

## NAYS—196

|              |               |               |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Abercrombie  | Gejdenson     | Obey          |
| Ackerman     | Gephardt      | Olver         |
| Andrews      | Geren         | Ortiz         |
| Baesler      | Gibbons       | Orton         |
| Baldacci     | Gonzalez      | Owens         |
| Barcia       | Gordon        | Pallone       |
| Barrett (WI) | Green (TX)    | Pastor        |
| Becerra      | Gutierrez     | Payne (NJ)    |
| Beilenson    | Hall (OH)     | Payne (VA)    |
| Bentsen      | Hall (TX)     | Pelosi        |
| Berman       | Hamilton      | Peterson (MN) |
| Bevill       | Harman        | Pickett       |
| Bilbray      | Hastings (FL) | Pomeroy       |
| Bishop       | Hefner        | Poshard       |
| Bonior       | Hilliard      | Rahall        |
| Borski       | Hinchev       | Rangel        |
| Boucher      | Holden        | Reed          |
| Brewster     | Hoyer         | Richardson    |
| Browder      | Jackson (IL)  | Rivers        |
| Brown (CA)   | Jackson-Lee   | Roemer        |
| Brown (FL)   | (TX)          | Rose          |
| Brown (OH)   | Jacobs        | Roybal-Allard |
| Bryant (TX)  | Jefferson     | Rush          |
| Cardin       | Johnson (SD)  | Sabo          |
| Chapman      | Johnson, E.B. | Sanders       |
| Clay         | Johnston      | Sawyer        |
| Clayton      | Kanjorski     | Schroeder     |
| Clement      | Kaptur        | Schumer       |
| Clyburn      | Kennedy (MA)  | Scott         |
| Coleman      | Kennelly      | Serrano       |
| Collins (IL) | Kildee        | Sisisky       |
| Collins (MI) | Kleczka       | Skaggs        |
| Condit       | Klink         | Skelton       |
| Conyers      | LaFalce       | Slaughter     |
| Costello     | Lantos        | Spratt        |
| Coyne        | Levin         | Stark         |
| Cramer       | Lewis (GA)    | Stenholm      |
| Cummings     | Lincoln       | Stokes        |
| Danner       | Lipinski      | Studds        |
| de la Garza  | Lofgren       | Stupak        |
| DeFazio      | Lowe          | Tanner        |
| DeLauro      | Luther        | Tauzin        |
| Dellums      | Maloney       | Taylor (MS)   |
| Deutsch      | Manton        | Tejeda        |
| Dicks        | Markey        | Thompson      |
| Dingell      | Mascara       | Thornton      |
| Dixon        | Matsui        | Thurman       |
| Doggett      | McCarthy      | Torres        |
| Dooley       | McDermott     | Torricelli    |
| Doyle        | McHale        | Towns         |
| Durbin       | McKinney      | Tralfanc      |
| Edwards      | McNulty       | Velazquez     |
| Engel        | Meehan        | Vento         |
| Eshoo        | Meek          | Visclosky     |
| Evans        | Menendez      | Volkmer       |
| Farr         | Miller (CA)   | Ward          |
| Fattah       | Minge         | Waters        |
| Fazio        | Mink          | Watt (NC)     |
| Fields (LA)  | Moakley       | Waxman        |
| Filner       | Mollohan      | Weller        |
| Flake        | Montgomery    | Wilson        |
| Foglietta    | Moran         | Wise          |
| Ford         | Murtha        | Woolsey       |
| Frank (MA)   | Nadler        | Wynn          |
| Frost        | Neal          | Yates         |
| Furse        | Oberstar      |               |

## NOT VOTING—10

|              |               |          |
|--------------|---------------|----------|
| Hayes        | Molinari      | Souder   |
| Kennedy (RI) | Paxon         | Talent   |
| Millender-   | Peterson (FL) | Williams |
| McDonald     | Roberts       |          |

So the previous question on the resolution was ordered.

The question being put, *viva voce*, Will the House agree to said resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, announced that the yeas had it.

So the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

### §59.8 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RESOLUTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, pursuant to House Resolution 435 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the

state of the Union for the further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 178) establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

Mr. CAMP, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, resumed the chair; and after some time spent therein,

### §59.9 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

#### SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

#### SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

|                   |                      |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$1,140,900,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$1,216,000,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$1,777,300,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2000: | \$1,345,000,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2001: | \$1,407,900,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2002: | \$1,483,500,000,000. |

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

|                   |                    |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$40,500,000,000.  |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$67,500,000,000.  |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$78,900,000,000.  |
| Fiscal year 2000: | \$93,200,000,000.  |
| Fiscal year 2001: | \$96,800,000,000.  |
| Fiscal year 2002: | \$109,700,000,000. |

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

|                   |                      |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$1,338,600,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$1,400,600,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$1,448,500,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2000: | \$1,508,000,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2001: | \$1,548,700,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2002: | \$1,618,600,000,000. |

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

|                   |                      |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$1,325,000,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$1,391,100,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$1,436,500,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2000: | \$1,483,000,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2001: | \$1,525,000,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2002: | \$1,589,200,000,000. |

(4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:

|                   |                    |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$184,100,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$175,100,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$159,200,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2000: | \$138,000,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2001: | \$117,300,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2002: | \$105,700,000,000. |

(5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

|                   |                      |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$5,417,500,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$5,651,100,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$5,864,000,000,000. |

Fiscal year 2000: \$6,058,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$6,212,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$6,344,300,000,000.

(6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:

|                   |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$41,432,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$39,420,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$42,470,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2000: | \$43,895,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2001: | \$44,292,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2002: | \$46,718,000,000. |

(7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:

|                   |                    |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Fiscal year 1997: | \$267,340,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1998: | \$266,819,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 1999: | \$266,088,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2000: | \$267,079,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2001: | \$267,982,000,000. |
| Fiscal year 2002: | \$269,051,000,000. |

#### SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1997:

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$240,300,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$237,300,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$233,300,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$235,200,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$227,400,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$228,300,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$223,400,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$220,400,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$219,500,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$216,400,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$219,500,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$216,500,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1997:

|                           |                   |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$17,700,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$15,800,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,342,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,251,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

|                           |                   |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$18,300,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$17,500,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,417,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,628,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

|                           |                   |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| (A) New budget authority, | \$18,500,000,000. |
| (B) Outlays,              | \$17,000,000,000. |

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,518,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,030,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$19,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,618,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,406,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$20,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,739,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,858,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$20,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,891,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$20,431,000,000.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$15,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$15,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$15,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(4) Energy (270):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$2,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,033,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$1,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,050,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$2,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,078,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$1,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,109,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$3,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$1,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,141,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$1,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,179,000,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$22,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$27,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$20,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$20,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$20,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(6) Agriculture (350):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$10,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,810,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$5,994,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$10,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$9,387,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,765,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$8,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,808,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,836,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$8,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,825,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,909,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$7,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,708,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,983,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$6,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,706,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$7,060,000,000.

(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$1,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,910,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,096,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$5,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,900,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,218,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$6,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,954,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,427,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$7,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,015,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,723,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$7,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,072,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,876,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$8,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,134,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$199,111,000,000.

