SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION ON CHILDREN.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legislation that will increase the number of children who are hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.

(b) LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR IMPACT ON CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply with this resolution results in an increase in the number of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provisions of such legislation which caused such increase and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation which would halt any continuation of such increase.

It was decided in the negative ..... { Yeas ..... 63 / Nays 362

¶59.10 [Roll No. 176]

AYES—63

Becerra
Bishop
Bonior
Brown (FL)
Clay
Clayton
Clyburn
Collins (IL)
Collins (MI)
Conyers
Coyne
Cummings
DeFazio
Dellums
Dixon
Engel
Evans
Fattah
Fields (LA)
Filner
Flake
Foglietta
Ford
Frank (MA)
Gibbons
Gutierrez
Hastings (FL)
Hilliard
Hinchey
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee (TX)
Jefferson
Johnson, E.B.
Johnston
Lewis (GA)
Markey
Martinez
McDermott
McKinney
Meek
Millender-McDonald
Moakley
Nadler
Oberstar
Owens
Payne (NJ)
Rangel
Rush
Sanders
Schroeder
Scott
Serrano
Stark
Stokes
Studds
Thompson
Torres
Velazquez
Waters
Watt (NC)
Waxman
Wynn
Yates

NOES—362

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Allard
Andrews
Archer
Armey
Bachus
Baesler
Baker (CA)
Baker (LA)
Baldacci
Ballenger
Barcia
Barr
Barrett (NE)
Barrett (WI)
Bartlett
Barton
Bass
Bateman
Beilenson
Bentsen
Bereuter
Berman
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bliley
Blute
Boehlert
Boehner
Bonilla
Bono
Borski
Boucher
Brewster
Browder
Brown (CA)
Brown (OH)
Brownback
Bryant (TN)
Bryant (TX)
Bunn
Bunning
Burr
Buyer
Callahan
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Canady
Cardin
Castle
Chabot
Chambliss
Chapman
Christensen
Chrysler
Clement
Clinger
Coble
Coburn
Coleman
Collins (GA)
Combest
Condit
Cooley
Costello
Cox
Cramer
Crane
Crapo
Cremeans
Cubin
Cunningham
Danner
Davis
de la Garza
Deal
DeLauro
DeLay
Deutsch
Diaz-Balart
Dickey
Dicks
Dingell
Doggett
Dooley
Doolittle
Dornan
Doyle
Dreier
Duncan
Dunn
Durbin
Edwards
Ehlers
Ehrlich
Emerson
English
Ensign
Eshoo
Everett
Ewing
Farr
Fawell
Fazio
Fields (TX)
Flanagan
Foley
Forbes
Fowler
Fox
Franks (CT)
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen
Frisa
Frost
Funderburk
Furse
Gallegly
Ganske
Gejdenson
Gekas
Gephardt
Geren
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gilman
Gonzalez
Goodlatte
Goodling
Gordon
Goss
Graham
Green (TX)
Greene (UT)
Greenwood
Gunderson
Gutknecht
Hall (OH)
Hall (TX)
Hamilton
Hancock
Hansen
Harman
Hastert
Hastings (WA)
Hayworth
Hefley
Hefner
Heineman
Herger
Hilleary
Hobson
Hoekstra
Hoke
Holden
Horn
Hostettler
Houghton
Hoyer
Hunter
Hutchinson
Hyde
Inglis
Istook
Jacobs
Johnson (CT)
Johnson (SD)
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kasich
Kelly
Kennedy (MA)
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Kim
King
Kingston
Kleczka
Klink
Klug
Knollenberg
Kolbe
LaFalce
LaHood
Lantos
Largent
Latham
LaTourette
Laughlin
Lazio
Leach
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (KY)
Lightfoot
Lincoln
Linder
Lipinski
Livingston
LoBiondo
Lofgren
Longley
Lowey
Lucas
Luther
Maloney
Manton
Manzullo
Martini
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy
McCollum
McCrery
McDade
McHale
McHugh
McInnis
McIntosh
McKeon
McNulty
Meehan
Menendez
Metcalf
Meyers
Mica
Miller (CA)
Miller (FL)
Minge
Mink
Mollohan
Montgomery
Moorhead
Moran
Morella
Murtha
Myers
Myrick
Neal
Nethercutt
Neumann
Ney
Norwood
Nussle
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Orton
Oxley
Packard
Pallone
Parker
Pastor
Payne (VA)
Pelosi
Peterson (FL)
Peterson (MN)
Petri
Pickett
Pombo
Pomeroy
Porter
Portman
Poshard
Pryce
Quillen
Quinn
Radanovich
Rahall
Ramstad
Reed
Regula
Richardson
Riggs
Rivers
Roberts
Roemer
Rogers
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Rose
Roth
Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Sabo
Salmon
Sanford
Sawyer
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer
Schiff
Schumer
Seastrand
Sensenbrenner
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skeen
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Solomon
Souder
Spence
Spratt
Stearns
Stenholm
Stockman
Stump
Stupak
Tanner
Tate
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Taylor (NC)
Tejeda
Thomas
Thornberry
Thornton
Thurman
Tiahrt
Torkildsen
Torricelli
Traficant
Upton
Vento
Visclosky
Volkmer
Vucanovich
Walker
Walsh
Wamp
Ward
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
White
Whitfield
Wicker
Williams
Wilson
Wise
Wolf
Woolsey
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Zeliff
Zimmer

