

(9)/12

Folker #1

**SCREENED**  
By *dep* Date *9/28/09*

Grand Jury Examination of Richard  
Nixon - Outline and Exhibits

No. 1

Outline

①

1. in offer Receipt "200 or 300"  
funds already received?  
(offer of all money in hand  
(how much in all?) (300?)

①  
top 52

~~cash?~~

from whom? ea: amount? [200 v. 300]

(delivered when? & for? to?)

(who first informed you? said?)

(when?)

(what instructions did you give?)

Use

why <sup>why cash?</sup> in cash? was it in cash? <sup>52</sup> mid  
~~purpose of offer?~~

had you intended to use it yourself?

had you intended to <sup>why for</sup> use it 74 races? <sup>53</sup>

think lawful to use yourself?

to give to HoE?

[why not available? <sup>53</sup>

spent?

"money" "contributed money" "used it" <sup>52</sup> but

"getting things out"

"paid for"

"paid for in check" ~~53~~

~~some improvements 69 apr~~

(pool Nov-Dec 72) <sup>ind.</sup>

if paid checks what was source? ~~53~~

how often? average amt? period? <sup>type</sup> 69-73

[if R use some of 200 G, how come

you offered 200 or 300]

was ref RMW only (OR) —

2. R + Hughes & ~~Rob~~ relat. Freq. of visits? calls? trust?

Danner thru 68 relat. to N, to R re Hughes & ?

~~At the~~ Danner re offered 68 "50 cash; R rej not needed -

→ Spr 69 informed of 68 contrib. 50 check? Did R tell you of tender? were you aware of offer 50 cash Fall 88.

which R rejected bec FDM + John Meier involved?

~~prior meet w/ Morgan D R + N?~~

~~Danner re offered 68 \$~~

~~R rej. "not needed"~~

(after twice rej) R req. \$ Danner agreed (C)

\$ purpose for Congress. Camp.?

1st del. 3 Jul 70 S.C. you met D -

informed of del. \$ by R?

R test. told RMW?

RMW inform you?

20 Aug discuss. w/ H

Ex 2

30 Aug det. del KB

R saw 27 Aug, tell you?

R test. told RMW, tell you?

K stated H told only <sup>27 Aug</sup> see Betty did

you use R did use R saw G discuss w/ R? w/ H? you req. R do solic G in 69? Ex 8

EM → HWK → JDE Mar 71 leak (C)

E warned on embarr.

what reason R give you for holding?

Didn't E tell you?

(C)

Did H?

1<sup>st</sup> A col. 6 Aug 71

Ex 3

see it? told of it? of subst.?

R tell you he was called by A?  
Did Danner send word he'd been called?  
~~D inform staff of R?~~

Jul - Nov Newsday Inv. ; staff fol R stories -  
Jul you discuss w/ H how to protect selves?  
JDE + JWD collect facts/docs: OI R  
Oct art. published  
Oct discuss w/ H?  
E req more docs

C asked by you whether rebuttal?

Danner IRS Q+A May 72

Meier alleg. vs R w/ <sup>FDN</sup> Mar - Aug 72

RB told E by Aug. fol LV briefing of comm.?

E tell you?

Aug<sup>29</sup> meet SC

discuss IRS re R w H? EP R?

1<sup>st</sup> A col. Danner confirmed F del. Ex 4.

~~Schultz memo Feb. see it? told of it? of subst.?~~

~~R tell you of getting call fr JA. Ⓢ~~

~~Jan 2d a col H called R you discuss w/ H?  
Schultz memo Feb~~

~~S told E + gave memo~~

~~E tell you?~~

~~H called R 26 + 27 Feb H tell you?~~

~~E met R Mar 5 WH per your instr.?~~

~~E tell you? R? of results~~

~~thru Mar 5 pressed E stalled~~

~~E met RB who said no jeopardy  
30 Mar you + H called R fr SC about?~~

~~6 Apr E met R again SC~~

~~7 Apr E called S OK~~

What did he say  
R told you @ mid Apr ; you offer H&E 17 Apr  
R called HWK @ 23 Apr to set app't  
30 Apr R met K w/ H said you told him to consult  
Did you? purpose?

