AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

FEDERAL REGISTER

Vol. 76 Tuesday,
No. 26 February 8, 2011

Part IV

Department of Transportation

Federal Transit Administration
FTA Fiscal Year 2011 Apportionments, Allocations, and Program Information; Notice

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

FTA Fiscal Year 2011 Apportionments, Allocations, and Program Information

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) annually publishes one or more notices apportioning funds appropriated by law. In some cases, if less than a full year of funding is available, FTA publishes multiple partial apportionment notices. This notice is the first notice announcing partial apportionment of Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 formula funds. It also provides program guidance and requirements; and provides information on several program issues important in the current fiscal year. The notice also includes tables that show certain unobligated (carryover) funding discretionary programs from previous years that will be available for obligation during FY 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information about this notice contact Kimberly Sledge, Team Leader, Transit Program Management Team, at (202) 366–2053. Please contact the appropriate FTA regional office for any specific requests for information or technical assistance. The Appendix at the end of this notice includes contact information for FTA regional offices. An FTA headquarters contact for each major program area is included in the discussion of that program in the text of the notice.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Overview
II. FY 2011 Available Funding for FTA Programs
A. Available Funding Based on Continuing Appropriations and Surface Transportation Extension Act, 2011, and Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA–LU).
B. Program Funds Set-aside for Oversight
III. 2011 FTA Programs
A. Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305)
B. Statewide Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305)
C. Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307)
D. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Fixed Guideway Modernization
E. Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310)
F. Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311)
G. Rural Transportation Assistance Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(3))
H. Job Access and Reverse Commute Program (49 U.S.C. 5316)
I. New Freedom Program (49 U.S.C. 5317)
J. Growing States and High Density States Formula (49 U.S.C. 5340)
IV. FTA Policy and Procedures for FY 2011 Grants Requirements
A. Automatic Pre-Award Authority to Incur Project Costs
B. Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Policy
C. FTA FY 2011 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances
D. FHWA Funds Used for Transit Purposes
E. Technical Assistance
Tables
1. FTA FY 2011 Appropriations and Apportionments for Grant Programs
2. FTA FY 2011 Metropolitan Planning Program and Statewide Planning and Research Program Apportionments
3. FTA FY 2011 Section 5307 and Section 5340 Urbanized Area Apportionments
3–A. 2000 Census Urbanized Areas 200,000 or More in Population Eligible to Use Section 5307 Funds for Operating Assistance
4. FTA FY 2011 Section 5307 Apportionment Formula
5. FTA FY 2011 Formula Programs Apportionments Data Unit Values
6. FTA FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
7. FTA Prior Year Unobligated Section 5308 Clean Fuels Allocations
8. FTA FY 2011 Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization Apportionments
9. FTA FY 2011 Fixed Guideway Modernization Program Apportionment Formula
10. FTA Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Buses and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations
11. FTA Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 New Starts Allocations
12. FTA FY 2011 Special Needs for Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities Apportionments
13. FTA FY 2011 Section 5311 and Section 5340 Nonurbanized Area Formula Apportionments, and Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP) Allocations
14. FTA Prior Unobligated Tribal Transit Discretionary Allocations
15. FTA FY 2011 Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) Apportionments
16. FTA FY 2011 Section 5317 New Freedom Apportionments
17. 2011 FTA Prior Year Unobligated Section 5339 Alternatives Analysis Allocations
Appendix

I. Overview

FTA's current authorization, the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA–LU), expired September 30, 2009. Since that time, Congress has enacted short-term extensions allowing FTA to continue its current programs. Most recently, the Continuing Appropriations and Surface Transportation Extensions Act, 2011, *as amended,* (Pub. L. 111–322, Div. C), continues the authorization of the Federal transit programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) through March 4, 2011. It extends contract authority for programs in the Formula and Bus Grants account provided in the previous authorization extension Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act (Pub. L. 111–147) until March 4, 2011, *i.e.,* approximately 5/12th of the contract authority available in FY 2010.

This document apportions approximately $3 billion in FY 2011 funds made available under the Continuing Appropriations and Surface Transportation Extensions of Act 2011, *as amended,* hereinafter, ("CR, 2011") among potential program recipients according to statutory formulas in 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53. This is in addition to over $4.2 billion existing in unobligated formula funds available from prior years. The notice includes FY 2011 formula funds that are currently available, which is approximately 5/12 or 42.47% of the amounts that were available under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Pub. L. 111–117). The notice does not include any extension or reprogramming of any discretionary funds that lapsed to the designated project as of September 30, 2010. FTA will issue a supplemental notice at a later date for any additional increments of formula and discretionary funds that become available.

For each FTA program included in this notice, we have provided relevant information on the FY 2011 funding currently available, program requirements, period of availability, and other related program information and highlights, as appropriate. A separate section of the document provides information on program requirements and guidance that are applicable to all FTA programs.

II. FY 2011 Available Funding for FTA Programs

A. Funding Based on the Continuing Appropriations and Surface Transportation Extensions Act, 2011 (Pub. L. 111–322)

The CR 2011 makes available approximately 5/12ths of the contract authority levels authorized in FY 2010 for the Formula programs. Table 1 of this document shows the funding that is currently available for the FTA programs. This Federal Register notice includes tables of apportionments and

allocations for FTA formula programs based on CR, 2011 and carryover discretionary funds.

B. Program Funds Set-aside for Project Management Oversight

As background, Section 5327 of title 49 U.S.C. authorizes the takedown of funds from FTA programs for project management oversight. Section 5327 provides oversight takedowns at the following levels: 0.5 percent of Planning funds, 0.75 percent of Urbanized Area Formula funds, 1 percent of Capital Investment funds, 0.5 percent of Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities formula funds, 0.5 percent of Non-urbanized Area Formula funds, and 0.5 percent of the Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in the Parks Program funds (formerly the Alternative Transportation in the Parks and Public Lands Program).

The funds are used to provide necessary oversight activities, including oversight of the construction of any major capital project under these statutory programs; to conduct State Safety Oversight, drug and alcohol, civil rights, procurement systems, management, planning certification and, financial reviews and audits, as well as evaluations and analyses of grantee specific problems and issues; and to provide technical assistance to correct deficiencies identified in compliance reviews and audits.

III. 2011 FTA Programs

This section of the notice provides the available FY 2011 funding through March 4, 2011, and/or other important program-related information for eleven FTA formula programs that are contained in this notice. Funding and/or other important information for each of the formula programs is presented immediately below. This includes program apportionments, certain program requirements, length of time FY 2011 funding is available for obligation and other significant program information pertaining to FY 2011.

A. Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(d))

Section 5305(d) authorizes Federal funding to support a cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive planning program for transportation investment decision-making at the metropolitan area level. The specific requirements of metropolitan transportation planning are set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5303 and further explained in 23 CFR Part 450, as incorporated by reference in 49 CFR Part 613, *Statewide Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning; Final Rule.* State Departments of Transportation are direct recipients of funds allocated by FTA, which are then suballocated to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) by formula, for planning activities that support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency; increasing the safety and security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users; increasing the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight; protecting and enhancing the environment, promoting energy conservation, and improving quality of life; enhancing the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight; promoting efficient transportation system management and operation; and emphasizing the preservation of the existing transportation system. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods in the metropolitan area. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. Eligible work elements or activities include, but are not limited to studies relating to management, mobility management, planning, operations, capital requirements, and economic feasibility; evaluation of previously funded projects; peer reviews and exchanges of technical data, information, assistance, and related activities in support of planning and environmental analysis among MPOs and other transportation planners; work elements and related activities preliminary to and in preparation for constructing, acquiring, or improving the operation of facilities and equipment; development of coordinated public transit human services transportation plans. An exhaustive list of eligible work activities is provided in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more about the Metropolitan Planning Program and the FTA Circular 8100.1C, contact Victor Austin, Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366–2996.

1. FY 2011 Funding Availability

CR 2011 provides $39,790,936 to the Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(d) to support metropolitan transportation planning activities set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5303. The total amount apportioned for the Metropolitan Planning Program to States for MPOs' use in urbanized areas (UZAs) is $39,591,981, as shown in the table below, after the deduction for oversight.

METROPOLITAN PLANNING PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	$39,790,936
Oversight Deduction	−198,955
Total Apportioned	39,591,981

States' apportionments for this program are displayed in Table 2.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionments

As specified in law, 82.72 percent of the amounts authorized for Section 5305 are allocated to the Metropolitan Planning program. FTA allocates Metropolitan Planning funds to the States according to a statutory formula. Eighty percent of the funds are distributed to the States as a basic allocation based on each State's UZA population, based on the most recent decennial Census. The remaining 20 percent is provided to the States as a supplemental allocation based on an FTA administrative formula to address planning needs in the larger, more complex UZAs. The amount published for each State is a combined total of both the basic and supplemental allocation.

3. Program Requirements

The State allocates Metropolitan Planning funds to MPOs in UZAs or portions thereof to provide funds for projects included in an annual work program (the Unified Planning Work Program, or UPWP) that includes both highway and transit planning projects. Each State has either reaffirmed or developed, in consultation with their MPOs, an allocation formula, based on the 2000 Census. The State allocation formula may be changed annually, but any change requires approval by the FTA regional office before grant approval. Program guidance for the Metropolitan Planning Program is found in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more about the Metropolitan Planning Program and the FTA Circular 8100.1C, contact Victor Austin, Office of

Planning and Environment at (202) 366–2996.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned under the Metropolitan Planning program to each State remain available for obligation by FTA to recipients for four fiscal years—which includes the year of apportionment plus three additional years. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2014, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Metropolitan Planning Program.

5. Consolidated Planning Grants

FTA and FHWA planning funds under both the Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Programs can be consolidated into a single consolidated planning grant (CPG), awarded by either FTA or FHWA. The CPG eliminates the need to monitor individual fund sources, if several have been used, and ensures that the oldest funds will always be used first. Unlike "flex funds" for capital programs, planning funds from FHWA may be combined with FTA planning funds in a single grant. Alternatively, FTA planning funds may be transferred to FHWA to be administered as combined grants.

Under the CPG, States can report metropolitan planning program expenditures (to comply with the Single Audit Act) for both FTA and FHWA under the Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance number for FTA's Metropolitan Planning Program (20.505). Additionally, for States with an FHWA Metropolitan Planning (PL) fund-matching ratio greater than 80 percent, the State can waive the 20 percent local share requirement, with FTA's concurrence, to allow FTA funds used for metropolitan planning in a CPG to be granted at the higher FHWA rate. For some States, this Federal match rate can exceed 90 percent.

States interested in transferring planning funds between FTA and FHWA should contact the FTA Regional Office or FHWA Division Office for more detailed procedures. Current guidelines are included in Federal Highway Administration Memorandum dated July 12, 2007, "Information: Final Transfers to Other Agencies that Administer Title 23 Programs."

For further information on CPGs, contact Nancy Grubb, Office of Budget and Policy, FTA, at (202)366–1635.

B. Statewide Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(e))

This program provides financial assistance to States for Statewide transportation planning and other technical assistance activities, including supplementing the technical assistance program provided through the Metropolitan Planning program. The specific requirements of Statewide transportation planning are set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5304 and further explained in 23 CFR Part 450 as referenced in 49 CFR Part 613, *Statewide Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning; Final Rule.* This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. For more information, contact Victor Austin, Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366–2996.

1. FY 2011 Funding Availability

CR 2011 provides $8,312,227 to the State Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305). The total amount apportioned for the State Planning and Research Program (SPRP) is $8,270,666 as shown in the table below, after the deduction for oversight (authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5327).

STATE PLANNING AND RESEARCH PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	$8,312,227
Oversight Deduction	−41,561
Total Apportioned	8,270,666

State apportionments for this program are displayed in Table 2.

2. Basis for Apportionment Formula

As specified in law, 17.28 percent of the amounts authorized for Section 5305 are allocated to the State Planning and Research program. FTA apportions funds to States by a statutory formula that is based on the most recent decennial Census, and the State's UZA population as compared to the UZA population of all States.

3. Requirements

Funds are provided to States for Statewide transportation planning programs. These funds may be used for a variety of purposes such as planning, technical studies and assistance, demonstrations, and management training. In addition, a State may authorize a portion of these funds to be used to supplement Metropolitan Planning funds allocated by the State to its UZAs, as the State deems appropriate. Program guidance for the State Planning and Research program is found in FTA Circular 8100.1C. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. Eligible work elements or activities include, but are not limited to studies relating to management, planning, operations, capital requirements, and economic feasibility; evaluation of previously funded projects; peer reviews and exchanges of technical data, information, assistance, and related activities in support of planning and environmental analysis; work elements and related activities preliminary to and in preparation for constructing, acquiring, or improving the operation of facilities and equipment. An exhaustive list of eligible work activities is provided in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more information, contact Victor Austin, Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366–2996.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned under the State Planning and Research program to each State remain available for obligation for four fiscal years, which include the year of apportionment plus three additional fiscal years. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2014, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the State Planning and Research Program.

C. Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307)

Section 5307 authorizes Federal capital assistance, and in some cases, operating assistance for public transportation in UZAs. A UZA is an area with a population of 50,000 or more that has been defined and designated as such in the 2000 Census by the U.S. Census Bureau. The

Urbanized Area Formula Program funds may also be used to support planning activities, and may supplement planning projects funded under the Metropolitan Planning program. Urbanized Areas Formula Program funds used for planning must be shown in the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area. Funding is apportioned directly to each UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, and to the State Governors for UZAs with populations between 50,000 and 200,000. Eligible applicants are limited to entities designated as recipients in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5307(a)(2) and other public entities with the consent of the Designated Recipient. Generally, operating assistance is not an eligible expense for UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more. However, there are several exceptions to this restriction. The exceptions are described in section 3(d)(5) below.

For more information about the Urbanized Area Formula Program contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2011 Funding Availability

CR 2011 provides $1,763,230,999 to the Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307). The total amount apportioned for the Urbanized Area Formula Program is $1,916,008,252 as shown in the table below, after the 0.75 percent deduction for oversight (authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5327) and including funds apportioned to UZAs from the appropriation for Section 5340 for Growing States and High Density States.

URBANIZED AREA FORMULA PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	[a] $1,763,230,999
Oversight Deduction	−13,224,232
Section 5340 Funds Added	166,001,486
Total Apportioned	1,916,008,252

[a] One percent set-aside for Small Transit Intensive Cities Formula.

Table 3 displays the amounts apportioned under the Urbanized Area Formula Program.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

FTA apportions Urbanized Area Formula Program funds based on legislative formulas. Different formulas apply to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more and to UZAs with populations less than 200,000. For UZAs with 50,000 to 199,999 in population, the formula is based solely on population and population density. For UZAs with populations of 200,000 and more, the formula is based on a combination of bus revenue vehicle miles, bus passenger miles, fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles, and fixed guideway route miles, as well as population and population density. Table 4 includes detailed information about the formulas.

To calculate a UZA's FY 2011 apportionment, FTA used population and population density statistics from the 2000 Census and (when applicable) validated mileage and transit service data from transit providers' 2009 National Transit Database (NTD) Report Year. Consistent with 49 U.S.C. 5336(b), FTA used 60 percent of the directional route miles attributable to the Alaska Railroad passenger operations system to calculate the apportionment for the Anchorage, Alaska UZA.

FTA has calculated dollar unit values for the formula factors used in the Urbanized Area Formula Program apportionment calculations. These values represent the amount of money each unit of a factor is worth in this year's apportionment. The unit values change each year, based on all of the data used to calculate the apportionments. The dollar unit values for FY 2011 are displayed in Table 5. To replicate the basic formula component of a UZA's apportionment, multiply the dollar unit value by the appropriate formula factor (*i.e.,* the population, population x population density), and when applicable, data from the NTD (*i.e.,* route miles, vehicle revenue miles, passenger miles, and operating cost).

In FY 2011, one percent of funds appropriated for Section 5307, or $17,632,310 based on CR 2011 is set aside for Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC). FTA apportions these funds to UZAs under 200,000 in population that operate at a level of service equal to or above the industry average level of service for all UZAs with a population of at least 200,000, but not more than 999,999, in one or more of six performance categories: passenger miles traveled per vehicle revenue mile, passenger miles traveled per vehicle revenue hour, vehicle revenue miles per capita, vehicle revenue hours per capita, passenger miles traveled per capita, and passengers per capita.

The data for these categories for the purpose of FY 2011 apportionments comes from the NTD reports for the 2009 reporting year. This data is used to determine a UZA's eligibility under the STIC formula, and is also used in the STIC apportionment calculations. Because these performance data change with each year's NTD reports, the UZAs eligible for STIC funds and the amount each receives may vary each year. In FY 2011, FTA apportioned $55,976 for each performance factor/category for which the urbanized area exceeded the national average for UZAs with a population of at least 200,000 but not more than 999,999.

In addition to the funds apportioned to UZAs, according to the Section 5307 formula factors contained in 49 U.S.C. 5336, FTA also apportions funds to urbanized areas under Section 5340 Growing States and High Density States formula factors. In FY 2011, FTA apportions $67,464,168 to UZAs in growing States and $98,537,318 to UZAs in High Density States. Half of the funds appropriated for Section 5340 are available to Growing States and half to High Density States. FTA apportions Growing States funds by a formula based on State population forecasts for 15 years beyond the most recent Census. FTA distributes the amounts apportioned for each State between UZAs and nonurbanized areas based on the ratio of urbanized/nonurbanized population within each State in the 2000 census, and to UZAs proportionately based on UZA population in the 2000 census (because population estimates are not available at the UZA level). FTA apportions the High Density States funds to States with population densities in excess of 370 persons per square mile. These funds are apportioned only to UZAs within those States. FTA pro-rates each UZA's share of the High Density funds based on the population of the UZAs in the State in the 2000 census.

FTA cannot provide unit values for the Growing States or High Density formulas because the allocations to individual States and urbanized areas are based on their relative population data, rather than on a national per capita basis.

Based on language in the conference report accompanying SAFETEA–LU, FTA is to show a single apportionment amount for Section 5307, STIC and Section 5340. FTA shows a single Section 5307 apportionment amount for each UZA in Table 3, the Urbanized Area Formula apportionments. The amount includes funds apportioned based on the Section 5307 formula factors, any STIC funds, and any Growing States and High Density States funding allocated to the area. FTA uses separate formulas to calculate and generate the respective apportionment amounts for the Section 5307, STIC and Section 5340. For technical assistance purposes, the UZAs that received STIC funds are listed in Table 6. FTA will make available breakouts of the funding allocated to each UZA under these

formulas, upon request to the regional office.

3. Program Requirements

Program guidance for the Urbanized Area Formula Program is currently found in FTA Circular 9030.1D, Urbanized Area Formula Program: Grant Application Instructions, dated May 1, 2010, and supplemented by additional information or changes provided in this document.

a. Urbanized Area Formula Apportionments to Governors

For small UZAs, those with a population of less than 200,000, FTA apportions funds to the Governor of each State for distribution. A single total Governor's apportionment amount for the Urbanized Area Formula, STIC, and Growing States and High Density States is shown in the Urbanized Area Formula Apportionment Table 3. The table also shows the apportionment amount attributable by formula to each small UZA within the State for information purposes only unless the small UZA is located within the planning boundaries of a Transportation Management Area (TMA). The Governor is not bound by the small UZA amounts published in this notice and shall determine the sub-allocation of funds among the small UZAs. The Governor's sub-allocation should be sent to the appropriate FTA Regional Office before grants are awarded. In the case of a small UZA that is located within the planning boundaries of TMA, the Governor must allocate to that small UZA, as discussed in subsection f below.

b. Transit Enhancements

Section 5307(d)(1)(K) requires that one percent of Section 5307 funds apportioned to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more be spent on eligible transit enhancement activities or projects. This requirement is now treated as a certification, rather than as a set-aside as was the case under the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA–21). Designated recipients in UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more certify they are spending no less than one percent of Section 5307 funds for transit enhancements. In addition, Designated Recipients must submit an annual report on how they spent the money with the Federal fiscal year's final quarterly progress report in TEAM–Web. The report should include the following elements: (1) Grantee name; (2) UZA name and number; (3) FTA project number; (4) transit enhancement category; (5) brief description of enhancement and progress towards project implementation; (6) activity line item code from the approved budget; and (7) amount awarded by FTA for the enhancement. The list of transit enhancement categories and Activity Line Item (ALI) codes may be found in the table of Scope and ALI codes on TEAM–Web, which can be accessed at *http://FTATEAMWeb.fta.dot.gov*.

The term "transit enhancement" includes projects or project elements that are designed to enhance public transportation service or use and are physically or functionally related to transit facilities. Eligible enhancements include the following: (1) Historic preservation, rehabilitation, and operation of historic mass transportation buildings, structures, and facilities (including historic bus and railroad facilities); (2) bus shelters; (3) landscaping and other scenic beautification, including tables, benches, trash receptacles, and street lights; (4) public art; (5) pedestrian access and walkways; (6) bicycle access, including bicycle storage facilities and installing equipment for transporting bicycles on mass transportation vehicles; (7) transit connections to parks within the recipient's transit service area; (8) signage; and (9) enhanced access for persons with disabilities to mass transportation.

It is the responsibility of the MPO to determine how the one-percent for transit enhancements will be allotted to transit projects. The one percent minimum requirement does not preclude more than one percent from being expended in a UZA for transit enhancements. However, activities that are only eligible as enhancements—in particular, operating costs for historic facilities—may be assisted only within the one-percent funding level.

c. Transit Security Projects

Consistent with section 5307(d)(1)(J), each recipient of Urbanized Area Formula funds must certify that of the amount received each fiscal year, it will expend at least one percent on "public transportation security projects" or must certify that it has decided the expenditure is not necessary. For applicants not eligible to receive Section 5307 funds for operating assistance, only capital security projects may be funded with the one percent. SAFETEA–LU, however, expanded the definition of eligible "capital" projects to include specific crime prevention and security activities, including: (1) Projects to refine and develop security and emergency response plans; (2) projects aimed at detecting chemical and biological agents in public transportation; (3) the conduct of emergency response drills with public transportation agencies and local first response agencies; and (4) security training for public transportation employees, but excluding all expenses related to operations, other than such expenses incurred in conducting emergency drills and training. Activity Line Item (ALI) codes have been established for these four new capital activities and will be used to track the use of this provision. The one percent may also include security expenditures included within other capital activities, and, where the recipient is eligible, operating assistance.

FTA is often called upon to report to Congress and others on how grantees are expending Federal funds for security enhancements. To facilitate tracking of grantees' security expenditures, which are not always evident when included within larger capital or operating ALI items in the grant budget, we have established a non-additive ("non-add") scope code for security expenditures—Scope 991. The non-add scope is to be used to aggregate activities included in other scopes, and it does not increase the budget total. Section 5307 grantees should include this non-add scope in the project budget for each new Section 5307 grant application or amendment. Under this non-add scope, the applicant should repeat the full amount of any of the line items in the budget that are exclusively for security and include the portion of any other line item in the project budget that is attributable to security, using under the non-add scope the same line item used in the project budget. The grantee can modify the ALI description or use the extended text feature, if necessary, to describe the security expenditures.

The grantee must provide information regarding its use of the one percent for security as part of each Section 5307 grant application, using a special screen in TEAM–Web. If the grantee has certified that it is not necessary to expend one percent for security, the Section 5307 grant application must include information to support that certification. FTA will not process an application for a Section 5307 grant until the security information is complete.

d. FY 2011 Operating Assistance

UZAs under 200,000 in population may use Section 5307 funds for operating assistance. In addition, Section 5307, as amended, allows some UZAs with a population of 200,000 or more to use Urbanized Area Formula funds for operating assistance under certain conditions. CR, 2011 extends

that eligibility until March 4, 2011. The specific provisions allowing the limited use of operating assistance in large UZAs follow:

(1) Section 5307(b)(1)(E) provides for grants for the operating costs of equipment and facilities for use in public transportation in the Evansville, IN–KY urbanized area, for a portion or portions of the UZA if "the portion" of the UZA includes only one State, the population of "the portion" is less than 30,000, and the grants will not be used to provide public transportation outside of "the portion" of the UZA.

(2) Section 5307(b)(1)(F) provides operating costs of equipment and facilities for use in public transportation for local governmental authorities in areas which adopted transit operating and financing plans that became a part of the Houston, Texas, UZA as a result of the 2000 decennial census of population, but lie outside the service area of the principal public transportation agency that serves the Houston UZA.

(3) Section 5336(a)(2) prescribes the formula to be used to apportion Section 5307 funds to UZAs with population of 200,000 or more. SAFETEA–LU amended 5336(a)(2) to add language that stated, " * * * except that the amount apportioned to the Anchorage urbanized area under subsection (b) shall be available to the Alaska Railroad for any costs related to its passenger operations." This language has the effect of directing that funds apportioned to the Anchorage urbanized area, under the fixed guideway tiers of the Section 5307 apportionment formula, be made available to the Alaska Railroad, and that these funds may be used for any capital or operating costs related to its passenger operations.

(4) Section 3027(c)(3) of TEA–21, as amended (49 U.S.C. 5307 note), provides an exception to the restriction on the use of operating assistance in a UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, by allowing transit providers/grantees that provide service exclusively to elderly persons and persons with disabilities and that operate 20 or fewer vehicles to use Section 5307 funds apportioned to the UZA for operating assistance. The total amount of funding made available for this purpose under Section 3027(c)(3) is $1.4 million. Transit providers/grantees eligible under this provision have already been identified and notified.

(5) Consistent with the SAFETEA–LU Technical Corrections Act, 2008, in FY 2009, section 5307(b)(2) allowed: (1) UZAs that grew in population from under 200,000 to over 200,000 or that were under 200,000 but merged into another urbanized area and the population is over 200,000, as a result of the 2000 Census to use Section 5307 funds for operating assistance in an amount up to 50 percent of the grandfathered amount for FY 2002 funds; (2) Areas that were nonurbanized under the 1990 Census and became urbanized, as a result of the 2000 Census, to use no more than 50 percent of the amount apportioned to the area for FY 2003 for operating assistance; and (3) nonurbanized areas under the 1990 Census that merged into urbanized areas over 200,000, as a result of the 2000 Census, to use 50 percent of the amount the area received in FY 2002 Section 5311 funding for operating assistance. CR 2011 continued these special rules for the period October 1, 2009 through March 4, 2011.

e. Sources of Local Match

Consistent with Section 5307(e), the Federal share of an urbanized area formula grant is 80 percent of net project cost for a capital project and 50 percent of net project cost for operating assistance unless the recipient indicates a greater local share. The remainder of the net project cost (*i.e.,* 20 percent and 50 percent, respectively) shall be provided from the following sources:

(1) From non-Government sources other than revenues from providing public transportation services;

(2) From revenues derived from the sale of advertising and concessions;

(3) From an undistributed cash surplus, a replacement or depreciation cash fund or reserve, or new capital;

(4) From amounts received under a service agreement with a State or local social service agency or private social service organization; and

(5) Proceeds from the issuance of revenue bonds.

(6) Funds from Section 403(a)(5)(C)(vii) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 603(a)(5)(C)(vii)) can be used to match Urbanized Area Formula funds.

f. Designated Transportation Management Areas (TMA)

Guidance for setting the boundaries of TMAs is in the joint transportation planning regulations codified at 23 CFR Part 450 as referenced in 49 CFR Part 613. In some cases, the TMA planning boundaries established by the MPO for the designated TMA includes one or more small UZAs. In addition, one small UZA (Santa Barbara, CA) has been designated as a TMA. In either of these situations, the Governor cannot allocate "Governor's Apportionment" funds attributed to the small UZAs to other areas; that is, the Governor only has discretion to allocate Governor's Apportionment funds attributable to areas that are outside of designated TMA planning boundaries.

The list of small UZAs included within the planning boundaries of designated TMAs is provided in the table below:

Designated TMA	Small urbanized area included in TMA planning boundary
Albany, NY	Saratoga Springs, NY.
Houston, TX	Galveston, TX; Lake Jackson-Angleton, TX; Texas City, TX; The Woodlands, TX.
Jacksonville, FL	St. Augustine, FL.
Orlando, FL	Kissimmee, FL.
Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL	Titusville, FL.
Philadelphia, PA–NJ–DE–MD.	Pottstown, PA.
Pittsburg, PA	Monessen, PA; Weirton, WV–Steubenville, OH–PA (PA portion); Uniontown-Connellsville, PA.
Seattle, WA	Bremerton, WA.
Washington, DC–VA–MD	Frederick, MD.

The MPO must notify the Associate Administrator for Program Management, Federal Transit Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, in writing, no later than July 1 of each year of the identity of any small UZA within the planning boundaries of a TMA.

g. Urbanized Area Formula Funds Used for Highway Purposes

Funds apportioned to a TMA are eligible for transfer to FHWA for highway projects, if the Designated Recipient has allocated a portion of the

area's Section 5307 funding for such use. However, before funds can be transferred, the following conditions must be met: (1) Approval by the MPO in writing, after appropriate notice and opportunity for comment and appeal are provided to affected transit providers; (2) a determination of the Secretary that funds are not needed for investments required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA); and (3) the MPO determines that local transit needs are being addressed.

The MPO should notify the appropriate FTA Regional Administrator of its intent to use FTA funds for highway purposes. Urbanized Area Formula funds that are designated by the MPO for highway projects and meet the conditions cited in the previous paragraph will be transferred to and administered by FHWA.

4. Period of Availability

The Urbanized Area Formula Program funds apportioned in this notice are available for obligation during the year of appropriation plus three additional years. Accordingly, these funds must be obligated in grants by September 30, 2014. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2014, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Urbanized Area Formula Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

In each UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, the Governor, in consultation with responsible local officials and publicly owned operators of public transportation, has designated one or more entities to be the Designated Recipient for Section 5307 funds apportioned to the UZA. The same entity(s) may or may not be the Designated Recipient for the Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and New Freedom program funds apportioned to the UZA. In UZAs under 200,000 in population, the State is the Designated Recipient for Section 5307 as well as JARC and New Freedom programs. The Designated Recipient for Section 5307 may authorize other entities to apply directly to FTA for Section 5307 grants pursuant to a supplemental agreement. While the requirement that projects selected for funding be included in a locally developed coordinated public transit/human service transportation plan is not included in Section 5307 as it is in Sections 5310, 5316 (JARC) and 5317 (New Freedom), FTA expects that in their role as public transit providers, recipients of Section 5307 funds will be participants in the local planning process for these programs.

D. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Fixed Guideway Modernization

This program provides capital assistance for the maintenance, recapitalization, and modernization of existing fixed guideway systems. Funds are apportioned by a statutory formula to UZAs with fixed guideway systems that have been in operation for at least seven years. A "fixed guideway" refers to any transit service that uses exclusive or controlled rights-of-way or rails, entirely or in part. The term includes heavy rail, commuter rail, light rail, monorail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, inclined plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, ferryboats, that portion of motor bus service operated on exclusive or controlled rights-of-way, and high-occupancy-vehicle (HOV) lanes. Eligible applicants are the public transit authorities in those urbanized areas to which the funds are apportioned. For more information about Fixed Guideway Modernization contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2011 Funding Availability

CR 2011 provides $706,290,063 to the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program. The total amount apportioned for the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program is $699,227,162, after the deduction for oversight, as shown in the table below.

FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	$706,290,063
Oversight Deduction	−7,062,901
Total Apportioned	699,227,162

The FY 2011 Fixed Guideway Modernization Program apportionments to eligible areas are displayed in Table 8.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

The formula for allocating the Fixed Guideway Modernization funds includes seven tiers. The apportionment of funding under the first four tiers is based on amounts specified in law and NTD data used to apportion funds in FY 1997. Funding under the last three tiers is apportioned based on the latest available data on route miles and revenue vehicle miles on segments at least seven years old, as reported to the NTD. Section 5337(f) of title 49, U.S.C. provides for the inclusion of Morgantown, West Virginia (population 55,997) as an eligible UZA for purposes of apportioning Fixed Guideway Modernization funds. Also, consistent to 49 U.S.C. 5336(b), FTA uses 60 percent of the directional route miles attributable to the Alaska Railroad passenger operations system to calculate the apportionment for the Anchorage, Alaska UZA under the Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization formula.

FY 2011 Formula apportionments are based on data grantees provided to the NTD for the 2009 report year. Table 9 provides additional information and details on the formula. Dollar unit values for the formula factors used in the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program are displayed in Table 5. To replicate an area's apportionment, multiply the dollar unit value by the appropriate formula factor, *i.e.,* route miles and revenue vehicle miles.

3. Program Requirements

Fixed Guideway Modernization funds must be used for capital projects to maintain, modernize, or improve fixed guideway systems. Eligible UZAs (those with a population of 200,000 or more) with fixed guideway systems that are at least seven years old are entitled to receive Fixed Guideway Modernization funds. A threshold level of more than one mile of fixed guideway is required in order to receive Fixed Guideway Modernization funds. Therefore, UZAs reporting one mile or less of fixed guideway mileage to the NTD are not included. However, funds apportioned to an urbanized area may be used on any fixed guideway segment in the UZA. Program guidance for Fixed Guideway Modernization is presently found in FTA Circular C9300.1B, Capital Facilities and Formula Grant Programs, dated November 1, 2008.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned in this notice under the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program remain available to recipients to be obligated in a grant during the year of appropriation plus three additional years. FY 2011 Fixed Guideway Modernization funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2014, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program.

E. Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310)

This program provides formula funding to States for capital projects to assist private nonprofit groups in meeting the transportation needs of the

elderly and individuals with disabilities when the public transportation service provided in the area is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meet these needs. A State agency designated by the Governor administers the Section 5310 program. The State's responsibilities include: notifying eligible local entities of funding availability; developing project selection criteria; determining applicant eligibility; selecting projects for funding; and ensuring that all subrecipients comply with Federal requirements. Eligible nonprofit organizations or public bodies must apply directly to the designated State agency for assistance under this program. For more information about the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program contact Gil Williams, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2011 Funding Availability

CR 2011 provides $56,579,492 to the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310). After deduction of 0.5 percent for oversight, and the addition of reapportioned prior year funds, $56,296,595 remains available for allocation to the States.

ELDERLY AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	$56,579,492
Oversight Deduction	−282,897
Total Apportioned	56,296,595

The FY 2011 Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program apportionments to the States are displayed in Table 12.

2. Basis for Apportionment

FTA allocates funds to States by an administrative formula consisting of a $125,000 floor for each State ($50,000 for smaller territories) with the balance allocated based on 2000 Census population data for persons aged 65 and over and for persons with disabilities.

3. Requirements

Funds are available to support the capital costs of transportation services for older adults and people with disabilities. Uniquely under this program, eligible capital costs include the acquisition of service. Seven specified States (Alaska, Louisiana, Minnesota, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, and Wisconsin) may use up to 33 percent of their apportionment for operating assistance under the terms of the SAFETEA–LU Section 3012(b) pilot program.

Capital assistance is provided on an 80 percent Federal, 20 percent local matching basis except that Section 5310(c) allows States eligible for a higher match under the sliding scale for FHWA programs to use that match ratio for Section 5310 capital projects. Operating assistance is 50 percent Federal, 50 percent local. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the U.S. DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used as match. Revenue from service contracts may also be used as local match.

While the assistance is intended primarily for private non-profit organizations, public bodies approved by the State to coordinate services for the elderly and individuals with disabilities, or any public body that certifies to the State that there are no non-profit organizations in the area that are readily available to carry out the service, may receive these funds.

States may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment to administer, plan, and provide technical assistance for a funded project. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning must be shown in the United Planning Work Program (UPWP) for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The State recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must be included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Job Access Reverse Commute program and the New Freedom program also are required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit/human service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs.

The Section 5310 program is subject to the requirements of Section 5307 formula program to the extent the Secretary determines appropriate. Program guidance is found in FTA Circular 9070.1F, dated May 1, 2007. The circular is posted on the FTA Web site at *http://www.fta.dot.gov*.

4. Period of Availability

FTA has administratively established a three-year period of availability for Section 5310 funds. Funds allocated to States under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program in this notice must be obligated by September 30, 2013. Any funding that remains unobligated as of that date will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

States may transfer Section 5310 funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects selected under the Section 5310 program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility primarily for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area, or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. A State that transfers Section 5310 funds to Section 5307 must certify that each project for which the funds are transferred has been coordinated with private nonprofit providers of services. FTA has established a scope code (641) in the TEAM grant system to track Section 5310 projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted, but not required. FTA expects primarily to award stand-alone Section 5310 grants to the State for any and all subrecipients.

6. Performance Measure

To support the evaluation of the program, FTA has established performance measures for the Section 5310 program, which should be submitted with the State's annual program of projects status report on October 31, 2011. States should submit performance measures on behalf of their subrecipients. Information on the Section 5310 performance measures can be found at *http://www.fta.dot.gov/laws/circulars/leg_reg_6622.html.*

F. Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311)

This program provides formula funding to States and Indian Tribes for the purpose of supporting public transportation in areas with a population of less than 50,000. Funding may be used for capital, operating, State administration, and project administration expenses. Eligible subrecipients include State and local governmental authority, Indian Tribes, private non-profit organizations, and private operators of public transportation services, including intercity bus companies. Indian Tribes are also eligible direct recipients under Section 5311, both for funds apportioned to the States and for projects selected to be funded with funds set aside for a separate Tribal Transit Program.

For more information about the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program contact Lorna Wilson, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2011 Funding Availability

CR 2011 provides $197,074,635 to the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311). The total amount apportioned for the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program is $216,863,673 after take-downs of two percent for the Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP), 0.5 percent for oversight, and $6,357,246 for the Tribal Transit Program, and the addition of Section 5340 funding for Growing States, as shown in the table below:

NONURBANIZED AREA FORMULA PROGRAM

Total appropriation	$197,074,635
Oversight deduction	−985,373
Tribal takedown	−6,357,246
RTAP takedown	−3,941,493
Section 5340 funds added	31,073,150
Total apportioned	216,863,673

The FY 2011 Nonurbanized Area Formula apportionments to the States are displayed in Table 13.

2. Basis for Apportionments

FTA apportions the funds after take-down for oversight, the Tribal Transit Program, and RTAP according to a statutory formula. FTA apportions the first twenty percent to the States based on land area in nonurbanized areas with no state receiving more than 5 percent of the amount apportioned. FTA apportions the remaining eighty percent based on nonurbanized population of each State relative to the national nonurbanized population. FTA does not apportion Section 5311 funds to the Virgin Islands, which by a statutory exception are treated as an urbanized area for purposes of the Section 5307 formula program.

FTA is allocating $31,073,150 to the States and territories for nonurbanized areas from the Growing States portion of Section 5340. FTA apportions Growing States funds by a formula based on State population forecasts for 15 years beyond the most recent census. FTA distributes the amounts apportioned for each State between UZAs and nonurbanized areas based on the ratio of urbanized/nonurbanized population within each State in the 2000 census.

3. Program Requirements

The Nonurbanized Area Formula Program provides capital, operating and administrative assistance for public transit service in nonurbanized areas under 50,000 in population.

The Federal share for capital assistance is 80 percent and for operating assistance is 50 percent, except that States eligible for the sliding scale match under FHWA programs may use that match ratio for Section 5311 capital projects and 62.5 percent of the sliding scale capital match ratio for operating projects.

Each State must spend no less than 15 percent of its FY 2011 Nonurbanized Area Formula apportionment for the development and support of intercity bus transportation, unless the State certifies, after consultation with affected intercity bus service providers, that the intercity bus service needs of the State are being adequately met. FTA also encourages consultation with other stakeholders, such as communities affected by loss of intercity service.

Each State prepares an annual program of projects, which must provide for fair and equitable distribution of funds within the States, including Indian reservations, and must provide for maximum feasible coordination with transportation services assisted by other Federal sources.

To retain eligibility for funding, recipients of Section 5311 funding must report data annually to the NTD. Additional information on NTD reporting is contained in paragraph 5 of this section, below.

Program guidance for the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program is found in FTA Circular 9040.1F, "Nonurbanized Area Formula Program Guidance and Grant Application Instructions," dated April 1, 2007. The circular is posted at *http://www.fta.dot.gov.*

4. Period of Availability

It was administratively determined that funds apportioned to nonurbanized areas under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program during FY 2011 will remain available for obligation for two additional fiscal years after the year of apportionment. Any funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2013, will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

a. NTD Reporting. By law, FTA requires that each recipient under the Section 5311 program submit an annual report to the NTD containing information on capital investments, operations, and service provided with funds received under the Section 5311 program. Section 5311(b)(4), as amended by SAFETEA–LU, specifies that the report shall include information on total annual revenue, sources of revenue, total annual operating costs, total annual capital costs, fleet size and type, and related facilities, revenue vehicle miles, and ridership. State or Territorial DOT 5311 grant recipients must complete a one-page form of basic data for each 5311 subrecipient, unless the subrecipient is already providing a full report to the NTD as a Tribal Transit direct recipient or as an urbanized area reporter (without receiving a Nine or Fewer Vehicles Waiver). For the 2010 Report Year, State or Territorial DOTs must report on behalf of any subrecipient receiving Section 5311 grants in 2010, or that continued to benefit in 2010 from capital assets purchased using Section 5311 grants. Tribal Transit direct recipients must report if they received an obligation or an outlay for a Section 5311 grant in 2010, or if they continued to benefit in 2010 from capital assets using Section 5311 Grants, unless the Tribe is already filing a full NTD Report as an urbanized area reporter or unless the Tribe only received $50,000 or less in planning grants. The NTD Rural Reporting Manual contains detailed reporting instructions and is posted on the NTD Web site, *http://www.ntdprogram.gov.*

b. Extension of Intercity Bus Pilot of In-Kind Match. Beginning in FY 2007, FTA implemented a two year pilot program of in-kind match for intercity bus service. The initial program was set to expire after FY 2008; however, FTA decided to extend the program through FY 2010. Through this notice FTA extends the In-Kind Match program through FY 2011. FTA published

guidance on the in-kind match pilot in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2007, as Appendix 1 of the Notice announcing the final revised circular 9040.1F, which is available at *http://www.fta.dot.gov.*

G. Rural Transportation Assistance Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(3))

This program provides funding to assist in the design and implementation of training and technical assistance projects, research, and other support services tailored to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. For more information about Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP) contact Lorna Wilson, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2011 Funding Availability

CR 2011 provides $3,941,493 to RTAP (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(2)), as a two percent takedown from the funds appropriated for Section 5311. FTA has reserved 15 percent for the National RTAP program. A total of $3,350,269 is available for allocation to the States, as shown in the table below.

RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	$3,941,493
National RTAP Takedown	−591,224
Total Apportioned	3,350,269

Table 13 shows the FY 2011 RTAP allocations to the States.

2. Basis for Allocation

FTA allocates funds to the States by an administrative formula. First FTA allocates $65,000 to each State ($10,000 to territories), and then allocates the balance based on nonurbanized population in the 2000 census.

3. Program Requirements

States may use the funds to undertake research, training, technical assistance, and other support services to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. These funds are to be used in conjunction with a State's administration of the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program, but also may support the rural components of the Section 5310, JARC, and New Freedom programs.

4. Period of Availability

FTA administratively established that funds apportioned to States under RTAP remain available for obligation two fiscal years following FY 2011. Any funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2013, will revert to FTA for allocation among the States under the RTAP.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

The National RTAP project is administered by cooperative agreement and re-competed at five-year intervals. In FY 2008, FTA awarded the cooperative agreement to the Neponset Valley Transportation Management Association (NVTMA) located in Waltham, Massachusetts through a competitive process. The National RTAP projects are guided by a project review board that consists of managers of rural transit systems and State DOT RTAP programs. National RTAP resources also support the biennial TRB National Conference on Rural Public and Intercity Bus Transportation and other research and technical assistance projects of a national scope.

H. Job Access and Reverse Commute Program (49 U.S.C. 5316)

The Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) program provides formula funding to States and Designated Recipients to support the development and maintenance of job access projects designed to transport welfare recipients and low-income individuals to and from jobs and activities related to their employment, and for reverse commute projects designed to transport residents of UZAs and other than urbanized areas to suburban employment opportunities. For more information about the JARC program contact Gil Williams, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2011

CR 2011 provides $69,717,801 for the JARC Program. The total amount apportioned by formula is shown in the table below.

JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM

Total apportioned	$69,717,801

Table 15 shows the FY 2011 JARC apportionments.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

By law, FTA allocates 60 percent of funds available to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more persons (large UZAs); 20 percent to the States for urbanized areas with populations ranging from 50,000 to 199,999 persons (small UZAs), and 20 percent to the States for rural and small urban areas with populations of less than 50,000 persons. FTA apportions funds based upon the number of low income individuals residing in a State or large urbanized area, using data from the 2000 Census for individuals with incomes below 150 percent of the poverty level. FTA publishes apportionments to each State for small UZAs and for rural and small urban areas and a single apportionment for each large UZA.

The Designated Recipient, either for the State or for a large UZA, is responsible for further allocating the funds to specific projects and subrecipients through a competitive selection process. If the Governor has designated more than one recipient of JARC funds in a large UZA, the Designated Recipients may agree to conduct a single competitive selection process or sub-allocate funds to each Designated Recipient, based upon a percentage split agreed upon locally, and conduct separate competitions.

States may transfer funds between the small UZA and the nonurbanized apportionments, if all of the objectives of JARC are met in the size area the funds are taken from. States may also use funds apportioned to the small UZA and nonurbanized area apportionments for projects anywhere in the State (including large UZAs) if the State has established a statewide program for meeting the objectives of JARC. A State that is planning to transfer funds under either of these provisions should submit a request to the FTA regional office. FTA will assign new accounting codes to the funds before obligating them in a grant.

3. Requirements

States and Designated Recipients must solicit grant applications and select projects competitively, based on application procedures and requirements established by the Designated Recipient, consistent with the Federal JARC program objectives. In the case of large UZAs, the area-wide solicitation shall be conducted in cooperation with the appropriate MPO(s).

Funds are available to support the planning, capital, and operating costs of transportation services that are eligible for funding under the program. Assistance may be provided for a variety of transportation services and strategies directed at assisting welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals to address unmet transportation needs, and to provide reverse commute services. The transportation services may be provided by public, non-profit, or private-for-profit operators. The Federal share is 80 percent of capital and planning expenses and 50 percent of operating expenses. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other

than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used for local/State match for funds provided under Section 5316, and revenue from service contracts may be used as local match.

States and Designated Recipients may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment for administration, planning, and to provide technical assistance. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning in urbanized areas must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The Designated Recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public, including those representing the needs of welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must be included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations of the grant to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is also a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program (Section 5310) and the New Freedom program (Section 5317) also are required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit-human service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs. The goal of the coordinated planning process is not to be an exhaustive document, but to serve as a tool for planning and implementing beneficial projects. The level of effort required to develop the plan will vary among communities based on factors such as the availability of resources. FTA does not approve coordinated plans.

The JARC program is subject to the relevant requirements of Section 5307, including the requirement for certification of labor protections. JARC program requirements are published in FTA Circular 9050.1, dated April 1, 2007. The circular and other guidance including frequently asked questions are posted on the FTA Web site at *http://www.fta.dot.gov.*

4. Period of Availability

FTA has established a consistent three-year period of availability for JARC, New Freedom, and the Section 5310 program, which includes the year of apportionment plus two additional years. FY 2011 funding is available for obligation through FY 2013. Any funding that remains unobligated on September 30, 2013 will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States and large UZAs under the JARC program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

a. Carryover Earmarks. In the FTA 2010 Apportionments, Allocations and Program Information notice, which was published on February 16, 2010, FTA notified recipients of 2002–2005 earmarks that any remaining JARC discretionary funds should be obligated in a grant before September 30, 2010. At this time, JARC discretionary funds are no longer available for obligation.

b. Designated Recipient. FTA must have received formal notification from the Governor or Governor's designee of the Designated Recipient for JARC funds apportioned to a State or large UZA before awarding a grant to that area for JARC projects.

c. Transfers to Section 5307 or Section 5311. States may transfer JARC funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects competitively selected under the JARC program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility primarily for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. FTA has established a scope code (646) to track JARC projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. All activities within a Section 5307 or Section 5311 grant application that are funded with JARC resources should be listed under the 646–00 scope code. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted but not required. FTA also will award stand-alone JARC grants to the State for any and all subrecipients. To track disbursements accurately against the appropriate program, FTA will not combine JARC funds with Section 5307 funds in a single Section 5307 grant, nor will FTA combine JARC with New Freedom funds in a single Section 5307 grant.

I. New Freedom Program (49 U.S.C. 5317)

SAFETEA–LU established the New Freedom Program under 49 U.S.C. 5317. The program purpose is to provide new public transportation services and public transportation alternatives beyond those currently required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 *et seq.*) that assist individuals with disabilities with transportation, including transportation to and from jobs and employment support services. For more information about the New Freedom program contact Gil Williams, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2011

CR 2011 provides $39,203,019 for the New Freedom Program. The entire amount is apportioned by formula, as shown in the table below:

NEW FREEDOM PROGRAM

Total Apportioned	$39,203,019

Table 16 shows the FY 2011 New Freedom apportionments.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

By law, FTA allocates 60 percent of funds available to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more persons (large UZAs); 20 percent to the States for urbanized areas with populations ranging from 50,000 to 199,999 persons (small UZAs), and 20 percent to the States for rural and small urban areas with populations of less than 50,000 persons. FTA apportions funds based upon the number of persons with disabilities over the age of five residing in a State or large urbanized area, using data from the 2000 Census. FTA publishes apportionments to each State for small UZAs and for rural and small urban areas and a single apportionment for each large UZA.

The Designated Recipient, either for the State or for a large UZA, is responsible for further allocating the funds to specific projects and subrecipients through a competitive selection process. If the Governor has designated more than one recipient of New Freedom funds in a large UZA, the Designated Recipients may agree to conduct a single competitive selection process or sub-allocate funds to each Designated Recipient, based upon a

percentage split agreed on locally and conduct separate competitions.

3. Requirements

States and Designated Recipients must solicit grant applications and select projects competitively, based on application procedures and requirements established by the Designated Recipient, consistent with the Federal New Freedom program objectives. In the case of large UZAs, the area-wide solicitation shall be conducted in cooperation with the appropriate MPO(s).

Funds are available to support the capital and operating costs of new public transportation services and public transportation alternatives that are beyond those required by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used as match for capital funds provided under Section 5317, and revenue from contract services may be used as local match.

Funding is available for transportation services provided by public, non-profit, or private-for-profit operators. Assistance may be provided for a variety of transportation services and strategies directed at assisting persons with disabilities to address unmet transportation needs. Eligible public transportation services and public transportation alternatives funded under the New Freedom program must be both new and beyond the ADA. In a notice of policy change published on April 29, 2009, (Federal Register Volume 74 Number 81, April 29, 2009) FTA expanded the type of projects it considers to be "beyond the ADA" and thus increase the types of projects eligible for funding under the New Freedom program. Under interpretation published in the Federal Register, new and expanded fixed route and demand responsive transit service planned for and designed to meet the needs of individuals with disabilities are eligible projects.

The Federal share is 80 percent of capital expenses and 50 percent of operating expenses. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT) may be used for local/state match for funds provided under Section 5317, and revenue from service contracts may be used as local match.

States and Designated Recipients may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment to administer, plan, and provide technical assistance for a funded project. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The Designated Recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public, including those representing the needs of welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations of the grant to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is also a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Section 5310 program and the JARC program are also required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit-human service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs.

The New Freedom program is subject to the relevant requirements of Section 5307, but certification of labor protections is not required. New Freedom Program requirements are published in FTA Circular 9045.1, which was effective May 1, 2007. The circular and other guidance including frequently asked questions are posted on the FTA Web site at *http://www.fta.dot.gov*.

4. Period of Availability

FTA has established a consistent three-year period of availability for New Freedom, JARC, and the Section 5310 program funds, which includes the year of apportionment plus two additional years. FY 2011 funding is available for obligation through FY 2013. Any funding that remains unobligated on September 30, 2013 will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States and large UZAs to be used for New Freedom program purposes.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

a. Designated Recipient. FTA must have received formal notification from the Governor or Governor's designee of the Designated Recipient for New Freedom funds apportioned to a State or large UZA before awarding a grant to that area for New Freedom projects.

b. Transfers to Section 5307 or 5311. States may transfer New Freedom funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects competitively selected under the New Freedom program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. FTA has established a scope code (647) to track New Freedom projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. All activities within a Section 5307 or Section 5311 grant application that are funded with New Freedom resources should be listed under the 647–00 scope code. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted but not required. FTA also will award stand-alone New Freedom Program grants to the State for any and all subrecipients. In order to track disbursements accurately against the appropriate program, FTA will not combine New Freedom funds with Section 5307 funds in a single Section 5307 grant, nor will FTA combine New Freedom with JARC funds in a single Section 5307 grant.

J. Growing States and High Density States Formula Factors (49 U.S.C. 5340)

CR 2011 makes $197,074,635 available for apportionment in accordance with the formula factors prescribed for Growing States and High Density States set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5340. Fifty percent of this amount is apportioned to eligible States and urbanized areas using the Growing State formula factors. The other 50 percent is apportioned to eligible States and urbanized areas using the High Density States formula factors.

The term "State" is defined only to mean the 50 States. For the Growing State portion of the program, funds are allocated based on the population forecasts for fifteen years after the date of that census. Forecasts are based on the trend between the most recent decennial census and Census Bureau population estimates for the most current year. Census population

estimates as of July 1, 2009 were used in the FY 2011 apportionments. Funds allocated to the States are then sub-allocated to urbanized and non-urbanized areas based on forecast population, where available. If forecasted population data at the urbanized level is not available, as is currently the case, funds are allocated to current urbanized and non-urbanized areas on the basis of current population in the 2000 Census. Funds allocated to urbanized areas are included in their Section 5307 apportionment. Funds allocated for non-urbanized areas are included in the states' Section 5311 apportionments.

IV. FTA Policy and Procedures for FY 2011 Grants

A. Automatic Pre-Award Authority To Incur Project Costs

1. Caution to New Grantees and Grantees Using Innovative Financing

While we provide pre-award authority to incur expenses before grant award for many projects, we recommend that first-time grant recipients NOT utilize this automatic pre-award authority and wait until the grant is actually awarded by FTA before incurring costs. As a new grantee, it is easy to misunderstand pre-award authority conditions and be unaware of all of the applicable FTA requirements that must be met in order to be reimbursed for project expenditures incurred in advance of grant award. FTA programs have specific statutory requirements that are often different from those for other Federal grant programs with which new grantees may be familiar. If funds are expended for an ineligible project or activity, FTA will be unable to reimburse the project sponsor and, in certain cases, the entire project may be rendered ineligible for FTA assistance.

Grantees proposing to use innovative financing techniques or capital leasing are required to consult with the applicable FTA Regional Office (*see* Appendix A) before entering into the financial agreement—especially where the grantee expects to use Federal funds for debt service or capital lease payments. Consulting with FTA before entering into the agreement allows FTA to advise the project sponsor of any applicable Federal regulations, such as the Capital Leasing Regulation, and will minimize the risk of the costs being ineligible for reimbursement at a later date.

2. Policy

FTA provides pre-award authority to incur expenses before grant award for certain program areas described below. This pre-award authority allows grantees to incur certain project costs before grant approval and retain the eligibility of those costs for subsequent reimbursement after grant approval. The grantee assumes all risk and is responsible for ensuring that all conditions are met to retain eligibility. This pre-award spending authority permits a grantee to incur costs on an eligible transit capital, operating, planning, or administrative project without prejudice to possible future Federal participation in the cost of the project. In the Federal Register Notice of November 30, 2006, FTA extended pre-award authority for capital assistance under all formula programs through FY 2009, the duration of SAFETEA–LU. In this notice, FTA extends pre-award authority through FY 2012 for capital assistance under all formula programs. FTA provides pre-award authority for planning and operating assistance under the formula programs without regard to the period of the authorization. In addition, we extend pre-award authority for certain discretionary programs based on the annual Appropriations Act each year. All pre-award authority is subject to conditions and triggers stated below:

a. FTA does not impose additional conditions on pre-award authority for operating, planning, or administrative assistance under the formula grant programs. Grantees may be reimbursed for expenses incurred before grant award so long as funds have been expended in accordance with all Federal requirements. In addition to cross-cutting Federal grant requirements, program specific requirements must be met. For example, a planning project must have been included in a Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP); a New Freedom operating assistance project or a JARC planning or operating project must have been derived from a coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan (coordinated plan) and competitively selected by the Designated Recipient before incurring expenses; expenditure on State Administration expenses under State Administered programs must be consistent with the State Management Plan. Designated Recipients for JARC and New Freedom have pre-award authority for the ten percent of the apportionment they may use for program administration, if the use is consistent with their Program Management Plan.

b. Pre-Award authority for Alternatives Analysis planning projects under 49 U.S.C. 5339 is triggered by the publication of the allocation in FTA's Federal Register Notice of Apportionments and Allocations following the annual Appropriations Act, or announcement of additional discretionary allocations. The projects must be included in the UPWP of the MPO for that metropolitan area.

c. Pre-award authority for design and environmental work on a capital project is triggered by the authorization of formula funds, or the appropriation or allocation of funds for a discretionary project.

d. Following authorization of formula funds or appropriation and publication of discretionary projects, pre-award authority for capital project implementation activities, such as property acquisition, demolition, construction, and acquisition of vehicles, equipment, or construction materials, may be exercised only after FTA concurs that all applicable environmental requirements have been satisfied, including those for actions classified as normally requiring preparation of environmental impact statements, environmental assessments, and categorical exclusions found in 23 CFR 771.117(d). Other conditions and requirements set forth in paragraph 3, below, must also be satisfied. Before exercising pre-award authority, grantees must comply with the conditions and Federal requirements outlined in paragraph 3 below. Failure to do so will render an otherwise eligible project ineligible for FTA financial assistance. Capital projects under the Section 5310, JARC, and New Freedom programs must comply with specific program requirements, including coordinated planning and competitive selection. In addition, before incurring costs, grantees are strongly encouraged to consult with the appropriate FTA regional office regarding the eligibility of the project for future FTA funds and the applicability of the conditions and Federal requirements.

e. As a general rule, pre-award authority applies to the Section 5309 Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities, the Clean Fuels Bus program, high priority project designations, and any other transit discretionary projects only AFTER funds have been appropriated or allocated to the project. For Section 5309 Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities, Clean Fuels Program, or other transit capital discretionary projects such as those designated in an annual Appropriations Act, the date that costs may be incurred is: (1) For design and environmental review, the appropriations bill which funds the project was enacted or the announcement of the discretionary allocation of funds for the project; and (2) for property acquisition, demolition,

construction, and acquisition of vehicles, equipment, or construction materials, the date that FTA approves the document (ROD, FONSI, or CE determination) that completes the environmental review process required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and its implementing regulations. FTA introduced this new trigger for pre-award authority in FY 2006 in recognition of the growing prevalence of new grantees unfamiliar with Federal and FTA requirements to ensure FTA's continued ability to comply with NEPA and related environmental laws. Because FTA does not sign a final NEPA document until MPO and statewide planning requirements (including air quality conformity requirements, if applicable) have been satisfied, this new trigger for pre-award will ensure compliance with both planning and environmental requirements before irreversible action by the grantee.

f. In previous notices, FTA extended pre-award authority to Section 330 projects referenced in the DOT Appropriation Act, 2002, and the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 and to those surface transportation projects commonly referred to as Section 115 projects administered by FTA, for which amounts were provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004, Section 117 projects in the 2005 Appropriations Act, and Section 112 of the 2006 Appropriations Act that are to be administered by FTA. FTA, in the FY 2008 Apportionment Notice, extended pre-award authority to high priority projects in SAFETEA–LU, as of the date they were transferred or allotted to FTA for administration. The same conditions described for bus projects apply to these projects. We strongly encourage any prospective applicant that does not have a previous relationship with FTA to review Federal grant requirements with the FTA regional office before incurring costs.

g. Blanket pre-award authority does not apply to Section 5309 Capital Investment New and Small Starts funds. Specific instances of pre-award authority for Capital Investment New and Small Starts projects are described in paragraph 4 below. Pre-award authority does not apply to Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities or Clean Fuels projects authorized for funding beyond this fiscal year. Before an applicant may incur costs for Capital Investment New and Small Starts projects, Bus and Bus-Related Facilities projects, or any other projects not yet published in a notice of apportionments and allocations, it must first obtain a written Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) from FTA. To obtain an LONP, a grantee must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA regional office, as described below.

h. Blanket pre-award authority does not apply to Section 5314 National Research Programs. Before an applicant may incur costs for National Research Programs, it must first obtain a written Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) from FTA. To obtain an LONP, a grantee must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA headquarters office. Information about LONP procedures may be obtained from the appropriate headquarters office.

3. Conditions

The conditions under which pre-award authority may be utilized are specified below:

a. Pre-award authority is not a legal or implied commitment that the subject project will be approved for FTA assistance or that FTA will obligate Federal funds to support the project. Furthermore, it is not a legal or implied commitment that all items undertaken by the applicant will be eligible for inclusion in the project.

b. All FTA statutory, procedural, and contractual requirements must be met.

c. No action will be taken by the grantee that prejudices the legal and administrative findings that the Federal Transit Administrator must make in order to approve a project.

d. Local funds expended by the grantee pursuant to and after the date of the pre-award authority will be eligible for credit toward local match or reimbursement if FTA later makes a grant or grant amendment for the project. Local funds expended by the grantee before the date of the pre-award authority will not be eligible for credit toward local match or reimbursement. Furthermore, the expenditure of local funds or undertaking of project implementation activities such as land acquisition, demolition, or construction before the date of pre-award authority for those activities (*i.e.,* the completion of the NEPA process) would compromise FTA's ability to comply with Federal environmental laws and may render the project ineligible for FTA funding.

e. The Federal amount of any future FTA assistance awarded to the grantee for the project will be determined on the basis of the overall scope of activities and the prevailing statutory provisions with respect to the Federal/local match ratio at the time the funds are obligated.

f. For funds to which the pre-award authority applies, the authority expires with the lapsing of the fiscal year funds.

g. When a grant for the project is subsequently awarded, the Financial Status Report, in TEAM–Web, must indicate the use of pre-award authority.

h. Environmental, Planning, and Other Federal Requirements. All Federal grant requirements must be met at the appropriate time for the project to remain eligible for Federal funding. The growth of the Federal transit program has resulted in a growing number of inexperienced grantees who make compliance with Federal planning and environmental laws increasingly challenging. FTA has therefore modified its approach to pre-award authority to use the completion of the NEPA process, which has as a prerequisite the completion of planning and air quality requirements, as the trigger for pre-award authority for all activities except design and environmental review.

i. The requirement that a project be included in a locally adopted metropolitan transportation plan, the metropolitan transportation improvement program and Federally-approved statewide transportation improvement program (23 CFR Part 450) must be satisfied before the grantee may advance the project beyond planning and preliminary design with non-Federal funds under pre-award authority. If the project is located within an EPA-designated non-attainment area for air quality, the conformity requirements of the Clean Air Act, 40 CFR Part 93, must also be met before the project may be advanced into implementation-related activities under pre-award authority. Compliance with NEPA and other environmental laws and executive orders (*e.g.,* protection of parklands, wetlands, historic properties, and assurance of tribal consultation) must be completed before State or local funds are spent on implementation activities, such as site preparation, construction, and acquisition, for a project that is expected to be subsequently funded with FTA funds. The grantee may not advance the project beyond planning and preliminary design/engineering before FTA has determined the project to be a categorical exclusion, or has issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) or an environmental Record of Decision (ROD), in accordance with FTA environmental regulations, 23 CFR Part 771. For planning projects, the project must be included in a locally-approved Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) that has been coordinated with the State.

j. In addition, Federal procurement procedures, as well as the whole range of applicable Federal requirements (*e.g.,* Buy America, Davis-Bacon Act, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise) must be followed for projects in which Federal funding will be sought in the future. Failure to follow any such requirements could make the project ineligible for Federal funding. In short, this increased administrative flexibility requires a grantee to make certain that no Federal requirements are circumvented through the use of pre-award authority. If a grantee has questions or concerns regarding the environmental requirements, or any other Federal requirements that must be met before incurring costs, it should contact the appropriate regional office.

4. Pre-Award Authority for New and Small Starts Projects

a. Preliminary Engineering (PE), Final Design (FD), and Project Development (PD). Projects proposed for Section 5309 capital investment funds (New and Small Starts) are required to follow a federally defined project development process. For New Starts projects, this process includes, among other things, FTA approval of the entry of the project into PE and FD. For Small Starts projects, this process includes, among other things, approval of the entry of the project into PD. In accordance with Sections 5309(d) and (e), FTA considers the merits of the project, the strength of its financial plan, and its readiness to enter the next phase in deciding whether or not to approve entry into PE, FD, or PD. For New Starts projects, upon FTA approval to enter PE, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for PE activities. Upon completion of NEPA, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for utility relocation, as well as real property acquisition and vehicle purchases, which are further addressed below. Upon FTA approval to enter FD, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for FD activities, demolition, and non-construction activities such as procurement of long-lead time items or items for which market conditions play a significant role in the acquisition price. This includes, but is not limited to procurement of rails, ties, and other specialized equipment, and commodities. Please contact the FTA Regional Office for a determination of activities not listed here, but which meet the intent described above. For Small Starts projects, upon FTA approval to enter PD, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for the design and engineering activities necessary to complete the NEPA process. Upon completion of NEPA, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for utility relocation, as well as real property acquisition and vehicle purchases, which are further addressed below. Because Small Starts projects are not subject to approval into FD, they are not granted pre-award authority for procurement of rails, ties, and other specialized equipment; the procurement of commodities; and demolition. The pre-award authority for each phase is automatic upon FTA's signing of a letter to the project sponsor approving entry into that phase.

b. Real Property Acquisition Activities and Vehicle Purchases. FTA extends automatic pre-award authority for the acquisition of real property, real property rights and acquisition of vehicles for a New or Small Starts project upon completion of the NEPA process for that project. The NEPA process is completed when FTA signs an environmental Record of Decision (ROD) or Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), or makes a Categorical Exclusion (CE) determination. With the limitations and caveats described below, real estate acquisition and vehicle purchases for a New or Small Starts project may commence, at the project sponsor's risk, upon completion of the NEPA process.

For FTA-assisted projects, any acquisition of real property or real property rights must be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (URA) and its implementing regulations, 49 CFR Part 24. This pre-award authority is strictly limited to costs incurred: (i) To acquire real property and real property rights in accordance with the URA regulation, and (ii) to provide relocation assistance in accordance with the URA regulation. This pre-award authority is limited to the acquisition of real property and real property rights that are explicitly identified in the final environmental impact statement (FEIS), environmental assessment (EA), or CE document, as needed for the selected alternative that is the subject of the FTA-signed ROD or FONSI, or CE determination. This pre-award authority regarding property acquisition that is granted at the completion of NEPA does not cover site preparation, demolition, or any other activity that is not strictly necessary to comply with the URA, with one exception. That exception is when a building that has been acquired, has been emptied of its occupants, and awaits demolition poses a potential fire-safety hazard or other hazard to the community in which it is located, or is susceptible to reoccupation by vagrants. Demolition of the building is also covered by this pre-award authority upon FTA's written agreement that the adverse condition exists.

Pre-award authority for property acquisition is also provided when FTA makes a CE determination for a protective buy or hardship acquisition in accordance with 23 CFR 771.117(d)(12), and when FTA makes a CE determination for the acquisition of a pre-existing railroad right-of-way in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5324(c). When a tiered environmental review in accordance with 23 CFR 771.111(g) is being used, pre-award authority is NOT provided upon completion of the first-tier environmental document except when the Tier-1 ROD or FONSI signed by FTA explicitly provides such pre-award authority for a particular identified acquisition.

Project sponsors should use pre-award authority for real property acquisition relocation assistance, and vehicle purchases very carefully, with a clear understanding that it does not constitute a funding commitment by FTA. FTA provides pre-award authority upon completion of the NEPA process for real property acquisition and relocation assistance to maximize the time available to project sponsors to move people out of their homes and places of business, in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Act, but also with maximum sensitivity to the plight of the people so affected. FTA provides pre-award authority upon the completion of the NEPA process for vehicles purchases in recognition of the long-lead time and complexity of this activity as well as its relationship to the "critical path" project schedule. FTA cautions grantees that do not currently operate the type of vehicle proposed in the New or Small Starts project about exercising this pre-award authority and encourages these sponsors to wait until later in the project development process when project plans are more fully developed and Federal support for the project is more certain. FTA reminds project sponsors that the procurement of vehicles must comply with all Federal requirements including, but not limited to, competitive procurement practices, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and Buy America. FTA encourages project sponsors to discuss the procurement of vehicles with FTA in regards to Federal requirements before exercising pre-award authority.

Although FTA provides pre-award authority for property acquisition and vehicle purchases upon completion of the NEPA process, FTA will not make a grant to reimburse the sponsor for real estate activities conducted under pre-

award authority until the New Starts project has been approved into FD or the Small Starts project has received its construction grant. FTA will only reimburse the sponsor for vehicle purchases through an executed Full Funding Grant Agreement (New Starts) or a Project Construction Grant Agreement or single year capital grant (Small Starts). This is to ensure that Federal funds are not risked on a project whose advancement into construction is still not yet assured.

c. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Activities. NEPA requires that major projects proposed for FTA funding assistance be subjected to a public and interagency review of the need for the project, its environmental and community impacts, and alternatives to avoid and reduce adverse impacts. Projects of more limited scope also need a level of environmental review, either to support an FTA finding of no significant impact (FONSI) or to demonstrate that the action is categorically excluded from the more rigorous level of NEPA review.

FTA's regulation titled "Environmental Impact and Related Procedures," at 23 CFR Part 771 states that the costs incurred by a grant applicant for the preparation of environmental documents requested by FTA are eligible for FTA financial assistance (23 CFR 771.105(e)). Accordingly, FTA extends pre-award authority for costs incurred to comply with NEPA regulations and to conduct NEPA-related activities for a proposed New Starts or Small Starts project, effective as of the date of the Federal approval of the relevant STIP or STIP amendment that includes the project or any phase of the project. NEPA-related activities include, but are not limited to, public involvement activities, historic preservation reviews, section 4(f) evaluations, wetlands evaluations, endangered species consultations, and biological assessments. This pre-award authority is strictly limited to costs incurred to conduct the NEPA process, and to prepare environmental, historic preservation and related documents. It does not cover PE activities beyond those necessary for NEPA compliance.

For many FTA programs, costs incurred by a grant applicant exercising pre-award authority in the preparation of environmental documents required by FTA are eligible for FTA reimbursement (*See* also 23 CFR 771.105(e)). When any transit project (including New Starts and Small Starts) is adopted into the STIP or STIP amendment and pre-award authority is granted, reimbursement for NEPA activities may be sought at any time through Section 5339 (Alternatives Analysis program), Section 5307 (Urbanized Area Formula Program), and some flexible highway funds. FTA assistance for environmental documents for New Starts and Small Starts projects is subject to certain restrictions. Under SAFETEA–LU, Section 5309 capital investment funds (New and Small Starts) funds cannot be used to reimburse any activity, including a NEPA-related activity that occurs before the approval of a New Starts project into PE or a Small Starts project into PD. Only when a project has PE approval (for New Starts) or PD approval (for Small Starts) may it seek reimbursement for NEPA work conducted after the approval through Section 5309 New Starts funds. Prior to PE approval, any NEPA related work for New Starts or Small Starts can only be reimbursed through the use of Section 5339 (Alternatives Analysis Program), Section 5307 (Urbanized Area Formula Program) and some flexible highway funds. NEPA-related activities include, but are not limited to, public involvement activities, historic preservation reviews, section 4(f) evaluations, wetlands evaluations, endangered species consultations, tribal consultation, and biological assessments. As with any pre-award authority, FTA reimbursement for costs incurred is not guaranteed.

d. Other New and Small Starts Activities Requiring Letter of No Prejudice (LONP). Except as discussed in paragraphs a through c above, a grant applicant must obtain a written LONP from FTA before incurring costs for any activity expected to be funded by New or Small Starts funds not yet awarded. To obtain an LONP, an applicant must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA regional office, as described in B below.

B. Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Policy

1. Policy

LONP authority allows an applicant to incur costs on a project utilizing non-Federal resources, with the understanding that the costs incurred subsequent to the issuance of the LONP may be reimbursable as eligible expenses or eligible for credit toward the local match should FTA approve the project at a later date. LONPs are applicable to projects and project activities not covered by automatic pre-award authority. The majority of LONPs will be for Section 5309 New Starts or Small Starts projects undertaking activities not covered under automatic pre-award authority, an FFGA or a PCGA, or for Section 5309 Bus and Bus-Related projects authorized but not yet appropriated by Congress. LONPs may be issued for formula and discretionary funds beyond the life of the current authorization or FTA's extension of automatic pre-award authority; however, the LONP is limited to a five-year period, unless otherwise authorized.

2. Conditions and Federal Requirements

The conditions for pre-award authority specified in section IV.A.2 above apply to all LONPs. The Environmental, Planning and Other Federal Requirements described in section IV.A.3 also apply to all LONPs. Because project implementation activities may not be initiated before NEPA completion, FTA will not issue an LONP for such activities until the NEPA process has been completed with a ROD, FONSI, or CE.

3. Request for LONP

Before incurring costs for a project not covered by automatic pre-award authority, the project sponsor must first submit a written request for an LONP, accompanied by adequate information and justification, to the appropriate regional office and obtain written approval from FTA. FTA approval of an LONP for a New Starts or Small Starts project is determined on a case-by-case basis. Federal funding for a New or Small Starts project is not implied or guaranteed by an LONP. Specifically, when requesting an LONP, the applicant shall provide sufficient information to allow FTA to consider the following items:

a. Description of the activities to be covered by the LONP.

b. Justification for advancing the identified activities. The justification should include an accurate assessment of the consequences to the project scope, schedule, and budget should the LONP not be approved.

c. Allocated level of risk and contingency for the activity requested.

d. Status of procurement progress, including, if appropriate, submittal of bids for the activities covered by the LONP.

e. Strength of the capital and operating financial plan for the New or Small Starts project and the future transit system.

f. Adequacy of the Project Management Plan.

g. Resolution of any readiness issues that would affect the project, such as land acquisition and technical capacity to carry out the project.

FTA will, following the completion of the requirements under NEPA, expedite the issuance of LONPs for New and

Small Starts projects, when appropriate, by no longer performing a detailed review of the cost and scope of the request in every instance. Rather, a limited review will be performed in those cases that are of a more routine nature, especially those involving an experienced sponsor.

C. FTA FY 2011 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances

The full text of the FY 2011 Certifications and Assurances was published in the **Federal Register** on November 2, 2010, and is available on the FTA Web site and in TEAM–Web. The FY 2011 Certifications and Assurances must be used for all grants made in FY 2011, including obligation of carryover funds. All grantees with active grants are required to have signed the FY 2011 Certifications and Assurances within 90 days after publication. Any questions regarding this document may be addressed to the appropriate Regional Office or to Nydia Picayo, in the FTA Office of Program Management, at (202) 366–1662.

D. FHWA Funds Used for Transit Purposes

SAFETEA–LU continues provisions in the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) and TEA–21 that expanded modal choice in transportation funding by including substantial flexibility to transfer funds between FTA and FHWA formula program funding categories. The provisions also allow for transfer of certain discretionary program funds for administration of highway projects by FHWA and transit projects by FTA. FTA and FHWA execute Flex Funding Transfers between the Formula and Bus Grants programs and the Federal Aid Highway programs. This also includes the transfer of Metropolitan and Statewide planning set-aside funds between FHWA and FTA to be combined with metropolitan and statewide planning resources as Consolidated Planning Grants (CPG). These transfers are based on a State's requests to transfer funding from the Highway and/or Transit programs to fund States and local project priorities, and joint planning needs. This practice can result in transfers to the Federal Transit Program from the Federal Aid Highway Program or vice versa.

SAFETEA–LU was signed into law on August 10, 2005. With the enactment of SAFETEA–LU, beginning in FY 2006, with few exceptions, Federal transit programs were funded solely from general funds or trust funds. The transit Formula and Bus Grant programs are now funded entirely from Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund. The Formula and Bus Grant Programs can also receive flex funding transfers from the Federal Aid Highway Program.

As a result of the changes to program funding mechanisms, there is no longer a requirement to transfer budget authority and liquidating cash resources simultaneously upon the execution of a flex funding transfer request by a State. Since the transfers are between trust fund accounts, the only requirement is to transfer contract authority (obligation limitation) between the Federal Aid Program trust fund account and the Formula and Bus Grant Program account. At the point that the obligation resulting from the transfer of budgetary authority is expended, a transfer of liquidating cash will be required.

Beginning in FY 2007, the accounting process was changed for transfers of flex funds and other specific programs to allow contract authority to be transferred and the liquidating cash to be transferred separately. FTA requires that flexed fund transfers to FTA be in separate and identifiable grants in order to ensure that the draw-down of flexed funds liquidating cash can be tracked, thus securing the internal controls for monitoring these resources from the Federal Highway Administration to avoid deficiencies in FTA's Formula and Bus Grants account.

FTA monitors the expenditures of flexed funded grants and requests the transfer of liquidating cash from FHWA to ensure sufficient funds are available to meet expenditures. To facilitate tracking of grantees' flex funding expenditures, FTA developed codes to provide distinct identification of "flex funds."

The process for transferring flexible funds between FTA and FHWA programs is described below. Note that the new transfer process for "flex funds" that began in FY 2007 does not apply to the transfer of State planning set-aside funds from FHWA to FTA to be combined with metropolitan and statewide planning resources as Consolidated Planning Grants (CPG). These transfers are based on States requests to transfer funding from the Highway and/or Transit programs to fund States and local project priorities, and joint planning needs. Planning funds transferred will be allowed to be merged in a single grant with FTA planning resources using the same process implemented in FY 2006. For information on the process for the transfer of funds between FTA and FHWA planning programs refer to section III.A and B. Note also that certain prior year appropriations earmarks (Sections 330, 115, 117, and 112) are allotted annually for administration rather than being transferred. For information regarding these procedures, please contact Erin McCartney, FTA Budget Office, at (202) 366–5189 or Nancy Grubb, FTA Budget Office, at (202) 366–1635; or FHWA Budget Division, at (202) 366–2845.

1. Transfer From FHWA to FTA

FHWA funds transferred to FTA are used primarily for transit capital projects and eligible operating activities that have been designated as part of the metropolitan and statewide planning and programming process. The project must be included in an approved STIP before the funds can be transferred. By letter, the State DOT requests the FHWA Division Office to transfer highway funds for a transit project. The letter should specify the project, amount to be transferred, apportionment year, State, urbanized area, Federal aid apportionment category (*i.e.,* Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) or identification of the earmark and indication of the intended FTA formula program (*i.e.,* Section 5307, 5311 or 5310) and should include a description of the project as contained in the STIP. Note that FTA may also administer certain transfers of statutory earmarks under the Section 5309 bus program, for tracking purposes.

The FHWA Division Office confirms that the apportionment amount is available for transfer and concurs in the transfer, by letter to the State DOT and FTA. The FHWA Office of Budget and Finance then transfers obligation authority. All FHWA CMAQ and STP funds transferred to FTA will be transferred to one of the three FTA formula programs (*i.e.* Urbanized Area Formula (Section 5307), Nonurbanized Area Formula (Section 5311) or Elderly and Persons with Disabilities (Section 5310). High Priority projects in Section 1702 of SAFETEA–LU or Transportation Improvement projects in Section 1934 of SAFETEA–LU and other Congressional earmarks that are transferred to FTA will be aligned with and administered through FTA's discretionary Bus and Bus Related Facilities Program (Section 5309). The most recent guidance on transfers of FHWA funds as allowed under SAFETEA–LU is FHWA Memorandum, dated July 19, 2007, "Information Fund Transfers to Other Agencies and Among Title 23 Programs."

The FTA grantee's application for the project must specify which program the funds will be used for, and the application must be prepared in accordance with the requirements and

procedures governing that program. Upon review and approval of the grantee's application, FTA obligates funds for the project.

Transferred funds are treated as FTA formula or discretionary funds, except for local match purposes as described in c below, but are assigned a distinct identifying code for tracking purposes. The funds may be transferred for any capital purpose eligible under the FTA formula program to which they are transferred and, in the case of CMAQ, for certain operating costs. FHWA issued revised interim guidance on project eligibility under the CMAQ program in a Notice at 71 FR 76038 *et seq.* (December 19, 2006) incorporating changes made by SAFETEA–LU. In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 104(k), all FTA requirements except local share, which remains the same as required under the FHWA program, are applicable to transferred funds except in certain cases when CMAQ funds are authorized for operating expenses. Earmarks that are transferred to the Section 5309 Bus Program for administration, however, can be used for the congressionally designated transit purposes, and in some cases where the law provides, are not limited to eligibility under the Bus Program.

Earmarked funds, however, can only be used for the congressionally designated purposes.

2. Transfers From FTA to FHWA

The MPO submits a written request to the FTA regional office for a transfer of FTA Section 5307 formula funds (apportioned to a UZA 200,000 and over in population) to FHWA based on approved use of the funds for highway purposes, as determined by the designated recipient under Section 5307 and contained in the Governor's approved State Transportation Improvement Program. The MPO must certify that: (1) Notice and opportunity for comment and appeal has been provided to affected transit providers; (2) the funds are not needed for capital investments required by the Americans with Disabilities Act, and (3) local transit needs are being addressed. The FTA Regional Administrator reviews and, if he or she concurs in the request, then forwards the approval in written format to FTA Headquarters, where a reduction equal to the dollar amount being transferred to FHWA is made to the grantee's Urbanized Area Formula Program apportionment.

Transfers of discretionary earmarks for administration by FHWA are handled on a case by case basis, by the FTA regional office, in consultation with the FTA Office of Program Management, Office of Chief Counsel, and Office of Budget and Policy.

3. Matching Share for FHWA Transfers

Section 104(k) of title 23 U.S.C., regarding the non-Federal share, applies to Title 23 funds used for transit projects. Thus, FHWA funds transferred to FTA retain the same matching share that the funds would have if used for highway purposes and administered by FHWA.

There are four instances in which a Federal share higher than 80 percent would be permitted. First, in States with large areas of Indian and certain public domain lands and national forests, parks and monuments, the local share for highway projects is determined by a sliding scale rate, calculated based on the percentage of public lands within that State. This sliding scale, which permits a greater Federal share, but not to exceed 95 percent, is applicable to transfers used to fund transit projects in these public land States. FHWA develops the sliding scale matching ratios for the increased Federal share.

Second, commuter carpooling and vanpooling projects and transit safety projects using FHWA transfers administered by FTA may retain the same 100 percent Federal share that would be allowed for ride-sharing or safety projects administered by FHWA.

The third instance is the 100 percent Federally-funded safety projects; however, these are subject to a nationwide 10 percent program limitation.

The fourth instance occurs with CMAQ funds. Section 1131 of, The Energy Independence and Security Act, 2007 (Pub. L. 11–140) amended 23 U.S.C. 120 increased the Federal share of CMAQ projects to 100% at the State's discretion. FTA will honor this increased match for CMAQ funds transferred to FTA for implementation if the state chooses to fund the project at a higher Federal share than 80 percent. The Federal share for CMAQ projects cannot be lower than 80 percent.

E. Technical Assistance

FTA headquarters and regional staff will be pleased to answer your questions and provide any technical assistance you may need to apply for FTA program funds and manage the grants you receive. This notice and the program guidance circulars previously identified in this document may be accessed via the FTA Web site at *http://www.fta.dot.gov.*

In addition, copies of the following circulars and other useful information are available on the FTA Web site and may be obtained from FTA regional offices; Circular 4220.1F, "Third Party Contracting Guidance," and Circular 5010.1D, "Grant Management Guidelines." Both circulars were recently revised and can be found at *http://www.fta.dot.gov/laws/leg_reg_circulars_guidance.html.* The FY 2011 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances and Master Agreement are also posted on the FTA Web site.

The DOT final rule on "Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in Department of Transportation Financial Assistance Programs," which was effective July 16, 2003, can be found at *http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_04/49cfr26_04.html/.*

Issued in Washington, DC, this 1st day of February, 2011.

Peter Rogoff,

Administrator.

Appendix A

FTA Regional Offices

Mary Beth Mello, Regional Administrator, Region 1—Boston, Kendall Square, 55 Broadway, Suite 920, Cambridge, MA 02142–1093, Tel. 617–494–2055	Robert C. Patrick, Regional Administrator, Region 6—Ft. Worth, 819 Taylor Street, Room 8A36, Ft. Worth, TX 76102, Tel. 817–978–0550
States served: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont	States served: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Texas
Brigid Hynes-Cherin, Regional Administrator, Region 2—New York, One Bowling Green, Room 429, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2170	Mokhtee Ahmad, Regional Administrator, Region 7—Kansas City, MO, 901 Locust Street, Room 404, Kansas City, MO 64106, Tel. 816–329–3920

FTA REGIONAL OFFICES—Continued

States served: New Jersey, New York New York Metropolitan Office, Region 2—New York, One Bowling Green, Room 428, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2202	States served: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska
Letitia Thompson, Regional Administrator, Region 3—Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7100 States served: Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia Philadelphia Metropolitan Office, Region 3—Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7070 Washington, DC Metropolitan Office, 1990 K Street, NW., Room 510, Washington, DC 20006, Tel. 202–219–3562	Terry Rosapep, Regional Administrator, Region 8—Denver, 12300 West Dakota Ave., Suite 310, Lakewood, CO 80228–2583, Tel. 720–963–3300 States served: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming. Leslie T. Rogers, Regional Administrator, Region 9—San Francisco, 201 Mission Street, Room 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105–1926, Tel. 415–744–3133 States served: American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada, and the Northern Mariana Islands
Yvette Taylor, Regional Administrator, Region 4—Atlanta, 230 Peachtreet Street, NW., Suite 800, Atlanta, GA 30303, Tel. 404–865–5600 States served: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virgin Islands	Los Angeles Metropolitan Office, Region 9—Los Angeles, 888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1850, Los Angeles, CA 90017–1850, Tel. 213–202–3952 Rick Krochalis, Regional Administrator, Region 10—Seattle, Jackson Federal Building, 915 Second Avenue, Suite 3142, Seattle, WA 98174–1002, Tel. 206–220–7954 States served: Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington
Marisol Simon, Regional Administrator, Region 5—Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789, States served: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin Chicago Metropolitan Office, Region 5–Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789	

BILLING CODE 4910–57–P

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 1

FY 2011 APPROPRIATIONS AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR GRANT PROGRAMS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

FORMULA GRANTS	
Section 5303 Metropolitan Transportation Planning Program	
Total Available	$39,790,936
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(198,955)
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$39,591,981
Section 5304 Statewide Transportation Planning Program	
Total Available	$8,312,227
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(41,561)
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$8,270,666
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program	
Total Available	$1,763,230,999
Less Oversight (three-fourths percent)	(13,224,232)
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$1,750,006,767
Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization	
Total Available	$706,290,063
Less Oversight (one percent)	(7,062,901)
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$699,227,162
Individuals with Disabilities Program	
Total Available	$56,579,492
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(282,897)
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$56,296,595
Section 5311 Nonurbanized Area Formula Program	
Total Available	$186,775,896
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(985,373)
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$185,790,523
Section 5311(b)(3) Rural Transit Assistance Program (RTAP)	
Total Available	$3,941,493
Less Amount Reserved for National RTAP	(591,224)
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$3,350,269
Section 5311(c) Public Transportation on Indian Reservations	$6,357,246

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 1

FY 2011 APPROPRIATIONS AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR GRANT PROGRAMS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute Program	
Total Available	$69,717,801
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$69,717,801
Section 5317 New Freedom Program	
Total Available	$39,203,019
Reapportioned Funds	
Total Apportioned	$39,203,019
Section 5340 Growing States and High Density States Formula	
Total Available	$197,074,635
Total Apportioned	$197,074,635 1/
TOTAL APPROPRIATION (Above Grant Programs)	**$3,077,273,807**
TOTAL APPORTIONMENT/ALLOCATION (Above Grant Programs)	**$3,054,886,664**

1/ Apportionments derived from the Section 5340 formula are combined with the Section 5307 or Section 5311 apportionments, as appropriate, in accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 2

FY 2011 SECTION 5303 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM AND SECTION 5304 STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

STATE	SECTION 5303 APPORTIONMENT	SECTION 5304 APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	$299,695	$78,257
Alaska	158,368	41,353
Arizona	791,799	157,552
Arkansas	158,368	41,353
California	6,228,514	1,210,204
Colorado	593,354	129,526
Connecticut	439,792	114,833
Delaware	158,368	41,353
District/Col	158,368	41,353
Florida	2,587,355	543,028
Georgia	1,020,498	201,976
Hawaii	158,368	41,353
Idaho	158,368	41,353
Illinois	2,195,635	392,552
Indiana	596,479	137,507
Iowa	172,108	44,941
Kansas	201,236	48,692
Kentucky	251,159	63,162
Louisiana	392,381	102,220
Maine	158,368	41,353
Maryland	887,473	173,246
Massachusetts	1,166,032	227,172
Michigan	1,302,520	265,206
Minnesota	555,862	109,320
Mississippi	158,368	41,353
Missouri	586,298	124,595
Montana	158,368	41,353
Nebraska	158,368	41,353
Nevada	289,860	67,578
New Hampshire	158,368	41,353
New Jersey	1,836,667	312,583
New Mexico	158,368	41,353
New York	3,500,744	625,047
North Carolina	580,626	151,614
North Dakota	158,368	41,353
Ohio	1,259,953	294,744
Oklahoma	229,053	59,811
Oregon	352,353	79,665
Pennsylvania	1,626,610	331,015
Puerto Rico	656,965	140,158
Rhode Island	163,639	41,353
South Carolina	289,292	75,540
South Dakota	158,368	41,353
Tennessee	457,712	119,519
Texas	2,906,347	596,474
Utah	269,879	70,471
Vermont	158,368	41,353
Virginia	898,491	190,010
Washington	843,980	173,502
West Virginia	158,368	41,353
Wisconsin	469,365	114,591
Wyoming	158,367	41,354
TOTAL	**$39,591,981**	**$8,270,666**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 3

FY 2011 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Lakeland, FL	1,059,489
Leesburg--Eustis, FL	536,965
North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	603,455
Ocala, FL	500,550
Panama City, FL	640,634
St. Augustine, FL	276,474
Titusville, FL	450,777
Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	614,960
Winter Haven, FL	781,088
Zephyrhills, FL	261,655
GEORGIA	$4,423,622
Albany, GA	594,567
Athens-Clarke County, GA	802,388
Brunswick, GA	243,951
Dalton, GA	261,420
Gainesville, GA	392,602
Hinesville, GA	282,046
Macon, GA	725,237
Rome, GA	404,940
Valdosta, GA	296,978
Warner Robins, GA	419,493
HAWAII	$1,041,316
Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	1,041,316
IDAHO	$1,923,520
Coeur d'Alene, ID	410,753
Idaho Falls, ID	402,609
Lewiston, ID--WA	174,163
Nampa, ID	566,504
Pocatello, ID	369,491
ILLINOIS	$5,278,835
Alton, IL	444,435
Beloit, WI--IL	69,278
Bloomington--Normal, IL	909,131
Champaign, IL	1,214,081
Danville, IL	284,212
Decatur, IL	597,230
DeKalb, IL	403,011
Dubuque, IA--IL	14,061
Kankakee, IL	515,158
Springfield, IL	828,238
INDIANA	$4,924,327
Anderson, IN	494,361
Bloomington, IN	659,769
Columbus, IN	283,562
Elkhart, IN--MI	688,365
Kokomo, IN	403,398
Lafayette, IN	938,129
Michigan City, IN--MI	375,719
Muncie, IN	644,208
Terre Haute, IN	436,816

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 3

FY 2011 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
La Crosse, WI--MN	617,701
Oshkosh, WI	583,130
Racine, WI	884,974
Sheboygan, WI	509,672
Wausau, WI	374,531
WYOMING	$741,226
Casper, WY	347,996
Cheyenne, WY	393,230
Total	**$199,359,994**

1/ Language in section 5307(l) of SAFETEA-LU directs that the Virgin Islands be treated as an urbanized area.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 3A

URBANIZED AREAS 200,000 OR MORE IN POPULATION ELIGIBLE TO USE SECTION 5307 FUNDS FOR OPERATING ASSISTANCE

State	2000 Census Urbanized Area Description	Population	FY 2002 Apportionment	FY 2011 Apportionment Operating Limitation a/
AL	Huntsville, AL	213,253	$1,677,473	$838,737
CA	Antioch, CA	217,591	$1,914,688	$957,344
CA	Indio--Cathedral City--Palm Springs, CA	254,856	$1,849,608	$924,804
	(Indio-Coachella, CA -- $621,797)			
	(Palm Springs, CA -- $1,227,811)			
CA	Lancaster--Palmdale, CA	263,532	$2,206,544	$1,103,272
CA	Santa Rosa, CA	285,408	$2,636,339	$1,318,170
CA	Victorville--Hesperia--Apple Valley, CA	200,436	$1,311,837	$655,919
CA	Temecula--Murrieta, CA	229,810	----------	$623,817
CO	Fort Collins, CO	206,757	$1,156,197	$578,099
CT	Bridgeport--Stamford, CT--NY	888,890	$9,676,425	$4,838,213
	(Stamford, CT-NY -- $5,332,860)			
	(Norwalk, CT -- $4,343,565)			
CT	Hartford, CT	851,535	$2,824,453	$1,412,227
	(Bristol, CT -- $983,277)			
	(New Britain, CT -- $1,841,176)			
FL	Port St. Lucie, FL	270,774	$1,982,206	$991,103
	(Fort Pierce, FL -- $1,142,501)			
	(Stuart, FL -- $839,705)			
FL	Bonita Springs--Naples, FL	221,251	$954,953	$477,477
FL	Tallahassee, FL	204,260	$1,617,975	$808,988
GA	Savannah, GA	208,886	$1,824,225	$912,113
ID	Boise City, ID	272,625	$2,021,464	$1,010,732
IL	Round Lake Beach--McHenry--Grayslake, IL--WI	226,848	$1,088,609	$544,305
IL	Chicago, IL--IN	8,307,904	$6,599,240	$3,299,620
	(Aurora, IL -- $2,290,318)			
	(Crystal Lake, IL -- $746,464)			
	(Elgin, IL -- $1,652,124)			
	(Joliet, IL -- $1,910,334)			
IN	Evansville, IN--KY	211,989	$2,251,898	$1,125,949
MA	Barnstable Town, MA	243,667	$538,120	$269,060
MA	Boston, MA--NH--RI	4,032,484	$4,760,673	$2,380,337
	(Brockton, MA -- $1,906,558)			
	(Lowell, MA-NH -- $2,366,926)			
	(Taunton, MA -- $487,189)			
MD	Baltimore, MD	2,076,354	$858,335	$429,168
	(Annapolis, MD -- $858,335)			
MO	Springfield, MO	215,004	$1,748,930	$874,465
MS	Gulfport--Biloxi, MS	205,754	$1,687,127	$843,564
NC	Winston-Salem, NC	299,290	$1,811,413	$905,707
NC	Asheville, NC	221,570	$968,044	$484,022
NC	Greensboro, NC	267,884	$2,211,540	$1,105,770
NE	Lincoln, NE	226,582	$2,658,761	$1,329,381
NJ	Atlantic City, NJ	227,180	$1,842,968	$921,484
NY	Poughkeepsie--Newburgh, NY	351,982	$2,225,147	$1,112,574
	(Poughkeepsie, NY -- $1,507,504)			
	(Newburgh, NY -- $717,643)			

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 3A

URBANIZED AREAS 200,000 OR MORE IN POPULATION ELIGIBLE TO USE SECTION 5307 FUNDS FOR OPERATING ASSISTANCE

State	2000 Census Urbanized Area Description	Population	FY 2002 Apportionment	FY 2011 Apportionment Operating Limitation a/
OH	Youngstown, OH--PA	417,437	$465,043	$232,522
	(Sharon, PA-OH -- $465,043)			
OH	Cincinnati, OH--KY--IN	1,503,262	$1,384,842	$692,421
	(Hamilton, OH -- $1,384,842)			
OR	Eugene, OR	224,049	$2,559,936	$1,279,968
OR	Salem, OR	207,229	$2,070,221	$1,035,111
PA	Reading, PA	240,264	$2,636,837	$1,318,419
PA	Lancaster, PA	323,554	$2,258,871	$1,129,436
PR	Aguadilla--Isabela--San Sebastian, PR	299,086	$1,148,984	$574,492
PR	San Juan, PR	2,216,616	$5,925,223	$2,962,612
	(Caguas, PR -- $2,811,557)			
	(Cayey, PR -- $831,273)			
	(Humacao, PR -- $719,451)			
	(Vega Baja-Manati, PR -- $1,562,942)			
RI	Providence, RI--MA	1,174,548	$2,695,482	$1,347,741
	(Newport, RI -- $644,329)			
	(Fall River, MA-RI -- $2,051,153)			
TX	Lubbock, TX	202,225	$1,939,424	$969,712
TX	Denton--Lewisville, TX	299,823	$1,291,722	$645,861
	(Denton, TX -- $599,570)			
	(Lewisville, TX -- $692,152)			
VA	Richmond, VA	818,836	$1,016,957	$508,479
	(Petersburg, VA -- $1,016,957)			

a/ The amount shown represents the amount allowable based on funding provided in the Continuing Appropriations and Surface Transportation Extension Act, 2011 - P.L. 111-322. In cases where an urbanized area's FY 2011 available apportionment is less than the allowable amount, FTA will set the operating assistance budget, in TEAM-Web, at an amount not to exceed the FY 2011 available apportionment.

Note: For informational purposes, the affected 1990 census small urbanized areas (less than 200,000 population) that were merged into an existing urbanized area of at least 200,000 population are shown in parentheses immediately below the eligible 2000 census urbanized area. FTA is unable to identify the urbanized areas which now incorporate rural areas that received Section 5311 in FY 2002 and they are not included in this table.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 4

FY 2011 SECTION 5307 APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Distribution of Available Funds

Of the funds made available to the Section 5307 program, a one percent takedown is authorized for Small Transit Intensive Cities. This amount is apportioned to the Governors based on a separate formula that uses criteria related to specific service performance categories.

The remaining funds are apportioned to small, medium, and large sized urbanized areas (UZAs). 9.32% is made available for UZAs 50,000-199,999 in population, and 90.68% to UZAs 200,000 or more in population.

UZA Population and Weighting Factors

50,000-199,999 in population :
(Apportioned to Governors)

9.32% of available Section 5307 funds

50% apportioned based on population

50% apportioned based on population x population density

200,000 and greater in population:
(Apportioned to UZAs)

90.68% of available Section 5307 funds

33.29% (Fixed Guideway Tier*)

95.61% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)

--- at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater

60% - fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles

40% - fixed guideway route miles

4.39% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)

-- at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater

-- fixed guideway passenger miles x fixed guideway passenger miles/operating cost

66.71% ("Bus" Tier)

90.8% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)

73.39% for UZAs with population 1,000,000 or greater

50% - bus revenue vehicle miles

25% - population

25% - population x population density

26.61% for UZAs pop. < 1,000,000

50% - bus revenue vehicle miles

25% - population

25% - population x density

9.2% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)

-- bus passenger miles x bus passenger miles/operating cost

* Includes all fixed guideway modes, such as heavy rail, commuter rail, light rail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, inclined plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, ferryboats, exclusive busways, and HOV lanes.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 5

FISCAL YEAR 2011 FORMULA PROGRAMS APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUES

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

	APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUE
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Bus Tier	
Urbanized Areas Over 1,000,000:	
Population	$1.46590492
Population x Density	$0.00037195
Bus Revenue Vehicle Mile	$0.17644878
Urbanized Areas Under 1,000,000:	
Population	$1.34344416
Population x Density	$0.00058781
Bus Revenue Vehicle Mile	$0.22681604
Bus Incentive (PM denotes Passenger Mile):	
Bus PM x Bus PM = / Operating Cost	$0.00401656
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Fixed Guideway Tier	
Fixed Guideway Revenue Vehicle Mile	$0.25663615
Fixed Guideway Route Mile	$13,462
Commuter Rail Floor	$3,750,003
Fixed Guideway Incentive:	
Fixed Guideway PM x Fixed Guideway PM = / Operating Cost	$0.00029020
Commuter Rail Incentive Floor	$172,184
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Areas Under 200,000	
Population	$2.70205024
Population x Density	$0.00134412
Section 5307 Small Transit Intensive Cities	
For Each Qualifying Performance Category	$55,976
Section 5311 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Areas Under 50,000	
Population	$2.07523141

Section 5309 Capital Program - Fixed Guideway Modernization

	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	Tier 5	Tier 6	Tier 7
Legislatively Specified Areas:						
Revenue Vehicle Mile	$0.03043443	-------	$0.13671435	$0.00000000	$0.00000000	$0.00000000
Route Mile	$2,122.43	-------	$7,825.39	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Urbanized Areas:						
Revenue Vehicle Mile	$0.16288440	$0.00576164	$0.13671435	$0.00000000	$0.00000000	$0.00000000
Route Mile	$4,758.70	$168.33	$7,825.39	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00

Notes:

1. Unit values for Section 5307 do not take into account Section 5340 funding added to the program.

2. The unit value for Section 5311 is based on the total nonurbanized/rural population for the States and territories. It does not take into account Section 5311 funds allocated based on land area in nonurbanized areas, or Section 5340 funding added to the program.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	1.000	14.286	4.836	0.339	4.836	0.916	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	0.954	11.935	9.117	0.729	8.699	2.973	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.996	18.712	14.461	0.770	14.407	1.090	1	55,976
Alabama	Florence, AL	2.035	23.636	7.524	0.648	15.312	4.989	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.334	68.246	8.318	0.528	36.046	6.790	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.352	39.894	2.786	0.234	9.338	1.784	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.947	81.729	11.405	0.690	56.423	7.652	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	1.102	18.422	6.923	0.414	7.631	1.728	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.669	49.560	12.762	0.945	46.821	18.444	3	167,927
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ--CA	1.276	28.071	12.824	0.583	16.364	3.804	1	55,976
Arkansas	Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	3.726	43.905	4.562	0.387	16.999	8.837	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR--OK	2.274	30.356	4.264	0.319	9.698	2.110	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	10.429	280.642	5.000	0.186	52.144	1.805	2	111,951
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.696	83.982	14.213	0.964	80.956	14.933	2	111,951
California	Davis, CA	9.358	117.848	17.361	1.379	162.465	56.523	6	335,853
California	El Centro, CA	12.772	245.026	13.554	0.707	173.111	9.520	4	223,902
California	Fairfield, CA	4.613	92.027	13.016	0.653	60.048	6.792	1	55,976
California	Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.232	177.199	76.899	2.271	402.363	21.878	5	279,878
California	Hemet, CA	2.147	41.743	6.344	0.326	13.622	1.500	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.914	54.524	5.225	0.375	20.454	4.175	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.658	17.602	6.077	0.572	10.075	3.523	0	0
California	Lompoc, CA	10.073	139.170	8.540	0.618	86.029	5.304	2	111,951
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
California	Merced, CA	1.856	36.763	7.263	0.367	13.484	2.240	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.370	29.145	8.217	0.668	19.471	5.157	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.875	50.613	7.433	0.569	28.802	4.964	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.513	84.564	7.586	0.495	41.822	9.557	0	0
California	Redding, CA	4.502	72.411	10.435	0.649	46.978	8.557	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	6.949	114.338	8.887	0.540	61.761	9.252	2	111,951
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	6.032	91.945	13.215	0.867	79.710	22.014	3	167,927
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.700	175.191	16.670	1.208	211.717	43.047	6	335,853
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.642	202.302	16.000	0.921	186.278	17.929	6	335,853
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.850	139.422	22.336	1.578	220.017	36.161	6	335,853
California	Santa Maria, CA	9.861	168.151	10.193	0.598	100.512	11.087	3	167,927
California	Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	6.003	97.695	19.123	1.175	114.795	17.310	4	223,902
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.678	51.714	5.757	0.409	21.176	4.250	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.837	29.548	20.053	1.247	36.843	17.070	3	167,927
California	Vacaville, CA	8.383	157.729	0.641	0.034	5.372	0.551	2	111,951
California	Vallejo, CA	5.482	81.997	7.839	0.524	42.975	5.727	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.298	59.795	12.710	0.914	54.627	13.199	2	111,951
California	Watsonville, CA	8.530	127.490	9.482	0.634	80.880	12.782	2	111,951
California	Yuba City, CA	6.213	94.617	11.696	0.768	72.673	10.740	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.035	124.344	25.814	1.876	233.237	45.979	6	335,853
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.696	74.522	8.884	0.560	41.720	9.405	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.874	46.962	5.663	0.467	21.938	5.922	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette--Louisville, CO	8.788	122.484	6.783	0.487	59.608	11.883	2	111,951
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.546	116.284	15.124	1.111	129.244	25.071	6	335,853
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	3.638	56.583	6.671	0.429	24.273	7.735	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT--NY	29.205	791.504	35.247	1.301	1029.388	42.032	6	335,853
Connecticut	Norwich--New London, CT	6.150	115.480	9.421	0.502	57.944	7.170	1	55,976
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.325	672.900	29.844	1.256	845.338	42.940	6	335,853
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.151	54.532	31.655	1.829	99.758	13.450	3	167,927
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.904	36.391	5.392	0.282	10.269	1.603	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.262	49.465	7.476	0.493	24.386	4.703	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.353	18.763	6.857	0.495	9.279	1.645	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.042	92.668	19.772	1.716	159.007	56.299	5	279,878
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	5.035	75.806	13.767	0.914	69.321	11.220	2	111,951
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.583	45.575	11.361	0.644	29.342	2.013	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.548	68.513	9.097	0.604	41.371	7.866	0	0
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.720	49.263	12.612	0.696	34.307	2.288	1	55,976
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.091	18.606	4.016	0.236	4.382	0.566	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	1.160	18.800	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	3.146	56.848	9.483	0.525	29.832	6.458	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.688	48.517	7.515	0.416	20.199	2.764	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.194	197.307	21.148	0.664	131.000	5.403	3	167,927
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.771	45.816	6.853	0.564	25.845	5.331	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	2.163	35.644	11.105	0.674	24.024	3.512	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.069	70.135	6.737	0.391	27.414	4.222	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	7.184	116.990	6.735	0.414	48.381	10.083	2	111,951
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.769	56.893	14.648	1.743	99.148	105.901	5	279,878
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.752	10.176	3.181	0.235	2.390	1.628	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	3.459	47.963	8.334	0.601	28.828	6.732	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	8.156	93.311	9.694	0.847	79.071	12.322	2	111,951
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	12.124	167.053	2.157	0.157	26.146	4.781	2	111,951
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	1.715	22.424	8.142	0.623	13.961	1.940	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	4.797	106.453	5.939	0.268	28.491	2.154	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.679	65.095	7.830	0.563	36.638	7.486	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	5.329	94.453	4.037	0.228	21.517	2.613	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington--Normal, IL	3.124	45.130	13.151	0.910	41.076	14.617	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	10.106	114.839	24.952	2.196	252.170	81.691	6	335,853
Illinois	Danville, IL	4.987	93.323	9.684	0.518	48.297	9.736	0	0
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.437	47.572	11.611	0.839	39.906	13.388	1	55,976
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.574	23.465	11.215	0.752	17.650	2.147	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.110	74.425	13.897	0.954	71.014	9.738	2	111,951
Illinois	Springfield, IL	3.004	37.531	10.211	0.817	30.676	11.046	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	2.032	26.911	4.203	0.317	8.540	1.846	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	6.058	64.657	12.244	1.147	74.179	33.062	2	111,951
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Elkhart, IN--MI	2.596	39.952	5.563	0.361	14.438	2.416	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.235	11.876	9.430	0.981	11.650	2.524	1	55,976
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.032	68.558	12.658	1.114	76.359	37.711	3	167,927
Indiana	Michigan City, IN--MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.543	72.347	11.685	0.895	64.771	21.323	2	111,951
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.451	12.385	4.883	0.572	7.084	3.983	0	0
Iowa	Ames, IA	6.857	72.329	22.166	2.101	151.992	98.611	5	279,878
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	4.779	60.927	8.453	0.663	40.401	8.064	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA--IL	2.059	24.198	8.284	0.705	17.057	5.423	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.539	62.388	23.821	2.115	131.958	77.497	4	223,902
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	8.385	106.001	6.905	0.546	57.898	11.246	1	55,976
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	1.062	16.734	9.514	0.604	10.108	5.083	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.892	34.153	11.608	0.983	33.572	12.316	1	55,976
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.175	65.029	10.832	0.695	45.222	11.648	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	5.018	80.419	8.270	0.516	41.499	10.646	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.119	121.277	4.789	0.360	43.674	8.358	2	111,951
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville--Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	4.585	69.822	7.382	0.485	33.848	10.575	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	6.049	80.878	10.327	0.772	62.464	14.958	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.043	39.016	4.747	0.370	14.445	4.645	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.137	69.703	8.400	0.740	51.550	12.854	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3.344	60.890	4.305	0.236	14.397	1.737	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	3.495	53.302	8.883	0.582	31.043	3.398	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.241	45.419	9.771	0.697	31.665	6.647	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3.017	45.423	4.000	0.266	12.068	3.204	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	0.000	0.000	32.947	1.582	0.000	8.610	2	111,951
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.884	63.606	8.304	0.507	32.249	3.836	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1.416	18.396	11.878	0.915	16.825	2.048	1	55,976
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2.560	42.924	24.469	1.459	62.631	7.678	2	111,951
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.891	61.641	5.145	0.408	25.162	5.457	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.828	52.778	16.353	1.186	62.595	7.967	2	111,951
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.960	52.370	6.545	0.495	25.917	6.945	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.731	50.742	19.021	1.024	51.952	8.250	2	111,951
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	1.898	21.336	7.137	0.635	13.546	2.797	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	1.108	13.897	8.664	0.691	9.600	3.413	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.404	35.533	8.602	0.582	20.682	6.341	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	3.859	45.441	10.893	0.925	42.037	13.934	1	55,976
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.742	34.833	9.090	0.716	24.929	5.569	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.889	48.438	5.127	0.412	19.939	4.633	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.528	23.896	21.161	1.353	32.343	11.412	2	111,951
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.611	75.934	5.728	0.348	26.411	7.480	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	2.391	47.311	5.058	0.256	12.094	0.864	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	6.992	91.508	16.485	1.260	115.268	27.047	5	279,878
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.232	81.424	12.731	0.818	66.608	17.797	2	111,951
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.446	72.968	17.707	1.321	96.423	26.082	3	167,927
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	7.223	381.146	4.422	0.084	31.939	0.716	2	111,951
Missouri	Columbia, MO	4.931	48.836	7.771	0.785	38.321	23.263	1	55,976
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	1.979	30.049	10.051	0.662	19.887	7.118	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.856	28.036	0.398	0.026	0.738	0.114	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO--KS	2.341	28.018	10.754	0.899	25.179	5.015	1	55,976
Montana	Billings, MT	3.941	51.514	7.166	0.548	28.243	7.330	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.543	19.917	8.508	0.659	13.129	6.155	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.428	50.628	12.318	0.834	42.224	17.085	2	111,951
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	8.349	128.003	7.236	0.472	60.412	11.960	2	111,951
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.553	27.315	3.742	0.350	9.554	3.787	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH--MA	4.914	65.242	2.453	0.185	12.056	2.367	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH--ME	8.359	128.218	5.477	0.357	45.782	9.147	2	111,951
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.006	57.597	0.481	0.033	1.928	0.448	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	1.335	20.495	5.621	0.366	7.505	1.085	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	1.335	20.495	17.653	1.150	23.572	3.407	2	111,951
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.281	37.349	6.696	0.588	21.966	6.861	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	3.180	39.960	16.206	1.290	51.539	11.577	2	111,951
New York	Binghamton, NY--PA	4.943	71.385	16.923	1.172	83.655	20.049	3	167,928
New York	Elmira, NY	3.157	55.935	14.230	0.803	44.922	10.351	1	55,976
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.456	56.081	5.765	0.355	19.923	5.544	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.262	66.552	39.592	2.536	168.747	63.776	4	223,902
New York	Kingston, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	0.894	8.953	6.237	0.623	5.576	2.653	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	3.340	39.244	9.111	0.775	30.433	10.471	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	1.939	0.044	0.000	0.492	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	3.137	54.463	4.244	0.244	13.315	1.290	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	1.664	29.883	11.550	0.643	19.217	8.013	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	1.812	27.385	11.971	0.792	21.693	9.216	0	0
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.190	17.240	12.721	0.878	15.141	4.025	2	111,951
North Dakota	Fargo, ND--MN	4.771	61.629	8.958	0.694	42.741	13.582	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND--MN	2.085	20.370	11.350	1.162	23.667	5.892	1	55,976
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain--Elyria, OH	3.094	54.397	5.628	0.320	17.416	2.881	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	2.792	32.974	3.994	0.338	11.153	3.600	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	3.983	56.908	2.646	0.185	10.541	2.102	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	0.885	14.789	14.140	0.846	12.517	2.063	2	111,951
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.482	14.713	4.982	0.502	7.384	1.646	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	2.519	28.633	3.042	0.268	7.661	4.203	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.769	40.886	7.671	0.520	21.245	4.795	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	5.294	60.834	6.549	0.570	34.671	15.264	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.610	5.592	5.526	0.603	3.371	5.661	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	8.295	117.864	6.801	0.479	56.413	11.811	2	111,951
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.964	101.819	7.482	0.438	44.628	8.606	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	4.093	51.535	6.217	0.494	25.443	7.359	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.688	44.564	15.632	1.294	57.647	16.819	3	167,927
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.647	72.208	9.495	0.874	63.110	15.828	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.489	59.031	12.638	0.747	44.093	5.802	1	55,976
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	13.956	189.769	4.836	0.356	67.491	2.863	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.680	150.260	24.859	1.767	265.493	99.558	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	1.516	26.520	23.450	1.341	35.552	4.233	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.472	112.841	14.307	0.947	106.906	22.104	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.148	43.826	13.379	0.961	42.120	7.846	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	3.160	36.365	13.204	1.147	41.728	9.413	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	3.533	41.489	24.297	2.069	85.840	18.507	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	3.558	39.479	4.045	0.365	14.394	3.206	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	4.427	44.348	13.130	1.311	58.127	14.079	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	3.851	39.866	14.311	1.382	55.110	13.762	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	3.146	27.913	21.686	2.444	68.224	19.496	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	3.767	32.405	7.482	0.870	28.187	9.114	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	3.399	39.932	10.104	0.860	34.342	7.285	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	3.345	36.870	17.738	1.609	59.337	12.253	2	111,951
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.576	60.301	39.269	1.677	101.144	4.809	3	167,927
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.277	32.732	5.172	0.360	11.776	2.173	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	2.706	38.062	6.690	0.476	18.104	3.770	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	3.327	62.784	26.953	1.428	89.665	5.869	2	111,951
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.424	26.356	7.094	0.652	17.193	4.536	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.044	64.131	10.654	0.838	53.735	8.484	1	55,976
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.182	49.586	10.710	0.687	34.073	6.128	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.654	6.395	4.477	0.458	2.929	1.001	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.304	44.145	11.925	0.892	39.399	8.853	1	55,976
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.621	41.649	5.910	0.514	21.397	5.703	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	1.804	17.660	4.104	0.419	7.403	2.025	0	0
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.467	32.444	9.651	0.734	23.806	5.432	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.742	26.239	4.794	0.318	8.349	1.991	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.516	48.525	6.232	0.452	21.913	4.678	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	14.240	168.529	5.961	0.504	84.883	9.950	2	111,951
Texas	College Station--Bryan, TX	1.290	21.963	4.845	0.285	6.248	2.767	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	3.678	39.935	7.737	0.712	28.453	9.128	0	0
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.505	5.943	0.579	0.049	0.292	0.058	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Texas	Killeen, TX	2.823	47.247	5.393	0.322	15.224	1.860	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1.947	32.631	1.636	0.098	3.185	0.190	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.097	76.229	10.796	1.005	76.616	22.987	3	167,927
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	1.236	19.476	7.786	0.494	9.625	1.292	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	14.002	3.818	0.250	3.506	1.937	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.919	14.010	4.112	0.270	3.778	2.075	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	3.471	54.362	3.051	0.195	10.591	1.297	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.393	21.442	13.106	0.851	18.255	3.560	2	111,951
Texas	Sherman, TX	2.274	37.095	9.432	0.578	21.447	1.712	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.519	21.528	7.682	0.542	11.668	1.978	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	1.499	27.934	3.237	0.174	4.852	0.366	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	33.409	893.664	4.378	0.164	146.251	4.067	3	167,927
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.024	14.161	10.461	0.756	10.707	4.613	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	3.681	57.430	6.330	0.406	23.297	4.350	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	5.995	88.075	10.918	0.743	65.457	21.315	1	55,976
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	6.077	84.982	15.599	1.115	94.791	24.220	3	167,927
Virgin Islands	Virgin Islands	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	7.574	81.380	19.442	1.809	147.248	62.029	5	279,878
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	3.233	40.420	27.023	2.162	87.368	27.689	3	167,927
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.863	61.296	6.708	0.423	25.914	5.012	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	4.219	78.838	11.578	0.620	48.843	5.478	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	8.399	82.943	10.497	1.063	88.165	32.472	3	167,927
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.200	41.552	13.483	1.038	43.139	30.163	3	167,927
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.269	70.769	9.177	0.683	48.352	10.178	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.939	92.286	38.391	2.471	228.016	70.072	4	223,902
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.557	106.762	21.636	1.126	120.223	20.914	4	223,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Washington	Kennewick--Richland, WA	7.058	169.159	65.952	2.752	465.492	35.730	6	335,853
Washington	Longview, WA--OR	5.394	60.244	6.233	0.558	33.624	8.179	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	5.761	93.885	10.262	0.630	59.124	8.750	0	0
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	4.921	96.172	30.412	1.556	149.649	12.425	3	167,927
Washington	Olympia--Lacey, WA	6.667	128.871	46.012	2.380	306.741	35.649	6	335,854
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.045	87.017	13.462	1.090	94.833	10.304	3	167,927
Washington	Yakima, WA	5.017	75.843	14.733	0.975	73.918	13.571	2	111,951
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.578	90.868	14.991	0.920	83.618	13.622	2	111,951
West Virginia	Huntington, WV--KY--OH	2.680	39.710	7.130	0.481	19.107	4.671	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1.175	18.818	16.483	1.029	19.371	17.373	3	167,927
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV--OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV--OH	1.707	20.672	8.021	0.662	13.688	4.922	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.000	29.208	10.528	0.721	21.056	6.015	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI--IL	2.908	44.549	7.810	0.510	22.710	5.439	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.932	40.108	12.144	0.888	35.610	11.159	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.985	12.501	7.846	0.618	7.725	3.774	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.025	43.134	8.412	0.590	25.450	7.598	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	3.601	55.140	7.606	0.497	27.394	7.031	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.299	61.503	10.697	0.748	45.985	15.181	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI--MN	3.093	40.538	14.226	1.086	44.005	14.173	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.224	45.794	13.198	0.929	42.544	14.223	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	3.864	49.378	10.169	0.796	39.290	11.027	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.771	23.622	11.374	0.853	20.143	7.556	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.902	56.880	11.603	0.796	45.279	12.053	0	0
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.020	7.499	0.680	7.499	2.984	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.659	39.452	7.146	0.482	18.998	4.007	0	0
							Total	315	$17,632,310

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	1.000	14.286	4.836	0.339	4.836	0.916	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	0.954	11.935	9.117	0.729	8.699	2.973	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.996	18.712	14.461	0.770	14.407	1.090	1	55,976
Alabama	Florence, AL	2.035	23.636	7.524	0.648	15.312	4.989	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.334	68.246	8.318	0.528	36.046	6.790	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.352	39.894	2.786	0.234	9.338	1.784	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.947	81.729	11.405	0.690	56.423	7.652	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	1.102	18.422	6.923	0.414	7.631	1.728	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.669	49.560	12.762	0.945	46.821	18.444	3	167,927
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ--CA	1.276	28.071	12.824	0.583	16.364	3.804	1	55,976
Arkansas	Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	3.726	43.905	4.562	0.387	16.999	8.837	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR--OK	2.274	30.356	4.264	0.319	9.698	2.110	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	10.429	280.642	5.000	0.186	52.144	1.805	2	111,951
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.696	83.982	14.213	0.964	80.956	14.933	2	111,951
California	Davis, CA	9.358	117.848	17.361	1.379	162.465	56.523	6	335,853
California	El Centro, CA	12.772	245.026	13.554	0.707	173.111	9.520	4	223,902
California	Fairfield, CA	4.613	92.027	13.016	0.653	60.048	6.792	1	55,976
California	Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.232	177.199	76.899	2.271	402.363	21.878	5	279,878
California	Hemet, CA	2.147	41.743	6.344	0.326	13.622	1.500	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.914	54.524	5.225	0.375	20.454	4.175	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.658	17.602	6.077	0.572	10.075	3.523	0	0
California	Lompoc, CA	10.073	139.170	8.540	0.618	86.029	5.304	2	111,951
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
California	Merced, CA	1.856	36.763	7.263	0.367	13.484	2.240	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.370	29.145	8.217	0.668	19.471	5.157	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.875	50.613	7.433	0.569	28.802	4.964	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.513	84.564	7.586	0.495	41.822	9.557	0	0
California	Redding, CA	4.502	72.411	10.435	0.649	46.978	8.557	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	6.949	114.338	8.887	0.540	61.761	9.252	2	111,951
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	6.032	91.945	13.215	0.867	79.710	22.014	3	167,927
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.700	175.191	16.670	1.208	211.717	43.047	6	335,853
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.642	202.302	16.000	0.921	186.278	17.929	6	335,853
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.850	139.422	22.336	1.578	220.017	36.161	6	335,853
California	Santa Maria, CA	9.861	168.151	10.193	0.598	100.512	11.087	3	167,927
California	Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	6.003	97.695	19.123	1.175	114.795	17.310	4	223,902
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.678	51.714	5.757	0.409	21.176	4.250	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.837	29.548	20.053	1.247	36.843	17.070	3	167,927
California	Vacaville, CA	8.383	157.729	0.641	0.034	5.372	0.551	2	111,951
California	Vallejo, CA	5.482	81.997	7.839	0.524	42.975	5.727	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.298	59.795	12.710	0.914	54.627	13.199	2	111,951
California	Watsonville, CA	8.530	127.490	9.482	0.634	80.880	12.782	2	111,951
California	Yuba City, CA	6.213	94.617	11.696	0.768	72.673	10.740	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.035	124.344	25.814	1.876	233.237	45.979	6	335,853
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.696	74.522	8.884	0.560	41.720	9.405	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.874	46.962	5.663	0.467	21.938	5.922	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette--Louisville, CO	8.788	122.484	6.783	0.487	59.608	11.883	2	111,951
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.546	116.284	15.124	1.111	129.244	25.071	6	335,853
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	3.638	56.583	6.671	0.429	24.273	7.735	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT--NY	29.205	791.504	35.247	1.301	1029.388	42.032	6	335,853
Connecticut	Norwich--New London, CT	6.150	115.480	9.421	0.502	57.944	7.170	1	55,976
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.325	672.900	29.844	1.256	845.338	42.940	6	335,853
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.151	54.532	31.655	1.829	99.758	13.450	3	167,927
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.904	36.391	5.392	0.282	10.269	1.603	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.262	49.465	7.476	0.493	24.386	4.703	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.353	18.763	6.857	0.495	9.279	1.645	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.042	92.668	19.772	1.716	159.007	56.299	5	279,878
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	5.035	75.806	13.767	0.914	69.321	11.220	2	111,951
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.583	45.575	11.361	0.644	29.342	2.013	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.548	68.513	9.097	0.604	41.371	7.866	0	0
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.720	49.263	12.612	0.696	34.307	2.288	1	55,976
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.091	18.606	4.016	0.236	4.382	0.566	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	1.160	18.800	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	3.146	56.848	9.483	0.525	29.832	6.458	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.688	48.517	7.515	0.416	20.199	2.764	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.194	197.307	21.148	0.664	131.000	5.403	3	167,927
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.771	45.816	6.853	0.564	25.845	5.331	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	2.163	35.644	11.105	0.674	24.024	3.512	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.069	70.135	6.737	0.391	27.414	4.222	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	7.184	116.990	6.735	0.414	48.381	10.083	2	111,951
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.769	56.893	14.648	1.743	99.148	105.901	5	279,878
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.752	10.176	3.181	0.235	2.390	1.628	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	3.459	47.963	8.334	0.601	28.828	6.732	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	8.156	93.311	9.694	0.847	79.071	12.322	2	111,951
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	12.124	167.053	2.157	0.157	26.146	4.781	2	111,951
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	1.715	22.424	8.142	0.623	13.961	1.940	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	4.797	106.453	5.939	0.268	28.491	2.154	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.679	65.095	7.830	0.563	36.638	7.486	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	5.329	94.453	4.037	0.228	21.517	2.813	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington--Normal, IL	3.124	45.130	13.151	0.910	41.076	14.617	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	10.106	114.839	24.952	2.196	252.170	81.691	6	335,853
Illinois	Danville, IL	4.987	93.323	9.684	0.518	48.297	9.736	0	0
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.437	47.572	11.611	0.839	39.906	13.388	1	55,976
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.574	23.465	11.215	0.752	17.650	2.147	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.110	74.425	13.897	0.954	71.014	9.738	2	111,951
Illinois	Springfield, IL	3.004	37.531	10.211	0.817	30.676	11.046	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	2.032	26.911	4.203	0.317	8.540	1.846	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	6.058	64.657	12.244	1.147	74.179	33.062	2	111,951
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Elkhart, IN--MI	2.596	39.952	5.563	0.361	14.438	2.416	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.235	11.876	9.430	0.981	11.650	2.524	1	55,976
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.032	68.558	12.658	1.114	76.359	37.711	3	167,927
Indiana	Michigan City, IN--MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.543	72.347	11.685	0.895	64.771	21.323	2	111,951
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.451	12.385	4.883	0.572	7.084	3.983	0	0
Iowa	Ames, IA	6.857	72.329	22.166	2.101	151.992	98.611	5	279,878
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	4.779	60.927	8.453	0.663	40.401	8.064	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA--IL	2.059	24.198	8.284	0.705	17.057	5.423	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.539	62.388	23.821	2.115	131.958	77.497	4	223,902
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	8.385	106.001	6.905	0.546	57.898	11.246	1	55,976
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	1.062	16.734	9.514	0.604	10.108	5.083	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.892	34.153	11.608	0.983	33.572	12.316	1	55,976
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.175	65.029	10.832	0.695	45.222	11.648	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	5.018	80.419	8.270	0.516	41.499	10.646	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.119	121.277	4.789	0.360	43.674	8.358	2	111,951
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville--Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	4.585	69.822	7.382	0.485	33.848	10.575	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	6.049	80.878	10.327	0.772	62.464	14.958	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.043	39.016	4.747	0.370	14.445	4.645	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.137	69.703	8.400	0.740	51.550	12.854	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3.344	60.890	4.305	0.236	14.397	1.737	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	3.495	53.302	8.883	0.582	31.043	3.398	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.241	45.419	9.771	0.697	31.665	6.647	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3.017	45.423	4.000	0.266	12.068	3.204	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	0.000	0.000	32.947	1.582	0.000	8.610	2	111,951
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.884	63.606	8.304	0.507	32.249	3.836	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1.416	18.396	11.878	0.915	16.825	2.048	1	55,976
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2.560	42.924	24.469	1.459	62.631	7.678	2	111,951
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.891	61.641	5.145	0.408	25.162	5.457	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.828	52.778	16.353	1.186	62.595	7.967	2	111,951
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.960	52.370	6.545	0.495	25.917	6.945	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.731	50.742	19.021	1.024	51.952	8.250	2	111,951
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	1.898	21.336	7.137	0.635	13.546	2.797	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	1.108	13.897	8.664	0.691	9.600	3.413	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.404	35.533	8.602	0.582	20.682	6.341	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	3.859	45.441	10.893	0.925	42.037	13.934	1	55,976
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.742	34.833	9.090	0.716	24.929	5.569	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.889	48.438	5.127	0.412	19.939	4.833	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.528	23.896	21.161	1.353	32.343	11.412	2	111,951
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.611	75.934	5.728	0.348	26.411	7.480	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	2.391	47.311	5.058	0.256	12.094	0.864	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	6.992	91.508	16.485	1.260	115.268	27.047	5	279,878
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.232	81.424	12.731	0.818	66.608	17.797	2	111,951
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.446	72.968	17.707	1.321	96.423	26.082	3	167,927
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	7.223	381.146	4.422	0.084	31.939	0.716	2	111,951
Missouri	Columbia, MO	4.931	48.836	7.771	0.785	38.321	23.263	1	55,976
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	1.979	30.049	10.051	0.662	19.887	7.118	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.856	28.036	0.398	0.026	0.738	0.114	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO--KS	2.341	28.018	10.754	0.899	25.179	5.015	1	55,976
Montana	Billings, MT	3.941	51.514	7.166	0.548	28.243	7.330	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.543	19.917	8.508	0.659	13.129	6.155	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.428	50.628	12.318	0.834	42.224	17.085	2	111,951
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	8.349	128.003	7.236	0.472	60.412	11.960	2	111,951
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.553	27.315	3.742	0.350	9.554	3.787	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH--MA	4.914	65.242	2.453	0.185	12.056	2.367	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH--ME	8.359	128.218	5.477	0.357	45.782	9.147	2	111,951
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.006	57.597	0.481	0.033	1.928	0.448	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	1.335	20.495	5.621	0.366	7.505	1.085	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	1.335	20.495	17.653	1.150	23.572	3.407	2	111,951
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.281	37.349	6.696	0.588	21.966	6.861	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	3.180	39.960	16.206	1.290	51.539	11.577	2	111,951
New York	Binghamton, NY--PA	4.943	71.385	16.923	1.172	83.655	20.049	3	167,928
New York	Elmira, NY	3.157	55.935	14.230	0.803	44.922	10.351	1	55,976
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.456	56.081	5.765	0.355	19.923	5.544	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.262	66.552	39.592	2.536	168.747	63.776	4	223,902
New York	Kingston, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	0.894	8.953	6.237	0.623	5.576	2.653	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	3.340	39.244	9.111	0.775	30.433	10.471	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	1.939	0.044	0.000	0.492	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	3.137	54.463	4.244	0.244	13.315	1.290	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	1.664	29.883	11.550	0.643	19.217	8.013	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	1.812	27.385	11.971	0.792	21.693	9.216	0	0
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.190	17.240	12.721	0.878	15.141	4.025	2	111,951
North Dakota	Fargo, ND--MN	4.771	61.629	8.958	0.694	42.741	13.582	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND--MN	2.085	20.370	11.350	1.162	23.667	5.892	1	55,976
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain--Elyria, OH	3.094	54.397	5.628	0.320	17.416	2.881	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	2.792	32.974	3.994	0.338	11.153	3.600	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	3.983	56.908	2.646	0.185	10.541	2.102	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	0.885	14.789	14.140	0.846	12.517	2.063	2	111,951
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.482	14.713	4.982	0.502	7.384	1.646	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	2.519	28.633	3.042	0.268	7.661	4.203	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.769	40.886	7.671	0.520	21.245	4.795	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	5.294	60.834	6.549	0.570	34.671	15.264	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.610	5.592	5.526	0.603	3.371	5.661	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	8.295	117.864	6.801	0.479	56.413	11.811	2	111,951
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.964	101.819	7.482	0.438	44.628	8.606	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	4.093	51.535	6.217	0.494	25.443	7.359	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.688	44.564	15.632	1.294	57.647	16.819	3	167,927
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.647	72.208	9.495	0.874	63.110	15.828	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.489	59.031	12.638	0.747	44.093	5.802	1	55,976
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	13.956	189.769	4.836	0.356	67.491	2.863	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.680	150.260	24.859	1.767	265.493	99.558	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	1.516	26.520	23.450	1.341	35.552	4.233	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.472	112.841	14.307	0.947	106.906	22.104	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.148	43.826	13.379	0.961	42.120	7.846	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	3.160	36.365	13.204	1.147	41.728	9.413	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	3.533	41.489	24.297	2.069	85.840	18.507	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	3.558	39.479	4.045	0.365	14.394	3.206	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	4.427	44.348	13.130	1.311	58.127	14.079	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	3.851	39.866	14.311	1.382	55.110	13.762	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	3.146	27.913	21.686	2.444	68.224	19.496	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	3.767	32.405	7.482	0.870	28.187	9.114	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	3.399	39.932	10.104	0.860	34.342	7.285	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	3.345	36.870	17.738	1.609	59.337	12.253	2	111,951
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.576	60.301	39.269	1.677	101.144	4.809	3	167,927
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.277	32.732	5.172	0.360	11.776	2.173	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	2.706	38.062	6.690	0.476	18.104	3.770	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	3.327	62.784	26.953	1.428	89.665	5.869	2	111,951
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.424	26.356	7.094	0.652	17.193	4.536	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.044	64.131	10.654	0.838	53.735	8.484	1	55,976
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.182	49.586	10.710	0.687	34.073	6.128	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.654	6.395	4.477	0.458	2.929	1.001	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.304	44.145	11.925	0.892	39.399	8.853	1	55,976
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.621	41.649	5.910	0.514	21.397	5.703	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	1.804	17.660	4.104	0.419	7.403	2.025	0	0
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.467	32.444	9.651	0.734	23.806	5.432	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.742	26.239	4.794	0.318	8.349	1.991	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.516	48.525	6.232	0.452	21.913	4.678	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	14.240	168.529	5.961	0.504	84.883	9.950	2	111,951
Texas	College Station--Bryan, TX	1.290	21.963	4.845	0.285	6.248	2.767	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	3.678	39.935	7.737	0.712	28.453	9.128	0	0
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.505	5.943	0.579	0.049	0.292	0.058	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Texas	Killeen, TX	2.823	47.247	5.393	0.322	15.224	1.860	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1.947	32.631	1.636	0.098	3.185	0.190	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.097	76.229	10.796	1.005	76.616	22.987	3	167,927
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	1.236	19.476	7.786	0.494	9.625	1.292	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	14.002	3.818	0.250	3.506	1.937	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.919	14.010	4.112	0.270	3.778	2.075	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	3.471	54.362	3.051	0.195	10.591	1.297	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.393	21.442	13.106	0.851	18.255	3.560	2	111,951
Texas	Sherman, TX	2.274	37.095	9.432	0.578	21.447	1.712	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.519	21.528	7.682	0.542	11.668	1.978	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	1.499	27.934	3.237	0.174	4.852	0.366	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	33.409	893.664	4.378	0.164	146.251	4.067	3	167,927
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.024	14.161	10.461	0.756	10.707	4.613	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	3.681	57.430	6.330	0.406	23.297	4.350	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	5.995	88.075	10.918	0.743	65.457	21.315	1	55,976
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	6.077	84.982	15.599	1.115	94.791	24.220	3	167,927
Virgin Islands	Virgin Islands	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	7.574	81.380	19.442	1.809	147.248	62.029	5	279,878
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	3.233	40.420	27.023	2.162	87.368	27.689	3	167,927
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.863	61.296	6.708	0.423	25.914	5.012	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	4.219	78.838	11.578	0.620	48.843	5.478	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	8.399	82.943	10.497	1.063	88.165	32.472	3	167,927
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.200	41.552	13.483	1.038	43.139	30.163	3	167,927
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.269	70.769	9.177	0.683	48.352	10.178	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.939	92.286	38.391	2.471	228.016	70.072	4	223,902
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.557	106.762	21.636	1.126	120.223	20.914	4	223,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Washington	Kennewick--Richland, WA	7.058	169.159	65.952	2.752	465.492	35.730	6	335,853
Washington	Longview, WA--OR	5.394	60.244	6.233	0.558	33.624	8.179	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	5.761	93.885	10.262	0.630	59.124	8.750	0	0
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	4.921	96.172	30.412	1.556	149.649	12.425	3	167,927
Washington	Olympia--Lacey, WA	6.667	128.871	46.012	2.380	306.741	35.649	6	335,854
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.045	87.017	13.462	1.090	94.833	10.304	3	167,927
Washington	Yakima, WA	5.017	75.843	14.733	0.975	73.918	13.571	2	111,951
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.578	90.868	14.991	0.920	83.618	13.622	2	111,951
West Virginia	Huntington, WV--KY--OH	2.680	39.710	7.130	0.481	19.107	4.671	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1.175	18.818	16.483	1.029	19.371	17.373	3	167,927
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV--OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV--OH	1.707	20.672	8.021	0.662	13.688	4.922	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.000	29.208	10.528	0.721	21.056	6.015	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI--IL	2.908	44.549	7.810	0.510	22.710	5.439	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.932	40.108	12.144	0.888	35.610	11.159	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.985	12.501	7.846	0.618	7.725	3.774	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.025	43.134	8.412	0.590	25.450	7.598	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	3.601	55.140	7.606	0.497	27.394	7.031	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.299	61.503	10.697	0.748	45.985	15.181	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI--MN	3.093	40.538	14.226	1.086	44.005	14.173	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.224	45.794	13.198	0.929	42.544	14.223	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	3.864	49.378	10.169	0.796	39.290	11.027	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.771	23.622	11.374	0.853	20.143	7.556	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.902	56.880	11.603	0.796	45.279	12.053	0	0
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.020	7.499	0.680	7.499	2.984	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.659	39.452	7.146	0.482	18.998	4.007	0	0
						Total		315	$17,632,310

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	1.000	14.286	4.836	0.339	4.836	0.916	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	0.954	11.935	9.117	0.729	8.699	2.973	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.996	18.712	14.461	0.770	14.407	1.090	1	55,976
Alabama	Florence, AL	2.035	23.636	7.524	0.648	15.312	4.989	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.334	68.246	8.318	0.528	36.046	6.790	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.352	39.894	2.786	0.234	9.338	1.784	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.947	81.729	11.405	0.690	56.423	7.652	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	1.102	18.422	6.923	0.414	7.631	1.728	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.669	49.560	12.762	0.945	46.821	18.444	3	167,927
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ--CA	1.276	28.071	12.824	0.583	16.364	3.804	1	55,976
Arkansas	Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	3.726	43.905	4.562	0.387	16.999	8.837	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR--OK	2.274	30.356	4.264	0.319	9.698	2.110	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	10.429	280.642	5.000	0.186	52.144	1.805	2	111,951
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.696	83.982	14.213	0.964	80.956	14.933	2	111,951
California	Davis, CA	9.358	117.848	17.361	1.379	162.465	56.523	6	335,853
California	El Centro, CA	12.772	245.026	13.554	0.707	173.111	9.520	4	223,902
California	Fairfield, CA	4.613	92.027	13.016	0.653	60.048	6.792	1	55,976
California	Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.232	177.199	76.899	2.271	402.363	21.878	5	279,878
California	Hemet, CA	2.147	41.743	6.344	0.326	13.622	1.500	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.914	54.524	5.225	0.375	20.454	4.175	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.658	17.602	6.077	0.572	10.075	3.523	0	0
California	Lompoc, CA	10.073	139.170	8.540	0.618	86.029	5.304	2	111,951
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
California	Merced, CA	1.856	36.763	7.263	0.367	13.484	2.240	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.370	29.145	8.217	0.668	19.471	5.157	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.875	50.613	7.433	0.569	28.802	4.964	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.513	84.564	7.586	0.495	41.822	9.557	0	0
California	Redding, CA	4.502	72.411	10.435	0.649	46.978	8.557	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	6.949	114.338	8.887	0.540	61.761	9.252	2	111,951
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	6.032	91.945	13.215	0.867	79.710	22.014	3	167,927
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.700	175.191	16.670	1.208	211.717	43.047	6	335,853
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.642	202.302	16.000	0.921	186.278	17.929	6	335,853
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.850	139.422	22.336	1.578	220.017	36.161	6	335,853
California	Santa Maria, CA	9.861	168.151	10.193	0.598	100.512	11.087	3	167,927
California	Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	6.003	97.695	19.123	1.175	114.795	17.310	4	223,902
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.678	51.714	5.757	0.409	21.176	4.250	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.837	29.548	20.053	1.247	36.843	17.070	3	167,927
California	Vacaville, CA	8.383	157.729	0.641	0.034	5.372	0.551	2	111,951
California	Vallejo, CA	5.482	81.997	7.839	0.524	42.975	5.727	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.298	59.795	12.710	0.914	54.627	13.199	2	111,951
California	Watsonville, CA	8.530	127.490	9.482	0.634	80.880	12.782	2	111,951
California	Yuba City, CA	6.213	94.617	11.696	0.768	72.673	10.740	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.035	124.344	25.814	1.876	233.237	45.979	6	335,853
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.696	74.522	8.884	0.560	41.720	9.405	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.674	46.962	5.663	0.467	21.938	5.922	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette--Louisville, CO	8.788	122.484	6.783	0.487	59.608	11.883	2	111,951
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.546	116.284	15.124	1.111	129.244	25.071	6	335,853
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	3.638	56.583	6.671	0.429	24.273	7.735	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT--NY	29.205	791.504	35.247	1.301	1029.388	42.032	6	335,853
Connecticut	Norwich--New London, CT	6.150	115.480	9.421	0.502	57.944	7.170	1	55,976
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.325	672.900	29.844	1.256	845.338	42.940	6	335,853
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.151	54.532	31.655	1.829	99.758	13.450	3	167,927
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.904	36.391	5.392	0.282	10.269	1.603	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.262	49.465	7.476	0.493	24.386	4.703	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.353	18.763	6.857	0.495	9.279	1.645	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.042	92.668	19.772	1.716	159.007	56.299	5	279,878
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	5.035	75.806	13.767	0.914	69.321	11.220	2	111,951
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.583	45.575	11.361	0.644	29.342	2.013	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.548	68.513	9.097	0.604	41.371	7.866	0	0
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.720	49.263	12.612	0.696	34.307	2.288	1	55,976
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.091	18.606	4.016	0.236	4.382	0.566	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	1.160	18.800	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	3.146	56.848	9.483	0.525	29.832	6.458	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.688	48.517	7.515	0.416	20.199	2.764	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.194	197.307	21.148	0.664	131.000	5.403	3	167,927
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.771	45.816	6.853	0.564	25.845	5.331	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	2.163	35.644	11.105	0.674	24.024	3.512	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.069	70.135	6.737	0.391	27.414	4.222	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	7.184	116.990	6.735	0.414	48.381	10.083	2	111,951
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.769	56.893	14.648	1.743	99.148	105.901	5	279,878
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.752	10.176	3.181	0.235	2.390	1.628	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	3.459	47.963	8.334	0.601	28.828	6.732	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	8.156	93.311	9.694	0.847	79.071	12.322	2	111,951
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	12.124	167.053	2.157	0.157	26.146	4.781	2	111,951
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	1.715	22.424	8.142	0.623	13.961	1.940	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	4.797	106.453	5.939	0.268	28.491	2.154	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.679	65.095	7.830	0.563	36.638	7.486	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	5.329	94.453	4.037	0.228	21.517	2.813	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington--Normal, IL	3.124	45.130	13.151	0.910	41.076	14.617	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	10.106	114.839	24.952	2.196	252.170	81.691	6	335,853
Illinois	Danville, IL	4.987	93.323	9.684	0.518	46.297	9.736	0	0
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.437	47.572	11.611	0.839	39.906	13.388	1	55,976
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.574	23.465	11.215	0.752	17.650	2.147	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.110	74.425	13.897	0.954	71.014	9.738	2	111,951
Illinois	Springfield, IL	3.004	37.531	10.211	0.817	30.676	11.046	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	2.032	26.911	4.203	0.317	8.540	1.846	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	6.058	64.657	12.244	1.147	74.179	33.062	2	111,951
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Elkhart, IN--MI	2.596	39.952	5.563	0.361	14.438	2.416	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.235	11.876	9.430	0.981	11.650	2.524	1	55,976
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.032	68.558	12.658	1.114	76.359	37.711	3	167,927
Indiana	Michigan City, IN--MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.543	72.347	11.685	0.895	64.771	21.323	2	111,951
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.451	12.385	4.883	0.572	7.084	3.983	0	0
Iowa	Ames, IA	6.857	72.329	22.166	2.101	151.992	98.611	5	279,878
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	4.779	60.927	8.453	0.663	40.401	8.064	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA--IL	2.059	24.198	8.284	0.705	17.057	5.423	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.539	62.388	23.821	2.115	131.958	77.497	4	223,902
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	8.385	106.001	6.905	0.546	57.898	11.246	1	55,976
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	1.062	16.734	9.514	0.604	10.108	5.083	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.892	34.153	11.608	0.983	33.572	12.316	1	55,976
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.175	65.029	10.832	0.695	45.222	11.648	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	5.018	80.419	8.270	0.516	41.499	10.646	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.119	121.277	4.789	0.360	43.674	8.358	2	111,951
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville--Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	4.585	69.822	7.382	0.485	33.848	10.575	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	6.049	80.878	10.327	0.772	62.464	14.958	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.043	39.016	4.747	0.370	14.445	4.645	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.137	69.703	8.400	0.740	51.550	12.854	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3.344	60.890	4.305	0.236	14.397	1.737	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	3.495	53.302	8.883	0.582	31.043	3.398	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.241	45.419	9.771	0.697	31.665	6.647	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3.017	45.423	4.000	0.266	12.068	3.204	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	0.000	0.000	32.947	1.582	0.000	8.610	2	111,951
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.884	63.606	8.304	0.507	32.249	3.836	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1.416	18.396	11.878	0.915	16.825	2.048	1	55,976
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2.560	42.924	24.469	1.459	62.631	7.678	2	111,951
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.891	61.641	5.145	0.408	25.162	5.457	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.828	52.778	16.353	1.186	62.595	7.967	2	111,951
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.960	52.370	6.545	0.495	25.917	6.945	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.731	50.742	19.021	1.024	51.952	8.250	2	111,951
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	1.898	21.336	7.137	0.635	13.546	2.797	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	1.108	13.897	8.664	0.691	9.600	3.413	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.404	35.533	8.602	0.582	20.682	6.341	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	3.859	45.441	10.893	0.925	42.037	13.934	1	55,976
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.742	34.833	9.090	0.716	24.929	5.569	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.889	48.438	5.127	0.412	19.939	4.833	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.528	23.896	21.161	1.353	32.343	11.412	2	111,951
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.611	75.934	5.728	0.348	26.411	7.480	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	2.391	47.311	5.058	0.256	12.094	0.864	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	6.992	91.508	16.485	1.260	115.268	27.047	5	279,878
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.232	81.424	12.731	0.818	66.608	17.797	2	111,951
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.446	72.968	17.707	1.321	96.423	26.082	3	167,927
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	7.223	381.146	4.422	0.084	31.939	0.716	2	111,951
Missouri	Columbia, MO	4.931	48.836	7.771	0.785	38.321	23.263	1	55,976
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	1.979	30.049	10.051	0.662	19.887	7.118	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.856	28.036	0.398	0.026	0.738	0.114	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO--KS	2.341	28.018	10.754	0.899	25.179	5.015	1	55,976
Montana	Billings, MT	3.941	51.514	7.166	0.548	28.243	7.330	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.543	19.917	8.508	0.659	13.129	6.155	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.428	50.628	12.318	0.834	42.224	17.085	2	111,951
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	8.349	128.003	7.236	0.472	60.412	11.960	2	111,951
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.553	27.315	3.742	0.350	9.554	3.787	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH--MA	4.914	65.242	2.453	0.185	12.056	2.367	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH--ME	8.359	128.218	5.477	0.357	45.782	9.147	2	111,951
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.006	57.597	0.481	0.033	1.928	0.448	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	1.335	20.495	5.621	0.366	7.505	1.085	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	1.335	20.495	17.653	1.150	23.572	3.407	2	111,951
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.281	37.349	6.696	0.588	21.966	6.861	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	3.180	39.960	16.206	1.290	51.539	11.577	2	111,951
New York	Binghamton, NY--PA	4.943	71.385	16.923	1.172	83.655	20.049	3	167,928
New York	Elmira, NY	3.157	55.935	14.230	0.803	44.922	10.351	1	55,976
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.456	56.081	5.765	0.355	19.923	5.544	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.262	66.552	39.592	2.536	168.747	63.776	4	223,902
New York	Kingston, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	0.894	8.953	6.237	0.623	5.576	2.653	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	3.340	39.244	9.111	0.775	30.433	10.471	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	1.939	0.044	0.000	0.492	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	3.137	54.463	4.244	0.244	13.315	1.290	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	1.664	29.883	11.550	0.643	19.217	8.013	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	1.812	27.385	11.971	0.792	21.693	9.216	0	0
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.190	17.240	12.721	0.878	15.141	4.025	2	111,951
North Dakota	Fargo, ND--MN	4.771	61.629	8.958	0.694	42.741	13.582	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND--MN	2.085	20.370	11.350	1.162	23.667	5.892	1	55,976
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain--Elyria, OH	3.094	54.397	5.628	0.320	17.416	2.881	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	2.792	32.974	3.994	0.338	11.153	3.600	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	3.983	56.908	2.646	0.185	10.541	2.102	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	0.885	14.789	14.140	0.846	12.517	2.063	2	111,951
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.482	14.713	4.982	0.502	7.384	1.646	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	2.519	28.633	3.042	0.268	7.661	4.203	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.769	40.886	7.671	0.520	21.245	4.795	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	5.294	60.834	6.549	0.570	34.671	15.264	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.610	5.592	5.526	0.603	3.371	5.661	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	8.295	117.864	6.801	0.479	56.413	11.811	2	111,951
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.964	101.819	7.482	0.438	44.628	8.606	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	4.093	51.535	6.217	0.494	25.443	7.359	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.688	44.564	15.632	1.294	57.647	16.819	3	167,927
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.647	72.208	9.495	0.874	63.110	15.828	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.489	59.031	12.638	0.747	44.093	5.802	1	55,976
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	13.956	189.769	4.836	0.356	67.491	2.863	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.680	150.260	24.859	1.767	265.493	99.558	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	1.516	26.520	23.450	1.341	35.552	4.233	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.472	112.841	14.307	0.947	106.906	22.104	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.148	43.826	13.379	0.961	42.120	7.846	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	3.160	36.365	13.204	1.147	41.728	9.413	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	3.533	41.489	24.297	2.069	85.840	18.507	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	3.558	39.479	4.045	0.365	14.394	3.206	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	4.427	44.348	13.130	1.311	58.127	14.079	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	3.851	39.866	14.311	1.382	55.110	13.762	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	3.146	27.913	21.686	2.444	68.224	19.496	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	3.767	32.405	7.482	0.870	28.187	9.114	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	3.399	39.932	10.104	0.860	34.342	7.285	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	3.345	36.870	17.738	1.609	59.337	12.253	2	111,951
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.576	60.301	39.269	1.677	101.144	4.809	3	167,927
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.277	32.732	5.172	0.360	11.776	2.173	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	2.706	38.062	6.690	0.476	18.104	3.770	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	3.327	62.784	26.953	1.428	89.665	5.869	2	111,951
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.424	26.356	7.094	0.652	17.193	4.536	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.044	64.131	10.654	0.838	53.735	8.484	1	55,976
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.182	49.586	10.710	0.687	34.073	6.128	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.654	6.395	4.477	0.458	2.929	1.001	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.304	44.145	11.925	0.892	39.399	8.853	1	55,976
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.621	41.649	5.910	0.514	21.397	5.703	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	1.804	17.660	4.104	0.419	7.403	2.025	0	0
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.467	32.444	9.651	0.734	23.806	5.432	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.742	26.239	4.794	0.318	8.349	1.991	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.516	48.525	6.232	0.452	21.913	4.678	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	14.240	168.529	5.961	0.504	84.883	9.950	2	111,951
Texas	College Station--Bryan, TX	1.290	21.963	4.845	0.285	6.248	2.767	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	3.678	39.935	7.737	0.712	28.453	9.128	0	0
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.505	5.943	0.579	0.049	0.292	0.058	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Texas	Killeen, TX	2.823	47.247	5.393	0.322	15.224	1.860	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1.947	32.631	1.636	0.098	3.185	0.190	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.097	76.229	10.796	1.005	76.616	22.987	3	167,927
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	1.236	19.476	7.786	0.494	9.625	1.292	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	14.002	3.818	0.250	3.506	1.937	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.919	14.010	4.112	0.270	3.778	2.075	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	3.471	54.362	3.051	0.195	10.591	1.297	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.393	21.442	13.106	0.851	18.255	3.560	2	111,951
Texas	Sherman, TX	2.274	37.095	9.432	0.578	21.447	1.712	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.519	21.528	7.682	0.542	11.668	1.978	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	1.499	27.934	3.237	0.174	4.852	0.366	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	33.409	893.664	4.378	0.164	146.251	4.067	3	167,927
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.024	14.161	10.461	0.756	10.707	4.613	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	3.681	57.430	6.330	0.406	23.297	4.350	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	5.995	88.075	10.918	0.743	65.457	21.315	1	55,976
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	6.077	84.982	15.599	1.115	94.791	24.220	3	167,927
Virgin Islands	Virgin Islands	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	7.574	81.380	19.442	1.809	147.248	62.029	5	279,878
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	3.233	40.420	27.023	2.162	87.368	27.689	3	167,927
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.863	61.296	6.708	0.423	25.914	5.012	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	4.219	78.838	11.578	0.620	48.843	5.478	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	8.399	82.943	10.497	1.063	88.165	32.472	3	167,927
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.200	41.552	13.483	1.038	43.139	30.163	3	167,927
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.269	70.769	9.177	0.683	48.352	10.178	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.939	92.286	38.391	2.471	228.016	70.072	4	223,902
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.557	106.762	21.636	1.126	120.223	20.914	4	223,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Washington	Kennewick--Richland, WA	7.058	169.159	65.952	2.752	465.492	35.730	6	335,853
Washington	Longview, WA--OR	5.394	60.244	6.233	0.558	33.624	8.179	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	5.761	93.885	10.262	0.630	59.124	8.750	0	0
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	4.921	96.172	30.412	1.556	149.649	12.425	3	167,927
Washington	Olympia--Lacey, WA	6.667	128.871	46.012	2.380	306.741	35.649	6	335,854
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.045	87.017	13.462	1.090	94.833	10.304	3	167,927
Washington	Yakima, WA	5.017	75.843	14.733	0.975	73.918	13.571	2	111,951
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.578	90.868	14.991	0.920	83.618	13.622	2	111,951
West Virginia	Huntington, WV--KY--OH	2.680	39.710	7.130	0.481	19.107	4.671	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1.175	18.818	16.483	1.029	19.371	17.373	3	167,927
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV--OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV--OH	1.707	20.672	8.021	0.662	13.688	4.922	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.000	29.208	10.528	0.721	21.056	6.015	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI--IL	2.908	44.549	7.810	0.510	22.710	5.439	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.932	40.108	12.144	0.888	35.610	11.159	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.985	12.501	7.846	0.618	7.725	3.774	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.025	43.134	8.412	0.590	25.450	7.598	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	3.601	55.140	7.606	0.497	27.394	7.031	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.299	61.503	10.697	0.748	45.985	15.181	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI--MN	3.093	40.538	14.226	1.086	44.005	14.173	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.224	45.794	13.198	0.929	42.544	14.223	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	3.864	49.378	10.169	0.796	39.290	11.027	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.771	23.622	11.374	0.853	20.143	7.556	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.902	56.880	11.603	0.796	45.279	12.053	0	0
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.020	7.499	0.680	7.499	2.984	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.659	39.452	7.146	0.482	18.998	4.007	0	0
						Total		315	$17,632,310

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	1.000	14.286	4.836	0.339	4.836	0.916	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	0.954	11.935	9.117	0.729	8.699	2.973	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.996	18.712	14.461	0.770	14.407	1.090	1	55,976
Alabama	Florence, AL	2.035	23.636	7.524	0.648	15.312	4.989	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.334	68.246	8.318	0.528	36.046	6.790	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.352	39.894	2.786	0.234	9.338	1.784	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.947	81.729	11.405	0.690	56.423	7.652	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	1.102	18.422	6.923	0.414	7.631	1.728	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.669	49.560	12.762	0.945	46.821	18.444	3	167,927
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ--CA	1.276	28.071	12.624	0.583	16.364	3.804	1	55,976
Arkansas	Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	3.726	43.905	4.562	0.387	16.999	8.837	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR--OK	2.274	30.356	4.264	0.319	9.698	2.110	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	10.429	280.642	5.000	0.186	52.144	1.805	2	111,951
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.696	83.982	14.213	0.964	80.956	14.933	2	111,951
California	Davis, CA	9.358	117.848	17.361	1.379	162.465	56.523	6	335,853
California	El Centro, CA	12.772	245.026	13.554	0.707	173.111	9.520	4	223,902
California	Fairfield, CA	4.613	92.027	13.016	0.653	60.048	6.792	1	55,976
California	Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.232	177.199	76.899	2.271	402.363	21.878	5	279,878
California	Hemet, CA	2.147	41.743	6.344	0.326	13.622	1.500	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.914	54.524	5.225	0.375	20.454	4.175	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.658	17.602	6.077	0.572	10.075	3.523	0	0
California	Lompoc, CA	10.073	139.170	8.540	0.618	86.029	5.304	2	111,951
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
California	Merced, CA	1.856	36.763	7.263	0.367	13.484	2.240	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.370	29.145	8.217	0.668	19.471	5.157	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.875	50.613	7.433	0.569	28.802	4.964	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.513	84.564	7.586	0.495	41.822	9.557	0	0
California	Redding, CA	4.502	72.411	10.435	0.649	46.978	8.557	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	6.949	114.338	8.887	0.540	61.761	9.252	2	111,951
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	6.032	91.945	13.215	0.867	79.710	22.014	3	167,927
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.700	175.191	16.670	1.208	211.717	43.047	6	335,853
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.642	202.302	16.000	0.921	186.278	17.929	6	335,853
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.850	139.422	22.336	1.578	220.017	36.161	6	335,853
California	Santa Maria, CA	9.861	168.151	10.193	0.598	100.512	11.087	3	167,927
California	Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	6.003	97.695	19.123	1.175	114.795	17.310	4	223,902
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.678	51.714	5.757	0.409	21.176	4.250	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.837	29.548	20.053	1.247	36.843	17.070	3	167,927
California	Vacaville, CA	8.383	157.729	0.641	0.034	5.372	0.551	2	111,951
California	Vallejo, CA	5.482	81.997	7.839	0.524	42.975	5.727	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.298	59.795	12.710	0.914	54.627	13.199	2	111,951
California	Watsonville, CA	8.530	127.490	9.482	0.634	80.880	12.782	2	111,951
California	Yuba City, CA	6.213	94.617	11.696	0.768	72.673	10.740	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.035	124.344	25.814	1.876	233.237	45.979	6	335,853
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.696	74.522	8.884	0.560	41.720	9.405	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.874	46.962	5.663	0.467	21.938	5.922	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette--Louisville, CO	8.788	122.484	6.783	0.487	59.608	11.883	2	111,951
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.546	116.284	15.124	1.111	129.244	25.071	6	335,853
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	3.638	56.583	6.671	0.429	24.273	7.735	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT--NY	29.205	791.504	35.247	1.301	1029.388	42.032	6	335,853
Connecticut	Norwich--New London, CT	6.150	115.480	9.421	0.502	57.944	7.170	1	55,976
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.325	672.900	29.844	1.256	845.338	42.940	6	335,853
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.151	54.532	31.655	1.829	99.758	13.450	3	167,927
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.904	36.391	5.392	0.282	10.269	1.603	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.262	49.465	7.476	0.493	24.386	4.703	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.353	18.763	6.857	0.495	9.279	1.645	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.042	92.668	19.772	1.716	159.007	56.299	5	279,878
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	5.035	75.806	13.767	0.914	69.321	11.220	2	111,951
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.583	45.575	11.361	0.644	29.342	2.013	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.548	68.513	9.097	0.604	41.371	7.866	0	0
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.720	49.263	12.612	0.696	34.307	2.288	1	55,976
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.091	18.606	4.016	0.236	4.382	0.566	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	1.160	18.800	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	3.146	56.848	9.483	0.525	29.832	6.458	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.688	48.517	7.515	0.416	20.199	2.764	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.194	197.307	21.148	0.664	131.000	5.403	3	167,927
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.771	45.816	6.853	0.564	25.845	5.331	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	2.163	35.644	11.105	0.674	24.024	3.512	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.069	70.135	6.737	0.391	27.414	4.222	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	7.184	116.990	6.735	0.414	48.381	10.083	2	111,951
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.769	56.893	14.648	1.743	99.148	105.901	5	279,878
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.752	10.176	3.181	0.235	2.390	1.628	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	3.459	47.963	8.334	0.601	28.828	6.732	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	8.156	93.311	9.694	0.847	79.071	12.322	2	111,951
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	12.124	167.053	2.157	0.157	26.146	4.781	2	111,951
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	1.715	22.424	8.142	0.623	13.961	1.940	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	4.797	106.453	5.939	0.268	28.491	2.154	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.679	65.095	7.830	0.563	36.638	7.486	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	5.329	94.453	4.037	0.228	21.517	2.813	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington--Normal, IL	3.124	45.130	13.151	0.910	41.076	14.617	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	10.106	114.839	24.952	2.196	252.170	81.691	6	335,853
Illinois	Danville, IL	4.987	93.323	9.684	0.518	48.297	9.736	0	0
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.437	47.572	11.611	0.839	39.906	13.388	1	55,976
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.574	23.465	11.215	0.752	17.650	2.147	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.110	74.425	13.897	0.954	71.014	9.738	2	111,951
Illinois	Springfield, IL	3.004	37.531	10.211	0.817	30.676	11.046	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	2.032	26.911	4.203	0.317	8.540	1.846	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	6.058	64.657	12.244	1.147	74.179	33.062	2	111,951
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Elkhart, IN--MI	2.596	39.952	5.563	0.361	14.438	2.416	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.235	11.876	9.430	0.981	11.650	2.524	1	55,976
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.032	68.558	12.658	1.114	76.359	37.711	3	167,927
Indiana	Michigan City, IN--MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.543	72.347	11.685	0.895	64.771	21.323	2	111,951
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.451	12.385	4.883	0.572	7.084	3.983	0	0
Iowa	Ames, IA	6.857	72.329	22.166	2.101	151.992	98.611	5	279,878
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	4.779	60.927	8.453	0.663	40.401	8.064	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA--IL	2.059	24.198	8.284	0.705	17.057	5.423	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.539	62.388	23.821	2.115	131.958	77.497	4	223,902
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	8.385	106.001	6.905	0.546	57.898	11.246	1	55,976
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	1.062	16.734	9.514	0.604	10.108	5.083	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.892	34.153	11.608	0.983	33.572	12.316	1	55,976
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.175	65.029	10.832	0.695	45.222	11.648	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	5.018	80.419	8.270	0.516	41.499	10.646	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.119	121.277	4.789	0.360	43.674	8.358	2	111,951
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville--Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	4.585	69.822	7.382	0.485	33.848	10.575	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	6.049	80.878	10.327	0.772	62.464	14.958	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.043	39.016	4.747	0.370	14.445	4.645	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.137	69.703	8.400	0.740	51.550	12.854	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3.344	60.890	4.305	0.236	14.397	1.737	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	3.495	53.302	8.883	0.582	31.043	3.398	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.241	45.419	9.771	0.697	31.665	6.647	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3.017	45.423	4.000	0.266	12.068	3.204	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	0.000	0.000	32.947	1.582	0.000	8.610	2	111,951
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.884	63.606	8.304	0.507	32.249	3.836	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1.416	18.396	11.878	0.915	16.825	2.048	1	55,976
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2.560	42.924	24.469	1.459	62.631	7.678	2	111,951
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.891	61.641	5.145	0.408	25.162	5.457	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.828	52.778	16.353	1.186	62.595	7.967	2	111,951
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.960	52.370	6.545	0.495	25.917	6.945	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.731	50.742	19.021	1.024	51.952	8.250	2	111,951
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	1.898	21.336	7.137	0.635	13.546	2.797	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	1.108	13.897	8.664	0.691	9.600	3.413	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.404	35.533	8.602	0.582	20.682	6.341	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	3.859	45.441	10.893	0.925	42.037	13.934	1	55,976
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.742	34.833	9.090	0.716	24.929	5.569	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.889	48.438	5.127	0.412	19.939	4.833	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.528	23.896	21.161	1.353	32.343	11.412	2	111,951
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.611	75.934	5.728	0.348	26.411	7.480	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	2.391	47.311	5.058	0.256	12.094	0.864	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	6.992	91.508	16.485	1.260	115.268	27.047	5	279,878
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.232	81.424	12.731	0.818	66.608	17.797	2	111,951
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.446	72.968	17.707	1.321	96.423	26.082	3	167,927
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	7.223	381.146	4.422	0.084	31.939	0.716	2	111,951
Missouri	Columbia, MO	4.931	48.836	7.771	0.785	38.321	23.263	1	55,976
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	1.979	30.049	10.051	0.662	19.887	7.118	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.856	28.036	0.398	0.026	0.738	0.114	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO--KS	2.341	28.018	10.754	0.899	25.179	5.015	1	55,976
Montana	Billings, MT	3.941	51.514	7.166	0.548	28.243	7.330	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.543	19.917	8.508	0.659	13.129	6.155	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.428	50.628	12.318	0.834	42.224	17.085	2	111,951
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	8.349	128.003	7.236	0.472	60.412	11.960	2	111,951
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.553	27.315	3.742	0.350	9.554	3.787	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH--MA	4.914	65.242	2.453	0.185	12.056	2.367	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH--ME	8.359	128.218	5.477	0.357	45.782	9.147	2	111,951
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.006	57.597	0.481	0.033	1.928	0.448	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	1.335	20.495	5.621	0.366	7.505	1.085	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	1.335	20.495	17.653	1.150	23.572	3.407	2	111,951
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.281	37.349	6.696	0.588	21.966	6.861	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	3.180	39.960	16.206	1.290	51.539	11.577	2	111,951
New York	Binghamton, NY--PA	4.943	71.385	16.923	1.172	83.655	20.049	3	167,928
New York	Elmira, NY	3.157	55.935	14.230	0.803	44.922	10.351	1	55,976
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.456	56.081	5.765	0.355	19.923	5.544	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.262	66.552	39.592	2.536	168.747	63.776	4	223,902
New York	Kingston, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	0.894	8.953	6.237	0.623	5.576	2.653	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	3.340	39.244	9.111	0.775	30.433	10.471	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	1.939	0.044	0.000	0.492	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	3.137	54.463	4.244	0.244	13.315	1.290	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	1.664	29.883	11.550	0.643	19.217	8.013	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	1.812	27.385	11.971	0.792	21.693	9.216	0	0
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.190	17.240	12.721	0.878	15.141	4.025	2	111,951
North Dakota	Fargo, ND--MN	4.771	61.629	8.958	0.694	42.741	13.582	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND--MN	2.085	20.370	11.350	1.162	23.667	5.892	1	55,976
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain--Elyria, OH	3.094	54.397	5.628	0.320	17.416	2.881	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	2.792	32.974	3.994	0.338	11.153	3.600	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	3.983	56.908	2.646	0.185	10.541	2.102	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	0.885	14.789	14.140	0.846	12.517	2.063	2	111,951
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.482	14.713	4.982	0.502	7.384	1.646	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	2.519	28.633	3.042	0.268	7.661	4.203	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.769	40.886	7.671	0.520	21.245	4.795	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	5.294	60.834	6.549	0.570	34.671	15.264	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.610	5.592	5.526	0.603	3.371	5.661	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	8.295	117.864	6.801	0.479	56.413	11.811	2	111,951
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.964	101.819	7.482	0.438	44.628	8.606	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	4.093	51.535	6.217	0.494	25.443	7.359	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.688	44.564	15.632	1.294	57.647	16.819	3	167,927
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.647	72.208	9.495	0.874	63.110	15.828	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.489	59.031	12.638	0.747	44.093	5.802	1	55,976
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	13.956	189.769	4.836	0.356	67.491	2.863	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.680	150.260	24.859	1.767	265.493	99.558	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	1.516	26.520	23.450	1.341	35.552	4.233	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.472	112.841	14.307	0.947	106.906	22.104	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.148	43.826	13.379	0.961	42.120	7.846	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	3.160	36.365	13.204	1.147	41.728	9.413	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	3.533	41.489	24.297	2.069	85.840	18.507	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	3.558	39.479	4.045	0.365	14.394	3.206	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	4.427	44.348	13.130	1.311	58.127	14.079	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	3.851	39.866	14.311	1.382	55.110	13.762	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	3.146	27.913	21.686	2.444	68.224	19.496	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	3.767	32.405	7.482	0.870	28.187	9.114	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	3.399	39.932	10.104	0.860	34.342	7.285	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	3.345	36.870	17.738	1.609	59.337	12.253	2	111,951
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.576	60.301	39.269	1.677	101.144	4.809	3	167,927
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.277	32.732	5.172	0.360	11.776	2.173	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	2.706	38.062	6.690	0.476	18.104	3.770	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	3.327	62.784	26.953	1.428	89.665	5.869	2	111,951
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.424	26.356	7.094	0.652	17.193	4.536	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.044	64.131	10.654	0.838	53.735	8.484	1	55,976
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.182	49.586	10.710	0.687	34.073	6.128	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.654	6.395	4.477	0.458	2.929	1.001	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.304	44.145	11.925	0.892	39.399	8.853	1	55,976
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.621	41.649	5.910	0.514	21.397	5.703	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	1.804	17.660	4.104	0.419	7.403	2.025	0	0
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.467	32.444	9.651	0.734	23.806	5.432	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.742	26.239	4.794	0.318	8.349	1.991	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.516	48.525	6.232	0.452	21.913	4.678	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	14.240	168.529	5.961	0.504	84.883	9.950	2	111,951
Texas	College Station--Bryan, TX	1.290	21.963	4.845	0.285	6.248	2.767	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	3.678	39.935	7.737	0.712	28.453	9.128	0	0
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.505	5.943	0.579	0.049	0.292	0.058	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Texas	Killeen, TX	2.823	47.247	5.393	0.322	15.224	1.860	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1.947	32.631	1.636	0.098	3.185	0.190	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.097	76.229	10.796	1.005	76.616	22.987	3	167,927
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	1.236	19.476	7.786	0.494	9.625	1.292	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	14.002	3.818	0.250	3.506	1.937	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.919	14.010	4.112	0.270	3.778	2.075	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	3.471	54.362	3.051	0.195	10.591	1.297	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.393	21.442	13.106	0.851	18.255	3.560	2	111,951
Texas	Sherman, TX	2.274	37.095	9.432	0.578	21.447	1.712	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.519	21.528	7.682	0.542	11.668	1.978	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	1.499	27.934	3.237	0.174	4.852	0.366	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	33.409	893.664	4.378	0.164	146.251	4.067	3	167,927
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.024	14.161	10.461	0.756	10.707	4.613	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	3.681	57.430	6.330	0.406	23.297	4.350	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	5.995	88.075	10.918	0.743	65.457	21.315	1	55,976
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	6.077	84.982	15.599	1.115	94.791	24.220	3	167,927
Virgin Islands	Virgin Islands	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	7.574	81.380	19.442	1.809	147.248	62.029	5	279,878
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	3.233	40.420	27.023	2.162	87.368	27.689	3	167,927
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.863	61.296	6.708	0.423	25.914	5.012	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	4.219	78.838	11.578	0.620	48.843	5.478	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	8.399	82.943	10.497	1.063	88.165	32.472	3	167,927
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.200	41.552	13.483	1.038	43.139	30.163	3	167,927
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.269	70.769	9.177	0.683	48.352	10.178	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.939	92.286	38.391	2.471	228.016	70.072	4	223,902
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.557	106.762	21.636	1.126	120.223	20.914	4	223,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Washington	Kennewick--Richland, WA	7.058	169.159	65.952	2.752	465.492	35.730	6	335,853
Washington	Longview, WA--OR	5.394	60.244	6.233	0.558	33.624	8.179	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	5.761	93.885	10.262	0.630	59.124	8.750	0	0
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	4.921	96.172	30.412	1.556	149.649	12.425	3	167,927
Washington	Olympia--Lacey, WA	6.667	128.871	46.012	2.380	306.741	35.649	6	335,854
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.045	87.017	13.462	1.090	94.833	10.304	3	167,927
Washington	Yakima, WA	5.017	75.843	14.733	0.975	73.918	13.571	2	111,951
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.578	90.868	14.991	0.920	83.618	13.622	2	111,951
West Virginia	Huntington, WV--KY--OH	2.680	39.710	7.130	0.481	19.107	4.671	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1.175	18.818	16.483	1.029	19.371	17.373	3	167,927
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV--OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV--OH	1.707	20.672	8.021	0.662	13.688	4.922	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.000	29.208	10.528	0.721	21.056	6.015	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI--IL	2.908	44.549	7.810	0.510	22.710	5.439	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.932	40.108	12.144	0.888	35.610	11.159	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.985	12.501	7.846	0.618	7.725	3.774	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.025	43.134	8.412	0.590	25.450	7.598	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	3.601	55.140	7.606	0.497	27.394	7.031	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.299	61.503	10.697	0.748	45.985	15.181	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI--MN	3.093	40.538	14.226	1.086	44.005	14.173	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.224	45.794	13.198	0.929	42.544	14.223	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	3.864	49.378	10.169	0.796	39.290	11.027	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.771	23.622	11.374	0.853	20.143	7.556	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.902	56.880	11.603	0.796	45.279	12.053	0	0
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.020	7.499	0.680	7.499	2.984	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.659	39.452	7.146	0.482	18.998	4.007	0	0
						Total		315	$17,632,310

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	1.000	14.286	4.836	0.339	4.836	0.916	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	0.954	11.935	9.117	0.729	8.699	2.973	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.996	18.712	14.461	0.770	14.407	1.090	1	55,976
Alabama	Florence, AL	2.035	23.636	7.524	0.648	15.312	4.989	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.334	68.246	8.318	0.528	36.046	6.790	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.352	39.894	2.786	0.234	9.338	1.784	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.947	81.729	11.405	0.690	56.423	7.652	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	1.102	18.422	6.923	0.414	7.631	1.728	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.669	49.560	12.762	0.945	46.821	18.444	3	167,927
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ--CA	1.276	28.071	12.824	0.583	16.364	3.804	1	55,976
Arkansas	Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	3.726	43.905	4.562	0.387	16.999	8.837	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR--OK	2.274	30.356	4.264	0.319	9.698	2.110	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	10.429	280.642	5.000	0.186	52.144	1.805	2	111,951
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.696	83.982	14.213	0.964	80.956	14.933	2	111,951
California	Davis, CA	9.358	117.848	17.361	1.379	162.465	56.523	6	335,853
California	El Centro, CA	12.772	245.026	13.554	0.707	173.111	9.520	4	223,902
California	Fairfield, CA	4.613	92.027	13.016	0.653	60.048	6.792	1	55,976
California	Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.232	177.199	76.899	2.271	402.363	21.878	5	279,878
California	Hemet, CA	2.147	41.743	6.344	0.326	13.622	1.500	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.914	54.524	5.225	0.375	20.454	4.175	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.658	17.602	6.077	0.572	10.075	3.523	0	0
California	Lompoc, CA	10.073	139.170	8.540	0.618	86.029	5.304	2	111,951
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
California	Merced, CA	1.856	36.763	7.263	0.367	13.484	2.240	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.370	29.145	8.217	0.668	19.471	5.157	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.875	50.613	7.433	0.569	28.802	4.964	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.513	84.564	7.586	0.495	41.822	9.557	0	0
California	Redding, CA	4.502	72.411	10.435	0.649	46.978	8.557	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	6.949	114.338	8.887	0.540	61.761	9.252	2	111,951
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	6.032	91.945	13.215	0.867	79.710	22.014	3	167,927
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.700	175.191	16.670	1.208	211.717	43.047	6	335,853
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.642	202.302	16.000	0.921	186.278	17.929	6	335,853
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.850	139.422	22.336	1.578	220.017	36.161	6	335,853
California	Santa Maria, CA	9.861	168.151	10.193	0.598	100.512	11.087	3	167,927
California	Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	6.003	97.695	19.123	1.175	114.795	17.310	4	223,902
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.678	51.714	5.757	0.409	21.176	4.250	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.837	29.548	20.053	1.247	36.843	17.070	3	167,927
California	Vacaville, CA	8.383	157.729	0.641	0.034	5.372	0.551	2	111,951
California	Vallejo, CA	5.482	81.997	7.839	0.524	42.975	5.727	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.298	59.795	12.710	0.914	54.627	13.199	2	111,951
California	Watsonville, CA	8.530	127.490	9.482	0.634	80.880	12.782	2	111,951
California	Yuba City, CA	6.213	94.617	11.696	0.768	72.673	10.740	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.035	124.344	25.814	1.876	233.237	45.979	6	335,853
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.696	74.522	8.884	0.560	41.720	9.405	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.874	46.962	5.663	0.467	21.938	5.922	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette--Louisville, CO	8.788	122.484	6.783	0.487	59.608	11.883	2	111,951
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.546	116.284	15.124	1.111	129.244	25.071	6	335,853
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	3.638	56.583	6.671	0.429	24.273	7.735	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT--NY	29.205	791.504	35.247	1.301	1029.388	42.032	6	335,853
Connecticut	Norwich--New London, CT	6.150	115.480	9.421	0.502	57.944	7.170	1	55,976
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.325	672.900	29.844	1.256	845.338	42.940	6	335,853
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.151	54.532	31.655	1.829	99.758	13.450	3	167,927
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.904	36.391	5.392	0.282	10.269	1.603	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.262	49.465	7.476	0.493	24.386	4.703	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.353	18.763	6.857	0.495	9.279	1.645	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.042	92.668	19.772	1.716	159.007	56.299	5	279,878
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	5.035	75.806	13.767	0.914	69.321	11.220	2	111,951
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.583	45.575	11.361	0.644	29.342	2.013	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.548	68.513	9.097	0.604	41.371	7.866	0	0
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.720	49.263	12.612	0.696	34.307	2.288	1	55,976
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.091	18.606	4.016	0.236	4.382	0.566	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	1.160	18.800	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	3.146	56.848	9.483	0.525	29.832	6.458	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.688	48.517	7.515	0.416	20.199	2.764	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.194	197.307	21.148	0.664	131.000	5.403	3	167,927
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.771	45.816	6.853	0.564	25.845	5.331	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	2.163	35.644	11.105	0.674	24.024	3.512	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.069	70.135	6.737	0.391	27.414	4.222	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	7.184	116.990	6.735	0.414	48.381	10.083	2	111,951
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.769	56.893	14.648	1.743	99.148	105.901	5	279,878
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.752	10.176	3.181	0.235	2.390	1.628	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	3.459	47.963	8.334	0.601	28.828	6.732	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	8.156	93.311	9.694	0.847	79.071	12.322	2	111,951
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	12.124	167.053	2.157	0.157	26.146	4.781	2	111,951
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	1.715	22.424	8.142	0.623	13.961	1.940	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	4.797	106.453	5.939	0.268	28.491	2.154	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.679	65.095	7.830	0.563	36.638	7.486	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	5.329	94.453	4.037	0.228	21.517	2.813	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington--Normal, IL	3.124	45.130	13.151	0.910	41.076	14.617	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	10.106	114.839	24.952	2.196	252.170	81.691	6	335,853
Illinois	Danville, IL	4.987	93.323	9.684	0.518	48.297	9.736	0	0
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.437	47.572	11.611	0.839	39.906	13.388	1	55,976
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.574	23.465	11.215	0.752	17.650	2.147	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.110	74.425	13.897	0.954	71.014	9.738	2	111,951
Illinois	Springfield, IL	3.004	37.531	10.211	0.817	30.676	11.046	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	2.032	26.911	4.203	0.317	8.540	1.846	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	6.058	64.657	12.244	1.147	74.179	33.062	2	111,951
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Elkhart, IN--MI	2.596	39.952	5.563	0.361	14.438	2.416	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.235	11.876	9.430	0.981	11.650	2.524	1	55,976
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.032	68.558	12.658	1.114	76.359	37.711	3	167,927
Indiana	Michigan City, IN--MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.543	72.347	11.685	0.895	64.771	21.323	2	111,951
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.451	12.385	4.883	0.572	7.084	3.983	0	0
Iowa	Ames, IA	6.857	72.329	22.166	2.101	151.992	98.611	5	279,878
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	4.779	60.927	8.453	0.663	40.401	8.064	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA--IL	2.059	24.198	8.284	0.705	17.057	5.423	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.539	62.388	23.821	2.115	131.958	77.497	4	223,902
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	8.385	106.001	6.905	0.546	57.898	11.246	1	55,976
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	1.062	16.734	9.514	0.604	10.108	5.083	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.892	34.153	11.608	0.983	33.572	12.316	1	55,976
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.175	65.029	10.832	0.695	45.222	11.648	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	5.018	80.419	8.270	0.516	41.499	10.646	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.119	121.277	4.789	0.360	43.674	8.358	2	111,951
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville--Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	4.585	69.822	7.382	0.485	33.848	10.575	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	6.049	80.878	10.327	0.772	62.464	14.958	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.043	39.016	4.747	0.370	14.445	4.645	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.137	69.703	8.400	0.740	51.550	12.854	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3.344	60.890	4.305	0.236	14.397	1.737	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	3.495	53.302	8.883	0.582	31.043	3.398	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.241	45.419	9.771	0.697	31.665	6.647	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3.017	45.423	4.000	0.266	12.068	3.204	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	0.000	0.000	32.947	1.582	0.000	8.610	2	111,951
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.884	63.606	8.304	0.507	32.249	3.836	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1.416	18.396	11.878	0.915	16.825	2.048	1	55,976
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2.560	42.924	24.469	1.459	62.631	7.678	2	111,951
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.891	61.641	5.145	0.408	25.162	5.457	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.828	52.778	16.353	1.186	62.595	7.967	2	111,951
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.960	52.370	6.545	0.495	25.917	6.945	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.731	50.742	19.021	1.024	51.952	8.250	2	111,951
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	1.898	21.336	7.137	0.635	13.546	2.797	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	1.108	13.897	8.664	0.691	9.600	3.413	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.404	35.533	8.602	0.582	20.682	6.341	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	3.859	45.441	10.893	0.925	42.037	13.934	1	55,976
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.742	34.833	9.090	0.716	24.929	5.569	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.889	48.438	5.127	0.412	19.939	4.833	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.528	23.896	21.161	1.353	32.343	11.412	2	111,951
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.611	75.934	5.728	0.348	26.411	7.480	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	2.391	47.311	5.058	0.256	12.094	0.864	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	6.992	91.508	16.485	1.260	115.268	27.047	5	279,878
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.232	81.424	12.731	0.818	66.608	17.797	2	111,951
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.446	72.968	17.707	1.321	96.423	26.082	3	167,927
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	7.223	381.146	4.422	0.084	31.939	0.716	2	111,951
Missouri	Columbia, MO	4.931	48.836	7.771	0.785	38.321	23.263	1	55,976
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	1.979	30.049	10.051	0.662	19.887	7.118	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.856	28.036	0.398	0.026	0.738	0.114	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO--KS	2.341	28.018	10.754	0.899	25.179	5.015	1	55,976
Montana	Billings, MT	3.941	51.514	7.166	0.548	28.243	7.330	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.543	19.917	8.508	0.659	13.129	6.155	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.428	50.628	12.318	0.834	42.224	17.085	2	111,951
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	8.349	128.003	7.236	0.472	60.412	11.960	2	111,951
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.553	27.315	3.742	0.350	9.554	3.787	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH--MA	4.914	65.242	2.453	0.185	12.056	2.367	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH--ME	8.359	128.218	5.477	0.357	45.782	9.147	2	111,951
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.006	57.597	0.481	0.033	1.928	0.448	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	1.335	20.495	5.621	0.366	7.505	1.085	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	1.335	20.495	17.653	1.150	23.572	3.407	2	111,951
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.281	37.349	6.696	0.588	21.966	6.861	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	3.180	39.960	16.206	1.290	51.539	11.577	2	111,951
New York	Binghamton, NY--PA	4.943	71.385	16.923	1.172	83.655	20.049	3	167,928
New York	Elmira, NY	3.157	55.935	14.230	0.803	44.922	10.351	1	55,976
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.456	56.081	5.765	0.355	19.923	5.544	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.262	66.552	39.592	2.536	168.747	63.776	4	223,902
New York	Kingston, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	0.894	8.953	6.237	0.623	5.576	2.653	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	3.340	39.244	9.111	0.775	30.433	10.471	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	1.939	0.044	0.000	0.492	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	3.137	54.463	4.244	0.244	13.315	1.290	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	1.664	29.883	11.550	0.643	19.217	8.013	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	1.812	27.385	11.971	0.792	21.693	9.216	0	0
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.190	17.240	12.721	0.878	15.141	4.025	2	111,951
North Dakota	Fargo, ND--MN	4.771	61.629	8.958	0.694	42.741	13.582	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND--MN	2.085	20.370	11.350	1.162	23.667	5.892	1	55,976
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain--Elyria, OH	3.094	54.397	5.628	0.320	17.416	2.881	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	2.792	32.974	3.994	0.338	11.153	3.600	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	3.983	56.908	2.646	0.185	10.541	2.102	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	0.885	14.789	14.140	0.846	12.517	2.063	2	111,951
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.482	14.713	4.982	0.502	7.384	1.646	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	2.519	28.633	3.042	0.268	7.661	4.203	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.769	40.886	7.671	0.520	21.245	4.795	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	5.294	60.834	6.549	0.570	34.671	15.264	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.610	5.592	5.526	0.603	3.371	5.661	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	8.295	117.864	6.801	0.479	56.413	11.811	2	111,951
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.964	101.819	7.482	0.438	44.628	8.606	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	4.093	51.535	6.217	0.494	25.443	7.359	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.688	44.564	15.632	1.294	57.647	16.819	3	167,927
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.647	72.208	9.495	0.874	63.110	15.828	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.489	59.031	12.638	0.747	44.093	5.802	1	55,976
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	13.956	189.769	4.836	0.356	67.491	2.863	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.680	150.260	24.859	1.767	265.493	99.558	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	1.516	26.520	23.450	1.341	35.552	4.233	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.472	112.841	14.307	0.947	106.906	22.104	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.148	43.826	13.379	0.961	42.120	7.846	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	3.160	36.365	13.204	1.147	41.728	9.413	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	3.533	41.489	24.297	2.069	85.840	18.507	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	3.558	39.479	4.045	0.365	14.394	3.206	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	4.427	44.348	13.130	1.311	58.127	14.079	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	3.851	39.866	14.311	1.382	55.110	13.762	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	3.146	27.913	21.686	2.444	68.224	19.496	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	3.767	32.405	7.482	0.870	28.187	9.114	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	3.399	39.932	10.104	0.860	34.342	7.285	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	3.345	36.870	17.738	1.609	59.337	12.253	2	111,951
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.576	60.301	39.269	1.677	101.144	4.809	3	167,927
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.277	32.732	5.172	0.360	11.776	2.173	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	2.706	38.062	6.690	0.476	18.104	3.770	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	3.327	62.784	26.953	1.428	89.665	5.869	2	111,951
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.424	26.356	7.094	0.652	17.193	4.536	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.044	64.131	10.654	0.838	53.735	8.484	1	55,976
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.182	49.586	10.710	0.687	34.073	6.128	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.654	6.395	4.477	0.458	2.929	1.001	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.304	44.145	11.925	0.892	39.399	8.853	1	55,976
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.621	41.649	5.910	0.514	21.397	5.703	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	1.804	17.660	4.104	0.419	7.403	2.025	0	0
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.467	32.444	9.651	0.734	23.806	5.432	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.742	26.239	4.794	0.318	8.349	1.991	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.516	48.525	6.232	0.452	21.913	4.678	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	14.240	168.529	5.961	0.504	84.883	9.950	2	111,951
Texas	College Station--Bryan, TX	1.290	21.963	4.845	0.285	6.248	2.767	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	3.678	39.935	7.737	0.712	28.453	9.128	0	0
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.505	5.943	0.579	0.049	0.292	0.058	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Texas	Killeen, TX	2.823	47.247	5.393	0.322	15.224	1.860	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1.947	32.631	1.636	0.098	3.185	0.190	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.097	76.229	10.796	1.005	76.616	22.987	3	167,927
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	1.236	19.476	7.786	0.494	9.625	1.292	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	14.002	3.818	0.250	3.506	1.937	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.919	14.010	4.112	0.270	3.778	2.075	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	3.471	54.362	3.051	0.195	10.591	1.297	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.393	21.442	13.106	0.851	18.255	3.560	2	111,951
Texas	Sherman, TX	2.274	37.095	9.432	0.578	21.447	1.712	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.519	21.528	7.682	0.542	11.668	1.978	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	1.499	27.934	3.237	0.174	4.852	0.366	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	33.409	893.664	4.378	0.164	146.251	4.067	3	167,927
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.024	14.161	10.461	0.756	10.707	4.613	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	3.681	57.430	6.330	0.406	23.297	4.350	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	5.995	88.075	10.918	0.743	65.457	21.315	1	55,976
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	6.077	84.982	15.599	1.115	94.791	24.220	3	167,927
Virgin Islands	Virgin Islands	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	7.574	81.380	19.442	1.809	147.248	62.029	5	279,878
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	3.233	40.420	27.023	2.162	87.368	27.689	3	167,927
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.863	61.296	6.708	0.423	25.914	5.012	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	4.219	78.838	11.578	0.620	48.843	5.478	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	8.399	82.943	10.497	1.063	88.165	32.472	3	167,927
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.200	41.552	13.483	1.038	43.139	30.163	3	167,927
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.269	70.769	9.177	0.683	48.352	10.178	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.939	92.286	38.391	2.471	228.016	70.072	4	223,902
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.557	106.762	21.636	1.126	120.223	20.914	4	223,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Washington	Kennewick--Richland, WA	7.058	169.159	65.952	2.752	465.492	35.730	6	335,853
Washington	Longview, WA--OR	5.394	60.244	6.233	0.558	33.624	8.179	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	5.761	93.885	10.262	0.630	59.124	8.750	0	0
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	4.921	96.172	30.412	1.556	149.649	12.425	3	167,927
Washington	Olympia--Lacey, WA	6.667	128.871	46.012	2.380	306.741	35.649	6	335,854
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.045	87.017	13.462	1.090	94.833	10.304	3	167,927
Washington	Yakima, WA	5.017	75.843	14.733	0.975	73.918	13.571	2	111,951
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.578	90.868	14.991	0.920	83.618	13.622	2	111,951
West Virginia	Huntington, WV--KY--OH	2.680	39.710	7.130	0.481	19.107	4.671	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1.175	18.818	16.483	1.029	19.371	17.373	3	167,927
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV--OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV--OH	1.707	20.672	8.021	0.662	13.688	4.922	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.000	29.208	10.528	0.721	21.056	6.015	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI--IL	2.908	44.549	7.810	0.510	22.710	5.439	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.932	40.108	12.144	0.888	35.610	11.159	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.985	12.501	7.846	0.618	7.725	3.774	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.025	43.134	8.412	0.590	25.450	7.598	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	3.601	55.140	7.606	0.497	27.394	7.031	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.299	61.503	10.697	0.748	45.985	15.181	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI--MN	3.093	40.538	14.226	1.086	44.005	14.173	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.224	45.794	13.198	0.929	42.544	14.223	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	3.864	49.378	10.169	0.796	39.290	11.027	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.771	23.622	11.374	0.853	20.143	7.556	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.902	56.880	11.603	0.796	45.279	12.053	0	0
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.020	7.499	0.680	7.499	2.984	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.659	39.452	7.146	0.482	18.998	4.007	0	0
						Total		315	$17,632,310

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	1.000	14.286	4.836	0.339	4.836	0.916	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	0.954	11.935	9.117	0.729	8.699	2.973	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.996	18.712	14.461	0.770	14.407	1.090	1	55,976
Alabama	Florence, AL	2.035	23.636	7.524	0.648	15.312	4.989	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.334	68.246	8.318	0.528	36.046	6.790	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.352	39.894	2.786	0.234	9.338	1.784	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.947	81.729	11.405	0.690	56.423	7.652	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	1.102	18.422	6.923	0.414	7.631	1.728	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.669	49.560	12.762	0.945	46.821	18.444	3	167,927
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ--CA	1.276	28.071	12.824	0.583	16.364	3.804	1	55,976
Arkansas	Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	3.726	43.905	4.562	0.387	16.999	8.837	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR--OK	2.274	30.356	4.264	0.319	9.698	2.110	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	10.429	280.642	5.000	0.186	52.144	1.805	2	111,951
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.696	83.982	14.213	0.964	80.956	14.933	2	111,951
California	Davis, CA	9.358	117.848	17.361	1.379	162.465	56.523	6	335,853
California	El Centro, CA	12.772	245.026	13.554	0.707	173.111	9.520	4	223,902
California	Fairfield, CA	4.613	92.027	13.016	0.653	60.048	6.792	1	55,976
California	Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.232	177.199	76.899	2.271	402.363	21.878	5	279,878
California	Hemet, CA	2.147	41.743	6.344	0.326	13.622	1.500	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.914	54.524	5.225	0.375	20.454	4.175	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.658	17.602	6.077	0.572	10.075	3.523	0	0
California	Lompoc, CA	10.073	139.170	8.540	0.618	86.029	5.304	2	111,951
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
California	Merced, CA	1.856	36.763	7.263	0.367	13.484	2.240	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.370	29.145	8.217	0.668	19.471	5.157	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.875	50.613	7.433	0.569	28.802	4.964	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.513	84.564	7.586	0.495	41.822	9.557	0	0
California	Redding, CA	4.502	72.411	10.435	0.649	46.978	8.557	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	6.949	114.338	8.887	0.540	61.761	9.252	2	111,951
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	6.032	91.945	13.215	0.867	79.710	22.014	3	167,927
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.700	175.191	16.670	1.208	211.717	43.047	6	335,853
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.642	202.302	16.000	0.921	186.278	17.929	6	335,853
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.850	139.422	22.336	1.578	220.017	36.161	6	335,853
California	Santa Maria, CA	9.861	168.151	10.193	0.598	100.512	11.087	3	167,927
California	Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	6.003	97.695	19.123	1.175	114.795	17.310	4	223,902
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.678	51.714	5.757	0.409	21.176	4.250	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.837	29.548	20.053	1.247	36.843	17.070	3	167,927
California	Vacaville, CA	8.383	157.729	0.641	0.034	5.372	0.551	2	111,951
California	Vallejo, CA	5.482	81.997	7.839	0.524	42.975	5.727	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.298	59.795	12.710	0.914	54.627	13.199	2	111,951
California	Watsonville, CA	8.530	127.490	9.482	0.634	80.880	12.782	2	111,951
California	Yuba City, CA	6.213	94.617	11.696	0.768	72.673	10.740	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.035	124.344	25.814	1.876	233.237	45.979	6	335,853
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.696	74.522	8.884	0.560	41.720	9.405	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.874	46.962	5.663	0.467	21.938	5.922	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette--Louisville, CO	8.788	122.484	6.783	0.487	59.608	11.883	2	111,951
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.546	116.284	15.124	1.111	129.244	25.071	6	335,853
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	3.638	56.583	6.671	0.429	24.273	7.735	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT--NY	29.205	791.504	35.247	1.301	1029.388	42.032	6	335,853
Connecticut	Norwich--New London, CT	6.150	115.480	9.421	0.502	57.944	7.170	1	55,976
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.325	672.900	29.844	1.256	845.338	42.940	6	335,853
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.151	54.532	31.655	1.829	99.758	13.450	3	167,927
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.904	36.391	5.392	0.282	10.269	1.603	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.262	49.465	7.476	0.493	24.386	4.703	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.353	18.763	6.857	0.495	9.279	1.645	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.042	92.668	19.772	1.716	159.007	56.299	5	279,878
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	5.035	75.806	13.767	0.914	69.321	11.220	2	111,951
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.583	45.575	11.361	0.644	29.342	2.013	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.548	68.513	9.097	0.604	41.371	7.866	0	0
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.720	49.263	12.612	0.696	34.307	2.288	1	55,976
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.091	18.606	4.016	0.236	4.382	0.566	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	1.160	18.800	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	3.146	56.848	9.483	0.525	29.832	6.458	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.688	48.517	7.515	0.416	20.199	2.764	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.194	197.307	21.148	0.664	131.000	5.403	3	167,927
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.771	45.816	6.853	0.564	25.845	5.331	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	2.163	35.644	11.105	0.674	24.024	3.512	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.069	70.135	6.737	0.391	27.414	4.222	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	7.184	116.990	6.735	0.414	48.381	10.083	2	111,951
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.769	56.893	14.648	1.743	99.148	105.901	5	279,878
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.752	10.176	3.181	0.235	2.390	1.628	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	3.459	47.963	8.334	0.601	28.828	6.732	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	8.156	93.311	9.694	0.847	79.071	12.322	2	111,951
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	12.124	167.053	2.157	0.157	26.146	4.781	2	111,951
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	1.715	22.424	8.142	0.623	13.961	1.940	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	4.797	106.453	5.939	0.268	28.491	2.154	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.679	65.095	7.830	0.563	36.638	7.486	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	5.329	94.453	4.037	0.228	21.517	2.813	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington--Normal, IL	3.124	45.130	13.151	0.910	41.076	14.617	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	10.106	114.839	24.952	2.196	252.170	81.691	6	335,853
Illinois	Danville, IL	4.987	93.323	9.684	0.518	48.297	9.736	0	0
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.437	47.572	11.611	0.839	39.906	13.388	1	55,976
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.574	23.465	11.215	0.752	17.650	2.147	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.110	74.425	13.897	0.954	71.014	9.738	2	111,951
Illinois	Springfield, IL	3.004	37.531	10.211	0.817	30.676	11.046	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	2.032	26.911	4.203	0.317	8.540	1.846	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	6.058	64.657	12.244	1.147	74.179	33.062	2	111,951
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Elkhart, IN--MI	2.596	39.952	5.563	0.361	14.438	2.416	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.235	11.876	9.430	0.981	11.650	2.524	1	55,976
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.032	68.558	12.658	1.114	76.359	37.711	3	167,927
Indiana	Michigan City, IN--MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.543	72.347	11.685	0.895	64.771	21.323	2	111,951
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.451	12.385	4.883	0.572	7.084	3.983	0	0
Iowa	Ames, IA	6.857	72.329	22.166	2.101	151.992	98.611	5	279,878
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	4.779	60.927	8.453	0.663	40.401	8.064	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA--IL	2.059	24.198	8.284	0.705	17.057	5.423	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.539	62.388	23.821	2.115	131.958	77.497	4	223,902
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	8.385	106.001	6.905	0.546	57.898	11.246	1	55,976
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	1.062	16.734	9.514	0.604	10.108	5.083	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.892	34.153	11.608	0.983	33.572	12.316	1	55,976
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.175	65.029	10.832	0.695	45.222	11.648	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	5.018	80.419	8.270	0.516	41.499	10.646	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.119	121.277	4.789	0.360	43.674	8.358	2	111,951
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville--Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	4.585	69.822	7.382	0.485	33.848	10.575	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	6.049	80.878	10.327	0.772	62.464	14.958	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.043	39.016	4.747	0.370	14.445	4.645	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.137	69.703	8.400	0.740	51.550	12.854	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3.344	60.890	4.305	0.236	14.397	1.737	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	3.495	53.302	8.883	0.582	31.043	3.398	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.241	45.419	9.771	0.697	31.665	6.647	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3.017	45.423	4.000	0.266	12.068	3.204	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	0.000	0.000	32.947	1.582	0.000	8.610	2	111,951
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.884	63.606	8.304	0.507	32.249	3.836	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1.416	18.396	11.878	0.915	16.825	2.048	1	55,976
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2.560	42.924	24.469	1.459	62.631	7.678	2	111,951
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.891	61.641	5.145	0.408	25.162	5.457	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.828	52.778	16.353	1.186	62.595	7.967	2	111,951
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.960	52.370	6.545	0.495	25.917	6.945	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.731	50.742	19.021	1.024	51.952	8.250	2	111,951
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	1.898	21.336	7.137	0.635	13.546	2.797	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	1.108	13.897	8.664	0.691	9.600	3.413	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.404	35.533	8.602	0.582	20.682	6.341	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	3.859	45.441	10.893	0.925	42.037	13.934	1	55,976
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.742	34.833	9.090	0.716	24.929	5.569	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.889	48.438	5.127	0.412	19.939	4.833	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.528	23.896	21.161	1.353	32.343	11.412	2	111,951
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.611	75.934	5.728	0.348	26.411	7.480	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	2.391	47.311	5.058	0.256	12.094	0.864	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	6.992	91.508	16.485	1.260	115.268	27.047	5	279,878
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.232	81.424	12.731	0.818	66.608	17.797	2	111,951
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.446	72.968	17.707	1.321	96.423	26.082	3	167,927
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	7.223	381.146	4.422	0.084	31.939	0.716	2	111,951
Missouri	Columbia, MO	4.931	48.836	7.771	0.785	38.321	23.263	1	55,976
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	1.979	30.049	10.051	0.662	19.887	7.118	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.856	28.036	0.398	0.026	0.738	0.114	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO--KS	2.341	28.018	10.754	0.899	25.179	5.015	1	55,976
Montana	Billings, MT	3.941	51.514	7.166	0.548	28.243	7.330	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.543	19.917	8.508	0.659	13.129	6.155	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.428	50.628	12.318	0.834	42.224	17.085	2	111,951
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	8.349	128.003	7.236	0.472	60.412	11.960	2	111,951
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.553	27.315	3.742	0.350	9.554	3.787	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH--MA	4.914	65.242	2.453	0.185	12.056	2.367	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH--ME	8.359	128.218	5.477	0.357	45.782	9.147	2	111,951
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.006	57.597	0.461	0.033	1.928	0.448	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	1.335	20.495	5.621	0.366	7.505	1.085	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	1.335	20.495	17.653	1.150	23.572	3.407	2	111,951
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.281	37.349	6.696	0.588	21.966	6.861	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	3.180	39.960	16.206	1.290	51.539	11.577	2	111,951
New York	Binghamton, NY--PA	4.943	71.385	16.923	1.172	83.655	20.049	3	167,928
New York	Elmira, NY	3.157	55.935	14.230	0.803	44.922	10.351	1	55,976
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.456	56.081	5.765	0.355	19.923	5.544	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.262	66.552	39.592	2.536	168.747	63.776	4	223,902
New York	Kingston, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	0.894	8.953	6.237	0.623	5.576	2.653	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	3.340	39.244	9.111	0.775	30.433	10.471	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	1.939	0.044	0.000	0.492	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	3.137	54.463	4.244	0.244	13.315	1.290	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	1.664	29.883	11.550	0.643	19.217	8.013	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	1.812	27.385	11.971	0.792	21.693	9.216	0	0
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.190	17.240	12.721	0.878	15.141	4.025	2	111,951
North Dakota	Fargo, ND--MN	4.771	61.629	8.958	0.694	42.741	13.582	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND--MN	2.085	20.370	11.350	1.162	23.667	5.892	1	55,976
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain--Elyria, OH	3.094	54.397	5.628	0.320	17.416	2.881	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	2.792	32.974	3.994	0.338	11.153	3.600	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	3.983	56.908	2.646	0.185	10.541	2.102	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	0.885	14.789	14.140	0.846	12.517	2.063	2	111,951
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.482	14.713	4.982	0.502	7.384	1.646	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	2.519	28.633	3.042	0.268	7.661	4.203	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.769	40.886	7.671	0.520	21.245	4.795	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	5.294	60.834	6.549	0.570	34.671	15.264	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.610	5.592	5.526	0.603	3.371	5.661	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	8.295	117.864	6.801	0.479	56.413	11.811	2	111,951
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.964	101.819	7.482	0.438	44.628	8.606	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	4.093	51.535	6.217	0.494	25.443	7.359	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.688	44.564	15.632	1.294	57.647	16.819	3	167,927
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.647	72.208	9.495	0.874	63.110	15.828	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.489	59.031	12.638	0.747	44.093	5.802	1	55,976
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	13.956	189.769	4.836	0.356	67.491	2.863	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.680	150.260	24.859	1.767	265.493	99.558	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	1.516	26.520	23.450	1.341	35.552	4.233	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.472	112.841	14.307	0.947	106.906	22.104	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.148	43.826	13.379	0.961	42.120	7.846	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	3.160	36.365	13.204	1.147	41.728	9.413	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	3.533	41.489	24.297	2.069	85.840	18.507	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	3.558	39.479	4.045	0.365	14.394	3.206	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	4.427	44.348	13.130	1.311	58.127	14.079	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	3.851	39.866	14.311	1.382	55.110	13.762	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	3.146	27.913	21.686	2.444	68.224	19.496	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	3.767	32.405	7.482	0.870	28.187	9.114	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	3.399	39.932	10.104	0.860	34.342	7.285	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	3.345	36.870	17.738	1.609	59.337	12.253	2	111,951
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.576	60.301	39.269	1.677	101.144	4.809	3	167,927
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.277	32.732	5.172	0.360	11.776	2.173	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	2.706	38.062	6.690	0.476	18.104	3.770	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	3.327	62.784	26.953	1.428	89.665	5.869	2	111,951
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.424	26.356	7.094	0.652	17.193	4.536	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.044	64.131	10.654	0.838	53.735	8.484	1	55,976
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.182	49.586	10.710	0.687	34.073	6.128	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.654	6.395	4.477	0.458	2.929	1.001	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.304	44.145	11.925	0.892	39.399	8.853	1	55,976
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.621	41.649	5.910	0.514	21.397	5.703	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	1.804	17.660	4.104	0.419	7.403	2.025	0	0
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.467	32.444	9.651	0.734	23.806	5.432	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.742	26.239	4.794	0.318	8.349	1.991	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.516	48.525	6.232	0.452	21.913	4.678	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	14.240	168.529	5.961	0.504	84.883	9.950	2	111,951
Texas	College Station--Bryan, TX	1.290	21.963	4.845	0.285	6.248	2.767	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	3.678	39.935	7.737	0.712	28.453	9.128	0	0
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.505	5.943	0.579	0.049	0.292	0.058	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Texas	Killeen, TX	2.823	47.247	5.393	0.322	15.224	1.860	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1.947	32.631	1.636	0.098	3.185	0.190	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.097	76.229	10.796	1.005	76.616	22.987	3	167,927
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	1.236	19.476	7.786	0.494	9.625	1.292	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	14.002	3.818	0.250	3.506	1.937	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.919	14.010	4.112	0.270	3.778	2.075	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	3.471	54.362	3.051	0.195	10.591	1.297	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.393	21.442	13.106	0.851	18.255	3.560	2	111,951
Texas	Sherman, TX	2.274	37.095	9.432	0.578	21.447	1.712	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.519	21.528	7.682	0.542	11.668	1.978	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	1.499	27.934	3.237	0.174	4.852	0.366	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	33.409	893.664	4.378	0.164	146.251	4.067	3	167,927
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.024	14.161	10.461	0.756	10.707	4.613	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	3.681	57.430	6.330	0.406	23.297	4.350	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	5.995	88.075	10.918	0.743	65.457	21.315	1	55,976
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	6.077	84.982	15.599	1.115	94.791	24.220	3	167,927
Virgin Islands	Virgin Islands	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	7.574	81.380	19.442	1.809	147.248	62.029	5	279,878
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	3.233	40.420	27.023	2.162	87.368	27.689	3	167,927
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.863	61.296	6.708	0.423	25.914	5.012	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	4.219	78.838	11.578	0.620	48.843	5.478	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	8.399	82.943	10.497	1.063	88.165	32.472	3	167,927
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.200	41.552	13.483	1.038	43.139	30.163	3	167,927
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.269	70.769	9.177	0.683	48.352	10.178	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.939	92.286	38.391	2.471	228.016	70.072	4	223,902
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.557	106.762	21.636	1.126	120.223	20.914	4	223,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Washington	Kennewick--Richland, WA	7.058	169.159	65.952	2.752	465.492	35.730	6	335,853
Washington	Longview, WA--OR	5.394	60.244	6.233	0.558	33.624	8.179	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	5.761	93.885	10.262	0.630	59.124	8.750	0	0
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	4.921	96.172	30.412	1.556	149.649	12.425	3	167,927
Washington	Olympia--Lacey, WA	6.667	128.871	46.012	2.380	306.741	35.649	6	335,854
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.045	87.017	13.462	1.090	94.833	10.304	3	167,927
Washington	Yakima, WA	5.017	75.843	14.733	0.975	73.918	13.571	2	111,951
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.578	90.868	14.991	0.920	83.618	13.622	2	111,951
West Virginia	Huntington, WV--KY--OH	2.680	39.710	7.130	0.481	19.107	4.671	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1.175	18.818	16.483	1.029	19.371	17.373	3	167,927
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV--OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV--OH	1.707	20.672	8.021	0.662	13.688	4.922	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.000	29.208	10.528	0.721	21.056	6.015	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI--IL	2.908	44.549	7.810	0.510	22.710	5.439	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.932	40.108	12.144	0.888	35.610	11.159	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.985	12.501	7.846	0.618	7.725	3.774	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.025	43.134	8.412	0.590	25.450	7.598	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	3.601	55.140	7.606	0.497	27.394	7.031	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.299	61.503	10.697	0.748	45.985	15.181	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI--MN	3.093	40.538	14.226	1.086	44.005	14.173	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.224	45.794	13.198	0.929	42.544	14.223	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	3.864	49.378	10.169	0.796	39.290	11.027	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.771	23.622	11.374	0.853	20.143	7.556	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.902	56.880	11.603	0.796	45.279	12.053	0	0
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.020	7.499	0.680	7.499	2.984	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.659	39.452	7.146	0.482	18.998	4.007	0	0
							Total	315	$17,632,310

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	1.000	14.286	4.836	0.339	4.836	0.916	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	0.954	11.935	9.117	0.729	8.699	2.973	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.996	18.712	14.461	0.770	14.407	1.090	1	55,976
Alabama	Florence, AL	2.035	23.636	7.524	0.648	15.312	4.989	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.334	68.246	8.318	0.528	36.046	6.790	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.352	39.894	2.786	0.234	9.338	1.784	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.947	81.729	11.405	0.690	56.423	7.652	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	1.102	18.422	6.923	0.414	7.631	1.728	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.669	49.560	12.762	0.945	46.821	18.444	3	167,927
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZ--CA	1.276	28.071	12.824	0.583	16.364	3.804	1	55,976
Arkansas	Fayetteville--Springdale, AR	3.726	43.905	4.562	0.387	16.999	8.837	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AR--OK	2.274	30.356	4.264	0.319	9.698	2.110	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Atascadero--El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	10.429	280.642	5.000	0.186	52.144	1.805	2	111,951
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.696	83.982	14.213	0.964	80.956	14.933	2	111,951
California	Davis, CA	9.358	117.848	17.361	1.379	162.465	56.523	6	335,853
California	El Centro, CA	12.772	245.026	13.554	0.707	173.111	9.520	4	223,902
California	Fairfield, CA	4.613	92.027	13.016	0.653	60.048	6.792	1	55,976
California	Gilroy--Morgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.232	177.199	76.899	2.271	402.363	21.878	5	279,878
California	Hemet, CA	2.147	41.743	6.344	0.326	13.622	1.500	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.914	54.524	5.225	0.375	20.454	4.175	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.658	17.602	6.077	0.572	10.075	3.523	0	0
California	Lompoc, CA	10.073	139.170	8.540	0.618	86.029	5.304	2	111,951
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
California	Merced, CA	1.856	36.763	7.263	0.367	13.484	2.240	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.370	29.145	8.217	0.668	19.471	5.157	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.875	50.613	7.433	0.569	28.802	4.964	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.513	84.564	7.586	0.495	41.822	9.557	0	0
California	Redding, CA	4.502	72.411	10.435	0.649	46.978	8.557	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	6.949	114.338	8.887	0.540	61.761	9.252	2	111,951
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	6.032	91.945	13.215	0.867	79.710	22.014	3	167,927
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.700	175.191	16.670	1.208	211.717	43.047	6	335,853
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.642	202.302	16.000	0.921	186.278	17.929	6	335,853
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.850	139.422	22.336	1.578	220.017	36.161	6	335,853
California	Santa Maria, CA	9.861	168.151	10.193	0.598	100.512	11.087	3	167,927
California	Seaside--Monterey--Marina, CA	6.003	97.695	19.123	1.175	114.795	17.310	4	223,902
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.678	51.714	5.757	0.409	21.176	4.250	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.837	29.548	20.053	1.247	36.843	17.070	3	167,927
California	Vacaville, CA	8.383	157.729	0.641	0.034	5.372	0.551	2	111,951
California	Vallejo, CA	5.482	81.997	7.839	0.524	42.975	5.727	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.298	59.795	12.710	0.914	54.627	13.199	2	111,951
California	Watsonville, CA	8.530	127.490	9.482	0.634	80.880	12.782	2	111,951
California	Yuba City, CA	6.213	94.617	11.696	0.768	72.673	10.740	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.035	124.344	25.814	1.876	233.237	45.979	6	335,853
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.696	74.522	8.884	0.560	41.720	9.405	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.874	46.962	5.663	0.467	21.938	5.922	0	0
Colorado	Lafayette--Louisville, CO	8.788	122.484	6.783	0.487	59.608	11.883	2	111,951
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.546	116.284	15.124	1.111	129.244	25.071	6	335,853
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	3.638	56.583	6.671	0.429	24.273	7.735	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CT--NY	29.205	791.504	35.247	1.301	1029.388	42.032	6	335,853
Connecticut	Norwich--New London, CT	6.150	115.480	9.421	0.502	57.944	7.170	1	55,976
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.325	672.900	29.844	1.256	845.338	42.940	6	335,853
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.151	54.532	31.655	1.829	99.758	13.450	3	167,927
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.904	36.391	5.392	0.282	10.269	1.603	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.262	49.465	7.476	0.493	24.386	4.703	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.353	18.763	6.657	0.495	9.279	1.645	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.042	92.668	19.772	1.716	159.007	56.299	5	279,878
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	5.035	75.806	13.767	0.914	69.321	11.220	2	111,951
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.583	45.575	11.361	0.644	29.342	2.013	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.548	68.513	9.097	0.604	41.371	7.866	0	0
Florida	Leesburg--Eustis, FL	2.720	49.263	12.612	0.696	34.307	2.288	1	55,976
Florida	North Port--Punta Gorda, FL	1.091	18.606	4.016	0.236	4.382	0.566	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	1.160	18.800	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	3.146	56.848	9.483	0.525	29.832	6.458	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.688	48.517	7.515	0.416	20.199	2.764	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.194	197.307	21.148	0.664	131.000	5.403	3	167,927
Florida	Vero Beach--Sebastian, FL	3.771	45.816	6.853	0.564	25.845	5.331	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	2.163	35.644	11.105	0.674	24.024	3.512	0	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.069	70.135	6.737	0.391	27.414	4.222	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	7.184	116.990	6.735	0.414	48.381	10.083	2	111,951
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.769	56.893	14.648	1.743	99.148	105.901	5	279,878
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.752	10.176	3.181	0.235	2.390	1.628	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	3.459	47.963	8.334	0.601	28.828	6.732	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	8.156	93.311	9.694	0.847	79.071	12.322	2	111,951
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)--Kaneohe, HI	12.124	167.053	2.157	0.157	26.146	4.781	2	111,951
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	1.715	22.424	8.142	0.623	13.961	1.940	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, ID--WA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	4.797	106.453	5.939	0.268	28.491	2.154	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.679	65.095	7.830	0.563	36.638	7.486	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	5.329	94.453	4.037	0.228	21.517	2.813	0	0
Illinois	Bloomington--Normal, IL	3.124	45.130	13.151	0.910	41.076	14.617	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	10.106	114.839	24.952	2.196	252.170	81.691	6	335,853
Illinois	Danville, IL	4.987	93.323	9.684	0.518	48.297	9.736	0	0
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.437	47.572	11.611	0.839	39.906	13.388	1	55,976
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.574	23.465	11.215	0.752	17.650	2.147	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.110	74.425	13.897	0.954	71.014	9.738	2	111,951
Illinois	Springfield, IL	3.004	37.531	10.211	0.817	30.676	11.046	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	2.032	26.911	4.203	0.317	8.540	1.846	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	6.058	64.657	12.244	1.147	74.179	33.062	2	111,951
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Elkhart, IN--MI	2.596	39.952	5.563	0.361	14.438	2.416	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.235	11.876	9.430	0.981	11.650	2.524	1	55,976
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.032	68.558	12.658	1.114	76.359	37.711	3	167,927
Indiana	Michigan City, IN--MI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.543	72.347	11.685	0.895	64.771	21.323	2	111,951
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.451	12.385	4.883	0.572	7.084	3.983	0	0
Iowa	Ames, IA	6.857	72.329	22.166	2.101	151.992	98.611	5	279,878
Iowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	4.779	60.927	8.453	0.663	40.401	8.064	0	0
Iowa	Dubuque, IA--IL	2.059	24.198	8.284	0.705	17.057	5.423	0	0
Iowa	Iowa City, IA	5.539	62.388	23.821	2.115	131.958	77.497	4	223,902
Iowa	Sioux City, IA--NE--SD	8.385	106.001	6.905	0.546	57.898	11.246	1	55,976
Iowa	Waterloo, IA	1.062	16.734	9.514	0.604	10.108	5.083	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.892	34.153	11.608	0.983	33.572	12.316	1	55,976
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.175	65.029	10.832	0.695	45.222	11.648	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Radcliff--Elizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	5.018	80.419	8.270	0.516	41.499	10.646	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.119	121.277	4.789	0.360	43.674	8.358	2	111,951
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Mandeville--Covington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	4.585	69.822	7.382	0.485	33.848	10.575	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	6.049	80.878	10.327	0.772	62.464	14.958	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.043	39.016	4.747	0.370	14.445	4.645	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.137	69.703	8.400	0.740	51.550	12.854	0	0
Maryland	Aberdeen--Havre de Grace--Bel Air, MD	3.344	60.890	4.305	0.236	14.397	1.737	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MD--WV--PA	3.495	53.302	8.883	0.582	31.043	3.398	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.241	45.419	9.771	0.697	31.665	6.647	0	0
Maryland	Hagerstown, MD--WV--PA	3.017	45.423	4.000	0.266	12.068	3.204	0	0
Maryland	Salisbury, MD--DE	0.000	0.000	32.947	1.582	0.000	8.610	2	111,951
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.884	63.606	8.304	0.507	32.249	3.836	0	0
Maryland	Westminster, MD	1.416	18.396	11.878	0.915	16.825	2.048	1	55,976
Massachusetts	Leominster--Fitchburg, MA	2.560	42.924	24.469	1.459	62.631	7.678	2	111,951
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.891	61.641	5.145	0.408	25.162	5.457	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.828	52.778	16.353	1.186	62.595	7.967	2	111,951
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.960	52.370	6.545	0.495	25.917	6.945	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.731	50.742	19.021	1.024	51.952	8.250	2	111,951
Michigan	Benton Harbor--St. Joseph, MI	1.898	21.336	7.137	0.635	13.546	2.797	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	1.108	13.897	8.664	0.691	9.600	3.413	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.404	35.533	8.602	0.582	20.682	6.341	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, MI	3.859	45.441	10.893	0.925	42.037	13.934	1	55,976
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.742	34.833	9.090	0.716	24.929	5.569	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.889	48.438	5.127	0.412	19.939	4.833	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.528	23.896	21.161	1.353	32.343	11.412	2	111,951
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.611	75.934	5.728	0.348	26.411	7.480	0	0
Michigan	South Lyon--Howell--Brighton, MI	2.391	47.311	5.058	0.256	12.094	0.864	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MN--WI	6.992	91.508	16.485	1.260	115.268	27.047	5	279,878
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.232	81.424	12.731	0.818	66.608	17.797	2	111,951
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.446	72.968	17.707	1.321	96.423	26.082	3	167,927
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	7.223	381.146	4.422	0.084	31.939	0.716	2	111,951
Missouri	Columbia, MO	4.931	48.836	7.771	0.785	38.321	23.263	1	55,976
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	1.979	30.049	10.051	0.662	19.887	7.118	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Missouri	Joplin, MO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Missouri	Lee's Summit, MO	1.856	28.036	0.398	0.026	0.738	0.114	0	0
Missouri	St. Joseph, MO--KS	2.341	28.018	10.754	0.899	25.179	5.015	1	55,976
Montana	Billings, MT	3.941	51.514	7.166	0.548	28.243	7.330	0	0
Montana	Great Falls, MT	1.543	19.917	8.508	0.659	13.129	6.155	0	0
Montana	Missoula, MT	3.428	50.628	12.318	0.834	42.224	17.085	2	111,951
N. Mariana Islands	Saipan, MP	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Nevada	Carson City, NV	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Hampshire	Dover--Rochester, NH--ME	8.349	128.003	7.236	0.472	60.412	11.960	2	111,951
New Hampshire	Manchester, NH	2.553	27.315	3.742	0.350	9.554	3.787	0	0
New Hampshire	Nashua, NH--MA	4.914	65.242	2.453	0.185	12.056	2.367	0	0
New Hampshire	Portsmouth, NH--ME	8.359	128.218	5.477	0.357	45.782	9.147	2	111,951
New Jersey	Hightstown, NJ	4.006	57.597	0.481	0.033	1.928	0.448	0	0
New Jersey	Vineland, NJ	1.335	20.495	5.621	0.366	7.505	1.085	0	0
New Jersey	Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ	1.335	20.495	17.653	1.150	23.572	3.407	2	111,951
New Mexico	Farmington, NM	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New Mexico	Las Cruces, NM	3.281	37.349	6.696	0.588	21.966	6.861	0	0
New Mexico	Santa Fe, NM	3.180	39.960	16.206	1.290	51.539	11.577	2	111,951
New York	Binghamton, NY--PA	4.943	71.385	16.923	1.172	83.655	20.049	3	167,928
New York	Elmira, NY	3.157	55.935	14.230	0.803	44.922	10.351	1	55,976
New York	Glens Falls, NY	3.456	56.081	5.765	0.355	19.923	5.544	0	0
New York	Ithaca, NY	4.262	66.552	39.592	2.536	168.747	63.776	4	223,902
New York	Kingston, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Middletown, NY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
New York	Saratoga Springs, NY	0.894	8.953	6.237	0.623	5.576	2.653	0	0
New York	Utica, NY	3.340	39.244	9.111	0.775	30.433	10.471	0	0
North Carolina	Burlington, NC	0.000	0.000	1.939	0.044	0.000	0.492	0	0
North Carolina	Concord, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Gastonia, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Goldsboro, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Greenville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Hickory, NC	3.137	54.463	4.244	0.244	13.315	1.290	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	1.664	29.883	11.550	0.643	19.217	8.013	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	1.812	27.385	11.971	0.792	21.693	9.216	0	0
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.190	17.240	12.721	0.878	15.141	4.025	2	111,951
North Dakota	Fargo, ND--MN	4.771	61.629	8.958	0.694	42.741	13.582	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, ND--MN	2.085	20.370	11.350	1.162	23.667	5.892	1	55,976
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	Lorain--Elyria, OH	3.094	54.397	5.628	0.320	17.416	2.881	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	2.792	32.974	3.994	0.338	11.153	3.600	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	3.983	56.908	2.646	0.185	10.541	2.102	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	0.885	14.789	14.140	0.846	12.517	2.063	2	111,951
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.482	14.713	4.982	0.502	7.384	1.646	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	2.519	28.633	3.042	0.268	7.661	4.203	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WV--Steubenville, OH--PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.769	40.886	7.671	0.520	21.245	4.795	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	5.294	60.834	6.549	0.570	34.671	15.264	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.610	5.592	5.526	0.603	3.371	5.661	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	8.295	117.864	6.801	0.479	56.413	11.811	2	111,951
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.964	101.819	7.482	0.438	44.628	8.606	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	4.093	51.535	6.217	0.494	25.443	7.359	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.688	44.564	15.632	1.294	57.647	16.819	3	167,927
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.647	72.208	9.495	0.874	63.110	15.828	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.489	59.031	12.638	0.747	44.093	5.802	1	55,976
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	13.956	189.769	4.836	0.356	67.491	2.863	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.680	150.260	24.859	1.767	265.493	99.558	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	Uniontown--Connellsville, PA	1.516	26.520	23.450	1.341	35.552	4.233	2	111,951
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.472	112.841	14.307	0.947	106.906	22.104	6	335,853
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.148	43.826	13.379	0.961	42.120	7.846	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	3.160	36.365	13.204	1.147	41.728	9.413	2	111,951

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	3.533	41.489	24.297	2.069	85.840	18.507	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Florida--Barceloneta--Bajadero, PR	3.558	39.479	4.045	0.365	14.394	3.206	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	4.427	44.348	13.130	1.311	58.127	14.079	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	3.851	39.866	14.311	1.382	55.110	13.762	2	111,951
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	3.146	27.913	21.686	2.444	68.224	19.496	3	167,927
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	3.767	32.405	7.482	0.870	28.187	9.114	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	San German--Cabo Rojo--Sabana Grande, PR	3.399	39.932	10.104	0.860	34.342	7.285	1	55,976
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	3.345	36.870	17.738	1.609	59.337	12.253	2	111,951
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.576	60.301	39.269	1.677	101.144	4.809	3	167,927
South Carolina	Mauldin--Simpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.277	32.732	5.172	0.360	11.776	2.173	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	2.706	38.062	6.690	0.476	18.104	3.770	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	3.327	62.784	26.953	1.428	89.665	5.869	2	111,951
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.424	26.356	7.094	0.652	17.193	4.536	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.044	64.131	10.654	0.838	53.735	8.484	1	55,976
Tennessee	Bristol, TN--Bristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TN--KY	3.182	49.586	10.710	0.687	34.073	6.128	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.654	6.395	4.477	0.458	2.929	1.001	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.304	44.145	11.925	0.892	39.399	8.853	1	55,976
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.621	41.649	5.910	0.514	21.397	5.703	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TN--VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	1.804	17.660	4.104	0.419	7.403	2.025	0	0
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.467	32.444	9.651	0.734	23.806	5.432	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.742	26.239	4.794	0.318	8.349	1.991	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.516	48.525	6.232	0.452	21.913	4.678	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	14.240	168.529	5.961	0.504	84.883	9.950	2	111,951
Texas	College Station--Bryan, TX	1.290	21.963	4.845	0.285	6.248	2.767	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	3.678	39.935	7.737	0.712	28.453	9.128	0	0
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.505	5.943	0.579	0.049	0.292	0.058	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Texas	Killeen, TX	2.823	47.247	5.393	0.322	15.224	1.860	0	0
Texas	Lake Jackson--Angleton, TX	1.947	32.631	1.636	0.098	3.185	0.190	0	0
Texas	Laredo, TX	7.097	76.229	10.796	1.005	76.616	22.987	3	167,927
Texas	Longview, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	McKinney, TX	1.236	19.476	7.786	0.494	9.625	1.292	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	14.002	3.818	0.250	3.506	1.937	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.919	14.010	4.112	0.270	3.778	2.075	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	3.471	54.362	3.051	0.195	10.591	1.297	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.393	21.442	13.106	0.851	18.255	3.560	2	111,951
Texas	Sherman, TX	2.274	37.095	9.432	0.578	21.447	1.712	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.519	21.528	7.682	0.542	11.668	1.978	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TX--Texarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	1.499	27.934	3.237	0.174	4.852	0.366	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	33.409	893.664	4.378	0.164	146.251	4.067	3	167,927
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.024	14.161	10.461	0.756	10.707	4.613	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	3.681	57.430	6.330	0.406	23.297	4.350	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	5.995	88.075	10.918	0.743	65.457	21.315	1	55,976
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	6.077	84.982	15.599	1.115	94.791	24.220	3	167,927
Virgin Islands	Virgin Islands	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	7.574	81.380	19.442	1.809	147.248	62.029	5	279,878
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	3.233	40.420	27.023	2.162	87.368	27.689	3	167,927
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.863	61.296	6.708	0.423	25.914	5.012	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	4.219	78.838	11.578	0.620	48.843	5.478	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	8.399	82.943	10.497	1.063	88.165	32.472	3	167,927
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.200	41.552	13.483	1.038	43.139	30.163	3	167,927
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.269	70.769	9.177	0.683	48.352	10.178	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.939	92.286	38.391	2.471	228.016	70.072	4	223,902
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.557	106.762	21.636	1.126	120.223	20.914	4	223,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6

FY 2011 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ $55,976 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	**6.529**	**108.538**	**12.607**	**0.823**	**97.743**	**16.586**		
Washington	Kennewick--Richland, WA	7.058	169.159	65.952	2.752	465.492	35.730	6	335,853
Washington	Longview, WA--OR	5.394	60.244	6.233	0.558	33.624	8.179	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	5.761	93.885	10.262	0.630	59.124	8.750	0	0
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	4.921	96.172	30.412	1.556	149.649	12.425	3	167,927
Washington	Olympia--Lacey, WA	6.667	128.871	46.012	2.380	306.741	35.649	6	335,854
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.045	87.017	13.462	1.090	94.833	10.304	3	167,927
Washington	Yakima, WA	5.017	75.843	14.733	0.975	73.918	13.571	2	111,951
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.578	90.868	14.991	0.920	83.618	13.622	2	111,951
West Virginia	Huntington, WV--KY--OH	2.680	39.710	7.130	0.481	19.107	4.671	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	1.175	18.818	16.483	1.029	19.371	17.373	3	167,927
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WV--OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WV--OH	1.707	20.672	8.021	0.662	13.688	4.922	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.000	29.208	10.528	0.721	21.056	6.015	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WI--IL	2.908	44.549	7.810	0.510	22.710	5.439	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.932	40.108	12.144	0.888	35.610	11.159	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.985	12.501	7.846	0.618	7.725	3.774	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.025	43.134	8.412	0.590	25.450	7.598	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	3.601	55.140	7.606	0.497	27.394	7.031	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.299	61.503	10.697	0.748	45.985	15.181	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WI--MN	3.093	40.538	14.226	1.086	44.005	14.173	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.224	45.794	13.198	0.929	42.544	14.223	2	111,951
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	3.864	49.378	10.169	0.796	39.290	11.027	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.771	23.622	11.374	0.853	20.143	7.556	1	55,976
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.902	56.880	11.603	0.796	45.279	12.053	0	0
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.020	7.499	0.680	7.499	2.984	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.659	39.452	7.146	0.482	18.998	4.007	0	0
						Total		315	$17,632,310

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 7

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5308 Clean Fuels Grant Program as of September 30, 2010

FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

Earmark ID	State	Project Name	Unobligated Balance
E2009-CLNF-003	DE	Delaware Statewide Bus and Bus Replacement (with Clean Fuel (hybrid) vehicles)	$271,483
E2009-CLNF-009	NV	Lake Tahoe, NV MPO Bus Replacement	1,000,000
E2009-CLNF-010	NY	Westchester, NY, Bee Line Bus Replacement	650,000
E2009-CLNF-012	RI	Rhode Island, Statewide Bus and Van Replacement	6,400,000
		Total FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$8,321,483**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 8

FY 2011 SECTION 5309 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

STATE	AREA	APPORTIONMENT
Arizona	Phoenix--Mesa, AZ	$547,858
California	Los Angeles--Long Beach--Santa Ana, CA	8,620,887
California	Sacramento, CA	825,198
California	San Diego, CA	2,494,952
California	San Francisco--Oakland, CA	45,376,044
California	San Jose, CA	3,513,592
Colorado	Denver--Aurora, CO	655,204
Connecticut	Hartford, CT	422,489
Connecticut	Southwestern Connecticut	30,775,977
District of Columbia	Washington, DC--VA--MD	15,615,089
Florida	Jacksonville, FL	31,982
Florida	Miami, FL	4,647,760
Florida	Tampa--St. Petersburg, FL	29,842
Georgia	Atlanta, GA	6,539,456
Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	216,321
Illinois	Chicago, IL--IN	97,158,020
Louisiana	New Orleans, LA	2,352,072
Maryland	Baltimore Commuter Rail	11,584,138
Maryland	Baltimore, MD	2,453,170
Massachusetts	Boston, MA	49,013,311
Michigan	Detroit, MI	117,212
Minnesota	Minneapolis--St. Paul, MN	1,601,479
Missouri	St. Louis, MO--IL	1,127,627
New Jersey	Northeastern New Jersey	61,863,335
New Jersey	Trenton, NJ	500,028
New York	Buffalo, NY	359,166
New York	New York	238,436,748
Ohio	Cleveland, OH	10,969,703
Ohio	Dayton, OH	1,363,848
Oregon	Portland, OR--WA	987,335
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia, PA-NJ-DE-MD	70,519,858
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh, PA	18,221,644
Puerto Rico	San Juan, PR	591,831
Rhode Island	Providence, RI--MA	790,586
Tennessee	Chattanooga, TN--GA	24,028
Texas	Dallas--Fort Worth--Arlington, TX	259,209
Texas	Houston, TX	1,993,209
Virginia	Virginia Beach, VA	372,613
Washington	Seattle, WA	5,772,513
West Virginia	Morgantown, WVA	271,358
Wisconsin	Madison, WI	210,470
	TOTAL	**$699,227,162**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 9

FY 2011 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Tier 1 First $497,700,000 to the following areas:

Baltimore	$	8,372,000
Boston	$	38,948,000
Chicago/N.W. Indiana	$	78,169,000
Cleveland	$	9,509,500
New Orleans	$	1,730,588
New York	$	176,034,461
N. E. New Jersey	$	50,604,653
Philadelphia/So. New Jersey	$	58,924,764
Pittsburgh	$	13,662,463
San Francisco	$	33,989,571
SW Connecticut	$	27,755,000

Tier 2 Next $70,000,000 as follows: Tier 2(A): 50 percent is allocated to areas identified in Tier 1; Tier 2(B): 50 percent is allocated to other urbanized areas with fixed guideway tiers in operation at least seven years. Funds are allocated by the Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors that were used to apportion funds for the fixed guideway modernization program in FY 1997.

Tier 3 Next $5,700,000 as follows: Pittsburgh 61.76%; Cleveland 10.73%; New Orleans 5.79%; and 21.72% is allocated to all other areas in Tier 2(B) by the same fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.

Tier 4 Next $186,600,000 as follows: All eligible areas using the same year fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.

Tier 5 Next $70,000,000 as follows: 65% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 35% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

Tier 6 Next $50,000,000 as follows: 60% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 40% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

Tier 7 Remaining amounts as follows: 50% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 50% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities as of September 30, 2010

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations			
AK	E2009-BUSP-001	Alaska Native Medical Center intermodal parking facility	$1,350,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-003	Bus Acquisition, Fairbanks North Star Borough Transit	798,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-004	C Street Expanded bus facility and inter-modal parking garage, Anchorage, AK	1,350,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-011	Ketchikan, Alaska-Transit Needs	68,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-012	MASCOT Bus Bay Expansion, Mat-Su Borough	665,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-015	North Slope Borough, AK-Transit Purposes	549,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-016	North Star Borough, AK-Transit Purposes	274,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-018	Statewide Bus and Bus Facility Enhancements	570,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-019	Wrangell, AK-Ferry Infrastructure	274,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-021	Alabama Senior Transportation	950,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-024	Baldwin County Bus and Bus Facilities Project	950,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-025	Birmingham, AL Expansion of Downtown Intermodal Facility, Phase II	451,440
AL	E2009-BUSP-026	City of Birmingham, AL-Birmingham Downtown Intermodal Terminal, Phase II	1,372,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-027	City of Huntsville, AL- Cummings Park Intermodal Center	40,004
AL	E2009-BUSP-028	City of Montgomery, AL-ITS Acquisition and Implementation	1,097,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-032	Gulf Shores, AL-- Bus and Bus facilities	274,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-033	Marshall County Vehicle Replacement for Seniors and for the Mentally Disabled	285,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-034	Mobile County, AL Commission-Bus project	137,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-035	Replacement of Buses and Vans, Birmingham-Jefferson County Transit Authority	1,425,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-036	University of Alabama Bus and Bus Facility Project	475,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-038	University of Alabama in Huntsville Intermodal Facility	1,646,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-040	University of Alabama Transit System	411,000
AR	E2009-BUSP-042	Central Arkansas Transit Authority, Bus Acquisition	1,000,000
AZ	E2009-BUSP-048	Coconino County buses and bus facilities for Flagstaff, AZ	282,150
AZ	E2009-BUSP-049	Coconino County, Arizona-Bus and bus facilities for the Sedona Transit System	214,434
AZ	E2009-BUSP-050	Phoenix, AZ Construct City of Phoenix para-transit facility (Dial-A-Ride)	225,720
AZ	E2009-BUSP-051	Phoenix, AZ Construct metro bus facility in Phoenixs West Valley	1,128,600
AZ	E2009-BUSP-052	Phoenix, AZ Construct regional heavy bus maintenance facility	225,720
AZ	E2009-BUSP-053	Scottsdale, Arizona-Plan, design, and construct intermodal center	564,300
AZ	E2009-BUSP-054	South Mountain Circulator Bus, Phoenix	950,000
AZ	E2009-BUSP-055	Tempe, Arizona-Construct East Valley Metro Bus Facility	1,467,180
CA	E2009-BUSP-060	Baldwin Park, CA Construct vehicle and bicycle parking lot and pedestrian rest area at transit center	451,440
CA	E2009-BUSP-063	Burbank, CA Construction of Empire Area Transit Center near Burbank Airport	56,430
CA	E2009-BUSP-067	Calexico, CA Purchase new buses for the Calexico Transit System	67,716
CA	E2009-BUSP-072	City of Livermore, CA Construct Bus Facility for Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority	507,870
CA	E2009-BUSP-076	Covina, El Monte, Baldwin Park, Upland, CA Parking and Electronic Signage Improvements	395,010
CA	E2009-BUSP-078	Davis, CA Davis Multi-Modal Station to improve entrance to Amtrak Depot and parking lot, provide additional parking and improve service	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-086	Fresno, CA-Develop program of low-emission transit vehicles	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-088	Glassell park Transit Pavilion, Los Angeles	190,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-089	Glendale, CA Construction of Downtown Streetcar Project	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-092	Hercules, CA Inter-modal Rail Station Improvements	338,580
CA	E2009-BUSP-093	Historic Filipinotown Bus Security Lights, Los Angeles	62,700
CA	E2009-BUSP-094	Intermodal Station, Vacaville	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-097	Long Beach, CA Park and Ride Facility	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-113	Martinez, CA Inter-modal Facility Restoration	338,580
CA	E2009-BUSP-114	Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Light Rail Transit Project from Pasadena, CA to Montclair, CA	3,385,800
CA	E2009-BUSP-116	Monrovia Transit Village Improvements	237,500
CA	E2009-BUSP-117	Monrovia, California-Transit Village Project	677,160
CA	E2009-BUSP-118	Montebello, CA Bus Lines Bus Fleet Replacement Project	158,004
CA	E2009-BUSP-124	MTOC Clean Fuel Bus Purchases and Facility Enhancements	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-128	Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Transportation Center Improvements, Santa Fe Springs	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-137	Palmdale Transportation Center Metrolink Platform Extension	380,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-140	Purchase Clean Fuel Buses for Long Beach Transit	950,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-141	Purchase CNG Buses for Foothill Transit	1,187,500
CA	E2009-BUSP-142	Redondo Beach, CA Capital Equipment procurement of 12. Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Transit Vehicles for Coastal Shuttle Services by Beach Cities Tran	180,576
CA	E2009-BUSP-146	Sacramento, CA Bus enhancement and improvements-construct maintenance facility and purchase clean-fuel buses to improve transit service	451,440
CA	E2009-BUSP-147	Sacramento, improvements to the existing Sacramento Intermodal Facility (Sacramento Valley Station)	1,580,040
CA	E2009-BUSP-150	San Diego, CA Widen sidewalks and bus stop entrance, and provide diagonal parking, in the Skyline Paradise Hills neighborhood (Reo Drive)	67,716
CA	E2009-BUSP-151	San Fernando Valley, CA Reseda Blvd. Bus Rapid Transit Route	135,432
CA	E2009-BUSP-152	San Fernando, CA Purchase CNG buses and related equipment and construct facilities	686,189
CA	E2009-BUSP-156	San Francisco, CA Redesign and renovate intermodal facility at Glen Park Community	931,095
CA	E2009-BUSP-157	San Gabriel Valley, CA-Foothill Transit Park and Rides	2,144,340
CA	E2009-BUSP-160	Santa Ana, CA Improve Santa Ana Transit terminal	225,720

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities as of September 30, 2010

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
CA	E2009-BUSP-164	Santa Monica, CA Construct intermodal park-and-ride facility at Santa Monica College campus on South Bundy Drive near Airport Avenue	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-167	Solana Beach, CA-Construct Intermodal Facility	338,580
CA	E2009-BUSP-168	Sonoma County, CA Purchase of CNG buses	112,860
CA	E2009-BUSP-169	South Pasadena, CA Silent Night Grade Crossing Project	203,148
CA	E2009-BUSP-177	Transit Station Expansion Project (Metrolink Parking Lot), Rialto	285,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-178	Tri-Delta Transit Park and Ride Lots, Eastern Contra Costa County	641,250
CA	E2009-BUSP-948	San Francisco Water Transit Authority	2,500,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-956	Fuel Cell Bus Program (Earmark designated for MA, CA and GA)	3,000,000
CO	E2009-BUSP-185	Colorado Association of Transit Agencies/Colorado Transit Coalition-Colorado Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities	921,817
CO	E2009-BUSP-192	Grand Valley Transit, CO Bus and Bus Facilities	112,860
CT	E2009-BUSP-199	Bridgeport Intermodal Transportation Center	2,850,000
CT	E2009-BUSP-203	Downtown Middletown, CT, Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project	2,850,000
CT	E2009-BUSP-206	Middletown, CT Construct intermodal center	338,580
CT	E2009-BUSP-208	New London, Connecticut-Intermodal Transportation Center and Streetscapes	112,860
CT	E2009-BUSP-210	Stonington and Mystic, Connecticut-Intermodal Center parking facility and Streetscape	550,757
CT	E2009-BUSP-211	Torrington, CT Construct bus-related facility (Northwestern Connecticut Central Transit District)	451,440
CT	E2009-BUSP-212	Vernon, Connecticut-Intermodal Center, Parking and Streetscapes	1,715,472
CT	E2009-BUSP-213	Waterbury, CT Bus Maintenance Facility	3,400,000
DC	E2009-BUSP-214	Union Station Intermodal Transportation Center, Washington	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-217	Amtrak Station Construction and Improvements, Winter Park	950,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-220	Broward County, FL - Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities	451,440
FL	E2009-BUSP-221	Broward County, FL Buses & Bus Facilities	1,467,180
FL	E2009-BUSP-222	Broward County-Bus and Bus Facilities	549,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-223	Broward, FL Purchase new articulated buses and bus stop improvements on State Road 7. (SR 7) between Golden Glades Interchange and Glades Road	112,860
FL	E2009-BUSP-224	Bus Facility, North Bay Village	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-226	Central Avenue BRT Corridor Station Development and Enhancements	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-227	Central Florida Commuter Rail Intermodal Facilities	810,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-228	Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority-LYNX Bus Fleet Expansion Program	1,372,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-230	Collier County Transit-Transit Facility	274,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-231	Construction of Bus Stations in Altamonte, Lake Mary, Longwood, and Sanford	1,425,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-233	Design, Acquisition of ROW, and Construction of the Regional Intermodal Terminal Center, Jacksonville	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-234	Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition and construction intermodal transportation & parking facility, City of Winter Park	112,860
FL	E2009-BUSP-235	Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction Central Florida Commuter Rail intermodal facilities	1,128,600
FL	E2009-BUSP-236	Doral Transit Circulator Program, City of Doral	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-248	Lakeland Area Mass Transit District Bus Replacement and Facility Maintenance	285,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-249	Lakeland Area Mass Transit District/Citrus Connection-Capital Funding Needs	549,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-250	Levy County, Florida-Purchase 2. wheel chair equipped passenger buses and related equipment	67,716
FL	E2009-BUSP-252	Lower Keys Shuttle Bus Facilities, Key West	950,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-253	LYNX Buses, Orange County	237,500
FL	E2009-BUSP-255	Miami Lakes Hybrid Electric Vehicles and Trolleybus Procurement	570,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-256	Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities	1,354,320
FL	E2009-BUSP-257	Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities	902,880
FL	E2009-BUSP-258	Miami-Dade County, Florida-Transit Security System	674,903
FL	E2009-BUSP-260	Miami-Dade Transit Bus Procurement Plan	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-261	Miami-Dade Transit Dadeland South Intermodal Center	540,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-263	Ocala and Marion County, Florida-replacement buses	677,160
FL	E2009-BUSP-264	Orlando, FL Bus Replacement	902,880
FL	E2009-BUSP-265	Orlando, Florida-LYNX Bus Fleet Expansion Program	203,148
FL	E2009-BUSP-271	Pembroke Pines Senior Center Bus Procurement	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-273	Polk County Transit System	285,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-274	Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL	507,870
FL	E2009-BUSP-275	Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL	451,440
FL	E2009-BUSP-279	St. Augustine, Florida-Intermodal Transportation Center and related pedestrian and landscape improvements	225,720
FL	E2009-BUSP-285	LYNX Buses, Orlando	2,850,000
GA	E2009-BUSP-288	Athens, GA Buses and Bus Facilities	320,522
GA	E2009-BUSP-289	Athens-Clarke County Transit, Bus Procurement	1,330,000
GA	E2009-BUSP-290	Atlanta, GA Inter-modal Passenger Facility Improvements	451,440
GA	E2009-BUSP-293	Augusta, GA Buses and Bus Facilities	90,288
GA	E2009-BUSP-294	Bus and Related Facilities Replacement, Albany	475,000
GA	E2009-BUSP-297	Columbus, GA Bus replacement	67,716
GA	E2009-BUSP-299	Columbus, Georgia-Buses & Bus Facilities	218,723
GA	E2009-BUSP-300	Georgia Department of Transportation-Georgia Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	2,156,232
GA	E2009-BUSP-301	Georgia Statewide Bus Program	45,144
GA	E2009-BUSP-302	GRTA Park and Ride Facility, Rockdale County	190,000

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities as of September 30, 2010

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
GA	E2009-BUSP-310	Savannah, Georgia-Water Ferry River walk intermodal facilities	451,440
GA	E2009-BUSP-956	Fuel Cell Bus Program (Earmark designated for MA, CA and GA)	1,929,887
GU	E2009-BUSP-313	Guam Mass Transit Bus Maintenance Facility	237,500
HI	E2009-BUSP-316	Honolulu, HI, Bus Facilities	1,300,000
IA	E2009-BUSP-318	Ames, Iowa-Expansion of CyRide Bus Maintenance Facility	451,440
IA	E2009-BUSP-319	Black Hawk County, IA UNI Multimodal Project	335,155
IA	E2009-BUSP-321	Dubuque Downtown Transportation Center Intermodal Facility, Dubuque	237,500
IA	E2009-BUSP-324	Transit Maintenance Facility, Davenport	380,000
ID	E2009-BUSP-327	Idaho Transit Coalition Buses and Bus Facilities	1,462,934
ID	E2009-BUSP-328	Treasure Valley Transit Facilities	475,000
IL	E2009-BUSP-330	Centralia, Illinois-South Central Mass Transit District Improvements	90,288
IL	E2009-BUSP-331	Champaign, IL-Construct park and ride lot with attached daycare facility	338,580
IL	E2009-BUSP-349	Replacement of Paratransit Vehicles, Greater Peoria Mass Transit District, Peoria	380,000
IL	E2009-BUSP-352	Springfield, IL, Multimodal Transit Terminal	1,800,000
IL	E2009-BUSP-355	Toyota Park Pace Transit Center	475,000
IN	E2009-BUSP-363	Indianapolis, IN IndySMART program to relieve congestion, improve safety and air quality	451,440
IN	E2009-BUSP-369	Park and Ride Facility, Indiana University	475,000
KS	E2009-BUSP-378	Unified Government Transit, Bus Replacements, Bus Expansions and Bus Facilities	475,000
KY	E2009-BUSP-381	Frankfort Transit	950,000
KY	E2009-BUSP-382	Intermodal Transit Facility for LKLP Community Action Council, Hazard	237,500
KY	E2009-BUSP-385	Richmond, KY Purchase buses, bus equipment and facilities	162,518
KY	E2009-BUSP-386	Route System Project, Murray Calloway Transit Authority, Murray	1,496,250
LA	E2009-BUSP-390	Capital Area Transit System-Baton Rouge BRT	823,000
LA	E2009-BUSP-396	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development-Statewide Vehicles and Equipment	274,000
LA	E2009-BUSP-397	Louisiana Statewide Bus and Bus Facility	88,349
LA	E2009-BUSP-398	Louisiana-Construct pedestrian walkways between Caddo St. and Milam St. along Edwards St. in Shreveport, LA	228,720
LA	E2009-BUSP-399	New Orleans, LA Inter-modal Riverfront Center	112,860
LA	E2009-BUSP-401	New Orleans, LA Regional Planning Commission, bus and bus facilities	112,860
LA	E2009-BUSP-404	Shreveport, LA-intermodal Transit Facility	756,162
LA	E2009-BUSP-406	Southern University Intermodal Transit Facility System	475,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-408	Attleboro, MA Construction, engineering and site improvements at the Attleboro Intermodal Center	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-412	Brockton, MA Bus replacement for the Brockton Area Transit Authority	338,580
MA	E2009-BUSP-413	Bus Terminal, Fall River	950,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-414	Chelsea Intermodal Parking Garage, Chelsea	855,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-416	FRTA and FRCOD Transit Center, Greenfield	1,900,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-420	Intermodal Station Improvements, Cities of Salem and Beverly	391,875
MA	E2009-BUSP-423	Lowell, MA Implementation of LRTA bus replacement plan	225,720
MA	E2009-BUSP-424	Lowell, MA, Lowell Regional Transit	1,150,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-425	Medford, MA Downtown revitalization featuring construction of a 200 space Park and Ride Facility	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-426	Newburyport, MA Design and Construct Intermodal Facility	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-428	Quincy, MA MBTA Purchase high speed catamaran ferry for Quincy Harbor Express Service	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-429	Rapid Transit Handicap Accessibility, Newton	380,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-430	Revere, MA Inter-modal transit improvements in the Wonderland station (MBTA) area	406,296
MA	E2009-BUSP-432	Salem, MA Design and Construct Salem Intermodal Transportation Center	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-433	Salem, Saugus, Topsfield Vans	212,800
MA	E2009-BUSP-434	Southeastern Regional Transit Authority (SRTA) Bus Fleet Replacement	665,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-436	Wonderland Station Intermodal Transit Improvements, City of Revere	950,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-949	Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Ferry System	2,200,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-956	Fuel Cell Bus Program (Earmark designated for MA, CA and GA)	1,000,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-439	Howard County Hybrid Electric Buses	475,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-443	Maryland Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses	6,079,734
MD	E2009-BUSP-445	Mount Rainier, MD Intermodal and Pedestrian Project	101,574
MD	E2009-BUSP-449	Statewide Locally Operated Transit Systems (LOTS), Bus and Facility Improvements	1,900,000
MI	E2009-BUSP-457	Boysville of Michigan Transportation System	758,419
MI	E2009-BUSP-464	Caro Transit Authority Bus Replacement, Caro	72,574
MI	E2009-BUSP-487	Marquette County, Michigan Transit Authority Bus passenger facility	300,000
MI	E2009-BUSP-491	Muskegon Area Transit System	427,500
MI	E2009-BUSP-492	Muskegon, Michigan-Muskegon Area Transit Terminal and related improvements	451,440
MI	E2009-BUSP-493	Niles Dial-a-Ride Bus Acquisition	228,000
MN	E2009-BUSP-499	Cedar Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	950,000
MN	E2009-BUSP-500	Duluth, MN Downtown Duluth Area Transit facility improvements	451,440
MN	E2009-BUSP-502	Greater Minnesota Transit Capital	1,100,000
MN	E2009-BUSP-504	Red Rock Corridor Intermodal Bus and Bus Facilities, Newport	475,000
MO	E2009-BUSP-514	Springdale Metrolink Station, St. Louis County	380,000
MS	E2009-BUSP-517	Coahoma County, Mississippi Purchase buses for the Aaron E. Henry Community Health Services Center, Inc./DARTS transit service	33,858
MS	E2009-BUSP-518	Harrison County Multi-Modal Facilities	2,850,000
MS	E2009-BUSP-520	JATRAN Light Rail Feasibility Study	475,000

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities as of September 30, 2010

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
MT	E2009-BUSP-523	Montana Department of Transportation-Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses	823,000
MT	E2009-BUSP-524	Montana Paratransit System Bus Replacement, Billings	247,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-533	City of Greenville, NC Expansion Buses and Greenville Intermodal Center	804,466
NC	E2009-BUSP-535	Goldsboro Union Depot Multimodal	855,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-541	North Carolina Department of Transportation-North Carolina Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	5,926,155
NC	E2009-BUSP-544	Town of Chapel Hill, NC Park and Ride Lot	338,580
ND	E2009-BUSP-546	ND Statewide Transit	800,000
ND	E2009-BUSP-547	North Dakota Department of Transportation/Statewide Bus	600,000
NE	E2009-BUSP-548	City of Omaha-Creighton University Intermodal Facility	823,000
NE	E2009-BUSP-549	Kearney, Nebraska-RYDE Transit Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility	451,440
NE	E2009-BUSP-550	Nebraska Department of Roads-Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility for RYDE in Kearney, NE	549,000
NH	E2009-BUSP-554	Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, Concord	475,000
NH	E2009-BUSP-556	Windham, New Hampshire--Construction of Park and Ride Bus facility at Exit 3	835,164
NJ	E2009-BUSP-557	Atlantic City, NJ Jitney	750,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-558	Bloomfield Intermodal Improvements	1,900,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-562	Intermodal Transit Improvements, Northwest	712,500
NJ	E2009-BUSP-563	Jersey City, NJ Construct West Entrance to Pavonia-Newport PATH Station	451,440
NJ	E2009-BUSP-569	Morristown/Montclair-Boonton Commuter Rail Intermodal Improvements, Northern	950,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-570	National Park Service Design and construct 2.1-mile segment to complete Sandy Hook multiuse pathway in Sandy Hook, NJ	225,720
NJ	E2009-BUSP-575	Sandy Hook, NJ National Park Service Construct year-round ferry dock at Sandy Hook Unit of Gateway National Recreation Area	225,720
NJ	E2009-BUSP-576	Senior Citizen Transportation Vehicle, North Arlington	95,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-579	South Brunswick Municipal Area Residential Transit	380,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-580	South Brunswick, NJ Transit System	1,000,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-581	The Arc of Mercer County Mobile Transportation Service Vehicle Procurement	95,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-584	Trenton, NJ Development of Trenton Trolley System	225,720
NM	E2009-BUSP-589	City of Rio Rancho Transit Program	313,500
NM	E2009-BUSP-590	Design and Construction of an Intermodal Transportation Center for Los Lunas	950,000
NM	E2009-BUSP-593	Navajo Transit Vehicles and Facilities	237,500
NM	E2009-BUSP-595	Transit Maintenance and Operations Facility, City of Las Cruces	617,500
NV	E2009-BUSP-597	Lake Tahoe Bus Facilities	475,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-610	Alternative Fuel Bus, Village of East Rockaway	380,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-611	Arverne East Transit Plaza	712,500
NY	E2009-BUSP-616	Bronx, NY Hebrew Home for the Aged elderly and disabled transportation support	3
NY	E2009-BUSP-625	Buffalo, NY Inter-modal Center Parking Facility	225,720
NY	E2009-BUSP-626	Bus Maintenance Facility Improvements Westchester County	712,500
NY	E2009-BUSP-627	Bus to provide York-town New York internal circulator to provide transportation throughout the Town	41,758
NY	E2009-BUSP-628	Capital District Transportation Authority Saratoga Bus Facility Saratoga Springs	712,500
NY	E2009-BUSP-629	CDTA Replacement Buses	712,500
NY	E2009-BUSP-634	Cornwall, NY-Purchase Bus	19,638
NY	E2009-BUSP-635	Geneva, New York-Multimodal facility-Construct passenger rail center	112,860
NY	E2009-BUSP-636	Glen Cove Connector Multi-Modal Parking Hub Design Engineering and Construction	950,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-638	Jewish Community Council of Rockland Transit Buses	380,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-644	New York City, NY Purchase Handicapped-Accessible Livery Vehicles	225,720
NY	E2009-BUSP-645	New York City, NY rehabilitation of subway stations to include passenger access improvements including escalators or installation of infrastructure fo	50,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-649	New York Improvements to Moynihan Station	1,500,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-654	Oneonta New York-bus replacement	33,858
NY	E2009-BUSP-655	Ramapo, NY Transportation Safety Field Bus	56,430
NY	E2009-BUSP-656	Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Authority Satellite Transit Center Construction	237,500
NY	E2009-BUSP-657	Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square transit center	1,015,740
NY	E2009-BUSP-658	Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square Transit Center	507,870
NY	E2009-BUSP-659	Rochester, NY Renaissance Square Intermodal Facility Design and Construction	2,000,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-665	Town of Warwick, NY Bus Facility Warwick Transit System	124,146
NY	E2009-BUSP-668	Utica, New York Transit Multimodal Facilities	1,350,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-671	Westchester County, NY Bus replacement program	846,450
NY	E2009-BUSP-672	Yonkers, NY Trolley Bus Acquisition	84,645
NY	E2009-BUSP-954	Staten Island Ferry	1,000,000
OH	E2009-BUSP-678	Cincinnati, Ohio-Metro Regional Transit Hub Network Eastern Neighborhoods	208,791
OH	E2009-BUSP-681	Cleveland, OH Construct passenger inter-modal center near Dock 32	194,119
OH	E2009-BUSP-691	Downtown Intermodal Facility and Associated Parking, Springfield	712,500
OH	E2009-BUSP-699	Niles OH Acquisition of bus operational and service equipment of Niles Trumbull Transit	45,144
OK	E2009-BUSP-705	Bus Replacement Central Oklahoma Transportation and Parking Authority Oklahoma City	712,500
OK	E2009-BUSP-706	Oklahoma Automated Vehicle Location System Oklahoma City	237,500
OK	E2009-BUSP-707	Oklahoma City Bus Replacement	1,330,000
OK	E2009-BUSP-708	Sect. 5309 Capital Appropriation-Tulsa Transit	712,500
OR	E2009-BUSP-709	Albany, OR North Albany Park and Ride	214,971
OR	E2009-BUSP-710	Albany, OR Rehabilitate Building At Multimodal Transit Station	343,954
OR	E2009-BUSP-714	Corvallis, OR Bus Replacement	333,206

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities as of September 30, 2010

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
OR	E2009-BUSP-715	Eugene, OR Lane Transit District, Vehicle Replacement	806,143
OR	E2009-BUSP-716	Grants Pass OR Purchase Vehicles For Use By Josephine Community Transit	45,950
OR	E2009-BUSP-719	Lincoln County, OR bus purchase	56,430
OR	E2009-BUSP-721	Portland, OR Renovation of Union Station including structural reinforcement and public safety upgrades	22,572
OR	E2009-BUSP-722	Salem, OR bus and bus facilities	451,440
OR	E2009-BUSP-725	Transit Bus and Bus Facilities Salem-Keizer	475,000
OR	E2009-BUSP-727	Yamhill County, OR For the construction of bus shelters park and ride facilities and a signage strategy to increase ridership	24,829
OR	E2009-BUSP-728	Hillsboro Intermodal Transit Facility	1,852,500
PA	E2009-BUSP-729	69th Street Terminal Parking Facility Upper Darby	380,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-736	Bus and Bus Facilities Westmoreland County Transit Authority	950,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-737	Bus Facilities Cambria County Transit Authority	285,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-746	Cheltenham, PA Glenside Rail Station Parking Garage project involving the construction of a 300-400 space parking lot at Easton Road and Glenside Aven	225,720
PA	E2009-BUSP-751	EMTA Consolidated Transit Facility Erie	475,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-757	Intermodal Facilities in Bucks County (Croydon and Levittown Stations)	677,160
PA	E2009-BUSP-765	Philadelphia, PA Cruise Terminal Transportation Ctr. Phila. Naval Shipyard	790,020
PA	E2009-BUSP-766	Philadelphia, PA Improvements to the existing Penns Landing Ferry Terminal	902,880
PA	E2009-BUSP-769	Philadelphia PA SEPTAs Market St. Elevated Rail project in conjunction with Philadelphia Commercial Development Corporation for improvements and assis	316,008
PA	E2009-BUSP-770	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-SEPTA Market Street Elevated Line parking facility	902,880
PA	E2009-BUSP-773	Project provides for the engineering and construction of a transportation center in Paoli Chester County	225,720
PA	E2009-BUSP-777	Septa R7 Station Improvements Croydon and Levittown	380,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-779	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Bucks County Intermodal (Croydon and Levittown)	823,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-780	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Paoli Transportation Center	823,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-781	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Villanova-SEPTA Intermodal	724,458
PA	E2009-BUSP-782	TMA Clean Buses Buck County	475,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-785	Van Pool Equipment Johnsonburg	285,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-955	Philadelphia Penns Landing Ferry Terminal	1,000,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-957	Bus Testing	3,000,000
PR	E2009-BUSP-794	Puerto Rico-Caribbean National Forest buses and nonprofit uses	677,160
PR	E2009-BUSP-795	San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authority	225,720
PR	E2009-BUSP-796	San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authority -- -bus security equipment	435,389
PR	E2009-BUSP-797	Trolley Purchase Las Marias	190,000
RI	E2009-BUSP-800	Rhode Island Statewide Bus Fleet	1,354,320
RI	E2009-BUSP-802	Senior Transportation	190,000
RI	E2009-BUSP-803	Statewide Bus Replacement	950,000
SC	E2009-BUSP-805	North Charleston Regional Intermodal Transportation Center	475,000
SC	E2009-BUSP-807	South Carolina Department of Transportation-Transit Facilities Construction Program	549,000
SC	E2009-BUSP-808	South Carolina Department of Transportation-Vehicle Acquisition Program	2,194,000
TN	E2009-BUSP-816	MTSU Intermodal Transportation HUB	380,000
TN	E2009-BUSP-819	Sevier County Tennessee-U.S. 441 bus rapid transit	56,430
TN	E2009-BUSP-820	Tennessee Department of Transportation-Statewide Tennessee Transit ITS and Bus Replacement Project	2,348,863
TN	E2009-BUSP-821	Townsend Great Smoky Mountain Heritage Bus Station	950,000
TN	E2009-BUSP-822	University of Memphis-Pedestrian Bridge	823,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-825	Advanced Transit Program/METRO Solutions Bus Expansion	475,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-834	Capital Metro Paratransit Vehicle Replacement	2,612,500
TX	E2009-BUSP-838	CNG Bus Replacement Fort Worth Transportation Authority	1,425,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-840	Construct West Houston and Fort Bend County, Texas-bus transit corridor	451,440
TX	E2009-BUSP-845	Design Downtown Carrollton Texas Regional Multi-Modal Transit Hub Station	451,440
TX	E2009-BUSP-846	El Paso Rural County Transit	712,500
TX	E2009-BUSP-847	Galveston, Texas-Intermodal center and parking facility, The Strand	1,015,740
TX	E2009-BUSP-849	Harris County-West Houston-Fort Bend Bus Transit Corridor: Uptown Westpark Terminal	274,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-850	Hill Country Transit Administration Facility San Saba	190,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-851	Internal Shuttle System Texas Medical Center	950,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-852	Laredo Bus Maintenance Facility and Refueling Depot	950,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-853	Laredo-North Laredo Transit Hub-Bus Maintenance Facility	823,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-854	Lufkin, VA Clinic Shuttle	285,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-855	Metro Intermodal Transit Garage Texas Medical Center	237,500
TX	E2009-BUSP-857	Paratransit Vehicle Replacement City of Abilene	456,000
TX	E2009-BUSP-858	Roma, TX Bus Facility	118,503
TX	E2009-BUSP-861	Sun Metro Fuel Facility Improvements El Paso	712,500
TX	E2009-BUSP-862	Texas Bus Acquisition City of El Paso	712,500
TX	E2009-BUSP-866	Zapata, Texas Purchase Bus vehicles	70,538
UT	E2009-BUSP-868	Cache Valley Transit District Hybrid Bus Fleet Expansion	475,000
VA	E2009-BUSP-872	Alexandria, VA Eisenhower Avenue Inter-modal Station improvements, including purchase of buses and construction of bus shelters	564,300
VA	E2009-BUSP-874	Arlington County, VA Columbia Pike Bus Improvements	790,020

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities as of September 30, 2010

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
VA	E2009-BUSP-875	Arlington County, VA Crystal City-Potomac Yard Busway, including construction of bus shelters	677,160
VA	E2009-BUSP-877	Bealeton Virginia-Intermodal Station Depot Refurbishment	62,073
VA	E2009-BUSP-878	Bus and Bus Facilities Danville	262,000
VA	E2009-BUSP-879	Bus and Bus Facilities Farmville	712,500
VA	E2009-BUSP-880	Bus and Bus Facilities Martinsville	712,500
VA	E2009-BUSP-881	City of Alexandria, VA-City-Wide Transit Improvements	274,000
VA	E2009-BUSP-884	City of Alexandria, VA-Valley Pedestrian & Transit	274,000
VA	E2009-BUSP-885	Commonwealth of Virginia-Statewide Bus Capital Program	1,231,764
VA	E2009-BUSP-899	Richmond, VA Renovation and construction for Main Street Station	248,292
VA	E2009-BUSP-902	Roanoke, Virginia-Intermodal Facility	45,144
VA	E2009-BUSP-903	Roanoke, Virginia-Roanoke Railway and Link Passenger facility	112,860
VT	E2009-BUSP-906	Addison County Transit Resources Facilities Buses and Equipment	2,850,000
VT	E2009-BUSP-908	State of Vermont Buses Facilities and Equipment	520,000
VT	E2009-BUSP-909	Statewide Buses Facilities and Equipment	475,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-911	Bus Rapid Transit Aurora Corridor Improvement Project (SR-99) Phase III	475,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-914	Downtown Tacoma Intermodal Center Tacoma	1,235,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-915	Enumclaw Welcome Center Intermodal Transit Facility	1,425,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-916	Everett Transit Vehicle Replacement	712,500
WA	E2009-BUSP-920	Intercity Transit Intermodal Facility Project	2,232,500
WA	E2009-BUSP-921	Island Transit WA Operations Base Facilities Project	541,728
WA	E2009-BUSP-922	King County Hybrid Bus Program	237,500
WA	E2009-BUSP-923	Mukilteo, WA Multi-Modal Terminal	1,309,176
WA	E2009-BUSP-928	Pierce Transit Peninsula Park and Ride	2,351,250
WA	E2009-BUSP-930	Seattle, WA Multimodal Terminal Redevelopment & Expansion	1,100,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-935	Washington Southworth Terminal Redevelopment	1,500,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-936	Washington King Street Transportation Center-Intercity Bus Terminal Component	70,000
WI	E2009-BUSP-942	State of Wisconsin buses and bus facilities	1,126,800
WI	E2009-BUSP-944	Wisconsin Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities	107,200
WV	E2009-BUSP-946	West Virginia Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	2,679,294
WY	E2009-BUSP-947	Wyoming Department of Transportation-Wyoming Statewide Bus and Bus Related Facilities	823,000
		Subtotal FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations	**$251,087,787**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
TABLE 11
Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 New Starts Allocations as of September 30, 2010

FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

Earmark ID	State	Project Name	Unobligated Balance
E2009-NWST-017	AK/HI	Alaska and Hawaii Ferry Projects	$7,422,397
E2009-NWST-018	AZ	Commuter Rail Study - Phoenix of Tucson	3,465,000
E2009-NWST-019	AZ	Mountain Links BRT, Flagstaff	5,558,058
E2009-NWST-025	CA	Perris Valley Line, Riverside	44,550,000
E2009-NWST-027	CA	South Sacramento Light Rail Extension	6,930,000
E2009-NWST-029	CA	Wilshire Blvd Bus-Only Lane, Los Angeles	9,758,526
E2009-NWST-032	CT	Stamford Urban Transitway	3,613,500
E2009-NWST-033	FL	Central Florida Commuter Rail	12,870,000
E2009-NWST-034	FL	Downtown Orlando East-West Circulator System	7,920,000
E2009-NWST-035	FL	JTA BRT System, Jacksonville	1,267,200
E2009-NWST-036	FL	Metrorail Orange Line Extension Project	19,800,000
E2009-NWST-038	IL	CTA Circle Line	5,940,000
E2009-NWST-039	IL	METRA (Southeast Service; Suburban Transit Access Route Line (:	23,760,000
E2009-NWST-041	KS	BRT- State Avenue Corridor, Wyandotte County	1,485,000
E2009-NWST-042	MA	Commuter Rail Improvement, Fitchburg	29,700,000
E2009-NWST-047	MS	I-69 HOV/BRT	7,573,500
E2009-NWST-014	VA	Norfolk LRT	814,244
E2009-NWST-055	VA	BRT, Potomac Yard-Crystal City, City of Alexandria and Arlington C	990,000
E2009-NWST-057	VA	Improvements to the Rosslyn Metro Station	1,980,000
		Subtotal FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$195,397,425**

FY 2010 Unobligated Allocations

E2010-NWST-002	AK/HI	Alaska/Hawaii	$8,617,000
E2010-NWST-005	AZ	Mountain Links BRT, Flagstaff	681,942
E2010-NWST-007	CA	Livermore-Amador Route 10 BRT	79,900
E2010-NWST-008	CA	Los Angles-Wilshire Blvd Bus-Only Lane	13,558,474
E2010-NWST-010	CA	Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension, Los Angeles	9,582,551
E2010-NWST-013	CA	Perris Valley Line	5,000,000
E2010-NWST-014	CA	Sacramento South Corridor Phase II	38,000,000
E2010-NWST-015	CA	San Bernardino, E Street Corridor sbX BRT	32,370,000
E2010-NWST-017	CA	Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART)	2,500,000
E2010-NWST-019	CO	Mason Corridor BRT, Fort Collins	49,055,155
E2010-NWST-020	CO	Roaring Fork Valley, BRT Project	810,000
E2010-NWST-021	CO	RTD East Corridor Construction	2,500,000
E2010-NWST-022	CO	RTD Gold Corridor	2,000,000
E2010-NWST-025	CT	Stamford Urban Transitway	2,000,000
E2010-NWST-028	DE	Wilmington to Newark Commuter Rail Improvement Program	3,000,000
E2010-NWST-029	FL	Central Florida Commuter Rail Transit, Orlando	40,000,000
E2010-NWST-030	FL	Fort Lauderdale-The Downtown, Transit Corridor Program, Downto\	500,000
E2010-NWST-031	FL	HART Light Rail Preliminary Engineering	1,650,000
E2010-NWST-032	FL	Miami-Dade County Metrorail Orange Line Expansion	4,000,000
E2010-NWST-033	HI	Honolulu High Capacity Transit Corridor Project	30,000,000
E2010-NWST-034	IL	Chicago Transit Hub (Circle Line-Ogden Streetcar)	1,500,000
E2010-NWST-035	IL	CTA Red Line North Station, Track, Viaduct and Station Rehabilitati	7,500,000
E2010-NWST-036	IL	Metra Commuter Rail (Union Pacific Northwest, STAR and UP-Wes	8,000,000
E2010-NWST-039	MA	Assembly Square Orange Line Station	1,000,000
E2010-NWST-040	MA	Commuter Rail Improvements, Fitchburg	37,452,000
E2010-NWST-041	MD	Baltimore Red Line	3,000,000
E2010-NWST-042	MD	Purple Line	3,000,000
E2010-NWST-043	MI	Ann Arbor-Detroit Regional Rail Project	3,500,000
E2010-NWST-046	MN	Northstar Phase II-Extension of Northstar Commuter Rail to the St.	3,000,000
E2010-NWST-048	NC	Charlotte Streetcar Project	500,000
E2010-NWST-049	NC	City of Charlotte, Charlotte Area Transit Systems Blue Line Extensic	14,700,000
E2010-NWST-050	NJ	Hudson-Bergen MOS-2, Northern NJ	11,039
E2010-NWST-051	NJ	Northern NJ Access to the Regions Core	200,000,000
E2010-NWST-052	NY	Long Island Rail Road East Side Access	202,522,853
E2010-NWST-053	NY	Second Avenue Subway Phase I	197,182,000
E2010-NWST-055	PA	Lackawanna Cut-Off Restoration Project, PA/NJ	1,000,000
E2010-NWST-057	TX	Fort Worth Transportation Authority Southwest-to-Northeast Rail Cc	4,000,000
E2010-NWST-058	TX	Galveston-Houston Commuter Rail	2,000,000
E2010-NWST-059	TX	Houston North Corridor LRT	75,000,000
E2010-NWST-060	TX	Houston Southeast Corridor LRT	75,000,000
E2010-NWST-061	TX	Metro Rapid BRT, Austin	13,370,204
E2010-NWST-063	UT	Draper Light Rail	6,800,000
E2010-NWST-066	VA	Improvements to the Rosslyn Metro Station	1,000,000
E2010-NWST-067	VA	Route 1 Bus Rapid Transit, Potomac Yard High Capacity Transit	1,000,000
E2010-NWST-068	VA	Virginia Railway Express Rolling Stock	3,000,000
E2010-NWST-069	WA	Bellevue-Redmond BRT, King County	9,368,193
		Subtotal FY 2010 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$1,120,311,311**
		Total FY 2009 and 2010 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$1,315,708,736**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 12

FY 2011 SECTION 5310 SPECIAL NEEDS FOR ELDERLY INDIVIDUALS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	$985,227
Alaska	193,033
American Samoa	55,952
Arizona	1,026,483
Arkansas	658,906
California	5,650,041
Colorado	735,692
Connecticut	717,185
Delaware	259,524
District of Columbia	233,591
Florida	3,629,739
Georgia	1,405,752
Guam	144,015
Hawaii	332,189
Idaho	320,165
Illinois	2,131,860
Indiana	1,155,506
Iowa	629,989
Kansas	572,042
Kentucky	913,782
Louisiana	910,073
Maine	365,784
Maryland	963,132
Massachusetts	1,255,751
Michigan	1,785,269
Minnesota	857,237
Mississippi	660,587
Missouri	1,106,705
Montana	278,105
Northern Marianas	56,489
Nebraska	403,207
Nevada	477,216
New Hampshire	321,395
New Jersey	1,578,122
New Mexico	437,840
New York	3,645,220
North Carolina	1,563,931
North Dakota	234,584
Ohio	2,075,771
Oklahoma	764,243
Oregon	713,567
Pennsylvania	2,437,603
Puerto Rico	877,122
Rhode Island	324,434
South Carolina	867,418
South Dakota	251,448
Tennessee	1,181,062
Texas	3,381,727
Utah	400,736
Vermont	224,967
Virgin Islands	140,206
Virginia	1,241,759
Washington	1,066,655
West Virginia	514,030
Wisconsin	980,200
Wyoming	202,327
TOTAL	**$56,296,595**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 13

FY 2011 SECTION 5311 AND SECTION 5340 NONURBANIZED APPORTIONMENTS AND SECTION 5311(b)(3) RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (RTAP) APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

(Note: In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report apportionments for Section 5311 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. The State's apportionment under the column heading "Section 5311 and 5340 Apportionment" includes Section 5311 and Growing States funds.)

STATE	SECTIONS 5311 AND 5340 APPORTIONMENT	SECTION 5311(b)(3) APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	$5,625,829	$65,147
Alaska	2,564,384	65,021
American Samoa	96,078	10,003
Arizona	4,005,170	65,072
Arkansas	4,289,853	65,107
California	9,604,877	65,227
Colorado	3,534,166	65,064
Connecticut	1,144,480	65,033
Delaware	534,836	65,015
Florida	5,754,561	65,148
Georgia	7,261,408	65,187
Guam	259,694	10,009
Hawaii	830,315	65,022
Idaho	2,470,451	65,041
Illinois	5,991,855	65,158
Indiana	5,755,464	65,157
Iowa	4,287,962	65,107
Kansas	3,977,000	65,087
Kentucky	5,442,527	65,146
Louisiana	4,341,636	65,114
Maine	2,293,739	65,057
Maryland	2,105,621	65,059
Massachusetts	1,482,448	65,042
Michigan	7,292,414	65,198
Minnesota	5,388,079	65,130
Mississippi	4,884,583	65,127
Missouri	5,867,792	65,147
Montana	3,184,904	65,039
N. Mariana Islands	14,791	10,000
Nebraska	2,774,991	65,053
Nevada	2,073,057	65,019
New Hampshire	1,477,356	65,040
New Jersey	1,369,950	65,039
New Mexico	3,465,179	65,056
New York	7,431,445	65,204
North Carolina	9,371,941	65,252
North Dakota	1,683,840	65,024
Ohio	8,455,388	65,238
Oklahoma	4,795,863	65,116
Oregon	4,136,241	65,085
Pennsylvania	8,576,176	65,240
Puerto Rico	594,490	65,020
Rhode Island	245,043	65,007
South Carolina	4,714,607	65,126
South Dakota	2,084,150	65,033
Tennessee	6,000,368	65,160
Texas	14,336,469	65,356
Utah	2,048,783	65,029
Vermont	1,111,203	65,030
Virginia	5,260,764	65,139
Washington	4,052,117	65,094
West Virginia	2,846,609	65,075
Wisconsin	5,697,815	65,148
Wyoming	1,972,911	65,022
TOTAL	**$216,863,673**	**$3,350,269**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 14

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5311(c) Tribal Transit Program Allocations as of September 30, 2010

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations			
AK	D2009-TRTR-005	Tlingit & Haida Central Council Planning Grant	$25,000
AZ	D2009-TRTR-013	Havasuapai Tribe Transit Enhancements	222,813
AZ	D2009-TRTR-014	Cocopah Indian Tribe Transit Enhancements	247,440
CA	D2009-TRTR-015	Reservation Transportation Authority Transit Enhancements	370,082
CA	D2009-TRTR-016	Susanville Indian Rancheria Transit Enhancements	220,554
KS	D2009-TRTR-022	Prairie Band Potawatomi Nation Transit Enhancements	360,000
ND	D2009-TRTR-028	Standing Rock Public Transportation Transit Enhancements	234,000
NM	D2009-TRTR-034	Sandoval County Transit Enhancements	439,500
NV	D2009-TRTR-035	Reno-Sparks Indian Colonys Transit Enhancements	373,985
NY	D2009-TRTR-036	Seneca Nation of Indian Planning Grant	25,000
SD	D2009-TRTR-050	Yankton Sioux Tribe Transit Enhancements	117,371
WI	D2009-TRTR-061	Lac Courte Oreilles (LCO) Transit Enhancements	200,000
		Total FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$2,835,745**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 15

FY 2011 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
200,000 or more in Population	$41,830,681
50,000-199,999 in Population	13,943,560
Nonurbanized	13,943,560
National Total	$69,717,801

Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population:

Aguadilla--Isabela--San Sebastian, PR	$270,914
Akron, OH	126,993
Albany, NY	117,789
Albuquerque, NM	166,515
Allentown--Bethlehem, PA--NJ	110,440
Anchorage, AK	42,848
Ann Arbor, MI	61,875
Antioch, CA	43,243
Asheville, NC	58,202
Atlanta, GA	685,404
Atlantic City, NJ	49,561
Augusta-Richmond County, GA--SC	97,714
Austin, TX	207,244
Bakersfield, CA	162,426
Baltimore, MD	444,519
Barnstable Town, MA	38,335
Baton Rouge, LA	150,391
Birmingham, AL	181,738
Boise City, ID	49,633
Bonita Springs--Naples, FL	37,416
Boston, MA--NH--RI	701,166
Bridgeport--Stamford, CT--NY	132,948
Buffalo, NY	247,322
Canton, OH	57,614
Cape Coral, FL	75,283
Charleston--North Charleston, SC	112,128
Charlotte, NC--SC	141,854
Chattanooga, TN--GA	86,188
Chicago, IL--IN	1,805,580
Cincinnati, OH--KY--IN	295,583
Cleveland, OH	396,753
Colorado Springs, CO	86,482
Columbia, SC	97,819
Columbus, GA--AL	76,128
Columbus, OH	248,976
Concord, CA	51,353
Corpus Christi, TX	102,330
Dallas--Fort Worth--Arlington, TX	1,014,304
Davenport, IA--IL	64,254
Dayton, OH	154,902

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 15

FY 2011 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Daytona Beach--Port Orange, FL	69,682
Denton--Lewisville, TX	42,512
Denver--Aurora, CO	356,465
Des Moines, IA	65,029
Detroit, MI	859,882
Durham, NC	77,804
El Paso, TX--NM	327,004
Eugene, OR	67,878
Evansville, IN--KY	50,697
Fayetteville, NC	77,613
Flint, MI	105,745
Fort Collins, CO	43,771
Fort Wayne, IN	61,345
Fresno, CA	244,848
Grand Rapids, MI	105,775
Greensboro, NC	59,062
Greenville, SC	79,004
Gulfport--Biloxi, MS	59,567
Harrisburg, PA	60,400
Hartford, CT	160,581
Honolulu, HI	151,091
Houston, TX	1,135,989
Huntsville, AL	46,494
Indianapolis, IN	236,248
Indio--Cathedral City--Palm Springs, CA	85,570
Jackson, MS	96,038
Jacksonville, FL	201,910
Kansas City, MO--KS	265,653
Knoxville, TN	107,403
Lancaster, PA	55,716
Lancaster--Palmdale, CA	83,568
Lansing, MI	76,929
Las Vegas, NV	311,854
Lexington-Fayette, KY	63,834
Lincoln, NE	47,942
Little Rock, AR	98,798
Los Angeles--Long Beach--Santa Ana, CA	4,087,300
Louisville, KY--IN	205,649
Lubbock, TX	73,052
Madison, WI	68,485
McAllen, TX	340,636
Memphis, TN--MS--AR	297,248
Miami, FL	1,428,287
Milwaukee, WI	299,244
Minneapolis--St. Paul, MN	364,304
Mission Viejo, CA	56,526
Mobile, AL	117,577
Modesto, CA	105,368
Nashville-Davidson, TN	170,162
New Haven, CT	102,218

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 15

FY 2011 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
New Orleans, LA	381,278
New York--Newark, NY--NJ--CT	4,619,966
Ogden--Layton, UT	71,784
Oklahoma City, OK	216,915
Omaha, NE--IA	131,683
Orlando, FL	280,368
Oxnard, CA	94,967
Palm Bay--Melbourne, FL	82,978
Pensacola, FL--AL	90,881
Peoria, IL	60,554
Philadelphia, PA--NJ--DE--MD	1,111,170
Phoenix--Mesa, AZ	733,545
Pittsburgh, PA	385,371
Port St. Lucie, FL	68,439
Portland, OR--WA	332,683
Poughkeepsie--Newburgh, NY	70,552
Providence, RI--MA	280,868
Provo--Orem, UT	84,554
Raleigh, NC	85,583
Reading, PA	55,383
Reno, NV	69,099
Richmond, VA	165,895
Riverside--San Bernardino, CA	523,377
Rochester, NY	154,300
Rockford, IL	56,866
Round Lake Beach--McHenry--Grayslake, IL--WI	23,559
Sacramento, CA	375,441
Salem, OR	104,487
Salt Lake City, UT	165,141
San Antonio, TX	439,309
San Diego, CA	715,023
San Francisco--Oakland, CA	638,192
San Jose, CA	235,594
San Juan, PR	1,620,715
Santa Rosa, CA	53,684
Sarasota--Bradenton, FL	114,415
Savannah, GA	68,666
Scranton, PA	98,406
Seattle, WA	490,822
Shreveport, LA	101,998
South Bend, IN--MI	62,263
Spokane, WA--ID	91,201
Springfield, MA--CT	140,902
Springfield, MO	60,544
St. Louis, MO--IL	435,539
Stockton, CA	134,321
Syracuse, NY	104,285
Tallahassee, FL	67,664
Tampa--St. Petersburg, FL	499,134
Temecula--Murrieta, CA	44,465

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 15

FY 2011 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Thousand Oaks, CA	24,034
Toledo, OH--MI	128,705
Trenton, NJ	50,543
Tucson, AZ	225,271
Tulsa, OK	145,593
Victorville--Hesperia--Apple Valley, CA	66,745
Virginia Beach, VA	315,114
Washington, DC--VA--MD	608,351
Wichita, KS	92,835
Winston-Salem, NC	67,484
Worcester, MA--CT	91,514
Youngstown, OH--PA	111,739
TOTAL	$41,830,681

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population

Alabama	$390,180
Alaska	17,582
Arizona	140,643
Arkansas	250,797
California	1,452,496
Colorado	233,860
Connecticut	142,595
Delaware	23,999
Florida	812,831
Georgia	445,293
Hawaii	26,359
Idaho	150,915
Illinois	320,628
Indiana	343,174
Iowa	206,307
Kansas	94,371
Kentucky	128,291
Louisiana	405,051
Maine	123,181
Maryland	153,188
Massachusetts	130,926
Michigan	434,445
Minnesota	117,889
Mississippi	72,683
Missouri	145,339
Montana	111,380
N. Mariana Islands	40,415
Nebraska	7,431
Nevada	19,243
New Hampshire	111,674
New Jersey	71,510
New Mexico	138,072
New York	261,961

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 15

FY 2011 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
North Carolina	444,945
North Dakota	84,483
Ohio	327,004
Oklahoma	88,557
Oregon	113,141
Pennsylvania	428,428
Puerto Rico	1,312,251
South Carolina	250,234
South Dakota	63,248
Tennessee	290,818
Texas	1,564,262
Utah	64,380
Vermont	33,388
Virginia	297,295
Washington	386,918
West Virginia	264,988
Wisconsin	354,748
Wyoming	49,763
TOTAL	$13,943,560

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas Less than 50,000 in Population

Alabama	$466,699
Alaska	45,456
American Samoa	41,940
Arizona	250,917
Arkansas	351,897
California	710,266
Colorado	131,980
Connecticut	34,008
Delaware	30,991
Florida	402,839
Georgia	552,734
Guam	41,996
Hawaii	55,479
Idaho	120,452
Illinois	314,287
Indiana	281,228
Iowa	200,637
Kansas	199,857
Kentucky	508,581
Louisiana	435,390
Maine	134,505
Maryland	86,777
Massachusetts	54,218
Michigan	374,206
Minnesota	239,504
Mississippi	504,454
Missouri	410,366

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 15

FY 2011 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Montana	121,448
N. Mariana Islands	23,860
Nebraska	124,611
Nevada	37,383
New Hampshire	58,256
New Jersey	46,304
New Mexico	235,025
New York	447,174
North Carolina	703,013
North Dakota	64,213
Ohio	478,539
Oklahoma	378,659
Oregon	191,713
Pennsylvania	508,228
Puerto Rico	180,757
Rhode Island	7,955
South Carolina	389,674
South Dakota	96,334
Tennessee	454,269
Texas	1,112,473
Utah	68,530
Vermont	61,972
Virgin Islands	42,164
Virginia	326,942
Washington	235,670
West Virginia	275,434
Wisconsin	237,800
Wyoming	53,496
TOTAL	$13,943,560

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2011 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
UZAs 200,000 or more in Population	$23,521,811
UZAs 50,000-199,999 in Population	7,840,604
Nonurbanized	7,840,604
National Total	$39,203,019

Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population:

Aguadilla--Isabela--San Sebastian, PR	$61,031
Akron, OH	78,733
Albany, NY	75,678
Albuquerque, NM	88,439
Allentown--Bethlehem, PA--NJ	75,511
Anchorage, AK	25,233
Ann Arbor, MI	29,717
Antioch, CA	29,330
Asheville, NC	37,517
Atlanta, GA	430,251
Atlantic City, NJ	35,732
Augusta-Richmond County, GA--SC	52,347
Austin, TX	96,234
Bakersfield, CA	63,441
Baltimore, MD	307,544
Barnstable Town, MA	38,200
Baton Rouge, LA	67,912
Birmingham, AL	104,995
Boise City, ID	30,950
Bonita Springs--Naples, FL	35,423
Boston, MA--NH--RI	543,832
Bridgeport--Stamford, CT--NY	115,026
Buffalo, NY	146,188
Canton, OH	36,003
Cape Coral, FL	56,775
Charleston--North Charleston, SC	62,833
Charlotte, NC--SC	93,451
Chattanooga, TN--GA	55,398
Chicago, IL--IN	1,104,295
Cincinnati, OH--KY--IN	194,876
Cleveland, OH	249,958
Colorado Springs, CO	52,614
Columbia, SC	56,104
Columbus, GA--AL	38,589
Columbus, OH	139,106
Concord, CA	58,939
Corpus Christi, TX	44,950
Dallas--Fort Worth--Arlington, TX	548,779
Davenport, IA--IL	35,677
Dayton, OH	97,826
Daytona Beach--Port Orange, FL	46,774
Denton--Lewisville, TX	25,250
Denver--Aurora, CO	245,958

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2011 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Des Moines, IA	44,826
Detroit, MI	576,910
Durham, NC	34,755
El Paso, TX--NM	98,046
Eugene, OR	30,583
Evansville, IN--KY	33,185
Fayetteville, NC	37,795
Flint, MI	58,699
Fort Collins, CO	20,857
Fort Wayne, IN	36,699
Fresno, CA	88,444
Grand Rapids, MI	64,933
Greensboro, NC	36,521
Greenville, SC	47,562
Gulfport--Biloxi, MS	35,412
Harrisburg, PA	44,633
Hartford, CT	119,521
Honolulu, HI	96,466
Houston, TX	512,291
Huntsville, AL	27,095
Indianapolis, IN	166,893
Indio--Cathedral City--Palm Springs, CA	43,258
Jackson, MS	42,719
Jacksonville, FL	132,174
Kansas City, MO--KS	180,471
Knoxville, TN	64,491
Lancaster, PA	40,996
Lancaster--Palmdale, CA	36,472
Lansing, MI	37,904
Las Vegas, NV	206,685
Lexington-Fayette, KY	33,541
Lincoln, NE	24,912
Little Rock, AR	56,156
Los Angeles--Long Beach--Santa Ana, CA	1,751,550
Louisville, KY--IN	130,912
Lubbock, TX	28,805
Madison, WI	33,129
McAllen, TX	79,244
Memphis, TN--MS--AR	148,153
Miami, FL	811,971
Milwaukee, WI	171,425
Minneapolis--St. Paul, MN	253,812
Mission Viejo, CA	52,402
Mobile, AL	56,403
Modesto, CA	50,887
Nashville-Davidson, TN	104,762
New Haven, CT	72,843
New Orleans, LA	167,483
New York--Newark, NY--NJ--CT	2,766,320
Ogden--Layton, UT	44,577
Oklahoma City, OK	114,211
Omaha, NE--IA	73,191
Orlando, FL	170,028

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2011 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Oxnard, CA	49,559
Palm Bay--Melbourne, FL	64,845
Pensacola, FL--AL	50,365
Peoria, IL	33,551
Philadelphia, PA--NJ--DE--MD	726,609
Phoenix--Mesa, AZ	395,566
Pittsburgh, PA	240,932
Port St. Lucie, FL	49,577
Portland, OR--WA	204,270
Poughkeepsie--Newburgh, NY	44,123
Providence, RI--MA	184,484
Provo--Orem, UT	25,104
Raleigh, NC	52,759
Reading, PA	33,952
Reno, NV	44,228
Richmond, VA	110,510
Riverside--San Bernardino, CA	218,761
Rochester, NY	93,016
Rockford, IL	37,593
Round Lake Beach--McHenry--Grayslake, IL--WI	22,424
Sacramento, CA	204,728
Salem, OR	29,713
Salt Lake City, UT	106,227
San Antonio, TX	202,907
San Diego, CA	350,561
San Francisco--Oakland, CA	459,889
San Jose, CA	193,324
San Juan, PR	439,080
Santa Rosa, CA	38,762
Sarasota--Bradenton, FL	97,505
Savannah, GA	34,209
Scranton, PA	66,289
Seattle, WA	347,996
Shreveport, LA	43,174
South Bend, IN--MI	39,299
Spokane, WA--ID	49,435
Springfield, MA--CT	92,254
Springfield, MO	29,895
St. Louis, MO--IL	275,744
Stockton, CA	52,598
Syracuse, NY	55,643
Tallahassee, FL	20,696
Tampa--St. Petersburg, FL	363,242
Temecula--Murrieta, CA	28,659
Thousand Oaks, CA	22,248
Toledo, OH--MI	74,227
Trenton, NJ	38,614
Tucson, AZ	108,094
Tulsa, OK	81,962
Victorville--Hesperia--Apple Valley, CA	30,639
Virginia Beach, VA	181,376
Washington, DC--VA--MD	445,867
Wichita, KS	57,248

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2011 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Winston-Salem, NC	40,541
Worcester, MA--CT	64,873
Youngstown, OH--PA	64,633
TOTAL	**$23,521,811**

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population

Alabama	$206,681
Alaska	9,675
Arizona	66,972
Arkansas	138,040
California	802,639
Colorado	133,112
Connecticut	127,454
Delaware	15,835
Florida	601,960
Georgia	217,966
Hawaii	22,567
Idaho	78,432
Illinois	177,550
Indiana	201,526
Iowa	117,409
Kansas	55,334
Kentucky	75,601
Louisiana	212,609
Maine	86,417
Maryland	137,262
Massachusetts	93,397
Michigan	290,797
Minnesota	68,999
Mississippi	33,499
Missouri	81,978
Montana	57,048
N. Mariana Islands	12,290
Nebraska	3,422
Nevada	15,595
New Hampshire	107,134
New Jersey	57,087
New Mexico	61,008
New York	159,496
North Carolina	323,321
North Dakota	49,041
Ohio	221,536
Oklahoma	37,837
Oregon	57,941
Pennsylvania	260,648
Puerto Rico	351,178
South Carolina	179,008
South Dakota	43,178
Tennessee	182,695
Texas	689,487
Utah	24,542

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2011 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Vermont	20,538
Virginia	179,516
Washington	248,582
West Virginia	156,652
Wisconsin	256,819
Wyoming	31,294
TOTAL	**$7,840,604**

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas Less than 50,000 in Population

Alabama	$265,769
Alaska	21,565
American Samoa	3,783
Arizona	113,242
Arkansas	191,602
California	329,649
Colorado	74,299
Connecticut	35,513
Delaware	22,852
Florida	256,052
Georgia	302,767
Guam	11,036
Hawaii	31,312
Idaho	51,633
Illinois	202,113
Indiana	221,566
Iowa	131,559
Kansas	114,573
Kentucky	277,986
Louisiana	186,240
Maine	84,554
Maryland	74,660
Massachusetts	47,005
Michigan	265,278
Minnesota	151,593
Mississippi	225,769
Missouri	219,640
Montana	50,487
N. Mariana Islands	363
Nebraska	66,182
Nevada	27,421
New Hampshire	57,248
New Jersey	35,765
New Mexico	86,314
New York	276,199
North Carolina	432,140
North Dakota	30,472
Ohio	318,282
Oklahoma	193,236
Oregon	130,284
Pennsylvania	320,288
Puerto Rico	40,252

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2011 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under Public Law - 111-322)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Rhode Island	8,369
South Carolina	219,576
South Dakota	40,245
Tennessee	282,887
Texas	537,980
Utah	31,448
Vermont	39,222
Virgin Islands	7,626
Virginia	221,139
Washington	132,011
West Virginia	143,630
Wisconsin	171,551
Wyoming	26,377
TOTAL	**$7,840,604**

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 17

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5339 Alternatives Analysis Allocations as of September 30, 2010

Earmark ID	State	Project Name	Unobligated Balance
FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations			
E2009-ALTA-001	AZ	Central Mesa Corridor Alternative Analysis Mesa	$237,500
E2009-ALTA-002	AZ	I-10 West Corridor Alternative Analysis	475,000
E2009-ALTA-003	AZ	Tempe South Corridor Alternatives Analysis, Tempe	237,500
E2009-ALTA-004	CA	Smart Preliminary Engineering	427,500
E2009-ALTA-010	IL	Alternative Analysis Study for the J-Route Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	237,500
E2009-ALTA-013	IN	GYY Alternatives Analysis	237,500
E2009-ALTA-015	MA	MBTA/MART Belmont Station Consolidation and Development Stud	142,500
E2009-ALTA-019	MS	Coast Transit Alternative Analysis	1,140,000
E2009-ALTA-021	NH	Lowell-Nashua Manchester Rail Corridor	1,900,000
E2009-ALTA-026	PA	Northwest New Jersey-Northwest Pennsylvania Passenger Rail Pro	950,000
		Subtotal FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$5,985,000**
FY 2010 Unobligated Allocations			
E2010-ALTA-001	AZ	I-10 West Corridor Light Rail Extension, Phoenix	$1,000,000
E2010-ALTA-002	AZ	South Central Avenue Light Rail Feasibility Study, Phoenix	400,000
E2010-ALTA-004	CT	New Haven-Hartford-Springfield Rail Line Improvements	3,896,000
E2010-ALTA-005	CT	Route 8 Corridor Transit Oriented Development & Alternate Modes	300,000
E2010-ALTA-007	IL	Pace J-Route Bus Rapid Transit	360,000
E2010-ALTA-008	KY	Central Kentucky Mass Transit Alternatives Analysis	300,000
E2010-ALTA-009	MA	Green Line Extension	300,000
E2010-ALTA-013	NJ	Hudson-Bergen MOS-2, Northern NJ	400,000
E2010-ALTA-014	NJ/PA	Northwest New Jersey - Northeast Pennsylvania Passenger Rail Pr	974,000
E2010-ALTA-015	PA	Lehigh Valley Bus Rapid Transit Analysis	360,000
E2010-ALTA-016	TX	Transportation Study for the Texas Medical Center, Houston	1,000,000
E2010-ALTA-017	UT	South Davis Streetcar, Salt Lake City	360,000
E2010-ALTA-018	VA	Enhanced Transit Service - Route 7 Corridor	350,000
E2010-ALTA-021	WA	Puyallup Bus Rapid Transit Project - Alternatives Analysis	1,461,000
E2010-ALTA-022	WA	SE King County Commuter Rail and Transit Centers Feasibility Stuc	360,000
		Subtotal FY 2010 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$11,821,000**
		Total FY 2009 and 2010 Unobligated Allocations.....	**$17,806,000**

[FR Doc. 2011–2592 Filed 2–7–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–57–C