2003 clarification not to rescind the review in part in these circumstances but, rather, to complete the review with respect to Ispat, JSW, and Tata and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Ispat, JSW, and Tata, and for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent final results in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in these reviews, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent final results for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (3) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the LTFV conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 23.87 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV, as amended, adjusted for export subsidies. *See Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 69 FR 36060, 36062 n.2 (June 28, 2004) ("*India Hot-Rolled First Review*") ("The 'all others' cash deposit rate, applied by {CBP}, is reduced to account for the export subsidy rate found in the countervailing duty investigation. The adjusted 'all others' rate is 23.87 percent."); *Amended Final Determination.* These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping and countervailing duties.

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 26, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–13706 Filed 6–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–814]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Taiwan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from an interested party, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Taiwan. The period of review is November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. Based on the withdrawal of request for review submitted by United States Steel Corporation (Petitioner), we are now rescinding this administrative review.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 2, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Bezirganian or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1131 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 1, 2010, the Department published a notice announcing an opportunity for interested parties to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Taiwan. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review,* 75 FR 67079 (November 1, 2010). On November 30, 2010, the Petitioner filed a request that the Department initiate an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Taiwan with respect to the following four companies: Far East Machinery Co., Ltd., Kao Hsing Chang Iron & Steel Corp. (also known as Kao Hsiung Chang Iron & Steel Corp.), Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd., and Chung Hung Steel Corporation (also known as Chung Hung Steel Co., Ltd.). Based on Petitioner's request, on December 28, 2010, the Department published in the *Federal Register* a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Taiwan covering the period November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part,* 75 FR 81565, 81567 (December 28, 2010). On May 4, 2011, Petitioner submitted a letter withdrawing its request for a review of the order with respect to all four of the respondent companies.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws at a later date if the Department determines it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. Therefore, although Petitioner withdrew its request after the 90-day deadline, the Department has the discretion to extend this time limit. Consistent with the Department's practice, we find it reasonable to extend the withdrawal deadline and to rescind the review with respect to the four respondents because the Department has not devoted significant time or resources to the review. *See, e.g., Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Japan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 75 FR 38989, 38990 (July 7, 2010); *see also Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 71 FR 13810, 13811 (March 17, 2006).

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the four respondent companies, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department

intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 25, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–13710 Filed 6–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XW53

Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act Provisions; Horseshoe Crabs; Application for Exempted Fishing Permit

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notification of a proposal to conduct exempted fishing; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, has made a preliminary determination that the subject exempted fishing permit (EFP) application submitted by Limuli Laboratories of Cape May Court House, NJ, contains all the required information and warrants further consideration. The proposed EFP would allow the harvest of up to 10,000 horseshoe crabs from the Carl N. Shuster Jr. Horseshoe Crab Reserve (Reserve) for biomedical purposes and require, as a condition of the EFP, the collection of data related to the status of horseshoe crabs within the reserve. The Acting Director has also made a preliminary determination that the activities authorized under the EFP would be consistent with the goals and objectives of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's (Commission) Horseshoe Crab Interstate Fisheries Management Plan (FMP). However, further review and consultation may be necessary before a final determination is made to issue the EFP. Therefore, NMFS announces that the Acting Director proposes to recommend that an EFP be issued that would allow up to two commercial fishing vessels to conduct fishing operations that are otherwise restricted by the regulations promulgated under the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act (Atlantic Coastal Act). The EFP would allow for an exemption from the Reserve.

Regulations under the Atlantic Coastal Act require publication of this notification to provide interested parties the opportunity to comment on applications for proposed EFPs.

DATES: Written comments on this action must be received on or before June 17, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Emily Menashes, Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13362, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Mark the outside of the envelope "Comments on Horseshoe Crab EFP Proposal." Comments may also be sent via fax to (301) 713–0596. Comments on this notice may also be submitted by e-mail to: *Horseshoe-Crab.EFP@noaa.gov.* Include in the subject line of the e-mail comment the following document identifier: "Horseshoe Crab EFP Proposal."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Meyers, Chief (A), Partnerships and Communication Division, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, (301) 713–2334, ext. 174.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Limuli Laboratories submitted an application for an EFP on April 19, 2011, to collect up to 10,000 horseshoe crabs for biomedical and data collection purposes from the Reserve. The applicant has applied for, and received, a similar EFP every year from 2001–2010. The current EFP application specifies that: (1) The same methods would be used in 2011 that were used in years 2001–2010, (2) at least 15 percent of the bled horseshoe crabs would be tagged, and (3) there had not been any sighting or capture of marine mammals or endangered species in the trawling nets of fishing vessels engaged in the collection of horseshoe crabs since 1993. The project submitted by Limuli Laboratories would provide morphological data on horseshoe crab catch, would tag a portion of the caught horseshoe crabs, and would use the blood from the caught horseshoe crabs to manufacture Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL), an important health and safety product used for the detection of endotoxins. The LAL assay is used by medical professionals, drug companies, and pharmacies to detect endotoxins in intravenous pharmaceuticals and medical devices that come into contact with human blood or spinal fluid.

Results of 2010 EFP

During the 2010 season, a total of 7,497 horseshoe crabs were gathered over a period of 16 days, from the Carl N. Schuster Jr. Horseshoe Crab Reserve (Reserve) for the manufacture of LAL. After transportation to the laboratory, the horseshoe crabs were inspected for size, injuries, and responsiveness. The injured horseshoe crabs numbered 553, or 7.38% of the total, while 66, or 0.88%, were noted as unresponsive. In addition, 66 horseshoe crabs were rejected due to small size. Overall, 6,812 horseshoe crabs were used (bled) in the manufacture of a LAL.

Two hundred of the bled horseshoe crabs were randomly selected for activity, morphometric and aging studies. The majority (96 percent) of these horseshoe crabs were considered "active," and 4 percent were "very active." Morphometric studies noted that average inter-ocular distances, prosoma widths and weights of these 200 horseshoe crabs were comparable to previous years (2001–2009). The ages of the specimens in 2010 were more evenly distributed throughout the age classes than in previous years, with 40.5% categorized as young, 30.5% medium aged, 25.5% old aged, and very few first-year horseshoe crabs (2.5%).

The 200 studied horseshoe crabs and 925 additional bled horseshoe crabs were tagged and released into the Delaware Bay. To date, the tagging of 4,413 horseshoe crabs during 2001–2010 has resulted in 96 live recaptures. The