R told HWK: some Hughes \$ given FDN ECM& RMW  
did R tell you this?  
any of the three indicate to you receiving \$ fr R?  
1st meet Apr 30 K adv R to ret. bal w/ accounting  
R said could not bec. matter touched you  
2d meet May 1 R told K problem solved  
had you + R discuss what to do about \$  
what "solution"? " " he told K?

(were Hughes Funds replenished 40 bills issued) -  
after Aug 20 1970 i. could not be orig. bills

~~May 17-20 R req D acct ret denied  
R tell you?  
what alt. plan discussed  
you + Danner ever discuss \$ or its ret.?  
on May 20 - C.D.~~

~~You ever comm w/ Ram re C.C.  
you or rep ever rec. 68-72 cash from Hughes  
beyond 100 G~~

R. General [ 68-70 NYC box ] 3 Jagers see p. 5 bot, [  
deposits? sees? Andrews \$ see p 4/4 Ex 8

R General Feb 69 Getty - Ex 8  
did you tell R to go? - B  
discuss w/ H? - Ex 9  
results? R left to K "admin comm corp"? you discuss w/ R? F? H? Ex 9/6

Ha  
⑥

Andreas

is this \$ you had told H R had? ~~Ex 5~~  
held at WH Mar-Apr 73  
same money as del.  
del. when Nov 71  
who 1<sup>st</sup> informed you of expected del? Davey?  
when? how? conditions?  
(But DA stated spend now, before conven.)  
(But HL stated never discuss w you; DA same)  
Did you instr Rm w to get in safe

spent any ?  
why not used in 73? why not ret'd?  
req Rm w to account ?  
when ?  
amount reported ?  
later told her to ret it? via H.L. ?  
how much later ?  
via H.L. ?

had you told Mr. R about A \$ before Elec<sup>?</sup>  
after re return?

~~Other cash c.c. ? none other than Hylton & Andreas~~  
~~Other cash ?~~  
~~reconvert gifts~~

[ back to p 21 but ]  
Getty  
Kalenbach

Man R solve D Lev 72 \$  
you tell R to? ; he discuss w/ you ? anyone tell you? (5)  
(D ref to boss & \$ in checks to CRP 6 Apr 75)

Davis bros \$ 50 G Apr 5 72 ; held 6 mon. |  
R tell you?

~~M informal? M sent for \$ in Oct paid DNC burglars/  
6-7 25,000 disposition?  
other large cash contrib. to R 72~~

→ May Fla. Chm reported to CAP that major donors  
said they were going thru R ; report to H  
Did you & H discuss

action? do you know of any other large cash records  
1969 letter to K "admin. conn. costs" Ex 9  
~~you discuss w/R? H? E?~~

Vesco cc to R 70 72?  
R common w/ Straub vesco ; <sup>or discuss w/ you ? knowingly?</sup> your behalf? re?  
R holding 68-73 for you other than Hughes \$

→ ③ Jun 8 74 R told K ; \$ given FDN ECNT  
Rmv not Hughes \$ but other such funds I  
kept in same box

② Aug 70 R told D he put Hughes money in  
box where keeps such funds

① box in NYC 68-70

contents? [intro JAD re IRS]

---

✓ Ref	25 Apr	Andrew		Andrew	Ex 5
	25 Apr	2006 same \$	Hughes		Ex 6
	21 Mar	1 mill.			Ex 7

received ?

spec source in mind ?

Sindone ?

Any other cash held being held for you other than  
ref there 3 tapes



DRAFT

To: Files

From: Paul R. Michel

Subj: Outline of Examination of Richard Nixon

Question:

Mr. Nixon, I direct your attention to Exhibit No. 1, the transcript of your conversation April 17, 1973 between 5:20 and 7:14 p.m. and the portion of that meeting after William Rogers departed when you were conversing with John Ehrlichman and H. R. Haldeman. I particularly direct your attention to page 52.

Question:

In offering funds for anticipated legal fees of Haldeman and Ehrlichman, you ~~apparently~~ referred to money under the control of Charles Rebozo. Did Rebozo have control of the money you were referring to?

Answer:

*What was the source of the money held by Mr. Rebozo - name, when received and amount.*

Question:

Where was Rebozo keeping the money?

Answer:

Question:

Was the money Rebozo kept in the form of currency?

Answer:

*1st 2 Q on P-3*

Question:

Over what period of years did Rebozo exercise control over these monies?

Answer:

*what*

Question:

Mr. Nixon, you ~~appear~~ to offer two to three hundred thousand dollars to Haldeman and Ehrlichman. Did the funds in Rebozo's possession at that time total only \$300,000?

Answer:

Question:

What was the total of the monies in Rebozo's possession as of April 1973?

Answer:

Question:

Was Rebozo maintaining those funds at your request?

Answer:

Question:

When had you first discussed with him your request?

Answer:

*move to page 1*

Question:

What was your purpose in having Rebozo maintain such a fund?

Answer:Question:

At line x you stated: "I never intended to use the money at all." Had you indicated to Rebozo at an earlier time that you did intend ~~to~~ ultimately to use the money yourself?

Answer:

*If you did not intend to use the funds why were you maintaining them?*

Question:

Was the \$100,000 delivered to Rebozo in 1970 on behalf of Howard Hughes part of the money Rebozo had?

Answer:

Question:

Who else had contributed ~~the~~ money toward this fund maintained by Rebozo?

Answer: *Yes - name each one - the amount & when contributed -*

Question:

Did ~~the~~ Robert Vesco give Rebozo <sup>any money?</sup> \$100,000 <sup>did</sup> which Rebozo maintained <sup>Vesco contribution</sup> as a part of this fund?

Answer:

*When & how much did Vesco contribute to Mr. Rebozo. -*

Question:

Did W. A. "Monty" Moncrief contribute money to the fund maintained by Mr. Rebozo?

Answer: *Yes. When - how much*

Question:

Did J. Paul Getty contribute to this fund?

Answer:

*Yes when - how much*

Question:

Who else contributed?

Answer:

Question:

At line x you stated: "I told Bebe basically be sure that people...who have contributed over the contributing years are favored and so forth in general." What favors were done for the contributors?

Answer: Which contributors did you have in mind when you made that statement?  
To whom had each one contributed?

Question: How much had each one ✓?

Answer: What instructions did you give <sup>me</sup> Rebozo? relative to this fund?

Question:

When did you give Rebozo those instructions?

Answer: Did you ever change the instruction you had given Mr. Rebozo?

Question: If so when & in what manner?

At line x you stated: "He's used it for the purpose of getting things out, paid for in check and all that sort of thing." What did Rebozo pay for in check with this money?

Answer: Mr. Nixon explain what you mean by the term "paid for in check"?

→ Itemize the various payments - to whom -  
Question: amount + purpose

Did you authorize such payments?

Answer:

Question:

What did you mean by "getting things out"?

Answer:Question:

Did anyone have knowledge of Rebozo's possession of these monies beside yourself and Rebozo?

Answer: *Yes who -*

Question:

Did anyone other than yourself have authority to direct expenditure of these funds?

Answer:

*Was Mr. Rebozo authorized to use these funds without your approval?*

Question:

~~Who else?~~ *Was anyone else authorized to*

Answer: *direct Mr. Rebozo to make disbursements from the fund?*

Question:

*these (use names)*  
Did ~~such other~~ persons direct expenditures from the fund?

Answer:

b. April 25 Conversation - '74 Campaign Money

Question: *Let me show you the next exhibit which has been marked*

*As you have just read in this portion of an April 25, 1973 conversation you had with your former aides, Haldeman between 11:06 AM & 1:55 PM. In the first line, and Ehrlichman, you asked if they could use any cash.*

*Do there any ways you can use cash?*  
Was this offer in anticipation of legal fees that Haldeman and Ehrlichman might incur once they left their positions?

Answer:

Question:

From whom did you know that \$200,000 was available for the '74 campaign?

Answer:

Question:

Who was in charge of this fund?

Answer:

Question:

What was your control over the fund?

Answer:

Question:

Who had contributed to the fund?

Answer:

*Who had each one contributed?*

*What amount had each one contributed?*

Question:

Was their purpose to contribute to certain congressional candidates who the Republican Party would favor in 1974?

Answer:

*What was the purpose of each contribution?  
go name by name*

Question:

Did Rebozo have any control over this fund?

Answer:Question:

Was this '74 campaign fund offered in addition to the money you offered Haldeman and Ehrlichman on April 17?

Answer:Question:

Was the offer of cash ever accepted?

Answer:

*by Haldeman?  
by Ehrlichman?*

Question:

Was any other offer, such as payment of legal services through a third party, ever accepted?

Answer:

*by Haldeman?  
by Ehrlichman?*



c. March 21 Conversation - \$1 millionQuestion:

Mr. Nixon, I have just given you a copy of a tape transcript of a conversation you had with your former counsel Mr. John Dean on March 21, 1973. This is a portion of that tape which has been highly publicized so I am sure that you are familiar with it. After asking Mr. Dean how much money he needs and he has responded "\$1 million," you say "...if you need the money...you could get the money." You then emphasize your statement by repeating "you could get a million dollars. And you could get it in cash. I know where it could be gotten."

Was there in existence on March 21, 1973 a fund under your control that amounted to \$1 million?

Answer:

*If so, under whose control  
source of funds - by name,  
date + amount*

Question:

From what source did you expect to receive such a sum?

Answer:Question:

Had the source offered you money in the past? Had you received any?

Answer:

Question:

How could you be sure that the money would be available in cash?

Answer:

Question:

Did Rebozo have authority to request money from this source? Anyone else?

Question:

For what purposes?

Answer:Question:

Were you aware ~~at the time~~ that Rebozo and Rose Mary Woods maintained a safe deposit box at the main office of Manufacturers Hanover Trust in New York City from February 1968 through April 1970?

Answer:Question:

Was the box maintained at your request?

Answer:

*What was the purpose of Miss Woods + Mr. Rebozo renting this safe deposit box?*

Question:

What was deposited into the box?

Answer:

*What was the source of the funds deposited? name, date + amount -*

Question:

What happened to the deposits after the box was closed in the spring of 1970?

Answer:

Question:

Were you aware at the time that the monies received by <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo from Hughes in 1969 or 1970 were deposited by <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo in a safe deposit box at the Key Biscayne Bank?

Answer:Question:

When did you first learn of the fact of the deliveries to <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo of the Hughes money?

Answer: Were you told how much money had been received from Mr. Hughes? What was the amount involved?

Question:

<sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo has testified that promptly after each delivery he notified Rose Mary Woods of the fact of the delivery.

Question:

Did Rose Woods ever mention <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo's reports in her conversations with you?

Answer:Question:

When?

Answer:Question:

When did <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo first mention his receipt of the \$ Hughes money in his conversations with you?

Answer:

Question:

*Mr.*  
What did Rebozo tell you?

Answer:Question:

Were you aware at the time that the Hughes contributions were made for the purpose of the 1970 congressional races?

Answer:Question:

Why weren't the Hughes funds used for the 1970 congressional races?

Answer:Question:

Why weren't the Hughes funds turned over to Kalmbach or the others whom you had designated to raise funds for the congressional races of 1970?

Answer:Question:

Why weren't the Hughes funds turned over to the Finance Committee to Re-elect and Maurice Stans when Stans assumed the chairmanship in <sup>February</sup> the ~~spring~~ of 1972?

Answer:

Question:

Were you aware that from April 1972 through Election Day <sup>March 1973</sup> ~~Mr.~~ Rebozo maintained an account at the Key Biscayne Bank ~~which~~ <sup>for</sup> ~~he controlled~~ and into which he deposited contributions which various persons forwarded to him?

Answer:Question:

Why didn't <sup>Mr.</sup> Rebozo deposit the Hughes contribution of 1970 into this account?

Answer:Question:

Were you aware that in March and April of 1972 <sup>Mr.</sup> Rebozo had repeated discussions with Richard Danner in which <sup>Mr.</sup> Rebozo asked Danner if Hughes would contribute to the 1972 re-election campaign?

