

tain disabled veterans; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. BUYER (for himself and Mr. FILNER):

H.R. 3459. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to extend the enhanced loan asset sale authority of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. MOORHEAD (for himself, Mrs. SCHROEDER, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. SEN-SENRENNER, Mr. COBLE, Mr. GOOD-LATTE, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. BOUCHER, Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. HOKE, Mr. NADLER, and Ms. LOFGREN):

H.R. 3460. A bill to establish the Patent and Trademark Office as a Government corporation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. THOMAS:

H.R. 3461. A bill to authorize appropriations for the Federal Election Commission for fiscal year 1997; to the Committee on House Oversight.

By Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma, Mr. GILMAN, Mr. HOYER, Mrs. MORELLA, Mr. LAFALCE, Mr. PICKETT, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. POMEROY, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. MORAN, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, and Mr. EHRLICH):

H.R. 3462. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to require that written notice be furnished by the Office of Personnel Management before making any substantial change in the health benefits program for Federal employees; to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

By Mr. GUTIERREZ:

H.R. 3463. A bill to provide for a livable wage for employees under Federal contracts and subcontracts; to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities, and in addition to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. HANCOCK:

H.R. 3464. A bill to make a minor adjustment in the exterior boundary of the Devils Backbone Wilderness in the Mark Twain National Forest, MO, to exclude a small parcel of land containing improvements; to the Committee on Agriculture, and in addition to the Committee on Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut (for herself, Mrs. KENNELLY, Mr. SHAW, Mrs. MORELLA, Mrs. LOWEY, Mrs. CLAYTON, Mrs. CUBIN, Ms. DELAURO, Ms. DUNN of Washington, Mrs. FOWLER, Ms. GREENE of Utah, Mrs. KELLY, Ms. LOFGREN, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas, Mrs. MYRICK, Ms. PRYCE, Mrs. SEASTRAND, Mrs. SCHROEDER, Mrs. VUCANOVICH, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. CAMP, Mr. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. CRANE, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mr. ENSIGN, Mr. HOUGHTON, Mr. MATSUI, Mr. MCCRERY, Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. RAMSTAD, Mr. ZIMMER, Mr. HOBSON, Mr. NUSSLE, Mr. UPTON, Mr. TORKIL-SEN, Mr. FOLEY, Mr. BOEHLERT, and Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN):

H.R. 3465. A bill to amend part D of title IV of the Social Security Act to improve child support enforcement services, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committees on Banking and Financial Services, the Judiciary, National Security, Transportation and Infrastructure, International Relations, Eco-

nomics and Educational Opportunities, and Government Reform and Oversight, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. MALONEY (for herself, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. GONZALEZ, Mr. YATES, Mr. CLAY, Mr. CONYERS, and Mr. STARK):

H.R. 3466. A bill to eliminate taxpayer subsidies for recreational shooting programs, and to prevent the transfer of federally owned weapons, ammunition, funds, and other property to a private corporation for the promotion of rifle practice and firearms safety; to the Committee on National Security.

58.32 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

- H.R. 833: Mr. CAMPBELL.
- H.R. 922: Mrs. CLAYTON.
- H.R. 1023: Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. RUSH, and Mr. MARTINEZ.
- H.R. 1140: Mr. NADLER.
- H.R. 1210: Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania.
- H.R. 1353: Mr. POMEROY.
- H.R. 1402: Mr. CLAY.
- H.R. 2011: Mr. GANSKE.
- H.R. 2026: Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mr. BACHUS, Mr. YOUNG of Alaska, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. VOLKMER, Mr. SAWYER, Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi, and Mr. GALLEGLY.
- H.R. 2270: Mr. BATEMAN, Mr. CLINGER, Mr. KINGSTON, Mr. CREMEANS, Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky, Mr. KING, Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. JONES, and Mr. BEREUTER.
- H.R. 2272: Mr. NADLER and Mr. PICKETT.
- H.R. 2463: Ms. SLAUGHTER.
- H.R. 2508: Mr. UPTON.
- H.R. 2579: Mr. LATHAM.
- H.R. 2807: Mr. THORNBERRY, Mr. FATTAH, Mr. NORWOOD, and Mr. TOWNS.
- H.R. 2931: Mr. WISE, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. MANTON, Ms. MCCARTHY, and Mr. ACKERMAN.
- H.R. 2976: Mr. BENTSEN, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mrs. CHENOWETH, Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois, Mr. DE LA GARZA, and Mr. WELDON of Florida.
- H.R. 3012: Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. KINGSTON, Mr. HAYES, Mr. CLEMENT, and Ms. KAPTUR.
- H.R. 3030: Mrs. THURMAN, Mr. JACKSON, and Mr. FILNER.
- H.R. 3038: Mr. BLUTE and Mr. EMERSON.
- H.R. 3060: Mr. FAWELL and Mr. PORTER.
- H.R. 3083: Mrs. CHENOWETH, Mr. PORTER, Mr. DOOLITTLE, and Mr. DOOLEY.
- H.R. 3089: Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. RICHARDSON, Mr. FILNER, Mr. FAZIO of California, and Mr. HORN.
- H.R. 3090: Mr. CANADY.
- H.R. 3118: Mr. BRYANT of Tennessee.
- H.R. 3142: Mr. DEUTSCH, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. LUTHER, and Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina.
- H.R. 3144: Mr. COMBEST, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. ENSIGN, Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN, Mr. FRISA, Mr. HERGER, Mr. HILLEARY, Ms. MOLINARI, Mr. POMBO, Mr. RADANOVICH, Mr. RIGGS, Mr. ROGERS, Mr. ROYCE, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. ROHR-ABACHER, Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. WELLER, and Mr. SCHAEFER.
- H.R. 3150: Mr. BROWN of California and Mr. LAFALCE.
- H.R. 3153: Mrs. THURMAN, Mr. ROHR-ABACHER, Mr. PARKER, and Mr. LATHAM.
- H.R. 3195: Mr. THORNBERRY.
- H.R. 3199: Ms. DANNER and Mr. HEFLEY.
- H.R. 3206: Mr. NEY.
- H.R. 3221: Mr. HINCHEY, Ms. WATERS, Mr. BORSKI, Mr. STARK, Mr. HILLIARD, Mrs. CLAYTON, Ms. LOFGREN, and Mr. LIPINSKI.
- H.R. 3226: Mr. BORSKI, Ms. PRYCE, and Mr. KLUG.

H.R. 3247: Mr. BERMAN, Mr. PALLONE, Mr. OBERSTAR, Ms. NORTON, and Mr. EVANS.

H.R. 3253: Mr. DORNAN, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. BLUTE, and Mrs. CLAYTON.

H.R. 3258: Mr. HORN and Mr. COOLEY.

H.R. 3265: Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.

H.R. 3316: Mr. LAFALCE, Mr. LIPINSKI, and Mr. EVANS.

H.R. 3362: Mr. HILLIARD, Mr. LAFALCE, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. FROST, and Mr. MANTON.

H.R. 3379: Mr. SCARBOROUGH.

H.R. 3392: Mr. DICKS, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. WATT of North Carolina, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mr. THOMPSON, Mr. MINGE, Mr. PASTOR, Mr. DIXON, and Ms. LOFGREN.

H.R. 3412: Mr. YATES.

H. Con. Res. 154: Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. McNULTY, and Mr. MINGE.

THURSDAY, MAY 16, 1996 (59)

59.1 DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, who laid before the House the following communication:

WASHINGTON, DC,
May 16, 1996.

I hereby designate the Honorable RICHARD "DOC" HASTINGS to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NEWT GINGRICH.

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

59.2 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Wednesday, May 15, 1996.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal was approved.

59.3 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

3036. A letter from the Administrator, Rural Utilities Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—RUS Specification for Aerial Service Wires (7 CFR Part 1755.700-.704) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

3037. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting amendments to the fiscal year 1997 appropriations requests for the Department of Agriculture [USDA], pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1106(b) (H. Doc. No. 104-215); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

3038. A letter from the Under Secretary of Defense, transmitting the Secretary's selected acquisition reports [SAR's] for the quarter ending March 31, 1996, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2432; to the Committee on National Security.

3039. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Cargo Preference: Available U.S.-Flag Commercial Vessels (RIN: 2133-AB25) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on National Security.

3040. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Employment Standards, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule—Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Workers Protection Act (RIN: 1215-AA93) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.

3041. A letter from the Managing Director, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule—Implementation of Section 273(d)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996—Dispute Resolution Regarding Equipment Standards [C Docket No. 96-42] received May 14, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3042. A letter from the Managing Director, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule—Amendment of the Amateur Service Rules to Implement a Vanity Call Sign System [PR Docket No. 93-305] received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3043. A letter from the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule—Trade Regulation Rule on Misbranding and Deception as to Leather Content of Waist Belts (16 CFR Part 405) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3044. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting an update to the PLO Commitments Compliance Act report on March 1, 1996, pursuant to Public Law 104-107, section 604(b)(1) (110 Stat. 756); to the Committee on International Relations.

3045. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule—Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) and Bosnian Serb-Controlled Areas of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina Sanctions Regulations: Suspension of Sanctions Against the Bosnian Serbs (31 CFR Part 585) received May 10, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on International Relations.

3046. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Employment Standards, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule—Affirmative Action Obligations of Contractors and Subcontractors For Disabled Veterans and Veterans of the Vietnam Era; Invitation to Self-Identify; Interim Rule with Request for Comments (RIN: 1251-AA62) received May 15, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

3047. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Employment Standards, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule—Affirmative Action and Nondiscrimination Obligations of Contractors and Subcontractors Regarding Individuals with Disabilities (RIN: 1215-AA76) received May 15, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

3048. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule—Flaring or Venting Gas and Burning Liquid Hydrocarbons (Minerals Management Service) (RIN: 1010-AB96) received May 15, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3049. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule—Migratory Bird Hunting and Conservation Stamp (Federal Duck Stamp) Contest (Fish and Wildlife Service) (RIN: 1018-AD71) received May 15, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3050. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule—Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Designation of Critical Habitat for the Marbled Murrelet (Fish and Wildlife Service) (RIN: 1018-AC33)

received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3051. A letter from the Attorney General of the United States, transmitting the Attorney General's report entitled "Report on Federal Recordkeeping Relating to Domestic Violence," pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 14015; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3052. A letter from the Attorney General of the United States, transmitting the Attorney General's report entitled "Domestic Violence, Stalking, and Antistalking Legislation," pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 14039; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3053. A letter from the Attorney General of the United States, transmitting the Attorney General's report entitled "The Violence Against Women Act of 1994: Evaluation of the STOP Block Grants to Combat Violence Against Women," pursuant to section 40291 of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3054. A letter from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting the Department's 1995 annual report on the recommendations received from the National Transportation Board regarding transportation safety, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. app. 1906(b); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3055. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; The New Piper Aircraft, Inc. PA31, PA31P, and PA31T Series Airplanes; (Docket No. 9-CE-62-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3056. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; The New Piper Aircraft, Inc. Models PA31, PA31-300, PA31-325, and PA31-350 Airplanes (Docket No. 90-CE-63-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3057. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Airbus A320-111, -211, -212, and -231 Series Airplanes (Docket No. 95-NM-198-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3058. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 and DC-9-80 Series Airplanes, Model MD-88 Airplanes, and C-9 (Military) Series Airplanes (Docket No. 94-NM-92-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3059. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 Series Airplanes (Docket No. 95-NM-191-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3060. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10, -15, and -30 Series Airplanes and KC-10 (Military) Airplanes (Docket No. 95-NM-108-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3061. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting

the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Aviat Aircraft Inc., Models S-1S, S-1T, S-2A, S-2S, and S-2B Airplanes (Docket No. 96-CE-20-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3062. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; The New Piper Aircraft, Inc., Models PA31T, PA31T1, PA31T2, and PA31T3 Airplanes (Docket No. 90-CE-61-AD) (RIN: 2120-AA64) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3063. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Capital Leases (RIN: 2132-AA55) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3064. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Special Local Regulation: Quonset Open House, North Kingston, RI (RIN: 2115-AE46) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3065. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Safety Zone; Long Beach Harbor, CA (RIN: 2115-AA97) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3066. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Adoption of Industry Standards (RIN: 2115-AF09) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3067. A letter from the Under Secretary for Technology, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's final rule—Federal Agency Guidance for the Acquisition of Modular Metric Construction Products (RIN: 0693-XX18) received May 15, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Science.

3068. A letter from the Chief, Regulations Unit, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Notice 96-31—Received May 10, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

3069. A letter from the Chief, Regulations Branch, United States Customs Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Prohibited/Restricted Merchandise; Enforcement of Foreign Assets Control Regulations (RIN: 1515-AB91) received May 14, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

3070. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulations Management, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule—Veterans Education: Increase in Rates Payable Under the Montgomery GI Bill—Active Duty, 1995-96 (RIN: 2900-AH79) received May 14, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); jointly, to the Committees on National Security and Veterans' Affairs.

