

comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

Please direct your written comments to: Thomas Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, Virginia 22312 or send an e-mail to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

Dated: June 29, 2011.

**Cathy H. Ahn,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16764 Filed 7-5-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rule 15b6-1 and Form BDW; OMB Control No. 3235-0018; SEC File No. 270-17]

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request to revise the collection of information discussed below. The Code of Federal Regulations citation to this collection of information is the following rule: 17 CFR 240.15b6-1.

Registered broker-dealers use Form BDW (17 CFR 249.501a) to withdraw from registration with the Commission, the self-regulatory organizations, and the states. On average, the Commission estimates that it would take a broker-dealer approximately one hour to complete and file a Form BDW to withdraw from Commission registration as required by Rule 15b6-1. The Commission estimates that approximately 515 broker-dealers withdraw from Commission registration annually<sup>1</sup> and, therefore, file a Form BDW via the Internet with *Web CRD*, a computer system operated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. that maintains information regarding registered broker-

dealers and their registered personnel. Therefore, the 515 broker-dealers that withdraw from registration by filing Form BDW would incur an aggregate annual reporting burden of approximately 515 hours.<sup>2</sup>

The Commission may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

*Comments should be directed to:* (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, or by sending an e-mail to: [Shagufta\\_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Shagufta_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov); and (ii) Thomas Bayer, Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov). Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: June 29, 2011.

**Cathy H. Ahn,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16765 Filed 7-5-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-29711; File No. 812-13914]

### J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, et al.; Notice of Application and Temporary Order

June 29, 2011.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

**ACTION:** Temporary order and notice of application for a permanent order under section 9(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act").

*Summary of Application:* Applicants have received a temporary order exempting them from section 9(a) of the Act, with respect to an injunction entered against J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("J.P. Morgan Securities") on June 29, 2011 by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ("Injunction"), until the Commission takes final action on an application for a permanent order.

<sup>2</sup> (515 × 1 hour) = 515 hours.

Applicants also have applied for a permanent order.

*Applicants:* J.P. Morgan Securities; Bear Stearns Asset Management Inc. ("BSAM"); Bear Stearns Health Innoventures Management, L.L.C. ("BSHIM"); BSCGP Inc. ("BSCGP"); Constellation Growth Capital LLC ("Constellation"); Constellation Ventures Management II, LLC ("Constellation II"); Highbridge Capital Management, LLC ("Highbridge"); JF International Management Inc. ("JFIMI"); JPMorgan Asset Management (UK) Limited ("JPMAMUK"); JPMorgan Distribution Services, Inc. ("JPMDS"); J.P. Morgan Institutional Investments, Inc. ("JPMII"); J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. ("JPMIM"); J.P. Morgan Latin America Management Company, LLC ("JPMLAM"); J.P. Morgan Partners, LLC ("JPMP"); J.P. Morgan Private Investments Inc. ("JPMPI"); OEP Co-Investors Management II, Ltd. ("OEP II"); OEP Co-Investors Management III, Ltd. ("OEP III"), and together with OEP II, the "OEP Entities"; Security Capital Research & Management Incorporated ("Security Capital"); Sixty Wall Street GP Corporation ("Sixty Wall GP"); Sixty Wall Street Management Company, LLC ("Sixty Wall Management"); and Technology Coinvestors Management, LLC ("TCM") (collectively, the "Applicants").<sup>1</sup>

*Filing Date:* The application was filed on June 21, 2011 and amended on June 29, 2011.

*Hearing or Notification of Hearing:* An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on July 25, 2011, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090; Applicants: J.P. Morgan

<sup>1</sup> Applicants request that any relief granted pursuant to the application also apply to any other company of which J.P. Morgan Securities is or may become an affiliated person within the meaning of Section 2(a)(3) of the Act (together with the Applicants, the "Covered Persons").

<sup>1</sup> This estimate is based on Form BDW data collected over the past three years. In fiscal year (from 10/1 through 9/30) 2008, 503 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. In fiscal year 2009, 533 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. In fiscal year 2010, 510 broker-dealers withdrew from registration. (503 + 533 + 510)/3 = 515.

Securities, 338 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10179; BSAM, BSHIM, BSCGP, Constellation II, JPMII, JPMIM, JPMLAM, JPMP, JPMPI, Sixty Wall GP, Sixty Wall Management, and TCM, 270 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017; Constellation and Highbridge, 49 West 57th Street, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10019; JFIMI, 21st Floor, Chater House, 8 Connaught Road Central, Hong Kong; JPMAMUK, 125 London Wall, London, UK EC2Y5AJ; JPMDS, 1111 Polaris Pkwy, Columbus, Ohio 43240; OEP Entities, 320 Park Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10022; and Security Capital, 10 South Dearborn Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, IL 60603.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Laura J. Riegel, at (202) 551-6873, or Dalia Osman Blass, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a temporary order and a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm>, or by calling (202) 551-8090.

