The number of Units for low- and very low-income persons in the Rental property or Cooperative assistance program: ____

g. This proposal is for one of the following:

☐ Housing Preservation Grant (HPG) program (no set-aside)

☐ Set-aside for Grant located in an Empowerment Zone

☐ Set-aside for Grant located in Enterprise Communities

☐ Set-aside for Grant located in a Rural Economic Area Partnership (REAP) zone

III. Low-Income Assistance

Check the percentage of very low-income persons that this application proposes to assist in relation to the total population of the project:

☐ More than 80 percent (20 points)
☐ 61 percent to 80 percent (15 points)
☐ 41 percent to 60 percent (10 points)
☐ 20 percent to 40 percent (5 points)
☐ Less than 20 percent (0 points)

IV. Percent of HPG Fund Use

Check the percentage of HPG fund use (excluding administrative costs) in comparison to the total cost of unit preservation. This percentage reflects maximum repair or rehabilitation results with the least possible HPG funds due to leveraging, innovative financial assistance, owner's contribution or other specified approaches.

☐ 50 percent or less of HPG Funds (20 points)

☐ 51 percent to 65 percent of HPG Funds (15 points)

☐ 66 percent to 80 percent of HPG Funds (10 points)

☐ 81 percent to 95 percent of HPG Funds (5 points)

☐ 96 percent to 100 percent of HPG Funds (0 points)

V. Administrative Capacity

The following three criteria demonstrate your administrative capacity to assist very low- and low-income persons to obtain adequate housing (30 points maximum).

a. Does this organization or a member of its staff have at least one or more years of experience successfully managing and operating a rehabilitation or weatherization type of program? (10 points) Yes ____ No ____

b. Does this organization or a member of its staff have at least one or more years of experience successfully managing and operating a program assisting very low- or low-income persons obtain housing assistance? (10 points) Yes ____ No ____

c. If this organization has administered grant programs, are there any outstanding or unresolved audit or investigative findings which might impair carrying out the proposal? (10 points for No) No ____ Yes ____

If Yes, please explain:

_____

_____

_____

VI. Area Served

Will this proposal be undertaken entirely in rural areas outside Metropolitan Statistical Areas, also known as MSAs, in areas identified by Rural Development as having populations below 10,000, or in remote parts of other rural areas (i.e., rural areas contained in MSAs with less than 5,000 population) as defined in 7 CFR 1944.656? (10 points) Yes ____ No ____

VII. Percent of HPG Funds for Administration

Check the percentage of HPG funds that will be used for Administration purposes:

☐ More than 20 percent (Not eligible)
☐ 20 percent (0 points)
☐ 19 percent (1 point)
☐ 18 percent (2 points)
☐ 17 percent (3 points)
☐ 16 percent (4 points)
☐ 15 percent or less (5 points)

VIII. Alleviating Overcrowding

Does the proposed program contain a component for alleviating overcrowding as defined in 7 CFR 1944.656? (5 points) Yes ___ No ___

IX. Documents Submitted

Check if the following documents are being submitted electronically with this pre-application or will be mailed to the State Office with your complete pre-application package.

NOTE: You are only required to submit supporting documents for programs in which you will be participating as indicated in this pre-application. Points will be assigned for the items that you checked based on a review of the supporting documents. Please refer to the Notice for the complete list of documents that you are required to submit with your complete pre-application package.

Reference	Item	Submitted with this pre-application	Submitted to state office
III	Low Income Assistance		
IV	Percent of HPG Fund Use		
V	Administrative Capacity		
VI	Area Served		
VII	Percent of HPG Funds for Administration		
VIII	Alleviating Overcrowding		

[FR Doc. 2011–17109 Filed 7–6–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–XV–P

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Draft Investigation Report—DuPont Belle; Public Comment Requested

In connection with its investigation into three incidents that occurred at the DuPont plant in Belle, West Virginia, within a 48 hour period on January 22 and 23, 2010, the U.S. Chemical Safety Board (CSB) announces that it is seeking public review and comment on its draft investigation report on these incidents. The draft report will be posted on the CSB Web site on July 7, 2011, and will be available for review and comment until 5 p.m. on August 22, 2011. Comments should preferably be sent by e-mail to *dupontcomments@csb.gov* following the directions for submitting comments provided on the CSB Web site (*http://www.csb.gov.*). Comments may also be sent to CSB Headquarters (see address and name below). All comments received are considered public documents and will be available for public review either at CSB Headquarters or by following directions posted on the CSB Web site.

By Notation Item #862, the Board unanimously voted to: (a) Publish the draft report on the DuPont-Belle incidents on the CSB's Web site (clearly marked as ''Staff draft for public comment'' and ''Subject to change, approval, or disapproval by the Board'') and publicize its availability; (b) provide a period of forty-five (45) calendar days to receive public comment in response to the draft investigation report; and (c) consider all comments received prior to approval and publication of the final investigation report on the DuPont-Belle incidents.

The three separate incidents at the DuPont plant involved releases of methyl chloride, oleum, and phosgene, and all three triggered notification of outside emergency response agencies. The incident involving the release of phosgene gas led to the fatal exposure of a worker performing routine duties in an area where phosgene cylinders were stored and used. Key issues involved in the three investigations include safe process design, mechanical integrity, alarm management, operating

procedures, and company emergency response and notification procedures.

Following the close of the public comment period, the draft report may be modified based on comments received and other staff investigative activities. A final report will then be presented to the Board for its consideration and final vote. Only after the report is approved by the Board will the investigation into the three incidents at the DuPont facility be considered closed.

Address to submit written comments: If the submittal of comments by e-mail is not feasible for any reason, written comments may also be provided to the CSB by mail to: Ms. Amy McCormick, Board Affairs Specialist, DuPont Draft Investigation Report Comments, U.S. Chemical Safety Board, 2175 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037.

Copies of the comments and the draft report will be available for review at CSB Headquarters and on the CSB Web site. To answer any question regarding the submission of comments or to establish times to review these documents at CSB Headquarters, please call Amy McCormick, Board Affairs Specialist, at (202) 261–7630.

Daniel M. Horowitz,

Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2011–17099 Filed 7–6–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6350–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: United States Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

DATE AND TIME: Friday, July 15, 2011; 9:30 a.m. EDT.

PLACE: 624 Ninth Street, NW., Room 540, Washington, DC 20425.

MEETING AGENDA

This meeting is open to the public.

I. Approval of Agenda.

II. Approval of the June 10, 2011 Meeting Minutes.

III. Program Planning:

- Consideration of enforcement report topic for FY 2012.
- Consideration of additional briefing topics for FY 2012.
- Inclusion of rebuttal to enforcement report for FY 2010.
- Approval of Age Discrimination briefing report.

IV. Management and Operations:

- Staff Director's report.
- Discussion of the 2013 Budget.

V. State Advisory Committee Issues:

- Discussion of Commissioner recommendations of SAC members.
- Re-chartering the New Hampshire SAC.

VI. Adjourn.

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Lenore Ostrowsky, Acting Chief, Public Affairs Unit (202) 376–8591.

Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact Pamela Dunston at (202) 376–8105 or at *signlanguage@usccr.gov* at least three (3) business days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Dated: July 5, 2011.

Kimberly A. Tolhurst,

Senior Attorney-Advisor.

[FR Doc. 2011–17219 Filed 7–5–11; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Title: Usage of Elevators for Occupant Evacuation Questionnaire.

OMB Control Number: None.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Request: Regular submission (new information collection).

Burden Hours: 375.

Number of Respondents: 1,500.

Average Hours per Response: 15 minutes.

Needs and Uses: NIST's research on elevators has primarily focused on the technical aspects of ensuring safe and reliable evacuation for the occupants of tall buildings. In addition, the International Code Council and the National Fire Protection Association provide requirements for the use of elevators for both occupant evacuation and fire fighter access into the building. However, there still is little understanding of how occupants use elevator systems during fire emergencies.

The main focus of this research effort is to gain an understanding of how elevators are currently used by occupants of existing multi-story buildings in the United States during fire emergencies. This research aims to summarize emergency plans and procedures from buildings that make use of one or multiple elevators from the existing elevator system (used for normal building traffic) for the evacuation of building occupants during fire emergencies. Building managers and designated safety personnel (familiar with or in charge of developing emergency procedures for multi-story buildings) from existing buildings in the United States, including federal buildings, will be contacted to fill out a questionnaire asking about how the buildings' evacuation plans incorporate the use of the existing elevator system to evacuate occupants during fire emergencies, specifically individuals with disabilities, if at all.

Affected Public: Federal government; Business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: One-time.

Respondent's Obligation: None.

OMB Desk Officer:. Jasmeet Seehra, (202) 395–3123.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *dHynek@doc.gov*).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to, OMB Desk Officer, Jasmeet Seehra, (202) 395–3123, FAX Number (202) 395–5167, or *Jasmeet_K._Seehra@omb.eop.gov*).

Dated: June 30, 2011.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011–16917 Filed 7–6–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Economic Expenditure Survey of Golden Crab Fishermen in the U.S. South Atlantic Region.

OMB Control Number: None.

Form Number(s): NA.

Type of Request: Regular submission (request for new information collection).