

651 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That during the consideration of the bill (H.R. 6829) making supplemental appropriations for the Executive Office and sundry independent executive bureaus, boards, commissions, and offices, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1949, and for other purposes, all points of order against the bill or any provisions contained therein are hereby waived, and it shall be in order to consider without the intervention of any point of order any amendment offered by direction of the Committee on Appropriations.

Waiver Against One Title of Bill

§ 9.8 The form of a resolution waiving points of order against part of a military establishment appropriation bill is set out below.

On June 4, 1947,⁽⁸⁾ a resolution was called up, as follows:

MR. [ROBERT F.] RICH [of Pennsylvania]: Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 230 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That during the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3678) making appropriations for the Military Establishment for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1948, and for other pur-

8. 93 CONG. REC. 6346, 80th Cong. 1st Sess.

poses, all points of order against title II of said bill or any provisions contained therein are hereby waived.

§ 10. General Appropriation Bills Considered by Unanimous Consent

Generally

§ 10.1 Consideration of a supplemental appropriation bill, without the intervention of any point of order against the provisions of the bill, was made in order on the following Tuesday or any day thereafter, by unanimous consent.

On Dec. 6, 1967,⁽⁹⁾ the following proceedings took place:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on Tuesday next or any subsequent day next week to consider a bill making supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 1968 and that all points of order against the bill or any provisions contained therein be considered as waived.

THE SPEAKER:⁽¹⁰⁾ Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas? . . .

MR. GERALD R. FORD [of Michigan]: I am glad that point has just been clarified. As I understand it, the reason for waiving points of order is be-

9. 113 CONG. REC. 35164, 35165, 90th Cong. 1st Sess. See also the unanimous-consent requests in § 8, *supra*.

10. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

cause the authorization bill for the Office of Economic Opportunity will not have become law through the signature of the President at the time specified? In other words, that is the only reason that the gentleman from Texas [Mr. Mahon] asks to waive all points of order?

MR. MAHON: Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman from Ohio will yield further, the gentleman from Michigan is correct. This is the only reason for the request. There is nothing else that I can envisage in the appropriation bill where a point of order might obtain.

MR. [FRANK T.] BOW [of Ohio]: Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

THE SPEAKER: Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas [Mr. Mahon].

There was no objection.

Three-day Availability Requirement

§ 10.2 Consideration of a supplemental appropriation bill was made in order on the following Tuesday or any day thereafter, by unanimous consent, despite the fact that the bill and report would not be available for three calendar days as required by Rule XXI clause 6 (now clause 7).

On Feb. 15, 1968,⁽¹¹⁾ a Member addressed Speaker John W.

11. 114 CONG. REC. 3022, 3023, 90th Cong. 2d Sess.

McCormack, of Massachusetts, as follows, and proceedings ensued as indicated below:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight Monday, February 19, to file a privileged report on the urgent supplemental appropriation bill for the fiscal year 1968.

THE SPEAKER: Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas? . . .

MR. MAHON: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to revise and extend my remarks during the colloquy just held to make it in order for the House to consider the urgent supplemental appropriations bill for 1968 on Tuesday, February 20, or any day subsequent thereto. . . .

THE SPEAKER: Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

§ 10.3 By unanimous consent, the rule [Rule XXI clause 6 (now clause 7)] prohibiting consideration of general appropriation bills until printed committee hearings and the committee report have been available for three days was waived.

On Sept. 12, 1962,⁽¹²⁾ the following proceedings took place:

MR. [OTTO E.] PASSMAN [of Louisiana]: Mr. Speaker, I take this time

12. 108 CONG. REC. 19237, 87th Cong. 2d Sess.

in order to announce that it is our intention to report the foreign aid appropriation bill for 1963 to the House on Tuesday, September 18. I therefore now ask unanimous consent that the 3-day rule be waived and that the bill be considered in the House on Thursday, September 20.

THE SPEAKER:⁽¹³⁾ Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Louisiana?

There was no objection.

§ 11. Consideration and Debate; Amendments

Motion to Close Debate

§ 11.1 A motion to fix the time of general debate on an appropriation bill is not in order prior to resolving into the Committee of the Whole; but after there has been debate in the Committee of the Whole and the Committee rises, the motion is in order in the House.

On Feb. 18, 1947,⁽¹⁴⁾ a Member addressed Speaker Joseph W. Martin, Jr., of Massachusetts, as follows and proceedings ensued as indicated below:

MR. [JOHN] TABER [of New York]: Mr. Speaker, I move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the

13. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

14. 93 CONG. REC. 1138, 80th Cong. 1st Sess.

Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 1968) making appropriations to supply urgent deficiencies in certain appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1947, and for other purposes; and pending that motion, Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that general debate be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided and controlled by the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. Cannon] and myself.

THE SPEAKER: Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

MR. [VITO] MARCANTONIO [of New York]: Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, is this the bill that contains the cuts of appropriations for OPA?

MR. TABER: Yes.

MR. MARCANTONIO: Then I object, Mr. Speaker.

MR. TABER: Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry.

THE SPEAKER: The gentleman will state it.

MR. TABER: The House may go into the Committee of the Whole and later, after debate has occurred, rise, and then a motion would be in order to close debate; but otherwise a motion would not be in order at this time to close?

THE SPEAKER: The gentleman from New York states the situation accurately. The House must first go into Committee and have general debate, and then rise and fix the time of debate by vote.

Consideration of Senate Amendments

§ 11.2 The House has considered Senate amendments to a