*Applicants' Representations:*

1. Each of the Applicants (other than Constellation and Highbridge) is either directly or indirectly a wholly-owned subsidiary of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. ("JPMC"). Each of Constellation and Highbridge is an indirect, majority-owned subsidiary of JPMC. JPMC is a financial services holding company whose businesses provide a broad range of financial services. J.P. Morgan Securities is registered as a broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act") and is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act"). J.P. Morgan Securities does not currently serve as an investment adviser, sub-adviser, depositor or principal underwriter (as defined in section 2(a)(29) of the Act) for any of the registered investment companies ("Funds") or employees' securities companies ("ESCs," and included in the term Funds), as defined in section 2(a)(13) of the Act. BSAM is registered as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act and serves as investment adviser or sub-adviser to various Funds, including as general partner that provides investment advisory services to various ESCs.<sup>2</sup> BSHIM, BSCGP,

Constellation II, the OEP Entities and TCM serve as general partners that provide investment advisory services to various ESCs. Constellation serves as a sub-adviser to various ESCs. Highbridge, JFIMI, JPMAMUK, JPMIM, JPMPI, and Security Capital are registered as investment advisers under the Advisers Act and serve as investment advisers or sub-advisers to various Funds. JPMLAM, JPMP, Sixty Wall GP and Sixty Wall Management are registered as investment advisers under the Advisers Act and serve as investment advisers or sub-advisers to ESCs. JPMDS is registered as a broker-dealer under the Exchange Act and serves as principal underwriter to certain Funds. JPMII is registered as a broker-dealer under the Exchange Act and serves as placement agent to certain Funds.<sup>3</sup>

2. On June 29, 2011, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York entered a judgment, which included the Injunction, against J.P. Morgan Securities ("Final Judgment") in a matter brought by the Commission.<sup>4</sup> The conduct of J.P. Morgan Securities alleged in the Complaint involved an offering of a largely synthetic collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") whose portfolio consisted primarily of credit default swaps referencing other CDO securities. The Complaint alleged that J.P. Morgan Securities represented in marketing materials that the collateral manager selected the CDO's investment portfolio but failed to disclose that a hedge fund that purchased the subordinated notes (or "equity"), which also took the short position on roughly half of the portfolio assets, played a significant role in the selection process. The Final Judgment would restrain and enjoin J.P. Morgan Securities from violating sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act. Without admitting or denying any of the allegations in the Complaint, except as to personal and subject matter jurisdiction, J.P. Morgan Securities consented to the entry of the Final Judgment and other equitable relief including certain undertakings.

*Applicants' Legal Analysis:*

more ESCs believes, for purposes of the application, that it is performing a function that falls within the definition of "investment adviser" in section 2(a)(20) of the Act.

<sup>3</sup> JPMII serves as placement agent to JPMorgan Institutional Trust with respect to three series. JPMorgan Institutional Trust is an open-end investment company registered under the Act, but its shares are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. JPMII believes, for purposes of the application, that it is performing a function that falls within the definition of "principal underwriter" in Section 2(a)(29) of the Act.

<sup>4</sup> *U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission v. J.P. Morgan Securities LLC* (f/k/a J.P. Morgan Securities Inc.) Case No. 1:11-cv-04206-RMB (S.D.N.Y. June 29, 2011).

1. Section 9(a)(2) of the Act, in relevant part, prohibits a person who has been enjoined from engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, or in connection with activities as an underwriter, broker or dealer, from acting, among other things, as an investment adviser or depositor of any registered investment company or a principal underwriter for any registered open-end company, registered unit investment trust or registered face-amount certificate company. Section 9(a)(3) of the Act makes the prohibition in section 9(a)(2) applicable to a company, any affiliated person of which has been disqualified under the provisions of section 9(a)(2). Section 2(a)(3) of the Act defines "affiliated person" to include, among others, any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with, the other person. Applicants state that J.P. Morgan Securities is an affiliated person of each of the other Applicants within the meaning of section 2(a)(3) of the Act. Applicants state that the entry of the Injunction results in Applicants being subject to the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

2. Section 9(c) of the Act provides that the Commission shall grant an application for exemption from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) if it is established that these provisions, as applied to the applicants, are unduly or disproportionately severe or that the applicants' conduct has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the exemption. Applicants have filed an application pursuant to section 9(c) seeking a temporary and permanent order exempting them and other Covered Persons from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

3. Applicants believe they meet the standard for exemption specified in section 9(c). Applicants state that the prohibitions of section 9(a) as applied to them would be unduly and disproportionately severe and that the conduct of the Applicants has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the exemption from section 9(a).