NOT VOTING—8

Bevill
Burton
Chenoweth
Hayes
Molinari
Paxon
Talent
Towns

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

¶59.11 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. ORTON:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $1,107,513,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $1,165,720,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,214,661,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,269,637,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,330,292,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,392,543,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $7,157,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $17,170,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $16,303,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $17,838,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $19,192,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $18,645,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $1,316,223,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $1,364,054,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,405,593,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,448,718,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,480,821,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,529,237,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $1,313,391,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $1,352,476,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,388,058,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,428,498,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,453,221,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,501,530,000,000.

(4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $205,878,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $186,756,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $173,397,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $158,861,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $122,929,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $108,987,000,000.

(5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $5,417,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $5,651,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $5,864,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $6,058,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $6,212,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $6,344,300,000,000.

(6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $41,432,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $39,420,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $42,470,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $43,895,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $45,292,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $46,718,000,000.

(7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $267,340,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $266,819,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $266,088,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $267,079,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $267,982,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $269,051,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $259,235,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $262,484,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $263,733,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $259,351,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $200,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $267,996,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $261,560,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $200,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $273,082,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $267,858,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $200,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $272,300,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $265,703,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $200,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $272,372,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $269,364,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $200,000,000.
(2) International Affairs (150):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $14,178,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $15,008,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $4,342,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $18,251,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $12,682,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,566,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $4,417,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $18,628,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $11,838,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $12,552,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $4,518,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $19,030,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $12,749,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $11,461,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $4,618,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $19,406,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $12,879,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $11,669,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $4,739,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $19,858,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $13,124,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $11,727,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $4,891,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $20,431,000,000.
(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $16,840,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,894,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $16,841,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,852,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $16,843,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,776,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $16,844,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,822,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $16,845,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,844,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $16,846,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,845,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(4) Energy (270):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $3,728,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $3,080,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,033,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $3,654,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $2,695,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,050,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $3,220,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $2,180,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,078,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $3,167,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $2,035,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,109,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $3,337,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $2,179,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,141,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $3,065,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $1,816,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,174,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $21,359,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,969,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $37,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $21,131,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,846,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $41,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $21,277,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,921,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $41,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $21,150,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,630,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $41,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $21,032,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,253,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $44,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $21,019,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,089,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $44,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(6) Agriculture (350):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $12,617,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $10,778,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $7,810,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $5,994,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $12,663,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $10,677,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $9,387,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $6,765,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $12,481,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $10,529,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $10,808,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $6,836,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $11,933,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $10,026,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $10,825,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $6,909,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $10,889,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $9,081,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $10,708,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $6,983,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $10,646,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $8,816,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $10,706,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $7,060,000,000.
(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $7,928,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $826,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,910,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $198,096,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $9,878,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $5,381,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,900,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $198,218,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $10,622,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $5,713,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,954,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $198,427,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $12,421,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $6,686,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, $2,015,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $198,723,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $11,984,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $7,198,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $2,072,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $198,876,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $12,325,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $7,837,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $2,134,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $199,111,000,000.
(8) Transportation (400):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $43,944,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $39,307,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $15,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $44,651,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $38,616,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $16,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $43,544,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $36,014,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $16,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $44,240,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $35,526,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $17,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $44,854,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $34,788,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $17,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $45,582,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $34,440,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $18,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(9) Community and Regional Development (450):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $8,733,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $10,409,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,231,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $2,181,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $8,268,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $10,024,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,257,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $2,229,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $8,556,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $9,464,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,287,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $2,315,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $8,621,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $9,163,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,365,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $2,369,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $8,610,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $8,671,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,404,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $2,448,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $8,498,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $8,149,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,430,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $2,496,000,000.
(10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $53,099,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $51,302,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $16,219,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $15,469,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $54,914,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $53,764,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $19,040,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $14,760,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $56,631,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $55,520,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $21,781,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $13,854,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $57,968,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $56,675,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $22,884,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $14,589,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $59,496,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $57,975,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $23,978,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $15,319,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $61,089,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $59,302,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $25,127,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $16,085,000,000.
(11) Health (550):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $130,271,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $129,859,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $187,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $137,102,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $136,870,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $94,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $146,449,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $146,486,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $155,462,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $155,232,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $163,952,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $163,535,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $174,717,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $174,167,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(12) Medicare (570):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $191,735,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $190,051,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $205,671,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $203,946,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $219,739,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $217,467,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $233,083,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $231,334,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $249,351,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $247,617,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $266,091,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $263,690,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(13) Income Security (600):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $231,135,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $238,848,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $243,312,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $247,097,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $252,613,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $256,017,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $266,923,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $268,708,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $273,393,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $273,190,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $288,716,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $286,757,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(14) Social Security (650):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $7,813,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $11,001,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $8,477,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $11,664,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $9,220,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $12,369,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $9,980,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,129,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $10,776,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,925,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $11,608,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $14,757,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $39,074,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $39,570,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $935,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $26,362,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $38,910,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $39,387,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $962,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $25,925,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $39,420,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $39,603,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $987,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $25,426,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $39,548,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $41,235,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,021,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $24,883,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $39,803,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $38,655,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,189,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $24,298,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $40,005,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $40,268,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,194,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $23,668,000,000.
(16) Administration of Justice (750):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $22,127,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $19,930,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $22,302,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,162,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $23,186,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $22,241,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $23,235,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $22,944,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $22,119,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $22,461,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $22,143,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $22,085,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(17) General Government (800):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $13,655,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,362,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $13,661,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,522,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $13,311,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,299,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $13,149,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,346,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $13,086,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,046,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $13,147,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $13,104,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(18) Net Interest (900):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, $282,011,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $281,971,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $287,083,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $286,933,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $289,332,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $289,032,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $289,637,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $289,162,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $292,873,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $292,190,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $297,178,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $296,252,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(19) Allowances (920):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, −$0.
(B) Outlays, −$0.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, −$6,000,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$6,000,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, −$7,000,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$7,000,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, −$8,500,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$8,500,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, −$9,000,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$9,000,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, −$9,500,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$9,500,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New budget authority, −$43,258,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$43,258,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $7,900,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, −$34,878,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$34,878,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,350,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, −$33,685,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$33,685,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, −$35,974,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$35,974,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, −$37,759,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$37,759,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.
Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, −$39,435,000,000.
(B) Outlays, −$39,435,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

(a) Not later than June 21, 1996, the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

