

SUMMARY: The Department of the Interior, Office of the Secretary is announcing a public meeting of the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill (EVOS) Trustee Council's Public Advisory Committee.

DATES: February 25, 2020, at 8:30 a.m. AKST.

ADDRESSES: Glenn Olds Hall Conference Room, 4210 University Drive, Anchorage, Alaska; (800) 315-6338, code 72241.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Philip Johnson, Department of the Interior, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, 1689 "C" Street, Suite 119, Anchorage, Alaska, (907) 271-5011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EVOS Public Advisory Committee was created pursuant to Paragraph V.A.4 of the Memorandum of Agreement and Consent Decree entered into by the United States of America and the State of Alaska on August 27, 1991, and approved by the United States District Court for the District of Alaska in settlement of *United States of America v. State of Alaska*, Civil Action No. A91-081 CV.

The EVOS Public Advisory Committee meeting agenda will include an orientation for new members, Federal Advisory Committee Act training, and an overview of the proposed draft Work Plan. An opportunity for public comments will be provided. The final agenda and materials for the meeting will be posted on the EVOS Trustee Council website at www.evostc.state.ak.us. All EVOS Public Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public.

Public Input

Submitting Written Information or Questions

Interested members of the public may submit relevant information or questions for the Committee to consider during the public meeting. Written statements must be received by February 14, 2020, so that the information may be made available to the Committee for their consideration prior to this meeting. Written statements must be supplied to Dr. Philip Johnson (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** above) in the following formats: One hard copy with original signature and/or one electronic copy via email (acceptable file formats are Adobe Acrobat PDF, MS Word, MS PowerPoint, or rich text file).

Public Disclosure of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other

personal identifying information in your comments, please be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Philip Johnson,

Regional Environmental Officer, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020-01804 Filed 1-31-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334-63-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-458 and 731-TA-1154 (Second Review)]

Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks From China; Institution of Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

DATES: Instituted February 3, 2020. To be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is March 4, 2020. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by April 16, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On September 14, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") issued antidumping and countervailing duty orders on imports of certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from China (74 FR 46971). Following the five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective March 12, 2015, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on imports of certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from China (80 FR 12983). The Commission is now conducting second reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR part 201, subparts A and B, and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission's determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Country* in these reviews is China.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determinations and its expedited first five-year review determinations, the Commission found two *Domestic Like Products*: (1) Certain refrigeration shelving and baskets for refrigerators, freezers, combination refrigerator/freezers and other refrigerating or freezing equipment ("refrigeration shelving"); and (2) certain oven racks, side racks, and subframes for cooking stoves, ranges, and ovens ("oven racks").

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic*

Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. Based on its original determinations and its expedited first five-year review determinations of two separate *Domestic Like Products*, the Commission found two *Domestic Industries* consisting of the following: (1) All producers of certain refrigeration shelving and baskets for refrigerators, freezers, combination refrigerator/freezers, and other refrigerating or freezing equipment; and (2) all producers of certain oven racks, side racks, and subframes for cooking stoves, ranges, and ovens.

(5) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

Participation in the proceeding and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the *Subject Merchandise* and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the proceeding as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission's rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the proceeding.

Former Commission employees who are seeking to appear in Commission five-year reviews are advised that they may appear in a review even if they participated personally and substantially in the corresponding underlying original investigation or an earlier review of the same underlying investigation. The Commission's designated agency ethics official has advised that a five-year review is not the same particular matter as the underlying original investigation, and a five-year review is not the same particular matter as an earlier review of the same underlying investigation for purposes of 18 U.S.C. 207, the post employment statute for Federal employees, and Commission rule 201.15(b) (19 CFR 201.15(b)), 79 FR 3246 (Jan. 17, 2014), 73 FR 24609 (May 5, 2008). Consequently, former employees are not required to seek Commission approval to appear in a review under Commission rule 19 CFR 201.15, even if the corresponding underlying original investigation or an earlier review of the

same underlying investigation was pending when they were Commission employees. For further ethics advice on this matter, contact Charles Smith, Office of the General Counsel, at 202–205–3408.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and APO service list.—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI submitted in this proceeding available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the proceeding, provided that the application is made no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the proceeding. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Certification.—Pursuant to section 207.3 of the Commission's rules, any person submitting information to the Commission in connection with this proceeding must certify that the information is accurate and complete to the best of the submitter's knowledge. In making the certification, the submitter will acknowledge that information submitted in response to this request for information and throughout this proceeding or other proceeding may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

Written submissions.—Pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules, each interested party response to this notice must provide the information specified below. The deadline for filing such responses is March 4, 2020. Pursuant to section 207.62(b) of the Commission's rules, eligible parties (as specified in Commission rule 207.62(b)(1)) may also file comments concerning the adequacy of responses to the notice of institution and whether the Commission should conduct expedited or full reviews. The deadline for filing such comments is April 16, 2020. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the

Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings. Also, in accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the proceeding must be served on all other parties to the proceeding (as identified by either the public or APO service list as appropriate), and a certificate of service must accompany the document (if you are not a party to the proceeding you do not need to serve your response).

No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117 0016/USITC No. 20–5–455, expiration date June 30, 2020. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436.

Inability to provide requested information.—Pursuant to section 207.61(c) of the Commission's rules, any interested party that cannot furnish the information requested by this notice in the requested form and manner shall notify the Commission at the earliest possible time, provide a full explanation of why it cannot provide the requested information, and indicate alternative forms in which it can provide equivalent information. If an interested party does not provide this notification (or the Commission finds the explanation provided in the notification inadequate) and fails to provide a complete response to this notice, the Commission may take an adverse inference against the party pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677e(b)) in making its determinations in the reviews.

Information To Be Provided in Response to This Notice of Institution: Please provide the requested information separately for each *Domestic Like Product*, as defined by the Commission in its original determinations, and for each of the products identified by Commerce as *Subject Merchandise*. As used below, the term "firm" includes any related firms.

(1) The name and address of your firm or entity (including World Wide Web address) and name, telephone number, fax number, and email address of the certifying official.

(2) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is an interested party under 19 U.S.C. 1677(9) and if so, how, including whether your firm/entity is a U.S. producer of the *Domestic Like Product*, a U.S. union or worker group, a U.S. importer of the *Subject Merchandise*, a foreign producer or exporter of the *Subject Merchandise*, a U.S. or foreign trade or business association (a majority of whose members are interested parties under the statute), or another interested party (including an explanation). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, identify the firms in which your workers are employed or which are members of your association.

(3) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is willing to participate in this proceeding by providing information requested by the Commission.

(4) A statement of the likely effects of the revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on the *Domestic Industry* in general and/or your firm/entity specifically. In your response, please discuss the various factors specified in section 752(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)) including the likely volume of subject imports, likely price effects of subject imports, and likely impact of imports of *Subject Merchandise* on the *Domestic Industry*.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of the *Domestic Like Product*. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the *Subject Merchandise* and producers of the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* that currently export or have exported *Subject Merchandise* to the United States or other countries after 2013.

(7) A list of 3–5 leading purchasers in the U.S. market for the *Domestic Like Product* and the *Subject Merchandise* (including street address, World Wide Web address, and the name, telephone number, fax number, and email address of a responsible official at each firm).

(8) A list of known sources of information on national or regional prices for the *Domestic Like Product* or the *Subject Merchandise* in the U.S. or other markets.

(9) If you are a U.S. producer of the *Domestic Like Product*, provide the

following information on your firm's operations on that product during calendar year 2019, except as noted (report quantity data in units and value data in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the *Domestic Like Product* accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm to produce the *Domestic Like Product* (that is, the level of production that your establishment(s) could reasonably have expected to attain during the year, assuming normal operating conditions (using equipment and machinery in place and ready to operate), normal operating levels (hours per week/weeks per year), time for downtime, maintenance, repair, and cleanup, and a typical or representative product mix);

(c) the quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s);

(d) the quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(e) the value of (i) net sales, (ii) cost of goods sold (COGS), (iii) gross profit, (iv) selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses, and (v) operating income of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s) (include both U.S. and export commercial sales, internal consumption, and company transfers) for your most recently completed fiscal year (identify the date on which your fiscal year ends).

(10) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country*, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2019 (report quantity data in units and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or

countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of *Subject Merchandise* imported from the *Subject Country*; and

(c) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of *Subject Merchandise* imported from the *Subject Country*.

(11) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country*, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2019 (report quantity data in units and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm(s) to produce the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* (that is, the level of production that your establishment(s) could reasonably have expected to attain during the year, assuming normal operating conditions (using equipment and machinery in place and ready to operate), normal operating levels (hours per week/weeks per year), time for downtime, maintenance, repair, and cleanup, and a typical or representative product mix); and

(c) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(12) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the *Domestic Like Product* that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* after 2013, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including

barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the *Domestic Like Product* produced in the United States, *Subject Merchandise* produced in the *Subject Country*, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry*; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This proceeding is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 29, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020-01980 Filed 1-31-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Shelton W. Barnes, M.D.; Decision and Order

On June 28, 2019, the Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government), issued an Order to Show Cause to Shelton W. Barnes, M.D., (hereinafter, Registrant), of New Orleans, Louisiana. Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC), at 1. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. BB1040269, because the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General (hereinafter, HHS OIG) "mandatorily excluded [Registrant] from participation in Medicare, Medicaid, and all Federal health care programs for a minimum period of twenty-five years pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7(a)"; and such exclusion "warrants revocation of [Registrant's] registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(5)." *Id.* at 2.

Specifically, the OSC alleged that, on September 25, 2018, Judgment was entered against Registrant in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (hereinafter, E.D. La.) "based on [Registrant's] conviction on one count of 'Conspiracy to Commit

Health Care Fraud,' in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1349, one count of 'Conspiracy to Pay and Receive Illegal Health Care Kickbacks,' in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371, fifteen counts of 'Health Care Fraud,' in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1347 and 2,¹ and one count of 'Obstruction of a Federal Audit,' in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1516 and 2."² *Id.* at 2 (citing *United States v. Barnes*, No. 2:15-cr-0061-SM-JCW (E.D. La. 2018)). The OSC further alleged that "based on [such] conviction, HHS OIG, by letter dated March 29, 2019, mandatorily excluded [Registrant] from participation in Medicare, Medicaid, and all Federal health care programs for a minimum period of twenty-five years pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7(a), effective April 18, 2019." *Id.*

The Show Cause Order notified Registrant of the right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement, while waiving the right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 2-3 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The OSC also notified Registrant of the opportunity to submit a corrective action plan. *Id.* at 3-4 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

Adequacy of Service

In a Declaration, dated October 24, 2019, a Diversion Investigator (hereinafter, DI) assigned to the New Orleans Field Division stated that she and another DI traveled to the Federal Prison Camp Pensacola, 110 Raby Ave., Pensacola, Florida 32509, where Registrant was incarcerated, on July 10, 2019. Request for Final Agency Action (hereinafter, RFAA), EX 6 (Declaration of Service). The DI stated that they were taken to a room where correctional officers brought in Registrant, who "was wearing an inmate nametag with his name on it," and she further "recognized him based on his driver's license photo." *Id.* The DI then "handed the [OSC] to [Registrant] and explained it to him, including his options to request a hearing or surrender his registration." *Id.*

The Government submitted its RFAA, along with the evidentiary record, for adjudication on December 4, 2019. In its RFAA, the Government represented that "at least thirty days have passed since the . . . [OSC] was served on Registrant. Registrant has not requested a hearing and has not otherwise corresponded or communicated with DEA regarding the Order served on him, including the

filing of any written statement in lieu of a hearing." RFAA, at 2. The Government requested that Registrant's Certificate of Registration be revoked and his pending application for renewal be denied "because Registrant lacks state authority and because Registrant has been excluded from Medicare, Medicaid and all Federal health care programs, either of which alone is a sufficient basis to revoke Registrant's registration . . ." ³ *Id.* at 7.

Based on the Government's representations and my review of the record, I find that the Government served the OSC on Registrant on July 10, 2019. I also find that more than thirty days have now passed since the date of service. Further, based on the Government's representations, I find that neither Registrant, nor anyone purporting to represent the Registrant, requested a hearing, submitted a written statement while waiving Registrant's right to a hearing, or submitted a corrective action plan. Accordingly, I find that Registrant has waived the right to a hearing and the right to submit a written statement and corrective action plan. 21 CFR § 1301.43(d) and 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C). I, therefore, issue this Decision and Order based on the record submitted by the Government, which constitutes the entire record before me. 21 CFR 1301.43(e).

Findings of Fact

Registrant's DEA Registration

Registrant is the holder of DEA Certificate of Registration No. BB1040269 at the registered address of 3600 Prytania, Suite 50, New Orleans, LA 70115. RFAA, EX 1 (Certificate of Registration History), at 1. Pursuant to this registration, Registrant is authorized to dispense controlled substances in schedules II through V as a practitioner. *Id.* Registrant's registration was set to expire on July 31, 2018, but on that date, Registrant submitted an online renewal application for 10555 Lake Forest Blvd., Ste. 5J, New Orleans, LA 70127-5208,

³ In the RFAA, the Government argued for revocation based on a ground that does not appear in the OSC—that the Registrant currently lacks authority to practice medicine in Louisiana, the state in which he is registered with the DEA, and his registration is thus also subject to revocation pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3). Although state authority is a prerequisite to holding (or having) a DEA registration, *see* 21 U.S.C. 823, I see no evidence in the record that Registrant was notified of this additional charge. As such, he has had no opportunity to determine whether to address the status of his state authority. *See Hatem M. Ataya, M.D.*, 81 FR 8221, 8245 (2016) (permitting the consideration of 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), because the respondent had a "meaningful opportunity to show that he retains his state authority."). In this case, I decline to allow the Government to add the lack of state authority charge.

¹ The Court's notation of 18 U.S.C. 2 appears in this format, which was replicated in the OSC.

² *See* n.1.