

instead, has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) for the GMP (GMP/EA).

**DATES:** The GMP/EA is expected to be distributed for public review and comment during the summer of 2015. The NPS will provide information on when the GMP/EA will be released for public review, the dates of the public comment period, and the dates that public meetings will be held on the park's planning Web site at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/mava> and through local and regional media.

**ADDRESSES:** Refer to the park's planning Web site at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/mava> for additional information on where and how to obtain a copy of the GMP/EA, how to comment on the GMP/EA, and locations of upcoming public meetings.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim O'Connell, Project Manager; NPS/Northeast Region; 15 State Street; Boston, MA 02019 or Sarah Olson, Superintendent; Martin Van Buren NHS; 1013 Old Post Road; Kinderhook, NY 12106.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The GMP for Martin Van Buren National Historic Site will provide long-term guidance for resource management, visitor services, and interpretive programming. The three GMP alternatives evaluated in the GMP/EA focus on management of lands added to the park in 2009, maintaining and protecting resources, visitor use, facilities, access, interpretation, and NPS operations in the park as a whole. GMP planning and alternatives development incorporated input from park partners and cooperators; participants in local community meetings; consultation with local, regional, and national government agencies; and comments gathered at a 2009 public scoping session. The public was informed about the process and invited to participate through newsletters, emails, letters, and response cards.

The GMP was originally scoped as an EIS; however, internal discussions and input received during public and agency scoping did not raise any potentially significant environmental issues nor has the impact analysis identified any potentially significant adverse impacts. It is also noted that many of the actions proposed in the GMP/EA will have benefits to the park's resources, operational needs, and visitor experiences. For these reasons the NPS determined that an EA is the appropriate level of environmental review for the GMP.

Dated: June 18, 2015.

**Jonathan Meade,**

*Deputy Regional Director, Northeast Region, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2015-18592 Filed 7-28-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-WV-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-925]

### Certain Communications or Computing Devices and Components Thereof Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety Based Upon Settlement; Termination of Investigation; and Vacatur of Order No. 34

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge has issued a final initial determination and recommended determination on remedy and bonding in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, specifically a limited exclusion order against certain marine sonar imaging systems, products containing the same, and components thereof, imported by respondents Garmin International, Inc.; Garmin North America, Inc.; Garmin USA, Inc., each of Olathe, Kansas, and Garmin Corporation of New Taipei City, Taiwan, and a cease and desist order against the domestic respondents. This notice is soliciting public interest comments from the public only. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on EDIS at <http://>

[edis.usitc.gov](http://edis.usitc.gov). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on August 21, 2014, based on a Complaint filed by Enterprise Systems Technologies S.a.r.l. of Luxembourg ("Enterprise"). 79 FR 49537-38 (Aug. 21, 2014). The Complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain communications or computing devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,691,302 ("the '302 patent"); 5,870,610; 6,594,366; and 7,454,201. The notice of investigation named the following respondents: HTC Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan; HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington; LG Electronics Inc. of Seoul, Republic of Korea; LG Electronics USA, Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey; LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. of San Diego, California; Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC of Richardson, Texas (collectively, "Remaining Respondents"); Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California ("Apple"); and Cirrus Logic Inc. of Austin, Texas ("Cirrus"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party to the investigation.

On September 9, 2014, the ALJ issued an initial determination, Order No. 6, granting intervenor status to Google Inc. of Mountain View, California ("Google"). On March 9, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID, Order No. 20, terminating the investigation as to Cirrus. On June 5, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID, Order No. 37, terminating the investigation as to Apple. The Commission determined not to review those IDs.

On May 21, 2015, the ALJ issued Order No. 34, an initial determination terminating the '302 patent from the investigation based upon a lack of standing. Enterprise filed a petition for review on May 28, 2015. The parties subsequently moved for a 60-day extension to file any further briefing on the issue. The Commission granted the motion on June 1, 2015, and extended the date for determining whether to review Order No. 34 to August 21, 2015. Thus, Order No. 34 remains outstanding.

On June 22, 2015, Enterprise, Remaining Respondents, and Google jointly moved to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon settlement. On June 29, 2015, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses to the motion were received.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on July 1, 2015, and a corrected version on July 17, 2015, granting the joint motion for termination. The ALJ found that the settlement agreement satisfies the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b). She further found, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2), that there is no indication that termination of the investigation would adversely impact the public interest. No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID as corrected. In light of the settlement, the Commission has determined to vacate Order No. 34 as moot.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.  
Issued: July 23, 2015.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-18485 Filed 7-28-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-931]

### Certain Formatted Magnetic Data Storage Tapes and Cartridges Containing Same; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 19) by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the above-captioned investigation based on settlement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Clark S. Cheney, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW.,

Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2661. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 29, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Advanced Research Corporation of White Bear Lake, Minnesota ("ARC"). 79 FR 58382-83 (Sept. 29, 2014). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on infringement of five U.S. patents. The notice of investigation, as amended, named the following respondents: Fujifilm Holdings Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Fujifilm Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Fujifilm Recording Media USA, Inc., of Bedford, Massachusetts; Oracle Corporation of Redwood Shores, California; Oracle America, Inc., of Redwood Shores, California; and International Business Machines Corp. of Armonk, New York. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party. *Id.* at 58383; 79 FR 78905 (Dec. 31, 2014).

On June 19, 2015, ARC and all respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement between ARC and all respondents. On June 26, 2015, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

On June 29, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the motion to terminate the investigation. The ALJ found that the motion complied with Commission Rules and that termination would be in the public interest. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 24, 2015.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-18578 Filed 7-28-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 29, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc. ("MSGIP 2.0") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), Morgantown, WV; Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC), Wayland, MA; OMNETRIC Corp., Minnetonka, MN; National Instruments, Austin, TX; Opus One Solutions, Richmond Hill, Ontario, CANADA; ITOCHU Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; GridIntellect LLC, Madison, AL; Inman Technology, Cambridge, MA; Xtensible Solutions, Greenwood Village, CO; and Southern California Edison (SCE), Westminster, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Kyocera Telecommunications Research Center (KTRC), Fremont, CA; The Associated General Contractors of America, Arlington, VA; RCES Center from Univ. of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX; Arizona Public Service Company, Phoenix, AZ; California Independent System Operator Corporation, Folsom, CA; CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, Houston, TX; Clevest Solutions, Inc., Richmond, British Columbia, CANADA; Coordinated Science Laboratory—University of Illinois, Urbana, IL; HomePlug Powerline Alliance, Inc., Beaverton, OR; India Smart Grid Forum (ISGF), New Delhi, INDIA; Kottage Industries LLC, Worthington, OH; Mitsubishi Electric Research Labs, Cambridge, MA; MobiComm Communications, The Hague, NETHERLANDS; Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA), Arlington, VA; Tennessee Valley Authority, Chattanooga, TN; UPnP Forum,