(b)(1) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $2,082,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $15,117,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $18,852,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(2) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $367,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $2,428,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $3,026,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(3) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $10,717,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $158,844,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $226,598,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(4) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $220,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $2,454,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $3,198,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(5) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $2,600,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $40,278,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $50,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(6) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $0 in outlays for fiscal year 1997,
$357,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $476,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(7) The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $84,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $493,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $649,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(8) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $74,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $308,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $332,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(9) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $19,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $810,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $885,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(10) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: $117,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, $2,378,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and $3,232,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(11) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the deficit, as follows: by $14,766,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, by $172,990,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and by $231,595,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term ''direct spending'' has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(1) domestic violence is the leading cause of physical injury to women; the Department of Justice estimates that over one million violent crimes against women are committed by intimate partners annually;

(2) domestic violence dramatically affects the victim's ability to participate in the workforce; a University of Minnesota survey reported that one-quarter of battered women surveyed had lost a job partly because of being abused and that over half of these women had been harassed by their abuser at work;

(3) domestic violence is often intensified as women seek to gain economic independence through attending school or training programs; batterers have been reported to prevent women from attending these programs or sabotage their efforts at self-improvement;

(4) nationwide surveys of service providers prepared by the Taylor Institute of Chicago, document, for the first time, the interrelationship between domestic violence and welfare by showing that between 50 percent and 80 percent of AFDC recipients are current or past victims of domestic violence;

(5) over half of the women surveyed stayed with their batterers because they lacked the resources to support themselves and their children; the surveys also found that the availability of economic support is a critical factor in poor women's ability to leave abusive situations that threaten them and their children; and

(6) proposals to restructure the welfare programs may impact the availability of the economic support and the safety net necessary to enable poor women to flee abuse without risking homelessness and starvation for their families.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) no welfare reform provision shall be enacted by Congress unless and until Congress considers whether such welfare reform provisions will exacerbate violence against women and their children, further endanger women's lives, make it more difficult for women to escape domestic violence, or further punish women victimized by violence; and

(2) any welfare reform measure enacted by Congress shall require that any welfare-to-work, education, or job placement programs implemented by the States will address the impact of domestic violence on welfare recipients.

SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION ON CHILDREN.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legislation that will increase the number of children who are hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.

(b) LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR IMPACT ON CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply with this resolution results in an increase in the number of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provisions of such legislation which caused such increase and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation which would halt any continuation of such increase.

SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TAX CUTS.

It is the sense of Congress that changes in tax laws which promote job creation, economic growth, and increased savings and investment should be enacted and be offset by changes which close tax loopholes and eliminate corporate welfare.

SEC. 8. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE DEBT.

It is the sense of Congress that eliminating the deficit by producing a balanced budget is only the first step toward the ultimate goal of reducing and eventually eliminating the public debt.

SEC. 9. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TRUST FUND SURPLUSES.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(2) all recent-year Federal budgets, as well as both fiscal year 1996 budget resolutions reported out by the Committees on the Budget of the House of Representatives and the Senate, have masked the magnitude of annual deficits by counting various trust fund surpluses; and

(2) upon reaching a balance in the Federal budget, the Government should move toward balance without consideration of trust fund surpluses.

SEC. 10. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING BALANCED BUDGET ENFORCEMENT.

It is the sense of Congress that, in order to ensure that a balanced budget is achieved by fiscal year 2002 and that the budget remains in balance thereafter, title XIV of H.R. 2530 establishing strict budget enforcement mechanisms should be enacted. Such language would—

(1) require the Federal Government to reach a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and remain in balance thereafter;

(2) establish procedures for developing honest, accurate, and accepted budget estimates;

(3) require that the President propose annual budgets that would achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, using accurate assumptions;

(4) require the Committees on the Budget of the House of Representatives and the Senate to report budget resolutions that achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, using accurate assumptions; and

(5) require Congress and the President to take action if the deficit targets in this resolution are not met.

SEC. 11. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING MEDICARE REFORM.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation reforming medicare should reflect the policies and distribution of savings contained in H.R. 2530. Specifically, that legislation should—

(1) reform policies for medicare risk contracting to expand the choice of private options available to all medicare beneficiaries, including individuals in rural areas;

(2) contain regulatory reforms to facilitate the creation of provider-sponsored networks;

(3) contain reasonable reductions in the growth of payments to providers that do not threaten the availability or quality of care;

(4) require higher income medicare beneficiaries to pay a greater portion of medicare premiums without establishing a new bureaucracy for the collection of premiums;

(5) expand coverage of preventive benefits under medicare;

(6) provide a demonstration project for Medical Savings Accounts for medicare beneficiaries; and

(7) prohibit managed care plans from charging medicare beneficiaries additional premiums beyond the part B premium.

