

CALIFORNIA**Los Angeles County**

Mirlo Gate Lodge Tower, 4420 Via Valmonte,
Palos Verdes Estates, SG100003633

Santa Clara County

Air Base Laundry, 954 Villa St., Mountain
View, SG100003641
Weilheimer, Julius, House, 938 Villa St.,
Mountain View, SG100003642

Additional documentation has been
received for the following resources:

ARIZONA**Maricopa County**

Town and Country Scottsdale Residential
Historic District, 2218 N 72nd Pl. & 7307
E Cypress St., Scottsdale, AD09000694
Willo Historic District, 25 W. Wilshire Dr.,
Phoenix, AD90002099

Pima County

San Clemente Historic District, 3934 S Calle
de Jardin, Tucson, AD04001156
Winterhaven Historic District, 3518 N Fox
Ave., Tucson, AD05001466

Nomination submitted by Federal
Preservation Officer:

The State Historic Preservation
Officer reviewed the following
nomination and responded to the
Federal Preservation Officer within 45
days of receipt of the nomination and
supports listing the property in the
National Register of Historic Places.

MICHIGAN**Monroe County**

River Raisin Battlefield Site (Boundary
Increase), 1403 E Elm Ave., Monroe
vicinity, BC100003658

Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60.

Dated: March 11, 2019.

Kathryn G. Smith,

*Acting Chief, National Register of Historic
Places/National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2019-05358 Filed 3-20-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1057 (Advisory
Opinion Proceeding)]

**Certain Robotic Vacuum Cleaning
Devices and Components Thereof
Such as Spare Parts; Institution of an
Advisory Opinion Proceeding**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that
the U.S. International Trade
Commission has determined to institute
an advisory opinion proceeding in the
above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Needham, Office of the General
Counsel, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW,
Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)
708-5468. Copies of non-confidential
documents filed in connection with this
investigation are or will be available for
inspection during official business
hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the
Office of the Secretary, U.S.
International Trade Commission, 500 E
Street SW, Washington, DC 20436,
telephone (202) 205-2000. General
information concerning the Commission
may also be obtained by accessing its
internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>).
The public record for this investigation
may be viewed on the Commission's
electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired
persons are advised that information on
this matter can be obtained by
contacting the Commission's TDD
terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
Commission instituted an investigation
on May 23, 2017, based on a complaint
filed by iRobot Corporation of Bedford,
Massachusetts ("iRobot"). 82 FR 23593-
94. The complaint, as supplemented,
alleged violations of section 337 of the
Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19
U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the
United States, the sale for importation,
and the sale within the United States
after importation of certain robotic
vacuum cleaning devices and
components thereof that infringe certain
claims of, *inter alia*, U.S. Patent No.
9,038,233 ("the '233 patent"). *Id.* The
Commission's notice of investigation
named as a respondent, *inter alia*,
Shenzhen Silver Star Intelligent
Technology Co., Ltd., of Shenzhen,
China ("Silver Star"). *Id.* at 23593. The
Office of Unfair Import Investigations
did not participate in the investigation.
Id.

On November 30, 2018, the
Commission found, *inter alia*, that
Silver Star violated section 337 with
respect to the '233 patent, and issued a
limited exclusion order ("LEO") against,
inter alia, Silver Star with respect to
claims 1, 10, 11, and 14-16 of the '233
patent. 83 FR 63186-87. The
Commission also issued cease and
desist orders ("CDOs") against two of
Silver Star's customers, Hoover Inc. and
bObsweep, Inc., regarding those same
claims. *Id.*

On January 30, 2019, Silver Star filed
a request for an advisory opinion that
eight of its products do not violate the
LEO and CDOs. On February 11, 2019,
iRobot opposed the advisory opinion
request on numerous grounds.

On February 22, 2019, Silver Star
requested leave to file a reply in support
of its request for an advisory opinion.
On February 27, 2019, iRobot argued
that Silver Star's request for leave
should be denied, or alternatively,
iRobot should be given leave to file the
attached sur-reply.

The Commission has determined that
Silver Star's request complies with the
requirements for institution of an
advisory opinion proceeding under
Commission Rule 210.79. The
Commission finds that proceeding
requires sufficient factfinding to warrant
the delegation of the proceeding to an
administrative law judge. Accordingly,
the Commission has determined to
institute an advisory opinion
proceeding and has referred Silver Star's
request to the Chief Administrative Law
Judge to designate a presiding
administrative law judge. The following
entities are named as parties to the
proceeding: (1) Complainant iRobot; (2)
respondent Silver Star; and (3) the
Office of Unfair Import Investigations.
The Commission has also determined to
deny Silver Star's request for leave to
file a reply in support of its advisory
opinion request.

The authority for the Commission's
determination is contained in section
337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as
amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part
210 of the Commission's Rules of
Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part
210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 15, 2019.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-05343 Filed 3-20-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-592 and 731-
TA-1400 (Final)]

Plastic Decorative Ribbon From China**Determinations**

On the basis of the record¹ developed
in the subject investigations, the United
States International Trade
Commission ("Commission")
determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of
1930 ("the Act"), that an industry in the
United States is materially injured by
reason of imports of plastic decorative
ribbon from China, provided for in
subheadings 3920.10.00; 3920.20.00;

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the
Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19
CFR 207.2(f)).

3920.30.00; 3920.43.50; 3920.49.00; 3920.62.00; 3920.69.00; 3921.90.11; 3921.90.15; 3921.90.19; 3921.90.40; 3926.90.99; 4601.99.90; 4602.90.00; 5404.90.00; 5609.00.30; 5609.00.40; 6307.90.98; and 9505.90.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), and to be subsidized by the government of China.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), instituted these investigations effective December 27, 2017, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and Commerce by Berwick Offray LLC, Berwick, Pennsylvania. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of plastic decorative ribbon from China were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and sold at LTFV within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on August 30, 2018 (83 FR 44302). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on December 13, 2018, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel. Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, all import injury investigations conducted under authority of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 accordingly have been tolled pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)(2), 1673d(b)(2). A revised schedule was published on February 8, 2019 (84 FR 2926).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on March 15, 2019. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4875 (March 2019), entitled *Plastic Decorative Ribbon from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–592 and 731–TA–1400 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 15, 2019.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–05344 Filed 3–20–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1076]

Certain Magnetic Data Storage Tapes and Cartridges Containing the Same (II); Notice of a Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; and Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the “Commission”) has determined to review in part the final initial determination (“ID”) of the administrative law judge (“ALJ”), which was issued on October 25, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s Electronic Docket Information System (“EDIS”) (<https://edis.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on October 25, 2017, on a complaint filed by FUJIFILM Corporation of Tokyo, Japan and FUJIFILM Recording Media U.S.A., Inc. of Bedford, Massachusetts (collectively, “Fujifilm”). 82 FR 49421–22 (Oct. 25, 2017). The complaint alleges violations of 19 U.S.C. 1337, as amended (“Section 337”), in

the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale in the United States after importation of certain magnetic data storage tapes and cartridges that infringe one or more of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,630,256 (“the ’256 patent”), 6,835,451 (“the ’451 patent”), 7,011,899 (“the ’899 patent”), 6,462,905 (“the ’905 patent”), and 6,783,094 (“the ’094 patent”). *Id.* The notice of investigation named Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Storage Media Solutions Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Storage Media Manufacturing Corporation of Miyagi, Japan; Sony DADC US Inc. of Terre Haute, Indiana; and Sony Latin America Inc. of Miami, Florida (collectively, “Sony”) as respondents. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named a party to the investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to the ’094 patent and certain claims of the ’905, ’256, ’451, and ’899 patents. Comm’n Notice (Apr. 17, 2018) (aff’g Order No. 11); Comm’n Notice (July 9, 2018) (aff’g Order No. 17); Comm’n Notice (July 27, 2018) (aff’g Order No. 22).

The ALJ held an evidentiary hearing from June 25–29, 2018. On October 25, 2018, the ALJ issued his final ID, in which he found Sony in violation of Section 337 as to the ’256 and ’899 patents, but not the ’905 or ’451 patents. The ALJ recommended that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders to each of the Sony respondents.

The parties filed their respective petitions for review on November 9, 2018. The parties filed their respective responses to the petitions on November 20, 2018.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the ALJ’s orders and final ID, as well as the parties’ petitions and responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the final ID in part, as follows.

With regard to the ’256 patent, the Commission has determined to review the ID’s finding that Fujifilm has satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement.

With regard to the ’899 patent, the Commission has determined to review the ID’s construction and application of the claimed ranges expressed in terms of “per 6400 μm^2 ” and related issues of infringement and the technical prong of domestic industry requirement. The Commission has also determined to review the ID’s findings as to whether the asserted claims are invalid as obvious.