¶159.4 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Secretary of the Senate be directed to request the House to return to the Senate the bill (H.R. 2202) "An Act to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to improve deterrence of illegal immigration to the

United States by increasing border patrol and investigative personnel, by increasing penalties for alien smuggling and for document fraud, by reforming exclusion and deportation law and procedures, by improving the verification system for eligibility for employment, and through other measures, to reform the legal immigration system and facilitate legal entries into the United States, and for other purposes", including the Senate amendment thereto.

The message also announced that in accordance with sections 1928a-1928d of title 22, United States Code, as amended, the Chair, on behalf of the Vice President, appoints Mr. BROWN and Mr. AKAKA as members of the Senate delegation to the North Atlantic Assembly during the 2d session of the 104th Congress, to be held in Vouliagmeni, Athens, Greece, May 16-20, 1996.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 102-246, the Chair, on behalf of the majority leader, in consultation with the Democratic leader, appoints Julie Finley, of Washington, DC, as a member of the Library of Congress Trust Fund Board, effective June 30, 1996, vice Edwin L. Cox.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 94-201, the Chair, on behalf of the President pro tempore, appoints James F. Hoy, of Kansas, and Charles E. Trimble, of Nebraska, as members of the Board of Trustees of the American Folklife Center.

59.5 COMMITTEES AND SUBCOMMITTEES TO SIT

On motion of Mr. SOLOMON, by unanimous consent, the following committees and their subcommittees were granted permission to sit today during the 5-minute rule: the Committee on Agriculture, the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, the Committee on Commerce, the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, the Committee on House Oversight, the Committee on International Relations, the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Resources, the Committee on Science, and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

59.6 PROVIDING FOR THE FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H. CON. RES. 178

Mr. SOLOMON, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 435):

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 178) establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002. No further general debate shall be in order. The concurrent resolution shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. The concurrent resolution shall be considered as read. No amendment shall be in order except those designated in section 2 of this resolution. Each amendment may be offered only in the order designated, may be offered only

by the Member designated or a designee (except that if no Member offers the amendment designated in paragraph (3) of section 2, then that amendment shall nevertheless be considered as pending at this point), shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to amendment. All points of order against the amendments designated in section 2 are waived except that the adoption of an amendment in the nature of a substitute shall constitute the conclusion of consideration of the concurrent resolution for amendment. After the conclusion of consideration of the concurrent resolution for amendment and a final period of general debate, which shall not exceed 40 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget, the Committee shall rise and report the concurrent resolution to the House with such amendment as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution and amendments thereto to final adoption without intervening motion except amendments offered by the chairman of the Committee on the Budget pursuant to section 305(a)(5) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to achieve mathematical consistency. The concurrent resolution shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question of its adoption.

SEC. 2. The following amendments are in order pursuant to the first section of this resolution:

(1) An amendment in the nature of a substitute by Representative Payne of New Jersey printed on May 15, 1996, in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII.

(2) An amendment in the nature of a substitute by Representative Orton of Utah printed on May 15, 1996, in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII.

(3) An amendment in the nature of a substitute by Representative Sabo of Minnesota printed on May 15, 1996, in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII, which may be offered by any Member, or that failing, shall be considered as pending under the terms of the first section of this resolution.

SEC. 3. (a) If House Concurrent Resolution 178 is agreed to, then for all purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as it applies in the House—

(1) the allocations of spending and credit responsibilities that are depicted in House Report 104-575, beginning on page 158, shall be considered as the allocations otherwise required by section 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to be included in the joint explanatory statement of the managers on a conference report to accompany a concurrent resolution on the budget; and

(2) the Congress shall be considered to have adopted House Concurrent Resolution 178 in the form adopted by the House.

(b) Upon adoption by the Congress of a concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997, subsection (a) shall cease to apply.

(c) This section supersedes section 603 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 with respect to the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997.

SEC. 4. Rule XLIX shall not apply with respect to the adoption by the Congress of a concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997.

When said resolution was considered. After debate,

Mr. SOLOMON moved the previous question on the resolution to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House now order the previous question?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. FROST objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 227
Nays 196

59.7 [Roll No. 175]

YEAS—227

Allard	Franks (NJ)	Mica
Archer	Frelinghuysen	Miller (FL)
Armey	Frisa	Moorhead
Bachus	Funderburk	Morella
Baker (CA)	Gallegly	Myers
Baker (LA)	Ganske	Myrick
Ballenger	Gekas	Nethercutt
Barr	Gilchrest	Neumann
Barrett (NE)	Gillmor	Ney
Bartlett	Gilman	Norwood
Barton	Goodlatte	Nussle
Bass	Goodling	Oxley
Bateman	Goss	Packard
Bereuter	Graham	Parker
Bilirakis	Greene (UT)	Petri
Bliley	Greenwood	Pombo
Blute	Gunderson	Porter
Boehlert	Gutknecht	Portman
Boehner	Hancock	Pryce
Bonilla	Hansen	Quillen
Bono	Hastert	Quinn
Brownback	Hastings (WA)	Radanovich
Bryant (TN)	Hayworth	Ramstad
Bunn	Hefley	Regula
Bunning	Heineman	Riggs
Burr	Herge	Rogers
Burton	Hilleary	Rohrabacher
Buyer	Hobson	Ros-Lehtinen
Callahan	Hoekstra	Roth
Calvert	Hoke	Roukema
Camp	Horn	Royce
Campbell	Hostettler	Salmon
Canady	Houghton	Sanford
Castle	Hunter	Saxton
Chabot	Hutchinson	Scarborough
Chambliss	Hyde	Schaefer
Chenoweth	Inglis	Schiff
Christensen	Istook	Seastrand
Chrysler	Johnson (CT)	Sensenbrenner
Clinger	Johnson, Sam	Shadegg
Coble	Jones	Shaw
Coburn	Kasich	Shays
Collins (GA)	Kelly	Shuster
Combest	Kim	Skeen
Cooley	King	Smith (MI)
Cox	Kingston	Smith (NJ)
Crane	Klug	Smith (TX)
Crapo	Knollenberg	Smith (WA)
Cremeans	Kolbe	Solomon
Cubin	LaHood	Spence
Cunningham	Largent	Stearns
Davis	Latham	Stockman
Deal	LaTourette	Stump
DeLay	Laughlin	Tate
Diaz-Balart	Lazio	Taylor (NC)
Dickey	Leach	Thomas
Doolittle	Lewis (CA)	Thornberry
Dornan	Lewis (KY)	Tiaht
Dreier	Lightfoot	Torkildsen
Duncan	Linder	Upton
Dunn	Livingston	Vucanovich
Ehlers	LoBiondo	Walker
Ehrlich	Longley	Walsh
Emerson	Lucas	Wamp
English	Manullo	Watts (OK)
Ensign	Martinez	Weldon (FL)
Everett	Martini	Weldon (PA)
Ewing	McCollum	White
Fawell	McCrery	Whitfield
Fields (TX)	McDade	Wicker
Flanagan	McHugh	Wolf
Foley	McInnis	Young (AK)
Forbes	McIntosh	Young (FL)
Fowler	McKeon	Zeliff
Fox	Metcalf	Zimmer
Franks (CT)	Meyers	

NAYS—196

Abercrombie	Gejdenson	Obey
Ackerman	Gephardt	Olver
Andrews	Geren	Ortiz
Baesler	Gibbons	Orton
Baldacci	Gonzalez	Owens
Barcia	Gordon	Pallone
Barrett (WI)	Green (TX)	Pastor
Becerra	Gutierrez	Payne (NJ)
Beilenson	Hall (OH)	Payne (VA)
Bentsen	Hall (TX)	Pelosi
Berman	Hamilton	Peterson (MN)
Bevill	Harman	Pickett
Bilbray	Hastings (FL)	Pomeroy
Bishop	Hefner	Poshard
Bonior	Hilliard	Rahall
Borski	Hinchev	Rangel
Boucher	Holden	Reed
Brewster	Hoyer	Richardson
Browder	Jackson (IL)	Rivers
Brown (CA)	Jackson-Lee	Roemer
Brown (FL)	(TX)	Rose
Brown (OH)	Jacobs	Roybal-Allard
Bryant (TX)	Jefferson	Rush
Cardin	Johnson (SD)	Sabo
Chapman	Johnson, E.B.	Sanders
Clay	Johnston	Sawyer
Clayton	Kanjorski	Schroeder
Clement	Kaptur	Schumer
Clyburn	Kennedy (MA)	Scott
Coleman	Kennelly	Serrano
Collins (IL)	Kildee	Sisisky
Collins (MI)	Kleczka	Skaggs
Condit	Klink	Skelton
Conyers	LaFalce	Slaughter
Costello	Lantos	Spratt
Coyne	Levin	Stark
Cramer	Lewis (GA)	Stenholm
Cummings	Lincoln	Stokes
Danner	Lipinski	Studds
de la Garza	Lofgren	Stupak
DeFazio	Lowe	Tanner
DeLauro	Luther	Tauzin
Dellums	Maloney	Taylor (MS)
Deutsch	Manton	Tejeda
Dicks	Markey	Thompson
Dingell	Mascara	Thornton
Dixon	Matsui	Thurman
Doggett	McCarthy	Torres
Dooley	McDermott	Torricelli
Doyle	McHale	Towns
Durbin	McKinney	Tralfanc
Edwards	McNulty	Velazquez
Engel	Meehan	Vento
Eshoo	Meek	Visclosky
Evans	Menendez	Volkmer
Farr	Miller (CA)	Ward
Fattah	Minge	Waters
Fazio	Mink	Watt (NC)
Fields (LA)	Moakley	Waxman
Filner	Mollohan	Weller
Flake	Montgomery	Wilson
Foglietta	Moran	Wise
Ford	Murtha	Woolsey
Frank (MA)	Nadler	Wynn
Frost	Neal	Yates
Furse	Oberstar	

NOT VOTING—10

Hayes	Molinari	Souder
Kennedy (RI)	Paxon	Talent
Millender-McDonald	Peterson (FL)	Williams
	Roberts	

So the previous question on the resolution was ordered.

The question being put, *viva voce*, Will the House agree to said resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, announced that the yeas had it.

So the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

§59.8 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RESOLUTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, pursuant to House Resolution 435 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the

state of the Union for the further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 178) establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

Mr. CAMP, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, resumed the chair; and after some time spent therein,

§59.9 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$1,140,900,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$1,216,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$1,777,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:	\$1,345,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:	\$1,407,900,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:	\$1,483,500,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$40,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$67,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$78,900,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:	\$93,200,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:	\$96,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:	\$109,700,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$1,338,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$1,400,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$1,448,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:	\$1,508,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:	\$1,548,700,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:	\$1,618,600,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$1,325,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$1,391,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$1,436,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:	\$1,483,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:	\$1,525,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:	\$1,589,200,000,000.

(4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$184,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$175,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$159,200,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:	\$138,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:	\$117,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:	\$105,700,000,000.

(5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$5,417,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$5,651,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$5,864,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: \$6,058,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$6,212,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$6,344,300,000,000.

(6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$41,432,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$39,420,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$42,470,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:	\$43,895,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:	\$44,292,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:	\$46,718,000,000.

(7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997:	\$267,340,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998:	\$266,819,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999:	\$266,088,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000:	\$267,079,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001:	\$267,982,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002:	\$269,051,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$240,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$237,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$233,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$235,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$227,400,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$228,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$223,400,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$220,400,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$219,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$216,400,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$219,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$216,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,800,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,342,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,251,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,417,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,628,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,518,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,030,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$19,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,618,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,406,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$20,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,739,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,858,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$20,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,891,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$20,431,000,000.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(4) Energy (270):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,033,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,050,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,078,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,109,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$3,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,141,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,179,000,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$22,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$27,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$20,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$20,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$20,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(6) Agriculture (350):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,810,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$5,994,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$9,387,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,765,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,808,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,836,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,825,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,909,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$7,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,708,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,983,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$6,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,706,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$7,060,000,000.

(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,910,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,096,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$5,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,900,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,218,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$6,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,954,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,427,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$7,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,015,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,723,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$7,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,072,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,876,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,134,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$199,111,000,000.

(8) Transportation (400):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$42,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$39,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$15,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$43,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$38,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$43,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$36,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$44,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$33,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$45,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$33,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$46,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$33,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$18,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(9) Community and Regional Development (450):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$11,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,230,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,187,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,257,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,229,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$2,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,287,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,315,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,365,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,369,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,404,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,448,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,430,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,496,000,000.
 (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$62,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$61,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,219,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,469,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$64,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$63,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$69,700,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,760,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$68,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$66,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$21,781,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$13,854,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$70,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$68,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$22,884,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,589,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$71,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$69,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$23,978,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,319,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$73,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$71,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$25,127,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$16,085,000,000.
 (11) Health (550):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$140,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$140,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$187,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$154,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$153,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$94,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$168,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$167,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$183,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$182,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$198,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$198,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$215,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$214,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (12) Medicare (570):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$199,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$198,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$218,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$217,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$239,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$236,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$259,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$258,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$282,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$280,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$307,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$305,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (13) Income Security (600):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$236,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$244,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$253,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$255,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$261,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$267,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$282,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$281,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$283,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$287,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$305,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$302,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (14) Social Security (650):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$7,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$39,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$40,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$935,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$26,362,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$40,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$40,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$982,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,925,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$42,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$42,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$987,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,426,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$43,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$44,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,021,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,883,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$44,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$42,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,189,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,298,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$45,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$45,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,194,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$23,668,000,000.
 (16) Administration of Justice (750):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$23,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$24,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$25,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$24,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$25,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$25,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$25,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$24,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (17) General Government (800):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (18) Net Interest (900):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$281,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$281,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$285,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$285,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$287,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$287,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$286,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$286,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$289,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$289,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$293,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$293,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (19) Allowances (920):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$43,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$43,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,900,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$33,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$33,500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,838,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$8,838,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$31,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$31,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$3,600,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$3,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$32,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$32,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$33,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$33,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.
 (a) Not later than June 21, 1996, the House committee named in subsection (b) shall report its recommendations to the House.
 (b) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to increase revenues by \$40,500,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, by \$377,000,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and by \$486,600,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
 (1) domestic violence is the leading cause of physical injury to women; the Department of Justice estimates that over one million violent crimes against women are committed by intimate partners annually;
 (2) domestic violence dramatically affects the victim's ability to participate in the workforce; a University of Minnesota survey reported that one-quarter of battered women surveyed had lost a job partly because of being abused and that over half of these women had been harassed by their abuser at work;
 (3) domestic violence is often intensified as women seek to gain economic independence through attending school or training programs; batterers have been reported to prevent women from attending these programs or sabotage their efforts at self-improvement;
 (4) nationwide surveys of service providers prepared by the Taylor Institute of Chicago, document, for the first time, the inter-relationship between domestic violence and welfare by showing that between 50 percent and 80 percent of AFDC recipients are current or past victims of domestic violence;
 (5) over half of the women surveyed stayed with their batterers because they lacked the resources to support themselves and their children; the surveys also found that the availability of economic support is a critical factor in poor women's ability to leave abusive situations that threaten them and their children; and
 (6) proposals to restructure the welfare programs may impact the availability of the economic support and the safety net necessary to enable poor women to flee abuse without risking homelessness and starvation for their families.
 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—
 (1) no welfare reform provision shall be enacted by Congress unless and until Congress considers whether such welfare reform provisions will exacerbate violence against women and their children, further endanger women's lives, make it more difficult for women to escape domestic violence, or further punish women victimized by violence; and
 (2) any welfare reform measure enacted by Congress shall require that any welfare-to-work, education, or job placement programs implemented by the States will address the impact of domestic violence on welfare recipients.

SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION ON CHILDREN.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legislation that will increase the number of children who are hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.

(b) LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR IMPACT ON CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply with this resolution results in an increase in the number of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provisions of such legislation which caused such increase and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation which would halt any continuation of such increase.

It was decided in the { Yeas 63
negative } { Nays 362

59.10

[Roll No. 176]

AYES—63

Becerra	Ford	Nadler
Bishop	Frank (MA)	Oberstar
Bonior	Gibbons	Owens
Brown (FL)	Gutierrez	Payne (NJ)
Clay	Hastings (FL)	Rangel
Clayton	Hilliard	Rush
Clyburn	Hinchev	Sanders
Collins (IL)	Jackson (IL)	Schroeder
Collins (MI)	Jackson-Lee	Scott
Conyers	(TX)	Serrano
Coyne	Jefferson	Stark
Cummings	Johnson, E.B.	Stokes
DeFazio	Johnston	Studds
Dellums	Lewis (GA)	Thompson
Dixon	Markey	Torres
Engel	Martinez	Velazquez
Evans	McDermott	Waters
Fattah	McKinney	Watt (NC)
Fields (LA)	Meeek	Waxman
Filner	Millender-	Wynn
Flake	McDonald	Yates
Foglietta	Moakley	

NOES—362

Abercrombie	Canady	English
Ackerman	Cardin	Ensign
Allard	Castle	Eshoo
Andrews	Chabot	Everett
Archer	Chambliss	Ewing
Armey	Chapman	Farr
Bachus	Christensen	Fawell
Baessler	Chrysler	Fazio
Baker (CA)	Clement	Fields (TX)
Baker (LA)	Clinger	Flanagan
Baldacci	Coble	Foley
Ballenger	Coburn	Forbes
Barcia	Coleman	Fowler
Barr	Collins (GA)	Fox
Barrett (NE)	Combest	Franks (CT)
Barrett (WI)	Condit	Franks (NJ)
Bartlett	Cooley	Frelinghuysen
Barton	Costello	Frisa
Bass	Cox	Frost
Bateman	Cramer	Funderburk
Beilenson	Crane	Furse
Bentsen	Crapo	Gallegly
Bereuter	Cremeans	Ganske
Berman	Cubin	Gejdenson
Bilbray	Cunningham	Gekas
Bilirakis	Danner	Gephardt
Bliley	Davis	Geran
Blute	de la Garza	Gilchrest
Boehlert	Deal	Gillmor
Boehner	DeLauro	Gilman
Bonilla	DeLay	Gonzalez
Bono	Deutsch	Goodlatte
Borski	Diaz-Balart	Goodling
Boucher	Dickey	Gordon
Brewster	Dicks	Goss
Browder	Dingell	Graham
Brown (CA)	Doggett	Green (TX)
Brown (OH)	Dooley	Greene (UT)
Brownback	Doolittle	Greenwood
Bryant (TN)	Dornan	Gunderson
Bryant (TX)	Doyle	Gutknecht
Bunn	Dreier	Hall (OH)
Bunning	Duncan	Hall (TX)
Burr	Dunn	Hamilton
Buyer	Durbin	Hancock
Callahan	Edwards	Hansen
Calvert	Ehlers	Harman
Camp	Ehrlich	Hastert
Campbell	Emerson	Hastings (WA)

Hayworth	McDade	Salmon
Hefley	McHale	Sanford
Hefner	McHugh	Sawyer
Heineman	McInnis	Saxton
Herger	McIntosh	Scarborough
Hilleary	McKeon	Schaefer
Hobson	McNulty	Schiff
Hoekstra	Meehan	Schumer
Hoke	Menendez	Seastrand
Holden	Metcalf	Sensenbrenner
Horn	Meyers	Shadegg
Hostettler	Mica	Shaw
Houghton	Miller (CA)	Shays
Hoyer	Miller (FL)	Shuster
Hunter	Minge	Sisisky
Hutchinson	Mink	Skaggs
Hyde	Mollohan	Skeen
Inglis	Montgomery	Skelton
Istook	Moorhead	Slaughter
Jacobs	Moran	Smith (MI)
Johnson (CT)	Morella	Smith (NJ)
Johnson (SD)	Murtha	Smith (TX)
Johnson, Sam	Myers	Smith (WA)
Jones	Myrick	Solomon
Kanjorski	Neal	Souder
Kaptur	Nethercutt	Spence
Kasich	Neumann	Spratt
Kelly	Ney	Stearns
Kennedy (MA)	Norwood	Stenholm
Kennedy (RI)	Nussle	Stockman
Kennelly	Obey	Stump
Kildee	Olver	Stupak
Kim	Ortiz	Tanner
King	Orton	Tate
Kingston	Oxley	Tauzin
Klecza	Packard	Taylor (MS)
Klink	Pallone	Taylor (NC)
Klug	Parker	Tejeda
Knollenberg	Pastor	Thomas
Kolbe	Payne (VA)	Thornberry
LaFalce	Pelosi	Thornton
LaHood	Peterson (FL)	Thurman
Lantos	Peterson (MN)	Tiahrt
Largent	Petri	Torkildsen
Latham	Pickett	Torricelli
LaTourette	Pombo	Traficant
Laughlin	Pomeroy	Upton
Lazio	Porter	Vento
Leach	Portman	Visclosky
Levin	Poshard	Volkmer
Lewis (CA)	Pryce	Vucanovich
Lewis (KY)	Quillen	Walker
Lightfoot	Quinn	Walsh
Lincoln	Radanovich	Wamp
Linder	Rahall	Ward
Lipinski	Ramstad	Watts (OK)
Livingston	Reed	Weldon (FL)
LoBiondo	Regula	Weldon (PA)
Lofgren	Richardson	Weller
Longley	Riggs	White
Lowe	Rivers	Whitfield
Lucas	Roberts	Wicker
Luther	Roemer	Williams
Maloney	Rogers	Wilson
Manton	Rohrabacher	Wise
Manzullo	Ros-Lehtinen	Wolf
Martini	Rose	Woolsey
Mascara	Roth	Young (AK)
Matsui	Roukema	Young (FL)
McCarthy	Royal-Allard	Zeliff
McCollum	Royce	Zimmer
McCrery	Sabo	

NOT VOTING—8

Bevill	Hayes	Talent
Burton	Molinari	Towns
Chenoweth	Paxon	

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

59.11 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. ORTON:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fis-

cal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,107,513,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,165,720,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,214,661,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,405,637,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,330,292,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,392,543,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$7,157,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$17,170,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$16,303,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,269,637,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$19,192,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$18,645,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,316,223,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,364,054,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,405,593,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,448,718,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,480,821,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,529,237,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,313,391,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,352,476,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,388,058,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,428,498,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,453,221,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,501,530,000,000.

(4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$205,878,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$186,756,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$173,397,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$158,861,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$122,929,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$108,987,000,000.

(5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$5,417,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$5,651,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$5,864,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$6,058,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$6,212,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$6,344,300,000,000.

(6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$41,432,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$39,420,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$42,470,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$43,895,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$45,292,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$46,718,000,000.

(7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$267,340,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$266,819,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$266,088,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$267,079,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$267,982,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$269,051,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

- Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$259,235,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$262,484,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$263,733,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$259,351,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$267,996,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$261,560,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$273,082,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$267,858,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$272,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$265,703,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$272,372,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$269,364,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- (2) International Affairs (150):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,178,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,008,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,342,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,251,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,682,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,566,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,417,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,628,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,838,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,552,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,518,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,030,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,749,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,461,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,618,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,406,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,879,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,669,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,739,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,858,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,124,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,727,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,891,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$20,431,000,000.
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,840,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,894,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,841,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,852,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,843,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,776,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,844,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,822,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,845,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,844,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,846,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,845,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- (4) Energy (270):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,728,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$3,080,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,033,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,654,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,695,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,050,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,220,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,180,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,078,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,167,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,035,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,109,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,337,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,179,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,141,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,065,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,816,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,174,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,359,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,969,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$37,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,131,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,846,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,277,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,921,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,150,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,630,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,032,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,253,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,019,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,089,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- (6) Agriculture (350):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,617,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,778,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,810,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$5,994,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,663,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,677,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$9,387,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,765,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,481,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,529,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,808,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,836,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,933,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,026,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,825,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,909,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,889,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$9,081,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,708,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,983,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,646,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,816,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,706,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$7,060,000,000.
- (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$7,928,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$826,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,910,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,096,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,878,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$5,381,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,900,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,218,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,622,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$5,713,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,954,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,427,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,421,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$6,686,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,015,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,723,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,984,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$7,198,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,072,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,876,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,325,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$7,837,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,134,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$199,111,000,000.

(8) Transportation (400):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$43,944,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,307,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$15,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,651,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$38,616,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$43,544,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$36,014,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,240,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$35,526,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,854,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$34,788,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$45,582,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$34,440,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$18,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(9) Community and Regional Development (450):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,733,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$10,409,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,231,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,181,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,268,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$10,024,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,257,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,229,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,556,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,464,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,287,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,315,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,621,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,163,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,365,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,369,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,610,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$8,671,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,404,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,448,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,498,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$8,149,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,430,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,496,000,000.

(10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$53,099,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$51,302,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,219,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,469,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$54,914,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$53,764,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$19,040,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,760,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$56,631,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$55,520,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$21,781,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$13,854,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$57,968,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$56,675,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$22,884,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,589,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$59,496,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$57,975,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$23,978,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,319,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$61,089,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$59,302,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$25,127,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$16,085,000,000.