4. Applicants state that the alleged conduct giving rise to the Injunction did not involve any of the Applicants acting in the capacity of investment adviser, sub-adviser or depositor for any Fund (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) or as principal underwriter for any registered open-end company, registered unit investment trust or registered face-

<sup>2</sup> Every Applicant that is a general partner that provides investment advisory services to one or

amount certificate company. Applicants also state that to the best of their knowledge, none of the current directors, officers, or employees of the Applicants that are involved in providing services as investment adviser or sub-adviser of the Funds (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) or principal underwriter for any registered open-end company (or any other persons in such roles during the time period covered by the Complaint) participated in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provide a basis for the Injunction. Applicants further represent that the personnel at J.P. Morgan Securities who participated in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provided a basis for the Injunction have had no, and will not have any, involvement in providing advisory, depository (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) to the Funds or principal underwriting services to any registered open-end company, registered unit investment trust, or registered face-amount certificate company on the behalf of the Applicants or other Covered Persons. Applicants also represent that because the personnel of the Applicants (other than those at J.P. Morgan Securities) did not participate in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provide a basis for the Injunction, the shareholders of those Funds were not affected any differently than if those Funds had received services from any other non-affiliated investment adviser or principal underwriter. Applicants state that the alleged conduct did not involve any Fund or the assets of any Fund.

5. Applicants state that their inability to continue to provide investment advisory and subadvisory services to the Funds (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) and principal underwriting services to any registered open-end company would result in potential hardship for the Funds and their shareholders. Applicants state that they will, as soon as reasonably practical, distribute written materials, including an offer to meet in person to discuss the materials, to the boards of directors of the Funds ("Boards") (excluding, for this purpose, the ESCs) for which the Applicants serve as investment adviser, investment sub-adviser or principal underwriter, including the directors who are not "interested persons," as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act, of such Funds, and their independent legal

counsel, if any, describing the circumstances that led to the Injunction and any impact on the Funds, and the application. Applicants state they will provide the Boards with the information concerning the Injunction and the application that is necessary for the Funds to fulfill their disclosure and other obligations under the Federal securities laws.

6. Applicants also state that, if they were barred from providing services to the Funds, the effect on their businesses and employees would be severe. Applicants state that they have committed substantial resources to establishing expertise in providing advisory and distribution services to Funds. Applicants further state that prohibiting them from providing such services would not only adversely affect their businesses, but would also adversely affect about 940 employees who are involved in those activities. Applicants also state that disqualifying certain Applicants from continuing to provide investment advisory services to the ESCs is not in the public interest or in the furtherance of the protection of investors. Because the ESCs have been formed for certain key employees, officers and directors of JPMC and its affiliates, it would not be consistent with the purposes of the ESC provisions of the Act or the terms and conditions of the ESC orders to require another entity not affiliated with JPMC to manage the ESCs. In addition, participating employees of JPM and its affiliates likely subscribed for interests in the ESCs with the expectation that the ESCs would be managed by an affiliate of JPMC.

7. Certain of the Applicants previously have applied for and received exemptions under section 9(c) as the result of conduct that triggered section 9(a) of the Act, as described in greater detail in the application.

*Applicants' Condition:*

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:

Any temporary exemption granted pursuant to the application shall be without prejudice to, and shall not limit the Commission's rights in any manner with respect to, any Commission investigation of, or administrative proceedings involving or against, Covered Persons, including, without limitation, the consideration by the Commission of a permanent exemption from section 9(a) of the Act requested pursuant to the application or the revocation or removal of any temporary exemptions granted under the Act in connection with the application.

*Temporary Order:*

The Commission has considered the matter and finds that Applicants have made the necessary showing to justify granting a temporary exemption.

Accordingly,

*It is hereby ordered*, pursuant to section 9(c) of the Act, that Applicants and any other Covered Persons are granted a temporary exemption from the provisions of section 9(a), solely with respect to the Injunction, subject to the condition in the application, from June 29, 2011, until the Commission takes final action on their application for a permanent order.

By the Commission.

**Elizabeth M. Murphy,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16818 Filed 7-5-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold a Closed Meeting on Thursday, July 7, 2011 at 2 p.m.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commission, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters also may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), (5), (7), 9(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), 9(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.

Commissioner Casey, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the Closed Meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, July 7, 2011 will be:

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings;

An adjudicatory matter; and

Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: