

H. Con. Res. 140. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to the peace and security of Taiwan; to the Committee on International Relations.

By Ms. JACKSON-LEE (for herself, Mr. BONIOR, Mrs. CLAYTON, Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. JACKSON, Mr. WISE, Mr. RICHARDSON, Mr. FAZIO of California, Mr. STUDDS, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. McNULTY, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. GEJDENSON, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. REED, Mr. STUPAK, Mrs. LINCOLN, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. HEFNER, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. GIBBONS, Mr. DELLUMS, Ms. LOFGREN, Mrs. SCHROEDER, Ms. MCCARTHY, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey, Mr. OWENS, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin, Mr. WYNN, Ms. WATERS, Mr. BERMAN, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. DOGGETT, and Mr. LUTHER):

H. Res. 354. Resolution relating to a question of the privileges of the House; to the Committee on Rules.

¶10.32 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 240: Mr. PARKER and Mr. SAXTON.
 H.R. 447: Mr. FRAZER, Mr. FOGLIETTA, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. BEREUTER, and Mr. LEWIS of Georgia.
 H.R. 598: Mr. SHADEGG.
 H.R. 619: Mr. FOGLIETTA, Mr. OLVER, and Mr. MEEHAN.
 H.R. 620: Mr. FOGLIETTA.
 H.R. 784: Mrs. MYRICK, Mr. SCARBOROUGH, and Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky.
 H.R. 820: Mr. LARGENT and Mr. BAESLER.
 H.R. 995: Mr. GUNDERSON.
 H.R. 1023: Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas.
 H.R. 1078: Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida.
 H.R. 1202: Ms. LOFGREN and Mr. McNULTY.
 H.R. 1325: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida, and Ms. NORTON.
 H.R. 1400: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida and Mr. LIPINSKI.
 H.R. 1402: Mr. FATAH.
 H.R. 1484: Mr. MENENDEZ.
 H.R. 1610: Mr. POMEROY.
 H.R. 1625: Mr. BARCIA of Michigan, Mr. SOUDER, and Mr. FORBES.
 H.R. 1627: Mr. BARCIA of Michigan.
 H.R. 1776: Mr. HEFNER.
 H.R. 1856: Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. HUNTER, Mr. HEFNER, Mr. BACHUS, Mr. WELDON of Florida, and Mr. BEVILL.
 H.R. 1900: Mr. CRAPO.
 H.R. 1955: Ms. JACKSON-LEE.
 H.R. 1963: Mrs. MINK of Hawaii.
 H.R. 2003: Mr. STARK and Mr. VOLKMER.
 H.R. 2009: Mr. BROWN of Ohio.
 H.R. 2019: Mr. ANDREWS and Mr. DUNCAN.
 H.R. 2181: Mr. PORTER.
 H.R. 2190: Mr. ORTON and Mr. ISTOOK.
 H.R. 2200: Mr. HAMILTON, Mr. SHADEGG, and Mr. HALL of Texas.
 H.R. 2246: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. OWENS, and Mr. BISHOP.
 H.R. 2260: Mr. LEACH.
 H.R. 2270: Mr. HEFLEY.
 H.R. 2310: Mr. MARTINI.
 H.R. 2342: Mr. BISHOP.
 H.R. 2400: Mr. BISHOP and Mrs. MALONEY.
 H.R. 2406: Mr. SHAYS.
 H.R. 2416: Mr. SHAW and Mr. WAMP.
 H.R. 2450: Mr. COX.
 H.R. 2506: Mr. CONDIT.
 H.R. 2508: Mr. FRISA, Mr. SMITH of Michigan, Mr. CASTLE, and Mr. FORBES.
 H.R. 2534: Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. OLVER, and Mr. MEEHAN.
 H.R. 2579: Mr. WELLER, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Ms. JACKSON-LEE, Mr. BROWDER, Mr.

BROWN of Ohio, Mr. PARKER, Mr. RAMSTAD, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. FRISA, and Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky.

H.R. 2597: Mr. DIAZ-BALART and Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida.

H.R. 2651: Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. KLECZKA, Mr. DOYLE and Mr. MEEK.

H.R. 2664: Mr. ROBERTS, Mrs. ROUKEMA, and Mr. STARK.

H.R. 2699: Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. LATOURETTE, Mr. SAWYER, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. LAFALCE, and Mr. GIBBONS.

H.R. 2713: Mr. MARTINI, Mr. BILBRAY, Mr. LATOURETTE, and Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas.

H.R. 2714: Mr. TORRES.

H.R. 2764: Mr. BLILEY, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. STEARNS, and Mr. LEVIN.

H.R. 2779: Mr. BENTSEN, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. HAYES, and Mr. LARGENT.

H.R. 2798: Mr. NETHERCUTT and Mr. MINGE.

H.R. 2848: Mr. QUINN and Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas.

H.R. 2856: Mr. FARR.

H.R. 2894: Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. SCARBOROUGH, and Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania.

H. Con. Res. 134: Mr. BAKER of California, Mr. MICA, Mr. WELDON of Florida, Mr. METCALF, and Mr. NEY.

H. Res. 263: Mr. BUNN of Oregon and Mr. MINGE.

H. Res. 346: Mr. WALSH.

H. Res. 348: Mr. HAYWORTH, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. NETHERCUTT, Mr. HERGER, Mr. BACHUS, Mr. FOLEY, Mr. WELDON of PENNSYLVANIA, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. MCCRERY, Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky, and Mr. CALVERT.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 1996 (11)

¶11.1 DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER pro tempore, Ms. PRYCE, who laid before the House the following communication:

WASHINGTON, DC,
 February 1, 1996.

I hereby designate the Honorable DEBORAH PRYCE to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

¶11.2 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Ms. PRYCE, announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Wednesday, January 31, 1996.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal was approved.

¶11.3 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

1997. A letter from the Chairman, Panama Canal Commission, transmitting the Commission's report, including unaudited financial statements, covering the operations of the Panama Canal during fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 3722; to the Committee on National Security.

1998. A letter from the Acting President and Chairman, Export-Import Bank of the United States, transmitting the semiannual report on tied aid credits, pursuant to Public Law 99-472, section 19 (100 Stat. 1207); to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services.

1999. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting

notification concerning a technology base research and development project with the Netherlands (Transmittal No. 04-96), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2767(f); to the Committee on International Relations.

2000. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting notification concerning a cooperative project to conduct a 12-month feasibility study to investigate and define a standard submarine rescue system (Transmittal No. 03-96), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2767(f); to the Committee on International Relations.

2001. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting a report of those foreign military sales customers with approved cash flow financing in excess of \$100 million as of October 1, 1995, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2765(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

2002. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting notification concerning the Department of the Navy's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance [LOA] to the United Kingdom for defense articles and services (Transmittal No. 96-21), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2776(b); to the Committee on International Relations.

2003. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting a report containing an analysis and description of services performed by full-time U.S. Government employees during fiscal year 1995 who are performing services for which reimbursement is provided under section 21(a) or section 43(b), pursuant to section 25(a)(6) of the Arms Export Control Act; to the Committee on International Relations.

2004. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting a report containing the status of loans and guarantees issued under the Arms Export Control Act, pursuant to section 25(a)(11) of the Arms Export Control Act; to the Committee on International Relations.

2005. A letter from the Auditor, District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of a report entitled, "Review and Analysis of the District's Accounts Receivable," pursuant to D.C. Codes, section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2006. A letter from the Chairman, Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, transmitting the Commission's 37th annual report of the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4275(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2007. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting the list of all reports issued or released in November 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 719(h); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2008. A letter from the Chairman, Consumer Product Safety Commission, transmitting the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2009. A letter from the Secretary, Mississippi River Commission, Department of the Army, transmitting a copy of the annual report in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act for the Mississippi River Commission during the calendar year 1995, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(j); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2010. A letter from the Director, United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, transmitting the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2011. A letter from the Assistant Attorney General, transmitting a draft of proposed

legislation entitled the "Federal Debt Collection Procedures Improvements Act of 1995"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

¶11.4 RECESS—10:03 A.M.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Ms. PRYCE, pursuant to the special order of the House agreed to on Wednesday, January 31, 1996, declared the House in recess at 10 o'clock and 3 minutes p.m., subject to the call of the Chair.

¶11.5 AFTER RECESS—1:03 P.M.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, called the House to order.

¶11.6 PROCEEDINGS DURING RECESS

On motion of Mr. CHABOT, by unanimous consent, the proceedings had during the recess were ordered to be printed in the Record.

¶11.7 WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST THE CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 652

Mr. LINDER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 353):

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider the conference report to accompany the bill (S. 652) to provide for a pro-competitive, de-regulatory national policy framework designed to accelerate rapidly private sector deployment of advanced telecommunications and information technologies and services to all Americans by opening all telecommunications markets to competition, and for other purposes. All points of order against the conference report and against its consideration are waived. The conference report shall be considered as read.

When said resolution was considered.

After debate,

On motion of Mr. LINDER, the previous question was ordered on the resolution to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, *viva voce*,

Will the House agree to said resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. CONYERS objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 337
Nays 80

¶11.8 [Roll No. 24] YEAS—337

Allard	Bateman	Brewster
Andrews	Bentsen	Browder
Archer	Bereuter	Brown (FL)
Armye	Berman	Brownback
Bachus	Bevill	Bryant (TN)
Baessler	Bilbray	Bunn
Baker (CA)	Bilirakis	Bunning
Baker (LA)	Bishop	Burr
Baldacci	Bliley	Burton
Ballenger	Blute	Buyer
Barcia	Boehlert	Calvert
Barr	Boehner	Camp
Barrett (NE)	Bonilla	Campbell
Barrett (WI)	Bonior	Canady
Bartlett	Bono	Cardin
Barton	Borski	Castle
Bass	Boucher	Chabot

Chambliss	Holden	Peterson (FL)
Chenoweth	Horn	Petri
Christensen	Hostettler	Pickett
Chryslers	Houghton	Pombo
Clayton	Hoyer	Pomeroy
Clement	Hunter	Porter
Clinger	Hutchinson	Portman
Coble	Hyde	Poshard
Coleman	Inglis	Pryce
Collins (GA)	Johnson (CT)	Quillen
Combest	Johnson, E. B.	Quinn
Condit	Johnson, Sam	Radanovich
Cooley	Jones	Rahall
Cox	Kanjorski	Ramstad
Cramer	Kasich	Rangel
Crane	Kelly	Reed
Crapo	Kennedy (MA)	Regula
Cremeans	Kennedy (RI)	Richardson
Cubin	Kennedy	Riggs
Cunningham	Kildee	Roberts
Danner	Kim	Roemer
Davis	King	Rohrabacher
de la Garza	Kingston	Ros-Lehtinen
Deal	Klecza	Roth
DeLauro	Klink	Roukema
Diaz-Balart	Klug	Royce
Dickey	Knollenberg	Rush
Dicks	Kolbe	Salmon
Dingell	LaFalce	Sanford
Doggett	LaHood	Sawyer
Dooley	Largent	Saxton
Doolittle	Latham	Scarborough
Dornan	LaTourette	Schaefer
Doyle	Laughlin	Schiff
Dreier	Lazio	Seastrand
Duncan	Leach	Sensenbrenner
Dunn	Levin	Shadegg
Edwards	Lewis (CA)	Shaw
Ehlers	Lewis (KY)	Shays
Ehrlich	Lightfoot	Shuster
Emerson	Lincoln	Sisisky
Engel	Linder	Skeen
English	Lipinski	Skelton
Ensign	Livingston	Smith (MI)
Eshoo	LoBiondo	Smith (NJ)
Everett	Longley	Smith (TX)
Ewing	Lucas	Smith (WA)
Fawell	Luther	Solomon
Fields (TX)	Manton	Souder
Flake	Manzullo	Spence
Flanagan	Markey	Spratt
Foglietta	Martini	Stearns
Foley	Mascara	Stenholm
Forbes	Matsui	Stockman
Ford	McCollum	Studds
Fowler	McCrery	Stump
Fox	McDade	Stupak
Franks (CT)	McHugh	Talent
Franks (NJ)	McInnis	Tanner
Frelinghuysen	McIntosh	Tate
Frist	McKeon	Tauzin
Frost	McNulty	Taylor (MS)
Funderburk	Meehan	Tejeda
Galleghy	Meek	Thomas
Ganske	Menendez	Thornberry
Gekas	Metcalfe	Thornton
Gephardt	Mfume	Tiahrt
Geren	Mica	Torkildsen
Gilchrest	Miller (FL)	Towns
Gillmor	Minge	Traficant
Gilman	Moakley	Upton
Gonzalez	Molinari	Vucanovich
Goodlatte	Mollohan	Waldholtz
Goodling	Montgomery	Walker
Gordon	Moorhead	Walsh
Goss	Moran	Wamp
Graham	Murtha	Ward
Greenwood	Myers	Watts (OK)
Gunderson	Myrick	Waxman
Gutknecht	Neal	Weldon (FL)
Hall (TX)	Nethercutt	Weldon (PA)
Hamilton	Neumann	Weller
Hancock	Ney	White
Hansen	Norwood	Whitfield
Hastert	Nussle	Wicker
Hastings (FL)	Obey	Williams
Hayes	Ortiz	Wilson
Hayworth	Orton	Wise
Hefley	Oxley	Wolf
Hefner	Packard	Wynn
Heineman	Pallone	Young (AK)
Herger	Parker	Young (FL)
Hilleary	Pastor	Zeliff
Hobson	Paxon	Zimmer
Hoekstra	Payne (NJ)	
Hoke	Payne (VA)	

NAYS—80

Abercrombie	Beilenson	Clay
Becerra	Brown (OH)	Clyburn

Coburn	Jackson-Lee	Pelosi
Collins (IL)	(TX)	Peterson (MN)
Collins (MI)	Jacobs	Rivers
Conyers	Jefferson	Roybal-Allard
Costello	Johnson (SD)	Sabo
Coyne	Johnston	Sanders
DeFazio	Kaptur	Schroeder
Dellums	Lantos	Schumer
Deutsch	Lewis (GA)	Scott
Dixon	Lofgren	Serrano
Durbin	Lowey	Skaggs
Evans	Maloney	Slaughter
Farr	Martinez	Stark
Fazio	McCarthy	Stokes
Fields (LA)	McDermott	Thompson
Frank (MA)	McHale	Thurman
Furse	McKinney	Torres
Green	Meyers	Velazquez
Gutierrez	Miller (CA)	Vento
Hall (OH)	Mink	Visclosky
Harman	Morella	Volkmer
Hilliard	Nadler	Waters
Hinchee	Oberstar	Watt (NC)
Istook	Olver	Woolsey
Jackson (IL)	Owens	Yates

NOT VOTING—16

Ackerman	Fattah	Rose
Brown (CA)	Filner	Taylor (NC)
Bryant (TX)	Gejdenson	Torrice
Callahan	Gibbons	Wyden
Chapman	Hastings (WA)	
DeLay	Rogers	

So the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶11.9 TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPETITION AND DEREGULATION

Mr. BLILEY, pursuant to House Resolution 353, called up the following conference report (Rept. No. 104-458):

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 652), to provide for a pro-competitive, de-regulatory national policy framework designed to accelerate rapidly private sector deployment of advanced telecommunications and information technologies and services to all Americans by opening all telecommunications markets to competition, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the text of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the House amendment, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCES.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Telecommunications Act of 1996".

(b) REFERENCES.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 151 et seq.).

SEC. 2. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; references.
- Sec. 2. Table of contents.
- Sec. 3. Definitions.

TITLE I—TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES

Subtitle A—Telecommunications Services
Sec. 101. Establishment of part II of title II.

"PART II—DEVELOPMENT OF COMPETITIVE MARKETS

"Sec. 251. Interconnection.

"Sec. 252. Procedures for negotiation, arbitration, and approval of agreements.

"Sec. 253. Removal of barriers to entry.

"Sec. 254. Universal service.

"Sec. 255. Access by persons with disabilities.

"Sec. 256. Coordination for interconnectivity.

"Sec. 257. Market entry barriers proceeding.

"Sec. 258. Illegal changes in subscriber carrier selections.

"Sec. 259. Infrastructure sharing.

"Sec. 260. Provision of telemessaging service.

"Sec. 261. Effect on other requirements."

Sec. 102. Eligible telecommunications carriers.

Sec. 103. Exempt telecommunications companies.

Sec. 104. Nondiscrimination principle.

Subtitle B—Special Provisions Concerning Bell Operating Companies

Sec. 151. Bell operating company provisions.

"PART III—SPECIAL PROVISIONS CONCERNING BELL OPERATING COMPANIES

"Sec. 271. Bell operating company entry into interLATA services.

"Sec. 272. Separate affiliate; safeguards.

"Sec. 273. Manufacturing by Bell operating companies.

"Sec. 274. Electronic publishing by Bell operating companies.

"Sec. 275. Alarm monitoring services.

"Sec. 276. Provision of payphone service."

TITLE II—BROADCAST SERVICES

Sec. 201. Broadcast spectrum flexibility.

"Sec. 336. Broadcast spectrum flexibility."

Sec. 202. Broadcast ownership.

Sec. 203. Term of licenses.

Sec. 204. Broadcast license renewal procedures.

Sec. 205. Direct broadcast satellite service.

Sec. 206. Automated ship distress and safety systems.

"Sec. 365. Automated ship distress and safety systems."

Sec. 207. Restrictions on over-the-air reception devices.

TITLE III—CABLE SERVICES

Sec. 301. Cable Act reform.

Sec. 302. Cable service provided by telephone companies.

"PART V—VIDEO PROGRAMMING SERVICES PROVIDED BY TELEPHONE COMPANIES

"Sec. 651. Regulatory treatment of video programming services.

"Sec. 652. Prohibition on buy outs.

"Sec. 653. Establishment of open video systems."

Sec. 303. Preemption of franchising authority regulation of telecommunications services.

Sec. 304. Competitive availability of navigation devices.

"Sec. 629. Competitive availability of navigation devices."

Sec. 305. Video programming accessibility.

"Sec. 713. Video programming accessibility."

TITLE IV—REGULATORY REFORM

Sec. 401. Regulatory forbearance.

"Sec. 10. Competition in provision of telecommunications service."

Sec. 402. Biennial review of regulations; regulatory relief.

"Sec. 11. Regulatory reform."

Sec. 403. Elimination of unnecessary Commission regulations and functions.

TITLE V—OBSCENITY AND VIOLENCE

Subtitle A—Obscene, Harassing, and Wrongful Utilization of Telecommunications Facilities

Sec. 501. Short title.

Sec. 502. Obscene or harassing use of telecommunications facilities under the Communications Act of 1934.

Sec. 503. Obscene programming on cable television.

Sec. 504. Scrambling of cable channels for nonsubscribers.

"Sec. 640. Scrambling of cable channels for nonsubscribers."

Sec. 505. Scrambling of sexually explicit adult video service programming.

"Sec. 641. Scrambling of sexually explicit adult video service programming."

Sec. 506. Cable operator refusal to carry certain programs.

Sec. 507. Clarification of current laws regarding communication of obscene materials through the use of computers.

Sec. 508. Coercion and enticement of minors.

Sec. 509. Online family empowerment.

"Sec. 230. Protection for private blocking and screening of offensive material."

Subtitle B—Violence

Sec. 551. Parental choice in television programming.

Sec. 552. Technology fund.

Subtitle C—Judicial Review

Sec. 561. Expedited review.

TITLE VI—EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS

Sec. 601. Applicability of consent decrees and other law.

Sec. 602. Preemption of local taxation with respect to direct-to-home services.

TITLE VII—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Sec. 701. Prevention of unfair billing practices for information or services provided over toll-free telephone calls.

Sec. 702. Privacy of customer information.

"Sec. 222. Privacy of customer information."

Sec. 703. Pole attachments.

Sec. 704. Facilities siting; radio frequency emission standards.

Sec. 705. Mobile services direct access to long distance carriers.

Sec. 706. Advanced telecommunications incentives.

Sec. 707. Telecommunications Development Fund.

"Sec. 714. Telecommunications Development Fund."

Sec. 708. National Education Technology Funding Corporation.

Sec. 709. Report on the use of advanced telecommunications services for medical purposes.

Sec. 710. Authorization of appropriations.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

(a) ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.—Section 3 (47 U.S.C. 153) is amended—

(1) in subsection (r)—

(A) by inserting "(A)" after "means"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: "; or (B) comparable service provided through a system of switches, transmission equipment, or other facilities (or combination thereof) by which a subscriber can originate and terminate a telecommunications service"; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following:

"(33) AFFILIATE.—The term 'affiliate' means a person that (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, another person. For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'own' means to own an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 10 percent.

"(34) AT&T CONSENT DECREE.—The term 'AT&T Consent Decree' means the order entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and includes any judgment or order with respect to such action entered on or after August 24, 1982.

"(35) BELL OPERATING COMPANY.—The term 'Bell operating company'—

"(A) means any of the following companies: Bell Telephone Company of Nevada, Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, New England Telephone and Telegraph Company, New Jersey Bell Telephone Company, New York Telephone Company, U S West Communications Company, South Central Bell Telephone Company, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, The Bell Telephone Company of Pennsylvania, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Virginia, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of West Virginia, The Diamond State Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, or Wisconsin Telephone Company; and

"(B) includes any successor or assign of any such company that provides wireline telephone exchange service; but

"(C) does not include an affiliate of any such company, other than an affiliate described in subparagraph (A) or (B).

"(36) CABLE SERVICE.—The term 'cable service' has the meaning given such term in section 602.

"(37) CABLE SYSTEM.—The term 'cable system' has the meaning given such term in section 602.

"(38) CUSTOMER PREMISES EQUIPMENT.—The term 'customer premises equipment' means equipment employed on the premises of a person (other than a carrier) to originate, route, or terminate telecommunications.

"(39) DIALING PARITY.—The term 'dialing parity' means that a person that is not an affiliate of a local exchange carrier is able to provide telecommunications services in such a manner that customers have the ability to route automatically, without the use of any access code, their telecommunications to the telecommunications services provider of the customer's designation from among 2 or more telecommunications services providers (including such local exchange carrier).

"(40) EXCHANGE ACCESS.—The term 'exchange access' means the offering of access to telephone exchange services or facilities for the purpose of the origination or termination of telephone toll services.

"(41) INFORMATION SERVICE.—The term 'information service' means the offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include any use of any such capability for the management, control, or operation of a telecommunications system or the management of a telecommunications service.

"(42) INTERLATA SERVICE.—The term 'interLATA service' means telecommunications between a point located in a local access and transport area and a point located outside such area.

"(43) LOCAL ACCESS AND TRANSPORT AREA.—The term 'local access and transport area' or 'LATA' means a contiguous geographic area—

"(A) established before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

by a Bell operating company such that no exchange area includes points within more than 1 metropolitan statistical area, consolidated metropolitan statistical area, or State, except as expressly permitted under the AT&T Consent Decree; or

“(B) established or modified by a Bell operating company after such date of enactment and approved by the Commission.

“(44) LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER.—The term ‘local exchange carrier’ means any person that is engaged in the provision of telephone exchange service or exchange access. Such term does not include a person insofar as such person is engaged in the provision of a commercial mobile service under section 332(c), except to the extent that the Commission finds that such service should be included in the definition of such term.

“(45) NETWORK ELEMENT.—The term ‘network element’ means a facility or equipment used in the provision of a telecommunications service. Such term also includes features, functions, and capabilities that are provided by means of such facility or equipment, including subscriber numbers, databases, signaling systems, and information sufficient for billing and collection or used in the transmission, routing, or other provision of a telecommunications service.

“(46) NUMBER PORTABILITY.—The term ‘number portability’ means the ability of users of telecommunications services to retain, at the same location, existing telecommunications numbers without impairment of quality, reliability, or convenience when switching from one telecommunications carrier to another.

“(47) RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANY.—The term ‘rural telephone company’ means a local exchange carrier operating entity to the extent that such entity—

“(A) provides common carrier service to any local exchange carrier study area that does not include either—

“(i) any incorporated place of 10,000 inhabitants or more, or any part thereof, based on the most recently available population statistics of the Bureau of the Census; or

“(ii) any territory, incorporated or unincorporated, included in an urbanized area, as defined by the Bureau of the Census as of August 10, 1993;

“(B) provides telephone exchange service, including exchange access, to fewer than 50,000 access lines;

“(C) provides telephone exchange service to any local exchange carrier study area with fewer than 100,000 access lines; or

“(D) has less than 15 percent of its access lines in communities of more than 50,000 on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(48) TELECOMMUNICATIONS.—The term ‘telecommunications’ means the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user’s choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received.

“(49) TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER.—The term ‘telecommunications carrier’ means any provider of telecommunications services, except that such term does not include aggregators of telecommunications services (as defined in section 226). A telecommunications carrier shall be treated as a common carrier under this Act only to the extent that it is engaged in providing telecommunications services, except that the Commission shall determine whether the provision of fixed and mobile satellite service shall be treated as common carriage.

“(50) TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT.—The term ‘telecommunications equipment’ means equipment, other than customer premises equipment, used by a carrier to provide telecommunications services, and includes software integral to such equipment (including upgrades).

“(51) TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE.—The term ‘telecommunications service’ means the offering of telecommunications for a fee directly to the public, or to such classes of users as to be effectively available directly to the public, regardless of the facilities used.”

(b) COMMON TERMINOLOGY.—Except as otherwise provided in this Act, the terms used in this Act have the meanings provided in section 3 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 153), as amended by this section.

(c) STYLISTIC CONSISTENCY.—Section 3 (47 U.S.C. 153) is amended—

(1) in subsections (e) and (n), by redesignating clauses (1), (2) and (3), as clauses (A), (B), and (C), respectively;

(2) in subsection (w), by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (5) as subparagraphs (A) through (E), respectively;

(3) in subsections (y) and (z), by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

(4) by redesignating subsections (a) through (ff) as paragraphs (1) through (32);

(5) by indenting such paragraphs 2 em spaces;

(6) by inserting after the designation of each such paragraph—

(A) a heading, in a form consistent with the form of the heading of this subsection, consisting of the term defined by such paragraph, or the first term so defined if such paragraph defines more than one term; and

(B) the words “The term”;

(7) by changing the first letter of each defined term in such paragraphs from a capital to a lower case letter (except for “United States”, “State”, “State commission”, and “Great Lakes Agreement”); and

(8) by reordering such paragraphs and the additional paragraphs added by subsection (a) in alphabetical order based on the headings of such paragraphs and renumbering such paragraphs as so reordered.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—The Act is amended—

(1) in section 225(a)(1), by striking “section 3(h)” and inserting “section 3”;

(2) in section 332(d), by striking “section 3(n)” each place it appears and inserting “section 3”; and

(3) in sections 621(d)(3), 636(d), and 637(a)(2), by striking “section 3(v)” and inserting “section 3”.

TITLE I—TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES

Subtitle A—Telecommunications Services

SEC. 101. ESTABLISHMENT OF PART II OF TITLE II.

(a) AMENDMENT.—Title II is amended by inserting after section 229 (47 U.S.C. 229) the following new part:

“PART II—DEVELOPMENT OF COMPETITIVE MARKETS

“SEC. 251. INTERCONNECTION.

“(a) GENERAL DUTY OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS.—Each telecommunications carrier has the duty—

“(1) to interconnect directly or indirectly with the facilities and equipment of other telecommunications carriers; and

“(2) not to install network features, functions, or capabilities that do not comply with the guidelines and standards established pursuant to section 255 or 256.

“(b) OBLIGATIONS OF ALL LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS.—Each local exchange carrier has the following duties:

“(1) RESALE.—The duty not to prohibit, and not to impose unreasonable or discriminatory conditions or limitations on, the resale of its telecommunications services.

“(2) NUMBER PORTABILITY.—The duty to provide, to the extent technically feasible, number portability in accordance with requirements prescribed by the Commission.

“(3) DIALING PARITY.—The duty to provide dialing parity to competing providers of telephone exchange service and telephone toll service, and the duty to permit all such providers to have nondiscriminatory access to telephone numbers, operator services, directory assistance, and directory listing, with no unreasonable dialing delays.

“(4) ACCESS TO RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The duty to afford access to the poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way of such carrier to competing providers of telecommunications services on rates, terms, and conditions that are consistent with section 224.

“(5) RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION.—The duty to establish reciprocal compensation arrangements for the transport and termination of telecommunications.

“(c) ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS OF INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS.—In addition to the duties contained in subsection (b), each incumbent local exchange carrier has the following duties:

“(1) DUTY TO NEGOTIATE.—The duty to negotiate in good faith in accordance with section 252 the particular terms and conditions of agreements to fulfill the duties described in paragraphs (1) through (5) of subsection (b) and this subsection. The requesting telecommunications carrier also has the duty to negotiate in good faith the terms and conditions of such agreements.

“(2) INTERCONNECTION.—The duty to provide, for the facilities and equipment of any requesting telecommunications carrier, interconnection with the local exchange carrier’s network—

“(A) for the transmission and routing of telephone exchange service and exchange access;

“(B) at any technically feasible point within the carrier’s network;

“(C) that is at least equal in quality to that provided by the local exchange carrier to itself or to any subsidiary, affiliate, or any other party to which the carrier provides interconnection; and

“(D) on rates, terms, and conditions that are just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the agreement and the requirements of this section and section 252.

“(3) UNBUNDLED ACCESS.—The duty to provide, to any requesting telecommunications carrier for the provision of a telecommunications service, nondiscriminatory access to network elements on an unbundled basis at any technically feasible point on rates, terms, and conditions that are just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory in accordance with the terms and conditions of the agreement and the requirements of this section and section 252. An incumbent local exchange carrier shall provide such unbundled network elements in a manner that allows requesting carriers to combine such elements in order to provide such telecommunications service.

“(4) RESALE.—The duty—

“(A) to offer for resale at wholesale rates any telecommunications service that the carrier provides at retail to subscribers who are not telecommunications carriers; and

“(B) not to prohibit, and not to impose unreasonable or discriminatory conditions or limitations on, the resale of such telecommunications service, except that a State commission may, consistent with regulations prescribed by the Commission under this section, prohibit a reseller that obtains at wholesale rates a telecommunications service that is available at retail only to a category of subscribers from offering such service to a different category of subscribers.

“(5) NOTICE OF CHANGES.—The duty to provide reasonable public notice of changes in the information necessary for the transmission and routing of services using that local exchange carrier’s facilities or net-

works, as well as of any other changes that would affect the interoperability of those facilities and networks.

“(6) COLLOCATION.—The duty to provide, on rates, terms, and conditions that are just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory, for physical collocation of equipment necessary for interconnection or access to unbundled network elements at the premises of the local exchange carrier, except that the carrier may provide for virtual collocation if the local exchange carrier demonstrates to the State commission that physical collocation is not practical for technical reasons or because of space limitations.

“(d) IMPLEMENTATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall complete all actions necessary to establish regulations to implement the requirements of this section.

“(2) ACCESS STANDARDS.—In determining what network elements should be made available for purposes of subsection (c)(3), the Commission shall consider, at a minimum, whether—

“(A) access to such network elements as are proprietary in nature is necessary; and

“(B) the failure to provide access to such network elements would impair the ability of the telecommunications carrier seeking access to provide the services that it seeks to offer.

“(3) PRESERVATION OF STATE ACCESS REGULATIONS.—In prescribing and enforcing regulations to implement the requirements of this section, the Commission shall not preclude the enforcement of any regulation, order, or policy of a State commission that—

“(A) establishes access and interconnection obligations of local exchange carriers;

“(B) is consistent with the requirements of this section; and

“(C) does not substantially prevent implementation of the requirements of this section and the purposes of this part.

“(e) NUMBERING ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) COMMISSION AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION.—The Commission shall create or designate one or more impartial entities to administer telecommunications numbering and to make such numbers available on an equitable basis. The Commission shall have exclusive jurisdiction over those portions of the North American Numbering Plan that pertain to the United States. Nothing in this paragraph shall preclude the Commission from delegating to State commissions or other entities all or any portion of such jurisdiction.

“(2) COSTS.—The cost of establishing telecommunications numbering administration arrangements and number portability shall be borne by all telecommunications carriers on a competitively neutral basis as determined by the Commission.

“(f) EXEMPTIONS, SUSPENSIONS, AND MODIFICATIONS.—

“(1) EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES.—

“(A) EXEMPTION.—Subsection (c) of this section shall not apply to a rural telephone company until (i) such company has received a bona fide request for interconnection, services, or network elements, and (ii) the State commission determines (under subparagraph (B)) that such request is not unduly economically burdensome, is technically feasible, and is consistent with section 254 (other than subsections (b)(7) and (c)(1)(D) thereof).

“(B) STATE TERMINATION OF EXEMPTION AND IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE.—The party making a bona fide request of a rural telephone company for interconnection, services, or network elements shall submit a notice of its request to the State commission. The State commission shall conduct an inquiry

for the purpose of determining whether to terminate the exemption under subparagraph (A). Within 120 days after the State commission receives notice of the request, the State commission shall terminate the exemption if the request is not unduly economically burdensome, is technically feasible, and is consistent with section 254 (other than subsections (b)(7) and (c)(1)(D) thereof). Upon termination of the exemption, a State commission shall establish an implementation schedule for compliance with the request that is consistent in time and manner with Commission regulations.

“(C) LIMITATION ON EXEMPTION.—The exemption provided by this paragraph shall not apply with respect to a request under subsection (c) from a cable operator providing video programming, and seeking to provide any telecommunications service, in the area in which the rural telephone company provides video programming. The limitation contained in this subparagraph shall not apply to a rural telephone company that is providing video programming on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(2) SUSPENSIONS AND MODIFICATIONS FOR RURAL CARRIERS.—A local exchange carrier with fewer than 2 percent of the Nation's subscriber lines installed in the aggregate nationwide may petition a State commission for a suspension or modification of the application of a requirement or requirements of subsection (b) or (c) to telephone exchange service facilities specified in such petition. The State commission shall grant such petition to the extent that, and for such duration as, the State commission determines that such suspension or modification—

“(A) is necessary—

“(i) to avoid a significant adverse economic impact on users of telecommunications services generally;

“(ii) to avoid imposing a requirement that is unduly economically burdensome; or

“(iii) to avoid imposing a requirement that is technically infeasible; and

“(B) is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

The State commission shall act upon any petition filed under this paragraph within 180 days after receiving such petition. Pending such action, the State commission may suspend enforcement of the requirement or requirements to which the petition applies with respect to the petitioning carrier or carriers.

“(g) CONTINUED ENFORCEMENT OF EXCHANGE ACCESS AND INTERCONNECTION REQUIREMENTS.—On and after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, each local exchange carrier, to the extent that it provides wireline services, shall provide exchange access, information access, and exchange services for such access to interexchange carriers and information service providers in accordance with the same equal access and nondiscriminatory interconnection restrictions and obligations (including receipt of compensation) that apply to such carrier on the date immediately preceding the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 under any court order, consent decree, or regulation, order, or policy of the Commission, until such restrictions and obligations are explicitly superseded by regulations prescribed by the Commission after such date of enactment. During the period beginning on such date of enactment and until such restrictions and obligations are so superseded, such restrictions and obligations shall be enforceable in the same manner as regulations of the Commission.

“(h) DEFINITION OF INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER.—

“(1) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘incumbent local exchange

carrier’ means, with respect to an area, the local exchange carrier that—

“(A) on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, provided telephone exchange service in such area; and

“(B)(i) on such date of enactment, was deemed to be a member of the exchange carrier association pursuant to section 69.601(b) of the Commission's regulations (47 C.F.R. 69.601(b)); or

“(ii) is a person or entity that, on or after such date of enactment, became a successor or assign of a member described in clause (i).

“(2) TREATMENT OF COMPARABLE CARRIERS AS INCUMBENTS.—The Commission may, by rule, provide for the treatment of a local exchange carrier (or class or category thereof) as an incumbent local exchange carrier for purposes of this section if—

“(A) such carrier occupies a position in the market for telephone exchange service within an area that is comparable to the position occupied by a carrier described in paragraph (1);

“(B) such carrier has substantially replaced an incumbent local exchange carrier described in paragraph (1); and

“(C) such treatment is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity and the purposes of this section.

“(i) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or otherwise affect the Commission's authority under section 201.

“SEC. 252. PROCEDURES FOR NEGOTIATION, ARBITRATION, AND APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS.

“(a) AGREEMENTS ARRIVED AT THROUGH NEGOTIATION.—

“(1) VOLUNTARY NEGOTIATIONS.—Upon receiving a request for interconnection, services, or network elements pursuant to section 251, an incumbent local exchange carrier may negotiate and enter into a binding agreement with the requesting telecommunications carrier or carriers without regard to the standards set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of section 251. The agreement shall include a detailed schedule of itemized charges for interconnection and each service or network element included in the agreement. The agreement, including any interconnection agreement negotiated before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, shall be submitted to the State commission under subsection (e) of this section.

“(2) MEDIATION.—Any party negotiating an agreement under this section may, at any point in the negotiation, ask a State commission to participate in the negotiation and to mediate any differences arising in the course of the negotiation.

“(b) AGREEMENTS ARRIVED AT THROUGH COMPULSORY ARBITRATION.—

“(1) ARBITRATION.—During the period from the 135th to the 160th day (inclusive) after the date on which an incumbent local exchange carrier receives a request for negotiation under this section, the carrier or any other party to the negotiation may petition a State commission to arbitrate any open issues.

“(2) DUTY OF PETITIONER.—

“(A) A party that petitions a State commission under paragraph (1) shall, at the same time as it submits the petition, provide the State commission all relevant documentation concerning—

“(i) the unresolved issues;

“(ii) the position of each of the parties with respect to those issues; and

“(iii) any other issue discussed and resolved by the parties.

“(B) A party petitioning a State commission under paragraph (1) shall provide a copy of the petition and any documentation to the other party or parties not later than the day on which the State commission receives the petition.

“(3) OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND.—A non-petitioning party to a negotiation under this section may respond to the other party’s petition and provide such additional information as it wishes within 25 days after the State commission receives the petition.

“(4) ACTION BY STATE COMMISSION.—

“(A) The State commission shall limit its consideration of any petition under paragraph (1) (and any response thereto) to the issues set forth in the petition and in the response, if any, filed under paragraph (3).

“(B) The State commission may require the petitioning party and the responding party to provide such information as may be necessary for the State commission to reach a decision on the unresolved issues. If any party refuses or fails unreasonably to respond on a timely basis to any reasonable request from the State commission, then the State commission may proceed on the basis of the best information available to it from whatever source derived.

“(C) The State commission shall resolve each issue set forth in the petition and the response, if any, by imposing appropriate conditions as required to implement subsection (c) upon the parties to the agreement, and shall conclude the resolution of any unresolved issues not later than 9 months after the date on which the local exchange carrier received the request under this section.

“(5) REFUSAL TO NEGOTIATE.—The refusal of any other party to the negotiation to participate further in the negotiations, to cooperate with the State commission in carrying out its function as an arbitrator, or to continue to negotiate in good faith in the presence, or with the assistance, of the State commission shall be considered a failure to negotiate in good faith.

“(c) STANDARDS FOR ARBITRATION.—In resolving by arbitration under subsection (b) any open issues and imposing conditions upon the parties to the agreement, a State commission shall—

“(1) ensure that such resolution and conditions meet the requirements of section 251, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to section 251;

“(2) establish any rates for interconnection, services, or network elements according to subsection (d); and

“(3) provide a schedule for implementation of the terms and conditions by the parties to the agreement.

“(d) PRICING STANDARDS.—

“(1) INTERCONNECTION AND NETWORK ELEMENT CHARGES.—Determinations by a State commission of the just and reasonable rate for the interconnection of facilities and equipment for purposes of subsection (c)(2) of section 251, and the just and reasonable rate for network elements for purposes of subsection (c)(3) of such section—

“(A) shall be—

“(i) based on the cost (determined without reference to a rate-of-return or other rate-based proceeding) of providing the interconnection or network element (whichever is applicable), and

“(ii) nondiscriminatory, and

“(B) may include a reasonable profit.

“(2) CHARGES FOR TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION OF TRAFFIC.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the purposes of compliance by an incumbent local exchange carrier with section 251(b)(5), a State commission shall not consider the terms and conditions for reciprocal compensation to be just and reasonable unless—

“(i) such terms and conditions provide for the mutual and reciprocal recovery by each carrier of costs associated with the transport and termination on each carrier’s network facilities of calls that originate on the network facilities of the other carrier; and

“(ii) such terms and conditions determine such costs on the basis of a reasonable approximation of the additional costs of terminating such calls.

“(B) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—This paragraph shall not be construed—

“(i) to preclude arrangements that afford the mutual recovery of costs through the offsetting of reciprocal obligations, including arrangements that waive mutual recovery (such as bill-and-keep arrangements); or

“(ii) to authorize the Commission or any State commission to engage in any rate regulation proceeding to establish with particularity the additional costs of transporting or terminating calls, or to require carriers to maintain records with respect to the additional costs of such calls.

“(3) WHOLESALE PRICES FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES.—For the purposes of section 251(c)(4), a State commission shall determine wholesale rates on the basis of retail rates charged to subscribers for the telecommunications service requested, excluding the portion thereof attributable to any marketing, billing, collection, and other costs that will be avoided by the local exchange carrier.

“(e) APPROVAL BY STATE COMMISSION.—

“(1) APPROVAL REQUIRED.—Any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission. A State commission to which an agreement is submitted shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.

“(2) GROUNDS FOR REJECTION.—The State commission may only reject—

“(A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that—

“(i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

“(ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; or

“(B) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by arbitration under subsection (b) if it finds that the agreement does not meet the requirements of section 251, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to section 251, or the standards set forth in subsection (d) of this section.

“(3) PRESERVATION OF AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

“(4) SCHEDULE FOR DECISION.—If the State commission does not act to approve or reject the agreement within 90 days after submission by the parties of an agreement adopted by negotiation under subsection (a), or within 30 days after submission by the parties of an agreement adopted by arbitration under subsection (b), the agreement shall be deemed approved. No State court shall have jurisdiction to review the action of a State commission in approving or rejecting an agreement under this section.

“(5) COMMISSION TO ACT IF STATE WILL NOT ACT.—If a State commission fails to act to carry out its responsibility under this section in any proceeding or other matter under this section, then the Commission shall issue an order preempting the State commission’s jurisdiction of that proceeding or matter within 90 days after being notified (or taking notice) of such failure, and shall assume the responsibility of the State commission under this section with respect to the proceeding or matter and act for the State commission.

“(6) REVIEW OF STATE COMMISSION ACTIONS.—In a case in which a State fails to act as described in paragraph (5), the proceeding by the Commission under such paragraph and any judicial review of the Commission’s actions shall be the exclusive remedies for a State commission’s failure to act. In any case in which a State commission makes a determination under this section, any party aggrieved by such determination may bring an action in an appropriate Federal district court to determine whether the agreement or statement meets the requirements of section 251 and this section.

“(f) STATEMENTS OF GENERALLY AVAILABLE TERMS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A Bell operating company may prepare and file with a State commission a statement of the terms and conditions that such company generally offers within that State to comply with the requirements of section 251 and the regulations thereunder and the standards applicable under this section.

“(2) STATE COMMISSION REVIEW.—A State commission may not approve such statement unless such statement complies with subsection (d) of this section and section 251 and the regulations thereunder. Except as provided in section 253, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of such statement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

“(3) SCHEDULE FOR REVIEW.—The State commission to which a statement is submitted shall, not later than 60 days after the date of such submission—

“(A) complete the review of such statement under paragraph (2) (including any reconsideration thereof), unless the submitting carrier agrees to an extension of the period for such review; or

“(B) permit such statement to take effect.

“(4) AUTHORITY TO CONTINUE REVIEW.—Paragraph (3) shall not preclude the State commission from continuing to review a statement that has been permitted to take effect under subparagraph (B) of such paragraph or from approving or disapproving such statement under paragraph (2).

“(5) DUTY TO NEGOTIATE NOT AFFECTED.—The submission or approval of a statement under this subsection shall not relieve a Bell operating company of its duty to negotiate the terms and conditions of an agreement under section 251.

“(g) CONSOLIDATION OF STATE PROCEEDINGS.—Where not inconsistent with the requirements of this Act, a State commission may, to the extent practical, consolidate proceedings under sections 214(e), 251(f), 253, and this section in order to reduce administrative burdens on telecommunications carriers, other parties to the proceedings, and the State commission in carrying out its responsibilities under this Act.

“(h) FILING REQUIRED.—A State commission shall make a copy of each agreement approved under subsection (e) and each statement approved under subsection (f) available for public inspection and copying within 10 days after the agreement or statement is approved. The State commission may charge a reasonable and nondiscriminatory fee to the parties to the agreement or to the party filing the statement to cover the costs of approving and filing such agreement or statement.

“(i) AVAILABILITY TO OTHER TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS.—A local exchange carrier shall make available any interconnection, service, or network element provided under an agreement approved under this section to which it is a party to any other requesting telecommunications carrier upon the same

terms and conditions as those provided in the agreement.

“(j) DEFINITION OF INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘incumbent local exchange carrier’ has the meaning provided in section 251(h).

“SEC. 253. REMOVAL OF BARRIERS TO ENTRY.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—No State or local statute or regulation, or other State or local legal requirement, may prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the ability of any entity to provide any interstate or intrastate telecommunications service.

“(b) STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section shall affect the ability of a State to impose, on a competitively neutral basis and consistent with section 254, requirements necessary to preserve and advance universal service, protect the public safety and welfare, ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services, and safeguard the rights of consumers.

“(c) STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section affects the authority of a State or local government to manage the public rights-of-way or to require fair and reasonable compensation from telecommunications providers, on a competitively neutral and nondiscriminatory basis, for use of public rights-of-way on a nondiscriminatory basis, if the compensation required is publicly disclosed by such government.

“(d) PREEMPTION.—If, after notice and an opportunity for public comment, the Commission determines that a State or local government has permitted or imposed any statute, regulation, or legal requirement that violates subsection (a) or (b), the Commission shall preempt the enforcement of such statute, regulation, or legal requirement to the extent necessary to correct such violation or inconsistency.

“(e) COMMERCIAL MOBILE SERVICE PROVIDERS.—Nothing in this section shall affect the application of section 332(c)(3) to commercial mobile service providers.

“(f) RURAL MARKETS.—It shall not be a violation of this section for a State to require a telecommunications carrier that seeks to provide telephone exchange service or exchange access in a service area served by a rural telephone company to meet the requirements in section 214(e)(1) for designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier for that area before being permitted to provide such service. This subsection shall not apply—

“(1) to a service area served by a rural telephone company that has obtained an exemption, suspension, or modification of section 251(c)(4) that effectively prevents a competitor from meeting the requirements of section 214(e)(1); and

“(2) to a provider of commercial mobile services.

“SEC. 254. UNIVERSAL SERVICE.

“(a) PROCEDURES TO REVIEW UNIVERSAL SERVICE REQUIREMENTS.—

“(1) FEDERAL-STATE JOINT BOARD ON UNIVERSAL SERVICE.—Within one month after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall institute and refer to a Federal-State Joint Board under section 410(c) a proceeding to recommend changes to any of its regulations in order to implement sections 214(e) and this section, including the definition of the services that are supported by Federal universal service support mechanisms and a specific timetable for completion of such recommendations. In addition to the members of the Joint Board required under section 410(c), one member of such Joint Board shall be a State-appointed utility consumer advocate nominated by a national organization of State utility consumer advocates. The Joint

Board shall, after notice and opportunity for public comment, make its recommendations to the Commission 9 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(2) COMMISSION ACTION.—The Commission shall initiate a single proceeding to implement the recommendations from the Joint Board required by paragraph (1) and shall complete such proceeding within 15 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The rules established by such proceeding shall include a definition of the services that are supported by Federal universal service support mechanisms and a specific timetable for implementation. Thereafter, the Commission shall complete any proceeding to implement subsequent recommendations from any Joint Board on universal service within one year after receiving such recommendations.

“(b) UNIVERSAL SERVICE PRINCIPLES.—The Joint Board and the Commission shall base policies for the preservation and advancement of universal service on the following principles:

“(1) QUALITY AND RATES.—Quality services should be available at just, reasonable, and affordable rates.

“(2) ACCESS TO ADVANCED SERVICES.—Access to advanced telecommunications and information services should be provided in all regions of the Nation.

“(3) ACCESS IN RURAL AND HIGH COST AREAS.—Consumers in all regions of the Nation, including low-income consumers and those in rural, insular, and high cost areas, should have access to telecommunications and information services, including interexchange services and advanced telecommunications and information services, that are reasonably comparable to those services provided in urban areas and that are available at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas.

“(4) EQUITABLE AND NONDISCRIMINATORY CONTRIBUTIONS.—All providers of telecommunications services should make an equitable and nondiscriminatory contribution to the preservation and advancement of universal service.

“(5) SPECIFIC AND PREDICTABLE SUPPORT MECHANISMS.—There should be specific, predictable and sufficient Federal and State mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service.

“(6) ACCESS TO ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FOR SCHOOLS, HEALTH CARE, AND LIBRARIES.—Elementary and secondary schools and classrooms, health care providers, and libraries should have access to advanced telecommunications services as described in subsection (h).

“(7) ADDITIONAL PRINCIPLES.—Such other principles as the Joint Board and the Commission determine are necessary and appropriate for the protection of the public interest, convenience, and necessity and are consistent with this Act.

“(c) DEFINITION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Universal service is an evolving level of telecommunications services that the Commission shall establish periodically under this section, taking into account advances in telecommunications and information technologies and services. The Joint Board in recommending, and the Commission in establishing, the definition of the services that are supported by Federal universal service support mechanisms shall consider the extent to which such telecommunications services—

“(A) are essential to education, public health, or public safety;

“(B) have, through the operation of market choices by customers, been subscribed to by a substantial majority of residential customers;

“(C) are being deployed in public telecommunications networks by telecommunications carriers; and

“(D) are consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

“(2) ALTERATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS.—The Joint Board may, from time to time, recommend to the Commission modifications in the definition of the services that are supported by Federal universal service support mechanisms.

“(3) SPECIAL SERVICES.—In addition to the services included in the definition of universal service under paragraph (1), the Commission may designate additional services for such support mechanisms for schools, libraries, and health care providers for the purposes of subsection (h).

“(d) TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER CONTRIBUTION.—Every telecommunications carrier that provides interstate telecommunications services shall contribute, on an equitable and nondiscriminatory basis, to the specific, predictable, and sufficient mechanisms established by the Commission to preserve and advance universal service. The Commission may exempt a carrier or class of carriers from this requirement if the carrier's telecommunications activities are limited to such an extent that the level of such carrier's contribution to the preservation and advancement of universal service would be de minimis. Any other provider of interstate telecommunications may be required to contribute to the preservation and advancement of universal service if the public interest so requires.

“(e) UNIVERSAL SERVICE SUPPORT.—After the date on which Commission regulations implementing this section take effect, only an eligible telecommunications carrier designated under section 214(e) shall be eligible to receive specific Federal universal service support. A carrier that receives such support shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended. Any such support should be explicit and sufficient to achieve the purposes of this section.

“(f) STATE AUTHORITY.—A State may adopt regulations not inconsistent with the Commission's rules to preserve and advance universal service. Every telecommunications carrier that provides intrastate telecommunications services shall contribute, on an equitable and nondiscriminatory basis, in a manner determined by the State to the preservation and advancement of universal service in that State. A State may adopt regulations to provide for additional definitions and standards to preserve and advance universal service within that State only to the extent that such regulations adopt additional specific, predictable, and sufficient mechanisms to support such definitions or standards that do not rely on or burden Federal universal service support mechanisms.

“(g) INTEREXCHANGE AND INTERSTATE SERVICES.—Within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall adopt rules to require that the rates charged by providers of interexchange telecommunications services to subscribers in rural and high cost areas shall be no higher than the rates charged by each such provider to its subscribers in urban areas. Such rules shall also require that a provider of interstate interexchange telecommunications services shall provide such services to its subscribers in each State at rates no higher than the rates charged to its subscribers in any other State.

“(h) TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FOR CERTAIN PROVIDERS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS FOR RURAL AREAS.—A telecommunications carrier shall, upon receiving a bona fide request, provide

telecommunications services which are necessary for the provision of health care services in a State, including instruction relating to such services, to any public or non-profit health care provider that serves persons who reside in rural areas in that State at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas in that State. A telecommunications carrier providing service under this paragraph shall be entitled to have an amount equal to the difference, if any, between the rates for services provided to health care providers for rural areas in a State and the rates for similar services provided to other customers in comparable rural areas in that State treated as a service obligation as a part of its obligation to participate in the mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service.

“(B) EDUCATIONAL PROVIDERS AND LIBRARIES.—All telecommunications carriers serving a geographic area shall, upon a bona fide request for any of its services that are within the definition of universal service under subsection (c)(3), provide such services to elementary schools, secondary schools, and libraries for educational purposes at rates less than the amounts charged for similar services to other parties. The discount shall be an amount that the Commission, with respect to interstate services, and the States, with respect to intrastate services, determine is appropriate and necessary to ensure affordable access to and use of such services by such entities. A telecommunications carrier providing service under this paragraph shall—

“(i) have an amount equal to the amount of the discount treated as an offset to its obligation to contribute to the mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service, or

“(ii) notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (e) of this section, receive reimbursement utilizing the support mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service.

“(2) ADVANCED SERVICES.—The Commission shall establish competitively neutral rules—

“(A) to enhance, to the extent technically feasible and economically reasonable, access to advanced telecommunications and information services for all public and nonprofit elementary and secondary school classrooms, health care providers, and libraries; and

“(B) to define the circumstances under which a telecommunications carrier may be required to connect its network to such public institutional telecommunications users.

“(3) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Telecommunications services and network capacity provided to a public institutional telecommunications user under this subsection may not be sold, resold, or otherwise transferred by such user in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

“(4) ELIGIBILITY OF USERS.—No entity listed in this subsection shall be entitled to preferential rates or treatment as required by this subsection, if such entity operates as a for-profit business, is a school described in paragraph (5)(A) with an endowment of more than \$50,000,000, or is a library not eligible for participation in State-based plans for funds under title III of the Library Services and Construction Act (20 U.S.C. 335c et seq.).

“(5) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection:

“(A) ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS.—The term ‘elementary and secondary schools’ means elementary schools and secondary schools, as defined in paragraphs (14) and (25), respectively, of section 14101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 8801).

“(B) HEALTH CARE PROVIDER.—The term ‘health care provider’ means—

“(i) post-secondary educational institutions offering health care instruction, teaching hospitals, and medical schools;

“(ii) community health centers or health centers providing health care to migrants;

“(iii) local health departments or agencies;

“(iv) community mental health centers;

“(v) not-for-profit hospitals;

“(vi) rural health clinics; and

“(vii) consortia of health care providers consisting of one or more entities described in clauses (i) through (vi).

“(C) PUBLIC INSTITUTIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS USER.—The term ‘public institutional telecommunications user’ means an elementary or secondary school, a library, or a health care provider as those terms are defined in this paragraph.

“(i) CONSUMER PROTECTION.—The Commission and the States should ensure that universal service is available at rates that are just, reasonable, and affordable.

“(j) LIFELINE ASSISTANCE.—Nothing in this section shall affect the collection, distribution, or administration of the Lifeline Assistance Program provided for by the Commission under regulations set forth in section 69.117 of title 47, Code of Federal Regulations, and other related sections of such title.

“(k) SUBSIDY OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES PROHIBITED.—A telecommunications carrier may not use services that are not competitive to subsidize services that are subject to competition. The Commission, with respect to interstate services, and the States, with respect to intrastate services, shall establish any necessary cost allocation rules, accounting safeguards, and guidelines to ensure that services included in the definition of universal service bear no more than a reasonable share of the joint and common costs of facilities used to provide those services.

“SEC. 255. ACCESS BY PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES.

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

“(1) DISABILITY.—The term ‘disability’ has the meaning given to it by section 3(2)(A) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12102(2)(A)).

“(2) READILY ACHIEVABLE.—The term ‘readily achievable’ has the meaning given to it by section 301(9) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 12181(9)).

“(b) MANUFACTURING.—A manufacturer of telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment shall ensure that the equipment is designed, developed, and fabricated to be accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, if readily achievable.

“(c) TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES.—A provider of telecommunications service shall ensure that the service is accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, if readily achievable.

“(d) COMPATIBILITY.—Whenever the requirements of subsections (b) and (c) are not readily achievable, such a manufacturer or provider shall ensure that the equipment or service is compatible with existing peripheral devices or specialized customer premises equipment commonly used by individuals with disabilities to achieve access, if readily achievable.

“(e) GUIDELINES.—Within 18 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board shall develop guidelines for accessibility of telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment in conjunction with the Commission. The Board shall review and update the guidelines periodically.

“(f) NO ADDITIONAL PRIVATE RIGHTS AUTHORIZED.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize any private right of action to enforce any requirement of this

section or any regulation thereunder. The Commission shall have exclusive jurisdiction with respect to any complaint under this section.

“SEC. 256. COORDINATION FOR INTERCONNECTIVITY.

“(a) PURPOSE.—It is the purpose of this section—

“(1) to promote nondiscriminatory accessibility by the broadest number of users and vendors of communications products and services to public telecommunications networks used to provide telecommunications service through—

“(A) coordinated public telecommunications network planning and design by telecommunications carriers and other providers of telecommunications service; and

“(B) public telecommunications network interconnectivity, and interconnectivity of devices with such networks used to provide telecommunications service; and

“(2) to ensure the ability of users and information providers to seamlessly and transparently transmit and receive information between and across telecommunications networks.

“(b) COMMISSION FUNCTIONS.—In carrying out the purposes of this section, the Commission—

“(1) shall establish procedures for Commission oversight of coordinated network planning by telecommunications carriers and other providers of telecommunications service for the effective and efficient interconnection of public telecommunications networks used to provide telecommunications service; and

“(2) may participate, in a manner consistent with its authority and practice prior to the date of enactment of this section, in the development by appropriate industry standards-setting organizations of public telecommunications network interconnectivity standards that promote access to—

“(A) public telecommunications networks used to provide telecommunications service;

“(B) network capabilities and services by individuals with disabilities; and

“(C) information services by subscribers of rural telephone companies.

“(c) COMMISSION'S AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as expanding or limiting any authority that the Commission may have under law in effect before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(d) DEFINITION.—As used in this section, the term ‘public telecommunications network interconnectivity’ means the ability of two or more public telecommunications networks used to provide telecommunications service to communicate and exchange information without degeneration, and to interact in concert with one another.

“SEC. 257. MARKET ENTRY BARRIERS PROCEEDING.

“(a) ELIMINATION OF BARRIERS.—Within 15 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall complete a proceeding for the purpose of identifying and eliminating, by regulations pursuant to its authority under this Act (other than this section), market entry barriers for entrepreneurs and other small businesses in the provision and ownership of telecommunications services and information services, or in the provision of parts or services to providers of telecommunications services and information services.

“(b) NATIONAL POLICY.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Commission shall seek to promote the policies and purposes of this Act favoring diversity of media voices, vigorous economic competition, technological advancement, and promotion of the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

“(c) PERIODIC REVIEW.—Every 3 years following the completion of the proceeding required by subsection (a), the Commission shall review and report to Congress on—

“(1) any regulations prescribed to eliminate barriers within its jurisdiction that are identified under subsection (a) and that can be prescribed consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; and

“(2) the statutory barriers identified under subsection (a) that the Commission recommends be eliminated, consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

“SEC. 258. ILLEGAL CHANGES IN SUBSCRIBER CARRIER SELECTIONS.

“(a) PROHIBITION.—No telecommunications carrier shall submit or execute a change in a subscriber’s selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service except in accordance with such verification procedures as the Commission shall prescribe. Nothing in this section shall preclude any State commission from enforcing such procedures with respect to intrastate services.

“(b) LIABILITY FOR CHARGES.—Any telecommunications carrier that violates the verification procedures described in subsection (a) and that collects charges for telephone exchange service or telephone toll service from a subscriber shall be liable to the carrier previously selected by the subscriber in an amount equal to all charges paid by such subscriber after such violation, in accordance with such procedures as the Commission may prescribe. The remedies provided by this subsection are in addition to any other remedies available by law.

“SEC. 259. INFRASTRUCTURE SHARING.

“(a) REGULATIONS REQUIRED.—The Commission shall prescribe, within one year after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, regulations that require incumbent local exchange carriers (as defined in section 251(h)) to make available to any qualifying carrier such public switched network infrastructure, technology, information, and telecommunications facilities and functions as may be requested by such qualifying carrier for the purpose of enabling such qualifying carrier to provide telecommunications services, or to provide access to information services, in the service area in which such qualifying carrier has requested and obtained designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier under section 214(e).

“(b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF REGULATIONS.—The regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to this section shall—

“(1) not require a local exchange carrier to which this section applies to take any action that is economically unreasonable or that is contrary to the public interest;

“(2) permit, but shall not require, the joint ownership or operation of public switched network infrastructure and services by or among such local exchange carrier and a qualifying carrier;

“(3) ensure that such local exchange carrier will not be treated by the Commission or any State as a common carrier for hire or as offering common carrier services with respect to any infrastructure, technology, information, facilities, or functions made available to a qualifying carrier in accordance with regulations issued pursuant to this section;

“(4) ensure that such local exchange carrier makes such infrastructure, technology, information, facilities, or functions available to a qualifying carrier on just and reasonable terms and conditions that permit such qualifying carrier to fully benefit from the economies of scale and scope of such local exchange carrier, as determined in accordance with guidelines prescribed by the Commission in regulations issued pursuant to this section;

“(5) establish conditions that promote cooperation between local exchange carriers to which this section applies and qualifying carriers;

“(6) not require a local exchange carrier to which this section applies to engage in any infrastructure sharing agreement for any services or access which are to be provided or offered to consumers by the qualifying carrier in such local exchange carrier’s telephone exchange area; and

“(7) require that such local exchange carrier file with the Commission or State for public inspection, any tariffs, contracts, or other arrangements showing the rates, terms, and conditions under which such carrier is making available public switched network infrastructure and functions under this section.

“(c) INFORMATION CONCERNING DEPLOYMENT OF NEW SERVICES AND EQUIPMENT.—A local exchange carrier to which this section applies that has entered into an infrastructure sharing agreement under this section shall provide to each party to such agreement timely information on the planned deployment of telecommunications services and equipment, including any software or upgrades of software integral to the use or operation of such telecommunications equipment.

“(d) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘qualifying carrier’ means a telecommunications carrier that—

“(1) lacks economies of scale or scope, as determined in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to this section; and

“(2) offers telephone exchange service, exchange access, and any other service that is included in universal service, to all consumers without preference throughout the service area for which such carrier has been designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier under section 214(e).

“SEC. 260. PROVISION OF TELEMESSAGING SERVICE.

“(a) NONDISCRIMINATION SAFEGUARDS.—Any local exchange carrier subject to the requirements of section 251(c) that provides telemessaging service—

“(1) shall not subsidize its telemessaging service directly or indirectly from its telephone exchange service or its exchange access; and

“(2) shall not prefer or discriminate in favor of its telemessaging service operations in its provision of telecommunications services.

“(b) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF COMPLAINTS.—The Commission shall establish procedures for the receipt and review of complaints concerning violations of subsection (a) or the regulations thereunder that result in material financial harm to a provider of telemessaging service. Such procedures shall ensure that the Commission will make a final determination with respect to any such complaint within 120 days after receipt of the complaint. If the complaint contains an appropriate showing that the alleged violation occurred, the Commission shall, within 60 days after receipt of the complaint, order the local exchange carrier and any affiliates to cease engaging in such violation pending such final determination.

“(c) DEFINITION.—As used in this section, the term ‘telemessaging service’ means voice mail and voice storage and retrieval services, any live operator services used to record, transcribe, or relay messages (other than telecommunications relay services), and any ancillary services offered in combination with these services.

“SEC. 261. EFFECT ON OTHER REQUIREMENTS.

“(a) COMMISSION REGULATIONS.—Nothing in this part shall be construed to prohibit the Commission from enforcing regulations pre-

scribed prior to the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 in fulfilling the requirements of this part, to the extent that such regulations are not inconsistent with the provisions of this part.

“(b) EXISTING STATE REGULATIONS.—Nothing in this part shall be construed to prohibit any State commission from enforcing regulations prescribed prior to the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, or from prescribing regulations after such date of enactment, in fulfilling the requirements of this part, if such regulations are not inconsistent with the provisions of this part.

“(c) ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS.—Nothing in this part precludes a State from imposing requirements on a telecommunications carrier for intrastate services that are necessary to further competition in the provision of telephone exchange service or exchange access, as long as the State’s requirements are not inconsistent with this part or the Commission’s regulations to implement this part.”

(b) DESIGNATION OF PART I.—Title II of the Act is further amended by inserting before the heading of section 201 the following new heading:

“PART I—COMMON CARRIER REGULATION”

(c) STYLISTIC CONSISTENCY.—The Act is amended so that—

(1) the designation and heading of each title of the Act shall be in the form and typeface of the designation and heading of this title of this Act; and

(2) the designation and heading of each part of each title of the Act shall be in the form and typeface of the designation and heading of part I of title II of the Act, as amended by subsection (a).

SEC. 102. ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 214 (47 U.S.C. 214) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

“(e) PROVISION OF UNIVERSAL SERVICE.—

“(1) ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS.—A common carrier designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier under paragraph (2) or (3) shall be eligible to receive universal service support in accordance with section 254 and shall, throughout the service area for which the designation is received—

“(A) offer the services that are supported by Federal universal service support mechanisms under section 254(c), either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier’s services (including the services offered by another eligible telecommunications carrier); and

“(B) advertise the availability of such services and the charges therefor using media of general distribution.

“(2) DESIGNATION OF ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS.—A State commission shall upon its own motion or upon request designate a common carrier that meets the requirements of paragraph (1) as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the State commission. Upon request and consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the State commission may, in the case of an area served by a rural telephone company, and shall, in the case of all other areas, designate more than one common carrier as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the State commission, so long as each additional requesting carrier meets the requirements of paragraph (1). Before designating an additional eligible telecommunications carrier for an area served by a rural telephone company, the State commission shall find that the designation is in the public interest.

“(3) DESIGNATION OF ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS FOR UNSERVED AREAS.—If no common carrier will provide the services that are supported by Federal universal service support mechanisms under section 254(c) to an unserved community or any portion thereof that requests such service, the Commission, with respect to interstate services, or a State commission, with respect to intrastate services, shall determine which common carrier or carriers are best able to provide such service to the requesting unserved community or portion thereof and shall order such carrier or carriers to provide such service for that unserved community or portion thereof. Any carrier or carriers ordered to provide such service under this paragraph shall meet the requirements of paragraph (1) and shall be designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier for that community or portion thereof.

“(4) RELINQUISHMENT OF UNIVERSAL SERVICE.—A State commission shall permit an eligible telecommunications carrier to relinquish its designation as such a carrier in any area served by more than one eligible telecommunications carrier. An eligible telecommunications carrier that seeks to relinquish its eligible telecommunications carrier designation for an area served by more than one eligible telecommunications carrier shall give advance notice to the State commission of such relinquishment. Prior to permitting a telecommunications carrier designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier to cease providing universal service in an area served by more than one eligible telecommunications carrier, the State commission shall require the remaining eligible telecommunications carrier or carriers to ensure that all customers served by the relinquishing carrier will continue to be served, and shall require sufficient notice to permit the purchase or construction of adequate facilities by any remaining eligible telecommunications carrier. The State commission shall establish a time, not to exceed one year after the State commission approves such relinquishment under this paragraph, within which such purchase or construction shall be completed.

“(5) SERVICE AREA DEFINED.—The term ‘service area’ means a geographic area established by a State commission for the purpose of determining universal service obligations and support mechanisms. In the case of an area served by a rural telephone company, ‘service area’ means such company’s ‘study area’ unless and until the Commission and the States, after taking into account recommendations of a Federal-State Joint Board instituted under section 410(c), establish a different definition of service area for such company.”

SEC. 103. EXEMPT TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (15 U.S.C. 79 and following) is amended by redesignating sections 34 and 35 as sections 35 and 36, respectively, and by inserting the following new section after section 33:

“SEC. 34. EXEMPT TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) EXEMPT TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY.—The term ‘exempt telecommunications company’ means any person determined by the Federal Communications Commission to be engaged directly or indirectly, wherever located, through one or more affiliates (as defined in section 2(a)(11)(B)), and exclusively in the business of providing—

“(A) telecommunications services;

“(B) information services;

“(C) other services or products subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission; or

“(D) products or services that are related or incidental to the provision of a product or service described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).

No person shall be deemed to be an exempt telecommunications company under this section unless such person has applied to the Federal Communications Commission for a determination under this paragraph. A person applying in good faith for such a determination shall be deemed an exempt telecommunications company under this section, with all of the exemptions provided by this section, until the Federal Communications Commission makes such determination. The Federal Communications Commission shall make such determination within 60 days of its receipt of any such application filed after the enactment of this section and shall notify the Commission whenever a determination is made under this paragraph that any person is an exempt telecommunications company. Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this section, the Federal Communications Commission shall promulgate rules implementing the provisions of this paragraph which shall be applicable to applications filed under this paragraph after the effective date of such rules.

“(2) OTHER TERMS.—For purposes of this section, the terms ‘telecommunications services’ and ‘information services’ shall have the same meanings as provided in the Communications Act of 1934.

“(b) STATE CONSENT FOR SALE OF EXISTING RATE-BASED FACILITIES.—If a rate or charge for the sale of electric energy or natural gas (other than any portion of a rate or charge which represents recovery of the cost of a wholesale rate or charge) for, or in connection with, assets of a public utility company that is an associate company or affiliate of a registered holding company was in effect under the laws of any State as of December 19, 1995, the public utility company owning such assets may not sell such assets to an exempt telecommunications company that is an associate company or affiliate unless State commissions having jurisdiction over such public utility company approve such sale. Nothing in this subsection shall preempt the otherwise applicable authority of any State to approve or disapprove the sale of such assets. The approval of the Commission under this Act shall not be required for the sale of assets as provided in this subsection.

“(c) OWNERSHIP OF ETCS BY EXEMPT HOLDING COMPANIES.—Notwithstanding any provision of this Act, a holding company that is exempt under section 3 of this Act shall be permitted, without condition or limitation under this Act, to acquire and maintain an interest in the business of one or more exempt telecommunications companies.

“(d) OWNERSHIP OF ETCS BY REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANIES.—Notwithstanding any provision of this Act, a registered holding company shall be permitted (without the need to apply for, or receive, approval from the Commission, and otherwise without condition under this Act) to acquire and hold the securities, or an interest in the business, of one or more exempt telecommunications companies.

“(e) FINANCING AND OTHER RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ETCS AND REGISTERED HOLDING COMPANIES.—The relationship between an exempt telecommunications company and a registered holding company, its affiliates and associate companies, shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission under this Act: *Provided*, That—

“(1) section 11 of this Act shall not prohibit the ownership of an interest in the business of one or more exempt telecommunications companies by a registered holding company (regardless of activities en-

gaged in or where facilities owned or operated by such exempt telecommunications companies are located), and such ownership by a registered holding company shall be deemed consistent with the operation of an integrated public utility system;

“(2) the ownership of an interest in the business of one or more exempt telecommunications companies by a registered holding company (regardless of activities engaged in or where facilities owned or operated by such exempt telecommunications companies are located) shall be considered as reasonably incidental, or economically necessary or appropriate, to the operations of an integrated public utility system;

“(3) the Commission shall have no jurisdiction under this Act over, and there shall be no restriction or approval required under this Act with respect to (A) the issue or sale of a security by a registered holding company for purposes of financing the acquisition of an exempt telecommunications company, or (B) the guarantee of a security of an exempt telecommunications company by a registered holding company; and

“(4) except for costs that should be fairly and equitably allocated among companies that are associate companies of a registered holding company, the Commission shall have no jurisdiction under this Act over the sales, service, and construction contracts between an exempt telecommunications company and a registered holding company, its affiliates and associate companies.

“(f) REPORTING OBLIGATIONS CONCERNING INVESTMENTS AND ACTIVITIES OF REGISTERED PUBLIC-UTILITY HOLDING COMPANY SYSTEMS.—

“(1) OBLIGATIONS TO REPORT INFORMATION.—Any registered holding company or subsidiary thereof that acquires or holds the securities, or an interest in the business, of an exempt telecommunications company shall file with the Commission such information as the Commission, by rule, may prescribe concerning—

“(A) investments and activities by the registered holding company, or any subsidiary thereof, with respect to exempt telecommunications companies, and

“(B) any activities of an exempt telecommunications company within the holding company system,

that are reasonably likely to have a material impact on the financial or operational condition of the holding company system.

“(2) AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—If, based on reports provided to the Commission pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subsection or other available information, the Commission reasonably concludes that it has concerns regarding the financial or operational condition of any registered holding company or any subsidiary thereof (including an exempt telecommunications company), the Commission may require such registered holding company to make additional reports and provide additional information.

“(3) AUTHORITY TO LIMIT DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Commission shall not be compelled to disclose any information required to be reported under this subsection. Nothing in this subsection shall authorize the Commission to withhold the information from Congress, or prevent the Commission from complying with a request for information from any other Federal or State department or agency requesting the information for purposes within the scope of its jurisdiction. For purposes of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, this subsection shall be considered a statute described in subsection (b)(3)(B) of such section 552.

“(g) ASSUMPTION OF LIABILITIES.—Any public utility company that is an associate com-

pany, or an affiliate, of a registered holding company and that is subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission with respect to its retail electric or gas rates shall not issue any security for the purpose of financing the acquisition, ownership, or operation of an exempt telecommunications company. Any public utility company that is an associate company, or an affiliate, of a registered holding company and that is subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission with respect to its retail electric or gas rates shall not assume any obligation or liability as guarantor, endorser, surety, or otherwise by the public utility company in respect of any security of an exempt telecommunications company.

“(h) PLEDGING OR MORTGAGING OF ASSETS.—Any public utility company that is an associate company, or affiliate, of a registered holding company and that is subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission with respect to its retail electric or gas rates shall not pledge, mortgage, or otherwise use as collateral any assets of the public utility company or assets of any subsidiary company thereof for the benefit of an exempt telecommunications company.

“(i) PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSIVE AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS.—A public utility company may enter into a contract to purchase services or products described in subsection (a)(1) from an exempt telecommunications company that is an affiliate or associate company of the public utility company only if—

“(1) every State commission having jurisdiction over the retail rates of such public utility company approves such contract; or

“(2) such public utility company is not subject to State commission retail rate regulation and the purchased services or products—

“(A) would not be resold to any affiliate or associate company; or

“(B) would be resold to an affiliate or associate company and every State commission having jurisdiction over the retail rates of such affiliate or associate company makes the determination required by subparagraph (A).

The requirements of this subsection shall not apply in any case in which the State or the State commission concerned publishes a notice that the State or State commission waives its authority under this subsection.

“(j) NONPREEMPTION OF RATE AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this Act shall preclude the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or a State commission from exercising its jurisdiction under otherwise applicable law to determine whether a public utility company may recover in rates the costs of products or services purchased from or sold to an associate company or affiliate that is an exempt telecommunications company, regardless of whether such costs are incurred through the direct or indirect purchase or sale of products or services from such associate company or affiliate.

“(k) RECIPROCAL ARRANGEMENTS PROHIBITED.—Reciprocal arrangements among companies that are not affiliates or associate companies of each other that are entered into in order to avoid the provisions of this section are prohibited.

“(l) BOOKS AND RECORDS.—(1) Upon written order of a State commission, a State commission may examine the books, accounts, memoranda, contracts, and records of—

“(A) a public utility company subject to its regulatory authority under State law;

“(B) any exempt telecommunications company selling products or services to such public utility company or to an associate company of such public utility company; and

“(C) any associate company or affiliate of an exempt telecommunications company

which sells products or services to a public utility company referred to in subparagraph (A),

wherever located, if such examination is required for the effective discharge of the State commission's regulatory responsibilities affecting the provision of electric or gas service in connection with the activities of such exempt telecommunications company.

“(2) Where a State commission issues an order pursuant to paragraph (1), the State commission shall not publicly disclose trade secrets or sensitive commercial information.

“(3) Any United States district court located in the State in which the State commission referred to in paragraph (1) is located shall have jurisdiction to enforce compliance with this subsection.

“(4) Nothing in this section shall—

“(A) preempt applicable State law concerning the provision of records and other information; or

“(B) in any way limit rights to obtain records and other information under Federal law, contracts, or otherwise.

“(m) INDEPENDENT AUDIT AUTHORITY FOR STATE COMMISSIONS.—

“(1) STATE MAY ORDER AUDIT.—Any State commission with jurisdiction over a public utility company that—

“(A) is an associate company of a registered holding company; and

“(B) transacts business, directly or indirectly, with a subsidiary company, an affiliate or an associate company that is an exempt telecommunications company,

may order an independent audit to be performed, no more frequently than on an annual basis, of all matters deemed relevant by the selected auditor that reasonably relate to retail rates: *Provided*, That such matters relate, directly or indirectly, to transactions or transfers between the public utility company subject to its jurisdiction and such exempt telecommunications company.

“(2) SELECTION OF FIRM TO CONDUCT AUDIT.—(A) If a State commission orders an audit in accordance with paragraph (1), the public utility company and the State commission shall jointly select, within 60 days, a firm to perform the audit. The firm selected to perform the audit shall possess demonstrated qualifications relating to—

“(i) competency, including adequate technical training and professional proficiency in each discipline necessary to carry out the audit; and

“(ii) independence and objectivity, including that the firm be free from personal or external impairments to independence, and should assume an independent position with the State commission and auditee, making certain that the audit is based upon an impartial consideration of all pertinent facts and responsible opinions.

“(B) The public utility company and the exempt telecommunications company shall cooperate fully with all reasonable requests necessary to perform the audit and the public utility company shall bear all costs of having the audit performed.

“(3) AVAILABILITY OF AUDITOR'S REPORT.—The auditor's report shall be provided to the State commission not later than 6 months after the selection of the auditor, and provided to the public utility company not later than 60 days thereafter.

“(n) APPLICABILITY OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS REGULATION.—Nothing in this section shall affect the authority of the Federal Communications Commission under the Communications Act of 1934, or the authority of State commissions under State laws concerning the provision of telecommunications services, to regulate the activities of an exempt telecommunications company.”

SEC. 104. NONDISCRIMINATION PRINCIPLE.

Section 1 (47 U.S.C. 151) is amended by inserting after “to all the people of the United

States” the following: “, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex.”

Subtitle B—Special Provisions Concerning Bell Operating Companies

SEC. 151. BELL OPERATING COMPANY PROVISIONS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PART III OF TITLE II.—Title II is amended by adding at the end of part II (as added by section 101) the following new part:

“PART III—SPECIAL PROVISIONS CONCERNING BELL OPERATING COMPANIES

“SEC. 271. BELL OPERATING COMPANY ENTRY INTO INTERLATA SERVICES.

“(a) GENERAL LIMITATION.—Neither a Bell operating company, nor any affiliate of a Bell operating company, may provide interLATA services except as provided in this section.

“(b) INTERLATA SERVICES TO WHICH THIS SECTION APPLIES.—

“(1) IN-REGION SERVICES.—A Bell operating company, or any affiliate of that Bell operating company, may provide interLATA services originating in any of its in-region States (as defined in subsection (i)) if the Commission approves the application of such company for such State under subsection (d)(3).

“(2) OUT-OF-REGION SERVICES.—A Bell operating company, or any affiliate of that Bell operating company, may provide interLATA services originating outside its in-region States after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, subject to subsection (j).

“(3) INCIDENTAL INTERLATA SERVICES.—A Bell operating company, or any affiliate of a Bell operating company, may provide incidental interLATA services (as defined in subsection (g)) originating in any State after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(4) TERMINATION.—Nothing in this section prohibits a Bell operating company or any of its affiliates from providing termination for interLATA services, subject to subsection (j).

“(c) REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDING CERTAIN IN-REGION INTERLATA SERVICES.—

“(1) AGREEMENT OR STATEMENT.—A Bell operating company meets the requirements of this paragraph if it meets the requirements of subparagraph (A) or subparagraph (B) of this paragraph for each State for which the authorization is sought.

“(A) PRESENCE OF A FACILITIES-BASED COMPETITOR.—A Bell operating company meets the requirements of this subparagraph if it has entered into one or more binding agreements that have been approved under section 252 specifying the terms and conditions under which the Bell operating company is providing access and interconnection to its network facilities for the network facilities of one or more unaffiliated competing providers of telephone exchange service (as defined in section 3(47)(A)), but excluding exchange access) to residential and business subscribers. For the purpose of this subparagraph, such telephone exchange service may be offered by such competing providers either exclusively over their own telephone exchange service facilities or predominantly over their own telephone exchange service facilities in combination with the resale of the telecommunications services of another carrier. For the purpose of this subparagraph, services provided pursuant to subpart K of part 22 of the Commission's regulations (47 C.F.R. 22.901 et seq.) shall not be considered to be telephone exchange services.

“(B) FAILURE TO REQUEST ACCESS.—A Bell operating company meets the requirements of this subparagraph if, after 10 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, no such provider has re-

quested the access and interconnection described in subparagraph (A) before the date which is 3 months before the date the company makes its application under subsection (d)(1), and a statement of the terms and conditions that the company generally offers to provide such access and interconnection has been approved or permitted to take effect by the State commission under section 252(f). For purposes of this subparagraph, a Bell operating company shall be considered not to have received any request for access and interconnection if the State commission of such State certifies that the only provider or providers making such a request have (i) failed to negotiate in good faith as required by section 252, or (ii) violated the terms of an agreement approved under section 252 by the provider's failure to comply, within a reasonable period of time, with the implementation schedule contained in such agreement.

(2) SPECIFIC INTERCONNECTION REQUIREMENTS.—

(A) AGREEMENT REQUIRED.—A Bell operating company meets the requirements of this paragraph if, within the State for which the authorization is sought—

“(i) such company is providing access and interconnection pursuant to one or more agreements described in paragraph (1)(A), or

“(ii) such company is generally offering access and interconnection pursuant to a statement described in paragraph (1)(B), and

“(iii) such access and interconnection meets the requirements of subparagraph (B) of this paragraph.

(B) COMPETITIVE CHECKLIST.—Access or interconnection provided or generally offered by a Bell operating company to other telecommunications carriers meets the requirements of this subparagraph if such access and interconnection includes each of the following:

“(i) Interconnection in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(2) and 252(d)(1).

“(ii) Nondiscriminatory access to network elements in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(3) and 252(d)(1).

“(iii) Nondiscriminatory access to the poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way owned or controlled by the Bell operating company at just and reasonable rates in accordance with the requirements of section 224.

“(iv) Local loop transmission from the central office to the customer's premises, unbundled from local switching or other services.

“(v) Local transport from the trunk side of a wireline local exchange carrier switch unbundled from switching or other services.

“(vi) Local switching unbundled from transport, local loop transmission, or other services.

“(vii) Nondiscriminatory access to—

“(I) 911 and E911 services;

“(II) directory assistance services to allow the other carrier's customers to obtain telephone numbers; and

“(III) operator call completion services.

“(viii) White pages directory listings for customers of the other carrier's telephone exchange service.

“(ix) Until the date by which telecommunications numbering administration guidelines, plan, or rules are established, nondiscriminatory access to telephone numbers for assignment to the other carrier's telephone exchange service customers. After that date, compliance with such guidelines, plan, or rules.

“(x) Nondiscriminatory access to databases and associated signaling necessary for call routing and completion.

“(xi) Until the date by which the commission issues regulations pursuant to section 251 to require number portability, interim telecommunications number portability

through remote call forwarding, direct inward dialing trunks, or other comparable arrangements, with as little impairment of functioning, quality, reliability, and convenience as possible. After that date, full compliance with such regulations.

“(xii) Nondiscriminatory access to such services or information as are necessary to allow the requesting carrier to implement local dialing parity in accordance with the requirements of section 251(b)(3).

“(xiii) Reciprocal compensation arrangements in accordance with the requirements of section 252(d)(2).

“(xiv) Telecommunications services are available for resale in accordance with the requirements of sections 251(c)(4) and 252(d)(3).

(d) ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS.—

(1) APPLICATION TO COMMISSION.—On and after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, a Bell operating company or its affiliate may apply to the Commission for authorization to provide interLATA services originating in any in-region State. The application shall identify each State for which the authorization is sought.

(2) CONSULTATION.—

(A) CONSULTATION WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.—The Commission shall notify the Attorney General promptly of any application under paragraph (1). Before making any determination under this subsection, the Commission shall consult with the Attorney General, and if the Attorney General submits any comments in writing, such comments shall be included in the record of the Commission's decision. In consulting with and submitting comments to the Commission under this paragraph, the Attorney General shall provide to the Commission an evaluation of the application using any standard the Attorney General considers appropriate. The Commission shall give substantial weight to the Attorney General's evaluation, but such evaluation shall not have any preclusive effect on any Commission decision under paragraph (3).

(B) CONSULTATION WITH STATE COMMISSIONS.—Before making any determination under this subsection, the Commission shall consult with the State commission of any State that is the subject of the application in order to verify the compliance of the Bell operating company with the requirements of subsection (c).

(3) DETERMINATION.—Not later than 90 days after receiving an application under paragraph (1), the Commission shall issue a written determination approving or denying the authorization requested in the application for each State. The Commission shall not approve the authorization requested in an application submitted under paragraph (1) unless it finds that—

“(A) the petitioning Bell operating company has met the requirements of subsection (c)(1) and—

“(i) with respect to access and interconnection provided pursuant to subsection (c)(1)(A), has fully implemented the competitive checklist in subsection (c)(2)(B); or

“(ii) with respect to access and interconnection generally offered pursuant to a statement under subsection (c)(1)(B), such statement offers all of the items included in the competitive checklist in subsection (c)(2)(B);

“(B) the requested authorization will be carried out in accordance with the requirements of section 272; and

“(C) the requested authorization is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

The Commission shall state the basis for its approval or denial of the application.

(4) LIMITATION ON COMMISSION.—The Commission may not, by rule or otherwise, limit

or extend the terms used in the competitive checklist set forth in subsection (c)(2)(B).

(5) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days after issuing a determination under paragraph (3), the Commission shall publish in the Federal Register a brief description of the determination.

(6) ENFORCEMENT OF CONDITIONS.—

(A) COMMISSION AUTHORITY.—If at any time after the approval of an application under paragraph (3), the Commission determines that a Bell operating company has ceased to meet any of the conditions required for such approval, the Commission may, after notice and opportunity for a hearing—

“(i) issue an order to such company to correct the deficiency;

“(ii) impose a penalty on such company pursuant to title V; or

“(iii) suspend or revoke such approval.

(B) RECEIPT AND REVIEW OF COMPLAINTS.—The Commission shall establish procedures for the review of complaints concerning failures by Bell operating companies to meet conditions required for approval under paragraph (3). Unless the parties otherwise agree, the Commission shall act on such complaint within 90 days.

(e) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) JOINT MARKETING OF LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE SERVICES.—Until a Bell operating company is authorized pursuant to subsection (d) to provide interLATA services in an in-region State, or until 36 months have passed since the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, whichever is earlier, a telecommunications carrier that serves greater than 5 percent of the Nation's presubscribed access lines may not jointly market in such State telephone exchange service obtained from such company pursuant to section 251(c)(4) with interLATA services offered by that telecommunications carrier.

(2) INTRALATA TOLL DIALING PARITY.—

(A) PROVISION REQUIRED.—A Bell operating company granted authority to provide interLATA services under subsection (d) shall provide intraLATA toll dialing parity throughout that State coincident with its exercise of that authority.

(B) LIMITATION.—Except for single-LATA States and States that have issued an order by December 19, 1995, requiring a Bell operating company to implement intraLATA toll dialing parity, a State may not require a Bell operating company to implement intraLATA toll dialing parity in that State before a Bell operating company has been granted authority under this section to provide interLATA services originating in that State or before 3 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, whichever is earlier. Nothing in this subparagraph precludes a State from issuing an order requiring intraLATA toll dialing parity in that State prior to either such date so long as such order does not take effect until after the earlier of either such dates.

(f) EXCEPTION FOR PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—Neither subsection (a) nor section 273 shall prohibit a Bell operating company or affiliate from engaging, at any time after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, in any activity to the extent authorized by, and subject to the terms and conditions contained in, an order entered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia pursuant to section VII or VIII(C) of the AT&T Consent Decree if such order was entered on or before such date of enactment, to the extent such order is not reversed or vacated on appeal. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit, or to impose terms or conditions on, an activity in which a Bell operating company is otherwise authorized to en-

gage under any other provision of this section.

“(g) DEFINITION OF INCIDENTAL INTERLATA SERVICES.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘incidental interLATA services’ means the interLATA provision by a Bell operating company or its affiliate—

“(1)(A) of audio programming, video programming, or other programming services to subscribers to such services of such company or affiliate;

“(B) of the capability for interaction by such subscribers to select or respond to such audio programming, video programming, or other programming services;

“(C) to distributors of audio programming or video programming that such company or affiliate owns or controls, or is licensed by the copyright owner of such programming (or by an assignee of such owner) to distribute; or

“(D) of alarm monitoring services;

“(2) of two-way interactive video services or Internet services over dedicated facilities to or for elementary and secondary schools as defined in section 254(h)(5);

“(3) of commercial mobile services in accordance with section 332(c) of this Act and with the regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to paragraph (8) of such section;

“(4) of a service that permits a customer that is located in one LATA to retrieve stored information from, or file information for storage in, information storage facilities of such company that are located in another LATA;

“(5) of signaling information used in connection with the provision of telephone exchange services or exchange access by a local exchange carrier; or

“(6) of network control signaling information to, and receipt of such signaling information from, common carriers offering interLATA services at any location within the area in which such Bell operating company provides telephone exchange services or exchange access.

“(h) LIMITATIONS.—The provisions of subsection (g) are intended to be narrowly construed. The interLATA services provided under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (g)(1) are limited to those interLATA transmissions incidental to the provision by a Bell operating company or its affiliate of video, audio, and other programming services that the company or its affiliate is engaged in providing to the public. The Commission shall ensure that the provision of services authorized under subsection (g) by a Bell operating company or its affiliate will not adversely affect telephone exchange service ratepayers or competition in any telecommunications market.

“(i) ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

“(1) IN-REGION STATE.—The term ‘in-region State’ means a State in which a Bell operating company or any of its affiliates was authorized to provide wireline telephone exchange service pursuant to the reorganization plan approved under the AT&T Consent Decree, as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(2) AUDIO PROGRAMMING SERVICES.—The term ‘audio programming services’ means programming provided by, or generally considered to be comparable to programming provided by, a radio broadcast station.

“(3) VIDEO PROGRAMMING SERVICES; OTHER PROGRAMMING SERVICES.—The terms ‘video programming service’ and ‘other programming services’ have the same meanings as such terms have under section 602 of this Act.

“(j) CERTAIN SERVICE APPLICATIONS TREATED AS IN-REGION SERVICE APPLICATIONS.—For purposes of this section, a Bell operating

company application to provide 800 service, private line service, or their equivalents that—

“(1) terminate in an in-region State of that Bell operating company, and

“(2) allow the called party to determine the interLATA carrier,

shall be considered an in-region service subject to the requirements of subsection (b)(1).

“SEC. 272. SEPARATE AFFILIATE; SAFEGUARDS.

“(a) SEPARATE AFFILIATE REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE ACTIVITIES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A Bell operating company (including any affiliate) which is a local exchange carrier that is subject to the requirements of section 251(c) may not provide any service described in paragraph (2) unless it provides that service through one or more affiliates that—

“(A) are separate from any operating company entity that is subject to the requirements of section 251(c); and

“(B) meet the requirements of subsection (b).

“(2) SERVICES FOR WHICH A SEPARATE AFFILIATE IS REQUIRED.—The services for which a separate affiliate is required by paragraph (1) are:

“(A) Manufacturing activities (as defined in section 273(h)).

“(B) Origination of interLATA telecommunications services, other than—

“(i) incidental interLATA services described in paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (5), and (6) of section 271(g);

“(ii) out-of-region services described in section 271(b)(2); or

“(iii) previously authorized activities described in section 271(f).

“(C) InterLATA information services, other than electronic publishing (as defined in section 274(h)) and alarm monitoring services (as defined in section 275(e)).

“(b) STRUCTURAL AND TRANSACTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—The separate affiliate required by this section—

“(1) shall operate independently from the Bell operating company;

“(2) shall maintain books, records, and accounts in the manner prescribed by the Commission which shall be separate from the books, records, and accounts maintained by the Bell operating company of which it is an affiliate;

“(3) shall have separate officers, directors, and employees from the Bell operating company of which it is an affiliate;

“(4) may not obtain credit under any arrangement that would permit a creditor, upon default, to have recourse to the assets of the Bell operating company; and

“(5) shall conduct all transactions with the Bell operating company of which it is an affiliate on an arm's length basis with any such transactions reduced to writing and available for public inspection.

“(c) NONDISCRIMINATION SAFEGUARDS.—In its dealings with its affiliate described in subsection (a), a Bell operating company—

“(1) may not discriminate between that company or affiliate and any other entity in the provision or procurement of goods, services, facilities, and information, or in the establishment of standards; and

“(2) shall account for all transactions with an affiliate described in subsection (a) in accordance with accounting principles designated or approved by the Commission.

“(d) BIENNIAL AUDIT.—

“(1) GENERAL REQUIREMENT.—A company required to operate a separate affiliate under this section shall obtain and pay for a joint Federal/State audit every 2 years conducted by an independent auditor to determine whether such company has complied with this section and the regulations promulgated under this section, and particularly whether such company has complied with the sepa-

rate accounting requirements under subsection (b).

“(2) RESULTS SUBMITTED TO COMMISSION; STATE COMMISSIONS.—The auditor described in paragraph (1) shall submit the results of the audit to the Commission and to the State commission of each State in which the company audited provides service, which shall make such results available for public inspection. Any party may submit comments on the final audit report.

“(3) ACCESS TO DOCUMENTS.—For purposes of conducting audits and reviews under this subsection—

“(A) the independent auditor, the Commission, and the State commission shall have access to the financial accounts and records of each company and of its affiliates necessary to verify transactions conducted with that company that are relevant to the specific activities permitted under this section and that are necessary for the regulation of rates;

“(B) the Commission and the State commission shall have access to the working papers and supporting materials of any auditor who performs an audit under this section; and

“(C) the State commission shall implement appropriate procedures to ensure the protection of any proprietary information submitted to it under this section.

“(e) FULFILLMENT OF CERTAIN REQUESTS.—A Bell operating company and an affiliate that is subject to the requirements of section 251(c)—

“(1) shall fulfill any requests from an unaffiliated entity for telephone exchange service and exchange access within a period no longer than the period in which it provides such telephone exchange service and exchange access to itself or to its affiliates;

“(2) shall not provide any facilities, services, or information concerning its provision of exchange access to the affiliate described in subsection (a) unless such facilities, services, or information are made available to other providers of interLATA services in that market on the same terms and conditions;

“(3) shall charge the affiliate described in subsection (a), or impute to itself (if using the access for its provision of its own services), an amount for access to its telephone exchange service and exchange access that is no less than the amount charged to any unaffiliated interexchange carriers for such service; and

“(4) may provide any interLATA or intraLATA facilities or services to its interLATA affiliate if such services or facilities are made available to all carriers at the same rates and on the same terms and conditions, and so long as the costs are appropriately allocated.

“(f) SUNSET.—

“(1) MANUFACTURING AND LONG DISTANCE.—The provisions of this section (other than subsection (e)) shall cease to apply with respect to the manufacturing activities or the interLATA telecommunications services of a Bell operating company 3 years after the date such Bell operating company or any Bell operating company affiliate is authorized to provide interLATA telecommunications services under section 271(d), unless the Commission extends such 3-year period by rule or order.

“(2) INTERLATA INFORMATION SERVICES.—The provisions of this section (other than subsection (e)) shall cease to apply with respect to the interLATA information services of a Bell operating company 4 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, unless the Commission extends such 4-year period by rule or order.

“(3) PRESERVATION OF EXISTING AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit the authority of the Commis-

sion under any other section of this Act to prescribe safeguards consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

“(g) JOINT MARKETING.—

“(1) AFFILIATE SALES OF TELEPHONE EXCHANGE SERVICES.—A Bell operating company affiliate required by this section may not market or sell telephone exchange services provided by the Bell operating company unless that company permits other entities offering the same or similar service to market and sell its telephone exchange services.

“(2) BELL OPERATING COMPANY SALES OF AFFILIATE SERVICES.—A Bell operating company may not market or sell interLATA service provided by an affiliate required by this section within any of its in-region States until such company is authorized to provide interLATA services in such State under section 271(d).

“(3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—The joint marketing and sale of services permitted under this subsection shall not be considered to violate the nondiscrimination provisions of subsection (c).

“(h) TRANSITION.—With respect to any activity in which a Bell operating company is engaged on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, such company shall have one year from such date of enactment to comply with the requirements of this section.

“SEC. 273. MANUFACTURING BY BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.

“(a) AUTHORIZATION.—A Bell operating company may manufacture and provide telecommunications equipment, and manufacture customer premises equipment, if the Commission authorizes that Bell operating company or any Bell operating company affiliate to provide interLATA services under section 271(d), subject to the requirements of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, except that neither a Bell operating company nor any of its affiliates may engage in such manufacturing in conjunction with a Bell operating company not so affiliated or any of its affiliates.

“(b) COLLABORATION; RESEARCH AND ROYALTY AGREEMENTS.—

“(1) COLLABORATION.—Subsection (a) shall not prohibit a Bell operating company from engaging in close collaboration with any manufacturer of customer premises equipment or telecommunications equipment during the design and development of hardware, software, or combinations thereof related to such equipment.

“(2) CERTAIN RESEARCH ARRANGEMENTS; ROYALTY AGREEMENTS.—Subsection (a) shall not prohibit a Bell operating company from—

“(A) engaging in research activities related to manufacturing, and

“(B) entering into royalty agreements with manufacturers of telecommunications equipment.

“(c) INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS.—

“(1) INFORMATION ON PROTOCOLS AND TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS.—Each Bell operating company shall, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Commission, maintain and file with the Commission full and complete information with respect to the protocols and technical requirements for connection with and use of its telephone exchange service facilities. Each such company shall report promptly to the Commission any material changes or planned changes to such protocols and requirements, and the schedule for implementation of such changes or planned changes.

“(2) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—A Bell operating company shall not disclose any information required to be filed under paragraph (1) unless that information has been filed promptly, as required by regulation by the Commission.

“(3) ACCESS BY COMPETITORS TO INFORMATION.—The Commission may prescribe such additional regulations under this subsection as may be necessary to ensure that manufacturers have access to the information with respect to the protocols and technical requirements for connection with and use of telephone exchange service facilities that a Bell operating company makes available to any manufacturing affiliate or any unaffiliated manufacturer.

“(4) PLANNING INFORMATION.—Each Bell operating company shall provide, to interconnecting carriers providing telephone exchange service, timely information on the planned deployment of telecommunications equipment.

“(d) MANUFACTURING LIMITATIONS FOR STANDARD-SETTING ORGANIZATIONS.—

“(1) APPLICATION TO BELL COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH OR MANUFACTURERS.—Bell Communications Research, Inc., or any successor entity or affiliate—

“(A) shall not be considered a Bell operating company or a successor or assign of a Bell operating company at such time as it is no longer an affiliate of any Bell operating company; and

“(B) notwithstanding paragraph (3), shall not engage in manufacturing telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment as long as it is an affiliate of more than 1 otherwise unaffiliated Bell operating company or successor or assign of any such company.

Nothing in this subsection prohibits Bell Communications Research, Inc., or any successor entity, from engaging in any activity in which it is lawfully engaged on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Nothing provided in this subsection shall render Bell Communications Research, Inc., or any successor entity, a common carrier under title II of this Act. Nothing in this subsection restricts any manufacturer from engaging in any activity in which it is lawfully engaged on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(2) PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.—Any entity which establishes standards for telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment, or generic network requirements for such equipment, or certifies telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment, shall be prohibited from releasing or otherwise using any proprietary information, designated as such by its owner, in its possession as a result of such activity, for any purpose other than purposes authorized in writing by the owner of such information, even after such entity ceases to be so engaged.

“(3) MANUFACTURING SAFEGUARDS.—(A) Except as prohibited in paragraph (1), and subject to paragraph (6), any entity which certifies telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment manufactured by an unaffiliated entity shall only manufacture a particular class of telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment for which it is undertaking or has undertaken, during the previous 18 months, certification activity for such class of equipment through a separate affiliate.

“(B) Such separate affiliate shall—

“(i) maintain books, records, and accounts separate from those of the entity that certifies such equipment, consistent with generally acceptable accounting principles;

“(ii) not engage in any joint manufacturing activities with such entity; and

“(iii) have segregated facilities and separate employees with such entity.

“(C) Such entity that certifies such equipment shall—

“(i) not discriminate in favor of its manufacturing affiliate in the establishment of standards, generic requirements, or product certification;

“(ii) not disclose to the manufacturing affiliate any proprietary information that has been received at any time from an unaffiliated manufacturer, unless authorized in writing by the owner of the information; and

“(iii) not permit any employee engaged in product certification for telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment to engage jointly in sales or marketing of any such equipment with the affiliated manufacturer.

“(4) STANDARD-SETTING ENTITIES.—Any entity that is not an accredited standards development organization and that establishes industry-wide standards for telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment, or industry-wide generic network requirements for such equipment, or that certifies telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment manufactured by an unaffiliated entity, shall—

“(A) establish and publish any industry-wide standard for, industry-wide generic requirement for, or any substantial modification of an existing industry-wide standard or industry-wide generic requirement for, telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment only in compliance with the following procedure:

“(i) such entity shall issue a public notice of its consideration of a proposed industry-wide standard or industry-wide generic requirement;

“(ii) such entity shall issue a public invitation to interested industry parties to fund and participate in such efforts on a reasonable and nondiscriminatory basis, administered in such a manner as not to unreasonably exclude any interested industry party;

“(iii) such entity shall publish a text for comment by such parties as have agreed to participate in the process pursuant to clause (ii), provide such parties a full opportunity to submit comments, and respond to comments from such parties;

“(iv) such entity shall publish a final text of the industry-wide standard or industry-wide generic requirement, including the comments in their entirety, of any funding party which requests to have its comments so published; and

“(v) such entity shall attempt, prior to publishing a text for comment, to agree with the funding parties as a group on a mutually satisfactory dispute resolution process which such parties shall utilize as their sole recourse in the event of a dispute on technical issues as to which there is disagreement between any funding party and the entity conducting such activities, except that if no dispute resolution process is agreed to by all the parties, a funding party may utilize the dispute resolution procedures established pursuant to paragraph (5) of this subsection;

“(B) engage in product certification for telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment manufactured by unaffiliated entities only if—

“(i) such activity is performed pursuant to published criteria;

“(ii) such activity is performed pursuant to auditable criteria; and

“(iii) such activity is performed pursuant to available industry-accepted testing methods and standards, where applicable, unless otherwise agreed upon by the parties funding and performing such activity;

“(C) not undertake any actions to monopolize or attempt to monopolize the market for such services; and

“(D) not preferentially treat its own telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment, or that of its affiliate, over that of any other entity in establishing and publishing industry-wide standards or industry-wide generic requirements for, and in certification of, telecommunications equipment and customer premises equipment.

“(5) ALTERNATE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.—Within 90 days after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall prescribe a dispute resolution process to be utilized in the event that a dispute resolution process is not agreed upon by all the parties when establishing and publishing any industry-wide standard or industry-wide generic requirement for telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment, pursuant to paragraph (4)(A)(v). The Commission shall not establish itself as a party to the dispute resolution process. Such dispute resolution process shall permit any funding party to resolve a dispute with the entity conducting the activity that significantly affects such funding party’s interests, in an open, nondiscriminatory, and unbiased fashion, within 30 days after the filing of such dispute. Such disputes may be filed within 15 days after the date the funding party receives a response to its comments from the entity conducting the activity. The Commission shall establish penalties to be assessed for delays caused by referral of frivolous disputes to the dispute resolution process.

“(6) SUNSET.—The requirements of paragraphs (3) and (4) shall terminate for the particular relevant activity when the Commission determines that there are alternative sources of industry-wide standards, industry-wide generic requirements, or product certification for a particular class of telecommunications equipment or customer premises equipment available in the United States. Alternative sources shall be deemed to exist when such sources provide commercially viable alternatives that are providing such services to customers. The Commission shall act on any application for such a determination within 90 days after receipt of such application, and shall receive public comment on such application.

“(7) ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY.—For the purposes of administering this subsection and the regulations prescribed thereunder, the Commission shall have the same remedial authority as the Commission has in administering and enforcing the provisions of this title with respect to any common carrier subject to this Act.

“(8) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘affiliate’ shall have the same meaning as in section 3 of this Act, except that, for purposes of paragraph (1)(B)—

“(i) an aggregate voting equity interest in Bell Communications Research, Inc., of at least 5 percent of its total voting equity, owned directly or indirectly by more than 1 otherwise unaffiliated Bell operating company, shall constitute an affiliate relationship; and

“(ii) a voting equity interest in Bell Communications Research, Inc., by any otherwise unaffiliated Bell operating company of less than 1 percent of Bell Communications Research’s total voting equity shall not be considered to be an equity interest under this paragraph.

“(B) The term ‘generic requirement’ means a description of acceptable product attributes for use by local exchange carriers in establishing product specifications for the purchase of telecommunications equipment, customer premises equipment, and software integral thereto.

“(C) The term ‘industry-wide’ means activities funded by or performed on behalf of local exchange carriers for use in providing wireline telephone exchange service whose combined total of deployed access lines in the United States constitutes at least 30 percent of all access lines deployed by telecommunications carriers in the United States as of the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(D) The term ‘certification’ means any technical process whereby a party determines whether a product, for use by more than one local exchange carrier, conforms with the specified requirements pertaining to such product.

“(E) The term ‘accredited standards development organization’ means an entity composed of industry members which has been accredited by an institution vested with the responsibility for standards accreditation by the industry.

“(e) BELL OPERATING COMPANY EQUIPMENT PROCUREMENT AND SALES.—

“(1) NONDISCRIMINATION STANDARDS FOR MANUFACTURING.—In the procurement or awarding of supply contracts for telecommunications equipment, a Bell operating company, or any entity acting on its behalf, for the duration of the requirement for a separate subsidiary including manufacturing under this Act—

“(A) shall consider such equipment, produced or supplied by unrelated persons; and

“(B) may not discriminate in favor of equipment produced or supplied by an affiliate or related person.

“(2) PROCUREMENT STANDARDS.—Each Bell operating company or any entity acting on its behalf shall make procurement decisions and award all supply contracts for equipment, services, and software on the basis of an objective assessment of price, quality, delivery, and other commercial factors.

“(3) NETWORK PLANNING AND DESIGN.—A Bell operating company shall, to the extent consistent with the antitrust laws, engage in joint network planning and design with local exchange carriers operating in the same area of interest. No participant in such planning shall be allowed to delay the introduction of new technology or the deployment of facilities to provide telecommunications services, and agreement with such other carriers shall not be required as a prerequisite for such introduction or deployment.

“(4) SALES RESTRICTIONS.—Neither a Bell operating company engaged in manufacturing nor a manufacturing affiliate of such a company shall restrict sales to any local exchange carrier of telecommunications equipment, including software integral to the operation of such equipment and related upgrades.

“(5) PROTECTION OF PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.—A Bell operating company and any entity it owns or otherwise controls shall protect the proprietary information submitted for procurement decisions from release not specifically authorized by the owner of such information.

“(f) ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY.—For the purposes of administering and enforcing the provisions of this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder, the Commission shall have the same authority, power, and functions with respect to any Bell operating company or any affiliate thereof as the Commission has in administering and enforcing the provisions of this title with respect to any common carrier subject to this Act.

“(g) ADDITIONAL RULES AND REGULATIONS.—The Commission may prescribe such additional rules and regulations as the Commission determines are necessary to carry out the provisions of this section, and otherwise to prevent discrimination and cross-subsidization in a Bell operating company’s dealings with its affiliate and with third parties.

“(h) DEFINITION.—As used in this section, the term ‘manufacturing’ has the same meaning as such term has under the AT&T Consent Decree.

“SEC. 274. ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING BY BELL OPERATING COMPANIES.

“(a) LIMITATIONS.—No Bell operating company or any affiliate may engage in the pro-

vision of electronic publishing that is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company’s or any of its affiliates’ basic telephone service, except that nothing in this section shall prohibit a separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture operated in accordance with this section from engaging in the provision of electronic publishing.

“(b) SEPARATED AFFILIATE OR ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING JOINT VENTURE REQUIREMENTS.—A separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture shall be operated independently from the Bell operating company. Such separated affiliate or joint venture and the Bell operating company with which it is affiliated shall—

“(1) maintain separate books, records, and accounts and prepare separate financial statements;

“(2) not incur debt in a manner that would permit a creditor of the separated affiliate or joint venture upon default to have recourse to the assets of the Bell operating company;

“(3) carry out transactions (A) in a manner consistent with such independence, (B) pursuant to written contracts or tariffs that are filed with the Commission and made publicly available, and (C) in a manner that is auditable in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards;

“(4) value any assets that are transferred directly or indirectly from the Bell operating company to a separated affiliate or joint venture, and record any transactions by which such assets are transferred, in accordance with such regulations as may be prescribed by the Commission or a State commission to prevent improper cross subsidies;

“(5) between a separated affiliate and a Bell operating company—

“(A) have no officers, directors, and employees in common after the effective date of this section; and

“(B) own no property in common;

“(6) not use for the marketing of any product or service of the separated affiliate or joint venture, the name, trademarks, or service marks of an existing Bell operating company except for names, trademarks, or service marks that are owned by the entity that owns or controls the Bell operating company;

“(7) not permit the Bell operating company—

“(A) to perform hiring or training of personnel on behalf of a separated affiliate;

“(B) to perform the purchasing, installation, or maintenance of equipment on behalf of a separated affiliate, except for telephone service that it provides under tariff or contract subject to the provisions of this section; or

“(C) to perform research and development on behalf of a separated affiliate;

“(8) each have performed annually a compliance review—

“(A) that is conducted by an independent entity for the purpose of determining compliance during the preceding calendar year with any provision of this section; and

“(B) the results of which are maintained by the separated affiliate or joint venture and the Bell operating company for a period of 5 years subject to review by any lawful authority; and

“(9) within 90 days of receiving a review described in paragraph (8), file a report of any exceptions and corrective action with the Commission and allow any person to inspect and copy such report subject to reasonable safeguards to protect any proprietary information contained in such report from being used for purposes other than to enforce or pursue remedies under this section.

“(c) JOINT MARKETING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2)—

“(A) a Bell operating company shall not carry out any promotion, marketing, sales, or advertising for or in conjunction with a separated affiliate; and

“(B) a Bell operating company shall not carry out any promotion, marketing, sales, or advertising for or in conjunction with an affiliate that is related to the provision of electronic publishing.

“(2) PERMISSIBLE JOINT ACTIVITIES.—

“(A) JOINT TELEMARKEETING.—A Bell operating company may provide inbound telemarketing or referral services related to the provision of electronic publishing for a separated affiliate, electronic publishing joint venture, affiliate, or unaffiliated electronic publisher, provided that if such services are provided to a separated affiliate, electronic publishing joint venture, or affiliate, such services shall be made available to all electronic publishers on request, on nondiscriminatory terms.

“(B) TEAMING ARRANGEMENTS.—A Bell operating company may engage in nondiscriminatory teaming or business arrangements to engage in electronic publishing with any separated affiliate or with any other electronic publisher if (i) the Bell operating company only provides facilities, services, and basic telephone service information as authorized by this section, and (ii) the Bell operating company does not own such teaming or business arrangement.

“(C) ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING JOINT VENTURES.—A Bell operating company or affiliate may participate on a nonexclusive basis in electronic publishing joint ventures with entities that are not a Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate to provide electronic publishing services, if the Bell operating company or affiliate has not more than a 50 percent direct or indirect equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) or the right to more than 50 percent of the gross revenues under a revenue sharing or royalty agreement in any electronic publishing joint venture. Officers and employees of a Bell operating company or affiliate participating in an electronic publishing joint venture may not have more than 50 percent of the voting control over the electronic publishing joint venture. In the case of joint ventures with small, local electronic publishers, the Commission for good cause shown may authorize the Bell operating company or affiliate to have a larger equity interest, revenue share, or voting control but not to exceed 80 percent. A Bell operating company participating in an electronic publishing joint venture may provide promotion, marketing, sales, or advertising personnel and services to such joint venture.

“(d) BELL OPERATING COMPANY REQUIREMENT.—A Bell operating company under common ownership or control with a separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture shall provide network access and interconnections for basic telephone service to electronic publishers at just and reasonable rates that are tariffed (so long as rates for such services are subject to regulation) and that are not higher on a per-unit basis than those charged for such services to any other electronic publisher or any separated affiliate engaged in electronic publishing.

“(e) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—

“(1) DAMAGES.—Any person claiming that any act or practice of any Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate constitutes a violation of this section may file a complaint with the Commission or bring suit as provided in section 207 of this Act, and such Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate shall be liable as provided in section 206 of this Act; except that damages may not be awarded for a violation that is discovered by a compliance review as required by subsection (b)(7) of this section and corrected within 90 days.

“(2) CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS.—In addition to the provisions of paragraph (1), any person claiming that any act or practice of any Bell operating company, affiliate, or separated affiliate constitutes a violation of this section may make application to the Commission for an order to cease and desist such violation or may make application in any district court of the United States of competent jurisdiction for an order enjoining such acts or practices or for an order compelling compliance with such requirement.

“(f) SEPARATED AFFILIATE REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Any separated affiliate under this section shall file with the Commission annual reports in a form substantially equivalent to the Form 10-K required by regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

“(g) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

“(1) TRANSITION.—Any electronic publishing service being offered to the public by a Bell operating company or affiliate on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 shall have one year from such date of enactment to comply with the requirements of this section.

“(2) SUNSET.—The provisions of this section shall not apply to conduct occurring after 4 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(h) DEFINITION OF ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘electronic publishing’ means the dissemination, provision, publication, or sale to an unaffiliated entity or person, of any one or more of the following: news (including sports); entertainment (other than interactive games); business, financial, legal, consumer, or credit materials; editorials, columns, or features; advertising; photos or images; archival or research material; legal notices or public records; scientific, educational, instructional, technical, professional, trade, or other literary materials; or other like or similar information.

“(2) EXCEPTIONS.—The term ‘electronic publishing’ shall not include the following services:

“(A) Information access, as that term is defined by the AT&T Consent Decree.

“(B) The transmission of information as a common carrier.

“(C) The transmission of information as part of a gateway to an information service that does not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information, including data transmission, address translation, protocol conversion, billing management, introductory information content, and navigational systems that enable users to access electronic publishing services, which do not affect the presentation of such electronic publishing services to users.

“(D) Voice storage and retrieval services, including voice messaging and electronic mail services.

“(E) Data processing or transaction processing services that do not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information.

“(F) Electronic billing or advertising of a Bell operating company’s regulated telecommunications services.

“(G) Language translation or data format conversion.

“(H) The provision of information necessary for the management, control, or operation of a telephone company telecommunications system.

“(I) The provision of directory assistance that provides names, addresses, and telephone numbers and does not include advertising.

“(J) Caller identification services.

“(K) Repair and provisioning databases and credit card and billing validation for telephone company operations.

“(L) 911-E and other emergency assistance databases.

“(M) Any other network service of a type that is like or similar to these network services and that does not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information.

“(N) Any upgrades to these network services that do not involve the generation or alteration of the content of information.

“(O) Video programming or full motion video entertainment on demand.

“(i) ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

“(1) The term ‘affiliate’ means any entity that, directly or indirectly, owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, a Bell operating company. Such term shall not include a separated affiliate.

“(2) The term ‘basic telephone service’ means any wireline telephone exchange service, or wireline telephone exchange service facility, provided by a Bell operating company in a telephone exchange area, except that such term does not include—

“(A) a competitive wireline telephone exchange service provided in a telephone exchange area where another entity provides a wireline telephone exchange service that was provided on January 1, 1984, or

“(B) a commercial mobile service.

“(3) The term ‘basic telephone service information’ means network and customer information of a Bell operating company and other information acquired by a Bell operating company as a result of its engaging in the provision of basic telephone service.

“(4) The term ‘control’ has the meaning that it has in 17 C.F.R. 240.12b-2, the regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) or any successor provision to such section.

“(5) The term ‘electronic publishing joint venture’ means a joint venture owned by a Bell operating company or affiliate that engages in the provision of electronic publishing which is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company’s or any of its affiliates’ basic telephone service.

“(6) The term ‘entity’ means any organization, and includes corporations, partnerships, sole proprietorships, associations, and joint ventures.

“(7) The term ‘inbound telemarketing’ means the marketing of property, goods, or services by telephone to a customer or potential customer who initiated the call.

“(8) The term ‘own’ with respect to an entity means to have a direct or indirect equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 10 percent of an entity, or the right to more than 10 percent of the gross revenues of an entity under a revenue sharing or royalty agreement.

“(9) The term ‘separated affiliate’ means a corporation under common ownership or control with a Bell operating company that does not own or control a Bell operating company and is not owned or controlled by a Bell operating company and that engages in the provision of electronic publishing which is disseminated by means of such Bell operating company’s or any of its affiliates’ basic telephone service.

“(10) The term ‘Bell operating company’ has the meaning provided in section 3, except that such term includes any entity or corporation that is owned or controlled by such a company (as so defined) but does not include an electronic publishing joint venture owned by such an entity or corporation.

“SEC. 275. ALARM MONITORING SERVICES.

“(a) DELAYED ENTRY INTO ALARM MONITORING.—

“(1) PROHIBITION.—No Bell operating company or affiliate thereof shall engage in the

provision of alarm monitoring services before the date which is 5 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(2) EXISTING ACTIVITIES.—Paragraph (1) does not prohibit or limit the provision, directly or through an affiliate, of alarm monitoring services by a Bell operating company that was engaged in providing alarm monitoring services as of November 30, 1995, directly or through an affiliate. Such Bell operating company or affiliate may not acquire any equity interest in, or obtain financial control of, any unaffiliated alarm monitoring service entity after November 30, 1995, and until 5 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, except that this sentence shall not prohibit an exchange of customers for the customers of an unaffiliated alarm monitoring service entity.

“(b) NONDISCRIMINATION.—An incumbent local exchange carrier (as defined in section 251(h)) engaged in the provision of alarm monitoring services shall—

“(1) provide nonaffiliated entities, upon reasonable request, with the network services it provides to its own alarm monitoring operations, on nondiscriminatory terms and conditions; and

“(2) not subsidize its alarm monitoring services either directly or indirectly from telephone exchange service operations.

“(c) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF COMPLAINTS.—The Commission shall establish procedures for the receipt and review of complaints concerning violations of subsection (b) or the regulations thereunder that result in material financial harm to a provider of alarm monitoring service. Such procedures shall ensure that the Commission will make a final determination with respect to any such complaint within 120 days after receipt of the complaint. If the complaint contains an appropriate showing that the alleged violation occurred, as determined by the Commission in accordance with such regulations, the Commission shall, within 60 days after receipt of the complaint, order the incumbent local exchange carrier (as defined in section 251(h)) and its affiliates to cease engaging in such violation pending such final determination.

“(d) USE OF DATA.—A local exchange carrier may not record or use in any fashion the occurrence or contents of calls received by providers of alarm monitoring services for the purposes of marketing such services on behalf of such local exchange carrier, or any other entity. Any regulations necessary to enforce this subsection shall be issued initially within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(e) DEFINITION OF ALARM MONITORING SERVICE.—The term ‘alarm monitoring service’ means a service that uses a device located at a residence, place of business, or other fixed premises—

“(1) to receive signals from other devices located at or about such premises regarding a possible threat at such premises to life, safety, or property, from burglary, fire, vandalism, bodily injury, or other emergency, and

“(2) to transmit a signal regarding such threat by means of transmission facilities of a local exchange carrier or one of its affiliates to a remote monitoring center to alert a person at such center of the need to inform the customer or another person or police, fire, rescue, security, or public safety personnel of such threat,

but does not include a service that uses a medical monitoring device attached to an individual for the automatic surveillance of an ongoing medical condition.

“SEC. 276. PROVISION OF PAYPHONE SERVICE.

“(a) NONDISCRIMINATION SAFEGUARDS.—After the effective date of the rules prescribed pursuant to subsection (b), any Bell operating company that provides payphone service—

“(1) shall not subsidize its payphone service directly or indirectly from its telephone exchange service operations or its exchange access operations; and

“(2) shall not prefer or discriminate in favor of its payphone service.

“(b) REGULATIONS.—

“(1) CONTENTS OF REGULATIONS.—In order to promote competition among payphone service providers and promote the widespread deployment of payphone services to the benefit of the general public, within 9 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall take all actions necessary (including any reconsideration) to prescribe regulations that—

“(A) establish a per call compensation plan to ensure that all payphone service providers are fairly compensated for each and every completed intrastate and interstate call using their payphone, except that emergency calls and telecommunications relay service calls for hearing disabled individuals shall not be subject to such compensation;

“(B) discontinue the intrastate and interstate carrier access charge payphone service elements and payments in effect on such date of enactment, and all intrastate and interstate payphone subsidies from basic exchange and exchange access revenues, in favor of a compensation plan as specified in subparagraph (A);

“(C) prescribe a set of nonstructural safeguards for Bell operating company payphone service to implement the provisions of paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a), which safeguards shall, at a minimum, include the nonstructural safeguards equal to those adopted in the Computer Inquiry-III (CC Docket No. 90-623) proceeding;

“(D) provide for Bell operating company payphone service providers to have the same right that independent payphone providers have to negotiate with the location provider on the location provider’s selecting and contracting with, and, subject to the terms of any agreement with the location provider, to select and contract with, the carriers that carry interLATA calls from their payphones, unless the Commission determines in the rulemaking pursuant to this section that it is not in the public interest; and

“(E) provide for all payphone service providers to have the right to negotiate with the location provider on the location provider’s selecting and contracting with, and, subject to the terms of any agreement with the location provider, to select and contract with, the carriers that carry intraLATA calls from their payphones.

“(2) PUBLIC INTEREST TELEPHONES.—In the rulemaking conducted pursuant to paragraph (1), the Commission shall determine whether public interest payphones, which are provided in the interest of public health, safety, and welfare, in locations where there would otherwise not be a payphone, should be maintained, and if so, ensure that such public interest payphones are supported fairly and equitably.

“(3) EXISTING CONTRACTS.—Nothing in this section shall affect any existing contracts between location providers and payphone service providers or interLATA or intraLATA carriers that are in force and effect as of the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(c) STATE PREEMPTION.—To the extent that any State requirements are inconsistent with the Commission’s regulations, the Commission’s regulations on such matters shall preempt such State requirements.

“(d) DEFINITION.—As used in this section, the term ‘payphone service’ means the provision of public or semi-public pay telephones, the provision of inmate telephone service in correctional institutions, and any ancillary services.”

(b) REVIEW OF ENTRY DECISIONS.—Section 402(b) (47 U.S.C. 402(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (6), by striking “(3), and (4)” and inserting “(3), (4), and (9)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) By any applicant for authority to provide interLATA services under section 271 of this Act whose application is denied by the Commission.”

TITLE II—BROADCAST SERVICES

SEC. 201. BROADCAST SPECTRUM FLEXIBILITY.

Title III is amended by inserting after section 335 (47 U.S.C. 335) the following new section:

“SEC. 336. BROADCAST SPECTRUM FLEXIBILITY.

“(a) COMMISSION ACTION.—If the Commission determines to issue additional licenses for advanced television services, the Commission—

“(1) should limit the initial eligibility for such licenses to persons that, as of the date of such issuance, are licensed to operate a television broadcast station or hold a permit to construct such a station (or both); and

“(2) shall adopt regulations that allow the holders of such licenses to offer such ancillary or supplementary services on designated frequencies as may be consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

“(b) CONTENTS OF REGULATIONS.—In prescribing the regulations required by subsection (a), the Commission shall—

“(1) only permit such licensee or permittee to offer ancillary or supplementary services if the use of a designated frequency for such services is consistent with the technology or method designated by the Commission for the provision of advanced television services;

“(2) limit the broadcasting of ancillary or supplementary services on designated frequencies so as to avoid derogation of any advanced television services, including high definition television broadcasts, that the Commission may require using such frequencies;

“(3) apply to any other ancillary or supplementary service such of the Commission’s regulations as are applicable to the offering of analogous services by any other person, except that no ancillary or supplementary service shall have any rights to carriage under section 614 or 615 or be deemed a multichannel video programming distributor for purposes of section 628;

“(4) adopt such technical and other requirements as may be necessary or appropriate to assure the quality of the signal used to provide advanced television services, and may adopt regulations that stipulate the minimum number of hours per day that such signal must be transmitted; and

“(5) prescribe such other regulations as may be necessary for the protection of the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

“(c) RECOVERY OF LICENSE.—If the Commission grants a license for advanced television services to a person that, as of the date of such issuance, is licensed to operate a television broadcast station or holds a permit to construct such a station (or both), the Commission shall, as a condition of such license, require that either the additional license or the original license held by the licensee be surrendered to the Commission for reallocation or reassignment (or both) pursuant to Commission regulation.

“(d) PUBLIC INTEREST REQUIREMENT.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as relieving a television broadcasting station from its obligation to serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. In the Com-

mission's review of any application for renewal of a broadcast license for a television station that provides ancillary or supplementary services, the television licensee shall establish that all of its program services on the existing or advanced television spectrum are in the public interest. Any violation of the Commission rules applicable to ancillary or supplementary services shall reflect upon the licensee's qualifications for renewal of its license.

"(e) FEES.—

"(1) SERVICES TO WHICH FEES APPLY.—If the regulations prescribed pursuant to subsection (a) permit a licensee to offer ancillary or supplementary services on a designated frequency—

"(A) for which the payment of a subscription fee is required in order to receive such services, or

"(B) for which the licensee directly or indirectly receives compensation from a third party in return for transmitting material furnished by such third party (other than commercial advertisements used to support broadcasting for which a subscription fee is not required),

the Commission shall establish a program to assess and collect from the licensee for such designated frequency an annual fee or other schedule or method of payment that promotes the objectives described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (2).

"(2) COLLECTION OF FEES.—The program required by paragraph (1) shall—

"(A) be designed (i) to recover for the public a portion of the value of the public spectrum resource made available for such commercial use, and (ii) to avoid unjust enrichment through the method employed to permit such uses of that resource;

"(B) recover for the public an amount that, to the extent feasible, equals but does not exceed (over the term of the license) the amount that would have been recovered had such services been licensed pursuant to the provisions of section 309(j) of this Act and the Commission's regulations thereunder; and

"(C) be adjusted by the Commission from time to time in order to continue to comply with the requirements of this paragraph.

"(3) TREATMENT OF REVENUES.—

"(A) GENERAL RULE.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), all proceeds obtained pursuant to the regulations required by this subsection shall be deposited in the Treasury in accordance with chapter 33 of title 31, United States Code.

"(B) RETENTION OF REVENUES.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), the salaries and expenses account of the Commission shall retain as an offsetting collection such sums as may be necessary from such proceeds for the costs of developing and implementing the program required by this section and regulating and supervising advanced television services. Such offsetting collections shall be available for obligation subject to the terms and conditions of the receiving appropriations account, and shall be deposited in such accounts on a quarterly basis.

"(4) REPORT.—Within 5 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall report to the Congress on the implementation of the program required by this subsection, and shall annually thereafter advise the Congress on the amounts collected pursuant to such program.

"(f) EVALUATION.—Within 10 years after the date the Commission first issues additional licenses for advanced television services, the Commission shall conduct an evaluation of the advanced television services program. Such evaluation shall include—

"(1) an assessment of the willingness of consumers to purchase the television receive-

ers necessary to receive broadcasts of advanced television services;

"(2) an assessment of alternative uses, including public safety use, of the frequencies used for such broadcasts; and

"(3) the extent to which the Commission has been or will be able to reduce the amount of spectrum assigned to licensees.

"(g) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

"(1) ADVANCED TELEVISION SERVICES.—The term 'advanced television services' means television services provided using digital or other advanced technology as further defined in the opinion, report, and order of the Commission entitled 'Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact Upon the Existing Television Broadcast Service', MM Docket 87-268, adopted September 17, 1992, and successor proceedings.

"(2) DESIGNATED FREQUENCIES.—The term 'designated frequency' means each of the frequencies designated by the Commission for licenses for advanced television services.

"(3) HIGH DEFINITION TELEVISION.—The term 'high definition television' refers to systems that offer approximately twice the vertical and horizontal resolution of receivers generally available on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, as further defined in the proceedings described in paragraph (1) of this subsection."

SEC. 202. BROADCAST OWNERSHIP.

(a) NATIONAL RADIO STATION OWNERSHIP RULE CHANGES REQUIRED.—The Commission shall modify section 73.3555 of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 73.3555) by eliminating any provisions limiting the number of AM or FM broadcast stations which may be owned or controlled by one entity nationally.

(b) LOCAL RADIO DIVERSITY.—

(1) APPLICABLE CAPS.—The Commission shall revise section 73.3555(a) of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 73.3555) to provide that—

(A) in a radio market with 45 or more commercial radio stations, a party may own, operate, or control up to 8 commercial radio stations, not more than 5 of which are in the same service (AM or FM);

(B) in a radio market with between 30 and 44 (inclusive) commercial radio stations, a party may own, operate, or control up to 7 commercial radio stations, not more than 4 of which are in the same service (AM or FM);

(C) in a radio market with between 15 and 29 (inclusive) commercial radio stations, a party may own, operate, or control up to 6 commercial radio stations, not more than 4 of which are in the same service (AM or FM); and

(D) in a radio market with 14 or fewer commercial radio stations, a party may own, operate, or control up to 5 commercial radio stations, not more than 3 of which are in the same service (AM or FM), except that a party may not own, operate, or control more than 50 percent of the stations in such market.

(2) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding any limitation authorized by this subsection, the Commission may permit a person or entity to own, operate, or control, or have a cognizable interest in, radio broadcast stations if the Commission determines that such ownership, operation, control, or interest will result in an increase in the number of radio broadcast stations in operation.

(c) TELEVISION OWNERSHIP LIMITATIONS.—

(1) NATIONAL OWNERSHIP LIMITATIONS.—The Commission shall modify its rules for multiple ownership set forth in section 73.3555 of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 73.3555)—

(A) by eliminating the restrictions on the number of television stations that a person or entity may directly or indirectly own, operate, or control, or have a cognizable interest in, nationwide; and

(B) by increasing the national audience reach limitation for television stations to 35 percent.

(2) LOCAL OWNERSHIP LIMITATIONS.—The Commission shall conduct a rulemaking proceeding to determine whether to retain, modify, or eliminate its limitations on the number of television stations that a person or entity may own, operate, or control, or have a cognizable interest in, within the same television market.

(d) RELAXATION OF ONE-TO-A-MARKET.—With respect to its enforcement of its one-to-a-market ownership rules under section 73.3555 of its regulations, the Commission shall extend its waiver policy to any of the top 50 markets, consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

(e) DUAL NETWORK CHANGES.—The Commission shall revise section 73.658(g) of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 658(g)) to permit a television broadcast station to affiliate with a person or entity that maintains 2 or more networks of television broadcast stations unless such dual or multiple networks are composed of—

(1) two or more persons or entities that, on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, are "networks" as defined in section 73.3613(a)(1) of the Commission's regulations (47 C.F.R. 73.3613(a)(1)); or

(2) any network described in paragraph (1) and an English-language program distribution service that, on such date, provides 4 or more hours of programming per week on a national basis pursuant to network affiliation arrangements with local television broadcast stations in markets reaching more than 75 percent of television homes (as measured by a national ratings service).

(f) CABLE CROSS OWNERSHIP.—

(1) ELIMINATION OF RESTRICTIONS.—The Commission shall revise section 76.501 of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 76.501) to permit a person or entity to own or control a network of broadcast stations and a cable system.

(2) SAFEGUARDS AGAINST DISCRIMINATION.—The Commission shall revise such regulations if necessary to ensure carriage, channel positioning, and nondiscriminatory treatment of nonaffiliated broadcast stations by a cable system described in paragraph (1).

(g) LOCAL MARKETING AGREEMENTS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the origination, continuation, or renewal of any television local marketing agreement that is in compliance with the regulations of the Commission.

(h) FURTHER COMMISSION REVIEW.—The Commission shall review its rules adopted pursuant to this section and all of its ownership rules biennially as part of its regulatory reform review under section 11 of the Communications Act of 1934 and shall determine whether any of such rules are necessary in the public interest as the result of competition. The Commission shall repeal or modify any regulation it determines to be no longer in the public interest.

(i) ELIMINATION OF STATUTORY RESTRICTION.—Section 613(a) (47 U.S.C. 533(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1);

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as subsection (a);

(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively;

(4) by striking "and" at the end of paragraph (1) (as so redesignated);

(5) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) (as so redesignated) and inserting "; and"; and

(6) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) shall not apply the requirements of this subsection to any cable operator in any franchise area in which a cable operator is subject to effective competition as determined under section 623(l)."

SEC. 203. TERM OF LICENSES.

Section 307(c) (47 U.S.C. 307(c)) is amended to read as follows:

“(c) TERMS OF LICENSES.—

“(1) INITIAL AND RENEWAL LICENSES.—Each license granted for the operation of a broadcasting station shall be for a term of not to exceed 8 years. Upon application therefor, a renewal of such license may be granted from time to time for a term of not to exceed 8 years from the date of expiration of the preceding license, if the Commission finds that public interest, convenience, and necessity would be served thereby. Consistent with the foregoing provisions of this subsection, the Commission may by rule prescribe the period or periods for which licenses shall be granted and renewed for particular classes of stations, but the Commission may not adopt or follow any rule which would preclude it, in any case involving a station of a particular class, from granting or renewing a license for a shorter period than that prescribed for stations of such class if, in its judgment, the public interest, convenience, or necessity would be served by such action.

“(2) MATERIALS IN APPLICATION.—In order to expedite action on applications for renewal of broadcasting station licenses and in order to avoid needless expense to applicants for such renewals, the Commission shall not require any such applicant to file any information which previously has been furnished to the Commission or which is not directly material to the considerations that affect the granting or denial of such application, but the Commission may require any new or additional facts it deems necessary to make its findings.

“(3) CONTINUATION PENDING DECISION.—Pending any hearing and final decision on such an application and the disposition of any petition for rehearing pursuant to section 405, the Commission shall continue such license in effect.”

SEC. 204. BROADCAST LICENSE RENEWAL PROCEDURES.

(a) RENEWAL PROCEDURES.—

(1) AMENDMENT.—Section 309 (47 U.S.C. 309) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

“(k) BROADCAST STATION RENEWAL PROCEDURES.—

“(1) STANDARDS FOR RENEWAL.—If the licensee of a broadcast station submits an application to the Commission for renewal of such license, the Commission shall grant the application if it finds, with respect to that station, during the preceding term of its license—

“(A) the station has served the public interest, convenience, and necessity;

“(B) there have been no serious violations by the licensee of this Act or the rules and regulations of the Commission; and

“(C) there have been no other violations by the licensee of this Act or the rules and regulations of the Commission which, taken together, would constitute a pattern of abuse.

“(2) CONSEQUENCE OF FAILURE TO MEET STANDARD.—If any licensee of a broadcast station fails to meet the requirements of this subsection, the Commission may deny the application for renewal in accordance with paragraph (3), or grant such application on terms and conditions as are appropriate, including renewal for a term less than the maximum otherwise permitted.

“(3) STANDARDS FOR DENIAL.—If the Commission determines, after notice and opportunity for a hearing as provided in subsection (e), that a licensee has failed to meet the requirements specified in paragraph (1) and that no mitigating factors justify the imposition of lesser sanctions, the Commission shall—

“(A) issue an order denying the renewal application filed by such licensee under section 308; and

“(B) only thereafter accept and consider such applications for a construction permit

as may be filed under section 308 specifying the channel or broadcasting facilities of the former licensee.

“(4) COMPETITOR CONSIDERATION PROHIBITED.—In making the determinations specified in paragraph (1) or (2), the Commission shall not consider whether the public interest, convenience, and necessity might be served by the grant of a license to a person other than the renewal applicant.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 309(d) (47 U.S.C. 309(d)) is amended by inserting after “with subsection (a)” each place it appears the following: “(or subsection (k) in the case of renewal of any broadcast station license)”

(b) SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS ON VIOLENT PROGRAMMING.—Section 308 (47 U.S.C. 308) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS.—Each applicant for the renewal of a commercial or non-commercial television license shall attach as an exhibit to the application a summary of written comments and suggestions received from the public and maintained by the licensee (in accordance with Commission regulations) that comment on the applicant’s programming, if any, and that are characterized by the commentator as constituting violent programming.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section apply to applications filed after May 1, 1995.

SEC. 205. DIRECT BROADCAST SATELLITE SERVICE.

(a) DBS SIGNAL SECURITY.—Section 705(e)(4) (47 U.S.C. 605(e)(4)) is amended by inserting “or direct-to-home satellite services,” after “programming.”

(b) FCC JURISDICTION OVER DIRECT-TO-HOME SATELLITE SERVICES.—Section 303 (47 U.S.C. 303) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

“(v) Have exclusive jurisdiction to regulate the provision of direct-to-home satellite services. As used in this subsection, the term ‘direct-to-home satellite services’ means the distribution or broadcasting of programming or services by satellite directly to the subscriber’s premises without the use of ground receiving or distribution equipment, except at the subscriber’s premises or in the uplink process to the satellite.”

SEC. 206. AUTOMATED SHIP DISTRESS AND SAFETY SYSTEMS.

Part II of title III is amended by inserting after section 364 (47 U.S.C. 362) the following new section:

“SEC. 365. AUTOMATED SHIP DISTRESS AND SAFETY SYSTEMS.

“Notwithstanding any provision of this Act or any other provision of law or regulation, a ship documented under the laws of the United States operating in accordance with the Global Maritime Distress and Safety System provisions of the Safety of Life at Sea Convention shall not be required to be equipped with a radio telegraphy station operated by one or more radio officers or operators. This section shall take effect for each vessel upon a determination by the United States Coast Guard that such vessel has the equipment required to implement the Global Maritime Distress and Safety System installed and operating in good working condition.”

SEC. 207. RESTRICTIONS ON OVER-THE-AIR RECEPTION DEVICES.

Within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall, pursuant to section 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, promulgate regulations to prohibit restrictions that impair a viewer’s ability to receive video programming services through devices designed for over-the-air reception of television broadcast signals, multichannel multipoint distribution service, or direct broadcast satellite services.

TITLE III—CABLE SERVICES

SEC. 301. CABLE ACT REFORM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—

(1) DEFINITION OF CABLE SERVICE.—Section 602(6)(B) (47 U.S.C. 522(6)(B)) is amended by inserting “or use” after “the selection”.

(2) CHANGE IN DEFINITION OF CABLE SYSTEM.—Section 602(7) (47 U.S.C. 522(7)) is amended by striking “(B) a facility that serves only subscribers in 1 or more multiple unit dwellings under common ownership, control, or management, unless such facility or facilities uses any public right-of-way;” and inserting “(B) a facility that serves subscribers without using any public right-of-way;”

(b) RATE DEREGULATION.—

(1) UPPER TIER REGULATION.—Section 623(c) (47 U.S.C. 543(c)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)(B), by striking “subscriber, franchising authority, or other relevant State or local government entity” and inserting “franchising authority (in accordance with paragraph (3))”;

(B) in paragraph (1)(C), by striking “such complaint” and inserting “the first complaint filed with the franchising authority under paragraph (3)”;

(C) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) REVIEW OF RATE CHANGES.—The Commission shall review any complaint submitted by a franchising authority after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 concerning an increase in rates for cable programming services and issue a final order within 90 days after it receives such a complaint, unless the parties agree to extend the period for such review. A franchising authority may not file a complaint under this paragraph unless, within 90 days after such increase becomes effective it receives subscriber complaints.

“(4) SUNSET OF UPPER TIER RATE REGULATION.—This subsection shall not apply to cable programming services provided after March 31, 1999.”

(2) SUNSET OF UNIFORM RATE STRUCTURE IN MARKETS WITH EFFECTIVE COMPETITION.—Section 623(d) (47 U.S.C. 543(d)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“This subsection does not apply to (1) a cable operator with respect to the provision of cable service over its cable system in any geographic area in which the video programming services offered by the operator in that area are subject to effective competition, or (2) any video programming offered on a per channel or per program basis. Bulk discounts to multiple dwelling units shall not be subject to this subsection, except that a cable operator of a cable system that is not subject to effective competition may not charge predatory prices to a multiple dwelling unit. Upon a prima facie showing by a complainant that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the discounted price is predatory, the cable system shall have the burden of showing that its discounted price is not predatory.”

(3) EFFECTIVE COMPETITION.—Section 623(l)(1) (47 U.S.C. 543(l)(1)) is amended—

(A) by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (B);

(B) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) a local exchange carrier or its affiliate (or any multichannel video programming distributor using the facilities of such carrier or its affiliate) offers video programming services directly to subscribers by any means (other than direct-to-home satellite services) in the franchise area of an unaffiliated cable operator which is providing cable service in that franchise area, but only if the video programming services so offered in that area are comparable to the video pro-

gramming services provided by the unaffiliated cable operator in that area."

(c) GREATER DEREGULATION FOR SMALLER CABLE COMPANIES.—Section 623 (47 U.S.C. 543) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

"(m) SPECIAL RULES FOR SMALL COMPANIES.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a), (b), and (c) do not apply to a small cable operator with respect to—

"(A) cable programming services, or

"(B) a basic service tier that was the only service tier subject to regulation as of December 31, 1994,

in any franchise area in which that operator services 50,000 or fewer subscribers.

"(2) DEFINITION OF SMALL CABLE OPERATOR.—For purposes of this subsection, the term 'small cable operator' means a cable operator that, directly or through an affiliate, serves in the aggregate fewer than 1 percent of all subscribers in the United States and is not affiliated with any entity or entities whose gross annual revenues in the aggregate exceed \$250,000,000."

(d) MARKET DETERMINATIONS.—

(1) MARKET DETERMINATIONS; EXPEDITED DECISIONMAKING.—Section 614(h)(1)(C) (47 U.S.C. 534(h)(1)(C)) is amended—

(A) by striking "in the manner provided in section 73.3555(d)(3)(i) of title 47, Code of Federal Regulations, as in effect on May 1, 1991," in clause (i) and inserting "by the Commission by regulation or order using, where available, commercial publications which delineate television markets based on viewing patterns,"; and

(B) by striking clause (iv) and inserting the following:

"(iv) Within 120 days after the date on which a request is filed under this subparagraph (or 120 days after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, if later), the Commission shall grant or deny the request."

(2) APPLICATION TO PENDING REQUESTS.—The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall apply to—

(A) any request pending under section 614(h)(1)(C) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 534(h)(1)(C)) on the date of enactment of this Act; and

(B) any request filed under that section after that date.

(e) TECHNICAL STANDARDS.—Section 624(e) (47 U.S.C. 544(e)) is amended by striking the last two sentences and inserting the following: "No State or franchising authority may prohibit, condition, or restrict a cable system's use of any type of subscriber equipment or any transmission technology."

(f) CABLE EQUIPMENT COMPATIBILITY.—Section 624A (47 U.S.C. 544A) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a) by striking "and" at the end of paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting "; and"; and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) compatibility among televisions, video cassette recorders, and cable systems can be assured with narrow technical standards that mandate a minimum degree of common design and operation, leaving all features, functions, protocols, and other product and service options for selection through open competition in the market.;"

(2) in subsection (c)(1)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as subparagraphs (B) and (C), respectively; and

(B) by inserting before such redesignated subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

"(A) the need to maximize open competition in the market for all features, functions, protocols, and other product and service options of converter boxes and other cable converters unrelated to the

descrambling or decryption of cable television signals;" and

(3) in subsection (c)(2)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

"(D) to ensure that any standards or regulations developed under the authority of this section to ensure compatibility between televisions, video cassette recorders, and cable systems do not affect features, functions, protocols, and other product and service options other than those specified in paragraph (1)(B), including telecommunications interface equipment, home automation communications, and computer network services;"

(g) SUBSCRIBER NOTICE.—Section 632 (47 U.S.C. 552) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

"(c) SUBSCRIBER NOTICE.—A cable operator may provide notice of service and rate changes to subscribers using any reasonable written means at its sole discretion. Notwithstanding section 623(b)(6) or any other provision of this Act, a cable operator shall not be required to provide prior notice of any rate change that is the result of a regulatory fee, franchise fee, or any other fee, tax, assessment, or charge of any kind imposed by any Federal agency, State, or franchising authority on the transaction between the operator and the subscriber."

(h) PROGRAM ACCESS.—Section 628 (47 U.S.C. 548) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(j) COMMON CARRIERS.—Any provision that applies to a cable operator under this section shall apply to a common carrier or its affiliate that provides video programming by any means directly to subscribers. Any such provision that applies to a satellite cable programming vendor in which a cable operator has an attributable interest shall apply to any satellite cable programming vendor in which such common carrier has an attributable interest. For the purposes of this subsection, two or fewer common officers or directors shall not by itself establish an attributable interest by a common carrier in a satellite cable programming vendor (or its parent company)."

(i) ANTITRAFFICKING.—Section 617 (47 U.S.C. 537) is amended—

(1) by striking subsections (a) through (d); and

(2) in subsection (e), by striking "(e)" and all that follows through "a franchising authority" and inserting "A franchising authority";

(j) AGGREGATION OF EQUIPMENT COSTS.—Section 623(a) (47 U.S.C. 543(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(7) AGGREGATION OF EQUIPMENT COSTS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall allow cable operators, pursuant to any rules promulgated under subsection (b)(3), to aggregate, on a franchise, system, regional, or company level, their equipment costs into broad categories, such as converter boxes, regardless of the varying levels of functionality of the equipment within each such broad category. Such aggregation shall not be permitted with respect to equipment used by subscribers who receive only a rate regulated basic service tier.

"(B) REVISION TO COMMISSION RULES; FORMS.—Within 120 days of the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall issue revisions to the appropriate rules and forms necessary to implement subparagraph (A)."

(k) TREATMENT OF PRIOR YEAR LOSSES.—

(1) AMENDMENT.—Section 623 (48 U.S.C. 543) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

"(n) TREATMENT OF PRIOR YEAR LOSSES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section or of section 612, losses associated with a cable system (including losses associated with the grant or award of a franchise) that were incurred prior to September 4, 1992, with respect to a cable system that is owned and operated by the original franchisee of such system shall not be disallowed, in whole or in part, in the determination of whether the rates for any tier of service or any type of equipment that is subject to regulation under this section are lawful."

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and shall be applicable to any rate proposal filed on or after September 4, 1993, upon which no final action has been taken by December 1, 1995.

SEC. 302. CABLE SERVICE PROVIDED BY TELEPHONE COMPANIES.

(a) PROVISIONS FOR REGULATION OF CABLE SERVICE PROVIDED BY TELEPHONE COMPANIES.—Title VI (47 U.S.C. 521 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new part:

"PART V—VIDEO PROGRAMMING SERVICES PROVIDED BY TELEPHONE COMPANIES

"SEC. 651. REGULATORY TREATMENT OF VIDEO PROGRAMMING SERVICES.

"(a) LIMITATIONS ON CABLE REGULATION.—

"(1) RADIO-BASED SYSTEMS.—To the extent that a common carrier (or any other person) is providing video programming to subscribers using radio communication, such carrier (or other person) shall be subject to the requirements of title III and section 652, but shall not otherwise be subject to the requirements of this title.

"(2) COMMON CARRIAGE OF VIDEO TRAFFIC.—To the extent that a common carrier is providing transmission of video programming on a common carrier basis, such carrier shall be subject to the requirements of title II and section 652, but shall not otherwise be subject to the requirements of this title. This paragraph shall not affect the treatment under section 602(7)(C) of a facility of a common carrier as a cable system.

"(3) CABLE SYSTEMS AND OPEN VIDEO SYSTEMS.—To the extent that a common carrier is providing video programming to its subscribers in any manner other than that described in paragraphs (1) and (2)—

"(A) such carrier shall be subject to the requirements of this title, unless such programming is provided by means of an open video system for which the Commission has approved a certification under section 653; or

"(B) if such programming is provided by means of an open video system for which the Commission has approved a certification under section 653, such carrier shall be subject to the requirements of this part, but shall be subject to parts I through IV of this title only as provided in 653(c).

"(4) ELECTION TO OPERATE AS OPEN VIDEO SYSTEM.—A common carrier that is providing video programming in a manner described in paragraph (1) or (2), or a combination thereof, may elect to provide such programming by means of an open video system that complies with section 653. If the Commission approves such carrier's certification under section 653, such carrier shall be subject to the requirements of this part, but shall be subject to parts I through IV of this title only as provided in 653(c).

"(b) LIMITATIONS ON INTERCONNECTION OBLIGATIONS.—A local exchange carrier that provides cable service through an open video system or a cable system shall not be required, pursuant to title II of this Act, to

make capacity available on a nondiscriminatory basis to any other person for the provision of cable service directly to subscribers.

“(C) ADDITIONAL REGULATORY RELIEF.—A common carrier shall not be required to obtain a certificate under section 214 with respect to the establishment or operation of a system for the delivery of video programming.

“SEC. 652. PROHIBITION ON BUY OUTS.

“(a) ACQUISITIONS BY CARRIERS.—No local exchange carrier or any affiliate of such carrier owned by, operated by, controlled by, or under common control with such carrier may purchase or otherwise acquire directly or indirectly more than a 10 percent financial interest, or any management interest, in any cable operator providing cable service within the local exchange carrier's telephone service area.

“(b) ACQUISITIONS BY CABLE OPERATORS.—No cable operator or affiliate of a cable operator that is owned by, operated by, controlled by, or under common ownership with such cable operator may purchase or otherwise acquire, directly or indirectly, more than a 10 percent financial interest, or any management interest, in any local exchange carrier providing telephone exchange service within such cable operator's franchise area.

“(c) JOINT VENTURES.—A local exchange carrier and a cable operator whose telephone service area and cable franchise area, respectively, are in the same market may not enter into any joint venture or partnership to provide video programming directly to subscribers or to provide telecommunications services within such market.

“(d) EXCEPTIONS.—

“(1) RURAL SYSTEMS.—Notwithstanding subsections (a), (b), and (c) of this section, a local exchange carrier (with respect to a cable system located in its telephone service area) and a cable operator (with respect to the facilities of a local exchange carrier used to provide telephone exchange service in its cable franchise area) may obtain a controlling interest in, management interest in, or enter into a joint venture or partnership with the operator of such system or facilities for the use of such system or facilities to the extent that—

“(A) such system or facilities only serve incorporated or unincorporated—

“(i) places or territories that have fewer than 35,000 inhabitants; and

“(ii) are outside an urbanized area, as defined by the Bureau of the Census; and

“(B) in the case of a local exchange carrier, such system, in the aggregate with any other system in which such carrier has an interest, serves less than 10 percent of the households in the telephone service area of such carrier.

“(2) JOINT USE.—Notwithstanding subsection (c), a local exchange carrier may obtain, with the concurrence of the cable operator on the rates, terms, and conditions, the use of that part of the transmission facilities of a cable system extending from the last multi-user terminal to the premises of the end user, if such use is reasonably limited in scope and duration, as determined by the Commission.

“(3) ACQUISITIONS IN COMPETITIVE MARKETS.—Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (c), a local exchange carrier may obtain a controlling interest in, or form a joint venture or other partnership with, or provide financing to, a cable system (hereinafter in this paragraph referred to as ‘the subject cable system’), if—

“(A) the subject cable system operates in a television market that is not in the top 25 markets, and such market has more than 1 cable system operator, and the subject cable system is not the cable system with the most subscribers in such television market;

“(B) the subject cable system and the cable system with the most subscribers in such television market held on May 1, 1995, cable television franchises from the largest municipality in the television market and the boundaries of such franchises were identical on such date;

“(C) the subject cable system is not owned by or under common ownership or control of any one of the 50 cable system operators with the most subscribers as such operators existed on May 1, 1995; and

“(D) the system with the most subscribers in the television market is owned by or under common ownership or control of any one of the 10 largest cable system operators as such operators existed on May 1, 1995.

“(4) EXEMPT CABLE SYSTEMS.—Subsection (a) does not apply to any cable system if—

“(A) the cable system serves no more than 17,000 cable subscribers, of which no less than 8,000 live within an urban area, and no less than 6,000 live within a nonurbanized area as of June 1, 1995;

“(B) the cable system is not owned by, or under common ownership or control with, any of the 50 largest cable system operators in existence on June 1, 1995; and

“(C) the cable system operates in a television market that was not in the top 100 television markets as of June 1, 1995.

“(5) SMALL CABLE SYSTEMS IN NONURBAN AREAS.—Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (c), a local exchange carrier with less than \$100,000,000 in annual operating revenues (or any affiliate of such carrier owned by, operated by, controlled by, or under common control with such carrier) may purchase or otherwise acquire more than a 10 percent financial interest in, or any management interest in, or enter into a joint venture or partnership with, any cable system within the local exchange carrier's telephone service area that serves no more than 20,000 cable subscribers, if no more than 12,000 of those subscribers live within an urbanized area, as defined by the Bureau of the Census.

“(6) WAIVERS.—The Commission may waive the restrictions of subsections (a), (b), or (c) only if—

“(A) the Commission determines that, because of the nature of the market served by the affected cable system or facilities used to provide telephone exchange service—

“(i) the affected cable operator or local exchange carrier would be subjected to undue economic distress by the enforcement of such provisions;

“(ii) the system or facilities would not be economically viable if such provisions were enforced; or

“(iii) the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served; and

“(B) the local franchising authority approves of such waiver.

“(e) DEFINITION OF TELEPHONE SERVICE AREA.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘telephone service area’ when used in connection with a common carrier subject in whole or in part to title II of this Act means the area within which such carrier provided telephone exchange service as of January 1, 1993, but if any common carrier after such date transfers its telephone exchange service facilities to another common carrier, the area to which such facilities provide telephone exchange service shall be treated as part of the telephone service area of the acquiring common carrier and not of the selling common carrier.

“SEC. 653. ESTABLISHMENT OF OPEN VIDEO SYSTEMS.

“(a) OPEN VIDEO SYSTEMS.—

“(1) CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE.—A local exchange carrier may provide cable service

to its cable service subscribers in its telephone service area through an open video system that complies with this section. To the extent permitted by such regulations as the Commission may prescribe consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, an operator of a cable system or any other person may provide video programming through an open video system that complies with this section. An operator of an open video system shall qualify for reduced regulatory burdens under subsection (c) of this section if the operator of such system certifies to the Commission that such carrier complies with the Commission's regulations under subsection (b) and the Commission approves such certification. The Commission shall publish notice of the receipt of any such certification and shall act to approve or disapprove any such certification within 10 days after receipt of such certification.

“(2) DISPUTE RESOLUTION.—The Commission shall have the authority to resolve disputes under this section and the regulations prescribed thereunder. Any such dispute shall be resolved within 180 days after notice of such dispute is submitted to the Commission. At that time or subsequently in a separate damages proceeding, the Commission may, in the case of any violation of this section, require carriage, award damages to any person denied carriage, or any combination of such sanctions. Any aggrieved party may seek any other remedy available under this Act.

“(b) COMMISSION ACTIONS.—

“(1) REGULATIONS REQUIRED.—Within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall complete all actions necessary (including any reconsideration) to prescribe regulations that—

“(A) except as required pursuant to section 611, 614, or 615, prohibit an operator of an open video system from discriminating among video programming providers with regard to carriage on its open video system, and ensure that the rates, terms, and conditions for such carriage are just and reasonable, and are not unjustly or unreasonably discriminatory;

“(B) if demand exceeds the channel capacity of the open video system, prohibit an operator of an open video system and its affiliates from selecting the video programming services for carriage on more than one-third of the activated channel capacity on such system, but nothing in this subparagraph shall be construed to limit the number of channels that the carrier and its affiliates may offer to provide directly to subscribers;

“(C) permit an operator of an open video system to carry on only one channel any video programming service that is offered by more than one video programming provider (including the local exchange carrier's video programming affiliate), provided that subscribers have ready and immediate access to any such video programming service;

“(D) extend to the distribution of video programming over open video systems the Commission's regulations concerning sports exclusivity (47 C.F.R. 76.67), network non-duplication (47 C.F.R. 76.92 et seq.), and syndicated exclusivity (47 C.F.R. 76.151 et seq.); and

“(E)(i) prohibit an operator of an open video system from unreasonably discriminating in favor of the operator or its affiliates with regard to material or information (including advertising) provided by the operator to subscribers for the purposes of selecting programming on the open video system, or in the way such material or information is presented to subscribers;

“(ii) require an operator of an open video system to ensure that video programming providers or copyright holders (or both) are

able suitably and uniquely to identify their programming services to subscribers;

“(iii) if such identification is transmitted as part of the programming signal, require the carrier to transmit such identification without change or alteration; and

“(iv) prohibit an operator of an open video system from omitting television broadcast stations or other unaffiliated video programming services carried on such system from any navigational device, guide, or menu.

“(2) CONSUMER ACCESS.—Subject to the requirements of paragraph (1) and the regulations thereunder, nothing in this section prohibits a common carrier or its affiliate from negotiating mutually agreeable terms and conditions with over-the-air broadcast stations and other unaffiliated video programming providers to allow consumer access to their signals on any level or screen of any gateway, menu, or other program guide, whether provided by the carrier or its affiliate.

“(c) REDUCED REGULATORY BURDENS FOR OPEN VIDEO SYSTEMS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Any provision that applies to a cable operator under—

“(A) sections 613 (other than subsection (a) thereof), 616, 623(f), 628, 631, and 634 of this title, shall apply,

“(B) sections 611, 614, and 615 of this title, and section 325 of title III, shall apply in accordance with the regulations prescribed under paragraph (2), and

“(C) sections 612 and 617, and parts III and IV (other than sections 623(f), 628, 631, and 634), of this title shall not apply,

to any operator of an open video system for which the Commission has approved a certification under this section.

“(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—

“(A) COMMISSION ACTION.—In the rule-making proceeding to prescribe the regulations required by subsection (b)(1), the Commission shall, to the extent possible, impose obligations that are no greater or lesser than the obligations contained in the provisions described in paragraph (1)(B) of this subsection. The Commission shall complete all action (including any reconsideration) to prescribe such regulations no later than 6 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

“(B) FEES.—An operator of an open video system under this part may be subject to the payment of fees on the gross revenues of the operator for the provision of cable service imposed by a local franchising authority or other governmental entity, in lieu of the franchise fees permitted under section 622. The rate at which such fees are imposed shall not exceed the rate at which franchise fees are imposed on any cable operator transmitting video programming in the franchise area, as determined in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Commission. An operator of an open video system may designate that portion of a subscriber's bill attributable to the fee under this subparagraph as a separate item on the bill.

“(3) REGULATORY STREAMLINING.—With respect to the establishment and operation of an open video system, the requirements of this section shall apply in lieu of, and not in addition to, the requirements of title II.

“(4) TREATMENT AS CABLE OPERATOR.—Nothing in this Act precludes a video programming provider making use of an open video system from being treated as an operator of a cable system for purposes of section 111 of title 17, United States Code.

“(d) DEFINITION OF TELEPHONE SERVICE AREA.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘telephone service area’ when used in connection with a common carrier subject in whole or in part to title II of this Act means the area within which such carrier is offering telephone exchange service.”.

(b) CONFORMING AND TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) REPEAL.—Subsection (b) of section 613 (47 U.S.C. 533(b)) is repealed.

(2) DEFINITIONS.—Section 602 (47 U.S.C. 531) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (7), by striking “, or (D)” and inserting the following: “, unless the extent of such use is solely to provide interactive on-demand services; (D) an open video system that complies with section 653 of this title; or (E)”;

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (12) through (19) as paragraphs (13) through (20), respectively; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (11) the following new paragraph:

“(12) the term ‘interactive on-demand services’ means a service providing video programming to subscribers over switched networks on an on-demand, point-to-point basis, but does not include services providing video programming prescheduled by the programming provider;”.

(3) TERMINATION OF VIDEO-DIALTONE REGULATIONS.—The Commission's regulations and policies with respect to video dialtone requirements issued in CC Docket No. 87-266 shall cease to be effective on the date of enactment of this Act. This paragraph shall not be construed to require the termination of any video-dialtone system that the Commission has approved before the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 303. PREEMPTION OF FRANCHISING AUTHORITY REGULATION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES.

(a) PROVISION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES BY A CABLE OPERATOR.—Section 621(b) (47 U.S.C. 541(b)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

“(3)(A) If a cable operator or affiliate thereof is engaged in the provision of telecommunications services—

“(i) such cable operator or affiliate shall not be required to obtain a franchise under this title for the provision of telecommunications services; and

“(ii) the provisions of this title shall not apply to such cable operator or affiliate for the provision of telecommunications services.

“(B) A franchising authority may not impose any requirement under this title that has the purpose or effect of prohibiting, limiting, restricting, or conditioning the provision of a telecommunications service by a cable operator or an affiliate thereof.

“(C) A franchising authority may not order a cable operator or affiliate thereof—

“(i) to discontinue the provision of a telecommunications service, or

“(ii) to discontinue the operation of a cable system, to the extent such cable system is used for the provision of a telecommunications service, by reason of the failure of such cable operator or affiliate thereof to obtain a franchise or franchise renewal under this title with respect to the provision of such telecommunications service.

“(D) Except as otherwise permitted by sections 611 and 612, a franchising authority may not require a cable operator to provide any telecommunications service or facilities, other than institutional networks, as a condition of the initial grant of a franchise, a franchise renewal, or a transfer of a franchise.”.

(b) FRANCHISE FEES.—Section 622(b) (47 U.S.C. 542(b)) is amended by inserting “to provide cable services” immediately before the period at the end of the first sentence thereof.

SEC. 304. COMPETITIVE AVAILABILITY OF NAVIGATION DEVICES.

Part III of title VI is amended by inserting after section 628 (47 U.S.C. 548) the following new section:

“SEC. 629. COMPETITIVE AVAILABILITY OF NAVIGATION DEVICES.

“(a) COMMERCIAL CONSUMER AVAILABILITY OF EQUIPMENT USED TO ACCESS SERVICES PROVIDED BY MULTICHANNEL VIDEO PROGRAMMING DISTRIBUTORS.—The Commission shall, in consultation with appropriate industry standard-setting organizations, adopt regulations to assure the commercial availability, to consumers of multichannel video programming and other services offered over multichannel video programming systems, of converter boxes, interactive communications equipment, and other equipment used by consumers to access multichannel video programming and other services offered over multichannel video programming systems, from manufacturers, retailers, and other vendors not affiliated with any multichannel video programming distributor. Such regulations shall not prohibit any multichannel video programming distributor from also offering converter boxes, interactive communications equipment, and other equipment used by consumers to access multichannel video programming and other services offered over multichannel video programming systems, to consumers, if the system operator's charges to consumers for such devices and equipment are separately stated and not subsidized by charges for any such service.

“(b) PROTECTION OF SYSTEM SECURITY.—The Commission shall not prescribe regulations under subsection (a) which would jeopardize security of multichannel video programming and other services offered over multichannel video programming systems, or impede the legal rights of a provider of such services to prevent theft of service.

“(c) WAIVER.—The Commission shall waive a regulation adopted under subsection (a) for a limited time upon an appropriate showing by a provider of multichannel video programming and other services offered over multichannel video programming systems, or an equipment provider, that such waiver is necessary to assist the development or introduction of a new or improved multichannel video programming or other service offered over multichannel video programming systems, technology, or products. Upon an appropriate showing, the Commission shall grant any such waiver request within 90 days of any application filed under this subsection, and such waiver shall be effective for all service providers and products in that category and for all providers of services and products.

“(d) AVOIDANCE OF REDUNDANT REGULATIONS.—

“(1) COMMERCIAL AVAILABILITY DETERMINATIONS.—Determinations made or regulations prescribed by the Commission with respect to commercial availability to consumers of converter boxes, interactive communications equipment, and other equipment used by consumers to access multichannel video programming and other services offered over multichannel video programming systems, before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 shall fulfill the requirements of this section.

“(2) REGULATIONS.—Nothing in this section affects section 64.702(e) of the Commission's regulations (47 C.F.R. 64.702(e)) or other Commission regulations governing interconnection and competitive provision of customer premises equipment used in connection with basic common carrier communications services.

“(e) SUNSET.—The regulations adopted under this section shall cease to apply when the Commission determines that—

“(1) the market for the multichannel video programming distributors is fully competitive;

“(2) the market for converter boxes, and interactive communications equipment, used

in conjunction with that service is fully competitive; and

“(3) elimination of the regulations would promote competition and the public interest.

“(f) COMMISSION’S AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as expanding or limiting any authority that the Commission may have under law in effect before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.”

SEC. 305. VIDEO PROGRAMMING ACCESSIBILITY.

Title VII is amended by inserting after section 712 (47 U.S.C. 612) the following new section:

“SEC. 713. VIDEO PROGRAMMING ACCESSIBILITY.

“(a) COMMISSION INQUIRY.—Within 180 days after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Federal Communications Commission shall complete an inquiry to ascertain the level at which video programming is closed captioned. Such inquiry shall examine the extent to which existing or previously published programming is closed captioned, the size of the video programming provider or programming owner providing closed captioning, the size of the market served, the relative audience shares achieved, or any other related factors. The Commission shall submit to the Congress a report on the results of such inquiry.

“(b) ACCOUNTABILITY CRITERIA.—Within 18 months after such date of enactment, the Commission shall prescribe such regulations as are necessary to implement this section. Such regulations shall ensure that—

“(1) video programming first published or exhibited after the effective date of such regulations is fully accessible through the provision of closed captions, except as provided in subsection (d); and

“(2) video programming providers or owners maximize the accessibility of video programming first published or exhibited prior to the effective date of such regulations through the provision of closed captions, except as provided in subsection (d).

“(c) DEADLINES FOR CAPTIONING.—Such regulations shall include an appropriate schedule of deadlines for the provision of closed captioning of video programming.

“(d) EXEMPTIONS.—Notwithstanding subsection (b)—

“(1) the Commission may exempt by regulation programs, classes of programs, or services for which the Commission has determined that the provision of closed captioning would be economically burdensome to the provider or owner of such programming;

“(2) a provider of video programming or the owner of any program carried by the provider shall not be obligated to supply closed captions if such action would be inconsistent with contracts in effect on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, except that nothing in this section shall be construed to relieve a video programming provider of its obligations to provide services required by Federal law; and

“(3) a provider of video programming or program owner may petition the Commission for an exemption from the requirements of this section, and the Commission may grant such petition upon a showing that the requirements contained in this section would result in an undue burden.

“(e) UNDUE BURDEN.—The term ‘undue burden’ means significant difficulty or expense. In determining whether the closed captions necessary to comply with the requirements of this paragraph would result in an undue economic burden, the factors to be considered include—

“(1) the nature and cost of the closed captions for the programming;

“(2) the impact on the operation of the provider or program owner;

“(3) the financial resources of the provider or program owner; and

“(4) the type of operations of the provider or program owner.

“(f) VIDEO DESCRIPTIONS INQUIRY.—Within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission shall commence an inquiry to examine the use of video descriptions on video programming in order to ensure the accessibility of video programming to persons with visual impairments, and report to Congress on its findings. The Commission’s report shall assess appropriate methods and schedules for phasing video descriptions into the marketplace, technical and quality standards for video descriptions, a definition of programming for which video descriptions would apply, and other technical and legal issues that the Commission deems appropriate.

“(g) VIDEO DESCRIPTION.—For purposes of this section, ‘video description’ means the insertion of audio narrated descriptions of a television program’s key visual elements into natural pauses between the program’s dialogue.

“(h) PRIVATE RIGHTS OF ACTIONS PROHIBITED.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize any private right of action to enforce any requirement of this section or any regulation thereunder. The Commission shall have exclusive jurisdiction with respect to any complaint under this section.”

TITLE IV—REGULATORY REFORM

SEC. 401. REGULATORY FORBEARANCE.

Title I is amended by inserting after section 9 (47 U.S.C. 159) the following new section:

“SEC. 10. COMPETITION IN PROVISION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE.

“(a) REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY.—Notwithstanding section 332(c)(1)(A) of this Act, the Commission shall forbear from applying any regulation or any provision of this Act to a telecommunications carrier or telecommunications service, or class of telecommunications carriers or telecommunications services, in any or some of its or their geographic markets, if the Commission determines that—

“(1) enforcement of such regulation or provision is not necessary to ensure that the charges, practices, classifications, or regulations by, for, or in connection with that telecommunications carrier or telecommunications service are just and reasonable and are not unjustly or unreasonably discriminatory;

“(2) enforcement of such regulation or provision is not necessary for the protection of consumers; and

“(3) forbearance from applying such provision or regulation is consistent with the public interest.

“(b) COMPETITIVE EFFECT TO BE WEIGHED.—In making the determination under subsection (a)(3), the Commission shall consider whether forbearance from enforcing the provision or regulation will promote competitive market conditions, including the extent to which such forbearance will enhance competition among providers of telecommunications services. If the Commission determines that such forbearance will promote competition among providers of telecommunications services, that determination may be the basis for a Commission finding that forbearance is in the public interest.

“(c) PETITION FOR FORBEARANCE.—Any telecommunications carrier, or class of telecommunications carriers, may submit a petition to the Commission requesting that the Commission exercise the authority granted under this section with respect to that carrier or those carriers, or any service offered by that carrier or carriers. Any such petition shall be deemed granted if the Commission

does not deny the petition for failure to meet the requirements for forbearance under subsection (a) within one year after the Commission receives it, unless the one-year period is extended by the Commission. The Commission may extend the initial one-year period by an additional 90 days if the Commission finds that an extension is necessary to meet the requirements of subsection (a). The Commission may grant or deny a petition in whole or in part and shall explain its decision in writing.

“(d) LIMITATION.—Except as provided in section 251(f), the Commission may not forbear from applying the requirements of section 251(c) or 271 under subsection (a) of this section until it determines that those requirements have been fully implemented.

“(e) STATE ENFORCEMENT AFTER COMMISSION FORBEARANCE.—A State commission may not continue to apply or enforce any provision of this Act that the Commission has determined to forbear from applying under subsection (a).”

SEC. 402. BIENNIAL REVIEW OF REGULATIONS; REGULATORY RELIEF.

(a) BIENNIAL REVIEW.—Title I is amended by inserting after section 10 (as added by section 401) the following new section:

“SEC. 11. REGULATORY REFORM.

“(a) BIENNIAL REVIEW OF REGULATIONS.—In every even-numbered year (beginning with 1998), the Commission—

“(1) shall review all regulations issued under this Act in effect at the time of the review that apply to the operations or activities of any provider of telecommunications service; and

“(2) shall determine whether any such regulation is no longer necessary in the public interest as the result of meaningful economic competition between providers of such service.

“(b) EFFECT OF DETERMINATION.—The Commission shall repeal or modify any regulation it determines to be no longer necessary in the public interest.”

(b) REGULATORY RELIEF.—

(1) STREAMLINED PROCEDURES FOR CHANGES IN CHARGES, CLASSIFICATIONS, REGULATIONS, OR PRACTICES.—

(A) Section 204(a) (47 U.S.C. 204(a)) is amended—

(i) by striking “12 months” the first place it appears in paragraph (2)(A) and inserting “5 months”;

(ii) by striking “effective,” and all that follows in paragraph (2)(A) and inserting “effective.”; and

(iii) by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(3) A local exchange carrier may file with the Commission a new or revised charge, classification, regulation, or practice on a streamlined basis. Any such charge, classification, regulation, or practice shall be deemed lawful and shall be effective 7 days (in the case of a reduction in rates) or 15 days (in the case of an increase in rates) after the date on which it is filed with the Commission unless the Commission takes action under paragraph (1) before the end of that 7-day or 15-day period, as is appropriate.”

(B) Section 208(b) (47 U.S.C. 208(b)) is amended—

(i) by striking “12 months” the first place it appears in paragraph (1) and inserting “5 months”;

(ii) by striking “filed,” and all that follows in paragraph (1) and inserting “filed.”

(2) EXTENSIONS OF LINES UNDER SECTION 214; ARMIS REPORTS.—The Commission shall permit any common carrier—

(A) to be exempt from the requirements of section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934 for the extension of any line; and

(B) to file cost allocation manuals and ARMIS reports annually, to the extent such

carrier is required to file such manuals or reports.

(3) FORBEARANCE AUTHORITY NOT LIMITED.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit the authority of the Commission to waive, modify, or forbear from applying any of the requirements to which reference is made in paragraph (1) under any other provision of this Act or other law.

(4) EFFECTIVE DATE OF AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by paragraph (1) of this subsection shall apply with respect to any charge, classification, regulation, or practice filed on or after one year after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) CLASSIFICATION OF CARRIERS.—In classifying carriers according to section 32.11 of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 32.11) and in establishing reporting requirements pursuant to part 43 of its regulations (47 C.F.R. part 43) and section 64.903 of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 64.903), the Commission shall adjust the revenue requirements to account for inflation as of the release date of the Commission's Report and Order in CC Docket No. 91-141, and annually thereafter. This subsection shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 403. ELIMINATION OF UNNECESSARY COMMISSION REGULATIONS AND FUNCTIONS.

(a) MODIFICATION OF AMATEUR RADIO EXAMINATION PROCEDURES.—Section 4(f)(4) (47 U.S.C. 154(f)(4)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by inserting "or administering" after "for purposes of preparing";

(B) by inserting "of" after "than the class"; and

(C) by inserting "or administered" after "for which the examination is being prepared";

(2) by striking subparagraph (B);

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking "(A), (B), and (C)" and inserting "(A) and (B)";

(4) in subparagraph (J)—

(A) by striking "or (B)"; and

(B) by striking the last sentence; and

(5) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) through (J) as subparagraphs (B) through (I), respectively.

(b) AUTHORITY TO DESIGNATE ENTITIES TO INSPECT.—Section 4(f)(3) (47 U.S.C. 154(f)(3)) is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: "And provided further, That, in the alternative, an entity designated by the Commission may make the inspections referred to in this paragraph".

(c) EXPEDITING INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION FIXED SERVICE PROCESSING.—Section 5(c)(1) (47 U.S.C. 155(c)(1)) is amended by striking the last sentence and inserting the following: "Except for cases involving the authorization of service in the instructional television fixed service, or as otherwise provided in this Act, nothing in this paragraph shall authorize the Commission to provide for the conduct, by any person or persons other than persons referred to in paragraph (2) or (3) of section 556(b) of title 5, United States Code, of any hearing to which such section applies."

(d) REPEAL SETTING OF DEPRECIATION RATES.—The first sentence of section 220(b) (47 U.S.C. 220(b)) is amended by striking "shall prescribe for such carriers" and inserting "may prescribe, for such carriers as it determines to be appropriate,".

(e) USE OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS.—Section 220(c) (47 U.S.C. 220(c)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following: "The Commission may obtain the services of any person licensed to provide public accounting services under the law of any State to assist with, or conduct, audits under this section. While so employed or engaged in conducting an audit for the Commission under this section, any such person shall have the powers granted the Commission under this sub-

section and shall be subject to subsection (f) in the same manner as if that person were an employee of the Commission."

(f) DELEGATION OF EQUIPMENT TESTING AND CERTIFICATION TO PRIVATE LABORATORIES.—Section 302 (47 U.S.C. 302) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(e) The Commission may—

"(1) authorize the use of private organizations for testing and certifying the compliance of devices or home electronic equipment and systems with regulations promulgated under this section;

"(2) accept as prima facie evidence of such compliance the certification by any such organization; and

"(3) establish such qualifications and standards as it deems appropriate for such private organizations, testing, and certification."

(g) MAKING LICENSE MODIFICATION UNIFORM.—Section 303(f) (47 U.S.C. 303(f)) is amended by striking "unless, after a public hearing," and inserting "unless".

(h) ELIMINATE FCC JURISDICTION OVER GOVERNMENT-OWNED SHIP RADIO STATIONS.—

(1) Section 305 (47 U.S.C. 305) is amended by striking subsection (b) and redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as (b) and (c), respectively.

(2) Section 382(2) (47 U.S.C. 382(2)) is amended by striking "except a vessel of the United States Maritime Administration, the Inland and Coastwise Waterways Service, or the Panama Canal Company,".

(i) PERMIT OPERATION OF DOMESTIC SHIP AND AIRCRAFT RADIOS WITHOUT LICENSE.—Section 307(e) (47 U.S.C. 307(e)) is amended to read as follows:

"(e)(1) Notwithstanding any license requirement established in this Act, if the Commission determines that such authorization serves the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the Commission may by rule authorize the operation of radio stations without individual licenses in the following radio services: (A) the citizens band radio service; (B) the radio control service; (C) the aviation radio service for aircraft stations operated on domestic flights when such aircraft are not otherwise required to carry a radio station; and (D) the maritime radio service for ship stations navigated on domestic voyages when such ships are not otherwise required to carry a radio station.

"(2) Any radio station operator who is authorized by the Commission to operate without an individual license shall comply with all other provisions of this Act and with rules prescribed by the Commission under this Act.

"(3) For purposes of this subsection, the terms 'citizens band radio service', 'radio control service', 'aircraft station' and 'ship station' shall have the meanings given them by the Commission by rule."

(j) EXPEDITED LICENSING FOR FIXED MICROWAVE SERVICE.—Section 309(b)(2) (47 U.S.C. 309(b)(2)) is amended by striking subparagraph (A) and redesignating subparagraphs (B) through (G) as subparagraphs (A) through (F), respectively.

(k) FOREIGN DIRECTORS.—Section 310(b) (47 U.S.C. 310(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking "of which any officer or director is an alien or"; and

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking "of which any officer or more than one-fourth of the directors are aliens, or".

(l) LIMITATION ON SILENT STATION AUTHORIZATIONS.—Section 312 (47 U.S.C. 312) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(g) If a broadcasting station fails to transmit broadcast signals for any consecutive 12-month period, then the station license granted for the operation of that broadcast station expires at the end of that period, notwithstanding any provision, term, or condition of the license to the contrary."

(m) MODIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION PERMIT REQUIREMENT.—Section 319(d) is amended by striking the last two sentences and inserting the following: "With respect to any broadcasting station, the Commission shall not have any authority to waive the requirement of a permit for construction, except that the Commission may by regulation determine that a permit shall not be required for minor changes in the facilities of authorized broadcast stations. With respect to any other station or class of stations, the Commission shall not waive the requirement for a construction permit unless the Commission determines that the public interest, convenience, and necessity would be served by such a waiver."

(n) CONDUCT OF INSPECTIONS.—Section 362(b) (47 U.S.C. 362(b)) is amended to read as follows:

"(b) Every ship of the United States that is subject to this part shall have the equipment and apparatus prescribed therein inspected at least once each year by the Commission or an entity designated by the Commission. If, after such inspection, the Commission is satisfied that all relevant provisions of this Act and the station license have been complied with, the fact shall be so certified on the station license by the Commission. The Commission shall make such additional inspections at frequent intervals as the Commission determines may be necessary to ensure compliance with the requirements of this Act. The Commission may, upon a finding that the public interest could be served thereby—

"(1) waive the annual inspection required under this section for a period of up to 90 days for the sole purpose of enabling a vessel to complete its voyage and proceed to a port in the United States where an inspection can be held; or

"(2) waive the annual inspection required under this section for a vessel that is in compliance with the radio provisions of the Safety Convention and that is operating solely in waters beyond the jurisdiction of the United States, provided that such inspection shall be performed within 30 days of such vessel's return to the United States."

(o) INSPECTION BY OTHER ENTITIES.—Section 385 (47 U.S.C. 385) is amended—

(1) by inserting "or an entity designated by the Commission" after "The Commission"; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following: "In accordance with such other provisions of law as apply to Government contracts, the Commission may enter into contracts with any person for the purpose of carrying out such inspections and certifying compliance with those requirements, and may, as part of any such contract, allow any such person to accept reimbursement from the license holder for travel and expense costs of any employee conducting an inspection or certification."

TITLE V—OBSCENITY AND VIOLENCE

Subtitle A—Obscene, Harassing, and Wrongful Utilization of Telecommunications Facilities

SEC. 501. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Communications Decency Act of 1996".

SEC. 502. OBSCENE OR HARASSING USE OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES UNDER THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.

Section 223 (47 U.S.C. 223) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting in lieu thereof:

"(a) Whoever—

"(1) in interstate or foreign communications—

"(A) by means of a telecommunications device knowingly—

"(i) makes, creates, or solicits, and

“(ii) initiates the transmission of, any comment, request, suggestion, proposal, image, or other communication which is obscene, lewd, lascivious, filthy, or indecent, with intent to annoy, abuse, threaten, or harass another person;

“(B) by means of a telecommunications device knowingly—

“(i) makes, creates, or solicits, and

“(ii) initiates the transmission of, any comment, request, suggestion, proposal, image, or other communication which is obscene or indecent, knowing that the recipient of the communication is under 18 years of age, regardless of whether the maker of such communication placed the call or initiated the communication;

“(C) makes a telephone call or utilizes a telecommunications device, whether or not conversation or communication ensues, without disclosing his identity and with intent to annoy, abuse, threaten, or harass any person at the called number or who receives the communications;

“(D) makes or causes the telephone of another repeatedly or continuously to ring, with intent to harass any person at the called number; or

“(E) makes repeated telephone calls or repeatedly initiates communication with a telecommunications device, during which conversation or communication ensues, solely to harass any person at the called number or who receives the communication; or

“(2) knowingly permits any telecommunications facility under his control to be used for any activity prohibited by paragraph (1) with the intent that it be used for such activity,

shall be fined under title 18, United States Code, or imprisoned not more than two years, or both.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(d) Whoever—

“(1) in interstate or foreign communications knowingly—

“(A) uses an interactive computer service to send to a specific person or persons under 18 years of age, or

“(B) uses any interactive computer service to display in a manner available to a person under 18 years of age,

any comment, request, suggestion, proposal, image, or other communication that, in context, depicts or describes, in terms patently offensive as measured by contemporary community standards, sexual or excretory activities or organs, regardless of whether the user of such service placed the call or initiated the communication; or

“(2) knowingly permits any telecommunications facility under such person's control to be used for an activity prohibited by paragraph (1) with the intent that it be used for such activity,

shall be fined under title 18, United States Code, or imprisoned not more than two years, or both.

“(e) In addition to any other defenses available by law:

“(1) No person shall be held to have violated subsection (a) or (d) solely for providing access or connection to or from a facility, system, or network not under that person's control, including transmission, downloading, intermediate storage, access software, or other related capabilities that are incidental to providing such access or connection that does not include the creation of the content of the communication.

“(2) The defenses provided by paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not be applicable to a person who is a conspirator with an entity actively involved in the creation or knowing distribution of communications that violate this section, or who knowingly advertises the availability of such communications.

“(3) The defenses provided in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not be applicable to a person who provides access or connection to a facility, system, or network engaged in the violation of this section that is owned or controlled by such person.

“(4) No employer shall be held liable under this section for the actions of an employee or agent unless the employee's or agent's conduct is within the scope of his or her employment or agency and the employer (A) having knowledge of such conduct, authorizes or ratifies such conduct, or (B) recklessly disregards such conduct.

“(5) It is a defense to a prosecution under subsection (a)(1)(B) or (d), or under subsection (a)(2) with respect to the use of a facility for an activity under subsection (a)(1)(B) that a person—

“(A) has taken, in good faith, reasonable, effective, and appropriate actions under the circumstances to restrict or prevent access by minors to a communication specified in such subsections, which may involve any appropriate measures to restrict minors from such communications, including any method which is feasible under available technology; or

“(B) has restricted access to such communication by requiring use of a verified credit card, debit account, adult access code, or adult personal identification number.

“(6) The Commission may describe measures which are reasonable, effective, and appropriate to restrict access to prohibited communications under subsection (d). Nothing in this section authorizes the Commission to enforce, or is intended to provide the Commission with the authority to approve, sanction, or permit, the use of such measures. The Commission shall have no enforcement authority over the failure to utilize such measures. The Commission shall not endorse specific products relating to such measures. The use of such measures shall be admitted as evidence of good faith efforts for purposes of paragraph (5) in any action arising under subsection (d). Nothing in this section shall be construed to treat interactive computer services as common carriers or telecommunications carriers.

“(f)(1) No cause of action may be brought in any court or administrative agency against any person on account of any activity that is not in violation of any law punishable by criminal or civil penalty, and that the person has taken in good faith to implement a defense authorized under this section or otherwise to restrict or prevent the transmission of, or access to, a communication specified in this section.

“(2) No State or local government may impose any liability for commercial activities or actions by commercial entities, nonprofit libraries, or institutions of higher education in connection with an activity or action described in subsection (a)(2) or (d) that is inconsistent with the treatment of those activities or actions under this section: *Provided, however,* That nothing herein shall preclude any State or local government from enacting and enforcing complementary oversight, liability, and regulatory systems, procedures, and requirements, so long as such systems, procedures, and requirements govern only intrastate services and do not result in the imposition of inconsistent rights, duties or obligations on the provision of interstate services. Nothing in this subsection shall preclude any State or local government from governing conduct not covered by this section.

“(g) Nothing in subsection (a), (d), (e), or (f) or in the defenses to prosecution under (a) or (d) shall be construed to affect or limit the application or enforcement of any other Federal law.

“(h) For purposes of this section—

“(1) The use of the term ‘telecommunications device’ in this section—

“(A) shall not impose new obligations on broadcasting station licensees and cable operators covered by obscenity and indecency provisions elsewhere in this Act; and

“(B) does not include an interactive computer service.

“(2) The term ‘interactive computer service’ has the meaning provided in section 230(e)(2).

“(3) The term ‘access software’ means software (including client or server software) or enabling tools that do not create or provide the content of the communication but that allow a user to do any one or more of the following:

“(A) filter, screen, allow, or disallow content;

“(B) pick, choose, analyze, or digest content; or

“(C) transmit, receive, display, forward, cache, search, subset, organize, reorganize, or translate content.

“(4) The term ‘institution of higher education’ has the meaning provided in section 1201 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1141).

“(5) The term ‘library’ means a library eligible for participation in State-based plans for funds under title III of the Library Services and Construction Act (20 U.S.C. 355e et seq.).”

SEC. 503. OBSCENE PROGRAMMING ON CABLE TELEVISION.

Section 639 (47 U.S.C. 559) is amended by striking “not more than \$10,000” and inserting “under title 18, United States Code.”

SEC. 504. SCRAMBLING OF CABLE CHANNELS FOR NONSUBSCRIBERS.

Part IV of title VI (47 U.S.C. 551 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 640. SCRAMBLING OF CABLE CHANNELS FOR NONSUBSCRIBERS.

“(a) **SUBSCRIBER REQUEST.**—Upon request by a cable service subscriber, a cable operator shall, without charge, fully scramble or otherwise fully block the audio and video programming of each channel carrying such programming so that one not a subscriber does not receive it.

“(b) **DEFINITION.**—As used in this section, the term ‘scramble’ means to rearrange the content of the signal of the programming so that the programming cannot be viewed or heard in an understandable manner.”

SEC. 505. SCRAMBLING OF SEXUALLY EXPLICIT ADULT VIDEO SERVICE PROGRAMMING.

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Part IV of title VI (47 U.S.C. 551 et seq.), as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 641. SCRAMBLING OF SEXUALLY EXPLICIT ADULT VIDEO SERVICE PROGRAMMING.

“(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—In providing sexually explicit adult programming or other programming that is indecent on any channel of its service primarily dedicated to sexually-oriented programming, a multichannel video programming distributor shall fully scramble or otherwise fully block the video and audio portion of such channel so that one not a subscriber to such channel or programming does not receive it.

“(b) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—Until a multichannel video programming distributor complies with the requirement set forth in subsection (a), the distributor shall limit the access of children to the programming referred to in that subsection by not providing such programming during the hours of the day (as determined by the Commission) when a significant number of children are likely to view it.

“(c) **DEFINITION.**—As used in this section, the term ‘scramble’ means to rearrange the

content of the signal of the programming so that the programming cannot be viewed or heard in an understandable manner.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 506. CABLE OPERATOR REFUSAL TO CARRY CERTAIN PROGRAMS.

(a) PUBLIC, EDUCATIONAL, AND GOVERNMENTAL CHANNELS.—Section 611(e) (47 U.S.C. 531(e)) is amended by inserting before the period the following: “, except a cable operator may refuse to transmit any public access program or portion of a public access program which contains obscenity, indecency, or nudity”.

(b) CABLE CHANNELS FOR COMMERCIAL USE.—Section 612(c)(2) (47 U.S.C. 532(c)(2)) is amended by striking “an operator” and inserting “a cable operator may refuse to transmit any leased access program or portion of a leased access program which contains obscenity, indecency, or nudity and”.

SEC. 507. CLARIFICATION OF CURRENT LAWS REGARDING COMMUNICATION OF OBSCENE MATERIALS THROUGH THE USE OF COMPUTERS.

(a) IMPORTATION OR TRANSPORTATION.—Section 1462 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph, by inserting “or interactive computer service (as defined in section 230(e)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934)” after “carrier”; and

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph—

(A) by inserting “or receives,” after “takes”;

(B) by inserting “or interactive computer service (as defined in section 230(e)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934)” after “common carrier”; and

(C) by inserting “or importation” after “carriage”.

(b) TRANSPORTATION FOR PURPOSES OF SALE OR DISTRIBUTION.—The first undesignated paragraph of section 1465 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “transports in” and inserting “transports or travels in, or uses a facility or means of,”;

(2) by inserting “or an interactive computer service (as defined in section 230(e)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934) in or affecting such commerce” after “foreign commerce” the first place it appears;

(3) by striking “, or knowingly travels in” and all that follows through “obscene material in interstate or foreign commerce,” and inserting “of”.

(c) INTERPRETATION.—The amendments made by this section are clarifying and shall not be interpreted to limit or repeal any prohibition contained in sections 1462 and 1465 of title 18, United States Code, before such amendment, under the rule established in *United States v. Alpers*, 338 U.S. 680 (1950).

SEC. 508. COERCION AND ENTICEMENT OF MINORS.

Section 2422 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before “Whoever knowingly”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) Whoever, using any facility or means of interstate or foreign commerce, including the mail, or within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States, knowingly persuades, induces, entices, or coerces any individual who has not attained the age of 18 years to engage in prostitution or any sexual act for which any person may be criminally prosecuted, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both.”

SEC. 509. ONLINE FAMILY EMPOWERMENT.

Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 230. PROTECTION FOR PRIVATE BLOCKING AND SCREENING OF OFFENSIVE MATERIAL.

“(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the following:

“(1) The rapidly developing array of Internet and other interactive computer services available to individual Americans represent an extraordinary advance in the availability of educational and informational resources to our citizens.

“(2) These services offer users a great degree of control over the information that they receive, as well as the potential for even greater control in the future as technology develops.

“(3) The Internet and other interactive computer services offer a forum for a true diversity of political discourse, unique opportunities for cultural development, and myriad avenues for intellectual activity.

“(4) The Internet and other interactive computer services have flourished, to the benefit of all Americans, with a minimum of government regulation.

“(5) Increasingly Americans are relying on interactive media for a variety of political, educational, cultural, and entertainment services.

“(b) POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States—

“(1) to promote the continued development of the Internet and other interactive computer services and other interactive media;

“(2) to preserve the vibrant and competitive free market that presently exists for the Internet and other interactive computer services, unfettered by Federal or State regulation;

“(3) to encourage the development of technologies which maximize user control over what information is received by individuals, families, and schools who use the Internet and other interactive computer services;

“(4) to remove disincentives for the development and utilization of blocking and filtering technologies that empower parents to restrict their children’s access to objectionable or inappropriate online material; and

“(5) to ensure vigorous enforcement of Federal criminal laws to deter and punish trafficking in obscenity, stalking, and harassment by means of computer.

“(c) PROTECTION FOR ‘GOOD SAMARITAN’ BLOCKING AND SCREENING OF OFFENSIVE MATERIAL.—

“(1) TREATMENT OF PUBLISHER OR SPEAKER.—No provider or user of an interactive computer service shall be treated as the publisher or speaker of any information provided by another information content provider.

“(2) CIVIL LIABILITY.—No provider or user of an interactive computer service shall be held liable on account of—

“(A) any action voluntarily taken in good faith to restrict access to or availability of material that the provider or user considers to be obscene, lewd, lascivious, filthy, excessively violent, harassing, or otherwise objectionable, whether or not such material is constitutionally protected; or

“(B) any action taken to enable or make available to information content providers or others the technical means to restrict access to material described in paragraph (1).

“(d) EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.—

“(1) NO EFFECT ON CRIMINAL LAW.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to impair the enforcement of section 223 of this Act, chapter 71 (relating to obscenity) or 110 (relating to sexual exploitation of children) of title 18, United States Code, or any other Federal criminal statute.

“(2) NO EFFECT ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or expand any law pertaining to intellectual property.

“(3) STATE LAW.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent any State from enforcing any State law that is consistent with this section. No cause of action may be brought and no liability may be imposed under any State or local law that is inconsistent with this section.

“(4) NO EFFECT ON COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY LAW.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the application of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 or any of the amendments made by such Act, or any similar State law.

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

“(1) INTERNET.—The term ‘Internet’ means the international computer network of both Federal and non-Federal interoperable packet switched data networks.

“(2) INTERACTIVE COMPUTER SERVICE.—The term ‘interactive computer service’ means any information service, system, or access software provider that provides or enables computer access by multiple users to a computer server, including specifically a service or system that provides access to the Internet and such systems operated or services offered by libraries or educational institutions.

“(3) INFORMATION CONTENT PROVIDER.—The term ‘information content provider’ means any person or entity that is responsible, in whole or in part, for the creation or development of information provided through the Internet or any other interactive computer service.

“(4) ACCESS SOFTWARE PROVIDER.—The term ‘access software provider’ means a provider of software (including client or server software), or enabling tools that do any one or more of the following:

“(A) filter, screen, allow, or disallow content;

“(B) pick, choose, analyze, or digest content; or

“(C) transmit, receive, display, forward, cache, search, subset, organize, reorganize, or translate content.”

Subtitle B—Violence

SEC. 551. PARENTAL CHOICE IN TELEVISION PROGRAMMING.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Television influences children’s perception of the values and behavior that are common and acceptable in society.

(2) Television station operators, cable television system operators, and video programmers should follow practices in connection with video programming that take into consideration that television broadcast and cable programming has established a uniquely pervasive presence in the lives of American children.

(3) The average American child is exposed to 25 hours of television each week and some children are exposed to as much as 11 hours of television a day.

(4) Studies have shown that children exposed to violent video programming at a young age have a higher tendency for violent and aggressive behavior later in life than children not so exposed, and that children exposed to violent video programming are prone to assume that acts of violence are acceptable behavior.

(5) Children in the United States are, on average, exposed to an estimated 8,000 murders and 100,000 acts of violence on television by the time the child completes elementary school.

(6) Studies indicate that children are affected by the pervasiveness and casual treatment of sexual material on television, eroding the ability of parents to develop responsible attitudes and behavior in their children.

(7) Parents express grave concern over violent and sexual video programming and strongly support technology that would give

them greater control to block video programming in the home that they consider harmful to their children.

(8) There is a compelling governmental interest in empowering parents to limit the negative influences of video programming that is harmful to children.

(9) Providing parents with timely information about the nature of upcoming video programming and with the technological tools that allow them easily to block violent, sexual, or other programming that they believe harmful to their children is a nonintrusive and narrowly tailored means of achieving that compelling governmental interest.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF TELEVISION RATING CODE.—

(1) AMENDMENT.—Section 303 (47 U.S.C. 303) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(w) Prescribe—

“(1) on the basis of recommendations from an advisory committee established by the Commission in accordance with section 551(b)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, guidelines and recommended procedures for the identification and rating of video programming that contains sexual, violent, or other indecent material about which parents should be informed before it is displayed to children, provided that nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to authorize any rating of video programming on the basis of its political or religious content; and

“(2) with respect to any video programming that has been rated, and in consultation with the television industry, rules requiring distributors of such video programming to transmit such rating to permit parents to block the display of video programming that they have determined is inappropriate for their children.”.

(2) ADVISORY COMMITTEE REQUIREMENTS.—In establishing an advisory committee for purposes of the amendment made by paragraph (1) of this subsection, the Commission shall—

(A) ensure that such committee is composed of parents, television broadcasters, television programming producers, cable operators, appropriate public interest groups, and other interested individuals from the private sector and is fairly balanced in terms of political affiliation, the points of view represented, and the functions to be performed by the committee;

(B) provide to the committee such staff and resources as may be necessary to permit it to perform its functions efficiently and promptly; and

(C) require the committee to submit a final report of its recommendations within one year after the date of the appointment of the initial members.

(c) REQUIREMENT FOR MANUFACTURE OF TELEVISIONS THAT BLOCK PROGRAMS.—Section 303 (47 U.S.C. 303), as amended by subsection (a), is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“(x) Require, in the case of an apparatus designed to receive television signals that are shipped in interstate commerce or manufactured in the United States and that have a picture screen 13 inches or greater in size (measured diagonally), that such apparatus be equipped with a feature designed to enable viewers to block display of all programs with a common rating, except as otherwise permitted by regulations pursuant to section 330(c)(4).”.

(d) SHIPPING OF TELEVISIONS THAT BLOCK PROGRAMS.—

(1) REGULATIONS.—Section 330 (47 U.S.C. 330) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(B) by adding after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), no person shall ship in interstate commerce or manufacture in the United States any apparatus described in section 303(x) of this Act except in accordance with rules prescribed by the Commission pursuant to the authority granted by that section.

“(2) This subsection shall not apply to carriers transporting apparatus referred to in paragraph (1) without trading in it.

“(3) The rules prescribed by the Commission under this subsection shall provide for the oversight by the Commission of the adoption of standards by industry for blocking technology. Such rules shall require that all such apparatus be able to receive the rating signals which have been transmitted by way of line 21 of the vertical blanking interval and which conform to the signal and blocking specifications established by industry under the supervision of the Commission.

“(4) As new video technology is developed, the Commission shall take such action as the Commission determines appropriate to ensure that blocking service continues to be available to consumers. If the Commission determines that an alternative blocking technology exists that—

“(A) enables parents to block programming based on identifying programs without ratings,

“(B) is available to consumers at a cost which is comparable to the cost of technology that allows parents to block programming based on common ratings, and

“(C) will allow parents to block a broad range of programs on a multichannel system as effectively and as easily as technology that allows parents to block programming based on common ratings,

the Commission shall amend the rules prescribed pursuant to section 303(x) to require that the apparatus described in such section be equipped with either the blocking technology described in such section or the alternative blocking technology described in this paragraph.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 330(d), as redesignated by subsection (d)(1)(A), is amended by striking “section 303(s), and section 303(u)” and inserting in lieu thereof “and sections 303(s), 303(u), and 303(x)”.

(e) APPLICABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) APPLICABILITY OF RATING PROVISION.—The amendment made by subsection (b) of this section shall take effect 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, but only if the Commission determines, in consultation with appropriate public interest groups and interested individuals from the private sector, that distributors of video programming have not, by such date—

(A) established voluntary rules for rating video programming that contains sexual, violent, or other indecent material about which parents should be informed before it is displayed to children, and such rules are acceptable to the Commission; and

(B) agreed voluntarily to broadcast signals that contain ratings of such programming.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE OF MANUFACTURING PROVISION.—In prescribing regulations to implement the amendment made by subsection (c), the Federal Communications Commission shall, after consultation with the television manufacturing industry, specify the effective date for the applicability of the requirement to the apparatus covered by such amendment, which date shall not be less than two years after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 552. TECHNOLOGY FUND.

It is the policy of the United States to encourage broadcast television, cable, satellite, syndication, other video programming distributors, and relevant related industries (in consultation with appropriate public interest

groups and interested individuals from the private sector) to—

(1) establish a technology fund to encourage television and electronics equipment manufacturers to facilitate the development of technology which would empower parents to block programming they deem inappropriate for their children and to encourage the availability thereof to low income parents;

(2) report to the viewing public on the status of the development of affordable, easy to use blocking technology; and

(3) establish and promote effective procedures, standards, systems, advisories, or other mechanisms for ensuring that users have easy and complete access to the information necessary to effectively utilize blocking technology and to encourage the availability thereof to low income parents.

Subtitle C—Judicial Review

SEC. 561. EXPEDITED REVIEW.

(a) THREE-JUDGE DISTRICT COURT HEARING.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any civil action challenging the constitutionality, on its face, of this title or any amendment made by this title, or any provision thereof, shall be heard by a district court of 3 judges convened pursuant to the provisions of section 2284 of title 28, United States Code.

(b) APPELLATE REVIEW.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an interlocutory or final judgment, decree, or order of the court of 3 judges in an action under subsection (a) holding this title or an amendment made by this title, or any provision thereof, unconstitutional shall be reviewable as a matter of right by direct appeal to the Supreme Court. Any such appeal shall be filed not more than 20 days after entry of such judgment, decree, or order.

TITLE VI—EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS

SEC. 601. APPLICABILITY OF CONSENT DECREES AND OTHER LAW.

(a) APPLICABILITY OF AMENDMENTS TO FUTURE CONDUCT.—

(1) AT&T CONSENT DECREE.—Any conduct or activity that was, before the date of enactment of this Act, subject to any restriction or obligation imposed by the AT&T Consent Decree shall, on and after such date, be subject to the restrictions and obligations imposed by the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by this Act and shall not be subject to the restrictions and the obligations imposed by such Consent Decree.

(2) GTE CONSENT DECREE.—Any conduct or activity that was, before the date of enactment of this Act, subject to any restriction or obligation imposed by the GTE Consent Decree shall, on and after such date, be subject to the restrictions and obligations imposed by the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by this Act and shall not be subject to the restrictions and the obligations imposed by such Consent Decree.

(3) MCCAW CONSENT DECREE.—Any conduct or activity that was, before the date of enactment of this Act, subject to any restriction or obligation imposed by the McCaw Consent Decree shall, on and after such date, be subject to the restrictions and obligations imposed by the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by this Act and subsection (d) of this section and shall not be subject to the restrictions and the obligations imposed by such Consent Decree.

(b) ANTITRUST LAWS.—

(1) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), nothing in this Act or the amendments made by this Act shall be construed to modify, impair, or supersede the applicability of any of the antitrust laws.

(2) REPEAL.—Subsection (a) of section 221 (47 U.S.C. 221(a)) is repealed.

(3) CLAYTON ACT.—Section 7 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 18) is amended in the last paragraph by striking “Federal Communications Commission.”.

(c) FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAW.—

(1) NO IMPLIED EFFECT.—This Act and the amendments made by this Act shall not be construed to modify, impair, or supersede Federal, State, or local law unless expressly so provided in such Act or amendments.

(2) STATE TAX SAVINGS PROVISION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), nothing in this Act or the amendments made by this Act shall be construed to modify, impair, or supersede, or authorize the modification, impairment, or supersession of, any State or local law pertaining to taxation, except as provided in sections 622 and 653(c) of the Communications Act of 1934 and section 602 of this Act.

(d) COMMERCIAL MOBILE SERVICE JOINT MARKETING.—Notwithstanding section 22.903 of the Commission's regulations (47 C.F.R. 22.903) or any other Commission regulation, a Bell operating company or any other company may, except as provided in sections 271(e)(1) and 272 of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by this Act as they relate to wireline service, jointly market and sell commercial mobile services in conjunction with telephone exchange service, exchange access, intraLATA telecommunications service, interLATA telecommunications service, and information services.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

(1) AT&T CONSENT DECREE.—The term “AT&T Consent Decree” means the order entered August 24, 1982, in the antitrust action styled United States v. Western Electric, Civil Action No. 82-0192, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and includes any judgment or order with respect to such action entered on or after August 24, 1982.

(2) GTE CONSENT DECREE.—The term “GTE Consent Decree” means the order entered December 21, 1984, as restated January 11, 1985, in the action styled United States v. GTE Corp., Civil Action No. 83-1298, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and any judgment or order with respect to such action entered on or after December 21, 1984.

(3) MCCAW CONSENT DECREE.—The term “McCaw Consent Decree” means the proposed consent decree filed on July 15, 1994, in the antitrust action styled United States v. AT&T Corp. and McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc., Civil Action No. 94-01555, in the United States District court for the District of Columbia. Such term includes any stipulation that the parties will abide by the terms of such proposed consent decree until it is entered and any order entering such proposed consent decree.

(4) ANTITRUST LAWS.—The term “antitrust laws” has the meaning given it in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)), except that such term includes the Act of June 19, 1936 (49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13 et seq.), commonly known as the Robinson-Patman Act, and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) to the extent that such section 5 applies to unfair methods of competition.

SEC. 602. PREEMPTION OF LOCAL TAXATION WITH RESPECT TO DIRECT-TO-HOME SERVICES.

(a) PREEMPTION.—A provider of direct-to-home satellite service shall be exempt from the collection or remittance, or both, of any tax or fee imposed by any local taxing jurisdiction on direct-to-home satellite service.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For the purposes of this section—

(1) DIRECT-TO-HOME SATELLITE SERVICE.—The term “direct-to-home satellite service” means only programming transmitted or broadcast by satellite directly to the sub-

scribers' premises without the use of ground receiving or distribution equipment, except at the subscribers' premises or in the uplink process to the satellite.

(2) PROVIDER OF DIRECT-TO-HOME SATELLITE SERVICE.—For purposes of this section, a “provider of direct-to-home satellite service” means a person who transmits, broadcasts, sells, or distributes direct-to-home satellite service.

(3) LOCAL TAXING JURISDICTION.—The term “local taxing jurisdiction” means any municipality, city, county, township, parish, transportation district, or assessment jurisdiction, or any other local jurisdiction in the territorial jurisdiction of the United States with the authority to impose a tax or fee, but does not include a State.

(4) STATE.—The term “State” means any of the several States, the District of Columbia, or any territory or possession of the United States.

(5) TAX OR FEE.—The terms “tax” and “fee” mean any local sales tax, local use tax, local intangible tax, local income tax, business license tax, utility tax, privilege tax, gross receipts tax, excise tax, franchise fees, local telecommunications tax, or any other tax, license, or fee that is imposed for the privilege of doing business, regulating, or raising revenue for a local taxing jurisdiction.

(c) PRESERVATION OF STATE AUTHORITY.—This section shall not be construed to prevent taxation of a provider of direct-to-home satellite service by a State or to prevent a local taxing jurisdiction from receiving revenue derived from a tax or fee imposed and collected by a State.

TITLE VII—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
SEC. 701. PREVENTION OF UNFAIR BILLING PRACTICES FOR INFORMATION OR SERVICES PROVIDED OVER TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE CALLS.

(a) PREVENTION OF UNFAIR BILLING PRACTICES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 228(c) (47 U.S.C. 228(c)) is amended—

(A) by striking out subparagraph (C) of paragraph (7) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“(C) the calling party being charged for information conveyed during the call unless—

“(i) the calling party has a written agreement (including an agreement transmitted through electronic medium) that meets the requirements of paragraph (8); or

“(ii) the calling party is charged for the information in accordance with paragraph (9); or”;

(B)(i) by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (C) of such paragraph;

(ii) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (D) of such paragraph and inserting a semicolon and “or”; and

(iii) by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(E) the calling party being assessed, by virtue of being asked to connect or otherwise transfer to a pay-per-call service, a charge for the call.”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(8) SUBSCRIPTION AGREEMENTS FOR BILLING FOR INFORMATION PROVIDED VIA TOLL-FREE CALLS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of paragraph (7)(C)(i), a written subscription does not meet the requirements of this paragraph unless the agreement specifies the material terms and conditions under which the information is offered and includes—

“(i) the rate at which charges are assessed for the information;

“(ii) the information provider's name;

“(iii) the information provider's business address;

“(iv) the information provider's regular business telephone number;

“(v) the information provider's agreement to notify the subscriber at least one billing cycle in advance of all future changes in the rates charged for the information; and

“(vi) the subscriber's choice of payment method, which may be by direct remit, debit, prepaid account, phone bill, or credit or calling card.

“(B) BILLING ARRANGEMENTS.—If a subscriber elects, pursuant to subparagraph (A)(vi), to pay by means of a phone bill—

“(i) the agreement shall clearly explain that the subscriber will be assessed for calls made to the information service from the subscriber's phone line;

“(ii) the phone bill shall include, in prominent type, the following disclaimer:

“Common carriers may not disconnect local or long distance telephone service for failure to pay disputed charges for information services.”; and

“(iii) the phone bill shall clearly list the 800 number dialed.

“(C) USE OF PINS TO PREVENT UNAUTHORIZED USE.—A written agreement does not meet the requirements of this paragraph unless it—

“(i) includes a unique personal identification number or other subscriber-specific identifier and requires a subscriber to use this number or identifier to obtain access to the information provided and includes instructions on its use; and

“(ii) assures that any charges for services accessed by use of the subscriber's personal identification number or subscriber-specific identifier be assessed to subscriber's source of payment elected pursuant to subparagraph (A)(vi).

“(D) EXCEPTIONS.—Notwithstanding paragraph (7)(C), a written agreement that meets the requirements of this paragraph is not required—

“(i) for calls utilizing telecommunications devices for the deaf;

“(ii) for directory services provided by a common carrier or its affiliate or by a local exchange carrier or its affiliate; or

“(iii) for any purchase of goods or of services that are not information services.

“(E) TERMINATION OF SERVICE.—On receipt by a common carrier of a complaint by any person that an information provider is in violation of the provisions of this section, a carrier shall—

“(i) promptly investigate the complaint; and

“(ii) if the carrier reasonably determines that the complaint is valid, it may terminate the provision of service to an information provider unless the provider supplies evidence of a written agreement that meets the requirements of this section.

“(F) TREATMENT OF REMEDIES.—The remedies provided in this paragraph are in addition to any other remedies that are available under title V of this Act.

“(9) CHARGES BY CREDIT, PREPAID, DEBIT, CHARGE, OR CALLING CARD IN ABSENCE OF AGREEMENT.—For purposes of paragraph (7)(C)(ii), a calling party is not charged in accordance with this paragraph unless the calling party is charged by means of a credit, prepaid, debit, charge, or calling card and the information service provider includes in response to each call an introductory disclosure message that—

“(A) clearly states that there is a charge for the call;

“(B) clearly states the service's total cost per minute and any other fees for the service or for any service to which the caller may be transferred;

“(C) explains that the charges must be billed on either a credit, prepaid, debit, charge, or calling card;

“(D) asks the caller for the card number;

“(E) clearly states that charges for the call begin at the end of the introductory message; and

“(F) clearly states that the caller can hang up at or before the end of the introductory message without incurring any charge whatsoever.”

“(10) **BYPASS OF INTRODUCTORY DISCLOSURE MESSAGE.**—The requirements of paragraph (9) shall not apply to calls from repeat callers using a bypass mechanism to avoid listening to the introductory message, provided that information providers shall disable such a bypass mechanism after the institution of any price increase and for a period of time determined to be sufficient by the Federal Trade Commission to give callers adequate and sufficient notice of a price increase.

“(11) **DEFINITION OF CALLING CARD.**—As used in this subsection, the term ‘calling card’ means an identifying number or code unique to the individual, that is issued to the individual by a common carrier and enables the individual to be charged by means of a phone bill for charges incurred independent of where the call originates.”

(2) **REGULATIONS.**—The Federal Communications Commission shall revise its regulations to comply with the amendment made by paragraph (1) not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by paragraph (1) shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF “PAY-PER-CALL SERVICES”.**—

(1) **TELEPHONE DISCLOSURE AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION ACT.**—Section 204(1) of the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act (15 U.S.C. 5714(1)) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) The term ‘pay-per-call services’ has the meaning provided in section 228(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, except that the Commission by rule may, notwithstanding subparagraphs (B) and (C) of section 228(i)(1) of such Act, extend such definition to other similar services providing audio information or audio entertainment if the Commission determines that such services are susceptible to the unfair and deceptive practices that are prohibited by the rules prescribed pursuant to section 201(a).”

(2) **COMMUNICATIONS ACT.**—Section 228(i)(2) (47 U.S.C. 228(i)(2)) is amended by striking “or any service the charge for which is tariffed.”

SEC. 702. PRIVACY OF CUSTOMER INFORMATION.

Title II is amended by inserting after section 221 (47 U.S.C. 221) the following new section:

“**SEC. 222. PRIVACY OF CUSTOMER INFORMATION.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Every telecommunications carrier has a duty to protect the confidentiality of proprietary information of, and relating to, other telecommunication carriers, equipment manufacturers, and customers, including telecommunication carriers reselling telecommunications services provided by a telecommunications carrier.

“(b) **CONFIDENTIALITY OF CARRIER INFORMATION.**—A telecommunications carrier that receives or obtains proprietary information from another carrier for purposes of providing any telecommunications service shall use such information only for such purpose, and shall not use such information for its own marketing efforts.

“(c) **CONFIDENTIALITY OF CUSTOMER PROPRIETARY NETWORK INFORMATION.**—

“(1) **PRIVACY REQUIREMENTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS.**—Except as required by law or with the approval of the customer, a telecommunications carrier that receives or obtains customer proprietary network information by virtue of its provision of a telecommunications service shall only use, disclose, or permit access to individually identifiable customer proprietary network information in its provision of (A)

the telecommunications service from which such information is derived, or (B) services necessary to, or used in, the provision of such telecommunications service, including the publishing of directories.

“(2) **DISCLOSURE ON REQUEST BY CUSTOMERS.**—A telecommunications carrier shall disclose customer proprietary network information, upon affirmative written request by the customer, to any person designated by the customer.

“(3) **AGGREGATE CUSTOMER INFORMATION.**—A telecommunications carrier that receives or obtains customer proprietary network information by virtue of its provision of a telecommunications service may use, disclose, or permit access to aggregate customer information other than for the purposes described in paragraph (1). A local exchange carrier may use, disclose, or permit access to aggregate customer information other than for purposes described in paragraph (1) only if it provides such aggregate information to other carriers or persons on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms and conditions upon reasonable request therefor.

“(d) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Nothing in this section prohibits a telecommunications carrier from using, disclosing, or permitting access to customer proprietary network information obtained from its customers, either directly or indirectly through its agents—

“(1) to initiate, render, bill, and collect for telecommunications services;

“(2) to protect the rights or property of the carrier, or to protect users of those services and other carriers from fraudulent, abusive, or unlawful use of, or subscription to, such services; or

“(3) to provide any inbound telemarketing, referral, or administrative services to the customer for the duration of the call, if such call was initiated by the customer and the customer approves of the use of such information to provide such service.

“(e) **SUBSCRIBER LIST INFORMATION.**—Notwithstanding subsections (b), (c), and (d), a telecommunications carrier that provides telephone exchange service shall provide subscriber list information gathered in its capacity as a provider of such service on a timely and unbundled basis, under nondiscriminatory and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions, to any person upon request for the purpose of publishing directories in any format.

“(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—As used in this section:

“(1) **CUSTOMER PROPRIETARY NETWORK INFORMATION.**—The term ‘customer proprietary network information’ means—

“(A) information that relates to the quantity, technical configuration, type, destination, and amount of use of a telecommunications service subscribed to by any customer of a telecommunications carrier, and that is made available to the carrier by the customer solely by virtue of the carrier-customer relationship; and

“(B) information contained in the bills pertaining to telephone exchange service or telephone toll service received by a customer of a carrier; except that such term does not include subscriber list information.

“(2) **AGGREGATE INFORMATION.**—The term ‘aggregate customer information’ means collective data that relates to a group or category of services or customers, from which individual customer identities and characteristics have been removed.

“(3) **SUBSCRIBER LIST INFORMATION.**—The term ‘subscriber list information’ means any information—

“(A) identifying the listed names of subscribers of a carrier and such subscribers’ telephone numbers, addresses, or primary advertising classifications (as such classifications are assigned at the time of the establishment of such service), or any combina-

tion of such listed names, numbers, addresses, or classifications; and

“(B) that the carrier or an affiliate has published, caused to be published, or accepted for publication in any directory format.”

SEC. 703. POLE ATTACHMENTS.

Section 224 (47 U.S.C. 224) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: “The term ‘utility’ means any person who is a local exchange carrier or an electric, gas, water, steam, or other public utility, and who owns or controls poles, ducts, conduits, or rights-of-way used, in whole or in part, for any wire communications.”;

(2) in subsection (a)(4), by inserting after “system” the following: “or provider of telecommunications service”;

(3) by inserting after subsection (a)(4) the following:

“(5) For purposes of this section, the term ‘telecommunications carrier’ (as defined in section 3 of this Act) does not include any incumbent local exchange carrier as defined in section 251(h).”;

(4) by inserting after “conditions” in subsection (c)(1) a comma and the following: “or access to poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way as provided in subsection (f).”;

(5) in subsection (c)(2)(B), by striking “cable television services” and inserting “the services offered via such attachments”;

(6) by inserting after subsection (d)(2) the following:

“(3) This subsection shall apply to the rate for any pole attachment used by a cable television system solely to provide cable service. Until the effective date of the regulations required under subsection (e), this subsection shall also apply to the rate for any pole attachment used by a cable system or any telecommunications carrier (to the extent such carrier is not a party to a pole attachment agreement) to provide any telecommunications service.”; and

(7) by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(e)(1) The Commission shall, no later than 2 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, prescribe regulations in accordance with this subsection to govern the charges for pole attachments used by telecommunications carriers to provide telecommunications services, when the parties fail to resolve a dispute over such charges. Such regulations shall ensure that a utility charges just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for pole attachments.

“(2) A utility shall apportion the cost of providing space on a pole, duct, conduit, or right-of-way other than the usable space among entities so that such apportionment equals two-thirds of the costs of providing space other than the usable space that would be allocated to such entity under an equal apportionment of such costs among all attaching entities.

“(3) A utility shall apportion the cost of providing usable space among all entities according to the percentage of usable space required for each entity.

“(4) The regulations required under paragraph (1) shall become effective 5 years after the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Any increase in the rates for pole attachments that result from the adoption of the regulations required by this subsection shall be phased in equal annual increments over a period of 5 years beginning on the effective date of such regulations.

“(f)(1) A utility shall provide a cable television system or any telecommunications carrier with nondiscriminatory access to any pole, duct, conduit, or right-of-way owned or controlled by it.

“(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), a utility providing electric service may deny a

cable television system or any telecommunications carrier access to its poles, ducts, conduits, or rights-of-way, on a non-discriminatory basis where there is insufficient capacity and for reasons of safety, reliability and generally applicable engineering purposes.

“(g) A utility that engages in the provision of telecommunications services or cable services shall impute to its costs of providing such services (and charge any affiliate, subsidiary, or associate company engaged in the provision of such services) an equal amount to the pole attachment rate for which such company would be liable under this section.

“(h) Whenever the owner of a pole, duct, conduit, or right-of-way intends to modify or alter such pole, duct, conduit, or right-of-way, the owner shall provide written notification of such action to any entity that has obtained an attachment to such conduit or right-of-way so that such entity may have a reasonable opportunity to add to or modify its existing attachment. Any entity that adds to or modifies its existing attachment after receiving such notification shall bear a proportionate share of the costs incurred by the owner in making such pole, duct, conduit, or right-of-way accessible.

“(i) An entity that obtains an attachment to a pole, conduit, or right-of-way shall not be required to bear any of the costs of rearranging or replacing its attachment, if such rearrangement or replacement is required as a result of an additional attachment or the modification of an existing attachment sought by any other entity (including the owner of such pole, duct, conduit, or right-of-way).”.

SEC. 704. FACILITIES SITING; RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSION STANDARDS.

(a) NATIONAL WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SITING POLICY.—Section 332(c) (47 U.S.C. 332(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) PRESERVATION OF LOCAL ZONING AUTHORITY.—

“(A) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—Except as provided in this paragraph, nothing in this Act shall limit or affect the authority of a State or local government or instrumentality thereof over decisions regarding the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities.

“(B) LIMITATIONS.—

“(i) The regulation of the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities by any State or local government or instrumentality thereof—

“(I) shall not unreasonably discriminate among providers of functionally equivalent services; and

“(II) shall not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services.

“(ii) A State or local government or instrumentality thereof shall act on any request for authorization to place, construct, or modify personal wireless service facilities within a reasonable period of time after the request is duly filed with such government or instrumentality, taking into account the nature and scope of such request.

“(iii) Any decision by a State or local government or instrumentality thereof to deny a request to place, construct, or modify personal wireless service facilities shall be in writing and supported by substantial evidence contained in a written record.

“(iv) No State or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Commission's regulations concerning such emissions.

“(v) Any person adversely affected by any final action or failure to act by a State or local government or any instrumentality thereof that is inconsistent with this subparagraph may, within 30 days after such action or failure to act, commence an action in any court of competent jurisdiction. The court shall hear and decide such action on an expedited basis. Any person adversely affected by an act or failure to act by a State or local government or any instrumentality thereof that is inconsistent with clause (iv) may petition the Commission for relief.

“(C) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) the term ‘personal wireless services’ means commercial mobile services, unlicensed wireless services, and common carrier wireless exchange access services;

“(ii) the term ‘personal wireless service facilities’ means facilities for the provision of personal wireless services; and

“(iii) the term ‘unlicensed wireless service’ means the offering of telecommunications services using duly authorized devices which do not require individual licenses, but does not mean the provision of direct-to-home satellite services (as defined in section 303(v)).”.

(b) RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS.—Within 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Commission shall complete action in ET Docket 93-62 to prescribe and make effective rules regarding the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions.

(c) AVAILABILITY OF PROPERTY.—Within 180 days of the enactment of this Act, the President or his designee shall prescribe procedures by which Federal departments and agencies may make available on a fair, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory basis, property, rights-of-way, and easements under their control for the placement of new telecommunications services that are dependent, in whole or in part, upon the utilization of Federal spectrum rights for the transmission or reception of such services. These procedures may establish a presumption that requests for the use of property, rights-of-way, and easements by duly authorized providers should be granted absent unavoidable direct conflict with the department or agency's mission, or the current or planned use of the property, rights-of-way, and easements in question. Reasonable fees may be charged to providers of such telecommunications services for use of property, rights-of-way, and easements. The Commission shall provide technical support to States to encourage them to make property, rights-of-way, and easements under their jurisdiction available for such purposes.

SEC. 705. MOBILE SERVICES DIRECT ACCESS TO LONG DISTANCE CARRIERS.

Section 332(c) (47 U.S.C. 332(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) MOBILE SERVICES ACCESS.—A person engaged in the provision of commercial mobile services, insofar as such person is so engaged, shall not be required to provide equal access to common carriers for the provision of telephone toll services. If the Commission determines that subscribers to such services are denied access to the provider of telephone toll services of the subscribers' choice, and that such denial is contrary to the public interest, convenience, and necessity, then the Commission shall prescribe regulations to afford subscribers unblocked access to the provider of telephone toll services of the subscribers' choice through the use of a carrier identification code assigned to such provider or other mechanism. The requirements for unblocking shall not apply to mobile satellite services unless the Commission finds it to be in the public interest to apply such requirements to such services.”.

SEC. 706. ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS INCENTIVES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Commission and each State commission with regulatory jurisdiction over telecommunications services shall encourage the deployment on a reasonable and timely basis of advanced telecommunications capability to all Americans (including, in particular, elementary and secondary schools and classrooms) by utilizing, in a manner consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, price cap regulation, regulatory forbearance, measures that promote competition in the local telecommunications market, or other regulating methods that remove barriers to infrastructure investment.

(b) INQUIRY.—The Commission shall, within 30 months after the date of enactment of this Act, and regularly thereafter, initiate a notice of inquiry concerning the availability of advanced telecommunications capability to all Americans (including, in particular, elementary and secondary schools and classrooms) and shall complete the inquiry within 180 days after its initiation. In the inquiry, the Commission shall determine whether advanced telecommunications capability is being deployed to all Americans in a reasonable and timely fashion. If the Commission's determination is negative, it shall take immediate action to accelerate deployment of such capability by removing barriers to infrastructure investment and by promoting competition in the telecommunications market.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection:

(1) ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY.—The term “advanced telecommunications capability” is defined, without regard to any transmission media or technology, as high-speed, switched, broadband telecommunications capability that enables users to originate and receive high-quality voice, data, graphics, and video telecommunications using any technology.

(2) ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS.—The term “elementary and secondary schools” means elementary and secondary schools, as defined in paragraphs (14) and (25), respectively, of section 14101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 8801).

SEC. 707. TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVELOPMENT FUND.

(a) DEPOSIT AND USE OF AUCTION ESCROW ACCOUNTS.—Section 309(j)(8) (47 U.S.C. 309(j)(8)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) DEPOSIT AND USE OF AUCTION ESCROW ACCOUNTS.—Any deposits the Commission may require for the qualification of any person to bid in a system of competitive bidding pursuant to this subsection shall be deposited in an interest bearing account at a financial institution designated for purposes of this subsection by the Commission (after consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury). Within 45 days following the conclusion of the competitive bidding—

“(i) the deposits of successful bidders shall be paid to the Treasury;

“(ii) the deposits of unsuccessful bidders shall be returned to such bidders; and

“(iii) the interest accrued to the account shall be transferred to the Telecommunications Development Fund established pursuant to section 714 of this Act.”.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF FUND.—Title VII is amended by inserting after section 713 (as added by section 305) the following new section:

“SEC. 714. TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVELOPMENT FUND.

“(a) PURPOSE OF SECTION.—It is the purpose of this section—

“(1) to promote access to capital for small businesses in order to enhance competition in the telecommunications industry;

“(2) to stimulate new technology development, and promote employment and training; and

“(3) to support universal service and promote delivery of telecommunications services to underserved rural and urban areas.

“(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF FUND.—There is hereby established a body corporate to be known as the Telecommunications Development Fund, which shall have succession until dissolved. The Fund shall maintain its principal office in the District of Columbia and shall be deemed, for purposes of venue and jurisdiction in civil actions, to be a resident and citizen thereof.

“(c) BOARD OF DIRECTORS.—

“(1) COMPOSITION OF BOARD; CHAIRMAN.—The Fund shall have a Board of Directors which shall consist of 7 persons appointed by the Chairman of the Commission. Four of such directors shall be representative of the private sector and three of such directors shall be representative of the Commission, the Small Business Administration, and the Department of the Treasury, respectively. The Chairman of the Commission shall appoint one of the representatives of the private sector to serve as chairman of the Fund within 30 days after the date of enactment of this section, in order to facilitate rapid creation and implementation of the Fund. The directors shall include members with experience in a number of the following areas: finance, investment banking, government banking, communications law and administrative practice, and public policy.

“(2) TERMS OF APPOINTED AND ELECTED MEMBERS.—The directors shall be eligible to serve for terms of 5 years, except of the initial members, as designated at the time of their appointment—

“(A) 1 shall be eligible to service for a term of 1 year;

“(B) 1 shall be eligible to service for a term of 2 years;

“(C) 1 shall be eligible to service for a term of 3 years;

“(D) 2 shall be eligible to service for a term of 4 years; and

“(E) 2 shall be eligible to service for a term of 5 years (1 of whom shall be the Chairman). Directors may continue to serve until their successors have been appointed and have qualified.

“(3) MEETINGS AND FUNCTIONS OF THE BOARD.—The Board of Directors shall meet at the call of its Chairman, but at least quarterly. The Board shall determine the general policies which shall govern the operations of the Fund. The Chairman of the Board shall, with the approval of the Board, select, appoint, and compensate qualified persons to fill the offices as may be provided for in the bylaws, with such functions, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by the bylaws or by the Board of Directors, and such persons shall be the officers of the Fund and shall discharge all such functions, powers, and duties.

“(d) ACCOUNTS OF THE FUND.—The Fund shall maintain its accounts at a financial institution designated for purposes of this section by the Chairman of the Board (after consultation with the Commission and the Secretary of the Treasury). The accounts of the Fund shall consist of—

“(1) interest transferred pursuant to section 309(j)(8)(C) of this Act;

“(2) such sums as may be appropriated to the Commission for advances to the Fund;

“(3) any contributions or donations to the Fund that are accepted by the Fund; and

“(4) any repayment of, or other payment made with respect to, loans, equity, or other extensions of credit made from the Fund.

“(e) USE OF THE FUND.—All moneys deposited into the accounts of the Fund shall be used solely for—

“(1) the making of loans, investments, or other extensions of credits to eligible small businesses in accordance with subsection (f);

“(2) the provision of financial advice to eligible small businesses;

“(3) expenses for the administration and management of the Fund (including salaries, expenses, and the rental or purchase of office space for the fund);

“(4) preparation of research, studies, or financial analyses; and

“(5) other services consistent with the purposes of this section.

“(f) LENDING AND CREDIT OPERATIONS.—Loans or other extensions of credit from the Fund shall be made available in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661 et seq.) and any other applicable law to an eligible small business on the basis of—

“(1) the analysis of the business plan of the eligible small business;

“(2) the reasonable availability of collateral to secure the loan or credit extension;

“(3) the extent to which the loan or credit extension promotes the purposes of this section; and

“(4) other lending policies as defined by the Board.

“(g) RETURN OF ADVANCES.—Any advances appropriated pursuant to subsection (d)(2) shall be disbursed upon such terms and conditions (including conditions relating to the time or times of repayment) as are specified in any appropriations Act providing such advances.

“(h) GENERAL CORPORATE POWERS.—The Fund shall have power—

“(1) to sue and be sued, complain and defend, in its corporate name and through its own counsel;

“(2) to adopt, alter, and use the corporate seal, which shall be judicially noticed;

“(3) to adopt, amend, and repeal by its Board of Directors, bylaws, rules, and regulations as may be necessary for the conduct of its business;

“(4) to conduct its business, carry on its operations, and have officers and exercise the power granted by this section in any State without regard to any qualification or similar statute in any State;

“(5) to lease, purchase, or otherwise acquire, own, hold, improve, use, or otherwise deal in and with any property, real, personal, or mixed, or any interest therein, wherever situated, for the purposes of the Fund;

“(6) to accept gifts or donations of services, or of property, real, personal, or mixed, tangible or intangible, in aid of any of the purposes of the Fund;

“(7) to sell, convey, mortgage, pledge, lease, exchange, and otherwise dispose of its property and assets;

“(8) to appoint such officers, attorneys, employees, and agents as may be required, to determine their qualifications, to define their duties, to fix their salaries, require bonds for them, and fix the penalty thereof; and

“(9) to enter into contracts, to execute instruments, to incur liabilities, to make loans and equity investment, and to do all things as are necessary or incidental to the proper management of its affairs and the proper conduct of its business.

“(i) ACCOUNTING, AUDITING, AND REPORTING.—The accounts of the Fund shall be audited annually. Such audits shall be conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards by independent certified public accountants. A report of each such audit shall be furnished to the Secretary of the Treasury and the Commission. The representatives of the Secretary and the Commission shall have access to all books,

accounts, financial records, reports, files, and all other papers, things, or property belonging to or in use by the Fund and necessary to facilitate the audit.

“(j) REPORT ON AUDITS BY TREASURY.—A report of each such audit for a fiscal year shall be made by the Secretary of the Treasury to the President and to the Congress not later than 6 months following the close of such fiscal year. The report shall set forth the scope of the audit and shall include a statement of assets and liabilities, capital and surplus or deficit; a statement of surplus or deficit analysis; a statement of income and expense; a statement of sources and application of funds; and such comments and information as may be deemed necessary to keep the President and the Congress informed of the operations and financial condition of the Fund, together with such recommendations with respect thereto as the Secretary may deem advisable.

“(k) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

“(1) ELIGIBLE SMALL BUSINESS.—The term ‘eligible small business’ means business enterprises engaged in the telecommunications industry that have \$50,000,000 or less in annual revenues, on average over the past 3 years prior to submitting the application under this section.

“(2) FUND.—The term ‘Fund’ means the Telecommunications Development Fund established pursuant to this section.

“(3) TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY.—The term ‘telecommunications industry’ means communications businesses using regulated or unregulated facilities or services and includes broadcasting, telecommunications, cable, computer, data transmission, software, programming, advanced messaging, and electronics businesses.”

SEC. 708. NATIONAL EDUCATION TECHNOLOGY FUNDING CORPORATION.

(a) FINDINGS; PURPOSE.—

(1) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds as follows:

(A) CORPORATION.—There has been established in the District of Columbia a private, nonprofit corporation known as the National Education Technology Funding Corporation which is not an agency or independent establishment of the Federal Government.

(B) BOARD OF DIRECTORS.—The Corporation is governed by a Board of Directors, as prescribed in the Corporation’s articles of incorporation, consisting of 15 members, of which—

(i) five members are representative of public agencies representative of schools and public libraries;

(ii) five members are representative of State government, including persons knowledgeable about State finance, technology and education; and

(iii) five members are representative of the private sector, with expertise in network technology, finance and management.

(C) CORPORATE PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Corporation, as set forth in its articles of incorporation, are—

(i) to leverage resources and stimulate private investment in education technology infrastructure;

(ii) to designate State education technology agencies to receive loans, grants or other forms of assistance from the Corporation;

(iii) to establish criteria for encouraging States to—

(I) create, maintain, utilize and upgrade interactive high capacity networks capable of providing audio, visual and data communications for elementary schools, secondary schools and public libraries;

(II) distribute resources to assure equitable aid to all elementary schools and secondary schools in the State and achieve universal access to network technology; and

(III) upgrade the delivery and development of learning through innovative technology-based instructional tools and applications;

(iv) to provide loans, grants and other forms of assistance to State education technology agencies, with due regard for providing a fair balance among types of school districts and public libraries assisted and the disparate needs of such districts and libraries;

(v) to leverage resources to provide maximum aid to elementary schools, secondary schools and public libraries; and

(vi) to encourage the development of education telecommunications and information technologies through public-private ventures, by serving as a clearinghouse for information on new education technologies, and by providing technical assistance, including assistance to States, if needed, to establish State education technology agencies.

(2) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to recognize the Corporation as a non-profit corporation operating under the laws of the District of Columbia, and to provide authority for Federal departments and agencies to provide assistance to the Corporation.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For the purpose of this section—

(1) the term "Corporation" means the National Education Technology Funding Corporation described in subsection (a)(1)(A);

(2) the terms "elementary school" and "secondary school" have the same meanings given such terms in section 14101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965; and

(3) the term "public library" has the same meaning given such term in section 3 of the Library Services and Construction Act.

(c) ASSISTANCE FOR EDUCATION TECHNOLOGY PURPOSES.—

(1) RECEIPT BY CORPORATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in order to carry out the corporate purposes described in subsection (a)(1)(C), the Corporation shall be eligible to receive discretionary grants, contracts, gifts, contributions, or technical assistance from any Federal department or agency, to the extent otherwise permitted by law.

(2) AGREEMENT.—In order to receive any assistance described in paragraph (1) the Corporation shall enter into an agreement with the Federal department or agency providing such assistance, under which the Corporation agrees—

(A) to use such assistance to provide funding and technical assistance only for activities which the Board of Directors of the Corporation determines are consistent with the corporate purposes described in subsection (a)(1)(C);

(B) to review the activities of State education technology agencies and other entities receiving assistance from the Corporation to assure that the corporate purposes described in subsection (a)(1)(C) are carried out;

(C) that no part of the assets of the Corporation shall accrue to the benefit of any member of the Board of Directors of the Corporation, any officer or employee of the Corporation, or any other individual, except as salary or reasonable compensation for services;

(D) that the Board of Directors of the Corporation will adopt policies and procedures to prevent conflicts of interest;

(E) to maintain a Board of Directors of the Corporation consistent with subsection (a)(1)(B);

(F) that the Corporation, and any entity receiving the assistance from the Corporation, are subject to the appropriate oversight procedures of the Congress; and

(G) to comply with—

(i) the audit requirements described in subsection (d); and

(ii) the reporting and testimony requirements described in subsection (e).

(3) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to establish the Corporation as an agency or independent establishment of the Federal Government, or to establish the members of the Board of Directors of the Corporation, or the officers and employees of the Corporation, as officers or employees of the Federal Government.

(d) AUDITS.—

(1) AUDITS BY INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Corporation's financial statements shall be audited annually in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards by independent certified public accountants who are certified by a regulatory authority of a State or other political subdivision of the United States. The audits shall be conducted at the place or places where the accounts of the Corporation are normally kept. All books, accounts, financial records, reports, files, and all other papers, things, or property belonging to or in use by the Corporation and necessary to facilitate the audit shall be made available to the person or persons conducting the audits, and full facilities for verifying transactions with the balances or securities held by depositories, fiscal agents, and custodians shall be afforded to such person or persons.

(B) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—The report of each annual audit described in subparagraph (A) shall be included in the annual report required by subsection (e)(1).

(2) RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS; AUDIT AND EXAMINATION OF BOOKS.—

(A) RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS.—The Corporation shall ensure that each recipient of assistance from the Corporation keeps—

(i) separate accounts with respect to such assistance;

(ii) such records as may be reasonably necessary to fully disclose—

(I) the amount and the disposition by such recipient of the proceeds of such assistance;

(II) the total cost of the project or undertaking in connection with which such assistance is given or used; and

(III) the amount and nature of that portion of the cost of the project or undertaking supplied by other sources; and

(iii) such other records as will facilitate an effective audit.

(B) AUDIT AND EXAMINATION OF BOOKS.—The Corporation shall ensure that the Corporation, or any of the Corporation's duly authorized representatives, shall have access for the purpose of audit and examination to any books, documents, papers, and records of any recipient of assistance from the Corporation that are pertinent to such assistance. Representatives of the Comptroller General shall also have such access for such purpose.

(e) ANNUAL REPORT; TESTIMONY TO THE CONGRESS.—

(1) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than April 30 of each year, the Corporation shall publish an annual report for the preceding fiscal year and submit that report to the President and the Congress. The report shall include a comprehensive and detailed evaluation of the Corporation's operations, activities, financial condition, and accomplishments under this section and may include such recommendations as the Corporation deems appropriate.

(2) TESTIMONY BEFORE CONGRESS.—The members of the Board of Directors, and officers, of the Corporation shall be available to testify before appropriate committees of the Congress with respect to the report described in paragraph (1), the report of any audit made by the Comptroller General pursuant to this section, or any other matter which any such committee may determine appropriate.

SEC. 709. REPORT ON THE USE OF ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FOR MEDICAL PURPOSES.

The Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services and other appropriate departments and agencies, shall submit a report to the Committee on Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation of the Senate concerning the activities of the Joint Working Group on Telemedicine, together with any findings reached in the studies and demonstrations on telemedicine funded by the Public Health Service or other Federal agencies. The report shall examine questions related to patient safety, the efficacy and quality of the services provided, and other legal, medical, and economic issues related to the utilization of advanced telecommunications services for medical purposes. The report shall be submitted to the respective Committees by January 31, 1997.

SEC. 710. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In addition to any other sums authorized by law, there are authorized to be appropriated to the Federal Communications Commission such sums as may be necessary to carry out this Act and the amendments made by this Act.

(b) EFFECT ON FEES.—For the purposes of section 9(b)(2) (47 U.S.C. 159(b)(2)), additional amounts appropriated pursuant to subsection (a) shall be construed to be changes in the amounts appropriated for the performance of activities described in section 9(a) of the Communications Act of 1934.

(c) FUNDING AVAILABILITY.—Section 309(j)(8)(B) (47 U.S.C. 309(j)(8)(B)) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Such offsetting collections are authorized to remain available until expended."

And the House agree to the same.

From the Committee on Commerce, for consideration of the Senate bill, and the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

TOM BLILEY,
JACK FIELDS,
MICHAEL G. OXLEY,
RICK WHITE,
JOHN D. DINGELL,
EDWARD J. MARKEY,
RICK BOUCHER,
ANNA G. ESHOO,
BOBBY L. RUSH,

Provided, Mr. Pallone is appointed in lieu of Mr. Boucher solely for consideration of sec. 205 of the Senate bill:

FRANK PALLONE, JR.,

As additional conferees, for consideration of secs. 1-6, 101-04, 106-07, 201, 204-05, 221-25, 301-05, 307-11, 401-02, 405-06, 410, 601-06, 703, and 705 of the Senate bill, and title I of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

DAN SCHAEFER,
JOE BARTON,
J. DENNIS HASTERT,
BILL PAXON,
SCOTT KLUG,
DAN FRISA,
CLIFF STEARNS,
SHERROD BROWN,
BART GORDON,
BLANCHE LAMBERT
LINCOLN,

As additional conferees, for consideration of secs. 102, 202-03, 403, 407-09, and 706 of the Senate bill, and title II of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

DAN SCHAEFER,
J. DENNIS HASTERT,
DAN FRISA,

As additional conferees, for consideration of secs. 105, 206, 302, 306, 312, 501-05, and 701-02 of

the Senate bill, and title III of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

CLIFF STEARNS,
BILL PAXON,
SCOTT KLUG,

As additional conferees, for consideration of secs. 7-8, 226, 404, and 704 of the Senate bill, and titles IV-V of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

DAN SCHAEFER,
J. DENNIS HASTERT,
SCOTT KLUG,

As additional conferees, for consideration of title VI of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

DAN SCHAEFER,
JOE BARTON,
SCOTT KLUG,

As additional conferees from the Committee on the Judiciary, for consideration of the Senate bill (except secs. 1-6, 101-04, 106-07, 201, 204-05, 221-25, 301-05, 307-11, 401-02, 405-06, 410, 601-06, 703, and 705), and of the House amendment (except title I), and modifications committed to conference:

HENRY HYDE,
CARLOS J. MOORHEAD,
BOB GOODLATTE,
STEVE BUYER,
MIKE FLANAGAN,

As additional conferees, for consideration of secs. 1-6, 101-04, 106-07, 201, 204-05, 221-25, 301-05, 307-11, 401-02, 405-06, 410, 601-06, 703, and 705 of the Senate bill, and title I of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

HENRY HYDE,
CARLOS J. MOORHEAD,
BOB GOODLATTE,
STEVE BUYER,
MIKE FLANAGAN,
ELTON GALLEGLY,
BOB BARR,
MARTIN R. HOKE,
HOWARD L. BERMAN,

Managers on the Part of the House.

LARRY PRESSLER,
TED STEVENS,
SLADE GORTON,
TRENT LOTT,
FRITZ HOLLINGS,
DANIEL K. INOUE,
WENDELL FORD,
J.J. EXON,
JAY ROCKEFELLER,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

Pending consideration of the conference report,

On demand of Mr. CONYERS, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXVIII,

Ordered, That time for debate be equally divided among Messrs. BLILEY, DINGELL and CONYERS.

When said conference report was considered.

After debate,

On motion of Mr. BLILEY, the previous question was ordered on the conference report to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, *viva voce*, Will the House agree to said conference report?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HAYWORTH, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. BLILEY demanded a recorded vote on agreeing to said conference report which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the affirmative { Yeas 414
Nays 16

¶11.10 [Roll No. 25]
AYES—414

Ackerman
Allard
Andrews
Archer
Armye
Bachus
Baesler
Baker (CA)
Baker (LA)
Baldacci
Ballenger
Barcia
Barr
Barrett (NE)
Barrett (WI)
Bartlett
Barton
Bass
Bateman
Becerra
Beilenson
Bentsen
Bereuter
Berman
Bevill
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bishop
Bliley
Blute
Boehlert
Boehner
Bonilla
Bonior
Bono
Borski
Boucher
Brewster
Browder
Brown (CA)
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Brownback
Bryant (TN)
Bunn
Bunning
Burton
Buyer
Callahan
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Canady
Cardin
Castle
Chabot
Chambliss
Chenoweth
Christensen
Chrysler
Clay
Clayton
Clement
Clinger
Clyburn
Coble
Coburn
Coleman
Collins (GA)
Collins (IL)
Collins (MI)
Combest
Condit
Cooley
Costello
Cox
Coyne
Cramer
Crane
Crapo
Cremeans
Cubin
Cunningham
Danner
Davis
de la Garza
Deal
DeLauro
DeLay
Dellums
Deutsch
Diaz-Balart
Dickey
Dicks
Dingell
Dixon
Doggett
Dooley
Doolittle
Dornan
Doyle
Dreier
Duncan
Dunn
Durbin
Edwards
Ehlers
Ehrlich
Emerson
Engel
English
Ensign
Eshoo
Everett
Ewing
Farr
Fattah
Fawell
Fazio
Fields (LA)
Fields (TX)
Flake
Flanagan
Foglietta
Foley
Forbes
Ford
Fowler
Fox
Franks (CT)
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen
Frisa
Frost
Funderburk
Furse
Gallegly
Ganske
Gejdenson
Gekas
Gephardt
Geren
Gibbons
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gilman
Gingrich
Gonzalez
Goodlatte
Goodling
Gordon
Graham
Green
Greenwood
Gunderson
Gutierrez
Gutknecht
Hall (OH)
Hall (TX)
Hamilton
Hancock
Hansen
Harman
Hastert
Hastings (FL)
Hastings (WA)
Hayes
Hayworth
Hefley
Hefner
Heineman
Herger
Hilleary
Hobson
Hoekstra
Hoke
Holden
Horn
Hostettler
Houghton
Hoyer
Hunter
Hutchinson
Hyde
Inglis
Istook
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee
(TX)
Jacobs
Jefferson
Johnson (CT)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Johnston
Jones
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kasich
Kelly
Kennedy (MA)
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Kim
King
Kingston
Kleczka
Klink
Klug
Knollenberg
Kolbe
LaFalce
Lahood
Lantos
Largent
Latham
LaTourette
Laughlin
Lazio
Leach
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Lewis (KY)
Lightfoot
Lincoln
Linder
Lipinski
Livingston
LoBiondo
Lofgren
Longley
Lowey
Lucas
Luther
Maloney
Manton
Manzullo
Markey
Martinez
Martini
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy
McCollum
McCrery
McDade
McDermott
McHale
McHugh
McInnis
McIntosh
McKeon
McKinney
McNulty
Meehan
Meek
Menendez
Metcalf
Meyers
Mfume
Mica
Miller (CA)
Miller (FL)
Minge
Mink
Moakley
Molinari
Mollohan
Montgomery
Moorhead
Moran
Morella
Murtha
Myers
Myrick
Neal
Nethercutt
Neumann
Ney
Norwood
Nussle
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Orton
Owens
Oxley
Packard
Pallone
Parker
Pastor
Paxon
Payne (NJ)
Payne (VA)
Pelosi
Peterson (FL)
Petri
Pickett
Pombo
Pomeroy
Porter
Portman
Poshard
Pryce
Quillen
Quinn
Radanovich
Rahall
Ramstad
Rangel
Reed
Regula
Richardson
Riggs
Rivers
Roberts
Roemer
Rogers
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Roth
Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Rush
Sabo
Salmon
Sanford
Sawyer
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer
Schiff
Schumer
Scott
Seastrand
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Shadegg
Pelosi
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skeen
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Solomon
Souder
Spence
Spratt
Stearns
Stenholm
Stockman
Stokes
Studds
Stump
Stupak
Talent
Tanner
Tate
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Taylor (NC)
Tejeda
Thomas
Thompson
Thornberry
Thornton
Thurman
Tiahrt
Torkildsen
Torres
Torricelli
Towns
Traficant
Upton
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Vucanovich
Waldholtz
Walker
Walsh
Wamp
Ward
Waters
Watt (NC)
Watts (OK)
Waxman
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
White
Whitfield
Wicker
Wilson
Wise
Wolf
Woolsey
Wyden
Wynn
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Ziff
Zimmer

Ney
Norwood
Nussle
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Orton
Owens
Oxley
Packard
Pallone
Parker
Pastor
Paxon
Payne (NJ)
Payne (VA)
Pelosi
Peterson (FL)
Petri
Pickett
Pombo
Pomeroy
Porter
Portman
Poshard
Pryce
Quillen
Quinn
Radanovich
Rahall
Ramstad
Rangel
Reed
Regula
Richardson
Riggs
Rivers
Roberts
Roemer
Rogers
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Roth
Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Rush
Sabo
Salmon
Sanford
Sawyer
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer
Schiff
Schumer
Scott
Seastrand
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Shadegg
Pelosi
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skeen
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Solomon
Souder
Spence
Spratt
Stearns
Stenholm
Stockman
Stokes
Studds
Stump
Stupak
Talent
Tanner
Tate
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Taylor (NC)
Tejeda
Thomas
Thompson
Thornberry
Thornton
Thurman
Tiahrt
Torkildsen
Torres
Torricelli
Towns
Traficant
Upton
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Vucanovich
Waldholtz
Walker
Walsh
Wamp
Ward
Waters
Watt (NC)
Watts (OK)
Waxman
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
White
Whitfield
Wicker
Wilson
Wise
Wolf
Woolsey
Wyden
Wynn
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Ziff
Zimmer

NOES—16

Abercrombie
Conyers
DeFazio
Evans
Frank (MA)
Hilliard
Hinchey
Johnson (SD)
Nadler
Peterson (MN)
Sanders
Schroeder
Stark
Volkmer
Williams
Yates

NOT VOTING—4

Bryant (TX)
Chapman
Filner
Rose

So the conference report was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said conference report was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

¶11.11 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2924

Mr. GOSS, by direction of the Committee on Rules, reported (Rept. No. 104-460) the resolution (H. Res. 355) providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 2924) to guarantee the timely payment of social security benefits in March 1996.

When said resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar and ordered printed.

¶11.12 PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. GEPHARDT rose to a question of the privileges of the House and submitted the following resolution (H. Res. 356):

Whereas, the inability of the House to pass an adjustment in the public debt limit unburdened by the unrelated political agenda of either party, an adjustment to maintain the creditworthiness of the United States and to avoid disruption of interest rates and the financial markets brings discredit upon the House;

Whereas, the failure of the House of Representatives to adjust the federal debt limit and keep the nation from default impairs the dignity of the House, the integrity of its proceedings and the esteem the public holds for the House; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution the enrolling clerk of the House of Representatives shall prepare an engrossment of the bill, H.R. 2409. The vote by which this resolution is adopted by the House shall be deemed to have been a vote in favor of such bill upon final passage in the House of Representatives. Upon engrossment of the bill, it shall be deemed to have passed the House of Representatives and been duly certified and examined; the engrossed copy shall be signed by the Clerk and transmitted to the Senate for further legislative action; and (upon final passage by both Houses) the bill shall be signed by the presiding officers of both Houses and presented to the President for his signature (and otherwise treated for all purposes) in the manner provided for bills generally.

Mr. GEPHARDT was recognized to speak and said:

"Mr. Speaker, let me explain why this is a question of privilege and why this Congress must act to extend the debt limit, with no threats or conditions, to preserve the integrity of this entire Government.

"Rule IX of this House states very clearly that matters of privilege are those affecting the House collectively, those affecting its dignity and integrity, and those affecting the reputation of Members in their representative capacity.

"I ask every Member of this Congress today, how can the dignity and integrity of this Congress be maintained if we tear down the dignity and integrity of this country? How can any single Member of the 104th Congress maintain our reputation and honor if we go down in the history books as the Congress that broke America's word, the very first Congress that dared to tarnish America's trust in the world.

"Mr. Speaker, I know there are enough Democrats and Republicans to extend the debt limit and avoid this crisis right now, if we could only have that vote on the floor. It is unfair to all of us to have our rights, our reputations, our good names dashed for what I believe is a partisan purpose.

"Some of our Republican colleagues are threatening to default on America's financial obligations, to turn our backs on seniors who need their Social Security checks, taxpayers who deserve their refunds, people throughout the world have invested in America.

"There is no question that economic chaos would follow even a day of default. Interest rates on credit cards, car loans, and mortgages would skyrocket. The dollar would plummet. World financial markets could go into a tailspin. The damage would most likely be permanent, because such reckless delinquency would be without historical precedent in our country.

"We had a bloody Civil War in the last century, when America was torn in half, probably our greatest crisis. But all through it and after it, we kept our credit whole. During two world wars

when our economy was stretched to the limit, we found room to honor our word to the people who had invested in our debt. Through recessions and a great depression, we have guarded America's financial faith and integrity because it is as sacred as the Constitution itself.

"This is not partisan hyperbole. Even the threat of default is damaging our credibility day by day, more and more with each passing day.

"We cannot afford to play politics with that credibility. We cannot afford to delay to stand for our national word and honor.

"What crisis is bigger than two world wars and the Great Depression? A disagreement over a budget. We Democrats think it is wrong to cut Medicare for huge tax breaks, especially since we think it is unnecessary to balance the budget. Republicans legitimately disagree. This is a valid debate. It is one we should resolve. But defaulting on our obligations, hurting millions of average Americans, damaging our most precious possession, our word and our credibility, is no way to resolve it.

"After all, shutting down the Government twice did not resolve it. Why would an international economic crisis resolve it?

"Mr. Speaker, parliamentary privilege exists for exactly this kind of crisis. This is more than an economic issue. It is a profoundly moral issue.

"If we bargain away America's integrity for the latest political squabble, if we can bring millions of families to the brink of economic crisis because we cannot agree on this year's budget, then in my opinion we cease to serve the United States of America, and we no longer have honor to maintain.

"This crisis, Mr. Speaker, is the very essence of privilege in this parliamentary body, and I urge the Chair, on behalf of our country and the promise and word of our country, to rule in its favor."

Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts was recognized to speak and said:

"Mr. Speaker, there can be no greater cause for a parliamentary privilege than the constitutional crisis that is being perpetrated by the elements of this House that have chosen a path to default on America's debt in order to get their particular view rammed through the House of Representatives and the Senate of the United States. Mr. Speaker, we have got to deal with this crisis.

"The truth of the matter is that originally we were told that the reason why the Republicans so much wanted to have the debt default issue brought forward was to insist upon a balanced budget. President Clinton has agreed to a balanced budget.

"We were then told, though, it was not a balanced budget, it was a balanced budget within 7 years. President Clinton agreed to a balanced budget within 7 years.

"We were then told it was not a balanced budget within 7 years but it was with the CBO numbers. President Clinton agreed to a balanced budget in 7 years using CBO numbers.

"Then we were told it was not a balanced budget, 7 years, CBO numbers, but it had to have a tax cut. President Clinton agreed to a tax cut.

"It is not as big a tax cut as the one the Republicans want, so the Republicans are insistent upon challenging the debt of this country, breaking the back of 200 years of history, breaking the parliamentary process that has been set up that says if we have disagreements between bills passed by the House of Representatives and the United States Senate, that we have in fact a President that can sign that bill or he can veto that bill. If he vetoes the bill, we have the right to override that veto. If we do not have the votes to override, we then compromise.

"The truth of the matter is there is no willingness to compromise.

"Mr. Speaker, I am talking about a question of privilege. I am talking about my dignity and my integrity, the integrity of this body, the integrity of every Member on the Democratic and Republican side.

"You are willing to break the back, break the debt of America in order to ram through your narrow political guerrilla tactics. It is time for a little dignity on the floor of this House, Mr. Speaker, and I want to be heard.

"Mr. Speaker, I believe very strongly that this is an issue of parliamentary privilege. I could not agree more strongly with the words of the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. GEPHARDT], that this is an issue, the most important issue we have faced this year, the most important issue that we have faced in many years.

"If we allow the debt of this country to be defaulted upon, we will hurt the future of our country's borrowing, we will hurt the future of our country's children, and we will hurt our senior citizens.

"Please pass a full debt extension. Allow us to pay our bills as every generation prior to ours has done throughout the history of this country."

Mr. SOLOMON was recognized to speak and said:

"Mr. Speaker, in the interest of time, I will make the argument brief as to why this resolution does not constitute a question of privilege under House rule IX, but just as I do that, let me preface those remarks by calling attention to the bill that will be on the floor directly after we finish with these two issues here. It states in the line 6, "Congress intends to pass an increase in the public debt limit before March 1, 1996," and let me say that they will do this over my objections because I am just appalled that we are once again going to extend this debt limit.

"But having said that, let us talk about this issue. The precedents are absolutely clear that a resolution raising a question of privilege may not be used to change those rules. This resolution would change House rules by automatically passing a specified bill. Nowhere in House rules is it contemplated or specified that legislation may be called up, let alone passed, by means of a

question of privileged resolution. The Chair has already so ruled on numerous occasions during the last several weeks. I therefore would urge that this resolution be ruled out of order, Mr. Speaker.”

Mr. KANJORSKI was recognized to speak and said:

“Mr. Speaker, I know that this is an issue that other parliamentarians have ruled on in the history of this great House, but as we reflect, my friends on both sides, and to remove this from a partisan issue, the issue of the Constitution and the issue of the House of Representatives predates the existence of either parties that exercise influence in this House today.

“We are in the 208th year of the American Constitution, the 104th Congress of the United States. We are here by virtue of the fact that our constituents elected us to come here and present ourselves under article I of the Constitution of the United States and take an oath of office that Constitution. Article I provides for the powers of the House of Representatives, one of which is to provide for the debt of the United States. Those of us in this House today, more than a majority, I daresay, because I have a letter addressed to the Speaker signed by more than 191 members of the minority side of the House, and I am aware of the fact that several dozen of my good friends on the majority side join me in this cause.

“So clearly if a resolution for the raising of the debt limit presented to the House clean, it could and would receive a majority vote of the House of Representatives honoring the commitment we made in our oath of office under article I of the Constitution of the United States.

“For the leadership of the House, for the Rules Committee or for the rules of the House to frustrate article I and the individual oath and the collective oath of this entire House and to argue that this does not fall within the purview of the privilege of the House going to the integrity and the dignity of individual Members or collectively of this House is the most fallacious and ridiculous argument I have ever heard in my years in public life.

“I argue that we put aside today as we are about to leave on a 3-week vacation and send a message to America that the House of Representatives is going to pursue and follow its oath of office, the article I of the American Constitution, and allow for an open vote a resolution allowing for the provision to pay the debts of the U.S. Government under the existing Constitution of the United States.”

Mr. RANGEL was recognized to speak and said:

“Mr. Speaker, I am going to try desperately hard to be nonpartisan in my remarks, because I think we have reached that point as a Congress that the general public is just fed up with all of us and are not taking the time to determine whether it is the so-called Republican leadership or whether it is

the House of Representatives, the Senators or even whether it is the Government of the United States.

“All of us have had the opportunity to explain what our job is here in the House, and we are honored to serve in this House, and whether we are dealing with adults or whether we deal with children, compromise has never been a dirty word in explaining the work of the subcommittees, the full committees, what we do in conference and what we send to the President of the United States. If we are going to change the rules here, you are changing the rules not just for individuals and parties, you are changing the rules for every one of the Members of this House whether they are participating in this or whether they are not, and you are not giving them choices. You are not playing by the rules. You are not playing by the rules we were sworn in to endorse. Those rules are simple rules.

“You do not like what the President has done. You do not like the veto; you override the veto, that is what you do, and if you cannot override the veto, you try to come back and work out something.

“Oh, I know, you are in a hurry. You cannot talk about it. You cannot talk about compromise. All of a sudden this beautiful word has now become a stigma, because a handful of people have snatched what they think is principle, and they are threatening the United States of America’s integrity throughout this world.

“You can do what you want with your party or with your members. But it is unfair, and it takes away from our prerogative as sworn Members of this House to threaten the economic life of the United States of America and the free world by holding a debt extension hostage in order to reach your political end.

“Politics are played at the polls, and they should not be the reputation of the United States that is being played on parliamentary maneuvers.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, ruled that the resolution submitted did not present a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX, and said:

“The resolution offered by the gentleman from Missouri alleges that the failure of the House to take a specified legislative action brings it discredit and lowers it in public esteem. On that premise it resolves that the House be considered to have passed a legislative measure.

“Under rule IX, questions of the privileges of the House are those ‘affecting the rights of the House collectively, its safety, its dignity, [or] the integrity of its proceedings.’ But a question of the privileges of the House may not be invoked to effect a change in the rules of the House or to prescribe a special order of business for the House. This principle has been upheld on several occasions cited in section 664 of the House Rules and Manual, including June 27, 1974 where a

resolution directing the Committee on Rules to consider reporting a special order was held not to present a question of privilege.

“In this Congress, resolutions have been offered that attempt to advance legislative propositions as questions of privileges of the House on February 7 and December 22, 1995, on January 3, 1996, and, in particular, on January 24, 1996. The latter resolution similarly deemed a legislative measure passed to redress previous inaction. When ruling out that resolution as not constituting a question of privilege, the Chair posited that permitting a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX based on allegations of perceived discredit by legislative action or inaction would permit any Member to advance virtually any legislative proposal as a question of privileges of the House.

“Applying the precedents just cited, the Chair holds that the resolution offered by the Gentleman from Missouri does not affect ‘the rights of the House collectively, its safety, dignity, [or] the integrity of its proceedings’ within the meaning of clause 1 of rule IX. Rather, it proposes to effect a special order of business for the House—deeming it to have passed a legislative measure—as an antidote for the alleged discredit of previous inaction.

“The resolution does not constitute a question of privilege under rule IX.”

Mr. VOLKMER appealed the ruling of the Chair.

The question being stated,

Will the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

Mr. SOLOMON moved to lay the appeal on the table.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House lay on the table the appeal of the ruling of the Chair?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. VOLKMER demanded a recorded vote on agreeing to said motion, which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the { Yeas 229
affirmative } Nays 187

¶11.13 [Roll No. 26]
AYES—229

Allard	Brownback	Combest
Archer	Bryant (TN)	Cooley
Armey	Bunn	Cox
Bachus	Bunning	Crane
Baker (CA)	Burr	Crapo
Baker (LA)	Burton	Cremins
Ballenger	Buyer	Cubin
Barr	Callahan	Cunningham
Barrett (NE)	Calvert	Davis
Bartlett	Camp	Deal
Barton	Campbell	DeLay
Bass	Canady	Diaz-Balart
Bateman	Castle	Dickey
Bereuter	Chabot	Doolittle
Bilbray	Chambliss	Dornan
Bilirakis	Chenoweth	Dreier
Bliley	Christensen	Duncan
Blute	Chrysler	Dunn
Boehert	Clinger	Ehlers
Boehner	Coble	Ehrlich
Bonilla	Coburn	Emerson
Bono	Collins (GA)	English

Ensign	King	Rogers
Everett	Kingston	Rohrabacher
Ewing	Klug	Ros-Lehtinen
Fawell	Knollenberg	Roth
Fields (TX)	Kolbe	Roukema
Flanagan	LaHood	Royce
Foley	Largent	Salmon
Forbes	Latham	Sanford
Fowler	LaTourrette	Saxton
Fox	Laughlin	Scarborough
Franks (CT)	Lazio	Schaefer
Franks (NJ)	Leach	Schiff
Frelinghuysen	Lewis (KY)	Sensenbrenner
Frisa	Lightfoot	Shadegg
Funderburk	Linder	Shaw
Gallegly	Livingston	Shays
Ganske	LoBiondo	Shuster
Gekas	Longley	Skeen
Gilchrist	Lucas	Smith (MI)
Gillmor	Manzullo	Smith (NJ)
Gilman	Martini	Smith (TX)
Goodlatte	McCollum	Solomon
Goodling	McCrary	Souder
Goss	McDade	Spence
Graham	McHugh	Stearns
Greenwood	McInnis	Stump
Gunderson	McIntosh	Talent
Gutknecht	McKeon	Tate
Hancock	Metcalfe	Tauzin
Hansen	Mica	Taylor (MS)
Hastert	Miller (FL)	Taylor (NC)
Hastings (WA)	Molinari	Thomas
Hayworth	Moorhead	Thornberry
Hefley	Morella	Tiahrt
Heineman	Myers	Torkildsen
Herger	Myrick	Upton
Hilleary	Nethercutt	Vucanovich
Hobson	Neumann	Waldholtz
Hoekstra	Ney	Walker
Hoke	Norwood	Walsh
Horn	Nussle	Wamp
Hostettler	Oxley	Watts (OK)
Houghton	Parker	Weldon (FL)
Hunter	Paxon	Weldon (PA)
Hutchinson	Petri	Weller
Hyde	Pombo	White
Inglis	Porter	Whitfield
Istook	Portman	Wicker
Jacobs	Pryce	Wolf
Johnson (CT)	Quillen	Young (AK)
Johnson, Sam	Quinn	Young (FL)
Jones	Ramstad	Zeliff
Kasich	Regula	Zimmer
Kelly	Riggs	
Kim	Roberts	

NOES—187

Abercrombie	Dooley	Kennedy (RI)
Ackerman	Doyle	Kennelly
Andrews	Durbin	Kildee
Baesler	Edwards	Klecicka
Baldacci	Engel	Klink
Barcia	Eshoo	LaFalce
Barrett (WI)	Evans	Lantos
Beilenson	Farr	Levin
Bentsen	Fattah	Lewis (GA)
Berman	Fazio	Lincoln
Bevill	Fields (LA)	Lipinski
Bishop	Flake	Lofgren
Bonior	Foglietta	Lowey
Borski	Ford	Luther
Boucher	Frank (MA)	Maloney
Brewster	Frost	Markey
Browder	Furse	Martinez
Brown (CA)	Gejdenson	Mascara
Brown (FL)	Gephardt	Matsui
Brown (OH)	Geren	McCarthy
Cardin	Gibbons	McDermott
Clay	Gonzalez	McHale
Clayton	Gordon	McKinney
Clement	Gutierrez	McNulty
Clyburn	Hall (OH)	Meehan
Coleman	Hall (TX)	Meek
Collins (IL)	Hamilton	Menendez
Collins (MI)	Harman	Mfume
Condit	Hastings (FL)	Miller (CA)
Conyers	Hefner	Minge
Costello	Hilliard	Mink
Coyne	Hinchee	Moakley
Cramer	Holden	Mollohan
Danner	Hoyer	Montgomery
de la Garza	Jackson (IL)	Moran
DeFazio	Jackson-Lee	Murtha
DeLauro	(TX)	Nadler
Dellums	Jefferson	Neal
Deutsch	Johnson, E. B.	Oberstar
Dicks	Johnston	Obey
Dingell	Kanjorski	Olver
Dixon	Kaptur	Ortiz
Doggett	Kennedy (MA)	Orton

Owens	Sawyer	Torres
Pallone	Schroeder	Torricelli
Pastor	Schumer	Towns
Payne (NJ)	Scott	Traficant
Payne (VA)	Serrano	Velazquez
Pelosi	Sisisky	Vento
Peterson (FL)	Skaggs	Visclosky
Peterson (MN)	Skelton	Volkmer
Pickett	Slaughter	Ward
Pomeroy	Spratt	Waters
Poshard	Stark	Watt (NC)
Rahall	Stenholm	Waxman
Rangel	Stokes	Williams
Reed	Studds	Wilson
Richardson	Stupak	Wise
Rivers	Tanner	Woolsey
Roemer	Tejeda	Wyden
Roybal-Allard	Thompson	Wynn
Rush	Thornton	Yates
Sabo	Thurman	

NOT VOTING—17

Becerra	Johnson (SD)	Rose
Bryant (TX)	Lewis (CA)	Sanders
Chapman	Manton	Seastrand
Filner	Meyers	Smith (WA)
Green	Packard	Stockman
Hayes	Radanovich	

So the motion to lay the appeal of the ruling of the Chair on the table was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said motion was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

11.14 PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Ms. JACKSON-LEE rose to a question of the privileges of the House and called up the following resolution (H. Res. 354):

Whereas the inability of the House to pass a bill to raise the public debt limit will cause the Federal Government to default on its obligations and affect the dignity and integrity of House proceedings; and

Whereas the inability of the House to pass a bill to raise the public debt limit will cause severe hardship on Federal employees, Federal contractors, and the American people and cause millions of American citizens to hold the House in disrepute: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution, the Speaker of the House shall take such action to keep the House in session until the House considers a clean bill regarding the debt ceiling to avoid default of the full faith and credit of the United States.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE was recognized to speak and said:

"Mr. Speaker, rule IX, section 1 in particular, speaks to questions of privilege affecting the rights of the House collectively, its safety, dignity, and the integrity of its proceedings.

"But second, Mr. Speaker, it talks about affecting the rights, reputation and conduct of Members individually. And, therefore, we can see in that rule that there may be actions taken collectively by this body that would put this House in ill repute in the eyes of its constituents, in the eyes of other Members, and in the eyes collectively, of the American people.

"Mr. Speaker, I would affirm that recessing this House in light of the failure of the leader's privileged resolution to pass a clean debt ceiling will befall upon this House in the eyes of the American people a reputation that we would not be proud of. The House of Representatives will be held in disrepute by world leaders, international financial institutions, and most impor-

tantly the citizens of this country, if it does not pass a bill relating to the debt ceiling.

"Mr. Speaker, it is my contention that this is a grave matter, and in many ways affects the dignity and integrity of these House proceedings. The Secretary of the Treasury has stated that the Federal Government will be in default of its financial obligations if the debt ceiling limit is not raised and a \$5.8 billion interest payment made very soon.

"In accordance with the responsibilities of his office, Secretary Rubin has already sent a letter on January 22, 1996, to the congressional leadership stating under the current conditions the U.S. Treasury will no longer be able to fulfill all of its financial obligations.

"Clearly, Mr. Speaker, we have been on notice and we are on notice that actions by this body would put it in disrepute and have it viewed as not performing its responsibilities.

"As we are aware, Mr. Speaker, the financial reputation of an organization is based solely upon the financial history it has established. Mr. Speaker, it has been an undeniable fact that this House was given 38 days of notice of the impending financial dilemma. If this body fails to pass a bill, which we have already done so by rejecting the leader's privileged resolution, then we would not be in good standing.

"May I remind the Speaker that rule IX of the House states questions of privilege go to the dignity and reputation of this House.

"Mr. Speaker, might I also say that, if on February 26, when we have the obligation of sending out to millions of Americans Social Security checks, I can tell my colleagues that if those checks go out with no clean debt ceiling, they will bounce. If that is not a blight on the integrity of this House, then I do not know what is.

"Mr. Speaker, if I may personally say, having had the privilege of going to Bosnia, visiting with the people of those nations, Bosnia, the former Yugoslavia and Croatia, when making a very weighty decision by this body as to whether we would go in as peace-keeping troops in this effort, I had the privilege of talking to the men and women who are now serving in Bosnia. The only thing they asked of us is: Will the American people be with us?

"Mr. Speaker, here we stand on the House floor about to recess and go home and jeopardize the opportunity and the responsibility to pay those military personnel by March 1. Mr. Speaker, I think that we have come to a point legitimately under rule IX that we must stand up because we provide a harm to the American people. That harm is the inability to pay Social Security; the inability to pay veterans' benefits; the inability to pay our military personnel; and, yes, the disrepute that will fall upon this House and this Nation when it is not able to pay its responsibilities and uphold the full faith and credit of this Nation.

"Mr. Speaker, I would ask that we not recess and we stand with the American people. Do not bring a lack of dignity on this House on the American people."

Ms. WOOLSEY was recognized to speak and said:

"Mr. Speaker, here we go again. The folks who brought two Government shutdowns are now threatening to bring our Nation to the brink of default one more time. They are doing this in one more attempt to force their extreme agenda on the American people.

"That is right, once again the Gingrich Republicans have the Nation teetering on the edge of crisis, and instead of working to avoid disaster, the Speaker and his gang want to leave town this weekend.

"My colleagues heard me. They want to leave the Nation's full faith and credit, as well as the fate of millions of Social Security and veterans' beneficiaries, hanging by a thread until Congress reconvenes 3 weeks from now.

"Mr. Speaker, that is right. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask why the motion to adjourn is a privilege and the resolution to prevent adjournment is not a privilege. I would suggest that we be able to speak on either side of adjourning or not adjourning, equally. And I would hope that I could then have another Member of our caucus speak to this same issue.

"Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask why, if the motion to adjourn is a privilege, that the motion not to adjourn is not the same privilege."

Mr. SOLOMON was recognized to speak and said:

"Mr. Speaker, I rise to argue briefly that the resolution does not constitute a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX.

"As recently as 4:50 p.m. today, a few minutes ago, the Chair rules against a resolution purporting to raise a question of privilege, on the grounds that it effected a change in House rules by providing for passage of a specified bill.

"The resolution before us is only a slight modification of the previous resolution, by requiring the Speaker to take action to keep the House in session until the House considers certain legislation. As such, the resolution attempts to change House rules by altering the duties of the Speaker as specified in House rule number I.

"Presumably, the Speaker would even be required to not recognize anyone who offered a constitutionally privileged motion to adjourn. This is not only changing House rules, but it actually violates the Constitution of the United States. I would, therefore, urge the Chair to rule against the resolution in conformity with the Chair's previous rulings and House precedents, and I would urge the Speaker to rule."

Mr. WALKER was recognized to speak and said:

"Mr. Speaker, the resolution is obviously a resolution of the same nature as those that have been ruled on previously by Speakers extending back for several decades.

"The cause being brought by the gentlewoman from Texas [Ms. JACKSON-LEE] is under rule IX. This is obviously not a question of privilege under the provisions of rule IX, and so, therefore, I request that the Chair rule against this matter as a question of privilege."

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, ruled that the resolution submitted did not present a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX, and said:

"The resolution offered by the gentlewoman from Texas alleges that the failure of the House to take a specified legislative action impairs its dignity and the integrity of its proceeding and lowers it in public esteem. On that premise, it resolves that the Speaker keep the House in session until it considers a pertinent legislative measure.

"The resolution offered by the gentlewoman from Texas, like those offered on February 7, and December 22, 1995, and on January 3 and January 24, 1996, and earlier today, attempts to advance a legislative proposition as a question of the privileges of the House.

"For the reasons just stated by the Chair when ruling that the resolution offered by the gentleman from Missouri did not constitute a question of privileges of the House, the Chair holds that the resolution offered by the gentlewoman from Texas [Ms. JACKSON-LEE] does not affect the rights of the House collectively, its safety, dignity, or the integrity of its proceedings within the meaning of clause 1 of rule IX. Rather, it proposes to impose a particular legislative schedule on the House, precluding an adjournment of the House until a specified legislative measure is considered, as an antidote for the alleged disrepute of previous inaction.

"Therefore, the resolution does not constitute a question of privilege under rule IX."

Ms. JACKSON-LEE appealed the ruling of the Chair.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

Mr. SOLOMON moved to lay the appeal on the table.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House lay on the table the appeal of the ruling of the Chair?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, announced that the yeas had it.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present, The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 229
Nays 181

¶11.15 [Roll No. 27]
YEAS—229

Allard	Ballenger	Bass
Archer	Barr	Bateman
Armey	Barrett (NE)	Beilenson
Bachus	Bartlett	Bereuter
Baker (CA)	Barton	Bilbray

Bilirakis	Goodling	Nussle
Bliley	Goss	Oxley
Blute	Graham	Parker
Boehlert	Greenwood	Paxon
Boehner	Gunderson	Petri
Bonilla	Gutknecht	Pombo
Bono	Hancock	Porter
Brownback	Hansen	Portman
Bryant (TN)	Hastert	Pryce
Bunn	Hastings (WA)	Quillen
Bunning	Hayes	Quinn
Burr	Hayworth	Ramstad
Burton	Hefley	Regula
Buyer	Heineman	Riggs
Calvert	Herger	Roberts
Camp	Hilleary	Rogers
Campbell	Hoekstra	Rohrabacher
Canady	Hoke	Ros-Lehtinen
Castle	Horn	Roth
Chabot	Hostettler	Roukema
Chambliss	Houghton	Royce
Chenoweth	Hunter	Salmon
Christensen	Hutchinson	Sanford
Chrysler	Hyde	Saxton
Clinger	Inglis	Scarborough
Coble	Istook	Schaefer
Coburn	Jacobs	Schiff
Collins (GA)	Johnson (CT)	Sensenbrenner
Combest	Johnson, Sam	Shadegg
Cooley	Jones	Shaw
Cox	Kelly	Shays
Crane	Kim	Shuster
Crapo	King	Skaggs
Creameans	Kingston	Skeen
Cubin	Klug	Smith (MI)
Cunningham	Knollenberg	Smith (NJ)
Davis	LaHood	Smith (TX)
Deal	Largent	Smith (WA)
DeLay	Latham	Solomon
Diaz-Balart	LaTourette	Souder
Dickey	Laughlin	Stearns
Doolittle	Lazio	Stump
Dornan	Leach	Talent
Dreier	Lewis (KY)	Tate
Duncan	Lightfoot	Tauzin
Dunn	Linder	Taylor (MS)
Ehlers	Lipinski	Taylor (NC)
Ehrlich	Livingston	Thomas
Emerson	LoBiondo	Thornberry
English	Longley	Tiahrt
Ensign	Lucas	Torkildsen
Everett	Manullo	Upton
Ewing	Martini	Vucanovich
Fawell	McCollum	Waldholtz
Fields (TX)	McCrery	Walker
Flanagan	McDade	Walsh
Foley	McHugh	Wamp
Forbes	McInnis	Watts (OK)
Fowler	McIntosh	Weldon (FL)
Fox	McKeon	Weldon (PA)
Franks (CT)	Metcalfe	Weller
Franks (NJ)	Mica	White
Frelinghuysen	Miller (FL)	Whitfield
Frisa	Molinari	Wicker
Funderburk	Moorhead	Wilson
Gallegly	Morella	Wolf
Ganske	Myers	Young (AK)
Gekas	Myrick	Young (FL)
Gilchrest	Nethercutt	Zeliff
Gillmor	Neumann	Zimmer
Gilman	Ney	
Goodlatte	Norwood	

NAYS—181

Abercrombie	Collins (MI)	Flake
Ackerman	Conyers	Foglietta
Andrews	Costello	Ford
Baesler	Coyne	Frank (MA)
Baldacci	Cramer	Frost
Barcia	Danner	Furse
Barrett (WI)	de la Garza	Gejdenson
Bentsen	DeFazio	Gephardt
Berman	DeLauro	Geren
Bevill	Dellums	Gibbons
Bishop	Deutsch	Gonzalez
Bonior	Dicks	Gordon
Borski	Dingell	Gutierrez
Boucher	Dixon	Hall (OH)
Brewster	Doggett	Hall (TX)
Browder	Dooley	Hamilton
Brown (CA)	Doyle	Harman
Brown (FL)	Durbin	Hastings (FL)
Brown (OH)	Edwards	Hefner
Cardin	Engel	Hilliard
Clay	Eshoo	Hinches
Clayton	Evans	Holden
Clement	Farr	Hoyer
Clyburn	Fattah	Jackson (IL)
Coleman	Fazio	Jackson-Lee
Collins (IL)	Fields (LA)	(TX)

Jefferson	Moakley	Scott
Johnson, E. B.	Mollohan	Serrano
Johnston	Montgomery	Sisisky
Kanjorski	Moran	Skelton
Kaptur	Murtha	Slaughter
Kennedy (MA)	Nadler	Spratt
Kennedy (RI)	Neal	Stark
Kennelly	Oberstar	Stenholm
Kildee	Obey	Stokes
Klink	Olver	Studds
LaFalce	Ortiz	Stupak
Lantos	Orton	Tanner
Levin	Owens	Tejeda
Lewis (GA)	Pallone	Thompson
Lincoln	Pastor	Thornton
Lofgren	Payne (NJ)	Thurman
Lowe	Payne (VA)	Torres
Luther	Pelosi	Torricelli
Maloney	Peterson (FL)	Towns
Markey	Peterson (MN)	Traficant
Martinez	Pickett	Velazquez
Mascara	Pomeroy	Vento
Matsui	Poshard	Visclosky
McCarthy	Rahall	Volkmer
McDermott	Rangel	Ward
McHale	Reed	Waters
McKinney	Richardson	Watt (NC)
McNulty	Rivers	Waxman
Meehan	Roemer	Williams
Meek	Roybal-Allard	Wise
Menendez	Rush	Woolsey
Mfume	Sabo	Wyden
Miller (CA)	Sawyer	Wynn
Minge	Schroeder	Yates
Mink	Schumer	

NOT VOTING—23

Baker (LA)	Hobson	Packard
Becerra	Johnson (SD)	Radanovich
Bryant (TX)	Kasich	Rose
Callahan	Klecza	Sanders
Chapman	Kolbe	Seastrand
Condit	Lewis (CA)	Spence
Filner	Manton	Stockman
Green	Meyers	

So the motion to lay the appeal of the ruling of the Chair on the table was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said motion was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶11.16 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H. R. 2924

Mr. GOSS, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 355):

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 2924) to guarantee the timely payment of social security benefits in March 1996. The bill shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit. The motion to recommit may include instructions only if offered by the Minority Leader or his designee.

When said resolution was considered. After debate,

Mr. GOSS moved the previous question on the resolution to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, *viva voce*, Will the House now order the previous question?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WALKER, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. FROST objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 229
Nays 178

¶11.17 [Roll No. 28] YEAS—229

Allard	Franks (NJ)	Myers
Archer	Frelinghuysen	Myrick
Armey	Frisa	Nethercutt
Bachus	Funderburk	Neumann
Baker (CA)	Gallegly	Ney
Ballenger	Ganske	Norwood
Barr	Gekas	Nussle
Barrett (NE)	Gilchrest	Oxley
Bartlett	Gillmor	Parker
Barton	Gilman	Paxon
Bass	Goodlatte	Petri
Goodling	Gooding	Pombo
Goss	Goss	Porter
Graham	Graham	Portman
Greenwood	Greenwood	Pryce
Gunderson	Gunderson	Quillen
Gutknecht	Gutknecht	Quinn
Hancock	Hancock	Ramstad
Hansen	Hansen	Regula
Hastert	Hastert	Riggs
Hastings (WA)	Hastings (WA)	Roberts
Hayes	Hayes	Rogers
Hayworth	Hayworth	Rohrabacher
Hefley	Hefley	Ros-Lehtinen
Heineman	Heineman	Roth
Herger	Herger	Roukema
Hillery	Hillery	Royce
Hobson	Hobson	Salmon
Sanford	Sanford	Sanford
Hoke	Hoke	Saxton
Horn	Horn	Scarborough
Hostettler	Hostettler	Schaefer
Houghton	Houghton	Schiff
Hunter	Hunter	Sensenbrenner
Hutchinson	Hutchinson	Shadegg
Hyde	Hyde	Shays
Inglis	Inglis	Shuster
Istook	Istook	Skeen
Johnson (CT)	Johnson (CT)	Smith (MI)
Johnson, Sam	Johnson, Sam	Smith (NJ)
Jones	Jones	Smith (TX)
Kasich	Kasich	Smith (WA)
Kelly	Kelly	Solomon
Kim	Kim	Souder
King	King	Spence
Kingston	Kingston	Stearns
Cox	Cox	Stockman
Crane	Crane	Klug
Crapo	Crapo	Knollenberg
Creameans	Creameans	LaHood
Cubin	Cubin	Largent
Cunningham	Cunningham	Latham
Davis	Davis	LaTourette
Deal	Deal	Laughlin
DeLay	DeLay	Lazio
Diaz-Balart	Diaz-Balart	Leach
Dickey	Dickey	Lewis (KY)
Doolittle	Doolittle	Lightfoot
Dornan	Dornan	Linder
Dreier	Dreier	Livingston
Duncan	Duncan	LoBiondo
Dunn	Dunn	Longley
Ehlers	Ehlers	Lucas
Ehrlich	Ehrlich	Manzullo
Emerson	Emerson	Martini
English	English	McCollum
Ensign	Ensign	McCrery
Everett	Everett	McDade
Ewing	Ewing	McHugh
Fawell	Fawell	McInnis
Fields (TX)	Fields (TX)	McIntosh
Flanagan	Flanagan	McKeon
Foley	Foley	Metcalf
Forbes	Forbes	Mica
Fowler	Fowler	Miller (FL)
Fox	Fox	Molinari
Franks (CT)	Franks (CT)	Moorhead
		Morella

NAYS—178

Abercrombie	Browder	de la Garza
Ackerman	Brown (CA)	DeFazio
Andrews	Brown (FL)	DeLauro
Baesler	Brown (OH)	Dellums
Baldacci	Cardin	Deutsch
Barcia	Clayton	Dicks
Barrett (WI)	Clement	Dingell
Beilenson	Clyburn	Dixon
Bentsen	Coleman	Doggett
Berman	Collins (MI)	Dooley
Bevill	Conyers	Doyle
Bishop	Costello	Durbin
Bonior	Coyne	Edwards
Borski	Cramer	Engel
Brewster	Danner	Eshoo

Evans	Lewis (GA)	Reed
Farr	Lincoln	Richardson
Fattah	Lipinski	Rivers
Fazio	Lofgren	Roemer
Fields (LA)	Lowe	Roybal-Allard
Flake	Luther	Rush
Foglietta	Maloney	Sabo
Ford	Markey	Sawyer
Frank (MA)	Mascara	Schroeder
Frost	Matsui	Schumer
Furse	McCarthy	Scott
Gejdenson	McDermott	Serrano
Gephardt	McHale	Sisisky
Geren	McKinney	Skaggs
Gonzalez	McNulty	Skelton
Gordon	Meehan	Slaughter
Gutierrez	Meek	Spratt
Hall (OH)	Menendez	Stark
Hall (TX)	Miller (CA)	Stenholm
Hamilton	Minge	Stokes
Hastings (FL)	Mink	Studds
Hefner	Moakley	Stupak
Hilliard	Mollohan	Tanner
Hinchey	Montgomery	Tejeda
Holden	Moran	Thompson
Hoyer	Murtha	Thornton
Jackson (IL)	Nadler	Thurman
Jackson-Lee	Neal	Torres
(TX)	Oberstar	Torricelli
Jacobs	Obey	Towns
Jefferson	Olver	Traficant
Johnson (SD)	Orton	Velazquez
Johnson, E. B.	Owens	Vento
Johnston	Pallone	Visclosky
Kanjorski	Pastor	Volkmer
Kaptur	Payne (NJ)	Ward
Kennedy (MA)	Payne (VA)	Waters
Kennedy (RI)	Pelosi	Watt (NC)
Kennelly	Peterson (FL)	Waxman
Kildee	Peterson (MN)	Williams
Klecza	Pickett	Wise
Klink	Pomeroy	Woolsey
LaFalce	Poshard	Wyden
Lantos	Rahall	Yates
Levin	Rangel	

NOT VOTING—26

Baker (LA)	Green	Packard
Becerra	Harman	Radanovich
Bryant (TX)	Kolbe	Rose
Callahan	Lewis (CA)	Sanders
Chapman	Manton	Seastrand
Clay	Martinez	Shaw
Collins (IL)	Meyers	Wilson
Filner	Mfume	Wynn
Gibbons	Ortiz	

So the previous question on the resolution was ordered.

The question being put, *viva voce*,

Will the House agree to said resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WALKER, announced that the yeas had it.

So the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶11.18 ADJOURNMENT OF THE TWO HOUSES

Mr. SOLOMON submitted the following privileged concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 141):

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That when the House adjourns on the legislative day of Thursday, February 1, 1996, it stand adjourned until 12:30 p.m. on Monday, February 26, 1996, or until noon on the second day after Members are notified to reassemble pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first; and that when the Senate recesses or adjourns at the close of business on Thursday, February 1, 1996, Tuesday, February 6, 1996, Wednesday, February 7, 1996, Thursday, February 8, 1996, Tuesday, February 13, 1996, Wednesday, February 14, 1996, or Thursday, February 15, 1996, pursuant to a motion made by the majority leader or his designee in accordance with this resolution,

it stand recessed or adjourned until 3 p.m. on Monday, February 26, 1996, or until noon on the second day after Members are notified to reassemble pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first.

SEC. 2. The Speaker of the House and the majority leader of the Senate, acting jointly after consultation with the minority leader of the House and the minority leader of the Senate, shall notify the Members of the House and Senate, respectively, to reassemble whenever, in their opinion, the public interest shall warrant it.

When said concurrent resolution was considered.

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered on the concurrent resolution to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to said concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WALKER, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts demanded a recorded vote on agreeing to said concurrent resolution, which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the { Yeas 207
affirmative { Nays 199

¶11.19 [Roll No. 29]
AYES—207

Allard	Ehrlich	Kim
Archer	Emerson	King
Arney	English	Kingston
Bachus	Ensign	Klug
Baker (CA)	Everett	Knollenberg
Ballenger	Ewing	LaHood
Barr	Fields (TX)	Largent
Bartlett	Flanagan	LaTourette
Barton	Foley	Laughlin
Bass	Forbes	Lazio
Bateman	Fowler	Lewis (KY)
Bilbray	Fox	Linder
Bilirakis	Franks (CT)	Livingston
Bliley	Franks (NJ)	LoBiondo
Blute	Frelinghuysen	Longley
Boehlert	Frisa	Lucas
Boehner	Funderburk	Manzullo
Bonilla	Galleghy	Martini
Bono	Gekas	McCollum
Bryant (TN)	Gilchrest	McCrery
Bunning	Gillmor	McDade
Burr	Gilman	McHugh
Burton	Gingrich	McInnis
Buyer	Goodlatte	McIntosh
Calvert	Goodling	McKeon
Camp	Goss	Metcalf
Campbell	Graham	Mica
Canady	Gunderson	Miller (FL)
Castle	Gutknecht	Molinari
Chabot	Hancock	Moorhead
Chambliss	Hansen	Morella
Chenoweth	Hastert	Myers
Chrysler	Hastings (WA)	Myrick
Clinger	Hayes	Nethercutt
Coble	Hayworth	Neumann
Coburn	Hefley	Ney
Collins (GA)	Heineman	Norwood
Cooley	Herger	Oxley
Cox	Hilleary	Parker
Crapo	Hobson	Paxon
Creameans	Hoekstra	Petri
Cubin	Hoke	Pombo
Cunningham	Horn	Porter
Davis	Hostettler	Portman
Deal	Houghton	Pryce
DeLay	Hunter	Quillen
Diaz-Balart	Hutchinson	Quinn
Dickey	Hyde	Regula
Doolittle	Inglis	Riggs
Dornan	Istook	Rogers
Dreier	Johnson, Sam	Rohrabacher
Duncan	Jones	Roth
Dunn	Kasich	Royce
Ehlers	Kelly	Salmon

Sanford	Souder
Saxton	Spence
Scarborough	Stearns
Schaefer	Stockman
Schiff	Stump
Sensenbrenner	Talent
Shadegg	Tate
Shays	Tauzin
Shuster	Taylor (NC)
Skeen	Thomas
Smith (MI)	Tiahrt
Smith (NJ)	Torkildsen
Smith (TX)	Upton
Smith (WA)	Vucanovich
Solomon	Waldholtz

Walker	Walsh
Wamp	Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)	Weldon (PA)
Weller	White
Whitfield	Wicker
Wolf	Young (AK)
Young (FL)	Zeliff
Zimmer	

NOES—199

Abercrombie	Geren
Ackerman	Gonzalez
Andrews	Gordon
Baessler	Gutierrez
Baldacci	Hall (OH)
Barcia	Hall (TX)
Barrett (NE)	Hamilton
Barrett (WI)	Harman
Beilenson	Hastings (FL)
Bentsen	Hefner
Bereuter	Hilliard
Berman	Hinchee
Bishop	Holden
Bonior	Hoyer
Borski	Jackson (IL)
Boucher	Jackson-Lee (TX)
Brewster	Jacobs
Browder	Jefferson
Brown (CA)	Johnson (CT)
Brown (FL)	Johnson (SD)
Brown (OH)	Johnson, E. B.
Brownback	Johnston
Bunn	Johnston
Cardin	Kanjorski
Christensen	Kaptur
Clay	Kennedy (MA)
Clayton	Kennedy (RI)
Clement	Kennelly
Clyburn	Kildee
Coleman	Kleczka
Collins (IL)	Klink
Collins (MI)	LaFalce
Combest	Lantos
Condit	Latham
Conyers	Leach
Costello	Levin
Coyne	Lewis (GA)
Cramer	Lightfoot
Danner	Lincoln
de la Garza	Lipinski
DeFazio	Lofgren
DeLouro	Lowey
Dellums	Luther
Deutsch	Maloney
Deutscher	Markey
Dicks	Mascara
Dixon	Matsui
Doggett	McCarthy
Dooley	McDermott
Doyle	McHale
Durbin	McKinney
Edwards	McNulty
Engel	Meehan
Eshoo	Meek
Evans	Menendez
Farr	Miller (CA)
Fattah	Minge
Fazio	Mink
Fields (LA)	Moakley
Flake	Mollohan
Foglietta	Montgomery
Ford	Moran
Frank (MA)	Murtha
Frost	Nadler
Furse	Neal
Ganske	Ney
Gejdenson	Nussle
Gephardt	Oberstar

Obey	Sawyer
Olver	Schroeder
Ortiz	Schumer
Orton	Scott
Owens	Serrano
Pallone	Sisisky
Pastor	Skaggs
Payne (NJ)	Skelton
Payne (VA)	Slaughter
Pelosi	Spratt
Peterson (MN)	Stark
Pickett	Stenholm
Pomeroy	Stokes
Poshard	Studds
Rahall	Stupak
Ramstad	Tanner
Rangel	Taylor (MS)
Reed	Tejeda
Richardson	Thompson
Rivers	Thornberry
Roberts	Thornton
Roemer	Thurman
Roukema	Torres
Roybal-Allard	Torricelli
Rush	Towns
Sabo	Traficant
Sawyer	Velazquez
Schroeder	Vento
Schumer	Visclosky
Scott	Volkmer
Serrano	Ward
Sisisky	Waters
Skaggs	Watt (NC)
Skelton	Waxman
Slaughter	Williams
Spratt	Wise
Stark	Woolsey
Stenholm	Wyden
Stokes	Wynn
Studds	Yates
Stupak	
Tanner	
Taylor (MS)	
Tejeda	
Thompson	
Thornberry	
Thornton	
Thurman	
Torres	
Torricelli	
Towns	
Traficant	
Velazquez	
Vento	
Visclosky	
Volkmer	
Ward	
Waters	
Watt (NC)	
Waxman	
Williams	
Wise	
Woolsey	
Wyden	
Wynn	
Yates	

NOT VOTING—28

Baker (LA)	Gibbons	Peterson (FL)
Becerra	Green	Radanovich
Bevill	Greenwood	Ros-Lehtinen
Bryant (TX)	Kolbe	Rose
Callahan	Lewis (CA)	Sanders
Chapman	Manton	Seastrand
Crane	Martinez	Shaw
Dingell	Meyers	Wilson
Fawell	Mfume	
Filner	Packard	

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶11.20 SOCIAL SECURITY PAYMENT GUARANTEE

Mr. ARCHER, pursuant to House Resolution 355, called up the bill (H.R. 2924) to guarantee the timely payment of social security benefits in March 1996.

When said bill was considered and read twice.

After debate,

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WALKER, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. ARCHER objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 396
Nays 0

¶11.21 [Roll No. 30]
YEAS—396

Abercrombie	Christensen	English
Ackerman	Chrysler	Ensign
Allard	Clay	Eshoo
Andrews	Clayton	Evans
Archer	Clement	Everett
Army	Clinger	Farr
Bachus	Clyburn	Fattah
Baessler	Coble	Fawell
Baker (CA)	Coburn	Fazio
Baldacci	Coleman	Fields (LA)
Ballenger	Collins (GA)	Fields (TX)
Barcia	Collins (IL)	Flake
Barr	Collins (MI)	Flanagan
Barrett (NE)	Combest	Foglietta
Barrett (WI)	Condit	Foley
Bartlett	Conyers	Forbes
Barton	Cooley	Ford
Bass	Costello	Fowler
Bateman	Cox	Fox
Beilenson	Coyne	Frank (MA)
Bentsen	Cramer	Franks (CT)
Bereuter	Crane	Franks (NJ)
Bilbray	Crapo	Frelinghuysen
Bilirakis	Creameans	Frisa
Bishop	Cubin	Frost
Bliley	Cunningham	Funderburk
Blute	Danner	Furse
Boehlert	Davis	Ganske
Boehner	de la Garza	Gejdenson
Bonilla	Deal	Gekas
Bonior	DeFazio	Gephardt
Bono	DeLauro	Geren
Borski	DeLay	Gilchrest
Boucher	Dellums	Gillmor
Brewster	Deutsch	Gilman
Browder	Dickey	Gonzalez
Brown (CA)	Dicks	Goodlatte
Brown (FL)	Dingell	Goodling
Brown (OH)	Dixon	Gordon
Brownback	Doggett	Goss
Bryant (TN)	Dooley	Graham
Bunn	Doolittle	Greenwood
Bunning	Dornan	Gunderson
Burr	Doyle	Gutierrez
Buyer	Dreier	Gutknecht
Camp	Duncan	Hall (OH)
Campbell	Dunn	Hall (TX)
Canady	Durbin	Hamilton
Cardin	Edwards	Hancock
Castle	Ehlers	Hansen
Chabot	Ehrlich	Harman
Chambliss	Emerson	Hastert
Chenoweth	Engel	Hastings (FL)

Hastings (WA)	McHale	Schroeder
Hayes	McHugh	Schumer
Hayworth	McInnis	Scott
Hefley	McIntosh	Sensenbrenner
Hefner	McKeon	Serrano
Heineman	McKinney	Shadegg
Herger	McNulty	Shays
Hilleary	MEEK	Shuster
Hilliard	Menendez	Sisisky
Hinchey	Metcalf	Skaggs
Hobson	Mica	Skeen
Hoke	Miller (CA)	Skelton
Holden	Miller (FL)	Slaughter
Horn	Minge	Smith (MI)
Hostettler	Mink	Smith (NJ)
Houghton	Molinari	Smith (TX)
Hoyer	Mollohan	Smith (WA)
Hunter	Montgomery	Souder
Hutchinson	Moorhead	Spence
Hyde	Moran	Spratt
Inglis	Morella	Stark
Istook	Murtha	Stearns
Jackson (IL)	Myers	Stenholm
Jackson-Lee	Myrick	Stockman
(TX)	Nadler	Stokes
Jefferson	Neal	Studds
Johnson (CT)	Nethercutt	Stump
Johnson (SD)	Neumann	Stupak
Johnson, E. B.	Ney	Talent
Johnson, Sam	Norwood	Tanner
Johnston	Nussle	Tate
Jones	Oberstar	Tauzin
Kanjorski	Obey	Taylor (MS)
Kaptur	Olver	Taylor (NC)
Kasich	Ortiz	Tejeda
Kelly	Orton	Thomas
Kennedy (MA)	Owens	Thompson
Kennedy (RI)	Oxley	Thornberry
Kennelly	Pallone	Thornton
Kildee	Parker	Thurman
Kim	Pastor	Tiahrt
King	Paxon	Torkildsen
Kingston	Payne (NJ)	Torres
Klecicka	Payne (VA)	Torricelli
Klink	Pelosi	Towns
Klug	Peterson (MN)	Trafigant
Knollenberg	Petri	Upton
LaHood	Pickett	Velazquez
Lantos	Pombo	Vento
Largent	Pomeroy	Visclosky
Latham	Porter	Volkmer
LaTourette	Portman	Vucanovich
Laughlin	Poshard	Waldholtz
Lazio	Pryce	Walker
Leach	Quillen	Walke
Levin	Quinn	Walsh
Lewis (GA)	Rahall	Wamp
Lewis (KY)	Ramstad	Ward
Lightfoot	Rangel	Waters
Lincoln	Reed	Watt (NC)
Linder	Regula	Watts (OK)
Lipinski	Richardson	Waxman
Livingston	Riggs	Weldon (FL)
LoBiondo	Rivers	Weldon (PA)
Lofgren	Roberts	Weller
Longley	Roemer	White
Lowe	Rogers	Whitfield
Lucas	Rohrabacher	Wicker
Luther	Roth	Williams
Maloney	Roukema	Wise
Manzullo	Roybal-Allard	Wolf
Markey	Royce	Woolsey
Martini	Rush	Wyden
Mascara	Sabo	Wynn
Matsui	Salmon	Yates
McCarthy	Sawyer	Young (AK)
McColum	Saxton	Young (FL)
McCrery	Scarborough	Zeliff
McDade	Schaefer	Zimmer
McDermott	Schiff	

NOT VOTING—37

Baker (LA)	Gibbons	Packard
Becerra	Green	Peterson (FL)
Berman	Hoekstra	Radanovich
Bevill	Jacobs	Ros-Lehtinen
Bryant (TX)	Kolbe	Rose
Burton	LaFalce	Sanders
Callahan	Lewis (CA)	Sanford
Calvert	Manton	Seastrand
Chapman	Martinez	Shaw
Diaz-Balart	Meehan	Solomon
Ewing	Meyers	Wilson
Filner	Mfume	
Gallely	Moakley	

So the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said bill was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered. That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

¶11.22 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lungrean, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed with an amendment a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 2657. An Act to award a congressional gold medal to Ruth and Billy Graham.

¶11.23 COMMITTEE RESIGNATION—MAJORITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. METCALF, laid before the House the following communication, which was read as follows:

FEBRUARY 1, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker of the House,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to your letter dated Jan. 29, 1996, I hereby resign as a member of the House Committee on the Budget, effective immediately.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

PETE HOEKSTRA,
Member of Congress.

By unanimous consent, the resignation was accepted.

¶11.24 COMMITTEE ELECTION—MAJORITY

Mr. ARMEY, by direction of the Republican Conference, submitted the following privileged resolution (H. Res. 357):

Resolved. That the following named Member be, and he is hereby, elected to the following standing committee of the House of Representatives:

Committee on Budget: Mr. Neumann of Wisconsin.

When said resolution was considered and agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶11.25 DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE TO SIGN ENROLLMENTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. METCALF, laid before the House a communication, which was read as follows:

WASHINGTON, DC,
February 1, 1996.

I hereby designate the Honorable Constance A. Morella to act as Speaker pro tempore to sign enrolled bills and joint resolutions through Monday, February 26, 1996.

NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

By unanimous consent, the designation was accepted.

¶11.26 RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. METCALF, laid before the House the following communication, which was read as follows:

WASHINGTON, DC,
January 19, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Attached herewith is a copy of my letter of resignation as presented

to the Governor of the State of Maryland, the Honorable Parris N. Glendening.

Effective February 18, 1996, I am resigning as Representative to the United States Congress from Maryland's 7th Congressional District.

Sincerely,

KWEISI MFUME,
Member of Congress.

¶11.27 RUTH AND BILLY GRAHAM GOLD MEDAL

On motion of Mr. CASTLE, by unanimous consent, the bill (H.R. 2657) to award a congressional gold medal to Ruth and Billy Graham; together with the following amendment of the Senate thereto, was taken from the Speaker's table:

Page 4, strike out lines 8 through 19.

On motion of Mr. CASTLE, said Senate amendment was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said Senate amendment was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered. That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

¶11.28 GENERAL LEAVE TO EXTEND REMARKS IN THE RECORD

On motion of Mr. CASTLE, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That all Members of the House be permitted to extend their remarks and to include extraneous material in that section of the Record entitled "Extension of Remarks".

¶11.29 SPEAKER AND MINORITY LEADER TO ACCEPT RESIGNATIONS, APPOINT COMMISSIONS

On motion of Mr. CASTLE, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That, notwithstanding any adjournment of the House until Monday, February 26, 1996, the Speaker and Minority Leader be authorized to accept resignations and to appoint commissions, boards and committees duly authorized by law or by the House.

¶11.30 CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS DISPENSED WITH

On motion of Mr. CASTLE, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That business in order for consideration on Wednesday, February 28, 1996, under clause 7, rule XXIV, the Calendar Wednesday rule, be dispensed with.

¶11.31 ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion of Mr. ARMEY, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That when the House adjourns on the calendar day of Friday, February 2 (legislative day of Thursday, February 1), 1996, it stand adjourned until 8 p.m. on Tuesday, February 6, 1996, unless the House sooner receives a message from the Senate transmitting its concurrence in House Concurrent Resolution 141, in which case the House shall stand adjourned pursuant to said concurrent resolution.

¶11.32 RECESS—10:38 P.M.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. METCALF, pursuant to clause 12 of

rule I, declared the House in recess at 10 o'clock and 38 minutes p.m., subject to the call of the Chair

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 2 (LEGISLATIVE DAY OF FEBRUARY 1), 1996

11.33 AFTER RECESS—12:01 A.M.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. METCALF, called the House to order.

11.34 BILLS PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Mr. THOMAS, from the Committee on House Oversight, reported that that committee did on the following days present to the President, for his approval, bills of the House of the following title:

On January 31:

H.R. 2029. An Act to amend the Farm Credit Act of 1971 to provide regulatory relief, and for other purposes.

H.R. 2111. An Act to designate the Federal building located at 1221 Nevin Avenue in Richmond, CA, as the "Frank Hagel Federal Building".

H.R. 2726. An Act to make certain technical corrections in laws relating to Native Americans, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1868. An Act making appropriations for foreign operations, export financing, and related programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes.

On February 1:

H.R. 2353. An Act to amend title 38, United States Code, to extend the authority of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out certain reports from the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes.

11.35 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted—

To Mr. RADANOVICH, for today after 4:30 p.m.; and

To Mrs. SEASTRAND, for today after 4:30 p.m. and balance of the week.

And then,

11.36 ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. METCALF, by unanimous consent and pursuant to the special order heretofore agreed to, at 12 o'clock and 2 minutes a.m., declared the House adjourned on Friday, February 2 (legislative day of February 1), 1996 until 8 o'clock p.m. on Tuesday, February 6, 1996.

11.37 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. GOSS: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 355. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2924) to guarantee the timely payment of Social Security benefits in March 1996 (Rept. No. 104-460). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. LEACH: Committee on Banking and Financial Services. H.R. 2406. A bill to repeal the United States Housing Act of 1937, deregulate the public housing program and the program for rental housing assistance for low-income families, and increase community control over such programs, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. No. 104-461). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

11.38 PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 5 of rule X and clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. ARCHER (for himself, Mr. CRANE, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. SHAW, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky, Mr. HOUGHTON, Mr. HERGER, Mr. MCCRERY, Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. CAMP, Mr. RAMSTAD, Mr. ZIMMER, Mr. NUSSLE, Mr. SAM JOHNSON, Ms. DUNN of Washington, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. HAYES, Mr. LAUGHLIN, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mr. ENSIGN, and Mr. CHRISTENSEN):

H.R. 2924. A bill to guarantee the timely payment of Social Security benefits in March 1996; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. HYDE (for himself, Mr. ARCHER, Mr. WELDON of Florida, Mr. MCCOLLUM, Mr. GEKAS, Mr. COBLE, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. HASTERT, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. CANADY, Mr. INGLIS of South Carolina, Mr. GOODLATTE, Mr. BOUCHER, Mr. CRANE, Mr. SHAW, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. MCCRERY, Mr. CAMP, Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. SAM JOHNSON, Mr. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. GANSKE, Mr. LIPINSKI, and Mr. HANCOCK):

H.R. 2925. A bill to modify the application of the antitrust laws to health care provider networks that provide health care services; and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. EWING (for himself, Mr. DREIER, Mr. KOLBE, Mr. KNOLLENBERG, and Mr. MANZULLO):

H.R. 2926. A bill to extend nondiscriminatory treatment (most-favored-nation treatment) to the products of certain non-market economy countries; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BILBRAY (for himself, Mr. HUNTER, Mr. PACKARD, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, and Mr. FILNER):

H.R. 2927. A bill to amend the Fair Housing Act regarding local and State laws and regulations governing residential care facilities; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. NEUMANN:

H.R. 2928. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to ensure the integrity of the Social Security trust funds by requiring the managing trustee to invest the annual surplus of such trust funds in marketable interest-bearing obligations of the United States and certificates of deposit in depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and to protect such trust funds from the public debt limit; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. MARKEY:

H.R. 2929. A bill to amend title I of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 to deregulate the electric power industry; to the Committee on Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. NEUMANN:

H.R. 2930. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to provide for an improved benefit computation formula for workers who attain age 65 in or after 1982 by providing a new 10-year rule governing the transition to the changes in benefit computation rules enacted in the Social Security amendments of 1977, and related beneficiaries and to provide prospectively for increases in their benefits accordingly, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means,

and in addition to the Committee on Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LUTHER:

H.R. 2931. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to expand the procurement program under which the Department of Defense assists State and local governments to purchase equipment suitable for counter-drug activities to include the purchase of any law enforcement equipment to the Committee on National Security.

By Mr. BURR (for himself, Mr. COBURN, Mr. STENHOLM, Mr. UPTON, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. BILBRAY, Mrs. LINCOLN, and Mr. HEINEMAN):

H.R. 2932. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to revise the requirements of that act relating to the dissemination of scientific information on drugs; to the Committee on Commerce.

By Mr. BALDACCI (for himself, Mr. LAFALCE, Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. SISISKY, Mrs. CLAYTON, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. BENTSEN, and Mr. FLAKE):

H.R. 2933. A bill to amend the Small Business Act concerning the level of participation by the Small Business Administration in loans guaranteed under the Export Working Capital Program; to the Committee on Small Business.

By Mr. BLUTE:

H.R. 2934. A bill to eliminate certain Federal programs and subsidies; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BUNN of Oregon (for himself, Mr. WHITE, Ms. DUNN of Washington, and Mr. YOUNG of Alaska):

H.R. 2935. A bill to amend title 28, United States Code, to divide the Ninth Judicial Circuit of the United States into two circuits, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CHAPMAN:

H.R. 2936. A bill to provide that if a member nation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, the Republic of Korea, or Japan does not agree, by the end of fiscal year 1997, to contribute to the United States for each fiscal year an amount equal to the full direct costs to the United States of U.S. military forces permanently stationed ashore in that country for that fiscal year, all such United States forces assigned in that country shall be withdrawn not later than the end of fiscal year 1999 and to provide that the amount of all such contributions and the amount of savings from such withdrawals shall be deposited in the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund; to the Committee on International Relations, and in addition to the Committees on National Security, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CLINGER (for himself, Mr. ARMEY, Mr. DELAY, Mr. WALKER, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. DAVIS, Mr. FOX, Mr. HASTERT, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. LATOURETTE, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mrs. MORELLA, Mrs. VUCANOVICH, Mr. BALLENGER, and Mr. EHRLICH):

H.R. 2937. A bill for the reimbursement of legal expenses and related fees incurred by former employees of the White House Travel Office with respect to the termination of their employment in that Office on May 19, 1993; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GOODLATTE (for himself, Mr. MOORHEAD, Mr. MCCOLLUM, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. HOKE, and Mr. BRYANT of Tennessee):

H.R. 2938. A bill to encourage the furnishing of health care services to low-income individuals by exempting health care professionals from liability for negligence for certain health care services provided without charge except in cases of gross negligence or willful misconduct, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GUNDERSON (for himself and Mr. BEREUTER):

H.R. 2939. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a pilot test of the Mississippi Interstate Cooperative Resource Agreement; to the Committee on Resources.

By Mr. HAYES (for himself, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. JEFFERSON, and Mr. MCCREERY):

H.R. 2940. A bill to amend the Deepwater Port Act of 1974; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. HEFLEY:

H.R. 2941. A bill to improve the quantity and quality of the quarters of land management agency field employees, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota:

H.R. 2942. A bill to amend the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 to prevent the canceling of annuities to certain divorced spouses of workers whose widows elect to receive lump-sum payments; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota (for himself and Mr. SKEEN):

H.R. 2943. A bill to provide for the collection of fossils on Federal lands, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Resources, and in addition to the Committees on Agriculture, and Transportation and Infrastructure, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. MALONEY:

H.R. 2944. A bill to reform the financing of Federal elections, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Oversight, and in addition to the Committees on Commerce, the Judiciary, Government Reform and Oversight, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MILLER of California:

H.R. 2945. A bill to limit the provision of assistance to the Government of Mexico using the exchange stabilization fund established pursuant to section 5302 of title 31, United States Code, and for other purpose; to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services.

H.R. 2946. A bill to provide that applications by Mexican motor carriers of property for authority to provide service across the United States-Mexico international boundary line and by persons of Mexico who establish enterprises in the United States seeking to distribute international cargo in the United States shall not be approved until certain certifications are made to the Congress by the President and the Secretary of Transportation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

H.R. 2947. A bill expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the failure of Mexico to cooperate with the United States in controlling the transport of illegal drugs and controlled substances and the denial of certain assistance to Mexico as a result of that failure; to the Committee on International Relations, and in addition to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. MINK of Hawaii:

H.R. 2948. A bill to increase the amount authorized to be appropriated for additions to Haleakala National Park; to the Committee on Resources.

By Ms. MOLINARI:

H.R. 2949. A bill to strengthen Federal law with respect to the prohibitions against and penalties for acts which sabotage or otherwise threaten the safety of rail Transportation and mass transit; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. NETHERCUTT (for himself, Mr.

McHUGH, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, Mrs. CHENOWETH, and Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota):

H.R. 2950. A bill to preserve and strengthen the Foreign Market Development Cooperator Program of the Department of Agriculture, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. PETRI:

H.R. 2951. A bill to protect United States taxpayers by preventing the use of Federal funds for construction of a dam on the American River at Auburn, CA; to the Committee on Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. PORTER (for himself, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. MANZULLO, and Mr. PETRI):

H.R. 2952. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and title II of the Social Security Act to reduce Social Security taxes, to reduce old-age insurance benefits by a corresponding amount, and to provide for the establishment of individual Social Security retirement accounts funded by payroll deductions and employer contributions equal to the amount of the tax reduction; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. PORTER (for himself and Mr. INGLIS of South Carolina):

H.R. 2953. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the Social Security Act to provide for personal investment plans funded by employee Social Security payroll deductions; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. ROYCE:

H.R. 2954. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide Federal penalties for stalking; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SHADEGG:

H.R. 2955. A bill to stop abuse of Federal collateral remedies; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. STUDDS:

H.R. 2956. A bill to amend the Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act of 1986 to authorize the provision of certain disaster assistance to commercial fishermen through State and local government agencies and nonprofit organizations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Resources.

H.R. 2957. A bill to deauthorize a portion of the navigation project for Weymouth-Fore and Town Rivers, MA; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. STUPAK:

H.R. 2958. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to make appropriate improvements to a county road located in the Pictured Rocks National Lakeshore, and to prohibit construction of a scenic shoreline drive in that national lakeshore; to the Committee on Resources.

By Mr. TORKILDSEN (for himself, Ms.

HARMAN, Mr. DELLUMS, Mrs. MORELLA, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. GUNDERSON, Mr. STUDDS, Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. WARD, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. BOEHLERT, Mr. BILBRAY, Mr. GILMAN, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mr. MEEHAN, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. SABO, Mr. YATES, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. FARR, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. DEUTSCH, Ms. WATERS, Ms. RIVERS, Mr. MATSUI, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. ACKERMAN, Ms. FURSE, Mrs. SCHROEDER, Mr. DEFAZIO, Mr. MARTINEZ, Mr. EVANS, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mr. HINCHEY, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. NADLER, Mr. OLVER, Mr. STARK, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, Mr. GEJDENSON, and Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island):

H.R. 2959. A bill to repeal the requirement enacted in the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1996 for the mandatory discharge or retirement of any member of the Armed Forces who is HIV positive; to the Committee on National Security.

By Mr. ZIMMER (for himself and Mr. BLUTE):

H.R. 2960. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide that amounts derived from Federal grants in connection with revolving funds established in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act will not be treated as investment property for purposes of section 148 of such Code; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BARTON of Texas (for himself,

Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas, Mr. SHADEGG, Mr. HALL of Texas, Mr. SAXTON, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. ANDREWS, Mr. ARMEY, Mr. BACHUS, Mr. BAKER of California, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. BARR, Mr. BARTLETT of Nebraska, Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland, Mr. BLILEY, Mr. BOEHNER, Mr. BONILLA, Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. BRYANT of Tennessee, Mr. BUNN of Oregon, Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky, Mr. BURR, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. CALLAHAN, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. CAMP, Mr. CHABOT, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mrs. CHENOWETH, Mr. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. CHRYSLER, Mr. COBLE, Mr. COBURN, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. COMBEST, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. COX, Mr. CRANE, Mr. CREMEANS, Mrs. CUBIN, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mr. DEAL of Georgia, Mr. DICKEY, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. DUNCAN, Ms. DUNN of Washington, Mr. EMERSON, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mr. ENSIGN, Mr. FOLEY, Mr. FORBES, Mrs. FOWLER, Mr. FOX, Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey, Mr. FRANKS of Connecticut,

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN, Mr. FRISA, Mr. FUNDERBURK, Mr. GANSKE, Mr. GILMAN, Mr. GOODLING, Mr. GOSS, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. GREENWOOD, Mr. GUTKNECHT, Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. HASTERT, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, Mr. HAYES, Mr. HAYWORTH, Mr. HEFLEY, Mr. HEINEMAN, Mr. HERGER, Mr. HILLEARY, Mr. HORN, Mr. HUNTER, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. INGLIS of South Carolina, Mr. ISTOOK, Mr. SAM JOHNSON, Mr. JONES, Mrs. KELLY, Mr. KING, Mr. KINGSTON, Mr. KLUG, Mr. KNOLLENBERG, Mr. KOLBE, Mr. LARGENT, Mr. LATHAM, Mr. LAUGHLIN, Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky, Mr. LIGHTFOOT, Mr. LINDER, Mr. LOBIONDO, Mr. LUCAS, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. MARTINI, Mr. MCCOLLUM, Mr. MCCRERY, Mr. MCHUGH, Mr. MCINNIS, Mr. MCINTOSH, Mr. MCKEON, Mr. METCALF, Mr. MICA, Mr. MILLER of Florida, Mrs. MYRICK, Mr. NEUMANN, Mr. NEY, Mr. NORWOOD, Mr. PACKARD, Mr. PARKER, Mr. PAXON, Mr. QUILLEN, Mr. QUINN, Mr. RADANOVICH, Mr. RAMSTAD, Mr. RIGGS, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. ROHRABACHER, Mr. ROYCE, Mr. SALMON, Mr. SANFORD, Mr. SCARBOROUGH, Mr. SCHAEFER, Mrs. SEASTRAND, Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. SKEEN, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mrs. SMITH of Washington, Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. SOUDER, Mr. SPENCE, Mr. STEARNS, Mr. STOCKMAN, Mr. STUMP, Mr. TALENT, Mr. TATE, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. THORBERRY, Mr. TIAHRT, Mr. TORKILDSEN, Mr. UPTON, Mrs. WALDHOLTZ, Mr. WAMP, Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma, Mr. WELDON of Florida, Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania, Mr. WELLER, Mr. WICKER, Mr. YOUNG of Alaska, and Mr. ZELIFF:

H.J. Res. 159. Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States to require two-thirds majorities for bills increasing taxes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SOLOMON:

H. Con. Res. 141. Concurrent resolution providing for the adjournment of the two Houses; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. BEREUTER (for himself, Mr. GILMAN, Mr. GEJDENSON, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. HOUGHTON, and Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey):

H. Con. Res. 142. Concurrent resolution regarding the human rights situation in Mauritania, including the continued practice of chattel slavery; to the Committee on International Relations.

By Mr. DOYLE (for himself, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. MASCARA, Mr. LARGENT, Mr. HOLDEN, Mr. MCHALE, Mr. KANJORSKI, Mr. FATTAH, Mr. BORSKI, and Mr. KLINK):

H. Con. Res. 143. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the President should award a medal of honor to Wayne T. Alderson in recognition of acts performed at the risk of his life and beyond the call of duty while serving in the U.S. Army during World War II; to the Committee on National Security.

By Mr. SAWYER (for himself, Mr. BONIOR, Mr. CLAY, Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. BAESLER, Mr. BALDACCI, Mr. BARCIA of Michigan, Mr. BECERRA, Mr. BEILENSON, Mr. BENTSEN, Mr. BEVILL, Mr. BISHOP, Mr. BORSKI, Mr. BREWSTER, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. CHAPMAN, Mrs. CLAYTON, Mr. CLEMENT, Mr. CLYBURN, Miss COLLINS of Michigan, Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois, Mr. COLEMAN, Mr. CONDIT, Mr. COYNE, Ms. DANNER, Mr.

DEFAZIO, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. DINGELL, Mr. DIXON, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. EVANS, Mr. FALCOMAVAEGA, Mr. FARR, Mr. FATTAH, Mr. FAZIO of California, Mr. FORD, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mr. FRAZER, Mr. FROST, Ms. FURSE, Mr. GEJDENSON, Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas, Mr. GIBBONS, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Ms. HARMAN, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. HEFNER, Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. HOLDEN, Mr. HOUGHTON, Mr. HOYER, Mr. JACKSON, Ms. JACKSON-LEE, Mr. JACOBS, Mr. JEFFERSON, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island, Mrs. KENNELLY, Mr. KILDEE, Mr. KLINK, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. LIPINSKI, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. MARTINEZ, Mr. MATSUI, Mr. MEEHAN, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. MINGE, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mr. MOAKLEY, Mr. MORAN, Ms. NORTON, Mr. OBERSTAR, Mr. OLVER, Mr. ORTIZ, Mr. ORTON, Mr. OWENS, Mr. PASTOR, Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey, Mr. PAYNE of Virginia, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Mr. PETERSON of Florida, Mr. PICKETT, Mr. POSHARD, Mr. RAHALL, Mr. REED, Mr. ROEMER, Mr. ROMERO-BARCELO, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. RUSH, Mr. SABO, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. SCOTT, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. SKAGGS, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. STARK, Mr. STENHOLM, Mr. STOKES, Mr. STUDDS, Mr. STUPAK, Mr. TANNER, Mr. THOMPSON, Mrs. THURMAN, Mr. TRAFICANT, Mr. UNDERWOOD, Ms. VELAZQUEZ, Mr. VENTO, Ms. WATERS, Mr. WATT of North Carolina, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. WISE, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. WYNN, Ms. MCCARTHY, Mr. LUTHER, Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. SPRATT, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. TORRES, Mr. NADLER, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. BOUCHER, Ms. RIVERS, Mr. DOOLEY, Mr. VOLKMER, Mr. MURTHA, Mr. POMEROY, Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. WARD, Mr. GONZALEZ, Mr. DICKS, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. MCNULTY, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. MOLLOHAN, Mr. KANJORSKI, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. MASCARA, Mr. MONTGOMERY, Mr. HALL of Texas, Mr. DE LA GARZA, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi, Mr. OBEY, Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. DEUTSCH, and Mr. BROWDER):

H. Con. Res. 144. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress with regard to the amount that should be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for federally assisted education programs and activities; to the Committee on Economic and Education Opportunities.

By Mr. SMITH of New Jersey (for himself, Mr. WOLF, Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. HOYER, and Mr. DURBIN):

H. Con. Res. 145. Concurrent resolution concerning the removal of Russian Armed Forces from Moldova; to the Committee on International Relations.

By Mr. GEPHARDT:

H. Res. 356. Resolution to protect the creditworthiness of the United States and avoid default of the United States Government; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. ARMEY:

H. Res. 357. Resolution electing Representative MARK W. NEUMANN of Wisconsin to the Committee on the Budget; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. DURBIN (for himself, Mrs. MORELLA, Mr. DAVIS, and Mr. LUTHER):

H. Res. 358. Resolution amending the Rules of the House of Representatives to postpone final House action on legislative branch appropriations for any fiscal year until all other regular appropriations for that fiscal year are enacted into law; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mrs. MORELLA (for herself, Mrs. LOWEY, Mr. MORAN, Mr. SCHAEFER, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. HOKE, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. OWENS, Mr. FOX, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mr. TORRES, Mr. BRYANT of Texas, Mr. VISCSLOSKY, Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida, Mr. MANTON, Mr. UNDERWOOD, Mr. LAFALCE, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. WILSON, Mr. THOMPSON, Mr. GEJDENSON, Ms. NORTON, Mr. FILNER, Mr. OBERSTAR, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. FROST, Mr. ROMERO-BARCELO, Mr. NADLER, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. WARD, Mr. REED, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, Mr. BONIOR, and Mr. FOLEY):

H. Res. 359. Resolution recognizing and supporting the efforts of the U.S. Soccer Federation to bring the 1999 Women's World Cup tournament to the United States; to the Committee on International Relations.

By Mr. VENTO (for himself, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. KANJORSKI, Mr. LAFALCE, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, Ms. VELAZQUEZ, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. WATT of North Carolina, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin, Mr. BENTSEN, and Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts):

H. Res. 360. Resolution affirming the support of the House of Representatives for the preservation of the integrity of the full faith and credit of the United States of America; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ZIMMER:

H. Res. 361. Resolution amending the Rules of the House of Representatives to prohibit foreign travel by a retiring Member; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. MILLER of California:

H. Res. 362. Resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the failure of Mexico to cooperate with the United States in controlling the transport of illegal drugs and controlled substances and the denial of certain assistance to Mexico as a result of that failure; to the Committee on International Relations, and in addition to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

¶11.39 PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII,

Mr. DOYLE introduced a bill (H.R. 2961) for the relief of Wayne T. Alderson; which was referred to the Committee on National Security.

¶11.40 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 65: Mr. WALSH.
H.R. 103: Mr. BLILEY.
H.R. 109: Mr. WISE.
H.R. 134: Mr. ZELIFF.
H.R. 135: Mr. ZELIFF.
H.R. 136: Mr. ZELIFF.
H.R. 138: Mr. ZELIFF.
H.R. 141: Mr. ZELIFF.

H.R. 143: Mr. ZELIFF.
 H.R. 218: Mr. TATE.
 H.R. 248: Mr. LEACH.
 H.R. 303: Mr. WALSH.
 H.R. 519: Mr. COX.
 H.R. 528: Mr. OWENS and Mr. CONDIT.
 H.R. 580: Mr. WISE.
 H.R. 878: Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mr. WARD, Mr. QUILLEN, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. ROSE, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mrs. KELLY, and Mr. DURBIN.
 H.R. 958: Ms. MCKINNEY and Mr. NADLER.
 H.R. 995: Mr. SAM JOHNSON.
 H.R. 1090: Mr. WISE.
 H.R. 1169: Mr. GEJDENSON.
 H.R. 1500: Mr. MCNUTTY.
 H.R. 1621: Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin and Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.
 H.R. 1684: Mr. OBERSTAR, Mr. THORNTON, Mr. ENSIGN, Mr. SOLOMON, and Mr. PORTER.
 H.R. 1711: Mr. HEFLEY.
 H.R. 1733: Mr. FARR.
 H.R. 1805: Mr. WARD, Mr. ROSE, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Mr. QUILLEN.
 H.R. 1889: Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida, Mr. RUSH, and Mr. CLEMENT.
 H.R. 1920: Mr. HOKE and Mr. LAFALCE.
 H.R. 1950: Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts.
 H.R. 1972: Mr. OXLEY, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. ISTOOK, and Mrs. FOWLER.
 H.R. 2011: Mr. HINCHEY.
 H.R. 2209: Mr. OXLEY and Mr. GEKAS.
 H.R. 2214: Ms. WOOLSEY.
 H.R. 2270: Mr. SKEEN and Mr. CRANE.
 H.R. 2281: Mrs. THURMAN.
 H.R. 2416: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida.
 H.R. 2421: Mrs. LOWEY, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. MOAKLEY, and Mr. OLVER.
 H.R. 2434: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. LAUGHLIN, Mr. POMEROY, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. HEFLEY, Mr. FROST, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, and Mr. ABERCROMBIE.
 H.R. 2441: Mr. BURR and Mr. MINGE.
 H.R. 2445: Mr. COX.
 H.R. 2463: Mr. FRAZER.
 H.R. 2472: Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. FAZIO of California, Mr. STARK, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. SAXTON, and Mr. BRYANT of Texas.
 H.R. 2497: Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. GUNDERSON, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. ISTOOK, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. FOLEY, Mr. MILLER of Florida, Mrs. FOWLER, Mr. GOSS, and Mr. BALLENGER.
 H.R. 2540: Mr. ALLARD, Mr. BACHUS, and Mr. DICKEY.
 H.R. 2548: Mr. MARTINI, Mr. FRAZER, and Mr. COBLE.
 H.R. 2579: Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. LOBIONDO, Ms. PRYCE, Ms. LOFGREN, and Mr. OLVER.
 H.R. 2585: Mr. VISLOSKEY.
 H.R. 2651: Mr. SABO.
 H.R. 2655: Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas.
 H.R. 2658: Mr. BRYANT of Texas.
 H.R. 2664: Mr. CHABOT and Mr. QUINN.
 H.R. 2676: Mr. WILSON, Mr. SENSENBRENNER, and Mr. LAUGHLIN.
 H.R. 2691: Ms. MCKINNEY and Mr. HILLIARD.
 H.R. 2697: Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. CLEMENT, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. CALVERT, and Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts.
 H.R. 2727: Mr. BAKER of Louisiana.
 H.R. 2731: Mr. CALVERT.
 H.R. 2740: Ms. JACKSON-LEE, Mr. STOCKMAN, and Mrs. SMITH of Washington.
 H.R. 2757: Mr. CARDIN, Mr. LOBIONDO, Mr. LATHAM, Mr. CANADY, and Mr. BONO.
 H.R. 2762: Mr. MINGE.
 H.R. 2776: Mr. BARCIA of Michigan, Mr. DAVIS, Mr. LEACH, Mr. PETERSON of Florida, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. FRAZER, Mr. JONES, Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky, Mr. DOYLE, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. MCKEON, Ms. HARMAN, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. MOAKLEY, Mr. JEFFERSON, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. KING, Mr. TALENT, Mr. TORRES, Mr. PAYNE of Virginia, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. BEREUTER, Mr. TORKILDSEN, Mr. SAXTON, Mr. HOYER, Mr. LIVINGSTON, and Mr. EHRLICH.

H.R. 2777: Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. JACOBS, Mr. GONZALEZ, Mr. THOMPSON, Mr. BEILENSON, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mr. FROST, Mr. TORRES, and Mr. EVANS.

H.R. 2778: Mr. BATEMAN, Mr. MCINTOSH, Mr. MCKEON, Mr. JEFFERSON, Mr. PETRI, Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania, Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. GOSS, and Mr. SKELTON.

H.R. 2802: Mr. CRAPO, Mr. PAYNE of Virginia, Mr. MONTGOMERY, Mr. BEVILL, Mr. BALDACCI, Mr. PARKER, Mr. KINGSTON, and Mr. LIPINSKI.

H.R. 2807: Mr. BARCIA of Michigan and Mr. BISHOP.

H.R. 2811: Mr. HYDE, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. GONZALEZ, Mr. LAFALCE, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. DE LA GARZA, Mr. KILDEE, Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois, Mr. CLAY, Mr. YATES, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. MCDADE, Mr. WALKER, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mrs. SCHROEDER, Mr. STARK, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. WILSON, Mr. QUILLEN, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. MONTGOMERY, Mr. DINGELL, Mr. FRAZER, Mr. THOMPSON, and Mr. MCNUTTY.

H.R. 2827: Mr. FAWELL, Ms. PRYCE, Mr. MINGE, Mr. FARR, and Mr. QUINN.

H.R. 2841: Mr. CALVERT.

H.R. 2854: Mr. EHLERS and Mr. GUNDERSON.
 H.R. 2856: Mr. OLVER.

H.R. 2862: Mr. DINGELL, Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. SERRANO, Mrs. LOWEY, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mrs. CLAYTON, and Mr. TORRES.

H.R. 2867: Mr. CALVERT, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. RADANOVICH, and Mr. EMERSON.

H.R. 2875: Ms. MOLINARI, Mr. FRISA, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. ACKERMAN, Ms. JACKSON-LEE, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, Mr. GEJDENSON, and Mr. LAZIO of New York.

H.R. 2894: Mr. CALVERT, Mr. HOEKSTRA, Mr. STUMP, Mrs. CUBIN, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. POMBO, Mr. TATE, Mr. BAKER of California, Mr. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. DREIER, Mr. STOCKMAN, Mr. SHADEGG, Mr. FORBES, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. JONES, Mr. SAM JOHNSON, Mr. FUNDERBURK, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. NEUMANN, and Mr. CHABOT.

H.R. 2900: Mr. WICKER, Ms. DUNN of Washington, Mr. PAXON, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. KNOLLENBERG, and Mr. BAKER of Louisiana.

H.R. 2921: Mr. BILIRAKIS.

H.J. Res. 121: Mr. INGLIS of South Carolina, Mr. HEINEMAN, Mr. CRANE, Mr. MCCOLLUM, Mr. COBLE, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. MCINTOSH, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. MOORHEAD, Mr. QUILLEN, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. HERGER.

H. Con. Res. 23: Mr. BONIOR, Mr. ROTH, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, Mr. DAVIS, and Mr. LIVINGSTON.

H. Con. Res. 47: Mr. WOLF, Mr. MCDADE, and Mr. CALVERT.

H. Con. Res. 63: Mr. KLUG.

H. Con. Res. 103: Mr. LEVIN, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. BILIRAKIS, and Mr. PORTER.

H. Con. Res. 134: Mr. STUMP, Mr. EHRLICH, Mr. LATOURETTE, and Mr. LARGENT.

H. Con. Res. 135: Ms. MCKINNEY, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mr. DELLUMS.

H. Con. Res. 138: Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. STOCKMAN, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, and Mr. CUNNINGHAM.

H. Res. 30: Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. TORKILDSEN, Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma, Mr. COYNE, and Mr. GUTKNECHT.

H. Res. 346: Mr. GREENWOOD.

¶11.41 DELETIONS OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 1963: Mrs. KELLY and Mr. DAVIS.

H.R. 2281: Mr. SHAYS.

H.R. 2745: Mr. KLINK.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1996 (12)

¶12.1 DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. MORELLA, who laid before the House the following communication:

WASHINGTON, DC,

February 1, 1996.

I hereby designate the Honorable CONSTANCE A. MORELLA to act as Speaker pro tempore on Tuesday, February 6, 1996.

NEWT GINGRICH,

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

¶12.2 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. MORELLA, announced she had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Thursday, February 1, 1996.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal was approved.

¶12.3 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

2012. A letter from the Secretary of the Navy, transmitting notification that the Department of Navy intends to donate to the Naval Aviation Museum Foundation two civilian aircraft currently in the possession of the National Museum of Naval Aviation, U.S. Naval Air Station, Pensacola, FL, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 7545(c); to the Committee on National Security.

2013. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs and Public Affairs, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a copy of the ninth monthly report pursuant to the Mexican Debt Disclosure Act of 1995, pursuant to Public Law 104-6, section 404(a) (109 Stat. 90); to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services.

2014. A letter from the Secretary of Energy, transmitting the quarterly report for the strategic petroleum reserve covering the third quarter of the calendar year 1995, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 6245(b); to the Committee on Commerce.

2015. A letter from the Auditor, District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of a report entitled "Analysis of the Revised Fiscal Year 1996 General Fund Revenue Estimates in Support of the Mayor's Budget for Fiscal Year 1996," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2016. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting the list of all reports issued or released in December 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 719(h); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2017. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, transmitting a copy of his report for fiscal year 1995 on each instance a Federal agency did not fully implement recommendations made by the GAO in connection with a bid protest decided during the fiscal year, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3554(e)(2); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2018. A letter from the Federal Co-Chairman, Appalachian Regional Commission, transmitting the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

2019. A letter from the Deputy Secretary of Defense, transmitting the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial In-