(11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$130,271,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$129,859,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$187,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$137,102,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$136,870,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$94,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$146,449,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$146,486,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$155,462,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$155,232,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$163,952,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$163,535,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$174,717,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$174,167,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(12) Medicare (570):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$191,735,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$190,051,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$205,671,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$203,946,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$219,739,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$217,467,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$233,083,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$231,334,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$249,351,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$247,617,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$266,091,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$263,690,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(13) Income Security (600):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$231,135,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$238,848,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$243,312,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$247,097,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$252,613,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$256,017,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$266,923,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$268,708,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$273,393,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$273,190,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$288,716,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$286,757,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$7,813,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,001,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,477,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,664,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,220,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,369,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,980,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,129,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,776,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,925,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,608,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,757,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,074,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,570,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$935,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$26,362,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$38,910,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,387,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, \$962,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,925,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,420,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,603,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$987,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,426,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,548,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$41,235,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,021,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,883,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,803,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$38,655,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,189,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,298,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$40,005,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$40,268,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,194,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$23,668,000,000.

(16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,127,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,930,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,302,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,162,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$23,186,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,241,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$23,235,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,944,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,119,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,461,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,143,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,085,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,655,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,362,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,661,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,522,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,311,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,299,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,149,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,346,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,086,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,046,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,147,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,104,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$282,011,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$281,971,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$287,083,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$286,933,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$289,332,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$289,032,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$289,637,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$289,162,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$292,873,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$292,190,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$297,178,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$296,252,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, -\$0.

(B) Outlays, -\$0.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, -\$6,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$6,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, -\$7,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$7,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, -\$8,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$8,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, -\$9,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$9,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, -\$9,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$9,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, -\$43,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$43,258,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,900,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, -\$34,878,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$34,878,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,350,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, -\$33,685,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$33,685,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, -\$35,974,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$35,974,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, -\$37,759,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$37,759,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, -\$39,435,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$39,435,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

(a) Not later than June 21, 1996, the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

(b)(1) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$2,082,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$15,117,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$18,852,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(2) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$367,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,428,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$3,026,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(3) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$10,717,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$158,844,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$226,598,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(4) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$220,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,454,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$3,198,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(5) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$2,600,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$40,278,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$50,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(6) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$0 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$357,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$476,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(7) The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$84,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$493,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$649,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(8) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$74,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$308,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$332,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(9) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$19,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$810,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$885,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(10) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$117,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,378,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$3,232,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(11) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the deficit, as follows: by \$14,766,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, by \$172,990,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and by \$231,595,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the

meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(1) domestic violence is the leading cause of physical injury to women; the Department of Justice estimates that over one million violent crimes against women are committed by intimate partners annually;

(2) domestic violence dramatically affects the victim's ability to participate in the workforce; a University of Minnesota survey reported that one-quarter of battered women surveyed had lost a job partly because of being abused and that over half of these women had been harassed by their abuser at work;

(3) domestic violence is often intensified as women seek to gain economic independence through attending school or training programs; batterers have been reported to prevent women from attending these programs or sabotage their efforts at self-improvement;

(4) nationwide surveys of service providers prepared by the Taylor Institute of Chicago, document, for the first time, the interrelationship between domestic violence and welfare by showing that between 50 percent and 80 percent of AFDC recipients are current or past victims of domestic violence;

(5) over half of the women surveyed stayed with their batterers because they lacked the resources to support themselves and their children; the surveys also found that the availability of economic support is a critical factor in poor women's ability to leave abusive situations that threaten them and their children; and

(6) proposals to restructure the welfare programs may impact the availability of the economic support and the safety net necessary to enable poor women to flee abuse without risking homelessness and starvation for their families.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) no welfare reform provision shall be enacted by Congress unless and until Congress considers whether such welfare reform provisions will exacerbate violence against women and their children, further endanger women's lives, make it more difficult for women to escape domestic violence, or further punish women victimized by violence; and

(2) any welfare reform measure enacted by Congress shall require that any welfare-to-work, education, or job placement programs implemented by the States will address the impact of domestic violence on welfare recipients.

SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION ON CHILDREN.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legislation that will increase the number of children who are hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.

(b) LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR IMPACT ON CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply with this resolution results in an increase in the number of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provisions of such legislation which caused such increase and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation which would halt any continuation of such increase.

SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TAX CUTS.

It is the sense of Congress that changes in tax laws which promote job creation, economic growth, and increased savings and investment should be enacted and be offset by

changes which close tax loopholes and eliminate corporate welfare.

SEC. 8. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE DEBT.

It is the sense of Congress that eliminating the deficit by producing a balanced budget is only the first step toward the ultimate goal of reducing and eventually eliminating the public debt.

SEC. 9. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TRUST FUND SURPLUSES.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(2) all recent-year Federal budgets, as well as both fiscal year 1996 budget resolutions reported out by the Committees on the Budget of the House of Representatives and the Senate, have masked the magnitude of annual deficits by counting various trust fund surpluses; and

(2) upon reaching a balance in the Federal budget, the Government should move toward balance without consideration of trust fund surpluses.

SEC. 10. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING BALANCED BUDGET ENFORCEMENT.

It is the sense of Congress that, in order to ensure that a balanced budget is achieved by fiscal year 2002 and that the budget remains in balance thereafter, title XIV of H.R. 2530 establishing strict budget enforcement mechanisms should be enacted. Such language would—

(1) require the Federal Government to reach a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and remain in balance thereafter;

(2) establish procedures for developing honest, accurate, and accepted budget estimates;

(3) require that the President propose annual budgets that would achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, using accurate assumptions;

(4) require the Committees on the Budget of the House of Representatives and the Senate to report budget resolutions that achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, using accurate assumptions; and

(5) require Congress and the President to take action if the deficit targets in this resolution are not met.

SEC. 11. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING MEDICARE REFORM.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation reforming medicare should reflect the policies and distribution of savings contained in H.R. 2530. Specifically, that legislation should—

(1) reform policies for medicare risk contracting to expand the choice of private options available to all medicare beneficiaries, including individuals in rural areas;

(2) contain regulatory reforms to facilitate the creation of provider-sponsored networks;

(3) contain reasonable reductions in the growth of payments to providers that do not threaten the availability or quality of care;

(4) require higher income medicare beneficiaries to pay a greater portion of medicare premiums without establishing a new bureaucracy for the collection of premiums;

(5) expand coverage of preventive benefits under medicare;

(6) provide a demonstration project for Medical Savings Accounts for medicare beneficiaries; and

(7) prohibit managed care plans from charging medicare beneficiaries additional premiums beyond the part B premium.

SEC. 12. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING MEDICAID REFORM.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation changing the medicaid program pursuant to this resolution should—

(1) continue guaranteed coverage for low-income children, pregnant women, the elderly, and the disabled;

(2) continue the guarantee of an adequate benefits package for all medicaid beneficiaries;

(3) provide States with greater flexibility in the delivery of services and administration of the program;

(4) contain a financing mechanism in which the Federal Government fully shares in changes in program costs resulting from changes in caseload;

(5) require States to maintain current levels of financial effort to preserve the current joint Federal-State partnership in meeting the costs of this program;

(6) continue current restrictions on the use of provider taxes and donations and other illusory State financing schemes;

(7) continue Federal minimum standards for nursing homes;

(8) continue Federal rules that prevent wives or husbands from being required to impoverish themselves in order to obtain and keep medicaid benefits for their spouse requiring nursing home care; and

(9) continue coverage of medicaid premiums and cost sharing for low-income seniors.

SEC. 13. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING WELFARE REFORM.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation reforming welfare programs pursuant to this resolution should—

(1) impose tough work requirements on able-bodied recipients;

(2) provide sufficient resources for job training, child care, and other programs necessary to help welfare recipients make the transition from welfare to work;

(3) require States to maintain levels of financial support sufficient to operate an effective program;

(4) contain effective counter-cyclical mechanisms to assist States facing economic downturns or increases in population;

(5) include provisions holding States accountable for the use of Federal funds and the effectiveness of State programs;

(6) contain strong child support provisions; and

(7) maintain the integrity of the food stamp program as a national safety net.

It was decided in the { Yeas 130 negative } { Nays 295

§59.12 [Roll No. 177] AYES—130

Table with 3 columns of names: Andrews, Baesler, Baldacci, Barcia, Barrett (NE), Barrett (WI), Barton, Beilenson, Bentsen, Bereuter, Bevill, Bishop, Blute, Brewster, Browder, Brown (CA), Brown (FL), Campbell, Cardin, Chapman, Clay, Clayton, Clement, Clyburn, Collins (MI), Condit, Cramer, Davis, de la Garza, Dicks, Dingell, Doggett, Dooley, Doyle, Duncan, Eshoo, Farr, Fattah, Fazio, Fields (LA), Filner, Flake, Foglietta, Furse, Geren, Gibbons, Gordon, Gunderson, Hall (OH), Hall (TX), Hamilton, Harman, Hastings (FL), Hefner, Hilliard, Holden, Hoyer, Jackson (IL), Jackson-Lee (TX), Jefferson, Johnson (CT), Johnson, E. B., Johnston, Kennelly, Klug, LaFalce, LaHood, Lantos, Leach, Lincoln, Lofgren, Luther, Martinez, Matsui, McCarthy, McDade, McDermott, McHale, McKinney, Meehan, Meek, Metcalf, Millender, McDonald, Minge, Montgomery, Moran, Morella, Murtha, Oberstar, Olver, Ortiz, Orton, Payne (NJ), Payne (VA), Peterson (FL), Peterson (MN), Pomeroy, Porter, Poshard, Richardson, Rivers, Roemer, Rose, Stenholm, Stokes, Studds, Tanner, Tauzin, Taylor (MS), Thompson, Towns, Vento, Martini, Mascara, McCollum, McCreery, McHugh, McInnis, McIntosh, McKeon, McNulty, Menendez, Meyers, Mica, Mink, Moakley, Mollohan, Moorhead, Myers, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Neumann, Ney, Norwood, Nussle, Obey, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Pelosi, Petri, Pickett, Pombo, Portman, Pryce, Quillen, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Riggs, Roberts, Rogers, Rohrabacher, Ros-Lehtinen, Roth, Roybal-Allard, Royce, Rush, Salmon, Sanders, Sanford, Saxton, Scarborough, Schaefer, Schiff, Schumer, Seastrand, Sensenbrenner, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Skeen, Slaughter, Smith (MI), Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Smith (WA), Solomon, Lightfoot, Souder, Spence, Stark, Stearns, Stockman, Stump, Stupak, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thornberry, Roukema, Sabo, Sawyer, Schroeder, Scott, Sisisky, Skaggs, Skelton, Spratt, Abercrombie, Ackerman, Allard, Archer, Arney, Bachus, Baker (CA), Baker (LA), Ballenger, Barr, Bartlett, Bass, Bateman, Becerra, Berman, Bilbray, Bilirakis, Bliley, Boehlert, Boehner, Bonilla, Bonior, Bono, Borski, Boucher, Brown (OH), Brownback, Bryant (TN), Bryant (TX), Bunn, Bunning, Burr, Burton, Buyer, Callahan, Calvert, Camp, Canady, Castle, Chabot, Chambliss, Chenoweth, Christensen, Chrysler, Clinger, Coble, Coburn, Coleman, Collins (GA), Collins (IL), Combest, Conyers, Cooley, Costello, Cox, Coyne, Crane, Crane, Crapo, Creameans, Cubin, Cummings, Cunningham, Danner, Deal, DeFazio, DeLauro, DeLay, Dellums, Deutsch, Diaz-Balart, Dickey, Dixon, Doolittle, Dornan, Dreier, Dunn, Durbin, Edwards, Ehrlich, Emerson, Engel, English, Ensign, Evans, Everrett, Ewing, Fawell, Fields (TX), Flanagan, Stenholm, Stokes, Studds, Tanner, Tauzin, Taylor (MS), Thompson, Towns, Vento, Martini, Mascara, McCollum, McCreery, McHugh, McInnis, McIntosh, McKeon, McNulty, Menendez, Meyers, Mica, Mink, Moakley, Mollohan, Moorhead, Myers, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Neumann, Ney, Norwood, Nussle, Obey, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Pelosi, Petri, Pickett, Pombo, Portman, Pryce, Quillen, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Riggs, Roberts, Rogers, Rohrabacher, Ros-Lehtinen, Roth, Roybal-Allard, Royce, Rush, Salmon, Sanders, Sanford, Saxton, Scarborough, Schaefer, Schiff, Schumer, Seastrand, Sensenbrenner, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Skeen, Slaughter, Smith (MI), Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Smith (WA), Solomon, Lightfoot, Souder, Spence, Stark, Stearns, Stockman, Stump, Stupak, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thornberry, Walker, Walsh, Waters, Watts (OK), Waxman, Weldon (FL), Weldon (PA), Weller, White, Whitfield, Wicker, Williams, Wolf, Woolsey, Yates, Young (FL), Zeliff, Zimmer, Ehlers, Ford, Hayes, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Molinari, Paxon, Talent, NOT VOTING—8

Table with 3 columns of names: Stenholm, Stokes, Studds, Tanner, Tauzin, Taylor (MS), Thompson, Towns, Vento, Martini, Mascara, McCollum, McCreery, McHugh, McInnis, McIntosh, McKeon, McNulty, Menendez, Meyers, Mica, Mink, Moakley, Mollohan, Moorhead, Myers, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Neumann, Ney, Norwood, Nussle, Obey, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Pelosi, Petri, Pickett, Pombo, Portman, Pryce, Quillen, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Riggs, Roberts, Rogers, Rohrabacher, Ros-Lehtinen, Roth, Roybal-Allard, Royce, Rush, Salmon, Sanders, Sanford, Saxton, Scarborough, Schaefer, Schiff, Schumer, Seastrand, Sensenbrenner, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Skeen, Slaughter, Smith (MI), Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Smith (WA), Solomon, Lightfoot, Souder, Spence, Stark, Stearns, Stockman, Stump, Stupak, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thornberry, Walker, Walsh, Waters, Watts (OK), Waxman, Weldon (FL), Weldon (PA), Weller, White, Whitfield, Wicker, Williams, Wolf, Woolsey, Yates, Young (FL), Zeliff, Zimmer, Ehlers, Ford, Hayes, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Molinari, Paxon, Talent, NOT VOTING—8

NOES—295

Table with 3 columns of names: Folley, Forbes, Fowler, Fox, Frank (MA), Franks (CT), Franks (NJ), Frelinghuysen, Frisa, Frost, Funderburk, Gallegly, Ganske, Gejdenson, Gekas, Gephardt, Gilchrest, Gillmor, Gilman, Gonzalez, Goodlatte, Goodling, Goss, Graham, Green (TX), Greene (UT), Greenwood, Gutierrez, Gutknecht, Hancock, Hansen, Hastert, Hastings (WA), Hayworth, Hefley, Heineman, Herger, Hilleary, Hinchey, Hobson, Hoekstra, Hoke, Horn, Hostettler, Houghton, Hunter, Hutchinson, Hyde, Inglis, Istook, Jacobs, Johnson (SD), Johnson, Sam, Jones, Kanjorski, Kaptur, Kasich, Kelly, Kennedy (MA), Kennedy (RI), Kildee, Kim, King, Kingston, Kleczka, Klink, Knollenberg, Kolbe, Largent, Latham, LaTourrette, Laughlin, Lazio, Levin, Lewis (CA), Lewis (GA), Lewis (KY), Lightfoot, Linder, Lipinski, Livingston, LoBiondo, Longley, Lowey, Lucas, Maloney, Manton, Manzullo, Markey, Martini, Mascara, McCollum, McCreery, McHugh, McInnis, McIntosh, McKeon, McNulty, Menendez, Meyers, Mica, Mink, Moakley, Mollohan, Moorhead, Myers, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Neumann, Ney, Norwood, Nussle, Obey, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Pelosi, Petri, Pickett, Pombo, Portman, Pryce, Quillen, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Riggs, Roberts, Rogers, Rohrabacher, Ros-Lehtinen, Roth, Roybal-Allard, Royce, Rush, Salmon, Sanders, Sanford, Saxton, Scarborough, Schaefer, Schiff, Schumer, Seastrand, Sensenbrenner, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Skeen, Slaughter, Smith (MI), Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Smith (WA), Solomon, Lightfoot, Souder, Spence, Stark, Stearns, Stockman, Stump, Stupak, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thornberry, Walker, Walsh, Waters, Watts (OK), Waxman, Weldon (FL), Weldon (PA), Weller, White, Whitfield, Wicker, Williams, Wolf, Woolsey, Yates, Young (FL), Zeliff, Zimmer, Ehlers, Ford, Hayes, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Molinari, Paxon, Talent, NOT VOTING—8

Table with 3 columns of names: Thornton, Thurman, Tiahrt, Torkildsen, Torres, Torricelli, Traficant, Upton, Velazquez, Vucanovich, Walker, Walsh, Waters, Watts (OK), Waxman, Weldon (FL), Weldon (PA), Weller, White, Whitfield, Wicker, Williams, Wolf, Woolsey, Yates, Young (FL), Zeliff, Zimmer, Ehlers, Ford, Hayes, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Molinari, Paxon, Talent, NOT VOTING—8

NOT VOTING—8

Table with 3 columns of names: Ehlers, Ford, Hayes, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Molinari, Paxon, Talent, NOT VOTING—8

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time, The Committee rose informally to receive a message from the President.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KOLBE, assumed the Chair.

§59.13 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

The Committee resumed its sitting; and after some further time spent therein,

§59.14 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. SABO:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,092,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,146,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,195,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: \$1,244,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$1,309,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$1,389,900,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: -\$7,929,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: -\$2,150,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: -\$2,741,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: -\$7,219,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: -\$1,721,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$16,024,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,325,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,374,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,413,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: \$1,454,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$1,496,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$1,528,300,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,321,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,375,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$1,408,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$1,447,200,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,466,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$1,498,400,000,000.
- (4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:
 Fiscal year 1997: \$228,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$229,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$212,400,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$202,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$156,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$108,500,000,000.
- (5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:
 Fiscal year 1997: \$5,441,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$5,713,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$5,964,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$6,204,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$6,395,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$6,542,900,000,000.
- (6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:
 Fiscal year 1997: \$45,451,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$45,451,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$45,451,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$45,451,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$45,451,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$45,451,000,000.
- (7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:
 Fiscal year 1997: \$172,005,000,000.
- SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.**
 The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:
- (1) National Defense (050):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$254,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$260,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$229,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$258,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$256,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$263,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$257,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$270,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$263,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$279,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$266,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$287,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$278,200,000,000.
- (2) International Affairs (150):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,067,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,624,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$17,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$17,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,600,000,000.
- (4) Energy (270):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$3,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,620,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$2,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,100,000,000.
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$22,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$36,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$22,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$22,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$23,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$22,600,000,000.
- (6) Agriculture (350):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,100,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,605,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$8,150,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,200,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$9,400,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,900,000,000.
- (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,536,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$97,707,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$6,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$6,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,200,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,500,000,000.
- (8) Transportation (400):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$42,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$39,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$415,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$571,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$36,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$38,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$33,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$36,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$30,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$34,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$34,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$33,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$37,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$35,300,000,000.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,952,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,885,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$9,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$7,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$9,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$8,300,000,000.
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$53,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$51,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$21,770,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,114,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$54,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$53,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$56,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$55,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$58,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$56,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$60,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$58,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$63,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$61,400,000,000.
 (11) Health (550):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$136,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$136,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$140,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$144,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$144,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$151,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$151,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$158,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$159,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$164,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$163,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$176,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$174,600,000,000.
 (12) Medicare (570):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$193,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$191,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$209,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$207,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$222,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$220,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$236,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$234,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$252,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$250,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$272,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$269,900,000,000.
 (13) Income Security (600):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$231,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$239,000,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$37,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$244,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$247,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$255,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$256,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$271,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$270,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$280,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$277,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$296,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$292,900,000,000.
 (14) Social Security (650):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$7,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$10,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$11,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,800,000,000.
 (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$39,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$39,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,344,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,548,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$37,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$38,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$36,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$37,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$35,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$37,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$37,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$36,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$39,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$39,800,000,000.
 (16) Administration of Justice (750):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$23,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$24,400,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$25,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$24,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$25,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$25,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$25,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$24,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$25,000,000,000.
 (17) General Government (800):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,200,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$15,300,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.
 (18) Net Interest (900):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$282,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$282,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$289,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$289,400,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$293,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$293,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, \$296,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$296,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, \$301,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$301,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$307,500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$307,500,000,000.
 (19) Allowances (920):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$500,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$500,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$106,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$0.
 (B) Outlays, -\$0.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$12,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$16,500,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$36,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$36,800,000,000.
 (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$43,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$43,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$35,400,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$35,400,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$35,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$35,100,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$38,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$38,200,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$41,000,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$41,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$62,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$62,200,000,000.

SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

(a) Not later than June 21, 1996, the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

(b)(1) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$2,062,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$14,816,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$18,457,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(2) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$3,346,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,755,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$3,143,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(3) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$5,717,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$128,862,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$207,698,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(4) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$633,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$4,923,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and

\$6,040,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(5) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$840,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$7,236,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$9,086,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(6) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to increase outlays, as follows: \$51,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, and reduce outlays by \$84,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$147,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(7) The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$79,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$472,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$1,753,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(8) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$112,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$372,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$391,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(9) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$42,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$255,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$363,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(10) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$148,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$3,870,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$5,284,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(11) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to increase the deficit, as follows: by \$1,024,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, and decrease the deficit by \$64,619,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and by \$117,820,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

It was decided in the { Yeas 117
negative } Nays 304

¶59.15 [Roll No. 178]
AYES—117

Abercrombie	Coyne	Green (TX)
Ackerman	de la Garza	Hall (OH)
Andrews	DeLauro	Hall (TX)
Baldacci	Deutsch	Hastings (FL)
Barcia	Dicks	Hefner
Barrett (WI)	Hilliard	Hinchey
Becerra	Dixon	Jackson (IL)
Beilenson	Durbin	Jackson-Lee
Berman	Eshoo	(TX)
Bevill	Farr	Jefferson
Bonior	Fattah	Johnson (SD)
Borski	Fazio	Johnson (E. B.)
Brown (CA)	Fields (LA)	Johnston
Brown (FL)	Filner	Kanjorski
Bryant (TX)	Flake	Kaptur
Bunn	Foglietta	Kennedy (MA)
Clayton	Ford	Kennelly
Clement	Frost	Klecza
Clyburn	Gejdenson	LaFalce
Collins (IL)	Gephardt	Lantoso
Collins (MI)	Geren	Levin
Condit	Gordon	

Lewis (GA)	Moakley	Schumer
Lofgren	Murtha	Slaughter
Lowe	Neal	Spratt
Maloney	Oberstar	Studds
Manton	Obey	Thompson
Markey	Olver	Thornton
Martinez	Owens	Torres
Mascara	Pallone	Torricelli
Matsui	Pastor	Vento
McDermott	Payne (NJ)	Volkmer
McKinney	Pelosi	Watt (NC)
McNulty	Pomeroy	Waxman
Meek	Richardson	Williams
Menendez	Rose	Wilson
Millender-	Roybal-Allard	Wise
McDonald	Sabo	Woolsey
Miller (CA)	Sawyer	Yates
Mink	Schroeder	

NOES—304

Allard	Dunn	Latham
Archer	Edwards	LaTourette
Armey	Ehrlich	Laughlin
Bachus	Emerson	Lazio
Baessler	Engel	Leach
Baker (CA)	English	Lewis (KY)
Baker (LA)	Ensign	Lightfoot
Ballenger	Evans	Lincoln
Barr	Everett	Linder
Barrett (NE)	Ewing	Lipinski
Bartlett	Fawell	Livingston
Barton	Fields (TX)	LoBiondo
Bass	Flanagan	Longley
Bateman	Foley	Lucas
Bentsen	Forbes	Luther
Bereuter	Fowler	Martini
Bilbray	Fox	McCarthy
Bilirakis	Frank (MA)	McCollum
Bishop	Franks (CT)	McCrery
Bliley	Franks (NJ)	McDade
Blute	Frelinghuysen	McHale
Boehlert	Frisa	McHugh
Boehner	Funderburk	McInnis
Bonilla	Furse	McIntosh
Bono	Galleghy	McKeon
Boucher	Ganske	Meehan
Brewster	Gekas	Metcalf
Browder	Gilchrest	Meyers
Brown (OH)	Gillmor	Mica
Brownback	Gilman	Minge
Bryant (TN)	Gonzalez	Mollohan
Bunning	Goodlatte	Montgomery
Burr	Goodling	Moorhead
Burton	Goss	Moran
Buyer	Graham	Morella
Callahan	Greene (UT)	Myers
Calvert	Greenwood	Myrick
Camp	Gunderson	Nadler
Campbell	Gutierrez	Nethercutt
Canady	Gutknecht	Neumann
Cardin	Hamilton	Ney
Castle	Hancock	Norwood
Chabot	Hansen	Nussle
Chambliss	Harman	Ortiz
Chapman	Hastert	Orton
Chenoweth	Hastings (WA)	Oxley
Christensen	Hayworth	Packard
Chryslers	Hefley	Parker
Clay	Heineman	Payne (VA)
Clinger	Herger	Peterson (FL)
Coble	Hilleary	Peterson (MN)
Coburn	Hobson	Petri
Collins (GA)	Hoekstra	Pickett
Combest	Hoke	Pombo
Conyers	Holden	Porter
Cooley	Horn	Portman
Costello	Hostettler	Poshard
Cox	Houghton	Pryce
Cramer	Hoyer	Quinn
Crane	Hunter	Radanovich
Crapo	Hutchinson	Rahall
Creameans	Hyde	Ramstad
Cubin	Inglis	Rangel
Cummings	Istook	Reed
Cunningham	Johnson (CT)	Regula
Danner	Johnson, Sam	Riggs
Davis	Jones	Rivers
Deal	Kasich	Roberts
DeFazio	Kelly	Roemer
DeLay	Kennedy (RI)	Rogers
Dellums	Kildee	Rohrabacher
Diaz-Balart	Kim	Ros-Lehtinen
Dickey	King	Roth
Doggett	Kingston	Roukema
Dooley	Klink	Royce
Doolittle	Klug	Rush
Dornan	Knollenberg	Salmon
Doyle	Kolbe	Sanders
Drayer	LaHood	Sanford
Duncan	Largent	Saxton

Scarborough	Stark	Visclosky
Schaefer	Stearns	Vucanovich
Schiff	Stenholm	Walker
Scott	Stockman	Walsh
Seastrand	Stokes	Wamp
Sensenbrenner	Stump	Ward
Serrano	Stupak	Waters
Shadegg	Tanner	Watts (OK)
Shaw	Tate	Weldon (FL)
Shays	Tauzin	Weldon (PA)
Shuster	Taylor (MS)	Weller
Sisisky	Taylor (NC)	White
Skaggs	Tejeda	Whitfield
Skeen	Thomas	Wicker
Skelton	Thornberry	Wolf
Smith (MI)	Thurman	Wynn
Smith (NJ)	Tiahrt	Young (AK)
Smith (TX)	Torkildsen	Young (FL)
Smith (WA)	Towns	Zeliff
Solomon	Trafficant	Zimmer
Souder	Upton	
Spence	Velazquez	

NOT VOTING—12

Coleman	Jacobs	Molinari
Ehlers	Lewis (CA)	Paxon
Gibbons	Manzullo	Quillen
Hayes	Miller (FL)	Talent

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time, The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. NORWOOD, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. CAMP, Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 435 reported the concurrent resolution back to the House.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

The question being put, Will the House agree to said concurrent resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. NORWOOD, announced that pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV the yeas and nays were ordered, and the call was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the { Yeas 226
affirmative } Nays 195

¶59.16 [Roll No. 179]
YEAS—226

Allard	Coble	Gilchrest
Archer	Collins (GA)	Gillmor
Armey	Combest	Goodlatte
Bachus	Condit	Goodling
Baker (CA)	Cooley	Goss
Baker (LA)	Cox	Graham
Ballenger	Crane	Greene (UT)
Barr	Crapo	Greenwood
Barrett (NE)	Creameans	Gunderson
Bartlett	Cubin	Gutknecht
Barton	Cunningham	Hall (TX)
Bass	Davis	Hancock
Bateman	Deal	Hansen
Bereuter	DeLay	Hastert
Bilbray	Diaz-Balart	Hastings (WA)
Bilirakis	Dickey	Hayworth
Bliley	Doolittle	Hefley
Blute	Dornan	Heineman
Boehlert	Dreier	Herger
Boehner	Duncan	Hilleary
Bonilla	Dunn	Hobson
Bono	Ehrlich	Hoekstra
Brownback	Emerson	Hoke
Bryant (TN)	Ensign	Horn
Bunn	Everett	Hostettler
Bunning	Ewing	Houghton
Burr	Fawell	Hunter
Burton	Fields (TX)	Hutchinson
Buyer	Foley	Hyde
Callahan	Forbes	Inglis
Calvert	Fowler	Istook
Camp	Fox	Johnson (CT)
Campbell	Franks (CT)	Johnson, Sam
Canady	Franks (NJ)	Jones
Castle	Frelinghuysen	Kasich
Chabot	Frisa	Kelly
Chambliss	Funderburk	Kim
Chenoweth	Galleghy	King
Christensen	Ganske	Kingston
Chrysler	Gekas	Klug
Clinger	Geren	Knollenberg

Kolbe
LaHood
Largent
Latham
LaTourette
Laughlin
Lazio
Leach
Lewis (KY)
Lightfoot
Linder
Livingston
LoBiondo
Longley
Lucas
Martini
McCollum
McCrery
McDade
McHugh
McInnis
McIntosh
McKeon
Metcalf
Meyers
Mica
Montgomery
Moorhead
Morella
Myers
Myrick
Nethercutt
Neumann
Ney
Norwood

Nussle
Oxley
Parker
Petri
Pombo
Porter
Portman
Pryce
Quinn
Radanovich
Ramstad
Regula
Riggs
Roberts
Rogers
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Roth
Roukema
Royce
Salmon
Sanford
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer
Schiff
Seastrand
Sensenbrenner
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Skeen
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Solomon
Souder
Spence
Stearns
Stockman
Stump
Tate
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Taylor (NC)
Thomas
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Torkildsen
Upton
Vucanovich
Walker
Walsh
Wamp
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
White
Whitfield
Wicker
Wolf
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Zeliff
Zimmer

Watt (NC)
Waxman
Williams

Wilson
Wise
Woolsey

Wynn
Yates

NOT VOTING—12

Collins (MI)
Ehlers
Hayes
Jacobs

Lewis (CA)
Manzullo
Miller (FL)
Molinari

Packard
Paxon
Quillen
Talent

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

Ordered. That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said concurrent resolution.

¶59.17 ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion of Mr. ARMEY, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday, May 20, 1996 at 2:00 o'clock p.m.

¶59.18 HOUR OF MEETING

On motion of Mr. ARMEY, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That when the House adjourns on Monday, May 20, 1996, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 21, 1996.

¶59.19 CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS DISPENSED WITH

On motion of Mr. ARMEY, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That business in order for consideration on Wednesday, May 22, 1996, under clause 7, rule XXIV, the Calendar Wednesday rule, be dispensed with.

¶59.20 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3415

Mr. LINDER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, reported (Rept. No. 104-580) the resolution (H. Res. 436) providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3415) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the 4.3-cent increase in the transportation motor fuels excise tax rates enacted by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 and dedicated to the general fund of the Treasury.

When said resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar and ordered printed.

¶59.21 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3259

Mr. LINDER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, reported (Rept. No. 104-581) the resolution (H. Res. 437) providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3259) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1997 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

When said resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar and ordered printed.

¶59.22 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3144

Mr. LINDER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, reported (Rept.

No. 104-582) the resolution (H. Res. 438) providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3144) to establish a United States policy for the deployment of a national missile defense system, and for other purposes.

When said resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar and ordered printed.

¶59.23 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT—NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO IRAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. DICKEY, laid before the House a message from the President, which was read as follows:

To the Congress of the United States:

I hereby report to the Congress on developments since the last Presidential report of November 28, 1995, concerning the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order No. 12170 of November 14, 1979. This report is submitted pursuant to section 204 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c). This report covers events through March 1, 1996. My last report, dated November 28, 1995, covered events through September 29, 1995.

1. Effective March 1, 1996, the Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("FAC") amended the Iranian Assets Control Regulations, 31 CFR Part 535 ("IACR"), to reflect changes in the status of litigation brought by Iran against close relatives of the former Shah of Iran seeking the return of property alleged to belong to Iran (61 *Fed. Reg.* 8216, March 4, 1996). In 1991, Shams Pahlavi, sister of the former Shah of Iran, was identified in section 535.217(b) of the IACR as a person whose assets were blocked based on proof of service upon her in litigation of the type described in section 535.217(a). Pursuant to that provision, all property and assets located in the United States within the possession or control of Shams Pahlavi were blocked until all pertinent litigation against her was finally terminated. Because the litigation has been finally terminated, reference to Shams Pahlavi has been deleted from section 535.217(b). A copy of the amendment is attached to this report.

2. The Iran-U.S. Claims Tribunal, established at The Hague pursuant to the Algiers Accords, continues to make progress in arbitrating the claims before it. Since my last report, the Tribunal has rendered one award, bringing the total number to 567. The majority of those awards have been in favor of U.S. claimants. As of March 1996, the value of awards to successful U.S. claimants from the Security Account held by the NV Settlement Bank was \$2,376,010,041.91.

In February 1996, Iran deposited funds into the Security Account, established by the Algiers Accords to ensure payment of awards to successful U.S. claimants for the first time since October 8, 1992. The Account was credited

NAYS—195

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Andrews
Baesler
Baldacci
Barcia
Barrett (WI)
Becerra
Beilenson
Bentsen
Berman
Bevill
Bishop
Bonior
Borski
Boucher
Brewster
Browder
Brown (CA)
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Bryant (TX)
Cardin
Chapman
Clay
Clayton
Clement
Clyburn
Coburn
Coleman
Collins (IL)
Conyers
Costello
Coyne
Cramer
Cummings
Danner
de la Garza
DeFazio
DeLauro
Dellums
Deutsch
Dicks
Dingell
Dixon
Doggett
Dooley
Doyle
Durbin
Edwards
Engel
English
Eshoo
Evans
Farr
Fattah
Fazio
Fields (LA)
Filner
Flake
Flanagan
Foglietta
Ford

Frank (MA)
Frost
Furse
Gejdenson
Gephardt
Gibbons
Gilman
Gonzalez
Gordon
Green (TX)
Gutierrez
Hall (OH)
Hamilton
Harman
Hastings (FL)
Hefner
Hilliard
Hinchev
Holden
Hoyer
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee (TX)
Jefferson
Johnson (SD)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnston
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kennedy (MA)
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Kleczka
Klink
LaFalce
Lantos
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lincoln
Lipinski
Lofgren
Lowe
Luther
Maloney
Manton
Markey
Martinez
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy
McDermott
McHale
McKinney
McNulty
Meehan
Meek
Menendez
Millender
McDonald
Miller (CA)
Minge
Mink

Moakley
Mollohan
Moran
Murtha
Nadler
Neal
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Orton
Owens
Pallone
Pastor
Payne (NJ)
Payne (VA)
Pelosi
Peterson (FL)
Peterson (MN)
Pickett
Pomeroy
Poshard
Rahall
Rangel
Reed
Richardson
Rivers
Roemer
Rose
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sabo
Sanders
Sawyer
Schroeder
Schumer
Scott
Serrano
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skelton
Slaughter
Spratt
Stark
Stenholm
Stokes
Studds
Stupak
Tanner
Tejeda
Thompson
Thornton
Thurman
Torres
Torricelli
Towns
Traficant
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Volkmer
Ward
Waters

\$15 million on February 22, 1996. However, the Account has remained continuously below the \$500 million balance required by the Algiers Accords since November 5, 1992. As of March 1, 1996, the total amount in the Security Account was \$195,370,127.71, and the total amount in the Interest Account was \$37,055,050.92.

Therefore, the United States continues to pursue Case A/28, filed in September 1993, to require Iran to meet its obligations under the Algiers Accords to replenish the Security Account. Iran filed its Statement of Defense in that case on August 30, 1995. The United States filed a Reply on December 4, 1995. Iran is scheduled to file its Rejoinder on June 4, 1996.

3. The Department of State continues to present other United States Government claims against Iran and to respond to claims brought against the United States by Iran, in coordination with concerned government agencies.

In November 1995, Iran filed its latest Response concerning the United States Request to Dismiss Certain Claims from Case B/61. The United States had filed its Request to Dismiss in August 1995 as part of its consolidated submission on the merits. Iran had previously filed its initial response in July 1995, and the United States filed a reply in August 1995. Case B/61 involves a claim by Iran for compensation with respect to primarily military equipment that Iran alleges it did not receive. Iran had sought to purchase or repair the equipment pursuant to commercial contracts with more than 50 private American companies. Iran alleges that it suffered direct losses and consequential damages in excess of \$2 billion in total because of the United States Government refusal to allow the export of the equipment after January 19, 1981, in alleged contravention of the Algiers Accords. Iran's November 1995 filing failed to show why the Tribunal should not dismiss immediately certain duplicative or otherwise improperly pleaded claims from Case B/61.

In December 1995, the Department of State represented the United States in hearings before the Tribunal on two government-to-government claims. In the first, Chamber Two heard oral arguments in Case B/36, the U.S. claim against Iran for its failure to honor debt obligations created by the sale of military surplus property to Iran shortly after the Second World War. In the second, also before Chamber Two, the Department of State presented the U.S. defense in Case B/58, Iran's claim that the United States is liable for damage caused to the Iranian State Railways during the Second World War.

