009. *Id.* at 12–13.

In its most recent compliance determination, the Commission raised concerns about the customer satisfaction measurement survey used by the Postal Service in its FY 2008 ACR. The Postal Service describes new modifications it made to improve that system, *id.* at 16–17, and customer satisfaction measurement instruments it has developed and is implementing for use in its FY 2010 ACR. *Id.* at 19.

Generally, market dominant products that were flat shaped or parcel shaped failed to cover their attributable costs in FY 2009. For example, Periodicals lost \$642 million, earning revenues that were only 76 percent of attributable costs. *Id.* at 40, Table 3. Standard Regular flats, and Standard Regular parcels and NFMs together lost \$830 million. Flats were roughly 82 percent of attributable costs, and revenues for parcels and NFMs were roughly 75 percent of attributable costs. *Id.* at 26, Table 2. Package Services, as a class, lost \$53 million. Among package services products, only Bound Printed Matter flats and Inbound Surface Parcel Post covered their attributable costs. *Id.* at 42–43, Table 4. Four Special Services failed to recover their attributable costs—Registered Mail, Stamped Cards, International Ancillary Services, and Confirm. *Id.* at 52–53, Table 5. Finally, International Inbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail failed to cover its costs, earning revenues that were approximately 60 percent of attributable costs. *Id.* at 22. Additionally, the Postal Service provides a discussion of the competing policy considerations that impact workshare discounts and the reasons a substantial number of workshare discounts may have

exceeded avoided costs in FY 2009. *Id.* at 58–73.

With respect to competitive products, seven international products failed to recover their attributable costs—Inbound International Expedited Services 1 and 2; Inbound Surface Parcel Post at Non-UPU Rates; International Money Transfer Service; Competitive Registered Mail; Competitive Insurance; Competitive Return Receipt; and Competitive International Business Reply Service negotiated service agreement contracts. *Id.* at 77–79.

The Postal Service estimates that competitive products as a whole covered their incremental costs, calculated two alternative ways, and therefore pass the test for identifying cross-subsidy of competitive products by market dominant products. It, therefore, concludes competitive products were in compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1).

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. ACR2009 to consider matters raised by the Postal Service's FY 2009 Annual Compliance Report.

2. Comments on the United States Postal Service FY 2009 Annual Compliance Report to the Commission, including the Comprehensive Statement of Postal Operations and other reports, are due on or before February 1, 2010.

3. Reply comments are due on or before February 16, 2010.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–295 Filed 1–11–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–5

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Data Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Small Business Administration's intentions to request approval on a new and/or currently approved information collection.

DATES: Submit comments on or before March 15, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Send all comments regarding whether these information collections are necessary for the proper performance of the function of the

agency, whether the burden estimates are accurate, and if there are ways to minimize the estimated burden and enhance the quality of the collection, to Cynthia Pitts, Director, Disaster Administrative Services, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20416.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia Pitts, *mailto:* Director, 202–205–7570 *cynthia.pitts@sba.gov* Curtis B. Rich, Management Analyst, 202–205–7030 *curtis.rich@sba.gov*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Per OMB Circular A–123, Appendix B. Agencies must perform credit score inquiries and analysis prior to issuing travel credit cards. When credit score inquiry results in no score, this form will be used as an alternative means to assess credit history as required by the Circular.

Title: “Alternatives Creditworthiness Assessment.”

Description of Respondents:

Applicants applying for Disaster Loans.

Form Number: 2294.

Annual Responses: 1,849.

Annual Burden: 8.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carol Fendler, *mail to:* System Accountant, Office of Investment 202–205–7559, *carol.fendler@sba.gov*; Curtis B. Rich, Management Analyst, 202–205–7030, *curtis.rich@sba.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: SBA Forms 2181, 2182 and 2183 provide SBA with the necessary information to make properly supported decisions regarding the approval denial of an applicant for a small business investment company (SBIC) license. SBA uses this information to assess an applicant's ability to successfully operate an SBIC within the scope of the Small Business Investment Act, as amended.

Title: “SBIC Management Assessment Questionnaire (MAQ) & License Application; Exhibits to SBIC License Applications/MAQ.”

Description of Respondents: Small Business Owners and Farmers.

Form Number's: 2181, 2182, 2183.

Annual Responses: 255.

Annual Burden: 4,300.

Jacqueline White,

Chief, Administrative Information Branch.

[FR Doc. 2010–322 Filed 1–11–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Disaster Declaration # 12006 and # 12007; New York Disaster # NY–00086

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration.