	Case 1:09-cv-02132-LJO-GSA Docume	nt 46 Filed 05/16/11 Page 1 of 3	
1	Michael L. Farley, SBN 76368 Rhys C. Boyd-Farrell, SBN 252458		
2	FARLEY LAW FIRM 108 West Center Avenue		
3	Visalia, California 93291		
4	Telephone: (559) 738-5975 Facsimile: (559) 732-2305		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Lyle D. Griffith, M.D.		
6	Autoriteys for Flandin Lyte D. Ommun, M.D.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LYLE D. GRIFFITH, M.D., an individual,	Case No. 1:09-CV-02132-LJO-GSA	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE	
13	v.	CONTINUE IMALDATE	
14	CORCORAN DISTRICT HOSPITAL, a		
15	district hospital; JONATHAN BRENN, CEO of CORCORAN DISTRICT		
16	HOSPITAL and an individual; DAVID HILL, an individual; JAMES CARTER		
17	THOMAS, M.D., an individual; LISA IVERS, Chief Nursing Officer of		
18	CORCORAN DISTRICT HOSPITAL and an individual; SUE FAIRCHILD,		
19	Operating Room Supervisor for CORCORAN DISTRICT HOSPITAL and		
20	an individual; and DOES 1 through 25,		
21	inclusive		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	Plaintiff, LYLE D. GRIFFITH (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), and Defendants, CORCORAN		
25	DISTRICT HOSPITAL, JONATHAN BRENN, JAMES CARTER TOMAS, M.D., LISA		
26	IVERS, and SUE FAIRCHILD (hereinafter collectively "Defendants"), hereby stipulate as		
27	follows:		
28	1. The trial date in this matter is presently schedule for September 26, 2011.		
IRM			

Case 1:09-cv-02132-LJO-GSA Document 46 Filed 05/16/11 Page 2 of 3

1 2. Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed that a continuance of the trial date is 2 necessary on the basis that additional discovery is necessary in the case. This is the first request 3 to continue the trial date in this case. No additional continuance requests are anticipated. 4 3. Plaintiff and Defendants have been diligently conducting discovery, including 5 having conducted approximately ten (10) depositions in the case so far and estimate that at least 6 six (6) or seven (7) additional depositions will be necessary, if not more, not including experts. 7 Deposition scheduling has required time due to everyone's schedules which had to be 8 coordinated. 9 4. In addition, Plaintiff and Defendants are still in the process of compiling and 10 producing additional documentary evidence to provide in response to ongoing discovery requests. 11 Thousands of pages of documents have already been produced and exchanged. 12 5. Further, Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed that a continuance of the trial date is 13 necessary on the basis that the parties have been attempting to schedule mediation with a private 14 mediator whom all parties can agree upon and is available far enough in advance of the trial date, 15 but have had difficulty locating a mediator who is available before trial. 16 6. Plaintiff and Defendants have attempted to schedule the mediation with Justice 17 Nickolas Dibiaso [Ret.], but Justice Dibiaso is not available until October of 2011, after the 18 current trial date. 19 7. Plaintiff and Defendants would like the opportunity to fully mediate the case well 20 in advance of the trial in order to potentially avoid incurring substantial fees and costs in trial 21 preparation. The discovery which has occurred and which is continuing was necessary before a 22 meaningful mediation could occur. 23 8. Plaintiff and Defendants have tentatively agreed to try and schedule the mediation 24 with Judge Raul Ramirez, retired judge from the Eastern District of California, but have not yet 25 obtained Judge Ramirez's schedule. 26 9. Plaintiff's counsel is not available for trial from December 2, 2011, through 27 December 19, 2011, and is not available from January 9, 2011, through January 27, 2011. 28 111 2

	Case 1:09-cv-02132-LJO-GSA Document 46 Filed 05/16/11 Page 3 of 3		
1	10. Defendants' counsel is not available for trial from November 21 to 30, 2011, and		
2	2 December 23, 2011 to January 5, 2012.		
3	11. The parties propose a trial date of January 30, 2012, and a pre-trial conference date		
4	4 of December 19, 2011. All other dates and deadlines predicated on the trial date and pre-trial date		
5	shall be continued accordingly.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7			
8	DATED: <u>May 12, 2011</u> By: <u>/s/ Rhys C. Boyd-Farrell</u> Phys C. Boyd Farrell		
9	Rhys C. Boyd-Farrell, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff		
10			
11	DATED: <u>May 12, 2011</u> By: <u>/s/ Michael Popcke</u> Michael Popcke, Esq.		
12	Attorney for Defendants		
13			
14			
15			
16	The Court finds good cause has been established based on due diligence. The trial date is		
17	continued to January 30, 2012 at 8:30 a.m., and the pre-trial conference date is continued to		
18			
19			
20	continued accordingly.		
21			
22 23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23 24	Dated: May 13, 2011 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill		
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
25 26			
20			
28			
FARLEY LAW FIRM 108 West Center Avenue	3 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE		
VISALIA, CA 93291	II The second seco		