for a congressional ceremony honoring Mother Teresa.

The question being put,

Will the House suspend the rules and agree to said concurrent resolution?

The vote was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the affirmative ..... { Yeas ..... 415 / Nays 0

¶52.20 [Roll No. 142]

YEAS—415

Abercrombie
Aderholt
Allen
Andrews
Archer
Armey
Bachus
Baesler
Baker
Baldacci
Ballenger
Barcia
Barrett (NE)
Barrett (WI)
Bartlett
Bass
Bateman
Becerra
Bentsen
Bereuter
Berman
Berry
Bilirakis
Bishop
Blagojevich
Bliley
Blumenauer
Blunt
Boehlert
Boehner
Bonilla
Bonior
Bono
Borski
Boswell
Boucher
Boyd
Brady
Brown (CA)
Brown (OH)
Bryant
Bunning
Burr
Buyer
Callahan
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Canady
Cannon
Capps
Cardin
Carson
Castle
Chabot
Chambliss
Chenoweth
Christensen
Clay
Clayton
Clement
Clyburn
Coble
Coburn
Collins
Combest
Condit
Conyers
Cook
Cooksey
Costello
Cox
Coyne
Cramer
Crane
Crapo
Cubin
Cummings
Cunningham
Danner
Davis (FL)
Davis (IL)
Davis (VA)
Deal
DeFazio
DeGette
Delahunt
DeLauro
DeLay
Dellums
Deutsch
Diaz-Balart
Dickey
Dicks
Dingell
Dixon
Doggett
Dooley
Doolittle
Doyle
Dreier
Duncan
Dunn
Edwards
Ehlers
Ehrlich
Emerson
Engel
English
Ensign
Eshoo
Etheridge
Evans
Everett
Ewing
Farr
Fattah
Fawell
Fazio
Filner
Flake
Foglietta
Foley
Forbes
Ford
Fowler
Fox
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen
Frost
Furse
Gallegly
Ganske
Gejdenson
Gekas
Gephardt
Gibbons
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gilman
Gonzalez
Goode
Goodlatte
Goodling
Gordon
Goss
Graham
Green
Greenwood
Gutierrez
Gutknecht
Hall (OH)
Hall (TX)
Hamilton
Hansen
Harman
Hastings (FL)
Hastings (WA)
Hayworth
Hefley
Hefner
Herger
Hill
Hilleary
Hilliard
Hinojosa
Hobson
Hoekstra
Holden
Hooley
Horn
Hostettler
Houghton
Hoyer
Hulshof
Hunter
Hutchinson
Hyde
Inglis
Istook
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee (TX)
Jenkins
John
Johnson (CT)
Johnson (WI)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kasich
Kelly
Kennedy (MA)
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Kilpatrick
Kim
Kind (WI)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kleczka
Klink
Klug
Knollenberg
Kolbe
Kucinich
LaFalce
LaHood
Lampson
Lantos
Largent
Latham
LaTourette
Lazio
Leach
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Lewis (KY)
Linder
Lipinski
Livingston
LoBiondo
Lofgren
Lowey
Lucas
Luther
Maloney (CT)
Maloney (NY)
Manton
Manzullo
Markey
Martinez
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy (MO)
McCarthy (NY)
McCollum
McCrery
McDade
McDermott
McGovern
McHale
McHugh
McInnis
McIntosh
McIntyre
McKeon
McKinney
McNulty
Meehan
Meek
Menendez
Metcalf
Mica
Millender-McDonald
Miller (CA)
Miller (FL)
Minge
Mink
Moakley
Molinari
Mollohan
Moran (KS)
Moran (VA)
Morella
Murtha
Myrick
Nadler
Neal
Nethercutt
Neumann
Ney
Northup
Norwood
Nussle
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Owens
Oxley
Packard
Pallone
Pappas
Parker
Pascrell
Pastor
Paul
Paxon
Payne
Pease
Pelosi
Peterson (MN)
Peterson (PA)
Petri
Pickering
Pickett
Pitts
Pombo
Pomeroy
Porter
Portman
Poshard
Price (NC)
Pryce (OH)
Quinn
Radanovich
Rahall
Ramstad
Rangel
Redmond
Regula
Reyes
Riggs
Riley
Rivers
Rodriguez
Roemer
Rogan
Rogers
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Rothman
Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Rush
Ryun
Sabo
Salmon
Sanchez
Sandlin
Sanford
Sawyer
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer, Dan
Schaffer, Bob
Schumer
Scott
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Sessions
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Sherman
Shimkus
Shuster
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skeen
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (OR)
Smith (TX)
Smith, Adam
Smith, Linda
Snowbarger
Snyder
Solomon
Souder
Spence
Stabenow
Stark
Stearns
Stenholm
Stokes
Strickland
Stump
Stupak
Sununu
Talent
Tanner
Tauscher
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Taylor (NC)
Thomas
Thompson
Thornberry
Thune
Thurman
Tiahrt
Tierney
Torres
Towns
Traficant
Turner
Upton
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Walsh
Wamp
Watkins
Watt (NC)
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Wexler
Weygand
Whitfield
Wise
Wolf
Wynn
Yates
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—19

Ackerman
Barr
Barton
Bilbray
Brown (FL)
Burton
Frank (MA)
Granger
Hastert
Hinchey
Jefferson
Sanders
Schiff
Spratt
Waters
Waxman
White
Wicker
Woolsey

So, two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said concurrent resolution was passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the rules were suspended and said concurrent resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

¶52.21 H.R. 1650—UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KINGSTON, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced the further unfinished business to be the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1650) to authorize the President to award a gold medal on behalf of the Congress to Mother Teresa of Calcutta in recognition of her outstanding and enduring contributions through humanitarian and charitable activities, and for other purposes.

The question being put,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill?

The vote was ordered to be taken by electronic device.

Subsequently, during said vote,

Mr. SOLOMON, by unanimous consent, requested that the ordering of the yeas and nays on the motion to suspend the rules and pass said bill be vacated.

Accordingly,

The question having been previously put, viva voce, and two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the rules were suspended and said bill was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

¶52.22 CONGRESSIONAL SUPPORT FOR DECENT HOUSING

Mr. SOLOMON, by unanimous consent, requested that the ordering of the yeas and nays on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 147) expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the House of Representatives should participate in and support activities to provide decent homes for the people of the United States, and for other purposes, as amended, be vacated.

Accordingly,

The question having been previously put, viva voce, and two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said resolution was agreed to.

By unanimous consent, the title was amended so as to read: ''A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the House of Representatives should participate in and support activities to provide safe, clean, and healthy homes for the people of the United States, and for other purposes.''.

A motion to reconsider the votes whereby the rules were suspended and said resolution was agreed to and the title was amended was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶52.23 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

¶52.24 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RESOLUTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KINGSTON, pursuant to House Resolution 152 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 84) establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1998 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KINGSTON, by unanimous consent, designated Mr. BOEHNER as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole; and after some time spent therein,

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21 (LEGISLATIVE DAY OF TUESDAY, MAY 20), 1997

¶52.25 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Ms. WATERS:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998.

The Congress declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1998 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1999 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

TITLE I—LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

SEC. 101. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $1,241,721,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,295,692,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,358,192,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,421,796,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,466,331,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $36,142,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $44,250,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $54,953,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $60,198,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $45,352,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $1,390,471,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,460,826,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,505,659,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,544,830,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,591,266,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $1,377,266,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $1,445,118,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $1,495,407,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $1,517,370,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $1,564,726,000,000.

(4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $135,545,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $147,426,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $137,215,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $95,534,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $98,395,000,000.

(5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $5,556,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $5,803,200,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $6,037,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $6,241,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $6,466,700,000,000.

(6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $33,829,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $33,378,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $34,775,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $36,039,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $37,099,000,000.

(7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:

Fiscal year 1998: $315,472,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: $324,749,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: $328,124,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: $332,063,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: $336,141,000,000.

SEC. 102. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $237,067,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $245,233,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $588,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $233,589,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $233,746,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $757,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $233,861,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $232,174,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $1,050,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $235,829,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $227,453,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $1,050,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $224,717,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $221,137,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $1,050,000,000.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $21,545,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $15,726,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,966,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $12,751,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $17,533,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,510,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $2,021,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $13,093,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $18,647,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $17,376,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $2,077,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $13,434,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $18,759,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $17,166,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $2,122,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $13,826,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $18,696,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $17,001,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $2,178,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $14,217,000,000.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $16,522,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $17,042,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $0.

Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $16,503,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,745,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $0.

Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $16,322,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,314,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $0.

Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $16,311,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,271,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $0.

Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $16,302,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $16,291,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, $0.

(4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $2,550,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $1,731,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,050,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $3,094,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $2,100,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,078,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $2,725,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $1,822,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,109,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $2,425,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $1,484,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,141,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $2,330,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $1,312,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $1,174,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New budget authority, $22,765,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,352,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $30,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New budget authority, $22,214,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,550,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $32,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, $21,495,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $21,780,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $32,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 2001:
(A) New budget authority, $21,974,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $22,362,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $34,000,000.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments $0.

Fiscal year 2002:
(A) New budget authority, $22,614,000,000.
(B) Outlays, $22,767,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, $34,000,000.