SEC. 12. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING MEDICAID REFORM.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation changing the medicaid program pursuant to this resolution should—

(1) continue guaranteed coverage for low-income children, pregnant women, the elderly, and the disabled;

(2) continue the guarantee of an adequate benefits package for all medicaid beneficiaries;

(3) provide States with greater flexibility in the delivery of services and administration of the program;

(4) contain a financing mechanism in which the Federal Government fully shares in changes in program costs resulting from changes in caseload;

(5) require States to maintain current levels of financial effort to preserve the current joint Federal-State partnership in meeting the costs of this program;

(6) continue current restrictions on the use of provider taxes and donations and other illusory State financing schemes;

(7) continue Federal minimum standards for nursing homes;

(8) continue Federal rules that prevent wives or husbands from being required to impoverish themselves in order to obtain and keep medicaid benefits for their spouse requiring nursing home care; and

(9) continue coverage of medicaid premiums and cost sharing for low-income seniors.

SEC. 13. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING WELFARE REFORM.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation reforming welfare programs pursuant to this resolution should—

(1) impose tough work requirements on able-bodied recipients;

(2) provide sufficient resources for job training, child care, and other programs necessary to help welfare recipients make the transition from welfare to work;

(3) require States to maintain levels of financial support sufficient to operate an effective program;

(4) contain effective counter-cyclical mechanisms to assist States facing economic downturns or increases in population;

(5) include provisions holding States accountable for the use of Federal funds and the effectiveness of State programs;

(6) contain strong child support provisions; and

(7) maintain the integrity of the food stamp program as a national safety net.

It was decided in the negative ..... { Yeas ..... 130 / Nays 295

¶59.12 [Roll No. 177]

AYES—130

Andrews, Baesler, Baldacci, Barcia, Barrett (NE), Barrett (WI), Barton, Beilenson, Bentsen, Bereuter, Bevill, Bishop, Blute, Brewster, Browder, Brown (CA), Brown (FL), Campbell, Cardin, Chapman, Clay, Clayton, Clement, Clyburn, Collins (MI), Condit, Cramer, Davis, de la Garza, Dicks, Dingell, Doggett, Dooley, Doyle, Duncan, Eshoo, Farr, Fattah, Fazio, Fields (LA), Filner, Flake, Foglietta, Furse, Geren, Gibbons, Gordon, Gunderson, Hall (OH), Hall (TX), Hamilton, Harman, Hastings (FL), Hefner, Hilliard, Holden, Hoyer, Jackson (IL), Jackson-Lee (TX), Jefferson, Johnson (CT), Johnson, E. B., Johnston, Kennelly, Klug, LaFalce, LaHood, Lantos, Leach, Lincoln, Lofgren, Luther, Martinez, Matsui, McCarthy, McDade, McDermott, McHale, McKinney, Meehan, Meek, Metcalf, Millender-McDonald, Minge, Montgomery, Moran, Morella, Murtha, Oberstar, Olver, Ortiz, Orton, Payne (NJ), Payne (VA), Peterson (FL), Peterson (MN), Pomeroy, Porter, Poshard, Richardson, Rivers, Roemer, Rose, Roukema, Sabo, Sawyer, Schroeder, Scott, Sisisky, Skaggs, Skelton, Spratt, Stenholm, Stokes, Studds, Tanner, Tauzin, Taylor (MS), Thompson, Towns, Vento, Visclosky, Volkmer, Wamp, Ward, Watt (NC), Wilson, Wise, Wynn, Young (AK)

NOES—295

Abercrombie, Ackerman, Allard, Archer, Armey, Bachus, Baker (CA), Baker (LA), Ballenger, Barr, Bartlett, Bass, Bateman, Becerra, Berman, Bilbray, Bilirakis, Bliley, Boehlert, Boehner, Bonilla, Bonior, Bono, Borski, Boucher, Brown (OH), Brownback, Bryant (TN), Bryant (TX), Bunn, Bunning, Burr, Burton, Buyer, Callahan, Calvert, Camp, Canady, Castle, Chabot, Chambliss, Chenoweth, Christensen, Chrysler, Clinger, Coble, Coburn, Coleman, Collins (GA), Collins (IL), Combest, Conyers, Cooley, Costello, Cox, Coyne, Crane, Crapo, Cremeans, Cubin, Cummings, Cunningham, Danner, Deal, DeFazio, DeLauro, DeLay, Dellums, Deutsch, Diaz-Balart, Dickey, Dixon, Doolittle, Dornan, Dreier, Dunn, Durbin, Edwards, Ehrlich, Emerson, Engel, English, Ensign, Evans, Everett, Ewing, Fawell, Fields (TX), Flanagan, Foley, Forbes, Fowler, Fox, Frank (MA), Franks (CT), Franks (NJ), Frelinghuysen, Frisa, Frost, Funderburk, Gallegly, Ganske, Gejdenson, Gekas, Gephardt, Gilchrest, Gillmor, Gilman, Gonzalez, Goodlatte, Goodling, Goss, Graham, Green (TX), Greene (UT), Greenwood, Gutierrez, Gutknecht, Hancock, Hansen, Hastert, Hastings (WA), Hayworth, Hefley, Heineman, Herger, Hilleary, Hinchey, Hobson, Hoekstra, Hoke, Horn, Hostettler, Houghton, Hunter, Hutchinson, Hyde, Inglis, Istook, Jacobs, Johnson (SD), Johnson, Sam, Jones, Kanjorski, Kaptur, Kasich, Kelly, Kennedy (MA), Kennedy (RI), Kildee, Kim, King, Kingston, Kleczka, Klink, Knollenberg, Kolbe, Largent, Latham, LaTourette, Laughlin, Lazio, Levin, Lewis (CA), Lewis (GA), Lewis (KY), Lightfoot, Linder, Lipinski, Livingston, LoBiondo, Longley, Lowey, Lucas, Maloney, Manton, Manzullo, Markey, Martini, Mascara, McCollum, McCrery, McHugh, McInnis, McIntosh, McKeon, McNulty, Menendez, Meyers, Mica, Mink, Moakley, Mollohan, Moorhead, Myers, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Neumann, Ney, Norwood, Nussle, Obey, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Pelosi, Petri, Pickett, Pombo, Portman, Pryce, Quillen, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Riggs, Roberts, Rogers, Rohrabacher, Ros-Lehtinen, Roth, Roybal-Allard, Royce, Rush, Salmon, Sanders, Sanford, Saxton, Scarborough, Schaefer, Schiff, Schumer, Seastrand, Sensenbrenner, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Skeen, Slaughter, Smith (MI), Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Smith (WA), Solomon, Souder, Spence, Stark, Stearns, Stockman, Stump, Stupak, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thornberry, Thornton, Thurman, Tiahrt, Torkildsen, Torres, Torricelli, Traficant, Upton, Velazquez, Vucanovich, Walker, Walsh, Waters, Watts (OK), Waxman, Weldon (FL), Weldon (PA), Weller, White, Whitfield, Wicker, Williams, Wolf, Woolsey, Yates, Young (FL), Zeliff, Zimmer

NOT VOTING—8

Ehlers, Ford, Hayes, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Molinari, Paxon, Talent

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

The Committee rose informally to receive a message from the President.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KOLBE, assumed the Chair.

¶59.13 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

The Committee resumed its sitting; and after some further time spent therein,

¶59.14 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. SABO:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $1,092,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $1,146,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,195,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,244,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,309,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,389,900,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: −$7,929,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: −$2,150,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: −$2,741,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: −$7,219,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: −$1,721,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $16,024,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $1,325,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: $1,374,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,413,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,454,700,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,496,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,528,300,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: $1,321,000,000,000.