Answer:Question:

Since the money contributed by Hughes in 1970 for the congressional races had not been spent for that purpose, why wasn't the money put into the 1972 re-election campaign rather than requesting separate contributions from Hughes?

Answer:

Question:

After <sup>Mr</sup> Election Day 1972, Rebozo still maintained possession of the Hughes contributions. Were you aware that he still had the money at this time?

Answer:Question:

<sup>Mr</sup> Rebozo has testified that he did not see that the 1970 contributions were used in the congressional races of that year because of the controversy between different factions of the Hughes organization, particularly in Nevada.

<sup>Mr</sup> Rebozo also indicated that for the same reason he didn't put the money into the 1972 election campaign. If embarrassment arising from the dispute between various factions of the Hughes organization was the reason for not using or returning the funds in 1970 and 1972, why were other contributions sought from Hughes in April of 1972?

Answer:Question:

On August 6, 1971, in a column in the Washington Post by Jack Anderson, the allegation was made that <sup>Mr</sup> Rebozo had received \$100,000 from Hughes on your behalf. Were you aware of that article at the time?

Answer:

Question:

In March 1971, Herbert Kalmbach stated to John Ehrlichman that Kalmbach had learned from Edward P. Morgan, then a Hughes attorney, of the delivery of \$100,000 in Hughes money to <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo in 1970. Did <sup>Mr.</sup>Ehrlichman mention this to you?

Answer:Question:

On January 18, 1973, a second Jack Anderson column repeated with additional details the allegation about <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo receiving the \$100,000 from Hughes in 1970. Did you become aware at the time of this article/<sup>or</sup>its substance?

Answer:Question:

When <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo and you discussed the Hughes money in early 1973, did <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo tell you that he had spent some of the Hughes money?

Answer:Question:

On April 30, 1973, <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo met at the White House with Herbert Kalmbach stating at the outset that you had asked <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo to meet with Kalmbach. Did you ask <sup>Mr.</sup>Rebozo to meet with Kalmbach?

Answer:



Question:

What was your purpose in asking Rebozo to consult <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* Kalmbach?

Answer:Question:

Was one of the purposes to attempt to get <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* Kalmbach to raise funds to replace the missing Hughes money?

Answer:Question:

At the same meeting <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* Rebozo told <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* Kalmbach that Rebozo had given some of the Hughes money to Rose Mary Woods, F. Donald Nixon, and Edward C. Nixon. Had Rebozo so reported to you?

Answer:Question:

On May 1st, <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* Rebozo met again at the White House early in the morning with Herbert Kalmbach. When <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* Kalmbach began to repeat his legal advice of the prior day that Rebozo report <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* the expenditure of the Hughes money to Donald and Edward Nixon and Rose Mary Woods, <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* Rebozo interrupted stating that the <sup>Mr.</sup> *Mr.* problem had been solved. Had you and Rebozo discussed this matter between the morning of April 30 and the morning of May 1 <sup>when</sup> *when* between the two meetings <sup>met Mr.</sup> *met with Mr.* Rebozo ~~had~~ with Kalmbach? <sup>again?</sup> *again?*

Answer:

Question:

What was said?

Answer:Question:

*M* Rebozo subsequently had a conversation with Kalmbach on this matter January 8, 1974 at San Clemente. On that occasion *M* Rebozo told *M* Kalmbach that the money he (Rebozo) had given to Donald and Edward Nixon and Rose Mary Woods was not from the Hughes money, but from other monies which he had for such purposes. Between May 1, 1973 and January 8, 1974, when *M* Rebozo next met with *M* Kalmbach, did you and *M* Rebozo have a discussion as to what *M* Rebozo should tell *M* Kalmbach?

Answer:Question:

Was any of the Hughes money given to or expended on behalf of Rose Mary Woods, Donald Nixon, or Edward Nixon?

Answer:Question:

Were any other funds controlled by *M* Rebozo given to any of these persons?

Answer:

*If so how much to each one - when & the purpose*

Question:

During the period between the receipt by Rebozo of the Hughes money and its return three years later in June 1973, did you have any communications directly or indirectly with Howard R. Hughes?

Answer:Question:

Did Rebozo ever deliver to you any written materials concerning the antiballistic missile problem or any other problem?

Answer:Question:

Rebozo testified before the Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities that the money he received from Howard Hughes in 1970 was not spent or used in any way at all and the original bills were all returned to Hughes in 1973. Was the money returned to Hughes the same money which was delivered to Rebozo in 1970?

Answer:Question:

In October 1973 General Alexander Haig stated to Kenneth Gemmill, according to Mr. Gemmill's testimony before the Senate Select Committee, that analysis of the notes returned to Hughes from Rebozo proved that it was not the original money. Did you at that time received such a report from General Haig or anyone else?

Answer:

Question:

When first interrogated about the Hughes money by Internal Revenue Service agents May 10, 1973, Mr. Rebozo stated that he had not told anyone about the money and that no one knew about the money except the agents and himself. In the early fall of 1973, <sup>his</sup> attorney Kenneth Gemmill notified the Internal Revenue Service agents that Rebozo then recalled having told Rose Mary Woods shortly after each of the two deliveries. In March 1974 in his testimony before the Senate Select Committee, Rebozo stated that sometime after the 1972 election he told you about the Hughes money. Between May 1973 and when Rebozo was first interviewed by the agents and October 1973 when Gemmill advised the IRS that Rebozo had reported the deliveries to Rose Mary Woods, did you have any discussion with Charles Rebozo relating to whether he had contemporaneously advised anyone such as Rose Woods of the fact ~~that~~ of the deliveries?

Answer:Question:

In his testimony before the Senate Select Committee in March of 1974, Rebozo stated that in addition to receiving \$100,000 in cash in the form of hundred dollar bills from Richard Danner on behalf of Howard Hughes in 1970, he also received \$50,000 in cash in hundred dollar bills from A. D. Davis on or about April 5, 1972. Was the receipt of the Davis money reported to you by Rebozo or anyone else at the time?

Answer:

Question:

From April \_\_\_\_\_ through Election Day 1972, Rebozo maintained a campaign account at the Key Biscayne Bank and Trust Company into which he deposited various checks and monies received from contributors. Were you aware at the time that he had such an account?

Answer:Question:

Had you asked him to maintain such an account?

Answer:Question:

Why didn't Rebozo deposit the \$50,000 from A. D. Davis into this account?

Answer:Question:

In April 1972 Rebozo had a conversation with Marice Stans, who by then was the Chairman of the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President. In this conversation, Rebozo mentioned to Stans that he had a bundle and that he would give Stans the details on the occasion of their next meeting. Did you ask Rebozo to report to Stans on his possession of the Davis or other such monies?

Answer:

Question:

In the period April through October 10, 1972, Rebozo, despite his assurance to Stans, in fact did not give Stans the particulars such as the amount and source of the "bundle" which Rebozo had/<sup>told</sup>Stans Rebozo was holding. Did you ask Rebozo not to give the details to Stans?

Answer:Question:

In October 1972 Fred LaRue was dispatched by John Mitchell or Maurice Stans to Key Biscayne to pick up from Rebozo the \$50,000 in cash which Rebozo had been holding since receiving it from A. D. Davis in April. Were you aware at about this time of the decision to have Rebozo deliver that money for use/<sup>as</sup>designated by John Mitchell?

Answer:Question:

In a letter dated April 28, 1969 to Herbert Kalmbach, Charles Rebozo wrote in part as follows: "Over the weekend I spoke with John Ehrlichman and explained to him that it had been decided that the larger balance which I mentioned to you will be kept here in order to take of frequent Administration-connected costs which arise from time to time." Had it been decided between you and Rebozo?

Answer:

Question:

What funds were referenced by the term in the letter "larger balance"?

Answer:

Question:

Had you and Rebozo discussed his financing "Administration-connected costs"?

Answer:

Question:

Did Rebozo in fact subsequently pay for such costs?

Answer:

Question:

What were they?

Answer:

Question:

What was the total amount of the funds being held by Rebozo at this time for the purpose indicated in the letter?

Answer:

Question:

Where did Rebozo keep the money?

Answer:

Question:

Who had provided these funds?

Answer:

Question:

Did any of these funds come from the safe deposit box at the Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company in New York City?

Answer:

Question:

In 1970 when Rebozo received the Hughes money, did you tell him to hold it for the same kind of "Administration-connected costs"?

Answer:

Question:

In February or March 1971, Dwayne Andreas told the late Thomas E. Dewey that Andreas would contribute \$200,000 toward the re-election effort of 1972. Pursuant to Dewey's suggestion, Andreas decided to contribute \$100,000 before the primary and, unless Hubert Humphrey became the Democratic candidate, a second \$100,000 after the convention. Did Thomas Dewey relate this decision to you?

Answer:



Question:

In the fall of 1971, Dwayne Andreas requested Hobart Lewis to obtain for him an appointment with Rose Mary Woods for the purpose of Andreas delivering \$100,000. Lewis did so. Rose Mary Woods has testified that prior to the arrival in her office of Mr. Andreas, she had received instructions possibly from you to take custody of the package Mr. Andreas would bring and to deposit it in your personal files in the basement of the White House. Did you give Rose Mary Woods such instructions?

Answer:Question:

Subsequently, Rose Mary Woods did receive from Dwayne Andreas in her office at the White House a legal folder containing \$100,000 in cash and all in one hundred dollar bills. Were you aware at about the time of the delivery of the contents of this package?

Answer:Question:

Rose Mary Woods has testified that pursuant to your instructions she placed the Andreas package in a combination safe in a room used for safe storage of your personal files. She further testified that as far as she knows it remained there for several years. Was any of the Andreas money ever expended?

Answer:

Question:

Why wasn't the \$100,000 from Andreas used during the 1972 re-election ~~campaign~~ campaign?

Answer:Question:

Since Mr. Andreas had indicated his intention that the money be so used, why wasn't he advised when the campaign ended without the money being utilized as he intended?

Answer:Question:

Once the election was over, without the money having been used, why wasn't it promptly returned to Mr. Andreas?

Answer:Question:

Rose Mary Woods has testified that sometime probably in the spring of 1973 you asked her how much money was in the Andreas package and that she thereafter went to the file, briefly counted the money, and reported her count to you on a slip of paper indicating \$100,000. Did you receive such a report from Miss Woods?

Answer:

Question:

What prompted you to request that she report the amount of money in the package?

Answer:Question:

In a conversation on April 25, 1973, between 4:40 and 5:30 p.m. between you and Mr. Haldeman, there was a discussion concerning an earlier conversation. This conversation apparently occurred after March 15, but before April 25, 1973. In the conversation on April 25, you said in part the following: "Remember I told you later that I can get \$100,000?" Mr. Haldeman replied: "That rings a bell because you talked about Rose having some money." Had you considered using the Andreas money to finance payments to the men arrested inside the Democratic National Committee headquarters in June of 1972 or their superiors?

Answer:Question:

What potential use had you considered for this money?

Answer:Question:

Did you have possession through yourself or an aide such as Miss Woods of any other substantial amount of currency of this sort during the period January 20, 1969 through August 1974?

Answer:

Question:

In a meeting with H. R. Haldeman on \_\_\_\_\_, 1972, you apparently indicated that Mr. Andreas should be asked if he wouldn't pay the gift tax on certain money. Was the money referred to the \$100,000 that he had delivered to Rose Mary Woods?

Answer:Question:

Was Mr. Andreas asked to pay gift tax on this money?

Answer:Question:

Why (not)?

Answer:Question:

Did Mr. Andreas pay a gift tax with regard to that particular \$100,000?

Answer:Question:

Mr. Stans has stated that he was never informed about the delivery of the \$100,000 to Rose Woods of the Andreas money. Why wasn't Stans told?

Answer:

Question:

Was anyone else at the White House, in the Administration or connected with re-election effort told about the Andreas money?

Answer:Question:

On April 25, 1973, between 11:06 a.m. and 1:55 p.m., you had a conversation with John Ehrlichman and H. R. Haldeman as indicated in Exhibit No. 3. You asked them, "Is there any way you can use cash?" He then stated, "As I said, "There're a few, not much (unintelligible) as much I think as two hundred there's available in the '74 campaign already." Were you referring to \$100,000 from Hughes or the \$100,000 from Andreas?

Answer:Question:

Rose Woods has testified that she overheard a conversation between you and Charles Rebozo in the spring of 1973 concerning the return of money to certain donors. Did you discuss with Rebozo at that time the possibility of his returning the \$100,000 to Howard Hughes?

Answer:

Question:

Did you favor the return of the money?

Answer:

Question:

Why?

Answer:

Question:

Did you discuss with Rebozo the possibility of your causing the return to Andreas of the \$100,000 he had given?

Answer:

Question:

According to the records and testimony of Hobart Lewis, he obtained the Andreas money from Rose Mary Woods at the White House on June 19, 1973, on [redacted] behalf of Mr. Andreas. On June 18, 1973, Mr. Rebozo in the presence of witnesses counted the Hughes money, preparing it for return to Hughes representatives which was achieved on [redacted] June 27, 1973. Who made the final decision on the return of the Hughes money?

Answer:

Question:

Who made the final decision on the return of the Andreas money?

Answer:Question:

What were the reasons for returning this money under these circumstances?

Answer:Question:

Were unreported cash funds received from any other persons returned in 1973?

Answer:Question:

On May 15, 1972 IRS agents interrogated Richard G. Danner. Danner revealed that he had delivered \$100,000 in 1969 and 1970 to Charles Rebozo on behalf of [redacted] Howard Hughes. The results of this interrogation were promptly reported verbally to the national office, Intelligence Division of the Internal Revenue Service. Between May 1972 and Election Day did you learn from Danner, from Rebozo or from members of your White House staff in the Administration of the allegation or anyone else of the allegation which Danner had made <sup>in</sup> ~~with~~ this interview with IRS agents in May?

Answer:

Question:

Did you indicate to anyone that you did not want Rebozo interviewed until after the election?

Answer:Question:

On February 23, 1973, then Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service Johnnie M. Walters revealed to then Deputy Treasury Secretary William Simon that the field agents were pressing vigorously for permission to interview Rebozo about the \$100,000 from Hughes. Mr. Simon asked Mr. Walters to draft a memo for Secretary Shultz, which was done in a memo and delivered the same day. Former Secretary Shultz has stated that he probably gave a copy of this memo to John Ehrlichman and that he briefed Ehrlichman on the substance of the memo in any event. Did Ehrlichman or any other aide discuss this matter with you at that time?

Answer:Question:

The requested permission to interview Rebozo was not received by the IRS until April 7, 1973. In the interim, John Ehrlichman had meetings with Charles Rebozo in Washington on March 5 and in San Clemente on April 6. In addition, John Ehrlichman telephoned Rebozo concerning the contemplated interview by IRS agents and had Roger Barth contact Mr. Rebozo. Did you have any discussions on this matter with John Ehrlichman and the period February, March, April 1973?

Answer:



Question:

During this period did you have any discussions with Charles Rebozo concerning the requested interview?

Answer:Question:

On April 26, the agents contacted Mr. Rebozo to schedule the interview, which ultimately was held on May 10. In the interim Mr. Rebozo conferred on [redacted] the matter of the Hughes money with William E. Griffin and Herbert Kalmbach. Were you aware at the time that Mr. Rebozo was discussing this matter with Mr. Griffin?

Answer:Question:

Had you urged him to do so?

Answer:Question:

At the end of May 1973 as indicated in the memorandum from Commissioner [redacted] Donald Alexander to Treasury Secretary William Simon, the IRS opened a formal criminal investigation into Mr. Rebozo's receipt <sup>of</sup> ~~into~~ the Hughes money. On or about June 10 Mr. Rebozo contacted Kenneth W. Gemmill concerning possible representation. Mr. Gemmill thereafter did represent Mr. Rebozo in this matter. During the summer and fall of

1973, according to his testimony, Mr. Gemmill had numerous conversations with General Haig concerning the developments in the investigation of Charles Rebozo. Did General Haig discuss these reports with you?

Answer: