This project would produce "processor profiles", short narrative descriptions of all the onshore fish processing plants in the state of Alaska that will augment and update existing community profiles.

II. Method of Collection

Phone surveys will be conducted with all shore-based fish processing plants in Alaska. Site visits will be conducted with shore-based fish processing plants in three communities in Alaska: Cordova, Kenai, and Petersburg (these communities have not previously received a site visit and have the largest number of fish processing facilities in their sub-regions).

III. Data

OMB Control Number: None.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 163 phone survey respondents; 27 in-person survey respondents (one per each processing plant visited during site visits).

Estimated Time Per Response: 20 minutes for phone survey; 40–60 minutes for in-person survey.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 82.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: $0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 15, 2010.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–9114 Filed 4–20–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Northwest Region Pacific Whiting Shoreside Fishery Monitoring and Catch Accounting Program

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 21, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *dHynek@doc.gov).*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Becky Renko, (206) 526–6110 or *Becky.Renko@noaa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

As part of its fishery management responsibilities, NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service collects information to determine the amount and type of groundfish caught by fishing vessels. This collection supports exempted fishing permit requirements for Pacific whiting shoreside vessels to have and use electronic monitoring to verify full retention of catch and for Pacific whiting shoreside processors to send electronic catch data used to manage the catch allocations and limits. The respondents are principally groundfish fishermen and shoreside processors which are companies/partnerships. Other respondents include State fisheries agencies who seek an exempted fishing permit.

II. Method of Collection

Information is sent through electronic programs and e-mail.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0648–0563.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations; State, local, or Tribal government; individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 53.

Estimated Time per Response: Initial application and summary report, 10 hours each; inseason data report, 1 hour; electronic fish tickets, 10 minutes in Washington and California, 2 minutes in Oregon; electronic monitoring systems (EMS): installation, 6 hours; data downloads, 4 hours and EMS removal, 2 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 613.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: $240,000.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 15, 2010.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–9113 Filed 4–20–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–806]

Silicon Metal from Brazil: Amended Final Results of Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 21, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Operations,

Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–3936.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This matter arose from a challenge to the *Final Results* issued by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") regarding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Silicon Metal from Brazil for the period of review beginning July 1, 1996, through June 30, 1997. *See Silicon Metal from Brazil: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 6305 (February 9, 1999) ("*Final Results*"). Following publication of the *Final Results*, the petitioners[1] and the respondents Eletrosilex S.A. ("Eletrosilex") and Ligas de Aluminio S.A. filed lawsuits with the Court of International Trade ("CIT") challenging the Department's *Final Results*. Eletrosilex contested the Department's application of total adverse facts available ("AFA") to Eletrosilex, and the Department's selected AFA rate of 93.20 percent.

On July 17, 2000, the CIT issued its decision, remanding the Final Results to the Department to reconsider its determination to apply AFA to Eletrosilex and the rate which the Department selected as AFA. *See American Silicon Technologies v. United States,* 110 F. Supp. 2d 992, 1004–5 (2000). On January 29, 2001, the Department submitted remand results to the CIT. *See* "Silicon Metal From Brazil; Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Ct. No. 99–00149." In the remand results, the Department considered its determination and reached the same conclusions as regards applying AFA to Eletrosilex, and the appropriate rate to select as AFA, as it did in the *Final Results*.

On October 17, 2002, the CIT issued its decision, affirming the Department's determination to apply AFA to Eletrosilex but remanding to the Department to redetermine an AFA rate. *See American Silicon Technologies v. United States*, 240 F. Supp. 2d 1306, 1313 (2002). Pursuant to the CIT's remand instructions, the Department submitted remand results to the CIT on January 22, 2003. *See* "Silicon Metal From Brazil; Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand" ("Second Remand Results"). The Department selected as AFA for Eletrosilex a margin of 67.93 percent, a margin calculated for another respondent in the administrative review of silicon metal from Brazil for the period July 1, 1994, through June 30, 1995. See Silicon Metal from Brazil, Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, American Silicon Technologies v. United States, Court No. 97–02–00267, Slip. Op. 99–34. On June 27, 2003, the CIT sustained the Second Remand Results. *See American Silicon Technologies v. United States*, 273 F. Supp. 2d 1342 (2003). However, on October 30, 2003, pursuant to a motion by Eletrosilex, the CIT stayed further action pending the results of litigation regarding the administrative review of silicon metal from Brazil for the 94/95 period of review. *See American Silicon Technologies v. United States*, 27 C.I.T. 1677; 2003 Ct. Intl. Trade LEXIS 147 (2003). On May 13, 2004, the CIT sustained the Department's remand results regarding the 94/95 period of review. *See American Silicon Technologies v. United States*, 28 C.I.T. 698; 2004 Ct. Intl. Trade LEXIS 49 (2004). That decision was not appealed.

On January 27, 2010, the CIT dissolved the stay of its June 27, 2003 judgment. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case, we are amending our *Final Results*.

Amended Final Results

As the litigation in this case has concluded, the Department is amending the *Final Results* in accordance with the CIT's decision. The revised dumping margin for Eletrosilex is as follows:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin
Eletrosilex S.A.	67.93%

The Department intends to issue instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate all entries at the appropriate rates for the company listed above, 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: April 15, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–9175 Filed 4–20–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

[1] The petitioners are American Silicon Technologies, Elkem Metals Company, Globe Metallurgical, Inc. and SKW Metals & Alloys, Inc.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–954]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 21, 2010.

SUMMARY: On March 12, 2010, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the Preliminary Determination of sales at less than fair value ("LTFV") in the antidumping duty investigation of certain magnesia carbon bricks ("bricks") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). *See Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 75 FR 11847 (March 12, 2010) ("*Preliminary Determination*"). We are amending our Preliminary Determination to correct certain ministerial errors with respect to the antidumping duty margin calculation for RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd. ("RHI"). The corrections to the RHI margin also affect the margin assigned to the companies receiving a separate rate and the PRC–wide rate. In addition, we have granted a separate rate to Yingkou Jiahe Refractories Co., Ltd. ("Jiahe").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As noted above, on March 12, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Determination* that bricks from the PRC are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at LTFV, as provided in section 733 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"). *See Preliminary Determination*.

On March 12, 2010, RHI, Dalian Mayerton Refractories Co., Ltd. and Liaoning Mayerton Refractories Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Mayerton"), Jiahe, and Resco Products, Inc. ("Petitioner"), filed timely allegations of ministerial errors contained in the Department's *Preliminary Determination*. After reviewing the allegations, we have determined that the *Preliminary Determination* included significant