(8) Transportation (400):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$42,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$39,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$15,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$43,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$38,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$43,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$36,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$44,600,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$33,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$45,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$33,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$46,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$33,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$18,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(9) Community and Regional Development (450):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$11,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,230,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,187,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,257,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,229,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$2,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,287,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,315,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$12,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,365,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,369,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$13,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,404,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,448,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$13,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,430,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,496,000,000.  
 (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$62,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$61,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,219,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,469,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$64,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$63,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$69,700,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,760,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$68,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$66,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$21,781,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$13,854,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$70,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$68,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$22,884,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,589,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$71,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$69,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$23,978,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,319,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$73,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$71,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$25,127,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$16,085,000,000.  
 (11) Health (550):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$140,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$140,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$187,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$154,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$153,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$94,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$168,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$167,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$183,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$182,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$198,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$198,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$215,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$214,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (12) Medicare (570):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$199,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$198,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$218,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$217,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$239,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$236,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$259,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$258,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$282,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$280,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$307,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$305,000,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (13) Income Security (600):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$236,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$244,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$253,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$255,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$261,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$267,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$282,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$281,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$283,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$287,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$305,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$302,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (14) Social Security (650):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$7,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$11,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$11,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$12,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$13,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$15,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$39,600,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$40,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$935,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$26,362,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$40,200,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$40,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$982,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,925,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$42,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$42,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$987,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,426,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$43,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$44,700,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,021,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,883,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$44,000,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$42,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,189,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,298,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$45,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$45,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,194,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$23,668,000,000.  
 (16) Administration of Justice (750):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$23,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,200,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$24,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$25,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$24,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$25,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$25,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$25,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$24,900,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (17) General Government (800):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$15,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (18) Net Interest (900):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$281,400,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$281,400,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$285,600,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$285,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$287,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$287,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$286,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$286,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$289,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$289,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$293,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$293,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (19) Allowances (920):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$43,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$43,300,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,900,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$33,500,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$33,500,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,838,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$8,838,000,000.  
 Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$31,100,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$31,100,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$3,600,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$3,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$32,600,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$32,600,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.  
 Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, -\$33,800,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, -\$33,800,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

**SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.**

(a) Not later than June 21, 1996, the House committee named in subsection (b) shall report its recommendations to the House.  
 (b) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to increase revenues by \$40,500,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, by \$377,000,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and by \$486,600,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

**SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—  
 (1) domestic violence is the leading cause of physical injury to women; the Department of Justice estimates that over one million violent crimes against women are committed by intimate partners annually;  
 (2) domestic violence dramatically affects the victim's ability to participate in the workforce; a University of Minnesota survey reported that one-quarter of battered women surveyed had lost a job partly because of being abused and that over half of these women had been harassed by their abuser at work;  
 (3) domestic violence is often intensified as women seek to gain economic independence through attending school or training programs; batterers have been reported to prevent women from attending these programs or sabotage their efforts at self-improvement;  
 (4) nationwide surveys of service providers prepared by the Taylor Institute of Chicago, document, for the first time, the interrelationship between domestic violence and welfare by showing that between 50 percent and 80 percent of AFDC recipients are current or past victims of domestic violence;  
 (5) over half of the women surveyed stayed with their batterers because they lacked the resources to support themselves and their children; the surveys also found that the availability of economic support is a critical factor in poor women's ability to leave abusive situations that threaten them and their children; and  
 (6) proposals to restructure the welfare programs may impact the availability of the economic support and the safety net necessary to enable poor women to flee abuse without risking homelessness and starvation for their families.  
 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—  
 (1) no welfare reform provision shall be enacted by Congress unless and until Congress considers whether such welfare reform provisions will exacerbate violence against women and their children, further endanger women's lives, make it more difficult for women to escape domestic violence, or further punish women victimized by violence; and  
 (2) any welfare reform measure enacted by Congress shall require that any welfare-to-work, education, or job placement programs implemented by the States will address the impact of domestic violence on welfare recipients.

**SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION ON CHILDREN.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legislation that will increase the number of children who are hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.

(b) LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR IMPACT ON CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply with this resolution results in an increase in the number of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provisions of such legislation which caused such increase and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation which would halt any continuation of such increase.

It was decided in the { Yeas ..... 63  
negative ..... } Nays ..... 362

59.10

[Roll No. 176]

AYES—63

|              |               |            |
|--------------|---------------|------------|
| Becerra      | Ford          | Nadler     |
| Bishop       | Frank (MA)    | Oberstar   |
| Bonior       | Gibbons       | Owens      |
| Brown (FL)   | Gutierrez     | Payne (NJ) |
| Clay         | Hastings (FL) | Rangel     |
| Clayton      | Hilliard      | Rush       |
| Clyburn      | Hinchee       | Sanders    |
| Collins (IL) | Jackson (IL)  | Schroeder  |
| Collins (MI) | Jackson-Lee   | Scott      |
| Conyers      | (TX)          | Serrano    |
| Coyne        | Jefferson     | Stark      |
| Cummings     | Johnson, E.B. | Stokes     |
| DeFazio      | Johnston      | Studds     |
| Dellums      | Lewis (GA)    | Thompson   |
| Dixon        | Markey        | Torres     |
| Engel        | Martinez      | Velazquez  |
| Evans        | McDermott     | Waters     |
| Fattah       | McKinney      | Watt (NC)  |
| Fields (LA)  | Meeek         | Waxman     |
| Filner       | Millender-    | Wynn       |
| Flake        | McDonald      | Yates      |
| Foglietta    | Moakley       |            |