In January 1996, in Case B/1 (Claims 2 & 3), Iran filed its Rebuttal Memorial Concerning Responsibility for Termination Costs, along with 20 volumes of exhibits and affidavits. In this briefing stream, the Tribunal is asked to decide whether Iran or the United States is liable for the costs arising from the termination of the U.S.-Iran Foreign

Military Sales program after Iran's default and its subsequent seizure of the U.S. embassy in Tehran in 1979. The United States is currently preparing a comprehensive response to Iran's brief.

In February 1996, the Departments of State and Justice represented the United States in a hearing before the full Tribunal in a government-to-government claim filed by Iran. Case A/27 is an interpretive dispute in which Iran claims that the United States is liable under the Algiers Accords for Tribunal awards issued in favor of Iran against U.S. nationals. The United States maintains that its obligation under the Algiers Accords is satisfied by the availability of domestic judicial procedures through which Iran can enforce awards in its favor.

Also in February 1996, Iran and the United States settled Iran's claims against the United States filed before the International Court of Justice concerning the July 3, 1988, downing of Iran Air 655 and certain of Iran's claims against the United States filed before the Iran-United States Tribunal concerning certain banking matters. The cases in question were dismissed from the International Court of Justice and the Iran-United States Tribunal on February 22, 1996. The settlement, *inter alia*, fulfills President Reagan's 1988 offer to make *ex gratia* payments to the survivors of the victims of the Iran Air shootdown. The survivors of each victim of the Iran Air shootdown will be paid \$300,000 (for wage-earning victims) or \$150,000 (for non-wage-earning victims). For this purpose, \$61 million was deposited with the Union Bank of Switzerland in Zurich in an account jointly held by the New York Federal Reserve Bank, acting as fiscal agent of the United States, and Bank Markazi, the central bank of Iran. Of an additional \$70 million in the settlement package, \$15 million was deposited in the Security Account established as part of the Algiers Accords. The remaining \$55 million was deposited in an account at the New York Federal Reserve Bank, from which funds can be drawn only (1) for deposits into the Security Account used to pay Tribunal awards to American claimants or for the payment of Iran's share of the operating expenses of the Tribunal, or (2) to pay debts incurred before the date of settlement and owed by Iranian banks to U.S. nationals. Under the terms of the settlement, no money will be paid to the Government of Iran.

4. Since my last report, the Tribunal has issued one important award in favor of a U.S. national considered a dual U.S.-Iranian national by the Tribunal. On November 7, 1995, Chamber Three issued a significant decision in Claim No. 213, *Dadras Int'l and Per-Am Construction Corp. v. The Islamic Republic of Iran*, awarding a dual national claimant \$3.1 million plus interest for architectural work performed for an Iranian government agency developing a housing complex outside Tehran, Iran.

The Tribunal held hearings in four large private claims. On October 23-27, 1995, Chamber One held a hearing in Claim No. 432, *Brown & Root, Inc. v. The Iranian Navy*, involving contract amounts owed in connection with the construction of the Iranian Navy Chahbahar and Bandar Projects in Iran. On January 18-19, 1996, Chamber One held a second hearing in Claim Nos. 842, 843, and 844, *Vera Aryeh, et al. v. The Islamic Republic of Iran*, in which allegations of fraud and forgery were considered. Finally, the United States Government filed a Memorial on the Application of the Treaty of Amity to Dual United States-Iranian Nationals in three private claims before the Tribunal: Claim No. 485, *Riahi v. The Islamic Republic of Iran*, in Chamber One on January 29, 1996; Claim No. 953, *Hakim v. The Islamic Republic of Iran*, in Chamber Two on February 27, 1996; and Claim No. 266, *Aryeh, et al. v. The Islamic Republic of Iran*, in Chamber Three on February 29, 1996. The Memorial argues that a good faith interpretation of the ordinary meaning of the 1955 Treaty of Amity leads to the conclusion that it protects all persons deemed to be U.S. nationals under U.S. laws when they undertake activities in Iran, regardless of whether they also possess another nationality.

5. The situation reviewed above continues to implicate important diplomatic, financial, and legal interests of the United States and its nationals and presents an unusual challenge to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. The Iranian Assets Control Regulations issued pursuant to Executive Order No. 12170 continue to play an important role in structuring our relationship with Iran and in enabling the United States to implement properly the Algiers Accords. I shall continue to exercise the powers at my disposal to deal with these problems and will continue to report periodically to the Congress on significant developments.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, May 16, 1996.

By unanimous consent, the message, together with accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed (H. Doc. 104-214).

¶59.24 BILLS PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Mr. THOMAS, from the Committee on House Oversight reported that that committee did on this day present to the President, for his approval, bills of the House of the following title:

H.R. 1836. An Act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to acquire property in the town of East Hampton, Suffolk County, New York, for inclusion in the Amagansett National Wildlife Refuge; and

H.R. 1743. An Act to amend the Water Resources Act of 1984 to extend the authorizations of appropriations through fiscal year 2000, and for other purposes.

¶59.25 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to Mr. MANZULLO, for today after 3:00 p.m.

And then,

¶59.26 ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Mr. CANADY, pursuant to the special order heretofore agreed to, at 8 o'clock and 8 minutes p.m., the House adjourned until 2:00 p.m. on Monday, May 20, 1996.

¶59.27 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska: Committee on Resources. H.R. 2909. A bill to amend the Silvio O. Conte National Fish and Wildlife Refuge Act to provide that the Secretary of the Interior may acquire lands for purposes of that Act only be donation or exchange, or otherwise with the consent of the owner of the lands (Rept. No. 104-579). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. DREIER: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 436. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3415) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the 4.3-cent increase in the transportation motor fuels tax rates enacted by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 and dedicated to the general fund of the Treasury (Rept. No. 104-580). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. GOSS: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 437. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3259) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1997 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 104-581). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 438. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3144) to establish a United States policy for the deployment of a national missile defense system, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 104-582). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. SPENCE: Committee on National Security. H.R. 3144. A bill to establish a United States policy for the deployment of a national missile defense system, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 104-583, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

¶59.28 TIME LIMITATION OF REFERRED BILL

Pursuant to clause 5 of rule X the following action was taken by the Speaker:

H.R. 3107. Referral to the Committee on Ways and Means extended for a period ending not later than May 31, 1996.

H.R. 3144. Referral to the Committee on International Relations extended for a period ending not later than May 16, 1996.

¶59.29 DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE

Pursuant to clause 5 of rule X the following action was taken by the Speaker: The Committee on International Relations discharged from further consideration; H.R. 3144 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

The Committee on National Security discharged from further consideration; H.R. 3259 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

¶59.30 PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 5 of rule X and clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma (for himself, Mr. TALENT, Mrs. MYRICK, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mr. WELDON of Florida, Mr. KNOLLENBERG, Mr. KOLBE, Mr. RIGGS, Mr. CHABOT, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. COBURN, Mr. FLANAGAN, Mr. GUTKNECHT, Mr. LARGENT, Mr. LATOURETTE, Mr. NORWOOD, Mrs. SEASTRAND, Mr. SOUDER, Mr. STOCKMAN, Mr. THORNBERRY, Mr. WELLER, Mr. WICKER, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland, Mr. BARTON of Texas, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. EMERSON, Mr. HASTERT, Mr. HAYES, Mr. HOEKSTRA, Mr. HOKE, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. KING, Mr. KINGSTON, Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky, Mr. LINDER, Mr. MCCREY, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. WAMP, Mr. MCINTOSH, Mr. DELAY, and Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina):

H.R. 3467. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow the designation of renewal communities, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committees on Economic and Educational Opportunities, Banking and Financial Services, and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. GEKAS (for himself, Mr. PASTOR, Mr. HASTERT, Mr. HAYWORTH, Mr. UPTON, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. ROHRABACHER, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. GUTKNECHT, Mr. STUMP, Mr. BILBRAY, Mr. EHLERS, Mr. HOBSON, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. BURR, Mr. ROYCE, Mr. CLEMENT, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. FORBES, Mr. ZIMMER, Mr. BUYER, Mrs. KELLY, and Mr. STENHOLM):

H.R. 3468. A bill to establish rules governing product liability actions against raw materials and bulk component suppliers to medical device manufacturers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BORSKI (for himself, Mr. OBERSTAR, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. BREWSTER, and Ms. DELAURO):

H.R. 3469. A bill to improve economic productivity and create thousands of jobs by establishing an infrastructure reinvestment fund which will provide immediate, upfront funding of intermodal surface transportation programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committees on the Budget, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. VENTO:

H.R. 3470. A bill to enhance the conservation and protection of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness and the Voyageurs

National Park; to the Committee on Resources.

By Mrs. KELLY:

H.R. 3471. A bill to authorize the Corps of Engineers to enter into a cooperative agreement with the State of New York to fund one or more projects for habitat restoration in the Hudson River Basin, NY; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts (for himself, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, and Mr. FATTAH):

H.R. 3472. A bill to amend the Agricultural Trade Act of 1978 to eliminate current Federal subsidies for alcoholic beverage promotions overseas; to the Committee on Agriculture.

H.R. 3473. A bill to establish advertising requirements for alcoholic beverages; to the Committee on Commerce.

H.R. 3474. A bill to require health warnings to be included in alcoholic beverage advertisements, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce.

H.R. 3475. A bill to require an annual report by the Secretary of Health and Human Services on alcohol advertising practices, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce.

H.R. 3476. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to provide incentives to colleges and universities to develop, implement, and improve alcohol abuse prevention and education programs on their campuses, to strengthen sanctions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.

By Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts:

H.R. 3477. A bill to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to restrict employers in obtaining, disclosing, and using of genetic information; to the Committee on Economic and Education Opportunities.

By Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts (for himself, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, and Mr. FATTAH):

H.R. 3478. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to eliminate tax deductions for advertising and goodwill expenditures relating to alcohol beverages; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 3479. A bill to carry out a comprehensive program dealing with alcohol and alcohol abuse; to the Committee on Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Ways and Means, Economic and Educational Opportunities, and Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LAHOOD (for himself and Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota):

H.R. 3480. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to ensure the ability of utility providers to establish, improve, operate, and maintain utility structures, facilities, and equipment for the benefit, safety, and well-being of consumers, by removing limitations on maximum driving and on-duty time pertaining to utility vehicle operators and drivers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. LIGHTFOOT (for himself, Mr. MCCOLLUM, Mr. HUNTER, Mr. ROHRABACHER, Mr. BARR, Mr. HERGER, Mr. ISTOOK, Mrs. CHENOWETH, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. BARTON of Texas, Mr. SKEEN, Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. COBURN, Mrs. CUBIN, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mr. LIVINGSTON, Mr. CRANE, Mr. CHRYSLER, Mr. SAM JOHNSON, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. MICA, Mr. BAKER of California, Mr. PACKARD, and Mr. STEARNS):

H.R. 3481. A bill to repeal the minimum wage requirement of the Fair Labor Stand-

ards Act of 1938, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.

By Mr. McDERMOTT (for himself, Mr. SERRANO, and Ms. PELOSI):

H.R. 3482. A bill to protect the privacy of health information in the age of genetic and other new technologies, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MORAN (for himself (both by request) and Mr. MICA):

H.R. 3483. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to enable Federal agencies to design personnel systems suited to their missions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, and in addition to the Committee on Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. MORELLA (for herself and Mr. WALKER):

H.R. 3484. A bill to authorize the Federal Aviation Administration's research, engineering, and development programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Science, and in addition to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. NETHERCUTT:

H.R. 3485. A bill to require the Secretary of Agriculture to conduct an adaptive forest management research program in Colville National Forest, WA, that will provide for a creditable, science-based approach to manage fire-generated, overstocked, small-diameter, stagnated forest stands for the purposes for improving forest health, providing wood fiber for manufacturing facilities in forest-dependent communities, and meeting current and future environmental needs; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. ORTON:

H.R. 3486. A bill to dispose of certain Federal properties at Dutch John, UT, assist local government in the interim delivery of basic services to the Dutch John community, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Resources.

By Mr. SAXTON (for himself and Mr. FARR):

H.R. 3487. A bill to reauthorize the National Marine Sanctuaries Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Resources.

By Mr. SCHUMER:

H.R. 3488. A bill to prevent handgun violence and illegal commerce in handguns; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SPRATT (for himself, Mr. GEPHARDT, Mr. HAMILTON, Mr. DICKS, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. ORTIZ, Mr. BROWDER, Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. MCHALE, Mr. PETERSON of Florida, Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island, Ms. HARMAN, Mr. FAZIO of California, Mr. HOYER, and Mr. REED):

H.R. 3489. A bill to protect the United States and its Armed Forces, wherever engaged, from ballistic missile attack, to state the policy and priorities of the United States for developing and deploying more effective defenses against ballistic missiles, and for other purposes; to the Committee on National Security, and in addition to the Committee on International Relations, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. TATE:

H.R. 3490. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to reform Federal prisons; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. THOMAS:

H.R. 3491. A bill to repeal the American Folklife Preservation Act; to the Committee on House Oversight.

By Mr. VOLKMER:

H.R. 3492. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to ensure the ability of utility providers to establish, improve, operate, and maintain utility structures, facilities, and equipment for benefit, safety, and well-being of consumers, by removing limitations on maximum driving and on-duty time pertaining to utility vehicle operators and drivers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. BAKER of Louisiana (for himself, Mr. MCCREY, Mr. HAYES, Mr. LIVINGSTON, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. JEFFERSON, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, Mr. GOODLING, Mr. FOGLIETTA, Mr. GEKAS, Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania, and Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania):

H. J. Res. 179. Joint resolution designating the Civil War Center at Louisiana State University as the U.S. Civil War Center, making the center the flagship institution for planning the sesquicentennial commemoration of the Civil War, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

By Mr. STOCKMAN:

H. Con. Res. 179. Concurrent resolution to express the sense of the Congress that Buddhist monks and civilians and Roman Catholic monks and priests unlawfully detained by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam should be released; to the Committee on International Relations.

By Mrs. SMITH of Washington:

H. Res. 439. Resolution amending the rule XLIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives to prohibit a Member, officer, or employee of the House from soliciting, distributing, or accepting campaign contributions in the Hall of the House, rooms leading thereto, or the cloakrooms; to the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct.

59.31 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 103: Mr. ANDREWS.
 H.R. 127: Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. UNDERWOOD, Mr. FARR, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. RUSH, Mr. RAHALL, Mr. FRAZER, Mr. BAKER of California, Mr. ALLARD, and Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma.
 H.R. 820: Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. WARD, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. KINGSTON, Mr. MARTINI, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. BONIOR, and Mr. SKEEN.
 H.R. 1005: Mrs. ROUKEMA.
 H.R. 1386: Mr. CRANE, Mr. MOORHEAD, Mr. BACHUS, Mr. WHITFIELD, Mr. METCALF, and Mr. ENSIGN.
 H.R. 1462: Mr. BERMAN, Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania, Mr. BISHOP, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Mr. CLINGER, Mr. TRAFICANT, Mr. COBURN, Mr. BROWDER, Mr. SAWYER, Mr. KASICH, and Mr. HILLIARD.
 H.R. 1618: Mr. JONES and Mr. HOKE.
 H.R. 1711: Mr. QUILLEN, Mr. SPENCE, and Mr. WAMP.
 H.R. 1733: Mr. COOLEY.
 H.R. 1776: Mr. VENTO, Mr. CAMP, Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania, Mr. LAUGHLIN, Mr. RAMSTAD, Mr. TORRES, Mr. BUYER, Mr. FRISA, Mr. BONO, Mr. McDERMOTT, Mr. FORBES, and Mr. HAYWORTH.
 H.R. 1791: Mr. MCCOLLUM.
 H.R. 1797: Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. OWENS, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida, and Mr. MANTON.

H.R. 2143: Mr. GALLEGLY.

H.R. 2237: Mr. SANDERS, Mr. KLUG, Mr. LANTOS, Mr. RAHALL, Mr. TORRES, Mr. FOGLIETTA, and Mr. FLAKE.

H.R. 2338: Mr. DINGELL.

H.R. 2342: Mr. TAUZIN.

H.R. 2510: Mr. FUNDERBURK.

H.R. 2530: Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi.

H.R. 2682: Mr. WELLER.

H.R. 2749: Mr. EMERSON.

H.R. 2757: Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. RUSH, and Mr. DICKS.

H.R. 2807: Mr. BARR and Mrs. ROUKEMA.

H.R. 2911: Mr. PETRI, Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts, and Mr. NEY.

H.R. 2991: Mr. DURBIN.

H.R. 3065: Mr. BROWN of Ohio.

H.R. 3067: Mr. WAXMAN and Ms. MILLENDER-MCDONALD.

H.R. 3083: Mr. EMERSON and Mr. FIELDS of Texas.

H.R. 3107: Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. SCHAEFER, Mr. COBURN, Mr. TORKILDSEN, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. FAZIO of California, Mr. CAMP, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. LEVIN, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. HAYWORTH, Mr. DOYLE, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, Mr. CLAY, Mr. HOSTETTLER, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. LAZIO of New York, Ms. MOLINARI, Mr. TEJEDA, Mr. BOEHLERT, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. SHADEGG, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. CHABOT, Mr. OWENS, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. BORSKI, Mr. McNULTY, Mr. TATE, Mr. HOLDEN, Mr. FARR, Mr. LINDER, Mr. NEY, Mr. NADLER, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey, and Mr. SCHIFF.

H.R. 3114: Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas, Mr. MARTINEZ, Mr. LEACH, Mr. WELDON of Florida, Mr. NUSSLE, Mr. KLECZKA, and Mr. TOWNS.

H.R. 3119: Mr. RAHALL and Ms. KAPTUR.

H.R. 3182: Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. MCHUGH, Mr. KLUG, and Mr. LATHAM.

H.R. 3199: Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey, Ms. PRYCE, and Ms. MCCARTHY.

H.R. 3226: Mr. NEUMANN, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. DAVIS, Mr. FRAZER, Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. FLAKE, and Mrs. MEEK of Florida.

H.R. 3265: Ms. MCKINNEY.

H.R. 3267: Mr. BORSKI.

H.R. 3293: Mr. PORTER, Mr. BONIOR, Mr. DELLUMS, and Mr. WAXMAN.

H.R. 3296: Mr. THORNBERRY and Mr. SOUDER.

H.R. 3337: Mr. SABO.

H.R. 3367: Mr. FLAKE.

H.R. 3391: Mr. CAMP and Mr. SKEEN.

H.R. 3392: Mr. HAMILTON, Mr. RICHARDSON, Mr. STARK, Mr. FILNER, and Ms. RIVERS.

H.R. 3393: Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts, Mr. DEFazio, and Mr. EVANS.

H.R. 3396: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania, Mrs. SMITH of Washington, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. STEARNS, Mr. LUCAS, Mr. HOSTETTLER, Mr. CRANE, Mr. SMITH of Michigan, Mr. BONO, Mr. MILLER of Florida, Mr. BUYER, and Mr. SOLOMON.

H.R. 3401: Mr. CALLAHAN, Ms. MCKINNEY, Mr. FOGLIETTA, Mr. TORKILDSEN, and Mr. WELLER.

H.R. 3424: Mr. SKEEN.

H.R. 3445: Mr. DURBIN, Mrs. SCHROEDER, Mr. LAFALCE, and Mr. FROST.

H.R. 3447: Mr. COBLE.

H.R. 3449: Mr. POMEROY and Mr. THORNBERRY.

H.R. 3463: Mr. BONIOR, Mr. FILNER, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Ms. VELAZQUEZ, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. RUSH, and Mr. JACKSON.

H. Con. Res. 47: Mr. FOLEY.

H. Con. Res. 156: Ms. SLAUGHTER and Mr. ACKERMAN.

H. Con. Res. 160: Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. QUINN, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. KOLBE, Mr. DURBIN, and Mr. PALLONE.

H. Con. Res. 167: Mr. HOYER, Mrs. LOWEY, and Mr. HINCHEY.

H. Con. Res. 175: Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mr. LAZIO of New York, Mr. ISTOOK, Mr. JACOBS, and Mr. PARKER.

H. Res. 381: Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts.
H. Res. 429: Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. TORRES, Mr. SANFORD, and Mr. BURTON of Indiana.

59.32 DELETIONS OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 582: Ms. LOFGREN.
H.R. 1972: Ms. LOFGREN.

MONDAY, MAY 20, 1996 (60)

60.1 DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The House was called to order at 2 o'clock p.m. by the SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LAUGHLIN, who laid before the House the following communication:

WASHINGTON, DC,
May 20, 1996.

I hereby designate the Honorable GREG LAUGHLIN to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

60.2 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LAUGHLIN, announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Thursday, May 16, 1996.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal was approved.

60.3 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

3071. A letter from the Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Onions Grown in South Texas; Change in Regulatory Period (FV95-959-3FR) received May 17, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

3072. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Tuberculosis in Cattle, Bison, and Cervids; Payment of Indemnity [APHIS Docket No. 94-133-2] received May 20, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

3073. A communication from the President of the United States transmitting a fiscal year 1997 budget amendment in support of the Israeli Government's requirement for counterterrorism assistance, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1107 (H. Doc. No. 104-216); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

3074. A letter from the Secretary of Agriculture, transmitting the animal report on the Youth Conservation Corps Program in the Department for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 1705; to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.

3075. A letter from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's report entitled "Model Comprehensive Program for the Treatment of Substance Abuse, Metropolitan Area Treatment Enhancement System (MATES)," report to the Congress of the United States fiscal year 1995, pursuant to Public Law 102-321, section 301 (106 Stat. 419); to the Committee on Commerce.

3076. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Energy, transmitting the Department's final rule—Contractor Litigation Cost Policies; Policies, Terms of Law Firm Engagement, and Allowability of Costs—received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3077. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulatory Management and Information, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rules—(1) Control Techniques Guidelines Document: Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations (FRL-5507-5), (2) Protection of Stratospheric Ozone; Listing of Substitutes for Ozone-Depleting Substances (FRL-5467-1), and (3) Outer Continental Shelf Air Regulations Offset Remand (FRL-5504-4) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3078. A letter from the Director, Regulations Policy Management Staff, Food and Drug Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule—Elimination of Establishment License Application for Specified Biotechnology and Specified Synthetic Biological Products—received May 20, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3079. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting the Department of the Air Force's proposed lease of defense articles to Turkey (Transmittal No. 19-96), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2796a(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

3080. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting the Department of the Navy's proposed lease of defense articles to the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in the U.S. [TECRO] (Transmittal No. 18-96), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2796a(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

3081. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting notification concerning a cooperative project with the United Kingdom concerning conventional air-to-ground missiles (Transmittal No. 09-96), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2767(f); to the Committee on International Relations.

3082. A letter from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting copies on international agreements, other than treaties, entered into by the United States, pursuant to 1 U.S.C. 112b(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

3083. A letter from the Auditor, District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of a report entitled "Fiscal Year 1995 Annual Report on Advisory Neighborhood Commissions," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

3084. A letter from the Chairman, Cost Accounting Standards Board, Office of Management and Budget, transmitting the sixth annual report of the Cost Accounting Standards Board, pursuant to Public Law 100-679, section 5(a) (102 Stat. 4062); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

3085. A letter from the Director, Fish and Wildlife Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Subsistence Management Regulations for Public Lands in Alaska, Subpart D; Subsistence Taking of Fish and Wildlife Regulations; Extension (RIN: 1018-AC82) received May 17, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3086. A letter from the Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area; Reserve Apportionment [Docket No. 960129019-6091-01; I.D. 050396A] received May 17, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3087. A letter from the Director, Office of Fisheries and Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area; Pacific cod by vessels using trawl gear in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands management area [Docket No. 960129019-6091-01; I.D. 051396A] received May 17, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3088. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area; Pacific Cod by Vessels Using Hook-and-Line Gear in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands [Docket No. 960129019-6091-01; I.D. 051396D] received May 20, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3089. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area; Other Nontrawl Fisheries in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No. 960129019-6091-01; I.D. 051396E] received May 20, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3090. A letter from the Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons, transmitting the Bureau's final rule—Scope of Rules: Prevention of Acts of Violence and Terrorism (RIN: 1120-AA54) received May 17, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3091. A letter from the Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons, transmitting the Bureau's final rule—Drug Abuse Treatment Programs: Early Release Consideration (RIN: 1120-AA36) received May 20, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3092. A letter from the Chairperson, United States Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation entitled the "Civil Rights Commission Amendments Act of 1996"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

3093. A letter from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation entitled the "State Infrastructure Bank Improvement Act of 1996"; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3094. A letter from the Regulatory Policy Officer, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, transmitting the Bureau's final rule—Materials and Processes Authorized for the Production of Wine and for the Treatment of Juice, Wine and Distilling Material (93F-059P) (RIN: 1512-AB26) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

3095. A letter from the Chair, Physician Payment Review Commission, transmitting the Commission's recommendations for the fee update and Medicare volume performance standards for 1997, pursuant to Public Law 101-239, section 6102(a) (103 Stat. 2176); jointly, to the Committees on Ways and Means and Commerce.

3096. A letter from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule—Medicare and Medicaid Program; Criteria for a Rural Hospital to be Designated as an Essential Access Community Hospital (EACH) (BPD-856-FC) received May 16, 1996, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); jointly, to the Committees on Ways and Means and Commerce.

3097. A letter from the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's report entitled "The Effects of Great Lakes Contaminants on