NOES—362

|              |              |               |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Abercrombie  | Canady       | English       |
| Ackerman     | Cardin       | Ensign        |
| Allard       | Castle       | Eshoo         |
| Andrews      | Chabot       | Everett       |
| Archer       | Chambliss    | Ewing         |
| Armey        | Chapman      | Farr          |
| Bachus       | Christensen  | Fawell        |
| Baesler      | Chrysler     | Fazio         |
| Baker (CA)   | Clement      | Fields (TX)   |
| Baker (LA)   | Clinger      | Flanagan      |
| Baldacci     | Coble        | Foley         |
| Ballenger    | Coburn       | Forbes        |
| Barcia       | Coleman      | Fowler        |
| Barr         | Collins (GA) | Fox           |
| Barrett (NE) | Combest      | Franks (CT)   |
| Barrett (WI) | Condit       | Franks (NJ)   |
| Bartlett     | Cooley       | Frelinghuysen |
| Barton       | Costello     | Frisa         |
| Bass         | Cox          | Frost         |
| Bateman      | Cramer       | Funderburk    |
| Beilenson    | Crane        | Furse         |
| Bentsen      | Crapo        | Gallely       |
| Bereuter     | Creameans    | Ganske        |
| Berman       | Cubin        | Gejdenson     |
| Bilbray      | Cunningham   | Gekas         |
| Bilirakis    | Danner       | Gephardt      |
| Bliley       | Davis        | Geran         |
| Blute        | de la Garza  | Gilchrest     |
| Boehlert     | Deal         | Gillmor       |
| Boehner      | DeLauro      | Gilman        |
| Bonilla      | DeLay        | Gonzalez      |
| Bono         | Deutsch      | Goodlatte     |
| Borski       | Diaz-Balart  | Goodling      |
| Boucher      | Dickey       | Gordon        |
| Brewster     | Dicks        | Goss          |
| Browder      | Dingell      | Graham        |
| Brown (CA)   | Doggett      | Green (TX)    |
| Brown (OH)   | Dooley       | Greene (UT)   |
| Brownback    | Doolittle    | Greenwood     |
| Bryant (TN)  | Dornan       | Gunderson     |
| Bryant (TX)  | Doyle        | Gutknecht     |
| Bunn         | Dreier       | Hall (OH)     |
| Bunning      | Duncan       | Hall (TX)     |
| Burr         | Dunn         | Hamilton      |
| Buyer        | Durbin       | Hancock       |
| Callahan     | Edwards      | Hansen        |
| Calvert      | Ehlers       | Harman        |
| Camp         | Ehrlich      | Hastert       |
| Campbell     | Emerson      | Hastings (WA) |

|              |               |               |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Hayworth     | McDade        | Salmon        |
| Hefley       | McHale        | Sanford       |
| Hefner       | McHugh        | Sawyer        |
| Heineman     | McInnis       | Saxton        |
| Herger       | McIntosh      | Scarborough   |
| Hilleary     | McKeon        | Schaefer      |
| Hobson       | McNulty       | Schiff        |
| Hoekstra     | Meehan        | Schumer       |
| Hoke         | Menendez      | Seastrand     |
| Holden       | Metcalf       | Sensenbrenner |
| Horn         | Meyers        | Shadegg       |
| Hostettler   | Mica          | Shaw          |
| Houghton     | Miller (CA)   | Shays         |
| Hoyer        | Miller (FL)   | Shuster       |
| Hunter       | Minge         | Sisisky       |
| Hutchinson   | Mink          | Skaggs        |
| Hyde         | Mollohan      | Skeen         |
| Inglis       | Montgomery    | Skelton       |
| Istook       | Moorhead      | Slaughter     |
| Jacobs       | Moran         | Smith (MI)    |
| Johnson (CT) | Morella       | Smith (NJ)    |
| Johnson (SD) | Murtha        | Smith (TX)    |
| Johnson, Sam | Myers         | Smith (WA)    |
| Jones        | Myrick        | Solomon       |
| Kanjorski    | Neal          | Souder        |
| Kaptur       | Nethercutt    | Spence        |
| Kasich       | Neumann       | Spratt        |
| Kelly        | Ney           | Stearns       |
| Kennedy (MA) | Norwood       | Stenholm      |
| Kennedy (RI) | Nussle        | Stockman      |
| Kennelly     | Obey          | Stump         |
| Kildee       | Olver         | Stupak        |
| Kim          | Ortiz         | Tanner        |
| King         | Orton         | Tate          |
| Kingston     | Oxley         | Tauzin        |
| Klecza       | Packard       | Taylor (MS)   |
| Klink        | Pallone       | Taylor (NC)   |
| Klug         | Parker        | Tejeda        |
| Knollenberg  | Pastor        | Thomas        |
| Kolbe        | Payne (VA)    | Thornberry    |
| LaFalce      | Pelosi        | Thornton      |
| LaHood       | Peterson (FL) | Thurman       |
| Lantos       | Peterson (MN) | Tiahrt        |
| Largent      | Petri         | Torkildsen    |
| Latham       | Pickett       | Torricelli    |
| LaTourette   | Pombo         | Traficant     |
| Laughlin     | Pomeroy       | Upton         |
| Lazio        | Porter        | Vento         |
| Leach        | Portman       | Visclosky     |
| Levin        | Poshard       | Volkmmer      |
| Lewis (CA)   | Pryce         | Vucanovich    |
| Lewis (KY)   | Quillen       | Walker        |
| Lightfoot    | Quinn         | Walsh         |
| Lincoln      | Radanovich    | Wamp          |
| Linder       | Rahall        | Ward          |
| Lipinski     | Ramstad       | Watts (OK)    |
| Livingston   | Reed          | Weldon (FL)   |
| LoBiondo     | Regula        | Weldon (PA)   |
| Lofgren      | Richardson    | Weller        |
| Longley      | Riggs         | White         |
| Lowe         | Rivers        | Whitfield     |
| Lucas        | Roberts       | Wicker        |
| Luther       | Roemer        | Williams      |
| Maloney      | Rogers        | Wilson        |
| Manton       | Rohrabacher   | Wise          |
| Manzullo     | Ros-Lehtinen  | Wolf          |
| Martini      | Rose          | Woolsey       |
| Mascara      | Roth          | Young (AK)    |
| Matsui       | Roukema       | Young (FL)    |
| McCarthy     | Royal-Allard  | Zeliff        |
| McCollum     | Royce         | Zimmer        |
| McCrery      | Sabo          |               |

NOT VOTING—8

|           |          |        |
|-----------|----------|--------|
| Bevill    | Hayes    | Talent |
| Burton    | Molinari | Towns  |
| Chenoweth | Paxon    |        |

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

**59.11 RECORDED VOTE**

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. ORTON:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

**SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.**

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fis-

cal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

**SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.**

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,107,513,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,165,720,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,214,661,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,405,637,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,330,292,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,392,543,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$7,157,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$17,170,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$16,303,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,269,637,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$19,192,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$18,645,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,316,223,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,364,054,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,405,593,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,448,718,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,480,821,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,529,237,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,313,391,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,352,476,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,388,058,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,428,498,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,453,221,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,501,530,000,000.

(4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$205,878,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$186,756,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$173,397,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$158,861,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$122,929,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$108,987,000,000.

(5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$5,417,500,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$5,651,100,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$5,864,000,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$6,058,600,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$6,212,600,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$6,344,300,000,000.

(6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$41,432,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$39,420,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$42,470,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$43,895,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$45,292,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$46,718,000,000.

(7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$267,340,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$266,819,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$266,088,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$267,079,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$267,982,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$269,051,000,000.

**SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.**

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are: